

CONJUGACY PROBLEM IN VIRTUAL RIGHT-ANGLED ARTIN GROUPS

Gemma Crowe

Abstract

In this paper we solve the conjugacy problem for several classes of virtual right-angled Artin groups, using algebraic and geometric techniques. We show that virtual RAAGs of the form $A_\phi = A_\Gamma \rtimes_\phi \mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z}$ are CAT(0) when $\phi \in \text{Aut}(A_\Gamma)$ is length-preserving, and so have solvable conjugacy problem. The geometry of these groups, namely the existence of contracting elements, allows us to show that the conjugacy growth series of these groups is transcendental. Examples of virtual RAAGs with decidable conjugacy problem for non-length preserving automorphisms are also studied. Finally, we solve the twisted conjugacy problem in RAAGs with respect to length-preserving automorphisms, and determine the complexity of this algorithm in certain cases.

2020 Mathematics Subject Classification: 20E22, 20F10, 20F36, 20F67.

1 INTRODUCTION

The conjugacy problem for groups has been studied extensively for the past century, and asks whether for a group G with finite generating set X , there exists an algorithm to determine if any two words $u, v \in (X \cup X^{-1})^*$ represent conjugate elements in G . One line of enquiry in recent years has been understanding the decidability of this problem in group extensions. This was first motivated by the study of the conjugacy problem in free-by-cyclic groups [6], which was later extended to more general group extensions in [7]. A key tool from this paper in determining both decidable and undecidable results in group extensions is an algebraic property known as *twisted conjugacy*.

We say two elements $u, v \in G$ are *twisted conjugate*, by some automorphism $\phi \in \text{Aut}(G)$, if there exists an element $w \in G$ such that $v = \phi(w)^{-1}uw$. The *twisted conjugacy problem* (TCP) asks whether there exists an algorithm to determine if two elements, given as words over $X \cup X^{-1}$, are twisted conjugate by some automorphism $\phi \in \text{Aut}(G)$. Note that a positive solution to the twisted conjugacy problem implies a positive solution to the conjugacy problem, however the converse is not necessarily true [7, Corollary 4.9]. In [7], it was shown

Keywords: Conjugacy problem, twisted conjugacy problem, right-angled Artin groups, conjugacy growth

that the conjugacy problem in a group extension is directly linked to the twisted conjugacy problem in the base group. This motivated the study of the twisted conjugacy problem in several classes of groups [9, 18, 21, 22, 29]. In particular, the twisted conjugacy problem is decidable for both free and free abelian groups [16, 41], which motivates the groups of interest for this paper, namely *right-angled Artin groups* (RAAGs).

RAAGs, which we denote by A_Γ , are groups defined by finite simple graphs, with vertex sets $V(\Gamma)$ and edge sets $E(\Gamma)$. RAAGs are generated by the vertices of the graph, and relations are precisely of the form $ab = ba$, for every pair of generators $a, b \in V(\Gamma)$ such that $\{a, b\} \in E(\Gamma)$. A vast array of results have been shown for these groups [10], including a linear-time solution to the conjugacy problem [19]. The aim of this paper is to solve the conjugacy problem in virtual RAAGs, that is, groups which contain a finite index subgroup isomorphic to a RAAG. Note decidability of the conjugacy problem does not necessarily pass through finite group extensions [17]. Primarily we will focus on finite cyclic extensions of RAAGs, which we define as groups with presentation

$$A_\phi = A_\Gamma \rtimes_\phi \mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z} = \langle V(\Gamma), t \mid R(A_\Gamma), t^m = 1, t^{-1}xt = \phi(x) \ (x \in V(\Gamma)) \rangle, \quad (1)$$

where $\phi \in \text{Aut}(A_\Gamma)$ is of finite order.

In the first half of this paper, we study algebraic and geometric properties of virtual RAAGs. All RAAGs are CAT(0) groups [10] (see Section 3.2), and we investigate when this geometric property passes to virtual RAAGs. Similar to conjugacy, the CAT(0) property is not a quasi-isometry invariant [35, Caveat 7.6.7], however we find that this geometric property does hold for any virtual RAAG A_ϕ , as in Eq. (1), when $\phi \in \text{Aut}(A_\Gamma)$ is length-preserving. Here automorphisms of RAAGs can be defined as either length-preserving, where the length of any geodesic is preserved, and non-length preserving otherwise.

Proposition 3.7. *The group $A_\phi = A_\Gamma \rtimes_\phi \mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z}$, as in Eq. (1), is a CAT(0) group, when $\phi \in \text{Aut}(A_\Gamma)$ is length-preserving.*

[8, III.Γ, Theorem 1.12] then implies the following result.

Theorem 3.8. *The conjugacy problem is solvable for all virtual RAAGs of the form $A_\phi = A_\Gamma \rtimes_\phi \mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z}$, as in Eq. (1), when $\phi \in \text{Aut}(A_\Gamma)$ is length-preserving.*

The geometry of these groups allows us to show that certain classes of virtual RAAGs contain a *contracting element* (see Definition 3.10). This allows us to determine the *conjugacy growth series* (see Definition 2.11) for some virtual RAAGs, using [28, Corollary 1.8]

Theorem 1.1. *(see Theorem 3.14 and Theorem 3.16) Let $A_\phi = A_\Gamma \rtimes_\phi \mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z}$ be a virtual RAAG as in Eq. (1), such that A_Γ is not a direct product or cyclic, and $\phi \in \text{Aut}(A_\Gamma)$ is length-preserving. Then the conjugacy growth series of A_ϕ is transcendental, with respect to the word metric. This result also holds for right-angled Coxeter groups which are not virtually a direct product.*

We also investigate finite extensions of RAAGs with respect to non-length preserving automorphisms. In several cases, virtual RAAGs are isomorphic to a graph product of cyclic groups, which have solvable conjugacy problem by [30, Theorem 3.24].

Corollary 3.29. *There exists virtual RAAGs $A_\phi = A_\Gamma \rtimes_\phi \mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z}$ as in Eq. (1), with respect to both length and non-length preserving automorphisms, which have solvable conjugacy problem.*

In the second half of this paper, we provide an alternative proof to Theorem 3.8, by constructing an implementable algorithm to solve the twisted conjugacy problem in RAAGs, when our automorphism $\phi \in \text{Aut}(A_\Gamma)$ is length-preserving. We also show that the complexity matches that of the conjugacy problem when our automorphism consists of inversions only.

Theorem 4.1. *The twisted conjugacy problem $\text{TCP}_\phi(A_\Gamma)$ is solvable for all RAAGs, when $\phi \in \text{Aut}(A_\Gamma)$ is length-preserving. Moreover, when $\phi \in \text{Aut}(A_\Gamma)$ is a composition of inversions, it is decidable in linear time, on a RAM machine, whether two elements are twisted conjugate in A_Γ .*

The structure of this paper is as follows. After providing necessary definitions and results in Section 2, we provide a survey of algebraic and geometric results in Section 3 related to the conjugacy problem in virtual RAAGs. Finally in Section 4, we provide a summary of the algorithm given in [19] to solve the conjugacy problem in RAAGs, before adapting these ideas to solve the twisted conjugacy problem in RAAGs, with respect to length-preserving automorphisms.

2 PRELIMINARIES

2.1 Notation

Throughout this paper, groups are finitely generated. For a subset S of a group, we let $S^\pm = S \cup S^{-1}$, where $S^{-1} = \{s^{-1} \mid s \in S\}$. Let X be a finite set, and let X^* denote the set of all finite words over X . For any word $w \in X^*$, we let $l(w)$ denote the word length of w over X . For a group element $g \in G$, we define the *length* of g , denoted $|g|_X$, to be the length of a shortest representative word for the element g over X , i.e. $|g| = \min\{l(w) \mid w \in X^*, w =_G g\}$ (if X is fixed or clear from the context, we write $|g|$). A word $w \in X^*$ is called a *geodesic* if $l(w) = |\pi(w)|$, where $\pi: X^* \rightarrow G$ is the natural projection.

Definition 2.1. Let $G = \langle X \rangle$ be a group. We say $\phi \in \text{Aut}(G)$ is:

- (i) *length-preserving* if $|\pi(w)| = |\phi(\pi(w))|$ for any word $w \in X^*$, and
- (ii) *non-length preserving* otherwise.

For a group $G = \langle X \rangle$ and words $u, v \in X^*$, we use $u = v$ to denote equality of words, and $u =_G v$ to denote equality of the group elements represented by u and v . For a word $w = x_1 \dots x_n \in X^*$, where $x_i \in X$ for all $1 \leq i \leq n$, we define a *cyclic permutation* of w to be any word of the form

$$w' = x_{i+1} \dots x_n x_1 \dots x_i,$$

for some $i \in \{1, \dots, n-1\}$.

2.2 Groups based on graphs

We let Γ denote a graph with vertex set $V(\Gamma)$ and edge set $E(\Gamma)$. We say Γ is a finite simple graph if $V(\Gamma)$ and $E(\Gamma)$ are finite, and there are no loops or multiple edges. For any vertex $v \in V(\Gamma)$, we define the *link* of a vertex $\text{Lk}(v)$ as

$$\text{Lk}(v) = \{x \in V(\Gamma) \mid \{v, x\} \in E(\Gamma)\}.$$

Similarly we define the *star* of a vertex $\text{St}(v)$ as $\text{St}(v) = \text{Lk}(v) \cup \{v\}$.

Definition 2.2. Let Γ be a finite simple graph with vertices $V(\Gamma)$ and edges $E(\Gamma)$. Let $\mathcal{G} = \{G_i \mid i \in V(\Gamma)\}$ be a collection of groups which label the vertices of Γ . The associated *graph product*, denoted G_Γ , is the group defined as the quotient

$$(*_{i \in V(\Gamma)} G_i) / \langle\langle st = ts, s \in G_i, t \in G_j, \{i, j\} \in E(\Gamma) \rangle\rangle.$$

If each vertex group is isomorphic to \mathbb{Z} , then G_Γ is a *right-angled Artin group* (RAAG), with presentation

$$A_\Gamma \cong \langle V(\Gamma) \mid [s, t] = 1 \text{ for all } \{s, t\} \in E(\Gamma) \rangle.$$

If each vertex group is isomorphic to $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$, then G_Γ is a *right-angled Coxeter group* (RACG), with presentation

$$W_\Gamma \cong \langle V(\Gamma) \mid s^2 = 1 \text{ for all } s \in V(\Gamma), [s, t] = 1 \text{ for all } \{s, t\} \in E(\Gamma) \rangle.$$

We let $V(\Gamma) = \{s_1, \dots, s_r\}$ denote the standard generating set for A_Γ or W_Γ . With this convention, standard generators commute in a RAAG or RACG if and only if an edge exists between vertices in our defining graph. This for example differs from the convention used in [19]. We let $X = V(\Gamma)^\pm$, and we order X by setting $s_1 < s_1^{-1} < \dots < s_r < s_r^{-1}$.

For RAAGs and RACGs, generators of the automorphism groups were classified by Servatius and Laurence [34, 40], and consist of four types:

1. **Inversions:** For some $x \in V(\Gamma)$, send $x \mapsto x^{-1}$, and fix all other vertices.
2. **Graph automorphisms:** Induced by the isomorphisms of the defining graph Γ .
3. **Partial conjugations:** Let $x \in V(\Gamma)$, let $Q \subseteq \Gamma$ be the subgraph obtained by deleting x , all vertices adjacent to x and all incident edges. Let $P \subseteq Q$ be a union of connected components of Q . A partial conjugation maps $p \mapsto xpx^{-1}$ for all $p \in P$, and fixes all other vertices.
4. **Dominating transvections:** Let $x, y \in V(\Gamma)$, $x \neq y$, and assume y is adjacent to all vertices in Γ which are adjacent to x . A dominating transvection maps $x \mapsto xy^{\pm 1}$ or $x \mapsto y^{\pm 1}x$, and fixes all other vertices.

The following is straightforward to show from this classification.

Lemma 2.3. *Let $\phi \in \text{Aut}(A_\Gamma)$ be a length-preserving automorphism. Then ϕ is a finite composition of inversions and graph automorphisms.*

Definition 2.4. [19, Section 2.2.1] Let $A_\Gamma = \langle V(\Gamma) \rangle$, and let $v \in X^*$ be a geodesic. We say v is *cyclically reduced* if there does not exist a sequence of cyclic permutations, commutation relations and free reductions to a geodesic word $w \in X^*$, such that $l(v) > l(w)$.

In particular, a geodesic $w \in X^*$ is cyclically reduced if and only if w does not have the form $w = x_1 s_i^{\pm 1} x_2 s_i^{\mp 1} x_3$, where $x_1, x_2, x_3 \in X^*$, $s_i \in V(\Gamma)$ and all letters of x_1 and x_3 commute with s_i in A_Γ .

2.3 Decision problems

We recall two of Dehn's decision problems in group theory.

Definition 2.5. Let G be a group given by a finite presentation with generating set X .

1. The *word problem* for G , denoted $WP(G)$, takes as input a word $w \in X^*$, and decides whether it represents the trivial element of G .
2. The *conjugacy problem* for G , denoted $CP(G)$, takes as input two words $u, v \in X^*$, and decides whether they represent conjugate elements in G . We write $u \sim v$ when two words $u, v \in X^*$ represent conjugate elements.

Definition 2.6. Let $G = \langle X \rangle$, let $u, v \in X^*$, and let $\phi \in \text{Aut}(G)$.

1. We say u and v are *ϕ -twisted conjugate*, denoted $u \sim_\phi v$, if there exists an element $w \in G$ such that $v =_G \phi(w)^{-1} u w$.
2. The *ϕ -twisted conjugacy problem* for G , denoted $TCP_\phi(G)$, takes as input two words $u, v \in X^*$, and decides whether they represent groups elements which are ϕ -twisted conjugate to each other in G .
3. The (uniform) *twisted conjugacy problem* for G , denoted $TCP(G)$, takes as input two words $u, v \in X^*$ and $\phi \in \text{Aut}(G)$, and decides whether u and v represent group elements which are ϕ -twisted conjugate in G .

A solution to the $TCP(G)$ implies a solution to $TCP_\phi(G)$ for all $\phi \in \text{Aut}(G)$, and therefore a solution to the $CP(G)$ and the $WP(G)$.

2.4 Group extensions

We assume the reader is familiar with quasi-isometries and geometric group actions, and refer the reader to [36] for details.

Definition 2.7. An *extension* of a group H by N is a group G such that $N \trianglelefteq G$ and $G/N \cong H$. This can be encoded by a short exact sequence of groups

$$1 \rightarrow N \rightarrow G \rightarrow H \rightarrow 1.$$

A group extension is *split* if and only if G is a semi-direct product, that is $G \cong N \rtimes_\alpha H$ for some homomorphism $\alpha: H \rightarrow \text{Aut}(N)$. Unless otherwise stated, we assume H is a finite

cyclic group, and so N has finite index in G . It is sufficient to specify $\alpha(t)$ for a generator t of H . We let $\phi = \alpha(t)$, and so G has presentation

$$G \cong N \rtimes_{\phi} H = \langle X \cup t \mid R(N), t^m = 1, t^{-1}xt = \phi(x) \ (x \in X) \rangle, \quad (2)$$

where N has presentation $\langle X \mid R(N) \rangle$, and $\phi \in \text{Aut}(N)$ is of finite order, such that m divides the order of ϕ . Any element of G can be written in the form $g = vt^l$, where $v \in N$ and $0 \leq l \leq m - 1$. Unless otherwise stated, we will assume a finite group extension is that of the form in Eq. (2), where the order of $\phi \in \text{Aut}(N)$ is equal to the order of $t \in H$.

Definition 2.8. Let \mathcal{P} be a group property. We say a group G is *virtually* \mathcal{P} if there exists a finite index subgroup $H \leq G$ such that H has property \mathcal{P} .

In particular, groups G of the form in Eq. (2) are virtual N groups, and G and N are quasi-isometric by the Milnor-Švarc Lemma. There is an immediate link between conjugacy in a group extension $G \cong N \rtimes_{\phi} H$, and twisted conjugacy in the normal subgroup N .

Proposition 2.9. [7, Proposition 4.7] *Let G be a group extension as in (2). Then $\text{CP}(G)$ is solvable if and only if $\text{TCP}_{\phi^a}(N)$ is solvable for all $0 \leq a \leq m - 1$.*

2.5 Conjugacy growth

Definition 2.10. A formal power series $f(z) = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} a_i z^i \in \mathbb{Z}[[z]]$ is *rational* if there exist non-zero polynomials $p(z), q(z) \in \mathbb{Z}[z]$ such that $f(z) = \frac{p(z)}{q(z)}$. Equivalently, $f(z)$ is rational if the coefficients a_i of $f(z)$ satisfy a linear recursion. Furthermore, $f(z)$ is *irrational* if it is not rational. We say $f(z)$ is *algebraic* if it is in the algebraic closure of $\mathbb{Q}(z)$, i.e. it is the solution to a polynomial equation with coefficients from $\mathbb{Q}(z)$. If $f(z)$ is not algebraic, then $f(z)$ is *transcendental*.

Definition 2.11. For a group $G = \langle X \rangle$, we let $[g]_c$ denote the conjugacy class of $g \in G$. We define the *length up to conjugacy* of an element $g \in G$ by

$$|g|_c := \min\{|h| \mid h \in [g]_c\}.$$

The *(strict) conjugacy growth function*, denoted as $c(n) = c_{G,X}(n)$, is defined as the number of conjugacy classes of length $= n$, i.e.

$$c(n) = \#\{[g]_c \mid |[g]_c| = n\}.$$

The *conjugacy growth series* $C(z) = C_{G,X}(z)$ is defined to be the (ordinary) generating function of $c(n)$, i.e.

$$C(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} c(n) z^n.$$

The nature of this series has been determined for various classes of groups [2, 13, 14, 31]. All known results support the conjecture that the only finitely presented groups with rational conjugacy growth series are virtually abelian groups [14, Conjecture 7.2].

3 EXAMPLES OF EXTENSIONS OF RAAGS AND RACGS

3.1 Hyperbolic, virtually free and free abelian extensions

Hyperbolicity is a quasi-isometry invariant, and so determining when RAAGs and RACGs are hyperbolic provides a positive solution to the conjugacy problem in finite extensions as in Eq. (2) [25]. For RACGs, there exists a graph criterion for these groups to be hyperbolic.

Theorem 3.1. [37, Theorem 17.1] *A RACG W_Γ is hyperbolic if and only if Γ does not contain any induced squares.*

For graph products, we have the following criteria on our defining graph to obtain hyperbolicity.

Theorem 3.2. [32, Theorem 5.1] *Let G_Γ be a graph product. If G_Γ is hyperbolic, then no two vertices with infinite vertex groups are adjacent.*

For RAAGs, this immediately leads to the following result.

Corollary 3.3. *A RAAG A_Γ is hyperbolic if and only if A_Γ is isomorphic to a free group.*

We now consider the two extremes of RAAGs, namely free groups and free abelian groups. Virtually free groups are hyperbolic, and so have solvable conjugacy problem. Alternatively, one can apply the following result along with [7, Proposition 4.7] to solve the conjugacy problem in virtually free groups.

Theorem 3.4. [6, Theorem 1.5] *Let F be a finitely generated free group. Then the twisted conjugacy problem is solvable in F .*

The opposite extreme for RAAGs also has solvable twisted conjugacy problem. Indeed, if a RAAG is free abelian of rank n , then the twisted conjugacy problem reduces to solving a system of n linear equations with n unknowns.

We also mention the extreme cases for RACGs. If our defining graph Γ is a complete graph, then our RACG W_Γ is a finite abelian group, and so has solvable twisted conjugacy problem. On the other hand, if Γ is completely disconnected, then W_Γ is a free product of finite cyclic groups, which is virtually free. Therefore any finite extension is also virtually free, and so is hyperbolic with solvable conjugacy problem.

3.2 CAT(0) groups

We refer the reader to [8] for details on CAT(0) spaces and groups. Recall a group action is *geometric* if it is cocompact and properly discontinuous.

Definition 3.5. Let G be a finitely generated group. We say G is a CAT(0) *group* if G acts faithfully and geometrically on a CAT(0) metric space.

Both RAAGs and RACGs are examples of CAT(0) groups. We have the following motivating result for finite extensions of right-angled Coxeter groups.

Theorem 3.6. [23, Theorem 1] Let W_Γ be a RACG and $\phi \in \text{Aut}(W_\Gamma)$ be either a graph automorphism, partial conjugation, or a transvection. Let $m < \infty$ denote the order of ϕ . Then the finite extension $G = W \rtimes_\phi \mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z}$ is a CAT(0) group.

We can extend this result to RAAGs for all length-preserving automorphisms.

Proposition 3.7. The group $A_\phi = A_\Gamma \rtimes_\phi \mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z}$, as in Eq. (1), is a CAT(0) group, when $\phi \in \text{Aut}(A_\Gamma)$ is length-preserving.

The following is then immediate since CAT(0) groups have solvable conjugacy problem [8, III.Γ, Theorem 1.12].

Theorem 3.8. The conjugacy problem is solvable for all virtual RAAGs of the form $A_\phi = A_\Gamma \rtimes_\phi \mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z}$, as in Eq. (1), when $\phi \in \text{Aut}(A_\Gamma)$ is length-preserving.

To prove Proposition 3.7, we refer the reader to [38] for information on CAT(0) cube complexes. In particular, a cube complex is CAT(0) if it is simply connected and all vertex links are flag.

Proof of Proposition 3.7. Let S be the Salvetti complex of the RAAG defined by Γ . It is well known that S is a CAT(0) cube complex, and that any RAAG A_Γ acts geometrically on its corresponding Salvetti complex. Moreover, the 1-skeleton S^1 is precisely the Cayley graph $\text{Cay}(A_\Gamma, X)$ (recall $X = V(\Gamma)^\pm$), where two vertices $v_u, v_{ug} \in S^1$ are adjacent if and only if $g \in X$. The aim is to extend this action to a geometric action of the extension A_ϕ on the complex S . We let $\Phi_s: v_u \mapsto v_{su}$ denote the left-multiplication action, for all $s \in A_\Gamma$, and let m be the order of ϕ .

We first show that the adjacency relation is preserved by the map $v_w \mapsto v_{\phi(w)}$. Note that since ϕ is length-preserving, then $g \in X$ if and only if $\phi(g) \in X$. Therefore vertices $v_{\phi(u)}$ and $v_{\phi(ug)}$ are joined by an edge in S^1 if and only if $\phi(g) \in X$ as required. Note by construction that any permutation of the vertex set S^1 which respects the adjacency relation determines an isometry of the complex S . Therefore the map $v_w \mapsto v_{\phi(w)}$ determines an isometry $\Phi: v_w \mapsto v_{\phi(w)}$ of S . We now define a map from the generators of A_ϕ to the isometry group of S by the following rule:

$$s_i \mapsto \Phi_{s_i} \text{ for all } s_i \in X, t \mapsto \Phi.$$

We check the relations from the presentation for A_ϕ given in Eq. (1):

$$\begin{aligned} v_w \mapsto v_{[s_i, s_j]w} &= v_w \text{ for all } \{s_i, s_j\} \in E(\Gamma) \Rightarrow [\Phi_{s_i}, \Phi_{s_j}] = 1, \\ v_w \mapsto v_{\phi^m(w)} &= v_w \Rightarrow \Phi^m = 1, \\ v_w \mapsto v_{\phi(s_i \phi^{-1}(w))} &= v_{\phi(s_i) \cdot \phi(\phi^{-1}(w))} = v_{\phi(s_i)w} \Rightarrow \Phi \Phi_{s_i} \Phi^{-1} = \Phi_{\phi(s_i)}, \end{aligned}$$

and so the group relations are satisfied. Hence this rule determines an action $A_\phi \curvearrowright S$. We now want to show this action is a faithful geometric action. Note the action $A_\Gamma \curvearrowright S$ is a faithful geometric action, so it remains to check the following cases for Φ .

If $\phi(x) = x$ for all $x \in S^1$, then ϕ is trivial, which gives us a faithful action. Let $\Phi_g \Phi^a \in \text{Isom}(S)$ (corresponding to the group element $gt^a \in A_\phi$). For all $w \in S^1$, we have

$$\Phi_g \Phi^a: v_w \mapsto v_{g\phi^a(w)}$$

where $g\phi^a(w) \in S^1$. Therefore if the action $A_\phi \curvearrowright S$ is not properly discontinuous, then the action $A_\Gamma \curvearrowright S$ must also not be properly discontinuous, which is a contradiction. Finally, cobounded follows since $A_\Gamma \leq A_\phi$, so for any $s \in S$, $r > 0$, we can choose $g \in A_\Gamma \leq A_\phi$ such that $x \in B(gx_0, r)$. Hence the action $A_\phi \curvearrowright S$ is a faithful, geometric action by isometries, and so A_ϕ is a CAT(0) group. \square

We can use this geometric property to study the conjugacy growth series of virtual RAAGs and RACGs (recall Section 2.5). The following definitions can be found for example in [28].

Definition 3.9. Let (Y, d) be a geodesic metric space. Given $y \in Y$ and a subset $Z \subseteq Y$, let $\pi_Z(y)$ be the set of points $z \in Z$ such that $d(y, z) = d(y, Z)$. The *projection* of a subset $A \subseteq Y$ to Z is defined as

$$\pi_Z(A) := \bigcup_{a \in A} \pi_Z(a).$$

For a given $C \geq 1$, a subset $Z \subseteq Y$ is *C-contracting* if for any geodesic γ , with $d(\gamma, Z) \geq C$, we have $d_Z^\pi(\gamma) \leq C$, where $d_Z^\pi(\gamma) := \text{diam}(\pi_Z(\gamma))$ is the diameter of the projection of γ to X .

Definition 3.10. Let G be a group which acts properly by isometries on a geodesic metric space (Y, d) . An element $h \in G$ is *contracting* if for some basepoint $o \in Y$, the orbit $\langle h \rangle \cdot o$ is *C-contracting* (for some $C \geq 1$), and the map

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi: \mathbb{Z} &\rightarrow Y \\ n &\mapsto h^n \cdot o \end{aligned}$$

is a quasi-isometric embedding.

Here a non-elementary group is one which is not virtually cyclic.

Theorem 3.11. [28, Corollary 1.8] *Let G be a non-elementary group with a finite generating set X . If G has a contracting element, with respect to the action on $\text{Cay}(G, X)$, then the conjugacy growth series is transcendental.*

We now collect results from the literature to establish when finite extensions of RAAGs and RACGs have contracting elements, and so have transcendental conjugacy growth series. An isometry $g \in \text{Isom}(X)$ is called *rank-1* if some axis of g does not bound a half-plane.

Theorem 3.12. [5, Theorem 5.4] *Rank-1 elements in CAT(0) groups are contracting.*

Theorem 3.13. [3, Theorem 5.2] *If A_Γ is not a direct product, then A_Γ contains a rank-1 element.*

In particular, if A_Γ is not a direct product, then A_Γ contains a contracting element, with respect to the action on the Salvetti complex. This implies that the conjugacy growth series is transcendental for all irreducible RAAGs by Theorem 3.11. When we consider the corresponding extension A_ϕ , as in Eq. (1), we find that this group also contains a contracting element, which again by Theorem 3.11 implies that the conjugacy growth of A_ϕ is transcendental.

Theorem 3.14. *Let A_ϕ be a virtual RAAG as in Eq. (1), such that A_Γ is not a direct product or cyclic, and $\phi \in \text{Aut}(A_\Gamma)$ is length-preserving. Then the conjugacy growth series of A_ϕ is transcendental, with respect to the word metric.*

Proof. By Theorem 3.13 and Theorem 3.12, A_Γ acts on the Salvetti complex S with a contracting element (when A_Γ is not a direct product), with respect to the word metric. When we consider the finite extension A_ϕ , this action is extended to the same space (see Theorem 3.8). Moreover, for any geodesic $g \in \text{Cay}(A_\phi, \widehat{X})$, where $\widehat{X} = X \cup \{t\}^\pm$, we can project g onto S^1 , which then gives us a contracting element with respect to the action on $\text{Cay}(A_\phi, \widehat{X})$. Therefore the conjugacy growth series of A_ϕ is transcendental, using Theorem 3.11. \square

Similar results hold for RACGs, using Theorem 3.6.

Proposition 3.15. *[4, Proposition 2.11][12, Theorem 2.14] A RACG W_Γ contains a contracting element if and only if W_Γ is not virtually a direct product.*

Theorem 3.16. *Let $W_\phi = W_\Gamma \rtimes_\phi \mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z}$ be a virtual RACG as in Eq. (1), such that W_Γ is not a virtual direct product. Then the conjugacy growth series of W_Γ is transcendental, with respect to the word metric.*

3.3 RAAGs with finite Outer automorphism group

The following result has both positive and negative consequences for solving the conjugacy problem in virtual RAAGs.

Proposition 3.17. *([33, Corollary 1.8]) Let A_Γ be a RAAG such that $\text{Out}(A_\Gamma)$ is finite. If G is any finitely generated group quasi-isometric to A_Γ , then G is a CAT(0) group.*

This implies that for any virtual RAAG G , such that $A_\Gamma \leq G$ is of finite index and A_Γ has finite $\text{Out}(A_\Gamma)$, then G has solvable conjugacy problem. For large graphs, Charney and Farber gave a probabilistic argument that $\text{Out}(A_\Gamma)$ is almost always finite, as the number of vertices in the defining graph Γ tends to infinity.

Theorem 3.18. *[11, Theorem 6.1] Let $\Gamma \in G(n, p)$ be a random graph with probability parameter p independent of n such that*

$$1 - \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} < p < 1.$$

Then A_Γ has finite $\text{Out}(A_\Gamma)$ asymptotically almost surely.

This bound was later improved by Day [24]. On the other hand, when the number of vertices in the defining graph is small, it is more likely that $\text{Out}(A_\Gamma)$ will be infinite. This can be seen by the following criteria, which was formalised by Wade.

Proposition 3.19. [43, Proposition 2.4] *Let Γ be a finite graph. Then $\text{Out}(A_\Gamma)$ is finite if and only if for each vertex $u \in V(\Gamma)$:*

- (i) *any two vertices in $\Gamma \setminus \text{St}(u)$ are connected by a path in $\Gamma \setminus \text{St}(u)$, and*
- (ii) *if $\text{Lk}(u) \subset \text{St}(v)$ for some vertex $v \in V(\Gamma)$, then $u = v$.*

Using this criteria, one can show the following.

Lemma 3.20. *Let Γ be a finite graph on ≤ 5 vertices. Then $\text{Out}(A_\Gamma)$ is finite if and only if $\Gamma = C_5$ is the cycle graph on 5 vertices.*

3.4 Virtual direct products

Solvability of equations in groups leads to a positive solution to the conjugacy problem. In particular, if the equation $X^{-1}uXv^{-1} = 1_G$ is decidable for all elements $u, v \in G$ and some variable X , then we can determine whether or not $u \sim v$ in G for all elements $u, v \in G$. This allows us to use the following result with respect to RAAGs.

Theorem 3.21. [15, Theorem 3.1] *Let G be a group which contains a subgroup $A \times H_1 \times \cdots \times H_n$ of finite index, where A is virtually abelian and each H_i are non-elementary hyperbolic. Then the conjugacy problem $\text{CP}(G)$ is solvable.*

Corollary 3.22. *Let A_Γ be a RAAG such that $A_\Gamma \cong A \times H_1 \times \cdots \times H_n$, where A is virtually abelian and each H_i is isomorphic to a free group. Then any finite extensions $A_\phi = A_\Gamma \rtimes_\phi \langle t \rangle$, as in Eq. (1), have solvable conjugacy problem.*

Example 3.23. Let $\Gamma = K_{m,n}$ be a complete bipartite graph. Then $A_\Gamma \cong F_m \times F_n$, and so any finite extension A_ϕ , as in Eq. (1), will have solvable conjugacy problem by Corollary 3.22.

Example 3.24. Let Γ be a defining graph for a RACG such that $W_\Gamma = W_{\Gamma_1} \times \cdots \times W_{\Gamma_n}$, where each Γ_i ($1 \leq i \leq n$) does not contain any induced squares. By Theorem 3.1, each W_{Γ_i} is hyperbolic, and so any finite extension W_ϕ , as in Eq. (1), will have solvable conjugacy problem by Corollary 3.22.

The following result is a consequence of [39, Proposition 2.4], which shows that twisted conjugacy can behave well under direct products.

Proposition 3.25. *Let $G = \times_i^n G_i$ be a direct product of groups G_i . Define the diagonal subgroup $\text{Diag}(G) \leq \text{Aut}(G)$ to be all maps of the form*

$$(g_1, \dots, g_n) \mapsto (\varphi_1(g_1), \dots, \varphi_n(g_n)),$$

where each $\varphi_i \in \text{Aut}(G_i)$ for all $1 \leq i \leq n$. Then

$$(g_1, \dots, g_n) \sim_\varphi (h_1, \dots, h_n) \Leftrightarrow g_i \sim_{\varphi_i} h_i \text{ for all } 1 \leq i \leq n.$$

Corollary 3.26. *Let $G = \times_i^n G_i$ be a direct product of groups G_i . If $\varphi \in \text{Diag}(G)$, then $\text{TCP}_\varphi(G)$ is solvable if and only if $\text{TCP}_{\varphi_i}(G_i)$ is solvable for all $1 \leq i \leq n$.*

Example 3.27. Let $A_\Gamma = F_m \times F_n$, i.e. $\Gamma = K_{m,n}$, and let $\varphi \in \text{Diag}(A_\Gamma)$. Then the $\text{TCP}_\varphi(A_\Gamma)$ is solvable, since the twisted conjugacy problem is solvable in free groups (see Theorem 3.4). This provides an alternative partial solution to Example 3.23.

3.5 Extensions which are graph products

By [30, Theorem 3.24], a graph product has solvable conjugacy problem if and only if each vertex group has solvable conjugacy problem. In several cases, the finite extension A_ϕ as in Eq. (1) is a graph product of cyclic groups. We provide examples of extensions of RAAGs, with respect to both length-preserving and non-length preserving automorphisms, which have this property.

Proposition 3.28. *There exists RAAGs A_Γ and automorphisms $\phi \in \text{Aut}(A_\Gamma)$, where ϕ is an inversion, graph automorphism or order two partial conjugation, such that the extension A_ϕ as in Eq. (1) is a graph product of cyclic groups.*

Proof. We will consider three examples of RAAGs and automorphisms where the extension A_ϕ is a graph product of cyclic groups.

First, let A_Γ be a RAAG, and consider the following composition of inversions. Let $D \subseteq V(\Gamma)$ such that the induced subgraph Γ_D generated by the vertices in D is completely disconnected. We can define the following automorphism:

$$\begin{aligned} \phi_1 : X &\rightarrow X \\ a &\mapsto a^{-1} \quad \text{for all } a \in D, \\ b &\mapsto b \quad \text{for all } b \in X \setminus D. \end{aligned}$$

Our presentation for $A_{\phi_1} = A_\Gamma \rtimes_{\phi_1} \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ becomes

$$P = \langle V(\Gamma), t \mid [s_i, s_j] = 1 \forall \{s_i, s_j\} \in E(\Gamma), t^2 = 1, R_1, R_2 \rangle,$$

where R_1 denotes the set of relations of the form $[t, s_i] = 1$ for all $s_i \in X \setminus D$, and R_2 denotes the set of relations of the form $(ts_i)^2 = 1$ for all $s_i \in D$. Let E_R denote the set of relations of the form $[s_i, s_j] = 1$ for all edges $\{s_i, s_j\} \in E(\Gamma)$.

Let $u_i = ts_i$, for all $s_i \in D$. We can add new generators u_i , and remove the generators s_i using this relation. Our presentation becomes

$$P = \langle V(\Gamma) \setminus D, \{u_i\}, t \mid \overline{E}_R, R_1, t^2 = 1, u_i^2 = 1 \rangle,$$

where \overline{E}_R is the set of relations from E_R , where any $s_i \in D$ have been replaced by tu_i . This gives us relations of the form $[tu_i, s_j] = 1$, where $s_j \notin D$ and $[s_i, s_j] = 1$ in our original presentation. Note $[t, s_j] = 1$ for all $s_j \notin D$, and so we can write

$$1 = [tu_i, s_j] = u_i ts_j^{-1} tu_i s_j = u_i s_j^{-1} u_i s_j.$$

Therefore we can rewrite all relations of the form $[tu_i, s_j] = 1$ as $[u_i, s_j] = 1$. This gives us a presentation of a graph product of cyclic groups.

For the second example, let Γ be a path of odd length, i.e. Γ is of the form

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccccc} x_{kL} & \dots & x_{2L} & x_{1L} & x & x_{1R} & x_{2R} & \dots & x_{kR} \\ \bullet & & \bullet & \bullet & \bullet & \bullet & \bullet & & \bullet \end{array}$$

Let $\phi_2: x_{iL} \leftrightarrow x_{iR}$ be a reflection (for all $1 \leq i \leq k$). Our presentation for A_{ϕ_2} is

$$P = \langle x, \{x_{iL}, x_{iR} \mid i = 1, \dots, k\}, t \mid [x, x_{1L}] = 1, [x, x_{1R}] = 1, t^2 = 1, [x, t] = 1, [x_{iL}, x_{(i+1)L}] = 1, [x_{iR}, x_{(i+1)R}] \ (i = 1, \dots, k-1), tx_{iL}t = x_{iR} \ (i = 1, \dots, k) \rangle.$$

We can replace all generators x_{iR} with $tx_{iL}t$, and remove the final relation, to get

$$P = \langle x, \{x_{iL} \mid i = 1, \dots, k\}, t \mid [x, x_{1L}] = 1, [x, tx_{1L}t] = 1, t^2 = 1, [x, t] = 1, [x_{iL}, x_{(i+1)L}] = 1, [tx_{iL}t, tx_{(i+1)L}t] = 1 \ (i = 1, \dots, k-1) \rangle.$$

All relations of the form $[tx_{iL}t, tx_{(i+1)L}t] = 1$ can be removed, using the remaining relations. Similarly the relation $[x, tx_{1L}t] = 1$ can be removed, and so we are left with

$$P = \langle x, \{x_{iL} \mid i = 1, \dots, k\}, t \mid [x, x_{1L}] = 1, t^2 = 1, [x, t] = 1, [x_{iL}, x_{(i+1)L}] = 1 \ (i = 1, \dots, k-1) \rangle.$$

Again we have a graph product of cyclic groups.

Finally recall [20, Theorem 4.12]. We have an example of a virtual RAAG A_ϕ where $\phi \in \text{Aut}(A_\Gamma)$ is a finite order non-length preserving automorphisms, and A_ϕ is isomorphic to a graph product of cyclic groups. \square

Corollary 3.29. *There exists virtual RAAGs $A_\phi = A_\Gamma \rtimes_\phi \mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z}$ as in Eq. (1), with respect to both length and non-length preserving automorphisms, which have solvable conjugacy problem.*

4 PILINGS

The aim of this section is to prove the following.

Theorem 4.1. *The twisted conjugacy problem $\text{TCP}_\phi(A_\Gamma)$ is solvable for all RAAGs, when $\phi \in \text{Aut}(A_\Gamma)$ is length-preserving. Moreover, when $\phi \in \text{Aut}(A_\Gamma)$ is a composition of inversions, it is decidable in linear time, on a RAM machine, whether two elements are twisted conjugate in A_Γ .*

Recall decidability has already been shown by Theorem 3.8 and Proposition 2.9.

Remark 4.2. Complexity of algorithms will be determined using a random access memory (RAM) machine, where basic arithmetical operations on integers are assumed to take constant time (see [1, Section 1.2] for further information). With this machinery, computations such as determining if two words are cyclic permutations of each other run in linear time, using standard pattern-matching algorithms [1, Section 9]. Note the same computation takes time $\mathcal{O}(\ell \log(\ell))$ on a Turing machine.

Pilings are a geometric tool which can be used to represent group elements uniquely in a RAAG. The inspiration for this object comes from a paper by Viennot [42], which describes a geometric construction known as ‘heaps of pieces’ for partially commutative monoids. This was adapted in [19] for RAAGs, and used to find a linear time solution to the conjugacy problem in RAAGs. We will summarise this algorithm and provide necessary definitions and results about pilings, before adapting this algorithm to solve Theorem 4.1.

We remind the reader that our convention is the opposite of [19], in that an edge exists between two vertices $u, v \in V(\Gamma)$ in the defining graph Γ if and only if u and v commute in A_Γ . To recap notation, we let $V(\Gamma) = \{s_1, \dots, s_r\}$ denote the standard generating set for a RAAG A_Γ , and we let $X = V(\Gamma)^\pm$.

Definition 4.3. An *abstract piling* is an r -tuple of words, one for each vertex $s_i \in V(\Gamma)$ ($1 \leq i \leq r$), over the alphabet $\Sigma = \{+, -, 0\}$. We define the word associated with each vertex $s_i \in V(\Gamma)$ to be the σ_i -stack. We define a function $\sigma: \{-1, 0, 1\} \rightarrow \Sigma$ which maps $1 \mapsto +$, $-1 \mapsto -$, and $0 \mapsto 0$. Let $w = s_{i_1}^{\varepsilon_{i_1}} \dots s_{i_n}^{\varepsilon_{i_n}} \in X^*$ be a word which represents a group element of A_Γ , where $\varepsilon_{i_j} = \pm 1$ and $s_{i_j} \in V(\Gamma)$, for all $1 \leq j \leq n$. We define a function π^* which maps w to an abstract piling as follows.

First, let each σ_i -stack equal the empty word (for all $1 \leq i \leq r$). Reading w from left to right, suppose the letter $s_{i_j}^{\varepsilon_{i_j}}$ is read, for some $1 \leq j \leq n$. Let α_{i_j} be the last letter of the σ_{i_j} -stack. We have two cases to consider.

1. **Empty stack:** If σ_{i_j} equals the empty word, then we rewrite the stack $\sigma_{i_j} \mapsto \sigma(\varepsilon_{i_j})$.
2. **No cancellation:** If $\alpha_{i_j} \neq \sigma(-\varepsilon_{i_j})$, then we rewrite the stack $\sigma_{i_j} \mapsto \sigma_{i_j} \cdot \sigma(\varepsilon_{i_j})$, and rewrite stacks $\sigma_k \mapsto \sigma_k \cdot 0$, for all $1 \leq k \neq i_j \leq r$ such that $[s_{i_j}, s_k] \neq 1$ in A_Γ .
3. **Cancellation:** If $\alpha_{i_j} = \sigma(-\varepsilon_{i_j})$, i.e. $\sigma_{i_j} = v \cdot \sigma(-\varepsilon_{i_j})$ for some word $v \in \Sigma^*$. This implies that $\sigma_k = v_k \cdot 0$ for some word $v_k \in \Sigma^*$, for all $1 \leq k \neq i_j \leq r$ such that $[s_{i_j}, s_k] \neq 1$ in A_Γ . We rewrite the stack $\sigma_{i_j} \mapsto v$, and all stacks $\sigma_k \mapsto v_k$ for all $1 \leq k \neq i_j \leq r$ such that $[s_{i_j}, s_k] \neq 1$ in A_Γ .

Once all letters from w have been read, we have the associated *tiling* of w , which we denote by $\pi^*(w) = (\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_r) \in P$, where P denotes the set of all possible pilings.

It is easier to think of pilings using the following geometric definition.

Definition 4.4. (Geometric definition of pilings)

Start with r vertical stacks, labelled σ_i for each of the vertices $s_i \in V(\Gamma)$ ($1 \leq i \leq r$). Let

$s_i^{\varepsilon_i} \in X$ ($\varepsilon_i = \pm 1$) be a letter of a word $w \in X^*$, for some $1 \leq i \leq r$. Then $s_i^{\varepsilon_i}$ is associated to a collection of beads, called a *tile*, corresponding to one bead labelled $\sigma(\varepsilon_i)$ on the σ_i -stack, and one bead labelled 0 on each σ_j -stack such that $1 \leq j \neq i \leq r$ and $[s_i, s_j] \neq 1$ in A_Γ . Each of these 0-beads is connected to the $\sigma(\varepsilon_i)$ -bead by a *thread*. Note 0-beads in a tile can commute with each other on a stack, but cannot commute with $+$ or $-$ beads.

When constructing $\pi^*(w)$, we add $+, -, 0$ beads on stacks as given in Definition 4.3, based on the exponents of letters in w . If cancellation occurs, that is, $s_i^{\varepsilon_i} s_i^{-\varepsilon_i}$ occurs in w up to commutation relations (for some $1 \leq i \leq r$), then we have consecutive beads $+-$ or $-+$ on the σ_i -stack, which cancel. When this occurs, we remove the pair of tiles associated to these beads, that is, we remove both the $+-$ or $-+$ beads, and their associated 0 beads.

Pilings are an excellent geometric tool for RAAGs, in that any two words representing the same group element have the same piling associated to them. Note equivalent pilings refers to the beads on each stack, rather than how threads connect beads together. More formally, we say two pilings $\pi^*(u) = (\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_r)$, $\pi^*(v) = (\tau_1, \dots, \tau_r) \in P$ are equal if and only if $\sigma_i = \tau_i$ for all $1 \leq i \leq r$.

Proposition 4.5. [19] *The map π^* induces a well-defined map $\pi: A_\Gamma \rightarrow P$. In particular, for two words $u, v \in X^*$, then $u =_{A_\Gamma} v$ if and only if $\pi^*(u) = \pi^*(v)$.*

Proof. Recall any two geodesics representing the same element of A_Γ are related by a finite number of commutation relations. It therefore remains to prove that removal of a free cancellation or applying a commutation relation does not change the image of a word under π^* . The first case is equivalent to showing that $\pi(s_i^\varepsilon s_i^{-\varepsilon}) = \pi(\epsilon)$ for all $1 \leq i \leq r$, where $s_i \in V(\Gamma)$, $\varepsilon = \pm 1$, and ϵ denotes the empty word. This is immediate by definition, since the piling $\pi(s_i^\varepsilon s_i^{-\varepsilon})$ consists of consecutive $+-$ or $-+$ beads on the σ_i -stack, which must cancel.

We now prove that for vertices $s_i, s_j \in V(\Gamma)$ such that $[s_i, s_j] = 1$ ($i \neq j$), we have $\pi(s_i^{\varepsilon_i} s_j^{\varepsilon_j}) = \pi(s_j^{\varepsilon_j} s_i^{\varepsilon_i})$, where $\varepsilon_i, \varepsilon_j = \pm 1$. First suppose $(V(\Gamma) \setminus \text{Lk}(s_i)) \cap (V(\Gamma) \setminus \text{Lk}(s_j)) = \emptyset$. The piling $\pi(s_i^{\varepsilon_i})$ consists of a $\sigma(\varepsilon_i)$ -bead on the σ_i -stack, and 0-beads on every stack which does not lie in $\text{St}(s_i)$. Similarly the piling $\pi(s_j^{\varepsilon_j})$ consists of a $\sigma(\varepsilon_j)$ -bead on the σ_j -stack, and 0-beads on every stack which does not lie in $\text{St}(s_j)$. Since $s_i, s_j, V(\Gamma) \setminus \text{St}(s_i)$ and $V(\Gamma) \setminus \text{St}(s_j)$ are all disjoint, the order in which we concatenate $\pi(s_i^{\varepsilon_i})$ and $\pi(s_j^{\varepsilon_j})$ does not change the output piling. Otherwise, suppose there exists a σ_k -stack, such that $s_k \in V(\Gamma) \setminus (\text{Lk}(s_i) \cup \text{Lk}(s_j))$. Again the order of concatenation of pilings does not matter, since 0-beads can commute with each other in a piling (see Fig. 1). \square




Figure 1: Well-defined pilings under commutation

We now summarise a normal form for pilings given in [19, Definition 2.5].

Definition 4.6. Given an order \leq on X , let \leq^{-1} denote the *inverse order*. In particular, $x \leq y$ if and only if $y \leq^{-1} x$. Let \leq_{SL}^{-1} denote the induced shortlex ordering of X^* with respect to \leq^{-1} . We say a word $w \in X^*$ is *normal* if for all $v \in X^*$ such that $w =_{A_\Gamma} v$, then $w \leq_{\text{SL}}^{-1} v$. Any piling $p \in P$ has a unique normal geodesic which represents the group element defined by p .

4.1 Conjugacy problem in RAAGs

We provide a summary of the algorithm given in [19], where the authors use pilings to find a linear time solution for the conjugacy problem in RAAGs. The first step is to establish a method of cyclically reducing pilings (recall Definition 2.4).

Definition 4.7. (Top and bottom tiles)

If an σ_i -stack starts with a bead $b \in \{+, -\}$, we define the *bottom s_i -tile* to be the subpiling formed by the first bead of the σ_i -stack, and the first beads of the σ_j -stacks such that $[s_i, s_j] \neq 1$ (which are all 0-beads by definition). A *top s_i -tile* is defined analogously for the end of a σ_i -stack.

Remark 4.8. Our definition of top and bottom tiles coincides with the following definitions from [27]. For any $g \in A_\Gamma$, we define $\text{FL}(g) \subseteq V(\Gamma)$ to be the set of all $v \in V(\Gamma)$ such that g can be represented by a geodesic beginning (resp. ending) with $v^{\pm 1}$. Similarly we define $\text{LL}(g) \subseteq V(\Gamma)$ to be the set of all $v \in V(\Gamma)$ such that g can be represented by a geodesic ending with $v^{\pm 1}$. These sets $\text{FL}(g)$ and $\text{LL}(g)$ are precisely the sets of bottom and top tiles respectively of a piling $p \in P$ which represents g .

Definition 4.9. [19, Definition 2.12] Let $p \in P$. If an σ_i -stack starts with a $+$ bead and ends with a $-$ bead (or vice versa), then we define a *cyclic reduction* of p to be the removal of the top and bottom s_i -tiles. A piling $p \in P$ is *cyclically reduced* if no cyclic reduction is possible.

See [19, Figure 3] for an example of a cyclic reduction of a piling. The following is then immediate from these definitions.

Corollary 4.10. *A reduced word $w \in X^*$ is cyclically reduced if and only if $\pi^*(w)$ is cyclically reduced.*

The next step of the algorithm involves considering the defining graph. Again we remind the reader that our convention for the defining graph is the opposite to that of [19].

Definition 4.11. [19, Definition 2.13] Let $w \in X^*$ be geodesic and let $\pi^*(w) = p \in P$. Let Γ^c denote the complement of the defining graph Γ . Consider the full subgraph $\Delta(p)$ of Γ^c , defined as the induced subgraph of Γ^c whose vertices corresponds to σ_i -stacks in p which contain at least one $+$ or $-$ bead, i.e. the subgraph induced by the support of w . We say w and p are *non-split* if $\Delta(p)$ is connected.

[19, Remark 2.14] highlights that the conjugacy problem can be reduced to considering cyclically reduced non-split pilings. This is also observed in [26, Proposition 5.7].

Proposition 4.12. *Let $w = w_1 \dots w_k \in X^*$ be a factorisation such that each induced subgraph $\Delta(w_i)$ is a connected component of Γ^c , that is, each w_i is a non-split word. Then w is cyclically reduced if and only if each w_i is cyclically reduced.*

Moreover, if $v = v_1 \dots v_l$ is cyclically reduced and factorised into non-split words, then $w \sim v$ if and only if $k = l$ and, after index re-enumeration, $w_i \sim v_i$ for all $1 \leq i \leq k$.

The next step is to construct a type of piling, known as a pyramidal piling, such that when we take our normal form, then any cyclic permutations of this normal form are also normal.

Definition 4.13. [19, Definition 2.15] Let $p \in P$ be a non-empty piling. Let $i \in \{1, \dots, r\}$ denote the largest index such that the σ_i -stack in p contains a $+$ or $-$ bead. We say p is *pyramidal* if the first bead of the σ_i -stack is a $+$ or $-$ bead, and every other stack in p is either empty or starts with a 0 bead.

Corollary 4.14. *Any pyramidal piling must be non-split.*

Definition 4.15. Let $p \in P$ be a non-empty piling. A *cyclic permutation* of p is defined as the operation of removing a bottom (resp. top) s_i -tile from p , and adding a top (resp. bottom) s_i -tile to p .

Proposition 4.16. [19, Proposition 2.18] *Any non-split cyclically reduced piling can be transformed into a pyramidal piling via a finite sequence of cyclic permutations.*

The final step in ensuring a linear time algorithm comes from defining a normal form called a *cyclic normal form*.

Definition 4.17. [19, Definition 2.19] Let $w \in X^*$ be reduced and cyclically reduced. We say w is a *cyclic normal form* if it is normal and all its cyclic permutations are also normal.

Proposition 4.18. [19, Proposition 2.20] *Let $p \in P$ be a non-split cyclically reduced pyramidal piling. Let $w \in X^*$ be the unique normal reduced word which represents the group element defined by p . Then w is a cyclic normal form.*

Proposition 4.19. [19, Proposition 2.21] *Two cyclic normal forms represent conjugate elements if and only if they are equal up to a cyclic permutation.*

We now summarise the linear time algorithm to solve the conjugacy problem in RAAGs.

Algorithm: Conjugacy problem in RAAGs

Input:

1. RAAG A_Γ .
2. Words $v, w \in X^*$ representing group elements in A_Γ .

Step 1: Cyclic reduction

Produce the piling representation $\pi^*(v)$ of v , and apply cyclic reduction to $\pi^*(v)$ to produce a cyclically reduced piling p . Repeat this step for w to get a cyclically reduced piling q .

Step 2: Factorisation

Factorise each of the pilings p and q into non-split factors. If the collection of subgraphs (using [19] convention) do not coincide, **Output** = **False**.

Step 3: Compare non-split factors

If $p = p^{(1)} \dots p^{(k)}$ and $q = q^{(1)} \dots q^{(k)}$ are the factorisations found in Step 2, then for each $i = 1, \dots, k$, do the following:

- (i) Transform the non-split cyclically reduced pilings $p^{(i)}$ and $q^{(i)}$ into pyramidal pilings $\tilde{p}^{(i)}$ and $\tilde{q}^{(i)}$.
- (ii) Produce the unique words in cyclic normal form representing these pilings, denoted $\sigma^*(\tilde{p}^{(i)})$ and $\sigma^*(\tilde{q}^{(i)})$.
- (iii) Decide whether $\sigma^*(\tilde{p}^{(i)})$ and $\sigma^*(\tilde{q}^{(i)})$ are equal up to a cyclic permutation. If not, **Output** = **False**.

Output = **True**.

Remark 4.20. These definitions can be adapted to find a linear time solution to the conjugacy problem in RACGs. To construct pilings, we would not use any $-$ beads since each generator has order two, and cancel any pairs of consecutive $+$ beads.

4.2 Twisted conjugacy problem algorithms

The aim of this section is to reprove Theorem 3.8, by creating an implementable algorithm for the twisted conjugacy problem in RAAGs, with respect to length-preserving automorphisms. First, we define a linear time algorithm based on Section 4.1, when our automorphism is a composition of inversions. It remains unclear whether this algorithm can be adapted to include all length-preserving automorphisms. Instead we provide an alternative algorithm, of which the complexity is unknown, which solves the twisted conjugacy problem in RAAGs for all length-preserving automorphisms.

First, we define twisted versions of cyclic permutations and cyclic reduction.

Definition 4.21. Let $G = \langle X \rangle$, and let $w = x_1 \dots x_n \in X^*$ be a geodesic, where $x_i \in X$ for all $1 \leq i \leq n$. Let $\phi \in \text{Aut}(G)$ be of finite order m . We define a ϕ -cyclic permutation of w to be any word of the form

$$w' = \phi^k(x_{i+1}) \dots \phi^k(x_n) \phi^{k-1}(x_1) \dots \phi^{k-1}(x_i),$$

for some $0 \leq k \leq m - 1$.

Definition 4.22. Let $A_\Gamma = \langle V(\Gamma) \rangle$, and let $v \in X^*$ be a geodesic. We say v is ϕ -cyclically reduced (ϕ -CR) if there does not exist a sequence of ϕ -cyclic permutations, commutation relations and free reductions to a geodesic $w \in X^*$, such that $l(v) > l(w)$.

We also recall the following result which is an analogue of the behaviour of conjugate cyclically reduced elements in RAAGs.

Theorem 4.23. [20, Corollary 3.14] *Let $A_\Gamma = \langle V(\Gamma) \rangle$ be a RAAG, and let $\phi \in \text{Aut}(A_\Gamma)$ be of finite order. Let $u, v \in X^*$ be two ϕ -cyclically reduced words. Then u and v are ϕ -conjugate if and only if u and v are related by a finite sequence of ϕ -cyclic permutations, commutation relations and free reductions.*

4.2.1 Inversions

Throughout this section let $\phi \in \text{Aut}(A_\Gamma)$ be a composition of inversions. First we consider twisted cyclic reduction in pilings. For inversions, we have the following characterisation of ϕ -CR words.

Theorem 4.24. [20, Corollary 3.18] *Let $A_\Gamma = \langle V(\Gamma) \rangle$, and let $\phi \in \text{Aut}(A_\Gamma)$ be a composition of inversions. Then any geodesic $v \in X^*$ is ϕ -CR if and only if v cannot be written in the form*

$$v =_{A_\Gamma} \phi(u)^{-1} w u,$$

where $l(w) < l(v)$ and $\phi(u)^{-1} w u \in X^*$ is geodesic.

With this result we can define ϕ -cyclic reduction of pilings, equivalent to Definition 4.22, with respect to inversions.

Definition 4.25. Let $p \in P$. We define a ϕ -CR of p to be the removal of top and bottom tiles as follows. Either:

1. For each σ_i -stack such that $\phi(s_i) = s_i^{-1}$, and σ_i starts and ends with a $+$ bead or $-$ bead, then remove the bottom and top tiles of σ_i , or
2. For all remaining stacks, apply cyclic reduction as given in Definition 4.9.

A piling $p \in P$ is ϕ -CR if no ϕ -cyclic reduction is possible. In particular, we can ϕ -CR pilings in linear time by Theorem 4.24.

Example 4.26. Consider the RAAG defined in [19, Example 2.4] with presentation

$$A_\Gamma = \langle a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4 \mid [a_1, a_4] = 1, [a_2, a_3] = 1, [a_2, a_4] = 1 \rangle.$$

Let $\phi: a_2 \mapsto a_2^{-1}, a_4 \mapsto a_4^{-1}$ and fix all remaining generators. Fig. 2 gives an example of a ϕ -CR of a piling. In particular, we ϕ -CR the element

$$u =_{A_\Gamma} a_2 a_4^{-1} a_3 a_1 a_2 a_1^{-1} a_2 a_2 = \phi(a_2)^{-1} a_4^{-1} a_3 a_1 a_2 a_1^{-1} a_2 a_2 \xrightarrow{\phi\text{-CR}} a_4^{-1} a_3 a_1 a_2 a_1^{-1} a_2.$$

We now define ϕ -cyclic permutations in pilings, to coincide with Definition 4.21. For twisted conjugacy, when moving a tile from the bottom to the top of our piling (or vice versa), we need to also apply ϕ to the corresponding $+$ or $-$ bead.




Figure 2: ϕ -CR for inversions.

Definition 4.27. Let $p \in P$. We define a ϕ -cyclic permutation of p as follows:

1. If $\phi(s_i) = s_i^{-1}$ and the σ_i -stack starts with a + bead, then remove the tile corresponding to this + bead, and add a - bead, with corresponding 0-beads, to the top of the σ_i -stack. Similarly if the σ_i -stack starts with a - bead, then remove the tile corresponding to this - bead, and add a + bead, with corresponding 0-beads, to the top of the σ_i -stack. This definition is analogous for moving tiles from the top to the bottom of the piling.
2. Otherwise, if the σ_i -stack starts or ends with a + or - bead, then apply a cyclic permutation as given in Definition 4.15.

Example 4.28. We recall Example 4.26, and consider a ϕ -cyclic permutation of our ϕ -CR piling. Fig. 3 gives an example of a ϕ -cyclic permutation. As words, we are applying the operation

$$u =_{A_\Gamma} a_4^{-1} a_3 a_1 a_2 a_1^{-1} a_2 \xrightarrow{\phi\text{-CP}} a_3 a_1 a_2 a_1^{-1} a_2 \phi(a_4^{-1}) = a_3 a_1 a_2 a_1^{-1} a_2 a_4.$$




Figure 3: ϕ -cyclic permutation for inversion

For standard conjugacy, a cyclic normal form is obtained from pyramidal pilings. We now define a similar cyclic normal form, which is preserved under twisted conjugacy.

Definition 4.29. Let $w \in X^*$ be reduced and ϕ -cyclically reduced. We say w is a ϕ -cyclic normal form if it is normal and all ϕ -cyclic permutations are also normal.

When we apply a ϕ -cyclic permutation to a pyramidal piling, our ϕ -cyclic normal form is preserved. This is due to the fact that $s_i \leq_{\text{SL}}^{-1} s_j^{\pm 1}$ if and only if $s_i^{-1} \leq_{\text{SL}}^{-1} s_j^{\pm 1}$, for all $1 \leq i, j \leq r$. Therefore if $w = s_j^{\pm 1} u s_i^{\pm 1}$ is a normal form obtained from a pyramidal piling, where $u \in X^*$, and we consider a ϕ -cyclic permutation $w' = \phi(s_i^{\pm 1}) s_j^{\pm 1} u = s_i^{\pm 1} s_j^{\pm 1} u$, then w' is also a normal form. The same is true when we apply a ϕ -cyclic permutation of a bottom tile of a pyramidal piling. This allows us to adapt Proposition 4.18 and Proposition 4.19 with respect to twisted conjugacy by inversions.

Proposition 4.30. Let $p \in P$ be a non-split ϕ -cyclically reduced pyramidal piling. Let $w \in X^*$ be the unique normal reduced word which represent the group element defined by p . Then w is a ϕ -cyclic normal form.

Proposition 4.31. Two ϕ -cyclic normal forms represent conjugate elements if and only if they are equal up to a ϕ -cyclic permutation.

We now have the necessary definitions and results to prove the following.

Theorem 4.32. Let $\phi \in \text{Aut}(A_\Gamma)$ be a composition of inversions. Then the $\text{TCP}_\phi(A_\Gamma)$ is solvable in linear time.

Proof. We summarise our algorithm here, which is an adapted version of the conjugacy problem in RAAGs.

Algorithm: Twisted conjugacy problem in RAAGs (inversions)

Input:

1. RAAG A_Γ .
2. Words $v, w \in X^*$ representing group elements in A_Γ .
3. $\phi \in \text{Aut}(A_\Gamma)$ given as a composition of inversions.

Step 1: ϕ -cyclic reduction

Produce the piling representation $\pi^*(v)$ of the word v , and apply ϕ -cyclic reduction to $\pi^*(v)$ to produce a ϕ -cyclically reduced piling p . Repeat this step for the word w to get a ϕ -cyclically reduced piling q .

Step 2: Factorisation

Factorise each of the pilings p and q into non-split factors. If the collection of subgraphs do not coincide, **Output** = **False**.

Step 3: Compare non-split factors

If $p = p^{(1)} \dots p^{(k)}$ and $q = q^{(1)} \dots q^{(k)}$ are the factorisations found in Step 2, then for each $i = 1, \dots, k$, do the following:

- (i) Transform the non-split ϕ -cyclically reduced pilings $p^{(i)}$ and $q^{(i)}$ into pyramidal pilings $\tilde{p}^{(i)}$ and $\tilde{q}^{(i)}$, by applying ϕ -cyclic permutations.
- (ii) Produce the words representing these pilings in ϕ -cyclic normal form $\sigma^*(\tilde{p}^{(i)})$ and $\sigma^*(\tilde{q}^{(i)})$.
- (iii) Decide whether $\sigma^*(\tilde{p}^{(i)})$ and $\sigma^*(\tilde{q}^{(i)})$ are equal up to a ϕ -cyclic permutation. If not, **Output** = **False**.

Output = **True**. □

4.2.2 Length-preserving automorphisms

We now consider all length-preserving automorphisms. Again we can use the pilings construction, and define ϕ -CR and ϕ -cyclic permutations with respect to graph automorphisms.

Definition 4.33. Let $\phi \in \text{Aut}(A_\Gamma)$ be a graph automorphism, and let $p \in P$ be a non-empty piling. We define a ϕ -cyclic reduction, with respect to graph automorphisms, as follows. Consider a σ_i -stack such that $\phi(s_i) = s_j$, for some $1 \leq i, j \leq r$. If the σ_i -stack ends with a + bead (resp. - bead), and the σ_j -stack starts with a - bead (resp. + bead), then remove the top (resp. bottom) tile of σ_i and bottom (resp. top) tile of σ_j (with corresponding 0-beads from these tiles).

We say p is ϕ -CR if no ϕ -cyclic reduction is possible. Note if $j = i$, i.e. s_i is fixed under ϕ , this definition is equivalent to cyclic reduction as given in Definition 4.9.

Definition 4.34. Let $\phi \in \text{Aut}(A_\Gamma)$ be a graph automorphism, and let $p \in P$ be a non-empty piling. We define a ϕ -cyclic permutation for graph automorphisms as follows. For each σ_i -stack such that $\phi(s_i) = s_j$ ($1 \leq i, j \leq r$), we can apply either of the following:

1. If the σ_i -stack ends with a + bead (resp. - bead), remove this tile, and add a + tile (resp. - tile) to the bottom of the σ_j -stack. This is equivalent to a ϕ -cyclic permutation of the letter s_i from the end to the start of the word $w \in X^*$ representing the piling.
2. If the σ_j -stack starts with a + bead (resp. - bead), remove this tile, and add a + tile (resp. - tile) to the top of the σ_i -stack. This is equivalent to a ϕ -cyclic permutation of the letter s_j from the start to the end of the word $w \in X^*$ representing the piling.

Note if $j = i$, this definition is equivalent to cyclic permutations as given in Definition 4.15.

Example 4.35. We return to Example 4.26, and consider the order two graph automorphism $\phi: a_1 \leftrightarrow a_3, a_2 \leftrightarrow a_4$. Fig. 4 gives an example of a ϕ -CR, where as words we have

$$u =_{A_\Gamma} a_4^{-1} a_2 a_3 a_1 a_2 a_1^{-1} a_2 a_2 = \phi(a_2)^{-1} a_2 a_3 a_1 a_2 a_1^{-1} a_2 a_2 \xrightarrow{\phi\text{-CR}} a_2 a_3 a_1 a_2 a_1^{-1} a_2.$$




Figure 4: ϕ -CR for graph automorphism.

Fig. 5 gives an example of a ϕ -cyclic permutation, where as words we are applying the operation

$$u =_{A_\Gamma} a_3 a_2 a_1 a_2 a_1^{-1} a_2 \xrightarrow{\phi\text{-CP}} a_2 a_1 a_2 a_1^{-1} a_2 \phi(a_3) = a_2 a_1 a_2 a_1^{-1} a_2 a_1.$$




Figure 5: ϕ -cyclic permutation for graph automorphism.

When we include graph automorphisms, we can no longer use the linear-time algorithm given in Theorem 4.32, for several reasons. Firstly, ϕ -CR can no longer be applied in linear time, since Theorem 4.24 does not hold for graph automorphisms. This can be seen in [20, Example 3.15]. Secondly, it is unclear how to factorise our defining graph into non-split factors. This is because when we apply ϕ -cyclic permutations, we can obtain non-isomorphic induced subgraphs.

Example 4.36. Recall Example 4.35. Fig. 6 gives the complement Γ^c of the defining graph for A_Γ .




Figure 6: Complement of defining graph

Consider the words $u = a_2a_1$ and $v = a_1a_4$. These are related by a ϕ -cyclic permutation, and Fig. 7 gives the corresponding piling representations. However, the induced subgraph $\Delta(u)$ on Γ^c is connected, whereas the induced subgraph $\Delta(v)$ on Γ^c is disconnected. Therefore u is non-split, whereas v is split.




Figure 7: Related split/non-split pilings

Therefore it is unclear whether a pyramidal piling is well defined in this situation, since after applying a ϕ -cyclic permutation to a pyramidal piling, we may obtain a split piling. Finally, unlike inversions, ϕ -cyclic permutations no longer preserve the ordering \leq_{SL}^{-1} , and so we cannot obtain a ϕ -cyclic normal form.

However, we can use Theorem 4.23 to construct an algorithm which solves the twisted conjugacy problem using the pilings construction. The idea is to apply all possible ϕ -cyclic permutations to pilings, whilst also applying reductions when necessary, to create a set \mathcal{D} of piling representatives, which all lie within the same twisted conjugacy class. It then remains to check that for any two words $u, v \in X^*$, their corresponding sets of piling representatives are equal. Equivalently, we can consider the unique smallest normal form representative from each set, with respect to \leq_{SL}^{-1} , and check these are equal.

Remark 4.37. If $\phi \in \text{Aut}(A_\Gamma)$ is length-preserving, then ϕ must be a composition of inversions and graph automorphisms by Lemma 2.3. When considering ϕ -cyclic reduction and ϕ -cyclic permutations, we combine the definitions of these operations for inversions and graph automorphisms.

Proof of Theorem 4.1. We provide details of our twisted conjugacy algorithm for RAAGs, where our automorphism is length-preserving.

Algorithm: Twisted conjugacy problem in RAAGs (length-preserving)

Input:

1. RAAG A_Γ .
2. Words $v, w \in X^*$ representing group elements in A_Γ .
3. $\phi \in \text{Aut}(A_\Gamma)$ which is length-preserving.

Step 1: Piling representations

Produce the piling representation $\pi^*(v)$ of the word v , and similarly $\pi^*(w)$ of the word w .

Step 2: Set of minimal representatives

Compute all possible ϕ -cyclic permutations of $\pi^*(v)$. If the length of any piling is less than that of $\pi^*(v)$, then restart the process. Let $\mathcal{D}(v)$ denote the set of all piling representatives found from this process. Similarly compute the set of piling representatives $\mathcal{D}(w)$ with respect to $\pi^*(w)$.

Step 3: Compare sets

Let $\bar{v}, \bar{w} \in P$ denote the \leq_{SL}^{-1} minimal pilings which lie in $\mathcal{D}(v)$ and $\mathcal{D}(w)$ respectively. If $\bar{v} = \bar{w}$, then **Output** = **True**. Otherwise, **Output** = **False**. □

This algorithm can be adapted for RACGs, where we note that length-preserving automorphisms are precisely the graph automorphisms induced by the defining graph.

Remark 4.38. We elaborate on Step 2, in particular computing the set $\mathcal{D}(v)$. First let $\mathcal{D}(v)$ be the empty set, and let $T = \{\pi^*(v)\}$. For each $x \in T$, we remove x from T , add x to $\mathcal{D}(v)$ (unless $x \in \mathcal{D}(v)$), and determine the set b_x of bottom tiles in x . Then for each $y \in b_x$, we apply a ϕ -cyclic permutation to x with respect to the bottom tile y . This produces a new piling p , which we add to our set T (unless $p \in T$). We repeat this process until T is empty, and restart the process if any new piling produced has length less than the length of $\pi^*(v)$. Note $\mathcal{D}(v)$ is necessarily finite since ϕ is length-preserving, so the length of a piling does not increase during this process.

Whilst we have an implementable solution to the twisted conjugacy problem in RAAGs for all length-preserving automorphisms, the complexity of our algorithm is unclear when our automorphism includes a graph automorphism.

Question 4.39. What is the computational complexity of $\text{TCP}_\phi(A_\Gamma)$, when $\phi \in \text{Aut}(A_\Gamma)$ is length-preserving and includes a graph automorphism?

One may hope to extend this pilings construction to finite order non-length preserving automorphisms, using Theorem 4.23. When applying ϕ -cyclic permutations, the size of our piling could change if we consider non-length preserving automorphisms, so it remains unclear how to create an algorithm which terminates using pilings.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This paper forms part of the author’s PhD thesis, and so they would like to primarily thank their former supervisor Laura Ciobanu. The author would also like to thank Andrew Duncan and Alessandro Sisto for their helpful comments and suggestions.

REFERENCES

- [1] Alfred V. Aho, John E. Hopcroft, and Jeffrey D. Ullman. *The design and analysis of computer algorithms*. Addison-Wesley, 1974.
- [2] Yago Antolín and Laura Ciobanu. Formal Conjugacy Growth in Acylindrically Hyperbolic Groups. *International Mathematics Research Notices*, 2017(1):121–157, 2017.
- [3] Jason Behrstock and Ruth Charney. Divergence and quasimorphisms of right-angled Artin groups. *Mathematische Annalen*, 352(2):339–356, 2012.
- [4] Jason Behrstock, Mark Hagen, and Alessandro Sisto. Thickness, relative hyperbolicity, and randomness in Coxeter groups. *Algebraic & Geometric Topology*, 17(2):705–740, 2017.
- [5] Mladen Bestvina and Koji Fujiwara. A Characterization of Higher Rank Symmetric Spaces Via Bounded Cohomology. *Geometric and Functional Analysis*, 19(1):11–40, 2009.
- [6] O. Bogopolski, A. Martino, O. Maslakova, and E. Ventura. The Conjugacy Problem is Solvable in Free-By-Cyclic Groups. *Bulletin of the London Mathematical Society*, 38(5):787–794, 2006.
- [7] O. Bogopolski, A. Martino, and E. Ventura. Orbit decidability and the conjugacy problem for some extensions of groups. *Transactions of the American Mathematical Society*, 362(4):2003–2036, 2009.
- [8] Martin R. Bridson and André Haefliger. *Metric Spaces of Non-Positive Curvature*, volume 319. Springer, 1999.
- [9] José Burillo, Francesco Matucci, and Enric Ventura. The conjugacy problem in extensions of Thompson’s group F . *Israel Journal of Mathematics*, 216(1):15–59, 2016.
- [10] Ruth Charney. An introduction to right-angled Artin groups. *Geometriae Dedicata*, 125(1):141–158, 2007.
- [11] Ruth Charney and Michael Farber. Random groups arising as graph products. *Algebraic & Geometric Topology*, 12(2):979–995, 2012.
- [12] Ruth Charney and Harold Sultan. Contracting boundaries of CAT(0) spaces. *Journal of Topology*, 8(1):93–117, 2015.
- [13] Laura Ciobanu and Gemma Crowe. Conjugacy geodesics and growth in dihedral Artin groups, 2024. arxiv:2404.17312.

- [14] Laura Ciobanu, Alex Evetts, and Meng-Che Ho. The conjugacy growth of the soluble Baumslag-Solitar groups. *New York Journal of Mathematics*, 26:473–495, 2020.
- [15] Laura Ciobanu, Derek Holt, and Sarah Rees. Equations in groups that are virtually direct products. *Journal of Algebra*, 545:88–99, 2020.
- [16] Laura Ciobanu and Alan D. Logan. Fixed points and stable images of endomorphisms for the free group of rank two. *Journal of Algebra*, 591:538–576, 2022.
- [17] Donald J. Collins and Charles F. Miller. The Conjugacy Problem and Subgroups of Finite Index. *Proceedings of the London Mathematical Society*, s3-34(3):535–556, 1977.
- [18] Charles Garnet Cox. Twisted conjugacy in Houghton’s groups. *Journal of Algebra*, 490:390–436, 2017.
- [19] John Crisp, Eddy Godelle, and Bert Wiest. The conjugacy problem in subgroups of right-angled Artin groups. *Journal of Topology*, 2(3):442–460, 2009.
- [20] Gemma Crowe. Conjugacy languages in virtual graph products. *Journal of Algebra*, 634:873–910, 2023.
- [21] Gemma Crowe. Twisted conjugacy in dihedral Artin groups I: Torus Knot groups, 2024. arXiv:2403.16671.
- [22] Gemma Crowe. Twisted conjugacy in dihedral Artin groups II: Baumslag Solitar groups $BS(n,n)$, 2024. arXiv:2404.04705.
- [23] Charles Cunningham, Andy Eisenberg, Adam Piggott, and Kim Ruane. CAT(0) extensions of right-angled Coxeter groups. *Topology Proceedings*, 48:277–287, 2016.
- [24] Matthew B. Day. Finiteness of outer automorphism groups of random right-angled Artin groups. *Algebraic & Geometric Topology*, 12(3):1553–1583, 2012.
- [25] David Epstein and Derek Holt. The linearity of the conjugacy problem in word-hyperbolic groups. *International Journal of Algebra and Computation*, 16(02):287–305, 2006.
- [26] Evgenii S. Esyp, Ilia V. Kazatchkov, and Vladimir N. Remeslennikov. Divisibility theory and complexity of algorithms for free partially commutative groups. In *Contemporary Mathematics*, volume 378, pages 319–348. American Mathematical Society, 2005.
- [27] Michal Ferov. On conjugacy separability of graph products of groups. *Journal of Algebra*, 447:135–182, 2 2016.
- [28] Ilya Gekhtman and Wen-yuan Yang. Counting conjugacy classes in groups with contracting elements. *Journal of Topology*, 15(2):620–665, 2022.
- [29] Juan González-Meneses and Enric Ventura. Twisted conjugacy in braid groups. *Israel Journal of Mathematics*, 201(1):455–476, 2014.

- [30] Elisabeth Ruth Green. *Graph Products of Groups*. PhD thesis, University of Leeds, 1990.
- [31] Victor Guba and Mark Sapir. On the conjugacy growth functions of groups. *Illinois Journal of Mathematics*, 54(1):301–313, 2010.
- [32] Derek F. Holt and Sarah Rees. Generalising some results about right-angled Artin groups to graph products of groups. *Journal of Algebra*, 371:94–104, 2012.
- [33] Jingyin Huang and Bruce Kleiner. Groups quasi-isometric to right-angled Artin groups. *Duke Mathematical Journal*, 167(3):537–602, 2018.
- [34] Michael R. Laurence. A Generating Set for the Automorphism Group of a Graph Group. *Journal of the London Mathematical Society*, 52(2):318–334, 1995.
- [35] Clara Löh. *Geometric Group Theory*. Universitext. Springer International Publishing.
- [36] Dan Margalit and Matt Clay, editors. *Office Hours with a Geometric Group Theorist*. Princeton University Press, 1 edition, 2017.
- [37] Gabor Moussong. *Hyperbolic Coxeter Groups*. PhD thesis, The Ohio State University, 1988.
- [38] Petra Schwer. Lecture notes on CAT(0) cube complexes, 2019. arXiv:1910.06815.
- [39] Pieter Senden. Twisted conjugacy in direct products of groups. *Communications in Algebra*, 49(12):5402–5422, 2021.
- [40] Herman Servatius. Automorphisms of graph groups. *Journal of Algebra*, 126(1):34–60, 1989.
- [41] Enric Ventura. The multiple endo-twisted conjugacy problem is solvable in finitely generated free groups. 2021. <https://enric-ventura.staff.upc.edu/ventura/files/68t.pdf>.
- [42] Gérard Xavier Viennot. Heaps of pieces, I : Basic definitions and combinatorial lemmas. In *Combinatoire énumérative. Lecture Notes in Mathematics*, volume 1234, pages 321–350. Springer Berlin Heidelberg, 1986.
- [43] Richard D. Wade and Benjamin Brück. A note on virtual duality and automorphism groups of right-angled Artin groups. *Glasgow Mathematical Journal*, 65(3):573–581, 2023.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF MANCHESTER M13 9PL, UK AND THE HEILBRONN INSTITUTE FOR MATHEMATICAL RESEARCH, BRISTOL, UK

Email address: `gemma.crowe@manchester.ac.uk`