

Coherent radiation of modulated positron bunch formed in crystalline undulator

Hayk L. Gevorgyan^{1,2*} and Lekdar A. Gevorgian^{3†}

¹*Quantum Technologies Division, A.I. Alikhanyan National Science Laboratory (Yerevan Physics Institute),
2 Alikhanyan Brothers St., 0036 Yerevan, Armenia*

²*Experimental Physics Division, A.I. Alikhanyan National Science Laboratory (Yerevan Physics Institute),
2 Alikhanyan Brothers St., 0036 Yerevan, Armenia*

³*Matinyan Center for Theoretical Physics, A.I. Alikhanyan National Science Laboratory (Yerevan Physics Institute),
2 Alikhanyan Brothers St., 0036 Yerevan, Armenia*

(Dated: December 16, 2024)

A planar channeling of the positrons of a bunch taking into account medium polarization is considered, which entails its radiation at both soft and hard photons at a zero angle. Spatially uniphase charged particles organized into a microbunch with a well-defined spatial phase distribution can emit coherent (synergistic or collective) radiation when the wavelength of the emitted radiation is comparable to or longer than the longitudinal size of the microbunch. This phenomenon can only occur in microundulators with account of the polarization. The crystalline undulator (CU) parameters (the spatial period and the amplitude) are chosen to preserve the channeling phenomenon of positrons and the coherence of CU radiation (CUR) from positron microbunches. The gain of spontaneous radiation hinges on the modulation depth of a microbunch. The latter, an important parameter of a bunch, will also be possible to determine as a result of the proposed experiment. A numerical analysis has been conducted for a specific scenario involving CUR generated by a positron bunch modulated during the SASE FEL process at the wavelength corresponding to the SASE FEL.

I. INTRODUCTION

Monochromatic directed photon beams emitted by bunches of high-energy charged particles have a wide practical application. At the microwave frequency range, such photon beams can be obtained by oscillations of a bunch of relativistic electrons in a periodic electric field, proposed by Ginzburg [1]. Developing this idea, Motz [2] and Landecker [3] proposed to study the radiation of relativistic electrons that arises when passing through an external periodic magnetic field. This phenomenon is realized and named by the Motz's group as undulator radiation [4].

Stimulated undulator radiation, viz., FEL, was proposed by Madey [5]. As a result of quantum recoil, the principle of balance between the probabilities of stimulated emission and absorption is violated — at a certain frequency, the probability of emission becomes greater than the probability of absorption. The stimulated radiation enhancement is determined by the derivative of the spontaneous radiation spectrum. In the microwave range, this phenomenon was observed in an experiment at Stanford using a resonator (with mirrors) [6]. The idea of a free electron laser without a cavity was proposed by Kondratenko and Saldin [7] and was named SASE XFEL (Self Amplified Spontaneous Emission X-ray Free Electron Laser).

After the theoretical work [8] on X-ray undulator radiation (XUR) of a single electron, the theory of undulator radiation (UR) has been developed in many works (see [9]). The radiation produced in gas-filled undulators have been

theoretically [10] and experimentally [11] investigated.

The theory of the crystalline undulator radiation (CUR), predicted in [12], has been developed without [13] and with [14, 15] taking into account the medium polarization. In contrast to conventional (magnetic) UR, in the case of CUR, the positrons, channeled between the crystallographic planes, have a short spatial period and so a short radiation wavelength.

The phenomenon of short wavelength coherent radiation of asymmetric bunch is discovered in the work [16]. The radiation intensity is proportional to the second power of number of particles in a bunch. This phenomenon is universal and does not depend on the type of radiation. The effect of coherence of diffractive radiation was observed at the Japanese experiment [17]. By radiation spectrum, one can determine the real distribution of electrons in the bunch. The use of truncated electron bunch will significantly increase the free electron laser (FEL) efficiency [18] and the partial coherence will be obtained for the cases of diffractive [19] and Smith-Parcell [20] radiations.

The research about the radiation problem of the bunch which density is modulated by laser beat waves (LBWs) was done in the paper [21]. It was shown that the bunch longitudinal form-factor does not suppress the radiation coherence effect if the frequency of radiation is equal to the modulation frequency (a resonant frequency). Note, that the suppression of coherence can not occur due to the bunch transverse form-factor.

The electron bunch, which interacts with LBWs, radiates coherently in the sub-millimeter range [22]. In the paper [23], the use of partially coherent radiation was offered at a resonant frequency for determination of the modulation depth of LCLS (linac coherent light source) bunch.

* hayk.gevorgyan@aanl.am

† lekdar@yerphi.am

The results of article [24] show that one can use X-ray crystalline undulator radiation for study of the microbunching process in XFEL (X-ray free electron laser) and for the production of monochromatic intense beam. A review on the coherent X-ray radiation of various types produced by the modulated (microbunched) bunch in amorphous and crystalline radiators [25] was given.

In the present article, coherent X-ray radiation formed by the modulated positron bunch in a crystalline undulator (CU) at a zero angle is developed. Furthermore, a proposed method aims to determine a crucial parameter of the modulation density of a particle bunch — a modulation depth. This method involves utilizing a crystalline undulator as a microradiator immediately following the Self-Amplified Spontaneous Emission (SASE) Free-Electron Laser (FEL). Importantly, this approach serves as an alternative to our prior recommendation [26].

This paper is organized as follows. In Sec. II we present the general theory of crystalline undulator radiation. In Sec. III, we explain the conditions for crystalline undulator radiation and present its final formulas and characteristics. In Sec. IV, we present the theory of coherent radiation of modulated positron bunch and consider the case of a symmetric bunch. In Sec. V, we show our numerical results for the case of positron bunch with LCLS parameters and modulated in SASE FEL. Finally, Sec. VI presents the conclusions.

II. GENERAL THEORY OF CRYSTALLINE UNDULATOR RADIATION

The observed periodic *sinusoidal*-like [27] bending of the crystallographic planes enables us to find an analytic formula for the crystalline undulator radiation (CUR) using Jacobi–Anger expansion. Hereby, under the assumption of preserved channeling, an average trajectory and, hence, an average motion of a charged particle along the bent crystallographic planes can be considered as

$$\mathbf{r}(\tau) = y(\tau) \mathbf{j} + z(\tau) \mathbf{k} = y_0 \cos \Omega \tau \mathbf{j} + c \beta_{\parallel} \tau \mathbf{k}, \quad (1a)$$

$$\beta(\tau) = \beta_{\perp}(\tau) \mathbf{j} + \beta_{\parallel}(\tau) \mathbf{k} = -\beta_{\perp} \sin \Omega \tau \mathbf{j} + \beta_{\parallel} \mathbf{k}, \quad (1b)$$

where $\beta_{\perp} c = y_0 \Omega$ is the maximum value of the transverse velocity of a charged particle, $\beta_{\parallel} c$ is a longitudinal velocity of positrons, $\Omega = \frac{2\pi \beta_{\parallel} c}{l}$, where l is a spatial period of CU, y_0 is a CU bending amplitude, c is a velocity of light, \mathbf{j} and \mathbf{k} are the unit vectors along the transverse y and longitudinal z directions, respectively. There are two considerations in (1):

1. Radiation energy losses are negligible and are much less than the initial energy $\gamma m_e c^2$ of a charged particle, where γ is a Lorentz factor, m_e is a rest mass of the same.

2. Constancy of longitudinal velocity $\beta_{\parallel} = \sqrt{\langle \beta_{\parallel}^2(\tau) \rangle}$.

This leads to the expression $\gamma_{\parallel} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{1-\beta_{\parallel}^2}} = \frac{\gamma}{\sqrt{1+q^2/2}}$

for the longitudinal Lorentz factor, where the following formulas are used: $\beta^2 = \langle \beta_{\parallel}^2(\tau) \rangle + \langle \beta_{\perp}^2(\tau) \rangle$ and $\langle \beta_{\perp}^2(\tau) \rangle = \langle \beta_{\perp}^2 \sin^2 \Omega \tau \rangle = \beta_{\perp}^2/2$. Thus, the longitudinal energy is less than the total energy by $\sqrt{1+q^2/2}$ times, where $q = \beta_{\perp} \gamma$ is called the undulator parameter.

The energy radiated per unit solid angle per unit frequency interval [28] is

$$\frac{d^2W}{d\Omega d\omega} = \frac{e^2 \sqrt{\varepsilon} \omega^2}{4\pi^2 c} |\mathbf{A}(\omega)|^2, \quad (2a)$$

$$\mathbf{A}(\omega) = \int_{-T/2}^{T/2} (\mathbf{n} \times \beta) e^{i(\omega\tau - \mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{r}(\tau))} d\tau, \quad (2b)$$

where $\mathbf{A}(\omega)$ characterizes the radiation field, \mathbf{n} is a unit vector directed from the position of the charge to the observation point, representing direction of the instantaneous energy flux (Poynting's vector), $\mathbf{k} = \sqrt{\varepsilon} \frac{\omega}{c} \mathbf{n}$ is the wave vector of radiated photon with the frequency ω in the medium with dielectric permittivity ε , e is an elementary charge, c is a light velocity and T is the interaction time.

Representation of \mathbf{n} in a spherical coordinates (3a) leads to the expression (3b)

$$\mathbf{n} = (\sin \vartheta \cos \varphi \mathbf{i}, \sin \vartheta \sin \varphi \mathbf{j}, \cos \vartheta \mathbf{k}), \quad (3a)$$

$$(\mathbf{n} \times \beta) = \mathbf{a} - \sin \Omega t \mathbf{b}, \quad (3b)$$

$$\mathbf{a} = \beta_{\parallel} (\sin \vartheta \sin \varphi \mathbf{i} - \sin \vartheta \cos \varphi \mathbf{j}), \quad (3c)$$

$$\mathbf{b} = \beta_{\perp} (-\cos \vartheta \mathbf{i} + \sin \vartheta \cos \varphi \mathbf{k}) \quad (3d)$$

where the formula (1b) is used. Another simplification is (4a)

$$\omega\tau - \mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{r} = \alpha_1 \tau - \alpha_2 \cos \Omega \tau, \quad (4a)$$

$$\alpha_1 = \omega (1 - \beta_{\parallel} \sqrt{\varepsilon} \cos \vartheta), \quad (4b)$$

$$\alpha_2 = \frac{\omega}{\Omega} \beta_{\perp} \sqrt{\varepsilon} \sin \vartheta \sin \varphi, \quad (4c)$$

Hence, the radiation field during the time interval T is

$$\mathbf{A} = \int_{-T/2}^{T/2} (\mathbf{a} - \mathbf{b} \sin \Omega \tau) e^{i(\alpha_1 \tau - \alpha_2 \cos \Omega \tau)} d\tau. \quad (5)$$

As usual [10], one uses the expansion of a plane wave in (cylindrical) Bessel functions of the first kind, so called Jacobi-Anger expansion

$$e^{-i\alpha_2 \cos \Omega \tau} = \sum_{m=-\infty}^{\infty} (-i)^m J_m(\alpha_2) e^{-im\Omega\tau}, \quad (6)$$

for integration of (5). Using Euler's formula and (6), one

gets three terms in the expansion

$$\mathbf{A} = \sum_{m=-\infty}^{\infty} (-i)^m \left(J_m(\alpha_2) \mathbf{a} + \frac{\mathbf{b}}{2} (J_{m+1}(\alpha_2) + J_{m-1}(\alpha_2)) \right) \cdot \int_{-T/2}^{T/2} e^{i(\alpha_1 - m\Omega)\tau} d\tau, \quad (7)$$

which can be simplified using a recurrence formula for Bessel functions of the first kind

$$J_{m+1}(\alpha_2) + J_{m-1}(\alpha_2) = \frac{2m}{\alpha_2} J_m(\alpha_2). \quad (8)$$

Considering n oscillations in the length of a crystal $L = nl$, where l is a spatial period, a particle passes the CU in time $T = 2\pi n/\Omega$, where Ω is an angular frequency and $2\pi/\Omega$ is a time period of its oscillation, respectively. Thus, in accordance to (8), formula (7) becomes

$$\mathbf{A} = \sum_{m=-\infty}^{\infty} \mathbf{A}_m, \quad (9a)$$

$$\mathbf{A}_m = \frac{2\pi^2}{\Omega} (-i)^m J_m(\alpha_2) \frac{\sin nx_m}{\pi x_m} \left(\mathbf{a} + \frac{m\mathbf{b}}{\alpha_2} \right), \quad (9b)$$

$$x_m = \pi \frac{\omega}{\Omega} \left(1 - \beta_{\parallel} \sqrt{\varepsilon} \cos \vartheta - \frac{m\Omega}{\omega} \right). \quad (9c)$$

Radiation must occur also for the infinitely large number of oscillations $n \gg 1$ in a bent crystal, therefore, there is a natural condition to consider only the radiation field harmonics \mathbf{A}_m for which $x_m \approx 0$, since they in major contribute to the radiation. More precisely, $\delta_n(x_m) \triangleq \frac{\sin nx_m}{\pi x_m} = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-n}^n e^{ix_m y} dy$ in (9b) behaves as a Dirac's $\delta(x_m)$ function in the limit $n \rightarrow \infty$ [29, 30], hence the non-vanishing condition of radiation: $x_m \approx 0$. Notably, in the optical region of frequencies, where the refractive index is greater than unity $n(\omega) = \sqrt{\varepsilon(\omega)\mu} > 1$ along an optic axis of a birefringent crystal [31] and relative magnetic permeability is very close to unity $\mu \approx 1$, the relation $\beta\sqrt{\varepsilon} > 1$ takes place for ultrarelativistic charged particles (electrons or positrons). Thus, $m = 0$ harmonic exists and corresponds to the Vavilov-Čerenkov radiation at the angle $\vartheta \approx \arccos 1/(\beta\sqrt{\varepsilon})$. This is only the case when $m = 0$ can be considered, otherwise, $m \geq 1$.

In (2) in the sum $|\mathbf{A}|^2 = \sum_{m,m'=1}^{\infty} \mathbf{A}_m \mathbf{A}_{m'}^*$ remain only equiharmonic terms, since the interference terms with factors of different parities are imaginary and nullify $\mathbf{A}_m \mathbf{A}_{p+2k+1}^* + \mathbf{A}_m^* \mathbf{A}_{m+2k+1} = 0$, when the interference terms with factors of similar parities $\mathbf{A}_m \mathbf{A}_{m+2k}^* + \mathbf{A}_m^* \mathbf{A}_{m+2k}$ are negligible with respect to the equiharmonic terms at $x_m \approx 0$ and $n \gg 1$ according to

$$\left| \frac{\sin nx_{m+2k}}{x_{m+2k}} \right| \leq \frac{1}{2\pi k} \ll \frac{\sin nx_m}{x_m}. \text{ Thus, formula (2) becomes}$$

$$\frac{d^2 W}{dO d\omega} = \frac{e^2 \sqrt{\varepsilon} \omega^2}{c \Omega^2} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \left(\beta_{\parallel}^2 \sin^2 \vartheta - \frac{m}{\alpha_2} \beta_{\parallel} \beta_{\perp} \sin 2\vartheta \sin \varphi + \frac{m^2}{\alpha_2^2} \beta_{\perp}^2 (1 - \sin^2 \vartheta \sin^2 \varphi) \right) J_m^2(\alpha_2) \frac{\sin^2 nx_m}{x_m^2}. \quad (10)$$

III. RADIATION CHARACTERISTICS OF CRYSTALLINE UNDULATOR RADIATION

The relativistic positrons, falling parallel to the planes of crystal, interact with many atoms of crystal simultaneously. Therefore, between the crystal planes they move in the average potential field of these atoms. The harmonic potential is a good approximation for this field [32]. The positrons are oscillating according to the harmonic law with the frequency depending on their energy. This phenomenon of channeling is conserved at the falling angles smaller than the Lindhard critical angle $\Theta_L = \sqrt{\frac{2\nu}{\gamma}}$, where ν and γ are the potential well depth U_0 and the positron energy E in units of the rest energy of a positron mc^2 , accordingly. At the large falling angles, the transverse kinetic energy becomes more than the potential well depth and the movement becomes infinite.

Let the longitudinal section of CU planes has the sinusoidal form. Then the channeling phenomenon will take place for the all positrons with the normal vector of falling, if the maximal angle of the crystal bending is smaller than the Lindhard angle $\Theta_{max} < \Theta_L$. The parameter of CU is defined with the following way $q = \Theta_{max} \gamma$. Thus the definition of a channeling parameter $q_{ch} = \Theta_L \gamma$ is leading to a sufficient condition $q < q_{ch}$ for the existence of CU (the channeling conservation condition in CU). For the sinusoidal CU we have $\Theta_{max} = \frac{2\pi A}{l}$, where A and l are the amplitude and the space period of CU accordingly. Therefore, the limiting condition for CU parameters is $\frac{A}{l} < \frac{1}{2\pi} \sqrt{\frac{2\nu}{\gamma}}$.

In this paper, we consider undulator radiation at zero angle $\vartheta \approx 0$, certainly at X-ray or γ -ray regions of possible frequencies ($\beta_{\parallel} \sqrt{\varepsilon} < 1$).

The objective of this work is to obtain a directed radiation (at a zero angle). At a zero angle is formed the radiation of first harmonic only (dipole approximation), ignoring that a positron velocity is changed at the radiation process, and supposing that it moves with the average longitudinal constant velocity in CU. In the laboratory frame due to the Doppler effect, the radiation frequency ω of a relativistic positron is much more than CU frequency $\Omega = \frac{2\pi v_{\parallel}}{l}$. Therefore, the energy and impulse conservation law is satisfied at the small angles of radiation ($\vartheta \ll 1$).

The radiation wave vector depends on the electric permittivity of a medium. Since the radiation generation occurs in the dispersing medium of CU, then permittivity

is given by the following formula

$$\varepsilon(\omega) = 1 - \left(\frac{\omega_p}{\omega}\right)^2, \quad (11)$$

where ω_p is a plasma frequency.

For the first harmonic $x_1 \triangleq x$ in (9c) and $1 - \beta_{\parallel} \sqrt{\varepsilon} \cos \vartheta - \frac{\Omega}{\omega} \approx \frac{\vartheta^2}{2} + \frac{1}{2\omega^2\gamma_{\parallel}^2}(\omega^2 - 2\gamma_{\parallel}^2\Omega\omega + \gamma_{\parallel}^2\omega_p^2) = 0$, where the combined terms of the fourth order of smallness are neglected ($\vartheta \ll 1$, $\frac{\omega_p}{\omega} \ll 1$, $1/\gamma_{\parallel} \ll 1$ or $\beta_{\parallel} \rightarrow 1$),

also considering the following relations $\cos \vartheta \approx 1 - \frac{\vartheta^2}{2}$, $\sqrt{\varepsilon} \approx 1 - \frac{\omega_p^2}{2\omega^2}$, $\beta_{\parallel} \approx 1 - \frac{1}{2\gamma_{\parallel}^2}$. Thus, the relation is true for the radiation from an ultrarelativistic charged particle at a zero angle and at frequencies very far from the plasma frequency. Then, factoring of quadratic trinomial from ω in $x(\omega) = 0$ leads to the final expression for radiation.

Frequency-angular distribution of the number of emitted photons for the first harmonic radiation has the following form

$$\frac{d^3 N_{ph}}{d\omega d(\vartheta^2) d\varphi} = \frac{\alpha\omega}{2} \left(\frac{q}{2\Omega\gamma}\right)^2 \left(\cos^2\varphi + \left(1 - \vartheta^2\frac{\omega}{\Omega}\right)^2 \sin^2\varphi\right) \frac{\sin^2 nx}{x^2}, \quad x(\omega, \vartheta) = \frac{\pi Q\omega}{2\Omega\gamma^2} \left(\left(1 - \frac{\omega_-}{\omega}\right) \left(\frac{\omega_+}{\omega} - 1\right) - \frac{\vartheta^2\gamma^2}{Q}\right), \quad (12a)$$

$$\omega_{\pm} = \frac{\Omega\gamma^2}{Q} \left(1 \pm \sqrt{1 - \left(\frac{\gamma_{th}}{\gamma}\right)^2}\right), \quad Q = 1 + \frac{q^2}{2}, \quad \gamma_{th} = \sqrt{Q}\frac{\omega_p}{\Omega} = \frac{l\sqrt{Q}}{\lambda_p}, \quad (12b)$$

where $\alpha = \frac{e^2}{\hbar c} = 1/137$ is a fine structure constant, n is CU period number (oscillation number of a positron), ϑ and φ are the polar and azimuthal angles of radiation accordingly, γ_{th} is the energy threshold for the photon beam generation in the dispersive medium, λ_p is the medium plasma wavelength and the relations as $\varepsilon \approx 1$, $\beta_{\parallel} \approx 1$, $\vartheta \ll 1$, $\omega \gg \Omega$, $dO = \sin \vartheta d\vartheta d\varphi \approx d(\vartheta^2) d\varphi/2$, $dW = \hbar\omega dN$, $\omega_p = \frac{2\pi c}{\lambda_p}$, $\Omega = \frac{2\pi\beta_{\parallel}c}{l}$ are considered. Note that we use CGS as the metric system for convenience.

The range of radiated frequencies is $\omega_- \leq \omega \leq \omega_+$, which follows from $\vartheta^2 \geq 0$ and non-vanishing condition $x = 0$ in (12b). Notably, a medium (polarization) causes the radiation at both hard (close to ω_+) and soft (close to ω_-) regions around a zero angle ($\vartheta = 0$), when in the vacuum only hard photons can be emitted: $\omega_p = 0$ and $\omega = 0$ has no physical meaning.

Let us consider the case of $\gamma \gg \gamma_{th}$. Then with accuracy up to small order $(\frac{\gamma_{th}}{\gamma})^2$, we have the following

$$\begin{aligned} \omega_- &= \frac{\omega_p^2}{2\Omega} = \frac{\Omega}{2Q}\gamma_{th}^2, \\ \omega_+ &= \frac{2\Omega}{Q}\gamma^2, \\ \omega_+ &\gg \omega_-. \end{aligned} \quad (13)$$

The photon number frequency distribution radiated at a zero angle is the following

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{dN_{ph}}{d\omega} &= \frac{\pi\alpha}{2Q} (qn)^2 \frac{\omega}{\Omega\omega_+} \frac{\sin^2 z(\omega, 0)}{z^2(\omega, 0)}, \\ z(\omega, 0) &= \pi n \left(1 - \frac{\omega_-}{\omega}\right) \left(1 - \frac{\omega}{\omega_+}\right). \end{aligned} \quad (14)$$

The relative linewidth of the diffraction sine is equal to $\Delta\omega/\omega_- = \Delta\omega/\omega_+ = 1/n$.

The soft radiated photon number with the energy $\hbar\omega_-$ and with the relative energy spread $1/n$ is equal to

$$N_{ph}(\omega_-) = \pi\alpha n \frac{q^2\omega_-^2}{2Q\Omega\omega_+} = \pi\alpha n \left(\frac{ql}{2\gamma\lambda_+}\right)^2. \quad (15)$$

Here $n \leq n_D = L_D/l$, where L_D is the dechanneling length.

IV. COHERENT RADIATION OF MODULATED POSITRON BUNCH

The formula for frequency-angular average distribution of the number of photons of any nature radiation, emitted by freeform positron bunch, has the following form [16]

$$\begin{aligned} N_{tot}(\omega, \vartheta) &= N_{incoh}(\omega, \vartheta) + N_{coh}(\omega, \vartheta), \\ N_{incoh}(\omega, \vartheta) &= N_b(1 - F)N_{ph}(\omega, \vartheta), \\ N_{coh}(\omega, \vartheta) &= N_b^2 F N_{ph}(\omega, \vartheta), \end{aligned} \quad (16)$$

where $N_{ph}(\omega, \vartheta)$ is the frequency-angular distribution of photon number for single positron radiation, N_b is the positron number of the bunch.

The bunch form-factor $F = F_Z(\omega, \vartheta) \times F_R(\omega, \vartheta)$ is determined with longitudinal $F_Z(\omega, \vartheta)$ and transverse $F_R(\omega, \vartheta)$ form-factors

$$\begin{aligned} F_Z(\omega, \vartheta) &= \left| \int f(Z) e^{-i\frac{\omega}{c} \cos\vartheta Z} dZ \right|^2, \\ F_R(\omega, \vartheta) &= \left| \int f(R) e^{-i\frac{\omega}{c} \sin\vartheta R} dR \right|^2, \end{aligned} \quad (17)$$

where $f(Z)$ and $f(R)$ are the positron bunch density distributions in longitudinal Z and transverse R directions accordingly.

A. Symmetric bunch

For the symmetric bunch, when $f(Z)$ and $f(R)$ are Gaussian distribution functions with dispersions σ_Z^2 and σ_R^2 accordingly, we have

$$F_Z(\omega, \vartheta) = \exp \left\{ \left[- \left(\frac{\omega \sigma_Z \cos \vartheta}{c} \right)^2 \right] \right\}, \quad (18)$$

$$F_R(\omega, \vartheta) = \exp \left\{ \left[- \left(\frac{\omega \sigma_R \sin \vartheta}{c} \right)^2 \right] \right\}.$$

Therefore form-factors at a zero angle are the following

$$F_Z(\omega) = \exp \left\{ \left[- \left(\frac{\omega \sigma_Z}{c} \right)^2 \right] \right\}, \quad F_R = 1. \quad (19)$$

If we assume that the bunch density is modulated by law $b \times \cos(\frac{\omega_r}{c} Z)$, where b is the modulation depth and ω_r is the modulation frequency, then as shown in the work [21] the longitudinal form-factor of modulated bunch has the following form

$$F_Z(\omega) = \frac{b^2}{4} \exp \left\{ \left[- \left(\frac{\omega_r \sigma_Z}{c} \right)^2 \left(\frac{\omega - \omega_r}{\omega_r} \right)^2 \right] \right\}, \quad (20)$$

where ω_r is the resonant frequency.

The relative linewidth of $F_Z(\omega)$ is equal to $2\Delta\omega/\omega_r = \lambda_r/(\pi\sigma_Z)$, where λ_r is the resonant wavelength. In the case $\lambda_r \ll \pi\sigma_Z/n$, the linewidth of coherent radiation coincides to the linewidth of $F_Z(\omega)$ and is much less than the linewidth of diffraction sine $1/n$, viz., the linewidth of FEL. For the number frequency distribution of radiated photons formed in CU is obtained the following formula

$$N_{coh}(\omega) = N_{ph}(\omega_r) \frac{b^2}{4} N_b^2 e^{-\left(\frac{\sigma_Z}{c}\right)^2 (\omega - \omega_r)^2}. \quad (21)$$

For the total number of the coherently radiated photons, formed over the length $L = n \times l$, we have

$$N_{coh} = \frac{\sqrt{\pi}\alpha}{2\sigma_Z\lambda_r} \left(\frac{qLN_b}{4\gamma} \right)^2 b^2. \quad (22)$$

The photon beam energy spread is equal to $\delta_{coh} = \lambda_r/(2\pi\sigma_Z)$.

The number of incoherent radiated photons with the same energy spread is

$$N_{incoh} = \frac{\alpha N_b}{\sigma_Z\lambda_r} \left(\frac{qL}{2\gamma} \right)^2. \quad (23)$$

The ratio between the coherent and incoherent parts of the number of radiated photons is

$$K = \frac{\sqrt{\pi}}{8} N_b b^2. \quad (24)$$

When the condition $b \gg \frac{2}{\sqrt{N_b}}$ takes place we have $N_{coh} \gg N_{incoh}$.




FIG. 1. Scheme of the experiment to obtain the intense, super-monochromatic, directed X-ray photon beam.

V. NUMERICAL RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Numerical results for the case when the modulated positron bunch falls perpendicular to the CU-section are shown below. Numerical calculations are performed for diamond and the modulated positron bunch, for which the parameters of LCLS bunch modulated in SASE FEL (self-amplified spontaneous emission) process are used.

The modulated positron bunch parameters are: $N_b = 1.56 \times 10^9$, $E = 13.6$ GeV ($\gamma = 2.66 \times 10^4$), $\hbar\omega_r = 8.3$ KeV ($\lambda_r = 1.5$ Å), $\sigma_Z = 9 \times 10^{-4}$ cm.

Positrons are channeled between the (1 1 0) crystallographic planes of a diamond crystal with interplanar distance $d = 1.26$ Å. Then the depth of potential well is $U_0 = 24.9$ eV ($\nu = U_0/mc^2 = 4.87 \times 10^{-5}$) [32], and the energy of plasma oscillations of the diamond medium is $\hbar\omega_p = 38$ eV ($\lambda_p = 3.26 \times 10^{-6}$ cm) [33]. CU represents the periodically bent monocrystal of diamond with the space period $l = 1.4 \times 10^{-3}$ cm ($\lambda_r = \lambda_+ = 2\lambda_p^2/l = 1.5$ Å) and with the amplitude $A = 1.27$ Å ($q = 1.5, Q = 2.125$). The condition $q < q_{ch}$ is satisfied since $q_{ch} = \sqrt{2\nu\gamma} = 1.62$.

Then on the interacted length $L = 0.14$ cm ($n = 10^2$, $L \leq L_D \approx 0.14$ cm) will be generated $4.6 \times 10^{15} b^2$ photons with the energy 8.3 KeV. The relative linewidth of coherent radiated photons' beam is 5.3×10^{-6} (energy spread is equal to 0.022 eV). The incoherent radiated photon number with the same linewidth is 1.3×10^7 .

The total number of incoherent radiated photons with the natural linewidth 2×10^{-4} (with 41.5 eV energy spread) is equal to 2.5×10^{10} . The coherent part of radiation is predominant if the condition $b \gg 10^{-4}$ takes place and one can determine the important parameter b (modulation depth).

VI. CONCLUSION

The problem of coherent X-ray radiation formed in CU by positron bunch with modulated density was solved. When the bunch emitted at the modulation frequency,

the radiation is coherent, if it is formed at a zero angle. Oscillated charged particles radiate also at a zero angle. Since the modulation of the bunch does not last long therefore it is necessary to use the microradiator. The crystalline undulator represents the microradiator. The effective length of channeling is the longest for the positron planar channeling. The radiation formed at the limited frequency range, due to the medium polarization. The boundary frequencies of this range are generated at a zero angle.

But in contrast to channeling radiation, the coherence condition can be satisfied for undulator radiation produced in CU. The minimum frequency of radiation depends only on the space period of CU (does not depend on

the positron energy). Coherence of radiation is carried out with the choice of that parameter. There is a restriction on the amplitude of the CU, based on the preservation of the channeling phenomenon. The frequency distribution of coherent radiation around the resonant frequency has Gaussian form like the initial bunch distribution. The gain is proportional to the square of modulation depth. Measuring intensity of coherent radiation, the modulation depth can be determined experimentally.

In the article, the CU parameters were calculated, the choice of which provides coherent radiation of the positron bunch (with parameters of LCLS) modulated in the SASE FEL process. If modulation depth is not too small, then an X-ray photon beam is formed, more powerful and monochromatic than in the case of SASE FEL.

-
- [1] V. L. Ginzburg, "Ob izluchenii mikroradiovoln i ikh pogloshchenii v vozdukh (On the emission of microwaves and their absorption in air)," *Izvestiya Akad. Nauk SSSR, Ser. Fiz.* **11** (2), 165–182 (1947).
- [2] H. Motz, "Applications of the radiation from fast electron beams," *J. Appl. Phys.* **22** (5), 527–535 (1951).
- [3] K. Landecker, "Possibility of frequency multiplication and wave amplification by means of some relativistic effects," *Phys. Rev.* **86** (6), 852–855 (1952).
- [4] H. Motz, W. Thon, and R. N. Whitehurst, "Experiments on radiation by fast electron beams," *J. Appl. Phys.* **24** (7), 826–833 (1953).
- [5] J. M. J. Madey, "Stimulated emission of bremsstrahlung in a periodic magnetic field," *J. Appl. Phys.* **42** (5), 1906–1913 (1971).
- [6] L. R. Elias, W. M. Fairbank, J. M. Madey, H. A. Schwettman, and T. I. Smith, "Observation of stimulated emission of radiation by relativistic electrons in a spatially periodic transverse magnetic field," *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **36** (13), 717–720 (1976).
- [7] A. M. Kondratenko and E. L. Saldin, "Generation of coherent radiation by a relativistic electron beam in an undulator," *Part. Accel.* **10** (3-4), 207–216 (1980).
- [8] N. A. Korkhmazian, "Izluchenie bystrykh zaryazhenykh chastits v poperechnykh elektrostatocheskikh sinusoidal'nykh polyakh (Radiation of fast charged particles in transverse electric sinusoidal fields)," *Izvestiya ASSR NA, Fizika* **5** (4), 287–288 (1970); "Generatsiya zhestkikh kvantov v elektrostatocheskikh ondulyatorakh (Radiation of fast charged particles in transversal electrostatic sinusoidal fields)," *Izvestiya ASSR NA, Fizika* **5** (6), 418–424 (1970).
- [9] D. F. Alferov, Yu. A. Bashmakov, and E. G. Bessonov, in: N. G. Basov (ed.), *Proc. Lebedev. Phys. Inst. Ser.* **80**, 97 (1976).
- [10] L. A. Gevorgyan and N. A. Korkhmazyan, "Hard undulator radiation in a dispersive medium in the dipole approximation," *Phys. Lett. A* **74**, 453 (1979); "Undulatory radiation in dispersive media," *Zh. Eksp. Teor. Fiz.* **76** 1226 (1979) [*J. Exper. Theoret. Phys.* **49**, 622 (1979)].
- [11] R. H. Pantell, J. Feinstein, A. S. Fisher, T. L. Deloney, M. B. Reid, and W. M. Grossman, "Benefits and costs of the gas-loaded, free electron laser," *Nucl. Instr. and Meth. A* **250**, 312 (1986).
- [12] V. V. Kaplin, S. V. Plotnikov, and S. A. Vorob'ev, "Charged particle radiation while channeling in deformed crystals," *Zh. Eksp. Teor. Fiz.* **50**, 1079 (1980).
- [13] A. V. Korol, A. V. Solov'yov, and W. Greiner, "Coherent radiation of an ultra-relativistic charged particle channeled in a periodically bent crystal," *J. Phys. G* **24**, L45 (1998).
- [14] R. O. Avakian, L. A. Gevorgian, K. A. Ispirian, and R. K. Ispirian, *Pisma Zh. Eksp. Teor. Fiz.* **68** (1998) 437.
- [15] R. O. Avakian, L. A. Gevorgian, K. A. Ispirian, and R. K. Ispirian, "Spontaneous and stimulated radiation of particles in crystalline and nanotube undulators," *Nucl. Instr. and Meth. B* **173**, 112 (2001).
- [16] N. A. Korkhmazian, L. A. Gevorgian, and M. P. Petrosian, "Vliyanie plotnosti raspredeleniya elektronov na kogerentnost' izlucheniya sgustkov (Influence of probability density of electrons on coherence of the bunch radiation)," *Zh. Tech. Fiz.* **47** (8), 1583–1597 (1977) [*Sov. Phys. Tech. Phys.* **22**, 917 (1977)].
- [17] Y. Shibata, K. Ishi, T. Takahashi, T. Kanai, M. Ikezawa, K. Takami, T. Matsuyama, K. Kobayashi, and Y. Fujita, "Observation of coherent transition radiation at millimeter and submillimeter wavelengths," *Phys. Rev. A* **45**, R8340 (1992).
- [18] L. A. Gevorgyan and N. K. Zhevago, "Kogerentnoe izluchenie elektronnykh sgustkov v lazerakh na svobodnykh elektronakh (Coherent radiation of electron clusters in free-electron lasers)," *Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR* **267** (3), 599–601 (1982).
- [19] A. R. Mkrtchyan, L. A. Gevorgian, L. S. Grigorian, B. V. Khachatryan, and A. A. Saharian, "Coherent diffraction radiation from an electron bunch," *Nucl. Instr. and Meth. B* **145** (1-2), 67–72 (1998).
- [20] A. A. Saharian, A. R. Mkrtchyan, L. A. Gevorgian, L. S. Grigoryan, and B. V. Khachatryan, "Radiation from an electron bunch flying over a surface wave," *Nucl. Instr. and Meth. B* **173** (1-2), 211–220 (2001).
- [21] L. A. Gevorgian and A. H. Shamamian, "Coherent amplification of radiation of electron beams interacting with laser beat waves," *Intern. J. Mod. Phys. A* **28**, 1175–1177 (1993).
- [22] A. Shamamian and L. Gevorgian, "Coherent sub-

- millimeter undulator radiation from modulated electron beam,” *Proc. SPIE, International Conference on Charged and Neutral Particles Channeling Phenomena ii* **6634**, 66341C 1–5 (2007).
- [23] J. Rosenzweig, G. Travish, and A. Tremaine, “Coherent transition radiation diagnosis of electron beam microbunching,” *Nucl. Instr. and Meth. A* **365** (1), 255–259 (1995).
- [24] L. A. Gevorgian, K. A. Ispirian, and A. H. Shamamian, “Crystalline undulator radiation of microbunched beams taking into account the medium polarization,” *Nucl. Instr. and Meth. B* **309**, 63–66 (2013).
- [25] K. A. Ispirian, “Coherent X-ray radiation produced by microbunched beams in amorphous and crystalline radiators,” *Nucl. Instr. and Meth. B* **309**, 4–9 (2013).
- [26] H. L. Gevorgyan and L. A. Gevorgian, “Coherent radiation characteristics of modulated electron bunch formed in stack of two plates,” *Nucl. Instr. and Meth. B* **402** (1), 126–129 (2017).
- [27] S. Bellucci, S. Bini, V. M. Biryukov, Yu. A. Chesnokov, S. Dabagov, G. Giannini, V. Guidi, Yu. M. Ivanov, V. I. Kotov, V. A. Maishev, C. Malagù, G. Martinelli, A. A. Petrunin, V. V. Skorobogatov, M. Stefancich, and D. Vincenzi, “Experimental study for the feasibility of a crystalline undulator,” *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **90** (3), 034801 1–3 (2003).
- [28] J. D. Jackson, *Classical Electrodynamics (3rd Ed.)* (John Wiley & Sons, 1999).
- [29] G. Arfken, *Mathematical Methods for Physicists* (Academic Press, 1985).
- [30] B. G. Levich, *Theoretical Physics, Vol. 1: Theory of the Electromagnetic Field, Theory of Relativity* (John Wiley & Sons, 1970).
- [31] E. Hecht, *Optics* (Pearson Education, 2012).
- [32] V. A. Bazilev and N. K. Zhevago, *The radiation of fast particles in medium and in external fields*, Nauka, Moscow, 1987 (in Russian).
- [33] L. A. Gevorgian and L. Hovsepyan, “Novel effects stipulated by medium polarization at planar channeling positron bunch radiation,” *Proc. SPIE* **6634**, 663408 1-18 (2007).
- [34] R. Avakian, L. Gevorgian, and L. Hovsepyan, “Comparison of the SLAC experimental data on the radiation of planar channeled positrons with theory taking into account the medium polarization,” *Nucl. Instr. and Meth. B* **309**, 20–24 (2013).
- [35] H. L. Gevorgyan, “X-ray crystalline undulator radiation in water window,” *Arm. J. Phys.* **14**, 105–109 (2021).
- [36] H. L. Gevorgyan, L. A. Gevorgian, and A. H. Shamamian, “Gain and features of radiation of positrons in a crystalline undulator with sections,” *J. Contemp. Phys.* **56**, 159–168 (2021).
- [37] K. Gevorgyan, L. Gevorgian, and H. Gevorgyan, “Positron bunch radiation in the system of tightly-packed nanotubes,” [arXiv:1512.08282 \[physics.acc-ph\]](https://arxiv.org/abs/1512.08282) (2015).
- [38] A. H. Shamamian, L. A. Gevorgian, and H. L. Gevorgyan, “Dielectric permittivity of electron plasma with laser beat waves,” [arXiv:2407.08791 \[physics.plasm-ph\]](https://arxiv.org/abs/2407.08791) (2024).