Learning Radical Excited States from Sparse Data

Jingkun Shen,† Lucy Walker,‡ Kevin Ma,† James D. Green,† Hugo Bronstein,¶

Keith T. Butler,[†] and Timothy J. H. Hele^{*,†}

†Department of Chemistry, Christopher Ingold Building, University College London, WC1H 0AJ, UK.

‡Yusuf Hamied Department of Chemistry, University of Cambridge, CB2 1EW, UK.

Department of Physics, Cavendish Laboratory, Cambridge University, Cambridge CB3 0HF, UK.

¶Yusuf Hamied Department of Chemistry, University of Cambridge, CB2 1EW, UK.

E-mail:<t.hele@ucl.ac.uk>

Abstract

Emissive organic radicals are currently of great interest for their potential use in the next generation of highly efficient organic light emitting diode (OLED) devices and as molecular qubits. However, simulating their optoelectronic properties is challenging, largely due to spin-contamination and the multireference character of their excited states. Here we present a data-driven approach where, for the first time, the excited electronic states of organic radicals are learned directly from experimental excited state data, using a much smaller amount of data than required by typical Machine Learning. We adopt ExROPPP, a fast and spin-pure semiempirical method for calculation of excited states of radicals, as a surrogate physical model for which we learn the optimal set of parameters. We train the model on 81 previously published radicals and find that the trained model is a huge improvement over ExROPPP with literature parameters, giving RMS and mean absolute errors of 0.24 and 0.16 eV respectively with R^2 and SRCC of 0.86 and 0.88 respectively. We synthesise four new radicals and validate the model on their spectra, finding even lower errors and similar correlation as for the testing set. This model paves the way for high throughput discovery of next-generation radical based optoelectronics.

Introduction

Recent years have shown a great interest in radicals for organic light emitting diodes (OLEDs), which display internal quantum efficiencies (IQE) of near 100% and intense emission in the deep red, NIR and IR spectral regions, features which are unusual and highly desirable.^{[1](#page-18-0)-8} These radical OLEDs, based on organic monoradicals, offer an alternative for the next generation of highly efficient lighting. Furthermore, the optical readout of the quartet state of some radicals has potential applications in quantum information science and paves the way for next-generation molecular qubits.^{[9](#page-19-1)} The discovery of new and improved radical OLEDs is aided by methods to simulate their excited electronic states and UV-visible spectra, with the goal being to find a computational method for high-throughput screening of radicals for their UV-visible spectra. This work will concern only organic monoradicals, i.e. molecules with only one unpaired electron, however, it should be noted that organic biradicals and organic radicals with many unpaired electrons also exist and their excited state properties are generally different to those discussed here. [10](#page-20-0)[,11](#page-20-1)

Calculating the excited electronic states of radicals is challenging due to spin-contamination and the multiconfigurational character of their excited states. There exist several highly accurate methods for calculation of the excited states of radicals, such as MCSCF, CASPT2/GMC-QDPT, Coupled-cluster theory and so on, however, these methods are very computationally expensive, prohibiting them from being used effectively in applications such as high-throughput virtual screening. ^{[12–](#page-20-2)[14](#page-20-3)} Additionally, it has been shown that for the most accurate calculation of excited state energies one must also include nuclear quantum effects. [15](#page-20-4) Recently, an alternative, semiempirical method was developed — ExROPPP, which is significantly faster, yet as accurate as higher level methods for calculating excited states of hydro-carbon radicals.^{[16](#page-20-5)} ExROPPP is a based on the Pariser-Parr-Pople (PPP) Hamiltonian^{17-[21](#page-21-0)} with a subsequent Extended Configuration Interaction Singles (XCIS)^{[22](#page-21-1)} calculation. Being a semiempirical method, PPP theory and consequently ExROPPP requires parameters which must be specified at the start of a calculation.^{17-[21](#page-21-0)} The carbon atom PPP parameters already existing in the literature have been shown to be successful for predicting excited state energies for hydrocarbons in ExROPPP. [16](#page-20-5) However, emissive radicals commonly contain Nitrogen and Chlorine atoms, and we are not aware of any consistent, unified and widely-accepted set of parameters for including heteroatoms such as these in PPP theory or ExROPPP.^{[21,](#page-21-0)[23](#page-21-2)[,24](#page-21-3)} The advent of ExROPPP has opened up the possibility of rapid screening of the electronically excited states of radicals, however, extending and generalizing this method requires an optimal set of parameters to be found.

In recent years Machine Learning (ML) has become an indispensable tool for the study of chemical systems.[25](#page-21-4) Such models allow for accurate prediction of chemical and physical properties with huge computational savings compared to methods such as DFT, provided suf-ficient data are available, and are often seen as an alternative to semiempirical approaches. ^{[26](#page-21-5)} ML has seen numerous applications in predicting energies, structures and reactivity patterns of molecules and materials. [25–](#page-21-4)[31](#page-22-0) Furthermore it has been applied to calculating the excited states of molecules and simulating excited state potential energy surfaces. [26](#page-21-5)[,32–](#page-22-1)[38](#page-23-0) However, while a wealth of previous studies have been successful for closed-shell species, we find very few examples of ML for the electronically excited states of radicals. In recent work ML was applied to calculate the electronically excited states of radicals by training on closed-shell molecules, however to our knowledge, learning the excited states directly from excited state data of organic radicals themselves has yet to be attempted. [39](#page-23-1) Furthermore, typical ML models, in which no strong priors about the system are assumed at the outset, generally re-

quire large amounts of data, e.g. the properties of thousands of molecules or more, in order to be successful.[25,](#page-21-4)[26](#page-21-5)[,28](#page-22-2) In addition, predicting electronic excited states is a challenge for ML, particularly as they are a largely non-local property and, unlike properties such as the total energy, cannot generally be broken down into individual atomistic contributions. Several non-local descriptors exist, but have their own inherent challenges. Also, the prediction of primary outputs of quantum chemistry such as the N-electron wavefunction (and thus the composition of excited electronic states) are a largely unsolved challenge in ML. [35](#page-22-3)

Due to the lack of sufficient excited state data available in databases for organic radicals and the aforementioned challenges, adopting a trusted physical model such as ExROPPP and learning its optimal parameters may be a viable alternative to conventional ML for the excited states of radicals. [16,](#page-20-5)[40](#page-23-2)[–48](#page-24-0) Using such a model also allows for the direct prediction of quantum chemical quantities such as molecular orbitals and transition dipole moments. In this paper we will focus on predicting molecular UV-visible linear absorption spectra and leave the computation of emission spectra, which usually requires difficult to acquire excited state geometries for future research.

The linear UV-visible absorption spectra of organic radicals are usually characterised by two main features. These are an intense absorption in the UV, usually between 300-400 nm, and a much weaker absorption in the visible. The intense UV absorption is often composed of many high energy excited states, and it is hard to generalise about its orbital parentage. The weak visible D_1 state has been more widely investigated by means of calculations and theory. In the special case of alternant hydrocarbons, D_1 is a minus combination $|\Psi_{i0}^-|$ $\frac{1}{i0}$ of the HOMO-SOMO $|\Psi_{\overline{1}}^{\overline{0}}\rangle$ $\frac{\bar{0}}{1}$ and SOMO-LUMO $|\Psi_{0}^{1'}\rangle$ $\binom{1'}{0}$ excitations and is essentially dark in the absorption spectrum. [6,](#page-19-2)[16,](#page-20-5)[49–](#page-24-1)[51](#page-24-2) Alternant hydrocarbons are usually non-emissive as nonradiative processes outcompete fluorescence. On the other hand, in non-alternant molecules, the D_1 state may have significantly higher absorption intensity. One widely explored class of non-alternant radicals are those with a donor-acceptor structure, such as TTM-1Cz, in which the D_1 state is bright, charge transfer (CT) in nature and is mostly composed of the HOMO- SOMO excitation.^{[1](#page-18-0)[,2,](#page-19-3)[9](#page-19-1)} These radicals are also highly emissive and have been incorporated into high-performing OLEDs.^{[1](#page-18-0)[,2](#page-19-3)} Another class of emissive radicals have recently been discovered which lack a donor-acceptor structure and CT characteristics, but instead employ mesityl groups leading to a large increase in the photoluminescence quantum yield. However, mesityl substitution does not significantly affect the absorption characteristics.^{[5](#page-19-4)}

In this paper we learn the excited states of organic radicals directly from their experimental data for the first time. To achieve this we use a modest amount of published UV-visible absorption data to learn an optimal set of ExROPPP parameters for organic radicals containing carbon, hydrogen, nitrogen and chlorine. Four new radicals are synthesised and we test our model on their absorption spectra to demonstrate its predictability and transferability. We find that the trained model has a significantly higher accuracy than the model using parameters taken from the literature and is able to make accurate predictions about the electronic excited states of unseen molecules.

Methodology

Data collection

We obtained spectroscopic data for 81 organic radicals from previously published work whose structures are given in Fig. [1](#page-5-0) and Fig. $2^{0.5-7,9,39,52-60}$ $2^{0.5-7,9,39,52-60}$ $2^{0.5-7,9,39,52-60}$ $2^{0.5-7,9,39,52-60}$ $2^{0.5-7,9,39,52-60}$ $2^{0.5-7,9,39,52-60}$ In order to compile a database of suitable radicals we considered all radicals we could find in the literature containing only carbon, hydrogen, chlorine and pyrrole-, anniline- and pyridine-type nitrogen atoms. Those radicals for which spectroscopic absorption data could be found were added to the database along with their data. We also obtained DFT optimised molecular geometries for these molecules from previous studies. [2,](#page-19-3)[5](#page-19-4)[,9](#page-19-1)[,39,](#page-23-1)[53](#page-25-1) However, the molecular geometries for some molecules could not be found in the literature so these structures were optimised using DFT in this work. Details of these optimisations and other computational details can be found in the SI. These data were used for the training set of the ML ExROPPP model.

Hydrocarbon

Heterocycles with Chlorine and Pyrrole-Type Nitrogen:

Figure 1: Structures of the molecules in the training set containing carbon, hydrogen, chlorine and pyrrole-type nitrogen.

Heterocycles with Chlorine and Aniline-Type Nitrogen:

Heterocycles with Chlorine and Pyridine-Type Nitrogen:

TTM-2PYM

metaPyBTM monosubstituted derivatives

Heterocycles with Chlorine and Mixed Types of Nitrogen:

metaPyBTM monosubstituted derivatives

Figure 2: Structures of the molecules in the training set containing aniline, pyridine and mixed types of nitrogen.

The descriptors used for training are the energies E_{D_1} of the the first excited doublet states (D_1) , the energies E_{brt} of the brightest absorptions in the UV-visible spectra, and $I_{D_1}^{\text{rel.}}$ $\epsilon_{D_1}/\epsilon_{\rm brt}$ which is the ratio of the molar extinction coefficients of these the two absorptions, extracted from linear UV-visible absorption spectra. These descriptors are similar to those previously used in ML of molecular spectra. [26](#page-21-5) Exceptions are made for TTM-1Cz-An and TTM-1Cz-PhAn whereby due to their unusual electronic structure, their first excited doublet state (D_1) is a dark triplet-coupled doublet $2T$ state and the lowest energy bright doublet state is D_2 .^{[9](#page-19-1)} Therefore, for these exceptional molecules we fit the D_2 (instead of D_1) energy and oscillator strength to the corresponding lowest energy D_2 absorption seen in experiment. For these two molecules, we will group this state (D_2) in with the D_1 states for all the other molecules when performing the statistical analysis (see the Results section and SI).

Figure 3: Structures of the four newly synthesised radicals reported in this work: M_2TTM - $4Me$, $M_2TTM-3PCz$, $M_2TTM-3TPA$ and $M_2TTM-4TPA$, which constitute the testing set.

Four new radicals: $M_2TTM-4Me$, $M_2TTM-3PCz$, $M_2TTM-3TPA$ and $M_2TTM-4TPA$,

shown in Fig. [3](#page-7-0) were synthesised, their UV-visible absorption spectra were measured, and their minimum energy geometries were obtained using DFT. The spectroscopic data $(E_{D_1},$ E_{brt} and $I_{D_1}^{\text{rel.}}$) and molecular structures of these new molecules form a testing set for the ML ExROPPP model. The molecular geometries and extracted UV-visible absorption data of all molecules as well as initial and optimised sets of parameters will be uploaded to the UCL Research Data Repository (see Data Availability).

PPP parameterization

We employ largely the same parameterisation of PPP theory as in previous work. 16,21,46,48 16,21,46,48 16,21,46,48 16,21,46,48 16,21,46,48 16,21,46,48 These parameters are the one-electron on-site Coulomb ϵ_{μ} and hopping t parameters, and two-electron Hubbard U and distance scaling r_0 parameters. We use the Mataga-Nishimoto form for the two-electron integrals

$$
\gamma_{\mu\nu} \simeq (\mu \mu | \nu \nu) \n= \frac{U_{\mu\nu}}{1 + \frac{r_{\mu\nu}}{r_{0,\mu\nu}}},
$$
\n(1)

expressed in terms of atomic orbitals, where $r_{\mu\nu}$ is the scalar distance between atoms μ and ν .^{[21](#page-21-0)} However unlike Refs. [16,](#page-20-5)[21,](#page-21-0)[46,](#page-24-4)[48,](#page-24-0) but similar to Ref. [61](#page-26-0) we elect to use an exponentially decaying function which is scaled by the cosine of the dihedral angle for the hopping term of the form $t_{\mu\nu} = A \exp(-b r_{\mu\nu})$. The PPP parameters are atom specific, with a different ϵ_{μ} for each atom type μ and different $t_{\mu\nu}$ for each pair of types of bonded atoms μ and ν . There is only one independent $U_{\mu\mu}$ and $r_{0,\mu\mu}$ for each atom type μ , and an average of the parameters for different atom types is taken for two-electron interactions between two different types of atoms i.e. $U_{\mu\nu} = \frac{1}{2}$ $\frac{1}{2}(U_{\mu\mu} + U_{\nu\nu})$ and $r_{0,\mu\nu} = \frac{1}{2}$ $\frac{1}{2}(r_{0,\mu\mu}+r_{0,\nu\nu})$. We use different parameters for pyridine and pyrrole type nitrogen atoms due to their different chemical properties. Carbon and pyridine type nitrogen atoms contribute one electron to the π -system whereas chlorine and pyrrole type nitrogen atoms contribute two, from lone pairs. We model the atomic cores formed of the nuclei and core electrons as point charges at the nuclei with effective charge of e for carbon and pyridine type nitrogen, and 2e for chlorine and pyrrole type nitrogen, where *e* is the electron charge.

To show the improvement of the trained model, we compare the trained parameters with those initially sourced from the literature. [16,](#page-20-5)[21](#page-21-0)[,46](#page-24-4)[,48](#page-24-0) Full details of the parameterisation used can be found the in SI.

Results

Training

We train the ExROPPP model on experimental UV-visible data of known organic radicals, using a fitness function of the computed energies and intensities compared to those obtained from experiment, which quantifies how well the predictions of the ExROPPP model fit with the experimental data. The fitness function takes the form

$$
f = w_{D_1}(E_{D_1,\text{calc.}} - E_{D_1,\text{exp.}})^2 + w_{\text{brt}}(E_{\text{brt},\text{calc.}} - E_{\text{brt},\text{exp.}})^2 + w_I (I_{D_1,\text{calc.}}^{\text{rel.}} - I_{D_1,\text{exp.}}^{\text{rel.}})^2, \tag{2}
$$

where w_{D_1} , w_{brt} and w_I are the weights of the three respective terms in Eq. [\(2\)](#page-9-0). The weights of the first two terms have units of eV^{-2} and w_I is dimensionless such that f is a dimensionless quantity. While there are many other fitness functions which we could use, such as those based on the theory of optimal transport (between experimental and calculated spectra), we choose to use the above function as it is simple to implement and encapsulates the spectral information of organic radicals which we believe is most important to be able to predict. [62](#page-26-1)

Training is achieved by finding a set of ExROPPP parameters which minimises this fitness function, utilising the Nelder-Mead optimiser in Python, as shown in Fig. [4.](#page-10-0) [63](#page-26-2) The algorithm first reads in the initial parameters, molecular geometries and experimental absorption data for all training molecules and classifies the molecules into hydrocarbons or heterocycles,

which are treated slightly differently. For hydrocarbons, the fitness function comprises of only energy terms, with w_{D_1} and w_{br} set to 1 and w_I set to zero as the D_1 state for hydrocarbons gives a very weak absorption in experiment and in ExROPPP has zero oscillator strength. [49](#page-24-1) For heterocycles, all three terms are included with weights of 1 (except for a few molecules whose bright state data could not be found, see SI). Then the parameters are iteratively varied and the fitness calculated on each iteration until convergence. The manner in which the parameters are varied from iteration to iteration is discussed further in the Supplementary Information. These calculations are parallelised for maximum efficiency.

The results of training of the 81 molecule model are summarized in Fig. [5](#page-11-0) and Table [1.](#page-12-0) We find that the accuracy of the simulated excited state energies improves significantly on training. The RMSE reduces from 0.86 eV with literature parameters to 0.24 eV for the trained model and the MAD reduces from 0.80 eV to 0.16 eV. In terms of correlation, we find a marked improvement in R^2 from -0.71 to 0.86 and a smaller improvement for SRCC going from 0.79 to 0.88 in the trained model compared with the literature parameters.

Figure 4: A flow diagram illustrating our method for training our ExROPPP model on the experimental absorption data of organic radicals.

Figure 5: Regression plots of the excited state energies of the 81 molecule training set calculated by ExROPPP compared with experimentally determined energies, for ExROPPP with parameters obtained from the literature (left) and for the trained ExROPPP model (right). The trained model predicts UV-visible spectra much closer to experiment (red line) than does the literature model.

The simulated spectra of the training set are more accurately reproduced by the trained model than by the model with literature parameters. To illustrate this we have included the spectra of two emissive radicals in the training set which are relevant to optoelectronics: TTM-1CZ and TTM-1Cz-An (see Fig. [6\)](#page-12-1). TTM-1Cz is a prototypical and widely studied emissive radical which has been made into OLEDs, and should be a good reference point for the trained $ExROPPP \text{ model}^1$ $ExROPPP \text{ model}^1$ On the other hand, TTM-1Cz-An is an atypical organic radical which has a complex and unusual electronic structure owing to its first excited state being a quartet and should be a challenging test case for ExROPPP. TTM-1Cz-An has been investigated for potential applications in quantum information technology. [9](#page-19-1) We find that the trained model reproduces the D_1 (D_2 for Cz-An) energies of these molecules significantly more accurately than does the literature parameters. The accuracy for the bright states also improves with the trained parameters. Furthermore, ExROPPP predicts that the quartet state of TTM-1Cz-An is lower in energy than the lowest energy bright state D_2 , in line with experimental data and higher-level calculations in the literature. [9](#page-19-1) The ability of the trained model to accurately capture the absorption spectra and excited state features of both typical

Figure 6: UV-visible absorption spectra of TTM-1Cz and TTM-1Cz-An measured in solution (red), simulated using ExROPPP with literature parameters (blue) and with the trained 81 molecule model (green). The trained model substantially improves on the literature parameters in both cases.

and anomalous radicals shows its flexibility and robustness.

Table 1: Root mean-squared errors (RMSE), mean absolute difference (MAD), R² and Spearman's rank correlation coefficients (SRCC) for the trained ExROPPP model compared to ExROPPP with parameters obtained from the literature, calculated for all states in the training set of 81 organic radicals.

Novel organic radicals

To further validate our model, we synthesized four novel trityl radicals, specifically designed to probe various state-of-the-art concepts previously identified in mono-radical systems (see Fig. [3\)](#page-7-0). Each radical was based on a mesitylated TTM framework, which has been shown to enhance photoluminescence quantum efficiency (PLQE) by augmenting the radiative decay rate.[5](#page-19-4) To evaluate the ExROPPP model with an asymmetric structure and the absence of charge transfer (CT), toluene was appended to the unsubstituted site of the mesitylated trityl radical core through its 4-position. The three other radicals incorporated CT groups, namely 9-phenylcarbazole (PCz) and triphenylamine (TPA), which contain non-bonding nitrogen lone pairs. These non-bonding electrons have been shown to enhance photoluminescent efficiency through a reduction in excitonic coupling to high-frequency vibrational modes.^{[64](#page-26-3)} Through the inclusion of PCz and TPA moieties, we aimed to test the model across electron-donating groups of varying strengths, with TPA being the stronger donor due to the hybridization of its nitrogen heteroatom which influences lone pair availability. Additionally, TPA units were linked to the trityl radical core through both the 3- and 4-positions to assess accuracy in predicting spectroscopic outcomes for different stereoisomers. The combination of mesitylation and non-bonding CT groups provides a promising strategy for developing highly efficient radical emitters and it is crucial that the ExROPPP method can predict outcomes for these cutting-edge radical designs.

The synthesis of the radical species commenced with the formation of α HM₂TTM as previously reported by Murto et. al..^{[5](#page-19-4)} Following this, $\alpha H M_2 T T M$ was reacted with the respective 3- and 4- linked boronic acids of PCz and TPA to form α HM₂TTM-3PCz and α HM₂TTM-4TPA. To create the α H precursors for the other two radical species, the remaining para-chlorine of α HM₂TTM was converted to a boronic ester through a Miyaura borylation before being coupled with 4-iodotoluene or 3-bromotriphenylamine. To convert into their respective radicals, all four α H species were subjected to tetrabutylammonium hydroxide, to form the monoanion, before being oxidised to the radical using para-chloranil. $M_2TTM-4TPA$, $M_2TTM-3TPA$, $M_2TTM-3PCz$ and $M_2TTM-4T$ were formed in a 13%, 56%, 86% and 37% yield respectively. UV-vis absorption measurements were carried for the radicals in a 0.1 mM toluene solution. All four radicals display an intense absorption feature around 370-400 nm, which is characteristic of a local excitation within the TTM radical core. For M_2TTM 3PCz and M_2TTM 4TPA, additional absorption peaks can be seen at 590 and 630 nm respectively. These are attributed to CT transitions between the electron donating group and the electron-accepting TTM core.

We find that the four new molecules confirm the structure-property predictions made in

 $2020⁶$ $2020⁶$ $2020⁶$ that, in order for a significant D_1 absorption the molecule should not be an alternant hydrocarbon and that HOMO on the donor (4Me, 3PCz or TPA in this case) has orbital amplitude on the atom through which it is joined to the acceptor (TTM). $M_2TTM-4Me$ is predicted to have minimal D_1 oscillator strength as it is a *de facto* alternant hydrocarbon, as is observed experimentally. $M_2TTM-3PCz$ contains a five-membered ring and a nitrogen, both of which break alternacy symmetry leading to a bright D_1 state, as is experimentally observed. A simple PPP calculation on TPA alone finds that the HOMO has significant amplitude at the para (4) position but minimal amplitude at the meta (3) position, as shown in Fig. [7.](#page-14-0) This therefore predicts that the TPA to TTM charge transfer excitation will be dark in M₂TTM-3TPA but bright in M₂TTM-4TPA, as is observed experimentally in Fig. [9.](#page-16-0) We believe this is the first direct experimental confirmation of the design rule concerning the HOMO amplitude.

Figure 7: HOMO of TPA calculated by closed-shell PPP (with the optimised parameters obtained from training on 81 radicals).

Testing

We tested the complete trained 81 molecule model on our four new organic radicals: M_2TTM -4Me, $M_2TTM-3PCz$, $M_2TTM-3TPA$ and $M_2TTM-4TPA$ shown in Fig. [3,](#page-7-0) which make up the testing set. We find that the trained model performs well on the testing set, predicting both D_1 and bright state energies with a significantly higher accuracy than the literature parameters, as can be seen in Fig. [8.](#page-15-0) We also calculated the RMSE, MAD, R^2 and SRCC for the testing data, presented in Table [2.](#page-16-1) We find similar values for the errors and correlation metrics for the testing set as seen previously for the training set, again with RMSE and MAD less than 0.3 eV and \mathbb{R}^2 and SRCC of 0.93 and 0.76 respectively. The fact that the errors (RMSE and MAD) for the testing set are actually slightly lower than for the training set further indicates that overfitting did not occur.

Figure 8: Regression plot of the excited state energies of the radicals in the testing set calculated by ExROPPP and compared with experimentally determined energies. 'lit.' refers to the parameters sourced from the literature and 'ML' refers to the parameters of the trained 81 molecule model. It can be clearly seen that the trained model more accurately reproduces the experimental values than ExROPPP with literature parameters for the testing set.

We also compare the ExROPPP simulated UV-visible absorption spectra, with both literature and trained parameters, with the experimental spectra for these four molecules as shown in Fig. [9.](#page-16-0) The simulated spectra of all four molecules are significantly improved after training. As well as a significant improvement in accuracy of the D_1 and bright state

Table 2: Root mean-squared errors (RMSE), mean absolute difference (MAD), R^2 and Spearman's rank correlation coefficients (SRCC) for the testing set of four newly synthesised molecules: $M_2TTM-4Me$, $M_2TTM-3PCz$, $M_2TTM-3TPA$ and $M_2TTM-4TPA$, using i) the trained 81 molecule model and ii) ExROPPP with parameters obtained from the literature.

	Literature parameters	Trained Model	Target
RMSE (all states) / eV	0.73	0.15	< 0.3
MAD (all states) $/$ eV	0.71	0.12	< 0.3
R^2 (all states)	-0.83	0.93	close to 1
SRCC (all states)	0.76	0.76	close to 1

Figure 9: UV-visible absorption spectra of $M_2TTM-4Me$ (top left), $M_2TTM-3PCz$ (top right), $M_2TTM-3TPA$ (bottom left) and $M_2TTM-4TPA$ (bottom right) measured in 0.1 mM toluene solution (red), simulated using ExROPPP with literature parameters (blue) and of the trained 81 molecule ExROPPP model (green).

energies, the shape of the spectra are overall also better captured by the trained ExROPPP model. The only slight outlier is M₂TTM-3TPA, for which ExROPPP predicts a larger D_1 intensity and lower D_1 energy than seen in experiment. Overall, however, the trained model accurately reproduces the absorption spectra of these four unseen molecules.

Conclusions

In this article we have presented the first demonstration of learning from the excited states of radicals. We achieve this by using the spin-pure ExROPPP method as a surrogate model, both to avoid the spin-contamination problem, and to address the limited experimental data in the literature. We find that the trained ExROPPP model performs far better at computing spectral features of organic radicals than the literature parameters. Four new radicals are synthesised and we validate our model by comparing computed against experimental data, finding good agreement and demonstrating its wider applicability as a predictive model. In future work this model could be further extended to predicting the emission spectra of radicals, and also to other atoms and groups common in organic radicals such as O, S and F, nitrile, nitro, aminoxyl and CF_3 ^{[52](#page-24-3)} In summary, this work serves as a major step forward for high-throughput screening and inverse molecular design of radicals with applications in OLEDs and qubits.

Experimental Methods

Characterization techniques of organic radicals

NMR spectra were acquired using a 400 MHz Bruker Avance III HD spectrometer (¹H, 400) MHz; ¹³C, 100 MHz). Chemical shifts are reported in δ (ppm) relative to the solvent peak: chloroform-d (CDCl₃: ¹H, 7.26 ppm; ¹³C, 77.16 ppm) and dichloromethane-d₂ (CD₂Cl₂: ¹H, 5.32 ppm; ¹³C, 53.84 ppm). Mass spectra were obtained on a Waters Xevo G2-S benchtop QTOF mass spectrometer equipped with a electrospray ionization (ESI) or an atmospheric solid analysis probe (ASAP). Flash chromatography was carried out using Biotage Isolera Four System and Biotage SNAP/Sfär Silica flash cartridges.

Steady-state UV-visible spectroscopy

UV–visible spectra were measured with a commercially available Shimadzu UV-1800 spectrophotometer.

Acknowledgments

We thank Richard H. Friend for helpful discussions. TJHH acknowledges a Royal Society University Research Fellowship URF\R1\201502 and a startup grant from University College London. HB acknowledges the grant EP/W017091/1. L.E.W. acknowledges funding from the European Research Council under the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation program grant agreement No. 101020167.

Data availability statement

The Extended Data including the molecular geometries and literature UV-visible absorption data for all molecules, and initial and optimised sets of parameters will be uploaded to the UCL Research Data Repository at https://rdr.ucl.ac.uk/

References

(1) Peng, Q.; Obolda, A.; Zhang, M.; Li, F. Organic Light-Emitting Diodes Using a Neutral pi Radical as Emitter: The Emission from a Doublet. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2015, 54, 7091–7095.

- (2) Ai, X.; Evans, E. W.; Dong, S.; Gillett, A. J.; Guo, H.; Chen, Y.; Hele, T. J. H.; Friend, R. H.; Li, F. Efficient radical-based light-emitting diodes with doublet emission. Nature 2018, 563, 536–540.
- (3) Guo, Z.; Park, S.; Yoon, J.; Shin, I. Recent progress in the development of near-infrared fluorescent probes for bioimaging applications. Chemical Society Reviews 2014, 43, 16– 29.
- (4) Blanco, M.; Villarroya, I. NIR spectroscopy: a rapid-response analytical tool. $TrAC$ Trends in Analytical Chemistry 2002, 21, 240–250.
- (5) Murto, P.; Chowdhury, R.; Gorgon, S.; Guo, E.; Zeng, W.; Li, B.; Sun, Y.; Francis, H.; Friend, R. H.; Bronstein, H. Mesitylated trityl radicals, a platform for doublet emission: symmetry breaking, charge-transfer states and conjugated polymers. Nature Communications 2023, 14, 4147.
- (6) Abdurahman, A.; Hele, T. J.; Gu, Q.; Zhang, J.; Peng, Q.; Zhang, M.; Friend, R. H.; Li, F.; Evans, E. W. Understanding the luminescent nature of organic radicals for efficient doublet emitters and pure-red light-emitting diodes. Nature materials 2020, 19, 1224–1229.
- (7) Li, F.; Gillett, A. J.; Gu, Q.; Ding, J.; Chen, Z.; Hele, T. J.; Myers, W. K.; Friend, R. H.; Evans, E. W. Singlet and triplet to doublet energy transfer: improving organic lightemitting diodes with radicals. Nature Communications 2022, 13, 2744.
- (8) Cho, H.-H.; Gorgon, S.; Londi, G.; Giannini, S.; Cho, C.; Ghosh, P.; Tonnelé, C.; Casanova, D.; Olivier, Y.; Baikie, T. K.; others Efficient near-infrared organic lightemitting diodes with emission from spin doublet excitons. Nature Photonics 2024, 1–8.
- (9) Gorgon, S. et al. Reversible spin-optical interface in luminescent organic radicals. Nature 2023, 620, 538–544.
- (10) Yu, C. P.; Chowdhury, R.; Fu, Y.; Ghosh, P.; Zeng, W.; Mustafa, T. B.; Grüne, J.; Walker, L. E.; Congrave, D. G.; Chua, X. W.; others Near-infrared luminescent openshell π-conjugated systems with a bright lowest-energy zwitterionic singlet excited state. Science Advances 2024, 10, eado3476.
- (11) Mizuno, A.; Matsuoka, R.; Kimura, S.; Ochiai, K.; Kusamoto, T. Spin-Correlated Luminescence of a Carbazole-Containing Diradical Emitter: Single-Molecule Magnetoluminescence and Thermally Activated Emission. Journal of the American Chemical Society 2024, 146, 18470–18483, PMID: 38921686.
- (12) Andersson, K.; Malmqvist, P.-Å.; Roos, B. O. Second-order perturbation theory with a complete active space self-consistent field reference function. The Journal of chemical physics 1992, 96, 1218–1226.
- (13) Nakano, H. Quasidegenerate perturbation theory with multiconfigurational selfconsistent-field reference functions. The Journal of Chemical Physics 1993, 99, 7983– 7992.
- (14) Purvis III, G. D.; Bartlett, R. J. A full coupled-cluster singles and doubles model: The inclusion of disconnected triples. The Journal of chemical physics 1982, 76, 1910–1918.
- (15) Hele, T. J. H.; Monserrat, B.; Alvertis, A. M. Systematic improvement of molecular excited state calculations by inclusion of nuclear quantum motion: A mode-resolved picture and the effect of molecular size. The Journal of Chemical Physics 2021, 154, 244109.
- (16) Green, J. D.; Hele, T. J. H. ExROPPP: Fast, accurate, and spin-pure calculation of the electronically excited states of organic hydrocarbon radicals. The Journal of Chemical Physics 2024, 160, 164110.
- (17) Pariser, R.; Parr, R. G. A Semi-Empirical Theory of the Electronic Spectra and Elec-

tronic Structure of Complex Unsaturated Molecules. I. The Journal of Chemical Physics 1953, 21, 466–471.

- (18) Pariser, R. Theory of the Electronic Spectra and Structure of the Polyacenes and of Alternant Hydrocarbons. The Journal of Chemical Physics 1956, 24, 250–268.
- (19) Pople, J. A. Electron interaction in unsaturated hydrocarbons. Trans. Faraday Soc. 1953, 49, 1375–1385.
- (20) Pople, J. A.; Nesbet, R. K. Self-Consistent Orbitals for Radicals. The Journal of Chemical Physics 1954, 22, 571–572.
- (21) Mataga, N.; Nishimoto, K. Electronic structure and spectra of nitrogen heterocycles. Zeitschrift für Physikalische Chemie 1957, 13, 140–157.
- (22) Maurice, D.; Head-Gordon, M. On the Nature of Electronic Transitions in Radicals: An Extended Single Excitation Configuration Interaction Method. The Journal of Physical Chemistry 1996, 100, 6131–6137.
- (23) Hinze, J.; Beveridge, D. L. Parametrization of semiempirical. pi.-electron molecular orbital calculations.. pi. Systems containing carbon, nitrogen, oxygen, and fluorine. Journal of the American Chemical Society 1971, 93, 3107–3114.
- (24) Van-Catledge, F. A Pariser-Parr-Pople-based set of Hueckel molecular orbital parameters. The Journal of Organic Chemistry 1980, 45, 4801–4802.
- (25) Butler, K. T.; Davies, D. W.; Cartwright, H.; Isayev, O.; Walsh, A. Machine learning for molecular and materials science. Nature 2018, 559, 547–555.
- (26) Montavon, G.; Rupp, M.; Gobre, V.; Vazquez-Mayagoitia, A.; Hansen, K.; Tkatchenko, A.; Müller, K.-R.; Von Lilienfeld, O. A. Machine learning of molecular electronic properties in chemical compound space. New Journal of Physics 2013, 15, 095003.
- (27) Sanchez-Lengeling, B.; Aspuru-Guzik, A. Inverse molecular design using machine learning: Generative models for matter engineering. Science 2018, 361, 360–365.
- (28) Bartók, A. P.; De, S.; Poelking, C.; Bernstein, N.; Kermode, J. R.; Csányi, G.; Ceriotti, M. Machine learning unifies the modeling of materials and molecules. Science Advances 2017, 3, e1701816.
- (29) Deringer, V. L.; Caro, M. A.; Csányi, G. Machine Learning Interatomic Potentials as Emerging Tools for Materials Science. Advanced Materials 2019, 31, 1902765.
- (30) Sršeň, Š.; von Lilienfeld, O. A.; Slavíček, P. Fast and accurate excited states predictions: machine learning and diabatization. Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys. 2024, 26, 4306–4319.
- (31) Chen, W.-K.; Liu, X.-Y.; Fang, W.-H.; Dral, P. O.; Cui, G. Deep Learning for Nonadiabatic Excited-State Dynamics. The Journal of Physical Chemistry Letters 2018, 9, 6702–6708.
- (32) Wu, Z.; Ramsundar, B.; Feinberg, E.; Gomes, J.; Geniesse, C.; Pappu, A. S.; Leswing, K.; Pande, V. MoleculeNet: a benchmark for molecular machine learning. Chem. Sci. 2018, 9, 513–530.
- (33) Roch, L. M.; Saikin, S. K.; Häse, F.; Friederich, P.; Goldsmith, R. H.; León, S.; Aspuru-Guzik, A. From Absorption Spectra to Charge Transfer in Nanoaggregates of Oligomers with Machine Learning. *ACS Nano* **2020**, 14, 6589–6598, PMID: 32338888.
- (34) Lu, C.; Cho, E.; Cui, Z.; Gao, Y.; Cao, W.; Brédas, J.-L.; Coropceanu, V.; Li, F. Towards Efficient and Stable Donor-Acceptor Luminescent Radicals. Advanced Materials 2023, 35, 2208190.
- (35) Westermayr, J.; Marquetand, P. Machine Learning for Electronically Excited States of Molecules. Chemical Reviews 2021, 121, 9873–9926, PMID: 33211478.
- (36) Dral, P. O.; Barbatti, M. Molecular excited states through a machine learning lens. Nature Reviews Chemistry 2021, 5, 388–405.
- (37) Dral, P. O.; Barbatti, M.; Thiel, W. Nonadiabatic excited-state dynamics with machine learning. The journal of physical chemistry letters 2018, 9, 5660–5663.
- (38) Xue, B.-X.; Barbatti, M.; Dral, P. O. Machine Learning for Absorption Cross Sections. The Journal of Physical Chemistry A 2020, 124, 7199–7210, PMID: 32786977.
- (39) Ju, C.-W.; Shen, Y.; French, E. J.; Yi, J.; Bi, H.; Tian, A.; Lin, Z. Accurate Electronic and Optical Properties of Organic Doublet Radicals Using Machine Learned Range-Separated Functionals. The Journal of Physical Chemistry A 2024, 128, 2457–2471, PMID: 38382058.
- (40) Hoffmann, R. An Extended Hückel Theory. I. Hydrocarbons. The Journal of Chemical Physics 1963, 39, 1397–1412.
- (41) Dewar, M. J.; Thiel, W. Ground states of molecules. 38. The MNDO method. Approximations and parameters. Journal of the American Chemical Society 1977, 99, 4899–4907.
- (42) Stewart, J. J. Optimization of parameters for semiempirical methods V: Modification of NDDO approximations and application to 70 elements. Journal of Molecular modeling 2007, 13, 1173–1213.
- (43) Repasky, M. P.; Chandrasekhar, J.; Jorgensen, W. L. PDDG/PM3 and PDDG/MNDO: improved semiempirical methods. Journal of computational chemistry 2002, 23, 1601– 1622.
- (44) Christensen, A. S.; Kubaˇr, T.; Cui, Q.; Elstner, M. Semiempirical Quantum Mechanical Methods for Noncovalent Interactions for Chemical and Biochemical Applications. Chemical Reviews 2016, 116, 5301–5337, PMID: 27074247.
- (45) Fabiano, E.; Keal, T.; Thiel, W. Implementation of surface hopping molecular dynamics using semiempirical methods. Chemical Physics 2008, 349, 334–347, Electron Correlation and Molecular Dynamics for Excited States and Photochemistry.
- (46) Hele, T. J. H.; Fuemmeler, E. G.; Sanders, S. N.; Kumarasamy, E.; Sfeir, M. Y.; Campos, L. M.; Ananth, N. Anticipating Acene-Based Chromophore Spectra with Molecular Orbital Arguments. The Journal of Physical Chemistry A 2019, 123, 2527–2536, PMID: 30802051.
- (47) Hele, T. J. H. On the electronic structure of alternant conjugated organic radicals for light-emitting diode applications. Physical Chemistry of Semiconductor Materials and Interfaces XX. 2021; p 117991A.
- (48) Green, J. D.; Fuemmeler, E. G.; Hele, T. J. H. Inverse molecular design from first principles: Tailoring organic chromophore spectra for optoelectronic applications. The Journal of Chemical Physics 2022, 156, 180901.
- (49) Li Chu, T.; Weissman, S. I. Symmetry Classification of the Energy Levels of Some Triarylmethyl Free Radicals and Their Cations. The Journal of Chemical Physics 1954, 22, 21–25.
- (50) Longuet-Higgins, H. C.; Pople, J. A. The Electronic Spectra of Aromatic Molecules IV: Excited States of Odd Alternant Hydrocarbon Radicals and Ions. Proceedings of the Physical Society. Section A 1955, 68, 591.
- (51) Hudson, J. M.; Hele, T. J. H.; Evans, E. W. Efficient light-emitting diodes from organic radicals with doublet emission. Journal of Applied Physics 2021, 129, 180901.
- (52) Mizuno, A.; Matsuoka, R.; Mibu, T.; Kusamoto, T. Luminescent Radicals. Chemical Reviews 2024, 124, 1034–1121, PMID: 38230673.
- (53) Ai, X.; Chen, Y.; Feng, Y.; Li, F. A Stable Room-Temperature Luminescent Biphenylmethyl Radical. Angewandte Chemie International Edition 2018, 57, 2869–2873.
- (54) Ruberu, S. R.; Fox, M. A. Photochemical behavior of stable free radicals: the photochemistry of perchlorodiphenylmethyl radical. The Journal of Physical Chemistry 1993, 97, 143–149.
- (55) Matsuda, K.; Xiaotian, R.; Nakamura, K.; Furukori, M.; Hosokai, T.; Anraku, K.; Nakao, K.; Albrecht, K. Photostability of luminescent tris(2,4,6-trichlorophenyl)methyl radical enhanced by terminal modification of carbazole donor. *Chem. Commun.* 2022, 58, 13443–13446.
- (56) Gao, Y.; Obolda, A.; Zhang, M.; Li, F. A pure red organic light-emitting diode based on a luminescent derivative of tris(2,4,6-trichlorotriphenyl)methyl radical. Dyes and Pigments 2017, 139, 644–650.
- (57) Dong, S.; Obolda, A.; Peng, Q.; Zhang, Y.; Marder, S.; Li, F. Multicarbazolyl substituted TTM radicals: red-shift of fluorescence emission with enhanced luminescence efficiency. Mater. Chem. Front. 2017, 1, 2132–2135.
- (58) Guo, H.; Peng, Q.; Chen, X.-K.; Gu, Q.; Dong, S.; Evans, E. W.; Gillett, A. J.; Ai, X.; Zhang, M.; Credgington, D.; others High stability and luminescence efficiency in donoracceptor neutral radicals not following the Aufbau principle. Nature materials 2019, 18, 977–984.
- (59) Dong, S.; Xu, W.; Guo, H.; Yan, W.; Zhang, M.; Li, F. Effects of substituents on luminescent efficiency of stable triaryl methyl radicals. Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys. 2018, 20, 18657–18662.
- (60) Yan, C.; An, D.; Chen, W.; Zhang, N.; Qiao, Y.; Fang, J.; Lu, X.; Zhou, G.; Liu, Y. Stable Diarylamine-Substituted Tris(2,4,6-trichlorophenyl)methyl Radicals: One-Step

Synthesis, Near-Infrared Emission, and Redox Chemistry. CCS Chemistry 2022, 4, 3190–3203.

- (61) de Bruijn, S. Pariser-parr-pople-theory: Linderberg's relation and empirical β -values. Chemical Physics Letters 1971, 8, 19–22.
- (62) Seifert, N. A.; Prozument, K.; Davis, M. J. Computational optimal transport for molecular spectra: The fully discrete case. The Journal of Chemical Physics 2021, 155.
- (63) Nelder, J. A.; Mead, R. A Simplex Method for Function Minimization. The Computer Journal **1965**, 7, 308–313.
- (64) Ghosh, P.; Alvertis, A. M.; Chowdhury, R.; Murto, P.; Gillett, A. J.; Dong, S.; Sneyd, A. J.; Cho, H.-H.; Evans, E. W.; Monserrat, B.; others Decoupling excitons from high-frequency vibrations in organic molecules. Nature 2024, 629, 355–362.