

SORTABLE SIMPLICIAL COMPLEXES AND THEIR ASSOCIATED TORIC RINGS

ANTONINO FICARRA, SOMAYEH MORADI

ABSTRACT. Let Γ be a d -flag sortable simplicial complex. We consider the toric ring $R_\Gamma = K[\mathbf{x}_F t : F \in \Gamma]$ and the Rees algebra of the facet ideals $I(\Gamma^{[i]})$ of pure skeletons of Γ . We show that these algebras are Koszul, normal Cohen-Macaulay domains. Moreover, we study the Gorenstein property, the canonical module, and the a -invariant of the normal domain R_Γ by investigating its divisor class group. Finally, it is shown that any d -flag sortable simplicial complex is vertex decomposable, which provides a characterization of the Cohen-Macaulay property of such complexes.

INTRODUCTION

The sortability concept was first defined by Sturmfels [20] for a finite set of monomials generated in one degree in a polynomial ring $S = K[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ over a field K . This concept was extended by Herzog, Hibi and the second author of this paper [7] to a finite set of monomials of arbitrary degrees in S . Toric rings generated by sortable sets of monomials have the desirable property that their defining ideal possesses a quadratic reduced Gröbner basis consisting of binomials that arise from sorting relations, as shown in [7, Theorem 1.4] and [4, Theorem 6.16]. In [12], the notion of sortability was applied to simplicial complexes. To each face F of a simplicial complex Γ , one can associate a monomial $\mathbf{x}_F = \prod_{i \in F} x_i$. A simplicial complex Γ is called *sortable* if the set of monomials \mathbf{x}_F corresponding to the faces $F \in \Gamma$ forms a sortable set of monomials. In the mentioned paper, the Rees algebra of the t -independence ideal $I_t(G)$ of a proper interval graph G was shown to be Koszul and a normal Cohen-Macaulay ring. The ideal $I_t(G)$ is the facet ideal of the t -pure skeleton the independence complex Δ_G of G , which is a sortable simplicial complex in this case [12, Theorem 8].

In this paper, we investigate more generally, how the sortability property for a simplicial complex Γ implies desirable algebraic properties for toric and Rees algebras attached to Γ and for the Stanley-Reisner ring of Γ . We consider d -flag sortable simplicial complexes. A d -flag simplicial complex is a simplicial complex whose all minimal non-faces have cardinality d . This family of simplicial complexes turns out to exhibit interesting algebraic properties. The key reason for this, is that any d -flag sortable simplicial complex is the independence complex $\text{Ind}(\Delta)$ of a so-called unit-interval simplicial complex Δ . Roughly speaking, a unit-interval simplicial complex is a pure simplicial complex whose facets are obtained by taking the sets of facets of

2020 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 13F55, 13A30, 13C14; Secondary 05E40.

Key words and phrases. sortability, toric rings, divisor class group, Cohen-Macaulay.

pure skeletons of some simplices that admit a suitable labeling. This fact is formally established in Theorem 1.1. For a d -flag sortable simplicial complex Γ or equivalently for $\Gamma = \text{Ind}(\Delta)$, where Δ is a unit-interval simplicial complex of dimension $d - 1$, we mainly study

- (a) The Rees algebra $\mathcal{R}(I(\Gamma)^{[t]})$ of the facet ideal of pure skeletons $\Gamma^{[t]}$ of Γ .
- (b) The divisor class group $\text{Cl}(R_\Gamma)$, the Gorenstein property, the canonical module ω_{R_Γ} and the a -invariant of the toric ring $R_\Gamma = K[\mathbf{x}_F t : F \in \Gamma]$.
- (c) The Cohen-Macaulayness of Γ .

We address (a) in Section 1. To this aim, Theorem 1.1 plays a crucial role. In Proposition 1.4, we show that the sortable ideal $I(\Gamma)^{[t]}$ satisfies the ℓ -exchange property. Using a description of the reduced Gröbner basis of the defining ideal of Rees algebras of monomial ideals with the ℓ -exchange property, as given by Herzog, Hibi and Vladioiu in [10] (see Theorem 1.5), we conclude that the Rees algebra $\mathcal{R}(I(\Gamma)^{[t]})$ has a quadratic reduced Gröbner basis. From this fact, we obtain Corollary 1.6, which states that this ring is a Koszul and normal Cohen-Macaulay domain, the ideal $I(\Gamma)^{[t]}$ satisfies the strong persistence property and all of its powers have linear resolutions.

In Sections 2 and 3, we study the Gorenstein property, the a -invariant and the canonical module of the toric ring R_Γ , via its divisor class group. The family of toric rings attached to simplicial complexes were introduced first in [13] and further studied in [5], where their divisor class group was investigated.

When Γ is flag, i.e., 2-flag, Δ may be viewed as a graph G and the ring R_Γ is the toric ring of the stable set polytope of G . Moreover, the sortability of Γ is equivalent to G being a proper interval graph. Notice that any proper interval graph is perfect. For a perfect G and $\Gamma = \text{Ind}(G)$, in [18] it was shown that R_Γ is a normal ring. In Section 2, we consider more generally such flag complexes. For $\Gamma = \text{Ind}(G)$ with G a perfect graph, the divisor class group and the set of height one prime ideals of R_Γ which determine the canonical module ω_{R_Γ} were determined in [13, Theorem 1.10, Corollary 1.12]. Using those descriptions, in Proposition 2.1 we show that the a -invariant of R_Γ is equal to $-(\omega(G) + 1)$, where $\omega(G)$ denotes the clique number of G . In Theorem 2.2, we recover a result by Hibi and Ohsugi [19] which gives a combinatorial characterization for the Gorenstein property of R_Γ , when Γ is the independence complex of a perfect graph. We provide a new short proof based on divisorial computations developed in [13] and [5]. A fundamental fact employed in our arguments is that a normal Cohen-Macaulay domain R , with the canonical module ω_R , is Gorenstein if and only if the so-called *canonical class* $[\omega_R]$ is zero in the divisor class group $\text{Cl}(R)$. These results are applied to $\Gamma = \text{Ind}(\Delta)$ for a 1-dimensional unit-interval simplicial complex Δ in Corollary 2.3.

In Section 3, we consider d -flag sortable simplicial complexes for $d > 2$. In other words, $\Gamma = \text{Ind}(\Delta)$ for a unit-interval simplicial complex Δ with $\dim(\Delta) = d - 1 > 1$. The set of height one monomial prime ideals of R_Γ which do not contain the variable t , is known by [13, Proposition 1.9]. Our aim is to determine all height one monomial prime ideals containing t . We present a family of monomial prime ideals of height one which contain t in Theorem 3.1 and Corollary 3.2. We conjecture

that this family of prime ideals is precisely the set of height one monomial prime ideals of R_Γ which contain t . In Proposition 3.4, we prove this conjecture for a unit-interval simplicial complex Δ whose maximal cliques form a partition of the vertex set of Δ . Knowing the subset of monomial prime ideals containing (t) given in Corollary 3.2 enables us to give a necessary condition for the Gorenstein property of R_Γ in Proposition 3.5 and give an upper bound for the a -invariant of R_Γ in Proposition 3.6. Moreover, in Corollary 3.8 we determine when (t) is a radical ideal, which leads to a simpler description for the canonical module of R_Γ .

Finally, in Section 4 we consider interval simplicial complexes which extend the notion of unit-interval simplicial complexes to non-pure simplicial complexes. In Theorem 4.1, we show that for any interval simplicial complex Δ , the independence complex $\text{Ind}(\Delta)$ is vertex decomposable. This implies that a d -flag sortable simplicial complex is Cohen-Macaulay if and only if it is pure.

1. CHARACTERIZATION OF d -FLAG SORTABLE SIMPLICIAL COMPLEXES AND THEIR ASSOCIATED REES ALGEBRAS

In this section, we study Rees algebras and ideals associated to d -flag sortable simplicial complexes. To this end, we begin by providing a characterization of these complexes, which is equivalent to describing pure $(d - 1)$ -dimensional simplicial complexes Δ for which the independence complex of Δ is sortable.

We begin by recalling relevant notation and definitions. Hereafter, Δ is a simplicial complex on the vertex set $[n] = \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ and $S = K[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ is the polynomial ring over a field K . We say that a face $F \in \Delta$ has dimension d , if $|F| = d + 1$. The *dimension* of Δ is defined as the maximum dimension of the faces of Δ and is denoted by $\dim(\Delta)$. A *facet* of Δ is a maximal face of Δ with respect to inclusion. We denote by $\mathcal{F}(\Delta)$, the set of all facets of Δ , and we say that Δ is *pure* if all facets of Δ have the same dimension. Furthermore, the *facet ideal* of Δ is defined as $I(\Delta) = (\mathbf{x}_F : F \in \mathcal{F}(\Delta))$.

Now, let Δ be a pure $(d - 1)$ -dimensional simplicial complex Δ . A subset $C \subseteq [n]$ is called a *clique* of Δ , if all d -subsets of C are facets of Δ . A subset $D \subseteq [n]$ is called an *independent set* of Δ , if D contains no facet of Δ . The collection of all independent sets of Δ is a simplicial complex, called the *independence complex* of Δ , and denoted by $\text{Ind}(\Delta)$.

We recall the concept of *sortability* from [7]. Let u and v be two monomials of S , and write

$$uv = x_{i_1}x_{i_2} \cdots x_{i_r} \quad \text{with} \quad i_1 \leq i_2 \leq \cdots \leq i_r.$$

We define the *sorting* of the pair (u, v) as

$$\text{sort}(u, v) = (u', v'), \quad \text{with} \quad u' = \prod_{j \in F} x_{i_j}, \quad v' = \prod_{j \in G} x_{i_j},$$

where $F = \{j : 1 \leq j \leq r, j \text{ is odd}\}$ and $G = \{j : 1 \leq j \leq r, j \text{ is even}\}$. If $\text{sort}(u, v) = (u, v)$, the pair (u, v) is called a *sorted pair*, and otherwise it is called an *unsorted pair*. A finite set of monomials $\mathcal{M} \subset S$ is called *sortable*, if $\text{sort}(u, v) \in \mathcal{M} \times \mathcal{M}$ for all $u, v \in \mathcal{M}$.

Now, let Δ be a simplicial complex. We say that Δ is *sortable*, if the set of monomials $\mathcal{M}_\Delta = \{\mathbf{x}_F : F \in \Delta\}$ is sortable. Here $\mathbf{x}_F = \prod_{i \in F} x_i$ for a non-empty subset F of $[n]$ and $\mathbf{x}_\emptyset = 1$. Given $F, G \in \Delta$, we set $\text{sort}(F, G) = (F', G')$, where the sets F', G' are defined by the equation $\text{sort}(\mathbf{x}_F, \mathbf{x}_G) = (\mathbf{x}_{F'}, \mathbf{x}_{G'})$.

Given positive integers $i \leq j$, we denote by $[i, j]$ the interval $\{i, i+1, \dots, j\}$. Following [1] and [2], a simplicial complex Δ of dimension $d-1$ is called a *unit-interval simplicial complex* if Δ is pure, and for any facet $F = \{i_1 < \dots < i_d\} \in \Delta$, the interval $[i_1, i_d]$ is a clique of Δ . For such a simplicial complex, the maximal cliques of Δ are intervals.

The following theorem gives a characterization of d -flag sortable simplicial complexes.

Theorem 1.1. *Let Δ be a pure $(d-1)$ -dimensional simplicial complex. Then $\text{Ind}(\Delta)$ is sortable if and only if Δ is a unit-interval simplicial complex.*

Proof. Suppose that $\text{Ind}(\Delta)$ is sortable. Let $F = \{i_1 < i_2 < \dots < i_d\}$ be a facet of Δ . We need to show that the interval $B = [i_1, i_d]$ is a clique of Δ . Let $\ell \in B \setminus F$. We have $i_{j-1} < \ell < i_j$ for some $2 \leq j \leq d$. Consider the d -subsets of B defined as follows,

$$\begin{aligned} G &= \{i_1 < \dots < i_{j-1} < \ell < i_{j+1} < \dots < i_d\}, \\ H &= \{i_1 < \dots < i_{j-2} < \ell < i_j < i_{j+1} < \dots < i_d\}. \end{aligned}$$

We say that G and H are obtained from F by a *1-step exchange*. It is clear that any d -subset of B can be obtained from F by performing finitely many 1-step exchanges. We claim that G and H are again facets of Δ . Then, iterations of 1-step exchanges yield that B is indeed a clique of Δ .

Assume by contradiction that $G \notin \mathcal{F}(\Delta)$. Then $G \in \text{Ind}(\Delta)$. Furthermore, the set $F_1 = F \setminus \{i_{j-1}\}$ is also independent, for it has size $d-1$. Since $\text{Ind}(\Delta)$ is sortable, it follows that $\text{sort}(F_1, G) \in \text{Ind}(\Delta) \times \text{Ind}(\Delta)$. However, $\text{sort}(F_1, G) = (F, G_1)$, where $G_1 = G \setminus \{i_{j-1}\}$. This is absurd, since $F \in \mathcal{F}(\Delta)$. Hence, we have $G \in \mathcal{F}(\Delta)$. Similarly, one can show that H is a facet of Δ .

Conversely, suppose that Δ is a unit-interval simplicial complex of dimension $d-1$. We show that $\text{Ind}(\Delta)$ is sortable. Let $F, G \in \text{Ind}(\Delta)$ with $|F| = r$ and $|G| = s$, and write $\mathbf{x}_F \mathbf{x}_G = x_{i_1} x_{i_2} \dots x_{i_{r+s}}$ with $i_1 \leq i_2 \leq \dots \leq i_{r+s}$. We need to show that $\text{sort}(F, G) = (F', G') \in \text{Ind}(\Delta) \times \text{Ind}(\Delta)$, where $F' = \{i_k : 1 \leq k \leq r+s, k \text{ is odd}\}$ and $G' = \{i_k : 1 \leq k \leq r+s, k \text{ is even}\}$. Notice that if $r+s \leq 2(d-1)$, then $|F'| \leq d-1$ and $|G'| \leq d-1$. So both F' and G' are independent, that is $(F', G') \in \text{Ind}(\Delta) \times \text{Ind}(\Delta)$. Now, suppose that $r+s \geq 2d-1$, and by contradiction assume that at least one of F' and G' is not an independent set of Δ , say F' . Then F' contains a facet $H = \{j_1 < j_2 < \dots < j_d\} \in \Delta$. By our assumption, the interval $B = [j_1, j_d]$ is a clique of Δ . Notice that each j_p is equal to i_{2q-1} for some q . Therefore, the set $A = \{k : j_1 \leq i_k \leq j_d\}$ contains at least $2d-1$ elements. Since F and G are independent sets of Δ and B is a clique of Δ , we have $|B \cap F| \leq d-1$ and $|B \cap G| \leq d-1$. These inequalities imply that $|A| \leq 2d-2$, which is absurd. \square

Given a simplicial complex Γ , let $R_\Gamma = K[\mathbf{x}_F t : F \in \Gamma] \subset S[t]$. For an integer $i \geq 0$, we denote by $\Gamma^{(i)} = \{F \in \Gamma : \dim F \leq i\}$ the *i*th skeleton of Γ , and by $\Gamma^{[i]} = \langle F \in \Gamma : \dim F = i \rangle$ the *i*th pure skeleton of Γ . In [12, Corollary 13], it was shown that if Γ is sortable, then $R_{\Gamma^{[i]}}$ is a Koszul and normal Cohen-Macaulay ring. However, we have more generally,

Proposition 1.2. *Let Γ be a sortable simplicial complex. Then R_Γ , $R_{\Gamma^{(i)}}$ and $R_{\Gamma^{[i]}}$ are Koszul, normal Cohen-Macaulay domains. In particular, this holds when $\Gamma = \text{Ind}(\Delta)$ and Δ is a unit-interval simplicial complex.*

Proof. Note that if Γ is sortable, then all its (pure) skeletons $\Gamma^{(i)}$ and $\Gamma^{[i]}$ are sortable. Therefore, by [7, Theorem 1.4], there exist the so-called *sorting monomial orders* $<$ on the polynomial rings $K[y_F : F \in \Gamma^{(i)}]$ and $K[y_F : F \in \Gamma^{[i]}]$. So the defining ideal of the toric algebras $R_{\Gamma^{(i)}} = K[\mathbf{x}_F t : F \in \Gamma^{(i)}]$ and $R_{\Gamma^{[i]}} = K[\mathbf{x}_F t : F \in \Gamma^{[i]}]$ have quadratic reduced Gröbner bases with respect to the sorting order, consisting of binomials obtained from the unsorted pairs of monomials in $I(\Gamma^{(i)})$ and $I(\Gamma^{[i]})$, respectively. It follows that these rings are Koszul, normal Cohen-Macaulay domains, see [9, Theorem 2.28, Corollary 4.26] and [15, Theorem 1].

The second statement follows from Theorem 1.1 and the first statement. \square

Next, we study the Rees algebra $\mathcal{R}(I(\Gamma^{[t]}))$ for a sortable simplicial complex Γ and a positive integer t . To this aim we need to recall the concept of ℓ -exchange property. The *support* of a monomial $u \in S$ is the set $\text{supp}(u) = \{x_i : x_i \text{ divides } u\}$.

Let $I \subset S$ be an equigenerated monomial ideal, and let $A = K[u : u \in \mathcal{G}(I)]$ be the toric algebra attached to I . Here $\mathcal{G}(I)$ denotes the minimal monomial generating set of I . Then we may write $A \cong R/L$, where $R = K[y_u : u \in \mathcal{G}(I)]$ is the polynomial ring and L is the kernel of the K -algebra homomorphism $R \rightarrow A$ with $y_u \mapsto u$ for any $u \in \mathcal{G}(I)$. We fix a monomial order $<$ on R . A monomial $w \in R$ is called a *standard monomial* of L with respect to $<$, if $w \notin \text{in}_<(L)$.

The concept of ℓ -exchange property was defined in [10], as follows.

Definition 1.3. Keeping the above notation, we say that I satisfies the *ℓ -exchange property* with respect to the monomial order $<$ on R , if the following condition is satisfied: let $y_{u_1} \cdots y_{u_N}$ and $y_{v_1} \cdots y_{v_N}$ be two standard monomials of L with respect to $<$ such that

- (i) $\deg_{x_r}(u_1 \cdots u_N) = \deg_{x_r}(v_1 \cdots v_N)$ for $r = 1, \dots, q-1$ with $q \leq n-1$,
- (ii) $\deg_{x_q}(u_1 \cdots u_N) < \deg_{x_q}(v_1 \cdots v_N)$.

Then there exists an integer k , and an integer $q < j \leq n$ with $x_j \in \text{supp}(u_k)$ such that $x_q u_k / x_j \in I$.

Proposition 1.4. *Let Δ be a unit-interval simplicial complex on the vertex set $[n]$, and let $\Gamma = \text{Ind}(\Delta)$. Then for all $t \geq 1$, the ideal $I(\Gamma^{[t]})$ satisfies the ℓ -exchange property with respect to the sorting order $<$.*

Proof. Let $I = I(\Gamma^{[t]})$, and let $y_{u_1} \cdots y_{u_N}$ and $y_{v_1} \cdots y_{v_N}$ be standard monomials of R with respect to the sorting order $<$ and satisfying (i) and (ii) of Definition 1.3. Since the non-sorted pairs correspond to initial terms in the sorting order, and $y_{u_1} \cdots y_{u_N}$

and $y_{v_1} \cdots y_{v_N}$ do not belong to $\text{in}_<(L)$, we conclude that all the pairs (u_i, u_j) and (v_i, v_j) are sorted for any $i < j$. Let $u_j = x_{i_{j,1}} \cdots x_{i_{j,t+1}}$ and $v_j = x_{i'_{j,1}} \cdots x_{i'_{j,t+1}}$ for any $1 \leq j \leq N$. Then by [4, Relation (6.3)],

$$i_{1,1} \leq i_{2,1} \leq \cdots \leq i_{N,1} \leq i_{1,2} \leq i_{2,2} \leq \cdots \leq i_{N,2} \leq \cdots \leq i_{1,t+1} \leq i_{2,t+1} \leq \cdots \leq i_{N,t+1}$$

and

$$i'_{1,1} \leq i'_{2,1} \leq \cdots \leq i'_{N,1} \leq i'_{1,2} \leq i'_{2,2} \leq \cdots \leq i'_{N,2} \leq \cdots \leq i'_{1,t+1} \leq i'_{2,t+1} \leq \cdots \leq i'_{N,t+1}.$$

By assumption $\deg_{x_r}(u_1 \cdots u_N) = \deg_{x_r}(v_1 \cdots v_N)$ for $r = 1, \dots, q-1$ with $q \leq n-1$. So from the above inequalities we obtain $i_{j,k} = i'_{j,k}$ for any $i_{j,k} \leq q-1$. Hence $\deg_{x_r}(u_j) = \deg_{x_r}(v_j)$ for all $1 \leq j \leq N$ and $1 \leq r \leq q-1$. By our assumption there exists an integer $1 \leq m \leq N$ such that $\deg_{x_q}(u_m) < \deg_{x_q}(v_m)$.

Let $u_m = x_{k_1} x_{k_2} \cdots x_{k_t}$, $v_m = x_{\ell_1} x_{\ell_2} \cdots x_{\ell_t}$ such that $k_1 < \cdots < k_t$ and $\ell_1 < \cdots < \ell_t$ and $q = \ell_i$ for some $1 \leq i < t$. Then $k_1 = \ell_1, \dots, k_{i-1} = \ell_{i-1}$ and $k_i > \ell_i = q$. We show that $x_{\ell_i} u_m / x_{k_i} \in I$. Suppose that this is not the case. Then $(\text{supp}(u_m) \setminus \{x_{k_i}\}) \cup \{x_{\ell_i}\}$ contains a facet F of Δ . Obviously, $x_{\ell_i} \in F$. We claim that $x_{k_s} \in F$ for some $s > i$. Otherwise, since $k_1 = \ell_1, \dots, k_{i-1} = \ell_{i-1}$, we get $F \subseteq \{x_{\ell_1}, \dots, x_{\ell_{i-1}}, x_{\ell_i}\} \subseteq \text{supp}(v_m)$, which contradicts to $v_m \in I$. So we have $x_{k_s} \in F$ for some $s > i$. Then, we have $\ell_i < k_i < k_s$. Since $x_{\ell_i}, x_{k_s} \in F$ and Δ is a unit-interval simplicial complex, in both cases it follows that $F' = (F \setminus \{\ell_i\}) \cup \{k_i\} \in \Delta$. Notice that $F' \subseteq \text{supp}(u_m)$, with $|F'| = |F|$. Since Δ is pure, these imply that F' is a facet of Δ , and $\text{supp}(u_m) \notin \Gamma$. So we get $u_m \notin I(\Gamma^{[t]})$, which is a contradiction. Thus $x_{\ell_i} u_m / x_{k_i} \in I$, as desired. \square

The next result follows by combining [10, Theorem 5.1] with [4, Theorem 6.16].

Theorem 1.5. *Let $I \subset S$ be an equigenerated monomial ideal which is sortable and satisfies the ℓ -exchange property with respect to the sorting order. Then there exists a monomial order $<$ on the polynomial ring $T = S[y_u : u \in \mathcal{G}(I)]$ such that the reduced Gröbner basis of the defining ideal $J \subset T$ of the Rees algebra $\mathcal{R}(I)$ with respect to $<$ is quadratic.*

The next corollary generalizes [12, Corollary 16], where the following result was shown for flag (2-flag) sortable complexes.

Corollary 1.6. *Let Γ be a d -flag sortable simplicial complex. Then*

- (a) $\mathcal{R}(I(\Gamma^{[t]}))$ is a Koszul, normal Cohen-Macaulay domain.
- (b) All powers of $I(\Gamma^{[t]})$ have linear resolutions.
- (c) $I(\Gamma^{[t]})$ satisfies the strong persistence property.

Proof. Since Γ is d -flag, we have $\Gamma = \text{Ind}(\Delta)$, where Δ is a pure simplicial complex of dimension $d-1$. By Theorem 1.1, the sortability of Γ implies that Δ is a unit-interval simplicial complex. So by Proposition 1.4, $I(\Gamma^{[t]})$ satisfies the ℓ -exchange property with respect to the sorting order $<$. Since $I(\Gamma^{[t]})$ is a sortable monomial ideal, by Theorem 1.5, the defining ideal of the Rees algebra $\mathcal{R}(I(\Gamma^{[t]}))$ has a quadratic reduced Gröbner basis. Using this fact, then (a), (b) and (c) follow respectively from [9, Theorem 2.28, Corollary 4.26], [11, Corollary 1.2] and [14, Corollary 1.6]. \square

2. THE TORIC RING OF INDEPENDENCE COMPLEX OF PERFECT GRAPHS

Let Γ be a d -flag sortable simplicial complex. We study the Gorenstein property and the a -invariant of the normal toric ring R_Γ by investigating the divisor class group $\text{Cl}(R_\Gamma)$ of this ring. As discussed in Section 1, R_Γ is normal and $\Gamma = \text{Ind}(\Delta)$, where Δ is a unit-interval simplicial complex of dimension $d - 1$. In this section, we consider the case $d = 2$. The case $d > 2$ will be discussed in Section 3.

When $d = 2$, we may view Δ as a graph whose edges are the facets of Δ . Then Γ is the independence complex of a proper interval graph G , see [12]. In this section, we consider more generally the family of perfect graphs, which indeed includes proper interval graphs. Recall that a graph G is called a *perfect* graph, if G and G^c do not contain induced odd cycles of length $r > 3$. Here, by G^c we denote the *complementary graph* of G . That is $V(G^c) = V(G)$ and the edges of G^c are the non-edges of G .

As was mentioned in the introduction, when $\Gamma = \text{Ind}(G)$ and G is a perfect graph, the ring R_Γ is a normal Cohen-Macaulay domain. Moreover, the divisor class group and the set of height one prime ideals of R_Γ which determine the canonical module ω_{R_Γ} of R_Γ were described in [13, Theorem 1.10, Corollary 1.12].

The K -algebra R_Γ is standard graded if we put $\deg(x_1^{a_1} \cdots x_n^{a_n} t^k) = k$. Recall that the a -invariant of a graded Cohen-Macaulay ring R admitting a graded canonical module ω_R is defined as $a(R) = -\min\{j : (\omega_R)_j \neq 0\}$.

The *clique number* of a graph G is defined as the maximum cardinality of cliques of G , and is denoted by $\omega(G)$.

Proposition 2.1. *Let G be a perfect graph and $\Gamma = \text{Ind}(G)$. Then $a(R_\Gamma) = -(\omega(G) + 1)$. In particular, $x_1 \cdots x_n t^{\omega(G)+1} \in \omega_{R_\Gamma}$.*

Proof. Let C_1, \dots, C_r be the minimal vertex covers of the graph G_Γ whose edge set is $\mathcal{F}(\Gamma^{[1]})$. By [13, Theorem 1.10], we have $\omega_{R_\Gamma} = P_{C_1} \cap \cdots \cap P_{C_r} \cap Q_1 \cap \cdots \cap Q_n$, where $P_{C_i} = (\mathbf{x}_{Ft} : F \in \Gamma_{C_i})$ and $Q_i = (\mathbf{x}_{Ft} : F \in \Gamma, i \in F)$. Here Γ_{C_i} is the induced subcomplex of Γ on the set C_i .

We set $u = x_1 \cdots x_n t^{\omega(G)+1}$ and $p_u = (1, \dots, 1, \omega(G) + 1) \in \mathbb{N}^{n+1}$. Notice that $G_\Gamma = G^c$. Moreover, $C_i = [n] \setminus B_i$, where B_i is a maximal independent set of $G_\Gamma = G^c$ for $1 \leq i \leq r$. The maximal independent sets of G^c are just the maximal cliques of G . Therefore, $B_i = [n] \setminus C_i$ for $i = 1, \dots, r$ are the maximal cliques of G . By the proof of [13, Theorem 1.3] the support form of P_{C_i} is $f_i(x) = -\sum_{j \in B_i} x_j + x_{n+1} = 0$ for $i = 1, \dots, r$. Moreover, by [13, Proposition 1.9], the support form of Q_j is $g_j(x) = x_j$ for all j . First we show that $u \in \omega_{R_\Gamma}$. Notice that $f_i(p_u) = -|B_i| + \omega(G) + 1 > 0$, since $|B_i| \leq \omega(G)$ for all i . So $u \in P_{C_i}$ for all i . Moreover, $g_j(p_u) = 1 > 0$ and hence $u \in Q_j$ for all j . Therefore, $u \in \omega_{R_\Gamma}$. This shows that $a(R_\Gamma) \geq -(\omega(G) + 1)$. Now, consider an element $v = x_1^{a_1} \cdots x_n^{a_n} t^k \in \omega_{R_\Gamma}$. Then for $p_v = (a_1, \dots, a_n, k)$ we have $f_i(p_v) > 0$ and $g_j(p_v) > 0$ for all i and j . It follows that $\sum_{j \in B_i} a_j < k$ for any $1 \leq i \leq r$ and $a_j > 0$ for all j . Hence $|B_i| \leq \sum_{j \in B_i} a_j < k$ for all $1 \leq i \leq r$. This implies that $\omega(G) < k$. Hence $k \geq \omega(G) + 1$. Therefore, $a(R_\Gamma) \leq -(\omega(G) + 1)$. \square

Next, we provide a new proof of the Gorenstein characterization of R_Γ given in [19, Theorem 2.1(b)] in a simpler fashion.

Theorem 2.2. *Let G be a perfect graph and $\Gamma = \text{Ind}(G)$. The following conditions are equivalent.*

- (a) R_Γ is Gorenstein.
- (b) All the maximal cliques of G have the same cardinality.
- (c) G^c is unmixed.

Moreover, if the equivalent conditions hold, then

$$\omega_{R_\Gamma} = (x_1 \cdots x_n t^{\omega(G)+1}).$$

Proof. Let C_1, \dots, C_r be the minimal vertex covers of the graph G^c . As was shown in the proof of Proposition 2.1, $C_i = [n] \setminus B_i$ for all i , where B_1, \dots, B_r are the maximal cliques of G . The support form of P_{C_i} is $f_i(x) = -\sum_{j \in B_i} x_j + x_{n+1} = 0$ for $i = 1, \dots, r$. Now, by [5, Theorem 4.3], R_Γ is Gorenstein if and only if there exists an integer a such that $1 + |B_i| = a$ for all $i = 1, \dots, r$. This implies that the statements (a) and (b) are equivalent. Since any minimal vertex cover of G^c is of the form $[n] \setminus B_i$, we see that (b) is further equivalent to (c).

Finally, suppose that R_Γ is Gorenstein. Then, the assertion about the canonical module follows from Proposition 2.1 and the fact that ω_{R_Γ} is a principal ideal generated in degree $-a(R_\Gamma) = \omega(G) + 1$. \square

We apply these results to a 1-dimensional unit-interval simplicial complex Δ . Let G_Δ be the graph whose edges are the facets of Δ . Since G_Δ is a proper interval graph, it is perfect. Hence, as a special case of Theorem 2.2 we have

Corollary 2.3. *Let Δ be a 1-dimensional unit-interval simplicial complex, and let $\Gamma = \text{Ind}(\Delta)$. Then the a -invariant of R_Γ is equal to*

$$-\max\{|B| + 1 : B \text{ is a maximal clique of } \Delta\}.$$

Moreover, R_Γ is Gorenstein if and only if G_Δ^c is unmixed, and in this case

$$\omega_{R_\Gamma} = (x_1 \cdots x_n t^{\omega(G)+1}).$$

3. THE DIVISOR CLASS GROUP OF THE TORIC RING OF SORTABLE SIMPLICIAL COMPLEXES

Throughout this section Γ is a d -flag sortable simplicial complex with $d > 2$. In other words, $\Gamma = \text{Ind}(\Delta)$, where Δ is a unit-interval simplicial complex on $[n]$ with $\dim(\Delta) = d - 1 > 1$. We investigate the divisor class group $\text{Cl}(R_\Gamma)$, the canonical module ω_{R_Γ} , and the Gorenstein property of R_Γ .

By [13, Theorem 1.1], the minimal prime ideals of $(t) \subset R_\Gamma$ determine $\text{Cl}(R_\Gamma)$. Moreover, from [13, Proposition 1.4] we know that for any minimal vertex cover C of the graph G_Γ whose edge are the facets of $\Gamma^{[1]}$, the ideal $P_C = (\mathbf{x}_F t : F \in \Gamma_C)$ is a minimal prime ideal of (t) , where Γ_C is the induced subcomplex of Γ on the set C . Since by assumption $d > 2$, it follows that G_Γ is the complete graph on the vertex set $[n]$. Hence $\{[n] \setminus \{i\} : i \in [n]\}$ is the set of minimal vertex covers of G_Γ . Let $C_i = [n] \setminus \{i\}$ for all i . We denote the prime ideal $P_{C_i} = (\mathbf{x}_F t : F \in \Gamma_{C_i})$ by P_i . Hence, P_1, \dots, P_n are among the minimal prime ideals of (t) .

The next result presents another family of minimal prime ideals of (t) . Let B_1, \dots, B_m be the maximal cliques of Δ , which are indeed intervals. For each $j \in [m]$, we consider the monomial ideal

$$L_j = (\mathbf{x}_F t : F \in \Gamma \text{ and } f_j(p_F) > 0),$$

where

$$f_j(x) = -\left(\sum_{k \in B_j} x_k\right) + (d-1)x_{n+1}$$

and $p_F = \sum_{i \in F} e_i + e_{n+1} \in \mathbb{Z}^{n+1}$. Here, e_1, \dots, e_{n+1} is the canonical basis of \mathbb{Z}^{n+1} .

Theorem 3.1. *With the notation introduced, L_j is a minimal monomial prime ideal of $(t) \subset R_\Gamma$, for all $j \in [m]$.*

Proof. Firstly, we prove that L_j is a prime ideal of R_Γ . To this end, it is enough to show that the hyperplane H_j defined by f_j is a supporting hyperplane of $\mathbb{R}_+ A_\Gamma$, where A_Γ is the affine semigroup generated by the lattice points p_F with $F \in \Gamma$ and $\mathbb{R}_+ A_\Gamma$ is the smallest cone containing A_Γ . To do so, let $F \in \Gamma$. Since B_j is a clique of Δ and F is an independent set of Δ , it follows that F contains at most $d-1$ elements from B_j . Hence, $f_j(p_F) \geq 0$, and $f_j(p_F) = 0$ if and only if $|F \cap B_j| = d-1$. This shows that $H_j \cap \mathbb{R}_+ A_\Gamma \neq \emptyset$ and $f_j(p_F) \geq 0$ for all $F \in \Gamma$. Hence, H_j is a supporting hyperplane of $\mathbb{R}_+ A_\Gamma$ and L_j is a prime ideal containing t .

Now, we show that L_j is a minimal prime ideal of (t) . Let P be a minimal prime ideal of (t) such that $(t) \subseteq P \subseteq L_j$. We will prove that $P = L_j$. We proceed by induction on $i > 0$ to show that $\mathbf{x}_F t \in P$ for all $F \in \Gamma$ with $|F| = i$ such that $f_j(p_F) > 0$.

Let $i = 1$. Notice that for any $F \in \Gamma$ with $|F| = 1$, we have $f_j(p_F) > 0$. So $x_k t \in L_j$ for all $k \in [n]$. By [13, Lemma 1.2], the set $C = \{k \in [n] : x_k t \in P\}$ is a vertex cover of G_Γ . Since G_Γ is the complete graph, either $C = [n]$ or $C = [n] \setminus \{h\}$ for some $h \in [n]$. Hence, we must show that $C = [n]$. Suppose by contradiction this is not the case. Then $C = [n] \setminus \{h\}$ and $x_h t \notin P$. Since $|B_j| \geq d$, we can find a $(d-1)$ -subset of B_j , call it F , which does not contain h . Then $F \in \Gamma$ and $f_j(p_F) = 0$. Hence $\mathbf{x}_F t \notin L_j$, and so $\mathbf{x}_F t \notin P$, too. Let $q \in F$. Then $F_1 = (F \setminus \{q\}) \cup \{h\} \in \Gamma$ because $|F_1| = d-1$. Therefore, $(x_h t)(\mathbf{x}_F t) = (x_q t)(\mathbf{x}_{F_1} t) \in P$ because $q \in C$. This is a contradiction, because $x_h t, \mathbf{x}_F t \notin P$. This shows that indeed $C = [n]$.

Suppose now $i > 1$. Let $F \in \Gamma$ be such that $|F| = i$ and $f_j(p_F) > 0$. Then $|F \cap B_j| \leq d-2$. We must show that $\mathbf{x}_F t \in P$. We distinguish two cases.

CASE 1. Suppose that $F \subseteq B_j$. We show that $\mathbf{x}_F t \in P$.

First suppose that there exists a subset $G \subset B_j$ of size i with $G \neq F$ such that $\mathbf{x}_G t \notin P$. Then, we can find $h \in F \setminus G$. Notice that $i = |F| = |F \cap B_j| \leq d-2$. Hence $G_1 = G \cup \{h\} \in \Gamma$ because $|G_1| = i+1 \leq d-1$ and so G_1 is an independent set of Δ . Moreover, $F_1 = F \setminus \{h\} \in \Gamma$ too, and $f_j(p_{F_1}) > f_j(p_F) > 0$. By our induction hypothesis, $\mathbf{x}_{F_1} t \in P$. Then, $(\mathbf{x}_F t)(\mathbf{x}_G t) = (\mathbf{x}_{F_1} t)(\mathbf{x}_{G_1} t) \in P$ because $\mathbf{x}_{F_1} t \in P$. Since $\mathbf{x}_G t \notin P$, we conclude that $\mathbf{x}_F t \in P$, as desired.

Suppose now that for all subsets $H \subset B_j$ of size i different from F we have $\mathbf{x}_H t \in P$. Since $|B_j \setminus F| \geq d-i$, we can find elements h_1, \dots, h_{d-i} in the set $B_j \setminus F$. Moreover, since $i > 1$, we have $d-i \leq d-2$. Therefore, we can find

a subset $G \subset B_j$ of size $d - 1$ that contains h_1, \dots, h_{d-i} . Then $G \in \Gamma$ and since $f_j(p_G) = 0$, we have $\mathbf{x}_{Gt} \notin L_j$ and so $\mathbf{x}_{Gt} \notin P$ too. Set $F_1 = F \cup \{h_1, \dots, h_{d-i-1}\}$ and $G_1 = G \setminus \{h_1, \dots, h_{d-i-1}\}$. Then $|F_1| = d - 1$ and so $F_1 \in \Gamma$. Moreover, $|G_1| = i$ and $G_1 \neq F$ because $h_{d-i} \in G_1 \setminus F$. Therefore, $\mathbf{x}_{G_1t} \in P$ by our assumption. Hence, we have $(\mathbf{x}_{Ft})(\mathbf{x}_{Gt}) = (\mathbf{x}_{F_1t})(\mathbf{x}_{G_1t}) \in P$. Since $\mathbf{x}_{Gt} \notin P$, we conclude that $\mathbf{x}_{Ft} \in P$, and this finishes the proof of this case.

CASE 2. Suppose now that $F \cap ([n] \setminus B_j) \neq \emptyset$, and let $h \in F \cap ([n] \setminus B_j)$. We define a subset G of B_j as follows,

$$G = \begin{cases} [\max B_j - (d - 2), \max B_j] & \text{if } h < \min B_j, \\ [\min B_j, \min B_j + (d - 2)] & \text{if } h > \max B_j. \end{cases}$$

Notice that $|G| = d - 1$ and $G \subset B_j$. Therefore, $f_j(p_G) = 0$ and so $\mathbf{x}_{Gt} \notin L_j$. Thus $\mathbf{x}_{Gt} \notin P$. It is easily seen that $G_1 = G \cup \{h\}$ is again an independent set of Δ . Indeed, G_1 does not contain any d -subset of any of the intervals B_1, \dots, B_m . Let $F_1 = F \setminus \{h\}$. By induction on i , $\mathbf{x}_{F_1t} \in P$. Hence $(\mathbf{x}_{Ft})(\mathbf{x}_{Gt}) = (\mathbf{x}_{F_1t})(\mathbf{x}_{G_1t}) \in P$. Since $\mathbf{x}_{Gt} \notin P$, it follows that $\mathbf{x}_{Ft} \in P$, and this concludes the proof. \square

Corollary 3.2. *Let Δ be a unit-interval simplicial complex with $\dim(\Delta) > 1$, let $\Gamma = \text{Ind}(\Delta)$, and let \mathcal{P}_Γ be the set of the height one monomial prime ideals of R_Γ containing t . With the notation introduced before, we have*

$$\{P_i : i = 1, \dots, n\} \cup \{L_j : j = 1, \dots, m\} \subseteq \mathcal{P}_\Gamma. \quad (1)$$

Based on some computational evidence, we expect that the inclusion (1) is in fact an equality, as stated in

Conjecture 3.3. *Let Δ be a unit-interval simplicial complex with $\dim(\Delta) > 1$, and let $\Gamma = \text{Ind}(\Delta)$. The set of height one monomial prime ideals of R_Γ containing t is*

$$\mathcal{P}_\Gamma = \{P_i : i = 1, \dots, n\} \cup \{L_j : j = 1, \dots, m\}.$$

At present, we have not been able to establish this conjecture in full generality. However, we could prove it in the following situation.

Proposition 3.4. *Let Δ be a unit-interval simplicial complex with $\dim(\Delta) > 1$, and let $\Gamma = \text{Ind}(\Delta)$. Suppose that the maximal cliques of Δ form a partition of $V(\Delta)$. Then Conjecture 3.3 holds.*

Proof. Let B_1, \dots, B_m be the maximal cliques of Δ . Let A_Γ be the affine semigroup generated by the lattice points p_F with $F \in \Gamma$. By [13, Proposition 1.9], the height one monomial prime ideals not containing t are the ideals $Q_i = (\mathbf{x}_{Ft} : F \in \Gamma, i \in F)$, for $i = 1, \dots, n$. We know from the proofs of Theorem 3.1, and [13, Theorem 1.3, Proposition 1.9] that

$$f_{Q_i}(x) = x_i, \quad \text{for } i = 1, \dots, n, \quad (2)$$

$$f_{P_i}(x) = -x_i + x_{n+1}, \quad \text{for } i = 1, \dots, n, \quad (3)$$

$$f_{L_j}(x) = -\left(\sum_{i \in B_j} x_i\right) + (d - 1)x_{n+1}, \quad \text{for } j = 1, \dots, m, \quad (4)$$

are the support forms of the prime ideals Q_i, P_i , for $i = 1, \dots, n$, and the ideals L_j for $j = 1, \dots, m$, respectively. Let

$$A = \left(\bigcap_{i=1}^n H_{Q_i}^{(+)} \right) \cap \left(\bigcap_{i=1}^n H_{P_i}^{(+)} \right) \cap \left(\bigcap_{j=1}^m H_{L_j}^{(+)} \right) \cap \mathbb{Z}^{n+1},$$

where each $H_{Q_i}^{(+)}, H_{P_i}^{(+)}, H_{L_j}^{(+)}$ is the half-space defined by the equations $f_{Q_i}(x) \geq 0$, $f_{P_i}(x) \geq 0$, and $f_{L_j}(x) \geq 0$, respectively. By Corollary 3.2, we have the inclusion $A_\Gamma \subseteq A$. Therefore, if we show the opposite inclusion, it will follow that the inclusion (1) is an equality, and so Conjecture 3.3 holds.

To prove that $A \subseteq A_\Gamma$, let $p = (a_1, \dots, a_n, k) \in A$ and $u = x_1^{a_1} \dots x_n^{a_n} t^k$. It follows from equations (2), (3) and (4) that $0 \leq a_i \leq k$ for all $i = 1, \dots, n$ and $\sum_{i \in B_j} a_i \leq (d-1)k$ for all $j = 1, \dots, m$, where $d-1 = \dim(\Delta)$. Notice that for $k = 0$, we have $u = 1$ and so $p \in A_\Gamma$. Now, let $k > 0$. Proceeding by induction on $k \geq 1$, we will show that $u \in R_\Gamma$ and so $p \in A_\Gamma$, as desired.

For the base case, let $k = 1$. Then, $0 \leq a_i \leq 1$ for $i = 1, \dots, n$, and so $u = \mathbf{x}_F t$ for some $F \subset [n]$. Since $\sum_{i \in B_j} a_i \leq d-1$ for all $j = 1, \dots, m$, we have $|F \cap B_j| \leq d-1$. This shows that F is an independent set of Γ , and so $u \in R_\Gamma$.

Now, let $k > 1$. We claim that $u = (\mathbf{x}_F t)v$ for some monomials $\mathbf{x}_F t \in R_\Gamma$ and $v = x_1^{b_1} \dots x_n^{b_n} t^{k-1}$ such that $q = (b_1, \dots, b_n, k-1) \in A$. Having this claim proved, it follows by induction that $v \in R_\Gamma$ and hence $u \in R_\Gamma$ too, as desired.

To this end, for each j , we set $d_j = \sum_{i \in B_j} a_i$. Now fix $j \in \{1, \dots, m\}$. We will choose an appropriate subset $F_j \subset B_j$ of size at most $d-1$. To this aim, let $h_j = \max\{0, d_j - (d-1)(k-1)\}$. If $h_j = 0$, then $d_j \leq (d-1)(k-1)$ and we put $F_j = \{i \in B_j : a_i = k\}$. Notice that $|F_j| \leq d-1$. Otherwise, if $h_j > 0$, first we claim that $|\{i \in B_j : a_i > 0\}| \geq h_j$. Indeed, if such set has size strictly less than h_j , then $d_j < h_j k = [d_j - (d-1)(k-1)]k$. From this equation it would follow that $(d-1)(k-1)k < d_j(k-1)$. Since $k > 1$, we would have $d_j > (d-1)k$ which is absurd. Hence $|\{i \in B_j : a_i > 0\}| \geq h_j$. Notice that since $d_j \leq (d-1)k$, we have $h_j \leq d-1$. We put $F_j = \{i \in B_j : a_i = k\} \cup G_j$, where $G_j = \emptyset$ if $|F_j| \geq h_j$, or else we choose $G_j \subseteq \{i \in B_j : 0 < a_i < k\}$ to be a subset of size $h_j - |\{i \in B_j : a_i = k\}| > 0$.

In any case F_j is a subset of B_j with $h_j \leq |F_j| \leq d-1$. Let $F = F_1 \cup \dots \cup F_m$. Since $V(\Delta) = B_1 \sqcup \dots \sqcup B_m$, it follows that $F \in \Gamma$. We can write $u = (\mathbf{x}_F t)v$, with $\mathbf{x}_F t \in R_\Gamma$ and $v = x_1^{b_1} \dots x_n^{b_n} t^{k-1}$. Moreover, by the construction of the sets F_j , it follows that $0 \leq b_i \leq k-1$ for all i , and $\sum_{i \in B_j} b_i = d_j - |F_j| \leq d_j - h_j \leq (d-1)(k-1)$ for all j . Hence, $(b_1, \dots, b_n, k-1) \in A$. By induction hypothesis on k , it follows that $v \in R_\Gamma$. Hence $u \in R_\Gamma$ too, as desired. \square

Corollary 3.2 allows us to give a necessary condition for the Gorenstein property of R_Γ for any d -flag sortable simplicial complex with $d > 2$, as follows.

Proposition 3.5. *Let Δ be a unit-interval simplicial complex, and let $\Gamma = \text{Ind}(\Delta)$. If R_Γ is Gorenstein and $\dim(\Delta) = d-1 > 1$, then $|B| = 2d-3$ for all maximal cliques B of Δ . The converse holds if Conjecture 3.3 holds.*

Proof. Let $\mathcal{P}_\Gamma = \{\mathfrak{p}_1, \dots, \mathfrak{p}_r\}$, and let $f_i(x) = \sum_{j=1}^{n+1} c_{i,j} x_j$ be the support form associated with \mathfrak{p}_i , for $i = 1, \dots, r$. By [5, Theorem 4.3], R_Γ is Gorenstein if and only if there exists $a \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $1 - \sum_{j=1}^n c_{i,j} = ac_{i,n+1}$ for all $i = 1, \dots, r$. By Corollary 3.2, we know that the inclusion (1) holds. Recalling that the support form of $P_i \in \mathcal{P}_\Gamma$ is $f(x) = -x_i + x_{n+1}$ we obtain that $a = 2$. Whereas, letting B_1, \dots, B_m be the maximal cliques of Δ , from the fact that $L_j \in \mathcal{P}_\Gamma$ for all $j = 1, \dots, m$, we deduce that $1 + |B_j| = a(d-1)$ for all j . Therefore, we obtain that $|B| = 2d - 3$ for all maximal cliques B of Δ .

Finally, if the inclusion (1) is an equality, then the previous argument shows that R_Γ is Gorenstein if and only if $|B| = 2d - 3$, for all maximal cliques B of Δ . \square

For a pure simplicial complex Δ , the *clique number* of Δ , denoted by $\omega(\Delta)$, is defined as the largest size of a clique of Δ . Analogous to Corollary 2.3 we have

Proposition 3.6. *Let Δ be a unit-interval simplicial complex with $\dim(\Delta) = d-1 > 1$, and let $\Gamma = \text{Ind}(\Delta)$. Then*

$$a(R_\Gamma) \leq \begin{cases} -\lceil \frac{\omega(\Delta)}{d-1} \rceil & \text{if } d-1 \text{ does not divide } \omega(\Delta), \\ -\lceil \frac{\omega(\Delta)}{d-1} \rceil - 1 & \text{if } d-1 \text{ divides } \omega(\Delta). \end{cases} \quad (5)$$

If Conjecture 3.3 holds, then (5) becomes an equality.

Proof. Let B_1, \dots, B_m be the maximal clique intervals of Δ . By Corollary 3.2, we know that the inclusion (1) holds. By [13, Proposition 1.9], the height one monomial prime ideals not containing t are the ideals $Q_i = (\mathbf{x}_F t : F \in \Gamma, i \in F)$, for $i = 1, \dots, n$. Therefore, for any monomial $u = x_1^{a_1} \cdots x_n^{a_n} t^k \in \omega_{R_\Gamma}$, we have that $u \in (\bigcap_{i=1}^n Q_i) \cap (\bigcap_{i=1}^n P_i) \cap (\bigcap_{j=1}^m L_j)$. Then we should have $0 < a_i < k$ for $i = 1, \dots, n$ and $d_j = \sum_{i \in B_j} a_i < (d-1)k$ for $j = 1, \dots, m$. Since each a_i is positive, we obtain that $|B_j| \leq d_j < (d-1)k$. Hence $k > |B_j|/(d-1)$ for all j , and so $k \geq \lceil \omega(\Delta)/(d-1) \rceil$. This implies that $a(R_\Gamma) \leq -\lceil \omega(\Delta)/(d-1) \rceil$.

Suppose now, in addition, that $d-1$ divides $\omega(\Delta)$. Then, there exist integers $\ell \in [m]$ and $k > 0$ such that $|B_\ell| = \omega(\Delta) = k(d-1)$. Notice that $k = \lceil \frac{\omega(\Delta)}{d-1} \rceil$. We claim that $a(R_\Gamma) < -k$. Suppose by contradiction that this is not the case. Then the previous argument shows that $a(R_\Gamma) = -k$. So we could find a monomial $u = x_1^{a_1} \cdots x_n^{a_n} t^k \in \omega_{R_\Gamma}$ such that $0 < a_i < k$ for $i = 1, \dots, n$ and $d_j = \sum_{i \in B_j} a_i < (d-1)k$ for $j = 1, \dots, m$. However, for $j = \ell$ we have $(d-1)k = |B_\ell| \leq d_\ell < (d-1)k$, which is absurd.

Assume that the inclusion (1) is an equality. We claim that $u = x_1 \cdots x_n t^k \in \omega_{R_\Gamma}$ with $k = \lceil \frac{\omega(\Delta)}{d-1} \rceil$ if $d-1$ does not divide $\omega(\Delta)$, and $k = \lceil \frac{\omega(\Delta)}{d-1} \rceil + 1$, otherwise. This will show that equality holds in (5). Since, by assumption (1) is an equality, from the equations (2), (3) and (4), it follows that a monomial $v = x_1^{b_1} \cdots x_n^{b_n} t^\ell$ belongs to ω_{R_Γ} if and only if $0 < b_i < \ell$ and $\sum_{i \in B_j} b_i < (d-1)\ell$ for all i and j . Since $d-1 > 1$, any interval B_j has size at least d , therefore $k \geq 2$, and since each of the exponents of the variables x_i appearing in u is one, the first set of inequalities is satisfied. Moreover, $\sum_{i \in B_j} a_i = |B_j| < (d-1)k$ for all j , by the definition of k . Therefore, $u \in \omega_{R_\Gamma}$ as desired. \square

By [13, Proposition 1.9] we know that $\omega_{R_\Gamma} = \mathfrak{p}_1 \cap \cdots \cap \mathfrak{p}_r \cap Q_1 \cap \cdots \cap Q_n$, where $\mathcal{P}_\Gamma = \{\mathfrak{p}_1, \dots, \mathfrak{p}_r\}$ and $Q_i = (\mathbf{x}_F t : F \in \Gamma, i \in F)$, for $i = 1, \dots, n$, are the height one monomial prime ideals of R_Γ not containing t . If (t) is a radical ideal, then this simplifies the computation of the canonical module of R_Γ . Indeed, if (t) is radical, then $(t) = \mathfrak{p}_1 \cap \cdots \cap \mathfrak{p}_r$ and so $\omega_{R_\Gamma} = (t) \cap Q_1 \cap \cdots \cap Q_n$. We will prove that (t) is radical if and only if $\dim(\Delta) = 1$. We begin with

Proposition 3.7. *Let Δ be a 1-dimensional unit-interval simplicial complex, and let $\Gamma = \text{Ind}(\Delta)$. Then, $(t) \subset R_\Gamma$ is a radical ideal.*

Proof. Let G to be the graph with $V(G) = V(\Delta) = [n]$ and $E(G) = \mathcal{F}(\Delta)$. Then G is a proper interval graph. Let B_1, \dots, B_m be the maximal cliques of G consisting of intervals. We may assume that for $i < j$, $\min B_i < \min B_j$.

Let G_Γ be the graph whose edge set is $\mathcal{F}(\Gamma^{[1]})$, and let C_1, \dots, C_r be the minimal vertex covers of G_Γ . Since any proper interval graph is a perfect graph, by [13, Theorem 1.10], the minimal prime ideals of (t) are $P_{C_i} = (\mathbf{x}_F t : F \in \Gamma_{C_i})$ for $1 \leq i \leq r$. Here Γ_{C_i} is the subcomplex of Γ induced on the set C_i . Notice that $G_\Gamma = G^c$. Moreover, $C_i = [n] \setminus F_i$, where F_i is a maximal independent set of $G_\Gamma = G^c$ for $1 \leq i \leq r$. Since maximal independent sets of G^c are the maximal cliques of G and any maximal clique of G is an interval B_i , after relabeling the C_i 's, we obtain $C_i = [n] \setminus B_i$ for any $1 \leq i \leq m$ and $r = m$.

We show that $(t) = \bigcap_{i=1}^m P_{C_i}$. Since each P_{C_i} is a monomial ideal of R_Γ , the ideal $\bigcap_{i=1}^m P_{C_i}$ is a monomial ideal. So it is enough to show that any monomial in this intersection belongs to (t) . Let $u = x_1^{a_1} \cdots x_n^{a_n} t^k \in \bigcap_{i=1}^m P_{C_i}$. The hyperplane $f_i(x) = -\sum_{j \notin C_i} x_j + x_{n+1} = 0$ is the supporting hyperplane of the prime ideal P_{C_i} for $1 \leq i \leq m$, see the proof of [13, Theorem 1.3]. Replacing C_i by $[n] \setminus B_i$ we get $f_i(x) = -\sum_{j \in B_i} x_j + x_{n+1}$. So for the point $p_u = (a_1, \dots, a_n, k)$ we have $f_i(p_u) = -\sum_{j \in B_i} a_j + k > 0$ for all i . Hence, $\sum_{j \in B_i} a_j < k$ for all i . By induction on k we show that $u \in (t)$. First assume that $k = 1$. Then we have $\sum_{j \in B_i} a_j < 1$ for all i . So $a_j = 0$ for all $1 \leq j \leq n$, since each j belongs to some B_i . So $u = t \in (t)$. By induction assume that for any monomial $v = x_1^{b_1} \cdots x_n^{b_n} t^{k-1} \in \bigcap_{i=1}^m P_{C_i}$, we have $v \in (t)$. We claim that $u = (\mathbf{x}_F t)v$ for some monomials $\mathbf{x}_F t \in R_\Gamma$ and $v \in \bigcap_{i=1}^m P_{C_i}$. Having this claim proved, it follows by induction that $v \in (t)$ and hence $u \in (t)$, as desired.

Set $d_i = \sum_{j \in B_i} a_j$. We have $d_i \leq k - 1$ for all i . If $d_i \leq k - 2$ for all i , then $v = x_1^{a_1} \cdots x_n^{a_n} t^{k-1} \in \bigcap_{i=1}^m P_{C_i}$ and $u = tv \in (t)$. Now, assume that there exist intervals B_i such that $d_i = k - 1$. Let i_1 be the smallest integer such that $d_{i_1} = k - 1$. We let k_1 be the largest integer in the interval B_{i_1} such that $a_{k_1} > 0$. If for any $j > i_1$ with $k_1 \notin B_j$ we have $d_j < k - 1$, then we set $F = \{k_1\}$ and we obtain $u = (\mathbf{x}_F t)v$ with $v \in \bigcap_{i=1}^m P_{C_i}$, as desired. Otherwise, let $i_2 > i_1$ be the smallest integer such that $k_1 \notin B_{i_2}$ and $d_{i_2} = k - 1$. Then $k_1 < \min(B_{i_2})$. We let k_2 be the largest integer in the interval B_{i_2} such that $a_{k_2} > 0$. We claim that $\{k_1, k_2\} \in \Gamma$. If this is not the case, then $k_1, k_2 \in B_\ell$ for some ℓ . By the choice of k_2 , and that

$k_1 \in B_\ell$ with $k_1 < \min(B_{i_2})$, we have $\{j : j \in B_{i_2}, a_j > 0\} \subseteq B_\ell$. Hence

$$d_\ell = \sum_{j \in B_\ell} a_j \geq a_{k_1} + \sum_{j \in B_{i_2}} a_j = a_{k_1} + d_{i_2} \geq k,$$

which is a contradiction. Hence, $\{k_1, k_2\} \in \Gamma$. Continuing this process, we obtain an independent set $F = \{k_1, \dots, k_s\} \in \Gamma$ such that for any integer j with $d_j = k - 1$, $F \cap B_j \neq \emptyset$. Then we may write $u = (\mathbf{x}_F t)v$, where $v = u/(\mathbf{x}_F t)$. By the choice of F , it follows that $v \in \bigcap_{i=1}^m P_{C_i}$, and the proof is complete. \square

As a consequence, we obtain

Corollary 3.8. *Let Δ be a unit-interval simplicial complex, and let $\Gamma = \text{Ind}(\Delta)$. The ideal $(t) \subset R_\Gamma$ is radical if and only if $\dim(\Delta) = 1$.*

Proof. If $\dim(\Delta) = 1$, then $(t) \subset R_\Gamma$ is radical by Proposition 3.7.

Conversely, assume that (t) is a radical ideal, and suppose by contradiction that $\dim(\Delta) \geq 2$. By our assumption we have $(t) = \mathfrak{p}_1 \cap \dots \cap \mathfrak{p}_r$, where $\mathcal{P}_\Gamma = \{\mathfrak{p}_1, \dots, \mathfrak{p}_r\}$ is the set of the height one monomial prime ideals containing t . It follows that in $\text{Cl}(R_\Gamma)$ we have

$$\sum_{i=1}^r [\mathfrak{p}_i] = [(t)] = 0. \quad (6)$$

Let $f_i(x) = \sum_{j=1}^{n+1} c_{i,j} x_j$ be the support form associated with \mathfrak{p}_i for $i = 1, \dots, r$. It follows from [5, Lemma 4.1(a)] that the divisor class group $\text{Cl}(R_\Gamma)$ is generated by the classes $[\mathfrak{p}_1], \dots, [\mathfrak{p}_r]$ with the unique relation $\sum_{i=1}^r c_{i,n+1} [\mathfrak{p}_i] = 0$. From this fact, it follows that equation (6) holds if and only if $c_{1,n+1} = \dots = c_{r,n+1}$. However this is not possible by Corollary 3.2. Indeed, the support form associated to any $P_i \in \mathcal{P}_\Gamma$ has the coefficient of x_{n+1} equal to 1, whereas the support form associated to any $L_j \in \mathcal{P}_\Gamma$ has the coefficient of x_{n+1} equal to $\dim(\Delta) > 1$. \square

4. COHEN-MACAULAY SORTABLE SIMPLICIAL COMPLEXES

In this section we give a characterization of the Cohen-Macaulay property of d -flag sortable simplicial complexes. To do so, we consider more generally the independence complex of interval simplicial complexes, which are non-pure in general.

Let Δ be a simplicial complex on vertex set $[n]$. We say that Δ is an *interval simplicial complex* if for any facet $F = \{i_1 < \dots < i_d\} \in \mathcal{F}(\Delta)$, the interval $[i_1, i_d]$ is a clique of Δ . Notice that Δ can be written as

$$\Delta = \Delta_1^{[r_1]} \cup \Delta_2^{[r_2]} \cup \dots \cup \Delta_m^{[r_m]}, \quad (7)$$

where r_1, \dots, r_m are positive integers, each Δ_j is a simplex and $\Delta_1^{[1]} \cup \Delta_2^{[1]} \cup \dots \cup \Delta_m^{[1]}$ is a proper interval graph with the intervals $B_j = V(\Delta_j)$, $j = 1, \dots, m$.

It is clear that a unit-interval simplicial complex is just an interval simplicial complex which is pure.

Theorem 4.1. *Let Δ be an interval simplicial complex. Then $\text{Ind}(\Delta)$ is vertex decomposable.*

Proof. Let Δ be as described in (7), and set $\Gamma = \text{Ind}(\Delta)$. We may assume that $V(\Delta) = [n]$, each $B_j \subset [n]$ is an interval and that $\min B_i < \min B_j$ for any $i < j$. We prove the assertion by double induction on $\sum_{j=1}^m r_j \geq m$ and $\sum_{j=1}^m |B_j|$. If $\sum_{j=1}^m r_j = m$, then $r_j = 1$ for all j . Hence, by assumption Δ is a proper interval graph, and so it is chordal. Then by [21, Corollary 7], $\text{Ind}(\Delta)$ is vertex decomposable.

Now, let $\sum_{j=1}^m r_j > m$ and assume inductively that for any interval simplicial complex $\Delta' = \Lambda_1^{[r'_1]} \cup \Lambda_2^{[r'_2]} \cup \dots \cup \Lambda_m^{[r'_m]}$ with $\sum_{j=1}^m r'_j < \sum_{j=1}^m r_j$ or $\sum_{j=1}^m |V(K_j)| < \sum_{j=1}^m |V(B_j)|$, the simplicial complex $\text{Ind}(\Delta')$ is vertex decomposable.

We have $\sum_{j=1}^m |B_j| \geq m$. If $\sum_{j=1}^m |B_j| = m$, then $|B_j| = 1$ for all j . Since $r_j \geq 1$ for all j , it follows that $\Delta = \emptyset$ and $\Gamma = \langle [n] \rangle$ is a simplex. Hence, it is vertex decomposable. Similarly, if $|B_j| \leq r_j$ for all j , then $\Delta = \emptyset$ and $\Gamma = \langle [n] \rangle$ is a simplex, and so it is vertex decomposable. So we may assume that there exists an integer $1 \leq j \leq m$ such that $|B_j| > r_j$. Let p be the smallest such integer, and let $i = \max\{\ell : \ell \in B_p\}$.

First, we show that $\text{Del}_\Gamma(i)$ and $\text{Lk}_\Gamma(i)$ are vertex decomposable. Indeed, it is straightforward to see that

$$\text{Del}_\Gamma(i) = \text{Ind}\left(\bigcup_{i \notin B_j} \Delta_j^{[r_j]}\right) \cup \bigcup_{i \in B_j} (\text{Del}_{\Delta_j}(i)^{[r_j]})$$

and

$$\text{Lk}_\Gamma(i) = \text{Ind}\left(\bigcup_{i \notin B_j} \Delta_j^{[r_j]}\right) \cup \bigcup_{i \in B_j} (\text{Del}_{\Delta_j}(i)^{[r_j-1]}).$$

Notice that $\text{Del}_\Gamma(i)$ and $\text{Lk}_\Gamma(i)$ are interval simplicial complexes, as well. Thus by our induction hypothesis $\text{Del}_\Gamma(i)$ and $\text{Lk}_\Gamma(i)$ are vertex decomposable. It remains to show that any facet of $\text{Del}_\Gamma(i)$ is a facet of Γ . Let F be a facet of $\text{Del}_\Gamma(i)$. Two cases may happen.

CASE 1. $B_p \setminus \{i\} \subseteq F$. Then $B_p \subseteq F \cup \{i\}$. Since $|B_p| > r_p$, it follows that $F \cup \{i\}$ contains a facet H of $\Delta_p^{[r_p]}$, which is a facet of Δ . Hence, $F \cup \{i\} \notin \Gamma$. This shows that F is a facet of Γ .

CASE 2. $B_p \setminus \{i\} \not\subseteq F$. Then there exists $t \in B_p \setminus F$ with $t < i$. Since F is a facet of $\text{Del}_\Gamma(i)$ and $t \neq i$, we have $F \cup \{t\} \notin \Gamma$. So there exists a facet H of Δ such that $H \subseteq F \cup \{t\}$. Then H is a facet of $\Delta_q^{[r_q]}$ for some q and $t \in H$. Since $|B_j| \leq r_j$ for $j = 1, \dots, p-1$, we have $\Delta_j^{[r_j]} = \emptyset$ for $j = 1, \dots, p-1$. Hence $q \geq p$. From $t, i \in B_p$, $t \in B_q$ and the inequalities $t < i$ and $p \leq q$, we obtain $i \in B_q$. Thus $H' = (H \setminus \{t\}) \cup \{i\}$ is a facet of $\Delta_q^{[r_q]}$ and hence a facet of Δ . Moreover, $H' \subseteq F \cup \{i\}$. So $F \cup \{i\} \notin \Gamma$, which means that F is a facet of Γ . \square

Notice that for $\Gamma = \text{Ind}(\Delta)$, the Stanley-Reisner ideal of Γ is the facet ideal $I(\Delta)$ of Δ . Therefore, applying Theorem 4.1 together with [17, Theorem 2.3] and [16, Theorem 3.1] we have

Corollary 4.2. *Let Δ be an interval simplicial complex. Then*

- (a) $S/I(\Delta)$ is Cohen-Macaulay if and only if $I(\Delta)$ is unmixed.
- (b) $I(\Delta)^\vee$ is vertex splittable and hence it has linear quotients.
- (c) $\mathcal{R}(I(\Delta)^\vee)$ is normal Cohen-Macaulay and $I(\Delta)^\vee$ satisfies the strong persistence property.
- (d) If $I(\Delta)^\vee$ is equigenerated, then the toric ring $K[u : u \in \mathcal{G}(I(\Delta)^\vee)]$ is normal and Cohen-Macaulay.

Corollary 4.3. *Any d -flag sortable simplicial complex Γ is vertex decomposable. In particular, Γ is Cohen-Macaulay if and only if it is pure.*

Proof. By Theorem 1.1, we have $\Gamma = \text{Ind}(\Delta)$, where Δ is a unit-interval simplicial complex. Thus by Theorem 4.1, Γ is vertex decomposable. \square

Acknowledgment. A. Ficarra was partly supported by INDAM (Istituto Nazionale di Alta Matematica), and also by the Grant JDC2023-051705-I funded by MI-CIU/AEI/10.13039/501100011033 and by the FSE+. S. Moradi is supported by the Alexander von Humboldt Foundation.

REFERENCES

- [1] A. Almousa, K. VandeBogert, Determinantal facet ideals for smaller minors, Arch. Math. **118**(3) (2022) 247–256.
- [2] B. Benedetti, L. Seccia, M. Varbaro, Hamiltonian paths, unit-interval complexes, and determinantal facet ideals, Adv. in Appl. Math. **141**(2022), Paper No. 102407, 55.
- [3] W. Bruns, J. Gubeladze, Polytopes, rings, and K-theory, Springer Monographs in Mathematics, Springer, Dordrecht, 2009.
- [4] V. Ene, J. Herzog, Gröbner bases in commutative algebra, American Mathematical Soc., 2011.
- [5] A. Ficarra, J. Herzog, D. Stamate, The toric ring of one dimensional simplicial complexes, 2024, to appear in Rocky Mountain J. Math., available at arxiv.org/abs/2306.05020.
- [6] J. Herzog, T. Hibi, Monomial ideals, Graduate texts in Mathematics **260**, Springer, 2011.
- [7] J. Herzog, T. Hibi, S. Moradi, Toric rings arising from vertex cover ideals, Adv. Appl. Math. **152**, 102596.
- [8] J. Herzog, T. Hibi, S. Moradi, A. Asloob Qureshi, The divisor class group of a discrete polymatroid, 2024, J. Comb. Theory Ser. A. **205**, 105869.
- [9] J. Herzog, T. Hibi, H. Ohsugi, Binomial ideals, Graduate Texts in Mathematics. Springer, New York, 2018.
- [10] J. Herzog, T. Hibi, M. Vladioiu. Ideals of fiber type and polymatroids, Osaka J. Math. **42** (2005) 807-829.
- [11] J. Herzog, T. Hibi, X. Zheng, Monomial ideals whose powers have a linear resolution, Math. Scand. **95** (2004), no. 1, 23–32.
- [12] J. Herzog, F. Khosh-Ahang, S. Moradi, M. Rahimbeigi, Sortable simplicial complexes and tindependence ideals of proper interval graphs, Electron. J. Comb. **27**(1) (2020) P1.65.
- [13] J. Herzog, S. Moradi, A. Asloob Qureshi, Toric rings attached to simplicial complexes (2023), [arXiv:2302.03653](https://arxiv.org/abs/2302.03653).
- [14] J. Herzog, A. Asloob Qureshi, Persistence and stability properties of powers of ideals, J. Pure Appl. Algebra **219** (2015), 530-542.
- [15] M. Hochster, Rings of invariants of tori, Cohen-Macaulay rings generated by monomials, and polytopes, Ann. Math., **96** (1972), 228–235.
- [16] S. Moradi, Normal Rees algebras arising from vertex decomposable simplicial complexes, [arXiv:2311.15135](https://arxiv.org/abs/2311.15135).

- [17] S. Moradi, F. Khosh-Ahang, On vertex decomposable simplicial complexes and their Alexander duals, *Math. Scand.* **118** (2016), no. 1, 43–56.
- [18] H. Ohsugi, T. Hibi, Convex polytopes all of whose reverse lexicographic initial ideals are squarefree, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **129** (2001), No. 9, 2541–2546.
- [19] H. Ohsugi, T. Hibi, Special simplices and Gorenstein toric rings, *J. Combin. Theory Ser. A* **113** (2006), no. 4, 718–725.
- [20] B. Sturmfels, *Gröbner Bases and Convex Polytopes*, vol.8, American Mathematical Soc., 1996.
- [21] R. Woodroffe, Vertex decomposable graphs and obstructions to shellability, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* 137 (2009) 3235–3246.

ANTONINO FICARRA, DEPARTAMENTO DE MATEMÁTICA, ESCOLA DE CIÊNCIAS E TECNOLOGIA, CENTRO DE INVESTIGAÇÃO, MATEMÁTICA E APLICAÇÕES, INSTITUTO DE INVESTIGAÇÃO E FORMAÇÃO AVANÇADA, UNIVERSIDADE DE ÉVORA, RUA ROMÃO RAMALHO, 59, P-7000-671 ÉVORA, PORTUGAL

Email address: `antonino.ficarra@uevora.pt`

Email address: `antficarra@unime.it`

SOMAYEH MORADI, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, FACULTY OF SCIENCE, ILAM UNIVERSITY, P.O.BOX 69315-516, ILAM, IRAN

Email address: `so.moradi@ilam.ac.ir`