

A Cascaded Dilated Convolution Approach for Mpox Lesion Classification

Ayush Deshmukh

Department of Information Technology
National Institute of Technology, Karnataka
aad.21lit014@nitk.edu.in

Abstract—The global outbreak of Mpox virus, classified as a Public Health Emergency of International Concern by WHO, presents significant diagnostic challenges due to its visual similarity to other skin lesion diseases. Current clinical detection techniques face limitations in accuracy and efficiency, necessitating improved automated diagnostic solutions. This study introduces a novel Cascaded Atrous Group Attention (CAGA) module, specifically designed to enhance multi-scale feature representation while optimizing computational efficiency. By integrating CAGA with EfficientViT-L1 as the backbone architecture, this approach achieves state-of-the-art performance with a score of 0.98 ± 0.0229 on the MCSID dataset, while reducing model parameters by 37.5% compared to the original EfficientViT-L1. This reduction in computational complexity maintains diagnostic accuracy while enabling broader deployment across resource-constrained healthcare settings. Extensive validation across two other benchmark datasets, including MSID and MSLD, demonstrate the model's robustness, consistently outperforming existing approaches. Findings suggest that CAGA's efficient feature extraction mechanism could be adapted for other medical imaging tasks requiring fine-grained visual discrimination. Code and model are available here.

Index Terms—Mpox Classification, Image Classification, Vision Transformers, Atrous Attention

I. INTRODUCTION

The recent global outbreak of the Mpox virus has posed significant challenges for public health authorities and researchers worldwide [1] [2]. As this zoonotic disease rapidly spread beyond endemic regions, it affected multiple non-endemic countries, including the Netherlands [2], Greece [3], Portugal [4], and France [5]. To date, the outbreak has infected over 100,000 individuals across hundreds of countries [6]. This surge has made the need for effective detection and diagnostic methods increasingly urgent. Traditional approaches relying on clinical symptoms and laboratory testing have proven slow and laborious in the face of this evolving outbreak [7] [8].

The clinical presentation of Mpox shares notable similarities with other skin lesion-based diseases, such as Chickenpox and Measles. Like these conditions, Mpox can manifest with a range of skin lesions, including rashes and vesicular eruptions, which can complicate the differentiation between these diseases. This overlap with other diseases that present with comparable skin lesions has posed significant challenges in distinguishing Mpox from other infectious diseases with similar manifestations, thereby impacting the accuracy and timeliness of diagnosis [9].

Furthermore, the limitations of existing diagnostic techniques, including reporting delays and the challenges in identifying

transmission sources, have further exacerbated the detection challenges. The need for improved, timely, and accurate detection methods has become paramount to contain the outbreak and mitigate its public health impact. In response to this escalating crisis, the World Health Organization (WHO) declared Mpox a Public Health Emergency of International Concern (PHEIC).

Over the last decade, Convolutional Neural Networks have had tremendous impact in skin lesion classification [10] [11] [12] [13]. The emergence of these deep learning methodologies presents a promising avenue for Mpox detection and management [14] [15].

While progress has been made in infectious disease imaging, research on Mpox remains limited. These investigations have primarily concentrated on three critical methodological domains: adapting pre-trained medical imaging models [16] [17], developing specialized neural network structures [18], and implementing advanced feature extraction techniques to capture the unique morphological characteristics of Mpox skin lesions [19].

While early applications of deep learning to Mpox detection show promise, they are constrained by several critical methodological issues. The current research landscape is marked by considerable variation in model development and validation, with many studies evaluating models using only a single dataset. Moreover, comparative studies often use flawed assessment strategies, such as comparing attention-based models against a narrow set of non-attention-based architectures. These limited comparisons compromise the scientific reliability of performance assessments in Mpox detection.

Despite the demonstrated effectiveness of transformer-based architectures in skin lesion classification [20] [21], their application to Mpox detection has been remarkably limited. Most existing studies focus predominantly on traditional Convolutional Neural Networks or basic custom architectures, leaving a significant gap in leveraging the capabilities of transformer models for this specific task.

The lack of standardized benchmark datasets and consistent evaluation metrics further complicates the comparative analysis of different deep-learning approaches for Mpox detection. These methodological constraints have hindered the translation of research findings into clinical practice. They also raise significant concerns about the reliability and robustness of current computational diagnostic techniques. Consequently,

there is an urgent need for more sophisticated, rigorously validated deep learning models that can demonstrate consistent performance across multiple datasets.

In this work, I introduce the Cascaded Atrous Group Attention (CAGA) framework, a new deep learning model designed to improve multi-scale feature representation for medical image detection. My key contributions include a Cascaded Atrous Attention (CAA) module that leverages multi-scale dilated convolutions with a self-attention mechanism, allowing contextual feature extraction across varying receptive fields; a cascading approach to dilated self-attention that progressively aggregates spatial context. I also evaluate my approach across three benchmark datasets, comparing the method against state-of-the-art image classification architectures, and conducting comprehensive ablation studies to analyze the contribution of multiple architectural components.

II. RELATED WORKS

A. Vision Transformers

Convolutional Neural Networks (CNNs) have been instrumental in advancing the field of computer vision [22] [23] [24] [25] [26] [27]. In recent years, Transformers [28] have achieved remarkable success in natural language processing (NLP) tasks. Inspired by this success, researchers have explored the application of Transformers to computer vision, with one of the most influential efforts being the Vision Transformer (ViT) [29]. ViT was one of the first pure Transformer-based models to achieve state-of-the-art results on ImageNet. Unlike CNNs, which rely on local receptive fields and other inductive biases, ViT processes images as sequences of patches, enabling a more global understanding of the visual content. However, their lack of inherent inductive biases, such as locality, makes them computationally intensive and heavily dependent on large-scale data [29] [30] [31] [32]. Hybrid architectures [33] [34] [35] [36] [37] seek to balance these trade-offs, integrating convolutional operations to model local features while leveraging attention mechanisms for global context. This study enhances the convolutional backbone of EfficientViT [38] by integrating a novel Cascaded Atrous Group Attention mechanism, which significantly improves its computational efficiency and performance.

B. Deep Learning for Mpox Classification

Deep learning has shown promise for Mpox classification, offering potential improvements in early diagnosis and outbreak control. As noted in [39], these algorithms are effective in diagnosing Mpox from skin lesions but still face challenges in development and implementation.

[19] created a dataset of 139,198 skin lesion images, with Mpox and non-Mpox cases. They developed the MPXV-CNN, a deep convolutional neural network that demonstrated high sensitivity and specificity in validation and testing, and introduced PoxApp, a web-based tool that facilitates its use in patient management. [18] presented a novel approach for Mpox detection using an attention-powered MobileNetV2 architecture. The authors emphasized the importance of interpretability

in deep learning models for medical image analysis. They compared their proposed model with several baseline models, including VGG-19, ResNet-152, MobileNetV2, AlexNet, GoogleLeNet, and ShuffleNetV2. The study employed a comprehensive set of evaluation metrics and utilized LIME and Grad-CAM techniques for model interpretability. They also outlined a detailed operational workflow for early Mpox detection, covering steps from image capture to decision support. [16] evaluated the performance of various pre-trained models, including VGG16, VGG19, ResNet50, MobileNetV2, and EfficientNetB3, on a dataset of 117 skin lesion images. The authors found that data augmentation techniques helped improve the model's performance significantly. [40] proposed an ensemble learning approach for Mpox detection, combining three pre-trained CNN models (DenseNet201, MobileNet, and DenseNet169) and optimizing the weights using the Particle Swarm Optimization (PSO) algorithm. This ensemble-based approach achieved higher classification accuracy compared to the individual models.

III. METHODOLOGY

This section presents the Cascaded Atrous Group Attention (CAGA) framework. I first provide a comprehensive overview of the framework, followed by detailed explanations of its key components: the Cascaded Atrous Attention (CAA) module and the Cascaded Group Attention (CGA) mechanism.

A. Cascaded Atrous Group Attention

I introduce Cascaded Atrous Group Attention (CAGA), (see Fig. 1 (right)) an architecture that builds upon the Cascaded Group Attention (CGA) [41] framework. While the CGA directly processes each attention head using standard self-attention, this work replaces this mechanism with the proposed Cascaded Atrous Attention (CAA). By integrating multi-scale dilated convolutions and a sophisticated self-attention mechanism for each dilation, CAA enhances the feature representation capabilities of the CGA approach.

1) *Cascaded Atrous Attention (CAA)*: Inspired by DeepLab's Atrous Spatial Pyramid Pooling (ASPP) [44], I propose a multi-scale feature extraction module called Cascaded Atrous Attention (CAA). This module leverages parallel dilated convolutions to effectively capture contextual information at multiple receptive fields. Building upon ASPP's use of varying dilation rates, CAA introduces a cascaded approach that combines dilated inputs with a self-attention mechanism for enhanced feature representation. Fig. 2 provides an illustration of the proposed CAA module.

I incorporate dilation rates $d \in \{1, 2, 3\}$ applied to convolution operations with kernel size $k \times k$ (typically 3×3) across each attention head $X_i \in \mathbb{R}^{h \times H \times W}$, where (H, W) is the resolution, h denotes the dimensionality of the attention head, and $1 \leq i \leq n$, where n is the total number of heads. Which is followed with a 1×1 convolution to transform the feature map into appropriate dimensionality required for self attention. Let $\tilde{H} = \frac{H - k_{\text{eff}} + s}{s}$ and $\tilde{W} = \frac{W - k_{\text{eff}} + s}{s}$, then the output after dilated convolution is denoted as $X_h^{d \in \{1, 2, 3\}} \in \mathbb{R}^{(3 \times d_{qv}) \times \tilde{H} \times \tilde{W}}$,




Fig. 1. **Integration of CAGA Module within the Network Architecture (left) and detailed framework of Cascaded Group Attention with Cascaded Atrous Attention Module (right).** *Left:* The MBCConv layer represents the Mobile Inverted Bottleneck Convolution [42], which is part of the EfficientViT architecture. A Depthwise Separable Convolution [26] is used to reduce computational complexity while preserving spatial and channel-wise feature interactions, converting the input to an $n \times h$ dimension. Batch Normalization (BN) [43] is applied to the output of the residual connection.




Fig. 2. **Cascaded Atrous Attention.**

where $k_{\text{eff}} = k + (k - 1)(d - 1)$ represents the effective kernel size. Here, d_{qkv} is the dimension of query, key, and value embeddings, and s is the stride. The resulting feature map is split into $Q^d, K^d, V^d \in \mathbb{R}^{d_{qkv} \times (\tilde{H} \times \tilde{W})}$ and passed to the self-attention module. The self-attention then is computed as:

$$\text{Attn}_d = \text{Softmax} \left(\frac{Q^d (K^d)^T}{\sqrt{d_{qkv}}} \right) V^d \quad (1)$$

I introduce a hierarchical cascading approach to dilated self-attention maps, enabling a progressive spatial context aggregation mechanism. The model incrementally integrates proximal and broader neighborhood features, creating a multi-

scale representation that captures nuanced spatial dependencies across different contextual granularities. To address dimensionality disparities between the dilated attention maps and subsequent dilated convolutional inputs, I implement a 1×1 convolution projection layer, thereby ensuring dimensional consistency.

$$\tilde{X}_i^d = X_i^d + \text{Proj}(\text{Attn}_{d-1}), \quad 1 < d \leq 3 \quad (2)$$

Each dilated attention map is interpolated to restore the feature representation to its original spatial resolution of (H, W) . These maps are then concatenated and subsequently projected back to match the attention head dimensionality.

TABLE I
COMPARISON WITH SOTA IMAGE CLASSIFICATION MODELS ON MSID DATASET.

Architectures	Parameters	Accuracy	Precision	Recall	F1 Score	Classwise Accuracy			
						Chickenpox	Measles	Mpox	Normal
ResNet-101	43.5M	0.8961	0.8633	0.8782	0.8686	0.762	0.8333	0.875	<u>0.9831</u>
MobileNetV3-Large	5.5M	<u>0.9481</u>	<u>0.94</u>	0.927	<u>0.9327</u>	<u>0.9047</u>	0.9444	0.9107	1.0
DeiT3-Medium	38.8M	<u>0.9481</u>	0.9159	0.9308	0.9229	0.8095	<u>0.8889</u>	0.9821	<u>0.9831</u>
ViT-Base	86.5M	0.9286	0.9097	0.9154	0.9113	0.8571	<u>0.8889</u>	0.893	1.0
EfficientViT	58.9M	<u>0.9481</u>	0.9082	0.9273	0.9165	0.761	<u>0.8889</u>	0.9821	1.0
CoAtNet-1	41.7M	0.9545	0.9201	0.9454	0.9317	0.8095	<u>0.8889</u>	0.9821	1.0
EfficientViT-CAGA	<u>37.8M</u>	0.9545	0.9523	<u>0.9376</u>	0.9446	0.9524	0.9444	<u>0.9464</u>	0.9661

TABLE II
COMPUTATIONAL COMPLEXITY (FLOPs) OF STATE-OF-THE-ART MODELS COMPARED IN THIS STUDY

Architecture	FLOPs
ResNet-101 [25]	7.86G
MobileNetV3-Large [49]	0.22G
DeiT3-Medium [50]	7.52G
ViT-Base [29]	16.86G
EfficientViT [38]	5.27G
CoAtNet-1 [37]	7.59G
EfficientViT-CAGA	<u>4.86G</u>

2) *Cascaded Group Attention (CGA)*: Multi-Head Self-Attention suffers from attention head redundancy [45] [46] [47] [48], resulting in computational inefficiency. To address this limitation [41] propose Cascaded Group Attention, where the input $X \in \mathbb{R}^{(n \times h) \times H \times W}$ is split into n distinct heads of dimensionality h . Each attention head is processed independently, with a distinctive feature: for heads beyond the first, the input is augmented by adding the output of the preceding processed head (\hat{X}_{i-1}).

$$\tilde{X}_i = X_i + \hat{X}_{i-1}, \quad 1 < i \leq n \quad (3)$$

The processed attention heads are concatenated and projected back to the original input dimensions. A residual connection is then applied, linking the transformed representation with the initial input.

$$\hat{X} = X + \text{Proj}(\text{Concat}[\hat{X}_i]_{i=1:n}) \quad (4)$$

This final step ensures that the cascaded attention representation maintains a direct connection to the original input, preserving initial features while incorporating the hierarchically refined representations from the CGA and CAA mechanisms.

IV. EXPERIMENTAL RESULTS AND ANALYSIS

This section introduces the dataset and implementation details, followed by a comparison of the proposed approach with state-of-the-art methods. The proposed methodology is compared to six models, which are shown in Table II. All the models mentioned in Table II are pre-trained on ImageNet.

In contrast, for EfficientViT-CAGA, only the EfficientViT convolutional backbone is pre-trained, while the DSConv and CAGA module are initialized using the Xavier uniform method.

A. Datasets

To evaluate the performance of the proposed method, I selected three benchmark datasets. All images in the datasets are resized to 224×224 .

The **Mpox Close Skin Image Dataset (MCSI)** [17] comprises four classes: Mpox, Chickenpox, Acne, and Normal, with each class containing 100 images.

The **Monkeypox Skin Images Dataset (MSID)** [51] contains 770 total images distributed across four classes: Mpox, Chickenpox, Measles, and Acne.

The **Monkeypox Skin Lesion Dataset (MSLD)** [52] [53] is a binary classification dataset with two classes: Mpox and Others (comprising Chickenpox and Measles). The total dataset size is 228 image.

B. Implementation Details

I utilize the ImageNet pre-trained EfficientViT-L1 [38] as the backbone architecture, with the head initialized randomly. The implementation is done using PyTorch [54] and trained on Tesla T4 GPUs. For pre-trained models I use Pytorch Image Models [55]. I employ the AdamW optimizer with a learning rate on the order of 10^{-5} . The learning rate is adjusted using an ExponentialLR scheduler with a decay rate of 0.95, and training is regulated by an Early Stopping mechanism with a patience of 9 epochs.

For the CAA module, I utilize dilation rates $d \in \{1, 2, 3\}$, which are adjusted for each dataset, across a total of 3 attention heads. Each attention head has an embedding dimension of 16, while the dimensions for the query, key, and value embeddings (d_{qkv}) are set to 8. The weights are initialized using the Xavier uniform method.

C. Results

The bolded results for each metric indicate the highest score among all models, while the second-highest scores are underlined. For parameters and FLOPs, the smallest value is bolded, and the second smallest is underlined.

TABLE III
COMPARISON WITH SOTA IMAGE CLASSIFICATION MODELS ON MCSI DATASET.
RESULTS REPORTED AS MEAN \pm STANDARD DEVIATION (10-FOLD CROSS-VALIDATION)

Architectures	Parameters	Accuracy	Precision	Recall	F1 Score
ResNet-101	47.4M	0.8925 \pm 0.049	0.8908 \pm 0.056	0.891 \pm 0.059	0.884 \pm 0.060
MobileNetV3-Large	7.6M	0.9375 \pm 0.054	0.9433 \pm 0.052	0.9473 \pm 0.050	0.9391 \pm 0.053
DeiT3-Medium	41.5M	0.9575 \pm 0.033	0.960 \pm 0.031	0.958 \pm 0.033	0.956 \pm 0.035
ViT-Base	88.7M	0.9575 \pm 0.035	0.9578 \pm 0.033	0.9562 \pm 0.036	0.9542 \pm 0.036
EfficientViT-L1	58.9M	<u>0.9725 \pm 0.018</u>	<u>0.9727 \pm 0.017</u>	<u>0.9744 \pm 0.020</u>	<u>0.9714 \pm 0.019</u>
CoAtNet-1	41.7M	0.9675 \pm 0.037	0.971 \pm 0.035	0.9671 \pm 0.037	0.967 \pm 0.037
EfficientViT-CAGA	<u>36.8M</u>	0.98 \pm 0.0229	0.9789 \pm 0.025	0.9795 \pm 0.026	0.9781 \pm 0.025

Architectures	Classwise Accuracy			
	Mpox	Normal	Chickenpox	Acne
ResNet-101	0.8667 \pm 0.116	0.8326 \pm 0.131	0.8639 \pm 0.126	1.0 \pm 0.0
MobileNetV3-Large	0.9063 \pm 0.122	0.9205 \pm 0.130	<u>0.9468 \pm 0.093</u>	1.0 \pm 0.0
DeiT3-Medium	0.9538 \pm 0.065	0.9707 \pm 0.064	0.9188 \pm 0.120	1.0 \pm 0.0
ViT-Base	0.9180 \pm 0.081	0.9923 \pm 0.024	0.9207 \pm 0.118	1.0 \pm 0.0
EfficientViT-L1	0.9517 \pm 0.066	0.9718 \pm 0.062	0.9675 \pm 0.0707	1.0 \pm 0.0
CoAtNet-1	0.9700 \pm 0.051	<u>0.9818 \pm 0.057</u>	0.9325 \pm 0.101	1.0 \pm 0.0
EfficientViT-CAGA	<u>0.9690 \pm 0.065</u>	0.9789 \pm 0.045	0.9675 \pm 0.071	1.0 \pm 0.0

TABLE IV
COMPARISON WITH SOTA IMAGE CLASSIFICATION MODELS ON MSLD DATASET.

Architectures	Parameters	Accuracy	Precision	Recall	F1 Score	Classwise Accuracy	
						Mpox	Others
ResNet-101	47.1M	0.9593	0.9592	0.9586	0.9589	0.956	0.960
MobileNetV3-Large	6.8M	0.9781	0.9782	0.9776	0.9779	0.979	<u>0.9974</u>
DeiT3-Medium	38.8M	<u>0.9938</u>	<u>0.9937</u>	0.9937	0.9937	<u>0.993</u>	0.994
ViT-Base	86.5M	<u>0.9938</u>	0.993	<u>0.994</u>	<u>0.994</u>	0.986	1.0
EfficientViT-L1	42M	0.9875	0.987	0.988	0.987	0.979	0.994
CoAtNet-1	41.7M	0.9906	0.99	0.99	0.99	0.986	0.994
EfficientViT-CAGA	<u>36.8M</u>	0.9969	0.997	0.997	0.997	1.0	0.994

1) *MCSI*: The experimental evaluation was conducted using 10-fold cross-validation, following the methodology proposed by [17]. For each fold, the dataset was partitioned into three distinct sets: a training set comprising 288 images, a validation set of 72 images, and a test set containing 40 images. Although runtime data augmentation techniques were applied to the training set, these modifications resulted in a marginal decrease in performance metrics across all evaluated models. To ensure consistency across EfficientViT-CAGA during separate fold runs, CAGA was initialized with a fixed random seed.

Table III reports the comparison of EfficientViT-CAGA with other state-of-the-art models. EfficientViT-CAGA achieves remarkable accuracy while being computationally efficient, with only 4.86G FLOPs, which is $3.46\times$ less than ViT-Base. A significant decrease in the total parameters is observed, with over a 37.5% reduction compared to EfficientViT, while achieving significantly higher performance across several

evaluation metrics.

2) *MSLD*: With fewer than 250 total images available initially, data augmentation is essential for MSLD Dataset. [52] applied standard augmentation techniques, including rotation, translation, reflection, shear, hue adjustment, saturation, contrast and brightness jitter, noise addition, and scaling. These augmentations significantly expanded the dataset, resulting in 1,428 images for the Mpox class and 1,764 images for the Others class. The dataset was then split into training, validation, and testing sets using a 70:20:10 ratio.

Table IV presents a comparison between EfficientViT-CAGA and state-of-the-art (SOTA) models for image classification on the MSLD dataset. Similar to the results on MCSI, EfficientViT-CAGA outperforms competing models across almost all evaluation metrics. It achieves this with approximately 12% fewer parameters compared to both EfficientViT-L1 and CoAtNet-1. Notably, EfficientViT-CAGA is the only model to achieve

TABLE V
ABLATION S

Cascading in CAA	CGA	CAA	Params	Accuracy
	✓	✓	38.6M	0.597
✓		✓	37.2M	0.564
✓	✓	✓	36.8M	0.66

100% accuracy on the Mpox class.

3) *MSID*: Applying augmentation to the *MSID* training data during runtime resulted in worse performance. The dataset was divided using a standard 80:20 split for training and testing.

Table I presents a consolidated comparison of EfficientViT-CAGA with state-of-the-art (SOTA) models on *MSID*. While the overall results show marginal variations across different architectures, a significant distinction is observed in class-wise accuracy. EfficientViT-CAGA demonstrates balanced performance across all classes, ensuring equal emphasis on each. In contrast, other architectures tend to focus on one or two classes. For instance, CoAtNet-1 achieves high accuracy on the Mpox and Normal classes but performs significantly worse on the other two classes.

D. Ablation Study

I conducted a rigorous ablation study to evaluate the Cascading Attention Aggregation (CAA) and Contextual Global Aggregation (CGA) modules on the *MSID* dataset. All models were initialized with random weights to eliminate pre-training biases and ensure an unbiased assessment.

The study focused on two key objectives: first, examining the impact of the cascading mechanism within the CAA module, and second, quantifying the performance contribution of the CGA module. I removed and replaced components, using three attention heads with dilation rates $d \in 1, 2, 3$ to maintain consistent experimental conditions.

Table V summarizes the results of the ablation study. By methodically isolating these architectural components, I gained critical insights into their individual and collective contributions to the model's performance.

V. CONCLUSION

In this work, I explored the use of Atrous Attention to effectively capture multi-scale context for Mpox skin lesion classification. I introduced the novel Cascaded Group Attention (CAGA) module, which significantly reduces computational complexity while maintaining state-of-the-art performance across multiple benchmark Mpox classification datasets. In future work, I aim to expand the application of CAGA to broader tasks, including disease segmentation and object detection, further showcasing its versatility.

REFERENCES

- [1] World Health Organization. Multi-country monkeypox outbreak in non-endemic countries, May 2022.

- [2] Catharina E van Ewijk, Fuminari Miura, Gini van Rijckevorsel, Henry JC de Vries, Matthijs RA Welkers, Oda E van den Berg, Ingrid HM Friesema, Patrick R van den Berg, Thomas Dalhuisen, Jacco Wallinga, Diederik Brandwagt, Brigitte AGL van Cleef, Harry Vennema, Bettie Voordouw, Marion Koopmans, Annemiek A van der Eijk, Corien M Swaan, Margreet JM te Wierik, Tjalling Leenstra, Eline Op de Coul, Eelco Franz, and the Dutch Mpox Response Team. Mpox outbreak in the netherlands, 2022: public health response, characteristics of the first 1,000 cases and protection of the first-generation smallpox vaccine. *Eurosurveillance*, 28(12), 2023.
- [3] Kassiani Mellou, Kyriaki Tryfinopoulou, Styliani Pappa, Kassiani Kolfinopoulou, Sofia Papanikou, Georgia Papadopoulou, Evangelia Vas-sou, Evangelia-Georgia Kostaki, Kalliopi Papadima, Elissavet Mouratidou, Maria Tsintziloni, Nikolaos Siafakas, Zoi Florou, Antigoni Katsoulidou, Spyros Sapounas, George Sourvinos, Spyridon Pournaras, Efthymia Petinaki, Maria Goula, Vassilios Paporizos, Anna Papa, Theoklis Zautis, and Dimitrios Paraskevis. Overview of mpox outbreak in greece in 2022–2023: Is it over? *Viruses*, 15(6), 2023.
- [4] Vitor Borges, Maria P. Duque, Joana V. Martins, Paula Vasconcelos, Rita Ferreira, Duarte Sobral, Ana Pelerito, Isabel L. de Carvalho, Marta S. Nuncio, Maria J. Borrego, Cornelia Roemer, Richard A. Neher, Megan O'Driscoll, Raquel Rocha, Sofia Lopo, Ricardo Neves, Patricia Palminha, Liliana Coelho, Andreia Nunes, Joana Isidro, and João Paulo Gomes. Viral genetic clustering and transmission dynamics of the 2022 mpox outbreak in portugal. *Nature Medicine*, 29(10):2509–2517, 2023.
- [5] Catarina Krug, Arnaud Tarantola, Emilie Chazelle, Erica Fougère, Annie Velter, Anne Guinard, Yvan Souares, Anna Mercier, Céline François, Katia Hamdad, Laetitia Tan-Lhernould, Anita Balestier, Hana Lahbib, Nicolas Etien, Pascale Bernillon, Virginie De Lauzun, Julien Durand, Myriam Fayad, Investigation Team, Henriette De Valk, François Beck, Didier Che, Bruno Coignard, Florence Lot, and Alexandra Mailles. Mpox outbreak in france: epidemiological characteristics and sexual behaviour of cases aged 15 years or older, 2022. *Eurosurveillance*, 28(50), 2023.
- [6] Edouard Mathieu, Fiona Spooner, Saloni Dattani, Hannah Ritchie, and Max Roser. Mpox. *Our World in Data*, 2022. <https://ourworldindata.org/mpox>.
- [7] Shania J. R. D. Silva, Alain Kohl, Luis Pena, and Keith Pardee. Clinical and laboratory diagnosis of monkeypox (mpox): Current status and future directions. *iScience*, 26(6):106759, 2023.
- [8] Shriyansh Srivastava, Sachin Kumar, Shagun Jain, Aroop Mohanty, Neeraj Thapa, Prabhat Poudel, Krishna Bhusal, Zahraa Haleem Al-qaim, Joshuan J. Barboza, Bijaya Kumar Padhi, and Ranjit Sah. The global monkeypox (mpox) outbreak: A comprehensive review. *Vaccines*, 11(6), 2023.
- [9] Surbhi Prasad, Claudia Galvan Casas, Andrew G. Strahan, Lloyd C. Fuller, Kathryn Peebles, Alessandra Carugno, Kenneth S. Leslie, Jennifer L. Harp, Tudor Pumnea, David E. McMahon, Michael Rosenbach, Jonathan E. Lubov, George Chen, Lynn P. Fox, Allison McMillen, Hyun W. Lim, Alexander J. Stratigos, Thomas A. Cronin, Marc D. Kaufmann, Gerald J. Hruza, and Eric E. Freeman. A dermatologic assessment of 101 mpox (monkeypox) cases from 13 countries during the 2022 outbreak: Skin lesion morphology, clinical course, and scarring. *Journal of the American Academy of Dermatology*, 88(5):1066–1073, 2023.
- [10] Andre Esteve, Brett Kuprel, Roberto A Novoa, Justin Ko, Susan M Swetter, Helen M Blau, and Sebastian Thrun. Dermatologist-level classification of skin cancer with deep neural networks. *nature*, 542(7639):115–118, 2017.
- [11] Holger A Haenssle, Christine Fink, Roland Schneiderbauer, Ferdinand Toberer, Timo Buhl, Andreas Blum, Aadi Kalloo, A Ben Hadj Hassen, Luc Thomas, Alexander Enk, et al. Man against machine: diagnostic performance of a deep learning convolutional neural network for dermoscopic melanoma recognition in comparison to 58 dermatologists. *Annals of oncology*, 29(8):1836–1842, 2018.
- [12] Kenneth Thomsen, Lars Iversen, Therese Louise Titlestad, and Ole Winther. Systematic review of machine learning for diagnosis and prognosis in dermatology. *Journal of Dermatological Treatment*, 31(5):496–510, 2020.
- [13] Adria Romero Lopez, Xavier Giro-i Nieto, Jack Burdick, and Oge Marques. Skin lesion classification from dermoscopic images using deep learning techniques. In *2017 13th IASTED International Conference on Biomedical Engineering (BioMed)*, pages 49–54, 2017.
- [14] K. Chadaga, S. Prabhu, N. Sampathila, S. Nireshwalya, S. S. Katta, R. S. Tan, and U. R. Acharya. Application of artificial intelligence techniques

- for monkeypox: A systematic review. *Diagnostics (Basel, Switzerland)*, 13(5):824, 2023.
- [15] S. Asif, M. Zhao, Y. Li, et al. Ai-based approaches for the diagnosis of mpox: Challenges and future prospects. *Arch Computational Methods in Engineering*, 31:3585–3617, August 2024. Received: 28 October 2023; Accepted: 04 February 2024; Published: 26 March 2024.
 - [16] A.S. Jaradat, R.E. Al Mamlook, N. Almakayeel, N. Alharbe, A.S. Almuffih, A. Nasayreh, H. Gharaibeh, M. Gharaibeh, A. Gharaibeh, and H. Bzizi. Automated monkeypox skin lesion detection using deep learning and transfer learning techniques. *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health*, 20(5):4422, 2023.
 - [17] Mattia Giovanni Campana, Marco Colussi, Franca Delmastro, Sergio Mascetti, and Elena Pagani. A transfer learning and explainable solution to detect mpox from smartphones images. *Pervasive and Mobile Computing*, 98:101874, 2024.
 - [18] Avi Deb Raha, Mrityunjoy Gain, Rameswar Debnath, Apurba Adhikary, Yu Qiao, Md. Mehedi Hassan, Anupam Kumar Bairagi, and Sheikh Mohammed Shariful Islam. Attention to monkeypox: An interpretable monkeypox detection technique using attention mechanism. *IEEE Access*, 12:51942–51965, 2024.
 - [19] A.H. Thieme, Y. Zheng, G. Machiraju, et al. A deep-learning algorithm to classify skin lesions from mpox virus infection. *Nature Medicine*, 29:738–747, March 2023. Received: 05 August 2022; Accepted: 19 January 2023; Published: 02 March 2023.
 - [20] Jianpeng Zhang, Yutong Xie, Yong Xia, and Chunhua Shen. Attention residual learning for skin lesion classification. *IEEE transactions on medical imaging*, 38(9):2092–2103, 2019.
 - [21] Nils Gessert, Thilo Sentker, Frederic Madesta, Rüdiger Schmitz, Helge Kniep, Ivo Baltruschat, René Werner, and Alexander Schlaefer. Skin lesion classification using cnns with patch-based attention and diagnosis-guided loss weighting. *IEEE Transactions on Biomedical Engineering*, 67(2):495–503, 2020.
 - [22] Christian Szegedy, Wei Liu, Yangqing Jia, Pierre Sermanet, Scott Reed, Dragomir Anguelov, Dumitru Erhan, Vincent Vanhoucke, and Andrew Rabinovich. Going deeper with convolutions, 2014.
 - [23] Alex Krizhevsky, Ilya Sutskever, and Geoffrey E Hinton. Imagenet classification with deep convolutional neural networks. In F. Pereira, C.J. Burges, L. Bottou, and K.Q. Weinberger, editors, *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems*, volume 25. Curran Associates, Inc., 2012.
 - [24] Saining Xie, Ross Girshick, Piotr Dollár, Zhuowen Tu, and Kaiming He. Aggregated residual transformations for deep neural networks, 2017.
 - [25] Kaiming He, Xiangyu Zhang, Shaoqing Ren, and Jian Sun. Deep residual learning for image recognition, 2015.
 - [26] François Chollet. Xception: Deep learning with depthwise separable convolutions, 2017.
 - [27] Mingxing Tan and Quoc V. Le. Efficientnet: Rethinking model scaling for convolutional neural networks, 2020.
 - [28] Ashish Vaswani, Noam Shazeer, Niki Parmar, Jakob Uszkoreit, Llion Jones, Aidan N. Gomez, Lukasz Kaiser, and Illia Polosukhin. Attention is all you need, 2023.
 - [29] Alexey Dosovitskiy, Lucas Beyer, Alexander Kolesnikov, Dirk Weissenborn, Xiaohua Zhai, Thomas Unterthiner, Mostafa Dehghani, Matthias Minderer, Georg Heigold, Sylvain Gelly, Jakob Uszkoreit, and Neil Houlsby. An image is worth 16x16 words: Transformers for image recognition at scale, 2021.
 - [30] Maithra Raghu, Thomas Unterthiner, Simon Kornblith, Chiyuan Zhang, and Alexey Dosovitskiy. Do vision transformers see like convolutional neural networks?, 2022.
 - [31] Namuk Park and Songkuk Kim. How do vision transformers work?, 2022.
 - [32] Xiangning Chen, Cho-Jui Hsieh, and Boqing Gong. When vision transformers outperform resnets without pre-training or strong data augmentations, 2022.
 - [33] Jianyuan Guo, Kai Han, Han Wu, Yehui Tang, Xinghao Chen, Yunhe Wang, and Chang Xu. Cmt: Convolutional neural networks meet vision transformers, 2022.
 - [34] Zhuang Liu, Hanzi Mao, Chao-Yuan Wu, Christoph Feichtenhofer, Trevor Darrell, and Saining Xie. A convnet for the 2020s, 2022.
 - [35] Ben Graham, Alaeldin El-Nouby, Hugo Touvron, Pierre Stock, Armand Joulin, Hervé Jégou, and Matthijs Douze. Levit: a vision transformer in convnet’s clothing for faster inference, 2021.
 - [36] Ze Liu, Yutong Lin, Yue Cao, Han Hu, Yixuan Wei, Zheng Zhang, Stephen Lin, and Baining Guo. Swin transformer: Hierarchical vision transformer using shifted windows, 2021.
 - [37] Zihang Dai, Hanxiao Liu, Quoc V. Le, and Mingxing Tan. Coatnet: Marrying convolution and attention for all data sizes, 2021.
 - [38] Han Cai, Junyan Li, Muyan Hu, Chuang Gan, and Song Han. Efficientvit: Multi-scale linear attention for high-resolution dense prediction, 2024.
 - [39] Shannon Wongvibulsin and Adewole S. Adamson. Deep learning for mpox: Advances, challenges, and opportunities. *Med*, 4(5):283–284, 2023.
 - [40] Sohaib Asif, Ming Zhao, Fengxiao Tang, Yusen Zhu, and Baokang Zhao. Metaheuristics optimization-based ensemble of deep neural networks for mpox disease detection. *Neural Networks*, 167:342–359, 2023.
 - [41] Xinyu Liu, Houwen Peng, Ningxin Zheng, Yuqing Yang, Han Hu, and Yixuan Yuan. Efficientvit: Memory efficient vision transformer with cascaded group attention, 2023.
 - [42] Mark Sandler, Andrew Howard, Menglong Zhu, Andrey Zhmoginov, and Liang-Chieh Chen. Mobilenetv2: Inverted residuals and linear bottlenecks, 2019.
 - [43] Sergey Ioffe and Christian Szegedy. Batch normalization: Accelerating deep network training by reducing internal covariate shift, 2015.
 - [44] Liang-Chieh Chen, George Papandreou, Iasonas Kokkinos, Kevin Murphy, and Alan L. Yuille. Deeplab: Semantic image segmentation with deep convolutional nets, atrous convolution, and fully connected crfs. *IEEE Transactions on Pattern Analysis and Machine Intelligence*, 40(4):834–848, 2018.
 - [45] Jun Gao, Di He, Xu Tan, Tao Qin, Liwei Wang, and Tie-Yan Liu. Representation degeneration problem in training natural language generation models, 2019.
 - [46] Hugo Touvron, Matthieu Cord, Alexandre Sablayrolles, Gabriel Synnaeve, and Hervé Jégou. Going deeper with image transformers, 2021.
 - [47] Daquan Zhou, Bingyi Kang, Xiaojie Jin, Linjie Yang, Xiaochen Lian, Zihang Jiang, Qibin Hou, and Jiashi Feng. Deepvit: Towards deeper vision transformer, 2021.
 - [48] Daquan Zhou, Yujun Shi, Bingyi Kang, Weihao Yu, Zihang Jiang, Yuan Li, Xiaojie Jin, Qibin Hou, and Jiashi Feng. Refiner: Refining self-attention for vision transformers, 2021.
 - [49] Andrew Howard, Mark Sandler, Grace Chu, Liang-Chieh Chen, Bo Chen, Mingxing Tan, Weijun Wang, Yukun Zhu, Ruoming Pang, Vijay Vasudevan, Quoc V. Le, and Hartwig Adam. Searching for mobilenetv3, 2019.
 - [50] Hugo Touvron, Matthieu Cord, and Hervé Jégou. Deit iii: Revenge of the vit, 2022.
 - [51] Diponkor Bala, Md Shamim Hossain, Mohammad Alamgir Hossain, Md Ibrahim Abdullah, Md Mizanur Rahman, Balachandran Manavalan, Naijie Gu, Mohammad S Islam, and Zhangjin Huang. Monkeynet: A robust deep convolutional neural network for monkeypox disease detection and classification. *Neural Networks*, 161:757–775, 2023.
 - [52] Shams Nafisa Ali, Md. Tazuddin Ahmed, Joydip Paul, Tasnim Jahan, S. M. Sakeef Sani, Nawshaba Noor, and Taufiq Hasan. Monkeypox skin lesion detection using deep learning models: A preliminary feasibility study. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2207.03342*, 2022.
 - [53] Shams Nafisa Ali, Md. Tazuddin Ahmed, Tasnim Jahan, Joydip Paul, S. M. Sakeef Sani, Nawshaba Noor, Anzirun Nahar Asma, and Taufiq Hasan. A web-based mpox skin lesion detection system using state-of-the-art deep learning models considering racial diversity. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2306.14169*, 2023.
 - [54] Adam Paszke, Sam Gross, Francisco Massa, Adam Lerer, James Bradbury, Gregory Chanan, Trevor Killeen, Zeming Lin, Natalia Gimelshein, Luca Antiga, Alban Desmaison, Andreas Köpf, Edward Yang, Zach DeVito, Martin Raison, Alykhan Tejani, Sasank Chilamkurthy, Benoit Steiner, Lu Fang, Junjie Bai, and Soumith Chintala. Pytorch: An imperative style, high-performance deep learning library, 2019.
 - [55] Ross Wightman. Pytorch image models. <https://github.com/rwightman/pytorch-image-models>, 2019.