

# Helicoidal surfaces of frontals in the Euclidian space

Luciana F. Martins and Samuel P. dos Santos \*

December 16, 2024

## Abstract

We investigate helicoidal surfaces in Euclidean space whose profile curves are frontals. Under certain conditions, these surfaces are framed base surfaces. We derive geometric invariants and curvatures for helicoidal surfaces, utilizing the curvatures of associated Legendre curves. Additionally, we analyse the parallel and focal surfaces associated with a helicoidal surface.

## 1 Introduction

The study of helicoidal surfaces in 3-dimensional Euclidean space extends naturally from research on surfaces of revolution, which have been the focus of several recent investigations (see, for example, [5, 6, 8, 16]). Also known as generalized helicoids, helicoidal surfaces form a broader class that includes both helicoids and surfaces of revolution as particular cases.

More precisely, let  $l$  be a line lying in a plane  $\Pi$  in  $\mathbb{R}^3$ , and let  $\mathcal{C}$  be a curve contained in  $\Pi$ . Suppose  $\mathcal{C}$  undergoes a combined motion in  $\mathbb{R}^3$ : it rotates around  $l$  while simultaneously translating parallel to  $l$ , with the rate of translation proportional to the rate of rotation. The resulting set of points  $\mathcal{M}$  is called a *generalized helicoid* (or *helicoidal surface*) generated by  $\mathcal{C}$ , which is referred to as the *profile curve* of  $\mathcal{M}$ . The line  $l$  is known as the *axis* of  $\mathcal{M}$ , and the ratio of the translation speed to the rotational speed is called the *slant* of  $\mathcal{M}$ . A surface of revolution corresponds to a helicoidal surface with slant 0 (cf. [4]), while a classical helicoid is a helicoidal surface whose profile curve is a straight line segment. This work focuses on the geometry of helicoidal surfaces with singular points.

Unlike surfaces of revolution, which are always frontals when their profile curves are frontals, helicoidal surfaces require additional conditions to be frontals. In Section 3, we establish a condition under which helicoidal surfaces are frontals and analyze when they are fronts.

---

\*Work partially supported by FAPESP 2022/10370-9.

2020 Mathematics Subject classification. 58K05, 57R45, 53A05.

Keywords and Phrase. Helicoidal surfaces, framed surfaces, Legendre curves, revolution surfaces

Under certain conditions, helicoidal surfaces with frontal profile curves are framed surfaces. In Section 4, we examine helicoidal surfaces as framed surfaces, deriving curvatures and geometric invariants using the curvature of associated Legendre curves. Sections 5 and 6 are devoted to the study of parallel and focal surfaces of helicoidal surfaces. Finally, we analyze the geometric invariants of helicoidal surfaces, focusing on the behavior of their Gaussian and mean curvatures.

Parts of this work were presented at the 7th International Workshop on Singularities in Generic Geometry and applications, held in Fortaleza, Brazil, in 2023, and at the 18th International Workshop on Real and Complex Singularities, held in Valencia, Spain, in 2024. The first workshop was organized in honor of Farid Tari, and the second in honor of Juan José Nuño-Ballesteros, both celebrating their 60th birthdays. We dedicate this work to these two outstanding mathematicians.

## 2 Preliminaries

In this paper, all maps and manifolds are differentiable of class  $C^\infty$  unless stated otherwise. Let  $\mathbb{R}^n$  denote the  $n$ -dimensional Euclidean space equipped with the inner product  $\mathbf{a} \cdot \mathbf{b} = a_1b_1 + \dots + a_nb_n$ , where  $\mathbf{a} = (a_1, \dots, a_n)$  and  $\mathbf{b} = (b_1, \dots, b_n)$ . We shall focus on the cases where  $n = 2$  or  $n = 3$ . The norm of  $\mathbf{a}$  is given by  $|\mathbf{a}| = \sqrt{\mathbf{a} \cdot \mathbf{a}}$ , and for  $n = 3$ , the vector product of  $\mathbf{a}$  and  $\mathbf{b}$  is denoted, as usual, by  $\mathbf{a} \times \mathbf{b}$ .

We quickly review below main definitions and properties of Legendre curves in the Euclidean plane  $\mathbb{R}^2$  (cf. [1, 2]) and framed surfaces in the Euclidean space  $\mathbb{R}^3$  (cf. [3]).

### 2.1 Legendre curves

Let  $I \subset \mathbb{R}$  be an open interval, and let  $S^1$  be the unit circle. Let  $\gamma : I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2$  and  $\nu : I \rightarrow S^1$  be smooth mappings. We say that  $(\gamma, \nu) : I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2 \times S^1$  is a *Legendre curve* if  $\dot{\gamma}(t) \cdot \nu(t) = 0$  for all  $t \in I$ , where  $\dot{\gamma}(t) = (d\gamma/dt)(t)$ . A point  $t_0 \in I$  such that  $\dot{\gamma}(t_0) = 0$  is called a *singular point* of  $\gamma$ . If a Legendre curve  $(\gamma, \nu) : I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2 \times S^1$  is an immersion, it is called a *Legendre immersion*. We say that  $\gamma : I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2$  is a *frontal* (resp. *front*) if there exists a smooth map  $\nu : I \rightarrow S^1$  such that  $(\gamma, \nu) : I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2 \times S^1$  is a Legendre curve (resp. Legendre immersion).

Given a Legendre curve  $(\gamma, \nu) : I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2 \times S^1$ , let  $J$  be the anticlockwise rotation by angle  $\pi/2$  in  $\mathbb{R}^2$ , and let  $\mu(t) = J(\nu(t))$ . Then  $\{\nu(t), \mu(t)\}$  is a moving frame along the frontal  $\gamma(t)$  in  $\mathbb{R}^2$ , and we have a Frenet-type formula given by

$$\begin{pmatrix} \dot{\nu}(t) \\ \dot{\mu}(t) \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \ell(t) \\ -\ell(t) & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \nu(t) \\ \mu(t) \end{pmatrix}, \quad \dot{\gamma}(t) = \beta(t)\mu(t), \quad (1)$$

where  $\ell(t) = \dot{\nu}(t) \cdot \mu(t)$  and  $\beta(t) = \dot{\gamma}(t) \cdot \mu(t)$ . The pair  $(\ell, \beta)$  is called the *curvature of the Legendre curve*  $(\gamma, \nu)$ . It holds that a Legendre curve  $(\gamma, \nu)$  is a Legendre immersion if and only if  $(\ell, \beta) \neq (0, 0)$ . Notice that  $\gamma$  is singular at  $t$  if and only if  $\beta(t) = 0$ .

Let  $(\gamma, \nu) : I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2 \times S^1$  be a Legendre curve. A *parallel curve*  $\gamma_\lambda : I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2$  of  $\gamma$  is defined by  $\gamma_\lambda(t) = \gamma(t) + \lambda\nu(t)$ , for  $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ , and we note that  $(\gamma_\lambda, \nu)$  is also a Legendre curve. In a neighborhood of a regular point of  $\gamma$ , its evolute is given by  $\mathcal{E}_\gamma(t) = \gamma(t) + \frac{1}{\kappa(t)}\mathbf{n}(t)$ , away from points where  $\kappa(t) = 0$ , where  $\kappa(t)$  is the usual curvature of a regular plane curve and  $\mathbf{n}(t) = J\left(\frac{\dot{\gamma}(t)}{|\dot{\gamma}(t)|}\right) = -\frac{\beta(t)}{|\beta(t)|}\nu(t)$ . Since at singular points of  $\gamma$  its curvature may diverge, the definition of the evolute of  $(\gamma, \nu)$  is given by  $\mathcal{E}_\gamma : I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2$ ,  $\mathcal{E}_\gamma(t) = \gamma(t) - \frac{\beta(t)}{\ell(t)}\nu(t)$ , which generalizes the evolute of regular plane curves since  $\kappa(t) = \ell(t)/|\beta(t)|$  at regular points, and we assume that  $\kappa(t) \neq 0$ .

For details on Legendre curves, see [1, 2].

## 2.2 Framed surfaces

Considering Legendre curves, one has an orthonormal frame for  $\mathbb{R}^2$  along the curve. The same approach holds for surfaces in  $\mathbb{R}^3$ . Let  $U$  be a simply connected domain of  $\mathbb{R}^2$ , and let  $S^2$  be the unit sphere in  $\mathbb{R}^3$ . We denote the 3-dimensional smooth manifold  $\{(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}) \in S^2 \times S^2; \mathbf{a} \cdot \mathbf{b} = 0\}$  by  $\Delta$ .

We say that  $(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{n}, \mathbf{s}) : U \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^3 \times \Delta$  is a *framed surface* if  $\mathbf{x}_u(u, v) \cdot \mathbf{n}(u, v) = 0$  and  $\mathbf{x}_v(u, v) \cdot \mathbf{n}(u, v) = 0$  for all  $(u, v) \in U$ , where  $\mathbf{x}_u = \partial\mathbf{x}/\partial u$  and  $\mathbf{x}_v = \partial\mathbf{x}/\partial v$ . We say that  $\mathbf{x} : U \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^3$  is a *framed base surface* if there exists  $(\mathbf{n}, \mathbf{s}) : U \rightarrow \Delta$  such that  $(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{n}, \mathbf{s})$  is a framed surface. A framed surface  $(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{n}, \mathbf{s})$  is a *framed immersion* if  $(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{n}, \mathbf{s})$  is an immersion. A point  $p \in U$  is a *singular point* of  $\mathbf{x}$  if  $\mathbf{x}$  is not an immersion at  $p$ .

The pair  $(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{n}) : U \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^3 \times S^2$  is said to be a *Legendre surface* if  $\mathbf{x}_u(u, v) \cdot \mathbf{n}(u, v) = 0$  and  $\mathbf{x}_v(u, v) \cdot \mathbf{n}(u, v) = 0$  for all  $(u, v) \in U$ . Moreover, when a Legendre surface  $(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{n}) : U \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^3 \times S^2$  is an immersion, this is called a *Legendre immersion*. We say that  $\mathbf{x} : U \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^3$  is a *frontal* (respectively, a *front*) if there exists a map  $\mathbf{n} : U \rightarrow S^2$  such that the pair  $(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{n}) : U \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^3 \times S^2$  is a Legendre surface (respectively, a Legendre immersion). Then, a framed base surface is a frontal. At least locally, a frontal is a framed base surface.

Let  $\mathbf{x} : U \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^3$  be a frontal. The function  $\Lambda : U \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  given by  $\Lambda(u, v) = \det(\mathbf{x}_u, \mathbf{x}_v, \mathbf{n})$  is called the *signed area density*. A singular point  $p$  of a frontal is called *non-degenerate* if  $d\Lambda(p) \neq 0$ . In this case, the singular set of the frontal is a regular curve near  $p$ , called *singular curve*. A singular point  $p \in U$  of a map  $f : U \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^3$  is called a *cuspidal edge* if the map-germ  $f$  at  $p$  is  $\mathcal{A}$ -equivalent to  $(u, v) \mapsto (u, v^2, v^3)$  at 0. (Two map-germs  $f_1, f_2 : (\mathbb{R}^n, 0) \rightarrow (\mathbb{R}^m, 0)$  are  $\mathcal{A}$ -equivalent if there exist diffeomorphisms  $S : (\mathbb{R}^n, 0) \rightarrow (\mathbb{R}^n, 0)$  and  $T : (\mathbb{R}^m, 0) \rightarrow (\mathbb{R}^m, 0)$  such that  $f_2 \circ S = T \circ f_1$ .) A singular point  $p$  of  $f$  is a *swallowtail* if  $f$  at  $p$  is  $\mathcal{A}$ -equivalent to  $(u, u^2v + 3u^4, 2uv + 4u^3)$  at 0. Cuspidal edges and swallowtails are examples of non-degenerate singular points of frontals. A non-degenerate singular point  $p$  of a frontal  $f$  is called of the *first kind* if the kernel of the differential of  $f$  at  $p$  is not parallel to the singular curve. Cuspidal edge is an example of non-degenerated singular points of frontals of the first kind.

Let  $(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{n}, \mathbf{s}) : U \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^3 \times \Delta$  be a framed surface and  $\mathbf{t}(u, v) = \mathbf{n}(u, v) \times \mathbf{s}(u, v)$ . Then

$\{\mathbf{n}(u, v), \mathbf{s}(u, v), \mathbf{t}(u, v)\}$  is a positive orthogonal moving frame along  $\mathbf{x}(u, v)$ . Therefore, we have the following systems of differential equations:

$$\begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{x}_u \\ \mathbf{x}_v \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} a_1 & b_1 \\ a_2 & b_2 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{s} \\ \mathbf{t} \end{pmatrix}, \quad (2)$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{n}_u \\ \mathbf{s}_u \\ \mathbf{t}_u \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & e_1 & f_1 \\ -e_1 & 0 & g_1 \\ -f_1 & -g_1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{n} \\ \mathbf{s} \\ \mathbf{t} \end{pmatrix}, \quad \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{n}_v \\ \mathbf{s}_v \\ \mathbf{t}_v \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & e_2 & f_2 \\ -e_2 & 0 & g_2 \\ -f_2 & -g_2 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{n} \\ \mathbf{s} \\ \mathbf{t} \end{pmatrix}, \quad (3)$$

where  $a_i, b_i, e_i, f_i, g_i : U \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ ,  $i = 1, 2$ , are smooth functions. These functions are called *basic invariants* of the framed surface  $(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{n}, \mathbf{s})$ . The matrices given in (2) and (3) are denoted by  $\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{F}_1$  and  $\mathcal{F}_2$ , respectively, and the triple  $(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{F}_1, \mathcal{F}_2)$  is also called *basic invariants* of the framed surface  $(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{n}, \mathbf{s})$ . These invariants satisfy the following conditions:

$$\begin{cases} a_{1v} - b_1g_2 = a_{2u} - b_2g_1 \\ b_{1v} - a_2g_1 = b_{2u} - a_1g_2 \\ a_1e_2 + b_1f_2 = a_2e_1 + b_2f_1 \end{cases}, \quad \begin{cases} e_{1v} - f_1g_2 = e_{2u} - f_2g_1 \\ f_{1v} - e_2g_1 = f_{2u} - e_1g_2 \\ g_{1v} - e_1f_2 = g_{2u} - e_2f_1 \end{cases} \quad (4)$$

and  $\mathcal{F}_{2u} - \mathcal{F}_{1v} = \mathcal{F}_1\mathcal{F}_2 - \mathcal{F}_2\mathcal{F}_1$ .

Let  $(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{F}_1, \mathcal{F}_2)$  be the basic invariants of  $(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{n}, \mathbf{s})$ . The smooth mapping  $C_F = (J_F, K_F, H_F) : U \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^3$  given by

$$J_F = \det \begin{pmatrix} a_1 & b_1 \\ a_2 & b_2 \end{pmatrix}, \quad K_F = \det \begin{pmatrix} e_1 & f_1 \\ e_2 & f_2 \end{pmatrix},$$

$$H_F = -\frac{1}{2} \left[ \det \begin{pmatrix} a_1 & f_1 \\ a_2 & f_2 \end{pmatrix} - \det \begin{pmatrix} b_1 & e_1 \\ b_2 & e_2 \end{pmatrix} \right]$$

is called the *curvature of the framed surface*. We notice that if  $\mathbf{x}$  is a regular surface, then their Gaussian curvature  $K$  and mean curvature  $H$  satisfy  $K = K_F/J_F$  and  $H = H_F/J_F$ .

The smooth mapping  $I_F : U \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^8$  given by

$$I_F = \left( C_F, \det \begin{pmatrix} a_1 & g_1 \\ a_2 & g_2 \end{pmatrix}, \det \begin{pmatrix} b_1 & g_1 \\ b_2 & g_2 \end{pmatrix}, \right.$$

$$\left. \det \begin{pmatrix} e_1 & g_1 \\ e_2 & g_2 \end{pmatrix}, \det \begin{pmatrix} f_1 & g_1 \\ f_2 & g_2 \end{pmatrix}, \det \begin{pmatrix} a_1 & e_1 \\ a_2 & e_2 \end{pmatrix} \right)$$

is called the *concomitant mapping* of the framed surface  $(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{n}, \mathbf{s})$ .

**Proposition 2.1** ([3]) *Let  $(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{n}, \mathbf{s}) : U \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^3 \times \Delta$  be a framed surface and  $p \in U$ .*

(a)  *$\mathbf{x}$  is an immersion (a regular surface) around  $p$  if and only if  $J_F(p) \neq 0$ .*

(b)  $(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{n})$  is a Legendre immersion around  $p$  if and only if  $C_F(p) \neq 0$ .

(c)  $(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{n}, \mathbf{s})$  is a framed immersion around  $p$  if and only if  $I_F(p) \neq 0$ .

Next, we consider parallel and focal surfaces of framed surfaces. For a framed surface  $(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{n}, \mathbf{s}) : U \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^3 \times \Delta$ , a *parallel surface*  $\mathbf{x}^\lambda : U \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^3$  is given by  $\mathbf{x}^\lambda(u, v) = \mathbf{x}(u, v) + \lambda \mathbf{n}(u, v)$ , where  $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ . It holds that  $(\mathbf{x}^\lambda, \mathbf{n}, \mathbf{s})$  is a framed surface. A *focal surface* of the framed surface is given by  $\mathcal{E}(\mathbf{x}) : U \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^3$ , with  $\mathcal{E}(\mathbf{x})(u, v) = \mathbf{x}(u, v) + \lambda \mathbf{n}(u, v)$ , where  $\lambda$  is a solution of the equation

$$K_F(u, v)\lambda^2 - 2H_F(u, v)\lambda + J_F(u, v) = 0. \quad (5)$$

We note that these definitions are generalizations of those ones for regular surfaces. For instance, in a neighborhood of a regular point of  $\mathbf{x}$ , it holds that  $\lambda$  is a solution of (5) if and only if  $\frac{1}{\lambda}$  is a solution of  $\lambda^2 - 2H\lambda + K = 0$ . Focal surfaces of a Legendre surface are also Legendre surfaces. The study of parallel and focal surfaces of fronts from a different viewpoint is given in [13, 14, 15]. For more details on framed surfaces, including existence and uniqueness theorems, see [3].

### 3 Helicoidal surfaces of frontals

Let  $I \subset \mathbb{R}$  be an open interval and  $(\gamma, \nu) : I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2$  be a Legendre curve with curvature  $(\ell, \beta)$ . In the following we identify  $\mathbb{R}^2$  with the  $xz$ -plane into  $xyz$ -space  $\mathbb{R}^3$  and we deal with the plane curve  $\gamma(t) = (x(t), z(t))$  as a curve in the  $xz$ -plane. Considering the moving frame  $\{\nu(t), \mu(t)\}$  along  $\gamma(t)$ , let  $\nu(t) = (a(t), b(t))$ . Then  $\dot{x}(t)a(t) + \dot{z}(t)b(t) = 0$ ,  $a^2(t) + b^2(t) = 1$  and  $\mu(t) = (-b(t), a(t))$ . Let  $\varphi : I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be a smooth function such that  $a(t) = \cos \varphi(t)$  and  $b(t) = \sin \varphi(t)$ . Then, by (1), it holds that  $\dot{\gamma}(t) = (\dot{x}(t), \dot{z}(t)) = \beta(t)(-b(t), a(t)) = (-\beta(t) \sin \varphi(t), \beta(t) \cos \varphi(t))$ ,  $\dot{\nu}(t) = (\dot{a}(t), \dot{b}(t)) = \ell(t)(-b(t), a(t))$  and  $\ell(t) = \dot{\varphi}(t)$ .

With the above notations, we shall consider helicoidal surfaces with profile curve  $\gamma$  and axis being the  $x$ -axis or the  $z$ -axis. Then, if the slant is  $c \geq 0$ , they are surfaces in  $\mathbb{R}^3$  which can be parametrized by  $\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{z} : I \times [0, 2\pi) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^3$  given by

$$\mathbf{x}(t, \theta) = (c\theta + x(t), z(t) \cos \theta, z(t) \sin \theta) \quad (6)$$

and

$$\mathbf{z}(t, \theta) = (x(t) \cos \theta, x(t) \sin \theta, c\theta + z(t)), \quad (7)$$

where  $\mathbf{x}$  (resp.  $\mathbf{z}$ ) denote the *helicoidal surface of  $\gamma$  around  $x$ -axis (resp.  $z$ -axis)*.

We consider here the domain  $I \times [0, 2\pi)$  in order to avoid possible self-intersection of the surfaces. Let us first consider the helicoidal surface around the  $z$ -axis given by (7). The first order derivatives of  $\mathbf{z}$  are

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{z}_t(t, \theta) &= \beta(t)(-\sin \varphi(t) \cos \theta, -\sin \varphi(t) \sin \theta, \cos \varphi(t)) \quad \text{and} \\ \mathbf{z}_\theta(t, \theta) &= (-x(t) \sin \theta, x(t) \cos \theta, c). \end{aligned}$$

Then the coefficients of its first fundamental form are

$$E(t, \theta) = \beta^2(t), \quad F(t, \theta) = c \beta(t) \cos \varphi(t) \quad \text{and} \quad G(t, \theta) = x^2(t) + c^2$$

and so  $\mathbf{z}$  is singular at  $(t, \theta)$  if and only if

$$(EG - F^2)(t, \theta) = \beta^2(t)(x^2(t) + c^2) - c^2 \beta^2(t) \cos^2 \varphi(t) = \beta^2(t) \xi_{\mathbf{z}}^2(t)$$

vanishes, where

$$\xi_{\mathbf{z}}(t) = \sqrt{c^2 \sin^2 \varphi(t) + x^2(t)}, \quad (8)$$

i.e., at singular points of  $\gamma$  ( $\beta(t) = 0$ ) or when  $\xi_{\mathbf{z}}(t) = 0$ . We note that  $\xi_{\mathbf{z}}(t) = 0$  if and only if the profile curve intersects the  $z$ -axis ( $x(t) = 0$ ) and, furthermore,  $\mathbf{z}$  is a revolution surface ( $c = 0$ ) or  $\nu(t)$  is orthogonal to the  $z$ -axis ( $b(t) = \sin \varphi(t) = 0$ ) (see Figure 1).




Figure 1: Profile curves of  $\mathbf{z}$  with  $\xi_{\mathbf{z}}(t) = 0$ , for some  $t$ . The dashed line is the axis of  $\mathbf{z}$ .

Considering the helicoidal surface around the  $x$ -axis and parametrization given by (6), it holds analogous result, with

$$\xi_{\mathbf{x}}(t) = \sqrt{c^2 \cos^2 \varphi(t) + z^2(t)}. \quad (9)$$

It was shown in [12] a result (Proposition 3.3) that considers when a surface of revolution is a frontal or a front depending on the profile curve. In the following result we consider the same approach and we include the case of surface of revolution for completeness, adding some information.

**Proposition 3.1** *Under above notation, it holds the following:*

- (a) *If  $c = 0$ , then both revolution surfaces  $\mathbf{z}$  and  $\mathbf{x}$  are frontals. Furthermore,  $\mathbf{z}$  (resp.  $\mathbf{x}$ ) is a front if and only if  $\gamma$  is a front and  $(x(t), \cos \varphi(t)) \neq (0, 0)$  (resp.  $(z(t), \sin \varphi(t)) \neq (0, 0)$ ), for all  $t$ . Consequently, either the surface of revolution  $\mathbf{x}$  or  $\mathbf{z}$  is a front if and only if  $\gamma$  is a front.*
- (b) *If  $c \neq 0$  and  $\xi_{\mathbf{z}}(t) \neq 0$  (resp.  $\xi_{\mathbf{x}}(t) \neq 0$ ), for all  $t$ , then the helicoidal surface  $\mathbf{z}$  (resp.  $\mathbf{x}$ ) is a frontal. Furthermore,  $\mathbf{z}$  (resp.  $\mathbf{x}$ ) is a front if and only if  $\gamma$  is a front and  $(x(t), \beta(t)) \neq (0, 0)$  (resp.  $(z(t), \beta(t)) \neq (0, 0)$ ), for all  $t$ .*

**Proof** We will consider the helicoidal surface  $\mathbf{z}$ . The case for  $\mathbf{x}$  is analogous.

By direct calculation, we have:

$$\mathbf{z}_t \times \mathbf{z}_\theta(t, \theta) = \beta(t)(-c \sin \varphi(t) \sin \theta - x(t) \cos \varphi(t) \cos \theta, c \sin \varphi(t) \cos \theta - x(t) \cos \varphi(t) \sin \theta, -x(t) \sin \varphi(t))$$

and

$$|\mathbf{z}_t \times \mathbf{z}_\theta(t, \theta)|^2 = (EG - F^2)(t, \theta) = \beta^2(t)\xi_{\mathbf{z}}^2(t),$$

where  $\xi_{\mathbf{z}}$  is defined by (8).

(a) If  $c = 0$ , then an nit normal vector field to the surface  $\mathbf{z}$  is given by

$$\mathbf{n}^{\mathbf{z}}(t, \theta) = (\cos \varphi(t) \cos \theta, \cos \varphi(t) \sin \theta, \sin \varphi(t)).$$

Consequently,  $\mathbf{z}$  is a frontal.

Let  $L = (\mathbf{z}, \mathbf{n}^{\mathbf{z}})$  with  $\mathbf{n}^{\mathbf{z}}$  as given above. Since  $\dot{\varphi}(t) = \ell(t)$ , the differential of  $L$  at  $(t, \theta)$  is given by the matrix:

$$dL(t, \theta) = \begin{bmatrix} -\beta(t) \sin \varphi(t) \cos \theta & -x(t) \sin \theta \\ -\beta(t) \sin \varphi(t) \sin \theta & x(t) \cos \theta \\ \beta(t) \cos \varphi(t) & 0 \\ -\ell(t) \sin \varphi(t) \cos \theta & -\cos \varphi(t) \sin \theta \\ -\ell(t) \sin \varphi(t) \sin \theta & \cos \varphi(t) \cos \theta \\ \ell(t) \cos \varphi(t) & 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

If  $\gamma$  is not a front at  $t_0$ , then  $(\ell(t_0), \beta(t_0)) = (0, 0)$ . This leads to the first column of  $dL(t_0, \theta)$  vanishing, indicating that  $\mathbf{z}$  is not a front at  $(t_0, \theta)$ . The same conclusion holds if  $(x(t_0), \cos \varphi(t_0)) = (0, 0)$ , for some  $t_0 \in I$ .

Now, suppose that  $\gamma$  is a front, i.e.,  $(\ell(t), \beta(t)) \neq (0, 0)$ , and  $(x(t), \cos \varphi(t)) \neq (0, 0)$ , for all  $t$ . Under these conditions, the above matrix has rank 2 and, therefore,  $\mathbf{z}$  is a front.

(b) Suppose that  $c \neq 0$  and  $\xi_{\mathbf{z}}(t) \neq 0$ , for all  $t$ . So, it holds that

$$\mathbf{n}^{\mathbf{z}}(t, \theta) = \frac{1}{\xi_{\mathbf{z}}(t)}(c \sin \varphi(t) \sin \theta + x(t) \cos \varphi(t) \cos \theta, -c \sin \varphi(t) \cos \theta + x(t) \cos \varphi(t) \sin \theta, x(t) \sin \varphi(t)) \quad (10)$$

is a unit vector field normal to  $\mathbf{z}$  and  $\mathbf{z}$  is a frontal.

Since either  $\sin \varphi(t) \neq 0$  or  $x(t) \neq 0$  and, for  $\mathbf{n}^{\mathbf{z}}$  given by (10),  $dL(t, \theta) = d(\mathbf{z}, \mathbf{n}^{\mathbf{z}})(t, \theta)$  is given by the matrix

$$\begin{bmatrix} -\beta(t) \sin \varphi(t) \cos \theta & -x(t) \sin \theta \\ -\beta(t) \sin \varphi(t) \sin \theta & x(t) \cos \theta \\ \beta(t) \cos \varphi(t) & c \\ A(t, \theta) & \frac{c \sin \varphi(t) \cos \theta - x(t) \cos \varphi(t) \sin \theta}{\xi_{\mathbf{z}}(t)} \\ B(t, \theta) & \frac{c \sin \varphi(t) \sin \theta + x(t) \cos \varphi(t) \cos \theta}{\xi_{\mathbf{z}}(t)} \\ \frac{-c^2 \beta(t) \sin^4 \varphi(t) + x(t)^3 \ell(t) \cos \varphi(t)}{\xi_{\mathbf{z}}^3(t)} & 0 \end{bmatrix},$$

where

$$A(t, \theta) = \frac{1}{\xi_{\mathbf{z}}^3(t)} \left( c \beta(t) \sin^2 \varphi(t) (-c \sin \varphi(t) \cos \varphi(t) \cos \theta + x(t) \sin \theta) \right. \\ \left. + x(t) \ell(t) (c x(t) \cos \varphi(t) \sin \theta - (c^2 + z(t)^2) \sin \varphi(t) \cos \theta) \right)$$

and

$$B(t, \theta) = \frac{1}{\xi_{\mathbf{x}}^3(t)} \left( -c \beta(t) \sin^2 \varphi(t) (c \sin \varphi(t) \cos \varphi(t) \sin \theta + x(t) \cos \theta) \right. \\ \left. - x(t) \ell(t) (c x(t) \cos \varphi(t) \cos \theta + (c^2 + z(t)^2) \sin \varphi(t) \sin \theta) \right).$$

the result follows just analysing this matrix.  $\square$

**Remark 3.2** *By Proposition 3.1, the helicoidal surface  $\mathbf{z}$  (resp.  $\mathbf{x}$ ), with  $c \neq 0$  and  $\xi_{\mathbf{z}}(t) \neq 0$  (resp.  $\xi_{\mathbf{x}}(t) \neq 0$ ) is a front if and only if the profile curve is a front and it is not singular at points where it intersects the axis of the surface (see Figure 2). We note that, when  $c = 0$ , both revolution surfaces  $\mathbf{x}$  and  $\mathbf{z}$  are frontals but not fronts at  $(t, \theta)$  if, and only if, the profile curve is a frontal but not a front at  $t$ . On the other side, for helicoidal surfaces, if the profile curve is a frontal but not a front, then both  $\mathbf{x}$  and  $\mathbf{z}$  will also fail to be fronts. However, there exist cases where the profile curve is a front, but both helicoidal surfaces are not fronts (see Example 3.3).*




Figure 2: Example with the profile curve being a front, but the helicoidal surface is not a front. The dashed line is the axis of the helicoidal.

**Example 3.3** *Consider the frontal*

$$\gamma(t) = \left( \frac{(t+1) \cos t + (t-1) \sin t - 1}{\sqrt{2}}, \frac{(1-t) \cos t + (1+t) \sin t - 1}{\sqrt{2}} \right)$$

near  $t = 0$ . Then  $\varphi(t) = \arcsin\left(\frac{\cos t - \sin t}{\sqrt{2}}\right)$  and  $\beta(t) = t$ . Since  $\ell(0) = \dot{\varphi}(0) = 1$ , it holds that  $\gamma$  is a front around  $t = 0$ . On the other hand, since  $x(0) = z(0) = \beta(0) = 0$ , then by Proposition 3.1, the helicoidal surfaces  $\mathbf{x}$  and  $\mathbf{z}$  are both not fronts.



## 4 Helicoidal surfaces as a framed surface

In the remainder of this work, we will consider the notations from the previous sections.

With a condition, helicoidal surfaces given by equations (6) or (7) are framed base surfaces. We analyze only the surface given by (7), since the case for that given by (6) is analogous.

**Proposition 4.1** *If  $\xi_{\mathbf{z}}(t) \neq 0$ , for all  $t$ , then  $(\mathbf{z}, \mathbf{n}^{\mathbf{z}}, \mathbf{s}^{\mathbf{z}}) : I \times [0, 2\pi) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^3 \times \Delta$  is a framed surface with basic invariants  $(\mathcal{G}^{\mathbf{z}}, \mathcal{F}_1^{\mathbf{z}}, \mathcal{F}_2^{\mathbf{z}})$  at  $(t, \theta)$  given by:*

$$\mathcal{G}^{\mathbf{z}} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -\beta(t) \\ -\xi_{\mathbf{z}}(t) & -c \cos \varphi(t) \end{bmatrix},$$

$$\mathcal{F}_1^{\mathbf{z}} = \frac{1}{\xi_{\mathbf{z}}(t)} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & \frac{c(\beta(t) \sin^2 \varphi(t) + \ell(t)x(t) \cos \varphi(t))}{\xi_{\mathbf{z}}(t)} & -\ell(t)x(t) \\ \frac{-c(\beta(t) \sin^2 \varphi(t) + \ell(t)x(t) \cos \varphi(t))}{\xi_{\mathbf{z}}(t)} & 0 & c \ell(t) \sin \varphi(t) \\ \ell(t)x(t) & -c \ell(t) \sin \varphi(t) & 0 \end{bmatrix},$$

and

$$\mathcal{F}_2^{\mathbf{z}} = \frac{1}{\xi_{\mathbf{z}}(t)} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -\xi_{\mathbf{z}}(t) \cos \varphi(t) & c \sin^2 \varphi(t) \\ \xi_{\mathbf{z}}(t) \cos \varphi(t) & 0 & x(t) \sin \varphi(t) \\ -c \sin^2 \varphi(t) & -x(t) \sin \varphi(t) & 0 \end{bmatrix},$$

where

$$\mathbf{s}^{\mathbf{z}}(t, \theta) = \frac{1}{\xi_{\mathbf{z}}(t)} (-c \sin \varphi(t) \cos \varphi(t) \cos \theta + x(t) \sin \theta, -c \sin \varphi(t) \cos \varphi(t) \sin \theta - x(t) \cos \theta, -c \sin^2 \varphi(t)) \quad (11)$$

and  $\mathbf{n}^{\mathbf{z}}(t, \theta)$  is given by (10).

**Proof** Since  $\xi_{\mathbf{z}}(t) \neq 0$ , for all  $t$ , it follows that  $\mathbf{n}^{\mathbf{z}}$ , given by (10), is a unit normal vector field of  $\mathbf{z}$ . Moreover, considering the unit vector field  $\mathbf{s}^{\mathbf{z}}$  as defined in (11), we have  $\mathbf{n}^{\mathbf{z}} \cdot \mathbf{s}^{\mathbf{z}} = 0$ , for all  $(t, \theta)$ . Consequently,  $(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{n}^{\mathbf{z}}, \mathbf{s}^{\mathbf{z}})$  is a framed surface.

To compute the basic invariants, consider

$$\mathbf{t}^{\mathbf{z}}(t, \theta) = \mathbf{n}^{\mathbf{z}} \times \mathbf{s}^{\mathbf{z}}(t, \theta) = (\sin \varphi(t) \cos \theta, \sin \varphi(t) \sin \theta, -\cos \varphi(t)).$$

Since  $\dot{\varphi}(t) = \ell(t)$ , then the matrices  $\mathcal{G}^{\mathbf{x}}$ ,  $\mathcal{F}_1^{\mathbf{x}}$  and  $\mathcal{F}_2^{\mathbf{x}}$  are as stated above.  $\square$

It is observed that when  $c = 0$ , the matrices coincide with those given in [12].

Given the basic invariants, we can compute the curvature  $C_{F_{\mathbf{z}}} = (J_{F_{\mathbf{z}}}^{\mathbf{z}}, K_{F_{\mathbf{z}}}^{\mathbf{z}}, H_{F_{\mathbf{z}}}^{\mathbf{z}})$  of the framed surface, which at  $(t, \theta)$  is given by

$$C_{F_{\mathbf{z}}} = \left( -(\beta \xi_{\mathbf{z}})(t), \frac{[c^2 \beta \sin^4 \varphi - x^3 \ell \cos \varphi](t)}{\xi_{\mathbf{z}}^3(t)}, \frac{[\beta(\xi_{\mathbf{z}}^2 + c^2 \sin^2 \varphi) \cos \varphi](t) + [x \ell (c^2 + x^2)](t)}{2\xi_{\mathbf{z}}^2(t)} \right). \quad (12)$$

We observe that the above curvature does not depend on  $\theta$ .

By Proposition 2.1,  $(\mathbf{z}, \mathbf{n}^{\mathbf{z}})$  is a Legendre immersion around  $(t, \theta)$  if and only if  $C_{F_{\mathbf{z}}}(t, \theta)$  does not vanish. According to (12), this condition fails if and only if  $\beta(t) = \ell(t) = 0$  or  $\beta(t) = x(t) = 0$ , which means that either  $\gamma$  is not a front at  $t$  or it is singular at a point where it intersects the axis of  $\mathbf{z}$ . This result corresponds exactly to Remark 3.2.

Now we compute the concomitant mapping of the framed surface  $(\mathbf{z}, \mathbf{n}^{\mathbf{z}}, \mathbf{s}^{\mathbf{z}})$ , which at  $(t, \theta)$  is given by

$$I_{F_{\mathbf{z}}} = \left( C_{F_{\mathbf{z}}}(t), c(\ell \sin \varphi)(t), -\frac{[(x\beta - c^2\ell \cos \varphi) \sin \varphi](t)}{\xi_{\mathbf{z}}(t)}, \right. \\ \left. \frac{c[(x\beta \sin^2 \varphi + \ell(x^2 + \xi_{\mathbf{z}}) \cos \varphi)] \sin \varphi(t)}{\xi_{\mathbf{z}}^3(t)}, (\ell \sin \varphi)(t), \frac{c(\beta \sin^2 \varphi + x\ell \cos \varphi)(t)}{\xi_{\mathbf{z}}(t)} \right),$$

which also does not depend on  $\theta$ .

Thus, if  $c \neq 0$ , then  $I_F$  vanishes at  $(t, \theta)$  if and only if  $\beta(t) = \ell(t) = 0$ . Therefore, according to Proposition 2.1, we have shown that if  $(\mathbf{z}, \mathbf{n}^{\mathbf{z}})$  is a Legendre immersion, then  $(\mathbf{z}, \mathbf{n}^{\mathbf{z}}, \mathbf{s}^{\mathbf{z}})$  is a framed immersion.

For completeness, we provide below the formulas for the helicoidal surface  $\mathbf{x}$  given by (6):

$$\mathbf{n}^{\mathbf{x}}(t, \theta) = \frac{((z \cos \varphi)(t), c \cos \varphi(t) \sin \theta + (z \sin \varphi)(t) \cos \theta, -c \cos \varphi(t) \cos \theta + (z \sin \varphi)(t) \sin \theta)}{\xi_{\mathbf{z}}(t)},$$

$$\mathbf{s}^{\mathbf{x}}(t, \theta) = \frac{(-c \cos^2 \varphi(t), z(t) \sin \theta - c(\sin \varphi \cos \varphi)(t) \cos \theta, -c(\sin \varphi \cos \varphi)(t) \sin \theta - z(t) \cos \theta,)}{\xi_{\mathbf{x}}(t)},$$

$$\mathbf{t}^{\mathbf{x}}(t, \theta) = \mathbf{n}^{\mathbf{x}} \times \mathbf{s}^{\mathbf{x}}(t, \theta) = (-\sin \varphi(t), \cos \varphi(t) \cos \theta, \cos \varphi(t) \sin \theta).$$

In the following, we shall omit the parameter  $t$  in the functions  $\xi_{\mathbf{x}}, z, \beta, \varphi, \ell$ , and  $z$ :

$$\mathcal{G}^{\mathbf{x}} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & \beta \\ -\xi_{\mathbf{x}} & -c \cos \varphi \end{bmatrix}, \\ \mathcal{F}_1^{\mathbf{x}} = \frac{1}{\xi_{\mathbf{x}}} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & \frac{-c(\beta \cos^2 \varphi + z\ell \sin \varphi)}{\xi_{\mathbf{x}}} & z\ell \\ \frac{c(\beta \cos^2 \varphi + z\ell \sin \varphi)}{\xi_{\mathbf{x}}} & 0 & -c\ell \cos \varphi \\ -z\ell & c\ell \cos \varphi & 0 \end{bmatrix} \\ \mathcal{F}_2^{\mathbf{x}} = \frac{1}{\xi_{\mathbf{x}}} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -\xi_{\mathbf{x}} \sin \varphi & c \cos^2 \varphi \\ \xi_{\mathbf{x}} \sin \varphi & 0 & z \cos \varphi \\ -c \cos^2 \varphi & -z \cos \varphi & 0 \end{bmatrix}. \\ C_F = \left( \beta \xi_{\mathbf{x}}, -\frac{c^2 \beta \cos^4 \varphi - z^3 \ell \sin \varphi}{\xi_{\mathbf{x}}^3}, -\frac{\beta(\xi_{\mathbf{x}}^2 + c^2 \cos^2 \varphi) \sin \varphi + z\ell(c^2 + z^2)}{2\xi_{\mathbf{x}}^2} \right). \quad (13)$$

$$I_{F_{\mathbf{x}}} = \left( C_{F_{\mathbf{x}}}, -c\ell \cos \varphi, \frac{(\beta z - c^2 \ell \sin \varphi) \cos \varphi}{\xi_{\mathbf{x}}}, -\frac{c(\beta z \cos^2 \varphi + \ell(\xi_{\mathbf{x}}^2 + z^2) \sin \varphi) \cos \varphi}{\xi_{\mathbf{x}}^3}, \right. \\ \left. \ell \cos \varphi, -\frac{c(\beta \cos^2 \varphi + z \ell \sin \varphi)}{\xi_{\mathbf{x}}} \right).$$

## 5 Parallel surfaces

It is well known that a parallel surface of a regular surface of revolution generated by a regular curve  $\gamma$  is the surface of revolution generated by a parallel curve of  $\gamma$ . The same result holds for surfaces of revolution generated by Legendre curves (see Proposition 3.12 in [12]). However, the situation is different for helicoidal surfaces.

**Example 5.1** *Considering the profile curve  $\gamma(t) = (t, t)$ ,  $t > 0$ , of the helicoidal surface  $\mathbf{z}(t, \theta) = (t \cos \theta, t \sin \theta, c\theta + t)$ , its parallel curve is  $\gamma_{\lambda}(t) = (t - \frac{\lambda}{\sqrt{2}}, t + \frac{\lambda}{\sqrt{2}})$ . The helicoidal surface around the  $z$ -axis generated by  $\gamma_{\lambda}$  is*

$$\left( \left(t + \frac{\lambda}{\sqrt{2}}\right) \cos \theta, \left(t + \frac{\lambda}{\sqrt{2}}\right) \sin \theta, c\theta + t + \frac{\lambda}{\sqrt{2}} \right).$$

*On the other hand, the parallel surface of  $\mathbf{z}$  is given by*

$$\mathbf{z}^{\lambda}(t, \theta) = \left( t\left(1 + \frac{\lambda}{\xi_{\mathbf{z}}(t)}\right) \cos \theta + \frac{\lambda c}{\xi_{\mathbf{z}}(t)} \sin \theta, t\left(1 + \frac{\lambda}{\xi_{\mathbf{z}}(t)}\right) \sin \theta - \frac{\lambda c}{\xi_{\mathbf{z}}(t)} \cos \theta, c\theta + t\left(1 + \frac{\lambda}{\xi_{\mathbf{z}}(t)}\right) \right),$$

where  $\xi_{\mathbf{z}}(t) = \sqrt{c^2 + 2t^2}$ .

*Thus, the helicoidal surface generated by a parallel curve of  $\gamma$  is a parallel surface of the helicoidal surface generated by  $\gamma$  if and only if  $c = 0$ , i.e., if and only if  $\mathbf{z}$  is a surface of revolution.*

**Theorem 5.2** *Let  $(\gamma, \nu) : I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2 \times S^1$  be a Legendre curve and  $\mathbf{z}$  be the helicoidal surface of  $\gamma$  around  $z$ -axis given by (7) such that  $\xi_{\mathbf{z}}(t) \neq 0$ , for all  $t$ . A parallel surface  $\mathbf{z}^{\lambda} : I \times [0, 2\pi) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^3$  of  $\mathbf{z}$  is the helicoidal surface of a curve that belongs to a 1-parameter deformation of the parallel curve of the profile curve  $\gamma$  of  $\mathbf{z}$ .*

In order to prove the theorem, we first present the following lemma. We can consider helicoidal surface generated by a space curve  $\alpha(t) = (x_1(t), x_2(t), x_3(t))$ . For example, if the  $z$ -axis is the axis of the surface, it can be parametrized as follows:

$$\tilde{\mathbf{z}}(t, \theta) = (x_1(t) \cos \theta - x_2(t) \sin \theta, x_1(t) \sin \theta + x_2(t) \cos \theta, c\theta + x_3(t)). \quad (14)$$

For the local study of helicoidal surfaces, we can assume that the profile curve is a plane curve. More precisely, the following result holds.

**Lemma 5.3** *The helicoidal surface given by (14) generated by a space curve is locally a helicoidal surface generated by a plane curve.*

**Proof** Without loss of generality, we assume that  $\alpha(t) = (x_1(t), x_2(t), x_3(t))$  is defined near  $t = 0$ . Let  $m$  and  $n$  integer such that  $x_1(t) = t^m \bar{x}_1(t)$  and  $x_2(t) = t^n \bar{x}_2(t)$ , where  $\bar{x}(0)$  and  $\bar{y}(0)$  are non-zero. We must consider two cases:  $n \geq m$  and  $n < m$ .

For the case  $n \geq m$ , we define the local diffeomorphism  $h(t, \theta) = (t, \theta - \arctan(\frac{x_2(t)}{x_1(t)}))$ , which is well-defined near the origin, since  $\frac{x_2(t)}{x_1(t)} = t^{n-m} \frac{\bar{x}_2(t)}{\bar{x}_1(t)}$  and  $\bar{x}_1(0) \neq 0$ . So

$$\bar{\mathbf{z}}(h(t, \theta)) = (X(t) \cos \theta, X(t) \sin \theta, Z(t) + c\theta),$$

where

$$\begin{cases} X(t) = \operatorname{sgn}(x_1(t)) \sqrt{x_1^2(t) + x_2^2(t)} \\ Z(t) = x_3(t) - c \arctan(\frac{x_2(t)}{x_1(t)}). \end{cases} \quad (15)$$

On the other hand, if  $n < m$ , then the function  $\frac{x_1}{x_2}$  is well-defined near the origin. So taking the diffeomorphism  $h(t, \theta) = (t, \theta + \arctan(\frac{x_1(t)}{x_2(t)}) - \frac{\pi}{2})$ , we have

$$\bar{\mathbf{z}}(h(t, \theta)) = (X(t) \cos \theta, X(t) \sin \theta, Z(t) + c\theta),$$

where

$$\begin{cases} X(t) = \operatorname{sgn}(x_2(t)) \sqrt{x_1^2(t) + x_2^2(t)} \\ Z(t) = x_3(t) + c(\arctan(\frac{x_1(t)}{x_2(t)}) - \frac{\pi}{2}). \end{cases} \quad (16)$$

In both situation,  $\bar{\mathbf{z}} \circ h$  is a helicoidal surface around  $z$ -axis, with slant  $c$  and generated by the curve  $(X(t), 0, Z(t))$ .  $\square$

**Proof of Theorem 5.2.** Let  $\gamma(t) = (x(t), 0, z(t))$  be the profile curve of the helicoidal surface  $\mathbf{z}$ . By direct calculations, using (7) and (10), a parallel surface of  $\mathbf{z}$  is given by:

$$\mathbf{z}^\lambda(t, \theta) = (x_1(t) \cos \theta - x_2(t) \sin \theta, x_1(t) \sin \theta + x_2(t) \cos \theta, c\theta + x_3(t)), \quad (17)$$

where

$$x_1(t) = x(t) \left(1 + \frac{\lambda \cos \varphi(t)}{\xi_{\mathbf{z}}(t)}\right), \quad x_2(t) = -\frac{c \lambda \sin \varphi(t)}{\xi_{\mathbf{z}}(t)}, \quad x_3 = z(t) + \frac{\lambda x(t) \sin \varphi(t)}{\xi_{\mathbf{z}}(t)}.$$

Then,  $\mathbf{z}^\lambda$  is a helicoidal surface generated by the space curve  $\alpha(t) = (x_1(t), x_2(t), x_3(t))$ . By Lemma 5.3, this helicoidal is generated by the plane curve  $\gamma_{\lambda, c}(t) = (X(t), 0, Z(t))$ , with  $X, Z$  given by (15) or (16). When  $c = 0$ , it holds that  $\xi_{\mathbf{z}}(t) = |x(t)|$ . So,  $\gamma_{\lambda, 0}(t) = (x_1(t), 0, x_3(t))$ . Then the curve  $\gamma_{\lambda, 0}$  coincides with the parallel curve  $\gamma_\lambda$  of  $\gamma$ .  $\square$

In the following we shall denote by  $\gamma_{\lambda, c}$  (resp.  $\gamma(\lambda, c)$ ) the plane profile curve in the  $xz$ -plane of the parallel surface  $\mathbf{z}^\lambda$  (resp. the 1-parameter deformation of the parallel curve  $\gamma_\lambda$  of  $\gamma$  given in Theorem 5.2). By Lemma 5.3 and (17), assuming  $x_1(t) \neq 0$ , we have

$$\gamma_{\lambda, c}(t) = \left( \operatorname{sgn}(x_1(t)) \sqrt{x_1^2(t) + x_2^2(t)}, x_3(t) - c \arctan\left(\frac{x_2(t)}{x_1(t)}\right) \right). \quad (18)$$

It is worth noting that the condition  $x_1(t_0) \neq 0$  implies that, if  $c = 0$ , then  $H_F$  given by (12) has non-zero mean curvature in a neighborhood of  $(t_0, \theta)$ .

It is natural to ask whether the deformation  $\gamma(\lambda, c)$  of  $\gamma_\lambda$  is a versal deformation. The following proposition shows that  $\gamma(\lambda, c)$  depends only on the absolute value of  $c$ , indicating that  $\gamma(\lambda, c)$  is not a versal deformation.

**Proposition 5.4** *The deformation  $\gamma(\lambda, c)$  of  $\gamma_\lambda$  given by (18) depends only on the absolute value of the parameter  $c$ , i.e.,  $\gamma(\lambda, c) = \gamma(\lambda, -c)$ .*

**Proof** By (17), the functions  $x_1$  and  $x_3$  depend only on the absolute value of  $c$ , whereas  $x_2$  changes its sign when  $c$  changes sign. Thus, the result follows from the expression (18) and properties of the function arctan.  $\square$

Knowing that  $\gamma(\lambda, c)$  is not a versal deformation of  $\gamma_\lambda$ , another natural question arises: How is a singularity of  $\gamma_\lambda$  deformed by  $\gamma(\lambda, c)$ ? This question is addressed in the following theorem.

**Theorem 5.5** *Let  $\mathbf{z}$  be the helicoidal surface given by (7), and  $\gamma(t) = (x(t), z(t))$  its profile curve. Let  $t_0 \in I$ . Assume that  $\gamma$  is regular and has no vertex at  $t_0$ ,  $\gamma_\lambda$  is singular at  $t_0$ , and  $\gamma$  does not intersect the axis of  $\mathbf{z}$  ( $x(t_0) \neq 0$ ). Furthermore, assume that, when  $c = 0$ , the curvature  $H_F^{\mathbf{z}}$  does not vanish. Then  $\gamma_{\lambda, c}$  has a singularity near  $t_0$  for any  $c$  near  $t = 0$ . That is, there exists a neighborhood  $J$  of 0 and a neighborhood  $I_0 \subset I$  of  $t_0$  such that  $\gamma_{\lambda, c}$  is singular at some  $t \in I_0$ , for all  $c \in J$ .*

**Proof** We assume, without loss of generality, that  $x_1(t_0) > 0$ . We note that  $\gamma_{\lambda, c}$  is singular at  $t$  if and only if  $\lambda, c$  and  $t$  satisfy the following system of equations:

$$\begin{cases} x_1 \dot{x}_1 + x_2 \dot{x}_2 = 0 \\ (x_1^2 + x_2^2) \dot{x}_3 - c(x_1 \dot{x}_2 - \dot{x}_1 x_2) = 0, \end{cases}$$

where  $x_1, x_2$ , and  $x_3$  are given in (17), and we omit the variable  $t$ .

By isolating  $\dot{x}_1$  in the first equation and substituting it into the second, we conclude that the system above is equivalent to:

$$\begin{cases} x_1 \dot{x}_1 + x_2 \dot{x}_2 = 0 \\ x_1 \dot{x}_3 - c \dot{x}_2 = 0. \end{cases} \quad (19)$$

From direct calculations, we conclude that the above system is equivalent to:

$$\begin{cases} x(t) \Phi(c, t) \cos \varphi(t) = 0 \\ -x(t) \Phi(c, t) \sin \varphi(t) = 0, \end{cases}$$

where

$$\Phi(c, t) = \beta(t) (c^2(c^2 - \lambda^2) \sin^4 \varphi(t) + (2\xi_{\mathbf{z}}(t) - x^2(t))(x^2(t) + \lambda\xi_{\mathbf{z}}(t) \cos \varphi(t))) + \lambda x(t)\ell(t) ((c^2 + x^2(t))\xi_{\mathbf{z}}(t) + \lambda x^2(t) \cos \varphi(t)).$$

Thus,  $\gamma_{\lambda, c}$  is singular at  $t$  if and only if  $\Phi(c, t) = 0$ .

Since  $\dot{\gamma}_{\lambda}(t_0) = 0$ , then  $\lambda = -\frac{\beta(t_0)}{\ell(t_0)}$ . Moreover,

$$\frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial t}(0, t_0) = \frac{x^3(t_0)}{\ell(t_0)} (x(t_0)\ell(t_0) - \beta(t_0) \cos \varphi(t_0)) \left( \dot{\beta}(t_0)\ell(t_0) - \beta(t_0)\dot{\ell}(t_0) \right) \neq 0.$$

In fact,  $x\ell - \beta \cos \varphi = 0$  at  $t_0$  if and only if the curvature  $H_F^{\mathbf{z}}$  of  $\mathbf{z}(t_0, \theta)$  with  $c = 0$  vanishes, and  $\dot{\beta}\ell - \beta\dot{\ell} = 0$  at  $t_0$  if and only if  $\dot{\kappa}(t_0) = 0$ , i.e.,  $\gamma$  has a vertex at  $t_0$ .

Then, by the implicit function theorem, there exist neighborhoods  $J$  of 0 and  $I_0$  of  $t_0$ , and a smooth function  $t(c)$  with  $t(0) = t_0$  such that  $\Phi(c, t(c)) = 0$  for all  $c \in J$  and  $t(c) \in I_0$ . In other words, for each  $c \in J$ , there exists a  $t_1 \in I_0$  such that  $\gamma_{\lambda, c}$  is singular at  $t_1$ .  $\square$

The theorem above shows that if  $\gamma_{\lambda}$  has a singularity, then the curve  $\gamma_{\lambda, c}$  also presents this singularity, although not necessarily at the same parameter value. We illustrate this with the following example:

**Example 5.6** Let  $\gamma(t) = (t + 2, \frac{t^2}{2})$  and  $\lambda = -2\sqrt{2}$ . Then  $\dot{\gamma}_{\lambda}(t) = 0$  for  $t = \pm 1$ , and the deformation  $\gamma_{\lambda, c}$  is depicted in Figure 3 by the curves with  $c = 0, 1$ , and 1.5.




Figure 3: Deformation  $\gamma_{\lambda, c}$ , for  $\gamma = (t + 2, \frac{t^2}{2})$  and  $\lambda = -2\sqrt{2}$ .

## 6 Focal surfaces

We now consider the focal surfaces of a helicoidal surface. For surfaces of revolution of a frontal, it is known that when the focal surfaces are well-defined, one of them is the surface of revolution of the evolute of the profile curve, while the other is contained in the axis of rotation. For further details, see Proposition 3.13 of [16]. In this work, we extend the same approach to helicoidal surfaces.

As before, we concentrate on the case of helicoidal surfaces of a frontal around  $z$ -axis given by (7). Besides, we suppose that  $\xi_{\mathbf{z}}(t) \neq 0$ , for all  $t$ . The focal surfaces of (7) are given by

$$\mathcal{E}(\mathbf{z})(t, \theta) = \mathbf{z}(t, \theta) + \lambda(t, \theta) \mathbf{n}^{\mathbf{z}}(t, \theta),$$

where  $\mathbf{n}^{\mathbf{z}}$  is given by (10) and  $\lambda(t, \theta)$  is a solution of (5). Then

$$\mathcal{E}(\mathbf{z})(t, \theta) = (\bar{x}(t) \cos \theta - \bar{y}(t) \sin \theta, \bar{x}(t) \sin \theta + \bar{y}(t) \cos \theta, c\theta + \bar{z}(t)),$$

where

$$\bar{x} = \frac{x}{\xi_{\mathbf{z}}} \left( \lambda \cos \varphi + \xi_{\mathbf{z}} \right), \quad \bar{y} = -\frac{\lambda c \sin \varphi}{\xi_{\mathbf{z}}}, \quad \text{e } \bar{z} = z + \frac{\lambda x \sin \varphi}{\xi_{\mathbf{z}}}. \quad (20)$$

These identities match those in (17), except for the key distinction that, in this context,  $\lambda$  is not a constant but a function of  $t$ . The conclusion drawn from the analysis of the focal surfaces  $\mathcal{E}(\mathbf{z})$  highlights that these surfaces retain their helicoidal nature, with their profile curves represented by the spatial curve  $(\bar{x}, \bar{y}, \bar{z})$ . To formalize this, we can state the following proposition:

**Proposition 6.1** *Let  $(\gamma, \nu) : I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2 \times S^1$  be a Legendre curve, and let  $\mathbf{z}$  be the helicoidal surface given by (7), with profile curve  $\gamma$ . Suppose that  $\xi_{\mathbf{z}}(t) \neq 0$ , for all  $t$ . Then every focal surface of  $\mathbf{z}$  is a helicoidal surface with profile space curve  $(\bar{x}(t), \bar{y}(t), \bar{z}(t))$  given by (20).*

This proposition emphasizes an important property of helicoidal surfaces, indicating that the geometric characteristics are preserved in their focal counterparts, providing a deeper understanding of the structure and behavior of such surfaces in differential geometry.

**Example 6.2** *Let  $\mathbf{z}$  be the helicoidal surface with profile curve given by  $\gamma(t) = (\sin t, -\cos t + 2)$  and with slant  $c = 2$  shown in Figure 4, left. Using the proof of Lemma 5.3, we can find the plane profile curve of the focal surfaces  $\mathcal{E}(\mathbf{z})$  of  $\mathbf{z}$ . One of them is the green quadricusp depicted in Figure 4, righth. The blue curve represents  $\gamma$ .*

**Remark 6.3** *We have proved that a focal surface  $\mathcal{E}(\mathbf{z})$  of the helicoidal surface  $\mathbf{z}$  is also a helicoidal surface, with the condition  $\xi_{\mathbf{z}}(t) \neq 0$ . Moreover, if  $\gamma(t) = (x(t), z(t))$  is the profile curve of  $\mathbf{z}$ , then  $\mathcal{E}(\mathbf{z})$  has, up a change of coordinates at the source, the following plane profile curves (according to the proof of Lemma 5.3),*




Figure 4: Figures of the Example 6.2.

$$\alpha(t) = (\operatorname{sgn}(\bar{x}(t))\sqrt{\bar{x}(t)^2 + \bar{y}(t)^2}, \bar{z}(t) - c \arctan(\frac{\bar{y}(t)}{\bar{x}(t)})), \quad (21)$$

or

$$\alpha(t) = (\operatorname{sgn}(\bar{y}(t))\sqrt{\bar{x}^2(t) + \bar{y}^2(t)}, \bar{z}(t) + c \arctan(\frac{\bar{x}(t)}{\bar{y}(t)} - \frac{\pi}{2})),$$

where  $\bar{x}, \bar{y}$  and  $\bar{z}$  are given in (20).

When  $c = 0$ , in the proof of Proposition 3.13 of [16], with the hypothesis that  $K_F^{\mathbf{z}}(t, \theta) \neq 0$ , for all  $(t, \theta) \in I \times [0, 2\pi)$ , the values of  $\lambda$  that satisfy (5) are  $\lambda_1 = -\frac{\beta}{l}$  and  $\lambda_2 = -\frac{x}{\cos \varphi}$ . Moreover, for  $\lambda_1$ ,  $\alpha$  given in (21) coincides with the evolute of  $\gamma$ . We aim to study the behavior of  $\alpha$  for  $c$  close to the origin. To do this, we will assume, without loss of generality, that  $\alpha$  is referenced to the  $\lambda$  that satisfies  $\lambda_1 = -\frac{\beta}{l}$  when  $c = 0$ . Furthermore, we will assume that  $\bar{x}$  is non-zero and that  $\alpha$  is given by (21). A geometric interpretation of this assumption is provided in the following result.

**Proposition 6.4** *The function  $\bar{x}$  given in (20) is non-zero at  $t_0$  if and only if the curvature  $H_F^{\mathbf{z}}$  does not vanish in a neighborhood of  $(t_0, \theta)$  for sufficiently small values of  $c$ .*

**Proof** In fact, taking  $c = 0$ , we have  $\lambda = -\frac{\beta}{l}$  and  $\xi_{\mathbf{z}} = x$ . Thus,  $\bar{x}(t_0) = 0$  if and only if  $xl - \beta \cos \varphi = 0$ . This identity is also equivalent to  $H_F^{\mathbf{z}} = 0$  when  $c = 0$ . Considering  $H_F^{\mathbf{z}}$  as a map with variables  $t$  and  $c$ , this map is smooth, and therefore, we obtain the result.  $\square$

Varying the parameter  $c$ , we conclude that the map

$$\delta(c)(t) = \delta(c, t) = (\operatorname{sgn}(\bar{x}(t))\sqrt{\bar{x}^2(t) + \bar{y}^2(t)}, \bar{z}(t) - c \arctan(\frac{\bar{y}(t)}{\bar{x}(t)})) \quad (22)$$



is a 1-parameter deformation of the evolute  $\mathcal{E}_\gamma(t) = \delta(t, 0)$  of  $\gamma$ , where  $\bar{x}, \bar{y}$  and  $\bar{z}$  are given in (20). For  $\alpha$  related to the  $\lambda = \frac{x}{\cos \varphi}$  when  $c = 0$ , the map  $\delta(c, t)$  is a deformation of some curve on the axis of revolution, which is not interesting.

**Example 6.5** *Let  $\gamma$  be the curve from Example 6.2. Its evolute is an isolated point, which is deformed by  $\delta(c, t)$  into a quadricusp, as shown in Figure 4.*

In the same way we did for the deformation  $\gamma(\lambda, c)$  of a parallel curve  $\gamma_\lambda$  of the profile curve  $\gamma$ , we observe that the deformation  $\delta(c)$  is not a versal deformation, as it depends only on the absolute value of  $c$ .

**Proposition 6.6** *The deformation in (22) of  $\gamma$  depends only on the absolute value of the parameter  $c$ . In other words,  $\delta(c, t) = \delta(-c, t)$  for all  $c \in \mathbb{R}$ .*

**Proof** First, note that the curvatures  $J_F$ ,  $K_F$ , and  $H_F$  do not depend on the sign of  $c$ . Consequently, the same holds for  $\lambda$ , since it is a root of (5). Furthermore, the functions  $\bar{x}$  and  $\bar{z}$  given in (20) are also independent of the sign of  $c$ , while the sign of  $\bar{y}$  changes when  $c$  changes sign. The result then follows from (22), since the arctan function is odd.  $\square$

Let us consider how a singularity of  $\mathcal{E}_\gamma$  is deformed by the family  $\delta(c)$ . The answer is given by the following result.

**Theorem 6.7** *Let  $\mathbf{z}$  be a helicoidal surface given by (7) with the profile curve being a frontal  $\gamma(t) = (x(t), y(t))$ , with  $x(t) > 0$ ,  $\mathcal{E}_\gamma$  be the evolute of  $\gamma$ , and  $\delta(c)$  be the deformation of  $\mathcal{E}_\gamma$  given by (22). Assume that the  $K_F^z$  does not vanish when  $c = 0$ . Then, if  $\mathcal{E}_\gamma$  is singular at  $t_0$  and  $t_0$  is not a order two vertex of  $\gamma$ , then the plane curve  $\delta_c$  is singular at  $t_0$  for all  $c \in \mathbb{R}$ .*

**Proof** Similarly to Theorem 5.5, it holds that the curve  $\delta_c$  is singular at  $t_0$  if and only if the following identities are satisfied at  $t_0$ :

$$\begin{cases} \bar{x}\dot{\bar{x}} + \bar{y}\dot{\bar{y}} = 0 \\ \bar{z}\dot{\bar{x}} - c\dot{\bar{y}} = 0. \end{cases} \quad (23)$$

As in Theorem 5.5, we will demonstrate the existence of a smooth curve  $(c, t(c))$  that satisfies the above system, thereby showing that for each  $c$  close to the origin, there exists a  $t$  near the origin such that the curve  $\delta(c, t)$  is singular. In other words, the singularity persists in the deformation  $\delta(c, t)$ .

Utilizing the fact that  $\lambda_c(t)$  satisfies (5), we can simplify the above system to the following:

$$\begin{cases} \Delta_1(t, c)\dot{\lambda}_c(t) = 0 \\ \Delta_2(t, c)\dot{\lambda}_c(t) = 0, \end{cases} \quad (24)$$

where  $\Delta_1 = (\lambda \xi^2 + x^2 (-\lambda \sin^2 \varphi + \xi \cos \varphi))$  and  $\Delta_2 = \sin \varphi (c^2 \xi + x^2 (\lambda \cos \varphi + \xi))$ .

Thus, the zeros of (24) are given by the union of  $\dot{\lambda}_c(t) = 0$  with the set  $\Delta_1^{-1}(0) \cap \Delta_2^{-1}(0)$ .

Now, the partial derivatives of  $\Delta_1$  and  $\Delta_2$  are all zero at the origin, implying that  $\Delta_1^{-1}(0) \cap \Delta_2^{-1}(0) = \{(0, 0)\}$ .

On the other hand, the function  $\lambda_c$  can be expressed as  $\lambda_c^-(t, c) = \frac{H_F^z - \sqrt{(H_F^z)^2 - J_F^z K_F^z}}{K_F^z}$  or  $\lambda_c^+(t, c) = \frac{H_F^z + \sqrt{(H_F^z)^2 - J_F^z K_F^z}}{K_F^z}$ .

To ensure that the chosen  $\lambda_c$  coincides with the one for which the focal set has the generator given by the curve  $\delta(c, t)$ , we must assume that the indexed sign in  $\lambda_c$  matches the sign of  $\beta(t) \cos \varphi(t) - x(t) \dot{\varphi}(t)$  near  $t_0$ .

Thus, defining  $\Phi(t, c) = \dot{\lambda}_c(t)$ , we obtain

$$\Phi_t(t_0, 0) = \frac{-\dot{\varphi}(t_0)^2 \ddot{\beta}(t_0) - 2\beta(t_0) \ddot{\varphi}(t_0)^2 + \dot{\varphi}(t_0) \left( 2\dot{\beta}(t_0) \ddot{\varphi}(t_0) + \beta(t_0) \varphi^{(3)}(t_0) \right)}{\dot{\varphi}(t_0)^3}.$$

The above identity is well-defined, as by assumption,  $K_F^z$ , for  $c = 0$ , does not vanish, implying  $\dot{\varphi}(t_0) \neq 0$ .

Since  $\delta_c(t)$  is singular at  $t_0$ , we have  $\dot{\beta}(0) \varphi'(0) - \beta(0) \varphi''(0) = 0$ , allowing us to further simplify the above identity to:

$$\Phi_t(t_0, 0) = \frac{-\dot{\varphi}(t_0) \ddot{\beta}(t_0) + \beta(t_0) \varphi^{(3)}(t_0)}{\dot{\varphi}(t_0)^2},$$

which vanishes if and only if  $\gamma$  has a vertex of at least order two. Thus, by assumption,  $\Phi_t(t_0, 0) \neq 0$ , and by the implicit function theorem, there exists a smooth curve  $(t(c), c)$  passing through the origin such that  $\dot{\lambda}_c(t(c)) = 0$  for all  $c$  near the origin.  $\square$

**Example 6.8** *Let us consider the spiral curve given by*

$$\gamma(t) = (-2t \cos t + (t^2 - 2) \sin t, -(2 - t^2) \cos t + 2t \sin t).$$

*Thus,  $\gamma$  is singular at the origin,  $\beta(t) = t^2$ , and  $\varphi(t) = t$ . Its evolute is singular at the origin, and when it is deformed by  $\delta(c)$ , such singularity remains at the origin (see Figure 5).*

## 7 Geometric Invariants

In this section, we assume that  $\gamma$  has an isolated singularity at  $t = 0$  and does not intersect the rotation axis, i.e.,  $x(0) \neq 0$ . This implies that  $\mathbf{z}$  has a curve of singular points represented by  $(0, \theta)$ . Since the signed area density function  $\Lambda = \det(\mathbf{z}_t, \mathbf{z}_\theta, \mathbf{n}_z) = -\xi_z \beta$  is independent of  $\theta$ , we have  $\Lambda_t(0, \theta) = (-\xi_z \beta')(0)$ . Consequently, the singularities of  $\mathbf{z}$  are classified as




Figure 5: Behavior of the deformation  $\delta$  when the evolute of  $\gamma$  has a isolated singularity.

non-degenerate if and only if  $\beta'(0) \neq 0$ . Furthermore, since the null direction is given by  $\eta = \partial_t$ , these singularities are of the first kind.

Let us assume that the singularities of  $\mathbf{z}$  are cuspidal edges (non-degenerated of the first kind). Since the curve of singularities is a coordinate curve, the definition of the geometric invariants on the singular curve  $\kappa_\nu$  (*limiting normal curvature*) and  $\kappa_s$  (*singular curvature*) are given by ([10]):

$$\kappa_\nu(\theta, 0) = \frac{\langle \mathbf{z}_{\theta\theta}, \mathbf{n}_z \rangle}{|\mathbf{z}_\theta|^2}(\theta, 0), \quad \text{and} \quad \kappa_s(\theta, 0) = \text{sgn}(\lambda_t) \frac{\det(\mathbf{z}_\theta, \mathbf{z}_{\theta\theta}, \mathbf{n}_z)}{|\mathbf{z}_\theta|^3}(\theta, 0).$$

From these definitions and direct calculations, we find that for helicoidal surfaces with slant  $c$ , the invariants  $\kappa_\nu$  and  $\kappa_s$  are constants along the singular curve and satisfy

$$\kappa_\nu = -\frac{x^2 \cos \varphi}{G \xi} \quad \text{and} \quad \kappa_s = -\text{sgn}(\beta') \frac{x \sin \varphi}{\sqrt{G} \xi}, \quad (25)$$

where  $G = c^2 + x^2$  is the coefficient of the first fundamental form of  $\mathbf{z}$ .

Furthermore, the cuspidal curvature ([9]) of the singular curve measures the wideness of  $\mathbf{z}$  and is defined as

$$\kappa_c = \frac{|\mathbf{z}_\theta|^{\frac{3}{2}} \det(\mathbf{z}_\theta, \mathbf{z}_{tt}, \mathbf{z}_{ttt})}{|\mathbf{z}_\theta \times \mathbf{z}_{tt}|^{\frac{5}{2}}}.$$

Performing the calculations, we conclude that  $\kappa_c = -2x G^{\frac{3}{4}} \varphi' |\beta'|^{-\frac{1}{2}} \xi^{-\frac{5}{2}}$ .

The torsion curvature and the inflectional curvature, in turn, are defined in [7] by

$$\kappa_t = \frac{\det(\mathbf{z}_\theta, \mathbf{z}_{tt}, \mathbf{z}_{\theta tt})}{|\mathbf{z}_\theta \times \mathbf{z}_{tt}|^2} - \frac{\det(\mathbf{z}_\theta, \mathbf{z}_{tt}, \mathbf{z}_{\theta\theta})}{|\mathbf{z}_\theta|^2 |\mathbf{z}_\theta \times \mathbf{z}_{tt}|^2} \mathbf{z}_\theta \cdot \mathbf{z}_{tt}$$

and

$$\kappa_i = \frac{\det(\mathbf{z}_\theta, \mathbf{z}_{tt}, \mathbf{z}_{\theta\theta\theta})}{|\mathbf{z}_\theta|^3 |\mathbf{z}_\theta \times \mathbf{z}_{tt}|} - 3 \frac{\det(\mathbf{z}_\theta, \mathbf{z}_{tt}, \mathbf{z}_{\theta\theta})}{|\mathbf{z}_\theta|^5 |\mathbf{z}_\theta \times \mathbf{z}_{tt}|} \mathbf{z}_\theta \cdot \mathbf{z}_{\theta\theta}$$

respectively and are given, by direct calculations, as

$$\kappa_t = \frac{c}{G} \quad \text{and} \quad \kappa_i = \text{sgn}(\beta') \frac{c \sin \varphi x}{G^{\frac{3}{2}} \xi}. \quad (26)$$

We note that all such invariants are constants on the singular curve of  $\mathbf{z}$ .

## 8 Behavior of Gaussian and Mean curvatures

This section delves into the behavior of the Gaussian and mean curvatures of helicoidal surfaces, exploring their geometric properties.

We begin by studying the behavior of the Gaussian curvature near the singular points of  $\mathbf{z}$ . We write the function  $\beta$  as  $\beta(t) = t^m \bar{\beta}(t)$ , with  $\bar{\beta}(0) \neq 0$ . So, it follows that

$$K = -\frac{c^2 t^m \bar{\beta} \sin^4 \varphi - x^3 \varphi' \cos \varphi}{t^m \bar{\beta} \xi_{\mathbf{z}}^4}.$$

This implies that  $K$  is bounded if and only if  $f(t) := x^3 \varphi' \cos \varphi = O(t^m)$ , where  $O(t^m)$  denotes a smooth function  $g(t)$  such that  $\frac{g(t)}{t^m}$  remains bounded near the origin.

We will examine this behavior by distinguishing between the cases where the singularities are degenerate and non-degenerate, with further subcases depending on whether the generating curve  $\gamma$  is a front or not:

(1) Non-degenerate singularities ( $m = 1$ ):

- $\gamma$  is a front: In this case, we know that  $\varphi'(0) \neq 0$ . Thus,  $K$  is bounded if and only if  $x^3(t) \cos \varphi(t) = O(t^1)$ , meaning that  $x(0) = 0$  or  $\cos \varphi(0) = 0$ . In other words,  $K$  is bounded near the singular curve if and only if the generating curve intersects the axis of rotation at the singularity or has its normal line parallel to the axis of rotation.
- $\gamma$  is not a front: In this case, we know that  $\varphi'(0) = 0$ . Therefore, we conclude that  $K$  is bounded.

(2) Degenerate singularities ( $m > 1$ ):

- $\gamma$  is a front: Since  $\varphi'(0) \neq 0$ , it follows that  $K$  is bounded if and only if  $h(t) = x^3(t) \cos \varphi(t) = O(t^m)$ . Recall that  $x'(t) = -\beta(t) \sin \varphi(t) = O(t^m)$ . Thus, if  $x(0) = 0$ , then  $x(t) = O(t^{m+1})$  and consequently  $K$  is bounded. However, if  $x(0) \neq 0$  and  $\cos \varphi(0) = 0$ , then  $h'(0) = -\sin \varphi(0) x(0)^3 \varphi'(0) \neq 0$ . Hence,  $h$  cannot be of the form  $O(t^m)$  (since  $m > 1$ ), and therefore  $K$  cannot be bounded. We conclude that in this case,  $K$  is bounded if and only if  $x(0) = 0$ .
- $\gamma$  is not a front: In this case,  $\varphi'(0) = 0$ , and  $K$  is bounded if and only if  $f(t) = x^3(t) \varphi'(t) \cos \varphi(t) = O(t^m)$ . If  $x(0) = 0$ , then for the same reasons as above,  $K$  is bounded for any integer  $m$ . Now suppose that  $x(0) \cos \varphi(0) \neq 0$ . In this case,  $K$  is bounded if and only if  $\varphi'(t) = O(t^m)$ , which implies  $\varphi(t) = O(t^{m+1})$ . If  $\cos \varphi(0) = 0$ , direct calculations show that  $\varphi(t) = O(t^n)$  if and only if  $f(t) = O(t^{2n-1})$ . Thus,  $K$  is bounded if and only if  $\varphi(t) = O(t^{\frac{m+1}{2}})$  if  $m$  is odd, or  $\varphi(t) = O(t^{\frac{m}{2}})$  if  $m$  is even.

Singularities	$\gamma$	Condition	Behavior
Non-degenerate	front	$x = 0$	Bounded
		$\cos \varphi = 0$	Bounded
		Other cases	Unbounded
	non front		Bounded
Degenerate	front	$x = 0$	Bounded
		Other cases	Unbounded
	non front	$x = 0$	Bounded
		$x \cos \varphi \neq 0$	Bounded $\Leftrightarrow \varphi(t) = O(t^{m+1})$
	$x \neq 0, \cos \varphi = 0$	Bounded $\Leftrightarrow$ $\begin{cases} \varphi(t) = O(t^{\frac{m+1}{2}}), & \text{if } m \text{ is odd} \\ \varphi(t) = O(t^{\frac{m}{2}}), & \text{if } m \text{ is even} \end{cases}$	

Table 1: Summary of the behavior of the Gaussian curvature.

The results obtained above for  $K$  can be summarized in Table 1.

Now we will perform a similar analysis of the behavior of the mean curvature  $H$  near the singular points of a helicoidal surface. From

$$H = -\frac{t^m \bar{\beta} \cos \varphi (\xi^2 + c^2 \sin^2 \varphi) + x \varphi' (x^2 + c^2)}{2t^m \bar{\beta} \xi^3},$$

we have that  $H$  is bounded if and only if  $x \varphi' (x^2 + c^2) = O(t^m)$ .

(1) Non-degenerate singularities ( $m = 1$ ):

- $\gamma$  is a front: In this case, since  $\varphi'(0) \neq 0$ , it follows that  $H$  is bounded if and only if  $x(0) = 0$ .
- $\gamma$  is not a front: In this case,  $\varphi'(0) = 0$ , and therefore,  $H$  is bounded.

(2) Degenerate singularities ( $m > 1$ ):

- $\gamma$  is a front: In this case, since  $\varphi'(0) \neq 0$ ,  $H$  is bounded if and only if  $x(x^2 + c^2) = O(t^m)$ . Using the same reasoning as for the Gaussian curvature, and noting that  $x'(t) = O(t^m)$ , we can conclude that in this case,  $H$  is bounded if and only if  $x(0) = 0$ .
- $\gamma$  is not a front: In this case,  $\varphi'(0) = 0$ . If  $x(0) = 0$ , then for the same reason as above,  $H$  is bounded for any integer  $m$ . If  $x(0) \neq 0$ , it follows directly that  $H$  is bounded if and only if  $\varphi'(0) = O(t^m)$ , or equivalently,  $\varphi(t) = O(t^{m+1})$ .

Singularity Type	$\gamma$	Condition on $x$	Boundedness of $H$
Non-degenerate Sing.	$\gamma$ is a front	$x = 0$	$H$ is bounded
		$x \neq 0$	$H$ is unbounded
	$\gamma$ is not a front		$H$ is bounded
Degenerate Sing.	$\gamma$ is a front	$x = 0$	$H$ is bounded
		$x \neq 0$	$H$ is unbounded
	$\gamma$ is not a front	$x = 0$	$H$ is bounded
		$x \neq 0$	$H$ is bounded $\Leftrightarrow \varphi(t) = O(t^{m+1})$

Table 2: Summary of the behavior of  $H$ .

The results obtained above for  $H$  can be summarized in Table 2.

We conclude that, when  $c = 0$ , if  $\gamma$  is a front, then  $H$  is always unbounded near the singular points of the surface.

## References

- [1] T. Fukunaga and M. Takahashi, Existence and uniqueness for Legendre curves. *J. Geom.* **104** (2013), 297-307.
- [2] T. Fukunaga and M. Takahashi, Evolutes of fronts in the Euclidean plane. *J. Singularities* **10** (2014), 92-107.
- [3] T. Fukunaga and M. Takahashi, Framed surfaces in the Euclidean plane. *Bull. Braz. Math. Soc.* **50** (2019) 37-65.
- [4] A. Gray, E. Abbena and S. Salamon, *Modern differential geometry of curves and surfaces with Mathematica*. Third edition. Studies in Advanced Mathematics. Chapman and Hall/CRC, Boca Raton, FL, 2006.
- [5] Y. Hattori, A. Honda and T. Morimoto, Bour's theorem for helicoidal surfaces with singularities. *ArXiv preprint*, 2023. arXiv:2310.16418.
- [6] R. Lorenzo, N. Mecholsky, Geodesy on surfaces of revolution: A wormhole application. *ArXiv preprint*, 2022. DOI: 10.48550/arXiv.2201.09237.
- [7] L. F. Martins and K. Saji, *Geometric invariants of cuspidal edges*. *Canad. J. Math.* **68** (2016), 445–462.
- [8] L. F. Martins, K. Saji, S. P. Santos and K. Teramoto, *Singular surfaces of revolution with prescribed unbounded mean curvature*, *An. Acad. Brasil. Ciênc.* **91** (2019), no. 3, e20170865, 10 pp.

- [9] L. F. Martins, K. Saji, M. Umehara and K. Yamada, *Behavior of Gaussian curvature and mean curvature near non-degenerate singular points on wave fronts*, Geometry and Topology of Manifold, Springer Proc. Math. & Statistics, 2016, 247–282.
- [10] K. Saji, M. Umehara, and K. Yamada, *The geometry of fronts*, Ann. of Math. **169** (2009), 491–529.
- [11] S. Shiba and M. Umehara, *The behavior of curvature functions at cusps and inflection points*, Differential Geom. Appl. **30** (2012), no. 3, 285–299.
- [12] M. Takahashi and K. Teramoto, *Surfaces of revolution of frontals in the Euclidean space*, Bull. Braz. Math. Soc., New Series **51** (2020) 887-914.
- [13] K. Teramoto, *Parallel and dual surfaces of cuspidal edges*. *Differential Geometry and its App.* **44** (2016) 52-62.
- [14] K. Teramoto, *Principal curvatures and parallel surfaces of wave fronts*. *Advances in Geometry* **19** (2016) 541–554.
- [15] K. Teramoto, *Focal surfaces of wave fronts in the euclidean 3-space*. *Glasgow Math. J.* **61** (2018) 425-440.
- [16] M. Takahashi, K. Teramoto, *Surfaces of Revolution of Frontals in the Euclidean Space*. Bull Braz Math Soc, New Series 51, 887–914 (2020).

Departamento de Matemática, IBILCE - UNESP  
 R. Cristóvão Colombo, 2265, CEP 15054-000  
 São José do Rio Preto, SP, Brazil  
 E-mail: [luciana.martins@unesp.br](mailto:luciana.martins@unesp.br)

Departamento de Matemática, ICMC - USP  
 Caixa postal 668, CEP 13560-970  
 São Carlos, SP, Brazil  
 E-mail: [samuelp.santos@hotmail.com](mailto:samuelp.santos@hotmail.com)