

# LOCAL FORMS FOR THE DOUBLE $A_n$ QUIVER

HAO ZHANG

ABSTRACT. This paper studies the noncommutative singularity theory of the double  $A_n$  quiver  $Q_n$  (with a single loop at each vertex), with applications to algebraic geometry and representation theory. We give various intrinsic definitions of a Type  $A$  potential on  $Q_n$ , then via coordinate changes we (1) prove a monomialization result that expresses these potentials in a particularly nice form, (2) prove that Type  $A$  potentials precisely correspond to crepant resolutions of  $cA_n$  singularities, (3) solve the Realisation Conjecture of Brown–Wemyss in this setting.

For  $n \leq 3$ , we furthermore give a full classification of Type  $A$  potentials (without loops) up to isomorphism, and those with finite-dimensional Jacobi algebras up to derived equivalence. There are various algebraic corollaries, including to certain tame algebras of quaternion type due to Erdmann, where we describe all basic algebras in the derived equivalence class.

## CONTENTS

1. Introduction	1
2. Algebraic Preliminaries	4
3. Geometric Preliminaries	6
4. Monomialization	9
5. Geometric Realisation	17
6. Special cases: $A_3$	30
7. Appendix	43
References	49

## 1. INTRODUCTION


**1.1. Motivation.** This paper is motivated by classification problems within the Minimal Model Program, which, given a reasonable variety, finds a nice representation in its birational class. This representation is not unique, as crucially, they are connected by codimension two surgeries called flops [BCHM].

Let  $\pi : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \text{Spec } \mathcal{R}$  be a crepant resolution with  $\mathcal{R}$  cDV. Then there is a non-commutative algebra  $\Lambda_{\text{con}}(\pi)$  called the contraction algebra, which represents the non-commutative deformation functor of the exceptional curves [DW1]. The contraction algebra  $\Lambda_{\text{con}}(\pi)$  is isomorphic to the Jacobi algebra of a quiver with some potential [V2], and it classifies  $\text{Spec } \mathcal{R}$  complete locally if  $\mathcal{R}$  is furthermore isolated [JKM].

This motivates classifying Jacobi algebras (equivalently, their potentials) on various quivers, as this immediately then classifies certain crepant resolutions. In this paper, we give various intrinsic algebraic definitions of a Type  $A$  potential on the double  $A_n$  quiver  $Q_n$  (with a single loop at each vertex). Then via coordinate changes, we prove a monomialization result that expresses these potentials in a particularly nice form, and prove that these potentials precisely correspond to  $cA_n$  crepant resolutions, which solves the Realisation Conjecture of Brown–Wemyss in Type  $A$  cases [BW]. There are further applications to representation theory.

Together, these results can be viewed as a noncommutative generalization of the classification of simple singularities by commutative polynomials [A1], and also a generalisation of the fact that the germ of a complex analytic hypersurface with an isolated singularity is determined by its Tjurina algebra [MY].


**1.2. Main Results.** For any fixed  $n \geq 1$ , consider the following quiver  $Q_n$ , which is the double of the usual  $A_n$  quiver, with a single loop at each vertex. Label the arrows of  $Q_n$  left to right, as illustrated below.



Quiver  $Q_n$  which has loop  $a_{2i-1}$  at each vertex  $i$ .

From this, define elements  $x_i$  and  $x'_i$  as follows: first, set  $b_{2i-1}$  to be the lazy path at vertex  $i$ , for any  $1 \leq i \leq n$ . Then for any  $1 \leq i \leq 2n-1$ , set  $x_i := a_i b_i$  and  $x'_i := b_i a_i$ .

For example, in the case  $n = 3$ ,



whereas  $x_2 = a_2 b_2$ ,  $x'_2 = b_2 a_2$ , and  $x_4 = a_4 b_4$ ,  $x'_4 = b_4 a_4$ .

Given the above  $x_i$  and  $x'_i$ , we first define a *reduced Type A potential* on  $Q_n$  to be any reduced potential  $f$  that contains the terms  $x'_i x_{i+1}$  for all  $1 \leq i \leq 2n-2$ . A *Type A potential* on  $Q_n$  is then defined in 4.4, but for this introduction we only require the concept of a *monomialized Type A potential* on  $Q_n$ , which is defined to be any potential of the form

$$\sum_{i=1}^{2n-2} x'_i x_{i+1} + \sum_{i=1}^{2n-1} \sum_{j=2}^{\infty} \kappa_{ij} x_i^j$$

for some  $\kappa_{ij} \in \mathbb{C}$ . We will show in 4.20 and 4.23 that any Type A potential is isomorphic to some monomialized Type A potential, and so the above monomialized version suffices.

The first main result is that the complete Jacobi algebra (denoted  $\mathcal{J}ac$ ) of any Type A potential on  $Q_n$  can be realized as the contraction algebra of a crepant resolution of some  $cA_n$  singularity.

**Theorem 1.1 (5.10).** *For any Type A potential  $f$  on  $Q_n$  where  $n \geq 1$ , there exists a crepant resolution  $\pi: \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \text{Spec } \mathcal{R}$  where  $\mathcal{R}$  is  $cA_n$ , such that  $\mathcal{J}ac(f) \cong \Lambda_{\text{con}}(\pi)$ .*

The Brown–Wemyss Realisation Conjecture [BW] states that if  $f$  is any potential which satisfies  $\text{Jdim}(f) \leq 1$ , then  $\mathcal{J}ac(f)$  is isomorphic to the contraction algebra of some crepant resolution  $\mathcal{X} \rightarrow \text{Spec } \mathcal{R}$ , with  $\mathcal{R}$  cDV. The above result 1.1 confirms this Realisation Conjecture for any Type A potential on  $Q_n$  with  $n \geq 1$ .

We then obtain the converse to 1.1 (see 5.13), which shows that our definition of Type A potential is intrinsic. The definition of the quiver  $Q_{n,I}$  and Type  $A_{n,I}$  crepant resolutions are given in §4 and 5.9.

**Corollary 1.2 (5.14).** *Let  $f$  be a reduced potential on  $Q_{n,I}$ . The following are equivalent.*

- (1)  $f$  is Type A.
- (2) There exists a Type  $A_{n,I}$  crepant resolution  $\pi$  such that  $\mathcal{J}ac(f) \cong \Lambda_{\text{con}}(\pi)$ .
- (3)  $e_i \mathcal{J}ac(f) e_i$  is commutative for  $1 \leq i \leq n$ .

Moreover, there is a correspondence between crepant resolutions of  $cA_n$  singularities and our intrinsic noncommutative monomialized Type A potentials, as follows.

**Corollary 1.3 (5.17).** *For any  $n$ , the set of isomorphism classes of contraction algebras associated to crepant resolutions of  $cA_n$  singularities is equal to the set of isomorphism classes of Jacobi algebras of monomialized Type A potentials on  $Q_n$ .*

Then, after restricting to those  $cA_n$  singularities which are isolated, we obtain the following consequence.

**Theorem 1.4 (5.19).** *For any  $n$ , there exists a one-to-one correspondence*


*isomorphism classes of isolated  $cA_n$  singularities  
which admit a crepant resolution*



*derived equivalence classes of monomialized Type A potentials on  $Q_n$   
with finite-dimensional Jacobi algebra.*

**1.3. Special cases:  $A_3$ .** In the case of the double  $A_3$  quiver without loops, it is possible to describe the full isomorphism classes of Type A potentials, and the derived equivalence classes of those with finite-dimensional Jacobi algebras. This generalises [DWZ, E1, H].

To ease notation, now consider the following labelling.



Double  $A_3$  quiver without loops  $Q$

Given two potentials  $f$  and  $g$  on  $Q$ , we say that  $f$  is *isomorphic* to  $g$ , written  $f \cong g$ , if the corresponding Jacobi algebras are isomorphic (see 2.8). Similarly, we say that  $f$  is *derived equivalent* to  $g$ , written  $f \simeq g$ , if the corresponding Jacobi algebras are derived equivalent (see 5.18).

**Theorem 1.5 (6.18).** *Any Type A potential on  $Q$  must be isomorphic to an algebra in one of the following isomorphism classes of potentials:*

- (1)  $x^2 + xy + \lambda y^2$  for any  $0, \frac{1}{4} \neq \lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ .
- (2)  $x^2 + xy + \frac{1}{4}y^2 + x^r$  for any  $r \geq 3$ .
- (3)  $x^p + xy + y^q \cong x^q + xy + y^p$  for any  $(p, q) \neq (2, 2)$ .
- (4)  $x^2 + xy + \frac{1}{4}y^2$ .
- (5)  $x^p + xy \cong xy + y^p$  for any  $p \geq 2$ .
- (6)  $xy$ .

*The Jacobi algebras of these potentials are all mutually non-isomorphic (except those isomorphisms stated), and in particular the Jacobi algebras with different parameters in the same item are non-isomorphic.*

*The Jacobi algebras in (1), (2), (3) are realized by crepant resolutions of isolated  $cA_3$  singularities, and those in (4), (5), (6) are realized by crepant resolutions of non-isolated  $cA_3$  singularities.*

**Theorem 1.6 (6.26).** *The following groups the Type A potentials on  $Q$  with finite-dimensional Jacobi algebra into sets, where all the Jacobi algebras in a given set are derived equivalent.*

- (1)  $\{x^2 + xy + \lambda'y^2 \mid \lambda' = \lambda, \frac{1-4\lambda}{4}, \frac{1}{4(1-4\lambda)}, \frac{\lambda}{4\lambda-1}, \frac{4\lambda-1}{16\lambda}, \frac{1}{16\lambda}\}$  for any  $\lambda \neq 0, \frac{1}{4}$ .
- (2)  $\{x^p + xy + y^2, x^2 + xy + y^p, x^2 + xy + \frac{1}{4}y^2 + x^p\}$  for  $p \geq 3$ .
- (3)  $\{x^p + xy + y^q, x^q + xy + y^p\}$  for  $p \geq 3$  and  $q \geq 3$ .

*Moreover, the Jacobi algebras of the sets in (1)–(3) are all mutually not derived equivalent, and in particular the Jacobi algebras of different sets in the same item are not derived equivalent. In (1) there are no further basic algebras in the derived equivalence class, whereas in (2)–(3) there are an additional finite number of basic algebras in the derived equivalence class.*

Next, recall the definition of the quaternion type quiver algebra  $A_{p,q}(\mu)$  in [E1, H], which is the completion of the path algebra of the quiver  $Q$  modulo the relations

$$a_1a_2b_2 - (a_1b_1)^{p-1}a_1, b_2b_1a_1 - \mu(b_2a_2)^{q-1}b_2, a_2b_2b_1 - (b_1a_1)^{p-1}b_1, b_1a_1a_2 - \mu(a_2b_2)^{q-1}a_2,$$

where  $\mu \in \mathbb{C}$  and  $p, q \geq 2$ . Note we have fewer relations than in [E1, H] since we are working with the completion. In fact  $A_{p,q}(\mu) \cong \mathcal{J}ac(Q, f)$ , where

$$f = \frac{1}{p}x^p - xy + \frac{\mu}{q}y^q \cong x^p + xy + (-1)^q p^{-\frac{q}{p}} q^{-1} \mu y^q.$$

The following improves various results of Erdmann and Holm [E1, H].

**Corollary 1.7 (6.29).** *The following groups those algebras  $A_{p,q}(\mu)$  which are finite-dimensional into sets, where all the algebras in a given set are derived equivalent.*

- (1)  $\{A_{2,2}(\mu') \mid \mu' = \mu, 1 - \mu, \frac{1}{1-\mu}, \frac{\mu}{\mu-1}, \frac{\mu-1}{\mu}, \frac{1}{\mu}\}$  for  $\mu \neq 0, 1$ .
- (2)  $\{A_{p,q}(1), A_{q,p}(1)\}$  for  $(p, q) \neq (2, 2)$ .

Moreover, the algebras in different sets in (1)–(2) are all mutually not derived equivalent. In (1) there are no further basic algebras in the derived equivalence class, whereas in (2) there are an additional finite number of basic algebras in the derived equivalence class.

**Conventions.** Throughout this paper, we work over the complex number  $\mathbb{C}$ , which is necessary for various statements in §3. The definitions of  $Q_{n,I}$  and  $x_i$  are fundamental, and are repeated in §4. We also adopt the following notation.

- (1) Throughout,  $n$  is the number of vertices in the quiver  $Q_{n,I}$ , and  $I \subseteq \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$  is the set of vertices without loops in  $Q_{n,I}$ .
- (2) Set  $m := 2n - 1 - |I|$ , which equals the number of  $x_i$  in  $Q_{n,I}$ .
- (3) Vector space dimension will be written  $\dim_{\mathbb{C}} V$ .

**Acknowledgements.** This work is part of the author's PhD at the University of Glasgow, funded by the China Scholarship Council.

## 2. ALGEBRAIC PRELIMINARIES

To set notation, consider a *quiver*  $Q = (Q_0, Q_1, t, h)$  which consists of a finite set of vertices  $Q_0$ , of arrows  $Q_1$ , with two maps  $h: Q_1 \rightarrow Q_0$  and  $t: Q_1 \rightarrow Q_0$  called head and tail respectively. A *loop*  $a$  is an arrow satisfying  $h(a) = t(a)$ , and a *path* is a formal expression  $a_1 a_2 \dots a_n$  where  $h(a_i) = t(a_{i+1})$  for each  $1 \leq i \leq n-1$ . A path  $a$  is *cyclic* if  $h(a) = t(a)$ .

Given a field  $k$ , the *complete path algebra*  $k\langle\langle Q \rangle\rangle$  is defined to be the completion of the usual path algebra  $kQ$ . That is, the elements of  $k\langle\langle Q \rangle\rangle$  are possibly infinite  $k$ -linear combinations of paths in  $Q$ .

Write  $\mathfrak{m}_Q$ , or simply  $\mathfrak{m}$ , for the two-sided ideal of  $k\langle\langle Q \rangle\rangle$  generated by the elements of  $Q_1$ , and write  $A_Q$ , or simply  $A$ , for the  $k$ -span of the elements of  $Q_1$ .

**Definition 2.1.** *Suppose that  $Q$  is a quiver with arrow span  $A$ .*

- (1) *A relation of  $Q$  is a  $k$ -linear combination of paths in  $Q$ , each with the same head and tail.*
- (2) *Given a finite number of specified relations  $R_1, \dots, R_n$ , we can form the closure of the two-sided idea  $R := kQR_1kQ + \dots + kQR_nkQ$  of  $kQ$ . We call  $(Q, R)$  a quiver with relations, and we call  $k\langle\langle Q \rangle\rangle/R$  the complete path algebra of a quiver with relations.*
- (3) *A quiver with potential (QP for short) is a pair  $(Q, W)$  where  $W$  is a  $k$ -linear combination of cyclic paths.*
- (4) *For any  $n \geq 1$ , set  $W_n$  to be the  $n$ th homogeneous component of  $W$  with respect to the path length.*
- (5) *For each  $a \in Q_1$  and cyclic path  $a_1 \dots a_d$  in  $Q$ , define the cyclic derivative as*

$$\partial_a(a_1 \dots a_d) = \sum_{i=1}^d \delta_{a, a_i} a_{i+1} \dots a_d a_1 \dots a_{i-1}$$

(where  $\delta_{a, a_i}$  is the Kronecker delta, and then extend  $\partial_a$  by linearity.

- (6) For every potential  $W$ , the Jacobi ideal  $J(W)$  is defined to be the closure of the two-sided ideal in  $k\langle\langle Q \rangle\rangle$  generated by  $\partial_a W$  for all  $a \in Q_1$ .
- (7) The Jacobi algebra  $\mathcal{J}ac(Q, W)$  or  $\mathcal{J}ac(A, W)$  is the quotient  $k\langle\langle Q \rangle\rangle/J(W)$ . We write  $\mathcal{J}ac(W)$  when the quiver  $Q$  is obvious.
- (8) For every potential  $W$ , write  $\partial W$  for the  $k$ -span of  $\partial_a W$  for all  $a \in Q_1$ .
- (9) We call a QP  $(Q, W)$  reduced if  $W_2 = 0$ . It is called trivial if  $W_n = 0$  for all  $n \geq 3$ , and further  $\partial W = A$ .

**Example 2.2.** Consider the one loop quiver  $Q$  with potential  $W = a^2$ ,



The complete path algebra  $k\langle\langle Q \rangle\rangle$  is  $k[[a]]$ . Moreover,  $\mathcal{J}ac(Q, W) \cong k[[a]]/(a) \cong k$  since  $\partial_a(a^2) = 2a$ . Since  $W_n = 0$  for all  $n \geq 3$  and  $\partial W = ka = A_Q$ , this QP  $(Q, W)$  is trivial.

**Notation 2.3.** For  $\mathcal{A} := k\langle\langle Q \rangle\rangle$ , consider  $\{\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{A}\}$ , the commutator vector space of  $k\langle\langle Q \rangle\rangle$ . That is, elements of  $\{\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{A}\}$  are finite sums

$$\sum_{i=1}^n k_i(p_i q_i - q_i p_i)$$

for elements  $p_i, q_i \in k\langle\langle Q \rangle\rangle$  and  $k_i \in \mathbb{C}$ . Write  $\{\{\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{A}\}\}$  for the closure of the commutator vector space  $\{\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{A}\}$ .

**Definition 2.4.** Two potentials  $W$  and  $W'$  are cyclically equivalent (written  $W \sim W'$ ) if  $W - W' \in \{\{\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{A}\}\}$ . We write  $W \stackrel{i}{\sim} W'$  if  $W \sim W'$  and  $W - W' \in \mathfrak{m}^i$ .

**Remark 2.5.** Note that if two potentials  $W$  and  $W'$  are cyclically equivalent, then  $\partial_a W = \partial_a W'$  for all  $a \in Q_1$ , and hence  $\mathcal{J}ac(Q, W) = \mathcal{J}ac(Q, W')$  [DWZ, 3.3]. Since we aim to classify the Jacobi algebras up to isomorphism, we always consider the potentials up to cyclic equivalence.

Given an algebra homomorphism  $\varphi: k\langle\langle Q \rangle\rangle \rightarrow k\langle\langle Q' \rangle\rangle$  such that  $\varphi|_k = id$  which sends  $\mathfrak{m}_Q$  to  $\mathfrak{m}_{Q'}$ , write  $\varphi|_{A_Q} = (\varphi_1, \varphi_2)$  where  $\varphi_1: A_Q \rightarrow A_{Q'}$  and  $\varphi_2: A_Q \rightarrow \mathfrak{m}_{Q'}^2$  are  $k$ -module homomorphisms.

**Proposition 2.6.** [DWZ, 2.4] Given two quivers  $Q$  and  $Q'$ , any pair  $(\varphi_1, \varphi_2)$  of  $k$ -module homomorphism  $\varphi_1: A_Q \rightarrow A_{Q'}$  and  $\varphi_2: A_Q \rightarrow \mathfrak{m}_{Q'}^2$ , gives rise to a unique homomorphism of algebras  $\varphi: k\langle\langle Q \rangle\rangle \rightarrow k\langle\langle Q' \rangle\rangle$  such that  $\varphi|_k = id$  and  $\varphi|_{A_Q} = (\varphi_1, \varphi_2)$ . Furthermore,  $\varphi$  is an isomorphism if and only if  $\varphi_1$  is a  $k$ -module isomorphism  $A_Q \rightarrow A_{Q'}$ .

From the above result, whenever we construct an automorphism  $\varphi: k\langle\langle Q \rangle\rangle \rightarrow k\langle\langle Q \rangle\rangle$  in §4 and §6, it will always be the case that  $\varphi|_k = id$ , so we will only describe  $\varphi|_{A_Q}$ .

**Definition 2.7.** An algebra homomorphism  $\varphi: k\langle\langle Q \rangle\rangle \rightarrow k\langle\langle Q \rangle\rangle$  is called a unitriangular automorphism if  $\varphi|_k = id$  and  $\varphi_1 = id$ . For  $i \geq 1$ , we say that  $\varphi$  has depth  $i$  provided that  $\varphi_2(a) \in \mathfrak{m}_{Q'}^{i+1}$  for all  $a \in Q_1$ .

**Definition 2.8.** Let  $f$  and  $g$  be potentials on a quiver  $Q$ .

- (1) We say that  $f$  is isomorphic to  $g$  (written  $f \cong g$ ) if  $\mathcal{J}ac(f) \cong \mathcal{J}ac(g)$  as algebras.
- (2) If there exists an algebra isomorphism  $\varphi: k\langle\langle Q \rangle\rangle \rightarrow k\langle\langle Q \rangle\rangle$  such that  $\varphi|_k = id$  and  $\varphi(f) = g$ , then we write  $\varphi: f \mapsto g$  and say that  $f$  is equivalent to  $g$ .
- (3) If there exists an algebra isomorphism  $\varphi: k\langle\langle Q \rangle\rangle \rightarrow k\langle\langle Q \rangle\rangle$  such that  $\varphi|_k = id$  and  $\varphi(f) \sim g$ , then we write  $\varphi: f \rightsquigarrow g$  and say that  $f$  is right-equivalent to  $g$ .
- (4) For  $i \geq 1$ , if there exists a unitriangular  $\varphi: k\langle\langle Q \rangle\rangle \rightarrow k\langle\langle Q \rangle\rangle$  such that  $\varphi$  has depth greater than or equal to  $i$ , and further  $\varphi(f) \stackrel{i+1}{\rightsquigarrow} g$ , then we write  $\varphi: f \stackrel{i}{\rightsquigarrow} g$  and say that  $f$  is path degree  $i$  right-equivalent to  $g$ .

We follow the definition of right-equivalence in [DWZ, 4.2]. Moreover, from [DWZ, p12],  $f \rightsquigarrow g$  induces  $f \cong g$ , and further a finite sequence of right-equivalences is still a right-equivalence. By 2.6,  $f \overset{i}{\rightsquigarrow} g$  induces  $f \rightsquigarrow g$ . Thus, together with the above definition gives  $f \sim g$  or  $f \mapsto g$  or  $f \overset{i}{\rightsquigarrow} g \Rightarrow f \rightsquigarrow g \Rightarrow f \cong g$ .

The Jacobi algebra isomorphism  $\cong$  is the equivalence relation that we aim to classify the potentials up to. The main idea is to start with a potential  $f$ , then transform it by a sequence of automorphisms which chases terms into higher and higher degrees. Composing this sequence of automorphisms then gives a single automorphism which takes  $f$  to the desired form (see §4 and §6.1).

The subtle point is that at each stage, the automorphism only gives the desired potential up to cyclic equivalence (e.g.  $\rightsquigarrow, \overset{i}{\rightsquigarrow}$ ). Given an infinite sequence of path degree  $i$  right-equivalences  $\varphi_i: f_i \overset{i}{\rightsquigarrow} f_{i+1}$  for  $i \geq 1$ , then the following asserts that  $\lim f_i$  exists, and further there exists a right-equivalence  $F: f_1 \rightsquigarrow \lim f_i$ .

**Theorem 2.9.** [BW, 2.9] *Let  $f$  be a potential, and set  $f_1 = f$ . Suppose that there exist elements  $f_2, f_3, \dots$  and automorphisms  $\varphi_1, \varphi_2, \dots$ , such that*

- (1) *Every  $\varphi_i$  is unitriangular of depth of  $\geq i$ , and*
- (2)  *$\varphi_i(f_i) \overset{i+1}{\rightsquigarrow} f_{i+1}$ , for all  $i \geq 1$ .*

*Then  $\lim f_i$  exists, and there exists an automorphism  $F$  such that  $F(f) \sim \lim f_i$ .*

### 3. GEOMETRIC PRELIMINARIES

In this section, we first recall basic concepts such as NCCRs and contraction algebras, then summarise several facts about  $cA_n$  singularities and their NCCRs in [IW1].

Throughout the remainder of this paper, the notation  $\mathcal{R}$  will be reserved for the singularities of the following form.

**Definition 3.1.** *A complete local  $\mathbb{C}$ -algebra  $\mathcal{R}$  is called a compound Du Val (cDV) singularity if*

$$\mathcal{R} \cong \frac{\mathbb{C}[[u, v, x, t]]}{f + tg}$$

*where  $f \in \mathbb{C}[[u, v, x]]$  defines a Du-Val, or equivalently Kleinian, surface singularity and  $g \in \mathbb{C}[[u, v, x, t]]$  is arbitrary.*

**Definition 3.2.** *A projective birational morphism  $\pi: \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \text{Spec } \mathcal{R}$  is called crepant partial resolution if  $\omega_{\mathcal{X}} \cong \pi^* \omega_{\mathcal{R}}$ . When  $\mathcal{X}$  is furthermore smooth, we call  $\pi$  a crepant resolution. When  $\mathcal{R}$  is isolated, crepant partial resolutions and crepant resolutions are equivalently called flopping contractions and smooth flopping contractions, respectively.*

**3.1. NCCRs and Contraction Algebras.** In this subsection, we will introduce NCCRs and contraction algebras of cDV singularities and then recall some associated theorems.

We will write  $\text{CM } \mathcal{R}$  for the category of maximal Cohen-Macaulay  $\mathcal{R}$ -modules and  $\underline{\text{CM}} \mathcal{R}$  for the stable category of  $\text{CM } \mathcal{R}$ .

**Definition 3.3.** *A noncommutative crepant resolution (NCCR) of  $\mathcal{R}$  is a ring of the form  $\Lambda(M) := \text{End}_{\mathcal{R}}(M)$  for some finitely generated reflexive  $\mathcal{R}$ -module  $M$ , such that  $\Lambda(M) \in \text{CM } \mathcal{R}$  and has finite global dimension.*

Since  $\mathcal{R}$  is cDV, there is a bijection [W3],

$$\{M \in \text{CM } \mathcal{R} \text{ and satisfies 3.3}\} \longleftrightarrow \{\text{crepant resolutions } \pi: X \rightarrow \text{Spec } \mathcal{R}\}.$$

The passage from left to right takes a given  $M$  and associates a certain moduli space of representations of  $\text{End}_{\mathcal{R}}(M)$ . Thus we do not lose any geometric information by passing from crepant resolutions to NCCRs.

We next explain the passage from right to left in detail. Let  $\pi: \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \text{Spec } \mathcal{R}$  be a crepant resolution with exceptional curves  $C_1, \dots, C_n$ . For any  $1 \leq i \leq n$ , there is a unique bundle  $\mathcal{M}_i$  on  $\mathcal{X}$  [V1, 3.5.4], and

$$\mathcal{M} := \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}} \oplus \bigoplus_{i=1}^n \mathcal{M}_i$$

is a tilting bundle on  $\mathcal{X}$  [V1, 3.5.5]. Pushing forward via  $\pi$  gives  $\pi_*(\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}}) = \mathcal{R}$  and  $\pi_*(\mathcal{M}_i) = M_i$  for some  $\mathcal{R}$ -module  $M_i$ . Set  $M = \mathcal{R} \oplus \bigoplus_{i=1}^n M_i$ . Then  $M \in \text{CM}\mathcal{R}$  and satisfies 3.3. Thus, there is an equivalent definition of NCCR associated to the crepant resolution  $\pi$ ,

$$\Lambda(\pi) := \text{End}_{\mathcal{X}}(\mathcal{M}) \cong \text{End}_{\mathcal{R}}(M) = \Lambda(M).$$

where the middle isomorphism is from that  $\pi$  is crepant [V1, 3.2.10].

The contraction algebra can be defined as a quotient of the NCCR as follows.

**Definition 3.4.** *Define the contraction algebra associated to a crepant resolution  $\pi$  to be the stable endomorphism algebra*

$$\Lambda_{\text{con}}(\pi) \text{ (or } \Lambda_{\text{con}}(M)) := \underline{\text{End}}_{\mathcal{R}}(M) = \text{End}_{\mathcal{R}}(M) / \langle \mathcal{R} \rangle,$$

where  $\langle \mathcal{R} \rangle$  denotes the two-sided ideal consisting of all morphisms which factor through  $\text{add } \mathcal{R}$ .

The following theorem shows that the contraction algebra detects the exceptional locus of  $\pi$  and singular information of  $\mathcal{R}$ .

**Theorem 3.5.** (Contraction Theorem, [DW2, 4.8]) *Suppose that  $\pi: \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \text{Spec } \mathcal{R}$  is a crepant partial resolution. Then*

$$\pi \text{ is a flopping contraction} \iff \mathcal{R} \text{ is a isolated singularity} \iff \dim_{\mathbb{C}} \Lambda_{\text{con}}(\pi) < \infty.$$

Donovan and Wemyss conjectured that the contraction algebra distinguishes the analytic type of the flop [DW1, 1.4], which has been proved as follows.

**Theorem 3.6.** [JKM, A.2] *Let  $\pi_i: \mathcal{X}_i \rightarrow \text{Spec } \mathcal{R}_i$  be smooth flopping contractions of isolated cDV  $\mathcal{R}_i$  for  $i = 1, 2$ . Then  $\Lambda_{\text{con}}(\pi_1)$  and  $\Lambda_{\text{con}}(\pi_2)$  are derived equivalent if and only if the singularities  $\mathcal{R}_1$  and  $\mathcal{R}_2$  are isomorphic.*

The following result connects the derived equivalence of contraction algebras with the flops in geometry.

**Theorem 3.7.** [A2, 5.2.2] *Given a flopping contraction  $\pi: \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \text{Spec } \mathcal{R}$  where  $\mathcal{R}$  is isolated cDV, then the basic algebras derived equivalent to  $\Lambda_{\text{con}}(\pi)$  are precisely these  $\Lambda_{\text{con}}(\pi')$  where  $\pi'$  is obtained by a sequence of iterated flops from  $\pi$ . In particular, there are finitely many such algebras.*

**3.2.  $cA_n$  singularities.** This subsection summarises several facts about  $cA_n$  singularities and their NCCRs in [IW2].

Every  $cA_n$  singularity  $\mathcal{R}$  which admits a crepant resolution has the following form

$$\mathcal{R} \cong \frac{\mathbb{C}[[u, v, x, y]]}{(uv - g_0 g_1 \dots g_n)},$$

where each  $g_i$  is a prime element of  $\mathbb{C}[[x, y]]$ , and further has a linear term. Consider the CM  $\mathcal{R}$ -module

$$M := \mathcal{R} \oplus (u, g_0) \oplus (u, g_0 g_1) \oplus \dots \oplus (u, \prod_{i=0}^{n-1} g_i),$$

and let  $\pi: \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \text{Spec } \mathcal{R}$  be the associated crepant resolution in 3.9 below. We fix  $\mathcal{R}$ ,  $M$  and  $\pi$  throughout this subsection.

**Notation 3.8.** We adopt the following notation.

(1) Consider the symmetric group  $\mathfrak{S}_{n+1}$ . For any  $\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_{n+1}$ , set

$$M^\sigma := \mathcal{R} \oplus (u, g_{\sigma(0)}) \oplus (u, g_{\sigma(0)}g_{\sigma(1)}) \oplus \dots \oplus (u, \prod_{i=0}^{n-1} g_{\sigma(i)}) \in \text{CM } \mathcal{R}.$$

(2) Write  $\pi^\sigma: \mathcal{X}^\sigma \rightarrow \text{Spec } \mathcal{R}$  for the associated crepant resolution of  $M^\sigma$  in 3.9 below.  
 (3) Now let  $k \geq 1$  and consider the  $k$ -tuple  $\mathbf{r} = (r_1, \dots, r_k)$  with each  $1 \leq r_i \leq n$ . Set

$$\sigma(\mathbf{r}) := (r_k, r_k + 1) \cdots (r_2, r_2 + 1)(r_1, r_1 + 1) \in \mathfrak{S}_{n+1},$$

and  $M^{\mathbf{r}} := M^{\sigma(\mathbf{r})}$ . Write  $\pi^{\mathbf{r}}: \mathcal{X}^{\mathbf{r}} \rightarrow \text{Spec } \mathcal{R}$  for  $\pi^{\sigma(\mathbf{r})}: \mathcal{X}^{\sigma(\mathbf{r})} \rightarrow \text{Spec } \mathcal{R}$ .


(4) For  $1 \leq i \leq n$ , write  $\pi^i$ ,  $\mathcal{X}^i$  and  $M^i$  for  $\pi^{(i)}$ ,  $\mathcal{X}^{(i)}$  and  $M^{(i)}$  respectively.

The following two results explicitly describe the NCCRs of the crepant resolutions of  $cA_n$  singularities.

**Proposition 3.9.** [IW2, 5.1, 5.27] *The CM  $\mathcal{R}$ -modules that satisfy 3.3 are precisely  $M^\sigma$  where  $\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_{n+1}$ . Moreover, there is a bijection satisfying  $\Lambda(\pi^\sigma) \cong \text{End}_{\mathcal{R}}(M^\sigma)$ ,*

$$\begin{aligned} \{M^\sigma \mid \sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_{n+1}\} &\longleftrightarrow \{ \text{crepant resolutions of } \mathcal{R} \}, \\ M^\sigma &\longleftrightarrow \pi^\sigma: \mathcal{X}^\sigma \rightarrow \text{Spec } \mathcal{R}. \end{aligned}$$

**Proposition 3.10.** [IW2, W3] *Given any  $\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_{n+1}$ , let  $\pi^\sigma: \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \text{Spec } \mathcal{R}$  be the associated crepant resolution. Then the NCCR  $\Lambda(\pi^\sigma)$  can be presented as the following quiver (with possible loops):*



where the vertex 0 represents  $\mathcal{R}$  and the vertex  $i$  represents  $(u, \prod_{j=0}^{i-1} g_{\sigma(j)})$  for  $1 \leq i \leq n$ .

There is a loop labelled  $t$  at vertex 0 if and only if  $(g_{\sigma(0)}, g_{\sigma(n)}) \subsetneq (x, y)$  and  $(g_{\sigma(0)}, g_{\sigma(n)}, t) = (x, y)$  in the ring  $\mathbb{C}[[x, y]]$ . Further, for any  $1 \leq i \leq n$ , the possible loops at vertex  $i$  are given by the following rules:

- (1) the normal bundle of curve  $C_i$  is  $\mathcal{O}(-1) \oplus \mathcal{O}(-1) \iff (g_{\sigma(i-1)}, g_{\sigma(i)}) = (x, y)$  in  $\mathbb{C}[[x, y]] \iff$  add no loop at vertex  $i$ .
- (2) the normal bundle of curve  $C_i$  is  $\mathcal{O}(-2) \oplus \mathcal{O} \iff (g_{\sigma(i-1)}, g_{\sigma(i)}) \subsetneq (x, y)$  and there exists  $t \in (x, y)$  such that  $(g_{\sigma(i-1)}, g_{\sigma(i)}, t) = (x, y)$  in  $\mathbb{C}[[x, y]] \iff$  add a loop labelled  $t$  at vertex  $i$ .

*Proof.* In general, [IW2, W3] shows that either (1), (2) or the following third case holds.

- (3)  $(g_{\sigma(i-1)}, g_{\sigma(i)}) \subsetneq (x, y)$  and there is no  $t$  such that (2)  $\iff$  add two loops labelled  $x$  and  $y$  at vertex  $i$ .

We now prove that (3) is impossible when  $\mathcal{R}$  is  $cA_n$  and admits a crepant resolution. If there exist two loops at some vertex  $i$  in  $\Lambda(\pi^\sigma)$ , then  $(g_{\sigma(i-1)}, g_{\sigma(i)}) \subsetneq (x, y)$  and there exists no  $t \in (x, y)$  that satisfies  $(g_{\sigma(i-1)}, g_{\sigma(i)}, t) = (x, y)$ . Hence both  $g_{\sigma(i-1)}$  and  $g_{\sigma(i)}$  must belong to  $(x, y)^2$ . But this contradicts the fact that  $\mathcal{R}$  admits a crepant resolution  $\mathcal{X}$  by e.g. [IW2, 5.1].  $\square$

The following asserts that isomorphisms between contraction algebras of  $cA_n$  singularities can only map  $e_i$  to  $e_i$  or  $e_{n-i}$  for each  $1 \leq i \leq n$ , where  $e_i$  is the lazy path at the vertex  $i$ .

**Proposition 3.11.** *Let  $\pi_k: \mathcal{X}_k \rightarrow \text{Spec } \mathcal{R}_k$  be two crepant resolutions of  $cA_n$  singularities  $\mathcal{R}_k$  for  $k = 1, 2$ . If there exists an algebra isomorphism  $\phi: \Lambda_{\text{con}}(\pi_1) \xrightarrow{\sim} \Lambda_{\text{con}}(\pi_2)$ , then  $\phi$  must belong to one of the following two cases:*

- (1)  $\phi(e_i) = e_i$  for  $1 \leq i \leq n$ ,



(2)  $\phi(e_i) = e_{n+1-i}$  for  $1 \leq i \leq n$ .

*Proof.* Write  $\text{mod } \Lambda_{\text{con}}(\pi_k)$  for the category of finitely generated right  $\Lambda_{\text{con}}(\pi_k)$ -modules for  $k = 1, 2$ . Write  $\mathcal{S}_i$  for the simple  $\Lambda_{\text{con}}(\pi_1)$ -module corresponding to the vertex  $i$  in the quiver of  $\Lambda_{\text{con}}(\pi_1)$  (see [HW]). Similarly, write  $\mathcal{S}'_i$  for the simple  $\Lambda_{\text{con}}(\pi_2)$ -module corresponding to the vertex  $i$  in the quiver of  $\Lambda_{\text{con}}(\pi_2)$ .

The algebra isomorphism  $\phi$  induces an equivalence  $\varphi: \text{mod } \Lambda_{\text{con}}(\pi_1) \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{mod } \Lambda_{\text{con}}(\pi_2)$ . By Morita theory,  $\varphi$  maps simple modules to simple modules, and furthermore there is a  $\sigma$  in the symmetric group  $\mathfrak{S}_n$  such that  $\varphi(\mathcal{S}_i) = \mathcal{S}'_{\sigma(i)}$ .

Since  $\pi_1$  is a crepant resolution of a  $cA_n$  singularity,  $\mathcal{S}_2$  is the unique simple module that satisfies  $\text{Ext}_{\Lambda_{\text{con}}(\pi_1)}^1(\mathcal{S}_1, \mathcal{S}_2) \neq 0$  by 3.10 and the intersection theory of [W3, 2.15]. Since  $\text{mod } \Lambda_{\text{con}}(\pi_1)$  is equivalent to  $\text{mod } \Lambda_{\text{con}}(\pi_2)$ , there exists unique simple module  $\mathcal{J} \in \text{mod } \Lambda_{\text{con}}(\pi_2)$  such that  $\text{Ext}_{\Lambda_{\text{con}}(\pi_2)}^1(\mathcal{S}'_{\sigma(1)}, \mathcal{J}) \neq 0$ . Thus the curve  $\sigma(1)$  in  $\pi_2$  must be a edge curve, by 3.10 and the intersection theory of [W3, 2.15]. Thus  $\sigma(1) = 1$  or  $n$ . We split the proof into two cases.

(1)  $\sigma(1) = 1$ .

Since  $\text{Ext}_{\Lambda_{\text{con}}(\pi_1)}^1(\mathcal{S}_1, \mathcal{S}_2) \neq 0$  and  $\text{mod } \Lambda_{\text{con}}(\pi_1)$  is equivalent to  $\text{mod } \Lambda_{\text{con}}(\pi_2)$ , we have  $\text{Ext}_{\Lambda_{\text{con}}(\pi_2)}^1(\mathcal{S}'_{\sigma(1)}, \mathcal{S}'_{\sigma(2)}) \neq 0$ , and so  $\text{Ext}_{\Lambda_{\text{con}}(\pi_2)}^1(\mathcal{S}'_1, \mathcal{S}'_{\sigma(2)}) \neq 0$ . Thus the curve  $\sigma(2)$  in  $\pi_2$  must be connected to the curve  $\sigma(1) = 1$ , and so  $\sigma(2) = 2$  by 3.10 and the intersection theory of [W3, 2.15]. Repeating the same process, we can prove  $\sigma(i) = i$ , and so  $\varphi(\mathcal{S}_i) = \mathcal{S}'_i$ , and furthermore  $\phi(e_i) = e_i$  for each  $i$ .

(2)  $\sigma(1) = n$ .

Since  $\text{Ext}_{\Lambda_{\text{con}}(\pi_1)}^1(\mathcal{S}_1, \mathcal{S}_2) \neq 0$  and  $\text{mod } \Lambda_{\text{con}}(\pi_1)$  is equivalent to  $\text{mod } \Lambda_{\text{con}}(\pi_2)$ , we have  $\text{Ext}_{\Lambda_{\text{con}}(\pi_2)}^1(\mathcal{S}'_{\sigma(1)}, \mathcal{S}'_{\sigma(2)}) \neq 0$ , and so  $\text{Ext}_{\Lambda_{\text{con}}(\pi_2)}^1(\mathcal{S}'_n, \mathcal{S}'_{\sigma(2)}) \neq 0$ . Thus the curve  $\sigma(2)$  in  $\pi_2$  must be connected to the curve  $\sigma(1) = n$ , and so  $\sigma(2) = n - 1$  by 3.10 and the intersection theory of [W3, 2.15]. Repeating the same process, we can prove  $\sigma(i) = n + 1 - i$ , and so  $\varphi(\mathcal{S}_i) = \mathcal{S}'_{n+1-i}$ , and furthermore  $\phi(e_i) = e_{n+1-i}$  for each  $i$ .  $\square$

#### 4. MONOMIALIZATION

This section introduces the quiver  $Q_{n,I}$  and Type A potentials. The main result in §4.1 is that any reduced Type A potential on  $Q_{n,I}$  is right-equivalent to some reduced monomialized Type A potential in 4.20. This is the starting point of the geometric realization in §5. Then §4.2 shows that any monomialized Type A potential on  $Q_{n,I}$  is isomorphic to a (possibly non-reduced) monomialized Type A potential on  $Q_n$  in 4.23, which shows that considering the monomialized Type A potentials on  $Q_n$  suffices.

**Definition 4.1.** *Given a quiver  $Q$ , let  $f, g$  and  $h$  be potentials on  $Q$ . Write  $f = \sum_i \lambda_i c_i$  as a linear combination of cycles where each  $0 \neq \lambda_i \in \mathbb{C}$ .*

- (1) *We write  $V(f)$  for the  $\mathbb{C}$ -span of  $\{\text{cycle } c \mid c \sim c_i \text{ for some } i\}$ .*
- (2) *We say  $f$  is orthogonal to  $g$  if  $V(f) \cap V(g) = \{0\}$ .*
- (3) *We write  $f = g \oplus h$  if  $f = g + h$  and  $g$  is orthogonal to  $h$ .*
- (4) *We say  $f$  contains  $g$  if  $f \sim \lambda g \oplus h'$  for some  $0 \neq \lambda \in \mathbb{C}$  and potential  $h'$ .*

Recall the definition of the quiver  $Q_n$  in 1.2, which is the double of the usual  $A_n$  quiver, with a single loop at each vertex as follows.

$$Q_n = \begin{array}{ccccccc} & a_1 & & a_3 & & a_5 & & a_{2n-3} & & a_{2n-1} \\ & \curvearrowright & & \curvearrowright & & \curvearrowright & & \curvearrowright & & \curvearrowright \\ \bullet & \xrightarrow{a_2} & \bullet & \xrightarrow{a_4} & \bullet & \cdots & \bullet & \xrightarrow{a_{2n-2}} & \bullet & \\ \bullet & \xleftarrow{b_2} & \bullet & \xleftarrow{b_4} & \bullet & \cdots & \bullet & \xleftarrow{b_{2n-2}} & \bullet & \\ & & & & & & & & & \end{array}$$

For any  $I \subseteq \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ , define the quiver  $Q_{n,I}$  by removing the loop in  $Q_n$  at each vertex  $i \in I$ , and then relabel  $a_i$  and  $b_i$  from left to right. Similarly to before, we now set

$b_i := e_i$  whenever  $a_i$  is a loop in  $Q_{n,I}$ , and set  $x_i := a_i b_i$  and  $x'_i := b_i a_i$  for each  $i$ . For example,

$$Q_{3,\{2\}} = \begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{ccc} & a_1 & \\ & \curvearrowright & \\ 1 & \xrightarrow{a_2} & 2 \\ & \curvearrowleft & \\ & b_2 & \\ & & a_3 \\ & & \curvearrowright \\ & & b_3 \\ & & \\ & & a_4 \\ & & \curvearrowright \\ & & b_4 \\ & & \\ & & 3 \end{array} & \begin{array}{l} b_1 = e_1, x_1 = x'_1 = a_1 \\ b_4 = e_4, x_4 = x'_4 = a_4 \end{array} \end{array}$$

whereas  $x_2 = a_2 b_2$ ,  $x'_2 = b_2 a_2$ , and  $x_3 = a_3 b_3$ ,  $x'_3 = b_3 a_3$ .

**Notation 4.2.** Through this paper,  $n$  is the number of vertices in the quiver  $Q_{n,I}$ , and  $I \subseteq \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$  is the set of vertices *without* loop in  $Q_{n,I}$ . Note that  $Q_{n,\emptyset}$  is just  $Q_n$ . Furthermore, set  $m := 2n - 1 - |I|$ , which equals the number of  $x_i$  in  $Q_{n,I}$ .

We now give several definitions and notations with respect to  $Q_{n,I}$ .

**Definition 4.3.** Given any cycle  $c$  on  $Q_{n,I}$ , write  $c$  as a composition of arrows. For  $i$  such that  $1 \leq i \leq m$ , let  $q_i$  be the number of times  $a_i$  appears in this composition. Then set  $\mathbf{T}(c) := (q_1, q_2, \dots, q_m)$ , and define the degree of  $c$  to be  $\deg(c) := \sum_{i=1}^m q_i$ .

**Definition 4.4.** We say that a potential  $f$  on  $Q_{n,I}$  is reduced Type A if  $f$  is reduced in the sense of 2.1 and  $f$  contains  $x'_i x_{i+1}$  in the sense of 4.1 for each  $1 \leq i \leq m-1$ . Further, we say that a (possibly non-reduced) potential  $f$  on  $Q_{n,I}$  is Type A if

- (1) All terms of  $f$  have degrees greater than or equal to two in the sense of 4.3.
- (2) The reduced part  $f_{\text{red}}$  is Type A on  $Q_{n,I'}$  for some  $I \subseteq I' \subseteq \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ .

The Splitting Theorem [DWZ, 4.6] gives the existence and uniqueness of  $f_{\text{red}}$ , so 4.4 is well defined.

**Lemma 4.5.** Given any potential  $f \sim \sum_{i=1}^{m-1} \lambda_i x'_i x_{i+1} + h$  where each  $0 \neq \lambda_i \in \mathbb{C}$  on  $Q_{n,I}$ , there exists  $f \rightsquigarrow f'$  such that  $f' = \sum_{i=1}^{m-1} k_i x'_i x_{i+1} + g$  for some potential  $g$ .

*Proof.* Applying  $a_i \mapsto k_i a_i$  where  $k_i \in \mathbb{C}$  for each  $1 \leq i \leq m$  gives

$$f \rightsquigarrow \sum_{i=1}^{m-1} k_i k_{i+1} \lambda_i x'_i x_{i+1} + g,$$

for some potential  $g$ . Since each  $\lambda_i \neq 0$ , we can always find some  $(k_1, k_2, \dots, k_m)$  that ensures  $k_i k_{i+1} \lambda_i = 1$  holds for  $1 \leq i \leq m-1$ .  $\square$

**Remark 4.6.** The above lemma shows that any reduced Type A potential  $f$  can be transformed to the form of  $\sum_{i=1}^{m-1} x'_i x_{i+1} \oplus g$  for some potential  $g$ . Thus, in this paper, for any reduced Type A potential  $f$  on  $Q_{n,I}$ , we always assume that  $f = \sum_{i=1}^{m-1} x'_i x_{i+1} \oplus g$ .

**Definition 4.7.** We call a quiver  $f$  on  $Q_{n,I}$  monomialized Type A if  $f \sim \sum_{i=1}^{m-1} x'_i x_{i+1} + \sum_{i=1}^m \sum_{j=2}^{\infty} \kappa_{ij} x_i^j$  for some  $\kappa_{ij} \in \mathbb{C}$ .

Given any monomialized Type A potential  $f$ , it is clear that  $f$  is Type A. Moreover,  $f$  is reduced if and only if  $\kappa_{s2} = 0$  whenever  $x_s$  is a loop.

**Definition 4.8.** Given a cycle  $c$  on  $Q_{n,I}$ , consider  $\mathbf{T}(c)$  from 4.3. Define  $\text{left}(c)$  to be the smallest  $i$  such that  $q_i > 0$ , and  $\text{right}(c)$  to be the largest  $i$  such that  $q_i > 0$ . Then define the length of  $c$  to be  $\text{len}(c) := \text{right}(c) - \text{left}(c) + 1$ .

From the above definition, if  $\text{len}(c) = 1$  then  $c \sim x_i^j$  for some  $1 \leq i \leq m$  and  $j \geq 1$ .

**Notation 4.9.** We adopt the following notation regarding cycles on  $Q_{n,I}$ .

- (1) Write  $F$  for the  $\mathbb{C}$ -span of  $\{c \mid c \text{ is a cycle}\}$ .
- (2) For any  $i \in \mathbb{N}$ , write  $D_i$  for the  $\mathbb{C}$ -span of  $\{c \mid c \text{ is a cycle with } \deg(c) = i\}$  where degree is defined in 4.3.
- (3) For any  $i \in \mathbb{N}$ , write  $L_i$  for the  $\mathbb{C}$ -span of  $\{c \mid c \text{ is a cycle with } \text{len}(c) = i\}$  where length is defined in 4.8.

- (4) For any  $i$  and  $j \in \mathbb{N}$  satisfying  $1 \leq i \leq j \leq m$ , write  $V_{ij}$  for the  $\mathbb{C}$ -span of  $\{c \mid c \text{ is a cycle with } \text{left}(c) = i \text{ and } \text{right}(c) = j\}$ .

It is clear that  $F = \bigoplus_i D_i$ ,  $F = \bigoplus_i L_i$  and  $F = \bigoplus_{i \leq j} V_{ij}$ .

**Notation 4.10.** Let  $f$  be a potential on  $Q_{n,I}$ .

- (1) Write  $\deg(f) = i$  if  $f \in D_i$ . Similarly write  $\deg(f) \geq i$  if  $f \in \bigoplus_{j \geq i} D_j$ , with natural self-documenting variations such as  $\deg(f) \leq i$ .
- (2) Write  $\text{len}(f) = i$  if  $f \in L_i$ . Similarly write  $\text{len}(f) \geq i$  if  $f \in \bigoplus_{j \geq i} L_j$ , with natural self-documenting variations such as  $\text{len}(f) \leq i$ .

The above degree and length notations will be important, and they will replace the common notations such as path length.

**Notation 4.11.** Let  $f$  and  $g$  be potentials on  $Q_{n,I}$ . With the notation in 4.9, since  $f, g \in F$ ,  $F = \bigoplus_i D_i$  and  $F = \bigoplus_{i \leq j} V_{ij}$ , we will adopt the following notation.

- (1) Define  $f_d$  by decomposing  $f = \sum_d f_d$  where each  $f_d \in D_d$ .
- (2) Define  $f_{<d} = \sum_{i < d} f_i$  and  $f_{>d} = \sum_{i > d} f_i$ , with natural self-documenting variations such as  $f_{\leq d}$  and  $f_{\geq d}$ . Thus, if  $\deg(f) \geq 2$  then  $f = f_2 + f_3 + f_{>3}$ .
- (3) Define  $f_{ij}$  by decomposing

$$f = \sum_{i,j:1 \leq i \leq j \leq m} f_{ij},$$

where each  $f_{ij} \in V_{ij}$ . Variations such as  $f_{ij,d}$ ,  $f_{ij,<d}$ ,  $f_{ij,\leq d}$ ,  $f_{ij,>d}$  and  $f_{ij,\geq d}$  are obtained by applying (1) and (2) to  $f_{ij}$ .

- (4) Given  $s$  such that  $1 \leq s \leq m$ , set

$$f_{[s]} := \sum_{i,j:1 \leq i \leq s \leq j \leq m} f_{ij}.$$

Variations such as  $f_{[s],d}$ ,  $f_{[s],<d}$ ,  $f_{[s],\leq d}$ ,  $f_{[s],>d}$  and  $f_{[s],\geq d}$  are obtained by applying (1) and (2) to  $f_{[s]}$ .

- (5) Write  $f = g + \mathcal{O}_d$  if  $f - g \in \bigoplus_{k \geq d} D_k$ , and  $f = g + \mathcal{O}_{ij,d}$  if  $f - g \in V_{ij} \cap \bigoplus_{k \geq d} D_k$ .

**Remark 4.12.** We will frequently work with sequences of potentials  $(f_d)_{d \geq 1}$  on  $Q_{n,I}$ , and write  $f_d$  for the degree  $d$  pieces of  $f$  (see 4.11). To avoid confusion, we will systematically use Greek font  $f_d$  to denote the  $d$ -th elements in a sequence, and not the  $d$ -th degree piece.

**4.1. Monomialization.** This subsection will prove that any reduced Type A potential on  $Q_{n,I}$  is right-equivalent to some reduced monomialized Type A potential (see 4.20).

**Notation 4.13.** To ease notation, in this subsection  $f$  will always refer to a reduced Type A potential on  $Q_{n,I}$  of the form  $\sum_{i=1}^{m-1} x'_i x_{i+1} \oplus g$  (see 4.6). In the statements below, to ease notation, the  $c$  and  $c_k$  will refer to a cycle on  $Q_{n,I}$ , possibly with a coefficient.

The following lemma allows us to monomialize the degree 2 terms in  $f$ .

**Lemma 4.14.** *Suppose that  $g = h + c$  where  $\text{len}(c) \geq 3$  and  $\deg(c) = 2$ . Then there exists a path degree one right-equivalence (in the sense of 2.8),*

$$\rho_c: f \xrightarrow{1} \sum_{i=1}^{m-1} x'_i x_{i+1} \oplus (h + c_1) + \mathcal{O}_3,$$

such that  $\text{len}(c_1) = 1$  and  $\deg(c_1) = 2$ .

*Proof.* Since  $\deg(c) = 2$  and  $\text{len}(c) \geq 3$ ,  $c$  must have the form of  $c \sim \lambda x'_{s-1} x_{s+1}$  for some  $0 \neq \lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ , where  $s$  is such that  $x_s$  is a loop. Since  $x_s$  is a loop and  $f$  is reduced,  $f$  does not contain  $x_s^2$ , and so  $f_{[s],2} = x'_{s-1} x_s + x'_s x_{s+1}$ .

Rewrite  $f = f_{[s],2} \oplus f_{[s],\geq 3} \oplus r$ . Being a loop,  $x_s = a_s$ , so applying the depth one unitriangular automorphism  $\rho_c: a_s \mapsto a_s - \lambda b_{s-1} a_{s-1}$  (in other words,  $x_s \mapsto x_s - \lambda x'_{s-1}$ ) gives

$$\begin{aligned}
\rho_c(f) &= x'_{s-1}(x_s - \lambda x'_{s-1}) + (x_s - \lambda x'_{s-1})x_{s+1} + f_{[s], \geq 3} + r + \mathcal{O}_3 \\
&= f - \lambda(x'_{s-1})^2 - \lambda x'_{s-1}x_{s+1} + \mathcal{O}_3 & (f = f_{[s], 2} + f_{[s], \geq 3} + r) \\
&= \sum_{i=1}^{m-1} x'_i x_{i+1} + h + c - \lambda(x'_{s-1})^2 - \lambda x'_{s-1}x_{s+1} + \mathcal{O}_3 & (f = \sum_{i=1}^{m-1} x'_i x_{i+1} + h + c) \\
&\stackrel{2}{\sim} \sum_{i=1}^{m-1} x'_i x_{i+1} + h - \lambda x_{s-1}^2 + \mathcal{O}_3 & (c \sim \lambda x'_{s-1}x_{s+1}, (x'_{s-1})^2 \sim x_{s-1}^2) \\
&= \sum_{i=1}^{m-1} x'_i x_{i+1} \oplus (h - \lambda x_{s-1}^2) + \mathcal{O}_3. & (f = \sum_{i=1}^{m-1} x'_i x_{i+1} \oplus (h + c), \text{len}(c) \geq 3)
\end{aligned}$$

Set  $c_1 = -\lambda x_{s-1}^2$ , which satisfies  $\text{len}(c_1) = 1$  and  $\text{deg}(c_1) = 2$ , and we are done.  $\square$

The following lemmas allow us to monomialize the terms with degrees greater than two in  $f$ . More precisely, given a cycle  $c$  with  $\text{len}(c) \geq 2$  in  $f$ , the basic idea is to decrease  $\text{right}(c)$  (see 4.16) repeatedly through some right-equivalences until the terms that replace  $c$  have length one (see 4.17).

**Lemma 4.15.** *Suppose that  $\text{len}(f_2) \leq 2$  and  $g = h + c$  where  $\text{len}(c) \geq 2$ ,  $d := \text{deg}(c) \geq 3$ . Then there exists a path degree  $d - 1$  right-equivalence,*

$$\vartheta: f \stackrel{d-1}{\rightsquigarrow} \sum_{i=1}^{m-1} x'_i x_{i+1} \oplus (h + c_1 + c_2) + \mathcal{O}_{d+1},$$


such that each  $c_k$  is either zero or satisfies  $\text{right}(c_k) \leq \text{right}(c)$ ,  $\text{deg}(c_k) = \text{deg}(c)$  and  $\mathbf{T}(c_k)_{\text{right}(c)} = \mathbf{T}(c)_{\text{right}(c)} - 1$ .

*Proof.* Set  $s = \text{right}(c) - 1$ . The assumption  $\text{len}(f_2) \leq 2$  says that the degree two part of  $f$  (wrt.  $x_i$ , as in 4.10) must be spread over at most two variables. Thus the only degree two cycles in  $f$  containing  $x_s$  are  $x'_{s-1}x_s$ ,  $x_s^2$  and  $x'_s x_{s+1}$ . So, in the notation of 4.11,  $f_{[s], 2} = x'_{s-1}x_s + \kappa x_s^2 + x'_s x_{s+1}$  for some  $\kappa \in \mathbb{C}$ .

Then separating the terms of  $f$  that contain or do not contain  $x_s$ , we may write  $f = f_{[s], 2} \oplus f_{[s], \geq 3} \oplus r$ . The proof splits into cases.

(1)  $x_s$  is not a loop.

The assumptions that  $\text{len}(c) \geq 2$  and  $\text{right}(c) = s + 1$  imply that  $a_s, b_s, a_{s+1}$  and  $b_{s+1}$  both appear in  $c$ . Note that  $x_s$  is not a loop, thus  $x_s = a_s b_s$ . Locally  $Q_{n, I}$  looks like the following.



Since  $\text{right}(c) = s + 1$ , we can assume cycle  $c$  starts with  $x_{s+1}$  up to cyclic equivalence. In this order,  $c$  starts with some number of  $x_{s+1}$  and the next path must be  $b_s$ . Thus we may write

$$c \sim \lambda x_{s+1}^N b_s p a_s r \sim \lambda b_s p a_s r x_{s+1}^N$$

for some  $0 \neq \lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ , integer  $N$ , and paths  $p, r$ . Consider the path  $q := r x_{s+1}^{N-1}$ , and rewrite  $c \sim \lambda b_s p a_s q x_{s+1}$ . Since  $\text{deg}(c) \geq 3$  and  $\text{deg}(x_s) = 1 = \text{deg}(x_{s+1})$ ,  $\text{deg}(p) + \text{deg}(q) \geq 1$ .

Then applying the depth  $d - 1$  unitriangular automorphism  $\vartheta: a_s \mapsto a_s - \lambda p a_s q$  gives


$$\begin{aligned}
\vartheta(f) &= x'_{s-1}(a_s - \lambda p a_s q)b_s + \kappa[(a_s - \lambda p a_s q)b_s]^2 + b_s(a_s - \lambda p a_s q)x_{s+1} + f_{[s], \geq 3} + r + \mathcal{O}_{d+1} \\
&\stackrel{d}{\sim} f - \lambda x'_{s-1} p a_s q b_s - 2\lambda \kappa x_s p a_s q b_s - \lambda b_s p a_s q x_{s+1} + \mathcal{O}_{d+1} \quad (f = f_{[s], 2} + f_{[s], \geq 3} + r) \\
&= \sum_{i=1}^{m-1} x'_i x_{i+1} - \lambda x'_{s-1} p a_s q b_s - 2\lambda \kappa x_s p a_s q b_s - \lambda b_s p a_s q x_{s+1} + c + h + \mathcal{O}_{d+1} \\
&\hspace{20em} (f = \sum_{i=1}^{m-1} x'_i x_{i+1} + h + c) \\
&\stackrel{d}{\sim} \sum_{i=1}^{m-1} x'_i x_{i+1} - \lambda x'_{s-1} p a_s q b_s - 2\lambda \kappa x_s p a_s q b_s + h + \mathcal{O}_{d+1} \quad (c \sim \lambda b_s p a_s q x_{s+1}) \\
&= \sum_{i=1}^{m-1} x'_i x_{i+1} \oplus (-\lambda x'_{s-1} p a_s q b_s - 2\lambda \kappa x_s p a_s q b_s + h) + \mathcal{O}_{d+1}.
\end{aligned}$$

Set  $c_1 = -\lambda x'_{s-1} p a_s q b_s$  and  $c_2 = -2\lambda \kappa x_s p a_s q b_s$ . The conclusions for  $c_1$  are clear. Either  $c_2$  is zero or  $\kappa \neq 0$ . In that case, the conclusions for  $c_2$  are also clear.

(2)  $x_s$  is a loop.

Since  $x_s$  is a loop, from the shape of the quiver  $Q_{n,I}$ ,  $x_{s+1}$  is not a loop. Since  $\text{right}(c) = s + 1$ , we can assume that the cycle  $c$  ends with  $x_{s+1}$ , up to cyclic equivalence. Thus  $c \sim \lambda p x_{s+1}$  for some path  $p$  and  $0 \neq \lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ .

Since  $\deg(c) \geq 3$  and  $\deg(x_{s+1}) = 1$ ,  $\deg(p) \geq 2$ . Moreover, since  $x_s$  is a loop and  $f$  is reduced, the  $\kappa$  in  $f_{[s], 2} = x'_{s-1} x_s + \kappa x_s^2 + x'_s x_{s+1}$  equals to zero. Locally  $Q_{n,I}$  looks like the following.



Being a loop,  $x_s = a_s$ , so applying the depth  $d - 1$  unitriangular automorphism  $\vartheta: a_s \mapsto a_s - \lambda p$  (in other words,  $x_s \mapsto x_s - \lambda p$ ) gives

$$\begin{aligned}
\vartheta(f) &= x'_{s-1}(x_s - \lambda p) + (x_s - \lambda p)x_{s+1} + f_{[s], \geq 3} + r + \mathcal{O}_{d+1} \\
&\stackrel{d}{\sim} f - \lambda x'_{s-1} p - \lambda p x_{s+1} + \mathcal{O}_{d+1} \quad (f = f_{[s], 2} + f_{[s], \geq 3} + r) \\
&= \sum_{i=1}^{m-1} x'_i x_{i+1} - \lambda x'_{s-1} p - \lambda p x_{s+1} + c + h + \mathcal{O}_{d+1} \quad (f = \sum_{i=1}^{m-1} x'_i x_{i+1} + h + c) \\
&\stackrel{d}{\sim} \sum_{i=1}^{m-1} x'_i x_{i+1} - \lambda x'_{s-1} p + h + \mathcal{O}_{d+1} \quad (c \sim \lambda p x_{s+1}) \\
&= \sum_{i=1}^{m-1} x'_i x_{i+1} \oplus (-\lambda x'_{s-1} p + h) + \mathcal{O}_{d+1}.
\end{aligned}$$

Set  $c_1 = -\lambda x'_{s-1} p$  and  $c_2 = 0$ . The conclusions for  $c_1$  and  $c_2$  are clear.  $\square$

We next apply the previous lemma multiple times to decrease  $\text{right}(c)$ .

**Corollary 4.16.** *Suppose that  $\text{len}(f_2) \leq 2$  and  $g = h + c$  where  $\text{len}(c) \geq 2$ ,  $d := \deg(c) \geq 3$ . Then there exists a path degree  $d - 1$  right-equivalence*

$$\vartheta: f \stackrel{d-1}{\rightsquigarrow} \sum_{i=1}^{m-1} x'_i x_{i+1} \oplus (h + \sum_k c_k) + \mathcal{O}_{d+1},$$

such that  $\text{right}(c_k) \leq \text{right}(c) - 1$  and  $\deg(c_k) = \deg(c)$  for each  $k$ .

*Proof.* Set  $\mathbf{q} = \mathbf{T}(c)$  and  $j = \text{right}(c)$ . By 4.15, there exists a path degree  $d - 1$  right-equivalence,

$$\vartheta_1: f \xrightarrow{d-1} \mathbf{f}_1 := \sum_{i=1}^{m-1} x'_i x_{i+1} \oplus (h + \sum_{s=1}^2 w_s) + \mathcal{O}_{d+1},$$

such that  $w_s$  is either zero, or satisfies  $\text{right}(w_s) \leq \text{right}(c)$ ,  $\mathbf{T}(w_s)_j = q_j - 1$  and  $\deg(w_s) = \deg(c)$  for each  $s$ .

If both  $w_s$  equal zero, or both satisfy  $\mathbf{T}(w_s)_j = 0$ , we are done. Otherwise, we continue to apply 4.15 to decrease  $\mathbf{T}(w_s)_j$ , as follows.

$$\vartheta_2: \mathbf{f}_1 \xrightarrow{d-1} \mathbf{f}_2 := \sum_{i=1}^{m-1} x'_i x_{i+1} \oplus (h + \sum_{s=1}^2 \sum_{t=1}^2 w_{st}) + \mathcal{O}_{d+1},$$

such that each  $w_{st}$  is either zero, or  $\text{right}(w_{st}) \leq \text{right}(w_s) \leq \text{right}(c)$ ,  $\mathbf{T}(w_{st})_j = q_j - 2$  and  $\deg(w_{st}) = \deg(c)$ . The proof follows by induction.  $\square$

We next apply the previous 4.16 multiple times to achieve the situation where length equals one, namely a monomial type potential.

**Corollary 4.17.** *Suppose that  $\text{len}(f_2) \leq 2$  and  $g = h+c$  where  $\text{len}(c) \geq 2$ ,  $d := \deg(c) \geq 3$ . Then there exists a path degree  $d - 1$  right-equivalence*

$$\rho_c: f \xrightarrow{d-1} \sum_{i=1}^{m-1} x'_i x_{i+1} \oplus (h + \sum_k c_k) + \mathcal{O}_{d+1},$$

such that  $\text{len}(c_k) = 1$  and  $\deg(c_k) = \deg(c)$  for each  $k$ .

*Proof.* Set  $j = \text{right}(c)$ . By 4.16, there exists a path degree  $d - 1$  right-equivalence

$$\vartheta_1: f \xrightarrow{d-1} \mathbf{f}_1 := \sum_{i=1}^{m-1} x'_i x_{i+1} \oplus (h + \sum_s w_s) + \mathcal{O}_{d+1},$$

such that  $\deg(w_s) = d$  and  $\text{right}(w_s) \leq j - 1$  for each  $s$ .

If all  $\text{len}(w_s) = 1$ , we are done. Otherwise, we continue to apply 4.16 to those  $\text{len}(w_s) > 1$  to decrease  $\text{right}(w_s)$ , as follows.

$$\vartheta_2: \mathbf{f}_1 \xrightarrow{d-1} \mathbf{f}_2 := \sum_{i=1}^{m-1} x'_i x_{i+1} \oplus (h + \sum_{s,t} w_{st}) + \mathcal{O}_{d+1},$$

such that  $\deg(w_{st}) = \deg(c)$  and the  $w_{st}$  satisfies  $\text{right}(w_{st}) \leq j - 2$ .

If all  $\text{len}(w_{st}) = 1$ , we are done. Otherwise, we can repeat this process at most  $j - 1$  times, as follows.

$$\rho_c: f \xrightarrow{d-1} \mathbf{f}_{j-1} := \sum_{i=1}^{m-1} x'_i x_{i+1} \oplus (h + \sum_k c_k) + \mathcal{O}_{d+1},$$

such that  $\deg(c_k) = \deg(c)$ , and either each  $\text{len}(c_k) = 1$  or  $\text{right}(c_k) = 1$ . However if  $\text{right}(c_k) = 1$ , then  $\text{len}(c_k) = 1$ , we are done.  $\square$

Using the previous results, we next monomialize the potential  $f$  degree by degree. The following deals with degree two.

**Proposition 4.18.** *There exists a path degree one right-equivalence,*

$$\rho_2: f \xrightarrow{1} \sum_{i=1}^{m-1} x'_i x_{i+1} \oplus h + \mathcal{O}_3,$$

such that  $\text{len}(h) = 1$  and  $\deg(h) = 2$ .

*Proof.* We first decompose  $g$  in 4.13 by degree (wrt.  $x_i$ , as in 4.10) as  $g = g_2 \oplus g_{\geq 3}$ , then express  $g_2$  as a linear combination of cycles  $g_2 = \bigoplus_{k=1}^s c_k$ .

Since there are only a finite number of cycles with degree two on  $Q_{n,I}$ , necessarily  $s$  is finite. Since

$$f = \sum_{i=1}^{m-1} x'_i x_{i+1} \oplus g = \sum_{i=1}^{m-1} x'_i x_{i+1} \oplus g_2 \oplus g_{\geq 3},$$

then  $g_2$  does not contain any length two terms, and so  $\text{len}(c_k) = 1$  or  $\geq 3$  for each  $k$ .

If  $\text{len}(c_1) = 1$ , set  $\rho_{c_1} = \text{Id}$ . Otherwise  $\text{len}(c_1) = 3$ , so by 4.14 there exists

$$\rho_{c_1}: f \xrightarrow{1} f_1 := \sum_{i=1}^{m-1} x'_i x_{i+1} \oplus \left( \sum_{k=2}^s c_k + h_1 \right) + \mathcal{O}_3,$$

such that  $\text{len}(h_1) = 1$  and  $\text{deg}(h_1) = 2$ .

If  $\text{len}(c_2) = 1$ , set  $\rho_{c_2} = \text{Id}$ . Otherwise  $\text{len}(c_2) = 3$ , so again by 4.14 there exists

$$\rho_{c_2}: f_1 \xrightarrow{1} f_2 := \sum_{i=1}^{m-1} x'_i x_{i+1} \oplus \left( \sum_{k=3}^s c_k + \sum_{k=1}^2 h_k \right) + \mathcal{O}_3,$$

such that  $\text{len}(h_2) = 1$  and  $\text{deg}(h_2) = 2$ .

We repeat this process  $s$  times and set  $\rho_2 := \rho_{c_s} \circ \cdots \circ \rho_{c_2} \circ \rho_{c_1}$ . It follows that,

$$\rho_2: f \xrightarrow{1} \sum_{i=1}^{m-1} x'_i x_{i+1} \oplus \sum_{k=1}^s h_k + \mathcal{O}_3,$$

such that  $\text{len}(h_k) = 1$ ,  $\text{deg}(h_k) = 2$  for each  $k$ . Set  $h = \sum_{k=1}^s h_k$ , we are done.  $\square$

The following will allow us to monomialize the higher degree terms.

**Proposition 4.19.** *Suppose that  $\text{len}(f_2) \leq 2$ . For any  $d \geq 3$ , there exists a path degree  $d - 1$  right-equivalence,*

$$\rho_d: f \xrightarrow{d-1} \sum_{i=1}^{m-1} x'_i x_{i+1} \oplus (g_{<d} + h) + \mathcal{O}_{d+1},$$

such that  $\text{len}(h) = 1$  and  $\text{deg}(h) = d$ .

*Proof.* We first decompose  $g$  in 4.13 by degree (wrt.  $x_i$ , as in 4.10) as  $g = g_{<d} \oplus g_d \oplus g_{>d}$ , then express  $g_d$  as a linear combination of cycles  $g_d = \bigoplus_{k=1}^s c_k$ . Since there are only a finite number of cycles with degree  $d$  on  $Q_{n,I}$ ,  $s$  is finite.

If  $\text{len}(c_1) = 1$ , set  $\rho_{c_1} = \text{Id}$ . Otherwise, by 4.17 there exists

$$\rho_{c_1}: f \xrightarrow{d-1} f_1 := \sum_{i=1}^{m-1} x'_i x_{i+1} \oplus (g_{<d} + \sum_{k=2}^s c_k + h_1) + \mathcal{O}_{d+1},$$

such that  $\text{len}(h_1) = 1$  and  $\text{deg}(h_1) = d$ .

If  $\text{len}(c_2) = 1$ , set  $\rho_{c_2} = \text{Id}$ . Otherwise, again by 4.17 there exists

$$\rho_{c_2}: f_1 \xrightarrow{d-1} f_2 := \sum_{i=1}^{m-1} x'_i x_{i+1} \oplus (g_{<d} + \sum_{k=3}^s c_k + \sum_{k=1}^2 h_k) + \mathcal{O}_{d+1},$$

such that  $\text{len}(h_k) = 1$  and  $\text{deg}(h_k) = d$ .

We repeat this process  $s$  times and set  $\rho_d := \rho_{c_s} \circ \cdots \circ \rho_{c_2} \circ \rho_{c_1}$ . It follows that,

$$\rho_d: f \xrightarrow{d-1} \sum_{i=1}^{m-1} x'_i x_{i+1} \oplus (g_{<d} + \sum_{k=1}^s h_k) + \mathcal{O}_{d+1},$$

such that  $\text{len}(h_k) = 1$ ,  $\text{deg}(h_k) = d$  for each  $k$ . Set  $h = \sum_{k=1}^s h_k$ , we are done.  $\square$

The following is the main result of this subsection.

**Theorem 4.20.** *For any reduced Type A potential  $f$  on  $Q_{n,I}$ , there exists a right-equivalence  $\rho: f \rightsquigarrow f'$  such that  $f'$  is a reduced monomialized Type A potential.*

*Proof.* We first apply the  $\rho_2$  in 4.18,

$$\rho_2: f \rightsquigarrow f_1 := \sum_{i=1}^{m-1} x'_i x_{i+1} \oplus h_2 + \mathcal{O}_3,$$

such that  $\text{len}(h_2) = 1$  and  $\text{deg}(h_2) = 2$ .

Since  $(f_1)_2 = \sum_{i=1}^{m-1} x'_i x_{i+1} \oplus h_2$ , it is clear that  $\text{len}((f_1)_2) \leq 2$ . Thus by 4.19 applied to  $f_1$ , there exists

$$\rho_3: f_1 \rightsquigarrow f_2 := \sum_{i=1}^{m-1} x'_i x_{i+1} \oplus \sum_{j=2}^3 h_j + \mathcal{O}_4,$$

such that  $\text{len}(h_3) = 1$ ,  $\text{deg}(h_3) = 3$ . Thus, repeating this process  $s - 1$  times gives

$$\rho_s \circ \cdots \circ \rho_3 \circ \rho_2: f \rightsquigarrow f_s := \sum_{i=1}^{m-1} x'_i x_{i+1} \oplus \sum_{j=2}^s h_j + \mathcal{O}_{s+1},$$

such that  $\text{len}(h_j) = 1$ ,  $\text{deg}(h_j) = j$  for each  $j$ .

Since  $\rho_d$  is a path degree  $d - 1$  right-equivalence for each  $d \geq 2$  by 4.18 and 4.19, by 2.9  $\rho := \lim_{s \rightarrow \infty} \rho_s \circ \cdots \circ \rho_3 \circ \rho_2$  exists, and further

$$\rho: f \rightsquigarrow \sum_{i=1}^{m-1} x'_i x_{i+1} \oplus \sum_{j=2}^{\infty} h_j,$$

such that  $\text{len}(h_j) = 1$  and  $\text{deg}(h_j) = j$  for each  $j$ .

Set  $f' = \sum_{i=1}^{m-1} x'_i x_{i+1} + \sum_{j=2}^{\infty} h_j$ . Since  $\text{len}(h_j) = 1$  for each  $j$ ,  $f'$  is a monomialized Type A potential. Moreover, since  $f$  is reduced,  $f'$  is also reduced.  $\square$

**4.2. Transform monomialized Type A potentials on  $Q_{n,I}$  to  $Q_n$ .** To state unified results later, it will be convenient to show that any monomialized Type A potential on  $Q_{n,I}$  is isomorphic to a (possibly non-reduced) monomialized Type A potential on  $Q_n$ .

This required the following results, which give precise construction on how to add a loop on  $Q_{n,I}$ .

**Lemma 4.21.** *Given any  $I \neq \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$  and  $i \in I^c$ , let  $x_t$  be the loop at vertex  $i$  of  $Q_{n,I}$ . Suppose that  $h = \sum_{i=1}^{t-2} x'_i x_{i+1} + x'_{t-1} x_{t+1} + \sum_{i=t+1}^{m-1} x'_i x_{i+1} - \frac{1}{2} x_t^2 + \sum_{i \neq t} \sum_{j=2}^{\infty} \kappa_{ij} x_i^j$  where all  $\kappa_{ij} \in \mathbb{C}$ . There exists a right-equivalence*

$$h \rightsquigarrow \sum_{i=1}^{m-1} x'_i x_{i+1} + \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \sum_{j=2}^{\infty} \kappa'_{ij} x_i^j,$$

where  $\kappa'_{ij}$  are some scalars, and further  $\kappa'_{t2} \neq 0$ .

*Proof.* Being a loop,  $x_t = a_t$ , so applying the automorphism  $a_t \mapsto a_t - b_{t-1} a_{t-1} - a_{t+1} b_{t+1}$  (in other words,  $x_t \mapsto x_t - x'_{t-1} - x_{t+1}$ ) gives,


$$\begin{aligned} h &\mapsto \sum_{i=1}^{t-2} x'_i x_{i+1} + x'_{t-1} x_{t+1} + \sum_{i=t+1}^{m-1} x'_i x_{i+1} - \frac{1}{2} (x_t - x'_{t-1} - x_{t+1})^2 + \sum_{i \neq t} \sum_{j=2}^{\infty} \kappa_{ij} x_i^j \\ &\sim \sum_{i=1}^{m-1} x'_i x_{i+1} - \frac{1}{2} x_{t-1}^2 - \frac{1}{2} x_t^2 - \frac{1}{2} x_{t+1}^2 + \sum_{i \neq t} \sum_{j=2}^{\infty} \kappa_{ij} x_i^j. \end{aligned} \quad (4.A)$$

Then set the value of  $\kappa'_{ij}$  from the equation  $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \sum_{j=2}^{\infty} \kappa'_{ij} x_i^j = -\frac{1}{2} x_{t-1}^2 - \frac{1}{2} x_t^2 - \frac{1}{2} x_{t+1}^2 + \sum_{i \neq t} \sum_{j=2}^{\infty} \kappa_{ij} x_i^j$ . Since  $\kappa'_{t2}$  is the coefficient of  $x_t^2$  in (4.A),  $\kappa'_{t2} = -\frac{1}{2} \neq 0$ .  $\square$



**Corollary 4.22.** *Given any  $I \neq \emptyset$ ,  $i \in I$  and a monomialized Type A potential  $f$  on  $Q_{n,I}$ , then there exists a monomialized Type A potential  $g$  on  $Q_{n,I/i}$  such that  $\mathcal{J}ac(Q_{n,I}, f) \cong \mathcal{J}ac(Q_{n,I/i}, g)$  and  $g$  contains the square of the loop at vertex  $i$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $x_t$  be the loop at vertex  $i$  of  $Q_{n,I/i}$ . Locally,  $Q_{n,I}$  and  $Q_{n,I/i}$  look like the following, respectively.



Relabeling the paths allows us to consider  $f$  as a potential on  $Q_{n,I/i}$ . More precisely, we replace the  $a_k$  and  $b_k$  in  $f$  by  $a_{k+1}$  and  $b_{k+1}$  respectively for any  $k \geq t$ . Then set  $h := f - \frac{1}{2}x_t^2$ . It is clear that  $\mathcal{J}ac(Q_{n,I}, f) \cong \mathcal{J}ac(Q_{n,I/i}, h)$ .

By 4.21, there exists a right-equivalence  $h \rightsquigarrow g$  such that  $g$  is a monomialized Type A potential on  $Q_{n,I/i}$  and  $g$  contains  $x_t^2$ . Thus  $\mathcal{J}ac(Q_{n,I/i}, h) \cong \mathcal{J}ac(Q_{n,I/i}, g)$ , and so  $\mathcal{J}ac(Q_{n,I}, f) \cong \mathcal{J}ac(Q_{n,I/i}, g)$ .  $\square$

**Proposition 4.23.** *Given any  $I$  and a monomialized Type A potential  $f = \sum_{i=1}^{m-1} x'_i x_{i+1} + \sum_{i=1}^m \sum_{j=2}^{\infty} \kappa'_{ij} x_i^j$  on  $Q_{n,I}$  where all  $\kappa'_{ij} \in \mathbb{C}$ , then there exists a monomialized Type A potential  $g$  on  $Q_n$ , namely*

$$g = \sum_{i=1}^{2n-2} x'_i x_{i+1} + \sum_{i=1}^{2n-1} \sum_{j=2}^{\infty} \kappa_{ij} x_i^j$$

for some  $\kappa_{ij} \in \mathbb{C}$ , such that  $\mathcal{J}ac(Q_n, g) \cong \mathcal{J}ac(Q_{n,I}, f)$  and  $\kappa_{2i-1,2} \neq 0$  for each  $i \in I$ .

*Proof.* If  $I = \emptyset$ , there is nothing to prove. Otherwise, given any  $i \in I$ , by 4.22 there exist a monomialized Type A potential  $\mathbf{g}_1$  on  $Q_{n,I \setminus i}$  such that  $\mathcal{J}ac(Q_{I \setminus i}, \mathbf{g}_1) \cong \mathcal{J}ac(Q_{n,I}, f)$ , where  $\mathbf{g}_1$  contains the square of the loop at vertex  $i$ .

Similarly, by 4.22 we can repeat the same argument to  $\mathbf{g}_1$  on  $Q_{n,I \setminus i}$  and any  $j \in I \setminus \{i\}$  to construct a monomialized Type A potential  $\mathbf{g}_2$  on  $Q_{n,I \setminus \{i,j\}}$  such that  $\mathcal{J}ac(Q_{n,I \setminus \{i,j\}}, \mathbf{g}_2) \cong \mathcal{J}ac(Q_{I \setminus i}, \mathbf{g}_1)$ , where  $\mathbf{g}_2$  contains the square of the loop at vertex  $i$  and vertex  $j$ .

Set  $s = |I|$ . Thus we can repeat this process  $s$  times to construct a monomialized Type A potential  $\mathbf{g}_s$  on  $Q_{n,\emptyset}$  such that  $\mathcal{J}ac(Q_{n,\emptyset}, \mathbf{g}_s) \cong \mathcal{J}ac(Q_{n,I}, f)$ , and  $\mathbf{g}_s$  contains the square of all the loops at all vertices  $i \in I$ .

Set  $g := \mathbf{g}_s$ . Since  $\kappa_{2i-1,2}$  are the coefficients of the square of the loops at the vertices  $i \in I$ , the statement follows.  $\square$

## 5. GEOMETRIC REALISATION

Section §5.1 below shows that any Type A potential on  $Q_{n,I}$  can be realised by a crepant resolution of a  $cA_n$  singularity in 5.10, and furthermore proves the Realisation Conjecture of Brown–Wemyss [BW] in the setting of Type A potentials. Section §5.2 gives the converse in 5.13, then proves a correspondence between the crepant resolutions of  $cA_n$  singularities and our intrinsic Type A potentials on  $Q_n$  in 5.16 and 5.17.

**5.1. Geometric Realisation.** In this section, we will prove in 5.10 that, given any Type A potential  $f$  on  $Q_{n,I}$ , there is a crepant resolution  $\pi$  of a  $cA_n$  singularity such that  $\mathcal{J}ac(f) \cong \Lambda_{\text{con}}(\pi)$ . This proves the Realisation Conjecture of Brown–Wemyss [BW] in the setting of Type A potentials.

**Notation 5.1.** We first fix a monomialized Type A potentials  $f$  on  $Q_n$  as follows,

$$f = \sum_{i=1}^{2n-2} x_i' x_{i+1} + \sum_{i=1}^{2n-1} \sum_{j=2}^{\infty} \kappa_{ij} x_i^j. \quad (5.A)$$

Then we consider the following system of equations where each  $g_i \in \mathbb{C}[[x, y]]$

$$\begin{aligned} g_0 + \sum_{j=2}^{\infty} j \kappa_{1j} g_1^{j-1} + g_2 &= 0 \\ g_1 + \sum_{j=2}^{\infty} j \kappa_{2j} g_2^{j-1} + g_3 &= 0 \\ &\vdots \\ g_{2n-2} + \sum_{j=2}^{\infty} j \kappa_{2n-1,j} g_{2n-1}^{j-1} + g_{2n} &= 0. \end{aligned} \quad (5.B)$$

The following lemma allows us to construct the geometric realization of  $f$  (5.A) in 5.3(1) by the system of equations (5.B).

**Lemma 5.2.** *With notation in 5.1, fix some integer  $t$  satisfying  $0 \leq t \leq 2n - 1$ , and set  $g_t = y$ ,  $g_{t+1} = x$ . Then there exists  $(g_0, g_1, \dots, g_{2n})$  which satisfies (5.B) and furthermore each  $g_s \in \langle (x, y) \rangle \subseteq \mathbb{C}[[x, y]]$ . Moreover,*

- (1) For any  $0 \leq s \leq 2n - 1$ ,  $\langle (g_s, g_{s+1}) \rangle = \langle (x, y) \rangle$ .
- (2) For any  $1 \leq s \leq 2n - 1$ ,  $\langle (g_{s-1}, g_{s+1}) \rangle \subsetneq \langle (x, y) \rangle$  when  $\kappa_{s2} = 0$ , and  $\langle (g_{s-1}, g_{s+1}) \rangle = \langle (x, y) \rangle$  when  $\kappa_{s2} \neq 0$ .

*Proof.* We start with the equation  $g_t + \sum_{j=2}^{\infty} j \kappa_{t+1,j} g_{t+1}^{j-1} + g_{t+2} = 0$  in (5.B) which defines  $g_{t+2} = -y - \sum_{j=2}^{\infty} j \kappa_{t+1,j} x^{j-1} \in \langle (x, y) \rangle$ . Then we consider  $g_{t+1} + \sum_{j=2}^{\infty} j \kappa_{t+2,j} g_{t+2}^{j-1} + g_{t+3} = 0$  which also defines  $g_{t+3} \in \langle (x, y) \rangle$ . Thus we can repeat this process to construct  $g_s \in \langle (x, y) \rangle$  for  $t + 2 \leq s \leq 2n$ . Similarly, the equation  $g_{t-1} + \sum_{j=2}^{\infty} j \kappa_{t,j} g_t^{j-1} + g_{t+1} = 0$  defines  $g_{t-1} \in \langle (x, y) \rangle$ . We can repeat this process to construct  $g_s \in \langle (x, y) \rangle$  for  $0 \leq s \leq t - 1$ .

(1) For any  $0 \leq s \leq 2n - 2$ , using  $g_s + \sum_{j=2}^{\infty} j \kappa_{s+1,j} g_{s+1}^{j-1} + g_{s+2} = 0$  in (5.B), we have  $\langle (g_s, g_{s+1}) \rangle = \langle (g_{s+1}, g_{s+2}) \rangle$ . Moving either to the left or right until we hit  $t$ , it follows that  $\langle (g_s, g_{s+1}) \rangle = \langle (g_t, g_{t+1}) \rangle = \langle (y, x) \rangle$ .

(2) For any  $1 \leq s \leq 2n - 1$ , using  $g_{s-1} + \sum_{j=2}^{\infty} j \kappa_{sj} g_s^{j-1} + g_{s+1} = 0$  in (5.B), we have  $\langle (g_{s-1}, g_{s+1}) \rangle = \langle (g_{s-1}, \sum_{j=2}^{\infty} j \kappa_{sj} g_s^{j-1}) \rangle$ . Thus, if  $\kappa_{s2} = 0$  then  $\langle (g_{s-1}, g_{s+1}) \rangle \subsetneq \langle (x, y) \rangle$ , and if  $\kappa_{s2} \neq 0$  then  $\langle (g_{s-1}, g_{s+1}) \rangle = \langle (g_{s-1}, g_s) \rangle$  which equals  $\langle (x, y) \rangle$  by (1).  $\square$

**Notation 5.3.** For any  $t$  with  $0 \leq t \leq 2n - 1$ , 5.2 calculates a solution of (5.B). Fix any such solution, say  $(g_0, g_1, \dots, g_{2n})$ . From this, we adopt the following notation.

- (1) We first define the  $cA_n$  singularity

$$\mathcal{R} := \frac{\mathbb{C}[[u, v, x, y]]}{uv - g_0 g_2 \dots g_{2n}}.$$

Then consider the CM  $\mathcal{R}$ -module

$$M := \mathcal{R} \oplus (u, g_0) \oplus (u, g_0 g_2) \oplus \dots \oplus (u, \prod_{i=0}^{n-1} g_{2i}).$$

- (2) We next define

$$\mathcal{S}_0 := \frac{\mathbb{C}[[u, v, x_0, x_2, \dots, x_{2n}]]}{uv - x_0 x_2 \dots x_{2n}},$$

and set  $\mathcal{S}_1 := \mathcal{S}_0 \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \mathbb{C}[[x_1, x_3, \dots, x_{2n-1}]]$ , namely,

$$\mathcal{S}_1 \cong \frac{\mathbb{C}[[u, v, x_0, x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{2n}]]}{uv - x_0 x_2 \dots x_{2n}}.$$

(3) Define a sequence  $h_1, h_2, \dots, h_{2n-1} \in \mathcal{S}_1$  to be

$$h_i := x_{i-1} + \sum_{j=2}^{\infty} j \kappa_{1j} x_i^{j-1} + x_{i+1},$$

and set  $\mathcal{S}_i := \mathcal{S}_1 / (h_1, h_2, \dots, h_{i-1})$  for  $2 \leq i \leq 2n$ .


(4) For  $0 \leq i \leq 2n$ , consider the  $\mathcal{S}_i$ -module

$$N_i := \mathcal{R} \oplus (u, x_0) \oplus (u, x_0 x_2) \oplus \dots \oplus (u, \prod_{i=0}^{n-1} x_{2i}).$$

(5) Write  $\pi_0$  for the universal flop of  $\text{Spec } \mathcal{S}_0$  corresponding to  $N_0$ . Then consider the morphism  $\text{Spec } \mathcal{S}_1 \rightarrow \text{Spec } \mathcal{S}_0$ , and the fiber product  $\mathcal{X}_1 := \mathcal{X}_0 \times_{\text{Spec } \mathcal{S}_0} \text{Spec } \mathcal{S}_1$ . Note that  $\mathcal{X}_1 \cong \mathcal{X}_0 \times \mathbb{C}^n$ . For  $2 \leq i \leq 2n$ , consider the morphism  $\text{Spec } \mathcal{S}_i \rightarrow \text{Spec } \mathcal{S}_1$ , and the fiber product  $\mathcal{X}_i := \mathcal{X}_1 \times_{\text{Spec } \mathcal{S}_1} \text{Spec } \mathcal{S}_i \cong \mathcal{X}_0 \times_{\text{Spec } \mathcal{S}_0} \text{Spec } \mathcal{S}_i$ . These morphisms fit into the following commutative diagram.


$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} \mathcal{X}_{2n} & \longrightarrow & \cdots & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{X}_2 & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{X}_1 & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{X}_0 \\ \downarrow \pi_{2n} & & & & \downarrow \pi_2 & & \downarrow \pi_1 & & \downarrow \pi_0 \\ \text{Spec } \mathcal{S}_{2n} & \longrightarrow & \cdots & \longrightarrow & \text{Spec } \mathcal{S}_2 & \longrightarrow & \text{Spec } \mathcal{S}_1 & \longrightarrow & \text{Spec } \mathcal{S}_0 \end{array}$$

(6) Consider the following quiver  $Q'$  with relations  $R'$ .



$$R' := \begin{cases} l_{t,2i} a_{2t} = a_{2t} l_{t+1,2i}, & l_{t+1,2i} b_{2t} = b_{2t} l_{t,2i}, & l_{t,2i} l_{t,2j} = l_{t,2j} l_{t,2i}, \\ l_{t,2t} = a_{2t} b_{2t}, & l_{t+1,2t} = b_{2t} a_{2t} & \text{for any } t \in \mathbb{Z}/(n+1) \text{ and } 0 \leq i, j \leq n. \end{cases} \quad (5.C)$$

(7) By adding loops  $l_{t,1}, l_{t,3}, \dots, l_{t,2n-1}$  at each vertex  $t$  of  $Q'$ , we get the following quiver  $Q$ .



Then consider the relations  $R_1$  of  $Q$  as follows.

$$R_1 := \begin{cases} l_{t,i}a_{2t} = a_{2t}l_{t+1,i}, & l_{t+1,i}b_{2t} = b_{2t}l_{t,i}, & l_{t,i}l_{t,j} = l_{t,j}l_{t,i}, \\ l_{t,2t} = a_{2t}b_{2t}, & l_{t+1,2t} = b_{2t}a_{2t} \end{cases} \text{ for any } t \in \mathbb{Z}/(n+1) \text{ and } 0 \leq i, j \leq 2n. \quad (5.D)$$

For  $2 \leq s \leq 2n$ , define  $R_s$  to be  $R_1$  with the additional relations

$$l_{t,i-1} + \sum_{j=2}^{\infty} j\kappa_{ij}l_{t,i}^{j-1} + l_{t,i+1} = 0 \text{ for any } 0 \leq t \leq n \text{ and } 1 \leq i \leq s-1. \quad (5.E)$$

The following three lemmas give a quiver presentation of the NCCR  $\text{End}_{\mathcal{R}}(M)$  where  $\mathcal{R}$  and  $M$  are defined in 5.3(1).

**Lemma 5.4.** *With notation in 5.3, for  $2 \leq k \leq 2n$ ,  $\mathcal{S}_k$  is an integral domain and normal. Furthermore,  $\mathcal{S}_{2n} \cong \mathcal{R}$ .*

*Proof.* Fix some  $k$  with  $2 \leq k \leq 2n$ . By the definition in 5.3(2) and (3),

$$\mathcal{S}_k \cong \frac{\mathbb{C}[[u, v, x_0, x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{2n}]]}{(uv - x_0x_2 \dots x_{2n}, h_1, h_2, \dots, h_{k-1})},$$

where each  $h_i = x_{i-1} + \sum_{j=2}^{\infty} j\kappa_{1j}x_i^{j-1} + x_{i+1}$ .

Similar to 5.2, for each  $2 \leq i \leq k$ , we can express  $x_i$  as a polynomial of  $x_0$  and  $x_1$  using  $h_1, h_2, \dots, h_{k-1}$ . Write these expression as  $x_i := H_i(x_0, x_1)$ .

Thus, when  $k$  is even,

$$\mathcal{S}_k \cong \frac{\mathbb{C}[[u, v, x_0, x_1, x_{t+1}, x_{t+2}, \dots, x_{2n}]]}{uv - x_0H_2H_4 \dots H_kx_{k+2} \dots x_{2n}}.$$

When  $k$  is odd,

$$\mathcal{S}_k \cong \frac{\mathbb{C}[[u, v, x_0, x_1, x_{t+1}, x_{t+2}, \dots, x_{2n}]]}{uv - x_0H_2H_4 \dots H_{k-1}x_{k+1} \dots x_{2n}}.$$

In both cases,  $\mathcal{S}_k$  is an integral domain and normal by e.g. [S, 4.1.1].

Then we prove that  $\mathcal{S}_{2n} \cong \mathcal{R}$ . Recall that, in 5.2, we start with  $g_t = y$  and  $g_{t+1} = x$  and then construct  $(g_0, g_1, \dots, g_{2n})$  where each  $g_i \in \mathbb{C}[[x, y]]$  using the equation system (5.B). Then, in 5.3(1), we use  $(g_0, g_1, \dots, g_{2n})$  to define  $\mathcal{R}$ . By definition

$$\mathcal{S}_{2n} \cong \frac{\mathbb{C}[[u, v, x_0, x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{2n}]]}{(uv - x_0x_2 \dots x_{2n}, h_1, h_2, \dots, h_{2n-1})}.$$

Set  $x_t := y$  and  $x_{t+1} := x$ . Similar to 5.2, we can express all the other  $x_s$  as some polynomial of  $x_t$  and  $x_{t+1}$  using  $h_0, h_1, \dots, h_{2n-1}$ . Furthermore, each  $x_s = g_s(x_{t+1}, x_t) = g_s(x, y)$ . Thus


$$\mathcal{S}_{2n} \cong \frac{\mathbb{C}[[u, v, x, y]]}{uv - g_0g_2 \dots g_{2n}} = \mathcal{R}. \quad \square$$

With notation in 5.3, consider the flopping contraction  $\pi_0: \mathcal{X}_0 \rightarrow \text{Spec } \mathcal{S}_0$  with  $\Lambda(\pi_0) \cong \text{End}_{\mathcal{S}_0}(N_0)$ . As shown in the appendix 7.17,  $\text{End}_{\mathcal{S}_0}(N_0) \cong \mathbb{C}\langle\langle Q' \rangle\rangle/R'$  where  $Q'$  and  $R'$  are in (5.C).

To ease notation, set  $\Lambda := \mathbb{C}\langle\langle Q' \rangle\rangle/R'$ . By [K, 5.2.5],  $\mathcal{X}_0$  is isomorphic to a moduli scheme of stable representations of  $\Lambda$ , of dimension vector  $\delta = (1, 1, \dots, 1)$  and stability  $\vartheta = (-n, 1, 1, \dots, 1)$  where the  $-n$  sits at vertex 0 of  $Q'$ .

In notation,  $\mathcal{X}_0 \cong \mathcal{M}_{\delta}^{\vartheta}(\Lambda)$ , which is the moduli space of  $\vartheta$ -stable representations of dimension vector  $\delta$ . Moreover, exactly as in [W2, §3],  $\mathcal{M}_{\delta}^{\vartheta}(\Lambda) \cong \bigcup_{i=0}^n U_i$  is a gluing of  $n+1$  affine charts. Accounting for the relations  $R'$  (5.C), these first affine chart  $U_0$  is

parameterized by



Thus  $U_0 \cong \text{Spec } \mathbb{C}[[b'_0, b'_2, \dots, b'_{2n-2}, a'_{2n}, b'_{2n}]] \cong \mathbb{C}^{n+2}$  and the morphism  $U_0 \rightarrow \text{Spec } \mathcal{S}_0$  is described by the ring map

$$\begin{aligned} x_0 &\mapsto b'_0, & x_2 &\mapsto b'_2, & \dots & & x_{2n-2} &\mapsto b'_{2n-2}, & x_{2n} &\mapsto a'_{2n} b'_{2n}, \\ u &\mapsto a'_{2n}, & v &\mapsto b'_0 b'_2 b'_4 \dots b'_{2n}. \end{aligned}$$

The other affine charts  $U_i$  can be parameterized similarly to the above (see e.g. [W2, §3]), with  $U_i \cong \mathbb{C}^{n+2}$ , and further  $U_i \rightarrow \text{Spec } \mathcal{S}_0$  can be described in a similar way.


**Proposition 5.5.** *With notation in 5.3, for  $1 \leq i \leq 2n$ ,  $\mathcal{X}_i$  is connected, and covered by  $n + 1$  affine spaces  $\mathbb{C}^{2n-i+3}$ .*

*Proof.* To unify the notation below, set  $U_{0j} := U_j$  in the cover of  $\mathcal{X}_0$  described above. Thus  $\mathcal{X}_0 \cong \bigcup_{j=0}^n U_{0j}$  where each  $U_{0j} \cong \mathbb{C}^{n+2}$ . Recall  $\mathcal{S}_i$  and  $\mathcal{X}_i$  from 5.3.

Then for each  $i$  and  $j$ , denote the base change of  $U_{0j}$  over  $\text{Spec } \mathcal{S}_i \rightarrow \text{Spec } \mathcal{S}_0$  as  $U_{ij} := U_{0j} \times_{\text{Spec } \mathcal{S}_0} \text{Spec } \mathcal{S}_i$ . Since by the definition  $\mathcal{X}_i := \mathcal{X}_0 \times_{\text{Spec } \mathcal{S}_0} \text{Spec } \mathcal{S}_i$ ,  $\mathcal{X}_i \cong \bigcup_{j=0}^n U_{ij}$ .

Because  $\text{Spec } \mathcal{S}_i$  contains the origin, it follows that  $\mathcal{X}_i$  contains the exceptional curves  $\bigcup_{j=1}^n C_j \subset \mathcal{X}_0$  supported over it. Hence, for each  $1 \leq j \leq n$ ,  $C_j \subset U_{i,j-1} \cup U_{ij}$  and  $U_{i,j-1} \cap U_{ij} \neq \emptyset$  in  $\mathcal{X}_i$ , which implies  $\mathcal{X}_i$  is connected. It remains to check that  $U_{ij} \cong \mathbb{C}^{2n-i+3}$  for  $1 \leq i \leq 2n$  and  $0 \leq j \leq n$ .

Since  $\mathcal{S}_1 := \mathcal{S}_0 \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \mathbb{C}[[x_1, x_3, \dots, x_{2n-1}]]$ ,  $U_{1j} := U_{0j} \times_{\text{Spec } \mathcal{S}_0} \text{Spec } \mathcal{S}_1$  and  $U_{0j} \cong \mathbb{C}^{n+2}$ , each  $U_{1j} \cong \mathbb{C}^{2n+2}$ . Moreover, the first chart  $U_{10}$  is parameterized by



Thus  $U_{10} \cong \text{Spec } \mathbb{C}[[b'_0, b'_2, \dots, b'_{2n-2}, a'_{2n}, b'_{2n}, x'_1, x'_3, \dots, x'_{2n-1}]] \cong \mathbb{C}^{n+2}$  and further the morphism  $U_{10} \rightarrow \text{Spec } \mathcal{S}_1$  is described by the ring map

$$\begin{aligned} x_0 &\mapsto b'_0, & x_2 &\mapsto b'_2, & \dots & & x_{2n-2} &\mapsto b'_{2n-2}, & x_{2n} &\mapsto a'_{2n} b'_{2n}, \\ u &\mapsto a'_{2n}, & v &\mapsto b'_0 b'_2 b'_4 \dots b'_{2n}, \\ x_1 &\mapsto x'_1, & x_3 &\mapsto x'_3, & \dots & & x_{2n-1} &\mapsto x'_{2n-1}. \end{aligned} \quad (5.F)$$

The other affine charts  $U_{1j}$  can be parameterized similarly to the above, with  $U_{1j} \cong \mathbb{C}^{2n+2}$ , and further  $U_{1j} \rightarrow \text{Spec } \mathcal{S}_1$  can be described in a similar way.

For  $2 \leq i \leq 2n$ , the map  $\mathcal{S}_1 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}[[U_{10}]]$  in (5.F) maps the generator  $h_1, h_2, \dots, h_{2n-1} \in \mathcal{S}_1$  in 5.3(3) to

$$h_i \mapsto h'_i := \begin{cases} b'_{i-1} + \sum_{j=2}^{\infty} j \kappa_{1j} x_i'^{j-1} + b'_{i+1}, & \text{for } i = 1, 3, \dots, 2n-3 \\ x'_{i-1} + \sum_{j=2}^{\infty} j \kappa_{1j} b_i'^{j-1} + x'_{i+1}, & \text{for } i = 2, 4, \dots, 2n-1 \\ b'_{2n-2} + \sum_{j=2}^{\infty} j \kappa_{1j} x_{2n-1}'^{j-1} + a'_{2n} b'_{2n}, & \text{for } i = 2n-1 \end{cases}$$

By the definition  $\mathcal{S}_i = \mathcal{S}_1/(h_1, h_2, \dots, h_{i-1})$  and  $U_{i0} \cong U_{10} \times_{\text{Spec } \mathcal{S}_1} \text{Spec } \mathcal{S}_i$ . The above equations have independent linear terms and cut  $U_{10}$  down to the rings

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{C}[[U_{20}]] &\cong \mathbb{C}[[U_{10}]]/(b'_0) \cong \mathbb{C}[[b'_2, b'_4, \dots, b'_{2n-2}, a'_{2n}, b'_{2n}, x'_1, x'_3, \dots, x'_{2n-1}]] \cong \mathbb{C}^{2n+1}, \\ \mathbb{C}[[U_{30}]] &\cong \mathbb{C}[[U_{10}]]/(b'_0, x'_1) \cong \mathbb{C}[[b'_2, b'_4, \dots, b'_{2n-2}, a'_{2n}, b'_{2n}, x'_3, x'_5, \dots, x'_{2n-1}]] \cong \mathbb{C}^{2n}, \\ &\vdots \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{C}[[U_{2n-1,0}]] &\cong \mathbb{C}[[U_{10}]]/(b'_0, b'_2, \dots, b'_{2n-4}, x'_1, x'_3, \dots, x'_{2n-3}) \cong \mathbb{C}[[b'_{2n-2}, a'_{2n}, b'_{2n}, x'_{2n-1}]] \cong \mathbb{C}^4, \\ \mathbb{C}[[U_{2n,0}]] &\cong \mathbb{C}[[U_{10}]]/(b'_0, b'_2, \dots, b'_{2n-4}, b'_{2n-2}, x'_1, x'_3, \dots, x'_{2n-3}) \cong \mathbb{C}[[a'_{2n}, b'_{2n}, x'_{2n-1}]] \cong \mathbb{C}^3. \end{aligned}$$

Thus the first chart of  $\mathcal{X}_i$  satisfies  $U_{i0} \cong \mathbb{C}^{2n-i+3}$  for  $1 \leq i \leq 2n$ . In a similar way, the other charts also satisfy  $U_{ij} \cong \mathbb{C}^{2n-i+3}$  for  $1 \leq j \leq n$  and  $1 \leq i \leq 2n$ .  $\square$

**Corollary 5.6.** *With notation in 5.3,  $\text{End}_{\mathcal{S}_i}(N_i) \cong \mathbb{C}\langle Q \rangle/R_i$  for  $1 \leq i \leq 2n$ .*

*Proof.* We first prove that  $\text{End}_{\mathcal{S}_1}(N_1) \cong \mathbb{C}\langle Q \rangle/R_1$  where  $Q$  and  $R_1$  are in (5.D). As shown in the appendix 7.17,  $\text{End}_{\mathcal{S}_0}(N_0) \cong \mathbb{C}\langle Q' \rangle/R'$  where  $Q'$  and  $R'$  are in (5.C). By the definition 5.3,  $\mathcal{S}_1 \cong \mathcal{S}_0 \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \mathbb{C}[[x_1, x_3, \dots, x_{2n-1}]]$  and  $N_1 \cong N_0 \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \mathbb{C}[[x_1, x_3, \dots, x_{2n-1}]]$ , thus we have

$$\begin{aligned} \text{End}_{\mathcal{S}_1}(N_1) &\cong \text{End}_{\mathcal{S}_0 \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \mathbb{C}[[x_1, x_3, \dots, x_{2n-1}]]}(N_0 \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \mathbb{C}[[x_1, x_3, \dots, x_{2n-1}]])) \\ &\cong \text{End}_{\mathcal{S}_0}(N_0) \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \mathbb{C}[[x_1, x_3, \dots, x_{2n-1}]] \\ &\cong \mathbb{C}\langle Q' \rangle/R' \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \mathbb{C}[[x_1, x_3, \dots, x_{2n-1}]] \\ &\cong \mathbb{C}\langle Q \rangle/R_1. \end{aligned} \quad (5.G)$$

Since for each  $2 \leq i \leq n$ ,  $\mathcal{S}_i$  is an integral domain and normal by 5.4 and  $\mathcal{X}_i$  is connected and smooth by 5.5, then we can apply [V3, 2.11] to show that

$$\begin{aligned} \text{End}_{\mathcal{S}_i}(N_i) &\cong \text{End}_{\mathcal{S}_{i-1}/h_{i-1}}(N_{i-1} \otimes_{\mathcal{S}_{i-1}} \mathcal{S}_i/h_{i-1}) \\ &\quad (\text{since } \mathcal{S}_i \cong \mathcal{S}_{i-1}/h_{i-1}, N_i \cong N_{i-1} \otimes_{\mathcal{S}_{i-1}} \mathcal{S}_i) \\ &\cong \text{End}_{\mathcal{S}_{i-1}}(N_{i-1})/(h_{i-1}). \end{aligned} \quad (\text{by [V3, 2.11]})$$

Together with (5.G), this gives

$$\begin{aligned} \text{End}_{\mathcal{S}_i}(N_i) &\cong \text{End}_{\mathcal{S}_{i-1}}(N_{i-1})/(h_{i-1}) \\ &\cong \text{End}_{\mathcal{S}_{i-2}}(N_{i-2})/(h_{i-1}, h_{i-2}) \\ &\vdots \\ &\cong \text{End}_{\mathcal{S}_1}(N_1)/(h_{i-1}, h_{i-2}, \dots, h_1) \\ &\cong \mathbb{C}\langle Q \rangle/R_i. \end{aligned} \quad \square$$

The following proposition shows that any (possibly non-reduced) monomialized Type A potential on  $Q_n$  can be realized by a crepant resolution of a  $cA_n$  singularity.

**Theorem 5.7.** *Given the monomialized Type A potential  $f$  in (5.A) on  $Q_n$ , the  $cA_n$  singularity  $\mathcal{R}$ , and the CM  $\mathcal{R}$ -module  $M$  in 5.3, we have  $\underline{\text{End}}_{\mathcal{R}}(M) \cong \text{Jac}(Q_n, f)$ .*

*Proof.* By 5.4,  $\mathcal{R} \cong \mathcal{S}_{2n}$  and  $\text{End}_{\mathcal{R}}(M) \cong \text{End}_{\mathcal{S}_{2n}}(N_{2n})$ . By 5.6,  $\text{End}_{\mathcal{S}_{2n}}(N_{2n}) \cong \mathbb{C}\langle Q \rangle / R_{2n}$ . Thus  $\text{End}_{\mathcal{R}}(M) \cong \mathbb{C}\langle Q \rangle / R_{2n}$  where  $Q$  and  $R_{2n}$  are in 5.3(7).

Similar to  $Q_n$ , we also define  $x_i$  and  $x'_i$  on  $Q$  as follows: for any  $0 \leq i \leq n$ , set  $x_{2i} := a_{2i}b_{2i}$  and  $x'_{2i} := b_{2i}a_{2i}$ , and for any  $1 \leq i \leq n$ , set  $x_{2i-1} := l_{i,2i-1} =: x'_{2i-1}$ .

Next, we consider the following relations induced by  $R_{2n}$ . For any  $1 \leq t \leq n-1$ , left multiplying the  $i = 2t$  case of (5.E) by  $b_{2t}$  gives

$$\begin{aligned} & b_{2t}l_{t,2t-1} + \sum_{j=2}^{\infty} j\kappa_{2t,j}b_{2t}l_{t,2t}^{j-1} + b_{2t}l_{t,2t+1} \\ &= b_{2t}l_{t,2t-1} + \sum_{j=2}^{\infty} j\kappa_{2t,j}b_{2t}l_{t,2t}^{j-1} + l_{t+1,2t+1}b_{2t} \quad (\text{since } b_{2t}l_{t,2t+1} = l_{t+1,2t+1}b_{2t} \text{ by (5.D)}) \\ &= b_{2t}x'_{2t-1} + \sum_{j=2}^{\infty} j\kappa_{2t,j}b_{2t}x_{2t}^{j-1} + x_{2t+1}b_{2t}. \\ & \quad (\text{since } l_{t,2t} = a_{2t}b_{2t} = x_{2t}, l_{t,2t-1} = x'_{2t-1} \text{ and } l_{t+1,2t+1} = x_{2t+1} \text{ by (5.D)}) \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, for any  $1 \leq t \leq n-1$ , right multiplying the  $i = 2t$  case of (5.E) by  $a_{2t}$  gives

$$\begin{aligned} & l_{t,2t-1}a_{2t} + \sum_{j=2}^{\infty} j\kappa_{2t,j}l_{t,2t}^{j-1}a_{2t} + l_{t,2t+1}a_{2t} \\ &= l_{t,2t-1}a_{2t} + \sum_{j=2}^{\infty} j\kappa_{2t,j}l_{t,2t}^{j-1}a_{2t} + a_{2t}l_{t+1,2t+1} \quad (\text{since } l_{t,2t+1}a_{2t} = a_{2t}l_{t+1,2t+1} \text{ by (5.D)}) \\ &= x'_{2t-1}a_{2t} + \sum_{j=2}^{\infty} j\kappa_{2t,j}x_{2t}^{j-1}a_{2t} + a_{2t}x_{2t+1}. \\ & \quad (\text{since } l_{t,2t} = a_{2t}b_{2t} = x_{2t}, l_{t,2t-1} = x'_{2t-1} \text{ and } l_{t+1,2t+1} = x_{2t+1} \text{ by (5.D)}) \end{aligned}$$

For any  $1 \leq t \leq n$ , the  $i = 2t-1$  case of (5.E) is

$$l_{t,2t-2} + \sum_{j=2}^{\infty} j\kappa_{ij}l_{t,2t-1}^{j-1} + l_{t,2t} = x'_{2t-2} + \sum_{j=2}^{\infty} j\kappa_{2t-1,j}x_{2t-1}^{j-1} + x_{2t}.$$

(since  $l_{t,2t-1} = x_{2t-1}$ ,  $l_{t,2t-2} = b_{2t-2}a_{2t-2} = x'_{2t-2}$  and  $l_{t,2t} = a_{2t}b_{2t} = x_{2t}$  by notation and (5.D))

Combining the above three types of relations gives the following,

$$T := \begin{cases} b_i x'_{i-1} + \sum_{j=2}^{\infty} j\kappa_{ij} b_i x_i^{j-1} + x_{i+1} b_i = 0, & \text{for } i = 2, 4, \dots, 2n-2. \\ x'_{i-1} a_i + \sum_{j=2}^{\infty} j\kappa_{ij} x_i^{j-1} a_i + a_i x_{i+1} = 0, & \text{for } i = 2, 4, \dots, 2n-2. \\ x'_{i-1} + \sum_{j=2}^{\infty} j\kappa_{ij} x_i^{j-1} + x_{i+1} = 0, & \text{for } i = 1, 3, \dots, 2n-1. \end{cases} \quad (5.H)$$

Then we define the quiver  $\mathcal{Q}_n$  by deleting loops on  $Q$  as follows. For each vertex  $t$  on  $Q$  with  $1 \leq t \leq n$ , we delete all loops  $l_{tj}$  except  $l_{t,2t-1}$  (namely  $x_{2t-1}$ ). Note that  $\mathcal{Q}_n$  is  $\mathcal{Q}_n$  by removing the vertex 0 and loops on it.

In 5.8 below we will show that  $\mathbb{C}\langle Q \rangle / \langle R_{2n}, e_0 \rangle \cong \mathbb{C}\langle \mathcal{Q}_n \rangle / \langle T, e_0 \rangle$ . Together with the isomorphism  $\text{End}_{\mathcal{R}}(M) \cong \mathbb{C}\langle Q \rangle / R_{2n}$  at the start of the proof, this gives

$$\underline{\text{End}}_{\mathcal{R}}(M) \cong \mathbb{C}\langle Q \rangle / \langle R_{2n}, e_0 \rangle \cong \mathbb{C}\langle \mathcal{Q}_n \rangle / \langle T, e_0 \rangle.$$

Thus  $\underline{\text{End}}_{\mathcal{R}}(M)$  is isomorphic to  $\mathbb{C}\langle \mathcal{Q}_n \rangle$  factored by the relations  $T$ , which after deleting paths that factor through vertex 0, become

$$\begin{aligned}
& b_i x'_{i-1} + \sum_{j=2}^{\infty} j \kappa_{ij} b_i x_i^{j-1} + x_{i+1} b_i = 0, \text{ for } i = 2, 4, \dots, 2n-2. \\
& x'_{i-1} a_i + \sum_{j=2}^{\infty} j \kappa_{ij} x_i^{j-1} a_i + a_i x_{i+1} = 0, \text{ for } i = 2, 4, \dots, 2n-2. \\
& x'_{i-1} + \sum_{j=2}^{\infty} j \kappa_{ij} x_i^{j-1} + x_{i+1} = 0, \text{ for } i = 3, \dots, 2n-2. \\
& \sum_{j=2}^{\infty} j \kappa_{1j} x_1^{j-1} + x_2 = 0, \quad x'_{2n-2} + \sum_{j=2}^{\infty} j \kappa_{2n-1,j} x_{2n-1}^{j-1} = 0.
\end{aligned}$$

These are exactly the relations generated by the derivatives of  $f$ . Thus  $\underline{\text{End}}_{\mathcal{R}}(M) \cong \mathcal{J}\text{ac}(Q_n, f)$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 5.8.** *With notation in 5.3 and 5.7,  $\mathbb{C}\langle Q \rangle / \langle R_{2n}, e_0 \rangle \cong \mathbb{C}\langle Q_n \rangle / \langle T, e_0 \rangle$ .*

*Proof.* We first divide the relations  $R_{2n}$  in 5.3(7) into three parts. The following are the relations in  $R_{2n}$  that factor through vertex 0.

$$T_0 := \begin{cases} l_{00} = a_0 b_0, \quad l_{0,2n} = b_{2n} a_{2n}. \\ l_{0i} a_0 = a_0 l_{1i}, \quad l_{ni} a_{2n} = a_{2n} l_{0i}, \quad l_{0i} b_{2n} = b_{2n} l_{ni}, \quad l_{1i} b_0 = b_0 l_{0i}, \\ l_{0i} l_{0j} = l_{0j} l_{0i}, \text{ for } 0 \leq i, j \leq 2n. \\ l_{0,i-1} + \sum_{j=2}^{\infty} j \kappa_{ij} l_{0,i}^{j-1} + l_{0,i+1} = 0, \text{ for } 1 \leq i \leq 2n-1. \end{cases}$$

Then we divide the remaining relations of  $R_{2n}$  into the following two parts.

$$T_1 := \begin{cases} l_{t,2t-2} = b_{2t-2} a_{2t-2}, \text{ for } 1 \leq t \leq n. \\ l_{ti} l_{tj} = l_{tj} l_{ti}, \text{ for } 1 \leq t \leq n \text{ and } 0 \leq i, j \leq 2n. \\ l_{ti} a_{2t} = a_{2t} l_{t+1,i}, \quad l_{t+1,i} b_{2t} = b_{2t} l_{ti}, \text{ for } 1 \leq t \leq n-1 \text{ and } 0 \leq i \leq 2n. \end{cases}$$

$$T_2 := \begin{cases} l_{t,2t} = a_{2t} b_{2t}, \text{ for any } 1 \leq t \leq n. \\ l_{t,i-1} + \sum_{j=2}^{\infty} j \kappa_{ij} l_{t,i}^{j-1} + l_{t,i+1} = 0 \text{ for any } 1 \leq t \leq n \text{ and } 1 \leq i \leq 2n-1. \end{cases}$$

Since  $T$  in (5.H) is induced by  $R_{2n}$ , necessarily

$$\mathbb{C}\langle Q \rangle / \langle R_{2n} \rangle \cong \mathbb{C}\langle Q \rangle / \langle R_{2n}, T \rangle \cong \mathbb{C}\langle Q \rangle / \langle T_0, T_1, T_2, T \rangle. \quad (5.I)$$

We next use  $T_2$  to eliminate some loops at vertex  $1, 2, \dots, n$  of  $Q$ , as follows.

Fix some vertex  $t$  with  $1 \leq t \leq n$  and consider the loops  $l_{ti}$  on it. Since  $l_{t,2t} = a_{2t} b_{2t}$  in  $T_2$ , we can eliminate  $l_{t,2t}$ . From our notation,  $l_{t,2t-1} := x_{2t-1}$  and  $x_{2t} := a_{2t} b_{2t}$ . Thus we can write  $l_{t,2t} = x_{2t}$ . Since  $l_{ti} l_{tj} = l_{tj} l_{ti}$  in  $T_1$  for  $0 \leq i, j \leq 2n$ , we can consider  $\mathbb{C}\langle l_{t,2t-1}, l_{t,2t} \rangle$  as the polynomial ring  $\mathbb{C}[[l_{t,2t-1}, l_{t,2t}]]$ . By the relation

$$l_{t,2t-1} + \sum_{j=2}^{\infty} j \kappa_{ij} l_{t,2t}^{j-1} + l_{t,2t+1} = 0, \quad (5.J)$$

in  $T_2$ , we can express  $l_{t,2t+1} \in \mathbb{C}[[l_{t,2t-1}, l_{t,2t}]] = \mathbb{C}[[x_{2t-1}, x_{2t}]]$ . Thus we can eliminate  $l_{t,2t+1}$ . Similar to the argument in 5.2, for each  $i \neq 2t-1$  we can express  $l_{ti} := \bar{l}_{ti}(x_{2t-1}, x_{2t}) \in \mathbb{C}[[x_{2t-1}, x_{2t}]]$  and eliminate it. So we only leave one loop  $l_{t,2t-1} = x_{2t-1}$  on vertex  $t$ .

Thus we can use all the relations in  $T_2$  to eliminate all such loops at vertices  $1, 2, \dots, n$ . For  $0 \leq k \leq 2$ , write  $\bar{T}_k$  for the the relations where we have substituted  $l_{ti}$  in  $T_k$  by the polynomial  $\bar{l}_{ti}$  for  $1 \leq t \leq n$  and  $0 \leq i \leq 2n$ . So we have

$$\mathbb{C}\langle Q \rangle / \langle T_0, T_1, T_2, T \rangle \cong \mathbb{C}\langle Q_n \rangle / \langle \bar{T}_0, \bar{T}_1, T \rangle. \quad (5.K)$$



Now during the above substitution process, the following expressions in  $\overline{T}_2$

$$\begin{aligned}\bar{l}_{t,2t} &= x_{2t} = a_{2t}b_{2t} && \text{(since } x_{2t} = a_{2t}b_{2t}\text{)} \\ \bar{l}_{t,2t-1} + \sum_{j=2}^{\infty} j\kappa_{ij}\bar{l}_{t,2t}^{j-1} + \bar{l}_{t,2t+1} &= 0 && \text{(by (5.J))}\end{aligned}$$

hold in  $\mathbb{C}\langle\mathcal{Q}_n\rangle$  tautologically. Similarly, tautologically, all the other expressions in  $\overline{T}_2$  also hold in  $\mathbb{C}\langle\mathcal{Q}_n\rangle$ .

We next prove that  $T$  in (5.H) induces  $\overline{T}_1$ .

(1) Firstly, we prove that  $T$  induces  $\bar{l}_{t,2t-2} = b_{2t-2}a_{2t-2}$  for  $1 \leq t \leq n$ . Since

$$\begin{aligned}x'_{2t-2} + \sum_{j=2}^{\infty} j\kappa_{2t-1,j}x_{2t-1}^{j-1} + x_{2t} &= 0, && \text{(by the } i = 2t - 1 \text{ case of the third line in (5.H))} \\ \bar{l}_{t,2t-2} + \sum_{j=2}^{\infty} j\kappa_{2t-1,j}\bar{l}_{t,2t-1}^{j-1} + \bar{l}_{t,2t} &= 0. && \text{(since } \overline{T}_2 \text{ holds in } \mathbb{C}\langle\mathcal{Q}_n\rangle\text{)}\end{aligned}$$

and by notation  $\bar{l}_{t,2t-1} = x_{2t-1}$  and  $\bar{l}_{t,2t} = x_{2t}$ , then  $\bar{l}_{t,2t-2} = x'_{2t-2} = b_{2t-2}a_{2t-2}$ .

(2) Secondly, we prove that  $T$  induces  $\bar{l}_{ti}\bar{l}_{tj} = \bar{l}_{tj}\bar{l}_{ti}$  for  $1 \leq t \leq n$  and  $0 \leq i, j \leq 2n$ .

Left multiplying the  $i = 2t$  case of the first line in (5.H) by  $a_{2t}$  gives

$$0 = a_{2t}(b_{2t}x'_{2t-1} + \sum_{j=2}^{\infty} j\kappa_{2t,j}b_{2t}x_{2t}^{j-1} + x_{2t+1}b_{2t}) = x_{2t}x'_{2t-1} + \sum_{j=2}^{\infty} j\kappa_{2t,j}x_{2t}^j + a_{2t}x_{2t+1}b_{2t}. \quad \text{(since } x_{2t} = a_{2t}b_{2t}\text{)}$$

Right multiplying the  $i = 2t$  case of the second line in (5.H) by  $b_{2t}$  gives

$$0 = (x'_{2t-1}a_{2t} + \sum_{j=2}^{\infty} j\kappa_{2t,j}x_{2t}^{j-1}a_{2t} + a_{2t}x_{2t+1})b_{2t} = x'_{2t-1}x_{2t} + \sum_{j=2}^{\infty} j\kappa_{2t,j}x_{2t}^j + a_{2t}x_{2t+1}b_{2t}. \quad \text{(since } x_{2t} = a_{2t}b_{2t}\text{)}$$

Thus  $x_{2t}x'_{2t-1} = x'_{2t-1}x_{2t}$ . Since  $x_{2t-1}$  is the loop at vertex  $t$ , then by definition  $x'_{2t-1} = x_{2t-1}$ , and so  $x_{2t}x_{2t-1} = x_{2t-1}x_{2t}$ . Together with the fact that each  $\bar{l}_{ti} \in \mathbb{C}[[x_{2t-1}, x_{2t}]]$  gives  $\bar{l}_{ti}\bar{l}_{tj} = \bar{l}_{tj}\bar{l}_{ti}$  for  $0 \leq i, j \leq 2n$ .

(3) Finally, we prove that  $T$  induces  $\bar{l}_{ti}a_{2t} = a_{2t}\bar{l}_{t+1,i}$ ,  $\bar{l}_{t+1,i}b_{2t} = b_{2t}\bar{l}_{ti}$  for  $1 \leq t \leq n-1$  and  $0 \leq i \leq 2n$ . For each vertex  $t$  with  $1 \leq t \leq n-1$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned}\bar{l}_{t,2t} &= a_{2t}b_{2t} = x_{2t}, && \text{(since } \overline{T}_2 \text{ holds in } \mathbb{C}\langle\mathcal{Q}_n\rangle\text{)} \\ \bar{l}_{t+1,2t} &= b_{2t}a_{2t} = x'_{2t}, && \text{(by (1))} \\ \bar{l}_{t,2t-1} &= x_{2t-1} = x'_{2t-1}, \quad \bar{l}_{t+1,2t+1} = x_{2t+1} = x'_{2t+1}. && \text{(by the definition of } x_{2t-1} \text{ and } x_{2t+1}\text{)}\end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned}\bar{l}_{t,2t}a_{2t} &= a_{2t}b_{2t}a_{2t} && \text{(since } \bar{l}_{t,2t} = a_{2t}b_{2t}\text{)} \\ &= a_{2t}\bar{l}_{t+1,2t}, && \text{(since } \bar{l}_{t+1,2t} = b_{2t}a_{2t}\text{)}\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
\bar{l}_{t,2t-1}a_{2t} &= x'_{2t-1}a_{2t} && (\text{since } \bar{l}_{t,2t-1} = x'_{2t-1}) \\
&= -\sum_{j=2}^{\infty} j\kappa_{2t,j}x_{2t}^{j-1}a_{2t} - a_{2t}x_{2t+1} \\
&&& (\text{by the } i = 2t \text{ case of the second line in (5.H)}) \\
&= -\sum_{j=2}^{\infty} j\kappa_{2t,j}a_{2t}\bar{l}_{t+1,2t}^{j-1} - a_{2t}\bar{l}_{t+1,2t+1} \\
&&& (\text{since } x_{2t} = a_{2t}b_{2t}, \bar{l}_{t+1,2t} = b_{2t}a_{2t} \text{ and } x_{2t+1} = \bar{l}_{t+1,2t+1}) \\
&= -a_{2t}\left(\sum_{j=2}^{\infty} j\kappa_{2t,j}\bar{l}_{t+1,2t}^{j-1} + \bar{l}_{t+1,2t+1}\right) \\
&= a_{2t}\bar{l}_{t+1,2t-1}. && (\text{since } \bar{T}_2 \text{ holds in } \mathbb{C}\langle\mathcal{Q}_n\rangle)
\end{aligned}$$

Since  $\bar{T}_2$  holds in  $\mathbb{C}\langle\mathcal{Q}_n\rangle$ , then similar to the argument in 5.2, each  $\bar{l}_{ti} \in \mathbb{C}\langle\bar{l}_{t,2t-1}, \bar{l}_{t,2t}\rangle$  and  $\bar{l}_{t+1,i} \in \mathbb{C}\langle\bar{l}_{t+1,2t-1}, \bar{l}_{t+1,2t}\rangle$ . Furthermore,

$$\bar{l}_{ti} = H_i(\bar{l}_{t,2t-1}, \bar{l}_{t,2t}), \quad \bar{l}_{t+1,i} = H_i(\bar{l}_{t+1,2t-1}, \bar{l}_{t+1,2t}).$$

for the same  $H_i$ . Together with the above  $\bar{l}_{t,2t}a_{2t} = a_{2t}\bar{l}_{t+1,2t}$  and  $\bar{l}_{t,2t-1}a_{2t} = a_{2t}\bar{l}_{t+1,2t-1}$ , this gives  $\bar{l}_{ti}a_{2t} = a_{2t}\bar{l}_{t+1,i}$  for each  $i$ .

Similarly,  $T$  (5.H) also induces  $\bar{l}_{t+1,i}b_{2t} = b_{2t}\bar{l}_{ti}$  for each  $i$ .

Combining (1), (2) and (3), it follows that  $T$  induces  $\bar{T}_1$ , and so  $\mathbb{C}\langle\mathcal{Q}_n\rangle/\langle\bar{T}_0, \bar{T}_1, T\rangle \cong \mathbb{C}\langle\mathcal{Q}_n\rangle/\langle\bar{T}_0, T\rangle$ . Together with (5.I), this gives

$$\mathbb{C}\langle Q\rangle/\langle R_{2n}\rangle \cong \mathbb{C}\langle Q\rangle/\langle T_0, T_1, T_2, T\rangle \stackrel{(5.K)}{\cong} \mathbb{C}\langle\mathcal{Q}_n\rangle/\langle\bar{T}_0, \bar{T}_1, T\rangle \cong \mathbb{C}\langle\mathcal{Q}_n\rangle/\langle\bar{T}_0, T\rangle,$$

and so  $\mathbb{C}\langle Q\rangle/\langle R_{2n}, e_0\rangle \cong \mathbb{C}\langle\mathcal{Q}_n\rangle/\langle\bar{T}_0, T, e_0\rangle \cong \mathbb{C}\langle\mathcal{Q}_n\rangle/\langle T, e_0\rangle$ .  $\square$

We now consider the quiver  $Q_{n,I}$  for some  $I \subseteq \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$  and prove that any Type A potential on it can be realized by a crepant resolutions of a  $cA_n$  singularity as follows.

**Definition 5.9.** *We say that  $\pi$  is Type  $A_n$  if  $\pi$  is a crepant resolution  $\mathcal{X} \rightarrow \text{Spec } \mathcal{R}$  where  $\mathcal{R}$  is  $cA_n$ . Moreover, we say that  $\pi$  is Type  $A_{n,I}$  if the normal bundle of the exceptional curve  $C_i$  is  $\mathcal{O}(-1) \oplus \mathcal{O}(-1)$  if and only if  $i \in I$ , else the normal bundle is  $\mathcal{O}(-2) \oplus \mathcal{O}$ .*

**Theorem 5.10.** *For any Type A potential  $f$  on  $Q_{n,I}$ , there exists a Type  $A_n$  crepant resolution  $\pi: \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \text{Spec } \mathcal{R}$  such that  $\Lambda_{\text{con}}(\pi) \cong \mathcal{J}\text{ac}(Q_{n,I}, f)$ . If furthermore  $f$  is reduced, then  $\pi$  is Type  $A_{n,I}$ .*

*Proof.* By the Splitting Theorem ([DWZ, 4.6]) and 4.4, there is a reduced Type A potential  $f_{\text{red}}$  on  $Q_{n,I'}$  for some  $I \subseteq I' \subseteq \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$  such that  $\mathcal{J}\text{ac}(Q_{n,I'}, f_{\text{red}}) \cong \mathcal{J}\text{ac}(Q_{n,I}, f)$ . Then, by 4.20, there exists a reduced monomialized Type A potential  $g$  on  $Q_{n,I'}$  such that  $f_{\text{red}} \cong g$ . By 4.23, there exists a monomialized Type A potential  $h$  on  $Q_n$  such that  $\mathcal{J}\text{ac}(Q_{n,I'}, g) \cong \mathcal{J}\text{ac}(Q_n, h)$ . Thus we have

$$\mathcal{J}\text{ac}(Q_{n,I}, f) \cong \mathcal{J}\text{ac}(Q_{n,I'}, f_{\text{red}}) \cong \mathcal{J}\text{ac}(Q_{n,I'}, g) \cong \mathcal{J}\text{ac}(Q_n, h).$$

By 5.7, there exists a  $cA_n$  singularity  $\mathcal{R}$  and a maximal CM  $\mathcal{R}$ -module  $M$  such that  $\underline{\text{End}}_{\mathcal{R}}(M) \cong \mathcal{J}\text{ac}(Q_n, h)$ . Denote  $\pi$  to be the crepant resolution of  $\text{Spec } \mathcal{R}$ , which corresponds to  $M$  in 3.9. Thus  $\Lambda_{\text{con}}(\pi) \cong \mathcal{J}\text{ac}(Q_n, h)$ , and so  $\Lambda_{\text{con}}(\pi) \cong \mathcal{J}\text{ac}(Q_{n,I}, f)$ .

If furthermore  $f$  is reduced, then  $I' = I$ ,  $f_{\text{red}} = f$  and  $g$  is a reduced monomialized Type A potential on  $Q_{n,I}$ . Then write

$$h = \sum_{i=1}^{2n-2} x'_i x_{i+1} + \sum_{i=1}^{2n-1} \sum_{j=2}^{\infty} \kappa_{ij} x_i^j,$$

for some  $\kappa_{ij} \in \mathbb{C}$ . Since  $g$  is reduced on  $Q_{n,I}$ , then by 4.23  $\kappa_{2i-1,2} \neq 0$  when  $i \in I$ , and  $\kappa_{2i-1,2} = 0$  when  $i \notin I$ . Write  $\mathcal{R}$  and  $M$  as follows,

$$\mathcal{R} = \frac{\mathbb{C}[[u, v, x, y]]}{uv - g_0 g_2 \dots g_{2n}}$$

and  $M = \mathcal{R} \oplus (u, g_0) \oplus (u, g_0 g_2) \oplus \dots \oplus (u, \prod_{i=0}^{n-1} g_{2i})$ . We next prove that  $\pi$  is Type  $A_{n,I}$ .

- (1) For any vertex  $i \in I$ , since  $\kappa_{2i-1,2} \neq 0$ , then  $(g_{2i-2}, g_{2i}) = (x, y)$  by 5.2, and so the normal bundle of the exceptional curve  $C_i$  of  $\pi$  is  $\mathcal{O}(-1) \oplus \mathcal{O}(-1)$  by 3.10.
- (2) For any vertex  $i \notin I$ , since  $\kappa_{2i-1,2} = 0$ , then  $(g_{2i-2}, g_{2i}) \subsetneq (x, y)$  by 5.2, and so the normal bundle of the exceptional curve  $C_i$  of  $\pi$  is  $\mathcal{O}(-2) \oplus \mathcal{O}$  by 3.10.  $\square$

The Brown–Wemyss Realisation Conjecture [BW] states that if  $f$  is any potential which satisfies  $\text{Jdim}(f) \leq 1$ , then  $\mathcal{J}\text{ac}(f)$  is isomorphic to the contraction algebra of some crepant resolution  $\mathcal{X} \rightarrow \text{Spec } \mathcal{R}$  with  $\mathcal{R}$  cDV. The above result 5.10 confirms this Realisation Conjecture for Type  $A$  potentials on  $Q_{n,I}$  for any  $n \geq 1$  and  $I \subseteq \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ .

**5.2. Type  $A_{n,I}$  crepant resolutions and potentials.** In this subsection, we prove in 5.13 the converse of 5.10. More precisely, given any Type  $A_{n,I}$  crepant resolution, there is a reduced Type  $A$  potential  $f$  on  $Q_{n,I}$  such that  $\Lambda_{\text{con}}(\pi) \cong \mathcal{J}\text{ac}(f)$ . So, together with 5.10, this gives a correspondence between Type  $A_n$  crepant resolutions and monomialized Type  $A$  potentials on  $Q_n$ , in 5.16 and 5.17.

The following 5.11 and 5.12 imply that both Type  $A_n$  crepant resolutions and Type  $A$  potentials on  $Q_n$  are commutative in some sense, which is the key for proving 5.13.

**Lemma 5.11.** *If  $\pi: \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \text{Spec } \mathcal{R}$  is a Type  $A_n$  crepant resolution, then  $e_i \Lambda_{\text{con}}(\pi) e_i$  is commutative for any  $1 \leq i \leq n$ .*

*Proof.* Since  $\pi$  is a Type  $A_n$  crepant resolution,  $\Lambda(\pi) \cong \text{End}_{\mathcal{R}}(M)$  and  $\Lambda_{\text{con}}(\pi) \cong \underline{\text{End}}_{\mathcal{R}}(M)$  for some maximal CM  $\mathcal{R}$ -module  $M = \mathcal{R} \oplus M_1 \oplus \dots \oplus M_n$  and each  $M_i$  is an indecomposable rank one CM  $\mathcal{R}$ -module. Thus  $\text{End}_{\mathcal{R}}(M_i) \cong \mathcal{R}$  for  $1 \leq i \leq n$  from e.g. [IW2, 5.4].

Denote  $\mathcal{C}$  to be the stable category  $\underline{\text{CM}}\mathcal{R}$  of Cohen–Macaulay  $\mathcal{R}$ -modules. Then we have  $\underline{\text{End}}_{\mathcal{R}}(M) \cong \text{End}_{\mathcal{C}}(M)$  and  $\underline{\text{End}}_{\mathcal{R}}(M_i) \cong \text{End}_{\mathcal{C}}(M_i)$ , thus for any  $1 \leq i \leq n$ ,

$$e_i \Lambda_{\text{con}}(\pi) e_i \cong e_i \underline{\text{End}}_{\mathcal{R}}(M) e_i \cong e_i \text{End}_{\mathcal{C}}(M) e_i \cong \text{End}_{\mathcal{C}}(M_i) \cong \underline{\text{End}}_{\mathcal{R}}(M_i).$$

Since  $\text{End}_{\mathcal{R}}(M_i) \cong \mathcal{R}$  is commutative and  $\underline{\text{End}}_{\mathcal{R}}(M_i)$  is a quotient of  $\text{End}_{\mathcal{R}}(M_i)$ , then  $\underline{\text{End}}_{\mathcal{R}}(M_i)$  is also commutative, and so  $e_i \Lambda_{\text{con}}(\pi) e_i$  is commutative.  $\square$

**Lemma 5.12.** *Suppose that  $f$  is a reduced potential on  $Q_{n,I}$ . If there is some integer  $j$  where  $1 \leq j \leq m-1$  such that  $f$  does not contain  $x_j x_{j+1}$ , then there exists some integer  $i$  (depending on  $j$ ) where  $1 \leq i \leq n$  such that  $e_i \mathcal{J}\text{ac}(f) e_i$  is not commutative.*

*Proof.* (1) When  $x_j$  and  $x_{j+1}$  are not loops, then there exists a vertex  $i \in I$  such that  $Q_{n,I}$  at vertex  $i$  locally looks like the following.

$$Q' := \begin{array}{ccccc} & & \xrightarrow{a_j} & & \xrightarrow{a_{j+1}} \\ & \bullet & & \bullet & \bullet \\ & \xleftarrow{b_j} & & \xleftarrow{b_{j+1}} & \xleftarrow{b_{j+2}} \\ & i-1 & & i & i+1 \end{array}$$


We denote the above quiver with only the three vertices shown, as  $Q'$ . Then consider the noncommutative algebra  $J$ , defined as  $\mathcal{J}\text{ac}(f)$  quotiented by the ideal generated by the following paths:

- $\mathfrak{m}_{Q_{n,I}}^5$  where  $\mathfrak{m}_{Q_{n,I}}$  is the ideal generated by all the arrows of  $Q_{n,I}$  (see 2.1).
- $e_k$  for all  $1 \leq k < i-1$  and  $i+1 < k \leq n$ .
- possible loops  $x_{j-1}$  on vertex  $i-1$  and  $x_{j+2}$  on vertex  $i+1$ .

It is clear that  $J \cong \mathcal{J}ac(Q, g)$  where  $g \sim \lambda_1 x_j^2 + \lambda_2 x'_j x_{j+1} + \lambda_3 x_{j+1}^2$  for some  $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \lambda_3 \in \mathbb{C}$ . Then we suppose that  $e_i \mathcal{J}ac(f) e_i$  is commutative and  $f$  does not contain  $x'_j x_{j+1}$ , and aim for a contradiction.

Since  $e_i \mathcal{J}ac(f) e_i$  is commutative and  $e_i J e_i$  is a factor of  $e_i \mathcal{J}ac(f) e_i$ , then  $e_i J e_i$  is also commutative, and furthermore  $x'_j x_{j+1} = x_{j+1} x'_j$  in  $e_i J e_i$ . Since  $f$  does not contain  $x'_j x_{j+1}$ ,  $g \sim \lambda_1 x_j^2 + \lambda_3 x_{j+1}^2$ . It is clear that the four relations induced by differentiating  $\lambda_1 x_j^2 + \lambda_3 x_{j+1}^2$  can not induce the relation  $(b_j a_j)(a_{j+1} b_{j+1}) = (a_{j+1} b_{j+1})(b_j a_j)$ . Thus  $x'_j x_{j+1} \neq x_{j+1} x'_j$  in  $e_i J e_i$ , a contradiction.

(2) When  $x_j$  is not a loop and  $x_{j+1}$  is a loop, then there exists a vertex  $i \notin I$  such that  $Q_{n,I}$  at vertex  $i$  locally looks like the following.



We again denote the above quiver with the only two vertices shown, as  $Q'$ . Then consider the noncommutative algebra  $J$ , defined as  $\mathcal{J}ac(f)$  quotiented by the ideal generated by the following paths:

- $\mathfrak{m}_{Q_{n,I}}^4$  where  $\mathfrak{m}_{Q_{n,I}}$  is the ideal generated by all the arrows of  $Q_{n,I}$  (see 2.1).
- $e_k$  for all  $1 \leq k < i - 1$  and  $i < k \leq n$ .
- the possible loop  $x_{j-1}$  on vertex  $i - 1$ .
- $x_{j+1}^2$ .

It is clear that  $J \cong \mathcal{J}ac(Q, g)/(x_{j+1}^2)$  where  $g \sim \lambda_1 x_j^2 + \lambda_2 x'_j x_{j+1} + \lambda_3 x'_j x_{j+1}^2 + \lambda_4 x_{j+1}^2 + \lambda_5 x_{j+1}^3 + \lambda_6 x_{j+1}^4$  for some  $\lambda_k \in \mathbb{C}$ . We suppose that  $e_i \mathcal{J}ac(f) e_i$  is commutative and  $f$  does not contain  $x'_j x_{j+1}$ , and aim for a contradiction.

Since  $e_i \mathcal{J}ac(f) e_i$  is commutative and  $e_i J e_i$  is a factor of  $e_i \mathcal{J}ac(f) e_i$ , then  $e_i J e_i$  is also commutative, and furthermore  $x'_j x_{j+1} = x_{j+1} x'_j$  in  $e_i J e_i$ . Since  $f$  does not contain  $x'_j x_{j+1}$ ,  $\lambda_2 = 0$ . Since  $f$  is reduced,  $\lambda_4 = 0$ . Thus

$$J \cong \frac{\mathbb{C}\langle Q \rangle}{(\lambda_3 (b_j a_j) x_{j+1} + \lambda_3 x_{j+1} (b_j a_j), \lambda_1 b_j a_j b_j, \lambda_1 a_j b_j a_j, x_{j+1}^2)}.$$

Again, it is clear that the above relations can not induce  $(b_j a_j) x_{j+1} = x_{j+1} (b_j a_j)$ . Thus  $x'_j x_{j+1} \neq x_{j+1} x'_j$  in  $e_i J e_i$ , a contradiction.

(3) When  $x_j$  is a loop and  $x_{j+1}$  is not a loop, the proof is similar to (2). □

**Proposition 5.13.** *Given any Type  $A_{n,I}$  crepant resolution  $\pi: \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \text{Spec } \mathcal{R}$ , there exists a reduced Type A potential  $f$  on  $Q_{n,I}$  such that  $\Lambda_{\text{con}}(\pi) \cong \mathcal{J}ac(f)$ .*

*Proof.* By 3.10, the NCCR  $\Lambda(\pi)$  can be presented as the quiver in 3.10 with some relations. Since  $\mathcal{R}$  is complete local,  $\Lambda(\pi)$  is also complete local by e.g. [BW, 8.4]. Moreover,  $\Lambda(\pi)$  is 3-CY from [IW1, 2.8]. Since a complete local 3-CY algebra is a Jacobi algebra from [V2], the relations of  $\Lambda(\pi)$  are generated by some reduced potential  $g$ . Since  $\Lambda_{\text{con}}(\pi) \cong \Lambda(\pi)/\langle e_0 \rangle$ ,  $\Lambda_{\text{con}}(\pi)$  is isomorphic to  $\mathcal{J}ac(Q_{n,I}, f)$  for some reduced potential  $f$ .

Then we prove that  $f$  is Type A, namely  $f$  contains  $x'_i x_{i+1}$  for each  $1 \leq i \leq m - 1$ . Since  $\Lambda_{\text{con}}(\pi) \cong \mathcal{J}ac(f)$  and  $e_i \Lambda_{\text{con}}(\pi) e_i$  is commutative for each  $1 \leq i \leq n$  by 5.11,  $e_i \mathcal{J}ac(f) e_i$  is also commutative for each  $i$ . So  $f$  must contain  $x'_i x_{i+1}$  for each  $i$ , by 5.12. □

We are now in a position to show that our definition of Type A potential 4.4 is intrinsic.

**Corollary 5.14.** *Let  $f$  be a reduced potential on  $Q_{n,I}$ . The following are equivalent.*

- (1)  $f$  is Type A.
- (2) There exists a Type  $A_{n,I}$  crepant resolution  $\pi$  such that  $\mathcal{J}ac(f) \cong \Lambda_{\text{con}}(\pi)$ .
- (3)  $e_i \mathcal{J}ac(f) e_i$  is commutative for  $1 \leq i \leq n$ .

*Proof.* (1)  $\Rightarrow$  (2): Since  $f$  is a reduced Type A potential on  $Q_{n,I}$ , this is immediate by 5.10.

(2)  $\Rightarrow$  (3): Since  $\pi$  is a Type  $A_n$  crepant resolution, then  $e_i\Lambda_{\text{con}}(\pi)e_i$  is commutative by 5.11, and so  $e_i\mathcal{J}\text{ac}(f)e_i$  is commutative for any  $1 \leq i \leq n$ .

(3)  $\Rightarrow$  (1): Since  $f$  is a reduced potential on  $Q_{n,I}$  and  $e_i\mathcal{J}\text{ac}(f)e_i$  is commutative for any  $1 \leq i \leq n$ , then  $f$  contains  $x_i'x_{i+1}$  for any  $1 \leq i \leq m-1$  by 5.12, and so  $f$  is Type A.  $\square$

**Definition 5.15.** We say two crepant resolutions  $\pi_i: \mathcal{X}_i \rightarrow \text{Spec } \mathcal{R}_i$  for  $i = 1, 2$  have the same noncommutative deformation type (NC deformation type) if  $\Lambda_{\text{con}}(\pi_1) \cong \Lambda_{\text{con}}(\pi_2)$ .

The name NC deformation type comes from the fact that the contraction algebra represents the noncommutative deformation functor of the exceptional curves [DW1].

Together with 4.20, the above 5.13 induces a map  $\varphi$  from Type  $A_{n,I}$  crepant resolutions to the isomorphism classes of reduced monomialized Type A potentials on  $Q_{n,I}$ . More precisely, for any Type  $A_{n,I}$  crepant resolution  $\pi$ , we define  $\varphi(\pi)$  to be the reduced monomialized Type A potential  $f$  on  $Q_{n,I}$  that satisfies  $\Lambda_{\text{con}}(\pi) \cong \mathcal{J}\text{ac}(f)$  by 5.13 and 4.20. Moreover,  $\varphi$  is well-defined since if there are two such  $f_1$  and  $f_2$ , then  $\mathcal{J}\text{ac}(f_1) \cong \mathcal{J}\text{ac}(f_2)$ .

**Theorem 5.16.** The above  $\varphi$  induces a one-to-one correspondence as follows.

$$\begin{array}{c} \text{Type } A_{n,I} \text{ crepant resolutions up to NC deformation type} \\ \updownarrow \\ \text{isomorphism classes of reduced monomialized Type A potentials on } Q_{n,I} \end{array}$$

*Proof.* Firstly, we prove the map from top to bottom is surjective, namely that for any reduced monomialized Type  $A_{n,I}$  potential  $f$ , there is a Type  $A_{n,I}$  crepant resolution  $\pi: \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \text{Spec } \mathcal{R}$  such that  $\mathcal{J}\text{ac}(f) \cong \Lambda_{\text{con}}(\pi)$ . This is immediate from 5.10.

Then we prove that the map from top to bottom is injective. Let  $\pi: \mathcal{X}_k \rightarrow \text{Spec } \mathcal{R}_k$  be two Type  $A_{n,I}$  crepant resolutions for  $k = 1, 2$ . If  $\Lambda_{\text{con}}(\pi_1) \cong \mathcal{J}\text{ac}(f) \cong \Lambda_{\text{con}}(\pi_2)$  for some reduced monomialized Type A potential  $f$  on  $Q_{n,I}$ , then  $\pi_1$  and  $\pi_2$  have the same NC deformation type.  $\square$

The following asserts that Type A potentials on  $Q_n$  describe the contraction algebra of all Type  $A_n$  crepant resolutions.

**Corollary 5.17.** The set of isomorphism classes of contraction algebras associated to Type  $A_n$  crepant resolutions is equal to the set of isomorphism classes of Jacobi algebras of monomialized Type A potentials on  $Q_n$ .

*Proof.* We first define a map  $\phi$  from the isomorphic classes of contraction algebra associated with Type  $A_n$  crepant resolutions to the isomorphic classes of Jacobi algebra of monomialized Type A potentials on  $Q_n$ .

Given any contraction algebra  $\Lambda_{\text{con}}(\pi)$  where  $\pi$  is a Type  $A_n$  crepant resolution, then  $\pi$  belongs to Type  $A_{n,I}$  crepant resolution for some  $I$ . Thus  $\Lambda_{\text{con}}(\pi) \cong \mathcal{J}\text{ac}(Q_{n,I}, f')$  for some reduced monomialized Type A potential  $f'$  on  $Q_{n,I}$  by 5.16. Moreover,  $f'$  is isomorphic to some monomialized Type A potential  $f$  on  $Q_n$  by 4.23. We define  $\phi(\Lambda_{\text{con}}(\pi)) := \mathcal{J}\text{ac}(f)$ .

Secondly, we prove that  $\phi$  is well-defined. If there are two Type  $A_n$  crepant resolutions  $\pi_1$  and  $\pi_2$  such that  $\Lambda_{\text{con}}(\pi_1) \cong \Lambda_{\text{con}}(\pi_2)$ , then  $\phi(\Lambda_{\text{con}}(\pi_1)) = \mathcal{J}\text{ac}(f_1)$  and  $\phi(\Lambda_{\text{con}}(\pi_2)) = \mathcal{J}\text{ac}(f_2)$ , so  $\mathcal{J}\text{ac}(f_1) \cong \mathcal{J}\text{ac}(f_2)$  from the above definition of  $\phi$ .

Thirdly, we prove that  $\phi$  is injective. If there are two Type  $A_n$  crepant resolutions  $\pi_1$  and  $\pi_2$  such that  $\phi(\Lambda_{\text{con}}(\pi_1)) \cong \mathcal{J}\text{ac}(f) \cong \phi(\Lambda_{\text{con}}(\pi_2))$  for some monomialized Type A potential  $f$  on  $Q_n$ , then  $\Lambda_{\text{con}}(\pi_1) \cong \Lambda_{\text{con}}(\pi_2)$  from the above definition of  $\phi$ .

Finally, the surjective of  $\phi$  is immediate from 5.10.  $\square$

**Notation 5.18.** Let  $f$  and  $g$  be potentials on a quiver  $Q$ . We say that  $f$  is *derived equivalent to  $g$*  (written  $f \simeq g$ ) if the derived categories  $D^b(\mathcal{J}ac(f))$  and  $D^b(\mathcal{J}ac(g))$  are triangle equivalent.

Given any isolated  $cA_n$  singularity  $\mathcal{R}$  which admits a crepant resolution, let  $\pi: \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \text{Spec } \mathcal{R}$  be one of the crepant resolutions. Then, by 5.17, there exists some monomialized Type A potential  $f$  on  $Q_n$  such that  $\Lambda_{\text{con}}(\pi) \cong \mathcal{J}ac(f)$ , so it induces a map  $\Phi$  from isolated  $cA_n$  singularities, which admit a crepant resolution to monomialized Type A potentials on  $Q_n$ .

**Theorem 5.19.** *The above  $\Phi$  induces a one-to-one correspondence as follows.*

$$\begin{array}{c} \text{isomorphism classes of isolated } cA_n \text{ singularities} \\ \text{which admit a crepant resolution} \\ \updownarrow \\ \text{derived equivalence classes of monomialized Type A potentials on } Q_n \\ \text{with finite-dimensional Jacobi algebra} \end{array}$$

*Proof.* Firstly, we prove that the map from top to bottom is well-defined. Given any isolated  $cA_n$   $\mathcal{R}$  which admits a crepant resolution, let  $\pi: \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \text{Spec } \mathcal{R}$  be one of the crepant resolutions. Then there exists some monomialized Type A potential  $f$  on  $Q_n$  such that  $\Lambda_{\text{con}}(\pi) \cong \mathcal{J}ac(f)$  by 5.17. Moreover, since  $\mathcal{R}$  is isolated,  $\mathcal{J}ac(f)$  is finite-dimensional by 3.5. Let  $\pi': \mathcal{X}' \rightarrow \text{Spec } \mathcal{R}$  be another crepant resolution such that  $\Lambda_{\text{con}}(\pi') \cong \mathcal{J}ac(f')$  for some monomialized Type A potential  $f'$  on  $Q_n$ . Since  $\pi'$  is a flop of  $\pi$  and  $\mathcal{R}$  is isolated,  $f$  is derived equivalent to  $f'$  by 3.7.

Secondly, we prove that the map from top to bottom is surjective. Given any monomialized Type A potential  $f$  on  $Q_n$  with finite-dimensional Jacobi algebra, there exists a Type  $A_n$  crepant resolution  $\pi: \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \text{Spec } \mathcal{R}$  such that  $\mathcal{J}ac(f) \cong \Lambda_{\text{con}}(\pi)$  by 5.7. Moreover, since  $\mathcal{J}ac(f)$  is finite-dimensional,  $\mathcal{R}$  is isolated by 3.5.

Finally, we prove the map from top to bottom is injective. This uses the proof of the Donovan-Wemyss conjecture in 3.6. Let  $\pi_i: \mathcal{X}_i \rightarrow \text{Spec } \mathcal{R}_i$  be two crepant resolutions of isolated  $cA_n$   $\mathcal{R}_i$  with  $\Lambda_{\text{con}}(\pi_i) \cong \mathcal{J}ac(f_i)$  for  $i = 1, 2$ . If  $f_1$  is derived equivalent to  $f_2$ , together with  $\mathcal{R}_1$  and  $\mathcal{R}_2$  isolated, then  $\mathcal{R}_1 \cong \mathcal{R}_2$  by 3.6.  $\square$

**Remark 5.20.** Since both 3.6 and 3.7 need the assumption of isolated cDVs, we only prove the correspondence in 5.19 for isolated  $cA_n$  singularities. Moreover, by testing the contraction algebras of the crepant resolutions of the non-isolated  $cA_2$  singularity  $\mathbb{C}[[u, v, x, y]]/(uv - x^2y)$ , it seems that 5.19 can not be generalised directly to the non-isolated cases.

## 6. SPECIAL CASES: $A_3$

This section considers the special case  $Q_{3, \{1,2,3\}}$ , namely

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \bullet & \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{a_1} \\ \xleftarrow{b_1} \end{array} & \bullet & \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{a_2} \\ \xleftarrow{b_2} \end{array} & \bullet \\ 1 & & 2 & & 3 \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{l} x_1 = a_1 b_1, \quad x'_1 = b_1 a_1 \\ x_2 = a_2 b_2, \quad x'_2 = b_2 a_2 \end{array}$$

and describes the full isomorphism classes of Type A potentials, and the derived equivalence classes of those with finite-dimensional Jacobi algebras. This generalises the results in [DWZ, E1, H].

**Notation 6.1.** In this section, for simplicity, we will adopt the following notation. Recall the notation  $f_d, f_{\geq d}$  in 4.11.

- (1) Write  $Q$  for  $Q_{3, \{1,2,3\}}$ ,  $x := x'_1$  and  $y := x_2$ , whereas  $x' := x_1$  and  $y' := x'_2$ .
- (2) Suppose that  $f$  is a Type A potential on  $Q$ . Then define the *base part* of  $f$  as  $f_b := \kappa_1 x^p + xy + \kappa_2 y^q$  where  $\kappa_1 x^p, \kappa_2 y^q$  is the lowest degree monomial of  $x, y$  in

$f$  respectively. If there is no monomial of  $x$  (or  $y$ ) in  $f$ , we assume  $\kappa_1 = 0$  (or  $\kappa_2 = 0$ ). Then define the *redundant part* of  $f$  as  $f_r := f - f_b$ .

- (3) Given any Type A potential  $f$  on  $Q$  with  $f_b = \kappa_1 x^p + xy + \kappa_2 y^q$ , we give a new definition of degree as follows, which differs from 4.3. For any  $t \geq 0$ , define

$$\deg(x^{p+t}) := t + 2, \quad \deg(y^{q+t}) := t + 2.$$

The degree of binomials in  $x$  and  $y$  is the same as 4.3. We also write  $f_d$  for the degree  $d$  piece of  $f$  with respect to this new definition (overwriting 4.11). Similar for  $f_{ij,d}$ ,  $\mathcal{O}_d$  and  $\mathcal{O}_{ij,d}$ . This new definition of degree is natural since now  $f_b = f_2$  and  $f_r = f_{\geq 3}$ , which will unify the proof below.

- (4) Given any Type A potential  $f$  on  $Q$  with  $f_b = \kappa_1 x^p + xy + \kappa_2 y^q$ , consider the matrices

$$A_{11}(f) = [p\kappa_1], \quad A_{22}(f) = [q\kappa_2], \quad A_{12}(f) := \begin{bmatrix} \varepsilon_{12}(f) & 1 \\ 1 & \varepsilon_{22}(f) \end{bmatrix},$$

$$\text{where } \varepsilon_{12}(f) = \begin{cases} 2\kappa_1 & \text{if } p = 2 \\ 0 & \text{if } p > 2 \end{cases} \quad \text{and } \varepsilon_{22}(f) = \begin{cases} 2\kappa_2 & \text{if } q = 2 \\ 0 & \text{if } q > 2 \end{cases}.$$

**6.1. Normalization.** The purpose of this subsection is to prove 6.18, which gives the isomorphism classes of Type A potentials on  $Q$ .

**Notation 6.2.** In this section, we assume  $f = f_b + f_r$  is a Type A potential on  $Q$  with  $f_b = \kappa_1 x^p + xy + \kappa_2 y^q$ , and will freely use the notations  $f_d$ ,  $f_{\geq d}$ ,  $f_{ij,d}$ ,  $\mathcal{O}_d$  and  $\mathcal{O}_{ij,d}$  in 6.1(3).

The following results show that we can commute  $x$  and  $y$  in  $f$ .

**Lemma 6.3.** *Suppose that  $f_r = g + \lambda yxc$  where  $0 \neq \lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ ,  $d := \deg(yxc)$  and  $c$  is a cycle with  $\deg(c) \geq 1$ . Then there exists a path degree  $d - 1$  right-equivalence,*

$$\vartheta: f \xrightarrow{d-1} f_b + g + \lambda yxc + \mathcal{O}_{d+1}.$$

*Proof.* Applying the depth  $d - 1$  unitriangular automorphism  $\vartheta: a_1 \mapsto a_1 - \lambda a_1 c$ ,  $b_1 \mapsto b_1 + \lambda c b_1$  gives,

$$\begin{aligned} \vartheta: f &\mapsto \kappa_1((b_1 + \lambda c b_1)(a_1 - \lambda a_1 c))^p + (b_1 + \lambda c b_1)(a_1 - \lambda a_1 c)y + \kappa_2 y^q + \lambda yxc + g + \mathcal{O}_{d+1} \\ &= f_b - \lambda xcy + \lambda cxy + \lambda yxc + g + \mathcal{O}_{d+1} \\ &\stackrel{d}{\sim} f_b + g + \lambda yxc + \mathcal{O}_{d+1}, \end{aligned}$$

since similar to the proof of 4.15, the degree of the terms generated by  $f_r = g + \lambda yxc$  after applying  $\vartheta$  is greater than or equal to  $d + 1$ .  $\square$

**Corollary 6.4.** *Suppose that  $f_r = g + \lambda c$  where  $0 \neq \lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ ,  $d := \deg(c)$  and  $c$  is a cycle with  $\mathbf{T}(c)_1 = i$  and  $\mathbf{T}(c)_2 = j$ . Then there exists a path degree  $d - 1$  right-equivalence,*

$$\theta: f \xrightarrow{d-1} f_b + g + \lambda x^i y^j + \mathcal{O}_{d+1}.$$

*Proof.* If  $j = 0$ , then  $c \sim x^i$ , so there is nothing to prove. The case of  $i = 0$  is similar. Thus we assume  $i, j > 0$ . Firstly, note that  $c \sim x^{i_1} y^{j_1} x^{i_2} y^{j_2} \dots x^{i_k} y^{j_k}$  where  $\sum_{t=1}^k i_t = i$  and  $\sum_{t=1}^k j_t = j$ . Since 6.3 can commute  $yx$  contained in  $c$  to  $xy$ , we can apply the  $\vartheta$  in 6.3 repeatedly until we commute all  $yx$  to  $xy$ , and so

$$\theta: f \xrightarrow{d-1} f_b + g + \lambda x^i y^j + \mathcal{O}_{d+1}. \quad \square$$

**Remark 6.5.** With notation in 6.4, we can transform  $\lambda c$  to  $\lambda x^i y^j$  up to higher degree  $\mathcal{O}_{d+1}$  where  $d = \deg(c)$ . Moreover, since we will normalise the potential degree by degree in the following part of this section, we can assume  $c = x^i y^j$ .

Then, we start normalizing the potential  $f$ , and the basic idea is to use  $f_b$  to normalize  $f_r$  degree by degree. For any integer  $s \geq 1$ , we define the following depth  $s + 1$  unitriangular automorphisms.

$$\varphi_{11,s}: a_1 \mapsto a_1 + \lambda a_1 x^s, \quad (6.A)$$

$$\varphi_{22,s}: a_2 \mapsto a_2 + \lambda y^s a_2, \quad (6.B)$$

$$\varphi_{12,s}: a_1 \mapsto a_1 + \lambda_1 a_1 x^{s-1} y, \quad a_2 \mapsto a_2 + \lambda_2 x^s a_2, \quad (6.C)$$

where  $\lambda, \lambda_1, \lambda_2 \in \mathbb{C}$ .

**Lemma 6.6.** *The  $\varphi_{11,s}$  (6.A) induces a degree  $s + 1$  right-equivalence,*

$$\varphi_{11,s}: f \xrightarrow{s+1} f + \lambda p \kappa_1 x^{p+s} + \mathcal{O}_{12,s+2} + \mathcal{O}_{s+3}.$$

*Proof.* Applying  $\varphi_{11,s}: a_1 \mapsto a_1 + \lambda a_1 x^s$  to  $f$  gives

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi_{11,s}: f &\mapsto \kappa_1 (b_1(a_1 + \lambda a_1 x^s))^p + b_1(a_1 + \lambda a_1 x^s)y + \kappa_2 y^q + f_r + \mathcal{O}_{s+3} \\ &\xrightarrow{s+2} f + \lambda p \kappa_1 x^{p+s} + \lambda x^{s+1} y + \mathcal{O}_{s+3} \\ &= f + \lambda p \kappa_1 x^{p+s} + \mathcal{O}_{12,s+2} + \mathcal{O}_{s+3}. \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

**Lemma 6.7.** *The  $\varphi_{22,s}$  (6.B) induces a degree  $s + 1$  right-equivalence,*

$$\varphi_{22,s}: f \xrightarrow{s+1} f + \lambda q \kappa_2 y^{q+s} + \mathcal{O}_{12,s+2} + \mathcal{O}_{s+3}.$$

*Proof.* The proof is similar to 6.6. □

**Lemma 6.8.** *The  $\varphi_{12,s}$  (6.C) induces a degree  $s + 1$  right-equivalence,*

$$\varphi_{12,s}: f \xrightarrow{s+1} f + \begin{bmatrix} x^{s+1} y & x^s y^2 \end{bmatrix} A_{12}(f) \begin{bmatrix} \lambda_1 \\ \lambda_2 \end{bmatrix} + \mathcal{O}_{s+3}.$$

*Proof.* Applying  $\varphi_{12,s}$  to  $f$  gives

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi_{12,s}: f &\mapsto \kappa_1 (x + \lambda_1 x^s y)^p + (x + \lambda_1 x^s y)(y + \lambda_2 x^s y) + \kappa_2 (y + \lambda_2 x^s y)^q + f_r + \mathcal{O}_{s+3} \\ &\xrightarrow{s+2} f + \lambda_1 p \kappa_1 x^{p+s-1} y + \lambda_2 x^{s+1} y + \lambda_1 x^s y^2 + \lambda_2 q \kappa_2 x^s y^q + \mathcal{O}_{s+3} \\ &= f + \begin{bmatrix} x^{s+1} y & x^s y^2 \end{bmatrix} A_{12}(f) \begin{bmatrix} \lambda_1 \\ \lambda_2 \end{bmatrix} + \mathcal{O}_{s+3}. \end{aligned}$$

Recall the definition of  $A_{12}(f)$  in 6.1(4). In the above last equation, we move  $\lambda_1 p \kappa_1 x^{p+s-1} y$  into  $\mathcal{O}_{s+3}$  when  $p > 2$ , and move it into  $\begin{bmatrix} x^{s+1} y & x^s y^2 \end{bmatrix} A_{12}(f) \begin{bmatrix} \lambda_1 \\ \lambda_2 \end{bmatrix}$  when  $p = 2$ . Similar for  $\lambda_2 q \kappa_2 x^s y^q$ . □

**Proposition 6.9.** *With notation in 6.2, for any  $d \geq 3$ , there exists a path degree  $d - 1$  right-equivalence*

$$\Phi_d: f \xrightarrow{d-1} f_{<d} + c_d + \mathcal{O}_{d+1},$$

where the  $c_d$  is defined to be

$$c_d = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } \det(A_{12}(f)) \neq 0 \\ \mu x^{p+d-2} & \text{if } \det(A_{12}(f)) = 0 \end{cases}$$

for some  $\mu \in \mathbb{C}$ .

*Proof.* We first rewrite  $f_r = f_d + g$  and  $f_d = f_{11,d} + f_{12,d} + f_{22,d}$  where  $f_{11,d} = \alpha_1 x^{p+d-2}$  and  $f_{22,d} = \alpha_2 y^{q+d-2}$  for some  $\alpha_1, \alpha_2 \in \mathbb{C}$ .



Recall that  $f_b = \kappa_1 x^p + xy + \kappa_2 y^q$  in 6.2. If  $\kappa_2 = 0$ , then there is no monomial of  $y$  in  $f$ , and so  $\alpha_2 = 0$ . Otherwise,  $\kappa_2 \neq 0$ , so set  $\lambda = -\alpha_2/(q\kappa_2)$  and applying 6.7 to obtain,

$$\begin{aligned}
\varphi_{22,d-2}: f &\stackrel{d-1}{\rightsquigarrow} f + \lambda q \kappa_2 y^{q+d-2} + \mathcal{O}_{12,d} + \mathcal{O}_{d+1} \\
&= f_b + g + f_d + \lambda q \kappa_2 y^{q+d-2} + \mathcal{O}_{12,d} + \mathcal{O}_{d+1} \quad (f = f_b + f_r, f_r = f_d + g) \\
&= f_b + g + f_{11,d} + f_{12,d} + (\alpha_2 + \lambda q \kappa_2) y^{q+d-2} + \mathcal{O}_{12,d} + \mathcal{O}_{d+1} \\
&\quad (f_d = f_{11,d} + f_{12,d} + f_{22,d}, f_{22,d} = \alpha_2 y^{q+d-2}) \\
&= f_b + g + f_{11,d} + f_{12,d} + \mathcal{O}_{12,d} + \mathcal{O}_{d+1} \quad (\text{since } \lambda = -\alpha_2/(q\kappa_2)) \\
&= f_b + g + f_{11,d} + \mathcal{O}_{12,d} + \mathcal{O}_{d+1}. \tag{6.D}
\end{aligned}$$

Set  $f_1 := f_b + g + f_{11,d} + \mathcal{O}_{12,d} + \mathcal{O}_{d+1}$ . The proof splits into cases.

(1)  $\det(A_{12}(f)) = 0$ .

By 4.19, we can transform the binomial terms  $\mathcal{O}_{12,d}$  in  $f_1$  to the monomials of  $x$ . More precisely, there exists a path degree  $d-1$  right-equivalence,

$$\begin{aligned}
\rho_d: f_1 &\stackrel{d-1}{\rightsquigarrow} f_b + g + \mu x^{p+d-2} + \mathcal{O}_{d+1}, \quad (f_{11,d} = \alpha_1 x^{p+d-2}) \\
&= f_{<d} + \mu x^{p+d-2} + \mathcal{O}_{d+1}. \quad (f_b + g = f_{<d} + f_{>d})
\end{aligned}$$

for some  $\mu \in \mathbb{C}$ . Set  $\phi_d := \rho_d \circ \varphi_{22,d-2}$ , we are done.

(2)  $\det(A_{12}(f)) \neq 0$ .

Similar to (6.D), applying 6.6 to  $f_1$  gives

$$\varphi_{11,d-2}: f_1 \stackrel{d-1}{\rightsquigarrow} f_2 := f_b + g + \mathcal{O}_{12,d} + \mathcal{O}_{d+1}.$$

Then we continue to normalize the  $\mathcal{O}_{12,d}$  in  $f_2$ . It is clear that  $f_2$  satisfies the assumption of 4.15. Thus we can apply 4.15 repeatedly until,

$$\vartheta: f_2 \stackrel{d-1}{\rightsquigarrow} f_3 := f_b + g + \beta x^{d-1} y + \mathcal{O}_{d+1}$$

for some  $\beta \in \mathbb{C}$ . Then by 6.8,

$$\begin{aligned}
\varphi_{12,d-2}: f_3 &\stackrel{d-1}{\rightsquigarrow} f_3 + [x^{d-1}y \quad x^{d-2}y^2] A_{12}^2(f) \begin{bmatrix} \lambda_1 \\ \lambda_2 \end{bmatrix} + \mathcal{O}_{d+1} \\
&= f_b + g + \beta x^{d-1} y + [x^{d-1}y \quad x^{d-2}y^2] A_{12}^2(f) \begin{bmatrix} \lambda_1 \\ \lambda_2 \end{bmatrix} + \mathcal{O}_{d+1}.
\end{aligned}$$

Since  $\det A_{12}^2(f) \neq 0$ , we can solve  $(\lambda_1, \lambda_2)$  to make

$$\beta x^{d-1} y + [x^{d-1}y \quad x^{d-2}y^2] A_{12}^2(f) \begin{bmatrix} \lambda_1 \\ \lambda_2 \end{bmatrix} = 0.$$

Thus we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\varphi_{12,d-2}: f_3 &\stackrel{d-1}{\rightsquigarrow} f_b + g + \mathcal{O}_{d+1} \\
&= f_{<d} + \mathcal{O}_{d+1}. \quad (f_b + g = f_{<d} + f_{>d})
\end{aligned}$$

Set  $\phi_d := \varphi_{12,d-2} \circ \vartheta \circ \varphi_{11,d-2} \circ \varphi_{22,d-2}$ , we are done.  $\square$

**Proposition 6.10.** *With notation in 6.2, there exists a right-equivalence,*

$$\Phi: f \rightsquigarrow f_b + c$$

where the  $c$  is defined to be

$$c = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } \det A_{12}(f) \neq 0 \\ \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \mu_i x^{p+i} & \text{if } \det A_{12}(f) = 0 \end{cases}$$

for some  $\mu_i \in \mathbb{C}$ .

*Proof.* We first apply the  $\phi_3$  in 6.9,

$$\phi_3: f \xrightarrow{2} f_1 := f_{<3} + c_3 + \mathcal{O}_4,$$

where the  $c_3$  is the same as in 6.9. Then we continue to apply the  $\phi_4$  in 6.9 to  $f_1$ ,

$$\phi_4: f_1 \xrightarrow{3} f_2 := (f_1)_{<4} + c_4 + \mathcal{O}_5 = f_{<3} + \sum_{d=3}^4 c_d + \mathcal{O}_5.$$

where the  $c_4$  is the same as in 6.9. Thus repeating this process  $s - 2$  times gives

$$\phi_s \circ \cdots \circ \phi_4 \circ \phi_3: f \rightsquigarrow f_s := f_{<3} + \sum_{d=3}^s c_d + \mathcal{O}_{s+1}.$$

Since  $\phi_d$  is a path degree  $d - 1$  right-equivalence for each  $d \geq 3$  by 6.9, by 2.9  $\Phi := \lim_{s \rightarrow \infty} \phi_s \circ \cdots \circ \phi_4 \circ \phi_3$  exists, and further

$$\Phi: f \rightsquigarrow f_{<3} + \sum_{d=3}^{\infty} c_d = f_b + \sum_{d=3}^{\infty} c_d,$$

where each  $c_d$  is the same as in 6.9. Thus set  $c := \sum_{d=3}^{\infty} c_d$ , we are done.  $\square$

The above 6.10 shows that we can eliminate all terms in  $f_r$  when  $\det A_{12}(f) \neq 0$ . Thus we next consider the cases of  $f$  with  $\det A_{12}(f) = 0$ . The following lemma holds immediately from the definition of  $A_{12}(f)$  in 6.1(4).

**Lemma 6.11.**  *$\det A_{12}(f) = 0$  if and only if  $f_b = \kappa_1 x^2 + xy + \kappa_2 y^2$  with  $4\kappa_1 \kappa_2 = 1$ .*

**Lemma 6.12.** *With notation in 6.2, suppose that  $f$  satisfies  $\det A_{12}(f) = 0$ , and  $f_r = \mu x^s + \mathcal{O}_t$  where  $t > s \geq 3$  and  $0 \neq \mu \in \mathbb{C}$ . Then there exists a path degree  $t - s + 1$  right-equivalence  $\psi_t$  such that*

$$\psi_t: f \xrightarrow{t-s+1} f_b + \mu x^s + \mathcal{O}_{t+1}.$$

*Proof.* Since  $\det A_{12}^2(f) = 0$ , by 6.11  $f_b = \kappa_1 x^2 + xy + \kappa_2 y^2$  with  $4\kappa_1 \kappa_2 = 1$ . If the degree  $t$  terms in  $\mathcal{O}_t$  are zero, there is nothing to prove. Otherwise, we first apply 4.15 repeatedly and obtain,

$$\vartheta: f \xrightarrow{t-1} f_1 := f_b + \mu x^s + \beta x^{t-1} y + \mathcal{O}_{t+1},$$

for some  $\beta \in \mathbb{C}$ . If  $\beta = 0$ , we are done. Otherwise, we next apply  $\varphi_{12,t-s}$  in 6.8 which gives

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi_{12,t-s}: f_1 \mapsto & \kappa_1 (x + \lambda_1 x^{t-s} y)^2 + (x + \lambda_1 x^{t-s} y)(y + \lambda_2 x^{t-s} y) + \kappa_2 (y + \lambda_2 x^{t-s} y)^2 \\ & + \mu (x + \lambda_1 x^{t-s} y)^s + \beta x^{t-1} y + \mathcal{O}_{t+1} \\ & \xrightarrow{t-s+2} f_b + \mu x^s + (2\kappa_1 \lambda_1 + \lambda_2) x^{t-s+1} y + (\lambda_1 + 2\kappa_2 \lambda_2) x^{t-s} y^2 + (s\mu \lambda_1 + \beta) x^{t-1} y \\ & + (\kappa_1 \lambda_1^2 + \kappa_2 \lambda_2^2 + \lambda_1 \lambda_2) x^{2(t-s)} y^2 + \mathcal{O}_{t+1}. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $4\kappa_1 \kappa_2 = 1$ , then  $\frac{1}{2\kappa_1} = 2\kappa_2$ , and so any  $\lambda_1$  and  $\lambda_2$  with  $\frac{\lambda_1}{\lambda_2} = -\frac{1}{2\kappa_1} = -2\kappa_2$  satisfies the following system of equations

$$\begin{cases} 2\kappa_1 \lambda_1 + \lambda_2 = 0 \\ \lambda_1 + 2\kappa_2 \lambda_2 = 0 \\ \kappa_1 \lambda_1^2 + \kappa_2 \lambda_2^2 + \lambda_1 \lambda_2 = 0. \end{cases}$$

We next choose  $\lambda_1$  to satisfy  $s\mu \lambda_1 + \mu = 0$ , and set  $\lambda_2 = -2\kappa_1 \lambda_1$ . This makes the coefficients of  $x^{t-s+1} y$ ,  $x^{t-s} y^2$ ,  $x^{t-1} y$  and  $x^{2(t-s)} y^2$  equal to zero in the above potential. Set  $\psi_t := \varphi_{12,t-s} \circ \vartheta$ , we are done.  $\square$

The following shows that when  $\det A_{12}^2(f) = 0$ , the leading term of  $f_r$  can eliminate all the other terms.

**Proposition 6.13.** *With notation in 6.2, suppose that  $f$  satisfies  $\det A_{12}(f) = 0$ . Then there exists a right-equivalence  $\Psi$  such that*

$$\Psi: f \rightsquigarrow f_b \text{ or } f_b + \mu x^s,$$

where  $0 \neq \mu \in \mathbb{C}$  and  $s \geq 3$ .

*Proof.* Since  $\det A_{12}(f) = 0$ , then by 6.10

$$\Phi: f \rightsquigarrow f_1 := f_b + \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \mu_i x^{i+2}.$$

If all  $\mu_i = 0$ , then  $f \rightsquigarrow f_b$ . Otherwise, set  $s$  to be the smallest integer satisfying  $\mu_s \neq 0$ . Then by 6.12 applied to  $f_1$ , there exists

$$\psi_{s+1}: f_1 \xrightarrow{2} f_2 := f_b + \mu x^s + \mathcal{O}_{s+2}.$$

Thus, repeating this process  $k$  times gives

$$\psi_{s+k} \circ \cdots \circ \psi_{s+2} \circ \psi_{s+1}: f_1 \rightsquigarrow f_{k+1} := f_b + \mu x^s + \mathcal{O}_{s+k+1}.$$

Since  $\psi_t$  is a degree  $t - s + 1$  right-equivalence for each  $t > s$  by 6.12, by 2.9  $\Psi' := \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \psi_{s+k} \circ \cdots \circ \psi_{s+2} \circ \psi_{s+1}$  exists, and further

$$\Psi': f_1 \rightsquigarrow f_b + \mu x^s.$$

Set  $\Psi := \Psi' \circ \Phi$ , we are done.  $\square$

Combining 6.10, 6.11 and 6.13 gives the following result.

**Proposition 6.14.** *Any Type A potential on  $Q$  must be right-equivalent to one of the following potentials:*

- (1)  $\kappa_1 x^2 + xy + \kappa_2 y^2$  where  $\kappa_1, \kappa_2 \neq 0$  and  $4\kappa_1 \kappa_2 \neq 1$ .
- (2)  $\kappa_1 x^2 + xy + \kappa_2 y^2 + \mu x^s$  where  $4\kappa_1 \kappa_2 = 1$ ,  $0 \neq \mu \in \mathbb{C}$  and  $s \geq 3$ .
- (3)  $\kappa_1 x^p + xy + \kappa_2 y^q$  where  $(p, q) \neq (2, 2)$  and  $\kappa_1, \kappa_2 \neq 0$ .
- (4)  $\kappa_1 x^2 + xy + \kappa_2 y^2$  where  $4\kappa_1 \kappa_2 = 1$ .
- (5)  $\kappa_1 x^p + xy$  where  $p \geq 2$  and  $\kappa_1 \neq 0$ .
- (6)  $xy + \kappa_2 y^q$  where  $q \geq 2$  and  $\kappa_2 \neq 0$ .
- (7)  $xy$ .

*Proof.* Recall in 6.1 and 6.2, any Type A potential on  $Q$  has the form of  $f = f_b + f_r$  where  $f_b = \kappa_1 x^p + xy + \kappa_2 y^q$ .

When  $\det A_{12}(f) = 0$ , namely  $p = q = 2$  and  $4\kappa_1 \kappa_2 = 1$  by 6.11, then by 6.10  $f \cong f_b$  or  $f_b + \mu x^s$  where  $0 \neq \mu \in \mathbb{C}$  and  $s \geq 3$ . These are items (4) and (2) in the statement.

When  $\det A_{12}(f) \neq 0$ , by 6.10  $f \cong f_b$ . Again by 6.11, we have  $(p, q) \neq (2, 2)$  or  $4\kappa_1 \kappa_2 \neq 1$ , so  $f$  must belong to one of the following cases.

- a)  $\kappa_1 \neq 0$  and  $\kappa_2 = 0$ .
- b)  $\kappa_1 = 0$  and  $\kappa_2 \neq 0$ .
- c)  $\kappa_1 = 0$  and  $\kappa_2 = 0$ .
- d)  $\kappa_1, \kappa_2 \neq 0$ ,  $4\kappa_1 \kappa_2 \neq 1$  and  $p = q = 2$ .
- e)  $\kappa_1, \kappa_2 \neq 0$  and  $(p, q) \neq (2, 2)$ .

The a), b), c), d) and e) are items (5), (6), (7), (1) and (3) in the statement.  $\square$

Then we continue to normalize the coefficients of the potentials in 6.14.

**Corollary 6.15.** *Any Type A potential on  $Q$  must be isomorphic to one of the following potentials:*

- (1)  $x^2 + xy + \lambda y^2$  where  $0, \frac{1}{4} \neq \lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ .
- (2)  $x^2 + xy + \frac{1}{4} y^2 + x^s$  where  $s \geq 3$ .
- (3)  $x^p + xy + y^q$  where  $(p, q) \neq (2, 2)$ .
- (4)  $x^2 + xy + \frac{1}{4} y^2$ .

- (5)  $x^p + xy$  where  $p \geq 2$ .
- (6)  $xy + y^q$  where  $q \geq 2$ .
- (7)  $xy$ .

*Proof.* (1) Applying  $a_1 \mapsto \lambda_1 a_1$ ,  $a_2 \mapsto \lambda_2 a_2$  where  $\lambda_1, \lambda_2 \in \mathbb{C}$  to (1) gives

$$\kappa_1 x^2 + xy + \kappa_2 y^2 \mapsto \lambda_1^2 \kappa_1 x^2 + \lambda_1 \lambda_2 xy + \lambda_2^2 \kappa_2 y^2.$$

Since  $\kappa_1 \neq 0$ , we can solve  $(\lambda_1, \lambda_2)$  that ensures  $\lambda_1^2 \kappa_1 = \lambda_1 \lambda_2 = 1$  holds. Moreover, since  $\kappa_2 \neq 0$  and  $4\kappa_1 \kappa_2 \neq 1$ ,  $\lambda_2^2 \kappa_2 = \kappa_1 \kappa_2 \neq 0, \frac{1}{4}$ . Set  $\lambda := \lambda_2^2 \kappa_2$ . Thus  $\kappa_1 x^2 + xy + \kappa_2 y^2 \mapsto x^2 + xy + \lambda y^2$  where  $\lambda \neq 0, \frac{1}{4}$ .

(2) Applying  $\varphi: a_1 \mapsto \lambda_1 a_1$ ,  $a_2 \mapsto \lambda_2 a_2$  where  $\lambda_1, \lambda_2 \in \mathbb{C}$  to (2) gives

$$\kappa_1 x^2 + xy + \kappa_2 y^2 + \mu x^s \mapsto \lambda_1^2 \kappa_1 x^2 + \lambda_1 \lambda_2 xy + \lambda_2^2 \kappa_2 y^2 + \lambda_1^s \mu x^s.$$

We next claim that we can find some  $(\lambda_1, \lambda_2)$  which satisfies

$$\lambda_1^2 \kappa_1 : \lambda_1 \lambda_2 : \lambda_2^2 \kappa_2 : \lambda_1^s \mu = 1 : 1 : \frac{1}{4} : 1.$$

Once the claim is certified, it follows at once that  $\kappa_1 x^2 + xy + \kappa_2 y^2 + \mu x^s \cong x^2 + xy + \frac{1}{4} y^2 + x^s$ .

To prove the claim, since  $s \geq 3$  and  $\mu \neq 0$ , we can solve  $\lambda_1$  to ensure  $\lambda_1^2 \kappa_1 : \lambda_1^s \mu = 1 : 1$  holds. Then we solve  $\lambda_2$  from  $\lambda_1$  and  $\lambda_1^2 \kappa_1 : \lambda_1 \lambda_2 = 1 : 1$ . Moreover, this choice of  $\lambda_1$  and  $\lambda_2$  also satisfies  $\lambda_1 \lambda_2 : \lambda_2^2 \kappa_2 = 1 : \frac{1}{4}$  since  $4\kappa_1 \kappa_2 = 1$ . Combining these together,  $(\lambda_1, \lambda_2)$  satisfies the claim.

(3) Applying  $\varphi: a_1 \mapsto \lambda_1 a_1$ ,  $a_2 \mapsto \lambda_2 a_2$  where  $\lambda_1, \lambda_2 \in \mathbb{C}$  to (3) gives

$$\kappa_1 x^p + xy + \kappa_2 y^q \mapsto \lambda_1^p \kappa_1 x^p + \lambda_1 \lambda_2 xy + \lambda_2^q \kappa_2 y^q.$$

Similar to (2), the statement follows once we find some  $(\lambda_1, \lambda_2)$  which satisfies

$$\lambda_1^p \kappa_1 : \lambda_1 \lambda_2 : \lambda_2^q \kappa_2 = 1 : 1 : 1.$$

The above equations induce  $\lambda_2 = \lambda_1^{p-1} \kappa_1$  and  $\lambda_1 = \lambda_2^{q-1} \kappa_2$ , and so  $\lambda_1^{(p-1)(q-1)-1} \kappa_1^{q-1} \kappa_2 = 1$ . Since  $\kappa_1, \kappa_2 \neq 0$  and  $(p, q) \neq (2, 2)$ , we can solve  $\lambda_1$ , and then  $\lambda_2$  such that the above equations hold.

(4) Applying  $a_1 \mapsto \lambda_1 a_1$ ,  $a_2 \mapsto \lambda_2 a_2$  where  $\lambda_1, \lambda_2 \in \mathbb{C}$  to (4) gives

$$\kappa_1 x^2 + xy + \kappa_2 y^2 \mapsto \lambda_1^2 \kappa_1 x^2 + \lambda_1 \lambda_2 xy + \lambda_2^2 \kappa_2 y^2.$$

Similar to (1), we can solve  $(\lambda_1, \lambda_2)$  that ensures  $\lambda_1^2 \kappa_1 = \lambda_1 \lambda_2 = 1$  holds, and then  $\lambda_2^2 \kappa_2 = \kappa_1 \kappa_2 = \frac{1}{4}$  since  $4\kappa_1 \kappa_2 = 1$ . Thus  $\kappa_1 x^2 + xy + \kappa_2 y^2 \mapsto x^2 + xy + \frac{1}{4} y^2$ .

(5) Applying  $a_1 \mapsto \lambda_1 a_1$ ,  $a_2 \mapsto \lambda_2 a_2$  where  $\lambda_1, \lambda_2 \in \mathbb{C}$  to (5) gives

$$\kappa_1 x^p + xy \mapsto \lambda_1^p \kappa_1 x^p + \lambda_1 \lambda_2 xy.$$

Since  $\kappa_1 \neq 0$ , we can solve  $(\lambda_1, \lambda_2)$  that ensures  $\lambda_1^p \kappa_1 = \lambda_1 \lambda_2 = 1$  holds. Thus  $\kappa_1 x^p + xy \mapsto x^p + xy$ .


The proof of (6) and (7) is similar to (5). □

We now simplify the previous geometric realization in §5 for the potentials in 6.15.

**Proposition 6.16.** *Each Jacobi algebra of potentials in 6.15 is realized by a crepant resolution of a singularity of  $cA_3$   $\mathcal{R} := \mathbb{C}[[u, v, x, y]]/(uv - h_0 h_1 h_2 h_3)$ , which corresponds to the  $\mathcal{R}$  module  $M := \mathcal{R} \oplus (u, h_0) \oplus (u, h_0 h_1) \oplus (u, h_0 h_1 h_2)$  in 3.9 as follows.*

	$h_0$	$h_1$	$h_2$	$h_3$
(1)	$2x + y$	$x$	$y$	$x + 2\lambda y$
(2)	$2x + y + s x^{s-1}$	$x$	$y$	$x + \frac{1}{2}y$
(3)	$p x^{p-1} + y$	$x$	$y$	$x + q y^{q-1}$
(4)	$2x + y$	$x$	$y$	$x + \frac{1}{2}y$
(5)	$p x^{p-1} + y$	$x$	$y$	$x$
(6)	$y$	$x$	$y$	$x + q y^{q-1}$
(7)	$y$	$x$	$y$	$x$

*Proof.* In order to construct the geometric realization by 5.7 and (5.B), we first transform the potentials in 6.15 to some potentials in  $Q_3$ , which has a single loop at each vertex, as illustrated below (see also 4.2).



Consider a potential  $f = \kappa_1 x^p + xy + \kappa_2 y^q + \kappa_3 x^s$  on  $Q$  where  $\kappa_1, \kappa_2, \kappa_3 \in \mathbb{C}$ . By applying 4.22 three times, each of which adds a loop  $l_i$  at vertex  $i$  of  $Q$  for  $1 \leq i \leq 3$ , we have  $\mathcal{J}\text{ac}(Q, f) \cong \mathcal{J}\text{ac}(Q_3, f')$  where

$$f' = l_1 x' + x l_2 + l_2 y + y l_3 - \frac{1}{2} l_1^2 - \frac{1}{2} l_2^2 - \frac{1}{2} l_3^2 - x^2 - y^2 + \kappa_1 x^p + \kappa_2 y^q + \kappa_3 x^s.$$

Then by 5.7, 5.2 and (5.B), we can realize  $f'$  by setting  $g_2 = x$ ,  $g_3 = x + y$  and then solving the following system of equations where each  $g_i \in \mathbb{C}[[x, y]]$

$$\begin{aligned} g_0 - g_1 + g_2 &= 0 \\ g_1 - 2g_2 + \kappa_1 p g_2^{p-1} + \kappa_3 s g_2^{s-1} + g_3 &= 0 \\ g_2 - g_3 + g_4 &= 0 \\ g_3 - g_4 + \kappa_2 q g_4^{q-1} + g_5 &= 0 \\ g_4 - g_5 + g_6 &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

Thus  $(g_0, g_1, g_2, g_3, g_4, g_5, g_6) = (-\kappa_1 p x^{p-1} - \kappa_3 s x^{s-1} - y, x - \kappa_1 p x^{p-1} - \kappa_3 s x^{s-1} - y, x, x + y, y, -x + y - \kappa_2 q y^{q-1}, -x - \kappa_2 q y^{q-1})$ . Set  $(h_0, h_1, h_2, h_3) := (-g_0, g_2, g_4, -g_6)$  and consider

$$\mathcal{R} := \frac{\mathbb{C}[[u, v, x, y]]}{uv - h_0 h_1 h_2 h_3} = \frac{\mathbb{C}[[u, v, x, y]]}{uv - (\kappa_1 p x^{p-1} + \kappa_3 s x^{s-1} + y)xy(x + \kappa_2 q y^{q-1})}$$

and  $\mathcal{R}$ -module  $M = \mathcal{R} \oplus (u, h_0) \oplus (u, h_0 h_1) \oplus (u, h_0 h_1 h_2)$ . Write  $\pi$  for the crepant resolution of  $\text{Spec } \mathcal{R}$ , which corresponds to  $M$  in 3.9. Then  $\Lambda_{\text{con}}(\pi) \cong \mathcal{J}\text{ac}(Q_3, f')$  by 5.7, and so  $\Lambda_{\text{con}}(\pi) \cong \mathcal{J}\text{ac}(Q, f)$ . By choosing different values of  $\kappa_1, \kappa_2, \kappa_3$  and  $p, q, s$  to make  $f$  become the potentials in 6.15, we prove the statement.  $\square$

Then we classify the Type A potentials on  $Q$  up to isomorphism, which is the main result of this section.

**Lemma 6.17.** [E2] *If  $\lambda_1, \lambda_2 \neq 0, \frac{1}{4}$  and  $\lambda_1 \neq \lambda_2$ , then  $x^2 + xy + \lambda_1 y^2 \not\cong x^2 + xy + \lambda_2 y^2$ .*

**Theorem 6.18.** *Any Type A potential on  $Q$  must be isomorphic to one of the following isomorphism classes of potentials:*

- (1)  $x^2 + xy + \lambda y^2$  for any  $0, \frac{1}{4} \neq \lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ .
- (2)  $x^2 + xy + \frac{1}{4} y^2 + x^s$  for any  $s \geq 3$ .
- (3)  $x^p + xy + y^q \cong x^q + xy + y^p$  for any  $(p, q) \neq (2, 2)$ .
- (4)  $x^2 + xy + \frac{1}{4} y^2$ .
- (5)  $x^p + xy \cong xy + y^p$  for any  $p \geq 2$ .
- (6)  $xy$ .

*The Jacobi algebras of these potentials are all mutually non-isomorphic (except those isomorphisms stated), and in particular the Jacobi algebras with different parameters in the same item are non-isomorphic.*

*The Jacobi algebras in (1), (2), (3) are realized by crepant resolutions of isolated  $cA_3$  singularities, and those in (4), (5), (6) are realized by crepant resolutions of non-isolated  $cA_3$  singularities.*

*Proof.* We first prove the isomorphisms in the statement. Applying  $a_1 \mapsto b_2, b_1 \mapsto a_2, a_2 \mapsto b_1, b_2 \mapsto a_1$  gives

$$x^p + xy + y^q \rightsquigarrow x^q + xy + y^p, \quad x^p + xy \rightsquigarrow xy + y^p.$$

Then we prove the non-isomorphisms in the statement by using the following fact. If Type A potentials  $f$  and  $g$  on  $Q$  are isomorphic, then  $\dim_{\mathbb{C}} \mathcal{J}\text{ac}(f) = \dim_{\mathbb{C}} \mathcal{J}\text{ac}(g)$ , and further by 3.11 there is an equality of sets

$$\{\dim_{\mathbb{C}} \mathcal{J}\text{ac}(f)/e_1, \dim_{\mathbb{C}} \mathcal{J}\text{ac}(f)/e_3\} = \{\dim_{\mathbb{C}} \mathcal{J}\text{ac}(g)/e_1, \dim_{\mathbb{C}} \mathcal{J}\text{ac}(g)/e_3\}. \quad (6.E)$$

The following table lists  $\dim_{\mathbb{C}} \mathcal{J}\text{ac}(f)$ ,  $\dim_{\mathbb{C}} \mathcal{J}\text{ac}(f)/e_1$  and  $\dim_{\mathbb{C}} \mathcal{J}\text{ac}(f)/e_3$  for each  $f$  in each item, using Toda's formula (see [T, §4.4]).

	$\dim_{\mathbb{C}} \mathcal{J}\text{ac}(f)$	$\dim_{\mathbb{C}} \mathcal{J}\text{ac}(f)/e_1$	$\dim_{\mathbb{C}} \mathcal{J}\text{ac}(f)/e_3$
(1)	20	6	6
(2)	$9s + 2$	6	6
$x^p + xy + y^q$	$4p + 4q + 4$	$4q - 2$	$4p - 2$
(4)	$\infty$	6	6
$x^p + xy$	$\infty$	$\infty$	$4p - 2$
(6)	$\infty$	$\infty$	$\infty$

Now, all Jacobi algebras in (1) have dimension 20, but are mutually non-isomorphic by 6.17. All Jacobi algebras in (2) are mutually non-isomorphic since they all have different dimensions.

For (3), we only need to prove that  $x^p + xy + y^q \not\cong x^r + xy + y^s$  for any  $(p, q) \neq (r, s)$  and  $(p, q) \neq (s, r)$ . From the above table,

$$\begin{aligned} \{\dim_{\mathbb{C}} \mathcal{J}\text{ac}(x^p + xy + y^q)/e_1, \dim_{\mathbb{C}} \mathcal{J}\text{ac}(x^p + xy + y^q)/e_3\} &= \{4q - 2, 4p - 2\}, \\ \{\dim_{\mathbb{C}} \mathcal{J}\text{ac}(x^r + xy + y^s)/e_1, \dim_{\mathbb{C}} \mathcal{J}\text{ac}(x^r + xy + y^s)/e_3\} &= \{4r - 2, 4s - 2\}. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $(p, q) \neq (r, s)$  and  $(p, q) \neq (s, r)$ , then the above two sets are not equal, and so  $x^p + xy + y^q \not\cong x^r + xy + y^s$  by (6.E).

For (5), since  $x^p + xy \cong xy + y^p$ , we only need to prove that  $x^p + xy \not\cong x^q + xy$  for any  $p \neq q$ . From the above table,

$$\begin{aligned} \{\dim_{\mathbb{C}} \mathcal{J}\text{ac}(x^p + xy)/e_1, \dim_{\mathbb{C}} \mathcal{J}\text{ac}(x^p + xy)/e_3\} &= \{\infty, 4p - 2\}, \\ \{\dim_{\mathbb{C}} \mathcal{J}\text{ac}(x^q + xy)/e_1, \dim_{\mathbb{C}} \mathcal{J}\text{ac}(x^q + xy)/e_3\} &= \{\infty, 4q - 2\}. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $p \neq q$ , the above two sets are not equal, so  $x^p + xy \not\cong x^q + xy$  by (6.E).

The above shows that potentials in the same item are mutually non-isomorphic. We finally prove that the potentials in different items are mutually non-isomorphic.

Since Jacobi algebras in (1), (2) and (3) have finite dimension, while those in (4), (5) and (6) have infinite dimension, we only need to prove that the potentials in (1), (2) and (3) are mutually non-isomorphic, and the potentials in (4), (5) and (6) are mutually non-isomorphic, respectively.

From the above table, the Jacobi algebras in (1), (2), and (3) have dimensions 20,  $9s + 2$  and  $4p + 4q + 4$ , respectively. Since  $s \geq 3$  and  $(p, q) \neq (2, 2)$ , then  $9s + 2 > 20$  and  $4p + 4q + 4 > 20$ , and so the potentials in (1) are not isomorphic to those in (2) and (3). To compare the potentials in (2) and (3), since  $(p, q) \neq (2, 2)$ , then  $\{4q - 2, 4p - 2\} \neq \{6, 6\}$ , then the potentials in (2) are not isomorphic to those in (3), by (6.E) and the table.

To compare the potentials in (4), (5) and (6), since  $\{6, 6\}$ ,  $\{\infty, 4p - 2\}$  and  $\{\infty, \infty\}$  are mutually not equal, then the potentials in (4), (5) and (6) are mutually non-isomorphic by (6.E) and the table.

By the geometric realizations in 6.16, the Jacobi algebras in (1), (2), (3) are realized by crepant resolutions of isolated  $cA_3$  singularities, and the those in (4), (5), (6) are realized by crepant resolutions of non-isolated  $cA_3$  singularities.  $\square$

**Remark 6.19.** In 6.18, (4) is the limit of (2) by  $s \rightarrow \infty$  or (1) by  $\lambda \rightarrow \frac{1}{4}$ . Similarly, (5) and (6) are the limits of (3) by  $p \rightarrow \infty$  and  $q \rightarrow \infty$ . This parallels the fact that divisor-to-curve contractions are usually the limit of flops; see also [BW].

**6.2. Derived equivalence classes.** The purpose of this subsection is to prove 6.26, which gives the derived equivalence classes of Type A potentials with finite-dimensional Jacobi algebra on  $Q$ .

Given a Type A potential  $f$  on  $Q$ , by 6.15 and 6.16 we can realize  $f$  by some  $cA_3$

$$\mathcal{R} \cong \frac{\mathbb{C}[[u, v, x, y]]}{uv - h_0 h_1 h_2 h_3}$$

and  $\mathcal{R}$ -module  $M = \mathcal{R} \oplus (u, h_0) \oplus (u, h_0 h_1) \oplus (u, h_0 h_1 h_2)$ . Denote the corresponding crepant resolution as  $\pi: \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \text{Spec } \mathcal{R}$ , so that  $\Lambda_{\text{con}}(\pi) \cong \underline{\text{End}}_{\mathcal{R}}(M) \cong \mathcal{J}ac(f)$ .


**Notation 6.20.** We adopt the following notation. We first recall  $\pi^i$ ,  $\mathcal{X}^i$ ,  $M^i$  and  $\pi^{\mathbf{r}}$ ,  $\mathcal{X}^{\mathbf{r}}$ ,  $M^{\mathbf{r}}$  in 3.8. By 5.13, there is a Type A potential  $g$  on  $Q_{3,I}$  such that  $\Lambda_{\text{con}}(\pi^{\mathbf{r}}) \cong \mathcal{J}ac(Q_{3,I}, g)$  for some  $I \subseteq \{1, 2, 3\}$ , and we set  $f^{\mathbf{r}} := g$ , which is well defined up to the isomorphism of Jacobi algebras. For  $1 \leq i \leq 3$ , write  $f^i$  for  $f^{(i)}$ .

Since this subsection aims to classify the derived equivalence classes of Type A potentials, the definition of  $f^{\mathbf{r}}$  and  $f^i$  up to the isomorphism of Jacobi algebras is harmless.

By 3.9,  $\Lambda_{\text{con}}(\pi^{\mathbf{r}}) \cong \underline{\text{End}}_{\mathcal{R}}(M^{\mathbf{r}}) \cong \mathcal{J}ac(f^{\mathbf{r}})$ . If, in addition,  $\mathcal{R}$  is isolated, by 3.7  $f^{\mathbf{r}} \simeq f$  (see the definition of  $\simeq$  in 2.8). Thus we transfer the question about the derived equivalence classes of Type A potentials on  $Q$  with finite-dimensional Jacobi algebra to that about the flops of crepant resolutions of isolated  $cA_3$  singularities.

In order to present the NCCRs  $\text{End}_{\mathcal{R}}(M)$  and  $\text{End}_{\mathcal{R}}(M^{\mathbf{r}})$ , we adopt the following.

**Definition 6.21.** Define the quiver  $\mathcal{Q}$  from  $Q$  by adding a new vertex 0, paths  $a_0, b_0, a_3, b_3$  and a possible loop at vertex 0, as illustrated below.



Since  $f^i$  might be a potential in  $Q_{3,I}$  for some  $I \neq \emptyset$ , and we aim to classify the derived equivalence classes of Type A potentials on  $Q := Q_{3,\emptyset}$ , we need the following lemma.

**Lemma 6.22.** Given a potential of Type A  $f = \kappa_1 x^p + xy + \kappa_2 y^q$  in  $Q$ , the following statements hold.

- (1)  $\kappa_1 \neq 0$  and  $p = 2 \iff f^1$  is a potential on  $Q$ .
- (2)  $\kappa_2 \neq 0$  and  $q = 2 \iff f^3$  is a potential on  $Q$ .
- (3)  $\kappa_1, \kappa_2 \neq 0$  and  $p = q = 2 \iff f^2$  is a potential on  $Q$ .

*Proof.* By 6.16, we can realize  $f$  by

$$\mathcal{R} \cong \frac{\mathbb{C}[[u, v, x, y]]}{uv - h_0 h_1 h_2 h_3}$$

and  $\mathcal{R}$ -module  $M = \mathcal{R} \oplus (u, h_0) \oplus (u, h_0 h_1) \oplus (u, h_0 h_1 h_2)$  where  $h_0 = \kappa_1 p x^{p-1} + y$ ,  $h_1 = x$ ,  $h_2 = y$ ,  $h_3 = x + \kappa_2 q y^{q-1}$ . For  $1 \leq i \leq 3$ ,  $\mathcal{J}ac(Q_{3,I}, f^i) \cong \underline{\text{End}}_{\mathcal{R}}(M^i)$  for some  $I \subseteq \{1, 2, 3\}$ .

- (1) Since  $M^1 = \mathcal{R} \oplus (u, h_1) \oplus (u, h_1 h_0) \oplus (u, h_1 h_0 h_2)$ , by 3.10  $I = \emptyset \iff \kappa_1 \neq 0$  and  $p = 2$ .
- (2) Since  $M^2 = \mathcal{R} \oplus (u, h_0) \oplus (u, h_0 h_2) \oplus (u, h_0 h_2 h_1)$ , by 3.10  $I = \emptyset \iff \kappa_2 \neq 0$  and  $q = 2$ .
- (3) Since  $M^3 = \mathcal{R} \oplus (u, h_0) \oplus (u, h_0 h_1) \oplus (u, h_0 h_1 h_3)$ , by 3.10  $I = \emptyset \iff \kappa_1, \kappa_2 \neq 0$  and  $p = q = 2$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 6.23.** *Suppose that  $f$  is a Type A potential on  $Q$ . Then the following holds.*

- (1) *If  $f = x^2 + xy + \lambda y^2$  with  $\lambda \neq 0$ , then  $f^1 \cong x^2 + xy + (\frac{1}{4} - \lambda)y^2 \cong f^3$  and  $f^2 \cong x^2 + xy + \frac{1}{16\lambda}y^2$ .*
- (2) *If  $f = x^2 + xy + \frac{1}{4}y^2 + x^p$  with  $p \geq 3$ , then  $f^1 \cong x^2 + xy + y^p$  and  $f^3 \cong x^p + xy + y^2$ .*

*Proof.* Suppose that  $f = x^2 + xy + \lambda y^p$ . By 6.16, we can realize  $f$  by

$$\mathcal{R} \cong \frac{\mathbb{C}[[u, v, x, y]]}{uv - h_0 h_1 h_2 h_3}$$

and  $\mathcal{R}$ -module  $M = \mathcal{R} \oplus (u, h_0) \oplus (u, h_0 h_1) \oplus (u, h_0 h_1 h_2)$  where  $h_0 = 2x + y$ ,  $h_1 = x$ ,  $h_2 = y$  and  $h_3 = x + \lambda p y^{p-1}$ . Since  $M^1 = \mathcal{R} \oplus (u, h_1) \oplus (u, h_1 h_0) \oplus (u, h_1 h_0 h_2)$ , then by 3.10  $\text{End}_{\mathcal{R}}(M^1)$  can be presented by  $Q$  with relations

$$\begin{aligned} x b_1 - y b_1 &= 2b_1 b_0 a_0, & b_2 x - b_2 y &= 2(a_3 b_3 b_2 - \lambda p b_2 y^{p-1}), \\ a_1 x - a_1 y &= 2b_0 a_0 a_1, & x a_2 - y a_2 &= 2(a_2 a_3 b_3 - \lambda p y^{p-1} a_2), \end{aligned}$$

plus some other relations that factor through the vertex 0 (and so will not be relevant below). Hence  $\underline{\text{End}}_{\mathcal{R}}(M^1)$  can be presented by  $Q$  with relations

$$\begin{aligned} x b_1 - y b_1 &= 0, & b_2 x - b_2 y &= -2\lambda p b_2 y^{p-1}, \\ a_1 x - a_1 y &= 0, & x a_2 - y a_2 &= -2\lambda p y^{p-1} a_2. \end{aligned}$$

Thus  $\underline{\text{End}}_{\mathcal{R}}(M^1) \cong \mathcal{J}\text{ac}(Q, f^1)$  where  $f^1 \cong \frac{1}{2}x^2 - xy + \frac{1}{2}y^2 - 2\lambda y^p$ . Normalizing by applying  $a_1 \mapsto -\sqrt{2}a_1$  and  $a_2 \mapsto \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}a_2$  to  $f^1$  gives

$$f^1 \mapsto x^2 + xy + \frac{1}{4}y^2 - 2^{1-\frac{p}{2}}\lambda y^p.$$

Setting  $p = 2$  in the above potential proves the  $f^1$  statement in (1). The proof of the  $f^3$  statement in (1) is similar.

For  $p \geq 3$  and  $\lambda \neq 0$ , applying  $a_1 \mapsto \frac{1}{2}b_2$ ,  $b_1 \mapsto a_2$ ,  $a_2 \mapsto 2b_1$ ,  $b_2 \mapsto a_1$  gives

$$x^2 + xy + \frac{1}{4}y^2 - 2^{1-\frac{p}{2}}\lambda y^p \rightsquigarrow x^2 + xy + \frac{1}{4}y^2 - 2^{1+\frac{p}{2}}\lambda x^p.$$

Then since  $p \geq 3$  and  $\lambda \neq 0$ , by 6.15(2),

$$x^2 + xy + \frac{1}{4}y^2 - 2^{1+\frac{p}{2}}\lambda x^p \cong x^2 + xy + \frac{1}{4}y^2 + x^p.$$

Thus  $(x^2 + xy + y^p)^1 = x^2 + xy + \frac{1}{4}y^2 + x^p$ . Since flopping is an involution, this proves the  $f^1$  statement in (2). The proof of the  $f^3$  statement in (2) is similar.

Then we finally prove the  $f^2$  statement in (1). In this case,  $g_0 = 2x + y$ ,  $g_1 = x$ ,  $g_2 = y$  and  $g_3 = x + 2\lambda y$ . Since  $M^2 = \mathcal{R} \oplus (u, h_0) \oplus (u, h_0 h_2) \oplus (u, h_0 h_2 h_1)$ , then by 3.10  $\text{End}_{\mathcal{R}}(M^2)$  can be presented by  $Q$  with relations

$$\begin{aligned} x b_1 + 2y b_1 &= b_1 b_0 a_0, & 2\lambda b_2 x + b_2 y &= a_3 b_3 b_2, \\ a_1 x + 2a_1 y &= b_0 a_0 a_1, & 2\lambda x a_2 + y a_2 &= a_2 a_3 b_3, \end{aligned}$$

plus some other relations that factor through the vertex 0 (and so will not be relevant below). Hence  $\underline{\text{End}}_{\mathcal{R}}(M^2)$  can be presented by  $Q$  with relations

$$\begin{aligned} x b_1 + 2y b_1 &= 0, & 2\lambda b_2 x + b_2 y &= 0, \\ a_1 x + 2a_1 y &= 0, & 2\lambda x a_2 + y a_2 &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

Thus  $\underline{\text{End}}_{\mathcal{R}}(M^2) \cong \mathcal{J}\text{ac}(Q, f^2)$  where  $f^2 \cong x^2 + xy + \frac{1}{16\lambda}y^2$ .  $\square$

Now let  $\pi: \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \text{Spec } \mathcal{R}$  be a crepant resolution where  $\mathcal{R}$  is cDV, with exceptional curves  $\bigcup_{i=1}^n C_i$ . For any curve class  $\beta \in \bigoplus_i \mathbb{Z} \langle C_i \rangle$ , there exists a integer-valued number  $\text{GV}_{\beta}(\pi)$  called the Gopakumar–Vafa (GV for short) invariant of class  $\beta$  [BKL, MT].

**Definition 6.24.** *Define the GV set of  $\pi$  as  $\text{GV}(\pi) = \{\text{GV}_{\beta}(\pi) \mid \text{all } \beta \text{ with } \text{GV}_{\beta}(\pi) \neq 0\}$ .*

**Lemma 6.25.** *Let  $\pi_k: \mathcal{X}_k \rightarrow \text{Spec } \mathcal{R}_k$  be two crepant resolutions of isolated cDV  $\mathcal{R}_k$  for  $k = 1, 2$ . If  $\Lambda_{\text{con}}(\pi_1)$  is derived equivalent to  $\Lambda_{\text{con}}(\pi_2)$ , then  $\text{GV}(\pi_1) = \text{GV}(\pi_2)$ .*



*Proof.* Since  $\Lambda_{\text{con}}(\pi_1)$  is derived equivalent to  $\Lambda_{\text{con}}(\pi_2)$  and each  $\mathcal{R}_i$  is isolated, then  $\mathcal{R}_1 \cong \mathcal{R}_2$  by 3.6, so  $\pi_1$  and  $\pi_2$  are two crepant resolutions of a same cDV singularity and connected by a sequence of flops. Thus  $\text{GV}(\pi_1) = \text{GV}(\pi_2)$  by [NW, 5.4], [V4, 5.10].  $\square$

**Theorem 6.26.** *The following groups the Type A potentials on  $Q$  with finite-dimensional Jacobi algebra into sets, where all the Jacobi algebras in a given set are derived equivalent.*

- (1)  $\{x^2 + xy + \lambda'y^2 \mid \lambda' = \lambda, \frac{1-4\lambda}{4}, \frac{1}{4(1-4\lambda)}, \frac{\lambda}{4\lambda-1}, \frac{4\lambda-1}{16\lambda}, \frac{1}{16\lambda}\}$  for any  $\lambda \neq 0, \frac{1}{4}$ .
- (2)  $\{x^p + xy + y^2, x^2 + xy + y^p, x^2 + xy + \frac{1}{4}y^2 + x^p\}$  for  $p \geq 3$ .
- (3)  $\{x^p + xy + y^q, x^q + xy + y^p\}$  for  $p \geq 3$  and  $q \geq 3$ .

Moreover, the Jacobi algebras of the sets in (1)–(3) are all mutually not derived equivalent, and in particular the Jacobi algebras of different sets in the same item are not derived equivalent. In (1) there are no further basic algebras in the derived equivalence class, whereas in (2)–(3) there are an additional finite number of basic algebras in the derived equivalence class.

*Proof.* By 6.18 and 3.5, the potentials in the statement are precisely the Type A potentials on  $Q$  with finite-dimensional Jacobi algebra, thus they exhaust all possibilities.

Firstly, we prove that the Jacobi algebras in each given set are derived equivalent. By 3.7, given a Type A potential  $f$  with finite-dimensional and  $\mathcal{J}\text{ac}(f) \cong \Lambda_{\text{con}}(\pi)$ , if we want to obtain all the basic algebras that are derived equivalent to  $\mathcal{J}\text{ac}(f)$ , we only need to calculate all iterated flops from  $\pi$ . So we consider  $f^i$  for  $1 \leq i \leq 3$  in the following.

(1) Suppose that  $f = x^2 + xy + \lambda y^2$  where  $\lambda \neq 0, \frac{1}{4}$ .

By 6.23,  $f^1 \cong x^2 + xy + (\frac{1}{4} - \lambda)y^2 \cong f^3$  and  $f^2 \cong x^2 + xy + \frac{1}{16\lambda}y^2$ . Repeating the same argument, we have  $f^{(12)} \cong x^2 + xy + \frac{1}{4(1-4\lambda)}y^2$ ,  $f^{(21)} \cong x^2 + xy + \frac{4\lambda-1}{16\lambda}y^2$  and  $f^{(121)} \cong x^2 + xy + \frac{\lambda}{4\lambda-1}y^2$ . Repeating this process, only six numbers appear, so by 3.7 there are no further basic algebras in this derived equivalence class.

(2) Suppose that  $f = x^2 + xy + \frac{1}{4}y^2 + x^p$  where  $p \geq 3$ .

By 6.23,  $f^1 \cong x^2 + xy + y^p$  and  $f^3 \cong x^p + xy + y^2$ , and thus the three potentials in the statement are derived equivalent. Since  $p \geq 3$ , then  $f^{12}, f^{13}, f^{31}$  and  $f^{32}$  are not on  $Q$  by 6.22, and so there are additional basic algebras in this derived equivalence class.

(3) By 6.18,  $x^p + xy + y^q \cong x^q + xy + y^p$ , and thus the two potentials in the statement are derived equivalent. Suppose that  $f = x^p + xy + y^q$ . Since  $p \geq 3$  and  $q \geq 3$ , then  $f^1, f^2$  and  $f^3$  are not on  $Q$  by 6.22, and so there are additional basic algebras in this derived equivalence class.

In each case, by [W3] there are precisely 24 crepant resolutions, and thus at most 12 Jacobi algebras, and so the numbers of the addition basic algebras in the derived equivalence class in (2) and (3) are finite.

Secondly, we prove that the Jacobi algebras in different sets in (1)–(3) are all mutually not derived equivalent.

Given any potential  $f$  in the statement, by 6.16 we can find a crepant resolution  $\pi$  such that  $\Lambda_{\text{con}}(\pi) \cong \mathcal{J}\text{ac}(f)$ . By Toda's formula (see [T, §4.4]), the GV set of each set in (1)–(3) is:

- ①  $\{1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1\}$ ,
- ②  $\{1, 1, 1, p-1, 1, 1\}$ ,
- ③  $\{1, 1, 1, p-1, q-1, 1\}$ .

Suppose that  $f_1$  and  $f_2$  are potentials in the statement with  $f_1 \simeq f_2$  and each  $\mathcal{J}\text{ac}(f_i) \cong \Lambda_{\text{con}}(\pi_i)$  where  $\pi_i: \mathcal{X}_i \rightarrow \mathcal{R}_i$ . Then  $\Lambda_{\text{con}}(\pi_1)$  is derived equivalent to  $\Lambda_{\text{con}}(\pi_2)$ . Since each  $\mathcal{J}\text{ac}(f_i)$  is finite-dimensional, then each  $\mathcal{R}_i$  is isolated by 3.5, and so  $\text{GV}(\pi_1) = \text{GV}(\pi_2)$  by 6.25. So if we want to prove that two potentials are not derived equivalent, we only need to prove that their corresponding GV sets are not equal.


Since  $p \geq 3$ , then any GV set in ① is different from that in ②, and so any set of potentials in (1) is not derived equivalent to that in (2). Since  $q \geq 3$ , then any GV set in ② is different from that in ③, and so any set of potentials in (2) is not derived equivalent to that in (3). Similar for (1) and (3).

Next, consider two sets of potentials in the same item. Given a potential  $f$  in (1), we have already exhausted all 6 potentials that are derived equivalent to  $f$  in the above proof. Thus by 3.7, different sets of potentials in (1) are not derived equivalent. Since different GV sets in ② are not equal, different sets of potentials in (2) are not derived equivalent. Similar for (3).  $\square$

**Remark 6.27.** It is usually hard to give the derived equivalence class of an algebra  $A$ . But when  $A$  is  $\mathcal{J}ac(f)$  for a Type A potential  $f$ , there is a Type A crepant resolution  $\pi: \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \text{Spec } \mathcal{R}$  such that  $A \cong \Lambda_{\text{con}}(\pi)$  by 5.10. Thus when furthermore  $A$  is finite-dimensional over  $\mathbb{C}$ , then  $\mathcal{R}$  is isolated. So we can apply 3.7 to get the *full* derived equivalence class of  $A$  by calculating all iterated flops from  $\pi$ . This is why we restrict this section to the cases of Type A potential on  $Q$  with finite-dimensional Jacobi algebra.

**Remark 6.28.** In cases (2) and (3) of 6.26, there are additional basic algebras in the derived equivalence class. These algebras are isomorphic to the Jacobi algebra of some potential on  $Q_{3,I}$  where  $I \neq \emptyset$  (see the proof in 6.26).

Next, recall the definition of the quaternion type quiver algebra  $A_{p,q}(\mu)$  in [E1, H], which is the completion of the path algebra of the quiver  $Q$



modulo the relations

$$a_1 a_2 b_2 - (a_1 b_1)^{p-1} a_1, b_2 b_1 a_1 - \mu (b_2 a_2)^{q-1} b_2, a_2 b_2 b_1 - (b_1 a_1)^{p-1} b_1, b_1 a_1 a_2 - \mu (a_2 b_2)^{q-1} a_2,$$

where  $\mu \in \mathbb{C}$  and  $p, q \geq 2$ . Note we have fewer relations than in Erdmann [E1] since we are working with the completion. In fact  $A_{p,q}(\mu) \cong \mathcal{J}ac(Q, f)$ , where

$$f = \frac{1}{p} x^p - xy + \frac{\mu}{q} y^q \cong x^p + xy + (-1)^q p^{-\frac{q}{p}} q^{-1} \mu y^q.$$

Denote  $B_{p,q}(\lambda) := \mathcal{J}ac(Q, f)$  where  $f = x^p + xy + \lambda y^q$ . Thus  $A_{p,q}(\mu) \cong B_{p,q}((-1)^q p^{-\frac{q}{p}} q^{-1} \mu)$ .

The following improves various results of Erdmann and Holm [E1, H].

**Corollary 6.29.** *The following groups those algebras  $A_{p,q}(\mu)$  which are finite-dimensional into sets, where all the algebras in a given set are derived equivalent.*

- (1)  $\{A_{2,2}(\mu') \mid \mu' = \mu, 1 - \mu, \frac{1}{1-\mu}, \frac{\mu}{\mu-1}, \frac{\mu-1}{\mu}, \frac{1}{\mu}\}$  for  $\mu \neq 0, 1$ .
- (2)  $\{A_{p,q}(1), A_{q,p}(1)\}$  for  $(p, q) \neq (2, 2)$ .

Moreover, the algebras of the sets in (1)–(2) are all mutually not derived equivalent. In (1) there are no further basic algebras in the derived equivalence class, whereas in (2) there are an additional finite number of basic algebras in the derived equivalence class.

*Proof.* Since  $A_{p,q}(\mu) \cong B_{p,q}((-1)^q p^{-\frac{q}{p}} q^{-1} \mu)$ , in particular  $A_{2,2}(\mu) \cong B(\frac{\mu}{4})$ , then by 6.18 the  $A_{p,q}(\mu)$  in the statement are precisely the finite-dimensional ones up to isomorphism.

Then we prove that the algebras in each set are derived equivalent.

(1) Since  $A_{2,2}(\mu) \cong B_{2,2}(\frac{\mu}{4}) = \mathcal{J}ac(x^2 + xy + \frac{\mu}{4}y^2)$ , then by 6.26(1) the algebras in each set of (1) are derived equivalent. Moreover, again by 6.26(1) there are no further basic algebras in the derived equivalence class.

(2) When  $(p, q) \neq (2, 2)$ ,  $B_{p,q}((-1)^q p^{-\frac{q}{p}} q^{-1}) \cong B_{p,q}(1)$  by the proof of 6.15(3), thus  $A_{p,q}(1) \cong B_{p,q}(1)$ . Similarly,  $A_{q,p}(1) \cong B_{q,p}(1)$ . Thus by 6.26(2)(3) the algebras in each set of (2) are derived equivalent. Moreover, again by 6.26(2)(3) there are an additional finite number of basic algebras in the derived equivalence class.

By 6.26 the algebras of the sets in (1)–(2) are all mutually not derived equivalent.  $\square$

## 7. APPENDIX

The purpose of this section is to prove 7.17, which gives a quiver presentation (7.A) of  $\text{End}_S(N)$ . This is used to prove the geometric realization in §5.

We first introduce the reduction system and Diamond Lemma. For a quiver  $Q$ , we denote the set of paths of degree  $i$  by  $Q_i$  where the degree is with respect to the path length, and write  $Q_{\geq i} = \bigcup_{j \geq i} Q_j$  for the set of paths of degree  $\geq i$ .

**Definition 7.1.** [B, §1] *Given a field  $k$ , a reduction system  $R$  for the path algebra  $kQ$  is a set of pairs*

$$R = \{(s, \varphi_s) \mid s \in S \text{ and } \varphi_s \in kQ\}$$

where

- (1)  $S$  is a subset of  $Q_{\geq 2}$  such that  $s$  is not a sub-path of  $s'$  when  $s \neq s' \in S$ .
- (2) For all  $s \in S$ ,  $s$  and  $\varphi_s$  have the same head and tail.
- (3) For each pair  $(s, \varphi_s) \in R$ ,  $\varphi_s$  is irreducible, meaning we can write  $\varphi_s = \sum_i \lambda_i p_i$  where each  $0 \neq \lambda_i \in k$ , and each  $p_i$  does not contain elements in  $S$  as a sub-path.

**Definition 7.2.** *Let  $(s, \varphi_s) \in R$  and let  $q, r$  be two paths such that  $qsr \neq 0$  in  $kQ$ . Following [CS, §2] a basic reduction  $\tau_{q,s,r} : kQ \rightarrow kQ$  is defined as the  $k$ -linear map uniquely determined by the following: for any path  $p$*

$$\tau_{q,s,r}(p) = \begin{cases} q\varphi_s r & \text{if } p = qsr \\ p & \text{if } p \neq qsr \end{cases}$$

Sometimes we write  $p \rightarrow q\varphi_s r$  instead of  $\tau_{q,s,r}(p) = q\varphi_s r$  for simplicity.

**Definition 7.3.** *A reduction  $\tau$  is defined as a composition  $\tau_{q_n, s_n, r_n} \circ \cdots \circ \tau_{q_2, s_2, r_2} \circ \tau_{q_1, s_1, r_1}$  of basic reductions for some  $n \geq 1$ . We say a path  $p$  is reduction-finite if for any infinite sequence of reductions  $(\tau_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$  there exists  $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$  such that for all  $n \geq n_0$ , we have  $\tau_n \circ \cdots \circ \tau_2 \circ \tau_1(p) = \tau_{n_0} \circ \cdots \circ \tau_2 \circ \tau_1(p)$ .*


A path may contain many sub-paths in  $S$ , so one may obtain different elements in  $kQ$  after performing different reductions.

**Definition 7.4.** [B, §1] *Let  $R$  be a reduction system for  $kQ$ . A path  $pqr \in Q_{\geq 3}$  for  $p, q, r \in Q_{\geq 1}$  is an overlap ambiguity of  $R$  if  $pq, qr \in S$ . We say that an overlap ambiguity  $pqr$  with  $pq = s$  and  $qr = s'$  is resolvable if  $\varphi_s r$  and  $p\varphi_{s'}$  are reduction-finite and  $\tau(\varphi_s t) = \tau'(p\varphi_{s'})$  for some reductions  $\tau, \tau'$ .*

**Theorem 7.5.** (Diamond Lemma) [B, 1.2] *Let  $R = \{(s, \varphi_s)\}_{s \in S}$  be a reduction system for  $kQ$ . Let  $I = \langle s - \varphi_s \rangle_{s \in S} \subset kQ$  be the corresponding two-sided ideal and write  $A = kQ/I$  for the quotient algebra. If  $R$  is reduction-finite, then the following are equivalent:*

- (1) All overlap ambiguities of  $R$  are resolvable.
- (2) The image of the set of irreducible paths under the projection  $kQ \rightarrow A$  forms a  $k$ -basis of  $A$ .

Consider the following quiver  $Q$  with relations  $I$ .



$$I := \begin{cases} l_{t,i}a_t = a_t l_{t+1,i}, & l_{t+1,i}b_t = b_t l_{t,i}, & l_{t,i}l_{t,j} = l_{t,j}l_{t,i}, \\ l_{t,t} = a_t b_t, & l_{t+1,t} = b_t a_t & \text{for any } t \in \mathbb{Z}/(n+1) \text{ and } 0 \leq i, j \leq n. \end{cases} \quad (7.A)$$

Then define the reduction system  $R$  for the path algebra  $kQ$  to be

$$R := \{(l_{t,i}a_t, a_t l_{t+1,i}), (l_{t+1,i}b_t, b_t l_{t,i}), (a_t b_t, l_{t,t}), (b_t a_t, l_{t+1,t}), (l_{t,j}l_{t,i}, l_{t,i}l_{t,j}) \mid \text{for any } 0 \leq i \leq n, t \in \mathbb{Z}/(n+1) \text{ and } j > i\}. \quad (7.B)$$

We next prove that  $R$  is reduction-finite and all overlap ambiguities of  $R$  are resolvable.

**Lemma 7.6.** *The reduction system  $R$  (7.B) is reduction-finite.*

*Proof.* For any path  $p$  and any infinite sequence of reductions  $(\tau_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ , if there does not exist  $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$  such that for all  $n \geq n_0$  we have  $\tau_n \circ \cdots \circ \tau_1(p) = \tau_{n_0} \circ \cdots \circ \tau_1(p)$ , then there must exist infinite basic reductions that can be applied to  $p$  consecutively. We prove that this is impossible. There are three types of path pairs in  $R$ :

- (1)  $(a_t b_t, l_{t,t}), (b_t a_t, l_{t+1,t})$ .
- (2)  $(l_{t,i}a_t, a_t l_{t+1,i}), (l_{t+1,i}b_t, b_t l_{t,i})$ .
- (3)  $(l_{t,j}l_{t,i}, l_{t,i}l_{t,j})$  for  $j > i$ .

The type (1) basic reduction decreases the path degree by one. The type (2) basic reduction moves  $a_t$  or  $b_t$  one step left, and  $l_{t,i}$  or  $l_{t+1,i}$  one step right in the path. Similarly, the type (3) basic reduction moves  $l_{t,i}$  one step left, and  $l_{t,j}$  one step right in the path for  $j > i$ .

Thus, any composition of these three types either decreases the path degree or moves  $a_t, b_t$  to the left,  $l_{t,j}$  with the larger  $j$  to the right. Since the path degree of  $p$  is finite, we can only apply the basic reductions of these three types to  $p$  finitely many times.  $\square$

**Lemma 7.7.** *All overlap ambiguities of the reduction system  $R$  (7.B) are resolvable.*

*Proof.* There are four types of overlap ambiguities in  $R$  (7.B):  $l_{t,i}a_t b_t, l_{t+1,i}b_t a_t, l_{t,j}l_{t,i}a_k, l_{t+1,j}l_{t+1,i}b_t$  for  $0 \leq i \leq n, t \in \mathbb{Z}/(n+1)$  and  $j > i$ . We next check that these overlap ambiguities are resolvable.

- (1) When  $t < i$ ,  $(l_{t,i}a_t)b_t \rightarrow a_t(l_{t+1,i}b_t) \rightarrow (a_t b_t)l_{t,i} \rightarrow l_{t,t}l_{t,i}$ , and  $l_{t,i}(a_t b_t) \rightarrow l_{t,i}l_{t,t} \rightarrow l_{t,t}l_{t,i}$ . The case of  $t \geq i$  is similar.
- (2) When  $t < i$ ,  $(l_{t+1,i}b_t)a_t \rightarrow b_t(l_{t,i}a_t) \rightarrow (b_t a_t)l_{t+1,i} \rightarrow l_{t+1,t}l_{t+1,i}$ , and  $l_{t+1,i}(b_t a_t) \rightarrow l_{t+1,i}l_{t+1,t} \rightarrow l_{t+1,t}l_{t+1,i}$ . The case of  $t \geq i$  is similar.
- (3)  $(l_{t,j}l_{t,i})a_t \rightarrow l_{t,i}(l_{t,j}a_t) \rightarrow (l_{t,i}a_t)l_{t+1,j} \rightarrow a_t l_{t+1,i}l_{t+1,j}$ ,  $l_{t,j}(l_{t,i}a_t) \rightarrow (l_{t,j}a_t)l_{t+1,i} \rightarrow a_t(l_{t+1,j}l_{t+1,i}) \rightarrow a_t l_{t+1,i}l_{t+1,j}$ .
- (4)  $(l_{t+1,j}l_{t+1,i})b_t \rightarrow l_{t+1,i}(l_{t+1,j}b_t) \rightarrow (l_{t+1,i}b_t)l_{t,j} \rightarrow b_t l_{t,i}l_{t,j}$ ,  $l_{t+1,j}(l_{t+1,i}b_t) \rightarrow (l_{t+1,j}b_t)l_{t,i} \rightarrow b_t(l_{t,j}l_{t,i}) \rightarrow b_t l_{t,i}l_{t,j}$ .  $\square$

**Proposition 7.8.** *Consider the quiver  $Q$  with relations  $I$  (7.A) and its reduction system  $R$  in (7.B). Then, the set of irreducible paths (with respect to  $R$ ) of  $kQ$  under the projection  $kQ \rightarrow kQ/I$  forms a  $k$ -basis of  $kQ/I$ .*

*Proof.* It is clear that the two-sided ideal generated by  $R$  (see 7.5) coincides with  $I$  (7.A). Since  $R$  is reduction-finite and all overlap ambiguities of  $R$  are resolvable by 7.6 and 7.7, the statement holds by 7.5.  $\square$

**Notation 7.9.** For any  $t \in \mathbb{Z}/(n+1)$ , consider the following subsets of the set of paths in  $Q$  with head  $t$ .

- (1)  $\mathcal{A}_t := \{a_{t-i} \dots a_{t-2} a_{t-1} \mid \text{all } i \in \mathbb{N}\}$ .
- (2)  $\mathcal{B}_t := \{b_{t+i-1} \dots b_{t+1} b_t \mid \text{all } i \in \mathbb{N}\}$ .
- (3)  $\mathcal{L}_t := \{l_{t,0}^{i_1} l_{t,1}^{i_2} \dots l_{t,n}^{i_n} \mid \text{all } i_1, i_2, \dots, i_n \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}\}$ .
- (4)  $\mathcal{A}_t \mathcal{L}_t := \{pq \mid \text{all } p \in \mathcal{A}_t \text{ and } q \in \mathcal{L}_t\}$ .
- (5)  $\mathcal{B}_t \mathcal{L}_t := \{pq \mid \text{all } p \in \mathcal{B}_t \text{ and } q \in \mathcal{L}_t\}$ .
- (6) Then write  $k\mathcal{A}_t$ ,  $k\mathcal{B}_t$  and  $k\mathcal{L}_t$  for the  $k$ -span of  $\mathcal{A}_t$ ,  $\mathcal{B}_t$  and  $\mathcal{L}_t$  respectively.
- (7) For any  $A \in k\mathcal{A}_t$ , write  $(A)_{t-1}$  for the unique element in  $k\mathcal{A}_{t-1}$  such that  $A = (A)_{t-1} a_{t-1}$ .
- (8) For any  $B \in k\mathcal{B}_t$ , write  $(B)_{t+1}$  for the unique element in  $k\mathcal{B}_{t+1}$  such that  $B = (B)_{t+1} b_t$ .
- (9) For any  $L \in k\mathcal{L}_t$  and  $0 \leq s \leq n$ , write  $(L)_s$  for the unique element in  $k\mathcal{L}_s$ , which is obtained by replacing  $l_{t,0}, l_{t,1}, \dots, l_{t,n}$  in  $L$  by  $l_{s,0}, l_{s,1}, \dots, l_{s,n}$ .

We next describe all irreducible paths in  $Q$ , with respect to the reduction system  $R$  (7.B).

**Proposition 7.10.** *For any path  $p$  with head  $t$  in  $Q$ ,*

$$p \text{ is irreducible} \iff p \in \mathcal{A}_t \cup \mathcal{B}_t \cup \mathcal{L}_t \cup \mathcal{A}_t \mathcal{L}_t \cup \mathcal{B}_t \mathcal{L}_t.$$

*Proof.* By the reduction system  $R$  (7.B), it is clear that each path in  $\mathcal{A}_t, \mathcal{B}_t, \mathcal{L}_t, \mathcal{A}_t \mathcal{L}_t, \mathcal{B}_t \mathcal{L}_t$  is irreducible. We next prove the other direction. Since the head of  $p$  is  $t$ ,  $p$  either ends with  $a_{t-1}$ ,  $b_t$  or  $l_{t,i}$  for some  $i$ . The proof splits into cases.

- (1)  $p$  ends with  $a_{t-1}$ .

Write  $p = qa_{t-1}$  for some  $q$  with head  $t-1$ . Then  $q$  either ends with  $a_{t-2}$ ,  $b_{t-1}$  or  $l_{t,i}$  for some  $i$ . However, if  $q$  either ends with  $b_{t-1}$  or  $l_{t,i}$ , then  $qa_{t-1}$  is reducible by  $R$  (7.B). Thus  $q$  can only end with  $a_{t-2}$ . Repeating the same process gives  $p \in \mathcal{A}_t$ .

- (2)  $p$  ends with  $b_t$ .

Similar to (1), we can prove that  $p \in \mathcal{B}_t$ .

- (3)  $p$  ends with  $l_{t,i}$ .

Write  $p = ql_{t,i}$  for some  $q$  with head  $t$ . Then  $q$  either ends with  $a_{t-1}$ ,  $b_t$  or  $l_{t,j}$  for some  $j$ . If  $q$  ends with  $a_{t-1}$ , then  $q \in \mathcal{A}_t$  by (1), and so  $p \in \mathcal{A}_t \mathcal{L}_t$ . Similarly, if  $q$  ends with  $b_t$ , then  $p \in \mathcal{B}_t \mathcal{L}_t$ . If  $q$  ends  $l_{t,j}$ , then  $j \leq i$ ; otherwise, it will contradict the irreducibility of  $ql_{t,i}$ . Repeating the same process gives  $p \in \mathcal{L}_t, \mathcal{A}_t \mathcal{L}_t$  or  $\mathcal{B}_t \mathcal{L}_t$ .  $\square$

We next apply 7.8 and 7.10 to prove the exactness of a particular complex in 7.12. In the following, we write  $P_t$  for the  $k$ -span of the paths with head  $t$  in  $kQ/I$  (7.A).

**Lemma 7.11.** *The  $k$ -linear maps*

$$\begin{aligned} m_{l_{t,n}} : P_t &\rightarrow P_t, & m_{a_t} : P_t &\rightarrow P_{t+1} \\ f &\mapsto fl_{t,n} & f &\mapsto fa_t \end{aligned}$$

*are injective for any  $t \in \mathbb{Z}/(n+1)$ .*

*Proof.* We only prove  $m_{l_{0,n}}$  and  $m_{a_0}$  and are injective, the other cases are similar. Since  $R$  in (7.B) is reduction-finite by 7.6, we can assume  $f \in P_0$  is irreducible.

- (1)  $m_{l_{0,n}}$  is injective.

We first write  $f = \sum_i \lambda_i p_i$  as a linear combination of irreducible paths where each  $\lambda_i \in k$ . Since  $p_i$  is irreducible and there are no paths in  $S$  (7.B) that end with  $l_{0n}$ ,  $p_i l_{0n}$  is also irreducible. Thus if  $f l_{0n} = \sum_i \lambda_i p_i l_{0n} = 0$ , then each  $\lambda_i = 0$  by 7.8, and so  $f = 0$ .

(2)  $m_{a_0}$  is injective.

Since  $f \in P_0$ , by 7.10 we can write  $f$  as a linear combination of irreducible terms

$$f = \lambda A + \mu B + \beta L + \sum_i \lambda_i A_i L_i + \sum_j \mu_j B_j L_j,$$

where each  $\lambda, \mu, \beta, \lambda_i, \mu_j \in k$ , and  $A, A_i \in k\mathcal{A}_0$ , and  $B, B_j \in k\mathcal{B}_0$ , and  $L, L_i, L_j \in k\mathcal{L}_0$ . Thus

$$\begin{aligned} f a_0 &= \lambda A a_0 + \mu B a_0 + \beta L a_0 + \sum_i \lambda_i A_i L_i a_0 + \sum_j \mu_j B_j L_j a_0 \\ &= \lambda A a_0 + \mu (B)_1 b_0 a_0 + \beta L a_0 + \sum_i \lambda_i A_i L_i a_0 + \sum_j \mu_j (B_j)_1 b_0 L_j a_0 \\ &\quad \text{(since } B = (B)_1 b_0 \text{ and } B_j = (B_j)_1 b_0) \\ &\rightarrow \lambda A a_0 + \mu (B)_1 l_{1,0} + \beta a_0 (L)_1 + \sum_i \lambda_i A_i a_0 (L_i)_1 + \sum_j \mu_j (B_j)_1 l_{1,0} (L_j)_1. \quad (7.C) \\ &\quad \text{(since } b_0 L_j a_0 \rightarrow b_0 a_0 (L_j)_1 \rightarrow l_{1,0} (L_j)_1) \end{aligned}$$

By 7.10, each term in (7.C) is irreducible. We next claim that each term in (7.C) differs from the others.

Since  $A_i L_i$  are different for different  $i$ ,  $A_i a_0 (L_i)_1$  are different for different  $i$ . Similarly,  $(B_j)_1 l_{1,0} (L_j)_1$  are different for different  $j$ . Since  $\deg(A_i) \geq 1$ ,  $A_i a_0 (L_i)_1$  is different from  $a_0 (L)_1$  for each  $i$ . Similarly,  $(B_j)_1 l_{1,0} (L_j)_1$  is different from  $(B)_1 l_{1,0}$  for each  $j$ . Thus we proved the claim.

So by 7.8 the terms in (7.C) descend to give basis elements of  $kQ/I$ . Thus if  $f a_0 = 0$ , then each  $\lambda, \mu, \beta, \lambda_i, \mu_j$  is zero, and so  $f = 0$ . Thus  $m_{a_0}$  is injective.  $\square$

**Proposition 7.12.**

$$0 \rightarrow P_0 \xrightarrow{(a_0, b_n)} P_1 \oplus P_n \xrightarrow{\begin{pmatrix} l_{1,n} & -b_0 b_n \\ -a_n a_0 & l_{n,0} \end{pmatrix}} P_1 \oplus P_n \xrightarrow{\begin{pmatrix} b_0 \\ a_n \end{pmatrix}} P_0 \xrightarrow{d_1} k[l_{0,1}, l_{0,2}, \dots, l_{0,n-1}] \rightarrow 0$$

is an exact sequence of  $k$ -linear maps in  $kQ/I$  (7.A).

*Proof.* This sequence is a chain complex from the relations  $I$  (7.A). The exactness at the last three indexes is from [W1, §6]. By 7.11, we have  $d_4$  is injective, and thus this complex is exact at the first index. So we only need to prove that  $\ker d_3 \subseteq \text{im } d_4$ . It suffices to prove that, for any  $(f, g) \in P_1 \oplus P_n$ ,

$$f l_{1,n} = g a_n a_0 \Rightarrow (f, g) = (h a_0, h b_n) \text{ for some } h \in P_0.$$

Since  $R$  in (7.B) is reduction-finite by 7.6, we can assume that  $f$  and  $g$  are irreducible. Since  $f$  is irreducible and there are no paths in  $S$  (7.B) that end with  $l_{1,n}$ , then  $f l_{1,n}$  is also irreducible. Since  $g \in P_n$ , by 7.10 we can write  $g$  as a linear combination of irreducible terms

$$g = \lambda A + \mu B + \sum_{i=0}^n \beta_i L_i l_{n,i} + \sum_{i=0}^n \sum_j \lambda_{ij} A_{ij} K_{ij} l_{n,i} + \sum_k \mu_k B_k J_k,$$

where each  $\lambda, \mu, \beta_i, \lambda_{ij}, \mu_k \in k$ , and  $A, A_{ij} \in k\mathcal{A}_n$ , and  $B, B_k \in k\mathcal{B}_n$ , and  $L_i, K_{ij}, J_k \in k\mathcal{L}_n$ . Since  $L_i l_{n,i}$  is irreducible,  $L_i \in k\langle l_{n,0}, \dots, l_{n,i} \rangle$  for each  $i$ . Similarly,  $K_{ij} \in k\langle l_{n,0}, l_{n,1}, \dots, l_{n,i} \rangle$  for each  $i$  and  $j$ .

Multiplying  $g$  on the right by  $a_n a_0$ ,  $g a_n a_0$  equals

$$\begin{aligned}
& \lambda A a_n a_0 + \mu B a_n a_0 + \sum_i \beta_i L_i l_{n,i} a_n a_0 + \sum_{i,j} \lambda_{ij} A_{ij} K_{ij} l_{n,i} a_n a_0 + \sum_k \mu_k B_k J_k a_n a_0 \\
&= \lambda A a_n a_0 + \mu (B)_{01} b_0 b_n a_n a_0 + \sum_i \beta_i L_i l_{n,i} a_n a_0 + \sum_{i,j} \lambda_{ij} A_{ij} K_{ij} l_{n,i} a_n a_0 \\
&+ \sum_k \mu_k (B_k)_{01} b_0 b_n J_k a_n a_0 \\
&\quad (\text{since } B = (B)_0 b_n = (B)_{01} b_0 b_n, B_k = (B_k)_0 b_n = (B_k)_{01} b_0 b_n) \\
&\rightarrow \lambda A a_n a_0 + \mu (B)_{01} l_{1,0} l_{1,n} + \sum_i \beta_i a_n a_0 (L_i)_1 l_{1,i} + \sum_{i,j} \lambda_{ij} A_{ij} a_n a_0 (K_{ij})_1 l_{1,i} \\
&+ \sum_k \mu_k (B_k)_{01} l_{1,0} (J_k)_1 l_{1,n} \tag{7.D}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& (\text{since } b_0 b_n J_k a_n a_0 \rightarrow b_0 b_n a_n a_0 (J_k)_1 \rightarrow b_0 l_{0,n} a_0 (J_k)_1 \rightarrow b_0 a_0 l_{1,n} (J_k)_1 \rightarrow l_{1,0} (J_k)_1 l_{1,n}) \\
&= \lambda A a_n a_0 + \mu (B)_{01} l_{1,0} l_{1,n} + \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \beta_i a_n a_0 (L_i)_1 l_{1,i} + \beta_n a_n a_0 (L_n)_1 l_{1,n} + \\
&\sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \sum_j \lambda_{ij} A_{ij} a_n a_0 (K_{ij})_1 l_{1,i} + \sum_j \lambda_{nj} A_{nj} a_n a_0 (K_{nj})_1 l_{1,n} + \sum_k \mu_k (B_k)_{01} l_{1,0} (J_k)_1 l_{1,n} \\
&= \lambda A a_n a_0 + \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \beta_i a_n a_0 (L_i)_1 l_{1,i} + \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \sum_j \lambda_{ij} A_{ij} a_n a_0 (K_{ij})_1 l_{1,i} + f_1 l_{1,n}. \tag{7.E}
\end{aligned}$$

(set  $f_1 := \mu (B)_{01} l_{1,0} + \beta_n a_n a_0 (L_n)_1 + \sum_j \lambda_{nj} A_{nj} a_n a_0 (K_{nj})_1 + \sum_k \mu_k (B_k)_{01} l_{1,0} (J_k)_1$ )

We claim that each term in (7.D) is irreducible. To see this, we consider the terms in (7.D) separately.

- (1) By the reduction system  $R$  (7.B)  $A a_n a_0$  is irreducible.
- (2) Since  $l_{1,0} l_{1,n} \in \mathcal{L}_1$  and  $(B)_{01} \in \mathcal{B}_1$ ,  $(B)_{01} l_{1,0} l_{1,n}$  is irreducible by 7.10.
- (3) Since  $L_i \in k\langle l_{n,0}, \dots, l_{n,i} \rangle$ ,  $(L_i)_1 \in k\langle l_{1,0}, \dots, l_{1,i} \rangle$ , so  $(L_i)_1 l_{1,i} \in k\mathcal{L}_1$ . Thus  $a_n a_0 (L_i)_1 l_{1,i}$  is irreducible by 7.10.
- (4) Since  $K_{ij} \in k\langle l_{n,0}, \dots, l_{n,i} \rangle$ ,  $(K_{ij})_1 \in k\langle l_{1,0}, \dots, l_{1,i} \rangle$ , so  $(K_{ij})_1 l_{1,i} \in k\mathcal{L}_1$ . Thus  $A_{ij} a_n a_0 (K_{ij})_1 l_{1,i}$  is irreducible by 7.10.
- (5) Since  $l_{1,0} (J_k)_1 l_{1,n} \in k\mathcal{L}_1$ ,  $(B_k)_{01} l_{1,0} (J_k)_1 l_{1,n}$  is irreducible by 7.10.

We next claim that each term in (7.D) differs from the others.

Since each  $a_n a_0 (L_i)_1 l_{1,i}$  ends with  $l_{1,i}$ ,  $a_n a_0 (L_i)_1 l_{1,i}$  are different for different  $i$ . Since  $A_{ij} K_{ij} l_{n,i}$  are different for different  $i$  and  $j$ ,  $A_{ij} a_n a_0 (K_{ij})_1 l_{1,i}$  are also different for different  $i$  and  $j$ . Similarly,  $(B_k)_{01} l_{1,0} (J_k)_1 l_{1,n}$  are different for different  $k$ . Since  $\deg(A_{ij}) \geq 1$ ,  $A_{ij} a_n a_0$  is different from  $a_n a_0$ , so  $A_{ij} a_n a_0 (K_{ij})_1 l_{1,i}$  is different from  $a_n a_0 (L_i)_1 l_{1,i}$ . Similarly,  $(B_k)_{01} l_{1,0} (J_k)_1 l_{1,n}$  is different from  $(B)_{01} l_{1,0} l_{1,n}$ . So we proved the claim.

Since (7.E) is obtained by combining the terms in (7.D) that end with  $l_{1,n}$ , each term in (7.E) is also irreducible and differs from the others. So the terms in (7.E) descend to give different basis elements of  $kQ/I$  by 7.8.

Recall that  $f l_{1,n} = g a_n a_0$  and  $f l_{1,n}$  is irreducible. Since only  $f_1 l_{1,n}$  ends with  $l_{1,n}$  in  $g a_n a_0$  (7.E), then all terms in  $g a_n a_0$  except  $f_1 l_{1,n}$  are zero, namely  $\lambda = 0$ ,  $\beta_i = 0$  and  $\lambda_{ij} = 0$  for any  $0 \leq i \leq n-1$  and  $j$ . So

$$\begin{aligned}
g &= \mu B + \beta_n L_n l_{n,n} + \sum_j \lambda_{nj} A_{nj} K_{nj} l_{n,n} + \sum_k \mu_k B_k J_k \\
&\quad (\text{since } B_k = (B_k)_0 b_n \text{ and } b_n J_k = (J_k)_0 b_n) \\
&= \mu (B)_0 b_n + \beta_n L_n a_n b_n + \sum_j \lambda_{nj} A_{nj} K_{nj} a_n b_n + \sum_k \mu_k (B_k)_0 (J_k)_0 b_n \\
&\quad (\text{set } h := \mu (B)_0 + \beta_n L_n a_n + \sum_j \lambda_{nj} A_{nj} K_{nj} a_n + \sum_k \mu_k (B_k)_0 (J_k)_0) \\
&= h b_n.
\end{aligned}$$

Thus  $ga_n a_0 = hb_n a_n a_0 = ha_0 l_{1,n}$ . Together with  $fl_{1,n} = ga_n a_0$  gives  $fl_{1,n} = ha_0 l_{1,n}$ , and so  $f = ha_0$  by 7.11. Thus  $(f, g) = (ha_0, hb_n)$ , proving the claim.  $\square$

With the exact sequence in 7.12, we can calculate the vector space dimension of each graded degree piece of  $P_i$  in (7.F), which will be used to prove the isomorphism in 7.17.

**Notation 7.13.** In the following, we adopt a new definition of degree of  $Q$  (7.A), which differs from path length in 2.1(4).

- (1) Define  $\deg(a_i) = \deg(b_i) = 1$  and  $\deg(l_{i,i}) = 2$  for each  $i$  and  $t$ .
- (2) With respect to this degree, write  $P_{i,d}$  for the graded piece of degree  $d$  of  $P_i$ .
- (3) Write  $D_d$  for the vector space dimension of  $P_{0,d}$ .

By the symmetry of the quiver  $Q$  and relations  $I$  (7.A),  $D_d$  is also the vector space dimension of  $P_{i,d}$  for  $1 \leq i \leq n$ . By 7.12, for any integer  $d$ , there is an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow P_{0,d} \rightarrow P_{1,d+1} \oplus P_{n,d+1} \rightarrow P_{1,d+3} \oplus P_{n,d+3} \rightarrow P_{0,d+4} \rightarrow T_{d+4} \rightarrow 0,$$

where  $T_{d+4}$  denotes the degree  $d+4$  piece of  $k[l_{0,1}, l_{0,2}, \dots, l_{0,n-1}]$ . Thus

$$D_d - 2D_{d+1} + 2D_{d+3} - D_{d+4} + E_{d+4} = 0 \quad (7.F)$$

where  $E_{d+4} = \dim_k T_{d+4}$ .

**Notation 7.14.** We next define

$$\mathcal{S} := \frac{k[u, v, x_0, x_1, \dots, x_n]}{uv - x_0 x_1 \dots x_n}, \quad (7.G)$$

and consider the  $\mathcal{S}$ -module  $N := \bigoplus_{i=0}^n N_i$  where  $N_0 := \mathcal{S}$  and  $N_j := (u, \prod_{i=0}^{j-1} x_i)$  for  $1 \leq j \leq n$ .


We will show that  $kQ/I$  in (7.A) presents  $\text{End}_{\mathcal{S}}(N)$ . By [IW2], every morphism in  $\text{End}_{\mathcal{S}}(N)$  can be obtained as a linear combination of compositions of the following maps.

$$(7.H)$$

Thus there exists an obvious surjective homomorphism  $kQ \twoheadrightarrow \text{End}_{\mathcal{S}}(N)$ . Since  $I$  gets sent to zero by inspection, this induces a surjective homomorphism  $\psi: kQ/I \twoheadrightarrow \text{End}_{\mathcal{S}}(N)$ . We will show that  $\psi$  is an isomorphism, by counting graded pieces.

**Notation 7.15.** Grade  $\mathcal{S}$  via  $\deg(u) = \deg(v) = n+1$  and  $\deg(x_0) = \dots = \deg(x_n) = 2$ . The particular choice of the graded shift of  $N$  given by  $N := \bigoplus_i^n N_i(-i)$  induces a grading in  $\text{End}_{\mathcal{S}}(N)$ , which explicitly grades each arrow in (7.H) as follows.





**Notation 7.16.** Parallel to the notation 7.13, we adopt the following notation.

- (1) Set  $Q_i := \text{Hom}_S(N, N_i(-i))$  for  $0 \leq i \leq n$ .
- (2) With respect to (7.I), write  $Q_{i,d}$  for the degree  $d$  graded piece of  $Q_i$ .
- (3) Write  $D'_d$  for the vector space dimension of  $Q_{0,d}$ .

By the symmetry of (7.H),  $D'_d$  is also the vector space dimension of  $Q_{i,d}$  for  $1 \leq i \leq n$ .

By [W2], we have the following exact sequence,

$$0 \rightarrow N_0 \xrightarrow{(x_0, u)} N_1 \oplus N_n \xrightarrow{\begin{pmatrix} x_n & -u \\ -\frac{x_0 x_n}{u} & x_0 \end{pmatrix}} N_1 \oplus N_n \xrightarrow{\begin{pmatrix} inc \\ \frac{x_n}{u} \end{pmatrix}} N_0 \rightarrow 0$$

Using the grading in 7.16, the above exact sequence becomes

$$0 \rightarrow N_0 \xrightarrow{d_4} N_1(-1) \oplus N_n(-n) \xrightarrow{d_3} N_1(-1) \oplus N_n(-n) \xrightarrow{d_2} N_0 \rightarrow 0. \quad (7.J)$$

where each  $d_i$  is homogeneous, and further  $\deg(d_4) = 1 = \deg(d_2)$  and  $\deg(d_3) = 2$ . Applying  $\text{Hom}_S(N, -)$  to (7.J) induces the following exact sequence,

$$0 \rightarrow Q_0 \xrightarrow{d_4} Q_1 \oplus Q_n \xrightarrow{d_3} Q_1 \oplus Q_n \xrightarrow{d_2} Q_0 \xrightarrow{d_1} \Lambda_{\text{con}} \cong k[x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{n-1}] \rightarrow 0,$$

which is parallel to the one in 7.12. Thus for any integer  $d$ , there is an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow Q_{0,d} \rightarrow Q_{1,d+1} \oplus Q_{n,d+1} \rightarrow Q_{1,d+3} \oplus Q_{n,d+3} \rightarrow Q_{0,d+4} \rightarrow T'_{d+4} \rightarrow 0,$$

where  $T'_{d+4}$  denotes the degree  $d+4$  piece of  $k[x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{n-1}]$ . Thus

$$D'_d - 2D'_{d+1} + 2D'_{d+3} - D'_{d+4} + E'_{d+4} = 0 \quad (7.K)$$

where  $E'_{d+4} = \dim_k T'_{d+4}$ .

**Proposition 7.17.** *With notation as above,  $\psi$  induces an isomorphism  $kQ/I \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{End}_S(N)$ .*

*Proof.* With the notation above,  $\psi$  is a graded surjective homomorphism, so it suffices to show that  $D_d = D'_d$  for all  $d$ . Using (7.F), (7.K) and  $E_d = E'_d$  for each  $d$ ,  $D_d = D'_d$  for each  $d$  by induction.  $\square$

## REFERENCES

- [A1] V. I. Arnold, *Local normal forms of functions*, Invent. Math. **35** (1976), 87–109.
- [A2] J. August, *The tilting theory of contraction algebras*, Adv. Math. **374** (2020), 107372, 56 pp.
- [B] G. M. Bergman, *The diamond lemma for ring theory*, Adv. in Math. **29** (1978), no. 2, 178–218; MR0506890
- [BCHM] C. Birkar et al., *Existence of minimal models for varieties of log general type*, J. Amer. Math. Soc. **23** (2010), no. 2, 405–468; MR2601039
- [BKL] J. A. Bryan, S. H. Katz and N. C. Leung, *Multiple covers and the integrality conjecture for rational curves in Calabi-Yau threefolds*, J. Algebraic Geom. **10** (2001), no. 3, 549–568; MR1832332
- [BW] G. Brown and M. Wemyss, *Local Normal Forms of Noncommutative Functions*, [arXiv:2111.05900](https://arxiv.org/abs/2111.05900).
- [CS] S. Chouhly and A. L. Solotar, *Projective resolutions of associative algebras and ambiguities*, J. Algebra **432** (2015), 22–61; MR3334140

- [DWZ] H. Derksen, J. Weyman, and A. Zelevinsky, *Quivers with potentials and their representations. I. Mutations*. *Selecta Math. (N.S.)* **14** (2008), no. 1, 59–119.
- [DW1] W. Donovan and M. Wemyss, *Noncommutative deformations and flops*, *Duke Math. J.* **165** (2016), no. 8, 1397–1474.
- [DW2] W. Donovan and M. Wemyss, *Contractions and deformations*, *Amer. J. Math.* **141** (2019), no. 3, 563–592.
- [E1] K. Erdmann, *Blocks of tame representation type and related algebras*, *Lecture Notes in Mathematics*, 1428, Springer, Berlin, 1990; MR1064107
- [E2] K. Erdmann, *Private communication*, August 2020.
- [H] T. Holm, *Derived equivalent tame blocks*, *J. Algebra* **194** (1997), no. 1, 178–200; MR1461486
- [HW] Y. Hirano and M. Wemyss, *Stability conditions for 3-fold flops*, *Duke Math. J.* **172** (2023), no. 16, 3105–3173; MR4679958
- [JKM] G. Jasso, B. Keller and F. Muro, *The Donovan-Wemyss conjecture via the derived Auslander-Iyama correspondence*, in *Triangulated categories in representation theory and beyond—the Abel Symposium 2022*, 105–140, *Abel Symp.*, 17, Springer, Cham, ; MR4786504
- [IW1] O. Iyama and M. Wemyss, *Singular Derived Categories of  $\mathbb{Q}$ -factorial terminalizations and Maximal Modification Algebras*, *Adv. Math.* **261** (2014), 85–121.
- [IW2] O. Iyama and M. Wemyss, *Reduction of triangulated categories and Maximal Modification Algebras for  $cA_n$  singularities*, *J. Reine Angew. Math.* **738** (2018), 149–202.
- [K] J. Karmazyn, *Quiver GIT for varieties with tilting bundles*, *Manuscripta Math.* **154** (2017), no. 1-2, 91–128; MR3682206
- [MT] D. Maulik and Y. Toda, *Gopakumar-Vafa invariants via vanishing cycles*, *Invent. Math.* **213** (2018), no. 3, 1017–1097; MR3842061
- [MY] J. N. Mather and S. S. T. Yau, *Classification of isolated hypersurface singularities by their moduli algebras*, *Invent. Math.* **69** (1982), no. 2, 243–251; MR0674404
- [NW] N. Nabijou and M. Wemyss, *GV and GW invariants via the enhanced movable cone*, [arXiv:2109.13289](https://arxiv.org/abs/2109.13289).
- [S] K. Steele, *The K-theory of (compound) Du Val singularities*, [arXiv:2009.05291](https://arxiv.org/abs/2009.05291).
- [T] Y. Toda, *Non-commutative deformations and Donaldson-Thomas invariants*, in *Algebraic geometry: Salt Lake City 2015*, 611–631, *Proc. Sympos. Pure Math.*, 97.1, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, ; MR3821164
- [V1] M. Van den Bergh, *Three-dimensional flops and noncommutative rings*, *Duke Math. J.* **122** (2004), no. 3, 423–455.
- [V2] M. Van den Bergh, *Calabi-Yau algebras and superpotentials*, *Selecta Math. (N.S.)* **21** (2015), no. 2, 555–603.
- [V3] O. van Garderen, *Donaldson–Thomas invariants of threefold flops*, PhD thesis, University of Glasgow, 2021.
- [V4] O. van Garderen, *Vanishing and Symmetries of BPS Invariants for CDV Singularities*, [arXiv:2207.13540](https://arxiv.org/abs/2207.13540).
- [W1] M. Wemyss, *Reconstruction algebras of type A*, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **363** (2011), no. 6, 3101–3132; MR2775800
- [W2] M. Wemyss, *Lectures on noncommutative resolutions*, [arXiv:1210.2564](https://arxiv.org/abs/1210.2564).
- [W3] M. Wemyss, *Flops and clusters in the homological minimal model programme*, *Invent. Math.* **211** (2018), no. 2, 435–521; MR3748312

HAO ZHANG, THE MATHEMATICS AND STATISTICS BUILDING, UNIVERSITY OF GLASGOW, UNIVERSITY PLACE, GLASGOW, G12 8QQ, UK.

*Email address:* [h.zhang.4@research.gla.ac.uk](mailto:h.zhang.4@research.gla.ac.uk)