## Uniform attractor of a non-autonomous Lamé thermoelastic system

Yuming Qin<sup>1,2\*</sup> Hongli Wang<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Insitute of Nonlinear Science, Donghua University, Shanghai, 201620, P. R. China,

<sup>2</sup> School of Mathematics and Statistics, Donghua University, Shanghai, 201620, P. R. China.

### Abstract

In this paper, we investigate the dynamical behavior of non-autonomous Lamé thermoelastic systems within N-dimensional materials. With appropriate constraints on nonlinear characteristics and functional parameters, we initially establish the existence of a uniformly absorbing set by constructing a Lyapunov function. Subsequently, we employ the contraction mapping principle to demonstrate the uniformly asymptotic compactness of the system. Finally, under irrotational conditions, we prove the existence of a uniform attractor  $\mathcal{A}_{\Sigma}$  in the space  $H_c$ .

**Keywords**: Lamé thermoelastic system; uniformly absorbing set; uniformly asymptotic compactness; Lyaponuv function; uniform attractor.

MSC2020: 35B40, 35L05, 35Q79, 37L30

# 1 Introduction

In this paper, we are concerned with the following non-autonomous Lamé thermoelastic system

$$\begin{cases} u_{tt} - \Delta_e u + \alpha(t) \nabla \theta + f(u) = 0, & \text{in } \Omega \times [\tau, +\infty), \\ \theta_t - \kappa(t) \Delta \theta + \alpha(t) \operatorname{div} u_t = g(x, t), & \end{cases}$$
(1.1)

with initial conditions and boundary conditions

$$\begin{cases} u(x,\tau) = u_{\tau}(x), u_t(x,\tau) = v_{\tau}(x), \ \theta(x,\tau) = \theta_{\tau}(x), \quad x \in \Omega, \ \tau \in \mathbb{R}, \\ u(x,t) = 0, \ \theta(x,t) = 0, \ x \in \partial\Omega, \ t \ge \tau, \end{cases}$$
(1.2)

where  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^N, N \geq 3$ , is a bounded domain with smooth boundary  $\partial \Omega$  and  $\Delta_e$  denotes the Lamé operator defined by

 $\Delta_e = \mu \Delta u + (\lambda + \mu) \nabla \operatorname{div} u$ , with  $\mu > 0$  and  $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ .

<sup>\*</sup>Corresponding author.

E-mails: yuming@dhu.edu.cn (Y. Qin), whl@mail.dhu.edu.cn (H. Wang).

In 1974, Achenbach[1] first introduced the Lamé-Navier equation  $\rho \vec{f} + (\lambda + G)\nabla(\nabla \cdot \vec{x}) + G\nabla \cdot \nabla \vec{x} = \vec{0}$ , which is fundamental in the study of linear elasticity. Since then, the Lamé system has been recognized by numerous scholars for its significant role in partial differential equations. It serves as the theoretical basis for describing linear elastic media deformation and is central to mathematical physics and elasticity theory. Recently, researchers have focused on exploring the long-time behavior of the Lamé systems.

For the autonomous Lamé system, Yamamoto[29] studied the autonomous Lamé system  $u_{tt} - \mu\Delta u - (\mu + \lambda)\nabla \operatorname{div} u = 0$  under Dirichlet boundary conditions, establishing the exponential decay of solutions through the scattering theory of Lax and Phillips. Then Astaburuaga and Charão [3] investigated the autonomous Lamé system  $u_{tt} - a^2\Delta u - (b^2 - a^2)\nabla \operatorname{div} u + q(x)g(u_t) = 0$  within a three-dimensional bounded domain, considering the influence of infinite memories. They obtained a comprehensive and accurate assessment of the solutions' convergence to zero as t approaches infinity. For the non-autonomous Lamé system  $u_{tt} - \mu\Delta u - (\mu + \lambda)\nabla \operatorname{div} u + \alpha u_t + f(u) = b(t)$ , to establish the existence and upper-semicontinuity of pullback attractors, which are critical for understanding the system's asymptotic behavior. Subsquently, Bezerra and Nascimento[4] studied a class of semilinear thermoelastic systems with variable thermal coefficients, further advancing the understanding of long-term dynamics in such a system

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t^2 u - \mu \Delta u - (\lambda + \mu) \nabla \operatorname{div} u + \beta_{\varepsilon}(t) \nabla \theta = f(u), \\ \partial_t \theta - \Delta \theta + \beta_{\varepsilon}(t) \operatorname{div} \partial_t u = 0, \end{cases}$$

they obtained the existence, regularity and upper-semicontinuity of the pullback attractors. It has been observed that these studies focus on the global attractors and pullback attractors of the Lamé system. Regarding elastic systems, [22] provides a detailed discussion on recent results and asymptotic behaviors of solutions to non-classical thermo(visco)elastic models. Further research in this area can be found in [18, 23, 24].

The complexity increases significantly when studying non-autonomous systems. A primary method in this field is the uniform attractor, initially introduced by Haraux [15, 16] and later improved in subsequent studies [7, 12, 31]. For nonlinear and complex systems, uniform attractors provide a method for understanding and predicting their complex dynamical behavior, which is crucial for comprehending the system's global properties and long-time behavor. Recently, Yang[30] investigated the dynamics of non-autonomous plate-type evolutionary equations  $u_{tt}+a(x)u_t+\Delta^2u+$   $\lambda u + f(u) = g(x,t)$  with critical nonlinearity, to further establish the existence of a uniform attractor in the space  $H_0^2(\Omega) \times L^2(\Omega)$ . In subsequent work, Xie, Li and Zeng[27] studied nonclassical diffusion equations with memory  $u_t(t) - \Delta u_t(t) - \Delta u(t) - \int_0^\infty k(s)\Delta u(t-s)ds + f(u(t)) = g(t)$  and successfully established the existence and structure of a compact uniform attractor. Later, Xiong and Sun[28] considered the non-autonomous damped wave equation  $\partial_t^2 u + \gamma \partial_t u - \Delta u + f(u) = g(x,t)$ , and derived an upper bound for the  $\varepsilon$ -entropy of the uniform attractor.

Building on the aforementioned research, we have fostered a particular interest in the uniform attractors of system (1.1)-(1.2). We will outline the primary challenges and novel contributions of this study in detail.

(i) It is widely recognized that in the field of thermoelasticity for N-dimensional materials, the total energy may not decay to zero, as demonstrated in [14]. Drawing on the works [2, 5] and employing Helmholtz decomposition from the fundamental theorems of vector calculus, we establish the existence of uniform attractor within both the irrotational component of the displacement vector field and the thermal discrepancy.

(ii) A Lyapunov function, as detailed in equation (3.27), has been constructed to confirm a uniform absorbing set for the system. While previous studies, including [26], have considered a broad range for the constants involved, our work precisely defines the specific bounds for each parameter. These detailed bounds are thoroughly presented in the proof of Lemma 3.9.

(iii) The nonlinear term f(u) and the non-autonomous external force term g(x,t) have been considered in system (1.1)-(1.2), resulting in the thermoelastic system being a highly complex non-autonomous dynamical system. This refined model delivers a more precise representation of the dynamical responses of materials under the concurrent influences of thermal and mechanical forces. However, these two elements also introduce significant challenges in studying the long-time behavior of the solutions.

(iv) The majority of existing studies such as [5, 6, 9] are dedicated to examining the long-time behavior of solutions for the Lamé system, including the existence of global attractors, pullback attractors, and their upper semi-continuity and regularity. However, to the best of our knowledge, there are currently no results regarding the uniform attractors for the non-autonomous Lamé thermoelastic system. Thus, our paper represents a novel attempt.

The structure of this paper is as follows. In Section 2, we present the necessary assumptions, and based on [5], drawing upon the research presented in [5], we establish the well-posedness of the system given by equations (1.1)-(1.2), as in Theorem 2.4. Furthermore, in Section 3, through a series energy estimates, we demonstrate the existence of a uniformly absorbing set in the space  $H_c$ , specifically for the case of a curl free part, as in Theorem 3.11. In Section 4, we derive uniform asymptotic compactness of the corresponding family of processes  $\{U_G(t,\tau)\}$  ( $G \in \Sigma$ ), generated by (2.7)-(2.8), as in Theorem 4.4. As a result, we obtain the existence of a uniform attractor  $\mathcal{A}_{\Sigma}$ , as in Theorem 4.5.

## 2 Assumptions and well-posedness

In this section, we establish the local and global well-posedness of the problem (1.1)-(1.2) in  $H_c$ and  $H_d$  under the assumptions (2.1)-(2.6).

### 2.1 Assumptions

As usual,  $L^q(\Omega)$ ,  $(1 \ge q \le \infty)$  and  $H^i(\Omega)$ , (i = 1, 2, 3), denote the standard notations of Lebesgue integral and Sobolev spaces. The  $L^2$ -inner product is denoted by  $(\cdot, \cdot)$  and  $\|\cdot\|_B$  denotes the norm in the space B. For simplicity, we use  $\|u\|$  instead of  $\|u\|_2$  when q = 2.

We assume that  $\alpha, \kappa : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$  are bounded, globally Lipschitz and

$$\alpha_0 \le \alpha(t) \le \alpha_1 \quad \text{for some} \quad \alpha_1 \ge \alpha_0 > 0,$$
(2.1)

$$\kappa_0 \le \kappa(t) \le \kappa_1 \quad \text{for some} \quad \kappa_1 \ge \kappa_0 > 0.$$
(2.2)

We assume the following conditions for the growth and dissipation of the nonlinearity  $f = (f_1, \ldots, f_N)$ . We regard f as a conservative vector field in  $\mathbb{R}^N$ , with each component function  $f_i : \mathbb{R}^N \to \mathbb{R}$  being twice continuously differentiable for any  $i \in 1, \ldots, N$ . Additionally, for some  $\eta \in \left(0, \min\left\{\frac{\lambda_1(2\mu+\lambda)}{2}, \lambda_1\right\}\right)$  and a positive constant  $C_f$ , where  $\lambda_1 > 0$  is the first eigenvalue of the negative Laplacian operator with zero Dirichlet boundary conditions, we make the following assumptions

$$-C_f - \frac{\eta}{2} |u|^2 \le \hat{f}(u) := \int_0^u f \, \mathrm{d}\gamma \le f(u) \cdot u + \frac{\eta}{2} |u|^2, \tag{2.3}$$

with  $\cdot$  denoting the standard dot product on  $\mathbb{R}^N$ , and  $\int_0^u f \, d\gamma$  represents the line integral of f along a piecewise smooth curve with initial point 0 and final point u, for any  $u \in \mathbb{R}^N$ , that is,

$$\nabla \hat{f}(u) = f(u),$$

where  $\nabla \hat{f}$  stands for the gradient of  $\hat{f}$  in the variables  $u \in \mathbb{R}^N$ . In addition, we shall assume throughout this paper that there exists a constant C > 0 such that for every  $i \in \{1, \ldots, n\}$  and  $\xi = (\xi_1, \dots, \xi_N) \in \mathbb{R}^N,$ 

$$\nabla f_i(\xi) \leqslant C \left( 1 + \sum_{i=1}^N |\xi_i|^{\rho-1} \right), \qquad (2.4)$$

and

$$\left|\partial_{x_i}^2 f_i(\xi)\right| \leqslant C,\tag{2.5}$$

for some  $1 < \rho < \frac{N}{N-2}$ , if  $N \ge 3$ .

The function  $g(\cdot, s)$  is a given external force which is assumed translation bounded in  $L^2_{loc}(\mathbb{R}; L^2(\Omega))$ , i.e.,  $g(\cdot) \in L^2_b(\mathbb{R}; L^2(\Omega))$ ,

$$\|g\|_{L^2_b(\mathbb{R};L^2(\Omega))}^2 = \sup_{t \in \mathbb{R}} \int_t^{t+1} \|g(s)\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 ds < \infty.$$
(2.6)

## 2.2 Well-posedness

In this subsection, based on [5], we show that the displacement can be decomposed into two parts: a curl free part and the divergence free part. To do this, we solve system over the space

$$H_c = W_c \times E_c \times L^2(\Omega),$$

and

$$H_d = W_d \times E_d$$

where

$$W_{c} = \left\{ u \in \left[ H_{0}^{1}(\Omega) \right]^{N}; \operatorname{curl} u = 0 \right\},$$
$$E_{c} = \left\{ u \in \left[ L^{2}(\Omega) \right]^{N}; \operatorname{curl} u = 0 \right\},$$
$$W_{d} = \left\{ u \in \left[ H_{0}^{1}(\Omega) \right]^{N}; \operatorname{div} u = 0 \right\},$$

and

$$E_d = \left\{ u \in \left[ L^2(\Omega) \right]^N; \operatorname{div} u = 0 \right\}.$$

If  $u \in [H_0^1(\Omega)]^N$ , then there exists functions  $u^c, u^d \in [H_0^1(\Omega)]^N$ , with curl  $u^c = 0$  and div  $u^c = 0$ , such that

$$u = u^c + u^d.$$

So we have that

$$\left[H_0^1(\Omega)\right]^N = W_c \oplus W_d, \quad W_c \cap W_d = \{0\}$$

Under the notations and conditions given above, we can then decompose problem (1.1)-(1.2) into the following problems.

Case curl  $\mathbf{u}^{c} = \mathbf{0}$ :

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t^2 u^c - \Delta_e u^c + \alpha(t) \nabla \theta + f(u) = \nabla p, \\ \partial_t \theta - \kappa(t) \Delta \theta + \alpha(t) \operatorname{div} \partial_t u^c = g(x, t), \end{cases} \quad \Omega \times [\tau, +\infty), \tag{2.7}$$

with initial conditions and boundary conditions

$$\begin{cases} u^{c}(x,\tau) = u^{c}_{\tau}(x), u^{c}_{t}(x,\tau) = v^{c}_{\tau}(x), \ \theta(x,\tau) = \theta_{\tau}(x), \quad x \in \Omega, \ \tau \in \mathbb{R}, \\ u^{c}(x,t) = 0, \ \theta(x,t) = 0, \ x \in \partial\Omega, \ t \ge \tau, \end{cases}$$
(2.8)

where  $\Delta p = 0$ ,  $\nabla p$  and  $u_t$  are orthogonal.

Denoting  $U = (u^c, z^c, \theta)$  be the state vector with  $v^c = u_t^c$ , we can rewrite the system (2.7)-(2.8) as an equivalent Cauchy problem in the product space  $H_c$ 

$$\begin{cases} \frac{\mathrm{d}U}{\mathrm{d}t} + A_c(t)U = F(U), t > \tau, \\ U(\tau) = U_{\tau}, \end{cases}$$
(2.9)

where  $U = \begin{bmatrix} u^c \\ v^c \\ \theta \end{bmatrix}$ ,  $U_{\tau} = \begin{bmatrix} u^c_{\tau} \\ v^c_{\tau} \\ \theta_{\tau} \end{bmatrix}$ , the linear operator  $A_c(t) : D(A_c) \subset H_c \to H_c$  is a unbounded

linear operator defined by

$$A_c(t) = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -I & 0 \\ -\Delta_e & 0 & \alpha(t)\nabla \\ 0 & \alpha(t)\operatorname{div} & -\kappa(t)\Delta \end{bmatrix},$$

with domain

$$D(A_c(t)) = \left(W_c \cap \left[H^2(\Omega)\right]^N\right) \times W_c \times H^1_0(\Omega) \cap H^2(\Omega),$$

and  $F: H_c \to H_c$  is a nonlinear operator given by

$$F(U) = \begin{bmatrix} 0\\ -f^e(u^c)\\ g(x,t) \end{bmatrix},$$

where  $f^e$  denotes the Nemytski operator associated with f; that is, for any  $x \in \Omega$  and  $t \ge \tau$ 

$$f^{e}(u^{c})(x,t) = f(u(x,t)) = (f_{1}(u^{c}(x,t)), \dots, f_{N}(u^{c}(x,t))).$$

We can obtain these lemmas in [6].

**Lemma 2.1.** ([6]) If the functions  $f_i$  satisfy (2.4), then there exists a constant C > 0 such that for every i = 1, ..., n, and  $u = (u_1, ..., u_n), y = (y_1, ..., y_n) \in \mathbb{R}^N$ , we have

$$|f_i(u) - f_i(y)| \leq 2^{\rho-1} N |u - y| \left( 1 + \sum_{i=1}^N |u_i|^{\rho-1} + \sum_{i=1}^N |y_i|^{\rho-1} \right).$$

Consequently, there exists a constant  $\overline{C} > 0$  for any  $U_1 = (u_1, z_1, \theta_1), U_2 = (u_2, z_2, \theta_2) \in H_c$  with  $u_i = (u_{i1}, \ldots, u_{iN})$  and we deduce that

$$\|F(U_1) - F(U_2)\|_{[H_0^1(\Omega)]^N \times [L^2(\Omega)]^N \times L^2(\Omega)} \leq \bar{C} \|U_1 - U_2\|_{(H^1(\Omega))^N} \left(1 + \sum_{i=1}^2 \sum_{j=1}^N \|u_{ij}\|_{H^1(\Omega)}^{\rho-1}\right).$$

Lemma 2.2. ([6]) If the functions  $f_i$  satisfy (1.7), then the Nemytskii operators associated with  $f_i, f_i^e : W_c \to L^2(\Omega)$  are continuously differentiable and the derivative operators  $Df_i^e : [H_0^1(\Omega)]^N \to \mathcal{L}\left([H_0^1(\Omega)]^N, L^2(\Omega)\right)$  are Lipschitz continuous (in bounded subsets of  $W_c$ ), for N = 3, 4. For N > 4, there exists a constant  $\eta \in (0, 1)$  such that for every  $u, v \in W_c$ ,

$$\|Df_i^e(u) - Df_i^e(v)\|_{\mathcal{L}([H_0^1(\Omega)]^N, L^2(\Omega))} \leq c \|u - v\|_{W_c}^{\eta}.$$

We define the energy functional E(t) associated to system (1.1)-(1.2) along a weak solution  $U = (u, u_t, \theta)$  by

$$E(t) = \frac{1}{2} \|u_t\|^2 + \frac{1}{2}\mu \|\nabla u\|^2 + \frac{1}{2}(\mu + \lambda)\|\operatorname{div} u\|^2 + \frac{1}{2} \|\theta\|^2 + \int_{\Omega} \hat{f}(u) \, \mathrm{d}x,$$
(2.10)

and

$$E_c(t) = \frac{1}{2} \|u_t\|^2 + \frac{1}{2} (2\mu + \lambda) \|\operatorname{div} u\|^2 + \frac{1}{2} \|\theta\|^2 + \int_{\Omega} \hat{f}(u) \, \mathrm{d}x.$$
(2.11)

If  $U = (u, u_t, \theta)$  is a strong solution, then

$$\frac{d}{dt}E(t) = -\kappa(t)\|\nabla\theta\|^2 + \langle g(t), \theta \rangle.$$
(2.12)

To enhance the clarity of our results, we establish certain definitions. Let us define the notation  $(\cdot, \cdot)_{H_0^1(\Omega)}$  to represent the inner product space in  $H_0^1(\Omega)$  and denote by

$$(\nabla u, \nabla v) = \sum_{i=1}^{N} (\nabla u_i, \nabla v_i) = \int_{\Omega} \nabla u \nabla v dx,$$

the inner product in  $(H_0^1(\Omega))^N$ . Indeed, to deal with problem (1.1)-(1.2), we consider the Hilbert space  $(H_0^1(\Omega))^N$  equipped with the inner product

$$(u_1, u_2)_{(H_0^1(\Omega))^N} = \int_{\Omega} \left[ \mu \nabla u_1 \nabla u_2 + (\lambda + \mu) \operatorname{div} u_1 \operatorname{div} u_2 \right] dx.$$
(2.13)

The norm induced by this inner product is equivalent to the usual one of  $(H_0^1(\Omega))^N$  and satisfies

$$\mu \|\nabla u\|_{(L^{2}(\Omega))^{N}}^{2} \leqslant \|u\|_{(H_{0}^{1}(\Omega))^{N}}^{2} \leqslant \tilde{c} \|\nabla u\|_{(L^{2}(\Omega))^{N}}^{2}, \quad u \in (H_{0}^{1}(\Omega))^{N}, \quad (2.14)$$

where  $\tilde{c} = \mu + N(\lambda + \mu)$ .

**Lemma 2.3.** There exist constants  $\beta_0$ ,  $C_f > 0$ , such that

$$E(t) \ge \beta_0 ||(u, u_t, \theta)||_{H_c}^2 - C_f |\Omega|.$$
(2.15)

**Proof.** Let us consider the continuous functional  $E_c: H_c \to \mathbb{R}$  defined using (2.10) as  $E_c(t) \approx E(t)$ . Using (2.3), we obtain

$$E(t) \geq \frac{1}{2} \left( \|u\|_{[H_0^1(\Omega)]^N}^2 + \|z\|_{[L^2(\Omega)]^N}^2 + \|\theta\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 \right) - \frac{\eta}{2} \|u\|_{[L^2(\Omega)]^N}^2 - C_\eta |\Omega|,$$

$$\geq \frac{1}{2} \left( \|u\|_{[H_0^1(\Omega)]^N}^2 + \|z\|_{[L^2(\Omega)]^N}^2 + \|\theta\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 \right) - \frac{\eta}{2\lambda_1} \|u\|_{[H_0^1(\Omega)]^N}^2 - C_\eta |\Omega|.$$

$$\beta_0 = \frac{1}{2} (1 - \frac{\eta}{\lambda_1}), \text{ we can easily get (2.15).}$$

$$(2.16)$$

Taking  $\beta_0 = \frac{1}{2}(1 - \frac{\eta}{\lambda_1})$ , we can easily get (2.15).

From Lemma 2.1, Lemma 2.2 and [5], we know the problem (2.7)-(2.8) has a unique local solution U(t) in  $H_c$  satisfying the initial condition  $U(\tau) = U_{\tau} \in H_c$ . Now, suppose  $t_{\max} < +\infty$ , by (2.12) and Young's inequality [19, 20], we get

$$E(t) \le E(\tau) + \frac{1}{2k_0} \int_{\tau}^{t_{\max}} \|g(s)\|^2 ds, \quad \forall t \in [\tau, t_{\max}).$$

By (2.15) in Lemma 2.3, we have

$$\|(u(t), u_t(t), \theta)\|_{H_c}^2 \le \frac{1}{\beta_0} \left( E(\tau) + \int_{\tau}^{t_{\max}} \|g(s)\|^2 ds + C_f |\Omega| \right) = C_{\tau},$$

for all  $t \in [\tau, t_{\max})$ . Therefore,  $t_{\max} = +\infty$  and U is a global solution.

 ${\bf Case \ div \ u^d=0:}$ 

$$u_{tt}^d - \mu \Delta u^d = \nabla r, \quad \Omega \times [\tau, +\infty), \tag{2.17}$$

with initial conditions and boundary conditions

$$\begin{cases} u^d(x,\tau) = u^d_\tau(x), u^d_t(x,\tau) = v^d_\tau(x), \\ u^d(x,t) = 0, x \in \partial\Omega, t \ge \tau, \end{cases}$$
(2.18)

where  $\Delta r = 0$ .

It should be noted that this case is identical to that in [5], hence we omit it.

Combining the above analysis, the following theorem may be established by a straightforward extension of [5], so we omit its proof.

**Theorem 2.4.** ([5]) Under assumption (2.1-(2.6), the following statements hold.

If  $(u_{\tau}, v_{\tau}, \theta_{\tau}) \in H_c$ , then problem (1.1)-(1.2) has a unique mild solution  $(u, \partial_t u, \theta) \in C([\tau, \infty), H_c)$ ;

Moreover, if  $(u_{\tau}, v_{\tau}, \theta_{\tau}) \in D(A_c(t))$ , then the above mild solution U is a classical solution and satisfies

$$(u,\partial_t u,v) \in C\left([\tau,\infty), \left(W_c \cap \left[H^2(\Omega)\right]^N\right) \times W_c \times H^1_0(\Omega) \cap H^2(\Omega)\right) \cap C^1\left([\tau,\infty), H_c\right).$$

# 3 Uniformly (w.r.t. $G \in \Sigma$ ) absorbing set in $H_c$

In this section, we focus on demonstrating the existence of a uniform absorbing set within the evolution process initiated by the system of equations (2.7)-(2.8) in the space  $H_c$ . To set the stage, we begin by revisiting some basic concepts about non-autonomous systems, with [13] serving as a comprehensive reference for further exploration.

### 3.1 Abstract results

**Definition 3.1.** ([13]) Let X be a Banach space, and  $\Sigma$  a parameter set. The operators  $\{U_{\sigma}(t,\tau)\}, \sigma \in \Sigma$ , are said to be a family of processes in X with symbol space  $\Sigma$  if for any  $\sigma \in \Sigma$ 

$$U_{\sigma}(t,s) \circ U_{\sigma}(s,\tau) = U_{\sigma}(t,\tau), \quad \forall t \ge s \ge \tau, \tau \in \mathbb{R},$$
$$U_{\sigma}(\tau,\tau) = \text{Id} \quad (identity), \quad \forall \tau \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Let  $\{T(s)\}_s \ge 0$  be the translation semigroup on  $\Sigma$ . We say that a family of processes  $\{U_{\sigma}(t,\tau)\}, \sigma \in \Sigma$ , satisfies the translation identity if

$$U_{\sigma}(t+s,\tau+s) = U_{T(s)\sigma}(t,\tau), \quad \forall \sigma \in \Sigma, t \ge \tau, \tau \in \mathbb{R}, s \ge 0,$$
$$T(s)\Sigma = \Sigma, \quad \forall s \ge 0.$$

**Definition 3.2.** ([13]) A bounded set  $B_0 \in \mathcal{B}(X)$  is said to be a bounded uniformly (w.r.t.  $\sigma \in \Sigma$ ) absorbing set for  $\{U_{\sigma}(t,\tau)\}, \sigma \in \Sigma$ , if for any  $\tau \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $B \in \mathcal{B}(X)$  there exists  $T_0 = T_0(B,\tau)$  such that  $\bigcup_{\sigma \in \Sigma} U_{\sigma}(t;\tau)B \subset B_0$  for all  $t \ge T_0$ .

**Definition 3.3.** ([13]) A set  $\mathcal{A} \subset X$  is said to be uniformly (w.r.t  $\sigma \in \Sigma$ ) attracting for the family of processes  $\{U_{\sigma}(t,\tau)\}, \sigma \in \Sigma$ , if for any fixed  $\tau \in \mathbb{R}$  and any  $B \in \mathcal{B}(X)$ 

$$\lim_{t \to +\infty} \left( \sup_{\sigma \in \Sigma} \operatorname{dist} \left( U_{\sigma}(t;\tau)B; \mathcal{A} \right) \right) = 0,$$

where dist $(\cdot, \cdot)$  is the usual Hausdorff semidistance in X between two sets. In particular, a closed uniformly attracting set  $\mathcal{A}_{\Sigma}$  is said to be the uniform (w.r.t.  $\sigma \in \Sigma$ ) attractor of the family of processes  $\{U_{\sigma}(t,\tau)\}, \sigma \in \Sigma$ , if it is contained in any closed uniformly attracting set (minimality property).

**Theorem 3.4.** ([11]) Let  $\Sigma$  be defined as before and  $G_0 \in \mathbb{E}$ , then

(1)  $G_0$  is a translation compact in  $\hat{\mathbb{E}}$  and for any  $G \in \mathbb{E} = H(G_0)$  is also a translation compact in  $\hat{\mathbb{E}}$ , moreover,  $H(G) \subseteq H(G_0)$ ;

(2) The set  $H(G_0)$  is bounded in  $L^2_{loc}$   $(R^+, H_c)$ , such that

$$\eta_G(h) \leq \eta_{G_0}(h) < +\infty$$
, for any  $G \in \Sigma$ .

# 3.2 Existence of uniformly (w.r.t. $G \in \Sigma$ ) absorbing set in $H_c$

We will now present a theorem regarding the existence of uniformly absorbing sets. Before stating the main result, we prove several important lemmas as follows.

**Lemma 3.5.** Let  $(u, \theta)$  be the solution to (2.7)-(2.8), the energy function defined by (2.11), then

$$\frac{d}{dt}E(t) \le -\frac{k_0}{2} \|\nabla\theta\|^2 + \frac{1}{2k_0\lambda_1} \|g\|^2.$$
(3.1)

**Proof.** From (2.12), we know

$$\frac{d}{dt}E(t) = -\kappa(t) \|\nabla\theta\|^2 + \langle g(t), \theta \rangle \,.$$

By applying Young's inequality, we can identify a positive constant  $\epsilon_1$  such that the following inequality holds,

$$\int_{\Omega} g \cdot \theta dx \leq \frac{\epsilon_1}{2} \|\theta\|^2 + \frac{1}{2\epsilon_1} \|g\|^2.$$

Utilizing the Poincáre inequality, assumption (2.2) and setting  $\epsilon_1 = k_0 \lambda_1$ , we can readily deduce inequality (3.1).

**Lemma 3.6.** Let  $(u, \theta)$  be the solution to (2.7)-(2.8), the function  $F_1(t)$  defined by

$$F_1(t) = \int_{\Omega} u \cdot u_t dx, \qquad (3.2)$$

satisfies

$$\frac{d}{dt}F_1(t) \le \|u_t\|^2 - \frac{2\mu + \lambda}{2} \|\operatorname{div} u\|^2 + \frac{2\lambda_1 \alpha_0}{4\eta} \|\nabla \theta\|^2 - \int_{\Omega} \hat{f}(u) \, \mathrm{d}x.$$
(3.3)

**Proof.** Multiplying equation  $(2.7)_1$  by u and applying theorethogonality condition, we get

$$\frac{d}{dt} = \|u_t\|^2 - \frac{2\mu + \lambda}{2} \|\operatorname{div} u\|^2 - \alpha(t) \int_{\Omega} \nabla \cdot \theta \, \mathrm{d}x - \int_{\Omega} f(u) \cdot u \, \mathrm{d}x.$$
(3.4)

Using assumption (2.1), immersion  $H_0^1(\Omega) \hookrightarrow L^2(\Omega)$  and Young's inequality

$$-\alpha(t)\int_{\Omega} \nabla \cdot \theta \, \mathrm{d}x \leq -\alpha_0 \int_{\Omega} \nabla \theta \cdot u \, \mathrm{d}x \leq \alpha_0 \int_{\Omega} |\nabla \theta| \cdot |u| \, \mathrm{d}x$$
  
$$\leq \frac{2\lambda_1 \alpha_0^2}{4\eta} \|\nabla \theta\|^2 + \frac{\eta}{2\lambda_1} \|\operatorname{div} u\|^2.$$
(3.5)

Using assumption (2.3), we have

$$-\int_{\Omega} f(u) \cdot u \, \mathrm{d}x \le -\int_{\Omega} \hat{f}(u) \, \mathrm{d}x + \frac{\eta}{2\lambda_1} \|\operatorname{div} u\|^2.$$
(3.6)

Inserting the inequality (3.5) and (3.6) in (3.4), we readily obtain equation (3.3).

Now, we introduce the multiplicators  $\phi$  and w given by

$$-\Delta \phi = \operatorname{div} u, \quad -\Delta w = \theta, \quad \text{in} \quad \Omega,$$

with

$$\phi(x,t) = 0, \quad w(x,t) = 0, \quad \text{on} \quad \Gamma = \partial \Omega.$$

**Lemma 3.7.** Under above notations, let  $(u, \theta)$  be the solution to (2.7)-(2.8), then

$$F_2(t) = \int_{\Omega} \theta \partial_t \phi \, \mathrm{d}x, \qquad (3.7)$$

satisfies

$$\frac{d}{dt}F_{2}(t) \leq -\frac{\alpha_{0}}{2k} \|u_{t}\|^{2} + C_{\epsilon,\delta} \|\nabla\theta\|^{2} + \epsilon \|\operatorname{div}\|^{2} + \delta \int_{\Gamma} |\operatorname{div} u|^{2} \,\mathrm{d}\Gamma + \frac{1}{\alpha_{0}\lambda_{1}} \|g(t)\|^{2}.$$
(3.8)

**Proof.** By applying integration by parts to equation  $(2.7)_2$  and performing direct calculations, we can obtain the following result

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t}F_{2}(t) = -\alpha(t)\int_{\Omega} |\nabla\partial_{t}\phi|^{2}\,\mathrm{d}x - \kappa(t)\int_{\Omega} \nabla\theta\cdot\nabla\partial_{t}\phi\,\,\mathrm{d}x - (2\mu+\lambda)\int_{\Gamma}\frac{\partial w}{\partial\nu}\,\mathrm{div}\,u\,\,\mathrm{d}\Gamma - (2\mu+\lambda)\int_{\Omega}\theta\,\mathrm{div}\,u\,\,\mathrm{d}x + \alpha(t)\int_{\Omega}\nabla w\cdot\nabla\theta\,\,\mathrm{d}x + \int_{\Omega}\nabla w\cdot f(u)\mathrm{d}x + \int_{\Omega}g(t)\partial_{t}\phi\,\,\mathrm{d}x.$$
(3.9)

Now we estimate the terms on the right-hand side of equation (3.9).

Applying assumption (2.1), there exists a constant k > 0, such that

$$-\alpha(t) \int_{\Omega} |\nabla \partial_t \phi| \, \mathrm{d}x \le -\alpha_0 \|\nabla \partial_t \phi\|^2 \le -\frac{\alpha_0}{k} \|u_t\|^2.$$
(3.10)

Using assumption (2.2) and Young's inequality, we have

$$-\kappa(t)\int_{\Omega} \nabla\theta \cdot \nabla\partial_t \phi \, \mathrm{d}x \le k_1 \|\nabla\theta\| \|\nabla\partial_t \phi\| \le \frac{k_1^2}{\alpha_0} \|\nabla\theta\|^2 + \frac{\alpha_0}{4} \|\nabla\partial_t \phi\|^2 \le \frac{k_1^2}{\alpha_0} \|\nabla\theta\|^2 + \frac{\alpha_0}{4k} \|u_t\|^2.$$
(3.11)

The following inequalities can be directly obtained from [5], and their proofs are omitted here

$$-(2\mu+\lambda)\int_{\Gamma}\frac{\partial w}{\partial\nu}\operatorname{div} u\,\,\mathrm{d}\Gamma \le C_{\delta}\|\nabla\theta\|^{2} + \delta\int_{\Gamma}|\operatorname{div} u|^{2}\,\,\mathrm{d}\Gamma,\tag{3.12}$$

$$-(2\mu+\lambda)\int_{\Omega}\theta\operatorname{div} u\,\mathrm{d} x \le C_{\epsilon}'\|\nabla\theta\|^{2} + \frac{\epsilon}{2}\int_{\Omega}|\operatorname{div} u|^{2}\,\mathrm{d} x,\tag{3.13}$$

$$\alpha(t) \int_{\Omega} \nabla w \cdot \nabla \theta \, \mathrm{d}x \le \frac{\alpha_1}{\lambda_1} \|\nabla \theta\|^2, \tag{3.14}$$

$$\int_{\Omega} \nabla w \cdot f(u) dx \le C_{\epsilon}'' \|\nabla \theta\|^2 + \frac{\epsilon}{2} \int_{\Omega} |\operatorname{div} u|^2 \, \mathrm{d}x, \tag{3.15}$$

where  $\epsilon$  and  $\delta$  are positive constants that will be chosen later.

Utilizing the Young's inequality and Poincáre inequality, we have

$$\int_{\Omega} g(t)\partial_t \phi \, \mathrm{d}x \le \|g(t)\| \|\partial_t \phi\| \le \lambda_1^{\frac{-1}{2}} \|g(t)\| \nabla \|\partial_t \phi\| \le \frac{1}{\alpha_0 \lambda_1} \|g(t)\|^2 + \frac{\alpha_0}{4} \|\partial_t \phi\|^2 \le \frac{1}{\alpha_0 \lambda_1} \|g(t)\|^2 + \frac{\alpha_0}{4k} \|u_t\|^2.$$
(3.16)

Incorporating inequalities (3.10)- (3.16) into equation (3.9), we straightforwardly derive equation (3.8).

**Lemma 3.8.** Let us denote by  $q \ a \ C^2$  function such that  $q = \nu$  over  $\Gamma$  and  $(u, \theta)$  be the solution to (2.7)-(2.8), then

$$F_3(t) = -\sum_{j=1}^N \sum_{i=1}^N \left\{ \int_{\Omega} \partial_t u_j q_i \frac{\partial u_i}{\partial x_j} \, \mathrm{d}x + \int_{\Omega} \partial_t u_j \frac{\partial q_i}{\partial x_j} u_i \, \mathrm{d}x \right\},\tag{3.17}$$

satisfies

$$\frac{d}{dt}F_3(t) \le \frac{3M_q}{2} \|u_t\|^2 + P\|\operatorname{div} u\|^2 + C_{\epsilon}^{'''}\|\nabla\theta\|^2 - \frac{2\mu + \lambda}{2} \int_{\Gamma} |\operatorname{div} u|^2 \,\mathrm{d}\Gamma + M_2, \tag{3.18}$$

where the constants  $M_q$ , P,  $M_2 > 0$ , will be defined in the subsequent sections.

**Proof.** Multiplying equation  $(2.7)_1$  by  $\nabla(q \cdot u)$  and utilizing the orthogonality condition, we can arrive at the following equality through straightforward calculations

$$\frac{d}{d}F_{3}(t) = -\sum_{j=1}^{N}\sum_{i=1}^{N}\int_{\Omega}\partial_{t}u_{j}\frac{\partial q_{i}}{\partial x_{j}}\left(\partial_{t}u_{i}\right)\mathrm{d}x + \frac{1}{2}\sum_{i=1}^{N}\int_{\Omega}\mathrm{div}\,q\left[\left|\partial_{t}u_{i}\right|^{2} - (2\mu + \lambda)|\,\mathrm{div}\,u|^{2}\right]\mathrm{d}x \\
- \frac{(2\mu + \lambda)}{2}\int_{\Gamma}|\,\mathrm{div}\,u|^{2}\,\mathrm{d}\Gamma + (2\mu + \lambda)\sum_{j=1}^{N}\int_{\Omega}\mathrm{div}\,u\sum_{i=1}^{N}\left[\frac{\partial^{2}q_{i}}{\partial x_{j}^{2}}u_{i} + 2\frac{\partial q_{i}}{\partial x_{j}}\frac{\partial u_{i}}{\partial x_{j}}\right]\mathrm{d}x \quad (3.19) \\
+ \int_{\Omega}\alpha(t)\nabla\theta\cdot\nabla(q\cdot u)\mathrm{d}x - \int_{\Omega}f(u)\cdot\nabla(q\cdot u)\mathrm{d}x.$$

Next, we will estimate the terms on the right-hand side of equation (3.19). We first denote

$$M_q \ge \max_{x \in \bar{\Omega}} \{ \|Dq\|, \|\operatorname{div} q\|, \|\nabla q\| \}.$$

Applying Young's inequality, we get

$$-\sum_{j=1}^{N}\sum_{i=1}^{N}\int_{\Omega}\partial_{t}u_{j}\frac{\partial q_{i}}{\partial x_{j}}\left(\partial_{t}u_{i}\right)dx = -\int_{\Omega}\partial_{t}u\cdot\left(Dq\right)^{\top}\partial_{t}udx \le M_{q}\left\|u_{t}\right\|^{2}.$$
(3.20)

Based on the definition of  $M_q$ , we derive

$$\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \int_{\Omega} \operatorname{div} q \left[ |\partial_{t} u_{i}|^{2} - (2\mu + \lambda) |\operatorname{div} u|^{2} \right] \mathrm{d}x = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} \operatorname{div} q \left[ |\partial_{t} u|^{2} - (2\mu + \lambda) |\operatorname{div} u|^{2} \right] \mathrm{d}x \\
\leq \frac{M_{q}}{2} \|u_{t}\|^{2} - \frac{M_{q}(2\mu + \lambda)}{2} \|\operatorname{div} u\|^{2},$$
(3.21)

and

$$(2\mu + \lambda) \sum_{j=1}^{N} \int_{\Omega} \operatorname{div} u \sum_{i=1}^{N} \left[ \frac{\partial^2 q_i}{\partial x_j^2} u_i + 2 \frac{\partial q_i}{\partial x_j} \frac{\partial u_i}{\partial x_j} \right] dx$$
  
$$= (2u + \lambda) \int_{\Omega} \operatorname{div} u \sum_{i=1}^{N} (\Delta q_i u_i + 2\nabla q_i \cdot \nabla u_i) dx$$
  
$$\leq 3(2u + \lambda) M_q \| \operatorname{div} u \|^2.$$
 (3.22)

Applying Young's inequality again, we obtain

$$\int_{\Omega} \alpha(t) \nabla \theta \cdot \nabla(q \cdot u) dx = \int_{\Omega} \alpha(t) \cdot \nabla \theta \cdot [(\nabla q) \cdot u + q \cdot \nabla u] dx$$
  
$$\leq C_{\epsilon}^{\prime\prime\prime} \|\nabla \theta\|^{2} + \epsilon \|\operatorname{div} u\|^{2},$$
(3.23)

and

$$\begin{split} -\int_{\Omega} f(u) \cdot \nabla(q \cdot u) \mathrm{d}x &= -\int_{\Omega} f(u) \cdot (\nabla q \cdot u) \mathrm{d}x - \int_{\Omega} f(u) \cdot (q \cdot \nabla u) \mathrm{d}x \\ &\leq \left| -\int_{\Omega} f(u) \cdot (\nabla q \cdot u) \mathrm{d}x \right| + \left| -\int_{\Omega} f(u) \cdot (q \cdot \nabla u) \mathrm{d}x \right| \\ &\leq M_q \int_{\Omega} |f(u)| |u| \mathrm{d}x + M_q \int_{\Omega} |f(u)| |\nabla u| \mathrm{d}x \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} |f(u)|^2 \mathrm{d}x + \frac{M_q^2}{2\lambda_1} \|\operatorname{div} u\|^2 + \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} |f(u)|^2 \mathrm{d}x + \frac{M_q^2}{2} \|\operatorname{div} u\|^2 \\ &= \int_{\Omega} |f(u)|^2 \mathrm{d}x + \frac{M_q^2 + M_q^2 \lambda_1}{2\lambda_1} \|\operatorname{div} u\|^2. \end{split}$$
(3.24)

Thanks to autsmption (2.4), there exists a constant  $M_1 > 0$  such that

$$\int_{\Omega} |f(u)|^2 dx \leq M_1 \int_{\Omega} |u|^2 dx + M_1 \sum_{i=1}^N \int_{\Omega} |u_i|^{2\rho} dx.$$

Since  $1 < \rho < \frac{N}{N-2}$ , it follows that  $H^1(\Omega) \hookrightarrow L^{2\rho}(\Omega)$ . Consequently, we obtain the following inequality

$$\int_{\Omega} |f(u)|^2 dx \leqslant M_1 \int_{\Omega} |u|^2 dx + \bar{M}_1 \leqslant \frac{M_1}{\lambda_1} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^2 dx + M_2, \qquad (3.25)$$

whenever  $||u||_{(H^1(\Omega))^N} \leq r$  (as in [8]).

Substituting equation (3.25) into equation (3.24), we can derive

$$\left| -\int_{\Omega} f(u) \cdot \nabla(q \cdot u) \mathrm{d}x \right| \le \frac{2\bar{M}_1 + M_q^2 + \lambda_1 M_q^2}{2\lambda_1} \|\operatorname{div} u\|^2 + M_2.$$
(3.26)

Finally, inserting equations (3.20)-(3.23) and (3.26) into equation (3.19), and taking  $P = \frac{5(2\mu+\lambda)M_q}{2} + \epsilon + \frac{2\bar{M}_1 + M_q^2 + \lambda_1 M_q^2}{2\lambda_1}$ , we obtain equation (3.18).

Now, we aim to construct a Lyapunov functional, which will be instrumental in achieving the desired result.

**Lemma 3.9.** For some constants  $N_0, N_1, N_2, N_3 > 0$ , we define a Lyapunov function  $\mathcal{L}(t)$  as

$$\mathcal{L}(t) = N_0 E(t) + N_1 F_1(t) + N_2 F_2(t) + N_3 F_3(t), \qquad (3.27)$$

satisfying

$$\frac{d}{dt}\mathcal{L}(t) \le -\xi E(t) + \tilde{C} \|g\|^2 + \tilde{M}, \qquad (3.28)$$

where  $\xi$ ,  $\tilde{M}$  and  $\tilde{C}$  are positive constants that will be determined at a later stage.

**Proof.** By (3.1), (3.2), (3.7) and (3.18), we can get

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt}\mathcal{L}(t) &\leq N_0 \left( -\frac{k_0}{2} \|\nabla\theta\|^2 + \frac{1}{2k_0\lambda_1} \|g\|^2 \right) \\ &+ N_1 \left( \|u_t\|^2 - \frac{2\mu + \lambda}{2} \|\operatorname{div} u\|^2 + \frac{2\lambda_1\alpha_0}{4\eta} \|\nabla\theta\|^2 - \int_{\Omega} \hat{f}(u) \, \mathrm{d}x \right) \\ &+ N_2 \left( -\frac{\alpha_0}{2k} \|u_t\|^2 + C_{\epsilon,\delta} \|\nabla\theta\|^2 + \epsilon \|\operatorname{div}\|^2 + \delta \int_{\Gamma} |\operatorname{div} u|^2 \, \mathrm{d}\Gamma + \frac{1}{\alpha_0\lambda_1} \|g(t)\|^2 \right) \\ &+ N_3 \left( \frac{3M_q}{2} \|u_t\|^2 + P\|\operatorname{div} u\|^2 + C_{\epsilon'}^{'''} \|\nabla\theta\|^2 - \frac{2\mu + \lambda}{2} \int_{\Gamma} |\operatorname{div} u|^2 \, \mathrm{d}\Gamma + M_2 \right) \\ &= - \left( \frac{k_0N_0}{2} - \frac{2\lambda_1\alpha_0N_1}{4\eta} - N_2C_{\epsilon,\delta} - N_3C_{\epsilon'}^{'''} \right) \|\nabla\theta\|^2 - \left( \frac{\alpha_0N_2}{2k} - N_1 - \frac{3M_qN_3}{2} \right) \|u_t\|^2 \\ &- \left( \frac{N_1(2\mu + \lambda)}{2} - N_2\epsilon - PN_3 \right) \|\operatorname{div} u\|^2 - \left( \frac{N_3(2\mu + \lambda)}{2} - N_2\delta \right) \int_{\Gamma} |\operatorname{div} u|^2 \, \mathrm{d}\Gamma \\ &+ \left( \frac{N_0}{2k_0\lambda_1} + \frac{N_2}{\alpha_0\lambda_1} \right) \|g(t)\|^2 + N_3M_2. \end{aligned}$$

$$(3.29)$$

We deduce that if the following inequalities hold

$$\frac{k_0 N_0}{2} - \frac{2\lambda_1 \alpha_0 N_1}{4\eta} - N_2 C_{\epsilon,\delta} - N_3 C_{\epsilon}^{\prime\prime\prime} \ge 0, 
\frac{\alpha_0 N_2}{2k} - N_1 - \frac{3M_q N_3}{2} \ge 0, 
\frac{N_1 (2\mu + \lambda)}{2} - N_2 \epsilon - P N_3 \ge 0, 
\frac{N_3 (2\mu + \lambda)}{2} - N_2 \delta \ge 0.$$
(3.30)

We first denote  $N_3 = 1$ ,  $N_2 = \frac{2\mu + \lambda}{3\delta}$ ,  $N_1 = \frac{(2\mu + \lambda)\alpha_0}{6k\delta} - 2M_q$ , then

$$\frac{N_1(2\mu+\lambda)}{2} - N_2\epsilon - PN_3 = -\frac{(2\mu+\lambda)^2\alpha_0}{12k\delta} + (2\mu+\lambda)M_q + \frac{(2\mu+\lambda)\epsilon}{3\delta} + P.$$

Let us denote  $\delta = \frac{(2\mu+\lambda)^2 \alpha_0}{12k[(2\mu+\lambda)M_q+2+P]}$ ,  $\epsilon = \frac{3\delta}{2\mu+\lambda}$ , which implies

$$N_{1} = \frac{2[(2\mu + \lambda)M_{q} + 2 + P]}{(2\mu + \lambda)} - 2M_{q} = \frac{4 + 2P}{2\mu + \lambda} > 0$$
$$N_{2} = \frac{4k[(2\mu + \lambda)M_{q} + 2 + P]}{(2\mu + \lambda)\alpha_{0}} = \frac{2\mu + \lambda}{3\delta} > 0.$$

Inserting  $N_1$ ,  $N_2$  in (3.30), we can obtain

$$\begin{cases} \frac{\alpha_0 N_2}{2k} - N_1 - \frac{3M_q N_3}{2} = \frac{M_q}{2} \ge 0, \\ \frac{N_1(2\mu + \lambda)}{2} - N_2 \epsilon - P N_3 = 1, \\ \frac{N_3(2\mu + \lambda)}{2} - N_2 \delta = \frac{2\mu + \lambda}{6} \ge 0. \end{cases}$$
(3.31)

Due to the embedding  $H_0^1(\Omega) \hookrightarrow L^2(\Omega)$  with  $\|\theta\|^2 \leq \frac{1}{\lambda_1} \|\nabla \theta\|^2$ , we can choose  $N_0$  sufficiently large such that

$$\lambda_1 \left[ \frac{k_0 N_0}{2} - \frac{2\lambda_1 \alpha_0 N_1}{4\eta} - N_2 C_{\epsilon,\delta} - N_3 C_{\epsilon}^{'''} \right] \ge \max\left\{ \frac{M_q}{2}, 1, \frac{2\mu + \lambda}{6} \right\}.$$
 (3.32)

From equations (3.31) and (3.32), we have verified that equation (3.30) indeed holds true.

In conclusion, we define  $\xi = \min\left\{\frac{M_q}{2}, 1, \frac{2\mu+\lambda}{6}\right\}, \tilde{C} = \frac{N_0}{2k_0\lambda_1} + \frac{N_2}{\alpha_0\lambda_1}, \tilde{M} = N_3M_2$ . With these definitions, we can immediately derive equation (3.28).

In the sequel, we will establish the existence of the uniform (w.r.t.  $G \in \Sigma$ ) absorbing set in  $H_c$ . Let  $R_{\tau} = [\tau, +\infty), \tau \ge 0$ , we will consider the following system

$$\begin{cases} u_t - v = 0, \\ \partial_t^2 u^c - \Delta_e u^c + \alpha(t) \nabla \theta + f(u) - \nabla p = 0, \quad \Omega \times [\tau, +\infty), \\ \partial_t \theta - \kappa(t) \Delta \theta + \alpha(t) \operatorname{div} \partial_t u^c = g(x, t), \end{cases}$$
(3.33)

with initial and boundary conditions

$$\begin{cases} u^{c}(x,\tau) = u^{c}_{\tau}(x), \ u^{c}_{t}(x,\tau) = v^{c}_{\tau}(x), \ \theta(x,\tau) = \theta_{\tau}(x), \quad x \in \Omega, \ \tau \in \mathbb{R}, \\ u^{c}(x,t) = 0, \ \theta(x,t) = 0, \ x \in \partial\Omega, \ t \ge \tau. \end{cases}$$
(3.34)

Let

$$G = (0, 0, g)^T \in \mathbb{E} = L^2(R_\tau, H_c).$$
(3.35)

For any  $(u_{\tau}, v_{\tau}, \theta_{\tau}) \in H_c$  and any  $G \in \mathbb{E}, t \geq \tau, \tau \geq 0$ , we define

$$U_G(t,\tau): (u_\tau, v_\tau, \theta_\tau) \in H_c \to (u(t), u_t(t), \theta(t)) = U_G(t,\tau) (u_\tau, v_\tau, \theta_\tau),$$

where  $(u(t), u_t(t), \theta(t))$  solves (3.33)-(3.34). Define the hull of  $F_0 \in \mathbb{E}$  as

$$\Sigma = H(G_0) = \left[G_0(t+h) \mid h \in \mathbb{R}^+\right]_{\mathbb{E}},$$

where  $[\cdot]_{\mathbb{E}}$  denotes the closure in Banach space  $\mathbb{E}$ . Note that

$$G_0 = (0, 0, g_0) \in \mathbb{E} \subseteq \hat{\mathbb{E}},$$

from assumption (2.6), we deduce that  $G_0$  is a translation compact function in  $\hat{\mathbb{E}}$  in the weak topology, implying that  $H(G_0)$  is compact in  $\hat{\mathbb{E}}$ . Subsequently, we consider the Banach space  $L^2_{loc}(R^+, \mathbb{E}_1)$ , which consists of functions  $\sigma(s), s \in R^+$ , taking values in the Banach space  $\mathbb{E}_1$  that are locally *p*-power integrable in the Bochner sense. In particular, for any  $[t_1, t_2] \subseteq R^+$ ,

$$\int_{t_1}^{t_2} \|\sigma(s)\|_{\mathbb{E}_1}^p ds < +\infty$$

Let  $\sigma(s) \in L^2_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^+, \mathbb{E}_1)$ , consider

$$\eta_{\sigma}(h) = \sup_{t \in R^+} \int_t^{t+h} \|\sigma(s)\|_{\mathbb{E}_1}^p ds < +\infty.$$

Similar to Theorem 2.4, we have the following existence and uniqueness result.

**Theorem 3.10.** Define the set  $\Sigma = [G_0(t+h) \mid h \in R^+]_{\mathbb{E}}$  where  $G_0 \in \mathbb{E}$  is an arbitrary but fixed symbol function. For any  $G \in \Sigma$  and any initial condition  $(u_{\tau}, v_{\tau}, \theta_{\tau}) \in H_c, \tau \ge 0$ , there exists a unique global solution  $(u(t), u_t(t), \theta(t)) \in \mathcal{H}$ , which generates a unique process  $\{U_G(t, \tau)\}$   $(t \ge \tau, \tau \ge 0)$  on  $H_c$  of a two-parameter family of operators, such that for any  $t \ge \tau, \tau \ge 0$ ,

$$U_G(t,\tau) (u_\tau, v_\tau, \theta_\tau) = (u(t), u_t(t), \theta(t)) \in H_c$$
$$u(t) \in C (R_\tau, W_c),$$
$$u_t(t) \in C (R_\tau, E_c),$$
$$\theta(t) \in C (R_\tau, L^2(\Omega)).$$

**Theorem 3.11.** Under the assumption of (3.35), the family of processes  $\{U_G(t,\tau)\}$   $(G \in \Sigma, t \ge \tau, \tau \ge 0)$ , corresponding to (3.33)-(3.34) has a bounded uniformly (w.r.t.  $G \in \Sigma$ ) absorbing set  $B_0$  in  $H_c$ .

**Proof.** As established in [13],  $\mathcal{L}(t)$  is equivalent to E(t), that is,  $\mathcal{L}(t) \sim E(t)$ . Then according to Lemma 3.9, we derive that

$$\frac{d}{dt}E(t) \le -\xi_1 E(t) + \tilde{C}_1 ||g||^2 + \tilde{M}_1.$$
(3.36)

Using assumption (2.6) and the Gronwall's inequality, we know

$$E(t) \leq E(\tau)e^{-\xi_{1}(t-\tau)} + (1+\xi_{1}^{-1})(\tilde{M}_{1}+\|g\|^{2})$$
  
$$\leq E(\tau)e^{-\xi_{1}(t-\tau)} + (1+\xi_{1}^{-1})(\tilde{M}_{1}+\|g\|^{2}_{L^{2}_{b}}).$$
(3.37)

From Theorem 3.4, we infer

$$\|g\|_{L^2_b}^2 \leqslant \|g_0\|_{L^2_b}^2$$
, for all  $g \in \Sigma$ .

Therefore, we obtain the uniformly (w.r.t.  $G\in\Sigma$  ) absorbing set  $B_0$  in  $H_c$ 

$$B_0 = \left\{ (u, u_t, \theta) \mid \| (u, u_t, \theta) \|_{H_c}^2 \leqslant \rho_0^2 \right\},\$$

where  $\rho_0 = 2\left(1 + \xi_1^{-1}\right) \left( (\tilde{M}_1 + \|g_0\|_{L_b^2}^2) \right)$ . In other words, for any bounded subset *B* in  $H_c$ , there exists a time  $t_0 = t_0(\tau, B) \ge \tau$  such that

$$\bigcup_{G \in \Sigma} U_G(t,\tau) B \subset B_0, \quad \forall t \ge t_0.$$

# 4 Uniformly (w.r.t. $G \in \Sigma$ ) asymptotic compactness in $H_c$

In this section, we begin with essential preliminaries and subsequently derive a priori energy estimates, drawing on concepts from [10, 17, 25]. We conclude by applying Theorem 4.2 to establish uniform (w.r.t.  $G \in \Sigma$ ) asymptotic compactness in  $H_c$ .

From this point onward, we will consistently denote by  $B_0$  the bounded uniformly (w.r.t.  $G \in \Sigma$ ) absorbing set obtained in Theorem 3.11.

### 4.1. Preliminaries

**Definition 4.1.** ([11, 25]) Let X be a Banach space, B a bounded subset of X and  $\Sigma$  a symbol (or parameter) space. We call a function  $\phi(\cdot, \cdot; \cdot, \cdot)$ , defined on  $(X \times X) \times (\Sigma \times \Sigma)$ , to be a contractive function on  $B \times B$  if for any sequence  $\{x_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty} \subset B$  and any  $\{\sigma_n\} \subset \Sigma$ , there is a subsequence  $\{x_{n_k}\}_{k=1}^{\infty} \subset \{x_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$  and  $\{\sigma_{n_k}\}_{k=1}^{\infty} \subset \{\sigma_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$  such that

$$\lim_{k \to \infty} \lim_{l \to \infty} \phi\left(x_{n_k}, x_{n_l}; \sigma_{n_k}, \sigma_{n_l}\right) = 0.$$

We denote the set of all contractive functions on  $B \times B$  by  $\operatorname{Contr}(B, \Sigma)$ .

**Theorem 4.2.** ([11]) Let  $\{U_{\sigma}(t,\tau)\}, \sigma \in \Sigma$ , be a family of processes which satisfies the translation identity in Definition 3.1 on Banach space X and has a bounded uniformly (w.r.t.  $\sigma \in \Sigma$ ) absorbing set  $B_0 \subset X$ . Moreover, assume that for any  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there exist  $T = T(B_0, \varepsilon)$  and  $\phi_T \in \text{Contr}(B_0, \Sigma)$ such that

$$\|U_{\sigma_1}(T,0)x - U_{\sigma_2}(T,0)y\| \leq \varepsilon + \phi_T(x,y;\sigma_1,\sigma_2), \quad \forall x,y \in B_0, \forall \sigma_1, \sigma_2 \in \Sigma.$$

Then  $\{U_{\sigma}(t,\tau)\}, \sigma \in \Sigma$ , is uniformly (w.r.t.  $\sigma \in \Sigma$ ) asymptotically compact in X.

## 4.2. A priori estimate

Next, we aim to obtain the uniformly  $(w.r.t. G \in \Sigma)$  asymptotic compactness by establishing the inequality (4.4). Without loss of generality, we will focus on the strong solutions in the sequence, as the case for weak solutions can be readily addressed using a density argument.

For any  $(u_{\tau}^{i}, v_{\tau}^{i}, \theta_{\tau}^{i}) \in B_{0}$ , let  $(u_{i}(t), v_{i}(t), \theta_{i}(t))$  be the corresponding solution to  $G_{i} \in \Sigma, i = 1, 2$ . Let

$$Z(t) = (z(t) - \varphi(t))^{T} = (u_{1}(t) - u_{2}(t), \theta_{1}(t) - \theta_{2}(t))^{T},$$

then the difference  $Z = (z, z_t, \varphi)$  solves the following problem

$$\begin{cases} z_{tt} - \Delta_e z + \alpha(t) \nabla \varphi + f(u^1) - f(u^2) = \nabla \widetilde{p}, \\ \varphi_t - \kappa(t) \Delta \varphi + \alpha(t) \operatorname{div} z_t = g_1(x, t) - g_2(x, t), \end{cases}$$
(4.1)

where  $\Delta \tilde{p} = 0$ , with initial and boundary conditions

$$\begin{cases} z(\tau) = u^{1}(\tau) - u^{2}(\tau), & z_{t}(\tau) = u_{t}^{1}(\tau) - u_{t}^{2}(\tau), \\ z(x,t) = 0, \varphi(x,t) = 0, x \in \partial\Omega, t \ge \tau. \end{cases}$$
(4.2)

We define the functional

$$E_Z(t) := \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} \left[ |z_t(t)|^2 + (2\mu + \lambda) |\nabla z(t)|^2 + |\varphi(t)|^2 \right] \mathrm{d}x.$$
(4.3)

**Lemma 4.3.** Assume the condition (2.6) is satisfied. Then for any fixed T > 0, there exist a constant  $C_T$  and a function  $\phi_T = \phi_T \left( \left( u_0^1, v_0^1, \theta_0^1 \right), \left( u_0^2, v_0^2, \theta_0^2 \right); G_1, G_2 \right)$  such that

$$\|u_1(T) - u_2(T)\|_{H_c} \leq C_T + \phi_T\left(\left(u_0^1, v_0^1, \theta_0^1\right), \left(u_0^2, v_0^2, \theta_0^2\right); G_1, G_2\right),$$
(4.4)

where  $G_i = (0, 0, g_i), i = 1, 2, C_T$  and  $\phi_T$  depends on T.

**Proof.** Multiplying the equation  $(4.1)_1$  by  $z_t$  and  $(4.1)_2$  by  $\varphi$ , then summing these products, and utilize the orthogonality of  $z_t$  and  $\nabla \tilde{p}$ , along with assumption (2.2), we deduce that

$$\frac{d}{dt}E_{Z}(t) = -\kappa(t)\int_{\Omega} |\nabla\varphi|^{2} \mathrm{d}x + \int_{\Omega} (f(u^{2}) - f(u^{1})) \cdot z_{t} \mathrm{d}x + \int_{\Omega} (g_{1} - g_{2})\varphi \mathrm{d}x \\
\leq -k_{0}(t)\int_{\Omega} |\nabla\varphi|^{2} \mathrm{d}x + \int_{\Omega} (f(u^{2}) - f(u^{1})) \cdot z_{t} \mathrm{d}x + \int_{\Omega} (g_{1} - g_{2})\varphi \mathrm{d}x.$$
(4.5)

Intergrating (4.5) over [ $\sigma, T$ ], we get

$$E_{Z}(T) \leq -k_{0} \int_{\sigma}^{T} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla \varphi|^{2} \mathrm{d}x \mathrm{d}s + \int_{\sigma}^{T} \int_{\Omega} \left( f(u^{1}) - f(u^{2}) \right) \cdot z_{t} \mathrm{d}x \mathrm{d}s + \int_{\sigma}^{T} \int_{\Omega} \left( g_{1} - g_{2} \right) \varphi \mathrm{d}x \mathrm{d}s + E_{Z}(\sigma).$$

$$(4.6)$$

Then intergrating (4.6) over [0, T], we obtain

$$E_{Z}(T) \leq -k_{0} \int_{0}^{T} \int_{\sigma}^{T} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla \varphi|^{2} \mathrm{d}x \mathrm{d}s \mathrm{d}\sigma + \int_{0}^{T} \int_{\sigma}^{T} \int_{\Omega} \left( f(u^{1}) - f(u^{2}) \right) \cdot z_{t} \mathrm{d}x \mathrm{d}s \mathrm{d}\sigma + \int_{0}^{T} \int_{\sigma}^{T} \int_{\Omega} \left( g_{1} - g_{2} \right) \varphi \mathrm{d}x \mathrm{d}s \mathrm{d}\sigma + \int_{0}^{T} E_{Z}(\sigma) \mathrm{d}\sigma.$$

$$(4.7)$$

By Young's inequality, we derive

$$\int_0^T \int_{\sigma}^T \int_{\Omega} \left(g_1 - g_2\right) \varphi dx ds d\sigma \le \frac{1}{2} \int_0^T \int_{\sigma}^T \int_{\Omega} |g_1 - g_2|^2 dx ds d\sigma + \frac{1}{2} \int_0^T \int_{\sigma}^T \int_{\Omega} |\varphi|^2 dx ds d\sigma.$$
(4.8)

Based on Lemma 2.1, the Young's inequality, Hölder inequality[21] with  $\frac{\rho-1}{2\rho} + \frac{1}{2\rho} + \frac{1}{2} = 1$ , and embedding  $\left[H_0^1(\Omega)\right]^N \hookrightarrow \left[L^{2\rho}(\Omega)\right]^N$ , we deduce

$$\int_{0}^{T} \int_{\sigma}^{T} \int_{\Omega} \left( f\left(u^{2}\right) - f\left(u^{1}\right) \right) \cdot z_{t} dx ds d\sigma 
= \int_{0}^{T} \int_{\sigma}^{T} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \int_{\Omega} \left( f_{i}\left(u^{2}\right) - f_{i}\left(u^{1}\right) \right) \partial_{t} z_{i} dx ds d\sigma 
\leq 2^{\rho-1} N \int_{0}^{T} \int_{\sigma}^{T} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \int_{\Omega} \left( 1 + \sum_{i=1}^{N} |u_{i}^{2}|^{\rho-1} + \sum_{i=1}^{N} |u_{i}^{1}|^{\rho-1} \right) |z_{i}||\partial_{t} z_{i}| dx ds d\sigma 
\leq C \int_{0}^{T} \int_{\sigma}^{T} \left( 1 + ||u^{1}||^{\rho-1}_{2\rho} + ||u^{2}||^{\rho-1}_{2\rho} \right) ||z||_{2\rho} ||z_{t}|| ds d\sigma 
\leq C \int_{0}^{T} \int_{\sigma}^{T} \left( 1 + ||u^{1}||^{\rho-1}_{[H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)]^{N}} + ||u^{2}||^{\rho-1}_{[H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)]^{N}} \right) ||z||_{2\rho} ||z_{t}|| ds d\sigma 
\leq C_{B} \int_{0}^{T} \int_{\sigma}^{T} ||z||_{2\rho} ||z_{t}|| ds d\sigma 
\leq \frac{C_{B}^{2}}{2} \int_{0}^{T} \int_{\sigma}^{T} ||z||^{2}_{2\rho} ds d\sigma + \frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{T} \int_{\sigma}^{T} \int_{\Omega} |z_{t}|^{2} dx ds d\sigma.$$
(4.9)

According to (4.3), we conclude

$$\frac{1}{2}\int_0^T \int_\sigma^T \int_\Omega |\varphi|^2 dx ds d\sigma + \frac{1}{2}\int_0^T \int_\sigma^T \int_\Omega |z_t|^2 \, \mathrm{d}x ds d\sigma \le \int_0^T \int_\sigma^T E_Z(\sigma) ds d\sigma. \tag{4.10}$$

Using (3.37), we have

$$\int_{0}^{T} E_{Z}(\sigma) d\sigma \leq \int_{0}^{T} \left[ E(\tau) e^{-\xi_{1}(t-\tau)} + (1+\xi_{1}^{-1}) \left( \tilde{M}_{1} + \|g_{0}\|_{L_{b}^{2}}^{2} \right) \right] d\sigma.$$
(4.11)

Hence, there exists a positive constant  $C_M = C(T, \tau, \mu) > 0$  such that

$$\int_0^T \int_{\sigma}^T E_Z(\sigma) ds d\sigma + \int_0^T E_Z(\sigma) d\sigma \le C_M.$$
(4.12)

Inserting (4.8)-(4.12) in (4.7), we conclude

$$TE_{Z}(T) \leq C_{M} + \frac{C_{B}^{2}}{2} \int_{0}^{T} \int_{\sigma}^{T} \|u^{1} - u^{2}\|_{2\rho}^{2} ds d\sigma + \frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{T} \int_{\sigma}^{T} \int_{\Omega} |g_{1} - g_{2}|^{2} dx ds d\sigma.$$
(4.13)

 $\operatorname{Set}$ 

$$\phi\left(\left(u_{0}^{1}, v_{0}^{1}, \theta_{0}^{1}\right), \left(u_{0}^{2}, v_{0}^{2}, \theta_{0}^{2}\right); G_{1}, G_{2}\right) = \frac{C_{B}^{2}}{2} \int_{0}^{T} \int_{\sigma}^{T} ||u^{1} - u^{2}||_{2\rho}^{2} ds d\sigma + \frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{T} \int_{\sigma}^{T} \int_{\Omega} |g_{1} - g_{2}|^{2} dx ds d\sigma.$$

$$(4.14)$$

Consequently, we get

$$E_Z(T) \leqslant \frac{C_M}{T} + \frac{1}{T} \phi_T\left(\left(u_0^1, v_0^1, \theta_0^1\right), \left(u_0^2, v_0^2, \theta_0^2\right); G_1, G_2\right).$$
(4.15)

The proof is complete.

## 4.3. Uniformly asymptotic compactness

In this subsection, we shall prove the uniformly (w.r.t.  $G \in \Sigma$ ) asymptotic compactness in  $H_c$ , which is given in the following theorem.

**Theorem 4.4.** Assume that G satisfies (3.35) and g satisfies (2.6), then the family of processes  $\{U_G(t,\tau)\}, G \in \Sigma$ , corresponding to (3.33)-(3.34), is uniformly (w.r.t.  $G \in \Sigma$ ) asymptotically compact in  $H_c$ .

**Proof.** Given that the family of processes  $\{U_G(t,\tau)\}, G \in \Sigma$ , has a bounded uniformly absorbing set. According to Lemma 4.3, for any fixed  $\varepsilon > 0$ , we can select a sufficiently large T, so that

$$\frac{C_M}{T} \leqslant \varepsilon.$$

Consequently, by Theorem 4.2, it is sufficient to prove  $\phi_T(\cdot, \cdot; \cdot, \cdot) \in \text{Contr}(B_0, \Sigma)$  for each fixed T. From the proof procedure of Theorem 3.11, we infer that for any fixed T,

$$\bigcup_{G \in \Sigma} \bigcup_{t \in [0,T]} U_G(t,0) \quad B_0 \text{ is bounded in } E_0, \tag{4.16}$$

. 6		

and the bound depends on T.

Consider the sequence of solutions  $(u_n, u_{n_t}, \theta_n)$  corresponding to the initial data  $(u_0^n, v_0^n, \theta_0^n) \in B_0$  associated with the symbols  $G_n \in \Sigma$ ,  $n = 1, 2, \ldots$  Based on equation (4.16), and without loss of generality (possibly by considering a subsequence), we assume that

$$u_{n} \to u \quad \star \text{-weakly in } L^{\infty} \left( 0, T; (H_{0}^{1}(\Omega))^{N} \right),$$

$$u_{nt} \to u_{t} \quad \star \text{-weakly in } L^{\infty} \left( 0, T; (L^{2}(\Omega))^{N} \right),$$

$$u_{n} \to u \quad \text{in } L^{2} \left( 0, T; (L^{2}(\Omega))^{N} \right),$$

$$u_{n} \to u \quad \text{in } L^{k} \left( 0, T; (L^{k}(\Omega))^{N} \right),$$

$$u_{n}(0) \to u(0) \quad \text{and} \quad u_{n}(T) \to u(T) \quad \text{in } L^{k}(\Omega),$$

$$(4.17)$$

for  $k < \frac{N}{N-2}$ , where we have used the compact embedding  $\left[H_0^1(\Omega)\right]^N \hookrightarrow \left[L^{2\rho}(\Omega)\right]^N$ . From (2.6), we can infer

$$\lim_{n \to +\infty} \lim_{m \to +\infty} \int_0^T \int_\sigma^T \int_\Omega |g_n(x,s) - g_m(x,s)|^2 \, dx \, ds \, d\sigma = 0.$$
(4.18)

From (4.17) and the compact embedding  $[H_0^1(\Omega)]^N \hookrightarrow [L^{2\rho}(\Omega)]^N$ , by the Aubin-Lions theorem, there exists a subsequence  $\{u^n\}$  such that converges for some u in  $L^2\left(0, T; [L^{2\rho}(\Omega)]^N\right)$ . Thus, we have

$$\lim_{n \to +\infty} \lim_{m \to +\infty} \int_0^T \int_{\sigma}^T \|u^n - u^m\|_{2\rho}^2 ds d\sigma = 0.$$
(4.19)

Finally, combining (4.18)-(4.19), we get  $\phi_T(\cdot, \cdot; \cdot, \cdot) \in \text{Contr}(B_0, \Sigma)$  immediately.

### 

## 4.4. Existence of uniform attractor

**Theorem 4.5.** Under the assumption (2.1)-(2.6) and the definition of  $\Sigma$ , then the family of processes  $\{U_G(t,\tau)\}$  ( $G \in \Sigma, t \ge \tau, \tau \ge 0$ ) corresponding to (2.7)-(2.8) has a compact uniform (w.r.t.  $G \in \Sigma$ ) attractor  $\mathcal{A}_{\Sigma}$ .

**Proof.** Theorems 3.11 and 4.4 imply the existence of a uniform attractor immediately.  $\Box$ 

### Acknowledgment

This work was supported by the TianYuan Special Funds of the NNSF of China with contract number 12226403, the NNSF of China with contract No.12171082, the fundamental research funds for the central universities with contract numbers 2232022G-13, 2232023G-13, 2232024G-13.

# References

- [1] J. Achenbach, Wave Propagation in Elastic Solids, North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1973.
- [2] J. Bladel, On Helmholtz's Theorem in Finite Regions, Midwestern Universities Research Association, (1958).
- [3] A. Bchatnia, M. Daoulatli, Behavior of the energy for Lamé systems in bounded domains with nonlinear damping and external force, Electron. J. Diff. Equ., 2013(2013), 1-17.
- [4] F.D.M. Bezerraa and M.J.D. Nascimento, Smooth dynamics of semilinear thermoelastic systems with variable thermal coefficients, J. Diff. Equ., 332(2022), 50-82.
- [5] F.D.M. Bezerra and V. Narciso, Pullback dynamics for a class of non-autonomous Lamé thermoelastic system, Z. Angew. Math. Phys., 74.3(118)(2023), 1-22.
- [6] F.D.M. Bezerra and D.R.R. Silva, Attractors for a class of thermoelastic systems with vanishing mean value for temperature, Colloq. Math., 155(2019), 287-316.
- [7] V.V. Chepyzhov, On uniform attractors of dynamic processes and nonautonomous equations of mathematical physics, Russ. Math. Surv. 68 (2013), 349-382.
- [8] A.N. Carvalho, J.W. Cholewa and T. Dlotko, Damped wave equations with fast growing dissipative nonlinearities, Discrete Contin. Dyn. Syst., 24(4)(2009) 1147-1165.
- [9] A.L.C. Costaa, M. M. Freitasb and R. Wang, Asymptotic behavior of non-autonomous Lamé systems with subcritical and critical mixed nonlinearities, Nonlinear Analysis: Real World Applications, 67(2022), 103603, doi:10.1016/j.nonrwa.2022.103603.
- [10] I. Chueshov and I. Lasiecka, Attractors for second-order evolution equations with nonlinear damping, J. Dynam. Differential Equations, 16(2004), 469-512.
- [11] I. Chueshov and I. Lasiecka, Long-time behavior of second order evolution equations with nonlinear damping[M]., American Mathematical Soc., 2008.
- [12] V.V. Chepyzhov, M.I. Vishik, Attractors of nonautonomous dynamical systems and their dimension, J. Math. Pures Appl., 73 (1994), 279-333.
- [13] V.V. Chepyzhov and M.I. Vishik, Attractors for Equations of Mathematical Physics, Amer. Math. Soc. Colloq. Publ., vol. 49, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2002.
- [14] C.M. Dafermos, On the existence and the asymptotic stability of solution to the equation of linear thermoelasticity, Arch. Rat. Mech. Anal., 29(1968), 241-271.
- [15] A. Haraux, Attractors of asymptotically compact process and applications to nonlinear partial differential equations, Commun. Partial Differ. Equ., 13 (11) (1988), 1383-1414.
- [16] A. Haraux, Systemes Dynamiques Dissipatifs et Applications, Masson, Paris, 1991.

- [17] A. Khanmamedov, Global attractors for wave equations with nonlinear interior damping and critical exponents, J. Differential Equations, 230(2006), 702-719.
- [18] Y. Qin, Nonlinear parabolic-hyperbolic coupled systems and their attractors. Vol. 184. Springer Science & Business Media, 2008.
- [19] Y. Qin, Integral and Discrete Inequalities and Their Applications, I Linear inequalities, Springer, Berlin, Germany: Birkhäuser, 2016.
- [20] Y. Qin, Integral and Discrete Inequalities and Their Applications, II Nonlinear inequalities, Springer, Berlin, Germany: Birkhäuser, 2016.
- [21] Y. Qin, Analytic Inequalities and Their Applications in PDEs[M], Cham, Switzerland: Birkhäuser, 2017.
- [22] Y. Qin and Z. Ma, Global Well-posedness and Asymptotic Behavior of the Solutions to Nonclassical Thermo (visco) elastic Models[M], Springer, 2016.
- [23] Y. Qin and K. Su, Attractors for Nonlinear Autonomous Dynamical Systems[M]. Les Ulis: EDP Sciences, 2022.
- [24] Y. Qin,and B. Yang, Attractors for Non-classical Diffusion Equations and Kirchhoff Wave Equations, EDP Sciences, 2024.
- [25] C. Sun, D. Cao and J. Duan, Uniform attractors for non-autonomous wave equations with nonlinear damping, SIAM J. Appl. Dyn. Syst., 6(2007), 293-318.
- [26] Y. Wang and J. Wu, Uniform attractors for nonautonomous MGT-Fourier system, Math. Meth. Appl. Sci., 2024, doi:10.1002/mma.10022.
- [27] Y. Xie, Y. Li and Y. Zeng, Uniform attractors for nonclassical diffusion equations with memory, J. Function Spaces, 2016(1), 5340489, doi:10.1155/2016/5340489.
- [28] Y. Xiong and C. Sun, Kolmogorov ε-entropy of the uniform attractor for a wave equation, J. Diff. Equ., 387(2024), 532-554.
- [29] K. Yamamoto, Exponential energy decay of solutions of elastic wave equations with the Dirichlet condition, Math. Scand., 65(1989), 206-220.
- [30] L. Yang, Uniform attractor for non-autonomous plate equation with a localized damping and a critical nonlinearity, J. Math. Anal. Appl., 338 (2008), 1243-1254.
- [31] S.V. Zelik, Strong uniform attractors for non-autonomous dissipative PDEs with non translation-compact external forces, Discrete Contin. Dyn. Syst. Ser. B, 20 (3) (2015), 781-810.