

On Eisenstein additive codes over chain rings and linear codes over mixed alphabets

Leijo Jose^{*†} and Anuradha Sharma^{‡§}
 Department of Mathematics, IIT-Delhi
 New Delhi 110020, India

Abstract

Let $\mathcal{R}_e = GR(p^e, r)[y]/\langle g(y), p^{e-1}y^t \rangle$ be a finite commutative chain ring, where p is a prime number, $GR(p^e, r)$ is the Galois ring of characteristic p^e and rank r , t and k are positive integers satisfying $1 \leq t \leq k$ when $e \geq 2$, while $t = k$ when $e = 1$, and $g(y) = y^k + p(g_{k-1}y^{k-1} + \cdots + g_1y + g_0) \in GR(p^e, r)[y]$ is an Eisenstein polynomial with g_0 as a unit in $GR(p^e, r)$. In this paper, we first establish a duality-preserving 1-1 correspondence between additive codes over \mathcal{R}_e and $\mathbb{Z}_{p^e}\mathbb{Z}_{p^{e-1}}$ -linear codes, where the character-theoretic dual codes of additive codes over \mathcal{R}_e correspond to the Euclidean dual codes of $\mathbb{Z}_{p^e}\mathbb{Z}_{p^{e-1}}$ -linear codes, and vice versa. This correspondence gives rise to a method for constructing additive codes over \mathcal{R}_e and their character-theoretic dual codes, as unlike additive codes over \mathcal{R}_e , $\mathbb{Z}_{p^e}\mathbb{Z}_{p^{e-1}}$ -linear codes can be completely described in terms of generator matrices. We also list additive codes over the chain ring $\mathbb{Z}_4[y]/\langle y^2 - 2, 2y \rangle$ achieving the Plotkin's bound for homogeneous weights, which suggests that additive codes over \mathcal{R}_e is a promising class of error-correcting codes to find optimal codes with respect to the homogeneous metric. We further provide a method to construct and enumerate all Euclidean self-orthogonal and self-dual $\mathbf{R}_e\mathbf{R}_{e-1}$ -linear codes of an arbitrary block-length, where \mathbf{R}_e is a finite commutative chain ring of odd characteristic with the maximal ideal $\langle \gamma \rangle$ of nilpotency index $e \geq 2$ and $\mathbf{R}_{e-1} = \mathbf{R}_e/\langle \gamma^{e-1} \rangle$ is the chain ring with the maximal ideal of nilpotency index $e - 1$. By employing this method, we obtain enumeration formulae for all Euclidean self-orthogonal and self-dual $\mathbf{R}_e\mathbf{R}_{e-1}$ -linear codes of an arbitrary block-length. This also gives rise to a construction method and enumeration formulae for all self-orthogonal and self-dual additive codes over \mathcal{R}_e , where p is an odd prime. We also obtain an enumeration formula for all complementary-dual additive codes (or ACD codes in short) over \mathcal{R}_e . Besides this, we translate the notion of monomial equivalence between additive codes over \mathcal{R}_e to a suitable notion of equivalence between $\mathbb{Z}_{p^e}\mathbb{Z}_{p^{e-1}}$ -linear codes. With the help of this observation and our enumeration formulae, we classify all self-orthogonal and self-dual additive codes of lengths 2 and 3 over the chain ring $\mathbb{Z}_9[y]/\langle y^2 - 3, 3y \rangle$ up to monomial equivalence by classifying all Euclidean self-orthogonal and self-dual $\mathbb{Z}_9\mathbb{Z}_3$ -linear codes of block-lengths (2, 2) and (3, 3), respectively. We also classify all ACD codes of length 2 over $\mathbb{Z}_4[y]/\langle y^2 - 2, 2y \rangle$ and $\mathbb{Z}_9[y]/\langle y^2 - 3, 3y \rangle$ up to monomial equivalence by classifying all Euclidean complementary-dual $\mathbb{Z}_4\mathbb{Z}_2$ -linear codes (or Euclidean $\mathbb{Z}_4\mathbb{Z}_2$ -LCD codes in short) and all Euclidean complementary-dual $\mathbb{Z}_9\mathbb{Z}_3$ -linear codes (or Euclidean $\mathbb{Z}_9\mathbb{Z}_3$ -LCD codes in short) of block-length (2, 2), respectively.

Keywords: Eisenstein additive codes; Codes over mixed alphabets; Monomial equivalence of additive codes.
2020 Mathematics Subject Classification: 11T71, 94B60.

1 Introduction

Additive codes over the finite field \mathbb{F}_4 of order 4 are introduced and studied by Calderbank *et al.* [10]. They also studied their dual codes with respect to the trace inner product and provided a method to construct quantum error-correcting codes from self-orthogonal additive codes over \mathbb{F}_4 . Later, Bierbrauer and Edel [7] generalized the theory of additive codes over \mathbb{F}_4 to additive codes (*i.e.*, \mathbb{F}_q -linear codes) over \mathbb{F}_{q^2} , where \mathbb{F}_q and \mathbb{F}_{q^2} are finite

*Email address: leijoj@iiitd.ac.in

†Research support by the National Board for Higher Mathematics (NBHM), India, under Grant no. 0203/13(46)/2021-R&D-II/13176, is gratefully acknowledged.

‡Corresponding Author, Email address: anuradha@iiitd.ac.in

§Research support by the Department of Science and Technology, India, under the Grant no. DST/INT/RUS/RSF/P-41/2021 with TPN 65025, is gratefully acknowledged.

fields of orders q and q^2 , respectively. They also constructed families of quantum error-correcting codes with good parameters. Danielsen and Parker [12] studied self-dual additive codes over \mathbb{F}_4 with respect to the Hermitian trace inner product and classified all self-dual additive codes over \mathbb{F}_4 of lengths up to 12. They also classified all extremal Type II codes of length 14 over \mathbb{F}_4 and showed that Type I and Type II codes over \mathbb{F}_4 with the trivial automorphism group have smallest lengths 9 and 12, respectively. Later, Huffman [22] studied additive codes (*i.e.*, \mathbb{F}_q -linear codes) over \mathbb{F}_{q^t} , where $t \geq 2$ is an integer and \mathbb{F}_{q^t} is the finite field of order q^t . By placing ordinary and Hermitian trace inner products, he also studied their dual codes and derived the MacWilliams identity, the Gleason-Pierce theorem, the Gleason polynomials, mass formulae for self-orthogonal and self-dual additive codes over \mathbb{F}_{q^t} , the balance principle and the Singleton bound for these codes. He also classified all self-dual additive codes over \mathbb{F}_{q^t} with respect to both ordinary and Hermitian trace inner products in certain specific cases. Since then, much research has been devoted to studying additive codes over various alphabets [15, 13, 16, 20, 21].

On the other hand, the study of linear codes over finite commutative chain rings gained a lot of attention after the seminal work [19], where many important binary non-linear codes are obtained as Gray images of linear codes over the ring \mathbb{Z}_4 of integers modulo 4. This motivated several researchers to study linear codes over finite commutative chain rings [6, 14, 29, 33, 34]. Recently, Mahmoudi and Samei [26] studied additive codes of an arbitrary length over the Galois ring $GR(p^e, r)$ of characteristic p^e and rank r , where p is a prime number, $e \geq 1$ and $r \geq 2$ are integers, and \mathbb{Z}_{p^e} is the ring of integers modulo p^e . They also obtained a generator matrix for these codes and enumerated all additive codes of an arbitrary length over $GR(p^e, r)$. They also derived lower and upper bounds on their minimum Hamming weights and obtained some classes of maximum distance with respect to rank (MDR) additive codes over $GR(p^e, r)$. They also studied permutation equivalent and decomposable additive codes over $GR(p^e, r)$. Apart from this, they derived the MacWilliams identity and Delsarte's Theorem for additive codes over $GR(p^e, r)$. Cao *et al.* [11] studied additive cyclic codes of length N over the Galois ring $GR(p^e, m)$, where both p and m are prime numbers, $\gcd(N, p) = 1$ and $e \geq 2$ is an integer. By providing a canonical form decomposition for these codes, they enumerated all additive cyclic codes of length N over $GR(p^e, m)$. They also obtained a canonical form decomposition of their dual codes with respect to the ordinary trace inner product.

Now, let S, R be two finite commutative chain rings such that R is the Galois extension of S of degree $d \geq 2$, and let N be a positive integer with $\gcd(N, |S|) = 1$, (throughout this paper, $|\cdot|$ denotes the cardinality function). When d is a prime number, Martínez-Moro *et al.* [27] defined (Galois) additive cyclic codes of length N over R as S -submodules of R^N that are invariant under the cyclic shift operator. They studied additive cyclic codes of length N over R and their dual codes with respect to the ordinary trace inner product on R^N . In a recent work, Jose and Sharma [24] studied these codes and their dual codes in the general case when $d \geq 2$ is any integer, not necessarily a prime number. They also provided canonical form decompositions of additive cyclic codes of length N over R and their dual codes, and characterized all self-orthogonal and self-dual additive cyclic codes and complementary-dual additive cyclic codes (or ACD cyclic codes in short) of length N over R . They also derived necessary and sufficient conditions under which a self-dual additive cyclic code of length N over R exists, and enumerated all self-orthogonal and self-dual additive cyclic codes of length N over R by considering the following two cases: (I) both $|R|$ and r are odd, and (II) q is even and $S = \mathbb{F}_q[u]/\langle u^e \rangle$. They also counted all ACD cyclic codes of length N over R .

On the other hand, when S is the ring of integers modulo a prime power and R is an Eisenstein extension of S (*i.e.*, in the Eisenstein additivity case), Martínez-Moro *et al.* [27] defined the dual codes of (Eisenstein) additive cyclic codes of length N over R with respect to a duality defined via the annihilators of characters (see Wood [33]). To the best of our knowledge, algebraic structures of Eisenstein additive codes over R and their character-theoretic dual codes are not thoroughly investigated. In this paper, we will consider the Eisenstein additivity case and thoroughly investigate algebraic structures of Eisenstein additive codes over finite commutative chain rings and their character-theoretic dual codes by establishing a duality-preserving 1-1 correspondence between these codes and linear codes over mixed alphabets of rings of integers modulo prime powers. This correspondence leads to a method to investigate and construct Eisenstein additive codes over chain rings and their special classes consisting of self-orthogonal, self-dual, and ACD codes, as linear codes over mixed alphabets of chain rings have richer algebraic structures as compared to that of Eisenstein additive codes over chain rings.

Linear codes over mixed alphabets of \mathbb{Z}_2 and \mathbb{Z}_4 are called $\mathbb{Z}_4\mathbb{Z}_2$ -linear codes, which are first introduced and studied by Rifà and Pujol [31] as abelian translation-invariant propelinear codes. Borges *et al.* [8] thoroughly studied $\mathbb{Z}_4\mathbb{Z}_2$ -linear codes and obtained their fundamental parameters. They also obtained standard forms of their generator and parity-check matrices by defining an appropriate notion of duality for these codes. Additionally, they studied the binary Gray images of $\mathbb{Z}_4\mathbb{Z}_2$ -linear codes. Later, Aydogdu and Siap [2] generalized $\mathbb{Z}_4\mathbb{Z}_2$ -linear

codes to $\mathbb{Z}_{2^e}\mathbb{Z}_2$ -linear codes, determined standard forms of their generator and parity-check matrices and derived two upper bounds on their minimum Lee distances. In another work [3], they further generalized $\mathbb{Z}_{2^e}\mathbb{Z}_2$ -linear codes to $\mathbb{Z}_{p^e}\mathbb{Z}_{p^s}$ -linear codes, where p is a prime number and e, s are positive integers satisfying $e > s$. They also determined standard forms of their generator and parity-check matrices and derived upper bounds on their minimum Lee distances. Borges *et al.* [9] studied linear and cyclic codes over mixed alphabets of finite commutative chain rings and their dual codes. Recently, Jose and Sharma [25] obtained enumeration formulae for all Euclidean and Hermitian complementary-dual linear codes over mixed alphabets of chain rings, and classified these codes up to monomial equivalence in certain special cases. The reader may refer to [1, 4, 5] for other recent works on linear codes over mixed alphabets.

Throughout this paper, let $\mathcal{R}_e = GR(p^e, r)[y]/\langle g(y), p^{e-1}y^t \rangle$ be a finite commutative chain ring, where p is a prime number, $GR(p^e, r)$ is the Galois ring of characteristic p^e and rank r , t and k are positive integers satisfying $1 \leq t \leq k$ when $e \geq 2$, while $t = k$ when $e = 1$, and $g(y) = y^k + p(g_{k-1}y^{k-1} + \dots + g_1y + g_0) \in GR(p^e, r)[y]$ is an Eisenstein polynomial with g_0 as a unit in $GR(p^e, r)$. The main goal of this paper is to thoroughly investigate algebraic structures of additive codes over \mathcal{R}_e and their character-theoretic dual codes by establishing a 1-1 correspondence between these codes and $\mathbb{Z}_{p^e}\mathbb{Z}_{p^{e-1}}$ -linear codes, under which the character-theoretic dual codes of additive codes over \mathcal{R}_e correspond to the Euclidean dual codes of $\mathbb{Z}_{p^e}\mathbb{Z}_{p^{e-1}}$ -linear codes, and vice versa. This induces a 1-1 correspondence between self-orthogonal and self-dual additive codes over \mathcal{R}_e and Euclidean self-orthogonal and self-dual $\mathbb{Z}_{p^e}\mathbb{Z}_{p^{e-1}}$ -linear codes, respectively. It also induces a 1-1 correspondence between ACD codes over \mathcal{R}_e and Euclidean complementary-dual $\mathbb{Z}_{p^e}\mathbb{Z}_{p^{e-1}}$ -linear codes (or Euclidean $\mathbb{Z}_{p^e}\mathbb{Z}_{p^{e-1}}$ -LCD codes in short). We will further make use of these observations to provide construction methods and enumeration formulae for all self-orthogonal and self-dual additive codes over \mathcal{R}_e , where p is an odd prime. We will also obtain an enumeration formula for ACD codes over \mathcal{R}_e . These enumeration formulae are useful in the classification of these codes up to monomial equivalence, which we will illustrate in certain special cases.

This manuscript is organized as follows: In Section 2, we state some preliminaries needed to derive our main results. In Section 3, we establish a duality-preserving 1-1 correspondence between additive codes over \mathcal{R}_e and $\mathbb{Z}_{p^e}\mathbb{Z}_{p^{e-1}}$ -linear codes, where the character-theoretic dual codes of additive codes over \mathcal{R}_e correspond to the Euclidean dual codes of $\mathbb{Z}_{p^e}\mathbb{Z}_{p^{e-1}}$ -linear codes (Theorem 3.1). We also list additive codes over the chain ring $\mathbb{Z}_4[y]/\langle y^2 - 2, 2y \rangle$ achieving the Plotkin's bound for homogeneous weights (see Table 1). In Section 4, we provide a construction method and enumeration formulae for all Euclidean self-orthogonal and self-dual $\mathbf{R}_e\mathbf{R}_{e-1}$ -linear codes of an arbitrary block-length, where \mathbf{R}_e is a finite commutative chain ring of odd characteristic with the maximal ideal $\langle \gamma \rangle$ of nilpotency index $e \geq 2$ and $\mathbf{R}_{e-1} = \mathbf{R}_e/\langle \gamma^{e-1} \rangle$ is the chain ring with the maximal ideal of nilpotency index $e - 1$ (Theorems 4.2, 4.3, 4.5, 4.6, 4.8 and 4.9). This gives rise to a construction method and enumeration formulae for all self-orthogonal and self-dual additive codes over \mathcal{R}_e , where p is an odd prime (Corollaries 4.1-4.6). In Section 5, we enumerate all ACD codes over \mathcal{R}_e (Corollary 5.1). In Section 6, we first translate the notion of monomial equivalence between additive codes over \mathcal{R}_e to a suitable notion of equivalence between $\mathbb{Z}_{p^e}\mathbb{Z}_{p^{e-1}}$ -linear codes (Lemma 6.1). With the help of this observation and the enumeration formulae obtained in Section 4, we classify all self-orthogonal and self-dual additive codes of lengths 2 and 3 over the chain ring $\mathbb{Z}_9[y]/\langle y^2 - 3, 3y \rangle$ up to monomial equivalence by classifying all Euclidean self-orthogonal and self-dual $\mathbb{Z}_9\mathbb{Z}_3$ -linear codes of block-lengths (2, 2) and (3, 3), respectively. Furthermore, by applying the results derived in Section 5, we classify all ACD codes of length 2 over $\mathbb{Z}_4[y]/\langle y^2 - 2, 2y \rangle$ and $\mathbb{Z}_9[y]/\langle y^2 - 3, 3y \rangle$ up to monomial equivalence by classifying all Euclidean $\mathbb{Z}_4\mathbb{Z}_2$ -LCD codes and Euclidean $\mathbb{Z}_9\mathbb{Z}_3$ -LCD codes of block-length (2, 2), respectively.

2 Some preliminaries

In this section, we will first recall some basic properties of Galois rings and their Eisenstein extensions. We will also state some basic definitions and results in the Character Theory of finite Abelian groups. We will next define linear codes over mixed alphabets of chain rings and their Euclidean dual codes, and additive codes over chain rings in the Eisenstein additivity case and their character-theoretic dual codes.

2.1 Galois rings and their Eisenstein extensions

Let $GR(p^e, r)$ be the Galois ring of characteristic p^e and cardinality p^{er} , where p is a prime number, and $e \geq 2$ and $r \geq 1$ are integers. By Theorem 14.6 of [32], we note that the ring $GR(p^e, r) \simeq \mathbb{Z}_{p^e}[x]/\langle h(x) \rangle$, where \mathbb{Z}_{p^e} is the ring of integers modulo p^e and $h(x)$ is a monic basic irreducible polynomial of degree r over \mathbb{Z}_{p^e} . Further, each element $s \in GR(p^e, r)$ can be uniquely expressed as $s = s_0 + s_1x + \dots + s_{r-1}x^{r-1}$, where $s_i \in \mathbb{Z}_{p^e}$ for $0 \leq i \leq r-1$. This gives rise to a \mathbb{Z}_{p^e} -module isomorphism ϕ from $GR(p^e, r)$ onto $\mathbb{Z}_{p^e}^r$, defined as $\phi(s) = (s_0, s_1, s_2, \dots, s_{r-1})$

for all $s = s_0 + s_1x + \cdots + s_{r-1}x^{r-1}$, where $s_i \in \mathbb{Z}_{p^e}$ for $0 \leq i \leq r-1$. Thus the Galois ring $GR(p^e, r)$ is a free \mathbb{Z}_{p^e} -module of rank r . In an analogous way, each element $\tilde{s} \in GR(p^{e-1}, r)$ can be uniquely expressed as $\tilde{s} = \tilde{s}_0 + \tilde{s}_1x + \cdots + \tilde{s}_{r-1}x^{r-1}$, where $\tilde{s}_i \in \mathbb{Z}_{p^{e-1}}$ for $0 \leq i \leq r-1$. This gives rise to a $\mathbb{Z}_{p^{e-1}}$ -module isomorphism from $GR(p^{e-1}, r)$ onto $\mathbb{Z}_{p^{e-1}}^r$, defined as $\tilde{s} \mapsto (\tilde{s}_0, \tilde{s}_1, \tilde{s}_2, \dots, \tilde{s}_{r-1})$ for all $\tilde{s} = \tilde{s}_0 + \tilde{s}_1x + \cdots + \tilde{s}_{r-1}x^{r-1}$, where $\tilde{s}_i \in \mathbb{Z}_{p^{e-1}}$ for $0 \leq i \leq r-1$, and we shall denote this isomorphism by ϕ itself for our convenience. Thus the Galois ring $GR(p^{e-1}, r)$ is also a free $\mathbb{Z}_{p^{e-1}}$ -module of rank r .

Next, let us consider the quotient ring $\mathcal{R}_e = GR(p^e, r)[y]/\langle g(y), p^{e-1}y^t \rangle$, where t and k are integers satisfying $1 \leq t \leq k$ when $e \geq 2$, while $t = k$ when $e = 1$, and $g(y) = y^k + p(g_{k-1}y^{k-1} + \cdots + g_1y + g_0) \in GR(p^e, r)[y]$ is an Eisenstein polynomial with g_0 as a unit in $GR(p^e, r)$. By Theorem XVII.5 of [28], we see that \mathcal{R}_e is a finite commutative chain ring, whose maximal ideal is generated by $y + \langle g(y), p^{e-1}y^t \rangle \in \mathcal{R}_e$ and has nilpotency index $k(e-1) + t$. The Galois ring $GR(p^e, r)$ is called the coefficient ring of \mathcal{R}_e . The chain ring \mathcal{R}_e is called an Eisenstein extension of its coefficient ring $GR(p^e, r)$ with invariants p, e, r, k and t . One can easily see that each element $a \in \mathcal{R}_e$ can be uniquely expressed as $a = a_0 + a_1y + \cdots + a_{t-1}y^{t-1} + a_t y^t + \cdots + a_{k-1}y^{k-1}$, where $a_i \in GR(p^e, r)$ for $0 \leq i \leq t-1$ and $a_j \in GR(p^{e-1}, r)$ for $t \leq j \leq k-1$. This gives rise to a \mathbb{Z}_{p^e} -module isomorphism Ψ from \mathcal{R}_e onto $\mathbb{Z}_{p^e}^{rt} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{p^{e-1}}^{r(k-t)}$, defined as $\Psi(a) = (\phi(a_0), \phi(a_1), \dots, \phi(a_{t-1}) \mid \phi(a_t), \phi(a_{t+1}), \dots, \phi(a_{k-1}))$ for all $a = a_0 + a_1y + \cdots + a_{t-1}y^{t-1} + a_t y^t + a_{t+1}y^{t+1} + \cdots + a_{k-1}y^{k-1} \in \mathcal{R}_e$ with $a_i \in GR(p^e, r)$ for $0 \leq i \leq t-1$ and $a_j \in GR(p^{e-1}, r)$ for $t \leq j \leq k-1$. Further, one can easily observe that $\mathcal{R}_e \simeq \mathbb{Z}_{p^e}^{rt} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{p^{e-1}}^{r(k-t)}$ as \mathbb{Z}_{p^e} -modules.

2.2 Character Theory of finite Abelian groups

A character of a finite Abelian group G is defined as a group homomorphism from the group G into the multiplicative group \mathbb{C}^* of the field of complex numbers with absolute value 1. For example, the map $\chi : \mathbb{Z}_{p^e} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^*$, defined as $\chi(a) = \xi^a$ for all $a \in \mathbb{Z}_{p^e}$, is a character of the additive group of \mathbb{Z}_{p^e} , where $\xi \in \mathbb{C}^*$ is a primitive (p^e) -th root of unity. If χ_1 and χ_2 are two characters of G , then the map $\chi_1\chi_2 : G \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^*$, defined as $(\chi_1\chi_2)(g) = \chi_1(g)\chi_2(g)$ for all $g \in G$, is also a character of G and is called the product of the characters χ_1 and χ_2 . Further, the set \widehat{G} consisting of all characters of G forms an Abelian group under this multiplication operation. When G is an Abelian group, we note, by Theorem 5.1 of [23], that the groups G and \widehat{G} are isomorphic. In particular, the character group of a cyclic group is also a cyclic group of the same order. We refer the reader to [23] for more details.

From now on, for a finite Abelian group G , let χ_g denote the character of G corresponding to the group element $g \in G$, *i.e.*, we assume that $\widehat{G} = \{\chi_g : g \in G\}$. If G and H are two finite Abelian groups, then for $g \in G$ and $h \in H$, the map $\chi_{(g,h)} : G \times H \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^*$, defined as $\chi_{(g,h)}(g', h') = \chi_g(g')\chi_h(h')$ for all $(g', h') \in G \times H$, is a character of their direct product $G \times H$, where $\chi_g \in \widehat{G}$ and $\chi_h \in \widehat{H}$. In fact, we see, by Theorem 5.1 of [23], that the character group of their direct product $G \times H$ is isomorphic to the direct product $\widehat{G} \times \widehat{H}$ under the group isomorphism $\chi_{(g,h)} \mapsto (\chi_g, \chi_h)$ for all $g \in G$ and $h \in H$.

In particular, when $G = \mathbb{Z}_{p^e}$ (a cyclic group of order p^e), we have $\mathbb{Z}_{p^e} \simeq \widehat{\mathbb{Z}_{p^e}}$, and hence we can assume that $\widehat{\mathbb{Z}_{p^e}} = \{\chi_a : a \in \mathbb{Z}_{p^e}\}$, where for $a \in \mathbb{Z}_{p^e}$, the character $\chi_a \in \widehat{\mathbb{Z}_{p^e}}$ is defined as $\chi_a(b) = \xi^{ab}$ for all $b \in \mathbb{Z}_{p^e}$. As $\xi \in \mathbb{C}^*$ is a primitive (p^e) -th root of unity, we note that ξ^p is a primitive (p^{e-1}) -th root of unity. In an analogous manner, we see that $\widehat{\mathbb{Z}_{p^{e-1}}} = \{\chi_\alpha : \alpha \in \mathbb{Z}_{p^{e-1}}\}$, where for $\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}_{p^{e-1}}$, the character $\chi_\alpha \in \widehat{\mathbb{Z}_{p^{e-1}}}$ is defined as $\chi_\alpha(\beta) = \xi^{p\alpha\beta}$ for all $\beta \in \mathbb{Z}_{p^{e-1}}$.

2.3 Codes over mixed alphabets of chain rings

Let \mathbf{R}_e be a finite commutative chain ring with the maximal ideal $\langle \gamma \rangle$ of nilpotency index $e \geq 2$ and the residue field $\overline{\mathbf{R}}_e = \mathbf{R}_e/\langle \gamma \rangle$ of prime power order q . By Theorem XVII.5 of [28], there exists an element $\zeta_e \in \mathbf{R}_e$ of multiplicative order $q-1$, and the set $\mathcal{T}_e = \{0, 1, \zeta_e, \zeta_e^2, \dots, \zeta_e^{q-2}\}$ is called the Teichmüller set of \mathbf{R}_e . Further, by Theorem XVII.5 of [28] again, we see that each element $\mathbf{s} \in \mathbf{R}_e$ can be uniquely expressed as $\mathbf{s} = \mathbf{s}_0 + \mathbf{s}_1\gamma + \mathbf{s}_2\gamma^2 + \cdots + \mathbf{s}_{e-1}\gamma^{e-1}$, where $\mathbf{s}_0, \mathbf{s}_1, \dots, \mathbf{s}_{e-1} \in \mathcal{T}_e$.

Throughout this section, we assume that μ is an integer satisfying $2 \leq \mu \leq e$. Here, we see that the quotient ring $\mathbf{R}_e/\langle \gamma^\mu \rangle$ is also a finite commutative chain ring with the maximal ideal generated by the element $\gamma + \langle \gamma^\mu \rangle$ of nilpotency index μ , and we will denote this chain ring by \mathbf{R}_μ for our convenience. The residue field of \mathbf{R}_μ is given by $\overline{\mathbf{R}}_\mu = \mathbf{R}_\mu/\langle \gamma \rangle \simeq \mathbf{R}_e/\langle \gamma \rangle = \overline{\mathbf{R}}_e$. Since the chain ring \mathbf{R}_μ can be embedded into \mathbf{R}_e , throughout this paper, we will represent elements of \mathbf{R}_μ by their representatives in \mathbf{R}_e , and we will perform addition and multiplication in \mathbf{R}_μ modulo γ^μ . Under this assumption, we assume, without any loss of generality, that the set \mathcal{T}_e is also the

Teichmüller set of \mathbb{R}_μ and that $\bar{\mathbb{R}}_\mu = \bar{\mathbb{R}}_e$. From now on, we will represent elements of the residue field $\bar{\mathbb{R}}_e$ as $\bar{c} = c + \langle \gamma \rangle$ for all $c \in \mathbb{R}_e$.

In this section, we will state some basic properties of $\mathbb{R}_\mu \mathbb{R}_{\mu-1}$ -linear codes and their dual codes. For positive integers N_1 and N_2 , a non-empty subset \mathcal{C}_μ of $\mathbb{R}_\mu^{N_1} \oplus \mathbb{R}_{\mu-1}^{N_2}$ is called an $\mathbb{R}_\mu \mathbb{R}_{\mu-1}$ -linear code of block-length (N_1, N_2) if it is an \mathbb{R}_μ -submodule of $\mathbb{R}_\mu^{N_1} \oplus \mathbb{R}_{\mu-1}^{N_2}$ [9]. We see, by Proposition 3.2 of Borges *et al.* [9], that an $\mathbb{R}_\mu \mathbb{R}_{\mu-1}$ -linear code \mathcal{C}_μ of the type $\{k_0, k_1, \dots, k_{\mu-1}; \ell_0, \ell_1, \dots, \ell_{\mu-2}\}$ and block-length (N_1, N_2) is permutation equivalent to an $\mathbb{R}_\mu \mathbb{R}_{\mu-1}$ -linear code with a generator matrix \mathbf{G}_μ of the form

$$\mathbf{G}_\mu = \left[\begin{array}{c|c} \mathbf{A}_\mu & \mathbf{B}_\mu \\ \mathbf{C}_\mu & \mathbf{D}_\mu \end{array} \right], \quad (2.1)$$

where $k_0, k_1, \dots, k_{\mu-1}, \ell_0, \ell_1, \dots, \ell_{\mu-2}$ are non-negative integers such that $K = k_0 + k_1 + \dots + k_{\mu-1}$, $L = \ell_0 + \ell_1 + \dots + \ell_{\mu-2}$, the block matrix \mathbf{A}_μ is a $(K \times N_1)$ -matrix over \mathbb{R}_μ whose columns are grouped into blocks of sizes $k_0, k_1, \dots, k_{\mu-1}, N_1 - K$ and is given by

$$\mathbf{A}_\mu = \left[\begin{array}{cccccccc} I_{k_0} & \mathbf{A}_{0,1}^{(\mu)} & \mathbf{A}_{0,2}^{(\mu)} & \cdots & \mathbf{A}_{0,\mu-2}^{(\mu)} & \mathbf{A}_{0,\mu-1}^{(\mu)} & \mathbf{A}_{0,\mu}^{(\mu)} & \\ 0 & \gamma I_{k_1} & \gamma \mathbf{A}_{1,2}^{(\mu)} & \cdots & \gamma \mathbf{A}_{1,\mu-2}^{(\mu)} & \gamma \mathbf{A}_{1,\mu-1}^{(\mu)} & \gamma \mathbf{A}_{1,\mu}^{(\mu)} & \\ 0 & 0 & \gamma^2 I_{k_2} & \cdots & \gamma^2 \mathbf{A}_{2,\mu-2}^{(\mu)} & \gamma^2 \mathbf{A}_{2,\mu-1}^{(\mu)} & \gamma^2 \mathbf{A}_{2,\mu}^{(\mu)} & \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & \gamma^{\mu-2} I_{k_{\mu-2}} & \gamma^{\mu-2} \mathbf{A}_{\mu-2,\mu-1}^{(\mu)} & \gamma^{\mu-2} \mathbf{A}_{\mu-2,\mu}^{(\mu)} & \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & \gamma^{\mu-1} I_{k_{\mu-1}} & \gamma^{\mu-1} \mathbf{A}_{\mu-1,\mu}^{(\mu)} & \end{array} \right] = \left[\begin{array}{c} \mathbf{A}_0^{(\mu)} \\ \gamma \mathbf{A}_1^{(\mu)} \\ \gamma^2 \mathbf{A}_2^{(\mu)} \\ \vdots \\ \gamma^{\mu-2} \mathbf{A}_{\mu-2}^{(\mu)} \\ \gamma^{\mu-1} \mathbf{A}_{\mu-1}^{(\mu)} \end{array} \right],$$

the block matrix \mathbf{B}_μ is a $(K \times N_2)$ -matrix over $\mathbb{R}_{\mu-1}$ whose columns are grouped into blocks of sizes $\ell_0, \ell_1, \dots, \ell_{\mu-2}, N_2 - L$ and is given by

$$\mathbf{B}_\mu = \left[\begin{array}{cccccccc} 0 & \mathbf{B}_{0,1}^{(\mu)} & \mathbf{B}_{0,2}^{(\mu)} & \cdots & \mathbf{B}_{0,\mu-2}^{(\mu)} & \mathbf{B}_{0,\mu-1}^{(\mu)} & & \\ 0 & 0 & \gamma \mathbf{B}_{1,2}^{(\mu)} & \cdots & \gamma \mathbf{B}_{1,\mu-2}^{(\mu)} & \gamma \mathbf{B}_{1,\mu-1}^{(\mu)} & & \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & \gamma^2 \mathbf{B}_{2,\mu-2}^{(\mu)} & \gamma^2 \mathbf{B}_{2,\mu-1}^{(\mu)} & & \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & & \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & \gamma^{\mu-2} \mathbf{B}_{\mu-2,\mu-1}^{(\mu)} & & \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & & \end{array} \right] = \left[\begin{array}{c} \mathbf{B}_0^{(\mu)} \\ \gamma \mathbf{B}_1^{(\mu)} \\ \gamma^2 \mathbf{B}_2^{(\mu)} \\ \vdots \\ \gamma^{\mu-2} \mathbf{B}_{\mu-2}^{(\mu)} \\ 0 \end{array} \right],$$

the block matrix \mathbf{C}_μ is an $(L \times N_1)$ -matrix over \mathbb{R}_μ whose columns are grouped into blocks of sizes $k_0, k_1, \dots, k_{\mu-1}, N_1 - K$ and is given by

$$\mathbf{C}_\mu = \left[\begin{array}{cccccccc} 0 & 0 & \gamma \mathbf{C}_{0,2}^{(\mu)} & \cdots & \gamma \mathbf{C}_{0,\mu-2}^{(\mu)} & \gamma \mathbf{C}_{0,\mu-1}^{(\mu)} & \gamma \mathbf{C}_{0,\mu}^{(\mu)} & \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & \gamma^2 \mathbf{C}_{1,\mu-2}^{(\mu)} & \gamma^2 \mathbf{C}_{1,\mu-1}^{(\mu)} & \gamma^2 \mathbf{C}_{1,\mu}^{(\mu)} & \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & \gamma^{\mu-1} \mathbf{C}_{\mu-2,\mu}^{(\mu)} & \end{array} \right] = \left[\begin{array}{c} \gamma \mathbf{C}_0^{(\mu)} \\ \gamma^2 \mathbf{C}_1^{(\mu)} \\ \vdots \\ \gamma^{\mu-1} \mathbf{C}_{\mu-2}^{(\mu)} \end{array} \right],$$

and the block matrix \mathbf{D}_μ is an $(L \times N_2)$ -matrix over $\mathbb{R}_{\mu-1}$ whose columns are grouped into blocks of sizes $\ell_0, \ell_1, \dots, \ell_{\mu-2}, N_2 - L$ and is given by

$$\mathbf{D}_\mu = \left[\begin{array}{cccccccc} I_{\ell_0} & \mathbf{D}_{0,1}^{(\mu)} & \mathbf{D}_{0,2}^{(\mu)} & \cdots & \mathbf{D}_{0,\mu-2}^{(\mu)} & \mathbf{D}_{0,\mu-1}^{(\mu)} & & \\ 0 & \gamma I_{\ell_1} & \gamma \mathbf{D}_{1,2}^{(\mu)} & \cdots & \gamma \mathbf{D}_{1,\mu-2}^{(\mu)} & \gamma \mathbf{D}_{1,\mu-1}^{(\mu)} & & \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & & \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & \gamma^{\mu-2} I_{\ell_{\mu-2}} & \gamma^{\mu-2} \mathbf{D}_{\mu-2,\mu-1}^{(\mu)} & & \end{array} \right] = \left[\begin{array}{c} \mathbf{D}_0^{(\mu)} \\ \gamma \mathbf{D}_1^{(\mu)} \\ \vdots \\ \gamma^{\mu-2} \mathbf{D}_{\mu-2}^{(\mu)} \end{array} \right].$$

Here I_{k_i} is the $k_i \times k_i$ identity matrix over \mathbb{R}_μ for $0 \leq i \leq \mu - 1$, I_{ℓ_j} is the $\ell_j \times \ell_j$ identity matrix over $\mathbb{R}_{\mu-1}$ for $0 \leq j \leq \mu - 2$, the matrix $\gamma^i \mathbf{A}_{i,j}^{(\mu)} \in M_{k_i \times k_j}(\mathbb{R}_\mu)$ is to be considered modulo γ^j for $0 \leq i < j \leq \mu - 1$, the matrix $\gamma^{i+1} \mathbf{C}_{i,j}^{(\mu)} \in M_{\ell_i \times k_j}(\mathbb{R}_\mu)$ is to be considered modulo γ^j for $0 \leq i \leq \mu - 3$ and $i + 2 \leq j \leq \mu - 1$, the matrices $\gamma^i \mathbf{B}_{i,j}^{(\mu)} \in M_{k_i \times \ell_j}(\mathbb{R}_{\mu-1})$ and $\gamma^i \mathbf{D}_{i,j}^{(\mu)} \in M_{\ell_i \times \ell_j}(\mathbb{R}_{\mu-1})$ are to be considered modulo γ^j for $0 \leq i < j \leq \mu - 2$, the matrices $\gamma^i \mathbf{A}_{i,\mu}^{(\mu)} \in M_{k_i \times (N_1 - K)}(\mathbb{R}_\mu)$ and $\gamma^{j+1} \mathbf{C}_{j,\mu}^{(\mu)} \in M_{\ell_j \times (N_1 - K)}(\mathbb{R}_\mu)$ are to be considered modulo γ^μ for $0 \leq i \leq \mu - 1$ and $0 \leq j \leq \mu - 2$, and the matrices $\gamma^i \mathbf{B}_{i,\mu-1}^{(\mu)} \in M_{k_i \times (N_2 - L)}(\mathbb{R}_{\mu-1})$ and $\gamma^i \mathbf{D}_{i,\mu-1}^{(\mu)} \in M_{\ell_i \times (N_2 - L)}(\mathbb{R}_{\mu-1})$

are to be considered modulo $\gamma^{\mu-1}$ for $0 \leq i \leq \mu - 2$, (throughout this paper, for positive integers s and n , we denote the set of all $s \times n$ matrices over Y by $M_{s \times n}(Y)$). The matrix \mathbf{G}_μ of the form (2.1) is said to be in standard form. Further, by Proposition 3.2 of Borges *et al.* [9], each $\mathbf{R}_\mu \mathbf{R}_{\mu-1}$ -linear code of block-length (N_1, N_2) has a unique type $\{k_0, k_1, \dots, k_{\mu-1}; \ell_0, \ell_1, \dots, \ell_{\mu-2}\}$, *i.e.*, the non-negative integers $k_0, k_1, \dots, k_{\mu-1}, \ell_0, \ell_1, \dots, \ell_{\mu-2}$ are fixed uniquely for any $\mathbf{R}_\mu \mathbf{R}_{\mu-1}$ -linear code of block-length (N_1, N_2) .

The dual codes of $\mathbf{R}_\mu \mathbf{R}_{\mu-1}$ -linear codes of block-length (N_1, N_2) are generally studied [3, 5, 9] with respect to a symmetric and non-degenerate bilinear form $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_E : (\mathbf{R}_\mu^{N_1} \oplus \mathbf{R}_{\mu-1}^{N_2}) \times (\mathbf{R}_\mu^{N_1} \oplus \mathbf{R}_{\mu-1}^{N_2}) \rightarrow \mathbf{R}_\mu$, defined as

$$\langle m_1, m_2 \rangle_E = \sum_{i=1}^{N_1} c_i c'_i + \gamma \sum_{j=1}^{N_2} d_j d'_j \quad (2.2)$$

for all $m_1 = (c_1, c_2, \dots, c_{N_1} | d_1, d_2, \dots, d_{N_2})$, $m_2 = (c'_1, c'_2, \dots, c'_{N_1} | d'_1, d'_2, \dots, d'_{N_2}) \in \mathbf{R}_\mu^{N_1} \oplus \mathbf{R}_{\mu-1}^{N_2}$. The bilinear form $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_E$ is called the Euclidean bilinear form on $\mathbf{R}_\mu^{N_1} \oplus \mathbf{R}_{\mu-1}^{N_2}$ [5]. The Euclidean dual code of an $\mathbf{R}_\mu \mathbf{R}_{\mu-1}$ -linear code \mathcal{C}_μ of block-length (N_1, N_2) , denoted by $\mathcal{C}_\mu^{\perp E}$, is defined as

$$\mathcal{C}_\mu^{\perp E} = \{m_1 \in \mathbf{R}_\mu^{N_1} \oplus \mathbf{R}_{\mu-1}^{N_2} : \langle m_1, m_2 \rangle_E = 0 \text{ for all } m_2 \in \mathcal{C}_\mu\}. \quad (2.3)$$

Note that the Euclidean dual code $\mathcal{C}_\mu^{\perp E}$ is also an $\mathbf{R}_\mu \mathbf{R}_{\mu-1}$ -linear code of block-length (N_1, N_2) . By Corollary 3.2 of Bajalan *et al.* [4], we note that $|\mathcal{C}_\mu| |\mathcal{C}_\mu^{\perp E}| = q^{\mu N_1 + (\mu-1)N_2}$. Further, the code \mathcal{C}_μ is said to be (i) Euclidean self-orthogonal if $\mathcal{C}_\mu \subseteq \mathcal{C}_\mu^{\perp E}$, (ii) Euclidean self-dual if $\mathcal{C}_\mu = \mathcal{C}_\mu^{\perp E}$ and (iii) a linear code with Euclidean complementary-dual (or a Euclidean $\mathbf{R}_\mu \mathbf{R}_{\mu-1}$ -LCD code) if $\mathcal{C}_\mu \cap \mathcal{C}_\mu^{\perp E} = \{0\}$.

Now to study Euclidean dual codes of $\mathbf{R}_\mu \mathbf{R}_{\mu-1}$ -linear codes of block-length (N_1, N_2) , let \mathfrak{M} be the set consisting of matrices whose rows are elements of $\mathbf{R}_\mu^{N_1} \oplus \mathbf{R}_{\mu-1}^{N_2}$. We observe that any matrix in \mathfrak{M} is of the form $[A_1 | B_1]$, where A_1 is a matrix over \mathbf{R}_μ with N_1 columns and B_1 is a matrix over $\mathbf{R}_{\mu-1}$ with N_2 columns, and both the matrices A_1 and B_1 have the same number of rows. Let us define an operation \diamond on the set \mathfrak{M} as

$$G \diamond H^T = A_1 A_2^T + \gamma B_1 B_2^T$$

for all $G = [A_1 | B_1]$ and $H = [A_2 | B_2]$ in \mathfrak{M} , where P^T denotes the transpose of a matrix P . Note that the matrix $G \diamond H^T$ is a matrix over \mathbf{R}_μ . One can easily verify that an $\mathbf{R}_\mu \mathbf{R}_{\mu-1}$ -linear code $\mathcal{C}_\mu \subseteq \mathbf{R}_\mu^{N_1} \oplus \mathbf{R}_{\mu-1}^{N_2}$ with a generator matrix \mathbf{G}_μ is Euclidean self-orthogonal if and only if $\mathbf{G}_\mu \diamond \mathbf{G}_\mu^T = 0$. We next make the following observation.

Lemma 2.1. *Let \mathcal{C}_μ be an $\mathbf{R}_\mu \mathbf{R}_{\mu-1}$ -linear code of block-length (N_1, N_2) with a generator matrix \mathbf{G}_μ given by (2.1). Let $\gamma^{i-1} R_i^{(\mu)} \in \mathfrak{M}$ denote the i -th row block of order $k_{i-1} \times (N_1 + N_2)$ of the matrix $[A_\mu | B_\mu]$ for $1 \leq i \leq \mu$, and let $\gamma^{j-1} S_j^{(\mu)} \in \mathfrak{M}$ denote the j -th row block of order $\ell_{j-1} \times (N_1 + N_2)$ of the matrix $[C_\mu | D_\mu]$ for $1 \leq j \leq \mu - 1$. Then the code \mathcal{C}_μ is Euclidean self-orthogonal if and only if*

$$\begin{aligned} (\gamma^{i-1} R_i^{(\mu)}) \diamond (\gamma^{j-1} R_j^{(\mu)T}) &\equiv 0 \pmod{\gamma^\mu} \quad \text{for } 1 \leq i \leq j \leq \mu, \\ (\gamma^{i-1} R_i^{(\mu)}) \diamond (\gamma^{j-1} S_j^{(\mu)T}) &\equiv 0 \pmod{\gamma^\mu} \quad \text{for } 1 \leq i \leq \mu \text{ and } 1 \leq j \leq \mu - 1, \text{ and} \\ (\gamma^{i-1} S_i^{(\mu)}) \diamond (\gamma^{j-1} S_j^{(\mu)T}) &\equiv 0 \pmod{\gamma^\mu} \quad \text{for } 1 \leq i \leq j \leq \mu - 1. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. Its proof is a straightforward exercise. □

Next, for an $\mathbf{R}_\mu \mathbf{R}_{\mu-1}$ -linear code \mathcal{C}_μ of block-length (N_1, N_2) with a generator matrix \mathbf{G}_μ given by (2.1), we assume, throughout this paper, that $\mathcal{C}_\mu^{(X)}$ is a linear code of length N_1 over \mathbf{R}_μ with a generator matrix A_μ and that $\mathcal{C}_\mu^{(Y)}$ is a linear code of length N_2 over $\mathbf{R}_{\mu-1}$ with a generator matrix D_μ . Further, for an element $c_1 \in \mathbf{R}_\mu$ (or $\mathbf{R}_{\mu-1}$), let us define $\bar{c}_1 \in \bar{\mathbf{R}}_e$ as $\bar{c}_1 = c_1 + \langle \gamma \rangle$. Also, for any matrix V over \mathbf{R}_μ (or $\mathbf{R}_{\mu-1}$), let \bar{V} be a matrix over $\bar{\mathbf{R}}_e$ with the (i, j) -th entry $\bar{v}_{i,j}$ if $v_{i,j}$ is the (i, j) -th entry of V for each i and j . Now, for $1 \leq i \leq \mu$ and $1 \leq j \leq \mu - 1$, the i -th torsion code of $\mathcal{C}_\mu^{(X)}$ and the j -th torsion code of $\mathcal{C}_\mu^{(Y)}$ are defined [14] as

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Tor}_i(\mathcal{C}_\mu^{(X)}) &= \{\bar{c} = (\bar{c}_1, \bar{c}_2, \dots, \bar{c}_{N_1}) \in \bar{\mathbf{R}}_e^{N_1} : \gamma^{i-1} c \in \mathcal{C}_\mu^{(X)} \text{ for some } c = (c_1, c_2, \dots, c_{N_1}) \in \mathbf{R}_\mu^{N_1}\} \text{ and} \\ \text{Tor}_j(\mathcal{C}_\mu^{(Y)}) &= \{\bar{d} = (\bar{d}_1, \bar{d}_2, \dots, \bar{d}_{N_2}) \in \bar{\mathbf{R}}_e^{N_2} : \gamma^{j-1} d \in \mathcal{C}_\mu^{(Y)} \text{ for some } d = (d_1, d_2, \dots, d_{N_2}) \in \mathbf{R}_{\mu-1}^{N_2}\}, \end{aligned}$$

respectively. For $1 \leq i \leq \mu$, we note, by Lemma 3.4 of Norton and Sălăgean [29], that $Tor_i(\mathcal{C}_\mu^{(X)})$ is a linear code of length N_1 and dimension $k_0 + k_1 + \cdots + k_{i-1}$ over $\overline{\mathbb{R}}_e$ with a generator matrix

$$\begin{bmatrix} \overline{I_{k_0}} & \overline{A_{0,1}^{(\mu)}} & \overline{A_{0,2}^{(\mu)}} & \cdots & \overline{A_{0,i-1}^{(\mu)}} & \cdots & \overline{A_{0,\mu}^{(\mu)}} \\ 0 & \overline{I_{k_1}} & \overline{A_{1,2}^{(\mu)}} & \cdots & \overline{A_{1,i-1}^{(\mu)}} & \cdots & \overline{A_{1,\mu}^{(\mu)}} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & \overline{I_{k_{i-1}}} & \cdots & \overline{A_{i-1,\mu}^{(\mu)}} \end{bmatrix},$$

and that for $1 \leq j \leq \mu - 1$, $Tor_j(\mathcal{C}_\mu^{(Y)})$ is a linear code of length N_2 and dimension $\ell_0 + \ell_1 + \cdots + \ell_{j-1}$ over $\overline{\mathbb{R}}_e$ with a generator matrix

$$\begin{bmatrix} \overline{I_{\ell_0}} & \overline{D_{0,1}^{(\mu)}} & \overline{D_{0,2}^{(\mu)}} & \cdots & \overline{D_{0,j-1}^{(\mu)}} & \cdots & \overline{D_{0,\mu-1}^{(\mu)}} \\ 0 & \overline{I_{\ell_1}} & \overline{D_{1,2}^{(\mu)}} & \cdots & \overline{D_{1,j-1}^{(\mu)}} & \cdots & \overline{D_{1,\mu-1}^{(\mu)}} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & \overline{I_{\ell_{j-1}}} & \cdots & \overline{D_{j-1,\mu-1}^{(\mu)}} \end{bmatrix}.$$

We next make the following observation.

Lemma 2.2. *Let \mathcal{C}_μ be a Euclidean self-orthogonal $\mathbb{R}_\mu \mathbb{R}_{\mu-1}$ -linear code of block-length (N_1, N_2) . The following hold.*

- (a) $Tor_i(\mathcal{C}_\mu^{(X)}) \subseteq Tor_i(\mathcal{C}_\mu^{(X)})^{\perp E}$ and $Tor_j(\mathcal{C}_\mu^{(Y)}) \subseteq Tor_j(\mathcal{C}_\mu^{(Y)})^{\perp E}$ for $1 \leq i \leq \lfloor \frac{\mu+1}{2} \rfloor$ and $1 \leq j \leq \lfloor \frac{\mu}{2} \rfloor$.
- (b) $Tor_i(\mathcal{C}_\mu^{(X)}) \subseteq Tor_{\mu-i+1}(\mathcal{C}_\mu^{(X)})^{\perp E}$ and $Tor_j(\mathcal{C}_\mu^{(Y)}) \subseteq Tor_{\mu-j}(\mathcal{C}_\mu^{(Y)})^{\perp E}$ for $\lceil \frac{\mu+1}{2} \rceil \leq i \leq \mu$ and $\lceil \frac{\mu}{2} \rceil \leq j \leq \mu - 1$.

In particular, if the code \mathcal{C}_μ is Euclidean self-dual, then we have $Tor_i(\mathcal{C}_\mu^{(X)}) = Tor_{\mu-i+1}(\mathcal{C}_\mu^{(X)})^{\perp E}$ and $Tor_j(\mathcal{C}_\mu^{(Y)}) = Tor_{\mu-j}(\mathcal{C}_\mu^{(Y)})^{\perp E}$ for $\lceil \frac{\mu+1}{2} \rceil \leq i \leq \mu$ and $\lceil \frac{\mu}{2} \rceil \leq j \leq \mu - 1$, (throughout this paper, the floor and ceiling functions are denoted by $\lfloor \cdot \rfloor$ and $\lceil \cdot \rceil$, respectively).

Proof. Proof is similar to that of Lemma 7 of Dougherty *et al.* [14]. □

Bajalan *et al.* [5] observed that if $\mathcal{C}_\mu \subseteq \mathbb{R}_\mu^{N_1} \oplus \mathbb{R}_{\mu-1}^{N_2}$ is an $\mathbb{R}_\mu \mathbb{R}_{\mu-1}$ -linear code of the type $\{k_0, k_1, \dots, k_{\mu-1}; \ell_0, \ell_1, \dots, \ell_{\mu-2}\}$, then its Euclidean dual code $\mathcal{C}_\mu^{\perp E}$ is of the type $\{N_1 - \sum_{i=0}^{\mu-1} k_i, k_{\mu-1}, k_{\mu-2}, \dots, k_1; N_2 - \sum_{j=0}^{\mu-2} \ell_j, \ell_{\mu-2}, \ell_{\mu-3}, \dots, \ell_1\}$. In particular, if the code \mathcal{C}_μ is Euclidean self-dual, then by uniqueness of the types of \mathcal{C}_μ and $\mathcal{C}_\mu^{\perp E}$, we obtain $2k_0 + k_1 + \cdots + k_{\mu-1} = N_1$, $2\ell_0 + \ell_1 + \cdots + \ell_{\mu-2} = N_2$, $k_i = k_{\mu-i}$ for $1 \leq i \leq \mu - 1$ and $\ell_j = \ell_{\mu-1-j}$ for $1 \leq j \leq \mu - 2$. From this and by Lemma 2.2, we deduce the following:

Remark 2.1. *If $\mathcal{C}_\mu \subseteq \mathbb{R}_\mu^{N_1} \oplus \mathbb{R}_{\mu-1}^{N_2}$ is a Euclidean self-orthogonal $\mathbb{R}_\mu \mathbb{R}_{\mu-1}$ -linear code of the type $\{k_0, k_1, \dots, k_{\mu-1}; \ell_0, \ell_1, \dots, \ell_{\mu-2}\}$, then we have*

$$2k_0 + 2k_1 + \cdots + 2k_{\mu-1-i} + k_{\mu-1-i+1} + k_{\mu-1-i+2} + \cdots + k_i \leq N_1 \quad \text{for} \quad \lfloor \frac{\mu}{2} \rfloor \leq i \leq \mu - 1$$

and

$$2\ell_0 + 2\ell_1 + \cdots + 2\ell_{\mu-2-j} + \ell_{\mu-2-j+1} + \ell_{\mu-2-j+2} + \cdots + \ell_j \leq N_2 \quad \text{for} \quad \lfloor \frac{\mu-1}{2} \rfloor \leq j \leq \mu - 2.$$

Furthermore, if the code \mathcal{C}_μ is Euclidean self-dual, then we have

$$2(k_0 + k_1 + \cdots + k_{\frac{\mu-1}{2}}) = N_1 \quad \text{and} \quad 2(\ell_0 + \ell_1 + \cdots + \ell_{\frac{\mu-3}{2}}) + \ell_{\frac{\mu-1}{2}} = N_2 \quad \text{if } \mu \text{ is odd,}$$

while

$$2(k_0 + k_1 + \cdots + k_{\frac{\mu-2}{2}}) + k_{\frac{\mu}{2}} = N_1 \quad \text{and} \quad 2(\ell_0 + \ell_1 + \cdots + \ell_{\frac{\mu-2}{2}}) = N_2 \quad \text{if } \mu \text{ is even.}$$

2.4 Additive codes over chain rings

Recall, from Section 2.1, that $\mathcal{R}_e = GR(p^e, r)[y]/\langle g(y), p^{e-1}y^t \rangle$ is a finite commutative chain ring, where t and k are integers satisfying $1 \leq t \leq k$ when $e \geq 2$, while $t = k$ when $e = 1$, and $g(y) = y^k + p(g_{k-1}y^{k-1} + \dots + g_1y + g_0) \in GR(p^e, r)[y]$ is an Eisenstein polynomial with g_0 as a unit in $GR(p^e, r)$. Now for a positive integer N , let \mathcal{R}_e^N denote the set consisting of all N -tuples over \mathcal{R}_e . Clearly, \mathcal{R}_e^N is an Abelian group under the component-wise addition, or equivalently, \mathcal{R}_e^N can be viewed as a \mathbb{Z}_{p^e} -module. A non-empty subset \mathcal{C} of \mathcal{R}_e^N is called an additive code of length N over \mathcal{R}_e if it is an additive subgroup of \mathcal{R}_e^N , or equivalently, \mathcal{C} is a \mathbb{Z}_{p^e} -submodule of \mathcal{R}_e^N .

In this paper, we will study the dual codes of additive codes of length N over \mathcal{R}_e with respect to the notion of character-theoretic duality considered in [27] and [33]. For this, we first note that the additive group of \mathcal{R}_e is isomorphic to its character group $\widehat{\mathcal{R}}_e$, and hence $\widehat{\mathcal{R}}_e = \{\chi_a : a \in \mathcal{R}_e\}$. For $\mathbf{c} = (c_0, c_1, \dots, c_{N-1})$, $\mathbf{d} = (d_0, d_1, \dots, d_{N-1}) \in \mathcal{R}_e^N$, let us define

$$\chi_{\mathbf{d}}(\mathbf{c}) = \chi_{d_0}(c_0)\chi_{c_1}(d_1) \dots \chi_{c_{N-1}}(d_{N-1}).$$

The χ -dual code of an additive code \mathcal{C} of length N over \mathcal{R}_e , denoted by \mathcal{C}^{\perp_χ} , is defined as

$$\mathcal{C}^{\perp_\chi} = \{\mathbf{d} \in \mathcal{R}_e^N : \chi_{\mathbf{d}}(\mathbf{c}) = 1 \text{ for all } \mathbf{c} \in \mathcal{C}\}. \quad (2.4)$$

It is easy to verify that \mathcal{C}^{\perp_χ} is also an additive code of length N over \mathcal{R}_e . By Corollaries 3.6 and 3.7 of Dougherty *et al.* [13], we note that $(\mathcal{C}^{\perp_\chi})^{\perp_\chi} = \mathcal{C}$ and $|\mathcal{C}||\mathcal{C}^{\perp_\chi}| = |\mathcal{R}_e^N|$. Further, the code \mathcal{C} is said to be (i) self-orthogonal if $\mathcal{C} \subseteq \mathcal{C}^{\perp_\chi}$, (ii) self-dual if $\mathcal{C} = \mathcal{C}^{\perp_\chi}$ and (iii) an additive code with complementary-dual (or an ACD code) if $\mathcal{C} \cap \mathcal{C}^{\perp_\chi} = \{0\}$. One may refer to Dougherty *et al.* [13] for more details on the character-theoretic duality of additive codes.

Throughout this paper, we will follow the same notations as introduced in Section 2.

3 Additive codes over \mathcal{R}_e and $\mathbb{Z}_{p^e}\mathbb{Z}_{p^{e-1}}$ -linear codes

In this section, we will establish a duality-preserving \mathbb{Z}_{p^e} -module isomorphism between additive codes over \mathcal{R}_e and $\mathbb{Z}_{p^e}\mathbb{Z}_{p^{e-1}}$ -linear codes induced by the \mathbb{Z}_{p^e} -module isomorphism Ψ defined in Section 2.1. For this, we first recall, from Section 2.1, that the additive group of \mathcal{R}_e is isomorphic to the direct sum $\mathbb{Z}_{p^e}^{rt} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{p^{e-1}}^{r(k-t)}$. We further observe, from Section 2.2, that the character group $\widehat{\mathcal{R}}_e$ of \mathcal{R}_e is isomorphic to the direct sum $\widehat{\mathbb{Z}}_{p^e}^{rt} \oplus \widehat{\mathbb{Z}}_{p^{e-1}}^{r(k-t)}$. We also recall that each element $a \in \mathcal{R}_e$ can be uniquely expressed as $a = a_0 + a_1y + \dots + a_{t-1}y^{t-1} + a_t y^t + \dots + a_{k-1}y^{k-1}$, where $a_i = \sum_{s=0}^{r-1} a_{i,s}x^s \in GR(p^e, r)$ with $a_{i,0}, a_{i,1}, \dots, a_{i,r-1} \in \mathbb{Z}_{p^e}$ for $0 \leq i \leq t-1$ and $a_j = \sum_{s=0}^{r-1} a_{j,s}x^s \in GR(p^{e-1}, r)$ with $a_{j,0}, a_{j,1}, \dots, a_{j,r-1} \in \mathbb{Z}_{p^{e-1}}$ for $t \leq j \leq k-1$. We also recall that

$$\Psi(a) = (a_{0,0}, a_{0,1}, \dots, a_{0,r-1}, \dots, a_{t-1,0}, a_{t-1,1}, \dots, a_{t-1,r-1} \mid a_{t,0}, a_{t,1}, \dots, a_{t,r-1}, \dots, a_{k-1,0}, a_{k-1,1}, \dots, a_{k-1,r-1}),$$

as $\phi(a_i) = (a_{i,0}, a_{i,1}, \dots, a_{i,r-1})$ for $0 \leq i \leq k-1$. Corresponding to the element $a \in \mathcal{R}_e$, the character $\chi_a \in \widehat{\mathcal{R}}_e$ is defined as

$$\begin{aligned} \chi_a(b) &:= \chi_{\Psi(a)}(\Psi(b)) = \prod_{s=0}^{r-1} \left(\prod_{i=0}^{t-1} \chi_{a_{i,s}}(b_{i,s}) \prod_{j=t}^{k-1} \chi_{a_{j,s}}(b_{j,s}) \right) \\ &= \prod_{s=0}^{r-1} \left(\prod_{i=0}^{t-1} \xi^{a_{i,s}b_{i,s}} \prod_{j=t}^{k-1} \xi^{pa_{j,s}b_{j,s}} \right) = \xi^{\sum_{i=0}^{t-1} \sum_{s=0}^{r-1} a_{i,s}b_{i,s} + p \sum_{j=t}^{k-1} \sum_{s=0}^{r-1} a_{j,s}b_{j,s}} \end{aligned} \quad (3.1)$$

for all $b = b_0 + b_1y + \dots + b_{t-1}y^{t-1} + b_t y^t + \dots + b_{k-1}y^{k-1} \in \mathcal{R}_e$, where $b_i = \sum_{s=0}^{r-1} b_{i,s}x^s \in GR(p^e, r)$ with $b_{i,0}, b_{i,1}, \dots, b_{i,r-1} \in \mathbb{Z}_{p^e}$ for $0 \leq i \leq t-1$ and $b_j = \sum_{s=0}^{r-1} b_{j,s}x^s \in GR(p^{e-1}, r)$ with $b_{j,0}, b_{j,1}, \dots, b_{j,r-1} \in \mathbb{Z}_{p^{e-1}}$ for $t \leq j \leq k-1$. The character group of the additive group of \mathcal{R}_e is given by $\widehat{\mathcal{R}}_e = \{\chi_a : a \in \mathcal{R}_e\}$, where χ_a is as defined by (3.1). We next recall that the set \mathcal{R}_e^N is an Abelian group under the component-wise addition. For $\mathbf{u} = (u_0, u_1, \dots, u_{N-1}) \in \mathcal{R}_e^N$, the character $\chi_{\mathbf{u}} \in \widehat{\mathcal{R}}_e^N$ is defined as

$$\chi_{\mathbf{u}}(\mathbf{v}) = \chi_{u_0}(v_0)\chi_{u_1}(v_1) \dots \chi_{u_{N-1}}(v_{N-1})$$

for all $\mathbf{v} = (v_0, v_1, \dots, v_{N-1}) \in \mathcal{R}_e^N$, where $\chi_{u_i}(v_i)$ is as defined by (3.1) for each i . Further, the character group $\widehat{\mathcal{R}_e^N}$ of the additive group \mathcal{R}_e^N is given by

$$\widehat{\mathcal{R}_e^N} = \{\chi_{\mathbf{u}} : \mathbf{u} \in \mathcal{R}_e^N\}. \quad (3.2)$$

Next, for an additive code $\mathcal{C}(\subseteq \mathcal{R}_e^N)$ of length N over \mathcal{R}_e , we recall that the χ -dual code of \mathcal{C} , denoted by \mathcal{C}^{\perp_x} , is defined as

$$\mathcal{C}^{\perp_x} = \{\mathbf{d} \in \mathcal{R}_e^N : \chi_{\mathbf{d}}(\mathbf{c}) = 1 \text{ for all } \mathbf{c} \in \mathcal{C}\}.$$

Lemma 3.1. *Let $\mathbf{d} = (d_0, d_1, \dots, d_{N-1})$ and $\mathbf{c} = (c_0, c_1, \dots, c_{N-1})$ in \mathcal{R}_e^N be fixed. For $0 \leq \ell \leq N-1$, let us assume that*

$$\Psi(d_\ell) = (d_{0,0}^{(\ell)}, d_{0,1}^{(\ell)}, \dots, d_{0,r-1}^{(\ell)}, \dots, d_{t-1,0}^{(\ell)}, d_{t-1,1}^{(\ell)}, \dots, d_{t-1,r-1}^{(\ell)} \mid d_{t,0}^{(\ell)}, d_{t,1}^{(\ell)}, \dots, d_{t,r-1}^{(\ell)}, \dots, d_{k-1,0}^{(\ell)}, d_{k-1,1}^{(\ell)}, \dots, d_{k-1,r-1}^{(\ell)})$$

and

$$\Psi(c_\ell) = (c_{0,0}^{(\ell)}, c_{0,1}^{(\ell)}, \dots, c_{0,r-1}^{(\ell)}, \dots, c_{t-1,0}^{(\ell)}, c_{t-1,1}^{(\ell)}, \dots, c_{t-1,r-1}^{(\ell)} \mid c_{t,0}^{(\ell)}, c_{t,1}^{(\ell)}, \dots, c_{t,r-1}^{(\ell)}, \dots, c_{k-1,0}^{(\ell)}, c_{k-1,1}^{(\ell)}, \dots, c_{k-1,r-1}^{(\ell)}),$$

where both $d_{i,s}^{(\ell)}, c_{i,s}^{(\ell)} \in \mathbb{Z}_{p^e}$ and both $d_{j,s}^{(\ell)}, c_{j,s}^{(\ell)} \in \mathbb{Z}_{p^{e-1}}$ for $0 \leq i \leq t-1$, $t \leq j \leq k-1$ and $0 \leq s \leq r-1$. We have $\chi_{\mathbf{d}}(\mathbf{c}) = 1$ if and only if

$$\sum_{\ell=0}^{N-1} \sum_{s=0}^{r-1} \left(\sum_{i=0}^{t-1} d_{i,s}^{(\ell)} c_{i,s}^{(\ell)} + p \sum_{j=t}^{k-1} d_{j,s}^{(\ell)} c_{j,s}^{(\ell)} \right) = 0 \quad \text{in } \mathbb{Z}_{p^e}. \quad (3.3)$$

We next observe that the \mathbb{Z}_{p^e} -module isomorphism Ψ from \mathcal{R}_e onto $\mathbb{Z}_{p^e}^{rt} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{p^{e-1}}^{r(k-t)}$ can be extended to a \mathbb{Z}_{p^e} -module isomorphism from \mathcal{R}_e^N onto $\mathbb{Z}_{p^e}^{Nrt} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{p^{e-1}}^{Nr(k-t)}$ component-wise, which we will denote by Ψ itself for our convenience. From the above discussion, we deduce the following:

Theorem 3.1. (a) *A non-empty subset \mathcal{C} of \mathcal{R}_e^N is an additive code if and only if $\Psi(\mathcal{C})$ is a $\mathbb{Z}_{p^e}\mathbb{Z}_{p^{e-1}}$ -linear code of block-length $(Nrt, Nr(k-t))$.*

(b) *For an additive code \mathcal{C} of length N over \mathcal{R}_e , we have $\Psi(\mathcal{C}^{\perp_x}) = \Psi(\mathcal{C})^{\perp_E}$.*

Proof. Part (a) follows from the fact that the map Ψ is a \mathbb{Z}_{p^e} -module isomorphism from \mathcal{R}_e^N onto $\mathbb{Z}_{p^e}^{Nrt} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{p^{e-1}}^{Nr(k-t)}$, while part (b) follows from part (a), Lemma 3.1 and equations (2.2) and (2.3). \square

From the above theorem, we deduce the following:

Remark 3.1. *An additive code \mathcal{C} of length N over \mathcal{R}_e is (i) self-orthogonal if and only if $\Psi(\mathcal{C})$ is a Euclidean self-orthogonal $\mathbb{Z}_{p^e}\mathbb{Z}_{p^{e-1}}$ -linear code of block-length $(Nrt, Nr(k-t))$, (ii) self-dual if and only if $\Psi(\mathcal{C})$ is a Euclidean self-dual $\mathbb{Z}_{p^e}\mathbb{Z}_{p^{e-1}}$ -linear code of block-length $(Nrt, Nr(k-t))$, and (iii) ACD if and only if $\Psi(\mathcal{C})$ is a Euclidean $\mathbb{Z}_{p^e}\mathbb{Z}_{p^{e-1}}$ -LCD code of block-length $(Nrt, Nr(k-t))$. Thus the study of additive codes of length N over \mathcal{R}_e and their χ -dual codes is equivalent to the study of $\mathbb{Z}_{p^e}\mathbb{Z}_{p^{e-1}}$ -linear codes of block-length $(Nrt, Nr(k-t))$ and their Euclidean dual codes. Further, to study and enumerate self-orthogonal and self-dual additive codes and ACD codes of length N over \mathcal{R}_e , it is enough to study and enumerate Euclidean self-orthogonal and self-dual $\mathbb{Z}_{p^e}\mathbb{Z}_{p^{e-1}}$ -linear codes and Euclidean $\mathbb{Z}_{p^e}\mathbb{Z}_{p^{e-1}}$ -LCD codes of block-length $(Nrt, Nr(k-t))$, respectively. We will make use of this observation to study self-orthogonal and self-dual additive codes and ACD codes of length N over \mathcal{R}_e .*

In particular, let us consider the chain ring $\mathcal{R}_2 = \mathbb{Z}_4[y]/\langle y^2 - 2, 2y \rangle$. Note that each element $\alpha \in \mathcal{R}_2$ can be uniquely expressed as $\alpha = \alpha_0 + \alpha_1 y$, where $\alpha_0 \in \mathbb{Z}_4$ and $\alpha_1 \in \mathbb{Z}_2$. Here, we see, by Greferath and Schmidt [18, p. 1], that the homogeneous weight on \mathcal{R}_2 is defined as

$$w_{hom}(\alpha) = w_{hom}(\alpha_0 + \alpha_1 y) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } \alpha = 0; \\ 4 & \text{if } \alpha_0 = 2 \text{ and } \alpha_1 = 0; \\ 2 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

where $\alpha = \alpha_0 + \alpha_1 y$ with $\alpha_0 \in \mathbb{Z}_4$ and $\alpha_1 \in \mathbb{Z}_2$. Further, the homogeneous weight of a word $\mathbf{a} = (a_0, a_1, \dots, a_{N-1}) \in \mathcal{R}_2^N$, denoted by $\mathbf{w}_{hom}(\mathbf{a})$, is defined as $\mathbf{w}_{hom}(\mathbf{a}) = \sum_{i=0}^{N-1} w_{hom}(a_i)$. The homogeneous distance between the words

$\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b} \in \mathcal{R}_2^N$ is defined as $d_{hom}(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}) = \mathbf{w}_{hom}(\mathbf{a} - \mathbf{b})$. The homogeneous distance of an additive code \mathcal{C} of length N over \mathcal{R}_2 is defined as $d_{hom}(\mathcal{C}) = \min\{d_{hom}(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}) : \mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b} \in \mathcal{C} \text{ and } \mathbf{a} \neq \mathbf{b}\} = \min\{\mathbf{w}_{hom}(\mathbf{c}) : \mathbf{c}(\neq \mathbf{0}) \in \mathcal{C}\}$. In Table 1, we apply Theorem 3.1 to provide minimal generating sets of some additive codes of length N , size M and homogenous distance d_{hom} over \mathcal{R}_2 achieving the Plotkin bound for homogeneous weights (see Greferath and O'Sullivan [17, Th. 2.2]). This suggests that additive codes over \mathcal{R}_e is a promising class of error-correcting codes to find optimal codes with respect to the homogeneous metric

Minimal generating set	N	M	d_{hom}	Remarks	Minimal generating set	N	M	d_{hom}	Remarks
$\{(2, 2, 0), (y, y, 2)\}$	3	4	8	Optimal self-orthogonal	$\{(2, 2, 0), (y, 2 + y, 2)\}$	3	4	8	Optimal self-orthogonal
$\{(2, y, y), (y, 2 + y, 2)\}$	3	4	8	Optimal LCD	$\{(2, y, 2 + y), (y, 2 + y, 2)\}$	3	4	8	Optimal LCD
$\{(2 + y, y, 2, 2), (y, 2, 2 + y, y)\}$	4	4	10	Optimal LCD	$\{(2 + y, 2, 0, 2), (y, y, 2, 2)\}$	4	4	10	Optimal LCD
$\{(2 + y, y, 2, y), (y, 2 + y, 2, 2)\}$	4	4	10	Optimal	$\{(0, 2, 2 + y, 2), (2, 0, y, 2)\}$	4	4	10	Optimal
$\{(2, y, y, y), (0, 2, 2, 2 + y)\}$	4	4	10	Optimal	$\{(2, 0, 2, 2), (y, 2, y, y)\}$	4	4	10	Optimal

Table 1: Some additive codes over \mathcal{R}_2 achieving the Plotkin bound for homogeneous weights

Next, we recall, from Section 2.3, that \mathbb{R}_e is a finite commutative chain ring with the maximal ideal $\langle \gamma \rangle$ of nilpotency index e and that \mathbb{R}_{e-1} is the chain ring $\mathbb{R}_e / \langle \gamma^{e-1} \rangle$ with the maximal ideal of nilpotency index $e - 1$. In the following section, we will provide a recursive method to construct all Euclidean self-orthogonal and self-dual $\mathbb{R}_e \mathbb{R}_{e-1}$ -linear codes of block-length (N_1, N_2) by assuming that the characteristic of \mathbb{R}_e is odd, which gives rise to enumeration formulae for these two classes of codes. These results also give rise to construction methods and enumeration formulae for all self-orthogonal and self-dual additive codes of length N over \mathcal{R}_e as special cases (see Corollaries 4.1-4.6).

4 Enumeration of Euclidean self-orthogonal and self-dual $\mathbb{R}_e \mathbb{R}_{e-1}$ -linear codes of block-length (N_1, N_2)

Throughout this section, we assume that the chain ring \mathbb{R}_e is of odd characteristic, or equivalently, the residue field $\bar{\mathbb{R}}_e$ is of odd prime power order q . Let $Sym_s(Y)$ denote the set of all $s \times s$ symmetric matrices over Y . We also need the following well-known lemma.

Lemma 4.1. [6, 34] *For a matrix $A \in M_{s \times n}(\bar{\mathbb{R}}_e)$ of rank s , the following hold.*

- The map $\Phi_A : M_{s \times n}(\bar{\mathbb{R}}_e) \rightarrow Sym_s(\bar{\mathbb{R}}_e)$, defined as $\Phi_A(B) = AB^T + BA^T$ for all $B \in M_{s \times n}(\bar{\mathbb{R}}_e)$, is a surjective $\bar{\mathbb{R}}_e$ -linear transformation with $|Ker(\Phi_A)| = q^{\frac{s}{2}(2n-s-1)}$.*
- For a positive integer ℓ and a matrix $J \in M_{s \times \ell}(\bar{\mathbb{R}}_e)$, the matrix equation $AX = J$ in the unknown matrix $X \in M_{n \times \ell}(\bar{\mathbb{R}}_e)$ has precisely $q^{(n-s)\ell}$ distinct solutions.*

Proof. Part (a) follows from Lemma 3.1 of Betty *et al.* [6], while part (b) is a straightforward exercise. \square

Next, for $0 \leq s \leq n$, let $\sigma_q(n, s)$ denote the number of distinct Euclidean self-orthogonal codes of length n and dimension s over the residue field $\bar{\mathbb{R}}_e (\simeq \mathbb{F}_q)$. Clearly, $\sigma_q(n, 0) = 1$ and $\sigma_q(n, s) = 0$ for all $s > \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor$. For $1 \leq s \leq \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor$, we see, by Theorem 2 of Pless [30], that

$$\sigma_q(n, s) = \begin{cases} \frac{\prod_{i=0}^{s-1} (q^{(n-1-2i)} - 1)}{\prod_{j=1}^s (q^j - 1)} & \text{if } n \text{ is odd;} \\ \frac{(q^{n-s} - \nu q^{\frac{n}{2}-s} + \nu q^{\frac{n}{2}} - 1) \prod_{i=1}^{s-1} (q^{n-2i} - 1)}{\prod_{j=1}^s (q^j - 1)} & \text{if } n \text{ is even,} \end{cases} \quad (4.1)$$

where

$$\nu = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } (-1)^{\frac{n}{2}} \text{ is a square in } \mathbb{F}_q; \\ -1 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Remark 4.1. When q is odd, one can easily see, from equation (4.1), that there exists a Euclidean self-dual code of length n over \mathbb{F}_q if and only if n is even and $(-1)^{\frac{n}{2}}$ is a square in \mathbb{F}_q .

For positive integers s and n satisfying $s \leq n$, the Gaussian binomial coefficient (or the q -binomial coefficient) is defined as

$$\begin{bmatrix} n \\ s \end{bmatrix}_q = \frac{(q^n - 1)(q^n - q) \cdots (q^n - q^{s-1})}{(q^s - 1)(q^s - q) \cdots (q^s - q^{s-1})}.$$

Note that $\begin{bmatrix} n \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}_q$ is assigned the value 1. Clearly, $\begin{bmatrix} n \\ s \end{bmatrix}_q$ equals the number of distinct s -dimensional subspaces of an n -dimensional vector space over \mathbb{F}_q .

We now proceed to count Euclidean self-orthogonal and self-dual $\mathbb{R}_e \mathbb{R}_{e-1}$ -linear codes of block-length (N_1, N_2) . For this, we will first consider the cases $e = 2$ and $e = 3$. Further, for an integer $e \geq 4$, we will extend the recursive method provided in Section 5 of Yadav and Sharma [34] to construct and enumerate Euclidean self-orthogonal $\mathbb{R}_e \mathbb{R}_{e-1}$ -linear codes of block-length (N_1, N_2) . Towards this, let us define a map $\theta : \mathbb{R}_e \rightarrow \mathcal{T}_e$ as $\theta(\mathbf{s}) = \mathbf{s}_0$ for all $\mathbf{s} = \mathbf{s}_0 + \mathbf{s}_1\gamma + \mathbf{s}_2\gamma^2 + \cdots + \mathbf{s}_{e-1}\gamma^{e-1}$, where $\mathbf{s}_0, \mathbf{s}_1, \dots, \mathbf{s}_{e-1} \in \mathcal{T}_e$. The map θ induces a binary operation \oplus on \mathcal{T}_e , defined as $a \oplus b = \theta(a + b)$ for all $a, b \in \mathcal{T}_e$. One can easily see that the set \mathcal{T}_e is the finite field of order q under the addition operation \oplus and the usual multiplication operation of \mathbb{R}_e . So from this point on, we assume, without any loss of generality, that $\bar{\mathbb{R}}_e = \mathcal{T}_e$. Further, let $\mathfrak{N}_e(N_1, N_2; \mathbf{k}; \ell)$ denote the number of distinct Euclidean self-orthogonal $\mathbb{R}_e \mathbb{R}_{e-1}$ -linear codes of block-length (N_1, N_2) and type $(\mathbf{k}; \ell)$, where \mathbf{k} is an e -tuple $(k_0, k_1, \dots, k_{e-1})$ and ℓ is an $(e-1)$ -tuple $(\ell_0, \ell_1, \dots, \ell_{e-2})$ of non-negative integers. Furthermore, let $\mathfrak{N}_e(N_1, N_2)$ and $\mathfrak{M}_e(N_1, N_2)$ denote the number of distinct Euclidean self-orthogonal and self-dual $\mathbb{R}_e \mathbb{R}_{e-1}$ -linear codes of block-length (N_1, N_2) , respectively.

In the following section, we will consider the case $e = 2$ and determine the numbers $\mathfrak{N}_2(N_1, N_2)$ and $\mathfrak{M}_2(N_1, N_2)$.

4.1 The case $e = 2$

Throughout this section, let us suppose that $e = 2$. Let \mathcal{C}_2 be an $\mathbb{R}_2 \mathbb{R}_1$ -linear code of block-length (N_1, N_2) and type $\{k_0, k_1; \ell_0\}$. Since $\mathcal{T}_2 = \bar{\mathbb{R}}_2$ is the finite field of order q , we note, by Section 2.3, that the torsion codes $Tor_1(\mathcal{C}_2^{(X)})$ and $Tor_2(\mathcal{C}_2^{(X)})$ are linear codes of length N_1 and dimensions k_0 and $k_0 + k_1$ over \mathcal{T}_2 , respectively, while the torsion code $Tor_1(\mathcal{C}_2^{(Y)})$ is a linear code of length N_2 and dimension ℓ_0 over \mathcal{T}_2 . Further, if the code \mathcal{C}_2 is Euclidean self-orthogonal, then by Lemma 2.2, we see that

$$Tor_1(\mathcal{C}_2^{(X)}) \subseteq Tor_2(\mathcal{C}_2^{(X)}) \subseteq Tor_1(\mathcal{C}_2^{(X)})^{\perp E} \quad \text{and} \quad Tor_1(\mathcal{C}_2^{(Y)}) \subseteq Tor_1(\mathcal{C}_2^{(Y)})^{\perp E}.$$

Furthermore, by Remark 2.1, we must have $2k_0 + k_1 \leq N_1$ and $2\ell_0 \leq N_2$.

Here, we will first count all Euclidean self-orthogonal $\mathbb{R}_2 \mathbb{R}_1$ -linear codes of block-length (N_1, N_2) and type $\{k_0, k_1; \ell_0\}$ with prescribed torsion codes. To do this, let C_1 and C_2 be linear codes of length N_1 over \mathcal{T}_2 with dimensions k_0 and $k_0 + k_1$, and generator matrices

$$\begin{bmatrix} I_{k_0} & A_{0,1} & A_{0,2} \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad \begin{bmatrix} I_{k_0} & A_{0,1} & A_{0,2} \\ 0 & I_{k_1} & A_{1,2} \end{bmatrix},$$

respectively, and let C_3 be a linear code of length N_2 and dimension ℓ_0 over \mathcal{T}_2 with a generator matrix $[I_{\ell_0} \ D_{0,1}]$, where $A_{0,1} \in M_{k_0 \times k_1}(\mathcal{T}_2)$, $A_{0,2} \in M_{k_0 \times (N_1 - k_0 - k_1)}(\mathcal{T}_2)$, $A_{1,2} \in M_{k_1 \times (N_1 - k_0 - k_1)}(\mathcal{T}_2)$, and $D_{0,1} \in M_{\ell_0 \times (N_2 - \ell_0)}(\mathcal{T}_2)$. Clearly, $C_1 \subseteq C_2$. Now, we have the following lemma.

Lemma 4.2. *If \mathcal{C}_2 is an $\mathbb{R}_2 \mathbb{R}_1$ -linear code of block-length (N_1, N_2) with $Tor_1(\mathcal{C}_2^{(X)}) = C_1$, $Tor_2(\mathcal{C}_2^{(X)}) = C_2$ and $Tor_1(\mathcal{C}_2^{(Y)}) = C_3$, then there exist matrices $A'_{0,2} \in M_{k_0 \times (N_1 - k_0 - k_1)}(\mathcal{T}_2)$, $B_{0,1} \in M_{k_0 \times (N_2 - \ell_0)}(\mathcal{T}_2)$ and $C_{0,2} \in M_{\ell_0 \times (N_1 - k_0 - k_1)}(\mathcal{T}_2)$, such that the matrix*

$$\left[\begin{array}{ccc|cc} I_{k_0} & A_{0,1} & A_{0,2} + \gamma A'_{0,2} & 0 & B_{0,1} \\ 0 & \gamma I_{k_1} & \gamma A_{1,2} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \gamma C_{0,2} & I_{\ell_0} & D_{0,1} \end{array} \right] = \left[\begin{array}{c} R_1^{(2)} \\ \gamma R_2^{(2)} \\ S_1^{(2)} \end{array} \right] \quad (4.2)$$

is a generator matrix of the code \mathcal{C}_2 .

Proof. Working as in Lemma 3.1 of Yadav and Sharma [34] and choosing the matrices $B_{0,1}$ and $C_{0,2}$ arbitrarily, the desired result follows. \square

For the rest of this section, we assume that the codes C_1 , C_2 and C_3 satisfy $C_1 \subseteq C_1^{\perp E}$, $C_2 \subseteq C_1^{\perp E}$ and $C_3 \subseteq C_3^{\perp E}$. It is easy to see that $2k_0 + k_1 \leq N_1$ and $2\ell_0 \leq N_2$. Further, working as in Remark 2.2 of Yadav and Sharma [34], we see that the matrices $A_{0,2}$ and $D_{0,1}$ are of full row-ranks. Now, in the following proposition, we count all Euclidean self-orthogonal $\mathbf{R}_2\mathbf{R}_1$ -linear codes \mathcal{C}_2 of block-length (N_1, N_2) satisfying $Tor_1(\mathcal{C}_2^{(X)}) = C_1$, $Tor_2(\mathcal{C}_2^{(X)}) = C_2$ and $Tor_1(\mathcal{C}_2^{(Y)}) = C_3$.

Proposition 4.1. *There are precisely*

$$q^{k_0(N_1+N_2-\frac{3}{2}k_0-k_1-\ell_0-\frac{1}{2})+\ell_0(N_1-2k_0-k_1)}$$

distinct Euclidean self-orthogonal $\mathbf{R}_2\mathbf{R}_1$ -linear codes \mathcal{C}_2 of block-length (N_1, N_2) satisfying $Tor_1(\mathcal{C}_2^{(X)}) = C_1$, $Tor_2(\mathcal{C}_2^{(X)}) = C_2$ and $Tor_1(\mathcal{C}_2^{(Y)}) = C_3$.

Proof. Let \mathcal{C}_2 be a Euclidean self-orthogonal $\mathbf{R}_2\mathbf{R}_1$ -linear code of block-length (N_1, N_2) satisfying $Tor_1(\mathcal{C}_2^{(X)}) = C_1$, $Tor_2(\mathcal{C}_2^{(X)}) = C_2$ and $Tor_1(\mathcal{C}_2^{(Y)}) = C_3$. By Lemma 4.2, we see that there exist matrices $B_{0,1} \in M_{k_0 \times (N_2 - \ell_0)}(\mathcal{T}_2)$, $A'_{0,2} \in M_{k_0 \times (N_1 - k_0 - k_1)}(\mathcal{T}_2)$ and $C_{0,2} \in M_{\ell_0 \times (N_1 - k_0 - k_1)}(\mathcal{T}_2)$, such that the code \mathcal{C}_2 has a generator matrix of the form (4.2). Here, we need to count the choices for the matrices $A'_{0,2}$, $B_{0,1}$ and $C_{0,2}$. To do this, we see, by Lemma 2.1, that the code \mathcal{C}_2 is Euclidean self-orthogonal if and only if $R_1^{(2)} \diamond (R_1^{(2)})^T \equiv 0 \pmod{\gamma^2}$, $R_1^{(2)} \diamond (R_2^{(2)})^T \equiv 0 \pmod{\gamma}$, $R_1^{(2)} \diamond (S_1^{(2)})^T \equiv 0 \pmod{\gamma^2}$ and $S_1^{(2)} \diamond (S_1^{(2)})^T \equiv 0 \pmod{\gamma^2}$, which is equivalent to the following system of matrix congruences:

$$I_{k_0} + A_{0,1}A_{0,1}^T + A_{0,2}A_{0,2}^T + \gamma(A_{0,2}A_{0,2}^T + A'_{0,2}A_{0,2}^T + B_{0,1}B_{0,1}^T) \equiv 0 \pmod{\gamma^2}, \quad (4.3)$$

$$A_{0,1} + A_{0,2}A_{1,2}^T \equiv 0 \pmod{\gamma}, \quad (4.4)$$

$$A_{0,2}C_{0,2}^T + B_{0,1}D_{0,1}^T \equiv 0 \pmod{\gamma}, \quad (4.5)$$

$$I_{\ell_0} + D_{0,1}D_{0,1}^T \equiv 0 \pmod{\gamma}. \quad (4.6)$$

Since $C_2 \subseteq C_1^{\perp E}$ and $C_3 \subseteq C_3^{\perp E}$, we see that (4.4) and (4.6) hold. We first choose the matrix $B_{0,1}$ arbitrarily, i.e., the matrix $B_{0,1}$ has precisely $q^{k_0(N_2 - \ell_0)}$ distinct choices. Since $C_1 \subseteq C_1^{\perp E}$ and the matrix $A_{0,2}$ is of full row-rank, we see, by applying Lemma 4.1(a), that for a given choice of $B_{0,1}$, there are precisely $q^{\frac{k_0}{2}(2N_1 - 3k_0 - 2k_1 - 1)}$ distinct choices for the matrix $A'_{0,2}$ satisfying (4.3). Further, by Lemma 4.1(b), we see that there are precisely $q^{\ell_0(N_1 - 2k_0 - k_1)}$ distinct choices for the matrix $C_{0,2}$ satisfying (4.5). Moreover, each of the distinct choices for the matrices $A'_{0,2}$, $B_{0,1}$ and $C_{0,2}$ gives rise to a distinct Euclidean self-orthogonal $\mathbf{R}_2\mathbf{R}_1$ -linear code of block-length (N_1, N_2) satisfying $Tor_1(\mathcal{C}_2^{(X)}) = C_1$, $Tor_2(\mathcal{C}_2^{(X)}) = C_2$ and $Tor_1(\mathcal{C}_2^{(Y)}) = C_3$. From this, the desired result follows. \square

In the following theorem, we obtain the number $\mathfrak{N}_2(N_1, N_2; \mathbf{k}; \boldsymbol{\ell})$, where $\mathbf{k} = (k_0, k_1)$ and $\boldsymbol{\ell} = (\ell_0)$.

Theorem 4.1. *For $\mathbf{k} = (k_0, k_1)$ and $\boldsymbol{\ell} = (\ell_0)$, we have*

$$\mathfrak{N}_2(N_1, N_2; \mathbf{k}; \boldsymbol{\ell}) = \begin{cases} \sigma_q(N_1, k_0)\sigma_q(N_2, \ell_0) \begin{bmatrix} N_1 - 2k_0 \\ k_1 \end{bmatrix}_q q^{\Theta_2(\mathbf{k}; \boldsymbol{\ell})} & \text{if } 2k_0 + k_1 \leq N_1 \text{ and } 2\ell_0 \leq N_2; \\ 0 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases} \quad (4.7)$$

where the numbers $\sigma_q(N_1, k_0)$'s and $\sigma_q(N_2, \ell_0)$'s are given by (4.1), and $\Theta_2(\mathbf{k}; \boldsymbol{\ell})$ is given by

$$\Theta_2(\mathbf{k}; \boldsymbol{\ell}) = k_0(N_1 + N_2 - \frac{3}{2}k_0 - k_1 - \ell_0 - \frac{1}{2}) + \ell_0(N_1 - 2k_0 - k_1). \quad (4.8)$$

Proof. Let \mathcal{D}_2 be a Euclidean self-orthogonal $\mathbf{R}_2\mathbf{R}_1$ -linear code of block-length (N_1, N_2) and type $\{k_0, k_1; \ell_0\}$ satisfying $Tor_1(\mathcal{D}_2^{(X)}) = D_1$, $Tor_2(\mathcal{D}_2^{(X)}) = D_2$, and $Tor_1(\mathcal{D}_2^{(Y)}) = D_3$. Here, we have $\dim D_1 = k_0$, $\dim D_2 = k_0 + k_1$ and $\dim D_3 = \ell_0$. Further, by Lemma 2.2, we see that $D_1 \subseteq D_2 \subseteq D_1^{\perp E}$ and $D_3 \subseteq D_3^{\perp E}$. Also, by Remark 2.1, we note that $2k_0 + k_1 \leq N_1$ and $2\ell_0 \leq N_2$. We further see, by equation (4.1), that the codes D_1 and D_3 have precisely $\sigma_q(N_1, k_0)$ and $\sigma_q(N_2, \ell_0)$ distinct choices, respectively. Further, for a given choice of D_1 , one can easily see that there are precisely $\begin{bmatrix} N_1 - 2k_0 \\ k_1 \end{bmatrix}_q$ distinct choices for the code D_2 satisfying $D_1 \subseteq D_2 \subseteq D_1^{\perp E}$. From this and by applying Lemma 4.2 and Proposition 4.1, the desired result follows. \square

In the following theorem, we obtain an enumeration formula for $\mathfrak{N}_2(N_1, N_2)$.

Theorem 4.2. *We have*

$$\mathfrak{N}_2(N_1, N_2) = \sum_{k_0=0}^{\lfloor \frac{N_1}{2} \rfloor} \sum_{k_1=0}^{N_1-2k_0} \sum_{\ell_0=0}^{\lfloor \frac{N_2}{2} \rfloor} \sigma_q(N_1, k_0) \sigma_q(N_2, \ell_0) \begin{bmatrix} N_1 - 2k_0 \\ k_1 \end{bmatrix}_q q^{k_0(N_1+N_2-\frac{3}{2}k_0-k_1-\ell_0-\frac{1}{2})+\ell_0(N_1-2k_0-k_1)},$$

where the numbers $\sigma_q(N_1, k_0)$'s and $\sigma_q(N_2, \ell_0)$'s are given by (4.1).

Proof. It follows immediately from Theorem 4.1. \square

Example 4.1. *Using Magma, we see that there are precisely 5 and 2635 distinct non-zero Euclidean self-orthogonal $\mathbb{Z}_9\mathbb{Z}_3$ -linear codes of block-lengths (2, 2) and (3, 3), respectively. This agrees with Theorem 4.2.*

As a consequence of the above theorem, we obtain an enumeration formula for self-orthogonal additive codes of length N over \mathcal{R}_2 in the following corollary.

Corollary 4.1. *The number of self-orthogonal additive codes of length N over \mathcal{R}_2 is given by*

$$\sum_{k_0=0}^{\lfloor \frac{Nrt}{2} \rfloor} \sum_{k_1=0}^{Nrt-2k_0} \sum_{\ell_0=0}^{\lfloor \frac{Nr(k-t)}{2} \rfloor} \sigma_p(Nrt, k_0) \sigma_p(Nr(k-t), \ell_0) \begin{bmatrix} Nrt - 2k_0 \\ k_1 \end{bmatrix}_p p^{k_0(Nrk-\frac{3}{2}k_0-k_1-\ell_0-\frac{1}{2})+\ell_0(Nrt-2k_0-k_1)},$$

where the numbers $\sigma_p(Nrt, k_0)$'s and $\sigma_p(Nr(k-t), \ell_0)$'s are given by (4.1).

Proof. By Remark 3.1, we see that the number of self-orthogonal additive codes of length N over \mathcal{R}_2 is equal to the number of distinct Euclidean self-orthogonal $\mathbb{Z}_{p^2}\mathbb{Z}_p$ -linear codes of block-length $(Nrt, Nr(k-t))$. Now on taking $N_1 = Nrt$ and $N_2 = Nr(k-t)$ in Theorem 4.2, the desired result follows immediately. \square

Example 4.2. *By Corollary 4.1, we see that there are precisely 5 and 2635 distinct non-zero self-orthogonal additive codes of lengths 2 and 3 over $\mathbb{Z}_9[y]/\langle y^2 - 3, 3y \rangle$, respectively. This agrees with Example 4.1 and Magma computations.*

In the following theorem, we derive a necessary and sufficient condition under which a Euclidean self-dual $\mathbb{R}_2\mathbb{R}_1$ -linear code of block-length (N_1, N_2) exists. We further obtain an enumeration formula for the number $\mathfrak{M}_2(N_1, N_2)$.

Theorem 4.3. *There exists a Euclidean self-dual $\mathbb{R}_2\mathbb{R}_1$ -linear code of block-length (N_1, N_2) if and only if N_2 is even and $(-1)^{\frac{N_2}{2}}$ is a square in $\mathcal{T}_e(\simeq \mathbb{F}_q)$. Furthermore, when N_2 is even and $(-1)^{\frac{N_2}{2}}$ is a square in \mathcal{T}_e , we have*

$$\mathfrak{M}_2(N_1, N_2) = \sum_{k_0=0}^{\lfloor \frac{N_1}{2} \rfloor} \sigma_q(N_1, k_0) \sigma_q(N_2, \frac{N_2}{2}) q^{\frac{k_0}{2}(N_2+k_0-1)},$$

where the numbers $\sigma_q(N_1, k_0)$'s and $\sigma_q(N_2, \frac{N_2}{2})$'s are given by (4.1).

Proof. It follows from Theorem 4.2, Lemma 2.2 and Remarks 2.1 and 4.1. \square

Example 4.3. *Using Magma, we see that there are precisely 22 distinct Euclidean self-dual $\mathbb{Z}_{25}\mathbb{Z}_5$ -linear codes of block-length (2, 2). This agrees with Theorem 4.3.*

In the following corollary, we derive a necessary and sufficient condition for the existence of a self-dual additive code of length N over \mathcal{R}_2 . We also obtain an enumeration formula for self-dual additive codes of length N over \mathcal{R}_2 .

Corollary 4.2. *There exists a self-dual additive code of length N over \mathcal{R}_2 if and only if $Nr(k-t)$ is even and $(-1)^{\frac{Nr(k-t)}{2}}$ is a square in \mathbb{Z}_p . Furthermore, when $Nr(k-t)$ is even and $(-1)^{\frac{Nr(k-t)}{2}}$ is a square in \mathbb{Z}_p , the number of self-dual additive codes of length N over \mathcal{R}_2 is given by*

$$\sum_{k_0=0}^{\lfloor \frac{Nrt}{2} \rfloor} \sigma_p(Nrt, k_0) \sigma_p(Nr(k-t), \frac{Nr(k-t)}{2}) p^{\frac{k_0}{2}(Nr(k-t)+k_0-1)},$$

where the numbers $\sigma_p(Nrt, k_0)$'s and $\sigma_p(Nr(k-t), \frac{Nr(k-t)}{2})$'s are given by (4.1).

Proof. By Remark 3.1, we see that the number of self-dual additive codes of length N over \mathcal{R}_2 is equal to the number of distinct Euclidean self-dual $\mathbb{Z}_{p^2}\mathbb{Z}_p$ -linear codes of block-length $(Nrt, Nr(k-t))$. Now on taking $N_1 = Nrt$ and $N_2 = Nr(k-t)$ in Theorem 4.3, we get the desired result. \square

Example 4.4. *By Corollary 4.2, we see that there are precisely 22 distinct self-dual additive codes of length 2 over $\mathbb{Z}_{25}[y]/\langle y^2 - 5, 5y \rangle$. This agrees with Example 4.3 and Magma computations.*

4.2 The case $e = 3$

Throughout this section, let us suppose that $e = 3$. Let \mathcal{C}_3 be an $\mathbb{R}_3\mathbb{R}_2$ -linear code of block-length (N_1, N_2) and type $\{k_0, k_1, k_2; \ell_0, \ell_1\}$. Since $\mathcal{T}_3 = \overline{\mathbb{R}}_3$ is the finite field of order q , we note, by Section 2.3, that the torsion codes $Tor_1(\mathcal{C}_3^{(X)})$, $Tor_2(\mathcal{C}_3^{(X)})$ and $Tor_3(\mathcal{C}_3^{(X)})$ are linear codes of length N_1 and dimensions k_0 , $k_0 + k_1$ and $k_0 + k_1 + k_2$ over \mathcal{T}_3 , respectively, while the torsion codes $Tor_1(\mathcal{C}_3^{(Y)})$ and $Tor_2(\mathcal{C}_3^{(Y)})$ are linear codes of length N_2 and dimensions ℓ_0 and $\ell_0 + \ell_1$ over \mathcal{T}_3 , respectively. Further, if the code \mathcal{C}_3 is Euclidean self-orthogonal, then by Lemma 2.2, we see that

$$\begin{aligned} Tor_1(\mathcal{C}_3^{(X)}) &\subseteq Tor_2(\mathcal{C}_3^{(X)}) \subseteq Tor_3(\mathcal{C}_3^{(X)}) \subseteq Tor_1(\mathcal{C}_3^{(X)})^{\perp E}, \\ Tor_1(\mathcal{C}_3^{(Y)}) &\subseteq Tor_2(\mathcal{C}_3^{(Y)}) \subseteq Tor_1(\mathcal{C}_3^{(Y)})^{\perp E} \quad \text{and} \\ Tor_2(\mathcal{C}_3^{(X)}) &\subseteq Tor_2(\mathcal{C}_3^{(X)})^{\perp E}. \end{aligned}$$

Further, by Remark 2.1, we have $2k_0 + 2k_1 \leq N_1$, $2k_0 + k_1 + k_2 \leq N_1$ and $2\ell_0 + \ell_1 \leq N_2$.

Here, we will first count all Euclidean self-orthogonal $\mathbb{R}_3\mathbb{R}_2$ -linear codes of block-length (N_1, N_2) and type $\{k_0, k_1, k_2; \ell_0, \ell_1\}$ with prescribed torsion codes. To do this, let E_1 , E_2 and E_3 be linear codes of length N_1 over \mathcal{T}_3 with dimensions k_0 , $k_0 + k_1$ and $k_0 + k_1 + k_2$, and generator matrices

$$[I_{k_0} \ A_{0,1} \ A_{0,2} \ A_{0,3}], \quad \begin{bmatrix} I_{k_0} & A_{0,1} & A_{0,2} & A_{0,3} \\ 0 & I_{k_1} & A_{1,2} & A_{1,3} \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad \begin{bmatrix} I_{k_0} & A_{0,1} & A_{0,2} & A_{0,3} \\ 0 & I_{k_1} & A_{1,2} & A_{1,3} \\ 0 & 0 & I_{k_2} & A_{2,3} \end{bmatrix},$$

respectively, where $A_{i,j} \in M_{k_i \times k_j}(\mathcal{T}_3)$ for $0 \leq i < j \leq 2$ and $A_{i,3} \in M_{k_i \times (N_1 - k_0 - k_1 - k_2)}(\mathcal{T}_3)$ for $0 \leq i \leq 2$. Also, let E_4 and E_5 be linear codes of length N_2 over \mathcal{T}_3 with dimensions ℓ_0 and $\ell_0 + \ell_1$, and generator matrices

$$[I_{\ell_0} \ D_{0,1} \ D_{0,2}] \quad \text{and} \quad \begin{bmatrix} I_{\ell_0} & D_{0,1} & D_{0,2} \\ 0 & I_{\ell_1} & D_{1,2} \end{bmatrix},$$

respectively, where $D_{0,1} \in M_{\ell_0 \times \ell_1}(\mathcal{T}_3)$ and $D_{i,2} \in M_{\ell_i \times (N_2 - \ell_0 - \ell_1)}(\mathcal{T}_3)$ for $0 \leq i \leq 1$. Clearly, $E_1 \subseteq E_2 \subseteq E_3$ and $E_4 \subseteq E_5$. Now, we have the following lemma.

Lemma 4.3. *If \mathcal{C}_3 is an $\mathbb{R}_3\mathbb{R}_2$ -linear code of block-length (N_1, N_2) with $Tor_1(\mathcal{C}_3^{(X)}) = E_1$, $Tor_2(\mathcal{C}_3^{(X)}) = E_2$, $Tor_3(\mathcal{C}_3^{(X)}) = E_3$, $Tor_1(\mathcal{C}_3^{(Y)}) = E_4$ and $Tor_2(\mathcal{C}_3^{(Y)}) = E_5$, then there exist matrices $A'_{0,2} \in M_{k_0 \times k_2}(\mathcal{T}_3)$, $A'_{0,3}, A''_{0,3} \in M_{k_0 \times (N_1 - k_0 - k_1 - k_2)}(\mathcal{T}_3)$, $A'_{1,3} \in M_{k_1 \times (N_1 - k_0 - k_1 - k_2)}(\mathcal{T}_3)$, $C_{0,2} \in M_{\ell_0 \times k_2}(\mathcal{T}_3)$, $B_{0,1} \in M_{k_0 \times \ell_1}(\mathcal{T}_3)$, $C_{i,3} \in M_{\ell_i \times (N_1 - k_0 - k_1 - k_2)}(\mathcal{T}_3)$ for $0 \leq i \leq 1$, $C'_{0,3} \in M_{\ell_0 \times (N_1 - k_0 - k_1 - k_2)}(\mathcal{T}_3)$, $B_{i,2} \in M_{k_i \times (N_2 - \ell_0 - \ell_1)}(\mathcal{T}_3)$ for $0 \leq i \leq 1$, $B'_{0,2} \in M_{k_0 \times (N_2 - \ell_0 - \ell_1)}(\mathcal{T}_3)$ and $D'_{0,2} \in M_{\ell_0 \times (N_2 - \ell_0 - \ell_1)}(\mathcal{T}_3)$, such that the matrix*

$$\begin{bmatrix} I_{k_0} & A_{0,1} & A_{0,2} + \gamma A'_{0,2} & A_{0,3} + \gamma A'_{0,3} + \gamma^2 A''_{0,3} & 0 & B_{0,1} & B_{0,2} + \gamma B'_{0,2} \\ 0 & \gamma I_{k_1} & \gamma A_{1,2} & \gamma A_{1,3} + \gamma^2 A'_{1,3} & 0 & 0 & \gamma B_{1,2} \\ 0 & 0 & \gamma^2 I_{k_2} & \gamma^2 A_{2,3} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \gamma C_{0,2} & \gamma C_{0,3} + \gamma^2 C'_{0,3} & I_{\ell_0} & D_{0,1} & D_{0,2} + \gamma D'_{0,2} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \gamma^2 C_{1,3} & 0 & \gamma I_{\ell_1} & \gamma D_{1,2} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} R_1^{(3)} \\ \gamma R_2^{(3)} \\ \gamma^2 R_3^{(3)} \\ S_1^{(3)} \\ \gamma S_2^{(3)} \end{bmatrix} \quad (4.9)$$

is a generator matrix of the code \mathcal{C}_3 .

Proof. Working as in Lemma 3.1 of Yadav and Sharma [34] and choosing the matrices $B_{0,1}$, $B_{0,2}$, $B_{1,2}$, $B'_{0,2}$, $C_{0,2}$, $C_{0,3}$, $C_{1,3}$ and $C'_{0,3}$ arbitrarily, the desired result follows. \square

For the rest of this section, we assume that the codes E_1 , E_2 , E_3 , E_4 and E_5 satisfy $E_1 \subseteq E_2 \subseteq E_3 \subseteq E_1^{\perp E}$, $E_2 \subseteq E_2^{\perp E}$ and $E_4 \subseteq E_5 \subseteq E_4^{\perp E}$. This hold if and only if

$$I_{k_0} + A_{0,1}A_{0,1}^T + A_{0,2}A_{0,2}^T + A_{0,3}A_{0,3}^T \equiv 0 \pmod{\gamma}, \quad (4.10)$$

$$I_{k_1} + A_{1,2}A_{1,2}^T + A_{1,3}A_{1,3}^T \equiv 0 \pmod{\gamma}, \quad (4.11)$$

$$A_{0,1} + A_{0,2}A_{1,2}^T + A_{0,3}A_{1,3}^T \equiv 0 \pmod{\gamma}, \quad (4.12)$$

$$A_{0,2} + A_{0,3}A_{2,3}^T \equiv 0 \pmod{\gamma}, \quad (4.13)$$

$$I_{\ell_0} + D_{0,1}D_{0,1}^T + D_{0,2}D_{0,2}^T \equiv 0 \pmod{\gamma} \quad \text{and} \quad (4.14)$$

$$D_{0,1} + D_{0,2}D_{1,2}^T \equiv 0 \pmod{\gamma}. \quad (4.15)$$

Here, it is easy to see that $2k_0 + 2k_1 \leq N_1$, $2k_0 + k_1 + k_2 \leq N_1$ and $2\ell_0 + \ell_1 \leq N_2$. Further, working as in Remark 2.2 of Yadav and Sharma [34], one can observe that the matrices $A_{0,3}$ and $D_{0,2}$ are of full row-ranks. Now, in the following proposition, we will count all Euclidean self-orthogonal $\mathbf{R}_3\mathbf{R}_2$ -linear codes \mathcal{C}_3 of block-length (N_1, N_2) satisfying $Tor_1(\mathcal{C}_3^{(X)}) = E_1$, $Tor_2(\mathcal{C}_3^{(X)}) = E_2$, $Tor_3(\mathcal{C}_3^{(X)}) = E_3$, $Tor_1(\mathcal{C}_3^{(Y)}) = E_4$ and $Tor_2(\mathcal{C}_3^{(Y)}) = E_5$.

Proposition 4.2. *There are precisely*

$$q^{(N_1-3k_0-k_1-k_2)(k_0+2\ell_0+k_1+\ell_1)+k_0(N_1+2N_2-1)+k_1(N_2-2\ell_0-\ell_1)+\ell_0(N_2-\frac{3}{2}\ell_0-\ell_1+k_2-\frac{1}{2})}$$

distinct Euclidean self-orthogonal $\mathbf{R}_3\mathbf{R}_2$ -linear codes \mathcal{C}_3 of block-length (N_1, N_2) satisfying $Tor_1(\mathcal{C}_3^{(X)}) = E_1$, $Tor_2(\mathcal{C}_3^{(X)}) = E_2$, $Tor_3(\mathcal{C}_3^{(X)}) = E_3$, $Tor_1(\mathcal{C}_3^{(Y)}) = E_4$ and $Tor_2(\mathcal{C}_3^{(Y)}) = E_5$.

Proof. Let \mathcal{C}_3 be a Euclidean self-orthogonal $\mathbf{R}_3\mathbf{R}_2$ -linear code of block-length (N_1, N_2) satisfying $Tor_1(\mathcal{C}_3^{(X)}) = E_1$, $Tor_2(\mathcal{C}_3^{(X)}) = E_2$, $Tor_3(\mathcal{C}_3^{(X)}) = E_3$, $Tor_1(\mathcal{C}_3^{(Y)}) = E_4$ and $Tor_2(\mathcal{C}_3^{(Y)}) = E_5$. Since $E_3 \subseteq E_1^{\perp E}$, $E_2 \subseteq E_2^{\perp E}$ and $E_5 \subseteq E_4^{\perp E}$, we note that (4.10)-(4.15) hold. Now by Lemma 4.3, we see that there exist matrices $A'_{0,2} \in M_{k_0 \times k_2}(\mathcal{T}_3)$, $A'_{0,3}, A''_{0,3} \in M_{k_0 \times (N_1-k_0-k_1-k_2)}(\mathcal{T}_3)$, $A'_{1,3} \in M_{k_1 \times (N_1-k_0-k_1-k_2)}(\mathcal{T}_3)$, $C_{0,2} \in M_{\ell_0 \times k_2}(\mathcal{T}_3)$, $C_{i,3} \in M_{\ell_i \times (N_1-k_0-k_1-k_2)}(\mathcal{T}_3)$ for $0 \leq i \leq 1$, $C'_{0,3} \in M_{\ell_0 \times (N_1-k_0-k_1-k_2)}(\mathcal{T}_3)$, $B_{0,1} \in M_{k_0 \times \ell_1}(\mathcal{T}_3)$, $B_{i,2} \in M_{k_i \times (N_2-\ell_0-\ell_1)}(\mathcal{T}_3)$ for $0 \leq i \leq 1$, $B'_{0,2} \in M_{k_0 \times (N_2-\ell_0-\ell_1)}(\mathcal{T}_3)$ and $D'_{0,2} \in M_{\ell_0 \times (N_2-\ell_0-\ell_1)}(\mathcal{T}_3)$, such that the code \mathcal{C}_3 has a generator matrix of the form (4.9). Further, by Lemma 2.1, we see that the code \mathcal{C}_3 is Euclidean self-orthogonal if and only if

$$\begin{aligned} I_{k_0} + A_{0,1}A_{0,1}^T + A_{0,2}A_{0,2}^T + A_{0,3}A_{0,3}^T + \gamma(A_{0,2}A_{0,2}^T + A'_{0,2}A_{0,2}^T + A_{0,3}A_{0,3}^T \\ + A'_{0,3}A_{0,3}^T + B_{0,1}B_{0,1}^T + B_{0,2}B_{0,2}^T) + \gamma^2(A'_{0,2}A_{0,2}^T + A_{0,3}A_{0,3}^T + A''_{0,3}A_{0,3}^T \\ + A'_{0,3}A_{0,3}^T + B_{0,2}B_{0,2}^T + B'_{0,2}B_{0,2}^T) \equiv 0 \pmod{\gamma^3}, \end{aligned} \quad (4.16)$$

$$\begin{aligned} A_{0,2}C_{0,2}^T + A_{0,3}C_{0,3}^T + B_{0,1}D_{0,1}^T + B_{0,2}D_{0,2}^T + \gamma(A'_{0,2}C_{0,2}^T + A_{0,3}C_{0,3}^T + A'_{0,3}C_{0,3}^T \\ + B_{0,2}D_{0,2}^T + B'_{0,2}D_{0,2}^T) \equiv 0 \pmod{\gamma^2}, \end{aligned} \quad (4.17)$$

$$A_{0,1} + A_{0,2}A_{1,2}^T + A_{0,3}A_{1,3}^T + \gamma(A'_{0,2}A_{1,2}^T + A_{0,3}A_{1,3}^T + A'_{0,3}A_{1,3}^T + B_{0,2}B_{1,2}^T) \equiv 0 \pmod{\gamma^2}, \quad (4.18)$$

$$I_{\ell_0} + D_{0,1}D_{0,1}^T + D_{0,2}D_{0,2}^T + \gamma(C_{0,2}C_{0,2}^T + C_{0,3}C_{0,3}^T + D_{0,2}D_{0,2}^T + D'_{0,2}D_{0,2}^T) \equiv 0 \pmod{\gamma^2}, \quad (4.19)$$

$$A_{0,3}C_{1,3}^T + B_{0,1} + B_{0,2}D_{1,2}^T \equiv 0 \pmod{\gamma}, \quad (4.20)$$

$$C_{0,2}A_{1,2}^T + C_{0,3}A_{1,3}^T + D_{0,2}B_{1,2}^T \equiv 0 \pmod{\gamma}, \quad (4.21)$$

$$I_{k_1} + A_{1,2}A_{1,2}^T + A_{1,3}A_{1,3}^T \equiv 0 \pmod{\gamma}, \quad (4.22)$$

$$A_{0,2} + A_{0,3}A_{2,3}^T \equiv 0 \pmod{\gamma} \text{ and } \quad (4.23)$$

$$D_{0,1} + D_{0,2}D_{1,2}^T \equiv 0 \pmod{\gamma}. \quad (4.24)$$

By (4.11), (4.13) and (4.15), we see that the congruences (4.22)-(4.24) hold. So we need to count the choices for the matrices $A'_{0,2}$, $A'_{0,3}$, $A''_{0,3}$, $A'_{1,3}$, $C_{0,2}$, $C_{0,3}$, $C_{1,3}$, $C'_{0,3}$, $B_{0,1}$, $B_{0,2}$, $B_{1,2}$, $B'_{0,2}$ and $D'_{0,2}$ satisfying the congruences (4.16)-(4.21). Towards this, we first note that the congruence (4.10) gives

$$I_{k_0} + A_{0,1}A_{0,1}^T + A_{0,2}A_{0,2}^T + A_{0,3}A_{0,3}^T \equiv \gamma\mathcal{P}_1 + \gamma^2\mathcal{P}_2 \pmod{\gamma^3}$$

for some $\mathcal{P}_1, \mathcal{P}_2 \in \text{Sym}_{k_0}(\mathcal{T}_3)$. On substituting this into the congruence (4.16), we get

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma(\mathcal{P}_1 + A_{0,2}A_{0,2}^T + A'_{0,2}A_{0,2}^T + A_{0,3}A_{0,3}^T + A'_{0,3}A_{0,3}^T + B_{0,1}B_{0,1}^T + B_{0,2}B_{0,2}^T) \\ + \gamma^2(\mathcal{P}_2 + A'_{0,2}A_{0,2}^T + A_{0,3}A_{0,3}^T + A''_{0,3}A_{0,3}^T + A'_{0,3}A_{0,3}^T + B_{0,2}B_{0,2}^T + B'_{0,2}B_{0,2}^T) \equiv 0 \pmod{\gamma^3}. \end{aligned} \quad (4.25)$$

Here, we will choose the matrices $B_{0,1}, B_{0,2}, B'_{0,2}$ and $A'_{0,2}$ arbitrarily, which can be done in $q^{k_0(b-2\ell_0-\ell_1+k_2)}$ distinct ways. Now by Lemma 4.1(a), we see that there are precisely $q^{\frac{k_0}{2}(2a-3k_0-2k_1-2k_2-1)}$ distinct choices for the matrix $A'_{0,3}$ satisfying

$$\mathcal{P}_1 + A_{0,2}A_{0,2}^T + A'_{0,2}A_{0,2}^T + A_{0,3}A_{0,3}^T + A'_{0,3}A_{0,3}^T + B_{0,1}B_{0,1}^T + B_{0,2}B_{0,2}^T \equiv 0 \pmod{\gamma}.$$

Thus, we get

$$\gamma(\mathcal{P}_1 + A_{0,2}A_{0,2}^T + A'_{0,2}A_{0,2}^T + A_{0,3}A_{0,3}^T + A'_{0,3}A_{0,3}^T + B_{0,1}B_{0,1}^T + B_{0,2}B_{0,2}^T) \equiv \gamma^2\mathcal{P}_3 \pmod{\gamma^3}$$

for some $\mathcal{P}_3 \in \text{Sym}_{k_0}(\mathcal{T}_3)$. Now on substituting this into the congruence (4.25) and applying Lemma 4.1(a) again, we see that there are precisely $q^{\frac{k_0}{2}(2a-3k_0-2k_1-2k_2-1)}$ distinct choices for the matrix $A''_{0,3}$ satisfying (4.25).

Now, for given choices of the matrices $B_{0,1}, B_{0,2}, A'_{0,2}, A'_{0,3}, B'_{0,2}$ and $A'_{0,3}$, we will count all possible choices for the matrices $C_{0,2}, C_{0,3}, C'_{0,3}, D'_{0,2}, B_{1,2}, A'_{1,3}$ and $C_{1,3}$ satisfying (4.17)-(4.21). To do this, we choose the matrix $C_{0,2}$ arbitrarily, which can be chosen in $q^{\ell_0 k_2}$ distinct ways. Further, by Lemma 4.1(b), we see that there are precisely $q^{\ell_0(a-2k_0-k_1-k_2)}$ distinct choices for the matrix $C_{0,3}$ satisfying $A_{0,2}C_{0,2}^T + A_{0,3}C_{0,3}^T + B_{0,1}D_{0,1}^T + B_{0,2}D_{0,2}^T \equiv 0 \pmod{\gamma}$. From this, we get $A_{0,2}C_{0,2}^T + A_{0,3}C_{0,3}^T + B_{0,1}D_{0,1}^T + B_{0,2}D_{0,2}^T \equiv \gamma\mathcal{Q}_1 \pmod{\gamma^2}$ for some $\mathcal{Q} \in M_{k_0 \times \ell_0}(\mathcal{T}_3)$. Furthermore, on substituting this into the congruence (4.17), we get

$$\gamma(\mathcal{Q}_1 + A'_{0,2}C_{0,2}^T + A_{0,3}C_{0,3}^T + A'_{0,3}C_{0,3}^T + B_{0,2}D_{0,2}^T + B'_{0,2}D_{0,2}^T) \equiv 0 \pmod{\gamma^2}. \quad (4.26)$$

We next proceed to count the choices for the matrices $C'_{0,3}$ and $D'_{0,2}$ satisfying (4.26). To count the choices for the matrix $D'_{0,2}$, we note that (4.14) gives

$$I_{\ell_0} + D_{0,1}D_{0,1}^T + D_{0,2}D_{0,2}^T \equiv \gamma\mathcal{P}_4 \pmod{\gamma^2}$$

for some $\mathcal{P}_4 \in \text{Sym}_{\ell_0}(\mathcal{T}_3)$. On substituting this into the congruence (4.19), we get

$$\gamma(\mathcal{P}_4 + C_{0,2}C_{0,2}^T + C_{0,3}C_{0,3}^T + D_{0,2}D_{0,2}^T + D'_{0,2}D_{0,2}^T) \equiv 0 \pmod{\gamma^2}. \quad (4.27)$$

Now, by Lemma 4.1(a), we see that there are precisely $q^{\frac{\ell_0}{2}(2b-3\ell_0-2\ell_1-1)}$ distinct choices for the matrix $D'_{0,2}$ satisfying (4.27). Further, for a given choice of the matrix $D'_{0,2}$, we see, by Lemma 4.1(b), that there are precisely $q^{\ell_0(a-2k_0-k_1-k_2)}$ distinct choices for the matrix $C'_{0,3}$ satisfying (4.26).

We will finally count the choices for the remaining matrices $B_{1,2}, A'_{1,3}$ and $C_{1,3}$ satisfying the congruences (4.18), (4.20) and (4.21) for given choices of the matrices $B_{0,1}, B_{0,2}, A'_{0,2}, A'_{0,3}, B'_{0,2}, A''_{0,3}, C_{0,2}, C_{0,3}, C'_{0,3}$ and $D'_{0,2}$. Towards this, we see, by Lemma 4.1(b), that there are precisely $q^{k_1(b-2\ell_0-\ell_1)}$ distinct choices for the matrix $B_{1,2}$ satisfying (4.21). Now to count the choices for the matrix $A'_{1,3}$, we note that the congruence (4.12) gives

$$A_{0,1} + A_{0,2}A_{1,2}^T + A_{0,3}A_{1,3}^T \equiv \gamma\mathcal{Q}_2 \pmod{\gamma^2}$$

for some $\mathcal{Q}_2 \in M_{k_0 \times k_1}(\mathcal{T}_3)$. On substituting this into the congruence (4.18), we get

$$\gamma(\mathcal{Q}_2 + A'_{0,2}A_{1,2}^T + A_{0,3}A_{1,3}^T + A'_{0,3}A_{1,3}^T + B_{0,2}B_{1,2}^T) \equiv 0 \pmod{\gamma^2}. \quad (4.28)$$

Next, by Lemma 4.1(b), we see that the matrix $A'_{1,3}$ satisfying (4.28) has precisely $q^{k_1(a-2k_0-k_1-k_2)}$ distinct choices. Further, by Lemma 4.1(b) again, we see that the matrix $C_{1,3}$ satisfying (4.20) can be chosen in $q^{\ell_1(a-2k_0-k_1-k_2)}$ distinct ways. Furthermore, each of the distinct choices for the matrices $A'_{0,2}, A'_{0,3}, A''_{0,3}, A'_{1,3}, C_{0,2}, C_{0,3}, C_{1,3}, C'_{0,3}, B_{0,1}, B_{0,2}, B_{1,2}, B'_{0,2}$ and $D'_{0,2}$ gives rise to a distinct Euclidean self-orthogonal $\mathbb{R}_3\mathbb{R}_2$ -linear code \mathcal{C}_3 of block-length (N_1, N_2) satisfying $\text{Tor}_1(\mathcal{C}_3^{(X)}) = E_1, \text{Tor}_2(\mathcal{C}_3^{(X)}) = E_2, \text{Tor}_3(\mathcal{C}_3^{(X)}) = E_3, \text{Tor}_1(\mathcal{C}_3^{(Y)}) = E_4$ and $\text{Tor}_2(\mathcal{C}_3^{(Y)}) = E_5$. From this, the desired result follows. \square

In the following theorem, we obtain the number $\mathfrak{N}_3(N_1, N_2; \mathbf{k}; \boldsymbol{\ell})$, where $\mathbf{k} = (k_0, k_1, k_2)$ and $\boldsymbol{\ell} = (\ell_0, \ell_1)$.

Theorem 4.4. *For $\mathbf{k} = (k_0, k_1, k_2)$ and $\boldsymbol{\ell} = (\ell_0, \ell_1)$, we have*

$$\mathfrak{N}_3(N_1, N_2; \mathbf{k}; \boldsymbol{\ell}) = \begin{cases} \sigma_q(N_1, k_0 + k_1)\sigma_q(N_2, \ell_0) \begin{bmatrix} N_1 - 2k_0 - k_1 \\ k_2 \end{bmatrix}_q \begin{bmatrix} N_2 - 2\ell_0 \\ \ell_1 \end{bmatrix}_q \begin{bmatrix} k_0 + k_1 \\ k_0 \end{bmatrix}_q q^{\Theta_3(\mathbf{k}; \boldsymbol{\ell})} \\ \text{if } 2k_0 + 2k_1 \leq N_1, 2k_0 + k_1 + k_2 \leq N_1 \text{ and } 2\ell_0 + \ell_1 \leq N_2; \\ 0 \quad \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

where the numbers $\sigma_q(N_1, k_0 + k_1)$'s and $\sigma_q(N_2, \ell_0)$'s are given by (4.1), and $\Theta_3(\mathbf{k}; \boldsymbol{\ell})$ is given by

$$\Theta_3(\mathbf{k}; \boldsymbol{\ell}) = (N_1 - 3k_0 - k_1 - k_2)(k_0 + 2\ell_0 + k_1 + \ell_1) + k_0(N_1 + 2N_2 - 1) + k_1(N_2 - 2\ell_0 - \ell_1) + \ell_0(N_2 - \frac{3}{2}\ell_0 - \ell_1 + k_2 - \frac{1}{2}). \quad (4.29)$$

Proof. Let \mathcal{D}_3 be a Euclidean self-orthogonal $\mathbb{R}_3\mathbb{R}_2$ -linear code of block-length (N_1, N_2) and type $\{k_0, k_1, k_2; \ell_0, \ell_1\}$ satisfying $\text{Tor}_1(\mathcal{D}_3^{(X)}) = F_1, \text{Tor}_2(\mathcal{D}_3^{(X)}) = F_2, \text{Tor}_3(\mathcal{D}_3^{(X)}) = F_3, \text{Tor}_1(\mathcal{D}_3^{(Y)}) = F_4$ and $\text{Tor}_2(\mathcal{D}_3^{(Y)}) = F_5$. Here, we have $\dim F_1 = k_0, \dim F_2 = k_0 + k_1, \dim F_3 = k_0 + k_1 + k_2, \dim F_4 = \ell_0$ and $\dim F_5 = \ell_0 + \ell_1$. Further, by Lemma 2.2, we see that $F_1 \subseteq F_2 \subseteq F_3 \subseteq F_1^{\perp E}, F_2 \subseteq F_2^{\perp E}$ and $F_4 \subseteq F_5 \subseteq F_4^{\perp E}$ hold. Also, by Remark 2.1, we note that $2k_0 + 2k_1 \leq N_1, 2k_0 + k_1 + k_2 \leq N_1$ and $2\ell_0 + \ell_1 \leq N_2$. We further see, by equation (4.1), that the codes

F_2 and F_4 have precisely $\sigma_q(N_1, k_0 + k_1)$ and $\sigma_q(N_2, \ell_0)$ distinct choices, respectively. Further, for a given choice of F_2 , there are precisely $\begin{bmatrix} k_0 + k_1 \\ k_0 \end{bmatrix}_q$ distinct choices for the code F_1 satisfying $F_1 \subseteq F_2$, and hence the codes F_1 and F_2 satisfy $F_1 \subseteq F_2 \subseteq F_2^{\perp E} \subseteq F_1^{\perp E}$. Further, for a given choice of F_2 satisfying $F_2 \subseteq F_1^{\perp E}$, there are precisely $\begin{bmatrix} N_1 - 2k_0 - k_1 \\ k_2 \end{bmatrix}_q$ distinct choices for the code F_3 satisfying $F_2 \subseteq F_3 \subseteq F_1^{\perp E}$. Similarly, for a given choice of F_4 , one can easily see that there are precisely $\begin{bmatrix} N_2 - 2\ell_0 \\ \ell_1 \end{bmatrix}_q$ distinct choices for the code F_5 satisfying $F_4 \subseteq F_5 \subseteq F_4^{\perp E}$. From this and by applying Lemma 4.3 and Proposition 4.2, the desired result follows. \square

In the following theorem, we obtain an enumeration formula for $\mathfrak{N}_3(N_1, N_2)$.

Theorem 4.5. *We have*

$$\mathfrak{N}_3(N_1, N_2) = \sum_{k_0=0}^{\lfloor \frac{N_1}{2} \rfloor} \sum_{k_1=0}^{\lfloor \frac{N_1}{2} \rfloor - k_0} \sum_{k_2=0}^{N_1 - 2k_0 - k_1} \sum_{\ell_0=0}^{\lfloor \frac{N_2}{2} \rfloor} \sum_{\ell_1=0}^{N_2 - 2\ell_0} \sigma_q(N_1, k_0 + k_1) \sigma_q(N_2, \ell_0) \begin{bmatrix} N_1 - 2k_0 - k_1 \\ k_2 \end{bmatrix}_q \begin{bmatrix} N_2 - 2\ell_0 \\ \ell_1 \end{bmatrix}_q \begin{bmatrix} k_0 + k_1 \\ k_0 \end{bmatrix}_q q^{\Theta_3(\mathbf{k}; \boldsymbol{\ell})},$$

where the numbers $\sigma_q(N_1, k_0 + k_1)$'s and $\sigma_q(N_2, \ell_0)$'s are given by (4.1), and $\Theta_3(\mathbf{k}; \boldsymbol{\ell})$ is given by (4.29).

Proof. It follows immediately from Theorem 4.4. \square

Example 4.5. *Using Magma, we see that there are precisely 499 and 2064151 distinct non-zero Euclidean self-orthogonal $\mathbb{Z}_{27}\mathbb{Z}_9$ -linear codes of block-lengths (2, 2) and (3, 3), respectively. This agrees with Theorem 4.5.*

In the following corollary, we count all self-orthogonal additive codes of length N over \mathcal{R}_3 .

Corollary 4.3. *The number of self-orthogonal additive codes of length N over \mathcal{R}_3 is given by*

$$\sum_{k_0=0}^{\lfloor \frac{Nrt}{2} \rfloor} \sum_{k_1=0}^{\lfloor \frac{Nrt}{2} \rfloor - k_0} \sum_{k_2=0}^{Nrt - 2k_0 - k_1} \sum_{\ell_0=0}^{\lfloor \frac{Nr(k-t)}{2} \rfloor} \sum_{\ell_1=0}^{Nr(k-t) - 2\ell_0} \sigma_p(Nrt, k_0 + k_1) \sigma_p(Nr(k-t), \ell_0) \begin{bmatrix} Nrt - 2k_0 - k_1 \\ k_2 \end{bmatrix}_p \begin{bmatrix} Nr(k-t) - 2\ell_0 \\ \ell_1 \end{bmatrix}_p \\ \times \begin{bmatrix} k_0 + k_1 \\ k_0 \end{bmatrix}_p p^{\omega_3(\mathbf{k}; \boldsymbol{\ell})},$$

where the numbers $\sigma_p(Nrt, k_0 + k_1)$'s and $\sigma_p(Nr(k-t), \ell_0)$'s are given by (4.1), and $\omega_3(\mathbf{k}; \boldsymbol{\ell})$ is given by

$$\omega_3(\mathbf{k}; \boldsymbol{\ell}) = (Nrt - 3k_0 - k_1 - k_2)(k_0 + 2\ell_0 + k_1 + \ell_1) + k_0(2Nrk - Nrt - 1) + k_1(Nr(k-t) - 2\ell_0 - \ell_1) + \ell_0(Nr(k-t) - \frac{3}{2}\ell_0 - \ell_1 + k_2 - \frac{1}{2}).$$

Proof. By Remark 3.1, we see that the number of self-orthogonal additive codes of length N over \mathcal{R}_3 is equal to the number of distinct Euclidean self-orthogonal $\mathbb{Z}_p\mathbb{Z}_{p^2}$ -linear codes of block-length $(Nrt, Nr(k-t))$. On taking $N_1 = Nrt$ and $N_2 = Nr(k-t)$ in Theorem 4.5, the desired result follows immediately. \square

Example 4.6. *By Corollary 4.3, we see that there are precisely 499 and 2064151 distinct non-zero self-orthogonal additive codes of lengths 2 and 3 over $\mathbb{Z}_{27}[y]/\langle y^2 - 3, 9y \rangle$, respectively. This agrees with Example 4.5 and Magma computations.*

In the following theorem, we derive a necessary and sufficient condition for the existence of a Euclidean self-dual $\mathbb{R}_3\mathbb{R}_2$ -linear code of block-length (N_1, N_2) . We also obtain an enumeration formula for $\mathfrak{M}_3(N_1, N_2)$.

Theorem 4.6. *There exists a Euclidean self-dual $\mathbb{R}_3\mathbb{R}_2$ -linear code of block-length (N_1, N_2) if and only if N_1 is even and $(-1)^{\frac{N_1}{2}}$ is a square in $\mathcal{T}_e (\simeq \mathbb{F}_q)$. Furthermore, when N_1 is even and $(-1)^{\frac{N_1}{2}}$ is a square in \mathcal{T}_e , we have*

$$\mathfrak{M}_3(N_1, N_2) = \sum_{k_0=0}^{\frac{N_1}{2}} \sum_{\ell_0=0}^{\lfloor \frac{N_2}{2} \rfloor} \sigma_q(N_1, \frac{N_1}{2}) \sigma_q(N_2, \ell_0) \begin{bmatrix} N_1/2 \\ k_0 \end{bmatrix}_q q^{k_0(\frac{N_1}{2} + N_2 - 1) + \frac{\ell_0}{2}(N_1 - 2k_0 + \ell_0 - 1)},$$

where the numbers $\sigma_q(N_1, \frac{N_1}{2})$'s and $\sigma_q(N_2, \ell_0)$'s are given by (4.1).

Proof. It follows from Theorem 4.5, Lemma 2.2, and Remarks 2.1 and 4.1. \square

Example 4.7. *Using Magma, we see that there are precisely 172 distinct Euclidean self-dual $\mathbb{Z}_{5^3}\mathbb{Z}_{5^2}$ -linear codes of block-length (2, 2). This agrees with Theorem 4.6.*

In the following corollary, we derive a necessary and sufficient condition for the existence of a self-dual additive code of length N over \mathcal{R}_3 . We also count all self-dual additive codes of length N over \mathcal{R}_3 .

Corollary 4.4. *There exists a self-dual additive code of length N over \mathcal{R}_3 if and only if Nrt is even and $(-1)^{\frac{Nrt}{2}}$ is a square in \mathbb{Z}_p . Furthermore, when Nrt is even and $(-1)^{\frac{Nrt}{2}}$ is a square in \mathbb{Z}_p , the number of self-dual additive codes of length N over \mathcal{R}_3 is given by*

$$\sum_{k_0=0}^{\frac{Nrt}{2}} \sum_{\ell_0=0}^{\lfloor \frac{Nr(k-t)}{2} \rfloor} \sigma_p(Nrt, \frac{Nrt}{2}) \sigma_p(Nr(k-t), \ell_0) \binom{Nrt/2}{k_0} p^{k_0 \left(\frac{Nrt}{2} + Nr(k-t) - 1 \right) + \frac{\ell_0}{2} (Nrt - 2k_0 + \ell_0 - 1)},$$

where the numbers $\sigma_p(Nrt, \frac{Nrt}{2})$'s and $\sigma_p(Nr(k-t), \ell_0)$'s are given by (4.1).

Proof. By Remark 3.1, we see that the number of self-dual additive codes of length N over \mathcal{R}_3 is equal to the number of distinct Euclidean self-dual $\mathbb{Z}_p^3 \mathbb{Z}_p^2$ -linear codes of block-length $(Nrt, Nr(k-t))$. Now the desired result follows by taking $N_1 = Nrt$ and $N_2 = Nr(k-t)$ in Theorem 4.6. \square

Example 4.8. *By Corollary 4.4, we see that there are precisely 172 distinct self-dual additive codes of length 2 over $\mathbb{Z}_5^3[y]/\langle y^2 - 5, 5^2y \rangle$. This agrees with Example 4.7 and Magma computations.*

4.3 The case $e \geq 4$

Throughout this section, let μ be an integer satisfying $4 \leq \mu \leq e$. Here, we will first provide a recursive method to construct a Euclidean self-orthogonal $\mathbf{R}_\mu \mathbf{R}_{\mu-1}$ -linear code of block-length (N_1, N_2) from a Euclidean self-orthogonal $\mathbf{R}_{\mu-2} \mathbf{R}_{\mu-3}$ -linear code of the same block-length (N_1, N_2) , which gives rise to a recurrence relation between enumeration formulae for these two classes of codes. This method is an extension of the recursive method employed by Yadav and Sharma [34, Sec. 5] to count Euclidean self-orthogonal and self-dual codes over \mathbf{R}_e .

To outline this recursive construction method, we will follow the same notations as introduced in Section 2.3 from now on. Here, we first recall, from Section 2.3, that the $\mathbf{R}_{\mu-2}$ -module $\mathbf{R}_{\mu-2}^{N_1} \oplus \mathbf{R}_{\mu-3}^{N_2}$ can be embedded into the \mathbf{R}_μ -module $\mathbf{R}_\mu^{N_1} \oplus \mathbf{R}_{\mu-1}^{N_2}$. We next assume, throughout this paper, that for positive integers n and s , $\mathcal{K}_{n,s}$ is the set consisting of all s -tuples $(k_0, k_1, \dots, k_{s-1})$ of non-negative integers satisfying $2k_0 + 2k_1 + \dots + 2k_{s-1-i} + k_{s-1-i+1} + k_{s-1-i+2} + \dots + k_i \leq n$ for $\lfloor \frac{s}{2} \rfloor \leq i \leq s-1$. Further, for an s -tuple $\mathbf{k} = (k_0, k_1, \dots, k_{s-1}) \in \mathcal{K}_{n,s}$, let us define the number

$$m_i(\mathbf{k}) = k_0 + k_1 + \dots + k_i \text{ for } 0 \leq i \leq s-1. \quad (4.30)$$

Note that $m_i(\mathbf{k}) = 0$ if $i < 0$. We see, by Remark 2.1, that if there exists a Euclidean self-orthogonal $\mathbf{R}_\mu \mathbf{R}_{\mu-1}$ -linear code of block-length (N_1, N_2) and type $\{k_0, k_1, \dots, k_{\mu-1}; \ell_0, \ell_1, \dots, \ell_{\mu-2}\}$, then $\mathbf{k} = (k_0, k_1, \dots, k_{\mu-1}) \in \mathcal{K}_{N_1, \mu}$ and $\boldsymbol{\ell} = (\ell_0, \ell_1, \dots, \ell_{\mu-2}) \in \mathcal{K}_{N_2, \mu-1}$. Moreover, if there exists a Euclidean self-orthogonal $\mathbf{R}_{\mu-2} \mathbf{R}_{\mu-3}$ -linear code of block-length (N_1, N_2) and type $\{k_0 + k_1, k_2, \dots, k_{\mu-2}; \ell_0 + \ell_1, \ell_2, \dots, \ell_{\mu-3}\}$, then $\mathbf{k} = (k_0, k_1, \dots, k_{\mu-1}) \in \mathcal{K}_{N_1, \mu}$ and $\boldsymbol{\ell} = (\ell_0, \ell_1, \dots, \ell_{\mu-2}) \in \mathcal{K}_{N_2, \mu-1}$. Accordingly, we assume, throughout this section, that $\mathbf{k} = (k_0, k_1, \dots, k_{\mu-1}) \in \mathcal{K}_{N_1, \mu}$ and $\boldsymbol{\ell} = (\ell_0, \ell_1, \dots, \ell_{\mu-2}) \in \mathcal{K}_{N_2, \mu-1}$. Note that $m_{\mu-2}(\mathbf{k}) = k_0 + k_1 + \dots + k_{\mu-2}$ and $m_{\mu-3}(\boldsymbol{\ell}) = \ell_0 + \ell_1 + \dots + \ell_{\mu-3}$.

Throughout this section, let $\mathcal{C}_{\mu-2}$ be a Euclidean self-orthogonal $\mathbf{R}_{\mu-2} \mathbf{R}_{\mu-3}$ -linear code of block-length (N_1, N_2) and type $\{k_0 + k_1, k_2, \dots, k_{\mu-2}; \ell_0 + \ell_1, \ell_2, \dots, \ell_{\mu-3}\}$. Since $Tor_1(\mathcal{C}_{\mu-2}^{(X)})$ is a $(k_0 + k_1)$ -dimensional subspace of $\mathcal{T}_e^{N_1}$ over \mathcal{T}_e and $Tor_1(\mathcal{C}_{\mu-2}^{(Y)})$ is an $(\ell_0 + \ell_1)$ -dimensional subspace of $\mathcal{T}_e^{N_2}$ over \mathcal{T}_e , there are precisely $\binom{k_0+k_1}{k_0} \binom{\ell_0+\ell_1}{\ell_0}$ distinct ways of choosing a k_0 -dimensional subspace P_1 of $Tor_1(\mathcal{C}_{\mu-2}^{(X)})$ and an ℓ_0 -dimensional subspace P_2 of $Tor_1(\mathcal{C}_{\mu-2}^{(Y)})$. Further, for given choices of P_1 and P_2 , without any loss of generality, we assume, by applying suitable row and columns operations (if necessary), that the code $\mathcal{C}_{\mu-2}$ has a generator matrix $\mathbf{G}_{\mu-2}$ of the form

$$\mathbf{G}_{\mu-2} = \left[\begin{array}{c|c} \mathbf{A}_{\mu-2} & \mathbf{B}_{\mu-2} \\ \mathbf{C}_{\mu-2} & \mathbf{D}_{\mu-2} \end{array} \right], \quad (4.31)$$

where

$$[\mathbf{A}_{\mu-2} \mid \mathbf{B}_{\mu-2}] = \left[\begin{array}{cccccc|cccccc} I_{k_0} & \mathbf{A}_{0,1}^{(\mu-2)} & \mathbf{A}_{0,2}^{(\mu-2)} & \dots & \mathbf{A}_{0,\mu-2}^{(\mu-2)} & \mathbf{A}_{0,\mu-1}^{(\mu-2)} & 0 & \mathbf{B}_{0,1}^{(\mu-2)} & \mathbf{B}_{0,2}^{(\mu-2)} & \dots & \mathbf{B}_{0,\mu-3}^{(\mu-2)} & \mathbf{B}_{0,\mu-2}^{(\mu-2)} \\ 0 & I_{k_1} & \mathbf{A}_{1,2}^{(\mu-2)} & \dots & \mathbf{A}_{1,\mu-2}^{(\mu-2)} & \mathbf{A}_{1,\mu-1}^{(\mu-2)} & 0 & 0 & \mathbf{B}_{1,2}^{(\mu-2)} & \dots & \mathbf{B}_{1,\mu-3}^{(\mu-2)} & \mathbf{B}_{1,\mu-2}^{(\mu-2)} \\ 0 & 0 & \gamma I_{k_2} & \dots & \gamma \mathbf{A}_{2,\mu-2}^{(\mu-2)} & \gamma \mathbf{A}_{2,\mu-1}^{(\mu-2)} & 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & \gamma \mathbf{B}_{2,\mu-3}^{(\mu-2)} & \gamma \mathbf{B}_{2,\mu-2}^{(\mu-2)} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & \gamma^{\mu-3} I_{k_{\mu-2}} & \gamma^{\mu-3} \mathbf{A}_{\mu-2,\mu-1}^{(\mu-2)} & 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 \end{array} \right]$$

$$= \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{A}_0^{(\mu-2)} & \mathbf{B}_0^{(\mu-2)} \\ \mathbf{A}_1^{(\mu-2)} & \mathbf{B}_1^{(\mu-2)} \\ \gamma \mathbf{A}_2^{(\mu-2)} & \gamma \mathbf{B}_2^{(\mu-2)} \\ \vdots & \vdots \\ \gamma^{\mu-4} \mathbf{A}_{\mu-3}^{(\mu-2)} & \gamma^{\mu-4} \mathbf{B}_{\mu-3}^{(\mu-2)} \\ \gamma^{\mu-3} \mathbf{A}_{\mu-2}^{(\mu-2)} & 0 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \hat{R}_1^{(\mu-2)} \\ \hat{R}_2^{(\mu-2)} \\ \gamma \hat{R}_3^{(\mu-2)} \\ \vdots \\ \gamma^{\mu-4} \hat{R}_{\mu-2}^{(\mu-2)} \\ \gamma^{\mu-3} \hat{R}_{\mu-1}^{(\mu-2)} \end{bmatrix}$$

with $\mathbf{A}_{0,i}^{(\mu-2)} = \mathbf{A}'_{0,i}^{(\mu-2)} + \gamma^{i-1} \mathbf{A}''_{0,i}^{(\mu-2)}$ for $2 \leq i \leq \mu-2$ and $\mathbf{B}_{0,j}^{(\mu-2)} = \mathbf{B}'_{0,j}^{(\mu-2)} + \gamma^{j-1} \mathbf{B}''_{0,j}^{(\mu-2)}$ for $2 \leq j \leq \mu-3$, and

$$[\mathbf{C}_{\mu-2} \mid \mathbf{D}_{\mu-2}] = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & \gamma \mathbf{C}_{0,2}^{(\mu-2)} & \cdots & \gamma \mathbf{C}_{0,\mu-2}^{(\mu-2)} & \gamma \mathbf{C}_{0,\mu-1}^{(\mu-2)} & I_{\ell_0} & \mathbf{D}_{0,1}^{(\mu-2)} & \mathbf{D}_{0,2}^{(\mu-2)} & \cdots & \mathbf{D}_{0,\mu-3}^{(\mu-2)} & \mathbf{D}_{0,\mu-2}^{(\mu-2)} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & \gamma \mathbf{C}_{1,\mu-2}^{(\mu-2)} & \gamma \mathbf{C}_{1,\mu-1}^{(\mu-2)} & 0 & I_{\ell_1} & \mathbf{D}_{1,2}^{(\mu-2)} & \cdots & \mathbf{D}_{1,\mu-3}^{(\mu-2)} & \mathbf{D}_{1,\mu-2}^{(\mu-2)} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & \gamma^2 \mathbf{C}_{2,\mu-2}^{(\mu-2)} & \gamma^2 \mathbf{C}_{2,\mu-1}^{(\mu-2)} & 0 & 0 & \gamma I_{\ell_2} & \cdots & \gamma \mathbf{D}_{2,\mu-3}^{(\mu-2)} & \gamma \mathbf{D}_{2,\mu-2}^{(\mu-2)} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & \gamma^{\mu-3} \mathbf{C}_{\mu-3,\mu-1}^{(\mu-2)} & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & \gamma^{\mu-4} I_{\ell_{\mu-3}} & \gamma^{\mu-4} \mathbf{D}_{\mu-3,\mu-2}^{(\mu-2)} \end{bmatrix}$$

$$= \begin{bmatrix} \gamma \mathbf{C}_0^{(\mu-2)} & \mathbf{D}_0^{(\mu-2)} \\ \gamma \mathbf{C}_1^{(\mu-2)} & \mathbf{D}_1^{(\mu-2)} \\ \gamma^2 \mathbf{C}_2^{(\mu-2)} & \gamma \mathbf{D}_2^{(\mu-2)} \\ \vdots & \vdots \\ \gamma^{\mu-3} \mathbf{C}_{\mu-3}^{(\mu-2)} & \gamma^{\mu-4} \mathbf{D}_{\mu-3}^{(\mu-2)} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \hat{S}_1^{(\mu-2)} \\ \hat{S}_2^{(\mu-2)} \\ \gamma \hat{S}_3^{(\mu-2)} \\ \vdots \\ \gamma^{\mu-4} \hat{S}_{\mu-2}^{(\mu-2)} \end{bmatrix}$$

with $\gamma \mathbf{C}_{0,i}^{(\mu-2)} = \gamma \mathbf{C}'_{0,i}^{(\mu-2)} + \gamma^{i-1} \mathbf{C}''_{0,i}^{(\mu-2)}$ for $2 \leq i \leq \mu-2$ and $\mathbf{D}_{0,j}^{(\mu-2)} = \mathbf{D}'_{0,j}^{(\mu-2)} + \gamma^{j-1} \mathbf{D}''_{0,j}^{(\mu-2)}$ for $2 \leq j \leq \mu-3$. Here, I_{k_i} is the $k_i \times k_i$ identity matrix over $\mathbf{R}_{\mu-2}$ for $0 \leq i \leq \mu-2$ and I_{ℓ_j} is the $\ell_j \times \ell_j$ identity matrix over $\mathbf{R}_{\mu-3}$ for $0 \leq j \leq \mu-3$. Further, we have $\mathbf{A}_{0,1}^{(\mu-2)} \in M_{k_0 \times k_1}(\mathcal{T}_e)$, $\mathbf{D}_{0,1}^{(\mu-2)} \in M_{\ell_0 \times \ell_1}(\mathcal{T}_e)$, $\mathbf{A}_{0,\mu-1}^{(\mu-2)} \in M_{k_0 \times (N_1 - m_{\mu-2}(\mathbf{k}))}(\mathbf{R}_{\mu-2})$, $\mathbf{B}_{0,\mu-2}^{(\mu-2)} \in M_{k_0 \times (N_2 - m_{\mu-3}(\ell))}(\mathbf{R}_{\mu-3})$, $\mathbf{D}_{0,\mu-2}^{(\mu-2)} \in M_{\ell_0 \times (N_2 - m_{\mu-3}(\ell))}(\mathbf{R}_{\mu-3})$ and $\gamma \mathbf{C}_{0,\mu-1}^{(\mu-2)} \in M_{\ell_0 \times (N_1 - m_{\mu-2}(\mathbf{k}))}(\mathbf{R}_{\mu-2})$. Also, the matrix $\gamma^{i-1} \mathbf{A}_{i,\mu-1}^{(\mu-2)} \in M_{k_i \times (N_1 - m_{\mu-2}(\mathbf{k}))}(\mathbf{R}_{\mu-2})$ for $1 \leq i \leq \mu-2$, and the matrices $\gamma^{j-1} \mathbf{B}_{j,\mu-2}^{(\mu-2)} \in M_{k_j \times (N_2 - m_{\mu-3}(\ell))}(\mathbf{R}_{\mu-3})$, $\gamma^j \mathbf{C}_{j,\mu-1}^{(\mu-2)} \in M_{\ell_j \times (N_1 - m_{\mu-2}(\mathbf{k}))}(\mathbf{R}_{\mu-2})$ and $\gamma^{j-1} \mathbf{D}_{j,\mu-2}^{(\mu-2)} \in M_{\ell_j \times (N_2 - m_{\mu-3}(\ell))}(\mathbf{R}_{\mu-3})$ for $1 \leq j \leq \mu-3$. Moreover, the matrix $\mathbf{A}'_{0,i}^{(\mu-2)} \in M_{k_0 \times k_i}(\mathbf{R}_{\mu-2})$ is considered modulo γ^{i-1} for $2 \leq i \leq \mu-2$, the matrix $\mathbf{A}_{i,j}^{(\mu-2)} \in M_{k_i \times k_j}(\mathbf{R}_{\mu-2})$ is considered modulo γ^{j-i} for $1 \leq i < j \leq \mu-2$, the matrices $\mathbf{B}'_{0,j}^{(\mu-2)} \in M_{k_0 \times \ell_j}(\mathbf{R}_{\mu-3})$ and $\mathbf{D}'_{0,j}^{(\mu-2)} \in M_{\ell_0 \times \ell_j}(\mathbf{R}_{\mu-3})$ are considered modulo γ^{j-1} for $2 \leq j \leq \mu-3$, the matrices $\mathbf{B}_{i,j}^{(\mu-2)} \in M_{k_i \times \ell_j}(\mathbf{R}_{\mu-3})$ and $\mathbf{D}_{i,j}^{(\mu-2)} \in M_{\ell_i \times \ell_j}(\mathbf{R}_{\mu-3})$ are considered modulo γ^{j-i} for $1 \leq i < j \leq \mu-3$, the matrix $\gamma \mathbf{C}'_{0,i}^{(\mu-2)} \in M_{\ell_0 \times k_i}(\mathbf{R}_{\mu-2})$ is considered modulo γ^{i-1} for $2 \leq i \leq \mu-2$, and the matrix $\mathbf{C}_{i,j}^{(\mu-2)} \in M_{\ell_i \times k_j}(\mathbf{R}_{\mu-2})$ is considered modulo γ^{j-i-1} for $1 \leq i \leq \mu-4$ and $i+2 \leq j \leq \mu-2$. Besides this, the matrix $\mathbf{B}'_{0,1}^{(\mu-2)} \in M_{k_0 \times \ell_1}(\mathcal{T}_e)$, the matrices $\mathbf{A}''_{0,i}^{(\mu-2)} \in M_{k_0 \times k_i}(\mathcal{T}_e)$ and $\mathbf{C}''_{0,i}^{(\mu-2)} \in M_{\ell_0 \times k_i}(\mathcal{T}_e)$ for $2 \leq i \leq \mu-2$, and the matrices $\mathbf{B}''_{0,j}^{(\mu-2)} \in M_{k_0 \times \ell_j}(\mathcal{T}_e)$ and $\mathbf{D}''_{0,j}^{(\mu-2)} \in M_{\ell_0 \times \ell_j}(\mathcal{T}_e)$ for $2 \leq j \leq \mu-3$ are chosen arbitrarily. That is, we have $\mathbf{A}_0^{(\mu-2)} \in M_{k_0 \times N_1}(\mathbf{R}_{\mu-2})$, $\mathbf{B}_0^{(\mu-2)} \in M_{k_0 \times N_2}(\mathbf{R}_{\mu-3})$, $\gamma \mathbf{C}_0^{(\mu-2)} \in M_{\ell_0 \times N_1}(\mathbf{R}_{\mu-2})$, $\mathbf{D}_0^{(\mu-2)} \in M_{\ell_0 \times N_2}(\mathbf{R}_{\mu-3})$, $\gamma^{i-1} \mathbf{A}_i^{(\mu-2)} \in M_{k_i \times N_1}(\mathbf{R}_{\mu-2})$ for $1 \leq i \leq \mu-2$, and the matrices $\gamma^{j-1} \mathbf{B}_j^{(\mu-2)} \in M_{k_j \times N_2}(\mathbf{R}_{\mu-3})$, $\gamma^j \mathbf{C}_j^{(\mu-2)} \in M_{\ell_j \times N_1}(\mathbf{R}_{\mu-2})$ and $\gamma^{j-1} \mathbf{D}_j^{(\mu-2)} \in M_{\ell_j \times N_2}(\mathbf{R}_{\mu-3})$ for $1 \leq j \leq \mu-3$. Moreover, the columns of the matrix $\begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{A}_{\mu-2} \\ \mathbf{C}_{\mu-2} \end{bmatrix}$ are grouped into blocks of sizes $k_0, k_1, \dots, k_{\mu-2}, N_1 - m_{\mu-2}(\mathbf{k})$, and the columns of the matrix $\begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{B}_{\mu-2} \\ \mathbf{D}_{\mu-2} \end{bmatrix}$ are grouped into blocks of sizes $\ell_0, \ell_1, \dots, \ell_{\mu-3}, N_2 - m_{\mu-3}(\ell)$. Furthermore, since the code $\mathcal{C}_{\mu-2}$ is Euclidean self-orthogonal, we see, by Lemma 2.2 and working as in Remark 2.2 of Yadav and Sharma [34], that the matrices $\overline{\mathbf{A}_{0,\mu-1}^{(\mu-2)}}$ and $\overline{\mathbf{D}_{0,\mu-2}^{(\mu-2)}}$ are of full row-ranks.

Now, let us define the following matrices in \mathfrak{M} as

$$\begin{aligned} R_1^{(\mu)} &= \hat{R}_1^{(\mu-2)} + [0 \ 0 \ \cdots \ 0 \ \gamma^{\mu-2} E_{0,\mu-1} + \gamma^{\mu-1} F_{0,\mu-1} \mid 0 \ 0 \ \cdots \ 0 \ \gamma^{\mu-3} M_{0,\mu-2} + \gamma^{\mu-2} L_{0,\mu-2}], \\ \gamma^i R_{i+1}^{(\mu)} &= \gamma^i \hat{R}_{i+1}^{(\mu-2)} + [0 \ 0 \ \cdots \ 0 \ \gamma^{\mu-1} F_{i,\mu-1} \mid 0 \ 0 \ \cdots \ 0 \ \gamma^{\mu-2} L_{i,\mu-2}] \text{ for } 1 \leq i \leq \mu-2, \\ \gamma^{\mu-1} R_{\mu}^{(\mu)} &= [0 \ 0 \ \cdots \ 0 \ \gamma^{\mu-1} \mathbf{A}_{\mu-1,\mu-1} \mid 0 \ 0 \ \cdots \ 0], \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
S_1^{(\mu)} &= \hat{S}_1^{(\mu-2)} + [0 \ 0 \ \cdots \ 0 \ \gamma^{\mu-2} K_{0,\mu-1} + \gamma^{\mu-1} J_{0,\mu-1} \mid 0 \ 0 \ \cdots \ 0 \ \gamma^{\mu-3} G_{0,\mu-2} + \gamma^{\mu-2} H_{0,\mu-2}], \\
\gamma^j S_{j+1}^{(\mu)} &= \gamma^j \hat{S}_{j+1}^{(\mu-2)} + [0 \ 0 \ \cdots \ 0 \ \gamma^{\mu-1} J_{j,\mu-1} \mid 0 \ 0 \ \cdots \ 0 \ \gamma^{\mu-2} H_{j,\mu-1}] \text{ for } 1 \leq j \leq \mu-3, \text{ and} \\
\gamma^{\mu-2} S_{\mu-1}^{(\mu)} &= [0 \ 0 \ \cdots \ 0 \ \gamma^{\mu-1} J_{\mu-2,\mu-1} \mid 0 \ 0 \ \cdots \ 0 \ \gamma^{\mu-2} D_{\mu-2,\mu-2}],
\end{aligned}$$

where the matrices $E_{0,\mu-1} \in M_{k_0 \times (N_1 - m_{\mu-2}(\mathbf{k}))}(\mathcal{T}_e)$, $M_{0,\mu-2} \in M_{k_0 \times (N_2 - m_{\mu-3}(\ell))}(\mathcal{T}_e)$, $K_{0,\mu-1} \in M_{\ell_0 \times (N_1 - m_{\mu-2}(\mathbf{k}))}(\mathcal{T}_e)$, $G_{0,\mu-2} \in M_{\ell_0 \times (N_2 - m_{\mu-3}(\ell))}(\mathcal{T}_e)$, the matrices $F_{i,\mu-1} \in M_{k_i \times (N_1 - m_{\mu-2}(\mathbf{k}))}(\mathcal{T}_e)$, $L_{i,\mu-2} \in M_{k_i \times (N_2 - m_{\mu-3}(\ell))}(\mathcal{T}_e)$ and $J_{i,\mu-1} \in M_{\ell_i \times (N_1 - m_{\mu-2}(\mathbf{k}))}(\mathcal{T}_e)$ for $0 \leq i \leq \mu-2$, the matrix $H_{j,\mu-2} \in M_{\ell_j \times (N_2 - m_{\mu-3}(\ell))}(\mathcal{T}_e)$ for $0 \leq j \leq \mu-3$, and both the matrices $A_{\mu-1,\mu-1} \in M_{k_{\mu-1} \times (N_1 - m_{\mu-2}(\mathbf{k}))}(\mathcal{T}_e)$ and $D_{\mu-2,\mu-2} \in M_{\ell_{\mu-2} \times (N_2 - m_{\mu-3}(\ell))}(\mathcal{T}_e)$ are of full row-ranks. We next assume, throughout this section, that \mathcal{C}_μ is an $\mathbf{R}_\mu \mathbf{R}_{\mu-1}$ -linear code of block-length (N_1, N_2) with a generator matrix

$$\mathbf{G}_\mu = \begin{bmatrix} R_1^{(\mu)} \\ \gamma R_2^{(\mu)} \\ \gamma^2 R_3^{(\mu)} \\ \vdots \\ \gamma^{\mu-2} R_{\mu-1}^{(\mu)} \\ \gamma^{\mu-1} R_\mu^{(\mu)} \\ S_1^{(\mu)} \\ \gamma S_2^{(\mu)} \\ \vdots \\ \gamma^{\mu-3} S_{\mu-2}^{(\mu)} \\ \gamma^{\mu-2} S_{\mu-1}^{(\mu)} \end{bmatrix}. \quad (4.32)$$

Note that the code \mathcal{C}_μ satisfies $Tor_1(\mathcal{C}_\mu^{(X)}) = P_1$, $Tor_1(\mathcal{C}_\mu^{(Y)}) = P_2$,

$$Tor_{i+1}(\mathcal{C}_\mu^{(X)}) = Tor_i(\mathcal{C}_{\mu-2}^{(X)}) \text{ for } 1 \leq i \leq \mu-2 \text{ and} \quad (4.33)$$

$$Tor_{j+1}(\mathcal{C}_\mu^{(Y)}) = Tor_j(\mathcal{C}_{\mu-2}^{(Y)}) \text{ for } 1 \leq j \leq \mu-3. \quad (4.34)$$

Further, the code \mathcal{C}_μ is of the type $\{k_0, k_1, \dots, k_{\mu-1}; \ell_0, \ell_1, \dots, \ell_{\mu-2}\}$ and is called a lift of the code $\mathcal{C}_{\mu-2}$ from now on. We next observe the following:

Lemma 4.4. *If the code \mathcal{C}_μ is Euclidean self-orthogonal, then the code $\mathcal{C}_{\mu-2}$ is also Euclidean self-orthogonal.*

Proof. As the code \mathcal{C}_μ is Euclidean self-orthogonal, we have $\mathbf{G}_\mu \diamond \mathbf{G}_\mu^T = 0$ in \mathbf{R}_μ , which implies that $\mathbf{G}_{\mu-2} \diamond \mathbf{G}_{\mu-2}^T = 0$ in $\mathbf{R}_{\mu-2}$. From this, it follows that the code $\mathcal{C}_{\mu-2}$ is Euclidean self-orthogonal. \square

In the following proposition, we show that each Euclidean self-orthogonal $\mathbf{R}_{\mu-2} \mathbf{R}_{\mu-3}$ -linear code of block-length (N_1, N_2) and type $\{k_0 + k_1, k_2, \dots, k_{\mu-2}; \ell_0 + \ell_1, \ell_2, \dots, \ell_{\mu-3}\}$ can be lifted to a Euclidean self-orthogonal $\mathbf{R}_\mu \mathbf{R}_{\mu-1}$ -linear code of the same block-length (N_1, N_2) and type $\{k_0, k_1, \dots, k_{\mu-1}; \ell_0, \ell_1, \dots, \ell_{\mu-2}\}$. With the help of this observation and using Lemma 4.4, we derive a recurrence relation between enumeration formulae for Euclidean self-orthogonal $\mathbf{R}_\mu \mathbf{R}_{\mu-1}$ -linear codes of block-length (N_1, N_2) and type $\{k_0, k_1, \dots, k_{\mu-1}; \ell_0, \ell_1, \dots, \ell_{\mu-2}\}$ and Euclidean self-orthogonal $\mathbf{R}_{\mu-2} \mathbf{R}_{\mu-3}$ -linear codes of the same block-length (N_1, N_2) and type $\{k_0 + k_1, k_2, \dots, k_{\mu-2}; \ell_0 + \ell_1, \ell_2, \dots, \ell_{\mu-3}\}$. The proof of the following proposition also gives rise to a recursive method to construct Euclidean self-orthogonal $\mathbf{R}_\mu \mathbf{R}_{\mu-1}$ -linear codes of block-length (N_1, N_2) and type $\{k_0, k_1, \dots, k_{\mu-1}; \ell_0, \ell_1, \dots, \ell_{\mu-2}\}$ from Euclidean self-orthogonal $\mathbf{R}_{\mu-2} \mathbf{R}_{\mu-3}$ -linear codes of the same block-length (N_1, N_2) and type $\{k_0 + k_1, k_2, \dots, k_{\mu-2}; \ell_0 + \ell_1, \ell_2, \dots, \ell_{\mu-3}\}$.

Proposition 4.3. *Let $\mathbf{k} = (k_0, k_1, \dots, k_{\mu-1}) \in \mathcal{K}_{N_1, \mu}$ and $\ell = (\ell_0, \ell_1, \dots, \ell_{\mu-2}) \in \mathcal{K}_{N_2, \mu-1}$ be fixed. Let $\mathcal{C}_{\mu-2}$ be a Euclidean self-orthogonal $\mathbf{R}_{\mu-2} \mathbf{R}_{\mu-3}$ -linear code of block-length (N_1, N_2) and type $\{k_0 + k_1, k_2, \dots, k_{\mu-2}; \ell_0 + \ell_1, \ell_2, \dots, \ell_{\mu-3}\}$ with a generator matrix $\mathbf{G}_{\mu-2}$ of the form (4.31). The following hold.*

- (a) *There exists a Euclidean self-orthogonal $\mathbf{R}_\mu \mathbf{R}_{\mu-1}$ -linear code \mathcal{C}_μ of block-length (N_1, N_2) and type $\{k_0, k_1, \dots, k_{\mu-1}; \ell_0, \ell_1, \dots, \ell_{\mu-2}\}$ satisfying $Tor_1(\mathcal{C}_\mu^{(X)}) = P_1$, $Tor_1(\mathcal{C}_\mu^{(Y)}) = P_2$, $Tor_{i+1}(\mathcal{C}_\mu^{(X)}) = Tor_i(\mathcal{C}_{\mu-2}^{(X)})$ for $1 \leq i \leq \mu-2$ and $Tor_{j+1}(\mathcal{C}_\mu^{(Y)}) = Tor_j(\mathcal{C}_{\mu-2}^{(Y)})$ for $1 \leq j \leq \mu-3$.*

(b) Furthermore, each Euclidean self-orthogonal $\mathbf{R}_{\mu-2}\mathbf{R}_{\mu-3}$ -linear code $\mathcal{C}_{\mu-2}$ of block-length (N_1, N_2) and type $\{k_0 + k_1, k_2, \dots, k_{\mu-2}; \ell_0 + \ell_1, \ell_2, \dots, \ell_{\mu-3}\}$ can be lifted to precisely

$$\begin{bmatrix} N_1 - m_{\mu-2}(\mathbf{k}) - k_0 \\ k_{\mu-1} \end{bmatrix}_q \begin{bmatrix} N_2 - m_{\mu-3}(\ell) - \ell_0 \\ \ell_{\mu-2} \end{bmatrix}_q \begin{bmatrix} k_0 + k_1 \\ k_0 \end{bmatrix}_q \begin{bmatrix} \ell_0 + \ell_1 \\ \ell_0 \end{bmatrix}_q q^{\Theta_{\mu}(\mathbf{k}; \ell)}$$

distinct Euclidean self-orthogonal $\mathbf{R}_{\mu}\mathbf{R}_{\mu-1}$ -linear codes \mathcal{C}_{μ} of block-length (N_1, N_2) and type $\{k_0, k_1, \dots, k_{\mu-1}; \ell_0, \ell_1, \dots, \ell_{\mu-2}\}$ satisfying $\text{Tor}_{i+1}(\mathcal{C}_{\mu}^{(X)}) = \text{Tor}_i(\mathcal{C}_{\mu-2}^{(X)})$ for $1 \leq i \leq \mu - 2$ and $\text{Tor}_{j+1}(\mathcal{C}_{\mu}^{(Y)}) = \text{Tor}_j(\mathcal{C}_{\mu-2}^{(Y)})$ for $1 \leq j \leq \mu - 3$, where

$$\begin{aligned} \Theta_{\mu}(\mathbf{k}; \ell) &= k_0(2N_1 - 2m_{\mu-2}(\mathbf{k}) - m_1(\mathbf{k}) - 1) + \ell_0(2N_2 - 2m_{\mu-3}(\ell) - m_1(\ell) - k_1 - 1) - k_{\mu-1}\ell_{\mu-2} \\ &\quad + (m_{\mu-2}(\mathbf{k}) + m_{\mu-3}(\ell) - k_0 - \ell_0)(N_1 + N_2 - m_{\mu-2}(\mathbf{k}) - m_{\mu-3}(\ell)) + 2k_0(N_2 - m_{\mu-3}(\ell)) \\ &\quad + (k_0 + 2\ell_0)(N_1 - m_{\mu-2}(\mathbf{k}) - k_0) - (m_{\mu-2}(\mathbf{k}) + m_{\mu-3}(\ell))(k_{\mu-1} + \ell_{\mu-2}). \end{aligned} \quad (4.35)$$

(c) We have

$$\mathfrak{R}_{\mu}(N_1, N_2; \mathbf{k}, \ell) = \mathfrak{R}_{\mu-2}(N_1, N_2; \mathbf{k}^{(1)}, \ell^{(1)}) \begin{bmatrix} N_1 - m_{\mu-2}(\mathbf{k}) - k_0 \\ k_{\mu-1} \end{bmatrix}_q \begin{bmatrix} N_2 - m_{\mu-3}(\ell) - \ell_0 \\ \ell_{\mu-2} \end{bmatrix}_q \begin{bmatrix} k_0 + k_1 \\ k_0 \end{bmatrix}_q \begin{bmatrix} \ell_0 + \ell_1 \\ \ell_0 \end{bmatrix}_q q^{\Theta_{\mu}(\mathbf{k}; \ell)},$$

where $\mathbf{k}^{(1)} = (k_0 + k_1, k_2, \dots, k_{\mu-2})$ and $\ell^{(1)} = (\ell_0 + \ell_1, \ell_2, \dots, \ell_{\mu-3})$.

Proof. To prove the result, we note that the code $\mathcal{C}_{\mu-2}$ is Euclidean self-orthogonal. This, by Lemma 2.1, gives

$$\hat{R}_1^{(\mu-2)} \diamond (\hat{R}_1^{(\mu-2)})^T \equiv \gamma^{\mu-2}\mathcal{P}_5 + \gamma^{\mu-1}\mathcal{P}_6 \pmod{\gamma^{\mu}}, \quad (4.36)$$

$$\hat{S}_1^{(\mu-2)} \diamond (\hat{S}_1^{(\mu-2)})^T \equiv \gamma^{\mu-2}\mathcal{P}_7 + \gamma^{\mu-1}\mathcal{P}_8 \pmod{\gamma^{\mu}}, \quad (4.37)$$

$$\hat{R}_1^{(\mu-2)} \diamond (\hat{S}_1^{(\mu-2)})^T \equiv \gamma^{\mu-2}\mathcal{Q}_8 + \gamma^{\mu-1}\mathcal{Q}_9 \pmod{\gamma^{\mu}}, \quad (4.38)$$

$$(\hat{S}_1^{(\mu-2)}) \diamond (\gamma^{j-1}\hat{S}_{j+1}^{(\mu-2)})^T \equiv \gamma^{\mu-2}\mathcal{Q}_{4,j} \pmod{\gamma^{\mu-1}} \text{ for } 1 \leq j \leq \mu - 3, \quad (4.39)$$

$$(\hat{R}_1^{(\mu-2)}) \diamond (\gamma^{j-1}\hat{S}_{j+1}^{(\mu-2)})^T \equiv \gamma^{\mu-2}\mathcal{Q}_{5,j} \pmod{\gamma^{\mu-1}} \text{ for } 1 \leq j \leq \mu - 3, \quad (4.40)$$

$$(\hat{S}_1^{(\mu-2)}) \diamond (\gamma^{i-1}\hat{R}_{i+1}^{(\mu-2)})^T \equiv \gamma^{\mu-2}\mathcal{Q}_{6,i} \pmod{\gamma^{\mu-1}} \text{ for } 1 \leq i \leq \mu - 2, \quad (4.41)$$

$$(\hat{R}_1^{(\mu-2)}) \diamond (\gamma^{i-1}\hat{R}_{i+1}^{(\mu-2)})^T \equiv \gamma^{\mu-2}\mathcal{Q}_{7,i} \pmod{\gamma^{\mu-1}} \text{ for } 1 \leq i \leq \mu - 2, \quad (4.42)$$

$$(\gamma^{i-1}\hat{S}_{i+1}^{(\mu-2)}) \diamond (\gamma^{j-1}\hat{S}_{j+1}^{(\mu-2)})^T \equiv 0 \pmod{\gamma^{\mu-2}} \text{ for } 1 \leq i \leq j \leq \mu - 3, \quad (4.43)$$

$$(\gamma^{j-1}\hat{S}_{j+1}^{(\mu-2)}) \diamond (\gamma^{i-1}\hat{R}_{i+1}^{(\mu-2)})^T \equiv 0 \pmod{\gamma^{\mu-2}} \text{ for } 1 \leq i \leq \mu - 2 \text{ and } 1 \leq j \leq \mu - 3, \text{ and} \quad (4.44)$$

$$(\gamma^{i-1}\hat{R}_{i+1}^{(\mu-2)}) \diamond (\gamma^{j-1}\hat{R}_{j+1}^{(\mu-2)})^T \equiv 0 \pmod{\gamma^{\mu-2}} \text{ for } 1 \leq i \leq j \leq \mu - 2 \quad (4.45)$$

for some $\mathcal{P}_5, \mathcal{P}_6 \in \text{Sym}_{k_0}(\mathcal{T}_e)$, $\mathcal{P}_7, \mathcal{P}_8 \in \text{Sym}_{\ell_0}(\mathcal{T}_e)$, $\mathcal{Q}_8, \mathcal{Q}_9 \in M_{k_0 \times \ell_0}(\mathcal{T}_e)$, $\mathcal{Q}_{4,j} \in M_{\ell_0 \times \ell_j}(\mathcal{T}_e)$, $\mathcal{Q}_{5,j} \in M_{k_0 \times \ell_j}(\mathcal{T}_e)$ for $1 \leq j \leq \mu - 3$, and $\mathcal{Q}_{6,i} \in M_{\ell_0 \times k_i}(\mathcal{T}_e)$, $\mathcal{Q}_{7,i} \in M_{k_0 \times k_i}(\mathcal{T}_e)$ for $1 \leq i \leq \mu - 2$.

Now let \mathcal{C}_{μ} be an $\mathbf{R}_{\mu}\mathbf{R}_{\mu-1}$ -linear code of block-length (N_1, N_2) and type $\{k_0, k_1, k_2, \dots, k_{\mu-2}, k_{\mu-1}; \ell_0, \ell_1, \ell_2, \dots, \ell_{\mu-3}, \ell_{\mu-2}\}$ with a generator matrix \mathbf{G}_{μ} of the form (4.32). One can easily see that the code \mathcal{C}_{μ} is Euclidean self-orthogonal if and only if $\mathbf{G}_{\mu} \diamond \mathbf{G}_{\mu}^T = 0$, which, by Lemma 2.1 and congruences (4.36)-(4.45), holds if and only if there exist full row-rank matrices $\mathbf{A}_{\mu-1, \mu-1} \in M_{k_{\mu-1} \times (N_1 - m_{\mu-2}(\mathbf{k}))}(\mathcal{T}_e)$ and $\mathbf{D}_{\mu-2, \mu-2} \in M_{\ell_{\mu-2} \times (N_2 - m_{\mu-3}(\ell))}(\mathcal{T}_e)$, and the matrices $\mathbf{E}_{0, \mu-1} \in M_{k_0 \times (N_1 - m_{\mu-2}(\mathbf{k}))}(\mathcal{T}_e)$, $\mathbf{M}_{0, \mu-2} \in M_{k_0 \times (N_2 - m_{\mu-3}(\ell))}(\mathcal{T}_e)$, $\mathbf{K}_{0, \mu-1} \in M_{\ell_0 \times (N_1 - m_{\mu-2}(\mathbf{k}))}(\mathcal{T}_e)$, $\mathbf{G}_{0, \mu-2} \in M_{\ell_0 \times (N_2 - m_{\mu-3}(\ell))}(\mathcal{T}_e)$, $\mathbf{F}_{i, \mu-1} \in M_{k_i \times (N_1 - m_{\mu-2}(\mathbf{k}))}(\mathcal{T}_e)$, $\mathbf{L}_{i, \mu-2} \in M_{k_i \times (N_2 - m_{\mu-3}(\ell))}(\mathcal{T}_e)$ and $\mathbf{J}_{i, \mu-1} \in M_{\ell_i \times (N_1 - m_{\mu-2}(\mathbf{k}))}(\mathcal{T}_e)$ for $0 \leq i \leq \mu - 2$, and $\mathbf{H}_{j, \mu-2} \in M_{\ell_j \times (N_2 - m_{\mu-3}(\ell))}(\mathcal{T}_e)$ for $0 \leq j \leq \mu - 3$, satisfying the following system of matrix congruences:

$$\begin{aligned} &\mathcal{P}_5 + \mathbf{A}_{0, \mu-1}^{(\mu-2)} \mathbf{E}_{0, \mu-1}^T + \mathbf{E}_{0, \mu-1} (\mathbf{A}_{0, \mu-1}^{(\mu-2)})^T + \mathbf{B}_{0, \mu-2}^{(\mu-2)} \mathbf{M}_{0, \mu-2}^T + \mathbf{M}_{0, \mu-2} (\mathbf{B}_{0, \mu-2}^{(\mu-2)})^T + \gamma(\mathcal{P}_6 \\ &+ \mathbf{A}_{0, \mu-1}^{(\mu-2)} \mathbf{F}_{0, \mu-1}^T + \mathbf{F}_{0, \mu-1} (\mathbf{A}_{0, \mu-1}^{(\mu-2)})^T + \mathbf{B}_{0, \mu-2}^{(\mu-2)} \mathbf{L}_{0, \mu-2}^T + \mathbf{L}_{0, \mu-2} (\mathbf{B}_{0, \mu-2}^{(\mu-2)})^T + \gamma^{\mu-4} \mathbf{M}_{0, \mu-2} \mathbf{M}_{0, \mu-2}^T) \equiv 0 \pmod{\gamma^2}, \end{aligned} \quad (4.46)$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\mathcal{P}_7 + \mathbf{D}_{0, \mu-2}^{(\mu-2)} \mathbf{G}_{0, \mu-2}^T + \mathbf{G}_{0, \mu-2} (\mathbf{D}_{0, \mu-2}^{(\mu-2)})^T + \gamma(\mathcal{P}_8 \\ &+ \mathbf{C}_{0, \mu-1}^{(\mu-2)} \mathbf{K}_{0, \mu-1}^T + \mathbf{K}_{0, \mu-1} (\mathbf{C}_{0, \mu-1}^{(\mu-2)})^T + \mathbf{D}_{0, \mu-2}^{(\mu-2)} \mathbf{H}_{0, \mu-2}^T + \mathbf{H}_{0, \mu-2} (\mathbf{D}_{0, \mu-2}^{(\mu-2)})^T + \gamma^{\mu-4} \mathbf{G}_{0, \mu-2} \mathbf{G}_{0, \mu-2}^T) \equiv 0 \pmod{\gamma^2}, \end{aligned} \quad (4.47)$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\mathcal{Q}_8 + \mathbf{A}_{0, \mu-1}^{(\mu-2)} \mathbf{K}_{0, \mu-1}^T + \mathbf{B}_{0, \mu-2}^{(\mu-2)} \mathbf{G}_{0, \mu-2}^T + \mathbf{E}_{0, \mu-1} (\mathbf{C}_{0, \mu-1}^{(\mu-2)})^T + \mathbf{M}_{0, \mu-2} (\mathbf{D}_{0, \mu-2}^{(\mu-2)})^T + \gamma(\mathcal{Q}_9 \\ &+ \mathbf{A}_{0, \mu-1}^{(\mu-2)} \mathbf{J}_{0, \mu-1}^T + \mathbf{B}_{0, \mu-2}^{(\mu-2)} \mathbf{H}_{0, \mu-2}^T + \mathbf{F}_{0, \mu-1} (\mathbf{C}_{0, \mu-1}^{(\mu-2)})^T + \mathbf{L}_{0, \mu-2} (\mathbf{D}_{0, \mu-2}^{(\mu-2)})^T + \gamma^{\mu-4} \mathbf{M}_{0, \mu-2} \mathbf{G}_{0, \mu-2}^T) \equiv 0 \pmod{\gamma^2}, \end{aligned} \quad (4.48)$$

$$\mathcal{Q}_{4,j} + \mathbf{D}_{0, \mu-2}^{(\mu-2)} \mathbf{H}_{j, \mu-2}^T + \gamma^{j-1} \mathbf{G}_{0, \mu-2} (\mathbf{D}_{j, \mu-2}^{(\mu-2)})^T \equiv 0 \pmod{\gamma} \text{ for } 1 \leq j \leq \mu - 3, \quad (4.49)$$

$$\mathcal{Q}_{5,j} + \mathbf{A}_{0, \mu-1}^{(\mu-2)} \mathbf{J}_{j, \mu-1}^T + \mathbf{B}_{0, \mu-2}^{(\mu-2)} \mathbf{H}_{j, \mu-2}^T + \gamma^{j-1} \mathbf{G}_{0, \mu-2} (\mathbf{D}_{j, \mu-2}^{(\mu-2)})^T \equiv 0 \pmod{\gamma} \text{ for } 1 \leq j \leq \mu - 3, \quad (4.50)$$

$$\mathcal{Q}_{6,i} + \mathbf{D}_{0, \mu-2}^{(\mu-2)} \mathbf{L}_{i, \mu-2}^T + \gamma^{i-1} (\mathbf{K}_{0, \mu-1} (\mathbf{A}_{i, \mu-1}^{(\mu-2)})^T + \mathbf{G}_{0, \mu-2} (\mathbf{B}_{i, \mu-2}^{(\mu-2)})^T) \equiv 0 \pmod{\gamma} \text{ for } 1 \leq i \leq \mu - 2, \quad (4.51)$$

$$\mathcal{Q}_{7,i} + \mathbf{B}_{0,\mu-2}^{(\mu-2)} L_{i,\mu-2}^T + \mathbf{A}_{0,\mu-1}^{(\mu-2)} F_{i,\mu-1}^T + \gamma^{i-1} (E_{0,\mu-1} (\mathbf{A}_{i,\mu-1}^{(\mu-2)})^T + M_{0,\mu-2} (\mathbf{B}_{i,\mu-2}^{(\mu-2)})^T) \equiv 0 \pmod{\gamma} \text{ for } 1 \leq i \leq \mu - 2, \quad (4.52)$$

$$\mathbf{A}_{0,\mu-1}^{(\mu-2)} J_{\mu-2,\mu-1}^T + \mathbf{B}_{0,\mu-2}^{(\mu-2)} \mathbf{D}_{\mu-2,\mu-2}^T \equiv 0 \pmod{\gamma}, \quad (4.53)$$

$$\mathbf{A}_{0,\mu-1}^{(\mu-2)} \mathbf{A}_{\mu-1,\mu-1}^T \equiv 0 \pmod{\gamma}, \quad (4.54)$$

$$\mathbf{D}_{0,\mu-2}^{(\mu-2)} \mathbf{D}_{\mu-2,\mu-2}^T \equiv 0 \pmod{\gamma}, \quad (4.55)$$

$$(\gamma^i \hat{S}_{i+1}^{(\mu-2)}) \diamond (\gamma^j \hat{S}_{j+1}^{(\mu-2)})^T \equiv 0 \pmod{\gamma^\mu} \text{ for } 1 \leq i \leq j \leq \mu - 3, \quad (4.56)$$

$$(\gamma^j \hat{S}_{j+1}^{(\mu-2)}) \diamond (\gamma^i \hat{R}_{i+1}^{(\mu-2)})^T \equiv 0 \pmod{\gamma^\mu} \text{ for } 1 \leq i \leq \mu - 2 \text{ and } 1 \leq j \leq \mu - 3, \text{ and} \quad (4.57)$$

$$(\gamma^i \hat{R}_{i+1}^{(\mu-2)}) \diamond (\gamma^j \hat{R}_{j+1}^{(\mu-2)})^T \equiv 0 \pmod{\gamma^\mu} \text{ for } 1 \leq i \leq j \leq \mu - 2. \quad (4.58)$$

Note that the congruences (4.43)-(4.45) are equivalent to the congruences (4.56)-(4.58), respectively. From this and by Lemma 4.4, we see that to prove this result, we need to establish the existence of full row-rank matrices $\mathbf{A}_{\mu-1,\mu-1}$ and $\mathbf{D}_{\mu-2,\mu-2}$ and the matrices $E_{0,\mu-1}$, $M_{0,\mu-2}$, $K_{0,\mu-1}$, $G_{0,\mu-2}$ and $F_{i,\mu-1}$, $L_{i,\mu-2}$ and $J_{i,\mu-1}$ for $0 \leq i \leq \mu - 2$, and $H_{j,\mu-2}$ for $0 \leq j \leq \mu - 3$ satisfying (4.46)-(4.55), and then count their choices.

Since $\text{Tor}_1(\mathcal{C}_{\mu-2}^{(X)})$ is a (k_0+k_1) -dimensional subspace of $\mathcal{T}_e^{N_1}$ over \mathcal{T}_e and $\text{Tor}_1(\mathcal{C}_{\mu-2}^{(Y)})$ is an $(\ell_0+\ell_1)$ -dimensional subspace of $\mathcal{T}_e^{N_2}$ over \mathcal{T}_e , there are precisely $\binom{k_0+k_1}{k_0}_q \binom{\ell_0+\ell_1}{\ell_0}_q$ distinct ways of choosing a k_0 -dimensional subspace $P_1 = \text{Tor}_1(\mathcal{C}_{\mu-2}^{(X)})$ of $\text{Tor}_1(\mathcal{C}_{\mu-2}^{(X)})$ and an ℓ_0 -dimensional subspace $P_2 = \text{Tor}_1(\mathcal{C}_{\mu-2}^{(Y)})$ of $\text{Tor}_1(\mathcal{C}_{\mu-2}^{(Y)})$. We will now establish the existence of the full row-rank matrix $\mathbf{A}_{\mu-1,\mu-1} \in M_{k_{\mu-1} \times (N_1 - m_{\mu-2}(\mathbf{k}))}(\mathcal{T}_e)$ satisfying (4.54) and the full row-rank matrix $\mathbf{D}_{\mu-2,\mu-2} \in M_{\ell_{\mu-2} \times (N_2 - m_{\mu-3}(\ell))}(\mathcal{T}_e)$ satisfying (4.55), and we will also count their choices. To do this, we note that the code $\mathcal{C}_{\mu-2}$ is Euclidean self-orthogonal. This, by Lemma 2.2, implies that $\text{Tor}_{\mu-2}(\mathcal{C}_{\mu-2}^{(X)}) \subseteq \text{Tor}_1(\mathcal{C}_{\mu-2}^{(X)})^{\perp E}$, which, by (4.33), further implies that $\text{Tor}_{\mu-1}(\mathcal{C}_{\mu-1}^{(X)}) \subseteq \text{Tor}_2(\mathcal{C}_{\mu-1}^{(X)})^{\perp E}$. Since $\text{Tor}_1(\mathcal{C}_{\mu-1}^{(X)}) \subseteq \text{Tor}_2(\mathcal{C}_{\mu-1}^{(X)})$, we have $\text{Tor}_{\mu-1}(\mathcal{C}_{\mu-1}^{(X)}) \subseteq \text{Tor}_1(\mathcal{C}_{\mu-1}^{(X)})^{\perp E}$. From this, it follows that the number of choices for the full row-rank matrix $\mathbf{A}_{\mu-1,\mu-1} \in M_{k_{\mu-1} \times (N_1 - m_{\mu-2}(\mathbf{k}))}(\mathcal{T}_e)$ satisfying (4.54) is equal to the number of choices for the torsion code $\text{Tor}_{\mu}(\mathcal{C}_{\mu}^{(X)})$ satisfying $\text{Tor}_{\mu-1}(\mathcal{C}_{\mu}^{(X)}) \subseteq \text{Tor}_{\mu}(\mathcal{C}_{\mu}^{(X)}) \subseteq \text{Tor}_1(\mathcal{C}_{\mu}^{(X)})^{\perp E}$. One can easily see that such a torsion code $\text{Tor}_{\mu}(\mathcal{C}_{\mu}^{(X)})$ can be chosen in $\binom{N_1 - m_{\mu-2}(\mathbf{k}) - k_0}{k_{\mu-1}}_q$ distinct ways. Further, working similarly as above and by (4.34), we see that the number of choices for the full row-rank matrix $\mathbf{D}_{\mu-2,\mu-2} \in M_{\ell_{\mu-2} \times (N_2 - m_{\mu-3}(\ell))}(\mathcal{T}_e)$ satisfying (4.55) is equal to the number of choices for the torsion code $\text{Tor}_{\mu-1}(\mathcal{C}_{\mu-1}^{(Y)})$ satisfying $\text{Tor}_{\mu-2}(\mathcal{C}_{\mu-1}^{(Y)}) \subseteq \text{Tor}_{\mu-1}(\mathcal{C}_{\mu-1}^{(Y)}) \subseteq \text{Tor}_1(\mathcal{C}_{\mu-1}^{(Y)})^{\perp E}$. Here, one can easily see that such a torsion code $\text{Tor}_{\mu-1}(\mathcal{C}_{\mu-1}^{(Y)})$ can be chosen in $\binom{N_2 - m_{\mu-3}(\ell) - \ell_0}{\ell_{\mu-2}}_q$ distinct ways.

Since the matrix $\mathbf{A}_{0,\mu-1}^{(\mu-2)}$ is of full row-rank, we see, by Lemma 4.1(b), that there are precisely $q^{k_0(N_1 - m_{\mu-2}(\mathbf{k}) - k_0)}$ distinct choices for the matrix $J_{\mu-2,\mu-1}$ satisfying (4.53).

Now, for given choices of the matrices $\mathbf{A}_{\mu-1,\mu-1}$, $\mathbf{D}_{\mu-2,\mu-2}$ and $J_{\mu-2,\mu-1}$, we will count all possible choices for the matrices $E_{0,\mu-1}$, $M_{0,\mu-2}$, $K_{0,\mu-1}$, $G_{0,\mu-2}$, the matrices $F_{i,\mu-1}$, $L_{i,\mu-2}$ for $0 \leq i \leq \mu - 2$ and the matrices $J_{j,\mu-1}$, $H_{j,\mu-2}$ for $0 \leq j \leq \mu - 3$, satisfying the congruences (4.46)-(4.52).

Towards this, we will first count the choices for the matrices $L_{0,\mu-2}$, $M_{0,\mu-2}$, $E_{0,\mu-1}$ and $F_{0,\mu-1}$ satisfying (4.46). To do this, we first choose the matrices $\mathbf{L}_{0,\mu-2}$ and $M_{0,\mu-2}$ arbitrarily, which can be chosen in $q^{2k_0(N_2 - m_{\mu-3}(\ell))}$ distinct ways. Now, since the matrix $\mathbf{A}_{0,\mu-1}^{(\mu-2)}$ is of full row-rank, we see, by Lemma 4.1(a), that there are precisely $q^{\frac{k_0}{2}(2N_1 - 2m_{\mu-2}(\mathbf{k}) - k_0 - 1)}$ distinct choices for the matrix $E_{0,\mu-1}$ satisfying

$$\mathcal{P}_5 + \mathbf{A}_{0,\mu-1}^{(\mu-2)} E_{0,\mu-1}^T + E_{0,\mu-1} (\mathbf{A}_{0,\mu-1}^{(\mu-2)})^T + \mathbf{B}_{0,\mu-2}^{(\mu-2)} M_{0,\mu-2}^T + M_{0,\mu-2} (\mathbf{B}_{0,\mu-2}^{(\mu-2)})^T \equiv 0 \pmod{\gamma}.$$

From this, we get

$$\gamma^{\mu-2} (\mathcal{P}_5 + \mathbf{A}_{0,\mu-1}^{(\mu-2)} E_{0,\mu-1}^T + E_{0,\mu-1} (\mathbf{A}_{0,\mu-1}^{(\mu-2)})^T + \mathbf{B}_{0,\mu-2}^{(\mu-2)} M_{0,\mu-2}^T + M_{0,\mu-2} (\mathbf{B}_{0,\mu-2}^{(\mu-2)})^T) \equiv \gamma^{\mu-1} \mathcal{P}_9 \pmod{\gamma}$$

for some $\mathcal{P}_9 \in \text{Sym}_{k_0}(\mathcal{T}_e)$. Now, on substituting this into the congruence (4.46) and by applying Lemma 4.1(a) again, we see that there are precisely $q^{\frac{k_0}{2}(2N_1 - 2m_{\mu-2}(\mathbf{k}) - k_0 - 1)}$ distinct choices for the matrix $F_{0,\mu-1}$ satisfying (4.46).

Next, we proceed to count choices for the matrices $G_{0,\mu-2}$, $K_{0,\mu-1}$, $H_{0,\mu-2}$ and $J_{0,\mu-1}$ satisfying the congruences (4.47) and (4.48). Since the matrix $\mathbf{D}_{0,\mu-2}^{(\mu-2)}$ is of full row-rank, we see, by Lemma 4.1(a), that there are precisely $q^{\frac{\ell_0}{2}(2N_2 - 2m_{\mu-3}(\ell) - \ell_0 - 1)}$ distinct choices for the matrix $G_{0,\mu-2}$ satisfying

$$\mathcal{P}_7 + \mathbb{D}_{0,\mu-2}^{(\mu-2)} G_{0,\mu-2}^T + G_{0,\mu-2} (\mathbb{D}_{0,\mu-2}^{(\mu-2)})^T \equiv 0 \pmod{\gamma}.$$

From this, we get

$$\gamma^{\mu-2} (\mathcal{P}_7 + \mathbb{D}_{0,\mu-2}^{(\mu-2)} G_{0,\mu-2}^T + G_{0,\mu-2} (\mathbb{D}_{0,\mu-2}^{(\mu-2)})^T) \equiv \gamma^{\mu-1} \mathcal{P}_{10} \pmod{\gamma^\mu}$$

for some $\mathcal{P}_{10} \in \text{Sym}_{\ell_0}(\mathcal{T}_e)$. Now, on substituting this into the congruence (4.47), we get

$$\mathcal{P}_{10} + \mathcal{P}_8 + C_{0,\mu-1} K_{0,\mu-1}^T + K_{0,\mu-1} (\mathbb{C}_{0,\mu-1}^{(\mu-2)})^T + \mathbb{D}_{0,\mu-2}^{(\mu-2)} H_{0,\mu-2}^T + H_{0,\mu-2} (\mathbb{D}_{0,\mu-2}^{(\mu-2)})^T + \gamma^{\mu-4} G_{0,\mu-2} G_{0,\mu-2}^T \equiv 0 \pmod{\gamma}. \quad (4.59)$$

Further, to count the choices for the matrix $K_{0,\mu-1}$, we see that the congruence (4.48) gives

$$\mathcal{Q}_8 + \mathbb{A}_{0,\mu-1}^{(\mu-2)} K_{0,\mu-1}^T + \mathbb{B}_{0,\mu-2}^{(\mu-2)} G_{0,\mu-2}^T + E_{0,\mu-1} (\mathbb{C}_{0,\mu-1}^{(\mu-2)})^T + M_{0,\mu-2} (\mathbb{D}_{0,\mu-2}^{(\mu-2)})^T \equiv 0 \pmod{\gamma}. \quad (4.60)$$

Using the fact that the matrix $\overline{\mathbb{A}_{0,\mu-1}^{(\mu-2)}}$ is of full row-rank and by applying Lemma 4.1(b), we see that there are precisely $q^{\ell_0(N_1 - m_{\mu-2}(\mathbf{k}) - k_0)}$ distinct choices for the matrix $K_{0,\mu-1}$ satisfying (4.60). Further, for a given choice of the matrix $K_{0,\mu-1}$, we see, by Lemma 4.1(a), that there are precisely $q^{\frac{\ell_0}{2}(2N_2 - 2m_{\mu-3}(\ell) - \ell_0 - 1)}$ distinct choices for the matrix $H_{0,\mu-2}$ satisfying (4.59). To count the choices for the matrix $J_{0,\mu-1}$ satisfying (4.48), we see that the congruence (4.60) gives

$$\gamma^{\mu-2} (\mathcal{Q}_8 + \mathbb{A}_{0,\mu-1}^{(\mu-2)} K_{0,\mu-1}^T + \mathbb{B}_{0,\mu-2}^{(\mu-2)} G_{0,\mu-2}^T + E_{0,\mu-1} (\mathbb{C}_{0,\mu-1}^{(\mu-2)})^T + M_{0,\mu-2} (\mathbb{D}_{0,\mu-2}^{(\mu-2)})^T) \equiv \gamma^{\mu-1} \mathcal{Q}_{10} \pmod{\gamma^\mu}$$

for some $\mathcal{Q}_{10} \in M_{k_0 \times \ell_0}(\mathcal{T}_e)$. Now, on substituting this into the congruence (4.48) and by applying Lemma 4.1(b) again, we see that the matrix $J_{0,\mu-1}$ has precisely $q^{\ell_0(N_1 - m_{\mu-2}(\mathbf{k}) - k_0)}$ distinct choices.

Finally, we will count the choices for the remaining matrices $H_{j,\mu-2}$, $J_{j,\mu-1}$ for $1 \leq j \leq \mu-3$ and $L_{i,\mu-2}$, $F_{i,\mu-1}$ for $1 \leq i \leq \mu-2$ satisfying the congruences (4.49)-(4.52) for given choices of the matrices $\mathbb{A}_{\mu-1,\mu-1}$, $\mathbb{D}_{\mu-2,\mu-2}$, $J_{\mu-2,\mu-1}$, $L_{0,\mu-2}$, $M_{0,\mu-2}$, $E_{0,\mu-1}$, $F_{0,\mu-1}$, $G_{0,\mu-2}$, $K_{0,\mu-1}$, $H_{0,\mu-2}$ and $J_{0,\mu-1}$. To do this, we see, using the fact that the matrix $\overline{\mathbb{D}_{0,\mu-2}^{(\mu-2)}}$ is of full row-rank and by Lemma 4.1(b), that there are precisely $q^{\ell_j(N_2 - m_{\mu-3}(\ell) - \ell_0)}$ distinct choices for the matrix $H_{j,\mu-2}$ satisfying (4.49) for $1 \leq j \leq \mu-3$. Further, for a given choice of the matrix $H_{j,\mu-2}$, we see, using the fact that the matrix $\mathbb{A}_{0,\mu-1}^{(\mu-2)}$ is of full row-rank and by Lemma 4.1(b) again, that there are precisely $q^{\ell_j(N_1 - m_{\mu-2}(\mathbf{k}) - k_0)}$ distinct choices for the matrix $J_{j,\mu-1}$ satisfying (4.50) for $1 \leq j \leq \mu-3$. Further, for $1 \leq i \leq \mu-2$, we see, using the fact that the matrix $\overline{\mathbb{D}_{0,\mu-2}^{(\mu-2)}}$ is of full row-rank and by Lemma 4.1(b), that the matrix $L_{i,\mu-2}$ satisfying (4.51) has precisely $q^{k_i(N_2 - m_{\mu-3}(\ell) - \ell_0)}$ distinct choices. Now, for a given choice of the matrix $L_{i,\mu-2}$, we note, using the fact that the matrix $\overline{\mathbb{A}_{0,\mu-1}^{(\mu-2)}}$ is of full row-rank and by Lemma 4.1(b) again, that the matrix $F_{i,\mu-1}$ satisfying (4.52) has precisely $q^{k_i(N_1 - m_{\mu-2}(\mathbf{k}) - k_0)}$ distinct choices.

From the above discussion, we see that the unknown matrices $\mathbb{A}_{\mu-1,\mu-1}$, $\mathbb{D}_{\mu-2,\mu-2}$, $E_{0,\mu-1}$, $M_{0,\mu-2}$, $K_{0,\mu-1}$, $G_{0,\mu-2}$, $F_{i,\mu-1}$, $L_{i,\mu-2}$ and $J_{i,\mu-1}$ for $0 \leq i \leq \mu-2$, and $H_{j,\mu-2}$ for $0 \leq j \leq \mu-3$, satisfying (4.46)-(4.55) have precisely

$$\begin{bmatrix} N_1 - m_{\mu-2}(\mathbf{k}) - k_0 \\ k_{\mu-1} \end{bmatrix}_q \begin{bmatrix} N_2 - m_{\mu-3}(\ell) - \ell_0 \\ \ell_{\mu-2} \end{bmatrix}_q q^{\Theta'(\mathbf{k};\ell)}$$

distinct choices, where

$$\begin{aligned} \Theta'(\mathbf{k};\ell) &= k_0(2N_1 - 2m_{\mu-2}(\mathbf{k}) - k_0 - 1) + \ell_0(2N_2 - 2m_{\mu-3}(\ell) - \ell_0 - 1) + (k_0 + 2\ell_0)(N_1 - m_{\mu-2}(\mathbf{k}) - k_0) \\ &+ (N_1 + N_2 - m_{\mu-2}(\mathbf{k}) - m_{\mu-3}(\ell) - k_0 - \ell_0)(m_{\mu-2}(\mathbf{k}) + m_{\mu-3}(\ell) - k_0 - \ell_0) + 2k_0(N_2 - m_{\mu-3}(\ell)). \end{aligned} \quad (4.61)$$

Further, one can easily observe that there are precisely $q^{\sum_{j=0}^{\mu-3} \ell_j \ell_{\mu-2} + \sum_{i=0}^{\mu-2} (k_i \ell_{\mu-2} + k_i k_{\mu-1} + \ell_i k_{\mu-1})}$ distinct choices of the aforementioned unknown matrices, which give rise to the same Euclidean self-orthogonal $\mathbb{R}_\mu \mathbb{R}_{\mu-1}$ -linear code \mathcal{C}_μ of block-length (N_1, N_2) and type $\{k_0, k_1, \dots, k_{\mu-1}; \ell_0, \ell_1, \dots, \ell_{\mu-2}\}$ satisfying $\text{Tor}_1(\mathcal{C}_\mu^{(X)}) = P_1$, $\text{Tor}_1(\mathcal{C}_\mu^{(Y)}) = P_2$, $\text{Tor}_{i+1}(\mathcal{C}_\mu^{(X)}) = \text{Tor}_i(\mathcal{C}_{\mu-2}^{(X)})$ for $1 \leq i \leq \mu-2$ and $\text{Tor}_{j+1}(\mathcal{C}_\mu^{(Y)}) = \text{Tor}_j(\mathcal{C}_{\mu-2}^{(Y)})$ for $1 \leq j \leq \mu-3$. Thus each Euclidean self-orthogonal $\mathbb{R}_{\mu-2} \mathbb{R}_{\mu-3}$ -linear code $\mathcal{C}_{\mu-2}$ with a generator matrix $\mathbb{G}_{\mu-2}$ of the form (4.31) can be lifted to precisely

$$\begin{bmatrix} N_1 - m_{\mu-2}(\mathbf{k}) - k_0 \\ k_{\mu-1} \end{bmatrix}_q \begin{bmatrix} N_2 - m_{\mu-3}(\ell) - \ell_0 \\ \ell_{\mu-2} \end{bmatrix}_q q^{\Theta'(\mathbf{k},\ell) - \sum_{j=0}^{\mu-3} \ell_j \ell_{\mu-2} - \sum_{i=0}^{\mu-2} (k_i \ell_{\mu-2} + k_i k_{\mu-1} + \ell_i k_{\mu-1})}$$

distinct Euclidean self-orthogonal $\mathbf{R}_\mu \mathbf{R}_{\mu-1}$ -linear codes \mathcal{C}_μ satisfying $Tor_1(\mathcal{C}_\mu^{(X)}) = P_1$, $Tor_1(\mathcal{C}_\mu^{(Y)}) = P_2$, (4.33) and (4.34), where $\Theta'(\mathbf{k}, \ell)$ is given by (4.61). Further, we note that for given choices of P_1 and P_2 , the code $\mathcal{C}_{\mu-2}$

has precisely $q^{k_0(\sum_{w=2}^{\mu-2} k_w + \sum_{s=1}^{\mu-3} \ell_s) + \ell_0(\sum_{i=2}^{\mu-2} k_i + \sum_{j=2}^{\mu-3} \ell_j)}$ distinct generator matrices $\mathbf{G}_{\mu-2}$ of the form (4.31) corresponding to the choices of the matrices $\mathbf{A}_{0,i}^{(\mu-2)} \in M_{k_0 \times k_i}(\mathcal{T}_e)$ and $\mathbf{C}_{0,i}^{(\mu-2)} \in M_{\ell_0 \times k_i}(\mathcal{T}_e)$ for $2 \leq i \leq \mu-2$, the matrices $\mathbf{B}_{0,j}^{(\mu-2)} \in M_{k_0 \times \ell_j}(\mathcal{T}_e)$ and $\mathbf{D}_{0,j}^{(\mu-2)} \in M_{\ell_0 \times \ell_j}(\mathcal{T}_e)$ for $2 \leq j \leq \mu-3$, and the matrix $\mathbf{B}_{0,1}^{(\mu-2)} \in M_{k_0 \times \ell_1}(\mathcal{T}_e)$. From this, it follows that each Euclidean self-orthogonal $\mathbf{R}_{\mu-2} \mathbf{R}_{\mu-3}$ -linear code $\mathcal{C}_{\mu-2}$ of block-length (N_1, N_2) and type $\{k_0 + k_1, k_2, \dots, k_{\mu-2}; \ell_0 + \ell_1, \ell_2, \dots, \ell_{\mu-3}\}$ gives rise to precisely

$$\begin{aligned} & \begin{bmatrix} N_1 - m_{\mu-2}(\mathbf{k}) - k_0 \\ k_{\mu-1} \end{bmatrix}_q \begin{bmatrix} N_2 - m_{\mu-3}(\ell) - \ell_0 \\ \ell_{\mu-2} \end{bmatrix}_q \begin{bmatrix} k_0 + k_1 \\ k_0 \end{bmatrix}_q \begin{bmatrix} \ell_0 + \ell_1 \\ \ell_0 \end{bmatrix}_q \\ & \times q^{\Theta'(\mathbf{k}; \ell) + k_0(\sum_{w=2}^{\mu-2} k_w + \sum_{s=1}^{\mu-3} \ell_s) + \ell_0(\sum_{w'=2}^{\mu-2} k_{w'} + \sum_{s'=2}^{\mu-3} \ell_{s'}) - \sum_{j=0}^{\mu-3} \ell_j \ell_{\mu-2} - \sum_{i=0}^{\mu-2} (k_i \ell_{\mu-2} + k_i k_{\mu-1} + \ell_i k_{\mu-1})} \\ & = \begin{bmatrix} N_1 - m_{\mu-2}(\mathbf{k}) - k_0 \\ k_{\mu-1} \end{bmatrix}_q \begin{bmatrix} N_2 - m_{\mu-3}(\ell) - \ell_0 \\ \ell_{\mu-2} \end{bmatrix}_q \begin{bmatrix} k_0 + k_1 \\ k_0 \end{bmatrix}_q \begin{bmatrix} \ell_0 + \ell_1 \\ \ell_0 \end{bmatrix}_q q^{\Theta_\mu(\mathbf{k}; \ell)} \end{aligned}$$

distinct Euclidean self-orthogonal $\mathbf{R}_\mu \mathbf{R}_{\mu-1}$ -linear codes \mathcal{C}_μ of block-length (N_1, N_2) and type $\{k_0, k_1, \dots, k_{\mu-1}; \ell_0, \ell_1, \dots, \ell_{\mu-2}\}$ satisfying $Tor_{i+1}(\mathcal{C}_\mu^{(X)}) = Tor_i(\mathcal{C}_{\mu-2}^{(X)})$ for $1 \leq i \leq \mu-2$ and $Tor_{j+1}(\mathcal{C}_\mu^{(Y)}) = Tor_j(\mathcal{C}_{\mu-2}^{(Y)})$ for $1 \leq j \leq \mu-3$, where $\Theta_\mu(\mathbf{k}; \ell)$ and $\Theta'(\mathbf{k}; \ell)$ are given by (4.35) and (4.61), respectively. From this, the desired result follows. \square

Now, with the help of the recurrence relation derived in Proposition 4.3(c), we will enumerate all Euclidean self-orthogonal $\mathbf{R}_e \mathbf{R}_{e-1}$ -linear codes of block-length (N_1, N_2) . Towards this, let us first fix some notations. For integers $n \geq 1$ and $s \geq 4$, and an s -tuple $\mathbf{k} = (k_0, k_1, \dots, k_{s-1}) \in \mathcal{K}_{n,s}$, let us define the $(s-2i)$ -tuple $\mathbf{k}^{(i)}$ as

$$\mathbf{k}^{(i)} = (m_i(\mathbf{k}), k_{i+1}, k_{i+2}, \dots, k_{s-1-i}) \text{ for } 0 \leq i \leq \lfloor \frac{s-2}{2} \rfloor. \quad (4.62)$$

Further, for an e -tuple $\mathbf{k} = (k_0, k_1, \dots, k_{e-1}) \in \mathcal{K}_{N_1, e}$ and an $(e-1)$ -tuple $\ell = (\ell_0, \ell_1, \dots, \ell_{e-2}) \in \mathcal{K}_{N_2, e-1}$, we see that $\mathbf{k}^{(i)} \in \mathcal{K}_{N_1, e-2i}$ for $0 \leq i \leq \lfloor \frac{e-2}{2} \rfloor$ and $\ell^{(j)} \in \mathcal{K}_{N_2, e-1-2j}$ for $0 \leq j \leq \lfloor \frac{e-3}{2} \rfloor$. Throughout this paper, for an e -tuple $\mathbf{k} \in \mathcal{K}_{N_1, e}$ and an $(e-1)$ -tuple $\ell \in \mathcal{K}_{N_2, e-1}$, let us define

$$\Delta_e(\mathbf{k}, \ell) = \begin{cases} \sum_{i=0}^{\frac{e-5}{2}} \Theta_{5+2i}(\mathbf{k}^{(\frac{e-5}{2}-i)}; \ell^{(\frac{e-5}{2}-i)}) & \text{if } e \text{ is odd;} \\ \sum_{i=0}^{\frac{e-4}{2}} \Theta_{4+2i}(\mathbf{k}^{(\frac{e-4}{2}-i)}; \ell^{(\frac{e-4}{2}-i)}) & \text{if } e \text{ is even} \end{cases} \quad (4.63)$$

and

$$s_e(\mathbf{k}, \ell) = \begin{cases} \Theta_3(\mathbf{k}^{(\frac{e-3}{2}}); \ell^{(\frac{e-3}{2}})) & \text{if } e \text{ is odd;} \\ \Theta_2(\mathbf{k}^{(\frac{e-2}{2}}); m_{\frac{e-2}{2}}(\ell)) & \text{if } e \text{ is even,} \end{cases} \quad (4.64)$$

where $\Theta_\mu(\mathbf{k}; \ell)$ is given by (4.35) for $4 \leq \mu \leq e$, and $\Theta_2(\mathbf{k}^{(\frac{e-2}{2}}); m_{\frac{e-2}{2}}(\ell))$ and $\Theta_3(\mathbf{k}^{(\frac{e-3}{2}}); \ell^{(\frac{e-3}{2}}))$ are given by (4.8) and (4.29), respectively. Also, for a prime power Q_1 , let us define the number

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{B}_{e, Q_1}^{(N_1, N_2)}(\mathbf{k}, \ell) &= \prod_{i=1}^{\lfloor \frac{e-1}{2} \rfloor} \begin{bmatrix} m_i(\mathbf{k}) \\ k_i \end{bmatrix}_{Q_1} \prod_{j=1}^{\lfloor \frac{e-2}{2} \rfloor} \begin{bmatrix} m_j(\ell) \\ \ell_j \end{bmatrix}_{Q_1} \prod_{w=\lfloor \frac{e-1}{2} \rfloor}^{e-2} \begin{bmatrix} N_1 - m_w(\mathbf{k}) - m_{e-2-w}(\mathbf{k}) \\ k_{w+1} \end{bmatrix}_{Q_1} \\ &\times \prod_{s=\lfloor \frac{e-2}{2} \rfloor}^{e-3} \begin{bmatrix} N_2 - m_s(\ell) - m_{e-3-s}(\ell) \\ \ell_{s+1} \end{bmatrix}_{Q_1}. \end{aligned} \quad (4.65)$$

In the following theorem, we obtain the number $\mathfrak{N}_e(N_1, N_2; \mathbf{k}; \ell)$, where $\mathbf{k} = (k_0, k_1, \dots, k_{e-1})$ and $\ell = (\ell_0, \ell_1, \dots, \ell_{e-2})$.

Theorem 4.7. *Let $e \geq 4$ be a fixed integer. There exists a Euclidean self-orthogonal $\mathbf{R}_e \mathbf{R}_{e-1}$ -linear code of block length (N_1, N_2) and type $\{k_0, k_1, \dots, k_{e-1}; \ell_0, \ell_1, \dots, \ell_{e-2}\}$ if and only if the e -tuple $\mathbf{k} = (k_0, k_1, \dots, k_{e-1}) \in \mathcal{K}_{N_1, e}$ and the $(e-1)$ -tuple $\boldsymbol{\ell} = (\ell_0, \ell_1, \dots, \ell_{e-2}) \in \mathcal{K}_{N_2, e-1}$. Furthermore, for $\mathbf{k} \in \mathcal{K}_{N_1, e}$ and $\boldsymbol{\ell} \in \mathcal{K}_{N_2, e-1}$, we have*

$$\mathfrak{N}_e(N_1, N_2; \mathbf{k}, \boldsymbol{\ell}) = \sigma_q(N_1, m_{\lfloor \frac{e-1}{2} \rfloor}(\mathbf{k})) \sigma_q(N_2, m_{\lfloor \frac{e-2}{2} \rfloor}(\boldsymbol{\ell})) \mathcal{B}_{e,q}^{(N_1, N_2)}(\mathbf{k}, \boldsymbol{\ell}) q^{\Delta_e(\mathbf{k}, \boldsymbol{\ell}) + s_e(\mathbf{k}, \boldsymbol{\ell})},$$

where the numbers $\sigma_q(N_1, m_{\lfloor \frac{e-1}{2} \rfloor}(\mathbf{k}))$'s and $\sigma_q(N_2, m_{\lfloor \frac{e-2}{2} \rfloor}(\boldsymbol{\ell}))$'s are given by (4.1), and $\Delta_e(\mathbf{k}, \boldsymbol{\ell})$'s, $s_e(\mathbf{k}, \boldsymbol{\ell})$'s and $\mathcal{B}_{e,q}^{(N_1, N_2)}(\mathbf{k}, \boldsymbol{\ell})$'s are given by (4.63), (4.64) and (4.65), respectively.

Proof. By repeatedly applying the recurrence relation derived in Proposition 4.3(c) and using Remark 2.1 and Theorems 4.1 and 4.4, we get the desired result. \square

In the following theorem, we obtain an enumeration formula for $\mathfrak{N}_e(N_1, N_2)$.

Theorem 4.8. *For $e \geq 4$, we have*

$$\mathfrak{N}_e(N_1, N_2) = \sum_{\mathbf{k} \in \mathcal{K}_{N_1, e}} \sum_{\boldsymbol{\ell} \in \mathcal{K}_{N_2, e-1}} \sigma_q(N_1, m_{\lfloor \frac{e-1}{2} \rfloor}(\mathbf{k})) \sigma_q(N_2, m_{\lfloor \frac{e-2}{2} \rfloor}(\boldsymbol{\ell})) \mathcal{B}_{e,q}^{(N_1, N_2)}(\mathbf{k}, \boldsymbol{\ell}) q^{\Delta_e(\mathbf{k}, \boldsymbol{\ell}) + s_e(\mathbf{k}, \boldsymbol{\ell})},$$

where the numbers $\sigma_q(N_1, m_{\lfloor \frac{e-1}{2} \rfloor}(\mathbf{k}))$'s and $\sigma_q(N_2, m_{\lfloor \frac{e-2}{2} \rfloor}(\boldsymbol{\ell}))$'s are given by (4.1), and $\Delta_e(\mathbf{k}, \boldsymbol{\ell})$'s, $s_e(\mathbf{k}, \boldsymbol{\ell})$'s and $\mathcal{B}_{e,q}^{(N_1, N_2)}(\mathbf{k}, \boldsymbol{\ell})$'s are given by (4.63), (4.64) and (4.65), respectively.

Proof. It follows immediately from Theorem 4.7. \square

Example 4.9. *Using Magma, we see that there are precisely 1065 distinct non-zero Euclidean self-orthogonal $\mathbb{Z}_{3^4} \mathbb{Z}_{3^3}$ -linear codes of block-length $(2, 2)$. This agrees with Theorem 4.8.*

In the following corollary, we count all self-orthogonal additive codes of length N over \mathcal{R}_e when $e \geq 4$.

Corollary 4.5. *For $e \geq 4$, the number of self-orthogonal additive codes of length N over \mathcal{R}_e is given by*

$$\sum_{\mathbf{k} \in \mathcal{K}_{Nrt, e}} \sum_{\boldsymbol{\ell} \in \mathcal{K}_{Nr(k-t), e-1}} \sigma_p(Nrt, m_{\lfloor \frac{e-1}{2} \rfloor}(\mathbf{k})) \sigma_p(Nr(k-t), m_{\lfloor \frac{e-2}{2} \rfloor}(\boldsymbol{\ell})) \mathcal{B}_{e,p}^{(Nrt, Nr(k-t))}(\mathbf{k}, \boldsymbol{\ell}) p^{\Delta_e(\mathbf{k}, \boldsymbol{\ell}) + s_e(\mathbf{k}, \boldsymbol{\ell})},$$

where the numbers $\sigma_p(Nrt, m_{\lfloor \frac{e-1}{2} \rfloor}(\mathbf{k}))$'s and $\sigma_p(Nr(k-t), m_{\lfloor \frac{e-2}{2} \rfloor}(\boldsymbol{\ell}))$'s are given by (4.1), and $\Delta_e(\mathbf{k}, \boldsymbol{\ell})$'s, $s_e(\mathbf{k}, \boldsymbol{\ell})$'s and $\mathcal{B}_{e,p}^{(Nrt, Nr(k-t))}(\mathbf{k}, \boldsymbol{\ell})$'s are given by (4.63), (4.64) and (4.65), respectively.

Proof. It follows by Remark 3.1 and taking $N_1 = Nrt$ and $N_2 = Nr(k-t)$ in Theorem 4.8. \square

Example 4.10. *By Corollary 4.5, we see that there are precisely 1065 distinct non-zero self-orthogonal additive codes of length 2 over $\mathbb{Z}_{3^4}[y]/\langle y^2 - 3, 3^3y \rangle$. This agrees with Example 4.9 and Magma computations.*

We will next count all Euclidean self-dual $\mathbf{R}_e \mathbf{R}_{e-1}$ -linear codes of block-length (N_1, N_2) . To do this, we assume, throughout this paper, that for positive integers n and s , the set $\mathcal{L}_{n,s}$ consists of all s -tuples $(k_0, k_1, \dots, k_{s-1})$ of non-negative integers satisfying $k_i = k_{s-i}$ for $1 \leq i \leq s-1$ and $2(k_0 + k_1 + \dots + k_{\lfloor \frac{s-1}{2} \rfloor}) + \delta^{(s)} k_{\lfloor \frac{s}{2} \rfloor} = n$, where $\delta^{(s)} = 0$ if s is odd, while $\delta^{(s)} = 1$ if s is even. One can easily observe that $\mathcal{L}_{n,s} \subseteq \mathcal{K}_{n,s}$. Further, for an e -tuple $\mathbf{k} = (k_0, k_1, \dots, k_{e-1}) \in \mathcal{L}_{N_1, e}$, an $(e-1)$ -tuple $\boldsymbol{\ell} = (\ell_0, \ell_1, \dots, \ell_{e-2}) \in \mathcal{L}_{N_2, e-1}$ and a prime power Q_1 , let us define the number

$$\mathcal{F}_{e, Q_1}^{(N_1, N_2)}(\mathbf{k}, \boldsymbol{\ell}) = \prod_{i=1}^{\lfloor \frac{e-1}{2} \rfloor} \begin{bmatrix} m_i(\mathbf{k}) \\ k_i \end{bmatrix}_{Q_1} \prod_{j=1}^{\lfloor \frac{e-2}{2} \rfloor} \begin{bmatrix} m_j(\boldsymbol{\ell}) \\ \ell_j \end{bmatrix}_{Q_1}. \quad (4.66)$$

In the following theorem, we consider the case $e \geq 4$ and derive a necessary and sufficient condition for the existence of a Euclidean self-dual $\mathbf{R}_e \mathbf{R}_{e-1}$ -linear code of block-length (N_1, N_2) . We also obtain an enumeration formula for $\mathfrak{M}_e(N_1, N_2)$.

Theorem 4.9. *Let $e \geq 4$ be a fixed integer. There exists a Euclidean self-dual $\mathbb{R}_e \mathbb{R}_{e-1}$ -linear code of block-length (N_1, N_2) if and only if either (i) e is odd, N_1 is even and $(-1)^{\frac{N_1}{2}}$ is a square in \mathcal{T}_e , or (ii) both e and N_2 are even and $(-1)^{\frac{N_2}{2}}$ is a square in \mathcal{T}_e . Furthermore, when either (i) e is odd, N_1 is even and $(-1)^{\frac{N_1}{2}}$ is a square in \mathcal{T}_e , or (ii) both e and N_2 are even and $(-1)^{\frac{N_2}{2}}$ is a square in \mathcal{T}_e , we have*

$$\mathfrak{M}_e(N_1, N_2) = \sum_{\mathbf{k} \in \mathcal{L}_{N_1, e}} \sum_{\boldsymbol{\ell} \in \mathcal{L}_{N_2, e-1}} \sigma_q(N_1, m_{\lfloor \frac{e-1}{2} \rfloor}(\mathbf{k})) \sigma_q(N_2, m_{\lfloor \frac{e-2}{2} \rfloor}(\boldsymbol{\ell})) \mathcal{F}_{e, q}^{(N_1, N_2)}(\mathbf{k}, \boldsymbol{\ell}) q^{\Delta_e(\mathbf{k}, \boldsymbol{\ell}) + s_e(\mathbf{k}, \boldsymbol{\ell})},$$

where the numbers $\sigma_q(N_1, m_{\lfloor \frac{e-1}{2} \rfloor}(\mathbf{k}))$'s and $\sigma_q(N_2, m_{\lfloor \frac{e-2}{2} \rfloor}(\boldsymbol{\ell}))$'s are given by (4.1), and $\Delta_e(\mathbf{k}, \boldsymbol{\ell})$'s, $s_e(\mathbf{k}, \boldsymbol{\ell})$'s and $\mathcal{F}_{e, q}^{(N_1, N_2)}(\mathbf{k}, \boldsymbol{\ell})$'s are given by (4.63), (4.64) and (4.66), respectively.

Proof. It follows from Theorem 4.8, and Remarks 2.1 and 4.1. \square

In the following corollary, we consider the case $e \geq 4$ and derive a necessary and sufficient condition for the existence of a self-dual additive code of length N over \mathcal{R}_e . We also count all self-dual additive codes of length N over \mathcal{R}_e .

Corollary 4.6. *Let $e \geq 4$ be a fixed integer. There exists a self-dual additive code of length N over \mathcal{R}_e if and only if either (i) e is odd, Nrt is even and $(-1)^{\frac{Nrt}{2}}$ is a square in \mathbb{Z}_p , or (ii) both e and $Nr(k-t)$ are even and $(-1)^{\frac{Nr(k-t)}{2}}$ is a square in \mathbb{Z}_p . Furthermore, when either (i) e is odd, Nrt is even and $(-1)^{\frac{Nrt}{2}}$ is a square in \mathbb{Z}_p , or (ii) both e and $Nr(k-t)$ are even and $(-1)^{\frac{Nr(k-t)}{2}}$ is a square in \mathbb{Z}_p , the number of self-dual additive codes of length N over \mathcal{R}_e is given by*

$$\sum_{\mathbf{k} \in \mathcal{L}_{Nrt, e}} \sum_{\boldsymbol{\ell} \in \mathcal{L}_{Nr(k-t), e-1}} \sigma_p(Nrt, m_{\lfloor \frac{e-1}{2} \rfloor}(\mathbf{k})) \sigma_p(Nr(k-t), m_{\lfloor \frac{e-2}{2} \rfloor}(\boldsymbol{\ell})) \mathcal{F}_{e, p}^{(Nrt, Nr(k-t))}(\mathbf{k}, \boldsymbol{\ell}) p^{\Delta_e(\mathbf{k}, \boldsymbol{\ell}) + s_e(\mathbf{k}, \boldsymbol{\ell})},$$

where the numbers $\sigma_p(Nrt, m_{\lfloor \frac{e-1}{2} \rfloor}(\mathbf{k}))$'s and $\sigma_p(Nr(k-t), m_{\lfloor \frac{e-2}{2} \rfloor}(\boldsymbol{\ell}))$'s are given by (4.1), and $\Delta_e(\mathbf{k}, \boldsymbol{\ell})$'s, $s_e(\mathbf{k}, \boldsymbol{\ell})$'s and $\mathcal{F}_{e, p}^{(Nrt, Nr(k-t))}(\mathbf{k}, \boldsymbol{\ell})$'s are given by (4.63), (4.64) and (4.66), respectively.

Proof. It follows by Remark 3.1 and taking $N_1 = Nrt$ and $N_2 = Nr(k-t)$ in Theorem 4.9. \square

5 Enumeration of ACD codes of length N over \mathcal{R}_e

In this section, we will count all ACD codes of length N over \mathcal{R}_e . For this, we will apply Theorem 3.5 of Jose and Sharma [25] to obtain an explicit enumeration formula for all Euclidean $\mathbb{Z}_p^e \mathbb{Z}_{p^{e-1}}$ -LCD codes of an arbitrary block-length. To state this result, let $L_p(n, s)$ be the number of distinct Euclidean LCD codes of length n and dimension s over the finite field \mathbb{Z}_p for $0 \leq s \leq n$, where n is a positive integer. It is clear that $L_p(n, 0) = L_p(n, n) = 1$. Now for $1 \leq s \leq n-1$, we see, by Theorems 3.2 and 3.3 of Yadav and Sharma [35], that the number $L_p(n, s)$ is given by the following:

(a) When $p = 2$, we have

$$L_2(n, s) = \begin{cases} 2^{\frac{(n-s)(s+1)}{2}} \binom{(n-1)/2}{(s-1)/2}_4 & \text{if both } n \text{ and } s \text{ are odd;} \\ 2^{\frac{ns-s^2+n-1}{2}} \binom{(n-2)/2}{(s-1)/2}_4 & \text{if } n \text{ is even and } s \text{ is odd;} \\ 2^{\frac{s(n-s+1)}{2}} \binom{(n-1)/2}{s/2}_4 & \text{if } n \text{ is odd and } s \text{ is even;} \\ 2^{\frac{ns-s^2-2}{2}} \left((2^s + 1) \binom{(n-2)/2}{s/2}_4 \right. \\ \left. + (2^{n-s+1} - 2^{n-s} + 1) \binom{(n-2)/2}{(s-2)/2}_4 \right) & \text{if both } n \text{ and } s \text{ are even.} \end{cases} \quad (5.1)$$

(b) When p is an odd prime, we have

$$L_p(n, s) = \begin{cases} p^{\frac{(n-s)(s+1)}{2}} \binom{(n-1)/2}{(s-1)/2}_{p^2} & \text{if both } s \text{ and } n \text{ are odd;} \\ p^{\frac{ns-s^2-1}{2}} (p^{\frac{n}{2}} - 1) \binom{(n-2)/2}{(s-1)/2}_{p^2} & \text{if } s \text{ is odd and } n \text{ is even with either } p \equiv 1 \pmod{4} \\ & \text{or } n \equiv 0 \pmod{4} \text{ and } p \equiv 3 \pmod{4}; \\ p^{\frac{ns-s^2-1}{2}} (p^{\frac{n}{2}} + 1) \binom{(n-2)/2}{(s-1)/2}_{p^2} & \text{if } s \text{ is odd, } p \equiv 3 \pmod{4} \text{ and } n \equiv 2 \pmod{4}; \\ p^{\frac{s(n-s+1)}{2}} \binom{(n-1)/2}{s/2}_{p^2} & \text{if } s \text{ is even and } n \text{ is odd;} \\ p^{\frac{s(n-s)}{2}} \binom{n/2}{s/2}_{p^2} & \text{if both } s \text{ and } n \text{ are even.} \end{cases} \quad (5.2)$$

Now, the following theorem provides an explicit enumeration formula for all Euclidean $\mathbb{Z}_{p^e}\mathbb{Z}_{p^{e-1}}$ -LCD codes of block-length (N_1, N_2) .

Theorem 5.1 ([25]). *For $e \geq 2$, the number of Euclidean $\mathbb{Z}_{p^e}\mathbb{Z}_{p^{e-1}}$ -LCD codes of block-length (N_1, N_2) is given by*

$$\sum_{i=0}^{N_1} \sum_{j=0}^{N_2} L_p(N_1, i) L_p(N_2, j) p^{(N_1-i)(e-1)(i+j) + (N_2-j)((e-1)i + (e-2)j)},$$

where the numbers $L_p(N_1, i)$'s and $L_p(N_2, j)$'s are given by (5.1) and (5.2).

Proof. It follows from Theorem 3.5 of Jose and Sharma [25]. \square

As a consequence of the above theorem, we obtain an explicit enumeration formula for all ACD codes of length N over \mathcal{R}_e in the following corollary.

Corollary 5.1. *For $e \geq 2$, the number of ACD codes of length N over \mathcal{R}_e is given by*

$$\sum_{i=0}^{Nrt} \sum_{j=0}^{Nr(k-t)} L_p(Nrt, i) L_p(Nr(k-t), j) p^{(Nrt-i)(e-1)(i+j) + (Nr(k-t)-j)((e-1)i + (e-2)j)},$$

where the numbers $L_p(Nrt, i)$'s and $L_p(Nr(k-t), j)$'s are given by (5.1) and (5.2).

Proof. It follows by Remark 3.1 and taking $N_1 = Nrt$ and $N_2 = Nr(k-t)$ in Theorem 5.1. \square

Example 5.1. *Using Magma, we see that there are precisely 113 and 883 distinct ACD codes of length 2 over $\mathbb{Z}_4[y]/\langle y^2 - 2, 2y \rangle$ and $\mathbb{Z}_9[y]/\langle y^2 - 3, 3y \rangle$, respectively. This agrees with Corollary 5.1.*

6 Classification of self-orthogonal, self-dual and ACD codes over \mathcal{R}_e

Two additive codes of length N over \mathcal{R}_e are monomially equivalent if one code can be obtained from the other by a combination of operations of the following two types: (A) Permutation of the N coordinate positions of the code, and (B) Multiplication of the code symbols appearing in a given coordinate position by a unit in \mathbb{Z}_{p^e} . A generator matrix of an additive code of length N over \mathcal{R}_e is a matrix whose rows form its minimal generating set over \mathbb{Z}_{p^e} . Thus two additive codes \mathcal{C}_1 and \mathcal{C}_2 of length N over \mathcal{R}_e with their respective generator matrices \mathcal{G}_1 and \mathcal{G}_2 are monomially equivalent if and only if $\mathcal{G}_1 = \mathcal{G}_2\mathcal{U}$, where \mathcal{U} is an $N \times N$ monomial matrix over \mathbb{Z}_{p^e} , (note that a monomial matrix over \mathbb{Z}_{p^e} is a square matrix whose non-zero entries are units in \mathbb{Z}_{p^e} and each of its rows and columns has exactly one non-zero entry). We further see, by Theorem 3.1, that the map Ψ induces a duality-preserving 1-1 correspondence between additive codes of length N over \mathcal{R}_e and $\mathbb{Z}_{p^e}\mathbb{Z}_{p^{e-1}}$ -linear codes of block-length $(Nrt, Nr(k-t))$. Accordingly, we will now translate the notion of monomial equivalence between additive codes of length N over \mathcal{R}_e to a suitable notion of equivalence between $\mathbb{Z}_{p^e}\mathbb{Z}_{p^{e-1}}$ -linear codes of block-length $(Nrt, Nr(k-t))$.

To do this, let \mathcal{C}_1 and \mathcal{C}_2 be two additive codes of length N over \mathcal{R}_e with generator matrices \mathcal{G}_1 and \mathcal{G}_2 , respectively. Then the $\mathbb{Z}_{p^e}\mathbb{Z}_{p^{e-1}}$ -linear codes $\Psi(\mathcal{C}_1)$ and $\Psi(\mathcal{C}_2)$ of block-length $(Nrt, Nr(k-t))$ have generator matrices $\Psi(\mathcal{G}_1) = [A_1 \mid B_1]$ and $\Psi(\mathcal{G}_2) = [A_2 \mid B_2]$, respectively, where A_1 and A_2 are matrices over \mathbb{Z}_{p^e} with Nrt columns, and B_1 and B_2 are matrices over $\mathbb{Z}_{p^{e-1}}$ with $Nr(k-t)$ columns. Now, the codes \mathcal{C}_1 and \mathcal{C}_2 are monomially equivalent if and only if there exists an $N \times N$ monomial matrix \mathcal{U} over \mathbb{Z}_{p^e} satisfying

$$\mathcal{G}_1 = \mathcal{G}_2\mathcal{U}. \quad (6.1)$$

From this and using the fact that the map Ψ is a \mathbb{Z}_{p^e} -module isomorphism, we see that the matrix equation (6.1) is equivalent to

$$\Psi(\mathcal{G}_1) = \Psi(\mathcal{G}_2)\Psi(\mathcal{U}). \quad (6.2)$$

Further, if $u_{i,j}$ denotes the (i, j) -th entry of \mathcal{U} and $u'_{i,j} \equiv u_{i,j} \pmod{p^{e-1}}$ for $1 \leq i, j \leq N$, then the matrix $\Psi(\mathcal{U})$ is given by

$$\Psi(\mathcal{U}) = \begin{bmatrix} u_{1,1}I_{rt} & u_{1,2}I_{rt} & \cdots & u_{1,N}I_{rt} & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} & \cdots & \mathbf{0} \\ u_{2,1}I_{rt} & u_{2,2}I_{rt} & \cdots & u_{2,N}I_{rt} & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} & \cdots & \mathbf{0} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ u_{N,1}I_{rt} & u_{N,2}I_{rt} & \cdots & u_{N,N}I_{rt} & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} & \cdots & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} & \cdots & \mathbf{0} & u'_{1,1}I_{r(k-t)} & u'_{1,2}I_{r(k-t)} & \cdots & u'_{1,N}I_{r(k-t)} \\ \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} & \cdots & \mathbf{0} & u'_{2,1}I_{r(k-t)} & u'_{2,2}I_{r(k-t)} & \cdots & u'_{2,N}I_{r(k-t)} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} & \cdots & \mathbf{0} & u'_{N,1}I_{r(k-t)} & u'_{N,2}I_{r(k-t)} & \cdots & u'_{N,N}I_{r(k-t)} \end{bmatrix} = \left[\begin{array}{c|c} \mathcal{U}_1 & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0} & \mathcal{U}_2 \end{array} \right],$$

and we say that the matrix $\begin{bmatrix} \mathcal{U}_1 & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0} & \mathcal{U}_2 \end{bmatrix}$ is a monomial-type matrix, where $\mathbf{0}$ denotes the zero matrix, and I_{rt} and $I_{r(k-t)}$ denote $rt \times rt$ and $r(k-t) \times r(k-t)$ identity matrices, respectively. From this and by (6.2), we see that the codes \mathcal{C}_1 and \mathcal{C}_2 are monomially equivalent if and only if there exists a monomial-type matrix $\begin{bmatrix} \mathcal{U}_1 & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0} & \mathcal{U}_2 \end{bmatrix}$ satisfying $[A_1 \mid B_1] = [A_2 \mid B_2] \begin{bmatrix} \mathcal{U}_1 & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0} & \mathcal{U}_2 \end{bmatrix} = [A_2 \mathcal{U}_1 \mid B_2 \mathcal{U}_2]$. Accordingly, we say that two $\mathbb{Z}_{p^e} \mathbb{Z}_{p^{e-1}}$ -linear codes \mathcal{C}_1 and \mathcal{C}_2 of block-length $(Nrt, Nr(k-t))$ with generator matrices $[A_1 \mid B_1]$ and $[A_2 \mid B_2]$ are $*$ -equivalent if there exists a monomial-type matrix $\begin{bmatrix} \mathcal{U}_1 & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0} & \mathcal{U}_2 \end{bmatrix}$ satisfying $[A_1 \mid B_1] = [A_2 \mid B_2] \begin{bmatrix} \mathcal{U}_1 & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0} & \mathcal{U}_2 \end{bmatrix}$. From this, we deduce the following:

Lemma 6.1. *Two additive codes \mathcal{C}_1 and \mathcal{C}_2 of length N over \mathcal{R}_e are monomially equivalent if and only if $\Psi(\mathcal{C}_1)$ and $\Psi(\mathcal{C}_2)$ are $*$ -equivalent.*

From the above lemma, we see that classifying all self-orthogonal and self-dual additive codes and ACD codes of length N over \mathcal{R}_e up to monomial equivalence is equivalent to classifying all Euclidean self-orthogonal and self-dual $\mathbb{Z}_{p^e} \mathbb{Z}_{p^{e-1}}$ -linear codes and Euclidean $\mathbb{Z}_{p^e} \mathbb{Z}_{p^{e-1}}$ -LCD codes of block-length $(Nrt, Nr(k-t))$ up to $*$ -equivalence, respectively. Towards this, the enumeration formulae obtained in Sections 4 and 5 are useful in classifying the aforementioned classes of codes up to equivalence. We will now illustrate this in certain specific cases by carrying out computations in Magma.

Throughout this section, for a prime p , let \mathcal{R}_p be the finite commutative chain ring $\mathbb{Z}_{p^2}[y]/\langle y^2 - p, py \rangle$. By Theorem 3.1 and Lemma 6.1, we see that classifying all self-orthogonal and self-dual additive codes of length N over \mathcal{R}_p up to monomial equivalence is equivalent to classifying all Euclidean self-orthogonal and self-dual $\mathbb{Z}_{p^2} \mathbb{Z}_p$ -linear codes of block-length (N, N) up to $*$ -equivalence. For this, we first note that each element $\alpha \in \mathcal{R}_p$ can be uniquely expressed as $\alpha = \alpha_0 + \alpha_1 y$, where $\alpha_0 \in \mathbb{Z}_{p^2}$ and $\alpha_1 \in \mathbb{Z}_p$. Further, we see, by Greferath and Schmidt [18, p. 1], that the homogeneous weight on \mathcal{R}_p is defined as

$$w_{hom}(\alpha) = w_{hom}(\alpha_0 + \alpha_1 y) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } \alpha = 0; \\ p^2 & \text{if } \alpha_0 \in \{p, 2p, \dots, (p-1)p\} \text{ and } \alpha_1 = 0; \\ (p-1)p & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

where $\alpha = \alpha_0 + \alpha_1 y$ with $\alpha_0 \in \mathbb{Z}_{p^2}$ and $\alpha_1 \in \mathbb{Z}_p$. Further, the homogeneous weight of a word $\mathbf{a} = (a_0, a_1, \dots, a_{N-1}) \in \mathcal{R}_p^N$, denoted by $\mathbf{w}_{hom}(\mathbf{a})$, is defined as $\mathbf{w}_{hom}(\mathbf{a}) = \sum_{i=0}^{N-1} w_{hom}(a_i)$. The homogeneous distance between the words $\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b} \in \mathcal{R}_p^N$ is defined as $d_{hom}(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}) = \mathbf{w}_{hom}(\mathbf{a} - \mathbf{b})$. The homogeneous distance of an additive code \mathcal{C} of length N over \mathcal{R}_p is defined as $d_{hom}(\mathcal{C}) = \min\{d_{hom}(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}) : \mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b} \in \mathcal{C} \text{ and } \mathbf{a} \neq \mathbf{b}\} = \min\{\mathbf{w}_{hom}(\mathbf{c}) : \mathbf{c} (\neq \mathbf{0}) \in \mathcal{C}\}$. Accordingly, we define the homogenous weight on the mixed alphabet $\mathbb{Z}_{p^2} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_p$ as $w_{Hom}(\alpha_0 | \alpha_1) := w_{hom}(\alpha_0 + \alpha_1 y)$ for all $(\alpha_0 | \alpha_1) \in \mathbb{Z}_{p^2} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_p$. This can be further extended to the mixed alphabet $\mathbb{Z}_{p^2}^N \oplus \mathbb{Z}_p^N$ as

$$\mathbf{w}_{Hom}(\alpha_0, \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{N-1} | \beta_0, \beta_1, \dots, \beta_{N-1}) = \sum_{i=0}^{N-1} w_{Hom}(\alpha_i | \beta_i) = \sum_{i=0}^{N-1} w_{hom}(\alpha_i + \beta_i y)$$

for all $(\alpha_0, \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{N-1} | \beta_0, \beta_1, \dots, \beta_{N-1}) \in \mathbb{Z}_{p^2}^N \oplus \mathbb{Z}_p^N$. The homogeneous distance between the words $(\mathbf{a} | \mathbf{b}), (\mathbf{c} | \mathbf{d}) \in \mathbb{Z}_{p^2}^N \oplus \mathbb{Z}_p^N$ is defined as $d_{Hom}((\mathbf{a} | \mathbf{b}), (\mathbf{c} | \mathbf{d})) = \mathbf{w}_{Hom}(\mathbf{a} - \mathbf{c} | \mathbf{b} - \mathbf{d})$. Now the homogenous distance of a $\mathbb{Z}_{p^2} \mathbb{Z}_p$ -linear code \mathcal{C} of block-length (N, N) is defined as $d_{Hom}(\mathcal{C}) = \min\{d_{Hom}((\mathbf{a} | \mathbf{b}), (\mathbf{c} | \mathbf{d})) : (\mathbf{a} | \mathbf{b}), (\mathbf{c} | \mathbf{d}) \in \mathcal{C} \text{ and } (\mathbf{a} | \mathbf{b}) \neq (\mathbf{c} | \mathbf{d})\} = \min\{\mathbf{w}_{Hom}(\mathbf{a} | \mathbf{b}) : (\mathbf{a} | \mathbf{b}) (\neq \mathbf{0}) \in \mathcal{C}\}$. From the above discussion and by Theorem 3.1, we see that for an additive code \mathcal{C} of length N over \mathcal{R}_p , we have $d_{hom}(\mathcal{C}) = d_{Hom}(\Psi(\mathcal{C}))$. In view of this, we see that the \mathbb{Z}_{p^e} -modules $(\mathcal{R}_p^N, d_{hom})$ and $(\mathbb{Z}_{p^2}^N \oplus \mathbb{Z}_p^N, d_{Hom})$ are isometric.

First of all, we will consider the case $p = 3$ and classify all self-orthogonal and self-dual additive codes of lengths 2 and 3 over \mathcal{R}_3 up to monomial equivalence by classifying all Euclidean self-orthogonal and self-dual $\mathbb{Z}_9 \mathbb{Z}_3$ -linear codes of block-lengths (2, 2) and (3, 3), respectively, up to $*$ -equivalence, by carrying out computations in Magma and applying Theorems 4.2 and 4.3. Here, generator matrices of all $\mathbb{Z}_9 \mathbb{Z}_3$ -linear codes for which the corresponding additive code over \mathcal{R}_3 achieves the Plotkin bound for homogeneous weights (see Greferath and O'Sullivan [17, Th. 2.2]) are marked †.

- I. There are 3 $*$ -inequivalent non-zero Euclidean self-orthogonal $\mathbb{Z}_9 \mathbb{Z}_3$ -linear codes of block-length (2, 2). Among these codes, there are

- ⊙ 2 Euclidean self-orthogonal $\mathbb{Z}_9\mathbb{Z}_3$ -linear codes of homogenous weight 9 with generator matrices $[3\ 0\ | 0\ 0]$ and $\begin{bmatrix} 3 & 0 & | & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 3 & | & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$; and
- ⊙ 1 Euclidean self-orthogonal $\mathbb{Z}_9\mathbb{Z}_3$ -linear code of homogenous weight 18 with a generator matrices $^\dagger[3\ 6\ | 0\ 0]$.

II. By Theorem 4.3, we see that there does not exist any Euclidean self-dual $\mathbb{Z}_9\mathbb{Z}_3$ -linear code of block-length $(2, 2)$.

III. There are 117 *-inequivalent non-zero Euclidean self-orthogonal $\mathbb{Z}_9\mathbb{Z}_3$ -linear codes of block-length $(3, 3)$. Among these codes, there are

- ⊙ 19 Euclidean self-orthogonal $\mathbb{Z}_9\mathbb{Z}_3$ -linear codes of homogenous weight 9 with generator matrices as listed below:
 - $[3\ 0\ 0\ | 0\ 0\ 0]$ and $\begin{bmatrix} 3 & 0 & 0 & | & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 3 & 0 & | & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 3 & | & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & | & 1 & 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$;
 - $\begin{bmatrix} 3 & 0 & x & | & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & y & z & | & u & v & w \end{bmatrix}$ with $(x, y, z, u, v, w) \in \{(0, 3, 0, 0, 0, 0), (3, 3, 0, 0, 0, 0), (0, 3, 6, 2, 2, 1), (0, 3, 0, 2, 2, 2), (0, 3, 6, 2, 2, 2), (0, 0, 0, 1, 1, 2), (0, 3, 0, 1, 1, 2), (0, 3, 6, 1, 1, 2)\}$;
 - $\begin{bmatrix} 3 & 0 & 0 & | & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 3 & x & | & y & z & u \\ 0 & 0 & v & | & w & t & s \end{bmatrix}$ with $(x, y, z, u, v, w, t, s) \in \{(0, 0, 0, 0, 3, 0, 0, 0), (3, 0, 0, 0, 0, 1, 2, 2), (0, 1, 2, 1, 3, 1, 2, 1), (0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 1, 2, 1), (0, 0, 0, 0, 3, 2, 1, 2), (0, 1, 1, 1, 3, 1, 1, 1), (0, 0, 0, 0, 3, 1, 2, 1), (0, 1, 2, 2, 3, 1, 2, 2), (3, 0, 0, 0, 0, 1, 2, 1)\}$;
- ⊙ 97 Euclidean self-orthogonal $\mathbb{Z}_9\mathbb{Z}_3$ -linear codes of homogenous weight 18 with generator matrices as listed below:
 - $[x\ y\ z\ | u\ v\ w]$ with $(x, y, z, u, v, w) \in \{(3, 0, 6, 0, 0, 0), (3, 3, 6, 2, 1, 2), (3, 3, 0, 1, 1, 2), (0, 0, 0, 1, 1, 1), (3, 3, 0, 2, 2, 2), (0, 3, 0, 1, 2, 1), (3, 3, 6, 1, 1, 2), (3, 3, 6, 2, 2, 2), (0, 3, 0, 1, 1, 1), (3, 3, 0, 2, 1, 2), (3, 3, 6, 2, 2, 1), (1, 4, 1, 1, 1, 2), (1, 7, 8, 0, 2, 0), (1, 2, 4, 2, 0, 2), (1, 4, 1, 1, 1, 1), (1, 2, 4, 2, 0, 1), (1, 4, 1, 0, 0, 0), (1, 4, 1, 1, 2, 2), (1, 7, 8, 0, 1, 0), (1, 4, 1, 2, 2, 2), (1, 2, 4, 1, 2, 0)\}$;
 - $\begin{bmatrix} 3 & 0 & x & | & z & u \\ 0 & v & w & | & x_1 & y_1 & z_1 \end{bmatrix}$ with $(x, y, z, u, v, w, x_1, y_1, z_1) \in \{(6, 0, 0, 0, 3, 0, 2, 2, 2), (6, 0, 0, 0, 3, 6, 2, 2, 1), (6, 0, 0, 0, 3, 0, 2, 2, 1), (6, 0, 0, 0, 3, 6, 2, 1, 2), (3, 2, 2, 1, 3, 0, 1, 1, 2), (3, 1, 1, 1, 3, 0, 2, 2, 2), (6, 0, 0, 0, 3, 6, 2, 2, 2), (3, 1, 1, 2, 3, 0, 2, 2, 1), (3, 0, 0, 0, 3, 6, 0, 0, 0), (6, 1, 1, 2, 3, 6, 2, 2, 1), (3, 1, 2, 1, 3, 0, 2, 1, 2), (0, 1, 2, 1, 3, 0, 2, 1, 2), (0, 2, 1, 1, 3, 0, 1, 2, 2), (3, 2, 1, 2, 3, 0, 1, 2, 1), (6, 0, 0, 0, 3, 0, 1, 1, 2), (6, 0, 0, 0, 3, 0, 1, 1, 1), (6, 1, 1, 3, 6, 2, 2, 2), (6, 0, 0, 0, 3, 6, 1, 1, 2), (6, 0, 0, 0, 3, 6, 1, 2, 1), (0, 2, 2, 1, 3, 0, 1, 1, 2), (6, 0, 0, 0, 3, 6, 1, 1, 1), (3, 2, 2, 2, 3, 0, 1, 1, 1)\}$;
 - $\begin{bmatrix} 1 & x & y & | & z & u & v \\ 0 & w & x_1 & | & y_1 & z_1 & u_1 \end{bmatrix}$ with $(x, y, z, u, v, w, x_1, y_1, z_1, u_1) \in \{(5, 2, 1, 2, 0, 0, 3, 2, 1, 2), (5, 2, 0, 1, 2, 0, 3, 2, 2, 1), (1, 1, 0, 2, 2, 0, 0, 1, 2, 1), (2, 7, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 1, 1, 2), (2, 7, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 1, 2, 1), (1, 1, 0, 1, 2, 0, 0, 1, 1, 1), (5, 2, 0, 2, 1, 0, 3, 1, 1, 2), (5, 2, 2, 0, 2, 0, 3, 1, 2, 1), (1, 1, 0, 1, 2, 3, 6, 2, 2, 2), (5, 2, 2, 2, 0, 0, 3, 1, 1, 2), (5, 2, 1, 2, 0, 0, 3, 2, 1, 1), (5, 2, 2, 1, 0, 0, 3, 1, 2, 1), (5, 2, 3, 0, 1, 0, 3, 1, 1, 2), (1, 1, 1, 1, 0, 3, 6, 2, 1, 2), (5, 2, 0, 2, 2, 0, 3, 2, 1, 1), (5, 2, 2, 1, 0, 0, 3, 1, 2, 2), (5, 2, 1, 0, 1, 0, 3, 2, 1, 2), (5, 2, 1, 0, 2, 0, 3, 2, 2, 1), (1, 1, 0, 2, 2, 3, 6, 1, 2, 1), (5, 2, 2, 2, 0, 0, 3, 1, 1, 1), (2, 7, 0, 0, 0, 3, 3, 2, 2, 1), (2, 7, 0, 0, 0, 3, 3, 1, 2, 1), (5, 2, 2, 0, 1, 0, 3, 1, 2, 2), (1, 1, 0, 2, 1, 3, 6, 2, 2, 2), (1, 7, 1, 0, 0, 3, 6, 0, 0, 0), (1, 5, 2, 2, 1, 3, 3, 0, 0, 0), (1, 5, 2, 2, 2, 3, 3, 0, 0, 0), (1, 1, 1, 0, 2, 3, 6, 0, 0, 0), (1, 1, 2, 2, 0, 3, 6, 0, 0, 0), (1, 5, 0, 0, 0, 3, 3, 0, 0, 0), (1, 5, 1, 1, 2, 3, 3, 0, 0, 0), (1, 1, 1, 1, 0, 3, 6, 0, 0, 0), (1, 7, 0, 2, 0, 3, 6, 0, 0, 0), (1, 5, 1, 2, 2, 3, 3, 0, 0, 0)\}$;
 - $\begin{bmatrix} 3 & 6 & x & | & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & | & 1 & y & z \end{bmatrix}$ with $(x, y, z) \in \{(0, 1, 2), (0, 2, 1), (6, 1, 2), (6, 2, 2)\}$;
 - $\begin{bmatrix} 1 & x & y & | & z & u & v \\ 0 & 3 & 3 & | & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & w & | & x_1 & y_1 & z_1 \end{bmatrix}$ with $(x, y, z, u, v, w, x_1, y_1, z_1, u_1) \in \{(2, 7, 0, 0, 0, 0, 1, 2, 2), (1, 2, 0, 2, 0, 3, 1, 2, 2), (1, 2, 0, 1, 0, 3, 1, 1, 2), (1, 2, 2, 0, 0, 3, 2, 2, 1), (1, 8, 0, 1, 2, 0, 1, 2, 2), (1, 2, 1, 0, 0, 3, 1, 2, 1), (2, 7, 0, 0, 0, 0, 1, 2, 1), (1, 2, 0, 2, 0, 3, 1, 2, 1), (1, 8, 0, 1, 1, 0, 1, 1, 2), (1, 2, 0, 1, 0, 3, 2, 1, 2)\}$;

- $\left[\begin{array}{ccc|ccc} 3 & 0 & x & y & z & u \\ 0 & 3 & v & w & x_1 & y_1 \\ 0 & 0 & z_1 & u_1 & v_1 & w_1 \end{array} \right]$ with $(x, y, z, u, v, w, x_1, y_1, z_1, u_1, v_1, w_1) \in \{(0, 1, 2, 2, 0, 2, 1, 1, 3, 2, 1, 1), (0, 2, 1, 2, 0, 1, 2, 1, 3, 1, 2, 1), (6, 0, 0, 0, 6, 0, 0, 0, 0, 1, 1, 1), (0, 2, 1, 1, 0, 1, 2, 2, 3, 1, 2, 2), (0, 1, 2, 1, 0, 2, 1, 2, 3, 2, 1, 2), (6, 0, 0, 0, 6, 0, 0, 0, 0, 1, 2, 1)\}$; and
- ⊙ 1 Euclidean self-orthogonal $\mathbb{Z}_9\mathbb{Z}_3$ -linear code of homogenous weight 27 with a generator matrix $\dagger[3 \ 6 \ 6 \mid 0 \ 0 \ 0]$.

IV. By Theorem 4.3, we see that there does not exist any Euclidean self-dual $\mathbb{Z}_9\mathbb{Z}_3$ -linear code of block-length $(3, 3)$.

We will now classify all ACD codes of length 2 over \mathcal{R}_2 and \mathcal{R}_3 up to monomial equivalence by classifying all Euclidean $\mathbb{Z}_4\mathbb{Z}_4$ -LCD codes and $\mathbb{Z}_9\mathbb{Z}_3$ -LCD codes of block-lengths $(2, 2)$, respectively, up to *-equivalence, with the help of Magma and applying Theorem 5.1.

V. There are 203 *-inequivalent non-zero Euclidean $\mathbb{Z}_9\mathbb{Z}_3$ -LCD codes of block-length $(2, 2)$. Among these codes, there are

- ⊙ 114 Euclidean $\mathbb{Z}_9\mathbb{Z}_3$ -LCD codes of homogeneous weight 6 with generator matrices as listed below:
 - $[x \ y \mid z \ w]$ with $(x, y, z, w) \in \{(3, 0, 2, 0), (3, 0, 1, 0), (0, 0, 1, 0), (1, 0, 0, 0), (1, 0, 1, 0), (1, 0, 2, 0)\}$;
 - $\left[\begin{array}{cc|cc} x & y & z & u \\ v & w & x_1 & y_1 \end{array} \right]$ with $(x, y, z, u, v, w, x_1, y_1) \in \{(1, 0, 1, 0, 0, 1, 0, 2), (1, 0, 0, 0, 0, 1, 1, 0), (1, 0, 1, 0, 0, 1, 0, 1), (1, 0, 2, 0, 0, 1, 0, 0), (1, 0, 0, 2, 0, 1, 0, 1), (1, 0, 0, 0, 0, 1, 0, 0), (1, 0, 0, 0, 0, 1, 0, 1), (1, 0, 1, 0, 0, 1, 2, 1), (1, 0, 2, 0, 0, 1, 1, 2), (1, 0, 2, 2, 0, 1, 0, 1), (1, 0, 2, 0, 0, 1, 1, 1), (1, 0, 2, 0, 0, 1, 2, 0), (1, 0, 2, 0, 0, 1, 0, 2), (1, 0, 1, 2, 0, 1, 0, 0), (1, 0, 0, 0, 0, 1, 1, 2), (1, 2, 0, 0, 0, 3, 0, 2), (1, 0, 0, 2, 0, 0, 1, 1), (1, 0, 2, 0, 0, 3, 1, 0), (1, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 1, 1), (1, 6, 1, 0, 0, 0, 0, 1), (1, 0, 0, 0, 0, 3, 1, 0), (1, 0, 2, 0, 0, 3, 0, 2), (1, 0, 1, 1, 0, 3, 0, 2), (1, 0, 0, 0, 0, 3, 0, 2), (1, 2, 1, 0, 0, 3, 0, 1), (1, 8, 0, 1, 0, 0, 1, 0), (1, 0, 1, 0, 0, 3, 2, 0), (1, 0, 1, 2, 0, 3, 0, 1), (1, 2, 0, 1, 0, 3, 1, 0), (1, 0, 1, 0, 0, 3, 0, 1), (1, 0, 2, 0, 0, 0, 0, 1), (1, 0, 2, 0, 0, 3, 1, 1), (1, 0, 0, 0, 0, 3, 2, 2), (1, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 1), (1, 6, 2, 0, 0, 0, 0, 1), (1, 2, 0, 2, 0, 3, 2, 0), (1, 6, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 1), (1, 0, 1, 0, 0, 3, 2, 1), (1, 0, 0, 1, 0, 3, 0, 2), (1, 0, 0, 2, 0, 0, 1, 0), (1, 0, 2, 2, 0, 3, 0, 1), (1, 6, 0, 2, 0, 0, 1, 0), (1, 0, 2, 0, 0, 3, 0, 1), (1, 0, 0, 2, 0, 3, 0, 1), (1, 6, 0, 0, 0, 0, 1, 0), (1, 0, 0, 0, 0, 3, 0, 1), (1, 2, 0, 1, 0, 3, 2, 0), (1, 0, 0, 0, 0, 3, 2, 1), (1, 0, 0, 2, 0, 0, 1, 2), (1, 6, 0, 1, 0, 0, 1, 0), (1, 0, 2, 1, 0, 3, 0, 2), (1, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 1, 0), (1, 0, 1, 0, 0, 3, 0, 2), (1, 8, 0, 2, 0, 0, 1, 0), (1, 0, 2, 0, 0, 3, 1, 2), (1, 8, 0, 0, 0, 0, 1, 0), (1, 2, 0, 0, 0, 3, 1, 0), (1, 0, 1, 0, 0, 3, 2, 2), (1, 0, 1, 0, 0, 0, 0, 1), (3, 0, 1, 0, 0, 3, 2, 1), (3, 0, 2, 0, 0, 3, 1, 2), (3, 0, 2, 0, 0, 3, 1, 1), (3, 0, 1, 0, 0, 0, 0, 1), (3, 0, 1, 0, 0, 3, 0, 1), (3, 6, 0, 1, 0, 0, 1, 0), (3, 0, 0, 2, 0, 0, 1, 0), (3, 6, 1, 0, 0, 0, 0, 1), (3, 0, 2, 0, 0, 3, 0, 2), (3, 0, 2, 0, 0, 0, 0, 1), (3, 0, 2, 0, 0, 3, 0, 1), (0, 3, 0, 1, 0, 0, 1, 2), (0, 0, 1, 0, 0, 0, 0, 1), (3, 0, 1, 0, 0, 3, 2, 2), (0, 3, 0, 2, 0, 0, 1, 1)\}$;
 - $\left[\begin{array}{c|c} I_2 & 0 \\ 0 & I_2 \end{array} \right]$;
 - $\left[\begin{array}{cc|cc} 1 & x & y & z \\ 0 & u & v & w \\ 0 & x_1 & y_1 & z_1 \end{array} \right]$ with $(x, y, z, u, v, w, x_1, y_1, z_1) \in \{(0, 2, 0, 1, 0, 0, 0, 0, 1), (0, 0, 2, 1, 0, 2, 0, 1, 0), (0, 0, 2, 1, 0, 0, 1, 1), (0, 0, 2, 1, 0, 1, 0, 1, 0), (0, 0, 2, 1, 0, 1, 0, 1, 2), (0, 0, 0, 1, 2, 0, 0, 0, 1), (0, 0, 0, 1, 0, 0, 0, 0, 1), (0, 0, 0, 1, 0, 1, 0, 1, 0), (0, 0, 1, 1, 0, 2, 0, 1, 1), (0, 0, 0, 1, 0, 2, 0, 1, 1), (0, 0, 2, 1, 0, 1, 0, 1, 1), (0, 0, 0, 1, 0, 0, 0, 1, 2), (1, 0, 0, 3, 0, 1, 0, 1, 1), (0, 0, 0, 0, 1, 0, 0, 0, 1), (0, 0, 2, 3, 0, 2, 0, 1, 0), (0, 0, 0, 3, 0, 1, 0, 1, 0), (6, 0, 0, 0, 1, 0, 0, 0, 1), (0, 0, 2, 3, 0, 2, 0, 1, 2), (1, 0, 0, 3, 0, 2, 0, 1, 1), (0, 0, 1, 3, 0, 1, 0, 1, 0), (0, 0, 0, 3, 0, 2, 0, 1, 0), (7, 0, 0, 0, 1, 0, 0, 0, 1), (0, 0, 0, 3, 2, 0, 0, 0, 1), (1, 0, 0, 3, 0, 2, 0, 1, 2), (0, 0, 1, 3, 0, 1, 0, 1, 1), (0, 1, 0, 3, 1, 0, 0, 0, 1), (1, 0, 0, 3, 2, 0, 0, 0, 1), (0, 0, 0, 3, 0, 1, 0, 1, 2), (1, 0, 0, 3, 1, 0, 0, 0, 1), (0, 2, 0, 3, 2, 0, 0, 0, 1), (0, 0, 2, 3, 0, 2, 0, 1, 1), (0, 0, 1, 3, 0, 1, 0, 1, 2), (0, 0, 0, 3, 0, 2, 0, 1, 2)\}$;
- ⊙ 48 Euclidean $\mathbb{Z}_9\mathbb{Z}_3$ -LCD codes of homogeneous weight 9 with generator matrices as listed below:
 - $[1 \ x \mid y \ z]$ with $(x, y, z) \in \{(6, 0, 2), (6, 0, 0), (6, 0, 1), (0, 0, 2), (6, 1, 2), (6, 1, 0), (6, 1, 1), (6, 2, 2), (0, 1, 2), (6, 2, 0), (6, 2, 1), (0, 2, 2)\}$;
 - $\left[\begin{array}{cc|cc} 1 & x & y & z \\ 0 & u & v & w \end{array} \right]$ with $(x, y, z, u, v, w) \in \{(0, 1, 2, 1, 1, 1), (0, 1, 2, 1, 2, 2), (0, 2, 2, 1, 1, 2), (0, 1, 2, 1, 2, 1), (0, 1, 1, 1, 1, 0), (0, 2, 1, 1, 2, 1), (0, 2, 2, 1, 1, 0), (0, 2, 2, 1, 2, 2), (0, 1, 1, 1, 2, 0), (0, 2, 2, 1, 2, 0), (0, 0, 2, 1, 1, 0), (0, 0, 2, 1, 2, 0), (0, 2, 2, 3, 1, 0), (6, 0, 0, 0, 1, 1), (0, 1, 2, 3, 2, 0), (0, 2, 1, 3, 1, 2), (0, 0, 1, 3, 1, 2), (0, 2, 2, 3, 1, 1), (0, 1, 1, 3, 2, 2), (0, 0, 2, 3, 1, 1), (0, 2, 1, 3, 1, 0), (6, 0, 2, 0, 1, 2), (0, 1, 2, 3, 2, 1), (6, 0, 1, 0, 1, 1), (0, 1, 1,$

- 3, 2, 0), (0, 0, 2, 3, 2, 0), (0, 2, 1, 3, 2, 2), (0, 2, 1, 3, 1, 1), (0, 0, 1, 3, 2, 2), (0, 1, 1, 3, 2, 1), (0, 0, 2, 3, 2, 1), (0, 0, 1, 3, 2, 0), (6, 0, 2, 0, 1, 1), (6, 0, 0, 0, 1, 2), (0, 1, 1, 3, 1, 2), (6, 0, 1, 0, 1, 2)};
- ⊙ 38 Euclidean $\mathbb{Z}_9\mathbb{Z}_3$ -LCD codes of homogeneous weight 12 with generator matrices as listed below:
 - $[x\ y \mid z\ u]$ with $(x, y, z, u) \in \{(1, 4, 0, 2), (1, 4, 0, 0), (1, 4, 1, 2), (1, 4, 1, 0), (1, 4, 1, 1), (1, 4, 2, 2), (3, 6, 2, 2), (3, 0, 2, 1), (3, 0, 1, 2), (0, 0, 1, 2), (3, 6, 2, 1), (3, 6, 1, 2)\}$;
 - $\begin{bmatrix} 1 & x & y & z \\ 0 & u & v & w \end{bmatrix}$ with $(x, y, z, u, v, w) \in \{(8, 0, 2, 0, 1, 1), (8, 0, 0, 0, 1, 1), (2, 0, 2, 3, 2, 2), (2, 0, 0, 3, 2, 2), (2, 2, 0, 3, 1, 1), (2, 0, 0, 3, 1, 1), (8, 0, 1, 0, 1, 1), (2, 0, 1, 3, 2, 2), (2, 0, 0, 3, 2, 1), (2, 1, 0, 3, 1, 2), (8, 0, 2, 0, 1, 2), (8, 0, 0, 0, 1, 2), (2, 2, 0, 3, 1, 2), (2, 1, 0, 3, 1, 1)\}$;
 - $\begin{bmatrix} 3 & x & y & z \\ 0 & u & v & w \end{bmatrix}$ with $(x, y, z, u, v, w) \in \{(0, 1, 2, 3, 1, 1), (0, 0, 2, 3, 1, 1), (6, 0, 2, 0, 1, 1), (0, 0, 1, 3, 1, 2), (0, 0, 2, 3, 2, 1), (6, 0, 1, 0, 1, 2), (0, 0, 1, 3, 2, 2), (6, 0, 1, 0, 1, 1), (0, 0, 2, 3, 1, 0), (0, 0, 2, 3, 2, 0), (0, 1, 2, 3, 2, 2), (0, 2, 2, 3, 1, 2)\}$; and
 - ⊙ 3 Euclidean $\mathbb{Z}_9\mathbb{Z}_3$ -LCD codes of homogeneous weight 15 with generator matrices $[3\ 6 \mid 2\ 0]$, $[3\ 0 \mid 0\ 2]$ and $[3\ 6 \mid 1\ 0]$.

VI. There are 61 *-inequivalent non-zero Euclidean $\mathbb{Z}_4\mathbb{Z}_2$ -LCD codes of block-length $(2, 2)$. Among these codes, there are

- ⊙ 45 Euclidean $\mathbb{Z}_4\mathbb{Z}_2$ -LCD codes of homogeneous weight 2 with generator matrices as listed below:
 - $[x\ y \mid z\ u]$ with $(x, y, z, u) \in \{(0, 0, 1, 0), (0, 2, 0, 1), (1, 0, 0, 0), (1, 0, 1, 0)\}$;
 - $\begin{bmatrix} x & y & z & u \\ v & w & x_1 & y_1 \end{bmatrix}$ with $(x, y, z, u, v, w, x_1, y_1) \in \{(1, 0, 1, 0, 0, 1, 1, 1), (1, 0, 0, 1, 0, 1, 0, 1), (1, 0, 1, 0, 0, 1, 0, 0), (1, 0, 0, 0, 0, 1, 1, 1), (1, 0, 0, 0, 0, 1, 0, 0), (1, 0, 0, 0, 0, 1, 1, 0), (1, 0, 1, 0, 0, 1, 0, 1), (1, 2, 1, 0, 0, 0, 0, 1), (1, 2, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 1), (1, 0, 1, 0, 0, 2, 0, 1), (1, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 1, 0), (1, 0, 0, 0, 0, 1, 0, 1), (1, 2, 0, 0, 0, 0, 1, 0), (1, 0, 1, 1, 0, 2, 0, 1), (1, 0, 0, 1, 0, 0, 1, 0), (1, 0, 1, 0, 0, 2, 1, 0), (1, 0, 1, 0, 0, 0, 0, 1), (1, 0, 0, 1, 0, 2, 0, 1), (1, 2, 0, 1, 0, 0, 1, 0), (1, 0, 0, 0, 0, 2, 1, 0), (1, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 1), (2, 0, 0, 1, 0, 0, 1, 1), (0, 2, 0, 1, 0, 0, 1, 0), (0, 0, 1, 0, 0, 0, 0, 1), (2, 2, 1, 0, 0, 0, 0, 1), (2, 0, 1, 1, 0, 2, 0, 1), (0, 2, 1, 0, 0, 0, 0, 1), (2, 0, 1, 0, 0, 2, 0, 1)\}$;
 - $\begin{bmatrix} I_2 & 0 \\ 0 & I_2 \end{bmatrix}$;
 - $\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & x & y \\ 0 & z & u & v \\ 0 & 0 & w & x_1 \end{bmatrix}$ with $(x, y, z, u, v, w, x_1) \in \{(1, 0, 1, 0, 0, 0, 1), (0, 0, 1, 0, 0, 1, 0), (1, 0, 1, 1, 0, 0, 1), (0, 0, 1, 1, 0, 0, 1), (0, 0, 2, 1, 0, 0, 1), (0, 0, 2, 0, 1, 1, 1), (0, 0, 0, 1, 0, 0, 1), (0, 0, 2, 0, 1, 1, 0), (0, 1, 2, 0, 1, 1, 1), (0, 0, 0, 1, 0, 0, 1), (1, 0, 2, 1, 0, 0, 1), (0, 1, 2, 0, 1, 1, 0)\}$;
- ⊙ 14 Euclidean $\mathbb{Z}_4\mathbb{Z}_2$ -LCD codes of homogeneous weight 4 with generator matrices as listed below:
 - $[1\ x \mid y\ z]$ with $(x, y, z) \in \{(2, 1, 1), (2, 0, 0), (2, 1, 0), (0, 0, 1), (0, 1, 1), (2, 0, 1)\}$;
 - $\begin{bmatrix} x & y & z & u \\ v & w & x_1 & y_1 \end{bmatrix}$ with $(x, y, z, u, v, w, x_1, y_1) \in \{(1, 0, 1, 1, 0, 1, 1, 1), (1, 0, 1, 1, 0, 1, 1, 0), (1, 0, 0, 1, 0, 1, 1, 0), (1, 0, 1, 1, 0, 2, 1, 0), (1, 0, 0, 1, 0, 2, 1, 0), (2, 0, 0, 1, 0, 2, 1, 0), (2, 2, 0, 1, 0, 0, 1, 1), (2, 0, 1, 1, 0, 2, 1, 0)\}$; and
- ⊙ 2 Euclidean $\mathbb{Z}_4\mathbb{Z}_2$ -LCD codes of homogeneous weight 6 with generator matrices $[2\ 2 \mid 1\ 0]$ and $[0\ 2 \mid 1\ 0]$.

References

- [1] Aydogdu, I., Abualrub, T. and Siap, I.: On $\mathbb{Z}_2\mathbb{Z}_2[u]$ -additive codes, *Int. J. Comput. Math.* 92(9), pp. 1806-1814 (2015).
- [2] Aydogdu, I. and Siap, I.: The structure of $\mathbb{Z}_2\mathbb{Z}_{2^s}$ -additive codes: Bounds on the minimum distance, *Appl. Math. Inf. Sci.* 7, pp. 2271-2278 (2013).
- [3] Aydogdu, I. and Siap, I.: On $\mathbb{Z}_{p^r}\mathbb{Z}_{p^s}$ -additive codes, *Linear Multilinear Algebra* 63(10), pp. 2089-2102 (2015).
- [4] Bajalan, M., Rezaei, R. and Samei, K.: On codes over product of finite chain rings, *Sigma J. Engrg. Natur. Sci.* 41(1), pp. 145-155 (2023).
- [5] Bajalan, M., Tabue, A. F., Kabore, J. and Martínez-Moro, E.: Galois LCD codes over mixed alphabets, *Finite Fields Appl.* 85, Article no. 102125 (2023).
- [6] Betty, R. A. and Munemasa, A.: A mass formula for self-orthogonal codes over \mathbb{Z}_{p^2} , *J. Combinator. Inform. Syst. Sci.* 34, pp. 51-66 (2009).

- [7] Bierbrauer, J. and Edel, Y.: Quantum twisted codes, *J. Comb. Des.* 8(3), pp. 174-188 (2000).
- [8] Borges, J., Fernández-Córdoba, C., Pujol, J., Rifà, J. and Villanueva, M.: $\mathbb{Z}_2\mathbb{Z}_4$ -linear codes: Generator matrices and duality, *Des. Codes Cryptogr.* 54(2), pp. 167-179 (2010).
- [9] Borges, J., Fernández-Córdoba, C. and Ten-Valls, R.: Linear and cyclic codes over direct product of finite chain rings, *Math. Methods Appl. Sci.*, 41(16), pp. 6519-6529 (2018).
- [10] Calderbank, A. R., Rains, E. M., Shor, P. M. and Sloane, N. J.: Quantum error correction via codes over $\text{GF}(4)$, *IEEE Trans. Inform. Theory* 44(4), pp. 1369-1387 (1998).
- [11] Cao, Y., Gao, J., Fu, F. W. and Cao, Y.: Enumeration and construction of additive cyclic codes over Galois rings, *Discrete Math.* 338(6), pp. 922-937 (2015).
- [12] Danielsen, L. E. and Parker, M. G.: On the classification of all self-dual additive codes over $\text{GF}(4)$ of length up to 12, *J. Comb. Theory Ser. A* 113(7), pp. 1351-1367 (2006).
- [13] Dougherty, S. T., Kim, J. L., and Lee, N.: Additive self-dual codes over fields of even order, *Bull. Korean Math. Soc.* 55(2), pp. 341-357 (2018).
- [14] Dougherty, S. T., Kim, J. L. and Liu, H.: Constructions of self-dual codes over finite commutative chain rings, *Int. J. Inf. Coding Theory* 1(2), pp. 171-190 (2010).
- [15] Dougherty, S. T., Korban, A. and Şahinkaya, S.: Self-dual additive codes, *Appl. Algebra Eng. Commun. Comput.* 33(5), pp. 569-586 (2022).
- [16] Dougherty, S. T., Şahinkaya, S. and Ustun, D.: Additive complementary dual codes from group characters, *IEEE Trans. Inform. Theory* 68(7), pp. 4444-4452 (2022).
- [17] Greferath, M. and O'Sullivan, M. E.: On bounds for codes over Frobenius rings under homogeneous weights, *Discrete Math.* 289(1-3), pp. 11-24 (2004).
- [18] Greferath, M. and Schmidt, S. E.: Gray isometries for finite chain rings and a nonlinear ternary $(36, 3^{12}, 15)$ code, *IEEE Trans. Inform. Theory* 45(7), pp. 2522-2524 (1999).
- [19] Hammons Jr, A. R., Kumar, P. V., Calderbank, A. R., Sloane, N. J. A. and Solé, P.: The \mathbb{Z}_4 -linearity Kerdock, Preparata, Goethals, and related codes, *IEEE Trans. Inform. Theory* 40, pp. 301-319 (1994).
- [20] Huffman, W. C.: Additive cyclic codes over \mathbb{F}_4 , *Adv. Math. Commun.* 1(4), pp. 427-459 (2007).
- [21] Huffman, W. C.: Cyclic \mathbb{F}_q -linear \mathbb{F}_{q^t} -codes, *Int. J. Inf. Coding Theory* 1(3), pp. 249-284 (2010).
- [22] Huffman, W. C.: On the theory of \mathbb{F}_q -linear \mathbb{F}_{q^t} -codes, *Adv. Math. Commun.* 7(3), pp. 349-378 (2013).
- [23] Huppert, B.: *Character theory of finite groups*, Walter de Gruyter, Berlin, New York (1998).
- [24] Jose, L. and Sharma, A.: Enumeration formulae for self-orthogonal, self-dual and complementary-dual additive cyclic codes over finite commutative chain rings, *Cryptogr. Commun.* pp. 1-34 (2024).
- [25] Jose, L. and Sharma, A.: On Euclidean and Hermitian LCD codes over mixed alphabets, *Under review*.
- [26] Mahmoudi, S. and Samei, K.: Additive codes over Galois rings, *Finite Fields Appl.* 56, pp. 332-350 (2019).
- [27] Martínez-Moro, E., Otal, K. and Özbudak, F.: Additive cyclic codes over finite commutative chain rings, *Discrete Math.* 341(7), pp. 1873-1884 (2018).
- [28] McDonald, B. R.: *Finite rings with identity*, Marcel Dekker, New York (1974).
- [29] Norton, G. H., and Sălăgean, A.: On the structure of linear and cyclic codes over a finite chain ring, *Appl. Algebra Eng. Commun. Comput.* 10, pp. 489-506 (2000).
- [30] Pless, V.: On the uniqueness of the Golay codes, *J. Comb. Theory* 5(3), pp. 215-228 (1968).
- [31] Rifà, J. and Pujol, J.: Translation-invariant propelinear codes, *IEEE Trans. Inform. Theory* 43(2), pp. 590-598 (1997).
- [32] Wan, Z. X.: *Lectures on finite fields and Galois rings*, World Scientific Publishing, New Jersey (2012).
- [33] Wood, J. A.: Foundations of linear codes defined over finite modules: the extension theorem and the MacWilliams identities in Codes over rings, *World Scientific*, pp. 124-190 (2009).
- [34] Yadav, M. and Sharma, A.: Mass formulae for Euclidean self-orthogonal and self-dual codes over finite commutative chain rings, *Discrete Math.* 344(1), pp. 1-24 (2021).
- [35] Yadav, M. and Sharma, A.: On the enumeration and classification of σ -LCD codes over finite commutative chain rings, *Discrete Math.* 345(8), pp. 1-25 (2022).