In-medium electromagnetic form factors of pseudoscalar mesons from the quark model

Ahmad Jafar Arifi[®],^{1, 2, *} Parada T. P. Hutauruk[®],^{3, †} and Kazuo Tsushima^{®4, ‡}

¹Few-Body Systems in Physics Laboratory, RIKEN Nishina Center, Wako 351-0198, Japan

²Research Center for Nuclear Physics (RCNP), The University of Osaka, Ibaraki 567-0047, Japan

³Department of Physics, Pukyong National University (PKNU), Busan 48513, South Korea

⁴Laboratório de Física Teórica e Computacional-LFTC,

Programa de Pósgraduação em Astrofísica e Física Computacional,

Universidade Cidade de São Paulo, 01506-000 São Paulo, SP, Brazil

(Dated: December 16, 2024)

We explore the modifications of hadron structure in a nuclear medium, focusing on the spacelike electromagnetic form factors (EMFFs) of light and heavy-light pseudoscalar mesons. By combining the light-front quark model (LFQM) with the quark-meson coupling (QMC) model, which reasonably reproduces EMFFs in free space and the saturation properties of nuclear matter, respectively, we systematically analyze the in-medium EMFFs and charge radii of mesons with various quark flavors. Our findings show that the EMFFs of charged (neutral) mesons exhibit a faster fall-off (increase) with increasing four-momentum transfer squared and nuclear density. Consequently, the absolute value of the charge radii of mesons increases with nuclear density, where the rate of increase depends on their quark flavor contents. We observe that the EMFFs of pions and kaons undergo significant modifications in the nuclear medium, while heavy-light mesons are only slightly modified. By decomposing the quark flavor contributions to EMFFs, we show that the medium effects primarily impact the light-quark sector, leaving the heavy-quark sector nearly unaffected. The results of this study further suggest the importance of the medium effects at the quark level.

I. INTRODUCTION

Modifications of the hadron properties and structure in a nuclear medium and nuclei have been explored through a variety of perspectives. They can provide us with important and useful insights into the complicated hadron dynamics in the nuclear medium [1-6]. One of the broadly known examples is the modifications of the structure functions of the bound hadrons in nuclei compared with those in the free space, where the phenomenon is well known as the European Muon Collaboration (EMC) effect [7]. This effect highlights the influence of the nuclear medium on the internal quark-gluon dynamics of hadrons, suggesting modifications to their quark-level structure, and driving advanced developments and improvements in nuclear matter models based on the quarklevel dynamics [8-13]. Moreover, measuring the EMC effect further in detail is one of the main Electron-Ion Collider (EIC) scientific programs [14]. The measurements are expected to shed light on the detailed mechanisms of the nuclear modifications and will provide critical tests for the theoretical predictions and models.

In addition to the EMC effect, hadrons in the nuclear medium undergo various modifications [15–18], such as (effective) mass modifications, width broadening, and the increase of their charge radii. Mass modifications in the nuclear medium that are associated with the changes in the scalar mean field in some models, which might

[‡] kazuo.tsushima@cruzeirodosul.edu.br; kazuo.tsushima@gmail.com be expected to link to the quark chiral condensate, signaling partial restoration of chiral symmetry, while the width-broadening modifications from enhanced interaction rates and modified decay channels in the dense nuclear medium are due to the competition between the scalar and vector meson mean fields in usual relativistic mean field models. Increases in charge radii of hadrons indicate the medium modifications in the electromagnetic form factors (EMFFs), as the charge distribution becomes more diffused due to medium effects. These phenomena in a nuclear medium may be connected to chiral symmetry restoration, and this idea is supported by experimental evidence of deeply bound pionic [18] and kaonic [19] atoms, and by the associated various theoretical studies [20–24]. Thus, they will provide new insights into the interplay between the hadron structure and the effects of nuclear medium.

Our main focus in this study is on the nuclear medium modifications of the meson spacelike EMFFs. In free space, the EMFFs of the mesons have been extensively studied using various theoretical models, e.g. in Refs. [25–36], including the chiral-perturbation theory [25], the light-front quark models [26–28], the Bethe– Salpeter–Equations Nambu–Jona–Lasinio (BSE NJL) model [29], the Holographic QCD or AdS/CFT correspondence model [31], the Dyson-Schwinger Equation (DSE) based approach [32, 33] among others. These model results generally provide reasonable explanations for the available data on EMFFs in the low-energy region. Furthermore, a number of lattice QCD simulations, *e.g.* in Refs. [37–43], have also been performed to study EMFFs in various contexts.

In contrast, studies on the EMFFs of the light and heavy-light pseudoscalar mesons in the nuclear medium

^{*} ahmad.arifi@riken.jp

[†] phutauruk@pknu.ac.kr

are limited so far. Since lattice QCD simulations are not yet established at lower and higher nuclear densities due to the sign problem [44], most studies rely on effective model calculations. For instance, the EMFFs of the pion and kaon have been investigated in the nuclear medium using the NJL model in combination with the quark-meson coupling (QMC) model [22, 23, 45]. Very recently, a consistent calculation and treatment on hadron structure and nuclear matter using the same NJL model was reported in Ref. [21, 46]. Additionally, the EMFFs of the pion [47] and kaon [48] have been studied in the light-front quark model (LFQM) combined with the QMC model with the help of the Bethe-Salpeter approach (BSA), as well as using QCD sum rules [49]. Most of the studies focus on the EMFFs of the pion and kaon. and it has been limited for studying the EMFFs of the heavy-light mesons. In addition to our focus of study, it is worth mentioning that the EMFFs of the octet baryons in the nuclear medium have also been made in the covariant spectator quark model [50, 51], the QMC model [52] and LFQM [53]. Notably, the double ratio of the nucleon EMFFs in nuclei can be measured rather accurately in experiments [17]. This shows that studying the medium effects on hadron structure deserves further investigation.

In our previous study [54], we have developed an approach combined the LFQM with the QMC model to compute the in-medium decay constants and distribution amplitudes (DAs) of the light and heavy-light mesons, where such studies have also been made in other approaches [45, 46, 55, 56]. Based on our previous approach, we now extend to study the in-medium modifications of the EMFFs and charge radii of the light and heavy-light pseudoscalar mesons in the symmetric nuclear matter (SNM). The present study provides a systematic analysis of the in-medium EMFFs of the mesons with different quark flavor contents, u, d, s, c and b.

This article is organized as follows. In Sec. II A, we briefly describe the computation of the light front wave functions (LFWFs) in the framework of the LFQM and its normalization. Section II B presents the properties of quarks and the light and heavy-light pseudoscalar mesons in SNM computed in the QMC model. In Sec. III, we use these in-medium quark and meson properties and calculate the EMFFs and charge radii of the light and heavy-light pseudoscalar mesons. Numerical results on the EMFFs and charge radii of the light and heavy-light pseudoscalar mesons in SNM are presented in Sec. IV. Finally, a summary is given in Sec. V.

II. MODEL DESCRIPTION

In Sec. II A, we provide a brief overview of the freespace properties of the light and heavy-light pseudoscalar mesons using the LFQM, which is built on the constituent quark model with light-front dynamics. Following this, Sec. II B presents a summary of the QMC model, where the properties of the effective quarks and mesons in the nuclear medium are calculated.

A. Light-front quark model

Here, we begin with outlining the main concepts of the LFWF construction within the LFQM [54]. It is important to emphasize that our approach differs from the Bethe–Salpeter amplitude-based method used in Refs. [47, 48]. Specifically, our approach utilizes a vertex function regulated by a Gaussian form and the meson states are built using the Bakamjian–Thomas (BT) construction [57, 58], which maintains Poincaré invariance and ensures independence from any particular frame. Self-consistent studies of several observables [59–62] are made using the BT construction.

In the LFQM, the meson state $|\mathcal{M}\rangle \equiv |\mathcal{M}(P, J, J_z)\rangle$, as a bound state of the constituent quark q and antiquark \bar{q} with meson momentum P and total angular momentum (J, J_z) , can be written as

$$|\mathcal{M}\rangle = \int \left[\mathrm{d}^{3}\boldsymbol{p}_{q}\right] \left[\mathrm{d}^{3}\boldsymbol{p}_{\bar{q}}\right] 2(2\pi)^{3}\delta^{3}\left(\boldsymbol{P}-\boldsymbol{p}_{q}-\boldsymbol{p}_{\bar{q}}\right) \\ \times \sum_{\lambda_{q},\lambda_{\bar{q}}} \Psi^{JJ_{z}}_{\lambda_{q}\lambda_{\bar{q}}}(x,\boldsymbol{k}_{\perp}) \left|q_{\lambda_{q}}(p_{q})\bar{q}_{\lambda_{\bar{q}}}(p_{\bar{q}})\right\rangle, \quad (1)$$

where $\boldsymbol{p}_{i} = (p_{i}^{+}, \boldsymbol{p}_{i\perp})$ and $[d^{3}\boldsymbol{p}_{i}] \equiv dp_{i}^{+}d^{2}\boldsymbol{p}_{i\perp}/[2(2\pi)^{3}]$. We represent the momenta and helicities of the quark and antiquark as (p_{q}, λ_{q}) and $(p_{\bar{q}}, \lambda_{\bar{q}})$ for i = q and $i = \bar{q}$, respectively. The internal light-front variables $(x, \boldsymbol{k}_{\perp})$ are then defined by $x = p_{q}^{+}/P^{+}$ and $\boldsymbol{k}_{\perp} = x\boldsymbol{P}_{\perp} - \boldsymbol{p}_{q\perp}$.

The LFWF of the ground state pseudoscalar meson in momentum space is given by

$$\Psi_{\lambda_q \lambda_{\bar{q}}}^{JJ_z}(x, \boldsymbol{k}_\perp) = \Phi(x, \boldsymbol{k}_\perp) \ \mathcal{R}_{\lambda_q \lambda_{\bar{q}}}^{JJ_z}(x, \boldsymbol{k}_\perp), \tag{2}$$

where $\Phi(x, \mathbf{k}_{\perp})$ and $\mathcal{R}^{JJ_z}_{\lambda_q \lambda_{\bar{q}}}(x, \mathbf{k}_{\perp})$ represent the radial and spin-orbit wave functions, respectively. The matrix element $\mathcal{R}^{JJ_z}_{\lambda_q \lambda_{\bar{q}}}$ is derived through the Melosh transformation [63] and can be expressed in covariant form as

$$\mathcal{R}^{00}_{\lambda_q \lambda_{\bar{q}}} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}\tilde{M}_0} \bar{u}_{\lambda_q}(p_q) \gamma_5 v_{\lambda_{\bar{q}}}(p_{\bar{q}}), \tag{3}$$

with $\tilde{M}_0 \equiv \sqrt{M_0^2 - (m_q - m_{\bar{q}})^2}$, and the invariant meson mass M_0^2 is defined as

$$M_0^2 = \frac{\mathbf{k}_{\perp}^2 + m_q^2}{x} + \frac{\mathbf{k}_{\perp}^2 + m_{\bar{q}}^2}{1 - x}.$$
 (4)

The explicit form of the spin-orbit wave functions for the pseudoscalar is given by

$$\mathcal{R}^{00}_{\lambda_q \lambda_{\bar{q}}}(x, \boldsymbol{k}_{\perp}) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}\sqrt{\mathcal{A}^2 + \boldsymbol{k}_{\perp}^2}} \begin{pmatrix} k^L & \mathcal{A} \\ -\mathcal{A} & k^R \end{pmatrix}, \quad (5)$$

with $k^{R(L)} = k_x \pm ik_y$ and $\mathcal{A} = xm_{\bar{q}} + (1-x)m_q$. It is worth noting that the spin-orbit wave functions satisfy the orthonormality condition, expressed as

$$\sum_{\lambda_q,\lambda_{\bar{q}}} \left\langle \mathcal{R}^{JJ_z}_{\lambda_q \lambda_{\bar{q}}} \middle| \mathcal{R}^{J'J'_z}_{\lambda_q \lambda_{\bar{q}}} \right\rangle = \delta_{JJ'} \delta_{J_z J'_z}.$$
 (6)

For the meson ground state, we employ a phenomenological Gaussian wave function as

$$\Phi_{1S}(x, \boldsymbol{k}_{\perp}) = \frac{4\pi^{3/4}}{\beta^{3/2}} \sqrt{\frac{\partial k_z}{\partial x}} e^{-\boldsymbol{k}^2/2\beta^2}, \qquad (7)$$

where β is the variational parameter associated with the wave function's size, and the Jacobian factor is represented by

$$\frac{\partial k_z}{\partial x} = \frac{M_0}{4x(1-x)} \left[1 - \frac{(m_q^2 - m_{\bar{q}}^2)^2}{M_0^4} \right], \quad (8)$$

which accounts for the transformation of variables from $(k_z, \mathbf{k}_{\perp})$ to (x, \mathbf{k}_{\perp}) , where

$$k_z = \left(x - \frac{1}{2}\right) M_0 + \frac{(m_{\bar{q}}^2 - m_q^2)}{2M_0}.$$
 (9)

The LFWF is subsequently normalized by

$$\int \frac{\mathrm{d}x\mathrm{d}^2 \boldsymbol{k}_{\perp}}{2(2\pi)^3} |\Psi(x, \boldsymbol{k}_{\perp})|^2 = 1.$$
(10)

B. Quark-meson coupling model

Before examining the effects of the in-medium modifications of the light and heavy-light meson structure, we briefly overview the QMC model in this section. In this model, parameters are determined to reproduce the saturation properties of the nuclear matter. The QMC model, which describes the nuclear matter, (hyper)nuclei, and hadron properties in the nuclear medium based on the quarks utilizes the relativistic mean field approximation [8, 64–67]. In this framework, the meson mean fields interact directly with the confined light u and d valence quarks constructed by the MIT bag model.

1. Relativistic mean-field approximation

The effective Lagrangian density for the symmetric nuclear matter at the hadronic level is given by [8, 64-66]

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{QMC}} = \mathcal{L}_{\text{nucleon}} + \mathcal{L}_{\text{meson}} + \mathcal{L}_{\text{int}},$$
 (11)

with each component defined as follows

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{nucleon}} = \bar{\psi}[i\partial \!\!\!/ - m_N]\psi, \qquad (12)$$
$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{meson}} = \frac{1}{2}(\partial_\mu \hat{\sigma} \partial^\mu \hat{\sigma} - m_\sigma^2 \hat{\sigma}^2)$$
$$-\frac{1}{2}\left[\partial_\mu \hat{\omega}_\nu (\partial^\mu \hat{\omega}^\nu - \partial^\nu \hat{\omega}^\mu) - m_\omega^2 \hat{\omega}^\mu \hat{\omega}_\mu\right], (13)$$

where ψ , $\hat{\sigma}$, and $\hat{\omega}$ denote the field operators for the nucleon, σ , and ω fields, respectively. The corresponding interaction Lagrangian density is expressed as

$$\mathcal{L}_{\rm int} = \tilde{g}_{N\sigma}(\hat{\sigma})\bar{\psi}\psi\hat{\sigma} - g_{N\omega}\hat{\omega}^{\mu}\bar{\psi}\gamma_{\mu}\psi, \qquad (14)$$

where $\tilde{g}_{N\sigma}(\hat{\sigma})$ is the σ -field dependent $N\sigma$ coupling constant, and $g_{N\omega}$ represents the $N\omega$ coupling constant.

The Lagrangian density can alternatively be written as

$$\mathcal{L} = \bar{\psi}[i\partial \!\!\!/ - m_N^*(\hat{\sigma}) - g_{N\omega}\hat{\omega}^\mu\gamma_\mu]\psi + \mathcal{L}_{\text{meson}}, \quad (15)$$

where the nucleon's effective mass at a given density is defined by

$$m_N^*(\hat{\sigma}) = m_N - \tilde{g}_{N\sigma}(\hat{\sigma})\hat{\sigma}.$$
 (16)

The coupling $\tilde{g}_{N\sigma}(\hat{\sigma})$ influences the nucleon effective mass, modifying the nucleon mass in a nonlinear way through the σ field. On the other hand, the $g_{N\omega}$ coupling modifies the nucleon's four-momentum. In the meanfield approximation, the meson field operators are replaced by their constant mean field expectation values, i.e., $\hat{\sigma} \to \sigma = \langle \hat{\sigma} \rangle$ and $\hat{\omega}_{\mu} \to \delta_{\mu,0} \omega = \langle \hat{\omega}_{\mu} \rangle$.

At the nucleon level, the equations of motion of the meson fields are given by

$$(\Box + m_{\sigma}^2)\sigma = \left(-\frac{\partial m_N^*(\sigma)}{\partial\sigma}\right)(\bar{\psi}\psi) = \tilde{g}_{N\sigma}(\sigma)\rho_s, \quad (17)$$

$$(\Box + m_{\omega}^2)\omega = g_{N\omega}(\bar{\psi}\gamma^0\psi) = g_{N\omega}(\psi^{\dagger}\psi) = g_{N\omega}\rho, \quad (18)$$

where in nuclear matter, the d'Alembert operator \Box is set to zero, and ρ_s and ρ represent the nucleon scalar and vector (baryon) densities, respectively.

The Dirac equation for the nucleon is given by

$$(i\partial - g_{N\omega}\omega\gamma^0 - m_N^*(\sigma))\psi = 0.$$
⁽¹⁹⁾

Here, the effective nucleon mass appears in this equation and in Eq. (17) as

$$-\frac{\partial m_N^*(\sigma)}{\partial \sigma} = \tilde{g}_{N\sigma}(\sigma) = g_{N\sigma}C_N(\sigma), \qquad (20)$$

where

$$C_N(\sigma) = \frac{S_N(\sigma)}{S_N(\sigma=0)}.$$
(21)

The function $C_N(\sigma)$ represents the scalar polarizability, which characterizes the nucleon's response to the external scalar field [64]. For a point-like nucleon, $C_N(\sigma) = 1$.

In the QMC model, the meson-nucleon couplings $(g_{N\sigma}, g_{N\omega})$ are related to the quark-meson couplings $(g_{q\sigma}, g_{q\omega})$ as follows

$$g_{N\sigma} = \tilde{g}_{q\sigma}(\sigma=0) = 3g_{q\sigma}S_N(\sigma=0), \qquad (22)$$

$$g_{N\omega} = 3g_{q\omega}, \tag{23}$$

where $S_N(\sigma) = \int d^3x \bar{\psi}_q(x) \psi_q(x)$ is calculated using the MIT bag model as given in Eq. (42). The factor of three

reflects the fact that the nucleon consists of three light valence quarks.

From Eqs. (17) and (18), the vector and scalar meson fields are calculated as follows

$$\omega = \frac{g_{N\omega}\rho}{m_{\omega}^2}, \qquad \sigma = \frac{g_{N\sigma}\rho_s}{m_{\sigma}^2}C_N(\sigma), \tag{24}$$

where the nuclear density ρ and scalar density ρ_s are given by

$$\rho = \frac{4}{(2\pi)^3} \int d^3 \boldsymbol{k} \; \Theta(k_F - k) = \frac{2k_F^3}{3\pi^2}, \tag{25}$$

$$\rho_s = \frac{4}{(2\pi)^3} \int d^3 \boldsymbol{k} \; \Theta(k_F - k) \frac{m_N^*(\sigma)}{\sqrt{m_N^{*2}(\sigma) + k^2}}, \; (26)$$

where $k = |\mathbf{k}|$ and $\Theta(k_F - k)$ is the step function ensuring the integral is performed up to the nucleon Fermi momentum k_F , which is related to the nuclear density ρ . The factor of four accounts for spin and isospin degeneracy. As shown in Eq. (24), we solve the self-consistent equation for the σ mean-field to determine its value at each nuclear density.

Once the σ and ω mean fields are obtained, the total energy per nucleon can be computed as

$$\frac{E_{\text{tot}}}{A} = \frac{1}{\rho} \left[\frac{4}{(2\pi)^3} \int d^3 \boldsymbol{k} \; \Theta(k_F - k) \sqrt{m_N^{*2}(\sigma) + k^2} + \frac{1}{2} g_{N\sigma} C_N(\sigma) \sigma \rho_s + \frac{1}{2} g_{N\omega} \omega \rho \right].$$
(27)

The model parameters are then determined by fitting the nuclear matter saturation properties at the saturation density $\rho_0 = 0.15 \text{ fm}^{-3} (k_F = 1.305 \text{ fm}^{-1})$, such as the negative of the binding energy $(E_{\text{tot}}/A - m_N)$, which is -15.7 MeV.

2. MIT bag model

In the standard QMC model [8, 52, 65, 66], the nucleon-meson couplings are derived from the quarkmeson couplings. This is done by using the MIT Bag model for hadrons, and solving the Dirac equations for the quarks and antiquarks in the presence of meson mean fields in nuclear matter. The meson potentials are given by

$$V_{q\sigma} = g_{q\sigma}\sigma, \qquad V_{q\omega} = g_{q\omega}\omega.$$
 (28)

Here, q refers to light quarks (u or d), and Q represents heavier quarks (s, c, b) confined within the bag of a hadron in SNM, with $r = |\mathbf{r}|$ up to the bag radius.

The Dirac equations for the quark and antiquark in the presence of the mean-field potentials are given by

$$\left[i\partial \!\!\!/ - (m_q - V_{q\sigma}) \mp \gamma^0 V_{q\omega}\right] \begin{pmatrix} \psi_q(z) \\ \psi_{\bar{q}}(z) \end{pmatrix} = 0, \qquad (29)$$

$$\left[i\partial \!\!\!/ - m_Q\right] \begin{pmatrix} \psi_Q(z)\\ \psi_{\bar{Q}}(z) \end{pmatrix} = 0, \qquad (30)$$

where we assume $m_q = m_u = m_d$ for the light quarks under SU(2) symmetry. The scalar potential modifies the quark mass, while the vector potential shifts the quark energy in the nuclear medium. The effective quark mass is given by

$$m_q^* = m_q - V_{q\sigma}.\tag{31}$$

It is important to note that the mean fields couple only to the light quarks and antiquarks. For heavier quarks (Q = s, c, b), their masses remain the same in the nuclear medium as in free space $(m_Q^* = m_Q)$, since the σ field does not couple to these heavier quarks.

We derive the static solution for the ground state quark or antiquark, where the Hamiltonian is timeindependent, and the wave function is written as

$$\psi(z) = \psi(r) \exp\left(-i\varepsilon^* t/R^*\right),\tag{32}$$

with R^* being the in-medium bag radius. The eigenenergies in units of $1/R^*$ are

$$\begin{pmatrix} \varepsilon_q^* \\ \varepsilon_{\bar{q}}^* \end{pmatrix} = \Omega_q^* \pm R^* V_\omega^q, \tag{33}$$

where

$$\Omega_q^* = \sqrt{x_q^{*2} + (m_q^* R^*)^2}, \qquad (34)$$

with x_q^* representing the lowest mode bag eigenvalue. The normalized ground state quark eigenfunction is

$$\psi(z) = \frac{N \operatorname{e}^{-i\varepsilon^* t/R^*}}{\sqrt{4\pi}} \begin{pmatrix} j_0(x_q^* r/R^*) \\ i\beta_q^* j_1(x_q^* r/R^*) \boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot \hat{r} \end{pmatrix} \chi_m, \quad (35)$$

where χ_m is the spin function and $j_{0,1}(r)$ are spherical Bessel functions. The normalization constant N is determined from

$$\int_{0}^{R^{*}} \mathrm{d}^{3} \boldsymbol{r} \, \psi^{\dagger}(r)\psi(r) = 1.$$
 (36)

This gives

$$N^{-2} = 2R^{*3}j_0^2(x_q^*)\frac{[\Omega_q^*(\Omega_q^*-1) + m_q^*R^*/2]}{x_q^{*2}}.$$
 (37)

The eigenfrequency of the quark is obtained by ensuring the continuity of the quark eigenfunction at the bag boundary $(r = R^*)$, leading to the relation

$$j_0(x_q^*) = \beta_q^* j_1(x_q^*), \tag{38}$$

with

$$\beta_q^* = \sqrt{\frac{\Omega_q^* - m_q^* R^*}{\Omega_q^* + m_q^* R^*}}.$$
(39)

By solving the equation above, we find the lowest positive eigenvalue of x_q^* . The effective nucleon mass in the MIT bag model is given by

$$m_N^*(\sigma) = \frac{3\Omega_q^* - Z_N}{R^*} + \frac{4\pi R^{*3}}{3}B,$$
 (40)

where Z_N accounts for gluon fluctuation and center-ofmass motion corrections [52], and *B* is the bag pressure. The equilibrium is reached when the nucleon mass is minimized, leading to

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}m_N^*(R^*)}{\mathrm{d}R^*}\Big|_{R^*=R_M^*} = 0, \tag{41}$$

which determines the nucleon radius R_N^* and its mass self-consistently with x_q^* .

It is important to note that the bag radius R_N^* is not a physical observable unlike the nucleon radius, which requires computing from the quark wave function. Additionally, the scalar polarizability $C_N(\sigma)$ is related to the σ -dependent $N\sigma$ coupling constant $\tilde{g}_{\sigma}^N(\sigma)$, with

$$S_N(\sigma) = \frac{\Omega_q^*/2 + m_q^* R^*(\Omega_q^* - 1)}{\Omega_q^*(\Omega_q^* - 1) + m_q^* R^*/2}.$$
 (42)

This approach offers a new perspective for the saturation properties of nuclear matter, based on the quark structure of the nucleon. It eliminates the need for nonlinear meson field couplings in the effective Lagrangian to achieve a reasonable incompressibility value of $K \simeq 200-300$ MeV, commonly practiced in relativistic meanfield models [68].

III. ELECTROMAGNETIC FORM FACTORS

In this section, we first discuss the computation of the EMFFs in free space by using the LFQM. Subsequently, we introduce the in-medium effect to study the modified EMFFs.

A. EMFFs in free space

In free space, the EMFFs of the pseudoscalar mesons $F_M(q^2)$ are defined in the matrix element as

$$\langle P' | j_{em}^{\mu} | P \rangle = (P'^{\mu} + P^{\mu}) F_M(q^2),$$
 (43)

where $q^2 = (P' - P)^2$ is the four-momentum transfer squared. To compute EMFFs, we use the Drell-Yan-West frame $(q^+ = 0)$, the space-like momentum transfer $q_{\perp}^2 \equiv Q^2 = -q^2$ where $P_{\perp} = 0$. From the quark momentum conservation, we obtain $\mathbf{k}'_{\perp} = \mathbf{k}_{\perp} + (1 - x)\mathbf{q}_{\perp}$.

In LFQM [26, 69], the plus current component ($\mu = +$) is typically used to compute the EMFFs due to its simplicity since $(P'^+ + P^+) = 2P^+$ is merely a kinematical factor. Alternatively, the transverse ($\mu = \bot$) and minus ($\mu = -$) current components can also be employed; although achieving self-consistency in such cases may require modifications to the Lorentz structure on the righthand side of Eq. (43) in the BT construction, as discussed in Ref. [62]. The EMFFs of the pseudoscalar mesons can be decomposed by their quark sector form factors as

$$F_M(Q^2) = e_q F_M^q(Q^2, m_q, m_{\bar{q}}) + e_{\bar{q}} F_M^{\bar{q}}(Q^2, m_{\bar{q}}, m_q),$$
(44)

where $e_q(e_{\bar{q}})$ is the electric charge of the quark (antiquark). The quark sector form factor can be computed within the impulse approximation, where they are obtained through a convolution of the initial and final LFWFs as

$$F_{M}^{q} = \int \frac{\mathrm{d}x \,\mathrm{d}^{2}\boldsymbol{k}_{\perp}}{16\pi^{3}} \,\frac{\Phi(x,\boldsymbol{k}_{\perp})\Phi'(x,\boldsymbol{k}_{\perp}')}{2P^{+}} \\ \sum_{\lambda,\lambda',\bar{\lambda}} \mathcal{R}_{\lambda'\bar{\lambda}}^{00\dagger}(x,\boldsymbol{k}_{\perp}') \frac{\bar{u}_{\lambda'}(p_{1}')}{\sqrt{x}} \gamma^{\mu} \frac{u_{\lambda}(p_{1})}{\sqrt{x}} \mathcal{R}_{\lambda\bar{\lambda}}^{00}(x,\boldsymbol{k}_{\perp}),$$

$$(45)$$

where only the helicity non-flip process contribute to the EMFFs when using plus current component since $\bar{u}_{\lambda'}(p'_1)\gamma^+u_{\lambda}(p_1) = 2\sqrt{p_1^+p'_1^+}\delta_{\lambda\lambda'}$. The quark sector form factors are explicitly expressed as [26]

$$F_M^i(Q^2) = \int_0^1 \mathrm{d}x \int \frac{\mathrm{d}^2 \boldsymbol{k}_\perp}{2(2\pi)^3} \Phi(x, \boldsymbol{k}_\perp) \Phi'(x, \boldsymbol{k}'_\perp) \\ \times \frac{\boldsymbol{k}_\perp \cdot \boldsymbol{k}'_\perp + \mathcal{A}^2}{\sqrt{\boldsymbol{k}_\perp^2 + \mathcal{A}^2} \sqrt{\boldsymbol{k}'_\perp^2 + \mathcal{A}^2}}.$$
(46)

Note that the EMFFs at the zero momentum transfer are normalized as

$$F_M(0) = e_q F_M^q(0) + e_{\bar{q}} F_M^q(0), \qquad (47)$$

where at $Q^2 = 0$, the quark sector form factors for the quark $F_M^q(0)$ and antiquark $F_M^{\bar{q}}(0)$ in Eq. (47) are defined to be equal to one, respectively.

The corresponding mean square charge radius of the meson can be computed as

$$\langle r_M^2 \rangle = -6 \left. \frac{\partial F_M(Q^2)}{\partial Q^2} \right|_{Q^2 \to 0},$$
(48)

where we can also decompose it by its quark flavor as

$$\left\langle r_M^2 \right\rangle = e_q \left\langle r_{M,q}^2 \right\rangle + e_{\bar{q}} \left\langle r_{M,\bar{q}}^2 \right\rangle. \tag{49}$$

Therefore, with this expression, we can also study the contribution of each quark flavor separately.

B. EMFFs in nuclear medium

When mesons are in a nuclear medium, the light quark mass is directly affected by the Lorentz scalar potential, and the light quark energy is directly influenced by the Lorentz vector potential. We assume the magnitude of vector potential is the same for all mesons, which contain one light quark or light antiquark. The increased repulsion of the K^+ meson can be explained by a reduction in the strong coupling constant α_s in the medium, as discussed in Ref. [54]. In the QMC model, adjusting the vector potential is necessary to match the empirically extracted slightly repulsive total potential of the K^+ meson, as shown in Ref. [70].

We now investigate how the in-medium modifications of the quark properties take place, as modeled in the QMC model, and affect the meson properties such as the EMFFs in the LFQM. The two key inputs from the QMC model are:

- 1. The in-medium effective mass of light quarks, modified by the scalar σ mean-field.
- 2. The in-medium energy of light quarks, modified by the vector potential.

Note that the Gaussian parameter $\beta_{q\bar{q}}$ may be modified in the medium; however, for simplicity, we assume it to be constant in the present work, as it is expected to remain and not largely changed in the medium because it is associated with the short-range scale of the meson wave function. The effects of the medium modifications on the light and heavy-light mesons depend on their quark constituents, which we will explain further.

The mass of the light quark or antiquark is modified by the scalar potential as

$$m_q^* = m_q - V_{q\sigma}. \tag{50}$$

The energy of the light quark and antiquark, p_i^{*0} , is modified by the vector potential

$$p_i^{*0} = \begin{cases} E_q^* + V_{q\omega} & \text{for light quark,} \\ E_{\bar{q}}^* - V_{q\omega} & \text{for light antiquark.} \end{cases}$$
(51)

where $E_q^* = E_{\bar{q}}^* = \sqrt{m_q^{*2} + p_q^2}$. The total energy of a meson, P^{*0} , is then given by

$$P^{*0} = \begin{cases} E_M^* & \text{for } (q\bar{q}), \\ E_M^* + V_{q\omega} & \text{for } (q\bar{Q}), \\ E_M^* - V_{q\omega} & \text{for } (Q\bar{q}), \end{cases}$$
(52)

where $E_M^* = \sqrt{M^{*2} + P^2}$, with M^* being the in-medium meson mass. The vector potential cancels for $(q\bar{q})$ mesons, and there is no direct effect from the vector potential on Q and \bar{Q} . The variable x, representing the ratio of quark to meson momenta in free space, is defined as

$$x = \frac{p_q^+}{P^+} = \frac{p_q^0 + p_q^3}{P^0 + P^3} = \frac{E_q + p_q^3}{E_M + P^3}$$
(53)

This variable x will be modified in the nuclear medium by the scalar and vector potentials.

1. Equal quark mass case

For the $q\bar{q}$ mesons, the longitudinal momentum of the quark (antiquark) is modified by the vector potential $+V_{q\omega}(-V_q\omega)$, with the new definition of the "quark" longitudinal momentum given by [54]

$$x \rightarrow \tilde{x}^* = \frac{p_q^{*+} + V_{q\omega}}{P^{*+}} = x^* + \frac{V_{q\omega}}{P^{*+}},$$
 (54)

The vector potentials for the quark and antiquark cancel out in the case of the $q\bar{q}$ mesons. In this new definition, the longitudinal momentum x is shifted due to the difference between (p_q^+, P^+) and (p_q^{*+}, P^{*+}) when computing the form factor in the medium. The quark sector form factor due to the quark (not the antiquark) contribution is then calculated as

$$F_{M}^{i*}(Q^{2}) = \int_{-\frac{V_{q\omega}}{P^{*+}}}^{1-\frac{V_{q\omega}}{P^{*+}}} dx^{*} \int \frac{d^{2}\boldsymbol{k}_{\perp}}{2(2\pi)^{3}} \\ \times \Phi(\tilde{x}^{*}, \boldsymbol{k}_{\perp}) \Phi'(\tilde{x}^{*}, \boldsymbol{k}'_{\perp}) \\ \times \frac{(\boldsymbol{k}_{\perp} \cdot \boldsymbol{k}'_{\perp} + \mathcal{A}(\tilde{x}^{*})^{2})}{\sqrt{\boldsymbol{k}_{\perp}^{2} + \mathcal{A}(\tilde{x}^{*})^{2}} \sqrt{\boldsymbol{k}'_{\perp}^{2} + \mathcal{A}(\tilde{x}^{*})^{2}}}.$$
(55)

After shifting the integration limits by $V_{q\omega}/P^{*+}$, the result remains the same because the limits cancel each other out. In a similar manner, one can calculate the antiquark contribution to the EMFFs. The final expression for the quark contribution to the EMFFs in the medium, using \tilde{x}^* , is

$$F_{M}^{i*}(Q^{2}) = \int_{0}^{1} d\tilde{x}^{*} \int \frac{d^{2}\boldsymbol{k}_{\perp}}{2(2\pi)^{3}} \Phi(\tilde{x}^{*}, \boldsymbol{k}_{\perp}) \Phi'(\tilde{x}^{*}, \boldsymbol{k}'_{\perp}) \\ \times \frac{(\boldsymbol{k}_{\perp} \cdot \boldsymbol{k}'_{\perp} + \mathcal{A}^{*2})}{\sqrt{\boldsymbol{k}_{\perp}^{2} + \mathcal{A}^{*2}} \sqrt{\boldsymbol{k}'_{\perp}^{2} + \mathcal{A}^{*2}}},$$
(56)

where $\mathcal{A}^* \equiv \mathcal{A}(\tilde{x}^*)$ is taken for simplicity. This equation shows that the scalar potential affects the EMFFs through the effective quark mass m_q^* [47], while the vector potential modifies the energies, but the effects cancel out between the quark and antiquark.

2. Unequal quark mass case

For the $q\bar{Q}$ and $Q\bar{q}$ mesons, the scalar and vector potentials affect both the meson four-momenta. The longitudinal momenta of the quark and antiquark in the medium are given by

$$x \rightarrow \begin{cases} \tilde{x}^{*} = \frac{p_{q}^{*+} + V_{q\omega}}{P^{*+} + V_{q\omega}} = \frac{x^{*} + V_{q\omega}/P^{*+}}{(1 + V_{q\omega}/P^{*+})} & \text{for } (q\bar{Q}), \\ \\ \tilde{x}^{*} = \frac{p_{q}^{*+} - V_{q\omega}}{P^{*+} - V_{q\omega}} = \frac{x^{*} - V_{q\omega}/P^{*+}}{(1 - V_{q\omega}/P^{*+})} & \text{for } (Q\bar{q}). \end{cases}$$

$$(57)$$

In such cases, we can define the variable of the integration by

$$dx^* = (1 \pm V_{a\omega}/P^{*+}) \ d\tilde{x}^*.$$
(58)

Thus, using this transformed variable, the EMFFs for the $q\bar{Q}$ and $Q\bar{q}$ mesons in the medium can be written as

$$F_{M}^{i*}(Q^{2}) = \int_{0}^{1} \mathrm{d}\tilde{x}^{*} \int \frac{\mathrm{d}^{2}\boldsymbol{k}_{\perp}}{2(2\pi)^{3}} \left(1 \pm \frac{V_{q\omega}}{P^{*+}}\right) \\ \times \Phi(\tilde{x}^{*}, \boldsymbol{k}_{\perp}) \Phi'(\tilde{x}^{*}, \boldsymbol{k}'_{\perp}) \\ \times \frac{(\boldsymbol{k}_{\perp} \cdot \boldsymbol{k}'_{\perp} + \mathcal{A}^{*2})}{\sqrt{\boldsymbol{k}_{\perp}^{2} + \mathcal{A}^{*2}} \sqrt{\boldsymbol{k}'_{\perp}^{2} + \mathcal{A}^{*2}}}.$$
 (59)

The final expressions for the EMFFs of the heavy-light mesons in the medium, considering the vector potential, are similar to those for the quark distributions in the nuclear medium with a vector potential as derived in Ref. [71]. In the meson rest frame, the total momentum is $P^{*+} = \sqrt{M^{*2} + P^2} + P^{*3} = M^*$. In our LFQM, the meson mass is replaced by the invariant mass M_0^* , to be consistent with the BT construction. However, if we take the absolute values and their average values of the meson and antimeson, the contribution of the vector potential will be canceled out, leaving only the modifications from the scalar potential [54]. (For example, taking the average (of absolute) values of the K^+ and K^- EMFFs.)

IV. NUMERICAL RESULTS

This section presents our numerical results for the inmedium EMFFs of the light and heavy-light pseudoscalar mesons in SNM. Before discussing the results, we first explain the model parameters used in the LFQM and QMC models.

A. Model parameters

The LFQM parameters used in this study are given in Table I. They show good agreement with the meson masses and decay constants in free space, as calculated by Choi and Ji [26]. With these parameters, we explore the EMFFs of the light and heavy-light mesons in SNM, using the QMC model inputs for the in-medium light quark properties. The same parameters were also used in our previous work on the in-medium meson decay constants and DAs [54].

TABLE I. The quark masses $m_{q(Q)}$ and scale parameters β used in the present work, obtained with linear confining potential adopted from Ref. [26]. (All values are in GeV.)

m_q	m_s	m_c	m_b	$\beta_{q\bar{q}}$	$\beta_{q\bar{s}}$	$\beta_{q\bar{c}}$	$\beta_{q\bar{b}}$
0.22	0.45	1.80	5.20	0.3659	0.3886	0.4679	0.5266

TABLE II. Bag parameters used in this study, fitted to match the nucleon mass and radius in free space.

$m_q \; [{\rm MeV}]$	$B^{1/4}$ [MeV]	Z_N	x_q	$S_N(\sigma=0)$
220	148	4.327	2.368	0.609

TABLE III. Coupling constants and the incompressibility K obtained in the QMC model. (m_N^* value is at $\rho_0 = 0.15 \text{ fm}^{-3}$.)

$m_q \; [{ m MeV}]$	$g_{N\sigma}^2/4\pi$	$g_{N\omega}^2/4\pi$	m_N^* [MeV]	$K \; [MeV]$
220	6.40	7.57	699	321

We now describe the standard QMC model parameters based on the MIT bag model [64]. The quark-meson coupling constants are determined by fitting the empirical saturation properties of SNM. In the QMC model, the bag parameters (Z_N and B) are set by the free nucleon mass $m_N = 939$ MeV and the bag radius $R_N = 0.8$ fm, along with the mass stability condition. These parameters are shown in Table II, using the light quark mass of



FIG. 1. Density dependence of total energy per nucleon minus m_N , $E_{\text{tot}}/A - m_N$ (upper panel), and effective nucleon mass m_N^* (lower panel).



FIG. 2. Density dependence of the scalar (blue dashed line) and vector (green dashed line) mean-field potentials $(-V_{q\sigma}, V_{q\omega})$ and the light-quark effective mass m_q^* (red solid line).

 $m_q = 220$ MeV.

Next, we present the negative of the binding energy per nucleon, $E_{\rm tot}/A - m_N$, for $m_q = 220$ MeV. The nucleonscalar and nucleon-vector coupling constants, g_{σ}^N and g_{ω}^N , are determined by fitting the negative of the binding energy -15.7 MeV at saturation density $\rho_0 = 0.15$ fm⁻³ $(k_F = 1.305 \text{ fm}^{-1})$, as shown in Table III. The density dependence of $E_{\rm tot}/A - m_N$ is shown in the upper panel of Fig. 1.

Figure 1 shows the total energy per nucleon minus m_N , $E_{\rm tot}/A - m_N$ (upper panel), and the effective nucleon mass (lower panel) as a function of the nuclear density ratio ρ/ρ_0 for a light quark mass in free space of $m_q = 220$ MeV. The calculated value of the incompressibility coefficient K = 321 MeV is near the empirical range of K = 200 - 300 MeV [77], though slightly higher.

The mean-field potentials for the light quarks, which act on the light quarks in mesons, are shown in Fig. 2. Using these mean-field potentials and effective (anti)quark masses, we study the light and heavy-light meson properties in SNM. The in-medium decay constants and DAs are discussed in our previous work [54]. In this study, we focus on the in-medium modifications of the light and heavy-light meson EMFFs.

B. In-medium pion EMFFs

In Fig. 3, we present the results of the pion EMFF for a few different nuclear densities, comparing with experimental data in free space [72–74]. The upper and lower panels in Fig. 3 respectively illustrate $F_{\pi}(Q^2)$ and $Q^2F_{\pi}(Q^2)$. It is worth noting that we display only the positively charged pion (π^+) results. In free space, the results for the pion EMFF in the low- Q^2 region exhibit good agreement with the existing experimental data [72– 74], which indicates the reliability of the model to apply to the nuclear medium.

When examining the pion EMFF in SNM, only the scalar potential influences the in-medium modifications, as the light quark and antiquark vector potentials cancel out. As the nuclear density increases, the fall-off of the pion EMFF becomes more pronounced. This is consistent with the expected behavior of the increased interactions with the nuclear medium, leading to the larger spatial charge distributions in the pion. This aspect will be further explored in Sec. IV E, where we delve into the density dependence of the charge radius.

In this study, we have limited our study of the inmedium EMFFs to densities up to $\rho = \rho_0$, where ρ_0 is the normal nuclear matter density ($\rho_0 = 0.15 \text{ fm}^{-3}$), since at higher densities, the pion decay constant may become negative, as already discussed in Ref. [54]. Thus, we regard the limitation of the present approach for the higher nuclear matter densities. The results of the pion EMFFs at higher densities up to $3\rho_0$ in the LFQM associated with the QMC model with the help of the BSA, we refer the interested readers to Ref. [48].



FIG. 3. In-medium EMFFs of the pion (upper panel) and $Q^2 F_{\pi}(Q^2)$ (lower panel) for a few nuclear densities compared with available experimental data of the pion EMFFs in free space [72–74]. The fall-off of the pion EMFFs becomes more pronounced at higher density.



FIG. 4. In-medium EMFFs of the charged kaon (upper panel) and $Q^2 F_{K^{\pm}}(Q^2)$ (lower panel) with a few densities compared with available experimental data of EMFFs in the free space [75, 76].

A noteworthy comparison can be drawn with the results obtained using the LFQM associated with the QMC model with the help of the BSA. Our findings indicate that the fall-off of the EMFFs at $\rho = \rho_0$ is slower compared to the predictions from the LFQM combined with the QMC model with the help of the BSA [47]. This difference highlights the sensitivity of the pion's EMFFs to the treatment of the medium effects, and it suggests that the present approach captures different aspects of the in-medium modifications.

The upper panel of Fig. 3 clearly shows that the difference $|F_{\pi}^* - F_{\pi}|$ reaches its maximum in the low Q^2 region, around 0.5–1.0 GeV², while at higher Q^2 , the differences become less pronounced. The results are reflected in the lower panel of Fig. 3, where $Q^2 F_{\pi}(Q^2)$ converges at large Q^2 , indicating that the impact of medium effects diminishes at higher momentum transfers (asymptotic QCD region).



FIG. 5. In-medium total EMFFs (black), along with the up quark (blue) and strange quark (red) form factors of the charged kaon multiplying by the corresponding quark charges e_q as a function of Q^2 for a few densities [upper panel]. $Q^2 F_{K\pm}$ along with its quark form factors are shown with various densities [lower panel].

C. In-medium kaon EMFFs

For the EMFFs of kaon, in general, we have nonvanishing Q^2 dependence of the charged and neutral kaon form factors. Due to different quark contents, up/down, and strange quarks, the vector potential gives different effects for $K^+(u\bar{s})$ and $K^-(s\bar{u})$ as observed in our previous study of in-medium decay constants [54]. However, here we take the absolute values of the form factors and their average values which will cancel the contribution of the vector potential, leaving only the medium modifications from the scalar potential to play the role in the form factors. The reason for this treatment is that one can get a unified picture of the in-medium modifications focusing on the internal structure change or the important dynamics, and can avoid the vector-potential based "shift" of EMFFs, such as the energy (four-momentum) shift.



FIG. 6. In-medium EMFFs of the neutral kaon (upper panel) and $Q^2 F_{K^0}(Q^2)$ (lower panel) for a few densities.

1. Charged kaon

Our results for the K^{\pm} EMFFs in comparison with the existing data [75, 76] are depicted in Fig. 4. The upper panel of Fig. 4 indicates that our kaon EMFFs are in good agreement with the data [75, 76], while the in-medium kaon EMFFs decrease with increasing nuclear density, which is consistent with other theoretical predictions obtained in Ref. [28]. For a clear illustration of the EMFF behaviors in the nuclear medium, we also show the results for $Q^2 F_{K^{\pm}}(Q^2)$ in the lower panel of Fig. 4.

In Fig. 5, we also show the total kaon EMFFs and their quark sector form factors as a function of Q^2 for a few different nuclear densities. In the upper panel of Fig. 5, we observe that the up quark form factor $e_u F_M^u(Q^2)$, (blue line) decreases with increasing nuclear density and Q^2 , while the strange quark form factor $e_s F_M^s(Q^2)$ (red line) slightly increases with density but remains nearly unaffected by the nuclear medium. This is because the strange quark does not directly interact with the nuclear medium (meson mean fields) in the kaon. As complementary, we also show our results for the $Q^2 F_{K^{\pm}}(Q^2)$ as a function of the nuclear density in the lower panel



FIG. 7. In-medium total EMFFs (black), along with down quark (blue) and strange quark (red) form factors of the neutral kaon multiplying by the corresponding quark charges e_q as a function of Q^2 for a few densities [upper panel]. $Q^2 F_{K^0}$ along with its quark form factors are shown with a few densities [lower panel].

of Fig. 5, where the nuclear medium effects can be seen more clearly for the total kaon EMFFs and their quark sector form factors.

2. Neutral Kaon

Besides computing the K^{\pm} EMFFs, we also compute the K^0 EMFFs in free space and the nuclear medium as a function of the Q^2 for a few different nuclear densities as shown in Fig. 6. The upper panel of Fig. 6 shows that the total K^0 EMFFs increase as the density increases, which is different from what we found in the K^{\pm} EMFFs. A similar indication is found in the lower panel of Fig. 6. It shows that the increasing rate is more pronounced at the higher nuclear density. Also, our results on the K^0 EMFFs are consistent with other model predictions obtained as in Ref. [21].

Moreover, we also observe the contributions of each quark sector form factor to the total K^0 EMFF as illus-



FIG. 8. In-medium EMFFs of the charged and neutral D and B mesons with a few densities along with its quark sector form factors of the light quark (blue) and heavy quark (red). In free space, the D meson EMFF is consistent with the lattice QCD simulations [39, 40]. In the nuclear medium (SNM), we show that the heavy quark contribution $e_Q F_M^Q(Q^2)$ is almost unchanged. The main nuclear modifications originate from the light quark $e_q F_M^q(Q^2)$.

trated in Fig. 7. In the upper panel of Fig. 7, we show that the form factors of both the down quark $e_d F_M^d(Q^2)$ (blue line) and strange quark $e_s F_M^s(Q^2)$ (red line) increase as the density increases. However, it is evident that the light quark sector form factor contributes significantly to the K^0 EMFF, particularly in the lower Q^2 region. The clear contributions of each quark form factor for the K^0 is shown in the lower panel of Fig. 7.

D. In-medium *D* and *B* mesons EMFFs

Figure 8 presents the EMFFs of the D and B pseudoscalar mesons, their average values of the absolute form factors, the same as for the kaon case, along with their quark sector form factors. In free space, the model predictions for the D meson are consistent with lattice QCD simulations [39, 40]. In a nuclear medium, we find that the contributions from the heavy quark sector form factors, $e_Q F_M^Q(Q^2)$, remain almost unchanged, while the primary nuclear modifications arise from the light quark sector form factors, $e_q F_M^Q(Q^2)$. The figure also shows that

the fall-off of the in-medium B^+ meson EMFFs is faster than that of the D^+ , as the light quark appears as an antiquark in the D^+ , suppressing its contribution. Conversely, the increase in the in-medium D^0 meson EMFFs is faster than that of the B^0 mesons for a similar reason. Furthermore, in the high- Q^2 region, the form factor is dominated by the heavy quark contribution $e_Q F^Q_M(Q^2)$, resulting in negligible medium modifications.

E. In-medium charge radius

The charge radii of the charged and neutral pseudoscalar mesons are presented in the upper and lower panels of Fig. 9, respectively. For the positively charged mesons, the radius has a positive sign, while for the negatively charged and neutral mesons, it carries a negative sign. In this analysis, we focus on the absolute values of the charge radii to discuss their general feature.

The meson charge radii in free space show their consistency with experimental data [72, 75] and lattice QCD data [39] as shown in Table IV. We observe that the ab-





FIG. 9. Density dependence of the charge radii for mesons with different quark flavor contents. The upper panel shows the charged mesons, while the lower panel for the neutral mesons. The absolute value of the charge radius increases with increasing the nuclear density.

solute values of the in-medium charge radius increase with increasing nuclear density, which aligns with previous studies that employed different theoretical approaches [22, 23, 47, 48]. However, it should be kept in mind that there exists a recent theoretical prediction showing the pion charge radius might start to decrease at very high nuclear densities, as indicated in Ref. [48], which is rather different from what is found in a very recent study of Ref. [21], although further possible experimental verification may be required to confirm this behavior.

Figure 9 also reveals that the in-medium charge radius increases at different rates for different mesons. The pion's charge radius grows the fastest among the charged mesons, while the D meson exhibits the slowest increase. In contrast, for the neutral mesons, the neutral pion's radius remains zero due to the cancellation between quark and antiquark contributions with the same quark and antiquark flavor species, $u\bar{u}$ and $d\bar{d}$. However, the charge radius of the neutral D meson increases the fastest among the neutral mesons.

TABLE IV. Absolute value of charge radius $|\langle r^2 \rangle|$ of charged and neutral mesons in a nuclear medium in a unit of fm².

ρ/ ho_0	π^{\pm}	K^{\pm}	D^{\pm}	B^{\pm}
0.00	0.428	0.360	0.184	0.354
0.25	0.505	0.415	0.211	0.407
0.50	0.602	0.485	0.245	0.474
0.75	0.728	0.576	0.288	0.557
1.00	0.897	0.697	0.346	0.666
Exp. [72, 75]	0.427(10)	0.34(5)		
Lat. [39, 42]	0.458(15)	0.380(12)	0.152(26)	
ρ/ ho_0	K^0	D^0	B^0	
0.00	0.084	0.315	0.175	
0.25	0.113	0.370	0.202	
0.50	0.149	0.439	0.235	
0.75	0.194	0.526	0.277	
1.00	0.255	0.641	0.331	
Lat. [42]	0.055(10)		•••	

Interestingly, the charged kaon and B meson radii increase nearly identically, despite their different quark compositions. This similarity can be attributed to the quark contents, as the $B^+(u\bar{b})$ and $K^+(u\bar{s})$ mesons share a similar behavior, while the $D^+(c\bar{d})$ meson shows a smaller increase, likely because the light quark appears as the antiquark in the D^+ meson. In addition, the participating quark absolute charges are 2/3 and 1/3 for the quark flavors u and d, respectively

V. SUMMARY

To summarize, in this study we explored the spacelike electromagnetic form factors (EMFFs) of the light and heavy-light pseudoscalar mesons immersed in the symmetric nuclear matter (SNM). To achieve this, we developed a hybrid model integrating a light-front quark model (LFQM) that consistently characterizes meson structure in free space and a nuclear medium. Here, we applied the quark-meson coupling (QMC) model to account for the nuclear medium's influence on (light) quarks. The properties of the quarks in the medium are modified by self-consistent Lorentz scalar and Lorentz vector mean fields induced by the surrounding nucleons.

We find that the fall-off (increase) of the in-medium EMFFs of charged (neutral) mesons is faster compared to that in free space. Consequently, the absolute value of the charge radius of the mesons increases with increasing nuclear density. The rate of this increase depends on the meson's quark contents, where the pion charge radius growing is the fastest, while that of the charged D meson is the slowest. The charge radii of the kaon and B mesons grow at nearly the same rate. In contrast, for the neutral mesons, the behavior is reversed, where the neutral D meson radius increases more rapidly.

The increase of the meson charge radius in SNM can be attributed to the nuclear modifications in the meson's internal structure and its interactions with the surrounding nuclear environment. As nuclear matter density increases, the mesons interact more strongly with the nuclear medium, leading to a reduced effective quark mass of the meson's quark-antiquark pair. This reduction causes a redistribution of the quark wave functions, increasing the charge radius or the quarks inside mesons immersed in a (higher) density nuclear medium influenced by (extra) stronger interactions than those in free space.

Further refinement of the models, such as using more realistic light-front wave functions (LFWFs) and more sophisticated quark models of nuclear matter, and the development of a self-consistent model for calculations in both free space and the nuclear medium, will be important for future studies. Additionally, extending the calculation to analyze other transition form factors and partonic observables such as parton distribution functions (PDFs), generalized parton distributions (GPDs), and transverse momentum distributions (TMDs) of the heavy-light mesons, will provide deeper insight into the in-medium modifications of the meson structure. Additionally, establishing connections between the theoretical results with experimental data on the medium modifications will be an important direction for future work.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The authors would like to express their gratitude for the generous support received during their visit to the OMEG Institute at Soongsil University and the valuable discussions held during the 70th OMEG-SSANP Workshop 2023. A.J.A. gratefully acknowledges the support received from the Special Postdoctoral Researcher (SPDR) Program at RIKEN. The work of P.T.P.H. was supported by the National Research Foundation of Korea (NRF) grants funded by the Korean government (MSIT) Nos. 2018R1A5A1025563, 2022R1A2C1003964, and 2022K2A9A1A0609176, and by the PUTI Q1 Research Grant from the University of Indonesia (UI) under contract No. NKB-442/UN2.RST/HKP.05.00/2024. The work of K.T. was supported by Conselho Nacional de Desenvolvimento Científico e Tecnológico (CNPq, Brazil), Processes No. 313063/2018-4 and No. 426150/2018-0, and FAPESP Process No. 2019/00763-0 and No. 2023/07313-6, and his work was also part of the projects, Instituto Nacional de Ciência e Tecnologia - Nuclear Physics and Applications (INCT-FNA), Brazil, Process No. 464898/2014-5.

- R. S. Hayano and T. Hatsuda, Hadron properties in the nuclear medium, Rev. Mod. Phys. 82, 2949 (2010).
- [2] A. Hosaka, T. Hyodo, K. Sudoh, Y. Yamaguchi, and S. Yasui, Heavy Hadrons in Nuclear Matter, Prog. Part. Nucl. Phys. 96, 88 (2017).
- [3] S. Leupold, V. Metag, and U. Mosel, Hadrons in strongly interacting matter, Int. J. Mod. Phys. E 19, 147 (2010).
- [4] M. Post, S. Leupold, and U. Mosel, Hadronic spectral functions in nuclear matter, Nucl. Phys. A 741, 81 (2004).
- [5] V. Metag, M. Nanova, and E. Y. Paryev, Meson-nucleus potentials and the search for meson-nucleus bound states, Prog. Part. Nucl. Phys. 97, 199 (2017).
- [6] L. Tolos and L. Fabbietti, Strangeness in Nuclei and Neutron Stars, Prog. Part. Nucl. Phys. 112, 103770 (2020).
- [7] J. Aubert *et al.* (European Muon), The ratio of the nucleon structure functions F_2^N for iron and deuterium, Phys. Lett. B **123**, 275 (1983).
- [8] P. A. M. Guichon, J. R. Stone, and A. W. Thomas, Quark–Meson-Coupling (QMC) model for finite nuclei, nuclear matter and beyond, Prog. Part. Nucl. Phys. 100, 262 (2018).
- [9] A. Li, Z. Y. Zhu, E. P. Zhou, J. M. Dong, J. N. Hu, and C. J. Xia, Neutron star equation of state: Quark meanfield (QMF) modeling and applications, JHEAp 28, 19 (2020).
- [10] W. Bentz and A. W. Thomas, The Stability of nuclear matter in the Nambu-Jona-Lasinio model, Nucl. Phys. A 696, 138 (2001).
- [11] H. Mineo, W. Bentz, N. Ishii, A. W. Thomas, and K. Yazaki, Quark distributions in nuclear matter and the

EMC effect, Nucl. Phys. A 735, 482 (2004).

- [12] C. Fuchs, H. Lenske, and H. H. Wolter, Density dependent hadron field theory, Phys. Rev. C 52, 3043 (1995).
- [13] F. Fornetti, E. Pace, M. Rinaldi, G. Salmè, S. Scopetta, and M. Viviani, The EMC effect for few-nucleon bound systems in light-front Hamiltonian dynamics, Phys. Lett. B 851, 138587 (2024).
- [14] A. Accardi *et al.*, Electron Ion Collider: The Next QCD Frontier: Understanding the glue that binds us all, Eur. Phys. J. A **52**, 268 (2016).
- [15] V. Montesinos, N. Ikeno, E. Oset, M. Albaladejo, J. Nieves, and L. Tolos, On the determination of the *D* meson width in the nuclear medium with the transparency ratio (2024) arXiv:2407.19295 [nucl-th].
- [16] R. Muto *et al.* (KEK-PS-E325), Evidence for in-medium modification of the phi meson at normal nuclear density, Phys. Rev. Lett. **98**, 042501 (2007).
- [17] S. Strauch *et al.* (Jefferson Lab E93-049), Polarization transfer in the ${}^{4}\text{He}(\vec{e}, e'\vec{p}){}^{3}\text{H}$ reaction up to $Q^{2} = 2.6$ (GeV/c)², Phys. Rev. Lett. **91**, 052301 (2003).
- [18] K. Suzuki *et al.*, Precision spectroscopy of pionic 1s states of Sn nuclei and evidence for partial restoration of chiral symmetry in the nuclear medium, Phys. Rev. Lett. **92**, 072302 (2004).
- [19] F. Sgaramella *et al.*, The SIDDHARTA-2 experiment for high precision kaonic atoms X-ray spectroscopy at DAΦNE, Nuovo Cim. C 47, 285 (2024).
- [20] K. Itahashi *et al.*, Chiral symmetry restoration in nuclear medium observed in pionic atoms, Nuovo Cim. C 47, 229 (2024).

- [21] G. Gifari, P. T. P. Hutauruk, and T. Mart, Nuclear medium meson structures from the Schwinger propertime Nambu–Jona-Lasinio model, Phys. Rev. D 110, 014043 (2024).
- [22] P. T. P. Hutauruk, Y. Oh, and K. Tsushima, Electroweak properties of pions in a nuclear medium, Phys. Rev. C 99, 015202 (2019).
- [23] P. T. P. Hutauruk and K. Tsushima, Electroweak properties of kaons in a nuclear medium (2019) arXiv:1910.08133 [nucl-th].
- [24] D. Jido, T. Hatsuda, and T. Kunihiro, In-medium Pion and Partial Restoration of Chiral Symmetry, Phys. Lett. B 670, 109 (2008).
- [25] J. Bijnens and P. Talavera, Pion and kaon electromagnetic form-factors, JHEP 03, 046.
- [26] H.-M. Choi and C.-R. Ji, Mixing angles and electromagnetic properties of ground state pseudoscalar and vector meson nonets in the light-cone quark model, Phys. Rev. D 59, 074015 (1999).
- [27] A. J. Arifi, L. Happ, S. Ohno, and M. Oka, Structure of heavy mesons in the light-front quark model, Phys. Rev. D 110, 014020 (2024).
- [28] R. M. Moita, J. P. B. C. de Melo, K. Tsushima, and T. Frederico, Exploring the flavor content of light and heavy-light pseudoscalars, Phys. Rev. D 104, 096020 (2021).
- [29] P. T. P. Hutauruk, I. C. Cloet, and A. W. Thomas, Flavor dependence of the pion and kaon form factors and parton distribution functions, Phys. Rev. C 94, 035201 (2016).
- [30] Z.-Q. Yao, D. Binosi, and C. D. Roberts, Onset of scaling violation in pion and kaon elastic electromagnetic form factors, Phys. Lett. B 855, 138823 (2024).
- [31] Z. Abidin and P. T. P. Hutauruk, Kaon form factor in holographic QCD, Phys. Rev. D 100, 054026 (2019).
- [32] C. Burden, C. Roberts, and M. Thomson, Electromagnetic form-factors of charged and neutral kaons, Phys. Lett. B 371, 163 (1996).
- [33] L. Chang, I. C. Cloët, C. D. Roberts, S. M. Schmidt, and P. C. Tandy, Pion electromagnetic form factor at spacelike momenta, Phys. Rev. Lett. 111, 141802 (2013).
- [34] P. Maris and C. D. Roberts, Pseudovector components of the pion, $\pi^0 \to \gamma\gamma$, and $F_{\pi}(q^2)$, Phys. Rev. C 58, 3659 (1998).
- [35] V. Braguta, W. Lucha, and D. Melikhov, Pion formfactor at spacelike momentum transfers from localduality QCD sum rule, Phys. Lett. B 661, 354 (2008).
- [36] P. Maris and P. C. Tandy, The π , K^+ , and K^0 electromagnetic form-factors, Phys. Rev. C **62**, 055204 (2000).
- [37] A. J. Chambers *et al.* (QCDSF, UKQCD, CSSM), Electromagnetic form factors at large momenta from lattice QCD, Phys. Rev. D 96, 114509 (2017).
- [38] G. Wang, J. Liang, T. Draper, K.-F. Liu, and Y.-B. Yang (chiQCD), Lattice Calculation of Pion Form Factor with Overlap Fermions, Phys. Rev. D 104, 074502 (2021).
- [39] K. U. Can, G. Erkol, M. Oka, A. Ozpineci, and T. T. Takahashi, Vector and axial-vector couplings of D and D^{*} mesons in 2+1 flavor Lattice QCD, Phys. Lett. B **719**, 103 (2013).
- [40] N. Li and Y.-J. Wu, Lattice study of D and D_s meson form factors with twisted boundary conditions, Eur. Phys. J. A 53, 56 (2017).
- [41] J. Koponen, F. Bursa, C. T. H. Davies, R. J. Dowdall, and G. P. Lepage, Size of the pion from full lattice QCD with physical u, d, s and c quarks, Phys. Rev. D 93,

054503 (2016).

- [42] S. Aoki, G. Cossu, X. Feng, S. Hashimoto, T. Kaneko, J. Noaki, and T. Onogi (JLQCD), Light meson electromagnetic form factors from three-flavor lattice QCD with exact chiral symmetry, Phys. Rev. D 93, 034504 (2016).
- [43] C. Alexandrou, S. Bacchio, I. Cloet, M. Constantinou, J. Delmar, K. Hadjiyiannakou, G. Koutsou, C. Lauer, and A. Vaquero (ETM), Scalar, vector, and tensor form factors for the pion and kaon from lattice QCD, Phys. Rev. D 105, 054502 (2022).
- [44] S. Muroya, A. Nakamura, C. Nonaka, and T. Takaishi, Lattice QCD at finite density: An Introductory review, Prog. Theor. Phys. **110**, 615 (2003).
- [45] P. T. P. Hutauruk, J. J. Cobos-Martínez, Y. Oh, and K. Tsushima, Valence-quark distributions of pions and kaons in a nuclear medium, Phys. Rev. D 100, 094011 (2019).
- [46] P. T. P. Hutauruk and S.-i. Nam, Gluon and valence quark distributions for the pion and kaon in nuclear matter, Phys. Rev. D 105, 034021 (2022).
- [47] J. P. B. C. de Melo, K. Tsushima, B. El-Bennich, E. Rojas, and T. Frederico, Pion structure in the nuclear medium, Phys. Rev. C 90, 035201 (2014).
- [48] G. H. S. O. Yabusaki, J. P. B. C. de Melo, K. Tsushima, T. Frederico, and W. de Paula, Kaon structure in the nuclear medium within the light front approach, Phys. Rev. D 109, 054024 (2024).
- [49] N. Er and K. Azizi, Spectroscopic parameters and electromagnetic form factor of kaon in vacuum and a dense medium, Eur. Phys. J. C 82, 397 (2022).
- [50] G. Ramalho, K. Tsushima, and A. W. Thomas, Octet Baryon Electromagnetic form Factors in Nuclear Medium, J. Phys. G 40, 015102 (2013).
- [51] G. Ramalho, J. P. B. C. De Melo, and K. Tsushima, Octet baryon electromagnetic form factor double ratios $(G_E^*/G_M^*)/(G_E/G_M)$ in a nuclear medium, Phys. Rev. D **100**, 014030 (2019).
- [52] P. Guichon, K. Saito, E. Rodionov, and A. Thomas, The role of nucleon structure in finite nuclei, Nucl. Phys. A 601, 349 (1996).
- [53] W. R. B. de Aráujo, J. P. B. C. de Melo, and K. Tsushima, Study of the in-medium nucleon electromagnetic form factors using a light-front nucleon wave function combined with the quark-meson coupling model, Nucl. Phys. A **970**, 325 (2018).
- [54] A. J. Arifi, P. T. P. Hutauruk, and K. Tsushima, Inmedium properties of the light and heavy-light mesons in a light-front quark model, Phys. Rev. D 107, 114010 (2023).
- [55] S. Puhan, N. Kaur, A. Kumar, S. Dutt, and H. Dahiya, Pion valence quark distributions in asymmetric nuclear matter at finite temperature, Phys. Rev. D 110, 054042 (2024).
- [56] J. P. B. C. de Melo, K. Tsushima, and I. Ahmed, Inmedium pion valence distributions in a light-front model, Phys. Lett. B 766, 125 (2017).
- [57] B. Bakamjian and L. H. Thomas, Relativistic particle dynamics. 2, Phys. Rev. 92, 1300 (1953).
- [58] B. D. Keister and W. N. Polyzou, Relativistic Hamiltonian dynamics in nuclear and particle physics, Adv. Nucl. Phys. 20, 225 (1991).
- [59] M. Ridwan, A. J. Arifi, and T. Mart, Self-consistent M1radiative transitions of excited B_c and heavy quarkonia with different polarizations in the light-front quark model

(2024) arXiv:2409.13172 [hep-ph].

- [60] A. J. Arifi, H.-M. Choi, and C.-R. Ji, Pseudoscalar meson decay constants and distribution amplitudes up to the twist-4 in the light-front quark model, Phys. Rev. D 108, 013006 (2023).
- [61] A. J. Arifi, H.-M. Choi, C.-R. Ji, and Y. Oh, Independence of current components, polarization vectors, and reference frames in the light-front quark model analysis of meson decay constants, Phys. Rev. D 107, 053003 (2023).
- [62] H.-M. Choi and C.-R. Ji, Consistency of the pion form factor and unpolarized transverse momentum dependent parton distributions beyond leading twist in the lightfront quark model, Phys. Rev. D 110, 014006 (2024).
- [63] H. Melosh, Quarks: Currents and constituents, Phys. Rev. D 9, 1095 (1974).
- [64] K. Saito, K. Tsushima, and A. Thomas, Nucleon and hadron structure changes in the nuclear medium and impact on observables, Prog. Part. Nucl. Phys. 58, 1 (2007).
- [65] P. Guichon, Possible quark mechanism for the saturation of nuclear matter, Phys. Lett. B 200, 235 (1988).
- [66] K. Saito, K. Tsushima, and A. Thomas, Self-consistent description of finite nuclei based on a relativistic quark model, Nucl. Phys. A 609, 339 (1996).
- [67] G. Krein, A. W. Thomas, and K. Tsushima, Nuclearbound quarkonia and heavy-flavor hadrons, Prog. Part. Nucl. Phys. 100, 161 (2018).

- [68] B. Serot and J. Walecka, Recent progress in quantum hadrodynamics, Int. J. Mod. Phys. E 6, 515 (1997).
- [69] A. J. Arifi, H.-M. Choi, C.-R. Ji, and Y. Oh, Mixing effects on 1S and 2S state heavy mesons in the light-front quark model, Phys. Rev. D 106, 014009 (2022).
- [70] K. Tsushima, K. Saito, A. Thomas, and S. Wright, Inmedium kaon and antikaon properties in the quark meson coupling model, Phys. Lett. B 429, 239 (1998), [erratum: Phys. Lett. B 436, 453 (1998)].
- [71] F. Steffens, A. Thomas, and K. Tsushima, Quark distributions in a medium, Phys. Lett. B 595, 237 (2004).
- [72] S. R. Amendolia *et al.*, A measurement of the pion charge radius, Phys. Lett. B 146, 116 (1984).
- [73] J. Volmer *et al.* (Jefferson Lab F_{π}), Measurement of the charged pion electromagnetic form-factor, Phys. Rev. Lett. **86**, 1713 (2001).
- [74] G. M. Huber *et al.* (Jefferson Lab), Charged pion formfactor between $Q^2 = 0.60 \text{ GeV}^2$ and 2.45 GeV². II. Determination of, and results for, the pion form-factor, Phys. Rev. C 78, 045203 (2008).
- [75] S. R. Amendolia *et al.*, A measurement of the kaon charge radius, Phys. Lett. B **178**, 435 (1986).
- [76] E. B. Dally *et al.*, Direct measurement of the negative kaon form-factor, Phys. Rev. Lett. 45, 232 (1980).
- [77] J. R. Stone, N. J. Stone, and S. A. Moszkowski, Incompressibility in finite nuclei and nuclear matter, Phys. Rev. C 89, 044316 (2014).