

MPAX: Mathematical Programming in JAX

Haihao Lu*

Zedong Peng[†]

Jinwen Yang[‡]

December, 2024

Abstract

We introduce MPAX (Mathematical Programming in JAX), a versatile and efficient toolbox for integrating mathematical programming into machine learning workflows. MPAX implemented first-order methods in JAX, providing native support for hardware accelerations along with features like batch solving, auto-differentiation, and device parallelism. Currently in beta version, MPAX supports linear programming and will be extended to solve more general mathematical programming problems and specialized modules for common machine learning tasks. The solver is available at <https://github.com/MIT-Lu-Lab/MPAX>.

1 Introduction

Mathematical programming has long served as foundation across numerous fields, such as operations research, economics, and engineering, providing powerful robust tools for optimization and decision-making. Recently, these techniques have also found significant applications in machine learning. Notable examples include data-driven decision making [9, 16], learning with physical constraints [8, 10], learning to rank [7], end-to-end planning and control [2], etc. The efficiency and effectiveness of these machine learning approaches depend largely on the rapid processing of large-scale datasets, facilitated by parallel hardware accelerators such as graphics processing units (GPUs).

In contrast, traditional approaches to mathematical programming are not well suited for machine learning tasks. Broadly, there are two major paradigms for integrating mathematical programming with machine learning. The first approach involves migrating data from the GPU to the CPU, using commercial solvers like Gurobi on the CPU to solve the optimization problem, and then transferring the solution back to the GPU [9, 16, 19]. While these solvers are robust and reliable, the frequent transfer of large-scale data between the CPU and GPU introduces significant overhead, often negating the efficiency gains achieved by using mature solvers. To address this limitation, recent efforts have focused on implementing optimization solvers natively on hardware accelerators. Examples include qpth [3] and qpax [20] that use interior-point methods (IPMs), and osqp [18, 17], which employs the alternating direction method of multipliers (ADMM). While these methods reduce the need for multiple rounds of CPU-GPU communication, their primary bottleneck is the linear system solving step, which tends to be inefficient for large-scale problems and is not fully suitable for parallel accelerators.

To address the aforementioned limitations, we introduce MPAX (Mathematical Programming in JAX), a versatile and efficient toolbox for integrating mathematical programming into machine learning workflows. MPAX is built on two key pillars: recent advancements in first-order methods for solving classical mathematical programming problems, and the rapid progress of modern programming languages on contemporary computational platforms. From an algorithmic perspective, MPAX employs matrix-free first-order methods (FOMs) whose primary computational bottleneck is merely matrix-vector multiplication. Although

*MIT, Sloan School of Management (haihao@mit.edu).

[†]MIT, Sloan School of Management (zdpeng@mit.edu).

[‡]University of Chicago, Department of Statistics (jinweny@uchicago.edu).

FOMs have traditionally been considered less performant than IPM-based solvers, recent works such as cuPDLP [11, 14] and PDQP [12] demonstrate that FOMs can achieve competitive numerical performance on GPUs, making them potentially suitable for general-purpose solvers. This efficiency is largely driven by the massive parallelization capabilities of GPUs for matrix-vector multiplications. Additionally, MPAX is implemented in JAX, offering a unified framework with several valuable features, including native support for CPUs, GPUs, and TPUs, batched solving of multiple instances, auto-differentiation, and efficient device parallelism. The use of JAX simplifies downstream applications and ensures seamless integration into machine learning pipelines, enhancing both flexibility and performance.

MPAX is currently in its beta version and remains under active development. At present, MPAX supports solving linear programming (LP) problems, with plans to extend support to additional classes of mathematical programming in the near future. Furthermore, MPAX will soon offer specialized modules tailored for concrete machine learning tasks, enhancing its applicability and ease of use within machine learning workflows.

1.1 Related works

MPAX aligns with the growing field of differentiable programming, which aims to integrate mathematical programming with machine learning models by enabling gradients to flow through optimization layers. Several notable works have paved the way for this approach:

- **OptNet [3]**. OptNet is a network architecture that integrates quadratic programs as individual layers in end-to-end trainable deep networks. The derivatives are calculated by implicitly differentiating Karush–Kuhn–Tucker (KKT) conditions and the quadratic programs are solved by qpth in a batch form.
- **CvxpyLayer [1]**. CvxpyLayer is package for constructing differentiable convex optimization layers in PyTorch, Tensorflow and JAX using CVXPY. It automatically canonicalizes input CVXPY models to cone programs using disciplined parametrized programming (DDP) and affine-solver-affine (ASA). The derivatives of the cone program are then obtained by implicitly differentiating the normalized residual map using the diffeq package.
- **Theseus [15]**. Theseus is an open source library for differentiable unconstrained nonlinear least squares (DNLS) optimization built on PyTorch. It provides Gauss-Newton, Levenberg–Marquardt (with adaptive damping), and Dogleg as nonlinear solver. Four methods are supported to compute the adjoint derivatives, including unrolling, truncated differentiation, implicit differentiation, direct loss minimization.
- **JAXopt [6]**. JAXopt is a differentiable optimization package in JAX that supports unconstrained optimization, constrained optimization, quadratic programming, non-smooth optimization and stochastic optimization. It allows differentiating a roots or a fixed point of optimality conditions, such as stationarity conditions, KKT conditions, proximal gradient fixed points, and projected fixed points. This capability enables automatic implicit differentiation for a wide range of optimization algorithms.
- **PyEPO [19]**. PyEPO is a PyTorch-based end-to-end predict-then-optimize library for linear and integer programming. It provides several methods for calculating surrogate losses and gradients, including smart predict-then-optimize, differentiable black-box solver, differentiable perturbed optimizer, and perturbed Fenchel-Young loss. While it does not implement any optimization algorithm, it provide interfaces to GurobiPy, COPTPy and Pyomo for defining optimize problems and calling state-of-the-art solvers.

2 Preliminary: LP algorithm and differentiable optimization

The current beta version of MPAX¹ supports solving general linear program with the following form

$$\begin{aligned} \min_x \quad & c^\top x \\ \text{s.t.} \quad & Ax = b, \quad Gx \geq h \\ & l \leq x \leq u, \end{aligned} \tag{1}$$

where $G \in \mathbb{R}^{m_1 \times n}$, $A \in \mathbb{R}^{m_2 \times n}$, $c \in \mathbb{R}^n$, $h \in \mathbb{R}^{m_1}$, $b \in \mathbb{R}^{m_2}$, $l \in (\mathbb{R} \cup \{-\infty\})^n$, $u \in (\mathbb{R} \cup \{\infty\})^n$. MPAX solves this LP by addressing its primal-dual form:

$$\min_{x \in X} \max_{y \in Y} L(x, y) := c^\top x - y^\top Kx + q^\top y, \tag{2}$$

where $K^\top = (G^\top, A^\top)$ and $q^\top := (h^\top, b^\top)$, $X := \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : l \leq x \leq u\}$, and $Y := \{y \in \mathbb{R}^{m_1+m_2} : y_{1:m_1} \geq 0\}$.

The primal-dual algorithm implemented in MPAX for solving LPs is introduced in Section 2.1, followed by an overview of differentiable linear programming in Section 2.2.

2.1 Halpern PDHG with reflection

The Primal-Dual Hybrid Gradient (PDHG) method has recently been adopted as the foundational algorithm for new linear programming (LP) solvers, including PDLP [4] and cuPDLP [11, 14]. These works demonstrate that PDHG-based LP solvers exhibit competitive numerical performance on GPUs, even when compared to commercial solvers [11, 14]. The MPAX solver also employs PDHG as its core algorithm for LP problems.

Let $z = (x, y)$ represent the primal-dual pair, and let $z^{k+1} = \text{PDHG}(z^k)$ denote a single PDHG iteration, defined by the following update rule:

$$\begin{aligned} x^{k+1} &\leftarrow \text{proj}_X(x^k - \tau(c - K^\top y^k)) \\ y^{k+1} &\leftarrow \text{proj}_Y(y^k + \sigma(q - K(2x^{k+1} - x^k))), \end{aligned} \tag{3}$$

However, the performance of the vanilla PDHG method for solving LPs is insufficient for general-purpose solvers [4]. To address this, several algorithmic enhancements have been proposed, including an averaging and restart scheme, adaptive step sizes, primal weighting, and preconditioning [4]. The PDHG method augmented with the averaging and restart scheme is referred to as raPDHG.

More recently, a novel algorithm, Halpern PDHG with reflection, has been introduced for solving LPs [13]. The update rule for Halpern PDHG with reflection is given by:

$$z^{k+1} := \frac{k+1}{k+2}(2 \cdot \text{PDHG}(z^k) - z^k) + \frac{1}{k+2}z^0, \tag{4}$$

In this formulation, the next iterate is a weighted average of a reflected PDHG step ($2 \cdot \text{PDHG}(z^k) - z^k$) and the initial solution z^0 . The restarted version of Halpern PDHG with reflection, denoted as r²HPDHG, offers strong theoretical guarantees and achieves significant speedups over raPDHG [13]. MPAX implements both raPDHG and r²HPDHG for solving LP.

2.2 Differentiable Optimization for LP

Differentiable optimization integrates optimization layers within machine learning models by enabling the computation of gradients through the solver [3, 2]. This capability is critical for end-to-end learning tasks

¹As of December 8th, 2024

where decisions made by the math programming problem depend on model predictions. We discuss the differentiable layer in the context of LP. Note that the inherent discontinuity in LP solutions poses a significant challenge for differentiable optimization. To mitigate this, various methods have been proposed to approximate gradients effectively:

- **Smart predict-then-optimize (SPO+)** [9]. The SPO+ loss is a convex surrogate loss designed to measure the decision error introduced by a prediction. It approximates the gradient by comparing the predicted and realized cost vectors. This approach requires solving a single LP per iteration, making it computationally efficient. More formally, let $\mathcal{L}_{SPO+}(\hat{c}, c)$ represent the SPO+ loss as follows:

$$\mathcal{L}_{SPO+}(\hat{c}, c) = -\min_{x \in S} \{(2\hat{c} - c)^\top x\} + 2\hat{c}^\top x^*(c) - c^\top x^*(c), \quad (5)$$

and one sub-gradient can be calculated as

$$2x^*(c) - 2x^*(2\hat{c} - c) \in \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}_{SPO+}(\hat{c}, c)}{\partial \hat{c}}. \quad (6)$$

- **Perturbed Fenchel-Young Loss (PFYL)** [5]. PFYL loss leverages Fenchel duality to define a loss function that smooths the LP solution space through perturbations. Denote $\Omega(x^*(c))$ the Fenchel dual of $\mathbb{E}_\xi[\min_{x \in S} \{(c + \sigma\xi)^\top x\}]$. The PFYL loss is defined as:

$$\mathcal{L}_{PFYL}(\hat{c}, x^*(c)) = \hat{c}^\top x^*(c) - \mathbb{E}_\xi[\min_{x \in S} \{(c + \sigma\xi)^\top x\}] - \Omega(x^*(c)). \quad (7)$$

The gradient is estimated using Monte Carlo simulations by solving a batch of perturbed LPs. While this method provides a more robust gradient estimation, it is computationally more demanding than SPO+ loss due to the need for multiple LP solves per iteration.

$$\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}_{PFYL}(\hat{c}, x^*(c))}{\partial \hat{c}} = x^*(c) - \mathbb{E}(x^*(\hat{c} + \sigma\xi_\kappa)^\top) \approx x^*(c) - \frac{1}{K} \sum_{\kappa} x^*(\hat{c} + \sigma\xi_\kappa)^\top \quad (8)$$

3 MPAX: Math Programming in JAX

MPAX is a hardware-accelerated, batchable, and differentiable solver built entirely in JAX, designed to address classic optimization problems encountered in data science and machine learning. By leveraging JAX, MPAX inherits a comprehensive suite of advanced features, including:

- Support for various hardware accelerators such as GPUs and TPUs;
- Just-in-time (JIT) compilation for optimizing execution speed;
- Automatic differentiation to seamlessly compute gradients;
- Batchable execution for efficient handling of multiple problem instances;
- Device parallelism to scale computations across multiple accelerators.

The subsequent sections will explore these features in greater detail, accompanied by example code snippets to demonstrate the usage and capabilities of MPAX. For more details in JAX, we refer to official JAX documentation.

3.1 LP Interface

The standard form of linear programs (LPs) handled by MPAX is defined in (1). The following code snippet illustrates how to define and solve a single LP using the r²HPDHG method. The function `create_lp()` initializes the LP data structure, `r2HPDHG()` configures the solver settings, and `optimize()` solves the LP.

```

1  from mpax import create_lp, r2HPDHG
2
3  lp = create_lp(c, A, b, G, h, l, u)
4  solver = r2HPDHG(eps_abs=1e-4, eps_rel=1e-4, verbose=True)
5  result = solver.optimize(lp)

```

3.2 Batched solving

MPAX supports automatic vectorization via `jax.vmap()`, enabling efficient batch-solving of instances with identical shapes on a single accelerator, such as a GPU or TPU. This capability is particularly beneficial when solving multiple instances with the same structure concurrently, as it removes the overhead associated with sequential execution. The batch-solving approach aligns with the batched training paradigm commonly used in neural networks, where processing multiple data samples simultaneously enhances computational efficiency.

Our tests demonstrate that solving LPs in batches significantly improves performance and scales efficiently with the number of problems, especially on modern accelerators optimized for parallel computations. This approach yields substantial speedups compared to sequential execution.

This code snippet demonstrates how MPAX leverages `jax.vmap()` to solve a batch of LPs in parallel, showcasing the efficiency and scalability of the batch-solving approach.

```

1  import jax.numpy as jnp
2  from mpax import create_lp, r2HPDHG
3
4  def single_optimize(c_vector):
5      lp = create_lp(c_vector, A, b, G, h, l, u)
6      solver = r2HPDHG(eps_abs=1e-4, eps_rel=1e-4, verbose=True)
7      result = solver.optimize(lp)
8      obj = jnp.dot(c_vector, result.primal_solution)
9      return result.primal_solution, obj
10
11  batch_size = 100
12  batch_c = jnp.tile(c, (batch_size, 1))
13  batch_optimize = jax.vmap(single_optimize)
14
15  result = batch_optimize(batch_c)

```

3.3 Auto-differentiation

Automatic differentiation is a key feature of MPAX. Explicit differentiation can be easily achieved by unrolling algorithms using the `jax.grad()` function. However, in the context of linear programming (LP), the inherent discontinuity of LP solutions presents challenges for gradient computation. To address this, surrogate loss functions such as the SPO+ loss (5) and the PFYL loss (7) are used to approximate decision errors and their gradients. These surrogate losses can be seamlessly integrated into the chain rule by leveraging JAX's custom derivative rules.

These loss functions typically require a forward pass to compute optimal solutions or decision losses and a backward pass to calculate gradients. The resulting gradient allows machine learning models to learn from decision errors or their approximations.

The following code snippet demonstrates how to define the SPO+ loss using JAX's custom VJP (vector-Jacobian product) mechanism. In this example, forward pass (`spo_fwd()`) and backward pass (`spo_bwd()`) are defined for SPO+ loss. The `@jax.custom_vjp` decorator allows for defining custom forward and backward passes.

```

1  import jax
2  import jax.numpy as jnp
3
4  @jax.custom_vjp
5  def pso_fun(pred_cost, true_cost, true_sol, true_obj):
6      sol, obj = batch_optimize(2*pred_cost - true_cost)
7      loss = -obj + 2 * jnp.sum(pred_cost * true_sol, axis=1) - true_obj
8      loss = jnp.mean(loss)
9      return loss, sol
10
11 def spo_fwd(pred_cost, true_cost, true_sol, true_obj):
12     loss, sol = pso_fun(pred_cost, true_cost, true_sol, true_obj)
13     return loss, (sol, true_sol)
14
15 def spo_bwd(res, g):
16     sol, true_sol = res
17     grad = 2 * (true_sol - sol)
18     # No gradients needed for true_cost, true_sol, or true_obj
19     return grad * g, None, None, None
20
21 pso_fun.defvjp(spo_fwd, spo_bwd)

```

3.4 Device Parallelism

MPAX also supports automatic device parallelism by combining `jax.jit()` with data sharding techniques. Since GPUs/TPUs typically have less memory than CPUs, solving large-scale models often requires distributing data across multiple devices to fit within available memory.

In LP problems, the constraint matrix is typically the most memory-intensive component. The following example demonstrates how to define and solve an LP model with the constraint matrix distributed across two GPUs using data sharding.

```

1  import jax
2  from mpax import create_lp, r2HPDHG
3
4  # Data sharding
5  mesh = jax.make_mesh((2,), ('x',))
6  sharding = jax.sharding.NamedSharding(mesh, P('x',))
7
8  lp_sharded = create_lp(c, A_sharded, b, G, h, l, u)
9  solver = r2HPDHG(eps_abs=1e-4, eps_rel=1e-4, verbose=True)
10 jit_optimize = jax.jit(solver.optimize)
11 result = jit_optimize(lp_sharded)

```

The process begins by defining a mesh of devices with named axes, which organize and align the data for parallel computation. The constraint matrix is then split into smaller shards, each fitting within a

GPU’s memory. These shards are distributed across the devices in the mesh, allowing computations to run in parallel. Once the data is sharded, it is passed to a `jax.jit()`-compiled function. The JAX compiler automatically manages data partitions and inter-device communication, ensuring efficient memory usage and synchronization. This approach simplifies solving large-scale models while leveraging the parallel processing power of modern GPUs.

3.5 Miscellaneous

- **Determinism.** In JAX, floating-point computations on accelerators may produce non-deterministic results due to factors such as XLA auto-tuning, GPU/TPU atomics, and scatter operations. Additionally, `jax.jit` can introduce variability as a result of optimizations performed by the XLA compiler. To ensure deterministic results, set the following environment variable:

```
1 os.environ["XLA_FLAGS"] = "--xla_gpu_deterministic_ops=true"
```

While these differences are typically minor for each iteration, they can accumulate over time, leading to noticeable variations in convergence.

- **Precision.** MPAX uses single-precision (FP32) by default, consistent with JAX’s standard setting. If higher precision is required, double-precision (FP64) can be enabled by adding the following configuration at the beginning of the script:

```
1 jax.config.update("jax_enable_x64", True)
```

4 Discussion and Future Expansions

MPAX offers an efficient and friendly solution for integrating mathematical programming into machine learning. It leverages first-order methods on hardware accelerators to achieve robust numerical performances, and its implementation in JAX also provides native multi-device support, auto-differentiation, and batch processing, making it well-suited for modern workflows. Future expansion to more general mathematical programming, along with task-specific modules, will further enhance the versatility of MPAX.

References

- [1] Akshay Agrawal, Brandon Amos, Shane Barratt, Stephen Boyd, Steven Diamond, and J Zico Kolter, *Differentiable convex optimization layers*, Advances in neural information processing systems **32** (2019).
- [2] Brandon Amos, Ivan Jimenez, Jacob Sacks, Byron Boots, and J Zico Kolter, *Differentiable mpc for end-to-end planning and control*, Advances in neural information processing systems **31** (2018).
- [3] Brandon Amos and J Zico Kolter, *Optnet: Differentiable optimization as a layer in neural networks*, International conference on machine learning, PMLR, 2017, pp. 136–145.
- [4] David Applegate, Mateo Díaz, Oliver Hinder, Haihao Lu, Miles Lubin, Brendan O’Donoghue, and Warren Schudy, *Practical large-scale linear programming using primal-dual hybrid gradient*, Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems **34** (2021), 20243–20257.
- [5] Quentin Berthet, Mathieu Blondel, Olivier Teboul, Marco Cuturi, Jean-Philippe Vert, and Francis Bach, *Learning with differentiable perturbed optimizers*, Advances in neural information processing systems **33** (2020), 9508–9519.

- [6] Mathieu Blondel, Quentin Berthet, Marco Cuturi, Roy Frostig, Stephan Hoyer, Felipe Llinares-López, Fabian Pedregosa, and Jean-Philippe Vert, *Efficient and modular implicit differentiation*, Advances in neural information processing systems **35** (2022), 5230–5242.
- [7] Mathieu Blondel, Olivier Teboul, Quentin Berthet, and Josip Djolonga, *Fast differentiable sorting and ranking*, International Conference on Machine Learning, PMLR, 2020, pp. 950–959.
- [8] Filipe de Avila Belbute-Peres, Kevin Smith, Kelsey Allen, Josh Tenenbaum, and J Zico Kolter, *End-to-end differentiable physics for learning and control*, Advances in neural information processing systems **31** (2018).
- [9] Adam N Elmachtoub and Paul Grigas, *Smart “predict, then optimize”*, Management Science **68** (2022), no. 1, 9–26.
- [10] Zhenglin Geng, Daniel Johnson, and Ronald Fedkiw, *Coercing machine learning to output physically accurate results*, Journal of Computational Physics **406** (2020), 109099.
- [11] Haihao Lu and Jinwen Yang, *cupdlp.jl: A gpu implementation of restarted primal-dual hybrid gradient for linear programming in julia*, arXiv preprint arXiv:2311.12180 (2023).
- [12] ———, *A practical and optimal first-order method for large-scale convex quadratic programming*, arXiv preprint arXiv:2311.07710 (2023).
- [13] ———, *Restarted halpern pdhg for linear programming*, arXiv preprint arXiv:2407.16144 (2024).
- [14] Haihao Lu, Jinwen Yang, Haodong Hu, Qi Huangfu, Jinsong Liu, Tianhao Liu, Yinyu Ye, Chuwen Zhang, and Dongdong Ge, *cupdlp-c: A strengthened implementation of cupdlp for linear programming by c language*, arXiv preprint arXiv:2312.14832 (2023).
- [15] Luis Pineda, Taosha Fan, Maurizio Monge, Shobha Venkataraman, Paloma Sodhi, Ricky TQ Chen, Joseph Ortiz, Daniel DeTone, Austin Wang, Stuart Anderson, Jing Dong, Brandon Amos, and Mustafa Mukadam, *Theseus: A Library for Differentiable Nonlinear Optimization*, Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems (2022).
- [16] Utsav Sadana, Abhilash Chenreddy, Erick Delage, Alexandre Forel, Emma Frejinger, and Thibaut Vidal, *A survey of contextual optimization methods for decision-making under uncertainty*, European Journal of Operational Research (2024).
- [17] M. Schubiger, G. Banjac, and J. Lygeros, *GPU acceleration of ADMM for large-scale quadratic programming*, Journal of Parallel and Distributed Computing **144** (2020), 55–67.
- [18] B. Stellato, G. Banjac, P. Goulart, A. Bemporad, and S. Boyd, *OSQP: an operator splitting solver for quadratic programs*, Mathematical Programming Computation **12** (2020), no. 4, 637–672.
- [19] Bo Tang and Elias B Khalil, *Pyepo: A pytorch-based end-to-end predict-then-optimize library for linear and integer programming*, Mathematical Programming Computation **16** (2024), no. 3, 297–335.
- [20] Kevin Tracy and Zachary Manchester, *On the differentiability of the primal-dual interior-point method*, 2024.

A Solver Options

- General Options

Option	Default	Description
verbose	False	Whether to print solver output to stdout.
debug	False	Whether to print additional debugging information to stdout.
jit	True	Whether to enables Just-In-Time (JIT) compilation.
unroll	False	Whether to unroll iteration loops.
display_frequency	10	Frequency (in every termination check) to print solver output.

- Termination Parameters

Option	Default	Description
eps_abs	1e-4	Absolute tolerance for convergence
eps_rel	1e-4	Relative tolerance for convergence
eps_primal_infeasible	1e-8	Primal infeasibility tolerance
eps_dual_infeasible	1e-8	Dual infeasibility tolerance
iteration_limit	max_int	Maximum number of iterations to run.