

ON THE ERGODICITY OF RENORMALIZED TRANSLATION-INVARIANT NELSON-TYPE SEMIGROUPS

BENJAMIN HINRICHS AND FUMIO HIROSHIMA

ABSTRACT. We present a simple functional integration based proof that the semigroups generated by the ultraviolet-renormalized translation-invariant non- and semi-relativistic Nelson Hamiltonians are positivity improving (and hence ergodic) with respect to the Fröhlich cone for arbitrary values of the total momentum. Our argument simplifies known proofs for ergodicity and the result is new in the semi-relativistic case.

1. INTRODUCTION

A Hilbert space operator is called *positivity improving* if it maps the non-zero elements of a self-dual convex cone (of ‘positive’ elements) to its strictly positive elements, i.e., the elements having strictly positive inner product with every element of the cone. It is called *ergodic* if its n -th power is positivity improving for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Here $\mathbb{N} = \{1, 2, \dots\}$. This property has significant applications in spectral theory, since it implies that any maximal eigenvalue of the operator is non-degenerate and the corresponding eigenspace is spanned by a unique strictly positive vector, by the Perron–Frobenius–Faris theorem [Gro71, Far72]. In the case of strongly continuous selfadjoint semigroups the notions positivity improving and ergodic coincide [Sim73] and are hence often used interchangeably, as in this paper.

In the present article, we study the ergodicity of semigroups obtained as the limit of ergodic semigroups. Since strict inequalities do not carry over in limits, it is natural that concrete properties have to be utilized to prove ergodicity in this case. Our proof hereby makes use of a functional integral representation of the semigroup, explicitly given in a Feynman–Kac type formula.

The models we are interested in stem from quantum field theory. In this field of research, ergodicity arguments and hence the non-degeneracy of ground states has been exploited in many works on spectral theory, see for example [BFS98, Far72, Frö74, GJ70, Hir00, Mø105].

Explicitly, we are concerned with the three-dimensional non-relativistic and the two-dimensional semi-relativistic Nelson models from quantum field theory. They describe a spinless non- and semi-relativistic particle, respectively, linearly coupled to a bosonic quantum field. Due to the ultraviolet divergence of the quantum field, the corresponding operators can only be defined as a selfadjoint lower-semibounded operator via a renormalization procedure. This has been done in [Nel64, Can71, Amm00, GHL14, MM18, GW18, LS19] in the non-relativistic and in [Slo74, Sch19, HM24a, HM23] in the semi-relativistic case. In the absence of an external potential, the Hamiltonian decomposes with respect to the total momentum and one can study a family of Hamiltonians $H_{nr}(P)$ with $P \in \mathbb{R}^3$ and $H_{sr}(P)$ with $P \in \mathbb{R}^2$ in the non- and semi-relativistic case, respectively, which act solely on the Hilbert space of the quantum field. Both models (with and without ultraviolet regularization) are an active field of research in spectral and scattering theory. For example, the non-relativistic model has been studied in [Can71, Frö73, Frö74, Piz03, Piz05, Mø105, Mø106, DP14b, DH22, HM22, HHS24, BDG21, BDP12] and the semi-relativistic model in [Slo74, Gro73, DP14a, Dam20, HHS24].

As far as ergodicity goes, we want to study a natural selfdual convex cone in the Hilbert space of the quantum field called *Fröhlich cone*. In the non-relativistic case and in presence of an ultraviolet regularization, ergodicity of the semigroup in Nelson’s model for arbitrary total momentum P has been

proven and utilized in [Frö73, Mø105]. Further, Fröhlich conjectured in [Frö73] that the ultraviolet-renormalized Hamiltonian already known from [Nel64, Can71] at the time, also generates an ergodic semigroup. Nevertheless, rigorous proofs of this fact have only recently been given in the articles [Miy19, Miy21, Lam21] by Miyao and Lampart, using different methods of proof. Inspired by this progress, we give a simple novel proof using a functional integration method building upon the Feynman–Kac formula for the ultraviolet-renormalized model from [MM18]. As a byproduct, we also prove ergodicity of the Fröhlich polaron [Frö54] with respect to the Fröhlich cone for arbitrary total momentum along the way, cf. Section 3.2. Our method directly carries over to the semi-relativistic model (and in fact some technical aspects of our proof become significantly simpler in this case), for which the ergodicity (with respect to a different selfdual convex cone) had to the authors knowledge up to date only been proven in the case $P = 0$ [Slo74]. As Lampart points out in [Lam21], a combination of the techniques in [Sch19, Lam21] might provide an alternative approach to our result in the semi-relativistic case.

In fact, our general positivity results presented in Section 3 bear the potential to be applied to a broad class of translation-invariant models, see [Lam23] for an abstract result on renormalization of such models. Especially, in combination with a suitable Feynman–Kac formula, the authors hope that the result will contribute to proving ergodicity for the semigroup of the Bogoliubov–Fröhlich Hamiltonian renormalized in [Lam20] and in the translation-invariant case further studied in [HL24].

2. MAIN RESULTS ON THE NELSON MODEL

In this section, we first briefly introduce Fock space calculus (Section 2.1), the ultraviolet renormalized non- and semi-relativistic Nelson models (Section 2.2) and the Fröhlich cone (Section 2.3). Then, we state and prove our main result on the corresponding semigroups (Section 2.4). The proof combines the positivity results presented in the subsequent Section 3 with the Feynman–Kac formulas from [Hir15, MM18, HM23], collected in Section 2.5.

2.1. Fock Space Calculus. We give a brief overview of the Fock space objects used in this article. For more details, see for example [Par92, Ara18].

For now let $d \in \mathbb{N}$ be arbitrary but fixed. Given any measurable set $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ and using the convention that $L^2(\emptyset)$ is the trivial vector space, let

$$(2.1) \quad \begin{aligned} \mathcal{F}(\Omega) &:= \bigoplus_{n=0}^{\infty} \mathcal{F}^{(n)}(\Omega), \\ \mathcal{F}^{(0)}(\Omega) &:= \mathbb{C} \\ \mathcal{F}^{(n)}(\Omega) &:= L_{\text{sym}}^2(\Omega^n) \\ &= \{f \in L^2(\Omega^n) \mid f(k_{\pi(1)}, \dots, k_{\pi(n)}) = f(k_1, \dots, k_n), \pi \in \mathcal{S}_n, \text{ a.e. } k \in \Omega^n\} \end{aligned}$$

denote the boson Fock space over $L^2(\Omega)$, where \mathcal{S}_n is the symmetric group of degree n . Throughout this article, we will denote by P_n the orthogonal projection onto $\mathcal{F}^{(n)}(\Omega)$.

For measurable $\Theta \subset \Omega$ and recalling the unitary equivalence $L^2(\Omega) \cong L^2(\Theta) \oplus L^2(\Omega \setminus \Theta)$, it is easy to check that there are natural unitaries

$$(2.2) \quad \mathcal{F}(\Omega) \cong \mathcal{F}(\Theta) \otimes \mathcal{F}(\Omega \setminus \Theta) \cong \mathcal{F}(\Theta) \oplus \mathcal{F}(\Theta)^\perp,$$

where

$$\mathcal{F}(\Theta)^\perp = \mathcal{F}(\Theta) \otimes \bigoplus_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathcal{F}^{(n)}(\Omega \setminus \Theta)$$

and on the most right hand side of (2.2) we used the identification

$$\mathcal{F}(\Theta) \cong \mathcal{F}(\Theta) \otimes \mathcal{F}^{(0)}(\Omega \setminus \Theta) = \mathcal{F}(\Theta) \otimes \mathbb{C}.$$

We denote the composition of this unitary with the orthogonal projection onto $\mathcal{F}(\Theta)$ in the direct sum on the right hand side of (2.2) by Q_Θ^Ω , i.e.,

$$(2.3a) \quad (Q_\Theta^\Omega \Psi)^{(n)} = \Psi^{(n)} \upharpoonright_{\Theta^n},$$

$$(2.3b) \quad ((\mathbb{1} - Q_\Theta^\Omega) \Psi)^{(n)} = \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \Psi^{(k)} \upharpoonright_{\Theta^k} \otimes \Psi^{(n-k)} \upharpoonright_{(\Omega \setminus \Theta)^{n-k}}.$$

Given a multiplication operator $m : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}_0 := \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$, we define the multiplication operator $d\Gamma_n(m)$ acting on $\mathcal{F}^{(n)}(\Omega)$ as

$$(2.4) \quad d\Gamma_0(m) := 0, \quad d\Gamma_n(m)(k_1, \dots, k_n) := \sum_{i=1}^n m(k_i)$$

and the second quantization of m as

$$(2.5) \quad d\Gamma(m) := \bigoplus_{n=0}^{\infty} d\Gamma_n(m).$$

If $m = (m_1, \dots, m_k)$ is a vector of multiplication operators, then we consider its second quantization $d\Gamma(m) = (d\Gamma(m_1), \dots, d\Gamma(m_k))$ as a vector of operators as well.

Given a test function $f \in L^2(\Omega)$, we define the annihilation operator $a(f)$ by

$$(2.6) \quad \begin{aligned} a_n(f) : \mathcal{F}^{(n+1)}(\Omega) &\rightarrow \mathcal{F}^{(n)}(\Omega), & a_n(f)\psi(k_1, \dots, k_n) &:= \sqrt{n+1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \overline{f(k)} \psi(k, k_1, \dots, k_n) dk, \\ \mathcal{D}(a(f)) &:= \{\psi \in \mathcal{F}^{(n)} \mid \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \|a_n(f) P_{n+1} \psi\|^2 < \infty\}, & P_n a(f) \psi &:= a_n(f) P_{n+1} \psi. \end{aligned}$$

It is a closed densely defined operator on $\mathcal{F}(\Omega)$. Its adjoint is the creation operator $a^\dagger(f) = a(f)^*$, which for $f_1, \dots, f_m \in L^2(\Omega)$ satisfies

$$(2.7) \quad \begin{aligned} &(P_n a^\dagger(f_1) \cdots a^\dagger(f_m) \psi)(k_1, \dots, k_n) \\ &= \frac{\sqrt{n(n-1) \cdots (n-m+1)}}{n!} \sum_{\pi \in S_n} f_1(k_{\pi(1)}) \cdots f_m(k_{\pi(m)}) P_{n-m} \psi(k_{\pi(m+1)}, \dots, k_{k_{\pi(n)}}). \end{aligned}$$

They satisfy the canonical commutation relations $[a(f), a(g)] = [a^\dagger(f), a^\dagger(g)] = 0$ and $[a(f), a^\dagger(g)] = \langle f, g \rangle$ for $f, g \in L^2(\Omega)$ on a dense domain. Further, it is well-known that $\mathcal{D}(a(f)) = \mathcal{D}(a^\dagger(f))$ for any $f \in L^2(\Omega)$.

If $\omega : \Omega \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ is a selfadjoint invertible multiplication operator, i.e., satisfies $\omega > 0$ a.e., and if $f \in \mathcal{D}(\omega^{-1/2})$ then $\mathcal{D}(a(f)) \subset \mathcal{D}(d\Gamma(\omega))$ and

$$(2.8) \quad \|a(f)\psi\| \leq \|\omega^{-1/2} f\| \|d\Gamma(\omega)\psi\|, \quad \psi \in \mathcal{D}(a(f)).$$

The field operator is the selfadjoint operator

$$(2.9) \quad \varphi(f) := \overline{a(f) + a^\dagger(f)},$$

where $\overline{\cdot}$ here denotes the operator closure.

Finally, we want to introduce exponentials of creation operators. Since these are usually unbounded, we will in fact directly define the operator closure of $e^{a^\dagger(f)} e^{-td\Gamma(\omega)}$ for $t > 0$, which is bounded by (2.8) if $f \in \mathcal{D}(\omega^{-1/2})$ for some multiplication operator $\omega : \Omega \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ satisfying $\omega > 0$ almost everywhere. We will use the series expansion

$$(2.10) \quad F_t^\omega(f) := \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n!} a^\dagger(f)^n e^{-td\Gamma(\omega)}.$$

It is proven in [GMM17, Appendix 6] that F_t^ω defines a bounded operator on $\mathcal{F}(\Omega)$ satisfying

$$(2.11) \quad \|F_t^\omega(f)\| \leq e^{4\|f\|_\omega^2}, \quad f \in \mathcal{D}(\omega^{-1/2}), \quad \|f\|_\omega^2 := \|f\|^2 + \|\omega^{-1/2} f\|^2.$$

2.2. Nelson Model. We move to the definition of the translation-invariant Nelson-type model we consider. In general its full Hamiltonian $\hat{H}_{\Psi,\omega,v}$ on $L^2(\mathbb{R}^d) \otimes \mathcal{F}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ is given by

$$(2.12) \quad \hat{H}_{\Psi,\omega,v} := \Psi(-i\nabla_x) \otimes \mathbb{1} + \mathbb{1} \otimes d\Gamma(\omega) + \varphi(e^{-i\hat{p}\cdot x}v).$$

Here, $\Psi : \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ denotes the dispersion relation of the particle, $\omega : \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ with $\omega > 0$ a.e. is the dispersion relation of the field bosons and $v \in \mathcal{D}(\omega^{-1/2}) \cap \mathcal{D}(\omega)$ is the coupling function, sometimes called form factor in the literature. Further, \hat{p} denotes the momentum operator on the one boson space $L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$, i.e., $\hat{p}f(k) = kf(k)$ and x is the position operator on the particle Hilbert space.

The operator $\hat{H}_{\Psi,\omega,v}$ is self-adjoint on $\mathcal{D}(\Psi(-i\nabla_x) \otimes \mathbb{1}) \cap \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{1} \otimes d\Gamma(\omega))$ and bounded from below, by the Kato–Rellich theorem and the relative bound (2.8). Further, it is translation-invariant, i.e., it commutes with the total momentum operator

$$(2.13) \quad P_{\text{tot}} = -i\nabla_x \otimes \mathbb{1} + \mathbb{1} \otimes d\Gamma(\hat{p}).$$

Hence, we can decompose $\hat{H}_{\Psi,\omega,v}$ with respect to the joint spectrum of P_{tot} as

$$(2.14) \quad \hat{H}_{\Psi,\omega,v} \cong \int_{\mathbb{R}^d}^{\oplus} H_{\Psi,\omega,v}(P) dP.$$

Here \cong denotes unitary equivalence on $L^2(\mathbb{R}^d) \otimes \mathcal{F}(\mathbb{R}^d)$, which is explicitly implemented by the Lee–Low–Pines [LLP53] operator U given by

$$(2.15) \quad UF(P) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{d/2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} e^{-ix \cdot (P - d\Gamma(\hat{p}))} F(x) dx$$

for $F \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^d) \otimes \mathcal{F}(\mathbb{R}^d) = L^2(\mathbb{R}^d, \mathcal{F}(\mathbb{R}^d))$ and

$$(2.16) \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \left\langle UF(P), e^{-T H_{\Psi,\omega,v}(P)} UG(P) \right\rangle_{\mathcal{F}} dP = \left\langle F, e^{-T \hat{H}_{\Psi,\omega,v}} G \right\rangle_{\mathcal{H}}.$$

Therein, the translation-invariant Nelson Hamiltonian $H_{\Psi,\omega,v}(P)$ with total momentum $P \in \mathbb{R}^d$ is the selfadjoint operator acting on $\mathcal{F}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ with domain $\mathcal{D}(\Psi(P - d\Gamma(\hat{p}))) \cap \mathcal{D}(d\Gamma(\omega))$ satisfying

$$(2.17) \quad H_{\Psi,\omega,v}(P) = \Psi(P - d\Gamma(\hat{p})) + d\Gamma(\omega) + \varphi(v), \quad P \in \mathbb{R}^d.$$

This is the operator, which we investigate in this article. More concretely, for the purpose of this section, we consider the following two cases:

- (1) The *non-relativistic Nelson model* in $d = 3$ dimensions is given by the choice

$$\Psi(p) = \Psi_{\text{nr}}(p) := \frac{1}{2}|p|^2, \quad \omega(k) = \sqrt{|k|^2 + m^2}, \quad v(k) = v_{\Lambda}(k) = \lambda \mathbf{1}_{|k| < \Lambda} \omega(k)^{-1/2},$$

where $m \geq 0$ is the boson mass, $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ is the coupling constant and $\Lambda \in (0, \infty)$ is an ultraviolet cutoff. In this case, we denote $H_{\Psi,\omega,v_{\Lambda}}(P)$ by $H_{\text{nr},\Lambda}(P)$.

- (2) The *semi-relativistic Nelson model* in $d = 2$ dimensions is given by the choice

$$\Psi(p) = \Psi_{\text{sr}}(p) := \sqrt{|p|^2 + M^2} - M, \quad \omega(k) = \sqrt{|k|^2 + m^2}, \quad v(k) = v_{\Lambda}(k) = \lambda \mathbf{1}_{|k| < \Lambda} \omega(k)^{-1/2},$$

where $M \geq 0$ is the particle mass, $m > 0$ is the boson mass, and λ, Λ are as before. In this case, we denote $H_{\Psi,\omega,v_{\Lambda}}(P)$ by $H_{\text{sr},\Lambda}(P)$. We emphasize that the bosons can not be massless in this case, cf. [HM23] for a discussion of this fact.

To remove the ultraviolet cutoff Λ , we introduce the renormalization energy

$$(2.18) \quad E_{\Lambda} := -\|(\Psi + \omega)^{-1/2} v_{\Lambda}\|^2.$$

Note that E_{Λ} does not depend on the total momentum $P \in \mathbb{R}^d$.

The ultraviolet renormalized Nelson Hamiltonian now is the unique selfadjoint lower-semibounded Hamiltonian $H_{\#}(P)$, $\# \in \{\text{nr}, \text{sr}\}$ satisfying

$$(2.19) \quad e^{-tH_{\#}(P)} = \lim_{\Lambda \rightarrow \infty} e^{-t(H_{\#, \Lambda}(P) - E_{\Lambda})}, \quad t > 0,$$

where the limit is in operator norm. Proofs for the existence of this operator can be found in [Can71, Hir15, MM18, Lam21, DH22] in the case $\# = \text{nr}$ and [Slo74, HM23] in the case $\# = \text{sr}$. We remark that the norm convergence of the full operators follows from this fact, see the discussion in [HM23], and has separately been proven in the further references given in the introduction. We also remark that a similar result is not expected to hold for the semi-relativistic model in $d = 3$ dimensions, cf. [DP14a], also see [Gro73] for a treatment of the full operator in this case with a different renormalization method.

2.3. The Fröhlich Cone. Our notion of positivity is induced by the Fröhlich cone

$$(2.20) \quad \mathcal{F}_+(\Omega) = \{\psi \in \mathcal{F}(\Omega) \mid P_n \psi \in L_+^2(\Omega^n) \text{ for all } n \in \mathbb{N}_0\},$$

where $L_+^2(M) := \{f \in L^2(M) \mid f > 0 \text{ almost everywhere}\}$. Its dual and closure coincide, whence the convex cone

$$(2.21) \quad \overline{\mathcal{F}}_+(\Omega) = \{\psi \in \mathcal{F}(\Omega) \mid P_n \psi \in \overline{L}_+^2(\Omega^n) \text{ for all } n \in \mathbb{N}_0\}$$

with $\overline{L}_+^2(M) = \{f \in L^2(M) \mid f \geq 0 \text{ almost everywhere}\}$ is self-dual.

Recalling (2.2) and (2.3), we will utilize the rather straightforward observations

$$(2.22) \quad \psi \in \mathcal{F}_+(\Omega) \iff \forall \Theta \subset \Omega : Q_\Theta^\Omega \psi \in \mathcal{F}_+(\Theta) \Rightarrow \forall \Theta \subset \Omega : (1 - Q_\Theta^\Omega) \psi \in \overline{\mathcal{F}}_+(\Omega).$$

We will call a bounded operator $B \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{F}(\Omega))$ *positivity preserving* if $B\overline{\mathcal{F}}_+(\Omega) \subset \overline{\mathcal{F}}_+(\Omega)$ and *positivity improving* if $B(\overline{\mathcal{F}}_+(\Omega) \setminus \{0\}) \subset \mathcal{F}_+(\Omega)$.

2.4. Ergodicity of Nelson Semigroups. We can now state our main result, which will be proven in the end of Section 3.3.

Theorem 1. *For $\# \in \{\text{nr}, \text{sr}\}$, $P \in \mathbb{R}^d$ and $t > 0$, if we have negative coupling constant $\lambda < 0$, then the operator $e^{-tH_\#(P)}$ is positivity improving.*

Remark 2.1. Let us compare this result to previous results in the literature. In the ultraviolet-regularized and non-relativistic case, i.e., for the operator $H_{\#, \Lambda}(P)$ with $\Lambda \in (0, \infty)$ as introduced above, the result was proven in [Frö73, Frö74] for the non- and in [Mø105] for both the non- and the semi-relativistic case. As far as the renormalized operator goes, the non-relativistic case $\# = \text{nr}$ was recently treated in [Miy19, Miy21, Lam21] by different methods. In the semi-relativistic case, the result is new to the authors knowledge.

Remark 2.2. We also note that there is a second notion of positivity in Fock space, induced by the Schrödinger or \mathcal{Q} -space representation, see for example [HL20]. In this setting, already the free Hamiltonian ($v = 0$) is positivity improving, but only in the case $P = 0$. For treatments of the interacting operator at $P = 0$, see for example [Gro72, Slo74, Hir07].

2.5. Feynman-Kac formulas. Essential to our argument is the functional integral representation of the semigroup $e^{-tH_\#(P)}$ and its regularized counterpart $e^{-tH_{\#, \Lambda}(P)}$ given by a Feynman-Kac formula, which we now want to introduce. Their concrete form is the motivation for our study of more general functional integral expressions in Section 3.

Let $(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{B}, \mathbb{P})$ be a probability space and denote by \mathbb{E} the expectation with respect to \mathbb{P} . We will assume that $X = (X_t)_{t \geq 0}$ is a Lévy process on this probability space. Then there exists a unique characteristic exponent Ψ , also called Lévy symbol of X , such that

$$(2.23) \quad \mathbb{E} \left[e^{ik \cdot X_t} \right] = e^{-t\Psi(k)}.$$

It is known as the Lévy-Khintchine formula that Ψ is of the form

$$(2.24) \quad \Psi(k) = ib \cdot k - \frac{1}{2} k \cdot A k + \int_{\mathbb{R}^d \setminus \{0\}} (e^{ik \cdot y} - 1 - ik \cdot y \mathbb{1}_{\{|y| < 1\}}) \nu(dy),$$

where $b \in \mathbb{R}^d$, A is a $d \times d$ -nonnegative definite symmetric matrix, and ν a Lévy measure. Conversely if a triplet (b, A, ν) of this type is given, then there exists unique Lévy process X such that (2.23) and (2.24) are satisfied, see for example [LHB20, Section 2.4.1] or [App09, Section 1.2] for details.

For $\# \in \{\text{nr}, \text{sr}\}$, let $X^\# = (X_t^\#)_{t \geq 0}$ be the Lévy process on the probability space with Lévy symbol $\Psi_\#$ as defined above, i.e.,

$$\mathbb{E}\left[e^{ik \cdot X_t^\#}\right] = e^{-\frac{1}{2}t|k|^2} \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbb{E}\left[e^{ik \cdot X_t^{\text{sr}}}\right] = e^{-t(\sqrt{|k|^2 + M^2} - M)}.$$

Explicitly, in the case $d = 3$, $\# = \text{nr}$ the process $X^\#$ is a three-dimensional Brownian motion, i.e., the Lévy process with triplet $(0, \mathbb{1}_{\mathbb{C}^3}, 0)$. Further, in the case $d = 2$, $\# = \text{sr}$ the process $X^\#$ is a two-dimensional inverse Gaussian process [App09, Example 1.3.21] or [LHB20, Examples 2.17, 2.18] with the particle mass M , i.e., the Lévy process with triple $(0, 0, \nu_M)$ where the density of the Lévy measure ν_M can for example be found in [App09, Section 1.2.6] or [HM24a, (2.8)].

The Feynman–Kac formulas that we apply now take the form

$$(2.25) \quad e^{-tH_\#(P)} = \mathbb{E}\left[e^{u_t^\# F_{t/2}^\omega(U_t^{\#, -}) F_{t/2}^\omega(U_t^{\#, +})^* e^{i(P - d\Gamma(\hat{p})) \cdot X_t^\#}}\right],$$

and are proven in [MM18, Theorem 7.6] for $\# = \text{nr}$ and [HM23, Theorem 7.4] for $\# = \text{sr}$. Therein, $u^\# = (u_t^\#)_{t \geq 0}$ is a \mathbb{C} -valued stochastic process satisfying

$$(2.26) \quad \mathbb{E}\left[\sup_{s \in [0, t]} \left| e^{u_{\Lambda, s}^\# - sE_\Lambda} - e^{u_s^\#} \right|^p\right] \xrightarrow{\Lambda \rightarrow \infty} 0, \quad t > 0, p \geq 1,$$

where

$$(2.27) \quad u_{\Lambda, T}^\# = \int_0^T \int_0^T \langle e^{-i\hat{p} \cdot X_t^\#} v_\Lambda | e^{-i\hat{p} \cdot X_s^\#} e^{-|t-s|\omega} v_\Lambda \rangle ds dt,$$

see [MM18, Theorem 4.9] and [HM23, Theorem 6.8], and $U^{\#, \pm} = (U_t^{\#, \pm})_{t \geq 0}$ are the $\mathcal{D}(\omega^{-1/2})$ -valued stochastic processes satisfying

$$(2.28) \quad \mathbb{E}\left[\sup_{s \in [0, t]} \|U_{\Lambda, s}^{\#, \pm} - U_s^{\#, \pm}\|_\omega^2\right] \xrightarrow{\Lambda \rightarrow \infty} 0,$$

where

$$(2.29) \quad U_{\Lambda, T}^{\#, -} = - \int_0^T e^{-t\omega - i\hat{p} \cdot X_t^\#} v_\Lambda dt \quad \text{and} \quad U_{\Lambda, T}^{\#, +} = - \int_0^T e^{-(T-t)\omega - i\hat{p} \cdot X_t^\#} v_\Lambda dt,$$

see [MM18, Lemma 3.6] and [HM24a, Lemma B.1]. The existence of this limit and explicit expressions for $u^\#$ and $U^{\#, \pm}$ are also presented in the referenced articles.

We also stress that, in the ultraviolet regular case $\Lambda < \infty$, we have the Feynman–Kac formula

$$(2.30) \quad e^{-tH_{\#, \Lambda}(P)} = \mathbb{E}\left[e^{u_{\Lambda, t}^\# F_{t/2}^\omega(U_{\Lambda, t}^{\#, -}) F_{t/2}^\omega(U_{\Lambda, t}^{\#, +})^* e^{i(P - d\Gamma(\hat{p})) \cdot X_t^\#}}\right].$$

This is easily recognized to be a special case of Proposition 5 below, for which we sketch a proof in Appendix A. In fact, the convergence statements (2.19) can then be deduced from the convergence in (2.26) and (2.28) and the Feynman–Kac formulas (2.25) and (2.30), the main argument which was used in the articles [MM18, HM24a].

3. POSITIVITY OF FOCK SPACE FUNCTIONAL INTEGRALS

In this section, we prove positivity of functional integral expressions of the form (2.25). We present three theorems:

- (1) Theorem 2 presented in Section 3.1 treats Nelson-type models in presence of an ultraviolet cutoff. Whereas ergodicity in this case is well-known, the novelty of our approach is to demonstrate that it can be inferred solely using the functional integration representation of the model. Further, Theorem 2 is a major ingredient to our proofs of the subsequent results.

- (2) Theorem 3 presented in Section 3.2 extends Theorem 2 to the case of functional integrals only given by a limiting procedure. Whereas this result does not suffice to cover the Nelson model, we can apply it to prove ergodicity of the Fröhlich Hamiltonian in Corollary 4.
- (3) Theorem 8 presented in Section 3.3 is the main result leading to our proof of Theorem 1, which is given in the end of that section. Compared to the previous results, we require a Trotter-type product formula for the functional integrals, which we can only prove using an evolution equation presented in Proposition 5. It would be an interesting question to derive such a Trotter product formula (explicitly stated in Proposition 6) directly from the path integral representation.

Let us introduce some assumptions and notation used throughout this section.

As in Section 2.5, we fix a probability space $(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{B}, \mathbb{P})$ and denote by \mathbb{E} the expectation with respect to \mathbb{P} . Further, on this probability space, we assume that $X = (X_t)_{t \geq 0}$ is a Lévy process with càdlàg paths and a real-valued characteristic function, i.e., that the characteristic exponent $\Psi : \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ given by

$$(3.1) \quad \mathbb{E} \left[e^{ik \cdot X_t} \right] = e^{-t\Psi(k)}$$

is real-valued and hence also non-negative by the Lévy–Khintchine formula (2.24), since it directly implies $\operatorname{Re} \Psi(k) \geq 0$, $k \in \mathbb{R}^d$.

A key ingredient to our proof will be that the independent and stationary increments of a Lévy process imply

$$(3.2) \quad \mathbb{E} \left[\prod_{j=1}^n e^{ik_j \cdot X_{t_j}} \right] = \prod_{j=1}^n e^{-(t_j - t_{j-1})\Psi(\sum_{i=j}^n k_i)}, \quad 0 = t_0 < t_1 < t_2 < \dots < t_n.$$

We also assume $\omega : \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ to be an invertible multiplication operator on $L^2(\Omega)$ for any measurable $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^d$, i.e., ω is measurable and $\omega > 0$ almost everywhere. We denote the domain $\mathcal{D}(\omega^{-1/2})$ in $L^2(\Omega)$ by $\mathfrak{D}_\omega(\Omega)$ and equip it with the graph norm of $\omega^{-1/2}$, i.e., $\|f\|_\omega^2 := \|f\|^2 + \|\omega^{-1/2}f\|^2$ for $f \in \mathfrak{D}_\omega(\Omega)$.

Given any measurable $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^d$, we abbreviate the Bochner spaces

$$(3.3) \quad \begin{aligned} \mathfrak{l}_2(\Omega) &:= L_{\text{loc}}^2([0, \infty)^2; L^2(\Omega)), \\ \mathfrak{l}_{1,\omega}(\Omega) &:= L_{\text{loc}}^1([0, \infty); \mathfrak{D}_\omega(\Omega)). \end{aligned}$$

As usual, we will write $f(t)$, $t \geq 0$ for a.e. well-defined representatives of equivalence classes in these spaces. Then given $S, T > 0$ and $\alpha, \beta \in \mathfrak{l}_2(\Omega)$, $v \in \mathfrak{l}_{1,\omega}(\Omega)$, we can define the associated random variables

$$(3.4) \quad \begin{aligned} u_{\alpha,\beta}(S, T) &:= \int_S^T \int_S^T \langle e^{-i\hat{p} \cdot X_t} \alpha(t, s) | e^{-i\hat{p} \cdot X_s} \beta(t, s) \rangle ds dt, \\ U_v(S, T) &:= \int_S^T e^{-i\hat{p} \cdot X_t} v(t) dt \end{aligned}$$

as Lebesgue integral and $\mathfrak{D}_\omega(\Omega)$ -valued Bochner–Lebesgue integrals, respectively.

3.1. The Ultraviolet Regular Case. Our first result combined with the Feynman–Kac formula (2.30) proves that the semigroup generated by the regularized Nelson model at negative coupling $\lambda < 0$ is positivity improving for any total momentum P .

Theorem 2. *Let $N \in \mathbb{N}_0$, let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ measurable, let $v^\pm \in \mathfrak{l}_{1,\omega}(\Omega)$ with $v^\pm(t) \in \overline{L}_+^2(\Omega)$, $t \geq 0$ and let $\alpha^\pm, \beta^\pm \in \mathfrak{l}_2(\Omega)$ satisfy $\alpha^\pm(s, t), \beta^\pm(s, t) \in \overline{L}_+^2(\Omega)$, $s, t \geq 0$. Then the $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{F}(\Omega))$ -valued Bochner–Lebesgue integral*

$$(3.5) \quad S(P) := \mathbb{E} \left[e^{u_{\alpha^-, \alpha^+}(\sigma_1, \tau_1)} u_{\beta^-, \beta^+}(\sigma_2, \tau_2)^N F_\gamma^\omega(U_{v^-}(\sigma_3, \tau_3)) F_\rho^\omega(U_{v^+}(\sigma_4, \tau_4))^* e^{i(P - d\Gamma(\hat{p})) \cdot (X_{T_2} - X_{T_1})} \right]$$

is well-defined and positivity preserving for all $P \in \mathbb{R}^d$ and $\gamma, \rho, \sigma_1, \tau_1, \dots, \sigma_4, \tau_4, T_1, T_2 > 0$.

Further, if $\alpha^\pm(s_1, t_1), v^-(t_3), v^+(t_4) \in L_+^2(\Omega)$, $s_1, t_1 \in [\sigma_1, \tau_1], t_3 \in [\sigma_3, \tau_3], t_4 \in [\sigma_4, \tau_4]$ and either $N = 0$ or $\beta^\pm(t, s) \in L_+^2(\Omega)$, $t, s \in [\sigma_2, \tau_2]$, then $S(P)$ is positivity improving for all $P \in \mathbb{R}^d$.

Proof. Throughout this proof, we abbreviate $u_\alpha := u_{\alpha^-, \alpha^+}(\sigma_1, \tau_1)$, $u_\beta := u_{\beta^-, \beta^+}(\sigma_2, \tau_2)$, $U^- := U_{v^-}(\sigma_3, \tau_3)$ and $U^+ := U_{v^+}(\sigma_4, \tau_4)$. The proof is divided into three steps.

Step 1. We first argue for the well-definedness of $S(P)$.

It will follow from Pettis' theorem combined with the standard criterion for Bochner integrability. The measurability of the argument of the expectation in (3.5) (i.e., weak measurability and almost surely separable valuedness) hereby follows from the separability of $L^2(\Omega)$, continuity of the maps $(0, \infty) \times \mathfrak{D}_\omega(\Omega) \ni (s, h) \mapsto F_s^\omega(h) \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{F}(\Omega))$ and $\Omega \ni x \mapsto e^{i(P - d\Gamma(\hat{p})) \cdot x}$ as well as our definition (3.4). Further, by (2.11) and our integrability assumptions, we easily see that the \mathbb{P} -integrand in (3.5) is uniformly bounded in the paths of X and thus the claim of this step.

Step 2. Let us now prove that $S(P)$ is positivity preserving, by proving that every summand of

$$P_n S(P) \psi = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} P_n S(P) P_m \psi$$

is an element of $\overline{L}_+^2(\Omega^n)$. We will now assume $m, n \in \mathbb{N}_0$ to be fixed.

To this end, we first note that by the definitions (2.6), (2.7) and (2.10), for any $r, s > 0$ and $f, g \in \mathfrak{D}_\omega(\Omega)$

$$\begin{aligned} & P_n F_r^\omega(f) F_s^\omega(g)^* e^{i(P - d\Gamma(\hat{p})) \cdot (X_{T_2} - X_{T_1})} P_m \psi \\ &= \sum_{i=0}^{m \wedge n} \frac{1}{(n-i)!(m-i)!} a^\dagger(f)^{n-i} e^{-(r+s)d\Gamma_i(\omega)} a(g)^{m-i} e^{i(P - d\Gamma_m(\hat{p})) \cdot (X_{T_2} - X_{T_1})} P_m \psi, \end{aligned}$$

where $a \wedge b := \min(a, b)$ as usual. Further employing the series expansion of the exponential e^{u_α} and Fubini's theorem, we find

$$\begin{aligned} & P_n S(P) P_m \psi \\ &= \sum_{i=0}^{m \wedge n} \frac{1}{(n-i)!(m-i)!} \sum_{\ell=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\ell!} \mathbb{E} \left[u_\alpha^\ell u_\beta^N a^\dagger(U^-)^{n-i} e^{-(r+s)d\Gamma_i(\omega)} a(U^+)^{m-i} e^{i(P - d\Gamma_m(\hat{p})) \cdot (X_{T_2} - X_{T_1})} P_m \psi \right]. \end{aligned}$$

Inserting the definition of the creation and annihilation operators (2.6) and (2.7) and once more applying Fubini's theorem, we find for $k \in \Omega^n$

$$(3.6) \quad \begin{aligned} & P_n S(P) P_m \psi(k) \\ &= \sum_{\pi \in \mathcal{S}_n} \sum_{i=0}^{m \wedge n} C_{m,n,i} \sum_{\ell=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\ell!} \int_{\mathbb{S}} \int_{\mathbb{T}} F_{k,p,t}^{\pi,i,\ell} \mathbb{E} \left[e^{-iR_{k,p,t}^{\pi,\ell}} \right] (P_m \psi)(k_{\pi(1)}, \dots, k_{\pi(i)}, p_1, \dots, p_{m-i}) dt dp, \end{aligned}$$

where the p - and t -integrals run over

$$\mathbb{S} = \Omega^{\ell+N+m-i} \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbb{T} = [\sigma_1, \tau_1]^{2\ell} \times [\sigma_2, \tau_2]^{2N} \times [\sigma_3, \tau_3]^{n-i} \times [\sigma_4, \tau_4]^{m-i},$$

respectively, the constants are explicitly given by

$$(3.7) \quad C_{m,n,i} := \begin{cases} \frac{\sqrt{n(n-1)\cdots(i+1)}}{(n-i)!(m-i)!n!}, & i < n, \\ \frac{1}{(m-i)!}, & i = n, \end{cases}$$

and we have defined the integral kernels

$$(3.8) \quad \begin{aligned} F_{k,p,t}^{\pi,i,\ell} &:= \prod_{j=1}^{\ell} \alpha^+(t_{2j-1}, t_{2j}, p_j) \alpha^-(t_{2j-1}, t_{2j}, p_j) \\ &\times \prod_{j=\ell+1}^{\ell+N} \beta^+(t_{2j-1}, t_{2j}, p_{m+n+j}) \beta^-(t_{2j-1}, t_{2j}, p_{m+n+j}) \\ &\times \prod_{h=1}^{n-i} v^+(t_{2(\ell+N)+h}, k_{\pi(i+h)}) \prod_{h=1}^{m-i} v^-(t_{2(\ell+N)+n-i+h}, p_{\ell+N+h}), \end{aligned}$$

as well as the random variable

$$(3.9) \quad \begin{aligned} R_{k,p,t}^{\pi,i,\ell} &:= \sum_{j=1}^{\ell+N} p_j \cdot (X_{t_{2j}} - X_{t_{2j-1}}) + \sum_{h=1}^{m-i} p_{\ell+N+h} \cdot X_{t_2(\ell+N)+n-i+h} \\ &+ \sum_{h=1}^{n-i} k_{\pi(i+h)} \cdot X_{t_2(\ell+N)+h} + \left(\sum_{j=1}^m k_j - P \right) \cdot (X_{T_2} - X_{T_1}). \end{aligned}$$

By the assumptions on α , β and v , the kernel $F_{k,p,t}^{\pi,i,\ell} \geq 0$ for almost all $(k, p, t) \in \Omega^n \times \mathbb{S} \times \mathbb{T}$. Further, $\mathbb{E}[e^{-iR_{k,p,t}^{\pi,i,\ell}}] > 0$ for all $(k, p, t) \in \Omega^n \times \mathbb{S} \times \mathbb{T}$ in view of (3.2), which proves the statement.

Step 3. It remains to prove that $S(P)$ is positivity improving, if we use the stronger assumptions from the theorem. These immediately imply that the integral kernel given in (3.8) satisfies $F_{k,p,t}^{\pi,i,\ell} > 0$ for all m, n, π, i, ℓ, t and almost all $(k, p, t) \in \Omega^n \times \mathbb{S} \times \mathbb{T}$. If for any fixed $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$ there exists $m \in \mathbb{N}$ such that one of the summands $P_n S(P) P_m \psi$ is an element of $L_+^2(\Omega^m)$, the proof is complete. This is the case, if the right hand side of (3.6) is strictly positive for (almost) all $k \in \Omega^n$, which is now easily observed to hold if we choose $m = n$ and $i = 0$. \square

3.2. Mild Ultraviolet Divergence Cases. In this section, we prove an intermediate result, which does not cover self-energy renormalizations of the type (2.19) discussed in Section 3.3 below, but generalizes and simplifies the proof of ergodicity, e.g., for the Fröhlich polaron model.

The three-dimensional translation-invariant Fröhlich polaron [Frö54] at total momentum $P \in \mathbb{R}^3$ with ultraviolet cutoff $\Lambda > 0$ is given by the Hamiltonian

$$(3.10) \quad H_{F,\Lambda}(P) := H_{\Psi,\omega,v_\Lambda}(P)$$

with the choices

$$(3.11) \quad \Psi = \Psi_{nr}, \quad \omega = 1, \quad v_\Lambda(k) = \lambda \mathbf{1}_{|k| < \Lambda} |k|^{-1}.$$

It is well-known that the semigroup $e^{-tH_{F,\Lambda}(P)}$ converges to $e^{-tH_{F,\infty}(P)}$ in norm as $\Lambda \rightarrow \infty$, i.e.,

$$(3.12) \quad \lim_{\Lambda \rightarrow \infty} e^{-tH_{F,\Lambda}(P)} = e^{-tH_{F,\infty}(P)},$$

where $H_{F,\infty}(P)$ is still given by the expression (2.17), but now in the sense of quadratic forms, see for example [LY58]. In [HM24b], Matte and the first author discuss how the limiting operator can explicitly be represented by a Feynman–Kac formula of the type (2.25). In fact, in that article, the Fröhlich polaron is discussed in presence of an external potential. However, the translation-invariant case can directly be inferred from Proposition 5 below and the results therein.

Theorem 3. *For $k = 1, \dots, 4$, let $t_k \geq s_k \geq 0$, assume $\Omega_1 \subset \Omega_2 \subset \dots \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ is an increasing sequence of measurable subsets and set $\Omega = \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \Omega_n$. Further, let $(v_n^\pm)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subset \mathfrak{l}_{1,\omega}(\Omega)$ and $(\alpha_n^\pm)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subset \mathfrak{l}_2(\Omega)$ and, for all $m \geq n \in \mathbb{N}$, $t, s \geq 0$, assume that $\alpha_n^\pm(t, s) \upharpoonright_{\Omega_n} \in L_+^2(\Omega_n)$ and*

$$(3.13) \quad \lim_{\substack{k \rightarrow \infty \\ L^2(\Omega_n)}} v_k^\pm(t) \upharpoonright_{\Omega_n} \in L_+^2(\Omega_n), \quad \alpha_m^\pm(t, s) \upharpoonright_{\Omega_n} \geq \alpha_n^\pm(t, s) \upharpoonright_{\Omega_n}.$$

Employing the definition (3.4), we finally assume there exists a \mathbb{C} -valued random variable u_∞ , $\mathfrak{D}_\omega(\Omega)$ -valued random variables U_∞^\pm and $p, q, r, r' \geq 1$ with $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{2}{q} = 1$ and $\frac{1}{r} + \frac{1}{r'} = 1$ such that

$$(3.14) \quad \begin{aligned} &\mathbb{E} [|e^{p u_\infty}|] < \infty, \\ &\sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \mathbb{E} \left[e^{4qr(\|U_{v_n^\pm}(s_j, t_j)\|_\omega \vee \|U_\infty^\pm\|_\omega)^2} \right] < \infty, \quad j = 2, 3, \\ &\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{E} \left[|e^{u_{\alpha_n^+, \alpha_n^-}(s_1, t_1)} - e^{u_\infty}|^p \right] = 0, \\ &\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{E} \left[\|U_{v_n^\pm}(s_j, t_j) - U_\infty^\pm\|_\omega^{qr'} \right] = 0, \quad j = 2, 3. \end{aligned}$$

Then the $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{F}(\Omega))$ -valued Bochner–Lebesgue integral

$$(3.15) \quad S_\infty(P) := \mathbb{E} \left[e^{u_\infty} F_\gamma^\omega(U_\infty^-) F_\sigma^\omega(U_\infty^+)^* e^{i(P - d\Gamma(\hat{p})) \cdot (X_{t_4} - X_{s_4})} \right]$$

is well-defined and positivity improving for any $P \in \mathbb{R}^d$ and $\gamma, \sigma > 0$.

Proof. We again divide the proof into several steps and simplify the notation. We set $u_n := u_{\alpha_n^-, \alpha_n^+}(s_1, t_1)$, $U_n^- := U_{v_n^-}(s_2, t_2)$ and $U_n^+ := U_{v_n^+}(s_3, t_3)$. Set

$$S_n(P) := \mathbb{E} \left[e^{u_n} F_\gamma^\omega(U_n^-) F_\sigma^\omega(U_n^+)^* e^{i(P - d\Gamma(\hat{p})) \cdot (X_{t_4} - X_{s_4})} \right].$$

Step 1. We first prove the well-definedness of $S_\infty(P)$ and the norm convergence

$$(3.16) \quad \|S_n(P) - S_\infty(P)\| \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} 0.$$

Immediately from Theorem 2 this yields that $S_\infty(P)$ is positivity preserving, since $S_n(P)$ is for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Our argument follows the arguments in [MM18, Proof of Proposition 5.8].

To see that $S_\infty(P)$ is well-defined, we proceed as in Step 1 of the proof of Theorem 2. The measurability argument thereby remains unchanged, whereas the uniform boundedness of the integrand in (3.5) can be deduced from the convergence assumptions in (3.14).

To prove the convergence in operator norm, we observe that calculating the Gateaux derivative of $h \mapsto F_t^\omega(h)$ and using the fundamental theorem of calculus as well as the bound (2.11) yields

$$\|F_\gamma^\omega(f) - F_\gamma^\omega(g)\| \leq \|f - g\|_\omega e^{4(\|f\|_\omega^2 \vee \|g\|_\omega^2)},$$

see for example [GMM17, Lemma 17.4] for more details. Thus, multiply employing Hölders inequality, the triangle inequality and the unitarity of $e^{i(P - d\Gamma(\hat{p})) \cdot x}$ for any $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$, we find

$$\begin{aligned} \|S_n(P) - S_\infty(P)\| &\leq \mathbb{E}[|e^{u_n} - e^{u_\infty}|^p]^{1/p} \mathbb{E}[e^{4q\|U_n^-\|_\omega^2}]^{1/q} \mathbb{E}[e^{4q\|U_n^+\|_\omega^2}]^{1/q} \\ &\quad + \mathbb{E}[|e^{p u_\infty}|]^{1/p} \mathbb{E}[\|U_n^- - U_\infty^-\|_\omega^{qs}]^{1/qs} \mathbb{E}[e^{4qr(\|U_n^-\|_\omega \vee \|U_\infty^-\|_\omega)^2}]^{1/qr} \mathbb{E}[e^{4q\|U_n^+\|_\omega^2}]^{1/q} \\ &\quad + \mathbb{E}[|e^{p u_\infty}|]^{1/p} \mathbb{E}[e^{4q\|U_n^-\|_\omega^2}]^{1/q} \mathbb{E}[\|U_n^+ - U_\infty^+\|_\omega^{qs}]^{1/qs} \mathbb{E}[e^{4qr(\|U_n^+\|_\omega \vee \|U_\infty^+\|_\omega)^2}]^{1/qr}. \end{aligned}$$

The right hand side converges to zero as $n \rightarrow \infty$, by our convergence assumptions (3.14), which finishes this step.

Step 2. We now prove that for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\psi \in \mathcal{Q}_{\Omega_n}^\Omega \mathcal{F}$, we have

$$(3.17) \quad \mathcal{Q}_{\Omega_n}^\Omega S_\infty(P) = \mathbb{E} \left[e^{u_\infty} F_\gamma^\omega(\tilde{U}_n^-) F_\sigma^\omega(\tilde{U}_n^+)^* e^{i(P - d\Gamma(\hat{p})) \cdot (X_{t_4} - X_{s_4})} \psi \right],$$

$$\tilde{U}_n^- := U_{\tilde{v}_{n,\infty}^-}(s_2, t_2), \quad \tilde{U}_n^+ := U_{\tilde{v}_{n,\infty}^+}(s_3, t_3), \quad \tilde{v}_{n,\infty}^\pm(t) := \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} v_k^\pm(t).$$

To this end, for any $\Theta \subset \Omega$, we first observe that by the definitions (2.2) and (2.5) to (2.7), we have $\mathcal{Q}_\Theta^\Omega a^\dagger(f) = a^\dagger(f \upharpoonright_\Theta) \mathcal{Q}_\Theta^\Omega$ and $\mathcal{Q}_\Theta^\Omega d\Gamma(\omega) = d\Gamma(\omega \upharpoonright_\Theta) \mathcal{Q}_\Theta^\Omega$. Thus, using an induction, we obtain that

$$\mathcal{Q}_\Theta^\Omega F_t^\omega(f) = F_t^\omega(f \upharpoonright_\Theta) \mathcal{Q}_\Theta^\Omega.$$

The statement (3.17) follows from the first assumption in (3.13) combined with the observation that $e^{i(P - d\Gamma(\hat{p})) \cdot (X_{t_4} - X_{s_4})}$ leaves $\mathcal{F}(\Theta)$ invariant in the decomposition (2.2) and the fact that $\mathcal{Q}_\Theta^\Omega$ is a partial isometry with $\text{Ran} \mathcal{Q}_\Theta^\Omega = \mathcal{F}(\Theta)$.

Step 3. We now prove that $S_\infty(P)$ is positivity improving.

To this end let $\psi \in \overline{\mathcal{F}}_+(\Omega)$ and pick n_0 such that $\mathcal{P}_{\Omega_n} \psi \in \overline{\mathcal{F}}_+(\Omega_n) \setminus \{0\}$ for all $n \geq n_0$. In view of (2.2) and (2.22), we decompose $\psi = \mathcal{Q}_{\Omega_n}^\Omega \psi + \psi_n^\perp$ with $\psi_n^\perp \in \overline{\mathcal{F}}_+(\Omega)$ for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Recalling (2.22) it suffices

to prove $Q_{\Omega_n}^\Omega S_\infty(P) Q_{\Omega_n}^\Omega \psi \in \mathcal{F}_+(\Omega_n)$ for all $n \geq n_0$. Using Step 2, we see that

$$(3.18) \quad \begin{aligned} Q_{\Omega_n}^\Omega S_\infty(P) Q_{\Omega_n}^\Omega \psi &= \mathbb{E} \left[e^{u_n + u_\infty - u_n} F_\gamma^\omega(\tilde{U}_n^-) F_\sigma^\omega(\tilde{U}_n^+)^* e^{i(P - d\Gamma(\hat{p})) \cdot (X_{t_4} - X_{s_4})} Q_{\Omega_n}^\Omega \psi \right] \\ &= \sum_{N=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{N!} \mathbb{E} \left[(u_\infty - u_n)^N e^{u_n} F_\gamma^\omega(\tilde{U}_n^-) F_\sigma^\omega(\tilde{U}_n^+)^* e^{i(P - d\Gamma(\hat{p})) \cdot (X_{t_4} - X_{s_4})} Q_{\Omega_n}^\Omega \psi \right]. \end{aligned}$$

Recalling Step 1 and using that convergence of an exponential moment implies convergence of all moments, we see that

$$(3.19) \quad \begin{aligned} &\mathbb{E} \left[(u_\infty - u_{\Omega_n})^N e^{u_n} F_\gamma^\omega(\tilde{U}_n^-) F_\sigma^\omega(\tilde{U}_n^+)^* e^{i(P - d\Gamma(\hat{p})) \cdot (X_{t_4} - X_{s_4})} Q_{\Omega_n}^\Omega \psi \right] \\ &= \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{E} \left[(u_m - u_n)^N e^{u_n} F_\gamma^\omega(\tilde{U}_n^-) F_\sigma^\omega(\tilde{U}_n^+)^* e^{i(P - d\Gamma(\hat{p})) \cdot (X_{t_4} - X_{s_4})} Q_{\Omega_n}^\Omega \psi \right]. \end{aligned}$$

Now further observing

$$u_m - u_n = u_{\alpha_m^-, \alpha_n^-, \alpha_m^+}(s_1, t_1) + u_{\alpha_n^-, \alpha_m^+, \alpha_n^+}(s_1, t_1),$$

we can apply Theorem 2 which yields that the left hand side of (3.19) is an element of $\overline{\mathcal{F}}_+(\Omega)$. Furthermore, Theorem 2 implies that the $N = 0$ summand of (3.18) is an element of $\mathcal{F}_+(\Omega_n)$. This proves the statement. \square

Remark 3.1. We emphasize that our assumptions in Theorem 3 do not imply convergence of v_n^\pm in $\mathfrak{l}_{1,\omega}(\Omega)$ or α_n^\pm in $\mathfrak{l}_2(\Omega)$. Thus, we appropriately describe renormalized models with mild divergences by the above result.

Theorem 3 yields ergodicity of the semigroup of the Fröhlich polaron.

Corollary 4. *If $\lambda < 0$, then $e^{-tH_{F,\infty}(P)}$ is positivity improving for any $P \in \mathbb{R}^3$.*

Proof. For the ultraviolet regularized Fröhlich polaron (3.10), we have the Feynman–Kac formula

$$e^{-tH_{F,\Lambda}(P)} = \mathbb{E} \left[e^{u - v_\Lambda, -v_\Lambda} F_{t/2}^\omega(U_{-e^{-\bullet} v_\Lambda}(0, t)) F_{t/2}^\omega(U_{-e^{-(t-\bullet)\omega} v_\Lambda}(0, t)) e^{i(P - d\Gamma(\hat{p})) \cdot X_t} \right],$$

where $(X_t)_{t \geq 0} = X = X_{nr}$ is now three-dimensional Brownian motion and ω and v_Λ are defined as in (3.11), also see Proposition 5 for a more general statement. The required moment and convergence bounds (3.14) for the Fröhlich polaron are proven in [HM24b, Theorems 5.1 and 6.1], so by Step 1 of above proof the semigroup $e^{-tH_{F,\infty}(P)}$ is given by (3.15).¹ Further, if $\lambda < 0$, then the positivity assumptions (3.13) are also easily verified from the definitions (3.11), so Theorem 3 applies and proves the statement. \square

Remark 3.2. Although this result is fairly standard in the ultraviolet regularized case, the authors could not find a direct proof in the literature for the operator without ultraviolet cutoff. We do remark, however, that it can be inferred from the Perron–Frobenius–Faris theorem [RS78, Theorem XIII.44] for those total momenta $P \in \mathbb{R}^3$ for which the Hamiltonian has a spectral gap, which is known to hold at least for total momenta P with small absolute value, since ω is massive, i.e., uniformly bounded from below by a positive constant, see for example [Pol23] for a recent discussion of this fact.

3.3. Self-Energy Renormalized Cases. We now move to the study of models which require a self-energy renormalization. A key argument in our main result Theorem 8 is the Trotter product formula Proposition 6, which will follow from the next proposition providing an evolution equation for our functional integrals.

To derive the evolution equation, we require more structures of our processes than in the previous results. Thus and throughout this section, we as usually denote by $C(M_1, M_2)$ the space of continuous functions from the topological space M_1 to the topological space M_2 and by $C^1(I)$ the once continuously differentiable functions on the interval $I \subset \mathbb{R}$.

¹In fact, by reinspecting Step 1, we see that this provides an alternative proof for the convergence statement (3.12).

Proposition 5 (Evolution Equation). *Let $g_{\pm} \in C([0, \infty), \mathfrak{D}_{\omega}(\Omega))$ and $f \in C^1([0, \infty))$ and define*

$$(3.20) \quad h(t, x) = d\Gamma(\omega f'(t)) + a(e^{-i\hat{p} \cdot x} g_{-}(t)) + a^{\dagger}(e^{-i\hat{p} \cdot x} g_{+}(t))$$

with $f'(t) = \frac{df(t)}{dt}$. Then $h(t, x)$ is selfadjoint on $\mathcal{D}(d\Gamma(\omega))$ for any $t \geq 0$ and any $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$. Further, let

$$(3.21) \quad \begin{aligned} v^{-}(s) &= e^{-f(s)\omega} g_{-}(s), & v_t^{+}(s) &= e^{-|f(t)-f(s)|\omega} g_{+}(s), \\ \alpha^{-}(t, s) &= 2^{-1/2} v^{-}(t), & \alpha^{+}(t, s) &= 2^{-1/2} v_t^{+}(s). \end{aligned}$$

Then the $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{F}(\Omega))$ -valued Bochner–Lebesgue integral

$$(3.22) \quad S_{s,t}^{\Omega}(P) := \mathbb{E} \left[e^{u_{\alpha^{-}, \alpha^{+}}(s,t)} F_{f(t)/2}^{\omega}(U_{v^{-}}(s, t)) F_{f(t)/2}^{\omega}(U_{v_t^{+}}(s, t))^{*} e^{i(P-d\Gamma(\hat{p})) \cdot (X_t - X_s)} \right]$$

defines a family of bounded operators satisfying the flow equation

$$(3.23) \quad S_{r,t}^{\Omega}(P) S_{s,r}^{\Omega}(P) = S_{s,t}^{\Omega}(P),$$

leaving $\mathcal{D} = \mathcal{D}(d\Gamma(\omega)) \cap \mathcal{D}(\Psi(P - d\Gamma(\hat{p})))$ invariant and solving the initial value problem

$$(3.24) \quad \frac{d}{dt} S_{s,t}^{\Omega}(P) \psi = -(\Psi(P - d\Gamma(\hat{p})) + h(t, 0)) S_{s,t}^{\Omega}(P) \psi, \quad S_{s,s}^{\Omega}(P) \psi = \psi, \quad \psi \in \mathcal{D}.$$

Proof. By the usual bounds (2.8) and the Kato–Rellich theorem, the selfadjointness of $h(t, x)$ follows. The remaining proof is a straightforward extension of methods from [GMM17, HM24a] and hence moved to Appendix A. \square

Remark 3.3. By reinspecting our definitions, the reader will recognize that

$$(3.25) \quad u_{\alpha^{-}, \alpha^{+}}(S, T) = \int_S^T \langle e^{-i\hat{p} \cdot X_t} v^{-}(t) | U_{v_t^{+}}(S, t) \rangle dt,$$

an expression which is used as definition for the complex action in previous articles.

We can now derive a Trotter-type product formula for different domains of the Nelson-type semigroups introduced above. In the statement, for $\Omega_1, \Omega_2 \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ measurable and $P_1, P_2 \in \mathbb{R}^d$, we will use the operator

$$(3.26) \quad L_{P_1, P_2}(\Omega_1, \Omega_2) := \Psi(P_1 - d\Gamma(\hat{p} \mathbf{1}_{\Omega_1})) + \Psi(P_2 - d\Gamma(\hat{p} \mathbf{1}_{\Omega_2})) - \Psi(P_1 + P_2 - d\Gamma(\hat{p}))$$

on $\mathcal{F}(\Omega_1 \cup \Omega_2)$. To ensure that $L_{P_1, P_2}(\Omega_1, \Omega_2)$ is selfadjoint and bounded from below, we will require the following assumption on the particle dispersion Ψ .

Assumption A($\Omega_1, \Omega_2, P_1, P_2$). *There exists $C \in \mathbb{R}$ such that for all $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$, all $k_1, \dots, k_n \in \Omega_1$ and all $p_1, \dots, p_n \in \Omega_2$, it holds that*

$$\Psi \left(P_1 - \sum_{i=1}^n k_i \right) + \Psi \left(P_2 - \sum_{i=1}^n p_i \right) - \Psi \left(P_1 + P_2 - \sum_{i=1}^n (k_i + p_i) \right) \geq C.$$

Example 3.4. We show examples of Ψ satisfying **A**($\Omega_1, \Omega_2, P_1, P_2$).

- (1) If Ψ is subadditive, like in the case of the semi-relativistic dispersion relation $\Psi(p) = \sqrt{|p|^2 + M^2} - M^2$, then the statement easily follows for arbitrary $\Omega_1, \Omega_2 \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ and $P_1, P_2 \in \mathbb{R}^d$.
- (2) Let Ψ be a Lévy symbol. Then it satisfies $|\Psi(p)| \leq C|p|^2$ for some $C > 0$, by the Lévy–Khintchine formula (2.24). Thus, if $\Omega_1 \cup \Omega_2$ is bounded, then **A**($\Omega_1, \Omega_2, P_1, P_2$) is satisfied for arbitrary $P_1, P_2 \in \mathbb{R}^d$. In particular, in the case of the non-relativistic dispersion relation $\Psi(p) = \frac{1}{2}p^2$, **A**($\Omega_1, \Omega_2, P_1, P_2$) is fulfilled for arbitrary $P_1, P_2 \in \mathbb{R}^d$ if $\Omega_1 \cup \Omega_2$ is bounded.

The next statement is now a main technical ingredient to our proof of ergodicity in the subsequent theorem.

Proposition 6 (Trotter Product Formula). *Let $\Omega_1, \Omega_2 \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ be disjoint, let $\Theta \subset \Omega := \Omega_1 \cup \Omega_2$ such that Assumption **A**($\Theta \cap \Omega_1, \Theta \cap \Omega_2, P_1, P_2$) holds for $P_1, P_2 \in \mathbb{R}^d$ and let the assumptions of Proposition 5 be fulfilled. Further, let $s, T \geq 0$ and assume that there exists $C > 0$ such that*

$$(3.27) \quad \sup_{t \in [s, s+T]} \|\mathbb{Q}_\Theta^\Omega S_{s,t}^\Omega(P_1 + P_2)\mathbb{Q}_\Theta^\Omega\| \leq e^{C(t-s)}.$$

For $i = 1, 2$ and $t \in [s, s+T]$, we define $S_{s,t}^{\Omega_i}(P)$ as in (3.22) with ω and g_\pm replaced by $\omega|_{\Omega_i}$ and $g_\pm|_{\Omega_i}$, respectively. Then, using the identification $\mathcal{F}(\Omega) \cong \mathcal{F}(\Omega_1) \otimes \mathcal{F}(\Omega_2)$, we have

$$\mathbb{Q}_\Theta^\Omega \left(S_{s,s+T}^{\Omega_1}(P_1) \otimes S_{s,s+T}^{\Omega_2}(P_2) \right) \mathbb{Q}_\Theta^\Omega = \text{s-lim}_{N \rightarrow \infty} \prod_{i=1}^N \left(\mathbb{Q}_\Theta^\Omega S_{s+(i-1)\frac{T}{N}, s+i\frac{T}{N}}^\Omega(P_1 + P_2) \mathbb{Q}_\Theta^\Omega e^{-\frac{T}{N}L_{P_1, P_2}(\Omega_1 \cap \Theta, \Omega_2 \cap \Theta)} \right).$$

Proof. We want to apply the Chernoff formula [Vui10, Theorem, p. 2925]. To use similar notation to that article, let

$$\begin{aligned} U(s, t) &:= \mathbb{Q}_\Theta^\Omega \left(S_{s,t}^{\Omega_1}(P_1) \otimes S_{s,t}^{\Omega_2}(P_2) \right) \mathbb{Q}_\Theta^\Omega = (\mathbb{Q}_{\Theta \cap \Omega_1}^{\Omega_1} S_{s,t}^{\Omega_1}(P_1) \mathbb{Q}_{\Theta \cap \Omega_1}^{\Omega_1}) \otimes (\mathbb{Q}_{\Theta \cap \Omega_2}^{\Omega_2} S_{s,t}^{\Omega_2}(P_2) \mathbb{Q}_{\Theta \cap \Omega_2}^{\Omega_2}), \\ \xi_t(h) &:= \mathbb{Q}_\Theta^\Omega S_{t,t+h}^\Omega(P_1 + P_2) \mathbb{Q}_\Theta^\Omega e^{-hL}, \end{aligned}$$

where we abbreviated $L := L_{P_1, P_2}(\Omega_1 \cap \Theta, \Omega_2 \cap \Theta)$. Note that since $S_{s,t}^\Omega(P)$ leaves $\mathcal{F}(\Theta)$ invariant, the flow equation (3.23) carries over, i.e.,

$$U(r, t)U(s, r) = U(s, t), \quad r, t \in [s, s+T].$$

By the continuity of Lévy symbols, we see that $\mathcal{D} := \mathcal{D}(L) \cap \mathcal{D}(\text{d}\Gamma(\omega))$ is a core for the selfadjoint operator $\Psi(P_1 - \text{d}\Gamma(\hat{p})) + \Psi(P_2 - \text{d}\Gamma(\hat{p})) + h(t, 0)$, by employing test vectors with only finitely many non-vanishing Fock space components which are smooth functions with bounded support.²

The main result from [Vui10, Theorem, p.2925] now directly implies Proposition 6 if, for any $\psi \in \mathcal{D}$, we can verify the four assumptions below:

$$(3.28a) \quad \xi_t(0) = \mathbb{1}, \quad t \in [s, s+T],$$

$$(3.28b) \quad \sup_{t \in [s, s+T]} \|\xi_t(h)\| \leq e^{Ch},$$

$$(3.28c) \quad \frac{d}{dt} U(s, t)\psi = -(\Psi(P_1 + P_2 - \Omega) + h(t, 0) + L)U(s, t)\psi, \quad t \in [s, s+T],$$

$$(3.28d) \quad \lim_{\tau \downarrow 0} \sup_{t \in [s, s+T]} \left\| \underbrace{\left(\frac{1}{\tau} (\xi_t(\tau) - 1) + \Psi(P_1 + P_2 - \text{d}\Gamma(\hat{p})) + h(t, 0) + L \right)}_{=: D_t(\tau)} U(s, t)\psi \right\| = 0.$$

We first note that (3.28a) is trivial. Further, (3.28b) follows from (3.27), since \mathbb{Q}_Θ^Ω is a projection and L is selfadjoint and bounded from below, by Assumption **A**($\Omega_1 \cap \Theta, \Omega_2 \cap \Theta, P_1, P_2$). The differential equation (3.24), which follows from Proposition 5, now implies (3.28c).

It remains to verify (3.28d). To this end, we first note that for any $\psi \in \mathbb{Q}_\Theta^\Omega \mathcal{D}$ and again employing that $\mathcal{F}(\Theta)$ is left invariant by $S_{s,t}^\Omega(P)$ and L , we have

$$\begin{aligned} D_t(\tau)\psi &= \left(\frac{1}{\tau} (S_{t,t+\tau}^\Omega(P_1 + P_2) - 1) - \Psi(P_1 + P_2 - \text{d}\Gamma(\hat{p})) + h(t, 0) \right) \psi \\ &\quad + S_{t,t+\tau}^\Omega(P_1 + P_2) \left(\frac{1}{\tau} (e^{-\tau L} - 1) - L \right) \psi + (S_{t,t+\tau}^\Omega(P_1 + P_2) - 1) L \psi. \end{aligned}$$

The second and third line are easily observed to converge to zero as $\tau \rightarrow 0$ uniformly in t , by (3.27) and the fact that continuous maps on compact sets are uniformly continuous. Further, by (3.24), we have

$$(S_{t,t+\tau}^\Omega(P_1 + P_2) - 1)\psi = - \int_0^\tau S_{t,t+r}^\Omega(P_1 + P_2) (\Psi(P_1 + P_2 - \text{d}\Gamma(\hat{p})) + h(t+r, 0)) \psi dr,$$

²For readers more familiar with Fock space calculus this is just the finite particle subspace over the smooth function with bounded support.

which converges to zero uniformly in t as $\tau \rightarrow 0$, since the map $(t, x) \mapsto h(t, x)\psi$ is continuous for any $\psi \in \mathcal{D}(d\Gamma(\omega))$. Summarizing the above observations, we have shown that

$$(3.29) \quad \lim_{\tau \downarrow 0} \sup_{t \in [s, s+T]} \|D_t(\tau)\psi\| = 0, \quad \psi \in \mathcal{D}.$$

From now fix $\psi \in \mathcal{D}$ and observe that $[s, s+T] \ni t \mapsto U(s, t)\psi \in \mathcal{D}$ is a continuous map with respect to the graph norm of $d\Gamma(\omega) + L$. Thus $\{U(s, t)\psi | t \in [s, s+T]\} \subset \mathcal{D}$ is compact with respect to the graph norm and for some $\varepsilon > 0$, we can pick a finite ε -covering $\{\Phi_j^\varepsilon | j = 1, \dots, N_\varepsilon\}$ of $\{U(s, t)\psi | t \in [s, s+T]\}$. Then for a fixed $\tau > 0$, we find

$$\sup_{t \in [s, s+T]} \|D_t(\tau)U(s, t)\psi\| \leq \max_{j=1, \dots, N_\varepsilon} \sup_{t \in [s, s+T]} \|D_t(\tau)\Phi_j^\varepsilon\| + \varepsilon \sup_{t \in [s, s+T]} \sup_{\sigma \in (0, T-t)} \|D_t(\sigma)\|_{\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{F}(\Omega))},$$

where the operator norm in the second term on the right hand side is finite by the uniform boundedness principle. Employing (3.29), we can now first take the limit $\tau \downarrow 0$ and afterwards the limit $\varepsilon \downarrow 0$, yielding (3.28d). This concludes the proof. \square

Let us for the convenience of the reader note the following simple criterion for the validity of (3.27). It is inspired by the explicit treatment of the $d = 2$ semi-relativistic Nelson model in [HM24a, Appendix B].

Lemma 7. *Suppose that $\|U_{v^-}(s, t)\|_\omega \leq C|t - s|^{1/2}$ and $\|U_{v_t^+}(s, t)\|_\omega \leq C$. Then (3.27) assumed in Proposition 6 holds true.*

Proof. The statement can directly be deduced from (2.11) and (3.25). \square

We can now state our main result on self-energy renormalized models. The main new ingredient of the proof, compared to the previous theorem, is an application of the above Trotter product formula in the spirit of [Far72] or [Miy19].

Theorem 8. *Let $T > 0$, let $P, P_n \in \mathbb{R}^d$ for $n \in \mathbb{N}$, and let $\Omega_1 \subset \Omega_2 \subset \dots \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ be an increasing sequence of measurable subsets. Set $\Omega = \bigcup_{n=1}^\infty \Omega_n$. For all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, assume that $f_n^\pm \in C^1([0, \infty))$ and that $g_n^\pm \in C([0, \infty), \mathfrak{D}_\omega(\Omega))$ satisfy $g_n^\pm(t) \lceil_{\Omega_n} \in L^2_+(\Omega_n)$ and $g_m^\pm \geq g_n^\pm$ for $m \geq n$ almost everywhere. Further set $f_0^\pm(t) = g_0^\pm(t) = 0$ for all $t \geq 0$. For $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$, we define*

$$(3.30) \quad \begin{aligned} v_{n,t}^-(s) &= v_n^-(s) = e^{-f_n(s)\omega} g_n^-(s), & v_{n,t}^+(s) &= e^{-|f_n(t) - f_n(s)|\omega} g_n^+(s), \\ \alpha_n^-(s) &= 2^{-1/2} v_n^-(s), & \alpha_n^+(t, s) &= 2^{-1/2} v_{n,t}^+(s). \end{aligned}$$

Let $U_v(s, t)$ and $u_{\alpha, \beta}(s, t)$ be given by (3.4). Assume that for all $s, t \in [0, T]$, $s \leq t$ and $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$ there exists a sequence $(e_n(s, t))_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subset \mathbb{R}$, a \mathbb{C} -valued random variable $u_{\infty, k}(s, t)$, $\mathfrak{D}_\omega(\Omega)$ -valued random variables $U_\infty^\pm(s, t)$ and $p, q, r, r' \geq 1$ with $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{2}{q} = 1$ and $\frac{1}{r} + \frac{1}{r'} = 1$ such that

$$(3.31a) \quad \mathbb{E} \left[|e^{pu_{\infty, 0}(s, t)}| \right] \leq e^{c(t-s)}, \quad \mathbb{E} \left[|e^{pu_{\infty, k}(s, t)}| \right] < \infty,$$

$$(3.31b) \quad \sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \mathbb{E} \left[e^{4qr(\|U_{v_{n,t}^\pm}(s, t)\| \vee \|U_\infty^\pm(s, t)\|)^2} \right] < e^{c(t-s)},$$

$$(3.31c) \quad \sup_{\substack{s, t \in [0, T] \\ s \leq t}} \mathbb{E} \left[\left| e^{u_{\alpha_n^- - \alpha_k^-, \alpha_n^+ - \alpha_k^+}(s, t) + e_n(s, t) - e_k(s, t)} - e^{u_{\infty, k}(s, t)} \right|^p \right] \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} 0,$$

$$(3.31d) \quad \sup_{\substack{s, t \in [0, T] \\ s \leq t}} \mathbb{E} \left[\|U_{v_{n,t}^\pm}(s, t) - U_\infty^\pm(s, t)\|^{qr'} \right] \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} 0.$$

Now if

$$(3.32) \quad \mathbb{E} \left[e^{u_{\infty, k}(s, t) + i(P - P_k) \cdot (X_t - X_s)} \right] \neq 0 \quad \text{for all } k \in \mathbb{N}_0,$$

then the $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{F}(\Omega))$ -valued Bochner–Lebesgue integral

$$(3.33) \quad S_{s,t}^{(\infty,0)}(P) := \mathbb{E} \left[e^{u_{\infty,0}(s,t)} F_{f(t)/2}^\omega(U_\infty^-(s,t)) F_{f(t)/2}^\omega(U_\infty^+(s,t)) e^{i(P-d\Gamma(\hat{p})) \cdot (X_t - X_s)} \right]$$

is well-defined and positivity improving for all $s, t \in [0, T]$ such that $s \leq t$.

Proof. Throughout this proof, we assume $s, t \in [0, T]$ with $s \leq t$, set $\Omega_0 = \emptyset$ and for $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$ with $n \geq k$ denote

$$\alpha_{n,k}^\pm := (\alpha_n^\pm - \alpha_k^\pm) \llbracket_{\Omega_n \setminus \Omega_k}, \quad v_{n,k,t}^- := v_{n,k}^- := (v_n^- - v_k^-) \llbracket_{\Omega_n \setminus \Omega_k}, \quad v_{n,k,t}^+ := (v_{n,t}^+ - v_{k,t}^+) \llbracket_{\Omega_n \setminus \Omega_k},$$

$$S_{s,t}^{(n,k)}(P) := \mathbb{E} \left[e^{u_{\alpha_{n,k}^-, \alpha_{n,k}^+}(s,t) + e_n(s,t) - e_k(s,t)} F_{f(t)/2}^\omega(U_{v_{n,k,t}^-}(s,t)) F_{f(t)/2}^\omega(U_{v_{n,k,t}^+}(s,t))^* e^{i(P-d\Gamma(\hat{p})) \cdot (X_t - X_s)} \right],$$

where the latter is well-defined in $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{F}(\Omega_n \setminus \Omega_k))$ similar to the argument in Step 1 of the proof of Theorem 3. Further, given a measurable $\Theta \subset \Omega$, we will employ the identifications $L^2(\Omega) \cong L^2(\Theta) \oplus L^2(\Omega \setminus \Theta)$ as well as $\mathcal{F}(\Omega) \cong \mathcal{F}(\Theta) \oplus \mathcal{F}(\Theta)^\perp$ as in (2.2), which especially allows us to treat $S_{s,t}^{(n,k)}$ as bounded operators on $\mathcal{F}(\Omega_n)$ and $\mathcal{F}(\Omega)$ as well.

Now note that the convergence in (3.31d) carries over to $v_{n,k,t}^\pm$, i.e., setting $U_{\infty,k}^\pm := U_\infty^\pm \llbracket_{\Omega \setminus \Omega_k}$ we have

$$\sup_{\substack{s,t \in [0,T] \\ s \leq t}} \mathbb{E} \left[\|U_{v_{n,k,t}^\pm}(s,t) - U_{\infty,k}^\pm(s,t)\|^{qr'} \right] \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} 0.$$

We write

$$S_{s,t}^{(\infty,k)}(P) := \mathbb{E} \left[e^{u_{\infty,k}(s,t)} F_{f(t)/2}^\omega(U_{\infty,k}^+(s,t)) F_{f(t)/2}^\omega(U_{\infty,k}^-(s,t))^* e^{i(P-d\Gamma(\hat{p})) \cdot (X_t - X_s)} \right],$$

which is well defined in $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{F}(\Omega \setminus \Omega_k))$ by the assumptions (3.31a) and (3.31b).

Further, similar to Step 1 of the proof of Theorem 3, the assumptions (3.31a) to (3.31d) imply that

$$(3.34) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{\substack{s,t \in [0,T] \\ s \leq t}} \|S_{s,t}^{(\infty,k)}(P) - S_{s,t}^{(n,k)}(P)\| = 0 \quad \text{for all } k \in \mathbb{N}_0.$$

A similar argument using (3.31a) and (3.31b) and Hölders inequality yields

$$(3.35) \quad \sup_{n \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{\infty\}} \sup_{\substack{s,t \in [0,T] \\ s \leq t}} \|S_{s,t}^{(n,0)}(P)\| \leq e^{c(t-s)}.$$

Finally, note that Theorem 2 as well as our monotonicity and positivity assumptions on g_n^\pm imply that $S_{s,t}^{(k,0)}(P)$ is positivity improving on $\mathcal{F}(\Omega_k)$ for any $k \in \mathbb{N}$. By (3.34), this yields that $S_{s,t}^{(\infty,k)}(P)$ is positivity preserving on $\mathcal{F}(\Omega \setminus \Omega_k)$ and thus also on $\mathcal{F}(\Omega)$ for any $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$.

We now want to apply the Trotter product formula established in Proposition 6, but Assumption $\mathbf{A}(\Omega_n, \Omega \setminus \Omega_n, P_n, P - P_n)$ is not satisfied in general, whence we introduce bounded subsets of Ω to apply the Trotter product formula. To this end let Θ be any bounded subset of Ω . Since Ψ is the Lévy symbol for the stochastic process $X = (X_t)_{t \geq 0}$, Example 3.4 (2) implies that Ψ satisfies Assumption $\mathbf{A}(\Theta \cap \Omega_n, \Theta \cap (\Omega \setminus \Omega_n), P_n, P - P_n)$. Since we work under the assumptions of Proposition 5 with $g_\pm = g_n^\pm$ and $f = f_n^\pm$ and since we verified assumption (3.27) by (3.35), Proposition 6 and the identification $\mathcal{F}(\Omega) \cong \mathcal{F}(\Omega_k) \otimes \mathcal{F}(\Omega \setminus \Omega_k)$ imply that

$$(3.36) \quad Q_\Theta^\Omega \left(S_{s,t}^{(k,0)}(P_k) \otimes S_{s,t}^{(n,k)}(P - P_k) \right) Q_\Theta^\Omega = \text{s-lim}_{N \rightarrow \infty} \prod_{i=1}^N \left(Q_\Theta^\Omega S_{s+(i-1)\frac{t-s}{N}, s+i\frac{t-s}{N}}^{(n,0)}(P) Q_\Theta^\Omega e^{-\frac{t-s}{N} L_k} \right)$$

for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$, where we defined $L_k := L_{P_k, P - P_k}(\Theta \cap \Omega_k, \Theta \cap (\Omega \setminus \Omega_k))$. From (3.34) we immediately see that the left hand side of (3.36) converges in norm as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Furthermore, for a fixed $N \in \mathbb{N}$, we see that $\prod_{i=1}^N \left(Q_\Theta^\Omega S_{s+(i-1)\frac{t-s}{N}, s+i\frac{t-s}{N}}^{(n,0)}(P) Q_\Theta^\Omega e^{-\frac{t-s}{N} L_k} \right)$ converges in norm as $n \rightarrow \infty$ and the convergence is uniform in $N \in \mathbb{N}$ by (3.35). Thus, for any $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$,

$$(3.37) \quad Q_\Theta^\Omega \left(S_{s,t}^{(k,0)}(P_k) \otimes S_{s,t}^{(\infty,k)}(P - P_k) \right) Q_\Theta^\Omega = \text{s-lim}_{N \rightarrow \infty} \prod_{i=1}^N \left(Q_\Theta^\Omega S_{s+(i-1)\frac{t-s}{N}, s+i\frac{t-s}{N}}^{(\infty,0)}(P) Q_\Theta^\Omega e^{-\frac{t-s}{N} L_k} \right).$$

We now prove that $Q_\Theta^\Omega S_{s,t}^{(\infty,0)}(P)Q_\Theta^\Omega[\mathcal{F}(\Theta)]$ is in fact positivity improving on $\mathcal{F}(\Theta)$. Thus, let us assume we have $\phi, \psi \in \overline{\mathcal{F}}_+(\Theta)$ such that $\langle Q_\Theta^\Omega S_{s,t}^{(\infty,0)}(P)Q_\Theta^\Omega \phi, \psi \rangle = \langle S_{s,t}^{(\infty,0)}(P)\phi, \psi \rangle = 0$. Since $S_{s,t}^{(\infty,0)}(P)$ is positivity preserving and thus its adjoint is positivity preserving as well, cf. [Miu03] or [Miy19, Lemma 2.7],³ we know $\text{supp}(P_m \phi) \cap \text{supp}(P_m S_{s,t}^{(\infty,0)}(P)^* \psi)$ is a Lebesgue zero set for any $m \in \mathbb{N}$. Further, since L_k is a multiplication operator on $\mathcal{F}^{(m)}(\Theta)$ the same holds for $\text{supp}(P_m e^{-qL_k} \phi) \cap \text{supp}(P_m S_{s,t}^{(\infty,0)}(P)^* \psi)$ for any $q \geq 0$, so

$$\left\langle e^{-\frac{t-s}{N}L_k} \phi, S_{s,t}^{(\infty,0)}(P)^* \psi \right\rangle = 0.$$

By the flow equation (3.23) we have $S_{s,t}^{(\infty,0)}(P) = S_{s+\frac{t-s}{N},t}^{(\infty,0)}(P)S_{s,s+\frac{t-s}{N}}^{(\infty,0)}(P)$ and thus

$$\left\langle Q_\Theta^\Omega S_{s,s+\frac{t-s}{N}}^{(\infty,0)}(P)Q_\Theta^\Omega e^{-\frac{t-s}{N}L_k} \phi, S_{s+\frac{t-s}{N},t}^{(\infty,0)}(P)^* \psi \right\rangle = 0.$$

Similarly we obtain that

$$\left\langle e^{-\frac{t-s}{N}L_k} Q_\Theta^\Omega S_{s,s+\frac{t-s}{N}}^{(\infty,0)}(P)Q_\Theta^\Omega e^{-\frac{t-s}{N}L_k} \phi, S_{s+\frac{t-s}{N},t}^{(\infty,0)}(P)^* \psi \right\rangle = 0.$$

Again by the flow equation (3.23), we have $S_{s+\frac{t-s}{N},t}^{(\infty,0)}(P) = S_{s+\frac{2(t-s)}{N},t}^{(\infty,0)}(P)S_{s+\frac{t-s}{N},s+\frac{2(t-s)}{N}}^{(\infty,0)}(P)$ and

$$\left\langle Q_\Theta^\Omega S_{s+\frac{t-s}{N},s+\frac{2(t-s)}{N}}^{(\infty,0)}(P)Q_\Theta^\Omega e^{-\frac{t-s}{N}L_k} Q_\Theta^\Omega S_{s,s+\frac{t-s}{N}}^{(\infty,0)}(P)Q_\Theta^\Omega e^{-\frac{t-s}{N}L_k} \phi, S_{s+\frac{2(t-s)}{N},t}^{(\infty,0)}(P)^* \psi \right\rangle = 0.$$

Iterating this procedure, we arrive at

$$\left\langle \left(\prod_{\ell=1}^N Q_\Theta^\Omega S_{s+\frac{\ell-1}{N}(t-s),s+\frac{\ell}{N}(t-s)}^{(\infty,0)}(P)Q_\Theta^\Omega e^{-\frac{t-s}{N}L_k} \right) \phi, \psi \right\rangle = 0.$$

In the limit $N \rightarrow \infty$, the Trotter product formula (3.37) now implies

$$\left\langle S_{s,t}^{(k,0)}(P_k) \otimes S_{s,t}^{(\infty,k)}(P - P_k) \phi, \psi \right\rangle = 0.$$

We set $Q_k = Q_{\Theta \cap \Omega_k}^\Theta$ and rewrite the above identity as

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \left\langle S_{s,t}^{(k,0)}(P_k) \otimes S_{s,t}^{(\infty,k)}(P - P_k)(\mathbb{1} - Q_k) \phi, (\mathbb{1} - Q_k) \psi \right\rangle \\ &\quad + \left\langle S_{s,t}^{(k,0)}(P_k) \otimes S_{s,t}^{(\infty,k)}(P - P_k) Q_k \phi, (\mathbb{1} - Q_k) \psi \right\rangle \\ &\quad + \left\langle S_{s,t}^{(k,0)}(P_k) \otimes S_{s,t}^{(\infty,k)}(P - P_k)(\mathbb{1} - Q_k) \phi, Q_k \psi \right\rangle + \left\langle S_{s,t}^{(k,0)}(P_k) \otimes S_{s,t}^{(\infty,k)}(P - P_k) Q_k \phi, Q_k \psi \right\rangle. \end{aligned}$$

Now using that $(\mathbb{1} - Q_k) \phi, (\mathbb{1} - Q_k) \psi, Q_k \phi, Q_k \psi \in \overline{\mathcal{F}}_+(\Theta) \subset \overline{\mathcal{F}}_+(\Omega)$, by (2.3a) and (2.3b), all terms in the sum are non-negative, whence we have

$$\left\langle S_{s,t}^{(k,0)}(P_k) \otimes S_{s,t}^{(\infty,k)}(P - P_k) Q_k \phi, Q_k \psi \right\rangle = 0.$$

Under the identification $\mathcal{F}(\Theta) \cong \mathcal{F}(\Theta \cap \Omega_k) \otimes \mathcal{F}(\Theta \setminus \Omega_k)$, the vector $Q_k \phi$ is of the form $Q_k \phi = \phi_k \otimes \Omega_F$ with $\phi_k \in \overline{\mathcal{F}}_+(\Theta)$, where Ω_F is the Fock vacuum of $\mathcal{F}(\Theta \setminus \Omega_k)$. Similarly $Q_k \psi = \psi_k \otimes \Omega_F$ with $\psi_k \in \overline{\mathcal{F}}_+(\Theta)$. This yields

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \left\langle S_{s,t}^{(k,0)}(P_k) \otimes S_{s,t}^{(\infty,k)}(P - P_k) Q_k \phi, Q_k \psi \right\rangle = \left\langle S_{s,t}^{(k,0)}(P_k) \phi_k, \psi_k \right\rangle \left\langle \Omega_F, S_{s,t}^{(\infty,k)}(P - P_k) \Omega_F \right\rangle \\ &= \left\langle S_{s,t}^{(k,0)}(P_k) \phi_k, \psi_k \right\rangle \mathbb{E}[e^{u_{\infty,k} + i(P - P_k) \cdot (X_t - X_s)}] \end{aligned}$$

and hence using (3.32)

$$\left\langle S_{s,t}^{(k,0)}(P_k) \phi_k, \psi_k \right\rangle = 0.$$

Thus, since $S_{s,t}^{(k,0)}(P_k)$ is positivity improving on $\mathcal{F}(\Omega_k)$, we have that $\phi_k = 0$ or $\psi_k = 0$ for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$, so $\phi = 0$ or $\psi = 0$. This proves that $Q_\Theta^\Omega S_{s,t}^{(\infty,0)}(P)Q_\Theta^\Omega[\mathcal{F}(\Theta)]$ is indeed positivity improving. Since Θ can be any arbitrary bounded set, this proves the statement. \square

³In fact, $S_{s,t}^\Omega(P)$ is selfadjoint, but to prove this from the functional integral representation one needs to employ a rather complicated technical procedure, cf. [MM18]. Thus, we refrain from doing so here and use a simpler abstract result.

Remark 3.5. One major technical difficulty of the proof of Theorem 8 is the restriction to the bounded subset $\Theta \subset \Omega$, which is due to the fact that Assumption $\mathbf{A}(\Omega_1, \Omega_2, P_1, P_2)$ is not satisfied for arbitrary sets $\Omega_1, \Omega_2 \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ for $\Psi = \Psi_{\text{nr}}(p) = \frac{1}{2}|p|^2$. An appropriate generalization of the Trotter product formula in Proposition 6 allowing for $L_{P_1, P_2}(\Omega_1, \Omega_2)$ to be unbounded from below would allow a more direct approach along the lines of our proof, since we could then set $\Theta = \Omega$.

We conclude with the

Proof of Theorem 1. From (2.19), (2.25) and (2.30), we recall that

$$\begin{aligned} e^{-tH_{\#, n}(P)} &= \mathbb{E} \left[e^{u_{n,t}^{\#, -}} F_{t/2}^\omega(U_{n,t}^{\#, -}) F_{t/2}^\omega(U_{n,t}^{\#, +})^* e^{i(P - d\Gamma(\hat{p})) \cdot X_t^\#} \right] \\ &\xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{E} \left[e^{u_t^\#} F_{t/2}^\omega(U_t^{\#, -}) F_{t/2}^\omega(U_t^{\#, +})^* e^{i(P - d\Gamma(\hat{p})) \cdot X_t^\#} \right] = e^{-tH_\#(P)} \end{aligned}$$

in the strong sense. Again, we could have also deduced the convergence from (3.34). We now apply Theorem 8 with $s = 0$ to show that the right hand side above is positivity improving. To this end, we choose $\Omega_n = \{k \in \mathbb{R}^d \mid |k| < n\}$, $P_n = P$, $f_n(t) = t$, $g_n^\pm(t) = -v_n = -\lambda \mathbb{1}_{|\cdot| < n} \omega(\cdot)^{-1/2}$ and $e_n(s, t) = E_n |s - t|$ for any $s, t \geq 0$. Then our definitions $u_{n,t}$ and $U_{n,t}^\pm$ agree with $u_{\alpha_n^-, \alpha_n^+}(0, t)$ and $U_{-v_n^\pm}(0, t)$, respectively, cf. (2.27), (2.29) and (3.4). Further, the positivity and monotonicity assumptions on g_n^\pm are easily verified from the definitions and the assumption $\lambda < 0$. We have already recalled the convergence assumptions (3.31c) and (3.31d) in (2.26) and (2.28) (note that $u_{n,k} = u_n - u_k$ here) and the exponential moment bounds assumed in (3.31a) and (3.31b) can be found in [MM18, Corollary 3.21, Theorem 4.9] for the case $\# = \text{nr}$ and [HM24a, Theorems 6.6 and 6.7, Lemma B.1] for the case $\# = \text{sr}$. The final assumption (3.32) is trivial in our case, since $u_{n,t}$ is easily seen to be real-valued for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and thus also u_t is. Hence, all assumptions of Theorem 8 are satisfied here and the statement follows. \square

Acknowledgements. The authors thank Oliver Matte for valuable discussions on the subject. BH acknowledges funding by the Ministry of Culture and Science of the State of North Rhine-Westphalia within the project ‘PhoQC’ (Grant Nr. PROFILNRW-2020-067). FH is financially supported by JSPS KAKENHI 20K20886 and JSPS KAKENHI 20H01808.

APPENDIX A. EVOLUTION EQUATIONS FOR FEYNMAN–KAC EVOLUTION SYSTEMS

The following proof is a generalization of previous discussions given in [GMM17, MM18, HM24a, HM23]. Some technical discussions are deferred to those articles.

Proof of Proposition 5.

Step 1. We start by studying the integrand of the path integral

$$(A.1) \quad W_{s,t} := e^{u_{\alpha^-, \alpha^+}(s,t)} F_{f(t)/2}(U_{v_t^+}(s,t)) F_{f(t)/2}(U_{v_t^-}(s,t))^*.$$

and prove the integral equation

$$(A.2) \quad W_{s,t} \phi - \phi = - \int_s^t h(r, X_r) W_{s,r} \phi dr.$$

To this end, we will first choose ϕ to be an exponential vector, i.e., for $h \in L^2(\Omega)$ the Fock space vector given by

$$(A.3) \quad P_n \varepsilon(h) := \frac{1}{\sqrt{n!}} h^{\otimes n} \in \mathcal{F}(\Omega).$$

They satisfy

$$(A.4) \quad a(f) \varepsilon(h) = \langle f, h \rangle \varepsilon(h) \quad \text{and} \quad \langle \varepsilon(h_1) | d\Gamma(\omega) \varepsilon(h_2) \rangle = \langle h_1 | \omega h_2 \rangle e^{\langle h_1, h_2 \rangle}$$

for $f, h_1 \in L^2(\Omega)$ and $h_2 \in \mathcal{D}(\omega)$. A direct calculation, cf. [MM18, Remark 5.2], yields

$$F_t^\omega(f) \varepsilon(h) = \varepsilon(f + e^{-t\omega} h) \quad \text{and} \quad F_t^\omega(f)^* \varepsilon(h) = e^{\langle f | h \rangle} \varepsilon(e^{-t\omega} h).$$

Thus

$$W_{s,t}\varepsilon(h) = e^{u_{\alpha^-, \alpha^+}(s,t) - \langle U_{v^-}(s,t) | h \rangle} \varepsilon(e^{-f(t)\omega} h - U_{v_t^+}(s,t)).$$

Inserting our choices (3.21)

$$\langle \varepsilon(h_2) | W_{s,t}\varepsilon(h_1) \rangle = e^{\int_s^t \theta(s,r) dr + \langle h_2 | h_1 \rangle} = \langle \varepsilon(h_2) | \varepsilon(h_1) \rangle + \int_s^t \theta(s,r) \langle \varepsilon(h_2) | W_{s,r}\varepsilon(h_1) \rangle dr$$

with

$$\theta(s,t) = \langle e^{-i\hat{p}\cdot X_t} g^-(t) | U_{v_t^+}(s,t) \rangle - \langle e^{-i\hat{p}\cdot X_t} v^-(t) | h_1 \rangle - \langle h_2 | f'(t)\omega(e^{-f(t)\omega} h_1 - U_{v_t^+}(s,t)) + e^{-i\hat{p}\cdot X_t} v_t^+(t) \rangle.$$

In view of (A.4), this yields

$$\langle \varepsilon(h_2) | W_{s,t}\varepsilon(h_1) \rangle - \langle \varepsilon(h_2) | \varepsilon(h_1) \rangle = - \int_s^t \langle \varepsilon(h_2) | h(r, X_r) W_{s,r}\varepsilon(h_1) \rangle dr.$$

Since the span of exponential vectors is dense in $L^2(\Omega)$ and a core for $h(r, X_r)$, by the Kato–Rellich theorem, cf. [Par92], this proves (A.2).

Step 2. We now prove (3.24), following the proofs of [HM23, Lemma 7.1, Theorem 7.3]. For more details, we refer the reader there

To this end, note that for $\eta \in \mathcal{D}(|d\Gamma(\hat{p})|^2)$, the map $z \mapsto e^{i(P - d\Gamma(\hat{p}) \cdot z)} \eta$ is twice differentiable and we can apply Itô's formula (cf. [HM24a, Lemma 3.6] for the version used here) in conjunction with Itô's product formula and Step 1 to obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\langle e^{i(P - d\Gamma(\hat{p})) \cdot X_t} \eta \middle| W_{s,t} \phi \right\rangle - \langle \eta | \phi \rangle \\ &= - \int_s^t \left\langle e^{i(P - d\Gamma(\hat{p})) \cdot X_r} \eta \middle| \left(\Psi(P - d\Gamma(\hat{p})) + h(r, X_r) \right) W_{s,r} \phi \right\rangle dr \\ & \quad + \int_s^t \left\langle e^{i(P - d\Gamma(\hat{p})) \cdot X_r} d\Gamma(\hat{p}) \eta \middle| W_{s,r} \phi \right\rangle \cdot dB_r^\Psi \\ & \quad + \int_{(s,t] \times \mathbb{R}^d} \left\langle e^{i(P - d\Gamma(\hat{p})) \cdot X_{r-}} \left(e^{i(P - d\Gamma(\hat{p})) \cdot z} - 1 \right) \eta \middle| W_{s,r} \phi \right\rangle d\tilde{N}_\Psi(r, z), \end{aligned}$$

where B_r^Ψ is the Brownian part of X and $\tilde{N}_\Psi(r, z)$ is the Poisson random measure associated with X , by the Lévy–Itô decomposition, cf. [App09, Theorem 2.4.16]. The terms in the last two lines are martingales starting at zero and hence drop out when taking the expectation. Further, recalling that $S_{s,t}^\Omega(P) = \mathbb{E}[W_{s,t}^* e^{i(P - d\Gamma(\hat{p})) \cdot X_t}]$ as well as $e^{-i(P - d\Gamma(\hat{p})) \cdot X_t} h(t, X_t) = h(t, 0) e^{-i(P - d\Gamma(\hat{p})) \cdot X_t}$, we find

$$S_{s,t_2}^\Omega(P) \phi - S_{s,t_1} \phi = - \int_{t_1}^{t_2} S_{s,r}^\Omega(P) (\Psi(P - d\Gamma(\hat{p})) + h(t, 0)) \phi dr$$

Selfadjointness of $\Psi(P - d\Gamma(\hat{p})) + h(t, 0)$ and continuity of $t \mapsto S_{s,t}^\Omega(P)$ imply the desired differential equation (3.24).

Step 3. It remains to prove (3.23).

Directly from our definitions of u and U , it is straightforward to verify

$$e^{-i(P - d\Gamma(\hat{p})) \cdot (X_t - X_s)} W_{s,t} = e^{-i(P - d\Gamma(\hat{p})) \cdot (X_t - X_r)} W_{r,t} e^{-i(P - d\Gamma(\hat{p})) \cdot (X_r - X_s)} W_{s,r},$$

by first calculating the action of both sides on exponential vectors and then using a density argument, see for example [MM18, Lemma 4.18] for more details on the explicit calculations. By taking expectations and using the stationary and independent increments of our Lévy process, one easily arrives at (3.23), see for example [HM23, Theorem 6.6 and Corollary 6.7] for an in-depth discussion. \square

REFERENCES

- [Amm00] Z. Ammari. Asymptotic Completeness for a Renormalized Nonrelativistic Hamiltonian in Quantum Field Theory: The Nelson Model. *Math. Phys. Anal. Geom.*, 3(3):217–285, 2000. doi:10.1023/A:1011408618527.
- [App09] D. Applebaum. *Lévy Processes and Stochastic Calculus*, volume 116 of *Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2nd edition, 2009. doi:10.1017/CBO9780511809781.
- [Ara18] A. Arai. *Analysis on Fock Spaces and Mathematical Theory of Quantum Fields*. World Scientific, New Jersey, 2018. doi:10.1142/10367.
- [BDG21] V. Beaud, W. Dybalski, and G. M. Graf. Infraparticle States in the Massless Nelson Model: Revisited. In Press, 2021, arXiv:2105.05723, doi:10.1007/s00023-022-01261-2.
- [BDP12] S. Bachmann, D.-A. Deckert, and A. Pizzo. The mass shell of the Nelson model without cut-offs. *J. Funct. Anal.*, 263(5):1224–1282, 2012, arXiv:1104.3271. doi:10.1016/j.jfa.2012.04.021.
- [BFS98] V. Bach, J. Fröhlich, and I. M. Sigal. Renormalization Group Analysis of Spectral Problems in Quantum Field Theory. *Adv. Math.*, 137(2):205–298, 1998. doi:10.1006/aima.1998.1733.
- [Can71] J. Cannon. Quantum field theoretic properties of a model of Nelson: Domain and eigenvector stability for perturbed linear operators. *J. Funct. Anal.*, 8(1):101–152, 1971. doi:10.1016/0022-1236(71)90023-1.
- [Dam20] T. N. Dam. Absence of Ground States in the Translation Invariant Massless Nelson Model. *Ann. Henri Poincaré*, 21(8):2655–2679, 2020, arXiv:1808.00088. doi:10.1007/s00023-020-00928-y.
- [DH22] T. N. Dam and B. Hinrichs. Absence of ground states in the renormalized massless translation-invariant Nelson model. *Rev. Math. Phys.*, 34(10):2250033, 2022, arXiv:1909.07661. doi:10.1142/S0129055X22500337.
- [DP14a] D.-A. Deckert and A. Pizzo. Ultraviolet Properties of the Spinless, One-Particle Yukawa Model. *Commun. Math. Phys.*, 327(3):887–920, 2014, arXiv:1208.2646. doi:10.1007/s00220-013-1877-9.
- [DP14b] W. Dybalski and A. Pizzo. Coulomb Scattering in the Massless Nelson model I. Foundations of Two-Electron Scattering. *J. Stat. Phys.*, 154(1):543–587, 2014, arXiv:1302.5001. doi:10.1007/s10955-013-0857-y.
- [Far72] W. G. Faris. Invariant Cones and Uniqueness of the Ground State for Fermion Systems. *J. Math. Phys.*, 13(8):1285–1290, 1972. doi:10.1063/1.1666133.
- [Frö54] H. Fröhlich. Electrons in Lattice Fields. *Adv. Phys.*, 3(11):325–361, 1954. doi:10.1080/00018735400101213.
- [Frö73] J. Fröhlich. On the infrared problem in a model of scalar electrons and massless scalar bosons. *Ann. de l’Inst. Henri Poincaré*, 19(1):1–103, 1973.
- [Frö74] J. Fröhlich. Existence of dressed one-electron states in a class of persistent models. *Fortschr. Phys.*, 22(3):159–198, 1974. doi:10.1002/prop.19740220304.
- [GHL14] M. Gubinelli, F. Hiroshima, and J. Lőrinczi. Ultraviolet renormalization of the Nelson Hamiltonian through functional integration. *J. Funct. Anal.*, 267(9):3125–3153, 2014, arXiv:1304.6662. doi:10.1016/j.jfa.2014.08.002.
- [GJ70] J. Glimm and A. Jaffe. The $\lambda(\varphi^4)_2$ quantum field theory without cutoffs: II. The field operators and the approximate vacuum. *Ann. Math.*, 91:362–401, 1970. doi:10.2307/1970582.
- [GMM17] B. Güneysu, O. Matte, and J. S. Møller. Stochastic differential equations for models of non-relativistic matter interacting with quantized radiation fields. *Probab. Theory Relat. Fields*, 167(3-4):817–915, 2017, arXiv:1402.2242. doi:10.1007/s00440-016-0694-4.
- [Gro71] L. Gross. A noncommutative extension of the Perron-Frobenius theorem. *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 77:343–347, 1971. doi:10.1090/S0002-9904-1971-12686-1.
- [Gro72] L. Gross. Existence and uniqueness of physical ground states. *J. Funct. Anal.*, 10(1):52–109, 1972. doi:10.1016/0022-1236(72)90057-2.
- [Gro73] L. Gross. The relativistic polaron without cutoffs. *Commun. Math. Phys.*, 31(1):25–73, 1973. doi:10.1007/BF01645589.
- [GW18] M. Griesemer and A. Wünsch. On the domain of the Nelson Hamiltonian. *J. Math. Phys.*, 59(4):042111, 2018, arXiv:1711.10916. doi:10.1063/1.5018579.
- [HHS24] D. Hasler, B. Hinrichs, and O. Siebert. Non-Fock ground states in the translation-invariant Nelson model revisited non-perturbatively. *J. Funct. Anal.*, 286(7):110319, 2024, arXiv:2302.06998. doi:10.1016/j.jfa.2024.110319.
- [Hir00] F. Hiroshima. Ground states of a model in nonrelativistic quantum electrodynamics. II. *J. Math. Phys.*, 41(2):661–674, 2000. doi:10.1063/1.533158.
- [Hir07] F. Hiroshima. Fiber Hamiltonians in non-relativistic quantum electrodynamics. *J. Funct. Anal.*, 252(1):314–355, 2007, arXiv:math-ph/0607014. doi:10.1016/j.jfa.2007.06.006.
- [Hir15] F. Hiroshima. Translation invariant models in QFT without ultraviolet cutoffs. Preprint, 2015, arXiv:1506.07514.
- [HL20] F. Hiroshima and J. Lőrinczi. *Feynman-Kac-Type Theorems and Gibbs Measures on Path Space. Volume 2: Applications in Rigorous Quantum Field Theory*, volume 34/2 of *De Gruyter Studies in Mathematics*. De Gruyter, Berlin, 2nd edition, 2020. doi:10.1515/9783110403541.
- [HL24] B. Hinrichs and J. Lampart. A Lower Bound on the Critical Momentum of an Impurity in a Bose–Einstein Condensate. *C. R. Math.*, 362:1399–1412, 2024, arXiv:2311.05361. doi:10.5802/crmath.652.
- [HM22] F. Hiroshima and O. Matte. Ground states and their associated path measures in the renormalized Nelson model. *Rev. Math. Phys.*, 34(2):2250002, 2022, arXiv:1903.12024. doi:10.1142/S0129055X22500027.
- [HM23] B. Hinrichs and O. Matte. Feynman–Kac formula for fiber Hamiltonians in the relativistic Nelson model in two spatial dimensions. Preprint, 2023, arXiv:2309.09005.
- [HM24a] B. Hinrichs and O. Matte. Feynman–Kac Formula and Asymptotic Behavior of the Minimal Energy for the Relativistic Nelson Model in Two Spatial Dimensions. *Ann. Henri Poincaré*, 25(6):2877–2940, 2024, arXiv:2211.14046. doi:10.1007/s00023-023-01369-z.
- [HM24b] B. Hinrichs and O. Matte. Feynman–Kac formulas for semigroups generated by multi-polaron Hamiltonians in magnetic fields and on general domains. Preprint, 2024, arXiv:2403.12147.
- [Lam20] J. Lampart. The renormalized Bogoliubov–Fröhlich Hamiltonian. *J. Math. Phys.*, 61(10):101902, 2020, arXiv:1909.02430. doi:10.1063/5.0014217.

- [Lam21] J. Lampart. The Resolvent of the Nelson Hamiltonian Improves Positivity. *Math. Phys. Anal. Geom.*, 24(1):2, 2021, arXiv:2010.03235. doi:10.1007/s11040-021-09374-6.
- [Lam23] J. Lampart. Hamiltonians for Polaron Models with Subcritical Ultraviolet Singularities. *Ann. Henri Poincaré*, 24(8):2687–2728, 2023, arXiv:2203.07253. doi:10.1007/s00023-023-01285-2.
- [LHB20] J. Lórincci, F. Hiroshima, and V. Betz. *Feynman-Kac-Type Theorems and Gibbs Measures on Path Space. Volume 1: Feynman-Kac-Type Formulae and Gibbs Measures*, volume 34/1 of *De Gruyter Studies in Mathematics*. De Gruyter, Berlin, 2nd edition, 2020. doi:10.1515/9783110330397. Feynman-Kac-type formulae and Gibbs measures.
- [LLP53] T.-D. Lee, F. E. Low, and D. Pines. The motion of slow electrons in a polar crystal. *Phys. Rev.*, 90(2):297–302, 1953. doi:10.1103/PhysRev.90.297.
- [LS19] J. Lampart and J. Schmidt. On Nelson-Type Hamiltonians and Abstract Boundary Conditions. *Commun. Math. Phys.*, 367(2):629–663, 2019, arXiv:1803.00872. doi:10.1007/s00220-019-03294-x.
- [LY58] E. H. Lieb and K. Yamazaki. Ground-State Energy and Effective Mass of the Polaron. *Phys. Rev.*, 111:728–733, 1958. doi:10.1103/PhysRev.111.728.
- [Miu03] Y. Miura. On order of operators preserving selfdual cones in standard forms. *Far East J. Math. Sci.*, 8(1):1–9, 2003.
- [Miy19] T. Miyao. On the semigroup generated by the renormalized Nelson Hamiltonian. *J. Funct. Anal.*, 276(6):1948–1977, 2019, arXiv:1803.08659. doi:10.1016/j.jfa.2018.11.001.
- [Miy21] T. Miyao. On Renormalized Hamiltonian Nets. *Ann. Henri Poincaré*, 22(9):2935–2973, 2021, arXiv:1810.12716. doi:10.1007/s00023-021-01029-0.
- [MM18] O. Matte and J. S. Møller. Feynman-Kac Formulas for the Ultra-Violet Renormalized Nelson Model. *Astérisque*, 404, 2018, arXiv:1701.02600. doi:10.24033/ast.1054.
- [Mø05] J. S. Møller. The Translation Invariant Massive Nelson Model: I. The Bottom of the Spectrum. *Ann. Henri Poincaré*, 6(6):1091–1135, 2005. doi:10.1007/s00023-005-0234-8.
- [Mø06] J. S. Møller. On the Essential Spectrum of the Translation Invariant Nelson Model. In *Mathematical Physics of Quantum Mechanics*, volume 690 of *Lecture Notes in Physics*, pages 179–195. Springer, Berlin, 2006. doi:10.1007/3-540-34273-7_15.
- [Nel64] E. Nelson. Interaction of Nonrelativistic Particles with a Quantized Scalar Field. *J. Math. Phys.*, 5(9):1190–1197, 1964. doi:10.1063/1.1704225.
- [Par92] K. R. Parthasarathy. *An Introduction to Quantum Stochastic Calculus*, volume 85 of *Monographs in Mathematics*. Birkhäuser, Basel, 1992. doi:10.1007/978-3-0348-0566-7.
- [Piz03] A. Pizzo. One-particle (improper) States in Nelson’s Massless Model. *Ann. Henri Poincaré*, 4(3):439–486, 2003. doi:10.1007/s00023-003-0136-6.
- [Piz05] A. Pizzo. Scattering of an *Infraparticle*: The One Particle Sector in Nelson’s Massless Model. *Ann. Henri Poincaré*, 6(3):553–606, 2005. doi:10.1007/s00023-005-0216-x.
- [Pol23] S. Polzer. Renewal approach for the energy–momentum relation of the Fröhlich polaron. *Lett. Math. Phys.*, 113(4):90, 2023, arXiv:2206.14425. doi:10.1007/s11005-023-01711-w.
- [RS78] M. Reed and B. Simon. *Analysis of Operators*, volume 4 of *Methods of Modern Mathematical Physics*. Academic Press, San Diego, 1978.
- [Sch19] J. Schmidt. On a direct description of pseudorelativistic Nelson Hamiltonians. *J. Math. Phys.*, 60(10):102303, 2019, arXiv:1810.03313. doi:10.1063/1.5109640.
- [Sim73] B. Simon. Ergodic Semigroups of Positivity Preserving Self-Adjoint Operators. *J. Funct. Anal.*, 12:335–339, 1973. doi:10.1016/0022-1236(73)90084-0.
- [Slo74] A. D. Sloan. The polaron without cutoffs in two space dimensions. *J. Math. Phys.*, 15:190–201, 1974. doi:10.1063/1.1666620.
- [Vui10] P.-A. Vuillermot. A generalization of Chernoff’s product formula for time-dependent operators. *J. Funct. Anal.*, 259(11):2923–2938, 2010. doi:10.1016/j.jfa.2010.07.018.

BENJAMIN HINRICHS, UNIVERSITÄT PADERBORN, INSTITUT FÜR MATHEMATIK, INSTITUT FÜR PHOTONISCHE QUANTENSYSTEME, WARBURGER STR. 100, 33098 PADERBORN, GERMANY

Email address: benjamin.hinrichs@math.upb.de

FUMIO HIROSHIMA, FACULTY OF MATHEMATICS, KYUSHU UNIVERSITY 819-0395 744 NISHI-KU MOTOOKA, FUKUOKA, JAPAN

Email address: hiroshima.fumio.965@m.kyushu-u.ac.jp