Neutrinos from explosive transients at the dawn of multi-messenger astronomy

Irene Tamborra^{1,*}

¹Niels Bohr International Academy & DARK, Niels Bohr Institute, University of Copenhagen, Blegdamsvej 17, 2100 Copenhagen, Denmark *e-mail: tamborra@nbi.ku.dk

ABSTRACT

With the advent of time-domain astronomy and the game-changing next generation of telescopes, we have unprecedented opportunities to explore the most energetic events in our Universe through electromagnetic radiation, gravitational waves, and neutrinos. These are elementary particles, which exist in three different flavors and change the latter as they propagate in the dense core of astrophysical sources as well as en route to Earth. To capitalize on existing and upcoming multi-messenger opportunities, it is crucial to understand: 1. the role of neutrinos in explosive transient sources as well as in the synthesis of the elements heavier than iron; 2. the impact of neutrino physics on the multi-messenger observables; 3. the information on the source physics carried by the detectable neutrino signal. In this review, the status of this exciting and fast-moving field is outlined, focusing on astrophysical sources linked to collapsing massive stars and neutron-star mergers. In light of the upcoming plethora of multi-messenger data, outstanding open issues concerning the optimization of multi-messenger detection strategies are discussed.

1 Introduction

We are used to exploring the Universe through electromagnetic radiation. But now we can capture multiple cosmic messengers, including neutrinos, gravitational waves, and cosmic rays. Each messenger can provide complementary insight into the source physics. This multi-messenger approach is revolutionizing our understanding of the Universe. Neutrinos play a key role in this context^[1]. They are elementary particles copiously produced in the compact cores and outskirts of dense astrophysical sources. Due to the weakness of their interactions, neutrinos are crucial messengers of the physics of stellar interiors, since they can travel large distances undisturbed unlike photons. At the same time, the abundance of neutrinos in dense astrophysical sources makes their overall interaction rate large, with implications on the source physics and the nucleosynthesis taking place in the source itself.

Rapid progress has occurred in neutrino astronomy in the past decade, with the discovery of astrophysical neutrinos with energy above $\mathscr{O}(10)$ TeV from the IceCube Neutrino Observatory, as well as the growing number of alerts due to likely joint detections of electromagnetic and neutrino signals from blazars, active galaxies (such as NGC 1068), and our own Galaxy^[2;3]. In addition, the first detection of gravitational waves from the merger of two neutron stars in 2017 was followed up by electromagnetic signals across wavebands coming from the associated gamma-ray burst and the kilonova (i.e., the bright electromagnetic transient powered by the radioactive decay of isotopes heavier than iron synthesized in the merger)^[4;5]. Neutrinos were not detected in coincidence with the gravitational wave event GW 170817^[6;7], in agreement with theoretical expectations. However, neutrinos are essential characters in the physics of neutron-star merger remnants, indirectly affecting the multi-messenger emission^[8]. The modeling of neutrino physics and weak interactions is swiftly advancing in light of our renewed understanding of the impact that such physics has on the remnants of neutron-star mergers as well as in the explosive death of massive stars, and nucleosynthesis^[9–13].

The growing number of multi-messenger detections calls for an advanced modeling of the source and related particle production, as in most cases inconsistencies arise between naïve theoretical models and observations^[14–16]. In addition, the advent of time-domain astronomy has led to the discovery of new classes of astrophysical transients with puzzling features, such as fast blue optical transients^[17,18], which could be neutrino emitters^[19]. These sources are characterized by a lightcurve with a rise time faster than the typical supernova one, high peak luminosity, and their photon spectra appear blue. The understanding of the physics governing these objects is crucial to understand how massive stars end their lives and whether these sources could act as additional reservoirs of chemical elements.

In the light of these exciting major theoretical developments and the advent of large-scale observational facilities, this review outlines the state-of-the-art in neutrino astrophysics within a multi-messenger framework, it is arranged as follows. In Sec. 2, the theory underlying the collapse of a massive star, the physics of neutron-star mergers, as well as recent developments

on the role of neutrinos in these sources are discussed. The features of the detectable thermal [$\mathcal{O}(10)$ MeV] neutrino signal are also explored, together with what we could potentially learn from such detections. Section 3 focuses on the non-thermal, high-energy [10^3-10^9 GeV] neutrino emission from sources stemming from collapsing massive stars. The source regions where efficient particle acceleration could take place are highlighted, jointly with how the detection of high-energy neutrinos could be instrumental to discriminate the processes powering the source. Multi-messenger detection strategies are outlined. Finally, future challenges and opportunities are discussed in Sec. 4.

2 Neutrinos from the interiors of explosive transients

In this section, an overview of the supernova mechanism is provided, followed by a discussion on neutrino flavor conversion in core-collapse supernovae and its impact on the neutrino-driven explosion mechanism. Then, the signatures of the source physics imprinted in the neutrino signal expected from a supernova burst as well as the ingredients entering the cumulative neutrino flux from all stellar collapses in the Universe are outlined. Neutrinos are also produced in neutron-star mergers copiously. After summarizing the physics of neutron-star mergers, we review the indirect impact of neutrinos on the multi-messenger observables and neutrino diffuse emission.

2.1 Core-collapse supernovae

2.1.1 The core-collapse supernova mechanism

Stars with a mass larger than 8 M_{\odot} evolve in hydrostatic equilibrium, developing an onion-shell structure, with increasingly heavier chemical elements synthetized through nuclear burning. Once iron nuclei are formed, the stellar core loses the nuclear energy support, and energy to the stellar core can only be provided by stellar contraction. At first, the degenerate stellar core is stable against the gravitational collapse. As the degenerate core approaches the Chandrasekhar limit, the gravitational collapse sets in. For collapsing stars with zero-age-main-sequence (ZAMS) mass $M_{ZAMS} \lesssim 9M_{\odot}$, the core is mainly made of oxygen, neon, and magnesium; the gravitational instability of the stellar core is set off by electron capture by nuclei. For more massive stars with iron cores, the instability is triggered by the photo-disintegration of iron nuclei, due to the higher core temperature and pressure. Once the collapse begins, the subsequent electron captures (on nuclei, $(Z,A) + e^- \rightarrow (Z-1,A) + v_e$ with Z and A being the atomic and mass numbers, and free protons) continue to neutronize the core and reduce the electron degeneracy pressure, leading to a runaway collapse. During the core collapse, the inner part of the stellar core (where the baryonic density exceeds $\gtrsim 10^{12}$ g cm⁻³) contracts subsonically as a homologous core, while the outer part undergoes supersonic collapse. The infall stops when super-nuclear densities are reached in the inner core, which is mostly populated by nucleons. At this point, a shock wave forms on the surface of the homologous core. The shock propagates outwards into the supersonically infalling matter. Meanwhile, the homologous core and mass falling through the shock lead to the formation of a neutron star, which cools via neutrino emission. As the shock propagates, it dissociates matter into free nucleons, losing energy. The electron capture by free protons behind the shock is responsible for the emission of a burst of electron neutrinos: the neutronization burst. After a few tens of milliseconds since the core bounce, the shock stagnates as a standing accretion shock. To revive the shock, the delayed neutrino mechanism is considered to be the driver of the vast majority of supernova explosions. Only a small fraction of stellar collapses are magneto-rotationally driven. In addition, magnetic fields can act as an additional energy reservoir in the gain region, aiding the neutrino-driven mechanism.

According to the neutrino-driven mechanism^[20;21], about one percent of the binding energy is deposited by neutrinos (the gravitational binding energy of the collapsing massive star is $\sim 3 \times 10^{53}$ ergs, with 99% of this energy being carried by neutrinos) in the region behind the stagnant shock through electron (anti)neutrino captures on free neutrons (protons) until matter is heated up so much as to overcome the ram pressure of the infalling layers. Large scale asymmetries form in the postshock region due to hydrodynamic instabilities (e.g., convective overturns of neutrino-heated gas and the standing accretion shock instability, SASI)^[22;23]. Such instabilities support the shock revival, pushing it outwards and enabling the runaway shock expansion responsible for the supernova explosion. Neutrinos also drive their hydrodynamical instability during the stalled-shock phase: the lepton-number emission self-sustained asymmetry (LESA)^[24]. In the presence of LESA, the flux of electron neutrinos minus antineutrinos develops a large-scale emission asymmetry, with implications for neutron-star kicks, flavor conversion, and the neutron-to-proton ratio in the neutrino-heated outflows.

The extreme speed observed for pulsars^[25] hints that neutron stars should have natal kicks due to asymmetric ejection of matter and neutrinos. Hydrodynamic simulations of the core collapse show that hydrodynamic and neutrino kicks (e.g. due to LESA) contribute to the natal kick of the compact remnant^[26;27]. The recent electromagnetic detection of the inert (i.e. X-ray dormant) stellar-mass black hole binary VFTS 243 allows for the first direct inference of the neutrino natal kick^[28].

According to the magneto-rotational mechanism^[29], if the proto-neutron star is fast rotating, its rotational energy can be tapped by magnetic fields. The latter can be amplified by convective dynamo, turbulent flows, and magnetorotational instabilities until the energy required to revive the shock is achieved. In some cases, jets can be launched, driving highly asymmetric stellar explosions. If the jet launching is not successful, the jet may be choked in the dense stellar envelope, with no

Table 1. Multi-messenger transients stemming from supernovae (SN) and neutron star (NS) mergers, expected to be neutrino emitters, including gamma-ray bursts (GRB) as well as luminous fast blue optical transients (FBOT). From left to right, the local rate is listed together with the electromagnetic (EM) luminosity with the related wavebands in parenthesis, the thermal (th) and non-thermal (non-th) neutrino luminosities in their characteristic energy range, as well as the gravitational wave (GW) energy in the associated frequency range.

Source	Local rate	EM luminosity	Th. v luminosity	Non-th. v luminosity	GW energy
	$[Gpc^{-3} yr^{-1}]$	$[\text{erg s}^{-1}]$	$[\text{erg s}^{-1}]$	$[\text{erg s}^{-1}]$	[erg]
Type IIp SN	4.4×10^{4} [35]	10 ⁴² [36]	10^{53} [32]	3.3×10^{40} [37]	$10^{44} - 10^{48}$ [38]
		[UVOIR, gamma-rays]	[1–10 ² MeV]	$[1-10^8 \text{ GeV}]$	$[10^2 - 10^3 \text{ Hz}]$
Type IIn SN	8×10^{3} [35]	$10^{43}[39]$		3.3×10^{41} [37]	
		[UVOIR, gamma-rays]		$[1-10^8 \text{ GeV}]$	
Type Ib/c SN	3×10^{4} [40]	10^{43} ^[41]		$6.7 \times 10^{33} [37]$	
		[optical, gamma-rays]		$[1-10^8 \text{ GeV}]$	
Superluminous	91 ^[42]	$10^{43} - 10^{44} [43]$		10 ^{41 [44]}	
SN		[optical, radio/X-rays]		$[10^2 - 10^7 \text{ GeV}]$	
NS merger &	10-1700 ^[45]	$10^{41} - 10^{42}$ [46]	1.5×10^{53} [11]	5×10^{47} [47]	$\lesssim 2.2 \times 10^{53}$ [48]
kilonova	$\lesssim 900^{[49]}$	UVOIR	$[1-10^2 \text{ MeV}]$	$[10^2 - 10^7 \text{ GeV}]$	$[10^3 \text{ Hz}]^{[50]}$
Long GRB	1.5 ^[51]	10 ^{51 [52]}	N/A	6×10^{50} [53]	10 ^{50 [54]}
		[X-rays, gamma (prompt)		$[10^2 - 10^8 \text{ GeV}]$	[10–10 ² Hz]
		broadband (afterglow)]			
Short GRB	150 ^[55]	$10^{52}[56]$		10 ^{42 [57]}	
		[X-rays, gamma (prompt)		$[10^2 - 10^8 \text{ GeV}]$	
		broadband (afterglow)]			
Luminous	300 ^[18]	10 ^{44 [18;58]}	N/A	$\lesssim 10^{51} $ [59]	N/A
FBOT		[UVOIR, X-rays, radio]		$[10^2 - 10^7 \text{ GeV}]$	

or dim electromagnetic signatures. Explosions driven by rotation and magnetic fields are expected to lead to superluminous supernovae and certain gamma-ray bursts^[30;31]. It remains to be understood up to which level assumptions on the pre-collapse magnetic field profile could affect the post-collapse dynamics of the massive star.

During the stellar collapse, we expect neutrinos of all flavors to be emitted for $\mathcal{O}(10)$ s and with an average energy of $\mathcal{O}(10)$ MeV^[32] (cf. Table 1 for a summary of the multi-messenger emission from a core-collapse supernova; an overview of the neutrino absorption, production, and direction-changing processes shaping the neutrino distributions in core-collapse supernovae is provided in^[33;34]). Gravitational waves should be emitted approximately in the same timeframe as MeV neutrinos. The neutronization burst of electron neutrinos is expected to be visible for the first tens of milliseconds. Then, during the accretion phase, electron neutrinos and antineutrinos are emitted with roughly similar luminosities, which are larger than the non-electron neutrino ones. As the newly born proto-neutron star cools and deleptonizes, after the explosion, (anti)neutrinos of all flavors are emitted with comparable luminosity. The antineutrino fluence at Earth from a core-collapse supernova in our Galaxy is shown in blue in the left panel of Fig. 1 as a function of the neutrino energy; for simplicity, flavor conversion (see Sec. 2.1.2) is neglected; the fluence at Earth should be a combination of the non-oscillated ones represented in Fig. 1.

The SN 1987A remains the only core-collapse supernova detected in neutrinos up to date^[65]. While only approximately two dozen neutrino events were gathered in 1987, these data have been crucial showing broad agreement with theoretical expectations; the observed duration of the neutrino burst provided the first evidence of neutrino diffusion out of a dense core. Recent work has given a fresh look into our understanding of the collected neutrino events, confirming that the theoretical models agree well with the SN 1987A neutrino signal detected during the first seconds^[66]. However, the neutrino events observed around 10 s are still challenging to explain, since state-of-the-art supernova simulations including proto-neutron-star convection and nucleon correlations in the opacities of neutrinos predict a shorter cooling phase.



Figure 1. Antineutrino fluence from a supernova and a neutron-star merger (left panel) and cumulative flux of neutrinos from all supernovae in our Universe (right panel). *Left*: Fluences of \bar{v}_e (solid lines) and \bar{v}_x (= \bar{v}_μ or \bar{v}_τ , dashed lines) from a core-collapse supernova (in blue) and a neutron-star merger remnant (in orange, multiplied by 4 for visual purposes), both located at 10 kpc from Earth. All curves have been obtained neglecting flavor conversion. The core-collapse supernova model is a 20 M_{\odot} progenitor^[60] with SFHo nuclear equation of state^[61]. The merger remnant model is an asymmetric merger of two neutron stars with masses of 1.2 and 1.5 M_{\odot} and SFHo nuclear equation of state^[62]. For our models, the \bar{v}_e fluence from neutron-star merger remnants is about one order of magnitude smaller than the supernova one; however, neutron-star mergers are not expected to occur as frequently as supernovae. *Right*: Diffuse supernova neutrino background in the electron antineutrino channel as a function of the neutrino energy. The band is representative and obtained allowing for uncertanties on the supernova rate and fraction of black hole forming collapses^[63]. The black error bars represent the upper limits from Super-Kamiokande loaded with Gadolinium^[64]. The DSNB detection is approaching, with the Super-Kamiokande limits touching the theoretical predictions.

2.1.2 Flavor conversion of neutrinos

Neutrino quantum kinetics

When the density of neutrinos is large, as it is the case in the proximity of the proto-neutron star, neutrinos interact among themselves, in addition to interactions with the matter background. To model this phenomenon, for a time *t*, location **x**, and momentum **p**, the neutrino mean field is represented through a 3×3 density matrix in the flavor basis [$\rho(t, \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{p})$ for neutrinos and $\bar{\rho}(t, \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{p})$ for antineutrinos]. The diagonal elements of the density matrix represent the occupation numbers linked to the phase-space densities and the off-diagonal elements track flavor coherence. The equations of motion for neutrinos and antineutrinos are, respectively^[67]:

$$[\partial_t + \mathbf{v} \cdot \nabla_{\mathbf{x}} + \mathbf{F} \cdot \nabla_{\mathbf{p}}] \rho_{\mathbf{x},\mathbf{p},t} = -\iota[\mathscr{H}_{\mathbf{x},\mathbf{p},t}, \rho_{\mathbf{x},\mathbf{p},t}] + \mathscr{C}[\rho_{\mathbf{x},\mathbf{p},t}, \bar{\rho}_{\mathbf{x},\mathbf{p},t}], \qquad (1)$$

$$[\partial_t + \mathbf{v} \cdot \nabla_{\mathbf{x}} + \mathbf{F} \cdot \nabla_{\mathbf{p}}] \bar{\rho}_{\mathbf{x},\mathbf{p},t} = -\iota[\tilde{\mathscr{H}}_{\mathbf{p},\mathbf{x},t}, \bar{\rho}_{\mathbf{x},\mathbf{p},t}] + \bar{\mathscr{C}}[\rho_{\mathbf{x},\mathbf{p},t}, \bar{\rho}_{\mathbf{x},\mathbf{p},t}] .$$
⁽²⁾

For each equation, the terms on the left hand side take into account neutrino propagation (with $\mathbf{v} = \mathbf{p}/|\mathbf{p}|$ being the unit vector representing the direction of the velocity of the neutrino field) and the impact of an external force (**F**, such as the gravitational field) on neutrino propagation; on the right hand side, the functionals \mathscr{C} and $\widetilde{\mathscr{C}}$ encapsulate details on non-forward collisions of neutrinos with other neutrinos as well as with the medium (i.e., absorption, emission, and direction-changing processes).

The Hamiltonian matrix in the commutator is $\mathcal{H}_{\mathbf{x},\mathbf{p},t} = \mathcal{H}_{vac} + \mathcal{H}_{mat} + \mathcal{H}_{vv}$. The vacuum term of the Hamiltonian $(\mathcal{H}_{vac} = \mathcal{M}^2/2E)$ depends on \mathcal{M}^2 which is the matrix of squared neutrino masses responsible for vacuum oscillations and has opposite sign for neutrinos and antineutrinos. The matter term $[\mathcal{H}_{mat} = \text{diag}(\sqrt{2}G_F n_e, 0)]$ is a function of the effective electron density n_e . The *Mikheyev, Smirnov and Wolfenstein (MSW) resonant flavor conversion* of (anti)neutrinos in matter occurs when the diagonal components of the matter and vacuum Hamiltonians become comparable. (Note that, in this basis, \mathcal{H}_{vac} and \mathcal{H}_{mat} have the same sign for neutrinos and opposite one for antineutrinos ^[68].) The *neutrino self-interaction* term, $\mathcal{H}_{vv} = \sqrt{2}G_F(n_v + n_{\bar{v}}) \int d\mathbf{p}'(1 - \mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{v}') [\rho_{\mathbf{p},\mathbf{x},t} - \bar{\rho}_{\mathbf{p},\mathbf{x},t}]$, depends on the (anti)neutrino number density for all flavors $n_{v,(\bar{v})}$; the current-current nature of low-energy weak interactions is taken into account through the term $(1 - \mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{v}')$. The non-linear flavor evolution induced by neutrino-neutrino interactions is crucially affected by the neutrino angular distributions, in addition to their energy distributions.



Figure 2. Regions of flavor conversion of neutrinos in a core-collapse supernova (left panel) and a neutron-star merger remnant with outflows powering a kilonova and a gamma-ray burst (right panel). *Left*: Flavor conversion enhanced by the matter background (MSW resonances) and slow neutrino-neutrino conversion is expected to be relevant beyond the shock (cyan solid line). Flavor conversion mainly due to fast instabilities and eventually collisional ones can occur in the neutrino decoupling region (the surface of neutrino decoupling, *v*-sphere, is represented by the green solid line) and within the gain layer, with possible consequences on the explosion mechanism. Note that the distinction between regions of slow and fast neutrino-neutrino interactions is an oversimplification, since these two phenomena can coexist. *Right*: Flavor conversion due to neutrino-neutrino interactions and eventually collisional instabilities may affect the composition of the neutrino wind (and therefore the nucleosynthesis) in the surroundings of the polar axis and the cooling of the disk. At larger distances from the central compact object, because of the overall abundance of electron antineutrinos, the neutrino-neutrino term and the matter term may undergo a resonance, influencing the flavor evolution.

Due to the large neutrino density in the proximity of the neutrino decoupling region, the coherent forward scattering of neutrinos on each other can trigger flavor conversion, if a crossing in the angular distributions of the electron neutrino lepton number occurs. The conversion rate depends on the (anti)neutrino number density and has been dubbed "fast," since this flavor conversion can potentially exist in the limit of vanishing neutrino masses^[69;70]; this is different from the "slow" neutrino-neutrino flavor conversion that requires neutrino mass splittings.

Investigations relying on hydrodynamical simulations of the core collapse suggest that crossings in the neutrino lepton number could occur even before neutrino decoupling^[71;72] (note, however, that the flavor solution would require a consistent evolution with the supernova hydrodynamics, and this could affect the formation of crossings). Before neutrinos stream freely, they may also undergo flavor conversion triggered by collisional instabilities, if the collisional rate between neutrinos and antineutrinos should be different enough^[73]. Potentially, flavor conversion induced by collisional instabilities could interfere with fast flavor conversion, although recent work suggests that, in the presence of crossings in the electron neutrino lepton number, the growth rate of the fast flavor instability is larger than the one due to collisional instabilities^[74;75]. Yet, non-forward collisions have a non-negligible impact on flavor conversion, enhancing or suppressing the latter according to the shape of the angular distribution of the lepton number of neutrinos, or operating together with flavor conversion to shape the emerging neutrino spectra^[76;77]. MSW conversion is expected to further modify the flavor distribution in the outer layers of the star, beyond the shock radius. A visual summary of the flavor conversion regions in the supernova interiors is displayed in the left panel of Fig. 2. The combination of neutrino-neutrino and MSW flavor conversions is expected to mold the neutrino signal observed at Earth^[78], as well as the nucleosynthesis in the neutrino-driven outflows^[79].

The numerical solution of the seven-dimensional neutrino quantum kinetic equations coupled to the supernova hydrodynamics is not yet available given the technical and conceptual challenges caused by the large difference in characteristic scales of the terms entering the neutrino equations of motion^[80]. The collapse of massive stars is currently modeled through three-dimensional hydrodynamical simulations, where neutrino flavor conversion is neglected^[81]. Such simplification was justified in the past, also because it was a common understanding that flavor conversion could only take place beyond the shock radius, with no implications on the explosion mechanism^[82]. A recent growing body of work points towards the possibility that flavor conversion triggered by neutrino-neutrino interaction can alter the neutrino distributions of all flavors in the source core, even before neutrinos decouple from matter^[83]. By altering the flavor ratio within the gain region, flavor conversion could aid or hinder the supernova explosion mechanism according to the region where flavor conversion kicks in ^[9;10].

2.1.3 Detection strategies for multi-messenger searches

Among existing neutrino telescopes, the ones allowing for the largest supernova neutrino event rate are Super-Kamiokande^[84] and the IceCube Neutrino Observatory^[85] (cf. Table 1 of^[86] for an overview on neutrino telescopes and expected event statistics). While for core-collapse supernovae in our Galaxy, IceCube would guarantee the largest statistics, Super-Kamiokande would be background free with good approximation, given the small time window characterizing the supernova neutrino burst and should allow us to reconstruct event-by-event energy information.

Within the multi-messenger framework, the SuperNova Early Warning System (SNEWS) is an operating global network of neutrino telescopes that aims to release a prompt alert of core-collapse events to enable multi-messenger observations^[87]. In addition to being part of SNEWS, both Super-Kamiokande and IceCube are heavily involved in the development of multi-messenger alert systems. For example, Super-Kamiokande, in collaboration with the KamLAND detector, has established an alert system that searches for pre-supernova neutrinos and could release a warning about an upcoming electromagnetic burst about a dozen hours earlier^[88]. The IceCube supernova data acquisition system is being updated to swiftly react to alerts from the LIGO-Virgo-KAGRA gravitational wave network^[89].

Hyper-Kamiokande^[90] is expected to begin taking data in 2027 and the liquid scintillator Jiangmen Underground Neutrino Observatory (JUNO)^[91] should be operative already in 2025. These neutrino telescopes will guarantee large event statistics of supernova neutrinos with competitive pointing capabilities. If able to detect supernova neutrinos, the liquid-Argon Deep Underground Neutrino Experiment (DUNE)^[92] could provide key complementarity with respect to Hyper-Kamiokande and JUNO, being mostly sensitive to electron neutrinos rather than electron antineutrinos. In addition, experiments for the direct detection of dark matter, exploiting the coherent elastic scattering of neutrinos on nuclei, such as XENONnT^[93] at the Gran Sasso National Laboratory and the planned neutrino detector RES-NOVA^[94], have the potential to complement the potential of large-scale neutrino detectors, being sensitive to all neutrino flavors.

2.1.4 Supernova physics signatures imprinted in the neutrino signal

Despite the dependence of the neutrino signal on the progenitor mass of the collapsing star as well as the nuclear equation of state, neutrino detection will be instrumental in exploring the following^[32;78].

- *Alert of the stellar collapse*. Neutrinos reach Earth up to one day earlier than electromagnetic radiation. Pre-supernova neutrinos^[95] (cf.^[96] for a summary of the thermal processes leading to neutrino production during the stages of stellar evolution of a massive star) and the early detection of the supernova neutrino burst could inform astronomers of the upcoming electromagnetic burst, enabling the electromagnetic detection of the shock breakout^[97]. We can also use the neutrino signal to localize the stellar collapse in the sky and define the time window to search for gravitational waves as well as build a matching filter for gravitational wave searches^[98;99].
- *Black hole forming collapses.* The temporal evolution of the neutrino signal carries distinctive signatures, ending abruptly at the time of black hole formation^[100]. Such collapses would not be detectable through electromagnetic radiation. Therefore, the detection of neutrinos and gravitational waves could be essential to assess the rate of black hole forming collapses, complementing ongoing electromagnetic searches^[101].
- *Pre-explosion physics*. The temporal evolution of the neutrino event rate (during the accretion phase) carries clear imprints of the hydrodynamical instabilities characterizing the accretion phase, such as SASI and convection^[102]. Rotation can also affect the neutrino signal^[103;104].
- *Neutron star properties.* The late-time neutrino emission (during the Kelvin-Helmoltz cooling phase) strongly depends on the nuclear equation of state and the neutron-star properties. Despite the presence of degeneracies in the neutrino signal, its detection could place constraints on the physics of the compact remnant^[105;106].
- *Physics beyond the Standard Model.* The presence of exotic signatures in the time evolution of the neutrino signal and/or its energy distribution could be a manifestation of New Physics^[107]. However, degeneracies among multiple New Physics scenarios exist and are yet to be fully understood^[108]. According to the type of exotic features eventually appearing in the observed neutrino signal, before to draw any conclusions on the discovery of New Physics relying on core-collapse supernovae, one would need to model the standard core-collapse supernova scenario (including flavor conversion) consistently–an ambitious goal yet to be achieved.

2.1.5 Diffuse supernova neutrino background

Every second a supernova explodes somewhere in the Universe. The cumulative flux of neutrinos from all core-collapse supernovae is named diffuse supernova neutrino background (DSNB)^[109]. This stationary and isotropic flux depends on the

core-collapse supernova rate as a function of redshift, R(z), and the time-integrated (anti)neutrino spectral energy distribution $F_{v}(E)$ emitted from each collapsing star:

$$\Phi_{\nu}(E) = \int_0^\infty dz \, F_{\nu}(E_z) \frac{R(z)}{H(z)} \,, \tag{3}$$

with $E_z = (1+z)E$ being the redshifted neutrino energy, and H(z) is the Hubble parameter.

To date, the largest uncertainty plaguing the DSNB is the core-collapse supernova rate^[110], while the DSNB negligibly depends on the uncertainties on the initial mass function^[111]. The unknown rate of collapses leading to black hole formation^[112] and magnetorotational collapses^[113], as well as the metallicity evolution of the host galaxies^[114], and the impact of mass transfer during binary evolution (if the collapsing star happens to be in a binary system)^[115] are some of the uncertainties in the forecast of the DSNB. In addition, the unknowns linked to the supernova microphysics (such as the neutron star mass, high-density nuclear equation of state, and the flavor conversion physics^[116;117]) also affect the DSNB.

The upcoming DSNB detection will be instrumental in placing neutrino-based constraints on the properties of the supernova population, the average redshift distribution of supernovae in the local Universe, and the average supernova energetics. In particular, the rate of core-collapse supernovae measured through electromagnetic surveys could be biased because electromagnetically dark collapses could be missed, but such collapses would contribute to the DNSB^[118].

The Gadolinium loading of the water Cherenkov detector Super-Kamiokande has drastically reduced the signal over background ratio, already excluding some of the most optimistic DSNB models as well as hinting towards a signal excess at 2.3 $\sigma^{[64;119]}$. The latest Super-Kamiokande upper limits on \bar{v}_e 's of astrophysical origin are presented in the right panel of Fig. 1 together with the expected DSNB signal. In the future, Hyper-Kamiokande^[90], possibly loaded with Gadolinium, and JUNO^[120] will allow us to investigate the DSNB physics with larger statistics (cf. Table 2 of^[113] for estimations of the expected neutrino number of events). On the other hand, neutrino and direct-detection dark matter experiments, exploiting the coherent elastic neutrino scattering on nuclei, can place upper limits on the DSNB emission in the non-electron flavors^[121].

2.2 Neutron-star merger remnants

2.2.1 Neutron-star merger physics

In 2017, the joint detection of gravitational waves and electromagnetic radiation from the merger of two neutron stars confirmed the relevance of these objects for astrophysics and fundamental physics^[4;122]; see Table 1 for a summary of the multi-messenger energetics. The detection in 2017 has provided a strong indication that at least a fraction of the elements heavier than iron can be synthesized in these sources. Yet, several aspects of the physics of merger remnants remain to be clarified, such as the interplay between magnetic fields and neutrino transport, and their degree of sophistication^[123;124].

Following the merger event, the accretion disk undergoes cooling via neutrino emission, with neutrino-matter interactions determining the composition of the merger outflows^[125]. According to the mass of the system and the nuclear equation of state, the central compact object (a newly-born neutron star) can immediately collapse into a black hole or it can be a rapidly spinning neutron star remnant. In the latter scenario, a hyper-massive^[126] or supra-massive^[127] neutron star may form, which is expected to collapse into a black hole in $\gtrsim 1$ s from the merger, it is also foreseen that a stable neutron star may be born^[128].

The ejecta emitted in connection with the merger event have different origin and composition^[125;128], as displayed in the right panel of Fig. 2. During the merger, dynamical ejecta form. These consist of shock-driven ejecta (produced during the collision of the two neutron stars, with outflows expanding across all directions and especially in the polar region) and tidal ejecta (due to mass outflows confined in the proximity of the equatorial plane and gaining sufficient angular momentum to be ejected via pre-merger tidal forces), with the tidal component being characterized by a lower electron fraction since its matter is not affected by shock heating and neutrino irradiation. More massive ejecta can originate from the unbinding of the outer layers of the newly born stable or metastable neutron star caused by the build-up of magnetic pressure.

The accretion disk surrounding the central compact object can also produce an outflow, whose properties depend on the nature of the compact object, and is mainly driven by viscous heating and nuclear energy release^[129]. If the central compact object is a black hole, then the disk is dense enough to be initially opaque for neutrinos. As the temperature decreases, neutrino cooling tends to become inefficient, and viscous heating in the accretion disk leads to the isotropic ejection of material. If the central compact object is a neutron star, then a neutrino-driven wind expands in the proximity of the polar region, in addition to the viscous-driven disk ejecta.

The observation of the gamma-ray burst GRB 170817A in connection with the gravitational wave event GW170817^[50] has provided the first observational proof that the spinning black hole at the center of the merger event can harbor a Poynting-flux dominated outflow observed as short gamma-ray burst^[130]. Long and short gamma-ray bursts have been assumed to originate from collapsing massive stars and neutron-star mergers, respectively. However, recent observations challenge this paradigm^[14;131], with novel ideas being proposed to explain the association of kilonovae to long gamma-ray bursts^[132;133].

2.2.2 Impact of neutrino physics on multi-messenger observables

Neutrinos are fundamental in the very hot and dense regions formed following the collision of two neutron stars^[8], with neutrino physics affecting the thermodynamics of the remnant as well as the composition of the outflows. The neutrino density in the core of neutron-star merger remnants is roughly comparable to the one characterizing the supernova core, although rapidly dropping in time and with an overall abundance of electron antineutrinos over neutrinos (due to the neutron richness of the environment). We expect neutrinos and antineutrinos, mostly of electron flavors, to be copiously emitted up to tens of seconds from the coalescence of two neutron stars, with an average energy of $\mathcal{O}(10)$ MeV (cf. Table 1).

The detection chances of thermal neutrinos from neutron-star merger remnants are poor^[134]; in fact, assuming that the ratio between neutron-star mergers and core-collapse supernovae in our Galaxy is comparable to the one obtained from the local rates of such sources–see Table 1–we expect a neutron-star merger to be $10^{-4}-10^{-2}$ less frequent than a supernova. This is also visible from the left panel of Fig. 1 where the orange lines, representing the fluence from a Galactic neutron-star merger remnant (note that the distance of 10 kpc has been chosen for representative purposes), is contrasted with the one from a core-collapse supernova at the same distance from Earth.

The modeling of neutrino flavor conversion in the core of neutron-star merger remnants is as challenging as for core-collapse supernovae, with additional complications linked to the disk geometry^[80]. Similar to the supernova case, fast and collisional instabilities can take place in the proximity of the neutrino decoupling region, as shown in the right panel of Fig. 2, triggering flavor conversion. In addition, because of the abundance of electron antineutrinos over neutrinos, the neutrino-neutrino self-interaction term can have opposite sign with respect to the matter term in the Hamiltonian; the cancellation between these two potentials can lead to a flavor conversion phenomenon known as *matter-neutrino resonance* at larger distances from the central compact object^[135]. However, recent work highlights the importance of the modeling of the neutrino angular distributions to reliably assess whether any flavor conversion should be expected from the matter-neutrino resonance^[136].

While general relativistic neutrino magnetohydrodynamic simulations of neutron-star merger remnants do not take into account neutrino flavor conversion, the latter likely has implications on the synthesis of the elements heavier than iron via the rapid neutron capture process (*r*-process). Hence, neutrino flavor conversion can affect the electromagnetic kilonova properties, modifying the production rate of lanthanides especially in the proximity of the polar region [11-13;137].

The multi-messenger data from the GW 170817 event can already be employed to place constraints on the existence of New Physics, also linked to the neutrino sector. However, as it is the case for core-collapse supernovae, it is crucial to first gain control on the standard physics involved in neutron-star mergers, especially for what concerns neutrino transport and the physics linked to the magnetohydrodynamic instabilities, which still involves several approximations^[123;138;139].

2.2.3 Diffuse neutrino background from neutron-star mergers

To simulate the binary merger population diversity, we should rely on a large suite of long-term general-relativistic neutrinoradiation magnetohydrodynamics merger simulations. These are not yet available. Nevertheless, taking into account the very large uncertainties on the cosmic rate of neutron-star mergers^[140] (which predict a rate of neutron-star mergers that is one-to-two orders of magnitude smaller than the supernova one, or even smaller, cf. Table 1) and on the neutrino fluence from each merger event (which is expected to be comparable to or smaller than the core-collapse supernova one, see the left panel of Fig. 1), the diffuse neutrino flux from these sources should be at best one-to-three orders of magnitude smaller than the DSNB one (cf. the right panel of Fig. 1), as already suggested by preliminary estimations^[141]. Hence, thermal neutrinos from neutron-star merger remnants cannot be adopted to probe the merger remnant population.

Neutrinos from the interiors of explosive transients: key points

* Neutrinos are fundamental to the core collapse of a massive star and carry 99% of the supernova binding energy.

* Neutrino flavor conversion is expected to take place in the core of a supernova, potentially affecting the explosion mechanism and the related multi-messenger emission. Yet, neutrino flavor conversion is not taken into account in hydrodynamic simulations.

* The detection of neutrinos from a Galactic supernova will be essential to alert observers focusing on the electromagnetic spectrum about the upcoming collapse, provide information on the pre-explosion physics (complementing the input coming from gravitational waves), the nature and properties of the central compact object.

* The detection of the diffuse emission of neutrinos from all supernovae in our Universe (the DSNB) would be key to gain insight on the properties of the population of collapsing massive stars, complementing electromagnetic data.

* Neutrinos are as abundant in core-collapse supernovae as in neutron-star merger remnants, with electron neutrinos having a

larger local number density than electron neutrinos in merger remnants. Neutrinos and their flavor conversion physics can influence the abundance/species of nuclei synthetized via the *r*-process.

* The detection of neutrinos from these neutron-star merger remnants is unlikely because of the low rate of such events expected in our Galaxy. The diffuse flux of neutrinos from neutron-star mergers is negligible with respect to the DSNB.

3 Neutrinos from the outskirts of explosive transients

In this section, the non-thermal electromagnetic and neutrino emission stemming in the aftermath of supernovae as well as neutron-star mergers is discussed, including newly discovered transients that may originate from these source classes. In particular, we highlight the opportunities to infer the source physics by relying on the multi-messenger detections as well as optimal detection strategies.

3.1 Non-thermal neutrino emission from transient astrophysical sources

The IceCube Neutrino Observatory has detected neutrinos of astrophysical origin with TeV–PeV energy since 2013. A handful of statistically significant neutrino-electromagnetic associations has been found, e.g. in coincidence with the blazar event TXS 0506+056, the active galaxy NGC 1068, and our own Galaxy^[3;142]. Additionally the number of possible multi-messenger associations steadily increases^[143]. A very intriguing opportunity would stem from the detection of high-energy neutrinos from transients originating from collapsing massive stars and neutron-star mergers. In fact, such neutrinos can shed light on the mechanism powering the observed electromagnetic emission as well as the properties of the source and its surrounding medium^[144;145].

3.1.1 Collapsing massive stars and emerging transient sources

In addition to thermal neutrinos with energy of $\mathcal{O}(10)$ MeV, the explosion resulting from the collapse of a massive star can also lead to the production of non-thermal neutrinos with higher energy (in the TeV–PeV range). Such neutrinos are produced because of the interactions of accelerated protons with other protons or photons (hadronic or photo-hadronic interactions)^[146;147]. A summary of the particle acceleration sites, leading to non-thermal neutrino production for high-energy transients stemming from collapsing massive stars, is provided in the left panel of Fig. 3.

The supernova ejecta expand at $3-10 \times 10^3$ km/s, and diffusive shock acceleration can take place in the proximity of the shock. In shock-powered supernovae, high-energy neutrinos could be produced because of the *interaction of shock-accelerated protons with protons from the dense circumstellar medium* (gamma-ray emission should be expected as well), or with the photon spectrum at the external shock (albeit the related neutrino contribution is subleading for non-relativistic or mildly-relativistic shocks)—see the left panel of Fig. 3. Such non-thermal particle emission is efficient for supernovae of Type IIn, IIp, or superluminous supernovae (cf. Table 1 and Fig. 4), whose circumstellar medium is expected to be especially dense because of the collapsing star ejecting its outermost layers^[37;44]. High-energy neutrinos from these sources have not been detected yet, with the IceCube Neutrino Observatory providing upper limits on the diffuse emission from (shock-powered) supernovae^[148]. However, the detection of these neutrinos would be important to explore the structure and properties of the circumstellar medium as well as the ejecta. Interacting supernovae can be among the main contributors to the diffuse neutrino flux between 10 and 10^3 TeV, without overshooting the isotropic gamma-ray background observed by Fermi-LAT^[37;152].

If the central compact object is a magnetar, we expect neutrino emission linked to its spin down^[153]. In this case, the protons accelerated in the *magnetar wind* can undergo hadronic or photo-hadronic interactions with the thermal optical photons and the non-thermal X-rays produced in the ejecta forming a wind nebula, as shown in Fig. 3.

A *jet* can be harbored in stripped supernovae (of Type Ib/c), leading to gamma-ray bursts (cf. Table 1 and Fig. 3). The jet may be successful or not, according to its energy and the density of the stellar envelope. Below the photosphere, the mixing between the jet and the cocoon loads the jet with baryons, inhibiting subphotospheric collisionless shocks^[154]. However, if the jet is even mildly magnetized, magnetic reconnection and subshocks may favor particle acceleration and therefore neutrino production in the GeV–TeV range^[155;156]. In the optically thin region of the relativistic jet, observed as a gamma-ray burst, the accelerated protons can interact with non-thermal photons to produce high-energy neutrinos^[53;149], as displayed in Fig. 4. Notably the efficiency of particle acceleration depends on whether heavier nuclei can be entrained in the jet, with neutrino emission becoming less efficient^[157–159]. To date, neutrinos entited from gamma-ray bursts have not yet been detected, these findings are however compatible with theoretical estimations^[149;160].

The prospects of detecting neutrinos from newly discovered source classes potentially linked to collapsing massive stars are also very exciting. An example is provided by luminous fast blue optical transients (LFBOTs, see Table 1), such as AT2018cow^[161]. The origin of these transients is not yet known, but they are expected to be powered by a compact object, launching an asymmetric and fast outflow^[162]. It has been proposed that luminous fast blue optical transients may stem from collapsing massive stars stripped of their hydrogen envelope and harboring a choked jet surrounded by an inflated



Figure 3. Sketch of the sites of non-thermal neutrino production in a collapsing massive star (left panel) and a neutron-star merger remnant (right panel). *Left*: Outflows launched during the core collapse and powered by a central source of heating, such as a magnetar (emerald green region). A jet can be harbored and eventually break out (aqua region), being observable as a gamma-ray burst. The supernova ejecta (light blue region) can interact with the dense circumstellar medium (in blue) as the shock breaks out from the circumstellar medium. Particle acceleration, and therefore neutrino production, can occur along the jet, in the magnetar wind, and as the external shock propagates in the circumstellar medium. *Right*: Outflows launched in the aftermath of a neutron-star merger, the central power engine could be a magnetar (orange region). A jet (in light orange) can break out leading to a gamma-ray burst. Efficient neutrino production, as a result of particle acceleration, can take place along the jet, in the magnetar wind (red region), as well as because of the interaction between the jet and the ejecta (pink region).

cocoon^[163]; the related neutrino fluence is plotted in Fig. 4 for reference. Alternatively, the luminous fast blue optical transient electromagnetic emission could come from the delayed merger of a black hole with a Wolf-Rayet star^[164]. Neutrinos could be produced because of the interaction between the outflow and the circumstellar medium^[151] or in the magnetar wind^[153]. The detection of neutrinos would be crucial to breaking the degeneracies plaguing the electromagnetic data (which currently do not allow for a robust assessment of the source properties), allowing to pinpoint the mechanism powering the observed electromagnetic emission^[151].

3.1.2 Neutron-star mergers

Following up the merger of two neutron stars, if the remnant compact object is a *magnetar*, its wind can interact with the ejecta, with protons being accelerated at the magnetosphere or the termination shock of the wind, see the right panel of Fig. 3. While particle acceleration is not efficient at the termination shock, neutrino emission from the magnetar could be detected in connection with gravitational waves^[165]; however, recent work suggests that the detection of such neutrinos may be more challenging than initially expected^[166].

The non-detection of neutrinos from GRB 170817A^[6], observed in connection with gravitational waves, is consistent with the fact that the *relativistic jet* was off-axis with respect to the observer^[57;154] (cf. Fig. 4 for an estimation of the muon neutrino fluence expected from the prompt emission). As the jet propagates within the ejecta, particle acceleration can take place at internal shocks inside the ejecta, leading to a neutrino signal within the detection reach of the IceCube Neutrino Telescope^[47]. Table 1 provides a summary of the energetics and the rate of the transients linked to the coalescence of neutron stars, with the related acceleration sites being summarized in the right panel of Fig. 3.

3.2 Best detection strategies for upcoming high-energy multi-messenger searches

The detection (or lack thereof) of high-energy neutrinos from transients stemming from collapsing massive stars or neutron-star mergers allows to gain crucial insight about the source properties, the behavior of plasma in highly magnetized media, as well as the efficiency of particle acceleration. Of course, the information provided by high-energy neutrinos should be complemented by the one carried by photons across wavebands and gravitational waves. Notably, detecting non-thermal neutrinos is especially crucial for electromagnetically dark sources, such as choked jets. In this case, we may expect a correlation of high-energy



Figure 4. Muon neutrino and antineutrino fluences as functions of the neutrino energy expected for representative transients stemming from collapsing massive stars and neutron-star mergers (located ad different distances from Earth). The following curves are plotted: prompt emission from a short gamma-ray burst (sGRB, GRB 170817A, z = 0.008)^[57], the prompt emission from a long gamma-ray burst (lGRB, z = 2, for a magnetized jet with gradual dissipation)^[149], a superluminous supernova (SLSN AT2019fdr, z = 0.27)^[150], supernovae of Type Ib/c, IIp and IIn (z = 0.002)^[37], as well as a luminous fast blue optical transient (LFBOT AT2018cow, z = 0.014)^[151]. These curves should be considered for orientation since uncertainties on the astrophysical parameters and plasma properties can lead to changes by a few orders of magnitude in the neutrino fluence.

neutrinos with gravitational waves and $\mathcal{O}(10)$ MeV neutrinos (or interactions among such neutrinos^[167]) produced in the source core.

Within the next decade, the number of electromagnetic detections of transients is expected to grow swiftly thanks to wide field, high-cadence surveys, such as the soon-to-be operative Vera C. Rubin Observatory^[168] and ULTRASAT^[169], the Nancy Grace Roman Space Telescope^[170] as well as the Cherenkov Telescope Array (CTA)^[171]. These surveys, among others, will complement the pioneering findings of the Zwicky Transient Facility (ZTF)^[172], the Panoramic Survey Telescope and Rapid Response System 1 (Pan-STARRS1)^[173], the Swift-Ultra-Violet/Optical Telescope^[174], and the James Webb Space Telescope^[177]. At the same time, the next generation gravitational wave detectors, e.g. the Cosmic Explorer^[176] and Einstein Telescope^[177], are expected to largely expand the observational horizon explored by LIGO, Virgo, and KAGRA^[178]. For what concerns the detection of high-energy neutrino counterparts, the current observational landscape is dominated by the IceCube Neutrino Telescope^[3] and the under-construction Cubic Kilometre Neutrino Telescope (KM3NeT)^[179]. The planned IceCube-Gen2^[180], the Giant Radio Array for Neutrino Detection (GRAND200k)^[181], and the Pacific Ocean Neutrino Experiment (P-ONE)^[182], among several other neutrino detectors, are expected to strengthen and expand our detection opportunities (cf. Table 1 of ^[142] for an overview of existing and planned high-energy neutrino telescopes)^[3].

To investigate the source properties and test our understanding of particle acceleration physics, it is however necessary to facilitate coordination as well as data exchange through multi-messenger programs. Several joint programs are already operative, for example ZTF, Pan-STARRS1, the Dark Energy Survey (DES), and the All-Sky Automated Survey for SuperNovae (ASAS-SN) carry out target-of-opportunity searches for optical counterparts of neutrino events and vice versa^[183–186]; similar collaborations exist for neutrino and gamma-ray joint searches, involving Fermi-LAT and the Imaging Atmospheric Cherenkov Telescopes (IACTs)^[187;188]. To take full advantage of upcoming joint high-energy neutrino and electromagnetic detections, it is crucial to strengthen correlations between neutrinos, the radio waveband (likely carrying imprints of interactions with the circumstellar medium), and the X-ray one (sensitive to the activity of the central engine) to break the degeneracies intrinsic to the optical-ultraviolet-infrared band^[44;144;152]. The time window adopted to look for neutrinos should not be chosen arbitrarily; in fact, we expect that the neutrino signal can only be tightly linked to the waveband whose photons are produced in the same source region.

Neutrinos from the stellar outskirts: key points

* Neutrinos can be produced in high-energy astrophysical transients thanks to the interaction of accelerated protons with the photon and/or baryon backgrounds.

* In the aftermath of the core collapse of a massive star or the merger of two neutron stars, non-thermal neutrinos could be produced along the jet, in the magnetar wind, as the shock propagates in the circumstellar medium, and possibly thanks to the interaction of the ejecta with the jet.

* The non-thermal neutrino signal carries signatures of the source power engine. Therefore it allows to probe the source physics and plasma physics in magnetized media.

* Multi-messenger transient astronomy is undergoing a revolution with an unprecedented amount of data. It is necessary to swiftly develop the infrastructure necessary to cross-correlate and analyze large multi-messenger data sets.

4 Neutrinos and explosive transients: future challenges and opportunities

Despite their weakly interacting nature, neutrinos are crucial particles in the core-collapse explosion mechanism. Because of their impact on the electron fraction, neutrinos also contribute to regulating the production of isotopes heavier than iron in neutron-star merger remnants as well as core-collapse supernovae. In combination with gravitational waves, thermal neutrinos are crucial probes of the physics governing the innermost regions of collapsing massive stars, providing unique information on the (pre-)explosion physics. The non-thermal emission of electromagnetic radiation and neutrinos from the source outskirts, instead, could provide crucial insight into the structure of the circumstellar medium as well as the plasma properties, complementing the information carried by the electromagnetic signal.

The growing number of likely multi-messenger detections of transient astrophysical sources, as well as the major developments taking place in the field of neutrino telescopes and gravitational wave detectors, jointly with the upcoming wide field and high-cadence surveys revolutionizing electromagnetic observations of transients, call for an improved understanding of the source physics and related particle production. Only by advancing the theoretical modeling, we would be able to take advantage of the upcoming plethora of data. On the one hand, it is essential to make progress in the modeling of neutrino flavor conversion in dense media. One challenge is that neutrino flavor conversion is not taken into account in (magneto)hydrodynamic simulations of the source. Hence, the impact of the feedback of flavor conversion physics on the source and the nucleosynthesis is yet to be understood. On the other hand, it is crucial to swiftly gain ground in our understanding of particle acceleration. Recent work highlights the importance of coupling particle transport consistently with general relativistic magnetohydrodynamics and particle-in-cell simulations to reliably assess the regions (and the efficiency) of particle acceleration and forecast the multi-messenger signals. For emerging source classes, neutrinos will be important to breaking the degeneracies affecting the electromagnetic signal, pinpointing the physics mechanisms powering such sources.

On the observational side, the next decade will see a revolution in transient astronomy with an unprecedented amount of data. In order to take full advantage of such opportunities and guide neutrino searches, electromagnetic observations across different wavelengths, especially in the radio and X-ray wavebands, should be strengthened. In addition, the temporal information encoded in the thermal neutrino signal could be used to facilitate gravitational wave searches as well as to extract information about the physics of the source core which would complement the gravitational wave one.

The unprecedented number of real-time alerts expected to become available to telescopes involved in multi-messenger searches calls for a rapid development of the cyberinfrastructure needed to coordinate, cross-correlate, and analyze the large amount of data provided by such telescopes. In this respect, optimization of the communication infrastructure adopted to broadcast alerts is urgently needed. The Scalable Cyberinfrastructure to support Multi-Messenger Astrophysics (SCiMMA)^[189] and the Time-domain Astronomy Coordination Hub (TACH)^[190] are examples of projects in this direction.

Expanding the detection horizon beyond a single source, the diffuse emission of neutrinos from specific source classes can inform us on the source population properties. Besides complementing electromagnetic measurements, diffuse neutrino data could provide input on the sources that are dim or dark electromagnetically. However, to be able to extract details from the neutrino signal and predict the diffuse signal reliably, we should proceed on the modeling of stellar evolution, as well as better understand the role of a binary companion in the stellar evolution and the cosmic rate.

Acknowledgements

I am especially grateful to Pablo Martínez-Miravé, Tetyana Pitik, Georg Raffelt, and Meng-Ru Wu for insightful feedback on the manuscript, as well as Kenta Kiuchi and Daniel Kresse for helpful discussions. The literature on this subject evolves at a very

fast pace, I apologize in advance for any contribution that I could not adequately cover in this review. Support from the Danmarks Frie Forskningsfond (Project No. 8049-00038B), the Carlsberg Foundation (CF18-0183), the Villum Foundation (Project No. 13164), the European Union (ERC, ANET, Project No. 101087058), and the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft through Sonderforschungsbereich SFB 1258 "Neutrinos and Dark Matter in Astro- and Particle Physics" (NDM) is acknowledged.

Competing interests

The author declares no competing interests.

Publisher's note

Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

References

- Vitagliano, E., Tamborra, I. & Raffelt, G. G. Grand Unified Neutrino Spectrum at Earth: Sources and Spectral Components. Rev. Mod. Phys. 92, 45006, DOI: 10.1103/RevModPhys.92.045006 (2020). 1910.11878.
- **2.** Bustamante, M. The Milky Way shines in high-energy neutrinos. <u>Nat. Rev. Phys.</u> **6**, 8–10, DOI: 10.1038/ s42254-023-00679-9 (2024). 2312.08102.
- 3. Kurahashi, N., Murase, K. & Santander, M. High-Energy Extragalactic Neutrino Astrophysics. <u>Ann. Rev. Nucl. Part. Sci.</u> 72, 365, DOI: 10.1146/annurev-nucl-011122-061547 (2022). 2203.11936.
- Margutti, R. & Chornock, R. First Multimessenger Observations of a Neutron Star Merger. <u>Ann. Rev. Astron. Astrophys.</u> 59, 155–202, DOI: 10.1146/annurev-astro-112420-030742 (2021). 2012.04810.
- 5. Nicholl, M. & Andreoni, I. Electromagnetic follow-up of gravitational waves: review and lessons learned. <u>arXiv e-prints</u> arXiv:2410.18274, DOI: 10.48550/arXiv.2410.18274 (2024). 2410.18274.
- 6. Albert, A. <u>et al.</u> Search for High-energy Neutrinos from Binary Neutron Star Merger GW170817 with ANTARES, IceCube, and the Pierre Auger Observatory. <u>Astrophys. J. Lett.</u> **850**, L35, DOI: 10.3847/2041-8213/aa9aed (2017). 1710.05839.
- 7. Hayato, Y. et al. Search for Neutrinos in Super-Kamiokande Associated with the GW170817 Neutron-star Merger. Astrophys. J. Lett. 857, L4, DOI: 10.3847/2041-8213/aabaca (2018). 1802.04379.
- **8.** Foucart, F. Neutrinos in colliding neutron stars and black holes. <u>arXiv e-prints</u> arXiv:2410.03646, DOI: 10.48550/arXiv. 2410.03646 (2024). 2410.03646.
- Ehring, J., Abbar, S., Janka, H.-T., Raffelt, G. G. & Tamborra, I. Fast Neutrino Flavor Conversions Can Help and Hinder Neutrino-Driven Explosions. <u>Phys. Rev. Lett.</u> 131, 061401, DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevLett.131.061401 (2023). 2305.11207.
- 10. Nagakura, H. Roles of Fast Neutrino-Flavor Conversion on the Neutrino-Heating Mechanism of Core-Collapse Supernova. Phys. Rev. Lett. 130, 211401, DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevLett.130.211401 (2023). 2301.10785.
- 11. Wu, M.-R., Tamborra, I., Just, O. & Janka, H.-T. Imprints of neutrino-pair flavor conversions on nucleosynthesis in ejecta from neutron-star merger remnants. Phys. Rev. D 96, 123015, DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevD.96.123015 (2017). 1711.00477.
- Just, O. et al. Fast neutrino conversion in hydrodynamic simulations of neutrino-cooled accretion disks. <u>Phys. Rev. D</u> 105, 083024, DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevD.105.083024 (2022). 2203.16559.
- Li, X. & Siegel, D. M. Neutrino Fast Flavor Conversions in Neutron-Star Postmerger Accretion Disks. <u>Phys. Rev. Lett.</u> 126, 251101, DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevLett.126.251101 (2021). 2103.02616.
- **14.** Yang, Y.-H. <u>et al.</u> A lanthanide-rich kilonova in the aftermath of a long gamma-ray burst. <u>Nature</u> **626**, 742–745, DOI: 10.1038/s41586-023-06979-5 (2024). 2308.00638.
- **15.** Sneppen, A. <u>et al.</u> Spherical symmetry in the kilonova AT2017gfo/GW170817. <u>Nature</u> **614**, 436–439, DOI: 10.1038/ s41586-022-05616-x (2023). 2302.06621.

- **16.** Padovani, P. <u>et al.</u> High-energy neutrinos from the vicinity of the supermassive black hole in NGC 1068. <u>Nat. Astron.</u> **8**, 1077–1087, DOI: 10.1038/s41550-024-02339-z (2024). 2405.20146.
- 17. Drout, M. R. et al. Rapidly-Evolving and Luminous Transients from Pan-STARRS1. <u>Astrophys. J.</u> 794, 23, DOI: 10.1088/0004-637X/794/1/23 (2014). 1405.3668.
- 18. Ho, A. Y. Q. et al. A Search for Extragalactic Fast Blue Optical Transients in ZTF and the Rate of AT2018cow-like Transients. Astrophys. J. 949, 120, DOI: 10.3847/1538-4357/acc533 (2023). 2105.08811.
- 19. Blaufuss, E. AT2018cow: IceCube neutrino search. The Astron. Telegr. 11785, 1 (2018).
- Janka, H.-T., Langanke, K., Marek, A., Martinez-Pinedo, G. & Mueller, B. Theory of Core-Collapse Supernovae. Phys. Rept. 442, 38–74, DOI: 10.1016/j.physrep.2007.02.002 (2007). astro-ph/0612072.
- **21.** Burrows, A. & Vartanyan, D. Core-Collapse Supernova Explosion Theory. <u>Nature</u> **589**, 29–39, DOI: 10.1038/ s41586-020-03059-w (2021). 2009.14157.
- 22. Bethe, H. A. Supernova mechanisms. Rev. Mod. Phys. 62, 801–866, DOI: 10.1103/RevModPhys.62.801 (1990).
- Blondin, J. M., Mezzacappa, A. & DeMarino, C. Stability of standing accretion shocks, with an eye toward core collapse supernovae. Astrophys. J. 584, 971–980, DOI: 10.1086/345812 (2003). astro-ph/0210634.
- 24. Tamborra, I. et al. Self-sustained asymmetry of lepton-number emission: A new phenomenon during the supernova shock-accretion phase in three dimensions. Astrophys. J. 792, 96, DOI: 10.1088/0004-637X/792/2/96 (2014). 1402.5418.
- **25.** Hobbs, G., Lorimer, D. R., Lyne, A. G. & Kramer, M. A Statistical study of 233 pulsar proper motions. Mon. Not. Roy. Astron. Soc. **360**, 974–992, DOI: 10.1111/j.1365-2966.2005.09087.x (2005). astro-ph/0504584.
- 26. Janka, H.-T. & Kresse, D. Interplay between neutrino kicks and hydrodynamic kicks of neutron stars and black holes. Astrophys. Space Sci. 369, 80, DOI: 10.1007/s10509-024-04343-1 (2024). 2401.13817.
- Burrows, A., Wang, T., Vartanyan, D. & Coleman, M. S. B. A Theory for Neutron Star and Black Hole Kicks and Induced Spins. Astrophys. J. 963, 63, DOI: 10.3847/1538-4357/ad2353 (2024). 2311.12109.
- Vigna-Gómez, A. et al. Constraints on Neutrino Natal Kicks from Black-Hole Binary VFTS 243. <u>Phys. Rev. Lett.</u> 132, 191403, DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevLett.132.191403 (2024). 2310.01509.
- **29.** Müller, B. Supernova Simulations. <u>arXiv e-prints</u> arXiv:2403.18952, DOI: <u>10.48550/arXiv.2403.18952</u> (2024). <u>2403</u>. 18952.
- **30.** Mösta, P. et al. A large scale dynamo and magnetoturbulence in rapidly rotating core-collapse supernovae. <u>Nature 528</u>, 376, DOI: 10.1038/nature15755 (2015). 1512.00838.
- Obergaulinger, M. & Aloy, M.-A. Magnetorotational core collapse of possible GRB progenitors. III. Three-dimensional models. Mon. Not. Roy. Astron. Soc. 503, 4942–4963, DOI: 10.1093/mnras/stab295 (2021). 2008.07205.
- **32.** Mirizzi, A. <u>et al.</u> Supernova Neutrinos: Production, Oscillations and Detection. <u>Riv. Nuovo Cim.</u> **39**, 1–112, DOI: 10.1393/ncr/i2016-10120-8 (2016). 1508.00785.
- **33.** Burrows, A., Reddy, S. & Thompson, T. A. Neutrino opacities in nuclear matter. <u>Nucl. Phys. A</u> 777, 356–394, DOI: 10.1016/j.nuclphysa.2004.06.012 (2006). astro-ph/0404432.
- 34. Lohs, A. Neutrino Reactions in Hot and Dense Matter. Ph.D. thesis, Darmstadt, Tech. Hochsch. (2015).
- 35. Smith, N., Li, W., Filippenko, A. V. & Chornock, R. Observed Fractions of Core-Collapse Supernova Types and Initial Masses of their Single and Binary Progenitor Stars. <u>Mon. Not. Roy. Astron. Soc.</u> 412, 1522, DOI: 10.1111/j.1365-2966. 2011.17229.x (2011). 1006.3899.
- 36. Zha, S., Müller, B., Weir, A. & Heger, A. Light Curves of Type IIP Supernovae from Neutrino-driven Explosions of Red Supergiants Obtained by a Semianalytic Approach. <u>Astrophys. J.</u> 952, 155, DOI: 10.3847/1538-4357/acd845 (2023). 2301.00359.

- 37. Sarmah, P., Chakraborty, S., Tamborra, I. & Auchettl, K. High energy particles from young supernovae: gamma-ray and neutrino connections. JCAP 08, 011, DOI: 10.1088/1475-7516/2022/08/011 (2022). 2204.03663.
- **38.** Szczepanczyk, M. <u>et al.</u> Detecting and reconstructing gravitational waves from the next galactic core-collapse supernova in the advanced detector era. Phys. Rev. D **104**, 102002, DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevD.104.102002 (2021). 2104.06462.
- **39.** Salmaso, I. et al. On the diversity of strongly-interacting Type IIn supernovae. <u>arXiv e-prints</u> arXiv:2410.06111, DOI: 10.48550/arXiv.2410.06111 (2024). 2410.06111.
- 40. Li, W. et al. Nearby Supernova Rates from the Lick Observatory Supernova Search. II. The Observed Luminosity Functions and Fractions of Supernovae in a Complete Sample. <u>Mon. Not. Roy. Astron. Soc.</u> 412, 1441, DOI: 10.1111/j. 1365-2966.2011.18160.x (2011). 1006.4612.
- **41.** Sollerman, J. <u>et al.</u> Maximum luminosities of normal stripped-envelope supernovae are brighter than explosion models allow. Astron. Astrophys. **657**, A64, DOI: 10.1051/0004-6361/202142049 (2022). 2109.14339.
- 42. Prajs, S. et al. The volumetric rate of superluminous supernovae at $z \sim 1$. Mon. Not. Roy. Astron. Soc. 464, 3568–3579, DOI: 10.1093/mnras/stw1942 (2017). 1605.05250.
- **43.** Gal-Yam, A. The Most Luminous Supernovae. <u>Ann. Rev. Astron. Astrophys.</u> **57**, 305–333, DOI: 10.1146/ annurev-astro-081817-051819 (2019). 1812.01428.
- Pitik, T., Tamborra, I., Lincetto, M. & Franckowiak, A. Optically informed searches of high-energy neutrinos from interaction-powered supernovae. <u>Mon. Not. Roy. Astron. Soc.</u> 524, 3366–3384, DOI: 10.1093/mnras/stad2025 (2023). 2306.01833.
- **45.** Abbott, R. <u>et al.</u> Population of Merging Compact Binaries Inferred Using Gravitational Waves through GWTC-3. Phys. Rev. X **13**, 011048, DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevX.13.011048 (2023). 2111.03634.
- **46.** Drout, M. R. et al. Light Curves of the Neutron Star Merger GW170817/SSS17a: Implications for R-Process Nucleosynthesis. Science **358**, 1570–1574, DOI: 10.1126/science.aaq0049 (2017). 1710.05443.
- 47. Kimura, S. S. et al. Transejecta high-energy neutrino emission from binary neutron star mergers. Phys. Rev. D 98, 043020, DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevD.98.043020 (2018). 1805.11613.
- 48. Zappa, F., Bernuzzi, S., Radice, D., Perego, A. & Dietrich, T. Gravitational-wave luminosity of binary neutron stars mergers. Phys. Rev. Lett. 120, 111101, DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevLett.120.111101 (2018). 1712.04267.
- 49. Andreoni, I. et al. Fast-transient Searches in Real Time with ZTFReST: Identification of Three Optically Discovered Gamma-Ray Burst Afterglows and New Constraints on the Kilonova Rate. <u>Astrophys. J.</u> 918, 63, DOI: 10.3847/1538-4357/ac0bc7 (2021). 2104.06352.
- **50.** Abbott, B. P. <u>et al.</u> Gravitational Waves and Gamma-rays from a Binary Neutron Star Merger: GW170817 and GRB 170817A. Astrophys. J. Lett. **848**, L13, DOI: 10.3847/2041-8213/aa920c (2017). 1710.05834.
- Lan, G.-X., Zeng, H.-D., Wei, J.-J. & Wu, X.-F. The Luminosity Function and Formation Rate of A Complete Sample of Long Gamma-Ray Bursts. <u>Mon. Not. Roy. Astron. Soc.</u> 488, 4607–4613, DOI: 10.1093/mnras/stz2011 (2019). 1907.08342.
- **52.** Pescalli, A. et al. The rate and luminosity function of long Gamma Ray Bursts. <u>Astron. Astrophys.</u> **587**, A40, DOI: 10.1051/0004-6361/201526760 (2016). 1506.05463.
- **53.** Kimura, S. S. Chapter 9: Neutrinos from Gamma-Ray Bursts. <u>The Encycl. Cosmol. Chapter 9</u> 433–482, DOI: 10.1142/ 9789811282645_0009 (2023). 2202.06480.
- **54.** Gottlieb, O. <u>et al.</u> Jetted and Turbulent Stellar Deaths: New LVK-detectable Gravitational-wave Sources. Astrophys. J. Lett. **951**, L30, DOI: 10.3847/2041-8213/ace03a (2023). 2209.09256.
- **55.** Zevin, M. et al. Observational Inference on the Delay Time Distribution of Short Gamma-Ray Bursts. <u>Astrophys. J. Lett.</u> **940**, L18, DOI: 10.3847/2041-8213/ac91cd (2022). 2206.02814.
- **56.** Ghirlanda, G. et al. Short gamma-ray bursts at the dawn of the gravitational wave era. <u>Astron. Astrophys.</u> **594**, A84, DOI: 10.1051/0004-6361/201628993 (2016). 1607.07875.

- 57. Biehl, D., Heinze, J. & Winter, W. Expected neutrino fluence from short Gamma-Ray Burst 170817A and off-axis angle constraints. Mon. Not. Roy. Astron. Soc. 476, 1191–1197, DOI: 10.1093/mnras/sty285 (2018). 1712.00449.
- Coppejans, D. L. et al. A mildly relativistic outflow from the energetic, fast-rising blue optical transient CSS161010 in a dwarf galaxy. Astrophys. J. Lett. 895, L23, DOI: 10.3847/2041-8213/ab8cc7 (2020). 2003.10503.
- **59.** Stein, R. Search for Neutrinos from Populations of Optical Transients. <u>PoS</u> **ICRC2019**, 1016, DOI: 10.22323/1.358.1016 (2020). 1908.08547.
- 60. Garching core-collapse supernova archive, https://wwwmpa.mpa-garching.mpg.de/ccsnarchive/.
- **61.** Steiner, A. W., Hempel, M. & Fischer, T. Core-collapse supernova equations of state based on neutron star observations. Astrophys. J. **774**, 17, DOI: 10.1088/0004-637X/774/1/17 (2013). 1207.2184.
- 62. Kiuchi, K. et al. Self-Consistent Picture of the Mass Ejection from a One Second Long Binary Neutron Star Merger Leaving a Short-Lived Remnant in a General-Relativistic Neutrino-Radiation Magnetohydrodynamic Simulation. Phys. Rev. Lett. 131, 011401, DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevLett.131.011401 (2023). 2211.07637.
- Møller, K., Suliga, A. M., Tamborra, I. & Denton, P. B. Measuring the supernova unknowns at the next-generation neutrino telescopes through the diffuse neutrino background. <u>JCAP</u> 05, 066, DOI: 10.1088/1475-7516/2018/05/066 (2018). 1804.03157.
- **64.** Harada, M. Talk "Review of Diffuse supernova Neutrino Background" at the XXXI International Conference on Neutrino Physics and Astrophysics (Neutrino 2024), Milano (Italy), June 16-22, 2024.
- **65.** Koshiba, M. Observational neutrino astrophysics. <u>Phys. Rept.</u> **220**, 229–381, DOI: 10.1016/0370-1573(92)90083-C (1992).
- 66. Fiorillo, D. F. G. et al. Supernova simulations confront SN 1987A neutrinos. <u>Phys. Rev. D</u> 108, 083040, DOI: 10.1103/ PhysRevD.108.083040 (2023). 2308.01403.
- **67.** Sigl, G. & Raffelt, G. G. General kinetic description of relativistic mixed neutrinos. <u>Nucl. Phys. B</u> **406**, 423–451, DOI: 10.1016/0550-3213(93)90175-O (1993).
- Duan, H., Fuller, G. M., Carlson, J. & Qian, Y.-Z. Simulation of Coherent Non-Linear Neutrino Flavor Transformation in the Supernova Environment. 1. Correlated Neutrino Trajectories. <u>Phys. Rev. D</u> 74, 105014, DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevD.74. 105014 (2006). astro-ph/0606616.
- Izaguirre, I., Raffelt, G. G. & Tamborra, I. Fast Pairwise Conversion of Supernova Neutrinos: A Dispersion-Relation Approach. Phys. Rev. Lett. 118, 021101, DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevLett.118.021101 (2017). 1610.01612.
- Chakraborty, S., Hansen, R., Izaguirre, I. & Raffelt, G. G. Collective neutrino flavor conversion: Recent developments. Nucl. Phys. B 908, 366–381, DOI: 10.1016/j.nuclphysb.2016.02.012 (2016). 1602.02766.
- Glas, R. et al. Fast Neutrino Flavor Instability in the Neutron-star Convection Layer of Three-dimensional Supernova Models. Phys. Rev. D 101, 063001, DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevD.101.063001 (2020). 1912.00274.
- 72. Nagakura, H., Johns, L., Burrows, A. & Fuller, G. M. Where, when, and why: Occurrence of fast-pairwise collective neutrino oscillation in three-dimensional core-collapse supernova models. <u>Phys. Rev. D</u> 104, 083025, DOI: 10.1103/ PhysRevD.104.083025 (2021). 2108.07281.
- 73. Johns, L. Collisional Flavor Instabilities of Supernova Neutrinos. <u>Phys. Rev. Lett.</u> 130, 191001, DOI: 10.1103/ PhysRevLett.130.191001 (2023). 2104.11369.
- 74. Shalgar, S. & Tamborra, I. Do neutrinos become flavor unstable due to collisions with matter in the supernova decoupling region? Phys. Rev. D 109, 103011, DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevD.109.103011 (2024). 2307.10366.
- Nagakura, H. & Zaizen, M. Basic characteristics of neutrino flavor conversions in the postshock regions of core-collapse supernova. Phys. Rev. D 108, 123003, DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevD.108.123003 (2023). 2308.14800.
- 76. Shalgar, S. & Tamborra, I. A change of direction in pairwise neutrino conversion physics: The effect of collisions. Phys. Rev. D 103, 063002, DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevD.103.063002 (2021). 2011.00004.

- 77. Xiong, Z. et al. Fast neutrino flavor conversions in a supernova: Emergence, evolution, and effects. Phys. Rev. D 109, 123008, DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevD.109.123008 (2024). 2402.19252.
- **78.** Horiuchi, S. & Kneller, J. P. What can be learned from a future supernova neutrino detection? J. Phys. G **45**, 043002, DOI: 10.1088/1361-6471/aaa90a (2018). 1709.01515.
- **79.** Fischer, T. et al. Neutrinos and nucleosynthesis of elements. <u>Prog. Part. Nucl. Phys.</u> **137**, 104107, DOI: 10.1016/j.ppnp. 2024.104107 (2024). 2308.03962.
- 80. Tamborra, I. & Shalgar, S. New Developments in Flavor Evolution of a Dense Neutrino Gas. <u>Ann. Rev. Nucl. Part. Sci.</u>
 71, 165–188, DOI: 10.1146/annurev-nucl-102920-050505 (2021). 2011.01948.
- 81. Mezzacappa, A., Endeve, E., Bronson Messer, O. E. & Bruenn, S. W. Physical, numerical, and computational challenges of modeling neutrino transport in core-collapse supernovae. Liv. Rev. Comput. Astrophys. 6, 4, DOI: 10.1007/s41115-020-00010-8 (2020). 2010.09013.
- Dasgupta, B., O'Connor, E. P. & Ott, C. D. The Role of Collective Neutrino Flavor Oscillations in Core-Collapse Supernova Shock Revival. Phys. Rev. D 85, 065008, DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevD.85.065008 (2012). 1106.1167.
- **83.** Shalgar, S. & Tamborra, I. Neutrino decoupling is altered by flavor conversion. <u>Phys. Rev. D</u> **108**, 043006, DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevD.108.043006 (2023). 2206.00676.
- **84.** Kashiwagi, Y. et al. Performance of SK-Gd's Upgraded Real-time Supernova Monitoring System. <u>Astrophys. J.</u> **970**, 93, DOI: 10.3847/1538-4357/ad4d8e (2024). 2403.06760.
- 85. Abbasi, R. et al. IceCube Sensitivity for Low-Energy Neutrinos from Nearby Supernovae. <u>Astron. Astrophys.</u> 535, A109, DOI: 10.1051/0004-6361/201117810e (2011). [Erratum: Astron.Astrophys. 563, C1 (2014)], 1108.0171.
- **86.** Scholberg, K. Supernova Signatures of Neutrino Mass Ordering. J. Phys. G **45**, 014002, DOI: 10.1088/1361-6471/aa97be (2018). 1707.06384.
- Al Kharusi, S. et al. SNEWS 2.0: a next-generation supernova early warning system for multi-messenger astronomy. New J. Phys. 23, 031201, DOI: 10.1088/1367-2630/abde33 (2021). 2011.00035.
- Abe, S. et al. Combined Pre-supernova Alert System with KamLAND and Super-Kamiokande. <u>Astrophys. J.</u> 973, 140, DOI: 10.3847/1538-4357/ad5fee (2024). 2404.09920.
- **89.** Valtonen-Mattila, N. Realtime Follow-up of External Alerts with the IceCube Supernova Data Acquisition System. <u>PoS</u> **TAUP2023**, 236, DOI: 10.22323/1.441.0236 (2024). 2311.10398.
- **90.** Hyper-Kamiokande Proto-Collaboration et al. Hyper-Kamiokande Design Report. <u>arXiv e-prints</u> arXiv:1805.04163, DOI: 10.48550/arXiv.1805.04163 (2018). 1805.04163.
- **91.** Abusleme, A. <u>et al.</u> Real-time monitoring for the next core-collapse supernova in JUNO. <u>JCAP</u> **01**, 057, DOI: 10.1088/ 1475-7516/2024/01/057 (2024). 2309.07109.
- **92.** Abi, B. <u>et al.</u> Supernova neutrino burst detection with the Deep Underground Neutrino Experiment. <u>Eur. Phys. J. C</u> **81**, 423, DOI: 10.1140/epjc/s10052-021-09166-w (2021). 2008.06647.
- **93.** Lang, R. F., McCabe, C., Reichard, S., Selvi, M. & Tamborra, I. Supernova neutrino physics with xenon dark matter detectors: A timely perspective. Phys. Rev. D **94**, 103009, DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevD.94.103009 (2016). 1606.09243.
- 94. Pattavina, L., Ferreiro Iachellini, N. & Tamborra, I. Neutrino observatory based on archaeological lead. <u>Phys. Rev. D</u> 102, 063001, DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevD.102.063001 (2020). 2004.06936.
- **95.** Patton, K. M., Lunardini, C., Farmer, R. J. & Timmes, F. X. Neutrinos from beta processes in a presupernova: probing the isotopic evolution of a massive star. Astrophys. J. **851**, 6, DOI: 10.3847/1538-4357/aa95c4 (2017). 1709.01877.
- 96. Farag, E., Timmes, F. X., Taylor, M., Patton, K. M. & Farmer, R. On Stellar Evolution In A Neutrino Hertzsprung-Russell Diagram. Astrophys. J. 893, DOI: 10.3847/1538-4357/ab7f2c (2020). [Erratum: Astrophys.J. 965, (2024)], 2003.05844.
- 97. Waxman, E. & Katz, B. Shock Breakout Theory. In Alsabti, A. W. & Murdin, P. (eds.) <u>Handbook of Supernovae</u>, 967, DOI: 10.1007/978-3-319-21846-5_33 (2017).

- **98.** Nakamura, K. <u>et al.</u> Multimessenger signals of long-term core-collapse supernova simulations: synergetic observation strategies. Mon. Not. Roy. Astron. Soc. **461**, 3296–3313, DOI: 10.1093/mnras/stw1453 (2016). 1602.03028.
- 99. Drago, M., Andresen, H., Di Palma, I., Tamborra, I. & Torres-Forné, A. Multimessenger observations of core-collapse supernovae: Exploiting the standing accretion shock instability. <u>Phys. Rev. D</u> 108, 103036, DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevD.108. 103036 (2023). 2305.07688.
- 100. O'Connor, E. & Ott, C. D. Black Hole Formation in Failing Core-Collapse Supernovae. <u>Astrophys. J.</u> 730, 70, DOI: 10.1088/0004-637X/730/2/70 (2011). 1010.5550.
- 101. Neustadt, J. M. M. et al. The search for failed supernovae with the Large Binocular Telescope: a new candidate and the failed SN fraction with 11 yr of data. <u>Mon. Notices Royal Astron. Soc.</u> 508, 516–528, DOI: 10.1093/mnras/stab2605 (2021). 2104.03318.
- 102. Tamborra, I., Hanke, F., Müller, B., Janka, H.-T. & Raffelt, G. G. Neutrino signature of supernova hydrodynamical instabilities in three dimensions. <u>Phys. Rev. Lett.</u> 111, 121104, DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevLett.111.121104 (2013). 1307. 7936.
- 103. Walk, L., Tamborra, I., Janka, H.-T. & Summa, A. Identifying rotation in SASI-dominated core-collapse supernovae with a neutrino gyroscope. Phys. Rev. D 98, 123001, DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevD.98.123001 (2018). 1807.02366.
- 104. Takiwaki, T. & Kotake, K. Anisotropic emission of neutrino and gravitational-wave signals from rapidly rotating core-collapse supernovae. Mon. Not. Roy. Astron. Soc. 475, L91–L95, DOI: 10.1093/mnrasl/sly008 (2018). 1711.01905.
- 105. Gallo Rosso, A., Abbar, S., Vissani, F. & Volpe, M. C. Late time supernova neutrino signal and proto-neutron star radius. JCAP 12, 006, DOI: 10.1088/1475-7516/2018/12/006 (2018). 1809.09074.
- 106. Li, S. W., Roberts, L. F. & Beacom, J. F. Exciting Prospects for Detecting Late-Time Neutrinos from Core-Collapse Supernovae. Phys. Rev. D 103, 023016, DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevD.103.023016 (2021). 2008.04340.
- 107. Huber, P. et al. Snowmass Neutrino Frontier Report. In Snowmass 2021 (2022). 2211.08641.
- 108. MacDonald, M., Martínez-Miravé, P. & Tamborra, I. The Unknowns of the Diffuse Supernova Neutrino Background Hinder New Physics Searches. arXiv e-prints arXiv:2409.16367, DOI: 10.48550/arXiv.2409.16367 (2024). 2409.16367.
- 109. Ando, S., Ekanger, N., Horiuchi, S. & Koshio, Y. Diffuse neutrino background from past core collapse supernovae. Proc. Jpn. Acad. Ser. B 99, 460–479, DOI: 10.2183/pjab.99.026 (2023). 2306.16076.
- 110. Ekanger, N., Horiuchi, S., Nagakura, H. & Reitz, S. Diffuse supernova neutrino background with up-to-date star formation rate measurements and long-term multidimensional supernova simulations. <u>Phys. Rev. D</u> 109, 023024, DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevD.109.023024 (2024). 2310.15254.
- **111.** Ziegler, J. J. <u>et al.</u> Non-universal stellar initial mass functions: large uncertainties in star formation rates at $z \approx 2-4$ and other astrophysical probes. <u>Mon. Not. Roy. Astron. Soc.</u> **517**, 2471–2484, DOI: 10.1093/mnras/stac2748 (2022). 2205.07845.
- **112.** Lunardini, C. Diffuse neutrino flux from failed supernovae. <u>Phys. Rev. Lett.</u> **102**, 231101, DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevLett. 102.231101 (2009). 0901.0568.
- 113. Martínez-Miravé, P., Tamborra, I., Aloy, M. A. & Obergaulinger, M. Diffuse neutrino background from magnetorotational stellar core collapses. Phys. Rev. D 110, 103029, DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevD.110.103029 (2024). 2409.09126.
- 114. Ashida, Y., Nakazato, K. & Tsujimoto, T. Diffuse Neutrino Flux Based on the Rates of Core-collapse Supernovae and Black Hole Formation Deduced from a Novel Galactic Chemical Evolution Model. <u>Astrophys. J.</u> 953, 151, DOI: 10.3847/1538-4357/ace3ba (2023). 2305.13543.
- 115. Horiuchi, S., Kinugawa, T., Takiwaki, T., Takahashi, K. & Kotake, K. Impact of binary interactions on the diffuse supernova neutrino background. Phys. Rev. D 103, 043003, DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevD.103.043003 (2021). 2012.08524.
- 116. Kresse, D., Ertl, T. & Janka, H.-T. Stellar Collapse Diversity and the Diffuse Supernova Neutrino Background. Astrophys. J. 909, 169, DOI: 10.3847/1538-4357/abd54e (2021). 2010.04728.

- 117. Lunardini, C. & Tamborra, I. Diffuse supernova neutrinos: oscillation effects, stellar cooling and progenitor mass dependence. JCAP 07, 012, DOI: 10.1088/1475-7516/2012/07/012 (2012). 1205.6292.
- 118. Lien, A., Fields, B. D. & Beacom, J. F. Synoptic Sky Surveys and the Diffuse Supernova Neutrino Background: Removing Astrophysical Uncertainties and Revealing Invisible Supernovae. <u>Phys. Rev. D</u> 81, 083001, DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevD.81. 083001 (2010). 1001.3678.
- 119. Harada, M. et al. Search for Astrophysical Electron Antineutrinos in Super-Kamiokande with 0.01% Gadolinium-loaded Water. Astrophys. J. Lett. 951, L27, DOI: 10.3847/2041-8213/acdc9e (2023). 2305.05135.
- **120.** Abusleme, A. et al. Prospects for detecting the diffuse supernova neutrino background with JUNO. JCAP **10**, 033, DOI: 10.1088/1475-7516/2022/10/033 (2022). 2205.08830.
- 121. Suliga, A. M., Beacom, J. F. & Tamborra, I. Towards probing the diffuse supernova neutrino background in all flavors. Phys. Rev. D 105, 043008, DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevD.105.043008 (2022). 2112.09168.
- 122. Metzger, B. D. Kilonovae. Living Rev. Rel. 23, 1, DOI: 10.1007/s41114-019-0024-0 (2020). 1910.01617.
- 123. Foucart, F. et al. Robustness of neutron star merger simulations to changes in neutrino transport and neutrino-matter interactions. Phys. Rev. D 110, 083028, DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevD.110.083028 (2024). 2407.15989.
- 124. Kiuchi, K., Reboul-Salze, A., Shibata, M. & Sekiguchi, Y. A large-scale magnetic field produced by a solar-like dynamo in binary neutron star mergers. Nat. Astron. 8, 298–307, DOI: 10.1038/s41550-024-02194-y (2024). 2306.15721.
- 125. Janka, H.-T. & Bauswein, A. Dynamics and Equation of State Dependencies of Relevance for Nucleosynthesis in Supernovae and Neutron Star Mergers. <u>Handbook of Nuclear Physics</u> 1–98, DOI: 10.1007/978-981-15-8818-1_93-1 (2023). 2212.07498.
- 126. Baumgarte, T. W., Shapiro, S. L. & Shibata, M. On the maximum mass of differentially rotating neutron stars. Astrophys. J. Lett. 528, L29, DOI: 10.1086/312425 (2000). astro-ph/9910565.
- 127. Cook, G. B., Shapiro, S. L. & Teukolsky, S. A. Spin-up of a Rapidly Rotating Star by Angular Momentum Loss: Effects of General Relativity. Astrophys. J. 398, 203, DOI: 10.1086/171849 (1992).
- 128. Shibata, M. & Hotokezaka, K. Merger and Mass Ejection of Neutron-Star Binaries. <u>Ann. Rev. Nucl. Part. Sci.</u> 69, 41–64, DOI: 10.1146/annurev-nucl-101918-023625 (2019). 1908.02350.
- 129. Fernández, R. & Metzger, B. D. Delayed outflows from black hole accretion tori following neutron star binary coalescence. Mon. Not. Roy. Astron. Soc. 435, 502, DOI: 10.1093/mnras/stt1312 (2013). 1304.6720.
- 130. Eichler, D., Livio, M., Piran, T. & Schramm, D. N. Nucleosynthesis, Neutrino Bursts and Gamma-Rays from Coalescing Neutron Stars. Nature 340, 126–128, DOI: 10.1038/340126a0 (1989).
- **131.** Rastinejad, J. C. et al. A kilonova following a long-duration gamma-ray burst at 350 Mpc. <u>Nature</u> **612**, 223–227, DOI: 10.1038/s41586-022-05390-w (2022). 2204.10864.
- **132.** Gottlieb, O. et al. A Unified Picture of Short and Long Gamma-Ray Bursts from Compact Binary Mergers. Astrophys. J. Lett. **958**, L33, DOI: 10.3847/2041-8213/ad096e (2023). 2309.00038.
- 133. Chi-Kit Cheong, P., Pitik, T., Longo Micchi, L. F. & Radice, D. Gamma-ray bursts and kilonovae from the accretioninduced collapse of white dwarfs. <u>arXiv e-prints</u> arXiv:2410.10938, DOI: 10.48550/arXiv.2410.10938 (2024). 2410. 10938.
- 134. Kyutoku, K. & Kashiyama, K. Detectability of thermal neutrinos from binary-neutron-star mergers and implication to neutrino physics. Phys. Rev. D 97, 103001, DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevD.97.103001 (2018). 1710.05922.
- 135. Malkus, A., Kneller, J. P., McLaughlin, G. C. & Surman, R. Neutrino oscillations above black hole accretion disks: disks with electron-flavor emission. Phys. Rev. D 86, 085015, DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevD.86.085015 (2012). 1207.6648.
- **136.** Padilla-Gay, I., Shalgar, S. & Tamborra, I. Symmetry breaking due to multi-angle matter-neutrino resonance in neutron star merger remnants. JCAP **05**, 037, DOI: 10.1088/1475-7516/2024/05/037 (2024). 2403.15532.

- 137. Fernández, R., Richers, S., Mulyk, N. & Fahlman, S. Fast flavor instability in hypermassive neutron star disk outflows. Phys. Rev. D 106, 103003, DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevD.106.103003 (2022). 2207.10680.
- **138.** Ciolfi, R. The key role of magnetic fields in binary neutron star mergers. <u>Gen. Rel. Grav.</u> **52**, 59, DOI: 10.1007/s10714-020-02714-x (2020). 2003.07572.
- 139. Hayashi, K., Kiuchi, K., Kyutoku, K., Sekiguchi, Y. & Shibata, M. General-relativistic neutrino-radiation magnetohydrodynamics simulation of seconds-long black hole-neutron star mergers: Dependence on the initial magnetic field strength, configuration, and neutron-star equation of state. <u>Phys. Rev. D</u> 107, 123001, DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevD.107.123001 (2023). 2211.07158.
- **140.** Mandel, I. & Broekgaarden, F. S. Rates of compact object coalescences. <u>Living Rev. Rel.</u> **25**, 1, DOI: 10.1007/ s41114-021-00034-3 (2022). 2107.14239.
- 141. Schilbach, T. S. H., Caballero, O. L. & McLaughlin, G. C. Black Hole Accretion Disk Diffuse Neutrino Background. Phys. Rev. D 100, 043008, DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevD.100.043008 (2019). 1808.03627.
- 142. Guépin, C., Kotera, K. & Oikonomou, F. High-energy neutrino transients and the future of multi-messenger astronomy. Nat. Rev. Phys. 4, 697–712, DOI: 10.1038/s42254-022-00504-9 (2022). 2207.12205.
- 143. Abbasi, R. et al. IceCat-1: The IceCube Event Catalog of Alert Tracks. <u>Astrophys. J. Suppl.</u> 269, 25, DOI: 10.3847/ 1538-4365/acfa95 (2023). 2304.01174.
- 144. Guarini, E., Tamborra, I., Margutti, R. & Ramirez-Ruiz, E. Transients stemming from collapsing massive stars: The missing pieces to advance joint observations of photons and high-energy neutrinos. <u>Phys. Rev. D</u> 108, 083035, DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevD.108.083035 (2023). 2308.03840.
- 145. Fang, K., Metzger, B. D., Vurm, I., Aydi, E. & Chomiuk, L. High-energy Neutrinos and Gamma Rays from Nonrelativistic Shock-powered Transients. Astrophys. J. 904, 4, DOI: 10.3847/1538-4357/abbc6e (2020). 2007.15742.
- 146. Kelner, S. R., Aharonian, F. A. & Bugayov, V. V. Energy spectra of gamma-rays, electrons and neutrinos produced at proton-proton interactions in the very high energy regime. <u>Phys. Rev. D</u> 74, 034018, DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevD.74.034018 (2006). [Erratum: Phys.Rev.D 79, 039901 (2009)], astro-ph/0606058.
- 147. Kelner, S. R. & Aharonian, F. A. Energy spectra of gamma-rays, electrons and neutrinos produced at interactions of relativistic protons with low energy radiation. <u>Phys. Rev. D</u> 78, 034013, DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevD.82.099901 (2008). [Erratum: Phys.Rev.D 82, 099901 (2010)], 0803.0688.
- 148. Abbasi, R. et al. Constraining High-energy Neutrino Emission from Supernovae with IceCube. <u>Astrophys. J. Lett.</u> 949, L12, DOI: 10.3847/2041-8213/acd2c9 (2023). 2303.03316.
- **149.** Pitik, T., Tamborra, I. & Petropoulou, M. Neutrino signal dependence on gamma-ray burst emission mechanism. JCAP **05**, 034, DOI: 10.1088/1475-7516/2021/05/034 (2021). 2102.02223.
- 150. Pitik, T., Tamborra, I., Angus, C. R. & Auchettl, K. Is the High-energy Neutrino Event IceCube-200530A Associated with a Hydrogen-rich Superluminous Supernova? <u>Astrophys. J.</u> 929, 163, DOI: 10.3847/1538-4357/ac5ab1 (2022). 2110.06944.
- Guarini, E., Tamborra, I. & Margutti, R. Neutrino Emission from Luminous Fast Blue Optical Transients. <u>Astrophys. J.</u> 935, 157, DOI: 10.3847/1538-4357/ac7fa0 (2022). 2205.12282.
- **152.** Waxman, E., Wasserman, T., Ofek, E. & Gal-Yam, A. Shock breakouts from compact CSM surrounding corecollapse SN progenitors may contribute significantly to the observed *rsim*10 TeV neutrino background. <u>arXiv e-prints</u> arXiv:2409.14233, DOI: 10.48550/arXiv.2409.14233 (2024). 2409.14233.
- 153. Fang, K., Metzger, B. D., Murase, K., Bartos, I. & Kotera, K. Multimessenger Implications of AT2018cow: High-energy Cosmic-Ray and Neutrino Emissions from Magnetar-powered Superluminous Transients. <u>Astrophys. J.</u> 878, 34, DOI: 10.3847/1538-4357/ab1b72 (2019). 1812.11673.
- 154. Gottlieb, O. & Globus, N. The Role of Jet–Cocoon Mixing, Magnetization, and Shock Breakout in Neutrino and Cosmic-Ray Emission from Short Gamma-Ray Bursts. <u>Astrophys. J. Lett.</u> 915, L4, DOI: 10.3847/2041-8213/ac05c5 (2021). 2105.01076.

- 155. Guarini, E., Tamborra, I. & Gottlieb, O. State-of-the-art collapsar jet simulations imply undetectable subphotospheric neutrinos. Phys. Rev. D 107, 023001, DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevD.107.023001 (2023). 2210.03757.
- 156. Rudolph, A., Tamborra, I. & Gottlieb, O. Subphotospheric Emission from Short Gamma-Ray Bursts: Protons Mold the Multimessenger Signals. Astrophys. J. Lett. 961, L7, DOI: 10.3847/2041-8213/ad1525 (2024). 2309.08667.
- 157. Horiuchi, S., Murase, K., Ioka, K. & Mészáros, P. The survival of nuclei in jets associated with core-collapse supernovae and gamma-ray bursts. Astrophys. J. 753, 69, DOI: 10.1088/0004-637X/753/1/69 (2012). 1203.0296.
- **158.** De Lia, V. & Tamborra, I. High energy neutrino production in gamma-ray bursts: dependence of the neutrino signal on the jet composition. JCAP **10**, 054, DOI: 10.1088/1475-7516/2024/10/054 (2024). 2406.14975.
- 159. Biehl, D., Boncioli, D., Fedynitch, A. & Winter, W. Cosmic-Ray and Neutrino Emission from Gamma-Ray Bursts with a Nuclear Cascade. Astron. Astrophys. 611, A101, DOI: 10.1051/0004-6361/201731337 (2018). 1705.08909.
- 160. Abbasi, R. et al. Search for 10–1000 GeV Neutrinos from Gamma-Ray Bursts with IceCube. <u>Astrophys. J.</u> 964, 126, DOI: 10.3847/1538-4357/ad220b (2024). 2312.11515.
- **161.** Ho, A. Y. Q. <u>et al.</u> Minutes-duration optical flares with supernova luminosities. <u>Nature</u> **623**, 927–931, DOI: 10.1038/ s41586-023-06673-6 (2023). 2311.10195.
- 162. Cao, Z., Jonker, P. G., Wen, S. & Zabludoff, A. I. Slim-disk modeling reveals an accreting intermediate-mass black hole in the luminous fast blue optical transient AT2018cow. <u>Astron. Astrophys.</u> 691, A228, DOI: 10.1051/0004-6361/202451297 (2024). 2409.17695.
- 163. Gottlieb, O., Tchekhovskoy, A. & Margutti, R. Shocked jets in CCSNe can power the zoo of fast blue optical transients. Mon. Not. Roy. Astron. Soc. 513, 3810–3817, DOI: 10.1093/mnras/stac910 (2022). 2201.04636.
- 164. Metzger, B. D. Luminous Fast Blue Optical Transients and Type Ibn/Icn SNe from Wolf-Rayet/Black Hole Mergers. Astrophys. J. 932, 84, DOI: 10.3847/1538-4357/ac6d59 (2022). 2203.04331.
- 165. Fang, K. & Metzger, B. D. High-Energy Neutrinos from Millisecond Magnetars formed from the Merger of Binary Neutron Stars. Astrophys. J. 849, 153, DOI: 10.3847/1538-4357/aa8b6a (2017). 1707.04263.
- 166. Mukhopadhyay, M., Kimura, S. S. & Metzger, B. D. High-energy neutrino signatures from pulsar remnants of binary neutron-star mergers: coincident detection prospects with gravitational waves. <u>arXiv e-prints</u> arXiv:2407.04767, DOI: 10.48550/arXiv.2407.04767 (2024). 2407.04767.
- 167. Guo, G., Qian, Y.-Z. & Wu, M.-R. Effects of annihilation with low-energy neutrinos on high-energy neutrinos from binary neutron star mergers and rare core-collapse supernovae. <u>Phys. Rev. D</u> 109, 083020, DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevD.109.083020 (2024). 2310.05137.
- 168. Hambleton, K. M. <u>et al.</u> Rubin Observatory LSST Transients and Variable Stars Roadmap. <u>Publ. Astron. Soc. Pac.</u> 135, 105002, DOI: 10.1088/1538-3873/acdb9a (2023). 2208.04499.
- **169.** Shvartzvald, Y. et al. ULTRASAT: A Wide-field Time-domain UV Space Telescope. <u>Astrophys. J.</u> **964**, 74, DOI: 10.3847/1538-4357/ad2704 (2024). 2304.14482.
- 170. Doré, O. et al. WFIRST Science Investigation Team "Cosmology with the High Latitude Survey" Annual Report 2017. arXiv e-prints arXiv:1804.03628, DOI: 10.48550/arXiv.1804.03628 (2018). 1804.03628.
- 171. Actis, M. et al. Design concepts for the Cherenkov Telescope Array CTA: An advanced facility for ground-based high-energy gamma-ray astronomy. Exper. Astron. 32, 193–316, DOI: 10.1007/s10686-011-9247-0 (2011). 1008.3703.
- **172.** Dekany, R. et al. The Zwicky Transient Facility: Observing System. Publ. Astron. Soc. Pac. **132**, 038001, DOI: 10.1088/1538-3873/ab4ca2 (2020). 2008.04923.
- **173.** Chambers, K. C. et al. The Pan-STARRS1 Surveys. <u>arXiv e-prints</u> arXiv:1612.05560, DOI: 10.48550/arXiv.1612.05560 (2016). 1612.05560.
- **174.** Roming, P. W. A. et al. The Swift Ultra-Violet/Optical Telescope. <u>Space Sci. Rev.</u> **120**, 95–142, DOI: 10.1007/ s11214-005-5095-4 (2005). astro-ph/0507413.

- **175.** Levan, A. J. et al. Heavy-element production in a compact object merger observed by JWST. <u>Nature</u> **626**, 737–741, DOI: 10.1038/s41586-023-06759-1 (2024). 2307.02098.
- 176. Evans, M. et al. Cosmic Explorer: A Submission to the NSF MPSAC ngGW Subcommittee. arXiv e-prints arXiv:2306.13745, DOI: 10.48550/arXiv.2306.13745 (2023). 2306.13745.
- 177. Badaracco, F. Einstein Telescope: Science and technology. <u>Nuovo Cim. C</u> 47, 66, DOI: 10.1393/ncc/i2024-24066-3 (2024).
- 178. Abbott, B. P. et al. Prospects for observing and localizing gravitational-wave transients with Advanced LIGO, Advanced Virgo and KAGRA. Living Rev. Rel. 19, 1, DOI: 10.1007/s41114-020-00026-9 (2016). 1304.0670.
- **179.** Aiello, S. <u>et al.</u> Astronomy potential of KM3NeT/ARCA. <u>Eur. Phys. J. C</u> **84**, 885, DOI: 10.1140/epjc/ s10052-024-13137-2 (2024). 2402.08363.
- **180.** Aartsen, M. G. et al. IceCube-Gen2: the window to the extreme Universe. J. Phys. G **48**, 060501, DOI: 10.1088/ 1361-6471/abbd48 (2021). 2008.04323.
- 181. Álvarez-Muñiz, J. et al. The Giant Radio Array for Neutrino Detection (GRAND): Science and Design. Sci. China Phys. Mech. Astron. 63, 219501, DOI: 10.1007/s11433-018-9385-7 (2020). 1810.09994.
- **182.** Agostini, M. <u>et al.</u> The Pacific Ocean Neutrino Experiment. <u>Nat. Astron.</u> **4**, 913–915, DOI: 10.1038/s41550-020-1182-4 (2020). 2005.09493.
- **183.** Stein, R. <u>et al.</u> Neutrino follow-up with the Zwicky Transient Facility: Results from the first 24 campaigns. Mon. Not. Roy. Astron. Soc. **521**, 4, DOI: 10.1093/mnras/stad767 (2023). 2203.17135.
- **184.** Kankare, E. et al. Search for transient optical counterparts to high-energy IceCube neutrinos with Pan-STARRS1. Astron. Astrophys. **626**, A117, DOI: 10.1051/0004-6361/201935171 (2019). 1901.11080.
- 185. Necker, J. et al. ASAS-SN follow-up of IceCube high-energy neutrino alerts. Mon. Not. Roy. Astron. Soc. 516, 2455–2469, DOI: 10.1093/mnras/stac2261 (2022). 2204.00500.
- 186. Morgan, R. et al. A DECam Search for Explosive Optical Transients Associated with IceCube Neutrinos. <u>Astrophys. J.</u> 883, 125, DOI: 10.3847/1538-4357/ab3a45 (2019). 1907.07193.
- **187.** Acciari, V. A. et al. Searching for VHE gamma-ray emission associated with IceCube neutrino alerts using FACT, H.E.S.S., MAGIC, and VERITAS. PoS **ICRC2021**, 960, DOI: 10.22323/1.395.0960 (2021). 2109.04350.
- **188.** Garrappa, S. <u>et al.</u> Fermi-LAT realtime follow-ups of high-energy neutrino alerts. <u>PoS</u> **ICRC2021**, 956, DOI: 10.22323/1. 395.0956 (2021). 2112.11586.
- **189.** Baxter, A. L. <u>et al.</u> Collaborative Experience between Scientific Software Projects using Agile Scrum Development. Softw. Pract. Exper. **52**, 2077–2096, DOI: 10.1002/spe.3120 (2022). 2101.07779.
- **190.** Smale, A. <u>et al.</u> Time-domain Astronomy Coordination Hub (TACH). In <u>American Astronomical Society Meeting Abstracts #235</u>, vol. 235 of <u>American Astronomical Society Meeting Abstracts</u>, 107.15 (2020).