

# Codes in algebras of direct products of groups and associated quantum codes \*

Miguel Sales<sup>1</sup>, Xaro Soler-Escrivà<sup>1</sup>, Víctor Sotomayor<sup>2</sup>

16th December 2024

## Abstract

In this paper we obtain the Wedderburn-Artin decomposition of a semisimple group algebra associated to a direct product of finite groups. We also provide formulae for the number of all possible group codes, and their dimensions, that can be constructed in a group algebra. As particular cases, we present the complete algebraic description of the group algebra of any direct product of groups whose direct factors are cyclic, dihedral, or generalised quaternion groups. Finally, in the specific case of semisimple dihedral group algebras, we give a method to build quantum error-correcting codes, based on the CSS construction.

**Keywords:** Linear codes, Group algebras, Direct products of groups, CSS quantum codes

**MSC 2020:** 94B05, 16S34, 16D25, 81P73

## 1 Introduction

Let  $\mathbb{F}_q$  be a finite field of  $q$  elements, where  $q$  is a prime power. Given a finite group  $G$  of order  $n$ , a (left) group code, or simply a  $G$ -code, of length  $n$  is a linear code of  $\mathbb{F}_q^n$  which is the image of a (left) ideal of the group algebra  $\mathbb{F}_q[G]$  via an isomorphism which maps  $G$  to the standard basis of  $\mathbb{F}_q^n$ . In the setting of linear coding theory, a considerable amount of codes, as generalised Reed-Solomon codes, Reed-Muller codes, and many other optimal codes, have been shown to be group codes (see for instance [3, 4, 18, 22] and the references therein). The interest in the study of group codes is clearly linked to their powerful algebraic structure, which allows valuable information about the parameters of the code to be obtained, as well as to provide efficient coding and decoding algorithms (cf. [20]).

Most of the research that has been done on group codes deals with the case where the group  $G$  is abelian. However, in recent years the study of the non-abelian case has been gaining an increasing interest ([4, 13, 14], to name a few). There are several reasons for this. First, non-commutativity could possibly improve the security of code-based encryption protocols, which are one of the few mathematical techniques that enables the construction of public-key cryptosystems that are secure against an adversary equipped with a quantum computer (cf. [25]). Second, a non-abelian group algebra has a richer algebraic structure, so we can construct linear codes that cannot be obtained using abelian groups (see [15] for instance).

Let us assume hereafter that the size of the field and the group order are relatively prime. Hence the group algebra  $\mathbb{F}_q[G]$  is semisimple by a celebrated theorem due to Maschke. Moreover, as a consequence of the Wedderburn-Artin Theorem and the Wedderburn Little Theorem,  $\mathbb{F}_q[G]$  is isomorphic to a direct sum of some matrix rings over finite extensions of  $\mathbb{F}_q$  (cf. [11]). Thus the ideals of  $\mathbb{F}_q[G]$  are principal and can be seen as sum of matrix ideals over finite fields. It turns out that all possible  $G$ -codes can be determined

<sup>1</sup>Departament de Matemàtiques, Universitat d'Alacant. Ap. Correus 99, E-03080, Alacant (Spain).

<sup>2</sup>Instituto Universitario de Matemática Pura y Aplicada (IUMPA-UPV), Universitat Politècnica de València, Camino de Vera s/n, 46022 Valencia (Spain).

E-mail addresses: miguel.sales@ua.es, xaro.soler@ua.es, vsotomayor@mat.upv.es

\*This work is partially supported by the Ministerio de Ciencia e Innovación project PID2022-142159OB-I00, the Generalitat Valenciana project COMCUANTICA/008, the I+D+i projects VIGROB23-287 and UADIF23-132 of the Universitat d'Alacant, and Ayuda a Primeros Proyectos de Investigación (PAID-06-23) from Vicerrectorado de Investigación de la Universitat Politècnica de València (UPV).

whenever the Wedderburn-Artin decomposition of the group algebra  $\mathbb{F}_q[G]$  and some specific ideal generators are known. In particular, these generators can be realised as matrices over finite fields. In the last decade, this has been done for certain non-abelian groups, as (generalised) dihedral, (generalised) quaternion, metacyclic, symmetric and alternating groups (cf. [2, 8, 13, 14, 21]). Besides, the authors of [28] considered the direct product of two dihedral groups  $D_n \times D_m$  of order  $2n$  and  $2m$ , respectively, such that  $m$  divides  $q - 1$ .

The aim of this paper is to take a step further in the aforementioned research line. More concretely, we provide the Wedderburn-Artin decomposition of the semisimple algebra of any direct product of finite groups  $G_1 \times \cdots \times G_r$  based on the structure of the corresponding group algebras of the direct factors  $G_1, \dots, G_r$ . This information will be utilised to compute the associated group codes, the number of such codes, and their dimensions. It is worthwhile to mention that, in contrast to [28], our study does not depend on either the number of direct factors or the dihedral structure in such a way that some of their results appear as a particular case. As a direct consequence, we get the full description of semisimple group algebras of direct products of dihedral, cyclic, or generalised quaternion groups. We illustrate with examples that some linear codes that achieve the best-known minimum distance for their dimension can be seen as group codes that arise from semisimple algebras of direct products of groups. Finally, in the specific case of a dihedral group algebra, we will show a construction of quantum error-correcting codes, via the CSS method, by using the matrix ring decomposition of the corresponding group algebra.

The paper is organised as follows. In Section 2 we collect some preliminary results concerning tensor products, the Wedderburn-Artin decomposition of a semisimple group algebra, group codes, and quantum CSS codes. Later we present our main contributions. More specifically, the general structure of a semisimple algebra associated to a direct product of finite groups is determined in Section 3. In particular we compute the number of group codes that can be constructed, as well as their dimension. As a consequence, it is obtained the full description of the group algebra associated to the direct product of either a dihedral group and a cyclic group, two dihedral groups, or a dihedral group and a generalised quaternion group. Finally, in Section 4, we show applications of the theoretical results stated in this paper. To be more precise, we construct several group codes arising from algebras of direct products that involve dihedral groups, and we also develop a method to get quantum CSS codes from the dihedral group algebra.

## 2 Preliminaries

All groups considered in this paper are supposed to be finite. We denote by  $\mathbb{F}_q$  the finite field of  $q$  elements, where  $q$  is a power of a prime  $p$ , whereas  $\mathbb{K}$  will denote an arbitrary field. Given an arbitrary ring  $R$ , we write  $M_n(R)$  for the ring of  $(n \times n)$ -matrices over  $R$ . If  $X$  is a non-empty subset of  $R$ , then  $\langle X \rangle_R$  is the ideal of  $R$  generated by  $X$  (we will simply write  $\langle X \rangle$  when the ambient ring  $R$  is clear enough). Moreover, all algebras and rings considered in this paper are associative and unitary. The remaining unexplained notation and terminology are standard in the context of coding theory and group theory.

### 2.1 On tensor products

Below, several properties about tensor products that will be of importance for the development of this paper are listed. They can be found in many books covering tensor products of algebras, such as [1, 6, 11].

Recall that given a commutative ring  $R$ , an  $R$ -algebra  $A$  is a ring which is also an  $R$ -module. In particular, in what follows, all  $R$ -algebras are always unitary rings. Moreover,  $A$  will be a commutative  $R$ -algebra if  $A$  is a commutative ring. An homomorphism of  $R$ -algebras is an  $R$ -linear ring homomorphism. Given two  $R$ -algebras  $A$  and  $B$ , we will denote by  $A \otimes_R B$  its tensor product over  $R$ . Defining the product on elements of the form  $a \otimes_R b$  by  $(a_1 \otimes_R b_1)(a_2 \otimes_R b_2) = a_1 a_2 \otimes_R b_1 b_2$ , it turns out that  $A \otimes_R B$  is an  $R$ -algebra too. Moreover, the tensor product of  $R$ -algebras is associative and it is commutative whenever  $A$ ,  $B$  and  $R$  are commutative. Next we list some other properties on tensor product of  $R$ -algebras that will be needed in the sequel. As usual, we will denote by  $\oplus$  the direct sum of  $R$ -modules.

**Proposition 2.1.** *Let  $R$  be a commutative ring.*

- i) Assume that  $M_i, N_i$  are  $R$ -algebras such that  $M_i \cong N_i$  as  $R$ -algebras, for  $i = 1, 2$ . Then  $M_1 \otimes_R M_2 \cong N_1 \otimes_R N_2$  as  $R$ -algebras.*

ii) Given two  $R$ -algebras  $M$  and  $N$  such that  $M \cong \bigoplus_{i \in I} M_i$  and  $N \cong \bigoplus_{j \in J} N_j$  as  $R$ -algebras, for some families of  $R$ -algebras  $\{M_i \mid i \in I\}$  and  $\{N_j \mid j \in J\}$ , then the following isomorphism of  $R$ -algebras holds:

$$M \otimes_R N \cong \bigoplus_{(i,j) \in I \times J} (M_i \otimes_R N_j).$$

iii) Given  $f : M_1 \longrightarrow N_1$  and  $g : M_2 \longrightarrow N_2$  two  $R$ -algebra homomorphisms, the map

$$\begin{aligned} f \otimes_R g : M_1 \otimes_R M_2 &\longrightarrow N_1 \otimes_R N_2 \\ a \otimes_R b &\longmapsto f(a) \otimes_R g(b) \end{aligned}$$

defines an homomorphism of  $R$ -algebras. As a consequence, we obtain the canonical homomorphism of  $R$ -modules

$$\lambda : \text{Hom}_R(M_1, N_1) \otimes_R \text{Hom}_R(M_2, N_2) \longrightarrow \text{Hom}_R(M_1 \otimes_R M_2, N_1 \otimes_R N_2). \quad (1)$$

In the particular case when  $R$  is a field, the homomorphism  $\lambda$  given in (1) can be used to produce the following well-known result concerning the tensor product of matrices over a field. Since we were unable to find it stated in this way, we present it and include a proof, although it is elementary.

Given a  $\mathbb{K}$ -algebra  $A$ , we will denote by  $A^{\oplus n}$  the direct sum of  $n$  copies of  $A$ . The set of all  $A$ -linear endomorphisms of  $A^{\oplus n}$  will be denoted as  $\text{End}_A(A^{\oplus n})$ . Notice that  $\text{End}_A(A^{\oplus n})$  is, in particular, an  $A$ -algebra.

**Lemma 2.2.** *Let  $A_1, A_2$  be two commutative algebras over a field  $\mathbb{K}$ . Then the following isomorphism of  $\mathbb{K}$ -algebras holds:*

$$M_{n_1}(A_1) \otimes_{\mathbb{K}} M_{n_2}(A_2) \cong M_{n_1 n_2}(A_1 \otimes_{\mathbb{K}} A_2).$$

*Proof.* Since  $\mathbb{K}$  can be embedded into  $A_1$  and  $A_2$ , it turns out that  $\text{End}_{A_i}(A_i^{\oplus n_i})$  can be realised as a  $\mathbb{K}$ -subalgebra of  $\text{End}_{\mathbb{K}}(A_i^{\oplus n_i})$ , for  $i = 1, 2$ . Therefore, according to [7, Lemma 1, p. 214], the homomorphism  $\lambda$  defined in (1) induces the isomorphism of  $\mathbb{K}$ -vector spaces

$$\varphi : \text{End}_{A_1}(A_1^{\oplus n_1}) \otimes_{\mathbb{K}} \text{End}_{A_2}(A_2^{\oplus n_2}) \longrightarrow \text{End}_{A_1 \otimes_{\mathbb{K}} A_2}(A_1^{\oplus n_1} \otimes_{\mathbb{K}} A_2^{\oplus n_2}).$$

Let us see that  $\varphi$  is a ring homomorphism too. Let  $f_1, g_1 \in \text{End}_{A_1}(A_1^{\oplus n_1})$  and  $f_2, g_2 \in \text{End}_{A_2}(A_2^{\oplus n_2})$ . For any  $a \in A_1^{\oplus n_1}$  and  $b \in A_2^{\oplus n_2}$ , applying Proposition 2.1(iii), one gets

$$\begin{aligned} ((f_1 \otimes_{\mathbb{K}} f_2) \circ (g_1 \otimes_{\mathbb{K}} g_2))(a \otimes_{\mathbb{K}} b) &= (f_1 \otimes_{\mathbb{K}} f_2)(g_1(a) \otimes_{\mathbb{K}} g_2(b)) = \\ &= f_1(g_1(a)) \otimes_{\mathbb{K}} f_2(g_2(b)) = \\ &= (f_1 \circ g_1)(a) \otimes_{\mathbb{K}} (f_2 \circ g_2)(b) = ((f_1 \circ g_1) \otimes_{\mathbb{K}} (f_2 \circ g_2))(a \otimes_{\mathbb{K}} b), \end{aligned}$$

so  $\varphi$  is in fact a  $\mathbb{K}$ -algebra isomorphism. Now, taking into account that  $\text{End}_{A_i}(A_i^{\oplus n_i}) \cong M_{n_i}(A_i)$  for  $i = 1, 2$  (see [1, Chapter 4, Corollary 3.9, p. 219]), and that  $A_1^{\oplus n_1} \otimes_{\mathbb{K}} A_2^{\oplus n_2} \cong (A_1 \otimes_{\mathbb{K}} A_2)^{\oplus n_1 n_2}$  (Proposition 2.1(ii)), the result follows.  $\square$

## 2.2 On the decomposition of a group algebra

Given a group  $G$ , the set of all formal  $\mathbb{F}_q$ -linear combinations of elements of  $G$ , i.e.

$$\mathbb{F}_q[G] = \left\{ \sum_{g \in G} \alpha_g g \mid \alpha_g \in \mathbb{F}_q \right\},$$

is an  $\mathbb{F}_q$ -vector space with basis the elements of  $G$ . Moreover, by considering the multiplication

$$\left( \sum_{g \in G} \alpha_g g \right) \cdot \left( \sum_{g \in G} \beta_g g \right) = \sum_{g \in G} \left( \sum_{h \in G} \alpha_h \beta_{h^{-1}g} \right) g,$$

we obtain an  $\mathbb{F}_q$ -algebra which is called the *group algebra* of  $G$  over  $\mathbb{F}_q$ .

In this paper we will always deal with group algebras  $\mathbb{F}_q[G]$  that are semisimple, that is, they can be realised as a direct sum of simple  $\mathbb{F}_q[G]$ -modules. Recall that Maschke's theorem states that  $\mathbb{F}_q[G]$  is a semisimple  $\mathbb{F}_q$ -algebra if and only if the characteristic of the field does not divide the order of  $G$  (cf. [7, 11]). This is the reason why, from now on, the order of the considered groups  $G$  will never be divided by the characteristic of the field  $\mathbb{F}_q$ . Moreover, when we talk about ideals of  $\mathbb{F}_q[G]$  we always assume that they are left ideals.

Semisimple  $\mathbb{F}_q$ -algebras have many important properties. For instance, assuming that  $\mathbb{F}_q[G]$  is semisimple, every ideal of  $\mathbb{F}_q[G]$  is always a direct summand. As a consequence, every ideal of the algebra must be principal. Moreover, the algebra can be realised as a direct sum of matrix algebras. This is the well-known Wedderburn-Artin theorem, which is stated below for finite group algebras (see [11, Theorem 4.4, p. 112]).

**Theorem 2.3 (Wedderburn-Artin decomposition for finite group algebras).** *Let  $G$  be a finite group such that  $\mathbb{F}_q[G]$  is a semisimple group algebra. Then  $\mathbb{F}_q[G]$  is isomorphic, as  $\mathbb{F}_q$ -algebra, to the direct sum of some matrix rings over suitable extensions of  $\mathbb{F}_q$ . Specifically, one has:*

$$\mathbb{F}_q[G] \cong \bigoplus_{i=1}^s M_{n_i}(\mathbb{F}_{q^{r_i}})$$

satisfying  $|G| = \sum_{i=1}^s n_i^2 r_i$ .

For some semisimple group algebras over finite fields, the Wedderburn-Artin decomposition is known. Below we list some of them:

- Let  $C_n$  be the cyclic group of order  $n$ . Assume that the polynomial  $x^n - 1 \in \mathbb{F}_q[x]$  can be factorised as  $x^n - 1 = \prod_{j=1}^r f_j$ , where  $f_j$  is irreducible over  $\mathbb{F}_q[x]$ . Then the Wedderburn-Artin decomposition of  $\mathbb{F}_q[C_n]$  can be obtained by applying the Chinese Remainder Theorem:

$$\mathbb{F}_q[C_n] \cong \frac{\mathbb{F}_q[x]}{\langle x^n - 1 \rangle} \cong \bigoplus_{i=1}^r \frac{\mathbb{F}_q[x]}{\langle f_i \rangle} \cong \bigoplus_{i=1}^r \mathbb{F}_{q^{\deg f_i}}. \quad (2)$$

The generalisation of the previous result for abelian groups is computed in [24], which is the so-called Perlis-Walker Theorem.

- If  $G$  is an abelian group of order  $n$ , then the Wedderburn-Artin decomposition of  $\mathbb{F}_q[G]$  is as follows:

$$\mathbb{F}_q[G] \cong \bigoplus_{d|n} (\mathbb{F}_{q^{t_d}})^{\oplus a_d}, \quad (3)$$

where  $t_d = |\mathbb{F}_q(\alpha_d) : \mathbb{F}_q|$ , with  $\alpha_d$  a primitive  $d$ -th root of unity,  $a_d = \frac{n_d}{t_d}$ , and  $n_d$  the number of elements of order  $d$  in  $G$ .

In [8, Theorem 3.1], the Wedderburn-Artin decomposition of dihedral group algebras is given. For every non-zero polynomial  $g \in \mathbb{F}_q[x]$ , we denote by  $g^*$  the *reciprocal* polynomial of  $g$ , i.e.,  $g^*(x) = x^{\deg(g)} g(x^{-1})$ . The polynomial  $g$  is said to be *auto-reciprocal* if  $g = g^*$ . In this case,  $g$  always has even degree [8, Remark 3.2]. Consequently  $x^n - 1$  can be factorised over  $\mathbb{F}_q[x]$  into irreducible monic polynomials as

$$x^n - 1 = f_1 f_2 \cdots f_r f_{r+1} f_{r+1}^* \cdots f_{r+s} f_{r+s}^*,$$

where  $f_1 = x - 1$ ,  $f_2 = x + 1$  if  $n$  is even, and  $f_j = f_j^*$  for  $1 \leq j \leq r$ . In this way,  $r$  is the number of auto-reciprocal factors in the factorisation and  $2s$  the number of factors that are not auto-reciprocal.

- Let  $D_n = \langle x, y \mid x^n = y^2 = 1, y^{-1}xy = x^{-1} \rangle$  be the dihedral group of order  $2n$ . Set  $\zeta(n) = 2$  if  $n$  is even and  $\zeta(n) = 1$  otherwise. Then

$$\mathbb{F}_q[D_n] \cong \bigoplus_{i=1}^{r+s} A_i, \quad \text{where} \quad A_i = \begin{cases} \mathbb{F}_q \oplus \mathbb{F}_q & \text{if } 1 \leq i \leq \zeta(n) \\ M_2(\mathbb{F}_{q^{\deg(f_i)/2}}) & \text{if } \zeta(n) + 1 \leq i \leq r \\ M_2(\mathbb{F}_{q^{\deg(f_i)}}) & \text{if } r + 1 \leq i \leq r + s \end{cases}. \quad (4)$$

Pursuing this line of work, in [13, Theorems 3.1 and 3.6], we find the Wedderburn-Artin decomposition for generalised quaternion group algebras. In this case, we need to consider both the factorisation into irreducible monic polynomials over  $\mathbb{F}_q[x]$  of  $x^n - 1$  given in the dihedral case, and also the factorisation into irreducible monic polynomials of the polynomial  $x^n + 1 = g_1 g_2 \cdots g_t g_{t+1} g_{t+1}^* \cdots g_{t+k} g_{t+k}^*$ , where  $g_1 = x + 1$  if  $n$  is odd.

- Let  $Q_n$  be the generalised quaternion group of order  $4n$ , with  $n \geq 1$ , which admits the presentation  $Q_n = \langle x, y \mid x^{2n} = 1, y^2 = x^n, y^{-1}xy = x^{-1} \rangle$ . Set  $\mu(n) = 0$  if  $n$  is even and  $\mu(n) = 1$  otherwise. Then

$$\mathbb{F}_q[Q_n] \cong \bigoplus_{i=1}^{r+s} A_i \oplus \bigoplus_{i=1}^{t+k} B_i, \quad (5)$$

where every  $A_i$  is given as in the dihedral case (see (4)) and

$$B_i = \begin{cases} \mathbb{F}_q \oplus \mathbb{F}_q & \text{if } 1 \leq i \leq \mu(n) \\ M_2(\mathbb{F}_{q^{\deg(g_i)/2}}) & \text{if } \mu(n) + 1 \leq i \leq t \\ M_2(\mathbb{F}_{q^{\deg(g_i)}}) & \text{if } t + 1 \leq i \leq t + k \end{cases}.$$

It is worth noting that when  $n$  is an even integer and we set  $n = 2t$ , one has a criterion to decide when the group algebras  $\mathbb{F}_q[D_n]$  and  $\mathbb{F}_q[Q_t]$  are isomorphic or not. This is done in [12], where it is shown that  $\mathbb{F}_q[D_n]$  and  $\mathbb{F}_q[Q_t]$  are isomorphic  $\mathbb{F}_q$ -algebras if and only if  $2|t$  or  $q \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$  (regardless of whether they are semisimple or not).

There are other groups for which the Wedderburn-Artin decomposition over finite fields is also known. For instance, in [14] and [21], the authors compute the Wedderburn-Artin decomposition for generalised dihedral group algebras and some metacyclic group algebras respectively. Besides, by adapting known results about  $\mathbb{Q}[S_n]$  and  $\mathbb{Q}[A_n]$ , the Wedderburn-Artin decompositions of  $\mathbb{F}_q[S_n]$  and  $\mathbb{F}_q[A_n]$  are obtained in [2].

## 2.3 Group Codes

In coding theory, a linear code  $\mathcal{C}$  of  $\mathbb{F}_q^n$  is said to be *cyclic* if it satisfies that a word  $(c_1, c_2, \dots, c_n) \in \mathcal{C}$  if and only if  $(c_2, \dots, c_n, c_1) \in \mathcal{C}$ . Cyclic codes have nice properties, and efficient decoding algorithms have been developed for them. The key fact is that a cyclic code can be seen as an ideal, generated by a monic polynomial dividing  $x^n - 1$ , in the quotient ring  $\mathbb{F}_q[x]/\langle x^n - 1 \rangle$ , which is a ring of principal ideals. The notion of group code is a natural extension of the one of cyclic code, so that a cyclic code is a group code when the associated group is cyclic.

Set  $G = \{g_1, \dots, g_n\}$ . Following [3, 15], we say that a linear code  $\mathcal{C} \subseteq \mathbb{F}_q^n$  is a (left) *G-code* if there exists a bijection  $\theta : \{1, \dots, n\} \rightarrow G$  such that the set

$$\left\{ \sum_{i=1}^n a_i \theta(i) \mid (a_1, \dots, a_n) \in \mathcal{C} \right\}$$

is a (left) ideal of the group algebra  $\mathbb{F}_q[G]$ . In this way, a linear code  $\mathcal{C}$  over  $\mathbb{F}_q$  will be a *group code* if there exists a finite group  $G$  such that  $\mathcal{C}$  is a  $G$ -code. In practice, we usually identify  $\mathcal{C}$  with its corresponding ideal of  $\mathbb{F}_q[G]$ . When the group  $G$  is abelian (resp. non-abelian) we say that  $\mathcal{C}$  is an abelian (resp. non-abelian) group code. Not all linear codes can be realised as group codes; in fact, in [3] the reader can find a criterion to decide when a linear code is a group code. Moreover, notice that a given linear code  $\mathcal{C}$  can be seen as group code over two different groups: for instance  $\mathcal{C} = \{000000, 111111\} \subseteq \mathbb{F}_2^6$  is a  $G$ -code for any group  $G$  of order 6.

When  $\mathbb{F}_q[G]$  is semisimple, the corresponding group codes are always principal ideals. Moreover, we will use hereafter that, in virtue of the Wedderburn-Artin decomposition, we can always see such a group code as a sum of principal ideals of matrices over finite extensions of  $\mathbb{F}_q$ .

## 2.4 Quantum CSS codes

If  $x = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)$  and  $y = (y_1, y_2, \dots, y_n)$  are two elements in  $\mathbb{F}_q^n$ , then their inner-product is defined as  $x \cdot y = \sum_{i=1}^n x_i y_i$ . In this way, given a linear code  $\mathcal{C}$  of  $\mathbb{F}_q^n$ , the *dual code* of  $\mathcal{C}$  is  $\mathcal{C}^\perp = \{y \in \mathbb{F}_q^n : x \cdot y = 0, \forall x \in \mathcal{C}\}$ .

$\mathcal{C}\}$ . We collect below some basic facts concerning quantum group codes, and we refer readers interested in additional details to the book [19].

Let  $\mathbb{C}$  be the complex field and  $V_n = \mathbb{C}^q \otimes \cdots \otimes \mathbb{C}^q = (\mathbb{C}^q)^{\otimes n}$  be the Hilbert space of dimension  $q^n$ . A *quantum error-correcting code* (QECC) can be seen as a subspace of  $V_n$ . An important subclass of quantum codes are the so-called *quantum CSS codes*, whose name is due to Calderbank, Shor and Steane. These authors exhibited in [10, 26] the first construction of (binary) quantum codes in the literature (the so-called CSS construction), which was later generalised to non-binary alphabets (cf. [9, 17]). It utilises a pair of classical linear codes to address the problem of correcting phase and flip quantum errors. Specifically, in this paper we will use the following version of the general construction. Given two linear codes  $\mathcal{C}_1, \mathcal{C}_2 \subseteq \mathbb{F}_q^n$  of dimensions  $k_1$  and  $k_2$  respectively, and such that  $\mathcal{C}_2^\perp \subseteq \mathcal{C}_1$ , it is possible to construct a QECC from them, denoted  $\text{CSS}(\mathcal{C}_1, \mathcal{C}_2)$ , which will be a subspace of  $V_n$  of dimension  $2^{k_1+k_2-n}$ . Moreover, the minimum distance of  $\text{CSS}(\mathcal{C}_1, \mathcal{C}_2)$  is  $d$  whenever all errors acting on at most  $d-1$  of the  $n$  subsystems (tensor components) can be detected or act trivially on the code. We say then that  $\text{CSS}(\mathcal{C}_1, \mathcal{C}_2)$  is a  $[[n, k_1+k_2-n, d]]_q$  quantum code.

**Theorem 2.4.** *If  $\mathcal{C}_1, \mathcal{C}_2 \subseteq \mathbb{F}_q^n$  are linear codes of dimensions  $k_1$  and  $k_2$ , respectively, such that  $\mathcal{C}_2^\perp \subseteq \mathcal{C}_1$ , then there exists a quantum error-correcting code  $\mathcal{Q} = \text{CSS}(\mathcal{C}_1, \mathcal{C}_2)$  with parameters  $[[n, k_1+k_2-n, d_{\mathcal{Q}}]]_q$ , where  $d_{\mathcal{Q}}$  is the minimum of the weights of  $c$  lying in  $(\mathcal{C}_1 \setminus \mathcal{C}_2^\perp) \cup (\mathcal{C}_2 \setminus \mathcal{C}_1^\perp)$ .*

### 3 Group codes from direct products of groups

In this section we focus on group codes that arise in a group algebra of type  $\mathbb{F}_q[G_1 \times \cdots \times G_r]$ , when the group algebra corresponding to each factor  $G_i$  is semisimple. To this end, starting from the Wedderburn-Artin decomposition of each  $\mathbb{F}_q[G_i]$ , we analyse the specific decomposition of the group algebra of the direct product, also as a direct sum of matrix rings over finite fields (Theorem 3.2). Then we apply this result to some specific direct products of groups involving dihedral groups. Subsection 3.2 is devoted to analyse the structure of the ideals of a group algebra when the Wedderburn-Artin decomposition is known, in order to provide the number of group codes that can be constructed, as well as their dimension. In the last part of this section we collect some examples of group codes that can be obtained by using the previous techniques.

#### 3.1 The group algebra of a direct product of groups

The aim of this section is to obtain the Wedderburn-Artin decomposition of the group algebra corresponding to a direct product of groups having a semisimple finite group algebra.

Specifically, given two finite groups  $G$  and  $H$  such that both  $\mathbb{F}_q[G]$  and  $\mathbb{F}_q[H]$  can be realised as a direct sum of matrix rings over finite fields (Theorem 2.3), we are going to see how the tensor product of  $\mathbb{F}_q$ -algebras can be used in order to obtain the corresponding decomposition of the group algebra  $\mathbb{F}_q[G \times H]$  as a direct sum of matrix rings too. First of all, the map  $(g, h) \mapsto g \otimes h$ , for all  $g \in G$  and  $h \in H$  is an homomorphism from  $G \times H$  to the group of units of  $\mathbb{F}_q[G] \otimes_{\mathbb{F}_q} \mathbb{F}_q[H]$ , which can be extended by linearity to an  $\mathbb{F}_q$ -algebra isomorphism (see [23, Lemma 3.4, p. 25]):

$$\mathbb{F}_q[G \times H] \cong \mathbb{F}_q[G] \otimes_{\mathbb{F}_q} \mathbb{F}_q[H]. \quad (6)$$


Next, we deep on the structure of  $\mathbb{F}_q[G] \otimes_{\mathbb{F}_q} \mathbb{F}_q[H]$ . For this, we need to give an explicit description of the tensor product of two finite fields as a direct sum of fields. Although we think it must be a known result, we have not been able to find a proof of it anywhere, so we include it here.

Recall that, given an extension of finite fields  $\mathbb{F}_{q^t}/\mathbb{F}_q$ , its Galois group is a cyclic group of order  $t$ , namely,  $\text{Gal}(\mathbb{F}_{q^t}/\mathbb{F}_q) = \langle \sigma \rangle$ , where  $\sigma(x) = x^q$ , for all  $x \in \mathbb{F}_{q^t}$ .

**Proposition 3.1.** *Let  $\mathbb{F}_{q^n}$  and  $\mathbb{F}_{q^m}$  be two finite fields, and denote  $d = \gcd(n, m)$  and  $\ell = \text{lcm}(n, m)$ . Then, the tensor product  $\mathbb{F}_{q^n} \otimes_{\mathbb{F}_q} \mathbb{F}_{q^m}$  is isomorphic to the direct sum of  $d$  copies of the field  $\mathbb{F}_{q^\ell}$ , that is,*

$$\mathbb{F}_{q^n} \otimes_{\mathbb{F}_q} \mathbb{F}_{q^m} \cong (\mathbb{F}_{q^\ell})^{\oplus d}. \quad (7)$$

*Proof.* Let  $\alpha, \beta$  such that  $\mathbb{F}_{q^n} = \mathbb{F}_q(\alpha)$  and  $\mathbb{F}_{q^m} = \mathbb{F}_q(\beta)$  and take into account the following field extension diagram:



Notice that  $\ell d = nm$  and there exist integers  $d_1, d_2$  such that  $\ell = d_1 n = d_2 m$ ,  $n = d_2 d$ ,  $m = d_1 d$ .

If we consider the Galois group  $\text{Gal}(\mathbb{F}_{q^\ell}/\mathbb{F}_q) = \langle \sigma \rangle$  of the extension  $\mathbb{F}_{q^\ell}/\mathbb{F}_q$ , then  $\text{Gal}(\mathbb{F}_{q^\ell}/\mathbb{F}_{q^n}) = \langle \sigma^n \rangle$ . Let  $p(x) \in \mathbb{F}_q[x]$  be the minimal polynomial of  $\beta$  over  $\mathbb{F}_q$ . We are going to consider the action of  $\langle \sigma^n \rangle$  on the set of roots of  $p(x)$ , which is  $R_p = \{\beta, \beta^{q^d}, \beta^{q^{2d}}, \dots, \beta^{q^{(d_1-1)d}}\} \subseteq \mathbb{F}_{q^m}$ . Since the order of  $\sigma^n$  is  $d_1$ , its action on  $R_p$  will provide  $d$  orbits of  $d_1$  elements each. To see this, first observe that,  $\langle \sigma^m \rangle \leq \text{Stab}_{\langle \sigma \rangle}(\omega)$ , for any root  $\omega$  of  $p(x)$ . Thus, taking  $k_1, k_2 \in \mathbb{Z}$  such that  $d = nk_1 + mk_2$ , one has

$$\omega^{q^d} = \sigma^d(\omega) = \sigma^{nk_1+mk_2}(\omega) = \sigma^{nk_1}(\sigma^{mk_2}(\omega)) = \sigma^{nk_1}(\omega) \in \mathcal{O}_{\langle \sigma^n \rangle}(\omega).$$

Therefore, we obtain  $d_1$  different elements in this orbit and, as a result, we conclude that

$$\{\omega^{q^{rd}} : 0 \leq r \leq d_1 - 1\} = \mathcal{O}_{\langle \sigma^n \rangle}(\omega) = \{\sigma^{rn}(\omega) : 0 \leq r \leq d_1 - 1\}.$$

Let  $\omega_k = \beta^{q^{k-1}} \in \mathbb{F}_{q^\ell}$  for  $k = 1, \dots, d$ . Then  $R_p = \bigcup_{k=1}^d \mathcal{O}_{\langle \sigma^n \rangle}(\omega_k)$  and we can write  $p(x) = \prod_{k=1}^d p_k(x)$ , where every  $p_k(x) \in \mathbb{F}_{q^n}[x]$  is irreducible over  $\mathbb{F}_{q^n}$ , has degree  $d_1$  and roots  $R_{p_k} = \mathcal{O}_{\langle \sigma^n \rangle}(\omega_k)$ .

Now, consider the following map

$$\begin{aligned} \nu : \mathbb{F}_{q^n} \otimes_{\mathbb{F}_q} \mathbb{F}_{q^m} &\longrightarrow (\mathbb{F}_{q^\ell})^{\oplus d} \\ \alpha^i \otimes_{\mathbb{F}_q} \beta^j &\longmapsto \alpha^i(\omega_1^j, \dots, \omega_d^j) = (\alpha^i \omega_1^j, \dots, \alpha^i \omega_d^j). \end{aligned}$$

Since  $\{\alpha^i \otimes \beta^j : 0 \leq i \leq n-1, 0 \leq j \leq m-1\}$  is an  $\mathbb{F}_q$ -basis of  $\mathbb{F}_{q^n} \otimes_{\mathbb{F}_q} \mathbb{F}_{q^m}$ , extending by  $\mathbb{F}_q$ -linearity, one has that  $\nu$  defines an  $\mathbb{F}_q$ -vector space homomorphism. Moreover, it can be easily checked that  $\nu$  respects multiplication. Thus,  $\nu$  is an  $\mathbb{F}_q$ -algebra homomorphism. We will show that it is indeed an isomorphism, and the proof will be complete.

Let  $u = \sum_{i,j} \lambda_{ij}(\alpha^i \otimes \beta^j) \in \ker(\nu)$ , where  $\lambda_{ij} \in \mathbb{F}_q$ . Then

$$\nu(u) = \sum_{i,j} \lambda_{ij} \alpha^i(\omega_1^j, \dots, \omega_d^j) = (0, \dots, 0). \quad (8)$$

Consider the polynomial  $P_\alpha(x) = \sum_{i,j} \lambda_{ij} \alpha^i x^j \in \mathbb{F}_{q^n}[x]$ , which satisfies  $\deg(P_\alpha(x)) \leq m-1$ . By (8), we obtain that  $P_\alpha(\omega_k) = 0$ , for all  $k \in \{1, \dots, d\}$ . Therefore, every  $p_k(x)$  must divide  $P_\alpha(x)$ , and so must its product  $\prod_{k=1}^d p_k(x) = p(x)$ . If  $P_\alpha(x)$  is not the zero polynomial, then the following contradiction would be reached:  $m = \deg(p(x)) \leq \deg(P_\alpha(x)) \leq m-1$ . Thus,  $P_\alpha(x)$  must be the zero polynomial and then  $\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \lambda_{ij} \alpha^i = 0$ , for all  $j \in \{0, \dots, m-1\}$ . Since  $\{\alpha^i : 0 \leq i \leq n-1\}$  is an  $\mathbb{F}_q$ -basis of  $\mathbb{F}_{q^n}$ , it can only happen that  $\lambda_{ij} = 0$ , for all  $i \in \{0, \dots, n-1\}$  and  $j \in \{0, \dots, m-1\}$ . This means that  $\ker(\nu) = \{0\}$ , that is,  $\nu$  is injective.

In addition,  $\dim_{\mathbb{F}_q}(\mathbb{F}_{q^n} \otimes_{\mathbb{F}_q} \mathbb{F}_{q^m}) = \dim_{\mathbb{F}_q}(\mathbb{F}_{q^n}) \dim_{\mathbb{F}_q}(\mathbb{F}_{q^m}) = nm = ld = \dim_{\mathbb{F}_q}((\mathbb{F}_{q^\ell})^{\oplus d})$ . Consequently,  $\nu$  is an isomorphism of  $\mathbb{F}_q$ -algebras.  $\square$

We are now in a position to state the main outcome of this section.

**Theorem 3.2.** Let  $\mathbb{F}_q[G]$  and  $\mathbb{F}_q[H]$  be two group algebras with the following Wedderburn-Artin decompositions:

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{F}_q[G] &\cong \bigoplus_{i=1}^{\psi_1} M_{n_i}(\mathbb{F}_{q^{r_i}})^{s_G} \\ \mathbb{F}_q[H] &\cong \bigoplus_{j=1}^{\psi_2} M_{m_j}(\mathbb{F}_{q^{t_j}})^{s_H}.\end{aligned}$$

Then,  $\mathbb{F}_q[G \times H]$  can be decomposed as:

$$\mathbb{F}_q[G \times H] \cong \bigoplus_{i=1}^{s_G} \bigoplus_{j=1}^{s_H} \left( M_{n_i m_j} \left( \mathbb{F}_{q^{\text{lcm}(r_i, t_j)}} \right) \right)^{\oplus \text{gcd}(r_i, t_j)}.$$

*Proof.* If we apply the isomorphism provided in (6), joint with Lemma 3.1, and Propositions 2.1 and 2.2, then we have the following chain of  $\mathbb{F}_q$ -algebra isomorphisms:

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{F}_q[G \times H] &\stackrel{(6)}{\cong} \mathbb{F}_q[G] \otimes_{\mathbb{F}_q} \mathbb{F}_q[H] \\ &\stackrel{\psi_1 \otimes \psi_2}{\cong} \left( \bigoplus_{i=1}^{s_G} M_{n_i}(\mathbb{F}_{q^{r_i}}) \right) \otimes_{\mathbb{F}_q} \left( \bigoplus_{j=1}^{s_H} M_{m_j}(\mathbb{F}_{q^{t_j}}) \right) \\ &\stackrel{\text{Prop. 2.1}}{\cong} \bigoplus_{i=1}^{s_G} \bigoplus_{j=1}^{s_H} \left( M_{n_i}(\mathbb{F}_{q^{r_i}}) \otimes_{\mathbb{F}_q} M_{m_j}(\mathbb{F}_{q^{t_j}}) \right) \\ &\stackrel{\text{Lem. 2.2}}{\cong} \bigoplus_{i=1}^{s_G} \bigoplus_{j=1}^{s_H} M_{n_i m_j} \left( \mathbb{F}_{q^{r_i}} \otimes_{\mathbb{F}_q} \mathbb{F}_{q^{t_j}} \right) \\ &\stackrel{(7)}{\cong} \bigoplus_{i=1}^{s_G} \bigoplus_{j=1}^{s_H} M_{n_i m_j} \left( \left( \mathbb{F}_{q^{\text{lcm}(r_i, t_j)}} \right)^{\oplus \text{gcd}(r_i, t_j)} \right) \\ &\cong \bigoplus_{i=1}^{s_G} \bigoplus_{j=1}^{s_H} \left( M_{n_i m_j} \left( \mathbb{F}_{q^{\text{lcm}(r_i, t_j)}} \right) \right)^{\oplus \text{gcd}(r_i, t_j)}.\end{aligned}$$

□

**Remark 3.3.** The above result tells us that, if the algebras  $\mathbb{F}_q[G]$  and  $\mathbb{F}_q[H]$  can be decomposed as direct sums of matrix algebras over finite fields, then so can  $\mathbb{F}_q[G \times H]$ . In fact, after reindexing the terms, we get  $\mathbb{F}_q[G \times H] \cong \bigoplus_{i=1}^{s(G \times H)} M_{\ell_i}(\mathbb{F}_{q^{s_i}})$ , for certain positive integers  $s_{(G \times H)}, \ell_i, s_i$ . Thus, given a direct product of finite groups  $G_1 \times \cdots \times G_r$  such that each algebra  $\mathbb{F}_q[G_i]$  can be decomposed as a direct sum of matrix algebras, the recursive application of Theorem 3.2 yields the corresponding decomposition of the group algebra  $\mathbb{F}_q[G_1 \times \cdots \times G_r]$ .

As a consequence of Theorem 3.2 and the specific group algebra decompositions listed in Section 2.2, we are able to decompose the group algebra of any direct product of groups whose factors are cyclic groups, dihedral groups or generalised quaternion groups. In short, what we obtain, for this type of groups, is an expression of the group algebra as a direct sum of rings of matrices over finite fields. As an example of the application of this technique, below we present the corresponding decomposition for the group algebras of the direct product of a dihedral group and a cyclic group, the direct product of two dihedral groups or the direct product of a dihedral group and a generalised quaternion group.

Let us first introduce the following elementary lemma.

**Lemma 3.4.** Let  $m, n$  be two positive integers such that  $m$  is even. Denote  $\alpha = \text{gcd}(m, n)$  and  $\beta = \text{lcm}(m, n)$ . Then

- (i) If  $2\alpha \mid m$ , then  $\text{gcd}(\frac{m}{2}, n) = \alpha$  and  $\text{lcm}(\frac{m}{2}, n) = \frac{\beta}{2}$ .



(ii) If  $2\alpha \nmid m$ , then  $\gcd(\frac{m}{2}, n) = \frac{\alpha}{2}$  and  $\text{lcm}(\frac{m}{2}, n) = \beta$ .

(iii) If  $n$  is also an even number, then  $\gcd(\frac{m}{2}, \frac{n}{2}) = \frac{\alpha}{2}$  and  $\text{lcm}(\frac{m}{2}, \frac{n}{2}) = \frac{\beta}{2}$ .

*Proof.* (i) If  $2\alpha \mid m$ , then  $\alpha \mid \frac{m}{2}$ , since  $m$  is even. Therefore  $\alpha$  divides  $\gcd(\frac{m}{2}, n)$ , which also divides  $\alpha$ . Thus, we obtain the first equality. For the second one, we notice that

$$\text{lcm}\left(\frac{m}{2}, n\right) \gcd\left(\frac{m}{2}, n\right) = \frac{mn}{2} \implies \text{lcm}\left(\frac{m}{2}, n\right) = \frac{mn}{2\alpha} = \frac{\beta}{2}.$$

(ii) If  $2\alpha \nmid m$ , then  $\alpha \nmid \frac{m}{2}$ , since  $m$  is even. Moreover, notice that  $\alpha$  must be also an even number in this case. Therefore  $\frac{\alpha}{2}$  must divide  $\frac{m}{2}$  and we conclude that  $\frac{\alpha}{2}$  is a divisor of  $\gcd(\frac{m}{2}, n)$ . In turn, notice that  $\gcd(\frac{m}{2}, n)$  is a proper divisor of  $\alpha$ . Thus, necessarily,  $\frac{\alpha}{2} = \gcd(\frac{m}{2}, n)$ . Finally, we observe that

$$\text{lcm}\left(\frac{m}{2}, n\right) \gcd\left(\frac{m}{2}, n\right) = \frac{mn}{2} \implies \text{lcm}\left(\frac{m}{2}, n\right) = \frac{mn}{\alpha} = \beta.$$

(iii) This follows from well-known properties of gcd and lcm.  $\square$

**Notation 3.5.** Let us denote by  $C_a, D_n, Q_m$  the cyclic group of order  $a$ , the dihedral group of order  $2n$ , and the generalised quaternion group of order  $4m$ , respectively. Set  $\zeta(n) = 2$  if  $n$  is even and  $\zeta(n) = 1$  otherwise; and set  $\mu(m) = 0$  if  $m$  is even and  $\mu(m) = 1$  otherwise. In addition, for each of the previous groups, we consider the following factorisation into irreducible monic polynomials (recall that  $f^*$  denotes the reciprocal polynomial of  $f$ ):

- $x^a - 1 = p_1 p_2 \cdots p_b$ ;
- $x^n - 1 = f_1 f_2 \cdots f_r f_{r+1} f_{r+1}^* \cdots f_{r+s} f_{r+s}^*$ , where  $f_1 = x - 1$  and  $f_2 = x + 1$  if  $n$  is even.
- $x^m - 1 = g_1 g_2 \cdots g_t g_{t+1} g_{t+1}^* \cdots g_{t+u} g_{t+u}^*$ , where  $g_1 = x - 1$ , and  $g_2 = x + 1$  if  $m$  is even.
- $x^m + 1 = h_1 h_2 \cdots h_l h_{l+1} h_{l+1}^* \cdots h_{l+k} h_{l+k}^*$ , where  $h_1 = x + 1$  if  $m$  is odd.

**Corollary 3.6.** *With the Notation 3.5, the following Wedderburn-Artin decompositions hold.*

i) Denote  $\ell_{ij} = \text{lcm}(\deg(f_i), \deg(p_j))$  and  $a_{ij} = \gcd(\deg(f_i), \deg(p_j))$  for  $1 \leq i \leq r + s$  and  $1 \leq j \leq b$ . Then

$$\mathbb{F}_q[D_n \times C_a] \cong \bigoplus_{i=1}^{r+s} \bigoplus_{j=1}^b \left( A_{ij}^{\oplus d_{ij}} \right),$$

where

$$A_{ij} = \begin{cases} \mathbb{F}_{q^{\deg(p_j)}} \oplus \mathbb{F}_{q^{\deg(p_j)}} & \text{if } 1 \leq i \leq \zeta(n) \\ M_2\left(\mathbb{F}_{q^{\ell_{ij}/2}}\right) & \text{if } \zeta(n) + 1 \leq i \leq r \text{ and } 2a_{ij} \mid \deg(f_i) \\ M_2\left(\mathbb{F}_{q^{\ell_{ij}}}\right) & \text{if } \zeta(n) + 1 \leq i \leq r \text{ and } 2a_{ij} \nmid \deg(f_i) \\ M_2\left(\mathbb{F}_{q^{\ell_{ij}}}\right) & \text{if } r + 1 \leq i \leq r + s \end{cases}$$

and

$$d_{ij} = \begin{cases} \frac{a_{ij}}{2} & \text{if } \zeta(n) + 1 \leq i \leq r \text{ and } 2a_{ij} \nmid \deg(f_i) \\ a_{ij} & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

ii) Denote  $\ell_{ij} = \text{lcm}(\deg(f_i), \deg(g_j))$  and  $a_{ij} = \gcd(\deg(f_i), \deg(g_j))$  for  $1 \leq i \leq r + s$  and  $1 \leq j \leq t + u$ . Then

$$\mathbb{F}_q[D_n \times D_m] \cong \bigoplus_{i=1}^{r+s} \bigoplus_{j=1}^{t+u} \left( A_{ij}^{\oplus d_{ij}} \right),$$

where

$$A_{ij} = \begin{cases} (\mathbb{F}_q)^{\oplus 4} & \text{if } 1 \leq i \leq \zeta(n) \text{ and } 1 \leq j \leq \zeta(m) \\ M_2 \left( \mathbb{F}_{q^{\ell_{ij}/2}} \right) & \begin{array}{l} \text{if } \zeta(n) + 1 \leq i \leq r \text{ and } 1 \leq j \leq \zeta(m) \\ \text{if } 1 \leq i \leq \zeta(n) \text{ and } \zeta(m) + 1 \leq j \leq t \end{array} \\ M_2 \left( \mathbb{F}_{q^{\ell_{ij}}} \right) & \begin{array}{l} \text{if } r + 1 \leq i \leq r + s \text{ and } 1 \leq j \leq \zeta(m) \\ \text{if } 1 \leq i \leq \zeta(n) \text{ and } t + 1 \leq j \leq t + u \end{array} \\ M_4 \left( \mathbb{F}_{q^{\ell_{ij}/2}} \right) & \begin{array}{l} \text{if } \zeta(n) + 1 \leq i \leq r \text{ and } \zeta(m) + 1 \leq j \leq t \\ \text{if } \zeta(n) + 1 \leq i \leq r, t + 1 \leq j \leq t + u \text{ and } 2a_{ij} \mid \deg(f_i) \\ \text{if } r + 1 \leq i \leq r + s, \zeta(m) + 1 \leq j \leq t \text{ and } 2a_{ij} \mid \deg(g_j) \end{array} \\ M_4 \left( \mathbb{F}_{q^{\ell_{ij}}} \right) & \text{otherwise} \end{cases},$$

and

$$d_{ij} = \begin{cases} 2a_{ij} & \begin{array}{l} \text{if } 1 \leq i \leq \zeta(n) \text{ and } \zeta(m) + 1 \leq j \leq t + u \\ \text{if } \zeta(n) + 1 \leq i \leq r + s \text{ and } 1 \leq j \leq \zeta(m) \end{array} \\ \frac{a_{ij}}{2} & \begin{array}{l} \text{if } \zeta(n) + 1 \leq i \leq r \text{ and } \zeta(m) + 1 \leq j \leq t \\ \text{if } \zeta(n) + 1 \leq i \leq r, t + 1 \leq j \leq t + u \text{ and } 2a_{ij} \nmid \deg(f_i) \\ \text{if } r + 1 \leq i \leq r + s, \zeta(m) + 1 \leq j \leq t \text{ and } 2a_{ij} \nmid \deg(g_j) \end{array} \\ a_{ij} & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

iii) Denote  $\ell_{ij} = \text{lcm}(\deg(f_i), \deg(h_j))$  and  $a_{ij} = \gcd(\deg(f_i), \deg(h_j))$  for  $1 \leq i \leq r + s$  and  $1 \leq j \leq l + k$ . Then

$$\mathbb{F}_q[D_n \times Q_m] \cong \mathbb{F}_q[D_n \times D_m] \oplus \bigoplus_{i=1}^{r+s} \bigoplus_{j=1}^{l+k} \left( B_{ij}^{\oplus d_{ij}} \right),$$

where

$$B_{ij} = \begin{cases} (\mathbb{F}_q)^{\oplus 4} & \text{if } 1 \leq i \leq \zeta(n) \text{ and } 1 \leq j \leq \mu(m) \\ M_2 \left( \mathbb{F}_{q^{\ell_{ij}/2}} \right) & \begin{array}{l} \text{if } \zeta(n) + 1 \leq i \leq r \text{ and } 1 \leq j \leq \mu(m) \\ \text{if } 1 \leq i \leq \zeta(n) \text{ and } \mu(m) + 1 \leq j \leq l \end{array} \\ M_2 \left( \mathbb{F}_{q^{\ell_{ij}}} \right) & \begin{array}{l} \text{if } r + 1 \leq i \leq r + s \text{ and } 1 \leq j \leq \mu(m) \\ \text{if } 1 \leq i \leq \zeta(n) \text{ and } l + 1 \leq j \leq l + k \end{array} \\ M_4 \left( \mathbb{F}_{q^{\ell_{ij}/2}} \right) & \begin{array}{l} \text{if } \zeta(n) + 1 \leq i \leq r \text{ and } \mu(m) + 1 \leq j \leq l \\ \text{if } \zeta(n) + 1 \leq i \leq r, l + 1 \leq j \leq l + k \text{ and } 2a_{ij} \mid \deg(f_i) \\ \text{if } r + 1 \leq i \leq r + s, \mu(m) + 1 \leq j \leq l \text{ and } 2a_{ij} \mid \deg(h_j) \end{array} \\ M_4 \left( \mathbb{F}_{q^{\ell_{ij}}} \right) & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

and

$$d_{ij} = \begin{cases} 2a_{ij} & \begin{array}{l} \text{if } 1 \leq i \leq \zeta(n) \text{ and } \mu(m) + 1 \leq j \leq l + k \\ \text{if } \zeta(n) + 1 \leq i \leq r + s \text{ and } 1 \leq j \leq \mu(m) \end{array} \\ \frac{a_{ij}}{2} & \begin{array}{l} \text{if } \zeta(n) + 1 \leq i \leq r \text{ and } \mu(m) + 1 \leq j \leq l \\ \text{if } \zeta(n) + 1 \leq i \leq r, l + 1 \leq j \leq l + k \text{ and } 2a_{ij} \nmid \deg(f_i) \\ \text{if } r + 1 \leq i \leq r + s, \mu(m) + 1 \leq j \leq l \text{ and } 2a_{ij} \nmid \deg(h_j) \end{array} \\ a_{ij} & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

*Proof.*

(i) We will use the decompositions given in (2) and (4), for  $\mathbb{F}_q[C_a]$  and  $\mathbb{F}_q[D_n]$  respectively. Applying Proposition 2.1 and the isomorphism given in Eq. (6), we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{F}_q[D_n \times C_a] &\stackrel{(6)}{\cong} \mathbb{F}_q[D_n] \otimes_{\mathbb{F}_q} \mathbb{F}_q[C_a] \\
&\cong \left( \bigoplus_{i=1}^{r+s} A_i \right) \otimes_{\mathbb{F}_q} \left( \bigoplus_{j=1}^b \mathbb{F}_{q^{\deg(p_j)}} \right) \\
&\stackrel{\text{Prop. 2.1}}{\cong} \bigoplus_{i=1}^{r+s} \bigoplus_{j=1}^b \left( A_i \otimes_{\mathbb{F}_q} \mathbb{F}_{q^{\deg(p_j)}} \right).
\end{aligned}$$

We will now study the tensor product  $A_i \otimes_{\mathbb{F}_q} \mathbb{F}_{q^{\deg(p_j)}}$  for the different values of  $i$ .

- If  $1 \leq i \leq \zeta(n)$ , then  $A_i = \mathbb{F}_q \oplus \mathbb{F}_q$ , and Propositions 2.1(ii) and 3.1 imply that

$$A_i \otimes_{\mathbb{F}_q} \mathbb{F}_{q^{\deg(p_j)}} = (\mathbb{F}_q \oplus \mathbb{F}_q) \otimes_{\mathbb{F}_q} \mathbb{F}_{q^{\deg(p_j)}} \cong \mathbb{F}_{q^{\deg(p_j)}} \oplus \mathbb{F}_{q^{\deg(p_j)}}$$

Therefore,  $A_{ij} = \mathbb{F}_{q^{\deg(p_j)}} \oplus \mathbb{F}_{q^{\deg(p_j)}}$ . Moreover, since  $f_i \in \{x-1, x+1\}$ , it follows that  $a_{ij} = 1 = d_{ij}$ .

- If  $\zeta(n) + 1 \leq i \leq r$ , then  $A_i = M_2(\mathbb{F}_{q^{\deg(f_i)/2}})$  and Lemma 2.2 implies that

$$A_i \otimes_{\mathbb{F}_q} \mathbb{F}_{q^{\deg(p_j)}} = M_2(\mathbb{F}_{q^{\deg(f_i)/2}}) \otimes_{\mathbb{F}_q} \mathbb{F}_{q^{\deg(p_j)}} \cong M_2\left(\mathbb{F}_{q^{\deg(f_i)/2}} \otimes_{\mathbb{F}_q} \mathbb{F}_{q^{\deg(p_j)}}\right)$$

Now, we use Lemma 3.4 and Proposition 3.1 to conclude this case:

- If  $2a_{ij} \mid \deg(f_i)$ , then

$$\begin{cases} \text{lcm}\left(\frac{\deg(f_i)}{2}, \deg(p_j)\right) = \frac{\ell_{ij}}{2} \\ \text{gcd}\left(\frac{\deg(f_i)}{2}, \deg(p_j)\right) = a_{ij} \end{cases}.$$

Therefore  $A_{ij} = M_2(\mathbb{F}_{q^{\ell_{ij}/2}})$  and  $d_{ij} = a_{ij}$ .

- If  $2a_{ij} \nmid \deg(f_i)$ , then

$$\begin{cases} \text{lcm}\left(\frac{\deg(f_i)}{2}, \deg(p_j)\right) = \ell_{ij} \\ \text{gcd}\left(\frac{\deg(f_i)}{2}, \deg(p_j)\right) = \frac{a_{ij}}{2} \end{cases}.$$

Therefore,  $A_{ij} = M_2(\mathbb{F}_{q^{\ell_{ij}}})$  and  $d_{ij} = \frac{a_{ij}}{2}$ .

- If  $r+1 \leq i \leq r+s$ , then  $A_i = M_2(\mathbb{F}_{q^{\deg(f_i)}})$  and Proposition 3.1 and Lemma 2.2 imply that

$$A_i \otimes_{\mathbb{F}_q} \mathbb{F}_{q^{\deg(p_j)}} = M_2(\mathbb{F}_{q^{\deg(f_i)}}) \otimes_{\mathbb{F}_q} \mathbb{F}_{q^{\deg(p_j)}} \cong M_2\left(\mathbb{F}_{q^{\deg(f_i)}} \otimes_{\mathbb{F}_q} \mathbb{F}_{q^{\deg(p_j)}}\right) \cong M_2(\mathbb{F}_{q^{\ell_{ij}}})^{\oplus a_{ij}}$$

Therefore,  $A_{ij} = M_2(\mathbb{F}_{q^{\ell_{ij}}})$  and  $d_{ij} = a_{ij}$ .

We can argue in a similar way to obtain the decompositions given in statements (ii) and (iii).  $\square$

### 3.2 Group codes in semisimple group algebras

Given a group  $G$ , in this section we pay attention on  $G$ -codes when the Wedderburn-Artin decomposition of  $\mathbb{F}_q[G]$  is known. We will compute the number of  $G$ -codes we can construct as well as their dimension.

Assume that the  $\mathbb{F}_q$ -algebra of a group  $G$  is semisimple. Then we can write  $\mathbb{F}_q[G] \cong \bigoplus_{i=1}^s M_{n_i}(\mathbb{F}_{q^{r_i}})$  for some positive integers  $n_i, r_i$ , and any ideal of  $\mathbb{F}_q[G]$  can be seen as a sum  $I_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus I_s$ , where  $I_i$  is an ideal in  $M_{n_i}(\mathbb{F}_{q^{r_i}})$ , for  $i \in \{1, \dots, s\}$ . Moreover, this decomposition is unique. This is the reason why, in order to study the parameters and properties of group codes of  $\mathbb{F}_q[G]$ , we start by exploring the ideals of an arbitrary ring of matrices  $M_n(\mathbb{F}_{q^t})$ , over a finite field  $\mathbb{F}_{q^t}$ .

Since  $M_n(\mathbb{F}_{q^t})$  is a ring of principal ideals, every ideal  $I$  of  $M_n(\mathbb{F}_{q^t})$  is generated by a matrix  $M \in M_n(\mathbb{F}_{q^t})$ , that is,

$$I = \langle M \rangle = \{XM \mid X \in M_n(\mathbb{F}_{q^t})\}. \quad (9)$$

From the point of view of ring theory, the rank of the ideal  $I$  is just the rank of any generator matrix  $M$  of  $I$ . In contrast, if we want to compute the dimension of the ideal  $I$  as an  $\mathbb{F}_q$ -vector space, then we must first take into account the vector space over  $\mathbb{F}_{q^t}$  generated by the rows of  $M$ , that is,  $\text{rowsp}(M) = \{xM \mid x \in \mathbb{F}_{q^t}^n\}$ , since then  $\text{rk}(M)$  is exactly its dimension, that is,

$$\text{rk}(M) = \dim_{\mathbb{F}_{q^t}} \text{rowsp}(M).$$

Now, note that  $M_n(\mathbb{F}_{q^t}) \cong (\mathbb{F}_{q^t}^n)^{\oplus n}$  as  $\mathbb{F}_{q^t}$ -vector spaces through the isomorphism

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi : M_n(\mathbb{F}_{q^t}) &\longrightarrow (\mathbb{F}_{q^t}^n)^{\oplus n} \\ X = \begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \\ \vdots \\ x_n \end{pmatrix} &\longmapsto x_1 \oplus x_2 \oplus \cdots \oplus x_n. \end{aligned}$$

In this way,  $\varphi(I) = \varphi(\langle M \rangle) = \text{rowsp}(M) \oplus \cdots \oplus \text{rowsp}(M)$  and therefore  $\dim_{\mathbb{F}_{q^t}}(I) = n \text{rk}(M)$ . As a result, the dimension of  $I = \langle M \rangle$  as an  $\mathbb{F}_q$ -vector space is given in terms of  $\text{rk}(M)$  as follows.

**Lemma 3.7.** *The dimension of an ideal  $I = \langle M \rangle$  of  $M_n(\mathbb{F}_{q^t})$  as  $\mathbb{F}_q$ -vector space is  $\dim_{\mathbb{F}_q}(I) = tn \text{rk}(M)$ .*

Now we are ready to compute the dimension of any  $G$ -code when  $\mathbb{F}_q[G]$  can be realised as a sum of matrix algebras over finite fields.

**Theorem 3.8.** *Let  $G$  be a group such that  $\mathbb{F}_q[G] \cong \bigoplus_{i=1}^s M_{n_i}(\mathbb{F}_{q^{r_i}})$  for some positive integers  $n_i, r_i$ , for  $1 \leq i \leq s$ , and for some isomorphism  $\psi$  of algebras. Let  $\mathcal{C} = \langle u \rangle \subseteq \mathbb{F}_q[G]$  be a  $G$ -code, for some element  $u \in \mathbb{F}_q[G]$ . If  $\psi(u) = \bigoplus_{i=1}^s B_i$  then*

$$\dim_{\mathbb{F}_q}(\mathcal{C}) = \sum_{i=1}^s n_i r_i \text{rk}(B_i).$$

In addition to being able to give the dimension of any  $G$ -code, the decomposition of the group algebra  $\mathbb{F}_q[G]$  into rings of matrices over finite fields also allows us to count the total number of  $G$ -codes we can construct within this group algebra. To do so, note that in an arbitrary ring of matrices  $M_n(\mathbb{F}_q)$ , each ideal is generated exactly by one matrix  $M \in M_n(\mathbb{F}_q)$  in row reduced echelon form (see (9)). In turn, each matrix of  $M_n(\mathbb{F}_q)$  in row reduced echelon form having rank  $k$  generates exactly a  $k$ -dimensional vector subspace of  $\mathbb{F}_q^n$  (its row space). Thus, there is a bijection between the set of ideals of rank  $k$  in  $M_n(\mathbb{F}_q)$  and the set of  $k$ -dimensional vector subspaces of  $\mathbb{F}_q^n$ , which is the Grassmann variety  $\mathcal{G}_q(k, n)$ . As is well known, the cardinality of  $\mathcal{G}_q(k, n)$  is given by the  $q$ -ary Gaussian coefficient ([27, Ch. 24]):

$$\begin{bmatrix} n \\ k \end{bmatrix}_q = \frac{(q^n - 1)(q^{n-1} - 1) \cdots (q^{n-k+1} - 1)}{(q^k - 1)(q^{k-1} - 1) \cdots (q - 1)}.$$

Therefore, this is also the number of ideals of rank  $k$  in  $M_n(\mathbb{F}_q)$ . It follows then that the total number of ideals we can construct in  $M_n(\mathbb{F}_q)$ , denoted as  $\mathcal{I}_q(n)$ , is exactly the cardinality of the projective geometry  $\mathcal{P}(\mathbb{F}_q^n)$  (that is, the set of all subspaces) associated to the  $\mathbb{F}_q$ -vector space  $\mathbb{F}_q^n$ . This number is:

$$\mathcal{I}_q(n) = |\mathcal{P}(\mathbb{F}_q^n)| = \sum_{k=0}^n \begin{bmatrix} n \\ k \end{bmatrix}_q.$$

Now, we can compute the exact number of  $G$ -codes we can construct in  $\mathbb{F}_q[G]$  when this algebra is semisimple.

**Theorem 3.9.** *If  $\mathbb{F}_q[G] \cong \bigoplus_{i=1}^s M_{n_i}(\mathbb{F}_{q^{r_i}})$ , then the number of  $G$ -codes over  $\mathbb{F}_q$  is given by the formula*

$$\prod_{i=1}^s \mathcal{I}_{q^{r_i}}(n_i).$$

**Example 3.10.** We can use Theorem 3.9 to compute the number of group codes in the following examples:

Group algebra	Wedderburn-Artin decomposition	Number of group codes
$\mathbb{F}_3[C_5]$	$\mathbb{F}_3 \oplus \mathbb{F}_{3^4}$	$2 \cdot 2 = 4$
$\mathbb{F}_3[D_4]$	$4\mathbb{F}_3 \oplus M_2(\mathbb{F}_3)$	$2^4 \cdot 6 = 96$
$\mathbb{F}_3[D_4 \times C_5]$	$4\mathbb{F}_3 \oplus 4\mathbb{F}_{3^4} \oplus M_2(\mathbb{F}_3) \oplus M_2(\mathbb{F}_{3^4})$	$2^4 \cdot 2^4 \cdot 6 \cdot 84 = 131072$
$\mathbb{F}_3[D_4 \times D_4]$	$16\mathbb{F}_3 \oplus 8M_2(\mathbb{F}_3) \oplus M_4(\mathbb{F}_3)$	$2^{16} \cdot 6^8 \cdot 212 = 2333596605312$

## 4 Some explicit constructions of codes involving dihedral groups

The aim of this section is to show how the theoretical results stated in this paper can be applied. In Subsection 4.1 we will construct several group codes arising from the group algebras  $\mathbb{F}_q[D_n \times C_t]$  and  $\mathbb{F}_q[D_n \times D_m]$ . After that, in Subsection 4.2, we will develop a method for constructing quantum-error correcting codes by using group codes from  $\mathbb{F}_q[D_n]$ . In both cases, the dihedral group  $D_n$  and the Wedderburn-Artin decomposition of its group algebra  $\mathbb{F}_q[D_n]$  presented in Subsection 2.2 will play an essential role. For this reason, we will first give an explicit description of every dihedral code arising from  $\mathbb{F}_q[D_n]$  as well as its corresponding dual code.

Using notation of Subsection 2.2, if  $1 \leq j \leq \zeta(n)$ , then  $A_j = \mathbb{F}_q \oplus \mathbb{F}_q$ , and thus it is clear that any proper ideal of  $A_j$  has the form  $\mathbb{F}_q \oplus \mathbf{0}$  or  $\mathbf{0} \oplus \mathbb{F}_q$ . If  $\zeta(n) + 1 \leq j \leq r$ , then  $A_j = M_2(\mathbb{F}_{q^{\deg(f_j)/2}})$ ; since every ideal of such ring is generated by a matrix in row reduced echelon form (see Subsection 3.2), the proper ideals of  $A_j$  in this case are generated by one of the following matrices:

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \lambda \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

where  $0 \neq \lambda \in \mathbb{F}_{q^{\deg(f_j)/2}}$ . This last assertion also holds whenever  $r+1 \leq j \leq r+s$ , but taking  $0 \neq \lambda \in \mathbb{F}_{q^{\deg(f_j)}}$ , since in that case  $A_j = M_2(\mathbb{F}_{q^{\deg(f_j)}})$ . Besides, for each group code in  $\mathbb{F}_q[D_n]$ , the corresponding dual code is described in [29]. This information is summarised below, and it will be used in the examples we are going to build later.

**Theorem 4.1.** *Let  $\gcd(q, 2n) = 1$ . Then  $\mathcal{C} \subseteq \mathbb{F}_q[D_n]$  is a group code if and only if it satisfies that*

$$\mathcal{C} \cong \bigoplus_{j=1}^{\zeta(n)} (x_{j1}\mathbb{F}_q \oplus x_{j2}\mathbb{F}_q) \oplus \bigoplus_{j=\zeta(n)+1}^{r+s} \langle M_j \rangle_{A_j} \quad (10)$$

where  $M_j \in \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \lambda_j \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \right\}$ ,  $x_{j1}, x_{j2} \in \{0, 1\}$ ,  $0 \neq \lambda_j \in \mathbb{F}_{q^{\deg(f_j)/2}}$  if  $j \leq r$ , and  $0 \neq \lambda_j \in \mathbb{F}_{q^{\deg(f_j)}}$  otherwise. Moreover, its dual code  $\mathcal{C}^\perp \subseteq \mathbb{F}_q[D_n]$  verifies that

$$\mathcal{C}^\perp \cong \bigoplus_{j=1}^{\zeta(n)} ((1 - x_{j1})\mathbb{F}_q \oplus (1 - x_{j2})\mathbb{F}_q) \oplus \bigoplus_{j=\zeta(n)+1}^{r+s} \langle \widehat{M_j} \rangle_{A_j}$$

where:

i) for  $\zeta(n) + 1 \leq j \leq r$  and a root  $\alpha_j$  of  $f_j$ :

$$\widehat{M}_j = \begin{cases} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, & \text{if } M_j = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \\ \begin{pmatrix} 2 & -\alpha_j - \alpha_j^{-1} \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, & \text{if } M_j = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \\ \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_j + \alpha_j^{-1} & -2 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, & \text{if } M_j = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \\ \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_j + \alpha_j^{-1} + 2\lambda_j & -2 - (\alpha_j + \alpha_j^{-1})\lambda_j \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, & \text{if } M_j = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \lambda_j \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \\ \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, & \text{if } M_j = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \end{cases} \quad (11)$$

ii) for  $r + 1 \leq j \leq r + s$ :

$$\widehat{M}_j = \begin{cases} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, & \text{if } M_j = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \\ \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, & \text{if } M_j = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \\ \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, & \text{if } M_j = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \\ \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -\lambda_j \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, & \text{if } M_j = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \lambda_j \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \\ \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, & \text{if } M_j = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \end{cases} \quad (12)$$

*Proof.* Equation (10) is clear from the discussion at the beginning of the section, whilst Equations (11) and (12) directly follows from [29, Theorem 5].  $\square$

#### 4.1 Group codes arising from $\mathbb{F}_q[D_n \times C_t]$ and $\mathbb{F}_q[D_n \times D_m]$

Let  $q = 3$  and consider the group algebra  $\mathbb{F}_3[D_4 \times C_4]$ , where  $D_4 = \langle x, y \mid x^4 = y^2 = 1, y^{-1}xy = x^{-1} \rangle$  and  $C_4 = \langle z \mid z^4 = 1 \rangle$ . According to Corollary 3.6(i), the Wedderburn-Artin decomposition of  $\mathbb{F}_3[D_4 \times C_4]$  depends on the factorisation of the polynomial  $x^4 - 1$  in irreducible polynomials of  $\mathbb{F}_3[x]$ . Following Notation 3.5 and Corollary 3.6(i), one has  $\zeta(4) = 2$ ,  $r = b = 3$ ,  $s = 0$  and  $x^4 - 1 = p_1 p_2 p_3 = f_1 f_2 f_3$ , where

$$\begin{aligned} f_1 &= p_1 = x - 1 \\ f_2 &= p_2 = x + 1 \\ f_3 &= p_3 = x^2 + 1. \end{aligned}$$

The values of  $a_{ij}$ ,  $d_{ij}$  and  $\ell_{ij}$  are organised in the following table:

$i \backslash j$	1	2	3
1	$a_{11} = 1$ $d_{11} = 1$ $\ell_{11} = 1$	$a_{12} = 1$ $d_{12} = 1$ $\ell_{12} = 1$	$a_{13} = 1$ $d_{13} = 1$ $\ell_{13} = 2$
2	$a_{21} = 1$ $d_{21} = 1$ $\ell_{21} = 1$	$a_{22} = 1$ $d_{22} = 1$ $\ell_{22} = 1$	$a_{23} = 1$ $d_{23} = 1$ $\ell_{23} = 2$
3	$a_{31} = 1$ $d_{31} = 1$ $\ell_{31} = 2$	$a_{32} = 1$ $d_{32} = 1$ $\ell_{32} = 2$	$a_{33} = 2$ $d_{33} = 1$ $\ell_{33} = 2$

Therefore, the blocks  $A_{ij}$  are

$i \backslash j$	1	2	3
1	$\mathbb{F}_3 \oplus \mathbb{F}_3$	$\mathbb{F}_3 \oplus \mathbb{F}_3$	$\mathbb{F}_{3^2} \oplus \mathbb{F}_{3^2}$
2	$\mathbb{F}_3 \oplus \mathbb{F}_3$	$\mathbb{F}_3 \oplus \mathbb{F}_3$	$\mathbb{F}_{3^2} \oplus \mathbb{F}_{3^2}$
3	$M_2(\mathbb{F}_3)$	$M_2(\mathbb{F}_3)$	$M_2(\mathbb{F}_{3^2})$

The information in both tables yields, after a proper reordering of the summands, that  $\mathbb{F}_3[D_4 \times C_4]$  can be decomposed as:

$$\mathbb{F}_3[D_4 \times C_4] \stackrel{\psi}{\cong} 8\mathbb{F}_3 \oplus 4\mathbb{F}_{3^2} \oplus 2M_2(\mathbb{F}_3) \oplus M_2(\mathbb{F}_{3^2}). \quad (13)$$

We will also need the expression for the isomorphism  $\psi$ . The isomorphism  $\psi_1$  between  $\mathbb{F}_3[D_4]$  and its decomposition can be found in [8], whereas the isomorphism  $\psi_2$  between  $\mathbb{F}_3[C_4]$  and its decomposition is a direct consequence of the Chinese Remainder Theorem. By proceeding as in the proof of Theorem 3.2,  $\psi$  can be explicitly determined through the images of the generators of the product group algebra:

$$\begin{aligned} \psi(x) &= 1 \oplus 1 \oplus 1 \oplus 1 \oplus -1 \oplus -1 \oplus -1 \oplus -1 \oplus 1 \oplus 1 \oplus -1 \oplus -1 \oplus \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \oplus \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \oplus \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \\ \psi(y) &= 1 \oplus -1 \oplus 1 \oplus -1 \oplus 1 \oplus -1 \oplus 1 \oplus -1 \oplus 1 \oplus -1 \oplus 1 \oplus -1 \oplus \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix} \oplus \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix} \oplus \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix} \\ \psi(z) &= -1 \oplus -1 \oplus 1 \oplus 1 \oplus -1 \oplus -1 \oplus 1 \oplus 1 \oplus \xi^2 \oplus \xi^2 \oplus \xi^2 \oplus \xi^2 \oplus \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix} \oplus \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \oplus \begin{pmatrix} \xi^2 & 0 \\ 0 & \xi^2 \end{pmatrix} \end{aligned}$$

where  $\xi$  a primitive element of  $\mathbb{F}_{3^2}$  such that  $\xi^2 - \xi - 1 = 0$ .

Now, considering the decomposition of  $\mathbb{F}_3[D_4 \times C_4]$  given in (13), we take for instance  $\mathcal{C}$  the code associated to the ideal

$$\mathbb{F}_3 \oplus \mathbb{F}_3 \oplus \mathbf{0} \oplus \mathbb{F}_3 \oplus \mathbf{0} \oplus \mathbb{F}_3 \oplus \mathbf{0} \oplus \mathbf{0} \oplus \mathbf{0} \oplus \mathbb{F}_{3^2} \oplus \mathbb{F}_{3^2} \oplus \mathbb{F}_{3^2} \oplus \mathbf{0} \oplus M_2(\mathbb{F}_3) \oplus \left\langle \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \xi \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \right\rangle_{M_2(\mathbb{F}_{3^2})}.$$

Its dimension can be easily computed utilising Theorem 3.8. Indeed, since

$$\dim_{\mathbb{F}_3}(\mathbb{F}_3) = 1, \quad \dim_{\mathbb{F}_3}(\mathbb{F}_{3^2}) = 2, \quad \dim_{\mathbb{F}_3}(M_2(\mathbb{F}_3)) = 4, \quad \dim_{\mathbb{F}_3}\left(\left\langle \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \xi \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \right\rangle_{M_2(\mathbb{F}_{3^2})}\right) = 4,$$

we can conclude that the dimension of  $\mathcal{C}$  is 18. Using the software MAGMA [5], we were able to construct this concrete  $\psi$  and its inverse, and so we computed a generator element of  $\mathcal{C}$  in  $\mathbb{F}_3[D_4 \times C_4]$ , which is  $x + z - z^2 + x^2 + xy - xz + xz^2 - x^3 + yz^2 - x^2y + z^3 - x^2z^2 - xyz^2 + xz^3 + x^3z - x^2yz^2 + xyz^3 + x^3yz - x^3z^3$ . Moreover, we obtained its minimum distance, which is 8. We point out that  $\mathcal{C}$  achieves the best known minimum distance for a  $[32, 18]_3$  linear code according to Grassl's tables [16].

Below we present other examples of group codes over  $\mathbb{F}_3$  associated with the direct product of two specific groups, being one of them always a dihedral group. All are group codes with the best-known minimum distance for their length and dimension (cf. [16]). The results were obtained using MAGMA [5] by random search.

Let  $D_n = \langle x, y \mid x^n = y^2 = 1, y^{-1}xy = x^{-1} \rangle$  and  $C_t = \langle z \mid z^t = 1 \rangle$ . Consider the group algebra  $\mathbb{F}_3[D_n \times C_t]$ . The following table displays some of the codes we computed.

Generator of an ideal of $\mathbb{F}_3[D_n \times C_t]$	$n$	$t$	Length	Dimension	Distance
$x + z - z^2 + x^2 + xy - xz + xz^2 - x^3 + yz^2 - x^2y + z^3 - x^2z^2 - xyz^2 + xz^3 + x^3z - x^2yz^2 + xyz^3 + x^3yz - x^3z^3$	4	4	32	18	8
$y + z - x - yz + yz^2 + x^4y + z^3 + xz - yz^3 - x^3y - x^2z - x^3 - x^3yz + x^2y + x^2z^3 - xy + x^3z^3 + x^4z - x^4z^3 - xyz^3$	5	4	40	21	10
$1 - y - x + x^2 - yz^2 + x^2y - x^2z^2 + xy - yz^4 + xz^4 - x^2z^4 + x^3yz^4 - yz - xz - x^3z^4 - x^2z - x^3yz - xyz^4 - yz^3 - xz^3 + x^3z - x^2z^3 - x^3yz^3 + xyz + x^3z^3 + xyz^3$	4	5	40	25	8
$1 + z^2 - yz^2 - x^4y + z^4 - xz^2 - yz^4 - x^3y + z - xz^4 + x^3 - yz + x^3yz^2 + z^3 - xz - x^3z^2 + x^4 - yz^3 + x^3yz^4 - x^2z - x^3z^4 + x^4yz^3 + xyz^2 + x^3yz^3 + x^2yz + xyz^4 - x^3z^3 + yz - x^4z^3$	5	5	50	29	10

Now, let  $D_n = \langle x, y \mid x^n = y^2 = 1, y^{-1}xy = x^{-1} \rangle$  and  $D_m = \langle c, d \mid c^m = d^2 = 1, dcd = c^{-1} \rangle$ , and consider the group algebra  $\mathbb{F}_3[D_n \times D_m]$ . Then we have the following table.

Generator of an ideal of $\mathbb{F}_3[D_n \times D_m]$	$n$	$m$	Length	Dimension	Distance
$-x^4cd + x^4ycd + x^5cd - x^3yc + x^5c - x^3yd - x^2ycd - x^5ycd - x^6cd + x^3c - x^5yc + x^3d - x^2yd + x^6d + x^2cd + x^6ycd - xyc + x^2d - x^6yd - ycd + xc + yc + yd + cd - y - c - d - 1$	7	2	56	31	11
$-1 + x + d - x^2 - xd - x^3 - x^5 + x^4y + cd - x^2c + x^4d - x^6 - xyc + xyd + x^7y - x^5y + xcd + x^3c - x^5c + x^3d - x^6yc - x^4yc + x^6yd + x^4cd - x^6c + xycd - x^7yc - x^5yc - x^7yd + x^5yd - x^3y - x^5cd - x^7d - x^6ycd + x^6yd - x^7ycd - x^3yd + x^7cd + x^3ycd + x^2yd$	8	2	64	35	12
$-x^2yc^2d + x^2yc^3 + x^3c^2 + x^2ycd + x^3cd - x^2c^2d - xyc^2d - x^3yc^2d + x^2c^3 + xyc^3 + x^3yc^3 + x^3c + xyc^2 + x^3yc^2 - x^2cd - xycd + x^3d - x^2c^3d + yc^2d + yc^3 + x^2y + x^3 + x^2c + xyc - x^3yc + xc^2 - xcd + xyd - yc^3d - x^3y - xc - c^2 - y - 1$	4	4	64	44	8
$x^2yc^2d - x^3c^2d - x^2yc^3 - x^3c^3 - x^2yc^2 - x^3cd + x^2yc^3d - x^3c^3d + x^3yc^2d - x^3yc^3 - x^3c + x^2c^2 - xyc^2 + x^3yc^2 - xycd + x^3ycd + x^2c^3d - xyc^3d - yc^2d + xc^3 - x^3 + x^2c + x^3yc - xc^2 - yc^2 + xcd + ycd + x^2d - xyd + x^3yd - yc^3d + c^3 - x^2 + xy - x^3y + yc - c^2 + cd - xd - c^3d + y - c - d$	4	4	64	45	8

## 4.2 On Quantum error-correcting Dihedral Codes

Given a finite group  $G$ , recall that every  $G$ -code can be determined whenever the Wedderburn-Artin decomposition of the group algebra is known, since each  $G$ -code is isomorphic to a direct sum of ideals in the corresponding matrix rings (see Subsection 3.2). Hence, if the corresponding dual code can also be computed, then we can utilise this information to obtain quantum error-correcting  $G$ -codes via the CSS construction (cf. Theorem 2.4). Using Theorem 4.1, in this section we are going to apply this method when the considered group is the dihedral group  $D_n$ .

**Example 4.2.** Let us consider  $q = 3$  and  $n = 16$ , so we work on the group algebra  $\mathbb{F}_3[D_{16}]$ . Following the notation in Subsection 2.2, it is clear that  $\zeta(n) = 2$ , and it can be checked that

$$x^{16} - 1 = (x - 1)(x + 1)(x^2 + 1)(x^2 + x - 1)(x^2 - x - 1)(x^4 + x^2 - 1)(x^4 - x^2 - 1) = f_1 f_2 f_3 f_4 f_5^* f_5^*,$$

so  $r = 3$  and  $s = 2$ . Consider  $\beta$  a primitive element of  $\mathbb{F}_{3^4}$ . Take

$$\mathcal{C} \cong (\mathbb{F}_3 \oplus \mathbb{F}_3) \oplus (\mathbf{0} \oplus \mathbb{F}_3) \oplus \left\langle \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \right\rangle_{M_2(\mathbb{F}_3)} \oplus \left\langle \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \right\rangle_{M_2(\mathbb{F}_{3^2})} \oplus \left\langle \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -\beta^{49} \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \right\rangle_{M_2(\mathbb{F}_{3^4})}.$$



In virtue of Theorem 4.1,  $\mathcal{C}$  is a  $D_{16}$ -code of dimension 19 (by Theorem 3.8), and

$$\mathcal{C}^\perp \cong (\mathbf{0} \oplus \mathbf{0}) \oplus (\mathbb{F}_3 \oplus \mathbf{0}) \oplus \left\langle \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \right\rangle_{M_2(\mathbb{F}_3)} \oplus \left\langle \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \right\rangle_{M_2(\mathbb{F}_{3^2})} \oplus \left\langle \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \beta^{49} \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \right\rangle_{M_2(\mathbb{F}_{3^4})}$$

Note that with each  $D_{16}$ -code containing  $\mathcal{C}^\perp$  we can construct a dihedral quantum code applying the CSS construction (cf. Theorem 2.4). We consider for instance:

$$\mathcal{D} \cong (\mathbb{F}_3 \oplus \mathbb{F}_3) \oplus (\mathbb{F}_3 \oplus \mathbf{0}) \oplus \left\langle \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \right\rangle_{M_2(\mathbb{F}_3)} \oplus \left\langle \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \right\rangle_{M_2(\mathbb{F}_{3^2})} \oplus \left\langle \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \beta^{49} \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \right\rangle_{M_2(\mathbb{F}_{3^4})}.$$

It holds that  $\mathcal{D}$  is a  $D_{16}$ -code of dimension 23. By Theorem 2.4 and making computations with MAGMA [5], we obtain that  $\mathcal{Q}_1 = \text{CSS}(\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{C})$  is a quantum  $D_{16}$ -code with parameters  $[[32, 10, 4]]_3$ .

**Example 4.3.** Now we consider the group algebra  $\mathbb{F}_3[D_{20}]$ , so  $n = 20$  and  $q = 3$ . Thus  $\zeta(n) = 2$ , and since

$$\begin{aligned} x^{20} - 1 &= (x-1)(x+1)(x^2+1)(x^4+x^3+x^2+x+1) \cdot \\ &\cdot (x^4-x^3+x^2-x+1)(x^4+x^3-x+1)(x^4-x^3+x+1) = \\ &= f_1 f_2 f_3 f_4 f_5 f_6^*, \end{aligned}$$

we deduce that  $r = 5$  and  $s = 1$ . For  $i = 1, 2$ , let  $\alpha_i$  be a root of  $f_i$ . By [8, Remark 3.2] there exists a polynomial  $h_i$  in  $\mathbb{F}_3[x]$  having degree 2 and  $\alpha_i + \alpha_i^{-1}$  as a root. Thus, we can take

$$\lambda_4 = -2/(\alpha_4 + \alpha_4^{-1}) \in \mathbb{F}_3(\alpha_4 + \alpha_4^{-1}) \cong \mathbb{F}_{3^2}$$

and

$$\lambda_5 = -(\alpha_5 + \alpha_5^{-1})/2 \in \mathbb{F}_3(\alpha_5 + \alpha_5^{-1}) \cong \mathbb{F}_{3^2}.$$

Let us take the  $D_{20}$ -code

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{C} \cong (\mathbb{F}_3 \oplus \mathbb{F}_3) \oplus (\mathbf{0} \oplus \mathbb{F}_3) \oplus \left\langle \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \right\rangle_{M_2(\mathbb{F}_3)} \oplus \left\langle \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \lambda_4 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \right\rangle_{M_2(\mathbb{F}_3(\alpha_4 + \alpha_4^{-1}))} \oplus \\ \oplus \left\langle \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \lambda_5 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \right\rangle_{M_2(\mathbb{F}_3(\alpha_5 + \alpha_5^{-1}))} \oplus \left\langle \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \right\rangle_{M_2(\mathbb{F}_{3^4})}. \end{aligned}$$

By Theorems 4.1 and 3.8, we get that  $\mathcal{C}$  is a  $D_{20}$ -code of dimension 23, and

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{C}^\perp \cong (\mathbf{0} \oplus \mathbf{0}) \oplus (\mathbb{F}_3 \oplus \mathbf{0}) \oplus \left\langle \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \right\rangle_{M_2(\mathbb{F}_3)} \oplus \left\langle \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \right\rangle_{M_2(\mathbb{F}_3(\alpha_4 + \alpha_4^{-1}))} \oplus \\ \oplus \left\langle \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \right\rangle_{M_2(\mathbb{F}_3(\alpha_5 + \alpha_5^{-1}))} \oplus \left\langle \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \right\rangle_{M_2(\mathbb{F}_{3^4})}. \end{aligned}$$

As in the previous example, any  $D_{20}$ -code containing  $\mathcal{C}^\perp$  allows us to apply the CSS construction in order to obtain a dihedral quantum code. More concretely, we choose the following  $D_{20}$ -code  $\mathcal{D}$  such that  $\mathcal{C}^\perp \subseteq \mathcal{D}$ :

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{D} \cong (\mathbb{F}_3 \oplus \mathbb{F}_3) \oplus (\mathbb{F}_3 \oplus \mathbf{0}) \oplus \left\langle \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \right\rangle_{M_2(\mathbb{F}_3)} \oplus \left\langle \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \right\rangle_{M_2(\mathbb{F}_3(\alpha_4 + \alpha_4^{-1}))} \oplus \\ \oplus \left\langle \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \right\rangle_{M_2(\mathbb{F}_3(\alpha_5 + \alpha_5^{-1}))} \oplus \left\langle \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \right\rangle_{M_2(\mathbb{F}_{3^4})}. \end{aligned}$$

It holds that  $\mathcal{D}$  is a  $D_{20}$ -code of dimension 33. Consequently, by Theorem 2.4 and through computations with MAGMA [5] to obtain the distance, we conclude that  $\mathcal{Q}_2 = \text{CSS}(\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{C})$  is a quantum CSS  $D_{20}$ -code with parameters  $[[40, 16, 4]]_3$ .

According to the database <http://quantumcodes.info> we can compare the quantum codes  $[[12, 3, 4]]_3$  and  $[[16, 4, 4]]_3$  with our dihedral quantum CSS codes computed in the previous two examples. All these codes have minimum distance 4. However, our codes have a larger code rate, namely  $k/n = 10/32 = 0.3125$  in the first example and  $k/n = 16/40 = 0.4$  in the second example, in contrast to  $3/12 = 0.25 = 4/16$ . Hence, our dihedral quantum codes perform better than these ones.

## References

- [1] ADKINS, W. A. and WEINTRAUB, S. H. *Algebra. An approach via module theory*. Springer New York, 1992.
- [2] ARAUJO, R. R. DE, MILIES, F. C. P. and FERRAZ, R. A. *Minimal group codes over alternating groups*. 2023. arXiv: 2310.17375 [math.RA].
- [3] BERNAL, J. J., RÍO, Á. DEL and SIMÓN, J. J. ‘An intrinsical description of group codes’. In: *Designs, Codes and Cryptography* 51.3 (2009), pp. 289–300.
- [4] BORELLO, M. and JAMOUS, A. ‘Dihedral codes with prescribed minimum distance’. In: *Arithmetic of Finite Fields: 8th International Workshop, WAIFI 2020, Rennes, France, July 6–8, 2020, Revised Selected and Invited Papers* 8. Springer. 2021, pp. 147–159.
- [5] BOSMA, W., CANNON, J. and PLAYOUST, C. ‘The Magma Algebra System I: The User Language’. In: *J. Symb. Comput.* 24 (1997), pp. 235–265.
- [6] BOURBAKI, N. *Algebra I: Chapters 1–3*. Hermann, 1973.
- [7] BOURBAKI, N. *Algebra: Chapter 8*. Original Second French edition published by Springer, 2012. Springer Nature Switzerland AG, 2023.
- [8] BROCHERO MARTÍNEZ, F. ‘Structure of finite dihedral group algebra’. In: *Finite Fields and Their Applications* 35 (2015), pp. 204–214.
- [9] CALDERBANK, A., RAINS, E., SHOR, P. and SLOANE, N. ‘Quantum error correction via codes over  $GF(4)$ ’. In: *IEEE Trans. Inf. Theory* 44 (1998), pp. 1369–1387.
- [10] CALDERBANK, A. and SHOR, P. ‘Good quantum error-correcting codes exist’. In: *Phys. Rev. A* 54.2 (1996), p. 1098.
- [11] DOERK, K. and HAWKES, T. O. *Finite soluble groups*. De Gruyter Expositions in Mathematics 4. Berlin, 1992.
- [12] DUTRA, F. S., FERRAZ, R. A. and MILIES, C. P. ‘Semisimple group codes and dihedral codes’. In: *Algebra and Discrete Mathematics* 8.3 (2009), pp. 28–48.
- [13] GAO, Y. and YUE, Q. ‘Idempotents of generalized quaternion group algebras and their applications’. In: *Discrete Mathematics* 344.5 (2021), p. 112342.
- [14] GAO, Y., YUE, Q. and WU, Y. ‘LCD codes and self-orthogonal codes in generalized dihedral group algebras’. In: *Designs, Codes and Cryptography* 88.11 (2020), 2275D2287. ISSN: 1573-7586.
- [15] GONZÁLEZ, S., MARKOV, V., MARKOVA, O. and MARTÍNEZ, C. ‘Group codes’. In: *Algebra, Codes and Cryptology: First International Conference, A2C 2019 in honor of Prof. Mamadou Sanghare, Dakar, Senegal, December 5–7, 2019, Proceedings 1*. Springer. 2019, pp. 83–96.
- [16] GRASSL, M. *Bounds on the minimum distance of linear codes and quantum codes*. Online available at <http://www.codetables.de>. Accessed on 2024-04-16. 2007.
- [17] KETKAR, A., KLAPPENECKER, A., KUMAR, S. and SARVEPALLI, P. ‘Nonbinary stabilizer codes over finite fields’. In: *IEEE Trans. Inf. Theory* 52 (2006), pp. 4892–4914.
- [18] LANDROCK, P. and MANZ, O. ‘Classical codes as ideals in group algebras’. In: *Designs, codes and cryptography* 2 (1992), pp. 273–285.
- [19] LIDAR, D. and BRUN, T. *Quantum Error Correction*. Cambridge University Press, 2013.
- [20] MARTÍNEZ, C. and MOLINA, F. ‘The syndromes decoding algorithm in group codes’. In: *Finite Fields and Their Applications* 89 (2023), p. 102206.
- [21] MARTÍNEZ, F. E. B., OLIVEIRA, L. B. DE and VERGARA, C. R. G. *Wedderburn Decomposition and Idempotents of some finite metacyclic group algebras*. 2022. arXiv: 2210.07226 [math.NT].
- [22] MCLOUGHLIN, I. and HURLEY, T. ‘A group ring construction of the extended binary Golay code’. In: *IEEE transactions on information theory* 54.9 (2008), pp. 4381–4383.
- [23] PASSMAN, D. S. *The Algebraic Structure of Group Rings*. New York: John Wiley & Sons, 1977.
- [24] PERLIS, S. and WALKER, G. L. ‘Abelian Group Algebras of Finite Order’. In: *Transactions of the American Mathematical Society* 68.3 (1950), pp. 420–426. ISSN: 00029947.

- [25] SENDRIER, N. ‘Code-based cryptography: State of the art and perspectives’. In: *IEEE Security Privacy* 4.15 (2017), pp. 44–50.
- [26] STEANE, A. ‘Simple quantum error-correcting codes’. In: *Phys. Rev. A* 54.6 (1996), p. 4741.
- [27] VAN LINT, J. H. and WILSON, R. M. *A course in combinatorics*. Cambridge university press, 2001.
- [28] VEDENEV, K. V. and DEUNDYAK, V. M. ‘The structure of finite group algebra of a semidirect product of abelian groups and its applications’. In: *Chebyshevskii Sbornik. (in Russ.)* 20.3 (2019), pp. 107–123.
- [29] VEDENEV, K. V. and DEUNDYAK, V. M. *Some properties of dihedral group codes*. 2021. arXiv: 2005.08283 [math.RA].