

A C^0 INTERIOR PENALTY METHOD FOR THE STREAM FUNCTION FORMULATION OF THE SURFACE STOKES PROBLEM

MICHAEL NEILAN AND HONGZHI WAN

ABSTRACT. We propose a C^0 interior penalty method for the fourth-order stream function formulation of the surface Stokes problem. The scheme utilizes continuous, piecewise polynomial spaces defined on an approximate surface. We show that the resulting discretization is positive definite and derive error estimates in various norms in terms of the polynomial degree of the finite element space as well as the polynomial degree to define the geometry approximation. A notable feature of the scheme is that it does not explicitly depend on the Gauss curvature of the surface. This is achieved via a novel integration-by-parts formula for the surface biharmonic operator.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let Γ be a smooth, simply connected compact oriented hypersurface in \mathbb{R}^3 without boundary and with outward unit normal \mathbf{n} . The surface Stokes problem seeks the fluid velocity $\mathbf{u} : \Gamma \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^3$ with $\mathbf{u} \cdot \mathbf{n} = 0$ and the surface fluid pressure $p : \Gamma \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$(1.1a) \quad -\mathbf{P} \operatorname{div}_\Gamma(E_\Gamma(\mathbf{u})) + \mathbf{u} + \nabla_\Gamma p = \mathbf{f} \quad \text{on } \Gamma,$$

$$(1.1b) \quad \operatorname{div}_\Gamma \mathbf{u} = 0 \quad \text{on } \Gamma,$$

where $\mathbf{f} \in L^2(\Gamma)^3$ with $\mathbf{f} \cdot \mathbf{n} = 0$ is a given force vector, $E_\Gamma(\mathbf{u})$ is the deformation tensor, and \mathbf{P} is the tangential projection operator. Further details and notation are given in the next section. The zeroth-order term is included in (1.1a) to ensure uniqueness of the velocity solution and to avoid technicalities related to Killing fields, i.e., non-trivial tangential vector fields in the kernel of the deformation tensor E_Γ [1].

Surface (Navier)-Stokes equations arise in various application models including emulsion foams and biological membranes [29, 30], computer graphics [14], and geophysics [25, 27]. As such there has been recent interest in developing finite element methods for incompressible fluids posed on surfaces. A natural choice are surface finite element methods (SFEMs) based on stable Euclidean $\mathbf{H}^1 \times L^2$ conforming velocity-pressure pairs. In this approach, finite element spaces are defined on a discrete approximate surface through polynomial mappings, and the tangential velocity constraint is enforced weakly via penalization or Lagrange multipliers [16, 19, 2, 18]. While popular and relatively straightforward to implement, this approach requires excess degrees of freedom, as the velocity is approximated by vectors in all of \mathbb{R}^3 , instead of tangential vectors. In addition, these methods may require a superparametric approximation of the outward unit normal of the surface to guarantee optimal-order convergence. Recently it was shown in [17] that the tangential component of the solution converges with optimal order using standard isoparametric geometry approximations; however, L^2 errors are suboptimal if affine surface approximations are used.

Alternatively, non-conforming velocity-pressure pairs have been proposed and analyzed in [1, 22], where the velocity is approximated using exactly tangential and Piola-mapped $\mathbf{H}(\operatorname{div})$ -conforming BDM spaces. These schemes are analogous to the Euclidean finite element methods in [8]. The velocity spaces in these schemes are exactly tangential to the discrete surface, but due to their nonconformity, additional edge-integral terms are added to ensure their consistency and stability. An exception is the recent work [12], where a $\mathbf{H}(\operatorname{div})$ -conforming space, based on the lowest-order Euclidean Mini element, is constructed that possess sufficient weak-continuity properties to guarantee convergence without including edge-integral terms.

Supported in part by the NSF grant DMS-2309425.

In contrast to SFEM, Trace FEM is a discretization technique for surface PDEs based on a background (bulk) mesh in \mathbb{R}^3 . In this framework, finite element spaces are defined on the three-dimensional mesh, and the traces of such function are used as the approximating space. To ensure stability of the resulting scheme and algebraic system, penalty terms are included in their formulation. While quite advantageous for dynamic and coupled fluid computations, this approach requires extraneous DOFs, as the spaces are defined on a 3D geometry.

Another discretization technique, and the focus of this paper, is based on the surface stream function formulation. If Γ is simply connected, then there exists a unique stream function $\phi \in H^2(\Gamma)$ with $\int_{\Gamma} \phi = 0$ such that $\mathbf{u} = \mathbf{curl}_{\Gamma} \phi$. Formally substituting this expression into (1.1a) and taking the curl of the resulting equation yields

$$(1.2) \quad \frac{1}{2} \Delta_{\Gamma}^2 \phi + \operatorname{div}_{\Gamma}((K - 1)\nabla_{\Gamma} \phi) = -\operatorname{curl}_{\Gamma} \mathbf{f},$$

where K is the Gauss curvature of Γ . SFEM and TraceFEM discretizations for (1.2) based on a Ciarlet-Raviart mixed formulation (cf. [6]), are proposed and analyzed in [23, 26, 3, 2]. This approach introduces an auxiliary unknown $\psi = \Delta_{\Gamma} \phi$, and utilizes surface Lagrange finite element spaces in its discretization. Thus, while the numerical method is relatively simple to implement, and is supported in current finite element software, the mixed formulation results in a relatively large number of unknowns and a saddle-point structure. In addition, such mixed methods require the computation of an approximate Gauss curvature, which may require higher-order approximations of the surface outward normal [3, 2]. Finally, the extension of the Ciarlet-Raviart discretization technique towards the Stokes problem on surfaces with boundary is less clear, as boundary conditions for the auxiliary variable ψ may not be explicitly given.

In this paper, we propose and analyze a SFEM method for the surface Stokes problem (1.1a) based on a primal C^0 interior penalty (IP) method applied towards the formulation (1.2). Similar to C^0 IP methods in the Euclidean case [15, 4], the proposed scheme uses continuous, piecewise polynomial spaces as the approximation spaces, and consistency and symmetry is enforced by interelement contributions of jumps and averages. This construction is done on an approximate surface Γ_h defined via a polynomial-mapped approximation of Γ . A notable feature of the proposed scheme is that it does not require an explicit approximation of the Gauss curvature. This is achieved through the use of a novel surface Hessian-type operator and integration-by-parts formulas. As a result, coercivity and continuity properties of the proposed method mostly follow the same arguments as its Euclidean counterpart. We show that the method converges and derive explicit error estimates with respect to both the finite element degree k and the degree of the geometric approximation k_g .

One advantage of the C^0 IP method is that the discretization represents a positive definite system involving a single unknown. In addition, the discrete velocity is recovered by simply taking the tangential curl of the discrete stream function. This numerical velocity is thus exactly tangential to the (approximate) surface and does not need any ad hoc penalization techniques to enforce this constraint. Potential disadvantages of the stream function approach (compared to a velocity-pressure-based formulation) is it requires Γ to be simply connected. Furthermore, as the stream function formulation is fourth-order, the condition number of any (primal) discretization is expected to scale like $O(h^{-4})$, where h is the mesh width.

Recently, several finite element methods for the surface biharmonic operator have been proposed and analyzed. Closely related to the present work is [21], where the authors propose and analyze the lowest-order C^0 IP method for the surface biharmonic problem on affine approximations. A variation of this approach, utilizing a surface gradient recovery operator, is presented in [5]. These discretizations are based on standard integration-by-parts formulas of the surface biharmonic operator, leading to bilinear forms involving the products of Laplacians. Such approaches can be easily formulated towards the fourth-order problem (1.2), although the stability and convergence of the scheme is unclear due to the indefinite low-order terms in the PDE. This approach also leads to schemes where approximate Gauss curvatures are required, which may require higher-order geometry approximations or additional computational resources [3, 2, 31]. The approach we take in this manuscript circumvents these issues through an integration-by-parts procedure.

The rest of the paper is organized as follows. In the next section, we set the notation and provide integration-by-parts identities for the surface biharmonic operator. In Section 3, we define the discrete surface approximation Γ_h and mappings between Γ and Γ_h . Section 4 states the C^0 IP method and proves the continuity and coercivity of the corresponding bilinear form. In Section 5, we derive estimates of the geometric inconsistencies of the scheme, and in Section 6 we prove error estimates of the C^0 IP method in a discrete H^2 norm and H^m norms ($m = 0, 1$). Numerical experiments are given in Section 7. Finally, some of the technical proofs in the paper are provided in the appendix.

2. PRELIMINARIES

Let Γ be a smooth, simply connected compact oriented hypersurface in \mathbb{R}^3 without boundary. We denote by U_δ a δ -neighborhood of Γ with $\delta > 0$ sufficiently small such that the signed distance function d is well-defined in U_δ (with $d < 0$ in the interior of Γ). Set $\mathbf{n} = \nabla d$ to be the outward unit normal of Γ , extended to U_δ , where the gradient is understood as a column vector. The Weingarten map is $\mathbf{H} = D^2d$, the Hessian matrix of d . The tangential projection operator is given by

$$\mathbf{P} = \mathbf{I} - \mathbf{n} \otimes \mathbf{n}.$$

The closest point projection is

$$\mathbf{p}(x) = x - d(x)\mathbf{n}(x).$$

Given a scalar function $\psi : \Gamma \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, we set its extension $\psi^e : U_\delta \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ as $\psi^e(x) = \psi(\mathbf{p}(x))$ for $x \in U_\delta$. This definition is extended to vector fields component wise (so that $(\psi^e)_j = \psi_j^e$). The surface gradient of ψ is

$$(2.1) \quad \nabla_\Gamma \psi = \mathbf{P} \nabla \psi^e = \begin{bmatrix} \underline{D}_1 \psi \\ \underline{D}_2 \psi \\ \underline{D}_3 \psi \end{bmatrix},$$

i.e., $\underline{D}_j \psi$ is the j th component of $\nabla_\Gamma \psi$. The surface curl operator of ψ is

$$\mathbf{curl}_\Gamma \psi = \mathbf{n} \times \nabla_\Gamma \psi.$$

For a vector-valued function $\mathbf{v} = [v_1, v_2, v_3]^\top$, its Jacobian $\nabla \mathbf{v}$ satisfies $(\nabla \mathbf{v})_{i,j} = \frac{\partial v_i}{\partial x_j}$ for $i, j = 1, 2, 3$. The surface Jacobian and surface deformation tensor are defined, respectively, as

$$(2.2) \quad \begin{aligned} \nabla_\Gamma \mathbf{v} &= \mathbf{P} \nabla \mathbf{v}^e \mathbf{P}, \\ E_\Gamma(\mathbf{v}) &= \frac{1}{2} (\nabla_\Gamma \mathbf{v} + \nabla_\Gamma \mathbf{v}^\top). \end{aligned}$$

The surface divergence operator is defined as the trace of the Jacobian, $\text{div}_\Gamma \mathbf{v} = \text{tr}(\nabla_\Gamma \mathbf{v})$, and the scalar curl operator is $\text{curl}_\Gamma \mathbf{v} = \text{div}_\Gamma(\mathbf{v} \times \mathbf{n})$.

Next, we provide notions of several second-order operators that will be used throughout the paper.

Definition 2.1. We define the nonsymmetric surface Hessian $\underline{D}_\Gamma^2 \psi : \Gamma \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{3 \times 3}$ of a scalar function ψ such that $(\underline{D}_\Gamma^2 \psi)_{i,j} = \underline{D}_j \underline{D}_i \psi$ for $i, j = 1, 2, 3$. The projected surface Hessian $\nabla_\Gamma^2 \psi : \Gamma \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{3 \times 3}$ satisfies $(\nabla_\Gamma^2 \psi)_{i,j} = (\nabla_\Gamma \nabla_\Gamma \psi)_{i,j}$ for $i, j = 1, 2, 3$. Finally, we define the second-order (Hessian-like) operator

$$H_\Gamma(\psi) := E_\Gamma(\mathbf{curl}_\Gamma \psi),$$

where we recall $E_\Gamma(\cdot)$ is the surface deformation tensor given by (2.2). The Laplace-Beltrami operator is the trace for either $\underline{D}^2(\cdot)$ or $\nabla_\Gamma^2(\cdot)$, i.e.,

$$\Delta_\Gamma \psi = \text{div}_\Gamma \nabla_\Gamma \psi = \text{tr}(\nabla_\Gamma^2 \psi) = \text{tr}(\underline{D}_\Gamma^2 \psi) = \sum_{i=1}^3 \underline{D}_i^2 \psi.$$

Remark 2.2. Note that $\nabla_\Gamma^2 \psi$ is symmetric (cf. Lemma 2.3 and [9]), whereas $\underline{D}_\Gamma^2 \psi$ is not. In particular, there holds [13, Lemma 2.6]

$$(2.3) \quad (\underline{D}_\Gamma^2 \psi)^\top - \underline{D}_\Gamma^2 \psi = \mathbf{n} \otimes (\mathbf{H} \nabla_\Gamma \psi) - (\mathbf{H} \nabla_\Gamma \psi) \otimes \mathbf{n}.$$

The next two lemmas provide explicit relationships between the three notions of the surface Hessian operators.

Lemma 2.3. *There holds for $\psi : \Gamma \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$,*

$$(2.4) \quad \nabla_{\Gamma}^2 \psi = \mathbf{P} \underline{D}^2 \psi = \mathbf{P} \nabla^2 \psi^e \mathbf{P}.$$

Proof. To prove the first inequality in (2.4), we first consider a vector-valued function $\mathbf{v} = [v_1, v_2, v_3]^{\top} : \Gamma \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^3$. Then since $\mathbf{P}^{\top} = \mathbf{P}$, the i th row of $\mathbb{W} \mathbf{v}^e \mathbf{P}$ is

$$(\mathbb{W} \mathbf{v}^e \mathbf{P})_{i,:} = (\nabla v_i^e)^{\top} \mathbf{P} = (\mathbf{P} \nabla v_i^e)^{\top} = \nabla_{\Gamma} v_i^{\top},$$

which implies

$$\mathbb{W}_{\Gamma} \mathbf{v} = \mathbf{P} \mathbb{W} \mathbf{v}^e \mathbf{P} = \mathbf{P} \begin{bmatrix} \nabla_{\Gamma} v_1^{\top} \\ \nabla_{\Gamma} v_2^{\top} \\ \nabla_{\Gamma} v_3^{\top} \end{bmatrix}.$$

Setting $\mathbf{v} = \nabla_{\Gamma} \psi$ proves the first equality in (2.4):

$$\nabla_{\Gamma}^2 \psi = \mathbf{P} \begin{bmatrix} \underline{D}_1 \underline{D}_1 \psi & \underline{D}_2 \underline{D}_1 \psi & \underline{D}_3 \underline{D}_1 \psi \\ \underline{D}_1 \underline{D}_2 \psi & \underline{D}_2 \underline{D}_2 \psi & \underline{D}_3 \underline{D}_2 \psi \\ \underline{D}_1 \underline{D}_3 \psi & \underline{D}_2 \underline{D}_3 \psi & \underline{D}_3 \underline{D}_3 \psi \end{bmatrix} = \mathbf{P} \underline{D}^2 \psi.$$

To prove the second equality in (2.4), we use the product rules

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{W}(fg) &= g \nabla f^{\top} + f \mathbb{W}g, \\ \nabla(\mathbf{f} \cdot \mathbf{g}) &= \mathbb{W} \mathbf{f}^{\top} \mathbf{g} + \mathbb{W} \mathbf{g}^{\top} \mathbf{f}, \end{aligned}$$

and the identity $\mathbf{n} \cdot \nabla \psi^e = \mathbf{n} \cdot \nabla_{\Gamma} \psi = 0$ on Γ to conclude

$$\begin{aligned} (2.5) \quad \mathbb{W}(\nabla_{\Gamma} \psi)^e &= \mathbb{W}(\mathbf{P} \nabla \psi^e) \\ &= \mathbb{W}(\nabla \psi^e - (\mathbf{n} \cdot \nabla \psi^e) \mathbf{n}) \\ &= \nabla^2 \psi^e - \mathbf{n} \nabla(\mathbf{n} \cdot \nabla \psi^e)^{\top} - (\mathbf{n} \cdot \nabla \psi^e) \mathbb{W} \mathbf{n} \\ &= \nabla^2 \psi^e - \mathbf{n} \otimes (\mathbb{W} \mathbf{n}^{\top} \nabla \psi^e + \nabla^2 \psi^e \mathbf{n}) \\ &= \mathbf{P} \nabla^2 \psi^e - \mathbf{n} \otimes (\mathbf{H} \nabla \psi^e). \end{aligned}$$

Since $\mathbf{P}^2 = \mathbf{P}$ and $\mathbf{P} \mathbf{n} = 0$, there holds

$$\nabla_{\Gamma}^2 \psi = \mathbf{P} \mathbb{W}(\nabla_{\Gamma} \psi)^e \mathbf{P} = \mathbf{P} \nabla^2 \psi^e \mathbf{P}.$$

□

Lemma 2.4. *For a vector $\boldsymbol{\xi} = [\xi_1, \xi_2, \xi_3]^{\top} \in \mathbb{R}^3$, define the 3×3 skew-symmetric matrix*

$$\boldsymbol{\xi}^{\times} = \text{mskw}(\boldsymbol{\xi}) = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -\xi_3 & \xi_2 \\ \xi_3 & 0 & -\xi_1 \\ -\xi_2 & \xi_1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Then there holds

$$(2.6) \quad \mathbb{W}_{\Gamma} \text{curl}_{\Gamma} \psi = \mathbf{n}^{\times} \nabla_{\Gamma}^2 \psi = \mathbf{n}^{\times} \underline{D}^2 \psi,$$

$$(2.7) \quad H_{\Gamma}(\psi) = \frac{1}{2} (\mathbf{n}^{\times} \nabla_{\Gamma}^2 \psi - \nabla_{\Gamma}^2 \psi \mathbf{n}^{\times}) = \frac{1}{2} (\mathbf{n}^{\times} \underline{D}^2 \psi - \underline{D}^2 \psi^{\top} \mathbf{n}^{\times}).$$

Proof. Note that

$$\mathbf{n}^{\times} \mathbf{P} = \mathbf{n}^{\times} = \mathbf{P} \mathbf{n}^{\times}, \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbf{H} \mathbf{P} = \mathbf{H} = \mathbf{P} \mathbf{H}.$$

Thus, using the identity $\mathbf{n} \times \nabla_{\Gamma} \psi = \mathbf{n}^{\times} \nabla_{\Gamma} \psi = -(\nabla_{\Gamma} \psi)^{\times} \mathbf{n}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{W}_{\Gamma} \text{curl}_{\Gamma} \psi &= \mathbf{P} \mathbb{W}(\mathbf{n} \times \nabla_{\Gamma} \psi)^e \mathbf{P} \\ &= \mathbf{P} (\mathbf{n}^{\times} \mathbb{W}(\nabla_{\Gamma} \psi)^e - (\nabla_{\Gamma} \psi)^{\times} \mathbf{H}) \mathbf{P} \\ &= \mathbf{n}^{\times} (\mathbb{W}(\nabla_{\Gamma} \psi)^e) \mathbf{P} - \mathbf{P} (\nabla_{\Gamma} \psi)^{\times} \mathbf{H}. \end{aligned}$$

Next, a direct calculation shows

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{P}(\mathbf{P}\boldsymbol{\xi})^\times &= \begin{bmatrix} n_1(n_3\xi_2 - n_2\xi_3) & n_2(n_3\xi_2 - \xi_3n_2) & n_3(\xi_2n_3 - n_2\xi_3) \\ n_1(\xi_3n_1 - n_3\xi_1) & n_2(n_1\xi_3 - n_3\xi_1) & n_3(n_1\xi_3 - \xi_1n_3) \\ n_1(n_2\xi_1 - \xi_2n_1) & n_2(\xi_1n_2 - n_1\xi_2) & n_3(n_2\xi_1 - n_1\xi_2) \end{bmatrix} \\ &= (\boldsymbol{\xi} \times \mathbf{n}) \otimes \mathbf{n} \quad \forall \boldsymbol{\xi} \in \mathbb{R}^3. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, using $\mathbf{H}\mathbf{n} = 0$ we obtain

$$\mathbf{P}(\nabla_\Gamma\psi)^\times \mathbf{H} = \mathbf{P}(\mathbf{P}\nabla\psi^e)^\times \mathbf{H} = (\nabla\psi^e \times \mathbf{n}) \otimes \mathbf{n}\mathbf{H} = 0,$$

and therefore,

$$\nabla_\Gamma \mathbf{curl}_\Gamma \psi = \mathbf{n}^\times (\nabla(\nabla_\Gamma\psi)^e) \mathbf{P}.$$

We then use (2.5) and (2.4), along with $\mathbf{n}^\times \mathbf{n} = 0$ to obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla_\Gamma \mathbf{curl}_\Gamma \psi &= \mathbf{n}^\times (\mathbf{P}\nabla^2\psi^e - \mathbf{n} \otimes (\mathbf{H}\nabla\psi^e)) \mathbf{P} \\ &= \mathbf{n}^\times \mathbf{P}\nabla^2\psi^e \mathbf{P} = \mathbf{n}^\times \nabla_\Gamma^2 \psi. \end{aligned}$$

The other equalities in (2.6)–(2.7) then follow from the identities $(\boldsymbol{\xi}^\times)^\top = -\boldsymbol{\xi}^\times$, $\nabla_\Gamma^2 \psi = \mathbf{P}\underline{D}^2\psi$, and $\mathbf{n}^\times \mathbf{n} = 0$. \square

2.1. Integration-by-parts identities for the surface biharmonic operator. In this section, we derive integration-by-parts identities for the biharmonic operator $\Delta_\Gamma^2(\cdot) := \Delta_\Gamma(\Delta_\Gamma(\cdot))$ over a simply connected sub-domain $S \subset \Gamma$ with boundary. Such results will motivate the design of the C^0 interior penalty method discussed in the next section. Our starting point is a well-known integration-by-parts identity.

Lemma 2.5. *Let $S \subset \Gamma$, and let $\boldsymbol{\mu}_S$ denote the outward unit co-normal of ∂S . Then there holds for all sufficiently smooth functions ϕ, ψ on S ,*

$$(2.8) \quad \int_S \psi \underline{D}_i \phi = - \int_S \phi \underline{D}_i \psi + \int_S \phi \psi \text{tr}(\mathbf{H}) n_i + \int_{\partial S} \phi \psi (\boldsymbol{\mu}_S)_i \quad i = 1, 2, 3.$$

Repeated applications of Lemma 2.5 immediately yield the following result.

Lemma 2.6. *There holds for sufficient smooth functions ϕ and ψ ,*

$$(2.9) \quad \int_S (\Delta_\Gamma^2 \phi) \psi = \int_S (\Delta_\Gamma \phi) (\Delta_\Gamma \psi) - \int_{\partial S} \Delta_\Gamma \phi (\nabla_\Gamma \psi \cdot \boldsymbol{\mu}_S) + \int_{\partial S} (\nabla_\Gamma \Delta_\Gamma \phi \cdot \boldsymbol{\mu}_S) \psi.$$

In addition, applications of Lemma 2.5 also lead to an integration-by-parts identity involving the projected surface Hessian operator $\nabla_\Gamma^2(\cdot)$. The derivation of the following lemma is a bit more involved, and its proof is given in Appendix A.

Lemma 2.7. *There holds for sufficiently smooth functions ϕ, ψ ,*

$$(2.10) \quad \begin{aligned} \int_S (\Delta_\Gamma^2 \phi) \psi &= \int_S (\nabla_\Gamma^2 \phi : \nabla_\Gamma^2 \psi + K \nabla_\Gamma \phi \cdot \nabla_\Gamma \psi) \\ &\quad - \int_{\partial S} \boldsymbol{\mu}_S^\top \nabla_\Gamma^2 \phi \nabla_\Gamma \psi + \int_{\partial S} (\nabla_\Gamma \Delta_\Gamma \phi \cdot \boldsymbol{\mu}_S) \psi, \end{aligned}$$

where K is the Gauss curvature of Γ .

Finally, we derive an integration-by-parts identity for the biharmonic operator such that, when applied to the surface stream function problem (1.2), the integrands over S do not explicitly depend on the Gauss curvature of Γ .

Lemma 2.8. *There holds for sufficiently smooth functions ϕ and ψ ,*

$$(2.11) \quad \begin{aligned} \int_S (\Delta_\Gamma^2 \phi) \psi &= 2 \int_S (H_\Gamma(\phi) : H_\Gamma(\psi) + K \nabla_\Gamma \phi \cdot \nabla_\Gamma \psi) \\ &\quad - 2 \int_{\partial S} (\mathbf{t}_S^\top H_\Gamma(\phi) \boldsymbol{\mu}_S) (\nabla_\Gamma \psi \cdot \boldsymbol{\mu}_S) - 2 \int_{\partial S} (\boldsymbol{\mu}_S^\top \nabla_\Gamma^2 \phi \mathbf{t}_S) (\mathbf{t}_S \cdot \nabla_\Gamma \psi) + \int_{\partial S} (\nabla_\Gamma \Delta_\Gamma \phi \cdot \boldsymbol{\mu}_S) \psi, \end{aligned}$$

where $\mathbf{t}_S = \mathbf{n}_S \times \boldsymbol{\mu}_S$ is the tangent vector of ∂S . Consequently, if $\phi \in H^4(\Gamma)$ satisfies (1.2), then there holds for all smooth ψ ,

$$(2.12) \quad \int_S (H_\Gamma(\phi) : H_\Gamma(\psi) + \nabla_\Gamma \phi \cdot \nabla_\Gamma \psi) - \int_{\partial S} (\mathbf{t}_S^\top H_\Gamma(\phi) \boldsymbol{\mu}_S) (\nabla_\Gamma \psi \cdot \boldsymbol{\mu}_S) \\ + \frac{1}{2} \int_{\partial S} ((2K - 2) \nabla_\Gamma \phi + \nabla_\Gamma \Delta_\Gamma \phi) \cdot \boldsymbol{\mu}_S \psi - \int_{\partial S} (\boldsymbol{\mu}_S^\top \nabla_\Gamma^2 \phi \mathbf{t}_S) (\mathbf{t}_S \cdot \nabla_\Gamma \psi) = - \int_S \operatorname{curl}_\Gamma \mathbf{f} \psi.$$

Proof. We begin with the following pointwise identity, which holds for all sufficiently smooth tangential vector fields \mathbf{u} [19]:

$$\mathbf{P} \operatorname{div}_\Gamma (\nabla_\Gamma \mathbf{u}^\top) = \nabla_\Gamma (\operatorname{div}_\Gamma \mathbf{u}) + K \mathbf{u}.$$

Setting $\mathbf{u} = \operatorname{curl}_\Gamma \phi$, so that $\operatorname{div}_\Gamma \mathbf{u} = 0$ and $\nabla_\Gamma \mathbf{u}^\top = -\nabla_\Gamma^2 \phi \mathbf{n}^\times$ (cf. (2.6)) yields

$$-P \operatorname{div}_\Gamma (\nabla_\Gamma^2 \phi \mathbf{n}^\times) = K \operatorname{curl}_\Gamma \phi.$$

We then take the dot product of this expression with $\operatorname{curl}_\Gamma \psi$, integrate over S , and integrate by parts to obtain

$$\int_S K \nabla_\Gamma \phi \cdot \nabla_\Gamma \psi = \int_S K \operatorname{curl}_\Gamma \phi \cdot \operatorname{curl}_\Gamma \psi \\ = \int_S (\nabla_\Gamma^2 \phi \mathbf{n}^\times) : (\nabla_\Gamma \operatorname{curl}_\Gamma \psi) - \int_{\partial S} (\nabla_\Gamma^2 \phi \mathbf{n}^\times \boldsymbol{\mu}_S) \cdot \operatorname{curl}_\Gamma \psi \\ = \int_S (\nabla_\Gamma^2 \phi \mathbf{n}^\times) : (\mathbf{n}^\times \nabla_\Gamma^2 \psi) - \int_{\partial S} (\nabla_\Gamma^2 \phi \mathbf{t}_S) \cdot \operatorname{curl}_\Gamma \psi.$$

We then write, on ∂S ,

$$\operatorname{curl}_\Gamma \psi = \mathbf{n} \times \nabla_\Gamma \psi = (\boldsymbol{\mu}_S \cdot \nabla_\Gamma \psi) \mathbf{t}_S - (\mathbf{t}_S \cdot \nabla_\Gamma \psi) \boldsymbol{\mu}_S,$$

to arrive at

$$(2.13) \quad \int_S K \nabla_\Gamma \phi \cdot \nabla_\Gamma \psi = \int_S (\nabla_\Gamma^2 \phi \mathbf{n}^\times) : (\mathbf{n}^\times \nabla_\Gamma^2 \psi) \\ - \int_{\partial S} (\mathbf{t}_S^\top \nabla_\Gamma^2 \phi \mathbf{t}_S) (\boldsymbol{\mu}_S \cdot \nabla_\Gamma \psi) + \int_{\partial S} (\boldsymbol{\mu}_S^\top \nabla_\Gamma^2 \phi \mathbf{t}_S) (\mathbf{t}_S \cdot \nabla_\Gamma \psi).$$

Inserting this identity into (2.10) and applying the algebraic identities

$$\frac{1}{2} (\nabla_\Gamma^2 \phi : \nabla_\Gamma^2 \psi - (\nabla_\Gamma^2 \phi \mathbf{n}^\times) : (\mathbf{n}^\times \nabla_\Gamma^2 \psi)) = H_\Gamma(\phi) : H_\Gamma(\psi), \\ \frac{1}{2} (\boldsymbol{\mu}_S^\top \nabla_\Gamma^2 \phi \boldsymbol{\mu}_S - \mathbf{t}_S^\top \nabla_\Gamma^2 \phi \mathbf{t}_S) = \mathbf{t}_S^\top H_\Gamma(\phi) \boldsymbol{\mu}_S,$$

then yield

$$\int_S (\Delta_\Gamma^2 \phi) \psi = \int_S (\nabla_\Gamma^2 \phi : \nabla_\Gamma^2 \psi + (\nabla_\Gamma^2 \phi \mathbf{n}^\times) : (\mathbf{n}^\times \nabla_\Gamma^2 \psi)) \\ - \int_{\partial S} (\boldsymbol{\mu}_S^\top \nabla_\Gamma^2 \phi \boldsymbol{\mu}_S + \mathbf{t}_S^\top \nabla_\Gamma^2 \phi \mathbf{t}_S) (\nabla_\Gamma \psi \cdot \boldsymbol{\mu}_S) + \int_{\partial S} (\nabla_\Gamma \Delta_\Gamma \phi \cdot \boldsymbol{\mu}_S) \psi \\ = 2 \int_S (H_\Gamma(\phi) : H_\Gamma(\psi) + (\nabla_\Gamma^2 \phi \mathbf{n}^\times) : (\mathbf{n}^\times \nabla_\Gamma^2 \psi)) \\ - \int_{\partial S} (\boldsymbol{\mu}_S^\top \nabla_\Gamma^2 \phi \boldsymbol{\mu}_S + \mathbf{t}_S^\top \nabla_\Gamma^2 \phi \mathbf{t}_S) (\nabla_\Gamma \psi \cdot \boldsymbol{\mu}_S) + \int_{\partial S} (\nabla_\Gamma \Delta_\Gamma \phi \cdot \boldsymbol{\mu}_S) \psi \\ = 2 \int_S (H_\Gamma(\phi) : H_\Gamma(\psi) + K \nabla_\Gamma \phi \cdot \nabla_\Gamma \psi) \\ - 2 \int_{\partial S} (\mathbf{t}_S^\top H_\Gamma(\phi) \boldsymbol{\mu}_S) (\nabla_\Gamma \psi \cdot \boldsymbol{\mu}_S) - 2 \int_{\partial S} (\boldsymbol{\mu}_S^\top \nabla_\Gamma^2 \phi \mathbf{t}_S) (\mathbf{t}_S \cdot \nabla_\Gamma \psi) + \int_{\partial S} (\nabla_\Gamma \Delta_\Gamma \phi \cdot \boldsymbol{\mu}_S) \psi.$$

Thus, (2.11) holds. The identity (2.12) follows from (2.11) and a simple application of the divergence theorem. \square

3. APPROXIMATE GEOMETRIES AND MESHES

Let $\bar{\Gamma}_h$ be a polyhedral approximation of Γ with triangular faces, and assume $\bar{\Gamma}_h \subset U_\delta$ and $d(x) = O(h^2)$ for all $x \in \bar{\Gamma}_h$. The set of faces of $\bar{\Gamma}_h$ is denoted by $\bar{\mathcal{T}}_h$, which we assume to be shape-regular. For simplicity in presentation, we assume $\bar{\mathcal{T}}_h$ is quasi-uniform and that the vertices of each $\bar{T} \in \bar{\mathcal{T}}_h$ lie on Γ , i.e., $\bar{\Gamma}_h$ is the continuous, piecewise linear interpolant of Γ . We set $h_{\bar{T}} = \text{diam}(\bar{T})$ for all $\bar{T} \in \bar{\mathcal{T}}_h$, denote by $\bar{\mathcal{E}}_h$ the set of edges in $\bar{\mathcal{T}}_h$, and set $h_{\bar{e}} = \text{diam}(\bar{e})$. Note the quasi-uniform assumption implies $h_{\bar{e}} \approx h_{\bar{T}} \approx h$ for all $\bar{T} \in \bar{\mathcal{T}}_h$ and $\bar{e} \in \bar{\mathcal{E}}_h$.

For $k_g \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\bar{T} \in \bar{\mathcal{T}}_h$, let $\{\bar{x}_j\}_{j=1}^{N_{k_g}} \subset \text{cl}(\bar{T})$ (with $N_{k_g} = \binom{k_g+2}{2}$) be the standard Lagrange nodal points of \bar{T} , and let $\{\bar{\phi}_i\}_{i=1}^{N_{k_g}} \subset \mathbb{P}_{k_g}(\bar{T})$ be the associated nodal basis functions, i.e., $\bar{\phi}_i(\bar{x}_j) = \delta_{i,j}$. We then define the approximate closest-point projection

$$\mathbf{p}_{k_g}|_{\bar{T}}(\bar{x}) = \sum_{i=1}^{N_{k_g}} \mathbf{p}(\bar{x}_i) \bar{\phi}_i(\bar{x}) \quad \bar{x} \in \text{cl}(\bar{T}),$$

so that $\mathbf{p}_{k_g}|_{\bar{T}}(\bar{x}_i) = \mathbf{p}(\bar{x}_i)$ for $i = 1, \dots, N_{k_g}$, i.e., $\mathbf{p}_{k_g}|_{\bar{T}}$ is the k_g -degree Lagrange interpolant of Γ . The high-order mesh and associated surface are then defined as

$$\mathcal{T}_{h,k_g} = \{\mathbf{p}_{k_g}(\bar{T}) \mid \bar{T} \in \bar{\mathcal{T}}_h\}, \quad \Gamma_{h,k_g} = \text{int} \left(\bigcup_{T \in \mathcal{T}_{h,k_g}} \text{cl}(T) \right),$$

whose set of edges are given by

$$\mathcal{E}_{h,k_g} = \{\mathbf{p}_{k_g}(\bar{e}) \mid \bar{e} \in \bar{\mathcal{E}}_h\}.$$

We set $h_T = h_{\bar{T}}$ and $h_e = h_{\bar{e}}$, where $T = \mathbf{p}_{k_g}(\bar{T})$ and $e = \mathbf{p}_{k_g}(\bar{e})$.

To simplify the presentation, we will drop the subscript k_g and simply write \mathcal{T}_h , Γ_h , and \mathcal{E}_h for \mathcal{T}_{h,k_g} , Γ_{h,k_g} , and \mathcal{E}_{h,k_g} , respectively. We let \mathbf{n}_T denote the outward unit normal of $T \in \mathcal{T}_h$, let $\boldsymbol{\mu}_T$ be the outward unit co-normal of ∂T , and set $\mathbf{t}_T = \mathbf{n}_T \times \boldsymbol{\mu}_T$. We also let \mathbf{n}_h be the outward unit normal of Γ_h , so that $\mathbf{n}_h|_T = \mathbf{n}_T$ for all $T \in \mathcal{T}_h$. Likewise, we let $\boldsymbol{\mu}_h$ and \mathbf{t}_h be defined such that $\boldsymbol{\mu}_h|_{\partial T} = \boldsymbol{\mu}_T$ and $\mathbf{t}_h|_{\partial T} = \mathbf{t}_T$ for all $T \in \mathcal{T}_h$. By properties of the Lagrange interpolant, we have (cf. [10])

$$(3.1) \quad \|d\|_{L^\infty(\Gamma_h)} \lesssim h^{k_g+1}, \quad \|\mathbf{n}^e - \mathbf{n}_h\|_{L^\infty(\Gamma_h)} \lesssim h^{k_g},$$

where we use the notation $A \lesssim B$ to mean $A \leq cB$ for some constant $c > 0$ independent of h . We also use $A \approx B$ to mean $A \lesssim B$ and $B \lesssim A$.

We also map these mesh objects onto the exact surface Γ via the closest-point projection. Define

$$\mathcal{T}_h^\ell = \{T^\ell := \mathbf{p}(T) : T \in \mathcal{T}_h\}, \quad \mathcal{E}_h^\ell = \{e^\ell := \mathbf{p}(e) : e \in \mathcal{E}_h\}.$$

We let \mathbf{n}_{T^ℓ} denote the outward unit normal of $T^\ell \in \mathcal{T}_h^\ell$ (so that $\mathbf{n}|_{T^\ell} = \mathbf{n}_{T^\ell}$), let $\boldsymbol{\mu}_{T^\ell}$ denote the outward unit co-normal of ∂T^ℓ , and set $\mathbf{t}_{T^\ell} = \mathbf{n}_{T^\ell} \times \boldsymbol{\mu}_{T^\ell}$. Let $\boldsymbol{\mu}$ and \mathbf{t} be defined such that $\boldsymbol{\mu}|_{\partial T^\ell} = \boldsymbol{\mu}_{T^\ell}$ and $\mathbf{t}|_{\partial T^\ell} = \mathbf{t}_{T^\ell}$. In the rest of the paper, we will drop the superscript e and simply write \mathbf{n}_{T^ℓ} , \mathbf{n} , $\boldsymbol{\mu}_{T^\ell}$, etc. for their respective extensions.

We use the notation

$$(\psi, \chi)_{\mathcal{S}_h} = \sum_{S \in \mathcal{S}_h} \int_S \psi \circ \chi, \quad \text{and} \quad \|\psi\|_{L^2(\mathcal{S}_h)} = \sqrt{(\psi, \psi)_{\mathcal{S}_h}},$$

where \mathcal{S}_h is either a set of faces or edges, and \circ is either a product, dot product, or Frobenius product depending on whether ψ and χ are scalar, vector, or matrix-valued functions.

For $e \in \mathcal{E}_h$ with $e = \partial T_+ \cap \partial T_-$ ($T_\pm \in \mathcal{T}_h$), we define the average of a piecewise smooth scalar, vector, or matrix-valued function w across e as

$$\{\{w\}\}_e = \frac{1}{2}(w_+ + w_-),$$

where $w_\pm = w|_{T_\pm}$. For a piecewise smooth vector-valued function \mathbf{v} , we define its jump across e as

$$[\mathbf{v}]_e = \mathbf{v}_+ \cdot \boldsymbol{\mu}_+ + \mathbf{v}_- \cdot \boldsymbol{\mu}_-,$$

where $\boldsymbol{\mu}_\pm = \boldsymbol{\mu}_{T_\pm}$ is the outward unit co-normal of ∂T_\pm restricted to e . Analogous definitions of averages and jumps are extended to the mesh \mathcal{T}_h^ℓ .

3.1. Mappings between Γ , Γ_h , and $\bar{\Gamma}_h$. For a scalar function ψ defined on the exact surface Γ , we recall that its extension $\psi^e : U_\delta \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is given by $\psi^e = \psi \circ \mathbf{p}$. For a scalar function ψ defined on the discrete surface Γ_h , we let $\tilde{\psi} : \Gamma \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be defined by

$$\tilde{\psi} = \psi \circ x_h,$$

where $x_h(x)$ (with $x \in \Gamma$) satisfies $\mathbf{p}(x_h) = x$, i.e., $x_h = \mathbf{p}|_{\Gamma_h}^{-1}$. We then set the lift of $\psi : \Gamma_h \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ as

$$\psi^\ell = \tilde{\psi} \circ \mathbf{p} \quad \text{on } U_\delta.$$

Next for $x \in \Gamma_h$, let $\mu_h(x)$ satisfy $\mu_h(x)d\sigma_h(x) = d\sigma(\mathbf{p}(x))$, where $d\sigma$ and $d\sigma_h$ are the surface measures on Γ and Γ_h respectively. In particular, we have

$$\int_{\Gamma_h} \psi^e \mu_h = \int_{\Gamma} \psi \quad \forall \psi \in L^1(\Gamma),$$

and so

$$\int_{\Gamma_h} \psi = \int_{\Gamma} (\mu_h^{-1} \psi)^\ell \quad \forall \psi \in L^1(\Gamma_h).$$

Likewise, for $x \in e \in \mathcal{E}_h$, let μ_e satisfy $\mu_e(x)ds_h(x) = ds(\mathbf{p}(x))$, where ds and ds_h are the measures on $e^\ell = \mathbf{p}(e)$ and e , respectively. These functions satisfy [10, 7]

$$\begin{aligned} \mu_h(x) &= \mathbf{n}(x) \cdot \mathbf{n}_h(x) \prod_{i=1}^2 (1 - d(x)\kappa_i(x)) \quad x \in \Gamma_h, \\ \mu_e &= |\nabla \mathbf{p} \mathbf{t}_e| = |(\mathbf{P} - d\mathbf{H})\mathbf{t}_e|, \end{aligned}$$

where $\{\kappa_i\}$ are the principal curvatures and $\mathbf{t}_e = \mathbf{t}_h|_e$. Recalling (3.1), we have

$$(3.2) \quad 1 - \mathbf{n} \cdot \mathbf{n}_h = \frac{1}{2}(\mathbf{n} \cdot \mathbf{n} - 2\mathbf{n} \cdot \mathbf{n}_h + \mathbf{n}_h \cdot \mathbf{n}_h) = \frac{1}{2}|\mathbf{n} - \mathbf{n}_h|^2 = \mathcal{O}(h^{2k_g}),$$

and so

$$(3.3) \quad |1 - \mu_h| = \mathcal{O}(h^{k_g+1}).$$

Likewise we have

$$(3.4) \quad |1 - \mu_e| = \mathcal{O}(h^{k_g+1}).$$

From these estimates and the chain rule (cf. Lemma C.1) we have for all $\psi \in H^1(T)$ (cf. [10, (2.15)–(2.17)])

$$(3.5a) \quad \begin{aligned} \|\psi\|_{L^2(T)} &\approx \|\psi^\ell\|_{L^2(T^\ell)}, & \|\nabla_{\Gamma_h} \psi\|_{L^2(T)} &\approx \|\nabla_{\Gamma} \psi^\ell\|_{L^2(T^\ell)}, \\ \|\psi\|_{L^2(\partial T)} &\approx \|\psi^\ell\|_{L^2(\partial T^\ell)}. \end{aligned}$$

Likewise, for $\psi \in H^m(T)$ with $m \geq 1$, there holds

$$(3.5b) \quad |\psi|_{H^m(T)} \lesssim \sum_{j=1}^m |\psi^\ell|_{H^j(T^\ell)}, \quad \text{and} \quad |\psi^\ell|_{H^m(T^\ell)} \lesssim \sum_{j=1}^m |\psi|_{H^j(T)}.$$

By properties of the Lagrange interpolant, we also have (cf. [10, (2.18)–(2.20)])

$$(3.6) \quad \begin{aligned} \|\bar{\psi}\|_{L^2(\bar{T})} &\approx \|\psi\|_{L^2(T)}, & \|\nabla_{\bar{\Gamma}_h} \bar{\psi}\|_{L^2(\bar{T})} &\approx \|\nabla_{\Gamma_h} \psi\|_{L^2(T)}, \\ \|\bar{\psi}\|_{L^2(\partial \bar{T})} &\approx \|\psi\|_{L^2(\partial T)}, \end{aligned}$$

where $T \in \mathcal{T}_h$ and $\bar{T} \in \bar{\mathcal{T}}_h$ satisfy $T = \mathbf{p}_{k_g}(\bar{T})$ and $\bar{\psi} = \psi \circ \mathbf{p}_{k_g}$.

Lemma 3.1. *The following estimates hold on each $T \in \mathcal{T}_h$:*

$$(3.7) \quad \|\mathbf{P}_h \mathbf{n}\|_{L^\infty(T)} \lesssim h^{k_g},$$

$$(3.8) \quad \|\mathbf{P}_h \mathbf{n}_h\|_{L^\infty(T)} \lesssim h^{k_g},$$

$$(3.9) \quad \|\boldsymbol{\mu} - \mathbf{P} \boldsymbol{\mu}_h\|_{L^\infty(\partial T)} \lesssim h^{k_g+1},$$

$$(3.10) \quad \|\boldsymbol{\mu} - \boldsymbol{\mu}_h\|_{L^\infty(\partial T)} \lesssim h^{k_g},$$

$$(3.11) \quad \|\mathbf{t} - \mathbf{t}_h\|_{L^\infty(\partial T)} \lesssim h^{k_g}.$$

Proof. The estimates (3.7)–(3.8) and (3.10)–(3.11) follow from (3.1). The proof of (3.9) is found in [24]. \square

4. THE C^0 INTERIOR PENALTY METHOD

For an integer $k \geq 2$, we define families of finite element spaces defined on the affine mesh, the polynomial-mapped mesh, and the surface mesh:

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{V}_h &= \{\bar{\psi} \in C^0(\bar{\Gamma}_h) : \bar{\psi}|_{\bar{T}} \in \mathbb{P}_k(\bar{T}) \ \forall \bar{T} \in \bar{\mathcal{T}}_h\}, & V_h &= \{\psi \in C^0(\Gamma_h) : \psi \circ \mathbf{p}_{k_g} \in \bar{V}_h\}, \\ V_h^\ell &= \{\psi \in C^0(\Gamma) : \psi \circ \mathbf{p} \in V_h\}, \end{aligned}$$

where $\mathbb{P}_k(\bar{T})$ denotes the space of polynomials of degree $\leq k$ on \bar{T} . We further set $V_{h,0} = V_h \cap L_0^2(\Gamma_h)$, where $L_0^2(\Gamma_h)$ is the space of square integrable functions with vanishing mean. Likewise, we define the spaces of piecewise smooth functions

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{W} &= \{\bar{w} \in C^0(\bar{\Gamma}_h) : \bar{w}|_{\bar{T}} \in H^3(\bar{T}) \ \forall \bar{T} \in \bar{\mathcal{T}}_h\}, & W &= \{w \in C^0(\Gamma_h) : w|_T \in H^3(T) \ \forall T \in \mathcal{T}_h\}, \\ W^\ell &= \{w \in C^0(\Gamma) : w|_{T^\ell} \in H^3(T^\ell) \ \forall T^\ell \in \mathcal{T}_h^\ell\}, \end{aligned}$$

and note the obvious inclusions $\bar{V}_h \subset \bar{W}$, $V_h \subset W$, and $V_h^\ell \subset W^\ell$. Similar to above, we set $W_0 = W \cap L_0^2(\Gamma_h)$.

To derive the C^0 IP method, we assume for the moment that ϕ is a smooth solution to (1.2). We use the identity (2.12) with $S = T^\ell \in \mathcal{T}_h^\ell$ and sum over elements to obtain, for all $\psi \in V_h^\ell$,

$$-\int_\Gamma (\text{curl}_\Gamma \mathbf{f}) \psi = \sum_{T^\ell \in \mathcal{T}_h^\ell} \left(\int_{T^\ell} H_\Gamma(\phi) : H_\Gamma(\psi) + \int_{T^\ell} \nabla_\Gamma \phi \cdot \nabla_\Gamma \psi - \int_{\partial T^\ell} (\mathbf{t}_{T^\ell}^\top H_\Gamma(\phi) \boldsymbol{\mu}_{T^\ell}) (\nabla_\Gamma \psi \cdot \boldsymbol{\mu}_{T^\ell}) \right),$$

where we used the continuity of ψ . Using the temporary smoothness assumption of ϕ , we have

$$\sum_{T^\ell \in \mathcal{T}_h^\ell} \int_{\partial T^\ell} (\mathbf{t}_{T^\ell}^\top H_\Gamma(\phi) \boldsymbol{\mu}_{T^\ell}) (\nabla_\Gamma \psi \cdot \boldsymbol{\mu}_{T^\ell}) = \sum_{e^\ell \in \mathcal{E}_h^\ell} \int_{e^\ell} \{ \mathbf{t}^\top H_\Gamma(\phi) \boldsymbol{\mu} \} [\nabla_\Gamma \psi].$$

We then add standard symmetry and stabilization terms to obtain the identity

$$\begin{aligned} \ell(\psi) := -\int_\Gamma (\text{curl}_\Gamma \mathbf{f}) \psi &= \sum_{T^\ell \in \mathcal{T}_h^\ell} \int_{T^\ell} H_\Gamma(\phi) : H_\Gamma(\psi) + \int_\Gamma \nabla_\Gamma \phi \cdot \nabla_\Gamma \psi - \sum_{e^\ell \in \mathcal{E}_h^\ell} \int_{e^\ell} \{ \mathbf{t}^\top H_\Gamma(\phi) \boldsymbol{\mu} \} [\nabla_\Gamma \psi] \\ &\quad - \sum_{e^\ell \in \mathcal{E}_h^\ell} \int_{e^\ell} \{ \mathbf{t}^\top H_\Gamma(\psi) \boldsymbol{\mu} \} [\nabla_\Gamma \phi] + \sigma \sum_{e^\ell \in \mathcal{E}_h^\ell} h_{e^\ell}^{-1} \int_{e^\ell} [\nabla_\Gamma \phi] [\nabla_\Gamma \psi] =: a_h^\ell(\phi, \psi), \end{aligned}$$

where $\sigma > 0$ is a penalty parameter.

This calculation motivates the method: Find $\phi_h \in V_{h,0}$ satisfying

$$(4.1) \quad a_h(\phi_h, \psi) = \ell_h(\psi) := \int_{\Gamma_h} \mathbf{f}_h \cdot \text{curl}_{\Gamma_h} \psi \quad \forall \psi \in V_{h,0},$$

where \mathbf{f}_h is an approximation of \mathbf{f} , defined on Γ_h , and

$$\begin{aligned} a_h(\phi_h, \psi) &:= \sum_{T \in \mathcal{T}_h} \int_T H_{\Gamma_h}(\phi_h) : H_{\Gamma_h}(\psi) + \int_{\Gamma_h} \nabla_{\Gamma_h} \phi_h \cdot \nabla_{\Gamma_h} \psi - \sum_{e \in \mathcal{E}_h} \int_e \{ \mathbf{t}_h^\top H_{\Gamma_h}(\phi_h) \boldsymbol{\mu}_h \} [\nabla_{\Gamma_h} \psi] \\ &\quad - \sum_{e \in \mathcal{E}_h} \int_e \{ \mathbf{t}_h^\top H_{\Gamma_h}(\psi) \boldsymbol{\mu}_h \} [\nabla_{\Gamma_h} \phi_h] + \sigma \sum_{e \in \mathcal{E}_h} h_e^{-1} \int_e [\nabla_{\Gamma_h} \phi_h] [\nabla_{\Gamma_h} \psi]. \end{aligned}$$

Without loss of generality, we assume $\mathbf{f}_h \cdot \mathbf{n}_h = 0$.

4.1. Stability and Continuity estimates. To start the analysis of the C^0 IP method (4.1) we define the following three norms on W_0 :

$$\begin{aligned} \|\psi\|_{2,h}^2 &= \sum_{T \in \mathcal{T}_h} \|H_{\Gamma_h}(\psi)\|_{L^2(T)}^2 + \|\nabla_{\Gamma_h} \psi\|_{L^2(\Gamma_h)}^2 + \sum_{e \in \mathcal{E}_h} h_e^{-1} \|[\nabla_{\Gamma_h} \psi]\|_{L^2(e)}^2, \\ \|\psi\|_{2,h}^2 &= \|\psi\|_{2,h}^2 + \sum_{e \in \mathcal{E}_h} h_e \| \{ H_{\Gamma_h}(\psi) \} \|_{L^2(e)}^2, \\ \|\psi\|_{H_h}^2 &= \|\psi\|_{2,h}^2 + \sum_{T \in \mathcal{T}_h} |\psi|_{H^2(T)}^2 + \sum_{T \in \mathcal{T}_h} h_T^2 |\psi|_{H^3(T)}^2. \end{aligned}$$

Analogous norms are also defined on the exact surface Γ :

$$\begin{aligned} \|\psi\|_2^2 &= \sum_{T^\ell \in \mathcal{T}_h^\ell} \|H_\Gamma(\psi)\|_{L^2(T^\ell)}^2 + \|\nabla_\Gamma \psi\|_{L^2(\Gamma)}^2 + \sum_{e^\ell \in \mathcal{E}_h^\ell} h_{e^\ell}^{-1} \|[\nabla_\Gamma \psi]\|_{L^2(e^\ell)}^2, \\ \|\psi\|_2^2 &= \|\psi\|_2^2 + \sum_{e^\ell \in \mathcal{E}_h^\ell} h_{e^\ell} \| \{ H_\Gamma(\psi) \} \|_{L^2(e^\ell)}^2, \\ \|\psi\|_H^2 &= \|\psi\|_2^2 + \sum_{T^\ell \in \mathcal{T}_h^\ell} |\psi|_{H^2(T^\ell)}^2 + \sum_{T^\ell \in \mathcal{T}_h^\ell} h_{T^\ell}^2 |\psi|_{H^3(T^\ell)}^2. \end{aligned}$$

The following proposition states some standard trace and inverse estimates.

Proposition 4.1. *Let $T \in \mathcal{T}_h$ and $T^\ell \in \mathcal{T}_h^\ell$. Then there holds*

$$(4.2) \quad \begin{aligned} \|\psi\|_{L^2(\partial T)}^2 &\lesssim h_T^{-1} \|\psi\|_{L^2(T)}^2 + h_T \|\nabla_{\Gamma_h} \psi\|_{L^2(T)}^2 & \forall \psi \in H^1(T), \\ \|\psi\|_{L^2(\partial T^\ell)}^2 &\lesssim h_T^{-1} \|\psi\|_{L^2(T^\ell)}^2 + h_T \|\nabla_\Gamma \psi\|_{L^2(T^\ell)}^2 & \forall \psi \in H^1(T^\ell). \end{aligned}$$

Moreover, for any $\psi \circ \mathbf{p}_{k_g} \in \mathbb{P}_k(\bar{T})$ with $\bar{T} \in \bar{\mathcal{T}}_h$ and $k \in \mathbb{N}$, there holds (with $T = \mathbf{p}_{k_g}(\bar{T})$) the inverse estimates ($m \in \mathbb{N}$)

$$(4.3) \quad \|\psi\|_{H^m(T)} \lesssim h_T^{-m} \|\psi\|_{L^2(T)}, \quad \|\psi^\ell\|_{H^m(T^\ell)} \lesssim h_T^{-m} \|\psi^\ell\|_{L^2(T^\ell)}.$$

Proof. The proof of the first trace inequality (4.2) follows from the standard trace inequality on affine faces and mapping the result to T using (3.6). The second inequality in (4.2) follows from the first and an equivalence of norms in (3.5). Likewise, the inverse inequalities (4.3) follow from the inverse inequality on affine faces and (3.5)–(3.6). \square

Proposition 4.2. *There holds*

$$(4.4) \quad \sum_{T \in \mathcal{T}_h} \|\nabla_{\Gamma_h}^2 \psi\|_{L^2(T)}^2 \lesssim \|\psi\|_{2,h}^2, \quad \text{and} \quad \sum_{T^\ell \in \mathcal{T}_h^\ell} \|\nabla_\Gamma^2 \psi^\ell\|_{L^2(T^\ell)}^2 \lesssim \|\psi^\ell\|_2^2 \quad \forall \psi \in V_h.$$

Consequently, we have the following norm equivalences:

$$(4.5) \quad \|\psi\|_{2,h} \approx \|\psi\|_{2,h} \approx \|\psi\|_{H_h}, \quad \text{and} \quad \|\psi^\ell\|_2 \approx \|\psi^\ell\|_2 \approx \|\psi^\ell\|_H \quad \forall \psi \in V_h.$$

Proof. The proof of (4.4) is given in Appendix B. The norm equivalences given in (4.5) then follow from (4.4) and the inverse and trace estimates in Proposition 4.1. \square

Lemma 4.3. *There holds for all $\psi, \chi \in W$,*

$$(4.6) \quad \begin{aligned} |a_h(\psi, \chi)| &\leq (1 + \sigma) \|\psi\|_{2,h} \|\chi\|_{2,h} & \forall \psi, \chi \in W, \\ |a_h^\ell(\psi, \chi)| &\leq (1 + \sigma) \|\psi\|_2 \|\chi\|_2 & \forall \psi, \chi \in W^\ell. \end{aligned}$$

Moreover, for any $\alpha \in (0, 1)$, there exists $\sigma_0 > 0$ such that for $\sigma \geq \sigma_0$, there holds

$$(4.7) \quad \alpha \|\psi\|_{2,h}^2 \leq a_h(\psi, \psi) \quad \forall \psi \in V_h, \quad \text{and} \quad \alpha \|\psi^\ell\|_2^2 \leq a_h^\ell(\psi^\ell, \psi^\ell) \quad \forall \psi \in V_h.$$

Consequently, there exists a unique solution to (4.1) provided σ is sufficiently large.

Proof. By the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality,

$$\begin{aligned} |a_h(\psi, \chi)| &\leq \sum_{T \in \mathcal{T}_h} \|H_{\Gamma_h}(\psi)\|_{L^2(T)} \|H_{\Gamma_h}(\chi)\|_{L^2(T)} + \|\nabla_{\Gamma_h} \psi\|_{L^2(\Gamma_h)} \|\nabla_{\Gamma_h} \chi\|_{L^2(\Gamma_h)} \\ &\quad + \sum_{e \in \mathcal{E}_h} h_e^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\{\{\mathbf{t}_h^\top H_{\Gamma_h}(\psi) \boldsymbol{\mu}_h\}\}\|_{L^2(e)} h_e^{-\frac{1}{2}} \|[\nabla_{\Gamma_h} \chi]\|_{L^2(e)} \\ &\quad + \sum_{e \in \mathcal{E}_h} h_e^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\{\{\mathbf{t}_h^\top H_{\Gamma_h}(\chi) \boldsymbol{\mu}_h\}\}\|_{L^2(e)} h_e^{-\frac{1}{2}} \|[\nabla_{\Gamma_h} \psi]\|_{L^2(e)} \\ &\quad + \sum_{e \in \mathcal{E}_h} (\sigma h_e^{-1})^{\frac{1}{2}} \|[\nabla_{\Gamma_h} \psi]\|_{L^2(e)} (\sigma h_e^{-1})^{\frac{1}{2}} \|[\nabla_{\Gamma_h} \chi]\|_{L^2(e)} \\ &\leq (1 + \sigma) \|\psi\|_{2,h} \|\chi\|_{2,h}. \end{aligned}$$

The second inequality in (4.6) is proved similarly.

Next, by Proposition 4.1, we find

$$\sum_{e \in \mathcal{E}_h} h_e \|\{\{\mathbf{t}_h^\top H_{\Gamma_h}(\psi) \boldsymbol{\mu}_h\}\}\|_{L^2(e)}^2 \leq C \sum_{T \in \mathcal{T}_h} \|H_{\Gamma_h}(\psi)\|_{L^2(T)}^2 \quad \forall \psi \in V_h$$

for some constant $C > 0$ independent of h . Consequently, by the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality,

$$\begin{aligned} 2 \sum_{e \in \mathcal{E}_h} \int_e \{\{\mathbf{t}_h^\top H_{\Gamma_h}(\psi) \boldsymbol{\mu}_h\}\} [\nabla_{\Gamma_h} \psi] &\leq \varepsilon \sum_{e \in \mathcal{E}_h} h_e \|\{\{\mathbf{t}_h^\top H_{\Gamma_h}(\psi) \boldsymbol{\mu}_h\}\}\|_{L^2(e)}^2 + \varepsilon^{-1} \sum_{e \in \mathcal{E}_h} h_e^{-1} \|[\nabla_{\Gamma_h} \psi]\|_{L^2(e)}^2 \\ &\leq C\varepsilon \sum_{T \in \mathcal{T}_h} \|H_{\Gamma_h}(\psi)\|_{L^2(T)}^2 + \varepsilon^{-1} \sum_{e \in \mathcal{E}_h} h_e^{-1} \|[\nabla_{\Gamma_h} \psi]\|_{L^2(e)}^2 \end{aligned}$$

for any $\varepsilon > 0$. Given $\alpha \in (0, 1)$, we choose $1 - C\varepsilon = \alpha$ and take $\sigma_0 = \alpha + \varepsilon^{-1}$. Then for $\sigma \geq \sigma_0$,

$$\begin{aligned} a_h(\psi, \psi) &= \sum_{T \in \mathcal{T}_h} \|H_{\Gamma_h}(\psi)\|_{L^2(T)}^2 + \|\nabla_{\Gamma_h} \psi\|_{L^2(\Gamma_h)}^2 - 2 \sum_{e \in \mathcal{E}_h} \int_e \{\{\mathbf{t}_h^\top H_{\Gamma_h}(\psi) \boldsymbol{\mu}_h\}\} [\nabla_{\Gamma_h} \psi] \\ &\quad + \sigma \sum_{e \in \mathcal{E}_h} h_e^{-1} \|[\nabla_{\Gamma_h} \psi]\|_{L^2(e)}^2 \\ &\geq \sum_{T \in \mathcal{T}_h} \|H_{\Gamma_h}(\psi)\|_{L^2(T)}^2 + \alpha \|\nabla_{\Gamma_h} \psi\|_{L^2(\Gamma_h)}^2 - C\varepsilon \sum_{T \in \mathcal{T}_h} \|H_{\Gamma_h}(\psi)\|_{L^2(T)}^2 \\ &\quad - \varepsilon^{-1} \sum_{e \in \mathcal{E}_h} h_e^{-1} \|[\nabla_{\Gamma_h} \psi]\|_{L^2(e)}^2 + \sigma \sum_{e \in \mathcal{E}_h} h_e^{-1} \|[\nabla_{\Gamma_h} \psi]\|_{L^2(e)}^2 \\ &\geq \alpha \|\psi\|_{2,h}^2. \end{aligned}$$

The second coercivity result in (4.7) follows from the exact same arguments, but using the second inequalities in (4.2)–(4.3) instead of the first. \square

5. GEOMETRIC CONSISTENCY ESTIMATES

In this section, we derive estimates related to the geometric inconsistencies of the scheme. As shown in the next section, these involve the disparities between the linear (source) forms $\ell(\cdot)$ and $\ell_h(\cdot)$, as well as the bilinear forms $a_h^\ell(\cdot, \cdot)$ and $a_h(\cdot, \cdot)$. An upper bound of the former is given in the next result. Its proof is found in Appendix C.

Lemma 5.1. *There holds for all $\psi \in V_h$,*

$$(5.1) \quad |\ell(\psi^\ell) - \ell_h(\psi)| \leq \|\mathbf{f} - \mathbf{F}_h^\ell\|_{L^2(\Gamma)} \|\psi^\ell\|_H,$$

where

$$(5.2) \quad \mathbf{F}_h^\ell = (\mathbf{I} - d\mathbf{H})^{-1} \left(\mathbf{I} - \frac{(\mathbf{P}\mathbf{n}_h) \otimes (\mathbf{P}\mathbf{n}_h)}{\mathbf{n} \cdot \mathbf{n}_h} \right) \mathbf{f}_h^\ell.$$

Remark 5.2. Note that, by (3.1), $\|\mathbf{F}_h^\ell - \mathbf{f}_h^\ell\|_{L^2(\Gamma)} \lesssim h^{k_g+1} \|\mathbf{f}_h^\ell\|_{L^2(\Gamma)}$, and therefore $\|\mathbf{f} - \mathbf{F}_h^\ell\|_{L^2(\Gamma)} \lesssim \|\mathbf{f} - \mathbf{f}_h^\ell\|_{L^2(\Gamma)} + h^{k_g+1} \|\mathbf{f}_h^\ell\|_{L^2(\Gamma)}$.

To prove analogous results for the discrete bilinear forms $a_h^\ell(\cdot, \cdot)$ and $a_h(\cdot, \cdot)$, we require two technical preliminary results. The proofs of the next two lemmas are given in Appendix C.

Lemma 5.3. *There holds for all $\psi \in W^\ell$,*

$$(5.3) \quad \|(H_\Gamma(\psi))^e - H_{\Gamma_h}(\psi^e)\|_{L^2(\mathcal{T}_h)} \lesssim h^{k_g} \|\psi\|_H,$$

$$(5.4) \quad \|(\nabla_\Gamma \psi)^e - \nabla_{\Gamma_h} \psi^e\|_{L^2(\mathcal{T}_h)} \lesssim h^{k_g} \|\psi\|_H,$$

$$(5.5) \quad h^{-\frac{1}{2}} \|([\nabla_\Gamma \psi]^e - [\nabla_{\Gamma_h} \psi^e])\|_{L^2(\mathcal{E}_h)} \lesssim h^{k_g} \|\psi\|_H,$$

$$(5.6) \quad h^{\frac{1}{2}} \|(\{\{\mathbf{t}^\top H_\Gamma(\psi)\boldsymbol{\mu}\}\}^e - \{\{\mathbf{t}_h^\top H_{\Gamma_h}(\psi^e)\boldsymbol{\mu}_h\}\})\|_{L^2(\mathcal{E}_h)} \lesssim h^{k_g} \|\psi\|_H.$$

Lemma 5.4. *For $\psi, \chi \in H^3(\Gamma)$, the following integral estimates hold:*

$$(5.7) \quad ((H_\Gamma(\psi))^e, (H_\Gamma(\chi))^e - H_{\Gamma_h}(\chi^e))_{\Gamma_h} \lesssim h^{k_g+1} \|\psi\|_{H^3(\Gamma)} \|\chi\|_{H^3(\Gamma)},$$

$$(5.8) \quad ((\nabla_\Gamma \psi)^e, (\nabla_\Gamma \chi)^e - \nabla_{\Gamma_h} \chi^e)_{\Gamma_h} \lesssim h^{k_g+1} \|\psi\|_{H^3(\Gamma)} \|\chi\|_{H^3(\Gamma)},$$

$$(5.9) \quad ((\{\{\mathbf{t}^\top H_\Gamma(\psi)\boldsymbol{\mu}\}\}^e, ([\nabla_\Gamma \chi]^e - [\nabla_{\Gamma_h} \chi^e])_{\mathcal{E}_h} \lesssim h^{2k_g-1} \|\psi\|_{H^3(\Gamma)} \|\chi\|_{H^3(\Gamma)}.$$

Lemma 5.5. *There holds for $\psi, \chi \in W$,*

$$(5.10) \quad |a_h^\ell(\psi^\ell, \chi^\ell) - a_h(\psi, \chi)| \lesssim h^{k_g} \|\psi^\ell\|_H \|\chi^\ell\|_H.$$

Proof. The bilinear forms $a_h^\ell(\cdot, \cdot)$ and $a_h(\cdot, \cdot)$ each consists of four terms, and the estimate (5.10) is derived estimating each of them using Lemma 5.3. For example, using (5.3) and (3.3), we have for $\psi, \chi \in W$,

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{T^\ell \in \mathcal{T}_h^\ell} \int_{T^\ell} H_\Gamma(\psi^\ell) : H_\Gamma(\chi^\ell) - \sum_{T \in \mathcal{T}_h} \int_T H_{\Gamma_h}(\psi) : H_{\Gamma_h}(\chi) \\ &= \sum_{T^\ell \in \mathcal{T}_h^\ell} \int_{T^\ell} \left(H_\Gamma(\psi^\ell) : H_\Gamma(\chi^\ell) - \mu_h^{-1} (H_{\Gamma_h}(\psi))^\ell : (H_{\Gamma_h}(\chi))^\ell \right) \\ &\leq \sum_{T^\ell \in \mathcal{T}_h^\ell} \left(\|H_\Gamma(\psi^\ell) - \mu_h^{-1} (H_{\Gamma_h}(\psi))^\ell\|_{L^2(T^\ell)} \|H_\Gamma(\chi^\ell)\|_{L^2(T^\ell)} \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \|\mu_h^{-1} (H_{\Gamma_h}(\psi))^\ell\|_{L^2(T^\ell)} \|(H_{\Gamma_h}(\chi))^\ell - H_\Gamma(\chi^\ell)\|_{L^2(T^\ell)} \right) \lesssim h^{k_g} \|\psi^\ell\|_H \|\chi^\ell\|_H. \end{aligned}$$

The other terms are handled in the exact same way, but using (5.4)–(5.6) and (3.3)–(3.4). \square

Lemma 5.6. *There holds for $\psi, \chi \in H^3(\Gamma)$,*

$$(5.11) \quad |a_h^\ell(\psi, \chi) - a_h(\psi^e, \chi^e)| \lesssim (h^{k_g+1} + h^{2k_g-1}) \|\psi\|_{H^3(\Gamma)} \|\chi\|_{H^3(\Gamma)}.$$

Proof. Similar to the proof of Lemma 5.5, the bilinear forms $a_h^\ell(\cdot, \cdot)$ and $a_h(\cdot, \cdot)$ each consists of four terms, and the estimate (5.11) is obtained by utilizing Lemma 5.4. For example,

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\Gamma} H_{\Gamma}(\psi) : H_{\Gamma}(\chi) - \int_{\Gamma_h} H_{\Gamma_h}(\psi^e) : H_{\Gamma_h}(\chi^e) \\ &= \int_{\Gamma_h} (H_{\Gamma}(\psi))^e : (H_{\Gamma}(\chi))^e - \int_{\Gamma_h} H_{\Gamma_h}(\psi^e) : H_{\Gamma_h}(\chi^e) + \int_{\Gamma_h} (\mu_h - 1)(H_{\Gamma}(\psi))^e : (H_{\Gamma}(\chi))^e \\ &= \int_{\Gamma_h} ((H_{\Gamma}(\psi))^e - H_{\Gamma_h}(\psi^e)) : (H_{\Gamma}(\chi))^e - \int_{\Gamma_h} (H_{\Gamma}(\psi))^e : (H_{\Gamma_h}(\chi^e) - (H_{\Gamma}(\chi))^e) \\ &\quad - \int_{\Gamma_h} (H_{\Gamma_h}(\psi^e) - (H_{\Gamma}(\psi))^e) : (H_{\Gamma_h}(\chi^e) - (H_{\Gamma}(\chi))^e) + \int_{\Gamma_h} (\mu_h - 1)(H_{\Gamma}(\psi))^e : (H_{\Gamma}(\chi))^e. \end{aligned}$$

We then apply (3.3), (5.7), and (5.3) to obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \int_{\Gamma} H_{\Gamma}(\psi) : H_{\Gamma}(\chi) - \int_{\Gamma_h} H_{\Gamma_h}(\psi^e) : H_{\Gamma_h}(\chi^e) \right| \\ & \lesssim h^{k_g+1} \|\psi\|_{H^3(\Gamma)} \|\chi\|_{H^3(\Gamma)} + h^{2k_g} \|\psi\|_H \|\chi\|_H + h^{k_g+1} \|\psi\|_{H^2(\Gamma)} \|\chi\|_{H^2(\Gamma)} \\ & \lesssim h^{k_g+1} \|\psi\|_{H^3(\Gamma)} \|\chi\|_{H^3(\Gamma)}. \end{aligned}$$

The other terms in $|a_h^\ell(\psi, \chi) - a_h(\psi^e, \chi^e)|$ are handled similarly, but using (5.8)–(5.9) and (5.4)–(5.6). \square

6. CONVERGENCE ANALYSIS

Let $\bar{\pi}_h : C(\bar{\Gamma}_h) \rightarrow \bar{V}_h$ denote the standard Lagrange nodal interpolant onto the space of piecewise polynomials of degree $\leq k$ with respect to the affine mesh $\bar{\mathcal{T}}_h$. We then set $\pi_h : C(\Gamma_h) \rightarrow V_h$ to be the corresponding interpolant on the polynomial-mapped mesh, defined such that $\pi_h \psi = (\bar{\pi}_h(\psi \circ \mathbf{p}_{k_g})) \circ \mathbf{p}_{k_g}^{-1}$. Likewise, we define $\pi_h^\ell : C(\Gamma) \rightarrow V_h^\ell$ to be $\pi_h^\ell \psi = (\pi_h(\psi \circ \mathbf{p})) \circ \mathbf{p}|_{\Gamma_h}^{-1}$, the lifted interpolant on Γ . This interpolant satisfies (cf. [10, (2.23)])

$$(6.1) \quad |\psi - \pi_h^\ell \psi|_{H^m(T^\ell)} \lesssim h^{r-m} \|\psi\|_{H^r(T^\ell)}, \quad 0 \leq m \leq r$$

for all $\psi \in H^r(T^\ell)$ with $2 \leq r \leq k+1$. Consequently, by the trace inequalities in Proposition 4.1 and the definition of $\|\cdot\|_2$ there holds

$$(6.2) \quad \|\psi - \pi_h^\ell \psi\|_2 \lesssim h^{r-2} \|\psi\|_{H^r(\Gamma)} \quad \forall \psi \in H^r(\Gamma),$$

and $\|\pi_h^\ell \psi\|_H \lesssim \|\pi_h^\ell \psi\|_2 \lesssim \|\pi_h^\ell \psi\|_2 \lesssim \|\psi\|_{H^2(\Gamma)}$.

Theorem 6.1. *Let ϕ be the exact solution to (1.2), and let $\phi_h \in V_{h,0}$ solve the C^0 IP method (4.1). If $\phi \in H^r(\Gamma)$ with $3 \leq r \leq k+1$, then there holds*

$$(6.3) \quad \|\phi - \phi_h^\ell\|_2 \lesssim (h^{r-2} + h^{k_g}) \|\phi\|_{H^r(\Gamma)} + \|\mathbf{f} - \mathbf{F}_h^\ell\|_{L^2(\Gamma)},$$

where \mathbf{F}_h^ℓ is given by (5.2).

Proof. Using the coercivity and continuity of $a_h^\ell(\cdot, \cdot)$ (cf. Lemma 4.3) and Strang's lemma, we obtain

$$\|\phi - \phi_h^\ell\|_2 \lesssim \inf_{\psi \in V_h} \left(\|\phi - \psi^\ell\|_2 + \sup_{\chi \in V_h} \frac{|a_h^\ell(\psi^\ell, \chi^\ell) - a_h(\psi, \chi)|}{\|\chi^\ell\|_2} \right) + \sup_{\chi \in V_h} \frac{|\ell(\chi^\ell) - \ell_h(\chi)|}{\|\chi^\ell\|_2}.$$

Taking $\psi = \pi_h \phi^e$ in the infimum and applying (5.10), (4.5), (5.1), (6.2) and the stability bound $\|\pi_h^\ell \phi\|_2 \lesssim \|\phi\|_{H^2(\Gamma)}$ yields

$$\begin{aligned} \|\phi - \phi_h^\ell\|_2 & \lesssim \left(\|\phi - \pi_h^\ell \phi\|_2 + \sup_{\chi \in V_h} \frac{|a_h^\ell(\pi_h^\ell \phi, \chi^\ell) - a_h(\pi_h \phi^e, \chi)|}{\|\chi^\ell\|_2} \right) + \sup_{\chi \in V_h} \frac{|\ell(\chi^\ell) - \ell_h(\chi)|}{\|\chi^\ell\|_2} \\ & \lesssim \|\phi - \pi_h^\ell \phi\|_2 + h^{k_g} \|\pi_h^\ell \phi\|_H + \|\mathbf{f} - \mathbf{F}_h^\ell\|_{L^2(\Gamma)} \\ & \lesssim h^{r-2} \|\phi\|_{H^r(\Gamma)} + h^{k_g} \|\phi\|_{H^2(\Gamma)} + \|\mathbf{f} - \mathbf{F}_h^\ell\|_{L^2(\Gamma)}. \end{aligned}$$

□

Next, we derive error estimates in lower-order norms using a duality argument. In order to do so, we require the following elliptic regularity result. Its proof is given in Appendix D.

Lemma 6.2. *Let $m \in \{0, 1\}$ and $\chi \in H^{-m}(\Gamma)$. Let $u \in H^2(\Gamma)$ with $\int_{\Gamma} u = 0$ satisfy*

$$\frac{1}{2}\Delta_{\Gamma}^2 u + \operatorname{div}_{\Gamma}((K-1)\nabla_{\Gamma} u) = \chi \quad \text{on } \Gamma.$$

Then there holds $u \in H^{4-m}(\Gamma)$ with $\|u\|_{H^{4-m}(\Gamma)} \lesssim \|\chi\|_{H^{-m}(\Gamma)}$.

Theorem 6.3. *Let ϕ be the exact solution to (1.2) and let $\phi_h \in V_{h,0}$ solve the C^0 IP method (4.1). If $\phi \in H^r(\Gamma)$ with $3 \leq r \leq k+1$, then there holds for $m \in \{0, 1\}$,*

$$\|\nabla_{\Gamma}^m(\phi - \phi_h^{\ell})\|_{L^2(\Gamma)} \lesssim (h^{r-\underline{m}} + h^{k_g+2-\underline{m}} + h^{k_g+r-2} + h^{2k_g-1}) \|\phi\|_{H^r(\Gamma)} + \|\mathbf{f} - \mathbf{F}_h^{\ell}\|_{L^2(\Gamma)},$$

where $\underline{m} = m$ for $k \geq 3$, and $\underline{m} = 1$ for $k = 2$. In particular, if $\phi \in H^{k+1}(\Gamma)$ there holds

$$\|\nabla_{\Gamma}^m(\phi - \phi_h^{\ell})\|_{L^2(\Gamma)} \lesssim \begin{cases} (h^2 + h^{k_g+1} + h^{2k_g-1}) \|\phi\|_{H^3(\Gamma)} + \|\mathbf{f} - \mathbf{F}_h^{\ell}\|_{L^2(\Gamma)} & \text{if } k = 2, \\ (h^{k+1-m} + h^{k_g+2-m} + h^{2k_g-1}) \|\phi\|_{H^{k+1}(\Gamma)} + \|\mathbf{f} - \mathbf{F}_h^{\ell}\|_{L^2(\Gamma)} & \text{if } k \geq 3. \end{cases}$$

Proof. Let $u \in H^2(\Gamma)$ with $\int_{\Gamma} u = 0$ satisfy

$$\frac{1}{2}\Delta_{\Gamma}^2 u + \operatorname{div}_{\Gamma}((K-1)\nabla_{\Gamma} u) = (-\Delta_{\Gamma})^m(\phi - \phi_h^{\ell}) \in H^{-m}(\Gamma) \quad \text{on } \Gamma \quad (m = 0, 1),$$

so that by Lemma 6.2, $u \in H^{4-m}(\Gamma)$ with

$$(6.4) \quad \|u\|_{H^{4-m}(\Gamma)} \lesssim \|(\Delta_{\Gamma})^m(\phi - \phi_h^{\ell})\|_{H^{-m}(\Gamma)} \lesssim \|\nabla_{\Gamma}^m(\phi - \phi_h^{\ell})\|_{L^2(\Gamma)}.$$

Note that, by the consistency of the scheme,

$$a_h^{\ell}(\psi, u) = \langle \psi, (-\Delta_{\Gamma})^m(\phi - \phi_h^{\ell}) \rangle_{\Gamma} \quad \forall \psi \in W,$$

and therefore

$$(6.5) \quad \begin{aligned} & \|\nabla_{\Gamma}^m(\phi - \phi_h^{\ell})\|_{L^2(\Gamma)}^2 \\ &= a_h^{\ell}(\phi - \phi_h^{\ell}, u) \\ &= a_h^{\ell}(\phi - \phi_h^{\ell}, u - \pi_h^{\ell} u) + a_h^{\ell}(\phi - \phi_h^{\ell}, \pi_h^{\ell} u) \\ &= a_h^{\ell}(\phi - \phi_h^{\ell}, u - \pi_h^{\ell} u) + [\ell(\pi_h^{\ell} u) - \ell_h(\pi_h u^e)] + [a_h(\phi_h, \pi_h u^e) - a_h^{\ell}(\phi_h^{\ell}, \pi_h^{\ell} u)] \\ &=: I_1 + I_2 + I_3. \end{aligned}$$

Let us estimate each term in (6.5) separately. First, note that

$$(6.6) \quad \|u - \pi_h^{\ell} u\|_2 \lesssim h^{2-\underline{m}} \|u\|_{H^{4-m}(\Gamma)} \lesssim h^{2-\underline{m}} \|\nabla_{\Gamma}^m(\phi - \phi_h^{\ell})\|_{L^2(\Gamma)}.$$

Then, by the continuity estimate of $a_h^{\ell}(\cdot, \cdot)$ in Lemma 4.3 and (6.3), there holds

$$(6.7) \quad \begin{aligned} I_1 &= a_h^{\ell}(\phi - \phi_h^{\ell}, u - \pi_h^{\ell} u) \\ &\lesssim \|\phi - \phi_h^{\ell}\|_2 \|u - \pi_h^{\ell} u\|_2 \\ &\lesssim \left((h^{r-\underline{m}} + h^{k_g+2-\underline{m}}) \|\phi\|_{H^r(\Gamma)} + h^{2-\underline{m}} \|\mathbf{f} - \mathbf{F}_h^{\ell}\|_{L^2(\Gamma)} \right) \|\nabla_{\Gamma}^m(\phi - \phi_h^{\ell})\|_{L^2(\Gamma)}. \end{aligned}$$

Next, due to (5.1), the stability bound $\|\pi_h^{\ell} u\|_H \lesssim \|u\|_{H^2(\Gamma)}$ and (6.4),

$$(6.8) \quad I_2 = \ell(\pi_h^{\ell} u) - \ell_h(\pi_h u^e) \lesssim \|\mathbf{f} - \mathbf{F}_h^{\ell}\|_{L^2(\Gamma)} \|\pi_h^{\ell} u\|_H \lesssim \|\mathbf{f} - \mathbf{F}_h^{\ell}\|_{L^2(\Gamma)} \|\nabla_{\Gamma}^m(\phi - \phi_h^{\ell})\|_{L^2(\Gamma)}.$$

Now, we consider the following in (6.5),

$$\begin{aligned}
 I_3 &= a_h(\phi_h, \pi_h u^e) - a_h^\ell(\phi_h^\ell, \pi_h^\ell u) \\
 &= [a_h(\phi_h, \pi_h u^e - u^e) - a_h^\ell(\phi_h^\ell, \pi_h^\ell u - u)] + [a_h(\phi_h - \phi^e, u^e) - a_h^\ell(\phi_h^\ell - \phi, u)] \\
 &\quad + [a_h(\phi^e, u^e) - a_h^\ell(\phi, u)] \\
 &=: I_{3,1} + I_{3,2} + I_{3,3}.
 \end{aligned} \tag{6.9}$$

Estimate $I_{3,1}$: Applying (4.5), (6.2) and (6.3) yields

$$\begin{aligned}
 \|\phi - \phi_h^\ell\|_H &\leq \|\phi - \pi_h^\ell \phi\|_H + \|\pi_h^\ell \phi - \phi_h^\ell\|_H \\
 &\lesssim h^{r-2} \|\phi\|_{H^r(\Gamma)} + \|\pi_h^\ell \phi - \phi\|_2 + \|\phi - \phi_h^\ell\|_2 \\
 &\lesssim (h^{r-2} + h^{k_g}) \|\phi\|_{H^r(\Gamma)} + \|\mathbf{f} - \mathbf{F}_h^\ell\|_{L^2(\Gamma)}.
 \end{aligned} \tag{6.10}$$

Therefore, $\|\phi_h^\ell\|_H \lesssim \|\phi\|_{H^r(\Gamma)} + \|\mathbf{f} - \mathbf{F}_h^\ell\|_{L^2(\Gamma)}$, and so by (5.10), (4.5) and (6.6),

$$\begin{aligned}
 I_{3,1} &= a_h(\phi_h, \pi_h u^e - u^e) - a_h^\ell(\phi_h^\ell, \pi_h^\ell u - u) \\
 &\lesssim h^{k_g} \|\phi_h^\ell\|_H \|\pi_h^\ell u - u\|_H \\
 &\lesssim h^{k_g+2-\underline{m}} (\|\phi\|_{H^r(\Gamma)} + \|\mathbf{f} - \mathbf{F}_h^\ell\|_{L^2(\Gamma)}) \|\nabla_\Gamma^m(\phi - \phi_h^\ell)\|_{L^2(\Gamma)}.
 \end{aligned} \tag{6.11}$$

Estimate $I_{3,2}$: Using (5.10), (6.10), (4.5), and (6.4),

$$\begin{aligned}
 I_{3,2} &= a_h(\phi_h - \phi^e, u^e) - a_h^\ell(\phi_h^\ell - \phi, u) \\
 &\lesssim h^{k_g} \|\phi_h^\ell - \phi\|_H \|u\|_H \\
 &\lesssim h^{k_g} \left((h^{r-2} + h^{k_g}) \|\phi\|_{H^r(\Gamma)} + \|\mathbf{f} - \mathbf{F}_h^\ell\|_{L^2(\Gamma)} \right) \|\nabla_\Gamma^m(\phi - \phi_h^\ell)\|_{L^2(\Gamma)}.
 \end{aligned} \tag{6.12}$$

Estimate $I_{3,3}$: By (5.11) and (6.4),

$$\begin{aligned}
 I_{3,3} &= a_h(\phi^e, u^e) - a_h^\ell(\phi, u) \\
 &\lesssim (h^{2k_g-1} + h^{k_g+1}) \|\phi\|_{H^3(\Gamma)} \|u\|_{H^3(\Gamma)} \\
 &\lesssim (h^{2k_g-1} + h^{k_g+1}) \|\phi\|_{H^r(\Gamma)} \|\nabla_\Gamma^m(\phi - \phi_h^\ell)\|_{L^2(\Gamma)}.
 \end{aligned} \tag{6.13}$$

Combining (6.9), (6.11), (6.12) and (6.13) yields

$$\begin{aligned}
 I_3 &\lesssim \left((h^{k_g+2-\underline{m}} + h^{r-2+k_g} + h^{2k_g} + h^{2k_g-1} + h^{k_g+1}) \|\phi\|_{H^r(\Gamma)} \right. \\
 &\quad \left. + (h^{k_g+2-\underline{m}} + h^{k_g}) \|\mathbf{f} - \mathbf{F}_h^\ell\|_{L^2(\Gamma)} \right) \|\nabla_\Gamma^m(\phi - \phi_h^\ell)\|_{L^2(\Gamma)} \\
 &\lesssim h^{k_g} \left((h^{2-\underline{m}} + h^{r-2} + h^{k_g-1}) \|\phi\|_{H^r(\Gamma)} + \|\mathbf{f} - \mathbf{F}_h^\ell\|_{L^2(\Gamma)} \right) \|\nabla_\Gamma^m(\phi - \phi_h^\ell)\|_{L^2(\Gamma)}.
 \end{aligned} \tag{6.14}$$

It follows from (6.5), (6.7), (6.8) and (6.14) that

$$\begin{aligned}
 \|\nabla_\Gamma^m(\phi - \phi_h^\ell)\|_{L^2(\Gamma)} &\lesssim (h^{r-\underline{m}} + h^{k_g+2-\underline{m}} + h^{k_g+2-\underline{m}} + h^{k_g+r-2} + h^{2k_g-1}) \|\phi\|_{H^r(\Gamma)} \\
 &\quad + (h^{2-\underline{m}} + 1 + h^{k_g}) \|\mathbf{f} - \mathbf{F}_h^\ell\|_{L^2(\Gamma)} \\
 &\lesssim (h^{r-\underline{m}} + h^{k_g+2-\underline{m}} + h^{k_g+r-2} + h^{2k_g-1}) \|\phi\|_{H^r(\Gamma)} + \|\mathbf{f} - \mathbf{F}_h^\ell\|_{L^2(\Gamma)}
 \end{aligned}$$

□

Corollary 6.4. *Let ϕ be the exact solution to (1.2) and let $\phi_h \in V_{h,0}$ solve the C^0 IP method (4.1). Define $\mathbf{u} := \mathbf{curl}_\Gamma \phi$ and $\mathbf{u}_h := \mathbf{curl}_\Gamma \phi_h$. If $\phi \in H^{k+1}(\Gamma)$, then*

$$\|\mathbf{u} - \mathcal{P}_\mathbf{p} \mathbf{u}_h\|_{L^2(\Gamma)} \lesssim (h^k + h^{k_g+1} + h^{2k_g-1}) \|\phi\|_{H^{k+1}(\Gamma)} + \|\mathbf{f} - \mathbf{F}_h^\ell\|_{L^2(\Gamma)},$$

where

$$\mathcal{P}_\mathbf{p} \mathbf{u}_h \circ \mathbf{p} = \mu_h^{-1} (\mathbf{P} - d\mathbf{H}) \mathbf{u}_h$$

is the Piola transform of \mathbf{u}_h with respect to the closest point projection \mathbf{p} .

Proof. Using (C.2) below, we can conclude $\mathcal{P}_{\mathbf{p}}\mathbf{u}_h = \mathcal{P}_{\mathbf{p}}(\mathbf{curl}_{\Gamma_h}\phi_h) = \mathbf{curl}_{\Gamma}\phi_h^\ell$. Therefore by applying the result from the particular case $m = 1$ in Theorem 6.3 yields

$$\begin{aligned} \|\mathbf{u} - \mathcal{P}_{\mathbf{p}}\mathbf{u}_h\|_{L^2(\Gamma)} &= \|\mathbf{curl}_{\Gamma}\phi - \mathbf{curl}_{\Gamma}\phi_h^\ell\|_{L^2(\Gamma)} \\ &= \|\mathbf{n} \times \nabla_{\Gamma}(\phi - \phi_h^\ell)\|_{L^2(\Gamma)} \\ &\lesssim (h^k + h^{k_g+1} + h^{2k_g-1})\|\phi\|_{H^{k+1}(\Gamma)} + \|\mathbf{f} - \mathbf{F}_h^\ell\|_{L^2(\Gamma)}. \end{aligned}$$

□

7. NUMERICAL EXPERIMENTS

In the numerical experiments, we consider an ellipsoid $\Gamma = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^3 : \Psi(x) = 0 : \Psi(x) = x_1^2 + x_2^2/2 + x_3^2/2 - 1\}$, and take the stream function solution as $\phi(x) = e^{x_1}(\cos(x_2) + x_3)$ and the pressure solution as $p(x) = x_1x_2x_3$. We determine the velocity $\mathbf{u} = \mathbf{curl}_{\Gamma}\phi$ and the force \mathbf{f} on the right hand side of (1.1a) through Mathematica. These expressions for \mathbf{u} and \mathbf{f} are well-defined on \mathbb{R}^3 , and we respectively take \mathbf{u}^{ce} and \mathbf{f}^{ce} to be these expressions defined on Γ_h . In all of the numerical experiments, we take $\mathbf{f}_h = \mathbf{f}^{ce}$, and note that (cf. Lemma 5.1 and Remark 5.2)

$$(7.1) \quad \|\mathbf{f} - \mathbf{F}_h^\ell\|_{L^2(\Gamma)} \lesssim h^{k_g+1}.$$

Using NGSolve [28], we solve the C^0 IP method (4.1) for various values of k and k_g while varying h , and also compute the approximate velocity function $\mathbf{u}_h = \mathbf{curl}_{\Gamma_h}\phi_h$. We compute the errors on Γ_h , and therefore we need to map both the exact stream function ϕ and velocity \mathbf{u} to the discrete surface Γ_h . Ideally, this would be accomplished via the closest point project and the Piola transform with respect to the inverse of the closest point projection, respectively:

$$\phi^e = \phi \circ \mathbf{p}, \quad \mathcal{P}_{\mathbf{p}^{-1}}\mathbf{u} = \mu_h \left(\mathbf{I} - \frac{\mathbf{n} \otimes \mathbf{n}_h}{\mathbf{n} \cdot \mathbf{n}_h} \right) (\mathbf{I} - d\mathbf{H})^{-1}(\mathbf{u} \circ \mathbf{p}).$$

Unfortunately, an explicit formula for the distance function of the ellipsoid is unknown, and therefore its closest point projection is unavailable. Instead, based on [11, Section 4.2], we make the approximations

$$\tilde{\mathbf{n}}(x) = \frac{\nabla\Psi(x)}{|\nabla\Psi(x)|}, \quad \tilde{d}(x) = \frac{\Psi(x)}{|\nabla\Psi(x)|}, \quad \tilde{\mathbf{p}}(x) = x - \tilde{d}(x)\tilde{\mathbf{n}}(x), \quad x \in \Gamma_h.$$

We then have $|d(x) - \tilde{d}(x)| = O(d) = O(h^{k_g+1})$, and

$$|\mathbf{n}(x) - \tilde{\mathbf{n}}(x)| = \left| \frac{\nabla\Psi(\mathbf{p}(x))}{|\nabla\Psi(\mathbf{p}(x))|} - \frac{\nabla\Psi(x)}{|\nabla\Psi(x)|} \right| \lesssim |\mathbf{p}(x) - x| = O(h^{k_g+1}).$$

Therefore $|\mathbf{p}(x) - \tilde{\mathbf{p}}(x)| = O(h^{k_g+1})$, although $\tilde{\mathbf{p}}(x)$ does not necessarily lie on Γ .

Similar to above, we let

$$\phi^{ce}(x) = e^{x_1}(\cos(x_2) + x_3), \quad x \in \Gamma_h,$$

be the canonical extension of ϕ and set

$$\tilde{\phi}^e = \phi^{ce} \circ \tilde{\mathbf{p}},$$

as the approximate extension to ϕ . These extensions satisfy $|\phi^e(x) - \tilde{\phi}^e(x)| \lesssim h^{k_g+1}$ and $|\phi^e(x) - \phi^{ce}(x)| \lesssim h^{k_g+1}$. Therefore, Theorem 6.3 with $m = 0$ and (7.1) yield

$$(7.2) \quad \|\tilde{\phi}^e - \phi_h\|_{L^2(\Gamma_h)} \lesssim \begin{cases} h^2 + h^{k_g+1} + h^{2k_g-1} & k = 2 \\ h^{k+1} + h^{k_g+1} + h^{2k_g-1} & k \geq 3 \end{cases},$$

and the same orders of convergence hold for $\|\phi^{ce} - \phi_h\|_{L^2(\Gamma_h)}$.

We map the exact velocity \mathbf{u} to Γ_h using an approximate Piola transform

$$\tilde{\mathcal{P}}_{\mathbf{p}^{-1}}\mathbf{u} := \left(\mathbf{I} - \frac{(\tilde{\mathbf{n}} \circ \tilde{\mathbf{p}}) \otimes \mathbf{n}_h}{(\tilde{\mathbf{n}} \circ \tilde{\mathbf{p}}) \cdot \mathbf{n}_h} \right) (\mathbf{u}^{ce} \circ \tilde{\mathbf{p}}).$$

Note that $|\tilde{\mathcal{P}}_{\mathbf{p}^{-1}}\mathbf{u} - \mathcal{P}_{\mathbf{p}^{-1}}\mathbf{u}| = O(d) = O(h^{k_g+1})$, and so $\|\tilde{\mathcal{P}}_{\mathbf{p}^{-1}}\mathbf{u} - \mathbf{u}_h\|_{L^2(\Gamma_h)}$ has the same order of convergence as stated in Corollary 6.4:

$$(7.3) \quad \|\tilde{\mathcal{P}}_{\mathbf{p}^{-1}}\mathbf{u} - \mathbf{u}_h\|_{L^2(\Gamma)} \lesssim h^k + h^{k_g+1} + h^{2k_g-1}.$$

However, $\|\mathbf{u}^{ce} - \mathcal{P}_{p-1}\mathbf{u}\| = O(h^{k_g})$ on Γ_h , and therefore Corollary 6.4 only yields

$$(7.4) \quad \|\mathbf{u}^{ce} - \mathbf{u}_h\|_{L^2(\Gamma)} \lesssim h^k + h^{k_g}.$$

The errors for $\|\tilde{\phi}^e - \phi_h\|_{L^2(\Gamma_h)}$ and $\|\tilde{\mathcal{P}}_{p-1}\mathbf{u} - \mathbf{u}_h\|_{L^2(\Gamma_h)}$ are provided in Table 1. For the velocity error, we see rates of convergence that are in agreement with (7.3), except in the case $k_g = 1$, where we observe second-order convergence, instead of first order. This suggests that the term h^{2k_g-1} in (7.3) leads to an estimate that is not sharp.

For the stream function error, we see rates of convergence of order $O(h^{k+1})$ for $k \geq 3$ in the isoparametric setting $k_g = k$, which is in agreement with the theoretical estimate (7.2). However, we also observe the optimal order $O(h^4)$ in the case $k = 3$ and $k_g = 2$, and the order $O(h^4)$ for $k = 4$ and $k_g = 2$. Similar to the velocity error, we also observe second-order convergence in the case $k_g = 1$. The rates of convergence for $k = 4$ and $k_g \in \{3, 4\}$ are unclear, as we observe a degradation of the error, possibly due to round-off error and the poor conditioning of the system.

Next, we list the errors $\|\phi^{ce} - \phi_h\|_{L^2(\Gamma_h)}$ and $\|\mathbf{u}^{ce} - \mathbf{u}_h\|_{L^2(\Gamma_{2h})}$ in Table 2. Here, we see exact agreement with the expected rates of convergence of the velocity given in (7.4). Likewise, the stream function error convergence rates conform to the theoretical estimate (7.2), except in the case $k_g = 1$, where we observe second-order convergence.

REFERENCES

- [1] A. BONITO, A. DEMLOW, AND M. LICHT, *A divergence-conforming finite element method for the surface Stokes equation*, SIAM J. Numer. Anal., 58 (2020), pp. 2764–2798.
- [2] P. BRANDNER, T. JANKUHN, S. PRAETORIUS, A. REUSKEN, AND A. VOIGT, *Finite element discretization methods for velocity-pressure and stream function formulations of surface Stokes equations*, SIAM J. Sci. Comput., 44 (2022), pp. A1807–A1832.
- [3] P. BRANDNER AND A. REUSKEN, *Finite element error analysis of surface Stokes equations in stream function formulation*, ESAIM Math. Model. Numer. Anal., 54 (2020), pp. 2069–2097.
- [4] S. C. BRENNER AND L.-Y. SUNG, *C⁰ interior penalty methods for fourth order elliptic boundary value problems on polygonal domains*, J. Sci. Comput., 22/23 (2005), pp. 83–118.
- [5] Y. CAI, H. GUO, AND Z. ZHANG, *Continuous linear finite element method for biharmonic problems on surfaces*, (2024). <https://arxiv.org/abs/2404.17958>.
- [6] P. G. CIARLET AND P.-A. RAVIART, *A mixed finite element method for the biharmonic equation*, in Mathematical aspects of finite elements in partial differential equations (Proc. Sympos., Math. Res. Center, Univ. Wisconsin, Madison, Wis., 1974), Academic Press, New York-London, 1974, pp. 125–145.
- [7] B. COCKBURN AND A. DEMLOW, *Hybridizable discontinuous Galerkin and mixed finite element methods for elliptic problems on surfaces*, Math. Comp., 85 (2016), pp. 2609–2638.
- [8] B. COCKBURN, G. KANSCHAT, AND D. SCHÖTZAU, *A note on discontinuous Galerkin divergence-free solutions of the Navier-Stokes equations*, J. Sci. Comput., 31 (2007), pp. 61–73.
- [9] M. C. DELFOUR, *Tangential differential calculus and functional analysis on a C^{1,1} submanifold*, in Differential geometric methods in the control of partial differential equations (Boulder, CO, 1999), vol. 268 of Contemp. Math., Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2000, pp. 83–115.
- [10] A. DEMLOW, *Higher-order finite element methods and pointwise error estimates for elliptic problems on surfaces*, SIAM J. Numer. Anal., 47 (2009), pp. 805–827.
- [11] A. DEMLOW AND G. DZIUK, *An adaptive finite element method for the Laplace-Beltrami operator on implicitly defined surfaces*, SIAM J. Numer. Anal., 45 (2007), pp. 421–442.
- [12] A. DEMLOW AND M. NEILAN, *A tangential and penalty-free finite element method for the surface Stokes problem*, SIAM J. Numer. Anal., 62 (2024), pp. 248–272.
- [13] G. DZIUK AND C. M. ELLIOTT, *Finite element methods for surface PDEs*, Acta Numer., 22 (2013), pp. 289–396.
- [14] S. ELCOTT, Y. TONG, E. KANSO, P. SCHRÖDER, AND M. DESBRUN, *Stable, circulation-preserving, simplicial fluids*, AMC Transactions on Graphics, 26 (2007).
- [15] G. ENGEL, K. GARIKIPATI, T. J. R. HUGHES, M. G. LARSON, L. MAZZEI, AND R. L. TAYLOR, *Continuous/discontinuous finite element approximations of fourth-order elliptic problems in structural and continuum mechanics with applications to thin beams and plates, and strain gradient elasticity*, Comput. Methods Appl. Mech. Engrg., 191 (2002), pp. 3669–3750.
- [16] T.-P. FRIES, *Higher-order surface FEM for incompressible Navier-Stokes flows on manifolds*, Internat. J. Numer. Methods Fluids, 88 (2018), pp. 55–78.
- [17] H. HARDERING AND S. PRAETORIUS, *Tangential errors of tensor surface finite elements*, IMA J. Numer. Anal., 43 (2023), pp. 1543–1585.
- [18] ———, *Parametric finite element discretization of the surface Stokes equations*, (2024). <https://arxiv.org/abs/2309.00931>.

TABLE 1. Convergence rates of the computed stream function ϕ_h and velocity \mathbf{u}_h . We list the theoretical rates of convergence (7.2) and (7.3) in blue.

k	k_g	h	$\ \phi^e - \phi_h\ _{L^2(\Gamma_h)}$	Rate	$\ \tilde{\mathcal{P}}_{p-1}\mathbf{u} - \mathbf{u}_h\ _{L^2(\Gamma_h)}$	Rate
1	1	0.2	0.05767	(1)	0.07858	(1)
		0.1	0.01424	2.01775	0.02016	1.96237
		0.05	0.00376	1.91772	0.00531	1.92421
		0.025	0.00093	2.00579	0.00131	2.01714
2	2	0.2	0.01297	(2)	0.03828	(2)
		0.1	0.00353	1.87457	0.01015	1.91519
		0.05	0.00097	1.85711	0.00279	1.86280
		0.025	0.00022	2.10957	0.00066	2.07626
1	1	0.2	0.08097	(1)	0.08958	(1)
		0.1	0.02028	1.99731	0.02264	1.98393
		0.05	0.00547	1.88983	0.00611	1.88769
		0.025	0.00135	2.01742	0.00151	2.01603
3	2	0.2	8.47941e-05	(3)	0.00094	(3)
		0.1	6.31325e-06	3.74751	0.00012	2.90593
		0.05	3.63872e-07	4.11687	1.49323e-05	3.07424
		0.025	2.70954e-08	3.74731	1.84384e-06	3.01764
3	3	0.2	0.00039	(4)	0.00290	(3)
		0.1	2.88117e-05	3.78754	0.00037	2.94548
		0.05	2.04527e-06	3.81629	4.91400e-05	2.94155
		0.025	1.27143e-07	4.00776	6.14542e-06	2.99931
1	1	0.2	0.07802	(1)	0.08622	(1)
		0.1	0.01955	1.99660	0.02179	1.98379
		0.05	0.00526	1.89306	0.00587	1.89232
		0.025	0.00130	2.01383	0.00145	2.01115
4	2	0.2	6.40078e-05	(3)	0.00015	(3)
		0.1	5.45770e-06	3.55188	1.73855e-05	3.19389
		0.05	3.08108e-07	4.14678	2.13295e-06	3.02696
		0.025	1.78093e-08	4.11273	2.41951e-07	3.14006
3	3	0.2	7.94347e-06	(4)	0.00014	(4)
		0.1	5.70919e-07	3.79841	1.13606e-05	3.68062
		0.05	3.04503e-08	4.22875	6.80985e-07	4.06027
		0.025	5.03957e-09	2.59508	4.27816e-08	3.99255
4	4	0.2	4.12926e-06	(5)	0.00011	(4)
		0.1	1.64135e-07	4.65292	8.43260e-06	3.73177
		0.05	4.95913e-09	5.04865	5.12438e-07	4.04052
		0.025	1.19892e-08	-1.27358	3.45115e-08	3.89222

- [19] T. JANKUHN, M. A. OLSHANSKII, AND A. REUSKEN, *Incompressible fluid problems on embedded surfaces: modeling and variational formulations*, Interfaces Free Bound., 20 (2018), pp. 353–377.
- [20] O. KILICER, *Phd thesis*. Texas A&M, to appear.
- [21] K. LARSSON AND M. G. LARSON, *A continuous/discontinuous Galerkin method and a priori error estimates for the biharmonic problem on surfaces*, Math. Comp., 86 (2017), pp. 2613–2649.
- [22] P. L. LEDERER, C. LEHRENFELD, AND J. SCHÖBERL, *Divergence-free tangential finite element methods for incompressible flows on surfaces*, Internat. J. Numer. Methods Engrg., 121 (2020), pp. 2503–2533.
- [23] I. NITSCHKE, A. VOIGT, AND J. WENSCH, *A finite element approach to incompressible two-phase flow on manifolds*, J. Fluid Mech., 708 (2012), pp. 418–438.
- [24] M. A. OLSHANSKII, A. REUSKEN, AND X. XU, *A stabilized finite element method for advection-diffusion equations on surfaces*, IMA J. Numer. Anal., 34 (2014), pp. 732–758.

TABLE 2. Convergence for the stream function ϕ_h and the velocity \mathbf{u}_h . We list the theoretical rates of convergence (7.2) and (7.4) in blue.

k	k_g	h	$\ \phi^{ce} - \phi_h\ _{L^2(\Gamma_h)}$	Rate	$\ \mathbf{u}^{ce} - \mathbf{u}_h\ _{L^2(\Gamma_h)}$	Rate
1	1	0.2	0.03561	(1)	0.24536	(1)
		0.1	0.00851	2.06441	0.12290	0.99739
		0.05	0.00231	1.88026	0.06283	0.96780
		0.025	0.00057	2.00569	0.03075	1.03081
2	2	0.2	0.01295	(2)	0.03845	(2)
		0.1	0.00353	1.87321	0.01019	1.91520
		0.05	0.00097	1.85674	0.00280	1.86293
		0.025	0.00022	2.10950	0.00066	2.07623
1	1	0.2	0.06046	(1)	0.24972	(1)
		0.1	0.01490	2.02080	0.12343	1.01652
		0.05	0.00411	1.85740	0.06292	0.97214
		0.025	0.00101	2.02480	0.03076	1.03225
3	2	0.2	0.00014	(3)	0.00406	(2)
		0.1	1.80827e-05	3.04799	0.00098	2.04314
		0.05	2.29863e-06	2.97576	0.00026	1.91730
		0.025	2.77898e-07	3.04814	6.16448e-05	2.08103
3	3	0.2	0.00040	(4)	0.00310	(3)
		0.1	2.90516e-05	3.78777	0.00040	2.93645
		0.05	2.06015e-06	3.81779	5.32761e-05	2.92893
		0.025	1.28190e-07	4.00638	6.62167e-06	3.00822
1	1	0.2	0.05748	(1)	0.24858	(1)
		0.1	0.01416	2.02110	0.12329	1.01163
		0.05	0.00390	1.86000	0.06290	0.97093
		0.025	0.00096	2.02019	0.03076	1.03186
2	2	0.2	0.00015	(3)	0.00395	(2)
		0.1	1.85841e-05	3.04004	0.00097	2.01542
		0.05	2.30884e-06	3.00883	0.00026	1.90815
		0.025	2.77587e-07	3.5615	6.16184e-05	2.07937
4	3	0.2	1.87417e-05	(4)	0.00109	(3)
		0.1	1.43958e-06	3.70253	0.00014	2.88171
		0.05	8.56107e-08	4.07171	2.05907e-05	2.85533
		0.025	6.32045e-09	3.75969	2.46611e-06	3.06168
4	4	0.2	4.21651e-06	(5)	0.00011	(4)
		0.1	1.67527e-07	4.65358	8.62432e-06	3.73354
		0.05	5.10129e-09	5.03738	5.29696e-07	4.02517
		0.025	1.19894e-08	-1.23282	3.52220e-08	3.91061

[25] K. PADBERG-GEHLE, S. REUTHER, S. PRAETORIUS, AND A. VOIGT, *Transfer operator-based extraction of coherent features on surfaces*, in Topological methods in data analysis and visualization. IV, Math. Vis., Springer, Cham, 2017, pp. 283–297.

[26] A. REUSKEN, *Stream function formulation of surface Stokes equations*, IMA J. Numer. Anal., 40 (2020), pp. 109–139.

[27] E. SASAKI, S. TAKEHIRO, AND M. YAMADA, *Bifurcation structure of two-dimensional viscous zonal flows on a rotating sphere*, J. Fluid Mech., 774 (2015), pp. 224–244.

[28] J. SCHÖBERL, *C++11 implementation of finite elements in ngsolve*, Tech. Rep. ASC-2014-30, Institute for Analysis and Scientific Computing, Karlsplatz 13, 1040. Vienna, Austria, (2014).

[29] L. E. SCRIVEN, *Dynamics of a fluid interface equation of motion for Newtonian surface fluids*, Chemical Engineering Science, 12 (1960), pp. 98–108.

[30] J. C. SLATTERY, L. SAGIS, AND E.-S. OH, *Interfacial transport phenomena*, Springer, New York, second ed., 2007.

[31] S. W. WALKER, *Approximating the shape operator with the surface Hellan-Herrmann-Johnson element*, SIAM J. Sci. Comput., 46 (2024), pp. A1252–A1275.

APPENDIX A. PROOF OF LEMMA 2.7

We start with applying (2.3) to obtain the identity

$$\underline{D}_i \underline{D}_j^2 \phi = \underline{D}_j \underline{D}_i \underline{D}_j \phi + n_i (\mathbf{H} \nabla_\Gamma \underline{D}_j \phi)_j - n_j (\mathbf{H} \nabla_\Gamma \underline{D}_j \phi)_i \quad i, j = 1, 2, 3.$$

Furthermore, (2.3) and $\underline{D}_\Gamma^2 \phi \mathbf{n} = 0$ also yields $\mathbf{n}^\top \underline{D}_\Gamma^2 \phi = -\nabla_\Gamma \phi^\top \mathbf{H}$. Using these two identities and Lemma 2.5 then get

$$\begin{aligned} \int_S (\Delta_\Gamma^2 \phi) \psi &= - \sum_{i,j=1}^3 \int_S (\underline{D}_j \underline{D}_i \underline{D}_j \phi + n_i (\mathbf{H} \nabla_\Gamma \underline{D}_j \phi)_j - n_j (\mathbf{H} \nabla_\Gamma \underline{D}_j \phi)_i) \underline{D}_i \psi + \int_{\partial S} (\nabla_\Gamma \Delta_\Gamma \phi \cdot \boldsymbol{\mu}_S) \psi \\ &= \sum_{i,j=1}^3 \left(\int_S (\underline{D}_i \underline{D}_j \phi) (\underline{D}_j \underline{D}_i \psi) - \int_S (\underline{D}_i \underline{D}_j \phi) \underline{D}_i \psi \operatorname{tr}(\mathbf{H}) n_j - \int_{\partial S} (\underline{D}_i \underline{D}_j \phi) \underline{D}_i \psi (\boldsymbol{\mu}_S)_j \right) \\ &\quad + \sum_{i,j=1}^3 \int_S n_j (\mathbf{H} \nabla_\Gamma \underline{D}_j \phi)_i \underline{D}_i \psi + \int_{\partial S} (\nabla_\Gamma \Delta_\Gamma \phi \cdot \boldsymbol{\mu}_S) \psi \\ &= \int_S \underline{D}_\Gamma^2 \phi : \underline{D}_\Gamma^2 \psi^\top + \int_S \nabla_\Gamma \phi^\top (\operatorname{tr}(\mathbf{H}) \mathbf{H} - \mathbf{H}^2) \nabla_\Gamma \psi \\ &\quad + \int_{\partial S} (\nabla_\Gamma \Delta_\Gamma \phi \cdot \boldsymbol{\mu}_S) \psi - \int_{\partial S} \boldsymbol{\mu}_S^\top \underline{D}_\Gamma^2 \phi \nabla_\Gamma \psi. \end{aligned}$$

Continuing, we use (2.3) and the identity $\mathbf{n}^\top \underline{D}_\Gamma^2 \phi = -\nabla_\Gamma \phi^\top \mathbf{H}$ once again to obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \int_S (\Delta_\Gamma^2 \phi) \psi &= \int_S (\underline{D}_\Gamma^2 \phi : (\underline{D}_\Gamma^2 \psi + \mathbf{n} \otimes (\mathbf{H} \nabla_\Gamma \psi) - (\mathbf{H} \nabla_\Gamma \psi) \otimes \mathbf{n}) \\ &\quad + \int_S \nabla_\Gamma \phi^\top (\operatorname{tr}(\mathbf{H}) \mathbf{H} - \mathbf{H}^2) \nabla_\Gamma \psi + \int_{\partial S} (\nabla_\Gamma \Delta_\Gamma \phi \cdot \boldsymbol{\mu}_S) \psi - \int_{\partial S} \boldsymbol{\mu}_S^\top \underline{D}_\Gamma^2 \phi \nabla_\Gamma \psi \\ &= \int_S \underline{D}_\Gamma^2 \phi : \underline{D}_\Gamma^2 \psi + \int_S \mathbf{n}^\top \underline{D}_\Gamma^2 \phi \mathbf{H} \nabla_\Gamma \psi \\ &\quad + \int_S \nabla_\Gamma \phi^\top (\operatorname{tr}(\mathbf{H}) \mathbf{H} - \mathbf{H}^2) \nabla_\Gamma \psi + \int_{\partial S} (\nabla_\Gamma \Delta_\Gamma \phi \cdot \boldsymbol{\mu}_S) \psi - \int_{\partial S} \boldsymbol{\mu}_S^\top \underline{D}_\Gamma^2 \phi \nabla_\Gamma \psi \\ &= \int_S \underline{D}_\Gamma^2 \phi : \underline{D}_\Gamma^2 \psi + \int_S \nabla_\Gamma \phi^\top (\operatorname{tr}(\mathbf{H}) \mathbf{H} - 2\mathbf{H}^2) \nabla_\Gamma \psi \\ &\quad + \int_{\partial S} (\nabla_\Gamma \Delta_\Gamma \phi \cdot \boldsymbol{\mu}_S) \psi - \int_{\partial S} \boldsymbol{\mu}_S^\top \underline{D}_\Gamma^2 \phi \nabla_\Gamma \psi. \end{aligned}$$

Finally we calculate

$$\begin{aligned} \underline{D}_\Gamma^2 \phi : \underline{D}_\Gamma^2 \psi - \nabla_\Gamma^2 \phi : \nabla_\Gamma^2 \psi &= \underline{D}_\Gamma^2 \phi : \underline{D}_\Gamma^2 \psi - (\mathbf{P} \underline{D}_\Gamma^2 \phi) : (\mathbf{P} \underline{D}_\Gamma^2 \psi) \\ &= ((\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{P}) \underline{D}_\Gamma^2 \phi) : \underline{D}_\Gamma^2 \psi \\ &= (\mathbf{n}^\top \underline{D}_\Gamma^2 \phi) \cdot (\mathbf{n}^\top \underline{D}_\Gamma^2 \psi) = \nabla_\Gamma \phi^\top \mathbf{H}^2 \nabla_\Gamma \psi. \end{aligned}$$

and use the identity $\operatorname{tr}(\mathbf{H}) \mathbf{H} - \mathbf{H}^2 = K \mathbf{P}$ [19], to obtain the desired result.

APPENDIX B. PROOF OF PROPOSITION 4.2

The proof of (4.4) relies on a discrete Korn-type inequality for surface BDM spaces, which we now define. Recall $\bar{\mathcal{T}}_h$ is the set of faces of the polyhedral approximation to Γ , and $\mathcal{T}_h = \{\mathbf{p}_{k_g}(\bar{T}) : \bar{T} \in \bar{\mathcal{T}}_h\}$. Let $\hat{T} \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ be the reference simplex with vertices $(0, 0)$, $(1, 0)$, $(0, 1)$, and for $\bar{T} \in \bar{\mathcal{T}}_h$, let $F_{\bar{T}} : \hat{T} \rightarrow \bar{T}$ be an affine diffeomorphism. We then set $F_T = \mathbf{p}_{k_g} \circ F_{\bar{T}} : \hat{T} \rightarrow T \in \mathcal{T}_h$ where $T = \mathbf{p}_{k_g}(\bar{T})$. We also set $F_{T^\ell} = \mathbf{p} \circ F_T : \hat{T} \rightarrow T^\ell \in \mathcal{T}_h^\ell$ where $T^\ell = \mathbf{p}(T)$.

For an integer $k \geq 1$, let $\mathbb{P}_k(\hat{T})$ denote the space of polynomials of degree $\leq k$ on the reference triangle. The BDM spaces defined on the polynomial-mapped surface mesh and the exact surface mesh are given

by, respectively,

$$(B.1) \quad \Sigma_h = \{\mathbf{q} \in \mathbf{H}(\operatorname{div}_{\Gamma_h}; \Gamma_h) : \mathbf{q}|_T = \mathcal{P}_{F_T} \hat{\mathbf{q}}, \exists \hat{\mathbf{q}} \in [\mathbb{P}_{k-1}(\hat{T})]^2 \forall T \in \mathcal{T}_h\},$$

$$(B.2) \quad \Sigma_h^\ell = \{\mathbf{q} \in \mathbf{H}(\operatorname{div}_\Gamma; \Gamma) : \mathbf{q}|_T = \mathcal{P}_{F_{T^\ell}} \hat{\mathbf{q}}, \exists \hat{\mathbf{q}} \in [\mathbb{P}_{k-1}(\hat{T})]^2 \forall T \in \mathcal{T}_h\},$$

where

$$\mathcal{P}_{F_T} \hat{\mathbf{q}} = \frac{DF_T}{\sqrt{\det(DF_T^\top DF_T)}} \hat{\mathbf{q}}, \quad \mathcal{P}_{F_{T^\ell}} \hat{\mathbf{q}} = \frac{DF_{T^\ell}}{\sqrt{\det(DF_{T^\ell}^\top DF_{T^\ell})}} \hat{\mathbf{q}}$$

are the Piola transform of $\hat{\mathbf{q}}$ with respect to F_T and F_{T^ℓ} , respectively.

B.1. Relationships between the surface Langrange and BDM spaces. We write $x = F_T(\hat{x})$ with $x \in T$ and $\hat{x} \in \hat{T}$, and to ease the presentation, we set

$$A = A(\hat{x}) = DF_T(\hat{x}).$$

Lemma B.1. *There holds $\operatorname{curl}_{\Gamma_h} \psi \in \Sigma_h$ for all $\psi \in V_h$ and $\operatorname{curl}_\Gamma \psi \in \Sigma_h^\ell$ for all $\psi \in V_h^\ell$.*

Proof. We only prove the first assertion, as the second is proved identically.

We first show that $\operatorname{curl}_{\Gamma_h} \psi|_T = \mathcal{P}_{F_T} \hat{\mathbf{q}}$ for some $\hat{\mathbf{q}} \in [\mathbb{P}_{k-1}(\hat{T})]^2 \forall T \in \mathcal{T}_h$. Fixing $T \in \mathcal{T}_h$, we calculate using the chain and product rules,

$$(B.3) \quad \nabla \hat{x} = (A^\top A)^{-1} A^\top =: C$$

Next, let $\psi \in V_h$ and let $\hat{\psi} \in \mathbb{P}_k(\hat{T})$ be related via $\psi|_T(x) = \hat{\psi}(\hat{x})$ with $x = F_T(\hat{x})$. The outward normal of Γ_h restricted to T is $\mathbf{n}_T = \mathbf{t}_2 \times \mathbf{t}_1 / |\mathbf{t}_2 \times \mathbf{t}_1|$, where we assume that F_T is the bijection such that \mathbf{t}_j is the j th column of A . We also set

$$S = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

We then have by the chain rule and (B.3),

$$(\operatorname{curl}_{\Gamma_h} \psi)(x) = \mathbf{n}_T^\times \nabla \psi(x) = \mathbf{n}_T^\times C^\top \hat{\nabla} \hat{\psi}(\hat{x}) = \mathbf{n}_T^\times C^\top S^{-1} \widehat{\operatorname{curl}} \hat{\psi}(\hat{x}),$$

where $\widehat{\operatorname{curl}} \hat{\psi}(\hat{x}) = (\partial \hat{\psi} / \partial \hat{x}_2, -\partial \hat{\psi} / \partial \hat{x}_1)^\top$. A short calculation reveals $\mathbf{n}_T^\times C^\top S^{-1} = DF_T / |\mathbf{t}_2 \times \mathbf{t}_1| = DF_T / \sqrt{\det(DF_T^\top DF_T)}$, and so

$$(\operatorname{curl}_{\Gamma_h} \psi)(x) = \left(\frac{DF_T}{\sqrt{\det(DF_T^\top DF_T)}} \widehat{\operatorname{curl}} \hat{\psi} \right) (\hat{x}) = \mathcal{P}_{F_T} \widehat{\operatorname{curl}} \hat{\psi}.$$

Thus, $\operatorname{curl}_{\Gamma_h} \psi|_T = \mathcal{P}_{F_T} \hat{\mathbf{q}}$ for some $\hat{\mathbf{q}} \in [\mathbb{P}_{k-1}]^2$.

It remains to show $\operatorname{curl}_{\Gamma_h} \psi \in \mathbf{H}(\operatorname{div}_h; \Gamma_h)$, i.e., $\operatorname{curl}_{\Gamma_h} \psi$ has co-normal continuity. To this end, let $e = \partial T_+ \cap \partial T_- \in \mathcal{E}_h$ be an edge with $T_\pm \in \mathcal{T}_h$. Let $\boldsymbol{\mu}_\pm$ and \mathbf{n}_\pm be the outward unit co-normal and normal, respectively, of ∂T_\pm restricted to e , and set $\psi_\pm = \psi|_{T_\pm}$. We also let $\mathbf{t}_\pm = \boldsymbol{\mu}_\pm \times \mathbf{n}_\pm$ to be the vector tangent to e and note that $\mathbf{t}_+ = -\mathbf{t}_-$. On e we have

$$\begin{aligned} (\operatorname{curl}_{\Gamma_h} \psi_+) \cdot \boldsymbol{\mu}_+ + (\operatorname{curl}_{\Gamma_h} \psi_-) \cdot \boldsymbol{\mu}_- &= (\mathbf{n}_+ \times \nabla_{\Gamma_h} \psi_+) \cdot \boldsymbol{\mu}_+ + (\mathbf{n}_- \times \nabla_{\Gamma_h} \psi_-) \cdot \boldsymbol{\mu}_- \\ &= (\boldsymbol{\mu}_+ \times \mathbf{n}_+) \cdot \nabla_{\Gamma_h} \psi_+ + (\boldsymbol{\mu}_- \times \mathbf{n}_-) \cdot \nabla_{\Gamma_h} \psi_- \\ &= \mathbf{t}_+ \cdot \nabla_{\Gamma_h} \psi_+ + \mathbf{t}_- \cdot \nabla_{\Gamma_h} \psi_- = 0, \end{aligned}$$

where we used the continuity of ψ in the last equality. Thus we conclude $\operatorname{curl}_{\Gamma_h} \psi \in \mathbf{H}(\operatorname{div}_{\Gamma_h}; \Gamma_h)$, and so $\operatorname{curl}_{\Gamma_h} \psi \in \Sigma_h$. \square

B.2. Proof of inequalities (4.4).

Proof. We start with the discrete Korn inequality in [20]:

$$(B.4) \quad \|\nabla_{\Gamma_h} \mathbf{q}\|_{L^2(\mathcal{T}_h)} \lesssim \|E_{\Gamma_h} \mathbf{q}\|_{L^2(\mathcal{T}_h)} + \left(\sum_{e \in \mathcal{E}_h} h^{-1} \|\llbracket \mathbf{q} \rrbracket_{L^2(e)}^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} + \|\mathbf{q}\|_{L^2(\Gamma_h)} \quad \forall \mathbf{q} \in \Sigma_h,$$

where, on $e = \partial T_+ \cap \partial T_- \in \mathcal{E}_h$, $\llbracket \mathbf{q} \rrbracket = \mathbf{q}_+ - \mathbf{q}_-$.

Using Lemma B.1, we take $\mathbf{q} = \mathbf{curl}_{\Gamma_h} \psi \in \Sigma_h$ to obtain

$$(B.5) \quad \|\nabla_{\Gamma_h} \mathbf{curl}_{\Gamma_h} \psi\|_{L^2(\mathcal{T}_h)} \lesssim \|H_{\Gamma_h}(\psi)\|_{L^2(\mathcal{T}_h)} + \left(\sum_{e \in \mathcal{E}_h} h^{-1} \|\llbracket \mathbf{curl}_{\Gamma_h} \psi \rrbracket_{L^2(e)}^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} + \|\nabla_{\Gamma_h} \psi\|_{L^2(\Gamma_h)}.$$

On $e = \partial T_+ \cap \partial T_-$, we use the fact that $\{\mathbf{t}_{T_\pm}, \boldsymbol{\mu}_{T_\pm}, \mathbf{n}_{T_\pm}\}$ is an orthonormal basis to write

$$\mathbf{curl}_{\Gamma_h} \psi_\pm = (\boldsymbol{\mu}_{T_\pm} \cdot \nabla_{\Gamma_h} \psi_\pm) \mathbf{t}_{T_\pm} - (\mathbf{t}_{T_\pm} \cdot \nabla_{\Gamma_h} \psi_\pm) \boldsymbol{\mu}_{T_\pm}.$$

Thus, using the continuity of ψ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \llbracket \mathbf{curl}_{\Gamma_h} \psi \rrbracket &= ((\boldsymbol{\mu}_{T_+} \cdot \nabla_{\Gamma_h} \psi_+) \mathbf{t}_{T_+} - (\mathbf{t}_{T_+} \cdot \nabla_{\Gamma_h} \psi_+) \boldsymbol{\mu}_{T_+}) - ((\boldsymbol{\mu}_{T_-} \cdot \nabla_{\Gamma_h} \psi_-) \mathbf{t}_{T_-} - (\mathbf{t}_{T_-} \cdot \nabla_{\Gamma_h} \psi_-) \boldsymbol{\mu}_{T_-}) \\ &= \llbracket \nabla_{\Gamma_h} \psi \rrbracket \mathbf{t}_{T_+} - (\mathbf{t}_{T_+} \cdot \nabla_{\Gamma_h} \psi_+) (\boldsymbol{\mu}_{T_+} + \boldsymbol{\mu}_{T_-}). \end{aligned}$$

It then follows from Lemma 3.1 and Proposition 4.1 that

$$\sum_{e \in \mathcal{E}_h} \|\llbracket \mathbf{curl}_{\Gamma_h} \psi \rrbracket_{L^2(e)}^2 \lesssim \sum_{e \in \mathcal{E}_h} \|\llbracket \nabla_{\Gamma_h} \psi \rrbracket_{L^2(e)}^2 + \|\nabla_{\Gamma_h} \psi\|_{L^2(\Gamma_h)}^2.$$

We apply this estimate to (B.5), obtaining

$$\|\nabla_{\Gamma_h} \mathbf{curl}_{\Gamma_h} \psi\|_{L^2(\mathcal{T}_h)} \lesssim \|H_{\Gamma_h}(\psi)\|_{L^2(\mathcal{T}_h)} + h^{-\frac{1}{2}} \left(\sum_{e \in \mathcal{E}_h} h_e^{-1} \|\llbracket \nabla_{\Gamma_h} \psi \rrbracket_{L^2(e)}^2 \right)^{1/2} + \|\nabla_{\Gamma_h} \psi\|_{L^2(\Gamma_h)} \lesssim \|\psi\|_{2,h}.$$

Finally, we use (2.6) and the identity $\mathbf{n}_h^\times \mathbf{n}_h^\times = -\mathbf{P}_h$ to get

$$|\nabla_{\Gamma_h} \mathbf{curl}_{\Gamma_h} \psi|^2 = \text{tr} \left((\mathbf{n}_h^\times \nabla_{\Gamma_h}^2 \psi)^\top (\mathbf{n}_h^\times \nabla_{\Gamma_h}^2 \psi) \right) = \text{tr} \left(-\nabla_{\Gamma_h}^2 \psi \mathbf{n}_h^\times \mathbf{n}_h^\times \nabla_{\Gamma_h}^2 \psi \right) = |\nabla_{\Gamma_h}^2 \psi|^2,$$

and so $\|\nabla_{\Gamma_h}^2 \psi\|_{L^2(\mathcal{T}_h)} \lesssim \|\psi\|_{2,h}$. Thus, the first inequality in (4.4) holds. The proof of the second inequality is nearly identical, so is omitted. \square

APPENDIX C. PROOFS FOR RESULTS IN SECTION 5

The proofs of Lemmas 5.1–5.4 require an intermediate result, which provides relationships between differential operators on Γ and Γ_h . This result is essentially found in [21, Appendix C] and follows from the chain rule. For completeness we provide the proof.

Lemma C.1. *There holds on Γ_h ,*

$$(C.1) \quad \nabla_{\Gamma_h} \psi = \mathbf{P}_h (\mathbf{P} - d\mathbf{H}) (\nabla_{\Gamma} \psi^\ell)^e,$$

$$(C.2) \quad \begin{aligned} \mathbf{curl}_{\Gamma_h} \psi &= \mathbf{n}_h^\times \mathbf{P}_h (\mathbf{P} - d\mathbf{H}) (\nabla_{\Gamma} \psi^\ell)^e \\ &= \mu_h \left(\mathbf{I} - \frac{\mathbf{n} \otimes \mathbf{n}_h}{\mathbf{n} \cdot \mathbf{n}_h} \right) (\mathbf{I} - d\mathbf{H})^{-1} (\mathbf{curl}_{\Gamma} \psi^\ell)^e, \end{aligned}$$

$$(C.3) \quad \begin{aligned} \nabla_{\Gamma_h}^2 \psi &= \mathbf{P}_h \left((\nabla_{\Gamma}^2 \psi^\ell)^e - d\mathbf{H} (\nabla_{\Gamma}^2 \psi^\ell)^e - d(\nabla_{\Gamma}^2 \psi^\ell)^e \mathbf{H} + d^2 \mathbf{H} (\nabla_{\Gamma}^2 \psi^\ell)^e \mathbf{H} \right) \mathbf{P}_h \\ &\quad - (\mathbf{P}_h \mathbf{n}) \otimes (\mathbf{H} (\nabla_{\Gamma} \psi^\ell)^e) \mathbf{P}_h - (\mathbf{P}_h \mathbf{H} (\nabla_{\Gamma} \psi^\ell)^e) \otimes (\mathbf{P}_h \mathbf{n}) \\ &\quad - d\mathbf{P}_h \nabla \mathbf{H} (\nabla_{\Gamma} \psi^\ell)^e \mathbf{P}_h, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$(C.4) \quad (\nabla \mathbf{H} \mathbf{v})_{i,j} = \sum_{k=1}^3 \frac{\partial H_{i,k}}{\partial x_j} v_k.$$

Proof. Identity (C.1): Since ψ^ℓ is constant along normals, we have $\psi^\ell = \psi^\ell \circ \mathbf{p}$. Likewise, we have $\mathbf{n} = \mathbf{n} \circ \mathbf{p}$. Thus, taking the gradient of $\psi^\ell = \psi^\ell \circ \mathbf{p}$ yields

$$(C.5) \quad \begin{aligned} \nabla \psi^\ell &= (\mathbf{I} - d\mathbf{H}) \mathbf{P} \nabla \psi^\ell \circ \mathbf{p} \\ &= (\mathbf{I} - d\mathbf{H}) (\mathbf{P} \circ \mathbf{p}) (\nabla \psi^\ell \circ \mathbf{p}) \\ &= (\mathbf{I} - d\mathbf{H}) (\nabla_\Gamma \psi^\ell)^e. \end{aligned}$$

Consequently,

$$\nabla_{\Gamma_h} \psi = \mathbf{P}_h \nabla \psi^\ell = \mathbf{P}_h (\mathbf{I} - d\mathbf{H}) (\nabla_\Gamma \psi^\ell)^e,$$

or

$$(\nabla_{\Gamma_h} \psi)^\ell = \mathbf{P}_h (\mathbf{I} - d\mathbf{H}) \nabla_\Gamma \psi^\ell.$$

Identities (C.2): The first identity in (C.2) follows from (C.1) and the definition of the surface curl operator. To prove the second identity in (C.2), we recall

$$\mathbf{curl}_{\Gamma_h} \psi = \mathbf{n}_h^\times \mathbf{P}_h (\mathbf{P} - d\mathbf{H}) (\nabla_\Gamma \psi^\ell)^e \implies (\mathbf{curl}_{\Gamma_h} \psi)^\ell = \mathbf{n}_h^\times \mathbf{P}_h (\mathbf{P} - d\mathbf{H}) \nabla_\Gamma \psi^\ell.$$

Note that

$$-\mathbf{n}^\times \mathbf{curl}_\Gamma \psi^\ell = -\mathbf{n}^\times \mathbf{n}^\times \nabla_\Gamma \psi^\ell = \mathbf{P} \nabla_\Gamma \psi^\ell = \nabla_\Gamma \psi^\ell.$$

Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} (\mathbf{curl}_{\Gamma_h} \psi)^\ell &= -\mathbf{n}_h^\times \mathbf{P}_h (\mathbf{P} - d\mathbf{H}) \mathbf{n}^\times \mathbf{curl}_\Gamma \psi^\ell \\ &= (-\mathbf{n}_h^\times \mathbf{n}^\times + d\mathbf{n}_h^\times \mathbf{H} \mathbf{n}^\times) \mathbf{curl}_\Gamma \psi^\ell. \end{aligned}$$

Now a direct calculation shows

$$\mathbf{H} \mathbf{n}^\times - (\mathbf{H} \mathbf{n}^\times)^\top = (\nabla \cdot \mathbf{n}) \mathbf{n}^\times = \text{tr}(\mathbf{H}) \mathbf{n}^\times.$$

Consequently by the skew-symmetry of \mathbf{n}^\times , there holds

$$\mathbf{n}_h^\times \mathbf{H} \mathbf{n}^\times = \mathbf{n}_h^\times \mathbf{n}^\times (\text{tr}(\mathbf{H}) \mathbf{I} - \mathbf{H}).$$

Thus, we have

$$\begin{aligned} (\mathbf{curl}_{\Gamma_h} \psi)^\ell &= \mathbf{n}_h^\times \mathbf{n}^\times ([d\text{tr}(\mathbf{H}) - 1] \mathbf{I} - d\mathbf{H}) \mathbf{curl}_\Gamma \psi^\ell \\ &= ((\mathbf{n} \cdot \mathbf{n}_h) \mathbf{I} - \mathbf{n} \otimes \mathbf{n}_h) ([1 - d\text{tr}(\mathbf{H})] \mathbf{I} + d\mathbf{H}) \mathbf{curl}_\Gamma \psi^\ell. \end{aligned}$$

Noting that

$$\begin{aligned} (\mathbf{I} - d\mathbf{H}) ([1 - d\text{tr}(\mathbf{H})] \mathbf{I} + d\mathbf{H}) &= \mathbf{I} - d\text{tr}(\mathbf{H}) \mathbf{I} + d^2 (\text{tr}(\mathbf{H}) \mathbf{H} - \mathbf{H}^2) \\ &= \mathbf{I} - d\text{tr}(\mathbf{H}) \mathbf{I} + d^2 K \mathbf{P}, \end{aligned}$$

and $1 - d\text{tr}(\mathbf{H}) + d^2 K = (1 - d\kappa_1)(1 - d\kappa_2)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} (\mathbf{curl}_{\Gamma_h} \psi)^\ell &= (1 - d\kappa_1)(1 - d\kappa_2) ((\mathbf{n} \cdot \mathbf{n}_h) \mathbf{I} - \mathbf{n} \otimes \mathbf{n}_h) (\mathbf{I} - d\mathbf{H})^{-1} \mathbf{curl}_\Gamma \psi^\ell \\ &= \mu_h \left(\mathbf{I} - \frac{\mathbf{n} \otimes \mathbf{n}_h}{\mathbf{n} \cdot \mathbf{n}_h} \right) (\mathbf{I} - d\mathbf{H})^{-1} \mathbf{curl}_\Gamma \psi^\ell. \end{aligned}$$

Identity (C.3): We take the derivative of

$$\frac{\partial \psi^\ell}{\partial x_i}(x) = (\nabla \mathbf{p}(x) \nabla \psi^\ell(\mathbf{p}(x)))_i = (\nabla \mathbf{p}(x)^\top \nabla \psi^\ell(\mathbf{p}(x)))_i = \sum_{k=1}^3 \frac{\partial p_k}{\partial x_i}(x) \frac{\partial \psi^\ell}{\partial x_k}(\mathbf{p}(x)),$$

and use the chain rule to compute

$$(C.6) \quad (\nabla^2 \psi^\ell)_{i,j} = ((\mathbf{I} - d\mathbf{H})\mathbf{P}(\nabla^2 \psi^\ell)^e (\mathbf{I} - d\mathbf{H})\mathbf{P})_{i,j} + \sum_{k=1}^3 (\nabla \psi^\ell)_k^e \frac{\partial^2 p_k}{\partial x_i \partial x_j}.$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial^2 p_k}{\partial x_i \partial x_j} &= -\frac{\partial n_k}{\partial x_j} n_i - n_k \frac{\partial n_j}{\partial x_i} - n_j \frac{\partial n_k}{\partial x_i} - d \frac{\partial^2 n_k}{\partial x_i \partial x_j} \\ &= -H_{j,k} n_i - n_k H_{i,j} - n_j H_{i,k} - d \frac{\partial H_{i,k}}{\partial x_j}, \end{aligned}$$

and so

$$(C.7) \quad \begin{aligned} &\sum_{k=1}^3 (\nabla \psi^\ell)_k^e \frac{\partial^2 p_k}{\partial x_i \partial x_j} \\ &= -(\mathbf{n} \otimes (\mathbf{H}(\nabla \psi^\ell)^e) + \mathbf{H}(\mathbf{n} \cdot (\nabla \psi^\ell)^e) + (\mathbf{H}(\nabla \psi^\ell)^e) \otimes \mathbf{n} + d\nabla \mathbf{H}(\nabla \psi^\ell)^e)_{i,j} \\ &= -(\mathbf{n} \otimes (\mathbf{H}(\nabla_\Gamma \psi^\ell)^e) + (\mathbf{H}(\nabla_\Gamma \psi^\ell)^e) \otimes \mathbf{n} + d\nabla \mathbf{H}(\nabla_\Gamma \psi^\ell)^e)_{i,j}, \end{aligned}$$

where we used the fact that ψ^ℓ is constant along normals in the last equality. Combining (C.6)–(C.7) and the identity $\mathbf{H}\mathbf{P} = \mathbf{P}\mathbf{H}$ yields

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla^2 \psi^\ell &= (\mathbf{I} - d\mathbf{H})\mathbf{P}(\nabla^2 \psi^\ell)^e \mathbf{P}(\mathbf{I} - d\mathbf{H}) \\ &\quad - (\mathbf{n} \otimes (\mathbf{H}(\nabla_\Gamma \psi^\ell)^e) + (\mathbf{H}(\nabla_\Gamma \psi^\ell)^e) \otimes \mathbf{n} + d\nabla \mathbf{H}(\nabla_\Gamma \psi^\ell)^e). \end{aligned}$$

Recalling $\nabla_\Gamma^2 \psi^\ell = \mathbf{P}\nabla^2 \psi^\ell \mathbf{P}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla^2 \psi^\ell &= (\nabla_\Gamma^2 \psi^\ell)^e - d\mathbf{H}(\nabla_\Gamma^2 \psi^\ell)^e - d(\nabla_\Gamma^2 \psi^\ell)^e \mathbf{H} + d^2 \mathbf{H}(\nabla_\Gamma^2 \psi^\ell)^e \mathbf{H} \\ &\quad - (\mathbf{n} \otimes (\mathbf{H}(\nabla_\Gamma \psi^\ell)^e) + (\mathbf{H}(\nabla_\Gamma \psi^\ell)^e) \otimes \mathbf{n} + d\nabla \mathbf{H}(\nabla_\Gamma \psi^\ell)^e), \end{aligned}$$

and therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla_{\Gamma_h}^2 \psi &= \mathbf{P}_h (\nabla_\Gamma^2 \psi^\ell)^e \mathbf{P}_h - d\mathbf{P}_h \mathbf{H}(\nabla_\Gamma^2 \psi^\ell)^e \mathbf{P}_h - d\mathbf{P}_h (\nabla_\Gamma^2 \psi^\ell)^e \mathbf{H} \mathbf{P}_h + d^2 \mathbf{P}_h \mathbf{H}(\nabla_\Gamma^2 \psi^\ell)^e \mathbf{H} \mathbf{P}_h \\ &\quad - ((\mathbf{P}_h \mathbf{n}) \otimes (\mathbf{H}(\nabla_\Gamma \psi^\ell)^e) \mathbf{P}_h + (\mathbf{P}_h \mathbf{H}(\nabla_\Gamma \psi^\ell)^e) \otimes (\mathbf{P}_h \mathbf{n}) + d\mathbf{P}_h \nabla \mathbf{H}(\nabla_\Gamma \psi^\ell)^e \mathbf{P}_h). \end{aligned}$$

□

To ease notation in the rest of this section, we set

$$(C.8) \quad \mathbf{M} := (\mathbf{I} - d\mathbf{H})^{-1}, \quad \mathbf{N} := \mathbf{I} - \frac{\mathbf{n} \otimes \mathbf{n}_h}{\mathbf{n} \cdot \mathbf{n}_h}, \quad \mathbf{L} := \mu_h^{-1}(\mathbf{P} - d\mathbf{H}),$$

so that the second identity in Lemma C.1 reads

$$\mathbf{curl}_{\Gamma_h} \psi = \mu_h \mathbf{N} \mathbf{M} (\mathbf{curl}_\Gamma \psi^\ell)^e \quad (\psi \in H^1(\Gamma_h)) \implies \mathbf{curl}_{\Gamma_h} \psi^e = \mu_h \mathbf{N} \mathbf{M} (\mathbf{curl}_\Gamma \psi)^e \quad (\psi \in H^1(\Gamma)),$$

and we also have

$$(\mathbf{curl}_\Gamma \psi^\ell)^e = \mathbf{L}(\mathbf{curl}_{\Gamma_h} \psi).$$

We also note that

$$(C.9) \quad |\mathbf{P} - \mathbf{L}| \lesssim h^{k_g+1}, \quad \text{and} \quad |\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{M}| \lesssim h^{k_g+1}.$$

C.1. Proof of Lemma 5.1.

Proof. We make a change of variables to obtain

$$\ell_h(\psi) - \ell(\psi^\ell) = \int_{\Gamma} \mu_h^{-1} \mathbf{f}_h^\ell \cdot (\mathbf{curl}_{\Gamma_h} \psi)^\ell - \int_{\Gamma} \mathbf{f} \cdot \mathbf{curl}_{\Gamma} \psi^\ell$$

Applying (C.2), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Gamma} \mu_h^{-1} \mathbf{f}_h^\ell \cdot (\mathbf{curl}_{\Gamma_h} \psi)^\ell &= \int_{\Gamma} \mathbf{f}_h^\ell \cdot (\mathbf{NM} \mathbf{curl}_{\Gamma} \psi^\ell) \\ &= \int_{\Gamma} (\mathbf{curl}_{\Gamma} \psi^\ell)^\top \mathbf{M} \left(\mathbf{I} - \frac{\mathbf{n}_h \otimes \mathbf{n}}{\mathbf{n} \cdot \mathbf{n}_h} \right) \mathbf{f}_h^\ell \\ &= \int_{\Gamma} (\mathbf{curl}_{\Gamma} \psi^\ell)^\top \mathbf{M} \left(\mathbf{I} - \frac{(\mathbf{P} \mathbf{n}_h) \otimes (\mathbf{P}_h \mathbf{n})}{\mathbf{n} \cdot \mathbf{n}_h} \right) \mathbf{f}_h^\ell \\ &\quad - \int_{\Gamma} (\mathbf{curl}_{\Gamma} \psi^\ell)^\top ((\mathbf{M} \mathbf{n}) \otimes (\mathbf{P}_h \mathbf{n})) \mathbf{f}_h^\ell, \end{aligned}$$

where we used $\mathbf{f}_h^\ell \cdot \mathbf{n}_h = 0$ in the last equality. Since $\mathbf{M} \mathbf{n} = \mathbf{n}$ and $(\mathbf{curl} \psi^\ell) \cdot \mathbf{n} = 0$, we conclude

$$\int_{\Gamma} \mu_h^{-1} \mathbf{f}_h^\ell \cdot (\mathbf{curl}_{\Gamma_h} \psi)^\ell = \int_{\Gamma} (\mathbf{curl}_{\Gamma} \psi^\ell)^\top \mathbf{M} \left(\mathbf{I} - \frac{(\mathbf{P} \mathbf{n}_h) \otimes (\mathbf{P}_h \mathbf{n})}{\mathbf{n} \cdot \mathbf{n}_h} \right) \mathbf{f}_h^\ell = \int_{\Gamma} \mathbf{F}_h^\ell \cdot \mathbf{curl}_{\Gamma} \psi^\ell,$$

and so

$$\ell_h(\psi) - \ell(\psi^\ell) = \int_{\Gamma} (\mathbf{F}_h^\ell - \mathbf{f}) \cdot \mathbf{curl}_{\Gamma} \psi^\ell \leq \|\mathbf{f} - \mathbf{F}_h^\ell\|_{L^2(\Gamma)} \|\psi^\ell\|_H.$$

□

C.2. Proof of Lemma 5.3.

Proof.

- *Estimate (5.3):* By (2.7),

$$\begin{aligned} (H_{\Gamma}(\psi))^e &= \frac{1}{2} (\mathbf{n}^\times (\nabla_{\Gamma}^2 \psi)^e - (\nabla_{\Gamma}^2 \psi)^e \mathbf{n}^\times), \\ H_{\Gamma_h}(\psi^e) &= \frac{1}{2} (\mathbf{n}_h^\times \nabla_{\Gamma_h}^2 \psi^e - \nabla_{\Gamma_h}^2 \psi^e \mathbf{n}_h^\times). \end{aligned}$$

Then, the triangle inequality, (3.1) and a change of integration domain (cf. (3.3)) yield

$$\begin{aligned} &\|(H_{\Gamma}(\psi))^e - H_{\Gamma_h}(\psi^e)\|_{L^2(\mathcal{T}_h)} \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2} \|\mathbf{n}^\times (\nabla_{\Gamma}^2 \psi)^e - \mathbf{n}_h^\times \nabla_{\Gamma_h}^2 \psi^e\|_{L^2(\mathcal{T}_h)} + \frac{1}{2} \|(\nabla_{\Gamma}^2 \psi)^e \mathbf{n}^\times - \nabla_{\Gamma_h}^2 \psi^e \mathbf{n}_h^\times\|_{L^2(\mathcal{T}_h)} \\ (C.10) \quad &\leq \frac{1}{2} \|(\mathbf{n}^\times - \mathbf{n}_h^\times) (\nabla_{\Gamma}^2 \psi)^e\|_{L^2(\mathcal{T}_h)} + \frac{1}{2} \|\mathbf{n}_h^\times ((\nabla_{\Gamma}^2 \psi)^e - \nabla_{\Gamma_h}^2 \psi^e)\|_{L^2(\mathcal{T}_h)} \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2} \|(\nabla_{\Gamma}^2 \psi)^e (\mathbf{n}^\times - \mathbf{n}_h^\times)\|_{L^2(\mathcal{T}_h)} + \frac{1}{2} \|((\nabla_{\Gamma}^2 \psi)^e - \nabla_{\Gamma_h}^2 \psi^e) \mathbf{n}_h^\times\|_{L^2(\mathcal{T}_h)} \\ &\lesssim h^{k_g} \|(\nabla_{\Gamma}^2 \psi)^e\|_{L^2(\mathcal{T}_h)} + \|(\nabla_{\Gamma}^2 \psi)^e - \nabla_{\Gamma_h}^2 \psi^e\|_{L^2(\mathcal{T}_h)} \\ &\lesssim h^{k_g} \|\nabla_{\Gamma}^2 \psi\|_{L^2(\mathcal{T}_h^\ell)} + \|\nabla_{\Gamma}^2 \psi - (\nabla_{\Gamma_h}^2 \psi^e)^\ell\|_{L^2(\mathcal{T}_h^\ell)}. \end{aligned}$$

Note that from (C.3),

$$\begin{aligned}
& (\nabla_{\Gamma_h}^2 \psi^e)^\ell - \nabla_{\Gamma}^2 \psi \\
&= \mathbf{P}_h \nabla_{\Gamma}^2 \psi \mathbf{P}_h - \nabla_{\Gamma}^2 \psi - \mathbf{P}_h (d\mathbf{H} \nabla_{\Gamma}^2 \psi + d\nabla_{\Gamma}^2 \psi \mathbf{H} - d^2 \mathbf{H} \nabla_{\Gamma}^2 \psi \mathbf{H}) \mathbf{P}_h \\
&\quad - (\mathbf{P}_h \mathbf{n}) \otimes (\mathbf{H} \nabla_{\Gamma} \psi) \mathbf{P}_h - (\mathbf{P}_h \mathbf{H} \nabla_{\Gamma} \psi) \otimes (\mathbf{P}_h \mathbf{n}) - d\mathbf{P}_h \nabla \mathbf{H} \nabla_{\Gamma} \psi \mathbf{P}_h \\
&= \nabla_{\Gamma}^2 \psi (\mathbf{n} - \mathbf{n}_h) \otimes \mathbf{n}_h + \mathbf{n}_h \otimes (\mathbf{n} - \mathbf{n}_h) \nabla_{\Gamma}^2 \psi + \mathbf{n}_h \otimes (\mathbf{n} - \mathbf{n}_h) \nabla_{\Gamma}^2 \psi (\mathbf{n} - \mathbf{n}_h) \otimes \mathbf{n}_h \\
&\quad - \mathbf{P}_h (d\mathbf{H} \nabla_{\Gamma}^2 \psi + d\nabla_{\Gamma}^2 \psi \mathbf{H} - d^2 \mathbf{H} \nabla_{\Gamma}^2 \psi \mathbf{H}) \mathbf{P}_h \\
&\quad - (\mathbf{P}_h \mathbf{n}) \otimes (\mathbf{H} \nabla_{\Gamma} \psi) \mathbf{P}_h - (\mathbf{P}_h \mathbf{H} \nabla_{\Gamma} \psi) \otimes (\mathbf{P}_h \mathbf{n}) - d\mathbf{P}_h \nabla \mathbf{H} \nabla_{\Gamma} \psi \mathbf{P}_h.
\end{aligned}$$

Thus, (3.1) and (3.7) imply

$$(C.11) \quad \|\nabla_{\Gamma}^2 \psi - (\nabla_{\Gamma_h}^2 \psi^e)^\ell\|_{L^2(\mathcal{T}_h^\ell)} \lesssim h^{k_g} \|\nabla_{\Gamma} \psi\|_{L^2(\mathcal{T}_h^\ell)} + h^{k_g} \|\nabla_{\Gamma}^2 \psi\|_{L^2(\mathcal{T}_h^\ell)} \lesssim h^{k_g} \|\psi\|_H,$$

and (5.3) follows from (C.10) and (C.11).

- *Estimate (5.4)*: By (C.1) and $\mathbf{P} \nabla_{\Gamma} \psi = \nabla_{\Gamma} \psi$ we have

$$\begin{aligned}
(\nabla_{\Gamma_h} \psi^e)^\ell &= \mathbf{P}_h (\mathbf{P} - d\mathbf{H}) \nabla_{\Gamma} \psi \\
&= \nabla_{\Gamma} \psi + (\mathbf{P}_h - \mathbf{P}) \nabla_{\Gamma} \psi - d\mathbf{P}_h \mathbf{H} \nabla_{\Gamma} \psi.
\end{aligned}$$

Hence, due to a change of integration domain, (3.1) and the definition of $\|\cdot\|_H$,

$$\|(\nabla_{\Gamma} \psi)^e - \nabla_{\Gamma_h} \psi^e\|_{L^2(\Gamma_h)} \lesssim \|\nabla_{\Gamma} \psi - (\nabla_{\Gamma_h} \psi^e)^\ell\|_{L^2(\Gamma)} \lesssim h^{k_g} \|\nabla_{\Gamma} \psi\|_{L^2(\Gamma)} \lesssim h^{k_g} \|\psi\|_H.$$

- *Estimate (5.5)*: For an edge $e \in \mathcal{E}_h$, we write $e = \partial T_+ \cap \partial T_-$ with $T_{\pm} \in \mathcal{T}_h$. Recalling $\nabla_{\Gamma_h} \psi^e = \mathbf{P}_h (\mathbf{P} - d\mathbf{H}) (\nabla_{\Gamma} \psi)^e$ and $\mathbf{P}_h \boldsymbol{\mu}_h = \boldsymbol{\mu}_h$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
[\nabla_{\Gamma_h} \psi^e] &= (\mathbf{P} - d\mathbf{H}) \left[((\nabla_{\Gamma} \psi)^e)^+ \cdot \boldsymbol{\mu}_{T_+} + ((\nabla_{\Gamma} \psi)^e)^- \cdot \boldsymbol{\mu}_{T_-} \right] \\
&= (\mathbf{P} - d\mathbf{H}) \left[((\nabla_{\Gamma} \psi)^+)^e \cdot \boldsymbol{\mu}_{T_+} + ((\nabla_{\Gamma} \psi)^-)^e \cdot \boldsymbol{\mu}_{T_-} \right] \\
&= (\mathbf{P} - d\mathbf{H}) ([\nabla_{\Gamma} \psi])^e + (\mathbf{P} - d\mathbf{H}) \left[((\nabla_{\Gamma} \psi)^+)^e \cdot (\boldsymbol{\mu}_{T_+} - \boldsymbol{\mu}_{T_+}^e) + ((\nabla_{\Gamma} \psi)^-)^e \cdot (\boldsymbol{\mu}_{T_-} - \boldsymbol{\mu}_{T_-}^e) \right],
\end{aligned}$$

where we used the continuity of $(\mathbf{P} - d\mathbf{H})$. We then have

$$\begin{aligned}
(C.12) \quad & \|h^{-\frac{1}{2}} ([\nabla_{\Gamma} \psi])^e - [\nabla_{\Gamma_h} \psi^e]\|_{L^2(\mathcal{E}_h)} \leq \|h^{-\frac{1}{2}} [\mathbf{I} - (\mathbf{P} - d\mathbf{H})] ([\nabla_{\Gamma} \psi])^e\|_{L^2(\mathcal{E}_h)} \\
& + \|h^{-\frac{1}{2}} (\mathbf{P} - d\mathbf{H}) [((\nabla_{\Gamma} \psi)^+)^e \cdot (\boldsymbol{\mu}_{T_+} - \boldsymbol{\mu}_{T_+}^e) + ((\nabla_{\Gamma} \psi)^-)^e \cdot (\boldsymbol{\mu}_{T_-} - \boldsymbol{\mu}_{T_-}^e)]\|_{L^2(\mathcal{E}_h)} \\
& =: I_1 + I_2.
\end{aligned}$$

To bound I_1 , we use $\mathbf{P}([\nabla_{\Gamma} \psi])^e = ([\nabla_{\Gamma} \psi])^e$ and (3.1) to obtain

$$(C.13) \quad I_1 \lesssim h^{k_g+1} \|h^{-\frac{1}{2}} ([\nabla_{\Gamma} \psi])^e\|_{L^2(\mathcal{E}_h)} \lesssim h^{k_g+1} \|\psi\|_H.$$

Next we write

$$\begin{aligned}
(\mathbf{P} - d\mathbf{H}) ((\nabla_{\Gamma} \psi)^\pm)^e \cdot (\boldsymbol{\mu}_{T_\pm} - \boldsymbol{\mu}_{T_\pm}^e) &= ((\nabla_{\Gamma} \psi)^\pm)^e \cdot (\mathbf{P} \boldsymbol{\mu}_{T_\pm} - \boldsymbol{\mu}_{T_\pm}^e) \\
&\quad - d\mathbf{H} ((\nabla_{\Gamma} \psi)^\pm)^e \cdot (\boldsymbol{\mu}_{T_\pm} - \boldsymbol{\mu}_{T_\pm}^e),
\end{aligned}$$

and apply (3.9) and (3.1):

$$\|h^{-\frac{1}{2}} (\mathbf{P} - d\mathbf{H}) ((\nabla_{\Gamma} \psi)^\pm)^e \cdot (\boldsymbol{\mu}_{T_\pm} - \boldsymbol{\mu}_{T_\pm}^e)\|_{L^2(\mathcal{E}_h)} \lesssim h^{k_g+1} \|h^{-\frac{1}{2}} ((\nabla_{\Gamma} \psi)^\pm)^e\|_{L^2(\mathcal{E}_h)}.$$

It then follows from a trace inequality and the definition of $\|\cdot\|_H$ that

$$(C.14) \quad I_2 \lesssim h^{k_g} \|\psi\|_H.$$

The desired estimate (5.5) now follows from (C.12)–(C.14).

- *Estimate (5.6)*: It is sufficient to show that

$$(C.15) \quad h \sum_{T \in \mathcal{T}_h} \|(\mathbf{t}^\top H_\Gamma(\psi)\boldsymbol{\mu})^e - \mathbf{t}_h^\top H_{\Gamma_h}(\psi^e)\boldsymbol{\mu}_h\|_{L^2(\partial T)}^2 \lesssim h^{2k_g} \|\psi\|_H^2$$

By similar arguments as in the proof of (5.3), (3.10), and (3.11), we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \|(\mathbf{t}^\top H_\Gamma(\psi)\boldsymbol{\mu})^e - \mathbf{t}_h^\top H_{\Gamma_h}(\psi^e)\boldsymbol{\mu}_h\|_{L^2(\partial T)}^2 \\ & \leq \|(\mathbf{t}^e - \mathbf{t}_h)^\top (H_\Gamma(\psi))^e \boldsymbol{\mu}^e\|_{L^2(\partial T)}^2 + \|\mathbf{t}_h^\top ((H_\Gamma(\psi))^e - H_{\Gamma_h}(\psi^e)) \boldsymbol{\mu}^e\|_{L^2(\partial T)}^2 \\ & \quad + \|\mathbf{t}_h^\top H_{\Gamma_h}(\psi^e)(\boldsymbol{\mu}^e - \boldsymbol{\mu}_h)\|_{L^2(\partial T)}^2 \\ & \lesssim h^{2k_g} \|(H_\Gamma(\psi))^e\|_{L^2(\partial T)}^2 + \|(H_\Gamma(\psi))^e - H_{\Gamma_h}(\psi^e)\|_{L^2(\partial T)}^2 + h^{2k_g} \|H_{\Gamma_h}(\psi^e)\|_{L^2(\partial T)}^2 \\ & \lesssim h^{2k_g} (|\psi|_{H^2(\partial T^\ell)}^2 + |\psi|_{H^1(\partial T^\ell)}^2). \end{aligned}$$

Summing over $T \in \mathcal{T}_h$ and applying Proposition 4.1 yields

$$h \sum_{T \in \mathcal{T}_h} \|(\mathbf{t}^\top H_\Gamma(\psi)\boldsymbol{\mu})^e - \mathbf{t}_h^\top H_{\Gamma_h}(\psi^e)\boldsymbol{\mu}_h\|_{L^2(\partial T)}^2 \lesssim h^{2k_g} \sum_{T^\ell \in \mathcal{T}_h^\ell} \left(|\psi|_{H^2(T^\ell)}^2 + h^2 |\psi|_{H^3(T^\ell)}^2 \right) \lesssim h^{2k_g} \|\psi\|_H^2.$$

Thus, (C.15) holds and therefore (5.6) holds. \square

C.3. Proof of Lemma 5.4.

Proof.

- *Estimate (5.7)*: To prove the estimate, we first write the left hand side of (5.7) in terms of $\mathbf{u} := \mathbf{curl}_\Gamma \psi$, $\mathbf{v} := \mathbf{curl}_\Gamma \chi$ and $\mathbf{w} := \mathbf{curl}_{\Gamma_h} \chi^e$. We then prove estimates in terms of \mathbf{u} , \mathbf{v} , \mathbf{w} , and finally transform the result back to the right hand side of (5.7). We divide the proof into seven steps.

Step (i), rewrite the left hand side of (5.7): By Definition 2.1,

$$(C.16) \quad \begin{aligned} (H_\Gamma(\psi))^e &= (E_\Gamma(\mathbf{curl}_\Gamma \psi))^e = (E_\Gamma(\mathbf{u}))^e, \\ (H_\Gamma(\chi))^e &= (E_\Gamma(\mathbf{curl}_\Gamma \chi))^e = (E_\Gamma(\mathbf{v}))^e, \\ H_{\Gamma_h}(\chi^e) &= E_{\Gamma_h}(\mathbf{curl}_{\Gamma_h} \chi^e) = E_{\Gamma_h}(\mathbf{w}). \end{aligned}$$

Due to (C.16), the definition of $E_\Gamma(\cdot)$ and the symmetry of $(E_\Gamma(\mathbf{u}))^e$,

$$(C.17) \quad \begin{aligned} ((H_\Gamma(\psi))^e, (H_\Gamma(\chi))^e - H_{\Gamma_h}(\chi^e))_{\mathcal{T}_h} &= ((E_\Gamma(\mathbf{u}))^e, (E_\Gamma(\mathbf{v}))^e - E_{\Gamma_h}(\mathbf{w}))_{\mathcal{T}_h} \\ &= ((E_\Gamma(\mathbf{u}))^e, (\nabla_\Gamma \mathbf{v})^e - \nabla_{\Gamma_h} \mathbf{w})_{\mathcal{T}_h}. \end{aligned}$$

Step (ii), expand $(\nabla_\Gamma \mathbf{v})^e$ in (C.17): Recall the matrix notations in (C.8). By (C.2), there holds

$$\mathbf{w} = \mu_h \mathbf{N} \mathbf{M} \mathbf{v}^e,$$

which implies $\mathbf{v} = (\mathbf{L} \mathbf{w}) \circ \mathbf{p}^{-1}$. Consequently,

$$(C.18) \quad \begin{aligned} \nabla_\Gamma \mathbf{v} &= \mathbf{P} \nabla \mathbf{v} \mathbf{P} \\ &= (\mathbf{L} \circ \mathbf{p}^{-1}) \nabla (\mathbf{w} \circ \mathbf{p}^{-1}) \mathbf{P} + \mathbf{P} \nabla (\mathbf{L} \circ \mathbf{p}^{-1}) (\mathbf{w} \circ \mathbf{p}^{-1}) \mathbf{P}, \end{aligned}$$

where we use $\mathbf{P} \mathbf{L} = \mathbf{L}$. By the chain rule (cf. [12, (A.1) and (A.5)]), we have

$$(C.19) \quad \nabla (\mathbf{w} \circ \mathbf{p}^{-1}) \mathbf{P} = (\nabla \mathbf{w} \mathbf{P}_h \mathbf{N} \mathbf{M}) \circ \mathbf{p}^{-1},$$

$$(C.20) \quad \mathbf{P} \nabla (\mathbf{L} \circ \mathbf{p}^{-1}) (\mathbf{w} \circ \mathbf{p}^{-1}) \mathbf{P} = \mathbf{P} (\nabla \mathbf{L} \mathbf{w} \mathbf{P}_h \mathbf{N} \mathbf{M}) \circ \mathbf{p}^{-1}.$$

It follows from (C.18)–(C.20) that

$$(C.21) \quad \begin{aligned} (\nabla_\Gamma \mathbf{v})^e &= \mathbf{L} \nabla \mathbf{w} \mathbf{P}_h \mathbf{N} \mathbf{M} + \mathbf{P} \nabla \mathbf{L} \mathbf{w} \mathbf{P}_h \mathbf{N} \mathbf{M} \\ &= (\mathbf{L} \nabla \mathbf{w} + \mathbf{P} \nabla \mathbf{L} \mathbf{w}) \mathbf{P}_h \mathbf{N} \mathbf{M}. \end{aligned}$$

In addition, using $\mathbf{w} = \mathbf{P}_h \mathbf{w}$,

$$\nabla \mathbf{w} = \nabla (\mathbf{P}_h \mathbf{w}) = \mathbf{P}_h \nabla \mathbf{w} + \nabla \mathbf{P}_h \mathbf{w}.$$

By setting $\mathbf{H}_h = \nabla \mathbf{n}_h$ (where the gradient is applied piecewise with respect to \mathcal{T}_h), a short calculation shows $\nabla \mathbf{P}_h \mathbf{w} = -\mathbf{n}_h \otimes (\mathbf{H}_h \mathbf{w})$, and therefore

$$(C.22) \quad \nabla \mathbf{w} = \mathbf{P}_h \nabla \mathbf{w} - \mathbf{n}_h \otimes (\mathbf{H}_h \mathbf{w}).$$

Due to (C.21) and (C.22) and the identity $\mathbf{P}_h \mathbf{N} = \mathbf{N}$,

$$(C.23) \quad \begin{aligned} (\nabla_{\Gamma} \mathbf{v})^e &= (\mathbf{L} \mathbf{P}_h \nabla \mathbf{w} - (\mathbf{L} \mathbf{n}_h) \otimes (\mathbf{H}_h \mathbf{w}) + \mathbf{P} \nabla \mathbf{L} \mathbf{w}) \mathbf{P}_h \mathbf{N} \mathbf{M} \\ &= (\mathbf{L} \nabla_{\Gamma_h} \mathbf{w} - (\mathbf{L} \mathbf{n}_h) \otimes (\mathbf{H}_h \mathbf{w}) + \mathbf{P} \nabla \mathbf{L} \mathbf{w}) \mathbf{P}_h \mathbf{N} \mathbf{M} \\ &= \nabla_{\Gamma_h} \mathbf{w} + [\mathbf{L} - \mathbf{P}_h] \nabla_{\Gamma_h} \mathbf{w} \mathbf{N} \mathbf{M} + \nabla_{\Gamma_h} \mathbf{w} [\mathbf{N} \mathbf{M} - \mathbf{P}_h] \\ &\quad - (\mathbf{L} \mathbf{n}_h) \otimes (\mathbf{H}_h \mathbf{w}) \mathbf{N} \mathbf{M} + \mathbf{P} \nabla \mathbf{L} \mathbf{w} \mathbf{P}_h \mathbf{N} \mathbf{M}. \end{aligned}$$

We plug (C.23) into (C.17) to obtain

$$(C.24) \quad \begin{aligned} &((H_{\Gamma}(\psi))^e, (H_{\Gamma}(\chi))^e - H_{\Gamma_h}(\chi^e))_{\mathcal{T}_h} \\ &= ((E_{\Gamma}(\mathbf{u}))^e, [\mathbf{L} - \mathbf{P}_h] \nabla_{\Gamma_h} \mathbf{w} \mathbf{N} \mathbf{M})_{\mathcal{T}_h} + ((E_{\Gamma}(\mathbf{u}))^e, \nabla_{\Gamma_h} \mathbf{w} [\mathbf{N} \mathbf{M} - \mathbf{P}_h])_{\mathcal{T}_h} \\ &\quad - ((E_{\Gamma}(\mathbf{u}))^e, (\mathbf{L} \mathbf{n}_h) \otimes (\mathbf{H}_h \mathbf{w}) \mathbf{N} \mathbf{M})_{\mathcal{T}_h} + ((E_{\Gamma}(\mathbf{u}))^e, \mathbf{P} \nabla \mathbf{L} \mathbf{w} \mathbf{P}_h \mathbf{N} \mathbf{M})_{\mathcal{T}_h} \\ &=: I_1 + I_2 + I_3 + I_4. \end{aligned}$$

We now bound I_j separately for each $j \in \{1, 2, 3, 4\}$.

Step (iii), estimate of I_1 : Note that $(E_{\Gamma}(\mathbf{u}))^e (\mathbf{P} - \mathbf{I}) = 0$. Thus, by Holder's inequality, (3.1) and (3.3),

$$(C.25) \quad \begin{aligned} I_1 &= ((E_{\Gamma}(\mathbf{u}))^e, [\mathbf{L} - \mathbf{P}_h] \nabla_{\Gamma_h} \mathbf{w} \mathbf{N} \mathbf{M})_{\mathcal{T}_h} \\ &= ((E_{\Gamma}(\mathbf{u}))^e, (\mu_h^{-1} (\mathbf{P} - \mathbf{P}_h) - d\mu_h^{-1} \mathbf{H} + \mu_h^{-1} (1 - \mu_h) \mathbf{P}_h) \nabla_{\Gamma_h} \mathbf{w} \mathbf{N} \mathbf{M})_{\mathcal{T}_h} \\ &= ((E_{\Gamma}(\mathbf{u}))^e, \mu_h^{-1} (\mathbf{P} - \mathbf{I}) \nabla_{\Gamma_h} \mathbf{w} \mathbf{N} \mathbf{M})_{\mathcal{T}_h} \\ &\quad - ((E_{\Gamma}(\mathbf{u}))^e, d\mu_h^{-1} \mathbf{H} \nabla_{\Gamma_h} \mathbf{w} \mathbf{N} \mathbf{M})_{\mathcal{T}_h} + ((E_{\Gamma}(\mathbf{u}))^e, \mu_h^{-1} (1 - \mu_h) \nabla_{\Gamma_h} \mathbf{w} \mathbf{N} \mathbf{M})_{\mathcal{T}_h} \\ &\lesssim h^{k_g+1} \|(E_{\Gamma}(\mathbf{u}))^e\|_{L^2(\Gamma_h)} \|\mathbf{w}\|_{H^1(\Gamma_h)}. \end{aligned}$$

Step (iv), estimate of I_2 : Writing $\mathbf{N} - \mathbf{P}_h = -(\mathbf{n} \cdot \mathbf{n}_h)^{-1} (\mathbf{P}_h \mathbf{n}) \otimes \mathbf{n}_h$, and applying (C.9) and (3.1), we obtain

$$(C.26) \quad \begin{aligned} I_2 &= ((E_{\Gamma}(\mathbf{u}))^e, \nabla_{\Gamma_h} \mathbf{w} [\mathbf{N} \mathbf{M} - \mathbf{P}_h])_{\mathcal{T}_h} \\ &\lesssim ((E_{\Gamma}(\mathbf{u}))^e, \nabla_{\Gamma_h} \mathbf{w} [\mathbf{N} - \mathbf{P}_h])_{\mathcal{T}_h} + h^{k_g+1} \|(E_{\Gamma}(\mathbf{u}))^e\|_{L^2(\Gamma_h)} \|\mathbf{w}\|_{H^1(\Gamma_h)} \\ &= - \left((E_{\Gamma}(\mathbf{u}))^e, \frac{1}{\mathbf{n} \cdot \mathbf{n}_h} (\nabla_{\Gamma_h} \mathbf{w} \mathbf{P}_h \mathbf{n}) \otimes \mathbf{n}_h \right)_{\mathcal{T}_h} + h^{k_g+1} \|(E_{\Gamma}(\mathbf{u}))^e\|_{L^2(\Gamma_h)} \|\mathbf{w}\|_{H^1(\Gamma_h)} \\ &= \left((E_{\Gamma}(\mathbf{u}))^e (\mathbf{n} - \mathbf{n}_h), \frac{1}{\mathbf{n} \cdot \mathbf{n}_h} \nabla_{\Gamma_h} \mathbf{w} \mathbf{P}_h (\mathbf{n} - \mathbf{n}_h) \right)_{\mathcal{T}_h} \\ &\quad + h^{k_g+1} \|(E_{\Gamma}(\mathbf{u}))^e\|_{L^2(\Gamma_h)} \|\mathbf{w}\|_{H^1(\Gamma_h)} \\ &\lesssim h^{k_g+1} \|(E_{\Gamma}(\mathbf{u}))^e\|_{L^2(\Gamma_h)} \|\mathbf{w}\|_{H^1(\Gamma_h)}. \end{aligned}$$

Step (v), estimate of I_3 : By the Remark after [21, Lemma 3.2], for $\chi \in [W_1^1(\Gamma_h)]^3$, there holds

$$(C.27) \quad |(\chi, \mathbf{P}_h \mathbf{n})_{\mathcal{T}_h}| \lesssim h^{k_g+1} \|\chi\|_{W_1^1(\Gamma_h)}.$$

Because $(\mathbf{P} - \mathbf{P}_h)(\mathbf{n} - \mathbf{n}_h) = -\mathbf{P} \mathbf{n}_h - \mathbf{P}_h \mathbf{n}$, there also holds

$$(C.28) \quad |(\chi, \mathbf{P} \mathbf{n}_h)_{\mathcal{T}_h}| = |(\chi, \mathbf{P}_h \mathbf{n})_{\mathcal{T}_h} + (\chi, (\mathbf{P} - \mathbf{P}_h)(\mathbf{n} - \mathbf{n}_h))_{\mathcal{T}_h}| \lesssim h^{k_g+1} \|\chi\|_{W_1^1(\Gamma_h)}.$$

We then apply (3.1) and (C.28) to obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
 (C.29) \quad I_3 &= -((E_\Gamma(\mathbf{u}))^e, (\mathbf{L}\mathbf{n}_h) \otimes (\mathbf{H}_h\mathbf{w})\mathbf{NM})_{\mathcal{T}_h} \\
 &= -((E_\Gamma(\mathbf{u}))^e, \mu_h^{-1}(\mathbf{P}_h\mathbf{n}_h) \otimes (\mathbf{H}_h\mathbf{w})\mathbf{NM})_{\mathcal{T}_h} \\
 &\quad + ((E_\Gamma(\mathbf{u}))^e, d\mu_h^{-1}(\mathbf{H}\mathbf{n}_h) \otimes (\mathbf{H}_h\mathbf{w})\mathbf{NM})_{\mathcal{T}_h} \\
 &= -(\mu_h^{-1}(E_\Gamma(\mathbf{u}))^e \mathbf{MN}^\top \mathbf{H}_h\mathbf{w}, \mathbf{P}_h\mathbf{n}_h)_{\mathcal{T}_h} \\
 &\quad + ((E_\Gamma(\mathbf{u}))^e, d\mu_h^{-1}(\mathbf{H}\mathbf{n}_h) \otimes (\mathbf{H}_h\mathbf{w})\mathbf{NM})_{\mathcal{T}_h} \\
 &\lesssim h^{k_g+1} \|(E_\Gamma(\mathbf{u}))^e\|_{H^1(\Gamma_h)} \|\mathbf{w}\|_{H^1(\Gamma_h)}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Step (vi), estimate of I_4 : Using [12, (A.6)],

$$\nabla \mathbf{L}\mathbf{w} = -\mu_h^{-1}((\mathbf{L}\mathbf{w}) \otimes \nabla \mu_h + \mathbf{n} \otimes (\mathbf{H}\mathbf{w}) + (\mathbf{H}\mathbf{w}) \otimes \mathbf{n} + (\mathbf{n} \cdot \mathbf{w})\mathbf{H} + d\nabla \mathbf{H}\mathbf{w}),$$

which implies

$$\begin{aligned}
 (C.30) \quad \mathbf{P}\nabla \mathbf{L}\mathbf{w}\mathbf{P}_h &= -\mu_h^{-1}((\mathbf{L}\mathbf{w}) \otimes \nabla \mu_h \mathbf{P}_h + (\mathbf{H}\mathbf{w}) \otimes (\mathbf{P}_h\mathbf{n}) + (\mathbf{w} \cdot (\mathbf{P}_h\mathbf{n}))\mathbf{H}\mathbf{P}_h + d\mathbf{P}\nabla \mathbf{H}\mathbf{w}\mathbf{P}_h),
 \end{aligned}$$

where we used $\mathbf{P}\mathbf{L} = \mathbf{L}$, $\mathbf{P}\mathbf{n} = 0$, $\mathbf{P}\mathbf{H} = \mathbf{H}$ and $\mathbf{w} = \mathbf{P}_h\mathbf{w}$. Due to (C.30), (3.1), the symmetry of $(E_\Gamma(\mathbf{u}))^e$ and by (C.27), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
 (C.31) \quad |I_4| &= \left| ((E_\Gamma(\mathbf{u}))^e, \mathbf{P}\nabla \mathbf{L}\mathbf{w}\mathbf{P}_h\mathbf{NM})_{\mathcal{T}_h} \right| \\
 &\leq \left| (\mu_h^{-1}\mathbf{P}_h(E_\Gamma(\mathbf{u}))^e \mathbf{L}\mathbf{w}, \nabla \mu_h)_{\mathcal{T}_h} \right| + \left| (\mu_h^{-1}(E_\Gamma(\mathbf{u}))^e \mathbf{H}\mathbf{w}, \mathbf{P}_h\mathbf{n})_{\mathcal{T}_h} \right| \\
 &\quad + \left| (\mu_h^{-1}(E_\Gamma(\mathbf{u}))^e : (\mathbf{H}\mathbf{P}_h)\mathbf{w}, \mathbf{P}_h\mathbf{n})_{\mathcal{T}_h} \right| + \left| (\mu_h^{-1}(E_\Gamma(\mathbf{u}))^e, d\mathbf{P}\nabla \mathbf{H}\mathbf{w}\mathbf{P}_h)_{\mathcal{T}_h} \right| \\
 &\lesssim \left| (\mu_h^{-1}\mathbf{P}_h(E_\Gamma(\mathbf{u}))^e \mathbf{L}\mathbf{w}, \nabla \mu_h)_{\mathcal{T}_h} \right| + h^{k_g+1} \|(E_\Gamma(\mathbf{u}))^e\|_{H^1(\Gamma_h)} \|\mathbf{w}\|_{H^1(\Gamma_h)}.
 \end{aligned}$$

We now estimate the remaining term in the right hand side of (C.31). Applying the product rule and Jacobi's formula (cf. [12, p.20]), we calculate

$$\nabla \mu_h = \mathbf{H}\mathbf{n}_h + \mathbf{H}_h\mathbf{n} - \text{tr}(\mathbf{H})\mathbf{n} + \mathcal{O}(h^{k_g+1}).$$

Therefore by (C.27),

$$\begin{aligned}
 (C.32) \quad &\left| (\mu_h^{-1}\mathbf{P}_h(E_\Gamma(\mathbf{u}))^e \mathbf{L}\mathbf{w}, \nabla \mu_h)_{\mathcal{T}_h} \right| \\
 &\lesssim \left| ((E_\Gamma(\mathbf{u}))^e \mathbf{L}\mathbf{w}, \mathbf{P}_h(\mathbf{H}\mathbf{n}_h + \mathbf{H}_h\mathbf{n}))_{\mathcal{T}_h} \right| \\
 &\quad + \left| (\text{tr}(\mathbf{H})(E_\Gamma(\mathbf{u}))^e \mathbf{L}\mathbf{w}, \mathbf{P}_h\mathbf{n})_{\mathcal{T}_h} \right| + h^{k_g+1} \|(E_\Gamma(\mathbf{u}))^e\|_{L^2(\Gamma_h)} \|\mathbf{w}\|_{L^2(\Gamma_h)} \\
 &\lesssim \left| ((E_\Gamma(\mathbf{u}))^e \mathbf{L}\mathbf{w}, \mathbf{P}_h(\mathbf{H}\mathbf{n}_h + \mathbf{H}_h\mathbf{n}))_{\mathcal{T}_h} \right| + h^{k_g+1} \|(E_\Gamma(\mathbf{u}))^e\|_{H^1(\Gamma_h)} \|\mathbf{w}\|_{H^1(\Gamma_h)}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Note that $\mathbf{H}\mathbf{n}_h = \mathbf{H}\mathbf{P}_h\mathbf{n}_h$, and so by (C.28),

$$\begin{aligned}
 (C.33) \quad &\left| ((E_\Gamma(\mathbf{u}))^e \mathbf{L}\mathbf{w}, \mathbf{P}_h\mathbf{H}\mathbf{n}_h)_{\Gamma_h} \right| = \left| (\mathbf{H}\mathbf{P}_h(E_\Gamma(\mathbf{u}))^e \mathbf{L}\mathbf{w}, \mathbf{P}_h\mathbf{n}_h)_{\Gamma_h} \right| \\
 &\lesssim h^{k_g+1} \|(E_\Gamma(\mathbf{u}))^e\|_{H^1(\Gamma_h)} \|\mathbf{w}\|_{H^1(\Gamma_h)}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Likewise, $\mathbf{H}_h\mathbf{n} = \mathbf{H}_h\mathbf{P}_h\mathbf{n}$ and (C.27) imply

$$\begin{aligned}
 (C.34) \quad &\left| ((E_\Gamma(\mathbf{u}))^e \mathbf{L}\mathbf{w}, \mathbf{P}_h\mathbf{H}_h\mathbf{n})_{\mathcal{T}_h} \right| = \left| (\mathbf{H}_h\mathbf{P}_h(E_\Gamma(\mathbf{u}))^e \mathbf{L}\mathbf{w}, \mathbf{P}_h\mathbf{n})_{\mathcal{T}_h} \right| \\
 &\lesssim h^{k_g+1} \|(E_\Gamma(\mathbf{u}))^e\|_{H^1(\Gamma_h)} \|\mathbf{w}\|_{H^1(\Gamma_h)}.
 \end{aligned}$$

It follows from (C.31)–(C.34) that

$$(C.35) \quad |I_4| \lesssim h^{k_g+1} \|(E_\Gamma(\mathbf{u}))^e\|_{H^1(\Gamma_h)} \|\mathbf{w}\|_{H^1(\Gamma_h)}.$$

Step (vii), combining estimates: Combining the estimates (C.24), (C.25), (C.26), (C.29) and (C.35) yields

$$(C.36) \quad ((H_\Gamma(\psi))^e, (H_\Gamma(\chi))^e - H_{\Gamma_h}(\chi^e))_{\mathcal{T}_h} \lesssim h^{k_g+1} \|(E_\Gamma(\mathbf{u}))^e\|_{H^1(\Gamma_h)} \|\mathbf{w}\|_{H^1(\Gamma_h)}.$$

By (C.16) and (3.5),

$$\begin{aligned} \|(E_\Gamma(\mathbf{u}))^e\|_{H^1(\Gamma_h)} &= \|(H_\Gamma(\psi))^e\|_{H^1(\Gamma_h)} \lesssim \|H_\Gamma(\psi)\|_{H^1(\Gamma)} \lesssim \|\psi\|_{H^3(\Gamma)}, \\ \|\mathbf{w}\|_{H^1(\Gamma_h)} &= \|\mathbf{curl}_\Gamma \chi^e\|_{H^1(\Gamma_h)} \lesssim \|\mathbf{curl}_\Gamma \chi\|_{H^1(\Gamma)} \lesssim \|\chi\|_{H^3(\Gamma)}. \end{aligned}$$

Inserting these inequalities into (C.36) completes the proof of (5.7).

- *Estimate (5.8):* By (C.1),

$$(\nabla_\Gamma \chi)^e - \nabla_{\Gamma_h} \chi^e = (\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{P}_h(\mathbf{P} - d\mathbf{H}))(\nabla_\Gamma \chi)^e = (\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{P}_h \mathbf{P} + d\mathbf{P}_h \mathbf{H})(\nabla_\Gamma \chi)^e.$$

Note that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{I} - \mathbf{P}_h \mathbf{P} &= \mathbf{I} - (\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{n}_h \otimes \mathbf{n}_h)(\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{n} \otimes \mathbf{n}) \\ &= \mathbf{n} \otimes \mathbf{n} + \mathbf{n}_h \otimes \mathbf{n}_h - (\mathbf{n} \cdot \mathbf{n}_h) \mathbf{n}_h \otimes \mathbf{n} \\ &= (\mathbf{n} - \mathbf{n}_h) \otimes (\mathbf{n} - \mathbf{n}_h) + (1 - \mathbf{n} \cdot \mathbf{n}_h) \mathbf{n}_h \otimes \mathbf{n} + \mathbf{n} \otimes \mathbf{n}_h, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$((\nabla_\Gamma \psi)^e, \mathbf{n} \otimes \mathbf{n}_h (\nabla_\Gamma \chi)^e)_{\Gamma_h} = 0.$$

Then, by a change of integration domain, (3.1) and (3.2),

$$\begin{aligned} ((\nabla_\Gamma \psi)^e, (\nabla_\Gamma \chi)^e - \nabla_{\Gamma_h} \chi^e)_{\Gamma_h} &\lesssim h^{2k_g} \|\psi\|_{H^1(\Gamma)} \|\chi\|_{H^1(\Gamma)} + h^{k_g+1} \|\psi\|_{H^1(\Gamma)} \|\chi\|_{H^1(\Gamma)} \\ &\lesssim h^{k_g+1} \|\psi\|_{H^1(\Gamma)} \|\chi\|_{H^1(\Gamma)}. \end{aligned}$$

- *Estimate (5.9):* As $\psi, \chi \in H^4(\Gamma)$, both $H_\Gamma(\psi)$ and $\nabla_\Gamma \chi$ are continuous, which imply $\{(\mathbf{t}_{T^\ell}^\top H_\Gamma(\psi) \boldsymbol{\mu}_{T^\ell})\} = \mathbf{t}_{T^\ell}^\top H_\Gamma(\psi) \boldsymbol{\mu}_{T^\ell}$ and $([\nabla_\Gamma \chi])^e = 0$. Thus, by (C.1),

$$(C.37) \quad \begin{aligned} &((\{\mathbf{t}_{T^\ell}^\top H_\Gamma(\psi) \boldsymbol{\mu}_{T^\ell}\})^e, ([\nabla_\Gamma \chi])^e - [\nabla_{\Gamma_h} \chi^e])_{\mathcal{E}_h} \\ &= -((\mathbf{t}_{T^\ell}^\top H_\Gamma(\psi) \boldsymbol{\mu}_{T^\ell})^e, [\mathbf{P}_h(\mathbf{P} - d\mathbf{H})(\nabla_\Gamma \chi)^e])_{\mathcal{E}_h} \\ &= ((\mathbf{t}_{T^\ell}^\top H_\Gamma(\psi) \boldsymbol{\mu}_{T^\ell})^e, [d\mathbf{P}_h \mathbf{H}(\nabla_\Gamma \chi)^e])_{\mathcal{E}_h} - ((\mathbf{t}_{T^\ell}^\top H_\Gamma(\psi) \boldsymbol{\mu}_{T^\ell})^e, [\mathbf{P}_h(\nabla_\Gamma \chi)^e])_{\mathcal{E}_h} \\ &=: I + II. \end{aligned}$$

First, we estimate I in (C.37). Using

$$\mathbf{P}(\boldsymbol{\mu}_+ + \boldsymbol{\mu}_-) = \mathbf{P}\boldsymbol{\mu}_+ - \boldsymbol{\mu}_{T^\ell+}^e + \mathbf{P}\boldsymbol{\mu}_- - \boldsymbol{\mu}_{T^\ell-}^e,$$

and (3.9), (3.1), (4.2) and a change of integration domain, there holds

$$(C.38) \quad \begin{aligned} I &= ((\mathbf{t}_{T^\ell}^\top H_\Gamma(\psi) \boldsymbol{\mu}_{T^\ell})^e, (\mathbf{P}(\boldsymbol{\mu}_+ + \boldsymbol{\mu}_-)) \cdot (d\mathbf{H}(\nabla_\Gamma \chi)^e))_{\mathcal{E}_h} \\ &\lesssim h^{k_g+1} \left(\|\mathbf{P}\boldsymbol{\mu}_+ - \boldsymbol{\mu}_{T^\ell+}^e\|_{L^\infty(\mathcal{E}_h)} + \|\mathbf{P}\boldsymbol{\mu}_- - \boldsymbol{\mu}_{T^\ell-}^e\|_{L^\infty(\mathcal{E}_h)} \right) \|(\nabla_\Gamma^2 \psi)^e\|_{L^2(\mathcal{E}_h)} \|(\nabla_\Gamma \chi)^e\|_{L^2(\mathcal{E}_h)} \\ &\lesssim h^{2k_g+1} \|\psi\|_{H^3(\Gamma)} \|\chi\|_{H^2(\Gamma)}, \end{aligned}$$

where we also used $\boldsymbol{\mu} \cdot \mathbf{n}_h = 0$, $\mathbf{P}^\top = \mathbf{P}$ and $\mathbf{P}\mathbf{H} = \mathbf{P}$.

Next, we estimate II in (C.37). We make change of variables to obtain

$$\begin{aligned} II &= -((\mathbf{t}_{T^\ell}^\top H_\Gamma(\psi) \boldsymbol{\mu}_{T^\ell})^e, [\mathbf{P}_h(\nabla_\Gamma \chi)^e])_{\mathcal{E}_h} \\ &= - \sum_{e^\ell \in \mathcal{E}_h^\ell} (\mu_e^{-1} (\mathbf{t}_{e^\ell}^\top H_\Gamma(\psi) \boldsymbol{\mu}_{e^\ell}), (\mathbf{P}\boldsymbol{\mu}_+^\ell + \mathbf{P}\boldsymbol{\mu}_-^\ell) \cdot \nabla_\Gamma \chi)_{e^\ell}. \end{aligned}$$

Recall $\boldsymbol{\mu}_\pm = \mathbf{t}_\pm \times \mathbf{n}_\pm$, we have

$$\mathbf{P}\boldsymbol{\mu}_\pm^\ell = \mathbf{P}(\mathbf{t}_\pm^\ell \times \mathbf{n}_\pm^\ell) = (\mathbf{n} \cdot \mathbf{n}_\pm^\ell) (\mathbf{t}_\pm^\ell \times \mathbf{n}) + (\mathbf{n} \cdot \mathbf{t}_\pm^\ell) (\mathbf{n} \times \mathbf{n}_\pm^\ell).$$

Consequently, since $\mathbf{t}_+ = -\mathbf{t}_-$, there holds

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{P}\boldsymbol{\mu}_+^\ell + \mathbf{P}\boldsymbol{\mu}_-^\ell &= (\mathbf{n} \cdot \mathbf{n}_+^\ell)(\mathbf{t}_+^\ell \times \mathbf{n}) + (\mathbf{n} \cdot \mathbf{t}_+^\ell)(\mathbf{n} \times \mathbf{n}_+^\ell) - (\mathbf{n} \cdot \mathbf{n}_-^\ell)(\mathbf{t}_+^\ell \times \mathbf{n}) - (\mathbf{n} \cdot \mathbf{t}_+^\ell)(\mathbf{n} \times \mathbf{n}_-^\ell) \\ &= (\mathbf{t}_+^\ell \times \mathbf{n})(\mathbf{n} \cdot \mathbf{n}_+^\ell - \mathbf{n} \cdot \mathbf{n}_-^\ell) + (\mathbf{n} \cdot \mathbf{t}_+^\ell)(\mathbf{n} \times \mathbf{n}_+^\ell - \mathbf{n} \times \mathbf{n}_-^\ell) \\ &= (\mathbf{t}_+^\ell \times \mathbf{n})(\mathbf{n} \cdot \mathbf{n}_+^\ell - 1 - ((\mathbf{n} \cdot \mathbf{n}_-^\ell) - 1)) \\ &\quad + (\mathbf{n} \cdot (\mathbf{t}_+^\ell - \mathbf{t}_-^\ell))(\mathbf{n} \times (\mathbf{n}_+^\ell - \mathbf{n}) - \mathbf{n} \times (\mathbf{n}_-^\ell - \mathbf{n})), \end{aligned}$$

and so $|\mathbf{P}\boldsymbol{\mu}_+^\ell + \mathbf{P}\boldsymbol{\mu}_-^\ell| \lesssim h^{2k_g}$. We then apply trace inequalities to conclude

$$(C.39) \quad II \lesssim h^{2k_g-1} \|\psi\|_{H^3(\Gamma)} \|\chi\|_{H^2(\Gamma)}.$$

Finally, the result follows from (C.37)–(C.39). □

APPENDIX D. PROOF OF LEMMA 6.2

To prove the regularity estimates, we require an intermediate result.

Lemma D.1. *Let $m \in \{0, 1\}$. For any $\chi \in H^{-m}(\Gamma)$, there exists $\mathbf{r} \in \mathbf{H}^{1-m}(\Gamma)$ such that $\text{curl}_\Gamma \mathbf{r} = -\chi$ and $\|\mathbf{r}\|_{\mathbf{H}^{1-m}(\Gamma)} \lesssim \|\chi\|_{H^{-m}(\Gamma)}$.*

Proof. Let $\psi \in H^1(\Gamma)$ satisfy $-\Delta_\Gamma \psi = \chi$. Elliptic regularity yields $\psi \in H^{2-m}(\Gamma)$ and $\|\psi\|_{H^{2-m}(\Gamma)} \lesssim \|\chi\|_{H^{-m}(\Gamma)}$ [13, Lemma 3.2]. We then set $\mathbf{r} = \mathbf{curl}_\Gamma \psi \in \mathbf{H}^{1-m}(\Gamma)$, so that

$$\text{curl}_\Gamma \mathbf{r} = \text{curl}_\Gamma \mathbf{curl}_\Gamma \psi = \Delta_\Gamma \psi = -\chi,$$

and $\|\mathbf{r}\|_{\mathbf{H}^{1-m}(\Gamma)} \lesssim \|\psi\|_{H^{2-m}(\Gamma)} \lesssim \|\chi\|_{H^{-m}(\Gamma)}$. □

Proof of Lemma 6.2. Using Lemma D.1, we let $\mathbf{r} \in \mathbf{H}^{1-m}(\Gamma)$ satisfy $\text{curl}_\Gamma \mathbf{r} = -\chi$. Setting $\mathbf{v} = \mathbf{curl}_\Gamma u$, we then have

$$\begin{aligned} -\mathbf{P}\text{div}_\Gamma E_\Gamma(\mathbf{v}) + \nabla_\Gamma s &= \mathbf{r}, \\ \text{div}_\Gamma \mathbf{v} &= 0, \end{aligned}$$

for some $s \in L^2(\Gamma)$. Using the elliptic regularity of the surfaces Stokes problem $\mathbf{v} \in H^{3-m}(\Gamma)$ with $\|\mathbf{v}\|_{H^{3-m}(\Gamma)} \lesssim \|\mathbf{r}\|_{\mathbf{H}^{1-m}(\Gamma)}$, along with the Poincare inequality [13, Theorem 2.12], we have

$$\|u\|_{H^{4-m}(\Gamma)} \lesssim \|\nabla_\Gamma u\|_{H^{3-m}(\Gamma)} \lesssim \|\mathbf{n} \times \mathbf{v}\|_{H^{3-m}(\Gamma)} \lesssim \|\mathbf{r}\|_{\mathbf{H}^{1-m}(\Gamma)} \lesssim \|\chi\|_{H^{-m}(\Gamma)}. □$$

Email address: neilan@pitt.edu

Email address: how41@pitt.edu