

# SPATIAL PREFERENTIAL ATTACHMENT WITH CHOICE-BASED EDGE STEP

YURY MALYSHKIN

ABSTRACT. We study the asymptotic behavior of the maximum indegree in the spatial preferential attachment model with a choice-based edge step. We prove different types of behavior of maximal indegree based on the model's parameters.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

In the present work, we study the addition of the choice-based edge step to the spatial preferential attachment model. Preferential attachment models are widely used to describe complex networks (see, e.g., [H16]). The general idea behind preferential attachment models is that vertices with higher degrees are more likely to attract edges from newly introduced vertices. In the classical preferential attachment graph model ([BA99]) vertices are undistinguished and the probability of drawing an edge to a vertex is proportional to its degree's linear function (see, e.g., [M02, M05]). The spatial preferential attachment model adds geometry to this procedure by giving each vertex a coordinate (see, e.g. [ABCJP09]). In this model, each vertex has a neighborhood of the size proportional to a linear function of its degree, and we draw an edge to it if a new vertex gets into such a neighborhood. We modify this model by the addition of choice (see, e.g., [HJ16, KR14, M18, MP14]) and edge step to the model (see, e.g., [ARS21]) to allow connection between far away vertices. The addition of choice often results in the effect of condensation, when a single vertex has linear (over the total number of edges) degree (see, e.g., [HJY20, M20, MP15]). The edge step is used to draw edges between the old vertices.

Let us introduce our model. Fix  $k, m, s \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $d > 1$ ,  $a, b > 0$ ,  $\beta > -1$ , which are parameters of our model. We consider a sequence of graphs  $G_n$ . We consider i.i.d. random variables  $X_1, X_2, \dots$  distributed uniformly in  $[0, 1]$ , such that  $X_i$  corresponds to  $v_i$  and represents the location of a vertex. We start with the initial graph  $G_0$  that consists of  $n_0$  vertices. To build graph  $G_{n+1}$  from  $G_n$  we add a vertex  $v_{n+1}$  with location  $X_{n+1}$  and draw edges in two steps.

Vertex step: we draw edges from  $v_{n+1}$  to the vertices that satisfy  $X_{n+1} \in B_n(v_i)$ , where

$$(1) \quad B_n(v_i) = \left\{ x : |x - X_i| < \frac{a \deg_{G_n}^- v_i + b}{n} \right\},$$

where  $|\cdot|$  is the torus norm in  $[0, 1]$  and  $\deg_{G_n}^- v_i$  is indegree of  $v_i$  in  $G_n$ .

---

*Date:* December 16, 2024.

*2010 Mathematics Subject Classification.* 05C80.

*Key words and phrases.* random graphs, preferential attachment, power of choice, fitness.

Edge step: We choose  $m$  vertices  $u_n^i$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, m$ , uniformly among all vertices. Then, we draw an edge between a pair  $(u_n^i, w_n^i)$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, m$ , where a vertex  $w_n^i$  is chosen by the following rule. We consider a sample  $y_n^{i,1}, \dots, y_n^{i,d}$  of vertices of  $G_n \cup v_{n+1} - u_n^i$  that are not adjacent to  $u_n^i$ , chosen independently with probabilities  $\frac{\alpha \deg_{G_n} v + \beta}{n}$ . Then  $w_n^i$  is the vertex from the sample with the highest indegree in  $G_n$  (in case of a tie chosen randomly, it would not affect the degree distribution).

## 2. RESULTS

Let us formulate our main results. Let  $M(n)$  be the highest indegree of vertices of  $G_n$ .

**Theorem 1.** *In the model described above*

- (1) *if  $2a + k\alpha < 1$ , then for any  $\epsilon > 0$*

$$\Pr(\forall n > N : n^{2a+k\alpha-\epsilon} < M(n) < n^{2a+k\alpha+\epsilon}) \rightarrow 1$$

*as  $N \rightarrow \infty$ .*

- (2) *if  $2a + k\alpha = 1$ , then almost surely*

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{M(n) \ln n}{n} = \frac{1}{k\alpha}.$$

- (3) *if  $2a + k\alpha > 1$ , then almost surely*

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{M(n)}{n} = \frac{2a + k\alpha - 1}{k\alpha}.$$

To prove the above theorem, we need the following auxiliary result from [M24].

**Lemma 2.** *Let  $\mathcal{F}_n$ -measurable process  $Y(n)$  with values in  $\mathbb{N}$  with non-negative bounded increments (i.e.  $0 \leq Y(n+1) - Y(n) \leq C$ ) satisfy*

$$\mathbb{E}(Y(n+1) - Y(n) | \mathcal{F}_n) = g\left(\frac{Y(n)}{n}\right) + O\left(\frac{1}{n}\right),$$

*where  $g(x)$  satisfies  $g(0) = 0$ ,  $g'(0) > 0$  and  $g''(x) < 0$ .*

- (1) *If  $g'(0) < 1$ , then for any  $\epsilon > 0$*

$$\Pr(\forall n > n_0 : n^{g'(0)-\epsilon} < Y(n) < n^{g'(0)+\epsilon}) \rightarrow 1$$

*as  $n_0 \rightarrow \infty$ .*

- (2) *If  $g'(0) = 1$ , then, almost surely,*

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{Y(n) \ln n}{n} = \frac{2}{-g''(0)}.$$

- (3) *If  $g'(0) > 1$ , then, almost surely,*

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{Y(n)}{n} = x^*,$$

*where  $x^*$  is a unique positive root of the equation  $g(x) = x$ .*

This estimate could be used to directly obtain the first and third cases of Theorem 1 by applying Lemma 2 to the evolution of  $M(n)$ . One of the problems in that is that  $M(n)$  could be achieved on multiple vertices of type  $i$ , which increases the probability of increasing  $M(n)$ , so initially we would only get lower bounds. We

would use the persistent hub argument to solve it, which states that the highest degree is achieved on a single vertex after some moment.

### 3. LOWER BOUNDS

Let us consider the evolution of  $M(n)$ . On step  $n + 1$  it could be increased in two ways.

First,  $v_{n+1}$  could be in the neighborhood of the vertex of degree  $M(n)$ . The probability (conditioned on graph  $G_n$ ) to do so is at least (exactly if there is a single vertex with the highest degree)  $2\frac{aM(n)+b}{n}$ .

Second, we could draw edges (the same procedure independently repeated  $k$  times) to it as the second vertex during an edge step. To do so we need vertex of degree  $M(n)$  to appear in the sample (and not be connected to the chosen vertex). It happens with probability at least  $\left(1 - \frac{M(n)}{n}\right) \frac{\alpha M(n)+\beta}{n}$ . As a result, we get an estimate

$$(2) \quad \mathbb{E}(M(n+1) - M(n) | \mathcal{F}_n) \geq \frac{2aM(n)}{n} + k \left(1 - \frac{M(n)}{n}\right) \frac{\alpha M(n)}{n}.$$

Therefore, we could use Lemma 2 to get lower bound estimates.

(1) If  $2a + k\alpha < 1$ , then for any  $\epsilon > 0$

$$\Pr(\forall n > n_0 : n^{2a+k\alpha-\epsilon} < M_1(n)) \rightarrow 1$$

as  $n_0 \rightarrow \infty$ .

(2) If  $2a + k\alpha = 1$ , then, almost surely,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{M(n) \ln n}{n} \geq \frac{1}{k\alpha}.$$

(3) If  $2a + k > 1$ , then, almost surely,

$$\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{M(n)}{n} \geq \frac{2a + k\alpha - 1}{k\alpha}.$$

Hence, we get lower bounds of Theorem 1 for cases when  $f'(0) \neq 1$ . For the case  $f'(0) = 1$  we would get bound  $\Pr(\forall n > n_0 : n^{1-\epsilon} < M_1(n)) \rightarrow 1$  for any  $\epsilon > 0$  as  $n_0 \rightarrow \infty$ .

### 4. UPPER BOUNDS

Note that the inequality in equation (2) is due to the possibility of having multiple vertices with the highest indegree. We will get upper bound estimate for maximal degree in two steps. First, we estimate the indegree of a given vertex. Then, we would show that the number of vertices on which maximal indegree could be achieved is finite almost surely.

For a given vertex  $u$ , on each step its indegree could increase in two ways. First, with probability  $2\frac{a \deg_{G_n} u + b}{n}$  we could connect a new vertex to it. Second, we could draw the edge to it during an edge step, for each edge the probability of doing so

is at most  $\left(1 - \frac{\deg_{G_n} u}{n}\right) \frac{\alpha \deg_{G_n} u + \beta}{n}$ . Therefore we get estimates

(3)

$$\mathbb{E}(\deg_{G_{n+1}} u - \deg_{G_n} u | \mathcal{F}_n) \geq \frac{2a \deg_{G_n} u}{n} + O\left(\frac{1}{n}\right).$$

$$\mathbb{E}(\deg_{G_{n+1}} u - \deg_{G_n} u | \mathcal{F}_n) \leq \frac{2a \deg_{G_n} u}{n} + k \left(1 - \frac{\deg_{G_n} u(n)}{n}\right) \frac{\alpha \deg_{G_n} u}{n} + O\left(\frac{1}{n}\right).$$

Hence, by using Lemma 2 we get following estimates

(1) For any  $\epsilon > 0$ 

$$\Pr(\forall n > n_0 : n^{2a-\epsilon} < \deg_{G_n} u) \rightarrow 1$$

as  $n_0 \rightarrow \infty$ .

(2) If  $2a + k\alpha < 1$ , then for any  $\epsilon > 0$ 

$$\Pr(\forall n > n_0 : n^{2a+k\alpha+\epsilon} > \deg_{G_n} u) \rightarrow 1$$

as  $n_0 \rightarrow \infty$ .

(3) If  $2a + k > 1$ , then, almost surely,

$$\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\deg_{G_n} u}{n} \leq \frac{2a + k\alpha - 1}{k\alpha}.$$

(4) If  $2a + k > 1$ , then, almost surely,

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{M(n)}{n} \leq \frac{2a + k\alpha - 1}{k\alpha}.$$

Now let consider a pair of vertices  $(u_t, v_t)$ , where  $u_t$  is the vertex with indegree  $M(t)$  in  $G_t$ . Let estimate probability that their degrees become equals at some moment. Note that for a random walk, whose coordinate could either increase by 1 or stay the same with given probabilities that increases with increas of corresponding coordinate, the highest probability to hit diagonal is achieved when only one coordinate could increase at the time, so without loss of generality we assume that  $u_t$  and  $v_t$  has non-intersected neighborhoods, so only one of their indegrees could be increased at a time. Then, at vertex step probabilities to increse  $u_t$  and  $v_t$  would be equal to  $2 \frac{a \deg_{G_n} u_t + b}{n}$  and  $2 \frac{a \deg_{G_n} v_t + b}{n}$ . Conditionaly on on of them getting increase, they would be equal to  $\frac{a \deg_{G_n} u_t + b}{a \deg_{G_n} u_t + a \deg_{G_n} v_t + 2b}$  and  $\frac{a \deg_{G_n} v_t + b}{a \deg_{G_n} u_t + a \deg_{G_n} v_t + 2b}$ .

During vertex step to connect an edge to a vertex it should have highest degree in the sample. Let  $q_n$  be the (conditional) probability that there no vertex in the sample, besides possibly  $u_t$ , with indegree at least  $\deg_{G_n} u_t$ . Then, probability to increase indegree of  $u_t$  would be at least

$$q_n \left(1 - \frac{\deg_{G_n} u_t}{n}\right) \frac{\alpha \deg_{G_n} u_t + \beta}{n},$$

and probability to increase indegree of  $v_t$  would be at most

$$q_n \left(1 - \left(1 - \frac{\deg_{G_n} u_t}{n}\right) \frac{\alpha \deg_{G_n} u_t + \beta}{n}\right) \left(1 - \frac{\deg_{G_n} v_t}{n}\right) \frac{\alpha \deg_{G_n} v_t + \beta}{n}.$$

Similarly to [G16], one could show that

$$(4) \quad \Pr(\exists n : \deg_{G_n} u_t = \deg_{G_n} v_t | \deg_{G_n} u_t = a) \leq \frac{Q(a)}{2^a}$$

for some polynomial  $Q(a)$ . Since terms  $\frac{Q(M(t))}{2^{M(t)}}$  form almost surely convergent series, it would imply that maximum degree could be achieved only on finite number of vertices. Hence upper bound estimates of degrees of these vertices would give us the statement of Theorem 1.

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS.

The presented work was funded by a grant from the Russian Science Foundation (project No. 24-21-00247).

## REFERENCES

- [ABCJP09] W. Aiello, A. Bonato, C. Cooper, J. Janssen, P. Pral. A Spatial Web Graph Model with Local Influence Regions. *Internet Mathematics*, 5(1-2), 173–193, 2009.
- [ARS21] C. Alves, R. Ribeiro, R. Sanchis. Preferential Attachment Random Graphs with Edge-Step Functions. *J Theor Probab* 34, 438–476, 2021.
- [BA99] A. Barabási, R. Albert. Emergence of scaling in random networks. *science*, 286(5439), 509–512, 1999.
- [BCDR07] C. Borgs, J. Chayes, Daskalakis, C. and and Roch, S. First to Market is not Everything: an Analysis of Preferential Attachment with Fitness. *STOC '07*, June 11-13, San Diego, California, USA, 2007.
- [C03] H.F. Chen. Stochastic Approximation and its Applications. *Nonconvex Optimization and its Applications*, Springer, 64, 2002. – 360 p.
- [G16] P. A. Galashin. Existence of a persistent hub in the convex preferential attachment model. *PMS*, 36(1), 59–74, 2016.
- [GK75] V. F. Gaposkin, T. P. Krasulina. *On the Law of the Iterated Logarithm in Stochastic Approximation Processes*. *Theory of Probability and Its Applications*, 19(4), 844–850, 1975.
- [HJ16] J. Haslegrave, J. Jordan. Preferential attachment with choice. *Random Structures and Algorithms*, 48, 751–766, 2016.
- [HJY20] J. Haslegrave, J. Jordan, M. Yarrow. Condensation in preferential attachment models with location-based choice. *Random Structures and Algorithms*, 56(3), 775–795, 2020.
- [H16] R. van der Hofstad. *Random Graphs and Complex Networks*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2016. – 375 p.
- [JK77] N. L. Johnson and S. Kotz. *Urn models and their application*. *John Wiley and Sons*, New York, 1977.
- [KR14] P. L. Krapivsky, S. Redner. Choice-Driven Phase Transition in Complex Networks. *Journal of Statistical Mechanics: Theory and Experiment*, P04021, 2014.
- [L17] Latora, V., Russo, G., Nicosia, V. *Complex Networks: Principles, Methods an Applications*. United Kingdom: Cambridge University Press, 2017.
- [M18] Y. Malyshkin. *Preferential attachment combined with the random number of choices*. *Internet Math.*, 1–25, 2018.
- [M20] Yury Malyshkin. *Sublinear preferential attachment combined with a growing number of choices*. *Electronic Communications in Probability*, Vol. 25, paper no. 87, 1-12, 2020.
- [M24] Yury Malyshkin. *Preferential attachment with choice based edge-step*. Preprint available at <http://arxiv.org/abs/2309.16591>.
- [MP14] Y. Malyshkin, E. Paquette. *The power of choice combined with preferential attachment*. *Electron. Commun. Probab.*, 19(44), 1–13, 2014.
- [MP15] Y. Malyshkin, E. Paquette. *The power of choice over preferential attachment*. *ALEA*, *Lat. Am. J. Probab. Math. Stat.*, 12(2), 903–915, 2015.
- [M02] T. F. Móri. On random trees. *Studia Sci. Math. Hungar.*, 39, 143-155, 2002.
- [M05] T. F. Móri. The maximum degree of the Barabási-Albert random tree. *Combin. Probab. Comput.*, 14(3), 339–348, 2005.
- [P07] R. Pemantle. A survey of random processes with reinforcement. *Probab. Surv.*, 4, 1–79, 2007.

TVER STATE UNIVERSITY  
 Email address: yury.malyshkin@mail.ru