

# SEGT: A General Spatial Expansion Group Transformer for nuScenes Lidar-based Object Detection Task

Cheng Mei<sup>1</sup> Hao He<sup>1</sup> Yahui Liu<sup>1</sup> Zhenhua Guo<sup>1\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Tianyijiaotong Technology Ltd.

{cheng.mei, hao.he, yahui.liu, zhenhua.guo}@tyjt-ai.com

## Abstract

*In the technical report, we present a novel transformer-based framework for nuScenes lidar-based object detection task, termed Spatial Expansion Group Transformer (SEGT). To efficiently handle the irregular and sparse nature of point cloud, we propose migrating the voxels into distinct specialized ordered fields with the general spatial expansion strategies, and employ group attention mechanisms to extract the exclusive feature maps within each field. Subsequently, we integrate the feature representations across different ordered fields by alternately applying diverse expansion strategies, thereby enhancing the model's ability to capture comprehensive spatial information. The method was evaluated on the nuScenes lidar-based object detection test dataset, achieving an NDS score of 73.5 without Test-Time Augmentation (TTA) and 74.2 with TTA, demonstrating the effectiveness of the proposed method.*

## 1. Introduction

Lidar-based object detection has become a critical task in the fields of autonomous driving and robotics, due to its ability to capture rich three-dimensional spatial information in diverse environmental conditions. The nuScenes dataset, a comprehensive and large-scale benchmark designed specifically for autonomous vehicle perception, presents significant challenges for lidar-based object detection, primarily due to the inherent sparsity and irregularity of lidar point clouds. While conventional methods have demonstrated some success, they often struggle to fully exploit the complex spatial structure of lidar data, especially in terms of handling variations in point cloud density and the irregular distribution of points.

In this technical report, we introduce a novel transformer-based framework designed to address these challenges, called the Spatial Expansion Group Transformer (SEGT). Our approach aims to efficiently process the irregular and

sparse nature of point clouds by proposing a novel technique to organize the data. Specifically, we migrate the lidar points into distinct specialized ordered fields using general spatial expansion strategies. This migration allows the model to focus on spatial regions of interest and handle variations in point density more effectively. To further enhance the model's performance, we apply group attention mechanisms to capture and extract exclusive feature maps within each field, ensuring that each region's unique spatial characteristics are preserved and emphasized. Additionally, we integrate these feature representations across different ordered fields by alternately applying diverse spatial expansion strategies, thereby enhancing the model's ability to capture comprehensive spatial information.

We evaluate the performance of SEGT on the nuScenes lidar-based object detection test dataset. The results show that our method achieves an impressive NDS score of 73.5 without Test-Time Augmentation (TTA), and further improves to 74.2 with TTA. The results demonstrate the effectiveness of SEGT in handling the complexities of lidar-based object detection.

## 2. Method

We address lidar-based object detection in three steps. Firstly, the voxel feature maps and coordinates are obtained by dynamic voxel feature encoding, a widely adopted approach for voxelizing point clouds on OpenPCDet [1, 2], to simplify data processing and reduce computational complexity. Then, we employ general *spatial expansion group transformer encoder*, termed *SEGT encoder*, to enhance the voxel representations, enabling a comprehensive integration with the spatial features of their surrounding neighborhood. To generate the prediction boxes, we employ the Transfusion head [3] as the detection head, consistent with several existing methods [3, 4], and convert the output of SEGT encoder into BEV (Bird's Eye View) features for its input.

**SEGT Encoder** To efficiently process irregular and sparse voxels, we propose migrating the voxels into dis-

\*Corresponding Author

Table 1. The LiDAR-only non-ensemble 3D detection performance comparison on the nuScenes test set. The table is mainly sorted by nuScenes detection score (NDS) which is the official ranking metric. 'T.L.', 'C.V.', 'Ped.', 'M.T.', 'Byc.', 'T.C.', and 'B.R.' are short for trailer, construction vehicle, pedestrian, motor, bicycle, traffic cone, and barrier, respectively. ‡ means using test-time augmentation (TTA). All models listed take LIDAR data as input without image fusion or any model ensemble.

Methods	NDS ↑	mAP ↑	Car	Truck	Bus	T.L.	C.V.	Ped.	M.T.	Byc.	T.C.	B.R.
PointPillars [5]	45.3	30.5	68.4	23.0	28.2	23.4	4.1	59.7	27.4	1.1	30.8	38.9
3DSSD [6]	56.4	42.6	81.2	47.2	61.4	30.5	12.6	70.2	36.0	8.6	31.1	47.9
CBGS [7]	63.0	52.8	81.1	48.5	54.9	42.9	10.5	80.1	51.5	22.3	70.9	65.7
CenterPoint [8]	65.5	58.0	84.6	51.0	60.2	53.2	17.5	83.4	53.7	28.7	76.7	70.9
CVCNET [9]	66.6	58.2	82.6	49.5	59.4	51.1	16.2	83.0	61.8	38.8	69.7	69.7
HotSpotNet [10]	66.0	59.3	83.1	50.9	56.4	53.3	23.0	81.3	63.5	36.6	73.0	71.6
AFDetV2 [11]	68.5	62.4	86.3	54.2	62.5	58.9	26.7	85.8	63.8	34.3	80.1	71.0
FocalsConv [2]	70.0	63.8	86.7	56.3	67.7	59.5	23.8	87.5	64.5	36.3	81.4	74.1
MGTANet-P [12]	61.4	50.9	81.3	45.8	55.0	48.9	18.2	74.4	52.6	17.8	61.7	53.0
MGTANet-C [12]	71.2	65.4	87.7	56.9	64.6	59.0	28.5	86.4	72.7	47.9	83.8	65.9
VoxelNeXt [13]	70.0	64.5	84.6	53.0	64.7	55.8	28.7	85.8	73.2	45.7	79.0	74.6
LargeKernel3D [14]	70.6	65.4	85.5	53.8	64.4	59.5	29.7	85.9	72.7	46.8	79.9	75.5
TransFusion-L [3]	70.2	65.5	86.2	56.7	66.3	58.8	28.2	86.1	68.3	44.2	82.0	78.2
DSVT [4]	72.7	68.4	86.8	58.4	67.3	63.1	37.1	88.0	73.0	47.2	84.9	<b>78.4</b>
FSTR-L [15]	70.4	66.2	85.8	53.9	64.1	57.4	31.1	87.5	74.1	48.2	81.4	78.1
FSTR-XL [15]	71.5	67.2	86.5	54.1	66.4	58.5	33.4	88.6	73.7	48.1	84.4	78.1
HEDNet [16]	72.0	67.7	87.1	56.5	<b>70.4</b>	63.5	33.6	87.9	70.4	44.8	85.1	78.1
FocalFormer3D [17]	72.6	68.7	87.2	57.0	69.6	64.9	34.4	88.2	76.2	<b>49.6</b>	82.3	77.8
<b>SEGT</b>	<b>73.5</b>	<b>69.6</b>	<b>87.4</b>	<b>58.8</b>	67.7	<b>65.9</b>	<b>39.1</b>	<b>88.8</b>	<b>77.3</b>	47.7	<b>85.5</b>	78.3
CenterPoint‡ [8]	67.3	60.3	85.2	53.5	63.6	56.0	20.0	84.6	59.5	30.7	78.4	71.1
MGTANet‡ [12]	72.7	67.5	<b>88.5</b>	59.8	67.2	61.5	30.6	87.3	75.8	52.5	85.5	66.3
LargeKernel3D‡ [14]	72.8	68.7	86.7	58.5	67.7	62.7	31.9	88.5	77.1	54.9	82.3	76.6
VoxelNeXt‡ [13]	71.4	66.2	85.3	55.7	66.2	57.2	29.8	86.5	75.2	48.8	80.7	76.1
FSTR-L‡ [15]	72.9	69.5	87.2	57.0	69.3	61.0	35.7	89.8	77.9	52.8	84.0	79.8
FSTR-XL‡ [15]	73.6	70.2	87.1	58.4	69.3	60.6	32.9	<b>90.0</b>	80.2	<b>57.0</b>	85.7	<b>80.6</b>
FocalFormer3D‡ [17]	73.9	70.5	87.8	59.4	<b>73.0</b>	<b>65.7</b>	37.8	<b>90.0</b>	77.4	52.4	83.4	77.8
<b>SEGT‡</b>	<b>74.2</b>	<b>70.9</b>	87.5	<b>60.5</b>	69.5	62.2	<b>38.5</b>	89.7	<b>82.4</b>	52.5	<b>87.1</b>	79.3

tinct specialized ordered fields, and employ group attention mechanisms to extract the exclusive feature maps within each field. Subsequently, we integrate the feature representations across different ordered fields by alternately applying diverse expansion strategies, thereby enhancing the model’s ability to capture comprehensive spatial information

Specifically, for any given voxel feature maps  $\mathcal{F}$  and coordinates  $\mathcal{V}$  in field  $\mathcal{X}$ , we propose the general conjugate Hilbert expansion strategies  $\mathcal{J}_+^{\mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{Z}}$  and  $\mathcal{J}_-^{\mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{Z}}$  to migrate these into different ordered fields. Then, the group attention mechanisms are applied to extract the exclusive feature maps to significantly reduce the computational complexity and memory overhead of attention. Consequently,

each SEGT layer can be represented as:

$$\begin{aligned}
 \mathcal{F}_?^{\mathcal{Z}}, \mathcal{V}_?^{\mathcal{Z}} &= \mathcal{J}_?^{\mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{Z}} (\mathcal{F}^{\mathcal{X}}, \mathcal{V}^{\mathcal{X}}, L_{glb}, L_{lcl}), \\
 \hat{\mathcal{F}}_?^{\mathcal{Z}} &= \mathcal{G} (\mathcal{F}_?^{\mathcal{Z}}, \mathcal{E} (\mathcal{V}_?^{\mathcal{Z}}), G), \quad ? \in \{+, -\}. \\
 \tilde{\mathcal{F}}^{\mathcal{X}} &= \tilde{\mathcal{J}}_?^{\mathcal{Z} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}} (\hat{\mathcal{F}}_?^{\mathcal{Z}}, \mathcal{V}_?^{\mathcal{Z}}, L_{glb}, L_{lcl}),
 \end{aligned} \tag{1}$$

where  $L_{glb}$  and  $L_{lcl}$  are the global and local expansion level of the general conjugate Hilbert expansion strategies  $\mathcal{J}_?^{\mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{Z}}$  ( $? \in \{+, -\}$ ).  $\mathcal{G}$  is the multi-head group self-attention mechanism with  $G$  group size,  $\mathcal{E}$  is the position embedding, and  $\tilde{\mathcal{J}}_?^{\mathcal{Z} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}}$  is the inverse expansion strategy of  $\mathcal{J}_?^{\mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{Z}}$ .

Table 2. The ablation study of different global levels of expansion strategies and different group sizes of SEGT encoder layer on the nuScenes validation set. 'T.L.', 'C.V.', 'Ped.', 'M.T.', 'Byc.', 'T.C.', and 'B.R.' are short for trailer, construction vehicle, pedestrian, motor, bicycle, traffic cone, and barrier, respectively.

$L_{glb}$	$G$	NDS $\uparrow$	mAP $\uparrow$	Car	Truck	Bus	T.L.	C.V.	Ped.	M.T.	Byc.	T.C.	B.R.
5	90	71.8	67.2	87.8	62.7	76.6	43.9	27.0	88.8	75.0	61.2	78.2	70.9
5	128	71.9	67.2	88.1	61.9	76.6	42.9	26.4	88.6	75.6	61.7	78.4	72.3
5	256	72.1	67.6	88.0	63.7	78.6	45.0	28.3	88.7	75.2	60.4	78.0	70.1
6	90	71.8	67.4	87.9	63.0	76.4	45.2	26.3	88.8	76.3	62.0	77.2	70.9
6	128	<b>72.5</b>	68.1	88.4	65.2	76.2	47.1	26.8	89.1	77.0	61.1	78.3	71.3
6	256	72.1	67.4	87.9	62.9	78.2	45.3	26.1	88.8	76.4	58.3	79.3	71.1
7	90	72.1	67.8	88.4	63.3	77.0	46.6	27.2	88.9	75.4	60.7	78.2	72.1
7	128	72.2	<b>68.2</b>	88.2	65.2	77.3	47.5	28.9	89.0	76.2	60.8	78.0	70.6
7	256	72.2	68.0	88.1	64.1	78.5	47.4	28.4	88.8	76.8	61.2	78.2	68.1

### 3. Experiments

#### 3.1. Dataset and Technical Details

**nuScenes Dataset.** The nuScenes [18] dataset is a large-scale benchmark for 3D perception tasks in autonomous driving, comprising 1,000 driving sequences captured by 6 cameras, 5 radars, and 1 lidar with 360° coverage. It includes detailed 3D bounding box annotations across 10 object categories with a long-tailed distribution, and is divided into training (700), validation (150), and testing (150) sequences. Evaluation is performed using the official metrics, including *mean Average Precision (mAP)* and *nuScenes detection score (NDS)*, with results reported according to the standard protocol, using 10 accumulated LiDAR scans as input.

**Implementation Details.** For experiments on nuScenes, we apply four stages to the backbone of SEGT, and each stage with two SEGT encoder blocks. All the encoder block are 128 input channels. We set the detection range to  $[-54.0 m, 54.0 m]$  for the X and Y axis, and  $[-5 m, 3 m]$  for the Z axis. We use  $[0.28125 m, 0.28125 m, 8.0 m]$  as the basic voxel size for experiments.

**Training and Inference.** All model variants are trained using the AdamW optimizer [19] on 8 NVIDIA A100 GPUs. The learning rate schedule follows the same configuration as described in [8]. Inference times are evaluated on the same workstation (single NVIDIA A100 GPU), to ensure fair and comparable performance profiling.

#### 3.2. Benchmarks

**3D object detection on nuScenes.** As shown in Table 1, our model outperforms all methods with remarkable gains. It achieves state-of-the-art performance, 73.5 and 69.6 in

terms of test NDS and mAP without test-time augmentation (TTA), surpassing FocalFormer3D [17] by +1.1 and +0.9, respectively. Moreover, it achieves 74.2 and 70.9 in terms of test NDS and mAP with TTA, outperforming FocalFormer3D [17] by +0.3 and +0.4, respectively.

#### 3.3. Ablation Studies

We conduct ablation studies to examine the effectiveness of each module of SEGT. The ablation study results are reported on nuScenes validation set. We study the effects of different global levels of general conjugate Hilbert expansion strategies  $\mathcal{J}_?^{\mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{Z}}$  ( $? \in \{+, -\}$ ) and different group sizes of SEGT encoder layer, which introduced in 2. In view of the size of the detected objects themselves, appropriately increasing the global expansion level and the group size are beneficial for improving the mAP and NDS of SEGT on nuScenes.

### 4. Conclusion

In this paper, we present SEGT, a novel transformer framework for lidar-based 3D object detection tasks. To efficiently handle sparse point clouds, SEGT employs the group attention mechanism on voxels within distinct ordered fields, which are converted through a series of general spatial expansion strategies, to effectively enhance neighborhood representations. We validated our model on the nuScenes lidar-based object detection test dataset, achieving an NDS score of 73.5 without TTA, and 74.2 with TTA, demonstrating the effectiveness of our approach.

## References

- [1] OpenPCDet Development Team. Openpcdet: An open-source toolbox for 3d object detection from point clouds. <https://github.com/open-mmlab/OpenPCDet>, 2020. 1
- [2] Yukang Chen, Yanwei Li, Xiangyu Zhang, Jian Sun, and Jiaya Jia. Focal sparse convolutional networks for 3d object detection. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition (CVPR)*, pages 5428–5437, 2022. 1, 2
- [3] Xuyang Bai, Zeyu Hu, Xinge Zhu, Qingqiu Huang, Yilun Chen, Hongbo Fu, and Chiew-Lan Tai. Transfusion: Robust lidar-camera fusion for 3d object detection with transformers. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition (CVPR)*, pages 1090–1099, 2022. 1, 2
- [4] Haiyang Wang, Chen Shi, Shaoshuai Shi, Meng Lei, Sen Wang, Di He, Bernt Schiele, and Liwei Wang. Dsvt: Dynamic sparse voxel transformer with rotated sets. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition (CVPR)*, pages 13520–13529, 2023. 1, 2
- [5] Alex H Lang, Sourabh Vora, Holger Caesar, Lubing Zhou, Jiong Yang, and Oscar Beijbom. Pointpillars: Fast encoders for object detection from point clouds. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition (CVPR)*, pages 12697–12705, 2019. 2
- [6] Zetong Yang, Yanan Sun, Shu Liu, and Jiaya Jia. 3dssd: Point-based 3d single stage object detector. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition (CVPR)*, pages 11037–11045, 2020. 2
- [7] Benjin Zhu, Zhengkai Jiang, Xiangxin Zhou, Zeming Li, and Gang Yu. Class-balanced grouping and sampling for point cloud 3d object detection. *arXiv preprint*, abs/1908.09492, 2019. 2
- [8] Tianwei Yin, Xingyi Zhou, and Philipp Krähenbühl. Center-based 3d object detection and tracking. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition (CVPR)*, pages 11784–11793, 2021. 2, 3
- [9] Qi Chen, Lin Sun, Ernest Cheung, and Alan L Yuille. Every view counts: Cross-view consistency in 3d object detection with hybrid-cylindrical-spherical voxelization. In *Advances in neural information processing systems*, volume 33, pages 21224–21235, 2020. 2
- [10] Qi Chen, Lin Sun, Zhixin Wang, Kui Jia, and Alan Yuille. Object as hotspots: An anchor-free 3d object detection approach via firing of hotspots. In *Proceedings of the European conference on computer vision*, volume 12366, pages 68–84, 2020. 2
- [11] Yihan Hu, Zhuangzhuang Ding, Runzhou Ge, Wenxin Shao, Li Huang, Kun Li, and Qiang Liu. Afdetv2: Rethinking the necessity of the second stage for object detection from point clouds. In *Proceedings of the AAAI Conference on Artificial Intelligence*, pages 969–979, 2022. 2
- [12] Junho Koh, Junhyung Lee, Youngwoo Lee, Jaekyum Kim, and Jun Won Choi. Mgtanet: Encoding sequential lidar points using long short-term motion-guided temporal attention for 3d object detection. In *Proceedings of the AAAI Conference on Artificial Intelligence*, volume 37, pages 1179–1187, 2023. 2
- [13] Yukang Chen, Jianhui Liu, Xiangyu Zhang, Xiaojuan Qi, and Jiaya Jia. Voxelnex: Fully sparse voxelnet for 3d object detection and tracking. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition (CVPR)*, pages 21674–21683, 2023. 2
- [14] Yukang Chen, Jianhui Liu, Xiangyu Zhang, Xiaojuan Qi, and Jiaya Jia. Largekernel3d: Scaling up kernels in 3d sparse cnns. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition (CVPR)*, pages 13488–13498, 2023. 2
- [15] Diankun Zhang, Zhijie Zheng, Haoyu Niu, Xueqing Wang, and Xiaojun Liu. Fully sparse transformer 3d detector for lidar point cloud. *IEEE Transactions on Geoscience and Remote Sensing*, 2023. 2
- [16] Gang Zhang, Chen Junnan, Guohuan Gao, Jianmin Li, and Xiaolin Hu. Hednet: A hierarchical encoder-decoder network for 3d object detection in point clouds. *Advances in neural information processing systems*, 36, 2024. 2
- [17] Yilun Chen, Zhiding Yu, Yukang Chen, Shiyi Lan, Anima Anandkumar, Jiaya Jia, and Jose M Alvarez. Focalformer3d: Focusing on hard instance for 3d object detection. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition (CVPR)*, pages 8394–8405, 2023. 2, 3
- [18] Holger Caesar, Varun Bankiti, Alex H Lang, Sourabh Vora, Venice Erin Liong, Qiang Xu, Anush Krishnan, Yu Pan, Giancarlo Baldan, and Oscar Beijbom. nuscenes: A multi-modal dataset for autonomous driving. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition (CVPR)*, pages 11621–11631, 2020. 3
- [19] Ilya Loshchilov and Frank Hutter. Decoupled weight decay regularization. In *International Conference on Learning Representations*, 2019. 3