On the evolutionary nature of massive B-type supergiants: a modern empirical reappraisal using data from IACOB, Gaia and TESS

Abel de Burgos Sierra

INSTITUTO DE ASTROFÍSICA DE CANARIAS Universidad de La Laguna UNIVERSIDAD D LA LAGUNA Departamento de Astrofísica



# On the evolutionary nature of massive B-type supergiants: a modern empirical reappraisal using data from IACOB, Gaia and TESS

Memoria que presenta Don **Abel de Burgos Sierra** para optar al grado de Doctor en Astrofísica.



INSTITUTO D'ASTROFISICA D'CANARIAS San Cristóbal de La Laguna, Tenerife en diciembre de 2024

Examination date: October, 2024 Thesis supervisors: Dr. Sergio Simón-Díaz (IAC, University of La Laguna) Dr. Miguel A. Urbaneja (University of Innsbruck)

 $\bigodot$  Abel de Burgos Sierra 2024

To my family, for their infinite support.

# Resumen

Las estrellas masivas contribuyen decisivamente a la evolución química y dinámica de las galaxias y el Universo. Su intensa radiación ionizante, fuertes vientos estelares y catastróficos finales como supernovas o estallidos de rayos gamma contribuyen a una importante retroalimentación del medio que les rodea. Son además útiles como indicadores de distancia y en estudios extragalácticos.

A pesar de su importancia, las comparaciones hechas entre los datos observacionales y los modelos teóricos de estrellas masivas han revelado varias discrepancias que desafían nuestra comprensión de estos objetos. Una de las principales incertidumbres tratadas en esta tesis es la sobredensidad de estrellas supergigantes de tipo B en el diagrama de Hertzsprung–Russell donde los modelos predicen el final de la fase de secuencia principal, o TAMS. Resulta incierto si es necesario redefinir la posición de dicho final, o si la sobredensidad es el resultado de poblaciones superpuestas que siguen caminos evolutivos diferentes.

Inicialmente concebidas como descendientes directas de las estrellas de tipo O, las supergigantes B pueden incluir objectos que no sólo evolucionan en la secuencia principal, sino que también estén regresando de una fase postsupergigante roja. A su vez, la alta fracción de estrellas masivas que se espera que nazcan en sistemas binarios o múltiples crea canales adicionales en los que una fracción representativa de estas estrellas pueden ser el producto de interacciones binarias. Además, algunas propiedades fundamentales de las supergigantes de tipo B no están tan bien definidas como en el caso de las estrellas de tipo O. Entre ellas se incluyen las propiedades rotacionales y la tasa de pérdida de masa, las cuales tienen un impacto significativo en la evolución de las estrellas masivas, así como la fracción de sistemas binarios.

Para superar esta situación y comparar adecuadamente los resultados empíricos con las predicciones teóricas se necesitan muestras estadísticamente representativas. En este sentido, los grandes conjuntos de datos espectroscópicos ofrecen una oportunidad única para estudiar las propiedades físicas y químicas de las supergigantes B, objetivo principal de esta tesis. Además, la llegada de misiones espaciales de astrometría y fotometría como *Gaia* y TESS marca una nueva era para estudiar propiedades adicionales con un detalle sin precedente. Esta tesis comprende el estudio de casi un millar de supergigantes azules galácticas (de tipo O y B) combinando datos espectroscópicos multi-época de alta resolución del proyecto IACOB y del archivo de la ESO, con distancias de *Gaia* y fotometría de TESS, convirtiéndose así en el mayor estudio empírico y holístico de las propiedades físico-químicas y pulsacionales de estos objetos realizado hasta la fecha. Para alcanzar este objetivo, una parte significativa pero crucial de este trabajo se ha dedicado a lograr un nivel alto de homogeneidad y completitud en la muestra, la cual inicialmente comprendía 4000 espectros ópticos de unas 400 estrellas de tipo O9–B9, y finalmente alcanzó 9000 espectros de 980 de estos objetos. Esto se logró mediante la revisión individual de cada espectro utilizando el programa pyIACOB desarrollado durante la tesis y la exitosa ejecución de varias campañas observacionales.

El análisis espectroscópico cuantitativo de los datos se ha realizado utilizando dos herramientas semiautomáticas diferentes. El análisis de ensanchamiento de las líneas, utilizado para obtener la velocidad rotacional proyectada y la macroturbulencia, se logró empleando la herramienta **iacob-broad**. Los parámetros restantes, a saber,  $T_{\rm eff}$ , log g,  $\xi$ , log Q, y las abundancias químicas superficiales de helio, silicio, carbono, nitrógeno y oxígeno, se obtuvieron utilizando un emulador estadístico para espectros sintéticos de FAST-WIND, combinado con un método Monte Carlo basado en cadenas de Markov. Los datos multi-época también permitieron identificar los systemas binarios espectroscópicos de una y dos líneas dentro de la muestra.

Todos estos parámetros combinados en una muestra única de volumen limitado permitieron proporcionar una reevaluación empírica de las principales propiedades de las supergigantes B. Además, se abordaron dos cuestiones fundamentales: la localización de la TAMS con respecto a los modelos evolutivos más utilizados, y la existencia del teóricamente predicho y ampliamente aceptado aumento de las tasas de pérdida de masa en la región de bi-estabilidad del viento, ambas con importantes implicaciones en la evolución de las estrellas masivas. En el penúltimo capítulo, se investiga si toda esta información puede proporcionar nuevas pistas para desentrañar la intrincada naturaleza de las supergigantes B, para lo que también se incluye un trabajo piloto sobre las propiedades pulsacionales de la muestra.

Por último, pero no por ello menos importante, los conocimientos adquiridos durante esta tesis sin duda nos ayudarán a emprender futuros estudios dedicados a propiedades o submuestras específicas. En combinación con los datos de los próximos grandes sondeos espectroscópicos, es de esperar que lo aprendido aquí nos lleve a mejorar aún más nuestra comprensión de las propiedades fundamentales y la naturaleza de las estrellas masivas.

# Abstract

Massive stars are key contributors to the chemodynamical evolution of galaxies and the Universe. Their intense ionizing radiation, strong stellar winds, and extreme final fates, including core-collapse supernova or gamma-ray bursts, all contribute to an important feedback to their surrounding space. Furthermore, they represent useful tools as distance indicators and for extragalactic studies.

Despite their significance, comparisons between observational data and theoretical models of massive stars have revealed long-standing and new discrepancies that challenge our understanding of these objects. One major uncertainty addressed in this thesis is the overdensity of B-type supergiants in the Hertzsprung–Russell diagram, where models predict the termination of the main sequence phase, or TAMS. It became uncertain whether the location of the TAMS needs to be redefined or if the overdensity results from overlapping populations following different evolutionary paths.

Initially conceived as direct evolutionary descendants of O-type stars, Btype supergiants may include stars not only evolving in the main sequence but also returning from a post-red supergiant phase. Furthermore, the large fraction of massive stars expected to be born in binary or multiple systems created additional channels in which a representative fraction of these stars are predicted to be the products of binary interaction. In addition, some fundamental properties of B-type supergiants are not as well constrained as in the O-type stars domain. These involve the spin-rate properties and the mass-loss rates, both of which have a significant impact on massive star evolution, as well as their multiplicity or binary fraction.

To overcome this situation and effectively compare empirical results with different theoretical predictions, statistically significant samples are required. In this regard, large spectroscopic datasets offer a unique opportunity to study both the physical and chemical properties of B-type supergiants, which is the main purpose of this thesis. Moreover, the advent of space astrometry and photometry missions such as *Gaia* and TESS, has brought a new era for studying additional properties in unprecedented detail.

This thesis has comprised the study of almost a thousand Galactic blue supergiants (O- and B-type) combining multi-epoch high-resolution spectroscopic data from the IACOB project and the ESO archive with *Gaia* distances and TESS photometry, becoming the largest holistic empirical study of the physical, chemical, and pulsational properties of these objects performed to date. To reach this, a significant but crucial part of this work has been devoted to achieve a high level of homogeneity and completeness in the sample, which initially comprised 4000 optical spectra of about 400 O9–B9-type stars, and finally reached 9000 spectra of 980 of these objects. This was done by individual revision of the spectra using *pyIACOB* own developed program and the successful execution of several observational campaigns.

The quantitative spectroscopic analysis of the data has been performed using two different semi-automated tools. The line-broadening analysis, used to derive the projected rotational velocity and the *macroturbulence*, was achieved using the **iacob-broad** tool. The remaining parameters, namely  $T_{\text{eff}}$ ,  $\log g$ ,  $\xi$ ,  $\log Q$  and the surface abundances of helium, silicon, carbon, nitrogen, and oxygen, were obtained using a statistical emulator for FASTWIND synthetic spectra, combined with a Markov chain Monte Carlo method. Additionally, the multi-epoch data let for the identification of single- and double-line spectroscopic binaries in the sample.

All these parameters gathered into a unique volume-limited sample allowed to provide an empirical reassessment of the main properties of B-type supergiants. Furthermore, two fundamental questions were addressed: the location of the TAMS with respect to the most-used evolutionary models, and the existence of the theoretically predicted and widely accepted increase in mass-loss rates over the wind bi-stability region, both of which have important implications in the evolution of massive stars. In the penultimate chapter, it is investigated whether all this information can provide new clues to disentangle the intricate nature of B-type supergiants, for which a pilot work on the pulsational properties of the sample is also included.

Last but not least, the knowledge gained during this thesis will undoubtedly contribute to undertake future dedicated studies on specific properties or sub-samples. Combined with the data of upcoming large spectroscopic surveys, what we have learned here will hopefully lead us to further improve our understanding of the fundamental properties and nature of massive stars.

х

# Agradecimientos

Mi más sentido agradecimiento es para mi abuela Carmen y mi abuelo Mariano, quienes hicieron posible que, con apenas 6 años, ya apuntase mi primer telescopio hacia las estrellas. Gracias abuela por llevarme a ver aquel cielo de verano en Ariza, ese que me cautivó. Quién nos lo iba a decir...

Gracias de todo corazón a mis padres por su infinito apoyo, su infinita paciencia, y su infinito amor. Por además mantener la llama de la astronomía viva durante todos aquellos años, especialmente gracias a todos los viajes que hicimos con el coche y el equipo para observar o fotografiar el cielo.

Al resto de mi familia también, pues aunque he estado lejos estos años, sé que me apoyáis en todo, y deseáis lo mejor para mí.

Por supuesto, al responsable de que esta tesis haya sido posible, el Dr. Sergio Simón-Díaz. Hace justo seis años que nos conocemos, y nunca me ha faltado ni tu paciencia ni tu apoyo. Has cuidado de mí en todos los sentidos, y me siento infinitamente agradecido por ello. Gracias, además, por haberme abierto tantas puertas, por haberme mantenido motivado en todo momento, por haberme enseñado tanto. Ojalá algún día volvamos a observar juntos en La Palma. Gracias igualmente a mi codirector, el Dr. Miguel A. Urbaneja. Esta tesis no hubiese sido posible de no ser por tu código MAUI. Además de apoyarme siempre, me has enseñado a ser más crítico y objetivo con mis resultados, y, en definitiva, a mejorar la calidad científica de toda esta tesis y de mi trabajo futuro. También te estoy muy agradecido por tu hospitalidad durante las estancias en Innsbruck. Espero que tengas alguna ruta en mente para cuando vuelva a visitarte.

Quiero extender mi agradecimiento a muchas personas que me acompañaron en estos seis años en Canarias, dos en La Palma, cuatro en Tenerife. Esta experiencia no hubiera sido igual sin ellos. Gracias a Cecilia Fariña por inspirarme y ser mi referente en los observatorios. A todos los estudiantes del grupo NOT-ING por todos los planes y vivencias en La Palma. Lo que daría por una última fiesta en la terraza de El Drago junto con Luke. A mi amigo Vladimir, con quien he compartido importantes momentos de mi vida, también en La Palma. A mi amiga Leona, por todas esas excursiones alrededor de nuestra querida Isla Bonita. También a Lucía, de quien aprendí tantísimas cosas de la vida en esos años. Ya en Tenerife, quiero agradecer especialmente a Ana, Lucía, y Sandra por acogerme en el grupo de intrépidas excursionistas, al menos 2/6de ellas. Junto con Alejandra, Andreu, Jaume, Jorge y Josu, y más adelante Ester, Pablo y Yessica, formaron la que para mí ha sido mi familia durante esta tesis. Os tengo infinita gratitud y no sabéis lo afortunado que me siento por todos los momentos, rutas, y viajes compartidos. Por supuesto, no puedo dejar pasar por alto la 040 junto con Lucía y Jaume, hito que no hubiese sido posible de no ser por esta gran familia que nos apovó en todo momento. Tampoco el apovo de Pablo durante esta última etapa. Gracias por tu paciencia en el "gastropiso" y por preocuparte por mi. Gracias a mis compañeros y compañeras de oficina - Mar, Martín, Natalia, Zahra... - por vuestro apoyo; igualmente a Bea, Emma, Mónica, Nacho, Teresa,  $Z\omega\eta$ ; a todo el equipo de la IACOB; a mis recientes amistades latinoamericanas con Angela, Enzo y Matías; y por último, a todas aquellas personas que saben que deberían estar aquí pero, por falta de memoria o tiempo, no han aparecido, por favor, perdonadme.

Agradecer a mi otra familia, la de expertos en estrellas masivas, que durante estos años no han hecho sino inspirarme a mejorar y aprender de este bonito campo de la astrofísica. Especialmente a Artemio Herrero, Francisco Najarro, Ignacio Negueruela, Joachim Puls, Rolf Kudritzki, Norbert Przybilla, Sara Rodríguez y Zsolt Keszthelyi, pero también al resto y a los estudiantes.

Quiero agradecer a Juan Carlos y a Montse por todos los valiosos consejos que me habéis dado, no solo los de viajes. Por vuestra hospitalidad, y vuestro buen trato donde fuese que nos encontrásemos. Siempre deseando escucharos.

Gracias en forma de risas a Andreu Buenafuente y Berto Romero. Vuestro programa "Nadie Sabe Nada" ha sido mi válvula de escape en muchos fines de semana. Esta tesis tiene bastante "samanté".

A Katepíva, por haber cuidado tanto de mí y haberme dado todos los ánimos que necesitaba para seguir en los momentos más difíciles. Has sido uno de mis grandes descubrimientos durante esta tesis. E $v\chi\alpha\rho\nu\iota\sigma\tau\omega\kappa\alpha\rho\delta\iota\dot{\alpha}\mu\sigma\nu$ .

Mi agradecimiento al NOT y al IAC, cuyas becas me han permitido llevar a cabo este trabajo y conocer a tantísimos grandes profesionales. También a Artemio Herrero, Dominic Bowman y Norbert Przybilla por sus valiosos comentarios a la tesis.

Abel de Burgos Sierra

# Acknowledgments

My most profound gratitude goes to my grandmother Carmen and my grandfather Mariano, who made it possible for me to point my first telescope at the stars when I was only 6 years old. Thank you grandma for taking me to see the summer sky in Ariza, the one that captivated me. Who was going to tell us...

A heartfelt thanks to my parents for their infinite support, their infinite patience, and their infinite love. For also keeping the flame of astronomy alive during all those years, especially thanks to all the trips we made with the car and the equipment to observe or photograph the sky.

To the rest of my family as well, because even though I have been far away these years, I know that you always support me, and wish the best for me.

Of course, to the person responsible for making this thesis possible, Dr. Sergio Simón-Díaz. We have known each other for exactly six years and I have never lacked your patience or your support. You have taken care of me in every way, and I feel infinitely grateful for that. Thank you also for having opened so many doors for me, for having kept me motivated at all times, for having taught me so much. I hope that someday we will observe together again in La Palma. Thanks also to my co-supervisor, Dr. Miguel A. Urbaneja. This thesis would not have been possible without your MAUI code. In addition to always supporting me, you have taught me to be more critical and objective with my results and, ultimately, to improve the scientific quality of this thesis and my future work. I am also very grateful for your hospitality during the stays in Innsbruck. I hope you have some route in mind for when I visit you again.

I want to extend my thanks to many people who accompanied me in these six years in the Canaries, two in La Palma, four in Tenerife. This experience would not have been the same without them. Thanks to Cecilia Fariña for inspiring me and being my reference at the observatories. To all the students of the NOTING group for all the plans and experiences in La Palma. I wish there was one last party on the terrace of El Drago together with Luke. To my friend Vladimir, with whom I have shared important moments of my life, also in La Palma. To my friend Leona, for all those excursions around our beloved Isla Bonita. Also to Lucia, from whom I learned so many things about life during those years. Once in Tenerife, I want to especially thank Ana, Lucia, and Sandra for accepting me in your group of intrepid hikers, at least 2/6 of you were. Together with Alejandra, Andreu, Jaume, Jorge and Josu, and later Ester, Pablo and Yessica, they formed what for me has been my family during the thesis. I have infinite gratitude, and you do not know how fortunate I feel for all the moments, routes, and trips we shared. Of course, I cannot skip the 040 with Lucía and Jaume, a milestone that would not have been possible without that great family that supported us all the way. Thank you Pablo for your support during this last stage of the thesis, for your patience in our "gastropiso" and for caring about me. Thanks to my office mates - Mar, Martín, Natalia, Zahra... - for your support; also to Bea, Emma, Mónica, Nacho, Teresa,  $Z\omega\eta$ ; to all the IACOB team; to my recent Latin American friendships Angela, Enzo and Matías; and finally, to all those people who know they should be here but, due to my lack of memory or time, have not appeared, please forgive me.

I would like to thank my other family, the experts in massive stars, who during these years have done nothing but inspire me to improve and learn from this beautiful field of astrophysics. Especially to Artemio Herrero, Francisco Najarro, Ignacio Negueruela, Joachim Puls, Rolf Kudritzki, Norbert Przybilla, Sara Rodríguez and Zsolt Keszthelyi, but also to the rest and to the students.

I want to thank Juan Carlos and Montse for all the valuable advice you have given me, not only about traveling. For your hospitality and your care wherever we met. Always looking forward to hearing from you.

Thanks in the form of laughs to Andreu Buenafuente and Berto Romero. Your program "Nadie Sabe Nada" has been my escape valve on many weekends. This thesis has a lot of "samanté".

To  $K\alpha\tau\epsilon\rho(\nu\alpha)$ , for taking such good care of me and giving me all the encouragement I needed to continue in the most difficult moments. You have been one of my greatest discoveries during this thesis.  $E\nu\chi\alpha\rho\nu\iota\sigma\tau\omega\kappa\alpha\rho\delta\iota\omega\mu\nu\nu$ .

My thanks to the NOT and the IAC, whose grants have allowed me to carry out this work and to meet so many great professionals. Also to Artemio Herrero, Dominic Bowman and Norbert Przybilla for their valuable comments on the thesis.

Abel de Burgos Sierra

# Contents

R	Resumen							
A	Abstract Agradecimientos							
A								
Α	ckno	wledgr	nents	xiii				
1	Introduction							
	1.1	Mass	ive stars	. 1				
		1.1.1	The importance of massive stars in the Universe	. 1				
		1.1.2	The formation and birth of massive stars	. 4				
		1.1.3	The main sequence phase	. 5				
		1.1.4	The post-main sequence phase and final fates	. 8				
	1.2	Conte	ext of the thesis	. 10				
	1.3	The l	ACOB project	. 16				
	1.4	Aim	and structure of the thesis	. 17				
		1.4.1	Aim of this thesis	. 17				
		1.4.2	Building the spectroscopic sample	. 18				
		1.4.3	Structure of this thesis	. 19				
	1.5	Adde	ndum	. 21				
2	Building a modern empirical database of Galactic O9–B9 supergiants							
3	Large-scale quantitative spectroscopic analysis of Galactic luminous blue stars							
4	No increase of mass-loss rates over the bi-stability region							

5	Ne dor	ew clue nain	s on the location of the TAMS in the massive star	81		
6	6 On the properties and evolutionary nature of Galactic B- type supergiants around the TAMS					
7	7 Conclusions and future work					
Α	Appendices					
	A.1 Developed <i>pyIACOB</i> Python 3 package					
		A.1.1	Database module: Search tool and summary tables	. 125		
		A.1.2	Spectrum module: Visualization and line fitting	. 126		
		A.1.3	Radial velocity module	. 126		
		A.1.4	Higher-level modules	. 127		
		A.1.5	Modules connecting Python with IDL programs	. 128		
Bibliography						

# Introduction

Science is more than a body of knowledge, it is a way of thinking. Carl Sagan

## 1.1 Massive stars

#### 1.1.1 The importance of massive stars in the Universe

ASSIVE stars play a pivotal role in shaping the Universe as we know Lit. Born with initial masses of eight times that of the Sun or more, they are the cosmic engines that drive the evolution of galaxies and the production of heavy elements (Matteucci 2008; Hopkins et al. 2014; Eldridge & Stanway 2022). During the formation stages of their lives, they become the dominant source of energy, which is liberated into their parental molecular clouds, leading to the formation, but also to the destruction of their still forming siblings (e.g., Hollenbach et al. 1994; Scally & Clarke 2001; Matzner 2002). Compared to less-massive stars, their convective cores are able to fuse heavier elements, producing elements such as oxygen, sodium, phosphorus, sulfur, or iron, all of which are essential for the formation of planets and life as we know it (e.g., Woosley & Heger 2015; Hirschi 2015; Kobavashi et al. 2020, see also Fig. 1.1). Their higher core mass, temperature, and pressure are also key aspects during the final stages of their evolution, leading to the production of some of the most extreme cosmic events, such as core-collapse supernovae and gamma-ray bursts (e.g., Woosley & Bloom 2006; Smartt 2009), which further extend the production of heavy elements. While the remnants of these catastrophic events - neutron stars and black holes - represent some of the most exotic objects in the Universe, the ejected material becomes an important source of chemical enrichment in galaxies (e.g., Matteucci & Greggio 1986; Nomoto et al. 2013; Nomoto 2017). Furthermore, the release of large amounts of gas and debris is crucial in the formation of the next generation of stars and planets (e.g., Herbst & Assousa 1977; Walter et al. 1994; Ansdell et al. 2020).

The enormous amount of energy produced in their cores makes massive stars among the hottest and most luminous objects in the Universe, with surface temperatures that can reach several tens of thousands of Kelvin and luminosities that can exceed a million times that of the Sun. These properties make them suitable for extragalactic studies, providing additional information on star formation and chemical abundances beyond the Milky Way (e.g., Monteverde et al. 2000; Urbaneja et al. 2005a,b; Bresolin et al. 2016). Their luminosities are so high that they can be used as distance indicators up to several megaparsecs (Kudritzki & Puls 2000; Kudritzki et al. 2003, 2008), as well as to study very low-metallicity environments (e.g., Evans et al. 2007; Vink et al. 2023), some even close to the conditions of the early Universe (Hirschi 2007; Garcia et al. 2019). Furthermore, they were fundamental for the formation of the first galaxies (Bromm & Larson 2004; Robertson et al. 2010), playing an important role in the epoch of reionization of the Universe (see Bromm et al. 2001; Heckman et al. 2011).

Another important feature throughout the lives of massive stars is the presence of intense stellar winds. These can reach supersonic velocities of up to  $2000-3000 \,\mathrm{km \, s^{-1}}$  and expel a significant amount of their initial mass at rates of up to  $10^{-5}-10^{-4} \,\mathrm{M_{\odot} \, yr^{-1}}$  (see Vink 2022, and references therein), which becomes an additional source of chemical enrichment (e.g., Maeder 1981; Woosley & Weaver 1995; Cescutti & Chiappini 2010). Combined with intense ultraviolet radiation and episodic eruptions, these winds play a crucial role in shaping the surrounding environment, as they are able to trigger or cancel out new episodes of star formation (e.g., Krause et al. 2013; Watkins et al. 2019; Kim et al. 2019; Geen et al. 2021).

Although the influence of massive stars on the interstellar medium and the chemical evolution of their host galaxies is undeniable, these stars are



FIGURE 1.1— Periodic table displaying the currently believed origins of each atomic element. Stars in a wide range of masses are also able to produce elements from carbon to sulfur by charged-particle fusion reactions. The iron group elements are likely formed during the event of supernovae explosions. Further elements would be produced by neutron capture in massive stars by different proposed mechanisms still under debate. Credit: CMG Lee based on the original image by Jennifer Johnson (OSU).

not the most common ones in the Universe. In fact, they only represent a small fraction of the total stellar population (Salpeter 1955; Chabrier 2005). In the Milky Way, for example, they represent less than 1% of the total number of stars (Kroupa 2001). Furthermore, their lifetimes span only a few million years, which is significantly shorter compared to their less-massive counterparts that can live for billions of years. Despite these facts, they are responsible for the majority of the energy output of galaxies (e.g., Leitherer et al. 1999; Shapley et al. 2003; Marques-Chaves et al. 2020).

It is now well known that a significant fraction of massive stars interact with a companion at some point during their lifetimes (Sana et al. 2012, 2013; Moe & Di Stefano 2017). These systems are of particular interest because they can lead to a variety of phenomena such as mass transfer, contact binary evolution, and stellar mergers that might represent important evolutionary channels to better understand the evolution of massive stars (Marchant & Bodensteiner 2023). Moreover, the merging of blackhole or neutron-star systems has recently gained much attention in the astrophysics community because of associated production of gravitational waves (Abbott et al. 2016; Belczynski et al. 2016; Marchant et al. 2016).

#### 1.1.2 The formation and birth of massive stars

Massive stars are typically found in young clusters and associations distributed in their host galaxies. In particular, in those where the fraction of gas is higher (such as spiral or irregular galaxies; see Lada & Lada 2003; de Wit et al. 2004, 2005). This leads to the basic question of how massive stars form, which is crucial for understanding their evolution and final fates. Despite the fact that our understanding of how they form is not yet complete, the initial steps likely follow a pathway similar to their intermediate- and low-mass counterparts, although on much shorter timescales (Davies et al. 2011; Mottram et al. 2011). This first involves the gravitational collapse of dense  $(10^4 - 10^5 \text{ particles/cm}^{-3})$  and cold ( 10 -20 K) giant molecular clouds (GMCs) made mainly of molecular hydrogen, which can hold  $10^4 - 10^6 M_{\odot}$  (see reviews by Krumholz et al. 2019; Klessen & Glover 2023). The next step in the formation of stars is the fragmentation of the GMCs, with sizes of 10-100 pc, into smaller discrete clumps in a hierarchical process (Blitz & Stark 1986). These clumps continue to collapse under their own gravity as long as their mass exceeds the associated Jeans mass of the region, reaching masses of  $10-1000 \,\mathrm{M_{\odot}}$  and sizes of 0.1-1 pc (Murray et al. 2010). At this point, the gas of the clumps becomes more optically thick and less efficient in radiating away the energy gained by the release of gravitational potential energy, which leads to an increase in temperature (to 60-100 K) and density  $(10^{-13} \text{ g cm}^{-3})$ . While the gas at the core of the clumps becomes hotter, the outward pressure increases until the further gravitational collapse is halted. The core reaches a hydrostatic equilibrium, and the clumps then become protostars, which can still accrete mass from the surrounding material. Eventually, the temperature at the core of the protostar will reach 15 million Kelvin, and nuclear fusion of hydrogen into helium will start. The newly formed star has reached the zero-age main sequence (ZAMS), and its internal structure is composed of a convective core surrounded by a radiative envelope. It is important to note that, despite the very large mass of the initial GMCs, the efficiency of star formation is low, with only 0.2% to 20% of the total mass being converted into stars (Evans 1991; Evans et al. 2009; Murray et al. 2010).

Beyond this simplified version of star formation, the process is more complex and involves a variety of other physical processes, such as turbulence, metallicity, magnetic fields, and feedback from the newly formed stars, which can have a significant impact on the properties of stars reaching the main sequence (e.g., Orkisz et al. 2017; Pattle et al. 2023). Moreover, the initial collapse of the GMCs can be triggered by a variety of mechanisms, such as the compression of the gas by supernova explosions, the collision of clouds, or the interaction with other galaxies.

However, even the simplified formation of massive stars is not yet fully understood. One of the main challenges is the fact that their formation requires a significantly larger accretion mass compared to less-massive objects. One possibility is that high-mass protostars keep accreting enough mass even when the nuclear fusion in their cores has already started (Haemmerlé et al. 2019), or they do so at high accretion rates (Hosokawa & Omukai 2009). However, their stronger initial feedback favors the dispersion of the surrounding material on the same timescale as the star forms, limiting its final mass (e.g., Vázquez-Semadeni et al. 2019). Other possibilities include episodic mass accretion (e.g., Hunter et al. 2017; Elbakyan et al. 2021), or the merging with other nearby forming stars (e.g., Smith et al. 2012; Stacy & Bromm 2013). This situation is further complicated by the fact that massive stars at the ZAMS are still highly embedded in their parental molecular clouds (Zinnecker & Yorke 2007; Langer 2012), making them much less accessible before they become visible at optical and ultraviolet wavelengths (Bernasconi & Maeder 1996). Therefore, our interpretation mainly depends on the observed properties when massive stars have already evolved on the main sequence (Yorke 1986, see below).

### 1.1.3 The main sequence phase

The main sequence phase of massive stars is the most stable phase of their evolution (Maeder & Meynet 2000; Heger & Langer 2000). During this phase, the star is able to fuse hydrogen into helium in its core, producing an outward thermal pressure that counteracts the inward pressure of gravitational collapse from the overlying layers. It is in this phase where they spend most of their lives, which are significantly shorter compared to stars of lower masses. As an example, for initial masses around  $120 M_{\odot}$ , this phase only lasts  $\sim 3$  million years, whereas for a  $12M_{\odot}$  star, it extends to  $\sim 20$  million years (see Ekström et al. 2012). In contrast, the Sun, with  $1M_{\odot}$ , will spend ~10 billion years on the main sequence. The reason for the much shorter timescales of massive stars is connected with the carbon-nitrogen-oxygen (CNO) cycle. This cycle is more efficient and has a higher energy production rate than the proton-proton chain, which is the dominant mechanism in less massive stars. The energy produced in the convective core is then transported to the radiative envelope and to the surface of the star, where it is radiated away.



FIGURE 1.2— Schematic distribution of different evolutionary phases of massive stars in the Hertzsprung–Russell diagram. The known binary fraction is also included. The Humphreys-Davidson limit is indicated with dashed line (Humphreys & Davidson 1979). The AGB phase corresponding to stars of lower masses is combined with the RSG phase. Adapted figure from the review by Marchant & Bodensteiner (2023, Fig. 1; reproduced with permission).

Massive stars typically enter the main sequence phase as O-  $(M \gtrsim 18 M_{\odot})$ or early B-type dwarfs (8  $M_{\odot} \lesssim M \lesssim 18 M_{\odot}$ ). Their effective temperature ranges between 45000 K and 25000 K, and their luminosities are between  $10^4$  and  $10^6$  times that of the Sun (see Fig. 1.2). During the evolution on the main sequence, the core undergoes a contraction and a temperature increase. At the same time, the higher energy rates produce the expansion of the outer layers of the star, leading to a decrease of the surface temperature and an increase in luminosity. The decrease in surface temperature along the main sequence is more significant for O-type stars than for the early B-type stars. Massive stars with  $8 M_{\odot} < M < 60 M_{\odot}$  evolve toward the end of the main sequence at a relatively constant luminosity. This phase ends when the hydrogen in the core is exhausted. At this point, different scenarios are proposed for the evolution of these objects that depend on the range of masses considered (see Conti 1975; Bond et al. 1984; Langer et al. 1994; Crowther et al. 1995). For stars up to  $\sim 25 \,\mathrm{M}_{\odot}$ , they are predicted to evolve into red supergiants (RSGs), which is further supported by empirical observations of these objects up to those masses (see

Levesque et al. 2005). For stars with  $25 M_{\odot} < M < 60 M_{\odot}$ , the situation is much less constrained. It has been proposed that these objects undergo a very short RSG phase, or simply skip it, and continue to evolve into luminous blue variables (LBVs; Gräfener et al. 2012; Groh et al. 2013; Weis & Bomans 2020) and Wolf-Rayet (WR; Conti 1975; Abbott & Conti 1987; Higgins et al. 2021) stars<sup>1</sup>. For stars more massive than  $\sim 60 \,\mathrm{M}_{\odot}$ , the strong stellar winds and associated mass loss combined with instabilities caused by proximity to the Eddington limit (Sanyal et al. 2015) make the evolution of these objects highly complex and uncertain. Despite this simplified scheme, it is also important to remark that other properties such as stellar rotation can heavily influence the evolutionary paths followed by massive stars. Moreover, the presence of a companion star can also have a significant impact on the evolution of massive stars. In fact, binary interaction can lead to mass transfer, mass accretion, common envelope evolution, and even stellar mergers (see Marchant & Bodensteiner 2023, and references therein). Some of these processes can trigger a "rejuvenation" of the binary product, where the star may become hotter and more luminous as a result of the additional hydrogen available for fusion.

The initial mass of the star is the main parameter that determines the duration of the main sequence phase; however, other factors can also play an important role in this phase (Langer 2012). One of them involves the internal mixing mechanisms that can provide the core with fresh hydrogen, such as rotation, core overshooting, inflation, or semi-convection (see Maeder & Meynet 1988; Maeder 2009; Schootemeijer et al. 2019; Martinet et al. 2021). However, these mechanisms are far from being well understood and are hard to test empirically. Among them, the effect of rotation has been extensively studied as it can be confronted with observations. In this regard, the impact on evolution highly depends on the initial rotation rate of the star when it enters the ZAMS, and whether this rotation is maintained or not during the main sequence (e.g., Meynet & Maeder 2005; Heger et al. 2005; Ekström et al. 2012; Georgy et al. 2013). Moreover, rotation can also enhance mass loss through stellar winds, which is another factor that can have a significant impact on the evolution of massive stars (see below). Unfortunately, as indicated before, the initial rotation rates of massive stars are not yet well constrained (see, however, Holgado et al. 2022), preventing us from adopting realistic initial conditions.

 $<sup>^{1}</sup>$ WNh in Fig. 1.2 refers to WR stars showing hydrogen lines in their spectra, and are proposed to be progenitors of LBV stars (see Conti 1975).

Mass loss also has an important impact on the main sequence of massive stars (e.g., Kudritzki & Puls 2000; Vink et al. 2010; Smith 2014; Renzo et al. 2017). As indicated in Sect. 1.1.1, these objects are characterized by strong stellar winds, which are able to "unpeel" the outer layers of the star and take away angular momentum from the surface. Furthermore, the mass loss rate of massive stars is not necessarily constant, but it can vary significantly during the main sequence phase. In this regard, changes in the opacity of the atmosphere along the evolution can also lead to enhanced mass-loss rates. These rates can also be severely affected by the presence of magnetic fields, which can lead to the formation of magnetically confined winds (e.g., Petit et al. 2017; Keszthelyi et al. 2019). In fact, the effects of magnetic fields can also have a significant impact on the evolution of massive stars on the main sequence (Keszthelyi et al. 2017, 2019, 2022).

Last but not least, the metallicity of the star, which is defined as the fraction of elements heavier than helium in its atmosphere, also plays an important role in the evolution of massive stars on the main sequence (see Kudritzki et al. 1987; Vink et al. 2001). In fact, the higher the metallicity of the star, the stronger the metal lines that drive the stellar winds, directly affecting the amount of mass loss (Mokiem et al. 2007). Furthermore, metallicity influences the initial amount of CNO material in the nuclei, making stars more compact towards lower metallicities (Yoon et al. 2006; Ekström et al. 2012; Szécsi et al. 2015).

#### 1.1.4 The post-main sequence phase and final fates

The post-main sequence evolution has much shorter timescales compared to the main sequence, and it is characterized by a series of rapid changes in the structure and properties of the star. At the beginning of this phase, the star has an inert helium core surrounded by a hydrogen burning shell. The lack of energy production leads gravity to compress the core until its temperature and pressure are high enough to ignite the helium burning. The large amount of energy produced in the hydrogen shell and the core leads to an expansion of the outer layers of the star, which become significantly cooler and more luminous. The stars reaching this phase become RSGs<sup>2</sup>, with effective temperatures between 4000 K and 3000 K (K-to-M spectral types; Levesque et al. 2005) and radii of some hundreds of solar radii (see Fig. 1.2). As the star evolves, the helium in the core is also exhausted. In

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>Transitioning objects may include the so-called "yellow supergiants" (YSGs).

contrast to the hydrogen burning phase, the helium burning phase is much faster and only last for 0.3-2 million years for stars born with  $12-120 \,\mathrm{M_{\odot}}$ (see Ekström et al. 2012). At this point, a main difference with the less massive stars is that the core of the star is able to reach temperatures high enough to ignite heavier elements, such as carbon, neon, oxygen and silicon, each time producing a burning shell around the core beneath the previous burning shell (see Laplace et al. 2021). It is during the RSG phase that the star undergoes several dredge-up episodes, where the outer layers of the star are mixed with the material from the core, changing the surface abundances of the star (Heger & Woosley 2010). Moreover, this phase is characterized by an important mass loss of the outer layers of the stars (Humphreys et al. 2020). It is also predicted in some cases that stars experience an evolution towards hotter temperatures through the so-called "blue-loops" (Ekström et al. 2012). Regardless of these loops, the fusion of heavier elements will also lead to the formation of an iron core, which, rather than providing energy to the star through its fusion, absorbs it in an endothermic process. At this point, this iron core is surrounded by a series layers of other elements in an "onion-like" structure. The core contraction will continue until the temperature eventually reaches  $\sim 10^{10}$  K. Stars born with  $M \gtrsim 8 M_{\odot}$  have reached the last phase of their evolution (Wooslev & Weaver 1986).

In a process that lasts for a few seconds, the photons are so energetic that they are able to photo-disintegrate the iron core into  $\alpha$  particles, reducing the radiative pressure, leading to the catastrophic collapse of the star and a subsequent supernova explosion (Janka & Mueller 1996; Janka 2012). During this event, vast amounts of high-energetic particles (such as  $\gamma$  rays or neutrinos) are ejected into space. Furthermore, different heavier elements are produced, which are expelled into the interstellar medium with the outer layers of the star, enriching the interstellar medium (see again Fig. 1.1). At the moment of collapse, the core of the star reaches nuclear matter densities of  $10^{14}$  g cm<sup>-3</sup>. A degenerate neutron star is formed, which is held by the neutron degeneracy pressure (Woosley et al. 2002; Steiner et al. 2010). It is believed that massive stars with initial masses above a given threshold surpass the Tolman-Oppenheimer-Volkoff limit, and the neutron star collapses into a black hole (O'Connor & Ott 2011; Sukhold et al. 2016).

### 1.2 Context of the thesis

From the different evolutionary phases of massive stars, the one in which they transition from the main sequence towards the RSG phase is among the most elusive, less constrained, and yet important. Understanding the properties of stars in this transition phase is crucial for testing some of the predictions of stellar evolution models and for setting strong anchor points to our overall theoretical knowledge of massive stars and their end products. Back in the decade of the 1980s, it was generally accepted that main-sequence massive stars comprise either O-type stars or early B-type giants to dwarfs. B-type supergiants and bright giants were thought to be transitioning objects between the main sequence and the RSG phase, this is, post-MS objects. Furthermore, the number of dedicated studies to these objects before the 1990s was limited to a limited number of works (e.g., Cayrel 1958; Jaschek & Jaschek 1967; Walborn 1970; Dufton 1972; Melnick 1985; Lennon & Dufton 1986). However, with the advent of CCD photometry and slit spectroscopy, the number of observed massive stars with available stellar parameters increased significantly. The works by Fitzpatrick & Garmany (1990) in the Large Magellanic Cloud (LMC), the ones by Lennon et al. (1992, 1993) in the Milky Way, or the one by Blaha & Humphreys (1989) including stars from both groups, were some of the pioneering works that stepped up the number of studied B-type supergiants. The former led to the realization of the unexpectedly large population of these stars in the Hertzsprung–Russell (HR) diagram (see also Humphreys & McElroy 1984; Garmany & Fitzpatrick 1989).

The study by Fitzpatrick & Garmany (1990) was based on a sample of 1375 O-to-G-type supergiants that only included objects that do not exhibit optical emission lines (i.e., did not include WR or LBV stars). It was found a diagonal "ledge" of B-type supergiants where the density of objects dropped over five times from the hot to the cool side of the diagram (see Fig. 1.3). Furthermore, the study revealed that the density contrast across the ledge was unlikely to result from random chance. The comparison with evolutionary tracks by Maeder & Meynet (1988, 1989) revealed that the ledge was located 5 to 10 kK beyond the terminal-age main sequence (TAMS) of the models<sup>3</sup>. This led to the interpretation that all of those B-type supergiants were in a post-MS phase, thus no longer in the core hydrogen-burning phase. Moreover, further checks for different masses and metallicities indicated that the drop should be almost vertical

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup>Langer (1992) in fact defined this problem as the "TAMS problem".



FIGURE 1.3— HR diagram for the LMC taken from Fitzpatrick & Garmany (1990, ©AAS, reproduced with permission). The location of the "ledge" is shown by the solid diagonal line. The heavy solid line shows the location of the ZAMS for models published by Maeder & Meynet (1988). This solid lines are evolutionary tracks for four different masses.

when the effective temperature decreases, in contrast to the diagonal shape of the ledge. The most plausible explanation for Fitzpatrick & Garmany (1990) was found if massive stars follow a blue-loop evolution (see also Chiosi & Summa 1970; Paczyński 1970). In this regard, Simpson (1971) was the first to suggest that, compared to main sequence stars, stars that have undergone a RSG evolution should exhibit enhanced helium and nitrogen surface abundances as a result of a deep surface convection zone operating during the RSG phase. However, predicted mass-loss rates back then were not sufficient to "unpeel" the stars in order to expose the inner layers of processed material. The data of Fitzpatrick & Garmany (1990) also evidenced the lack of a gap in the HR diagram caused by the rapid evolution of stars leaving the main sequence. In this regard, Tuchman & Wheeler (1990) suggested that the gap was filled by stars that have accreted helium from a companion. This put our understanding of the evolutionary nature of early to mid-B-type supergiants under debate, opening a discussion that has remained open until the present.

Despite the fact that the discrepancy with the evolutionary models was worrying, it was not the only existing one involving B-type supergiants. The "mass discrepancy problem" was another important issue that was not (and still is not) resolved. First pointed out by Groenewegen et al. (1989) and Herrero et al. (1990), it arose from the discrepancy between the stellar masses derived from the spectroscopic analyses with adopted distances and the masses obtained from the evolutionary tracks, the second ones being systematically larger than the first ones by up to 50% (see also Kudritzki et al. 1992; Herrero et al. 1992). An additional problem was also found from the non-negligible fraction of luminous blue stars on the main sequence that exhibited enhanced surface helium abundances (e.g., Schönberner et al. 1988; Voels et al. 1989; Gies & Lambert 1992; Herrero et al. 1992) where evolutionary models did not predict such enhancements. In this regard, Langer (1992) proposed rotationally induced mixing as a possible solution to this problem. However, this implied that helium-enriched stars should be fast-rotating objects, that helium enrichment must be accompanied by nitrogen enrichment, or that all B-type supergiants within the theoretical post-main sequence gap should be helium enriched.

Parallel to the earliest works on B-type supergiants and the abovementioned findings was the theoretical development of the radiative transfer theory required for the analyses of hot massive stars (e.g., Sobolev 1957; Hummer & Rybicki 1968; Mihalas 1965; Castor & Lamers 1979; Pauldrach et al. 1986), including the Castor, Abbott, & Klein (1975, CAK) theory of radiation-driven winds. The works of Dufton (1972, 1979), which used local thermal equilibrium (LTE) model atmospheres to analyze two early B-type supergiants, were followed by those of Lennon et al. (1993) and McErlean et al. (1999), which employed plane-parallel hydrostatic non-LTE (NLTE) models for the analyses. Additional advancements followed with the inclusion of line-blanketing effects in the model atmospheres (e.g., Vacca et al. 1996; Hillier & Miller 1998), the presence of wind inhomogeneities (e.g., Schmutz 1995; Hamann & Koesterke 1998), or the theoretical improvement of the H $\alpha$  formation (Puls et al. 1996).

Naturally, these steps also led to the development or improvement of the model atoms required to compute the line formation and synthetic spectra. These first included hydrogen and helium, but followed the most abundant metals in the atmospheres of massive stars such as carbon, nitrogen, oxygen, silicon, and iron group elements, which are important for the blanketing effect (Jokuthy 2002; Eber & Butler 1988; Becker & Butler 1988, 1989; Herrero et al. 1992). The last two and a half decades represent a turning point in the study of massive stars at most levels. The theoretical developments on the physical processes that take place in the stellar interior, atmospheres, and winds of massive stars (Kudritzki & Puls 2000; Maeder & Meynet 2000), led to a high level of confidence and reliability in the output of the stellar atmosphere and stellar evolution codes (see, Puls et al. 2003; Martins & Palacios 2013, respectively). Two key aspects in the evolution of massive stars such as stellar rotation and mass loss were taken into account in the models, explaining many of the observed properties of massive stars in the first decade of the 21st century. However, other aspects of the evolution of massive stars have remained unsolved.

The new millennium brought new challenges to the field of massive stars and B-type supergiants. The advent of new observational facilities provided a wealth of new data of much higher resolving power and spectral coverage with respect to those used before, which allowed for a more detailed analysis of the spectral features and surface abundances of the stars (e.g., Weßmayer et al. 2022, 2023). Some of the most relevant works in this regard were those by Crowther et al. (2006); Lefever et al. (2007); Markova & Puls (2008); Searle et al. (2008). Their results revealed that the predictions of the state-of-the-art models (such as the widely used models by Brott et al. 2011a; Ekström et al. 2012) were still unable to reproduce some of the observed properties of massive stars. For instance, the observed surface abundances revealed a mismatch between observations and the predictions by single-star evolutionary models regarding the efficiency of rotational mixing during the main sequence (Hunter et al. 2008; Brott et al. 2011b; Rivero González et al. 2012). Furthermore, different mechanisms of angular momentum transport from the core to the surface (e.g., Brott et al. 2011a; Ekström et al. 2012) or the spin-down effect of magnetic braking (Meynet et al. 2011; Keszthelyi et al. 2020) were used to partially describe the observed rotation rates of massive stars, which were found in the form of a bimodal distribution (Conti & Ebbets 1977; Ramírez-Agudelo et al. 2013; Dufton et al. 2013; Ramírez-Agudelo et al. 2015; Holgado et al. 2022). Another apparent discrepancy was found between the theoretically predicted and observationally derived mass-loss rates, which have a significant impact on the outcome of stellar evolution computations (Keszthelyi et al. 2017). In parallel, the long-standing suspicion about the high percentage of massive stars born in binary and multiple systems was finally empirically confirmed in the O- and mainsequence B-type star domains (see Vanbeveren & Mennekens 2017; Barbá et al. 2017; Sana 2017, for recent reviews; see also Fig. 1.2). B-type supergiants, which were once thought to be single stars (Humphreys 1978), were now expected to include a significant fraction of binary products (de Mink et al. 2014; Farrell et al. 2019).

The last decade has been marked by the development of new theoretical works that describe the evolution and outcomes of the different types of binary interaction in massive stars (e.g., Langer et al. 2020). On the observational side, many efforts have been made to try to identify binary systems and signatures of past or ongoing binary interaction. These include the search for spectroscopic binaries, surface abundance signatures of merging or mass-transfer processes, or the presence of circumstellar material. In this regard, the O-type stars domain has been the most studied in the search for binary systems (e.g., Mason et al. 2009; Barbá et al. 2010; Sana et al. 2013; Maíz Apellániz et al. 2019), whereas the B-type supergiants domain is less constrained (McEvoy et al. 2015; Menon et al. 2024). Given this context, observational clues about the evolutionary status of B-type supergiants represent a key piece of the puzzle to understand the properties of massive stars, which brings us back to the original work of Fitzpatrick & Garmany (1990) on the overdensity of these objects beyond the main sequence.

A recent development in the study of massive stars comes from the advent of asteroseismology (see Aerts 2021). This technique has been successfully applied to intermediate- and low-mass stars, providing a wealth of information about their internal structure and evolution (see, e.g., Chaplin & Miglio 2013; García & Ballot 2019). However, the application of asteroseismology to massive stars was initially constrained by the lack of the necessary data sets (see some early works on B-type stars by Aerts & Lamers 2003; Dupret et al. 2004). This situation improved with the launch of the CoRoT space mission (e.g., Degroote et al. 2010; Blomme et al. 2011; Neiner et al. 2012), and, particularly in the last decade, with the advent of new observational facilities such as the Kepler/K2 (Koch et al. 2010; Borucki et al. 2010; Howell et al. 2014) and TESS (Ricker et al. 2015) space missions. In particular, the latter has obtained photometric light curves for the largest number of massive stars to date, with high photometric precision, temporal cadence, and coverage. These data have revealed that massive stars are dominated by stochastic low-frequency variability (SLFV), which origin is yet to be explained (see Buysschaert et al. 2015, David-Uraz et al. 2017, Bowman et al. 2020; also Bowman et al. 2019 as the "discovery" paper).

However, one of the main challenges comes from the difficulty of separating the oscillations (or pulsation-mode frequencies) produced in the stellar surface or stellar interiors from the variability induced by the stellar winds. In this regard, combining information from photometric and spectroscopic variability provides further insights into the internal structure of massive stars (see the works by Aerts et al. 2018; Burssens et al. 2023).

Despite the challenges, asteroseismology represents a powerful tool to investigate some of the physical processes that take place in the core of massive stars, such as the mixing of nuclear products, the transport of angular momentum, and the effects of magnetic fields. This information is crucial for testing the predictions of stellar evolution models and for understanding the properties of massive stars in general (Aerts et al. 2019; Bowman 2020).

Another important milestone from recent years comes from the ESA Gaia mission (Gaia Collaboration et al. 2016, 2023; Babusiaux et al. 2023). Gaia has provided valuable and reliable information about the distances and proper motions of a very large fraction of massive stars in the Milky Way. This information is crucial for accurately determining the physical fundamental properties of massive stars (such as their luminosities, radii, and masses) but also to study their kinematics relative to their parent stellar clusters or associations. The latter is crucial to find runaway objects that have been ejected as a result of, for example, binary interaction or supernova kick (Gvaramadze & Gualandris 2011; Perets & Šubr 2012; Renzo et al. 2019).

At present, we live in a bright time for the study of massive stars. The availability of large databases of high-quality spectroscopic, photometric, and kinematic data combined with the recent theoretical developments for the physical processes taking place in the stellar interiors, atmospheres, and winds of massive stars (e.g., Aerts et al. 2019; Marchant & Bodensteiner 2023; Vink et al. 2023), as well as in the binary interaction processes (e.g., Schneider et al. 2019; Renzo & Götberg 2021; Sen et al. 2022), has provided a unique opportunity to study massive stars at unprecedented detail. This situation also provides a unique opportunity to address some of the long-standing questions involving B-type supergiants, test the predictions of the state-of-the-art evolutionary models, and provide new insights into their evolutionary nature.

### 1.3 The IACOB project

In order to improve the situation described above, in 2008 was formally born the long-term observational project IACOB (see Simón-Díaz et al. 2011, 2015, 2020). The main objective of this ambitious project hosted at the Instituto de Astrofísica de Canarias, is to provide a statistically significant empirical overview of the main physical properties (including spectroscopic parameters and abundances) of O and B-type stars in the Milky Way. The ultimate goal is to provide reliable anchor points for theoretical models of stellar atmospheres, winds, interiors, and evolution of massive stars. To achieve this, the IACOB project has compiled a unique database of high-resolution, multi-epoch optical spectra of Galactic O-B- and A-type stars over the last 15 years. Currently, this database comprises an outstanding number of more than 15000 spectra from more than 2000 sources. The two main observing instruments are the FIES (Telting et al. 2014) and HERMES (Raskin et al. 2011) fiber-fed echelle spectrographs attached to the 2.56 m Nordic Optical Telescope (NOT) and the 1.2 m Mercator telescope, respectively, both located at the Roque de los Muchachos Observatory in La Palma, Spain (see Chapter 2 for more details).

Three key properties of the IACOB project are: (1) the quality of the data, which have been obtained using advanced and stable high-resolution echelle spectrographs, allowing for a more detailed analysis of the spectral features of massive stars (e.g., Simón-Díaz & Herrero 2014; Godart et al. 2017; Simón-Díaz et al. 2017); (2) the analysis tools developed by the IACOB team and collaborators, which allow for a detailed quantitative spectroscopic analysis of the observed stars (e.g., Simón-Díaz et al. 2014; Holgado et al. 2018, and Chapter 3); and (3) the collection of multi-epoch data for a significant fraction of the observed stars, which allows for the study of the variability of massive stars (Burssens et al. 2020; Britavskiy et al. 2023).

The IACOB project has also established important synergies with other large-scale studies of massive stars. Some of them in the Large and Small Magellanic Clouds include the VLT-FLAMES Tarantula Survey (VFTS; Evans 2011; Evans et al. 2015), the VLT-FLAMES Survey of Massive Stars (VFMS - P.I. S. Smartt; e.g., Evans et al. 2008; Hunter et al. 2007; Trundle et al. 2007; Lennon et al. 2022). Others in the Milky Way include the Galactic O-Star Spectroscopic Survey (GOSSS; Sota et al. 2011, 2014; Maíz Apellániz et al. 2016; Arias et al. 2016), or the Southern Galactic O- and WN-type stars (OWN; Barbá et al. 2010, 2014, 2017). Moreover, the distances and visual magnitudes covered by the IACOB sample perfectly overlap with the brightest sources included in the upcoming spectroscopic surveys such as WEAVE-SCIP-OB (Dalton et al. 2020; Jin et al. 2024a) and 4MOST-4MIDABLE-LR (Chiappini et al. 2019) in the Northern and Southern hemispheres, respectively.

## 1.4 Aim and structure of the thesis

#### 1.4.1 Aim of this thesis

This thesis work sets its bases in the framework of the IACOB project. It is conceived as an empirical reappraisal of the properties of B-type supergiants in the Milky Way, aiming at providing new insights into the evolutionary status of these objects, which are key to understanding the overall properties of massive stars. To achieve this, this work has benefited from a unique database of a growing number of high-resolution, multi-epoch optical spectra obtained by the IACOB team. Second, this work used semiautomatic tools to perform the detailed quantitative spectroscopic analysis of the observed stars, which represented a significant improvement compared to traditional techniques (see Chapter 3). In particular, this has allowed to obtain estimates of the broadening, stellar, and wind parameters, and surface abundances of key atomic elements. Third, multi-epoch data have been used to study the variability and multiplicity of the observed stars. Finally, the work has been complemented with additional information on parallaxes, proper motions, and photometric variability provided by the *Gaia* and TESS missions.

All these aspects together make this work a significant improvement with respect to the previous studies on B-type supergiants, which were based on low-resolution spectra, a reduced number of sources, or were biased in the sample selection or limited to objects in the Magellanic clouds or nearby galaxies. The reference work of this thesis in terms of sample size is the one by Castro et al. (2014), which compiled results for 439 Galactic OB stars observed spectroscopically (see Chapter 5). Furthermore, this thesis represents an important achievement within the IACOB project. While the Ph.D. thesis of Holgado (2019) focused on the study of the properties of 415 O-type stars of all luminosity classes, this one has concentrated in their direct descendants, these are, the B-type supergiants. The ultimate goal of this thesis is to provide new empirical constraints to the state-of-the-art evolutionary models, and add new clues towards nature of the B-type supergiants included in the sample. In addition to that, this study aims to have some impact across several fields of astrophysics. In fact, supernovae, WR stars, RSGs, stellar black holes, neutron stars, long-duration gamma-ray bursts, are all direct descendants of massive OB stars. Any meaningful attempt to connect these extreme objects with their progenitors is critically supported by our knowledge of massive star evolution. Stellar population synthesis codes and the interpretation of the light emitted by starburst galaxies also rely on a reliable characterization of the physical and evolutionary properties of massive stars.

### 1.4.2 Building the spectroscopic sample

At the time of the start of this thesis, the IACOB project had access to 8000 spectra of about 1000 stars, half of which corresponded to O-The other half was distributed among B- A- and M-type type stars. stars or unclassified sources. In contrast with the O-type stars, for which different systematic projects had provided a high level of completeness and a homogeneous spectral reclassification (the GOSSS survey) or have studied their multiplicity (the OWN and MONOS<sup>4</sup> surveys), the B-type stars compiled by the IACOB project lacked from any of these aspects. The sample of B-type stars was built from the compilation of different observing campaigns carried out by the IACOB team and collaborators throughout the years aimed at studying specific groups of stars or regions of the Galaxy. Therefore, a significant work was initially required to review and homogenize the sample. In this regard, a first step consisted in the manual revision of about 4000 spectra to check for potential issues in the data and metadata (e.g., issues with the telescope pointing, wrong header identifiers, problems in the wavelength calibration, among many others). This was followed by the development of a semi-automatic tool to perform the identification of the potential B-type supergiants in the sample regardless of their quoted classification, which resulted in a total of 400 stars.

In parallel, several observing proposals to the Spanish Time Allocation Committee (CAT) were prepared, which sought to improve the homogeneity of the sample of B-type supergiants and reduce observational biases of the IACOB spectroscopic sample in the northern hemisphere. These

 $<sup>^4 \</sup>mathrm{See}$  Maíz Apellániz et al. (2019); Trigueros Páez et al. (2021).

resulted in two successful observing campaigns at the NOT telescopes (36-NOT5/20A and 59-NOT7/20B, P.I. A. de Burgos), and a very successful Large-Program for the NOT and Mercator telescopes (P.I. S. Simón-Díaz, co-P.I. A. de Burgos). The latter was awarded with three years of several observing campaigns. All of these campaigns significantly improved the number of observed B-type supergiants during this thesis.

The observations were complemented with the exploitation of the European Southern Observatory (ESO) data archive. In particular, retrieving all available spectra of OB stars taken with the FEROS high-resolution Echelle instrument (Kaufer et al. 1997), attached to the MPG/ESO 2.2 m telescope at La Silla observatory, Chile. Thanks to the several successful preparation and completion of the observing runs, and to the publicly available FEROS data, the final sample of B-type supergiants used for this thesis included 900 sources by the end of 2023.

### 1.4.3 Structure of this thesis

The structure of this thesis follows the logical steps of any empirical study, namely: (1) compilation of the data and presentation of the working sample; (2) analysis of the data and presentation of the main results; and (3) implications of the results relevant to the field of study.

Given the large volume of data contained in this thesis, the previous steps were separated into different blocks, each of them aiming to result in a publication in a peer-reviewed journal. Therefore, this thesis is structured as a compendium of articles, each of them focused on a specific aspect of the study of B-type supergiants. At the time of thesis submission, three articles have been accepted or published, comprising Chapters 2, 3 and 4 of this thesis. Two more articles are in the last stage of preparation and are expected to be submitted for publication within the next few months. They comprise Chapters 5 and 6.

The first article (Chapter 2) presents the compilation and selection criteria of the spectroscopic sample used in this thesis, which initially comprised 750 blue luminous stars with spectral types O9 to B9, but was later expanded thanks to new observation of missing stars. It also included a first completeness analysis, the identification of double-line spectroscopic binaries (SB2), which were later used in the last article, and the adoption of distances to all the stars, which were used in the last three articles.

The second article (Chapter 3) presents the methodology used for the quantitative spectroscopic analysis of the sample, including the determi-

nation of the line-broadening, spectroscopic and wind parameters, and the surface abundances of key elements: helium and silicon. These results opened up different lines of study related to each of the parameters derived, which are connected with different open questions in the evolution of massive stars.

In this last regard, one of the key questions related to the wind properties involves the actual mass-loss rates of massive stars, which have a significant impact in their evolution (see Keszthelyi et al. 2017). In fact, the widely used prescriptions of the rates by Vink et al. (2001) predict an important increase in the mass-loss rate when B-type supergiants cross the so-called bi-stability jump towards cooler temperatures. This has been used to explain the lack of fast-rotating objects beyond the jump (Vink et al. 2010), but this lack may also outline the termination of the main sequence (Brott et al. 2011a). Motivated by previous observational evidence that the jump may not be present, and by the visit of Dr. Z. Keszthelyi to the IAC in November - December 2023, the third article (Chapter 4) set the focus of the study on evaluating whether the jump in mass-loss rates predicted by Vink et al. (2001) was present or not.

The lack of detection of the jump in the mass-loss rates led to investigate the location of the termination of the main sequence of massive stars. The fourth article (Chapter 5) can be interpreted as the continuation of the works led by Fitzpatrick & Garmany (1990) and Castro et al. (2014) for which, in addition to using the largest and most homogeneous spectroscopic sample of B-type supergiants compiled to date, accounts for two additional properties to evaluate the main sequence end. These are the rotational properties and the distribution of single-line spectroscopic binaries (SB1) across the HR diagram. Ultimately, the results of this article allow to provide new constraints to the evolutionary models in the  $12-40 M_{\odot}$  mass range.

The fifth and last article (Chapter 6) brings all the previous results together, offering new insights into the nature of the B-type supergiants in the sample. Alongside their rotational properties, helium surface abundances, and multiplicity, it compares derived spectroscopic masses with evolutionary ones to find potential post-RSGs and binary interaction products. Furthermore, it includes preliminary analysis of CNO surface abundances and explores the pulsational properties of a sub-sample of stars for which photometric data from TESS is available.
The last chapter of this thesis (Chapter 7) summarizes the conclusions of the different articles. It also provides future research lines that will surely follow the results presented in this thesis. The latter especially considering the arrival of the WEAVE-SCIP-OB and 4MOST-4MIDABLE-LR spectroscopic surveys, which will increase the number of observed Btype supergiants in the Milky Way by several thousands.

# 1.5 Addendum

The research work of this thesis has been complemented by different activities and fruitful collaborations that were beyond its original scope and positively enriched its development and content. Some of them include:

- Plan and carry out the observations for a total of 78 nights at the Roque de los Muchachos observatory using the NOT and the Mercator telescopes.
- Perform two stays at the University of Innsbruck, Austria, to collaborate with Dr. Miguel A. Urbaneja (co-supervisor of the thesis) in the analysis of the sample stars.
- Publish an additional study on the massive stellar population in the Perseus OB1 association (de Burgos et al. 2020). This research began under the IAC Summer Grants program for astronomical research and is the foundation of the research project for the recently awarded ESO Fellowship in Chile, starting in November 2024.
- Publish additional works in peer-reviewed journals, some of which are closely connected with the research line of this thesis (Burssens et al. 2020; Lennon et al. 2021; Negueruela & de Burgos 2023; Negueruela et al. 2024), others which resulted the participation in the WEAVE-SCIP survey (Monguió et al. 2020; Jin et al. 2024b), and some others from other fields of astrophysics (Heintz et al. 2020; Vaduvescu et al. 2022; Domček et al. 2023).
- Develop two open-source Python packages available to the community: "*pyIACOB*" (see Appendix A.1), which aims at users of the IACOB spectroscopic database for analyzing and performing different measurements on the spectra; and "*LCExtractor*", which is used to extract and reduce the TESS and *Kepler/K2* light curves, and to obtain the associated periodograms.

# 2\_

# Building a modern empirical database of Galactic O9–B9 supergiants

All we have to decide is what to do with the time that is given us. J.R.R. Tolkien, The Fellowship of the Ring

This chapter includes the content of the paper accepted in Astronomy and Astrophysics under the reference: aa46179-23.



# The IACOB project

# IX. Building a modern empirical database of Galactic O9–B9 supergiants: Sample selection, description, and completeness\*

A. de Burgos<sup>1,2</sup>, S. Simón-Díaz<sup>1,2</sup>, M. A. Urbaneja<sup>3</sup>, and I. Negueruela<sup>4</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Universidad de La Laguna, Departamento de Astrofísica, Avenida Astrofísico Francisco Sánchez s/n, 38206 La Laguna, Tenerife, Spain

e-mail: astroabelink@gmail.com

<sup>2</sup> Instituto de Astrofísica de Canarias, Avenida Vía Láctea s/n, 38205 La Laguna, Tenerife, Spain

<sup>3</sup> Universität Innsbruck, Institut für Astro- und Teilchenphysik, Technikerstr. 25/8, 6020 Innsbruck, Austria

<sup>4</sup> Departamento de Física Aplicada, Facultad de Ciencias, Universidad de Alicante, Carretera de San Vicente s/n, 03690 San Vicente del Raspeig, Spain

Received 17 February 2023 / Accepted 2 May 2023

## ABSTRACT

*Context.* Blue supergiants (BSGs) are key objects for studying the intermediate phases of massive star evolution because they are very useful to constrain evolutionary models. However, the lack of a holistic study of a statistically significant and unbiased sample of these objects has lead to several long-standing questions about their physical properties and evolutionary nature to remain unsolved. *Aims.* This paper and other upcoming papers of the IACOB series are focused on studying from a pure empirical point of view a sample of about 500 Galactic O9–B9 stars with luminosity classes I and II (plus 250 late O- and early B-type stars with luminosity classes III, IV, and V) that cover distances up to  $\approx 4$  kpc from the Sun.

*Methods.* We compiled an initial set of  $\approx 11000$  high-resolution spectra from  $\approx 1600$  Galactic late O- and B-type stars. We used a novel spectroscopic strategy based on a simple fitting of the H $\beta$  line to select stars in a specific region of the spectroscopic Hertzsprung–Russel diagram. We evaluated the completeness of our sample using the Alma Luminous Star catalog (ALS III) and *Gaia*-DR3 data. *Results.* We show the benefits of the proposed strategy for identifying BSGs that are descended in the context of single star evolution from stellar objects that are born as O-type stars. The resulting sample reaches a high level of completeness with respect to the ALS III catalog, gathering  $\approx 80\%$  of all-sky targets brighter than  $B_{mag} < 9$  located within 2 kpc. However, we identify the need for new observations in specific regions of the southern hemisphere.

*Conclusions.* We have explored a very fast and robust method for selecting BSGs. This provides a valuable tool for large spectroscopic surveys such as WEAVE-SCIP or 4MIDABLE-LR, and it highlights the risk of using spectral classifications from the literature. Upcoming studies will make use of this large and homogeneous spectroscopic sample to study the specific properties of these stars in detail. We initially provide first results for their rotational properties (in terms of projected rotational velocities, *v* sin *i*).

Key words. stars: massive – supergiants – stars: rotation – stars: distances – techniques: spectroscopic – techniques: photometric

# 1. Introduction

Massive stars are very important objects in astrophysics because they play a crucial role in the Universe. From their feedback to their circumstellar and interstellar medium (Garcia-Segura et al. 1996; Krause et al. 2013), stellar clusters (Rogers & Pittard 2013) and larger structures such as giant molecular clouds (Matzner 2002) with a global importance in the chemo-dynamical evolution of galaxies (Matteucci 2008), to their use as extragalactic tools (Kudritzki & Puls 2000; Kudritzki & Przybilla 2003; Kudritzki et al. 2003, 2008), and their main role as progenitors of gravitational wave emitters (Belczynski et al. 2016; Marchant et al. 2016).

Their different evolutionary phases are of particular interest for studying the different physical processes that are involved. While the progress of understanding each of these phases has been notorious over the past decades, massive stars still gather many questions that have not yet been answered (Langer 2012).

\* Full tables H.1–H.3 are only available at the CDS via anonymous ftp to cdsarc.cds.unistra.fr (130.79.128.5) or via https://cdsarc.cds.unistra.fr/viz-bin/cat/J/A+A/674/A212

In particular, the so-called blue supergiants (BSGs) have always been among the less constrained objects from an evolutionary perspective (e.g., Fitzpatrick & Garmany 1990; Lennon et al. 1992, 1993; Meynet & Maeder 2000, and many others).

BSGs can have two very different fates according to single evolutionary models, which essentially depend on their masses (e.g., Brott et al. 2011; Ekström et al. 2012). BSGs can either transition the post-main sequence (MS) and likely become luminous blue variables (LBVs; Humphreys & Davidson 1994; Smith et al. 2011; Weis & Bomans 2020) and ultimately Wolf-Rayet (WR) objects (Abbott & Conti 1987; Humphreys 1991; Nugis & Lamers 2000), or they go through it to become red supergiants (RSgs; Levesque et al. 2005), and if they undergo one or more of the so-called blue loops (Stothers & Chin 1975; Ekström et al. 2012), they return into a bluer and hotter state. In both cases, their lives will end as supernovae (Woosley et al. 2002; Smartt 2009) or faded supernovae (Adams et al. 2017).

One of the still unresolved but most important questions arises from the overdensity of BSGs in the region of the Hertzsprung–Russell diagram. Evolutionary models predict a gap of stars in this region because the stars are expected to evolve rapidly toward the RSg phase after leaving the MS. This overdensity was first shown in Fitzpatrick & Garmany (1990) using photometric data, and it has been observed in more recent spectroscopic studies of large samples of massive stars, such as Castro et al. (2014). Several scenarios have been proposed to explain this overdensity. One of them is the overlapping of BSGs that approach and return from the RSg phase through the above-mentioned assumption of the blue loops. Additionally, this region can also be populated by stars that have undergone binary interaction, resulting in additional hydrogen in their cores and therefore allowing them to extend their MS (Sana et al. 2012; de Mink et al. 2013). Last, the unexpected overdensity might be the result of an incorrect fine-tuning of some parameters in the models themselves, such as overshooting or inflation (Castro et al. 2014; Martinet et al. 2021).

Theoretical models have highlighted the implications that rotation may have in the evolution and properties of massive stars (Maeder & Meynet 2000; Langer 2012) because rotation can alter the surface composition of massive stars (Heger & Langer 2000; Maeder & Meynet 2005; Hunter et al. 2009) as well as the mass-loss rate (Puls et al. 2008) and the final fate (Meynet & Maeder 2005). As a continuation of the study performed by Holgado et al. (2020, 2022) on Galactic O-type stars, the key to constraining the most accurate evolutionary models if gathering empirical information from the largest sample of BSGs. In this matter, another important question arises from the observational evidence of a drop in the number of fastrotating stars at approximately 22 000 K (Vink et al. 2010). This drop has been proposed to be caused by enhanced mass loss (stronger angular momentum loss) in the vicinity of the theoretical bi-stability jump (Vink et al. 2000). However, as shown in Fig. 1 of Castro et al. (2014), this drop might be located at the same position as a new empirical terminal age main sequence for stars with masses above  $20 M_{\odot}$ , which may indicate that the drop might also be caused by stars that leave the MS as their rotational velocities also decrease.

To try to unlock this situation, this paper and the upcoming set of papers are focused on the study of the physical and evolutionary nature of Galactic BSGs from a purely empirical point of view, and more specifically, the papers focus on Galactic O9–B9-type stars that evolve from stars that were born with masses in the range ( $\geq 20-80 M_{\odot}$ ) (i.e., those born as O-type stars). For this endeavor, we benefit from a significantly large and homogeneous sample of these stars that were observed with high-resolution spectrographs. In this first paper, we develop a method that is based on the H $\beta$  line profile for selecting the stars independently of previous spectral classifications. We describe the selected sample in detail and identify misclassified stars from the literature, together with double-line spectroscopic binaries. We use the Gaia DR3 (Gaia Collaboration 2016, 2023; Babusiaux et al. 2023) and the Alma Luminous Star catalog (ALS III; Pantaleoni González et al. 2021, and in prep.) to evaluate the completeness of our sample in the solar neighborhood. This is of particular importance to avoid adding potential observational biases when the evolutionary models are constrained. These biases can subsequently introduce further biases in the population synthesis of massive stars (e.g., Voss et al. 2009). Last, we made use of the IACOB-BROAD (Simón-Díaz & Herrero 2014) tool to obtain the  $v \sin i$  distribution as a preliminary approach to constrain the MS in this range of high masses. Upcoming papers in this series will cover the stellar parameters for the stars we selected here together with abundances derived from quantitative spectroscopic analysis. These papers will also include the analysis of the stellar variability and the full identification of binaries using multi-epoch data, as well as the examination of their pulsational properties and other related topics that aim to address other unanswered questions.

The paper is organized as follows: Sect. 2 summarizes the spectroscopic data of the input sample of stars and also the data retrieved from the *Gaia* mission. Section 3 describes the initial motivation and methods we used to build the sample of stars, to carry out the visual inspection of the selected spectra, and to perform the line-broadening analyses. Section 4 determines whether the method can be used to build the resulting sample, describes the sample in terms of spectral classifications, photometric magnitudes, distances, and evaluates the completeness of the sample with respect to the ALS III catalog. The sample is also described in terms of binaries and the different H $\beta$  and H $\alpha$  profiles because they are affected by stellar winds. First results from the *v* sin *i* distribution are also discussed. Last, Sect. 5 includes the summary, conclusions, and the future work to be carried out as a continuation of this paper.

# 2. Observations

# 2.1. Ground-based spectroscopy

The starting point of our study was to gather high-resolution optical spectroscopy for the largest possible sample of Galactic O9–B9 stars with luminosity classes (LCs) I–II and O9–B3 stars with LCs III–IV–V that could be reached with any of the facilities indicated below using reasonable exposure times. To achieve this, we built an initial list of stars fulfilling these criteria based on the recommended spectral classifications quoted in the Simbad astronomical database (Wenger et al. 2000). This initial list was then complemented with a few other sources that are known to be B-type stars, but are incorrectly quoted as A-type stars in Simbad, plus a small sample of stars for which spectra were available in the IACOB spectroscopic database (see below), but for which either no information of the corresponding LC is quoted in Simbad, or that are simply labeled OB or O (see Sect. 4.2, Tables H.1 and D.2).

We then focused on collecting spectra for as many stars as possible from the above-mentioned list using three main instruments: the FIbre-fed Echelle Spectrograph (FIES; Telting et al. 2014) mounted at the 2.56 m Nordic Optical Telescope (NOT) located at the Observatorio del Roque de los Muchachos in La Palma, Canary Islands, Spain; the High Efficiency and Resolution Mercator Echelle Spectrograph (HERMES; Raskin et al. 2011) at the 1.2 m Mercator semirobotic telescope, also located at the Observatorio del Roque de los Muchachos; and the Fiber-fed Extended Range Optical Spectrograph (FEROS; Kaufer et al. 1997) at the 2.2 m MPG/ESO telescope located at the ESO La Silla Observatory, Chile. All these instruments provide high-resolution spectra with a resolving power ranging from 25 000 to 85 000 and a common wavelength coverage in the 3800–9000 Å range. However, some of the FIES spectra that were taken before the installation of the current CCD (30/9/2016) only cover up to 7200 Å. In addition, FEROS spectra have a wider coverage, from 3500 Å to 9200 Å.

We initially benefited from these FIES and HERMES spectra of northern Galactic OB stars (with a declination  $\geq -20^{\circ}$ ) compiled by the IACOB project until 2020 (see Simón-Díaz et al. 2020, for the latest review of the so-called IACOB spectroscopic database). Some of them were obtained between 2019 and 2020 with the NOT during technical and nordic service nights within the scope of the NOT studentship program of the main author. Pursuing the objectives described above, several additional observing campaigns with NOT and *Mercator* were planned and executed in the framework of the IACOB project between 2020 and 2023. In addition, we searched the ESO-archive to retrieve all public available spectra of the southern stars that are observable from La Silla (with a declination  $\leq 30^{\circ}$ ) that matched the above-mentioned criteria.

At the time of submission of this paper, we had gathered a total of  $\approx 11\,000$  spectra of  $\approx 1600$  stars,  $\approx 610$  of which were observed within the scope of this paper. About 670 of all of them correspond to O9–B9 type stars with LCs I and II, and  $\approx 710$  correspond to O9–B3 type with LCs III–IV–V. From the ESO-archive, we downloaded the spectra of  $\approx 330$  stars. The list of programs from which these stars were taken is included in the acknowledgments.

In all cases, we directly considered the reduced spectra provided by the pipelines installed at the telescopes. In addition, we applied our own routines to produce a normalized version of each individual spectrum, and used  $pyIACOB^1$  to correct all the spectra for heliocentric velocity, cosmic rays, and some systematic cosmetic defects that are mainly present in the FEROS spectra.

For the purposes of this paper, we selected the best available spectrum for each star with a signal-to-noise ratio (S/N)  $\gtrsim$ 30 in the 4000–5000 Å range. We also took any cosmetic or potential issues into account (e.g., normalization issues) that might lead to unreliable results in this or later works. The median of the S/N in the 4000–5000 Å spectral range of the above-mentioned best spectra is  $\approx$ 170. It reaches up to 300 or more in several bright stars. In addition, we considered all available multi-epoch spectra to search for spectroscopic binaries.

# 2.2. Gaia data

We complemented the spectroscopic data with data from *Gaia* DR3 (Gaia Collaboration 2016, 2023; Babusiaux et al. 2023). In general terms, the *Gaia* DR3 release provides reliable astrometric and photometric data for most of the Galactic OB stars near the Sun, with the exception of some sources that are too bright ( $G_{mag} \leq 6$ ), for which either the data are unavailable or the astrometric solution is less reliable due to uncalibrated CCD saturation (Lindegren et al. 2018), which also affects the photometric data. For the latter case, we used HIPPARCOS (Perryman et al. 1997) astrometric data if available, to have at least a rough estimation. In addition to this, the inclusion of the Radial Velocity Spectrometer (RVS) provides the line broadening parameters (Frémat et al. 2023), which we used to compare with our results out of curiosity. We obtained a poor agreement between the two. This comparison is included in Appendix G.

In particular (see Sect. 3.1), we downloaded available information for the stars in the sample of the astrometric parallaxes ( $\varpi$ ) and proper motions ( $\mu_{\alpha} \cos \delta$ ,  $\mu_{\delta}$ ), of the line broadening measurements, of *G*, *G*<sub>RP</sub>, and *G*<sub>BP</sub> band photometry, and finally, of the associated renormalized unit weight error (RUWE) values. The latter are an estimate for the reliability of the astrometric solution (Lindegren et al. 2021a). We did not filter our sample by this value, but we note here that given the relatively close distance (<2500 pc) of most of the targets in the IACOB sample, most of the stars that are not too bright have a RUWE < 1.4. The stars with RUWE values up to 8 can still be used when it is considered that the errors in parallax are larger (for more details, see Maíz Apellániz et al. 2021; Maíz Apellániz 2022).

In order to obtain the distances to the stars in the sample, we directly downloaded the corrected distances from Bailer-Jones et al. (2021), who used Gaia EDR3 (Gaia Collaboration 2021) together with a direction-dependent prior and other additional information, such as the extinction map of the Galaxy for the determination of the distances. Alternatively and as a first-order approximation and comparison, we also used the inverse of the parallax  $(1/\omega)$  by correcting it for zeropoint offset by using the procedure described in Lindegren et al.  $(2021b)^2$ . However, this is a poor estimate of the distance and is only valid for zeropoint-corrected and positive parallaxes with  $\sigma_{\varpi}/\varpi \leq 0.1$  (see Bailer-Jones et al. 2021, for more details). Last, we also used preliminary results from Pantaleoni González et al. (in prep.), who use a different prior from Bailer-Jones et al. (2021) that is more optimized for deriving distances to OB stars (see Pantaleoni González et al. 2021, Sect. 3.3, for more details). A comparison between these distances from different methods is included in Appendix B.

# 3. Methodology

# 3.1. Sample selection

# 3.1.1. Motivation and selection criteria

The left panel of Fig. 1 shows the distribution of a subsample of 246 O- and B-type stars that were investigated by Simón-Díaz et al. (2017) in the so-called spectroscopic Hertzsprung-Russel diagram (sHRD; first used by Langer & Kudritzki 2014). In this figure, we separate stars according to their LC using different colors, and indicate the rough boundary between the location of the O- and B-type stars in the sHRD with the dashed line (see Holgado et al. 2018). In addition, we include a set of non-rotating evolutionary tracks computed with the MESA code<sup>3</sup> (see Dotter 2016; Choi et al. 2016; Paxton et al. 2011, 2013, 2015, for references purposes).

As mentioned in Sect. 1, we are interested in continuing the efforts initiated in previous papers of the IACOB series (referring to the O star domain; see Holgado et al. 2020, 2022), and populate with the largest possible sample, the region of the sHRD that corresponds to the B-type stars that are expected to be the descendants of the O-type stars. To this aim, one possibility would be to select the stars with spectral types in the range B0 to B9 from the initial sample described in Sect. 2.1 that are classified as supergiants (LC I) or bright giants (LC II). However, this approach requires caution because, as we show in Sect. 4.1, the spectral classifications provided by default by Simbad for the case of B-type stars include a non-negligible number of cases in which the LCs are incorrect (or not even provided). In addition, as illustrated in the left panel of Fig. 1, part of the region of interest is also populated by early-B giants (and maybe also subgiants and dwarfs). Therefore, if we follow this selection strategy, we might exclude many stars of interest for our study. To overcome this problem, we decided to follow a more robust (but still simple) strategy that is based on the use of the quantity  $FW3414(H\beta)$ as a proxy of the parameter  $\log \mathcal{L}$  (both described in the next section).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> In particular, we applied the algorithm provided by these authors at https://pypi.org/project/gaiadr3-zeropoint/

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Available at the MESA Isochrones & Stellar Tracks (MIST) web page (https://waps.cfa.harvard.edu/MIST/).



**Fig. 1.** Subsample of 246 Galactic O- and B-type stars investigated by Simón-Díaz et al. (2017), color-coded by the LC taken from Simbad. Left panel: location of the stars in a spectroscopic Hertzsprung-Russel diagram (see Sect. 3.1.1). The rough boundary between the O- and B-type star domains is indicated with the dotted diagonal black line. The evolutionary tracks taken from the MESA Isochrones & Stellar Tracks online tool for solar metallicity, and no initial rotation are included for reference purposes. Right panel:  $\log(\mathcal{L}/\mathcal{L}_{\odot})$  against *FW3414*(H $\beta$ ) for the same stars. The vertical dashed red line and dashed horizontal black lines at  $\log(\mathcal{L}/\mathcal{L}_{\odot}) = 3.5$  dex in both panels are included for reference (see Sect. 4.1).

# 3.1.2. Using the width of H $\beta$ as a proxy of $\log(\mathcal{L}/\mathcal{L}_{\odot})$

Considering the location of the O-type stars and the behavior of the evolutionary tracks in the sHRD shown in the left panel of Fig. 1, it might easily be assumed that at first glance, we are mainly interested in stars with  $\log(\mathcal{L}/\mathcal{L}_{\odot}) \gtrsim 3.5$  dex, where the  $\mathcal{L}$ parameter is defined as  $T_{\text{eff}}^4/g$  (Langer & Kudritzki 2014). Ideally speaking, the best approach for selecting our working sample of evolved descendants of O-type stars would be to have estimates of the effective temperature ( $T_{\text{eff}}$ ) and the surface gravity ( $\log g$ ) for each star in the list of potential targets of interest described in Sect. 2.1. However, although viable, this strategy would be very time-consuming because it would require the full quantitative spectroscopic analysis process for each target before the selection.

As an alternative, we decided to explore to which extent a direct measurement of the profile shape of one of the hydrogen Balmer lines, which are known to be affected by the surface gravity of the star through the effect of the Stark broadening, can be used to perform this selection in a much faster way.

In particular, we chose to work with the H $\beta$  line, which is less affected by blends with other metal lines (as is, e.g., the case of the H $\gamma$  line) and is not as heavily affected by the presence of a stellar wind as the H $\alpha$  line. As illustrated in Fig. 2 (see also the explanation below), we also identified that the quantity *FW3414*(H $\beta$ ), which is defined as the difference between the width of the H $\beta$  line measured at three-quarters and one-quarter of the line depth, is better suited as a proxy of log( $\mathcal{L}/\mathcal{L}_{\odot}$ ) than the full width at half maximum (FWHM) of the line.

To test the proposed method, we first used the stellar atmosphere code FASTWIND (Santolaya-Rey et al. 1997; Puls et al. 2005) to compute a grid of synthetic spectra corresponding to models covering a range in  $T_{\rm eff} = 16\,000-28\,000$  K and  $\log(\mathcal{L}/\mathcal{L}_{\odot}) = 2.5-4.1$  dex. The other parameters, such as the helium abundance ( $N_{\rm He}$ ), the microturbulence ( $\xi$ ), and the windstrength parameter ( $\log Q$ )<sup>4</sup>, were kept fixed in the computation of the grid to  $N_{\rm He} = 0.1$ ,  $\xi = 10$  km s<sup>-1</sup>, log Q = -14.0. By convolving these spectra with different broadening and instrumental profiles, we were able to reproduce (to first order) the effect that different projected rotational velocities ( $v \sin i$ ) and spectral resolutions (R) have on the line profiles. In particular, we considered values of R between 2500 and 85 000, and eight values of  $v \sin i$ , ranging from 10 to 400 km s<sup>-1</sup>. Some illustrative examples of the synthesized profiles are shown in the top panel of Fig. 2.

We then used the corresponding *pyIACOB* module to measure the full width of the line at three-quarters, one-half, and onequarter of its depth for each simulated H $\beta$  profile. For the same values of  $T_{\text{eff}} = 24\,000$  K and  $R = 25\,000$ , the middle and bottom panels of Fig. 2 show that for a given  $v \sin i$  value, the lower the quantity log( $\mathcal{L}/\mathcal{L}_{\odot}$ ) (i.e., the higher the surface gravity), the larger the quantities  $FW3414(H\beta)$  and FWHM. However, in a more general situation, the use of  $FW3414(H\beta)$  results in a more adequate proxy of log( $\mathcal{L}/\mathcal{L}_{\odot}$ ) than the FWHM because it mitigates the effect that  $v \sin i$  has on the shape of the line, providing a better indirect measurement of the gravity.

To better illustrate this situation, the top panel of Fig. 2 depicts four synthetic H $\beta$  line profiles (named A, B, C, and D) that correspond to four different log *g* and *v* sin *i* combinations. Their location in the other two panels is indicated with open black circles and corresponding letters.

On the one hand, the aforementioned mitigation of the  $v \sin i$ effect is clearly visible when the resulting differences obtained in *FW3414*(H $\beta$ ) ( $\leq 2$ Å) and FWHM ( $\geq 6$ Å) are compared when the profiles labeled A and B are considered. On the other hand, and more importantly, the FWHM produces a much less efficient filtering than the quantity *FW3414*(H $\beta$ ) when samples of stars with a broad range of  $v \sin i$  are considered. For example, to ensure that a star with a set of parameters similar to those considered in profile B survives the filtering process, we need to assume a filtering value of *FWHM*  $\approx 10$ Å. Other stars with  $\log(\mathcal{L}/\mathcal{L}_{\odot})$  down to  $\approx 2.5$  dex would also be selected in this case, however, which would contaminate the sample with many stars that are below the initially considered limit in  $\log(\mathcal{L}/\mathcal{L}_{\odot})$  of  $\approx 3.5$  dex (see the left panel of Fig. 1). In contrast, since profile B

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> Defined as  $Q = \dot{M} / (R_{\star} v_{\infty})^{1.5}$  (see Puls et al. 1996, 2005).



**Fig. 2.** Results from testing the method described in Sect. 3.1.2 measuring the *FW3414*(H $\beta$ ). Top panel: four illustrative H $\beta$  profiles named A, B, C, and D for FASTWIND models with  $T_{\text{eff}} = 24\,000$  K and  $R = 25\,000$ , and different pairs of log *g* and *v* sin *i*. The horizontal pink lines indicate the position at three-quarters, one-half, and one-quarter of the line depth for example D. Middle and bottom panels:  $\log(\mathcal{L}/\mathcal{L}_{\odot})$  against *FW3414*(H $\beta$ ) and FWHM, both measured in a grid of synthetic H $\beta$  profiles from FASTWIND with the same  $T_{\text{eff}}$  and *R* as in the top panel, and values of *v* sin *i* between 10 and 360 km s<sup>-1</sup>. The four profiles in the middle panel show the average shift in all measurements due to the effect of different resolutions, and the effect of increasing  $T_{\text{eff}}$  up to 30 000 K (approximate temperature for a B0 I–II star).

has a *FW3414*(H $\beta$ )  $\approx$  6.5 Å, considering this as the filtering value would only select stars down to log( $\mathcal{L}/\mathcal{L}_{\odot}$ )  $\approx$  3.5 dex, which corresponds to a star with similar parameters as those considered for profile C.

We note that the value of  $T_{\rm eff} = 24\,000$  K was not randomly chosen, but rather approximates the temperature of the majority of the B-type stars that we analyzed in this study (see Sect. 4.2.1). Similarly, the value of R = 25000 was selected because the spectra used in this study have the same or a higher resolution. We have evaluated the effect of increasing  $T_{\rm eff}$  up to 30 000 K (approximate temperature for a B0 I-II star), which shifts our results by 0.5 Å toward higher values of the quantity  $FW3414(H\beta)$ . Similarly, we investigated the effect of considering different resolving powers. We found that within the resolutions of our spectroscopic data set (see Sect. 2.1) the shift in FW3414(H $\beta$ ) is  $\approx 0.2$  Å toward lower values. On the other hand, we found an average shift of  $\approx 0.2$  Å compared to R = 5000 toward higher values, that is,  $\approx 0.5$  Å for R = 2500. In all cases, we obtained very similar slopes as those shown in the middle panel of Fig. 2, which demonstrates that the method can safely distinguish supergiants from low-luminosity counterparts in upcoming lower-resolution spectroscopic surveys such as WEAVE-SCIP (Dalton et al. 2020; Jin et al. 2023) or 4MIDABLE-LR (Chiappini et al. 2019).

As an additional validation of our proposed strategy, we measured the *FW3414*(H $\beta$ ) for all the stars included in the sHRD presented in the left panel of Fig. 1. We present the corresponding  $\log(\mathcal{L}/\mathcal{L}_{\odot})$  vs. *FW3414*(H $\beta$ ) diagram in the right panel of Fig. 1, using the LC as the color code as in the left panel. Despite the very wide  $T_{\text{eff}}$  span (more than 30 000 K) and different  $v \sin i$  and resolutions, we are able to reproduce to a very good level what is shown in the middle panel of Fig. 2. These results allow us to provide an approximate value of  $\log(\mathcal{L}/\mathcal{L}_{\odot})$  above which all stars very likely are descendants of the O-stars. In particular, if we wish to include all B-type stars with LCs I and II (BSGs), a value of  $\log(\mathcal{L}/\mathcal{L}_{\odot}) \approx 3.5$  dex can be used, and this would correspond to a *FW3414*(H $\beta$ ) of 7–8 Å.

# 3.2. Visual inspection of the spectra

The method described in Sect. 3.1.2 assumes a pure absorption profile for the H $\beta$  line, but this is not always the case. Therefore, we decided to also perform a visual inspection of the spectra in order to identify cases in which the measurement of  $FW3414(H\beta)$  might be less reliable.

While inspecting the spectra, we did not only concentrate on the H $\beta$  profiles, but also evaluated the global morphology of the H $\alpha$  line. As a result, we were able to provide a rough classification of the different types of H $\alpha$  and H $\beta$  profiles that we found in the full sample. In addition, we benefited from the complete set of multi-epoch spectra that were available to perform a visual identification of the double-line or higher-order spectroscopic binaries (hereafter SB2+).

# 3.2.1. Identification of different H $\alpha$ and H $\beta$ profiles

Figure 3 shows some examples of the different types of H $\alpha$  profiles we found in the spectra of the investigated sample of stars. These extend from pure absorption to strong emission (e.g., HD 54025 and HD 199478, respectively), cases in which the red wing is refilled (e.g., HD 15690), a P Cygni-type profile is detected (e.g., HD 206165 and HD 13256), or cases in which the profile appears contaminated by a more or less strong double-peak emission (e.g., HD 1544 and HD 23478). After a careful inspection of all the H $\alpha$  profiles in our spectroscopic data set, we decided to establish a morphological classification that is based on five main different features (with some subtypes) as described below.

– Absorption (Ab): This classification applies when the line appears in pure absorption.



**Fig. 3.** Examples of different H $\alpha$  profiles found within our sample. The name of the stars and spectral types and morphological labels (see Sect. 3.2.1) are included. The spectra are corrected for radial velocity by using a set of photospheric metal lines. The vertical dashed red lines indicate the reference position of the line.

- Core filled (CF): This classification applies when the core of the line is filled with emission, but this emission does not pass the continuum level of the normalized flux. We set sublabels with CF, CF+, and CF++ to indicate when the central emission reaches approximately one-third, two-thirds, or up to the continuum level from the expected depth (see the top right panel of Fig. 3 for the last case).

– Double subpeak (DsP): This classification applies when both wings of the line are filled or are in emission above the normalized flux. This mainly accounts for fast-rotating stars with confined winds (see Petrenz & Puls 1996, Fig. 8 for more information). The labels DsP, DsP+, and DsP++ correspond to situations in which the filling of the lobes reaches one-third, twothirds, or above the continuum of the line depth. The latter generally includes Be stars, in which both sides of the line are in strong emission due to the presence of a disk. Two cases for DsP+ and DsP++ (for a confirmed Be star) are included in the left and right second row of the panels in Fig. 3, respectively. - Red filling (RF): This classification applies when only the red wing of the line is filled. The labels RF and RF+ separate situations in which the filling reaches one-half, or up to the continuum of the line depth, respectively. An example of an RF+ profile is shown in the left panel of the third row in Fig. 3.

– P-Cygni shape (PCy): This classification applies when the emission is in the red wing of the line profile. In the temperature domain of the stars in the sample, the shape is generally produced by stellar winds with specific characteristics (see, e.g., Castor & Lamers 1979). We use the sublabels PCy, PCy+, and PCy++ to indicate the observed the emission up to  $\approx 1.25$ , between this and  $\approx 1.5$ , and any value above  $\approx 1.5$  (traditional P-Cygni profiles) from the continuum, respectively. One example of the PCy and PCy++ shapes is included in the right panel of the third row and in the bottom left panel of Fig. 3, respectively.

– Pure emission (Em): This classification applies when there is emission above the normalized flux. Similarly to the P Cygni shape, we set the labels Em, Em+, and Em++ to indicate when the emission reaches up to  $\approx 1.25$ , between  $\approx 1.25$  and  $\approx 1.5$ , and any value above  $\approx 1.5$  from the continuum, respectively. The latter case is found in many Be stars. One example of an Em+ profile is included in the bottom right panel of Fig. 3.

This classification scheme was also applied to all H $\beta$  profiles. Notably, there are many situations in which the labels assigned to both profiles are not necessarily the same (see Sect. 4.2.5). For example, in the case of classical Be stars with circumstellar disks, the H $\beta$  profile may be labeled DsP+ or DsP++, while the H $\alpha$  profile has Em+ or Em++. A similar situation may occur in the case of stars with strong winds, where the emission in H $\alpha$  is expected to be more significant than in H $\beta$ .

#### 3.2.2. Identification of spectroscopic binaries

We also carried out a visual identification of SB2+ systems in the sample (see Sect. 3.2). To do this, we inspected the temporal behavior of several diagnostic lines (mainly He I  $\lambda$ 5875.62 Å, but also the Si III  $\lambda\lambda$ 4552.62,4567.84,4574.76 Å triplet, or other lines, e.g., Mg II  $\lambda$ 4481, C II  $\lambda$ 4267, or Si II  $\lambda$ 6371) using all the available multi-epoch spectra. Some examples of identified SB2+ systems can be found in Fig. C.1. We also refer to a forthcoming paper (Simón-Díaz et al., in prep.) that provides the detailed identification of the single-line spectroscopic binaries (SB1) using spectroscopic and photometric data.

# 3.3. Line-broadening analysis

Although the full quantitative spectroscopic analysis of the stars in the sample (see Sect. 4.2) will be presented in forthcoming papers, here we followed the guidelines in Simón-Díaz & Herrero (2014) and Simón-Díaz et al. (2017) to obtain estimates of the projected rotational velocities of all stars in our working sample. In brief, we used the IACOB-BROAD tool to perform a line-broadening analysis of a set of adequate diagnostic lines. In particular, for stars with  $v \sin i \leq 200 \text{ km s}^{-1}$ , we used a reduced set of unblended and strong absorption lines optimized for two ranges of spectral types. For stars earlier than B4, we used Si III  $\lambda$ 4567.85 Å, except when the line was weak, in which case, we used Si III  $\lambda$ 4552.622 Å. For B4 and later spectral types, we preferably used Si II  $\lambda$ 6371.37 Å, but depending on the spectrum, we also used Si II  $\lambda$ 6347.11 Å. However, the latter is blended with Mg II lines, and therefore, we only used it in a very few occasions. For stars with  $v \sin i \ge 200 \text{ km s}^{-1}$ , most of these lines are blended by other surrounding lines or became too diluted to provide reliable results. In this case, we decided to use He I  $\lambda\lambda$ 4387.93,5015.68 Å lines, which are less affected by wind than other He lines and are well isolated.

# 4. Results and discussion

## 4.1. Sample selection

Figure 4 shows a histogram with the results of the *FW3414*(H $\beta$ ) measurements for the complete initial sample of stars described in Sect 2.1. We point out here that the fitting of the H $\beta$  failed in  $\approx 100$  stars. About  $\approx 75\%$  of these correspond to Be stars,  $\approx 15\%$  correspond to SB2+ stars, and  $\approx 10\%$  correspond to hypergiants. The histogram separates different groups according to the LC quoted by default in Simbad and includes two additional groups that comprise on the one hand, the stars for which Simbad does not provide any LC (pink), and, on the other hand, the stars that we identified as SB2+ (gray; see Sect. 3.2.2).

The measured  $FW3414(H\beta)$  for the LC I stars peaks at  $\approx 4$  Å, and, except for a few cases, this LC group extends to  $FW3414(H\beta) \approx 7.5$  Å. Instead, stars with LC II, III, and IV are present in a wider range, with  $FW3414(H\beta) \gtrsim 4$  Å, while stars with LC V are mostly concentrated at  $FW3414(H\beta) \gtrsim 6.5$  Å.

Figure 5 helps us to better understand the distribution of the various luminosity class groups in this histogram. Similarly to Fig. 1, the left panel of this figure shows an sHRD, while the corresponding  $\log(\mathcal{L}/\mathcal{L}_{\odot})$ -FW3414(H $\beta$ ) diagram is shown in the right panel. This time, both diagrams are populated with a much larger sample, resulting from the preliminary quantitative spectroscopic analysis of a subsample of the stars we used to build Fig. 4, and comprising  $\approx$ 500 O9-B6 type stars. Figure 1 includes 9 SB2+ systems in which the effect of the secondary in the spectrum was such that it allowed for determination of some rough spectroscopic parameters. In addition, stars for which Simbad does not provide a luminosity class are represented as pink circles. The sHRD includes an additional sample (gray circles) of 280 likely single and SB1 stars investigated by Holgado et al. (2020).

First of all, by comparing the middle panel of Fig. 2 and the right panel of Fig. 5, we can confirm the good agreement of the model predictions and empirical measurements. Interestingly, fast-rotating stars tend to be located to the right of the rest of stars for each luminosity class, as predicted. Last, we note that the location of the stars that are identified as SB2+ in the log( $\mathcal{L}/\mathcal{L}_{\odot}$ )–*FW3414*(H $\beta$ ) diagram is not particularly different from the rest of the stars with similar LC. This therefore indicates that the contribution of the two stellar components does not strongly affect the *FW3414*(H $\beta$ ) estimate, at least when one of the two components dominates the spectrum.

The location of the stars in the left panel of Fig. 5 shows that most of the stars with LC I have  $\log(\mathcal{L}/\mathcal{L}_{\odot}) \approx 3.8-4.3$  dex. The right panel of the same figure shows that this range in  $\log(\mathcal{L}/\mathcal{L}_{\odot})$  implies a range in  $FW3414(\text{H}\beta) \approx 1.8-6$  Å, which explains the distribution of LC I stars in Fig. 4. For lower values of  $\log(\mathcal{L}/\mathcal{L}_{\odot})$ , the situation is more mixed. For example, the stars in the  $\log(\mathcal{L}/\mathcal{L}_{\odot}) \approx 3.5-3.8$  dex range have LCs V-IV at  $T_{\text{eff}} > 30\,000$  K, but also II–I at  $T_{\text{eff}} < 20\,000$  K. Essentially, all stars except for those with LC I lie between  $\log(\mathcal{L}/\mathcal{L}_{\odot}) \approx 2.8$  and 4.2 dex, which also explains their wider distribution in Fig. 4.

We also conclude based on the two panels of Fig. 5 that some of the classifications taken from Simbad may not be correct. For instance, they were especially suspicious for stars with LC I and some II with  $\log(\mathcal{L}/\mathcal{L}_{\odot}) < 3.5$  dex that are embedded in the sHRD, where stars with LCs III–IV–V lie. To confirm this situ-



**Fig. 4.** Histogram of the number of stars in bins of  $FW3414(H\beta)$  for the initial sample of O9–B9 type stars. In each bin, the number of stars is stacked by luminosity class with different colors. The spectral classifications have been taken from Simbad. The sources without a luminosity class are grouped in pink under the label "No inf.". Independent of their LC, all stars classified as SB2+ are grouped in bins in gray.

ation, we reviewed the spectral classifications of many of these stars using our own available spectra or alternatively, previous classifications that in our judgment come from reliable references. The outcome of this exercise is summarized in Table D.1. As expected, most of them are early B-type stars that should be classified as giants, subgiants, or dwarfs. We also found that in many cases, we can attribute misclassifications to the fact that they were made using spectra at low resolution, as is the case of many classifications from Houk & Swift (1999) at  $R \leq 2500$ .

This result again highlights the risk of using spectral classifications (especially if they come from heterogeneous sources) to select specific groups of stars and makes our proposed strategy much more robust and reliable. Moreover, this strategy has also allowed us to recover a non-negligible number of adequate candidates of interest among the stars quoted as OB or O stars in Simbad, or for which luminosity classes are not provided in Simbad that we would otherwise have missed. Revised spectral classification for these stars, following the guidelines indicated above, are provided in Tables D.1 and D.2.

As mentioned in Sect. 3.1.2, a good threshold value to select the evolved descendants of O-type stars is at  $\log(\mathcal{L}/\mathcal{L}_{\odot}) = 3.5$  dex. Based on the empirical correlation between  $\log(\mathcal{L}/\mathcal{L}_{\odot})$  and  $FW3414(H\beta)$  shown in the right panel of Fig. 5, we decided to use a filtering value of  $FW3414(H\beta) = 7.5$  Å to select our sample (see below), which comprises a total of 728 stars.

By choosing this value, our selection criteria first became very effective in the selection of stars with LCs I–II, especially now that we have confirmed that almost all stars with  $\log(\mathcal{L}/\mathcal{L}_{\odot}) < 3.5$  dex quoted in Simbad as LC I and II are LCs III, IV or V objects. In addition, as already pointed out in Sect. 3.1.1, our method also selects a significant number of stars with LCs III-IV-V (mostly early B giants and subgiants), which are of interest for the purposes of our study, which aims to perform an empirical characterization of the B-type stars that evolve from the MS O-type stars.

Due to the scatter of the  $\log(\mathcal{L}/\mathcal{L}_{\odot}) - FW3414(\text{H}\beta)$  correlation, we selected some stars with  $\log(\mathcal{L}/\mathcal{L}_{\odot})$  in the range



**Fig. 5.** Preliminary results from the quantitative spectroscopic analysis of  $\approx 500 \text{ O9-B6}$  type stars presented in this work for which the *FW3414*(H $\beta$ ) has been measured. The color code in both panels indicates the LC taken from Simbad. Left panel: sHRD for these stars and additional results from Holgado et al. (2018), indicated with gray dots. The evolutionary tracks are taken from the MESA Isochrones & Stellar Tracks online tool for solar metallicity and no initial rotation. The approximate separation between O- and B-type stars is indicated with the dotted diagonal black line. The dashed horizontal black line at  $\log(\mathcal{L}/\mathcal{L}_{\odot}) = 3.5$  dex used in the selection of the working sample is indicated (also shown in the right panel). Right panel:  $\log(\mathcal{L}/\mathcal{L}_{\odot})$  against *FW3414*(H $\beta$ ) for the same  $\approx 500$  stars. The vertical dashed red line shows the value we adopted to select the working sample. Open circles indicate stars with  $v \sin i > 120 \text{ km s}^{-1}$ , and gray crosses are SB2+ systems. Stars without luminosity classes in Simbad are plotted in pink.

3.1–3.5 dex (see right panel of Fig. 5), however. This inherent limitation of the proposed method does now allow us to exclude them without first determining their  $T_{\text{eff}}$  and  $\log(\mathcal{L}/\mathcal{L}_{\odot})$ , or at least using additional information such as photometric data to filter these stars (see Sect. 4.2.2).

To conclude this section about the selection process, we now define our final (working) sample as the stars that are selected with the above-mentioned strategy, plus some additional hypergiant stars identified from the initial sample based on their characteristic P-Cygni profiles in the H $\beta$  line (Lennon et al. 1992), leading to a final number of 733 stars. Although we were unable to obtain a reliable *FW3414*(H $\beta$ ) measurement for all the hypergiants, they are, in principle, also natural descendants from the O-stars. All of them (12) are listed in Appendix F. All the other stars without *FW3414*(H $\beta$ ) are considered for the completeness of the sample (see Sect. 4.2.3), as also in the forthcoming paper dedicated to spectroscopic binaries in the case of the SB2+ systems, but they are all excluded in the following sections.

# 4.2. Sample description

Table H.1 gathers the relevant information for the 733 stars resulting from the selection process described in Sect. 4.1. It includes an identifier of the star (ID), its *B* magnitude, and spectral classification (following Simbad); the name of the fits-file in the format of the IACOB spectroscopic database corresponding to the best spectrum (see Sect. 2.1) and its characteristic S/N in the 4000–5000 Å region; the measured value of *FW3414*(H $\beta$ ), whether the star has been identified as a spectroscopic binary (see Sect. 4.2.4); the morphological classification of the H $\alpha$  and H $\beta$  lines (see Sect. 4.2.5) and, last, the estimated  $v \sin i$  (see Sect. 3.3). Although in Table H.1 we quote the spectral classifications provided by default by Simbad, we already pointed out that some of these classifications are inaccurate or even incorrect in some cases. The SpT and LC of stars whose spectral classifications we reviewed (see Sect. 4.1) are listed in parentheses. For the rest of this section and subsections, we adopted all the new classifications included in Appendix D to better interpret the results from the selection method.

In addition, for all the above-mentioned stars, Tables H.2 and H.3 gather most of the relevant photometric and astrometric information from *Gaia* or HIPPARCOS, respectively. The two tables include the same identifier of the star as in Table H.1, the Galactic coordinates (l, b), the parallax  $(\varpi)$  and proper motions  $(\mu_{\alpha} \cos \delta, \mu_{\delta})$ , and the distance, which in the first case was retrieved from Bailer-Jones et al. (2021; Distance B - J), and in the second case from the inverse of the parallax as a rough estimate (Distance). Table H.2 additionally includes the *Gaia* G,  $G_{\rm BP}$ , and  $G_{\rm RP}$  band magnitudes and the RUWE value, while Table H.3 includes the B - V magnitude. In some cases when the available data had very large errors, negative parallaxes, or any other issue, we did not include the distance. These cases usually match with bright targets.

# 4.2.1. Spectral types and luminosity classes

Figure 6 shows a histogram summarizing the spectral classifications of the stars in the final sample. As expected from the selection criteria (see Sect. 4.1), the majority of the sample consists of supergiants (364) and bright giants (119) compared to stars of other classes. In particular, it shows that while stars between O9 and B1 cover all luminosity classes, from B2 and especially B3 onward, the relative number of giants and especially subgiants and dwarfs decreases noticeably. From B4 and toward later-type stars, practically all our sources are classified as supergiants. Interestingly, despite the large number of stars included in our investigated sample, there is a clear lack of stars that are classified as B4 supergiants and bright giants.



**Fig. 6.** Histogram of the number of stars in bins of spectral types for the final sample of stars. In each bin, the number of stars is stacked by luminosity class with different colors. Independent of their LC, all stars classified as SB2+ are stacked in gray bins.

# 4.2.2. Astrometry and photometry

Figure 7 shows a stacked histogram of the adopted distances for all the stars up to 4000 pc. Fifty percent of the stars have distances derived from HIPPARCOS. They were excluded because their values of  $\sigma_{\varpi}/\varpi \ge 0.1$  (see also Table H.3). This histogram is complemented by Fig. 8, which shows the distribution of the stars in a polar plot using Galactic coordinates, and centered at the position of the Sun.

Below 700–800 pc, the total number of stars is relatively low ( $\leq$ 50). These stars are considered to form the Gould Belt system (Poppel 1997), but recent studies suggested that this structure is in fact not real (see, e.g., Bouy & Alves 2015; Zari et al. 2018). Then, the number of stars suddenly increases with distance, as is expected from the volume increase, but it also increases due to the inclusion of several large clusters and association of massive stars such as Cep OB2 ( $l \approx 100^\circ$ ,  $d \approx 900$  pc; Contreras et al. 2002), Sgr OB1 ( $l \approx 5^\circ$ ,  $d \leq 1400$  pc; Melnik & Dambis 2020), Sco OB1 ( $l \approx 340^\circ$ ,  $d \approx 1600$  pc; Damiani et al. 2016; Yalyalieva et al. 2020), or Cyg OB2 ( $l \approx 80^\circ$ ,  $d \leq 1700$  pc; Berlanas et al. 2019; Massey & Thompson 1991), many of which are embedded in the Sagittarius arm or in the newly discovered Cepheus spur (Pantaleoni González et al. 2021).

The number of stars at 1000-2400 pc remains more or less constant up to  $\approx 2500 \text{ pc}$ , when it begins to decrease significantly. The number of stars is expected to increase significantly with increased volume after 1000 pc, but we do not observe this. While one possible and simple explanation might be observational biases (discussed in Sect. 4.2.3), this can also be caused by the lack of structures with young formed regions. This has been observed from distances above 3000 pc, where we also observe a drop. However, at these distances, the stars begin to be too faint to be observed using the facilities that were used to build this sample (see below).

Figure 8 shows that in general, there are no regions with average higher errors than others, but only some dispersed stars in the plane and likely with incorrect distances. In addition to the above-mentioned associations and galactic structures, the location of many others extend up to 3 kpc, such as the Per OB1 asso-



**Fig. 7.** Stacked histogram of the number of stars in bins of 200 pc for the final sample of stars up to 4000 pc. The distances were obtained either from Bailer-Jones et al. (2021; blue bins) or using the inverse of the parallax from HIPPARCOS for stars without *Gaia* DR3 data (orange bins). The latter are limited to those with  $\sigma_{\omega}/\omega \leq 0.1$ .



**Fig. 8.** Polar plot in Galactic coordinates of the stars in the final sample, centered at the position of the Sun and up to a distance of 4 kpc. The stars are colored by the  $\sigma_{\varpi}/\varpi$  parameter between 0% and 25%. Stars with distances taken from HIPPARCOS are limited to those with  $\sigma_{\varpi}/\varpi \leq 0.1$ .

ciation ( $l \approx 130^{\circ}$ ,  $d \approx 2400 \pm 200$  pc; Garmany & Stencel 1992; de Burgos et al. 2020; Melnik & Dambis 2020) or the Car OB1 ( $l \approx 300^{\circ}$ ,  $d \approx 2300$  pc; Shull et al. 2021). This result tells us about the fact that our sample is concentrated in relative close groups in the Galaxy following star-forming episodes, similarly to what was found by Pantaleoni González et al. (2021, Fig. 5). Additionally, there are large empty areas with a very low density of stars (many of which are likely runaways from the main clusters). While some of the empty areas can be attributed to gaps between the spiral arms in which no star formation occurs, others can be attributed to very high extinction (e.g., toward the direction of  $l \approx 45^{\circ}$ ), the latter especially in the direction of the Galactic center (see Lallement et al. 2018, 2019).



**Fig. 9.** Histogram of the number stars in the sample with the  $B_{\text{mag}}$  magnitude for the stars in the sample in bins of 0.5 mag. Stars with distances taken from HIPPARCOS with  $\sigma_{\varpi}/\varpi \leq 0.1$  are indicated in orange.



**Fig. 10.** *Gaia* DR3  $G_{mag}$  corrected for distance against  $G_{BP} - G_{RP}$  for the selected sample, color-coded with the LC. The solid black line shows the ZAMS. The evolutionary tracks for 9, 15, 20, and 40  $M_{\odot}$  up to the end of the He-burning phase obtained from MESA without initial rotation or extinction are shown in gray. No reddening is applied. Instead, a reddening vector with  $\Delta(A_v) = 1$  is indicated with the red arrow. Two diagonal dashed black lines show two extinction lines for a 20  $M_{\odot}$  star and for the approximate position in Fig. 5 in which the same track crosses the value of  $\log(\mathcal{L}/\mathcal{L}_{\odot}) \approx 3.5$  dex, respectively.

Last, Fig. 9 shows the stars in the sample in a histogram of the apparent  $B_{\text{mag}}$  magnitude. The stars with distances taken from HIPPARCOS are all located within the first 100 pc in Fig. 7. The fact that most of these stars are among the brightest ones is not casual, as *Gaia* is known to have saturation issues for the brightest targets (see Lindegren et al. 2018), thus missing the astrometric and photometric data. This histogram also shows that the number of stars exponentially increases up to  $B_{\text{mag}} \approx 9.5$  and then decreases rapidly. This is expected because fainter stars become less suitable for an adequate use of the considered observing facilities (see Sect. 2.1), in order to reach a balance between the exposure times and S/N.

Figure 10 provides a different and complementary view of the sample, this time in a color-magnitude diagram (CMD) constructed using data from Gaia DR3. Similarly to the case of Fig. 5, a gradient in the position of the stars with LC from I-II down to III-IV-V is visible. More interestingly, compared to the expected location of the investigated sample of stars in the CMD (if they were not affected by extinction), namely, between the depicted 9  $M_{\odot}$  and 40  $M_{\odot}$  evolutionary tracks, almost no stars populate this region. This indicates that an important fraction of stars in our sample is expected to be affected by extinction higher than 1 mag (and up to 3-4 mags in some cases). This diagram is inefficient for a classification into O- and B-type stars beyond providing a rough estimate of the luminosity class: the range of variation in  $G_{\rm BP} - G_{\rm RP}$  of the evolutionary tracks is negligible compared to the scatter of the empirical sample due to the extinction. More specifically, we accounted for  $\approx 50$ O9 – B1 LC I stars with  $G_{BP} - G_{RP} > 0.80$ , that is, with a very high reddening compared to the reddening of the same type that is present at  $G_{\rm BP} - G_{\rm RP} \approx 0.0$ .

The top extinction line in Fig. 10 was chosen to locate the approximate position of the stars that evolve from the O-type and contain all the BSGs because it coincides with the approximate separation between O- and B-type stars. Although this approach might also be used together with  $FW3414(H\beta)$  to filter undesired stars, we decided not to use it because of the large uncertainties in the distance of some of the stars are incorrectly classified with LC I (Sect. 4.1) some others are likely below this top extinction line due to underestimated distances. The latter is compatible with the scenario presented in Pantaleoni González et al. (2021), who used a different prior that produced longer distances compared to those used in this work from Bailer-Jones et al. (2021). Nevertheless, most of the stars in the sample are located above the top extinction line.

We recall here that our selection method based on the values of  $FW3414(H\beta)$  also selects stars with  $3.5 \ge \log(\mathcal{L}/\mathcal{L}_{\odot}) \ge$  3.1 dex. Despite the possibility of underestimated distances, most of these stars are located between the two reddening lines, which indicates that by using the correct distances, this method effectively selects stars.

#### 4.2.3. Completeness and observational biases

This section is dedicated to providing an overview of the completeness and potential observational biases that affect our sample. As shown in Fig. 9, this sample was mostly built to be a magnitude-limited sample. In this regard, it is important to remark that any use of this sample to empirically constrain evolutionary models, for instance, must take into account that for a given limiting magnitude, there will be more mid to late B-type stars than early B- or O-type because the first are intrinsically brighter in this band than the latter group, and are therefore observable at larger distances (ignoring extinction). However, as shown in Sect. 4.2.2, many stars within the sample have very different reddening, which dims their brightness up to several magnitudes. As a consequence, the extinction must be taken into account to unbias the sample from potentially fainter stars that are in fact at closer distances. To overcome these biases, one possibility is to investigate to which extent we can build a volume-limited sample (see below).

In order to assess the completeness of our sample within a specific volume or distance, we cross-matched our database with the ALS III catalog (Pantaleoni González et al., in prep.), which not only includes all the stars in our sample, but also provides



Fig. 11. Same as Fig. 10, but now including O9 to B9 stars from the ALS III catalog (Pantaleoni González et al., in prep.) limited to stars  $B_{\rm mag}$  < 11, and distances of 4000 pc. The two diagonal dashed black lines mark the reddening line of a  $20 M_{\odot}$  star. They establish three regions in the diagram that are expected to be mostly correlated with  $\log(\mathcal{L}/\mathcal{L}_{\odot})$ , as described in Sect. 4.2.2. The bottom line starts from the ZAMS, and the top line starts from the approximate age at which it intersects the horizontal dashed black line in the left panel of Fig. 5 at  $\log(\mathcal{L}/\mathcal{L}_{\odot}) = 3.5$  dex. Both extended up to a  $\Delta(A_n) = 2$ . The stars in the full sample of observed O9-B9 type stars for which the upper and lower distances based on the errors lie above the top reddening line are shown in green, those for which one of the distances lies between the two reddening lines are shown in blue, and those for which one of the distances lies below the bottom reddening line are shown in red. The remaining stars populating the CMD are plotted in gray and correspond to stars that are not yet observed. Four tracks from MESA with  $A_v = 0.0$  and no initial rotation are included for  $9 M_{\odot}$ ,  $15 M_{\odot}$ ,  $20 M_{\odot}$ , and  $40 M_{\odot}$ .

an increased number of stars compared to earlier releases by including fainter objects (down to  $B_{\text{mag}} \approx 16$ ) and covering a larger distance (up to 10000 pc). However, despite the authors' claim of high completeness within the first 5000 pc, the fraction of stars with  $B_{\text{mag}} > 16$  within this distance is not negligible due to extinction along the line of sight. For instance, if we consider stars up to 2000 pc and use the absolute V-band magnitudes from Lesh (1968), the intrinsic faintest stars within our sample could have a maximum extinction of  $A_v = 8-9$  in order to have  $B_{\text{mag}} \leq 16$  (see further notes in Appendix E). While some star-forming regions within this distance exhibit average extinction values close to this limit (e.g., Cyg-OB2,  $A_v = 5-7$ ; Massey & Thompson 1991), highly obscured massive stars have been found to have extinctions exceeding this limit (see, e.g., Callingham et al. 2020), thus indicating that a complete sample cannot be assumed. Nevertheless, we consider the ALS III to be a very good reference to find missing stars within the observing magnitude limitations.

Figure 11 presents a similar CMD diagram as we showed in Fig. 10, but this time, including all stars quoted in the ALS III catalog that fulfill the following criteria: (1) they are classified as O9–B9 in Simbad, (2) they are located above the extinction line corresponding to a ZAMS star of 9  $M_{\odot}$ , (3) they have a  $B_{\rm mag}$  < 11, and (4) their estimated distance, derived as described in Sect. 2.2 for the case of our working sample, is below 4000 pc. We are mostly interested in the stars located above  $\log(\mathcal{L}/\mathcal{L}_{\odot}) = 3.5$  dex, which correspond to the sample located above the top reddening line (green stars). We therefore



**Fig. 12.** Histogram summarizing the completeness of the sample respect to the ALS III catalog for stars above the top reddening line of Fig. 11 (see Sect. 4.2.3 for more details), in bins of 250 pc of distance. Observed O9–B9 stars are in green, while missing stars from the ALS III are colored in two gray colors: in dark gray, missing stars with  $B_{\rm mag} < 9$ , and in light gray, stars with  $9 < B_{\rm mag} < 11$ . For each group, hatched areas indicate those stars with  $G_{\rm BP} - G_{\rm RP} > 0.5$ .

only discuss the completeness and potential observational biases that affect this region. Before we discuss this, we remark that even considering the full sample of O9–B9 stars in ALS III, the lack of stars in the region of the diagram in between the non-reddened 15–40  $M_{\odot}$  evolutionary tracks still persists.

To visually evaluate the completeness, Fig. 12 shows a stacked histogram of the selected sample of stars from ALS III that are located above the top reddening line of Fig. 11, indicating the stars for which we have spectra, and separating the missing stars into those with  $B_{\text{mag}} < 9$ , and those with  $9 < B_{\text{mag}} < 11$ . In addition, we highlight the stars with a  $G_{\text{BP}} - G_{\text{RP}} > 0.5$ , that is, we indicate the targets that are more strongly affected by extinction.

This figure is complemented by the information provided in Table 1, were we present a summary of the total number of stars and relative completeness of stars in the above-mentioned region of the *Gaia*-CMD for four different distance ranges, and we separate stars below or above the  $B_{\text{mag}} = 9$  threshold. The corresponding statistics are given for the complete sample as well as for the stars that can be observed from the northern hemisphere (i.e., with  $\delta > -20^{\circ}$  using either the NOT or *Mercator* telescopes).

Up to 2000 pc, the sample is complete up to 77% of the total stars with  $B_{\text{mag}} < 9$ . This number is reduced to 58% for the stars that are located farther away. It is important to point out that the IACOB project observes only with the NOT and *Mercator* telescopes from the northern hemisphere (see Sect. 2.1). In the case of the southern hemisphere, we are currently limited to FEROS objects retrieved from the ESO public archive. In fact, only 20% of the spectra come from FEROS. To state this in numbers, if we only consider the stars with declination above  $-20^\circ$ , we currently miss 30% from those up to 2000 pc (93 stars) with  $B_{\text{mag}} < 11$ , and only 13% (44 stars) with  $B_{\text{mag}} < 9$  up to 4000 pc. These missing (northern) stars are currently in observing queues for upcoming IACOB observing campaigns. Regarding the missing sources with declination below  $-20^\circ$  up to 4000 pc (162 stars with  $B_{\text{mag}} < 9$ , and 321 with  $9 < B_{\text{mag}} < 11$ ), we are currently

15%

Total # % obs. Total # % obs.  $9 < B_{\rm mag} \leq 11$  $B_{\rm mag} \le 9$  $B_{\rm mag} \le 9$  $9 < B_{\text{mag}} \le 11$ Distance 0-1000 pc 83 80% 50% Any δ 2 80%  $\delta > -20$ 59 0% Distance 1000-2000 pc 265 77% 27% Any δ 173 150  $\delta > -20$ 90% 104 38% Distance 2000-3000 pc 235 21% Any  $\delta$ 61% 431  $\delta > -20$ 105 91% 234 34% Distance 3000-4000 pc Any δ 68 47% 205 13%

 Table 1. Summary of the completeness of the sample for four different distance ranges.

**Notes.** For each distance range, we include the statistics for stars in the green and blue regions, where stars of each kind are described as in Sect. 4.2.3 (see also Fig. 11). In particular, the first two columns indicate the total number of stars with  $B_{\text{mag}} < 9$ , and the corresponding percentage of observed stars with respect to the total. The last two columns indicate the same, but for stars with  $9 < B_{\text{mag}} < 11$ .

123

73%

 $\delta > -20$ 

26

in the process of improving the situation through a recently approved proposal. In particular, the awarded time is  $\approx 250$  h distributed in two semesters. with FEROS. Last, we evaluated the contamination of classical Be stars in the completeness because these stars are not of interest here. We concluded that while they only represent  $\approx 11\%$  of all the stars in Fig. 12, they are homogeneously distributed in Fig. 11 above the top reddening line (but close to it), and therefore, they do not affect the results in Table 1.

Figure 13 provides a complementary view of the available and missing stars, this time showing their location in the Galaxy and following the same color code as in previous figures, but separating the stars by their  $G_{\rm BP}-G_{\rm RP}$  value in two groups. Globally, for the region between  $l = 0^{\circ}$  and 230°, the completeness is very high, while the missing southern stars are located between 230° and 0°. The top panel for stars with  $G_{\rm BP} - G_{\rm RP} < 0.5$  shows the less reddened stars. The reason for separating stars with  $-20^{\circ}$  in Table 1 is also more evident: The vast majority of the missing stars are concentrated in the Carina region of the southern hemisphere ( $l \approx 270^{\circ} - 315^{\circ}$ ,  $d \approx 2500$  pc). Additionally, most of the missing stars in this panel have  $B_{\text{mag}} < 9$  (dark gray circles), while almost no stars with 9 <  $B_{mag}$  < 11 (light gray circles) are located within 2000 pc. The bottom panel instead shows the more reddened stars, most of the missing stars of which have  $B_{\text{mag}} > 9$ . They are located not only in the Carina region, but also in some other specific regions such as the Sagittarius region  $(l \approx 340^{\circ} - 25^{\circ}), d \approx 1000 - 2500 \text{ pc})$ , the Cygnus region  $(l \approx 80^{\circ}), l \approx 1000 - 2500 \text{ pc})$  $d \approx 2000 \,\mathrm{pc}$ ), and the Cassiopeia region ( $l \approx 115^{\circ} - 135^{\circ}$ ,  $d \approx 2500-3500 \,\mathrm{pc}$ ). The fact that many stars are observed in some of these regions shows the very different existing degrees of extinction (see, e.g., the Cygnus region). Interestingly, most of the missing stars in the northern hemisphere are spread throughout a wide space in the Cassiopeia region.

Regarding the observational biases within the spectral classifications, we carried out homogeneous observations in the northern hemisphere trying to ensure completeness within the B0–B3 I-III and B3–B9 I-II type stars up to  $B_{mag} = 9$ . In fact, the percentages given in Table 1 increase by 5% to 10% when only



**Fig. 13.** Two polar plots in Galactic coordinates of all the stars above the top reddening line of Fig. 11, separating in the top and bottom panels those with  $G_{\rm BP} - G_{\rm RP} \leq 0.5$ . In both panels, light gray or gray circles indicate missing stars separating those with  $9 < B_{\rm mag} < 11$  or  $B_{\rm mag} < 9$ , respectively, and with green circles the stars for which spectroscopic data are available.

the stars of LCs I and II are considered. Of the stars with LCs III–IV–V,  $\approx$ 80% are already observed, and we expect this percentage to reach  $\approx$ 95% in the next upcoming campaigns, taking into account that in this case, a non-negligible number will be Be stars, which not of interest here.

To summarize, we can confidently say that we have achieved a homogeneous and unbiased sample with a high degree of completeness with respect to the ALS III catalog, except for stars with  $\delta \leq -20$  deg, and this also shows the success of the IACOB project within the past ten years of observing in the northern hemisphere.

#### 4.2.4. Identification of double-line spectroscopic binaries

As explained in Sect. 3.2.2, we also visually inspected all the multi-epoch spectra in our serach for potential SB2+ systems. Figure 4 shows that the fraction of SB2 systems is smaller toward higher  $FW3414(H\beta)$  values, and there are almost none such systems below 4.5 Å. Within the stars that fit the selection criteria (see Fig. 6), we account for 56 SB2+ systems, and it is unclear for additional 7 systems whether they are SB2 systems or if the features in the diagnostic lines are caused by long profile variability. These systems are indicated as LPV/SB2? in the SB column of Table H.1 and will require additional spectroscopic observations and possibly photometric analyses to determine whether they are binary systems or if the features observed in the spectra correspond to variability, such as pulsations. Fife of the 56 SB2+ systems have a third component (formally SB3 systems). Twenty-one systems correspond to B-type stars and the rest are O9-type stars. We identified 8 new systems. The new identifications are marked with an asterisk in the SB column. Some of the cases (HD 7252, HD 12150, and HD 46484) were already proposed as multiple systems based on the astrometric anomalies (Kervella et al. 2019), or they were photometrically observed as eclipsing binaries (HD 142634, HD 153140, and HD 170159).

Figure 6 also shows that compared to the number of binary systems with an O9-type primary star, the number of systems with a B-type primary within the sample is much lower. Although several studies have estimated multiplicity for O-type stars (e.g., Barbá et al. 2010; Sana et al. 2013), not as many have explored the B-type domain, and most of them only covered the dwarf star regime, with many classical Be stars within their samples (e.g., Evans et al. 2006; Bodensteiner et al. 2021; Banyard et al. 2022). The number of studies dedicated to cover the B-type supergiants is even smaller (Dunstall et al. 2015; McEvoy et al. 2015). We have found that the fraction of O9-type binaries in the sample is 23% and there are 3.6% B0-B9 stars in the sample (i.e., mostly including I–II type stars), which is about six times less. This observed decrease is expected from the natural evolution of these objects because some of them may either have become much more luminous than the companion (by evolution or mass transfer; Langer 2012; de Mink et al. 2013), merged into a single more massive object (e.g., Podsiadlowski et al. 1992; Vanbeveren et al. 2013), or were even separated after supernova (e.g., de Mink et al. 2011, 2014), and only the less evolved companion remained.

# 4.2.5. Morphology of the H $\beta$ and H $\alpha$ profiles

Figure 14 shows four histograms indicating the number of stars as a function of SpT and LC for which the various types of H $\beta$  and H $\alpha$  profiles presented in Sect. 3.2.1 are identified. We note that because the stars labeled CF, DsP, PCy, and RF still have a strong absorption shape, they were joined with the stars labeled Ab (Absorption) for the purposes of this figure. We also removed all the SB2+ systems here.

As illustrated in the bottom two panels of Fig. 14, the majority of the stars have H $\beta$  profiles in absorption, with the exception of a group of stars labeled RF+ (red wing filled) and PCy/PCy+ (P-Cygni shape), both evidencing the presence of winds (Lucy & Solomon 1970; Castor et al. 1975). This group is concentrated within SpT O9–B2 and LC I (and subtypes). Most of those labeled PCy+ correspond to hypergiants (Ia+), as shown in the bottom right panel (see also Appendix F).

The two panels at the top show a more mixed situation for the H $\alpha$  line. This is because the effect of the stellar winds on the  $H\alpha$  profile is stronger than on the other hydrogen lines of the Balmer series. Interestingly, the relative fraction of stars with  $H\alpha$  in absorption with respect to the total for each bin decreases toward later spectral types (it is  $\approx 50\%$  on average). A similar decrease occurs in the luminosity classes from dwarf stars toward the supergiants. In particular, most of the stars with LCs Ia+, Ia, Iab are labeled RF+ and PCy/PCy+, which is also the case of the B8-B9 type stars. The extended presence of P Cygni shapes is expected because it is well known that B-type supergiants have stronger stellar winds than other less luminous stars (Kudritzki et al. 1999; Markova & Puls 2008). Stars with H $\alpha$  in emission (7% of the total) are present in all spectral types, but are mostly concentrated in LC I. In fact, nearly 90% of the stars with LCs IV and V have absorption profiles in H $\alpha$ . This indicates that stars affected by winds are located at higher luminosities. Last, profiles with sub-double-peak emission make up 10% of the total, and those in which the core of the lines is filled make up only 2%.

## 4.3. First hints about the rotational properties of the sample

The top panel of Fig. 15 shows a histogram of the projected rotational velocities of our working sample (excluding the stars labeled SB2+ and some problematic cases), highlighting in different colors the different LCs of the stars, and including seven stars with  $v \sin i > 340$  km s<sup>-1</sup> in the last bin. This distribution has a mean and median values of 80 km s<sup>-1</sup> and 51 km s<sup>-1</sup>, respectively (64 km s<sup>-1</sup> and 47 km s<sup>-1</sup> for stars with LCs I and II), and a standard deviation of 74 km s<sup>-1</sup> (50 km s<sup>-1</sup>), with  $\approx 85\%$  of the sample having values below 150 km s<sup>-1</sup>, which is followed by a tail of fast-rotating stars. In addition, the bottom panel of the same figure shows the corresponding cumulative distribution. There, stars with brighter luminosity classes reach higher fractions earlier than those that are less luminous. In particular, for the supergiant stars they reach 80% within the first 70 km s<sup>-1</sup> alone. The rest increase more or less gradually.

Stars with LCs I and II. which are the main drivers of our study, are shown in the main plot of Fig. 16. For each SpT in the O9-B9 range, the median of the  $v \sin i$  distribution and upper and lower limits corresponding to percentiles 90%, 75%, 25%, and 10% are plotted. The main characteristic of the figure is that the mean values for both LCs gradually decrease down to  $30 \,\mathrm{km \, s^{-1}}$  for the B3-type stars and remain in that value up to the B9-type stars. This behavior also holds at the lower limits of the error bars (slowly rotating stars). The second main characteristic is that the upper limits of the percentiles (mainly corresponding to the faster-rotating stars) also follow the same trend up to the B3-type, where their number suddenly drops. In more detail, the panel inside includes histograms of the  $v \sin i$  distribution for the B1-type stars separating LCs I from II, illustrating the abovementioned characteristic. There, the main distribution is centered at  $\approx 50 \text{ km s}^{-1}$ , followed by a relatively smooth tail of these fastrotating objects, with  $v \sin i$  extending up to  $300 \,\mathrm{km \, s^{-1}}$ 

To compare our results with some other results of  $v \sin i$  from the literature, we mainly considered studies that also used large samples of O-stars and BSGs. For instance, Howarth et al. (1997) obtained a median value of 91 km s<sup>-1</sup> for 373 stars, with an average difference between O- and B-type of 25 km s<sup>-1</sup>, that is, higher for O types. Compared to our results, the slightly higher values obtained there can be explained when the effect



**Fig. 14.** Results of the number of stars against their spectral type (two leftmost panels) and luminosity class (two rightmost panels), represented in histograms. Each bin stacks the number of stars with different morphologies found for the H $\beta$  and H $\alpha$  profiles following the classification in Sect. 3.2.1 and grouped as in the legend. Stars labeled within the "Absorption" label include profiles CF, DsP, PCy, and RF. The sources with LC I without subtype in the literature are grouped into a separate bin. The sources classified as SB2+ are removed from the bins.

of macroturbulence broadening is taken into account, which can shift  $v \sin i$  by  $\approx 25 \text{ km s}^{-1}$  (Simón-Díaz & Herrero 2014). This is shown in Fraser et al. (2010), who found a  $\approx 30 \text{ km s}^{-1}$  offset with the stars in common with Howarth et al. (1997) from their sample of 57 Galactic BSGs. These authors obtained mean values of  $\approx 60 \text{ km s}^{-1}$  with a standard deviation of  $50 \text{ km s}^{-1}$ , which is very similar to our results (see also Hunter et al. 2008; Simón-Díaz & Herrero 2014, for more examples including BSGs).

Similarly to Fig. 16, Fraser et al. (2010) and Simón-Díaz & Herrero (2014) included distributions of  $v \sin i$  with respect to the SpT for stars with LCs I and II, although the latter only reached B2-type stars. In both cases, their results are very similar to ours. On the one hand, in all the cases  $v \sin i$  values decrease toward mid-B-type stars. However, our significantly larger sample (comprising seven to eight times more stars) clearly extends the presence of fast-rotating stars with  $v \sin i \ge 100 \text{ km s}^{-1}$  up to the B3-type stars. On the other hand, the slow decrease given by the mean values might be explained by the natural evolution of the stars, decreasing their  $v \sin i$  as they increase their radii. However, this decrease does not continue after the B4-type stars, suggesting that either they loose enough angular momentum during their evolution (e.g., via stellar winds; Vink et al. 2010) or that

our methodology does not allow us to measure the actual  $v \sin i$  below a certain threshold limit (see Simón-Díaz et al. 2017).

We also compared our results for the  $v \sin i$  with those from Holgado et al. (2022) for the O-type stars (see the gray dots in Fig. 5) to show the differences with the BSGs as the first are the progenitors of the second. A simple comparison with Fig. 15 indicates a similar distribution that includes a main group of stars at lower  $v \sin i$  values, followed by a tail of fastrotating stars. Moreover, the stars with LC I are concentrated at  $v \sin i \le 150 \text{ km s}^{-1}$ , similarly to our sample of stars of the same LC. Moreover, the cumulative distributions for this LC also look very similar. Last, the results from Holgado et al. (2022) for stars located between the  $20-32 M_{\odot}$  evolutionary tracks (i.e., those evolving into the early B-type III-IV-V stars) also show higher  $v \sin i$  values than those directly above, which is the same situation as we showed in Fig. 15, even though our sample is biased by the relative number of stars with LCs III-IV-V with respect to I and II.

These results clearly indicate that the  $v \sin i$  values do not significantly decrease during the transition from O-type stars toward the BSGs (and the additional B-type III–IV–V stars), thus favoring evolutionary models in which the braking effect is weaker and/or the angular momentum transfer from the cores



300 L

de Burgos, A., et al.: A&A 674, A212 (2023)

**Fig. 15.** Histogram and cumulative distribution of the  $v \sin i$  in the sample stars, excluding the identified SB2+ stars. In the top panel, each bin of the histogram is  $20 \text{ km s}^{-1}$  wide and stacks the stars separated and color-coded by their LC. In the bottom panel, we plot the cumulative distributions separated by LCs.

to the outer layers is very efficient and counteracts the effect (see Brott et al. 2011).

# 5. Summary, conclusions, and future work

We have built a homogeneous spectroscopic sample of 733 O9– B9 stars, the majority (483) of which have luminosity classes I and II, while the rest (250) are late O- and early B-type stars with classes III–IV–V. We benefited from over two decades of observations using the same three high-resolution spectrographs of high stability, resolution, and precision.

To create the sample, we explored the possibility to use one simple measurement on the H $\beta$  line to select stars above a certain log( $\mathcal{L}/\mathcal{L}_{\odot}$ ) in the sHRD, filtering out other undesired stars from the initial sample of stars with mixed luminosities. With the exception of some stars that are strongly affected by disks or stellar winds, for which the H $\beta$  line could not be measured (e.g., hypergiants, classical Be stars), this new method has been proved to be of much utility using either low- or high-resolution spectra. Furthermore, althoug we limited the applicability of this



**Fig. 16.** Main panel: distribution of the median of  $v \sin i$  obtained through the GoF method of IACOB-BROAD against the SpT, separated into stars with LCs I and II. The solid error bars indicate the upper and lower limits corresponding to the 75% and 25% percentiles, and the dashed error bars correspond to 90% and 10% percentiles. Stars without LC or SB2+ systems are not included. Small panel: histogram of the subsample of B1 I–II stars against the  $v \sin i$  for a better interpretation of the main paneland Sect. 4.3. The error bars corresponding to the B1 I–II stars are plotted horizontally.

method to O9-B9 stars, its usage can also be extended to also include the A-type supergiants, or it can include all the O-type stars.

We have also performed a morphological classification of H $\beta$  and H $\alpha$  lines, identified 56 SB2+, and studied the distribution of  $v \sin i$  among the stars in the sample, and in particular, those with LC I-II, finding that the presence of fast-rotating stars extends up to B3-type stars.

Compared to other works using large samples of stars observed at high-resolution, our sample of BSGs is  $\approx 3.5$ times larger (see Simón-Díaz et al. 2017). Compared to other studies in which spectroscopic parameters for Galactic BSGs are also derived (e.g., Crowther et al. 2006; Markova & Puls 2008; Weßmayer et al. 2022), our sample comprises between  $\approx 8-25$  times more stars.

With the only limitation of stars that are too faint to be observed with the facilities used, we have evaluated the completeness of the sample (Sect. 4.2.3) and found that for stars below  $B_{\text{mag}} \leq 9$ , we have  $\approx 90\%$  completeness of those in the northern hemisphere ( $\delta > -20$ ) up to 4000 pc, or  $\approx 80\%$  within the first 2000 pc in both hemispheres. We are carrying out large observing campaigns for the missing stars in both hemispheres to be complete up to  $B_{\text{mag}} = 9$ .

Some main conclusions can be extracted from this work. First, the method based on the  $FW3414(H\beta)$  will not only (but certainly) help to filter new observations, but will also be very useful in next generation spectroscopic surveys such as WEAVE-SCIP (Dalton et al. 2020; Jin et al. 2023) or 4MIDABLE-LR (Chiappini et al. 2019) because these surveys will increase the available spectra of observed BSGs in the Milky Way by some orders of magnitude, and the BSGs that will be observed have been never classified before. Second, by using this method, we have also identified the risk of using spectral classifications from the literature. In particular, we found that many stars were classified as supergiants in the regions of the sHRD

in which subgiants and dwarfs are located. Last and very importantly, the sample of  $\approx$ 750 O9–B9 stars introduced in this work is large enough to set (with enough confidence) new empirical constraints to be used as anchor points in our understanding of the nature of massive stars, and more specifically, in the regime of the Galactic BSGs. In particular, the spectroscopic parameters for these stars derived from the quantitative spectroscopic analysis will contribute to improving the state-of-the-art evolutionary models (e.g., those from Brott et al. 2011; Ekström et al. 2012) by constraining some input parameters of their convective cores (e.g., the core overshooting; Schootemeijer et al. 2019; Martinet et al. 2021), and also by helping us to constrain the location end of the main sequence (Vink et al. 2010; Castro et al. 2014). The analysis of the multi-epoch spectroscopic data and the identification of the SB1 and SB2 systems together with additional empirical information from Gaia (e.g., the proper motions) can also help to constrain the fraction of binaries that can interact or even become mergers at some point in their evolution (Sana et al. 2012; de Mink et al. 2014). Moreover, spectroscopic variability in combination with the pulsational properties derived from photometric light curves can also be used to continue the work by Burssens et al. (2020) of studying stellar interiors (Saio et al. 2013; Bowman 2020; Aerts 2021). The inclusion of derived abundances can also help us to disentangle the different populations of stars that approach or return from the RSg phase (Georgy et al. 2021).

In this first paper, we have given a glimpse of the potential of the sample by obtaining first results of their rotational properties, and in particular, the  $v \sin i$ . Interestingly, we found fast-rotating stars of LCs I and II up to B3-type. Considering the results from Crowther et al. (2006), Weßmayer et al. (2022), B3-type stars can have temperatures down to  $\approx 16\,000$  K for LC I. This means that our results extend the presence of fast-rotating stars by some thousand kelvin below what has been observed previously (e.g., Fraser et al. 2010; Vink et al. 2010), probably as a consequence of the much increased statistics. Although further analyses using derived  $T_{\text{eff}}$  and  $\log g$  will provide additional information, as pointed out in Vink et al. (2010), the presence of fast-rotating stars could have a very important role in locating the terminal age main sequence, which is an open question regarding the BSGs (see also Castro et al. 2014). Nevertheless, as also pointed out in Vink et al. (2010), additional mechanisms (e.g., enhanced mass losses; Vink et al. 2010) might also slow the rotation of these stars down. We recall that some of them might be the result of binary interaction (de Mink et al. 2013).

Acknowledgements. This research acknowledges the support by the Spanish Government Ministerio de Ciencia e Innovación through grants PGC-2018-091, 3741-B-C22, PID2021-122397NB-C21 and SEV 2015-0548, and from the Canarian Agency for Research, Innovation and Information Society (ACIISI), of the Canary Islands Government, and the European Regional Development Fund (ERDF), under grant with reference ProID2017010115. We give special thanks to all the observers who contributed to the acquisition of the spectra used here in this work. Among them, especially to C. Konstantopoulou and G. Holgado. Regarding the observing facilities, this research is based on observations made with the Mercator Telescope, operated by the Flemish Community at the Observatorio del Roque de los Muchachos (La Palma, Spain), of the Instituto de Astrofísica de Canarias. In particular, obtained with the HERMES spectrograph, which is supported by the Research Foundation - Flanders (FWO), Belgium, the Research Council of KU Leuven, Belgium, the Fonds National de la Recherche Scientifique (F.R.S.-FNRS), Belgium, the Royal Observatory of Belgium, the Observatoire de Genève, Switzerland and the Thüringer Landessternwarte Tautenburg, Germany. This research also based on observations with the Nordic Optical Telescope, owned in collaboration by the University of Turku and Aarhus University, and operated jointly by Aarhus University, the University of Turku and the University of Oslo, representing Denmark, Finland and Norway, the University of Iceland and Stockholm University, at the Observatorio del Roque de los Muchachos, of the Instituto de Astrofísica de Canarias. Regarding the data retrieved, this work has made use of data from the European Space Agency (ESA) mission Gaia (https://www.cosmos.esa.int/gaia/), processed by the Gaia Data Processing and Analysis Consortium (DPAC, https: //www.cosmos.esa.int/web/gaia/dpac/consortium/). Funding for the DPAC has been provided by national institutions, in particular the institutions participating in the Gaia Multilateral Agreement. Additionally, this work has made use of observations collected from the ESO Science Archive Facility under ESO programs: 60.A-9700(A), 72.D-0235(B), 73.C-0337(A), 73.D-0234(A), 73.D-0609(A), 74.D-0008(B), 74.D-0300(A), 75.D-0103(A), 75.D-0369(A), 76.C-0431(A), 77.D-0025(A), 77.D-0635(A), 79.A-9008(A), 79.B-0856(A), 81.A-9005(A), 81.A-9006(A), 81.C-2003(A), 81.D-2008(A), 81.D-2008(B), 82.D-0933(A), 83.D-0589(A), 83.D-0589(B), 85.D-0262(A), 86.D-0997(B), 87.D-0946(A), 88.A-9003(A), 89.D-0975(A), 90.D-0358(A), 91.C-0713(A), 91.D-0061(A), 91.D-0221(A), 92.A-9020(A), 95.A-9029(D), 97.A-9039(C), 102.A-9010(A) and 179.C-0197(C).

#### References

- Abbott, D. C., & Conti, P. S. 1987, ARA&A, 25, 113
- Adams, S. M., Kochanek, C. S., Gerke, J. R., Stanek, K. Z., & Dai, X. 2017, MNRAS, 468, 4968
- Aerts, C. 2021, Rev. Mod. Phys., 93, 015001
- Aerts, C., Puls, J., Godart, M., & Dupret, M. A. 2009, A&A, 508, 409
- Babusiaux, C., Fabricius, C., Khanna, S., et al. 2023, A&A, 674, A32
- Bailer-Jones, C. A. L., Rybizki, J., Fouesneau, M., Demleitner, M., & Andrae, R. 2021, AJ, 161, 147
- Banyard, G., Sana, H., Mahy, L., et al. 2022, A&A, 658, A69
- Barbá, R. H., Gamen, R., Arias, J. I., et al. 2010, Rev. Mex. Astron. Astrofis. Conf. Ser., 38, 30
- Belczynski, K., Holz, D. E., Bulik, T., & O'Shaughnessy, R. 2016, Nature, 534,
- Berlanas, S. R., Wright, N. J., Herrero, A., Drew, J. E., & Lennon, D. J. 2019, MNRAS, 484, 183
- Blomme, R., Fremat, Y., Sartoretti, P., et al. 2023, A&A, 674, A7
- Bodensteiner, J., Sana, H., Wang, C., et al. 2021, A&A, 652, A70
- Bouy, H., & Alves, J. 2015, A&A, 584, A26
- Bowman, D. M. 2020, in Stars and their Variability Observed from Space, eds. C. Neiner, W. W. Weiss, D. Baade, et al. (University of Vienna), 53
- Brott, I., de Mink, S. E., Cantiello, M., et al. 2011, A&A, 530, A115
- Burssens, S., Simón-Díaz, S., Bowman, D. M., et al. 2020, A&A, 639, A81
- Callingham, J. R., Crowther, P. A., Williams, P. M., et al. 2020, MNRAS, 495, 3323
- Cantiello, M., Langer, N., Brott, I., et al. 2009, A&A, 499, 279
- Castor, J. I., & Lamers, H. J. G. L. M. 1979, ApJS, 39, 481
- Castor, J. I., Abbott, D. C., & Klein, R. I. 1975, ApJ, 195, 157
- Castro, N., Fossati, L., Langer, N., et al. 2014, A&A, 570, L13
- Chiappini, C., Minchev, I., Starkenburg, E., et al. 2019, The Messenger, 175, 30 Choi, J., Dotter, A., Conroy, C., et al. 2016, ApJ, 823, 102
- Clark, J. S., Najarro, F., Negueruela, I., et al. 2012, A&A, 541, A145
- Contreras, M. E., Sicilia-Aguilar, A., Muzerolle, J., et al. 2002, AJ, 124, 1585
- Crowther, P. A., Lennon, D. J., & Walborn, N. R. 2006, A&A, 446, 279
- Dalton, G., Trager, S., Abrams, D. C., et al. 2020, SPIE Conf. Ser., 11447,
- 1144714
- Damiani, F., Micela, G., & Sciortino, S. 2016, A&A, 596, A82
- de Burgos, A., Simon-Díaz, S., Lennon, D. J., et al. 2020, A&A, 643, A116
- de Mink, S. E., Langer, N., & Izzard, R. G. 2011, Bull. Soc. R. Sci. Liege, 80, 543
- de Mink, S. E., Langer, N., Izzard, R. G., Sana, H., & de Koter, A. 2013, ApJ, 764.166
- de Mink, S. E., Sana, H., Langer, N., Izzard, R. G., & Schneider, F. R. N. 2014, ApJ, 782, 7
- Dotter, A. 2016, ApJS, 222, 8
- Dunstall, P. R., Dufton, P. L., Sana, H., et al. 2015, A&A, 580, A93
- Ekström, S., Georgy, C., Eggenberger, P., et al. 2012, A&A, 537, A146
- Evans, C. J., Lennon, D. J., Smartt, S. J., & Trundle, C. 2006, A&A, 456, 623
- Fitzpatrick, E. L., & Garmany, C. D. 1990, ApJ, 363, 119
- Fraser, M., Dufton, P. L., Hunter, I., & Ryans, R. S. I. 2010, MNRAS, 404, 1306
- Frémat, Y., Royer, F., Marchal, O., et al. 2023, A&A, 674, A8
- Gaia Collaboration (Prusti, T., et al.) 2016, A&A, 595, A1
- Gaia Collaboration (Brown, A. G. A., et al.) 2021, A&A, 649, A1
- Gaia Collaboration (Vallenari, A., et al.) 2023, A&A, 674, A1
- Garcia-Segura, G., Mac Low, M. M., & Langer, N. 1996, A&A, 305, 229
- Garmany, C. D., & Stencel, R. E. 1992, A&AS, 94, 211
- Georgy, C., Saio, H., & Meynet, G. 2021, A&A, 650, A128
- Grassitelli, L., Fossati, L., Simón-Diáz, S., et al. 2015, ApJ, 808, L31
- Heger, A., & Langer, N. 2000, ApJ, 544, 1016

- Holgado, G., Simón-Díaz, S., Barbá, R. H., et al. 2018, A&A, 613, A65
- Holgado, G., Simón-Díaz, S., Haemmerlé, L., et al. 2020, A&A, 638, A157
- Holgado, G., Simón-Díaz, S., Herrero, A., & Barbá, R. H. 2022, A&A, 665, A150
- Houk, N., & Swift, C. 1999, Michigan Catalogue of Two-dimensional Spectral Types for the HD Stars (Ann Arbor: Dep. Astron., Univ. Michigan), 5
- Howarth, I. D., Siebert, K. W., Hussain, G. A. J., & Prinja, R. K. 1997, MNRAS, 284, 265
- Humphreys, R. M. 1991, in Wolf-Rayet Stars and Interrelations with Other Massive Stars in Galaxies, eds. K. A. van der Hucht, & B. Hidayat (Dordrecht: Kluwer Academic Publishers), 143, 485
- Humphreys, R. M., & Davidson, K. 1994, PASP, 106, 1025
- Hunter, I., Lennon, D. J., Dufton, P. L., et al. 2008, A&A, 479, 541
- Hunter, I., Brott, I., Langer, N., et al. 2009, A&A, 496, 841
- Jin, S., Trager, S. C., Dalton, G. B., et al. 2023, MNRAS, in press
- Kaufer, A., Wolf, B., Andersen, J., & Pasquini, L. 1997, The Messenger, 89, 1
- Kervella, P., Arenou, F., Mignard, F., & Thévenin, F. 2019, A&A, 623, A72
- Krause, M., Fierlinger, K., Diehl, R., et al. 2013, A&A, 550, A49
- Kudritzki, R. P., & Przybilla, N. 2003, in Stellar Candles for the Extragalactic Distance Scale, eds. D. Alloin, & W. Gieren (Springer Berlin Heidelberg), 635.123
- Kudritzki, R.-P., & Puls, J. 2000, ARA&A, 38, 613
- Kudritzki, R. P., Puls, J., Lennon, D. J., et al. 1999, A&A, 350, 970
- Kudritzki, R. P., Bresolin, F., & Przybilla, N. 2003, ApJ, 582, L83
- Kudritzki, R.-P., Urbaneja, M. A., Bresolin, F., et al. 2008, ApJ, 681, 269
- Lallement, R., Capitanio, L., Ruiz-Dern, L., et al. 2018, A&A, 616, A132
- Lallement, R., Babusiaux, C., Vergely, J. L., et al. 2019, A&A, 625, A135
- Langer, N. 2012, ARA&A, 50, 107
- Langer, N., & Kudritzki, R. P. 2014, A&A, 564, A52
- Lennon, D. J., Dufton, P. L., & Fitzsimmons, A. 1992, A&AS, 94, 569
- Lennon, D. J., Dufton, P. L., & Fitzsimmons, A. 1993, A&AS, 97, 559
- Lesh, J. R. 1968, ApJS, 17, 371
- Levesque, E. M., Massey, P., Olsen, K. A. G., et al. 2005, ApJ, 628, 973
- Lindegren, L., Hernández, J., Bombrun, A., et al. 2018, A&A, 616, A2
- Lindegren, L., Klioner, S. A., Hernández, J., et al. 2021a, A&A, 649, A2
- Lindegren, L., Bastian, U., Biermann, M., et al. 2021b, A&A, 649, A4
- Lucy, L. B., & Solomon, P. M. 1970, ApJ, 159, 879
- Maeder, A., & Meynet, G. 2000, ARA&A, 38, 143
- Maeder, A., & Meynet, G. 2005, A&A, 440, 1041
- Maíz Apellániz, J. 2022, A&A, 657, A130
- Maíz Apellániz, J., Pantaleoni González, M., & Barbá, R. H. 2021, A&A, 649, A13
- Marchant, P., Langer, N., Podsiadlowski, P., Tauris, T. M., & Moriya, T. J. 2016, A&A, 588, A50
- Markova, N., & Puls, J. 2008, A&A, 478, 823
- Martinet, S., Meynet, G., Ekström, S., et al. 2021, A&A, 648, A126
- Massey, P., & Thompson, A. B. 1991, AJ, 101, 1408
- Matteucci, F. 2008, in Massive Stars as Cosmic Engines, eds. F. Bresolin, P. A. Crowther, & J. Puls (Cambridge University Press), 250, 391
- Matzner, C. D. 2002, ApJ, 566, 302
- McEvoy, C. M., Dufton, P. L., Evans, C. J., et al. 2015, A&A, 575, A70

- Melnik, A. M., & Dambis, A. K. 2020, Ap&SS, 365, 112
- Meynet, G., & Maeder, A. 2000, A&A, 361, 101
- Meynet, G., & Maeder, A. 2005, A&A, 429, 581
- Morgan, W. W., Whitford, A. E., & Code, A. D. 1953, ApJ, 118, 318
- Morgan, W. W., Code, A. D., & Whitford, A. E. 1955, ApJS, 2, 41
- Nugis, T., & Lamers, H. J. G. L. M. 2000, A&A, 360, 22
- Pantaleoni González, M., Maíz Apellániz, J., Barbá, R. H., & Reed, B. C. 2021, MNRAS, 504, 2968
- Paxton, B., Bildsten, L., Dotter, A., et al. 2011, ApJS, 192, 3
- Paxton, B., Cantiello, M., Arras, P., et al. 2013, ApJS, 208, 4
- Paxton, B., Marchant, P., Schwab, J., et al. 2015, ApJS, 220, 15
- Perryman, M. A. C., Lindegren, L., Kovalevsky, J., et al. 1997, A&A, 323, L49
- Petrenz, P., & Puls, J. 1996, A&A, 312, 195
- Podsiadlowski, P., Joss, P. C., & Hsu, J. J. L. 1992, ApJ, 391, 246
- Poppel, W. 1997, Fund. Cosmic Phys., 18, 1
- Puls, J., Kudritzki, R. P., Herrero, A., et al. 1996, A&A, 305, 171
- Puls, J., Urbaneja, M. A., Venero, R., et al. 2005, A&A, 435, 669
- Puls, J., Vink, J. S., & Najarro, F. 2008, A&ARv, 16, 209
- Raskin, G., van Winckel, H., Hensberge, H., et al. 2011, A&A, 526, A69
- Rogers, H., & Pittard, J. M. 2013, MNRAS, 431, 1337
- Saio, H., Georgy, C., & Meynet, G. 2013, MNRAS, 433, 1246
- Sana, H., de Mink, S. E., de Koter, A., et al. 2012, Science, 337, 444
- Sana, H., de Koter, A., de Mink, S. E., et al. 2013, A&A, 550, A107
- Santolaya-Rey, A. E., Puls, J., & Herrero, A. 1997, A&A, 323, 488
- Schootemeijer, A., Langer, N., Grin, N. J., & Wang, C. 2019, A&A, 625, A132
- Shull, J. M., Darling, J., & Danforth, C. W. 2021, ApJ, 914, 18
- Simón-Díaz, S., & Herrero, A. 2014, A&A, 562, A135
- Simón-Díaz, S., Herrero, A., Uytterhoeven, K., et al. 2010, ApJ, 720, L174
- Simón-Díaz, S., Godart, M., Castro, N., et al. 2017, A&A, 597, A22
- Simón-Díaz, S., Pérez Prieto, J. A., Holgado, G., de Burgos, A., & Iacob Team 2020, XIV.0 Scientific Meeting (virtual) of the Spanish Astronomical Society, 187
- Smartt, S. J. 2009, ARA&A, 47, 63
- Smith, N., Li, W., Silverman, J. M., Ganeshalingam, M., & Filippenko, A. V. 2011, MNRAS, 415, 773
- Stothers, R., & Chin, C. W. 1975, ApJ, 198, 407
- Telting, J. H., Avila, G., Buchhave, L., et al. 2014, Astron. Nachr., 335, 41
- Vanbeveren, D., Mennekens, N., Van Rensbergen, W., & De Loore, C. 2013, A&A, 552, A105
- Vink, J. S., de Koter, A., & Lamers, H. J. G. L. M. 2000, A&A, 362, 295
- Vink, J. S., Brott, I., Gräfener, G., et al. 2010, A&A, 512, L7
- Voss, R., Diehl, R., Hartmann, D. H., et al. 2009, A&A, 504, 531
- Weis, K., & Bomans, D. J. 2020, Galaxies, 8, 20
- Wenger, M., Ochsenbein, F., Egret, D., et al. 2000, A&AS, 143, 9
- Weßmayer, D., Przybilla, N., & Butler, K. 2022, A&A, 668, A92
- Woosley, S. E., Heger, A., & Weaver, T. A. 2002, Rev. Mod. Phys., 74, 1015
- Yalyalieva, L., Carraro, G., Vazquez, R., et al. 2020, MNRAS, 495, 1349
- Zari, E., Hashemi, H., Brown, A. G. A., Jardine, K., & de Zeeuw, P. T. 2018, A&A, 620, A172

# Appendix A: pyIACOB package

A specific module written in *python 3.8* and named  $pyIACOB^5$  has been developed for manipulating and analyzing the spectroscopic data obtained from the FIES, HERMES and FEROS echelle spectrographs (see Sect. 2.1). This module is composed of other submodules.

Among the submodules used in this work, the get\_feros.py submodule retrieves all available public spectra of the FEROS spectrograph from the ESO archive given an input list of stars to search. The *db.py* submodule a) generates tables with the basic information of all the stars available in the IACOB spectroscopic database and additional FEROS spectra. This information includes the coordinates, spectral classifications from Simbad, basic photometric  $(B_{mag}, V_{mag})$ , the S/N at different wavelength ranges, the number of available spectra of each instrument, and the best reference file based on the S/N. b) It generates tables with the astrometric and photometric information from Gaia DR3, including the parallax zeropoint offset. The spec.py submodule a) reviews each individual spectrum to select the reference spectrum, and b) visually assigns labels to the H $\beta$  and H $\alpha$  line profiles (see Sect. 3.3). The *measure.py* submodule automates the process of cleaning the spectra from cosmic rays and other cosmetic defects, measures and corrects the spectra for heliocentric and radial velocity, and finally measures  $FW3414(H\beta)$  (see Sect. 3.1.2) by fitting the line to a Voigt profile convoluted with a rotational profile and an additional Gaussian profile to account for the cores of the most slowly rotating stars. The IACOBroad.py submodule prepares the input list of spectra and lines to be used in IACOB-BROAD for the line-broadening analysis.

# Appendix B: Comparison between Gaia distances

As indicated in Sect. 2.2, the distances used in this work were taken from Bailer-Jones et al. (2021), who used a direction-dependent prior to improve the distances and their reliability with respect to the simple inverse of the parallax. However, we also compared preliminary distances from Pantaleoni González, et al. (in prep.), who use a different prior in the determination of these distances that is optimized for the OB stars.

For the initial full sample of stars with available *Gaia* data, the top panel of Fig. B.1 shows that the difference between the distances derived from the inverse of the parallax and from Pantaleoni González, et al. (in prep.) is less than 100-200 pc up to 5 000 pc for the vast majority of stars. The top panel also shows that the stars with a larger error over parallax also show larger differences. In particular, of the ~50 stars with  $\Delta(d) > 800 \text{ pc}$ , about ~20% have G < 6, and another ~20% correspond to SB2+ systems, and many of the rest have LCs I and show strong emission or are identified as stars with pulsations. Independently of the value of  $\sigma_{\varpi}/\varpi$ , we found an average difference of only -7 pc.

The comparison in the bottom panel shows in contrast that the difference between the distances from Pantaleoni González, et al. (in prep.) and from Bailer-Jones et al. (2021) is less than 500 pc extend only up to  $\sim$ 1 500 pc, with an overall trend toward shorter distances for Bailer-Jones et al. (2021) above that distance. Above that distance, the larger scatter is also clear, which



**Fig. B.1.** Comparison between distances derived from *Gaia*. In the top panel, distances from the inverse of the parallax (corrected for the zeropoint) against the distances from Bailer-Jones et al. (2021) for the initial full sample of O9–B9 type stars. In the bottom panel, distances from Pantaleoni González, et al. (in prep.) against those from Bailer-Jones et al. (2021). The stars are color-coded by their error over parallax from the *Gaia DR3* data. In both panels, the two dotted lines indicate a deviation of  $\pm 1000$  pc.

also applies to stars with a very low error over parallax. In this case, stars with larger errors also have larger discrepancies, but we attribute this to the particular cases mentioned above that affect the reliability of the initial data. As a conclusion, the prior used in Pantaleoni González, et al. (in prep.) gives larger distances as a function of the distance itself, populating the sample of stars up to ~4000 pm rather than ~3000 pc as in Bailer-Jones et al. (2021). If this is correct, it would imply that on the one hand, we might miss fewer stars than previously shown in Fig. 12 between 1000-3000 pc, which therefore increases our completeness. On the other hand, it would also imply that we may miss additional stars that would move up in the green area in Fig. 11, which therefore would likely increase our number of missing stars.

<sup>5</sup> https://github.com/Abelink23/pyIACOB/

# Appendix C: Examples of SB2+ systems



**Fig. C.1.** He I  $\lambda$ 5875.62 Å line for six SB2 systems identified in this work. The top two panels show two cases of lines that are blended with short separation. The middle right panel shows an example of a fast-rotating star with a normal-rotating star. Bottom panels: Easiest examples of SB2 where the lines from each star are widely separated.

As described in Sect. 3.2.2, we searched the available multiepoch spectra for new or previously identified SB2 or SB3 systems. Figure C.1 includes some example SB2+ systems that we found within the available spectra.

# Appendix D: New spectral classifications

Table D.1 and Table D.2 include new or reviewed spectral classification based on visual morphological features for two groups of stars. The first table includes new classifications for all LC I stars with  $\log(\mathcal{L}/\mathcal{L}_{\odot}) < 3.5$  dex from Fig. 5 that were incorrectly classified as such, and independently from the

Table D.1. New spectral classifications for some stars with LCs I and II
and <i>FW3414(Hβ</i> ) < 7.5 Å taken from Fig. 5.

ID	SpC <sub>S imbad</sub>	SpC <sub>This work</sub>
Stars wrongl	y classified as	s supergiants
HD 13969	B0.5 I	B0.7 III
BD+56528	B0.5 I	B1 Vn
BD +56 553	B0.4 I	B1 IV
BD+56584	B0.7 I	B1 V
HD 14870	B1 Ib	B1 III
HD 51756	B1/2 Ib	09.7 IV
HD 59882	B2 Ib/II	B1 V
HD 53456	B1 Ib/II	B0 IV
HD 50707	B1 Ib	B1 IV
HD 152685	B1 Ib	B1.5 III
HD 159110	B4 Ib	B2 III
HD 152286	B1 Ib	B2 III
HD 162374	B6 Ib	B5 IVp
HD 164719	B3 Ib	B4 III
HD 164741	B2 Ib/II	B1 III
HD 173502	B1/2 Ib	B0.5 III
HD 165016	B2 Ib	B0 V
HD 165132	B5/6 Ib	O9.7 V
HD 164384	B1/2 Ib/II	B1 Vnn
HD 166922	B2 Ib	B2 II
HD 166965	B2 Ib	B1.5 II-III
HD 167088	B2 Ib/II	B0.5 V
HD 167479	B1/2 Ib/II	B2.5 III
HD 164188	B1 Ib/II	B0.5 V
HD 166803	B1/2 Ib	B0.5 IV
HD 174069	B2 Ib/II	B1.5 V
HD 201638	B0.5 Ib	B0.5 V
Stars wrongly	y classified as	bright giants
BD+60498	O9.7 II-III	09 V
HD 36591	B2 II/III	B1 IV
HD 42690	B2 II	B2 V
HD 61068	B2 II	B2 III
HD 164002	B1/2 II	B0.5 V
HD 164359	B1 II	B0 III

**Notes.** Classifications adopted for stars with LC II are either from Morgan et al. (1953, 1955) or Lesh (1968). The default Simbad classification is included for comparison and with the stars ordered by Galactic longitude.

*FW3414*(*H* $\beta$ ). It also includes at the bottom some other LC II stars with  $\log(\mathcal{L}/\mathcal{L}_{\odot}) < 3.5$  dex for which new classifications were derived or were taken from either Morgan et al. (1953, 1955) or Lesh (1968). The second table includes new classifications for stars without any spectral classification, spectral sub-type, or without LC in the default Simbad query and with *FW3414*(*H* $\beta$ ) < 7.5 Å.

ID	SpC <sub>S imbad</sub>	SpC <sub>This work</sub>
Stars without fu	ull spectral cl	assification
HD 218941	0	B1 Ib
HD 292164	OB	B1.5 III
LS 513	OB	B0.7 IV
LS 516	OB+	B0.5 IV
CD -57 3344	OB	B1 III
HD 99146	OBe	B0.5 V
Stars with	out luminosit	y class
HD 239895	B2	B8 Ia
HD 218325	B3	B2.5 IIIe
HD 217490	B0	B0 II
HD 213481	B8	B1.5 II-III
HD 219287	B0	B0.5 Ia
HD 219286	B0	O8:Ib:
HD 240256	B0	B3 Ib
BD+602525	B3	B0.5 III
BD +63 1962	B5	B0.7 IV
BD+622210	B0	B9 Ia
BD +63 1964	B0	B0 Iab
BD+602615	B0	B0.5 Ib(n)
BD +61 2526	B3	B1.5 II
BD+612529	B0	B1 Ib
BD +62 2299	B2	O8 II(f)
BD+602644	B1.8	B1 III
HD 236695	B2	B1.5 II
BD +00 1617 A	O9	O9.2 V
BD +00 1617 C	O9	09.5 IV
HD 292392	B0	08.5 V
CPD - 57 3507	B1	B0 Iab
HD 97400	B1	B2.5 Ib
HD 306097	B2	O9 V
HD 332755	B0	O7.5 Ib-II

**Table D.2.** New spectral classifications for the stars with  $FW3414(H\beta) < 7.5$  Å without any spectral classification, spectral sub-type, or without luminosity class.

**Notes.** The default Simbad classification is included for comparison and with the stars ordered by Galactic longitude.

# Appendix E: Further notes about the completeness

In Sect. 4.2.3 we provided some information about the usability of the ALS III as a reference catalog in which to check the completeness of our sample of stars up to a given distance. Figure E.1 shows the apparent magnitudes of a set of stars covering the space of SpT and LC in our sample against different distances and for two values of total extinction,  $A_n = 0$  and  $A_v = 8$ . There, we indicate three threshold magnitudes at  $m_v = 9$ , 11, and 16. The first and second constrain the magnitudes that are hardly (but not impossibly) accessible from the observing facilities we used to build this sample, while the latter corresponds to the magnitude from which point the ALS III catalog may begin to miss start. At  $m_v = 16$ , in the case of  $A_v = 0$  (highly ideal), we would be able to observe all stars up to even 4000 pc with an apparent magnitude  $m_v < 9$ . However, as pointed out in Sect. 4.2.3, the extinction in the Milky Way can be severe in certain sight lines  $(A_v > 4)$ . When we focus on distances below 2 000 pc, no stars would be missing even at  $A_v = 8$ . However, we refer to Fig. 5, which shows that the coldest LC II-III stars above  $\log(\mathcal{L}/\mathcal{L}_{\odot}) = 3.5$  dex lie below the 20  $M_{\odot}$  track, which indicates



**Fig. E.1.** Apparent magnitude against distance for a set of six stars with different SpT and LC and for Av = 0, and Av = 8. Three threshold magnitudes at mv = 9, 11, 16 are indicated (see Appendix E). Two extinction vectors are also included.

that these stars likely do not evolve from the O-type stars. When we take this into account, we could extend the maximum value of  $A_v$  up to  $A_v = 10-13$  (for LC I), where still we would not expect to miss many BSGs, except for for those that are extremely red-dened.

# Appendix F: Hypergiant stars

**Table F.1.** List of hypergiants stars we found in the sample of O9-B9 stars. The table includes the identifier of the star together with the Simbad default classifications and those adopted in this work.

ID	SpC <sub>S imbad</sub>	SpC <sub>reviewed</sub>
HD 173010	O9.7 Ia	O9.7 Ia+
HD 13256	B1 Ia	B1 Ia(+)
HD 169454	B1 Ia	B1 Ia <sup>+</sup> (1)
HD 152236	B1 Ia-0ek	$B1.5  Ia^+(1)$
BD -14 5037	B1.5 Ia	B1.5 Ia <sup>+</sup>
HD 190603	B1.5 Ia	$B1.5  Ia^+(1)$
HD 80077	B2 Ia+e	B2.5 Ia <sup>+</sup> (1)
HD 50064	B6 Ie	B5 Ia <sup>+</sup>
HD 183143	B6 Ia	B7 Iae (1)
HD 303143	A1 Iae	B8 Ia <sup>+</sup>
HD 199478	B8 Ia	B9 Iae (1)
HD 168607	B9 Iaep	B9 Ia <sup>+</sup> (1)

**Notes.** (1) Adopted classifications from Clark et al. (2012), if available. The stars are ordered by the spectral type of the adopted classifications.

As indicated in Sect. 4.1, we include here the list of hypergiants we found within the sample of O9–B9 stars, independently of whether the H $\beta$  with difference was measurable or not.

The selection was made by filtering the full sample by stars with the PCy++ label in the H $\beta$  line profile, according to the morphological classification described in Sect. 4.2.5. Their classifications were revised and are included together with the default classifications from Simbad.

# Appendix G: Comparison of the line-broadening

We compared our results for the  $v \sin i$  and those obtained with the Radial Velocity Spectrometer ( $R \approx 11500$ ) on board Gaia to account for the line-broadening (Vbroad). As pointed out in Frémat et al. (2023), the parameter Vbroad does not account for other mechanisms affecting the line profiles, neither for the macroturbulence (e.g., Aerts et al. 2009; Simón-Díaz et al. 2010), which is assumed to be  $0 \text{ km s}^{-1}$ , nor for the microturbulence (e.g., Cantiello et al. 2009; Grassitelli et al. 2015) as in our case. Moreover, the hottest stars for which radial velocities are derived in the DR3 reach only 14 500 K (Blomme et al. 2023), and therefore, we did not expected to obtain accurate measurements of Vbroad but only a rough estimate. Figure G.1 compares the two measurements for the sample of O9-B9 stars with a  $FW3414(H\beta) < 7.5$  Å, excluding those with a poor determination of  $v \sin i$  (see Sect. 4.3) and the SB2+. We note that only ~15% of the stars had values of Vbroad. The comparison shows that on the one hand, only very few stars had values for *Vbroad* above  $v \sin i \ge 70 \text{ km s}^{-1}$  compared to the number of stars with  $v \sin i$  above that value (see Fig. 15), but for stars with  $v \sin i \gtrsim 120 \text{ km s}^{-1}$ , the agreement is lower than  $10 \text{ km s}^{-1}$ . On the other hand, the O9–B4 stars with  $v \sin i \leq 70 \text{ km s}^{-1}$ have systematically higher values of  $v \sin i$  with differences  $\gtrsim$ 120 km s<sup>-1</sup> in several cases, thus indicating that in this domain, the values for Vbroad are not reliable. The only case in which the results from Vbroad are similar to ours correspond to the late-B stars with  $v \sin i \leq 40 \text{ km s}^{-1}$ .



**Fig. G.1.** Comparison of the projected rotational velocity derived from the Goodness of Fit method ( $v \sin i$ ) and the line-broadening parameter (*Vbroad*) from *Gaia* for the sample of O9–B9 stars with a *FW3414*(*H* $\beta$ ) < 7.5 Å. The stars are color-coded by spectral type. The three dashed lines indicate the 1:1 line for comparison and the additional two lines are shifted by ±10 km s<sup>-1</sup>.

# **Appendix H: Long tables**

ID	B <sub>mag</sub>	SpT <sup>a</sup>	LC <sup>a</sup>	Ref. file	S/N	<i>FW3414(Hβ)<sup>e</sup></i> [Å]	$SB2+^{f}$	Hα	Нβ	$v \sin i$ [km s <sup>-1</sup> ]
HD 69106	7.02	097	IIn	HD69106 20060104 044914 F V48000	461	7.4	SB2	Ab	Ab	323
HD 74575	3 50	B1 5	Ш	HD74575 20221104 062414 M V85000 log	285	6.8	_	Ab	Ab	18
HD 75222	7 80	09.7	Iab	HD75222 20150403 010037 F V48000	315	3.8	_	CF	RF	77
HD 63922	3.93	B0	Ш	HD63922_20201215_035803_M_V85000_log	123	6.2	_	Ab	Ab	24
HD 71304	8 98	09	п	HD71304 20090503 002457 F V48000	326	3.8	_	RF	RF	73
HD 64760	4 10	B0 5	Ib	HD64760_20090508_005304_F_V48000	280	44	_	DP++	DP	258
HD 75759 <sup>d</sup>	5 90	09	v	HD75759 20090503 012654 F V48000	460	6.6	SB2	Ab	Ab	25
HD 92007	9.00	B1	ш	HD92007_20070511_233036_F_V48000	138	7.5	_	Ab	Ab	87
HD 303143 <sup>b</sup>	9.86	(B8)	(Ia+)	HD303143 20120520 014920 F V48000	140	-	_	Em+	PCv+	40
HD 92850	8.98	(D0) B0	Ia	HD92850_20041224_062402_F_V48000	228	3.9	_	Ab	Ab	36
HD 92712	7.95	B2/3		HD92712 20080517 015003 F V48000	189	49	_	Ab	Ab	42
HD 91024	7.89	B2/5	Iab	HD91024 20080517 013723 E V48000	200	4.6	_	RE+	RE	33
HD 91651	9.64	ON9 5	IIIn	HD91651 20110321 040440 F V48000	197	5.7	_		DP	313
HD 92964	5 78	B2 5	Ia	HD92964 20060103 081343 F V48000	662	2.5	_	BF + (Inv)	RE(Inv.)	45
HD 93249	8 78	09	ш	HD92249 20110516 021219 F V48000	181	5.2	SB2	Δh	Ab	60
HD 96264	7.54	095	ш	HD95249_20110510_021219_1_V48000 HD96264_20090503_043924_F_V48000	361	5.2	SB2	Ab	Ab	27
HD 97400	7.02	(B1)	()	HD97400 20080410 003338 F $V48000$	284	5.4	502	Ab	Ab	24
nD 9/400	1.92	(11)	()	nD9/400_20080419_005558_F_V48000	204	5.4	-	AU	AU	24
•••	•••	•••	• • •	•••			•••	•••	•••	•••

 Table H.1. Summary of information for the sample of stars.

**Notes.** <sup>(a)</sup>Stars with the SpT and LC in parenthesis have their spectral classification reviewed (see Appendix D). <sup>(b)</sup>B-type stars wrongly classified as A-type in the default Simbad classification and included in this work. <sup>(c)</sup>For HD 13970 the classification has been taken from de Burgos et al. (2020). <sup>(d)</sup>Stars for which the default Simbad classification includes SpT and LC for a second component but we have only considered the first one listed. <sup>(e)</sup>We adopt a global uncertainty for *FW3414*( $H\beta$ ) of 0.1 Å. <sup>(f)</sup>Stars marked with "\*" in the SB column are new identifications. <sup>(g)</sup>Stars are ordered by Galactic longitude. The full table is available at the CDS.

Table H.2. Photometric and kinematic data from Gaia.

ID	l [deg]	b [deg]	G	G <sub>BP</sub>	G <sub>RP</sub>	σ <sup><i>a,b</i></sup> [mas]	$\mu_{\alpha} \cos \delta$ [mas yr <sup>-1</sup> ]	$\mu_{\delta}$ [mas yr <sup>-1</sup> ]	RUWE	Distance (B-J) [pc]
										1 420 101
HD 69106	254.5175	-1.5506	7.09	7.03	/.10	$0.712 \pm 0.036$	$-4.745 \pm 0.036$	$4.824 \pm 0.038$	0.96	1420 -61
HD 74575	254.9931	5.7696	3.66	3.58	3.79	$4.133 \pm 0.215$	$-14.613 \pm 0.163$	$10.012 \pm 0.208$	3.36	$245_{-13}^{15}$
HD 75222	258.2892	4.1768	7.31	7.51	6.93	$0.494 \pm 0.017$	$-4.784 \pm 0.015$	$10.924 \pm 0.016$	1.01	$2017 \frac{85}{-76}$
HD 63922	260.1797	-10.1854	4.03	3.94	4.21	$3.522 \pm 0.414$	$-6.969 \pm 0.455$	$6.636 \pm 0.425$	6.01	$305 \frac{41}{-37}$
HD 71304	261.7554	-3.7719	8.10	8.39	7.62	$0.475 \pm 0.016$	$-4.716 \pm 0.019$	$4.605\pm0.018$	0.92	$2096_{-70}^{74}$
HD 64760	262.0569	-10.4234	4.17	4.11	4.29	$1.539 \pm 0.140$	$-5.679 \pm 0.159$	$6.163 \pm 0.214$	1.05	684 <sup>84</sup>
HD 75759	262.7976	1.253	5.96	5.89	6.03	$1.077 \pm 0.041$	$-5.614 \pm 0.039$	$3.452 \pm 0.045$	0.87	934 $\frac{36}{-32}$
HD 92007	285.8876	0.0655	8.92	8.93	8.83	$0.444 \pm 0.023$	$-7.681 \pm 0.026$	$3.366 \pm 0.023$	0.92	2267 <sup>94</sup>
HD 303143	285.9032	0.8337	7.93	8.80	6.97	$0.190\pm0.018$	$-5.995 \pm 0.018$	$2.889 \pm 0.018$	1.00	$5276_{-397}^{+62}$
HD 92850	285.9842	1.5422	8.02	8.03	7.93	$0.347 \pm 0.026$	$-6.635 \pm 0.031$	$3.184 \pm 0.026$	0.88	$2922 \frac{224}{-178}$
HD 92712	285.9906	1.2635	7.89	7.89	7.82	$0.335 \pm 0.047$	$-7.568 \pm 0.052$	$3.230 \pm 0.046$	1.74	$3146_{-370}^{563}$
HD 91024	286.4426	-2.6738	7.52	7.67	7.20	$0.242 \pm 0.018$	$-6.600 \pm 0.020$	$2.932 \pm 0.020$	0.88	$4195_{-256}^{337}$
HD 91651	286.5454	-1.7199	8.82	8.81	8.77	$0.546 \pm 0.025$	$-6.617 \pm 0.027$	$2.074 \pm 0.024$	1.01	$1846_{-69}^{72}$
HD 92964	287.1091	-0.3583	5.27	5.42	4.96	$0.484 \pm 0.067$	$-6.536 \pm 0.084$	$2.648 \pm 0.082$	1.03	$2103_{-268}^{404}$
HD 93249	287.4072	-0.3593	8.30	8.36	8.12	$0.409 \pm 0.018$	$-6.005 \pm 0.019$	$2.042 \pm 0.018$	0.77	2455 112
HD 96264	290.4014	-0.7981	7.56	7.51	7.59	$0.342 \pm 0.026$	$-6.493 \pm 0.029$	$1.584 \pm 0.028$	0.89	2923 <sup>243</sup>
HD 97400	290.9236	0.0809	7.76	7.81	7.61	$0.398 \pm 0.023$	$-6.035 \pm 0.025$	$1.267 \pm 0.023$	0.94	$2553 \frac{145}{-109}$

Notes. <sup>(a)</sup>Parallaxes are corrected from zero-point offset. <sup>(b)</sup>Negative parallaxes have been masked. The full table is available at the CDS.

Table H.3. Photometric and kinematic data from HIPPARCOS.

ID	1	b	B-V	$\overline{\sigma}$	$\mu_{\alpha}\cos\delta$	$\mu_{\delta}$	Distance
	[deg]	[deg]		[mas]	$[mas yr^{-1}]$	$[mas yr^{-1}]$	[pc]
BD +55 2770	104.751	-1.4029	0.350	$0.46 \pm 1.37$	-1.76	-4.27	
HD 36486	203.8559	-17.7397	-0.175	$4.71 \pm 0.58$	0.64	-0.69	
HD 37128	205.2121	-17.2417	-0.184	$1.65\pm0.45$	1.44	-0.78	
HD 37742	206.4522	-16.5852	-0.199	$4.43 \pm 0.64$	3.19	2.03	
HD 37743	206.4522	-16.5852	-0.199	$4.43 \pm 0.64$	3.19	2.03	
HD 34085	209.2412	-25.2454	-0.030	$3.78 \pm 0.34$	1.31	0.50	$265 \pm 24$
•••				•••	•••		

**Notes.** <sup>(a)</sup>Distances for stars with  $\sigma_{\varpi}/\varpi > 0.1$  are not included. <sup>(b)</sup>HD 51756 has a negative parallax and therefore the value and the corresponding distance are not included in the table. The full table is available at the CDS.

# 3

# Large-scale quantitative spectroscopic analysis of Galactic luminous blue stars

I would prefer to stay up and watch the stars than go to sleep Vera Rubin

This chapter includes the content of the paper accepted in Astronomy and Astrophysics under reference: aa48808-23.



# The IACOB project

# X. Large-scale quantitative spectroscopic analysis of Galactic luminous blue stars\*

A. de Burgos<sup>1,2</sup>, S. Simón-Díaz<sup>1,2</sup>, M. A. Urbaneja<sup>3</sup>, and J. Puls<sup>4</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Universidad de La Laguna, Dpto. Astrofísica, 38206 La Laguna, Tenerife, Spain

<sup>2</sup> Instituto de Astrofísica de Canarias, Avenida Vía Láctea, 38205 La Laguna, Tenerife, Spain

e-mail: astroabelink@gmail.com

<sup>3</sup> Universität Innsbruck, Institut für Astro- und Teilchenphysik, Technikerstr. 25/8, A-6020 Innsbruck, Austria

<sup>4</sup> LMU München, Universitätssternwarte, Scheinerstr. 1, 81679 München, Germany

Received 30 November 2023 / Accepted 20 February 2024

# ABSTRACT

Context. Blue supergiants (BSGs) are key objects for understanding the evolution of massive stars, which play a crucial role in the evolution of galaxies. However, discrepancies between theoretical predictions and empirical observations have opened up important questions yet to be answered. Studying statistically significant and unbiased samples of these objects can help to improve the situation. Aims. We perform a homogeneous and comprehensive quantitative spectroscopic analysis of a large sample of Galactic luminous blue stars (a majority of which are BSGs) from the IACOB spectroscopic database, providing crucial parameters to refine and improve theoretical evolutionary models.

*Methods.* We derived the projected rotational velocity  $(v \sin i)$  and macroturbulent broadening  $(v_{mac})$  using IACOB-BROAD, which combines Fourier transform and line-profile fitting techniques. We compared high-quality optical spectra with state-of-the-art simulations of massive star atmospheres computed with the FASTWIND code. This comparison allowed us to derive effective temperatures ( $T_{\rm eff}$ ), surface gravities (log q), microturbulences ( $\xi$ ), surface abundances of silicon and helium, and to assess the relevance of stellar winds through a wind-strength parameter ( $\log Q$ ).

Results. We provide estimates and associated uncertainties of the above-mentioned quantities for the largest sample of Galactic luminous O9 to B5 stars spectroscopically analyzed to date, comprising 527 targets. We find a clear drop in the relative number of stars at  $T_{\rm eff} \approx 21$  kK, coinciding with a scarcity of fast rotating stars below that temperature. We speculate that this feature (roughly corresponding to B2 spectral type) might be roughly delineating the location of the empirical terminal-age main sequence in the mass range between 15 and 85  $M_{\odot}$ . By investigating the main characteristics of the  $v \sin i$  distribution of O stars and BSGs as a function of  $T_{\text{eff}}$ , we propose that an efficient mechanism transporting angular momentum from the stellar core to the surface might be operating along the main sequence in the high-mass domain. We find correlations between  $\xi$ ,  $v_{\text{mac}}$ , and the spectroscopic luminosity  $\mathcal{L}$  (defined as  $T_{\text{eff}}^4/g$ ). We also find that no more than 20% of the stars in our sample have atmospheres clearly enriched in helium, and suggest that the origin of this specific subsample might be in binary evolution. We do not find clear empirical evidence of an increase in the wind strength over the wind bi-stability region toward lower  $T_{\rm eff}$ .

Key words. techniques: spectroscopic – stars: abundances – stars: evolution – stars: fundamental parameters – stars: massive - supergiants

# 1. Introduction

Massive stars  $(M_{ini} \gtrsim 8 M_{\odot})$  play a pivotal role in galactic systems, exerting a profound impact on their chemo-dynamical evolution. On the one hand, massive stars make a substantial contribution to the chemical enrichment of galaxies, primarily through supernova explosions, but also through the release of enriched material via stellar winds (e.g., Maeder 1981; Woosley & Weaver 1995; Kaufer et al. 1997; Nomoto et al. 2013). On the other hand, their dynamic influence extends to the surrounding interstellar medium, driven by intense stellar winds and the copious emission of UV radiation. These factors can profoundly shape the interstellar environment (e.g.,

Krause et al. 2013; Watkins et al. 2019; Kim et al. 2019; Geen et al. 2021), either triggering or inhibiting new episodes of star formation.

These stars are intricately connected to some of the most energetic and dynamic phenomena in the Universe, such as core-collapse supernovae and gamma-ray bursts (Woosley & Bloom 2006; Smartt 2009). Additionally, their role has recently attracted attention in the realm of gravitational-wave astrophysics as they serve as progenitors of black hole and neutron star mergers (Abbott et al. 2016; Belczynski et al. 2016; Marchant et al. 2016).

Furthermore, massive stars are valuable tools for extragalactic research, serving as increasingly reliable distance indicators (Urbaneja et al. 2017; Taormina et al. 2020) and providing unique insights into the present-day abundances of their host galaxies (Bresolin et al. 2007, 2016; Kudritzki et al. 2012),

<sup>\*</sup>Full Table D.1 is available at the CDS via anonymous ftp to cdsarc.cds.unistra.fr (130.79.128.5) or via https:// cdsarc.cds.unistra.fr/viz-bin/cat/J/A+A/687/A228

even at distances spanning several megaparsecs (Kudritzki & Przybilla 2003; Urbaneja et al. 2003, 2005a; Kudritzki et al. 2008; Bresolin et al. 2022).

Blue supergiants (BSGs), a subset of massive stars, hold a crucial position in unraveling and understanding the intricate puzzle of the evolution of stars born with masses exceeding  $\approx 15 M_{\odot}$ . For a comprehensive overview of historical research and methodologies related to the study of BSGs, we refer to the introduction of a recent study by Weßmayer et al. (2022). Traditionally, BSGs were considered helium-burning stars that had completed their main sequence (MS) evolution as single stars (e.g., Hayashi & Cameron 1962). However, decades of observations have revealed persistent discrepancies with theoretical models, indicating that the evolutionary status of BSGs is much more intricate (see, e.g., Fitzpatrick & Garmany 1990; Castro et al. 2014; Wang et al. 2020). This complexity likely arises from a range of diverse evolutionary pathways that can ultimately populate the region on the Hertzsprung-Russell diagram where BSGs are located (see Vink et al. 2010; Maeder & Meynet 2012; Langer 2012). In this context, the compilation and analysis of spectroscopic data of BSGs with a considerable increase in quality and sample size compared to previous works (e.g., Dufton 1972; Lennon et al. 1992; Crowther et al. 2006; Lefever et al. 2007; Searle et al. 2008; Markova & Puls 2008; Castro et al. 2014; Haucke et al. 2018; Weßmayer et al. 2022) is becoming an urgent need to decipher a more complex scenario than the one initially established.

Focused on this and related aspects, the IACOB project<sup>1</sup> started in 2008 with the overarching objective of providing high-quality empirical information on a statistically significant unbiased sample of Galactic massive stars. The aim was to establish new anchor points for testing and improving current theories of stellar atmospheres, winds, interiors, and the evolution of massive stars. Previous efforts of the IACOB team mostly concentrated on the study of line-broadening sources affecting the spectra of O- and B-type stars (Simón-Díaz & Herrero 2014; Simón-Díaz et al. 2014, 2017; Godart et al. 2017) and the empirical characterization of Galactic targets covering the O-star domain (Holgado et al. 2018, 2020, 2022; Britavskiy et al. 2023).

Within this framework, the aim of the study presented in this paper, which can be considered a continuation of de Burgos et al. (2023), is to perform a homogeneous estimation of the relevant spectroscopic parameters of the most extensive sample of Galactic luminous blue stars compiled to date, with a specific focus on BSGs with O9 to B5 spectral types. In forthcoming papers, we will complement the results presented here with additional information on the luminosities, masses, radii, and surface abundances of key elements such as silicon, carbon, nitrogen, and oxygen, to cover other important quantities defining the properties of the sample. Our ultimate objective is to establish a new highly improved empirical standard for the study of these stellar objects.

The paper is organized as follows. Section 2 presents the spectroscopic dataset and the sample of stars under study. Section 3 describes the methodology used to obtain estimates for the line-broadening and other relevant spectroscopic parameters. Section 4 summarizes the results of the analysis and compares them with previous studies. In Sect. 5 we discuss the results of the analysis for the different parameters in our analysis, and in Sect. 6 we present the summary and conclusions of the work.

## 2. Observational dataset and sample

This work makes use of the stellar sample described in de Burgos et al. (2023) and the associated spectroscopic data, which were collected from the IACOB spectroscopic database (for the latest review, see Simón-Díaz et al. 2020) and the ESO public archive. All considered spectra were obtained with the FIbre-fed Echelle Spectrograph (FIES) on the 2.56 m Nordic Optical Telescope (NOT), the High Efficiency and Resolution Mercator Echelle Spectrograph (HERMES) on the 1.2 m Mercator telescope, and the Fiber-fed Extended Range Optical Spectrograph (FEROS) on the 2.2 m MPG/ESO telescope that provide resolving powers between  $R = 25\,000$  and  $R = 85\,000$ . The median signal-to-noise ratio (S/N) of the compiled dataset is  $\approx$ 130 at 4500 Å. All spectra have a common wavelength coverage between 3800 and 7000 Å. reaching 9200 Å in some cases. Figure 1 shows some examples of the quality of the spectroscopic observations that were analyzed in this work.

Our original sample comprises 666 stars of types O9–B9 selected from de Burgos et al. (2023). In that work, we used the effect of gravity on the shape of H $\beta$  line as a proxy for the spectroscopic luminosity,  $\log(\mathcal{L}/\mathcal{L}_{\odot})$  (see Sect. 4.4). Specifically, we used the quantity FW3414 (H $\beta$ ), defined as the difference between the width of the H $\beta$  measured at three-quarters and one-quarter of its line depth, to select all O- and B-type stars with initial masses above  $\approx 20 \ M_{\odot}$ . This quantity represents an improvement over the traditional full width at half maximum (FWHM) by breaking the degeneracy caused by the projected rotational velocity ( $v \sin i$ ), while minimizing other effects such as surface temperature and spectral resolution (see further notes in de Burgos et al. 2023).

Concerning luminosity classes<sup>2</sup>, our initial sample comprises 339 supergiants (class I), 113 bright-giants (class II), 111 giants (class III), 55 subgiants (class IV), and 48 dwarfs (class V). We note that this sample excludes 12 B-type hypergiants, 27 classical Be-type stars (see Negueruela 2004, and references therein), as well as 56 double-line or higher-order spectroscopic binaries (SB2+), identified in de Burgos et al. (2023). This was done due to the impossibility of analyzing these objects with standard 1D atmospheric models.

# 3. Analysis methodology

In this section, we describe the methodology used to derive the line-broadening and spectroscopic parameters of the stars in the sample. The analyses were carried out using the best available spectrum for each star (as quoted in Table D.1), not only based on the S/N but also regarding any potential issue affecting the spectral windows where the main diagnostic lines are located.

# 3.1. Rotational and macroturbulent velocities

Following Simón-Díaz & Herrero (2014), we used IACOB-BROAD to perform the line-broadening analysis. We obtained estimates of  $v \sin i$  using the Fourier transform and the goodnessof-fit techniques. The latter also provided us with estimates of the macroturbulent velocity ( $v_{mac}$ , hereafter also referred to as macroturbulence). We checked the agreement of both techniques for deriving  $v \sin i$ , and decided to keep the values from the goodness-of-fit as our final estimates. The few cases with larger

https://research.iac.es/proyecto/iacob/

 $<sup>^2</sup>$  See Table D.1 for information about the spectral classifications adopted in this work.



**Fig. 1.** Some illustrative examples of spectra used in this work, ordered by spectral type. Three different spectral windows depict the wavelength ranges in which the main diagnostic lines used to obtain estimates of the spectroscopic parameters are located. The vertical red, cyan, and brown bars indicate the corresponding H I, He I–II, and Si II–III–IV lines (see Sect. 3.2.3 for further details).

differences ( $\approx 20 \text{ km s}^{-1}$ ) were attributed to low S/N spectra. Following de Burgos et al. (2023), we used Si III  $\lambda$ 4567.85 Å and Si II  $\lambda$ 6371.37 Å for this analysis.

#### 3.2. Quantitative spectroscopy

# 3.2.1. Model atmosphere–line formation code and main assumptions

The NLTE model atmosphere and line synthesis code FASTWIND (Fast Analysis of STellar atmospheres with WINDs, v10.4.7, Santolaya-Rey et al. 1997; Puls et al. 2005, 2020; Rivero González et al. 2011) was used to create a set of models for the analysis. A complete description of the current status of the code, as well as comparisons with alternative codes, have been presented by Carneiro et al. (2016). FASTWIND solves the radiative transfer problem in the comoving frame<sup>3</sup> of the expanding atmospheres of early-type stars in a spherically symmetric geometry, under the constraints of energy conservation and statistical equilibrium, and accounting for line-blocking and line-blanketing effects. Homogeneous chemical composition and steady state are also assumed. The density stratification is derived from the hydrostatic balance in the lower atmosphere, and from the mass-loss rate and the wind-velocity field (a standard  $\beta$ -law) via the equation of continuity in the wind. A smooth transition between the wind regime and the pseudo-static photosphere is enforced.

Each FASTWIND simulation is defined by a set of parameters: the effective temperature ( $T_{\text{eff}}$ ), surface gravity (log g), and stellar radius (R), which are defined at  $\tau_{\text{Ross}} = 2/3$ , the microturbulent velocity ( $\xi$ ), the exponent of the wind-velocity law ( $\beta$ ), the mass-loss rate (M), the wind terminal velocity ( $v_{\infty}$ ), and a set of elemental chemical abundances. Regarding any specific information concerning the detailed model atoms used in our calculations, we refer to Urbaneja et al. (2005b).

## 3.2.2. Grid of model atmospheres

As described in the previous section, each FASTWIND model requires a set of seven parameters (plus elemental abundances). However, the optical spectrum of typical B-type supergiants (such as those analyzed in this work) does not contain relevant information that would allow us to constrain all these parameters in parallel. For example, the main signature of the stellar wind is imprinted into the H $\alpha$  profile, which for the most part is sensitive to the shape of the velocity field (i.e.,  $\beta$ ) and the windstrength parameter (optical depth invariant) Q, a combination of mass-loss rate, wind terminal velocity and stellar radius<sup>4</sup>, and not to the individual values of these three physical parameters. Nothing can be said about possible inhomogeneities likely to be present in the outflow, since only  $H\alpha$ , a recombination line, is available. Based on these considerations, we decided to consider only homogeneous winds (i.e., without clumping) since at the very least they will provide an upper limit for the wind strength via Q.

In consequence, each model in our grid is defined by a set of seven parameters:  $T_{\rm eff}$ ,  $\log g$ ,  $\xi$ ,  $\beta$ , Q, helium and silicon abundances. The range covered by each parameter is indicated in Table 1). In addition, (a) following Urbaneja et al. (2011) we used a fixed  $\xi = 10 \text{ km s}^{-1}$  for the calculation of the atmospheric structure and occupation numbers, but allowed for different (depth-independent) microturbulences for the calculation of the line profiles (formal solutions); (b) we selected the lower limit of the effective temperature based on the fact that our models have not been thoroughly tested below  $T_{\rm eff} \approx 14 \text{ kK}$ ; (c) the lower boundary for the helium abundance was selected to be the solar value (Magg et al. 2022),  $Y_{\rm He} = N({\rm He})/N({\rm H}) = 0.10$ , as typically

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> For all lines from the so-called explicit elements that are used for the analysis (here hydrogen, helium, and silicon), as well as for the strongest lines from other elements (in between C and Zn); most other lines are treated within the Sobolev approximation.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> Q is defined as  $\dot{M}/(R_{\star}v_{\infty})^{1.5}$  (see Puls et al. 1996, 2005).

**Table 1.** Parameter space covered by the model atmosphere calculations used in the present work.

Abbreviation	Covered range
$T_{\rm eff}$	35–14 kK
$\log g$	1.7–4.14 dex
ξ	$0-30 \text{ km s}^{-1}$
$Y_{\rm He}$	0.10-0.30
$\epsilon_{ m Si}$	7.00-8.00
$-\log Q$	14.0-12.5
Ň	$(6.10-0.02) \times 10^{-6}$
$V_{\infty}$	$2700-570 \text{ km s}^{-1}$
β	0.8-3.0
-	
	Abbreviation $T_{eff}$ $\log g$ $\xi$ $Y_{He}$ $\epsilon_{Si}$ $-\log Q$ $\dot{M}$ $v_{\infty}$ $\beta$

**Notes.** <sup>(1)</sup>  $Y_{\text{He}} = \frac{N(\text{He})}{N(\text{H})}$ . <sup>(2)</sup>  $\epsilon_{\text{Si}} = 12 + \log\left(\frac{N(\text{Si})}{N(\text{H})}\right)$ . <sup>(3)</sup>  $\log Q$  values calculated in units of  $M_{\odot} a^{-1}$ , km s<sup>-1</sup>, and  $R_{\odot}$ . <sup>(4)</sup> In units of  $M_{\odot} a^{-1}$ .

adopted in studies of Galactic massive stars; and (d) all other elements beyond helium and silicon are adopted to follow the solar metallicities as in Asplund et al. (2009).

To avoid the computation of an extremely large number of models that would be required in a classic regularly-spaced grid (reaching  $\approx 1.5 \times 10^6$  if we consider typical step-sizes in the sampling of the various considered parameters<sup>5</sup>), we opted for sampling the multi-D parameter space with a distribution of points following a Latin Hypercube Sampling algorithm (LHS; McKay et al. 1979; Wei-Liem 1996, see also Appendix A). The resulting analysis grid comprises 358 FASTWIND models ( $\approx$ 55 per dimension in the parameter space). Using supervised learning techniques, these models are employed to train a statistical emulator (Mackay 2003). This emulator is capable of reproducing FASTWIND simulations to a specific degree of fidelity in a fraction of the time required to run any actual simulation (Urbaneja, in prep.). Later on, during the inference phase (see 3.2.4), this emulator is utilized in combination with a Metropolis-Hasting algorithm (Metropolis et al. 1953) to sample from the underlying probability distribution.

## 3.2.3. Diagnostic lines

Table 2 compiles the list of diagnostic lines chosen for this work. These were selected to be present in the 4000–7000 Å wavelength range, common to all the available spectra. Their location is shown in Fig. 1 with different colors depending on the atomic element.

These lines represent a minimum set required to obtain information on the fundamental atmospheric parameters characterizing the atmospheres of B-type supergiant stars. In particular,  $T_{\text{eff}}$ is inferred from the ionization balances He I/II and Si II/III/IV (see for example McErlean et al. 1999; Urbaneja et al. 2005b). The hydrogen Balmer lines provide a strong constraint on log *g*, due to their sensitivity to broadening via the Stark effect. When the stellar wind becomes strong enough, the shape and strength of the H $\alpha$  profile can provide constraints simultaneously on the wind acceleration  $\beta$  and *Q*.

The microturbulent velocity is estimated from the differential response of the three components of the strong Si III  $\lambda\lambda$ 4553–68–75 Å triplet. We also note that some He I lines could

 Table 2. List of diagnostic lines used for the determination of the spectroscopic parameters.

Line	$\lambda$ (Å)	Line	$\lambda$ (Å)	Line	$\lambda$ (Å)
Ηδ Ηγ Ηβ Ηα	4101.74 4340.46 4861.33 6562.80	He I He I He I He I He I He I	4387.93 4471.47 4713.14 4921.93 5015.68 5047.74 5875.62	He II He II He II	4199.83 4541.59 5411.52
Si II Si II Si II Si II Si II	4128.05 4130.89 5056.32 6371.37	Si III Si III Si III	4552.62 4567.84 4574.76	Si IV Si IV Si IV	4116.10 4212.41 4654.31

**Notes.** For reference, we found that the He II and Si IV lines disappear below  $T_{\rm eff} \approx 25$  kK and  $T_{\rm eff} \approx 20$  kK, respectively. The He I and Si III lines are present in the full  $T_{\rm eff}$  range, and the Si II lines appear below  $T_{\rm eff} \approx 21$  kK. H $\delta$  was removed for fast-rotating stars (see Sect. 3.2.3). Even though the relatively strong Si IV 4088.96 line is also present, we decided to exclude it due to a blend with O II  $\lambda$ 4089.29 Å.

show some sensitivity to this parameter (McErlean et al. 1999). However, the differential effect in the Si III lines is the dominant source of information. Finally, the surface abundances are determined from the strength of the corresponding spectral lines of each species.

Strictly speaking, however, all the spectral features can (and will) react to more than one of the fundamental stellar parameters. For example, the hydrogen Balmer lines are also sensitive to  $T_{\text{eff}}$  and the helium abundance, albeit to a lower degree than to log g. Similarly, the helium lines do not only depend on  $T_{\text{eff}}$  and helium abundance but also on log g and  $\xi$ . Therefore, the analysis methodology involves a multi-dimensional optimization problem, in which the best solution is found in an iterative process, assuring at the same time a proper exploration of the full parameter space (see below).

In addition to the physical arguments, when selecting lines, we avoided choosing those that are affected by known issues, for example showing blends with atomic species not currently included in our detailed model atoms, as well as lines that are severely affected by the presence of telluric lines. For example, the H $\epsilon$  line was excluded due to contamination with the strong interstellar calcium line.

Spectral features that show systematic differences between models and observations, suspected of suffering from modelling issues, were also excluded from the beginning. This is the case for the prominent He I  $\lambda$ 6678 Å line, for which our models always predicted narrower lines than observed, which suggests that our current broadening data for this particular line are not fully adequate for B-type supergiant stars (see also Sect. 3.2.5 for other less important issues).

For each diagnostic line indicated in Table 2, the length of the corresponding spectral window used for the analysis was adjusted individually, taking into account the different intrinsic and rotational broadening. We also note that all the listed lines were always accounted for during the analysis process, even if a line was weak or not present. This is because such situations still provide important information (e.g., the absence of the He II  $\lambda$ 4542 Å (see Fig. 2) indicates that the effective temperature of the star cannot exceed a certain value).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> In particular: 1000 K in  $T_{\text{eff}}$ , 0.1 dex in log g, 5 km s<sup>-1</sup> in  $\xi$ , 0.04 in  $Y_{\text{He}}$ , 0.15 in  $\epsilon_{\text{Si}}$ , 0.3 in log Q, and 0.7 in  $\beta$ .



**Fig. 2.** Examples of different masks used to select the diagnostic lines. Each of the four panels shows the same wavelength range including the He II  $\lambda$ 4542 Å line and the Si III  $\lambda$  $\lambda$ 4553,4568,4575 Å triplet. The two panels on the left compare two stars of similar temperature but different  $v \sin i$ : HD 14 302 with  $v \sin i \approx 65$  km s<sup>-1</sup> (top left), and HD 197 460 with  $v \sin i \approx 200$  km s<sup>-1</sup> (bottom left). The two panels on the right compare two stars of similar  $v \sin i$  but very different  $T_{\text{eff}}$ : HD 24 432 with  $T_{\text{eff}} = 15$  kK (top right) and HD 190 991 with  $T_{\text{eff}} = 32$  kK (bottom right). The wavelength ranges selected for each analysis are shaded in green. The masked (not used) regions are shaded in red.

In addition, any contamination due to blends with lines from other species was masked out. We illustrate this in Fig. 2, where we show the same spectral window for four different stars with their associated masks. It can be seen that the selected regions (in green) are different in all the cases, being more restrictive for the bottom right panel, where multiple blends are present.

#### 3.2.4. Parameter inference

The problem of determining the set of parameters  $\overline{\pi}$  defining the model  $M_{\lambda}$  that best reproduces an observation  $O_{\lambda}$  can be mathematical described as finding the underlying probability distribution:

# $p(\overline{\pi}, M_{\lambda} \mid O_{\lambda}) \propto p(\overline{\pi}, M_{\lambda}) \ p(O_{\lambda} \mid \overline{\pi}, M_{\lambda}).$

There,  $p(\overline{\pi}, M_{\lambda})$  represents the prior knowledge that we have on the models, and  $p(O_{\lambda} | \overline{\pi}, M_{\lambda})$  is the likelihood of an observation  $O_{\lambda}$  given the model  $M_{\lambda}$ .

A well-proven method to sample from this unknown posterior distribution, to recover the best parameter set and their corresponding uncertainties, is the use of a Markov chain Monte Carlo (MCMC) algorithm (Metropolis et al. 1953; Chib 2001). Key to the inference of the parameters is the definition of what is considered "best" (i.e., what criteria are used to evaluate the likelihood of a model, given an observed spectrum), as well as the prior knowledge on the parameter space. For the likelihood function (i.e., the probability that a specific model  $M_{\lambda}$  defined by a set of parameters  $\overline{\pi}$  fits a given observed spectrum O) we adopt Eq. (1) (see Mackay 2003)

$$p(O_{\lambda} \mid \overline{\pi}, M_{\lambda}) \propto \exp\left(-\chi^2/2\right),$$
 (1)

where for each diagnostic window defined to contain the lines in Table 2, the merit function  $\chi$  is defined as the sum of the quadratic residuals, weighted by the uncertainties, and normalized to the effective number of wavelength points  $n_p$  contributing to the sum. Hence for each window, this corresponds to

$$\chi_{\rm line}^2 = \frac{1}{n_{\rm p}} \sum_{j=1}^{n_{\rm p}} \left( \frac{O_j - M_j}{\sigma_j} \right)^2 \tag{2}$$

Since in the construction of the Markov chain we are using emulated FASTWIND spectra and not direct simulations (see Sect. 3.2.2), we convinced ourselves that the level of accuracy obtained by the statistical emulator is good enough as not to affect the outcome of the analysis (i.e., that the possible uncertainties  $\sigma_e$  introduced in the synthetic line profiles due to the statistical nature of the emulation are always significantly below the photon-noise level  $\sigma_p$ ). Therefore  $\sigma_d^2 = \sigma_p^2 + \sigma_e^2 \approx \sigma_p^2$ .

Concerning the priors, we assume that each value within its predefined range (see Table 1) has the same probability (i.e., uniform priors). Additionally, each spectral window contributes with the same weight to the merit function. No differential weighting scheme is applied since we have a similar number of lines for all the species.

Once the marginalized posterior probability distribution functions (PDFs) are recovered, the values of the parameters and their uncertainties are defined according to the following cases: (*a*) In the best case, when the location of the uncertainties lies within the range of possible grid-values, the solution is taken as the location of the maximum of each marginalized PDF, whilst the uncertainties are obtained as the values corresponding to the first and third quartiles of the associated cumulative distribution functions. (*b*, *c*) A lower or upper limit, when the upper or lower uncertainties lie in the upper or lower boundary limit, respectively. (*d*) An undefined solution, when the difference between the lower and upper uncertainties extends to more than 70% of the range of possible values.

An exception to case *c* applies to the helium surface abundance, which, as indicated in Sect. 3.2.2, has the lowest value set to  $Y_{\text{He}} = 0.10$ . Formally then, the helium abundance is not properly determined in the analysis when its actual value is close to this value. However, the solar helium abundance limit is a reasonable ansatz for the problem at hand, and hence we adopt these cases as solutions (*a*).

An overview of the output obtained through the spectroscopic analysis is included in Appendix B for HD 198 478, complemented with a corner plot to illustrate the covariance between different atmospheric parameters. We also included examples of the output distributions for each of the cases mentioned above.

# 3.2.5. Quality assessment of the solution

Given the large number of stellar spectra for which we intend to extract fundamental parameters, we decided to evaluate the quality of each solution by defining several quality indicators, each connected to some extent to one of the physical



Fig. 3. Histograms of the five quality indicators described in Sect. 3.2.5, each connected to a physical property, as indicated in the label of each panel. All histograms combine the information of all the stars with reliable estimates of  $T_{\text{eff}}$  and  $\log g$ . The gray bins correspond to values within 3- $\sigma$  after applying an iterative clipping until convergence is achieved, while the red bins correspond to the clipped values. The median and 3- $\sigma$  values are indicated in the figure with vertical and dash-dotted blue lines, respectively. The associated values are shown in the legend. For the panel associated with the wind strength, the *x*-axis extends to significantly higher values than for the others.

parameters:  $H\alpha$  as the only indicator of the quality of the wind strength;  $H\delta$ ,  $H\gamma$ , and  $H\beta$  Balmer lines as indicators of the surface gravity; Si II–III–IV and He I-II lines as separate indicators of the effective temperature through their ionization balances, and the Si III triplet for the microturbulence, as explained in Sect. 3.2.3. The spectral window associated with each line is evaluated regarding the residuals between the observed spectra and the solution model as

$$\chi_{\text{line}}^2(O_\lambda, M_\lambda) = \frac{1}{n_p} \sum_{j=1}^{n_p} \left(\frac{O_j - M_j}{\epsilon}\right)^2,$$
(3)

where the tolerance  $\epsilon$ , defined as  $\epsilon = [S/N]^{-1}$ , is a measurement of how much deviation is allowed between observed  $(O_{\lambda})$ and synthetic profiles  $(M_{\lambda})$ , and  $n_{\rm p}$  is the number of wavelength points in the spectral window that effectively contributed to the evaluation of the goodness-of-fit. As a result of some systematic broadening issues in some of the lines (see below), high S/N values might lead to large  $\chi^2$  values that do not necessarily indicate a bad solution. To reduce the effect caused by these systematics, we set 100 as the upper limit of the S/N.

For each quality indicator, except the one associated with the wind, we averaged the  $\chi^2$  values of the associated lines. In the case of Si II–III–IV and He I-II, we first averaged over those lines that correspond to the same ionization stage. Finally, we used all the solutions in which  $T_{\text{eff}}$  and  $\log g$  correspond to case *a* (see Sect. 3.2.4) to obtain a histogram for each quality indicator (see Fig. 3 and Sect. 4.1).

We also examined the individual  $\chi^2$  distributions of the silicon and helium diagnostic lines, which allowed us to identify systematic differences between observations and models. In particular, we found what appears to be a small but systematic difference in the broadening affecting the He I  $\lambda$ 4387.93 Å and He I  $\lambda$ 4921.93 Å lines. This could be related to issues with the forbidden components and not the broadening per se, and are in any case smaller than the differences found in He I  $\lambda$ 6678 Å, which we considered large enough to be initially excluded from the analysis. We also found difficulties in reproducing He I  $\lambda$ 5875.62 Å when the effect of the wind becomes relevant. We decided to exclude these three lines only from the following quality assessment.

To provide a single quality flag (q) that reflects the overall goodness of each solution, we used the above-mentioned histograms to consider four cases (from better to worse):

q1: When each of the five values of  $\chi^2$  lies within 3- $\sigma$  of the distribution after applying an iterative clipping of the outliers

until convergence is achieved. This corresponds to a very good overall fit of all the diagnostic lines and the best reliability of the derived parameters.

q2: When the value of  $\chi^2$  associated with H $\alpha$  lies outside 3- $\sigma$  of the corresponding distribution (see second panel of Fig. 3), a situation which is normally indicating a less-optimal fit to the specific profile-shape of this line (see the two examples in Fig. 4). As the purpose of this work is not to provide an accurate description of the wind properties, we consider this group to be the second best if all other four values lie inside 3- $\sigma$ .

q3: When one of the values of  $\chi^2$  associated with the gravity determination, the helium or silicon ionization balances, or the microturbulence lies outside 3- $\sigma$  of the corresponding distribution (see panels 1, 3, 4, and 5 in Fig. 3, respectively), indicating potential issues with the estimation of log g,  $T_{\text{eff}}$ ,  $\xi$ , or surface abundances.

q4: The same as q3, but with two or more values of  $\chi^2$  lying outside 3- $\sigma$ . This corresponds to the worst case and is typically associated with problems in the spectrum (e.g., low S/N or normalization issues).

We note that q3 and q4 are independent of q2 and therefore one solution can simultaneously attributed to q2 and q3 or q4. Some examples of the different flags are presented in Fig. 4, where a comparison between observed and synthetic profiles can be found.

# 4. Results

#### 4.1. General outcome from the analysis

Given the boundaries in  $T_{\text{eff}}$  and log *g* of our considered grid of models, we were able to obtain estimates for a total of 527 stars (i.e., they belong to case *a* as defined in Sect. 3.2.4). The corresponding quality distribution will be detailed later below, but we anticipate already here that the majority of stars (86%) belongs to *q*1.

The remaining 140 of the initial 666 O9–B9 type stars are not considered in the following sections and figures. They correspond to cases in which  $T_{\text{eff}}$  or log g are lower or upper limits (cases b and c, respectively), or to undefined solutions (case d). Concerning  $T_{\text{eff}}$ , we found no stars with case b, indicating that all O9 stars fit within the limits of the grid, but we found 57 stars with case c, corresponding to B6–B9 stars with  $T_{\text{eff}}$  values lower than the cold boundary of the considered grid of FASTWIND models (see Table 1). Regarding log g, 5 stars correspond to case b, and 34 to case c, the latter being mainly early-B giants and dwarfs. In 22 cases, we found a combination of the previous cases. The remaining 22 stars correspond to undefined solutions.



**Fig. 4.** Four illustrative cases of the quality labels assigned to the solutions (see text). Each row is divided into three spectral windows presenting three of the diagnostic regions: Si III  $\lambda\lambda$ 4553–68–75 triplet (left); He I  $\lambda$ 4471 (middle); and H $\alpha$  (right). The dashed green line is the synthetic spectrum of the model with the best-fitting parameters; the solid black line is the observed spectrum.

 Table 3. Summary of the formal uncertainties obtained for each parameter.

Parameter	Uncertainty	Parameter	Uncertainty
$T_{\rm eff}$ (K)	$500^{+200}_{-200}$	Y <sub>He</sub>	$0.02^{+0.01}_{-0.00}$
$\log g$ (dex)	$0.07\substack{+0.03 \\ -0.02}$	$\xi$ (km s <sup>-1</sup> )	$1.5^{+0.7}_{-0.4}$
$-\log Q$	$0.12^{+0.06}_{-0.05}$	$\epsilon_{ m Si}$	$0.08^{+0.05}_{-0.02}$
β	$0.41^{+0.13}_{-0.13}$		

**Notes.** The positive and negative values associated with each uncertainty correspond to the third and first quartiles of the distribution, respectively.

Table 3 provides a summary of the typical formal uncertainties associated with each investigated parameter. Although our analysis provides a lower and upper error for each parameter, both were very similar (on average, less than 10% different except for  $Y_{\text{He}}$ ), and we simply considered the average values for the table.

Figure 3 displays the histograms of  $\chi^2$  for the five quality indicators described in Sect. 3.2.5. In each panel, the position of the 3- $\sigma$  value used to assign the quality flags is included. Ideally, following Eq. (3), these values should gather around unity. It is evident that all the histograms except the one related to the wind strength have median values close to unity. This indicates that the chosen 3- $\sigma$  clipping value is sufficiently stringent and that there are no significant systematic errors. In these cases, we also obtained similar 3- $\sigma$  values. However, the histogram associated with the wind strength (H $\alpha$ ) displays larger median and 3- $\sigma$ values, approximately three times larger. We will return to this problem in Sect. 5.5.

Following the criteria described in Sect. 3.2.5, we assign one of the four quality flags to each of the solutions. The percentages of solutions associated with each of them are: 83% for q1, 9% for q2, 7% for q3, and 1% for q4. Remarkably, we can see that most of them are concentrated in q1, indicating an overall high quality of our results. The second largest group corresponds to q2. This,

together with the fact that there are only six q4 cases, and those in q3 correspond to spectra with low S/N or specific issues<sup>6</sup>, tells us that the considered grid is suitable for the analysis of the stars that fit within the boundaries of  $T_{\text{eff}}$  and  $\log g$ .

The basic information about the stars in the sample is summarized in the first columns of Table D.1. They include an identifiable name (ID) in the SIMBAD astronomical database (Weis & Bomans 2020), the Galactic coordinates, and the spectral classification. The following columns summarize the main outcome of the analysis, including the estimates of the rotational and macroturbulent velocities (columns  $v \sin i$  and  $v_{mac}$ ), and the estimates of  $T_{\text{eff}}$ ,  $\log g$ ,  $\xi$ ,  $Y_{\text{He}}$  and  $\log Q$  (columns are named with the abbreviations from Table 1). Except for  $T_{\text{eff}}$  and  $\log g$ , each of these columns is preceded by an additional column "l," indicating which of the four possible scenarios for the probability distribution applies (see Sect. 3.2.4). In particular, the upper and lower limits are indicated with < and >, the degenerate cases with "d," and the rest are considered reliable with an equals sign (=). An extra column named "q" indicates the corresponding quality flag (q1-q4). The last two columns indicate the name of the fits-file in the format of the IACOB spectroscopic database corresponding to the best spectrum, and the associated S/N in the 4000–5000 Å region.

As indicated in Sect. 1, metal abundances will be discussed in a forthcoming paper; however, we briefly summarize here the main outcome of our spectroscopic analysis regarding silicon abundances. Globally speaking, the associated distribution has a mean and a standard deviation of 7.46 and 0.14 dex, respectively. This result is consistent with Hunter et al. (2009), who considered 56 Galactic B-stars (less than half of which were supergiants) located in specific clusters, obtaining  $\epsilon_{Si} = 7.42 \pm 0.07$  dex. Also, we find a fairly good agreement with Nieva & Przybilla (2012) who obtained  $\epsilon_{Si} = 7.50 \pm 0.05$  dex using a sample of 20 Galactic B-type dwarfs in the Solar vicinity. Interestingly, the standard deviation of our distribution of estimated abundances is somewhat larger compared to

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> For example, the case shown in the bottom panel of Fig. 4, which corresponds to the X-ray binary system HD 226 868 (Cyg-X1) an O9.7Iab star orbiting a black hole.



**Fig. 5.** Comparison of the results of the  $T_{\text{eff}}$  and log g with previous studies in the literature. The acronyms follow those used in Table 4. The error bars in the bottom right corners indicate the average uncertainty from our analysis (vertical axis) or from the literature (horizontal axis) except for those from Weßmayer et al. (2022) for which a separate error bar in pink is included. The two shaded areas indicate a difference in  $T_{\text{eff}}$  and log g of 1000 K and 0.1 dex, and 2000 K and 0.2 dex, respectively. The diagonal black line indicates the 1-to-1 agreement.

Table 4. Summary of the analyses used by other studies of Galactic luminous blue stars.

Reference paper	Acronym	Stars in common	Codes <sup>(a)</sup>	Obtain $v_{mac}$ ?	$\xi$ (km s <sup>-1</sup> )	Resol.	Comments <sup>(b)</sup>
McErlean et al. (1999) Crowther et al. (2006) Searle et al. (2008) Lefever et al. (2007) Markova & Puls (2008) Haucke et al. (2018) Weßmayer et al. (2022)	McEr99 Crow06 Sear08 Lefe07 Mark08 Hauc18 Weßm22	29 22 17 20 3 16 5	TDS CMFGEN CMFGEN FASTWIND FASTWIND FASTWIND ADS	No No No Yes Yes Yes Yes	 10-40 10-50 5/10/15 4-20 5-25 0-16	$5000 \le 5000 \le 2000$ 70000 = 15000 = 130000 = 130000 = 130000 = 130000 = 130000 = 13	Unblanketed models Fixed $Y_{\text{He}} = 0.2$ Optical + UV spectra Fixed He and Si (solar) Closest comparison Fixed He and Si (solar) Use turbulent pressure

**Notes.** <sup>(a)</sup>TDS refers to TLUSTY, DETAIL, and SURFACE while ADS refers to ATLAS12, DETAIL, and SURFACE. <sup>(b)</sup> Solar He and Si corresponds to  $Y_{\text{He}} = 0.1$  and  $\epsilon_{\text{Si}} = 7.55$ , respectively.

Hunter et al. (2009), Nieva & Przybilla (2012), and also compared with the typical uncertainties resulting from our analysis (see Table 3); however, this could be related to the fact that, as shown in de Burgos et al. (2020), our sample includes stars from many different locations and is certainly not limited to stars within 500 pc from the Sun as in Nieva & Przybilla (2012), but up to 3–4 kpc instead. Further results and discussions on these issues will be presented in a follow-up study.

# 4.2. Comparison with previous results

Figure 5 compares our results for  $T_{\text{eff}}$  and  $\log g$  with other relevant studies in the literature that also performed quantitative spectroscopic analysis on small- or medium-sized samples of Galactic luminous blue stars. They are separated into two groups to better illustrate the differences. Table 4 summarizes the main characteristics of the different analyses used by those works and the number of stars in common. The table also includes the different acronyms used to refer to each of those works. In most cases, they have made use of the atmospheric codes CMFGEN (Hillier & Miller 1998), or FASTWIND as also done here. Other cases include the use of TLUSTY (Hubeny 1988) or ATLAS12 (Kurucz 2005) combined with DETAIL (Giddings 1981) and SURFACE (Butler & Giddings 1985). In McErlean et al. (1999), Crowther et al. (2006), and Searle et al. (2008) (first and third panels of Fig. 5), the typical formal uncertainties in  $T_{\rm eff}$  and  $\log g$  are  $\approx 2000 \,\rm K$  and  $\approx 0.2$  dex, respectively. In Lefever et al. (2007), Markova & Puls (2008), and Haucke et al. (2018) (second and fourth panels), the uncertainties are on average  $\approx 800 \text{ K}$  and  $\approx 0.1 \text{ dex}$ ,

respectively. Weßmayer et al. (2022) claims the smallest average uncertainties of  $\approx 250$  K and  $\approx 0.05$  dex. We note that, except for Weßmayer et al. (2022), the quoted uncertainties are systematically larger than those reached in our analysis (see Table 3); this is mostly a consequence of our analysis method and the way our uncertainties are derived.

The different strategies and methodologies used in those works made it very difficult to assess the overall agreement with our results, as well as to carry out individual comparisons. Despite this, we provide some individual notes and try to explain the reasons for some of the more notorious differences.

First, we find a good overall agreement with the results of McErlean et al. (1999), one of the first studies attempting to derive spectroscopic parameters for a large sample of BSGs. Most of the results lie within  $\pm 1000$  K and  $\pm 0.1$  dex as shown in the corresponding panels of Fig. 5. This is interesting as it is a study with large differences in the methodology, as they used plane-parallel geometry and unblanketed models.

The comparison with Crowther et al. (2006) also shows a very similar situation. However, one main difference from this work is their use of a fixed  $Y_{\text{He}} = 0.2$  which, as shown in Sect. 5.4), is not a representative value for the majority of the analyzed luminous blue stars. Comparing the fit quality for those stars with differences in  $T_{\text{eff}}$  or log g larger than 1000 K or 0.1 dex, respectively, we find a typically better quality from our results.

In the case of Searle et al. (2008), we observe a larger scatter of the differences, both in  $T_{\text{eff}}$  and log g. The latter was also found by the authors themselves when comparing with Crowther et al. (2006), being of the order of 0.1–0.2 dex. Despite that they

attributed this difference to wind contamination of the Balmer lines, one also finds differences in some diagnostic metallic lines and significant discrepancies between their fitted and observed spectra.

The differences with McErlean et al. (1999), Crowther et al. (2006), and especially Searle et al. (2008) might also be attributed to the much lower resolutions used in those works compared to our data. Moreover, none of these works accounted for macroturbulent broadening, which can represent an important contribution to the shapes of the lines.

Our comparison with Lefever et al. (2007) shows the largest discrepancies with our results, with lower  $T_{\text{eff}}$  and log g values for many of the stars in common. One reason for this difference could be their (much) lower number of diagnostic lines compared to other studies. In particular, they only used H $\gamma$  as the primary gravity indicator and He I  $\lambda$ 4471.47 Å in the second place. For  $T_{\text{eff}}$  they used either the Si II 4130 Å doublet or the Si III 4560 Å triplet. They also adopted a fixed solar silicon abundance, which can also affect the determination of the effective temperature.

The study by Markova & Puls (2008) represents the closest comparison to our methodology. However, the number of stars in common is very limited. Nevertheless, we observe a good agreement for almost all stars in common.

The results from Haucke et al. (2018) show a good agreement for half of the stars in common, with the other half having lower  $T_{\text{eff}}$  and log g values than in our case. For these stars, we found (as also by the authors) that their  $T_{\text{eff}}$  and log g values are systematically lower compared to other studies such as Crowther et al. (2006) or Searle et al. (2008).

Last, our results compared to Weßmayer et al. (2022) show a good agreement despite the different methodologies and the fact that they account for the effects of turbulent pressure on the models. We could only identify a slight trend toward lower  $T_{\text{eff}}$ in their case. The authors suggested (via private communication) that the differences are likely due to differences in the silicon model, especially affecting some Si II lines (see Weßmayer et al. 2022, for more details).

In summary, we do not see any particular trend in our results that may indicate a problem with our models or with the analysis technique. We also do not find particular differences when comparing the results obtained with FASTWIND or CMFGEN. Regarding the largest differences, they were attributed in the first place to specific reasons related to the fit quality (e.g., Searle et al. 2008), or the absence of diagnostic lines (e.g., Lefever et al. 2007).

# 4.3. T<sub>eff</sub>-spectral type calibration

Several studies have obtained calibrations of spectral type against  $T_{\rm eff}$  for Galactic BSGs in the past (see Lefever et al. 2007; Markova & Puls 2008; Searle et al. 2008; Haucke et al. 2018). These calibrations are, however, based on samples of relatively small size, with no more than a few tens of targets in the best cases. Here, we benefit from our much larger sample to provide a revised calibration. Figure 6 shows  $T_{\rm eff}$  as a function of spectral type for those analyzed stars with luminosity classes Ia, Iab, and Ib. To avoid spurious results associated with the use of erroneous spectral classifications (as those provided by SIMBAD in many cases, see de Burgos et al. 2023, and Sect. 4.4), we highlight with blue dot symbols those stars with reliable spectral classifications as provided by Sota et al. (2011, 2014), de Burgos et al. (2020, 2023), and Negueruela et al. (2024).

Using only those stars in the former group, we performed both a linear and a third-order polynomial fit (the latter option



**Fig. 6.**  $T_{\rm eff}$  against spectral type for stars with luminosity class I. The blue dots correspond to stars with revised classifications (see Sect. 4.3), whereas the gray dots correspond to stars whose classification corresponds to the default provided by SIMBAD. The dashed orange and cyan lines correspond to a first- and third-order polynomial fit to the stars in blue. Some previous calibrations from the literature are also included for comparison.

first proposed by Lefever et al. 2007), accounting for individual errors in  $T_{\text{eff}}$ . The resulting calibrations are:

$$T_{\text{eff}} = 27719 - 3754x \text{ (K)}$$
  
$$T_{\text{eff}} = 27597 - 4104x + 130x^2 + 33x^3 \text{ (K)}$$

where x is the spectral type adopting O9 = -1, B0 = 0, and so on. Additionally, the  $1-\sigma$  uncertainties for each spectral type are:  $O9 \pm 1300$  K,  $B0 \pm 1500$  K,  $B1 \pm 700$  K,  $B2 \pm 700$  K,  $B3 \pm 600$  K, where for the B4- and B5-type stars, they are not provided due to the reduced number of objects.

As illustrated in Fig. 6, both calibrations (indicated with black and gray dashed lines, respectively) are almost identical from O9 down to B3-type stars, but significantly differ for later types. We also see a much larger scatter in  $T_{\rm eff}$  for those stars whose classifications are directly extracted from SIMBAD (gray dot symbols).

Compared to previous calibrations by Lefever et al. (2007), Markova & Puls (2008), and Haucke et al. (2018), their regression curves seem to agree with our results only for B0-type objects, differing by 1–3 kK for O9 and B1–B5 spectral types. The explanation for this difference is the considerably smaller number of targets considered by these authors, together with the change of slope beyond the B5 spectral types, which notably modify the polynomial fits. Taking into account the improved statistics, our calibration is clearly more robust than the other three.

#### 4.4. Spectroscopic HR diagram

The location of the sample stars in the spectroscopic Hertzsprung–Russell diagram (hereafter sHR diagram Langer & Kudritzki 2014) is shown in Fig. 7, where we also indicate the boundaries of our model grid. Along with the stars in our study, the figure also includes 191 O-type stars from Holgado et al. (2018, 2020, 2022, hereafter Hol18-22).

The colors in Fig. 7 indicate the luminosity class of the stars as listed in Table D.1. In particular, for most of the stars in the sample, we adopted the recommended classifications quoted in SIMBAD. However, as shown in de Burgos et al. (2023), for


**Fig. 7.** sHR diagram showing our results from the analysis for 527 stars with O9–B5 spectral type color-coded by their luminosity class, and 191 O-type stars from Ho118-22 in gray. The boundaries of our model grid are indicated with a rectangle. The shaded area indicates the approximate region where our results correspond to the upper or lower limits (see Sect. 3.2.4). The approximate separation between the O- and B-type stars is indicated with a dotted diagonal black line. For reference, the figure includes nonrotating evolutionary tracks with solar metallicity from the Geneva and Bonn models (Ekström et al. 2012; Georgy et al. 2013; Brott et al. 2011, respectively). Intervals of the same age difference are marked with purple crosses for Geneva and green triangles for Bonn, which are connected with dashed and dash-dotted lines of the same color. The dash-dotted gray lines indicate different constant log g values.

B-type stars, a nonnegligible number of luminosity classes in SIMBAD are incorrect or not even provided (see also Fig. 6). While we plan to review the spectral classifications of all B-type stars in our sample following the guidelines of Negueruela et al. (2024), for this work we keep using the SIMBAD classifications except for those  $\approx$ 120 stars for which we have published revised spectral types and luminosity classes (see de Burgos et al. 2020, 2023; Negueruela et al. 2024). These revised classifications represent an improvement for supergiant stars (see for comparison Fig. 5 in de Burgos et al. 2023).

As illustrated in Fig. 7 (see also Sect. 2), the majority of stars in our sample ( $\approx$ 70%) comprise stars with luminosity classes I and II, especially toward cooler temperatures (below  $T_{\rm eff} \leq 29$  kK, see also Fig. 8). However, there is also a nonnegligible number of objects with luminosity class III, IV and V. Despite most of them being located close to the hot boundary of the investigated domain, there is still a fraction of objects from this latter group whose location in the sHR diagram overlaps with the region predominantly populated by stars with classes I and II. Following the criteria formulated in Negueruela et al. (2024), we revised the spectral classification for those class-V stars that overlap with the location of stars with luminosity class I, II, and III. Appendix C shows that almost all of them actually correspond to stars with class-III.

This result warns us again about the use of unchecked spectral classifications from SIMBAD. It also highlights the urgent need for a systematic revision of an important percentage of the known B-type stars, following a similar homogeneous approach as the work performed by Maíz Apellániz et al. (2011, 2016); Sota et al. (2011, 2014) in the case of O-type stars.

#### 5. Discussion

#### 5.1. An empirical hint for the terminal-age main sequence in the high-mass domain

The empirical identification of the location of the terminal-age main sequence (TAMS) provides important constraints for several physical phenomena occurring in the interior of stars along the main sequence, including core overshooting processes, and the impact of rotational mixing and magnetic fields, among others (see, e.g., Meynet & Maeder 2000; Vink et al. 2000; Maeder & Meynet 2005; Schootemeijer et al. 2019; Martinet et al. 2021; Scott et al. 2021). Above  $\approx 3 M_{\odot}$ , once hydrogen is exhausted in the convective core and the TAMS is reached, stars suffer from a rapid reconfiguration of their internal structure while evolving approximately at constant luminosity. In brief, they increase considerably their size (and hence the effective



**Fig. 8.** Histogram of effective temperatures for all the stars in Fig. 7, color-coded by luminosity class. The approximate separation between O- and B-type stars is indicated with a dashed vertical black line.

temperature decreases), while the inert core is contracting. As a consequence of the short time-scale of this process, the relative number of stars in a volume-limited sample detected on the cool side of the TAMS is expected to be considerably lower than those populating the main sequence.

Figure 8 depicts a histogram of the effective temperatures of the stars shown in Fig. 7. The relative number of stars in each bin steadily increases from the hot end down to  $\approx 21 \text{ kK}$ , where a clearly noticeable drop is detected. As indicated above, this drop might roughly delineate the location of the empirical TAMS in the mass range between 15 and 85  $M_{\odot}$ . Interestingly, this severe drop in the number of stars is located 5-7 kK below the theoretical TAMS predicted by the single-star evolutionary models of Ekström et al. (2012). Alternatively, if compared to the single-star models of Brott et al. (2011), the location of the drop overlaps well with the theoretical TAMS for masses below 40  $M_{\odot}$ , but for masses above, the TAMS is shifted to temperatures  $\approx 10 \text{ kK}$  cooler. The large difference between both sets of models is mainly related to the different treatment of angular momentum transport (Ekström et al. 2012, advective; Brott et al. 2011, diffusive), and the different size of the core-overshoot parameter (lower in Ekström et al. 2012), where the latter has a large impact on the main-sequence lifetimes.

While the presence of BSGs beyond the theoretically predicted main sequence in single-star evolutionary models has been known for a while (see, for example, Fitzpatrick & Garmany 1990; Castro et al. 2014, using photometric and spectroscopic observations, respectively), our work implies a higher statistical significance, given the large sample of stars homogeneously analyzed here. Should this location of the TAMS be confirmed, not all BSGs would be He-core burning post-MS stars, with a possible significant fraction of them (mostly those with spectral types earlier than B3) being H-core burning objects (see also previous hints by Vink et al. 2010; Brott et al. 2011; Castro et al. 2014; McEvoy et al. 2015).

However, the possibility of other evolutionary channels populating this part of the sHR diagram, mainly invoking post-mass transfer binaries and mergers (see Marchant & Bodensteiner 2023, and references therein), but also post-red supergiant stages through blue loops (e.g., Stothers & Chin 1975; Martinet et al. 2021; Zhao et al. 2023), complicates a definitive identification



de Burgos, A., et al.: A&A, 687, A228 (2024)

**Fig. 9.** sHR diagram of the 527 stars in the sample and 191 O-type stars from Hol18-22, all color-coded by  $v \sin i$ . The bottom and right subpanels show  $v \sin i$  against  $T_{\text{eff}}$  and  $\log \mathcal{L}$ , respectively. The boundary limits of our grid of models are marked with dashed black lines. The evolutionary tracks are the same as in Fig. 7 for Geneva models.

of BSGs as main sequence or post-main sequence objects just accounting from the simple picture described above. In addition, the potential impact of observational biases, as well as sampling effects related to the initial mass function and the age range of the compiled sample should be taken into account in any attempt to explain the observed distribution of stars presented in Fig. 7.

For example, despite one would expect a more or less constant distribution of stars as a function of effective temperature along the main sequence evolution, the relative number of stars in the  $T_{\rm eff}$  range  $\approx 30-20$  kK is noticeably larger than in the  $\approx 40-30$  kK range (see Fig. 8). This could be partially explained by the effect of a Malmquist bias affecting our magnitude-limited sample (see de Burgos et al. 2023, for a detailed discussion). Since mid B-type supergiants are expected to be intrinsically brighter in the optical than other supergiants with similar luminosities but earlier spectral types, the distances reached for the former group are much larger than for the latter; hence, an overabundance of mid-to-late BSGs is expected in our sample. This strengthens our suggestion that the TAMS might be located at  $\approx 21$  kK if we assume the drop in density of stars as a function of  $T_{\rm eff}$  as empirical evidence of the position of the TAMS.

#### 5.2. Rotational properties

Figure 9 shows an sHR diagram with the same stars as in Fig. 7, but color-coded by their projected rotational velocities. The central panel is complemented with another two (right and bottom subpanels) in which the measured  $v \sin i$  values are directly confronted against log  $\mathcal{L}$  and  $T_{\text{eff}}$ , respectively.

As observed for Galactic O-type stars (see Holgado et al. 2022, and references therein), two main components can also be clearly distinguished in the  $v \sin i$  distribution when moving to the BSG domain (see also Fig. 10): one main component (comprising about 70% of the sample) with projected rotational



**Fig. 10.** Distribution of  $v \sin i$  separating the stars in the sample in four groups of different  $T_{\text{eff}}$  ranges, as shown in the legends. The panels show the different histograms, indicating with a dash-dotted line the mean  $v \sin i$  values as derived from an iterative 2- $\sigma$  clipping. In each panel the corresponding cumulative distribution and the percentage of stars with  $v \sin i < 100 \text{ km s}^{-1}$  is also included.

velocities ranging from  $\approx 10 \text{ km s}^{-1}$  to  $\approx 100 \text{ km s}^{-1}$ , and a tail of fast rotating stars reaching values of  $\approx 400 \text{ km s}^{-1}$ . While the main component is present in the full range of covered effective temperatures, the tail of fast rotators disappears below  $\approx 20 \text{ kK}$  (see bottom panel of Fig. 9).

The existence of a bimodal  $v \sin i$  distribution in the O star domain has been known for several decades (see, e.g., Conti & Ebbets 1977). This is also the case for the clear drop found in the  $T_{\text{eff}}$ - $v \sin i$  diagram at  $T_{\text{eff}} \approx 21$  kK (see bottom panel of Fig. 9), which has previously been identified by several authors (see, e.g., Howarth et al. 1997; Vink et al. 2010; Fraser et al. 2010; Brott et al. 2011), including our previous work (de Burgos et al. 2023), where we found its location around the B2-type stars with luminosity class I and II.

A theoretical explanation for the occurrence of a bimodal distribution (proposed by de Mink et al. 2013) invokes the effect of mass transfer in binary systems, implying the spin-up of the gainer. In this scenario, which has found empirical support by Holgado et al. (2022) and Britavskiy et al. (2023), the tail of fast rotators is mostly populated by post-interaction binary products, and the observed  $v \sin i$  distribution is not necessarily representative of the initial spin-rate at birth of the investigated samples. This hypothesis leaves room for the possibility that the low- $v \sin i$  component of the distribution mostly comprises stars which have not interacted with any companion, while also including some fast rotating stars seen with a low inclination angle, as well as potential mergers spun-down by magnetic fields (see, e.g., Schneider et al. 2016; Keszthelyi et al. 2019).

Thanks to the large sample of stars for which we have obtained  $v \sin i$ ,  $T_{\text{eff}}$ ,  $\log \mathcal{L}$ , and  $\log Q$  estimates, and as a followup of the work started in Holgado et al. (2022), we can evaluate with good statistical significance and robustness how the observed  $v \sin i$  distribution is modified as stars evolve. To this end, we use  $T_{\text{eff}}$  as a proxy of evolution, but also take into account that in the binary channel the direct relation between the  $T_{\text{eff}}$  and age breaks down.

Figure 10 depicts the histograms of  $v \sin i$  for four subsamples of stars covering, from top to bottom, decreasing ranges of  $T_{\text{eff}}$ . In particular, we consider three subsamples covering the region between our hotter  $T_{\text{eff}}$  boundary and the speculated location of the TAMS (see Sect. 5.1), plus a fourth one comprising the supposedly post-MS region. In all cases, we mark the location of the mean  $v \sin i$  associated with the low- $v \sin i$  component of the distribution and indicate the percentage of stars that have a  $v \sin i$ below 100 km s<sup>-1</sup>.

Regarding the low- $v \sin i$  component, both Fig. 10 and the bottom subpanel of Fig. 9 show a slow decrease in its characteristic  $v \sin i$  (from 60 to 54 km s<sup>-1</sup> in the  $T_{\rm eff}$  range between 40 and 21 kK, and from this later value down to 37 km s<sup>-1</sup> when considering the cooler stars in the sample). This result is consistent with recent findings by Holgado et al. (2022) for the case of O-type stars, but also extending them further to lower effective temperatures. Despite the widely predicted loss of angular momentum due to stellar winds, the detected surface braking in the low-v sin i component is almost negligible throughout the considered range of effective temperatures. As suggested by Holgado et al. (2022), this might be pointing toward the existence of an efficient mechanism transporting angular momentum from the stellar core to the surface along the main sequence. This statement might also be supported by the almost constant percentage of stars with  $v \sin i > 100 \text{ km s}^{-1}$ , as well as the maximum  $v \sin i$  values detected in the tail of fast rotators in stars ranging from the zero-age main sequence (ZAMS) to the suggested location of the TAMS (see Holgado et al. 2022, and Sect. 5.1).

Regarding the drop in  $v \sin i$  at  $T_{\text{eff}} \approx 21$  kK, as pointed out by Vink et al. (2010), it could be either an indicator of the end of the main sequence or the result of an enhanced angular momentum loss at the theoretically predicted bi-stability jump (Pauldrach & Puls 1990; Vink et al. 1999, 2000)<sup>7</sup> When considering this latter possibility, we must remember that the exact location and characteristics of the bi-stability jump remain a debated question (see Petrov et al. 2016; Krtička et al. 2024). There is not even consensus on the predicted occurrence of a significant increase in the mass loss rate when the star is crossing from the hotter to the cooler side of the bi-stability jump (Björklund et al. 2021, 2023). Furthermore, as described in Sect. 5.5, the behavior of our measured wind strength does not support a strong change of the

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup> The proposed location of the bi-stability jump in Vink et al. (2000) for the range of luminosities of the considered BSGs is  $\approx 25$  kK.



**Fig. 11.** sHR diagram of the stars in the sample color-coded by the  $\xi$ . The bottom and right subpanels show  $\xi$  against  $T_{\text{eff}}$  and log  $\mathcal{L}$ , respectively. Results of  $\xi$  considered as upper or lower limits, or degenerated are excluded. The evolutionary tracks are the same as in Fig. 7 for Geneva models.

mass-loss rate properties around the effective temperature where the drop in  $v \sin i$  is detected. Thus, we are still left with the question of what causes the observed drop in the  $v \sin i$  distribution as a function of  $T_{\text{eff}}$ .

#### 5.3. Microturbulence and macroturbulence

Current analyses of the atmospheres of O- and B-type stars require the consideration of two broadening parameters, termed microturbulence (see, e.g., McErlean et al. 1998; Smith & Howarth 1998; Vink et al. 2000) and macroturbulence (e.g., Ryans et al. 2002; Simón-Díaz & Herrero 2014; Simón-Díaz et al. 2017), for which their exact physical origin is yet unknown. Figure 11 presents our derived microturbulences. Previous studies based on smaller numbers of stars in the B-stars domain have shown that supergiants have larger microturbulence values than giants and dwarfs (Gies & Lambert 1992; Hunter et al. 2007, 2008; Lefever et al. 2007; Markova & Puls 2008; Weßmayer et al. 2022). Benefiting from a much larger sample of stars, we investigated whether a connection between the spectroscopic luminosity and the microturbulence is statistically sound. A Spearman's rank-order correlation of our results delivers a coefficient of  $\rho = 0.82$  with a significance level of 95%, indicating the hypothesis of statistical independence between both quantities can be rejected.

Concerning the variation of  $\xi$  with respect to  $T_{\rm eff}$  for luminous blue stars (see, for example Markova & Puls 2008), we obtained a median value of 20 km s<sup>-1</sup> for O9–B0.5, 17 km s<sup>-1</sup> in the B0.5–B2 range, 15 km s<sup>-1</sup> at B2–B4 type, and 12 km s<sup>-1</sup> for B5 and later. We also notice an increased relative and absolute scatter toward the hotter  $T_{\rm eff}$  end, being particularly broad at  $T_{\rm eff} \approx 26$  kK, whereas a smaller scatter is present at the cool end.

Our derived macroturbulent velocities  $(v_{mac})$ , combined with those from Hol18-22 for O-type stars, essentially reproduce the previous findings by Simón-Díaz et al. (2017) in terms of the dependencies with respect  $T_{eff}$  and  $\log \mathcal{L}$ , and hence are not



**Fig. 12.** Macroturbulence against microturbulence for the sample of stars, color-coded by their  $\log(\mathcal{L}/\mathcal{L}_{\odot})$ . The sample is limited to those stars with  $v \sin i < 100 \text{ km s}^{-1}$ . A linear fit is included and is indicated by a dashed diagonal black line.

repeated here. However, we go beyond that study in terms of investigating a potential correlation between  $\xi$  and  $v_{\text{mac}}$ . Interestingly, Fig. 12 shows that a positive correlation does exist. To quantify this correlation we calculated Spearman's correlation coefficient, which resulted in  $\rho = 0.71$  at a significance level of 95%. This result deserves a follow-up, more in-depth study since it might indicate a connection between the physical drivers of the two broadening mechanisms.

Several plausible scenarios have been proposed to explain the occurrence of these two spectral line-broadening features. Among them, Cantiello et al. (2009) suggests that microturbulence originates in subsurface convective zones, whereas Grassitelli et al. (2015) and Cantiello et al. (2021) propose the same origin for macroturbulence. As an alternative, Aerts et al. (2009) suggested the collective pulsational velocity broadening due to gravity modes as a physical explanation for the macroturbulent broadening in hot massive stars. More recently, Aerts & Rogers (2015) extended further the proposed connection between macroturbulence and stellar variability phenomena by linking both through the effect of convectively driven waves originating in the stellar core (see also Edelmann et al. 2019; Lecoanet & Edelmann 2023; Anders et al. 2023). This latter scenario has been further explored by Bowman et al. (2019a,b, 2020), who also showed evidence of a correlation between the amplitude of observed stochastic low-frequency photometric variability, and the amount of measured macroturbulent broadening.

Overall, despite the various alternatives proposed, no firm conclusions have been reached yet (see, e.g., Simón-Díaz et al. 2017; Godart et al. 2017; Bowman et al. 2020; Cantiello et al. 2021). In these regards, the empirical correlations presented here and in Simón-Díaz et al. (2017), together with results from parallel works investigating the connection between macroturbulent broadening and photometric and line-profile variability (e.g., Simón-Díaz et al. 2010, 2017; Bowman et al. 2020) open new avenues to find more conclusive answers about the physical origin and potential connection between these two ubiquitous features.

#### 5.4. Surface helium abundance

Together with nitrogen and carbon, a consistent determination of the surface abundances of helium in O- and B-type stars



**Fig. 13.** sHR diagram of the stars in the sample plus 191 O-type stars from Hol18-22, color-coded by helium abundance. We adopted  $Y_{\text{He}} \approx 0.10$  as the lowest possible value. The bottom and right subpanels show  $Y_{\text{He}}$  against  $T_{\text{eff}}$  and  $\log \mathcal{L}$ , respectively. The various subgroups of stars listed in Table 5 are indicated with red solid lines. Results considered as lower limits or degenerate are excluded. The bottom subpanel includes a horizontal dotted line at  $Y_{\text{He}} = 0.13$ . The evolutionary tracks are the same as in Fig. 7 for Geneva models.

can help to constrain the impact of internal mixing processes along the main sequence evolution (see, e.g., Martins et al. 2005; Rivero González et al. 2012; Carneiro et al. 2016; Grin et al. 2017). Furthermore, it can be used to identify the occurrence of mass transfer and merger events in massive binaries (see, e.g., Langer 2012; Langer et al. 2020; de Mink et al. 2013; Glebbeek et al. 2013; Schneider et al. 2016; Sen et al. 2022; Menon et al. 2023) and, ultimately, better identify the evolutionary status of the investigated targets (e.g., whether they are in a H- or He-core burning stage; see, Georgy et al. 2021, and references therein).

Figure 13 shows the sHR diagram for the estimated surface abundances of helium in our BSG and O-star sample. As in previous similar figures, we also present two subpanels to investigate potential dependencies between this quantity and log  $\mathcal{L}$  and  $T_{\text{eff}}$ , respectively.

Globally speaking, our results cover the range  $Y_{\text{He}} = 0.10-0.23$ , with few exceptions. For the discussion below, and based on the median of our results plus the average of all the error estimates for our sample stars, we define  $Y_{\text{He}} = 0.13$  as the threshold for a star to be considered He-enriched. We find that 20% of the stars in our sample have a surface helium abundance above this limit, of which only 7% display  $Y_{\text{He}} > 0.16$ .

The right and bottom subpanels of Fig. 13 do not show any clear correlation between the amount of He surface enrichment and log  $\mathcal{L}$  or  $T_{\text{eff}}$ . To further investigate the potential correlation between these three quantities, also taking into account the  $v \sin i$  of the stars, Table 5 summarizes some information of interest regarding the percentages of stars with  $Y_{\text{He}} > 0.13$ . This information is associated with subsamples of stars located within the 12 panels highlighted in red in Fig. 13. Specifically, we have selected three ranges in  $T_{\text{eff}}$  that presumably cover the

Table 5. Summary properties of He-enriched stars, separated in grou	ps
of different $T_{\text{eff}}$ and $\log(\mathcal{L}/\mathcal{L}_{\odot})$ , as indicated in the second and this	ird
columns.	

_	$\log(\mathcal{L}/\mathcal{L}_{o})$	$T_{\rm eff}$	All	He-en	riched (Y	$H_{e} > 0.13)^{(a)}$
ne	range	range	#	All	low -	high -
ĥ	(dex)	(kK)			v sin i	v sin i
а	0	40-34	25	62%	57%	64%
b	4.1	34-27	78	19%	16%	25%
С	35-	27-20	42	21%	24%	0%
d	4	20-14	18	26%	26%	-
e	35	40-34	69	18%	12%	22%
f	3.8	34–27	63	10%	0%	26%
g	-0	27-20	106	15%	12%	21%
ĥ	4.1	20-14	21	0%	0%	-
i	00	40-34	36	10%	5%	20%
j	3.6	34-27	34	19%	14%	29%
k	<u>5</u> -	27-20	47	18%	11%	38%
l	3.8	20-14	14	7%	8%	0%

**Notes.** The first column refers to the panels displayed in Fig. 13. <sup>(a)</sup> Low- and high- $v \sin i$  refer to stars with  $v \sin i$  below or above 100 km s<sup>-1</sup>, respectively. The indicated percentages have been computed with respect to the total number of stars in each  $v \sin i$  subgroup within the corresponding panel. <sup>(b)</sup> Abundances from Holgado (2019) are used for the 191 O-stars shown in Fig. 13.

main sequence (see Sect. 5.1), plus a fourth one corresponding to stars with  $T_{\rm eff} < 20 \,\rm kK$  (i.e., to the cooler side of the suggested empirical TAMS).

Regarding stars with  $T_{\text{eff}} > 20 \text{ kK}$ , the most remarkable result is the particularly large percentage of He-enriched stars in panel *a* (reaching  $\approx 60\%$ ); all other panels with  $T_{\text{eff}} > 20 \text{ kK}$  show only  $\approx 10$  to 20% of He-enriched objects, again without any correlation between this quantity and  $T_{\text{eff}}$  or log  $\mathcal{L}$ . The statistics associated with the rightmost panels in Fig. 13 (*d*, *h*, and *l*) show a different behavior, with a much lower percentage of He-enriched stars (except for panel *d*).

Another interesting result is that in those panels where there is a clearly bimodal  $v \sin i$  distribution (namely those with  $T_{\text{eff}}$ > 20 kK, see bottom subpanel of Fig. 13), the percentage of He-enriched stars in the tail of fast rotators is systematically higher (except for panel c) than for the main low- $v \sin i$  component. A two-sample Kolmogorov-Smirnov test indicates that, with a 95% confidence, both groups (now also considering the non-He-enriched stars) do not arise from the same probability distribution for the surface helium abundance. This might be explained by attributing a different origin to the He-enriched stars in both low- $v \sin i$  and fast-rotating stellar populations.

While we expect these results to serve as guidelines for future, in-depth comparisons of single and binary evolution model predictions, we provide here some first hints which can be extracted from the information in Table 5. First, we evaluate the possibility that He-enriched stars originate from single-star evolution. For this, we compare with evolutionary model predictions from Brott et al. (2011), Ekström et al. (2012), and Keszthelyi et al. (2022). Among them, only the models by Ekström et al. (2012) with an initial rotational velocity of 40% of critical rotation can explain some (but certainly not all, see below) of the percentages of stars with  $Y_{\text{He}} > 0.13$  quoted in Table 5. The alternative computations by Brott et al. (2011), and Keszthelyi et al. (2022) do not produce any remarkable He-enrichment along those main sequence tracks crossing any of the various red panels highlighted in Fig. 13.

Exploring further the evolutionary models computations by Ekström et al. (2012), we have found that they can, at maximum, explain 40–50% of the detected stars with He-enriched surfaces. Basically, these are targets with low and intermediate projected rotational velocities ( $v \sin i < 100-150 \text{ km s}^{-1}$ ) in panels a, b, e, and f. Whereas the observed percentage of stars with He-enriched surfaces is systematically larger within the tail of fast rotators (see above and Table 5), Ekström et al. (2012), on the other hand, predict that those stars with a clearly detected enrichment of helium should have also suffered from a significant braking of the stellar surface.

All this, together with the increasing empirical evidence indicating that main sequence massive stars might not be suffering from such a significant surface braking (see Sect. 5.2) leaves us with the necessity for an alternative scenario to explain an important fraction (if not all) of the detected He-enriched stars in our sample, particularly those with  $v \sin i > 150 \text{ km s}^{-1}$ . In this context, given the high percentage of massive stars born in binary and multiple systems, and the high probability of an interaction during their evolution (see Marchant & Bodensteiner 2023, and references therein), stars that exhibit helium surface enrichment might be the result of binary interaction. For fast-rotating objects, they could be the gainers of post-interaction systems in which the mass transfer event occurs when the initially more massive star has evolved beyond the main sequence (i.e., case B mass transfer Langer et al. 2020; Wang et al. 2020; Klencki et al. 2020; Sen et al. 2022). Moreover, some of the He-enriched low $v \sin i$  stars could be the products of merger events, including cases in which the merging occurs when one or both components are close to or beyond the TAMS (see Podsiadlowski et al. 1992; Langer 2012; Schneider et al. 2016). Therefore, a more thorough investigation of the various possibilities opened by the binary channel, incorporating information about C, N, and O surface abundances and new predictions from single and binary evolutionary models, is hence certainly needed.

#### 5.5. Wind properties

At present, several NLTE atmospheric codes are able to treat spherically extended atmospheres with winds (assuming radiative equilibrium). In this work we used FASTWIND (see Sect. 3.2.1), but other available codes are CMFGEN (Hillier & Miller 1998), POWR (Gräfener et al. 2002; Hamann & Gräfener 2004), WM-BASIC (Pauldrach et al. 2001), or PHOENIX (Hauschildt 1992). A major challenge in reproducing the observed spectral lines affected by stellar winds is accounting for the inhomogeneities (clumping) of these winds (see Puls et al. 2008, and references therein). Such inhomogeneities can only be described by adopting a large number of free parameters (particularly, when modeling optically thick clumping, see, Sundqvist & Puls 2018), which significantly increase the complexity. However, recent studies have gradually tried to improve this scenario (see, e.g., Hawcroft et al. 2021; Brands et al. 2022; Bernini-Peron et al. 2023), since empirical constraints are key to derive important wind properties such as mass-loss rates.

In this work, we limit the discussion of such wind properties to our results for the wind-strength parameter<sup>8</sup> and its relation to



**Fig. 14.** sHR diagram of the stars in the sample color-coded by log Q. The bottom and right subpanels in each panel show this quantity against  $T_{\rm eff}$  and log  $\mathcal{L}$ , respectively. Cases in which log Q is degenerate are excluded (see Sect. 4.1). All panels include 191 O-type stars from Hol18-22 (gray circles). The evolutionary tracks are the same as in Fig. 7 for Geneva models.



Fig. 15. Same as Fig. 14, but the color-coding represents the different shapes of the H $\alpha$  line as classified in de Burgos et al. (2023, see also labels within the bottom right inset).

the morphology of the H $\alpha$  line. In addition, more detailed investigations on the actual mass-loss rates and clumping properties will be presented in a forthcoming study.

Figure 14 displays the stars from Fig. 7, now colored by  $\log Q$ . The results from Hol18-22 have been included for reference (in gray). The bottom subpanel shows two main and important features. First, our results do not show evidence for

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup> Here derived adopting an unclumped wind; however, when replacing  $\dot{M}$  by  $\dot{M} \sqrt{f_{cl}}$  in the definition of Q (with  $f_{cl}$  the conventional clumping factor for optically thin clumping), the Q-values derived in this work remain roughly valid also for inhomogeneous winds.

increasing mass-loss rates over the bi-stability region toward lower  $T_{\text{eff}}$ . Instead, we observe a slow decay of the maximum log Q values, with log  $Q \approx -13$  in the 20–25 kK range. Second, we find a clear separation of two groups of stars below  $\approx 22$  kK, one with log  $Q \gtrsim -13.6$ , and another one at (or below) log  $Q \approx -14.0$ . We will return to this bimodal distribution later, when discussing the observed H $\alpha$  morphology. Moreover, a diagonal gap dividing O- and B-type stars seems to be present.

The right-hand subpanel displays increasing log Q values with increasing log  $\mathcal{L}$ . This feature is expected since stars closer to the Eddington limit should and indeed do possess stronger stellar winds driven by intense radiation (see, e.g., Abbott 1980; Pauldrach et al. 1986). We also note that the wind strengths of stars with log( $\mathcal{L}/\mathcal{L}_{\odot}$ )  $\leq 3.9$  dex are considerably weaker (log  $Q \leq -13.25$ ) than those for values above.

Due to our neglect of wind inhomogeneities, discrepancies between the synthetic spectra from our best-fitting models and observations are to be expected, at least if the clumping properties would vary as a function of location (which seems to be the case, e.g., Najarro et al. 2011). In fact, these neglected inhomogeneities are the most likely contributors to the larger  $\chi^2$ values associated with the H $\alpha$  line (see Fig. 3). Interestingly, the majority of cases where H $\alpha$  could not be reproduced by our modeling correspond to profiles either displaying emission in both line wings or a P Cygni shape with very strong emission in the red wing. In some of these cases, the models were also unable to reproduce the shape of  $H\beta$  if it was not in pure absorption. Despite this, these results significantly increase the number of luminous blue stars for which wind-densities have been derived, compared to previous studies (see, e.g., Markova & Puls 2008; Haucke et al. 2018).

To enable an investigation of the relation between the wind strength and line-profile morphology of typical wind lines, in de Burgos et al. (2023) we carried out a visual classification of the shape of the H $\alpha$  and H $\beta$  line profiles. We accounted for six different line profiles: Pure emission profiles when the profile is in emission above the normalized flux, P Cygni-shape profiles when the emission is only in the red part of the line profile, red filling profiles when the red wing of the line is filled up to the continuum, double subpeak profiles when both wings of the line are filled or in emission above the normalized flux, core filled profiles when the core is filled to some degree, and absorption profiles when the line is in absorption. Using this classification, Fig. 15 shows, for the first time, the morphological map for  $H\alpha$  in the sHR diagram for our BSG sample. The central panel shows a gradient of profile types toward lower  $T_{\rm eff}$  and higher  $\log \mathcal{L}$ , from absorption profiles to profiles with double subpeak, to profiles where the red-wing is filled or in emission, to those cases with pure emission. This gradient agrees very well with our previous findings in de Burgos et al. (2023) using the spectral classifications.

Another, even more interesting feature is displayed in the bottom subpanel: here, the separation of stars above and below  $\log Q \approx -13.6$  (cf. Fig. 14) is even more evident, since stars from each group differ significantly regarding their H $\alpha$  morphology. In particular, the low-log Q group consists of absorption profiles, whereas those with large log Q mostly comprise P Cygni-shape profiles. This separation is also present with respect to luminosity class. Those stars above log  $Q \approx -13.6$  all correspond to Ia luminosities, whereas for those other stars below log  $Q \approx -13.6$ , the majority corresponds to Ib and II.

On the other hand, from the central panel, we see that the majority of core-filled profiles are located at spectral type O9 and

 $\log(\mathcal{L}/\mathcal{L}_{\odot}) \approx 4.2$  dex (above the absorption profiles). Similarly, most of the profiles exhibiting pure emission are concentrated around B0I type stars. Moreover, we also note the presence of a few stars with H $\alpha$  in pure emission, located at  $\log(\mathcal{L}/\mathcal{L}_{\odot})$ < 3.8 dex, where absorption profiles dominate. Their location in the sHR diagram suggests that these objects might be Be stars.

#### 6. Concluding remarks

We conducted a quantitative spectroscopic analysis of highresolution and high signal-to-noise optical spectra of 527 Galactic O9–B5 stars, collected from the IACOB spectroscopic database and the ESO public archive. The outcome of our analysis represents the most extensive collection of homogeneously determined spectroscopic parameters of Galactic BSG stars built to date, superseding previous attempts by more than one order of magnitude. This study aims to advance our understanding of the evolutionary nature of massive stars, also establishing new empirical anchor points for state-of-the-art and future model computations.

The spectroscopic analysis was carried out in two steps. First, we used IACOB-BROAD to derive the rotational and macroturbulent broadenings. Second, we used a suitable grid of model atmospheres computed with the FASTWIND code to create a statistical emulator for FASTWIND synthetic spectra. In combination with a Markov chain Monte Carlo method, we derived the fundamental atmospheric parameters, helium and silicon surface abundances, as well as an indicator of the wind strength.

We presented a revised calibration of  $T_{\rm eff}$  against spectral type for Galactic B-type supergiants down to B5-type stars. Previous calibrations based on smaller samples differ by up to 3 kK for some spectral-type bins when comparing a third-order polynomial fit to the data. Reliable spectral classifications from selected sources turned out to be crucial to avoid spurious results. In this latter regard, SIMBAD classifications for B-type stars exhibit inaccuracies, emphasizing the need for a systematic and reliable revision, similar to efforts in O-type star studies.

In comparison with the O-type stars, the relatively large number of early B-type supergiant stars included in our magnitude-limited sample suggest that at least a nonnegligible fraction of them could still be on the main sequence, in contrast to the classic interpretation that they are He-core burning post-MS objects. Our results present solid statistical evidence for a drastic drop in the relative number of objects at  $T_{\rm eff} \approx 21$  kK. Though further analyses are certainly required, we suggest that this drop (roughly occurring at B2-type stars) empirically locates the TAMS in the mass range between 15 and 85  $M_{\odot}$ .

Similarly to O-type stars, the distribution of projected rotational velocities for evolved B-type stars also exhibits two clear components: a low- $v \sin i$  ( $\leq 100 \text{ km s}^{-1}$ ) component that is present in the full range of covered effective temperatures, and a tail of fast rotators (reaching  $v \sin i$  values up to  $\approx 400 \text{ km s}^{-1}$ ) that disappears below  $\approx 21 \text{ kK}$ . Guided by some recent theoretical scenarios, our empirical study is consistent with the possibility that this tail of fast rotators is mostly populated by post-interaction binary products.

We observe no surface braking in the low- $v \sin i$  component along the whole considered range of effective temperatures. This result, combined with a constant percentage of stars with  $v \sin i > 100 \text{ km s}^{-1}$  and associated maximum  $v \sin i$  values from the ZAMS to the drop, might indicate the existence of a very efficient angular momentum transport mechanism between the core and the surface of massive stars.

The scarcity of stars populating the tail of fast rotators below  $T_{\rm eff} \approx 21 \, \rm kK$  has been attributed to either the end of the main sequence or the result of an enhanced angular momentum loss at the theoretically predicted bi-stability jump. Our combined results disfavor the latter scenario.

The distribution of  $\xi$  in the BSG domain is presented for the first time in an sHR diagram. A strong correlation between  $\xi$  and  $\log \mathcal{L}$  is found. In agreement with previous findings, a decrease in both  $\xi$  and  $v_{\text{mac}}$  toward lower  $T_{\text{eff}}$  is also observed. This might indicate a connection between the physical mechanisms responsible for the two turbulent motions; our sample of stars supports higher  $\xi$  values to be associated with higher  $v_{mac}$ .

Our findings for the helium surface abundance indicate that, on average, only  $\approx 20\%$  of luminous blue stars show helium enrichment ( $Y_{\text{He}} > 0.13$ ) in their atmospheres. No clear correlation is found between the surface abundance of helium and  $\log \mathcal{L}$  or  $T_{\rm eff}$ . However, while we find a significantly lower percentage of He-enriched stars on the cooler side of the suggested empirical TAMS ( $T_{\rm eff} \leq 20 \, \rm kK$ ), the percentage of He-enriched stars in the tail of fast rotators is systematically higher than for the main low-v sin i component for stars with  $T_{\rm eff}$  above  $\approx 20 \,\rm kK$ . In addition, we show with high statistical confidence that both groups of different  $v \sin i$  do not originate from the same probability distribution for the surface helium abundance, suggesting a different physical origin of the two populations. Compared with predictions from state-of-the-art evolutionary models, and considering the empirical evidence that the predicted surface braking might not occur, the possibility that He-enriched stars originate from single-star evolution seems less likely compared to a binary evolution origin.

Lastly, we evaluated the wind strength and its correlation with the morphology of the H $\alpha$  profiles. Our results indicate no evidence of a mass-loss increase over the expected wind bi-stability region, but rather a slow decay of the maximum log Q values with decreasing  $T_{\text{eff}}$ . We found a separation of stars with log Q above and below -13.6 in the T<sub>eff</sub> range below  $\approx 22$  kK, each displaying a different H $\alpha$  morphology. The presence of a positive correlation between  $\log Q$  and  $\log \mathcal{L}$ is also evident, where the highest values are concentrated at  $\log(\mathcal{L}/\mathcal{L}_{\odot}) \gtrsim 3.9$  dex. In general, we found a gradient of morphologies for H $\alpha$  across the sHR diagram, with some profile shapes being concentrated in specific areas in the diagram.

As a final remark, the results presented here represent a significant step forward in the empirical spectroscopic study of Galactic luminous blue stars, providing an updated overview of many of their properties. These findings also lay the groundwork for forthcoming in-depth studies dedicated to specific properties of our sample. For this purpose, additional information is certainly required on luminosities, masses, radii, surface elemental abundances, and wind properties. Our ultimate objective is to establish new empirical anchor points that can serve to improve our understanding of the evolutionary nature of BSGs.

Acknowledgements. A.d.B. and S.S.-D. acknowledge support from the Spanish Ministry of Science and Innovation (MICINN) through the Spanish State Research Agency through grants PID2021-122397NB-C21, and the Severo Ochoa Programme 2020-2023 (CEX2019-000920-S). The authors would like to thank Z. Keszthelyi, D. Lennon, and N. Przybilla for their useful and valuable comments, and I. Negueruela for providing us with revised spectral classifications. We give special thanks to all the observers who contributed to the acquisition of the spectra used here in this work. Among them, especially to G. Holgado and J. Maíz-Apellániz. Regarding the observing facilities, this research is based on observations made with the Mercator Telescope, operated by the Flemish Community at the Observatorio del Roque de los Muchachos (La Palma, Spain), of the Instituto de Astrofísica de Canarias. In particular, obtained with the HERMES spectrograph, which is supported by the Research

Foundation - Flanders (FWO), Belgium, the Research Council of KU Leuven, Belgium, the Fonds National de la Recherche Scientifique (F.R.S.-FNRS), Belgium, the Royal Observatory of Belgium, the Observatoire de Genève, Switzerland and the Thüringer Landessternwarte Tautenburg, Germany. This research also based on observations with the Nordic Optical Telescope, owned in collaboration by the University of Turku and Aarhus University, and operated jointly by Aarhus University, the University of Turku and the University of Oslo, representing Denmark, Finland and Norway, the University of Iceland and Stockholm University, at the Observatorio del Roque de los Muchachos, of the Instituto de Astrofísica de Canarias. Additionally, this work has made use of observations collected from the ESO Science Archive Facility under ESO programs: 60.A-9700(A), 72.D-0235(B), 73.C-0337(A), 73.D-0234(A), 73.D-0609(A), 74.D-0008(B), 74.D-0300(A), 75.D-0103(A), 75.D-0369(A), 76.C-0431(A), 77.D-0025(A), 77.D-0635(A), 79.A-9008(A), 79.B-0856(A), 81.A-9005(A), 81.A-9006(A), 81.C-2003(A), 81.D-2008(A), 81.D-2008(B), 82.D-0933(A), 83.D-0589(A), 83.D-0589(B), 85.D-0262(A), 86.D-0997(B), 87.D-0946(A), 88.A-9003(A), 89.D-0975(A), 90.D-0358(A), 91.C-0713(A), 91.D-0061(A), 91.D-0221(A), 92.A-9020(A), 95.A-9029(D), 97.A-9039(C), 102.A-9010(A) and 179.C-0197(C).

#### References

- Abbott, D. C. 1980, ApJ, 242, 1183
- Abbott, B. P., Abbott, R., Abbott, T. D., et al. 2016, Phys. Rev. Lett., 116, 061102 Aerts, C., & Rogers, T. M. 2015, ApJ, 806, L33
- Aerts, C., Puls, J., Godart, M., & Dupret, M. A. 2009, A&A, 508, 409
- Anders, E. H., Lecoanet, D., Cantiello, M., et al. 2023, Nat. Astron., 7, 1228
- Asplund, M., Grevesse, N., Sauval, A. J., & Scott, P. 2009, ARA&A, 47, 481
- Belczynski, K., Holz, D. E., Bulik, T., & O'Shaughnessy, R. 2016, Nature, 534, 512
- Bernini-Peron, M., Marcolino, W. L. F., Sander, A. A. C., et al. 2023, A&A, 677, A50
- Björklund, R., Sundqvist, J. O., Puls, J., & Najarro, F. 2021, A&A, 648, A36
- Björklund, R., Sundqvist, J. O., Singh, S. M., Puls, J., & Najarro, F. 2023, A&A, 676, A109
- Bowman, D. M., Aerts, C., Johnston, C., et al. 2019a, A&A, 621, A135
- Bowman, D. M., Burssens, S., Pedersen, M. G., et al. 2019b, Nat. Astron., 3, 760
- Bowman, D. M., Burssens, S., Simón-Díaz, S., et al. 2020, A&A, 640, A36
- Brands, S. A., de Koter, A., Bestenlehner, J. M., et al. 2022, A&A, 663, A36
- Bresolin, F., Urbaneja, M. A., Gieren, W., Pietrzyński, G., & Kudritzki, R.-P. 2007, ApJ, 671, 2028
- Bresolin, F., Kudritzki, R.-P., Urbaneja, M. A., et al. 2016, ApJ, 830, 64
- Bresolin, F., Kudritzki, R.-P., & Urbaneja, M. A. 2022, ApJ, 940, 32
- Britavskiy, N., Simón-Díaz, S., Holgado, G., et al. 2023, A&A, 672, A22
- Brott, I., de Mink, S. E., Cantiello, M., et al. 2011, A&A, 530, A115
- Butler, K., & Giddings, J. 1985, Newsletter on the analysis of astronomical spectra No. 9, College London
- Cantiello, M., Langer, N., Brott, I., et al. 2009, A&A, 499, 279
- Cantiello, M., Lecoanet, D., Jermyn, A. S., & Grassitelli, L. 2021, ApJ, 915, 112 Carneiro, L. P., Puls, J., Sundqvist, J. O., & Hoffmann, T. L. 2016, A&A, 590, A88
- Castro, N., Fossati, L., Langer, N., et al. 2014, A&A, 570, A13
- Chib, S. 2001, in Handbook of Econometrics, 5, Markov Chain Monte Carlo Methods: Computation and Inference, Chapter 57, eds. J. J. Heckman & E. Leamer (Elsevier), 3569
- Conti, P. S., & Ebbets, D. 1977, ApJ, 213, 438
- Crowther, P. A., Lennon, D. J., & Walborn, N. R. 2006, A&A, 446, 279
- de Burgos, A., Simon-Díaz, S., Lennon, D. J., et al. 2020, A&A, 643, A116
- de Burgos, A., Simón-Díaz, S., Urbaneja, M. A., & Negueruela, I. 2023, A&A, 674, A212
- de Mink, S. E., Langer, N., Izzard, R. G., Sana, H., & de Koter, A. 2013, ApJ, 764, 166
- Dufton, P. L. 1972, A&A, 16, 301
- Edelmann, P. V. F., Ratnasingam, R. P., Pedersen, M. G., et al. 2019, ApJ, 876, 4 Ekström, S., Georgy, C., Eggenberger, P., et al. 2012, A&A, 537, A146
- Fitzpatrick, E. L., & Garmany, C. D. 1990, ApJ, 363, 119
- Fraser, M., Dufton, P. L., Hunter, I., & Ryans, R. S. I. 2010, MNRAS, 404, 1306
- Geen, S., Bieri, R., Rosdahl, J., & de Koter, A. 2021, MNRAS, 501, 1352
- Georgy, C., Ekström, S., Eggenberger, P., et al. 2013, A&A, 558, A103
- Georgy, C., Saio, H., & Meynet, G. 2021, A&A, 650, A128
- Giddings, J. R. 1981, PhD thesis, University of London
- Gies, D. R., & Lambert, D. L. 1992, ApJ, 387, 673
- Glebbeek, E., Gaburov, E., Portegies Zwart, S., & Pols, O. R. 2013, MNRAS, 434.3497
- Godart, M., Simón-Díaz, S., Herrero, A., et al. 2017, A&A, 597, A23
- Gräfener, G., Koesterke, L., & Hamann, W. R. 2002, A&A, 387, 244

- Grassitelli, L., Fossati, L., Simón-Diáz, S., et al. 2015, ApJ, 808, L31
- Grin, N. J., Ramírez-Agudelo, O. H., de Koter, A., et al. 2017, A&A, 600, A82 Hamann, W. R., & Gräfener, G. 2004, A&A, 427, 697
- Haucke, M., Cidale, L. S., Venero, R. O. J., et al. 2018, A&A, 614, A91
- Hauschildt, P. H. 1992, J. Quant. Spec. Radiat. Transf., 47, 433
- Hawcroft, C., Sana, H., Mahy, L., et al. 2021, A&A, 655, A67
- Hayashi, C., & Cameron, R. C. 1962, ApJ, 136, 166
- Hillier, D. J., & Miller, D. L. 1998, ApJ, 496, 407
- Holgado, G. 2019, PhD thesis, Astrophysical Institute of the Canaries; University of La Laguna, Spain
- Holgado, G., Simón-Díaz, S., Barbá, R. H., et al. 2018, A&A, 613, A65
- Holgado, G., Simón-Díaz, S., Haemmerlé, L., et al. 2020, A&A, 638, A157
- Holgado, G., Simón-Díaz, S., Herrero, A., & Barbá, R. H. 2022, A&A, 665, A150
- Howarth, I. D., Siebert, K. W., Hussain, G. A. J., & Prinja, R. K. 1997, MNRAS, 284, 265
- Hubeny, I. 1988, Comput. Phys. Commun., 52, 103
- Hunter, I., Dufton, P. L., Smartt, S. J., et al. 2007, A&A, 466, 277
- Hunter, I., Lennon, D. J., Dufton, P. L., et al. 2008, A&A, 479, 541
- Hunter, I., Brott, I., Langer, N., et al. 2009, A&A, 496, 841
- Kaufer, A., Wolf, B., Andersen, J., & Pasquini, L. 1997, The Messenger, 89, 1
- Keszthelyi, Z., Meynet, G., Georgy, C., et al. 2019, MNRAS, 485, 5843
- Keszthelyi, Z., de Koter, A., Götberg, Y., et al. 2022, MNRAS, 517, 2028 Kim, J.-G., Kim, W.-T., & Ostriker, E. C. 2019, ApJ, 883, 102
- Klencki, J., Nelemans, G., Istrate, A. G., & Pols, O. 2020, A&A, 638, A55
- Krause, M., Fierlinger, K., Diehl, R., et al. 2013, A&A, 550, A49
- Krtička, J., Kubát, J., & Krtičková, I. 2024, A&A, 681, A29
- Kudritzki, R.-P., & Przybilla, N. 2003, in Stellar Candles for the Extragalactic Distance Scale, 635, eds. D. Alloin, & W. Gieren (Springer Berlin Heidelberg), 123
- Kudritzki, R.-P., Urbaneja, M. A., Bresolin, F., et al. 2008, ApJ, 681, 269
- Kudritzki, R.-P., Urbaneja, M. A., Gazak, Z., et al. 2012, ApJ, 747, 15
- Kurucz, R. L. 2005, Mem. Soc. Astron. Ital. Suppl., 8, 14
- Langer, N. 2012, ARA&A, 50, 107
- Langer, N., & Kudritzki, R. P. 2014, A&A, 564, A52
- Langer, N., Schürmann, C., Stoll, K., et al. 2020, A&A, 638, A39
- Lecoanet, D., & Edelmann, P. V. F. 2023, Galaxies, 11, 89
- Lefever, K., Puls, J., & Aerts, C. 2007, A&A, 463, 1093
- Lennon, D. J., Dufton, P. L., & Fitzsimmons, A. 1992, A&AS, 94, 569
- Mackay, D. J. C. 2003, Information Theory, Inference and Learning Algorithms (Cambridge University Press)
- Maeder, A. 1981, A&A, 101, 385
- Maeder, A., & Meynet, G. 2005, A&A, 440, 1041
- Maeder, A., & Meynet, G. 2012, Rev. Mod. Phys., 84, 25
- Magg, E., Bergemann, M., Serenelli, A., et al. 2022, A&A, 661, A140
- Maíz Apellániz, J., Sota, A., Walborn, N. R., et al. 2011, in Highlights of Spanish Astrophysics VI, eds. M. R. Zapatero Osorio, J. Gorgas, J. Maíz Apellániz,
- J. R. Pardo, & A. Gil de Paz, 467
- Maíz Apellániz, J., Sota, A., Arias, J. I., et al. 2016, ApJS, 224, 4
- Marchant, P., & Bodensteiner, J. 2023, arXiv e-prints [arXiv:2311.01865]
- Marchant, P., Langer, N., Podsiadlowski, P., Tauris, T. M., & Moriya, T. J. 2016, A&A, 588, A50
- Markova, N., & Puls, J. 2008, A&A, 478, 823

A228, page 18 of 22

- Markova, N., Puls, J., Repolust, T., & Markov, H. 2004, A&A, 413, 693
- Martinet, S., Meynet, G., Ekström, S., et al. 2021, A&A, 648, A126
- Martins, F., Schaerer, D., & Hillier, D. J. 2005, A&A, 436, 1049
- McErlean, N. D., Lennon, D. J., & Dufton, P. L. 1998, A&A, 329, 613
- McErlean, N. D., Lennon, D. J., & Dufton, P. L. 1999, A&A, 349, 553
- McEvoy, C. M., Dufton, P. L., Evans, C. J., et al. 2015, A&A, 575, A70
- McKay, M. D., Beckman, R. J., & Conover, W. J. 1979, Technometrics, 21, 239
- Menon, A., Ercolino, A., Urbaneja, M. A., et al. 2023, arXiv e-prints [arXiv:2311.05581]

- Metropolis, N., Rosenbluth, A. W., Rosenbluth, M. N., Teller, A. H., & Teller, E. 1953, J. Chem. Phys., 21, 1087
- Meynet, G., & Maeder, A. 2000, A&A, 361, 101
- Najarro, F., Hanson, M. M., & Puls, J. 2011, A&A, 535, A32
- Negueruela, I. 2004, Astron. Nachr., 325, 380
- Negueruela, I., Simón-Díaz, S., de Burgos, A., Casabuenas, A., & Beck, P. 2024 A&A. submitted
- Nieva, M. F., & Przybilla, N. 2012, A&A, 539, A143
- Nomoto, K., Kobayashi, C., & Tominaga, N. 2013, ARA&A, 51, 457
- Pauldrach, A. W. A., & Puls, J. 1990, A&A, 237, 409
- Pauldrach, A., Puls, J., & Kudritzki, R. P. 1986, A&A, 164, 86
- Pauldrach, A. W. A., Hoffmann, T. L., & Lennon, M. 2001, A&A, 375, 161
- Petrov, B., Vink, J. S., & Gräfener, G. 2016, MNRAS, 458, 1999
- Podsiadlowski, P., Joss, P. C., & Hsu, J. J. L. 1992, ApJ, 391, 246
- Puls, J., Kudritzki, R. P., Herrero, A., et al. 1996, A&A, 305, 171
- Puls, J., Urbaneja, M. A., Venero, R., et al. 2005, A&A, 435, 669
- Puls, J., Vink, J. S., & Najarro, F. 2008, A&A Rev., 16, 209
- Puls, J., Najarro, F., Sundqvist, J. O., & Sen, K. 2020, A&A, 642, A172
- Rivero González, J. G., Puls, J., & Najarro, F. 2011, A&A, 536, A58
- Rivero González, J. G., Puls, J., Massey, P., & Najarro, F. 2012, A&A, 543, A95
- Ryans, R. S. I., Dufton, P. L., Rolleston, W. R. J., et al. 2002, MNRAS, 336, 577
- Santolaya-Rey, A. E., Puls, J., & Herrero, A. 1997, A&A, 323, 488
- Schneider, F. R. N., Podsiadlowski, P., Langer, N., Castro, N., & Fossati, L. 2016, MNRAS, 457, 2355
- Schootemeijer, A., Langer, N., Grin, N. J., & Wang, C. 2019, A&A, 625, A132
- Scott, L. J. A., Hirschi, R., Georgy, C., et al. 2021, MNRAS, 503, 4208
- Searle, S. C., Prinja, R. K., Massa, D., & Ryans, R. 2008, A&A, 481, 777
- Sen, K., Langer, N., Marchant, P., et al. 2022, A&A, 659, A98
- Simón-Díaz, S., & Herrero, A. 2014, A&A, 562, A135
- Simón-Díaz, S., Herrero, A., Uytterhoeven, K., et al. 2010, ApJ, 720, L174
- Simón-Díaz, S., Herrero, A., Sabín-Sanjulián, C., et al. 2014, A&A, 570, A6
- Simón-Díaz, S., Godart, M., Castro, N., et al. 2017, A&A, 597, A22
- Simón-Díaz, S., Pérez Prieto, J. A., Holgado, G., de Burgos, A., & Iacob Team 2020, in XIV.0 Scientific Meeting (virtual) of the Spanish Astronomical Society, 187
- Smartt, S. J. 2009, ARA&A, 47, 63
- Smith, K. C., & Howarth, I. D. 1998, MNRAS, 299, 1146
- Sota, A., Maíz Apellániz, J., Walborn, N. R., et al. 2011, ApJS, 193, 24
- Sota, A., Maiz Apellaniz, J., Walborn, N. R., et al. 2014, VizieR Online Data Catalog: III/274
- Stothers, R., & Chin, C. W. 1975, ApJ, 198, 407
- Sundqvist, J. O., & Puls, J. 2018, A&A, 619, A59

Wei-Liem, L. 1996, Ann. Statist., 24, 2058

Weis, K., & Bomans, D. J. 2020, Galaxies, 8, 20

Woosley, S. E., & Weaver, T. A. 1995, ApJS, 101, 181

Woosley, S. E., & Bloom, J. S. 2006, ARA&A, 44, 507

Zhao, L., Song, H., Meynet, G., et al. 2023, A&A, 674, A92

- Taormina, M., Kudritzki, R.-P., Puls, J., et al. 2020, ApJ, 890, 137
- Urbaneja, M. A., Herrero, A., Bresolin, F., et al. 2003, ApJ, 584, L73
- Urbaneja, M. A., Herrero, A., Bresolin, F., et al. 2005a, ApJ, 622, 862
- Urbaneja, M. A., Herrero, A., Kudritzki, R. P., et al. 2005b, ApJ, 635, 311
- Urbaneja, M. A., Herrero, A., Lennon, D. J., Corral, L. J., & Meynet, G. 2011,
- ApJ, 735, 39 Urbaneja, M. A., Kudritzki, R. P., Gieren, W., et al. 2017, AJ, 154, 102
- Vink, J. S., de Koter, A., & Lamers, H. J. G. L. M. 1999, A&A, 350, 181 Vink, J. S., de Koter, A., & Lamers, H. J. G. L. M. 2000, A&A, 362, 295

Watkins, E. J., Peretto, N., Marsh, K., & Fuller, G. A. 2019, A&A, 628, A21

Wenger, M., Ochsenbein, F., Egret, D., et al. 2000, A&AS, 143, 9

Weßmayer, D., Przybilla, N., & Butler, K. 2022, A&A, 668, A92

Vink, J. S., Brott, I., Gräfener, G., et al. 2010, A&A, 512, A7 Wang, C., Langer, N., Schootemeijer, A., et al. 2020, ApJ, 888, L12

#### Appendix A: Grid of input models

Figure A.1 displays an sHR diagram with the grid of 358 FASTWIND models used to train the statistical emulator which, as described in Sect. 3.2.2, is used to reproduce equivalent FASTWIND simulations. Figure A.2 illustrates the coverage of these models with respect to the remaining spectroscopic parameters.



**Fig. A.1.** sHR diagram showing the initial grid of 358 FASTWIND computed models used in this work. The boundary limits are marked with dashed black lines. A set of Geneva nonrotating evolutionary tracks with solar metallicity is included for reference.

#### Appendix B: Visualization of the output solution

In Sect. 3.2, we described the method used to derive the best (i.e., most probable) set of parameters for each star. In each analysis, an associated synthetic spectrum is obtained, together with individual probability distributions of the parameters. An example of this output is included in Fig. B.1 for HD 198 478. The top array of subpanels shows the observed and synthetic spectra split into different windows that include the diagnostic lines listed in Table 2. The associated probability distributions are shown in the bottom grid of subpanels. Complementary to that figure, Fig. B.2 shows, for the same star, the probability distributions of the different parameters in a corner plot, allowing us to visualize the possible covariances. In this example, we see the (well-known) presence of a significant covariance between  $T_{\rm eff}$  and  $\log g$ , arising from the behavior of the H-lines, as well as between  $\beta$  and  $\log Q$  (see Markova et al. 2004). In addition, we can see that the distribution of the helium surface abundance reaches the lower boundary of the grid.

For each of the stellar and wind parameters, we also defined in Sect. 3.2.4 four possible scenarios for the associated probability distribution. Figure B.3 provides an example for each of the four possible cases described there.

#### Appendix C: New spectral classifications

Table C.1 includes revisited spectral classifications based on visual morphological features for a group of stars erroneously classified as dwarfs in the default SIMBAD classification.

#### Appendix D: Long tables



**Fig. A.2.** Same FASTWIND models as in Fig. A.1, but displaying the coverage of other stellar and wind parameters.

 Table C.1. New spectral classifications for some stars erroneously classified as dwarfs, taken from Fig. 7.

ID	SpC SIMBAD	SpC This work
Stars erron	eously classifie	ed as dwarfs
HD 13544	B0.5 IV	B1 IIn
HD 180968	B3 IV+	B1 IIIn
HD 193444	B0.5 V	B0.5 III
HD 201819	B1 Vp	B1 IIIn
HD 35653	B0.5 V	B1 II
HD 43703	B1 IVp(e)	B1 IIIn

Notes. The default SIMBAD classification is included for comparison.

#### de Burgos, A., et al.: A&A, 687, A228 (2024)



HD198478--HD198478\_20160708\_234931\_M\_V85000 Teff=17783 logg=2.29 He<0.1 Micro=16 logQs=-13.3 beta=2.53 Si=7.24

**Fig. B.1.** Summary of the analysis for HD 198 478. The subpanels of the top five rows show the observed spectra (solid black line) and synthetic model (dashed green line) in different diagnostic windows used in the analysis of our sample. Within each window, the purple horizontal line indicates which subregions have not been masked in the analysis. The subpanels in the bottom two rows are the associated probability distributions of each of the parameters derived in this work. The vertical dashed red and orange lines indicate the maximum and median of the distribution and associated uncertainties.



Fig. B.2. Corner plot with the PDFs of the seven parameters derived for HD 198 478.



**Fig. B.3.** Illustrative example of the four different PDFs described in Sect. 3.2.4. From left to right: a well-behaved distribution (case *a*); an example of an undefined (degenerate) solution (*d*); and two cases for the upper and lower limits (*c* and *b*, respectively).

SNR	106	183	96	96	38	93	80	363	109	84	435	70	73	09	142	144	327	131	151	113	46	110	144	62	194	303	284	273	:	and the second iz et al. sults by
Ket. nle	HD164032_20220830_201330_M_V85000_log	HD164019_20080608_075144_F_V48000	HD163613_20200804_213522_N_V25000	HD160430_20200802_220513_N_V25000	HD164741_20180731_223907_M_V85000	HD173502_20190710_003102_N_V25000	HD156779_20210621_224538_M_V85000_log	HD168941_20060512_083253_F_V48000	HD165016_20200803_211628_N_V25000	HD168750_20220826_215128_M_V85000_log	HD149757_20210622_234421_M_V85000_log <sup>4</sup>	HD164018_20180920_215654_N_V25000	HD165132_20190709_222717_N_V67000	HD164971_20110902_222249_M_V85000	HD163892_20210623_001114_M_V85000_log	HD164402_20220829_203450_M_V85000_log	HD164637_20130821_042119_F_V48000	HD164359_20220830_210910_M_V85000_log	HD158661_20210623_010823_M_V85000_log	HD165812_20200803_215831_N_V25000	HD166852_20190709_220222_N_V67000	$HD159864_{20200502_{050638_N_V25000}$	HD165516_20200803_212544_N_V46000	HD165892_20130412_050607_M_V85000	HD149363_20190707_211718_N_V25000	HD164438_20080514_092403_F_V48000	HD166546_20080609_082259_F_V48000	HD167264_20100907_202837_N_V46000	:	xcept for the cases indicated with an upper index a HD187879, the SIMBAD classification included a s reso of papers (Sota et al. 2011, 2014; Maíz Apelláni, km s <sup>-1</sup> based on the average value. Based on the resu
ng y d	$-14.0^{+0.3}_{-0.0}$ 2	$-13.6  {}^{+0.2}_{-0.1}  1$	$-13.5  {}^{+0.2}_{-0.2}  1$	$-13.9  {}^{+0.1}_{-0.1}  3$	$-14.0  {}^{+0.4}_{-0.0}  1$	$-14.0^{+0.3}_{-0.0}$ 3	$-14.0  {}^{+0.4}_{-0.0}  1$	$-14.0  {}^{+0.2}_{-0.0}  1$	$-13.0  {}^{+0.1}_{-0.2}  1$	$-13.9  {}^{+0.3}_{-0.1}  1$	$-13.0  {}^{+0.1}_{-0.6}  1$	$-14.0  {}^{+0.4}_{-0.0}  1$	$-14.0  {}^{+0.4}_{-0.0}  1$	$-13.6  {}^{+0.2}_{-0.4}  1$	$-13.9  {}^{+0.2}_{-0.1}  1$	$-13.6  {}^{+0.1}_{-0.1}   1$	$-13.9  {}^{+0.2}_{-0.1}  1$	$-14.0^{+0.3}_{-0.0}$ 2	$-13.3  {}^{+0.2}_{-0.1}  1$	$-13.9  {}^{+0.2}_{-0.1}   1$	$-14.0  {}^{+0.5}_{-0.0}  1$	$-13.7  {}^{+0.2}_{-0.3}  1$	$-13.7  {}^{+0.2}_{-0.2}   1$	$-14.0  {}^{+0.3}_{-0.0}  1$	$-14.0  {}^{+0.3}_{-0.0}  1$	$-14.0  {}^{+0.5}_{-0.0}  1$	$-14.0  {}^{+0.1}_{-0.0}  1$	$-13.2  {}^{+0.0}_{-0.0}  1$	:	et al. 2000) e 168183, and the GOSSS s for <i>v</i> <sub>mac</sub> of 20
-	v	П	П	V	V	V	v	V	٨	V	Ш	V	V	V	v	П	v	v	П	v	V	v	Ш	v	V	V	v	П	:	HD rom rom
$I I_{He}$	$= 0.1^{+0.0}_{-0.0}$	$= 0.1^{+0.0}_{-0.0}$	$= 0.1^{+0.0}_{-0.0}$	$= 0.1^{+0.0}_{-0.0}$	$= 0.2^{+0.0}_{-0.0}$	$= 0.2^{+0.0}_{-0.0}$	$= 0.1^{+0.0}_{-0.0}$	$= 0.1^{+0.0}_{-0.0}$	$= 0.1  {}^{+0.0}_{-0.0}$	$= 0.2^{+0.1}_{-0.0}$	$= 0.2^{+0.0}_{-0.0}$	$= 0.1^{+0.1}_{-0.0}$	$= 0.1^{+0.0}_{-0.0}$	$= 0.1^{+0.1}_{-0.0}$	$= 0.1^{+0.0}_{-0.0}$	$= 0.1^{+0.0}_{-0.0}$	$= 0.1^{+0.0}_{-0.0}$	$= 0.1^{+0.0}_{-0.0}$	$= 0.1^{+0.0}_{-0.0}$	$= 0.1  {}^{+0.0}_{-0.0}$	$= 0.1^{+0.1}_{-0.0}$	$= 0.1^{+0.0}_{-0.0}$	$= 0.1^{+0.0}_{-0.0}$	$= 0.1^{+0.0}_{-0.0}$	$= 0.1^{+0.0}_{-0.0}$	$= 0.1^{+0.0}_{-0.0}$	$= 0.1^{+0.0}_{-0.0}$	$= 0.1  {}^{+0.0}_{-0.0}$	:	ttabase (Wen HD 168021, are adopted f lobal uncerta
ج km s <sup>-1</sup> ]	18	15	20	13	8	13	13	16	9	13	16	15	12	16	12	18	15	6	22	8	16	18	17	11	16	13	12	22	:	4). For ] ications de
-	11	П	Ш	Ш	Ш	Ш	Ш	Ш	П	Ш	Ш	П	П	П	П	П	П	П	П	Ш	Ш	Ш	Ш	П	П	П	П	Ш	:	trono (202 assif We a
$\log g^a$	$2.9^{+0.1}_{-0.1}$	$3.3  {}^{+0.0}_{-0.1}$	$2.9^{+0.1}_{-0.1}$	$3.3  {}^{+0.1}_{-0.1}$	$3.6_{-0.2}^{+0.2}$	$3.6^{+0.1}_{-0.1}$	$3.0^{+0.1}_{-0.1}$	$3.2^{+0.0}_{-0.0}$	$3.9^{+0.1}_{-0.1}$	$3.3  {}^{+0.1}_{-0.1}$	$3.3^{+0.1}_{-0.1}$	$3.6^{+0.1}_{-0.1}$	$4.0^{+0.2}_{-0.1}$	$3.1^{+0.2}_{-0.1}$	$3.5  {}^{+0.1}_{-0.1}$	$3.2^{+0.1}_{-0.1}$	$3.3 + 0.0 \\ -0.0$	$3.9^{+0.1}_{-0.1}$	$3.0^{+0.1}_{-0.1}$	$3.7  {}^{+0.1}_{-0.1}$	$3.4_{-0.2}^{+0.2}$	$3.1^{+0.1}_{-0.1}$	$3.1^{+0.1}_{-0.1}$	$3.4^{+0.1}_{-0.2}$	$3.3  {}^{+0.1}_{-0.1}$	$3.3_{-0.0}^{+0.0}$	$3.4^{+0.0}_{-0.0}$	$3.1  {}^{+0.0}_{-0.0}$	:	BAD asian the set of the class $c^{(c)}$ walue $c^{(c)}$
$T_{ m eff}$ [K]	23300 + 700 - 23300	$31000^{+300}_{-300}$	$24400^{+600}_{-700}$ 2	22799 + 500 = 500	25099 <sup>+1400</sup> 3	$26600^{+600}_{-900}$	$18100^{+600}_{-900}$	$30200^{+400}_{-300}$	$30299^{+500}_{-300}$	$25600^{+600}_{-700}$	$30700^{+600}_{-600}$	$29400^{+600}_{-800}$	32500 + 1100 - 700	$27500^{+800}_{-700}$	$31600^{+600}_{-500}$	$28900^{+300}_{-400}$	$29200^{+200}_{-200}$	$30099^{+500}_{-400}$	$26900^{+400}_{-500}$	$25099^{+700}_{-700}$	$32000^{+1400}_{-1200}$	$27300^{+600}_{-400}$	25900 <sup>+300</sup> -400 3	21600 +1000 3	$27300^{+400}_{-200}$	$31300^{+400}_{-400}$	$31000^{+400}_{-200}$	$28200^{+300}_{-300}$	:	ted in the SIM (3) Neguerue ype stars, most on the average
$v_{\rm mac}^c$ [km s <sup>-1</sup> ]	50	46	78	46	32	22	39	16	21	50	0	38	20	76	0	86	72	35	96	34	130	98	67	42	62	105	73	67	:	ation quo al. (2023) of the O-t
$v \sin i^{b}$ [km s <sup>-1</sup> ]	108	78	71	20	20	131	76	107	19	33	410	142	127	43	216	54	35	LL	60	42	45	88	47	74	88	61	31	80	:	l classific urgos et a the case of 15 km s
SpC"	B1/2Ib	09.5IVp	B1I/II	B2II	$B1III^{(2)}$	$B0.5III^{(2)}$	B2II	09.5IVp	$B0V^{(2)}$	B1Ib	09.2IVnn	B1/2Ib	09.7V <sup>(2)</sup>	B0Ia	09.5IV(n)	$B0Ib^{(3)}$	B0Ib/II	BIII	B0II	B1/2II	B0Ia/ab	B1Ib	B1/2Ib	B2II	B1/2Ib	09.2IV	09.5IV	09.7Iab	:	ended spectra 20); (2) de B (ed the first. In nty for v sin i
b [deg]	-3.237	-2.6166	-1.9638	3.5878	-1.6449	-12.2722	10.3249	-6.3128	-1.5791	-5.8526	23.5877	0.1591	-1.2103	-0.9279	0.6161	-0.0339	-0.2284	0.338	9.0476	-1.1091	-2.3235	7.3825	-0.4446	-0.8113	26.6906	1.7886	-0.9242	-1.7408	:	e recomme et al. (20 mly includ il uncertai
l [deg]	0.8767	1.9099	2.0881	3.7823	5.164	5.3641	5.4256	5.821	5.8521	6.1891	6.2812	6.6528	6.7522	6.8868	7.1516	7.1621	7.3435	7.6967	8.2908	8.476	8.5074	8.5231	8.9268	9.1729	9.8524	10.3529	10.358	10.4557	:	dopted the le Burgos we have o opt a globs
9	HD 164032	HD 164019	HD 163613	HD 160430	HD 164741	HD 173502	HD 156779	HD 168941	HD 165016	HD 168750	HID 149757	HD 164018	HD 165132	HD 164971	HD 163892	HD 164402	HD 164637	HD 164359	HD 158661	HD 165812	HD 166852	HD 159864	HD 165516	HD 165892	HD 149363	HD 164438	HD 166546	HD 167264	:	Notes. <sup>(a)</sup> We a following: (1) c component, but 2016). <sup>(b)</sup> We add

A228, page 22 of 22

Table D.1. Results of the spectroscopic analysis of the stars in the sample (extract).

## 4

## No increase of mass-loss rates over the bi-stability region

The most subversive people are those who ask questions. Jostein Gaarder, Sophie's World

This chapter includes the content of the paper accepted in Astronomy and Astrophysics under the reference: aa50301-24.



LETTER TO THE EDITOR

### The IACOB project

#### XI. No increase in mass-loss rates over the bistability region

A. de Burgos<sup>1,2</sup>, Z. Keszthelyi<sup>3</sup>, S. Simón-Díaz<sup>1,2</sup>, and M. A. Urbaneja<sup>4</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Universidad de La Laguna, Dpto. Astrofísica, 38206 La Laguna, Tenerife, Spain e-mail: astroabelink@gmail.com

Instituto de Astrofísica de Canarias, Avenida Vía Láctea, 38205 La Laguna, Tenerife, Spain

Center for Computational Astrophysics, Division of Science, National Astronomical Observatory of Japan, 2-21-1, Osawa, Mitaka, Tokyo 181-8588, Japan

Universität Innsbruck, Institut für Astro- und Teilchenphysik, Technikerstr. 25/8, 6020 Innsbruck, Austria

Received 9 April 2024 / Accepted 17 May 2024

#### ABSTRACT

The properties of blue supergiants are key for constraining the end of the main sequence (MS) of massive stars. Whether the observed drop in the relative number of fast-rotating stars below  $\approx 21$  kK is due to enhanced mass-loss rates at the location of the bistability jump, or the result of the end of the MS is still debated. Here, we combine newly derived estimates of photospheric and wind parameters with Gaia distances and wind terminal velocities from the literature to obtain upper limits on the mass-loss rates for a sample of 116 Galactic luminous blue supergiants. The parameter space covered by the sample ranges between 35-15 kK in  $T_{\text{eff}}$  and 4.8-5.8 dex in  $\log(L/L_{\odot})$ . Our results show no increase in the mass-loss rates over the bistability jump. Therefore, we argue that the drop in rotational velocities cannot be explained by enhanced mass loss. Since a large jump in the mass-loss rates is commonly included in evolutionary models, we suggest an urgent revision of the default prescriptions currently in use.

Key words. stars: evolution - stars: massive - stars: mass-loss - stars: rotation - supergiants - stars: winds, outflows

#### 1. Introduction

Stellar winds play an important role in the evolution of massive stars with  $M_{\text{ini}} \gtrsim 8 M_{\odot}$  (e.g., Puls et al. 2008, for a review). Since winds are capable of driving a significant amount of mass into the interstellar medium, they can also alter stellar lifetimes and, ultimately, the final fates of massive stars (see Maeder & Meynet 1987; Maeder 2009; Smith 2014).

The stellar wind models developed by Pauldrach & Puls (1990) to investigate the massive star P Cygni revealed a bistable nature in the predicted wind properties of blue supergiants (BSGs). They identified a strong discontinuity in the mass-loss rates  $(\dot{M})$  when the stellar parameters were only slightly modified. Lamers et al. (1995) showed the existence of a steep transition from high to low wind terminal velocities  $(v_{\infty})$  by a factor of 2 at  $\approx$ 21 kK. The decrease in  $v_{\infty}$  was predicted to lead to an increase in the mass-loss rates also by a factor of 2, so that the wind momentum  $(\dot{M}v_{\infty})$  would remain constant over the bistability region. This phenomenon is commonly referred to as the "bistability jump"

Although the physical origin of the jump has been attributed to the recombination of Fe IV into Fe III lines (see Vink et al. 1999), the associated increase in the mass-loss rates remains unverified in statistically significant observational samples (see Crowther et al. 2006; Markova & Puls 2008), as noted in the recent review by Vink (2022). Although theoretical calculations of Vink et al. (2001), widely used in current evolutionary codes, predict an increase in the mass-loss rates by a factor of 5-7 over the bistability region at  $\approx 25$  kK, more recent simulations carried out by Krtička et al. (2021) only reported an increase by a factor of 5 at  $\approx$ 15 kK. Furthermore, Björklund et al. (2021) did not predict such an increase at all.

Mass loss also leads to angular momentum loss during the evolution of massive stars (Langer 1998). Therefore, enhanced mass loss, as predicted by Vink et al. (2001), can significantly spin down the star, leading to a "bistability braking" (Vink et al. 2010). Keszthelyi et al. (2017) investigated the extent to which different scenarios of mass loss result in surface braking, suggesting that either additional mechanisms are required, or that the adopted initial rotation rates must be lower to explain the observed rotational velocities of BSGs.

In this letter, we present evidence of no enhanced mass loss over the bistability region (or at any temperature within 30-15 kK) for Galactic stars in the mass range between 20 and  $60 M_{\odot}$ . We compare our results with recent prescriptions of Krtička et al. (2021) and Björklund et al. (2023) to check which can better reproduce the observations. We also discuss the consequences for stellar evolution, in particular, in relation to the observed drop in the projected rotational velocity of BSGs and its connection to the end of the main sequence.

#### 2. Sample, data, and models

Our sample comprises 116 BSGs with wind terminal velocities available in the literature, spanning 35-15 kK in  $T_{\text{eff}}$  and 4.8–5.8 dex in  $\log(L/L_{\odot})$ . They were selected from the sample of 527 late-O to mid-B luminous blue stars analyzed by de Burgos et al. (2024) to cover  $\approx 10 \text{ kK}$  on both sides of the bistability region following Vink et al. (2001). Their location in

Open Access article, published by EDP Sciences, under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited. This article is published in open access under the Subscribe to Open model. Subscribe to A&A to support open access publication

the Hertzsprung-Russell (HR) diagram is shown with filled circles in the top panel of Fig. 1, color-coded according to their luminosity.

Estimates of the effective temperature  $(T_{\rm eff})$ , surface gravity (log *g*), and the wind-strength parameter (*Q*) were obtained by means of quantitative spectroscopic analysis, employing models calculated with the FASTWIND code (Santolaya-Rey et al. 1997; Puls et al. 2005, 2020; Rivero González et al. 2011), as described in de Burgos et al. (2024). The wind strength parameter is defined as  $Q = \dot{M}/(R_\star v_\infty)^{1.5}$ , where the mass-loss rate is in units of  $M_{\odot}$  yr<sup>-1</sup>, the wind terminal velocity is in units of km s<sup>-1</sup>, and  $R_{\star}$  is the stellar radius in units of  $R_{\odot}$  (see also Puls et al. 1996, 2005). For our analysis of optical spectra, the determination of *Q* is mainly constrained by the H $\alpha$  profile. In addition, we note that we used the smooth wind option implemented in FASTWIND.

We then benefited from reliable estimates of *Gaia* EDR3 distances (with error-over-parallax values  $\leq 0.15$ ; see Bailer-Jones et al. 2021) and our own estimations of the total *V*-band extinction ( $A_V$ ) to derive the stellar radii and luminosities. Regarding  $v_{\infty}$ , the adopted values were obtained from single-exposure UV spectra from *IUE* (R = 10000). About 93% of the values are taken from the works of Howarth & Prinja (1989), Howarth et al. (1997). Other values were adopted from Prinja et al. (2002), Prinja & Massa (2010) (4%) and Lamers et al. (1995) (3%). Using Q,  $R_{\star}$ , and  $v_{\infty}$ , we derived  $\dot{M}$  for the stars in the sample (see Sect. 3). For further details, see Appendices A and B.

We used the MESA tool (Paxton et al. 2011, 2013, 2015, 2018, 2019) to compute one-dimensional (1D) stellar evolution models with initial masses from 20 to  $60 M_{\odot}$ , from the zeroage main sequence (ZAMS) until 10 kK. The models include rotation, diffusive angular momentum transport, overshooting, and mass loss. We compared three theoretical mass-loss prescriptions suitable for Galactic BSGs. First, we used MESA's default routine, adopting the Vink et al. (2001) formula. Then, we implemented the mass-loss prescriptions from Krtička et al. (2021) and Björklund et al. (2023). Further notes on the computed models are detailed in Appendix C.

#### No increase in the mass-loss rates over the bistability region

The middle and bottom panels of Fig. 1 show our derived windstrength *Q*-parameter values and mass-loss rates, respectively, against the effective temperature for our sample of stars. Again, we remark that our methodology for deriving these two quantities did not account for wind clumping effects. However, while the impact of the presence of wind inhomogeneities is discussed in Sect. 4, we anticipate that such effects do not change our main results.

The panels also include evolutionary model computations (see Sect. 2) for four different initial masses, using the mass-loss prescription of Vink et al. (2001). We should note that we did not anticipate self-consistent matches between the models and observations on these diagrams due to various issues<sup>1</sup>. Rather, we consider that the majority of the stars in the sample follow a canonical evolutionary channel represented by these models,



Fig. 1. Properties of the 116 investigated Galactic stars described in Sect. 2. Top panel: location of the sample stars in the HR diagram. An additional 290 stars (without available UV spectroscopy) from de Burgos et al. (2024) are also shown for reference. Middle and bottom panels: wind-strength parameter (middle) and mass-loss rates (bottom) as a function of the effective temperature. Derived mass-loss rates were obtained using unclumped FASTWIND models. In all of the panels, different colors separate stars in three luminosity ranges, as indicated in the legend. Upper and lower limits of Q and  $\dot{M}$  are indicated with arrows instead of error-bars. Four evolutionary models with different initial masses and using the Vink et al. (2001) mass-loss prescription are included for reference. We note the predicted jump in  $\dot{M}$  at  $\approx 25$  kK. Stars showing double sub-peak emission in the H $\alpha$  line are indicated with lime-colored crosses and are discussed in the Appendix A. The red plus symbols correspond to four models by Driessen et al. (2019) used to evaluate the clumping effects (see further explanation in Sect. 4).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Firstly, the identification of a given evolutionary track that could correspond to a given star requires resolving the mass-discrepancy problem. Second, the observations do not include clumping corrections; hence, the values in Q and  $\dot{M}$  might shift downwards.

evolving from high to low effective temperatures. However, we cannot rule out the possibility that some of our objects may have followed a post-MS evolution or result from binary interaction. We investigated the helium enrichment of the O-stars and found that the majority are helium normal. Given the flattening of the mass-luminosity relation for higher masses and the fact that for a given initial mass, stars evolve at an approximately constant luminosity in the range of 30–10 kK, we decided to separate our sample into three luminosity bins (as indicated in the legend).

The prediction of Vink et al. (2001), which is the most widely used prescription in stellar evolution modeling (e.g., Brott et al. 2011; Ekström et al. 2012; Paxton et al. 2013), results in a substantial increase in  $\dot{M}$  by a factor of 10–20, when crossing the bistability region at  $\approx 25 \text{ kK}^2$  (see also, Keszthelyi et al. 2017). In contrast, our results for stars with  $\log(L/L_{\odot})$  between 5.3 and 5.8 dex (blue circles) show no evidence of a sudden increase in  $\dot{M}$  (or Q) in this region. Instead, the observed stars within this luminosity range show a decrease in  $\dot{M}$  by a factor of 10 from the hottest to the coolest objects.

Furthermore, stars with  $\log(L/L_{\odot})$  between 5.0 and 5.3 dex (green circles) display a "quasi-flat" distribution in  $\dot{M}$ , again showing no evidence of the predicted increase in  $\dot{M}$  (or Q) on the cool side of the bistability region. The number of stars with  $\log(L/L_{\odot})$  between 4.7 and 5.0 dex (orange circles) is lower than those with higher luminosities. For half of this subgroup of stars, Q (hence, also  $\dot{M}$ ) is an upper limit. Nonetheless, we cannot identify a jump in mass-loss rates around 25 kK.

We summarize the average values of  $\dot{M}$  and Q in Table D.1. We conclude that in the luminosity bins considered, which approximately correspond to an evolutionary track with a given initial mass, there is no evidence of an increase in  $\dot{M}$ , as predicted by Vink et al. (2001).

#### 4. The effect of clumping

Due to the unstable nature of the wind-driving mechanism, the development of inhomogeneities (spatial and temporal) in the form of over- and underdense regions within the mass outflows of massive stars, has been postulated for several decades (e.g., Owocki et al. 1988; Sundqvist & Owocki 2013). On the observational side, several phenomena detected in different parts of the electromagnetic spectrum so far seem to support the presence of such inhomogeneities (e.g., Kaufer et al. 1996; Markova et al. 2005; Fullerton et al. 2006; Cohen et al. 2006; Prinja & Massa 2013).

In the limiting case of the micro-clumping formalism, it is assumed that the optically thin over-dense clumps are surrounded by a void interclump medium. The density of the clumps is given by  $\rho_{cl} = f_{cl}\rho$ , with  $f_{cl}$  as the clumping factor and  $\rho$  as the mean density. Using homogeneous wind models in the present work means that the mass-loss rates obtained from the analysis of H $\alpha$  represent a maximum value. This is the case for O-type stars (see, e.g., Sundqvist & Puls 2018).

However, for late B-type supergiants (not covered in this work), H $\alpha$  should become (more) optically thick due to the partial recombination of hydrogen. As a consequence of the increased population of the lower level of the transition (see Kudritzki & Puls 2000), the H $\alpha$  line can potentially resemble the behavior of resonance lines in the UV spectrum of O-type stars (becoming sensitive to porosity effects in velocity space;

see Owocki et al. 2008). Between these two limiting cases,  $H\alpha$  should show a progressive change in character when crossing the B-type domain from early-to-late types (see the discussion from Petrov et al. 2014). Therefore, we might naturally ask to what extent our results could be a consequence of considering homogeneous winds for the analyses.

We first consider the recent work by Driessen et al. (2019), who employed wind instability simulations to investigate the formation of structures in the winds of typical O- and B-type supergiant stars. While these authors do not predict mass-loss rates in their simulations (and therefore we cannot compare our results in absolute terms), we can nonetheless consider the predicted growth rate of structures in the stellar wind. In particular, Driessen et al. (2019) concluded that a significant difference in the properties of wind clumping should occur between the extremes of the region considered here, with O-stars developing significantly more clumping than the B-stars. Applying (somewhat naively) the clumping factors obtained by Driessen et al. (2019) in the formation region of H $\alpha$  to our sample, under the assumption that the clumps remain optically thin, would result in a reduction in our H $\alpha$ -based mass-loss rates by a factor of 4 for the O-stars while they would remain basically unaffected for B-type supergiants. That is to say, the distribution of  $\dot{M}$  and Qvalues shown in Fig. 1 would become flatter, but it would not present a "bistability jump-like" feature.

Utilizing the continuum emission from the far infrared to the radio regime, Puls et al. (2006) and Rubio-Díez et al. (2022) studied the radial distribution of the clumping factors in the winds of O-type and B-type stars, respectively. Their results can be used to compare the behavior of the clumping factors up to  $2R_{\star}$ , which is the relevant region for our H $\alpha$ -derived massloss rates. The maximum clumping factors obtained for O-type stars are two to four times higher than those derived for B-type supergiants. Given that these results were obtained based on the assumption that the wind becomes homogeneous again in the radio region (the derived clumping factors should be re-scaled if this is not true), these values cannot be applied in absolute terms. However, taken in relative terms, they would suggest that there is not a sudden increase in  $\dot{M}$  as a function of effective temperature within the parameter range studied here<sup>3</sup>.

A refined treatment of wind inhomogeneities in model atmosphere codes (so-called macro-clumping) considers a wind composed of clumps (that can become optically thick) and an interclump medium that is not void (Oskinova et al. 2007; Sundqvist & Puls 2018). The consideration of such macroclumping for the analysis of samples of O- and B-type stars remains a novelty, with the works of Hawcroft et al. (2021) and Brands et al. (2022) pioneering the endeavor for O-type stars. These works show that a better agreement is obtained when resonance UV profiles are fitted under the macro-clumping formalism of Sundqvist et al. (2014), while at the same time having little effect on H $\alpha$  (as expected). With a much more limited scope, Bernini-Peron et al. (2023) illustrated the effect that the macroclumping formalism of Oskinova et al. (2007) has on the Galactic B3 Ia star HD 53138.

We performed a set of simulations with FASTWIND to assess how the incorporation of macro-clumping, using the Sundqvist & Puls (2018) formalism, would affect our results. We stress here that under this formalism, the clumps are not assumed to be optically thin or thick; rather, the optical depth is calculated

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> For our considered range of luminosities, the dependence of  $\dot{M}$  with the Eddington factor as predicted in Vink et al. (2001) only shifts the position of the bistability by 1–2 kK.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> We note here that, strictly, this would only remain true when the clumping properties in the radio-emitting region are the same for both types of object.



**Fig. 2.** Mass-loss rates against effective temperature for two additional mass-loss prescriptions. The top panel follows Krtička et al. (2021) and the bottom panel follows Björklund et al. (2023). Each panel includes the same set of colors and symbols as in Fig. 1.

using the Sobolev approximation (Sobolev 1960). The effects of velocity-porosity are incorporated as well. With this effectiveopacity treatment, the rate equations and the ionization and excitation equilibria can change accordingly. Further details of these simulations are provided in Appendix F. The main conclusion of this exercise is that for the BSG domain studied in the present work when considering a sensible set of parameters describing the clumps, macro-clumping does not modify the mass-loss rates determined from the H $\alpha$  line profiles. Therefore, the trends identified in  $\dot{M}$  and Q are not altered. This result is qualitatively in line with the outcome of the 3D Monte Carlo simulations performed by Šurlan et al. (2012).

#### 5. Implications for massive star evolution

#### 5.1. Alternative prescriptions of theoretical wind models

While theoretical predictions of mass-loss rates from Vink et al. (2001) have been used for several years in stellar evolutionary model computations, our results suggest the need for alternative prescriptions (see also Crowther et al. 2006; Markova & Puls 2008; Keszthelyi et al. 2017; Rubio-Díez et al. 2022). In this regard, we illustrate with Fig. 2 the mass-loss rates against the effective temperature for evolutionary models adopting the mass-loss rates of Krtička et al. (2021) and Björklund et al. (2023) (upper and lower panels, respectively). Both show a similar behavior from 40 to 22 kK by gradually decreasing mass-loss rate with  $T_{\rm eff}$ . These prescriptions are consistent with the trends displayed in our data, showing no increase within the 30–



**Fig. 3.** Estimates of the projected rotational velocity against the derived effective temperatures. Symbols and colors are the same as in the bottom panel of Fig. 1. The dashed line shows the effective temperature where the increase in  $\dot{M}$  takes place, as implemented in MESA (see Appendix C).

20 kK range. The rates by Krtička et al. (2021) include a gradual rise below 22 kK, with a peak at  $\approx 15$  kK, whereas the rates by Björklund et al. (2023) continue to decrease below that temperature. The number of stars near 15 kK is still small to draw any firm conclusion.

### 5.2. A connection between the extension of the main sequence and the spin-rate properties of BSGs

Whether the majority of BSGs are main sequence (MS) or post-MS objects remains debated (e.g., Vink et al. 2010; Castro et al. 2014). As a result of the rapid evolution toward cooler temperatures, we would expect the relative number of post-MS objects to be much lower compared to their MS counterparts. Additionally, post-MS objects are expected to undergo a significant decrease in their surface rotational velocity due to the significant increase in their size.

The lack of fast-rotating stars with projected rotational velocities  $(v \sin i)$  greater than  $\approx 100 \text{ km s}^{-1}$  below a given temperature has been known for more than three decades (e.g., Howarth et al. 1997; Ryans et al. 2002; Vink et al. 2010; Simón-Díaz & Herrero 2014; McEvoy et al. 2015; de Burgos et al. 2023). We demonstrate this with our sample stars in Fig. 3, finding a drop at  $\approx 21$  kK. As indicated by Vink et al. (2010), this feature could be related to the termination of the MS (see also Brott et al. 2011). In fact, the observed drop appears to overlap with the drop in the relative number of stars, as highlighted by de Burgos et al. (2024). However, as an alternative explanation, Vink et al. (2010) suggested that increased mass-loss rates at the bistability jump can trigger "bistability braking", leading to the spin-down of stars. In this work, we find no evidence for increased mass-loss rates in the bistability region. Consequently, we argue that the lack of fast-rotating stars is more likely connected with the terminal-age main sequence (TAMS). We also point out that for low-v sin i objects, which comprise the majority of BSGs (de Burgos et al. 2024), there is no evidence of a drop in v sin i around the bi-stability region, thus favoring the above possibility once again. Nevertheless, the study of the location of the TAMS requires further considerations. These include, among other things, the analysis of much larger samples, an evaluation of potential observational biases, the inclusion of hotter O-type stars, and the consideration of additional evolutionary channels populating the HR diagram, such as binary products (de Mink et al. 2014) or post-red supergiants that have undergone a blue-loop evolution (Martinet et al. 2021).

#### 6. Final remarks

The results of this work add strong constraints to the mass-loss rates of BSGs, providing a reassessment of its behavior in the 35–15 kK effective temperature range. We chose this range to generously cover  $\approx 25$  kK, where Vink et al. (2001) predicted a significant increase in mass-loss rates. To date, this increase is widely implemented in most evolutionary codes (e.g., Brott et al. 2011; Ekström et al. 2012; Paxton et al. 2013), but alternatives have been tested (e.g., Keszthelyi et al. 2017).

Although a better characterization of the clumping properties in the whole BSG domain can lead to a more robust description of the dependence of mass-loss rates with effective temperature and luminosity, our study provides strong observational evidence of the lack of an increase in mass-loss rates over the bistability region. As a consequence, from the proposed explanations for the absence of fast-rotating stars below a certain effective temperature, the most plausible one would be the termination of the main sequence.

As potential areas for improvement in the future, the simultaneous fitting of optical and UV spectra for our complete sample will provide additional clues on mass-loss rates. We also emphasize the importance of increasing the number of stars with available wind terminal velocities from UV spectra, as well as exploring mass-loss rates for stars with effective temperatures below 15 kK. In particular, the latter will help to provide additional constraints to the newer prescriptions of Krtička et al. (2021) and Björklund et al. (2021).

Acknowledgements. We thank the anonymous reviewer for a constructive and positive report that has led to the improvement of the manuscript. We are also deeply indebted to Joachim Puls for providing valuable comments on this manuscript and for his continuous support. AdB and SS-D acknowledge support from the Spanish Ministry of Science and Innovation (MICINN) through the Spanish State Research Agency through grants PID2021-122397NB-C21, and the Severo Ochoa Programme 2020-2023 (CEX2019-000920-S). ZK acknowledges support from the Overseas Visit Program for Young Researchers from the National Astronomical Observatory of Japan and the Early-Career Visitor Program from the Instituto de Astrofísica de Canarias. Numerical computations were carried out on the general-purpose PC cluster at the Center for Computational Astrophysics, National Astronomical Observatory of Japan.

#### References

- Asplund, M., Grevesse, N., Sauval, A. J., & Scott, P. 2009, ARA&A, 47, 481
- Bailer-Jones, C. A. L., Rybizki, J., Fouesneau, M., Demleitner, M., & Andrae, R. 2021, AJ, 161, 147
- Bernini-Peron, M., Marcolino, W. L. F., Sander, A. A. C., et al. 2023, A&A, 677, A50
- Björklund, R., Sundqvist, J. O., Puls, J., & Najarro, F. 2021, A&A, 648, A36
- Björklund, R., Sundqvist, J. O., Singh, S. M., Puls, J., & Najarro, F. 2023, A&A, 676, A109
- Böhm-Vitense, E. 1958, ZAp, 46, 108
- Brands, S. A., de Koter, A., Bestenlehner, J. M., et al. 2022, A&A, 663, A36
- Brott, I., de Mink, S. E., Cantiello, M., et al. 2011, A&A, 530, A115
- Castro, N., Fossati, L., Langer, N., et al. 2014, A&A, 570, L13
- Cohen, D. H., Leutenegger, M. A., Grizzard, K. T., et al. 2006, MNRAS, 368, 1905
- Crowther, P. A., Lennon, D. J., & Walborn, N. R. 2006, A&A, 446, 279
- de Almeida, E. S. G., Marcolino, W. L. F., Bouret, J. C., & Pereira, C. B. 2019, A&A, 628, A36
- de Burgos, A., Simón-Díaz, S., Urbaneja, M. A., & Negueruela, I. 2023, A&A, 674, A212
- de Burgos, A., Simón-Díaz, S., Urbaneja, M. A., & Puls, J. 2024, A&A, in press, https://doi.org/10.1051/0004-6361/202348808
- de Mink, S. E., Sana, H., Langer, N., Izzard, R. G., & Schneider, F. R. N. 2014, ApJ, 782, 7

- Driessen, F. A., Sundqvist, J. O., & Kee, N. D. 2019, A&A, 631, A172
- Ekström, S., Georgy, C., Eggenberger, P., et al. 2012, A&A, 537, A146
  - Fullerton, A. W., Massa, D. L., & Prinja, R. K. 2006, ApJ, 637, 1025
- Gaia Collaboration (Brown, A. G. A., et al.) 2021, A&A, 649, A1
- Hawcroft, C., Sana, H., Mahy, L., et al. 2021, A&A, 655, A67
- Herrero, A., Kudritzki, R. P., Vilchez, J. M., et al. 1992, A&A, 261, 209
- Higgins, E. R., Sander, A. A. C., Vink, J. S., & Hirschi, R. 2021, MNRAS, 505, 4874
- Howarth, I. D., & Prinja, R. K. 1989, ApJS, 69, 527
- Howarth, I. D., Siebert, K. W., Hussain, G. A. J., & Prinja, R. K. 1997, MNRAS, 284, 265
- Kaufer, A., Stahl, O., Wolf, B., et al. 1996, A&A, 305, 887
- Keszthelyi, Z., Puls, J., & Wade, G. A. 2017, A&A, 598, A4
- Keszthelyi, Z., de Koter, A., Götberg, Y., et al. 2022, MNRAS, 517, 2028
- Krtička, J., Kubát, J., & Krtičková, I. 2021, A&A, 647, A28
- Kudritzki, R.-P., & Puls, J. 2000, ARA&A, 38, 613
- Lamers, H. J. G. L. M., Snow, T. P., & Lindholm, D. M. 1995, ApJ, 455, 269
- Langer, N. 1998, A&A, 329, 551
- Lodders, K. 2003, ApJ, 591, 1220
- Maeder, A. 2009, Physics, Formation and Evolution of Rotating Stars (Berlin Heidelberg: Springer)
- Maeder, A., & Meynet, G. 1987, A&A, 182, 243
- Maeder, A., & Meynet, G. 2000, ARA&A, 38, 143
- Marcolino, W. L. F., Bouret, J. C., Martins, F., et al. 2009, A&A, 498, 837
- Markova, N., & Puls, J. 2008, A&A, 478, 823
- Markova, N., Puls, J., Scuderi, S., & Markov, H. 2005, A&A, 440, 1133
- Martinet, S., Meynet, G., Ekström, S., et al. 2021, A&A, 648, A126
- Martins, F., Schaerer, D., Hillier, D. J., et al. 2005, A&A, 441, 735
- McEvoy, C. M., Dufton, P. L., Evans, C. J., et al. 2015, A&A, 575, A70
- Mermilliod, J. C. 2006, VizieR On-line Data Catalog: II/168
- Nieva, M. F., & Przybilla, N. 2012, A&A, 539, A143
- Oskinova, L. M., Hamann, W. R., & Feldmeier, A. 2007, A&A, 476, 1331
- Owocki, S. P. 2008, Clumping in hot-star winds, Proc. International workshop held in Potsdam, Germany, 18-22 June 2007, eds. W.-R. Hamann, A. Feldmeier, & L. M. Oskinova, 121
- Owocki, S. P., Castor, J. I., & Rybicki, G. B. 1988, ApJ, 335, 914
- Pauldrach, A. W. A., & Puls, J. 1990, A&A, 237, 40
- Paxton, B., Bildsten, L., Dotter, A., et al. 2011, ApJS, 192, 3
- Paxton, B., Cantiello, M., Arras, P., et al. 2013, ApJS, 208, 4 Paxton, B., Marchant, P., Schwab, J., et al. 2015, ApJS, 220, 15
- Paxton, B., Schwab, J., Bauer, E. B., et al. 2018, ApJS, 234, 34
- Paxton, B., Smolec, R., Schwab, J., et al. 2019, ApJS, 243, 10
- Perryman, M. A. C., Lindegren, L., Kovalevsky, J., et al. 1997, A&A, 323, L49
- Petrov, B., Vink, J. S., & Gräfener, G. 2014, A&A, 565, A62
- Prinja, R. K., & Massa, D. L. 2010, A&A, 521, L55
- Prinja, R. K., & Massa, D. L. 2013, A&A, 559, A15
- Prinja, R. K., Barlow, M. J., & Howarth, I. D. 1990, ApJ, 361, 607
- Prinja, R. K., Massa, D., & Fullerton, A. W. 2002, A&A, 388, 587
- Przybilla, N., Firnstein, M., Nieva, M. F., Meynet, G., & Maeder, A. 2010, A&A, 517 A38
- Puls, J., Kudritzki, R. P., Herrero, A., et al. 1996, A&A, 305, 171
- Puls, J., Urbaneja, M. A., Venero, R., et al. 2005, A&A, 435, 669
- Puls, J., Markova, N., Scuderi, S., et al. 2006, A&A, 454, 625
- Puls, J., Vink, J. S., & Najarro, F. 2008, A&A Rev., 16, 209
- Puls, J., Najarro, F., Sundqvist, J. O., & Sen, K. 2020, A&A, 642, A172
- Repolust, T., Puls, J., & Herrero, A. 2004, A&A, 415, 349
- Rivero González, J. G., Puls, J., & Najarro, F. 2011, A&A, 536, A58
- Rubio-Díez, M. M., Sundqvist, J. O., Najarro, F., et al. 2022, A&A, 658, A61
- Ryans, R. S. I., Dufton, P. L., Rolleston, W. R. J., et al. 2002, MNRAS, 336, 577
- Sabhahit, G. N., Vink, J. S., Higgins, E. R., & Sander, A. A. C. 2022, MNRAS, 514, 3736
- Santolaya-Rey, A. E., Puls, J., & Herrero, A. 1997, A&A, 323, 488
- Simón-Díaz, S., & Herrero, A. 2014, A&A, 562, A135
- Skrutskie, M. F., Cutri, R. M., Stiening, R., et al. 2006, AJ, 131, 1163
- Smith, N. 2014, ARA&A, 52, 487
- Sobolev, V. V. 1960, Moving Envelopes of Stars (Cambridge: Harvard University Press)
- Sundqvist, J. O., & Owocki, S. P. 2013, MNRAS, 428, 1837
- Sundqvist, J. O., & Puls, J. 2018, A&A, 619, A59
- Sundqvist, J. O., Puls, J., & Owocki, S. P. 2014, A&A, 568, A59
- Townsend, R. 2020, https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.4587206
- Vink, J. S. 2022, ARA&A, 60, 203
- Vink, J. S., de Koter, A., & Lamers, H. J. G. L. M. 1999, A&A, 350, 181
- Vink, J. S., de Koter, A., & Lamers, H. J. G. L. M. 2001, A&A, 369, 574
- Vink, J. S., Brott, I., Gräfener, G., et al. 2010, A&A, 512, L7
- Šurlan, B., Hamann, W. R., Kubát, J., Oskinova, L. M., & Feldmeier, A. 2012, A&A, 541, A37

#### Appendix A: Stellar parameter determination in a nutshell

The line-broadening analysis of the stars in the sample has been done using the IACOB-BROAD tool (see Simón-Díaz & Herrero 2014), which allowed us to derive estimates of  $v \sin i$ . The quantitative spectroscopic analysis of the stars in this work was performed using a grid of unclumped model atmospheres computed with the NLTE model atmosphere and line synthesis Fast Analysis of STellar atmospheres with WINDs code (FASTWIND, v10.4.7, Santolaya-Rey et al. 1997; Puls et al. 2005; Rivero González et al. 2011; Puls et al. 2020). These models were used with supervised learning techniques to create a statistical emulator for FASTWIND synthetic spectra that, in combination with a Markov chain Monte Carlo (MCMC) method, allowed us to obtain estimates of  $T_{\rm eff}$ , log g, and Q (see de Burgos et al. 2024, Sect. 3 for further details). We note that for a small group of stars, their H $\alpha$  profiles exhibit a small double-subpeak structure reaching the continuum level. For precaution, these stars are identified with a different symbol in all figures.

We adopted geometric distances from Bailer-Jones et al. (2021) using *Gaia* EDR3 data (Gaia Collaboration 2021). The corresponding error-over-parallax for 95% of our sample takes values  $\leq 0.15$ , being 0.15–0.20 for the remaining 5%. For some very bright stars ( $G_{mag} \leq 4$ ) initially considered in the sample, we also searched for alternative parallax values in HIPPARCOS (Perryman et al. 1997). However, all of them had associated error-over-parallax values greater than 0.20 and we did not include them.

We used adopted distances combined with optical photometry (*B*, *V*) from Mermilliod (2006) and infrared photometry (*J*, *H*, *Ks*) from Skrutskie et al. (2006, 2MASS) to derive the extinction ( $A_V$ ) to each star. In particular, our methodology is based on an MCMC to solve simultaneously for the color excess E(B-V) and  $R_v$ , by comparing the observed spectral energy distribution (SED) of the star with the one predicted by FASTWIND for the corresponding stellar parameters.

Combining the estimated  $A_V$  with the collected apparent V magnitudes and distances, we were able to first compute the absolute V magnitudes and then, following Herrero et al. (1992), the stellar radii. In a second step, and also taking into account the effective temperatures, surface gravities, projected rotational velocities, and wind-strength parameters resulting from the quantitative spectroscopic analysis, we computed the stellar luminosities, the surface gravities corrected from centrifugal forces (following Repolust et al. 2004) and, eventually, for those stars with available information about the wind terminal velocities, the associated mass-loss rates.

#### Appendix B: Uncertainties in the parameters

The uncertainties of the spectroscopic parameters  $T_{\text{eff}}$ , log g, and log Q, as well as those of  $A_{\text{V}}$ , were taken as 33% on both sides of the maximum of the corresponding probability distribution function (see de Burgos et al. 2024, for more details). Regarding distances, we averaged the 16th and 84th percentiles provided in Bailer-Jones et al. (2021). Following Prinja et al. (1990), we adopted a global uncertainty of  $100 \text{ km s}^{-1}$  for  $v_{\infty}$ . For the remaining parameters (R, L, and  $\dot{M}$ ), we adopted the standard deviation resulting from a Monte Carlo approach that consisted of generating 1000 Gaussian random values within the uncertainties of the parameters required in each calculation.

For our numerical calculations of 1D stellar evolution models, we used release r22.11.01 of the Modules for Experiments in Stellar Astrophysics, MESA, software instrument (Paxton et al. 2011, 2013, 2015, 2018, 2019). MESA is an open-source, robust tool, well-tested in modeling mass-loss rates from massive stars (e.g., Keszthelyi et al. 2017, 2022; Higgins et al. 2021; Sabhahit et al. 2022).

We used the MESA Software Development Kit (SDK) version 22.05.01 (Townsend 2020), and we performed our calculations on the calculation server of the Japanese supercomputer  $XC50^4$ . We calculated models with initial masses in the range of 20–60  $M_{\odot}$ , with 5  $M_{\odot}$  increments covering the evolutionary phase from the ZAMS until 10 kK. We stopped the calculations here because it is already 5 kK below the lower boundary covered by the observational sample. At 10 kK, some models are still on the main sequence, whereas others have depleted hydrogen in their cores and are in their post-main sequence evolution.

We assumed the Galactic chemical composition with initially Z = 0.014 and the Asplund et al. (2009) metal fractions modified for certain elements by Przybilla et al. (2010) and Nieva & Przybilla (2012). The isotopic ratios were taken from Lodders (2003). The initial hydrogen and helium mass fractions were adopted as X = 0.720 and Y = 0.266, respectively.

We assumed a mixing length parameter of  $\alpha_{\text{MLT}} = 1.8$ and the "ML1" option in MESA, adopting the Böhm-Vitense (1958) description of convective mixing. We used exponential overshooting at convective boundaries with f = 0.025 and  $f_0 = 0.005$ . Very approximately, this corresponds to an extension by 20% of the local pressure scale height.

The initial rotation was set to a value of  $\Omega/\Omega_{crit} = 0.2$  and rotational mixing and angular momentum transport are assumed as diffusive processes. Similarly to Vink et al. (2010), a strong core envelope coupling is adopted in the models by means of the viscosity of the Spruit-Tayler dynamo.

The mass-loss rate by stellar winds was calculated using three different theoretical predictions. First, we calculated a branch of models adopting the Vink et al. (2001) rates (their Eqs. 24-25). Stellar evolution codes can have some differences in their implementations, even when formally the same prescription is used. This is because the bistability region requires interpolation (from Vink et al. 2001, Eq. 24 is used for  $T_{\text{eff}} > 27.5$  kK, whereas Eq. 25 is used for  $T_{\rm eff} < 22.5 \,\rm kK$ ), which is not provided in the prescription (see the discussion by Keszthelyi et al. 2017). Here, we chose to use the routine directly implemented in MESA, which sets the bistability temperature based on metallicity (Eqs. 14-15 of Vink et al. 2001), and uses a narrow 1 kK interpolation range. This is similar to the implementation of Ekström et al. (2012) but differs from the more gradual change implemented by Brott et al. (2011). Besides metallicity, the location of the bistability also depends on the Eddington factor ( $\Gamma_e$ ; Eq. 23 of Vink et al. 2001). However, the effect of  $\Gamma_e$  only produces a small shift of less than  $2 \,\mathrm{kK}$  in the predicted  $T_{\rm eff}$  values where the bistability jump would occur. Second, we implemented the mass-loss prescription obtained by Krtička et al. (2021) (their Eq. 3). This formula also accounts for an increase in the mass-loss rates over the bistability region, though at a much lower effective temperature. Third, we calculated a branch of models where the hot star mass-loss rates utilize the prescription of Björklund et al. (2023) (their Eq. 7).

For the latter two branches, we modified the run\_star\_extras file to calculate and apply mass-loss

<sup>4</sup> https://https://cfca.nao.ac.jp/

rates that are not directly included in MESA. Since the theoretical mass-loss rates do not account for the effects of rotation, we scaled the nominal mass-loss rates by rotational enhancement, following the formula of Maeder & Meynet (2000). Since rotation is slow in the models, this results in a negligibly small enhancement (1%). We should note, however, that the base solar metallicity is adopted differently in these wind prescriptions. Vink et al. (2001) use  $Z_{\odot}$  =0.019, whereas Krtička et al. (2021) and Björklund et al. (2023) adopt  $Z_{\odot}$  =0.0134. Since a metallicity scaling is included in all prescriptions, this can also lead to some differences between calculations formally at "solar" metallicity.

The calculation of wind terminal velocities  $v_{\infty}$  is independent of the mass-loss rate calculations in the evolutionary models. We simply set empirical constraints and calculate  $v_{\infty}$  from the escape velocity,  $v_{\text{esc}} = \sqrt{(1 - \Gamma_e)2GM/R}$ . Namely, we adopted  $v_{\infty}/v_{\text{esc}} = 3.0$  and 1.3 for  $T_{\text{eff}}$  larger than and smaller than 22 kK, respectively. The chosen interpolation width is 3 kK.

#### Appendix D: Summary values of mass-loss rates and wind-strength parameter

Table D.1 summarizes the average value and standard deviation of Q and  $\dot{M}$  for stars grouped in bins of  $\log(L/L_{\odot})$  and  $T_{\rm eff}$ . We decided to exclude those stars for which the estimates of these parameters are upper or lower limits (see Fig. 1), thus not representative of the real value. For comparison, Table D.1 also includes the results using the full sample (values in parentheses).

For stars in the highest luminosity bin, the average values without parentheses clearly show a decrease in Q and  $\dot{M}$  with  $T_{\rm eff}$ . In fact, this decrease is three and two times greater than the average scatter, respectively. For stars in the middle luminosity bin, both quantities evolve practically flat with  $T_{\rm eff}$ , at an average value of -13.4 dex for Q, and -6.83 dex for  $\dot{M}$ . For Q, we note that the average values are slightly lower above 25 kK than below, but the scatter values are consistent with a flat trend. Lastly, the values and scatter of stars in the lowest luminosity bin also reflect a flat trend in both quantities. We note that for stars above 30 kK, most of the stars represent upper- and lower-limit cases of Q. Therefore, we observe the largest difference with the values in parentheses.

Table D.1. Average and standard deviation of the wind-strength values and mass-loss rates in the sample grouped in bins of  $\log(L/L_{\odot})$  and  $T_{\text{eff}}$ .

log	0	T <sub>eff</sub> [kK]										
	~	35–30	30–25	25-20	20-15							
$\log(L/L_{\odot})$	5.3-5.8	$-12.69 \pm 0.22$	-13.05±0.21	-13.13±0.15	-13.23±0.14							
0		$(-12.65 \pm 0.18)$	$(-13.05 \pm 0.21)$	$(-13.13 \pm 0.15)$	$(-13.23 \pm 0.14)$							
	5.0-5.3	-13.41±0.34	-13.51±0.19	$-13.31 \pm 0.08$	$-13.33 \pm 0.07$							
		$(-13.33 \pm 0.37)$	$(-13.54 \pm 0.21)$	$(-13.48 \pm 0.31)$	$(-13.33 \pm 0.07)$							
	4.7-5.0	-13.27±0.27	$-13.45 \pm 0.28$	$-13.46 \pm 0.24$								
		$(-13.74 \pm 0.34)$	$(-13.63 \pm 0.33)$	$(-13.65 \pm 0.31)$								
log	Й	$T_{\rm eff}$ [kK]										
-		35–30	30–25	25-20	20-15							
$\log(L/L_{\odot})$	5.3-5.8	$-5.96 \pm 0.39$	$-6.17 \pm 0.22$	$-6.40 \pm 0.24$	$-6.51 \pm 0.12$							
		$(-5.86 \pm 0.32)$	$(-6.17 \pm 0.22)$	$(-6.40\pm0.24)$	$(-6.51 \pm 0.12)$							
	5.0-5.3	$-6.87 \pm 0.29$	$-6.91 \pm 0.18$	$-6.71 \pm 0.07$	$-6.81 \pm 0.14$							
		$(-6.81 \pm 0.30)$	$(-6.93 \pm 0.21)$	$(-6.88 \pm 0.31)$	$(-6.81 \pm 0.14)$							
	4.7-5.0	$-7.17 \pm 0.10$	$-7.03 \pm 0.26$	$-7.09 \pm 0.43$								
		$(-7.50\pm0.22)$	$(-7.20\pm0.30)$	$(-7.22\pm0.38)$								

Notes. The values in parenthesis take into account all the 116 stars in the sample. The values without parentheses exclude stars for which the estimates of Q and  $\dot{M}$  represent upper or lower limits.



#### Appendix E: Wind terminal velocities

**Fig. E.1.** Stars in the sample colored by different derived quantities against their effective temperature. Top panel: Adopted values of wind terminal velocities from the literature, whereas the bottom panel shows  $v_{\infty}/v_{esc}$ , where estimates of  $v_{esc}$  have been corrected from centrifugal forces. The tracks and symbols are the same as in Fig.1. The average uncertainty in  $v_{\infty}$  is indicated as an error-bar within the legend. The black solid line corresponds to a third order regression of those stars shown with filled circles. In the bottom panel, the solid line indicates our adopted prescription for  $v_{\infty}/v_{esc}$ , whereas the dashed-dotted line indicates the prescription by Lamers et al. (1995).

The top panel of Fig. E.1 shows our adopted wind terminal velocities from the literature against our derived effective temperatures for the same stars as in Fig.1. For completeness, we decided to performed a third-order regression fit to the data, which is also shown in the panel, and follows the equation:

$$v_{\infty} \,[\mathrm{km\,s^{-1}}] = -0.416T_{\mathrm{eff}}^3 + 30.9T_{\mathrm{eff}}^2 - 633T_{\mathrm{eff}} + 4310 \,[\mathrm{kK}].$$

We notice that compared to the models, the drop in  $v_{\infty}$  below  $T_{\rm eff} \approx 25 \,\rm kK$  becomes very smooth in the observational data, as also shown by the regression fit.

The same panel also shows a group of stars that have lower terminal velocities compared to the other stars of the same luminosity range. Those located at  $v_{\infty} \leq 1500 \text{ km s}^{-1}$  and  $T_{\text{eff}} > 30 \text{ kK}$  correspond to HD 76341 (O9.2 IV), HD 163892 (O9.5 IV(n)), HD 191423 (ON9 II-IIInn), and HD 306097 (O9 V) from larger

to lower  $v_{\infty}$ . They belong to a group of stars frequently called "the weak-wind O stars" (see Martins et al. 2005; Marcolino et al. 2009; de Almeida et al. 2019), and are characterized for being O9 III–V type stars with lower mass-loss rates compared to most O-type stars. An additional star at  $v_{\infty} \approx 500 \,\mathrm{km \, s^{-1}}$  and 25 kK is HD 86606 (B1 Ib). Its spectral type and unusually low  $v_{\infty}$  makes it a strong candidate to be a magnetic star and certainly demands further study.

#### Appendix F: FASTWIND SIMULATIONS with clumping

We used FASTWIND (v10.6.5.1) to simulate several sequences of models for constant luminosity  $\log(L/L_{\odot}) = 5.4$  dex, representative of the bulk of the sample of stars studied in this work. The sequences span the 40-15 kK range in effective temperature (with a step of 1 kK), for eight different values of the windstrength parameter defined by  $\log Q_s$  (where the index s indicates the value of a smooth wind), encompassing the range of values derived in our study. The surface gravity for each temperature was selected to be representative of the corresponding value for the luminosity; the radii follow from the luminosity and the temperatures, with the wind terminal velocity related to the escape velocity by the relationship provided in Kudritzki & Puls (2000). For all models, as a compromise, we adopted a fixed value for the exponent of the wind velocity law,  $\beta = 1.5$ . Solar abundances were adopted for all species, including helium. For a given  $\log Q_s$  sequence, three sets of models were calculated: (1) smooth wind; (2) optically thin clumps considering a clumping factor  $f_{cl} = 10$  (i.e., volume filling factor of 0.1), following a linear increase law with clumping starting at  $0.03v_{\infty}$  and reaching its maximum value at  $0.1v_{\infty}$ ; and (3) macro-clumping in the wind, considering the following values (see Sundqvist & Puls 2018, for the detailed discussion of their meaning): clumping factor  $f_{cl} = 10$ , interclump density contrast  $f_{ic} = 0.01$  and 0.2 (guided by the results obtained by Hawcroft et al. 2021; Brands et al. 2022), velocity filling factor  $f_{\rm vel}$  =0.5. and porosity length at the wind terminal velocity h=1(in units of stellar radius). For models considering clumping, the mass-loss rates were scaled with the clumping factor according to  $\dot{M}_{cl} = \dot{M}_{s} f_{cl}^{-1/2}$ , regardless of the nature of the clumping. In all cases, we account for the effect of non-coherent electron scattering in the calculation of the emergent profiles.

Fig. F.1 illustrates the outcome of these simulations for a particular value of  $\log Q_s = -12.7 \, dex$  for a selected number of models. The conclusions regarding the behavior of H $\alpha$  are very similar for all other  $\log Q_s$  sequences. As can be readily seen, the simulations show that the mass-loss rates that would be derived by applying models that do not consider any clumping would still represent a maximum mass-loss rate limit. That is, regardless of the nature of the clumping, the mass-loss rates derived using clumped models would always be smaller than those derived from smooth-wind models. Therefore, this allows us to conclude that (at least for winds of early to mid B-type supergiants of luminosity class Ia or lower) there is no indication whatsoever of an increased mass-loss rate that would correspond to the so-called bistability jump predicted in the work of Vink et al. (2001).



**Fig. F.1.** Effect of micro- and macro-clumping in the H $\alpha$  line. Each subpanel corresponds to a different temperature and includes three models for different wind treatments: Smooth wind or unclumped (solid blue line), wind with micro-clumping (dashed orange line), and wind with macro-clumping for  $f_{\rm ic} = 0.2$  (dotted green line).

# 5

## New clues on the location of the TAMS in the massive star domain

Hoping for the best, prepared for the worst, and unsurprised by anything in between. Maya Angelou

This chapter includes the content of a paper in the last stage of preparation that will be submitted to Astronomy and Astrophysics.

### The IACOB project

#### New clues on the location of the TAMS in the massive star domain

A. de Burgos<sup>1, 2</sup>, S. Simón-Díaz<sup>1, 2</sup>, M. A. Urbaneja<sup>3</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Universidad de La Laguna, Dpto. Astrofísica, E-38206 La Laguna, Tenerife, Spain

<sup>2</sup> Instituto de Astrofísica de Canarias, Avenida Vía Láctea, E-38205 La Laguna, Tenerife, Spain

<sup>3</sup> Universität Innsbruck, Institut für Astro- und Teilchenphysik, Technikerstr. 25/8, A-6020 Innsbruck, Austria

Received 2024 / Accepted —

#### ABSTRACT

*Context.* Massive stars play a very important role in many astrophysical fields. Despite their scarcity with respect to their less-massive counterparts, their influence on the chemo-dynamical evolution of the Galaxies is substantial. Yet, some fundamental aspects of their evolution remain poorly constrained. In this regard, there is an open debate about the width of the main-sequence (MS) phase, in which stars spend most of their lifetimes.

Aims. To build an updated Hertzsprung-Russell (HR) diagram that includes a volume-limited statistically significant sample of massive stars with luminosities  $L \gtrsim 2 \times 10^4 L_{\odot}$  and effective temperatures  $T_{\text{eff}} \gtrsim 14 \text{ kK}$ , including also information about projected rotational velocities ( $v \sin i$ ) and their spectroscopic binarity status.

*Methods.* We combine spectroscopic parameters derived with the FASTWIND stellar atmosphere code and *Gaia* distances to obtain estimates for stellar parameters for a sample of 832 Galactic luminous O- and B-type stars observed within the IACOB project. We use the ALS III catalog to select a best-suited volume-limited sample of Galactic OB for our study. We employ *v* sin *i* estimates derived with iacob-broad tool and use multi-epoch spectra to identify single- and double-line spectroscopic binaries (SB1, SB2).

*Results.* We present an HR diagram for a sample of 643 stars located within the first 2500 pc that has the best balance between completeness and number. We evaluate the extension of the MS in terms of the drop in the relative number of stars as a function of the effective temperature ( $T_{\rm eff}$ ) for different luminosity ranges and find a very similar cool boundary at 22.5 kK. We obtain a smooth decrease of the highest observed  $v \sin i$  with  $T_{\rm eff}$  along the MS band, likely limited by the critical velocity. We find this effect combined with a lower expected fraction of stars beyond the MS as the best explanation for the lack of fast-rotating objects in the post-MS region. Our results favor low to mild initial rotation and a binary past for the well-known fast-rotating tail of stars of the  $v \sin i$  distribution. The prominence of SB1 and SB2 systems within the MS band further supports the latter scenario. The drop in relative fraction of these objects with  $T_{\rm eff}$  can be used to better delineate the TAMS.

**Key words.** Stars: massive – stars: evolution – stars: rotation – (Stars:) Hertzsprung-Russell – (Stars:) binaries: spectroscopic – techniques: radial velocities

#### 1. Introduction

Massive stars ( $M \gtrsim 8 M_{\odot}$ ) represent the minority of stars in the local Universe (Salpeter 1955; Chabrier 2005). Despite their low relative number, their influence on the interstellar medium and the chemical evolution of their host galaxies is enormous (e.g., Maeder & Meynet 2000; Langer 2012; Nomoto et al. 2013; Smith 2014). Furthermore, they are among the most luminous stars in the Universe, becoming useful tools as distance indicators (Kudritzki et al. 1999, 2003), and the main stellar contributors to the brightness of high-redshift galaxies (e.g., Dijkstra & Wyithe 2007). Despite their influence and importance for many branches of astrophysics, the physical properties and processes that govern their evolution are not yet fully understood.

As for less-massive objects, massive stars spend most of their lifetimes in the main sequence (MS), a phase in which stars burn their core hydrogen while slowly becoming cooler and larger in size. Upon termination of the MS, stars undergo a rapid reconfiguration of their cores, which translates into an important reduction of the star's surface temperature and a significant increase in their sizes. In the classical Hertzsprung-Russell (HR) diagram, the lack of stars with intermediate effective temperatures (between those characterizing the stars on the MS and the red supergiant phase, respectively) caused by this quick transition is popularly known as the Hertzsprung gap.

Traditionally, blue supergiants (BSGs; B-type stars with luminosity classes I and II) were considered to be post-MS objects following MS O-type stars. However, the discovery of an overdensity of BSGs by Fitzpatrick & Garmany (1990) in the Hertzsprung gap raised questions about the true extension of the main sequence of massive stars and what the true nature of BSGs is. One possibility to solve the still persistent discrepancy is that a significant fraction of BSGs could still be MS objects. This would require updating or fine-tuning the extension of the MS in the evolutionary models. In this regard, the length of the MS depends not only on the stellar mass and the initial rotation rates of the stars (Meynet & Maeder 2005; Heger et al. 2005; Georgy et al. 2013), but also on different proposed internal mixing processes that can provide additional hydrogen to the star's core (Maeder 1981, 2009; Schootemeijer et al. 2019; Martinet et al. 2021), thus extending the MS. Furthermore, massive stars are affected by strong stellar winds, which can also affect their lifetimes through the effect of mass loss (Kudritzki & Puls 2000; Vink et al. 2010; Smith 2014). Another possibility is that additional evolutionary channels contribute to the observed overdensity of BSGs. These may include stars evolving blueward, such as those that undergo a blue loop after the red supergiant (RSg) phase (Stothers & Chin 1975; Ekström et al. 2012), but also stars that have evolved through binary interactions (Sana et al. 2012; de Mink et al. 2013; Menon et al. 2024). In this entangled context, observational constraints are the key to solving the puzzle.

Since the work by Blaha & Humphreys (1989) and Fitzpatrick & Garmany (1990) using photometric data of stars in the Galaxy and Large Magellanic Cloud, only the study conducted by Castro et al. (2014) has attempted to better constrain the width of the MS using a statistically significant sample of massive stars with spectroscopic parameters available in the literature. However, even though their spectroscopic sample included  $\approx 400$  Galactic OB stars, and their results once more evidenced the extension of the MS, the presence of observational biases and the lack of homogeneity in their analysis prevented them from quantitatively providing the location of the terminalage main sequence (TAMS) for masses greater than  $20 M_{\odot}$ . As a result, high-quality statistically significant samples of BSGs, analyzed homogeneously, and for which additional spectroscopic information such as spin rates, binary status, or surface abundances, are key to improving the current situation and the interpretation of the results, which is the main goal of this work. Following Martinet et al. (2021) (see also Castro et al. 2014), we define the TAMS by the position in the MS band where the evolutionary tracks reach their lowest effective temperature before momentarily returning to a hotter region (so-called "hook"), at core hydrogen exhaustion.

In this paper we benefit from the spectroscopic observations gathered within the IACOB project (Simón-Díaz et al. 2020) and the astrometric distances delivered by the *Gaia* mission (Gaia Collaboration et al. 2016, 2022; Babusiaux et al. 2023) to investigate three empirical aspects which can shed light in our knowledge about the extension of the MS in the  $12-40 M_{\odot}$  range of initial masses; namely the distribution of stars in the HR diagram in terms of density and relative number, the spin-rate properties, and the binary status.

This paper is organized as follows: Section 2 presents the spectroscopic sample of stars and data used to carry out this work. Section 3 describes the methodology used to evaluate the completeness of our sample. In Sect. 4 we present the HR diagram of the stars, their empirical properties evaluated in this work, and our proposed TAMS, compared to the most-used evolutionary models. In Sect. 5 we discuss the location of the TAMS in the HR diagram and the rotational properties of the sample, both connected with further implications for massive star evolution. Section 6 presents the main conclusions and the follow-up work.

#### 2. Sample and data

#### 2.1. Spectroscopic sample

The spectroscopic sample of this work comprises 832 O3- to B6type stars that cover a range between 49-14 kK in effective temperature ( $T_{eff}$ ), 4.3-1.8 dex in surface gravity (log g), and 4.3-6.0 dex in luminosity (log( $L/L_{\odot}$ )). Of them, 682 were selected from the sample of late O- and early B-type stars presented in de Burgos et al. (2023a) as stars descended from stellar objects born as O-type stars (in the context of single-star evolution). This sample was later increased with additional observations of stars of similar types to increase the completeness (see Sect. 3). The remaining 150 objects were selected from Holgado et al. (2018, 2020, 2022). In total,  $\approx$ 50% of the sample corresponds to stars classified as B-type supergiants and bright giants, and  $\approx$ 34% to O-type stars. The remaining  $\approx$ 16% corresponds to B-type stars classified from giants to dwarfs.

All stars in the sample have a magnitude  $B_{\text{mag}} \lesssim 11$ , and their optical spectra were collected from the IACOB spectroscopic database and the ESO public archive. In particular, they were acquired using either FIES (Telting et al. 2014, 2.5 m NOT), HER-MES (Raskin et al. 2011, 1.2 m Mercator), or FEROS (Kaufer et al. 1997, 2.2 m MPG/ESO) high resolution (R = 25 000 – 85 000) optical (3800 – 7000 Å) echelle spectrographs. The average signal-to-noise ratio is  $\approx 130$  (4500 Å). For more details, see de Burgos et al. (2023a).

Our sample excludes hypergiants, classical Be-type stars or stars showing strong evidence of being surrounded by a disk. As also indicated in de Burgos et al. (2023b), this is due to the inherent limitation of our chosen model grids for the quantitative spectroscopic analysis (see Sect. 2.3). Our sample includes single-line and double- or higher-order spectroscopic binaries (SB1, SB2+). The former are systems for which only one set of spectral lines is detected, and where the Doppler-shifts indicate the presence of a companion. The latter group are systems for which the spectra show two or more separated spectral components associated with two or more companions.

#### 2.2. Astrometric distances

We adopted the distances quoted in de Burgos et al. (2023a) or obtained them using the same approach. In general, most of them were taken directly from the geometric distances quoted by Bailer-Jones et al. (2021) or, for the few brightest objects, from the parallaxes ( $\varpi$ ) provided by van Leeuwen (2007) using the *Hipparcos* data (Perryman et al. 1997). The former also includes a direction-dependent prior and a 3D extinction map of the Galaxy that are used in the determination of the distances.

#### 2.3. Spectroscopic parameters

We applied the same methodology as in de Burgos et al. (2023b) to derive spectroscopic parameters for those O9-B6 stars not analyzed there that are included in the present sample. In particular, the line-broadening analysis was done using the IACOB-BROAD tool (see Simón-Díaz & Herrero 2014), which allows to obtain estimates of the projected rotational velocity  $(v \sin i)$ . For the remaining spectroscopic parameters used here, namely  $T_{\text{eff}}$  and log g, the analysis was carried out using a grid of unclumped (i.e., not considering wind inhomogeneities) model atmospheres computed with the NLTE model atmosphere and line synthesis FASTWIND code (Fast Analysis of STellar atmospheres with WINDs, v10.4.7, Santolaya-Rey et al. 1997; Puls et al. 2005; Rivero González et al. 2011; Puls et al. 2020). Although we refer to Sect. 3 in de Burgos et al. (2023b) for additional details on the properties of the grid, the main advantage of our analysis comes from the use of a statistical emulator of synthetic spectra, which is combined with a Markov Chain Monte Carlo (MCMC) method to obtain the probability distribution function for the parameters mentioned above. For the 151 O-type stars from Holgado et al. (2018, 2020, 2022), we refer to those works for more details. We note that in this work, our gravities are corrected from centrifugal forces (see Herrero et al. 1992; Repolust et al. 2004).

#### 2.4. Fundamental parameters

Reliable distances fulfilling  $\sigma_{\varpi}/\varpi \leq 0.15$  in the full sample (see Bailer-Jones et al. 2021) were used to derive stellar radii and luminosities for the 832 stars in the sample. To do this, we first downloaded the optical *B* and *V* magnitudes available from Mermilliod (2006) and the infrared *J*, *H*, and *Ks* magnitudes from Skrutskie et al. (2006, 2MASS). Then, a synthetic spectral energy distribution (SED) was calculated in FASTWIND. Following an MCMC approach in which E(B - V) and  $R_v$  were varied, the observed and synthetic SEDs of the star were compared, allowing us to obtain the total V-band extinction ( $A_V$ ). Combining  $A_V$  and the apparent *V* magnitudes with the above-mentioned distances, we computed the absolute *V* magnitudes. Then, using Eq. 1 in Herrero et al. (2002) we obtained the stellar radii, and, by using the Stefan-Boltzman law ( $L = 4\pi R^2 \sigma T_{eff}^4$ ), the luminosities.

#### 2.5. Radial velocity measurements

We used available multi-epoch spectra in the IACOB spectroscopic database to obtain radial velocity (RV) estimates and peak-to-peak amplitude values ( $RV_{pp}$ ) for 350 stars in the sample. For most O-type stars, we adopted estimates from Holgado et al. (2018). The methodology followed there consisted in a simple cross-correlation between the observed spectra and the bestfitting synthetic FASTWIND spectra for a given set of diagnostic lines. For some O9- and all B-type stars in the sample, our estimates were obtained following the methodology described in de Burgos et al. (2020). In this case, individual lists of several diagnostic lines are selected and optimized for each sub-spectral type. Then, a combination of line-fitting and sigma-clipping routines allowed us to obtain an average estimate for each spectrum. Peak-to-peak amplitudes were obtained simply as the difference between the largest difference of individual values.

#### 3. Completeness analysis

In de Burgos et al. (2023a), we performed a preliminary analysis of the completeness of our initial spectroscopic sample. In addition to carry out a first analysis on the number of observed and missing stars to be included in upcoming observing campaigns (see Table 1 of that work), our main goal was to corroborate that our sample included a statistically significant number of stars up to a certain distance from the solar neighborhood. In de Burgos et al. (2023b), we limited our study to present our methodology and estimates of some of the spectroscopic parameters of a sample of  $\approx 500$  stars, but we did not perform any analysis of the completeness of that sample. In this work, we account for additional  $\approx 150$  stars analyzed using the same methodology plus  $\approx$ 150 stars from Holgado et al. (2018, 2020, 2022), and we evaluate our completeness against the same reference sample: the Alma Luminous Star catalog (Pantaleoni González et al. 2021, ALS III; Pantaleoni González, et al., in prep.).

Figure 1 shows our analyzed sample (green and blue circles) and missing stars from ALS III (gray circles) on a *Gaia* colormagnitude diagram (CMD), where we separated our stars from the approximate age at which O-type stars become B-type on the  $20 M_{\odot}$  evolutionary track (top dashed black line). As shown in de Burgos et al. (2023a), all stars above this line should, in principle, either be O-type stars or descend from them following a single evolution scheme.

For our completeness evaluation, we selected only those stars in the ALS III with spectral types earlier than B6 as quoted in the SIMBAD astronomical database (Weis & Bomans 2020), and



**Fig. 1.** *Gaia* CMD including the stars in the sample and other missing stars included in the ALS III catalog (Pantaleoni González, et al., in prep.). All the stars have  $B_{mag} < 11$ , and distances below 4 kpc. The two diagonal dashed black lines mark the reddening line of a 20 M<sub>o</sub> star at the ZAMS (bottom) and at 30 kK (top). Both are extended up to a  $\Delta(A_{\nu}) = 2$ . Those stars for which the positive and negative distance errors give a location in the diagram above the top reddening line are shown in green. Those in which the lower distance places them below the top reddening line are shown in blue. Stars missing from the ALS III catalog are shown in gray. Four evolutionary tracks corresponding to 9, 15, 20, and 40 M<sub>o</sub> are included for reference. They were downloaded from the MESA Isochrones & Stellar Tracks online tool (MIST; see Dotter 2016a,b; Choi et al. 2016; Paxton et al. 2013, 2015, for references purposes) for solar metallicity, no initial rotation, and A<sub>V</sub> = 0.0.

**Table 1.** Completeness summary for our stellar sample with derived stellar parameters with respect to the ALS III catalog, for stars of similar kind (see main text for further details).

	$B_{\rm mag}$	<sub>g</sub> ≤9	$B_{\rm mag}$	≤10	$B_{\rm mag} \leq 11$			
Distance	Total #	% obs.	Total #	% obs.	Total #	% obs.		
<i>d</i> <1 kpc	39	82%	42	79%	43	79%		
$d < 2 \mathrm{kpc}$	240	87%	318	79%	388	69%		
d < 3  kpc	438	86%	724	68%	936	56%		
$d < 4 \mathrm{kpc}$	486	85%	858	64%	1170	49%		

**Notes.** We include the statistics for stars above the top reddening line of Fig. 1 (area with green circles) and up to four different distances. Specifically, the different columns indicate the total number of stars with  $B_{mag}$  up to 9, 10 and 11, and the associated percentage of observed stars relative to the total.

with a  $B_{\text{mag}} < 11$ . This was made to limit the catalog to stars with the same spectral types and magnitude range as in our sample (see also below). We also removed hypergiant, Be, and SB2+ stars identified as such in previous works, as they are not in our sample (see Sect. 2.1). Additionally, we removed missing stars identified as classical Be-stars in the BeSS (Neiner et al. 2011) and BeSOS (Arcos et al. 2021) databases, plus some other stars identified as such in SIMBAD. Last, we removed stars with a distance larger than 4000 pc, or a poor distance determination, which mostly corresponds to very bright stars with a large parallax uncertainty ( $\sigma_{\varpi}/\varpi \gtrsim 0.15$ ).

Table 1 summarizes the completeness of our sample relative to the ALS III catalog. We include information on the number



**Fig. 2.** HR diagrams showing the stars in our sample. From left to right, each panel limits the sample to stars within 1500, 2500, and 4000 pc of distance, respectively. The stars with  $v \sin i > 100 \text{ km s}^{-1}$  are indicated with cyan circles, whereas the rest are shown in orange. The typical uncertainties are indicated with an error bar in the lower-left corner of the central panel. For easier interpretation with other figures, each panel shows the four  $\log(L/L_{\odot})$  ranges considered for the analysis. Our proposed location for the TAMS is marked with a purple line in all panels (see Fig. 3 and Sect. 4.1). The evolutionary tracks are taken from the MIST web-tool for solar metallicity and no initial rotation. The right panel includes with an olive-colored dashed line a second-order polynomial fit to the points in the tracks where  $v_{crit}$  reaches 220 km s<sup>-1</sup>. A contour density mesh based on a Gaussian kernel is included to represent the density of points.

of stars and the observed percentages relative to the total for four different distances up to 4 kpc. Additionally, we separate stars by their  $B_{mag}$  into three groups. The percentage of analyzed stars with  $B_{mag} < 9$  consistently reaches  $\approx 90\%$  through all the distances considered. For stars with  $B_{mag} < 10$ , the percentage drops from  $\approx 80\%$  to  $\approx 60\%$  beyond 2 kpc (below 1 kpc, the total number is not relevant). Here, we must also take into account that, despite the high level of completeness claimed by the ALS III authors for stars up to 5 kpc and  $B_{mag} < 16$ , the further the distance, the higher the possibility of highly reddened stars along the line of sight not included in the catalog. In this regard de Burgos et al. (2023a) showed that up to 2 kpc, sources within the original sample of O9-B9 type stars could reach up to 8-9magnitudes of extinction and would still have  $B_{mag} < 16$ , reassuring a good level of completeness up to that distance.

Based on these results, we conclude that the completeness level achieved relative to the ALS III catalog is particularly good for stars with  $B_{mag} < 9$ , and it is sufficiently high up to 3 kpc (>50%) to study evolutionary aspects of massive stars, such as the extension of the MS (see Sect. 4.1). However, we considered a more conservative distance of 2.5 kpc as our "safe" distance to evaluate this and other aspects. In particular, this distance gives us a confident  $\geq 60\%$  completeness for all stars up to  $B_{mag} = 11$ . Another argument for adopting this value is that for distances above 3 kpc, there are fewer stars below  $B_{mag} = 9$  than the fainter ones. We also note that the percentages of stars analyzed are approximately similar for all the spectral types and for both hemispheres, eliminating the possibility of biases in that regard (see Appendix A.

#### 4. Results

#### 4.1. The Hertzsprung-Russell diagram: drop in density

One of the most robust empirical evidence of the location of the TAMS is the expected drop in the density of stars that populate the HR diagram as a function of  $T_{\text{eff}}$ . As explained in Sect. 1, this is a consequence of the rapid evolution of massive stars once they reach the end of the MS, the hydrogen is exhausted in their cores, and core nuclear burning stops. In this section, we investigate the occurrence of this feature using our sample of investigated stars.

Figure 2 shows three different HR diagrams with our sample of O2-B6 spectral type stars for which we were able to derive reliable fundamental parameters. Each one is limited to stars up to a different distance. The central panel shows those stars located up to 2500 pc, that is, to our considered "safe" distance in terms of completeness. This included a total of 643 stars. The right panel extends the distance to 4000 pc and includes 814 of the 832 stars with derived fundamental parameters (171 more than in the central panel). The left panel is limited to stars up to 1500 pc, and includes 212 stars.

Regarding the distribution of stars in the diagram, the most noticeable feature of the three panels is the apparent lower density of objects below 23-21 kK. To better characterize this feature, Fig. 3 shows the number of stars against their effective temperature on a grid of histograms that separate stars in different distances and luminosity ranges, as indicated in the panels (the latter ranges are also illustrated in Fig. 2). Looking at the histograms for stars within 2500 pc (middle column), those panels associated to stars with  $\log(L/L_{\odot}) < 5.35$  dex clearly show the presence of a consistent steep drop in their relative number below 22 kK. Although considerably smaller, the top panel also shows a drop at that  $T_{\rm eff}$  value. As discussed in Sect. 5 (see also Sect. 4.3), we interpret the drop in density as a consequence of



**Fig. 3.** Histograms of the number of stars in the sample with respect to their effective temperature. Cyan bins indicate stars with  $v \sin i > 100 \text{ km s}^{-1}$ . The top four rows of subpanels separate stars within the indicated range of  $\log(L/L_{\odot})$ . The three columns separate stars by their distances, as indicated in the top panel. All histograms include with a vertical line the position of the TAMS for the corresponding luminosity range as defined from the cumulative distribution for stars up to 2500 pc (see Sect. 4.1).

stars leaving the MS and, therefore, outlining the location of the TAMS.

To establish a uniform criterion for the position of the drop in  $T_{\rm eff}$ , we used the associated cumulative distribution of the central bottom panel of Fig. 3. In particular, for each luminosity range, we adopted the position in  $T_{\rm eff}$  where the tail of cooler stars represents 20% of the total. These positions are marked with a dashed vertical line in the central histograms and are also copied into the other histograms with stars up to 1500 and 4000 pc. We then used the same values to perform a linear fit, which is included in the three HR diagrams of Fig. 2. The fit has the form:  $\log(L/L_{\odot}) = 0.67 T_{\rm eff} - 10.46$  [dex], where  $T_{\rm eff}$  is given in kK.

Figure 3 shows that for stars with  $\log(L/L_{\odot}) < 5.0$  dex the adopted position of the drop is consistent either when restricting the sample to the fewer stars in the first 1500 pc, and also when including stars up to 4000 pc. Regarding the stars with  $\log(L/L_{\odot}) > 5.0$  dex within 1500 pc, we argue that despite the

higher degree of completeness, the statistical number of stars is not sufficient to show the drop, and this situation is much worse for stars with  $\log(L/L_{\odot}) > 5.35$  dex. Concerning stars within 4000 pc, it can be seen that for stars with  $\log(L/L_{\odot}) > 5.35$  dex, the drop is shifted towards cooler temperatures. This could be the result of missing a non-negligible number of stars that are intrinsically fainter on the hot side of the drop, as mid-B-type stars are intrinsically brighter than their early-B and O-type companions (in other words, caused by the Malmquist bias).

This result represents an important improvement over the previous reference work by Castro et al. (2014), who used a compilation of spectroscopic observations and results from the literature to show the distribution of 439 massive OB stars, for the first time, in a spectroscopic HR (sHR) diagram<sup>1</sup>.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> This diagram uses the quantity  $\mathcal{L}$ , defined as  $T_{\text{eff}}^4/g$ , to replace the luminosity in the traditional HR diagram (see Langer & Kudritzki 2014)

Comparing our results with those of Castro et al. (2014), we first point out that despite the fact that part of their sample populates the same parameter space as ours (see de Burgos et al. 2023b), their selection of stars was not created homogeneously and included targeted groups of stars of interest for specific studies. Additionally, observational biases due to the different intrinsic brightnesses of the stars are likely present in their work, whereas our volume-limited sample solves this issue. Furthermore, Castro et al. (2014) used the sHR diagram, while here we are directly using the HR diagram, combined with additional information on the spin-rate properties and binary fraction of the stars (see Sects. 4.2, and 4.4). We note that in the data compilation by Castro et al. (2014) the surface gravities were not corrected by centrifugal forces (see Herrero et al. 1992; Repolust et al. 2004), hence affecting the location of the investigated stars in the sHR diagram. In our case, since we are directly using luminosities instead of the parameter  $\mathcal{L}$ , the positions of the stars in the HR diagram depicted in this paper are more robust. Moreover, our sample up to 2500 pc comprises a factor two more stars than Castro et al. (2014) for the same  $T_{\rm eff}$  range.

Despite the differences, Castro et al. (2014) also found a drop in the density of stars below  $25 M_{\odot}$  at  $T_{\text{eff}} \approx 20 \text{ kK}$ . This was also interpreted as the location of the TAMS. However, for stars with  $25-40 \,\mathrm{M_{\odot}}$  the drop was located at  $T_{\mathrm{eff}} \approx 10 \,\mathrm{kK}$ . As shown in Figs. 2 and 3, our results indicate that the drop occurs throughout the range of luminosities and masses considered, and is located at approximately the same temperature (22-24 kK). The overdensity of stars shown in Castro et al. (2014) beyond 20 kK, which is not observed in any of the panels of Fig. 2, is likely caused by an observational bias originating from considering stars with available parameters from the literature rather than a volume-limited sample, as in our study. In particular, it is quite likely that their sample is biased towards brighter stars in the optical. Since mid-B-type stars are intrinsically brighter compared to early-B- and O-type counterparts and therefore observable at larger distances, this can explain the aforementioned overdensity.

Regarding the distribution of stars excluded from our sample (see Sect. 2.1), we expect Be stars to only populate the lower panels of Fig. 3, as classic Be stars mostly comprise early-B MS objects with luminosity classes III to V, and to do it evenly with  $T_{\rm eff}$  (see, e.g., Arcos et al. 2018). On the other hand, SB2+ systems represent a larger fraction in the O-star domain than in the parameter domain investigated here. In fact, Holgado et al. (2022) showed that  $\approx 30\%$  of their sample of Otype stars are SB2 systems, while in de Burgos et al. (2023a, see Fig. 6) we found that the percentage of SB2 systems among the B0-B6 supergiants drops down to  $\approx 5\%$ . As a result, we would expect a larger number of stars in those bins corresponding to  $T_{\rm eff} \gtrsim 30 \, \rm kK$ . We also note that the left-skewed distributions shown in Fig. 3, particularly for stars with  $\log(L/L_{\odot}) < 5.0 \text{ dex}$ , partially result from the effect of stars reaching different maximum  $T_{\rm eff}$  values along the zero-age main sequence (ZAMS) limit.

#### 4.2. Spin-rates of the sample

Another observational feature proposed to delineate the location of the TAMS is the presence of a drop in the upper envelope of the  $v \sin i$  distribution as a function of  $T_{\text{eff}}$  (Brott et al. 2011). Figure 4 shows our distribution of  $v \sin i$  values against  $T_{\text{eff}}$  separating stars in the same  $\log(L/L_{\odot})$  ranges as in Fig. 3. The figure indicates the location of the ZAMS and the empirical TAMS (the latter resulting from the investigation presented in Sect. 4.1), and



**Fig. 4.** Projected rotational velocities of stars in our sample against their effective temperature. In this case, the sample is not limited to any distance. The panels separate stars with different luminosities, as in Fig. 3, using the same color code. Solid black error bars and central circles indicate the 75% and 25% percentiles and the median values of stars in bins of 4 kK. The dashed gray error bars correspond to the 90% and 10% percentiles. The dotted line at 100 km s<sup>-1</sup> separates fast-rotating stars. The location of the TAMS is also included with a vertical dashed line. The olive-colored line corresponds to the critical velocity calculated from the models in Fig. 2. Stars showing double sub-peak emission in H $\alpha$  are marked with additional lime-colored crosses (see Appendix B). The gray area corresponds to the temperature range covered by the theoretical ZAMS in each luminosity range.

outlines the critical rotational velocity ( $v_{crit}$ ), that is the equatorial velocity at which the centrifugal force balances gravity, as a

function of  $T_{\rm eff}$  (see below). We also include the median  $v \sin i$  values for stars within bins of 4 kK (black-edge colored dots) and the associated 25% and 75% (black solid lines) and 10% and 90% (gray dashed lines) percentiles. Although a detailed discussion of the connection with the TAMS is presented in Sect. 5.1.1, here we highlight the three most interesting features.

The first is the separation of stars into two main components: a low- $v \sin i$  denser group of stars (colored circles) and a fast-rotating lesser-dense group (cyan circles; indicated also in Fig. 2). Following de Burgos et al. (2023b), we adopted a threshold value of  $v \sin i = 100 \text{ km s}^{-1}$  to separate both components. This separation is well known to be present in the O-star domain and extends towards the early-B supergiant domain (see, e.g., Holgado et al. 2022; de Burgos et al. 2023a, and references therein). Using as reference those stars within 2500 pc, we find a decreasing fraction of fast-rotating objects from  $\approx 46\%$  for stars with luminosities below 4.65 dex, to  $\approx$ 35% for stars between 5.0 and 4.65 dex, and to  $\approx 25\%$  for stars with luminosities higher than 5.0 dex. On average, 35% of the analyzed stars located on the hot side of the TAMS belong to the fast-rotating component. A further discussion of both components can be found in Sect. 5.1.2 and Sect. 5.3.

Another feature is the absence of fast-rotating objects below a certain  $T_{\rm eff}$ , located very close to the TAMS, which is especially evident in the middle panels. This can also be seen in Fig. 3, where fast-rotating stars are indicated in cyan bins in all histograms. In addition, the  $T_{\rm eff}$  that outlines the lack of these objects seems to shift with luminosity by a few thousand kelvins, with fast-rotating stars extending beyond the TAMS (in evolutionary terms) towards lower luminosities and vice versa. As discussed in Sect. 5.3, this behavior is similar to what is predicted in some evolutionary models of stars born with half of their critical velocity.

Also interesting, the maximum  $v \sin i$  values reached by stars as a function of  $T_{\text{eff}}$  (see Fig. 4) show a moderate decrease towards lower  $T_{\text{eff}}$ . This upper envelope closely follows the limit imposed by  $v_{\text{crit}}$ , which is shown with an olive-colored line, and has been calculated from the same models used in Fig. 2 using Eq. 2 in Langer (1998). These features are further discussed in Sect. 5.1.

#### 4.3. Comparison with evolutionary tracks

Three publicly available evolutionary calculations widely used in the literature are those of Brott et al. (2011); Ekström et al. (2012); Choi et al. (2016). Each uses different assumptions, prescriptions, or approximations to control internal and external physical processes. Figure 5 displays the evolutionary tracks of these models without initial rotation (top panel) and with considerable initial rotation ( $v_{ini}/v_{crit} = 0.4^2$ ; bottom panel). To be able to compare our empirical TAMS (purple dashed-dotted line) with the equivalent TAMS of the evolutionary models, we performed a polynomial fitting to those  $T_{\rm eff} - \log(L/L_{\odot})$  points on the coolest side of the hook towards the end of the MS (see Sect. 1 and Martinet et al. 2021). Since our empirical TAMS mixes stars with different rotations, the top panel includes for reference an additional TAMS where we removed all fast-rotating stars (red dashed-dotted line; hereafter SR-TAMS). This results in an almost vertical line at  $T_{\rm eff}$  =22.65 kK ( $\sigma$  =0.11 kK). Complementary, the bottom panel includes another TAMS where we limited our sample to fast-rotating objects (gold dashed-dotted line; hereafter FR-TAMS). In this case, the second-order polynomial



**Fig. 5.** HR diagrams showing different evolutionary tracks for solar metallicity together with different predictions for the location of the TAMS, as indicated in each legend. The top panel includes tracks with no initial rotation, and in the bottom panel track they correspond to  $v_{ini}/v_{crit}$  =0.4 (for tracks downloaded from Brott et al. (2011), we used an equivalent  $v_{ini} \approx 220 \,\mathrm{km \, s^{-1}}$ ). Intervals of the same age difference are included in all the evolutionary tracks. The gray density mesh in the background is based on a Gaussian kernel and includes our sample stars up to 2500 pc. Evolutionary tracks are limited up to the RSg phase (if applicable).

fit follows the form:  $\log(L/L_{\odot}) = -0.001385 T_{\text{eff}}^2 + 0.176 T_{\text{eff}} + 1.37 \text{ [dex]}$ , where again  $T_{\text{eff}}$  is in kK.

Starting with the top panel, the comparison with the models by Ekström et al. (2012) and Choi et al. (2016) shows that

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Models from Brott et al. (2011) were chosen to have  $v_{ini} \approx 220 \text{ km s}^{-1}$ 

in the 15–30  $M_{\odot}$  range, both predict a hotter effective temperature for the TAMS. However, both agree particularly well for the 12  $M_{\odot}$  and 40  $M_{\odot}$  tracks. In contrast, the TAMS predicted by Brott et al. (2011) is located at cooler temperatures in the full range of masses covered by our sample. Moreover, the models computed by Brott et al. (2011) significantly extend the width of the MS above the 25  $M_{\odot}$  track. This extended MS is also present in the other models; however, it becomes noticeable only above 40  $M_{\odot}$ . Unfortunately, the number of stars in our sample is not sufficient to draw any comparison above this mass. We note that, in this case without initial rotation, the difference between our TAMS and SR-TAMS is not substantial (see below).

In the bottom panel of Fig. 5, our TAMS only coincides with those of Ekström et al. (2012) and Choi et al. (2016) for masses  $\leq 15 M_{\odot}$ . Interestingly, our FR-TAMS overlaps very well with both sets of models up to  $20 M_{\odot}$ , and the agreement continues up to  $40 M_{\odot}$  in the case of models by Ekström et al. (2012). However, models by Ekström et al. (2012) for this initial rotation also predict an important spin-down of the stars near the MS. Therefore, an adequate comparison requires from further considerations regarding the evolution of the spin-rate properties of the stars. Again, the models of Brott et al. (2011) extend the width of the MS towards cooler temperatures in the entire range of covered masses.

We remark here that our definition of the TAMS has arbitrarily been adopted as the temperature that includes 20% of the coolest observed objects, but this depends, for example, on the number of objects located on the hot side of the TAMS. Therefore, the above comparison is affected by this definition. Despite this, the general comparison with the different models suggests that those of Ekström et al. (2012), but also of Choi et al. (2016) provide a better agreement with the observations (see also Sect. 5.3, where we discuss the reasons for the observed discrepancies).

#### 4.4. Map of SB1 objects in the HR diagram

As explored in McEvoy et al. (2015) using a sample of BSGs in the 30 Doradus region, the lack of SB1 systems beyond the TAMS can also provide additional constraints to shape its location in the HR diagram. Moreover, the lack of binaries on the cool side of the TAMS can add new clues about the nature of those objects.

We followed the guidelines presented in Simón-Díaz et al. (2024; see also Holgado et al. 2018) to separate the observed peak-to-peak amplitudes of the radial velocity caused by the intrinsic variability of the stars from true SB1 systems. In particular, the work by Simón-Díaz et al. (2024) establishes an effective temperature-luminosity  $RV_{pp}$  threshold for this separation, in addition to several visual guidelines and a minimum number of multi-epoch data to avoid erroneous detections.

We evaluated the presence of SB1 systems in our sample up to a distance of 2500 pc. We excluded stars with  $v \sin i > 200 \text{ km s}^{-1}$  because of the additional difficulty in determining the radial velocity in very broad lines and to avoid false cases. Figure 6 shows the location in the HR diagram of the 451 systems with available multi-epoch data where we identified 146 SB1 systems (green circles). We can see that they are spread mainly within the MS band of the HR diagram, with only a few stars beyond the position of the TAMS. Table 2 provides the number and percentage of SB1 systems in the HR diagram, separating the stars in the usual luminosity ranges. With respect to  $T_{\text{eff}}$ , we separated the stars into those located on the hot and cool side of the TAMS, and for those on the hot side, we also



Fig. 6. Similar HR diagram as in Fig. 2 highlighting with green circles those stars identified as SB1 from the sample.

Table 2. Summary properties of SB1 systems for stars within 2500 pc.

$log(L/L_{\odot})$ range	SB1 on MS	SB1 on MS $T_{\rm eff} > 34 \rm kK$	SB1 on MS $T_{\rm eff} < 34 \rm kK$	SB1 after TAMS
5.70-5.35	23	12	11	2
5.35-5.00	38	15	23	4
5.00-4.65	39	6	33	3
4.65 - 4.30	28	-	28	4
$\log(L/L_{\odot})$	% SB1	% SB1 MS	% SB1 MS	% SB1
range	on MS	$T_{\rm eff} > 34  \rm kK$	$T_{\rm eff} < 34  \rm kK$	after TAMS
5.70-5.35	33±11%	43±18%	27±14%	11±15%
5.35-5.00	41±10%	45±17%	39±12%	13±12%
5.00-4.65	38±9%	43±26%	37±10%	12±13%
4.65 - 4.30	38±11%	-	38±11%	21±18%

**Notes.** The uncertainties in the percentages were calculated using the standard deviation for the fraction of SB1 systems and a 95% confidence level.

divided the stars into those with  $T_{\rm eff}$  above and below 34 kK. For all stars within the MS band, the percentage of SB1 systems gradually increases towards lower luminosities. A more steep increase occurs for stars between 34 kK and the TAMS. The percentage of SB1 system beyond the TAMS is significantly lower than in the MS, particularly for the top luminosity bins; however, the lower bin is less representative as the number of objects is more limited. The percentage of SB1 systems also decreases with respect to  $T_{\rm eff}$ , remaining more constant towards lower luminosities. These percentages and the observed distribution is interpreted in Sect. 5.2.

#### 5. Discussion

Our results represent a significant improvement over the previous reference work of Castro et al. (2014) in the OB star domain. The significantly larger sample size, combined with its high degree of completeness, allowed us to propose a new location for the position of the TAMS in the massive star domain that can contribute to better constrain stellar evolution models. Moreover, our sample benefits from being analyzed in a homogeneous self-consistent manner, rather than a compilation of results from the literature as in Castro et al. (2014). In addition to this, the effective temperature below which the number of fast-rotating stars significantly decreases roughly outlines the position of the TAMS, which can further support its position in the HR diagram. Interestingly, the fraction of SB1 stars notably decreases on the cool side of the TAMS, and also seems to decrease within the MS band, which can affect the interpretation of the results.

#### 5.1. Spin-rate properties of the sample

Rotation in massive stars plays an important role in their entire evolution (Meynet & Maeder 2000; Heger & Langer 2000; Maeder & Meynet 2005; Yoon & Langer 2005; Langer 2012). It can enhance internal mixing processes that provide the stellar core with additional hydrogen, allowing stars to extend their time in the MS. Rotation can also trigger increased mass-loss rates, which can also affect their evolution and final fates. Therefore, understanding the observed spin-rate properties of massive stars is necessary to better constrain their evolution.

As indicated in Sect. 4.2, two main observable features are known to characterize the spin rates of luminous O- and B-type stars. One is the bimodal distribution of the projected rotational velocity (e.g. Conti & Ebbets 1977; Howarth et al. 1997; Dufton et al. 2013; Ramírez-Agudelo et al. 2013; Holgado et al. 2022; de Burgos et al. 2023b), which includes a main component of slowly-rotating stars and a secondary more extended tail of fastrotating objects. The latter component has been proposed to be products of binary interactions (e.g., Ramírez-Agudelo et al. 2013; de Mink et al. 2014; Ramírez-Agudelo et al. 2015; Holgado et al. 2022; de Burgos et al. 2023b). The second feature is the lack of fast-rotating stars below a certain temperature (see Howarth et al. 1997; Ryans et al. 2002; Vink et al. 2010; Simón-Díaz & Herrero 2014; McEvoy et al. 2015; de Burgos et al. 2023b). As indicated by different studies, this feature could be interpreted as the empirical location of the TAMS (see Vink et al. 2010; Brott et al. 2011; Martinet et al. 2021; de Burgos et al. 2023b). We explore this possibility further.

#### 5.1.1. The lack of fast-rotating stars beyond the TAMS

Previous representations of the  $v \sin i$  distribution against  $T_{\rm eff}$  have shown the presence of a clear drop from  $300-200 \,\rm km \, s^{-1}$  to  $70-30 \,\rm km \, s^{-1}$  of the most rapidly rotating objects around  $22-20 \,\rm kK$  (see, e.g., Vink et al. 2010; McEvoy et al. 2015; de Burgos et al. 2023b). This drop is followed by the lack of fast-rotating objects below these temperatures. The distributions shown in Fig. 4 for stars with  $\log(L/L_{\odot}) < 5.35$  dex also evidence this feature. However, the reason behind it is still debated and probably involves various physical processes.

On the one hand, massive stars leaving the MS should undergo an expansion of their envelopes and, in turn, also decrease their observed surface rotational velocities. However, this expansion is not sufficient to drastically reduce spin rates within the investigated  $T_{\rm eff}$  range where the drop occurs (which is of  $\approx 200 \,\mathrm{km \, s^{-1}}$ ; see, e.g., the second panel of Fig. 4).

On the other hand, stars with expanding envelopes and high spin rates near the TAMS can get too close to their critical rotational velocities (see, e.g., Meynet & Maeder 2006; Meynet et al. 2007). It is predicted that before reaching that point, stars expel enough material into the medium (e.g. Kurfürst et al. 2014), triggering a spin-down of the star's rotation (e.g. Georgy et al. 2011; de Mink et al. 2013). As shown in Fig. 4 (see also the right panel of Fig. 2), the critical rotation derived from the models follows the upper envelope of the  $v \sin i$  distribution. In particular, the top panel does not show any fast-rotating object beyond the TAMS. For the other panels, we could, in principle, expect to find some fast-rotating objects that have not yet reached their  $v_{crit}$ .

A third possibility is related to mass loss. In this respect, Vink et al. (2010) suggested that the bi-stability nature of the winds (see Pauldrach & Puls 1990; Vink et al. 1999, 2000) can lead to an important increase in the mass-loss rates at  $\approx$ 22 kK, and to a subsequent enhancement of the angular momentum loss (known as the "bi-stability braking"). However, in de Burgos et al. (2024) (see also Rubio-Díez et al. 2022; Krtička et al. 2024) we show that such an increase in the mass-loss rates (by a factor of ten to twenty) is not observed<sup>3</sup>. Therefore, we argue that the lack of fast-rotating stars is not due to this latter proposed mechanism.

These considerations leave different scenarios that can further explain our results. One possibility is that the lack of fastrotating objects is the result of a statistically lower number of stars beyond the TAMS, i.e., that detecting these objects is much more unlikely. This could be supported by considering that for stars with  $\log(L/L_{\odot}) = 4.65 - 5.35$  (where we account for more stars), the histograms of Fig. 3 show the largest difference in the relative number of stars when crossing the TAMS. In particular, for stars up to 2500 pc there are three times fewer stars between those within  $T_{\rm eff} = 28 - 23 \,\rm kK$  and those within  $T_{\rm eff} = 23 - 23 \,\rm kK$ 18 kK. Considering that the proportion of fast-rotating objects located within each range with respect to the total is 1:3, this exercise would result in only 12 fast-rotating objects below 22 kK. We account for eight objects meeting these conditions, which we consider sufficient to support this aforementioned possibility. We note that we did not account for the fact that some of the fast-rotating stars may not be seen as such due to a projection effect.

Another possibility, which can coexist with the one above, is that fast-rotating objects quickly spin-down once the spin-up is triggered (i.e., that the fast-rotating phase is short-staying in time). If the spin-up process occurs during the MS, then it would be very difficult to observe these objects beyond the TAMS. Although we further discuss the impact of binary interaction in Sect. 5.2, in that regard we anticipate that different types of binary interaction in fact result in a strong spin-up process followed by a quick (3-5 Ma) surface braking. Moreover, binary interaction is also expected to occur mainly when at least one of the components of the binary system is still on the MS (see de Mink et al. 2013, 2014). A third possibility is the presence of unconsidered effects or forces that can trigger a moderate braking toward the end of the MS. This can include, for example, the effect of magnetic fields (see Keszthelyi et al. 2020, 2022).

In conclusion, we argue that the lack of fast-rotating stars below a given temperature is not caused by enhanced mass-loss rates and may have its origin in two possible compatible expla-

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Newer prescriptions, such as those of Björklund et al. (2021), do not predict any enhancement near our empirical TAMS.

nations. One, that beyond the TAMS, the statistical number is not sufficient to observe them; and two, that if fast-rotating objects result from binary interaction within the MS, and the increased angular momentum only lasts for a short period of time, then it is unlikely to observe them beyond the TAMS. Either way, we argue that the drop of fast-rotating objects can also be used to outline the end of the MS.

#### 5.1.2. The evolution of $v \sin i$ along the MS

Figure 4 provides the largest  $v \sin i$  distribution of Galactic OB stars to date, which is combined with the largest  $T_{\text{eff}}$  and L coverage for stars homogeneously analyzed where the influence of observational biases is minimized. This allows us to confidently extend our discussion on how the stars within each of the two  $v \sin i$  components may evolve along the MS.

Interestingly, the median  $v \sin i$  values of the two top panels in Fig. 4 show similar trends. First, the values increase by some tens of km s<sup>-1</sup> to then slowly decrease towards the coldest effective temperatures. This trend is less obvious in the third panel, but may still be present, and it is certainly not the case of the bottom panel, which we discuss separately in Appendix D. We also note that the first median value may not be so representative as it overlaps with the position of the ZAMS, where the number of stars is significantly lower than for the other values. Excluding that first value, our results strongly suggest a slow-braking process occurring along the MS, which has already been empirically supported by the works of Holgado et al. (2022); Britavskiy et al. (2023); de Burgos et al. (2023b). Furthermore, the number of stars on the hot side of the TAMS with  $v \sin i \leq 100 \text{ km s}^{-1}$ (i.e. the low- $v \sin i$  component of the spin rate distribution) represents 65% of the total number. This large fraction may indicate that massive stars are born with low to mild initial rotations (not more than 0.2 of the critical velocity), as indicated also in the aforementioned works. However, we note that since we do not account for projection effects, the 67% may represent a lower limit.

In Sect. 4.2 we highlight the moderate decrease in the highest values of  $v \sin i$  with  $T_{\text{eff}}$  until the TAMS is reached (see Fig. 4; see also Sect. 5.1.1). In general, these highest values closely follow the limit imposed by the critical velocity, indicating that fast-rotating objects are ubiquitous within the MS. In this regard, the 90%-percentiles associated with the median values shown in Fig. 4 also provide a better statistical representation of this fact. Again, we note that the percentile corresponding to the first median value on the ZAMS is not well representative, whereas the rest of the values monotonically decrease toward lower temperatures. We noticed that the 90%-percentiles associated with the top panel (stars with  $log(L/L_{\odot}) = 5.7 - 5.35 dex$ ) are more separated from the  $v_{crit}$  than in the other panels<sup>4</sup>. A possible explanation for this could be related to the importance of mass loss with increasing luminosity and its connection with angular momentum loss (Maeder 2009). In this regard, de Burgos et al. (2024) showed that the difference in mass-loss rates between BSGs with  $\log(L/L_{\odot}) < 5.0$  dex and those with  $\log(L/L_{\odot}) > 5.3$  dex can be more than a factor of ten. If this is the case, stars with higher luminosities would lose angular momentum at a much higher rate than their less luminous counterparts.

#### 5.2. The impact of binaries

An important aspect to our understanding of massive stars is the impact that binary interaction has on the interpretation of the observed properties. This is particularly relevant as a result of the large proportion of objects that are predicted to interact with a companion during the MS (see Sana et al. 2012; de Mink et al. 2014).

Before discussing our results on the detected fraction of the SB1 system, it is important to remark that there is no definitive method to distinguish RV variations produced by stellar companions from those caused by stellar intrinsic variability, such as pulsations (see Bowman 2020, for a review). Moreover, this variability can have different physical origins, including pulsations that originate in the stellar interiors or strong stellar winds that originate in their atmospheres (see, e.g., Simón-Díaz et al. 2010; Aerts et al. 2017, 2018; Burssens et al. 2020; Simón-Díaz et al. 2024). Therefore, one has to be particularly careful, as the percentage of detected SB1 systems is highly dependent on the adopted threshold used to separate the effect of intrinsic variability from the variations linked to the orbital motion. In this work, we followed the approach of Simón-Díaz et al. (2024), which provides a robust study of the characteristic amplitude of RV variations produced by stellar pulsations in the O-stars and BSGs domains, allowing us to more confidently flag the likely presence of a stellar companion.

In Sect. 4.4, we present the fraction of SB1 in our sample and how this fraction changes along the MS and beyond the TAMS (see Table 2). These results show an average 37% of SB1 systems present within the MS, and that this percentage is reduced by  $\approx 25\%$  to an average 14% beyond the TAMS. This interesting result approaches that found by McEvoy et al. (2015) in the Tarantula Nebula, where no more SB1 systems were detected below a given temperature. In this work, we show that SB1 systems are also present beyond the TAMS, but their absolute number is very limited (13 out of 92 stars). We conclude that the lower percentage of SB1 systems beyond the TAMS can be used to delineate its position with respect to  $T_{eff}$ .

One of the predicted types of binary interaction involves that one of the stars in the system reaches a more evolved state in which its larger size leads to a mass transfer into the companion. The donor can then become a compact object (i.e., a black hole or neutron star) or a stripped helium star that may result in an SB1 system, merge with the gainer, or be kicked by a supernova explosion triggered by the secondary star. The latter two cases obviously do not produce an SB1 system. Either way, this type of interaction is less likely to happen outside the MS, as it would require both stars to have similar masses in order to reach the end of the MS at the same time (see Marchant & Bodensteiner 2023). Therefore, one would expect the number of SB1 systems to decrease significantly beyond MS, not only in relative terms, but also as a result of this less probable scenario. This scenario is supported by our findings.

The results of Table 2 indicate that the percentage of SB1 systems within the MS decreases towards lower temperatures. In particular from 44% above 34 kK to 34% below (on average). This situation could be explained as the result of the expansion of (at least) one of the stars and the subsequent merging in a binary system that would otherwise still be seen as an SB1, thus turning SB1 systems into mergers. Furthermore, the percentage of SB1 systems decreases in the highest luminosity bin. This case could be explained by the higher detection threshold of RV in the upper part of the HR diagram, which would eliminate some true SB1 systems. We also argue that the few SB1 systems beyond the

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> The two stars with  $v \sin i > 300 \text{ km s}^{-1}$  and  $T_{\text{eff}} < 30 \text{ kK}$  in the top panel both have  $\log(L/L_{\odot}) \approx 5.35$ , more compatible with the distribution for stars with  $\log(L/L_{\odot}) = 5.35 - 5.0 \text{ dex}$ .



**Fig. 7.** Histograms of the number spectroscopic binaries against spectral type or, equivalently,  $log_{10}(T_{\text{eff}})$ , limited to a distance of 2500 pc. The top panel include SB2+ systems for which the spectral type is taken from the primary star (see also Sect. 5.2 for further details). The middle panel is similar to the top one but including the SB1 systems detected from the RV<sub>pp</sub> variability. The bottom panel include the same stars as in the middle one, but we used the  $log(T_{\text{eff}})$  instead of the spectral type. In two panels on the top, the position of the TAMS is highlighted with a patch of purple lines, derived from the range of spectral types of stars within 1 kK of the average  $T_{\text{eff}}$  of the TAMS, which is shown in the bottom panel as a dashed purple line.

TAMS likely correspond to stars heading to the RSg phase, since this phase practically eliminates all detectable binaries beyond the TAMS (see de Mink et al. 2014).

Similarly as for SB1 systems, the presence of a drop in SB2+ systems on the cool side of the TAMS could provide additional evidence of its location. Although SB2+ systems were intentionally excluded from our sample, and therefore we do not count with reliable quantitative spectroscopic analysis of each individual component, we can still use the available information on their spectral types as a proxy (to some extent) of the corresponding effective temperatures.

The upper and middle histograms of Fig. 7 show the distribution of SB2+ and SB1 systems with respect to their spectral type<sup>5</sup>. Also in this case, SB2+ systems are limited to a distance of 2500 pc. For the former group, the sample is limited to B-type supergiants (a primary component of luminosity classes I and II) and O9-type stars (of any luminosity class). These include those systems identified in de Burgos et al. (2023a), plus some other systems found later from the acquisition of new spectra. The SB1 systems are limited to stars in our sample with O9-B7 spectral types. Both histograms show a reducing number of spectroscopic binaries towards mid-B-type supergiants, with the only exception of a lesser number of O9 SB1 systems. This decrease is followed by a steep drop after B2-type for both SB2+ and SB1 systems, which in both cases roughly coincides with the empirical location of the TAMS (see diagonal lines). To provide a rough idea of the comparison between  $T_{\rm eff}$  and spectral types, the bottom histogram of Fig. 7 shows this equivalency, where the drop of SB1 systems very well matches the position of the TAMS at  $log_{10}(T_{eff}) = 4.35 \text{ dex} (\approx 22.5 \text{ kK}; \text{ dashed purple line}).$ 

From this exercise, we conclude that the drop in SB2+ systems very well coincides with that of the SB1 systems and, therefore, could also be used as an indicator of stars reaching the TAMS. In fact, we also expect the number of SB2+ systems to decrease beyond the MS as a result of (at least) one of the stars in the system either turning into a compact object or interacting with the companion.

#### 5.3. Implications for stellar evolution models

Our combined results, including the drop in density in the HR diagram, the lack of fast-rotating stars and SB1 systems beyond that drop, all support the location of a new empirical TAMS at the position described in Sect. 4.1. In Sect. 4.3 we show that this position implies an extension or contraction of the width of the MS depending on the set of evolutionary models considered. Moreover, we showed that the location of the TAMS depends on the selection of stars based on their  $v \sin i$ . In this section, we further discuss the comparison with widely used publicly available stellar evolutionary models.

As we argued in Sect. 5.1.2, one possibility to explain the observed  $v \sin i$  distribution and the larger fraction of stars with  $v \sin i \leq 100 \text{ km s}^{-1}$  is that massive stars are born with low to mild initial rotation ( $v_{ini} \leq 150 \text{ km s}^{-1}$ ) and continue evolving while slowly decreasing their spin rates. This scenario is more compatible with the predictions of Brott et al. (2011) and Choi et al. (2016), where the effect of surface braking is much lower than in Ekström et al. (2012). Although the models of Ekström et al. (2012) and Choi et al. (2016) do not include an intermediate initial rotation  $(v_{ini}/v_{crit} \leq 0.2)$  we continue our discussion assuming that the available models without initial rotation (top panel of Fig. 5) are more representative for comparing the positions of the theoretical and empirical TAMS. In this regard, two important processes that significantly impact the width of the MS across all the masses considered here are the above-mentioned initial rotation and the amount of overshooting. Although other mechanisms such as semi-convection, inflation, rotationally induced chemical mixing, and magnetic fields also influence the MS, some are restricted to a specific range of masses (e.g. inflation for masses  $\gtrsim 30 \, M_{\odot}$ ; see Ishii et al. 1999; Köhler et al.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> The spectral classification was adopted from trustable sources when possible, or from the SIMBAD astronomical database
2015), or their influence also depends on additional assumptions (e.g. rotational mixing which relies on an efficient angular momentum transport; see Martinet et al. 2021). However, as shown by Keszthelyi et al. (2022), the effect of surface fossil magnetic fields can largely influence the evolution of stars, and certainly deserves further consideration. However, the models of Brott et al. (2011), Ekström et al. (2012), and Choi et al. (2016) do not account for this effect, and we decided not include it in our discussion.

This leaves the main difference for the position of the TAMS in Fig. 5 set by the overshooting parameter ( $\alpha_{ov}$ ). In the models of Brott et al. (2011), this parameter is fixed to  $\alpha_{ov}$  =0.335, whereas in Ekström et al. (2012), it takes the value of  $\alpha_{ov} = 0.10$ . For Choi et al. (2016), they use the exponential overshoot formalism, which for models without initial rotation, is equivalent to  $\alpha_{ov} \approx 0.20^6$ . In general, in all available models, the coefficients that regulate this parameter have been set to match either the empirical location of the drop in the relative number of stars (e.g., Ekström et al. 2012), or the drop in rotational velocities (e.g., Brott et al. 2011). However, the latter would be more risky under the aforementioned assumption of a low initial rotation, plus the additional uncertainty in the case that fast-rotating objects have their origin in binary interaction (see Sect. 5.2). Furthermore, the range of masses used to calibrate the coefficients in those models where overshooting is not mass-dependent is an additional source of discrepancy. This explains, for example, the better agreement between the models by Brott et al. (2011) and Ekström et al. (2012) for intermediate masses below  $\approx 15 M_{\odot}$ .

Recent work by Martinet et al. (2021) used the observational information presented in Castro et al. (2014) to investigate the sizes of the convective cores and constrain the amount of overshooting. Furthermore, different works (including that one) have shown that the size of the cores is also mass-dependent (e.g. Langer & Kudritzki 2014; Claret & Torres 2019; Tkachenko et al. 2020, 2024). Using our volume-limited sample and its high degree of completeness, we can contribute to a better definition of the width of the MS adding new constraints to finetune the overshooting parameter and its dependence on the initial mass for evolutionary masses from  $12 M_{\odot}$ , and up to  $40 \,\mathrm{M}_{\odot}$ . In this regard, we show in Sect. 4.3 that our empirical TAMS, as well as the SR-TAMS (that only includes objects with  $v \sin i < 100 \,\mathrm{km \, s^{-1}}$ ), both lie between the theoretical TAMS of Ekström et al. (2012) and Choi et al. (2016), and the one of Brott et al. (2011). Although tests are certainly required, this suggests a higher overshooting value in the first two cases and a lower value in the latter. Moreover, the lack of an overdensity of objects with  $25-40 M_{\odot}$  below  $\approx 20 \text{ kK}$  as shown in Castro et al. (2014) (see Sect. 4.1) also adds an important constraint for future models. Although some authors attributed the observed extension to the effect of inflation (e.g., Choi et al. (2016), Sanyal et al. 2017), this is no longer needed within that range of masses. Unfortunately, the number of stars in our sample is not sufficient to draw any conclusion above  $40 M_{\odot}$ .

Before moving further into our discussion, we must recall the possibility mentioned in Sect. 1 in which stars undergoing a blueward evolution may also be present in our sample. If this is the case and some of these stars overlap with those used here to define the position of the TAMS, it could lead to its incorrect definition. We evaluated this possibility using the predictions in Brott et al. (2011), Ekström et al. (2012), and Choi et al. (2016).

We found that Brott et al. (2011) do not predict stars evolving blueward, whereas the models of Choi et al. (2016) only predict them for  $v_{\text{ini}}/v_{\text{crit}} = 0.4$  and initial masses below  $12 \text{ M}_{\odot}$ , and above  $40 \,\mathrm{M}_{\odot}$ . For models of Ekström et al. (2012), we find their presence for masses below  $12 \, M_{\odot}$  (which corresponds to stars undergoing blue loops), and above  $32 M_{\odot}$  or  $20 M_{\odot}$  for models without rotation or with  $v_{ini}/v_{crit} = 0.4$ , respectively. Assuming the slow initial rotation scheme, the models of Ekström et al. (2012) for masses  $\gtrsim 32 M_{\odot}$  predict stars to quickly move blueward and then spend a non-negligible time ( $\approx 0.2 - 0.3$  Myr) in the 30 - 20 kK  $T_{\rm eff}$  range before reaching their final fates. If this is the case, then some of the stars in this  $T_{\rm eff}$  range could contaminate the distributions shown in Fig. 3 for stars with  $\log(L/L_{\odot}) \gtrsim 5.3$  dex. However, to further evaluate the nature of these objects (whether they are evolving towards the RSg phase or coming from it), additional clues from the surface chemical composition and the pulsational properties is required (see Bowman et al. 2019; de Burgos et al. Chapter  $6)^7$ .

As also mentioned in Sect. 1, the binary channel can also contribute to the observed distribution of single and SB1 stars used to define the TAMS (see, e.g., Menon et al. 2024). Unfortunately, our understanding of how different types of binary interaction spread over the HR diagram is still in an early stage. Nevertheless, we may expect that for those cases where the merging of two stars leads to a reconfiguration of the outcome core, the evolution follows the same path as for a more massive single star, thus not altering the drop in density.

The initial stellar rotation also influences the extension of the MS predicted by evolutionary models (see, e.g., Meynet & Maeder 2000; Brott et al. 2011; Martinet et al. 2021). Although, as pointed out in Sect. 4.3, we cannot directly compare our empirical FR-TAMS with the theoretical ones, our large sample compared to previous studies (e.g. Vink et al. 2010; Castro et al. 2014; McEvoy et al. 2015) allows us to withdraw one conclusion. If fast-rotating stars retain their spin rates (regardless if they got it as a result of binary interaction, or they were born as such), then rotationally induced effects seem to shorten the width of the MS for higher masses and extend it for lower ones. This behavior could be similar to what predicted by Ekström et al. (2012) for  $v_{ini}/v_{crit}$  0.4. However, in that case, the models by Ekström et al. (2012) also predict an important spin-down on the initial part of the MS.

The comparison with the different models thus suggests that a revision of the overshooting is required in all the cases to match with our empirical TAMS, which is a conclusion also supported by asteroseismic studies of massive stars (see Burssens et al. 2023). In the case of Ekström et al. (2012) and Choi et al. (2016), the overshooting should be adjusted to further extend the width of the MS to cooler temperatures for masses between 15 to  $30 M_{\odot}$ , whereas models by Brott et al. (2011) appear to require further adjustments.

#### 6. Conclusions and future work

The unresolved question regarding whether BSGs are MS or post-MS objects arose over three decades ago due to the observed overdensity of BSGs situated beyond the theoretical location of the TAMS. To date, we now know that a significant fraction of BSGs are likely hydrogen-burning stars and that a significant fraction of their observed population may be binary products. However, we do not have a clear definition of the location of the end of the MS, situation that gets worse considering

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> We note that this comparison is not straightforward in models with rotation because it induces diffusive processes that contribute to chemical mixing and thus the length of the MS.

 $<sup>^{7}</sup>$  This is a temporary internal reference for the purpose of this thesis.

that, appart from single MS stars, additional evolutionary channels can also populate the BSGs domain, making a very difficult task to disentangle the different groups. A key to improving this situation requires high-quality statistically significant volumelimited samples of BSGs analyzed homogeneously.

In this work, we performed a selection of 643 spectroscopically-observed Galactic O-stars and BSGs. Using the ALS III catalog as reference, we found that our sample comprises  $\approx 60\%$  of all massive stars within 2500 pc and  $B_{mag} < 11$  that are born as O-type stars and reach the B6 spectral type. This results is the most complete spectroscopic-analyzed sample of Galactic massive stars analyzed to date. Combining the results of the quantitative spectroscopic analysis with the *Gaia* distances, we were able to derive their absolute luminosities. This has allowed us to represent the sample in the HR diagram and analyze its properties in the context of stellar evolution.

Our results evidenced a drop in the density of stars below 22-25 kK and for luminosities between 4.3 and 5.7 dex. This range corresponds to initial masses between 12 and  $40 \text{ M}_{\odot}$ . We interpret this drop as the location of the TAMS. Moreover, this drop is still present when the volume of our sample is reduced to 1500 pc or increased to 4000 pc. This is an important improvement over previous studies that attempted to empirically locate the TAMS. We particularly improve the situation for initial masses above  $\approx 25 \text{ M}_{\odot}$ , where the TAMS was suggested to be at  $T_{\text{eff}} \approx 10 \text{ kK}$ .

We studied the projected equatorial velocities of the stars in our sample with respect to  $T_{\rm eff}$  and  $\log(L/L_{\odot})$ . We identified a clear separation between fast-rotating and slow-rotating objects at  $\approx 100 \text{ km s}^{-1}$ . We found an important lack of fast-rotating stars on the cool side of the TAMS, almost outlining its position. This situation has been suggested to be the result of stars leaving the MS. In that regard, we evaluated different processes and effects potentially involved and concluded that the lack of fastrotating objects is likely due to a combination of stars reaching near-critical velocity and the result of two compatible scenarios. One is that, as a result of stars crossing the TAMS, the number of stars, including the fast-rotating objects, significantly decreases. The other one is that if fast-rotating objects are products of binary interaction within the MS, and they quickly spin-down once the spin-up is triggered during this interaction, then it is unlikely to observe them. Based on the latest findings on mass-loss rates in BSGs, we do not find a connection between the potential associated braking effect and the lack of fast-rotating objects. However, we find that the  $T_{\rm eff}$  at which fast-rotating stars are no longer present varies with  $\log(L/L_{\odot})$ , with cooler fast-rotating stars towards lower  $\log(L/L_{\odot})$ , even beyond the TAMS. We argue that this could be a consequence of rotationally induced effects that change the width of the MS.

Based on the distribution of  $v \sin i$  values with  $T_{\text{eff}}$ , we argue that the evolution and the larger fraction of the slowly-rotating component may be an indicator that massive stars enter the MS with low to mild initial rotations ( $v_{\text{ini}}/v_{\text{crit}} \leq 0.2$ ). This would be further supported under the assumption that the fast-rotating component mainly emerges from binary interaction.

Using multi-epoch spectra, the associated peak-to-peak RV variations, and an adequate diagnostic of the intrinsic variability of O-stars and BSGs, we were able to find 146 SB1 systems within 451 objects in our sample. We find an average of 37% of SB1 systems within the MS, which is significantly reduced to 14% beyond the TAMS, further delineating its position in the HR diagram. We also find a smaller decrease within the MS, which we suggest to be a consequence of the evolution of SB1 systems

into mergers. We found that the behavior of SB2+ systems with  $T_{\text{eff}}$  is qualitatively similar to that of SB1 systems.

We compared the location of the theoretical TAMS in reference sets of evolutionary models from Brott et al. (2011), Ekström et al. (2012), and Choi et al. (2016) with its empirical location obtained in this work. Our results suggest a revision of the overshooting parameter for nonrotating models, with higher overshooting values in the first two sets of models and a lower value in the latter set. We show that the behavior of the FR-TAMS is similar to that displayed by the theoretical TAMS in the models of Ekström et al. (2012).

The results presented in this work provide strong empirical constraints for improving stellar evolution models. Particularly with respect to the width of the MS in the massive star domain. Although our results also agree with the possibility that fast-rotating stars may have binary origin, further investigation is required to confirm this hypothesis. Furthermore, stars evolving blueward may also populate the upper part of the HR diagram near the TAMS. Our next steps will bring additional clues from the surface abundances and the analysis of the pulsational properties of the stars in our sample to further test these possibilities. Moreover, the fraction of spectroscopic binaries within our sample demands further investigation and will likely add important constraints on the frequency of binary interaction in massive star evolution.

Acknowledgements. AdB and SS-D acknowledge support from the Spanish Ministry of Science and Innovation (MICINN) through the Spanish State Research Agency through grants PID2021-122397NB-C21, and the Severo Ochoa Programme 2020-2023 (CEX2019-000920-S). Regarding the observing facilities, this research is based on observations made with the Mercator Telescope, operated by the Flemish Community at the Observatorio del Roque de los Muchachos (La Palma, Spain), of the Instituto de Astrofísica de Canarias. In particular, obtained with the HERMES spectrograph, which is supported by the Research Foundation - Flanders (FWO), Belgium, the Research Council of KU Leuven, Belgium, the Fonds National de la Recherche Scientifique (F.R.S.-FNRS), Belgium, the Royal Observatory of Belgium, the Observatoire de Genève, Switzerland, and the Thüringer Landessternwarte Tautenburg, Germany. This research also based on observations with the Nordic Optical Telescope, owned in collaboration by the University of Turku and Aarhus University, and operated jointly by Aarhus University, the University of Turku and the University of Oslo, representing Denmark, Finland and Norway, the University of Iceland and Stockholm University, at the Observatorio del Roque de los Muchachos, of the Instituto de Astrofísica de Canarias. This work has made use of data from the European Space Agency (ESA) mission Gaia (https://www. cosmos.esa.int/gaia), processed by the Gaia Data Processing and Analysis Consortium (DPAC, https://www.cosmos.esa.int/web/gaia/dpac/ consortium). Funding for the DPAC has been provided by national institutions, in particular, the institutions participating in the Gaia Multilateral Agreement.

#### References

- Aerts, C., Bowman, D. M., Símon-Díaz, S., et al. 2018, MNRAS, 476, 1234
- Aerts, C., Símon-Díaz, S., Bloemen, S., et al. 2017, A&A, 602, A32
- Arcos, C., Kanaan, S., Chávez, J., et al. 2018, MNRAS, 474, 5287
- Arcos, C., Kanaan, S., Chavez, J., et al. 2021, VizieR Online Data Catalog: Be-SOS Be stars stellar parameters (Arcos+, 2018), VizieR On-line Data Cata-
- log: J/MNRAS/474/5287. Originally published in: 2018MNRAS.474.5287A Babusiaux, C., Fabricius, C., Khanna, S., et al. 2023, A&A, 674, A32
- Bailer-Jones, C. A. L., Rybizki, J., Fouesneau, M., Demleitner, M., & Andrae, R. 2021, AJ, 161, 147
- Björklund, R., Sundqvist, J. O., Puls, J., & Najarro, F. 2021, A&A, 648, A36
- Blaha, C. & Humphreys, R. M. 1989, AJ, 98, 1598
- Bowman, D. M. 2020, in Stars and their Variability Observed from Space, ed.
- C. Neiner, W. W. Weiss, D. Baade, R. E. Griffin, C. C. Lovekin, & A. F. J. Moffat, 53–59
- Bowman, D. M., Burssens, S., Pedersen, M. G., et al. 2019, Nature Astronomy, 3, 760
- Britavskiy, N., Simón-Díaz, S., Holgado, G., et al. 2023, A&A, 672, A22
- Brott, I., de Mink, S. E., Cantiello, M., et al. 2011, A&A, 530, A115
- Burssens, S., Bowman, D. M., Michielsen, M., et al. 2023, Nature Astronomy, 7, 1138

Article number, page 13 of 15

- Burssens, S., Simón-Díaz, S., Bowman, D. M., et al. 2020, A&A, 639, A81
- Castro, N., Fossati, L., Langer, N., et al. 2014, A&A, 570, L13
- Chabrier, G. 2005, in Astrophysics and Space Science Library, Vol. 327, The Initial Mass Function 50 Years Later, ed. E. Corbelli, F. Palla, & H. Zinnecker, 41
- Choi, J., Dotter, A., Conroy, C., et al. 2016, ApJ, 823, 102
- Claret, A. & Torres, G. 2019, ApJ, 876, 134
- Conti, P. S. & Ebbets, D. 1977, ApJ, 213, 438
- de Burgos, A., Keszthelyi, Z., Simón-Díaz, S., & Urbaneja, M. A. 2024, arXiv e-prints, arXiv:2405.09868
- de Burgos, A., Simon-Díaz, S., Lennon, D. J., et al. 2020, A&A, 643, A116
- de Burgos, A., Simón-Díaz, S., Urbaneja, M. A., & Contreras, M. Chapter 6, PhD thesis
- de Burgos, A., Simón-Díaz, S., Urbaneja, M. A., & Negueruela, I. 2023a, A&A, 674, A212
- de Burgos, A., Simón-Díaz, S., Urbaneja, M. A., & Puls, J. 2023b, arXiv e-prints, arXiv:2312.00241
- de Mink, S. E., Langer, N., Izzard, R. G., Sana, H., & de Koter, A. 2013, ApJ, 764, 166
- de Mink, S. E., Sana, H., Langer, N., Izzard, R. G., & Schneider, F. R. N. 2014, ApJ, 782, 7
- Dijkstra, M. & Wyithe, J. S. B. 2007, MNRAS, 379, 1589
- Dotter, A. 2016a, ApJS, 222, 8
- Dotter, A. 2016b, ApJS, 222, 8
- Dufton, P. L., Langer, N., Dunstall, P. R., et al. 2013, A&A, 550, A109
- Ekström, S., Georgy, C., Eggenberger, P., et al. 2012, A&A, 537, A146
- Fitzpatrick, E. L. & Garmany, C. D. 1990, ApJ, 363, 119
- Gaia Collaboration, Prusti, T., de Bruijne, J. H. J., et al. 2016, A&A, 595, A1
- Gaia Collaboration, Vallenari, A., Brown, A. G. A., et al. 2022, arXiv e-prints, arXiv:2208.00211
- Georgy, C., Ekström, S., Eggenberger, P., et al. 2013, A&A, 558, A103
- Georgy, C., Meynet, G., & Maeder, A. 2011, A&A, 527, A52
- Heger, A. & Langer, N. 2000, ApJ, 544, 1016
- Heger, A., Woosley, S. E., & Spruit, H. C. 2005, ApJ, 626, 350
- Herrero, A., Kudritzki, R. P., Vilchez, J. M., et al. 1992, A&A, 261, 209
- Herrero, A., Puls, J., & Najarro, F. 2002, A&A, 396, 949
- Holgado, G., Simón-Díaz, S., Barbá, R. H., et al. 2018, A&A, 613, A65
- Holgado, G., Simón-Díaz, S., Haemmerlé, L., et al. 2020, A&A, 638, A157
- Holgado, G., Simón-Díaz, S., Herrero, A., & Barbá, R. H. 2022, A&A, 665, A150 Howarth, I. D., Siebert, K. W., Hussain, G. A. J., & Prinja, R. K. 1997, MNRAS,
- 284, 265
- Hunter, I., Lennon, D. J., Dufton, P. L., et al. 2008, A&A, 479, 541
- Ishii, M., Ueno, M., & Kato, M. 1999, PASJ, 51, 417
- Kaufer, A., Wolf, B., Andersen, J., & Pasquini, L. 1997, The Messenger, 89, 1
- Keszthelyi, Z., de Koter, A., Götberg, Y., et al. 2022, MNRAS, 517, 2028
- Keszthelyi, Z., Meynet, G., Shultz, M. E., et al. 2020, MNRAS, 493, 518
- Köhler, K., Langer, N., de Koter, A., et al. 2015, A&A, 573, A71 Krtička, J., Kubát, J., & Krtičková, I. 2024, A&A, 681, A29
- Kudritzki, R. P., Bresolin, F., & Przybilla, N. 2003, ApJ, 582, L83
- Kudritzki, R.-P. & Puls, J. 2000, ARA&A, 38, 613
- Kudritzki, R. P., Puls, J., Lennon, D. J., et al. 1999, A&A, 350, 970
- Kurfürst, P., Feldmeier, A., & Krtička, J. 2014, A&A, 569, A23
- Langer, N. 1998, A&A, 329, 551
- Langer, N. 2012, ARA&A, 50, 107
- Langer, N. & Kudritzki, R. P. 2014, A&A, 564, A52
- Maeder, A. 1981, A&A, 101, 385
- Maeder, A. 2009, Physics, Formation and Evolution of Rotating Stars
- Maeder, A. & Meynet, G. 2000, ARA&A, 38, 143
- Maeder, A. & Meynet, G. 2005, A&A, 440, 1041
- Marchant, P. & Bodensteiner, J. 2023, arXiv e-prints, arXiv:2311.01865
- Markova, N., Puls, J., Simón-Díaz, S., et al. 2014, A&A, 562, A37
- Martinet, S., Meynet, G., Ekström, S., et al. 2021, A&A, 648, A126
- McEvoy, C. M., Dufton, P. L., Evans, C. J., et al. 2015, A&A, 575, A70
- Menon, A., Ercolino, A., Urbaneja, M. A., et al. 2024, ApJ, 963, L42
- Mermilliod, J. C. 2006, VizieR Online Data Catalog: Homogeneous Means in the UBV System (Mermilliod 1991), VizieR On-line Data Catalog: II/168. Originally published in: Institut d'Astronomie, Universite de Lausanne (1991)
- Meynet, G., Ekström, S., Maeder, A., & Barblan, F. 2007, in Astronomical Society of the Pacific Conference Series, Vol. 361, Active OB-Stars: Laboratories for Stellare and Circumstellar Physics, ed. A. T. Okazaki, S. P. Owocki, & S. Stefl, 325
- Meynet, G. & Maeder, A. 2000, A&A, 361, 101
- Meynet, G. & Maeder, A. 2005, A&A, 429, 581
- Meynet, G. & Maeder, A. 2006, in Astronomical Society of the Pacific Conference Series, Vol. 355, Stars with the B[e] Phenomenon, ed. M. Kraus & A. S. Miroshnichenko, 27
- Neiner, C., de Batz, B., Cochard, F., et al. 2011, AJ, 142, 149
- Nomoto, K., Kobayashi, C., & Tominaga, N. 2013, ARA&A, 51, 457

Article number, page 14 of 15

- Pantaleoni González, M., Maíz Apellániz, J., Barbá, R. H., & Reed, B. C. 2021, MNRAS, 504, 2968
- Pauldrach, A. W. A. & Puls, J. 1990, A&A, 237, 409
- Paxton, B., Cantiello, M., Arras, P., et al. 2013, ApJS, 208, 4
- Paxton, B., Marchant, P., Schwab, J., et al. 2015, ApJS, 220, 15
- Perryman, M. A. C., Lindegren, L., Kovalevsky, J., et al. 1997, A&A, 323, L49
- Puls, J., Najarro, F., Sundqvist, J. O., & Sen, K. 2020, A&A, 642, A172
- Puls, J., Urbaneja, M. A., Venero, R., et al. 2005, A&A, 435, 669
- Ramírez-Agudelo, O. H., Sana, H., de Mink, S. E., et al. 2015, A&A, 580, A92
- Ramírez-Agudelo, O. H., Simón-Díaz, S., Sana, H., et al. 2013, A&A, 560, A29
- Raskin, G., van Winckel, H., Hensberge, H., et al. 2011, A&A, 526, A69 Repolust, T., Puls, J., & Herrero, A. 2004, A&A, 415, 349
- Rivero González, J. G., Puls, J., & Najarro, F. 2011, A&A, 536, A58
- Rubio-Díez, M. M., Sundqvist, J. O., Najarro, F., et al. 2022, A&A, 658, A61
- Ryans, R. S. I., Dufton, P. L., Rolleston, W. R. J., et al. 2002, MNRAS, 336, 577
- Salpeter, E. E. 1955, ApJ, 121, 161
- Sana, H., de Mink, S. E., de Koter, A., et al. 2012, Science, 337, 444
- Santolaya-Rey, A. E., Puls, J., & Herrero, A. 1997, A&A, 323, 488
- Sanyal, D., Langer, N., Szécsi, D., -C Yoon, S., & Grassitelli, L. 2017, A&A, 597, A71
- Schootemeijer, A., Langer, N., Grin, N. J., & Wang, C. 2019, A&A, 625, A132 Simón-Díaz, S., Britavskiy, N., Castro, N., Holgado, G., & de Burgos, A. 2024, arXiv e-prints, arXiv:2405.11209
- Simón-Díaz, S. & Herrero, A. 2014, A&A, 562, A135
- Simón-Díaz, S., Herrero, A., Uytterhoeven, K., et al. 2010, ApJ, 720, L174
- Simón-Díaz, S., Pérez Prieto, J. A., Holgado, G., de Burgos, A., & Iacob Team. 2020, in XIV.0 Scientific Meeting (virtual) of the Spanish Astronomical Society, 187
- Skrutskie, M. F., Cutri, R. M., Stiening, R., et al. 2006, AJ, 131, 1163
- Smith, N. 2014, ARA&A, 52, 487
- Stothers, R. & Chin, C. W. 1975, ApJ, 198, 407
- Sundqvist, J. O., Simón-Díaz, S., Puls, J., & Markova, N. 2013, A&A, 559, L10
- Telting, J. H., Avila, G., Buchhave, L., et al. 2014, Astronomische Nachrichten, 335.41
- Tkachenko, A., Pavlovski, K., Johnston, C., et al. 2020, A&A, 637, A60
- Tkachenko, A., Pavlovski, K., Serebriakova, N., et al. 2024, A&A, 683, A252 van Leeuwen, F. 2007, A&A, 474, 653
- Vink, J. S., Brott, I., Gräfener, G., et al. 2010, A&A, 512, L7
- Vink, J. S., de Koter, A., & Lamers, H. J. G. L. M. 1999, A&A, 350, 181
- Vink, J. S., de Koter, A., & Lamers, H. J. G. L. M. 2000, A&A, 362, 295
- Weis, K. & Bomans, D. J. 2020, Galaxies, 8, 20
- Yoon, S. C. & Langer, N. 2005, A&A, 443, 643



**Fig. A.1.** Histogram of the number of stars within 2500 pc located above the top reddening line of Fig. 1 in bins of spectral type. As in that figure, we use green and gray colors to separate analyzed stars in our sample from missing stars listed in the ALS III catalog (see Sect. 3 for further details). The dashed pattern within the missing sources corresponds to observed stars that have not been analyzed.

#### Appendix A: Further notes on the completeness of the sample

In Sect. 3 we evaluated the completeness level of the stars analyzed with respect to the ALS III catalog. Figure A.1 extends this by showing the observed and missing stars within 2500 pc, separated by their spectral type. We do this to further evaluate the potential existence of biases in the distribution of stars (e.g., in the HR diagrams) that may lead to misinterpretations of our results. We see that for most of the spectral types, the percentage of observed stars is higher than the missing ones. Only spectral types B5 and B6 have more missing objects. Furthermore, for O9- and B1-type stars that hold more than 40 missing objects, the number of observed stars is  $\approx 25\%$  and  $\approx 50\%$  larger, respectively. The B0-type gathers more missing stars than any other group. The associated mean  $T_{\rm eff}$  of the observed objects that corresponds to this spectral type is 28 kK. Interestingly, this corresponds to a temperature close to the TAMS, on the hot side. Having these stars would probably strengthen the position of the TAMS respect to what already shown.

Furthermore, the dashed pattern included in the bins of Fig. A.1 indicates stars for which we have available spectra, but we were unable to provide reliable estimates of the parameters. This fact has not been taken into account in the completeness analysis of Sect. 3 as we only aim to evaluate the level of completeness of the analyzed stars regardless of whether we have observed them or not. However, we can see that for many of the spectral types, they represent an important fraction of the missing objects. These cases usually correspond to peculiar objects or with problematic features in the spectra (e.g., strong nebular emission) or even stars simply not yet analyzed. Based on these results, we conclude that our results are valid for the interpretations made in this work.

#### Appendix B: Evidence of disks near critical velocity

Oe and Be stars that exhibit disks are not present in our sample because of the impossibility of analyzing these objects with con-

ventional 1-D atmospheric models (see Sect. 2). Despite this, in de Burgos et al. (2023b) we were able to analyze some objects exhibiting a small double sub-peak structure in the H $\alpha$  line, suggesting the presence of some material around them. Looking at Fig. 15 of de Burgos et al. (2023b), we observed that the location of these objects in the sHR diagram shifts with  $T_{\rm eff}$  and  $\log(\mathcal{L}/\mathcal{L}_{\odot})$  in a similar way as does the drop of fast-rotating stars in the HR diagram<sup>8</sup>. To further explore this connection, we marked with lime crosses in Fig. 4 those stars flagged in de Burgos et al. (2023b) for having such sub-peak structure. Interestingly, we can see that most of them are located close to their  $v_{\text{crit.}}$  As explained in de Mink et al. (2013), a consequence of stars reaching near-critical rotation is the formation of a near-Keplerian disk, which helps stars to decrease their angular momentum. We suggest that our results indicate clear evidence of this phenomenon.

#### Appendix C: The minimum observed spin-rates increasing with luminosity

The associated 10%-percentiles of the top three panels of Fig. 4, representative of the low- $v \sin i$  component (Sect. 4.2), clearly show an almost flat trend, with a slow decay of  $\approx 20 \text{ km s}^{-1}$  from the hot- to the cool-end of temperatures in the top two panels. Although the connection between the minimum measured  $v \sin i$ values with the spectroscopic luminosity (Holgado et al. 2022; de Burgos et al. 2023b) or the evolutionary mass (Markova et al. 2014) has already been shown, our results display for the first time this characteristic with respect to the luminosity. For completeness, the average values of the 10%-percentiles drop by  $\approx 10$  km s<sup>-1</sup> from the top to the third panel. However, as pointed out in Sundqvist et al. (2013) (see also Simón-Díaz & Herrero 2014), we may be overestimating our measured values in the low-velocity regime as a consequence of additional turbulent motions present in the star's atmospheres (namely micro- and macroturbulence).

## Appendix D: Spin-rate properties of stars with $log(L/L_{\odot}) = 4.65 - 4.3$

The bottom panel of Fig. 4 has some characteristics that are different from the other panels. It is the only panel in which the median values are above the threshold limit of  $100 \text{ km s}^{-1}$ , resulting from the smaller fraction of stars in the low- $v \sin i$  component (see the corresponding panels of Fig. 3). Although this characteristic is not new (see, e.g., Hunter et al. 2008; Holgado et al. 2022), the higher number of fast-rotating stars allows us to better see the "diagonal" decrease of  $v \sin i$  in the 25 - 17 kK range. This panel also shows that most of the stars beyond the TAMS are fast-rotating objects. However, we note the lack of stars in the 21 - 15 kK range, for which we do not find a specific reason, but it could be related to the selection of stars with spectroscopic luminosities with  $\log(\mathcal{L}/\mathcal{L}_{\odot}) > 3.5 \text{ dex}$  in de Burgos et al. (2023b). The inclusion of additional stars in that  $T_{\text{eff}}$  range would shift the location of the TAMS towards cooler temperatures.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup> We note that the presence of a disk might affect the apparent magnitude of these objects and possibly lead to lesser reliable distances. However, we decided to include them.

# 6

## On the properties and evolutionary nature of Galactic B-type supergiants around the TAMS

He is glorified not in one, but in countless suns, not in a single earth... ...but in a thousand thousand, I say in an infinity of worlds. Giordano Bruno

This chapter includes the content of a paper at an advance stage of preparation that will be submitted to Astronomy and Astrophysics.

### The IACOB project

#### On the properties and evolutionary nature of Galactic B-type supergiants around the Terminal Age Main Sequence

A. de Burgos<sup>1,2</sup>, S. Simón-Díaz<sup>1,2</sup>, M. A. Urbaneja<sup>3</sup>, M. Contreras<sup>4</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Universidad de La Laguna, Dpto. Astrofísica, E-38206 La Laguna, Tenerife, Spain

<sup>2</sup> Instituto de Astrofísica de Canarias, Avenida Vía Láctea, E-38205 La Laguna, Tenerife, Spain

<sup>3</sup> Universität Innsbruck, Institut für Astro- und Teilchenphysik, Technikerstr. 25/8, A-6020 Innsbruck, Austria

<sup>4</sup> Facultad de Química, Universidad de Murcia, C. Campus Universitario, 5, E-30100 Murcia, Spain

Received 2024 / Accepted ----

#### ABSTRACT

*Context.* The evolutionary nature of B-type supergiants remains a long-standing problem for our understanding of massive star evolution. Although different theoretical models and physical mechanisms are able to explain the diversity of observed properties, we do not have a definitive strategy for disentangling stars following different evolutionary channels.

*Aims.* To improve the situation by bringing together information on the physical, chemical, kinematical and pulsational properties of the largest sample of Galactic B-type supergiants studied to date. Ultimately, our goal is to find new clues about the nature and properties of these objects.

*Methods.* Within a sample of 729 stars, we used the projected rotational velocity to identify fast-rotating objects, the effective temperature and surface gravities combined with *Gaia* distances to obtain the stellar parameters, multi-epoch spectra to detect SB1 systems, chemical surface abundances to identify contaminated atmospheres, and TESS photometric light curves to evaluate the asteroseismic information contained in the detected variability.

*Results.* We find an important but systematic mass discrepancy between spectroscopic and evolutionary masses affecting most stars in the sample. We do not find clear evidence of stars evolving bluewards from the red supergiant (RSG) phase within our sample. We find products of binary interaction as the most likely nature of those stars displaying helium-enriched atmospheres and largest N/C-N/O ratios, particularly we find merger candidates in a group of stars located near and after the terminal-age main sequence (TAMS). Other stars on the cool side of the TAMS are also more compatible with stars evolving from the main sequence. We find a group of stars located before the TAMS and with a smaller mass discrepancy, with the highest percentage of SB1 systems (59%), of which 80% correspond to fast-rotating stars with normal surface abundances, suggesting a past main-sequence binary interaction. We study how the pulsational properties change with evolution in the B-type supergiant domain, particularly when crossing the TAMS. We compare our results with theoretical predictions in which pulsations are produced by coherent heat-driven modes and convectively driven gravity waves.

Key words. Stars: massive – supergiants – stars: fundamental parameters – abundances – stars: evolution – binaries: spectroscopic

#### 1. Introduction

Blue supergiants have been puzzling the massive star community for more than 30 years. They were once thought to be stars that had completed their main sequence (MS) and red supergiant (RSG) phases, evolving blueward in the classical Hertzsprung-Russell (HR) diagram (Fitzpatrick & Garmany 1990; Langer 1992). B-type supergiants were also once thought to be stars that evolve as single objects (Humphreys 1978). To date, we can confidently argue that neither of the above situations is true, but neither we have a trusted reliable method to tell which B-type supergiants have undergone a post-RSG phase or which have interacted with a companion in the past and in what way. Despite this, theoretical developments over the last decades have allowed us to find different, non-exclusive theories or mechanisms to explain most of the observed properties of B-type supergiants. Some of them include the rotational rates (Maeder & Meynet 2000) or surface chemical abundances (Maeder et al. 2014), more recently the pulsational properties (Saio et al. 2013; Georgy et al. 2014; Bowman et al. 2019b) and the multiplicity (Langer 2012; Marchant & Bodensteiner 2023), each of them connected with different internal and external processes or initial conditions.

It is generally accepted that those B-type supergiants that have undergone a blue-loop evolution (as predicted by theoretical models; see, Ekström et al. 2012; Martinet et al. 2021) should exhibit chemically enriched atmospheres with respect to the abundances of stars that, despite having similar luminosities and effective temperatures, are still in the main sequence of their evolution. This results from the important mass loss that is predicted to occur during the RSG phase (e.g., van Loon et al. 2005; Mauron & Josselin 2011), which exposes the inner layers of the star, and also from the heavy internal convection that brings the processed material to the surface (see, e.g., Georgy et al. 2013, 2021). A side effect of the important mass loss experienced during the RSG phase is that post-RSG stars should also have a higher luminosity-over-mass ratio. This can be empirically tested by comparing objects that are in the same position of the HR diagram (in the main sequence band) but have different masses.

Pulsations in massive stars include coherent pressure- and gravity-mode oscillations (see the review by Aerts 2021). In addition, internal gravity waves (IGWs; see review by Bowman 2023) have been proposed to be the origin of the observed stochastic low-frequency variability (SLFV<sup>1</sup>; see Bowman et al. 2019b), for stars with  $M \ge 8 M_{\odot}$ . These waves may have their origin at the interface region between the convective core and the radiative envelope, and/or sub-surface convection zones, from where they propagate up to the surface. If this is the case, we would expect to see some differences in the pulsational properties before and after the moment when the hydrogen-burning phase is halted upon termination of the main sequence, as predicted by Bowman et al. (2019b) and shown observationally by Bedding et al. (2011) for red giant and red clump stars.

The study of the multiplicity in massive stars has revealed that they likely form in close binary systems (Mason et al. 2009; Sana et al. 2012). In fact, the 55-65% of the O-type stars have revealed the presence of a companion (e.g., Sota et al. 2014; Barbá et al. 2017; Britavskiy et al. 2023), while the main sequence B-type stars revealed a lower percentage (30-46%; e.g., Abt et al. 1990; Chini et al. 2012; Kiminki et al. 2015). In contrast, the B-type supergiant domain has been significantly less explored. However, the recently observed decrease in detected binaries towards lower temperatures (see de Burgos et al. Chapter 5; Simón-Díaz et al. 2024, in prep.)<sup>2</sup> adds new information to interpret the different proposed types of interaction and the different surface properties of the outcome product(s) (e.g., de Mink et al. 2014; Sen et al. 2022). These include, for example, the cases of contaminated atmospheres by mass transfer of processed material from a more evolved donor star; or stripped atmospheres revealing higher helium abundances.

Although a unified interpretation of all the properties mentioned above is not yet possible (e.g., Maeder & Meynet 2012; Langer 2012). Given their diversity, it is certain that statistically large samples of B-type supergiants are required to infer general trends or new clues that favor or discard the proposed explanations and ultimately disentangle the B-type supergiant domain of stars that have followed different evolutionary channels. Ideally, those samples should be bias-free to avoid possible misinterpretations. In this sense, volume-limited samples are the best approach to achieve this.

To this end and in that context, the IACOB project (see Simón-Díaz et al. 2015, 2020) has dedicated the last years to obtain the largest spectroscopic sample of Galactic B-type supergiants to date. The preliminary sample was presented in de Burgos et al. (2023a), and currently comprises more than 6000 spectra of  $\approx$ 900 B-type stars with luminosity classes I and II. In de Burgos et al. (2023b), we presented the results of the quantitative spectroscopic analysis of more than 500 of these objects, providing estimates of the projected rotational velocities ( $v \sin i$ ), the macroturbulent and microturbulent velocities ( $v_{mac}$  and  $\xi$ ), the surface temperatures and gravities ( $T_{eff}$  and  $\log g$ ) and the surface helium abundance ( $Y_{He}$ ). Benefiting from the astrometric data provided by the *Gaia* mission (Gaia Collaboration et al. 2016, 2023; Babusiaux et al. 2023), we present in de Burgos et al. (Chapter 5) a Hertzsprung–Russell (HR) dia-

gram of the OB massive star domain, which represents a significant improvement with respect to the previous reference work by Castro et al. (2014) on Galactic massive stars. In particular, fundamental parameters for more than 800 objects are provided, which is a sample twice as large as in the latter work. We also combined the wealth of homogeneity of the data and analyses with the high completeness level ( $\gtrsim 70\%$ ) of a volume-limited subsample to propose an empirical position for the terminal-age main sequence (TAMS). This was achieved based on the drop in the number of objects, the fast-rotating stars, and the single- and double-line spectroscopic binaries below  $\approx 21$  kK, or B2 spectral type, respectively.

In this work, we continue the empirical exploration of the same sample of B-type supergiants by combining the previous empirical data with additional information on the spectroscopic masses and with an exploratory work on the carbon, nitrogen and oxygen (CNO) surface abundances and the pulsational properties. Our goal is to investigate in a comprehensive and statistically significant manner differences in the sample properties, and, in particular, between the hot and cool sides of the TAMS, aiming at finding additional clues towards the various theoretical scenarios proposed to explain the evolutionary nature of B-type supergiants.

This paper is organized as follows: Section 2 presents the spectroscopic sample of stars and the photometric and astrometric data used to carry out this work. Section 3 describes the methodology used in the spectroscopic and photometric analysis. In Sect. 4 we present the results of the different properties evaluated, including those resulting from the spectroscopic and pulsational analysis. In Sect. 5 we interpret and discuss these properties in the context of stars resulting from a post-RSG phase or from binary interaction. Section 6 presents the main conclusions and the follow-up work.

#### 2. Sample and data

#### 2.1. Spectroscopic sample

The sample of B-type supergiants used in this work comprises 595 objects that have been collected in the IACOB spectroscopic database<sup>3</sup>. They have spectral types between B0–B6. We also included 133 O9-type stars that share similar  $T_{eff}$  and log g values with the B0 I-II stars. All 728 stars have magnitudes  $B_{mag} \leq 11$ . Their optical spectra were acquired using FIES (Telting et al. 2014, 2.5 m NOT), HERMES (Raskin et al. 2011, 1.2 m Mercator), or FEROS (Kaufer et al. 1997, 2.2 m MPG/ESO) high resolution (R = 25 000 - 85 000) optical (3800 - 7000 Å) echelle spectrographs. On average, the signal-to-noise ratio of these spectra is  $\approx 130$  at 4500 Å. Further notes on the completeness and properties of this sample can be found in de Burgos et al. (2023a, Chapter 5).

Multi-epoch spectra are available for half of the sample, accounting with an average of four spectra for each star. These spectra and the peak-to-peak radial velocity amplitudes  $(RV_{pp})$ were used in de Burgos et al. (Chapter 5) to identify single-line spectroscopic binaries (SB1), following the guidelines presented in Simón-Díaz et al. (2024). We also used the same spectra in de Burgos et al. (2023a) to identify double-line or higher-order spectroscopic binaries (SB2+) using a set of diagnostic lines.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> The SLFV was detected thanks to the advent of high-precision space photometry (Saio et al. 2006; Aerts et al. 2017; Bowman et al. 2019a); see Simón-Díaz et al. (2018) for an example using long-term ground-based data.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> This is a temporary internal reference for the purpose of this thesis.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> The IACOB public spectroscopic database is available at https://research.iac.es/proyecto/iacob/iacobcat/.



**Fig. 1.** Illustration of the workflow used to reduce the TESS cutout and extract the peridiograms associated to the light curves. From left to right, the top three panels show the aperture mask of the star, the selected background pixels, and the *Gaia* DR3 overlay (see Sect. 3.2). The left panel on the bottom shows the extracted light curve, where the black line shows some scattered light that is later corrected as shown by the blue line. The right panel on the bottom shows the extracted periodogram, where the orange horizontal line at 0.3 in normalized amplitude corresponds to the local threshold value of considered frequencies (each of which is indicated by an orange triangle).

#### 2.2. Photometric data

Photometric data from the Transiting Exoplanet Survey Satellite (TESS) space mission (Ricker et al. 2015) were obtained using the *Lightkurve* open-source Python package (see Lightkurve Collaboration et al. 2018). This package allows to retrieve the Full Frame Images (FFIs) from the *MAST* archive<sup>4</sup> used in the extraction of the light curves, which are used subsequently for the frequency analysis described in Sect. 3.2. TESS provides a large sky coverage with continuous observations of about 27 days on average for every sector in the sky at different image cadences. The geometry of the pointings results in some areas of the sky being covered multiple times in different sectors. However, we only performed the analysis on the data from one sector.

We used the *Lightkurve* package to retrieve the FFIs and the associated TESS cutouts for a homogeneous subsample of 215 stars. Using the same package, we transformed the light curves into periodograms (see Sect. 3.2). We note that this sample excludes stars that displayed saturation issues in the light curves (usually linked to stars brighter than  $B_{\text{mag}} \approx 7$ ), or stars for which the light curves were contaminated for being embedded in a parental cluster, and therefore making it very difficult to isolate the light from the source. Additionally, we discarded eclipsing binary systems, and cases with unresolved problems in the data (see, e.g., Bowman et al. 2022).

#### 2.3. Astrometric data

The distances adopted in the determination of the radius (R) and luminosity (L) of our sample stars correspond to those quoted in Bailer-Jones et al. (2021) using the astrometric data from the

*Gaia* mission (Gaia Collaboration et al. 2016, 2023; Babusiaux et al. 2023). Furthermore, we used the parallax-over-error  $(\sigma_{\varpi}/\varpi)$  threshold of 20% to limit the sample to stars with the best determination of *R* and *L* (see de Burgos et al. 2023b, for further notes on the determination these quantities). In fact, for 90% of the sample, the percentage is less than 10%.

#### 3. Analysis methodology

#### 3.1. CNO abundances

The determination of the surface abundances of the CNO elements was preceded by the quantitative spectroscopic analysis of the sample stars. The latter led us to obtain estimates of  $v \sin i$ ,  $T_{\rm eff}$ , log g,  $v_{\rm mac}$ ,  $\xi$ , and Y<sub>He</sub>. Although more details on the analysis techniques can be found in de Burgos et al. (2023b), we provide here a brief description of the analysis strategy that we followed. The line-broadening analysis to derive  $v \sin i$ and  $v_{\text{mac}}$  was performed using the iacob-broad tool (Simón-Díaz & Herrero 2014), while for the other parameters we used an optimized training grid of unclumped model atmospheres computed with the NLTE model atmosphere and line synthesis code FASTWIND (Fast Analysis of STellar atmospheres with WINDs, v10.4.7, Santolaya-Rey et al. 1997; Puls et al. 2005; Rivero González et al. 2011; Puls et al. 2020). This grid was used to train a statistical emulator of synthetic spectra, which combined with a Markov Chain Monte Carlo (MCMC) method allows us to obtain probability distributions for each parameter. In these analyses, the He I/II and Si II/III/IV lines are used as diagnostic lines for the ionization balance and determination of  $T_{\rm eff}$ . We used the  $H\alpha - H\delta$  Balmer lines to constrain log g because of their sensitivity to broadening via the Stark effect.

To derive the CNO abundances, we used the same grid of FASTWIND models and emulator, but this time we fixed all the

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> *MAST* archive is available at https://archive.stsci.edu/missions-and-data/tess



Fig. 2. HR diagram showing the main properties of the sample stars. The open orange squares correspond to stars with enriched helium surface abundances, whereas the purple plus symbols correspond to fast-rotating objects. The red and blue dots are upper and lower outliers in  $M_{sp}/M_{ev}$ , respectively (see Sect. 4.1 for more details). The evolutionary tracks are taken from the MESA Isochrones & Stellar Tracks online tool (MIST; see Dotter 2016a,b; Choi et al. 2016), for solar metallicity and no initial rotation. Intervals of the same age difference are indicated with larger green dots. The position of the TAMS in these models is indicated with a green-gray dashed line. The empirical TAMS as defined in de Burgos et al. (Chapter 5) is shown with a purple dashed line.

parameters mentioned above and recomputed the analysis enabling key CNO diagnostic lines. The carbon model atom was adopted from Carneiro et al. (2018), the nitrogen model from Rivero González et al. (2011, 2012, N m) and Urbaneja et al. (2005, N II), and the oxygen model from Urbaneja et al. (2005). The ranges of abundances covered by each element are:  $\epsilon_{\rm C}^5$  =  $7.00-9.00; \epsilon_{\rm N} = 7.60-8.40; \epsilon_{\rm O} = 8.20-9.30$ . We used here the same criteria as in de Burgos et al. (2023b) to find the cases in which the derived abundances are unreliable or represent upper or lower limits.

#### 3.2. Frequency analysis

The analysis of the TESS light curves, that is, the differential flux in the TESS wavelength pass-band ( $\Delta T_p$ ), was carried out using the *Lightkurve* package nested in our Python routine<sup>6</sup>. The initial step consisted in the selection of the sector containing the FFIs of each star. However, the actual downloaded data only comprise a cutout of the FFI selected around the star (see Brasseur et al. 2019). The aperture mask of the star and the background pixels were selected and subtracted. Before proceeding with the light curve extraction, an overlay map showing the Gaia sources and associated magnitudes was used to identify potential contaminating sources. We used a linear regression and a Principal Component Analysis (PCA) technique implemented in Lightkurve to remove scattered light from the light curves (see also Deming et al. 2015). Outlier points were removed using a sigma-clipping function. We then used the Lightkurve functionality for converting the light curve into a periodogram, and output all the frequencies above a given threshold value. We note that for some stars the initial TESS sector selected exhibited issues of different kinds, and we had to repeat the analysis by trying different available ones. Figure 1 illustrates this workflow with an exemplary case in which the aperture mask had to be adjusted to exclude one nearby star on the right-hand side of the star.

#### 4. Results

#### 4.1. General properties of the sample

We obtained the spectroscopic mass  $(M_{sp})$ , derived from the radius and surface gravity<sup>7</sup>, for the 728 stars in our sample. Figure 2 shows the distribution in the classical HR diagram of the 606 stars with an uncertainty lower than 33% (see Appendix A). We indicate with purple plus symbols those fast-rotating stars with  $v \sin i > 100 \text{ km s}^{-1}$ , whereas the presence of stars with enriched helium surface abundances is indicated with open orange squares. The threshold of  $100 \,\mathrm{km \, s^{-1}}$  was adopted based on the results of de Burgos et al. (2023b) on the separation between a main low-v sin i component and a tail of fast-rotating stars in the B-type supergiant domain. To identify helium-enriched objects,

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup>  $\epsilon_{Z} = 12 + \log \left(\frac{N(Z)}{N(H)}\right)$ , where Z is C, N, and O. <sup>6</sup> The package "LCExtractor" used to extract and process the light curves can be found at https://github.com/Abelink23/LCExtractor

 $<sup>\</sup>log(M/M_{\odot}) = 2 \log(R/R_{\odot}) + \log(g/g_{\odot})$ . The surface gravities are corrected from centrifugal forces following Repolust et al. (2004).



**Fig. 3.** Quantity  $M_{sp}/M_{ev}$  represented against  $T_{eff}$ ,  $Y_{He}$ , and  $v \sin i$  for our sample stars, indicating with different symbols whether they are helium-enriched, SB1 systems, or fast-rotating objects  $(v \sin i > 100 \text{ km s}^{-1})$ , as indicated in the legend. The vertical dashed gray lines in the top panel separate the sample into three regions of different  $T_{eff}$  (see Sect. 4.1). The horizontal lines instead separate the stars in the six groups of Fig. 6 as indicated with the letters a-f, and correspond to  $\pm 3\sigma$  of the  $M_{sp}/M_{ev}$  distribution in each  $T_{eff}$  region. The green dashed lines correspond to the mean. The vertical dashed line in the central panel mark the threshold value for star to be considered as heenriched. Similarly, the vertical dashed line in the bottom panel separate fast-rotating stars from the rest.

we used the same threshold value of  $Y_{He} = 0.13$  as in de Burgos et al. (2023b).

One characteristic observed in the figure is the lower number of objects below  $\approx 21 \text{ kK}$ , particularly below the  $30 \text{ M}_{\odot}$  track, which is attributed to the rapid evolution of stars after crossing the TAMS (see further notes in de Burgos et al. Chapter 5). Another important feature is the distribution of fast-rotating objects with respect to the position of the theoretical or empirical TAMS (with green-gray and purple dashed lines, respectively). As shown in de Burgos et al. (Chapter 5), this is most likely a combined effect of stars reaching their critical velocity towards higher luminosities and extending their lifetimes in the main sequence for those at lower luminosities. The distribution of stars with  $Y_{He} > 0.13$  is split into two groups separated by a lack of these objects above  $20 M_{\odot}$  around the theoretical TAMS. One group in which these objects are embedded in the main sequence and an isolated group centered at  $T_{eff} \approx 21 \text{ kK}$  and  $\log(L/L_{\odot}) \approx 5.6$ . These two groups are discussed in detail in Sect. 5.2.

As indicated above, Fig. 2 limits the sample to those stars with the best determination of  $M_{sp}$ . This allows us to compare our derived masses with those of the evolutionary models  $M_{ev}$ . For this, we adopted the evolutionary mass of the nearest track in the HR diagram relative to the position of the star. The top panel of Fig. 3 shows  $M_{sp}/M_{ev}$  against  $T_{eff}$  for the same sample and using the same symbols as in Fig. 2. Additionally, stars detected as SB1 systems (see Sect. 2.1) are shown with open blue triangles.

These results show an important systematic discrepancy between the spectroscopic and the evolutionary masses. This difference is not new, and it is related to the well known "mass discrepancy problem", which was first found by Groenewegen et al. (1989) and Herrero et al. (1990), and refers to the systematically larger masses predicted by the evolutionary tracks when compared to the spectroscopic masses. To evaluate the discrepancy on both sides of the empirical TAMS, we carried out an iterative 1.5 $\sigma$ -clipping routine over  $M_{sp}/M_{ev}$ , separating the stars into three groups: those with  $T_{\rm eff} > 25$  kK, those with  $25 \text{ kK} > T_{\text{eff}} > 21 \text{ kK}$ , and those with  $T_{\text{eff}} < 21 \text{ kK}$ . The width of the middle range, indicated by vertical dashed lines in Fig. 3, was set to cover  $\pm 1 \,\text{kK}$  from the highest and lowest  $T_{\text{eff}}$  values of the TAMS. The mean values resulting from this exercise are indicated with a dashed green line in Fig. 3, where we also indicated the associated  $\pm 3\sigma$  values of each range with dashed lines. We consider "normal" stars to be those within the  $\pm 3\sigma$  values, as they represent the intrinsic mass discrepancy. Interestingly, the mean values show an increase from  $M_{sp}/\,M_{ev}\approx\!0.5$  for the group with higher  $T_{\rm eff}$  to  $M_{\rm sp}/M_{\rm ev} \approx 0.7$  for the one with lower  $T_{\rm eff}$ , which also shows a smaller scatter due to the lack of outliers.

We note that the  $3\sigma$  value was adopted to ensure the selection of true outliers of  $M_{sp}/M_{ev}$  in each range, since our determination of  $M_{ev}$  is not as accurately determined as if we had used other more adequate methods, such as interpolation. All these outliers are indicated in Fig. 2 with red or blue circles depending on if they deviate more than  $3\sigma$  towards larger or smaller mass discrepancies, respectively. They seem to be ubiquitously located in the parameter space, especially with respect to the position of the empirical TAMS. However, as discussed in Sects. 5.1 and 5.2, the discrepancy displayed by these objects may indicate that they may have followed a different path from the single-evolution scheme.

Regarding the distribution of fast-rotating, helium-enriched and SB1 objects in the top panel of Fig. 3, we note the absence of stars in any of these groups below 18 kK (see also Fig. 2). In addition, we note the apparent larger number of helium-enriched objects above the mean in the middle group, as well as the apparent larger number of SB1 systems above the  $3\sigma$  value of the group with  $T_{\rm eff}$  >25 kK (see Sect. 5). To further evaluate any correlation of M<sub>sp</sub>/M<sub>ev</sub>, the middle and bottom panels of Fig. 3 show this quantity against Y<sub>He</sub> and  $v \sin i$ , respectively. The middle panel (M<sub>sp</sub>/M<sub>ev</sub> against Y<sub>He</sub>) shows that the majority of fast-rotating objects have an  $M_{sp}/M_{ev}$  between 0.4 and 0.8. Moreover, we see that below  $M_{sp}/M_{ev} \approx 0.8$ , most heliumenriched objects also correspond to SB1 systems. The bottom panel ( $M_{sp}/M_{ev}$  against  $v \sin i$ ) instead does not show any clear trend. Fast-rotating objects mix SB1 and helium-enriched objects covering the full range of  $M_{sp}/M_{ev}$  values. We only noted that the lower envelope of  $M_{sp}/M_{ev}$  values seem to increase towards higher values of  $v \sin i$ .

#### 4.2. CNO abundances

We performed a CNO surface abundance analysis for a representative subsample of 50 stars<sup>8</sup> spread in five separate groups in the HR diagram. Three groups in the upper luminosity regime of the HR diagram (log( $L/L_{\odot}$ ) >5.0) comprising objects before the TAMS, near it and after it; and two in the lower luminosity regime (log( $L/L_{\odot}$ ) <5.0), one near the TAMS but extended on both sides and one after the TAMS. In general, stars within each group were chosen to be close to each other in log( $L/L_{\odot}$ ) and  $T_{\rm eff}$ , but also include at least one star with Y<sub>He</sub> ≈0.1, and  $v \sin i > 100 \,\rm km \, s^{-1}$ , and one star with Y<sub>He</sub> >0.13, and  $v \sin i < 100 \,\rm km \, s^{-1}$ . In addition, if available, we also selected outliers in M<sub>sp</sub>/M<sub>ev</sub>. The HR diagram including only these objects is included in Appendix B. The reason behind this selection is to cover the variety of properties of our sample stars and connect them with potential differences in the displayed CNO surface abundances.

Figure 4 shows the N/O and N/C ratios of the 50 stars separated into the two luminosity ranges, using the same symbols as in Fig. 3. The abundances are given by number. In the top two panels, we see a smooth increase of the ratios which becomes more steep towards the cool end in  $T_{\rm eff}$ . We do not observe much scatter among the stars located before the TAMS, but on the cool side, it is evident that those helium-enriched objects have larger ratios than the rest of the stars. Regarding the lower two panels, the overall distribution is much more flat, with the exception of two helium-enriched stars in the N/O and N/C panels, and a group of fast-rotating stars in the N/C panel. These features are interpreted in Sect. 5.

#### 4.3. The photometric variability across the HR diagram

In frequency space, most of the stars in our sample are characterized by stochastic low-frequency variability (see bottom right subpanel of Fig. 1 for an example; see also Burssens et al. 2020). As shown in Bowman et al. (2019b), one way to characterize the SLFV is by fitting an amplitude spectrum given by the expression:

$$\alpha(\nu) = \frac{\alpha_0}{1 + \left(\frac{\nu}{\nu_{\text{char}}}\right)^{\gamma}} + C_{\nu}$$

where  $\alpha_0$  is the amplitude at the zero frequency,  $C_w$  is a frequency-independent noise term,  $\gamma$  is the logarithmic amplitude gradient,  $v_{char}$  is the characteristic frequency, which is the inverse of the characteristic timescale,  $\tau$ , of stochastic variability present in the light curve so that  $v_{char} = 1/(2\pi\tau)$ . In this work, we followed the same approach (see also Bowman et al. 2019a) to obtain the quantity  $v_{char}$ . Additionally, we measured the standard deviation of the differential flux  $\sigma(\Delta T_p)$ .

Figure 5 displays the 215 stars for which we were able to perform the pulsational analysis from reliable TESS light



**Fig. 4.** Surface chemical N/C and N/O ratios for a subsample of 50 stars in our sample. The two top and two panels divide the stars above and below  $\log(L/L_{\odot}) = 5.0$ . The symbols are the same as in Fig. 3. The vertical dashed purple line indicates the TAMS.

curves. We show in two different panels, using different marker sizes and colors, the distribution of  $\sigma(\Delta T_p)$  and  $\nu_{char}$  values (top and bottom panels, respectively). The top panel displays larger  $\sigma(\Delta T_p)$  values towards higher luminosities. In addition, we observe higher values being reached towards lower temperature regime for the same luminosity range. No substantial differences appear to be present between both sides of the TAMS, neither the empirical TAMS (dashed purple line) nor the theoretical one (dashed orange line). In contrast, the bottom panel displays a different behavior for  $\nu_{char}$ . The values increase towards lower luminosities and higher temperatures. These properties are further discussed in Sect. 5.3.

#### 5. Discussion

Apart from stars following the single evolution scheme, in this work, we discuss the possibility that some of the B-type super-

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup> A future work will extend the CNO analysis to the full sample.



**Fig. 5.** HR diagrams representing the sample stars in different colormaps to indicate two different properties of the TESS photometric variability. In the top panel, the size and color of the stars scales with the standard-deviation of the observed variability. The bottom panel uses the characteristic frequency as scaling parameter (see Sect. 4.3 for more details). The evolutionary tracks and the dashed lines indicating the TAMS are the same as in Fig. 2.

giants populating the HR diagram may have followed a different evolutionary path. In particular, we evaluate the possibility that some of these objects (either located before or after the TAMS) may be evolving bluewards after reaching a RSG phase, or that some are products of binary interaction. In the first case, several evolutionary models predict that stars within certain ranges of initial masses experience the so called "blue-loops" (see Stothers & Chin 1975; Ekström et al. 2012; Martinet et al. 2021; Keszthelyi et al. 2022). These occur after stars become RSGs and during the core helium burning phase, and result in stars crossing the HR diagram one or more times. In the second case, binary interaction can lead to important changes in the radii, mass, and luminosity of the output products (see, e.g., Yoon et al. 2010; Götberg et al. 2017; Laplace et al. 2020), which may populate different areas of the HR diagram, also exhibiting different observable properties. Moreover, their detection also depends on the fraction of time that these objects spend overlapping with stars following a single evolution. In this work, we benefit from a significantly larger sample of Galactic B-type supergiants compared to previous studies. This lets us provide an updated evaluation of some of the observed properties across the HR diagram that are relevant for the discussion of the above-mentioned scenarios. These include the surface chemical abundances, rotational properties, and the fraction of detected binary systems.



**Fig. 6.** Histograms of the relative percentage of stars separated in the same three categories and with the same color-code as in Fig. 3 (FR refers to "fast-rotating" with  $v \sin i > 100 \text{ km s}^{-1}$ ; see also Sect. 4.1). The six panels separate the stars mimicking the division in  $M_{sp}/M_{ev}$  and  $T_{eff}$  of the top panel of Fig. 3 (letters a-f). We note that the percentage of SB1 systems is not calculated with respect to the full sample, but only with respect to the stars with enough multi-epoch data to determine whether they fall into this category or not.

Figure 6 presents a grid of histograms in which the main properties of our sample stars are summarized into six panels based on their  $T_{\rm eff}$  and  $M_{\rm sp}/M_{\rm ev}$  values (indicated in Fig. 3). Each panel includes the number and relative fraction (as percentages) of helium-enriched, fast-rotating and SB1 systems. The top and bottom panels divide the stars into those that have a  $M_{\rm sp}/M_{\rm ev}$ value above the  $3\sigma$  threshold indicated in Sect. 4.1. The separation in  $T_{\rm eff}$  aims to include stars before the TAMS, crossing the TAMS, and after the TAMS. We note that regarding the SB1 systems, the displayed fraction is calculated relative to the sample of stars for which we account with sufficient multi-epoch data to determine this property. We evaluated the presence of potential biases for not having enough data in specific areas of the HR diagram in Appendix C, but we note here that this probably does not affect our results.

#### 5.1. The presence of post-RSGs in the sample

We discuss the possibility that some of the B-type supergiants in our sample are post-MS objects that have already undergone a RSG phase. For this, it is important to understand the expected properties of these objects. In this regard, post-RSGs objects are predicted to exhibit chemically enriched atmospheres due to the different nuclear-burning episodes occurring at the core or in the burning shells coupled with the development of convective zones and dredge-up movements bringing processed material to the surface (e.g., Georgy et al. 2013, 2021). In addition, post-RSG objects are predicted to experience important mass-loss episodes



**Fig. 7.** Histograms showing the distribution of  $M_{sp}$  dividing the sample in slices of  $\log(L/L_{\odot})$ . In each histogram, the stars are separated into those before the empirical TAMS (blue bins) and after (red bins), as indicated in the legend. The median values are indicated with vertical dashed lines using the same colors.

(van Loon et al. 2005; Mauron & Josselin 2011; Georgy 2012), which also expose the inner, more-contaminated layers of the star (e.g., Saio et al. 2013; Georgy et al. 2014).

These phenomena have two important observable consequences, namely a larger helium surface abundance and changes in the CNO surface abundance ratios; and a significantly smaller projected rotational velocity resulting from the loss of angular momentum during the mass-loss episodes. Additionally, the reduction in mass has an important consequence, that is, that for stars with the same luminosities, post-RSG objects have lower masses (higher L/M ratios) compared to those B-type supergiants evolving from the main sequence, and should display a larger discrepancy with respect to their corresponding evolutionary masses predicted in the models.

In our distribution of  $M_{sp}/M_{ev}$  against  $T_{eff}$  (see top panel of Fig. 3), we would expect post-RSGs to occupy the area within the main-sequence band with  $M_{sp}/M_{ev} < 1$  populated by the majority of stars in our sample. Since it is not possible that all these objects are post-RSGs, it foremost highlights that the mass discrepancy problem represents an important issue which needs to be solved (see also Tkachenko et al. 2020, 2024). Nevertheless, if we assume that the existing discrepancy affects the majority of main-sequence stars in a similar way, then it seems reasonable to try to find these objects below the lower envelope of the distribution. However, the number of objects below the lower  $3\sigma$ cut of the main sequence and near the TAMS is extremely low, suggesting that these objects are not present. Moreover, we do not observe any trend showing that helium-enriched objects are located near the lower envelope of the  $M_{sp}/M_{ev}$  distribution, and many of those exhibiting the highest abundances actually comprise SB1 or fast-rotating objects (see the middle panel of Fig. 3; see also Sect. 5.2) which makes them more unlikely to be post-RSGs objects.

We must note that our evolutionary masses have been adopted based on only one set of single-star evolutionary tracks that assume certain initial conditions, such as no initial rotation, which we assumed based on the global properties of the sample (see de Burgos et al. Chapter 5). In general, models with rotation produce higher luminosities compared to non-rotating models, which would translate into a positive shift in  $M_{sp}/M_{ev}$ , partially contributing to solve the existing discrepancy, but not the search of post-RSGs. Furthermore, we should also note that the lack of detection of these objects is based on the assumption that they undergo a strong mass loss during the RSG phase.

The location of post-RSGs and, in general, any object evolving blueward in the HR diagram also depends on the considered models. For example, those of Ekström et al. (2012) only predict a blueward evolution for masses  $\geq 20 \,\mathrm{M}_{\odot}$  (within our considered range of masses), but for a range of  $T_{\rm eff}$  comprising the all stars in the sample. This adds one additional difficulty when trying to find these objects. However, since we do not expect many stars leaving the main sequence to populate the area beyond the TAMS, we can at least use our derived spectroscopic masses to see if those stars on the cool side of the TAMS have systematically lower spectroscopic masses for the same luminosity range.

The result of this exercise is included in Fig. 7, where we divided the sample into slices of the same luminosity to not mix stars of very different masses. Interestingly, the results show that stars located beyond the TAMS (red bins) display the same or larger average masses (indicated with vertical dashed lines) compared to those stars within the main-sequence band (blue bins), thus behaving in the opposite direction to what we would expect for post-RSGs. We note that, as a potential source of discrepancy, the uncertainties of log g involved in the determination of  $M_{sp}$  are similar for stars before and after the TAMS. Interestingly, at log( $L/L_{\odot}$ ) = 5.70 – 5.35 we find a large group of stars beyond the TAMS with  $M_{sp} \approx 33 M_{\odot}$ . We will refer to this group in Sect. 5.2.

Despite being limited in number, we can use our results of the CNO surface abundances (see Fig. 4) to see if any of the analyzed stars, or particular group, is compatible with a post-RSGs state. For this, we first compared the predictions of Georgy et al. (2014, Ledoux criterion,  $20 M_{\odot}$ ) for B-type supergiants before and after they underwent a RSG phase. For stars above  $\approx 23 \text{ kK}$ ,

only the helium-enriched star at 25 kK seems simultaneously compatible in N/O and N/C with a post-RSG object. Those stars with N/C  $\approx$ 5 may also be compatible in this ratio. However, all of these objects are fast-rotating stars, which generally exhibit very low rotation velocities, and all but one have normal helium abundances. Instead, for stars below 23 kK, we find three different cases of stars with the highest N/O and N/C ratios that also have helium-enriched atmospheres. However, all of them have spectroscopic masses higher than those in the same luminosity bin, which seems incompatible if post-RSGs lose a significant amount of mass during that phase.

#### 5.2. Binary products in the sample

It is generally accepted that a large fraction of massive stars are born in close binary systems (see Sana 2017). Simulations carried out by de Mink et al. (2014) provide  $\approx 80\%$  of these systems that result in binary interaction, of which  $\approx 30\%$  will occur during the main sequence. Of the latter ones, about one-third will end up in mergers, whereas the remaining will result in interacting or post-interacting binary systems. For all these interactions to occur, usually one of the stars in the system reaches a more evolved state in which its larger size leads to a mass transfer into the companion or, ultimately, to their merge. Therefore, the relative number of stars observed as binary (photometrically or spectroscopically) will decrease along evolution in the main sequence and drop beyond the TAMS<sup>9</sup>, as shown in de Burgos et al. (Chapter 5).

Except for changes in the observed properties introduced by the natural evolution of the stars, the increased number of interactions occurring along the evolution should produce differences in the average properties of our sample across the covered range of effective temperatures. To evaluate this from the percentages shown in Fig. 6, we assume that the contamination by post-RSGs objects evolving as single is negligible.

Before we evaluate each of the groups in Fig. 6, we note that an important source of uncertainty arises from the unknown L/Mratio produced during and after the different types of interaction. This also depends on the timescales of the interaction (see, e.g., de Mink et al. 2007), and on how fast the core reconfigures after the mass gain (see Suzuki et al. 2007; Glebbeek et al. 2013).

Regarding the fraction of SB1 systems, panels *d* and *e* of Fig. 6 do not show a decrease with  $T_{\text{eff}}$  until they cross the TAMS (panel *f*). However, such a decrease occurs between panels *a* and *b*, although the number of stars in panel *b* (as also for panel *c*) is very low for any further interpretation. We also note that for panels *d* and *e*, which represent the "normal" stars in  $M_{\text{sp}}/M_{\text{ev}}$  (see Sect. 4.1), the fraction of SB1 systems is  $\approx 40\%$  in both cases. If, as indicated in de Mink et al. (2014), up to 40% of the apparently single stars are products of binary interaction, this result could leave a smaller fraction of stars to be explained. In this regard, our fraction of SB1 represents a lower limit to the real value due to the difficulty in separating the intrinsic variability and SB1 systems from the observed radial velocity variations.

The large percentage of SB1 systems in panel a (59%) is particularly interesting. In those cases where the secondary is a dimmer main-sequence star, even if the contribution to the observed composite spectrum is negligible for most of the spectral lines, this may not be the case for the stronger Balmer lines. In the case of extended wings, the fitting of these lines would lead to apparent larger gravities, resulting in higher  $M_{sp}$  values. Furthermore, the photometric brightness of the star dominating the spectra could be affected by the presence of a fainter companion, thus leading to an apparent more luminous object. This would translate into higher evolutionary mass, but to a lesser extent compared to the aforementioned effect on spectroscopic mass (i.e., being observed with higher  $M_{sp}/M_{ev}$  values). This could explain their separation with respect to the SB1 systems in the panel b. Moreover, all of these SB1 objects have "normal" helium surface abundances, further supporting the scenario in which a donor main-sequence star transfers unprocessed material onto the gainer. The presence of a compact object as companion would seem unlikely in this case. Lastly, 80% of the fast-rotating objects in panel a correspond to these SB1 systems (27% of the SB1 systems), which could be the result from the accretion process.

Moving to the fraction of fast-rotating star, one possible scenario is that a significant fraction of these stars are binary products. As shown in de Mink et al. (2013), the outcome of certain types of interaction (e.g., mass transfer with a post-main sequence donor of similar mass, or mass transfer with a mainsequence donor) can result in a rapidly rotating secondary star or a merger, both of which can spend a significant amount of time evolving in the main-sequence band. In this regard, panels d and e indicate that the fraction of fast-rotating stars only increases slightly within the main sequence, with an average of 35% of objects. For panel e, it is important to mention that, as shown in de Burgos et al. (Chapter 5), the probability to detect these objects is affected by the fact that these stars are much closer to reaching their rotational terminal velocity. As predicted by Georgy et al. (see 2011); de Mink et al. (see 2013); Kurfürst et al. (see 2014), stars reaching this rotation rate (by, e.g., binary interaction) may lose much of their angular momentum, thus not appearing as fast-rotating objects after spinning up, and lowering the percentage of panel e.

In general, all fractions corresponding to fast-rotating objects shown in Fig. 6 represent lower limits due to projection effects. However, we cannot assume that these percentages only correspond to binary products since, despite recent studies favoring a low-to-mild initial rotation, some of these objects may have been born at a high spin rate and evolved as single stars. Interestingly, the decrease in the fraction of fast-rotating stars between panels a and b is similar to the decrease of SB1 systems in the same panels, being only  $\leq 10\%$  in panel b. The fraction of fast-rotating stars beyond the TAMS is similar in panels c and f ( $\approx 20\%$ ), and mostly corresponds to stars in the lower part of the HR diagram. We argue that the presence of these objects could be explained considering that: (a) evolutionary models show that rotation can extend the length of the main sequence and (b) more binary products are expected toward lower masses as a consequence of the initial mass function. Thus, a higher fraction of fast-rotating stars would be expected toward lower luminosities in the HR diagram, as observed.

To conclude, even if fast-rotating stars are the result of binary interaction, which is compatible with our results, we cannot rule out other possibilities. For instance, fast-rotating stars might be born in this configuration, and then they slowly lose angular momentum during the main sequence.

Despite a rapid equatorial rotation being insufficient to identify likely post-binary interaction objects, surface enhancements of hydrogen-burning products are expected to be present in the atmospheres as a result of some type of interactions (Marchant & Bodensteiner 2023). In this regard, in addition to the fact that our results suggest that post-RSG objects may not be present in

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>9</sup> The drop beyond the TAMS is also the result of the less probable scenario where both stars reach the end of the MS at the same time as a result of evolving with similar masses.



**Fig. 8.** Helium surface abundance against the spectroscopic masses of stars within the  $\log(L/L_{\odot})$  and  $T_{\text{eff}}$  range indicated in the figure. Stars located before and after the TAMS are indicated with blue and red colors, respectively. The average value of each group is indicated with a vertical dashed line using the same color. In addition, a vertical dashed orange line shows the average for the stars with an abundance  $Y_{\text{He}} > 0.13$ .

our sample, in de Burgos et al. (Chapter 5) we found that the reference evolutionary models of Brott et al. (2011), Ekström et al. (2012) and Choi et al. (2016) for single evolution, only those by Ekström et al. (2012) were able to reproduce the surface helium enrichment of some of our fast-rotating stars towards the earlymain sequence phase, and only for models with  $v \sin i/v_{\rm crit} = 40\%$ . Despite we cannot fully exclude the possibility that some of our helium-enriched objects may be returning from a RSG phase through blue loops, in the following discussion we assume that most of them are binary products.

The percentages of helium-enriched objects shown in Fig. 6 indicate an important decrease of these objects when crossing the TAMS, from 23% (on average) to 7%. Interestingly, panel *b* ( $T_{\text{eff}} = 21 - 25 \text{ kK}$ ) displays the highest percentage of these objects. However, looking at Fig. 3, we see that many of them are close to the helium-enriched stars of panel *e*, thus it may not reflect a separate feature. Nonetheless, Fig. 2 shows that these objects are distributed into two separate groups in the HR diagram, one embedded in the main sequence and one located beyond the TAMS with luminosities  $\log(L/L_{\odot}) \gtrsim 5.25$ .

A possible nature for the stars in the former group could be secondary stars born with lower masses which evolved in the presence of a more massive primary which, at the end of its shorter life, transferred processed material into the secondary or merged with it, yet contaminating the outcome star. The first case could be further supported taking into account that 52% correspond to fast-rotating stars, which may have spun up during the mass gain. Moreover, of those with multi-epoch data, at least 43% are simultaneously fast-rotating stars and SB1 systems and may now include a compact object as companion. Except for contaminating its atmosphere, the injection of new material could also have altered its original evolutionary path to a more luminous and rejuvenated state (Schneider et al. 2016, 2021). In the case that these objects result from merging, theoretical studies predict that these objects undergo a spin-down process (see, e.g., de Mink et al. 2013; Schneider et al. 2016; Menon et al. 2024), which, combined with other spin-down processes by, for example, magnetic fields (e.g., Schneider et al. 2016; Keszthelyi et al. 2019) may explain the nature of the low- $v \sin i$  component of the helium-enriched objects (see bottom panel of Fig. 3).

Regarding the separate group of helium-enriched objects beyond the TAMS above the 25 M<sub>o</sub> track, their presence is particularly interesting, as they are strong candidates for being merger products. To further test this possibility, Fig. 8 shows the distribution of helium abundances against the spectroscopic masses for the stars between the 25  $M_{\odot}$  and 40  $M_{\odot}$  (which includes most of these objects). As in Fig. 7, we separate with colors the stars on each side of the TAMS and indicate the average Msp with vertical dashed lines. This time, we include a vertical orange line that limits the sample to those stars with  $Y_{He} > 0.13$ . The results show that the helium-enriched objects have, on average,  $10\,M_{\odot}$ more than those located before the TAMS displaying no enrichment, thus being compatible with the case in which they have merged with a companion (see also below). In addition, this also justifies the larger spectroscopic masses observed in Fig. 7 for objects located after the TAMS and with  $\log(L/L_{\odot}) > 5$ . For 70% of them, the available multi-epoch data also do not indicate the presence of a companion. In Appendix D we show that the existence of this isolated group is still present (and even enlarged) if we do not limit the sample to stars with most reliable spectroscopic masses (see Sect. 4.1).

Compared with Menon et al. (2024), who found heliumenriched stars in merger candidates of the Large Magellanic Cloud (LMC), all but one of these objects are located within 5 kK on the hot side of the TAMS, while in this work, this is only the case of stars below the  $20 M_{\odot}$  track<sup>10</sup>. For stars above the  $20 M_{\odot}$ , we noticed that our sample lacks helium-enriched objects on the hot side of the TAMS around 24-30 kK.

Figure 9 shows the logarithmic distribution of the N/C and N/O ratios (introduced as diagnostic tool by Przybilla et al. 2010) that we can use to compare with the results of Menon et al. (2024). Here we can better see the separation of fast-rotating stars discussed in Sect. 5.1. Interestingly, this group of stars overlaps with the one studied in the LMC by Menon et al. (2024) using the same diagram and is explained only by mergers. Moreover, those helium-enriched objects with significantly larger ratios located at or after the TAMS (see Fig. 4; two of which belong to the aforementioned separated group) only overlap with the predictions by Menon et al. (2024) for models of binary mergers.

#### 5.3. Pulsational properties of the sample

The HR diagrams shown in Fig. 5 (see Sect. 4.3) evidenced that the values of  $\sigma(\Delta T_p)$  increase with  $\log(L/L_{\odot})$ , whereas the  $v_{char}$ parameter changes with  $T_{eff}$  across the diagram, as shown for OB dwarfs (Bowman et al. 2020; Bowman & Dorn-Wallenstein 2022). In the first case, we find enough similarity with the predicted map of turbulent pressure by Grassitelli et al. (2015), which, as proposed there, can excite high-order pulsations in luminous stars with  $\log(L/L_{\odot}) > 4.5$ . However, the same distribution could also be associated with the increased intensity of the stellar wind towards higher luminosities (see, e.g., de Burgos et al. 2023b). The second diagram, the quantity  $v_{char}$  can be used for the characterization of the SLFV observed in the periodograms of B-type supergiants and for the comparison with the predictions from IGWs simulations (see Bowman et al. 2019a,b). If this variability has its origin in the gravity waves produced by

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>10</sup> We note that in Menon et al. (2024) they used a threshold of  $Y_{He} = 0.12$  instead of  $Y_{He} = 0.13$  to define helium enriched objects.



**Fig. 9.** Logarithmic N/C and N/O ratios plotted against each other for the subsample of 50 stars with derived CNO surface abundances. Symbols are the same as in Fig. 3. The baselines for solar metallicity from Przybilla et al. (2008) and Ekström et al. (2012) are included for reference.

the convective core of massive stars, we may expect to see a substantial change at the moment when the hydrogen-burning phase is terminated. In this regard, the lower panel of Fig. 5 shows a clear decrease in  $\nu_{char}$  for stars below 25 M<sub> $\odot$ </sub> towards cooler temperatures, and does not show any important change when crossing the TAMS. For stars above 25 M<sub> $\odot$ </sub>, the same decrease is even smoother.

In addition, post-RSGs that undergo a blue loop may also exhibit properties different from those of stars that evolve in the main sequence (see Bowman et al. 2019b). In this regard, 3D spherical hydrodynamic simulations will be helpful for studying the presence of convectively driven IGWs after the main sequence (see Edelmann et al. 2019). In this work, we compare our results with the predictions by Saio et al. (2013), where different heat-driven pulsations are predicted for main-sequence and post-RSG stars.

Figure 10 shows the frequency spectra against the effective temperature in two panels that separate the sample stars into two groups. The top panel includes stars with  $\log(L/L_{\odot}) = 4.9-5.5$ , aiming to select stars in the same luminosity range as in Saio et al. (2013). The bottom panel limits the sample to stars with  $\log(L/L_{\odot}) = 4.3-4.9$ , where the bottom panel of Fig. 5 shows a clear change in  $v_{char}$  when crossing the TAMS. In both cases, the lower envelope of the periods down to  $\log(T_{eff}) \approx 4.3$  (20 kK) is located above the blue dashed line, which we extracted from the lower boundary of the periods predicted for main-sequence stars by Saio et al. (2013)<sup>11</sup>, and follows a similar trend. Interestingly, this lower envelope appears to flatter for stars below  $\log(T_{eff}) \approx 4.3$  in the top panel. This flattering can be better seen by using the average of the amplitude periods (indicated with dots colored by  $v_{char}$ ). Both panels also show the scarcity of objects with  $v_{char} \gtrsim 1 d^{-1}$  beyond the TAMS.

Following the predictions of Saio et al. (2013), these results would indicate that practically none of the objects in the main-sequence band may correspond to post-RSGs. In fact, only



**Fig. 10.** Plot mimicking Fig. 5 of Saio et al. (2013) in which the period spectrum is plotted against  $\log(T_{\rm eff})$ . The top and bottom panels separate stars into two different ranges of luminosity. In both panels and for all stars, we only considered frequencies with a normalized amplitude above 0.3 (as shown in the lower right subfigure of Fig. 5). The average value of those frequency amplitudes is indicated with a colored dot based on the  $v_{\rm char}$  value (when available). The lower limit of the period explored by Saio et al. (2013) is indicated with a dashed gray line (log Period =0.4 d). The dashed blue lines mark the lower boundary of periods predicted by Saio et al. (2013) for stars evolving in the main sequence. We note that stars identified as  $\beta$  Cephei stars have been removed. See Sect. 5.3 for more information on the objects marked with red rectangles.

three objects have periods well separated from the dashed line of the lower boundary. They are indicated with a red rectangle in Fig. 10. Interestingly, from the two located near the TAMS in the bottom panel, one (HD 152 685) has  $Y_{He}$ = 0.14; and the one at log( $T_{eff}$ ) ≈4.44 in the top panel (BD +6073) corresponds to an X-ray binary. Below log( $T_{eff}$ ) ≈4.25 the situation is different, with several objects that display frequencies compatible with post-RSGs. For curiosity, we checked whether any of them corresponded to the merger candidates exhibiting helium-enriched atmospheres, but it was not the case. Therefore, based on the predictions of the pulsational properties of post-RSGs by Saio et al. (2013), our combined results do not provide evidence that these objects are present in our sample.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>11</sup> In Saio et al. (2013), the lowest periods correspond to log Period =0.4 d. We assume that the lower envelope of periods continues the trend displayed there.

For completeness, we also evaluated potential differences in the pulsational properties of the outliers in  $M_{sp}/M_{ev}$ , heliumenriched objects, fast-rotating stars, and SB1 systems, with respect to the full sample. We only found some indications that SB1 systems tend to have larger  $v_{char}$  values and lower  $\sigma(\Delta T_p)$ values (see Appendix E for more details).

We also conclude that no important differences are observed in the pulsational properties for stars before and after the TAMS, nor in the periodograms or using the  $v_{char}$  parameter. To explain this, one possibility is that IGWs are still produced in the hydrogen-burning shell before the beginning of the heliumburning phase, as otherwise they should disappear upon hydrogen exhaustion in the core. Another proposed possibility is that the observed variability originates partially or totally in a subsurface convection zone where stochastically excited traveling waves are produced (see, e.g., Cantiello et al. 2009; Grassitelli et al. 2015). Lastly, it could also be severely influenced by the effect of strong winds. Whatever produces the observed variability, it is clear that a better understanding of the physics that produces the variability is required for B-type supergiants, just like for OB dwarfs (see review by Bowman 2023).

#### 6. Summary and conclusions

The uncertainty around the nature of B-type supergiants remains a long-standing problem in our understanding of massive stars and astrophysics in general. In this paper, we conducted an exploratory work on the physical, chemical, and pulsational properties in a sample of 728 Galactic B-type supergiants and evaluated them with the aim of finding new clues about their nature. In particular, we focused our attention on the differences displayed on each side of the TAMS, where we should not expect to find many of these objects, and on the identification of post-RSGs and binary products within our sample.

By comparing spectroscopic masses with evolutionary ones  $(M_{sp}/M_{ev})$ , we studied the possibility of finding objects with a different L/M ratio that could indicate past mass-gain or mass-loss episodes. Our results first evidence a mass-discrepancy problem that affects the majority of stars in our sample. Interestingly, we find the average  $M_{sp}/M_{ev}$  discrepancy to decrease from  $\approx 50\%$  ( $M_{sp}/M_{ev} \approx 0.5$ ) for stars located on the hot side of the TAMS, to  $\approx 40\%$  ( $M_{sp}/M_{ev} \approx 0.6$ ) near the TAMS, and to  $\approx 30\%$  ( $M_{sp}/M_{ev} \approx 0.7$ ) for stars on the cool side. The latter group also displays the smaller scatter. Based on these results (see Fig. 3), we interpret the core of stars with an intrinsic mass discrepancy as "normal" and those separated  $\pm 3\sigma$  or more as "peculiar" (mostly comprising objects above  $3\sigma$ ).

The identification of post-RSGs within our sample is based on two key properties expected from these objects: heliumenriched atmospheres and lower spectroscopic masses compared to those stars evolving in the main sequence. However, based on the previous assumption for peculiar stars, the  $M_{sp}/M_{ev} - T_{eff}$  distribution that derived helium surface abundances does not suggest their presence within the full  $T_{eff}$  range of our sample. We also checked the possibility that some of the stars are located after the TAMS, but all of them have on average the same or larger masses (see below).

We find the highest percentage of SB1 systems (59%) among those peculiar stars located before the TAMS with  $T_{\rm eff}$  >25 kK, which also include 80% of the fast-rotating objects with multiepoch data in the same parameter space. Their larger  $M_{\rm sp}/M_{\rm ev}$ ratio, high rotation, and absence of helium enrichment in their atmospheres suggest mass accretion of unprocessed material, but the nature of their companion is not obvious. We found helium-enriched atmospheres in two separated groups of stars in the HR diagram. One group is located on the cool side of the TAMS above the  $25 M_{\odot}$  track. This group has, on average,  $10 M_{\odot}$  more than those objects located in the symmetrically opposite position with respect to the TAMS, and are the strongest candidates to be merging products. Moreover, multi-epoch observations for 70% of them discard the presence of a companion. The other group is in the main sequence but also reaches the TAMS and evenly mixes slowly- and fast-rotating stars. The latter comprises a lower limit of 43% of SB1 systems. Within the binary evolution scheme, these objects (which also include peculiar stars) may comprise mass-gainers from a more evolved star, or mergers. Among the slowly-rotating objects, we only find 10% of them being both helium-enriched and SB1 systems.

We derived CNO surface abundances for a subsample of 50 stars. These stars were selected from specific subgroups in the HR diagram to provide a broader overview of the behavior of the abundances along evolution in  $T_{\rm eff}$ , and for different types of objects. Despite the smaller sample, all the stars on the hot side of the TAMS are more compatible with being evolving in the main sequence. Only some fast-rotating stars have CNO abundances closer to the predictions for post-RSGs, but we discarded that possibility precisely for their fast rotation. At the TAMS and beyond, we find a group of helium-enriched objects with larger spectroscopic masses than other stars of the same luminosity. Recent predictions by Menon et al. (2024) suggest that the stars in the latter two groups are only explained by merger products.

A preliminary study on the pulsational properties of the sample obtained from the TESS photometry revealed two key properties. First, the higher regime of  $\sigma(\Delta T_p)$  values are distributed in the HR diagram similarly as does the predictions on turbulent pressure by Grassitelli et al. (2015), who attribute the subsurface convection zone as the origin of the observed variability. Second, there are no significant differences in the characteristic frequency  $v_{char}$  when crossing the TAMS (nor for  $\sigma(\Delta T_p)$ ). If the observed variability is produced by core-excited IGWs, we would expect to see some differences in this transition region, but this is not the case.

Following the predictions by Saio et al. (2013), we tested the possibility of identifying post-RSG stars from the range of excited pulsation periods. For stars on the hot side of the TAMS, our results indicate that practically all of them are main-sequence objects. For stars beyond the TAMS the purported pulsations within SLF variability are partially compatible with post-RSG, but all other properties do not indicate this case.

To conclude, our findings suggest that the properties of Btype supergiants are more likely explained by a combination of stars evolving as single stars, mixed with those resulting from binary interactions rather than by post-RSGs evolving as single. However, a more dedicated study on each of the different groups studied here is required to better connect the properties of each subsample with the theoretical predictions for single- and binary-evolution. Moreover, this study evidences the urgency to solve the mass-discrepancy problem affecting the almost entire sample.

Acknowledgements. AdB and SS-D acknowledge support from the Spanish Ministry of Science and Innovation (MICINN) through the Spanish State Research Agency through grants PID2021-122397NB-C21, and the Severo Ochoa Programme 2020-2023 (CEX2019-000920-S). Regarding the observing facilities, this research is based on observations made with the Mercator Telescope, operated by the Flemish Community at the Observatorio del Roque de los Muchachos (La Palma, Spain), of the Instituto de Astrofísica de Canarias. In particular, obtained with the HERMES spectrograph, which is supported by the Research Foundation - Flanders (FWO), Belgium, the Research Council of KU Leu-

ven, Belgium, the Fonds National de la Recherche Scientifique (F.R.S.-FNRS), Belgium, the Royal Observatory of Belgium, the Observatoire de Genève, Switzerland, and the Thüringer Landessternwarte Tautenburg, Germany. This research also based on observations with the Nordic Optical Telescope, owned in collaboration by the University of Turku and Aarhus University, and operated jointly by Aarhus University, the University of Turku and the University of Oslo, representing Denmark, Finland and Norway, the University of Iceland and Stockholm University, at the Observatorio del Roque de los Muchachos, of the Instituto de Astrofísica de Canarias. This work has made use of data from the European Space Agency (ESA) mission Gaia (https://www. cosmos.esa.int/gaia), processed by the Gaia Data Processing and Analysis Consortium (DPAC, https://www.cosmos.esa.int/web/gaia/dpac/ consortium). Funding for the DPAC has been provided by national institutions, in particular the institutions participating in the Gaia Multilateral Agreement. The TESS data used in this paper were obtained from the Mikulski Archive for Space Telescopes (MAST) at the Space Telescope Science Institute (STScI) and are freely available via the MAST archive: https://archive.stsci.edu/. MAST is operated by the Association of Universities for Research in Astronomy, Inc., under NASA contract NAS5-26555. Support to MAST for these data is provided by the NASA Office of Space Science via grant NAG5-7584 and by other grants and contracts. Funding for the TESS mission was provided by the NASA Explorer Program.

#### References

- Abt, H. A., Gomez, A. E., & Levy, S. G. 1990, ApJS, 74, 551
- Aerts, C. 2021, Reviews of Modern Physics, 93, 015001
- Aerts, C., Símon-Díaz, S., Bloemen, S., et al. 2017, A&A, 602, A32
- Babusiaux, C., Fabricius, C., Khanna, S., et al. 2023, A&A, 674, A32
- Bailer-Jones, C. A. L., Rybizki, J., Fouesneau, M., Demleitner, M., & Andrae, R. 2021, AJ, 161, 147
- Barbá, R. H., Gamen, R., Arias, J. I., & Morrell, N. I. 2017, in The Lives and Death-Throes of Massive Stars, ed. J. J. Eldridge, J. C. Bray, L. A. S. Mc-Clelland, & L. Xiao, Vol. 329, 89-96
- Bedding, T. R., Mosser, B., Huber, D., et al. 2011, Nature, 471, 608
- Bowman, D. M. 2023, Ap&SS, 368, 107
- Bowman, D. M., Aerts, C., Johnston, C., et al. 2019a, A&A, 621, A135
- Bowman, D. M., Burssens, S., Pedersen, M. G., et al. 2019b, Nature Astronomy, 3.760
- Bowman, D. M., Burssens, S., Simón-Díaz, S., et al. 2020, A&A, 640, A36
- Bowman, D. M. & Dorn-Wallenstein, T. Z. 2022, A&A, 668, A134
- Bowman, D. M., Vandenbussche, B., Sana, H., et al. 2022, A&A, 658, A96
- Brasseur, C. E., Phillip, C., Fleming, S. W., Mullally, S. E., & White, R. L. 2019, Astrocut: Tools for creating cutouts of TESS images, Astrophysics Source
- Code Library, record ascl:1905.007 Britavskiy, N., Simón-Díaz, S., Holgado, G., et al. 2023, A&A, 672, A22
- Brott, I., de Mink, S. E., Cantiello, M., et al. 2011, A&A, 530, A115
- Burssens, S., Simón-Díaz, S., Bowman, D. M., et al. 2020, A&A, 639, A81
- Cantiello, M., Langer, N., Brott, I., et al. 2009, A&A, 499, 279
- Carneiro, L. P., Puls, J., & Hoffmann, T. L. 2018, A&A, 615, A4
- Castro, N., Fossati, L., Langer, N., et al. 2014, A&A, 570, L13
- Chini, R., Hoffmeister, V. H., Nasseri, A., Stahl, O., & Zinnecker, H. 2012, MN-RAS, 424, 1925
- Choi, J., Dotter, A., Conroy, C., et al. 2016, ApJ, 823, 102
- de Burgos, A., Simón-Díaz, S., & Urbaneja, M. A. Chapter 5, PhD thesis
- de Burgos, A., Simón-Díaz, S., Urbaneja, M. A., & Negueruela, I. 2023a, A&A, 674, A212
- de Burgos, A., Simón-Díaz, S., Urbaneja, M. A., & Puls, J. 2023b, arXiv e-prints, arXiv:2312.00241
- de Mink, S. E., Langer, N., Izzard, R. G., Sana, H., & de Koter, A. 2013, ApJ, 764, 166
- de Mink, S. E., Pols, O. R., & Hilditch, R. W. 2007, A&A, 467, 1181
- de Mink, S. E., Sana, H., Langer, N., Izzard, R. G., & Schneider, F. R. N. 2014, ApJ, 782, 7
- Deming, D., Knutson, H., Kammer, J., et al. 2015, ApJ, 805, 132
- Dotter, A. 2016a, ApJS, 222, 8
- Dotter, A. 2016b, ApJS, 222, 8
- Edelmann, P. V. F., Ratnasingam, R. P., Pedersen, M. G., et al. 2019, ApJ, 876, 4
- Ekström, S., Georgy, C., Eggenberger, P., et al. 2012, A&A, 537, A146
- Fitzpatrick, E. L. & Garmany, C. D. 1990, ApJ, 363, 119
- Gaia Collaboration, Prusti, T., de Bruijne, J. H. J., et al. 2016, A&A, 595, A1
- Gaia Collaboration, Vallenari, A., Brown, A. G. A., et al. 2023, A&A, 674, A1 Georgy, C. 2012, A&A, 538, L8
- Georgy, C., Ekström, S., Eggenberger, P., et al. 2013, A&A, 558, A103
- Georgy, C., Meynet, G., & Maeder, A. 2011, A&A, 527, A52 Georgy, C., Saio, H., & Meynet, G. 2014, MNRAS, 439, L6 Georgy, C., Saio, H., & Meynet, G. 2021, A&A, 650, A128

- Glebbeek, E., Gaburov, E., Portegies Zwart, S., & Pols, O. R. 2013, MNRAS, 434, 3497
- Götberg, Y., de Mink, S. E., & Groh, J. H. 2017, A&A, 608, A11
- Grassitelli, L., Fossati, L., Simón-Diáz, S., et al. 2015, ApJ, 808, L31
- Groenewegen, M. A. T., Lamers, H. J. G. L. M., & Pauldrach, A. W. A. 1989, A&A, 221, 78
- Herrero, A., Vilchez, J. M., & Kudritzki, R. P. 1990, in Astronomical Society of the Pacific Conference Series, Vol. 7, Properties of Hot Luminous Stars, ed. C. D. Garmany, 50-52
- Humphreys, R. M. 1978, ApJS, 38, 309
- Kaufer, A., Wolf, B., Andersen, J., & Pasquini, L. 1997, The Messenger, 89, 1
- Keszthelyi, Z., de Koter, A., Götberg, Y., et al. 2022, MNRAS, 517, 2028 Keszthelyi, Z., Meynet, G., Georgy, C., et al. 2019, MNRAS, 485, 5843
- Kiminki, M. M., Kim, J. S., Bagley, M. B., Sherry, W. H., & Rieke, G. H. 2015, ApJ, 813, 42
- Kurfürst, P., Feldmeier, A., & Krtička, J. 2014, A&A, 569, A23
- Langer, N. 1992, A&A, 265, L17
- Langer, N. 2012, ARA&A, 50, 107
- Laplace, E., Götberg, Y., de Mink, S. E., Justham, S., & Farmer, R. 2020, A&A, 637. A6
- Lightkurve Collaboration, Cardoso, J. V. d. M., Hedges, C., et al. 2018, Lightkurve: Kepler and TESS time series analysis in Python, Astrophysics Source Code Library, record ascl:1812.013
- Maeder, A. & Meynet, G. 2000, ARA&A, 38, 143
- Maeder, A. & Meynet, G. 2012, Reviews of Modern Physics, 84, 25
- Maeder, A., Przybilla, N., Nieva, M.-F., et al. 2014, A&A, 565, A39
- Marchant, P. & Bodensteiner, J. 2023, arXiv e-prints, arXiv:2311.01865
- Martinet, S., Meynet, G., Ekström, S., et al. 2021, A&A, 648, A126
- Mason, B. D., Hartkopf, W. I., Gies, D. R., Henry, T. J., & Helsel, J. W. 2009, AJ, 137, 3358
- Mauron, N. & Josselin, E. 2011, A&A, 526, A156
- Menon, A., Ercolino, A., Urbaneja, M. A., et al. 2024, ApJ, 963, L42
- Przybilla, N., Firnstein, M., Nieva, M. F., Meynet, G., & Maeder, A. 2010, A&A, 517, A38
- Przybilla, N., Nieva, M.-F., & Butler, K. 2008, ApJ, 688, L103
- Puls, J., Najarro, F., Sundqvist, J. O., & Sen, K. 2020, A&A, 642, A172
- Puls, J., Urbaneja, M. A., Venero, R., et al. 2005, A&A, 435, 669
- Raskin, G., van Winckel, H., Hensberge, H., et al. 2011, A&A, 526, A69
- Repolust, T., Puls, J., & Herrero, A. 2004, A&A, 415, 349
- Ricker, G. R., Winn, J. N., Vanderspek, R., et al. 2015, Journal of Astronomical Telescopes, Instruments, and Systems, 1, 014003
- Rivero González, J. G., Puls, J., Massey, P., & Najarro, F. 2012, A&A, 543, A95
- Rivero González, J. G., Puls, J., & Najarro, F. 2011, A&A, 536, A58
- Saio, H., Georgy, C., & Meynet, G. 2013, MNRAS, 433, 1246
- Saio, H., Kuschnig, R., Gautschy, A., et al. 2006, ApJ, 650, 1111
- Sana, H. 2017, in The Lives and Death-Throes of Massive Stars, ed. J. J. Eldridge, J. C. Bray, L. A. S. McClelland, & L. Xiao, Vol. 329, 110-117
- Sana, H., de Mink, S. E., de Koter, A., et al. 2012, Science, 337, 444
- Santolaya-Rey, A. E., Puls, J., & Herrero, A. 1997, A&A, 323, 488
- Schneider, F. R. N., Podsiadlowski, P., Langer, N., Castro, N., & Fossati, L. 2016, MNRAS, 457, 2355
- Schneider, F. R. N., Podsiadlowski, P., & Müller, B. 2021, A&A, 645, A5
- Sen, K., Langer, N., Marchant, P., et al. 2022, A&A, 659, A98
- Simón-Díaz, S., Aerts, C., Urbaneja, M. A., et al. 2018, A&A, 612, A40
- Simón-Díaz, S., Britavskiy, N., Castro, N., Holgado, G., & de Burgos, A. 2024, arXiv e-prints, arXiv:2405.11209
- Simón-Díaz, S., de Burgos, A., Holgado, G., & Martinez, C. in prep., arXiv eprints
- Simón-Díaz, S. & Herrero, A. 2014, A&A, 562, A135
- Simón-Díaz, S., Negueruela, I., Maíz Apellániz, J., et al. 2015, in Highlights of Spanish Astrophysics VIII, 576-581
- Simón-Díaz, S., Pérez Prieto, J. A., Holgado, G., de Burgos, A., & Iacob Team. 2020, in XIV.0 Scientific Meeting (virtual) of the Spanish Astronomical Society, 187
- Sota, A., Maiz Apellaniz, J., Walborn, N. R., et al. 2014, VizieR Online Data Catalog, III/274
- Stothers, R. & Chin, C. W. 1975, ApJ, 198, 407
- Suzuki, T. K., Nakasato, N., Baumgardt, H., et al. 2007, ApJ, 668, 435
- Telting, J. H., Avila, G., Buchhave, L., et al. 2014, Astronomische Nachrichten, 335.41
- Tkachenko, A., Pavlovski, K., Johnston, C., et al. 2020, A&A, 637, A60
- Tkachenko, A., Pavlovski, K., Serebriakova, N., et al. 2024, A&A, 683, A252
- Urbaneja, M. A., Herrero, A., Bresolin, F., et al. 2005, ApJ, 622, 862
- van Loon, J. T., Cioni, M. R. L., Zijlstra, A. A., & Loup, C. 2005, A&A, 438, 273

Article number, page 13 of 15

Yoon, S. C., Woosley, S. E., & Langer, N. 2010, ApJ, 725, 940



Fig. A.1. Histogram of the relative uncertainty of  $M_{sp}$  for the full sample of stars. The mean, median, and  $\pm 1\sigma$  values are included.

#### Appendix A: Uncertainties of the spectroscopic masses

Figure A.1 shows the histogram of the relative uncertainties of  $M_{sp}$ . For most stars, this value is comprised between 10% and 30%. Based on these results, we adopted the mean plus 1 $\sigma$  value (33%) as the threshold cut to consider our determination of  $M_{sp}$  as reliable (see Sect. 4.1).

#### Appendix B: HR diagram of CNO analyzed stars

Figure B.1 shows an HR diagram similar to that in Fig. 2 but including only the 50 stars for which we obtained CNO abundances. We can see the five groups indicated in Sect. 4.2 with different  $T_{\text{eff}}$  and  $\log(L/L_{\odot})$  values, most of which include stars with different properties of those studied in this work.

#### Appendix C: HR diagram of stars without multi-epoch

The percentages of SB1 systems shown in Fig. 6 do not include the full sample of 728 stars. Instead, it only includes those objects for which multi-epoch spectra is available. Therefore, we performed a sanity check to see if the distribution of stars with only one epoch is homogeneously distributed in the HR diagram.

Figure C.1 shows the distribution of stars with and without multi-epoch data (black dots and gray crosses, respectively) as well as those detected as SB1 systems (open blue triangles). In general, both distributions are homogeneously mixed, ruling out important bias effects in the percentages shown in Fig. 6. Complementary, Fig. C.2 shows the relative percentages of the above mentioned groups, limiting the sample to stars with an uncertainty in  $M_{sp}$  lower than 33% and separating the sample in the same way as in Fig. 6. For stars above 25 kK (panels *a* and *d*), the fraction of stars with multi-epoch data ("ME" in the figure) is more than twice the fraction of stars with one spectra. The middle panels (*b* and *e*) both show that approximately half of the stars have available multi-epoch data. For stars below 21 kK, panel *c* has  $\approx 20\%$  more stars with only one spectra, however, the absolute numbers are the lowest of all the panels. Panel *f* shows



**Fig. B.1.** HR diagram of the 50 stars with CNO abundances. Symbols, evolutionary tracks and the position of the TAMS are marked as in Fig. 2, except for the SB1 systems, which are indicated with open blue triangles.



**Fig. C.1.** HR diagram displaying the full sample of 728 stars. Stars with and without multi-epoch data are indicated with black dots and gray crosses, respectively. Those stars identified as SB1 systems are also marked with open blue triangles. Evolutionary tracks are the same as in Fig. 2.

the opposite case, with  $\approx 20\%$  more stars with multi-epoch data. In general, we consider that the lack of multi-epoch data for the full sample should not bias the results of Fig. 6.

#### Appendix D: HR diagram of helium enriched stars

An important part of our discussion in this work is focused on the distribution of stars with enriched surface helium abundances shown in the HR diagram of Fig. 2. To rule out the possibility that this distribution and, in particular, the separation into two groups (see Sect. 5.2) is not the result of only selecting stars with an uncertainty in  $M_{sp}$  below 33%, Fig. D.1 includes all the stars with  $Y_{He} > 0.13$ . There, we see that, in fact, the separation in two



**Fig. C.2.** Grid of histograms showing the percentage of stars relative to the complete sample. The different panels separate the stars exactly as in Fig. 6, based on their  $T_{\rm eff}$  and  $M_{\rm sp}/M_{\rm ev}$  values. Each panel include the percentage and absolute number of stars without multi-epoch data ("No ME"), with multi-epoch data ("ME") and those identified as SB1 systems from the latter group.



**Fig. D.1.** HR diagram displaying the full sample of 728 stars. Stars with an uncertainty in  $M_{sp}$  below and above 33% are indicated with black dots and gray crosses, respectively. Note that those with black dots correspond to the same stars as in Fig. 2. Those stars with  $Y_{He}$  >0.13 are marked with open orange squares. Evolutionary tracks are the same as in Fig. 2.

groups, one populating the lower left half of the diagram, and another the upper right area, is preserved and even enhanced.



**Fig. E.1.**  $v_{char}$  against  $\sigma(\Delta T_p)$  for those stars with available multi-epoch data for which we were able to identify SB1 systems (blue dots) from those other stars without signatures of companion (gray dots). The figure includes Gaussian-convolved histograms of each quantity and for both groups of stars.

#### Appendix E: SB1 stars in the pulsational domain

In addition to the results presented in Sect. 5.3, Fig. E.1 shows the  $v_{char}$  quantity against  $\sigma(\Delta T_p)$ . The purpose of this figure is to check whether the presence of the companion in SB1 systems has any correlation or influence in the above quantities. Except for a few cases corresponding to confirmed pulsating variables, SB1 systems concentrate at  $\sigma(\Delta T_p) \leq 10$  mmag, as shown in the upper convolved histogram. With respect to  $v_{char}$ , we only observed a similar proportion of SB1 and single systems at  $v_{char} \gtrsim 2 d^{-1}$ . The latter can be an indication of a secondary star that contaminates the frequency spectrum. We must note that those SB1 systems detected as eclipsing binaries are excluded from this sample of stars, and therefore we expect a larger fraction of compact objects within the SB1 systems displayed in Fig. E.1. 7

## Conclusions and future work

Not all those who wander are lost. J.R.R. Tolkien, The Fellowship of the Ring

This last chapter summarizes the main results and highlights of the research done in this thesis. It also includes future interesting studies that should follow this work.

**B**-TYPE supergiants are very important pieces in the puzzle of massive star evolution theory and in our understanding of astrophysics in general. Despite the theoretical developments of the last decades, discrepancies between observational data and theoretical models have raised long-standing and new questions. Furthermore, B-type supergiants likely include objects of different evolutionary nature, further complicating the interpretation of the results. To improve this situation, statistically significant and homogeneous samples analyzed with a self-consistent approach are needed.

Despite this fact, until the present work there has not been a study in the Milky Way that has analyzed a spectroscopic dataset as large and of the quality as the one used in this thesis. In fact, at the time of its completion, it comprised  $\sim 900$  B-type supergiants and more than 6000 high-resolution spectra with high signal-to-noise ratios.

This important milestone was achieved by conducting several successful and strategic observing campaigns that aimed to improve the completeness of the sample and reduce observational biases. The combination of this excellent dataset gathered within the IACOB project, combined with a wealth of additional information from *Gaia* and TESS space missions, set the core of this thesis, which has provided a modern empirical reappraisal of the properties of B-type supergiants in the Galaxy and added new insights into their evolutionary nature.

The following pages summarize the achievements and important results obtained in this thesis. They are listed following the same order as they were presented in the different chapters, since, in general, each chapter was built upon the results of the previous one, as would do any scientific study.

#### Building a modern empirical database of blue supergiants

The article published in Astronomy & Astrophysics (A&A) corresponding to Chapter 2, was mainly dedicated to present and describe the spectroscopic sample of stars used in the follow-up chapters. We also evaluated its completeness level and provided a general overview on the rotational properties. In particular:

- We built a sample of 733 O9- to B9-type stars from an initial set of ≈11 000 high-resolution spectra from ≈1600 Galactic late O- and B-type stars. Of them 66% correspond to blue supergiants and the remaining ones to late O- and early B-type stars of interest.
- We performed the completeness analysis of our sample using the Alma Luminous Star catalog III (ALS III) and *Gaia*-DR3 data as reference. We obtained a very good completeness level of 80% for all-sky stars with  $B_{mag} < 9$  and 70% for stars with  $B_{mag} < 11$  in the northern hemisphere, both percentages within the first 2 kpc. Additionally, this allowed us to mine the gaps and reduce biases in the following observing runs.
- We developed a novel but simple technique to select stars in a specific region of the spectroscopic Hertzsprung–Russell diagram by fitting the H $\beta$  line, using the widths at different depths as a proxy of gravity, breaking the degeneracy produced by the observed projected rotational velocity ( $v \sin i$ ). This robust method is of much utility with the arrival of the next generation of spectroscopic surveys.
- This method also highlighted the risk of relying on spectral classifications from the literature. Many of these classifications, particularly

those obtained using low-resolution spectrographs, were found to be incorrect. While we have reclassified the most concerning cases, a thorough reclassification is still urgently needed.

- We performed the identification of 51 SB2 and five SB3 systems within the sample by using the available multi-epoch spectra and several key diagnostic lines for this purpose. Of all of them, we discovered eight new systems.
- A morphological classification of the  $H\alpha$  and  $H\beta$  lines was done for the best spectrum of each star in the sample, revealing first-time showed trends with the spectral types and the luminosity classes.

#### Large-scale quantitative spectroscopic analysis of the sample

A second article accepted in A&A corresponds to Chapter 3, and was dedicated to present the results from the quantitative spectroscopic analysis of the stars selected from Chapter 2. We provide an updated overview of the spectroscopic parameters of B-type supergiants, including information on the surface abundances and the stellar winds. The key aspects of the methodology and main findings are as follows:

- We used the semi-automatic **iacob-broad** tool to perform the linebroadening analysis of the stars. This tool combines a Fourier transform and goodness-of-fit techniques to provide estimates of  $v \sin i$ and the macroturbulent velocity ( $v_{\text{mac}}$ ).
- Supervised machine learning techniques combined with sophisticated atmospheric models (computed with the FASTWIND code) were used to perform a homogeneous spectroscopic analysis of the sample in much shorter timescales compared with traditional grid-based methods. This step also included a rigorous evaluation of the input diagnostic lines and the output probability distribution functions in order to assess and guarantee the quality of the results, which we made public for the community.
- The latter technique allowed us to derive effective temperatures  $(T_{\text{eff}})$ , surface gravities  $(\log g)$ , microturbulent velocities  $(\xi)$ , surface chemical abundances of helium and silicon, and the wind-strength parameter  $(\log Q)$  for a total of 527 O9- to B5-type stars, mostly comprising B-type supergiants. This immediately became the largest sample of

Galactic luminous blue stars analyzed to date, surpassing the previous reference work by Castro et al. (2014).

- We provided a new  $T_{\rm eff}$ -Spectral-type calibration for O9-B5 supergiant stars using accurate spectral reclassifications. We show a considerable improvement with respect to previous calibrations that used smaller samples of stars.
- Benefiting from the sample size, we performed a first attempt to locate the position of the TAMS based on the drop in number of stars below  $T_{\rm eff} \sim 21$  kK. In contrast to the classic interpretation that B-type supergiants are helium-core burning post-main sequence objects, our results suggested that a representative fraction of them would still be on the main sequence.
- As for O-type stars (e.g., Conti & Ebbets 1977; Holgado et al. 2022), the  $v \sin i$  distribution found for B-type luminous stars has a low $v \sin i$  component and a tail of fast rotators ( $v \sin i \gtrsim 100 \,\mathrm{km \, s^{-1}}$ ), which disappears below  $\sim 21 \,\mathrm{kK}$ . The lack of surface braking of the low- $v \sin i$  component within the full  $T_{\rm eff}$  range, plus the constant percentage of fast-rotating objects and associated upper envelope of values above  $\sim 21 \,\mathrm{kK}$ , all indicate a very efficient angular momentum transport mechanism between the core and the surface of massive stars.
- Despite the lack of fast-rotating stars and the drop in the  $v \sin i$  distribution below a given effective temperature are well known (e.g., Howarth et al. 1997; Fraser et al. 2010), our sample provides stronger empirical evidence of these features with respect to  $T_{\rm eff}$ . The observed drop has been proposed to either delineate the end of the main sequence, or be the result of an enhanced angular momentum loss caused by enhanced mass-loss rates at the predicted bi-stability jump (see Vink et al. 2010). This motivated us to undertake the work of Chapter 4.
- We found evidence of the positive connection between microturbulent and macroturbulent velocities, two line-broadening parameters for which the exact physical origin is not well understood. We showed for the first time, a map of microturbulence in a spectroscopic HR diagram (sHR:  $\mathcal{L}-T_{\text{eff}}$ ; Langer & Kudritzki 2014); and strong cor-

relations between  $\xi$ ,  $v_{\text{mac}}$ , and  $\log(\mathcal{L}/\mathcal{L}_{\odot})$ , providing insight into the properties of the associated turbulent motions.

- We found a majority of stars with helium abundances  $Y_{\text{He}} \sim 0.10$ , and a sub-group exhibiting helium-enriched atmospheres ( $Y_{\text{He}} > 0.13$ ) representing  $\sim 20\%$  of the sample. After comparing with evolutionary models from Brott et al. (2011a), Ekström et al. (2012), and Keszthelyi et al. (2022), we suggested that the origin of this sub-group might be in binary evolution.
- The results for silicon abundances provided an average value of  $\epsilon_{\rm Si}^{1} = 7.46\pm0.14$  dex. This is consistent with previous results, but we noticed a larger scatter in our case, which we attributed to the larger sample and important mix of objects.
- We showed a clear connection between the wind-strength parameter and the morphological classification of the H $\alpha$  line profile (taken from Chapter 2), which we showed for the first time in a sHR diagram. This allowed us to study the region below  $T_{\rm eff} \sim 22$  kK, where increased mass-loss rates were predicted (Vink et al. 2001). Despite we found an important separation of stars with log Q above and below -13.6 separating stars with very different H $\alpha$  profiles, we did not find any increase in log Q.

#### No increase of mass-loss rates over the bi-stability region

The third accepted article in form of A&A Letter corresponds to Chapter 4. The initial aim of this work was to test the mass-loss rate predictions of Vink et al. (2001) to further explore the possibility that the observed drop in fast-rotating objects described in Chapter 3 is not the result of a "bi-stability breaking" (Vink et al. 2010) triggered by enhanced mass-loss rates at  $\sim 25 \text{ kK}$  (the bi-stability region), but instead the result of stars reaching the TAMS. In brief:

• We combined the results of Chapter 3 with reliable *Gaia*-DR3 distances and wind terminal velocities from the literature (from UV spectra), to obtain upper limits on the mass-loss rates for 116 Galactic luminous blue supergiants covering 35–15 kK in effective temperatures.

 $^{1}\epsilon_{\mathrm{Si}} = 12 + \log\left(\frac{\mathrm{N(Si)}}{\mathrm{N(H)}}\right)$ 

- We found no increase in mass-loss rates over the bi-stability region in any of the three luminosity ranges in which we separated our sample. Considering the fact that the predicted increase by Vink et al. (2001) (by a factor of five to seven) is widely implemented in most evolutionary codes (Brott et al. 2011a; Ekström et al. 2012; Paxton et al. 2013), we suggest an urgent revision of the included mass-loss rate prescriptions (e.g., using the alternatives by Krtička et al. 2021; Björklund et al. 2023).
- We investigated the effect of clumping in our results using the latest predictions and results for O-type stars and B-type supergiants using the micro- and macro-clumping formalisms. Using also our own simulations with FASTWIND, we find that the distribution of mass-loss rates (as also of the wind-strength parameter) would, at most, become flatter within the considered range of effective temperatures.

#### New clues on the location of the TAMS of massive stars

The Chapter 5 of this thesis corresponds to an article about to be submitted to A&A, which is dedicated to investigate the end of the main sequence in the massive-star domain. The lack of increased mass-loss rates found in Chapter 4 led us to retake the possibility that the lack of fast-rotating objects can also be used to find the location of the TAMS. Benefiting from an extended sample size, we evaluated the drop with  $T_{\rm eff}$ in relative number of stars, fast-rotating objects, and also spectroscopic binaries to delineate the TAMS. Our main results can be summarized as follows:

- We extended our sample presented in Chapter 3 with ~150 additional sources from new observing campaigns, and followed the same methodology to obtain their spectroscopic parameters. In addition, we included 150 O3- to O9-type stars from Holgado et al. (2018, 2020, 2022). After limiting the sample to objects with low error-over-parallax, our sample comprised ~830 sources between 49-14 kK.
- We used the ALS III catalog introduced in Chapter 2 to select a subsample of stars with the best balance between completeness level and number of sources to evaluate the position of the TAMS. The chosen volume-limited sample comprised 643 stars located within the

first 2500 pc, reaching a  $\gtrsim 60\%$  completeness for all-sky stars with  $B_{mag} < 11$ , and becoming most complete and homogeneous spectroscopic sample of Galactic massive stars analyzed to date.

- We employed Gaia distances to obtain absolute luminosities and show this sample in the HR diagram. The analysis of the density of stars showed a drop below  $T_{\rm eff} = 22 - 25 \,\rm kK$  for luminosities between  $\log(L/L_{\odot}) = 4.3 - 5.7 \,\rm dex$ , which corresponds to initial masses between 12 and 40 M<sub> $\odot$ </sub>, and that we interpret as the location of the TAMS. This is an important improvement over previous studies that attempted to locate the TAMS, particularly above ~25 M<sub> $\odot$ </sub>, where the TAMS was suggested to be at ~10 kK.
- An in-depth evaluation of the factors involved in the scarcity of fastrotating objects on the cool side of the TAMS led us to conclude that this phenomenon is likely due to a combination of stars reaching near-critical velocity and the result of two compatible scenarios. First, that as stars cross the TAMS, the proportion of fast-rotating stars significantly decreases. Second, if fast-rotating stars are the result of binary interaction during their main sequence, and a spindown occurs in relatively short timescales, it becomes improbable to observe them after the TAMS.
- We observed an increasing number of fast-rotating stars extending beyond the TAMS towards lower  $\log(L/L_{\odot})$  values. Besides the aforementioned factors, we argue that this could be a consequence of rotationally induced effects that extend the width of the main sequence.
- We interpreted the much larger fraction of slowly-rotating stars compared fast-rotating ones (shown also in Chapters 2 and 3) and the apparent decrease in  $v \sin i$  of the former group with decreasing  $T_{\rm eff}$ as an indicator that massive stars may enter the main sequence with low to mild initial rotations ( $v \sin i/v_{\rm crit} \leq 0.2$ ). This would be further supported under the assumption that fast-rotating stars mainly result from binary interaction, as already suggested by several authors (e.g., Ramírez-Agudelo et al. 2013; de Mink et al. 2014; Holgado et al. 2022).
- In addition to the SB2 systems identified in Chapter 2, we used all available multi-epoch spectra and, in particular, the peak-to-peak

radial velocities, to find all single-line spectroscopic binaries (SB1) within the sample of B-type stars. Combined with the information on O-type spectroscopic binaries from Holgado et al. (2018), we evaluated the fraction of these systems as a function of  $T_{\rm eff}$ . Our results showed a significant decrease from 37% of SB1 systems within the main sequence to 14% beyond the TAMS, which we consider as an additional empirical clue for our proposed location for the TAMS. We found a similar qualitative behavior for the SB2 systems.

- Our results provide strong empirical constraints for improving stellar evolution models. Particularly with respect to the width of the main sequence in the massive star domain. We suggest a revision of the overshooting parameter for non-rotating models of Brott et al. (2011a) and Ekström et al. (2012), and Choi et al. (2016).
- An important aspect to consider in the interpretation of our previous results comes from the possible "contamination" by objects that have followed other evolutionary paths than the canonical single evolution. These refer to post-RSGs objects that have undergone a blue-loop evolution (e.g., Stothers & Chin 1975; Ekström et al. 2012), but also stars that have evolved through binary interactions (e.g., Sana et al. 2012; Moe & Di Stefano 2017).

#### Properties and evolutionary nature of B-type supergiants

The scientific content of this thesis is closed with Chapter 6, and corresponds to an article in an advanced stage of preparation to be submitted to A&A. It focuses on studying the properties of B-type supergiants on each side of the TAMS, and finding new clues on their nature for which, on top of the empirical information already presented, we include additional information on the spectroscopic masses, CNO surface abundances, and pulsational properties of the sample. The latter obtained from the TESS photometric light curves. The key points and main results of this work are listed below.

• We have employed a sample of ~600 B-type supergiants plus ~130 O9-type classified stars of similar  $T_{\rm eff}$  and log g. As in Chapter 5, they were selected to have reliable distances. In total, we accounted for 728 stars.

- By calculating the spectroscopic masses and comparing them with the evolutionary ones  $(M_{\rm sp}/M_{\rm ev(HR)})$ , we aimed at investigating objects with strong indications of having gained or lost mass at some point during their evolution. However, our results first evidenced a systematic discrepancy (known as the "mass-discrepancy problem"; see Groenewegen et al. 1989; Herrero et al. 1990) going from  $M_{\rm sp}/M_{\rm ev(HR)} \sim 50\%$  for stars within the main sequence, to  $\sim 30\%$  for stars located after the TAMS.
- Assuming stars near the mean discrepancy values on each side of the TAMS as "normal" and those separated more than  $3\sigma$  as "peculiar", we evaluated the presence of post-RSGs within our sample, as they are predicted to experience important mass-loss episodes during the RSG phase. Moreover, they are also predicted to exhibit heliumenriched atmospheres. However, our results do not suggest their presence within our sample, having important implications to our understanding of massive star evolution.
- We considered helium-enriched and fast-rotating objects as potential post-binary interaction objects. We evaluated their positions in the HR diagram and with respect  $M_{\rm sp}/M_{\rm ev(HR)}$  to identify groups of particular interest based on our current understanding of binary interactions. For this, we also included the information on objects detected as SB1 systems. For stars within the main sequence, we found a group of  $\sim 30$  peculiar stars that includes the largest percentage of SB1 systems in the sample (59%). Their larger  $M_{\rm sp}/M_{\rm ev(HR)}$ ratio, coinciding with many fast-rotating objects and the normal helium abundances, suggests mass accretion of unprocessed material. Although the nature of their companion is not obvious, one possibility could be a less-massive main-sequence object. We also found two separate groups of helium-enriched objects. One group located immediately after the TAMS and above the  $25 \,\mathrm{M}_{\odot}$  track. On average, the  $\sim 20$  stars in this group have  $10 \,\mathrm{M}_{\odot}$  more than those objects located in the symmetrically opposite position with respect to the TAMS, and are our strongest candidates for being merger products. Multi-epoch observations for 70% of them also discard the presence of companions. Moreover, this test also rules out the possibility that any of these objects is a post-RSG. The other group is on the main sequence, but also reaches the TAMS and mixes slowly- and fast-

rotating stars. The fast-rotating group comprises at least 43% of SB1 systems and includes some peculiar stars. Thus, it may comprise mass-gainers from a more evolved star, or mergers.

- CNO surface abundances were obtained for a sub-sample of 50 stars following a similar methodology as one described in Chapter 3, and including different types of objects to provide a broader overview of the behavior of the abundances across the HR diagram. All stars on the hot side of the TAMS are compatible with stars evolving on the main sequence. At the TAMS and beyond, we find a group of helium-enriched objects with spectroscopic masses larger than that of other stars of the same luminosity. Recent predictions by Menon et al. (2024) suggest that these stars and some main-sequence fast-rotating objects with high N/O and N/C ratios could only explained by merger products.
- We processed and analyzed TESS photometric light curves for 215 stars in the sample. We found similarity between the distribution of the standard deviation of the photometric variability and the predictions for turbulent pressure by Grassitelli et al. (2015). However, this also has similitudes with the map of the wind-strength parameter, complicating the study of the physical origin of the widely detected stochastic low-frequency variability revealed by the periodograms.
- The results on the pulsational properties did not reveal significant differences between stars located before and after the TAMS, suggesting that the origin of the stochastic low frequency variability after crossing the TAMS is produced either by the hydrogen-burning shell (since the hydrogen burning at the core is halted), or in the subsurface convection zone, connected with the macroturbulent motions of the surface.

#### **Future work**

For more than 30 years, the evolutionary nature of B-type supergiants has been a subject of study. Currently, we do now have a trusted and reliable method to determine which of these stars have left the main sequence, undergone a RSG phase, or interacted with a companion during their evolution. However, theoretical advances in the last decades have also proposed several non-exclusive theories or mechanisms to explain many of the observed properties of B-type supergiants. To overcome this long-standing problem and reconcile the discrepancies between observational data and theoretical predictions, studies using statistically significant and bias-reduced samples of these objects, such as the one used in this thesis, are essential.

Looking ahead, the upcoming arrival of WEAVE-SCIP (Dalton et al. 2020; Jin et al. 2024a) and 4MOST-4MIDABLE-LR (Chiappini et al. 2019) large spectroscopic surveys will significantly increase the number of observed B-type supergiants in the Milky Way. The insights gained from this thesis will surely benefit us in the mining and interpretation of these new data, and ultimately improving our understanding of the properties and evolution of massive stars and its intricate puzzle.

Besides the above-mentioned upcoming work, we now list some of the "open topics" which could not be addressed during this thesis, that will certainly become part of the future work.

- Studying massive stars within stellar clusters or associations offers a valuable approach to improve the present situation, thanks to their shared ages and compositions, which simplifies any comparison. The Perseus OB1 association is an ideal case, as it gathers one of the largest observed populations of massive stars in the Milky Way. To study it, we count with high-resolution spectra of ~200 of the most luminous objects. The blue-to-red SGs ratio can also provide important constraints to evolutionary models (see Langer & Maeder 1995).
- The large number of Galactic B-type supergiants with erroneous spectral classifications (Chapter 2) highlighted the need for a comprehensive reclassification. To address this, we introduced a new grid of B-type standard stars in Negueruela et al. (2024). In addition, machine learning techniques could facilitate the classification process compared to traditional "by-eye" methods.
- The flux-weighted gravity-luminosity relationship (e.g., Kudritzki et al. 2003) of B-type supergiants is a powerful tool for determining extragalactic distances (e.g., Urbaneja et al. 2017), as well as to constraint stellar evolution models (Meynet et al. 2015). We have not explored this tool within this thesis but we believe that, given the properties of the sample, it will provide new useful insights.
- Our results form Chapter 4 led the door open to investigate the wind

momentum-luminosity relationship (Puls et al. 1996; Kudritzki et al. 1999). This relationship connects stellar wind properties of massive stars with their intrinsic luminosity, and can be used within our sample to investigate how this relationship changes for sub-groups of stars with different spectral types or luminosity classes.

- The simultaneous fitting of optical and UV spectra for our complete sample can provide additional information on mass-loss rates and the wind structure (clumping). Moreover, investigating those rates for stars with effective temperatures below 15 kK will add new constraints to the newer prescriptions of mass-loss rates by Krtička et al. (2021) and Björklund et al. (2023).
- In Chapter 6 we presented results of CNO surface abundances for a sub-sample of 50 stars. Unfortunately, we did not have enough time to extend the analysis to the full sample. However, it becomes clear that this will be one of the key works that will follow this thesis, as it will bring further clues on the nature of the B-type supergiants. Particularly on the presence of products of binary interaction.
- The results of Chapter 6 also evidenced a systematic mass discrepancy observed in most stars within the sample. Whether this discrepancy is related to some of the derived spectroscopic parameters, such as the surface gravity, or it arises from the model computations is something that deserves further investigation. In this regard, improved distances from *Gaia* DR4 will also be valuable to find the source of the discrepancy.
- The study of pulsational properties in massive stars offers a unique approach to explore their stellar structure and interior. This thesis presents a preliminary work on some of the properties, but future research will hopefully help us to find new clues on the physical origin of the observed variability.
- Last but not least, *Gaia* proper motions, combined with systemic radial velocities, can provide information on the dynamical state of stars. These data can be used to identify runaway objects that have been dynamically ejected from their parental clusters. For instance, the case of stars evolving in binary systems that are kicked by supernova explosion of their more evolved companion.

## A

## Appendices

#### A.1 Developed *pyIACOB* Python 3 package

This appendix aims to illustrate some of the functionalities of the pyIA-COB package. Developed within the IACOB project, pyIACOB is the first Python package designed specifically for users of the IACOB spectroscopic database. It primarily serves present and future generations of master's and doctoral students who are familiar with the Python programming language. The package provides quick control and visualization of IACOB spectra, which have been acquired using the FIES, HERMES, and FEROS high-resolution echelle spectrographs (see Sect. 1.3). However, some functionalities can also be used with any spectra (synthetic or real), at a wide range of resolutions, loaded as an ASCII file.

#### A.1.1 Database module: Search tool and summary tables

The database module, once linked to the folder containing the IACOB spectra, can be used to search for the best signal- to-noise ratio (S/N), or any spectra above an input S/N value. This module can also be used to create master tables by filtering all the stars in the database by their visual magnitude, coordinates (equatorial or galactic), spectral types, or luminosity classes. It also allows to complement the table with the associated information from *Gaia* DR2 or DR3, given a search radius. Other interesting features include the possibility of querying any object in the SIMBAD astronomical database (Weis & Bomans 2020), or to run several sanity checks and fixes on the spectral database.



FIGURE A.1— Best S/N spectrum of HD 198 478 (B4 Ia) as shown in pyIACOB using the spec module. In blue, the original spectrum. In orange, the same spectrum degraded to R=2500. Some relevant atomic lines included with the module are shown for reference.

#### A.1.2 Spectrum module: Visualization and line fitting

The **spec** module is the most elaborate in pyIACOB. It requires from an input spectrum, which can be selected as the best available for a given star. Once done, a class is created with all the relevant information from the file header, and generates the corresponding arrays with the wavelength and the flux. Optionally, the user can provide the associated radial velocity. The module allows to visualize the selected spectrum and overplot different lists of atomic lines (see Fig. A.1 for a sample case). The spectrum can also be cleaned from cosmic rays or other artifacts, degraded to a chosen resolution (see again Fig. A.1), and exported into an ASCII file.

In addition to the features mentioned above, the **spec** module allows the user to perform the fitting of spectral lines in absorption using several built-in functions (selected by the user). These include the Gaussian and Lorentzian basic functions, but also other more complex to fit Voigt profiles, and profiles with rotational or macroturbulent broadening (see Fig. A.2 for two examples). An iterative local normalization and fitting procedure is also implemented. The module returns the radial velocity of the fitted line, the equivalent width, and the S/N, among other parameters and fitting information.

#### A.1.3 Radial velocity module

The radial velocity RV module provides pyIACOB with the tool to measure the radial velocity of a given spectrum or spectra (as chosen by the user).



FIGURE A.2— Fitting of the Si III  $\lambda$ 4552.62 Å (left) and H $\beta$   $\lambda$ 4861.33 Å (right) of HD 211 880 (B0.5 V) as done by the **spec** module of *pyIACOB*. In orange, the original spectrum, and in blue, the normalized one. The green line corresponds to the fitting of the spectral line. The black line on top of the spectrum indicates the areas not used in the normalization. The red line is used for the normalization of the spectrum.

To achieve this, the user can either provide a list of diagnostic lines or choose one of the default lists optimized for O- B- and A-type stars. A line-fitting routine coupled with a sigma-clipping function is used to obtain the final velocity. Alternatively, a cross-correlation function can be used if a reference spectrum is provided.

#### A.1.4 Higher-level modules

A set of modules in pyIACOB are based on those already mentioned and are used to facilitate the work when using large samples of stars or spectra. For example, the functions of the **measure** module automate the process of obtaining the equivalent widths of large sets of lines; or produce the radial velocity curves from multi-epoch spectra, which are used to separate intrinsic variability from SB1 systems (see Chapter 5). Two examples of radial velocity curves obtained with the **measure.auto\_RV** routine are shown in Fig. A.3. The **measure.measure\_Hb** has been particularly useful in measuring the quantity FW3414 (H $\beta$ ), which is used as a proxy of gravity to separate B-type supergiants (see Chapter 2 for more details). Additionally, the **binarity** module facilitates the identification of SB2 systems, offering different ways to plot the available spectra. It has been particularly useful in Chapters 2 and 5.



FIGURE A.3— Radial velocity curves of HD 38771 (left) and HD 37128 (right) obtained with the measure.auto\_RV module of *pyIACOB*.

#### A.1.5 Modules connecting Python with IDL programs

Other modules of pyIACOB have been created to provide the input or output files of two IDL-based programs used within the IACOB project. The IACOBroad module helps the user create the input file for the IACOB-BROAD tool (Simón-Díaz & Herrero 2014), whereas the module MAUI allows to create not only the necessary input files to run the statistical emulator of FASTWIND, but also to obtain the probability distribution functions and the best fitting model from the IDL solution files (see Chapter 3 for more details).
## Bibliography

- Abbott, B. P., Abbott, R., Abbott, T. D., et al. 2016, Phys. Rev. Lett., 116, 061102
- Abbott, D. C. & Conti, P. S. 1987, ARA&A, 25, 113
- Aerts, C. 2021, Reviews of Modern Physics, 93, 015001
- Aerts, C., Bowman, D. M., Símon-Díaz, S., et al. 2018, MNRAS, 476, 1234
- Aerts, C. & Lamers, H. J. G. L. M. 2003, A&A, 403, 625
- Aerts, C., Mathis, S., & Rogers, T. M. 2019, ARA&A, 57, 35
- Ansdell, M., Haworth, T. J., Williams, J. P., et al. 2020, AJ, 160, 248
- Arias, J. I., Walborn, N. R., Simón Díaz, S., et al. 2016, AJ, 152, 31
- Babusiaux, C., Fabricius, C., Khanna, S., et al. 2023, A&A, 674, A32
- Barbá, R., Gamen, R., Arias, J. I., et al. 2014, in Revista Mexicana de Astronomia y Astrofisica Conference Series, Vol. 44, Revista Mexicana de Astronomia y Astrofisica Conference Series, 148–148
- Barbá, R. H., Gamen, R., Arias, J. I., et al. 2010, in Revista Mexicana de Astronomia y Astrofisica Conference Series, Vol. 38, Revista Mexicana de Astronomia y Astrofisica Conference Series, 30–32
- Barbá, R. H., Gamen, R., Arias, J. I., & Morrell, N. I. 2017, in The Lives and Death-Throes of Massive Stars, ed. J. J. Eldridge, J. C. Bray, L. A. S. McClelland, & L. Xiao, Vol. 329, 89–96

Becker, S. R. & Butler, K. 1988, A&A, 201, 232

- Becker, S. R. & Butler, K. 1989, A&A, 209, 244
- Belczynski, K., Holz, D. E., Bulik, T., & O'Shaughnessy, R. 2016, Nature, 534, 512
- Bernasconi, P. A. & Maeder, A. 1996, A&A, 307, 829
- Björklund, R., Sundqvist, J. O., Singh, S. M., Puls, J., & Najarro, F. 2023, A&A, 676, A109
- Blaha, C. & Humphreys, R. M. 1989, AJ, 98, 1598
- Blitz, L. & Stark, A. A. 1986, ApJL, 300, L89
- Blomme, R., Mahy, L., Catala, C., et al. 2011, A&A, 533, A4
- Bond, J. R., Arnett, W. D., & Carr, B. J. 1984, ApJ, 280, 825
- Borucki, W. J., Koch, D., Basri, G., et al. 2010, Science, 327, 977
- Bowman, D. M. 2020, in Stars and their Variability Observed from Space, ed. C. Neiner, W. W. Weiss, D. Baade, R. E. Griffin, C. C. Lovekin, & A. F. J. Moffat, 53–59
- Bowman, D. M., Aerts, C., Johnston, C., et al. 2019, A&A, 621, A135
- Bowman, D. M., Burssens, S., Simón-Díaz, S., et al. 2020, A&A, 640, A36
- Bresolin, F., Kudritzki, R.-P., Urbaneja, M. A., et al. 2016, ApJ, 830, 64
- Britavskiy, N., Simón-Díaz, S., Holgado, G., et al. 2023, A&A, 672, A22
- Bromm, V., Kudritzki, R. P., & Loeb, A. 2001, ApJ, 552, 464
- Bromm, V. & Larson, R. B. 2004, ARA&A, 42, 79
- Brott, I., de Mink, S. E., Cantiello, M., et al. 2011a, A&A, 530, A115
- Brott, I., Evans, C. J., Hunter, I., et al. 2011b, A&A, 530, A116
- Burssens, S., Bowman, D. M., Michielsen, M., et al. 2023, Nature Astronomy, 7, 913
- Burssens, S., Simón-Díaz, S., Bowman, D. M., et al. 2020, A&A, 639, A81

- Buysschaert, B., Aerts, C., Bloemen, S., et al. 2015, MNRAS, 453, 89
- Castor, J. I., Abbott, D. C., & Klein, R. I. 1975, ApJ, 195, 157
- Castor, J. I. & Lamers, H. J. G. L. M. 1979, ApJS, 39, 481
- Castro, N., Fossati, L., Langer, N., et al. 2014, A&A, 570, L13
- Cayrel, R. 1958, Supplements aux Annales d'Astrophysique, 6, 5
- Cescutti, G. & Chiappini, C. 2010, A&A, 515, A102
- Chabrier, G. 2005, in Astrophysics and Space Science Library, Vol. 327, The Initial Mass Function 50 Years Later, ed. E. Corbelli, F. Palla, & H. Zinnecker, 41
- Chaplin, W. J. & Miglio, A. 2013, ARA&A, 51, 353
- Chiappini, C., Minchev, I., Starkenburg, E., et al. 2019, The Messenger, 175, 30
- Chiosi, C. & Summa, C. 1970, Ap&SS, 8, 478
- Choi, J., Dotter, A., Conroy, C., et al. 2016, ApJ, 823, 102
- Conti, P. S. 1975, Memoires of the Societe Royale des Sciences de Liege, 9, 193
- Conti, P. S. & Ebbets, D. 1977, ApJ, 213, 438
- Crowther, P. A., Lennon, D. J., & Walborn, N. R. 2006, A&A, 446, 279
- Crowther, P. A., Smith, L. J., Hillier, D. J., & Schmutz, W. 1995, A&A, 293, 427
- Dalton, G., Trager, S., Abrams, D. C., et al. 2020, in Society of Photo-Optical Instrumentation Engineers (SPIE) Conference Series, Vol. 11447, Ground-based and Airborne Instrumentation for Astronomy VIII, ed. C. J. Evans, J. J. Bryant, & K. Motohara, 1144714
- David-Uraz, A., Owocki, S. P., Wade, G. A., Sundqvist, J. O., & Kee, N. D. 2017, MNRAS, 470, 3672
- Davies, B., Hoare, M. G., Lumsden, S. L., et al. 2011, MNRAS, 416, 972

- de Burgos, A., Simon-Díaz, S., Lennon, D. J., et al. 2020, A&A, 643, A116
- de Mink, S. E., Sana, H., Langer, N., Izzard, R. G., & Schneider, F. R. N. 2014, ApJ, 782, 7
- de Wit, W. J., Testi, L., Palla, F., Vanzi, L., & Zinnecker, H. 2004, A&A, 425, 937
- de Wit, W. J., Testi, L., Palla, F., & Zinnecker, H. 2005, A&A, 437, 247
- Degroote, P., Briquet, M., Auvergne, M., et al. 2010, A&A, 519, A38
- Domček, V., Hernández Santisteban, J. V., Chiotellis, A., et al. 2023, MNRAS, 526, 1112
- Dufton, P. L. 1972, A&A, 16, 301
- Dufton, P. L. 1979, A&A, 73, 203
- Dufton, P. L., Langer, N., Dunstall, P. R., et al. 2013, A&A, 550, A109
- Dupret, M. A., Thoul, A., Scuflaire, R., et al. 2004, A&A, 415, 251
- Eber, F. & Butler, K. 1988, A&A, 202, 153
- Ekström, S., Georgy, C., Eggenberger, P., et al. 2012, A&A, 537, A146
- Elbakyan, V. G., Nayakshin, S., Vorobyov, E. I., Caratti o Garatti, A., & Eislöffel, J. 2021, A&A, 651, L3
- Eldridge, J. J. & Stanway, E. R. 2022, ARA&A, 60, 455
- Evans, C., Hunter, I., Smartt, S., et al. 2008, The Messenger, 131, 25
- Evans, C. J. 2011, in Active OB Stars: Structure, Evolution, Mass Loss, and Critical Limits, ed. C. Neiner, G. Wade, G. Meynet, & G. Peters, Vol. 272, 233–241
- Evans, C. J., Bresolin, F., Urbaneja, M. A., et al. 2007, ApJ, 659, 1198
- Evans, C. J., Kennedy, M. B., Dufton, P. L., et al. 2015, A&A, 574, A13
- Evans, Neal J., I. 1991, in Astronomical Society of the Pacific Conference Series, Vol. 20, Frontiers of Stellar Evolution, ed. D. L. Lambert, 45

- Evans, Neal J., I., Dunham, M. M., Jørgensen, J. K., et al. 2009, ApJS, 181, 321
- Farrell, E. J., Groh, J. H., Meynet, G., et al. 2019, A&A, 621, A22
- Fitzpatrick, E. L. & Garmany, C. D. 1990, ApJ, 363, 119
- Fraser, M., Dufton, P. L., Hunter, I., & Ryans, R. S. I. 2010, MNRAS, 404, 1306
- Gaia Collaboration, Prusti, T., de Bruijne, J. H. J., et al. 2016, A&A, 595, A1
- Gaia Collaboration, Vallenari, A., Brown, A. G. A., et al. 2023, A&A, 674, A1
- Garcia, M., Evans, C. J., Bestenlehner, J. M., et al. 2019, arXiv e-prints, arXiv:1908.04687
- García, R. A. & Ballot, J. 2019, Living Reviews in Solar Physics, 16, 4
- Garmany, C. D. & Fitzpatrick, E. L. 1989, in Astrophysics and Space Science Library, Vol. 157, IAU Colloq. 113: Physics of Luminous Blue Variables, ed. K. Davidson, A. F. J. Moffat, & H. J. G. L. M. Lamers, 83
- Geen, S., Bieri, R., Rosdahl, J., & de Koter, A. 2021, MNRAS, 501, 1352
- Georgy, C., Ekström, S., Eggenberger, P., et al. 2013, A&A, 558, A103
- Gies, D. R. & Lambert, D. L. 1992, ApJ, 387, 673
- Godart, M., Simón-Díaz, S., Herrero, A., et al. 2017, A&A, 597, A23
- Gräfener, G., Owocki, S. P., & Vink, J. S. 2012, A&A, 538, A40
- Grassitelli, L., Fossati, L., Simón-Diáz, S., et al. 2015, ApJL, 808, L31
- Groenewegen, M. A. T., Lamers, H. J. G. L. M., & Pauldrach, A. W. A. 1989, A&A, 221, 78
- Groh, J. H., Meynet, G., & Ekström, S. 2013, A&A, 550, L7
- Gvaramadze, V. V. & Gualandris, A. 2011, MNRAS, 410, 304

- Haemmerlé, L., Eggenberger, P., Ekström, S., et al. 2019, A&A, 624, A137
- Hamann, W. R. & Koesterke, L. 1998, A&A, 335, 1003
- Heckman, T. M., Borthakur, S., Overzier, R., et al. 2011, ApJ, 730, 5
- Heger, A. & Langer, N. 2000, ApJ, 544, 1016
- Heger, A. & Woosley, S. E. 2010, ApJ, 724, 341
- Heger, A., Woosley, S. E., & Spruit, H. C. 2005, ApJ, 626, 350
- Heintz, K. E., Fynbo, J. P. U., Geier, S. J., et al. 2020, A&A, 644, A17
- Herbst, W. & Assousa, G. E. 1977, ApJ, 217, 473
- Herrero, A., Kudritzki, R. P., Vilchez, J. M., et al. 1992, A&A, 261, 209
- Herrero, A., Vilchez, J. M., & Kudritzki, R. P. 1990, in Astronomical Society of the Pacific Conference Series, Vol. 7, Properties of Hot Luminous Stars, ed. C. D. Garmany, 50–52
- Higgins, E. R., Sander, A. A. C., Vink, J. S., & Hirschi, R. 2021, MNRAS, 505, 4874
- Hillier, D. J. & Miller, D. L. 1998, ApJ, 496, 407
- Hirschi, R. 2007, A&A, 461, 571
- Hirschi, R. 2015, in Astrophysics and Space Science Library, Vol. 412, Very Massive Stars in the Local Universe, ed. J. S. Vink, 157
- Holgado, G. 2019, PhD thesis, Astrophysical Institute of the Canaries; University of La Laguna, Spain
- Holgado, G., Simón-Díaz, S., Barbá, R. H., et al. 2018, A&A, 613, A65
- Holgado, G., Simón-Díaz, S., Haemmerlé, L., et al. 2020, A&A, 638, A157
- Holgado, G., Simón-Díaz, S., Herrero, A., & Barbá, R. H. 2022, A&A, 665, A150
- Hollenbach, D., Johnstone, D., Lizano, S., & Shu, F. 1994, ApJ, 428, 654
- Hopkins, P. F., Kereš, D., Oñorbe, J., et al. 2014, MNRAS, 445, 581

- Hosokawa, T. & Omukai, K. 2009, ApJ, 691, 823
- Howarth, I. D., Siebert, K. W., Hussain, G. A. J., & Prinja, R. K. 1997, MNRAS, 284, 265
- Howell, S. B., Sobeck, C., Haas, M., et al. 2014, PASP, 126, 398
- Hummer, D. G. & Rybicki, G. B. 1968, ApJL, 153, L107
- Humphreys, R. M. 1978, ApJS, 38, 309
- Humphreys, R. M. & Davidson, K. 1979, ApJ, 232, 409
- Humphreys, R. M., Helmel, G., Jones, T. J., & Gordon, M. S. 2020, AJ, 160, 145
- Humphreys, R. M. & McElroy, D. B. 1984, ApJ, 284, 565
- Hunter, I., Dufton, P. L., Smartt, S. J., et al. 2007, A&A, 466, 277
- Hunter, I., Lennon, D. J., Dufton, P. L., et al. 2008, A&A, 479, 541
- Hunter, T. R., Brogan, C. L., MacLeod, G., et al. 2017, ApJL, 837, L29
- Janka, H.-T. 2012, Annual Review of Nuclear and Particle Science, 62, 407
- Janka, H. T. & Mueller, E. 1996, A&A, 306, 167
- Jaschek, M. & Jaschek, C. 1967, ApJ, 150, 355
- Jin, S., Trager, S. C., Dalton, G. B., et al. 2024a, MNRAS, 530, 2688
- Jin, S., Trager, S. C., Dalton, G. B., et al. 2024b, MNRAS, 530, 2688
- Jokuthy, A. 2002, PhD thesis, Diploma thesis, University Munich
- Kaufer, A., Wolf, B., Andersen, J., & Pasquini, L. 1997, The Messenger, 89, 1
- Keszthelyi, Z., de Koter, A., Götberg, Y., et al. 2022, MNRAS, 517, 2028
- Keszthelyi, Z., Meynet, G., Georgy, C., et al. 2019, MNRAS, 485, 5843
- Keszthelyi, Z., Meynet, G., Shultz, M. E., et al. 2020, MNRAS, 493, 518
- Keszthelyi, Z., Puls, J., & Wade, G. A. 2017, A&A, 598, A4

Kim, J.-G., Kim, W.-T., & Ostriker, E. C. 2019, ApJ, 883, 102

Klessen, R. S. & Glover, S. C. O. 2023, ARA&A, 61, 65

Kobayashi, C., Karakas, A. I., & Lugaro, M. 2020, ApJ, 900, 179

Koch, D. G., Borucki, W. J., Basri, G., et al. 2010, ApJL, 713, L79

Krause, M., Fierlinger, K., Diehl, R., et al. 2013, A&A, 550, A49

Kroupa, P. 2001, MNRAS, 322, 231

Krtička, J., Kubát, J., & Krtičková, I. 2021, A&A, 647, A28

- Krumholz, M. R., McKee, C. F., & Bland-Hawthorn, J. 2019, ARA&A, 57, 227
- Kudritzki, R. P., Bresolin, F., & Przybilla, N. 2003, ApJL, 582, L83
- Kudritzki, R. P., Hummer, D. G., Pauldrach, A. W. A., et al. 1992, A&A, 257, 655
- Kudritzki, R. P., Pauldrach, A., & Puls, J. 1987, A&A, 173, 293

Kudritzki, R.-P. & Puls, J. 2000, ARA&A, 38, 613

- Kudritzki, R. P., Puls, J., Lennon, D. J., et al. 1999, A&A, 350, 970
- Kudritzki, R.-P., Urbaneja, M. A., Bresolin, F., et al. 2008, ApJ, 681, 269
- Lada, C. J. & Lada, E. A. 2003, ARA&A, 41, 57

Langer, N. 1992, A&A, 265, L17

Langer, N. 2012, ARA&A, 50, 107

Langer, N., Hamann, W. R., Lennon, M., et al. 1994, A&A, 290, 819

Langer, N. & Kudritzki, R. P. 2014, A&A, 564, A52

- Langer, N. & Maeder, A. 1995, A&A, 295, 685
- Langer, N., Schürmann, C., Stoll, K., et al. 2020, A&A, 638, A39

Laplace, E., Justham, S., Renzo, M., et al. 2021, A&A, 656, A58

Lefever, K., Puls, J., & Aerts, C. 2007, A&A, 463, 1093

- Leitherer, C., Schaerer, D., Goldader, J. D., et al. 1999, ApJS, 123, 3
- Lennon, D. J. & Dufton, P. L. 1986, A&A, 155, 79
- Lennon, D. J., Dufton, P. L., & Fitzsimmons, A. 1992, A&AS, 94, 569
- Lennon, D. J., Dufton, P. L., & Fitzsimmons, A. 1993, A&AS, 97, 559
- Lennon, D. J., Dufton, P. L., Villaseñor, J. I., et al. 2022, A&A, 665, A180
- Lennon, D. J., Maíz Apellániz, J., Irrgang, A., et al. 2021, A&A, 649, A167
- Levesque, E. M., Massey, P., Olsen, K. A. G., et al. 2005, ApJ, 628, 973
- Maeder, A. 1981, A&A, 101, 385
- Maeder, A. 2009, Physics, Formation and Evolution of Rotating Stars (Springer Berlin Heidelberg)
- Maeder, A. & Meynet, G. 1988, A&AS, 76, 411
- Maeder, A. & Meynet, G. 1989, A&A, 210, 155
- Maeder, A. & Meynet, G. 2000, ARA&A, 38, 143
- Maíz Apellániz, J., Sota, A., Arias, J. I., et al. 2016, ApJS, 224, 4
- Maíz Apellániz, J., Trigueros Páez, E., Negueruela, I., et al. 2019, A&A, 626, A20
- Marchant, P. & Bodensteiner, J. 2023, arXiv e-prints, arXiv:2311.01865
- Marchant, P., Langer, N., Podsiadlowski, P., Tauris, T. M., & Moriya, T. J. 2016, A&A, 588, A50
- Markova, N. & Puls, J. 2008, A&A, 478, 823
- Marques-Chaves, R., Álvarez-Márquez, J., Colina, L., et al. 2020, MN-RAS, 499, L105
- Martinet, S., Meynet, G., Ekström, S., et al. 2021, A&A, 648, A126
- Martins, F. & Palacios, A. 2013, A&A, 560, A16

- Mason, B. D., Hartkopf, W. I., Gies, D. R., Henry, T. J., & Helsel, J. W. 2009, AJ, 137, 3358
- Matteucci, F. 2008, in Massive Stars as Cosmic Engines, ed. F. Bresolin, P. A. Crowther, & J. Puls, Vol. 250, 391–400
- Matteucci, F. & Greggio, L. 1986, A&A, 154, 279
- Matzner, C. D. 2002, ApJ, 566, 302
- McErlean, N. D., Lennon, D. J., & Dufton, P. L. 1999, A&A, 349, 553
- McEvoy, C. M., Dufton, P. L., Evans, C. J., et al. 2015, A&A, 575, A70
- Melnick, J. 1985, A&A, 153, 235
- Menon, A., Ercolino, A., Urbaneja, M. A., et al. 2024, ApJL, 963, L42
- Meynet, G., Eggenberger, P., & Maeder, A. 2011, A&A, 525, L11
- Meynet, G., Kudritzki, R.-P., & Georgy, C. 2015, A&A, 581, A36
- Meynet, G. & Maeder, A. 2005, A&A, 429, 581
- Mihalas, D. 1965, ApJS, 9, 321
- Moe, M. & Di Stefano, R. 2017, ApJS, 230, 15
- Mokiem, M. R., de Koter, A., Vink, J. S., et al. 2007, A&A, 473, 603
- Monguió, M., Greimel, R., Drew, J. E., et al. 2020, A&A, 638, A18
- Monteverde, M. I., Herrero, A., & Lennon, D. J. 2000, ApJ, 545, 813
- Mottram, J. C., Hoare, M. G., Davies, B., et al. 2011, ApJL, 730, L33
- Murray, N., Quataert, E., & Thompson, T. A. 2010, ApJ, 709, 191
- Negueruela, I. & de Burgos, A. 2023, A&A, 675, A19
- Negueruela, I., Simón-Díaz, S., de Burgos, A., Casasbuenas, A., & Beck, P. G. 2024, arXiv e-prints, arXiv:2407.04163
- Neiner, C., Floquet, M., Samadi, R., et al. 2012, A&A, 546, A47
- Nomoto, K. 2017, in Handbook of Supernovae, ed. A. W. Alsabti & P. Murdin (Springer, Cham), 1931

- Nomoto, K., Kobayashi, C., & Tominaga, N. 2013, ARA&A, 51, 457
- O'Connor, E. & Ott, C. D. 2011, ApJ, 730, 70
- Orkisz, J. H., Pety, J., Gerin, M., et al. 2017, A&A, 599, A99
- Paczyński, B. 1970, Acta Astron., 20, 47
- Pattle, K., Fissel, L., Tahani, M., Liu, T., & Ntormousi, E. 2023, in Astronomical Society of the Pacific Conference Series, Vol. 534, Protostars and Planets VII, ed. S. Inutsuka, Y. Aikawa, T. Muto, K. Tomida, & M. Tamura, 193
- Pauldrach, A., Puls, J., & Kudritzki, R. P. 1986, A&A, 164, 86
- Paxton, B., Cantiello, M., Arras, P., et al. 2013, ApJS, 208, 4
- Perets, H. B. & Subr, L. 2012, ApJ, 751, 133
- Petit, V., Keszthelyi, Z., MacInnis, R., et al. 2017, MNRAS, 466, 1052
- Puls, J., Kudritzki, R. P., Herrero, A., et al. 1996, A&A, 305, 171
- Puls, J., Repolust, T., Hoffmann, T. L., Jokuthy, A., & Venero, R. O. J. 2003, in A Massive Star Odyssey: From Main Sequence to Supernova, ed. K. van der Hucht, A. Herrero, & C. Esteban, Vol. 212, 61
- Ramírez-Agudelo, O. H., Sana, H., de Mink, S. E., et al. 2015, A&A, 580, A92
- Ramírez-Agudelo, O. H., Simón-Díaz, S., Sana, H., et al. 2013, A&A, 560, A29
- Raskin, G., van Winckel, H., Hensberge, H., et al. 2011, A&A, 526, A69
- Renzo, M. & Götberg, Y. 2021, ApJ, 923, 277
- Renzo, M., Ott, C. D., Shore, S. N., & de Mink, S. E. 2017, A&A, 603, A118
- Renzo, M., Zapartas, E., de Mink, S. E., et al. 2019, A&A, 624, A66
- Ricker, G. R., Winn, J. N., Vanderspek, R., et al. 2015, Journal of Astronomical Telescopes, Instruments, and Systems, 1, 014003

- Rivero González, J. G., Puls, J., Massey, P., & Najarro, F. 2012, A&A, 543, A95
- Robertson, B. E., Ellis, R. S., Dunlop, J. S., McLure, R. J., & Stark, D. P. 2010, Nature, 468, 49
- Salpeter, E. E. 1955, ApJ, 121, 161
- Sana, H. 2017, in The Lives and Death-Throes of Massive Stars, ed. J. J. Eldridge, J. C. Bray, L. A. S. McClelland, & L. Xiao, Vol. 329, 110–117
- Sana, H., de Koter, A., de Mink, S. E., et al. 2013, A&A, 550, A107
- Sana, H., de Mink, S. E., de Koter, A., et al. 2012, Science, 337, 444
- Sanyal, D., Grassitelli, L., Langer, N., & Bestenlehner, J. M. 2015, A&A, 580, A20
- Scally, A. & Clarke, C. 2001, MNRAS, 325, 449
- Schmutz, W. 1995, in Wolf-Rayet Stars: Binaries; Colliding Winds; Evolution, ed. K. A. van der Hucht & P. M. Williams, Vol. 163, 127
- Schneider, F. R. N., Ohlmann, S. T., Podsiadlowski, P., et al. 2019, Nature, 574, 211
- Schootemeijer, A., Langer, N., Grin, N. J., & Wang, C. 2019, A&A, 625, A132
- Schönberner, D., Herrero, A., Becker, S., et al. 1988, A&A, 197, 209
- Searle, S. C., Prinja, R. K., Massa, D., & Ryans, R. 2008, A&A, 481, 777
- Sen, K., Langer, N., Marchant, P., et al. 2022, A&A, 659, A98
- Shapley, A. E., Steidel, C. C., Pettini, M., & Adelberger, K. L. 2003, ApJ, 588, 65
- Simón-Díaz, S., Castro, N., Garcia, M., Herrero, A., & Markova, N. 2011, Bulletin de la Societe Royale des Sciences de Liege, 80, 514
- Simón-Díaz, S., Godart, M., Castro, N., et al. 2017, A&A, 597, A22
- Simón-Díaz, S. & Herrero, A. 2014, A&A, 562, A135

- Simón-Díaz, S., Herrero, A., Sabín-Sanjulián, C., et al. 2014, A&A, 570, L6
- Simón-Díaz, S., Negueruela, I., Maíz Apellániz, J., et al. 2015, in Highlights of Spanish Astrophysics VIII, 576–581
- Simón-Díaz, S., Pérez Prieto, J. A., Holgado, G., de Burgos, A., & Iacob Team. 2020, in XIV.0 Scientific Meeting (virtual) of the Spanish Astronomical Society, 187
- Simpson, E. E. 1971, ApJ, 165, 295
- Smartt, S. J. 2009, ARA&A, 47, 63
- Smith, N. 2014, ARA&A, 52, 487
- Smith, R. J., Hosokawa, T., Omukai, K., Glover, S. C. O., & Klessen, R. S. 2012, MNRAS, 424, 457
- Sobolev, V. V. 1957, Soviet Ast., 1, 678
- Sota, A., Maiz Apellaniz, J., Walborn, N. R., et al. 2014, VizieR Online Data Catalog, III/274
- Sota, A., Maíz Apellániz, J., Walborn, N. R., et al. 2011, ApJS, 193, 24
- Stacy, A. & Bromm, V. 2013, MNRAS, 433, 1094
- Steiner, A. W., Lattimer, J. M., & Brown, E. F. 2010, ApJ, 722, 33
- Stothers, R. & Chin, C. W. 1975, ApJ, 198, 407
- Sukhbold, T., Ertl, T., Woosley, S. E., Brown, J. M., & Janka, H. T. 2016, ApJ, 821, 38
- Szécsi, D., Langer, N., Yoon, S.-C., et al. 2015, A&A, 581, A15
- Telting, J. H., Avila, G., Buchhave, L., et al. 2014, Astronomische Nachrichten, 335, 41
- Trigueros Páez, E., Barbá, R. H., Negueruela, I., et al. 2021, A&A, 655, A4
- Trundle, C., Dufton, P. L., Hunter, I., et al. 2007, A&A, 625

Tuchman, Y. & Wheeler, J. C. 1990, ApJ, 363, 255

- Urbaneja, M. A., Herrero, A., Bresolin, F., et al. 2005a, ApJ, 622, 862
- Urbaneja, M. A., Herrero, A., Kudritzki, R. P., et al. 2005b, ApJ, 635, 311
- Urbaneja, M. A., Kudritzki, R. P., Gieren, W., et al. 2017, AJ, 154, 102
- Vacca, W. D., Garmany, C. D., & Shull, J. M. 1996, ApJ, 460, 914
- Vaduvescu, O., Aznar Macias, A., Wilson, T. G., et al. 2022, Earth Moon and Planets, 126, 6
- Vanbeveren, D. & Mennekens, N. 2017, in Astronomical Society of the Pacific Conference Series, Vol. 508, The B[e] Phenomenon: Forty Years of Studies, ed. A. Miroshnichenko, S. Zharikov, D. Korčáková, & M. Wolf, 121
- Vázquez-Semadeni, E., Palau, A., Ballesteros-Paredes, J., Gómez, G. C., & Zamora-Avilés, M. 2019, MNRAS, 490, 3061
- Vink, J. S. 2022, ARA&A, 60, 203
- Vink, J. S., Brott, I., Gräfener, G., et al. 2010, A&A, 512, L7
- Vink, J. S., de Koter, A., & Lamers, H. J. G. L. M. 2001, A&A, 369, 574
- Vink, J. S., Mehner, A., Crowther, P. A., et al. 2023, A&A, 675, A154
- Voels, S. A., Bohannan, B., Abbott, D. C., & Hummer, D. G. 1989, ApJ, 340, 1073
- Walborn, N. R. 1970, ApJL, 161, L149
- Walter, F. M., Vrba, F. J., Mathieu, R. D., Brown, A., & Myers, P. C. 1994, AJ, 107, 692
- Watkins, E. J., Peretto, N., Marsh, K., & Fuller, G. A. 2019, A&A, 628, A21
- Weis, K. & Bomans, D. J. 2020, Galaxies, 8, 20

Weßmayer, D., Przybilla, N., & Butler, K. 2022, A&A, 668, A92

- Weßmayer, D., Przybilla, N., Ebenbichler, A., Aschenbrenner, P., & Butler, K. 2023, A&A, 677, A175
- Woosley, S. E. & Bloom, J. S. 2006, ARA&A, 44, 507
- Woosley, S. E. & Heger, A. 2015, in Astrophysics and Space Science Library, Vol. 412, Very Massive Stars in the Local Universe, ed. J. S. Vink, 199
- Woosley, S. E., Heger, A., & Weaver, T. A. 2002, Reviews of Modern Physics, 74, 1015

Woosley, S. E. & Weaver, T. A. 1986, ARA&A, 24, 205

Woosley, S. E. & Weaver, T. A. 1995, ApJS, 101, 181

Yoon, S. C., Langer, N., & Norman, C. 2006, A&A, 460, 199

Yorke, H. W. 1986, ARA&A, 24, 49

Zinnecker, H. & Yorke, H. W. 2007, ARA&A, 45, 481