A stability condition on weighted manifolds

A. C. Bezerra^{*}, T. Castro Silva[†], F. Manfio[‡]

Abstract

We will present an estimate for the first eigenvalue of the Dirichlet and Neumann problems in terms of the Bakry-Émery Ricci curvature for a compact weighted manifold. As an application we will establish a stability condition for a h-minimal hypersurface.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: 35P15, 53C23, 53C42, 58K25. Key words: weighted manifolds, h-minimal hypersurface, stability condition

1 Introduction

Manifolds with density have long appeared in mathematics, with more recent attention to differential geometry, where in many situations it is natural to consider a *weighted measure* of the form $e^{-h}dv$ on a Riemannian manifold (M^n, g) . Here, h is a smooth function on M, referred to as the *weight* function. A weighted manifold $(M^n, g, e^{-h}dv)$, also known as a manifold with density, is defined as an n-dimensional Riemannian manifold (M^n, g) equipped with a weighted volume form $e^{-h}dv$, where dv is the volume element induced by the metric g.

The study of weighted manifolds was initiated by Bakry-Émery [4], providing an extension of Riemannian geometry where many classical questions are being analyzed in recent years (see [14, 15, 18–21, 23]). Grigori Perelman [16] introduced a functional that involves integrating the scalar curvature with respect to a weighted measure. The Ricci flow is, consequently, a gradient flow of such a functional. Motivated by this concept and supported by Perelman's work and the theory of optimal transport [20], Ma and Du [13] extended the Reilly formula for the drifting Laplacian operator, associated with the weighted measure and Bakry-Émery Ricci tensor, on a compact Riemannian manifold with boundary. As an application, they derived estimates for the first eigenvalue of the drifting Laplacian on manifolds with boundary.

 $^{^{*}}$ Corresponding author.

[†]Partially supported by DPI - Decanato de Pesquisa e Inovação, Universidade de Brasília, Edital DPI/DPG nº 04/2024.

[‡]Supported by FAPESP, Grant 2022/16097-2.

A powerful tool in the study of weighted manifolds is the ∞ -Bakry-Émery Ricci curvature tensor (Bakry-Émery Ricci curvature, for simplicity), which is defined as

$$Ric_h := Ric + \nabla^2 h, \tag{1.1}$$

where $\nabla^2 h$ is the Hessian of h on M. If h is constant, Ric_h reduces to the Ricci curvature, making the Bakry-Émery Ricci curvature a generalization of the Ricci curvature. A Riemannian manifold (M, g) is called a *gradient Ricci soliton* if $Ric_h = cg$ for some constant c. Thus, gradient Ricci solitons can be viewed as weighted manifolds, representing a generalization of Einstein manifolds.

Over the last years, there has been an active research in the study of smooth manifolds with Bakry–Émery curvature bounded below. Much research has focused on establishing results analogous to those in the case of Ricci curvature bounded below, particularly those that link geometric conditions on the Ricci curvature with eigenvalue estimates for certain operators. One notable example is the following well-known result.

Theorem (Lichnerowicz-Obata). If the Ricci curvature of a compact Riemannian manifold (M^n, g) satisfies $Ric \ge a > 0$, then the first eigenvalue λ_1 of the Laplacian operator satisfies $\lambda_1 \ge \frac{na}{n-1}$, with the equality holding if and only if M is isometric to the unit n-dimensional sphere.

The Lichnerowicz-Obata's theorem was extended by Reilly [17] for the Dirichlet problem on a compact Riemannian manifold with smooth boundary, under the condition that the mean curvature of the boundary is nonnegative. More recently, Ma and Du [13] extended this result for a compact weighted manifold with smooth boundary, addressing both the Dirichlet and Neumann problems (see equation (1.3)), provided that the weighted mean curvature of the boundary is nonnegative or if the boundary is convex, respectively.

Concerning to the weighted measure, the corresponding weighted Dirichlet energy functional is given by

$$E_h(f) = \int_M |\nabla f|^2 e^{-h} dv.$$

Just as the Laplacian operator \triangle is associated with the Dirichlet energy, the Euler-Lagrange operator of $E_h(f)$ is known as the *weighted Laplacian* (also called the *drifting Laplacian*) \triangle_h , which is given by

$$\Delta_h f := \Delta f - \langle \nabla h, \nabla f \rangle. \tag{1.2}$$

Note that it is a second-order self-adjoint operator on $L^2(e^{-h}dv)$, the space of square integrable functions on M with respect to the measure $e^{-h}dv$.

Its fundamental importance arises from its relationship between fundamental gaps in the classical Laplacian operator on manifolds. We define the eigenvalue problems (D) and (N), which correspond to the Dirichlet and Neumann boundary conditions, respectively,

$$(D) \begin{cases} \Delta_h f = -\lambda f \quad in \ M, \\ f = 0 \quad on \ \partial M. \end{cases} \qquad (N) \begin{cases} \Delta_h f = -\lambda f \quad in \ M, \\ \frac{\partial f}{\partial \eta} = 0 \quad on \ \partial M. \end{cases}$$
(1.3)

It is a well-known fact that the spectrum of problems (D) and (N) behaves like a non-decreasing sequence of real numbers in the following way:

$$0 < \lambda_1 \le \lambda_2 \le \cdots \to +\infty.$$

Throughout this paper, we will denote an *n*-dimensional weighted manifold $(M^n, g, e^{-h}dv)$ by M_h , the weighted mean curvature of the boundary (which will be defined later) by $H_h^{\partial M_h}$, and the first eigenvalues of (D) and (N) by $\lambda_{1,D}$ and $\lambda_{1,N}$, respectively. Ma-Du's theorem can be stated as follows.

Theorem (Ma-Du). Let M_h be an n-dimensional weighted compact Riemannian manifold with smooth boundary ∂M_h , and suppose that

$$Ric_h \ge \frac{|\nabla h|^2}{m-n} + a, \tag{1.4}$$

for some constants a > 0 and m > n. The following statements hold:

- (1) If the weighted mean curvature of the boundary satisfies $H_h^{\partial M_h} \ge 0$, then $\lambda_{1,D} \ge \frac{ma}{m-n}$.
- (2) If ∂M_h is convex, that is, the second fundamental form is non-negative, then $\lambda_{1,N} \ge \frac{ma}{m-n}$.

Li and Wei [11] proved that this result is sharp, in the sense that the equality for $\lambda_{1,D}$ and $\lambda_{1,N}$ in Ma-Du's Theorem is achieved if and only if M_h is isometric to a Euclidean hemisphere. Therefore, the results of Ma-Du and Li-Wei extend the rigidity theorems of Reilly [17] and Escobar [10]. Other authors such as Cheeger [5, 6], Aubin [1], Cheng [7] and Yau [22] obtained estimates for the first eigenvalue of the Laplacian related with geometric quantities such as volume, radius of injectivity, diameter and scalar curvature.

Our first result provides a lower bound for the first eigenvalues $\lambda_{1,D}$ and $\lambda_{1,N}$ in terms of the Bakry-Émery Ricci curvature.

Theorem 1. Let M_h be an n-dimensional weighted compact Riemannian manifold with nonempty smooth boundary ∂M_h . Suppose that $Ric_h > 0$ and there exists a constant c satisfying

$$Ric_h > c \mid \nabla h \mid^2.$$
(1.5)

The following statements hold:

- (1) If the weighted mean curvature of the boundary satisfies $H_h^{\partial M} \ge 0$, then $\lambda_{1,D} > Ric_h - c | \nabla h |^2$.
- (2) If ∂M_h is convex, that is, the second fundamental form is non-negative, then $\lambda_{1,N} > Ric_h - c \mid \nabla h \mid^2$.

Since the estimates in $\lambda_{1,D}$ and $\lambda_{1,N}$ are strictly larger, an interesting question areises: what would be the optimal inequality involving the Bakry-Émery Ricci curvature and the weight function? In Theorem 1, if Ric_h satisfies (1.4) for an appropriate constant c, then the estimates from Ma-Du's Theorem for $\lambda_{1,D}$ and $\lambda_{1,N}$ are obtained. Therefore, the above theorem generalizes the result of Ma-Du. In particular if h is constant, in (1.2) we have $\Delta_h = \Delta$, the eingenvalue problems in (1.3) can be considered for the Laplacian operator Δ in the Dirichlet and Neumann problems, leading to the following corollary.

Corollary 1.1. Let M be an n-dimensional compact Riemannian manifold with smooth boundary ∂M and Ric > 0. The following statements hold:

(1) If the mean curvature of the boundary satisfies $H \ge 0$, then $\lambda_{1,D} > Ric$.

(2) If ∂M is convex, that is, the second fundamental form is non-negative, then $\lambda_{1,N} > Ric$.

A important concept associated with a weighted manifold is that of stability (see [2], [8], [9], [12], among others), which will be described in Section 2.2. As an application of Theorem 1, we obtain a stability result for a compact *h*-minimal hypersurface with smooth boundary and nonnegative weighted mean curvature of the boundary, under a condition on the weight function and Bakry-Émery Ricci curvature being bounded from below. We will denote A as the second fundamental of the immersion.

Theorem 2. Let M_h be an n-dimensional compact h-minimal hypersurface with mean curvature $H \neq 0$ isometrically immersed in a weighted manifold \overline{M}_h . Suppose that the Hessian of weight function h is a parallel tensor on \overline{M}_h , that is, $\overline{\nabla}(\overline{\nabla}^2 h) \equiv 0$. If the mean curvature $H_h^{\partial M_h} \geq 0$ and there exists a positive constant c satisfying

$$Ric_{h} \ge 2\left[|A|^{2} + c|\nabla h|^{2} + \frac{1}{H^{2}}\left(|\overline{\nabla}^{2}h|^{2} + |\nabla H|^{2}\right)\right],$$
(1.6)

then M_h is L_h -stable.

In condition (1.6) we see how the Ricci Bakry-Émery curvature and the mean curvature are related to ensure its L_h -stability.

2 Preliminaries and Proof of the Main Results

In this section we recall some basic definitions and results that are used in order to prove the results. We denote by ∇ and *Ric* the Levi-Civita connection and the Ricci curvature tensor of (M^n, g) , respectively. Moreover, we denote $dv_h = e^{-h} dv$.

2.1 The Ricci Bakry-Émery Curvature and the First Engenvalue

Given an *n*-dimensional Riemannian manifold (M^n, g) and assuming that $f \in C^{\infty}(M)$, the well-known Bochner formula provides the following expression for the Laplacian of f

$$\frac{1}{2} \triangle |\nabla f|^2 = |\nabla^2 f|^2 + \langle \nabla f, \nabla \triangle f \rangle + Ric(\nabla f, \nabla f),$$

where Δf , $\nabla f \in \nabla^2 f$ are the Laplacian, the gradient and the hessian of f on M, respectively. For a weighted manifold, the Bochner's formula takes a following form (cf. [13]):

$$\frac{1}{2} \triangle_h |\nabla f|^2 = |\nabla^2 f|^2 + \langle \nabla f, \nabla \triangle_h f \rangle + Ric_h (\nabla f, \nabla f).$$
(2.1)

The following result, which follows from (2.1), will be useful in the proof of Theorem 1.

Proposition 2.1. Let M_h be an n-dimensional compact weighted manifold with smooth boundary, and let η be the unit normal vector field on the boundary ∂M_h . Given $f \in C^{\infty}(M_h)$, we have

$$\frac{1}{m} \int_{M_h} (\Delta_h f)^2 dv_h + \int_{M_h} \langle \nabla f, \nabla \Delta_h f \rangle dv_h - \frac{1}{m-n} \int_{M_h} |\nabla f|^2 |\nabla h|^2 dv_h + \int_{M_h} Ric_h (\nabla f, \nabla f) dv_h \le \frac{1}{2} \int_{\partial M_h} \langle \nabla |\nabla f|^2, \eta \rangle da_h,$$
(2.2)

where m > n is a constant.

Proof. Substituting \triangle_h given in (1.2) into (2.1), we obtain

$$\frac{1}{2} \left(2|\nabla f| \triangle |\nabla f| + 2|\nabla |\nabla f||^2 - \langle \nabla |\nabla f|^2, \nabla h \rangle \right) = |\nabla^2 f|^2 + \langle \nabla f, \nabla \triangle_h f \rangle + Ric_h (\nabla f, \nabla f),$$

that is,

$$|\nabla|\nabla f||^2 = |\nabla^2 f|^2 + \langle \nabla f, \nabla \triangle_h f \rangle + Ric_h(\nabla f, \nabla f) - |\nabla f| \triangle_h |\nabla f|. \quad (2.3)$$

Integrating (2.3) with respect to the measure dv_h , we obtain

$$\int_{M_{h}} |\nabla|\nabla f||^{2} dv_{h} = \int_{M_{h}} |\nabla^{2} f|^{2} dv_{h} + \int_{M_{h}} \langle \nabla f, \nabla \triangle_{h} f \rangle dv_{h} + \int_{M_{h}} Ric_{h} (\nabla f, \nabla f) dv_{h} - \int_{M_{h}} |\nabla f| \triangle_{h} |\nabla f| dv_{h}.$$
(2.4)

On the other hand, it follows from the divergence theorem applied to the vector field $X = |\nabla f| \nabla |\nabla f|$ that

$$\int_{M_h} |\nabla|\nabla f||^2 dv_h + \int_{M_h} |\nabla f| \triangle_h |\nabla f| dv_h = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\partial M_h} \langle \nabla|\nabla f|^2, \eta \rangle da_h, \quad (2.5)$$

where $da_h = e^{-h}da$ and da is the volume element induced by the metric g on ∂M_h . Therefore, by (2.4) and (2.5) we get

$$\frac{1}{2} \int_{\partial M_h} \langle \nabla |\nabla f|^2, \eta \rangle da_h = \int_{M_h} |\nabla^2 f|^2 dv_h + \int_{M_h} \langle \nabla f, \nabla \triangle_h f \rangle dv_h + \int_{M_h} Ric_h (\nabla f, \nabla f) dv_h.$$
(2.6)

Let us estimate the term $|\nabla^2 f|^2$. In fact, taking a constant m > n and using (1.2), we have

$$|\nabla^2 f|^2 \ge \frac{1}{n} (\triangle f)^2 = \frac{1}{n} (\triangle_h f + \langle \nabla f, \nabla h \rangle)^2 \ge \frac{(\triangle_h f)^2}{m} - \frac{\langle \nabla f, \nabla h \rangle^2}{m-n}.$$
 (2.7)

Substituting (2.7) into (2.6), and using the Cauchy-Schwarz's formula, we obtain

$$\begin{split} \frac{1}{m} \int_{M_h} (\triangle_h f)^2 dv_h &- \frac{1}{m-n} \int_{M_h} |\nabla f|^2 |\nabla h|^2 dv_h + \int_{M_h} \langle \nabla f, \nabla \triangle_h f \rangle dv_h \\ &+ \int_{M_h} Ric_h (\nabla f, \nabla f) dv_h \leq \frac{1}{2} \int_{\partial M_h} \langle \nabla |\nabla f|^2, \eta \rangle da_h, \end{split}$$

and this concludes the proof.

Given a hypersurface $i: M^n \to \overline{M}^{n+1}$ of a Riemannian manifold M^n into another Riemannian manifold \overline{M}^{n+1} , the restriction of a smooth function $h \in C^{\infty}(\overline{M}^{n+1})$ on M^n , which will also be denoted by h, defines a weighted measure $e^{-h}dv$ on M^n , thus yielding an induced smooth metric measure space $(M^n, g, e^{-h}dv)$. To avoid confusion, we will use a bar to denote the

geometric objects in the immersion environment, while the same objects without a bar will refer to the hypersurface.

Given a point $p \in M^n$, recall that the second fundamental form A of M^n at p, identified with the shape operator at $p \in M^n$, is the linear operator $A: T_pM \to T_pM$ given by

$$AX = -\overline{\nabla}_X \nu,$$

for every $X \in T_pM$, where ν is a smooth unit normal vector field along *i*, around *p*. In a local orthonormal frame $\{e_1, \ldots, e_n\}$ of M^n , the components of *A* are denoted by

$$a_{ij} = \langle Ae_i, e_j \rangle = -\langle \overline{\nabla}_{e_i} \nu, e_j \rangle,$$

with $1 \leq i, j \leq n$. The mean curvature H of M^n at p is defined by

$$H = Tr(A) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} a_{ii}$$

With the above notations, we have the following

Definition 2.2. The weighted mean curvature H_h of the hypersurface M_h is defined by

$$H_h = H - \langle \overline{\nabla} h, \nu \rangle, \qquad (2.8)$$

and M_h is called a *h*-minimal hypersurface if its mean curvature H satisfies the condition

$$H = \langle \overline{\nabla}h, \nu \rangle.$$

It is known that M_h is h-minimal if and only if it is a critical point of the weighted volume functional $V_h(M)$, defined by

$$V_h(M) := \int_M e^{-h} dv.$$

Furthermore, M_h being *h*-minimal in $(\overline{M}_h, \overline{g})$ is equivalent to $(M, i^* \tilde{g})$ being minimal in $(\overline{M}, \tilde{g})$, where \tilde{g} is the conformal metric given by $\tilde{g} = e^{-\frac{2h}{n}}\overline{g}$.

From now on, we will assume that M_h is a two-sided hypersurface, meaning there exists a smooth unit normal vector field ν along M_h .

Lemma 2.3. Let $i: M^n \to \overline{M}^{n+1}$ be a hypersurface. If $f: \overline{M} \to \mathbb{R}$ is a smooth function, then for each point $p \in M$, the following holds:

$$\overline{\bigtriangleup}f = \bigtriangleup f + Hf_{\nu} + \overline{\nabla}^2 f(\nu, \nu).$$
(2.9)

The Lemma 2.3 can be found in [3]. The version of (2.9) can be easily adapted to $\overline{\Delta}_h f$ as follows:

$$\overline{\Delta}_h f = \Delta_h f + H_h f_\nu + \overline{\nabla}^2 f(\nu, \nu).$$
(2.10)

Since the boundary ∂M_h can be considered as a hypersurface isometrically immersed in M_h , we will use a bar to represent the geometric entities of M_h to prove the Theorem 1.

Proof of Theorem 1. For the item (1), it follows from (2.2) that

$$\frac{1}{m} \int_{M_h} (\overline{\bigtriangleup}_h f)^2 dv_h + \int_{M_h} \langle \overline{\nabla} f, \overline{\nabla} \overline{\bigtriangleup}_h f \rangle dv_h - \frac{1}{m-n} \int_{M_h} |\overline{\nabla} f|^2 |\overline{\nabla} h|^2 dv_h \\
+ \int_{M_h} \overline{R} ic_h (\overline{\nabla} f, \nabla f) dv_h \le \frac{1}{2} \int_{\partial M_h} \langle \overline{\nabla} |\overline{\nabla} f|^2, \eta \rangle da_h.$$

We assume that f is an eigenfunction associated with the first nonzero eigenvalue $\lambda_{1,D}$ of the Dirichlet problem (D) in (1.3). Since $f \mid_{\partial M} = 0$, we have

$$\frac{1}{2} \int_{\partial M_h} \langle \overline{\nabla} | \overline{\nabla} f |^2, \eta \rangle da_h = \int_{\partial M_h} \overline{\nabla}^2 f(\eta, \overline{\nabla} f) da_h \\
= \int_{\partial M_h} \overline{\nabla}^2 f(\eta, \nabla f + f_\eta \eta) da_h \quad (2.11) \\
= \int_{\partial M_h} f_\eta \overline{\nabla}^2 f(\eta, \eta) da_h.$$

Since $\overline{\Delta}_h f = -\lambda_{1,D} f$ and $f \mid_{\partial M_h} = 0$, we have $\Delta_h f = 0$. Regardless of the assumption that $H_h^{\partial M} \ge 0$, and taking into account (2.10) and (2.11), we obtain

$$\frac{1}{2} \int_{\partial M_h} \langle \overline{\nabla} | \overline{\nabla} f |^2, \eta \rangle da_h = \int_{\partial M_h} (f_\eta \overline{\Delta}_h f - f_\eta \Delta_h f - H_h^{\partial M} f_\eta^2) da_h \le 0. (2.12)$$

Consequently, using (2.12), the inequality (2.2) becomes

$$\frac{\lambda_{1,D}^2}{m} \int_{M_h} f^2 dv_h - \frac{1}{m-n} \int_{M_h} |\overline{\nabla}f|^2 |\overline{\nabla}h|^2 dv_h
- \lambda_{1,D} \int_{M_h} |\overline{\nabla}f|^2 dv_h + \int_{M_h} \overline{R}ic_h(\overline{\nabla}f,\overline{\nabla}f) dv_h \le 0.$$
(2.13)

Now, we claim that $\lambda_{1,D} > \overline{Ric_h} - c | \nabla h |^2$. In fact, suppose that this inequality does not hold. That is, suppose that

$$\lambda_{1,D} \le \overline{R}ic_h - c \mid \overline{\nabla}h \mid^2$$

The inequality (2.13) leads to

$$\frac{\lambda_{1,D}^2}{m} \int_{M_h} f^2 dv_h - \frac{1}{m-n} \int_{M_h} |\overline{\nabla}f|^2 |\overline{\nabla}h|^2 dv_h - \lambda_{1,D} \int_{M_h} |\overline{\nabla}f|^2 dv_h$$

$$+ c \int_{M_h} |\overline{\nabla}h|^2 |\overline{\nabla}f|^2 dv_h + \lambda_{1,D} \int_{M_h} |\overline{\nabla}f|^2 dv_h \le 0.$$
(2.14)

Choosing $m \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $m \ge n + \frac{1}{c}$, it follows from (2.14) that

$$\frac{\lambda_{1,D}^2}{m} \int_{M_h} f^2 dv_h \le 0, \qquad (2.15)$$

and this is a contradiction, since $\lambda_{1,D}$ is always positive. For item (2), we assume that f is an eigenfunction associated with the first nonzero eigenvalue $\lambda_{1,N}$ of the Neumann problem (N) in (1.3). From the hypothesis, we have $f_{\eta} = 0$, and thus $\overline{\nabla}f = \nabla f$. Therefore, from (2.11), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2} \int_{\partial M_h} \langle \overline{\nabla} | \overline{\nabla} f |^2, \eta \rangle da_h &= \frac{1}{2} \int_{\partial M_h} \langle \overline{\nabla} | \nabla f |^2, \eta \rangle da_h \\ &= \int_{\partial M_h} \overline{\nabla}^2 f(\eta, \nabla f) da_h \\ &= \int_{\partial M_h} \langle \overline{\nabla}_{\nabla f} \overline{\nabla} f, \eta \rangle da_h \\ &= \int_{\partial M_h} (\nabla f \langle \nabla f, \eta \rangle - \langle \nabla f, \overline{\nabla}_{\nabla f} \eta \rangle) da_h. \end{aligned}$$

Note that $\langle \nabla f, \eta \rangle = 0$ and $\langle \nabla f, \overline{\nabla}_{\nabla f} \eta \rangle = A^{\partial M_h}(\nabla f, \nabla f)$, where $A^{\partial M_h}$ is the second fundamental form of ∂M_h . Therefore,

$$\frac{1}{2}\int_{\partial M_h} \langle \bar{\nabla} | \bar{\nabla} f |^2, \eta \rangle da_h = -\int_{\partial M_h} A^{\partial M_h} (\nabla f, \nabla f) da_h \leq 0.$$

The remainder of the proof preceeds as in the conclusion of item (1), and this concludes the proof. $\hfill \Box$

2.2 A stability condition for *h*-minimal hypersurfaces

Given a hypersurface $i: M^n \to \overline{M}^{n+1}$, the L_h -stability operator of M_h is given by

$$L_h := \Delta_h + |A|^2 + \overline{R}ic_h(\nu,\nu), \qquad (2.16)$$

where $|A|^2$ denotes the square of norm of the second fundamental form of M_h and ν is an unit normal vector field to M_h .

Definition 2.4. A two-sided *h*-minimal hypersurface M_h is said to be L_h -stable if, for any compactly supported smooth function $\varphi \in C_0^{\infty}(M_h)$, the following inequality holds

$$-\int_{M_h} \varphi L_h \varphi e^{-h} dv \ge 0.$$

Equivalently,

$$\int_{M_h} [|\varphi|^2 - (|A|^2 + \overline{R}ic_h(\nu,\nu))\varphi^2]e^{-h}d\nu \ge 0.$$

The stability of M_h implies that the second variation of the weighted volume of an *h*-minimal hypersurface M_h is nonnegative. The concept of L_h -stability has has been extensively developed in recent years (see [8], [12] and references therein) and important results relating to the stability of *h*minimal hypersurfaces with geometric and topological conditions have been obtained. Analogous to the concept of minimal immersion, it is known that an *h*-minimal hypersurface (M_h, g) is L_h -stable if and only if $(M, i^*\tilde{g})$ is stable as a minimal hypersurface in $(\overline{M}, \tilde{g})$.

It is convenient to use the following notation. If $\{e_1, \ldots, e_n\}$ is a local orthonormal frame on M_h and $S = (S_{k_1...k_s})$ is an (s, 0)-tensor on M_h , the components of the covariant derivative ∇S of S will be denoted by $S_{k_1...k_s,l}$, that is,

$$S_{k_1...k_s,l} = (\nabla_{e_l} S)(e_{k_1}, \dots, e_{k_s}) = (\nabla S)(e_l, e_{k_1}, \dots, e_{k_s}).$$

Moreover, we say that S is *parallel* on M if $\nabla S \equiv 0$.

As an application of Theorem 1, we will see how the stability of a compact h-minimal hypersurface M_h can be related to its curvature. In order to do this, we will prove a result regarding the L_h -stability operator applied to the mean curvature of the hypersurface.

Proposition 2.5. Let (M_h, g) be an *h*-minimal hypersurface into a smooth metric measure space \overline{M}_h . Then, the mean curvature *H* of M_h satisfies

$$L_h(fH) = f\left(2\sum_{i=1}^n (\overline{\nabla}^3 h)_{i\nu i} - \sum_{i=1}^n (\overline{\nabla}^3 h)_{\nu i i} + 2\sum_{i,k=1}^n a_{ik} (\overline{\nabla}^2 h)_{ki}\right) + 2\sum_{i=1}^n e_i(H) \langle \overline{\nabla} f, e_i \rangle + H \triangle_h f,$$

$$(2.17)$$

for every $f \in C_0^{\infty}(M_h)$, where $\{e_1, \ldots, e_n\}$ is a local orthonormal frame field on M_h and ν is an unit normal vector field along M_h .

Proof. Choose a local orthonormal frame $\{e_1, \ldots, e_n, e_{n+1}\}$ for \overline{M}_h such that $\{e_1, \ldots, e_n\}$ are tangent to M_h and $e_{n+1} = \nu$ is normal to M_h . For simplicity, we replace ν for the subscript n+1 in the components of the tensors on \overline{M}_h ; for instance $\overline{R}_{\nu i k j} = \overline{R}m(\nu, e_i, e_k, e_j)$ and $(\overline{\nabla}^2 h)_{\nu, i} = (\overline{\nabla}^2 h)(\nu, e_i)$. Since M_h is an h-minimal hypersurface, multiplying (2.8) by $f \in C_0^{\infty}(M_h)$ and differentiating, we obtain

$$e_{i}(fH) = e_{i}\left(f\langle\overline{\nabla}h,\nu\rangle\right)$$

= $f(\langle\overline{\nabla}e_{i}(\overline{\nabla}h),\nu\rangle + \langle\overline{\nabla}h,\overline{\nabla}e_{i}\nu\rangle) + H\langle\overline{\nabla}f,e_{i}\rangle$
= $f(\overline{\nabla}^{2}h(e_{i},\nu) + \sum_{k=1}^{n}a_{ik}\langle\overline{\nabla}h,e_{k}\rangle) + Hf_{i},$

for $1 \leq i \leq n$. Then for $1 \leq i, j \leq n$, one has

$$e_{j}e_{i}(fH) = e_{j}\left(f\left[\overline{\nabla}^{2}h(e_{i},\nu) + \sum_{k=1}^{n}a_{ik}\langle\overline{\nabla}h,e_{k}\rangle\right] + Hf_{i}\right)$$
$$= f\left[e_{j}(\overline{\nabla}^{2}h(e_{i},\nu)) + \sum_{k=1}^{n}e_{j}(a_{ik})h_{k} + \sum_{k=1}^{n}a_{ik}e_{j}(\langle\overline{\nabla}h,e_{k}\rangle)\right] \quad (2.18)$$
$$+ f_{j}\left[\overline{\nabla}^{2}h(e_{i},\nu) + \sum_{k=1}^{n}a_{ik}\langle\overline{\nabla}h,e_{k}\rangle\right] + e_{j}(H)f_{i} + He_{j}(\langle\overline{\nabla}f,e_{i}\rangle).$$

Now, fix a point $p \in M_h$ and choose the local orthonormal frame $\{e_1, \ldots, e_n\}$ so that $\nabla_{e_i} e_j(p) = \overline{\nabla}_{e_i}^\top e_j(p) = 0, \ 1 \leq i, j \leq n$. Then, at the point p, we obtain from the Codazzi equation the following relations:

$$(\nabla^2 (fH))(e_j, e_i) = \langle \nabla_{e_j} \nabla (fH), e_i \rangle$$

= $e_j \langle \nabla (fH), e_i \rangle - \langle \nabla (fH), \nabla_{e_j} e_i \rangle$ (2.19)
= $e_j e_i (fH),$

$$e_j(\overline{\nabla}^2 h(e_i,\nu)) = (\overline{\nabla}^3 h)_{j\nu i} + \sum_{k=1}^n a_{jk}(\overline{\nabla}^2 h)_{ki} - a_{ji}(\overline{\nabla}^2 h)_{\nu\nu}, \qquad (2.20)$$

$$\sum_{k=1}^{n} e_j(a_{ik})h_k = \sum_{k=1}^{n} a_{ij,k}h_k + \sum_{k=1}^{n} \overline{R}_{\nu ikj}h_k, \qquad (2.21)$$

and

$$\sum_{k=1}^{n} a_{ik} e_j(\langle \overline{\nabla} h, e_k \rangle) = \sum_{k=1}^{n} a_{ik} (\overline{\nabla}^2 h)_{jk} - \sum_{k=1}^{n} a_{ik} a_{jk} h_{\nu}.$$
 (2.22)

On the other hand, the following holds on M_h :

$$(\overline{\nabla}^{3}h)_{i\nu j} = (\overline{\nabla}^{2}h)_{\nu j,i} = (\overline{\nabla}^{2}h)_{j\nu,i}$$
$$= (\overline{\nabla}^{2}h)_{ji,\nu} + \sum_{k=1}^{n+1} h_{k}\overline{R}_{kj\nu i}$$
$$= (\overline{\nabla}^{3}h)_{\nu ji} + h_{\nu}\overline{R}_{\nu i\nu j} + \sum_{k=1}^{n} h_{k}\overline{R}_{\nu ikj}.$$

Thus, we have

$$\sum_{k=1}^{n} h_k \overline{R}_{\nu i k j} = (\overline{\nabla}^3 h)_{i\nu j} - (\overline{\nabla}^3 h)_{\nu j i} - h_\nu \overline{R}_{\nu i \nu j}.$$
(2.23)

Substituting (2.19)-(2.23) into (2.18) and taking into account that $h_{\nu} = H$, we have at p and for $1 \le i, j \le n$,

$$\begin{split} (\nabla^2 (fH))(e_j, e_i) &= f\left[(\overline{\nabla}^3 h)_{j\nu i} + (\overline{\nabla}^3 h)_{i\nu j} - (\overline{\nabla}^3 h)_{\nu j i} + \sum_{k=1}^n a_{jk} (\overline{\nabla}^2 h)_{ki} \right. \\ &+ \sum_{k=1}^n a_{ik} (\overline{\nabla}^2 h)_{jk} + \sum_{k=1}^n a_{ij,k} h_k - a_{ji} (\overline{\nabla}^2 h)_{\nu\nu} - H\overline{R}_{i\nu j\nu} \\ &- \sum_{k=1}^n a_{ik} a_{jk} H \right] + f_j \left[\overline{\nabla}^2 h(e_i, \nu) + \sum_{k=1}^n a_{ik} \langle \overline{\nabla} h, e_k \rangle \right] \\ &+ e_j (H) f_i + H e_j (\langle \overline{\nabla} f, e_i \rangle). \end{split}$$

Taking the trace, we obtain

$$\Delta(fH) = f \left[2 \sum_{i=1}^{n} (\overline{\nabla}^{3}h)_{i\nu i} - \sum_{i=1}^{n} (\overline{\nabla}^{3}h)_{\nu i i} + 2 \sum_{i,k=1}^{n} a_{ik} (\overline{\nabla}^{2}h)_{k i} \right. \\ \left. \langle \nabla h, \nabla H \rangle - \overline{R} i c_{h}(\nu, \nu) H - |A|^{2} H \right]$$

$$+ \sum_{i=1}^{n} f_{i} \left[\overline{\nabla}^{2}h(e_{i}, \nu) + \sum_{k=1}^{n} a_{ik} \langle \overline{\nabla}h, e_{k} \rangle \right]$$

$$+ \sum_{i=1}^{n} e_{i}(H) f_{i} + \sum_{i=1}^{n} H e_{i}(\langle \overline{\nabla}f, e_{i} \rangle).$$

$$(2.24)$$

Since $p \in M_h$ is arbitrary and (2.24) is independent of the choice of the frame, by the expression of L_h in (2.16) we have

$$L_{h}(fH) = f\left[2\sum_{i=1}^{n} (\overline{\nabla}^{3}h)_{i\nu i} - \sum_{i=1}^{n} (\overline{\nabla}^{3}h)_{\nu i i} + 2\sum_{i,k=1}^{n} a_{ik} (\overline{\nabla}^{2}h)_{ki}\right] + \sum_{i=1}^{n} f_{i}\left[\overline{\nabla}^{2}h(e_{i},\nu) + \sum_{k=1}^{n} a_{ik} \langle \overline{\nabla}h, e_{k} \rangle\right]$$

$$+ \sum_{i=1}^{n} e_{i}(H) \langle \overline{\nabla}f, e_{i} \rangle + \sum_{i=1}^{n} He_{i}(\langle \overline{\nabla}f, e_{i} \rangle) - H \langle \nabla h, \nabla f \rangle.$$

$$(2.25)$$

We will rearrange the last five terms of (2.25). More precisely, for $1 \le i \le n$, one has

$$\nabla^2 f(e_i, e_i) = \langle \nabla_{e_i} \nabla f, e_i \rangle = e_i(\langle \nabla f, e_i \rangle) - \langle \nabla f, \nabla_{e_i} e_i \rangle$$

= $e_i(\langle \nabla f, e_i \rangle).$ (2.26)

Since $\overline{\nabla}f = \nabla f + \overline{\nabla}^{\perp}f$ lends to $\langle \overline{\nabla}f, e_i \rangle = \langle \nabla f, e_i \rangle$, one has $\sum_{i=1}^n He_i(\langle \overline{\nabla}f, e_i \rangle) - H\langle \nabla h, \nabla f \rangle = H \triangle_h f. \qquad (2.27)$ On the other hand,

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} f_i \overline{\nabla}^2 h(e_i, \nu) + \sum_{i=1}^{n} e_i(H) \langle \overline{\nabla} f, e_i \rangle = 2 \sum_{i=1}^{n} e_i(H) \langle \overline{\nabla} f, e_i \rangle - \sum_{i,k=1}^{n} f_i a_{ik} \langle \overline{\nabla} h, e_k \rangle.$$
(2.28)

Finally, applying the equations (2.26)-(2.28) into (2.25), we get (2.17), and this concludes the proof. $\hfill \Box$

We are now in position to prove Theorem 2.

Proof of Theorem 2. Multiplying (2.17) by fH, we obtain

$$fHL_h(fH) = f^2 H \left[2\sum_{i=1}^n (\overline{\nabla}^3 h)_{i\nu i} - \sum_{i=1}^n (\overline{\nabla}^3 h)_{\nu i i} + 2\sum_{i,k=1}^n a_{ik} (\overline{\nabla}^2 h)_{ki} \right]$$

$$+ 2fH \sum_{i=1}^n e_i(H) \langle \overline{\nabla} f, e_i \rangle + fH^2 \triangle_h f.$$

$$(2.29)$$

By the assumption, one has $\overline{\nabla}(\overline{\nabla}^2 h) \equiv 0$, and this implies that

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} (\overline{\nabla}^{3} h)_{i\nu i} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} (\overline{\nabla}^{3} h)_{\nu i i} = 0,$$

which provides us with

$$fHL_h(fH) = 2f^2H \sum_{i,k=1}^n a_{ik} (\overline{\nabla}^2 h)_{ki} + 2fH \sum_{i=1}^n e_i(H) \langle \overline{\nabla} f, e_i \rangle + fH^2 \triangle_h f.$$
(2.30)

Setting

$$a := 2f^2 H \sum_{i,k=1}^n a_{ik} (\overline{\nabla}^2 h)_{ik}, \quad b := 2f H \sum_{i=1}^n e_i(H) \langle \overline{\nabla} f, e_i \rangle \quad c := f H^2 \triangle_h f.$$

We obtain from Young's inequality the following estimate

$$\begin{aligned} a &\leq 2\sum_{i,k=1}^{n} f^{2} |H| |a_{ik}| |(\overline{\nabla}^{2}h)_{ik}| \\ &\leq 2\sum_{i,k=1}^{n} \left(\frac{f^{2}H^{2}a_{ik}^{2}}{2} + \frac{f^{2}(\overline{\nabla}^{2}h)_{ik}^{2}}{2} \right) \\ &= |A|^{2}H^{2}f^{2} + |\overline{\nabla}^{2}h|^{2}f^{2}. \end{aligned}$$

The expression b can be rewritten as

$$b := 2fH \sum_{i=1}^{n} e_i(H) \langle \overline{\nabla} f, e_i \rangle = 2fH \langle \nabla H, \nabla f \rangle,$$

and using integration by parts in c, we have

$$\int_{M_h} c \, dv_h = \int_{M_h} H^2 f \triangle_h f dv_h = -\int_{M_h} 2H f \langle \nabla H, \nabla f \rangle dv_h - \int_{M_h} H^2 |\nabla f|^2 dv_h$$

Finally, using a, b and c, we obtain the following integral inequality for (2.30):

$$-\int_{M_{h}} fHL_{h}(fH)dv_{h} \geq -\int_{M_{h}} |A|^{2}H^{2}f^{2}dv_{h} - \int_{M_{h}} |\overline{\nabla}^{2}h|^{2}f^{2}dv_{h} + \int_{M_{h}} H^{2}|\nabla f|^{2}dv_{h}.$$
(2.31)

Now, note that the first eigenvalue $\lambda_{1,D}$ of D in (1.3) can be characterized variationally in the following way:

$$\lambda_{1,D} \int_{M_h} f^2 dV_h \leq \int_{M_h} |\nabla f|^2 dV_h, \quad \forall \ f \in C_0^\infty(M_h).$$
(2.32)

Replacing f by fH in (2.32), it follows from Young's inequality that

$$\lambda_{1,D} \int_{M_h} f^2 H^2 dV_h \leq 2 \left(\int_{M_h} f^2 |\nabla H|^2 dV_h + \int_{M_h} H^2 |\nabla f|^2 dV_h \right) (2.33)$$

Joining (2.31) and (2.33), we get

$$\begin{split} -\int_{M_{h}} fHL_{h}(fH)dv_{h} &\geq -\int_{M_{h}} |A|^{2}H^{2}f^{2}dv_{h} - \int_{M_{h}} |\overline{\nabla}^{2}h|^{2}f^{2}dv_{h} \\ &+ \frac{1}{2}\int_{M_{h}} \lambda_{1,D}H^{2}f^{2}dv_{h} - \int_{M_{h}} f^{2}|\nabla H|^{2}dv_{h}. \end{split}$$

Now, applying Theorem 1, we obtain the following inequality

$$-\int_{M_{h}} fHL_{h}(fH)dv_{h} \geq \int_{M_{h}} \left(\frac{1}{2} \left(Ric_{h} - c \mid \nabla h \mid^{2}\right) - |A|^{2} - \frac{1}{H^{2}}|\overline{\nabla}^{2}h|^{2} - \frac{1}{H^{2}}|\nabla H|^{2}\right)H^{2}f^{2}dv_{h}.$$
(2.34)

Then, from (1.6), one has

$$\frac{1}{2} \left(Ric_h - c \mid \nabla h \mid^2 \right) - |A|^2 - \frac{1}{H^2} |\overline{\nabla}^2 h|^2 - \frac{1}{H^2} |\nabla H|^2 \ge 0,$$

which allows us to conclude that

$$-\int_{M_h} fHL_h(fH)dv_h \ge 0, \quad \forall \ f \in C_0^\infty(M_h).$$

Given $\varphi \in C_0^{\infty}(M_h)$ and since that $H \neq 0$, taking $f = \frac{1}{H}\varphi$, we have

$$-\int_{M_h} \varphi L_h(\varphi) dv_h \ge 0, \quad \forall \ \varphi \in C_0^\infty(M_h),$$

and according to Definition 2.4, we conclude that M_h is *h*-stable.

Acknowledgments

ACB is grateful to the Instituto Federal Goiano for the necessary conditions for the research internship, and to the Department of Mathematics of the Universidade de Brasília for its hospitality while this paper was being prepared. We express our sincere gratitude to Keti Tenenblat for invaluable suggestions.

References

- Aubin, T. Fonction de Green et valeurs propres du laplacien. J. Math. Pures Appl. (9) 53 (1974), 347–371.
- [2] Bezerra, A. C., Manfio, F. Rigidity and stability estimates for minimal submanifolds in the hyperbolic space. J. Math. Anal. Appl. 495 (2021), 124759.
- [3] Cavalcante, M. P., Manfio, F. On the fundamental tone of immersions and submersions. Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 146 (2018), no. 7, 2963–2971.
- Bakry, D., Émery, M. Diffusions hypercontractives. Seminaire de probabilites, XIX, 1983/84, 177–206. Lecture Notes in Math., 1123, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1985.
- [5] Cheeger, J. The relation between the Laplacian and the diameter for manifolds of non-negative curvature. Arch. Math. 19 (1968), 558–560.
- [6] Cheeger, J. A lower bound for the smallest eigenvalue of the Laplacian. Problems in analysis (Sympos. in honor of Salomon Bochner, Princeton Univ., Princeton, N.J., 1969), pp. 195–199.
- [7] Cheng, S. Y. Eigenvalue comparison theorems and its geometric applications. Math. Z. 143 (1975), no. 3, 289–297.

- [8] Cheng, X., Mejia, T., Zhou, D. Stability and Compactness for complete f-minimal surfaces. Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 367 (2015), no. 6, 4041– 4059.
- [9] Cheng, X., Mejia, T., Zhou, D. Simons-Type Equation for f-Minimal Hypersurfaces and Applications. J. Geom. Anal. 25 (2015), no. 4, 2667– 2686.
- [10] Escobar, J. F. Uniqueness theorems on conformal deformation of metrics, Sobolev inequalities, and an eigenvalue estimate. Comm. Pure Appl. Math. 43 (1990), no. 7, 857–883.
- [11] Li, H., Z., Wei, Y. f-minimal surface and manifold with positive m-Bakry-Émery Ricci curvature. J. Geom. Anal. 25 (2015), no. 1, 421– 435.
- [12] Liu, G. Stable weighted minimal surfaces in manifolds with non-negative Bakry-Emery Ricci tensor. Comm. Anal. Geom. 21 (2013), no. 5, 1061– 1079.
- [13] Ma, L., Du, S.-H. Extension of Reilly formula with applications to eigenvalue estimates for drifting Laplacians. C. R. Math. Acad. Sci. Paris 348 (2010), no. 21-22, 1203–1206.
- [14] Morgan, F. Manifolds with density. Notices Amer. Math. Soc. 52 (2005), no. 8, 853–858.
- [15] Munteanu, O., Wang, J. Geometry of manifolds with densities. Adv. Math. 259 (2014), 269--305.
- [16] Perelman, G. The entropy formula for the Ricci flow and its geometric applications. arXiv:math/0211159.
- [17] Reilly, R. Applications of the Hessian operator in a Riemannian manifold. Indiana Univ. Math. J. 26 (1977), no. 3, 459–472.
- [18] Sturm, K.-T. On the geometry of metric measure spaces. I Acta Math. 196 (2006), no. 1, 65–131.
- [19] Sturm, K.-T. On the geometry of metric measure spaces. II Acta Math. 196 (2006), no. 1, 133–177.
- [20] Villani, C. Optimal Transport: Old and New. Grundlehren der mathematischen Wissenschaften, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2009.
- [21] Wei, G., Wylie, W. Comparison geometry for the Bakry-Emery Ricci tensor. J. Differential Geom. 83 (2009), no. 2, 377–405.

- [22] Yau, S. T. Isoperimetric constants and the first eigenvalue of a compact Riemannian manifold. Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup. (4) 8 (1975), no. 4, 487--507.
- [23] Yun, G., Seo, K. Weighted volume growth and vanishing properties of f-minimal hypersurfaces in a weighted manifold. Nonlinear Anal. 180 (2019), 264–283.

A. C. Bezerra – Instituto Federal Goiano, Brazil *E-mail address:* adriano.bezerra@ifgoiano.edu.br

T. Castro Silva – Universidade de Brasília, Brazil *E-mail address:* tarcisio@mat.unb.br

F. Manfio – Universidade de São Paulo, Brazil *E-mail address:* manfio@icmc.usp.br