Recent Progress on Austere Submanifolds

JIANQUAN GE

School of Mathematical Sciences, Laboratory of Mathematics and Complex Systems, Beijing Normal University, Beijing, China E-mail: jqge@bnu.edu.cn

YI ZHOU

Beijing International Center for Mathematical Research, Peking University, Beijing, China E-mail: yizhou@bicmr.pku.edu.cn

Abstract This is a brief survey of recent results related to austere submanifolds, mainly based on the papers [24, 25].

Key words Austere submanifold, austere matrix, Dupin hypersurface, normal scalar curvature.

1. Backgrounds

A submanifold M^n of a Riemannian manifold is said to be austere if all symmetric polynomials of odd degree in the principal curvatures with respect to any normal vector vanish, or equivalently, the nonzero principal curvatures in every normal direction occur in oppositely signed pairs. This concept was introduced by Harvey-Lawson [26] for constructing special Lagrangian submanifolds in \mathbb{C}^N . It is not hard to see that austerity implies minimality, and these two concepts are equivalent if and only if n = 1 or 2.

In the celebrated paper [1], Bryant developed some algebra to describe the possible second fundamental forms of austere submanifolds. Let II denote the second fundamental form, and for any $p \in M$, let $|II_p| \subset S^2(T_p^*M)$ be the subspace

J. Q. Ge is partially supported by NSFC (No. 12171037) and the Fundamental Research Funds for the Central Universities.

Y. Zhou is partially supported by NSFC (No. 12171037, 12271040), China Postdoctoral Science Foundation (No. BX20230018) and National Key R&D Program of China 2020YFA0712800.

spanned by $\{ II_{\xi} : \xi \in T_p^{\perp}M \}$, where $II_{\xi}(X,Y) := \langle II(X,Y), \xi \rangle$ is the second fundamental form along the normal direction ξ . By fixing an orthonormal basis, we can regard the vector space $|II_p|$ as a subspace of the vector space S_n of $n \times n$ real symmetric matrices. For convenience, we say that an $n \times n$ real symmetric matrix A is austere if its nonzero eigenvalues occur in oppositely signed pairs, or equivalently,

tr
$$A^{2k+1} = 0$$
 for each $0 \le k \le \left[\frac{n-1}{2}\right]$.

Thus the problem is translated to classify the subspaces consisting of austere matrices, called austere subspaces. Note that the sum of two austere matrices is not necessary an austere matrix. In order to construct examples of austere subspaces, there is an insightful observation that for any orthogonal matrix $P \in O(n)$, the subspace $Q_P := \{A \in S_n : PA + AP = 0\}$ is austere. We then present two classes of concrete examples.

Example 1. If *n* is even and $P^2 = -I_n$, then up to a conjugate action of O(n), we can take $P = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & I_{\frac{n}{2}} \\ -I_{\frac{n}{2}} & 0 \end{pmatrix}$, and thus the austere subspace \mathcal{Q}_P is $\mathcal{Q}(n) := \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} a_1 & a_2 \\ a_2 & -a_1 \end{pmatrix} : a_1, a_2 \in \mathcal{S}_{\frac{n}{2}} \right\}.$

It is easy to see that almost complex submanifolds of Kähler manifolds realize this class of austere subspaces.

Example 2. If $P^2 = I_n$ and $P \neq \pm I_n$, then there exist positive integers p, q such that P conjugates to $\begin{pmatrix} I_p & 0 \\ 0 & -I_q \end{pmatrix}$, and the austere subspace \mathcal{Q}_P conjugates to

$$\mathcal{Q}(p,q) := \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 0 & a \\ a^t & 0 \end{pmatrix} : a \in M(p,q) \right\},\$$

where M(p,q) denotes the vector space of $p \times q$ real matrices.

Through the above observation and some complicated discussions, Bryant constructed several maximal austere subspaces (see Table 1.1) and completed the classification for $n \leq 4$ (see Table 1.2), up to the conjugate action of O(n) on S_n given by $P \cdot A = P^t A P$.

Order	Maximal austere subspaces	Dimension
n = 2p + 2	$\left\{egin{pmatrix} m_1 & m_2 \ m_2 & -m_1 \end{pmatrix}: m_1, m_2 \in \mathcal{S}_{p+1} ight\}$	(p+1)(p+2)
	$\left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 0 & m \\ m^t & 0 \end{pmatrix} : m \in M(k, n-k, \mathbb{R}) \right\}, \ 1 \leqslant k \leqslant p$	k(n-k)
	$\left\{ \begin{pmatrix} \lambda I_{p+1} & m \\ m^t & -\lambda I_{p+1} \end{pmatrix} : m \in M(p+1,\mathbb{R}), \ \lambda \in \mathbb{R} \right\}$	$(p+1)^2 + 1$
n = 2p + 1	$\left\{ \begin{pmatrix} m_1 & m_2 & 0\\ m_2 & -m_1 & 0\\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} : m_1, m_2 \in \mathcal{S}_p \right\}$	p(p+1)
	$\left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 0 & m \\ m^t & 0 \end{pmatrix} : m \in M(k, n-k, \mathbb{R}) \right\}, \ 1 \leqslant k \leqslant p$	k(n-k)
	$\left\{ \begin{pmatrix} \lambda I_p & m & 0\\ m^t & -\lambda I_p & 0\\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} : m \in M(p, \mathbb{R}), \ \lambda \in \mathbb{R} \right\}$	$p^{2} + 1$

Table 1.1 Bryant's constructions of maximal austere subspaces

Table 1.2 Bryant's classifications for n = 2, 3, 4

Order	Maximal austere subspaces	Dimension
n = 2	$\left\{egin{pmatrix} a & b \ b & -a \end{pmatrix}: a,b\in\mathbb{R} ight\}$	2
n = 3	$\left\{egin{pmatrix} a&b&0\ b&-a&0\ 0&0&0 \end{pmatrix}:a,b\in\mathbb{R} ight\}$	2
	$\left\{egin{pmatrix} 0&0&a\ 0&0&b\ a&b&0 \end{pmatrix}:a,b\in\mathbb{R} ight\}$	2
n = 4	$egin{cases} \left\{ egin{pmatrix} m_1 & m_2 \ m_2 & -m_1 \end{pmatrix} : m_1, m_2 \in \mathcal{S}_2 \end{smallmatrix} ight\}$	6
	$\left\{ \begin{pmatrix} \lambda I_2 & m \\ m^t & -\lambda I_2 \end{pmatrix} : m \in M(2,\mathbb{R}), \ \lambda \in \mathbb{R} \right\}$	5
	$\left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 0 & x_1 & x_2 & x_3 \\ x_1 & 0 & \lambda_3 x_3 & \lambda_2 x_2 \\ x_2 & \lambda_3 x_3 & 0 & \lambda_1 x_1 \\ x_3 & \lambda_2 x_2 & \lambda_1 x_1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} : x_1, x_2, x_3 \in \mathbb{R} \right\}, \text{ where the}$	3
	constants $\lambda_1 \ge \lambda_2 \ge 0 \ge \lambda_3$ satisfy $\lambda_1 \lambda_2 \lambda_3 + \lambda_1 + \lambda_2 + \lambda_3 = 0$.	

Based on this classification of maximal austere spaces, Bryant locally classified 3-dimensional austere submanifolds in Euclidean spaces in the same paper. Then Ionel-Ivey [27, 28] continued to study 4-dimensional austere submanifolds in Euclidean spaces and obtained some classification results under additional assumptions. In addition, Dajczer-Florit [13] and Choi-Lu [12] locally classified 3-dimensional austere submanifolds in unit spheres and hyperbolic spaces, respectively. More constructions and classification results for austere submanifolds can be found in [16, 25, 29, 30].

A rich source of austere submanifolds is the focal submanifolds of isoparametric hypersurfaces in unit spheres which are hypersurfaces of constant principal curvatures. In [2,3], Cartan proved that an isoparametric family of hypersurfaces in unit spheres with 3 distinct constant principal curvatures of equal multiplicity mis given by the regular level sets of the Cartan-Münzner polynomials

$$F_C(x, y, X, Y, Z) = x^3 - 3xy^2 + \frac{3}{2}x(X\overline{X} + Y\overline{Y} - 2Z\overline{Z}) + \frac{3\sqrt{3}}{2}y(X\overline{X} - Y\overline{Y}) + \frac{3\sqrt{3}}{2}(XYZ + \overline{ZYX}),$$
(1.1)

where $x, y \in \mathbb{R}$ and $X, Y, Z \in \mathbb{R}, \mathbb{C}, \mathbb{H}, \mathbb{O}$ for m = 1, 2, 4, 8, respectively. In fact, all these isoparametric hypersurfaces are extrinsically homogeneous, that is, they are principal orbits in the unit spheres under the group actions of SO(3), SU(3), Sp(3) or the exceptional Lie group F_4 . Moreover, Cecil-Ryan [8,9] pointed out that the former three actions can be presented as conjugate actions on the vector space of 3×3 traceless real, complex Hermitian, or quaternionic Hermitian matrices. For example, let E^5 be the 5-dimensional vector space of 3×3 real symmetric matrices with trace zero, and let S^4 be the unit sphere in E^5 with respect to the Frobenius norm. Then the Cartan-Münzner polynomial of (1.1) is nothing but the determinant function (cf. [22]), and the principal orbits of the isometric SO(3)action

$$SO(3) \times S^4 \to S^4, \ (P, A) \mapsto P^t A P,$$

are exactly the isoparametric hypersurfaces with 3 distinct principal curvatures of multiplicity m = 1. In particular, the unique minimal hypersurface in this isoparametric family has constant principal curvatures $-\sqrt{3}, 0, \sqrt{3}$ with respect to any unit normal vector, and thus it is an austere submanifold.

Let \mathcal{A}_n denote the set of $n \times n$ austere matrices with Frobenius norm 1. We

observed that the preceding minimal isoparametric hypersurface is the orbit of the matrix

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0\\ 0 & -1 & 0\\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

and is exactly the set \mathcal{A}_3 . Hence the intersection of S^4 and every austere subspace of \mathcal{S}_3 should be a totally geodesic sphere contained in \mathcal{A}_3 . Motivated by these interesting observations, we want to generalize the submanifold structure of \mathcal{A}_3 to (a subset of) \mathcal{A}_n .

2. The Construction of New Examples

In this section, we briefly introduce our construction in [25] which can handle the complex and quaternionic versions simultaneously. Let \mathbb{F} be one of \mathbb{R} , \mathbb{C} or \mathbb{H} , and let $m := \dim_{\mathbb{R}}(\mathbb{F}) = 1, 2$ or 4, respectively. Then the set of traceless Hermitian matrices over \mathbb{F} , denoted by

$$E(n,\mathbb{F}) := \{A \in M(n,\mathbb{F}) : A^* = A, \operatorname{tr} A = 0\}$$

is a $N(n, \mathbb{F})$ -dimensional real subspace of $M(n, \mathbb{F})$, where A^* denotes the conjugate transpose of A and

$$N(n,\mathbb{F}) := \frac{1}{2}n(n-1)m + n - 1 = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{2}n(n+1) - 1 & \text{if } \mathbb{F} = \mathbb{R};\\ n^2 - 1 & \text{if } \mathbb{F} = \mathbb{C};\\ 2n^2 - n - 1 & \text{if } \mathbb{F} = \mathbb{H}. \end{cases}$$

Let $S(n, \mathbb{F})$ be the unit sphere in $E(n, \mathbb{F})$ with respect to the Frobenius norm. For each $k \ge 2$, let

$$f_k: S(n, \mathbb{F}) \to \mathbb{R}, \ A \mapsto \operatorname{tr} A^k$$

and let

$$\Phi_{n,\mathbb{F}}: S(n,\mathbb{F}) \to \mathbb{R}^p, \ A \mapsto (f_3(A), f_5(A), \cdots, f_{2p+1}(A)),$$

where $p = \left[\frac{n-1}{2}\right]$. Then we have

$$\Phi_{n,\mathbb{R}}^{-1}(0) = \left\{ A \in S(n,\mathbb{R}) : f_{2k+1}(A) = 0 \text{ for each } 0 \leqslant k \leqslant \left[\frac{n-1}{2}\right] \right\} = \mathcal{A}_n$$

Let $\mathcal{B}_{n,\mathbb{F}}$ and $\mathcal{C}_{n,\mathbb{F}}$ denote the subsets of regular points and critical points of $\Phi_{n,\mathbb{F}}$ in $\Phi_{n,\mathbb{F}}^{-1}(0)$, respectively. We can show that $\mathcal{C}_{n,\mathbb{F}}$ is closed in $S(n,\mathbb{F})$, and thus by the

regular level set theorem, we know $\mathcal{B}_{n,\mathbb{F}}$ is a $(N(n,\mathbb{F})-p-1)$ -dimensional properly embedded submanifold of $S(n,\mathbb{F}) \setminus \mathcal{C}_{n,\mathbb{F}}$.

Let $U(n, \mathbb{F})$ denote the Lie group $\mathrm{SO}(n)$, $\mathrm{U}(n)$, $\mathrm{Sp}(n)$ for $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{R}$, \mathbb{C} , \mathbb{H} , respectively. To study basic properties of the submanifold $\mathcal{B}_{n,\mathbb{F}}$, we need to consider the isometric action of $U(n,\mathbb{F})$ on $E(n,\mathbb{F})$ given by $P \cdot A := P^*AP$. Note that for each matrix $A \in \Phi_{n,\mathbb{F}}^{-1}(0)$, there exists $P \in U(n,\mathbb{F})$ such that

$$P \cdot A = \begin{cases} \operatorname{diag}(\lambda_1, -\lambda_1, \cdots, \lambda_p, -\lambda_p, \lambda_{p+1}) & \text{if } n = 2p+1 \text{ is odd}; \\ \operatorname{diag}(\lambda_1, -\lambda_1, \cdots, \lambda_{p+1}, -\lambda_{p+1}) & \text{if } n = 2p+2 \text{ is even}, \end{cases}$$

where $\lambda_1 \ge \cdots \ge \lambda_{p+1} \ge 0$. By analyzing the linear dependence of normal vectors grad f_3, \cdots , grad f_{2p+1} , we can show that $A \in \mathcal{B}_{n,\mathbb{F}}$ if and only if $\lambda_1, \cdots, \lambda_{p+1}$ are distinct. Thus we can characterize $\mathcal{B}_{n,\mathbb{F}}$ and $\mathcal{C}_{n,\mathbb{F}}$ more accurately. It follows that

$$\begin{split} &\mathcal{C}_{3,\mathbb{F}} = \varnothing, \\ &\mathcal{C}_{4,\mathbb{F}} = U(n,\mathbb{F}) \cdot \frac{1}{2} \operatorname{diag} \left(1, -1, 1, -1\right), \\ &\mathcal{C}_{5,\mathbb{F}} = U(n,\mathbb{F}) \cdot \left\{ \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \operatorname{diag} \left(1, -1, 0, 0, 0\right), \frac{1}{2} \operatorname{diag} \left(1, -1, 1, -1, 0\right) \right\} \\ &\mathcal{C}_{6,\mathbb{F}} = U(n,\mathbb{F}) \cdot \left\{ \frac{1}{2} \operatorname{diag} \left(s, -s, s, -s, \sqrt{2(1-s^2)}, -\sqrt{2(1-s^2)}\right) : 0 \leqslant s \leqslant 1 \right\}. \end{split}$$

For the case of n = 3, $\mathcal{B}_{3,\mathbb{R}}$, $\mathcal{B}_{3,\mathbb{C}}$ and $\mathcal{B}_{3,\mathbb{H}}$ are exactly Cartan's minimal isoparametric hypersurfaces with 3 distinct principal curvatures of multiplicity m = 1, 2and 4, respectively. For the case of $n \ge 4$, $\mathcal{C}_{n,\mathbb{F}} \ne \emptyset$ implies that $\mathcal{B}_{n,\mathbb{F}}$ is noncompact. However, by finding principal directions at diagonal points, we can prove the following result:

Theorem 3. (See [25]) $\mathcal{B}_{n,\mathbb{F}}$ is an orientable, connected, full, austere submanifold of $S(n,\mathbb{F})$ with flat normal bundle.

For the singular orbit $\mathcal{C}_{4,\mathbb{F}}$, we can show that $\mathcal{C}_{4,\mathbb{F}}$ is a closed, full, austere submanifold which is diffeomorphic to the Grassmann manifold $G_2(\mathbb{F}^4)$. Moreover, the Gauss equation yields that the scalar curvature of $\mathcal{B}_{4,\mathbb{R}}$ is

$$\rho(A) = 42 - 2\left(\operatorname{tr} A^4 - \frac{1}{4}\right)^{-1}.$$

Since tr $A^4 = \frac{1}{4}$ if and only if $A \in \mathcal{C}_{4,\mathbb{R}}$, we know that $\mathcal{A}_4 = \mathcal{B}_{4,\mathbb{R}} \cup \mathcal{C}_{4,\mathbb{R}}$ is not a smooth embedded submanifold of $S(4,\mathbb{R})$, unlike Cartan's isoparametric hypersurface $\mathcal{A}_3 = \mathcal{B}_{3,\mathbb{R}}$ in the case of n = 3.

Recent Progress on Austere Submanifolds

Since for every austere subspace $\mathcal{Q} \subset \mathcal{S}_n$, we observe that $\mathcal{Q} \cap S(n, \mathbb{R})$ is a totally geodesic sphere containing in $\mathcal{A}_n = \mathcal{B}_{n,\mathbb{R}} \cup \mathcal{C}_{n,\mathbb{R}}$. As an immediate application, we can obtain the following upper bound for the dimension of austere subspaces by the submanifold structure of $\mathcal{B}_{n,\mathbb{R}}$.

Theorem 4. (See [25]) Let \mathcal{Q} be an austere subspace in $M(n, \mathbb{R})$ such that $\mathcal{Q} \cap \mathcal{B}_{n,\mathbb{R}} \neq \emptyset$, then

dim
$$Q \leq \begin{cases} p^2 + 2p & \text{if } n = 2p + 1; \\ p^2 + 3p + 2 & \text{if } n = 2p + 2. \end{cases}$$
 (1.2)

If n = 2p + 2, then the equality in (1.2) is achieved by the maximal austere subspace

$$\left\{ \begin{pmatrix} m_1 & m_2 \\ m_2 & -m_1 \end{pmatrix} : m_1, m_2 \in \mathcal{S}_{p+1} \right\}$$

But for the case n = 2p+1, we think the optimal upper bound should be smaller. In addition, since $\mathcal{C}_{n,\mathbb{R}}$ is the union of singular orbits, we believe that the intersection condition $\mathcal{Q} \cap \mathcal{B}_{n,\mathbb{R}} \neq \emptyset$ in Theorem 4 is not necessary.

3. An Application to Dupin Hypersurfaces

We start this section by introducing some necessary concepts. An oriented hypersurface $f: M^n \to N^{n+1}$ is called a Dupin hypersurface if along each curvature surface, the corresponding principal curvature is constant. A Dupin hypersurface M^n is called proper Dupin if the number g of distinct principal curvatures is constant. In real space forms, it is easy to see that every isoparametric hypersurface is a proper Dupin hypersurface. In [34], Pinkall introduced four methods to construct a Dupin hypersurface from a lower dimensional Dupin hypersurface, and he showed that there exists a proper Dupin hypersurface for any given number g of distinct principal curvatures and any given multiplicities m_1, \dots, m_g . For compact proper Dupin hypersurfaces in spheres, Thorbergsson [37] proved that the number g of distinct principal curvatures can only be 1, 2, 3, 4 or 6, the same restriction as for isoparametric hypersurfaces proven by Münzner [33] (There is a new proof by Fang [18]). See [4, 6, 11] for detailed surveys on the history and developments of isoparametric hypersurfaces and Dupin hypersurfaces.

In contrast to the situation for isoparametric hypersurfaces, a proper Dupin hypersurface may not be extended to a compact proper Dupin hypersurface. Hence reducibility is an important condition in the local classification of proper Dupin hypersurface. A Dupin submanifold obtained from lower dimensional Dupin submanifold via one of Pinkall's constructions is said to be reducible. More generally, a Dupin submanifold which is locally Lie equivalent to such a Dupin submanifold is said to be reducible [5]. Cecil-Chi-Jensen [7] proved that every compact, connected proper Dupin hypersurface in the Euclidean space with g > 2 distinct principal curvatures is irreducible. In the elegant survey [38], Thorbergsson raised the following question:

Question. Is it possible that by assuming irreducibility instead of compactness of a proper Dupin hypersurface the conclusion g = 1, 2, 3, 4 or 6 can be drawn as well as the restrictions on the multiplicities in Stolz's theorem [36]?

During the study of our new examples of austere submanifolds, we find that there are three counterexamples for Thorbergsson's question. In fact, we observed that there are 5 distinct principal curvature functions on the open submanifold

$$\widetilde{\mathcal{B}}_{4,\mathbb{F}} = \mathcal{B}_{4,\mathbb{F}} \cap \mathrm{GL}(4,\mathbb{F}) = U(4,\mathbb{F}) \cdot \widetilde{\mathcal{D}}_4$$

where

$$\widetilde{\mathcal{D}}_4 := \{ \operatorname{diag}(\lambda_1, -\lambda_1, \lambda_2, -\lambda_2) \in \mathcal{B}_{4,\mathbb{F}} : \lambda_1 > \lambda_2 > 0 \}.$$

Using some technologies from the theory of Lie sphere geometry [5], we can prove the following result:

Theorem 5. (See [25]) $\widetilde{\mathcal{B}}_{4,\mathbb{F}}$ is a (6m + 1)-dimensional, orientable, connected, noncompact, irreducible proper Dupin hypersurface of the unit sphere $S(4,\mathbb{F})$ with 5 distinct principal curvatures of multiplicities m, m, m, m and 2m + 1, where $m = \dim_{\mathbb{R}}(\mathbb{F})$.

4. Normal Scalar Curvature Inequalities on a Class of Austere Submanifolds

Austere submanifolds also arise from minimal Wintgen ideal submanifolds which are minimal submanifolds attaining the following normal scalar curvature inequality (1.3) everywhere [15,39,40]. In 1999, De Smet-Dillen-Verstraelen-Vrancken [17] proposed the so-called DDVV conjecture: For an immersed submanifold M^n of a real space form $N^{n+m}(\kappa)$ with constant sectional curvature κ , there exists a pointwise normal scalar curvature inequality (also known as DDVV inequality)

$$\rho + \rho^{\perp} \leqslant \|H\|^2 + \kappa, \tag{1.3}$$

where ρ denotes the normalized scalar curvature, ρ^{\perp} denotes the normalized normal scalar curvature and ||H|| denotes the mean curvature. The DDVV conjecture was proved by Lu [32] and Ge-Tang [20] independently, and the equality in (1.3) holds at some point $p \in M$ if and only if there exist an orthonormal basis $\{e_1, \dots, e_n\}$ of T_pM and an orthonormal basis $\{\xi_1, \dots, \xi_m\}$ of $T_p^{\perp}M$ such that the matrices corresponding to the second fundamental form along directions ξ_1, \dots, ξ_m are

$$A_1 = \lambda_1 I_n + \mu \operatorname{diag}(H_1, 0), \ A_2 = \lambda_2 I_n + \mu \operatorname{diag}(H_2, 0), \ A_3 = \lambda_3 I_n$$

and $A_r = 0$ for r > 3, where $\mu, \lambda_1, \lambda_2, \lambda_3$ are real numbers and

$$H_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}, \ H_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

See [19,21] for detailed surveys on the DDVV inequality.

For the focal submanifolds of isoparametric hypersurfaces in unit spheres, Ge-Tang-Yan [23] proved sharper normal scalar curvature inequalities and completely characterized the subsets achieving the equalities. Recall that the isoparametric focal submanifolds are a rich source of austere submanifolds. Thus it is natural to consider new normal scalar curvature inequalities on more general austere submanifolds. For a given austere subspace Q, we say that an austere submanifold M is of type Q if for each point $p \in M$, there exists an orthonormal basis of T_pM such that $| \Pi_p | \subset Q$. This definition, to some degree, generalizes the three types of 4-dimensional austere submanifolds introduced by Ionel-Ivey [27, 28]. Since minimal Wintgen ideal submanifolds are austere submanifolds of type Q(n), there is no new normal scalar curvature inequalities for this class of austere submanifolds. However, for austere submanifolds of type Q(p,q), we can establish the following sharper normal scalar curvature inequalities.

Theorem 6. (See [24]) Let M^n be an austere submanifold of type $\mathcal{Q}(p,q)$ in a real space form $N^{n+m}(\kappa)$. Then

$$\rho^{\perp} \leqslant \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2} (\kappa - \rho). \tag{1.4}$$

The equality holds at some point $p \in M$ if and only if there exist an orthonormal basis $\{e_1, \dots, e_n\}$ of T_pM and an orthonormal basis $\{\xi_1, \dots, \xi_m\}$ of $T_p^{\perp}M$ such that the matrices corresponding to the second fundamental form along directions ξ_1, \dots, ξ_m are

$$A_1 = \lambda \operatorname{diag}(C_1, 0), \ A_2 = \lambda \operatorname{diag}(C_2, 0) \ and \ A_r = 0 \ for \ r > 2,$$

where λ is a real number and

$$C_1 := \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \ C_2 := \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

The proof follows Ge-Tang's method [20] which enables us to derive the equality condition. Except for the trivial case $A_1 = \cdots = A_m = 0$, the equality in (1.4) can only be achieved when $p, q \ge 2$. For the remaining cases, we have the following sharper inequality.

Theorem 7. (See [24]) Let M^n be an austere submanifold of type $\mathcal{Q}(n-1,1)$ in a real space form $N^{n+m}(\kappa)$. Then for any point $p \in M$,

$$\rho^{\perp} \leqslant \sqrt{\frac{d-1}{2d}} (\kappa - \rho), \tag{1.5}$$

where d denotes the dimension of $|\Pi_p|$. The equality holds if and only if there exist an orthonormal basis $\{e_1, \dots, e_n\}$ of T_pM and an orthonormal basis $\{\xi_1, \dots, \xi_m\}$ of $T_p^{\perp}M$ such that the matrices corresponding to the second fundamental form along directions ξ_1, \dots, ξ_m are

$$A_r = \lambda \left(E_{rn} + E_{nr} \right)$$
 for $1 \leq r \leq d$ and $A_r = 0$ for $r > d$,

where λ is a real number and E_{ij} denotes the $n \times n$ matrix with 1 in position (i, j)and 0 elsewhere.

To illustrate the above theorems make sense, we give some explicit examples of austere submanifold of type $\mathcal{Q}(p,q)$ in [24]. It is worth pointing out that the isoparametric focal submanifold M_+ with g = 4 and multiplicities (1, 2) achieves the equality in (1.4) everywhere, and some of Bryant's generalized helicoids achieve the equality in (1.5) on certain subsets. As a byproduct, we can establish the following Simons-type gap theorem for closed austere submanifolds of type $\mathcal{Q}(p,q)$ in unit spheres.

Theorem 8. (See [24]) Suppose M^n is a closed, connected, austere submanifold of type $\mathcal{Q}(p,q)$ in the unit sphere \mathbf{S}^{n+m} . Then

$$\int_M S(S-n) \, dV_M \ge 0.$$

In addition, if $S \leq n$, then M is either a totally geodesic sphere or the Clifford torus $S^p\left(\sqrt{\frac{1}{2}}\right) \times S^p\left(\sqrt{\frac{1}{2}}\right)$ with n = 2p.

Recent Progress on Austere Submanifolds

It is natural to compare Theorem 8 with the famous rigidity theorem:

Theorem 9. (See [10, 31, 35]) Suppose M^n is a closed, connected, minimal submanifold in the unit sphere \mathbf{S}^{n+m} . Then

$$\int_{M} S\left[\left(2-\frac{1}{m}\right)S-n\right] \, dV_{M} \ge 0.$$

In addition, if $S \leq n/(2-\frac{1}{m})$, then M is either a totally geodesic sphere or a Clifford torus $S^k\left(\sqrt{\frac{k}{n}}\right) \times S^{n-k}\left(\sqrt{\frac{n-k}{n}}\right)$ or the Veronese surface $\mathbb{R}P^2 \subset \mathbf{S}^4$, where $1 \leq k \leq n-1$.

We can see that when replacing minimal submanifolds with austere submanifolds of type $\mathcal{Q}(p,q)$ in the assumption, the first gap of S is significantly larger, and the similar rigidity result still holds.

References

- R. Bryant, Some Remarks on the Geometry of Austere Manifolds, Bol. Soc. Brasil. Mat. (N.S.) 21 (1991), 122-157.
- [2] E. Cartan, Sur des familles remarquables d'hypersurfaces isoparamétriques dans les espaces sphériques, Math. Z. 45 (1939), 335-367.
- [3] E. Cartan, Sur quelques familles remarquables d'hypersurfaces, C. R. Congrès Math. Liège (1939), 30-41.
- [4] T. E. Cecil, *Isoparametric and Dupin hypersurfaces*, SIGMA Symmetry Integrability Geom. Methods Appl. 4 (2008), Paper 062.
- [5] T. E. Cecil, Lie Sphere Geometry, 2nd edn. Springer, New York, 2008.
- [6] T. E. Cecil, Classifications of Dupin Hypersurfaces in Lie Sphere Geometry, Acta Math. Sci. Ser. B (Engl. Ed.) 44 (2024), no. 1, 1-36.
- [7] T.E. Cecil, Q. S. Chi and G.R. Jensen, Dupin hypersurfaces with four principal curvatures II, Geom. Dedicata 128 (2007), 55-95.
- [8] T. E. Cecil and P. J. Ryan, *Tight and Taut Immersions of Manifolds*, Research Notes in Mathematics, vol. 107, Pitman, London, 1985.

- [9] T. E. Cecil and P. J. Ryan, *Geometry of hypersurfaces*, Springer Monographs in Mathematics, Springer, New York, 2015.
- [10] S. S. Chern, M. do Carmo and S. Kobayashi, *Minimal submanifolds of a sphere with second fundamental form of constant length*, in: Functional Analysis and Related Fields, Proc. Conf. for M. Stone, Univ. Chicago, Chicago, Ill., 1968, Springer, New York, 1970, pp. 59-75.
- [11] Q. S. Chi, The isoparametric story, a heritage of Élie Cartan, Proceedings of the International Consortium of Chinese Mathematicians, 2018, International Press of Boston (2020), 197-260.
- [12] T, Choi and Z. Lu, On the DDVV conjecture and the comass in calibrated geometry (I), Math. Z. 260 (2008), 409-429.
- [13] M. Dajczer and L. A. Florit, A class of austere submanifolds, Illinois J. Math. 45 (2001), no. 3, 735-755.
- M. Dajczer, L. Florit and R. Tojeiro, *Reducibility of Dupin submanifolds*, Illinois J. Math. **49** (2005), 759-791.
- [15] M. Dajczer and R. Tojeiro, Submanifolds of codimension two attaining equality in an extrinsic inequality, Math. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc. 146 (2009), no. 2, 461-474.
- [16] M. Dajczer and T. Vlachos, A new class of austere submanifolds, Comm. Anal. Geom. **31** (2023), no.4, 879-893.
- [17] P. J. De Smet, F. Dillen, L. Verstraelen and L. Vrancken, A pointwise inequality in submanifold theory, Arch. Math. (Brno), 35 (1999), 115-128.
- [18] F. Q. Fang, Dual submanifolds in rational homology spheres, Sci. China Math. 60 (2017), no. 9, 1549-1560.
- [19] J. Q. Ge, F. G. Li, Z. Z. Tang and Y. Zhou, A Survey on the DDVV-type Inequalities, Adv. Math. (China) 53 (2024), no. 3, 449-467.
- [20] J. Q. Ge and Z. Z. Tang, A proof of the DDVV conjecture and its equality case, Pacific J. Math. 237 (2008), no. 1, 87-95.

- [21] J. Q. Ge and Z. Z. Tang, A survey on the DDVV conjecture, Harmonic maps and differential geometry, 247-254, Contemp. Math. 542, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2011.
- [22] J. Q. Ge and Z. Z. Tang, Isoparametric Polynomials and Sums of Squares, Int. Math. Res. Not. IMRN 2023, no. 24, 21226-21271.
- [23] J. Q. Ge, Z. Z. Tang and W. J. Yan, Normal scalar curvature inequality on the focal submanifolds of isoparametric hypersurfaces, Int. Math. Res. Not. IMRN 2020, no. 2, 422-465.
- [24] J. Q. Ge, Y. Tao and Y. Zhou, Normal scalar curvature inequality on a class of austere submanifolds, arXiv:2410.17761.
- [25] J. Q. Ge and Y. Zhou, Austere matrices, austere submanifolds and Dupin hypersurfaces, arXiv:2302.06105.
- [26] R. Harvey and H. B. Lawson, *Calibrated Geometries*, Acta Math. 148 (1982), 47-157.
- [27] M. Ionel and T. Ivey, Austere submanifolds of dimension four: examples and maximal types, Illinois J. Math. 54 (2010), no. 2, 713-746.
- [28] M. Ionel and T. Ivey, Ruled austere submanifolds of dimension four, Differential Geom. Appl. 30 (2012), no. 6, 588-603.
- [29] O. Ikawa, T. Sakai and H. Tasaki, Weakly reflective submanifolds and austere submanifolds, J. Math. Soc. Japan 61 (2009), no. 2, 437-481.
- [30] T. Kimura and K. Mashimo, Classification of Cartan embeddings which are austere submanifolds, Hokkaido Math. J. 51 (2022), no. 1, 1-23.
- [31] H. B. Lawson, Local rigidity theorems for minimal hypersurfaces, Ann. of Math. (2) 89 (1969), 187-197.
- [32] Z. Lu, Normal scalar curvature conjecture and its applications, J. Funct. Anal. 261 (2011), 1284-1308.
- [33] H. F. Münzner, Isoparametrische Hyperflächen in Sphären, I and II, Math. Ann. 251 (1980), 57–71 and 256 (1981), 215-232.

- [34] U. Pinkall, Dupin hypersurfaces, Math. Ann. 270 (1985), 427-440.
- [35] J. Simons, Minimal varieties in Riemannian manifolds, Ann. of Math. (2)
 88 (1968), 62-105.
- [36] S. Stolz, Multiplicities of Dupin hypersurfaces, Invent. Math. 138 (1999), no. 2, 253-279.
- [37] G. Thorbergsson, Dupin hypersurfaces, Bull. London Math. Soc. 15 (1983), 493-498.
- [38] G. Thorbergsson, A survey on isoparametric hypersurfaces and their generalizations, Handbook of differential geometry, Vol. I, 963-995, North-Holland, Amsterdam, 2000.
- [39] Z. X. Xie, T. Z. Li, X. Ma and C. P. Wang, Möbius geometry of threedimensional Wintgen ideal submanifolds in S⁵, Sci. China Math. 57 (2014), no. 6, 1203-1220.
- [40] Z. X. Xie, T. Z. Li, X. Ma and C. P. Wang, Wintgen ideal submanifolds: reduction theorems and a coarse classification, Ann. Global Anal. Geom. 53 (3) (2018), 377-403.