ON HIGHER-DIMENSIONAL SYMMETRIC DESIGNS

VEDRAN KRČADINAC¹ AND MARIO OSVIN PAVČEVIĆ²

ABSTRACT. We study two kinds of generalizations of symmetric block designs to higher dimensions, the so-called C-cubes and \mathcal{P} cubes. For small parameters all examples up to equivalence are determined by computer calculations. Known properties of automorphisms of symmetric designs are extended to autotopies of \mathcal{P} -cubes, while counterexamples are found for \mathcal{C} -cubes. An algorithm for the classification of \mathcal{P} -cubes with prescribed autotopy groups is developed and used to construct more examples. A linear bound on the dimension of difference sets for \mathcal{P} -cubes is proved and shown to be tight in elementary abelian groups. The construction is generalized to arbitrary groups by introducing regular sets of (anti)automorphisms.

1. INTRODUCTION

Two different generalizations of symmetric block designs to higher dimensions have recently been studied in [21] and [22]. A symmetric (v, k, λ) design can be represented by its incidence matrix, i.e. by a $v \times v$ matrix A with $\{0, 1\}$ -entries satisfying

$$AA^{t} = (k - \lambda)I + \lambda J. \tag{1}$$

Here I is the identity matrix and J is the all-ones matrix. An *n*-cube of (v, k, λ) designs [21] is an *n*-dimensional $v \times \cdots \times v$ matrix such that its every 2-section is an incidence matrix of a (v, k, λ) design. Sections of dimension 2 are submatrices obtained by fixing all but two coordinates. This generalization is a special case of W. de Launey's proper *n*-dimensional transposable designs; see [5, Definitions 2.1, 2.6, 2.7, and Example 2.2]. The set of all *n*-cubes of (v, k, λ) designs was denoted by $C^n(v, k, \lambda)$ in [21]. We shall refer to them as C-cubes.

Date: December 12, 2024.

²⁰²⁰ Mathematics Subject Classification. 05B05, 05B10, 05B20.

Key words and phrases. higher-dimensional design, symmetric design, difference set.

This work has been supported by the Croatian Science Foundation under the project 9752.

The second generalization was introduced in [22] under the name of (v, k, λ) projection *n*-cubes. These are *n*-dimensional $v \times \cdots \times v$ matrices with $\{0, 1\}$ -entries such that every 2-projection is an incidence matrix of a (v, k, λ) design. If C is an *n*-dimensional matrix and $1 \le x < y \le n$, the projection $\Pi_{xy}(C)$ is the 2-dimensional matrix with (i_x, i_y) -entry

$$\sum_{1 \le i_1, \dots, i_{x-1}, i_{x+1}, \dots, i_{y-1}, i_{y+1}, \dots, i_n \le v} C(i_1, \dots, i_n).$$

The sum is taken over all *n*-tuples $(i_1, \ldots, i_n) \in \{1, \ldots, v\}^n$ with fixed coordinates i_x and i_y in a field of characteristic 0. This definition was inspired by Room squares [10], which are generalized to *n*-dimensional Room cubes in an analogous way. In [22], the set of all (v, k, λ) projection *n*-cubes was denoted by $\mathcal{P}^n(v, k, \lambda)$. We shall refer to them as \mathcal{P} -cubes.

The purpose of this paper is to study both types of cubes and to compare their properties. For dimension n = 2 both are just incidence matrices of symmetric designs, but for $n \ge 3$ there are significant differences. For example, it was shown in [22, Theorem 2.8] that the dimension of (v, k, λ) projection cubes with $k \ge 2$ is bounded by

$$n \le \frac{v(v+1)}{2}.\tag{2}$$

The largest integer n such that $\mathcal{P}^n(v, k, \lambda)$ -cubes exists is denoted by $\nu(v, k, \lambda)$. In contrast, the dimension of \mathcal{C} -cubes can be arbitrarily large for fixed parameters (v, k, λ) .

The layout of our paper is as follows. In Section 2 we recall the definitions of isotopy and equivalence of *n*-dimensional incidence cubes. We discuss the numbers of inequivalent cubes in $C^n(v, k, \lambda)$ and $\mathcal{P}^n(v, k, \lambda)$ for k = 1 and k = 2. The main result in this section is Teorem 2.4, giving a complete enumeration up to equivalence of \mathcal{P} -cubes for parameters (7,3,1), (7,4,2) and all dimensions *n*. The proof is a computer calculation based on an algorithm that successively increases the dimension. The largest possible dimensions are $\nu(7,3,1) = 7$ and $\nu(7,4,2) = 9$.

Autotopies of C- and \mathcal{P} -cubes are studied in Section 3. Results about the action of automorphisms of symmetric designs on the points and blocks carry over to the action of autotopies of \mathcal{P} -cubes on each coordinate. These results do not hold for autotopies of C-cubes. The main computational result in this section is Theorem 3.8, giving a complete classification of $\mathcal{P}^n(11, 5, 2)$ -cubes with nontrivial autotopies. Cubes in $\mathcal{P}^3(16, 6, 2)$ with an autotopy of order 8 acting semiregularly are classified in Proposition 3.9. Among them are examples with three non-isomorphic (16, 6, 2) designs as projections, answering a question posed in [22].

In Section 4 we study $\mathcal{P}^n(v, k, \lambda)$ -cubes constructed from higherdimensional difference sets. Theorem 4.1 gives a much sharper bound than (2) on the dimension: $n \leq v$. Building on results from [22], we compute new values of $\mu_G(v, k, \lambda)$, the largest dimension of (v, k, λ) difference sets in the group G. For elementary abelian groups G, Theorem 4.4 shows that the bound is tight, i.e. $\mu_G(v, k, \lambda) = v$ holds whenever difference sets exist. Theorem 4.7 generalizes the construction to arbitrary groups G based on the notion of regular sets of (anti)automorphisms. A nice consequence is Corollary 4.8, showing that cyclic (v, k, λ) difference sets extend at least to dimension p, where p is the smallest prime divisor of v.

In the final Section 5 we put forward some observations based on data in Table 4. The table contains numbers of inequivalent $\mathcal{P}^n(v, k, \lambda)$ cubes constructed from difference sets. We have only partial explanations for apparent symmetries of the numbers and hope that the observations could lead to new theorems.

Some of our results have traditional formal proofs, while others are proved by computer calculations. There are numerous connections between the two types of results in both directions. In Section 3, formal results about autotopies enable computer classifications of \mathcal{P} -cubes with prescribed autotopy groups. In Section 4, computer classifications of higher-dimensional difference sets provide impetus for formal results, notably Theorems 4.4 and 4.7. Higher-dimensional incidence cubes are most easily explored by studying examples and performing experiments on a computer. Tools for examining \mathcal{C} - and \mathcal{P} -cubes of symmetric designs are available in the GAP package *Prescribed automorphism groups* [12, 20]. Plenty of examples are given in this paper, as well as in [21, 22].

2. Equivalence and classification

Formally, incidence matrices of symmetric (v, k, λ) designs are functions $A : \{1, \ldots, v\} \times \{1, \ldots, v\} \rightarrow \{0, 1\}$ satisfying equation (1). An important consequence of the equation is non-singularity.

Lemma 2.1. Incidence matrices of symmetric (v, k, λ) designs are invertible.

The lemma implies that the transposed matrix A^t is also an incidence matrix of a (v, k, λ) design, called the *dual design*. We refer to the monographs [14, 23] and the book [2] for proofs. Symmetric designs with incidence matrices A and A' are *isomorphic* if there are permutations $\pi_1, \pi_2 \in S_v$ such that $A'(i, j) = A(\pi_1^{-1}(i), \pi_2^{-1}(j))$, $\forall i, j \in \{1, \ldots, v\}$. This can be written in matrix form as $A' = P_1 A P_2^t$, where P_1 and P_2 are permutation matrices corresponding to π_1 and π_2 . We call A and A' equivalent if A' is isomorphic to A or A^t . The equivalence classes are orbits of the wreath product $S_v \wr S_2$ acting on the set of all incidence matrices and represent symmetric designs up to isomorphism and duality.

Both C- and \mathcal{P} -cubes are defined as *n*-dimensional incidence matrices of order v, i.e. as functions $C : \{1, \ldots, v\}^n \to \{0, 1\}$ with the Cartesian *n*-ary power of $\{1, \ldots, v\}$ as domain. Isomorphism of *n*-dimensional matrices is called *isotopy*: C and C' are isotopic if there are permutations $\pi_1, \ldots, \pi_n \in S_v$ such that $C'(i_1, \ldots, i_n) = C(\pi_1^{-1}(i_1), \ldots, \pi_n^{-1}(i_n)),$ $\forall i_1, \ldots, i_n \in \{1, \ldots, v\}$. Now the order of the coordinates can be permuted by any $\gamma \in S_n$. This is called *conjugation*:

$$C^{\gamma}(i_1,\ldots,i_n) = C(i_{\gamma^{-1}(1)},\ldots,i_{\gamma^{-1}(n)}), \quad \forall i_1,\ldots,i_n \in \{1,\ldots,v\}.$$

The cubes C and C' are equivalent or paratopic if C' is isotopic to a conjugate C^{γ} . The equivalence classes are orbits of the wreath product $S_v \wr S_n$ acting on $\mathcal{C}^n(v, k, \lambda)$ or $\mathcal{P}^n(v, k, \lambda)$. The terminology is borrowed from latin squares [17], where equivalence classes of paratopy are usually called *main classes*.

The classification problem is to determine the equivalence classes of C- and \mathcal{P} -cubes for given parameters (v, k, λ) and dimension n. We start with the degenerate case k = 1. Incidence matrices of symmetric (v, 1, 0) designs are permutation matrices of order v. They are all equivalent, since the rows and columns can be permuted to get the identity matrix I. This extends straightforwardly to $\mathcal{P}^n(v, 1, 0)$ -cubes, which are all equivalent with

$$C(i_1,\ldots,i_n) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } i_1 = \ldots = i_n, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

On the other hand, the classification of $C^n(v, 1, 0)$ -cubes is a difficult problem. For n = 3 they are in 1-to-1 correspondence with latin squares $L = (\ell_{i_1i_2})$ of order v by $C(i_1, i_2, i_3) = [\ell_{i_1i_2} = i_3]$. The square bracket [P] is the *Iverson symbol*, taking the value 1 if P is true, and 0 otherwise [19]. The number of main classes of latin squares has been determined by computer calculations up to v = 11 [13, 25]. Cubes in $C^n(v, 1, 0)$ of arbitrary dimension n are in 1-to-1 correspondence with latin hypercubes of order v and dimension n - 1. There have been several definitions of latin hypercubes in the literature; the suitable definition for our purpose is used in [27]. There, classification is performed for n = 4, $v \leq 6$ and n = 5, 6, $v \leq 5$. For k = 2, the only feasible parameters of symmetric designs are (3, 2, 1). The number of $\mathcal{C}^n(3, 2, 1)$ cubes can be determined by complementation, i.e. by exchanging $0 \leftrightarrow 1$. This is a bijection between $\mathcal{C}^n(v, k, \lambda)$ and $\mathcal{C}^n(v, v - k, v - 2k + \lambda)$.

Proposition 2.2. The total number of $C^n(3, 2, 1)$ -cubes is $3 \cdot 2^{n-1}$ and they are all isotopic.

Proof. By complementation, it suffices to count cubes in $C^n(3, 1, 0)$. They are in 1-to-1 correspondence with latin hypercubes of order v = 3and dimension n - 1. The number of such hypercubes is known to be $|\mathcal{H}_3^{n-1}| = 3 \cdot 2^{n-1}$, see [27, page 726] or [32, Theorem 1]. The proof in [27] relies on the fact that all latin hypercubes of order $v \leq 3$ are linear. Linear hypercubes are easy to count and they are all isotopic. Therefore, the cubes in $C^n(3, 2, 1)$ are also all isotopic.

Proposition 2.3. The complement of a \mathcal{P} -cube of dimension $n \geq 3$ is not a \mathcal{P} -cube.

Proof. By [22, Proposition 2.3], $\mathcal{P}^n(v, k, \lambda)$ -cubes have vk incidences (1-entries) regardless of the dimension n. The number of incidences in the complement is $v^n - vk = v(v^{n-1} - k)$. This is too large for any $\mathcal{P}^n(v, k', \lambda')$ -cube if n > 2.

Cubes in $\mathcal{P}^n(3,2,1)$ have been classified directly in [22]. The result is reproduced in the first row of Table 1. We see that $\nu(3,2,1) = 5$; the bound (2) gives $\nu(3,2,1) \leq 6$ and is not tight. The next feasible parameters are (7,3,1). It is well known that the Fano plane is the unique (7,3,1) design. In [22], two inequivalent $\mathcal{P}^3(7,3,1)$ -cubes are presented as Examples 2.2 and 2.6. Here we present a full classification of $\mathcal{P}^n(7,3,1)$ and $\mathcal{P}^n(7,4,2)$ -cubes.

Theorem 2.4. The numbers of cubes in $\mathcal{P}^n(7,3,1)$ and $\mathcal{P}^n(7,4,2)$ up to equivalence are given in Table 1. In particular, $\nu(7,3,1) = 7$ and $\nu(7,4,2) = 9$.

				r	ı				
(v,k,λ)	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
(3, 2, 1)	1	2	1	1	0				
(7, 3, 1)	1	13	20	4	3	2	0	0	0
(7, 4, 2)	1	877	884	74	19	9	6	5	0

TABLE 1. Numbers of $\mathcal{P}^n(v, k, \lambda)$ -cubes up to equivalence.

The proof is a computer calculation that was carried out using the *or*thogonal array representation of \mathcal{P} -cubes. A function $C : \{1, \ldots, v\}^n \to \{0, 1\}$ is the characteristic function of a set of *n*-tuples

$$\overline{C} = \{(i_1, \dots, i_n) \in \{1, \dots, v\}^n \mid C(i_1, \dots, i_n) = 1\}.$$

If $C \in \mathcal{P}^n(v, k, \lambda)$, then \overline{C} is an orthogonal array OA(vk, n, v, 1) [22, Corollary 2.5]. A general orthogonal array OA(N, n, v, t) of size N, degree n, order v, and strength t is an N-set of n-tuples from $\{1, \ldots, v\}^n$ such that for any choice of t coordinates, each t-tuple from $\{1, \ldots, v\}^t$ appears as a restriction of the n-tuples to the chosen coordinates exactly λ times. This parameter is called the *index* of the orthogonal array and is given by $\lambda = N/v^t$. In our case the index is k, meaning that each element from $\{1, \ldots, v\}$ appears exactly k times in every coordinate. This property is not sufficient; a characterization of OA(vk, n, v, 1)'s representing $\mathcal{P}^n(v, k, \lambda)$ -cubes is given in [22, Proposition 2.4].

The OA-representation of \mathcal{P} -cubes is convenient for classification because the size N = vk remains constant across all dimensions n. We can take the 21 incident pairs of the Fano plane and extend them to triples representing $\mathcal{P}^3(7,3,1)$ -cubes, and continue increasing the dimension by 1 in this way. If the conditions from [22, Proposition 2.4] are not taken into account, the number of extensions of an OA(vk, n, v, 1) is $(vk)!/(k!)^v$. For parameters (7,3,1) this is already too large for an exhaustive computer search, even if we do check the conditions for partial extensions using a backtracking algorithm. We amend this by performing isomorph rejection. As an intermediate step, we add the entry 1 to the new coordinate in $\binom{vk}{k}$ ways and check the necessary and sufficient conditions. We then eliminate equivalent copies among the valid partial extensions, and proceed by adding the next entry 2 in the same way. When we reach the last entry v, we have all OA-representations of $\mathcal{P}^{n+1}(v, k, \lambda)$ -cubes up to equivalence.

We performed this calculation for parameters (7, 3, 1) and (7, 4, 2), increasing the dimension until further extension is not possible. We relied on canonical labelings produced by nauty and Traces [26] to eliminate equivalent (partial) OA-representations of cubes. The results $\nu(7,3,1) = 7$ and $\nu(7,4,2) = 9$ are considerably smaller than the bound (2), which gives 28 for $\nu = 7$. Online versions of Table 1 and other tables in this paper are available on the web page

https://web.math.pmf.unizg.hr/~krcko/results/pcubes.html

The online tables contain links to files with OA-representations of the corresponding cubes that can be used to verify our calculations. The files are given in GAP [12] format. The GAP package *Prescribed automorphism groups* [20] contains functions for working with \mathcal{P} - and \mathcal{C} -cubes; see the package manual.

We were not able to perform a full classification for the next parameters (11, 5, 2), but this might be within reach of today's computer technology. Instead, we classify $\mathcal{P}^n(11, 5, 2)$ -cubes with nontrivial autotopy groups in Theorem 3.8. Computer classification of \mathcal{C} -cubes is a much more difficult problem. $\mathcal{C}^n(v, k, \lambda)$ -cubes can also be represented as orthogonal arrays $OA(kv^{n-1}, n, v, n - 1)$ of index k, see [21, Section 2]. However, the size $N = kv^{n-1}$ grows exponentially with the dimension n, so another approach is needed. We have not found a feasible classification strategy even for $\mathcal{C}^3(7, 3, 1)$.

3. Autotopies

Isotopy from an incidence cube to itself is called *autotopy*. The set of all autotopies of C forms a group with coordinatewise composition, called the *full autotopy group* and denoted by Atop(C). This is a generalization of the full automorphism group of a symmetric design. Automorphisms of symmetric designs are usually defined as single permutations of points, because the corresponding permutations of blocks are uniquely determined. This is a consequence of Lemma 2.1: if $A = P_1 A P_2^t$ holds for permutation matrices P_1 and P_2 , then $P_2 = A^{-1}P_1A$. The next two propositions describe how this carries over to higher-dimensional \mathcal{P} - and \mathcal{C} -cubes.

Proposition 3.1. Let (π_1, \ldots, π_n) be an autotopy of $C \in \mathcal{P}^n(v, k, \lambda)$. Then any component π_x uniquely determines all other components.

Proof. For any other component π_y , the pair (π_x, π_y) is an automorphism of the symmetric design $\Pi_{xy}(C)$. Therefore, π_y is uniquely determined by π_x and C.

Proposition 3.2. Let (π_1, \ldots, π_n) be an autotopy of $C \in C^n(v, k, \lambda)$. Then any component π_x is uniquely determined by the n-1 other components.

Proof. Suppose we want to determine π_n from π_1, \ldots, π_{n-1} and C. Let A' and A be the 2-sections of C obtained by fixing the first n-2 coordinates to $1, \ldots, 1$ and $\pi_1^{-1}(1), \ldots, \pi_{n-2}^{-1}(1)$, respectively. According to the definition, they are incidence matrices of (v, k, λ) designs. The pair (π_{n-1}, π_n) is an isomorphism between A and A':

$$A'(i,j) = C(1,...,1,i,j) = C(\pi_1^{-1}(1),...,\pi_{n-2}^{-1}(1),\pi_{n-1}^{-1}(i),\pi_n^{-1}(j))$$

= $A(\pi_{n-1}^{-1}(i),\pi_n^{-1}(j)), \ \forall i,j \in \{1,...,v\}.$

We can write this in matrix form as $A' = P_{n-1}AP_n^t$. Thus, π_n is uniquely determined by $P_n = (A')^{-1}P_{n-1}A$.

The previous proposition is best possible, in the sense that π_x is not determined by fewer than n-1 other components of the autotopy. By Theorem 3.4 of [21], a C-cube of dimension n constructed from a (v, k, λ) difference set in G has an autotopy group isomorphic to G^{n-1} . In this group there are v different choices for π_{n-1} and π_n for any given components π_1, \ldots, π_{n-2} .

It is known that automorphisms of symmetric (v, k, λ) designs fix as many points as blocks. The analogous statement is true for \mathcal{P} -cubes.

Proposition 3.3. Let $\pi \in \operatorname{Atop}(C)$ be an autotopy of $C \in \mathcal{P}^n(v, k, \lambda)$. Then every component π_x has the same number of fixed points.

Proof. The claim follows from the observation that (π_x, π_y) is an automorphism of the symmetric design $A = \prod_{xy}(C)$. If P_x and P_y are the corresponding permutation matrices, then $A = P_x A P_y^t$ holds. By Lemma 2.1 we can write this as $P_y = A^{-1}P_xA$. Now P_x and P_y have the same trace, and this is the number of fixed points of π_x and π_y . \Box

As a consequence, autotopy groups of \mathcal{P} -cubes have the same number of orbits on each coordinate.

Proposition 3.4. Let $G \leq \operatorname{Atop}(C)$ be an autotopy group of $C \in \mathcal{P}^n(v,k,\lambda)$. For any $x \in \{1,\ldots,n\}$, $G_x = \{\pi_x \mid \pi \in G\}$ is a permutation group acting on $\{1,\ldots,v\}$; the number of orbits of G_x does not depend on the choice of x.

Proof. By the Burnside–Cauchy–Frobenius lemma, the number of orbits can be expressed as

$$\frac{1}{|G_x|} \sum_{\pi_x \in G_x} f(\pi_x) = \frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{\pi \in G} f(\pi_x).$$

Here $f(\pi_x)$ is the number of fixed points and does not depend on x by Proposition 3.3.

Now it is clear that an autotopy group $G \leq \operatorname{Atop}(C)$ of a \mathcal{P} -cube C acts (sharply) transitively on one coordinate if and only if it acts (sharply) transitively on every coordinate; cf. [22, Proposition 3.7]. Furthermore, bounds on the number of fixed points of automorphisms of symmetric (v, k, λ) designs apply to all components π_x of autotopies of $\mathcal{P}^n(v, k, \lambda)$ -cubes. For example, $f(\pi_x) \leq v/2$ by [11, Theorem 3] and $f(\pi_x) \leq k - \sqrt{k - \lambda}$ by [31, Corollary 2.5.6].

8

On the other hand, autotopies of C-cubes can have components with different numbers of fixed points. The $C^3(7,3,1)$ cube of [21, Example 2.2] has an autotopy of order 7 fixing (0,0,7) points per component. In [21, Propositions 5.1 and 5.3] a total of 2396 inequivalent $C^3(16,6,2)$ -cubes have been constructed. They have autotopies fixing (0,0,f) points per component for f = 2, 4, 6, 8, 12, 14, 16. This also provides counterexamples for bounds on the number of fixed points and other claims stated above.

Our next goal is to classify $\mathcal{P}^n(11, 5, 2)$ -cubes with nontrivial autotopy groups. It suffices to consider autotopies of prime orders p. By [1, Theorem 2.7], either p divides v or $p \leq k$. The unique (11, 5, 2) design has full automorphism group of order 660, hence all possible orders $p \in \{2, 3, 5, 11\}$ occur for dimension n = 2. Cubes with autotopies of order 11 are obtained from higher-dimensional (11, 5, 2) difference sets and have already been classified in [22]; the result is reproduced in the first row of Table 2. Interestingly, each one of these cubes has full autotopy group of order 55 isomorphic to $\mathbb{Z}_{11} \rtimes \mathbb{Z}_5$.

For p = 5 we adopt the classification strategy from the previous section of successively increasing the dimension. By Proposition 3.3 we know that every component of an autotopy of order 5 has one fixed point and two cycles of length 5. Crucially, autotopies are preserved when $\mathcal{P}^n(11, 5, 2)$ -cubes are restricted to n - 1 dimensions by deleting a coordinate in the OA-representation. We can therefore extend the $\mathcal{P}^{n-1}(11, 5, 2)$ -cubes with autotopy of order 5, respecting the autotopy on the added coordinate and the conditions from [22, Proposition 2.4]. If we do this exhaustively, we get all $\mathcal{P}^n(11, 5, 2)$ -cubes with the prescribed autotopy. The procedure was implemented in the C programming language and required a modest amount of CPU time to perform a full classification for p = 5. Nauty and Traces [26] were used to eliminate equivalent cubes and to compute full autotopy groups.

Proposition 3.5. Up to equivalence, the numbers of $\mathcal{P}^n(11, 5, 2)$ -cubes with an autotopy of order 5 are given in the second row of Table 2.

All the new examples from the previous proposition have full autotopy groups of order 5. Of course, the examples with full autotopy groups of order 55 were also recovered. The next case p = 3 was settled by a similar calculation.

Proposition 3.6. The number of inequivalent $\mathcal{P}^n(11, 5, 2)$ -cubes with an autotopy of order 3 is 4758 for n = 3 and 0 for $n \ge 4$; see the third row of Table 2.

					r	ı					
p	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12
11	1	2	4	6	6	4	2	1	1	1	0
5	1	283	443	8	7	4	2	1	1	1	0
3	1	4758	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
2	1	5142	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total	1	10178	443	8	7	4	2	1	1	1	0

TABLE 2. The $\mathcal{P}^n(11, 5, 2)$ -cubes with nontrivial autotopies.

Proof. Automorphisms of order 3 of the unique (11, 5, 2) design have 2 fixed points, each incident with 2 fixed blocks. By Proposition 3.3 we can assume that all components of the autotopy are $\pi_x = (3, 4, 5)(6, 7, 8)$ (9, 10, 11). An exhaustive computer search, systematically extending the 55 incident pairs of the (11, 5, 2) design, produced 4758 inequivalent OA-representations of $\mathcal{P}^3(11, 5, 2)$ -cubes invariant under the prescribed autotopy. A few hours of CPU time were required. In the next step none of the 3-cubes extend to 4 dimensions. This can be inferred directly by considering the fixed elements. For n = 2, incidences of the fixed elements are (1, 1), (1, 2), (2, 1), (2, 2, 1), but not to quadruples of fixed elements satisfying all requirements.

Five 3-cubes from the previous proposition have full autotopy groups of order 12 isomorphic to $(\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2) \rtimes \mathbb{Z}_3$. The 4753 other 3-cubes have full autotopy groups of order 3. It remains to classify cubes with autotopies of order p = 2, i.e. involutions.

Proposition 3.7. Up to equivalence, the number of $\mathcal{P}^n(11, 5, 2)$ -cubes with an involutory autotopy is 5142 for n = 3 and 0 for $n \ge 4$; see the fourth row of Table 2.

Proof. Automorphisms of order p = 2 of the (11, 5, 2) design have 3 fixed points and blocks, forming 3 incident pairs. Now incidences of the fixed elements can be extended to any dimension. The cubes exist for n = 3; we classified them by the algorithm described above. The resulting 5142 inequivalent 3-cubes cannot be extended to dimension n = 4. This was established by running the algorithm in parallel and required some 2 years of CPU time in total. Not a single extension was found, so $\mathcal{P}^n(11, 5, 2)$ -cubes with an involutory autotopy do not exist for $n \geq 4$.

The five $\mathcal{P}^3(11, 5, 2)$ -cubes with full autotopy groups of order 12 were also found in Proposition 3.7. Of the remaining cubes, 71 have full autotopy groups of order 4 isomorphic to $\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2$ and 5066 have full autotopy groups of order 2. We calculated the total numbers of $\mathcal{P}^n(11, 5, 2)$ cubes with nontrivial autotopies by concatenating the lists for p = 11, 5, 3, 2 and eliminating equivalent copies.

Theorem 3.8. Cubes in $\mathcal{P}^n(11, 5, 2)$ with nontrivial autotopy groups exist if and only if $2 \leq n \leq 11$. The number of such cubes up to equivalence is 1, 10178, 443, 8, 7, 4, 2, 1, 1, and 1 for successive dimensions n in this range.

	(\mathcal{D}_R)	(\mathcal{D}_G)	(\mathcal{D}_B)	(\mathcal{D}_G)	(\mathcal{D}_B)	(\mathcal{D}_B)	(\mathcal{D}_G)	(\mathcal{D}_B)	(\mathcal{D}_B)	(\mathcal{D}_B)	
	\mathcal{D}_{R}	\mathcal{D}_R	${\mathcal D}_R$	${\cal D}_{ m G}$	\mathcal{D}_{G}	\mathcal{D}_{B}	${\cal D}_{ m G}$	\mathcal{D}_{G}	\mathcal{D}_B	$,\mathcal{D}_{B}$	
(T, P)	(\mathcal{D}_R)	(\mathcal{D}_R)	(\mathcal{D}_R)	(\mathcal{D}_R)	(\mathcal{D}_R)	(\mathcal{D}_R)	(\mathcal{D}_G)	(\mathcal{D}_G)	(\mathcal{D}_G)	(\mathcal{D}_B)	Total
(8,1)	4	48	76	124	152	56	102	136	48	35	781
(8,2)	21	0	8	72	0	64	0	8	0	6	179
(8,3)	0	0	0	0	0	0	6	0	0	5	11
(8, 6)	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	3
(16, 1)	23	8	0	16	0	0	12	0	0	0	59
(16, 2)	18	0	0	8	0	0	0	0	0	0	26
(16, 3)	0	0	0	0	0	0	4	0	0	0	4
(16, 6)	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	4
(32, 1)	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
(32, 2)	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3
(32, 3)	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
(32, 6)	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
(48, 2)	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
(48, 6)	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
(96, 6)	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
Total	80	56	84	220	152	120	124	144	48	48	1076

TABLE 3. $\mathcal{P}^3(16, 6, 2)$ -cubes with an autotopy of order 8 acting semiregularly.

In [21] and [22], constructions of $C^3(16, 6, 2)$ and $\mathcal{P}^3(16, 6, 2)$ -cubes with prescribed autotopy groups G were performed by a different approach. Essentially, all orthogonal arrays OA(1536, 3, 16, 2) and OA(96, 3, 16, 1) invariant under G were constructed and the ones not representing \mathcal{C} - and \mathcal{P} -cubes were discarded. Because of high proportions of "bad" OAs, rather large prescribed groups had to be used: $|G| \geq 512$ in [21] and $|G| \geq 18$ in [22]. We now have a more efficient approach for \mathcal{P} -cubes and can handle smaller groups. As an example we present the following result.

Proposition 3.9. There are exactly 1076 inequivalent $\mathcal{P}^3(16, 6, 2)$ cubes with an autotopy of order 8 acting in two cycles on each coordinate.

Proof. Three (16, 6, 2) designs exist [24]. We will call them the *red*, green and blue design and denote them by \mathcal{D}_R , \mathcal{D}_G , and \mathcal{D}_B . The full automorphism groups are of orders $|\operatorname{Aut}(\mathcal{D}_R)| = 11520$, $|\operatorname{Aut}(\mathcal{D}_G)| = 768$, and $|\operatorname{Aut}(\mathcal{D}_B)| = 384$. All three designs have automorphisms of order 8 acting in two cycles of length 8 on the points and blocks. We ran the dimension increasing algorithm and found that they extend



FIGURE 1. A $\mathcal{P}^3(16, 6, 2)$ -cube with non-isomorphic projections.

12

to 440, 744, and 596 inequivalent $\mathcal{P}^3(16, 6, 2)$ -cubes invariant under the prescribed autotopy, respectively. The total number of extensions was determined by concatenating the lists and eliminating equivalent cubes.

A detailed statistics of the 1076 cubes is given in Table 3. The cubes are divided according to the size of the full auto(para)topy group and the 2-projections. The *full autoparatopy group* Apar(C) contains all combinations of isotopy and conjugation mapping C onto itself. The group size is given as (T, P), where $T = |\operatorname{Atop}(C)|$ and P = $|\operatorname{Apar}(C)|/T$. A question whether $\mathcal{P}^3(16, 6, 2)$ -cubes with three nonisomorphic projections exist was raised in [22]. From the table we see that there are 152 cubes with projections ($\mathcal{D}_R, \mathcal{D}_G, \mathcal{D}_B$) and the prescribed autotopy of order 8. One example is shown in Figure 1, rendered in the ray tracing software POV-Ray [30]. Red, green, and blue light sources were placed so that the projections appear as shadows in the appropriate color.

4. Difference sets

Let G be an additively written group of order v, not necessarily abelian. Henceforth we will index cubes with the elements of G instead of the integers $\{1, \ldots, v\}$. Thus, C- and P-cubes are functions $C: G^n \to \{0, 1\}$ with all 2-sections or 2-projections being incidence matrices of symmetric (v, k, λ) designs.

A (v, k, λ) difference set in G is a k-subset $D \subseteq G$ such that every element $g \in G \setminus \{0\}$ can be written as g = a - b with $a, b \in D$ in exactly λ ways. By [21, Theorem 3.1], difference sets give rise to Ccubes of arbitrary dimension n. Using the Iverson bracket, a cube $C \in C^n(v, k, \lambda)$ is given by

$$C(g_1,\ldots,g_n)=[g_1+\ldots+g_n\in D].$$

This is a special case of [5, Theorem 2.9]. Properties of these difference cubes were studied in Section 3 of [21]. In Section 4, the construction was generalized to the so-called group cubes [21, Theorem 4.1]. This construction gives examples not equivalent with any difference cube, although it still requires difference sets. The only known examples of C-cubes not coming from [21, Theorem 4.1] are the $C^3(16, 6, 2)$ -cubes of [21, Proposition 5.3].

A stronger kind of difference sets are needed for \mathcal{P} -cubes. An *n*dimensional (v, k, λ) difference set [22, Definition 3.1] is a k-subset of *n*-tuples $D \subseteq G^n$ such that $\{d_x - d_y \mid d \in D\}$ is an "ordinary" (v, k, λ) difference set for every pair of coordinates $1 \le x < y \le n$. By [22, Proposition 3.2], the development

$$\operatorname{dev} D = \{ (d_1 + g, \dots, d_n + g) \mid g \in G, \ d \in D \} \subseteq G^n$$

is an OA-representation \overline{C} of a cube $C \in \mathcal{P}^n(v, k, \lambda)$ indexed by G. Properties of these cubes were studied in Section 3 of [22]. There it was shown that *n*-dimensional difference sets can be normalized so that all *n*-tuples in D start with a 0 coordinate. We will now prove a linear bound on the dimension of D using a different kind of normalization.

Theorem 4.1. If an n-dimensional (v, k, λ) difference set $D \subseteq G^n$ exists, then $n \leq v$.

Proof. For a group element $g \in G$ and an *n*-tuple $d = (d_1, \ldots, d_n) \in G^n$, denote by $g +_x d = (d_1, \ldots, d_{x-1}, g + d_x, d_{x+1}, \ldots, d_n)$. We claim that $D' = \{g +_x d \mid d \in D\}$ is also an *n*-dimensional (v, k, λ) difference set. For any other index $y \in \{1, \ldots, n\}$, the set $\{d_x - d_y \mid d \in D'\} =$ $\{g + d_x - d_y \mid d \in D\}$ is a left translate of the difference set $\{d_x - d_y \mid d \in D'\}$ $d \in D\}$, hence also a (v, k, λ) difference set. By repeated application of this transformation we can make an *n*-dimensional difference set D'containing the *n*-tuple $(0, \ldots, 0)$. Now any other *n*-tuple $d \in D'$ must have distinct coordinates, because $d_x = d_y$ would imply that the set of differences $\{d_x - d_y \mid d \in D'\}$ contains fewer than k elements of G. Therefore, the number of coordinates n cannot exceed v = |G|.

The normalization of *n*-dimensional difference sets from [22] does not change the development dev D. The new normalization can change the development, but dev D and dev D' are always isotopic. In [22, Propositions 3.5 and 3.7], \mathcal{P} -cubes coming from difference sets were characterized as having an autotopy group acting regularly on the coordinates. Theorem 2.4 shows that the bound $n \leq v$ does not hold for general $\mathcal{P}^n(v, k, \lambda)$ -cubes.

The largest integer n such that an n-dimensional (v, k, λ) difference set in G exists was denoted by $\mu_G(v, k, \lambda)$ in [22]. To determine values of this function, a computer classification of small n-dimensional difference sets was performed using the library of difference sets available in the GAP package [29]. In [22], calculations were performed in GAP. Exact values of $\mu_G(16, 6, 2)$ were determined in [22, Table 3] for 10 of the 14 groups of order 16, and lower bounds were given for the remaining 4 groups.

We have implemented the classification algorithm in the C programming language and determined more exact values of μ and better lower bounds. Detailed results of our calculations are presented in Table 4. The newly established values of μ are summarized in Theorem 4.2. When there is only one group of order v up to isomorphism we write $\mu(v, k, \lambda)$, and when there are several groups we identify them by their ID in the GAP library of small groups [12].

Theorem 4.2. For groups of order 16 with IDs 10 and 13 the values of $\mu_G(16, 6, 2)$ are 4 and 8. For groups with IDs 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, and 8 the values of $\mu_G(16, 10, 6)$ are 6, 6, 6, 5, 4, and 6, respectively. Furthermore, $\mu(13, 9, 6) = 13$, $\mu(19, 9, 4) = \mu(19, 10, 5) = 19$, $\mu(23, 11, 5) = \mu(23, 12, 6) = 23$, and $\mu(31, 6, 1) = 31$.

The previously known values of μ are given in [22, Tables 2 and 3] and can also be read from Table 4. The groups of order 16 with IDs 1, 7, 12, and 14 are missing from Table 4. The former two groups are the cyclic group \mathbb{Z}_{16} and the dihedral group D_{16} , which do not contain difference sets. For the latter two groups we could not completely classify *n*dimensional difference sets due to large numbers of inequivalent \mathcal{P} cubes arising from them. Example 4.3 establishes an improved lower bound $\mu_G(16, 6, 2) \geq 11$ for the group with ID 12. The group with ID 14 is the elementary abelian group \mathbb{Z}_2^4 and will be dealt with in Corollary 4.5.

Example 4.3. The group of order 16 with GAP ID 12 is isomorphic to $G = \mathbb{Z}_2 \times Q_8$, where Q_8 is the quaternion group. If elements of the factor groups are $\mathbb{Z}_2 = \{0, 1\}$ and $Q_8 = \{1, i, j, k, -1, -i, -j, -k\}$, the following is a 11-dimensional (16, 6, 2) difference set in G:

$$\begin{split} & \{ ((0,1),(0,1),(0,1),(0,j),(0,i),(0,j),(0,k),(1,1),(1,i),(0,1),(1,-i)), \\ & ((0,1),(0,j),(1,1),(0,1),(0,-i),(1,1),(0,j),(0,k),(1,1),(1,i),(1,-j)), \\ & ((0,1),(0,i),(0,j),(1,1),(1,-j),(0,i),(1,1),(0,j),(0,-1),(1,1),(1,i)), \\ & ((0,1),(1,1),(0,i),(1,-k),(0,1),(0,-i),(0,-1),(0,-1),(0,j),(1,-i),(0,j)) \\ & ((0,1),(0,-1),(1,-k),(0,-1),(1,1),(0,1),(0,1),(1,i),(0,k),(1,-j),(0,1)), \\ & ((0,1),(1,-k),(0,-1),(0,j),(1,-j),(1,i),(0,1),(0,1),(0,j),(1,1)) \}. \end{split}$$

We see that equality is reached quite often in the upper bound $\mu_G(v, k, \lambda) \leq v$ of Theorem 4.1. For parameters (q, (q-1)/2, (q-3)/4) this is explained by the construction of q-dimensional Paley difference sets in the additive group of \mathbb{F}_q , $q \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$; see [22, Theorem 4.1]. It was noted that the same construction works for cyclotomic difference sets (4th and 8th powers in \mathbb{F}_q for appropriate orders q), but this does not explain the equality $\mu(13, 4, 1) = \mu(13, 9, 6) = 13$. We will now generalize this construction to difference sets with arbitrary parameters in elementary abelian groups.

1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 1 1 2 2 1										u										
	(k, λ)		2	33	4	IJ	9	-	∞	6	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19
	(3, 1)	1	-	2	2	1														
	4, 2)		Η	2	2	1	Ц	,												
	5, 2)	1		5	4	9	9	4	0											
	(6,3)		Η	2	4	9	9	4	2		, - 1									
	(4, 1)			က	2	10	14	14	10	4	က									
	9,6)		Η	146	422	652	305	00	13	∞	က	Η	Η	Η						
	7, 3)			3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0				
	(8, 4)		Η	9	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0				
	6, 2)			31	81	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0			
$ \begin{array}{ cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	10, 6		Η	2565	152314	12115	36	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0			
	6, 2			16	55	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0			
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	10, 6		Η	6638	462880	111294	196	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0			
3) 1 6516 389060 34076 53 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	6, 2			38	113	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0			
	10, 6			6516	389060	34076	53	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0			

TABLE 4. Numbers of inequivalent $\mathcal{P}^n(v,k,\lambda)$ -cubes obtained from difference sets.

	19											Η		:	:	
	18											Н	Η	0	0	
	17											H	Η	0	0	
	16	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	4	4	0	0	
	15	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	14	14	0	0	
	14	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	36	36	0	0	
	13	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	86	86	0	0	
	12	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	154	154	0	0	
	11	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	228	228	0	0	
	10	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	280	280	0	0	
u	6	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	280	280	0	0	
	∞	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	228	228	0	0	
	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	374	154	154	0	0	
	9	0	0	0	0	0	∞	0	0	0	8106	86	86	0	0	
	J.	0	6874	0	0	0	5444	0	0	0	19734	36	36	0	0	
	4	140	323520	9	1192	44	76580	112	1941	88	4960	14	14	0	0	
	3	56	10680	∞	506	18	3746	38	86	24	129	8	x	2	9	
	5	5	2		Η		Η				7	1	Η		Η	
	(v,k,λ)	(16, 6, 2)	(16, 10, 6)	(16, 6, 2)	(16, 10, 6)	(16, 6, 2)	(16, 10, 6)	(16, 6, 2)	(16, 6, 2)	(16, 6, 2)	(16, 6, 2)	(19, 9, 4)	(19, 10, 5)	(21, 5, 1)	(21, 5, 1)	
	G	L L		ID6		8 CI		ID9	ID10	ID11	ID13	7.2	6179	\mathbb{Z}_{21}	F_{21}	

TABLE 4. Numbers of inequivalent $\mathcal{P}^n(v, k, \lambda)$ -cubes obtained from difference sets (continued).

						1	ı						
G	(v,k,λ)	2	3 4	4 5	6	7	8	8	9		10	1	1
77	(23, 11, 5)	1	11 2	0 69	207	7 492	98	34	1630	2	282	26	94
<i>™</i> 23	(23, 12, 6)	1	11 2	0 69	207	7 492	98	34	1630	2	282	26	594
\mathbb{Z}_{31}	(31, 6, 1)	1	10 4	9 19	5 812	2 2846	6 85	28	21731	47	7801	91	148
						η	ı						
G	(v,k,λ)	12]	13	14	15	16		17		18	1	9
7	(23, 11, 5)	269	4 22	282 1	.630	984	492	2	207	(69	20	
223	(23, 12, 6)	269	2694 2282		.630	984	4 492		207	(69		20
\mathbb{Z}_{31}	(31, 6, 1)	1519	24 22	1959 2	85357	323396	32339	96 2	85357	22	1959	151	924
						n	,						
G	(v,k,λ)	20	21	22	23	24	25	26	27	28	29	30	31
77	(23, 11, 5)	4	1	1	1								
<i>™</i> 23	(23, 12, 6)	4	1	1	1								
\mathbb{Z}_{31}	(31, 6, 1)	91148	47801	21731	8528	2846	811	187	38	6	1	1	1

TABLE 4. Numbers of inequivalent $\mathcal{P}^n(v, k, \lambda)$ -cubes obtained from difference sets (continued).

Theorem 4.4. Let G be an elementary abelian group, i.e. the additive group of a finite field \mathbb{F}_q . Then any (q, k, λ) difference set in G extends to dimension q.

Proof. Led $D = \{d_1, \ldots, d_k\} \subseteq G$ be a (q, k, λ) difference set, $\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_q$ a primitive element, and $w = (0, 1, \alpha, \alpha^2, \ldots, \alpha^{q-2}) \in G^q$. An extension of D to dimension q is $\vec{D} = \{d_1w, \ldots, d_kw\}$, where each coordinate of w is multiplied by an element of D. To prove that \vec{D} is indeed a qdimensional (q, k, λ) difference set, we have to check that the differences of any two coordinates are difference sets in G. The first coordinate of any vector in \vec{D} is 0, and if we subtract the coordinate with α^y in w, we get $\{-\alpha^y d_1, \ldots, -\alpha^y d_k\}$. This is a (q, k, λ) difference set in Gbecause it is the image of D by the group automorphism $\varphi : G \to G$, $\varphi(g) = -\alpha^y g$. Next we subtract two non-zero coordinates, say with α^x and α^y in w for some x < y. We get the set

$$\{\alpha^x(1-\alpha^{y-x})d_1,\ldots,\alpha^x(1-\alpha^{y-x})d_k\}.$$

This is again the image of D by a group automorphism $\psi: G \to G$, $\psi(g) = \alpha^x (1 - \alpha^{y-x})g$.

Two missing values of μ_G follow from Theorem 4.4.

Corollary 4.5. For $G = \mathbb{Z}_2^4$, $\mu_G(16, 6, 2) = \mu_G(16, 10, 6) = 16$.

A further generalization of Theorem 4.4 applies to arbitrary groups G. An *antiautomorphism* of G is a bijection $\varphi: G \to G$ such that

$$\varphi(g+h) = \varphi(h) + \varphi(g), \quad \forall g, h \in G.$$

If G is abelian, automorphisms and antiautomorphisms coincide. Antiautomorphisms of non-abelian groups are the functions $-\varphi$, where φ is an automorphism. The crucial fact in the proof of Theorem 4.4 is that the image $\varphi(D)$ of a (v, k, λ) difference set D by an automorphism φ is also a (v, k, λ) difference set. The same holds true for antiautomorphisms of nonabelian groups.

Definition 4.6. We say that $R = \{\varphi_1, \ldots, \varphi_{n-1}\}$ is a regular set of (anti)automorphisms of G if each $\varphi_x : G \to G$ is an automorphism or antiautomorphism, and each difference $\varphi_x - \varphi_y$ is an automorphism or antiautomorphism for $1 \le x < y \le n-1$.

Given such a set R of size n-1 and an element $d \in D$, denote by \vec{d} the *n*-tuple $(0, \varphi_1(d), \ldots, \varphi_{n-1}(d)) \in G^n$. Then the set $\vec{D} = \{\vec{d} \mid d \in D\}$ is an *n*-dimensional (v, k, λ) difference set. This proves the following theorem.

Theorem 4.7. If a group G allows a regular set of (anti)automorphisms of size n - 1, then any (v, k, λ) difference set in G extends to dimension n.

Theorem 4.4 can be seen as a special case of Theorem 4.7 by using multiplication in \mathbb{F}_q . The functions $\varphi_x : \mathbb{F}_q \to \mathbb{F}_q$, $\varphi_x(g) = \alpha^x g$ for $x = 0, \ldots, q-2$ constitute a regular set of automorphism of the additive group, yielding the construction of Theorem 4.4. Kerdock sets provide more examples of regular sets in elementary abelian 2-groups [18, 3, 7, 15, 16] and 3-groups [28].

Theorem 4.7 also applies to groups that are not elementary abelian. For example, $\varphi_1(g) = g$ and $\varphi_2(g) = 2g$ are automorphisms of the group \mathbb{Z}_{15} . The difference $(\varphi_1 - \varphi_2)(g) = -g$ is an automorphism as well. Thus, $\{\varphi_1, \varphi_2\}$ is a regular set and all (15,7,3) and (15,8,4) difference sets extend at least to dimension 3. In Table 4 we see that there is a 4-dimensional (15,8,4) difference set, but it cannot be obtained from Theorem 4.7 because \mathbb{Z}_{15} does not allow regular sets of size 3. The next result applies to difference sets in arbitrary cyclic groups \mathbb{Z}_{v} .

Corollary 4.8. Let p be the smallest prime divisor of v. Then any cyclic (v, k, λ) difference set extends to dimension p.

Proof. Automorphisms of \mathbb{Z}_v are of the form $\varphi_a(g) = ag$, where $a \in \mathbb{Z}_v \setminus \{0\}$ is relatively prime to v. The set $\{\varphi_1, \ldots, \varphi_{p-1}\}$ is a regular set of size p-1. Hence, any difference set in \mathbb{Z}_v extends to dimension p by Theorem 4.7.

For a non-abelian example we turn to groups of order 27. There are five such groups, but only two of them contain nontrivial difference sets [29]. These are the elementary abelian group $\mathbb{Z}_3 \times \mathbb{Z}_3 \times \mathbb{Z}_3$ (GAP group ID 5) and a semidirect product $\mathbb{Z}_9 \rtimes \mathbb{Z}_3$ (GAP group ID 4). Difference sets in the former group extend to dimension 27 by Theorem 4.4. A regular set in the latter group is given in the next example, using multiplicative notation.

Example 4.9. The group of order 27 with GAP ID 4 can be presented as $G = \langle a, b \mid a^9 = b^3 = 1, ba = a^4b \rangle$. Let $\varphi_1(g) = g$ be the identity automorphism of G and $\varphi_2(g) = g^{-1}$ the corresponding antiautomorphism. The "difference" $\varphi_1(g)(\varphi_2(g))^{-1} = g^2$ is an antiautomorphism, namely the one corresponding to $\varphi \in \text{Aut}(G), \ \varphi(a) = a^7, \ \varphi(b) = b$. Thus, $\{\varphi_1, \varphi_2\}$ is a regular set of (anti)automorphisms of G.

By Theorem 4.7, all (27, 13, 6) and (27, 14, 7) difference sets in G extend to dimension 3.

5. FINAL OBSERVATIONS

A curious fact visible in Table 4 is equality of the numbers for some complementary parameters. Ordinary (2-dimensional) difference sets and designs are rarely discussed for both sets of complementary parameters, because complementation is a bijection. This is also true for higher-dimensional C-cubes, but not for \mathcal{P} -cubes as we have seen in Proposition 2.3. However, the numbers in Table 4 coincide for complementary Paley-Hadamard parameters $\mathcal{P}^n(4m-1, 2m-1, m-1)$ and $\mathcal{P}^n(4m-1, 2m, m)$ when $4m-1 = p^s$ is a prime power and $G = (\mathbb{Z}_p)^s$ is an elementary abelian group. For small parameters, this can be explained by the following bijection.

Every (4m-1, 2m-1, m-1) difference set $D \subseteq G$ can be uniquely extended to a (4m-1, 2m, m) difference set $D \cup \{a\}$ by adding the element $a = -2\sum_{d\in D} d$. We have checked that this is a bijection between (4m-1, 2m-1, m-1) and (4m-1, 2m, m) difference sets in the

20

groups \mathbb{Z}_7 , \mathbb{Z}_{11} , \mathbb{Z}_{19} , and \mathbb{Z}_{23} from Table 4 and also in $G = (\mathbb{Z}_3)^3$. We don't have a proof for arbitrary elementary abelian groups. However, if this is indeed a bijection between ordinary difference sets, then it is easy to establish a 1-to-1 correspondence between *n*-dimensional difference sets. Given an *n*-dimensional (4m - 1, 2m - 1, m - 1) difference set $D \subseteq G^n$, one simply adds the *n*-tuple $(-2\sum_{d\in D} d_1, \ldots, -2\sum_{d\in D} d_n)$ to obtain an *n*-dimensional (4m - 1, 2m, m) difference set.

If a (4m - 1, 2m - 1, m - 1) difference set $D \subseteq G$ extends to a (4m - 1, 2m, m) difference set $D \cup \{a\}$, then the complement $D' = G \setminus (D \cup \{a\})$ is also a (4m - 1, 2m - 1, m - 1) difference set in G. Then $(D - a) \cup (D' - a) \cup \{0\}$ is a tiling of G by two difference sets, and D - a is a skew Hadamard difference set by [4, Theorem 8]. A long-standing conjecture was that the classical Paley difference sets are the only skew Hadamard difference sets in elementary abelian groups, but it has been refuted in [8, 9] for groups of orders $3^m, m \ge 5$ odd. It might also be the case that our bijection holds up only for elementary abelian groups of small orders, but we don't have a counterexample.

Yet another apparent symmetry in Table 4 is equality of the numbers for "complementary dimensions" n and v - n. Equality holds for some small parameters (v, k, λ) when the upper bound of Theorem 4.1 is reached: (7,3,1), (7,4,2), (11,5,2), (11,6,3), and (13,4,1). It breaks for the complementary parameters (13,9,6), while for (19,9,4), (19,10,5), (23,11,5), and (23,12,6) equality holds except for dimension n = 3. For (31,6,1) it breaks for n = 3, 4, 5, 6 and holds for the remaining dimensions. We don't have an explanation for this phenomenon.

References

- M. Aschbacher, On collineation groups of symmetric block designs, J. Combin. Theory Ser. A 11 (1971), 272-281. https://doi.org/10.1016/ 0097-3165(71)90054-9
- T. Beth, D. Jungnickel, H. Lenz, Design theory. Second edition. Volume I, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1999. https://doi.org/10.1017/ CB09780511549533
- [3] P. J. Cameron, J. J. Seidel, Quadratic forms over GF(2), Nederl. Akad. Wetensch. Proc. Ser. A 76 Indag. Math. 35 (1973), 1–8.
- [4] A. Ćustić, V. Krčadinac, Y. Zhou, *Tiling groups with difference sets*, Electron. J. Combin. 22 (2015), no. 2, Paper 2.56, 13 pp. https://doi.org/10.37236/ 5157
- [5] W. de Launey, On the construction of n-dimensional designs from 2dimensional designs, Australas. J. Combin. 1 (1990), 67-81. https://ajc. maths.uq.edu.au/pdf/1/ocr-ajc-v1-p67.pdf

- [6] W. de Launey, K. J. Horadam, A weak difference set construction for higherdimensional designs, Des. Codes Cryptogr. 3 (1993), no. 1, 75–87. https: //doi.org/10.1007/BF01389357
- [7] P. Delsarte, J.-M. Goethals, Alternating bilinear forms over GF(q), J. Combin. Theory Ser. A 19 (1975), 26–50. https://doi.org/10.1016/0097-3165(75) 90090-4
- [8] C. Ding, J. Yuan, A family of skew Hadamard difference sets, J. Combin. Theory Ser. A 113 (2006), no. 7, 1526–1535. https://doi.org/10.1016/j. jcta.2005.10.006
- [9] C. Ding, Z. Wang, Q. Xiang, Skew Hadamard difference sets from the Ree-Tits slice symplectic spreads in PG(3, 3^{2h+1}), J. Combin. Theory Ser. A 114 (2007), no. 5, 867–887. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jcta.2006.09.008
- [10] J. H. Dinitz, *Room squares*, in: Handbook of combinatorial designs. Second edition (eds. C. J. Colbourn, J. H. Dinitz), Chapman & Hall/CRC, Boca Raton, FL, 2007, pp. 584–590. https://doi.org/10.1201/9781420010541
- W. Feit, Automorphisms of symmetric balanced incomplete block designs, Math. Z. 118 (1970), 40-49. https://doi.org/10.1007/BF01109892
- [12] The GAP Group, GAP Groups, Algorithms, and Programming, Version 4.14.0, 2024. https://www.gap-system.org
- [13] A. Hulpke, P. Kaski, P. R. J. Ostergård, The number of Latin squares of order 11, Math. Comp. 80 (2011), no. 274, 1197–1219. https://doi.org/10.1090/ S0025-5718-2010-02420-2
- [14] Y. J. Ionin, M. S. Shrikhande, Combinatorics of symmetric designs, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2006. https://doi.org/10.1017/ CB09780511542992
- [15] W. M. Kantor, Spreads, translation planes and Kerdock sets. I, SIAM J. Algebraic Discrete Methods 3 (1982), no. 2, 151–165. https://doi.org/10.1137/ 0603015
- [16] W. M. Kantor, Spreads, translation planes and Kerdock sets. II, SIAM J. Algebraic Discrete Methods 3 (1982), no. 3, 308–318. https://doi.org/10.1137/ 0603032
- [17] A. D. Keedwell, J. Dénes, Latin squares and their applications, second ed., Elsevier/North-Holland, Amsterdam, 2015. https://doi.org/10.1016/ C2014-0-03412-0
- [18] A. M. Kerdock, A class of low-rate nonlinear binary codes, Information and Control 20 (1972), 182–187; 21 (1972), 395.
- [19] D. E. Knuth, Two notes on notation, Amer. Math. Monthly 99 (1992), no. 5, 403-422. https://doi.org/10.1080/00029890.1992.11995869
- [20] V. Krčadinac, PAG Prescribed Automorphism Groups, Version 0.2.4, 2024. https://vkrcadinac.github.io/PAG/
- [21] V. Krčadinac, M. O. Pavčević, K. Tabak, *Cubes of symmetric designs*, Ars Math. Contemp. (2024). https://doi.org/10.26493/1855-3974.3222.e53
- [22] V. Krčadinac, L. Relić, Projection cubes of symmetric designs, preprint, 2024. https://arxiv.org/abs/2411.06936
- [23] E. S. Lander, Symmetric designs: an algebraic approach, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1983. https://doi.org/10.1017/CB09780511662164
- [24] R. Mathon, A. Rosa, $2 \cdot (v, k, \lambda)$ designs of small order, in: Handbook of combinatorial designs. Second edition (eds. C. J. Colbourn, J. H. Dinitz), Chapman

& Hall/CRC, Boca Raton, FL, 2007, pp. 25–58. https://doi.org/10.1201/ 9781420010541

- [25] B. D. McKay, A. Meynert, W. Myrvold, Small Latin squares, quasigroups, and loops, J. Combin. Des. 15 (2007), no. 2, 98–119. https://doi.org/10.1002/ jcd.20105
- [26] B. D. McKay, A. Piperno, Practical graph isomorphism, II, J. Symbolic Comput. 60 (2014), 94–112. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jsc.2013.09.003
- [27] B. D. McKay, I. M. Wanless, A census of small Latin hypercubes, SIAM J. Discrete Math. 22 (2008), no. 2, 719–736. https://doi.org/10.1137/070693874
- [28] N. J. Patterson, A four-dimensional Kerdock set over GF(3), J. Combin. Theory Ser. A 20 (1976), no. 3, 365–366. https://doi.org/10.1016/ 0097-3165(76)90031-5
- [29] D. Peifer, DifSets, an algorithm for enumerating all difference sets in a group, Version 2.3.1, 2019. https://dylanpeifer.github.io/difsets
- [30] Persistence of Vision Raytracer, Version 3.7, 2013. Persistence of Vision Pty. Ltd., Williamstown, Victoria, Australia. http://www.povray.org/
- [31] J. J. Seidel, A. Blokhuis, H. A. Wilbrink, Graphs and association schemes, algebra and geometry, Tech. Report 83-WSK-02, Eindhoven University of Technology, 1983. https://research.tue.nl/en/publications/ graphs-and-association-schemes-algebra-and-geometry
- [32] E. Soedarmadji, Latin hypercubes and MDS codes, Discrete Math. 306 (2006), no. 12, 1232–1239. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.disc.2006.02.011

¹Faculty of Science, University of Zagreb, Bijenička cesta 30, HR-10000 Zagreb, Croatia

²Faculty of Electrical Engineering and Computing, University of Zagreb, Unska 3, HR-10000 Zagreb, Croatia

Email address: vedran.krcadinac@math.hr Email address: mario.pavcevic@fer.hr