

ALEXANDROV-FENCHEL TYPE INEQUALITIES WITH CONVEX WEIGHT IN SPACE FORMS

KWOK-KUN KWONG AND YONG WEI

ABSTRACT. In this paper, we derive new sharp weighted Alexandrov-Fenchel and Minkowski inequalities for smooth, closed hypersurfaces under various convexity assumptions in Euclidean, spherical, and hyperbolic spaces. These inequalities extend classical results by incorporating weights given by convex, non-decreasing positive functions, which are otherwise arbitrary. Our approach gives rise to a broad family of geometric inequalities, as each convex, non-decreasing function yields a corresponding inequality, providing considerable flexibility.

CONTENTS

1. Introduction	1
2. Preliminaries	8
3. Weighted Alexandrov-Fenchel type inequalities in \mathbb{R}^n	10
4. Weighted Minkowski type inequalities in space forms	14
5. Applications of weighted inequalities to eigenvalue estimates	18
References	20

1. INTRODUCTION

The Alexandrov-Fenchel and Minkowski inequalities are fundamental in differential geometry, geometric analysis, and convex geometry. These inequalities establish fundamental relationships between volume, surface area, and curvature integrals of hypersurfaces enclosing bounded domains. These inequalities have important applications ranging from isoperimetric problems to eigenvalue estimates and geometric flows. While classical results focus on specific geometric quantities, the study of weighted inequalities offers a more flexible approach by incorporating weights that can address a broader range of problems. In this paper, we extend these classical inequalities by introducing weights that are convex functions of the potential associated with the metric. Each convex weight function gives rise to a corresponding inequality, reflecting geometric structures such as non-uniform densities or radial symmetries. This work introduces a broad family of Alexandrov-Fenchel

Date: December 13, 2024.

2020 Mathematics Subject Classification. 53C42; 53E10.

Key words and phrases. Weighted Alexandrov-Fenchel inequality, space forms, inverse curvature flow.

and Minkowski type inequalities, which are anticipated to have applications in other geometric and analytic problems.

To set the stage for our results, we first give some definitions of the classical quermass-integrals.

Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be a smooth bounded domain enclosed by an embedded hypersurface $\Sigma = \partial\Omega$. The quermassintegrals of Ω can be expressed (up to a constant) as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} W_{-1}(\Omega) &= |\Omega|, & W_0(\Omega) &= |\Sigma|, \\ W_k(\Omega) &= \int_{\Sigma} \sigma_k(\kappa) d\mu, & k &= 1, \dots, n-1, \end{aligned}$$

where $\sigma_k(\kappa)$ the k th mean curvature of Σ , defined as the k th elementary symmetric polynomial of the principal curvatures $\kappa = (\kappa_1, \dots, \kappa_{n-1})$ of Σ . The classical Alexandrov-Fenchel inequality states that for convex bounded domain $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$,

$$W_k(\Omega) \geq c_{n,k} (W_{k-1}(\Omega))^{\frac{n-1-k}{n-k}}, \quad \forall k = 0, \dots, n-1 \quad (1.1)$$

for some constant $c_{n,k}$ with equality holds if and only if Ω is a round ball. These include the isoperimetric inequality and Minkowski inequality

$$\int_{\Sigma} H d\mu \geq (n-1) \omega_{n-1}^{\frac{1}{n-1}} |\Sigma|^{\frac{n-2}{n-1}} \quad (1.2)$$

as special cases, where $\omega_{n-1} = |\mathbb{S}^{n-1}|$ is the area of the unit sphere. We refer the readers to Schneider's book [30] for a detailed introduction.

Guan-Li [16] showed that the inequalities (1.1) still hold for star-shaped and k -convex domains in \mathbb{R}^n by using the inverse curvature flows. See [1, 10, 25, 26] for proofs of (1.1) and (1.2) using other methods.

The study of weighted integral inequalities extends classical results by incorporating weights, offering greater flexibility to address a broader range of geometric and analytic problems. Our results, presented in the following two subsections, allow the weight function to be any convex, non-decreasing positive function. The flexibility lies in the fact that the weight functions can vary, with each taking the potential associated with the metric as its variable, and each function giving rise to a corresponding inequality that reflects non-uniform densities or radial symmetries. To illustrate this flexibility, we provide more than a dozen sharp weighted integral inequalities that can be derived in the two-dimensional space forms, as given in Example 1.

Weighted inequalities have been the subject of extensive research in recent decades. Notable examples include the isoperimetric problem with Gaussian density [4] and the isoperimetric problem with radial densities [3]. Additionally, weighted inequalities such as the Caffarelli-Kohn-Nirenberg interpolation inequalities [9] and weighted Sobolev inequalities [8] have been investigated, highlighting the importance of these weighted integrals. In our previous work [23], a weighted isoperimetric-type inequality was instrumental in resolving the conjecture that the Weinstock inequality for the first Steklov eigenvalue holds for simply connected mean-convex domains in \mathbb{R}^n . The weighted Alexandrov-Fenchel and Minkowski inequalities we establish here are expected to have further applications in analysis, convex geometry, isoperimetric inequalities, and eigenvalue estimates.

1.1. Weighted Alexandrov-Fenchel inequalities in \mathbb{R}^n . In the first part of the paper, we study the Alexandrov-Fenchel type inequalities in \mathbb{R}^n comparing the weighted curvature integrals and the quermassintegrals. This kind of inequality was firstly studied by the first author and Miao [21], where the inequality

$$\int_{\Sigma} r^2 H d\mu \geq n(n-1)|\Omega| \quad (1.3)$$

was proved for star-shaped and mean convex hypersurface $\Sigma \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ which encloses a bounded domain Ω . Equality holds if and only if Σ is a round sphere centered at the origin. The inequality (1.3) has been generalized to higher order mean curvature cases in [14, 22, 32]. Recently, Wu [33] obtained a further generalization with the weight r^2 replaced by $r^{2\alpha}$ for any $\alpha \geq 1$. The results are summarized as following

Theorem A ([14, 22, 32, 33]). *Let Σ be a smooth, closed, star-shaped, and k -convex hypersurface in \mathbb{R}^n ($n \geq 2$). Denote by Ω the domain enclosed by Σ . Then, for all $1 \leq k \leq n-1$, $-1 \leq \ell \leq k-1$, and $\alpha \geq 1$, the following inequality holds:*

$$\int_{\Sigma} r^{2\alpha} \sigma_k d\mu \geq \binom{n-1}{k} \omega_{n-1} \left(\frac{W_{\ell}(\Omega)}{\binom{n-1}{\ell} \omega_{n-1}} \right)^{\frac{n-1-k+2\alpha}{n-1-\ell}},$$

where $\omega_{n-1} = |\mathbb{S}^{n-1}|$ is the area of the unit round sphere. Moreover, equality holds if and only if Σ is a round sphere centered at the origin. (Here $\binom{n-1}{-1} := \frac{1}{n-1}$.)

Our first result is the following Alexandrov-Fenchel type inequalities in \mathbb{R}^n with a general convex weight.

Theorem 1.1. *Suppose that Σ is a smooth closed star-shaped and k -convex hypersurface in \mathbb{R}^n ($n \geq 2$). Denote by Ω the domain enclosed by Σ and $\Phi = r^2/2$. Then, for all $1 \leq k \leq n-1$, $-1 \leq \ell \leq k-1$, and any non-decreasing convex C^2 positive function g , we have*

$$\int_{\Sigma} g(\Phi) \sigma_k d\mu \geq \chi \circ \xi^{-1} \left(W_{\ell}(\Omega) \right), \quad (1.4)$$

where $\chi(r) = \int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}(r)} g(\Phi) \sigma_k = \binom{n-1}{k} \omega_{n-1} g\left(\frac{r^2}{2}\right) r^{n-k-1}$ and $\xi(r) = \binom{n-1}{\ell} \omega_{n-1} r^{n-1-\ell}$ are both strictly increasing functions on \mathbb{R}_+ , and ξ^{-1} denotes the inverse of $\xi(r)$.

If either g is strictly increasing or g is strictly convex, then equality holds in (1.4) if and only if Ω is a round ball centered at the origin.

This result can be restated in a more qualitative form as an isoperimetric-type statement:

Theorem 1.2. *Among the class of star-shaped and k -convex domains in \mathbb{R}^n with fixed quermassintegral W_{ℓ} , where g is a convex and non-decreasing function, the minimum of the weighted curvature integral $\int_{\Sigma} g(\Phi) \sigma_k d\mu$ ($k > \ell$) is achieved by the round spheres centered at the origin.*

For the proof of (1.4), we apply the normalized inverse curvature flow studied by Gerhardts [12] and Urbas [31] for star-shaped and k -convex hypersurfaces in \mathbb{R}^n . As a key step, we show that the weighted curvature integral $\int_{\Sigma} g(\Phi) \sigma_k d\mu$ is monotone non-increasing and the quermassintegral W_{ℓ} is non-decreasing for $\ell < k$ along the flow.

1.2. Weighted Minkowski inequality in space forms. The Minkowski inequality (1.2) is a special case of the Alexandrov inequalities (1.1). Recently, due to the close relationship with the Penrose type inequalities in asymptotically hyperbolic manifolds, the weighted Minkowski type inequality has attracted much attention. In particular, for star-shaped and mean convex hypersurface Σ in the hyperbolic space \mathbb{H}^n which encloses a bounded domain Ω , Brendle-Hung-Wang [7] proved

$$\int_{\Sigma} \phi'(r) H d\mu - n(n-1) \int_{\Omega} \phi'(r) dv \geq (n-1) \omega_{n-1}^{\frac{1}{n-1}} |\Sigma|^{\frac{n-2}{n-1}}, \quad (1.5)$$

where $\phi(r) = \sinh r$. See [11] for a related inequality. The Minkowski inequality for a star-shaped and mean convex domain in \mathbb{H}^n

$$\int_{\Sigma} H d\mu - (n-1)|\Omega| \geq \chi \circ \xi^{-1} (|\Sigma|) \quad (1.6)$$

was also proved by Brendle-Guan-Li [6] (see also [18, Theorem 4.2]), where $\chi(r) = (n-1)\omega_{n-1} (\coth r \sinh^{n-1} r - \int_0^r \sinh^{n-1} s ds)$ and $\xi(r) = \omega_{n-1} \sinh^{n-1} r$ are both strictly increasing function and ξ^{-1} denotes the inverse of ξ . The left hand side of (1.6) in fact represents the first quermassintegral $W_1(\Omega)$ of a bounded domain in \mathbb{H}^n . Another version of Minkowski type inequality was established recently by the authors [23] for h -convex domains in \mathbb{H}^n :

$$\int_{\Sigma} \Phi(r) H d\mu + (n-1)|\Omega| \geq \chi \circ \xi^{-1} (|\Sigma|), \quad (1.7)$$

where $\Phi(r) = \int_0^r \phi(s) ds = \cosh r - 1$ and

$$\chi(r) = (n-1)\omega_{n-1} \left((\cosh r - 1) \coth r \sinh^{n-1} r + \int_0^r \sinh^{n-1} s ds \right).$$

Note that the left hand side of (1.7) is equivalent to $\int_{\Sigma} \phi'(r) H d\mu - W_1(\Omega)$. Here by h -convex we mean that all principal curvatures of Σ satisfy $\kappa_i \geq 1, i = 1, \dots, n-1$ everywhere on Σ .

In the second part of this paper, we will discuss various generalizations of the above inequalities in space forms. Before stating our results, we recall some definitions. A space form $M^n(K), n \geq 2$, is a real simply connected n -dimensional Riemannian manifold with constant sectional curvature equal to $K \in \{-1, 0, 1\}$. We view $M^n(K)$ as a warped product manifold $I \times \mathbb{S}^{n-1}$ equipped with the metric

$$\bar{g} = dr^2 + \phi(r)^2 g_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}}.$$

There are three cases: $M^n(K)$ is the Euclidean space if $K = 0, I = [0, \infty)$ and $\phi(r) = r$; $M^n(K)$ is the hyperbolic space \mathbb{H}^n if $K = -1, I = [0, \infty)$ and $\phi(r) = \sinh r$; and $M^n(K)$ is the sphere \mathbb{S}^n if $K = 1, I = [0, \pi)$ and $\phi(r) = \sin r$. Define $\Phi(r)$ by

$$\Phi(r) = \int_0^r \phi(s) ds = \begin{cases} 1 - \cos r, & K = 1 \\ \frac{r^2}{2}, & K = 0 \\ \cosh r - 1, & K = -1 \end{cases}$$

It's well known that $V = \bar{\nabla} \Phi = \phi(r) \partial_r$ is a conformal Killing field on $M^n(K)$ satisfying $\bar{\nabla} V = \phi'(r) \bar{g}$.

Let $g : [0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a non-decreasing convex C^2 function. For a bounded domain Ω in a space form $M^n(K)$, we define the weighted volume of Ω

$$A_g(\Omega) = \int_{\Omega} (g'(\Phi)\phi' + Kg(\Phi)) dv. \quad (1.8)$$

When $g(x) = x$, we have $g'(\Phi)\phi' + Kg(\Phi) = \phi' + K\Phi = 1$. Then $A_g(\Omega) = \text{vol}(\Omega)$ is just the volume of the domain Ω . When $g(x) \equiv 1$, then $A_g(\Omega) = K \text{vol}(\Omega)$ is also the volume of Ω up to a constant K .

We first prove an inequality for convex curves in the two dimensional case.

Theorem 1.3. *For a smooth, closed, strictly convex curve $\gamma = \partial\Omega$ on $M^2(K)$, with γ enclosing the origin, and a non-decreasing convex C^2 function g , we have*

$$\int_{\gamma} g(\Phi)\kappa ds + A_g(\Omega) \geq 2g\left(\frac{A(L)}{2\pi}\right) \sqrt{4\pi^2 - KL^2} - 2\pi g(0) + 4\pi KG\left(\frac{A(L)}{2\pi}\right). \quad (1.9)$$

Here κ, L are the curvature and length of the curve γ respectively, $A(l) :=$ the area of the (convex) geodesic ball in $M^2(K)$ with circumference l and $G(r) := \int_0^r g(t)dt$.

If either g is strictly increasing or g is strictly convex, then the equality holds if and only if γ is a geodesic circle centered at the origin.

Example 1. For illustration, we present examples of sharp inequalities involving three geometric quantities that can be derived for strictly convex curves in \mathbb{R}^2 by applying various choices of the function g in (1.9):

- (1) $\int_{\gamma} \frac{r^2}{2} \kappa ds + |\Omega| \geq \frac{L^2}{2\pi}$.
- (2) $\int_{\gamma} \frac{r^3}{3} \kappa ds + \int_{\Omega} r dv \geq \frac{L^3}{6\pi^2}$.
- (3) $\int_{\gamma} \frac{r^4}{4} \kappa ds + \int_{\Omega} r^2 dv \geq \frac{L^4}{16\pi^3}$.
- (4) $\int_{\gamma} e^{\frac{r^2}{2}} \kappa ds + \int_{\Omega} e^{\frac{r^2}{2}} dv \geq 2\pi \left(2e^{\frac{L^2}{8\pi^2}} - 1 \right)$.
- (5) $\int_{\gamma} e^{\frac{r^4}{4}} \kappa ds + \int_{\Omega} e^{\frac{r^2}{2}} dv \geq 2\pi \left(2e^{\frac{L^4}{64\pi^4}} - 1 \right)$.
- (6) $\int_{\gamma} \sinh\left(\frac{r^2}{2}\right) \kappa ds + \int_{\Omega} \cosh\left(\frac{r^2}{2}\right) dv \geq 4\pi \sinh\left(\frac{L^2}{8\pi^2}\right)$.
- (7) $\int_{\gamma} \cosh\left(\frac{r^2}{2}\right) \kappa ds + \int_{\Omega} \sinh\left(\frac{r^2}{2}\right) dv \geq 4\pi \cosh\left(\frac{L^2}{8\pi^2}\right) - 2\pi$.

If γ is a strictly convex curve enclosing the center of an open geodesic ball of radius $\frac{\pi}{2}$ in \mathbb{S}^2 , then the following sharp inequalities hold:

- (1) $\int_{\gamma} (1 - \cos r) \kappa ds + |\Omega| \geq \frac{L^2}{2\pi}$.
- (2) $\int_{\gamma} (1 - \cos r)^2 \kappa ds + \int_{\Omega} \sin^2 r dv \geq \frac{4}{3} \left(\sqrt{4\pi^2 - L^2} - 2\pi \right) - \frac{L^2}{3\pi^2} \left(\sqrt{4\pi^2 - L^2} - 3\pi \right)$.
- (3) $\int_{\gamma} (1 - \cos r)^3 \kappa ds + \int_{\Omega} (1 - \cos r)^2 (2 \cos r + 1) dv \geq -\frac{3L^4}{16\pi^3} - \frac{L^2}{\pi^2} \left(\sqrt{4\pi^2 - L^2} - 3\pi \right) + 4\sqrt{4\pi^2 - L^2} - 8\pi$
- (4) $\int_{\gamma} (\sec r - 1) \kappa ds + \int_{\Omega} (2 \sec r - 1) dv \geq 4\pi \log\left(\frac{2\pi}{\sqrt{4\pi^2 - L^2}}\right)$.
- (5) $\int_{\gamma} (\cos r + \sec r - 2) \kappa ds + \int_{\Omega} (2 \sec r - 2) dv \geq 4\pi \log\left(\frac{2\pi}{\sqrt{4\pi^2 - L^2}}\right) - \frac{L^2}{2\pi}$.

These inequalities are derived by substituting $g(x)$ with $x, x^2, x^3, \frac{x}{1-x}$ and $\frac{x^2}{1-x}$, respectively. Note that the last two functions are convex over the range of Φ .

Similarly, in \mathbb{H}^2 , we have the following sharp inequalities for strictly convex curves:

- (1) $\int_{\gamma} (\cosh r - 1) \kappa ds + |\Omega| \geq \frac{L^2}{2\pi}$.
- (2) $\int_{\gamma} (\cosh r - 1)^2 \kappa ds + \int_{\Omega} \sinh^2 r dv \geq \frac{L^2}{3\pi^2} \left(\sqrt{L^2 + 4\pi^2} - 3\pi \right) + \frac{4}{3} \left(\sqrt{L^2 + 4\pi^2} - 2\pi \right)$.
- (3) $\int_{\gamma} (\cosh r - 1)^3 \kappa ds + \int_{\Omega} (\cosh r - 1)^2 (2 \cosh r + 1) dv \geq \frac{3L^4}{16\pi^3} - \frac{L^2}{\pi^2} \left(\sqrt{L^2 + 4\pi^2} - 3\pi \right) - 4\sqrt{L^2 + 4\pi^2} + 8\pi$.
- (4) $\int_{\gamma} (\cosh r + \operatorname{sech} r - 2) \kappa ds + \int_{\Omega} (2 - 2\operatorname{sech} r) dv \geq \frac{L^2}{2\pi} - 2\pi \log \left(\frac{L^2}{4\pi^2} + 1 \right)$.

These inequalities are obtained by substituting $g(x)$ as x, x^2, x^3 , and $\frac{x^2}{1+x}$, respectively.

When $g = 1$, the inequality in Theorem 1.3 reduces to an equality, corresponding to the classical Gauss-Bonnet formula. The first inequality in the three lists above was proved by the authors and G. Wheeler and V.-M. Wheeler in [24, Theorem 1.2] for the specific case where $g(x) = x$, and was applied in [24, Corollary 5.8] to provide a counterexample to the $n = 2$ case of a conjecture by Girão and Pinheiro [13].

To prove Theorem 1.3, we employ the inverse curvature type flow $X : \mathbb{S}^1 \times [0, T) \rightarrow M^2(K)$

$$\begin{cases} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} X(x, t) = \left(\frac{\phi'(r)}{\kappa} - u \right) \nu(x, t) \\ X(\cdot, 0) = X_0, \end{cases} \quad (1.10)$$

where κ is the curvature, ν is the unit outward normal, and $u = \langle V, \nu \rangle$ is the support function of the curve. The flow (1.10) for strictly convex closed curves in space forms has been studied in [24], and it turns out to have a number of applications, including a unified proof of the classical isoperimetric inequality in 2-dimensional space forms. For initially smooth strictly convex closed curve γ_0 , the flow (1.10) preserves the convexity, exists for all time and converges to a geodesic circle as $t \rightarrow \infty$. A nice feature of this flow is that it preserves the length of the evolving curves. A key in proving Theorem 1.3 is to show that the left hand side of (1.9) is monotone decreasing along the flow (1.10).

For the higher dimensional case, we prove the following Minkowski-type inequalities for h -convex hypersurfaces in the hyperbolic space \mathbb{H}^n and for convex hypersurfaces in the sphere \mathbb{S}^n .

Theorem 1.4. *Suppose that Σ is a smooth closed h -convex hypersurface in \mathbb{H}^n ($n \geq 3$) enclosing a bounded domain Ω that contains the origin. For any non-decreasing convex C^2 positive function g , we have*

$$\int_{\Sigma} g(\Phi) H d\mu + (n-1) A_g(\Omega) \geq \chi \circ \xi^{-1}(|\Sigma|), \quad (1.11)$$

where $\xi(r) = \omega_{n-1} \phi^{n-1}(r)$, and $\chi(r) = \int_{S(r)} g(\Phi) H + (n-1) A_g(B(r))$. If either g is strictly increasing or g is strictly convex, then equality holds in (1.11) if and only if Ω is a geodesic ball centered at the origin.

Remark 1.5. (1) When $g(x) \equiv 1$, the left hand side of (1.11) reduces to the first quermassintegral $W_1(\Omega) = \int_{\Sigma} H d\mu - (n-1) \operatorname{vol}(\Omega)$. In this case, Theorem 1.4

reduces to the Minkowski inequality (1.6) in hyperbolic space proved by Brendle-Guan-Li [6]. See Hu-Li-Wei [19] for an alternative proof for h -convex domains in \mathbb{H}^n .

- (2) When $g(x) = x$, then $A_g(\Omega) = \text{vol}(\Omega)$ and the left hand side of (1.11) reduces to $\int_{\Sigma} \Phi H d\mu + (n-1)\text{vol}(\Omega)$. In this case, Theorem 1.4 reduces to the inequality (1.7) proved previously by the authors [23].

Theorem 1.6. *Suppose that Σ is a smooth strictly convex hypersurface in \mathbb{S}^n enclosing a bounded domain Ω that contains the origin. For any non-decreasing convex C^2 positive function g , we have*

$$\int_{\Sigma} g(\Phi) H d\mu + (n-1)A_g(\Omega) \geq \chi \circ \zeta^{-1} \left(\int_{\Omega} \phi'(r) dv \right), \quad (1.12)$$

where $\zeta(r) = \int_{B(r)} \phi' dv = \frac{1}{n} \omega_{n-1} \sin^n r$, and $\chi(r) = \int_{S(r)} g(\Phi) H + (n-1)A_g(B(r))$. If either g is strictly increasing or g is strictly convex, then equality holds in (1.12) if and only if Ω is a geodesic ball centered at the origin.

The inequalities (1.11) and (1.12) will be proved using inverse mean curvature type flow. Due to the opposite sign of the ambient curvatures, we employ different flows in the hyperbolic space and in sphere separately. This is crucial in the proof of monotonicity of $\int_{\Sigma} g(\Phi) H d\mu + (n-1)A_g(\Omega)$ along the flows. To prove (1.11), we use the flow

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} X = \left(\frac{\phi'}{H} - \frac{u}{n-1} \right) \nu, \quad (1.13)$$

which was introduced by Brendle, Guan and Li [6] (see also [18, §4]). The second author and Hu, Li [19] proved that for h -convex hypersurface in \mathbb{H}^n , the flow (1.13) preserves the h -convexity and converges to a geodesic sphere as $t \rightarrow \infty$. While for proving (1.12), we use the flow

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} X = \left(\frac{n-1}{H} - \frac{u}{\phi'} \right) \nu \quad (1.14)$$

which was studied by Scheuer and Xia [29] and evolves any strictly convex hypersurface in sphere \mathbb{S}^n to a geodesic sphere as $t \rightarrow \infty$.

As an application of the weighted inequalities derived in our work, we establish a sharp upper bound for the first non-zero eigenvalue of a class of differential operators associated with k -convex hypersurfaces Σ in \mathbb{R}^n . For simplicity, we only state the result for the two-dimensional case. Readers are referred to Theorem 5.1 for the general result in higher dimensions.

Theorem 1.7. *For a smooth, closed, strictly convex curve γ in \mathbb{R}^2 , the first non-zero eigenvalue λ_1 of the operator $-\frac{1}{\kappa} \Delta$ on γ satisfies*

$$\lambda_1 \leq \frac{\pi L}{L^2 - 2\pi A},$$

where κ, L denote the curvature and length of γ respectively, and A represents the area enclosed by γ . Equality holds if and only if γ is a circle.

Acknowledgments. The first author was supported by the UOW Early-Mid Career Researcher Enabling Grant and the UOW Advancement and Equity Grant Scheme for Research 2024. The second author was supported by National Key Research and Development Program of China 2021YFA1001800 and 2020YFA0713100, and the Fundamental Research Funds for the Central Universities.

2. PRELIMINARIES

In this section, we review some definitions and basic formulas for hypersurfaces in space forms, and then derive a general evolution equation for the weighted curvature integral $\int_{\Sigma} g(\Phi)\sigma_k d\mu$.

2.1. Hypersurfaces in space forms. Given a smooth bounded domain Ω in a space form $M^n(K)$, its boundary $\Sigma = \partial\Omega$ is a smooth embedded hypersurface. The support function of Σ is defined by $u = \langle V, \nu \rangle$, where ν is the outward unit normal of Σ and $V = \bar{\nabla}\Phi$ is the conformal Killing field on $M^n(K)$. Note that in Euclidean space, V is just the position vector. We denote by $\kappa = (\kappa_1, \dots, \kappa_{n-1})$ the principal curvatures of Σ , which are defined as the eigenvalues of the Weingarten tensor $h_i^j = g^{jk}h_{ik}$. If we denote σ_k as the k th elementary symmetric polynomial of an n -vector, then $H = \sigma_1(\kappa) = \kappa_1 + \dots + \kappa_{n-1}$ is the mean curvature of Σ , and $\sigma_k(\kappa)$ is called the k th mean curvature of Σ . The definition also extends to the Weingarten tensor by defining $\sigma_k(h_i^j) = \sigma_k(\lambda(h_i^j))$, where λ is a map sending a symmetric matrix to its eigenvalues. The Newton tensor of h_i^j is defined by $T_k^{ij} = \frac{\partial\sigma_{k+1}}{\partial h_i^j}$ and satisfies the iteration (see [27])

$$T_k^{ij} = \sigma_k g^{ij} - T_{k-1}^{ik} h_k^j. \quad (2.1)$$

It is divergence free due to the Codazzi equation in space forms.

Lemma 2.1 (see §2 in [20]). *For $k = 1, \dots, n-1$, we have*

$$\sum_{ij} T_{k-1}^{ij} h_{ij} = k\sigma_k \quad (2.2)$$

$$\sum_{ij} T_{k-1}^{ij} h_{ij} = (n-k)\sigma_{k-1} \quad (2.3)$$

$$\sum_{ij} T_{k-1}^{ij} (h^2)_{ij} = \sigma_1 \sigma_k - (k+1)\sigma_{k+1}, \quad (2.4)$$

where $(h^2)_i^j = \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} h_i^k h_k^j$ and by convention we set $\sigma_k = 0$ for $k > n-1$.

The support function u and Φ satisfy the following formulae (see [17, §2])

Lemma 2.2. *Let Σ be a smooth closed hypersurface in the space form $M^n(K)$. Then*

$$\nabla_i u = h_i^j \nabla_j \Phi \quad (2.5)$$

$$\Delta \Phi = (n-1)\phi'(r) - uH, \quad (2.6)$$

$$\nabla_i \left(T_k^{ij} \nabla_j \Phi \right) = (n-1-k)\phi' \sigma_k(\kappa) - (k+1)u\sigma_{k+1}(\kappa). \quad (2.7)$$

where ∇, Δ denote the Levi-Civita connection and Laplacian operator on Σ with respect to the induced metric.

A smooth closed hypersurface Σ is called k -convex if its principal curvatures are contained in the Garding cone Γ_k^+ defined by

$$\Gamma_k^+ = \{\kappa \in \mathbb{R}^{n-1}, \mid \sigma_j(\kappa) > 0, \quad \forall j = 1, \dots, k\}.$$

Denote

$$H_k(\kappa) = \frac{\sigma_k(\kappa)}{\binom{n-1}{k}}, \quad k = 1, \dots, n-1 \quad (2.8)$$

the k th normalized mean curvature of Σ . We have the following Newton-MacLaurin inequality (see §2 in [15]).

Lemma 2.3. *For any integers $1 \leq \ell \leq k \leq n-1$ and $\kappa \in \Gamma_k^+$, we have*

$$H_{k+1}H_{\ell-1} \leq H_kH_\ell, \quad (2.9)$$

$$H_{k+1} \leq H_k^{\frac{k+1}{k}}. \quad (2.10)$$

Equality holds if and only if $\kappa = c(1, \dots, 1)$ for some constant $c > 0$.

2.2. Evolution equation. Let $X_0 : \mathbb{S}^{n-1} \rightarrow M^n(K)$ be a smooth, closed star-shaped hypersurface. If $K = 1$, we also assume X_0 lies in the open hemisphere \mathbb{S}_+^n . We consider the flow $X : \mathbb{S}^{n-1} \times [0, T) \rightarrow M^n(K)$ satisfying

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} X = F\nu, \quad (2.11)$$

where the speed function F depends on the curvature and the position of $\Sigma_t = X(\mathbb{S}^{n-1}, t)$. The following lemma gives a variational equation of the weighted curvature integral along the flow (2.11). See Reilly [27, Theorem A] for a general variational formula in the Euclidean space for curvature functions involving potential Φ and support function.

Lemma 2.4. *For $n \geq 2$, along the flow (2.11), we have*

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{d}{dt} \int_{\Sigma_t} g(\Phi) \sigma_k d\mu_t \\ &= \int_{\Sigma} \left(g'(\Phi) u \sigma_k - g'(\Phi) \nabla_i \left(T_{k-1}^{ij} \nabla_j \Phi \right) - g''(\Phi) T_{k-1}^{ij} \nabla_i \Phi \nabla_j \Phi \right. \\ & \quad \left. + (k+1)g(\Phi) \sigma_{k+1} - (n-k)Kg(\Phi) \sigma_{k-1} \right) F d\mu_t \end{aligned} \quad (2.12)$$

for $k = 1, \dots, n-1$. Furthermore, for the mean curvature case $k = 1$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{d}{dt} \left(\int_{\Sigma_t} g(\Phi) H d\mu_t + (n-1)A_g(\Omega_t) \right) \\ &= \int_{\Sigma_t} \left(2g'(\Phi) u H + 2g(\Phi) \sigma_2(\kappa) - g''(\Phi) |\nabla \Phi|^2 \right) F d\mu_t. \end{aligned} \quad (2.13)$$

By convention, $\sigma_k = 0$ for $k > n-1$.

Proof. By the definition of Φ , we first have that along (2.11)

$$\partial_t \Phi = \langle \phi(r) \partial_r, \partial_t X \rangle = Fu.$$

The evolution of Weingarten tensor h_i^j and area form $d\mu_t$ is well known (see [2, Theorem 3-15]):

$$\begin{aligned}\partial_t h_i^j &= -\nabla^j \nabla_i F - F \left((h^2)_i^j + K \delta_i^j \right) \\ \partial_t d\mu_t &= F \sigma_1 d\mu_t.\end{aligned}$$

Then using Lemma 2.1 and the divergence-free property of T_{k-1}^{ij} , we have

$$\begin{aligned}& \frac{d}{dt} \int_{\Sigma_t} g(\Phi) \sigma_k d\mu_t \\ &= \int_{\Sigma_t} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} g(\Phi) \right) \sigma_k d\mu_t + \int_{\Sigma_t} g(\Phi) \frac{\partial \sigma_k}{\partial t} d\mu_t + \int_{\Sigma_t} g(\Phi) \sigma_k \sigma_1 F d\mu_t \\ &= \int_{\Sigma_t} g'(\Phi) u F \sigma_k d\mu_t + \int_{\Sigma_t} g(\Phi) T_{k-1}^{ij} \left(-\nabla_i \nabla_j F - F \left((h^2)_{ij} + K g_{ij} \right) \right) d\mu_t \\ &\quad + \int_{\Sigma_t} g(\Phi) F \sigma_1 \sigma_k d\mu_t \\ &= \int_{\Sigma} \left(g'(\Phi) u \sigma_k - \nabla_i \left(T_{k-1}^{ij} \nabla_j g(\Phi) \right) + g(\Phi) \left(\sigma_1 \sigma_k - T_{k-1}^{ij} \left((h^2)_{ij} + K g_{ij} \right) \right) \right) F d\mu_t \\ &= \int_{\Sigma} \left(g'(\Phi) u \sigma_k - g'(\Phi) \nabla_i \left(T_{k-1}^{ij} \nabla_j \Phi \right) - g''(\Phi) T_{k-1}^{ij} \nabla_i \Phi \nabla_j \Phi \right. \\ &\quad \left. + (k+1)g(\Phi) \sigma_{k+1} - (n-k)Kg(\Phi) \sigma_{k-1} \right) F d\mu_t.\end{aligned}\tag{2.14}$$

This proves the equation (2.12).

For $k=1$, using (2.7), we can further rewrite the above equation and obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{d}{dt} \int_{\Sigma_t} g(\Phi) H d\mu_t &= \int_{\Sigma} \left(2g'(\Phi) u H + 2g(\Phi) \sigma_2 - g''(\Phi) |\nabla \Phi|^2 \right. \\ &\quad \left. - (n-1) \left(\phi' g'(\Phi) + g(\Phi) K \right) \right) F d\mu_t.\end{aligned}\tag{2.15}$$

By the definition (1.8) of A_g , the co-area formula implies that

$$\frac{d}{dt} A_g(\Omega_t) = \int_{\Sigma_t} \left(g'(\Phi) \phi' + Kg(\Phi) \right) F d\mu_t.\tag{2.16}$$

Combining (2.15) and (2.16) gives (2.13). \square

3. WEIGHTED ALEXANDROV-FENCHEL TYPE INEQUALITIES IN \mathbb{R}^n

In this section, we first consider the Euclidean space case. We prove Theorem 1.1 on the weighted Alexandrov-Fenchel type inequality with convex weight for hypersurfaces in \mathbb{R}^n .

For convenience of notations, in this section we use the normalized k th mean curvature $H_k = \binom{n-1}{k}^{-1} \sigma_k$. We will use the normalized inverse mean curvature flow

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} X = \left(\frac{H_{k-1}}{H_k} - u \right) \nu\tag{3.1}$$

to prove (1.4). This flow is equivalent to the inverse curvature flow

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} X = \frac{H_{k-1}}{H_k} \nu$$

introduced by Gerhardts [12] and Urbas [31], up to a rescaling. If the initial hypersurface is star-shaped and k -convex, the flow (3.1) converges to a round sphere as $t \rightarrow \infty$. Note that when $n = 2$, a closed convex curve in \mathbb{R}^2 is star-shaped with respect to some point centered inside the enclosed domain and is k -convex for $k = n - 1 = 1$.

In the Euclidean space, $\phi'(r) = 1$, then (2.7) is equivalent to

$$\nabla_i \left(T_{k-1}^{ij} \nabla_j \Phi \right) = k \binom{n-1}{k} (H_{k-1} - uH_k). \quad (3.2)$$

Proof of Theorem 1.1. By the general evolution equation (2.12) and noting that the ambient curvature $K = 0$ in \mathbb{R}^n , along the flow (3.1), we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{d}{dt} \int_{\Sigma_t} g(\Phi) H_k d\mu_t \\ &= \int_{\Sigma_t} \left(g'(\Phi) u H_k - \frac{1}{\binom{n-1}{k}} g'(\Phi) \nabla_i \left(T_{k-1}^{ij} \nabla_j \Phi \right) \right. \\ & \quad \left. - \frac{1}{\binom{n-1}{k}} g''(\Phi) T_{k-1}^{ij} \nabla_i \Phi \nabla_j \Phi + (n-1-k) g(\Phi) H_{k+1} \right) \times \left(\frac{H_{k-1}}{H_k} - u \right) d\mu_t \\ &= \int_{\Sigma_t} \left(k g'(\Phi) u (H_{k-1} - uH_k) d\mu_t - (k-1) \int_{\Sigma_t} g'(\Phi) H_{k-1} \left(\frac{H_{k-1}}{H_k} - u \right) d\mu_t \right. \\ & \quad \left. - \int_{\Sigma_t} \frac{g'(\Phi)}{H_k} (H_{k-1} - uH_k)^2 d\mu_t \right. \\ & \quad \left. - \frac{1}{\binom{n-1}{k}} \int_{\Sigma_t} g''(\Phi) T_{k-1}^{ij} \nabla_i \Phi \nabla_j \Phi \left(\frac{H_{k-1}}{H_k} - u \right) d\mu_t \right. \\ & \quad \left. + (n-1-k) \int_{\Sigma_t} g(\Phi) H_{k+1} \left(\frac{H_{k-1}}{H_k} - u \right) d\mu_t \right) \\ &= I + II + III + IV + V, \end{aligned} \quad (3.3)$$

where in the second equality we used (3.2). Notice that we have $\sigma_k = 0$ for $k > n - 1$. This includes the case $n = 2$. We estimate the five terms I, \dots, V separately. Since $g' \geq 0$ and $H_k > 0$ on Σ_t , the third term III is clearly non-positive. Using (3.2) and (2.5), we can estimate the first term I as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} I &= \frac{1}{\binom{n-1}{k}} \int_{\Sigma_t} g' u \nabla_i \left(T_{k-1}^{ij} \nabla_j \Phi \right) \\ &= - \frac{1}{\binom{n-1}{k}} \int_{\Sigma_t} T_{k-1}^{ij} \nabla_i \Phi \left(g'' u \nabla_j \Phi + g' h_j^k \nabla_k \Phi \right) \\ &= - \frac{1}{\binom{n-1}{k}} \int_{\Sigma_t} u g'' T_{k-1}^{ij} \nabla_i \Phi \nabla_j \Phi - \frac{1}{\binom{n-1}{k}} \int_{\Sigma_t} g' T_{k-1}^{ij} h_j^k \nabla_i \Phi \nabla_k \Phi. \end{aligned}$$

Since the Newton operator satisfies

$$T_k^{ij} = \sigma_k g^{ij} - T_{k-1}^{ik} h_k^j,$$

we can rewrite the second term and obtain

$$\begin{aligned} I &= -\frac{1}{\binom{n-1}{k}} \int_{\Sigma_t} u g'' T_{k-1}^{ij} \nabla_i \Phi \nabla_j \Phi - \frac{1}{\binom{n-1}{k}} \int_{\Sigma_t} g'(\Phi) \sigma_k |\nabla \Phi|^2 d\mu_t \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{\binom{n-1}{k}} \int_{\Sigma_t} g'(\Phi) T_k^{ij} \nabla_i \Phi \nabla_j \Phi d\mu_t. \end{aligned}$$

As T_k is divergence free, by integration by parts and using (3.2), we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{1}{\binom{n-1}{k}} \int_{\Sigma_t} g'(\Phi) T_k^{ij} \nabla_i \Phi \nabla_j \Phi \\ &= \frac{1}{\binom{n-1}{k}} \int_{\Sigma_t} T_k^{ij} \nabla_i g(\Phi) \nabla_j \Phi \\ &= -\frac{1}{\binom{n-1}{k}} \int_{\Sigma_t} g(\Phi) \nabla_i (T_k^{ij} \nabla_j \Phi) \\ &= -(n-1-k) \int_{\Sigma_t} g(\Phi) (H_k - u H_{k+1}). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} I &= -\frac{1}{\binom{n-1}{k}} \int_{\Sigma_t} u g'' T_{k-1}^{ij} \nabla_i \Phi \nabla_j \Phi - \frac{1}{\binom{n-1}{k}} \int_{\Sigma_t} g'(\Phi) \sigma_k |\nabla \Phi|^2 d\mu_t \\ &\quad - (n-1-k) \int_{\Sigma_t} g(\Phi) (H_k - u H_{k+1}). \end{aligned} \tag{3.4}$$

When $k = 1$, the second term II vanishes. When $k > 1$, by the Newton-MacLaurin inequality (2.9) and noting that $g' \geq 0$, the second term satisfies

$$II \leq -(k-1) \int_{\Sigma_t} g'(\Phi) (H_{k-2} - u H_{k-1}) \tag{3.5}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &= -\frac{1}{\binom{n-1}{k-1}} \int_{\Sigma_t} g'(\Phi) \nabla_i (T_{k-2}^{ij} \nabla_j \Phi) \\ &= \frac{1}{\binom{n-1}{k-1}} \int_{\Sigma_t} g''(\Phi) T_{k-2}^{ij} \nabla_i \Phi \nabla_j \Phi. \end{aligned} \tag{3.6}$$

Since $g \geq 0$, the Newton-MacLaurin inequality (2.9) also implies that

$$V \leq (n-1-k) \int_{\Sigma_t} g(\Phi) (H_k - u H_{k+1}) d\mu_t. \tag{3.7}$$

Combining the above equations, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt} \int_{\Sigma_t} g(\Phi) H_k d\mu_t &\leq -\frac{1}{\binom{n-1}{k}} \int_{\Sigma_t} g'(\Phi) \sigma_k |\nabla \Phi|^2 d\mu_t \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{\binom{n-1}{k-1}} \int_{\Sigma_t} g''(\Phi) T_{k-2}^{ij} \nabla_i \Phi \nabla_j \Phi \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& - \frac{1}{\binom{n-1}{k}} \int_{\Sigma_t} g''(\Phi) T_{k-1}^{ij} \nabla_i \Phi \nabla_j \Phi \frac{H_{k-1}}{H_k} d\mu_t \\
& \leq - \int_{\Sigma_t} g''(\Phi) \left(\frac{1}{\binom{n-1}{k}} T_{k-1}^{ij} \frac{H_{k-1}}{H_k} - \frac{1}{\binom{n-1}{k-1}} T_{k-2}^{ij} \right) \nabla_i \Phi \nabla_j \Phi d\mu_t \\
& = \int_{\Sigma_t} g''(\Phi) \frac{H_{k-1}^2}{H_k} g^{js} \frac{\partial}{\partial h_i^s} \left(\frac{H_k}{H_{k-1}} \right) \nabla_i \Phi \nabla_j \Phi d\mu_t \\
& \leq 0,
\end{aligned}$$

which follows from the fact that $H_k > 0$, $g' \geq 0$, $g'' \geq 0$ and that H_k/H_{k-1} is strictly increasing with respect to the Weingarten tensor h_i^j . This means that $\int_{\Sigma_t} g(\Phi) \sigma_k d\mu_t$ is strictly decreasing along the flow (3.1) unless Σ_t is totally umbilic.

On the other hand, by the evolution equation of the quermassintegral $W_\ell(\Omega_t) = \int_{\Sigma_t} \sigma_\ell d\mu_t$ (a special case of the equation (2.12)), we have for $\ell = 0, 1, \dots, k-1$,

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{d}{dt} W_\ell(\Omega_t) &= (\ell+1) \int_{\Sigma_t} \sigma_{\ell+1} \left(\frac{H_{k-1}}{H_k} - u \right) d\mu_t \\
&= (\ell+1) \binom{n-1}{\ell+1} \int_{\Sigma_t} \left(\frac{H_{\ell+1} H_{k-1}}{H_k} - u H_{\ell+1} \right) d\mu_t \\
&\geq (\ell+1) \binom{n-1}{\ell+1} \int_{\Sigma_t} (H_\ell - u H_{\ell+1}) d\mu_t \\
&= \int_{\Sigma_t} \nabla_i \left(T_\ell^{ij} \nabla_j \Phi \right) d\mu_t \\
&= 0
\end{aligned}$$

along the flow (3.1), where we used (3.2) and the Newton-MacLaurin inequality (2.9). For $\ell = -1$, by the coarea formula and the Heintze-Karcher inequality [28], we also have

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{d}{dt} W_{-1}(\Omega_t) &= \int_{\Sigma_t} \left(\frac{H_{k-1}}{H_k} - u \right) d\mu_t \\
&\geq \int_{\Sigma_t} \left(\frac{n-1}{H} - u \right) d\mu_t \\
&\geq 0.
\end{aligned}$$

That is, $W_\ell(\Omega_t)$, $\ell = -1, 0, \dots, k-1$ are all non-decreasing along the flow (3.1).

Since the flow converges to a round sphere $S^{n-1}(r)$ as $t \rightarrow \infty$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
W_\ell(\Omega) &\leq \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} W_\ell(\Omega_t) = \binom{n-1}{\ell} \omega_{n-1} r^{n-1-\ell} =: \xi(r) \\
\int_{\Sigma} g(\Phi) \sigma_k d\mu &\geq \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Sigma_t} g(\Phi) \sigma_k d\mu_t = \binom{n-1}{k} \omega_{n-1} g\left(\frac{r^2}{2}\right) r^{n-k-1} =: \chi(r).
\end{aligned}$$

Both $\xi(r)$ and $\chi(r)$ are strictly increasing in r and so the inverse ξ^{-1} of $\xi(r)$ is well defined. Combining the above two inequalities, we have

$$\int_{\Sigma} g(\Phi) \sigma_k d\mu \geq \chi \circ \xi^{-1} \left(W_\ell(\Omega) \right).$$

This proves the inequality (1.4).

If the equality holds, from the proof of the monotonicity, Σ_t is totally umbilic for all t , implying that Σ is a round sphere. Furthermore, when either g is strictly increasing or g is strictly convex, we see that $|\nabla\Phi|$ vanishes identically and so Σ is a round sphere centered at the origin. \square

4. WEIGHTED MINKOWSKI TYPE INEQUALITIES IN SPACE FORMS

In this section, we will focus on the Minkowski type inequalities with general convex weight in space forms.

4.1. 2-dimensional case. We first treat the case $n = 2$, the boundary of a smooth bounded domain $\Omega \subset M^2(K)$ is a smooth closed curve $\gamma = \partial\Omega$. Assuming $0 \in \Omega$, we denote by γ_t the evolution of γ under the flow (1.10), with Ω_t representing the region bounded by γ_t .

Lemma 4.1. *Suppose $g : [0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is C^2 such that $g' \geq 0$ and $g'' \geq 0$, then the quantity*

$$\int_{\gamma_t} g(\Phi)\kappa ds + A_g(\Omega_t)$$

is monotone decreasing along the flow (1.10).

Proof. By results of [24], the flow (1.10) preserves convexity and $0 \in \Omega_t$. A special case of (2.13) gives

$$\frac{d}{dt} \left(\int_{\gamma_t} g(\Phi)\kappa ds + A_g(\Omega_t) \right) = \int_{\gamma_t} \left(2g'(\Phi)u\kappa - g''(\Phi)|\nabla\Phi|^2 \right) F ds, \quad (4.1)$$

where s denotes the arc-length of the curves γ_t . Letting $F = \frac{\phi'}{\kappa} - u$ in (4.1) and using

$$\Delta(g(\Phi)) = g''(\Phi)|\nabla\Phi|^2 + g'(\Phi)\Delta\Phi,$$

we have that along the flow (1.10),

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt} \left[\int_{\gamma_t} g(\Phi)\kappa ds + A_g(\Omega_t) \right] &= \int_{\gamma_t} 2g'(\Phi)u(\phi' - \kappa u) ds - \int_{\gamma_t} g''(\Phi)|\nabla\Phi|^2 \frac{\phi'}{\kappa} ds \\ &\quad + \int_{\gamma_t} g''(\Phi)|\nabla\Phi|^2 u ds \\ &\leq \int_{\gamma_t} 2g'(\Phi)u(\phi' - \kappa u) ds + \int_{\gamma_t} g''(\Phi)|\nabla\Phi|^2 u ds \\ &= \int_{\gamma_t} 2g'(\Phi)u\Delta\Phi ds + \int_{\gamma_t} g''(\Phi)|\nabla\Phi|^2 u ds \\ &= \int_{\gamma_t} g'(\Phi)u\Delta\Phi ds + \int_{\gamma_t} \Delta(g(\Phi))u ds \\ &= \int_{\gamma_t} g'(\Phi)u\Delta\Phi ds - \int_{\gamma_t} \langle \nabla(g(\Phi)), \nabla u \rangle ds \\ &= - \int_{\gamma_t} g''(\Phi)u|\nabla\Phi|^2 ds - 2 \int_{\gamma_t} g'(\Phi)\langle \nabla u, \nabla\Phi \rangle ds \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= - \int_{\gamma_t} g''(\Phi)u|\nabla\Phi|^2 ds - 2 \int_{\gamma_t} g'(\Phi)\kappa|\nabla\Phi|^2 ds \\
&\leq 0,
\end{aligned}$$

where we have used $\nabla u = \kappa\nabla\Phi$ in the last equality. \square

Proof of Theorem 1.3. By Lemma 4.1, we only have to compute the limit of $\int_{\gamma_t} g(\Phi)\kappa ds + A_g(\Omega_t)$. Denote the length of the initial curve by L . By results of [24], the flow (1.10) is length preserving and γ_t converges to a circle $\gamma_\infty = \partial\Omega_\infty$ centered at the origin of radius $\rho_\infty = \phi^{-1}\left(\frac{L}{2\pi}\right)$, with $\kappa = \frac{\sqrt{4\pi^2 - KL^2}}{L}$, and

$$A_g(\Omega_\infty) = 2\pi \int_0^{\rho_\infty} [g'(\Phi(r))\phi(r)\phi'(r)dr + Kg(\Phi(r))\phi(r)] dr.$$

We compute

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_0^{\rho_\infty} g'(\Phi)\phi\phi' dr &= \int_0^{\rho_\infty} (g(\Phi(r)))' \phi' dr \\
&= - \int_0^{\rho_\infty} g(\Phi)\phi'' dr + g(\Phi(\rho_\infty))\phi'(\rho_\infty) - g(0) \\
&= \int_0^{\rho_\infty} Kg(\Phi)\phi dr + g(\Phi(\rho_\infty))\phi'(\rho_\infty) - g(0).
\end{aligned}$$

Let $G(r) := \int_0^r g(t)dt$. By a direct calculation, $\phi'(\rho_\infty) = \phi'\left(\phi^{-1}\left(\frac{L}{2\pi}\right)\right) = \sqrt{1 - \frac{KL^2}{4\pi^2}}$. So

$$\begin{aligned}
A_g[\Omega_\infty] &= 4\pi \int_0^{\rho_\infty} Kg(\Phi)\phi dr + g(\Phi(\rho_\infty))\sqrt{4\pi^2 - KL^2} - 2\pi g(0) \\
&= 4\pi KG(\Phi(\rho_\infty)) + g(\Phi(\rho_\infty))\sqrt{4\pi^2 - KL^2} - 2\pi g(0).
\end{aligned}$$

From this,

$$\int_{\gamma_\infty} g(\Phi)\kappa ds + A_g(\Omega_\infty) = 2g(\Phi(\rho_\infty))\sqrt{4\pi^2 - KL^2} - 2\pi g(0) + 4\pi KG(\Phi(\rho_\infty)).$$

Define $A(l)$ to be the area of the geodesic ball in $M^2(K)$ whose circumference is l , then $A(l) = 2\pi\Phi \circ \phi^{-1}\left(\frac{l}{2\pi}\right)$. Therefore, we get the inequality

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_{\gamma} g(\Phi)\kappa ds + A_g(\Omega) &\geq \int_{\gamma_\infty} g(\Phi)\kappa ds + A_g(\Omega_\infty) \\
&= 2g\left(\frac{A(L)}{2\pi}\right)\sqrt{4\pi^2 - KL^2} - 2\pi g(0) + 4\pi KG\left(\frac{A(L)}{2\pi}\right).
\end{aligned}$$

If g is either strictly increasing or strictly convex, and the equality holds, then from the proof of Lemma 4.1, we conclude that Φ is constant, and thus γ is a geodesic circle centered at the origin. \square

4.2. The hyperbolic space as the ambient space. In this section, we prove the weighted Minkowski inequality for h -convex hypersurfaces in the hyperbolic space \mathbb{H}^n . The case $n = 2$ has been treated in Section 4.1. We only focus on $n \geq 3$ in this section.

By (2.13), along the flow (1.13), the left hand side of (1.11) evolves by

$$\begin{aligned}
& \frac{d}{dt} \left(\int_{\Sigma_t} g(\Phi) H d\mu_t + (n-1) A_g(\Omega_t) \right) \\
&= 2 \int_{\Sigma_t} g(\Phi) \sigma_2 \left(\frac{\phi'}{H} - \frac{u}{n-1} \right) d\mu_t + 2 \int_{\Sigma_t} g' u \left(\phi' - \frac{uH}{n-1} \right) d\mu_t \\
&\quad - \int_{\Sigma_t} g'' |\nabla \Phi|^2 \left(\frac{\phi'}{H} - \frac{u}{n-1} \right) d\mu_t \\
&= I + II + III.
\end{aligned} \tag{4.2}$$

We estimate the three terms separately. Since $g \geq 0$, by the Newton-MacLaurin inequality (2.10), the first term I satisfies

$$I \leq \frac{1}{n-1} \int_{\Sigma_t} g(\Phi) ((n-2)\phi'H - 2u\sigma_2) d\mu_t.$$

Using (2.5) and (2.6), we can estimate the second term II as following

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{1}{2} II &= \frac{1}{n-1} \int_{\Sigma_t} g' u ((n-1)\phi' - uH) \\
&= \frac{1}{n-1} \int_{\Sigma_t} g' u \Delta \Phi \\
&= -\frac{1}{n-1} \int_{\Sigma_t} \langle \nabla_i \Phi, g'' u \nabla_i \Phi + g' h_i^j \nabla_j \Phi \rangle \\
&= -\frac{1}{n-1} \int_{\Sigma_t} u g'' |\nabla \Phi|^2 - \frac{1}{n-1} \int_{\Sigma_t} g' h_s^i g^{sj} \nabla_j \Phi \nabla_i \Phi.
\end{aligned}$$

Using $T_1^{ij} = \frac{\partial \sigma_2}{\partial h_{ij}} = \sigma_1 g^{ij} - h_s^i g^{sj}$, we can rewrite the second term and obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{1}{2} II &= -\frac{1}{n-1} \int_{\Sigma_t} u g'' |\nabla \Phi|^2 - \frac{1}{n-1} \int_{\Sigma_t} g' (\sigma_1 g^{ij} - T_1^{ij}) \nabla_i \Phi \nabla_j \Phi \\
&= -\frac{1}{n-1} \int_{\Sigma_t} u g'' |\nabla \Phi|^2 - \frac{1}{n-1} \int_{\Sigma_t} g' \sigma_1 |\nabla \Phi|^2 + \frac{1}{n-1} \int_{\Sigma_t} g' T_1^{ij} \nabla_i \Phi \nabla_j \Phi.
\end{aligned}$$

As T_1 is divergence free,

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{1}{n-1} \int_{\Sigma_t} g' T_1^{ij} \nabla_i \Phi \nabla_j \Phi &= \frac{1}{n-1} \int_{\Sigma_t} T_1^{ij} \nabla_i g \nabla_j \Phi \\
&= -\frac{1}{n-1} \int_{\Sigma_t} g \nabla_i (T_1^{ij} \nabla_j \Phi) \\
&= -\frac{1}{n-1} \int_{\Sigma_t} g ((n-2)\phi'H - 2u\sigma_2).
\end{aligned}$$

Combining the above equations, we arrive at

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{d}{dt} \left(\int_{\Sigma_t} g(\Phi) H d\mu_t + (n-1) A_g(\Omega_t) \right) &= I + \frac{1}{2} II + \frac{1}{2} II + III \\
&\leq -\frac{1}{n-1} \int_{\Sigma_t} u g'' |\nabla \Phi|^2 - \frac{1}{n-1} \int_{\Sigma_t} g' h_s^i g^{sj} \nabla_j \Phi \nabla_i \Phi
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& -\frac{1}{n-1} \int_{\Sigma_t} g' \sigma_1 |\nabla \Phi|^2 - \frac{1}{n-1} \int_{\Sigma} g'' |\nabla \Phi|^2 \frac{\phi'}{H} \\
& \leq 0,
\end{aligned}$$

which follows from the fact that $g' \geq 0, g'' \geq 0$ and Σ_t is h -convex.

On the other hand, the area of Σ_t is fixed along the flow (1.13). Applying the convergence result of the flow (1.13), we obtain the inequality (1.11). The equality case can be treated similarly as in Theorem 1.1.

4.3. The sphere as the ambient space. In this section, we prove the weighted Minkowski inequality in the sphere. By the general evolution equation (2.13), along the flow (1.14), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \frac{d}{dt} \left(\int_{\Sigma_t} g(\Phi) H d\mu_t + (n-1) A_g(\Omega_t) \right) \\
& = 2 \int_{\Sigma_t} g(\Phi) \sigma_2 \left(\frac{n-1}{H} - \frac{u}{\phi'} \right) d\mu_t + 2 \int_{\Sigma_t} g'(\Phi) u H \left(\frac{n-1}{H} - \frac{u}{\phi'} \right) d\mu_t \\
& \quad - \int_{\Sigma_t} g''(\Phi) |\nabla \Phi|^2 \left(\frac{n-1}{H} - \frac{u}{\phi'} \right) d\mu_t \\
& = I + II + III.
\end{aligned}$$

We estimate the three terms separately. By the Newton-MacLaurin inequality (2.10) and (2.7), the first term satisfies

$$I \leq \int_{\Sigma_t} \frac{g(\Phi)}{\phi'} \left((n-2)\phi' \sigma_1 - 2u\sigma_2 \right) d\mu_t = \int_{\Sigma_t} \frac{g(\Phi)}{\phi'} \nabla_i \left(T_1^{ij} \nabla_j \Phi \right).$$

By (2.5), (2.6) and integration by parts,

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{1}{2} II & = \int_{\Sigma_t} \frac{g'u}{\phi'} \left((n-1)\phi' - uH \right) \\
& = \int_{\Sigma_t} \frac{g'u}{\phi'} \Delta \Phi \\
& = - \int_{\Sigma_t} \left\langle \nabla_i \Phi, \frac{g'}{\phi'} h_i^j \nabla_j \Phi - \frac{ug'}{\phi'^2} \nabla_i \phi' + \frac{ug''}{\phi'} \nabla_i \Phi \right\rangle \\
& = - \int_{\Sigma_t} \left(\sum_{i=1}^m \frac{g' \kappa_i}{\phi'} |\nabla_i \Phi|^2 + \frac{ug'}{\phi'^2} |\nabla \Phi|^2 + \frac{ug''}{\phi'} |\nabla \Phi|^2 \right),
\end{aligned}$$

where we used $\phi' + \Phi = 1$ in the sphere and so $\nabla_i \phi' = -\nabla_i \Phi$.

Using $T_1^{ij} = \sigma_1 g^{ij} - h_s^i g^{sj}$ and the fact T_1^{ij} is divergence free, we can rewrite the first term and obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{1}{2} II & = - \int_{\Sigma_t} \left(\frac{g'}{\phi'} \left(\sigma_1 g^{ij} - T_1^{ij} \right) \nabla_i \Phi \nabla_j \Phi + \frac{ug'}{\phi'^2} |\nabla \Phi|^2 + \frac{ug''}{\phi'} |\nabla \Phi|^2 \right) \\
& = - \int_{\Sigma_t} \frac{g'}{\phi'} \sigma_1 |\nabla \Phi|^2 + \int_{\Sigma_t} \frac{1}{\phi'} T_1^{ij} \nabla_i g \nabla_j \Phi + \int_{\Sigma_t} \left(-\frac{ug'}{\phi'^2} |\nabla \Phi|^2 - \frac{ug''}{\phi'} |\nabla \Phi|^2 \right) \\
& = - \int_{\Sigma_t} \frac{g'}{\phi'} \sigma_1 |\nabla \Phi|^2 - \int_{\Sigma_t} \frac{g}{\phi'} \nabla_i \left(T_1^{ij} \nabla_j \Phi \right) + \int_{\Sigma_t} \frac{g}{\phi'^2} T_1^{ij} \nabla_i \phi' \nabla_j \Phi
\end{aligned}$$

$$+ \int_{\Sigma_t} \left(-\frac{ug'}{\phi'^2} |\nabla\Phi|^2 - \frac{ug''}{\phi'} |\nabla\Phi|^2 \right)$$

Combining the above equations, we arrive at

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{d}{dt} \left(\int_{\Sigma_t} g(\Phi) H d\mu_t + (n-1) A_g(\Omega_t) \right) \\ &= I + \frac{1}{2} II + \frac{1}{2} II + III \\ &\leq - \int_{\Sigma_t} \left(\sum_{i=1}^m \frac{g' \kappa_i}{\phi'} |\nabla_i \Phi|^2 + \frac{ug'}{\phi'^2} |\nabla\Phi|^2 + \frac{ug''}{\phi'} |\nabla\Phi|^2 \right) \\ &\quad - \int_{\Sigma_t} \frac{g'}{\phi'} \sigma_1 |\nabla\Phi|^2 - \int_{\Sigma_t} \frac{g}{\phi'^2} T_1^{ij} \nabla_i \Phi \nabla_j \Phi \\ &\quad - \int_{\Sigma_t} \frac{ug'}{\phi'^2} |\nabla\Phi|^2 - \int_{\Sigma_t} g'' |\nabla\Phi|^2 \frac{n-1}{H} \\ &\leq 0. \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, the coarea formula implies that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt} \int_{\Omega_t} \phi' dv &= \int_{\Sigma_t} \phi' \left(\frac{n-1}{H} - \frac{u}{\phi'} \right) d\mu_t \\ &= \int_{\Sigma_t} \left(\frac{(n-1)\phi'}{H} - u \right) d\mu_t \\ &\geq 0, \end{aligned}$$

where the inequality is due to the Heitze-Karcher inequality in \mathbb{S}^n proved by Brendle [5]. Then Theorem 1.6 follows from the monotonicity and convergence of the flow (1.14).

Remark 4.2. By Girão and Pinheiro [13],

$$\int_{\Omega} \phi' dv \leq \frac{1}{n} \omega_{n-1} \left(\frac{|\Sigma|}{\omega_{n-1}} \right)^{\frac{n}{n-1}}$$

for strictly convex domain in \mathbb{S}^n . It would be interesting to prove (1.12) with $\int_{\Omega} \phi' dv$ replaced by $|\Sigma|$.

5. APPLICATIONS OF WEIGHTED INEQUALITIES TO EIGENVALUE ESTIMATES

In this section, we demonstrate a series of eigenvalue estimates derived by applying Theorem 1.3 and its generalization as established by the authors in [23]. Specifically, we provide a sharp upper bound for the first positive eigenvalue of an operator involving the curvature H_k .

Let us now describe the problem. Let Σ be a smooth, closed, k -convex hypersurface in \mathbb{R}^n . Consider the eigenvalue problem associated with the positive second-order differential operator $-\frac{1}{H_k} \Delta$ on Σ :

$$-\Delta f = \lambda H_k f,$$

where H_k is the normalized k th mean curvature defined in (2.8). When $k = 0$, this is just the eigenvalue problem for the classical Laplace-Beltrami operator on Σ . By classical

spectral theory, the eigenvalues satisfy $0 = \lambda_0 < \lambda_1 < \lambda_2 < \dots \rightarrow \infty$, where multiplicities are ignored. Our objective is to establish a sharp upper bound for λ_1 using weighted inequalities developed in our work. This problem can be recast in a variational framework: λ_1 is characterized as the minimum of the Dirichlet energy $\int_{\Sigma} |\nabla f|^2 d\mu$, subject to the constraint $\int_{\Sigma} H_k f d\mu = 0$ and the normalization $\int_{\Sigma} H_k f^2 d\mu = 1$. Explicitly, we have

$$\lambda_1 = \min \left\{ \frac{\int_{\Sigma} |\nabla f|^2 d\mu}{\int_{\Sigma} H_k f^2 d\mu} : \int_{\Sigma} H_k f d\mu = 0 \right\}. \quad (5.1)$$

To tackle this eigenvalue problem, we turn our attention to Theorem 1.3. This theorem vastly generalizes the two-dimensional result previously established with G. Wheeler and V.-M. Wheeler [24, Theorem 1.2]. In the \mathbb{R}^2 setting, the result states that for a smooth convex domain $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^2$,

$$\int_{\partial\Omega} \kappa \frac{r^2}{2} ds \geq \frac{1}{2\pi} (|\partial\Omega|^2 - 2\pi|\Omega|),$$

which follows as a special case of Theorem 1.3 by choosing $g(x) = x$. This inequality extends to higher dimensions by the authors [23, Theorem 1.3] in the following form:

$$\int_{\Sigma} H_k \frac{r^2}{2} d\mu + \frac{k}{n-k+1} \int_{\Sigma} H_{k-2} d\mu \geq \frac{n+1+k}{2(n+1-k)} \omega_{n-1}^{-\frac{1}{n-k}} \left(\int_{\Sigma} H_{k-1} d\mu \right)^{\frac{n+1-k}{n-k}}, \quad (5.2)$$

where Σ is a k -convex hypersurface in \mathbb{R}^n . Equality holds if and only if Σ is a coordinate sphere.

In our earlier work [23], a weighted inequality different from (5.2) was employed to derive a sharp estimate for the first non-zero Steklov eigenvalue for star-shaped mean-convex domains in \mathbb{R}^n , generalizing the classical Weinstock inequality. Here, we demonstrate how inequality (5.2) can be utilized to obtain a sharp upper bound for the first non-zero eigenvalue λ_1 of the operator $-\frac{\Delta}{H_k}$ on k -convex hypersurfaces in \mathbb{R}^n .

Theorem 5.1. *Let Σ be a smooth, closed, star-shaped, and k -convex hypersurface in \mathbb{R}^n , where $n \geq 2$ and $1 \leq k \leq n-1$. Then the first non-zero eigenvalue λ_1 of the operator $-\frac{1}{H_k}\Delta$ on Σ satisfies*

$$\lambda_1 \leq \frac{1}{2}(n-1)|\Sigma| \left[\frac{n+1+k}{2(n+1-k)} \omega_{n-1}^{-\frac{1}{n-k}} \left(\int_{\Sigma} H_{k-1} d\mu \right)^{\frac{n+1-k}{n-k}} - \frac{k}{n-k+1} \int_{\Sigma} H_{k-2} d\mu \right]^{-1}.$$

Equality holds if and only if Σ is a sphere.

Proof. Since $H_k > 0$, we can assume, after a suitable translation, that the weighted center of mass satisfies $\int_{\Sigma} H_k x d\mu = 0$, where $x = (x_1, \dots, x_n)$ is the position vector. Consequently, by (5.1), for each $i = 1, \dots, n$,

$$\int_{\Sigma} |\nabla x_i|^2 d\mu \geq \lambda_1 \int_{\Sigma} H_k x_i^2 d\mu.$$

Summing over $i = 1, \dots, n$ and applying inequality (5.2), we have

$$\begin{aligned} (n-1)|\Sigma| &= \int_{\Sigma} \sum_{i=1}^n |\nabla x_i|^2 d\mu \\ &\geq \lambda_1 \int_{\Sigma} H_k r^2 d\mu \\ &\geq 2\lambda_1 \left[\frac{n+1+k}{2(n+1-k)} \omega_{n-1}^{-\frac{1}{n-k}} \left(\int_{\Sigma} H_{k-1} d\mu \right)^{\frac{n+1-k}{n-k}} - \frac{k}{n-k+1} \int_{\Sigma} H_{k-2} d\mu \right]. \end{aligned}$$

Rearranging yields the desired result. The case of equality follows directly from the equality condition of (5.2). \square

In the two-dimensional case with $k = 1$, this result assumes a particularly elegant geometric form. Specifically, for a smooth, closed, convex curve γ in \mathbb{R}^2 , the first non-zero eigenvalue λ_1 of the operator $-\frac{1}{\kappa}\Delta$ satisfies

$$\lambda_1 \leq \frac{\pi L}{L^2 - 2\pi A},$$

where L denotes the length of γ and A represents the area enclosed by γ . Equality holds if and only if γ is a circle.

REFERENCES

- [1] Virginia Agostiniani, Mattia Fogagnolo, and Lorenzo Mazzieri, *Minkowski inequalities via nonlinear potential theory*, Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal. **244** (2022), no. 1, 51–85.
- [2] Ben Andrews, *Contraction of convex hypersurfaces in Riemannian spaces*, J. Differential Geom. **39** (1994), no. 2, 407–431.
- [3] Maria Francesca Betta, Friedemann Brock, Anna Mercaldo, and Maria Rosaria Posteraro, *Weighted isoperimetric inequalities on \mathbb{R}^n and applications to rearrangements*, Math. Nachr. **281** (2008), no. 4, 466–498.
- [4] Christer Borell, *The Brunn-Minkowski inequality in Gauss space*, Invent. Math. **30** (1975), no. 2, 207–216.
- [5] Simon Brendle, *Constant mean curvature surfaces in warped product manifolds*, Publ. Math. Inst. Hautes Études Sci. **117** (2013), 247–269.
- [6] Simon Brendle, Pengfei Guan, and Junfang Li, *An inverse curvature type hypersurface flow in space forms*, preprint (2018).
- [7] Simon Brendle, Pei-Ken Hung, and Mu-Tao Wang, *A Minkowski inequality for hypersurfaces in the anti-de Sitter-Schwarzschild manifold*, Comm. Pure Appl. Math. **69** (2016), no. 1, 124–144.
- [8] Xavier Cabré and Xavier Ros-Oton, *Sobolev and isoperimetric inequalities with monomial weights*, J. Differential Equations **255** (2013), no. 11, 4312–4336.
- [9] Luis Caffarelli, Robert Kohn, and Louis Nirenberg, *First order interpolation inequalities with weights*, Compositio Math. **53** (1984), no. 3, 259–275.
- [10] Sun-Yung Alice Chang and Yi Wang, *Inequalities for quermassintegrals on k -convex domains*, Adv. Math. **248** (2013), 335–377.
- [11] Levi Lopes de Lima and Frederico Girão, *An Alexandrov-Fenchel-type inequality in hyperbolic space with an application to a Penrose inequality*, Ann. Henri Poincaré **17** (2016), no. 4, 979–1002.
- [12] Claus Gerhardt, *Flow of nonconvex hypersurfaces into spheres*, J. Differential Geom. **32** (1990), no. 1, 299–314.
- [13] Frederico Girão and Neilha M. Pinheiro, *An Alexandrov-Fenchel-type inequality for hypersurfaces in the sphere*, Ann. Global Anal. Geom. **52** (2017), no. 4, 413–424.
- [14] Frederico Girão and Diego Rodrigues, *Weighted geometric inequalities for hypersurfaces in sub-static manifolds*, Bull. Lond. Math. Soc. **52** (2020), no. 1, 121–136.

- [15] Pengfei Guan, *Curvature measures, isoperimetric type inequalities and fully nonlinear PDEs*, Fully nonlinear PDEs in real and complex geometry and optics, Lecture Notes in Math., vol. 2087, Springer, Cham, 2014, pp. 47–94.
- [16] Pengfei Guan and Junfang Li, *The quermassintegral inequalities for k -convex starshaped domains*, Adv. Math. **221** (2009), no. 5, 1725–1732.
- [17] ———, *A mean curvature type flow in space forms*, Int. Math. Res. Not. IMRN **13** (2015), 4716–4740.
- [18] ———, *Isoperimetric type inequalities and hypersurface flows*, J. Math. Study **54** (2021), no. 1, 56–80.
- [19] Yingxiang Hu, Haizhong Li, and Yong Wei, *Locally constrained curvature flows and geometric inequalities in hyperbolic space*, Math. Ann. **382** (2022), no. 3-4, 1425–1474.
- [20] Gerhard Huisken and Carlo Sinestrari, *Convexity estimates for mean curvature flow and singularities of mean convex surfaces*, Acta Math. **183** (1999), no. 1, 45–70.
- [21] Kwok-Kun Kwong and Pengzi Miao, *A new monotone quantity along the inverse mean curvature flow in \mathbb{R}^n* , Pacific J. Math. **267** (2014), no. 2, 417–422.
- [22] ———, *Monotone quantities involving a weighted σ_k integral along inverse curvature flows*, Commun. Contemp. Math. **17** (2015), no. 5, 1550014, 10.
- [23] Kwok-Kun Kwong and Yong Wei, *Geometric inequalities involving three quantities in warped product manifolds*, Adv. Math. **430** (2023), Paper No. 109213, 28.
- [24] Kwok-Kun Kwong, Yong Wei, Glen Wheeler, and Valentina-Mira Wheeler, *On an inverse curvature flow in two-dimensional space forms*, Math. Ann. **384** (2022), no. 1-2, 285–308.
- [25] James A. McCoy, *Mixed volume preserving curvature flows*, Calc. Var. Partial Differential Equations **24** (2005), no. 2, 131–154.
- [26] Guohuan Qiu, *A family of higher-order isoperimetric inequalities*, Commun. Contemp. Math. **17** (2015), no. 3, 1450015, 20.
- [27] Robert C. Reilly, *Variational properties of functions of the mean curvatures for hypersurfaces in space forms*, J. Differential Geometry **8** (1973), 465–477.
- [28] Antonio Ros, *Compact hypersurfaces with constant higher-order mean curvatures*, Rev. Math. Iber. **3** (1987), 447–453.
- [29] Julian Scheuer and Chao Xia, *Locally constrained inverse curvature flows*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **372** (2019), no. 10, 6771–6803.
- [30] Rolf Schneider, *Convex bodies: the Brunn-Minkowski theory*, expanded edition, Encyclopedia of Mathematics and its Applications, vol. 151, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2014.
- [31] John I. E. Urbas, *On the expansion of starshaped hypersurfaces by symmetric functions of their principal curvatures*, Math. Z. **205** (1990), no. 3, 355–372.
- [32] Yong Wei and Tailong Zhou, *New weighted geometric inequalities for hypersurfaces in space forms*, Bull. Lond. Math. Soc. **55** (2023), no. 1, 263–281.
- [33] Jie Wu, *Weighted Alexandrov-Fenchel type inequalities for hypersurfaces in \mathbb{R}^n* , Bull. Lond. Math. Soc. **56** (2024), no. 8, 2634–2646.

UNIVERSITY OF WOLLONGONG, NORTHFIELDS AVE, 2522 NSW, AUSTRALIA

Email address: kwongk@uow.edu.au

SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, UNIVERSITY OF SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY OF CHINA, HEFEI 230026, P.R. CHINA

Email address: yongwei@ustc.edu.cn