LINE BUNDLES ON THE MODULI STACK OF PARAHORIC BUNDLES

CHIARA DAMIOLINI AND JIUZU HONG

ABSTRACT. In this paper we investigate line bundles on $\operatorname{Bun}_{\mathcal{G}}$ the moduli stack of parahoric Bruhat–Tits bundles over a smooth projective curve. Translating this problem into one concerning twisted conformal blocks, we are able to establish criteria that detect when line bundles on an appropriate flag variety descend to $\operatorname{Bun}_{\mathcal{G}}$. Along the way we establish a conjecture of Pappas and Rapoport which describes sections of line bundles on $\operatorname{Bun}_{\mathcal{G}}$ using representation-theoretical means. We conclude the paper with examples where our methods allow us to explicitly determine $\operatorname{Pic}(\operatorname{Bun}_{\mathcal{G}})$.

1. INTRODUCTION

Parahoric Bruhat–Tits group schemes \mathcal{G} and their bundles [PR10, Hei10] allow one to put under a unique umbrella various phenomena. Parabolic bundles, equivariant principal bundles, and Prym varieties are some of them [PR10, Hei10, Zel19, Dam20, HK23, DH23, PR24]. This means that understanding the moduli stack Bun_{\mathcal{G}} of principal \mathcal{G} -bundles on a smooth and projective curve X implies understanding a wide array of natural construction depending on X. Many questions and conjectures concerning Bun_{\mathcal{G}} and its Picard group were posed by Pappas and Rapoport in [PR10]. Since then, many questions have been settled. In this paper we aim to provide an explicit description of line bundles of Bun_{\mathcal{G}} and their global sections. Specifically, when \mathcal{G} is generically simply-connected and simple, and X is a smooth projective curve over an algebraically closed field k of characteristic zero, Pappas and Rapoport conjecture that sections of line bundles can be described using representation theory and conformal blocks. In this paper we show that indeed this holds:

Theorem 4.2.1. Global sections of dominant line bundles on $Bun_{\mathcal{G}}$ are identified with twisted conformal blocks.

To state this result precisely and to give an idea of the methods used to prove it, we need to first introduce some notation. Let S be a finite and non empty collection of points of X which contains all the *bad points* \mathcal{R} of \mathcal{G} , namely all $x \in X$ such that the fiber $\mathcal{G}|_x$ is non-reductive. Denote by \mathring{X} the complement of S in X. In view of the uniformization theorem [Hei10, Theorem 4], the stack Bun \mathcal{G} can be realized as a quotient of a product of partial affine flag varieties $\operatorname{Gr}_{\mathcal{G},S} = \prod_{x \in S} \operatorname{Gr}_{\mathcal{G},x}$ by the group $\mathcal{G}(\mathring{X})$ (or more precisely by the ind-group scheme $L_{\mathring{X}}\mathcal{G}$ whose k-points are $\mathcal{G}(\mathring{X})$). In [PR10, Conjecture 3.7] Pappas and Rapoport conjectured the following statement which we prove here.

Theorem 2.2.4. Let \mathcal{L} be a dominant line bundle on $\operatorname{Bun}_{\mathcal{G}}$. Then the projection map $q: \operatorname{Gr}_{\mathcal{G},S} \to \operatorname{Bun}_{\mathcal{G}}$ induces an isomorphism:

(2.2.4)
$$\mathrm{H}^{0}(\mathrm{Bun}_{\mathcal{G}},\mathcal{L}) \cong \left[\mathrm{H}^{0}(\mathrm{Gr}_{\mathcal{G},S},q^{*}\mathcal{L})\right]^{\mathrm{H}^{0}(X,\mathrm{Lie}(\mathcal{G}))}$$

²⁰²⁰ Mathematics Subject Classification. 14D20, 14C22 (primary), 20G35, 17B67, 14L15 (secondary).

One of the main tools used to prove our results, is an explicit realization of the restriction of \mathcal{G} to \mathring{X} in terms of Galois covers. In fact, in [DH23, Proposition 6.1.5], we prove that

$$(\star) \qquad \qquad \mathcal{G}|_{\mathring{X}} \cong \pi_* (G \times \mathring{C})^{\mathrm{I}}$$

for a finite group Γ of diagram automorphisms of G and an étale Γ -cover $\pi \colon \mathring{C} \to \mathring{X}$. (Here G is the simple and simply connected group scheme over k with the same root datum of any geometric fiber of \mathcal{G} over \mathring{X} .) In Section 4.3 we further comment on the uniqueness of the pair (Γ, π) . In particular, we show that the order of Γ is an invariant of \mathcal{G} which we call the generic splitting degree and denote $\operatorname{gsd}(\mathcal{G})$. Note that \mathcal{G} is a generically split parahoric Bruhat–Tits group, if and only if $\mathcal{G}|_{\mathring{X}} = G \times \mathring{X}$ (i.e. Γ and π are trivial)

Having in hand (*) we may apply [HK23] to show that $L_{\hat{X}}\mathcal{G}$ is an *integral* ind-scheme (see Lemma 2.2.1). Not only this suffices to show Theorem 2.2.4, but it also implies that both $L_{\hat{X}}\mathcal{G}$ and its Lie algebra act on $\mathrm{H}^{0}(\mathrm{Gr}_{\mathcal{G},S},q^{*}\mathcal{L})$ in a *unique* way. Therefore the Picard group of Bung naturally injects, via q^{*} , into the Picard group of $\mathrm{Gr}_{\mathcal{G},S}$. Said it more geometrically, there is at most one way to descend a line bundle from $\mathrm{Gr}_{\mathcal{G},S}$ to Bung.

The fact that $\mathrm{H}^{0}(\mathring{X}, \mathrm{Lie}(\mathcal{G}))$ acts in a unique way on $\mathrm{H}^{0}(\mathrm{Gr}_{\mathcal{G},S}, q^{*}\mathcal{L})$ is also the key to show that $\mathrm{H}^{0}(\mathrm{Bun}_{\mathcal{G}}, \mathcal{L})$ can be identified with the space of *twisted conformal blocks*, introduced in [Dam20] and further generalized and studied in [HK23, DM23, HK24]. We first of all note that every line bundle on $\mathrm{Gr}_{\mathcal{G},S}$ is determined by a collection of weights $\vec{\Lambda}$. The Γ cover $\pi : \mathring{C} \to \mathring{X}$ used to show (\star) extends to a (possibly ramified) Γ cover $\overline{\pi} : C \to X$. We therefore define the parahoric group $\mathcal{G}_{\pi} := \overline{\pi}_{*}(G \times C)^{\Gamma}$ and denote by \mathfrak{G} its Lie algebra. Given a collection of integrable representations $\mathcal{H}(\vec{\Lambda})$ —where the weights $\vec{\Lambda}$ determine a unique line bundle $\mathcal{L}_{\vec{\Lambda}}$ on $\mathrm{Gr}_{\mathcal{G},S}$ —one associates the space of *twisted conformal blocks* $\mathbb{V}^{\dagger}(\mathfrak{G}; \vec{\Lambda})_{C \to X;S}$ consisting of elements of $\mathcal{H}(\vec{\Lambda})^{*}$ which are invariant under the action of $\mathfrak{G}(\mathring{X})$, in formulas

(CB)
$$\mathbb{V}^{\dagger}(\mathfrak{G};\vec{\Lambda})_{C \to X;S} \coloneqq [\mathcal{H}(\vec{\Lambda})^*]^{\mathfrak{G}(X)}$$

We may therefore compare (2.2.4) and (CB) and we show that, if $\mathcal{L}_{\vec{\Lambda}} = q^* \mathcal{L}$ for a line bundle \mathcal{L} on Bung, then there is a natural isomorphism

$$\mathrm{H}^{0}(\mathrm{Bun}_{\mathcal{G}},\mathcal{L})\cong \mathbb{V}^{\dagger}(\mathfrak{G};\Lambda)_{C\to X;S},$$

proving Theorem 4.2.1.

Twisted conformal blocks can be used to detect whether line bundles on $\operatorname{Gr}_{\mathcal{G},S}$ descend to $\operatorname{Bun}_{\mathcal{G}}$, generalizing a method developed in [Sor99]. Using [Zhu14, Proposition 4.1] we show that the image of $\operatorname{Pic}(\operatorname{Bun}_{\mathcal{G}})$ lies in an explicitly determined subgroup $\operatorname{Pic}^{\Delta}(\operatorname{Gr}_{\mathcal{G},S}) \subseteq$ $\operatorname{Pic}(\operatorname{Gr}_{\mathcal{G},S})$ (see Corollary 3.2.2). Using this notation, we can state the following sufficient criterion:

Theorem 5.1.1. Let $\mathcal{L}_{\vec{\Lambda}} \in \operatorname{Pic}^{\Delta}(\operatorname{Gr}_{\mathcal{G},S})$ be a dominant line bundle. If the space of twisted conformal blocks $\mathbb{V}^{\dagger}(\mathfrak{G}; \vec{\Lambda})_{C \to X;S}$ is non zero, then $\mathcal{L}_{\vec{\Lambda}}$ descends to a line bundle on $\operatorname{Bun}_{\mathcal{G}}$.

We can combine this result with the description of $Pic(Bun_{\mathcal{G}})$ given in [Hei10]. In fact, [Hei10, Theorem 3] provides the exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow \prod_{x \in \mathcal{R}} X^*(\mathcal{G}_x) \longrightarrow \operatorname{Pic}(\operatorname{Bun}_{\mathcal{G}}) \xrightarrow{c} c_{\mathcal{G}} \mathbb{Z} \longrightarrow 0$$

where $X^*(\mathcal{G}|_x)$ is the group of characters of the fiber of \mathcal{G} over x and the map c is the *central charge*. The obstacle in understanding $\operatorname{Pic}(\operatorname{Bun}_{\mathcal{G}})$ lies in the computation of the positive integer $c_{\mathcal{G}}$ that makes c surjective. In view of Corollary 3.2.2 we can show that $c_{\mathcal{G}}$ is always

Theorem 5.2.2. Let \mathcal{G} on X be a parahoric Bruhat–Tits group of generic splitting degree different from 6. If for every $x \in \mathcal{R}$, the group $\mathcal{G}(\mathbb{D}_x)$ is an Iwahori subgroup of $\mathcal{G}(\mathbb{D}_x^{\times})$, then $c_{\mathcal{G}} = 1$.

One of the strengths of Theorem 5.1.1 is the fact that one does not need to explicitly compute spaces of twisted conformal blocks \mathbb{V}^{\dagger} , but only show that they are non-zero. One of the crucial properties of twisted conformal blocks is that they decompose as a direct sum of *simpler* spaces \mathbb{V}_i^{\dagger} , and therefore, to apply Theorem 5.1.1, it is enough to show non-vanishing for one of such spaces. Following these ideas, in Section 5.2 we show Theorem 5.2.2 and Proposition 5.2.3 and provide further examples where c_G is computable (Example 5.2.4).

Acknowledgment. C. Damiolini is partially supported by the NSF grant DMS–2401420. J. Hong is partially supported by the Simons grant MPS-TSM-00007468.

2. BACKGROUND AND PAPPAS AND RAPOPORT CONJECTURE

Throughout we let X be a smooth and projective curve over k. The field k is assumed to be algebraically closed and with characteristic zero. If x is a point of X, we denote by $\mathbb{D}_x = \operatorname{Spec}(\mathcal{O}_x)$ the formal disk around x and $\mathbb{D}_x^{\times} = \operatorname{Spec}(\mathcal{K}_x)$ the punctured disk around x. By choosing a coordinate t around x, we have that $\mathcal{O}_x \cong k[t]$ and $\mathcal{K}_x \cong k(t)$. Throughout the paper, by a **parahoric Bruhat-Tits group scheme** over a curve X we mean an affine and smooth group scheme \mathcal{G} over X such that

- (1) \mathcal{G} is a generically simply-connected and simple algebraic group;
- (2) $\mathcal{G}|_x$ is connected for every $x \in X$;
- (3) $\mathcal{G}(\mathcal{O}_x)$ is a parahoric subgroup of $\mathcal{G}(\mathcal{K}_x)$ for every $x \in X$, as in [BT84].

We note that condition (3) is automatically verified when $\mathcal{G}|_x$ is reductive (and actually simple, by (1)) and we refer to [Hei17, DH23, PR24] for a more detailed discussion on the parahoric condition. Furthermore, by (1) there are only finitely many points of X when this happens. We call such points the **bad points** of \mathcal{G} and denote their set by \mathcal{R} . We further say that $x \in X$ is **split** if $\mathcal{G}|_{\mathcal{K}_x}$ is a split reductive group over \mathcal{K}_x , otherwise it will be called non-split. In fact, in our setting $\mathcal{G}|_{\mathcal{K}_x}$ is always quasi-split. The **splitting degree** of $x \in \mathcal{R}$ (relative to \mathcal{G}) is

 $\deg_{\mathcal{G}}(x) \coloneqq \min\{\deg(\mathcal{L}_x : \mathcal{K}_x) \text{ such that } \mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{L}_x} \text{ is split } \} \subseteq \{1, 2, 3\}.$

Therefore $x \in \mathcal{R}$ is split if and only if $\deg_{\mathcal{G}}(x) = 1$. We extend this notion to every point of X by declaring any point $x \notin \mathcal{R}$ to have splitting degree 0. We note that in [Hei10], condition (1) is replaced by the weaker hypothesis that \mathcal{G} is generically semisimple, while in [BS15] the authors assume that \mathcal{G} is generically semisimple and split, so that necessarily all the bad points are split. Although we are mainly interested in parahoric Bruhat–Tits group schemes which have bad points that are non-split, we will not make this assumption.

2.1. The stack of \mathcal{G} -bundles. We denote the moduli stack of \mathcal{G} -bundles over X by $\operatorname{Bun}_{\mathcal{G}}$. This is a smooth algebraic stack over k and the uniformization theorem (consequence of [Hei10, Theorem 4]) describes it as an appropriate quotient stack. To understand this characterization, we need to set up some notation. Let S be a non empty collection of points of X and $\mathring{X} := X \setminus S$. We will later assume that S contains the set \mathcal{R} of all the bad points, but for the moment this assumption will not be needed. The ind-group scheme $L_{\hat{X}}\mathcal{G}$ is defined by the assignment

$$L_{\mathring{X}}\mathcal{G}(R) \coloneqq \mathcal{G}\left(\mathring{X} \times_{\operatorname{Spec}(k)} \operatorname{Spec}(R)\right)$$

for every k-algebra R. For every $x \in X$, we define the ind-group scheme $\operatorname{Gr}_{\mathcal{G},x}$, called the **affine Grassmannian** of \mathcal{G} which parametrizes \mathcal{G} -bundles over X which are trivial outside x. When $x \in \mathcal{R}$, then $\operatorname{Gr}_{\mathcal{G},x}$ is a partial affine flag variety. Alternatively, one defines the loop groups $L\mathcal{G}_x$ and $L^+\mathcal{G}_x$ by the assignments

$$L\mathcal{G}_x(R) \coloneqq \mathcal{G}(R((t)))$$
 and $L^+\mathcal{G}_x(R) \coloneqq \mathcal{G}(R[[t]]),$

where t is a local coordinate of X at x. The affine Grassmannian $\operatorname{Gr}_{\mathcal{G},x}$ can therefore be identified with the quotient $L\mathcal{G}/L^+\mathcal{G}$.

From this description, we have that there is a natural map $L_{\hat{X}}\mathcal{G} \to L\mathcal{G}$ and thus $L_{\hat{X}}\mathcal{G}$ naturally acts on $\operatorname{Gr}_{\mathcal{G},S} := \prod_{x \in S} \operatorname{Gr}_{\mathcal{G},x}$ and the Uniformization Theorem [Hei10, Theorem 4] implies that

(1)
$$\operatorname{Bun}_{\mathcal{G}} \cong \left[L_{\mathring{X}} \mathcal{G} \setminus \operatorname{Gr}_{\mathcal{G},S} \right],$$

where the isomorphism is induced by the map

(2)
$$q_S \colon \operatorname{Gr}_{\mathcal{G},S} \longrightarrow \operatorname{Bun}_{\mathcal{G}}.$$

In [PR10, Conjecture 3.7], Pappas and Rapoport suggest that the following statement holds.

Conjecture 2.1.1. Let \mathcal{L} be a dominant line bundle on $\operatorname{Bun}_{\mathcal{G}}$. Assume that $\mathcal{R} \subseteq S \neq \emptyset$. Then there is a canonical isomorphism

(3)
$$\mathrm{H}^{0}(\mathrm{Bun}_{\mathcal{G}},\mathcal{L}) \cong \left[\bigotimes_{x \in S} \mathrm{H}^{0}(\mathrm{Gr}_{\mathcal{G},x}, q_{x}^{*}\mathcal{L})\right]^{\mathrm{H}^{0}(\mathring{X}, \mathrm{Lie}(\mathcal{G}))}$$

where the notation $[X]^Y$ used on the right hand side denotes the elements of X which are annihilated by Y.

,

In [HK23, Theorem 12.1], Kumar and the second author proved a form of this conjecture for certain parahoric Bruhat–Tits group scheme under some constraint of central charge. We will show in Theorem 2.2.4 that indeed Conjecture 2.1.1 holds true in general.

Remark 2.1.2. In [**PR10**] it is claimed that the action of the Lie algebra $\mathrm{H}^{0}(X, \mathrm{Lie}(\mathcal{G}))$ on $\bigotimes_{x \in S} \mathrm{H}^{0}(\mathrm{Gr}_{\mathcal{G},x}, q_{x}^{*}\mathcal{L})$ comes from the fact that the map

$$\mathrm{H}^{0}(\mathring{X}, \mathrm{Lie}(\mathcal{G})) \longrightarrow \bigoplus_{x \in S} \mathrm{H}^{0}\left(\mathbb{D}_{x}^{\times}, \mathrm{Lie}(\mathcal{G})\right) = \bigoplus_{x \in S} \mathrm{Lie}(L\mathcal{G}_{x})$$

has a unique splitting to a central extension of $\bigoplus_{x \in S} \text{Lie}(L\mathcal{G}_x)$. This assertion does not look obvious to us, and can be seen as a consequence of Proposition 2.2.2.

2.2. Establishing Pappas and Rapoport conjecture. We describe in this section the ingredients and main steps used to prove Theorem 2.2.4. Let \mathcal{G} be our parahoric Bruhat–Tits group scheme over X and assume now that $\mathcal{R} \subseteq S \neq \emptyset$. As before, we denote by \mathring{X} the affine curve $X \setminus S$. Denote by G the simple and simply connected group over k with the same root datum as one (thus all) geometric fiber of \mathcal{G} over \mathring{X} . As customary, its Lie algebra Lie(G) will be denoted \mathfrak{g} .

We begin with a crucial result which will be heavily used throughout. We recall that we assume that $\mathcal{R} \subseteq S \neq \emptyset$.

Lemma 2.2.1. The ind-group scheme $L_{\hat{X}}\mathcal{G}$ is integral.

Proof. We begin by applying [DH23, Proposition 6.1.5] to the restriction of \mathcal{G} to X (which is therefore reductive over an affine curve). Namely, that result allows one to show that there exist

- a finite group $\Gamma \subset \operatorname{Aut}(G)$ acting on G by diagram automorphisms, and
- an étale Γ -cover $\pi \colon \check{C} \to \check{X}$

such that

(4)
$$\mathcal{G}|_{\mathring{X}} \cong \pi_* \left(G \times \mathring{C} \right)^{\Gamma}$$

Thus we deduce that

$$L_{\mathring{X}}\mathcal{G}(R) = G(\mathring{C} \times_{\operatorname{Spec}(k)} \operatorname{Spec}(R))^{\Gamma},$$

and therefore [HK23, Theorem 9.5, Corollary 11.5] guaranteed that the ind-group scheme $L_{\hat{X}}\mathcal{G}$ is integral.

Proposition 2.2.2. The only character of the ind-group scheme $L_{\hat{X}}\mathcal{G}$ is the trivial one. Thus

$$q_S^* \colon \operatorname{Pic}(\operatorname{Bun}_{\mathcal{G}}) \cong \operatorname{Pic}_{L_{\dot{x}}\mathcal{G}}(\operatorname{Gr}_{\mathcal{G},S}) \longrightarrow \operatorname{Pic}(\operatorname{Gr}_{\mathcal{G},S})$$

is injective.

Proof. The isomorphism $\operatorname{Pic}(\operatorname{Bun}_{\mathcal{G}}) \cong \operatorname{Pic}_{L_{\hat{X}}\mathcal{G}}(\operatorname{Gr}_{\mathcal{G},S})$ immediately follows from (1). Then, the injectivity of q_S^* follows from the the triviality of any character of $L_{\hat{X}}\mathcal{G}$.

In view of Lemma 2.2.1, we know that $L_{X}\mathcal{G}$ is integral. We can therefore proceed as in the proof of [LS97, Corollary 5.2], so that it is left to prove that

$$[(\mathfrak{g} \otimes_k \mathscr{O}(\mathring{C}))^{\Gamma}, (\mathfrak{g} \otimes_k \mathscr{O}(\mathring{C}))^{\Gamma}] = (\mathfrak{g} \otimes_k \mathscr{O}(\mathring{C}))^{\Gamma}$$

Since \mathcal{G} is generically simple, we can apply Lemma 2.2.3 and therefore conclude.

Lemma 2.2.3. Let \mathfrak{k} be coherent sheaf of Lie algebras over an affine curve U such that every point $x \in U$, the fiber \mathfrak{k}_x is a simple Lie algebra. Then $[\mathfrak{k}(U), \mathfrak{k}(U)] = \mathfrak{k}(U)$.

Proof. The Lie bracket [,] of \mathfrak{k} induces a morphism of coherent sheaves

$$L: \wedge^2 \mathfrak{k} \longrightarrow \mathfrak{k},$$

such that $L(\wedge^2 \mathfrak{k}) = [\mathfrak{k}, \mathfrak{k}]$. Since every fiber of \mathfrak{k} is simple, L_x is surjective on fibers. Nakayama's Lemma implies therefore that L is surjective and since U is affine we can conclude.

We now have all the ingredients to prove Pappas and Rapoport conjecture.

Theorem 2.2.4. Let \mathcal{L} be a line bundle on Bung. Then there is a canonical isomorphism

,

(5)
$$\mathrm{H}^{0}(\mathrm{Bun}_{\mathcal{G}},\mathcal{L}) \cong \left[\bigotimes_{x \in S} \mathrm{H}^{0}(\mathrm{Gr}_{\mathcal{G},x}, q_{x}^{*}\mathcal{L})\right]^{\mathrm{H}^{0}(\mathring{X}, \mathrm{Lie}(\mathcal{G}))}$$

where the action of $\mathrm{H}^{0}(\mathring{X}, \mathrm{Lie}(\mathcal{G}))$ on $\bigotimes_{x \in S} \mathrm{H}^{0}(\mathrm{Gr}_{\mathcal{G},x}, q_{x}^{*}\mathcal{L})$ is induced by the inclusion q_{S}^{*} .

Proof. Combining (1) with the conclusions of Proposition 2.2.2, we immediately deduce that q_S induces the isomorphism

$$\mathrm{H}^{0}(\mathrm{Bun}_{\mathcal{G}},\mathcal{L}) \cong \left[\mathrm{H}^{0}(\mathrm{Gr}_{\mathcal{G},S},q_{S}^{*}\mathcal{L})\right]^{L_{\hat{X}}^{*}\mathcal{G}} = \left[\bigotimes_{x \in S} \mathrm{H}^{0}(\mathrm{Gr}_{\mathcal{G},x},q_{x}^{*}\mathcal{L})\right]^{L_{\hat{X}}^{*}\mathcal{G}}$$

Since both $L_{X}\mathcal{G}$ and $\operatorname{Gr}_{\mathcal{G},S}$ are integral ind-variety (see Lemma 2.2.1 and [HK23, Corollary 9.8]), we can apply [BL94, Proposition 7.4] to conclude that the space of equivariant $L_{X}\mathcal{G}$ -sections coincides with the space of sections annihilated by its Lie algebra, thus concluding the argument.

3. PICARD GROUP OF $\operatorname{Bun}_{\mathcal{G}}$

With the results from the previous section, we are one step closer to understand the Picard group of $Bun_{\mathcal{G}}$. Throughout, we use the same notation as in the previous section.

3.1. More on parahoric groups, affine Grassmannians and central charge. We describe in more explicit terms the affine Grassmannian $\operatorname{Gr}_{\mathcal{G},x}$ for a fixed point x, which thus we drop from the notations and write $\operatorname{Gr}_{\mathcal{G}}$ (and similarly $L\mathcal{G}$ and $L^+\mathcal{G}$) instead.

Since the group \mathcal{G} is a parahoric Bruhat–Tits group scheme we obtain that

(6)
$$L\mathcal{G}(R) = G(R((z)))^{\gamma}$$

for some outer automorphism τ of G of order r which acts R-linearly on R((z)) and such that $\tau(z) = \zeta_r z$ with ζ_r some primitive r-th root of one. (Note that we allow r = 1, in which case τ is the identity morphism.) Furthermore $L^+\mathcal{G}(k) =: \mathcal{P}$ is a parahoric subgroup of $G(k((z)))^{\tau}$. By abuse of notation we will write

$$\operatorname{Fl}_{\mathcal{P}} = G(k((z)))^{\tau} / \mathcal{P}.$$

to denote the ind-scheme $\operatorname{Gr}_{\mathcal{G}}$.

We now give a more explicit description of the Picard group of $\operatorname{Fl}_{\mathcal{P}}$. Every parahoric subgroup \mathcal{P} of $G(k((z)))^{\tau}$ is determined by a facet \mathfrak{F} of the Bruhat–Tits building of $G(k((z)))^{\tau}$. The set of the vertices of \mathfrak{F} is canonically in one-to-one correspondence with the set of nonempty subsets of \widehat{I}_{τ} , the set of vertices of the affine Dynkin diagram associated to the twisted loop group $G(k((z)))^{\tau}$. When \mathfrak{g} is a simple Lie algebra of type X_N , then \widehat{I}_{τ} is the set of vertices of the affine Dynkin diagram of type $X_N^{(r)}$.

For each facet \mathfrak{F} , we denote by $\mathcal{P}_{\mathfrak{F}}$ the associated parahoric subgroup of $G(k((z)))^{\tau}$ and by $Y_{\mathfrak{F}}$ the associated subset of \hat{I}_{τ} . With this notation, we define the partial affine flag variety as the ind-scheme

$$\operatorname{Fl}_{\mathfrak{F}} \coloneqq \operatorname{Fl}_{\mathcal{P}_{\mathfrak{F}}} = G(k((z)))^{\tau} / \mathcal{P}_{\mathfrak{F}},$$

whose Picard group has been described by [PR08] and [Zhu14] as

(7)
$$\operatorname{Pic}(\operatorname{Fl}_{\mathfrak{F}}) \cong \bigoplus_{i \in Y_{\mathfrak{F}}} \mathbb{Z}\Lambda_i$$

In the above formula, Λ_i denotes the *i*-th fundamental weight of the affine Dynkin diagram of type $X_N^{(r)}$. The decomposition of (7) allows one to define the *central charge* of any line

bundle on $\operatorname{Fl}_{\mathfrak{F}}$ as

(8)
$$c\left(\sum_{i\in Y_{\mathfrak{F}}}n_{i}\Lambda_{i}\right) \coloneqq \sum_{i\in Y_{\mathfrak{F}}}n_{i}\check{a}_{i}$$

where $\check{a}_i \in \{1, \ldots, 6\}$ are the *dual Kac labels* attached to the vertex $i \in \widehat{I}_{\tau}$ [Kac90, §6.1]. In particular, we note that $\check{a}_o = 1$ for the special affine note $o \in \widehat{I}_{\tau}$ labeled as in [Kac90, §6.1].

3.2. Some consequences for $\operatorname{Bun}_{\mathcal{G}}$. We now apply the above consideration to $\operatorname{Gr}_{\mathcal{G},S}$ for a finite set S of points of X. For the scope of this section we do not need to further assume that $\mathcal{R} \subseteq S$. In the local description given in (6), the outer automorphism τ and its order will in general depend on the point x, so that we will now denote \widehat{I}_{τ} by \widehat{I}_x to stress this dependence. We will also use the notation $L^+\mathcal{G}_x(k) = \mathcal{P}_x$.

Lemma 3.2.1. The isomorphism (7) induces the identification

$$\operatorname{Pic}(\operatorname{Gr}_{\mathcal{G},S}) = \prod_{x \in S} \operatorname{Pic}(\operatorname{Gr}_{\mathcal{G},x}) = \prod_{x \in S} \operatorname{Pic}(\operatorname{Fl}_{\mathcal{P}_x}) = \prod_{x \in S} \big(\bigoplus_{i \in Y_x} \mathbb{Z}\Lambda_i\big),$$

where Y_x is the subset of \widehat{I}_x corresponding to \mathcal{P}_x .

In view of the previous lemma we will denote line bundles on $\operatorname{Gr}_{\mathcal{G},S}$ by $\mathcal{L}_{\vec{\Lambda}}$, where $\vec{\Lambda} = (\Lambda_x)_{x \in S}$ are the corresponding weights. The line bundle $\mathcal{L}_{\vec{\Lambda}}$ is called dominant if the weights Λ_x are dominant for every $x \in S$.

Recall that every $x \in X$ defines the quotient map $q_x \colon \operatorname{Gr}_{\mathcal{G},x} \to \operatorname{Bun}_{\mathcal{G}}$ as in (2), so that we have an induced map

$$q_x^* \colon \operatorname{Pic}(\operatorname{Bun}_{\mathcal{G}}) \longrightarrow \operatorname{Pic}(\operatorname{Gr}_{\mathcal{G},x}).$$

We define the *central charge* of a line bundle \mathcal{L} over $\operatorname{Bun}_{\mathcal{G}}$ to be

(9)
$$c(\mathcal{L}) \coloneqq c(q_x^*\mathcal{L})$$

for any $x \in X$. The fact that this is well defined, i.e. that the function c is constant in x can be found in [Zhu14, Proposition 4.1].

Assume now that S is a non-empty subset of X containing \mathcal{R} . Under these assumptions, we can use Proposition 2.2.2 and combine it with with (9) to deduce that there are natural obstructions to the surjectivity of q_S^* . As x varies in S, the central charge maps (8) naturally induce the map

$$c_S \colon \operatorname{Pic}(\operatorname{Gr}_{\mathcal{G},S}) = \prod_{x \in S} \operatorname{Pic}(\operatorname{Gr}_{\mathcal{G},x}) \longrightarrow \bigoplus_{x \in S} \mathbb{Z}.$$

Denote by $\Delta \colon \mathbb{Z} \to \bigoplus_{x \in S} \mathbb{Z}$ the diagonal inclusion, and define

$$\operatorname{Pic}^{\Delta}(\operatorname{Gr}_{\mathcal{G},S}) \coloneqq \boldsymbol{c}_{S}^{-1}(\Delta(\mathbb{Z}))$$

that is $\operatorname{Pic}^{\Delta}(\operatorname{Gr}_{\mathcal{G},S})$ is the group of line bundles on $\operatorname{Gr}_{\mathcal{G},S}$ whose central charge, computed using the various points $x \in S$, is constant. Since the central charge is constant line bundles on $\operatorname{Bun}_{\mathcal{G}}$, we immediately obtain the following result.

Corollary 3.2.2. The image of q_S^* is contained in $\operatorname{Pic}^{\Delta}(\operatorname{Gr}_{\mathcal{G},S})$.

We conjecture that indeed $\operatorname{Pic}^{\Delta}(\operatorname{Gr}_{\mathcal{G},S})$ only detects line bundles coming from $\operatorname{Bun}_{\mathcal{G}}$, that is that q_S^* realizes a bijection between $\operatorname{Pic}(\operatorname{Bun}_{\mathcal{G}})$ and $\operatorname{Pic}^{\Delta}(\operatorname{Gr}_{\mathcal{G},S})$. The central charge morphisms, on $\operatorname{Pic}(\operatorname{Bun}_{\mathcal{G}})$ and $\operatorname{Pic}^{\Delta}(\operatorname{Gr}_{\mathcal{G},S})$, induce the two exact sequences:

(10)
$$0 \longrightarrow \prod_{x \in \mathcal{R}} X^*(\mathcal{G}_x) \longrightarrow \operatorname{Pic}(\operatorname{Bun}_{\mathcal{G}}) \longrightarrow c_{\mathcal{G}} \mathbb{Z} \longrightarrow 0$$

and

(11)
$$0 \longrightarrow \prod_{x \in \mathcal{R}} X^*(\mathcal{G}_x) \longrightarrow \operatorname{Pic}^{\Delta}(\operatorname{Gr}_{\mathcal{G},S}) \longrightarrow \boldsymbol{c}_{\Delta}\mathbb{Z} \longrightarrow 0,$$

where both $c_{\mathcal{G}}$ and c_{Δ} are positive integers. The exact sequence (10) is the content of [Hei10, Theorem 3], while for (11) we refer to [PR10, (3.4)]. The map q_S^* , together with the fact that the central charge morphism on Bun_{\mathcal{G}} is induced from that on Gr_{\mathcal{G},S}, gives a map of exact sequences

where ι denotes the usual inclusion (that is $c_{\mathcal{G}}$ maps to itself). It is therefore clear that our conjecture would hold true if ι were an isomorphism, that is if

(12)
$$\boldsymbol{c}_{\mathcal{G}} = \boldsymbol{c}_{\Delta}.$$

Since c_{Δ} divides $c_{\mathcal{G}}$, we can use this fact to give a lower bound on $c_{\mathcal{G}}$. Note that c_{Δ} is explicitly given by

(13)
$$\boldsymbol{c}_{\Delta} = \lim_{x \in \mathcal{R}} \left(\gcd \check{a}_i \right),$$

and from the above discussion it follows that:

Lemma 3.2.3. The positive integer $c_{\mathcal{G}}$ is a multiple of $c_{\Delta} = \lim_{x \in \mathcal{R}} \left(\gcd \check{a}_i \right)$.

Remark 3.2.4. Note that to compute c_{Δ} in (13) we only consider points in \mathcal{R} and not those in $S \setminus \mathcal{R}$. The formula will still hold taking $x \in S$ because when $x \in S \setminus \mathcal{R}$, then $o \in Y_x$ and therefore $\check{a}_o = 1$.

Example 3.2.5. In [HY24], Hong and Yu consider the generically simply connected parahoric Bruhat–Tits group scheme \mathcal{G} over \mathbb{P}^1 such that $\mathcal{G}(\mathcal{O}_0)$ is a parahoric group associated to a facet \mathfrak{F} and $\mathcal{G}(\mathcal{O}_\infty)$ is a parahoric group associated to the negative facet $-\mathfrak{F}$. They showed that any line bundle \mathcal{L} on $\operatorname{Gr}_{\mathcal{G},0}$ descends to a line bundle $\operatorname{Bun}_{\mathcal{G}}$. Combining this with Lemma 3.2.3, it follows that $\mathbf{c}_{\mathcal{G}} = \mathbf{c}_{\Delta} = \gcd{\check{a}_i}$. In particular, it implies that $\mathbf{c}_{\mathcal{G}} = 2$, when

$$\mathcal{G} = \pi_* (\mathrm{SL}_{2r+1} \times \mathbb{P}^1)^{\tau},$$

where $\pi \colon \mathbb{P}^1 \to \mathbb{P}^1$ is the 2-to-1 cover ramified at 0 and ∞ and τ acts on SL_{2r+1} by the non trivial diagram automorphism.

4. Identification with twisted conformal blocks

4.1. Twisted conformal blocks. We recall in this section the construction of twisted conformal blocks and show that they describe global sections of line bundles on $Bun_{\mathcal{G}}$. We refer to [TUY89, Dam20, HK23] for proofs and details.

4.1.1. Let X be a projective curve, Γ be a finite group and $\pi: C \to X$ a possibly ramified Γ -cover. Let \mathfrak{g} be a simple Lie algebra and assume that Γ acts on \mathfrak{g} . Then we construct the sheaf of Lie algebras

$$\mathfrak{G} \coloneqq \pi_*(\mathfrak{g} \otimes \mathcal{O}_C)^{\Gamma}$$

over X. Note that, for every $x \in X$, the space of sections of \mathfrak{G} over the punctured disk \mathbb{D}_x^{\times} is a Lie algebra of the form $L(\mathfrak{g}, \sigma)$ for some $\sigma \in \operatorname{Aut}(\mathfrak{g})$ (which depends on x). Denote by $\widehat{\mathfrak{G}}_x$ the central extension of $L(\mathfrak{g}, \sigma)$ which is isomorphic to the twisted affine Lie algebra $\widehat{L}(\mathfrak{g}, \sigma)$. Explicitly, $\widehat{\mathfrak{G}}_x$ is the central extension of $(\mathfrak{g} \otimes k((z)))^{\sigma}$ whose underlying vector space is

$$(\mathfrak{g} \otimes k((z)))^{\sigma} \oplus k,$$

and with Lie bracket given by

$$[X \otimes f(z), Y \otimes g(z)] = [X, Y] \otimes f(z)g(z) + \frac{1}{|\sigma|} \operatorname{Res}(g(z)f'(z)dz) \langle X|Y \rangle,$$

where the form $\langle | \rangle$ is the unique multiple of the Killing form κ of \mathfrak{g} such that $\langle \theta, \theta \rangle = 2$ for θ the highest root of \mathfrak{g} . Equivalently $2\mathfrak{g}\langle | \rangle = \kappa$, for \mathfrak{g} the dual Coxeter number of \mathfrak{g} .

4.1.2. Consider now a non-empty subset S of X containing the branch locus of π which we denote \mathcal{R} . For every $x \in S$ denote by $\mathcal{H}(\Lambda_x)$ the integrable, irreducible, highest weight representation of $\widehat{\mathfrak{G}}_x$ associated to the dominant weight Λ_x of $\widehat{\mathfrak{G}}_x$. Set

$$\mathcal{H}(\vec{\Lambda}) = \bigotimes_{x \in S} \mathcal{H}(\Lambda_x)$$

and assume that $\mathcal{H}(\Lambda_x)$ are all representations of the same level. This means that, the central elements of $\bigoplus_{x \in S} \widehat{\mathfrak{G}}_x$ all act by the same scalar. Therefore $\mathcal{H}(\vec{\Lambda})$ is a representation of $\widehat{\mathfrak{G}}_S$, the quotient of $\bigoplus_{x \in S} \widehat{\mathfrak{G}}_x$ under the identification of the central elements. Denote by \mathcal{G}_S the direct sum $\bigoplus_{x \in S} \mathcal{G}(\mathbb{D}_x^{\times})$ and set $\mathring{X} = X \setminus S$. The residue theorem ensures that the central extension

$$0 \to k \to \widehat{\mathfrak{G}}_S \to \mathfrak{G}_S \to 0$$

restricted to the Lie algebra

$$\mathfrak{G}(\mathring{X}) = (\mathfrak{g} \otimes \mathcal{O}_C(C \setminus \pi^{-1}S))^{\Gamma}$$

splits. Therefore we can view $\mathfrak{G}(\mathring{X})$ as a Lie subalgebra of $\widehat{\mathfrak{G}}_S$ and so it acts on $\mathcal{H}(\vec{\Lambda})$.

4.1.3. We define the space of *twisted conformal blocks* associated with the cover $\pi: C \to X$, the Lie algebra \mathcal{G} , the points S and the representation $\vec{\Lambda}$ to be the subspace of $\mathcal{H}(\vec{\Lambda})^*$ which is annihilated by $\mathfrak{G}(X \setminus S)$, namely

$$\mathbb{V}^{\dagger}(\mathfrak{G};\vec{\Lambda})_{C\to X;S} \coloneqq \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathfrak{G}(\mathring{X})}\left(\mathcal{H}(\vec{\Lambda}),k\right) = \left[\mathcal{H}(\vec{\Lambda})^{*}\right]^{\mathfrak{G}(X)}.$$

When \mathfrak{G} is understood, we will simply denote this space by $\mathbb{V}^{\dagger}(\vec{\Lambda})_{C \to X;S}$.

As described in [TUY89, Dam20, HK23], these spaces fit together to define a locally free sheaf of finite rank over the moduli stack parametrizing Γ -covers of curves. Another key

properties of conformal blocks, is the fact that they can be identified with the space of global sections of appropriate line bundles on Bung as we describe next.

4.2. Sections of line bundles as twisted conformal blocks. We show in this section that, given a parahoric Bruhat–Tits group \mathcal{G} over X, spaces $\mathrm{H}^{0}(\mathrm{Bun}_{\mathcal{G}}, \mathcal{L})$ can be described via twisted conformal blocks. Theorem 4.2.1 generalizes [BL94, LS97, HK23].

One of the key input is to use [DH23, Proposition 6.1.5]. As already described in the proof of Lemma 2.2.1, this result ensures the existence of a finite group $\Gamma \subseteq \operatorname{Aut}(G)$ acting by diagram automorphisms on G and an étale Γ -cover $\pi \colon \mathring{C} \to \mathring{X}$ such that

(14)
$$\mathcal{G}|_{\mathring{X}} \cong \pi_* \left(G \times \mathring{C} \right)^{\Gamma}.$$

Note that since the curve X is proper, we can extend \mathring{C} to a γ cover $\bar{\pi} \colon C \to X$, which is ramified exactly over the non-split bad points of \mathcal{G} . Furthermore, the action of Γ on G gives an action of Γ on $\mathfrak{g} = \operatorname{Lie}(G)$ and therefore we construct the sheaf of Lie algebras

(15)
$$\mathfrak{G} := \bar{\pi}_* (\mathfrak{g} \otimes \mathcal{O}_C)^1$$

over X. Using this notation, we can state one of the main results:

Theorem 4.2.1. Let \mathcal{L} be a line bundle on $\operatorname{Bun}_{\mathcal{G}}$ and denote by $\mathcal{L}_{\vec{\Lambda}}$ the associated line bundle on $\operatorname{Gr}_{\mathcal{G},S}$. Then (5) induces the identification

$$\mathrm{H}^{0}(\mathrm{Bun}_{\mathcal{G}},\mathcal{L})\cong \mathbb{V}^{\dagger}(\mathfrak{G};\vec{\Lambda})_{C\to X;S}.$$

Proof. We first of all recall that the Borel–Weil theorem (or rather its generalization due to Kumar and Mathieu) implies that $\mathcal{H}(\Lambda)^* = \mathrm{H}^0(\mathrm{Gr}_{\mathcal{G},x}, \mathcal{L}_{\Lambda})$ and therefore

$$\mathcal{H}(\vec{\Lambda})^* = \mathrm{H}^0(\mathrm{Gr}_{\mathcal{G},S}, \mathcal{L}_{\vec{\Lambda}}).$$

In view of Theorem 2.2.4, in order to conclude it is enough to show that the two Lie algebras $\mathfrak{G}(\mathring{X})$ and $\mathrm{H}^{0}(\mathring{X}, \mathrm{Lie}(\mathcal{G}))$, which are naturally identified, induce the same action on $\mathcal{H}(\vec{\Lambda})$. To do so we will need to construct some auxiliary central extensions of Lie algebras (and of groups) which will be used also in the proof of Theorem 5.1.1.

The following lemma is a consequence of [HK23, Proposition 10.2 and Theorem 10.3].

Lemma 4.2.2. Let $\mathcal{L}_{\vec{\Lambda}} \in \operatorname{Pic}^{\Delta}(\operatorname{Gr}_{\mathcal{G},S})$. Then the representation $\mathcal{H}(\vec{\Lambda})$ of $\widehat{\mathfrak{G}}_{S}$ can be uniquely integrated to a projective representation of $L\mathcal{G}_{S} := \prod_{x \in S} L\mathcal{G}_{x}$. That is, there exists a unique map $\rho_{\vec{\Lambda}} : L\mathcal{G}_{S} \to \operatorname{PGL}(\mathcal{H}(\vec{\Lambda}))$ whose derivative recovers $\mathcal{H}(\vec{\Lambda})$ as representation of $\widehat{\mathfrak{G}}_{S}$.

Therefore, we define the central extension $L\mathcal{G}_S(\vec{\Lambda})$ of $L\mathcal{G}_S$ by pulling back the exact sequence

 $1 \longrightarrow \mathbb{G}_m \longrightarrow \operatorname{GL}(\mathcal{H}(\vec{\Lambda})) \longrightarrow \operatorname{PGL}(\mathcal{H}(\vec{\Lambda})) \longrightarrow 1$

along the map $\rho_{\vec{\Lambda}}$, obtaining the exact sequence

(16)
$$1 \longrightarrow \mathbb{G}_{\mathrm{m}} \longrightarrow \widehat{L\mathcal{G}}_{S}(\vec{\Lambda}) \longrightarrow L\mathcal{G}_{S} \longrightarrow 1.$$

Starting with (16), we can restrict it to $L_{\dot{X}}\mathcal{G}$, and define the central extension

(17)
$$1 \longrightarrow \mathbb{G}_{\mathrm{m}} \longrightarrow \widehat{L_{X}^{*}\mathcal{G}}(\vec{\Lambda}) \longrightarrow L_{X}^{*}\mathcal{G} \longrightarrow 1.$$

From the defining properties of (16) and (17), it follows that the exact sequences of Lie algebras obtained from (16) and (17) are given by



As discussed in the previous section, the residue theorem tells us that the bottom row splits, so that $\widehat{\mathfrak{G}}(\mathring{X}) = \mathfrak{G}(\mathring{X}) \oplus k$ as Lie algebras. Invoking Lemma 2.2.3, we also note that this splitting is unique as otherwise we would have non-trivial maps between $\mathfrak{G}(\mathring{X})$ and k. This implies that if we further assume that (17) splits, inducing therefore an action of $L_{\mathring{X}}\mathcal{G}$ on $\mathcal{H}(\vec{\Lambda})$, then the action of its derivative on $\mathcal{H}(\vec{\Lambda})$ coincides with the action of $\mathfrak{G}(\mathring{X})$. But note that a splitting of (17) is equivalent to an action of $L_{\mathring{X}}\mathcal{G}$ on $\mathcal{L}_{\vec{\Lambda}}$, which means that the line bundle $\mathcal{L}_{\vec{\Lambda}}$ descends to a line bundle on $\operatorname{Bun}_{\mathcal{G}}$. But this is exactly one of the assumptions of Theorem 4.2.1 and thus we conclude.

Remark 4.2.3. Let us assume now that the group \mathcal{G} is of the form $\pi_*(G \times C)^{\Gamma}$ for some Γ -cover $\pi: C \to X$ (with G simple and simply connected and Γ preserving a Borel subgroup of G). Under these assumptions, in [HK23] it is introduced the moduli stack ParBun $_{\mathcal{G}}$ of \mathcal{G} -bundles with appropriate parabolic structures at S. When the level of $\vec{\Lambda}$ is divisible by the order of Γ , it is further shown that there is a line bundle $\mathcal{L}_{\vec{\Lambda}}$ on ParBun $_{\mathcal{G}_{\pi}}$ and that there is a natural isomorphism $\mathbb{V}^{\dagger}(\mathfrak{G}; \vec{\Lambda})_{C \to X;S} \cong \mathrm{H}^{0}(\mathrm{ParBun}_{\mathcal{G}}, \mathcal{L}_{\vec{\Lambda}})$ [HK23, Theorem 12.1]. Combining this result with [HK23, Theorem 12.1], one deduces that $\mathrm{H}^{0}(\mathrm{ParBun}_{\mathcal{G}_{\pi}}, \mathcal{L}_{1}) = \mathrm{H}^{0}(\mathrm{Bun}_{\mathcal{G}}, \mathcal{L}_{2})$ for $\mathcal{G}_{\pi} = \bar{\pi}(G \times C)^{\Gamma}$ and for appropriate line bundles \mathcal{L}_{i} (see Section 4.2 for the notation).

4.3. Uniqueness of the cover of curve arising from \mathcal{G} . We saw that one of the key ingredients used so far is the realization of $\mathcal{G}|_{X}$ as in (14). We describe here how unique such description is.

Let D denote the group of diagram automorphisms of G, namely the group of automorphisms of G preserving (B, T, ι) where $T \subset B$ is the datum of a maximal torus of G, a Borel containing it and ι is a pinning with respect to (B, T). Let $\Gamma \subseteq D$ and, for any étale Γ -cover $\pi \colon \mathring{C} \to \mathring{X}$, we can simply write

$$\pi_*(G \times \mathring{C})^{\Gamma} \cong G \times^{\Gamma} \mathring{C}.$$

In the following lemma, we write $\mathcal{I}so$ to denote the group of isomorphisms of group schemes over \mathring{X} .

Lemma 4.3.1. For every étale Γ cover $\mathring{C} \to \mathring{X}$, there is an isomorphism of curves

$$G_{\mathrm{ad}} \setminus \mathcal{I}so\left(G \times \mathring{X}, G \times^{\Gamma} \mathring{C}\right) \cong D \times^{\Gamma} \mathring{C}$$

induced by $\operatorname{Aut}(G)/G_{\operatorname{ad}} = D$ and which is compatible with the left actions of D.

Proof. We show that there is a canonical isomorphism étale locally on \mathring{X} and that this descends to \mathring{X} itself. We note that

$$\begin{split} \mathcal{I}so\left(G \times \mathring{X}, G \times^{\Gamma} \mathring{C}\right) \times_{\mathring{X}} \mathring{C} &= \mathcal{I}so\left(G \times \mathring{C}, (G \times^{\Gamma} \mathring{C}) \times_{\mathring{X}} \mathring{C}\right) \\ &= \mathcal{I}so\left(G \times \mathring{C}, (G \times \mathring{C} \times_{\mathring{X}} \mathring{C})^{\Gamma}\right) \\ &= \mathcal{I}so\left(G \times \mathring{C}, (G \times \sqcup_{\Gamma} \mathring{C})^{\Gamma}\right) \\ &= \mathcal{I}so\left(G \times \mathring{C}, G \times \mathring{C}\right) = \operatorname{Aut}(G) \times \mathring{C} \end{split}$$

Similarly

$$(D \times^{\Gamma} \mathring{C}) \times_{\mathring{X}} \mathring{C} = (D \times \mathring{C} \times_{\mathring{X}} \mathring{C})^{\Gamma} = D \times \mathring{C}.$$

Therefore, the isomorphism $G_{ad} \setminus Aut(G) = D$ gives, étale locally, the wanted isomorphism. The cocycle that gives rise to a global map is the automorphism of

$$\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathring{C}\times_{\mathring{X}}\mathring{C}}\left(\operatorname{Aut}(G)\times\mathring{C}\times_{\mathring{X}}\mathring{C},D\times\mathring{C}\times_{\mathring{X}}\mathring{C}\right)$$

which send the map

$$(\sigma, c, d) \mapsto (\eta_{c,d}(\sigma), c, d)$$

to the map

$$(\sigma, c, d) \mapsto (\eta_{c,d}(\sigma)\gamma^{-1}, c, d),$$

where γ is the unique element of Γ such that $d = \gamma(c)$.

Suppose now that there are two identifications

$$\mathcal{G}|_{\mathring{X}} \cong \pi_* \left(G \times \mathring{C} \right)^{\Gamma}$$
 and $\mathcal{G}|_{\mathring{X}} \cong \pi'_* \left(G \times \mathring{C}' \right)^{\Gamma'}$,

where $\pi: \mathring{C} \to \mathring{X}$ (resp. $\pi': \mathring{C}' \to \mathring{X}$) is an étale Γ -cover (resp. Γ' -cover) for a finite subgroup Γ (resp. Γ') of D. Denote by C and C' the closures of \mathring{C} and \mathring{C}' respectively.

Proposition 4.3.2. There exist an element $\delta \in D$ and an isomorphism $\phi: C \to C'$ over X such that

$$\Gamma = \delta \Gamma' \delta^{-1}$$
 and $\phi(\gamma \cdot p) = (\delta^{-1} \gamma \delta) \phi(p)$

for every $\gamma \in \Gamma$ and $p \in C$.

Proof. Since by assumption

$$G \times^{\Gamma} \mathring{C} = \pi_* (G \times \mathring{C})^{\Gamma} \cong \mathcal{G} \cong \pi'_* (G \times \mathring{C}')^{\Gamma'} = G \times^{\Gamma'} \mathring{C}',$$

we can use Lemma 4.3.1 to induce an isomorphism of curves $\psi^{\circ} \colon D \times^{\Gamma} \mathring{C} \cong D \times^{\Gamma'} \mathring{C}'$ which is compatible with the left action of D. This naturally extends to a D-equivariant isomorphism

$$\psi \colon D \times^{\Gamma} C \cong D \times^{\Gamma'} C'.$$

The isomorphism ψ sends connected components to connected components and therefore it restricts to an isomorphism $\psi \colon \Gamma \times^{\Gamma} C \to \delta \Gamma' \times^{\Gamma'} C'$ for some element $\delta \in D$. By *D*equivariance, the stabilizer groups of both components agree. Thus, we must have $\Gamma = \delta \Gamma' \delta^{-1}$.

By identifying $C \cong \Gamma \times^{\Gamma} C$ via $p \mapsto (1, p)$ and similarly $C' \cong \delta \Gamma' \times^{\Gamma'} C'$ via $p' \mapsto (\delta, p')$, the map ψ defines the isomorphism $\phi \colon C \to C'$ which satisfies $\phi(\gamma \cdot p) = (\delta^{-1}\gamma\delta)\phi(p)$ for every $\gamma \in \Gamma$, and $p \in C$.

From the above proposition it follows that, to each parahoric Bruhat–Tits group \mathcal{G} over X, the order of any group Γ such that (14) holds is an invariant that only depends on \mathcal{G} . We call such number the **generic splitting degree** of \mathcal{G} and denote it by $gsd(\mathcal{G})$. Since Γ is a subgroup of the group of diagram automorphisms of G, it follows that $gsd(\mathcal{G})$ takes values 1, 2, 3 or 6 only. Furthermore, observe that the splitting degree of each point (as defined in Section 2) must necessarily divide $gsd(\mathcal{G})$.

We immediately obtain the following corollary.

Corollary 4.3.3. If G is not of type D_4 , then necessarily $\Gamma = \Gamma'$ and the isomorphism ϕ is Γ -equivariant. If G is of type D_4 and Γ has order three, then $\Gamma = \Gamma'$.

We can use Proposition 4.3.2 to compare conformal blocks associated with different Lie algebras and representations. Using the covers C and C' above, we have the two sheaves of Lie algebras

$$\mathfrak{G} \coloneqq \pi_*(\mathfrak{g} \otimes \mathcal{O}_C)^{\Gamma}, \qquad \text{and} \qquad \mathfrak{G}' \coloneqq \pi_*(\mathfrak{g} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{C'})^{\Gamma'}$$

over X. Observe that although in general neither \mathfrak{G} nor \mathfrak{G} equal the Lie algebra of \mathcal{G} , their associated conformal blocks describe sections of line bundles over $\operatorname{Bun}_{\mathcal{G}}$ (see Theorem 4.2.1). Fix an element $\delta \in D$ and an isomorphism $\phi \colon C \to C'$ satisfying Proposition 4.3.2. This datum induces a Lie algebra isomorphism between \mathfrak{G} and \mathfrak{G}' , and also a Lie algebra isomorphism between $\widehat{\mathfrak{G}}_x = \hat{L}(\mathfrak{g}, \tau)$ and $\widehat{\mathfrak{G}}'_x = \hat{L}(\mathfrak{g}, \tau'_x) = \hat{L}(\mathfrak{g}, \delta^{-1}\tau_x\delta)$. Therefore to every dominant weight Λ_x of $\widehat{\mathfrak{G}}_x$ there is a unique induced dominant weight of $\widehat{\mathfrak{G}}'_x$ which we simply denote Λ'_x . Doing so for every $x \in S$, the pair (δ, ϕ) associates to the collection of dominant weights $\vec{\Lambda}$ a unique $\vec{\Lambda'}$. One therefore deduces the following:

Corollary 4.3.4. Using the above notation, the pair (δ, ϕ) induces an isomorphism

$$F_{\delta,\phi} \colon \mathbb{V}^{\dagger}(\mathfrak{G}; \Lambda)_{C \to X;S} \cong \mathbb{V}^{\dagger}(\mathfrak{G}', \Lambda')_{C' \to X;S}.$$

5. Using twisted conformal blocks to control descent

In this last section we show how non-vanishing of conformal blocks controls the descent of line bundles from $\operatorname{Gr}_{\mathcal{G},S}$ to $\operatorname{Bun}_{\mathcal{G}}$. As a consequence, we show that indeed (12) holds true in a number of cases.

5.1. Controlling descent. We describe here a sufficient condition to ensure that a line bundle on $\operatorname{Gr}_{\mathcal{G},S}$ descends to $\operatorname{Bun}_{\mathcal{G}}$ or, equivalently, has an $L_{\hat{X}}\mathcal{G}$ -linearization. This is inspired by [Sor99, Section 3.3], where Sorger uses this result to study line bundles on the stack parametrizing *G*-bundles. As in Section 2.2, we assume that $\mathcal{R} \subseteq S \neq \emptyset$. Let \mathfrak{G} be the Lie algebra over *X* defined in (15) via any Γ -cover $C \to X$ such that (14) is satisfied.

Theorem 5.1.1. Let $\mathcal{L}_{\vec{\Lambda}} \in \operatorname{Pic}^{\Delta}(\operatorname{Gr}_{\mathcal{G},S})$ be a dominant line bundle. If the space of twisted conformal blocks $\mathbb{V}^{\dagger}(\mathfrak{G}; \vec{\Lambda})_{C \to X;S}$ is non zero, then $\mathcal{L}_{\vec{\Lambda}}$ descends to a unique line bundle on $\operatorname{Bun}_{\mathcal{G}}$.

Proof. We can use Proposition 2.2.2 to say that the line bundle $\mathcal{L}_{\vec{\Lambda}}$ descents to $\operatorname{Bun}_{\mathcal{G}}$ if and only if $\mathcal{L}_{\vec{\Lambda}}$ has an $L_{\vec{X}}\mathcal{G}$ -linearization (which is necessarily unique). As noted in the proof of Theorem 4.2.1, this is equivalent to the existence of a splitting (again necessarily unique) of the exact sequence (17). Finally, using an argument in [Sor99, Proposition 3.3], the splitting to (17) is ensured by the non-vanishing of the space

$$\mathrm{H}^{0}\left(\mathrm{Gr}_{\mathcal{G},S},\mathcal{L}_{\vec{\Lambda}}\right)^{L_{\vec{X}}\mathcal{G}}\neq0,$$

and the fact that $L_{\hat{X}}\mathcal{G}$ is integral (Lemma 2.2.1). We thus conclude using Theorem 4.2.1, which identifies that space of sections with the space $\mathbb{V}^{\dagger}(\vec{\Lambda})_{C \to X;S}$ of twisted conformal blocks. \Box

5.2. Non vanishing criteria. We give in this section some criteria to detect non-vanishing of the space of conformal blocks $\mathbb{V}^{\dagger}(\vec{\Lambda})_{C \to X;S}$. Combining these results with Lemma 3.2.3 we can provide a more accurate estimate of $c_{\mathcal{G}}$ and also show that it coincides with c_{Δ} whenever all parahoric groups are Iwahori (see Theorem 5.2.2).

When the group \mathcal{G} is already given in terms of Galois covers of curves, one can directly use the results and examples of [DM23] and [HK24] to effectively apply Theorem 5.1.1. In fact, [DM23, Theorem 1.2] gives the analogue of the Verlinde formula for twisted conformal blocks, extending [Fal94]. In theory, one may apply that formula to compute the dimension of twisted conformal blocks and immediately deduce non-vanishing.

Example 5.2.1. For instance, from [DM23, Example B.8] one has

$$\dim \mathbb{V}^{\dagger}(A_{2r-1}^{(2)}, \vec{\Lambda_o})_{C \to X; \mathcal{R}} = 2^g r^{g+n-1},$$

where $C \to X$ is a 2-to-1 cover ramified over $\mathcal{R} = \{x_1, \ldots, x_{2n}\}$ and where the Lie algebras $\widehat{\mathfrak{G}}_{x_i}$ are all of type $A_{2r-1}^{(2)}$. Using Theorem 5.1.1 it therefore follows that $\mathcal{L}_{\vec{\Lambda_o}}$ descends to a line bundle on $\operatorname{Bun}_{\mathcal{G}}$. Note that in this situation $c_{\mathcal{G}} = c_{\Delta} = 1$.

In practice, however, applying the Verlinde formula can actually be complicated and, since we only care about whether $\mathbb{V}^{\dagger}(\vec{\Lambda})_{C \to X;S}$ is zero or not, not always necessary.

Theorem 5.2.2. Let \mathcal{G} on X be a parahoric Bruhat–Tits group of generic splitting degree different from 6. If for every $x \in S$, the group $\mathcal{P}_x = \mathcal{G}(\mathbb{D}_x)$ is an Iwahori subgroup of $\mathcal{G}(\mathbb{D}_x^{\times})$, then $\mathbf{c}_{\mathcal{G}} = 1 = \mathbf{c}_{\Delta}$.

Proof. Since all parahoric groups are Iwahori, the special vertex o belongs to \widehat{I}_x for every $x \in S$ and therefore the sum of weights

$$\vec{\Lambda}_o \coloneqq (\Lambda_o)_{x \in S}$$

defines a line bundle on $\operatorname{Gr}_{\mathcal{G},S}$ which actually belongs to $\operatorname{Pic}^{\Delta}(\operatorname{Gr}_{\mathcal{G},S})$ and has central charge 1. We are going to show that this line bundle descends to $\operatorname{Bun}_{\mathcal{G}}$ by showing that the associated space of twisted conformal blocks

$$\mathbb{V}^{\dagger} \coloneqq \mathbb{V}^{\dagger}(\mathfrak{G}; \vec{\Lambda}_o)_{C \to X; S}$$

is non zero.

gsd(\mathcal{G}) = 1: Since the generic splitting degree of \mathcal{G} , it means that Γ is trivial and so \mathbb{V}^{\dagger} coincides with the space of *untwisted* conformal blocks $\mathbb{V}^{\dagger}(\mathfrak{g} \otimes X, \vec{\Lambda}_o)_{X;S}$. A standard method to check that this is non zero is to degenerate \mathbb{V}^{\dagger} (by degenerating the curve X) into a vector space of the same dimension but which naturally contains

$$\bigotimes_{x\in S} \mathbb{V}^{\dagger}(\mathfrak{g}, \Lambda_o)_{\mathbb{P}^1; 0}$$

All the components are one dimensional by either a direct computation or [Bea96, Corollary 4.4]. (One could directly compute the dimension of \mathbb{V}^{\dagger} using the Verlinde formula, but since we only need to show that this space is non-zero, we can reduce to a simpler computation.)

 $gsd(\mathcal{G}) = 2$: Here $C \to X$ is a $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ cover which is ramified exactly over the non-split bad points \mathcal{R}_2 , which necessarily have splitting degree 2. By the Riemann-Hurwitz formula it follows that $|\mathcal{R}_2|$ is even, and call $x_1, \ldots, x_{2\ell}$ its points. In view of [HK24, Theorem 4.7], and using propagation of vacua, the space of twisted conformal blocks \mathbb{V}^{\dagger} degenerates into a vector space of the same dimension, but which contains (at least one copy of) the space

$$\bigotimes_{i=1}^{\ell} \mathbb{V}^{\dagger}(\mathfrak{G}; \Lambda_{o}, \Lambda_{o})_{\mathbb{P}^{1} \xrightarrow{\pi} \mathbb{P}^{1}; 0, \infty} \otimes \bigotimes_{x \in S \setminus \mathcal{R}_{2}} \mathbb{V}^{\dagger}(\mathfrak{g}; \Lambda_{o})_{\mathbb{P}^{1}; 0},$$

where π is the unique 2-to-1 cover of \mathbb{P}^1 ramified at 0 and ∞ . The space $\mathbb{V}^{\dagger}(\mathfrak{G}; \Lambda_o, \Lambda_o)_{\mathbb{P}^1 \xrightarrow{\pi} \mathbb{P}^1; 0, \infty}$ is one dimensional by [BH20, Lemma 3.12] or [DM23, Lemma 8.7]. The space $\mathbb{V}^{\dagger}(\mathfrak{g}; \Lambda_o)_{\mathbb{P}^1; 0}$ is also one dimensional as discussed in the previous case.

 $gsd(\mathcal{G}) = 3$: Here $C \to X$ is a $\mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z}$ cover which is ramified over the non-split points \mathcal{R}_3 which necessarily have splitting degree 3. Denote by γ one generator of $\mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z}$. The set \mathcal{R}_3 can therefore be partitioned into two sets $\mathcal{R}_3^+ \cup \mathcal{R}_3^-$ depending on the monodromy of γ at those points. The étale cover $C \to X$ induces a representation $\pi_1(X \setminus \mathcal{R}) \to \mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z}$ and therefore we have that $|\mathcal{R}_3^+|$ and $|\mathcal{R}_3^-|$ agree modulo 3. We have therefore the following three scenarios:

- (a) $|\mathcal{R}_3^+| \equiv_3 0$: in this case also $|\mathcal{R}_3| \equiv_3 0$ and we can partition \mathcal{R}_3 into triples of points all with the same monodromy;
- (b) $|\mathcal{R}_3^+| \equiv_3 1$: for every $x^{\pm} \in \mathcal{R}_3^{\pm}$, we can find a partition of \mathcal{R}_3 which consists of $\{x^+, x^-\}$ and triples of points all with the same monodromy;
- (c) $|\mathcal{R}_3^+| \equiv_3 2$: for every $x^{\pm}, y^{\pm} \in \mathcal{R}_3^{\pm}$, we can find a partition of \mathcal{R}_3 which consists of the sets $\{x^+, x^-\}, \{y^+, y^-\}$, and triples of points all with the same monodromy.

In case (a), write $|\mathcal{R}_3^{\pm}| = 3N^{\pm}$. It follows from [HK24, Lemma 4.3] and propagation of vacua, that the space of twisted conformal blocks \mathbb{V}^{\dagger} degenerates into a vector space of the same dimension, but which contains (at least one copy of) the space

$$\bigotimes_{i=1}^{N^++N^-} \mathbb{V}^{\dagger}(\mathfrak{G}; \Lambda_o, \Lambda_o, \Lambda_o)_{E \xrightarrow{q} \mathbb{P}^1; 0, 1, \infty} \otimes \bigotimes_{x \in S \setminus \mathcal{R}_3} \mathbb{V}^{\dagger}(\Lambda_o)_{\mathbb{P}^1; 0},$$

where q is a 3-to-1 cover of \mathbb{P}^1 ramified exactly at 0, 1 and ∞ , all of which have the same monodromy. It follows from [DM23, Example B.10] that

$$\dim\left(\mathbb{V}^{\dagger}(\Lambda_{o},\Lambda_{o},\Lambda_{o})_{E\xrightarrow{q}\mathbb{P}^{1};0,1,\infty}\right)=2,$$

which, together with the already noted fact that $\mathbb{V}^{\dagger}(\mathfrak{g}; \Lambda_o)_{\mathbb{P}^1;0} \cong k$, shows that also in this case the space of conformal blocks is non zero.

We conclude considering the case (b), while leaving (c) to the reader as it proceeds similarly. Let $|\mathcal{R}_3^+| = 1 + 3N^+$ and $|\mathcal{R}_3^-| = 1 + 3N^-$. Then, again using [HK24, Lemma 4.3] and propagation of vacua, \mathbb{V}^{\dagger} degenerates into a vector space of the same dimension and which contains (at least one copy of) the space

$$\bigotimes_{i=1}^{N^++N^-} \mathbb{V}^{\dagger}(\mathfrak{G}; \Lambda_o, \Lambda_o, \Lambda_o)_{E \xrightarrow{q}} \mathbb{P}^1; 0, 1, \infty} \otimes \mathbb{V}^{\dagger}(\mathfrak{G}; \Lambda_o, \Lambda_o)_{\mathbb{P}^1 \xrightarrow{\pi}} \mathbb{P}^1; 0, \infty} \otimes \bigotimes_{x \in S \setminus \mathcal{R}_3} \mathbb{V}^{\dagger}(\Lambda_o)_{\mathbb{P}^1, 0},$$

where π is the only 3-to-1 cover of \mathbb{P}^1 ramified at 0 and ∞ only. To conclude, it is enough to prove that $\mathbb{V}^{\dagger}(\Lambda_o, \Lambda_o)_{\mathbb{P}^1 \xrightarrow{\pi} \mathbb{P}^1; 0, \infty}$ is non trivial and again [BH20, Lemma 3.12] or [DM23, Lemma 8.7] shows that it is in fact one dimensional.

We summarize here the main ideas behind the proof of Theorem 5.2.2. The main strategy we use to show non-vanishing of $\mathbb{V}^{\dagger} = \mathbb{V}^{\dagger}(\mathfrak{G}; \vec{\Lambda})_{C \to X;S}$ is to degenerate this vector space into another vector space of the same dimension, but that decomposes into a direct sum of simpler pieces (of which at least one of them can be shown to not be zero). We refer to [Dam20, HK23, DM23, HK24] for details.

This method arises from geometry: space of twisted conformal blocks define vector bundle on the space parametrizing Γ -covers of curves. By fixing appropriate invariants, this moduli space is connected and therefore the rank of the vector bundle of conformal blocks is constant. The degeneration of \mathbb{V}^{\dagger} mentioned in the proof of Theorem 5.2.2 stems from the degeneration of the Γ -cover $C \to X$ to another one $C' \to X'$, where X' becomes a (nodal) rational curve. Therefore one has

$$\dim(\mathbb{V}^{\dagger}(\mathfrak{G};\vec{\Lambda})_{C\to X;S}) = \dim(\mathbb{V}^{\dagger}(\mathfrak{G}';\vec{\Lambda})_{C'\to X';S}) = \dim(\mathbb{V}^{\dagger'}),$$

and so we are left to show that $\mathbb{V}^{\dagger'}$ is non-zero. To do so, we use the *Factorization Theorem*, which gives a natural decomposition of $\mathbb{V}^{\dagger'}$ into a direct sum of twisted conformal blocks associated to Γ -covers of \mathbb{P}^1 .

In the proof of Theorem 5.2.2 we saw that, when $\vec{\Lambda} = \vec{\Lambda}_o$ (which we could assume since all parahoric groups of \mathcal{G} were assumed to be Iwahori), there is at least one component of $\mathbb{V}^{\dagger'}$ that it is easily computable. However, for general $\vec{\Lambda}$, this last computation can be quite involved and the degeneration requires further care. This depends on the fact that, although one reduces the computation of conformal blocks to those associated to Γ -covers $C \to \mathbb{P}^1$, we cannot in general reduce the complexity of C (see also [DM23, Remark B.11]).

Following this idea, in Proposition 5.2.3 we are able to give a lower bound on $c_{\mathcal{G}}$ when $gsd(\mathcal{G}) = 2$ and without imposing that parahoric groups are Iwahori. A similar statement when $gsd(\mathcal{G}) = 3$ is possible, but we leave it to the reader.

Let $C \to X$ be a 2-to-1 cover such that (14) holds and denote by \mathcal{R}_2 the branch locus of X, which consists of bad points of \mathcal{G} of splitting degree 2. Let τ be the generator of the Galois group of $C \to X$, which we identify with a diagram automorphism of G. As already discussed in the proof of Theorem 5.2.2, necessarily $|\mathcal{R}_2|$ is even. Without loss of generality, we also assume that $S_1 \coloneqq S \setminus \mathcal{R}_2$ has also even cardinality. We therefore have $|\mathcal{R}_2| = 2N$ and $|S_1| = 2M$.

For $i \in \{1, \ldots, 2N\}$, let Y_i be the subset of \widehat{I}_{τ} determined by the parahoric subgroup $\mathcal{G}(\mathbb{D}_{x_i})$ of $G(k((t)))^{\tau}$. For every $n \neq m \in \{1, \ldots, 2N\}$, define

$$P_{n,m} := \{ i \in \widehat{I}_{\tau} \text{ such that } i \in Y_n \cap Y_m \} \subset \widehat{I}_{\tau}.$$

Let \mathfrak{N} be any partition of $\{1, \ldots, 2N\}$ into pairs $\{n_1, n_2\}, \ldots, \{n_{2N-1}, n_{2N}\}$ and denote by $P_{\mathfrak{N}}$ the product $P_{n_1, n_2} \times \cdots \times P_{n_{2N-1}, n_{2N}}$.

Similarly, for $i \in \{1, \ldots, 2M\}$, let Y_i be the subset of \hat{I} determined by the parahoric subgroup $\mathcal{G}(\mathbb{D}_{x_i})$ of G(k((z))). As before, for every $n \neq m \in \{1, \ldots, 2M\}$, define

$$Q_{n,m} := \{i \in \hat{I} \text{ such that } i \in Y_n \text{ and } i^* \in Y_m\} \subset \hat{I},$$

where $i^* = -w_0(i)$ is the image of *i* under the action of the longest element of the Weyl group of \mathfrak{g} . Let \mathfrak{M} be any partition of $\{1, \ldots, 2M\}$ into pairs $\{m_1, m_2\}, \ldots, \{m_{2M-1}, m_{2M}\}$ and denote by $Q_{\mathfrak{M}}$ the product $Q_{m_1, m_2} \times \cdots \times Q_{m_{2M-1}, m_{2M}}$. **Proposition 5.2.3.** For every partition \mathfrak{N} of $\{1, \ldots, 2N\}$ into pairs, and for every partition \mathfrak{M} of $\{1, \ldots, 2M\}$ into pairs $c_{\mathcal{G}}$ divides

$$C_{\mathfrak{N},\mathfrak{M}} \coloneqq \lim_{\substack{(i_1,\dots,i_N)\in P_{\mathfrak{N}}\\(j_1,\dots,j_M)\in Q_{\mathfrak{M}}}} \left(\check{a}_{i_1},\dots,\check{a}_{i_N},\check{a}_{j_1}\dots,\check{a}_{j_M}\right).$$

Proof. Let $\bar{\Lambda}_{\mathfrak{N},\mathfrak{M}}$ be the collection of affine dominant weights attached to S, which assigns the pair of points indexed by i_n the dominant weight $\frac{C_{\mathfrak{N},\mathfrak{M}}}{\check{a}_{i_n}}$ for $1 \leq n \leq N$, and assigns the pair of points indexed by j_m the dominant weight $\frac{C_{\mathfrak{N},\mathfrak{M}}}{\check{a}_{j_m}}$ for $1 \leq m \leq M$. It is enough to show that the line bundle on $\mathrm{Gr}_{\mathcal{G},S}$ corresponding to $\check{\Lambda}_{\mathfrak{N},\mathfrak{M}}$ descends to $\mathrm{Bun}_{\mathcal{G}}$. And again, as before, it is enough to show that the associated space of conformal blocks $\mathbb{V}_{\mathfrak{N},\mathfrak{M}}^{\dagger} \coloneqq \mathbb{V}^{\dagger}(\mathfrak{G}, \check{\Lambda}_{\mathfrak{N},\mathfrak{M}})_{C \to X;S}$ is non zero. To simplify notation, write

$$\mathfrak{N}_n \coloneqq \frac{C_{\mathfrak{N},\mathfrak{M}}}{\check{a}_{i_n}} \qquad \text{and} \qquad \mathfrak{M}_m \coloneqq \frac{C_{\mathfrak{N},\mathfrak{M}}}{\check{a}_{j_n}}.$$

In view of [HK24, Theorem 4.7], and using propagation of vacua, the space $\mathbb{V}_{\mathfrak{N},\mathfrak{M}}^{\dagger}$ degenerates into a vector space of the same dimension, but containing (at least one copy of) the space

(18)
$$\bigotimes_{n=1}^{N} \mathbb{V}^{\dagger}(\mathfrak{G}; \mathfrak{N}_{n}\Lambda_{i_{n}}, \mathfrak{N}_{n}\Lambda_{i_{n}})_{\mathbb{P}^{1} \xrightarrow{\pi} \mathbb{P}^{1}; 0, \infty} \otimes \bigotimes_{m=1}^{M} \mathbb{V}^{\dagger}(\mathfrak{g}; \mathfrak{M}_{m}\Lambda_{j_{m}}, \mathfrak{M}_{m}\Lambda_{j_{m}}^{*})_{\mathbb{P}^{1}; 0, \infty},$$

where π is the 2-to-1-cover of \mathbb{P}^1 ramified exactly at 0 and at ∞ . Combining [DM23, Lemma 8.7] and [Bea96, Lemma 4.4], we again deduce that the space (18) is one dimensional and therefore $\mathbb{V}_{\mathfrak{N},\mathfrak{M}}^{\dagger}$ is non zero.

Example 5.2.4. Let Γ be a subgroup of D, the group of diagram automorphism of a simplyconnected simple algebraic group G. Given a Γ -cover $\pi \colon C \to X$, we can define the parahoric Bruhat–Tits group scheme $\mathcal{G} := \pi_*(G \times C)^{\Gamma}$ over X. By the exact sequence (10) determined in [Hei10], we always have $\operatorname{Pic}(\operatorname{Bun}_{\mathcal{G}}) = c_{\mathcal{G}}\mathbb{Z}$. Let \mathcal{R} be the branch locus of π in X and observe that \mathcal{R} is exactly the set of bad points of \mathcal{G} . Note that for every $x \in \mathcal{R}$, the group $\mathcal{G}(\mathbb{D}_x)$ is a special parahoric subgroup of $\mathcal{G}(\mathbb{D}_x^{\times})$.

Suppose that $|\Gamma|$ is 2. Applying Proposition 5.2.3—and enlarging S if necessary—we can deduce that $c_{\mathcal{G}} = 1$ whenever $G \neq A_{2n}$ or if \mathcal{R} is empty; otherwise, $c_{\mathcal{G}} = 2$.

Suppose that $|\Gamma|$ is 3, in which case G is of type D_4 . Using the same arguments as in the proof of Theorem 5.2.2, we have $c_{\mathcal{G}} = 1$.

References

- [Bea96] Arnaud Beauville. Conformal blocks, fusion rules and the Verlinde formula. In Proceedings of the Hirzebruch 65 Conference on Algebraic Geometry (Ramat Gan, 1993), volume 9 of Israel Math. Conf. Proc., pages 75–96. Bar-Ilan Univ., Ramat Gan, 1996.
- [BH20] Marc Besson and Jiuzu Hong. Smooth locus of twisted affine Schubert varieties and twisted affine Demazure modules. arXiv preprint arXiv:2010.11357, 2020.
- [BL94] Arnaud Beauville and Yves Laszlo. Conformal blocks and generalized theta functions. Comm. Math. Phys., 164(2):385–419, 1994.
- [BS15] V. Balaji and C. S. Seshadri. Moduli of parahoric *G*-torsors on a compact Riemann surface. J. Algebraic Geom., 24(1):1–49, 2015.
- [BT84] F. Bruhat and J. Tits. Groupes réductifs sur un corps local. II. Schémas en groupes. Existence d'une donnée radicielle valuée. Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math., (60):197–376, 1984.

- [Dam20] Chiara Damiolini. Conformal blocks attached to twisted groups. Math. Z., 295(3-4):1643-1681, 2020.
- [DH23] Chiara Damiolini and Jiuzu Hong. Local types of (Γ, G) -bundles and parahoric group schemes. Proc. Lond. Math. Soc. (3), 127(2):261–294, 2023.
- [DM23] Tanmay Deshpande and Swarnava Mukhopadhyay. Crossed modular categories and the Verlinde formula for twisted conformal blocks. *Camb. J. Math.*, 11(1):159–297, 2023.
- [Fal94] Gerd Faltings. A proof for the Verlinde formula. J. Algebraic Geom., 3(2):347–374, 1994.
- [Hei10] Jochen Heinloth. Uniformization of *G*-bundles. Math. Ann., 347(3):499–528, 2010.
- [Hei17] Jochen Heinloth. Hilbert-Mumford stability on algebraic stacks and applications to *G*-bundles on curves. *Épijournal Géom. Algébrique*, 1:Art. 11, 37, 2017.
- [HK23] Jiuzu Hong and Shrawan Kumar. Conformal blocks for Galois covers of algebraic curves. Compos. Math., 159(10):2191–2259, 2023.
- [HK24] Jiuzu Hong and Shrawan Kumar. Twisted conformal blocks and their dimension. Math. Z., 306(4):Paper No. 76, 29, 2024.
- [HY24] Jiuzu Hong and Huanhuan Yu. A refinement of the coherence conjecture of Pappas and Rapoport. Preprint, 2024.
- [Kac90] Victor G. Kac. Infinite-dimensional Lie algebras. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, third edition, 1990.
- [LS97] Yves Laszlo and Christoph Sorger. The line bundles on the moduli of parabolic G-bundles over curves and their sections. Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup. (4), 30(4):499–525, 1997.
- [PR08] G. Pappas and M. Rapoport. Twisted loop groups and their affine flag varieties. Adv. Math., 219(1):118–198, 2008. With an appendix by T. Haines and Rapoport.
- [PR10] Georgios Pappas and Michael Rapoport. Some questions about *G*-bundles on curves. In Algebraic and arithmetic structures of moduli spaces (Sapporo 2007), volume 58 of Adv. Stud. Pure Math., pages 159–171. Math. Soc. Japan, Tokyo, 2010.
- [PR24] Georgios Pappas and Michael Rapoport. On tamely ramified *G*-bundles on curves. Algebraic Geometry, 11(6):796–829, 2024.
- [Sor99] Christoph Sorger. On moduli of G-bundles of a curve for exceptional G. Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup. (4), 32(1):127–133, 1999.
- [TUY89] Akihiro Tsuchiya, Kenji Ueno, and Yasuhiko Yamada. Conformal field theory on universal family of stable curves with gauge symmetries. In *Integrable systems in quantum field theory and statistical* mechanics, volume 19 of Adv. Stud. Pure Math., pages 459–566. Academic Press, Boston, MA, 1989.
- [Zel19] Hacen Zelaci. Moduli spaces of anti-invariant vector bundles and twisted conformal blocks. Math. Res. Lett., 26(6):1849–1875, 2019.
- [Zhu14] Xinwen Zhu. On the coherence conjecture of Pappas and Rapoport. Ann. of Math. (2), 180(1):1–85, 2014.

CHIARA DAMIOLINI DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF TEXAS AT AUSTIN, AUSTIN, TX Email address: chiara.damiolini@austin.utexas.edu

JIUZU HONG

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF NORTH CAROLINA AT CHAPEL HILL, CHAPELL HILL, NC *Email address*: jiuzu@email.unc.edu