

ALMOST AUTOMORPHIC HYPERFUNCTIONS

CHIKH BOUZAR AND AMEL BOUDELLAL

ABSTRACT. Almost automorphy in the context of hyperfunctions is the main aim of this work. We give different equivalent definitions of almost automorphic hyperfunctions and then we study this class of hyperfunctions.

1. INTRODUCTION

Almost automorphy is due to S. Bochner, it appeared for the first time in [1], a study of almost automorphic classical functions is tackled in his papers [2],[3],[4], where some of their main properties are given. A more general study of almost automorphy is done in [24]. An example of an almost automorphic function which is not almost periodic is given in [25], which is a confirmation that almost automorphy is more general than almost periodicity studied by H. Bohr, see [5].

Almost automorphy in the framework of Sobolev-Schwartz distributions has been tackled in the paper [7], where the problem of existence of distributional almost automorphic solutions of linear differential equations is considered. The class of almost automorphic distributions extends almost periodic distributions introduced and studied by L. Schwartz in [23] to generalize the Stepanoff almost periodic functions [21]. In the same way as the papers [6],[7] the work [15] deals with almost automorphic non quasi-analytic ultradistributions extending the almost periodicity for ultradistributions, the subject of the work [11], where an application to the Dirichlet problem is done. It is worth noting that concept of ultradistributions is strictly larger than the one of Sobolev-Schwartz distributions, see [14].

Hyperfunctions of M. Sato [19],[20], extend the concepts of classical functions, distributions and ultradistributions. They are important tools in the study of ordinary and partial differential equations. Fourier hyperfunctions are the subject of the paper [13]. Almost periodic Fourier hyperfunctions, using the approach to hyperfunctions proposed by T. Matsuzawa in [16],[17],[18], are the subject of the work [9].

The main aim of this work is to introduce and to study almost automorphy in the context of Fourier hyperfunctions. In a forthcoming work, the existence of almost automorphic hyperfunction solutions of some functional-differential equations will be considered.

The paper is organised as follows : in the second section we first recall the definition and some properties of almost automorphic classical functions, and also the function space $\mathcal{D}_{L^p}^{\{1\}}$, then we introduce the space of real analytic almost automorphic functions and give their main properties. In the third section, we recall the space of test functions for Fourier hyperfunctions, the space of L^p -hyperfunctions and we show some of their important results, see [9],[10],[12],[22]. In the last section, we introduce almost automorphic hyperfunctions by proving a principal theorem characterising the concept of almost automoprphy in the context of hyperfunctions, and then a study of their properties is given.

2. REAL ANALYTIC ALMOST AUTOMORPHIC FUNCTIONS

We consider complex valued functions defined on the whole space of real numbers \mathbb{R} . Let \mathcal{C}_b denotes the space of continuous bounded functions on \mathbb{R} endowed with the norm $\|\cdot\|_\infty$ of uniform convergence on \mathbb{R} . It is well-known that $(\mathcal{C}_b, \|\cdot\|_\infty)$ is a Banach algebra.

Definition 1. (Bochner [2]) *A complex-valued function f defined and continuous on \mathbb{R} is called almost automorphic, if for any real sequence $(s_m)_{m \in \mathbb{N}} \subset \mathbb{R}$, one can extract a subsequence $(s_{m_k})_k$ such that*

$$(1) \quad g(x) := \lim_{k \rightarrow +\infty} f(x + s_{m_k}) \text{ is well-defined for every } x \in \mathbb{R},$$

and

$$(2) \quad \lim_{k \rightarrow +\infty} g(x - s_{m_k}) = f(x) \text{ for every } x \in \mathbb{R}$$

The space of almost automorphic functions on \mathbb{R} is denoted by \mathcal{C}_{aa} .

Remark 2. *The function g in the above definition is not necessarily continuous but it is measurable and bounded, so locally integrable.*

Remark 3. *In [3] is proved that a continuous function f is almost automorphic if and only if for any sequence of real numbers $(s_m)_{m \in \mathbb{N}}$, one can extract a subsequence $(s_{m_k})_k$ such that*

$$\lim_{l \rightarrow +\infty} \lim_{k \rightarrow +\infty} f(x + s_{m_k} - s_{m_l}) = f(x), \forall x \in \mathbb{R}$$

For the following results see [2],[4],[7],[24].

Proposition 4. (1) *The space \mathcal{C}_{aa} is a Banach subalgebra of \mathcal{C}_b invariant by translations.*

(2) *If $f \in \mathcal{C}_{aa}$ and $g \in L^1$, then the convolution $f * g \in \mathcal{C}_{aa}$.*

(3) *Let $f \in \mathcal{C}_{aa}$, if f' exists and is uniformly continuous, then $f' \in \mathcal{C}_{aa}$.*

(4) *A primitive of an almost automorphic function is almost automorphic if and only if it is bounded.*

For more details on the following spaces and their properties see [10, 22]. Let $p \in [1, +\infty]$, and define

$$\mathcal{D}_{L^p, h}^{\{1\}} = \left\{ \varphi \in C^\infty : \|\varphi\|_{L^p, h} := \sup_{j \in \mathbb{Z}_+} \frac{\|\varphi^{(j)}\|_p}{h^j j!} < \infty \right\}, h > 0,$$

then $(\mathcal{D}_{L^p, h}^{\{1\}}, \|\cdot\|_{L^p, h})$ is a Banach space. The space $\mathcal{D}_{L^p}^{\{1\}} := \cup_{h>0} \mathcal{D}_{L^p, h}^{\{1\}}$ endowed with the topology of inductive limit of the Banach spaces $(\mathcal{D}_{L^p, h}^{\{1\}})_{h>0}$ is a (DF) -space.

Definition 5. *The space of real analytic almost automorphic functions on \mathbb{R} is denoted and defined by*

$$\mathcal{B}_{aa}^{\{1\}} = \{f \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}) : \forall j \in \mathbb{Z}_+, f^{(j)} \in \mathcal{C}_{aa} \text{ and } \exists h > 0, \exists C > 0, \forall j \in \mathbb{Z}_+, \|f^{(j)}\|_\infty \leq Ch^j j!\}$$

Proposition 6. *Let $f \in C^\infty$, the following statements are equivalent*

- (1) $f \in \mathcal{B}_{aa}^{\{1\}}$.
- (2) $f \in \mathcal{C}_{aa} \cap \mathcal{D}_{L^\infty}^{\{1\}}$.
- (3) For every sequence $(\rho_m)_{m \in \mathbb{N}} \subset \mathbb{R}$, there exist a subsequence $(\rho_{m_k})_k$ and $\tilde{f} \in \mathcal{D}_{L^\infty}^{\{1\}}$ such that for every $x \in \mathbb{R}$, for every $j \in \mathbb{Z}_+$, we have

$$(3) \quad \tilde{f}^{(j)}(x) = \lim_{k \rightarrow +\infty} f^{(j)}(x + \rho_{m_k}) \text{ and } \lim_{k \rightarrow +\infty} \tilde{f}^{(j)}(x - \rho_{m_k}) = f^{(j)}(x)$$

Proof. 1. \Rightarrow 2. is obvious.

2. \Rightarrow 3. Let $f \in \mathcal{C}_{aa} \cap \mathcal{D}_{L^\infty}^{\{1\}}$, then $\forall j \in \mathbb{Z}_+, \forall (\rho_m)_{m \in \mathbb{N}} \subset \mathbb{R}, \exists (\rho_{m_{j,k}})_k \subset (\rho_m)_m, \exists (\tilde{f}_j)_j \subset L^\infty$ such that

$$\forall x \in \mathbb{R}, \lim_{k \rightarrow +\infty} f^{(j)}(x + \rho_{m_{j,k}}) =: \tilde{f}_j(x), \quad \lim_{k \rightarrow +\infty} \tilde{f}_j(x - \rho_{m_{j,k}}) = f^{(j)}(x)$$

Then $\forall x \in \mathbb{R}, \forall \epsilon > 0, \exists \eta > 0, \forall k \in \mathbb{N}, k \geq \eta, \left| f^{(j)}(x + \rho_{m_{j,k}}) - \tilde{f}_j(x) \right| \leq \epsilon$, so we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \tilde{f}_j(x) \right| &= \left| \tilde{f}_j(x) - f^{(j)}(x + \rho_{m_{j,k}}) + f^{(j)}(x + \rho_{m_{j,k}}) \right| \\ &\leq \epsilon + \|f^{(j)}\|_\infty, \end{aligned}$$

which gives that

$$(4) \quad \left\| \tilde{f}_j \right\|_\infty \leq Ch^j j!$$

For every $n \in \mathbb{Z}_+$, there exists a subsequence $(\rho_{m_{n,k}})_k$ of the sequence $(\rho_m)_m$ such that

$$\forall j \leq n, \lim_{k \rightarrow +\infty} f^{(j)}(x + \rho_{m_{n,k}}) = \tilde{f}^{(j)}(x), \forall x \in \mathbb{R},$$

this result is proved in [8]. The map $k \mapsto m_{k,k}$ is strictly increasing from \mathbb{N} to \mathbb{N} . The sequence $(\rho_{m_{k,k}})_k$, which we denote by $(\rho_{m_k})_k$, is extracted from the subsequences $(\rho_{m_{j,k}})_k$, $j \in \mathbb{Z}_+$, which is in fact extracted from the sequence $(\rho_m)_m$. Consequently,

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow +\infty} f^{(j)}(x + \rho_{m_k}) = \tilde{f}^{(j)}(x) \text{ exists } \forall x \in \mathbb{R}, \forall j \in \mathbb{Z}_+$$

With the same steps we have that

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow +\infty} \tilde{f}^{(j)}(x - \rho_{m_k}) = f^{(j)}(x), \forall x \in \mathbb{R}, \forall j \in \mathbb{Z}_+$$

Let $(\sigma_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a sequence of positive reals numbers converging to zero and consider the sequence of functions $(\phi_{n,k})_{n,k \in \mathbb{N}}$ defined on \mathbb{R} by the equality

$$(5) \quad \phi_{n,k}(\cdot) = \frac{f(\cdot + \rho_{m_k} + \sigma_n) - f(\cdot + \rho_{m_k})}{\sigma_n} = \int_0^1 f'(\cdot + \rho_{m_k} + \theta \sigma_n) d\theta$$

Since $f \in \mathcal{D}_{L^\infty}^{\{1\}}$, then f' is bounded and uniformly continuous on \mathbb{R} , so

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow +\infty} \lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \int_0^1 f'(\cdot + \rho_{m_k} + \theta \sigma_n) d\theta = \lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \lim_{k \rightarrow +\infty} \int_0^1 f'(\cdot + \rho_{m_k} + \theta \sigma_n) d\theta,$$

which gives that $\forall x \in \mathbb{R}$,

$$\tilde{f}'_1(x) = \lim_{k \rightarrow +\infty} \lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \phi_{n,k}(x) = \lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \lim_{k \rightarrow +\infty} \phi_{n,k}(x) := \tilde{f}'_0(x)$$

By iterating to all derivatives and by (4) it follows that $\|\tilde{f}_0^{(j)}\|_\infty = \|\tilde{f}_j\|_\infty \leq Ch^j j!$,

i.e. $\tilde{f}_0 \in \mathcal{D}_{L^\infty}^{\{1\}}$ such that (3) hold.

3. \Rightarrow 1. Let 3 holds, then we have $\forall j \in \mathbb{Z}_+$, $f^{(j)} \in \mathcal{C}_{aa}$ and $\forall x \in \mathbb{R}, \forall \epsilon > 0, \exists \eta > 0, \forall k \in \mathbb{N}, k \geq \eta, \left| \tilde{f}^{(j)}(x - \rho_{m_k}) - f^{(j)}(x) \right| \leq \epsilon$, so we have

$$\begin{aligned} |f^{(j)}(x)| &= \left| f^{(j)}(x) - \tilde{f}^{(j)}(x - \rho_{m_k}) + \tilde{f}^{(j)}(x - \rho_{m_k}) \right| \\ &\leq \epsilon + \left\| \tilde{f}^{(j)} \right\|_\infty, \end{aligned}$$

which gives that

$$(6) \quad \left\| f^{(j)} \right\|_\infty \leq Ch^j j!,$$

we deduce that $f \in \mathcal{B}_{aa}^{\{1\}}$. □

We give some important properties of the space $\mathcal{B}_{aa}^{\{1\}}$.

Proposition 7. (1) $\mathcal{B}_{aa}^{\{1\}}$ is a closed subalgebra of $\mathcal{D}_{L^\infty}^{\{1\}}$ stable by translations and derivations.

(2) $\mathcal{B}_{aa}^{\{1\}} * L^1 \subset \mathcal{B}_{aa}^{\{1\}}$.

(3) Let $f \in \mathcal{B}_{aa}^{\{1\}}$ and F its primitive, then $F \in \mathcal{B}_{aa}^{\{1\}}$ if and only if F is bounded.

Proof. 1. It is easy to see that $\mathcal{B}_{aa}^{\{1\}}$ is stable by translations and derivation. Let f_1 and f_2 belong to $\mathcal{B}_{aa}^{\{1\}}$, then we have $f_1 f_2 \in C^\infty$, and $(f_1 f_2)^{(l)} \in \mathcal{C}_{aa}$, $\forall l \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ by Proposition 4-(1). Furthermore, $\exists h_i > 0$, $\exists C_i > 0$, $\forall l \in \mathbb{Z}_+$,

$$\begin{aligned} \|(f_1 f_2)^{(l)}\|_\infty &\leq \sum_{k+j=l} \frac{l!}{k!j!} \|f_1^{(k)}\|_\infty \|f_2^{(j)}\|_\infty \\ &\leq C_1 C_2 \sum_{k+j=l} \frac{l!}{k!j!} h_1^k h_2^j k!j! \\ &\leq Ch^l l!, \end{aligned}$$

where $C = 2^l C_1 C_2 > 0$ and $h = h_1 + h_2 > 0$. It remains to show that $\mathcal{B}_{aa}^{\{1\}}$ is closed in $\mathcal{D}_{L^\infty}^{\{1\}}$. Let $(\varphi_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a sequence of $\mathcal{B}_{aa}^{\{1\}}$ that converges to $\varphi \in \mathcal{D}_{L^\infty}^{\{1\}}$, i.e. $\exists h > 0$, $\exists C > 0$, $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}$, $\forall j \in \mathbb{Z}_+$, $\|\varphi_n^{(j)} - \varphi^{(j)}\|_\infty \leq Ch^j j!$. Due to the uniform convergence, and by Proposition 4-(1), $\varphi^{(j)} \in \mathcal{C}_{aa}$, $\forall j \in \mathbb{Z}_+$. Consequently, we have $\varphi \in \mathcal{B}_{aa}^{\{1\}}$.

2. If $f \in \mathcal{B}_{aa}^{\{1\}}$ and $g \in L^1$, then $f * g \in C^\infty$, and $\forall j \in \mathbb{Z}_+$, $(f * g)^{(j)} = f^{(j)} * g \in \mathcal{C}_{aa}$ by Proposition 4-(2). On the other hand, $\exists h > 0$, $\exists C > 0$, $\forall j \in \mathbb{Z}_+$, $\forall x \in \mathbb{R}$,

$$\left| (f * g)^{(j)}(x) \right| \leq \|f^{(j)}\|_\infty \int_{\mathbb{R}} |g(x-y)| dy \leq Ch^j j! \|g\|_1,$$

hence $f * g \in \mathcal{B}_{aa}^{\{1\}}$.

3. If $F \in \mathcal{B}_{aa}^{\{1\}}$ is a primitive of $f \in \mathcal{B}_{aa}^{\{1\}}$, so F is bounded. Conversely, let F be a bounded primitive of $f \in \mathcal{B}_{aa}^{\{1\}}$, by Proposition 4-(4), we have $F \in \mathcal{C}_{aa}$. Moreover, since $f \in \mathcal{B}_{aa}^{\{1\}}$, then $\exists h > 0$, $\exists C > 0$, $\forall j \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$\|F^{(j)}\|_\infty = \|f^{(j-1)}\|_\infty \leq Ch^{j-1} (j-1)! \leq \frac{C}{h} h^j j!,$$

so $F \in \mathcal{D}_{L^\infty}^{\{1\}}$ and due to the assertion 2 of Proposition 6, we get $F \in \mathcal{B}_{aa}^{\{1\}}$. \square

3. SPACES OF HYPERFUNCTIONS

In this section we recall spaces of hyperfunctions and prove some of their properties.

Let $\mathcal{F}_{h,k}$ denotes the space of all infinitely derivable functions φ such that

$$\|\varphi\|_{h,k} := \sup_{\substack{x \in \mathbb{R} \\ j \in \mathbb{Z}_+}} \frac{|e^{k|x|} \varphi^{(j)}(x)|}{h^j j!} < \infty,$$

then $(\mathcal{F}_{h,k}, \|\cdot\|_{h,k})$, $h, k > 0$, is a Banach space. The space $\mathcal{F} := \cup_{h,k>0} \mathcal{F}_{h,k}$ endowed with the topology of inductive limit of the Banach spaces $(\mathcal{F}_{h,k})_{h,k}$ is a (DF) space, for more details see [10, 12, 22].

Remark 8. (1) The space \mathcal{F} is in fact the space S_1^1 of [12].

(2) We have a continuous and dense embedding of \mathcal{F} into $\mathcal{D}_{L^1}^{\{1\}}$.

Let $\mu > 0$, a differential operator of infinite order

$$P(D_x) = \sum_{j=0}^{+\infty} a_j \left(\frac{d}{idx} \right)^j$$

is called a $\{j!^\mu\}$ –ultradifferential operator if for every $L > 0$ there exists $C > 0$ such that

$$(7) \quad |a_j| \leq C \frac{L^j}{j!^\mu}, \quad \forall j \in \mathbb{Z}_+$$

Proposition 9. Let $P(D_x)$ be a $\{j!^2\}$ –ultradifferential operator, then the operators $P(D_x^2)$ and $P(D_x)$ are continuous linear mappings from \mathcal{F} into \mathcal{F} and from $\mathcal{D}_{L^1}^{\{1\}}$ into $\mathcal{D}_{L^1}^{\{1\}}$.

Proof. The linearity of $P(D_x^2)$ is obvious. Let $\varphi \in \mathcal{F}$, then there exist $h, k > 0$ such that $\forall x \in \mathbb{R}$, $|e^{k|x|} \varphi^{(j)}(x)| \leq h^j j! \|\varphi\|_{h,k}$ for all $j \in \mathbb{Z}_+$. Using the inequalities (7), then

$$|e^{k|x|} (P(D_x^2)\varphi)^{(j)}(x)| \leq \sum_{i \in \mathbb{Z}_+} \frac{CL^i}{i!^2} h^{2i+j} (2i+j)! \|\varphi\|_{h,k},$$

and since $(2i+j)! \leq 2^{4i+j} i!^2 j!$, we obtain

$$|e^{k|x|} (P(D_x^2)\varphi)^{(j)}(x)| \leq C(2h)^j j! \|\varphi\|_{h,k} \sum_{i \in \mathbb{Z}_+} (2^4 L h^2)^i,$$

if $L > 0$ such that $Lh^2 < \frac{1}{2^5}$, it follows

$$\|P(D^2)\varphi\|_{2h,k} \leq C \|\varphi\|_{h,k},$$

which means the continuity of $P(D_x^2)$ from \mathcal{F} into \mathcal{F} . In the same way we obtain the continuity of $P(D_x)$ from \mathcal{F} into \mathcal{F} and of $P(D_x^2)$ and $P(D_x)$ from $\mathcal{D}_{L^1}^{\{1\}}$ into $\mathcal{D}_{L^1}^{\{1\}}$. \square

- Remark 10.** (1) If $P(D_x)$ is a $\{j!^\mu\}$ -ultradifferential operator acting on \mathcal{F} and $\mathcal{D}_{L^1}^{\{1\}}$, then for every $0 < \lambda \leq \mu$, $P(D_x)$ is also a $\{j!^\lambda\}$ -ultradifferential operator acting on \mathcal{F} and $\mathcal{D}_{L^1}^{\{1\}}$.
- (2) If $P(D_x)$ is only a $\{j!\}$ -ultradifferential operator, then the operator $P(D_x^2)$ does not necessary operate on \mathcal{F} .
- (3) If $P(D_x)$ is a $\{j!\}$ -ultradifferential operator, then the operator $P(D_x)$ is continuous linear mapping from \mathcal{F} into \mathcal{F} and from $\mathcal{D}_{L^1}^{\{1\}}$ into $\mathcal{D}_{L^1}^{\{1\}}$.

Recall that the space of L^p -hyperfunctions, $1 < p \leq +\infty$, denoted by $\mathcal{D}'_{L^p, \{1\}}$, is defined as the topological dual of $\mathcal{D}_{L^q}^{\{1\}}$, where $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$, see [10, 22]. The space $\dot{\mathcal{D}}_{L^\infty}^{\{1\}}$ denotes the closure in $\mathcal{D}_{L^\infty}^{\{1\}}$ of the space \mathcal{F} , and its topological dual $(\dot{\mathcal{D}}_{L^\infty}^{\{1\}})'$ is denoted by $\mathcal{D}'_{L^1, \{1\}}$.

Definition 11. The topological dual \mathcal{F}' of \mathcal{F} is called the space of Fourier hyperfunctions. The space $\mathcal{D}'_{L^\infty, \{1\}} := (\mathcal{D}_{L^1}^{\{1\}})'$ is the space of bounded hyperfunctions.

Remark 12. In view of Remark 8-(2), we have a continuous embedding of $\mathcal{D}'_{L^\infty, \{1\}}$ into \mathcal{F}' .

- Remark 13.** (1) Let $P(D_x)$ be a $\{j!^2\}$ -ultradifferential operator, by Proposition 9, it follows the continuity of linear operators $P(D_x^2)$ and $P(D_x)$ from $\mathcal{D}'_{L^\infty, \{1\}}$ into $\mathcal{D}'_{L^\infty, \{1\}}$, and also from \mathcal{F}' into \mathcal{F}' .
- (2) If $P(D_x)$ is a $\{j!\}$ -ultradifferential operator, by Remark 10-(3), it holds that the continuity of linear operator $P(D_x)$ from $\mathcal{D}'_{L^\infty, \{1\}}$ into $\mathcal{D}'_{L^\infty, \{1\}}$, and also from \mathcal{F}' into \mathcal{F}' .

4. ALMOST AUTOMORPHIC HYPERFUNCTIONS

In this section we introduce almost automorphic hyperfunctions and give some of their main properties.

The heat kernel E is defined by

$$E(x, t) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{\sqrt{4\pi t}} e^{-\frac{x^2}{4t}}, & t > 0 \\ 0, & t \leq 0 \end{cases}$$

We recall some needed results, see [10, 17].

- Lemma 14.** (1) The function $E(., t) \in \mathcal{F}, \forall t > 0$.
 (2) The function $\varphi(.) * E(., t) \in \mathcal{D}_{L^1}^{\{1\}}, \forall \varphi \in \mathcal{D}_{L^1}^{\{1\}}, \forall t > 0$.
 (3) We have $\varphi(.) * E(., t) \rightarrow \varphi(.)$ in $\mathcal{D}_{L^1}^{\{1\}}$ as $t \rightarrow 0^+$.

Definition 15. The Gauss transform of $U \in \mathcal{D}'_{L^\infty, \{1\}}$ is defined and denoted by

$$u(., t) = U(.) * E(., t) = \langle U_y, E(. - y, t) \rangle, t > 0$$

Remark 16. The Gauss transform of $U \in \mathcal{D}'_{L^\infty, \{1\}}$ is a C^∞ function in $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}_+$.

For $h \in \mathbb{R}$, τ_h denotes the translation operator, i.e. $\tau_h \varphi(.) := \varphi(. + h)$ for a function φ . For a hyperfunction $U \in \mathcal{F}'$, $\tau_h U$ is defined by $\langle \tau_h U, \varphi \rangle = \langle U, \tau_{-h} \varphi \rangle$, $\varphi \in \mathcal{F}$.

We recall the following important result needed in the proof of the next theorem.

Lemma 17. [17] For any $h > 0$ and $\epsilon > 0$, there exist functions $v \in C_c^\infty([0, \epsilon])$, $w \in C_c^\infty([\frac{\epsilon}{2}, \epsilon])$ and an ultradifferential operator $P\left(\frac{d}{dt}\right) = \sum_{j=0}^{+\infty} a_j \left(\frac{d}{dt}\right)^j$ such that

$$|v^{(j)}(t)| \leq Ch^{-j} j!^2, \forall j \in \mathbb{Z}_+,$$

$$|v(t)| \leq Ce^{-\frac{h}{t}}, 0 < t < \infty,$$

$$\forall h_1 < h, \exists C_1 > 0, \forall j \in \mathbb{Z}_+, |a_j| \leq C_1 \frac{h_1^j}{j!^2},$$

$$P\left(\frac{d}{dt}\right)v(t) + w(t) = \delta$$

To introduce almost automorphic hyperfunctions, we prove the main results of this section.

Theorem 18. Let $U \in \mathcal{D}'_{L^\infty, \{1\}}$, the following statements are equivalent :

- (1) $U * \varphi \in \mathcal{C}_{aa}, \forall \varphi \in \mathcal{F}$.
 (2) There exist two functions f, g belonging to \mathcal{C}_{aa} and a $\{j!^2\}$ -ultradifferential operator $P(D_x)$ such that $U = P(D_x^2)f + g$ in $\mathcal{D}'_{L^\infty, \{1\}}$.
 (3) Every sequence $(s_m)_{m \in \mathbb{N}} \subset \mathbb{R}$ admits a subsequence $(s_{m_k})_k$ such that

$$V := \lim_{k \rightarrow +\infty} \tau_{s_{m_k}} U \text{ exists in } \mathcal{D}'_{L^\infty, \{1\}},$$

and

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow +\infty} \tau_{-s_{m_k}} V = U \text{ in } \mathcal{D}'_{L^\infty, \{1\}}$$

- (4) Every sequence $(s_m)_{m \in \mathbb{N}} \subset \mathbb{R}$, admits a subsequence $(s_{m_k})_k$ such that

$$(8) \quad \lim_{l \rightarrow +\infty} \lim_{k \rightarrow +\infty} \tau_{-s_{m_l}} \tau_{s_{m_k}} U = U \text{ in } \mathcal{D}'_{L^\infty, \{1\}}$$

(5) The Gauss transform of U is an almost automorphic function in $x \in \mathbb{R}$ for every $t > 0$.

(6) There exists a sequence $(\varphi_n)_n \subset \mathcal{B}_{aa}^{\{1\}}$ converging to U in $\mathcal{D}'_{L^\infty, \{1\}}$.

Proof. 1. \Rightarrow 2. Let v, w and $P(D_t)$ be as in Lemma 17, the functions V and W defined by

$$V(x, t) := \int_0^{+\infty} v(s)E(x, t + s)ds \quad W(x, t) := \int_0^{+\infty} w(s)E(x, t + s)ds,$$

belong to C^∞ in $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^+$, and for every fixed $t > 0$ they belong to $\mathcal{F} \subset \mathcal{D}'_{L^1}^{\{1\}}$ as functions of x , furthermore we have

$$P\left(-\frac{d}{dt}\right)V(x, t) = E(x, t) - W(x, t),$$

see [9]. As $P\left(-\frac{d}{dt}\right)U \in \mathcal{D}'_{L^\infty, \{1\}}$ and $V \in \mathcal{D}'_{L^1}^{\{1\}}$, then in view of Corollary 5.10 of [22], we have

$$\left(P\left(-\frac{d}{dt}\right)U(\cdot)\right) * V(x, t) = P\left(-\frac{d}{dt}\right)(U(\cdot) * V(x, t)),$$

so

$$(9) \quad P\left(-\frac{d}{dt}\right)(U(\cdot) * V(x, t)) + U(\cdot) * W(x, t) = U(\cdot) * E(x, t)$$

As the heat kernel E is a classical solution of the heat equation in $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^+$, it follows that V is also a classical solution of the heat equation in $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^+$, so we have

$$\begin{aligned} P\left(-\frac{d}{dt}\right)(U(\cdot) * V(x, t)) &= \sum_{j=0}^{+\infty} a_j \left(U(\cdot) * \left(-\frac{d}{dt}\right)^j V(x, t)\right) \\ &= \sum_{j=0}^{+\infty} a_j \left(U(\cdot) * \left(-\frac{d^2}{dx^2}\right)^j V(x, t)\right) \\ &= P(D_x^2)(U(\cdot) * V(x, t)), \end{aligned}$$

consequently,

$$(10) \quad P(D_x^2)(U(\cdot) * V(x, t)) + U(\cdot) * W(x, t) = U(\cdot) * E(x, t)$$

As W is also a classical solution of the heat equation in $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^+$, then $U * V$ and $U * W$ are classical solutions of the heat equation in $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^+$. Moreover, by hypothesis we have that for every fixed $t > 0$ the functions $U(\cdot) * V(\cdot, t)$, $U(\cdot) * W(\cdot, t)$ belong to \mathcal{C}_{aa} , then they are continuous and bounded functions on \mathbb{R} , it follows by Theorem 1 Chapter VII of [26], there exist functions $f, g \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ such that

$$U(\cdot) * V(x, t) = f * E(x, t) \quad \text{and} \quad U(\cdot) * W(x, t) = g * E(x, t)$$

Due to (10), we obtain

$$(11) \quad P(D_x^2)(f * E(x, t)) + g * E(x, t) = U(\cdot) * E(x, t)$$

For every $t > 0$, as the functions $f * E(\cdot, t) = U(\cdot) * V(\cdot, t)$ and $g * E(\cdot, t) = U(\cdot) * W(\cdot, t)$, then they belong to \mathcal{C}_{aa} by hypothesis. We have

$$\begin{aligned} |f * E(x, t) - f(x)| &= \left| \frac{1}{\sqrt{4\pi t}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-\frac{y^2}{4t}} (f(x-y) - f(x)) dy \right|, \\ &\leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-r^2} |f(x - r\sqrt{4t}) - f(x)| dr, \end{aligned}$$

and using dominated convergence Theorem, we obtain

$$\sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}} |f * E(x, t) - f(x)| \xrightarrow{t \rightarrow 0^+} 0,$$

i.e. $f * E(\cdot, t)$ converge uniformly to f on \mathbb{R} as $t \rightarrow 0^+$. In the same way, we obtain that $g * E(\cdot, t)$ converge uniformly to g on \mathbb{R} as $t \rightarrow 0^+$. Due Proposition 4-(1) gives $f, g \in \mathcal{C}_{aa}$. Consequently, and as the linear operator $P(D_x^2)$ is continuous from $\mathcal{D}'_{L^\infty, \{1\}}$ into $\mathcal{D}'_{L^\infty, \{1\}}$, it follows that $P(D_x^2)(f * E(\cdot, t))$ converge to $P(D_x^2)f$ in $\mathcal{D}'_{L^\infty, \{1\}}$ as $t \rightarrow 0^+$. Due to Lemma 14-(3), we have that for every $\varphi \in \mathcal{D}'_{L^1}^{\{1\}}$,

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow 0^+} \langle U(\cdot) * E(\cdot, t), \varphi(\cdot) \rangle = \lim_{t \rightarrow 0^+} \langle U_y, E(\cdot - y, t) * \varphi(\cdot) \rangle = \langle U, \varphi(\cdot) \rangle,$$

which gives that $\lim_{t \rightarrow 0^+} U(\cdot) * E(\cdot, t) = U$ in $\mathcal{D}'_{L^\infty, \{1\}}$. Finally, by (11) we obtain 2.

2. \Rightarrow 3. Suppose that there exist $f, g \in \mathcal{C}_{aa}$ and $P(D_x)$ a $\{j!^2\}$ -ultradifferential operator such that $U = P(D_x^2)f + g$. For every sequence $(s_m)_{m \in \mathbb{N}} \subset \mathbb{R}$, there exists a subsequence $(s_{m_k})_k$ such that $\forall x \in \mathbb{R}$,

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{k \rightarrow +\infty} f(x + s_{m_k}) &= F(x) \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_{k \rightarrow +\infty} F(x - s_{m_k}) = f(x), \\ \lim_{k \rightarrow +\infty} g(x + s_{m_k}) &= G(x) \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_{k \rightarrow +\infty} G(x - s_{m_k}) = g(x), \end{aligned}$$

where the functions $F, G \in L^\infty$. Then for every $\varphi \in \mathcal{D}'_{L^1}^{\{1\}}$, we have

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow +\infty} \langle \tau_{s_{m_k}} U, \varphi \rangle = \lim_{k \rightarrow +\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}} f(x + s_{m_k}) P(D_x^2) \varphi(x) dx + \lim_{k \rightarrow +\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}} g(x + s_{m_k}) \varphi(x) dx,$$

and using dominated convergence Theorem, it follows that

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow +\infty} \langle \tau_{s_{m_k}} U, \varphi \rangle = \langle V, \varphi \rangle,$$

where $V := P(D_x^2)F + G \in \mathcal{D}'_{L^\infty, \{1\}}$. In the same way, for every $\varphi \in \mathcal{D}_{L^1}^{\{1\}}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{k \rightarrow +\infty} \langle \tau_{-s_{m_k}} V, \varphi \rangle &= \int_{\mathbb{R}} \lim_{k \rightarrow +\infty} F(x - s_{m_k}) P(D_x^2) \varphi(x) dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}} \lim_{k \rightarrow +\infty} G(x - s_{m_k}) \varphi(x) dx \\ &= \langle U, \varphi \rangle, \end{aligned}$$

i.e. $\lim_{k \rightarrow +\infty} \tau_{-s_{m_k}} V = U$ in $\mathcal{D}'_{L^\infty, \{1\}}$.

3. \implies 4. Let 3 holds, for every $\varphi \in \mathcal{D}_{L^1}^{\{1\}}$ we have

$$\lim_{l \rightarrow +\infty} \lim_{k \rightarrow +\infty} \langle \tau_{-s_{m_l}} \tau_{s_{m_k}} U, \varphi \rangle = \lim_{l \rightarrow +\infty} \langle V, \tau_{s_{m_l}} \varphi \rangle = \lim_{l \rightarrow +\infty} \langle \tau_{-s_{m_l}} V, \varphi \rangle = \langle U, \varphi \rangle,$$

i.e. $\lim_{l \rightarrow +\infty} \lim_{k \rightarrow +\infty} \tau_{-s_{m_l}} \tau_{s_{m_k}} U = U$ in $\mathcal{D}'_{L^\infty, \{1\}}$.

4. \rightarrow 5. Let a sequence $(s_m)_{m \in \mathbb{N}} \subset \mathbb{R}$, with a subsequence $(s_{m_k})_k$ such that (8) holds. For fixed $t > 0$,

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{l \rightarrow +\infty} \lim_{k \rightarrow +\infty} \tau_{-s_{m_l}} \tau_{s_{m_k}} u(\cdot, t) &= \lim_{l \rightarrow +\infty} \lim_{k \rightarrow +\infty} \langle \tau_{-s_{m_l}} \tau_{s_{m_k}} U_y, E(\cdot - y, t) \rangle \\ &= \langle U_y, E(\cdot - y, t) \rangle = u(\cdot, t), \end{aligned}$$

hence by Remark 3, the Gauss transform $u(\cdot, t)$ is a classical almost automorphic as function of x .

5. \rightarrow 6. As $U \in \mathcal{D}'_{L^\infty, \{1\}}$, i.e. $\forall h > 0, \exists A > 0, \forall j \in \mathbb{Z}_+, \forall x \in \mathbb{R}$,

$$\begin{aligned} \left| (U(\cdot) * E(\cdot, t))^{(j)}(x) \right| &\leq A \|E^{(j)}(x - \cdot, t)\|_{L^1, h}, \\ &\leq A \sup_{i \in \mathbb{Z}_+} \frac{\|E^{(i+j)}(x - \cdot, t)\|_1}{h^i i!} \end{aligned}$$

since $(i+j)! \leq 2^{i+j} i! j!$, we have $\forall k \in \mathbb{Z}_+$,

$$\left| (U(\cdot) * E(\cdot, t))^{(j)}(x) \right| \leq A h^j j! \sup_{k \in \mathbb{Z}_+} \frac{2^k \|E^{(k)}(x - \cdot, t)\|_1}{h^k k!}$$

from Proposition 1.1 of [17], we have there exist $C > 0, a \in]0, 1[$, where a can be taken as close as desired to 1, such that for every $t > 0$,

$$\|E^{(k)}(x - \cdot, t)\|_1 \leq 2 \left(\frac{\pi}{a}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(Ct^{-\frac{1}{2}}\right)^k k!^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

Hence, $\forall h > 0, \exists A > 0, \exists C > 0, \exists a \in]0, 1[, \forall j \in \mathbb{Z}_+, \forall t > 0,$

$$\begin{aligned} \left| (U(\cdot) * E(\cdot, t))^{(j)}(x) \right| &\leq 2A \left(\frac{\pi}{a} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} h^j j! \sup_{k \in \mathbb{Z}_+} \frac{\left(2Ct^{-\frac{1}{2}} \right)^k}{h^k k!^{\frac{1}{2}}} \\ &\leq 2A \left(\frac{\pi}{a} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} h^j j! \left(\sup_{k \in \mathbb{Z}_+} \left(\frac{2^2 C^2}{th^2} \right)^k \frac{1}{k!} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\leq 2A \left(\frac{\pi}{a} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} h^j j! \exp \left(\frac{2C^2}{th^2} \right) \end{aligned}$$

Consequently, it holds

$$\left\| (U(\cdot) * E(\cdot, t))^{(j)} \right\|_{\infty} \leq B(t) h^j j!,$$

where $B(t) = 2A \left(\frac{\pi}{a} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \exp \left(\frac{2C^2}{th^2} \right)$, which gives $U(\cdot) * E(\cdot, t) \in \mathcal{D}_{L^\infty}^{\{1\}}$ for every fixed $t > 0$, and as by assumption we have $U(\cdot) * E(\cdot, t) \in \mathcal{C}_{aa}$ for every fixed $t > 0$, it follows that $U(\cdot) * E(\cdot, t) \in \mathcal{B}_{aa}^{\{1\}}$ by Proposition 6-(2).

For every $n \in \mathbb{N}$, the function $\varphi_n = U(\cdot) * E(\cdot, \frac{1}{n}) \in \mathcal{B}_{aa}^{\{1\}}$, due to Lemma 14-(3), we have that for every $\psi \in \mathcal{D}_{L^1}^{\{1\}}$,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \langle U(\cdot) * E(\cdot, \frac{1}{n}), \psi(\cdot) \rangle = \lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \langle U_y, E(\cdot - y, \frac{1}{n}) * \psi(\cdot) \rangle = \langle U, \psi(\cdot) \rangle,$$

which gives that $\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \varphi_n = U$ in $\mathcal{D}'_{L^\infty, \{1\}}$.

6. \rightarrow 1. For any bounded subset $B \subset \mathcal{D}_{L^1}^{\{1\}}$ by hypothesis, we have

$$\sup_{\psi \in B} |\langle \varphi_n - U, \psi \rangle| \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow +\infty} 0.$$

It is clear that for every $\varphi \in \mathcal{F} \subset L^1$, we have $\varphi_n * \varphi \in \mathcal{B}_{aa}^{\{1\}}$ by Proposition 7-(2), and the set $B := \{\tau_{-x}\check{\varphi} : x \in \mathbb{R}\}$ is a bounded in $\mathcal{D}_{L^1}^{\{1\}}$, where $\check{\varphi}(y) = \varphi(-y)$, then

$$\begin{aligned} \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}} |(\varphi_n * \varphi)(x) - (U * \varphi)(x)| &= \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}} |\langle \varphi_n - U, \tau_{-x}\check{\varphi} \rangle|, \\ &= \sup_{\psi \in B} |\langle \varphi_n - U, \psi \rangle| \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow +\infty} 0, \end{aligned}$$

i.e. the sequence $(\varphi_n * \varphi)_n \subset \mathcal{B}_{aa}^{\{1\}}$ converge uniformly to $U * \varphi$ as $n \rightarrow +\infty$ on \mathbb{R} , by Proposition 4-(1), $U * \varphi \in \mathcal{C}_{aa}$, $\forall \varphi \in \mathcal{F}$. \square

Definition 19. A bounded hyperfunction U is said almost automorphic if it satisfies any (hence every) assertion of the above Theorem. We denote by \mathcal{F}'_{aa} the space of all almost automorphic hyperfunctions on \mathbb{R} .

Example 20. We have $\mathcal{F}'_{ap} \subsetneq \mathcal{F}'_{aa}$, where \mathcal{F}'_{ap} denotes the space of almost periodic hyperfunctions introduced in [9]. Indeed, it is well known that $\mathcal{C}_{ap} \subsetneq \mathcal{C}_{aa}$, it follows $\mathcal{F}'_{ap} \subset \mathcal{F}'_{aa}$. Let f be an almost automorphic function which is not almost periodic, and let $P(D_x)$ be a $\{j!^2\}$ -ultradifferential operator, then $P(D_x^2)f \in \mathcal{F}'_{aa} \setminus \mathcal{F}'_{ap}$.

We summarize the main properties of \mathcal{F}'_{aa} .

Proposition 21. (1) The space \mathcal{F}'_{aa} is stable under $\{j!\}$ -ultradifferential operators and translations.

- (2) $\mathcal{B}_{aa}^{\{1\}} \times \mathcal{F}'_{aa} \subset \mathcal{F}'_{aa}$.
(3) $\mathcal{F}'_{aa} * \mathcal{D}'_{L^1, \{1\}} \subset \mathcal{F}'_{aa}$.

Proof. 1. Let $U \in \mathcal{F}'_{aa}$ and $P(D_x)$ be a $\{j!\}$ -ultradifferential operator, due to Remark 13-(2), we have $P(D_x)U \in \mathcal{D}'_{L^\infty, \{1\}}$. By Corollary 5.10 of [22], we have

$$P(D_x)U(\cdot) * \varphi = U(\cdot) * P(D_x)\varphi, \varphi \in \mathcal{F} \subset \mathcal{D}_{L^1}^{\{1\}},$$

hence by Remark 10 – (3) and Theorem 18-(1), it holds that $P(D_x)U * \varphi \in \mathcal{C}_{aa}$, $\forall \varphi \in \mathcal{F}$, so $P(D_x)U \in \mathcal{F}'_{aa}$.

Let $U \in \mathcal{F}'_{aa}$, then for every $\varphi \in \mathcal{F}$, $\tau_h U * \varphi = \tau_h(U * \varphi)$, for every $h \in \mathbb{R}$. As $U * \varphi \in \mathcal{C}_{aa}$ and the space \mathcal{C}_{aa} is invariant by translations, then $\tau_h(U * \varphi) \in \mathcal{C}_{aa}$, so $\tau_h U \in \mathcal{F}'_{aa}$, $\forall h \in \mathbb{R}$.

2. Let $\psi \in \mathcal{B}_{aa}^{\{1\}}$ and $U \in \mathcal{F}'_{aa}$, then there exists a sequence $(\varphi_n)_n \subset \mathcal{B}_{aa}^{\{1\}}$ converging to U in $\mathcal{D}'_{L^\infty, \{1\}}$. Define $\psi_n := \psi \varphi_n \in \mathcal{B}_{aa}^{\{1\}}$, we have for every $\varphi \in \mathcal{D}_{L^1}^{\{1\}}$,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \langle \psi \varphi_n, \varphi \rangle = \langle \psi U, \varphi \rangle,$$

which gives that $\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \psi_n = \psi U$ in $\mathcal{D}'_{L^\infty, \{1\}}$. Consequently, by Theorem 18-(6), it holds that $\psi U \in \mathcal{F}'_{aa}$.

3. Let $U \in \mathcal{F}'_{aa}$, then there exist $f, g \in \mathcal{C}_{aa}$ and a $\{j!^2\}$ -ultradifferential operator $P(D_x)$ such that $U = P(D_x^2)f + g$ in $\mathcal{D}'_{L^\infty, \{1\}}$. From Theorem 3.4 of [22], we have if $V \in \mathcal{D}'_{L^1, \{1\}}$, then there exist $v \in L^1$ and a $\{j!\}$ -ultradifferential operator $Q(D_x)$ such that $V = Q(D_x)v$ in $\mathcal{D}'_{L^1, \{1\}}$. Consequently, and in view of Corollary 5.10 of [22], we have

$$\begin{aligned} U * V &= P(D_x^2)f * Q(D_x)v + g * Q(D_x)v, \\ &= P(D_x^2)Q(D_x)(f * v) + Q(D_x)(g * v), \\ &= Q(D_x) [P(D_x^2)(f * v) + (g * v)], \end{aligned}$$

so, as $f * v$ and $g * v$ belong to \mathcal{C}_{aa} by Proposition 4-(2), due to Theorem 18-(2), it holds that $P(D_x^2)(f * v) + g * v \in \mathcal{F}'_{aa}$. Then from the assertion 1, we have $U * V \in \mathcal{F}'_{aa}$. \square

Proposition 22. *A primitive of an almost automorphic hyperfunction is almost automorphic if and only if it is bounded.*

Proof. If $V \in \mathcal{F}'_{aa}$ is a primitive of $U \in \mathcal{F}'_{aa}$, then $V \in \mathcal{D}'_{L^\infty, \{1\}}$. Conversely, let $V \in \mathcal{D}'_{L^\infty, \{1\}}$ be a primitive of $U \in \mathcal{F}'_{aa}$, then $V * \varphi \in L^\infty$, for every $\varphi \in \mathcal{F}$, and we have

$$(V * \varphi)' = V' * \varphi = U * \varphi \in \mathcal{C}_{aa}, \forall \varphi \in \mathcal{F},$$

i.e. $V * \varphi$ is a bounded primitive of the almost automorphic function $U * \varphi$. Thus by Proposition 4-(4), $V * \varphi \in \mathcal{C}_{aa}$, $\forall \varphi \in \mathcal{F}$, so $V \in \mathcal{F}'_{aa}$. \square

Remark 23. *The result of Proposition is an extension to almost automorphic hyperfunctions of the classical result of Bohl-Bohr on primitives for almost periodic functions and almost automorphic distributions.*

REFERENCES

- [1] Bochner S., Curvature and Betti numbers in real and complex vector bundles. Univ. Politec. Torino, Rend. Sem. Mat., 15 (1956), p. 225–253, (1955/1956).
- [2] Bochner S., Uniform convergence of monotone sequence of functions. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 47, p. 582-585, (1961).
- [3] Bochner S., A new approach to almost periodicity. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci., Vol. 48, p. 2039-2043, (1962).
- [4] Bochner S., Continuous mappings of almost automorphic functions and almost periodic functions. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 52, p. 907-910, (1964).
- [5] Bohr H., Almost periodic functions. Chelsea Pub. Company, (1947).
- [6] Bouzar C., Khalladi M. T., Tchouar F. Z., Almost automorphic generalized functions. Novi Sad J. Math. 45, N° 1, p. 207-214, (2015).
- [7] Bouzar C., Tchouar F. Z., Almost automorphic distributions. Mediterr. J. Math. 14, No. 4, Paper No. 151, 13 p. (2017).
- [8] Bouzar C., Tchouar F. Z., Asymptotic almost automorphy of functions and distributions. Ural Math. J., Vol. 6, No. 1, p. 54- 70, (2020).
- [9] Chung J., Chung S.-Y., Kim D., Kim H. J., Almost periodic hyperfunctions. Amer. Math. Soc., Vol. 129, p. 731-738, (2000).
- [10] Chung S.-Y., Kim D., Lee E. G., Periodic hyperfunctions and Fourier series. Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., Vol 128, p. 2421- 2430, (1999).
- [11] Cioranescu I., The characterization of the almost periodic ultradistributions of Beurling type. Prod. Amer. Math. Soc, Vol. 116, p. 127-134, (1992).
- [12] Gelfand I. M., Shilov G. E., Generalized functions. Vol. 2. Academic Press, (1964).
- [13] Kawai T., On the theory of Fourier hyperfunctions and its applications to partial differential equations with constant coefficients. J. Fac. Sci. Univ. Tokyo Sect. IA, Vol. 17, p. 467- 517, (1970).
- [14] Komatsu H., Ultradistributions, I: Structure theorems and a characterization, J. Fac. Sci. Univ. Tokyo Sect. IA Math., Vol. 20, p. 25-105, (1973).
- [15] Kostić M., Velinov D., Vector-valued almost automorphic distributions and vector-valued almost automorphic ultradistributions. Novi Sad J. Math. 48, No. 2, p. 111-121 (2018).

- [16] Matsuzawa T., A calculus approach to hyperfunctions I. Nagoya Math. J., Vol. 108, p.53-66, (1987).
- [17] Matsuzawa T., A calculus approach to hyperfunctions II. Amer. Math. Soc., Vol. 313, p. 619-654, (1989).
- [18] Matsuzawa T., A calculus approach to hyperfunctions III. Nagoya Math. J., Vol. 118, p.133-153, (1990).
- [19] Sato M., Theory of hyperfunctions I., J. Fac. Sci. Univ. Tokyo. Sect I8, p. 139-193, (1959).
- [20] Sato M., Theory of hyperfunctions II., J. Fac. Sci. Univ. Tokyo. Sect I,IA, p. 387-437, (1960).
- [21] Stepanoff V. V., Sur quelques généralisations des fonctions presque périodiques. C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris, Vol. 181, p. 90 - 92, (1925).
- [22] Pilipovic S., Prangoski B., Vindas J., On quasianalytic classes of Gelfand-Shilov type. parametrix and convolution, J. Math. Pure App., Vol 116, p. 174 -210, (2018).
- [23] Schwartz L., Théorie des distributions, 2nd Ed. Hermann, (1966).
- [24] Veech, W. A., Almost automorphic functions on groups. Am. J. Math., Vol. 87, p. 719-751, (1965).
- [25] Veech, W. A., On a theorem of Bochner. Ann. Math., Vol. 86, p. 117- 137, (1967).
- [26] Widder D. V., The heat equation. Academic Press, (1975).

LABORATORY OF MATHEMATICAL ANALYSIS AND APPLICATIONS. ORAN UNIVERSITY 1 A.B.B., ALGERIA.

Email address: ch.bouzar@gmail.com