

Quark TMDs from back-to-back dijet production at forward rapidities in pA collisions beyond eikonal accuracy in the CGC

Tolga Altinoluk^a, Guillaume Beuf^a, Etienne Blanco^a, Swaleha Mulani^a

^a Theoretical Physics Division, National Centre for Nuclear Research,
Pasteura 7, Warsaw 02-093, Poland

January 22, 2025

Abstract

We study dijet production in pA collisions at forward rapidities at next-to-eikonal accuracy. We restrict ourselves to the next-to-eikonal corrections that are induced by the quark background field of the target. We consider all possible channels, compute scattering amplitudes both in general kinematics and in the back-to-back limit. By using these results, we compute the back-to-back production cross section and obtain a factorized expression with a quark TMD times associated hard factor for each channel.

Contents

1	Introduction	3
2	$g \rightarrow gq$ channel	6
2.1	$g \rightarrow gq$ amplitude in general kinematics	7
2.2	$g \rightarrow gq$ amplitude in the back-to-back limit	12
2.3	$g \rightarrow gq$ production cross section in the back-to-back limit	16
3	$q \rightarrow q\bar{q}$ channel	19
3.1	$q \rightarrow q\bar{q}$ amplitude in general kinematics	20
3.2	$q \rightarrow q\bar{q}$ amplitude in the back-to-back limit	23
3.3	$q \rightarrow q\bar{q}$ production cross section in the back-to-back limit	25
3.4	$q \rightarrow q\bar{q}$ production cross section for different quark flavors	27
4	$q \rightarrow gg$ channel	28
4.1	$q \rightarrow gg$ amplitude in general kinematics	29
4.2	$q \rightarrow gg$ amplitude in the back-to-back limit	32
4.3	$q \rightarrow gg$ production cross section in the back-to-back limit	34
5	$q \rightarrow qq$ channel	36
5.1	$q \rightarrow qq$ amplitude in general kinematics	36
5.2	$q \rightarrow qq$ amplitude in the back-to-back limit	38
5.3	$q \rightarrow qq$ production cross section in the back-to-back limit	39
5.4	$q \rightarrow qq$ production cross section for different quark flavors	41
6	Relation with the quark TMDs	42
7	Summary and outlook	45
A	Technical details and useful relations	46
A.1	$\mathfrak{su}(N)$ Algebra	46
A.2	Wilson lines properties	47
A.3	Dirac Algebra for the cross section	48
A.4	Fundamental Wilson line only color structures	53
B	Eikonal parton propagators	56
C	Antiquark channels	58
C.1	$g \rightarrow g\bar{q}$ channel	58
C.2	$\bar{q} \rightarrow \bar{q}q$ channel	63
C.3	$\bar{q} \rightarrow gg$ channel	67
C.4	$\bar{q} \rightarrow \bar{q}\bar{q}$ channel	71

1 Introduction

The Color Glass Condensate (CGC) effective theory (see [1–3] for recent reviews and references therein) is a powerful framework within quantum chromodynamics (QCD) that describes the high-energy behavior of gluons in hadronic systems, such as protons and heavy nuclei. At very high energies, gluons dominate the internal structure of hadrons, reaching a regime where their density becomes so large that nonlinear interactions among them cannot be neglected. This leads to the phenomenon of gluon saturation, where further increases in energy do not significantly enhance the gluon density. The increase in energy (or equivalently in rapidity) is governed by the famous nonlinear evolution equation known as Balitsky-Kovchegov / Jalilian-Marian-Iancu-McLerran-Weigert-Leonidov-Kovner (BK-JIMWLK) [4–15] equation. The CGC provides an effective field theory to model this saturation regime, treating the gluons as a classical field generated by color charges moving at near the speed of light. The theory incorporates quantum corrections and enables predictions of saturation phenomena that might be observed in high-energy collisions, such as those at the Large Hadron Collider (LHC), the Relativistic Heavy Ion Collider (RHIC) and the future Electron-Ion Collider (EIC).

One of the frequently used observables to test the compatibility of the saturation phenomena with the high-energy proton-nucleus (pA) collision data from LHC and the RHIC is single inclusive hadron/jet production in the forward rapidity region. The state-of-the-art calculation framework for production of single inclusive hadron/jet (as well as production of multi hadron/jet) is known as "hybrid factorization" [16]. In this framework, one computes the partonic cross section in the following way. The projectile parton is assumed to be dilute and it is treated in the spirit of collinear factorization. Perturbative corrections to the wave function of the incoming parton is provided by the Dokshitzer-Gribov-Lipatov-Altarelli-Parisi (DGLAP) equations [17–19]. On the other hand, the target is treated (in the spirit of CGC framework) as a distribution of strong semiclassical color fields which during the scattering event transfers transverse momentum to the propagating partonic configuration of the projectile. Upon computing the partonic cross section, one convolutes it with the corresponding Parton Distribution Function (PDF) in the initial state to get the hadronic cross section of jet production. In the case of hadron production, in addition to convoluting the partonic cross section with the corresponding PDF in the initial state, one also convolutes it with corresponding Fragmentation Function (FF) in the final state to obtain the hadronic cross section. Over the last decade, we have witnessed an immense effort to compute the next-to-leading order (NLO) corrections in α_s to single inclusive hadron/jet production cross section in pA collisions at forward rapidities. Both analytical [20–32] and numerical [23, 33–36] studies of the NLO corrections to single inclusive hadron/jet production at forward rapidity are now available.

Another observable that adopts hybrid factorization for computations is dijets/dihadron production in pA collisions at forward rapidities. This observable, provides an opportunity to probe the high energy limit of leading twist gluon transverse momentum dependent distribution functions (TMDs) from the CGC calculations when one considers a specific regime known as the back-to-back limit (see [37, 38] for recent reviews). If one considers the production of two jets with transverse momenta \mathbf{p}_1 and \mathbf{p}_2 , and longitudinal momenta p_1^+ and p_2^+ , at transverse positions \mathbf{x}_1 and \mathbf{x}_2 , relative dijet momentum \mathbf{P} and dijet momentum imbalance

\mathbf{k} can be defined as

$$\mathbf{P} \equiv (1 - z)\mathbf{p}_1 - z\mathbf{p}_2, \quad \mathbf{k} = \mathbf{p}_1 + \mathbf{p}_2 \quad (1)$$

where z is the lightcone momentum fraction carried by the first jet and it is defined as

$$z \equiv \frac{p_1^+}{p_1^+ + p_2^+}, \quad (1 - z) \equiv \frac{p_2^+}{p_1^+ + p_2^+} \quad (2)$$

Moreover, one can also define their conjugate variables \mathbf{r} (transverse dijet size) and \mathbf{b} (impact parameter) as

$$\mathbf{r} \equiv \mathbf{x}_1 - \mathbf{x}_2, \quad \mathbf{b} \equiv z\mathbf{x}_1 + (1 - z)\mathbf{x}_2 \quad (3)$$

In the kinematic regime that we are interested in, the produced jets fly almost back-to-back in momentum space and it corresponds to the case where $|\mathbf{P}| \gg |\mathbf{k}|$. The effect of this strong ordering in momenta reflects itself on the conjugate coordinates as $|\mathbf{r}| \ll |\mathbf{b}|$. Therefore, in the back-to-back limit one can perform Taylor expansion of the Wilson lines that appear in the amplitude around $\mathbf{r} \sim 0$ and keep only the first nontrivial contribution in this expansion. At the cross section level, this leads to obtaining TMD distributions from the expanded Wilson line structures [39]. These results indicate an equivalence between the CGC and the standard TMD factorization frameworks when appropriate limits are applied to both sides. Namely, the high energy limit of the dijet production cross section calculated in the standard TMD factorization (by constructing hadronic matrix elements of bilocal products of field operators that contain gauge links) coincides with the back-to-back limit of the dijet cross section calculated in the CGC framework. These results triggered many studies including the dijet production with massive quarks [40, 41], photon+dijet production [42, 43] or photoproduction of trijets [44] in pA collisions at forward rapidities in the back-to-back limit. Moreover, forward production of Drell-Yan pair and a jet [45], photoproduction of inclusive back-to-back dijets [46] in pA collisions and inclusive back-to-back dijet production in DIS [47–49] have been performed at NLO and it is shown that the relation between the CGC and standard TMD factorization frameworks holds not only at leading order but also at NLO accuracy. Moreover, in [50–52], the equivalence between the CGC and TMD factorization frameworks has been extended beyond the correlation limit for dijet production by performing a resummation of the small transverse size of the dijets. The results of this study have provided a unique opportunity to study the emergence of saturation by distinguishing between the kinematic power corrections (associated with the conjugate transverse dijet size) and genuine saturation effects [53–55]. It is shown that, the resummation of the kinematic power corrections (that are also referred to as kinematic twist corrections) leads to the so-called small-x improved TMD (iTMD) framework (conjectured and studied previously in [56–58]) which interpolates between the dilute limit of the CGC (also known as High Energy Factorization) and the TMD limit of the CGC.

One of the key improvements needed for the studies of the high-energy scattering in QCD is to explore the effects of relaxing the standard "kinematic" approximations. The most frequently used approximation in this framework is the eikonal one which amounts to keeping only the leading terms in energy and discarding any contribution that is suppressed in energy. Within the CGC framework high energy can be achieved by boosting the target along x^- direction by a boost parameter γ_t . In a high-energy dilute-dense scattering, eikonal approximation amounts to the following three assumptions: (i) the highly boosted background field that describes the target is localized in the longitudinal direction (around $x^+ = 0$)

due to Lorentz contraction, (ii) the background field of the target is given by its leading component in γ_t whereas the subleading components in terms of γ_t are neglected and (iii) the background field of the target is assumed to be independent of the light-cone coordinate x^- due to Lorentz time dilation, which amounts to considering the target fields as static and neglecting the dynamics of the target. Relaxing any of the three aforementioned assumptions give corrections to the eikonal approximation. In order to consider the next-to-eikonal (NEik) corrections, one should take into account the corrections that are of the order $1/\gamma_t$ at the level of the boosted background field.

All the three approximations listed above are valid in the presence of a gluon background field of the target. Another source of NEik corrections originates from accounting for the quark background of the target such that projectile parton interacts with the target via a t -channel quark exchange. Under a boost of γ_t along x^- direction, a current associated with the target scale as

$$J^-(x) \propto \gamma_t, \quad J^j(x) \propto (\gamma_t)^0, \quad J^+(x) \propto (\gamma_t)^{-1}. \quad (4)$$

The projections of quark background field $\Psi(x)$ are defined as

$$\Psi^{(-)}(x) = \frac{\gamma^+ \gamma^-}{2} \Psi(x), \quad (5)$$

$$\Psi^{(+)}(x) = \frac{\gamma^- \gamma^+}{2} \Psi(x), \quad (6)$$

which are known to be the good and bad components of $\Psi(x)$. The currents associated with the target are constructed as bilinears of $\Psi(x)$ and its components satisfy

$$\overline{\Psi}(x) \gamma^- \Psi(x) = \overline{\Psi^{(-)}}(x) \gamma^- \Psi^{(-)}(x), \quad (7)$$

$$\overline{\Psi}(x) \gamma^j \Psi(x) = \overline{\Psi^{(-)}}(x) \gamma^j \Psi^{(+)}(x) + \overline{\Psi^{(+)}}(x) \gamma^j \Psi^{(-)}(x), \quad (8)$$

$$\overline{\Psi}(x) \gamma^+ \Psi(x) = \overline{\Psi^{(+)}}(x) \gamma^+ \Psi^{(+)}(x). \quad (9)$$

Since the currents associated with the target have to follow the same scaling behaviour introduced in Eq. (4), the components of the quark background field scale as

$$\Psi^{(-)}(x) \propto (\gamma_t)^{\frac{1}{2}}, \quad (10)$$

$$\Psi^{(+)}(x) \propto (\gamma_t)^{-\frac{1}{2}}, \quad (11)$$

with the boosting parameter γ_t . Thus, the quark background field does not contribute at eikonal order, the enhanced component $\Psi^{(-)}(x)$ contributes at NEik order and the suppressed component $\Psi^{(+)}(x)$ contribute at next-to-next-to-eikonal (NNEik) order and beyond.

Over the last decade, there has been a great effort to compute the NEik corrections in the CGC. In [59, 60], the first studies that includes the NEik corrections that stem from the finite longitudinal width are performed. These results then were applied to study particle production and correlations at NEik accuracy both in dilute-dilute [61–63] and in dilute-dense [64, 65] collisions. The NEik corrections to quark and scalar propagators have been computed in [66–68] and the results are applied to DIS dijet production in [69, 70]. In [71], production of back-to-back quark-gluon dijet in DIS at NEik accuracy due to t -channel quark exchange is computed to probe the quark TMDs. In [72], back-to-back DIS dijet production in a pure

gluon background is computed at NEik accuracy to probe gluon TMDs and the interplay between the NEik and kinematic twist corrections are studied. Finally, in [73], the gluon propagator is revisited to include all NEik corrections and the results are applied to various parton-nucleus scattering processes. In [74–89], quark and gluon helicity evolutions as well as observables such as single and/or double spin asymmetries are computed at NEik accuracy. In [90, 91], helicity dependent extensions of the CGC have been studied at NEik accuracy. In [92–94], rapidity evolution of gluon TMDs that can interpolate between moderate and low values of momentum fraction x have been studied. A similar idea is pursued to study this interpolation for inclusive DIS [95, 96] as well as exclusive Compton scattering [97]. The NEik corrections to both quark and gluon propagators have been computed in the high energy operator product expansion (OPE) formalism in [98, 99]. In [100–102], subeikonal corrections in the CGC are studied in an effective Hamiltonian approach. Finally, subeikonal corrections are studied in an approach that allows longitudinal momentum exchange between the projectile and the target in [103–105]. Last but not least, the effects of subeikonal corrections are also studied in the context of orbital angular momentum in [106–110].

In this paper, we study dijet production in pA collisions at forward rapidities at NEik accuracy. We restrict ourselves to the case where the suppression with respect to the eikonal order arise only from the interaction of the incoming parton with the quark background field of the target. For simplicity, we only consider the partonic cross section for various channels, hence for fixed quarks flavors. In practice, it is straight forward to convolute the computed partonic cross section with the associated PDF and obtain the dijet production cross section in pA collisions. For various channels, we first compute the scattering amplitude in general kinematics, then its back-to-back limit and then compute the partonic cross section. We also discuss the relation between the color structures that appear in the back-to-back cross section and quark TMDs. The paper is organized as follows. In Secs. 2, 3, 4 and 5, we compute the scattering amplitudes both in general kinematics and in the back-to-back limit, and also back-to-back production cross sections for $g \rightarrow gq$, $q \rightarrow q\bar{q}$, $q \rightarrow gg$ and $q \rightarrow qq$ channels respectively. Sec. 6 is devoted to the discussion of the relations between the color structures that appear in the back-to-back cross sections and the quark TMDs. Finally, in Sec. 7 we present a brief summary of our results and give an outlook. App. A is devoted to the technical details that are used in our calculations. In App. B we present all the parton propagators at Eikonal accuracy that are needed to compute the scattering amplitudes in each channel. Finally, in App. C we present the results of scattering amplitudes both in general kinematics and in the back-to-back limit together with the back-to-back production cross sections for the channels that are analogous to the ones presented in main body of the paper but with quark and antiquark exchanged, namely, $g \rightarrow g\bar{q}$, $\bar{q} \rightarrow \bar{q}q$, $\bar{q} \rightarrow gg$ and $\bar{q} \rightarrow \bar{q}\bar{q}$ channels.

2 $g \rightarrow gq$ channel

We start our analysis with the $g \rightarrow gq$ channel. In this process, a quark-gluon dijet is produced from an incoming gluon upon interaction with the target. At NEik accuracy, this can be achieved via three different mechanisms. The first mechanism corresponds to the case where an incoming gluon splits into two gluons before the medium, one of the gluons interacts with the target via a t -channel quark exchange converting into a quark and one gets a quark-gluon dijet in the final state (see Fig. 1a). The second mechanism corresponds to

the case where the incoming gluon interacts with the target via a t -channel quark exchange converting into a quark and the quark splits into a quark-gluon pair in the final state after interacting with the target (see Fig. 1b). The last mechanism corresponds to the case where the incoming gluon splits into a quark-antiquark pair before the interaction with the target, then antiquark interacts with the target via a t -channel quark exchange converting into a gluon and finally one gets a quark-gluon dijet in the final state (see Fig. 1c). In the following subsections, we study each of these mechanisms in detail.




Figure 1: Diagrams contributing to channel $g \rightarrow gg$.

2.1 $g \rightarrow gq$ amplitude in general kinematics

In this channel, we consider the incoming gluon with four momenta q , polarization λ and color a , the produced gluon with four momenta p_1 , polarization λ_1 and color a_1 , and the produced quark with four momenta p_2 and helicity h . As explained previously, three mechanisms contribute to the scattering amplitude. In that case, one can write the total scattering amplitude for this channel as a sum of the three contributions as

$$\mathcal{M}_{g \rightarrow gq, \text{tot.}} = \mathcal{M}_{g \rightarrow gq, 1} + \mathcal{M}_{g \rightarrow gq, 2} + \mathcal{M}_{g \rightarrow gq, 3}, \quad (12)$$

where each term on the right hand side of Eq. (12) corresponds to the scattering amplitudes computed for each of the three mechanisms described above.

Let us start our analysis with the first mechanism (see Fig. 1a). In that case, the S -matrix element can be obtained thanks to the following LSZ-type reduction formula^{1 2}

¹We use the metric signature $(+, -, -, -)$. We use x^μ for a Minkowski 4-vector. In a light-cone basis we have $x^\mu = (x^+, \mathbf{x}, x^-)$ where $x^\pm = (x^0 \pm x^3)/\sqrt{2}$ and \mathbf{x} denotes a transverse vector with components x^i . We will also use the notations $\underline{x} = (x^+, \mathbf{x})$ and $\underline{k} = (k^+, \mathbf{k})$.

²For a given momentum 4-vector k^μ , we use the notation \check{k}^μ for its on-shell analog. More precisely, it

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{S}_{g \rightarrow gq, 1} &= \lim_{y^+ \rightarrow -\infty} \lim_{x_1^+, x_2^+ \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\mathbf{y}, \mathbf{x}_1, \mathbf{x}_2} \int_{y^-, x_1^-, x_2^-} \int_{\mathbf{w}, \mathbf{z}} \int_{w^-, z^-} \int_{-\frac{L^+}{2}}^{\frac{L^+}{2}} dz^+ \int_{-\infty}^{-\frac{L^+}{2}} dw^+ \\
&\times e^{ix_1 \cdot \check{p}_1} e^{ix_2 \cdot \check{p}_2} e^{-iy \cdot \check{q}} (-2q^+) \epsilon_\lambda^\mu(q) (-2p_1^+) \epsilon_{\lambda_1}^{\nu'}(p_1) \\
&\times \left[G_{0,F}^{\mu'\mu}(w, y) \right]_{a'a} \left[G_F^{\nu\nu'}(x_1, w) \right]_{\text{Eik.}}^{\text{BA}} \left[G_F^{\rho\rho'}(z, w) \right]_{\text{Eik.}}^{\text{BI}} V_{\mu'\nu'\rho'}^{a'b_1b} \\
&\times \bar{u}(\check{p}_2, h) \gamma^+ \left[S_F(x_2, z) \right]_{\text{Eik.}}^{\text{IA}, q} (-ig) t^{b_2} \Big|_{\alpha_2\beta} \gamma_\rho \Psi_\beta^-(z), \tag{13}
\end{aligned}$$

where $G_{0,F}^{\mu'\mu}(w, y)$ is the vacuum gluon propagator which in momentum space reads

$$\tilde{G}_{0,F}^{\mu\nu}(p) = \frac{i}{p^2 + i\epsilon} \left[-g^{\mu\nu} + \frac{p^\mu \eta^\nu + \eta^\mu p^\nu}{p \cdot \eta} \right], \tag{14}$$

with η^μ defined as $\eta^\mu = g^{\mu+}$, so that $\eta \cdot p = p^+$ and $\eta^2 = 0$. Since we are in the $\eta \cdot A \equiv A^+ = 0$ light cone gauge, one has

$$\tilde{G}_{0,F}^{\mu+}(p) = \tilde{G}_{0,F}^{+\nu}(p) = 0. \tag{15}$$

$V_{\mu'\nu'\rho'}^{a'b_1b}$ is the triple gluon vertex which is defined as

$$V_{\lambda_1\lambda_2\lambda_3}^{abc}(p_1, p_2, p_3) = gf^{abc} \left[g_{\lambda_1\lambda_2}(p_1 - p_2)_{\lambda_3} + g_{\lambda_2\lambda_3}(p_2 - p_3)_{\lambda_1} + g_{\lambda_3\lambda_1}(p_3 - p_1)_{\lambda_2} \right], \tag{16}$$

with all incoming convention for momenta p_1 , p_2 and p_3 . Finally, $G_F^{\nu\nu'}(x_1, w) \Big|_{\text{Eik.}}^{\text{BA}}$ and $G_F^{\rho\rho'}(z, w) \Big|_{\text{Eik.}}^{\text{BI}}$ are the before-to-after and before-to-inside gluon propagators whose explicit expressions are given in Eqs. (205) and (206) respectively at eikonal order. Finally, $S_F(x_2, z) \Big|_{\text{Eik.}}^{\text{IA}, q}$ is the inside-to-after quark propagator at eikonal order which is given in Eq. (210). After using these explicit expressions and contracting the Dirac indices, the S -matrix element for the first mechanism (Fig. 1a) can be written as

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{S}_{g \rightarrow gq, 1} &= \frac{-ig^2}{2p_2^+} f^{ab_1b} \int_{\mathbf{z}, \mathbf{z}_1} \int_{-\frac{L^+}{2}}^{\frac{L^+}{2}} dz^+ \int_{-\infty}^{-\frac{L^+}{2}} dw^+ \int \frac{d^3 \underline{k}_1}{(2\pi)^2} \int \frac{d^3 \underline{k}_2}{(2\pi)^2} \delta(k_1^+ - p_1^+) \delta(k_2^+ - p_2^+) \\
&\times e^{-iw^+(\check{q}^- - \check{k}_1^- - \check{k}_2^-)} e^{-i\mathbf{z} \cdot (\mathbf{p}_2 - \mathbf{k}_2)} e^{-i\mathbf{z}_1 \cdot (\mathbf{p}_1 - \mathbf{k}_1)} (2\pi)^3 \delta^{(3)}(\underline{q} - \underline{k}_1 - \underline{k}_2) \\
&\times \bar{u}(\check{p}_2, h) \mathcal{U}_F(\infty, z^+; \mathbf{z}) t^{b_2} \mathcal{U}_A(z^+, w^+; \mathbf{z}_1)_{b_2b} \mathcal{U}_A(\infty, w^+; \mathbf{z})_{a_1b_1} \\
&\times \left\{ \left[-\epsilon_\lambda^{\mu'}(q) + \frac{\epsilon_\lambda^\mu(q) q_\mu}{q^+} \eta^{\mu'} \right] \left[-\epsilon_{\lambda_1}^{\nu'}(p_1) + \frac{\epsilon_{\lambda_1}^{\nu'}(p_1) k_{1\nu}}{p_1^+} \eta^{\nu'} \right] \left[g^{l\rho'} - \frac{\eta^{\rho'}}{p_2^+} \mathbf{k}_2^l \right] \right. \\
&\times \left. \left[-g_{\mu'\nu'}(q + k_1)_{\rho'} + g_{\nu'\rho'}(k_1 - k_2)_{\mu'} + g_{\rho'\mu'}(k_2 + q)_{\nu'} \right] \right\} \gamma^l \frac{\gamma^+ \gamma^-}{2} \Psi(\underline{z}). \tag{17}
\end{aligned}$$

Note that the second term in the first square brackets vanish by transversality of the gluon polarization vector. Also, we would like mention that the covariant derivative terms in the

is defined in such a way that their + and transverse components coincide, $\check{k}^+ = k^+$ and $\check{\mathbf{k}} = \mathbf{k}$, whereas the - component of \check{k}^μ is adjusted to make it on-shell, i.e. $\check{k}^- = (\mathbf{k}^2 + m^2)/(2k^+)$ for a massive quark or $\check{k}^- = \mathbf{k}^2/(2k^+)$ for a gluon.

before-to-inside gluon propagator given in Eq. (206) and the inside-to-after quark propagator given in Eq. (210), come in between two γ^+ matrices in Eq. (17) and therefore vanish. The momentum structure appearing in Eq. (17) can be further simplified by using Eq. (19) and it can be written as

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left\{ -\epsilon_\lambda^{\mu'}(q) \left[-\epsilon_{\lambda_1}^{\nu'}(p_1) + \frac{\epsilon_{\lambda_1}^{\nu'}(p_1)k_{1\nu}}{p_1^+} \eta^{\nu'} \right] \left[g^{l\rho'} - \frac{\eta^{\rho'}}{p_2^+} \mathbf{k}_2^l \right] \right. \\
& \quad \left. \times \left[-g_{\mu'\nu'}(q+k_1)_{\rho'} + g_{\nu'\rho'}(k_1-k_2)_{\mu'} + g_{\rho'\mu'}(k_2+q)_{\nu'} \right] \right\} \\
& = -\epsilon_\lambda^i \epsilon_{\lambda_1}^{j*} \left\{ g^{ij} \left[\mathbf{q}^l + \mathbf{k}_1^l - \frac{q^+ + p_1^+}{p_2^+} \mathbf{k}_2^l \right] - g^{jl} \left[\frac{p_2^+ - p_1^+}{q^+} \mathbf{q}^i + \mathbf{k}_1^i - \mathbf{k}_2^i \right] \right. \\
& \quad \left. - g^{il} \left[\mathbf{q}^j - \frac{p_2^+ + q^+}{p_1^+} \mathbf{k}_1^j + \mathbf{k}_2^j \right] \right\}, \tag{18}
\end{aligned}$$

where we have used the fact that in the light-cone gauge $A^+ = 0$, the gluon polarization vectors satisfy

$$\begin{aligned}
\epsilon_\lambda^+(p) &= \epsilon_\lambda^-(p) = 0, \\
\epsilon_\lambda^i(p) &= -\epsilon_i^\lambda(p) = \epsilon_\lambda^i, \\
\epsilon_\lambda^-(p) &= \epsilon_+^\lambda(p) = \frac{\epsilon_\lambda \cdot \mathbf{P}}{p^+}. \tag{19}
\end{aligned}$$

Plugging the simplified momentum structure given in Eq. (18) back into Eq. (17) and performing the integration over \mathbf{k}_2 , one can write the S -matrix element for the first mechanism as

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{S}_{g \rightarrow gq, 1} &= \frac{-ig^2}{2p_2^+} f^{ab_1b} (2\pi) \delta(q^+ - p_1^+ - p_2^+) \int_{\mathbf{z}, \mathbf{z}_1} \int_{-\frac{L^+}{2}}^{\frac{L^+}{2}} dz^+ \int_{-\infty}^{-\frac{L^+}{2}} dw^+ \int \frac{d^2 \mathbf{k}_1}{(2\pi)^2} \\
& \quad \times e^{-iw^+ \left(\frac{\mathbf{q}^2}{2q^+} - \frac{\mathbf{k}_1^2}{2p_1^+} - \frac{(\mathbf{k}_1 - \mathbf{q})^2 + m^2}{2p_2^+} \right)} e^{-i\mathbf{z} \cdot (\mathbf{p}_2 + \mathbf{k}_1 - \mathbf{q})} e^{-i\mathbf{z}_1 \cdot (\mathbf{p}_1 - \mathbf{k}_1)} \\
& \quad \times \bar{u}(\check{p}_2, h) \mathcal{U}_F(\infty, z^+; \mathbf{z}) t^{b_2} \mathcal{U}_A(z^+, w^+; \mathbf{z}_1)_{b_2b} \mathcal{U}_A(\infty, w^+; \mathbf{z})_{a_1b_1} \gamma^l \gamma^+ \gamma^- \Psi(\underline{z}) \\
& \quad \times \epsilon_\lambda^i \epsilon_{\lambda_1}^{j*} \left[g^{ij} \left(\frac{p_1^+}{p_2^+} \mathbf{q}^l - \frac{q^+}{p_2^+} \mathbf{k}_1^l \right) + g^{il} \left(\mathbf{q}^j - \frac{q^+}{p_1^+} \mathbf{k}_1^j \right) - g^{jl} \left(\frac{p_1^+}{q^+} \mathbf{q}^i - \mathbf{k}_1^i \right) \right]. \tag{20}
\end{aligned}$$

The scattering amplitude can be obtained from the S -matrix element by using the relation

$$\mathcal{S}_{g \rightarrow gq} = (2q^+) (2\pi) \delta(p_1^+ + p_2^+ - q^+) i\mathcal{M}_{g \rightarrow gq}. \tag{21}$$

Thus, the scattering amplitude for the first mechanism, where an incoming gluon splits into two gluons before the medium, one of the gluons interact with the via a t -channel quark exchange converting into a quark and one gets a quark-gluon dijet in the final state (Fig. 1a),

can be written by using Eq. (21) as

$$\begin{aligned}
i\mathcal{M}_{g \rightarrow gq,1} = & -ig^2 \frac{1}{(2p_2^+)(2q^+)} f^{ab_1b} \int_{\mathbf{z}, \mathbf{z}_1} \int_{-\frac{L^+}{2}}^{\frac{L^+}{2}} dz^+ \int_{-\infty}^{-\frac{L^+}{2}} dw^+ \int \frac{d^2 \mathbf{k}_1}{(2\pi)^2} \\
& \times e^{-iw^+ \left(\frac{\mathbf{q}^2}{2q^+} - \frac{\mathbf{k}_1^2}{2p_1^+} - \frac{(\mathbf{k}_1 - \mathbf{q})^2 + m^2}{2p_2^+} \right)} e^{-iz \cdot (\mathbf{p}_2 + \mathbf{k}_1 - \mathbf{q})} e^{-iz_1 \cdot (\mathbf{p}_1 - \mathbf{k}_1)} \\
& \times \bar{u}(\check{p}_2, h) \mathcal{U}_F(\infty, z^+; \mathbf{z}) t^{b_2} \mathcal{U}_A(z^+, w^+; \mathbf{z}_1)_{b_2b} \mathcal{U}_A(\infty, w^+; \mathbf{z})_{a_1b_1} \gamma^l \gamma^+ \gamma^- \Psi(\underline{z}) \\
& \times \varepsilon_\lambda^i \varepsilon_{\lambda_1}^{j*} \left[g^{ij} \left(\frac{p_1^+}{p_2^+} \mathbf{q}^l - \frac{q^+}{p_2^+} \mathbf{k}_1^l \right) + g^{il} \left(\mathbf{q}^j - \frac{q^+}{p_1^+} \mathbf{k}_1^j \right) - g^{jl} \left(\frac{p_1^+}{q^+} \mathbf{q}^i - \mathbf{k}_1^i \right) \right]. \quad (22)
\end{aligned}$$

We can now consider the second mechanism for the quark-gluon pair production in gluon initiated channel described in Fig. 1b. The S -matrix element can be again obtained via LSZ-type reduction formula which for the second mechanism reads

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{S}_{g \rightarrow gq,2} = & \lim_{y^+ \rightarrow -\infty} \lim_{x_1^+, x_2^+ \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\mathbf{y}, \mathbf{x}_1, \mathbf{x}_2} \int_{y^-, x_1^-, x_2^-} \int_{\mathbf{w}, \mathbf{z}} \int_{w^-, z^-} \int_{-\frac{L^+}{2}}^{\frac{L^+}{2}} dz^+ \int_{-\frac{L^+}{2}}^{\infty} dw^+ \\
& \times e^{ix_1 \cdot \check{p}_1} e^{ix_2 \cdot \check{p}_2} e^{-iy \cdot \check{q}} (-2q^+) \epsilon_\mu^\lambda(q) (-2p_1^+) \epsilon_\nu^{\lambda_1}(p_1)^* \left[G_F^{\mu'\mu}(z, y) \Big|_{\text{Eik.}}^{\text{BI}} \right]_{ba} \left[G_{0,F}^{\nu\nu'}(x_1, w) \right]_{a_1b_1} \\
& \times \bar{u}(\check{p}_2, h) \gamma^+ \left[S_{0,F}(x_2, w) (-ig) t^{b_1} \gamma_{\nu'} S_F(w, z) \Big|_{\text{Eik.}}^{\text{IA},q} (-ig) t^b \right]_{\alpha_2\beta} \gamma_{\mu'} \Psi_\beta^-(z), \quad (23)
\end{aligned}$$

where the explicit expressions for before-to-inside gluon propagator and inside-to-after quark propagator are given in Eqs. (206) and (210) respectively at eikonal accuracy. The vacuum gluon propagator is given in Eq. (14) and $S_{0,F}(x_2, w)$ is the vacuum quark propagator which is defined as

$$S_{0,F}(x, y)_{\beta\alpha} = (\mathbf{1})_{\beta\alpha} \int \frac{d^4 k}{(2\pi)^4} e^{-ik \cdot (x-y)} \frac{i(\not{k} + m)}{[k^2 - m^2 + i\epsilon]}, \quad (24)$$

where m is the quark mass. Using explicit expressions of the propagators and Eq. (19) for the polarization vectors, the S -matrix element for the second mechanism can be written as

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{S}_{g \rightarrow gq,2} = & \frac{g^2}{(2q^+)(2p_2^+)} \int_{\mathbf{z}} \int_{-\frac{L^+}{2}}^{\frac{L^+}{2}} dz^+ \int_{\frac{L^+}{2}}^{\infty} dw^+ \int \frac{d^3 \mathbf{k}_0}{(2\pi)^2} \delta(k_0^+ - q^+) e^{-iw^+ (\check{k}_0^- - \check{p}_1^- - \check{p}_2^-)} \\
& \times e^{-iz \cdot (\mathbf{k}_0 - \mathbf{q})} (2\pi)^3 \delta^{(3)}(\underline{k}_0 - \underline{p}_1 - \underline{p}_2) \varepsilon_\lambda^i \varepsilon_{\lambda_1}^{j*} \bar{u}(\check{p}_2, h) \gamma^+ (\not{p}_2 + m) \left[\gamma^j - \frac{\mathbf{p}_1^j}{p_1^+} \gamma^+ \right] \\
& \times (\not{k}_0 + m) \gamma^i t^{b_1} \mathcal{U}_F(w^+, z^+; \mathbf{z}) t^b \mathcal{U}_A(z^+, -\infty; \mathbf{z})_{ba} \frac{\gamma^+ \gamma^-}{2} \Psi(\underline{z}). \quad (25)
\end{aligned}$$

The Dirac structure appearing in Eq. (25) can be seen in other channels in this manuscript. It can be simplified and can be written in a generic manner in the following way

$$\begin{aligned}
& \gamma^+ (\not{k}_1 + m) [\gamma^j + a\gamma^+] (\not{k}_2 + m) \gamma^+ \\
& = -2\gamma^+ \left[k_1^+ \mathbf{k}_2^l \gamma^j \gamma^l + k_2^+ \mathbf{k}_1^l \gamma^l \gamma^j + m(k_1^+ - k_2^+) \gamma^j - 2ak_1^+ k_2^+ \right], \quad (26)
\end{aligned}$$

where a is a scalar and k_1 and k_2 are arbitrary momenta. Adapting Eq. (26) to the case that appears in Eq. (25), the S -matrix element for the second mechanism reads

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{S}_{g \rightarrow gq, 2} &= \frac{g^2}{(2q^+)(2p_2^+)} (2\pi) \delta(q^+ - p_1^+ - p_2^+) \int_{\mathbf{z}} \int_{-\frac{L^+}{2}}^{\frac{L^+}{2}} dz^+ \int_{\frac{L^+}{2}}^{\infty} dw^+ \int \frac{d^2 \mathbf{k}_0}{(2\pi)^2} \\
&\times e^{-iw^+(\tilde{k}_0^- - \tilde{p}_1^- - \tilde{p}_2^-)} e^{-i\mathbf{z} \cdot (\mathbf{k}_0 - \mathbf{q})} (2\pi)^2 \delta^{(2)}(\mathbf{k}_0 - \mathbf{p}_1 - \mathbf{p}_2) \\
&\times \varepsilon_\lambda^i \varepsilon_{\lambda_1}^{j*} \bar{u}(\tilde{p}_2, h) \left[p_2^+ (\mathbf{p}_1^l + \mathbf{p}_2^l) \gamma^j \gamma^l + q^+ \mathbf{p}_2^l \gamma^l \gamma^j + m p_1^+ \gamma^j + 2 \frac{q^+ p_2^+}{p_1^+} \mathbf{p}_1^j \right] \gamma^i \\
&\times t^{b_1} \mathcal{U}_F(w^+, z^+; \mathbf{z}) t^b \mathcal{U}_A(z^+, -\infty; \mathbf{z})_{ba} \frac{\gamma^+ \gamma^-}{2} \Psi(\underline{z}). \tag{27}
\end{aligned}$$

By using Eq. (21), we can write the scattering amplitude for the second mechanism as

$$\begin{aligned}
i\mathcal{M}_{g \rightarrow gq, 2} &= \frac{g^2}{(2q^+)^2 (2p_2^+)} \int_{\mathbf{z}} \int_{-\frac{L^+}{2}}^{\frac{L^+}{2}} dz^+ \int_{\frac{L^+}{2}}^{\infty} dw^+ \int \frac{d^2 \mathbf{k}_0}{(2\pi)^2} \\
&\times e^{-iw^+(\tilde{k}_0^- - \tilde{p}_1^- - \tilde{p}_2^-)} e^{-i\mathbf{z} \cdot (\mathbf{k}_0 - \mathbf{q})} (2\pi)^2 \delta^{(2)}(\mathbf{k}_0 - \mathbf{p}_1 - \mathbf{p}_2) \\
&\times \varepsilon_\lambda^i \varepsilon_{\lambda_1}^{j*} \bar{u}(\tilde{p}_2, h) \left[p_2^+ (\mathbf{p}_1^l + \mathbf{p}_2^l) \gamma^j \gamma^l + q^+ \mathbf{p}_2^l \gamma^l \gamma^j + m p_1^+ \gamma^j + 2 \frac{q^+ p_2^+}{p_1^+} \mathbf{p}_1^j \right] \gamma^i \\
&\times t^{b_1} \mathcal{U}_F(w^+, z^+; \mathbf{z}) t^b \mathcal{U}_A(z^+, -\infty; \mathbf{z})_{ba} \frac{\gamma^+ \gamma^-}{2} \Psi(\underline{z}). \tag{28}
\end{aligned}$$

Finally, we can consider the third mechanism for quark-gluon pair production in gluon initiated channel described in Fig. 1c. For this mechanism, the LSZ-type reduction formula reads

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{S}_{g \rightarrow gq, 3} &= \lim_{y^+ \rightarrow -\infty} \lim_{x_1^+, x_2^+ \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\mathbf{y}, \mathbf{x}_1, \mathbf{x}_2} \int_{y^-, x_1^-, x_2^-} \int_{\mathbf{w}, \mathbf{z}} \int_{w^-, z^-} \int_{-\frac{L^+}{2}}^{\frac{L^+}{2}} dz^+ \int_{-\infty}^{-\frac{L^+}{2}} dw^+ \\
&\times e^{ix_1 \cdot \tilde{p}_1} e^{ix_2 \cdot \tilde{p}_2} e^{-iy \cdot \tilde{q}} (-2q^+) \varepsilon_\mu^\lambda(q)^* (-2p_1^+) \varepsilon_\nu^{\lambda_1}(p_1)^* \left[G_{0,F}^{\mu'\mu}(w, y) \right]_{ba} \left[G_F^{\nu\nu'}(x_1, z) \Big|_{\text{Eik.}}^{\text{IA}} \right]_{a_1 b_1} \\
&\times \bar{u}(\tilde{p}_2, h) \gamma^+ \left[S_F(x_2, w) \Big|_{\text{Eik.}}^{\text{BA}, q} (-ig) t^b \gamma_{\mu'} S_F(w, z) \Big|_{\text{Eik.}}^{\text{BI}, \tilde{q}} (-ig) t^{b_1} \right]_{\alpha_2 \beta} \gamma_{\nu'} \Psi_\beta^-(z), \tag{29}
\end{aligned}$$

where the explicit expressions for inside-to-after gluon propagator, before-to-after quark propagator and before-to-inside antiquark propagator are given in Eqs. (207), (208) and (212) respectively, at eikonal accuracy. Moreover, the vacuum gluon propagator is given in Eq. (14). Substituting these expressions into Eq. (29), the S -matrix element for the third mechanism reads

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{S}_{g \rightarrow gq, 3} &= \frac{g^2}{(2p_1^+)(2p_2^+)} \int_{\mathbf{z}, \mathbf{z}_1} \int_{-\frac{L^+}{2}}^{\frac{L^+}{2}} dz^+ \int_{-\infty}^{-\frac{L^+}{2}} dw^+ \int \frac{d^3 \underline{k}_1}{(2\pi)^2} \int \frac{d^3 \underline{k}_2}{(2\pi)^2} \delta(k_1^+ + p_1^+) \delta(k_2^+ - p_2^+) \\
&\times e^{-iw^+(\tilde{q}^- + \tilde{k}_1^- - \tilde{k}_2^-)} e^{i\mathbf{z} \cdot (\mathbf{k}_1 + \mathbf{p}_1)} e^{-i\mathbf{z}_1 \cdot (\mathbf{k}_2 - \mathbf{p}_2)} (2\pi)^3 \delta^{(3)}(\underline{q} + \underline{k}_1 - \underline{k}_2) \\
&\times \varepsilon_\lambda^i \varepsilon_{\lambda_1}^{j*} \bar{u}(\tilde{p}_2, h) \gamma^+ (\tilde{k}_2 + m) \left[-\gamma^i + \frac{\mathbf{q}^i}{q^+} \gamma^+ \right] (\tilde{k}_1 + m) \gamma^j \\
&\times \mathcal{U}_A(\infty, z^+; \mathbf{z})_{a_1 b_1} \mathcal{U}_F(\infty, w^+; \mathbf{z}_1) t^a \mathcal{U}_F^\dagger(z^+, w^+; \mathbf{z}) t^{b_1} \frac{\gamma^+ \gamma^-}{2} \Psi(\underline{z}). \tag{30}
\end{aligned}$$

One can notice that the Dirac structure that appears in Eq. (30) has the same form as in Eq. (26), thus upon simplification the S -matrix element for the third mechanism reads

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{S}_{g \rightarrow gq, 3} &= \frac{g^2}{(2p_1^+)(2p_2^+)} (2\pi) \delta(p_1^+ + p_2^+ - q^+) \int_{-\frac{L^+}{2}}^{\frac{L^+}{2}} dz^+ \int_{-\infty}^{-\frac{L^+}{2}} dw^+ \int_{\mathbf{z}, \mathbf{z}_1} \int \frac{d^2 \mathbf{k}_1}{(2\pi)^2} \\
&\times e^{-iw^+ \left[\frac{(\mathbf{k}_1 + \mathbf{q})^2 + m^2}{2p_2^+} + \frac{\mathbf{k}_1^2 + m^2}{2p_1^+} - \frac{\mathbf{q}^2}{2q^+} \right]} e^{i\mathbf{z} \cdot (\mathbf{p}_1 + \mathbf{k}_1)} e^{-i\mathbf{z}_1 \cdot (\mathbf{p}_2 - \mathbf{k}_1 - \mathbf{q})} \\
&\times \mathcal{U}_A(\infty, z^+, \mathbf{z})_{a_1 b_1} \mathcal{U}_F(\infty, w^+, \mathbf{z}_1) t^a \mathcal{U}_F^\dagger(z^+, w^+, \mathbf{z}) t^{b_1} \\
&\times \bar{u}(\check{p}_2, h) \varepsilon_\lambda^i \varepsilon_{\lambda_1}^{j*} \left[p_2^+ \mathbf{k}_1^l \gamma^i \gamma^l - p_1^+ (\mathbf{k}_1^l + \mathbf{q}^l) \gamma^l \gamma^i + mq^+ \gamma^i + 2 \frac{p_1^+ p_2^+}{q^+} \mathbf{q}^i \right] \gamma^j \gamma^+ \gamma^- \Psi(\underline{z}). \quad (31)
\end{aligned}$$

Finally, by using Eq. (21), the scattering amplitude for the third mechanism described in Fig. 1c can be written as

$$\begin{aligned}
i\mathcal{M}_{g \rightarrow gq, 3} &= \frac{g^2}{(2p_1^+)(2p_2^+)(2q^+)} \int_{-\frac{L^+}{2}}^{\frac{L^+}{2}} dz^+ \int_{-\infty}^{-\frac{L^+}{2}} dw^+ \int_{\mathbf{z}, \mathbf{z}_1} \int \frac{d^2 \mathbf{k}_1}{(2\pi)^2} \\
&\times e^{-iw^+ \left[\frac{(\mathbf{k}_1 + \mathbf{q})^2 + m^2}{2p_2^+} + \frac{\mathbf{k}_1^2 + m^2}{2p_1^+} - \frac{\mathbf{q}^2}{2q^+} \right]} e^{i\mathbf{z} \cdot (\mathbf{p}_1 + \mathbf{k}_1)} e^{-i\mathbf{z}_1 \cdot (\mathbf{p}_2 - \mathbf{k}_1 - \mathbf{q})} \\
&\times \mathcal{U}_A(\infty, z^+, \mathbf{z})_{a_1 b_1} \mathcal{U}_F(\infty, w^+, \mathbf{z}_1) t^a \mathcal{U}_F^\dagger(z^+, w^+, \mathbf{z}) t^{b_1} \\
&\times \bar{u}(\check{p}_2, h) \varepsilon_\lambda^i \varepsilon_{\lambda_1}^{j*} \left[p_2^+ \mathbf{k}_1^l \gamma^i \gamma^l - p_1^+ (\mathbf{k}_1^l + \mathbf{q}^l) \gamma^l \gamma^i + mq^+ \gamma^i + 2 \frac{p_1^+ p_2^+}{q^+} \mathbf{q}^i \right] \gamma^j \gamma^+ \gamma^- \Psi(\underline{z}). \quad (32)
\end{aligned}$$

As stated previously, the total scattering amplitude is given as the sum of scattering amplitudes of the three mechanisms, Eq. (12), for quark-gluon pair production in gluon initiated channel. In general kinematics, those three contributions to the total scattering amplitude are given by Eqs. (22), (28) and (32).

2.2 $g \rightarrow gq$ amplitude in the back-to-back limit

We are now ready to study the back-to-back limit of the quark-gluon dijet production in gluon initiated channel. As in the case of general kinematics, in the back-to-back limit the scattering amplitude receives contributions from the three mechanisms described in Fig. 1 and the total amplitude can be written as

$$\mathcal{M}_{g \rightarrow gq, \text{tot.}}^{\text{b2b}} = \mathcal{M}_{g \rightarrow gq, 1}^{\text{b2b}} + \mathcal{M}_{g \rightarrow gq, 2}^{\text{b2b}} + \mathcal{M}_{g \rightarrow gq, 3}^{\text{b2b}}. \quad (33)$$

We start with the scattering amplitude computed in general kinematics for the first mechanism which is given in Eq. (22). When written in terms of the relative dijet momentum \mathbf{P} and dijet momentum imbalance \mathbf{k} defined in Eq. (1) and their conjugate variables \mathbf{r} and \mathbf{b} defined in Eq. (3), the amplitude for the first mechanism given in Eq. (22) can be rewritten

as

$$\begin{aligned}
i\mathcal{M}_{g \rightarrow gq,1} &= \frac{-ig^2}{(1-z)(2q^+)^2} f^{ab_1b} \int_{\mathbf{z}, \mathbf{z}_1} \int_{-\frac{L^+}{2}}^{\frac{L^+}{2}} dz^+ \int_{-\infty}^{-\frac{L^+}{2}} dw^+ \int \frac{d^2\mathbf{k}_1}{(2\pi)^2} \\
&\times e^{-\frac{iw^+}{2z(1-z)q^+} [z(1-z)\mathbf{q}^2 - (1-z)\mathbf{k}_1^2 - z(\mathbf{k}_1 - \mathbf{q})^2 + zm^2]} e^{-i\mathbf{b} \cdot (\mathbf{k} - \mathbf{q})} e^{-i\mathbf{r} \cdot (z\mathbf{q} + \mathbf{P} - \mathbf{k}_1)} \\
&\times \mathcal{U}_A(z^+, w^+; \mathbf{b} + (1-z)\mathbf{r})_{b_2b} \mathcal{U}_A(\infty, w^+; \mathbf{b} - z\mathbf{r})_{a_1b_1} \\
&\times \bar{u}(\check{p}_2, h) \mathcal{U}_F(\infty, z^+; \mathbf{b} - z\mathbf{r}) t^{b_2} \gamma^l \gamma^+ \gamma^- \Psi(\underline{z}) \\
&\times \varepsilon_\lambda^i \varepsilon_{\lambda_1}^{j*} \left[g^{ij} \left(\frac{z}{1-z} \mathbf{q}^l - \frac{1}{1-z} \mathbf{k}_1^l \right) + g^{il} \left(\mathbf{q}^j - \frac{1}{z} \mathbf{k}_1^j \right) - g^{jl} (z\mathbf{q}^i - \mathbf{k}_1^i) \right], \quad (34)
\end{aligned}$$

where z and $(1-z)$ corresponds to longitudinal momentum fraction carried by the gluon and quark respectively and they are defined in Eq. (2).

We can now take the back-to-back limit of $i\mathcal{M}_{g \rightarrow gq,1}$. As discussed in Sec. 1 this limit corresponds to the kinematic region where the relative dijet momenta \mathbf{P} is much larger than the dijet momentum imbalance \mathbf{k} , defined in Eq. (1). In coordinate space, this limit is given by $|\mathbf{r}| \ll |\mathbf{b}|$. Therefore, in the back-to-back limit one can perform a Taylor expansion around $\mathbf{r} = 0$. Performing the expansion in the Wilson line structure and keeping only the zeroth order term in the expansion (since it is the first non-trivial contribution to the back-to-back limit) one observes that \mathbf{r} dependence in the Wilson lines disappears and the only \mathbf{r} dependence left is in the phase. This allows one to perform the \mathbf{r} and \mathbf{k}_1 integrals trivially. All in all, the back-to-back limit of the first mechanism in the quark-gluon dijet production in gluon initiated channel can be written as

$$\begin{aligned}
i\mathcal{M}_{g \rightarrow gq,1}^{b2b} &= \frac{ig^2}{(1-z)(2q^+)^2} f^{ab_1b} \int_{-\frac{L^+}{2}}^{\frac{L^+}{2}} dz^+ \int_{-\infty}^{-\frac{L^+}{2}} dw^+ e^{i\frac{w^+}{2z(1-z)q^+} [\mathbf{P}^2 - zm^2]} \\
&\times \int_{\mathbf{b}} e^{-i\mathbf{b} \cdot (\mathbf{k} - \mathbf{q})} \mathcal{U}_A(\infty, w^+; \mathbf{b})_{a_1b_1} \mathcal{U}_A(z^+, w^+; \mathbf{b})_{b_2b} \mathcal{U}_F(\infty, z^+; \mathbf{b}) t^{b_2} \\
&\times \bar{u}(\check{p}_2, h) \varepsilon_\lambda^i \varepsilon_{\lambda_1}^{j*} \left[\frac{1}{1-z} g^{ij} \mathbf{P}^l + \frac{1}{z} g^{il} \mathbf{P}^j - g^{jl} \mathbf{P}^i \right] \gamma^l \gamma^+ \gamma^- \Psi(z^+; \mathbf{b}). \quad (35)
\end{aligned}$$

Note that w^+ is before the medium and it is integrated up to the edge of the medium $-L^+/2$. Since the background fields vanish outside the medium one can take $w^+ \rightarrow -\infty$ in the Wilson lines. Then, the only dependence of w^+ remains in the phase and integration over it can be performed trivially. Upon integration over w^+ one gets a phase with a factor of L^+ which can be approximated by one since we are performing the computation at NEik accuracy. After all said and done, one gets the back-to-back limit of the scattering amplitude for the first mechanism as

$$\begin{aligned}
i\mathcal{M}_{g \rightarrow gq,1}^{b2b, m=0} &= g^2 \frac{z}{(2q^+)} \frac{1}{\mathbf{P}^2} f^{ab_1b} \int_{-\frac{L^+}{2}}^{\frac{L^+}{2}} dz^+ \int_{\mathbf{b}} e^{-i\mathbf{P} \cdot (\mathbf{k} - \mathbf{q})} \\
&\times \mathcal{U}_A(\infty, -\infty; \mathbf{b})_{a_1b_1} \mathcal{U}_A(z^+, -\infty; \mathbf{b})_{b_2b} \mathcal{U}_F(\infty, z^+; \mathbf{b}) t^{b_2} \\
&\times \bar{u}(\check{p}_2, h) \varepsilon_\lambda^i \varepsilon_{\lambda_1}^{j*} \left[g^{ij} \frac{\mathbf{P}^l}{(1-z)} + g^{il} \frac{\mathbf{P}^j}{z} - g^{jl} \mathbf{P}^i \right] \gamma^l \gamma^+ \gamma^- \Psi(z^+; \mathbf{b}), \quad (36)
\end{aligned}$$

where we have also considered the massless quark limit for simplicity. One should realize that the color structure that appears in Eq. (36) can be further simplified. By using the relations presented in Eq. (175) from App. A.2, one can write the color structure as

$$\begin{aligned}
& \mathcal{U}_A(\infty, -\infty; \mathbf{b})_{a_1 b_1} \mathcal{U}_A(z^+, -\infty; \mathbf{b})_{b_2 b} \left[\mathcal{U}_F(\infty, z^+; \mathbf{b}) t^{b_2} f^{ab_1 b} \Psi(z^+; \mathbf{b}) \right] \\
&= \mathcal{U}_A(\infty, -\infty; \mathbf{b})_{a_1 b_1} \mathcal{U}_A(\infty, -\infty; \mathbf{b})_{cb} f^{ab_1 b} \mathcal{U}_A(\infty, z^+; \mathbf{b})_{cb_2} \left[\mathcal{U}_F(\infty, z^+; \mathbf{b}) t^{b_2} \Psi(z^+; \mathbf{b}) \right] \\
&= f^{a_1 c c'} \mathcal{U}_A(\infty, -\infty; \mathbf{b})_{c' a} \left[t^c \mathcal{U}_F(\infty, z^+; \mathbf{b}) \Psi(z^+; \mathbf{b}) \right] \\
&= -i \mathcal{U}_A(\infty, -\infty; \mathbf{b})_{ca} \left[[t^c, t^{a_1}] \mathcal{U}_F(\infty, z^+; \mathbf{b}) \Psi(z^+; \mathbf{b}) \right]. \tag{37}
\end{aligned}$$

Using this simplification in Eq. (36), one obtains the final expression of the scattering amplitude for the first mechanism in the back-to-back and massless quark limits as

$$\begin{aligned}
i\mathcal{M}_{g \rightarrow gq, 1}^{\text{b2b}, m=0} &= -i g^2 \frac{1}{(2q^+)^2} \frac{1}{\mathbf{P}^2} \int_{-\frac{L}{2}}^{\frac{L}{2}} dz^+ \int_{\mathbf{b}} e^{-i\mathbf{b} \cdot (\mathbf{k} - \mathbf{q})} \mathcal{U}_A(\infty, -\infty; \mathbf{b})_{ca} [t^c, t^{a_1}] \mathcal{U}_F(\infty, z^+; \mathbf{b}) \\
&\times \bar{u}(\check{p}_2, h) \varepsilon_\lambda^i \varepsilon_{\lambda_1}^{j*} \left[\frac{z}{1-z} g^{ij} \mathbf{P}^l + g^{il} \mathbf{P}^j - z g^{jl} \mathbf{P}^i \right] \gamma^l \gamma^+ \gamma^- \Psi(z^+; \mathbf{b}). \tag{38}
\end{aligned}$$

We can continue our discussion of the back-to-back limit for the quark-gluon dijet production in gluon initiated channel with the second mechanism (Fig. 1b). As discussed previously, this second mechanism corresponds to the case where the incoming gluon converts into a quark via a t -channel quark exchange with the target and it splits into a quark-gluon dijet outside the medium. Since the quark-gluon dijet is produced after the medium, the back-to-back limit of the production amplitude is irrelevant in this mechanism. However, we still write the amplitude in terms of the relative dijet momentum \mathbf{P} and dijet momentum imbalance \mathbf{k} defined in Eq. (1) and their conjugate variables \mathbf{r} and \mathbf{b} defined in Eq. (3). Moreover, for the consistency of our final results, we refer to the amplitude as back-to-back amplitude after performing the change of variables. Then, starting from Eq. (28), we can write the scattering amplitude as

$$\begin{aligned}
i\mathcal{M}_{g \rightarrow gq, 2}^{\text{b2b}} &= -\frac{g^2}{(1-z)(2q^+)^2} \int_{-\frac{L^+}{2}}^{\frac{L^+}{2}} dz^+ \int_{\frac{L^+}{2}}^{\infty} dw^+ e^{-\frac{iw^+}{2z(1-z)q^+} [\mathbf{P}^2 - z^2 m^2]} \int_{\mathbf{b}} e^{-i\mathbf{b} \cdot (\mathbf{k} - \mathbf{q})} \\
&\times \bar{u}(\check{p}_2, h) \varepsilon_\lambda^i \varepsilon_{\lambda_1}^{j*} \left[\gamma^l \gamma^j \mathbf{P}^l - z m \gamma^j - 2 \frac{1-z}{z} \mathbf{P}^j \right] \gamma^i \\
&\times t^{b_1} \mathcal{U}_F(w^+, z^+; \mathbf{b}) t^b \mathcal{U}_A(z^+, -\infty; \mathbf{b})_{ba} \frac{\gamma^+ \gamma^-}{2} \Psi(\underline{z}). \tag{39}
\end{aligned}$$

Note that for this mechanism w^+ is after the medium and its integration region is from $L^+/2$ to ∞ . Since the background fields vanish outside the medium, one can take $w^+ \rightarrow \infty$ in the Wilson line in Eq. (39). Then the remaining w^+ dependence appears only in the phase and integration over w^+ can be performed trivially. Upon integration, one again gets a phase factor with L^+ which can be set to one in the accuracy we are performing the computations. Finally, performing the integration over w^+ and taking the massless quark limit one obtains

$$\begin{aligned}
i\mathcal{M}_{g \rightarrow gq, 2}^{\text{b2b}, m=0} &= -i g^2 \frac{1}{(2q^+)^2} \frac{1}{\mathbf{P}^2} \int_{-\frac{L}{2}}^{\frac{L}{2}} dz^+ \int_{\mathbf{p}} e^{-i\mathbf{p} \cdot (\mathbf{k} - \mathbf{q})} \bar{u}(\check{p}_2, h) \varepsilon_\lambda^i \varepsilon_{\lambda_1}^{j*} \left[z \gamma^l \gamma^j \mathbf{P}^l - 2(1-z) \mathbf{P}^j \right] \\
&\times \gamma^i t^{b_1} \mathcal{U}_F(w^+, z^+; \mathbf{b}) t^b \mathcal{U}_A(z^+, -\infty; \mathbf{b})_{ba} \frac{\gamma^+ \gamma^-}{2} \Psi(z^+, \mathbf{b}). \tag{40}
\end{aligned}$$

The color structure can be further simplified as

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{U}_A(z^+, -\infty; \mathbf{b})_{ab} & \left[t^{b_1} \mathcal{U}_F(\infty, z^+; \mathbf{b}) t^{b_1} \Psi(z^+, \mathbf{b}) \right] \\
& = \mathcal{U}_A(\infty, -\infty; \mathbf{b})_{ca} t^{b_1} \mathcal{U}_A(\infty, z^+; \mathbf{b})_{cb} \left[\mathcal{U}_F(\infty, z^+; \mathbf{b}) t^{b_1} \Psi(z^+, \mathbf{b}) \right] \\
& = \mathcal{U}_A(\infty, -\infty; \mathbf{b})_{ca} \left[t^{b_1} t^c \mathcal{U}_F(\infty, z^+; \mathbf{b}) \Psi(z^+, \mathbf{b}) \right], \tag{41}
\end{aligned}$$

which leads to the following final expression for the scattering amplitude in the back-to-back and massless quark limits of second mechanism

$$\begin{aligned}
i\mathcal{M}_{g \rightarrow gq, 2}^{\text{b2b}, m=0} & = -ig^2 \frac{1}{(2q^+)} \frac{1}{\mathbf{P}^2} \int_{-\frac{L^+}{2}}^{\frac{L^+}{2}} dz^+ \int_{\mathbf{b}} e^{-i\mathbf{b} \cdot (\mathbf{k} - \mathbf{q})} \bar{u}(\check{p}_2, h) \varepsilon_\lambda^i \varepsilon_{\lambda_1}^{j*} \left[z\gamma^l \gamma^j \mathbf{P}^l - 2(1-z)\mathbf{P}^j \right] \\
& \times \gamma^i \mathcal{U}_A(\infty, -\infty; \mathbf{b})_{ca} t^{b_1} t^c \mathcal{U}_F(\infty, z^+; \mathbf{b}) \frac{\gamma^+ \gamma^-}{2} \Psi(z^+, \mathbf{b}). \tag{42}
\end{aligned}$$

We can perform a similar analysis for the third mechanism in the quark-gluon dijet production in gluon initiated channel (Fig. 1c). This mechanism corresponds to the case where the incoming gluon splits into quark-antiquark pair before the medium, then the pair scatters on the target. The antiquark jet interacts with the target via t -channel quark exchange converting into a gluon. Thus, in the final state one gets a quark-gluon dijet. The amplitude for this mechanism is given in Eq. (32) in general kinematics. In order to consider back-to-back limit, we perform the change of variables given in Eqs. (1) and (3), and then perform the small $|\mathbf{r}|$ expansion keeping only the zeroth order term in the expansion. The amplitude then reads

$$\begin{aligned}
i\mathcal{M}_{g \rightarrow gq, 3}^{\text{b2b}} & = -\frac{g^2}{z(1-z)(2q^+)^2} \int_{-\frac{L^+}{2}}^{\frac{L^+}{2}} dz^+ \int_{-\infty}^{-\frac{L^+}{2}} dw^+ \int_{\mathbf{b}} e^{-i\mathbf{b} \cdot (\mathbf{k} - \mathbf{q})} e^{\frac{iw^+}{2z(1-z)q^+} [\mathbf{P}^2 + m^2]} \\
& \times \mathcal{U}_A(\infty, z^+; \mathbf{b})_{a_1 b_1} \mathcal{U}_F(\infty, w^+; \mathbf{b}) t^a \mathcal{U}_F^\dagger(z^+, w^+; \mathbf{b}) t^{b_1} \\
& \times \bar{u}(\check{p}_2, h) \varepsilon_\lambda^i \varepsilon_{\lambda_1}^{j*} \left[-z\mathbf{P}^l \gamma^l \gamma^i + (1-z)\mathbf{P}^l \gamma^i \gamma^l - m\gamma^i \right] \gamma^j \frac{\gamma^+ \gamma^-}{2} \Psi(z^+; \mathbf{b}). \tag{43}
\end{aligned}$$

Here, one can again take the limit $w^+ \rightarrow -\infty$ in the Wilson lines since the gauge fields vanish outside the medium. Then again the only w^+ depends appears in the phase which can be integrated trivially. The integration gives an L^+ dependent phase which can be approximated by one within the accuracy of our computations. Finally, after taking the massless quark limit, one obtains the scattering amplitude as

$$\begin{aligned}
i\mathcal{M}_{g \rightarrow gq, 3}^{\text{b2b}, m=0} & = ig^2 \frac{1}{(2q^+)} \frac{1}{\mathbf{P}^2} \int_{-\frac{L^+}{2}}^{\frac{L^+}{2}} dz^+ \int_{\mathbf{b}} e^{-i\mathbf{b} \cdot (\mathbf{k} - \mathbf{q})} \mathcal{U}_A(\infty, z^+; \mathbf{b})_{a_1 b_1} \mathcal{U}_F(\infty, -\infty; \mathbf{b}) t^a \\
& \times \mathcal{U}_F^\dagger(z^+, -\infty; \mathbf{b}) t^{b_1} \bar{u}(\check{q}_2, h) \varepsilon_\lambda^i \varepsilon_{\lambda_1}^{j*} \left[-z\mathbf{P}^l \gamma^l \gamma^i + (1-z)\mathbf{P}^l \gamma^i \gamma^l \right] \gamma^j \frac{\gamma^+ \gamma^-}{2} \Psi(z^+; \mathbf{b}). \tag{44}
\end{aligned}$$

The color structure can be further simplified as

$$\begin{aligned}
& \mathcal{U}_A(\infty, z^+; \mathbf{b})_{a_1 b_1} \left[\mathcal{U}_F(\infty, -\infty; \mathbf{b}) t^a \mathcal{U}_F^\dagger(z^+, -\infty; \mathbf{b}) t^{b_1} \Psi(z^+, \mathbf{b}) \right] \\
&= \mathcal{U}_A(\infty, z^+; \mathbf{b})_{a_1 b_1} \mathcal{U}_A(\infty, -\infty; \mathbf{b})_{ca} \left[t^c \mathcal{U}_F(\infty, -\infty; \mathbf{b}) \mathcal{U}_F(-\infty, z^+; \mathbf{b}) t^{b_1} \Psi(z^+, \mathbf{b}) \right] \\
&= \mathcal{U}_A(\infty, -\infty; \mathbf{b})_{ca} \left[t^c \mathcal{U}_A(\infty, z^+; \mathbf{b})_{a_1 b_1} \mathcal{U}_F(\infty, z^+; \mathbf{b}) t^{b_1} \Psi(z^+, \mathbf{b}) \right] \\
&= \mathcal{U}_A(\infty, -\infty; \mathbf{b})_{ca} \left[t^c t^{a_1} \mathcal{U}_F(\infty, z^+; \mathbf{b}) \Psi(z^+, \mathbf{b}) \right]. \tag{45}
\end{aligned}$$

Substituting Eq. (45) into the scattering amplitude given in Eq. (44), we obtain the back-to-back limit of the scattering amplitude of quark-gluon dijet in gluon initiated channel via the third mechanism as

$$\begin{aligned}
i\mathcal{M}_{g \rightarrow gq, 3}^{\text{b2b}, m=0} &= ig^2 \frac{1}{(2q^+)} \frac{1}{\mathbf{P}^2} \int_{-\frac{L^+}{2}}^{\frac{L^+}{2}} dz^+ \int_{\mathbf{b}} e^{-i\mathbf{b} \cdot (\mathbf{k}-\mathbf{q})} \mathcal{U}_A(\infty, -\infty; \mathbf{b})_{ca} t^c t^{a_1} \mathcal{U}_F(\infty, z^+; \mathbf{b}) \\
&\quad \times \bar{u}(\tilde{q}_2, h) \varepsilon_\lambda^i \varepsilon_{\lambda_1}^{j*} \left[-z \mathbf{P}^l \gamma^l \gamma^i + (1-z) \mathbf{P}^l \gamma^i \gamma^l \right] \gamma^j \frac{\gamma^+ \gamma^-}{2} \Psi(z^+; \mathbf{b}). \tag{46}
\end{aligned}$$

As stated previously, the total scattering amplitude given in Eq. (33) receives three contributions that are given in Eqs. (38), (42) and (46), and summing them all we can obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
i\mathcal{M}_{g \rightarrow gq, \text{tot.}}^{\text{b2b}, m=0} &= ig^2 \frac{1}{2q^+} \frac{1}{\mathbf{P}^2} \int_{-\frac{L^+}{2}}^{\frac{L^+}{2}} dz^+ \int_{\mathbf{b}} e^{-i\mathbf{b} \cdot (\mathbf{k}-\mathbf{q})} \mathcal{U}_A(\infty, -\infty; \mathbf{b})_{ba} \\
&\quad \times \bar{u}(\tilde{q}_2, h) \left[t^{a_1} t^b \mathfrak{h}_{g \rightarrow gq}^{(1)} + t^b t^{a_1} \mathfrak{h}_{g \rightarrow gq}^{(2)} \right] \mathcal{U}_F(\infty, z^+; \mathbf{b}) \frac{\gamma^+ \gamma^-}{2} \Psi(z^+; \mathbf{b}), \tag{47}
\end{aligned}$$

with

$$\mathfrak{h}_{g \rightarrow gq}^{(1)} = \varepsilon_\lambda^i \varepsilon_{\lambda_1}^{j*} \left(-z \mathbf{P}^l \gamma^l \gamma^j \gamma^i + 2(2-z) \mathbf{P}^j \gamma^i - 2z \mathbf{P}^i \gamma^j + 2 \frac{z}{1-z} \mathbf{P}^l g^{ij} \gamma^l \right), \tag{48}$$

$$\mathfrak{h}_{g \rightarrow gq}^{(2)} = \varepsilon_\lambda^i \varepsilon_{\lambda_1}^{j*} \left(-2z \mathbf{P}^l \gamma^l \gamma^i \gamma^j + (1-2z) \mathbf{P}^l \gamma^i \gamma^l \gamma^j - 2 \mathbf{P}^j \gamma^i - 2 \frac{z}{1-z} \mathbf{P}^l g^{ij} \gamma^l \right). \tag{49}$$

2.3 $g \rightarrow gq$ production cross section in the back-to-back limit

The partonic cross section for the production of quark-gluon dijet in gluon initiated channel in the back-to-back and massless quark limits can be written as

$$\frac{d\sigma_{g \rightarrow gq}^{\text{b2b}, m=0}}{d\text{P.S.}} = (2q^+) 2\pi\delta(p_1^+ + p_2^+ - q^+) \frac{1}{2(N_c^2 - 1)} \sum_{\lambda, \lambda_1} \sum_h \sum_{a, a_1} \left\langle \left| i\mathcal{M}_{g \rightarrow gq, \text{tot.}}^{\text{b2b}, m=0} \right|^2 \right\rangle, \tag{50}$$

with the total amplitude in the back-to-back and massless limits given in Eq. (47) together with Eqs. (48) and (49). $\langle \dots \rangle$ stands for the target averaging within the spirit of CGC formalism. The normalization factors 2 and $(N_c^2 - 1)$ arise from the averaging of the helicity and colors respectively. When written in terms of the relative momentum and dijet momentum imbalance, the phase space is defined as

$$d\text{P.S.} = \frac{d^2\mathbf{k}}{(2\pi)^2} \frac{dk^+}{(2\pi)2k^+} \frac{d^2\mathbf{P}}{(2\pi)^2} \frac{dz}{(2\pi)2z(1-z)}, \tag{51}$$

with $k^+ = p_1^+ + p_2^+$ the lightcone momentum of the dijet system. Using the explicit expression of the production amplitude given in Eq. (47), the partonic cross section for the $g \rightarrow gq$ channel in the back-to-back limit can be written as

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{d\sigma_{g \rightarrow gq}^{\text{b2b}, m=0}}{d\mathbf{P}\cdot\mathbf{S}} &= \frac{g^4(1-z)}{4\mathbf{P}^4(N_c^2-1)} (2\pi) \delta(p_1^+ + p_2^+ - q^+) \sum_{\lambda, \lambda_1} \int_{z^+, z'^+} \int_{\mathbf{b}, \mathbf{b}'} e^{-i(\mathbf{b}-\mathbf{b}')\cdot(\mathbf{k}-\mathbf{q})} \\
&\times \left\{ \left| \mathfrak{h}_{g \rightarrow gq}^{(1)} \right|^2 \left\langle \bar{\Psi}(z'^+; \mathbf{b}') \gamma^- \mathcal{U}_F^\dagger(\infty, z'^+; \mathbf{b}') \mathcal{U}_A(\infty, -\infty; \mathbf{b}')_{b'a} t^{b'} t^{a_1} t^{a_1} t^b \right. \right. \\
&\quad \left. \left. \times \mathcal{U}_A(\infty, -\infty; \mathbf{b})_{ba} \mathcal{U}_F(\infty, z^+; \mathbf{b}) \Psi(z^+, \mathbf{b}) \right\rangle \right. \\
&+ \left| \mathfrak{h}_{g \rightarrow gq}^{(2)} \right|^2 \left\langle \bar{\Psi}(z'^+; \mathbf{b}') \gamma^- \mathcal{U}_F^\dagger(\infty, z'^+; \mathbf{b}') \mathcal{U}_A(\infty, -\infty; \mathbf{b}')_{b'a} t^{a_1} t^{b'} t^b t^{a_1} \right. \\
&\quad \left. \times \mathcal{U}_A(\infty, -\infty; \mathbf{b})_{ba} \mathcal{U}_F(\infty, z^+; \mathbf{b}) \Psi(z^+, \mathbf{b}) \right\rangle \\
&+ \left(\mathfrak{h}_{g \rightarrow gq}^{(1)} \right)^\dagger \mathfrak{h}_{g \rightarrow gq}^{(2)} \left\langle \bar{\Psi}(z'^+; \mathbf{b}') \gamma^- \mathcal{U}_F^\dagger(\infty, z'^+; \mathbf{b}') \mathcal{U}_A(\infty, -\infty; \mathbf{b}')_{b'a} t^{b'} t^{a_1} t^b t^{a_1} \right. \\
&\quad \left. \times \mathcal{U}_A(\infty, -\infty; \mathbf{b})_{ba} \mathcal{U}_F(\infty, z^+; \mathbf{b}) \Psi(z^+, \mathbf{b}) \right\rangle \\
&+ \left(\mathfrak{h}_{g \rightarrow gq}^{(2)} \right)^\dagger \mathfrak{h}_{g \rightarrow gq}^{(1)} \left\langle \bar{\Psi}(z'^+; \mathbf{b}') \gamma^- \mathcal{U}_F^\dagger(\infty, z'^+; \mathbf{b}') \mathcal{U}_A(\infty, -\infty; \mathbf{b}')_{b'a} t^{a_1} t^{b'} t^{a_1} t^b \right. \\
&\quad \left. \times \mathcal{U}_A(\infty, -\infty; \mathbf{b})_{ba} \mathcal{U}_F(\infty, z^+; \mathbf{b}) \Psi(z^+, \mathbf{b}) \right\rangle \left. \right\}. \quad (52)
\end{aligned}$$

The coefficients $\mathfrak{h}_{q \rightarrow gq}^{(1)}$ and $\mathfrak{h}_{q \rightarrow gq}^{(2)}$ are defined in Eqs. (48) and (49). The combinations that appear in Eq. (52) are computed and summation over the gluon polarizations have been performed in App. A.3 and given in Eqs. (183), (184) and (185) which read

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{\lambda, \lambda_1} \left| \mathfrak{h}_{g \rightarrow gq}^{(1)} \right|^2 &= 4\mathbf{P}^2 \left[5z^2 - 6z + 8 + 2\frac{z}{(1-z)^2} \right], \\
\sum_{\lambda, \lambda_1} \left| \mathfrak{h}_{g \rightarrow gq}^{(2)} \right|^2 &= 4\mathbf{P}^2 \left[8z^2 - 6z + 5 + 2\frac{z^3}{(1-z)^2} \right], \\
\sum_{\lambda, \lambda_1} \left(\mathfrak{h}_{g \rightarrow gq}^{(1)} \right)^\dagger \mathfrak{h}_{g \rightarrow gq}^{(2)} &= \sum_{\lambda, \lambda_1} \left(\mathfrak{h}_{g \rightarrow gq}^{(2)} \right)^\dagger \mathfrak{h}_{g \rightarrow gq}^{(1)} = 4\mathbf{P}^2 \left[-6z^2 - 5z - 6 - 2\frac{z^2}{(1-z)^2} \right]. \quad (53)
\end{aligned}$$

Moreover, the color structures appearing in Eq. (52) can be further simplified. By using the properties of color generators given in App. A.1, one can easily show that

$$t^{a_1} t^{b'} t^b t^{a_1} = C_F t^{b'} t^b \quad (54)$$

$$t^{a_1} t^{b'} t^{a_1} t^b = t^{b'} t^{a_1} t^b t^{a_1} = -\frac{1}{2N_c} t^{b'} t^b. \quad (55)$$

By using Eqs. (54) and (55), the color structures in the first, the third and the fourth terms in Eq. (52) can be written as

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left\langle \bar{\Psi}(z'^+; \mathbf{b}') \gamma^- \mathcal{U}_F^\dagger(\infty, z'^+; \mathbf{b}') \mathcal{U}_A(\infty, -\infty; \mathbf{b}')_{b'a} t^{b'} t^b \right. \\
& \qquad \qquad \qquad \times \mathcal{U}_A(\infty, -\infty; \mathbf{b})_{ba} \mathcal{U}_F(\infty, z^+; \mathbf{b}) \Psi(z^+, \mathbf{b}) \left. \right\rangle \\
& = \left\langle \bar{\Psi}(z'^+; \mathbf{b}') \gamma^- \mathcal{U}_F^\dagger(\infty, z'^+; \mathbf{b}') \mathcal{U}_A(\infty, z'^+; \mathbf{b}')_{b'c'} \mathcal{U}_A(z'^+, -\infty; \mathbf{b}')_{c'a} t^{b'} t^b \right. \\
& \qquad \qquad \qquad \times \mathcal{U}_A(\infty, z^+; \mathbf{b})_{bc} \mathcal{U}_A(z^+, -\infty; \mathbf{b})_{ca} \mathcal{U}_F(\infty, z^+; \mathbf{b}) \Psi(z^+, \mathbf{b}) \left. \right\rangle \\
& = \left\langle \bar{\Psi}(z'^+; \mathbf{b}') \gamma^- t^{c'} \mathcal{U}_F^\dagger(\infty, z'^+; \mathbf{b}') \mathcal{U}_F(\infty, z^+; \mathbf{b}) t^c \Psi(z^+, \mathbf{b}) \right. \\
& \qquad \qquad \qquad \times \mathcal{U}_A(z'^+, -\infty; \mathbf{b}')_{c'a} \mathcal{U}_A(z^+, -\infty; \mathbf{b})_{ca} \left. \right\rangle. \quad (56)
\end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, the color structure in the second term of Eq. (52) can be simplified, using the Fierz identity given in Eq. (168), as

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left\langle \bar{\Psi}(z'^+; \mathbf{b}') \gamma^- \mathcal{U}_F^\dagger(\infty, z'^+; \mathbf{b}') \mathcal{U}_A(\infty, -\infty; \mathbf{b}')_{b'a} t^{a_1} t^{b'} t^b t^{a_1} \right. \\
& \qquad \qquad \qquad \times \mathcal{U}_A(\infty, -\infty; \mathbf{b})_{ba} \mathcal{U}_F(\infty, z^+; \mathbf{b}) \Psi(z^+, \mathbf{b}) \left. \right\rangle \\
& = \frac{1}{2} \left\langle \bar{\Psi}(z'^+; \mathbf{b}') \gamma^- \mathcal{U}_F^\dagger(\infty, z'^+; \mathbf{b}') \mathcal{U}_F(\infty, z^+; \mathbf{b}) \Psi(z^+, \mathbf{b}) \right. \\
& \qquad \qquad \qquad \times (t^{b'} t^b) \mathcal{U}_A(\infty, -\infty; \mathbf{b}')_{b'a} \mathcal{U}_A(\infty, -\infty; \mathbf{b})_{ba} \left. \right\rangle \\
& - \frac{1}{2N_c} \left\langle \bar{\Psi}(z'^+; \mathbf{b}') \gamma^- \mathcal{U}_F^\dagger(\infty, z'^+; \mathbf{b}') t^{b'} t^b \mathcal{U}_F(\infty, z^+; \mathbf{b}) \Psi(z^+, \mathbf{b}) \right. \\
& \qquad \qquad \qquad \times \mathcal{U}_A(\infty, -\infty; \mathbf{b}')_{b'a} \mathcal{U}_A(\infty, -\infty; \mathbf{b})_{ba} \left. \right\rangle \\
& = \frac{1}{4} \left\langle \bar{\Psi}(z'^+; \mathbf{b}') \gamma^- \mathcal{U}_F^\dagger(\infty, z'^+; \mathbf{b}') \mathcal{U}_F(\infty, z^+; \mathbf{b}) \Psi(z^+, \mathbf{b}) \right. \\
& \qquad \qquad \qquad \times \mathcal{U}_A(\infty, -\infty; \mathbf{b}')_{ba} \mathcal{U}_A(\infty, -\infty; \mathbf{b})_{ba} \left. \right\rangle \\
& - \frac{1}{2N_c} \left\langle \bar{\Psi}(z'^+; \mathbf{b}') \gamma^- \mathcal{U}_F^\dagger(\infty, z'^+; \mathbf{b}') t^{b'} t^b \mathcal{U}_F(\infty, z^+; \mathbf{b}) \Psi(z^+, \mathbf{b}) \right. \\
& \qquad \qquad \qquad \times \mathcal{U}_A(\infty, -\infty; \mathbf{b}')_{b'a} \mathcal{U}_A(\infty, -\infty; \mathbf{b})_{ba} \left. \right\rangle. \quad (57)
\end{aligned}$$

Thus, defining the following two color structures, that are represented in Fig. 2, as

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{C}^{+g} \equiv & \left\langle \bar{\Psi}(z'^+; \mathbf{b}') \gamma^- t^{c'} \mathcal{U}_F^\dagger(\infty, z'^+; \mathbf{b}') \mathcal{U}_F(\infty, z^+; \mathbf{b}) t^c \Psi(z^+, \mathbf{b}) \right. \\
& \qquad \qquad \qquad \times \mathcal{U}_A(z'^+, -\infty; \mathbf{b}')_{c'a} \mathcal{U}_A(z^+, -\infty; \mathbf{b})_{ca} \left. \right\rangle \quad (58)
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{C}^{+\square g} \equiv & \left\langle \bar{\Psi}(z'^+; \mathbf{b}') \gamma^- \mathcal{U}_F^\dagger(\infty, z'^+; \mathbf{b}') \mathcal{U}_F(\infty, z^+; \mathbf{b}) \Psi(z^+, \mathbf{b}), \right. \\
& \qquad \qquad \qquad \times \mathcal{U}_A(\infty, -\infty; \mathbf{b}')_{ba} \mathcal{U}_A(\infty, -\infty; \mathbf{b})_{ba} \left. \right\rangle, \quad (59)
\end{aligned}$$




Figure 2: Color structures appearing in the cross section of $g \rightarrow qq$.

the production cross section given in Eq. (52) can be written in the following factorized form

$$\frac{d\sigma_{g \rightarrow qq}^{\text{b2b}, m=0}}{d\text{P.S.}} = g^4 (2\pi) \delta(p_1^+ + p_2^+ - q^+) \int_{-\frac{L^+}{2}}^{\frac{L^+}{2}} dz^+ \int_{-\frac{L^+}{2}}^{\frac{L^+}{2}} dz'^+ \times \int_{\mathbf{b}, \mathbf{b}'} e^{-i(\mathbf{b}-\mathbf{b}') \cdot (\mathbf{k}-\mathbf{q})} \left[\mathcal{H}_{g \rightarrow qq}^{+g} \mathcal{C}^{+g} + \mathcal{H}_{g \rightarrow qq}^{+\square_g} \mathcal{C}^{+\square_g} \right], \quad (60)$$

where the hard factors are defined as

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{H}_{g \rightarrow qq}^{+\square_g} &\equiv \frac{1}{N_c^2 - 1} \frac{1-z}{4\mathbf{P}^4} \sum_{\lambda, \lambda_1} \left| \mathfrak{h}_{g \rightarrow qq}^{(2)} \right|^2 \\ &= \frac{1}{N_c^2 - 1} \frac{1-z}{4\mathbf{P}^2} \left(8z^2 - 6z + 5 + 2 \frac{z^3}{(1-z)^2} \right), \quad (61) \\ \mathcal{H}_{g \rightarrow qq}^{+g} &\equiv \frac{1}{N_c^2 - 1} \frac{1-z}{4\mathbf{P}^4} \sum_{\lambda, \lambda_1} \left(C_F \left| \mathfrak{h}_{g \rightarrow qq}^{(1)} \right|^2 - \frac{1}{2N_c} \left| \mathfrak{h}_{g \rightarrow qq}^{(2)} \right|^2 - \frac{1}{N_c} \left(\mathfrak{h}_{g \rightarrow qq}^{(1)} \right)^\dagger \mathfrak{h}_{g \rightarrow qq}^{(2)} \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{N_c^2 - 1} \frac{1}{\mathbf{P}^2} \left[N_c(1-z) \left(5z^2 - 6z + 8 + 2 \frac{z}{(1-z)^2} \right) - \frac{(1-z)}{N_c} (1 - 4z + z^2) \right]. \quad (62) \end{aligned}$$

As a final remark in the $g \rightarrow qq$ channel, we would like to mention that the color structures \mathcal{C}^{+g} and $\mathcal{C}^{+\square_g}$ given in Eqs. (58) and (59) respectively, can be rewritten with only fundamental Wilson lines, as discussed in App. A.4.

3 $q \rightarrow q\bar{q}$ channel

The next channel where we present the details of the calculation is the $q \rightarrow q\bar{q}$ channel, first considering that all quarks have the same flavor. At NEik accuracy there are two mechanisms that contribute to the production of a quark-antiquark pair in quark initiated channel. The first mechanism corresponds to the case where the incoming quark splits into a quark-gluon pair before the medium. Then the pair scatters on the target. The quark scatters eikonally while the gluon scatters via a t -channel quark exchange and converts into an antiquark. Thus,

in the final state a quark-antiquark dijet is produced (see Fig. 3a). In the second mechanism, incoming quark interacts with the target via a t -channel quark exchange and converts into a gluon. The gluon then splits into a quark-antiquark pair in the final state (see Fig. 3b).




Figure 3: Diagrams contributing to channel $q \rightarrow q\bar{q}$.

In the rest of this section, we derive the scattering amplitudes for each mechanism both in general kinematics and in the back-to-back limit. We also compute the quark-antiquark dijet production cross section for quark initiated channel at NEik accuracy in the back-to-back and massless quark limits. Finally, we will consider the two other cases where all quarks do not share the same flavor. If the final quarks-antiquark pair has the same flavor, but different than the initial quark one (more explicitly, $q_f \rightarrow q_{f'}\bar{q}_{f'}$, with $f \neq f'$), only the second diagram contributes (Fig. 3b). When the final antiquark has different flavor than the quarks, initial and final (more explicitly, $q_f \rightarrow q_f q_{f'}$), only the first diagram contributes (Fig. 3a).

3.1 $q \rightarrow q\bar{q}$ amplitude in general kinematics

As discussed above, the scattering amplitude in the $q \rightarrow q\bar{q}$ channel receives two contributions from the aforementioned two mechanisms and it is written as the sum of these two contributions

$$\mathcal{M}_{q \rightarrow q\bar{q}, \text{tot.}} = \mathcal{M}_{q \rightarrow q\bar{q}, 1} + \mathcal{M}_{q \rightarrow q\bar{q}, 2}. \quad (63)$$

Let us start with the first mechanism which is described in Fig. 3a. In that case, the S -matrix element can be obtained from LSZ-type reduction formula which reads

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{S}_{q \rightarrow q\bar{q}, 1} &= \lim_{x_1^+, x_2^+ \rightarrow \infty} \lim_{y^+ \rightarrow -\infty} \int_{\mathbf{x}_1, \mathbf{x}_2} \int_{x_1^-, x_2^-} \int_{\mathbf{y}} \int_{y^-} e^{ix_1 \cdot \check{p}_1} e^{ix_2 \cdot \check{p}_2} e^{-iy \cdot \check{q}} \int d^4 w \int d^4 z \theta(z^+ - w^+) \\ &\times \bar{\Psi}_{\beta_2}^-(z) \left[(-igt^a \gamma_\mu) S_F(z, x_2) \Big|_{\text{Eik.}}^{\text{IA}, \bar{q}} \right]_{\beta_2 \alpha_2} (-1) \gamma^+ v(\check{p}_2, h_2) \left[G_F^{\mu\nu}(z, w) \Big|_{\text{Eik.}}^{\text{BI}} \right]_{ab} \\ &\times \bar{u}(\check{p}_1, h_1) \gamma^+ \left[S_F(x_1, w) \Big|_{\text{Eik.}}^{\text{BA}, q} (-igt^b \gamma_\nu) S_{0,F}(w, y) \right]_{\beta\alpha} \gamma^+ u(\check{q}, h), \end{aligned} \quad (64)$$

where the inside-to-after antiquark propagator is given in Eq. (213), the before-to-inside gluon propagator is given in Eq. (206), the before-to-after quark propagator is given in Eq. (208) and the vacuum quark propagator is given in Eq. (24). By using these explicit expressions,

contracting the μ and ν indices, and performing some trivial integrations over $\underline{x}_1, \underline{x}_2$, and \underline{y} , we can take limits over x_1^-, x_2^- , and y^- . As a result, we obtain delta functions in + and transverse components of momenta, so performing trivial integrations over delta functions, the S -matrix can be written as

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{S}_{q \rightarrow q\bar{q}, 1} &= g^2 \int \frac{d^3 \underline{k}_1}{(2\pi)^2} \int \frac{d^3 \underline{k}_2}{(2\pi)^2} \frac{1}{(2k_2^+)(2q^+)} 2\pi \delta(p_2^+ - k_2^+) 2\pi \delta(p_1^+ - k_1^+) \\
&\times \int_{\mathbf{z}} e^{-i\mathbf{z} \cdot (\mathbf{p}_2 - \mathbf{k}_2)} \int_{\mathbf{z}_1} e^{-i\mathbf{z}_1 \cdot (\mathbf{p}_1 - \mathbf{k}_1)} \int_{-\frac{L^+}{2}}^{\frac{L^+}{2}} dz^+ \int_{-\infty}^{-\frac{L^+}{2}} dw^+ e^{iw^+ \left(\frac{\mathbf{k}_1^2 + m^2}{2p_1^+} + \frac{\mathbf{k}_2^2}{2p_2^+} - \frac{\mathbf{q}^2 + m^2}{2q^+} \right)} \\
&\times (2\pi) \delta(k_1^+ + k_2^+ - q^+) (2\pi)^2 \delta^2(\mathbf{k}_1 + \mathbf{k}_2 - \mathbf{q}) \bar{\Psi}_\beta(\underline{z}) \frac{\gamma^- \gamma^+}{2} \gamma^i \\
&\times v(\check{p}_2, h_2) \bar{u}(\check{p}_1, h_1) \gamma^+ \frac{(\check{\mathbf{k}}_1 + m)}{2k_1^+} \left(\gamma^i - \frac{\mathbf{k}_2^i \gamma^+}{2k_2^+} \right) (\not{q} + m) \gamma^+ u(\check{q}, h) \\
&\times \left[t^a \mathcal{U}_F^\dagger(\infty, z^+, \mathbf{z}) \right]_{\beta_2 \alpha_2} \left[\mathcal{U}_F(\infty, w^+, \mathbf{z}_1) t^b \right]_{\beta_1 \alpha_1} \mathcal{U}_A(z^+, w^+, \mathbf{z})_{ab}. \tag{65}
\end{aligned}$$

As in the case of $g \rightarrow gq$ channel, in the above expression, terms with covariant derivatives vanish as they are multiplied by the projection of quark background field components and as $\gamma^+ \gamma^i \gamma^+ = -\gamma^i \gamma^+ \gamma^+ = 0$. Using delta functions to perform the integrations over k_1^+ and k_2^+ , one gets

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{S}_{q \rightarrow q\bar{q}, 1} &= \frac{g^2}{2p_2^+} (2\pi) \delta(p_1^+ + p_2^+ - q^+) \int \frac{d^2 \mathbf{k}_1}{(2\pi)^2} \int \frac{d^2 \mathbf{k}_2}{(2\pi)^2} \int_{\mathbf{z}} e^{-i\mathbf{z} \cdot (\mathbf{P}_2 - \mathbf{k}_2)} \int_{\mathbf{z}_1} e^{-i\mathbf{z}_1 \cdot (\mathbf{p}_1 - \mathbf{k}_1)} \\
&\times \int_{-\frac{L^+}{2}}^{\frac{L^+}{2}} dz^+ \int_{-\infty}^{-\frac{L^+}{2}} dw^+ e^{iw^+ \left(\frac{\mathbf{k}_1^2 + m^2}{2p_1^+} + \frac{\mathbf{k}_2^2}{2p_2^+} - \frac{\mathbf{q}^2 + m^2}{2q^+} \right)} (2\pi)^2 \delta^2(\mathbf{k}_1 + \mathbf{k}_2 - \mathbf{q}) \bar{\Psi}_\beta(\underline{z}) \frac{\gamma^- \gamma^+}{2} \gamma^i \\
&\times v(\check{p}_2, h_2) \bar{u}(\check{p}_1, h_1) \gamma^+ \left[\frac{\gamma^i \gamma^l \mathbf{q}^l}{2q^+} + \gamma^i \left(\frac{1}{2q^+} - \frac{1}{2p_1^+} \right) m + \frac{\gamma^l \gamma^i \mathbf{k}_1^l}{2p_1^+} + \frac{\mathbf{k}_2^i}{p_2^+} \right] u(\check{q}, h) \\
&\times \left[t^a \mathcal{U}_F^\dagger(\infty, z^+, \mathbf{z}) \right]_{\beta_2 \alpha_2} \left[\mathcal{U}_F(\infty, w^+, \mathbf{z}_1) t^b \right]_{\beta_1 \alpha_1} \mathcal{U}_A(z^+, w^+, \mathbf{z})_{ab}, \tag{66}
\end{aligned}$$

where we have used the relation from Eq. (26) to simplify the Dirac matrices. Now, the integration over \mathbf{k}_1 can be taken trivially and one obtains

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{S}_{q \rightarrow q\bar{q}, 1} &= \frac{g^2}{2p_2^+} (2\pi) \delta(p_1^+ + p_2^+ - q^+) \int \frac{d^2 \mathbf{k}_1}{(2\pi)^2} \int_{\mathbf{z}} e^{-i\mathbf{z} \cdot (\mathbf{p}_2 + \mathbf{k}_1 - \mathbf{q})} \int_{\mathbf{z}_1} e^{-i\mathbf{z}_1 \cdot (\mathbf{p}_1 - \mathbf{k}_1)} \\
&\times \int_{-\frac{L^+}{2}}^{\frac{L^+}{2}} dz^+ \int_{-\infty}^{-\frac{L^+}{2}} dw^+ e^{iw^+ \left(\frac{\mathbf{k}_1^2}{2p_1^+} + \frac{(\mathbf{q} - \mathbf{k}_1)^2}{2p_2^+} - \frac{\mathbf{q}^2}{2q^+} + \frac{m^2}{2p_1^+} - \frac{m^2}{2q^+} \right)} \bar{\Psi}_{\beta_2}(\underline{z}) \frac{\gamma^- \gamma^+}{2} \gamma^i \\
&\times v(\check{p}_2, h_2) \bar{u}(\check{p}_1, h_1) \gamma^+ \left[\frac{\gamma^i \gamma^l \mathbf{q}^l}{2q^+} + \frac{\gamma^l \gamma^i \mathbf{k}_1^l}{2p_1^+} + \gamma^i \left(\frac{1}{2q^+} - \frac{1}{2p_1^+} \right) m + \frac{\mathbf{q}^i - \mathbf{k}_1^i}{p_2^+} \right] u(\check{q}, h) \\
&\times \left[t^a \mathcal{U}_F^\dagger(\infty, z^+, \mathbf{z}) \right]_{\beta_2 \alpha_2} \mathcal{U}_A(z^+, w^+, \mathbf{z})_{ab} \left[\mathcal{U}_F(\infty, w^+, \mathbf{z}_1) t^b \right]_{\beta_1 \alpha_1}. \tag{67}
\end{aligned}$$

Finally, by using the relation between S -matrix and production amplitude, Eq. (21), the scattering amplitude for the first mechanism can be written as

$$\begin{aligned}
i\mathcal{M}_{q \rightarrow q\bar{q}, 1} &= \frac{g^2}{(2p_2^+)(2q^+)} \int \frac{d^2\mathbf{k}_1}{(2\pi)^2} \int_{\mathbf{z}} e^{-i\mathbf{z}\cdot(\mathbf{p}_2+\mathbf{k}_1-\mathbf{q})} \int_{\mathbf{z}_1} e^{-i\mathbf{z}_1\cdot(\mathbf{p}_1-\mathbf{k}_1)} \\
&\times \int_{-\frac{L^+}{2}}^{\frac{L^+}{2}} dz^+ \int_{-\infty}^{-\frac{L^+}{2}} dw^+ e^{iw^+ \left(\frac{\mathbf{k}_1^2}{2p_1^+} + \frac{(\mathbf{q}-\mathbf{k}_1)^2}{2p_2^+} - \frac{\mathbf{q}^2}{2q^+} + \frac{m^2}{2p_1^+} - \frac{m^2}{2q^+} \right)} \bar{\Psi}_{\beta_2}(\underline{z}) \frac{\gamma^- \gamma^+}{2} \gamma^i \\
&\times v(\check{p}_2, h_2) \bar{u}(\check{p}_1, h_1) \gamma^+ \left[\frac{\gamma^i \gamma^l \mathbf{q}^l}{2q^+} + \frac{\gamma^l \gamma^i \mathbf{k}_1^l}{2p_1^+} + \gamma^i \left(\frac{1}{2q^+} - \frac{1}{2p_1^+} \right) m + \frac{\mathbf{q}^i - \mathbf{k}_1^i}{p_2^+} \right] u(\check{q}, h) \\
&\times \left[t^a \mathcal{U}_F^\dagger(\infty, z^+, \mathbf{z}) \right]_{\beta_2 \alpha_2} \mathcal{U}_A(z^+, w^+, \mathbf{z})_{ab} \left[\mathcal{U}_F(\infty, w^+, \mathbf{z}_1) t^b \right]_{\beta_1 \alpha_1}. \tag{68}
\end{aligned}$$

The S -matrix element for the second mechanism described in Fig. 3b can be obtained via the following LSZ-type reduction formula:

$$\begin{aligned}
S_{q \rightarrow q\bar{q}, 2} &= \lim_{x_1^+, x_2^+ \rightarrow \infty} \lim_{y^+ \rightarrow -\infty} \int_{\mathbf{x}_1, \mathbf{x}_2} \int_{\mathbf{y}} \int_{y^-} e^{ix_1 \cdot \check{p}_1} e^{ix_2 \cdot \check{p}_2} e^{-iy \cdot \check{q}} \int d^4 w \int d^4 z \theta(w^+ - z^+) \\
&\times \bar{\Psi}_{\beta_1}^-(\underline{z}) \left[(-igt^b \gamma_\nu) S_F(z, y) \Big|_{\text{Eik.}}^{\text{BI}, q} \right]_{\beta_1 \alpha_1} \gamma^+ u(\check{q}, h) \left[G_F^{\mu\nu}(w, z) \Big|_{\text{Eik.}}^{\text{IA}} \right]_{ab} \\
&\times \bar{u}(\check{p}_1, h_1) \gamma^+ \left[S_{0,F}(x_1, w) (-igt^a \gamma_\mu) S_{0,F}(w, x_2) \right]_{\beta_2 \alpha_2} (-1) \gamma^+ v(\check{p}_2, h_2), \tag{69}
\end{aligned}$$

where the before-to-inside quark propagator is given in Eq. (209), the inside-to-after gluon propagator is given in Eq. (207) and the vacuum quark propagator is given in Eq. (24). Using these expressions for the propagators and performing the trivial integrations as in the case of the first mechanism, the S -matrix element can be written as

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{S}_{q \rightarrow q\bar{q}, 2} &= \frac{g^2}{2q^+} (2\pi) \delta(p_1^+ + p_2^+ - q^+) \int_{-\frac{L^+}{2}}^{\frac{L^+}{2}} dz^+ \int_{\frac{L^+}{2}}^{\infty} dw^+ e^{-iw^+ \left(\frac{(\mathbf{p}_1+\mathbf{p}_2)^2}{2q^+} - \frac{\mathbf{p}_1^2+m^2}{2p_1^+} - \frac{\mathbf{p}_2^2+m^2}{2p_2^+} \right)} \\
&\times \int_{\mathbf{z}} e^{-i\mathbf{z}\cdot(\mathbf{p}_1+\mathbf{p}_2-\mathbf{q})} \bar{\Psi}_{\beta_1}(\underline{z}) \frac{\gamma^- \gamma^+}{2} \gamma^j u(\check{q}, h) \bar{u}(\check{p}_1, h_1) \gamma^+ \\
&\times \left[\frac{\gamma^j \gamma^l \mathbf{p}_2^l}{2p_2^+} + \frac{\gamma^l \gamma^j \mathbf{p}_1^l}{2p_1^+} - \gamma^j \left(\frac{1}{2p_1^+} + \frac{1}{2p_2^+} \right) m + \frac{\mathbf{p}_1^j + \mathbf{p}_2^j}{q^+} \right] v(\check{p}_2, h_2) \\
&\times \left[t^b \mathcal{U}_F(z^+, -\infty, \mathbf{z}) \right]_{\beta_1 \alpha_1} (t^a)_{\beta_2 \alpha_2} \mathcal{U}_A(w^+, z^+, \mathbf{z})_{ab}, \tag{70}
\end{aligned}$$

where we have used Eq. (26) to simplify the Dirac structure. Finally, by using Eq. (21) we obtain the scattering amplitude for the second mechanism in general kinematics as

$$\begin{aligned}
i\mathcal{M}_{q \rightarrow q\bar{q}, 2} &= \frac{g^2}{(2q^+)^2} \int_{-\frac{L^+}{2}}^{\frac{L^+}{2}} dz^+ \int_{\frac{L^+}{2}}^{\infty} dw^+ e^{-iw^+ \left(\frac{(\mathbf{p}_1+\mathbf{p}_2)^2}{2q^+} - \frac{\mathbf{p}_1^2+m^2}{2p_1^+} - \frac{\mathbf{p}_2^2+m^2}{2p_2^+} \right)} \\
&\times \int_{\mathbf{z}} e^{-i\mathbf{z}\cdot(\mathbf{p}_1+\mathbf{p}_2-\mathbf{q})} \bar{\Psi}_{\beta_1}(\underline{z}) \frac{\gamma^- \gamma^+}{2} \gamma^j u(\check{q}, h) \bar{u}(\check{p}_1, h_1) \gamma^+ \\
&\times \left[\frac{\gamma^j \gamma^l \mathbf{p}_2^l}{2p_2^+} + \frac{\gamma^l \gamma^j \mathbf{p}_1^l}{2p_1^+} - \gamma^j \left(\frac{1}{2p_1^+} + \frac{1}{2p_2^+} \right) m + \frac{\mathbf{p}_1^j + \mathbf{p}_2^j}{q^+} \right] v(\check{p}_2, h_2) \\
&\times \left[t^b \mathcal{U}_F(z^+, -\infty, \mathbf{z}) \right]_{\beta_1 \alpha_1} (t^a)_{\beta_2 \alpha_2} \mathcal{U}_A(w^+, z^+, \mathbf{z})_{ab}. \tag{71}
\end{aligned}$$

As stated in Eq. (63), total scattering amplitude in the $q \rightarrow q\bar{q}$ channel in the general kinematics is given by the sum of two production amplitudes, given in Eqs. (68) and (71), that correspond to the first and second mechanisms that are illustrated in Fig. 3.

3.2 $q \rightarrow q\bar{q}$ amplitude in the back-to-back limit

The back-to-back production limit of the $q \rightarrow q\bar{q}$ dijet can be considered in the same way as discussed in Sec. 1 and applied in Sec. 2.2. Since there are two mechanisms that contribute to the production of quark-antiquark dijet in quark initiated channel, in the back-to-back limit, the total scattering amplitude can be written as

$$i\mathcal{M}_{q \rightarrow q\bar{q}, \text{tot.}}^{\text{b2b}} = i\mathcal{M}_{q \rightarrow q\bar{q}, 1}^{\text{b2b}} + i\mathcal{M}_{q \rightarrow q\bar{q}, 2}^{\text{b2b}}. \quad (72)$$

Let's first consider the back-to-back limit of the scattering amplitude in the first mechanism. For that purpose, we perform the change of variables given in Eqs. (1) and (3) and rewrite the production amplitude of the first mechanism in the general kinematics given in Eq. (68) in terms of the relative dijet momentum \mathbf{P} and dijet momentum imbalance \mathbf{k} and their conjugate variables \mathbf{r} and \mathbf{b} . As a reminder, the back-to-back limit, corresponding to $|\mathbf{P}| \gg |\mathbf{k}|$ or equivalently to $|\mathbf{r}| \ll |\mathbf{b}|$, allows a Taylor expansion around $\mathbf{r} = 0$, pushing the \mathbf{r} dependence, at zeroth order, to the phase only. For the first mechanism, this leads to

$$\begin{aligned} i\mathcal{M}_{q \rightarrow q\bar{q}, 1}^{\text{b2b}} &= \frac{g^2}{(2p_2^+)(2q^+)^2} \int_{-\frac{L^+}{2}}^{\frac{L^+}{2}} dz^+ \int_{-\infty}^{-\frac{L^+}{2}} dw^+ \int_{\mathbf{b}} e^{-i\mathbf{b} \cdot (\mathbf{k} - \mathbf{q})} e^{i\frac{w^+}{2q^+} \left(\frac{\mathbf{P}^2}{z(1-z)} + \frac{(1-z)m^2}{z} \right)} \\ &\times \bar{\Psi}_{\beta_2}(z^+, \mathbf{b}) \frac{\gamma^- \gamma^+}{2} \gamma^i v(\check{p}_2, h_2) \bar{u}(\check{p}_1, h_1) \gamma^+ \\ &\times \left[\{\gamma^i, \gamma^l\} \mathbf{q}^l + \frac{\gamma^l \gamma^i \mathbf{P}^l}{z} - \gamma^i \left(\frac{1-z}{z} \right) m - \frac{2\mathbf{P}^i}{1-z} + 2\mathbf{q}^i \right] \\ &\times u(\check{q}, h) [t^a \mathcal{U}_F^\dagger(\infty, z^+, \mathbf{b})]_{\beta_2 \alpha_2} [\mathcal{U}_F(\infty, w^+, \mathbf{b}) t^b]_{\beta_1 \alpha} \mathcal{U}_A(z^+, w^+, \mathbf{b})_{ab}. \quad (73) \end{aligned}$$

Longitudinal coordinate w^+ is before the medium hence strictly outside, one can then simply take $w^+ \rightarrow -\infty$ in the Wilson lines in Eq. (73) since the gauge fields vanish outside the medium. Then w^+ dependence remains only in the phase and the integration over w^+ can be performed trivially. Performing this integration and taking the massless quark limit just for the simplicity of the expressions, one gets the back-to-back limit of the scattering amplitude for the first mechanism as

$$\begin{aligned} i\mathcal{M}_{q \rightarrow q\bar{q}, 1}^{\text{b2b}, m=0} &= -ig^2 \frac{z}{(2q^+)^2} \frac{1}{\mathbf{P}^2} \int_{-\frac{L^+}{2}}^{\frac{L^+}{2}} dz^+ \int_{\mathbf{b}} e^{-i\mathbf{b} \cdot (\mathbf{k} - \mathbf{q})} \bar{\Psi}_{\beta_2}(z^+, \mathbf{b}) \frac{\gamma^- \gamma^+}{2} \gamma^i \\ &\times v(\check{p}_2, h_2) \bar{u}(\check{p}_1, h_1) \gamma^+ \left[\frac{\gamma^l \gamma^i \mathbf{P}^l}{z} - \frac{2\mathbf{P}^i}{1-z} \right] u(\check{q}, h) \\ &\times [t^a \mathcal{U}_F^\dagger(\infty, z^+, \mathbf{b})]_{\beta_2 \alpha_2} [\mathcal{U}_F(\infty, -\infty, \mathbf{b}) t^b]_{\beta_1 \alpha} \mathcal{U}_A(z^+, -\infty, \mathbf{b})_{ab}. \quad (74) \end{aligned}$$

The color structure appearing in Eq. (74) can be simplified by using the Fierz identity given in Eq. (168) and using the properties of the Wilson lines discussed in App. A.2 and can be

written as

$$\begin{aligned}
& \bar{\Psi}_{\beta_2}(z^+, \mathbf{b}) [t^a \mathcal{U}_F^\dagger(\infty, z^+, \mathbf{b})]_{\beta_2\alpha_2} [\mathcal{U}_F(\infty, -\infty, \mathbf{b}) t^b]_{\beta_1\alpha} \mathcal{U}_A(z^+, -\infty, \mathbf{b})_{ab} \\
&= \bar{\Psi}_{\beta_2}(z^+, \mathbf{b}) [t^a \mathcal{U}_F^\dagger(\infty, z^+, \mathbf{b})]_{\beta_2\alpha_2} [\mathcal{U}_F(\infty, -\infty, \mathbf{b}) t^b]_{\beta_1\alpha} \mathcal{U}_A(z^+, \infty, \mathbf{b})_{ac_1} \mathcal{U}_A(\infty, -\infty, \mathbf{b})_{c_1b} \\
&= \bar{\Psi}_{\beta_2}(z^+, \mathbf{b}) [\mathcal{U}_F^\dagger(\infty, z^+, \mathbf{b}) t^{c_1}]_{\beta_2\alpha_2} [t^{c_1} \mathcal{U}_F(\infty, -\infty, \mathbf{b})]_{\beta_1\alpha} \\
&= \frac{1}{2} \bar{\Psi}_\alpha(z^+, \mathbf{b}) \mathcal{U}_F(z^+, -\infty, \mathbf{b})_{\alpha\alpha_1} \delta_{\beta_1\alpha_2} \\
&\quad - \frac{1}{2N_c} \bar{\Psi}_\alpha(z^+, \mathbf{b}) \mathcal{U}_F^\dagger(\infty, z^+, \mathbf{b})_{\alpha\alpha_2} \mathcal{U}_F(\infty, -\infty, \mathbf{b})_{\beta_1\alpha_1}. \tag{75}
\end{aligned}$$

Similar procedure can be performed for the second mechanism starting from the amplitude in general kinematics given in Eq. (71) and one obtains the back-to-back limit of the second mechanism as

$$\begin{aligned}
i\mathcal{M}_{q \rightarrow q\bar{q}, 2}^{\text{b2b}, m=0} &= ig^2 \frac{z(1-z)}{(2q^+)^2} \frac{1}{\mathbf{P}^2} \int_{-\frac{L^+}{2}}^{\frac{L^+}{2}} dz^+ \int_{\mathbf{b}} e^{-i\mathbf{b} \cdot (\mathbf{k}-\mathbf{q})} \bar{\Psi}_{\beta_1}(z^+, \mathbf{b}) \frac{\gamma^- \gamma^+}{2} \gamma^i \\
&\quad \times u(\check{q}, h) \bar{u}(\check{p}_1, h_1) \gamma^+ \left[-\gamma^i \gamma^l \frac{\mathbf{P}^l}{1-z} + \gamma^l \gamma^i \frac{\mathbf{P}^l}{z} \right] \\
&\quad \times v(\check{p}_2, h_2) [t^b \mathcal{U}_F(z^+, -\infty, \mathbf{b})]_{\beta_1\alpha_1} (t^a)_{\beta_2\alpha_2} \mathcal{U}_A(\infty, z^+, \mathbf{b})_{ab}. \tag{76}
\end{aligned}$$

Similar to the case that was observed for the back-to-back limit of the first mechanism, the color structure that appears in Eq. (76) can be simplified as

$$\begin{aligned}
& \bar{\Psi}_{\beta_1}(z^+, \mathbf{b}) [t^b \mathcal{U}_F(z^+, -\infty, \mathbf{b})]_{\beta_1\alpha_1} (t^a)_{\beta_2\alpha_2} \mathcal{U}_A(\infty, z^+, \mathbf{b})_{ab} \tag{77} \\
&= \bar{\Psi}_{\beta_1}(z^+, \mathbf{b}) [\mathcal{U}_F(z^+, -\infty, \mathbf{b}) t^{c_1}]_{\beta_1\alpha_1} (t^a)_{\beta_2\alpha_2} \mathcal{U}_A(z^+, -\infty, \mathbf{b})_{bc_1} \mathcal{U}_A(\infty, z^+, \mathbf{b})_{ab} \\
&= \bar{\Psi}_{\beta_1}(z^+, \mathbf{b}) [\mathcal{U}_F(z^+, \infty, \mathbf{b}) \mathcal{U}_F(\infty, -\infty, \mathbf{b}) t^{c_1}]_{\beta_1\alpha_1} (t^a)_{\beta_2\alpha_2} \mathcal{U}_A(\infty, -\infty, \mathbf{b})_{ac_1} \\
&= \bar{\Psi}_{\beta_1}(z^+, \mathbf{b}) [\mathcal{U}_F(z^+, \infty, \mathbf{b}) t^a \mathcal{U}_F(\infty, -\infty, \mathbf{b})]_{\beta_1\alpha_1} (t^a)_{\beta_2\alpha_2} \\
&= \frac{1}{2} \bar{\Psi}_{\alpha_1}(z^+, \mathbf{b}) \mathcal{U}_F^\dagger(\infty, z^+, \mathbf{b})_{\alpha_1\alpha_3} \mathcal{U}_F(\infty, -\infty, \mathbf{b})_{\beta_1\beta_3} - \frac{1}{2N_c} \bar{\Psi}_{\alpha_1}(z^+, \mathbf{b}) \mathcal{U}_F(z^+, -\infty, \mathbf{b})_{\alpha_1\beta_3} \delta_{\beta_1\alpha_3}.
\end{aligned}$$

Substituting the back-to-back scattering amplitudes given in Eqs. (74) and (76) together with the simplified color structures given in Eqs. (75) and (77) into Eq. (72), one obtains the total scattering amplitude in the $q \rightarrow q\bar{q}$ channel in the back-to-back and massless quark limits as

$$\begin{aligned}
i\mathcal{M}_{q \rightarrow q\bar{q}, \text{tot.}}^{\text{b2b}, m=0} &= -i \frac{g^2}{(2q^+)^2} \frac{1}{\mathbf{P}^2} \int_{-\frac{L^+}{2}}^{\frac{L^+}{2}} dz^+ \int_{\mathbf{b}} e^{-i\mathbf{b} \cdot (\mathbf{k}-\mathbf{q})} \tag{78} \\
&\quad \times \left\{ [\mathcal{U}_F(z^+, -\infty, \mathbf{b})_{\alpha_1\beta_3} \delta_{\beta_1\alpha_3}] \left(\mathfrak{h}_{q \rightarrow q\bar{q}}^{(1)} \right)_{\alpha_1} + [\mathcal{U}_F^\dagger(\infty, z^+, \mathbf{b})_{\alpha_1\alpha_3} \mathcal{U}_F(\infty, -\infty, \mathbf{b})_{\beta_1\beta_3}] \left(\mathfrak{h}_{q \rightarrow q\bar{q}}^{(2)} \right)_{\alpha_1} \right\},
\end{aligned}$$

with the coefficients defined as

$$\begin{aligned}
\left(\mathfrak{h}_{q \rightarrow q\bar{q}}^{(1)} \right)_{\alpha_1} &= \bar{\Psi}_{\alpha_1}(z^+, \mathbf{b}) \left[\frac{z}{2} \frac{\gamma^- \gamma^+}{2} \gamma^i v(\check{p}_2, h_2) \bar{u}(\check{p}_1, h_1) \gamma^+ \left(\frac{\gamma^l \gamma^i \mathbf{P}^l}{z} - \frac{2\mathbf{P}^i}{1-z} \right) u(\check{q}, h) \right. \\
&\quad \left. + \frac{z(1-z)}{2N_c} \frac{\gamma^- \gamma^+}{2} \gamma^i u(\check{q}, h) \bar{u}(\check{p}_1, h_1) \gamma^+ \left(-\frac{\gamma^i \gamma^l \mathbf{P}^l}{1-z} + \frac{\gamma^l \gamma^i \mathbf{P}^l}{z} \right) v(\check{p}_2, h_2) \right], \tag{79}
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\mathfrak{h}_{q \rightarrow q\bar{q}}^{(2)}\right)_{\alpha_1} = & -\bar{\Psi}_{\alpha_1}(z^+, \mathbf{b}) \left[\frac{z}{2N_c} \frac{\gamma^- \gamma^+}{2} \gamma^i v(\check{p}_2, h_2) \bar{u}(\check{p}_1, h_1) \gamma^+ \left(\frac{\gamma^l \gamma^i \mathbf{P}^l}{z} - \frac{2\mathbf{P}^i}{1-z} \right) u(\check{q}, h) \right. \\ & \left. + \frac{z(1-z)}{2} \frac{\gamma^- \gamma^+}{2} \gamma^i u(\check{q}, h) \bar{u}(\check{p}_1, h_1) \gamma^+ \left(-\frac{\gamma^i \gamma^l \mathbf{P}^l}{1-z} + \frac{\gamma^l \gamma^i \mathbf{P}^l}{z} \right) v(\check{p}_2, h_2) \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (80)$$

3.3 $q \rightarrow q\bar{q}$ production cross section in the back-to-back limit

The partonic cross section for the production of quark-antiquark dijet in quark initiated channel in the back-to-back and massless quark limits can be written as

$$\frac{d\sigma_{q \rightarrow q\bar{q}}^{\text{b2b}, m=0}}{d\text{P.S.}} = (2q^+) 2\pi \delta(p_1^+ + p_2^+ - q^+) \frac{1}{2N_c} \sum_{h, h_1, h_2} \sum_{\beta_1, \beta_3, \alpha_3} \left\langle \left| i\mathcal{M}_{q \rightarrow q\bar{q}, \text{tot.}}^{\text{b2b}, m=0} \right|^2 \right\rangle, \quad (81)$$

with the total amplitude given in Eq. (78) together with the coefficients given in Eqs. (79) and (80) in this limit. The phase space is defined in Eq. (51). The renormalization factors of 2 and N_c comes from the averaging of the helicities and the colors, and $\langle \dots \rangle$ stands for the target averaging within the CGC framework. Using the explicit expression of the total amplitude in the back-to-back limit, the partonic cross section can be written as

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d\sigma_{q \rightarrow q\bar{q}}^{\text{b2b}, m=0}}{d\text{P.S.}} = & \frac{-g^4}{(2q^+)^3 \mathbf{P}^4 (2N_c)} (2\pi) \delta(p_1^+ + p_2^+ - q^+) \int_{-\frac{L^+}{2}}^{\frac{L^+}{2}} dz^+ \int_{-\frac{L^+}{2}}^{\frac{L^+}{2}} dz'^+ \int_{\mathbf{b}, \mathbf{b}'} e^{-i(\mathbf{b}-\mathbf{b}') \cdot (\mathbf{k}-\mathbf{q})} \\ & \times \left\{ \left| \mathfrak{h}'_{q \rightarrow q\bar{q}}^{(1)} \right|^2 \left\langle \delta_{\beta_1 \alpha_3} \delta_{\beta_1 \alpha_3} \bar{\Psi}(z^+; \mathbf{b}) \gamma^- \mathcal{U}_F(z^+, -\infty; \mathbf{b}) \mathcal{U}_F^\dagger(z'^+, -\infty; \mathbf{b}') \Psi(z'^+, \mathbf{b}') \right\rangle \right. \\ & + \left| \mathfrak{h}'_{q \rightarrow q\bar{q}}^{(2)} \right|^2 \left\langle \bar{\Psi}(z^+; \mathbf{b}) \gamma^- \mathcal{U}_F^\dagger(\infty, z^+; \mathbf{b}) \mathcal{U}_F(\infty, z'^+; \mathbf{b}') \Psi(z'^+, \mathbf{b}') \right. \\ & \quad \left. \times \left(\mathcal{U}_F^\dagger(\infty, -\infty; \mathbf{b}') \mathcal{U}_F(\infty, -\infty; \mathbf{b}) \right)_{\beta_1 \beta_1} \right\rangle \\ & + \left(\mathfrak{h}'_{q \rightarrow q\bar{q}}^{(1)} \right)^\dagger \mathfrak{h}'_{q \rightarrow q\bar{q}}^{(2)} \left\langle \delta_{\beta_1 \alpha_3} \left(\bar{\Psi}(z^+; \mathbf{b}) \gamma^- \mathcal{U}_F^\dagger(\infty, z^+; \mathbf{b}) \right)_{\alpha_3} \right. \\ & \quad \left. \times \left(\mathcal{U}_F(\infty, -\infty; \mathbf{b}) \mathcal{U}_F^\dagger(z'^+, -\infty; \mathbf{b}') \Psi(z'^+, \mathbf{b}') \right)_{\beta_1} \right\rangle \\ & + \left(\mathfrak{h}'_{q \rightarrow q\bar{q}}^{(2)} \right)^\dagger \mathfrak{h}'_{q \rightarrow q\bar{q}}^{(1)} \left\langle \delta_{\beta_1 \alpha_3} \left(\bar{\Psi}(z^+; \mathbf{b}) \gamma^- \mathcal{U}_F(z^+, -\infty; \mathbf{b}) \mathcal{U}_F(\infty, -\infty; \mathbf{b}') \right)_{\beta_1} \right. \\ & \quad \left. \times \left(\mathcal{U}_F^\dagger(\infty, z'^+; \mathbf{b}') \Psi(z'^+, \mathbf{b}') \right)_{\alpha_3} \right\rangle \left. \right\}. \end{aligned} \quad (82)$$

Note that here the coefficients that are multiplying the color structures are different from the ones that are given in Eqs. (79) and (80). Those coefficients are given in Eqs. (191), (192)

and (193) and defined as follow

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{h,h_1,h_2} \left| \mathfrak{h}_{q \rightarrow q\bar{q}}^{(1)} \right|^2 &= \left| \mathfrak{h}'_{q \rightarrow q\bar{q}}^{(1)} \right|^2 \bar{\Psi}_{\alpha_1}(z^+; \mathbf{b}) \gamma^- \Psi_{\alpha'_1}(z'^+, \mathbf{b}'), \\
\sum_{h,h_1,h_2} \left| \mathfrak{h}_{q \rightarrow q\bar{q}}^{(2)} \right|^2 &= \left| \mathfrak{h}'_{q \rightarrow q\bar{q}}^{(2)} \right|^2 \bar{\Psi}_{\alpha_1}(z^+; \mathbf{b}) \gamma^- \Psi_{\alpha'_1}(z'^+, \mathbf{b}'), \\
\sum_{h,h_1,h_2} \left(\mathfrak{h}_{q \rightarrow q\bar{q}}^{(1)} \right)_{\alpha'_1}^\dagger \left(\mathfrak{h}_{q \rightarrow q\bar{q}}^{(2)} \right)_{\alpha_1} &= \left(\mathfrak{h}'_{q \rightarrow q\bar{q}}^{(1)} \right)^\dagger \mathfrak{h}'_{q \rightarrow q\bar{q}}^{(2)} \bar{\Psi}_{\alpha_1}(z^+; \mathbf{b}) \gamma^- \Psi_{\alpha'_1}(z'^+, \mathbf{b}'), \\
\sum_{h,h_1,h_2} \left(\mathfrak{h}_{q \rightarrow q\bar{q}}^{(2)} \right)_{\alpha'_1}^\dagger \left(\mathfrak{h}_{q \rightarrow q\bar{q}}^{(1)} \right)_{\alpha_1} &= \left(\mathfrak{h}'_{q \rightarrow q\bar{q}}^{(2)} \right)^\dagger \mathfrak{h}'_{q \rightarrow q\bar{q}}^{(1)} \bar{\Psi}_{\alpha_1}(z^+; \mathbf{b}) \gamma^- \Psi_{\alpha'_1}(z'^+, \mathbf{b}'). \quad (83)
\end{aligned}$$

Moreover, the color structures that appear at the cross section level can be expressed defining the following reduced one

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{C}^- &\equiv \left\langle \bar{\Psi}(z'^+, \mathbf{b}') \gamma^- \mathcal{U}_F(z'^+, -\infty; \mathbf{b}') \mathcal{U}_F^\dagger(z^+, -\infty; \mathbf{b}) \Psi(z^+, \mathbf{b}) \right\rangle, \quad (84) \\
\mathcal{C}^{+\square} &\equiv \left\langle \bar{\Psi}(z'^+; \mathbf{b}') \gamma^- \mathcal{U}_F^\dagger(\infty, z'^+; \mathbf{b}') \mathcal{U}_F(\infty, z^+; \mathbf{b}) \Psi(z^+, \mathbf{b}) \right\rangle \text{Tr} \left\langle \mathcal{U}_F^\dagger(\infty, -\infty; \mathbf{b}') \mathcal{U}_F(\infty, -\infty; \mathbf{b}) \right\rangle. \quad (85)
\end{aligned}$$

The illustration of these two new color structures are provided in Fig. 4. Substituting the new color structures given in Eqs. (84) and (85) into to the back-to-back cross section in the $q \rightarrow q\bar{q}$ channel given in Eq. (82) and using Eq. (83) to simplify the coefficients, one arrives at the following final factorized expression

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{d\sigma_{q \rightarrow q\bar{q}}^{\text{b2b}, m=0}}{d\text{P.S.}} &= g^4 (2\pi) \delta(p_1^+ + p_2^+ - q^+) \int_{-\frac{L^+}{2}}^{\frac{L^+}{2}} dz^+ \int_{-\frac{L^+}{2}}^{\frac{L^+}{2}} dz'^+ \int_{\mathbf{b}, \mathbf{b}'} e^{-i(\mathbf{b}-\mathbf{b}') \cdot (\mathbf{k}-\mathbf{q})} \\
&\quad \times \left[\mathcal{H}_{q \rightarrow q\bar{q}}^- \mathcal{C}^- + \mathcal{H}_{q \rightarrow q\bar{q}}^{+\square} \mathcal{C}^{+\square} \right], \quad (86)
\end{aligned}$$

with hard factors given as

$$\mathcal{H}_{q \rightarrow q\bar{q}}^- = \frac{z(1-z)}{4N_c \mathbf{P}^2} \left[\frac{1+z^2}{(1-z)^2} \left(N_c - \frac{2}{N_c} \right) - \frac{1}{N_c} (z^2 + (1-z)^2) + \frac{z^2}{1-z} \left(1 + \frac{2}{N_c^2} \right) \right], \quad (87)$$

$$\mathcal{H}_{q \rightarrow q\bar{q}}^{+\square} = \frac{z(1-z)}{4N_c \mathbf{P}^2} \left[z^2 + (1-z)^2 - \frac{2}{N_c} \frac{z^2}{1-z} + \frac{1}{N_c^2} \frac{1+z^2}{(1-z)^2} \right]. \quad (88)$$




Figure 4: Color structures appearing in the cross section of $q \rightarrow q\bar{q}$.

3.4 $q \rightarrow q\bar{q}$ production cross section for different quark flavors

The results obtained in the previous section only apply to processes where all quark have the same flavor. We now present results for processes involving different quark flavors, beginning with $q_f \rightarrow q_{f'}\bar{q}_{f'}$. Only the second diagram (see Fig. 3b) contributes to this process, which directly implies that the corresponding cross-section reads

$$\frac{d\sigma_{q_f \rightarrow q_{f'}\bar{q}_{f'}}^{\text{b2b}, m=0}}{d\text{P.S.}} = (2q^+) 2\pi\delta(p_1^+ + p_2^+ - q^+) \frac{1}{2N_c} \sum_{h, h_1, h_2} \sum_{\beta_1, \beta_3, \alpha_3} \left\langle \left| i\mathcal{M}_{q \rightarrow q\bar{q}, 2}^{\text{b2b}, m=0} \right|^2 \right\rangle. \quad (89)$$

Based on our previous calculation, we can provide this cross-section in a factorized form

$$\frac{d\sigma_{q_f \rightarrow q_{f'}\bar{q}_{f'}}^{\text{b2b}, m=0}}{d\text{P.S.}} = g^4 (2\pi)\delta(p_1^+ + p_2^+ - q^+) \int_{-\frac{L^+}{2}}^{\frac{L^+}{2}} dz^+ \int_{-\frac{L^+}{2}}^{\frac{L^+}{2}} dz'^+ \int_{\mathbf{b}, \mathbf{b}'} e^{-i(\mathbf{b}-\mathbf{b}') \cdot (\mathbf{k}-\mathbf{q})} \times \left[\mathcal{H}_{q_f \rightarrow q_{f'}\bar{q}_{f'}}^- \mathcal{C}^- + \mathcal{H}_{q_f \rightarrow q_{f'}\bar{q}_{f'}}^{+\square} \mathcal{C}^{+\square} \right], \quad (90)$$

with hard factors given as

$$\mathcal{H}_{q_f \rightarrow q_{f'}\bar{q}_{f'}}^- = -\frac{z(1-z)}{4N_c^2 \mathbf{P}^2} (z^2 + (1-z)^2), \quad (91)$$

$$\mathcal{H}_{q_f \rightarrow q_{f'}\bar{q}_{f'}}^{+\square} = \frac{z(1-z)}{4N_c \mathbf{P}^2} (z^2 + (1-z)^2). \quad (92)$$

For $q_f \rightarrow q_f\bar{q}_{f'}$, only the first diagram contributes this time (see Fig. 3a), leading to

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d\sigma_{q_f \rightarrow q_f\bar{q}_{f'}}^{\text{b2b}, m=0}}{d\text{P.S.}} &= (2q^+) 2\pi\delta(p_1^+ + p_2^+ - q^+) \frac{1}{2N_c} \sum_{h, h_1, h_2} \sum_{\beta_1, \beta_3, \alpha_3} \sum_{f, f' \neq f} \left\langle \left| i\mathcal{M}_{q \rightarrow q\bar{q}, 1}^{\text{b2b}, m=0} \right|^2 \right\rangle \\ &= g^4 (2\pi)\delta(p_1^+ + p_2^+ - q^+) \int_{-\frac{L^+}{2}}^{\frac{L^+}{2}} dz^+ \int_{-\frac{L^+}{2}}^{\frac{L^+}{2}} dz'^+ \int_{\mathbf{b}, \mathbf{b}'} e^{-i(\mathbf{b}-\mathbf{b}') \cdot (\mathbf{k}-\mathbf{q})} \\ &\quad \times \left[\mathcal{H}_{q_f \rightarrow q_f\bar{q}_{f'}}^- \mathcal{C}^- + \mathcal{H}_{q_f \rightarrow q_f\bar{q}_{f'}}^{+\square} \mathcal{C}^{+\square} \right], \end{aligned} \quad (93)$$

with hard factors given as

$$\mathcal{H}_{q_f \rightarrow q_f \bar{q}_{f'}}^- = \frac{z}{4N_c \mathbf{P}^2} \frac{1+z^2}{1-z} \left(N_c - \frac{2}{N_c} \right), \quad (94)$$

$$\mathcal{H}_{q_f \rightarrow q_f \bar{q}_{f'}}^{+\square} = \frac{z}{4N_c^3 \mathbf{P}^2} \frac{1+z^2}{1-z}. \quad (95)$$

4 $q \rightarrow gg$ channel

The next channel we would like to discuss is gluon dijet production in quark initiated channel, i.e. $q \rightarrow gg$ channel. At NEik accuracy there are three mechanisms that contribute to this process. In the first mechanism, incoming quark splits into a quark-gluon pair before the medium which then scatters on the target. While the gluon scatters eikonally on the target, the quark scatters via a t -channel quark exchange and converts into a gluon. Therefore, in the final state one obtains a gluon dijet (see Fig. 5a). The second mechanism corresponds to a similar mechanism except the gluons in the final state are interchanged (see Fig. 5b). Finally, the third mechanism corresponds to the case where the incoming quark scatters on the target via a t -channel quark exchange and converts into a gluon. This gluon splits into two gluons in the final state after the medium (see Fig. 5c).




Figure 5: Diagrams contributing to channel $q \rightarrow gg$.

In the rest of this section, we present the results for the scattering amplitude in general kinematics, scattering amplitude in back-to-back limit and the production cross section in the back-to-back limit for each channel. Since the computation of the amplitudes both in

general kinematics and in the back-to-back limits follow the same steps as in the previous channels, we skip the details and just provide the results to avoid repetition. On the other hand, we give a detailed discussion for the production cross section.

4.1 $q \rightarrow gg$ amplitude in general kinematics

The total scattering amplitude in $q \rightarrow gg$ channel receives three contribution that corresponds to each contributing mechanism and it can be written as

$$\mathcal{M}_{q \rightarrow gg, \text{tot.}} = \mathcal{M}_{q \rightarrow gg, 1} + \mathcal{M}_{q \rightarrow gg, 2} + \mathcal{M}_{q \rightarrow gg, 3}. \quad (96)$$

The first mechanism is illustrated in Fig. 5a and the S -matrix element for this mechanism can be obtained via the following LSZ-type reduction formula

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{S}_{q \rightarrow g_1 g_2, 1} &= \lim_{x_1^+, x_2^+ \rightarrow \infty} \lim_{y^+ \rightarrow -\infty} (-2p_1^+) (-2p_2^+) \int_{x_1^-, x_2^-} \int_{\mathbf{x}_2, \mathbf{x}_1} \int_{\mathbf{y}} \int_{y^-} \\ &\quad \times \int d^4 w \int d^4 z \theta(z^+ - w^+) e^{ix_1 \cdot \check{p}_1} e^{ix_2 \cdot \check{p}_2} e^{-iy \cdot \check{q}} \epsilon_\mu^{\lambda_1}(p_1)^* \epsilon_\nu^{\lambda_2}(p_2)^* \\ &\quad \times \left[G_F^{\mu\mu'}(x_1, w) \Big|_{\text{Eik.}}^{\text{BA}} \right]_{a_1 b_1} \left[G_F^{\nu\nu'}(x_2, z) \Big|_{\text{Eik.}}^{\text{IA}} \right]_{a_2 b_2} \bar{\Psi}_\beta^-(z) \\ &\quad \times \left[(-igt^{b_2} \gamma_{\nu'}) S_F(z, w) \Big|_{\text{Eik.}}^{\text{BI}, q} (-igt^{b_1} \gamma_{\mu'}) S_{0,F}(w, y) \right]_{\beta\alpha} \gamma^+ u(\check{q}, h), \end{aligned} \quad (97)$$

where the before-to-after gluon propagator is give in Eq. (205), the inside-to-after gluon propagator is given in Eq. (207), the before-to-inside quark propagator is given in Eq. (209) and the vacuum quark propagator is given in Eq. (24). Using these explicit expressions and simplifying the Dirac matrices similar to the $g \rightarrow gg$ channel, one obtains

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{S}_{q \rightarrow g_1 g_2, 1} &= \varepsilon_{\lambda_1}^j \varepsilon_{\lambda_2}^i \frac{1}{(2q^+)(2p_2^+)} 2\pi \delta(p_1^+ + p_2^+ - q^+) \int_{-\frac{L^+}{2}}^{\frac{L^+}{2}} dz^+ \int_{-\infty}^{-\frac{L^+}{2}} dw^+ \int_{\mathbf{z}, \mathbf{z}_1} e^{i(\mathbf{q}-\mathbf{p}_2) \cdot \mathbf{z}} e^{-\mathbf{p}_1 \cdot \mathbf{z}_1} \\ &\quad \times \int \frac{d^2 \mathbf{k}_1}{(2\pi)^2} e^{iw^+ \left(-\frac{\mathbf{k}_1^2}{2p_1^+} + \frac{(\mathbf{q}-\mathbf{k}_1)^2}{2p_2^+} - \frac{\mathbf{q}^2}{2q^+} - \frac{m^2}{2q^+} + \frac{m^2}{2p_2^+} \right)} e^{-i\mathbf{k}_1 \cdot \mathbf{z}_1} e^{i\mathbf{k}_1 \cdot \mathbf{z}} \\ &\quad \times \mathcal{U}_A(\infty, w^+, \mathbf{z}_1)_{a_1 b_1} \mathcal{U}_A(\infty, z^+, \mathbf{z})_{a_2 b_2} \bar{\Psi}_\beta^-(z) \gamma^- \gamma^+ \\ &\quad \times (-\gamma^i) \left[(\gamma^j \gamma^l \mathbf{q}^l p_2^+ + \gamma^l \gamma^j \mathbf{q}^l q^+ - \gamma^l \gamma^j \mathbf{k}_1^l q^+ + \gamma^j (p_2^+ - q^+) m + \frac{2q^+ p_2^+ \mathbf{k}_1^j}{p_1^+} \right] \\ &\quad \times \left[(-igt^{b_2}) \mathcal{U}_F(z^+, w^+, \mathbf{z}) (-igt^{b_1}) \right]_{\beta\alpha} u(\check{q}, h), \end{aligned} \quad (98)$$

from which we can get the scattering amplitude by using Eq. (21) and which reads in general

kinematics

$$\begin{aligned}
i\mathcal{M}_{q \rightarrow g_1 g_2, 1} &= \varepsilon_{\lambda_1}^j * \varepsilon_{\lambda_2}^i * \frac{1}{(2q^+)^2 (2p_2^+)} \int_{-\frac{L^+}{2}}^{\frac{L^+}{2}} dz^+ \int_{-\infty}^{-\frac{L^+}{2}} dw^+ \int_{\mathbf{z}, \mathbf{z}_1} e^{i(\mathbf{q}-\mathbf{p}_2) \cdot \mathbf{z}} e^{-\mathbf{p}_1 \cdot \mathbf{z}_1} \\
&\times \int \frac{d^2 \mathbf{k}_1}{(2\pi)^2} e^{iw^+ \left(-\frac{\mathbf{k}_1^2}{2p_1^+} + \frac{(\mathbf{q}-\mathbf{k}_1)^2}{2p_2^+} - \frac{\mathbf{q}^2}{2q^+} - \frac{m^2}{2q^+} + \frac{m^2}{2p_2^+} \right)} e^{-i\mathbf{k}_1 \cdot \mathbf{z}_1} e^{i\mathbf{k}_1 \cdot \mathbf{z}} \\
&\times \mathcal{U}_A(\infty, w^+, \mathbf{z}_1)_{a_1 b_1} \mathcal{U}_A(\infty, z^+, \mathbf{z})_{a_2 b_2} \bar{\Psi}_\beta(\underline{z}) \gamma^- \gamma^+ \\
&\times (-\gamma^i) \left[(\gamma^j \gamma^l \mathbf{q}^l p_2^+ + \gamma^l \gamma^j \mathbf{q}^l q^+ - \gamma^l \gamma^j \mathbf{k}_1^l q^+ + \gamma^j (p_2^+ - q^+) m + \frac{2q^+ p_2^+ \mathbf{k}_1^j}{p_1^+} \right] \\
&\times \left[(-igt^{b_2}) \mathcal{U}_F(z^+, w^+, \mathbf{z}) (-igt^{b_1}) \right]_{\beta\alpha} u(\check{q}, h). \tag{99}
\end{aligned}$$

The second mechanism is almost the same as the first one except the gluons in the final state are exchanged (see Fig. 5b). The S -matrix element is also very similar and it is written as

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{S}_{q \rightarrow g_2 g_1, 2} &= \lim_{x_1^+, x_2^+ \rightarrow \infty} \lim_{y^+ \rightarrow -\infty} (-2p_1^+) (-2p_2^+) \int_{\mathbf{x}_1, \mathbf{x}_2} \int_{x_1^-, x_2^-} \int_{\mathbf{y}} \int_{y^-} \int d^4 w \int d^4 z \theta(z^+ - w^+) \\
&\times e^{ix_2 \cdot \check{p}_2} e^{ix_1 \cdot \check{p}_1} e^{-iy \cdot \check{q}} \epsilon_\mu^{\lambda_1}(p_2)^* \epsilon_\nu^{\lambda_2}(p_1)^* \left[G_F^{\mu\nu'}(x_2, w) \Big|_{\text{Eik.}}^{\text{BA}} \right]_{a_1 b_1} \left[G_F^{\nu\nu'}(x_1, z) \Big|_{\text{Eik.}}^{\text{IA}} \right]_{a_2 b_2} \\
&\times \bar{\Psi}_\beta^-(z) \left[(-igt^{b_2} \gamma_{\nu'}) S_F(z, w) \Big|_{\text{Eik.}}^{\text{BI}, q} (-igt^{b_1} \gamma_{\mu'}) S_{0,F}(w, y) \right]_{\beta\alpha} \gamma^+ u(\check{q}, h), \tag{100}
\end{aligned}$$

where the before-to-after gluon propagator is given in Eq. (205), the inside-to-after gluon propagator is given in Eq. (207), the before-to-inside quark propagator is given in Eq. (209) and the vacuum quark propagator is given in Eq. (24). After substituting these expressions into Eq. (100) and simplifying the Dirac matrices, one obtains

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{S}_{q \rightarrow g_2 g_1, 2} &= -g^2 \varepsilon_{\lambda_1}^i * \varepsilon_{\lambda_2}^j * \frac{1}{(2q^+)(2p_1^+)} 2\pi \delta(p_1^+ + p_2^+ - q^+) \int_{-\frac{L^+}{2}}^{\frac{L^+}{2}} dz^+ \int_{-\infty}^{-\frac{L^+}{2}} dw^+ \tag{101} \\
&\times \int_{\mathbf{z}, \mathbf{z}_1} \int \frac{d^2 \mathbf{k}_1}{(2\pi)^2} e^{iw^+ \left(\frac{\mathbf{k}_1^2}{2p_2^+} + \frac{\mathbf{q}^2 - \mathbf{k}_1^2}{2p_1^+} - \frac{\mathbf{q}^2}{2q^+} - \frac{m^2}{2q^+} + \frac{m^2}{2p_1^+} \right)} e^{i(\mathbf{q} + \mathbf{k}_1 - \mathbf{p}_1) \cdot \mathbf{z}} e^{-i(\mathbf{p}_2 + \mathbf{k}_1) \cdot \mathbf{z}_1} \\
&\times \mathcal{U}_A(\infty, w^+, \mathbf{z}_1)_{a_2 b_2} \mathcal{U}_A(\infty, z^+, \mathbf{z})_{a_1 b_1} \bar{\Psi}_\beta(\underline{z}) \gamma^- \gamma^+ \left[t^{b_1} \mathcal{U}_F(z^+, w^+, \mathbf{z}) t^{b_2} \right]_{\beta\alpha} \\
&\times (-\gamma^i) \left[\gamma^j \gamma^l \mathbf{q}^l p_1^+ + \gamma^l \gamma^j \mathbf{q}^l q^+ - \gamma^l \gamma^j \mathbf{k}_1^l q^+ + \gamma^j (p_1^+ - q^+) m + \frac{2q^+ p_1^+ \mathbf{k}_1^j}{p_2^+} \right] u(\check{q}, h),
\end{aligned}$$

from which we can obtain the amplitude by using Eq. (21), and in general kinematics for the

second mechanism it can be written as

$$\begin{aligned}
i\mathcal{M}_{q \rightarrow g_2 g_1, 2} &= -g^2 \varepsilon_{\lambda_1}^i \varepsilon_{\lambda_2}^j \frac{1}{(2q^+)^2 (2p_1^+)} \int_{-\frac{L^+}{2}}^{\frac{L^+}{2}} dz^+ \int_{-\infty}^{-\frac{L^+}{2}} dw^+ \int_{\mathbf{z}, \mathbf{z}_1} \int \frac{d^2 \mathbf{k}_1}{(2\pi)^2} \\
&\times e^{iw^+ \left(\frac{\mathbf{k}_1^2}{2p_2^+} + \frac{\mathbf{q}^2 - \mathbf{k}_1^2}{2p_1^+} - \frac{\mathbf{q}^2}{2q^+} - \frac{m^2}{2q^+} + \frac{m^2}{2p_1^+} \right)} e^{i(\mathbf{q} + \mathbf{k}_1 - \mathbf{p}_1) \cdot \mathbf{z}} e^{-i(\mathbf{p}_2 + \mathbf{k}_1) \cdot \mathbf{z}_1} \\
&\times \mathcal{U}_A(\infty, w^+, \mathbf{z}_1)_{a_2 b_2} \mathcal{U}_A(\infty, z^+, \mathbf{z})_{a_1 b_1} \bar{\Psi}_\beta(\underline{z}) \gamma^- \gamma^+ \left[t^{b_1} \mathcal{U}_F(z^+, w^+, \mathbf{z}) t^{b_2} \right]_{\beta \alpha} \\
&\times (-\gamma^i) \left[\gamma^j \gamma^l \mathbf{q}^l p_1^+ + \gamma^l \gamma^j \mathbf{q}^l q^+ - \gamma^l \gamma^j \mathbf{k}_1^l q^+ + \gamma^j (p_1^+ - q^+) m + \frac{2q^+ p_1^+ \mathbf{k}_1^j}{p_2^+} \right] u(\check{q}, h).
\end{aligned} \tag{102}$$

Finally, in the third mechanism, that is illustrated in Fig. 5c, the S -matrix element can be obtained as

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{S}_{q \rightarrow g_1 g_2, 3} &= \lim_{x_1^+, x_2^+ \rightarrow \infty} \lim_{y^+ \rightarrow -\infty} (-2p_1^+) (-2p_2^+) \int_{\mathbf{x}_1, \mathbf{x}_2} \int_{\mathbf{x}_1^-, \mathbf{x}_2^-} \int_{\mathbf{y}} \int_{\mathbf{y}^-} \int d^4 w \int d^4 z \theta(z^+ - w^+) \\
&\times e^{ix_1 \cdot \check{p}_1} e^{ix_2 \cdot \check{p}_2} e^{-iy \cdot \check{q}} \varepsilon_\mu^{\lambda_1} (p_1)^* \varepsilon_\nu^{\lambda_2} (p_2)^* \left[G_{0,F}^{\mu\mu'}(x_1, w) \right]_{a_1 b_1} \left[G_{0,F}^{\nu\nu'}(x_2, w) \right]_{a_2 b_2} \\
&\times V_{\mu'\nu'\rho'}^{b_1 b_2 c} \left[G_F^{\rho'\rho}(w, z) \Big|_{\text{Eik.}}^{\text{IA}} \right]_{cb} \bar{\Psi}_\beta^-(z) \left[(-igt^b \gamma_\rho) S_F(z, y) \Big|_{\text{Eik.}}^{\text{BI}, q} \right]_{\beta \alpha} \gamma^+ u(\check{q}, h),
\end{aligned} \tag{103}$$

where the vacuum gluon propagator is defined in Eq. (14), the inside-to-after gluon propagator is defined in Eq. (207) and the before-to-inside quark propagator is defined in Eq. (209). Moreover, $V_{\mu'\nu'\rho'}^{b_1 b_2 c}$ is the triple gluon vertex already defined in Eq. (16). Using all these expressions, one can obtain the S -matrix for the third mechanism as

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{S}_{q \rightarrow g_1 g_2, 3} &= -ig^2 f^{a_1 a_2 c} \varepsilon_{\lambda_2}^j \varepsilon_{\lambda_1}^i (2\pi) \delta(p_1^+ + p_2^+ - q^+) \frac{1}{(2q^+)} \int_{-\frac{L^+}{2}}^{\frac{L^+}{2}} dz^+ \int_{\frac{L^+}{2}}^{\infty} dw^+ \\
&\times e^{iw^+ (\check{p}_1^- + \check{p}_2^- - \check{k}_1^-)} \int_{\mathbf{z}} e^{-i\mathbf{z} \cdot (\mathbf{p}_1 + \mathbf{p}_2 - \mathbf{q})} \mathcal{U}_A(w^+, z^+, \mathbf{z})_{cb} \left\{ g^{il} \left(-2\mathbf{p}_1^j + \frac{2p_1^+}{p_2^+} \mathbf{p}_2^j \right) \right. \\
&+ \left. g^{lj} \left(2\mathbf{p}_2^i - \frac{2p_2^+}{p_1^+} \mathbf{p}_1^i \right) - g^{ji} \left(2\mathbf{p}_1^l - \frac{2p_1^+}{q^+} (\mathbf{p}_2^l + \mathbf{p}_1^l) \right) \right\} \bar{\Psi}_\beta(\underline{z}) \frac{\gamma^- \gamma^+}{2} \gamma^l u(\check{q}, h) \left[t^b \mathcal{U}_F(z^+, -\infty, \mathbf{z}) \right]_{\beta \alpha},
\end{aligned} \tag{104}$$

from which we can deduce the amplitude by using Eq. (21) as

$$\begin{aligned}
i\mathcal{M}_{q \rightarrow g_1 g_2, 3} &= -ig^2 f^{a_1 a_2 c} \varepsilon_{\lambda_2}^j \varepsilon_{\lambda_1}^i \frac{1}{(2q^+)^2} \int_{-\frac{L^+}{2}}^{\frac{L^+}{2}} dz^+ \int_{\frac{L^+}{2}}^{\infty} dw^+ \\
&\times e^{iw^+ (\check{p}_1^- + \check{p}_2^- - \check{k}_1^-)} \int_{\mathbf{z}} e^{-i\mathbf{z} \cdot (\mathbf{p}_1 + \mathbf{p}_2 - \mathbf{q})} \mathcal{U}_A(w^+, z^+, \mathbf{z})_{cb} \left\{ g^{il} \left(-2\mathbf{p}_1^j + \frac{2p_1^+}{p_2^+} \mathbf{p}_2^j \right) \right. \\
&+ \left. g^{lj} \left(2\mathbf{p}_2^i - \frac{2p_2^+}{p_1^+} \mathbf{p}_1^i \right) - g^{ji} \left(2\mathbf{p}_1^l - \frac{2p_1^+}{q^+} (\mathbf{p}_2^l + \mathbf{p}_1^l) \right) \right\} \bar{\Psi}_\beta(\underline{z}) \frac{\gamma^- \gamma^+}{2} \gamma^l u(\check{q}, h) \left[t^b \mathcal{U}_F(z^+, -\infty, \mathbf{z}) \right]_{\beta \alpha}.
\end{aligned} \tag{105}$$

As mentioned earlier, the total scattering amplitude in the $q \rightarrow gg$ channel in the general kinematics is given by Eq. (96) with each contribution, corresponding to the three mechanisms, given in Eqs. (99), (102) and (105).

4.2 $q \rightarrow gg$ amplitude in the back-to-back limit

The back-to-back limit in this channel can be obtained as discussed in previous channels. Namely, starting from the scattering amplitude in general kinematics for each mechanism, one first performs the change of variables given in Eqs. (1) and (3) and rewrite these amplitudes in terms of the relative dijet momenta \mathbf{P} and dijet momentum imbalance \mathbf{k} , as well as their conjugate variables \mathbf{r} and \mathbf{b} . Since the back-to-back limit corresponds to $|\mathbf{P}| \gg |\mathbf{k}|$, or equivalently $|\mathbf{r}| \ll |\mathbf{b}|$, one can perform a Taylor expansion around $\mathbf{r} = 0$ and keeping only the zeroth order term in the expansion, the \mathbf{r} and \mathbf{b} dependence in the resulting expression are factorized and the \mathbf{r} integration can be performed. After all said and done, the back-to-back limit of the amplitude in the $q \rightarrow gg$ channel for the first mechanism can be written as

$$i\mathcal{M}_{q \rightarrow g_1 g_2, 1}^{\text{b2b}, m=0} = -ig^2 \frac{z(1-z)}{\mathbf{P}^2 (2q^+)} \varepsilon_{\lambda_1}^j \varepsilon_{\lambda_2}^i \int_{-\frac{L^+}{2}}^{\frac{L^+}{2}} dz^+ \int_{\mathbf{b}} e^{-i(\mathbf{k}-\mathbf{q}) \cdot \mathbf{b}} \bar{\Psi}_{\beta}(z^+, \mathbf{b}) \frac{\gamma^- \gamma^+}{2} \quad (106)$$

$$\times \left[-\frac{\gamma^i \gamma^l \gamma^j \mathbf{P}^l}{1-z} + \frac{2\gamma^i \mathbf{P}^j}{z} \right] \mathcal{U}_{\text{A}}(\infty, -\infty, \mathbf{b})_{a_1 b_1} \mathcal{U}_{\text{A}}(\infty, z^+, \mathbf{b})_{a_2 b_2} \left[t^{b_2} \mathcal{U}_{\text{F}}(z^+, -\infty, \mathbf{b}) t^{b_1} \right]_{\beta\alpha} u(\check{q}, h),$$

where we have also considered the massless quark limit for simplicity. Before we proceed further, we would like to mention that the color structure in Eq. (106) can be simplified by using the properties of the Wilson lines discussed in App. A.1. The final result reads

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathcal{U}_{\text{A}}(\infty, -\infty, \mathbf{b})_{a_1 b_1} \mathcal{U}_{\text{A}}(\infty, z^+, \mathbf{b})_{a_2 b_2} \left[\bar{\Psi}(z^+, \mathbf{b}) t^{b_2} \mathcal{U}_{\text{F}}(z^+, -\infty, \mathbf{b}) t^{b_1} \right] \\ &= \mathcal{U}_{\text{A}}(\infty, z^+, \mathbf{b})_{a_1 c_1} \mathcal{U}_{\text{A}}(z^+, -\infty, \mathbf{b})_{c_1 b_1} \mathcal{U}_{\text{A}}(\infty, z^+, \mathbf{b})_{a_2 b_2} \left[\bar{\Psi}(z^+, \mathbf{b}) t^{b_2} \mathcal{U}_{\text{F}}(z^+, -\infty, \mathbf{b}) t^{b_1} \right] \\ &= \mathcal{U}_{\text{A}}(\infty, z^+, \mathbf{b})_{a_1 c_1} \mathcal{U}_{\text{A}}(\infty, z^+, \mathbf{b})_{a_2 b_2} \left[\bar{\Psi}(z^+, \mathbf{b}) t^{b_2} t^{c_1} \mathcal{U}_{\text{F}}(z^+, -\infty, \mathbf{b}) \right] \\ &= \mathcal{U}_{\text{A}}(\infty, z^+, \mathbf{b})_{a_1 b_1} \mathcal{U}_{\text{A}}(\infty, z^+, \mathbf{b})_{a_2 b_2} \left[\bar{\Psi}(z^+, \mathbf{b}) t^{b_2} t^{b_1} \mathcal{U}_{\text{F}}(z^+, -\infty, \mathbf{b}) \right], \end{aligned} \quad (107)$$

where we renamed $c_1 \rightarrow b_1$ for convenience of the computations. The back-to-back limit of the second mechanism can be calculated in the same way except for this mechanism one defines $\mathbf{b}' = z\mathbf{z} + (1-z)\mathbf{z}_1$ and $\mathbf{r} = \mathbf{z} - \mathbf{z}_1$ with the rest of the change of variables remaining same. After simplification, one can perform the integration over \mathbf{k}_1 , and obtains the back-to-back and massless quark limits of the scattering amplitude for the second mechanism as

$$i\mathcal{M}_{q \rightarrow g_2 g_1, 2}^{\text{b2b}, m=0} = ig^2 \varepsilon_{\lambda_1}^i \varepsilon_{\lambda_2}^j \frac{1}{(2q^+)^2} \int_{-\frac{L^+}{2}}^{\frac{L^+}{2}} dz^+ \int_{\mathbf{b}'} e^{-i\mathbf{b}' \cdot (\mathbf{k}-\mathbf{q})} \bar{\Psi}_{\beta}(z^+, \mathbf{b}') \frac{\gamma^- \gamma^+}{2} \quad (108)$$

$$\times \left[\frac{\gamma^i \gamma^l \gamma^j \mathbf{P}^l}{z} - \frac{2\gamma^i \mathbf{P}^j}{1-z} \right] \mathcal{U}_{\text{A}}(\infty, -\infty, \mathbf{b}')_{a_2 b_2} \mathcal{U}_{\text{A}}(\infty, z^+, \mathbf{b}')_{a_1 b_1} \left[t^{b_1} \mathcal{U}_{\text{F}}(z^+, -\infty, \mathbf{b}') t^{b_2} \right]_{\beta\alpha} u(\check{q}, h).$$

Note that the color structure appearing in Eq. (106) is very similar to the color structure given in the last line of Eq. (107) and it reads

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathcal{U}_{\text{A}}(\infty, -\infty, \mathbf{b}')_{a_2 b_2} \mathcal{U}_{\text{A}}(\infty, z^+, \mathbf{b}')_{a_1 b_1} \left[\bar{\Psi}(z^+, \mathbf{b}') t^{b_1} \mathcal{U}_{\text{F}}(z^+, -\infty, \mathbf{b}') t^{b_2} \right] \\ &= \mathcal{U}_{\text{A}}(\infty, z^+, \mathbf{b}')_{a_2 b_2} \mathcal{U}_{\text{A}}(\infty, z^+, \mathbf{b}')_{a_1 b_1} \left[\bar{\Psi}(z^+, \mathbf{b}') t^{b_1} t^{b_2} \mathcal{U}_{\text{F}}(z^+, -\infty, \mathbf{b}') \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (109)$$

Finally, to get the back-to-back limit of the amplitude for the third mechanism, we start from the amplitude in general kinematics given in Eq. (105) and follow the same procedure as in the first mechanism which yields to

$$i\mathcal{M}_{q \rightarrow g_1 g_2, 3}^{\text{b2b}, m=0} = g^2 f^{a_1 a_2 c} \varepsilon_{\lambda_2}^j * \varepsilon_{\lambda_1}^i * \frac{z(1-z)}{(2q^+)} \frac{1}{\mathbf{P}^2} \int_{-\frac{L^+}{2}}^{\frac{L^+}{2}} dz^+ \int_{\mathbf{b}} e^{-i\mathbf{b} \cdot (\mathbf{k}-\mathbf{q})} \mathcal{U}_A(\infty, z^+, \mathbf{b})_{cb} \\ \times \left[-g^{il} \frac{\mathbf{P}^j}{1-z} - g^{lj} \frac{\mathbf{P}^i}{z} - g^{ji} \mathbf{P}^l \right] \bar{\Psi}_\beta(z^+, \mathbf{b}) \gamma^- \gamma^+ \gamma^l u(\check{q}, h) \left[t^b \mathcal{U}_F(z^+, -\infty, \mathbf{b}) \right]_{\beta\alpha}, \quad (110)$$

where we have also considered the massless quark limit for simplicity. Note that, by using the relations introduced in App. A.1, the color structure that appears in Eq. (110) can be simplified and it can be written as

$$f^{a_1 a_2 c} \mathcal{U}_A(\infty, z^+, \mathbf{b})_{cb} \left[\bar{\Psi}(z^+, \mathbf{b}) t^b \mathcal{U}_F(z^+, -\infty, \mathbf{b}) \right] \\ = \mathcal{U}_A(\infty, z^+, \mathbf{b})_{a_1 b_1} \mathcal{U}_A(\infty, z^+, \mathbf{b})_{a_2 b_2} f^{b_1 b_2 c} \left[\bar{\Psi}(z^+, \mathbf{b}) t^b \mathcal{U}_F(z^+, -\infty, \mathbf{b}) \right] \\ = \mathcal{U}_A(\infty, z^+, \mathbf{b})_{a_1 b_1} \mathcal{U}_A(\infty, z^+, \mathbf{b})_{a_2 b_2} (-i) \left[\bar{\Psi}(z^+, \mathbf{b}) [t^{b_1}, t^{b_2}] \mathcal{U}_F(z^+, -\infty, \mathbf{b}) \right]. \quad (111)$$

The total scattering amplitude in the $g \rightarrow qq$ channel in the back-to-back and massless quark limits is then given as

$$i\mathcal{M}_{q \rightarrow g_1 g_2, \text{tot.}}^{\text{b2b}, m=0} = i\mathcal{M}_{q \rightarrow g_1 g_2, 1}^{\text{b2b}, m=0} + i\mathcal{M}_{q \rightarrow g_1 g_2, 2}^{\text{b2b}, m=0} + i\mathcal{M}_{q \rightarrow g_1 g_2, 3}^{\text{b2b}, m=0}, \quad (112)$$

with the amplitude for each mechanism in the back-to-back limit given in Eqs. (106), (108) and (110). Thus, the total amplitude can explicitly be written as

$$i\mathcal{M}_{q \rightarrow g_1 g_2, \text{tot.}}^{\text{b2b}, m=0} = -ig^2 \frac{z(1-z)}{(2q^+)} \frac{1}{\mathbf{P}^2} \varepsilon_{\lambda_1}^i * \varepsilon_{\lambda_2}^j * \int_{-\frac{L^+}{2}}^{\frac{L^+}{2}} dz^+ \int_{\mathbf{b}} e^{-i\mathbf{b} \cdot (\mathbf{k}-\mathbf{q})} \mathcal{U}_A(\infty, z^+, \mathbf{b})_{a_1 b_1} \quad (113) \\ \times \mathcal{U}_A(\infty, z^+, \mathbf{b})_{a_2 b_2} \bar{\Psi}_\beta(z^+, \mathbf{b}) \frac{\gamma^- \gamma^+}{2} \left[\{t^{b_1}, t^{b_2}\} \left(-\frac{\gamma^j \gamma^l \gamma^i \mathbf{P}^l}{2(1-z)} - \frac{\gamma^i \mathbf{P}^j}{1-z} + \frac{\gamma^j \mathbf{P}^i}{z} + \frac{\gamma^i \gamma^l \gamma^j \mathbf{P}^l}{2z} \right) \right. \\ \left. + [t^{b_1}, t^{b_2}] \left(\frac{\gamma^i \mathbf{P}^j}{(1-z)} + \frac{\gamma^j \mathbf{P}^i}{z} + \frac{\gamma^j \gamma^l \gamma^i \mathbf{P}^l}{2(1-z)} + \frac{\gamma^i \gamma^l \gamma^j \mathbf{P}^l}{2z} - 2g^{ji} \mathbf{P}^l \gamma^l \right) \right] \mathcal{U}_F(z^+, -\infty, \mathbf{b}) u(\check{q}, h).$$

The color structures can be further simplified. The term with the anticommutator of two color generators can be written as

$$\mathcal{U}_A(\infty, z^+, \mathbf{b})_{a_1 b_1} \mathcal{U}_A(\infty, z^+, \mathbf{b})_{a_2 b_2} \bar{\Psi}(z^+, \mathbf{b}) \{t^{b_1}, t^{b_2}\} \mathcal{U}_F(z^+, -\infty, \mathbf{b}) \\ = \mathcal{U}_A(\infty, z^+, \mathbf{b})_{a_1 b_1} \mathcal{U}_A(\infty, z^+, \mathbf{b})_{a_2 b_2} \bar{\Psi}(z^+, \mathbf{b}) \left(\frac{\delta^{b_1 b_2}}{N_c} + d^{b_1 b_2 c} t^c \right) \mathcal{U}_F(z^+, -\infty, \mathbf{b}) \\ = \bar{\Psi}(z^+, \mathbf{b}) \left(\frac{\delta^{a_1 a_2}}{N_c} + d^{a_1 a_2 d} t^d \mathcal{U}_A(\infty, z^+, \mathbf{b})_{dc} \right) \mathcal{U}_F(z^+, -\infty, \mathbf{b}). \quad (114)$$

Similarly, the term with the commutator of two color generators can be written as

$$\mathcal{U}_A(\infty, z^+, \mathbf{b})_{a_1 b_1} \mathcal{U}_A(\infty, z^+, \mathbf{b})_{a_2 b_2} \bar{\Psi}(z^+, \mathbf{b}) \{t^{b_1}, t^{b_2}\} \mathcal{U}_F(z^+, -\infty, \mathbf{b}) \\ = \mathcal{U}_A(\infty, z^+, \mathbf{b})_{a_1 b_1} \mathcal{U}_A(\infty, z^+, \mathbf{b})_{a_2 b_2} \bar{\Psi}(z^+, \mathbf{b}) (i f^{b_1 b_2 c} t^c) \mathcal{U}_F(z^+, -\infty, \mathbf{b}) \\ = i \bar{\Psi}(z^+, \mathbf{b}) f^{a_1 a_2 d} t^d \mathcal{U}_A(\infty, z^+, \mathbf{b})_{dc} \mathcal{U}_F(z^+, -\infty, \mathbf{b}). \quad (115)$$

Substituting Eqs. (114) and (115) into the total amplitude given in Eq. (113), one obtains

$$\begin{aligned}
i\mathcal{M}_{q \rightarrow g_1 g_2, \text{tot.}}^{\text{b2b}, m=0} &= -ig^2 \frac{1}{(2q^+)} \frac{1}{\mathbf{P}^2} \int_{-\frac{L^+}{2}}^{\frac{L^+}{2}} dz^+ \int_{\mathbf{b}} e^{-i\mathbf{b} \cdot (\mathbf{k} - \mathbf{q})} \bar{\Psi}_\beta(z^+, \mathbf{b}) \frac{\gamma^- \gamma^+}{2} \\
&\times \left[\mathfrak{h}_{q \rightarrow gg}^{(1)} \left(\frac{\delta^{a_1 a_2}}{N_c} + d^{a_1 a_2 c} t^c \mathcal{U}_A(\infty, z^+, \mathbf{b})_{dc} \right) + \mathfrak{h}_{q \rightarrow gg}^{(2)} \left(i f^{a_1 a_2 d} t^c \mathcal{U}_A(\infty, z^+, \mathbf{b})_{dc} \right) \right] \\
&\times \mathcal{U}_F(z^+, -\infty, \mathbf{b}) u(\check{q}, h), \tag{116}
\end{aligned}$$

with the two coefficients being

$$\mathfrak{h}_{q \rightarrow gg}^{(1)} = \varepsilon_{\lambda_1}^i \varepsilon_{\lambda_2}^j \left[(1-z) \mathbf{P}^l \gamma^j \gamma^i \gamma^l - z \mathbf{P}^l \gamma^i \gamma^j \gamma^l \right], \tag{117}$$

$$\mathfrak{h}_{q \rightarrow gg}^{(2)} = \varepsilon_{\lambda_1}^i \varepsilon_{\lambda_2}^j \left[z \mathbf{P}^l \gamma^i \gamma^j \gamma^l + (1-z) \mathbf{P}^{l'} \gamma^j \gamma^i \gamma^l + 4z(1-z) \mathbf{P}^l g^{ij} \gamma^l \right]. \tag{118}$$

4.3 $q \rightarrow gg$ production cross section in the back-to-back limit

In the $q \rightarrow gg$ channel, partonic cross section in the back-to-back and massless quark limits can be written as

$$\frac{d\sigma_{q \rightarrow gg}^{\text{b2b}, m=0}}{d\text{P.S.}} = (2q^+) 2\pi \delta(p_1^+ + p_2^+ - q^+) \frac{1}{2N_c} \sum_{\lambda_1, \lambda_2} \sum_h \sum_{a_1, a_2} \left\langle \left| i\mathcal{M}_{q \rightarrow gg, \text{tot.}}^{\text{b2b}, m=0} \right|^2 \right\rangle, \tag{119}$$

where the phase space is defined in Eq. (51), the back-to-back production amplitude is given in Eq. (116), and the $\langle \dots \rangle$ stands for target averaging in the CGC formalism. Following the same procedure as in the previously discussed channels, we can write the partonic cross section in the back-to-back limit as

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{d\sigma_{q \rightarrow gg}^{\text{b2b}, m=0}}{d\text{P.S.}} &= \frac{g^4}{8N_c \mathbf{P}^4} (2\pi) \delta(p_1^+ + p_2^+ - q^+) \sum_{\lambda_1, \lambda_2} \int_{z^+, z'^+} \int_{\mathbf{b}, \mathbf{b}'} e^{-i(\mathbf{b} - \mathbf{b}') \cdot (\mathbf{k} - \mathbf{q})} \tag{120} \\
&\times \left\{ \left| \mathfrak{h}_{q \rightarrow gg}^{(1)} \right|^2 \left\langle \bar{\Psi}(z^+, \mathbf{b}) \gamma^- \left(\frac{\delta^{a_1 a_2}}{N_c} + d^{a_1 a_2 d} t^c \mathcal{U}_A(\infty, z^+, \mathbf{b})_{dc} \right) \mathcal{U}_F(z^+, -\infty, \mathbf{b}) \right. \right. \\
&\quad \left. \left. \times \mathcal{U}_F^\dagger(z'^+, -\infty, \mathbf{b}') \left(\frac{\delta^{a_1 a_2}}{N_c} + d^{a_1 a_2 d'} t^{c'} \mathcal{U}_A^\dagger(\infty, z'^+, \mathbf{b}')_{d'c'} \right) \Psi(z'^+, \mathbf{b}') \right\rangle \right. \\
&+ \left| \mathfrak{h}_{q \rightarrow gg}^{(2)} \right|^2 \left\langle \bar{\Psi}(z^+, \mathbf{b}) \gamma^- \left(i f^{a_1 a_2 d} t^c \mathcal{U}_A(\infty, z^+, \mathbf{b})_{dc} \right) \mathcal{U}_F(z^+, -\infty, \mathbf{b}) \right. \\
&\quad \left. \left. \times \mathcal{U}_F^\dagger(z'^+, -\infty, \mathbf{b}') \left(-i f^{a_1 a_2 d'} t^{c'} \mathcal{U}_A^\dagger(\infty, z'^+, \mathbf{b}')_{d'c'} \right) \Psi(z'^+, \mathbf{b}') \right\rangle \right\}.
\end{aligned}$$

The explicit computation for the coefficients $\mathfrak{h}_{q \rightarrow gg}^{(1)}$ and $\mathfrak{h}_{q \rightarrow gg}^{(2)}$ are presented in App. A.3 and their final results are given as

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{\lambda_1, \lambda_2} \left| \mathfrak{h}_{q \rightarrow gg}^{(1)} \right|^2 &= 4\mathbf{P}^2 (z^2 + (1-z)^2), \\
\sum_{\lambda_1, \lambda_2} \left| \mathfrak{h}_{q \rightarrow gg}^{(2)} \right|^2 &= 4\mathbf{P}^2 [1 + 2z(1-z)(1 + 4z(1-z))]. \tag{121}
\end{aligned}$$




Figure 6: Color structures appearing in the cross section of $q \rightarrow gg$.

On the other hand, the color structures that are appearing in Eq. (121) can be simplified as

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left\langle \bar{\Psi}(z^+, \mathbf{b}) \gamma^- \left(\frac{\delta^{a_1 a_2}}{N_c} + d^{a_1 a_2 d} t^c \mathcal{U}_A(\infty, z^+, \mathbf{b})_{dc} \right) \mathcal{U}_F(z^+, -\infty, \mathbf{b}) \right. \\
& \quad \times \mathcal{U}_F^\dagger(z'^+, -\infty, \mathbf{b}') \left(\frac{\delta^{a_1 a_2}}{N_c} + d^{a_1 a_2 d'} t^{c'} \mathcal{U}_A^\dagger(\infty, z'^+, \mathbf{b}')_{d'c'} \right) \Psi(z'^+, \mathbf{b}') \left. \right\rangle \\
&= \frac{1}{N_c^2} \mathcal{C}^- + \left(N_c - \frac{4}{N_c} \right) \mathcal{C}^{-g}, \tag{122}
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left\langle \bar{\Psi}(z^+, \mathbf{b}) \gamma^- \left(i f^{a_1 a_2 d} t^c \mathcal{U}_A(\infty, z^+, \mathbf{b})_{dc} \right) \mathcal{U}_F(z^+, -\infty, \mathbf{b}) \right. \\
& \quad \times \mathcal{U}_F^\dagger(z'^+, -\infty, \mathbf{b}') \left(-i f^{a_1 a_2 d'} t^{c'} \mathcal{U}_A(\infty, z'^+, \mathbf{b}')_{d'c'} \right) \Psi(z'^+, \mathbf{b}') \left. \right\rangle \\
&= N_c \mathcal{C}^{-g}, \tag{123}
\end{aligned}$$

with the reduced color structure \mathcal{C}^{-g} defined in Eq. (84) and a new one defined as

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{C}^{-g} \equiv & \left\langle \bar{\Psi}(z^+, \mathbf{b}) \gamma^- t^c \mathcal{U}_F(z^+, -\infty, \mathbf{b}) \mathcal{U}_A^\dagger(\infty, z'^+, \mathbf{b}')_{d'c'} \mathcal{U}_A(\infty, z^+, \mathbf{b})_{dc} \right. \\
& \quad \left. \times \mathcal{U}_F^\dagger(z'^+, -\infty, \mathbf{b}') t^{c'} \Psi(z'^+, \mathbf{b}') \right\rangle, \tag{124}
\end{aligned}$$

and are illustrated in Fig. 6. Finally, we can write the partonic cross section in the $q \rightarrow qg$ channel in the following factorized form

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{d\sigma_{q \rightarrow qg}^{\text{b2b}, m=0}}{d\text{P.S.}} = & g^4 (2\pi) \delta(p_1^+ + p_2^+ - q^+) \int_{-\frac{L^+}{2}}^{\frac{L^+}{2}} dz^+ \int_{-\frac{L^+}{2}}^{\frac{L^+}{2}} dz'^+ \int_{\mathbf{b}, \mathbf{b}'} e^{-i(\mathbf{b}-\mathbf{b}') \cdot (\mathbf{k}-\mathbf{q})} \\
& \times \left[\mathcal{H}_{q \rightarrow qg}^- \mathcal{C}^- + \mathcal{H}_{q \rightarrow qg}^{-g} \mathcal{C}^{-g} \right], \tag{125}
\end{aligned}$$

with the hard factors defined as

$$\mathcal{H}_{q \rightarrow qg}^{-g} = \frac{1}{2N_c \mathbf{P}^2} \left[N_c \left(2 + 8z^2(1-z)^2 \right) - \frac{4}{N_c} \left(z^2 + (1-z)^2 \right) \right], \tag{126}$$

$$\mathcal{H}_{q \rightarrow qg}^- = \frac{1}{2N_c^3 \mathbf{P}^2} \left[z^2 + (1-z)^2 \right]. \tag{127}$$

5 $q \rightarrow qq$ channel

The next process we would like to consider is quark-quark jet production in quark initiated channel, i.e. $q \rightarrow qq$ channel. We will first consider the case where all quarks have the same flavor. In this case, two mechanisms contribute to this channel at NEik accuracy. One where the incoming quark splits into a quark-gluon pair before the medium, and the pair scatters on the target. While the quark scatters eikonally, the gluon scatters via a t -channel quark exchange and converts into a quark, producing quark dijet in the final state (see Fig. 7a). The second one is similar except the quarks in the final state are interchanged (see Fig. 7b). At the end of this section, we will also consider the case where the final quarks have different flavors (more explicitly, $q_f \rightarrow q_f q_{f'}$ with $f \neq f'$), denoted $q \rightarrow qq'$. In this instance, only the first discussed diagram contributes (see Fig. 7a).




Figure 7: Diagrams contributing to channel $q \rightarrow qq$.

5.1 $q \rightarrow qq$ amplitude in general kinematics

The total scattering amplitude in $q \rightarrow qq$ channel receives two contributions from the aforementioned two mechanism and can be written as

$$\mathcal{M}_{q \rightarrow qq, \text{tot.}} = \mathcal{M}_{q \rightarrow qq, 1} + \mathcal{M}_{q \rightarrow qq, 2}. \quad (128)$$

The S -matrix element for the first mechanism, illustrated in Fig. 7a can be written as

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{S}_{q \rightarrow qq, 1} &= \lim_{x_1^+, x_2^+ \rightarrow \infty} \lim_{y^+ \rightarrow -\infty} \int_{\mathbf{x}_1, \mathbf{x}_2} \int_{x_1^-, x_2^-} \int_{\mathbf{y}} \int_{y^-} e^{ix_1 \cdot \tilde{p}_1} e^{ix_2 \cdot \tilde{p}_2} e^{-iy \cdot \tilde{q}} \int d^4 w \int d^4 z \theta(z^+ - w^+) \\ &\times \bar{u}(\tilde{p}_1, h_1) \gamma^+ \left[S_F(x_1, w) \Big|_{\text{Eik.}}^{\text{BA}, q} (-igt^b \gamma_\nu) S_{0, F}(w, y) \right]_{\beta_1 \alpha} \gamma^+ u(\tilde{q}, h) \\ &\times \left[G_F^{\mu\nu}(z, w) \Big|_{\text{Eik.}}^{\text{BI}} \right]_{ab} \bar{u}(\tilde{p}_2, h_2) \gamma^+ \left[S_F(x_2, z) \Big|_{\text{Eik.}}^{\text{IA}, q} (-igt^a \gamma_\mu) \right]_{\beta_2 \alpha_2} \Psi_{\alpha_2}^-(\underline{z}), \end{aligned} \quad (129)$$

where the before-to-after quark propagator is given in Eq. (208), the before-to-inside gluon propagator is given in Eq. (206), the inside-to-after quark propagator is given in Eq. (210) and the vacuum quark propagator is given in Eq. (24). Using the explicit expressions for the

propagators and simplifying the Dirac structure, one obtains

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{S}_{q \rightarrow qq,1} &= g^2 \frac{1}{2p_2^+} (2\pi) \delta(p_1^+ + p_2^+ - q^+) \int \frac{d^2 \mathbf{k}_1}{(2\pi)^2} \int_{\mathbf{z}, \mathbf{z}_1} e^{-i\mathbf{z} \cdot (\mathbf{p}_2 + \mathbf{k}_1 - \mathbf{q})} e^{-i\mathbf{z}_1 \cdot (\mathbf{p}_1 - \mathbf{k}_1)} \\
&\times \int_{-\frac{L^+}{2}}^{\frac{L^+}{2}} dz^+ \int_{-\infty}^{-\frac{L^+}{2}} dw^+ e^{iw^+ \left(\frac{\mathbf{k}_1^2}{2p_2^+} + \frac{(\mathbf{k}_1 - \mathbf{q})^2}{2p_1^+} - \frac{\mathbf{q}^2}{2q^+} + \frac{m^2}{2p_1^+} - \frac{m^2}{2q^+} \right)} \mathcal{U}_A(z^+, w^+, \mathbf{z})_{ab} \left[\mathcal{U}_F(\infty, w^+, \mathbf{z}_1) t^b \right]_{\beta_1 \alpha} \\
&\times \left[\mathcal{U}_F(\infty, z^+, \mathbf{z}) t^a \right]_{\beta_2 \alpha_2} \bar{u}(\check{p}_1, h_1) \gamma^+ \left[\frac{\gamma^i \gamma^l \mathbf{q}^l}{2q^+} + \frac{\gamma^l \gamma^i \mathbf{k}_1^l}{2p_1^+} + \gamma^i \left(\frac{1}{2q^+} - \frac{1}{2p_1^+} \right) m + \frac{\mathbf{q}^i - \mathbf{k}_1^i}{p_2^+} \right] \\
&\times u(\check{q}, h) \bar{u}(\check{p}_2, h_2) \gamma^i \frac{\gamma^+ \gamma^-}{2} \Psi_{\alpha_2}(\underline{z}), \tag{130}
\end{aligned}$$

from which we can extract the amplitude as

$$\begin{aligned}
i\mathcal{M}_{q \rightarrow qq,1} &= g^2 \frac{1}{(2q^+)(2p_2^+)} \int \frac{d^2 \mathbf{k}_1}{(2\pi)^2} \int_{\mathbf{z}, \mathbf{z}_1} e^{-i\mathbf{z} \cdot (\mathbf{p}_2 + \mathbf{k}_1 - \mathbf{q})} e^{-i\mathbf{z}_1 \cdot (\mathbf{p}_1 - \mathbf{k}_1)} \\
&\times \int_{-\frac{L^+}{2}}^{\frac{L^+}{2}} dz^+ \int_{-\infty}^{-\frac{L^+}{2}} dw^+ e^{iw^+ \left(\frac{\mathbf{k}_1^2}{2p_2^+} + \frac{(\mathbf{k}_1 - \mathbf{q})^2}{2p_1^+} - \frac{\mathbf{q}^2}{2q^+} + \frac{m^2}{2p_1^+} - \frac{m^2}{2q^+} \right)} \mathcal{U}_A(z^+, w^+, \mathbf{z})_{ab} \left[\mathcal{U}_F(\infty, w^+, \mathbf{z}_1) t^b \right]_{\beta_1 \alpha} \\
&\times \left[\mathcal{U}_F(\infty, z^+, \mathbf{z}) t^a \right]_{\beta_2 \alpha_2} \bar{u}(\check{p}_1, h_1) \gamma^+ \left[\frac{\gamma^i \gamma^l \mathbf{q}^l}{2q^+} + \frac{\gamma^l \gamma^i \mathbf{k}_1^l}{2p_1^+} + \gamma^i \left(\frac{1}{2q^+} - \frac{1}{2p_1^+} \right) m + \frac{\mathbf{q}^i - \mathbf{k}_1^i}{p_2^+} \right] \\
&\times u(\check{q}, h) \bar{u}(\check{p}_2, h_2) \gamma^i \frac{\gamma^+ \gamma^-}{2} \Psi_{\alpha_2}(\underline{z}). \tag{131}
\end{aligned}$$

The second mechanism is almost the same as the first one except the quarks in the final state are exchanged (see Fig. 7a). The S -matrix is very similar and can be written as

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{S}_{q \rightarrow qq,2} &= \lim_{x_1^+, x_2^+ \rightarrow \infty} \lim_{y^+ \rightarrow -\infty} \int_{\mathbf{x}_1, \mathbf{x}_2} \int_{x_1^-, x_2^-} \int_{\mathbf{y}} \int_{y^-} e^{ix_2 \cdot \check{p}_2} e^{ix_1 \cdot \check{p}_1} e^{-iy \cdot \check{q}} \int d^4 w \int d^4 z \theta(z^+ - w^+) \\
&\times \bar{u}(\check{p}_2, h_2) \gamma^+ \left[S_F(x_2, w) \Big|_{\text{Eik.}}^{\text{BA},q} (-igt^b \gamma_\nu) S_{0,F}(w, y) \right]_{\beta_2 \alpha} \gamma^+ u(\check{q}, h) \\
&\times \left[G_F^{\mu\nu}(z, w) \Big|_{\text{Eik.}}^{\text{BI}} \right]_{ab} \bar{u}(\check{p}_1, h_1) \gamma^+ \left[S_F(x_1, z) \Big|_{\text{Eik.}}^{\text{IA},q} (-igt^a \gamma_\mu) \right]_{\beta_1 \alpha_1} \Psi_{\alpha_1}^-(\underline{z}), \tag{132}
\end{aligned}$$

where the before-to-after quark propagator is given in Eq. (208), the before-to-inside gluon propagator is given in Eq. (206), the inside-to-after quark propagator is given in Eq. (210) and the vacuum quark propagator is given in Eq. (24). Using the explicit expressions for the propagators and simplifying the Dirac structure, one obtains

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{S}_{q \rightarrow qq,2} &= g^2 \frac{1}{2p_1^+} (2\pi) \delta(p_1^+ + p_2^+ - q^+) \int \frac{d^2 \mathbf{k}_2}{(2\pi)^2} \int_{\mathbf{z}, \mathbf{z}_1} e^{-i\mathbf{z} \cdot (\mathbf{p}_1 + \mathbf{k}_2 - \mathbf{q})} e^{-i\mathbf{z}_1 \cdot (\mathbf{p}_2 - \mathbf{k}_2)} \\
&\times \int_{-\frac{L^+}{2}}^{\frac{L^+}{2}} dz^+ \int_{-\infty}^{-\frac{L^+}{2}} dw^+ e^{iw^+ \left(\frac{\mathbf{k}_2^2}{2p_1^+} + \frac{(\mathbf{k}_2 - \mathbf{q})^2}{2p_2^+} - \frac{\mathbf{q}^2}{2q^+} + \frac{m^2}{2p_2^+} - \frac{m^2}{2q^+} \right)} \mathcal{U}_A(z^+, w^+, \mathbf{z})_{ab} \left[\mathcal{U}_F(\infty, w^+, \mathbf{z}_1) t^b \right]_{\beta_2 \alpha} \\
&\times \left[\mathcal{U}_F(\infty, z^+, \mathbf{z}) t^a \right]_{\beta_1 \alpha_1} \bar{u}(\check{p}_2, h_2) \gamma^+ \left[\frac{\gamma^i \gamma^l \mathbf{q}^l}{2q^+} + \frac{\gamma^l \gamma^i \mathbf{k}_2^l}{2p_2^+} + \gamma^i \left(\frac{1}{2q^+} - \frac{1}{2p_2^+} \right) m + \frac{\mathbf{q}^i - \mathbf{k}_2^i}{p_1^+} \right] \\
&\times u(\check{q}, h) \bar{u}(\check{p}_1, h_1) \gamma^i \frac{\gamma^+ \gamma^-}{2} \Psi_{\alpha_1}(\underline{z}), \tag{133}
\end{aligned}$$

from which we can extract the amplitude as

$$\begin{aligned}
i\mathcal{M}_{q\rightarrow qq,2} &= g^2 \frac{1}{(2q^+)(2p_1^+)} \int \frac{d^2\mathbf{k}_2}{(2\pi)^2} \int_{\mathbf{z},\mathbf{z}_1} e^{-i\mathbf{z}\cdot(\mathbf{p}_1+\mathbf{k}_2-\mathbf{q})} e^{-i\mathbf{z}_1\cdot(\mathbf{p}_2-\mathbf{k}_2)} \\
&\times \int_{-\frac{L^+}{2}}^{\frac{L^+}{2}} dz^+ \int_{-\infty}^{-\frac{L^+}{2}} dw^+ e^{iw^+ \left(\frac{\mathbf{k}_2^2}{2p_1^+} + \frac{(\mathbf{k}_2-\mathbf{q})^2}{2p_2^+} - \frac{\mathbf{q}^2}{2q^+} + \frac{m^2}{2p_2^+} - \frac{m^2}{2q^+} \right)} \mathcal{U}_A(z^+, w^+, \mathbf{z})_{ab} \left[\mathcal{U}_F(\infty, w^+, \mathbf{z}_1) t^b \right]_{\beta_2\alpha} \\
&\times \left[\mathcal{U}_F(\infty, z^+, \mathbf{z}) t^a \right]_{\beta_1\alpha_1} \bar{u}(\check{p}_2, h_2) \gamma^+ \left[\frac{\gamma^i \gamma^l \mathbf{q}^l}{2q^+} + \frac{\gamma^l \gamma^i \mathbf{k}_2^l}{2p_2^+} + \gamma^i \left(\frac{1}{2q^+} - \frac{1}{2p_2^+} \right) m + \frac{\mathbf{q}^i - \mathbf{k}_2^i}{p_1^+} \right] \\
&\times u(\check{q}, h) \bar{u}(\check{p}_1, h_1) \gamma^i \frac{\gamma^+ \gamma^-}{2} \Psi_{\alpha_1}(z). \tag{134}
\end{aligned}$$

5.2 $q \rightarrow qq$ amplitude in the back-to-back limit

In order to get the back-to-back limit of the amplitude we follow the same procedure as in the previous channels. We first write the amplitudes for each mechanism in terms of the relative dijet momentum and dijet momentum imbalance together with their conjugate variables. Then one considers the back-to-back limit which corresponds to small dipole size. One can perform a Taylor expansion for the small parameter and take the zeroth order term in the expansion. All in all, the back-to-back and massless quark limits of the scattering amplitude in the $q \rightarrow qq$ channel for the first mechanism can be written as

$$\begin{aligned}
i\mathcal{M}_{q\rightarrow qq,1}^{\text{b2b},m=0} &= -ig^2 \frac{z}{(2q^+)^2 \mathbf{P}^2} \int_{-\frac{L^+}{2}}^{\frac{L^+}{2}} dz^+ \int_{\mathbf{b}} e^{-i\mathbf{b}\cdot(\mathbf{k}-\mathbf{q})} \left[\mathcal{U}_F(\infty, -\infty, \mathbf{b}) t^b \right]_{\beta_1\alpha} \mathcal{U}_A(z^+, -\infty, \mathbf{b})_{ab} \\
&\times \left[\mathcal{U}_F(\infty, z^+, \mathbf{b}) t^a \right]_{\beta_2\alpha_2} \bar{u}(\check{p}_1, h_1) \gamma^+ \left[\frac{\gamma^l \gamma^i \mathbf{P}^l}{z} - \frac{2\mathbf{P}^i}{1-z} \right] u(\check{q}, h) \bar{u}(\check{p}_2, h_2) \gamma^i \frac{\gamma^+ \gamma^-}{2} \Psi_{\alpha_2}(z^+, \mathbf{b}). \tag{135}
\end{aligned}$$

By using the properties of the Wilson lines discussed in App. A.1, the color structure appearing in Eq. (135) can be simplified as

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left[\mathcal{U}_F(\infty, -\infty, \mathbf{b}) t^b \right]_{\beta_1\alpha} \mathcal{U}_A(z^+, -\infty, \mathbf{b})_{ab} \left[\mathcal{U}_F(\infty, z^+, \mathbf{b}) t^a \right]_{\beta_2\alpha_2} \Psi_{\alpha_2}(z^+, \mathbf{b}) \\
&= \left[\mathcal{U}_F(\infty, -\infty, \mathbf{b}) t^b \right]_{\beta_1\alpha} \mathcal{U}_A(z^+, \infty, \mathbf{b})_{ac} \mathcal{U}_A(\infty, -\infty, \mathbf{b})_{ab} \left[\mathcal{U}_F(\infty, z^+, \mathbf{b}) t^a \right]_{\beta_2\alpha_2} \Psi_{\alpha_2}(z^+, \mathbf{b}) \\
&= \left[t^c \mathcal{U}_F(\infty, -\infty, \mathbf{b}) \right]_{\beta_1\alpha} \left[t^c \mathcal{U}_F(\infty, z^+, \mathbf{b}) \right]_{\beta_2\alpha_2} \Psi_{\alpha_2}(z^+, \mathbf{b}) \\
&= \frac{1}{2} \mathcal{U}_F(\infty, -\infty, \mathbf{b})_{\beta_2\alpha} \mathcal{U}_F(\infty, z^+, \mathbf{b})_{\beta_1\alpha_2} \Psi_{\alpha_2}(z^+, \mathbf{b}) \\
&\quad - \frac{1}{2N_c} \mathcal{U}_F(\infty, -\infty, \mathbf{b})_{\beta_1\alpha} \mathcal{U}_F(\infty, z^+, \mathbf{b})_{\beta_2\alpha_2} \Psi_{\alpha_2}(z^+, \mathbf{b}). \tag{136}
\end{aligned}$$

We proceed the same way for the second mechanism and obtain the back-to-back and massless quark limits of the scattering amplitude in Eq. (134) as

$$\begin{aligned}
i\mathcal{M}_{q \rightarrow qq, 2}^{\text{b2b}, m=0} &= ig^2 \frac{1-z}{2(2q^+)^2 \mathbf{P}^2} \int_{-\frac{L^+}{2}}^{\frac{L^+}{2}} dz^+ \int_{\mathbf{b}} e^{-i\mathbf{b} \cdot (\mathbf{k}-\mathbf{q})} \left[\mathcal{U}_{\text{F}}(\infty, -\infty, \mathbf{b}) t^b \right]_{\beta_2 \alpha} \mathcal{U}_{\text{A}}(z^+, -\infty, \mathbf{b})_{ab} \\
&\times \left[\mathcal{U}_{\text{F}}(\infty, z^+, \mathbf{b}) t^a \right]_{\beta_1 \alpha_1} \bar{u}(\check{p}_2, h_2) \gamma^+ \left[\frac{\gamma^l \gamma^i \mathbf{P}^l}{1-z} - \frac{2\mathbf{P}^i}{z} \right] u(\check{q}, h) \bar{u}(\check{p}_1, h_1) \gamma^i \frac{\gamma^+ \gamma^-}{2} \Psi_{\alpha_1}(z^+, \mathbf{b}) \\
&\times \left[\mathcal{U}_{\text{F}}(\infty, -\infty, \mathbf{b})_{\beta_1 \alpha} \mathcal{U}_{\text{F}}(\infty, z^+, \mathbf{b})_{\beta_2 \alpha_2} - \frac{1}{N_c} \mathcal{U}_{\text{F}}(\infty, -\infty, \mathbf{b})_{\beta_2 \alpha} \mathcal{U}_{\text{F}}(\infty, z^+, \mathbf{b})_{\beta_1 \alpha_1} \right]. \quad (137)
\end{aligned}$$

The total scattering amplitude in the $q \rightarrow qq$ channel in the back-to-back and massless quarks limits is then given by

$$\begin{aligned}
i\mathcal{M}_{q \rightarrow qq, \text{tot.}}^{\text{b2b}, m=0} &= i\mathcal{M}_{q \rightarrow qq, 1}^{\text{b2b}, m=0} + i\mathcal{M}_{q \rightarrow qq, 2}^{\text{b2b}, m=0} \\
&= -\frac{i}{2} \frac{g^2}{(2q^+)^2 \mathbf{P}^2} \int_{-\frac{L^+}{2}}^{\frac{L^+}{2}} dz^+ \int d^2\mathbf{b} e^{-i\mathbf{b} \cdot (\mathbf{k}-\mathbf{q})} \\
&\times \left\{ \mathcal{U}_{\text{F}}(\infty, -\infty, \mathbf{b})_{\beta_2 \alpha} \mathcal{U}_{\text{F}}(\infty, z^+, \mathbf{b})_{\beta_1 \alpha_1} \left(\mathfrak{h}_{q \rightarrow qq}^{(1)} \right)_{\alpha_1} \right. \\
&\quad \left. + \mathcal{U}_{\text{F}}(\infty, -\infty, \mathbf{b})_{\beta_1 \alpha} \mathcal{U}_{\text{F}}(\infty, z^+, \mathbf{b})_{\beta_2 \alpha_1} \left(\mathfrak{h}_{q \rightarrow qq}^{(2)} \right)_{\alpha_1} \right\}, \quad (138)
\end{aligned}$$

with the Dirac coefficients defined as

$$\begin{aligned}
\left(\mathfrak{h}_{q \rightarrow qq}^{(1)} \right)_{\alpha_1} &= \bar{u}(\check{p}_1, h_1) \gamma^+ \left[\gamma^l \gamma^i \mathbf{P}^l - 2 \frac{z}{1-z} \mathbf{P}^i \right] u(\check{q}, h) \bar{u}(\check{p}_2, h_2) \gamma^i \frac{\gamma^+ \gamma^-}{2} \Psi_{\alpha_1}(z^+, \mathbf{b}) \\
&+ \frac{1}{N_c} \bar{u}(\check{p}_2, h_2) \gamma^+ \left[\gamma^l \gamma^i \mathbf{P}^l - 2 \frac{1-z}{z} \mathbf{P}^i \right] u(\check{q}, h) \bar{u}(\check{p}_1, h_1) \gamma^i \frac{\gamma^+ \gamma^-}{2} \Psi_{\alpha_2}(z^+, \mathbf{b}), \quad (139)
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\left(\mathfrak{h}_{q \rightarrow qq}^{(2)} \right)_{\alpha_1} &= -\bar{u}(\check{p}_2, h_2) \gamma^+ \left[\gamma^l \gamma^i \mathbf{P}^l - 2 \frac{1-z}{z} \mathbf{P}^i \right] u(\check{q}, h) \bar{u}(\check{p}_1, h_1) \gamma^i \frac{\gamma^+ \gamma^-}{2} \Psi_{\alpha_2}(z^+, \mathbf{b}) \\
&- \frac{1}{N_c} \bar{u}(\check{p}_1, h_1) \gamma^+ \left[\gamma^l \gamma^i \mathbf{P}^l - 2 \frac{z}{1-z} \mathbf{P}^i \right] u(\check{q}, h) \bar{u}(\check{p}_2, h_2) \gamma^i \frac{\gamma^+ \gamma^-}{2} \Psi_{\alpha_1}(z^+, \mathbf{b}). \quad (140)
\end{aligned}$$

5.3 $q \rightarrow qq$ production cross section in the back-to-back limit

Partonic cross section in the back-to-back and massless quark limits for the $q \rightarrow qq$ channel can be written as

$$\frac{d\sigma_{q \rightarrow qq}^{\text{b2b}, m=0}}{d\text{P.S.}} = (2q^+) (2\pi) \delta(p_1^+ + p_2^+ - q^+) \frac{1}{2N_c} \sum_{h_1, h_2, h} \sum_{\beta_1, \beta_2, \alpha} \left\langle \left| i\mathcal{M}_{q \rightarrow qq, \text{tot.}}^{\text{b2b}, m=0} \right|^2 \right\rangle, \quad (141)$$

where the back-to-back amplitude is given in Eq. (138). Moreover, as usual differential phase space $d\text{P.S.}$ is defined in Eq. (51) and $\langle \dots \rangle$ stands for the target averaging in the CGC

formalism. Using the explicit expression for the amplitude, it leads to

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{d\sigma_{q \rightarrow qq}^{\text{b2b}, m=0}}{d\text{P.S.}} &= \frac{-g^4}{4(2q^+)^3 \mathbf{P}^4 (2N_c)} (2\pi) \delta(p_1^+ + p_2^+ - q^+) \int_{z^+, z'^+} \int_{\mathbf{b}, \mathbf{b}'} e^{-i(\mathbf{b}-\mathbf{b}') \cdot (\mathbf{k}-\mathbf{q})} \\
&\times \left\{ \left| \mathfrak{h}'_{q \rightarrow qq}{}^{(1)} \right|^2 \left\langle \bar{\Psi}(z'^+; \mathbf{b}') \gamma^- \mathcal{U}_F^\dagger(\infty, z'^+; \mathbf{b}') \mathcal{U}_F(\infty, z^+; \mathbf{b}) \Psi(z^+, \mathbf{b}) \right\rangle \right. \\
&\quad \times \text{Tr} \left\langle \mathcal{U}_F^\dagger(\infty, -\infty; \mathbf{b}') \mathcal{U}_F(\infty, -\infty; \mathbf{b}) \right\rangle \\
&+ \left| \mathfrak{h}'_{q \rightarrow qq}{}^{(2)} \right|^2 \left\langle \bar{\Psi}(z'^+; \mathbf{b}') \gamma^- \mathcal{U}_F^\dagger(\infty, z'^+; \mathbf{b}') \mathcal{U}_F(\infty, z^+; \mathbf{b}) \Psi(z^+, \mathbf{b}) \right\rangle \\
&\quad \times \text{Tr} \left\langle \mathcal{U}_F^\dagger(\infty, -\infty; \mathbf{b}') \mathcal{U}_F(\infty, -\infty; \mathbf{b}) \right\rangle \\
&+ \left(\mathfrak{h}'_{q \rightarrow qq}{}^{(1)} \right)^\dagger \mathfrak{h}'_{q \rightarrow qq}{}^{(2)} \left\langle \bar{\Psi}(z'^+; \mathbf{b}') \gamma^- \mathcal{U}_F^\dagger(\infty, z'^+; \mathbf{b}') \mathcal{U}_F(\infty, -\infty; \mathbf{b}) \right. \\
&\quad \times \left. \mathcal{U}_F^\dagger(\infty, -\infty; \mathbf{b}') \mathcal{U}_F(\infty, z^+; \mathbf{b}) \Psi(z^+, \mathbf{b}) \right\rangle \\
&+ \left(\mathfrak{h}'_{q \rightarrow qq}{}^{(2)} \right)^\dagger \mathfrak{h}'_{q \rightarrow qq}{}^{(1)} \left\langle \bar{\Psi}(z'^+; \mathbf{b}') \gamma^- \mathcal{U}_F^\dagger(\infty, z'^+; \mathbf{b}') \mathcal{U}_F(\infty, -\infty; \mathbf{b}) \right. \\
&\quad \times \left. \mathcal{U}_F^\dagger(\infty, -\infty; \mathbf{b}') \mathcal{U}_F(\infty, z^+; \mathbf{b}) \Psi(z^+, \mathbf{b}) \right\rangle \left. \right\}, \tag{142}
\end{aligned}$$

with coefficients defined from those in Eqs. (139) and (140) as follow

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{h, h_1, h_2} \left| \mathfrak{h}'_{q \rightarrow qq}{}^{(1)} \right|^2 &= \left| \mathfrak{h}'_{q \rightarrow qq}{}^{(1)} \right|^2 \bar{\Psi}_{\alpha'_1}(z'^+, \mathbf{b}') \gamma^- \Psi_{\alpha_1}(z^+, \mathbf{b}), \\
\sum_{h, h_1, h_2} \left| \mathfrak{h}'_{q \rightarrow qq}{}^{(2)} \right|^2 &= \left| \mathfrak{h}'_{q \rightarrow qq}{}^{(2)} \right|^2 \bar{\Psi}_{\alpha'_1}(z'^+, \mathbf{b}') \gamma^- \Psi_{\alpha_1}(z^+, \mathbf{b}), \\
\sum_{h, h_1, h_2} \left(\mathfrak{h}'_{q \rightarrow qq}{}^{(1)} \right)_{\alpha'_1}^\dagger \left(\mathfrak{h}'_{q \rightarrow qq}{}^{(2)} \right)_{\alpha_1} &= \left(\mathfrak{h}'_{q \rightarrow qq}{}^{(1)} \right)_{\alpha'_1}^\dagger \mathfrak{h}'_{q \rightarrow qq}{}^{(2)} \bar{\Psi}_{\alpha'_1}(z'^+, \mathbf{b}') \gamma^- \Psi_{\alpha_1}(z^+, \mathbf{b}), \\
\sum_{h, h_1, h_2} \left(\mathfrak{h}'_{q \rightarrow qq}{}^{(2)} \right)_{\alpha'_1}^\dagger \left(\mathfrak{h}'_{q \rightarrow qq}{}^{(1)} \right)_{\alpha_1} &= \left(\mathfrak{h}'_{q \rightarrow qq}{}^{(2)} \right)_{\alpha'_1}^\dagger \mathfrak{h}'_{q \rightarrow qq}{}^{(1)} \bar{\Psi}_{\alpha'_1}(z'^+, \mathbf{b}') \gamma^- \Psi_{\alpha_1}(z^+, \mathbf{b}), \tag{143}
\end{aligned}$$

and given in Eqs. (194), (196) and (195). After performing some simplifications, the production cross section in the back-to-back limit for the $q \rightarrow qq$ channel takes the following factorized form:

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{d\sigma_{q \rightarrow qq}^{\text{b2b}, m=0}}{d\text{P.S.}} &= g^4 (2\pi) \delta(p_1^+ + p_2^+ - q^+) \int_{-\frac{L^+}{2}}^{\frac{L^+}{2}} dz^+ \int_{-\frac{L^+}{2}}^{\frac{L^+}{2}} dz'^+ \int_{\mathbf{b}, \mathbf{b}'} e^{-i(\mathbf{b}-\mathbf{b}') \cdot (\mathbf{k}-\mathbf{q})} \\
&\times \left[\mathcal{H}_{q \rightarrow qq}^{+\square} \mathcal{C}^{+\square} + \mathcal{H}_{q \rightarrow qq}^{+--+} \mathcal{C}^{+--+} \right], \tag{144}
\end{aligned}$$

with the hard factors given as

$$\mathcal{H}_{q \rightarrow qq}^{+\square} = \frac{1}{4N_c \mathbf{P}^2} \left[2 \left(1 + \frac{1}{N_c^2} \right) \left(z(1-z) - 3 + \frac{1}{z(1-z)} \right) - \frac{4}{N_c} \right], \tag{145}$$

$$\mathcal{H}_{q \rightarrow qq}^{+--+} = \frac{1}{4N_c \mathbf{P}^2} \left[-\frac{4}{N_c} \left(z(1-z) - 3 + \frac{1}{z(1-z)} \right) + 2 \left(1 + \frac{1}{N_c^2} \right) \right], \tag{146}$$

and the reduced color structure $\mathcal{C}^{+\square}$ defined in Eq. (85) read and a new one which reads

$$\mathcal{C}^{+-+} \equiv \left\langle \bar{\Psi}(z'^+, \mathbf{b}) \gamma^- \mathcal{U}_F^\dagger(\infty, z'^+, \mathbf{b}') \mathcal{U}_F(\infty, -\infty, \mathbf{b}) \right. \\ \left. \times \mathcal{U}_F^\dagger(\infty, -\infty, \mathbf{b}') \mathcal{U}_F(\infty, z^+, \mathbf{b}) \Psi(z^+, \mathbf{b}) \right\rangle \quad (147)$$

that are illustrated in Fig. 8.




Figure 8: Color structures appearing in the cross section of $q \rightarrow qq$.

5.4 $q \rightarrow qq$ production cross section for different quark flavors

As discussed in the beginning of 5, the two final quarks will have different flavors, hence only the first mechanism (see Fig. 7a) will contribute. The Partonic cross section in the back-to-back and massless quark limits for the $q \rightarrow qq$ channel with different flavor quarks in the final state can be written as

$$\frac{d\sigma_{q_f \rightarrow q_f q_{f'}}^{\text{b2b}, m=0}}{d\text{P.S.}} = (2q^+) (2\pi) \delta(p_1^+ + p_2^+ - q^+) \frac{1}{2N_c} \sum_{h_1, h_2, h} \sum_{\beta_1, \beta_2, \alpha} \left\langle \left| i\mathcal{M}_{q_f \rightarrow q_f q_{f'}, 1}^{\text{b2b}, m=0} \right|^2 \right\rangle, \quad (148)$$

where the back-to-back amplitude is given in Eq. (135) together with Eq. (136) for the simplified color structure. As final state quarks have different flavors, sum over them is shown explicitly. Moreover, as usual differential phase space $d\text{P.S.}$ is defined in Eq. (51) and $\langle \dots \rangle$ stands for the target averaging in the CGC formalism. Using the explicit expression for the amplitude and after performing some simplifications, the production cross section in the back-to-back limit for the $q \rightarrow qq$ channel takes the following factorized form:

$$\frac{d\sigma_{q_f \rightarrow q_f q_{f'}}^{\text{b2b}, m=0}}{d\text{P.S.}} = g^4 (2\pi) \delta(p_1^+ + p_2^+ - q^+) \int_{-\frac{L^+}{2}}^{\frac{L^+}{2}} dz^+ \int_{-\frac{L^+}{2}}^{\frac{L^+}{2}} dz'^+ \int_{\mathbf{b}, \mathbf{b}'} e^{-i(\mathbf{b}-\mathbf{b}') \cdot (\mathbf{k}-\mathbf{q})} \\ \times \left[\mathcal{H}_{q_f \rightarrow q_f q_{f'}}^{+\square} \mathcal{C}^{+\square} + \mathcal{H}_{q_f \rightarrow q_f q_{f'}}^{+-+} \mathcal{C}^{+-+} \right], \quad (149)$$

with the hard factor given as

$$\mathcal{H}_{q_f \rightarrow q_f q_{f'}}^{+\square} = \frac{1}{4} \frac{N_c^2 + 1}{\mathbf{P}^2} \frac{1}{N_c^3} z \frac{1 + z^2}{1 - z}, \quad (150)$$

$$\mathcal{H}_{q_f \rightarrow q_f q_{f'}}^{+--+} = -\frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{\mathbf{P}^2} \frac{1}{N_c^3} z \frac{1 + z^2}{1 - z}, \quad (151)$$

and the reduced color structures are given in (85) and (147).

6 Relation with the quark TMDs

The color structures that appear in the back-to-back cross section in all the channels have the form of

$$\mathcal{T}^{(\cdots)}(\mathbf{k}) \equiv \int_{\mathbf{b}, \mathbf{b}'} e^{-i\mathbf{k} \cdot (\mathbf{b} - \mathbf{b}')} \int_{z^+, z'^+} \langle \mathcal{C}^{(\cdots)} \rangle, \quad (152)$$

where $\mathcal{C}^{(\cdots)}$ are the various color structures computed for various channels and $\langle \cdots \rangle$ stands for the target averaging in the spirit of the CGC formalism. The target averaging in CGC is performed with a probability distribution which can be obtained from the JIMWLK evolution with initial conditions given by the MV model. However, in the eikonal CGC only \mathcal{A}^- fields are considered as the background field of the target. In this paper, the quark background field of the target is accounted for in the scattering processes. Therefore, it should be included in the target averaging procedure. This can be achieved by using quantum expectation value for target averaging.

As discussed in [71], the CGC target averaging of an operator $\langle \mathcal{O} \rangle$ can be written in terms of its quantum expectation of value $\langle P'_{tar} | \hat{\mathcal{O}} | P_{tar} \rangle$ in the state $|P_{tar}\rangle$ of the target with momentum P_{tar}^μ as in [39, 40, 51, 111]

$$\langle \mathcal{O} \rangle = \lim_{P'_{tar} \rightarrow P_{tar}} \frac{\langle P'_{tar} | \hat{\mathcal{O}} | P_{tar} \rangle}{\langle P'_{tar} | P_{tar} \rangle}, \quad (153)$$

with the target states normalized as

$$\langle P'_{tar} | P_{tar} \rangle = 2P_{tar}^- (2\pi)^3 \delta(P_{tar}'^- - P_{tar}^-) \delta^{(2)}(\mathbf{P}'_{tar} - \mathbf{P}_{tar}). \quad (154)$$

In general, in quantum field theory a local operator $\hat{\mathcal{O}}(x)$ obeys

$$\hat{\mathcal{O}}(x) = e^{ia^\mu \hat{P}_\mu} \hat{\mathcal{O}}(x - a) e^{-ia^\mu \hat{P}_\mu}, \quad (155)$$

with \hat{P}_μ being the momentum operator. For matrix elements of non-local operators, it can be written as

$$\begin{aligned} \langle P'_{tar} | \hat{\mathcal{O}}_1(x_1) \dots \hat{\mathcal{O}}_n(x_n) | P_{tar} \rangle &= \langle P'_{tar} | e^{ia^\mu \hat{P}_\mu} \hat{\mathcal{O}}_1(x_1 - a) \dots \hat{\mathcal{O}}_n(x_n - a) e^{-ia^\mu \hat{P}_\mu} | P_{tar} \rangle \\ &= e^{ia^\mu [(P'_{tar})_\mu - (P_{tar})_\mu]} \langle P'_{tar} | \hat{\mathcal{O}}_1(x_1 - a) \dots \hat{\mathcal{O}}_n(x_n - a) | P_{tar} \rangle. \end{aligned} \quad (156)$$

For the sake of simplicity of the discussion, let us focus on a specific case and consider the color structure \mathcal{C}^+ which reads

$$\mathcal{C}^+ \equiv \left\langle \bar{\Psi}(z'^+, \mathbf{b}') \gamma^- \mathcal{U}_F^\dagger(\infty, z'^+; \mathbf{b}') \mathcal{U}_F(\infty, z^+; \mathbf{b}) \Psi(z^+, \mathbf{b}) \right\rangle. \quad (157)$$

Using Eq. (152) together with relations given in Eqs. (153), (154) and (156) one obtains

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{T}^+(\mathbf{k}) &= \lim_{P'_{tar} \rightarrow P_{tar}} \int_{\mathbf{b}} \frac{e^{-i\mathbf{k} \cdot (\mathbf{b} - \mathbf{b}')}}{\langle P'_{tar} | P_{tar} \rangle} \\
&\quad \times \int_{z^+, z'^+} \left\langle P'_{tar} \left| \bar{\Psi}(z'^+; \mathbf{b}') \gamma^- \mathcal{U}_F^\dagger(\infty, z'^+; \mathbf{b}') \mathcal{U}_F(\infty, z^+; \mathbf{b}) \Psi(z^+, \mathbf{b}) \right| P_{tar} \right\rangle \\
&= \lim_{P'_{tar} \rightarrow P_{tar}} \int_{\mathbf{b}} \frac{e^{-i\mathbf{k} \cdot (\mathbf{b} - \mathbf{b}')}}{\langle P'_{tar} | P_{tar} \rangle} \int_{z^+, z'^+} e^{iz^+(P'_{tar} - P_{tar})} e^{i\mathbf{b} \cdot (\mathbf{P}'_{tar} - \mathbf{P}_{tar})} \\
&\quad \times \left\langle P'_{tar} \left| \bar{\Psi}(z'^+ - z^+; \mathbf{b}' - \mathbf{b}) \gamma^- \mathcal{U}_F^\dagger(\infty, z'^+ - z^+; \mathbf{b}' - \mathbf{b}) \mathcal{U}_F(\infty, 0; \mathbf{0}) \Psi(0, \mathbf{0}) \right| P_{tar} \right\rangle \\
&= \lim_{P'_{tar} \rightarrow P_{tar}} \int_{\Delta \mathbf{b}} \frac{e^{-i\mathbf{k} \cdot \Delta \mathbf{b}}}{2P_{tar}^-} \int_{\Delta z^+} \\
&\quad \times \left\langle P'_{tar} \left| \bar{\Psi}(\Delta z^+; \Delta \mathbf{b}) \gamma^- \mathcal{U}_F^\dagger(\infty, \Delta z^+; \Delta \mathbf{b}) \mathcal{U}_F(\infty, 0; \mathbf{0}) \Psi(0, \mathbf{0}) \right| P_{tar} \right\rangle, \tag{158}
\end{aligned}$$

where the limit $P'_{tar} \rightarrow P_{tar}$ can be taken safely. We can compare this result to the unpolarized quark distribution, which reads (up to UV and rapidity regularization issues)

$$f_q^+(x, \mathbf{k}) = \frac{1}{\pi^3} \int_{\mathbf{b}} e^{i\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{b}} \int_{z^+} e^{-ixP_{tar}^- z^+} \left\langle P_{tar} \left| \bar{\Psi}(z^+; \mathbf{b}) \frac{\gamma^-}{2} \mathcal{U}_F^\dagger(\infty, z^+; \mathbf{b}) \mathcal{U}_F(\infty, 0; \mathbf{0}) \Psi(0, \mathbf{0}) \right| P_{tar} \right\rangle, \tag{159}$$

where the transverse gauge link at infinity is neglected. Comparing it to Eq. (158), we observe the relation

$$\mathcal{T}^+(\mathbf{k}) = \frac{(2\pi)^3}{P_{tar}^-} f_q^+(x=0, \mathbf{k}). \tag{160}$$

Following the same procedure for the other color structures, one can obtain a generic relation which reads

$$\int_{\mathbf{b}, \mathbf{b}'} e^{-i\mathbf{k} \cdot (\mathbf{b} - \mathbf{b}')} \int_{z^+, z'^+} \mathcal{C}^{(\dots)}(\mathbf{k}) = \frac{(2\pi)^3}{P_{tar}^-} f_q^{(\dots)}(x=0, \mathbf{k}) \tag{161}$$

where $\mathcal{C}^{(\dots)}$ are various color structures computed for various channels and $f_q^{(\dots)}(x=0, \mathbf{k})$ are the associated quark TMDs which read

$$\begin{aligned}
f_q^-(x, \mathbf{k}) &= \frac{1}{\pi^3} \int_{\mathbf{b}} e^{i\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{b}} \int_{z^+} e^{-ixP_{tar}^- z^+} \\
&\quad \times \left\langle P_{tar} \left| \bar{\Psi}(z^+; \mathbf{b}) \frac{\gamma^-}{2} \mathcal{U}_F(z'^+, -\infty; \mathbf{b}) \mathcal{U}_F^\dagger(0, -\infty; \mathbf{0}) \Psi(0, \mathbf{0}) \right| P_{tar} \right\rangle, \\
f_q^{-g}(x, \mathbf{k}) &= \frac{1}{\pi^3} \int_{\mathbf{b}} e^{i\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{b}} \int_{z^+} e^{-ixP_{tar}^- z^+} \left\langle \mathcal{U}_A(\infty, z'^+; \mathbf{b})_{bc} \mathcal{U}_A(\infty, 0; \mathbf{0})_{bc} \right\rangle \\
&\quad \times \left\langle P_{tar} \left| \bar{\Psi}(z^+; \mathbf{b}) \frac{\gamma^-}{2} t^c \mathcal{U}_F(z^+, -\infty; \mathbf{b}) \mathcal{U}_F^\dagger(0, -\infty; \mathbf{0}) t^c \Psi(0, \mathbf{0}) \right| P_{tar} \right\rangle,
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
f_q^{+g}(x, \mathbf{k}) &= \frac{1}{\pi^3} \int_{\mathbf{b}} e^{i\mathbf{k}\cdot\mathbf{b}} \int_{z^+} e^{-ixP_{tar}^- z^+} \left\langle \mathcal{U}_A(z^+, -\infty; \mathbf{b})_{c'a} \mathcal{U}_A(0, -\infty; \mathbf{0})_{ca} \right\rangle \\
&\quad \times \left\langle P_{tar} \left| \bar{\Psi}(z^+; \mathbf{b}) \frac{\gamma^-}{2} t^c \mathcal{U}_F^\dagger(\infty, z^+; \mathbf{b}) \mathcal{U}_F(\infty, 0; \mathbf{0}) t^c \Psi(0, \mathbf{0}) \right| P_{tar} \right\rangle, \\
f_q^{-\square}(x, \mathbf{k}) &= \frac{1}{\pi^3} \int_{\mathbf{b}} e^{i\mathbf{k}\cdot\mathbf{b}} \int_{z^+} e^{-ixP_{tar}^- z^+} \text{Tr} \left\langle \mathcal{U}_F^\dagger(\infty, -\infty; \mathbf{b}) \mathcal{U}_F(\infty, -\infty; \mathbf{0}) \right\rangle \\
&\quad \times \left\langle P_{tar} \left| \bar{\Psi}(z^+; \mathbf{b}) \frac{\gamma^-}{2} \mathcal{U}_F(z^+, -\infty; \mathbf{b}) \mathcal{U}_F^\dagger(0, -\infty; \mathbf{0}) \Psi(0, \mathbf{0}) \right| P_{tar} \right\rangle, \\
f_q^{+\square}(x, \mathbf{k}) &= \frac{1}{\pi^3} \int_{\mathbf{b}} e^{i\mathbf{k}\cdot\mathbf{b}} \int_{z^+} e^{-ixP_{tar}^- z^+} \text{Tr} \left\langle \mathcal{U}_F(\infty, -\infty; \mathbf{b}) \mathcal{U}_F^\dagger(\infty, -\infty; \mathbf{0}) \right\rangle \\
&\quad \times \left\langle P_{tar} \left| \bar{\Psi}(z^+; \mathbf{b}) \frac{\gamma^-}{2} \mathcal{U}_F^\dagger(\infty, z^+; \mathbf{b}) \mathcal{U}_F(\infty, 0; \mathbf{0}) \Psi(0, \mathbf{0}) \right| P_{tar} \right\rangle, \\
f_q^{+\square g}(x, \mathbf{k}) &= \frac{1}{\pi^3} \int_{\mathbf{b}} e^{i\mathbf{k}\cdot\mathbf{b}} \int_{z^+} e^{-ixP_{tar}^- z^+} \left\langle \mathcal{U}_A(\infty, -\infty; \mathbf{b})_{ba} \mathcal{U}_A(\infty, -\infty; \mathbf{0})_{ba} \right\rangle \\
&\quad \times \left\langle P_{tar} \left| \bar{\Psi}(z^+; \mathbf{b}) \frac{\gamma^-}{2} \mathcal{U}_F^\dagger(\infty, z^+; \mathbf{b}) \mathcal{U}_F(\infty, 0; \mathbf{0}) \Psi(0, \mathbf{0}) \right| P_{tar} \right\rangle, \\
f_q^{+\square^2}(x, \mathbf{k}) &= \frac{1}{\pi^3} \int_{\mathbf{b}} e^{i\mathbf{k}\cdot\mathbf{b}} \int_{z^+} e^{-ixP_{tar}^- z^+} \\
&\quad \times \left\langle P_{tar} \left| \bar{\Psi}(z^+; \mathbf{b}) \frac{\gamma^-}{2} \mathcal{U}_F^\dagger(\infty, z^+; \mathbf{b}) \mathcal{U}_F(\infty, 0; \mathbf{0}) \Psi(0, \mathbf{0}) \right| P_{tar} \right\rangle \\
&\quad \times \text{Tr} \left\langle \mathcal{U}_F(\infty, -\infty; \mathbf{b}) \mathcal{U}_F^\dagger(\infty, -\infty; \mathbf{0}) \right\rangle \text{Tr} \left\langle \mathcal{U}_F^\dagger(\infty, -\infty; \mathbf{b}) \mathcal{U}_F(\infty, -\infty; \mathbf{0}) \right\rangle.
\end{aligned} \tag{162}$$

Therefore, using the definitions of various quark TMDs given in Eq. (162), the back-to-back cross sections of each channel can be written as

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{d\sigma_{g \rightarrow gq}^{\text{b2b}, m=0}}{d^2\mathbf{k} d^2\mathbf{P} dz} &= \frac{\alpha_s^2}{2\pi} \frac{1}{W^2} \left[\bar{\mathcal{H}}_{g \rightarrow gq}^{+g} f^{+g}(x=0, \mathbf{k}-\mathbf{q}) + \bar{\mathcal{H}}_{g \rightarrow gq}^{+\square g} f^{+\square g}(x=0, \mathbf{k}-\mathbf{q}) \right], \\
\frac{d\sigma_{q_f \rightarrow q_f \bar{q}_{f_2}}^{\text{b2b}, m=0}}{d^2\mathbf{k} d^2\mathbf{P} dz} &= \frac{\alpha_s^2}{2\pi} \frac{1}{W^2} \left[\bar{\mathcal{H}}_{q_f \rightarrow q_{f_1} \bar{q}_{f_2}}^- f^-(x=0, \mathbf{k}-\mathbf{q}) + \bar{\mathcal{H}}_{q_f \rightarrow q_{f_1} \bar{q}_{f_2}}^{+\square} f^{+\square}(x=0, \mathbf{k}-\mathbf{q}) \right], \\
\frac{d\sigma_{q \rightarrow gq}^{\text{b2b}, m=0}}{d^2\mathbf{k} d^2\mathbf{P} dz} &= \frac{\alpha_s^2}{2\pi} \frac{1}{W^2} \left[\bar{\mathcal{H}}_{q \rightarrow gq}^- f^-(x=0, \mathbf{k}-\mathbf{q}) + \bar{\mathcal{H}}_{q \rightarrow gq}^{-g} f^{-g}(x=0, \mathbf{k}-\mathbf{q}) \right], \\
\frac{d\sigma_{q_f \rightarrow q_{f_1} q_{f_2}}^{\text{b2b}, m=0}}{d^2\mathbf{k} d^2\mathbf{P} dz} &= \frac{\alpha_s^2}{2\pi} \frac{1}{W^2} \left[\bar{\mathcal{H}}_{q_f \rightarrow q_{f_1} q_{f_2}}^{+\square} f^{+\square}(x=0, \mathbf{k}-\mathbf{q}) + \bar{\mathcal{H}}_{q_f \rightarrow q_{f_1} q_{f_2}}^{++} f^{++}(x=0, \mathbf{k}-\mathbf{q}) \right],
\end{aligned} \tag{163}$$

where we have used $W^2 = (q + P_{tar})^2 \simeq 2q^+ P_{tar}^-$ with W being the center of mass energy of the parton-target scattering. Note that, for the $q \rightarrow q\bar{q}$ channel, the possibilities in term of quark flavor are limited to $f = f_1 = f_2$, $f \neq f_1 = f_2$ and $f = f_1 \neq f_2$ while for the $q \rightarrow qq$ channel, they are limited to $f = f_1 = f_2$ and $f = f_1 \neq f_2$. Moreover in Eq. (163) the hard

factors are modified and they are defined as

$$\begin{aligned}
\overline{\mathcal{H}}_{g \rightarrow gq}^{+g} &= \frac{2}{z(1-z)} \mathcal{H}_{g \rightarrow gq}^{+g} \quad , & \overline{\mathcal{H}}_{g \rightarrow gq}^{+\square_g} &= \frac{2}{z(1-z)} \mathcal{H}_{g \rightarrow gq}^{+\square_g}, \\
\overline{\mathcal{H}}_{q_f \rightarrow q_{f_1} \bar{q}_{f_2}}^- &= \frac{2}{z(1-z)} \mathcal{H}_{q_f \rightarrow q_{f_1} \bar{q}_{f_2}}^- \quad , & \overline{\mathcal{H}}_{q_f \rightarrow q_{f_1} \bar{q}_{f_2}}^{+\square} &= \frac{2}{z(1-z)} \mathcal{H}_{q_f \rightarrow q_{f_1} \bar{q}_{f_2}}^{+\square}, \\
\overline{\mathcal{H}}_{q \rightarrow gg}^- &= \frac{2}{z(1-z)} \mathcal{H}_{q \rightarrow gg}^- \quad , & \overline{\mathcal{H}}_{q \rightarrow gg}^{-g} &= \frac{2}{z(1-z)} \mathcal{H}_{q \rightarrow gg}^{-g}, \\
\overline{\mathcal{H}}_{q_f \rightarrow q_{f_1} q_{f_2}}^{+\square} &= \frac{2}{z(1-z)} \mathcal{H}_{q_f \rightarrow q_{f_1} q_{f_2}}^{+\square} \quad , & \overline{\mathcal{H}}_{q_f \rightarrow q_{f_1} q_{f_2}}^{+-+} &= \frac{2}{z(1-z)} \mathcal{H}_{q_f \rightarrow q_{f_1} q_{f_2}}^{+-+}, \quad (164)
\end{aligned}$$

where the unmodified hard factors $\mathcal{H}_{g \rightarrow gq}^{+\square_g}$, $\mathcal{H}_{g \rightarrow gq}^{+g}$, $\mathcal{H}_{q \rightarrow gg}^{-g}$ and $\mathcal{H}_{q \rightarrow gg}^-$ are given in Eqs. (61), (62), (126) and (127) respectively and the different unmodified factor represented by $\mathcal{H}_{q_f \rightarrow q_{f_1} \bar{q}_{f_2}}^-$, $\mathcal{H}_{q_f \rightarrow q_{f_1} \bar{q}_{f_2}}^{+\square}$, $\mathcal{H}_{q_f \rightarrow q_{f_1} q_{f_2}}$ and $\mathcal{H}_{q_f \rightarrow q_{f_1} q_{f_2}}^{+-+}$ are given in Eqs. (87), (91), (94); (88), (92), (95); (145), (150) and (146), (151) respectively. Eq. (163) is the main result of the paper where each channel is written in a factorized form of a quark TMD times the associated hard factor. Moreover, the suppression in $1/W^2$ at high energy is a characteristic of NEik contributions.

7 Summary and outlook

In this paper, we presented a comprehensive study of dijet production in pA collisions at forward rapidities at NEik accuracy. We restricted ourselves to the NEik contributions where the incoming parton scatters on the target via a t -channel quark exchange. The processes that involves interaction with the quark background field are absent in the eikonal limit and they only start at NEik accuracy. In this paper we present the first study of dijet production in pA collisions at forward rapidities that includes quark background field of the target. In [71], a similar work was performed for the production of quark-gluon dijets in DIS at NEik accuracy by including the quark background field.

After computing the scattering amplitudes both in general kinematics and in the back-to-back limit, we computed back-to-back production cross section for all available channels, namely $g \rightarrow gq$, $q \rightarrow q\bar{q}$, $q \rightarrow gg$ and $q \rightarrow qq$ channels. The analogous channels, with quark and antiquark exchanged, are also studied and the results are presented in the App. C. Since we included the quark background field of the target as source of NEik corrections in the computation of the dijet production cross section, in the back-to-back limit we probed various different quark TMDs. For all the channels, the back-to-back cross sections are obtained in a factorized form: a quark TMD times an associated hard factor.

Recently, in [72], back-to-back dijet production in DIS at NEik accuracy has been computed. In that study, NEik corrections are considered in a pure gluon background. Among others, a remarkable observation in this study is related with the leading twist gluon TMDs. For the first time, non-zero value of momentum fraction x in the twist 2 gluon TMDs are recovered from NEik corrections. Therefore, as an immediate continuation of this work, we plan to study back-to-back dijet production in pA collisions at forward rapidities at NEik accuracy in a pure gluon background and focus on a subset of NEik corrections to obtain non-zero value of momentum fraction x for leading twist gluon TMDs in various channels.

Another interesting observables to study at NEik accuracy is photon+jet production in pA collisions at forward rapidities. As shown in [39], at eikonal accuracy, the cross section can be factorized into a hard part and dipole gluon TMD without the need of considering the back-to-back limit. This observable should be studied at NEik order, by first considering a pure gluon background field, to see whether the factorization can be obtained without the back-to-back limit or not. Moreover, one should also consider the NEik contribution arising from the quark background of the target to probe quark TMDs in the back-to-back limit.

Acknowledgements

TA is supported in part by the National Science Centre (Poland) under the research Grant No. 2023/50/E/ST2/00133 (SONATA BIS 13). GB, EB and SM are supported in part by the National Science Centre (Poland) under the research Grant No. 2020/38/E/ST2/00122 (SONATA BIS 10).

A Technical details and useful relations

A.1 $\mathfrak{su}(N)$ Algebra

Generators of the $\mathfrak{su}(N)$ Lie algebra in the fundamental representation are traceless hermitian $N_c \times N_c$ matrices that satisfy the commutation relation :

$$[t^a, t^b] = if^{abc}t^c \text{ with } a, b, c \in \llbracket 1, N_c^2 - 1 \rrbracket, \quad (165)$$

where f are totally symmetric structure constants. We will consider the following normalization for those generators :

$$\text{Tr}(t^a t^b) = \frac{1}{2} \delta^{ab}. \quad (166)$$

The Casimir operator C_F is then given by :

$$t^a t^a = C_F \mathbb{1}_F = \frac{N_c^2 - 1}{2N_c} \mathbb{1}_F. \quad (167)$$

One important relation for the calculations provided in this article is the Fierz identity :

$$(t^a)_{i_1 j_1} (t^a)_{i_2 j_2} = \frac{1}{2} \delta_{i_1 j_2} \delta_{i_2 j_1} - \frac{1}{2N_c} \delta_{i_1 j_1} \delta_{i_2 j_2} \text{ for } i_1, i_2, j_1, j_2 \in \llbracket 1, N_c^2 - 1 \rrbracket, \quad (168)$$

this relation is especially useful for rewriting color structure of quarks channels ($q \rightarrow qq$, $q \rightarrow q\bar{q}$, $\bar{q} \rightarrow \bar{q}\bar{q}$ and $\bar{q} \rightarrow \bar{q}q$) and to write color structure involving adjoint Wilson lines with only fundamental Wilson lines. A diagrammatic representation of this identity is shown in Fig. 9.

Some other important relations implied when calculating the presented cross sections can be obtained using the Fierz identity, such as :

$$\begin{aligned} t^a t^b t^a &= -\frac{1}{2N_c} t^b, \\ \text{Tr}(t^a t^b t^a t^c) &= -\frac{1}{4N_c} \delta^{bc}. \end{aligned} \quad (169)$$

Figure 9: Diagrammatic representation of the Fierz identity (see Eq. (168)).

The commutation relation Eq. (165) can be generalized as :

$$t^a t^b = \frac{1}{2} \left[\frac{1}{N_c} \delta^{ab} \mathbf{1}_F + (d_{abc} + i f_{abc}) t^c \right], \quad (170)$$

with the introduction of totally symmetric d -coefficients which, similarly to f , can be introduced through the anti-commutation relation :

$$\{t^a, t^b\} = \frac{1}{N_c} \delta^{ab} \mathbf{1}_F + d_{abc} t^c. \quad (171)$$

Note that, from Eq. (170), one can define f and d as :

$$\begin{aligned} f_{abc} &= -2i \operatorname{Tr} \left[[t^a, t^b] t^c \right], \\ d_{abc} &= 2 \operatorname{Tr} \left[\{t^a, t^b\} t^c \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (172)$$

This implies, in particular, that $d_{aab} = d_{aba} = d_{baa} = 0$. Since d_{abc} is symmetric, while f_{abc} is antisymmetric, we have $f_{abc} d_{abd} = 0$. Using the previous relation, one can show that :

$$\begin{aligned} f_{abc} f_{abd} &= N_c \delta_{cd}, \\ d_{abc} d_{abd} &= \frac{N_c^2 - 4}{N_c} \delta_{cd}. \end{aligned} \quad (173)$$

A.2 Wilson lines properties

Straight Wilson lines, between coordinates along the light-cone y^+ and x^+ , at constant transverse coordinate \mathbf{z} are defined in the standard way as :

$$\mathcal{U}_R(x^+, y^+; \mathbf{z}) \equiv \mathcal{P}_+ e^{\left\{ -ig \int_{y^+}^{x^+} dz^+ T_R \cdot \mathcal{A}^-(z^+, \mathbf{z}) \right\}}, \quad (174)$$

where \mathcal{P}_+ denotes path-ordering of color matrices along the $+$ direction and R stands for the $\mathfrak{su}(N)$ generators representation, being either A or F (for adjoint or fundamental respectively).

In the correlation limit, in which we provide most of our results, we need the following relations among Wilson lines :

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{U}_A^{ab} \left[\mathcal{U}_R T_R^b \right] &= [T_R^a \mathcal{U}_R], \\ \mathcal{U}_A^{ab} \mathcal{U}_A^{cd} f^{bde} &= f^{acf} \mathcal{U}_A^{fe}, \\ \mathcal{U}_A^{ab} \mathcal{U}_A^{cd} d^{bde} &= d^{acf} \mathcal{U}_A^{fe}, \end{aligned} \quad (175)$$




Figure 10: Diagrammatic representation of equation Eq. (175). The diagrams on the left and on the right have respectively an equivalent color structure in the correlation limit.

where all the Wilson lines are along the same implicit path. A diagrammatic representation of these relations is provided in Fig. 10.

Based on the previous relations, one can show that an adjoint Wilson line can be expressed in terms of fundamental ones via :

$$\mathcal{U}_A^{ab} = 2 \text{Tr}(\mathcal{U}_F^\dagger t^a \mathcal{U}_F t^b). \quad (176)$$

A.3 Dirac Algebra for the cross section

The generators of the Dirac algebra satisfy the anti-commutation relation :

$$\{\gamma^\mu, \gamma^\nu\} = 2g^{\mu\nu} \mathbf{1}_4, \quad (177)$$

and which hermitian conjugate reads :

$$(\gamma^\mu)^\dagger = \gamma^0 \gamma^\mu \gamma^0. \quad (178)$$

In particular, in the light-cone coordinates, the following relations involving γ^\pm arise :

$$\begin{aligned} \{\gamma^\pm, \gamma^j\} &= 0, & \{\gamma^+, \gamma^-\} &= 2\mathbf{1}_4, \\ (\gamma^\pm)^2 &= 0, & \gamma^\pm \gamma^\mp \gamma^\pm &= 2\gamma^\pm \mathbf{1}_4. \end{aligned} \quad (179)$$

For the cross sections given in this article, mainly transverse gamma matrices are involved. Those satisfy the following relations :

$$\begin{aligned} \{\gamma^i, \gamma^j\} &= 2g^{ij} \mathbf{1}_4, \\ \gamma^i \gamma^i &= \frac{1}{2} \{\gamma^i, \gamma^i\} = g^{ii} \mathbf{1}_4 = -2\mathbf{1}_4, \\ \gamma^i \gamma^j \gamma^i &= (\{\gamma^i, \gamma^j\} - \gamma^j \gamma^i) \gamma^i = 2g^{ij} \gamma^i - \gamma^j \gamma^i \gamma^i = -2\gamma^j + 2\gamma^j = 0, \\ \gamma^i \gamma^j \gamma^k \gamma^i &= (\{\gamma^i, \gamma^j\} - \gamma^j \gamma^i) \gamma^k \gamma^i = -2\gamma^k \gamma^j. \end{aligned} \quad (180)$$

The completeness relations for Dirac spinors reads :

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{h_1=\pm\frac{1}{2}} u(\check{p}_1, h_1)\bar{u}(\check{p}_1, h_1) &= \check{p}_1 + m, \\ \sum_{h_1=\pm\frac{1}{2}} v(\check{p}_1, h_1)\bar{v}(\check{p}_1, h_1) &= \check{p}_1 - m,\end{aligned}\tag{181}$$

while for the transverse polarization vectors in the light-cone gauge, it writes :

$$\sum_{\lambda_1} \varepsilon_{\lambda_1}^i \varepsilon_{\lambda_1}^{j*} = \delta^{ij}.\tag{182}$$

Based on Eqs. (180) and (182), we can write the hard factors for channel $g \rightarrow gq$ as squares of the coefficients $\mathfrak{h}_{g \rightarrow gq}^{(1)}$ and $\mathfrak{h}_{g \rightarrow gq}^{(2)}$ defined in Eqs. (48) and (49), as follows

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{\lambda_1, \lambda_2} \left| \mathfrak{h}_{g \rightarrow gq}^{(1)} \right|^2 &= \left(-z\mathbf{P}^{l'} \gamma^i \gamma^j \gamma^{l'} + 2(2-z)\mathbf{P}^j \gamma^i - 2z\mathbf{P}^i \gamma^j - 2\frac{z}{1-z}\mathbf{P}^{l'} g^{ij} \gamma^{l'} \right) \\ &\times \left(-z\mathbf{P}^l \gamma^l \gamma^j \gamma^i + 2(2-z)\mathbf{P}^j \gamma^i - 2z\mathbf{P}^i \gamma^j - 2\frac{z}{1-z}\mathbf{P}^l g^{ij} \gamma^l \right) \\ &= 4\mathbf{P}^2 \left(z^2 + z(2-z) + \frac{z^2}{1-z} + z(2-z) + 2(2-z)^2 - z(2-z) + (2-z)\frac{z}{1-z} \right. \\ &\quad \left. - z(2-z) + 2z^2 - \frac{z^2}{1-z} + \frac{z^2}{1-z} + (1-z)\frac{z}{1-z} - \frac{z^2}{1-z} + 2\frac{z^2}{(1-z)^2} \right) \\ &= 4\mathbf{P}^2 \left(5z^2 - 6z + 8 + 2\frac{z}{(1-z)^2} \right),\end{aligned}\tag{183}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{\lambda_1, \lambda_2} \left| \mathfrak{h}_{g \rightarrow gq}^{(2)} \right|^2 &= \left(-2z\mathbf{P}^{l'} \gamma^j \gamma^i \gamma^{l'} + (1-2z)\mathbf{P}^{l'} \gamma^j \gamma^{l'} \gamma^i - 2\mathbf{P}^j \gamma^i + 2\frac{z}{1-z}\mathbf{P}^{l'} g^{ij} \gamma^{l'} \right) \\ &\times \left(-2z\mathbf{P}^l \gamma^l \gamma^i \gamma^j + (1-2z)\mathbf{P}^l \gamma^i \gamma^l \gamma^j - 2\mathbf{P}^j \gamma^i + 2\frac{z}{1-z}\mathbf{P}^l g^{ij} \gamma^l \right) \\ &= 4\mathbf{P}^2 \left(4z^2 - 2\frac{z^2}{1-z} + (1-2z)^2 + (1-2z) + (1-2z) + 2 + \frac{z}{1-z} \right. \\ &\quad \left. - \frac{z^2}{1-z} + \frac{z}{1-z} + 2\frac{z^2}{(1-z)^2} \right) \\ &= 4\mathbf{P}^2 \left(8z^2 - 6z + 5 + 2\frac{z^3}{(1-z)^2} \right),\end{aligned}\tag{184}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{\lambda_1, \lambda_2} \left(\mathfrak{h}_{g \rightarrow gq}^{(1)} \right)^\dagger \mathfrak{h}_{g \rightarrow gq}^{(2)} = \sum_{\lambda_1, \lambda_2} \left(\mathfrak{h}_{g \rightarrow gq}^{(2)} \right)^\dagger \mathfrak{h}_{g \rightarrow gq}^{(1)} \\
& = \left(-z \mathbf{P}^{l'} \gamma^i \gamma^j \gamma^{l'} + 2(2-z) \mathbf{P}^j \gamma^i - 2 \mathbf{P}^i \gamma^j - 2 \frac{z}{1-z} \mathbf{P}^{l'} g^{ij} \gamma^{l'} \right) \\
& \quad \times \left(-2z \mathbf{P}^l \gamma^l \gamma^i \gamma^j + (1-2z) \mathbf{P}^l \gamma^i \gamma^l \gamma^j - 2 \mathbf{P}^j \gamma^i + 2 \frac{z}{1-z} \mathbf{P}^l g^{ij} \gamma^l \right) \\
& = 4 \mathbf{P}^2 \left(-z - \frac{z^2}{1-z} - (2-z)(1-2z) - 2(2-z) - (2-z) \frac{z}{1-z} - 2z^2 + z(1-2z) + z + \frac{z^2}{1-z} \right. \\
& \quad \left. + 2 \frac{z^2}{1-z} - \frac{z}{1-z} - 2 \frac{z^2}{(1-z)^2} \right) \\
& = 4 \mathbf{P}^2 \left(-6z^2 - 5z - 6 - 2 \frac{z^2}{(1-z)^2} \right). \tag{185}
\end{aligned}$$

Similarly, the hard factors of $q \rightarrow gg$ are obtained from the coefficients $\mathfrak{h}_{q \rightarrow gg}^{(1)}$ and $\mathfrak{h}_{q \rightarrow gg}^{(2)}$, defined in Eqs. (117) and (118), via :

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{\lambda_1, \lambda_2} \left| \mathfrak{h}_{q \rightarrow gg}^{(1)} \right|^2 = [(1-z) \mathbf{P}^{l'} \gamma^{l'} \gamma^i \gamma^j - z \mathbf{P}^{l'} \gamma^{l'} \gamma^j \gamma^i] [(1-z) \mathbf{P}^l \gamma^j \gamma^i \gamma^l - z \mathbf{P}^l \gamma^i \gamma^j \gamma^l] \\
& \quad = 4 \mathbf{P}^2 [(1-z)^2 - 0 - 0 + z^2], \\
& \sum_{\lambda_1, \lambda_2} \left| \mathfrak{h}_{q \rightarrow gg}^{(2)} \right|^2 = \left(z \mathbf{P}^{l'} \gamma^{l'} \gamma^j \gamma^i + (1-z) \mathbf{P}^{l'} \gamma^{l'} \gamma^i \gamma^j + 4z(1-z) \mathbf{P}^{l'} g^{ij} \gamma^{l'} \right) \\
& \quad \times \left(z \mathbf{P}^l \gamma^i \gamma^j \gamma^l + (1-z) \mathbf{P}^{l'} \gamma^j \gamma^i \gamma^l + 4z(1-z) \mathbf{P}^l g^{ij} \gamma^l \right) \\
& \quad = 4 \mathbf{P}^2 \left(z^2 + 2z^2(1-z) + (1-z)^2 + 2z(1-z)^2 + 2z^2(1-z) + 2z(1-z)^2 \right. \\
& \quad \quad \left. + 8z^2(1-z)^2 \right) \\
& \quad = 4 \mathbf{P}^2 [1 + 2z(1-z)(1 + 4z(1-z))]. \tag{186}
\end{aligned}$$

Using Eq. (180) and Eq. (181), we can write coefficients appearing in the $q \rightarrow q\bar{q}$ channel, and defined in Eqs. (79) and (80), as

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{h, h_1, h_2} \left| \mathfrak{h}_{q \rightarrow q\bar{q}}^1 \right|^2 = \sum_{h, h_1, h_2} \left\{ \bar{\Psi}_{\alpha_1}(z^+, \mathbf{b}) \left[\frac{z}{2} \frac{\gamma^- \gamma^+}{2} \gamma^i v(\check{p}_2, h_2) \bar{u}(\check{p}_1, h_1) \gamma^+ \left(\frac{\gamma^l \gamma^i \mathbf{P}^l}{z} - \frac{2 \mathbf{P}^i}{1-z} \right) u(\check{q}, h) \right. \right. \\
& \quad \left. \left. + \frac{z(1-z)}{2N_c} \frac{\gamma^- \gamma^+}{2} \gamma^i u(\check{q}, h) \bar{u}(\check{p}_1, h_1) \gamma^+ \left(\frac{-\gamma^i \gamma^l \mathbf{P}^l}{1-z} + \frac{\gamma^l \gamma^i \mathbf{P}^l}{z} \right) v(\check{p}_2, h_2) \right] \right\} \\
& \quad \times \left\{ \bar{\Psi}_{\alpha'_1}(z'^+, \mathbf{b}') \left[\frac{z}{2} \frac{\gamma^- \gamma^+ \gamma^{i'}}{2} v(\check{p}_2, h_2) \bar{u}(\check{p}_1, h_1) \gamma^+ \left(\frac{\gamma^{l'} \gamma^{i'} \mathbf{P}^{l'}}{z} - \frac{2 \mathbf{P}^{i'}}{1-z} \right) u(\check{q}, h) \right. \right. \\
& \quad \left. \left. + \frac{z(1-z)}{2N_c} \frac{\gamma^- \gamma^+ \gamma^{i'}}{2} u(\check{q}, h) \bar{u}(\check{p}_1, h_1) \gamma^+ \left(\frac{-\gamma^{i'} \gamma^l \mathbf{P}^l}{1-z} + \frac{\gamma^{l'} \gamma^i \mathbf{P}^{l'}}{z} \right) v(\check{p}_2, h_2) \right] \right\}^\dagger. \tag{187}
\end{aligned}$$

Let us consider the multiplication of the first line with the third line in Eq. (187) and let us

call it N_1 for convenience. It can be written as

$$\begin{aligned}
N_1 &= \sum_{h_1, h_2, h} \left[\bar{\Psi}_{\alpha_1}(z^+, \mathbf{b}) \frac{\gamma^- \gamma^+}{2} \gamma^i v(\check{p}_2, h_2) \bar{u}(\check{p}_1, h_1) \gamma^+ \left(\frac{\gamma^l \gamma^i \mathbf{P}^l}{z} - \frac{2\mathbf{P}^i}{1-z} \right) u(\check{q}, h) \right] \\
&\quad \times \left[\bar{\Psi}_{\alpha'_1}(z^+, \mathbf{b}) \frac{\gamma^- \gamma^+}{2} \gamma^{i'} v(\check{p}_2, h_2) \bar{u}(\check{p}_1, h_1) \gamma^+ \left(\frac{\gamma^{l'} \gamma^{i'} \mathbf{P}^{l'}}{z} - \frac{2\mathbf{P}^{i'}}{1-z} \right) u(\check{q}, h) \right]^\dagger \\
&= \sum_{h_1, h_2, h} \bar{u}(\check{q}, h) \left(\frac{\gamma^{i'} \gamma^{l'} \mathbf{P}^{l'}}{z} - \frac{2\mathbf{P}^{i'}}{1-z} \right) \gamma^+ u(\check{p}_1, h_1) \bar{u}(\check{p}_1, h_1) \gamma^+ \left(\frac{\gamma^l \gamma^i \mathbf{P}^l}{z} - \frac{2\mathbf{P}^i}{1-z} \right) u(\check{q}, h) \\
&\quad \times \bar{\Psi}_{\alpha_1}(z^+, \mathbf{b}) \frac{\gamma^- \gamma^+}{2} \gamma^i v(\check{p}_2, h_2) \bar{v}(\check{p}_2, h_2) \gamma^{i'} \frac{\gamma^+ \gamma^-}{2} \Psi_{\alpha'_1}(z'^+, \mathbf{b}') \\
&= \sum_h \bar{u}(\check{q}, h) \left(\frac{\gamma^{i'} \gamma^{l'} \mathbf{P}^{l'}}{z} - \frac{2\mathbf{P}^{i'}}{1-z} \right) \gamma^+ (\not{p}_1 + m) \gamma^+ \left(\frac{\gamma^l \gamma^i \mathbf{P}^l}{z} - \frac{2\mathbf{P}^i}{1-z} \right) u(\check{q}, h) \\
&\quad \times \bar{\Psi}_{\alpha_1}(z^+, \mathbf{b}) \frac{\gamma^- \gamma^+}{2} \gamma^i (\not{p}_2 - m) \gamma^{i'} \frac{\gamma^+ \gamma^-}{2} \Psi_{\alpha'_1}(z'^+, \mathbf{b}') \\
&= 2p_1^+ p_2^+ \sum_h \left[\bar{u}(\check{q}, h) \gamma^+ \left(\frac{\gamma^{i'} \gamma^{l'} \gamma^l \gamma^i \mathbf{P}^l \mathbf{P}^{l'}}{z^2} - \frac{2\gamma^{i'} \gamma^{l'} \mathbf{P}^{l'} \mathbf{P}^i}{z(1-z)} - \frac{2\gamma^l \gamma^i \mathbf{P}^i \mathbf{P}^l}{z(1-z)} + \frac{4\mathbf{P}^i \mathbf{P}^{i'}}{(1-z)^2} \right) u(\check{q}, h) \right] \\
&\quad \times \left[\bar{\Psi}_{\alpha_1}(z^+, \mathbf{b}) \gamma^i \gamma^- \gamma^{i'} \Psi_{\alpha'_1}(z'^+, \mathbf{b}') \right], \tag{188}
\end{aligned}$$

where we have used

$$\gamma^+ (\not{p} + m) \gamma^+ = 2p^+ \gamma^+ = \gamma^+ (\not{p} - m) \gamma^+. \tag{189}$$

By using the cyclicity of a trace, it can be written as

$$\begin{aligned}
N_1 &= 2p_1^+ p_2^+ \left[\not{q} \gamma^+ \left(\frac{\gamma^{i'} \gamma^{l'} \gamma^l \gamma^i \mathbf{P}^l \mathbf{P}^{l'}}{z^2} - \frac{2\gamma^{i'} \gamma^{l'} \mathbf{P}^{l'} \mathbf{P}^i}{z(1-z)} - \frac{2\gamma^l \gamma^i \mathbf{P}^i \mathbf{P}^l}{z(1-z)} + \frac{4\mathbf{P}^i \mathbf{P}^{i'}}{(1-z)^2} \right) \right] \\
&\quad \times \left[\bar{\Psi}_{\alpha_1}(z^+, \mathbf{b}) \gamma^i \gamma^- \gamma^{i'} \Psi_{\alpha'_1}(z'^+, \mathbf{b}') \right] \\
&= -2p_1^+ p_2^+ \left[\frac{1}{2} \{ \not{q}, \gamma^+ \} \left(\frac{\gamma^{i'} \gamma^{l'} \gamma^l \gamma^i \mathbf{P}^l \mathbf{P}^{l'}}{z^2} - \frac{2\gamma^{i'} \gamma^{l'} \mathbf{P}^{l'} \mathbf{P}^i}{z(1-z)} - \frac{2\gamma^l \gamma^i \mathbf{P}^i \mathbf{P}^l}{z(1-z)} + \frac{4\mathbf{P}^i \mathbf{P}^{i'}}{(1-z)^2} \right) \right] \\
&\quad \times \left[\bar{\Psi}_{\alpha_1}(z^+, \mathbf{b}) \gamma^- \gamma^i \gamma^{i'} \Psi_{\alpha'_1}(z'^+, \mathbf{b}') \right] \\
&= -16p_1^+ p_2^+ q^+ \mathbf{P}^2 \left[\bar{\Psi}_{\alpha_1}(z^+, \mathbf{b}) \gamma^- \Psi_{\alpha'_1}(z'^+, \mathbf{b}') \right] \left(\frac{1}{z^2} + \frac{2}{z(1-z)} + \frac{2}{(1-z)^2} \right) \\
&= -2(2q^+)^3 \mathbf{P}^2 \left(\frac{1+z^2}{z(1-z)} \right) \left[\bar{\Psi}_{\alpha_1}(z^+, \mathbf{b}) \gamma^- \Psi_{\alpha'_1}(z'^+, \mathbf{b}') \right], \tag{190}
\end{aligned}$$

where we have used $\text{Tr}[\gamma^{i'} \gamma^i] = \left[\frac{1}{2} \{ \gamma^{i'}, \gamma^i \} \right] = 4g^{i'i}$. Following a similar method, we can

simplify other terms in Eq. (187) and we get,

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{h,h_1,h_2} \left| \mathfrak{h}_{q \rightarrow q\bar{q}}^{(1)} \right|^2 &= -(2q^+)^3 \frac{\mathbf{P}^2}{2} \left(z \frac{1+z^2}{1-z} - \frac{2z^3}{N_c} + \frac{1}{N_c^2} z(1-z) (z^2 + (1-z)^2) \right) \\
&\times \left[\bar{\Psi}_{\alpha_1}(z^+, \mathbf{b}) \gamma^- \Psi_{\alpha'_1}(z'^+, \mathbf{b}') \right] \\
&= \left| \mathfrak{h}'_{q \rightarrow q\bar{q}}{}^{(1)} \right|^2 \left[\bar{\Psi}_{\alpha_1}(z^+, \mathbf{b}) \gamma^- \Psi_{\alpha'_1}(z'^+, \mathbf{b}') \right]. \tag{191}
\end{aligned}$$

Going further we can notice that, even $\left| \mathfrak{h}_{q \rightarrow q\bar{q}}^{(2)} \right|^2$, $\left(\mathfrak{h}_{q \rightarrow q\bar{q}}^{(2)} \right)^\dagger \mathfrak{h}_{q \rightarrow q\bar{q}}^{(1)}$, and $\left(\mathfrak{h}_{q \rightarrow q\bar{q}}^{(1)} \right)^\dagger \mathfrak{h}_{q \rightarrow q\bar{q}}^{(2)}$, simplify following similar procedure and we get ,

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{h,h_1,h_2} \left(\mathfrak{h}_{q \rightarrow q\bar{q}}^{(1)} \right)^\dagger \mathfrak{h}_{q \rightarrow q\bar{q}}^{(2)} &= \sum_{h,h_1,h_2} \left(\mathfrak{h}_{q \rightarrow q\bar{q}}^{(2)} \right)^\dagger \mathfrak{h}_{q \rightarrow q\bar{q}}^{(1)} \\
&= (2q^+)^3 \frac{\mathbf{P}^2}{2} \left(\frac{1}{N_c} z \frac{1+z^2}{1-z} - 2z^3 - \frac{2z^3}{N_c^2} + z(1-z) \left(z^2 + \frac{1}{N_c} (1-z)^2 \right) \right) \\
&\times \left[\bar{\Psi}_{\alpha_1}(z^+, \mathbf{b}) \gamma^- \Psi_{\alpha'_1}(z'^+, \mathbf{b}') \right] \\
&= \left(\mathfrak{h}'_{q \rightarrow q\bar{q}}{}^{(1)} \right)^\dagger \mathfrak{h}'_{q \rightarrow q\bar{q}}{}^{(2)} \left[\bar{\Psi}_{\alpha_1}(z^+, \mathbf{b}) \gamma^- \Psi_{\alpha'_1}(z'^+, \mathbf{b}') \right] \\
&= \left(\mathfrak{h}'_{q \rightarrow q\bar{q}}{}^{(2)} \right)^\dagger \mathfrak{h}'_{q \rightarrow q\bar{q}}{}^{(1)} \left[\bar{\Psi}_{\alpha_1}(z^+, \mathbf{b}) \gamma^- \Psi_{\alpha'_1}(z'^+, \mathbf{b}') \right], \tag{192}
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{h,h_1,h_2} \left| \mathfrak{h}_{q \rightarrow q\bar{q}}^{(2)} \right|^2 &= -(2q^+)^3 \frac{\mathbf{P}^2}{2} \left(\frac{1}{N_c^2} z \frac{1+z^2}{1-z} - \frac{2z^3}{N_c} + z(1-z) (z^2 + (1-z)^2) \right) \\
&\times \left[\bar{\Psi}_{\alpha_1}(z^+, \mathbf{b}) \gamma^- \Psi_{\alpha'_1}(z'^+, \mathbf{b}') \right] \\
&= \left| \mathfrak{h}'_{q \rightarrow q\bar{q}}{}^{(2)} \right|^2 \left[\bar{\Psi}_{\alpha_1}(z^+, \mathbf{b}) \gamma^- \Psi_{\alpha'_1}(z'^+, \mathbf{b}') \right]. \tag{193}
\end{aligned}$$

Following a similar procedure we also compute the hard factors for $q \rightarrow qq$ channel as

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{h,h_1,h_2} \left| \mathfrak{h}_{q \rightarrow qq}^{(1)} \right|^2 &= -(2q^+)^3 \mathbf{P}^2 \left(z \frac{1+z^2}{1-z} + \frac{2}{N_c} + \frac{1}{N_c^2} (1-z) \frac{1+(1-z)^2}{z} \right) \\
&\times \left[\bar{\Psi}_{\alpha'_1}(z'^+, \mathbf{b}') \gamma^- \Psi_{\alpha_1}(z^+, \mathbf{b}) \right] \\
&= \left| \mathfrak{h}'_{q \rightarrow qq}{}^{(1)} \right|^2 \left[\bar{\Psi}_{\alpha'_1}(z'^+, \mathbf{b}') \gamma^- \Psi_{\alpha_1}(z^+, \mathbf{b}) \right], \tag{194}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{h,h_1,h_2} \left| \mathfrak{h}_{q \rightarrow qq}^{(2)} \right|^2 &= -(2q^+)^3 \mathbf{P}^2 \left(\frac{1}{N_c^2} z \frac{1+z^2}{1-z} + \frac{2}{N_c} + (1-z) \frac{1+(1-z)^2}{z} \right) \\
&\times \left[\bar{\Psi}_{\alpha'_1}(z'^+, \mathbf{b}') \gamma^- \Psi_{\alpha_1}(z^+, \mathbf{b}) \right] \\
&= \left| \mathfrak{h}'_{q \rightarrow qq}{}^{(2)} \right|^2 \left[\bar{\Psi}_{\alpha'_1}(z'^+, \mathbf{b}') \gamma^- \Psi_{\alpha_1}(z^+, \mathbf{b}) \right], \tag{195}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{h,h_1,h_2} \left(\mathfrak{h}_{q \rightarrow qq}^{(1)} \right)^\dagger \mathfrak{h}_{q \rightarrow qq}^{(2)} &= \sum_{h,h_1,h_2} \left(\mathfrak{h}_{q \rightarrow qq}^{(2)} \right)^\dagger \mathfrak{h}_{q \rightarrow qq}^{(1)} \\
&= (2q^+)^3 \mathbf{P}^2 \left(\frac{1}{N_c} z \frac{1+z^2}{1-z} + 2 + \frac{2}{N_c^2} + \frac{1}{N_c} (1-z) \frac{1+(1-z)^2}{z} \right) \\
&\times \left[\bar{\Psi}_{\alpha'_1}(z'^+, \mathbf{b}') \gamma^- \Psi_{\alpha_1}(z^+, \mathbf{b}) \right] \\
&= \left(\mathfrak{h}'_{q \rightarrow qq}{}^{(1)} \right)^\dagger \mathfrak{h}'_{q \rightarrow qq}{}^{(2)} \left[\bar{\Psi}_{\alpha'_1}(z'^+, \mathbf{b}') \gamma^- \Psi_{\alpha_1}(z^+, \mathbf{b}) \right] \\
&= \left(\mathfrak{h}'_{q \rightarrow qq}{}^{(2)} \right)^\dagger \mathfrak{h}'_{q \rightarrow qq}{}^{(1)} \left[\bar{\Psi}_{\alpha'_1}(z'^+, \mathbf{b}') \gamma^- \Psi_{\alpha_1}(z^+, \mathbf{b}) \right]. \tag{196}
\end{aligned}$$

A.4 Fundamental Wilson line only color structures

In the results presented in this paper, we have shown the color structure encountered in dijet cross section coming from exotic channels. We have kept them as simple as possible which, in particular, implied keeping adjoint Wilson lines. It is possible to write those color structure, to be more precise, to rewrite \mathcal{C}^{-g} , \mathcal{C}^{+g} and $\mathcal{C}^{+\square g}$ in terms of colors structures involving only fundamental Wilson lines. This can be done in several ways, using different relations as shown in App. A.2. For coherence between calculation, we will use here mainly Eq. (176) which directly writes adjoint Wilson lines in term of fundamental ones. Also, one may notice that, in the color structure presented, these adjoint Wilson lines come by pair. Hence, let's

start by an intermediate calculation :

$$\begin{aligned}
& \mathcal{U}_A(x^+, y^+; \mathbf{b})_{ba} \mathcal{U}_A(x'^+, y'^+; \mathbf{b}')_{ba} \\
&= 4 \operatorname{Tr} \left[\mathcal{U}_F^\dagger(x^+, y^+; \mathbf{b}) t^b \mathcal{U}_F(x^+, y^+; \mathbf{b}) t^a \right] \operatorname{Tr} \left[\mathcal{U}_F^\dagger(x'^+, y'^+; \mathbf{b}') t^b \mathcal{U}_F(x'^+, y'^+; \mathbf{b}') t^a \right] \\
&= 2 \operatorname{Tr} \left[\mathcal{U}_F^\dagger(x^+, y^+; \mathbf{b}) \mathcal{U}_F(x'^+, y'^+; \mathbf{b}) t^a \mathcal{U}_F^\dagger(x'^+, y'^+; \mathbf{b}') \mathcal{U}_F(x^+, y^+; \mathbf{b}) t^a \right] \\
&\quad - \frac{2}{N_c} \operatorname{Tr} [t^a] \operatorname{Tr} [t^a] \\
&= \operatorname{Tr} \left[\mathcal{U}_F^\dagger(x^+, y^+; \mathbf{b}) \mathcal{U}_F(x'^+, y'^+; \mathbf{b}) \right] \operatorname{Tr} \left[\mathcal{U}_F^\dagger(x'^+, y'^+; \mathbf{b}') \mathcal{U}_F(x^+, y^+; \mathbf{b}) \right] \\
&\quad - \frac{1}{N_c} \operatorname{Tr} [\mathbf{1}_F] \\
&= \operatorname{Tr} \left[\mathcal{U}_F^\dagger(x^+, y^+; \mathbf{b}) \mathcal{U}_F(x'^+, y'^+; \mathbf{b}) \right] \operatorname{Tr} \left[\mathcal{U}_F^\dagger(x'^+, y'^+; \mathbf{b}') \mathcal{U}_F(x^+, y^+; \mathbf{b}) \right] - 1, \quad (197)
\end{aligned}$$

where, in the first step, we applied the Fierz identity (see Eq. (168)) on t^b then on t^a in the second step. We can directly apply this result to $\mathcal{C}^{+\square_g}$ with $x^+ = x'^+ = \infty$ and $y^+ = y'^+ = -\infty$ and conclude :

$$\mathcal{C}^{+\square_g} = \mathcal{C}^{+\square^2} - \mathcal{C}^+, \quad (198)$$

which makes appear two color structures not present in other channels :

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{C}^{+\square^2} &\equiv \left\langle \bar{\Psi}(z'^+; \mathbf{b}') \gamma^- \mathcal{U}_F^\dagger(\infty, z'^+; \mathbf{b}') \mathcal{U}_F(\infty, z^+; \mathbf{b}) \Psi(z^+, \mathbf{b}) \right\rangle \\
&\quad \times \operatorname{Tr} \left\langle \mathcal{U}_F(\infty, -\infty; \mathbf{b}') \mathcal{U}_F^\dagger(\infty, -\infty; \mathbf{b}) \right\rangle \\
&\quad \times \operatorname{Tr} \left\langle \mathcal{U}_F^\dagger(\infty, -\infty; \mathbf{b}') \mathcal{U}_F(\infty, -\infty; \mathbf{b}) \right\rangle, \quad (199)
\end{aligned}$$

$$\mathcal{C}^+ \equiv \left\langle \bar{\Psi}(z'^+; \mathbf{b}') \gamma^- \mathcal{U}_F^\dagger(\infty, z'^+; \mathbf{b}') \mathcal{U}_F(\infty, z^+; \mathbf{b}) \Psi(z^+, \mathbf{b}) \right\rangle. \quad (200)$$

The relation in Eq. (198) can be visualized in Fig. 11.




Figure 11: Decomposition of $\mathcal{C}^{+\square_g}$ in terms of color structures involving fundamental Wilson lines only.

We can generalize Eq. (197) for two adjoint Wilson lines with only one color index in

common, for which we can apply the Fierz identity :

$$\begin{aligned}
& \mathcal{U}_A(x^+, y^+; \mathbf{b})_{ba} \mathcal{U}_A(x'^+, y'^+; \mathbf{b}')_{ba'} \\
&= 4 \operatorname{Tr} \left[\mathcal{U}_F^\dagger(x^+, y^+; \mathbf{b}) t^b \mathcal{U}_F(x^+, y^+; \mathbf{b}) t^a \right] \operatorname{Tr} \left[\mathcal{U}_F^\dagger(x'^+, y'^+; \mathbf{b}') t^b \mathcal{U}_F(x'^+, y'^+; \mathbf{b}') t^{a'} \right] \\
&= 2 \operatorname{Tr} \left[\mathcal{U}_F^\dagger(x^+, y^+; \mathbf{b}) \mathcal{U}_F(x'^+, y'^+; \mathbf{b}') t^{a'} \mathcal{U}_F^\dagger(x'^+, y'^+; \mathbf{b}') \mathcal{U}_F(x^+, y^+; \mathbf{b}) t^a \right] \\
&\quad - \frac{2}{N_c} \operatorname{Tr} [t^a] \operatorname{Tr} [t^{a'}].
\end{aligned} \tag{201}$$

This is the first step to rewrite both \mathcal{C}^{-g} and \mathcal{C}^{+g} . Let's begin with \mathcal{C}^{-g} :

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{C}^{-g} &= \left\langle \bar{\Psi}(z'^+; \mathbf{b}') \gamma^- t^{c'} \mathcal{U}_F(z'^+, -\infty; \mathbf{b}') \mathcal{U}_F^\dagger(z^+, -\infty; \mathbf{b}) t^c \Psi(z^+, \mathbf{b}) \right. \\
&\quad \left. \times \mathcal{U}_A(\infty, z'^+; \mathbf{b}')_{bc} \mathcal{U}_A(\infty, z^+; \mathbf{b})_{bc} \right\rangle \\
&= 2 \left\langle \bar{\Psi}(z'^+; \mathbf{b}') \gamma^- t^{c'} \mathcal{U}_F(z'^+, -\infty; \mathbf{b}') \mathcal{U}_F^\dagger(z^+, -\infty; \mathbf{b}) t^c \Psi(z^+, \mathbf{b}) \right\rangle \\
&\quad \times \operatorname{Tr} \left\langle \mathcal{U}_F^\dagger(\infty, z'^+; \mathbf{b}') \mathcal{U}_F(\infty, z^+; \mathbf{b}) t^c \mathcal{U}_F^\dagger(\infty, z^+; \mathbf{b}) \mathcal{U}_F(\infty, z'^+; \mathbf{b}') t^{c'} \right\rangle \\
&= \left\langle \bar{\Psi}(z'^+; \mathbf{b}') \gamma^- t^{c'} \mathcal{U}_F(z'^+, -\infty; \mathbf{b}') \mathcal{U}_F^\dagger(\infty, -\infty; \mathbf{b}) \right. \\
&\quad \left. \times \mathcal{U}_F(\infty, z'^+; \mathbf{b}') t^{c'} \mathcal{U}_F^\dagger(\infty, z'^+; \mathbf{b}') \mathcal{U}_F(\infty, z^+; \mathbf{b}) \Psi(z^+, \mathbf{b}) \right\rangle \\
&\quad - \frac{1}{N_c} \left\langle \bar{\Psi}(z'^+; \mathbf{b}') \gamma^- \mathcal{U}_F(z'^+, -\infty; \mathbf{b}') \mathcal{U}_F^\dagger(z^+, -\infty; \mathbf{b}) \Psi(z^+, \mathbf{b}) \right\rangle \operatorname{Tr} [t^{c'}] \\
&= \frac{1}{2} \left\langle \bar{\Psi}(z'^+; \mathbf{b}') \mathcal{U}_F^\dagger(\infty, z'^+; \mathbf{b}') \mathcal{U}_F(\infty, z^+; \mathbf{b}) \Psi(z^+, \mathbf{b}) \right\rangle \\
&\quad \times \operatorname{Tr} \left\langle \mathcal{U}_F(\infty, -\infty; \mathbf{b}') \mathcal{U}_F^\dagger(\infty, -\infty; \mathbf{b}) \right\rangle \\
&\quad - \frac{1}{2N_c} \left\langle \bar{\Psi}(z'^+; \mathbf{b}') \gamma^- \mathcal{U}_F(z'^+, -\infty; \mathbf{b}') \mathcal{U}_F^\dagger(\infty, -\infty; \mathbf{b}) \mathbf{1}_F \mathcal{U}_F(\infty, z^+; \mathbf{b}) \Psi(z^+, \mathbf{b}) \right\rangle \\
&= \frac{1}{2} \mathcal{C}^{+\square} - \frac{1}{2N_c} \mathcal{C}^-,
\end{aligned} \tag{202}$$

where, after applying the result of Eq. (201), we have applied 2 Fierz identity, first on t^c then on $t^{c'}$. The obtained relation is diagrammatically pictured in Fig. 12.




Figure 12: Decomposition of \mathcal{C}^{-g} in terms of color structures involving fundamental Wilson lines only.

Similarly for \mathcal{C}^{+g} , we have :

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{C}^{+g} &= \left\langle \bar{\Psi}(z'^+; \mathbf{b}') \gamma^- t^c \mathcal{U}_F^\dagger(\infty, z'^+; \mathbf{b}') \mathcal{U}_F(\infty, z^+; \mathbf{b}) t^c \Psi(z^+, \mathbf{b}) \right\rangle \\
&\quad \times \left\langle \mathcal{U}_A(z'^+, -\infty; \mathbf{b}')_{c'a} \mathcal{U}_A(z^+, -\infty; \mathbf{b})_{ca} \right\rangle \\
&= 2 \left\langle \bar{\Psi}(z'^+; \mathbf{b}') \gamma^- t^c \mathcal{U}_F^\dagger(\infty, z'^+; \mathbf{b}') \mathcal{U}_F(\infty, z^+; \mathbf{b}) t^c \Psi(z^+, \mathbf{b}) \right\rangle \\
&\quad \times \text{Tr} \left\langle \mathcal{U}_F^\dagger(z'^+, -\infty; \mathbf{b}') t^c \mathcal{U}_F(z'^+, -\infty; \mathbf{b}') \mathcal{U}_F^\dagger(z^+, -\infty; \mathbf{b}) t^c \mathcal{U}_F(z^+, -\infty; \mathbf{b}) \right\rangle \\
&= \frac{1}{2} \left\langle \bar{\Psi}(z'^+; \mathbf{b}') \mathcal{U}_F(z'^+, -\infty; \mathbf{b}') \mathcal{U}_F^\dagger(z^+, -\infty; \mathbf{b}) \Psi(z^+, \mathbf{b}) \right\rangle \\
&\quad \times \text{Tr} \left\langle \mathcal{U}_F^\dagger(\infty, -\infty; \mathbf{b}') \mathcal{U}_F(\infty, -\infty; \mathbf{b}) \right\rangle \\
&\quad - \frac{1}{2N_c} \left\langle \bar{\Psi}(z'^+; \mathbf{b}') \gamma^- \mathcal{U}_F^\dagger(\infty, z'^+; \mathbf{b}') \mathcal{U}_F(\infty, -\infty; \mathbf{b}) \mathbf{1}_F \mathcal{U}_F^\dagger(z^+, -\infty; \mathbf{b}) \Psi(z^+, \mathbf{b}) \right\rangle \\
&= \frac{1}{2} \mathcal{C}^{-\square} - \frac{1}{2N_c} \mathcal{C}^+, \tag{203}
\end{aligned}$$

with another color structures that wasn't appearing in previous results :

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{C}^{-\square} &\equiv \left\langle \bar{\Psi}(z'^+; \mathbf{b}') \gamma^- \mathcal{U}_F(z'^+, -\infty; \mathbf{b}') \mathcal{U}_F^\dagger(z^+, -\infty; \mathbf{b}) \Psi(z^+, \mathbf{b}) \right\rangle \\
&\quad \times \text{Tr} \left\langle \mathcal{U}_F^\dagger(\infty, -\infty; \mathbf{b}') \mathcal{U}_F(\infty, -\infty; \mathbf{b}) \right\rangle, \tag{204}
\end{aligned}$$

This result is diagrammatically shown in Fig. 13.




Figure 13: Decomposition of \mathcal{C}^{+g} in terms of color structures involving fundamental Wilson lines only.

As for the other color structure, one can define TMD corresponding to the color structure introduced in this section ($-\square$ and $+\square^2$).

B Eikonal parton propagators

This appendix is devoted to recalling various parton propagators at eikonal accuracy that are needed to perform the computations in this paper. In recent work [73], the explicit expressions are provided. For the gluon propagator we have three types. The before-to-after

gluon propagator:

$$G_F^{\mu\nu}(x, y)|_{\text{Eik.}}^{\text{BA}} = \int \frac{d^3 k_1}{(2\pi)^3} \theta(k_1^+) e^{-ix \cdot \check{k}_1} \int \frac{d^3 k_2}{(2\pi)^3} \frac{\theta(k_2^+)}{k_1^+ + k_2^+} e^{iy \cdot \check{k}_2} \quad (205)$$

$$\times \left[-g^{\mu\nu} + \frac{\check{k}_2^\mu \eta^\nu}{k_2^+} + \frac{\eta^\mu \check{k}_1^\nu}{k_1^+} - \frac{\eta^\mu \eta^\nu}{k_1^+ k_2^+} \check{k}_1 \cdot \check{k}_2 \right] \int_{\mathbf{z}} e^{-iz \cdot (\mathbf{k}_1 - \mathbf{k}_2)} \int_{z^-} e^{iz^-(k_1^+ - k_2^+)} \mathcal{U}_A(x^+, y^+, \mathbf{z}).$$

The before-to-inside gluon propagator (starting from before the medium and ending inside the medium)

$$G_F^{\mu\nu}(x, y)|_{\text{Eik.}}^{\text{BI}} = \int \frac{d^3 \mathbf{k}}{(2\pi)^3} \frac{\theta(k^+)}{2k^+} e^{iy \cdot \check{k}} e^{-ix \cdot \mathbf{k}} \quad (206)$$

$$\times \left[-g_j^\mu g^{j\nu} + g_j^\mu \eta^\nu \frac{\mathbf{k}^j}{k^+} + i \left(\frac{\eta^\mu g_j^\nu}{k^+} - \eta^\mu \eta^\nu \frac{\mathbf{k}^j}{(k^+)^2} \right) \left(\overleftarrow{\mathcal{D}}_{\mathbf{x}^j} + i\mathbf{k}^j \right) \right] \mathcal{U}_A(x^+, y^+, \mathbf{x}).$$

The inside-to-after gluon propagator (starting from inside the medium and ending after the medium)

$$G_F^{\mu\nu}(x, y)|_{\text{Eik.}}^{\text{IA}} = \int \frac{d^3 \mathbf{k}}{(2\pi)^3} \frac{\theta(k^+)}{2k^+} e^{-ix \cdot \check{k}} \mathcal{U}_A(x^+, y^+, \mathbf{y}) \quad (207)$$

$$\times \left[-g_j^\mu g^{j\nu} + \eta^\mu g_j^\nu \frac{\mathbf{k}^j}{k^+} + i \left(\frac{g_j^\mu \eta^\nu}{k^+} + \eta^\mu \eta^\nu \frac{\mathbf{k}^j}{(k^+)^2} \right) \left(\overleftarrow{\mathcal{D}}_{\mathbf{y}^j} - i\mathbf{k}^j \right) \right] e^{iy \cdot \mathbf{k}}.$$

The before-to-after quark propagator:

$$S_F(x, y)|_{\text{Eik.}}^{\text{BA},q} = \int \frac{d^3 k_1}{(2\pi)^3} \frac{\theta(k_1^+)}{2k_1^+} e^{-ix \cdot \check{k}_1} \int \frac{d^3 k_2}{(2\pi)^3} \frac{\theta(k_2^+)}{2k_2^+} e^{iy \cdot \check{k}_2} \int_{\mathbf{z}} e^{-iz \cdot (\mathbf{k}_1 - \mathbf{k}_2)} \quad (208)$$

$$\times \int_{z^-} e^{iz^-(k_1^+ - k_2^+)} (\check{k}_1 + m) \gamma^+ (\check{k}_2 + m) \mathcal{U}_F(y^+, x^+, \mathbf{z}).$$

The before-to-inside quark propagator:

$$S_F(x, y)|_{\text{Eik.}}^{\text{BI},q} = \int \frac{d^3 \mathbf{k}}{(2\pi)^3} \frac{\theta(k^+)}{2k^+} e^{-ix \cdot \mathbf{k}} e^{iy \cdot \check{k}} \left[1 - i \frac{\gamma^+ \gamma^j \overrightarrow{\mathcal{D}}_{\mathbf{x}^j}}{2k^+} \right] (\check{k} + m) \mathcal{U}_F(x^+, y^+, \mathbf{x}). \quad (209)$$

The inside-to-after quark propagator

$$S_F(x, y)|_{\text{Eik.}}^{\text{IA},q} = \int \frac{d^3 \mathbf{k}}{(2\pi)^3} \frac{\theta(k^+)}{2k^+} \mathcal{U}_F(x^+, y^+, \mathbf{y}) (\check{k} + m) \left[1 - i \frac{\gamma^+ \gamma^j \overleftarrow{\mathcal{D}}_{\mathbf{y}^j}}{2k^+} \right] e^{-ix \cdot \check{k}} e^{iy \cdot \mathbf{k}}. \quad (210)$$

And finally the antiquarks propagators read, starting with before-to-after antiquark propagator

$$S_F(x, y)|_{\text{Eik.}}^{\text{BA},\bar{q}} = (-1) \int \frac{d^3 k_1}{(2\pi)^3} \frac{\theta(-k_1^+)}{2k_1^+} e^{-ix \cdot \check{k}_1} \int \frac{d^3 k_2}{(2\pi)^3} \frac{\theta(-k_2^+)}{2k_2^+} e^{iy \cdot \check{k}_2} \int_{\mathbf{z}} e^{-iz \cdot (\mathbf{k}_1 - \mathbf{k}_2)} \quad (211)$$

$$\times \int_{z^-} e^{iz^-(k_1^+ - k_2^+)} (\check{k}_1 + m) \gamma^+ (\check{k}_2 + m) \mathcal{U}_F^\dagger(x^+, y^+, \mathbf{z}).$$

The before-to-inside quark propagator

$$S_F(x, y)|_{\text{Eik.}}^{\text{BI}, \bar{q}} = \int \frac{d^3 \underline{k}}{(2\pi)^3} (-1) \frac{\theta(-k^+)}{2k^+} e^{-ix \cdot \check{k}} \mathcal{U}_F^\dagger(y^+, x^+, \mathbf{y}) \times (\check{k} + m) \left[1 - i \frac{\gamma^+ \gamma^j \overleftarrow{\mathcal{D}}_{\mathbf{y}^j}^F}{2k^+} \right] e^{iy \cdot \underline{k}}. \quad (212)$$

The inside-to-after antiquark propagator

$$S_F(x, y)|_{\text{Eik.}}^{\text{IA}, \bar{q}} = \int \frac{d^3 \underline{k}}{(2\pi)^3} (-1) \frac{\theta(-k^+)}{2k^+} e^{iy \cdot \check{k}} e^{-ix \cdot \underline{k}} \times \left[1 - i \frac{\gamma^+ \gamma^j \overrightarrow{\mathcal{D}}_{\mathbf{x}^j}^F}{2k^+} \right] (\check{k} + m) \mathcal{U}_F^\dagger(y^+, x^+, \mathbf{x}), \quad (213)$$

with the covariant derivatives defined as

$$\overrightarrow{\mathcal{D}}_{z^\mu}^{\text{R}} \equiv \overrightarrow{\partial} + ig T_{\text{R}} \cdot \mathcal{A}_\mu(z) \quad (214)$$

$$\overleftarrow{\mathcal{D}}_{z^\mu}^{\text{R}} \equiv \overleftarrow{\partial} - ig T_{\text{R}} \cdot \mathcal{A}_\mu(z), \quad (215)$$

where R denotes the $\mathfrak{su}(N)$ generators representation (as in Eq. (174)).

C Antiquark channels

C.1 $g \rightarrow g\bar{q}$ channel

We continue our analysis with the $g \rightarrow g\bar{q}$ channel. This channel is very similar to the $g \rightarrow gq$ channel except the quark jet in the final state is replaced by an antiquark jet. Since the computation of the scattering amplitude (both in general kinematics and in the back-to-back limit) and the cross section follow the same steps as in the computation presented in Sec. 2, here we only present the results and omit the details in order to avoid repetition.

Similar to the $g \rightarrow gq$ channel, there are three mechanisms contributing to the production of antiquark-gluon dijet in gluon initiated channel at NEik accuracy. The first mechanism corresponds to the case where the incoming gluon splits into two gluons before the medium. The gluon pair enters the medium, one interacts eikonally while the second one interacts via a t -channel quark exchange and converts into an antiquark (see Fig. 14a). In the second mechanism, the incoming gluon scatters on the target via a t -channel quark exchange converting into an antiquark and this antiquark splits into an antiquark-gluon pair in the final state (see Fig. 14b). The third mechanism corresponds to the case where the incoming gluon splits into a quark-antiquark pair before entering the medium. Then, the antiquark scatters on the target eikonally while the quark scatters via a t -channel quark exchange and converts into a gluon, and thus one obtains an antiquark-gluon pair in the final state (see Fig. 14c). In the rest of the section we present the scattering amplitude (both in general kinematics and in the back-to-back limit) for each of these three mechanisms and we also present the total production cross section in this channel in the back-to-back limit.




Figure 14: Diagrams contributing to channel $g \rightarrow gq$.

The total scattering amplitude for the antiquark-gluon dijet in gluon initiated channel writes as a sum of the scattering amplitudes of the aforementioned three mechanisms

$$\mathcal{M}_{g \rightarrow g\bar{q}, \text{tot.}} = \mathcal{M}_{g \rightarrow g\bar{q}, 1} + \mathcal{M}_{g \rightarrow g\bar{q}, 2} + \mathcal{M}_{g \rightarrow g\bar{q}, 3}. \quad (216)$$

In order to compute the amplitude for the first mechanism (see Fig. 14a) we start with the S -matrix element which can be obtained via the following LSZ-type reduction formula

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{S}_{g \rightarrow g\bar{q}, 1} &= \lim_{y^+ \rightarrow -\infty} \lim_{x_1^+, x_2^+ \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\mathbf{y}, \mathbf{x}_1, \mathbf{x}_2} \int_{y^-, x_1^-, x_2^-} \int_{\mathbf{w}, \mathbf{z}} \int_{w^-, z^-} \int_{-\frac{L^+}{2}}^{\frac{L^+}{2}} dz^+ \int_{-\infty}^{-\frac{L^+}{2}} dw^+ \\ &\times e^{ix_1 \cdot \check{p}_1} e^{ix_2 \cdot \check{p}_2} e^{-iy \cdot \check{q}} (-2q^+) \epsilon_\lambda^\mu(q) (-2p_1^+) \epsilon_{\lambda_1}^{\nu'}(p_1) \\ &\times \left[G_{0, \text{F}}^{\mu' \mu}(w, y) \right]_{a' a} \left[G_{\text{F}}^{\nu \nu'}(x_1, w) \Big|_{\text{Eik.}}^{\text{BA}} \right]_{a_1 b_1} \left[G_{\text{F}}^{\rho \rho'}(z, w) \Big|_{\text{Eik.}}^{\text{BI}} \right]_{b_2 b} V_{\mu' \nu' \rho'}^{a' b_1 b} \\ &\times \bar{\Psi}_\beta^-(z) \gamma_\rho \left[(-ig) t^{b_2} S_{\text{F}}(z, x_2) \Big|_{\text{Eik.}}^{\text{IA}, \check{q}} \right]_{\beta \alpha_2} \gamma^+ u(\check{p}_2, h), \end{aligned} \quad (217)$$

where the vacuum gluon propagator is given in Eq. (14), before-to-after and before-to-inside gluon propagators are given in Eqs. (205) and (207) respectively, the inside-to-after antiquark propagator is given in Eq. (210) and the triple gluon vertex is defined in Eq. (16). By using these explicit expressions and following the same steps as in the computation of S -matrix

element for the first mechanism in the $g \rightarrow gq$ channel one obtains

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{S}_{g \rightarrow g\bar{q},1} &= \frac{-ig^2}{2p_2^+} f^{ab_1b} (2\pi)\delta(q^+ - p_1^+ - p_2^+) \int_{\mathbf{z}, \mathbf{z}_1} \int_{-\frac{L^+}{2}}^{\frac{L^+}{2}} dz^+ \int_{-\infty}^{-\frac{L^+}{2}} dw^+ \int \frac{d^2\mathbf{k}_1}{(2\pi)^2} \\
&\times e^{-iw^+ \left(\frac{\mathbf{q}^2}{2q^+} - \frac{\mathbf{k}_1^2}{2p_1^+} - \frac{(\mathbf{k}_1 - \mathbf{q})^2 + m^2}{2p_2^+} \right)} e^{-i\mathbf{z} \cdot (\mathbf{p}_2 + \mathbf{k}_1 - \mathbf{q})} e^{-i\mathbf{z}_1 \cdot (\mathbf{p}_1 - \mathbf{k}_1)} \\
&\times \bar{\Psi}(\underline{z}) \gamma^- \gamma^+ \gamma^l t^{b_2} \mathcal{U}_F^\dagger(\infty, z^+; \mathbf{z}) \mathcal{U}_A(z^+, w^+; \mathbf{z}_1)_{b_2b} \mathcal{U}_A(\infty, w^+; \mathbf{z})_{a_1b_1} u(\check{p}_2, h) \\
&\times \varepsilon_\lambda^i \varepsilon_{\lambda_1}^{j*} \left[g^{ij} \left(\frac{p_1^+}{p_2^+} \mathbf{q}^l - \frac{q^+}{p_2^+} \mathbf{k}_1^l \right) + g^{il} \left(\mathbf{q}^j - \frac{q^+}{p_1^+} \mathbf{k}_1^j \right) - g^{jl} \left(\frac{p_1^+}{q^+} \mathbf{q}^i - \mathbf{k}_1^i \right) \right]. \quad (218)
\end{aligned}$$

The relation between the S -matrix and the scattering amplitude given in Eq. (21) holds for any channel. Thus, using this relation we can write the scattering amplitude for the first mechanism in the general kinematics as

$$\begin{aligned}
i\mathcal{M}_{g \rightarrow g\bar{q},1} &= \frac{-ig^2}{(2p_2^+)(2q^+)} f^{ab_1b} \int_{\mathbf{z}, \mathbf{z}_1} \int_{-\frac{L^+}{2}}^{\frac{L^+}{2}} dz^+ \int_{-\infty}^{-\frac{L^+}{2}} dw^+ \int \frac{d^2\mathbf{k}_1}{(2\pi)^2} \\
&\times e^{-iw^+ \left(\frac{\mathbf{q}^2}{2q^+} - \frac{\mathbf{k}_1^2}{2p_1^+} - \frac{(\mathbf{k}_1 - \mathbf{q})^2 + m^2}{2p_2^+} \right)} e^{-i\mathbf{z} \cdot (\mathbf{p}_2 + \mathbf{k}_1 - \mathbf{q})} e^{-i\mathbf{z}_1 \cdot (\mathbf{p}_1 - \mathbf{k}_1)} \\
&\times \bar{\Psi}(\underline{z}) \gamma^- \gamma^+ \gamma^l t^{b_2} \mathcal{U}_F^\dagger(\infty, z^+; \mathbf{z}) \mathcal{U}_A(z^+, w^+; \mathbf{z}_1)_{b_2b} \mathcal{U}_A(\infty, w^+; \mathbf{z})_{a_1b_1} u(\check{p}_2, h) \\
&\times \varepsilon_\lambda^i \varepsilon_{\lambda_1}^{j*} \left[g^{ij} \left(\frac{p_1^+}{p_2^+} \mathbf{q}^l - \frac{q^+}{p_2^+} \mathbf{k}_1^l \right) + g^{il} \left(\mathbf{q}^j - \frac{q^+}{p_1^+} \mathbf{k}_1^j \right) - g^{jl} \left(\frac{p_1^+}{q^+} \mathbf{q}^i - \mathbf{k}_1^i \right) \right]. \quad (219)
\end{aligned}$$

The S -matrix element for the second mechanism (see Fig. 14b) can be obtained as

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{S}_{g \rightarrow g\bar{q},2} &= \lim_{y^+ \rightarrow -\infty} \lim_{x_1^+, x_2^+ \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\mathbf{y}, \mathbf{x}_1, \mathbf{x}_2} \int_{y^-, x_1^-, x_2^-} \int_{\mathbf{w}, \mathbf{z}} \int_{w^-, z^-} \int_{-\frac{L^+}{2}}^{\frac{L^+}{2}} dz^+ \int_{\frac{L^+}{2}}^{\infty} dw^+ \\
&\times e^{ix_1 \cdot \check{p}_1} e^{ix_2 \cdot \check{p}_2} e^{-iy \cdot \check{q}} (-2q^+) \epsilon_\mu^\lambda(q) (-2p_1^+) \epsilon_\nu^{\lambda_1}(p_1)^* \left[G_F^{\mu\mu}(z, y) \Big|_{\text{Eik.}}^{\text{BI}} \right]_{ba} \\
&\times \left[G_{0,F}^{\nu\nu'}(x_1, w) \right]_{a_1b_1} \bar{\Psi}_\beta^-(z) \gamma_{\mu'} \left[(-ig)t^b S_F(z, w) \Big|_{\text{Eik.}}^{\text{IA}, \check{q}} \gamma_{\nu'} (-ig)t^{b_1} S_{0,F}(w, x_2) \right]_{\beta\alpha_2} \gamma^+ u(\check{p}_2, h), \quad (220)
\end{aligned}$$

where the before-to-inside gluon propagator is given in Eq. (206), the inside-to-after antiquark propagator is given in Eq. (213), vacuum gluon and vacuum quark propagators are given in Eqs. (14) and (24) respectively. Substituting these expressions in Eq. (220) and following the same steps, one obtains

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{S}_{g \rightarrow g\bar{q},2} &= -\frac{g^2}{(2q^+)(2p_2^+)} (2\pi)\delta(q^+ - p_1^+ - p_2^+) \int_{\mathbf{z}} \int_{-\frac{L^+}{2}}^{\frac{L^+}{2}} dz^+ \int_{\frac{L^+}{2}}^{\infty} dw^+ \int \frac{d^2\mathbf{k}_0}{(2\pi)^2} \\
&\times e^{-iw^+ (\check{k}_0^- - \check{p}_1^- - \check{p}_2^-)} e^{-i\mathbf{z} \cdot (\mathbf{k}_0 - \mathbf{q})} (2\pi)^2 \delta^{(2)}(\mathbf{k}_0 - \mathbf{p}_1 - \mathbf{p}_2) \\
&\times \varepsilon_\lambda^i \varepsilon_{\lambda_1}^{j*} \left[p_2^+ (\mathbf{p}_1^l + \mathbf{p}_2^l) \gamma^j \gamma^l + q^+ \mathbf{p}_2^l \gamma^l \gamma^j + mp_1^+ + 2 \frac{q^+ p_2^+}{p_1^+} \mathbf{p}_1^j \right] \\
&\times \bar{\Psi}(\underline{z}) \frac{\gamma^- \gamma^+}{2} \gamma^i t^b \mathcal{U}_F^\dagger(w^+, z^+; \mathbf{z}) t^{b_1} \mathcal{U}_A(z^+, -\infty; \mathbf{z})_{ba} u(\check{p}_2, h). \quad (221)
\end{aligned}$$

Using Eqs. (21) and (219), we obtain the scattering amplitude for the second mechanism in general kinematics as

$$\begin{aligned}
i\mathcal{M}_{g \rightarrow g\bar{q}, 2} &= -\frac{g^2}{(2q^+)^2(2p_2^+)} \int_{\mathbf{z}} \int_{-\frac{L^+}{2}}^{\frac{L^+}{2}} dz^+ \int_{\frac{L^+}{2}}^{\infty} dw^+ \int \frac{d^2\mathbf{k}_0}{(2\pi)^2} \\
&\times e^{-iw^+(\tilde{k}_0^- - \tilde{p}_1^- - \tilde{p}_2^-)} e^{-i\mathbf{z} \cdot (\mathbf{k}_0 - \mathbf{q})} (2\pi)^2 \delta^{(2)}(\mathbf{k}_0 - \mathbf{p}_1 - \mathbf{p}_2) \\
&\times \varepsilon_{\lambda}^i \varepsilon_{\lambda_1}^{j*} \left[p_2^+ (\mathbf{p}_1^l + \mathbf{p}_2^l) \gamma^j \gamma^l + q^+ \mathbf{p}_2^l \gamma^l \gamma^j + mp_1^+ + 2 \frac{q^+ p_2^+}{p_1^+} \mathbf{p}_1^j \right] \\
&\times \bar{\Psi}(\underline{z}) \frac{\gamma^- \gamma^+}{2} \gamma^i t^b \mathcal{U}_F^\dagger(w^+, z^+; \mathbf{z}) t^{b_1} \mathcal{U}_A(z^+, -\infty; \mathbf{z})_{ba} u(\tilde{p}_2, h). \quad (222)
\end{aligned}$$

The S -matrix element for the third mechanism (see Fig. 14c) is expressed as

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{S}_{g \rightarrow g\bar{q}, 3} &= \lim_{y^+ \rightarrow -\infty} \lim_{x_1^+, x_2^+ \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\mathbf{y}, \mathbf{x}_1, \mathbf{x}_2} \int_{y^-, x_1^-, x_2^-} \int_{\mathbf{w}, \mathbf{z}} \int_{w^-, z^-} \int_{-\frac{L^+}{2}}^{\frac{L^+}{2}} dz^+ \int_{-\infty}^{-\frac{L^+}{2}} dw^+ \\
&\times e^{ix_1 \cdot \tilde{p}_1} e^{ix_2 \cdot \tilde{p}_2} e^{-iy \cdot \tilde{q}} (-2q^+) \epsilon_{\mu}^{\lambda}(q)^* (-2p_1^+) \epsilon_{\nu}^{\lambda_1}(p_1)^* \left[G_{0,F}^{\mu'\mu}(w, y) \right]_{ba} \left[G_F^{\nu\nu'}(x_1, z) \Big|_{\text{Eik.}}^{\text{IA}} \right]_{a_1 b_1} \\
&\times \bar{\Psi}_{\beta}^-(z) \gamma_{\nu'} \left[(-ig) t^{b_1} S_F(z, w) \Big|_{\text{Eik.}}^{\text{BI}, q} \gamma_{\mu'} (-ig) t^b S_F(w, x_2) \Big|_{\text{Eik.}}^{\text{BA}, \bar{q}} \right]_{\beta \alpha_2} \gamma^+ u(\tilde{p}_2, h), \quad (223)
\end{aligned}$$

where the inside-to-after gluon propagator is given in Eq. (207), the before-to-inside quark propagator is given in Eq. (209), the before-to-after antiquark propagator is given in Eq. (211) and the vacuum gluon propagator is given in Eq. (14). Using these expressions, one can get

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{S}_{g \rightarrow g\bar{q}, 3} &= \frac{-g^2}{(2p_1^+)(2p_2^+)} (2\pi) \delta(p_1^+ + p_2^+ - q^+) \int_{-\frac{L^+}{2}}^{\frac{L^+}{2}} dz^+ \int_{-\infty}^{-\frac{L^+}{2}} dw^+ \int_{\mathbf{z}, \mathbf{z}_1} \int \frac{d^2\mathbf{k}_1}{(2\pi)^2} \\
&\times e^{-iw^+ \left[\frac{(\mathbf{k}_1 + \mathbf{q})^2 + m^2}{2p_2^+} + \frac{\mathbf{k}_1^2 + m^2}{2p_1^+} - \frac{\mathbf{q}^2}{2q^+} \right]} e^{-i\mathbf{z} \cdot (\mathbf{p}_1 + \mathbf{k}_1)} e^{-i\mathbf{z}_1 \cdot (\mathbf{p}_2 - \mathbf{k}_1 - \mathbf{q})} \\
&\times \varepsilon_{\lambda}^i \varepsilon_{\lambda_1}^{j*} \bar{\Psi}(\underline{z}) \gamma^- \gamma^+ \gamma^j \left[p_2^+ \mathbf{k}_1^l \gamma^l \gamma^i - p_1^+ (\mathbf{k}_1^l + \mathbf{q}^l) \gamma^i \gamma^l + mq^+ \gamma^i + 2 \frac{p_1^+ p_2^+}{q^+} \mathbf{q}^i \right] u(\tilde{p}_2) \\
&\times \mathcal{U}_A(\infty, z^+, \mathbf{z})_{a_1 b_1} t^{b_1} \mathcal{U}_F(z^+, w^+, \mathbf{z}) t^a \mathcal{U}_F^\dagger(\infty, w^+, \mathbf{z}_1), \quad (224)
\end{aligned}$$

from which we can obtain the scattering amplitude for the third mechanism in general kinematics as

$$\begin{aligned}
i\mathcal{M}_{g \rightarrow g\bar{q}, 3} &= \frac{-g^2}{(2p_1^+)(2p_2^+)(2q^+)} (2\pi) \delta(p_1^+ + p_2^+ - q^+) \int_{-\frac{L^+}{2}}^{\frac{L^+}{2}} dz^+ \int_{-\infty}^{-\frac{L^+}{2}} dw^+ \int_{\mathbf{z}, \mathbf{z}_1} \int \frac{d^2\mathbf{k}_1}{(2\pi)^2} \\
&\times e^{-iw^+ \left[\frac{(\mathbf{k}_1 + \mathbf{q})^2 + m^2}{2p_2^+} + \frac{\mathbf{k}_1^2 + m^2}{2p_1^+} - \frac{\mathbf{q}^2}{2q^+} \right]} e^{-i\mathbf{z} \cdot (\mathbf{p}_1 + \mathbf{k}_1)} e^{-i\mathbf{z}_1 \cdot (\mathbf{p}_2 - \mathbf{k}_1 - \mathbf{q})} \\
&\times \varepsilon_{\lambda}^i \varepsilon_{\lambda_1}^{j*} \bar{\Psi}(\underline{z}) \gamma^- \gamma^+ \gamma^j \left[p_2^+ \mathbf{k}_1^l \gamma^l \gamma^i - p_1^+ (\mathbf{k}_1^l + \mathbf{q}^l) \gamma^i \gamma^l + mq^+ \gamma^i + 2 \frac{p_1^+ p_2^+}{q^+} \mathbf{q}^i \right] u(\tilde{p}_2) \\
&\times \mathcal{U}_A(\infty, z^+, \mathbf{z})_{a_1 b_1} t^{b_1} \mathcal{U}_F(z^+, w^+, \mathbf{z}) t^a \mathcal{U}_F^\dagger(\infty, w^+, \mathbf{z}_1). \quad (225)
\end{aligned}$$

The total scattering amplitude in the general kinematics in the $g \rightarrow g\bar{q}$ channel is then given by Eq. (216) with the contributions from each mechanism given in Eqs. (219), (222) and (225).

We can now consider the back-to-back limit of the scattering amplitudes in the $g \rightarrow g\bar{q}$ channel. We follow the same strategy as in Sec. 2.2. Namely, we start with the amplitudes given in Eqs. (219), (222) and (225) written in general kinematics, and perform the change of variables given in Eqs. (1) and (3) in order to write the amplitudes in terms of relative dijet momentum \mathbf{P} , dijet momentum imbalance \mathbf{k} and their conjugate variables \mathbf{r} and \mathbf{b} . Since in the back-to-back limit one has $|\mathbf{P}| \gg |\mathbf{k}|$ or equivalently $|\mathbf{r}| \ll |\mathbf{b}|$, one can perform a small $|\mathbf{r}|$ expansion of the Wilson lines. Keeping only the zeroth order term in this expansion, one gets to the following expressions for the scattering amplitudes in the $g \rightarrow g\bar{q}$ channel in the back-to-back and massless quark limits

$$i\mathcal{M}_{g \rightarrow g\bar{q}, 1}^{\text{b2b}, m=0} = ig^2 \frac{1}{(2q^+)} \frac{1}{\mathbf{P}^2} \int_{-\frac{L^+}{2}}^{\frac{L^+}{2}} dz^+ \int_{\mathbf{p}} e^{-i\mathbf{p} \cdot (\mathbf{k} - \mathbf{q})} \bar{\Psi}(\underline{z}) \gamma^- \gamma^+ \gamma^l \mathcal{U}_{\mathbb{F}}^\dagger(\infty, z^+; \mathbf{z}) [t^{a_1}, t^c] \\ \times \mathcal{U}_{\mathbb{A}}(\infty, -\infty; \mathbf{b})_{ca} \varepsilon_\lambda^i \varepsilon_{\lambda_1}^{j*} \left[\frac{z}{1-z} g^{ij} \mathbf{P}^l + g^{il} \mathbf{P}^j - z g^{jl} \mathbf{P}^i \right] u(\check{p}_2, h), \quad (226)$$

$$i\mathcal{M}_{g \rightarrow g\bar{q}, 2}^{\text{b2b}, m=0} = ig^2 \frac{1}{(2q^+)} \frac{1}{\mathbf{P}^2} \int_{-\frac{L^+}{2}}^{\frac{L^+}{2}} dz^+ \int_{\mathbf{b}} e^{-i\mathbf{b} \cdot (\mathbf{k} - \mathbf{q})} \bar{u}(\check{p}_2, h) \varepsilon_\lambda^i \varepsilon_{\lambda_1}^{j*} \left[z \gamma^j \gamma^l \mathbf{P}^l - 2(1-z) \mathbf{P}^j \right] \\ \times \bar{\Psi}(\underline{z}) \frac{\gamma^- \gamma^+}{2} t^b \mathcal{U}_{\mathbb{F}}^\dagger(\infty, z^+; \mathbf{z}) t^{b_1} \mathcal{U}_{\mathbb{A}}(z^+, -\infty; \mathbf{z})_{ba} u(\check{p}_2, h), \quad (227)$$

and

$$i\mathcal{M}_{g \rightarrow g\bar{q}, 3}^{\text{b2b}, m=0} = ig^2 \frac{1}{(2q^+)} \frac{1}{\mathbf{P}^2} \int_{-\frac{L^+}{2}}^{\frac{L^+}{2}} dz^+ \int_{\mathbf{b}} e^{-i\mathbf{b} \cdot (\mathbf{k} - \mathbf{q})} \varepsilon_\lambda^i \varepsilon_{\lambda_1}^{j*} \bar{\Psi}(z^+; \mathbf{b}) \frac{\gamma^- \gamma^+}{2} \gamma^j \\ \times \left[-z \mathbf{P}^l \gamma^i \gamma^l + (1-z) \mathbf{P}^l \gamma^l \gamma^i \right] u(\check{q}_2, h) \mathcal{U}_{\mathbb{F}}^\dagger(\infty, z^+; \mathbf{b}) t^{a_1} t^c \mathcal{U}_{\mathbb{A}}(\infty, -\infty; \mathbf{b})_{ca}. \quad (228)$$

Summing these three contributions we obtain the total scattering amplitude for the $g \rightarrow g\bar{q}$ channel in the back-to-back and massless quarks limit as

$$i\mathcal{M}_{g \rightarrow g\bar{q}, \text{tot.}}^{\text{b2b}, m=0} = ig^2 \frac{1}{(2q^+)} \frac{1}{\mathbf{P}^2} \int_{-\frac{L^+}{2}}^{\frac{L^+}{2}} dz^+ \int_{\mathbf{b}} e^{-i\mathbf{b} \cdot (\mathbf{k} - \mathbf{q})} \mathcal{U}_{\mathbb{A}}(\infty, -\infty; \mathbf{b})_{ba} \\ \times \bar{\Psi}(z^+; \mathbf{b}) \frac{\gamma^- \gamma^+}{2} \mathcal{U}_{\mathbb{F}}^\dagger(\infty, z^+; \mathbf{b}) \left[t^{a_1} t^b \mathfrak{h}_{g \rightarrow g\bar{q}}^{(1)} + t^b t^{a_1} \mathfrak{h}_{g \rightarrow g\bar{q}}^{(2)} \right] u(\check{q}_2, h), \quad (229)$$

with the associated coefficients defined as

$$\mathfrak{h}_{g \rightarrow g\bar{q}}^{(1)} = \varepsilon_\lambda^i \varepsilon_{\lambda_1}^{j*} \left(-2z \mathbf{P}^l \gamma^j \gamma^i \gamma^l + z(1-2z) \mathbf{P}^l \gamma^j \gamma^l \gamma^i - 2\mathbf{P}^j \gamma^i + 2 \frac{z}{1-z} \mathbf{P}^l g^{ij} \gamma^l \right), \quad (230)$$

$$\mathfrak{h}_{g \rightarrow g\bar{q}}^{(2)} = \varepsilon_\lambda^i \varepsilon_{\lambda_1}^{j*} \left(-z \mathbf{P}^l \gamma^i \gamma^j \gamma^l + 2z(2-z) \mathbf{P}^j \gamma^i - 2\mathbf{P}^i \gamma^j - 2 \frac{z}{1-z} \mathbf{P}^l g^{ij} \gamma^l \right) \quad (231)$$

We would like to emphasize that the associated hard coefficients in the $g \rightarrow g\bar{q}$ channel given in Eqs. (230) and (231) are conjugate to the ones in the $g \rightarrow gq$ channel given in Eqs. (48) and (49), such that they satisfy

$$\mathfrak{h}_{g \rightarrow g\bar{q}}^{(1)} = (\mathfrak{h}_{g \rightarrow gq}^{(2)})^\dagger, \\ \mathfrak{h}_{g \rightarrow g\bar{q}}^{(2)} = (\mathfrak{h}_{g \rightarrow gq}^{(1)})^\dagger, \quad (232)$$

due to charge conjugation.

The partonic cross section for the $g \rightarrow g\bar{q}$ channel in the back-to-back limit can be written as

$$\frac{d\sigma_{g \rightarrow g\bar{q}}^{\text{b2b}, m=0}}{d\text{P.S.}} = (2q^+) 2\pi\delta(p_1^+ + p_2^+ - q^+) \frac{1}{2(N_c^2 - 1)} \sum_{\lambda, \lambda_1} \sum_{h_2} \sum_{a, a_1} \left\langle \left| i\mathcal{M}_{g \rightarrow g\bar{q}}^{\text{b2b}, m=0} \right|^2 \right\rangle, \quad (233)$$

where the differential phase space is defined in Eq. (51) and the amplitude is given in Eq. (229). One can simply repeat the same procedure that was adopted to compute the back-to-back production cross section in $g \rightarrow gq$ channel and show that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d\sigma_{g \rightarrow g\bar{q}}^{\text{b2b}, m=0}}{d\text{P.S.}} &= g^4 (2\pi)\delta(p_1^+ + p_2^+ - q^+) \int_{-\frac{L^+}{2}}^{\frac{L^+}{2}} dz^+ \int_{-\frac{L^+}{2}}^{\frac{L^+}{2}} dz'^+ \\ &\times \int_{\mathbf{b}, \mathbf{b}'} e^{-i(\mathbf{b}-\mathbf{b}') \cdot (\mathbf{k}-\mathbf{q})} \left[\mathcal{H}_{g \rightarrow g\bar{q}}^{+g} (\mathcal{C}^{+g})^\dagger + \mathcal{H}_{g \rightarrow g\bar{q}}^{+\square_g} (\mathcal{C}^{+\square_g})^\dagger \right], \end{aligned} \quad (234)$$

where the color structures \mathcal{C}^{+g} and $\mathcal{C}^{+\square_g}$ are defined in Eqs. (58) and (59). One can show that the hard factors computed in the $g \rightarrow gq$ and $g \rightarrow g\bar{q}$ channels are indeed the same

$$\mathcal{H}_{g \rightarrow g\bar{q}}^{+\square_g} = \mathcal{H}_{g \rightarrow gq}^{+\square_g} \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{H}_{g \rightarrow g\bar{q}}^{+g} = \mathcal{H}_{g \rightarrow gq}^{+g}. \quad (235)$$

A comment is in order here. The explicit structures of \mathcal{C}^{+g} given in Eq. (58) suggests that $(\mathcal{C}^{+g})^\dagger$ can be obtained by simply exchanging its coordinate dependence $(z^+, \mathbf{b}) \leftrightarrow (z'^+, \mathbf{b}')$, i.e.

$$\mathcal{C}^{+g}(z^+, \mathbf{b}; z'^+, \mathbf{b}') = (\mathcal{C}^{+g})^\dagger(z'^+, \mathbf{b}', z^+, \mathbf{b}). \quad (236)$$

At the cross section level, this coordinate exchange leaves everything invariant except the phase flips sign. Same argument holds for the second color structure $\mathcal{C}^{+\square_g}$. Therefore, the cross section in the $g \rightarrow g\bar{q}$ channel can be written in terms of the same color structures that appear in $g \rightarrow gq$ channel but with a flipped sign of the phase factor in $(\mathbf{b} - \mathbf{b}')$ as

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d\sigma_{g \rightarrow g\bar{q}}^{\text{b2b}, m=0}}{d\text{P.S.}} &= g^4 (2\pi)\delta(p_1^+ + p_2^+ - q^+) \int_{-\frac{L^+}{2}}^{\frac{L^+}{2}} dz^+ \int_{-\frac{L^+}{2}}^{\frac{L^+}{2}} dz'^+ \\ &\times \int_{\mathbf{b}, \mathbf{b}'} e^{+i(\mathbf{b}-\mathbf{b}') \cdot (\mathbf{k}-\mathbf{q})} \left[\mathcal{H}_{g \rightarrow g\bar{q}}^{+g} \mathcal{C}^{+g} + \mathcal{H}_{g \rightarrow g\bar{q}}^{+\square_g} \mathcal{C}^{+\square_g} \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (237)$$

This observation will be used in other channels as well.

C.2 $\bar{q} \rightarrow \bar{q}q$ channel

This channel is very similar to the $q \rightarrow q\bar{q}$ with the incoming quark replaced with an antiquark in the initial state. The computation follows very closely the one performed in Sec. 3. For the case where all quarks have the same flavor, there are two mechanisms that contribute to the quark-antiquark dijet production in antiquark initiated channel at NEik accuracy. The first one corresponds to the case where the antiquark in the initial state splits into an antiquark-gluon pair before the medium which then scatters on the target. In this mechanism, the

antiquark scatters eikonally while the gluon scatters via a t -channel quark exchange and converts the gluon to a quark. Thus, one gets a quark-antiquark pair produced in the final state (see Fig. 15a). In the second mechanism, the antiquark scatters on the target via a t -channel quark exchange and converts into a gluon. Then, the gluon splits into a quark-antiquark pair in the final state (see Fig. 15b).

Furthermore, two other cases exist where all quarks do not share the same flavor. If the final antiquarks-quark pair has the same flavor, but is different than the initial antiquark one (more explicitly, $q_f \rightarrow \bar{q}_{f'} q_{f'}$, with $f \neq f'$), only the second mechanism contributes (15b). When the final quark has a different flavor than the initial and final antiquarks (more explicitly, $\bar{q}_f \rightarrow \bar{q}_f q_{f'}$), only the first mechanism contributes (see Fig.15a).




Figure 15: Diagrams contributing to channel $\bar{q} \rightarrow q\bar{q}$.

The S -matrix element for the first mechanism can be obtained from the following LSZ-type reduction formula

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{S}_{\bar{q} \rightarrow \bar{q}q, 1} &= \lim_{x_1^+, x_2^+ \rightarrow \infty} \lim_{y^+ \rightarrow -\infty} \int_{\mathbf{x}_1, \mathbf{x}_2} \int_{x_1^-, x_2^-} \int_{\mathbf{y}} \int_{y^-} e^{ix_1 \cdot \check{p}_1} e^{ix_2 \cdot \check{p}_2} e^{-iy \cdot \check{q}} \int d^4 w \int d^4 z \theta(z^+ - w^+) \\
&\times \bar{v}(\check{q}, h) \gamma^+ \left[S_{0,F}(y, w) (-igt^b \gamma_\nu) S_F(w, x_1) \Big|_{\text{Eik.}}^{\text{BA}, \bar{q}} \right]_{\beta_1 \alpha_1} (-1) \gamma^+ v(\check{p}_1, h_1) \\
&\times \left[G_F^{\mu\nu}(z, w) \Big|_{\text{Eik.}}^{\text{BI}} \right]_{ab} \bar{u}(\check{p}_2, h_2) \gamma^+ \left[S_F(x_2, z) \Big|_{\text{Eik.}}^{\text{IA}, q} (-igt^a \gamma_\mu) \right]_{\beta_2 \alpha_2} \Psi_{\alpha_2}^-(z), \tag{238}
\end{aligned}$$

where the before-to-after antiquark propagator is given in Eq. (211), the before-to-inside gluon propagator is given in Eq. (206), the inside-to-after quark propagator is given in Eq. (210), and the vacuum quark propagator is given in Eq. (24). Using these explicit expressions and

following the same steps as in Sec. 3 one obtains the S -matrix for the first mechanism as

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{S}_{\bar{q} \rightarrow \bar{q}q, 1} &= -\frac{g^2}{2p_2^+} (2\pi)\delta(p_1^+ + p_2^+ - q^+) \int \frac{d^2\mathbf{k}_2}{(2\pi)^2} \int_{\mathbf{z}} e^{i\mathbf{z} \cdot (\mathbf{k}_2 - \mathbf{p}_2)} \int_{\mathbf{z}_1} e^{-i\mathbf{z}_1 \cdot (\mathbf{k}_2 - \mathbf{q} + \mathbf{p}_1)} \\
&\times \int_{-\frac{L^+}{2}}^{\frac{L^+}{2}} dz^+ \int_{-\infty}^{-\frac{L^+}{2}} dw^+ e^{-iw^+ \left(\frac{\mathbf{q}^2}{2q^+} - \frac{(\mathbf{k}_2 - \mathbf{q})^2}{2p_1^+} - \frac{\mathbf{k}_2^2}{2p_2^+} - \frac{m^2}{2p_1^+} + \frac{m^2}{2q^+} \right)} \left[t^b \mathcal{U}_F^\dagger(\infty, w^+, \mathbf{z}_1) \right]_{\beta_1 \alpha_1} \\
&\times \mathcal{U}_A(z^+, w^+, \mathbf{z})_{ab} \left[\mathcal{U}_F(\infty, z^+, \mathbf{z}) t^a \right]_{\beta_2 \alpha_2} \bar{v}(\check{q}, h) \gamma^+ \\
&\times \left[-\frac{\gamma^i \gamma^l \mathbf{k}_2^l}{2p_1^+} + \frac{\gamma^i \gamma^l \mathbf{q}^l}{2p_1^+} + \frac{\gamma^l \gamma^i \mathbf{q}^l}{2q^+} - \gamma^i \left(\frac{1}{2p_1^+} - \frac{1}{2q^+} \right) m + \frac{\mathbf{k}_2^i}{p_2^+} \right] \\
&\times v(\check{p}_1, h_1) \bar{u}(\check{p}_2, h_2) \gamma^i \frac{\gamma^+ \gamma^-}{2} \Psi_{\alpha_2}(\underline{z}), \tag{239}
\end{aligned}$$

which leads to the following scattering amplitude

$$\begin{aligned}
i\mathcal{M}_{\bar{q} \rightarrow \bar{q}q, 1} &= -\frac{g^2}{(2p_2^+)(2q^+)} \int \frac{d^2\mathbf{k}_2}{(2\pi)^2} \int_{\mathbf{z}} e^{i\mathbf{z} \cdot (\mathbf{k}_2 - \mathbf{p}_2)} \int_{\mathbf{z}_1} e^{-i\mathbf{z}_1 \cdot (\mathbf{k}_2 - \mathbf{q} + \mathbf{p}_1)} \\
&\times \int_{-\frac{L^+}{2}}^{\frac{L^+}{2}} dz^+ \int_{-\infty}^{-\frac{L^+}{2}} dw^+ e^{-iw^+ \left(\frac{\mathbf{q}^2}{2q^+} - \frac{(\mathbf{k}_2 - \mathbf{q})^2}{2p_1^+} - \frac{\mathbf{k}_2^2}{2p_2^+} - \frac{m^2}{2p_1^+} + \frac{m^2}{2q^+} \right)} \left[t^b \mathcal{U}_F^\dagger(\infty, w^+, \mathbf{z}_1) \right]_{\beta_1 \alpha_1} \\
&\times \mathcal{U}_A(z^+, w^+, \mathbf{z})_{ab} \left[\mathcal{U}_F(\infty, z^+, \mathbf{z}) t^a \right]_{\beta_2 \alpha_2} \bar{v}(\check{q}, h) \gamma^+ \\
&\times \left[-\frac{\gamma^i \gamma^l \mathbf{k}_2^l}{2p_1^+} + \frac{\gamma^i \gamma^l \mathbf{q}^l}{2p_1^+} + \frac{\gamma^l \gamma^i \mathbf{q}^l}{2q^+} - \gamma^i \left(\frac{1}{2p_1^+} - \frac{1}{2q^+} \right) m + \frac{\mathbf{k}_2^i}{p_2^+} \right] \\
&\times v(\check{p}_1, h_1) \bar{u}(\check{p}_2, h_2) \gamma^i \frac{\gamma^+ \gamma^-}{2} \Psi_{\alpha_2}(\underline{z}). \tag{240}
\end{aligned}$$

Similarly, the S -matrix element for the second mechanism can be obtained via

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{S}_{\bar{q} \rightarrow \bar{q}q, 2} &= \lim_{x_1^+, x_2^+ \rightarrow \infty} \lim_{y^+ \rightarrow -\infty} \int_{\mathbf{x}_1, \mathbf{x}_2} \int_{x_1^-, x_2^-} \int_{\mathbf{y}} \int_{y^-} e^{ix_1 \cdot \check{p}_1} e^{ix_2 \cdot \check{p}_2} e^{-iy \cdot \check{q}} \int d^4w \int d^4z \theta(w^+ - z^+) \\
&\times \bar{u}(\check{p}_1, h_1) \gamma^+ \left[S_{0,F}(x_1, w) (-igt^a \gamma_\mu) S_{0,F}(w, x_2) \right]_{\beta_2 \alpha_2} (-1) \gamma^+ v(\check{p}_2, h_2) \\
&\times \left[G_F^{\mu\nu}(w, z) \Big|_{\text{Eik.}}^{\text{IA}} \right]_{ab} \bar{v}(\check{q}, h) \gamma^+ \left[S_F(y, z) \Big|_{\text{Eik.}}^{\text{BI}, \check{q}} (-igt^b \gamma_\nu) \right]_{\beta_1 \alpha_1} \Psi_{\alpha_1}^-(\underline{z}). \tag{241}
\end{aligned}$$

Using Eq. (207) for the inside-to-after gluon propagator, Eq. (212) for the before-to-inside antiquark propagator and Eq. (24) for the vacuum quark propagator, one obtains

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{S}_{\bar{q} \rightarrow \bar{q}q, 2} &= \frac{(g^2)}{2q^+} (2\pi)\delta(p_1^+ + p_2^+ - q^+) \int_{-\frac{L^+}{2}}^{\frac{L^+}{2}} dz^+ \int_{\frac{L^+}{2}}^{\infty} dw^+ e^{iw^+ \left(\check{p}_1^- + \check{p}_2^- - \frac{(\mathbf{p}_1 + \mathbf{p}_2)^2}{2p_1^+} \right)} \\
&\times \int_{\mathbf{z}} e^{-\mathbf{z} \cdot (\mathbf{p}_1 + \mathbf{p}_2 - \mathbf{q})} \mathcal{U}_A(w^+, z^+, \mathbf{z})_{ab} (t^a)_{\beta_2 \alpha_2} \left[\mathcal{U}_F^\dagger(z^+, -\infty, \mathbf{z}) t^b \right]_{\beta_1 \alpha_1} \bar{u}(\check{p}_1, h_1) \gamma^+ \\
&\times \left[\frac{\gamma^j \gamma^l \mathbf{p}_2^l}{2p_2^+} + \frac{\gamma^l \gamma^j \mathbf{p}_1^l}{2p_1^+} - \gamma^j \left(\frac{1}{2p_1^+} + \frac{1}{2p_2^+} \right) m + \frac{\mathbf{p}_1^j - \mathbf{p}_2^j}{q^+} \right] v(\check{p}_2, h_2) \bar{v}(\check{q}, h) \gamma^j \frac{\gamma^+ \gamma^-}{2} \Psi_{\alpha_1}(\underline{z}), \tag{242}
\end{aligned}$$

from which the scattering amplitude can be extracted as

$$\begin{aligned}
i\mathcal{M}_{\bar{q}\rightarrow\bar{q}q,2} &= \frac{g^2}{(2q^+)^2} \int_{-\frac{L^+}{2}}^{\frac{L^+}{2}} dz^+ \int_{\frac{L^+}{2}}^{\infty} dw^+ e^{iw^+ \left(\check{p}_1^- + \check{p}_2^- - \frac{(\mathbf{P}_1 + \mathbf{P}_2)^2}{2p_1^+} \right)} \\
&\times \int_{\mathbf{z}} e^{-\mathbf{z}\cdot(\mathbf{P}_1 + \mathbf{P}_2 - \mathbf{q})} \mathcal{U}_A(w^+, z^+, \mathbf{z})_{ab} (t^a)_{\beta_2\alpha_2} \left[\mathcal{U}_F^\dagger(z^+, -\infty, \mathbf{z}) t^b \right]_{\beta_1\alpha_1} \bar{u}(\check{p}_1, h_1) \gamma^+ \\
&\times \left[\frac{\gamma^j \gamma^l \mathbf{P}_2^l}{2p_2^+} + \frac{\gamma^l \gamma^j \mathbf{P}_1^l}{2p_1^+} - \gamma^j \left(\frac{1}{2p_1^+} + \frac{1}{2p_2^+} \right) m + \frac{\mathbf{P}_1^j - \mathbf{P}_2^j}{q^+} \right] v(\check{p}_2, h_2) \bar{v}(\check{q}, h) \gamma^j \frac{\gamma^+ \gamma^-}{2} \Psi_{\alpha_1}(z). \quad (243)
\end{aligned}$$

In order to compute the back-to-back limit of the scattering amplitudes in the $\bar{q} \rightarrow \bar{q}q$ channel, one starts from Eqs. (240) and (243), performs the change of variables given in Eqs. (1) and (3), performs the small $|\mathbf{r}|$ expansion in the resulting expression and keeps only the zeroth order term in the expansion. This procedure yields to

$$\begin{aligned}
i\mathcal{M}_{\bar{q}\rightarrow\bar{q}q,1}^{\text{b2b},m=0} &= \frac{ig^2 z(1-z)}{(2p_2^+)(2q^+) \mathbf{P}^2} \int d^2\mathbf{b} e^{-i\mathbf{b}\cdot(\mathbf{k}-\mathbf{q})} \int_{-\frac{L^+}{2}}^{\frac{L^+}{2}} dz^+ \left[t^b \mathcal{U}_F^\dagger(\infty, -\infty, \mathbf{b}) \right]_{\beta_1\alpha_1} \\
&\times \left[t^b \mathcal{U}_F^\dagger(\infty, -\infty, \mathbf{b}) \right]_{\beta_1\alpha_1} \mathcal{U}_A(z^+, -\infty, \mathbf{b})_{ab} \left[\mathcal{U}_F(\infty, z^+, \mathbf{b}) t^a \right]_{\beta_2\alpha_2} \\
&\times \bar{v}(\check{q}, h) \gamma^+ \left[\frac{\gamma^i \gamma^l \mathbf{P}^l}{z} - \frac{2\mathbf{P}^i}{1-z} \right] v(\check{p}_1, h_1) \bar{u}(\check{p}_2, h_2) \gamma^i \frac{\gamma^+ \gamma^-}{2} \Psi_{\alpha_2}(z^+, \mathbf{b}), \quad (244)
\end{aligned}$$

for the first mechanism and to

$$\begin{aligned}
i\mathcal{M}_{\bar{q}\rightarrow\bar{q}q,2}^{\text{b2b},m=0} &= \frac{ig^2 z(1-z)}{(2q^+)^2 \mathbf{P}^2} \int_{\frac{L^+}{2}}^{\infty} dz^+ \int_{\mathbf{b}} e^{-\mathbf{b}\cdot(\mathbf{k}-\mathbf{q})} \mathcal{U}_A(\infty, z^+, \mathbf{b})_{ab} (t^a)_{\beta_2\alpha_2} \\
&\times \left[\mathcal{U}_F^\dagger(z^+, -\infty, \mathbf{b}) t^b \right]_{\beta_1\alpha_1} \bar{u}(\check{p}_1, h_1) \gamma^+ \left[-\frac{\gamma^i \gamma^l \mathbf{P}^l}{1-z} + \frac{\gamma^l \gamma^i \mathbf{P}^l}{z} \right] v(\check{p}_2, h_2) \bar{v}(\check{q}, h) \gamma^i \frac{\gamma^+ \gamma^-}{2} \Psi_{\alpha_1}(z^+, \mathbf{b}), \quad (245)
\end{aligned}$$

for the second mechanism. The total amplitude in the back-to-back and massless quark limits is given by the sum of Eqs. (244) and (245) which can be written as

$$\begin{aligned}
i\mathcal{M}_{\bar{q}\rightarrow\bar{q}q,\text{tot.}}^{\text{b2b},m=0} &= -i \frac{g^2}{\mathbf{P}^2 (2q^+)^2} \int_{-\frac{L^+}{2}}^{\frac{L^+}{2}} dz^+ \int d^2\mathbf{b} e^{-\mathbf{b}\cdot(\mathbf{k}-\mathbf{q})} \\
&\times \left\{ \left[\frac{z}{2} \frac{\gamma^- \gamma^+}{2} \gamma^i v(\check{p}_2, h_2) \bar{u}(\check{p}_1, h_1) \gamma^+ \left(\frac{\gamma^l \gamma^i \mathbf{P}^l}{z} - \frac{2\mathbf{P}^i}{1-z} \right) u(\check{q}, h) \right. \right. \\
&\quad \left. \left. + \frac{z(1-z)}{2N_c} \frac{\gamma^- \gamma^+}{2} \gamma^i u(\check{q}, h) \bar{u}(\check{p}_1, h_1) \gamma^+ \left(\frac{-\gamma^i \gamma^l \mathbf{P}^l}{1-z} + \frac{\gamma^l \gamma^i \mathbf{P}^l}{z} \right) v(\check{p}_2, h_2) \right]^\dagger \right. \\
&\quad \times \left[\mathcal{U}_F^\dagger(z^+, -\infty, \mathbf{b})_{\alpha_1\beta_3} \Psi_{\alpha_1}(z^+, \mathbf{b}) \delta_{\beta_1\alpha_3} \right] \\
&\quad - \left[\frac{z}{2N_c} \frac{\gamma^- \gamma^+}{2} \gamma^i v(\check{p}_2, h_2) \bar{u}(\check{p}_1, h_1) \gamma^+ \left(\frac{\gamma^l \gamma^i \mathbf{P}^l}{z} - \frac{2\mathbf{P}^i}{1-z} \right) u(\check{q}, h) \right. \\
&\quad \left. \left. + \frac{z(1-z)}{2} \frac{\gamma^- \gamma^+}{2} \gamma^i u(\check{q}, h) \bar{u}(\check{p}_1, h_1) \gamma^+ \left(\frac{-\gamma^i \gamma^l \mathbf{P}^l}{1-z} + \frac{\gamma^l \gamma^i \mathbf{P}^l}{z} \right) v(\check{p}_2, h_2) \right]^\dagger \right. \\
&\quad \left. \times \left[\mathcal{U}_F^\dagger(\infty, -\infty, \mathbf{b})_{\beta_1\beta_3} \mathcal{U}_F^\dagger(\infty, z^+, \mathbf{b})_{\alpha_1\alpha_3} \Psi_{\alpha_1}(z^+, \mathbf{b}) \right] \right\}. \quad (246)
\end{aligned}$$

Now, the partonic cross section for the $\bar{q} \rightarrow \bar{q}q$ channel in the back-to-back limit can be written as

$$\frac{d\sigma_{\bar{q} \rightarrow \bar{q}q}^{\text{b2b}, m=0}}{d\text{P.S.}} = (2q^+) (2\pi) \delta(p_1^+ + p_2^+ - q^+) \frac{1}{2N_c} \sum_{h_1, h_2, h} \sum_{\beta_1, \beta_3, \alpha_3} \left\langle \left| i\mathcal{M}_{\bar{q} \rightarrow \bar{q}q, \text{tot.}}^{\text{b2b}, m=0} \right|^2 \right\rangle. \quad (247)$$

Comparing the total scattering amplitude in the back-to-back limit given in Eq. (246) in the $\bar{q} \rightarrow \bar{q}q$ channel and the total scattering amplitude in the back-to-back limit given in Eq. (78) in the $q \rightarrow q\bar{q}$ channel, one realizes that the coefficients of the color structures in $\bar{q} \rightarrow \bar{q}q$ channel are conjugate to the ones in $q \rightarrow q\bar{q}$. Thus, following the same strategy discussed in Sec. C.1 one can trivially write down the partonic cross section in the back-to-back and massless quark limits for the $\bar{q} \rightarrow \bar{q}q$ channel. This can directly be done for the different flavor cases as

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d\sigma_{\bar{q}_f \rightarrow \bar{q}_{f_1} q_{f_2}}^{\text{b2b}, m=0}}{d\text{P.S.}} &= g^4 (2\pi) \delta(p_1^+ + p_2^+ - q^+) \int_{-\frac{L^+}{2}}^{\frac{L^+}{2}} dz^+ \int_{-\frac{L^+}{2}}^{\frac{L^+}{2}} dz'^+ \int_{\mathbf{b}, \mathbf{b}'} e^{+i(\mathbf{b}-\mathbf{b}') \cdot (\mathbf{k}-\mathbf{q})} \\ &\times \left[\mathcal{H}_{\bar{q}_f \rightarrow \bar{q}_{f_1} q_{f_2}}^- \mathcal{C}^- + \mathcal{H}_{\bar{q}_f \rightarrow \bar{q}_{f_1} q_{f_2}}^{+\square} \mathcal{C}^{+\square} \right], \end{aligned} \quad (248)$$

where the color structures are defined in Eqs. (84) and (85). Similar to $q \rightarrow q\bar{q}$ channel, the possibilities in terms of quark flavor are limited to $f = f_1 = f_2$, $f \neq f_1 = f_2$ and $f = f_1 \neq f_2$ and the hard factors are defined for the case $f = f_1 = f_2$ as

$$\mathcal{H}_{\bar{q}_f \rightarrow \bar{q}_{f_1} q_{f_2}}^- = \mathcal{H}_{q \rightarrow q\bar{q}}^- \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{H}_{\bar{q}_f \rightarrow \bar{q}_{f_1} q_{f_2}}^{+\square} = \mathcal{H}_{q \rightarrow q\bar{q}}^{+\square}, \quad (249)$$

for the case $f \neq f_1 = f_2$ as

$$\mathcal{H}_{\bar{q}_f \rightarrow \bar{q}_{f_1} q_{f_2}}^- = \mathcal{H}_{q_f \rightarrow q_{f'} \bar{q}_{f'}}^- \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{H}_{\bar{q}_f \rightarrow \bar{q}_{f_1} q_{f_2}}^{+\square} = \mathcal{H}_{q_f \rightarrow q_{f'} \bar{q}_{f'}}^{+\square}, \quad (250)$$

and for the case $f = f_1 \neq f_2$ as

$$\mathcal{H}_{\bar{q}_f \rightarrow \bar{q}_{f_1} q_{f_2}}^- = \mathcal{H}_{q_f \rightarrow q_f \bar{q}_{f'}}^- \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{H}_{\bar{q}_f \rightarrow \bar{q}_{f_1} q_{f_2}}^{+\square} = \mathcal{H}_{q_f \rightarrow q_f \bar{q}_{f'}}^{+\square}. \quad (251)$$

C.3 $\bar{q} \rightarrow gq$ channel

The computation of the scattering amplitude and the cross section in this channel is very similar to the $q \rightarrow gq$ channel. Since the computations are very similar, in this channel we briefly present the results. There are three mechanisms that contribute to the production of gluon-gluon dijet in antiquark initiated channel at NEik accuracy. Each of these three mechanisms are illustrated in Fig. 16. The first mechanism (see Fig. 16a) corresponds to the case where the incoming antiquark splits into an antiquark-gluon pair before the medium which then scatters on the target. While the gluon scatters eikonally, the antiquark scatters via a t -channel quark exchange and converts into a gluon, producing a gluon dijet in the final state. The S -matrix element for this mechanism can be obtained from

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{S}_{\bar{q} \rightarrow g_1 g_2, 1} &= \lim_{x_1^+, x_2^+ \rightarrow +\infty} \lim_{y^+ \rightarrow -\infty} (-2p_1^+) (-2p_2^+) \int_{\mathbf{x}_1, \mathbf{x}_2} \int_{x_1^-, x_2^-} \int_{\mathbf{y}} \int_{y^-} \int d^4 w \int d^4 z \theta(z^+ - w^+) \\ &\times e^{ix_1 \cdot \tilde{p}_1} e^{ix_2 \cdot \tilde{p}_2} e^{-iy \cdot \tilde{q}} \epsilon_\mu^{\lambda_1}(p_1)^* \epsilon_\nu^{\lambda_2}(p_2)^* \left[G_F^{\mu\mu'}(x_1, w) \Big|_{\text{Eik.}}^{\text{BA}} \right]_{a_1 b_1} \left[G_F^{\nu\nu'}(x_2, z) \Big|_{\text{Eik.}}^{\text{IA}} \right]_{a_2 b_2} \\ &\times (-1) \bar{v}(\tilde{q}, h) \gamma^+ \left[S_{0,F}(y, w) (-igt^{b_1} \gamma_{\mu'}) S_F(w, z) \Big|_{\text{Eik.}}^{\text{BI}, \bar{q}} (-igt^{b_2} \gamma_{\nu'}) \right]_{\alpha\beta} \Psi_\beta^-(z), \end{aligned} \quad (252)$$




Figure 16: Diagrams contributing to channel $\bar{q} \rightarrow gg$.

where the before-to-after gluon propagator is given in Eq. (205), the inside-to-after gluon propagator is given in Eq. (207), the before-to-inside antiquark propagator is given in Eq. (212) and the vacuum quark propagator is given in Eq. (24). Using the explicit expressions of the propagators, one can obtain the S -matrix element for the first mechanism as

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{S}_{\bar{q} \rightarrow g_1 g_2, 1} &= -g^2 \varepsilon_1^{i*} \varepsilon_2^{j*} (2\pi) \delta(p_1^+ + p_2^+ - q^+) \int \frac{d^2 \mathbf{k}_1}{(2\pi)^2} \int_{\mathbf{z}, \mathbf{z}_1} e^{-i\mathbf{z}_1 \cdot (\mathbf{p}_1 - \mathbf{k}_1)} e^{i\mathbf{z} \cdot (\mathbf{q} - \mathbf{k}_1 - \mathbf{p}_2)} \\
&\times \int_{-\frac{L^+}{2}}^{\frac{L^+}{2}} dz^+ \int_{-\infty}^{-\frac{L^+}{2}} dw^+ e^{iw^+ \left(\frac{\mathbf{k}_1^2}{2p_1^+} + \frac{(\mathbf{q}_2^2 - \mathbf{k}_1)^2}{2p_2^+} - \frac{\mathbf{q}^2}{2q^+} - \frac{m^2}{2q^+} + \frac{m^2}{2p_2^+} \right)} \mathcal{U}_A(\infty, w^+, \mathbf{z}_1)_{a_1 b_1} \mathcal{U}_A(\infty, z^+, \mathbf{z})_{a_2 b_2} \\
&\times \bar{v}(\bar{q}, h) \left[-\frac{\gamma^i \gamma^l \mathbf{q}^l \gamma^j}{2p_2^+} + \frac{\gamma^i \gamma^l \mathbf{k}_1^l \gamma^j}{2p_2^+} - \frac{\gamma^l \gamma^i \mathbf{q}^l \gamma^j}{2q^+} - \gamma^i \gamma^j \left(\frac{1}{2q^+} - \frac{1}{2p_2^+} \right) m - \frac{\mathbf{k}_1^i \gamma^j}{p_1^+} \right] \\
&\times \left[t^{b_1} \mathcal{U}_F^\dagger(z^+, w^+, \mathbf{z}) t^{b_2} \right]_{\alpha\beta} \frac{\gamma^+ \gamma^-}{2} \Psi_\beta(\underline{z}), \tag{253}
\end{aligned}$$

from which we can extract the amplitude in general kinematics as

$$\begin{aligned}
i\mathcal{M}_{\bar{q} \rightarrow g_1 g_2, 1} &= -g^2 \varepsilon_1^{i*} \varepsilon_2^{j*} \frac{1}{(2q^+)} \int \frac{d^2 \mathbf{k}_1}{(2\pi)^2} \int_{\mathbf{z}, \mathbf{z}_1} e^{-i\mathbf{z}_1 \cdot (\mathbf{p}_1 - \mathbf{k}_1)} e^{i\mathbf{z} \cdot (\mathbf{q} - \mathbf{k}_1 - \mathbf{p}_2)} \int_{-\frac{L^+}{2}}^{\frac{L^+}{2}} dz^+ \int_{-\infty}^{-\frac{L^+}{2}} dw^+ \\
&\times e^{iw^+ \left(\frac{\mathbf{k}_1^2}{2p_1^+} + \frac{(\mathbf{q}_2^2 - \mathbf{k}_1)^2}{2p_2^+} - \frac{\mathbf{q}^2}{2q^+} - \frac{m^2}{2q^+} + \frac{m^2}{2p_2^+} \right)} \mathcal{U}_A(\infty, w^+, \mathbf{z}_1)_{a_1 b_1} \mathcal{U}_A(\infty, z^+, \mathbf{z})_{a_2 b_2} \left[t^{b_1} \mathcal{U}_F^\dagger(z^+, w^+, \mathbf{z}) t^{b_2} \right]_{\alpha\beta} \\
&\times \bar{v}(\bar{q}, h) \left[-\frac{\gamma^i \gamma^l \mathbf{q}^l \gamma^j}{2p_2^+} + \frac{\gamma^i \gamma^l \mathbf{k}_1^l \gamma^j}{2p_2^+} - \frac{\gamma^l \gamma^i \mathbf{q}^l \gamma^j}{2q^+} - \gamma^i \gamma^j \left(\frac{1}{2q^+} - \frac{1}{2p_2^+} \right) m - \frac{\mathbf{k}_1^i \gamma^j}{p_1^+} \right] \frac{\gamma^+ \gamma^-}{2} \Psi_\beta(\underline{z}). \tag{254}
\end{aligned}$$

The second mechanism is the same as the first with the gluons in the final state interchanged (see Fig. 16b). Thus, the S -matrix element for the second mechanism can be written as

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{S}_{\bar{q} \rightarrow g_1 g_2, 2} &= \lim_{x_1^+, x_2^+ \rightarrow +\infty} \lim_{y^+ \rightarrow -\infty} (-2p_1^+) (-2p_2^+) \int_{\mathbf{x}_1, \mathbf{x}_2} \int_{x_1^-, x_2^-} \int_{\mathbf{y}} \int_{y^-} \int d^4 w \int d^4 z \theta(z^+ - w^+) \\
&\times e^{ix_1 \cdot \tilde{p}_1} e^{ix_2 \cdot \tilde{p}_2} e^{-iy \cdot \tilde{q}} \epsilon_\mu^{\lambda_1}(p_2)^* \epsilon_\nu^{\lambda_2}(p_1)^* \left[G_F^{\mu\mu'}(x_2, w) \Big|_{\text{Eik.}}^{\text{BA}} \right]_{a_1 b_1} \left[G_F^{\nu\nu'}(x_1, z) \Big|_{\text{Eik.}}^{\text{IA}} \right]_{a_2 b_2} \\
&\times (-1) \bar{v}(\tilde{q}, h) \gamma^+ \left[S_{0,F}(y, w) (-igt^{b_1} \gamma_{\mu'}) S_F(w, z) \Big|_{\text{Eik.}}^{\text{BI}, \tilde{q}} (-igt^{b_2} \gamma_{\nu'}) \right]_{\alpha\beta} \Psi_\beta^-(z), \tag{255}
\end{aligned}$$

where the propagators here are the same as in the first mechanism. Again using the explicit expressions for these propagators we get the S -matrix element for the second mechanism as

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{S}_{\bar{q} \rightarrow g_1 g_2, 2} &= g^2 \varepsilon_1^{j*} \varepsilon_2^{i*} (2\pi) \delta(p_1^+ + p_2^+ - q^+) \int \frac{d^2 \mathbf{k}_2}{(2\pi)^2} \int_{\mathbf{z}, \mathbf{z}_1} e^{-i\mathbf{z}_1 \cdot (\mathbf{p}_2 - \mathbf{k}_2)} e^{i\mathbf{z} \cdot (\mathbf{q} - \mathbf{k}_2 - \mathbf{p}_1)} \\
&\times \int_{-\frac{L^+}{2}}^{\frac{L^+}{2}} dz^+ \int_{-\infty}^{-\frac{L^+}{2}} dw^+ e^{iw^+ \left(\frac{\mathbf{k}_2^2}{2p_2^+} + \frac{(\mathbf{q}^2 - \mathbf{k}_2)^2}{2p_1^+} - \frac{\mathbf{q}^2}{2q^+} - \frac{m^2}{2q^+} + \frac{m^2}{2p_1^+} \right)} \mathcal{U}_A(\infty, w^+, \mathbf{z}_1)_{a_2 b_2} \mathcal{U}_A(\infty, z^+, \mathbf{z})_{a_1 b_1} \\
&\times \bar{v}(\tilde{q}, h) \left[-\frac{\gamma^i \gamma^l \mathbf{q}^l \gamma^j}{2p_1^+} + \frac{\gamma^i \gamma^l \mathbf{k}_2^l \gamma^j}{2p_1^+} - \frac{\gamma^l \gamma^i \mathbf{q}^l \gamma^j}{2q^+} - \gamma^i \gamma^j \left(\frac{1}{2q^+} - \frac{1}{2p_1^+} \right) m - \frac{\mathbf{k}_2^i \gamma^j}{p_2^+} \right] \\
&\times \left[t^{b_2} \mathcal{U}_F^\dagger(w^+, z^+, \mathbf{z}) t^{b_1} \right]_{\alpha\beta} \frac{\gamma^+ \gamma^-}{2} \Psi_\beta(\underline{z}), \tag{256}
\end{aligned}$$

from which we can obtain the amplitude for the second mechanism as

$$\begin{aligned}
i\mathcal{M}_{\bar{q} \rightarrow g_1 g_2, 2} &= g^2 \varepsilon_1^{j*} \varepsilon_2^{i*} \frac{1}{(2q^+)} \int \frac{d^2 \mathbf{k}_2}{(2\pi)^2} \int_{\mathbf{z}, \mathbf{z}_1} e^{-i\mathbf{z}_1 \cdot (\mathbf{p}_2 - \mathbf{k}_2)} e^{i\mathbf{z} \cdot (\mathbf{q} - \mathbf{k}_2 - \mathbf{p}_1)} \\
&\times \int_{-\frac{L^+}{2}}^{\frac{L^+}{2}} dz^+ \int_{-\infty}^{-\frac{L^+}{2}} dw^+ e^{iw^+ \left(\frac{\mathbf{k}_2^2}{2p_2^+} + \frac{(\mathbf{q}^2 - \mathbf{k}_2)^2}{2p_1^+} - \frac{\mathbf{q}^2}{2q^+} - \frac{m^2}{2q^+} + \frac{m^2}{2p_1^+} \right)} \mathcal{U}_A(\infty, w^+, \mathbf{z}_1)_{a_2 b_2} \mathcal{U}_A(\infty, z^+, \mathbf{z})_{a_1 b_1} \\
&\times \bar{v}(\tilde{q}, h) \left[-\frac{\gamma^i \gamma^l \mathbf{q}^l \gamma^j}{2p_1^+} + \frac{\gamma^i \gamma^l \mathbf{k}_2^l \gamma^j}{2p_1^+} - \frac{\gamma^l \gamma^i \mathbf{q}^l \gamma^j}{2q^+} - \gamma^i \gamma^j \left(\frac{1}{2q^+} - \frac{1}{2p_1^+} \right) m - \frac{\mathbf{k}_2^i \gamma^j}{p_2^+} \right] \\
&\times \left[t^{b_2} \mathcal{U}_F^\dagger(w^+, z^+, \mathbf{z}) t^{b_1} \right]_{\alpha\beta} \frac{\gamma^+ \gamma^-}{2} \Psi_\beta(\underline{z}). \tag{257}
\end{aligned}$$

The third mechanism corresponds to the case where the incoming antiquark converts into a gluon upon scattering via t -channel quark exchange, and then splits into two gluons in the final state (see Fig. 16c). For that case, the S -matrix element can be written as

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{S}_{\bar{q} \rightarrow g_1 g_2, 3} &= \lim_{x_1^+, x_2^+ \rightarrow \infty} \lim_{y^+ \rightarrow -\infty} (-2p_1^+) (-2p_2^+) \int_{\mathbf{x}_1, \mathbf{x}_2} \int_{x_1^-, x_2^-} \int_{\mathbf{y}} \int_{y^-} \int d^4 w \int d^4 z \theta(w^+ - z^+) \\
&\times e^{ix_1 \cdot \tilde{p}_1} e^{ix_2 \cdot \tilde{p}_2} e^{-iy \cdot \tilde{q}} \epsilon_\mu^{\lambda_1}(p_1)^* \epsilon_\nu^{\lambda_2}(p_2)^* \left[G_{0,F}^{\mu\mu'}(x_1, w) \right]_{a_1 b_1} \left[G_{0,F}^{\nu\nu'}(x_2, w) \right]_{a_2 b_2} \\
&\times V_{\mu'\nu'\rho'}^{b_1 b_2 b_3} \left[G_F^{\rho'\rho}(w, z) \Big|_{\text{Eik.}}^{\text{IA}} \right]_{b_3 b} v(\tilde{q}, h^l) \gamma^+ \left[S_F(y, z) \Big|_{\text{Eik.}}^{\text{BI}, \tilde{q}} (-igt^b \gamma_\rho) \right]_{\beta\alpha} \Psi_\alpha^-(z), \tag{258}
\end{aligned}$$

with $V_{\mu'\nu'\rho'}^{b_1 b_2 b_3}$ being the triple gluon vertex defined in Eq. (16). Using the explicit expressions for the inside-to-after gluon propagator given in Eq. (207), the before-to-inside antiquark

propagator given in Eq. (212) and the vacuum gluon propagator given in Eq. (14), one can obtain the S -matrix for the third mechanism as

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{S}_{\bar{q} \rightarrow g_1 g_2, 3} &= -i g^2 f^{b_1 b_3 b_2} \varepsilon_{\lambda_2}^j \varepsilon_{\lambda_1}^i \frac{1}{2q^+} (2\pi) \delta(p_1^+ + p_2^+ - q^+) \int_{-\frac{L^+}{2}}^{\frac{L^+}{2}} dz^+ \int_{\frac{L^+}{2}}^{\infty} dw^+ \\
&\times e^{i w^+ \left(\check{p}_1^- + \check{p}_2^- - \frac{(\mathbf{p}_1 + \mathbf{p}_2)^2}{2q^+} \right)} \int_{\mathbf{z}} e^{-i \mathbf{z} \cdot (\mathbf{p}_1 + \mathbf{p}_2 - \mathbf{q})} \mathcal{U}_A(w^+, z^+, \mathbf{z})_{b_3 b} \\
&\times \left[g^{il} \left(-2\mathbf{p}_1^j + \frac{2\mathbf{p}_1^+}{p_2^+} \mathbf{p}_2^j \right) + g^{lj} \left(2\mathbf{p}_2^i - \frac{2p_2^+}{p_1^+} \mathbf{p}_1^i \right) + g^{ji} \left(2\mathbf{p}_1^l - \frac{2p_1^+}{q^+} (\mathbf{p}_2^l + \mathbf{p}_1^l) \right) \right] \\
&\times \left[t^b \mathcal{U}_F^\dagger(z^+, -\infty, \mathbf{z}) \right]_{\beta\alpha} v(\check{q}, h') \gamma^j \frac{\gamma^+ \gamma^-}{2} \Psi_\alpha(\underline{z}), \tag{259}
\end{aligned}$$

from which the amplitude can be extracted and reads

$$\begin{aligned}
i\mathcal{M}_{\bar{q} \rightarrow g_1 g_2, 3} &= -i g^2 f^{b_1 b_3 b_2} \varepsilon_{\lambda_2}^j \varepsilon_{\lambda_1}^i \frac{1}{(2q^+)^2} \int_{-\frac{L^+}{2}}^{\frac{L^+}{2}} dz^+ \int_{\frac{L^+}{2}}^{\infty} dw^+ e^{i w^+ \left(\check{p}_1^- + \check{p}_2^- - \frac{(\mathbf{p}_1 + \mathbf{p}_2)^2}{2q^+} \right)} \\
&\times \int_{\mathbf{z}} e^{-i \mathbf{z} \cdot (\mathbf{p}_1 + \mathbf{p}_2 - \mathbf{q})} \mathcal{U}_A(w^+, z^+, \mathbf{z})_{b_3 b} \\
&\times \left[g^{il} \left(-2\mathbf{p}_1^j + \frac{2\mathbf{p}_1^+}{p_2^+} \mathbf{p}_2^j \right) + g^{lj} \left(2\mathbf{p}_2^i - \frac{2p_2^+}{p_1^+} \mathbf{p}_1^i \right) + g^{ji} \left(2\mathbf{p}_1^l - \frac{2p_1^+}{q^+} (\mathbf{p}_2^l + \mathbf{p}_1^l) \right) \right] \\
&\times \left[t^b \mathcal{U}_F^\dagger(z^+, -\infty, \mathbf{z}) \right]_{\beta\alpha} v(\check{q}, h') \gamma^j \frac{\gamma^+ \gamma^-}{2} \Psi_\alpha(\underline{z}). \tag{260}
\end{aligned}$$

The total scattering amplitude is the sum of the three contributions from each mechanism and it can be written as

$$i\mathcal{M}_{\bar{q} \rightarrow g_1 g_2, \text{tot.}} = i\mathcal{M}_{\bar{q} \rightarrow g_1 g_2, 1} + i\mathcal{M}_{\bar{q} \rightarrow g_1 g_2, 2} + i\mathcal{M}_{\bar{q} \rightarrow g_1 g_2, 3}, \tag{261}$$

with the contributions from each mechanism given in Eqs. (254), (257) and (260).

The back-to-back limit of the scattering amplitudes can be computed as in the $q \rightarrow gg$ channel. Namely, one starts from the production amplitudes written in general kinematics, then perform the change of variables to rewrite the production amplitude in terms of the relative dijet momenta \mathbf{P} and dijet momentum imbalance k as well as their conjugate variables \mathbf{r} and \mathbf{b} . As discussed previously, the back-to-back limit corresponds to a kinematic regime where $|\mathbf{P}| \gg |\mathbf{k}|$ which is equivalent to $|\mathbf{r}| \ll |\mathbf{b}|$. Therefore, in this regime one can perform a small $|\mathbf{r}|$ expansion of the Wilson lines and keep only the first non-trivial term in the expansion. After the expansion, \mathbf{r} and \mathbf{b} integrations factorize and one can perform \mathbf{r} integration trivially. After all said and done, the back-to-back limit of the amplitudes for the three mechanisms can be written as

$$\begin{aligned}
i\mathcal{M}_{\bar{q} \rightarrow g_1 g_2, 1}^{\text{b2b}, m=0} &= i g^2 \frac{z(1-z)}{(2q^+)^2} \varepsilon_{\lambda_1}^i \varepsilon_{\lambda_2}^j \int_{-\frac{L^+}{2}}^{\frac{L^+}{2}} dz^+ \int_{\mathbf{b}} e^{-i \mathbf{b} \cdot (\mathbf{k} - \mathbf{q})} \mathcal{U}_A(\infty, -\infty, \mathbf{b})_{a_1 b_1} \mathcal{U}_A(\infty, z^+, \mathbf{b})_{a_2 b_2} \\
&\times \bar{v}(\check{q}, h) \left[\frac{\gamma^i \gamma^l \gamma^j}{1-z} \mathbf{P}^l - \frac{2\mathbf{P}^i \gamma^j}{z} \right] \left[t^{b_1} \mathcal{U}_F^\dagger(-\infty, z^+, \mathbf{b}) t^{b_2} \right]_{\alpha\beta} \frac{\gamma^+ \gamma^-}{2} \Psi_\beta(z^+, \mathbf{b}), \tag{262}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
i\mathcal{M}_{\bar{q}\rightarrow g_2 g_1, \text{tot.}}^{\text{b2b}, m=0} &= -ig^2 \frac{z(1-z)}{(2q^+)^{\mathbf{P}^2}} \varepsilon_{\lambda_1}^{j*} \varepsilon_{\lambda_2}^{i*} \int_{-\frac{L^+}{2}}^{\frac{L^+}{2}} dz^+ \int_{\mathbf{b}'} e^{-i\mathbf{b}'\cdot(\mathbf{k}-\mathbf{q})} \mathcal{U}_A(\infty, w^+, \mathbf{b}')_{a_2 b_2} \\
&\times \bar{v}(\check{q}, h) \left[-\frac{\gamma^i \gamma^l \gamma^j}{z} \mathbf{P}^l + \frac{2\mathbf{P}^i \gamma^j}{1-z} \right] \left[t^{b_2} \mathcal{U}_F^\dagger(w^+, z^+, \mathbf{b}') t^{b_1} \right]_{\alpha\beta} \frac{\gamma^+ \gamma^-}{2} \Psi_\beta(z^+, \mathbf{b}') \mathcal{U}_A(\infty, z^+, \mathbf{b}')_{a_1 b_1},
\end{aligned} \tag{263}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
i\mathcal{M}_{\bar{q}\rightarrow g_1 g_2, \text{tot.}}^{\text{b2b}, m=0} &= g^2 f^{b_1 b_3 b_2} \frac{z(1-z)}{(2q^+)^{\mathbf{P}^2}} \varepsilon_{\lambda_2}^{j*} \varepsilon_{\lambda_1}^{i*} \int_{-\frac{L^+}{2}}^{\frac{L^+}{2}} dz^+ \int_{\mathbf{b}} e^{-i\mathbf{b}\cdot(\mathbf{k}-\mathbf{q})} \mathcal{U}_A(\infty, z^+, \mathbf{b})_{b_3 b} \\
&\times \left[-g^{il} \frac{\mathbf{P}^j}{1-z} - g^{lj} \frac{\mathbf{P}^i}{z} - g^{ji} \mathbf{P}^l \right] \left[t^b \mathcal{U}_F^\dagger(z^+, -\infty, \mathbf{b}) \right]_{\beta\alpha} v(\check{q}, h') \gamma^j \gamma^+ \gamma^- \Psi_\alpha(z^+, \mathbf{b}).
\end{aligned} \tag{264}$$

The total amplitude for the $\bar{q} \rightarrow gg$ channel is given as the sum of the above three amplitudes in the back-to-back limit and it can be written as

$$\begin{aligned}
i\mathcal{M}_{\bar{q}\rightarrow gg, \text{tot.}}^{\text{b2b}, m=0} &= -ig^2 \frac{z(1-z)}{(2q^+)^{\mathbf{P}^2}} \varepsilon_{\lambda_1}^{i*} \varepsilon_{\lambda_2}^{j*} \int_{-\frac{L^+}{2}}^{\frac{L^+}{2}} dz^+ \int_{\mathbf{b}} e^{-i\mathbf{b}\cdot(\mathbf{k}-\mathbf{q})} \mathcal{U}_A(\infty, z^+, \mathbf{b})_{a_1 b_1} \mathcal{U}_A(\infty, z^+, \mathbf{b})_{a_2 b_2} \\
&\times v(\check{q}, h) \mathcal{U}_F^\dagger(z^+, -\infty, \mathbf{b}) \left[\{t^{b_2}, t^{b_1}\} \left(-\frac{\gamma^i \gamma^l \gamma^j \mathbf{P}^l}{2(1-z)} - \frac{\gamma^j \mathbf{P}^i}{1-z} + \frac{\gamma^i \mathbf{P}^j}{z} + \frac{\gamma^j \gamma^l \gamma^i \mathbf{P}^l}{2z} \right) \right. \\
&\quad \left. + [t^{b_2}, t^{b_1}] \left(\frac{\gamma^j \mathbf{P}^i}{(1-z)} + \frac{\gamma^i \mathbf{P}^j}{z} + \frac{\gamma^j \gamma^l \gamma^i \mathbf{P}^l}{2z} - 2g^{ij} \mathbf{P}^l \gamma^l \right) \right] \frac{\gamma^+ \gamma^-}{2} \Psi_\beta(z^+, \mathbf{b}).
\end{aligned} \tag{265}$$

The partonic cross section in the back-to-back limit can now be written as

$$\frac{d\sigma_{\bar{q}\rightarrow gg}^{\text{b2b}, m=0}}{d\text{P.S.}} = (2q^+) (2\pi) \delta(p_1^+ + p_2^+ - q^+) \frac{1}{2N_c} \sum_{\lambda_1, \lambda_2} \sum_h \sum_{a_1, a_2} \left\langle \left| i\mathcal{M}_{\bar{q}\rightarrow gg, \text{tot.}}^{\text{b2b}, m=0} \right|^2 \right\rangle. \tag{266}$$

Following the same steps as in Sec. 4.3, the cross section can be written in the following factorized form:

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{d\sigma_{\bar{q}\rightarrow gg}^{\text{b2b}, m=0}}{d\text{P.S.}} &= g^4 (2\pi) \delta(p_1^+ + p_2^+ - q^+) \int_{-\frac{L^+}{2}}^{\frac{L^+}{2}} dz^+ \int_{-\frac{L^+}{2}}^{\frac{L^+}{2}} dz'^+ \int_{\mathbf{b}, \mathbf{b}'} e^{i(\mathbf{b}-\mathbf{b}')\cdot(\mathbf{k}-\mathbf{q})} \\
&\times \left[\mathcal{H}_{\bar{q}\rightarrow gg}^- \mathcal{C}^- + \mathcal{H}_{\bar{q}\rightarrow gg}^{-g} \mathcal{C}^{-g} \right],
\end{aligned} \tag{267}$$

with the same hard factors defined in Eqs. (126) and (127), and the same color structures defined in Eqs. (84) and (124). The only difference between the cross sections in the $q \rightarrow gg$ and $\bar{q} \rightarrow gg$ channels is the sign of the phase.

C.4 $\bar{q} \rightarrow \bar{q}\bar{q}$ channel

The computations of this channel are very similar to the ones performed in $q \rightarrow qq$ channel. We will first consider the case where all antiquarks have the same flavor. In this case at NEik accuracy, the two mechanisms contribute to this channel. The one where, the incoming antiquark splits into an antiquark-gluon pair before the medium. Then the pair interacts

with the target. While the antiquark scatters eikonally, the gluon scatters via a t -channel quark exchange and converts into an antiquark, producing an antiquark-antiquark dijet in the final state (see Fig. 17). The second one is similar except the antiquarks in the final state are interchanged (see Fig. 17b). We will also consider the case where the final antiquarks have different flavors (more explicitly, $\bar{q}_f \rightarrow \bar{q}_f \bar{q}_{f'}$, with $f \neq f'$). In this instance, only the first mechanism contributes (see Fig. 17a).




Figure 17: Diagrams contributing to channel $\bar{q} \rightarrow \bar{q}\bar{q}$.

The S -matrix element for the first mechanism can be written as

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{S}_{\bar{q} \rightarrow \bar{q}\bar{q}, 1} &= \lim_{x_1^+, x_2^+ \rightarrow \infty} \lim_{y^+ \rightarrow -\infty} \int_{\mathbf{x}_1, \mathbf{x}_2} \int_{\mathbf{x}_1^-, \mathbf{x}_2^-} \int_{\mathbf{y}} \int_{y^-} e^{ix_1 \cdot \check{p}_1} e^{ix_2 \cdot \check{p}_2} e^{-iy \cdot \check{q}} \int d^4 w \int d^4 z \theta(z^+ - w^+) \\
&\times \bar{\Psi}_{\beta_2}^-(z) \left[(-igt^a \gamma_\mu) S_F(z, x_2) \Big|_{\text{Eik.}}^{\text{IA}, \bar{q}} \right]_{\beta_2 \alpha_2} \gamma^+ v(\check{p}_2, h_2) \left[G_F^{\mu\nu}(z, w) \Big|_{\text{Eik.}}^{\text{BI}} \right]_{ab} \\
&\times \bar{v}(\check{q}, h) \gamma^+ \left[S_{0,F}(y, w) (-igt^b \gamma_\nu) S_F(w, x_1) \Big|_{\text{Eik.}}^{\text{BA}, \bar{q}} \right]_{\beta_1 \alpha_1} (-1) \gamma^+ v(\check{p}_1, h_1), \tag{268}
\end{aligned}$$

where the inside-to-after antiquark propagator is given in Eq. (213), the before-to-inside gluon propagator is given in Eq. (206), the before-to-after antiquark propagator is given in Eq. (211), and the vacuum quark propagator is given in Eq. (24). After substituting the corresponding propagators and simplifying, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{S}_{\bar{q} \rightarrow \bar{q}\bar{q}, 1} &= g^2 \frac{1}{2p_2^+} (2\pi) \delta(p_1^+ + p_2^+ - q^+) \int \frac{d^2 \mathbf{k}_2}{(2\pi)^2} \int_{\mathbf{z}, \mathbf{z}_1} e^{-iz \cdot (\mathbf{p}_2 + \mathbf{k}_2)} e^{-iz_1 \cdot (\mathbf{p}_1 + \mathbf{k}_2 - \mathbf{q})} \\
&\times \int_{-\frac{L^+}{2}}^{\frac{L^+}{2}} dz^+ \int_{-\infty}^{-\frac{L^+}{2}} dw^+ e^{iw^+ \left(\frac{\mathbf{k}_2^2}{2p_2^+} + \frac{(\mathbf{k}_2 - \mathbf{q})^2}{2p_1^+} - \frac{\mathbf{q}^2}{2q^+} + \frac{m^2}{2p_1^+} - \frac{m^2}{2q^+} \right)} \bar{\Psi}_{\beta_2}^-(z) \frac{\gamma^- \gamma^+}{2} \gamma^i \\
&\times v(\check{p}_2, h_2) \bar{v}(\check{q}, h) \left[-\frac{\gamma^i \gamma^l \mathbf{k}_2^l}{2\mathbf{p}_1^+} + \frac{\gamma^i \gamma^l \mathbf{q}^l}{2p_1^+} + \frac{\gamma^l \gamma^i \mathbf{q}^l}{2q^+} + \gamma^i \left(\frac{1}{2p_1^+} - \frac{1}{2q^+} \right) m + \frac{\mathbf{k}_2^i}{p_2^+} \right] \gamma^+ v(\check{p}_1, h_1) \\
&\times \left[t^a \mathcal{U}_F^\dagger(\infty, z^+, \mathbf{z}) \right]_{\beta_2 \alpha_2} \mathcal{U}_A(z^+, w^+, \mathbf{z})_{ab} \left[t^b \mathcal{U}_F^\dagger(\infty, w^+, \mathbf{z}_1) \right]_{\beta_1 \alpha_1}, \tag{269}
\end{aligned}$$

from which one can extract the scattering amplitude as

$$\begin{aligned}
i\mathcal{M}_{\bar{q}\rightarrow\bar{q}\bar{q},1} &= g^2 \frac{1}{(2p_2^+)(2q^+)} \int \frac{d^2\mathbf{k}_2}{(2\pi)^2} \int_{\mathbf{z},\mathbf{z}_1} e^{-i\mathbf{z}\cdot(\mathbf{p}_2+k_2)} e^{-i\mathbf{z}_1\cdot(\mathbf{p}_1+\mathbf{k}_2-\mathbf{q})} \\
&\times \int_{-\frac{L^+}{2}}^{\frac{L^+}{2}} dz^+ \int_{-\infty}^{-\frac{L^+}{2}} dw^+ e^{iw^+ \left(\frac{\mathbf{k}_2^2}{2p_2^+} + \frac{(\mathbf{k}_2-\mathbf{q})^2}{2p_1^+} - \frac{\mathbf{q}^2}{2q^+} + \frac{m^2}{2p_1^+} - \frac{m^2}{2q^+} \right)} \bar{\Psi}_{\beta_2}(\underline{z}) \frac{\gamma^- \gamma^+}{2} \gamma^i \\
&\times v(\check{p}_2, h_2) \bar{v}(\check{q}, h) \left[-\frac{\gamma^i \gamma^l \mathbf{k}_2^l}{2\mathbf{p}_1^+} + \frac{\gamma^i \gamma^l \mathbf{q}^l}{2p_1^+} + \frac{\gamma^l \gamma^i \mathbf{q}^l}{2q^+} + \gamma^i \left(\frac{1}{2p_1^+} - \frac{1}{2q^+} \right) m + \frac{\mathbf{k}_2^i}{p_2^+} \right] \gamma^+ v(\check{p}_1, h_1) \\
&\times \left[t^a \mathcal{U}_F^\dagger(\infty, z^+, \mathbf{z}) \right]_{\beta_2\alpha_2} \mathcal{U}_A(z^+, w^+, \mathbf{z})_{ab} \left[t^b \mathcal{U}_F^\dagger(\infty, w^+, \mathbf{z}_1) \right]_{\beta_1\alpha_1}. \tag{270}
\end{aligned}$$

Similarly, the S -matrix element for the second mechanism can be obtained via

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{S}_{\bar{q}\rightarrow\bar{q}\bar{q},2} &= \lim_{x_1^+, x_2^+ \rightarrow \infty} \lim_{y^+ \rightarrow -\infty} \int_{x_1^-, x_2^-} \int_{\mathbf{y}} \int_{y^-} e^{ix_1 \cdot \check{p}_1} e^{ix_2 \cdot \check{p}_2} e^{-iy \cdot \check{q}} \int d^4 w \int d^4 z \theta(z^+ - w^+) \\
&\times \bar{\Psi}_{\beta_2}^-(\underline{z}) \left[(-igt^a \gamma_\nu) S_F(z, x_1) \Big|_{\text{Eik.}}^{\text{IA}, \check{q}} \right]_{\beta_1\alpha_1} \gamma^+ v(\check{p}_1, h_1) \left[G_F^{\nu\mu}(z, w) \Big|_{\text{Eik.}}^{\text{BI}} \right]_{ba} \\
&\times \bar{v}(\check{q}, h) \gamma^+ \left[S_{0,F}(y, w) (-igt^a \gamma_\mu) S_F(w, x_2) \Big|_{\text{Eik.}}^{\text{BA}, \check{q}} \right]_{\beta_2\alpha_2} (-1) \gamma^+ v(\check{p}_2, h_2), \tag{271}
\end{aligned}$$

Using the Eq. (213) for inside-to-after antiquark propagator, Eq. (206) for the before-to-inside gluon propagator, for Eq. (211) the before-to-after antiquark propagator, and Eq. (24) the vacuum quark propagator and simplifying, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{S}_{\bar{q}\rightarrow\bar{q}\bar{q},2} &= g^2 \frac{1}{2p_1^+} (2\pi) \delta(p_1^+ + p_2^+ - q^+) \int \frac{d^2\mathbf{k}_1}{(2\pi)^2} \int_{\mathbf{z},\mathbf{z}_1} e^{-i\mathbf{z}\cdot(\mathbf{p}_1+k_1)} e^{-i\mathbf{z}_1\cdot(\mathbf{p}_2+\mathbf{k}_1-\mathbf{q})} \\
&\times \int_{-\frac{L^+}{2}}^{\frac{L^+}{2}} dz^+ \int_{-\infty}^{-\frac{L^+}{2}} dw^+ e^{iw^+ \left(\frac{\mathbf{k}_1^2}{2p_1^+} + \frac{(\mathbf{k}_1-\mathbf{q})^2}{2p_2^+} - \frac{\mathbf{q}^2}{2q^+} + \frac{m^2}{2p_2^+} - \frac{m^2}{2q^+} \right)} \bar{\Psi}_{\beta_1}(\underline{z}) \frac{\gamma^- \gamma^+}{2} \gamma^i \\
&\times v(\check{p}_1, h_1) \bar{v}(\check{q}, h) \left[-\frac{\gamma^i \gamma^l \mathbf{k}_1^l}{2\mathbf{p}_2^+} + \frac{\gamma^i \gamma^l \mathbf{q}^l}{2p_2^+} + \frac{\gamma^l \gamma^i \mathbf{q}^l}{2q^+} + \gamma^i \left(\frac{1}{2p_2^+} - \frac{1}{2q^+} \right) m + \frac{\mathbf{k}_1^i}{p_1^+} \right] \gamma^+ v(\check{p}_2, h_2) \\
&\times \left[t^a \mathcal{U}_F^\dagger(\infty, z^+, \mathbf{z}) \right]_{\beta_1\alpha_1} \mathcal{U}_A(z^+, w^+, \mathbf{z})_{ba} \left[t^b \mathcal{U}_F^\dagger(\infty, w^+, \mathbf{z}_1) \right]_{\beta_2\alpha_2}, \tag{272}
\end{aligned}$$

from which one can extract the scattering amplitude as

$$\begin{aligned}
i\mathcal{M}_{\bar{q}\rightarrow\bar{q}\bar{q},2} &= g^2 \frac{1}{(2p_1^+)(2q^+)} \int \frac{d^2\mathbf{k}_1}{(2\pi)^2} \int_{\mathbf{z},\mathbf{z}_1} e^{-i\mathbf{z}\cdot(\mathbf{p}_1+k_1)} e^{-i\mathbf{z}_1\cdot(\mathbf{p}_2+\mathbf{k}_1-\mathbf{q})} \\
&\times \int_{-\frac{L^+}{2}}^{\frac{L^+}{2}} dz^+ \int_{-\infty}^{-\frac{L^+}{2}} dw^+ e^{iw^+ \left(\frac{\mathbf{k}_1^2}{2p_1^+} + \frac{(\mathbf{k}_1-\mathbf{q})^2}{2p_2^+} - \frac{\mathbf{q}^2}{2q^+} + \frac{m^2}{2p_2^+} - \frac{m^2}{2q^+} \right)} \bar{\Psi}_{\beta_1}(\underline{z}) \frac{\gamma^- \gamma^+}{2} \gamma^i \\
&\times v(\check{p}_1, h_1) \bar{v}(\check{q}, h) \left[-\frac{\gamma^i \gamma^l \mathbf{k}_1^l}{2\mathbf{p}_2^+} + \frac{\gamma^i \gamma^l \mathbf{q}^l}{2p_2^+} + \frac{\gamma^l \gamma^i \mathbf{q}^l}{2q^+} + \gamma^i \left(\frac{1}{2p_2^+} - \frac{1}{2q^+} \right) m + \frac{\mathbf{k}_1^i}{p_1^+} \right] \gamma^+ v(\check{p}_2, h_2) \\
&\times \left[t^a \mathcal{U}_F^\dagger(\infty, z^+, \mathbf{z}) \right]_{\beta_1\alpha_1} \mathcal{U}_A(z^+, w^+, \mathbf{z})_{ab} \left[t^b \mathcal{U}_F^\dagger(\infty, w^+, \mathbf{z}_1) \right]_{\beta_2\alpha_2}. \tag{273}
\end{aligned}$$

Following the same procedure as in the previously discussed channels, starting from the scattering amplitude in general kinematics given in Eq. (270) and (273), the scattering amplitudes in the back-to-back and massless quark limits can be obtained as

$$\begin{aligned}
i\mathcal{M}_{\bar{q}\rightarrow\bar{q}\bar{q},1}^{\text{b2b},m=0} &= -ig^2 \frac{z(1-z)}{(2q^+)(2p_2^+)} \frac{1}{\mathbf{P}^2} \int_{-\frac{L^+}{2}}^{\frac{L^+}{2}} dz^+ \int_{\mathbf{b}} e^{-i\mathbf{b}\cdot(\mathbf{k}-\mathbf{q})} \bar{\Psi}_{\beta_2}(z^+, \mathbf{b}) \frac{\gamma^- \gamma^+}{2} \gamma^i v(\check{p}_2, h_2) \bar{v}(\check{q}, h) \\
&\times \left[\frac{\gamma^i \gamma^l \mathbf{P}^l}{z} - \frac{2\mathbf{P}^i}{1-z} \right] \gamma^+ v(\check{p}_1, h_1) \left[t^a \mathcal{U}_{\text{F}}^\dagger(\infty, z^+, \mathbf{b}) \right]_{\beta_2\alpha_2} \mathcal{U}_{\text{A}}(z^+, -\infty, \mathbf{b})_{ab} \left[t^b \mathcal{U}_{\text{F}}^\dagger(\infty, -\infty, \mathbf{b}) \right]_{\beta_1\alpha_1},
\end{aligned} \tag{274}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
i\mathcal{M}_{\bar{q}\rightarrow\bar{q}\bar{q},2}^{\text{b2b},m=0} &= ig^2 \frac{z(1-z)}{(2q^+)(2p_1^+)} \frac{1}{\mathbf{P}^2} \int_{-\frac{L^+}{2}}^{\frac{L^+}{2}} dz^+ \int_{\mathbf{b}} e^{-i\mathbf{b}\cdot(\mathbf{k}-\mathbf{q})} \bar{\Psi}_{\beta_1}(z^+, \mathbf{b}) \frac{\gamma^- \gamma^+}{2} \gamma^i v(\check{p}_1, h_1) \bar{v}(\check{q}, h) \\
&\times \left[\frac{\gamma^i \gamma^l \mathbf{P}^l}{1-z} - \frac{2\mathbf{P}^i}{z} \right] \gamma^+ v(\check{p}_2, h_2) \left[t^a \mathcal{U}_{\text{F}}^\dagger(\infty, z^+, \mathbf{b}) \right]_{\beta_1\alpha_1} \mathcal{U}_{\text{A}}(z^+, -\infty, \mathbf{b})_{ab} \left[t^b \mathcal{U}_{\text{F}}^\dagger(\infty, -\infty, \mathbf{b}) \right]_{\beta_2\alpha_2}.
\end{aligned} \tag{275}$$

The total scattering amplitude in the $\bar{q} \rightarrow \bar{q}\bar{q}$ channel in the back-to-back and massless quarks limits is then given by

$$\begin{aligned}
i\mathcal{M}_{\bar{q}\rightarrow\bar{q}\bar{q},\text{tot.}}^{\text{b2b},m=0} &= i\mathcal{M}_{\bar{q}\rightarrow\bar{q}\bar{q},1}^{\text{b2b},m=0} + i\mathcal{M}_{\bar{q}\rightarrow\bar{q}\bar{q},2}^{\text{b2b},m=0} \\
&= -\frac{i}{2} \frac{g^2}{(2q^+)^2} \frac{1}{\mathbf{P}^2} \int_{-\frac{L^+}{2}}^{\frac{L^+}{2}} dz^+ \int d^2\mathbf{b} e^{-i\mathbf{b}\cdot(\mathbf{k}-\mathbf{q})} \\
&\times \left\{ \left(\mathfrak{h}_{\bar{q}\rightarrow\bar{q}\bar{q}}^{(1)} \right)_{\alpha_1} \mathcal{U}_{\text{F}}^\dagger(\infty, z^+, \mathbf{b})_{\beta_1\alpha_1} \mathcal{U}_{\text{F}}^\dagger(\infty, -\infty, \mathbf{b})_{\beta_2\alpha} \right. \\
&\quad \left. + \left(\mathfrak{h}_{\bar{q}\rightarrow\bar{q}\bar{q}}^{(2)} \right)_{\alpha_1} \mathcal{U}_{\text{F}}^\dagger(\infty, z^+, \mathbf{b})_{\beta_2\alpha_1} \mathcal{U}_{\text{F}}^\dagger(\infty, -\infty, \mathbf{b})_{\beta_1\alpha} \right\},
\end{aligned} \tag{276}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathfrak{h}_{\bar{q}\rightarrow\bar{q}\bar{q}}^{(1)} &= (\mathfrak{h}_{q\rightarrow qq}^{(2)})^\dagger, \\
\mathfrak{h}_{\bar{q}\rightarrow\bar{q}\bar{q}}^{(2)} &= (\mathfrak{h}_{q\rightarrow qq}^{(1)})^\dagger,
\end{aligned} \tag{277}$$

due to charge conjugation.

The partonic cross section in the back-to-back limit is then given by

$$\frac{d\sigma_{\bar{q}\rightarrow\bar{q}\bar{q}}^{\text{b2b},m=0}}{d\mathbf{P}\cdot\mathbf{S}} = (2q^+) (2\pi) \delta(p_1^+ + p_2^+ - q^+) \frac{1}{2N_c} \sum_{h_1, h_2, h} \sum_{\beta_1, \beta_2, \alpha} \left\langle \left| i\mathcal{M}_{\bar{q}\rightarrow\bar{q}\bar{q},\text{tot.}}^{\text{b2b},m=0} \right|^2 \right\rangle. \tag{278}$$

In the end, the partonic cross section in the back-to-back limit can be written in a general

form for the different $\bar{q}_f \rightarrow \bar{q}_{f_1} \bar{q}_{f_2}$ channels as

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d\sigma_{\bar{q}_f \rightarrow \bar{q}_{f_1} \bar{q}_{f_2}}^{\text{b2b}, m=0}}{dP.S} &= g^4 (2\pi) \delta(p_1^+ + p_2^+ - q^+) \int_{-\frac{L^+}{2}}^{\frac{L^+}{2}} dz^+ \int_{-\frac{L^+}{2}}^{\frac{L^+}{2}} dz'^+ \int_{\mathbf{b}, \mathbf{b}'} e^{i(\mathbf{b}-\mathbf{b}') \cdot (\mathbf{k}-\mathbf{q})} \\ &\times \left[\mathcal{H}_{\bar{q}_f \rightarrow \bar{q}_{f_1} \bar{q}_{f_2}}^{+\square} \mathcal{C}^{+\square} + \mathcal{H}_{\bar{q}_f \rightarrow \bar{q}_{f_1} \bar{q}_{f_2}}^{+-+} \mathcal{C}^{+-+} \right], \end{aligned} \quad (279)$$

where, hard factors $\mathcal{H}_{\bar{q}_f \rightarrow \bar{q}_{f_1} \bar{q}_{f_2}}^{+\square}$ and $\mathcal{H}_{\bar{q}_f \rightarrow \bar{q}_{f_1} \bar{q}_{f_2}}^{+-+}$ are the same as in the $q \rightarrow qq$ channel, given in Eqs. (145) and (146) (for the all same flavor antiquarks case); (150) and (151) (for the different flavor antiquark case) respectively. Moreover, the color structures $\mathcal{C}^{+\square}$ and \mathcal{C}^{+-+} are also same as in the $q \rightarrow qq$ channel, given in Eqs. (85) and (147) respectively.

References

- [1] F. Gelis, E. Iancu, J. Jalilian-Marian, and R. Venugopalan, “The Color Glass Condensate,” *Ann. Rev. Nucl. Part. Sci.*, vol. 60, pp. 463–489, 2010. DOI: 10.1146/annurev.nucl.010909.083629. arXiv: 1002.0333 [hep-ph].
- [2] J. L. Albacete and C. Marquet, “Gluon saturation and initial conditions for relativistic heavy ion collisions,” *Prog. Part. Nucl. Phys.*, vol. 76, pp. 1–42, 2014. DOI: 10.1016/j.ppnp.2014.01.004. arXiv: 1401.4866 [hep-ph].
- [3] J.-P. Blaizot, “High gluon densities in heavy ion collisions,” *Rept. Prog. Phys.*, vol. 80, no. 3, p. 032301, 2017. DOI: 10.1088/1361-6633/aa5435. arXiv: 1607.04448 [hep-ph].
- [4] I. Balitsky, “Operator expansion for high-energy scattering,” *Nucl. Phys. B*, vol. 463, pp. 99–160, 1996. DOI: 10.1016/0550-3213(95)00638-9. arXiv: hep-ph/9509348.
- [5] Y. V. Kovchegov, “Small x F(2) structure function of a nucleus including multiple pomeron exchanges,” *Phys. Rev. D*, vol. 60, p. 034008, 1999. DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevD.60.034008. arXiv: hep-ph/9901281.
- [6] Y. V. Kovchegov, “Unitarization of the BFKL pomeron on a nucleus,” *Phys. Rev. D*, vol. 61, p. 074018, 2000. DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevD.61.074018. arXiv: hep-ph/9905214.
- [7] J. Jalilian-Marian, A. Kovner, L. D. McLerran, and H. Weigert, “The Intrinsic glue distribution at very small x,” *Phys. Rev. D*, vol. 55, pp. 5414–5428, 1997. DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevD.55.5414. arXiv: hep-ph/9606337.
- [8] J. Jalilian-Marian, A. Kovner, A. Leonidov, and H. Weigert, “The BFKL equation from the Wilson renormalization group,” *Nucl. Phys. B*, vol. 504, pp. 415–431, 1997. DOI: 10.1016/S0550-3213(97)00440-9. arXiv: hep-ph/9701284.
- [9] J. Jalilian-Marian, A. Kovner, A. Leonidov, and H. Weigert, “The Wilson renormalization group for low x physics: Towards the high density regime,” *Phys. Rev. D*, vol. 59, p. 014014, 1998. DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevD.59.014014. arXiv: hep-ph/9706377.
- [10] J. Jalilian-Marian, A. Kovner, and H. Weigert, “The Wilson renormalization group for low x physics: Gluon evolution at finite parton density,” *Phys. Rev. D*, vol. 59, p. 014015, 1998. DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevD.59.014015. arXiv: hep-ph/9709432.

- [11] A. Kovner, J. G. Milhano, and H. Weigert, “Relating different approaches to nonlinear QCD evolution at finite gluon density,” *Phys. Rev. D*, vol. 62, p. 114 005, 2000. DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevD.62.114005. arXiv: hep-ph/0004014.
- [12] H. Weigert, “Unitarity at small Bjorken x ,” *Nucl. Phys. A*, vol. 703, pp. 823–860, 2002. DOI: 10.1016/S0375-9474(01)01668-2. arXiv: hep-ph/0004044.
- [13] E. Iancu, A. Leonidov, and L. D. McLerran, “Nonlinear gluon evolution in the color glass condensate. 1.,” *Nucl. Phys. A*, vol. 692, pp. 583–645, 2001. DOI: 10.1016/S0375-9474(01)00642-X. arXiv: hep-ph/0011241.
- [14] E. Iancu, A. Leonidov, and L. D. McLerran, “The Renormalization group equation for the color glass condensate,” *Phys. Lett. B*, vol. 510, pp. 133–144, 2001. DOI: 10.1016/S0370-2693(01)00524-X. arXiv: hep-ph/0102009.
- [15] E. Ferreiro, E. Iancu, A. Leonidov, and L. McLerran, “Nonlinear gluon evolution in the color glass condensate. 2.,” *Nucl. Phys. A*, vol. 703, pp. 489–538, 2002. DOI: 10.1016/S0375-9474(01)01329-X. arXiv: hep-ph/0109115.
- [16] A. Dumitru, A. Hayashigaki, and J. Jalilian-Marian, “The Color glass condensate and hadron production in the forward region,” *Nucl. Phys. A*, vol. 765, pp. 464–482, 2006. DOI: 10.1016/j.nuclphysa.2005.11.014. arXiv: hep-ph/0506308.
- [17] V. N. Gribov and L. N. Lipatov, “Deep inelastic $e p$ scattering in perturbation theory,” *Sov. J. Nucl. Phys.*, vol. 15, pp. 438–450, 1972.
- [18] G. Altarelli and G. Parisi, “Asymptotic Freedom in Parton Language,” *Nucl. Phys. B*, vol. 126, pp. 298–318, 1977. DOI: 10.1016/0550-3213(77)90384-4.
- [19] Y. L. Dokshitzer, “Calculation of the Structure Functions for Deep Inelastic Scattering and $e^+ e^-$ Annihilation by Perturbation Theory in Quantum Chromodynamics.,” *Sov. Phys. JETP*, vol. 46, pp. 641–653, 1977.
- [20] T. Altinoluk and A. Kovner, “Particle Production at High Energy and Large Transverse Momentum - ‘The Hybrid Formalism’ Revisited,” *Phys. Rev. D*, vol. 83, p. 105 004, 2011. DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevD.83.105004. arXiv: 1102.5327 [hep-ph].
- [21] G. A. Chirilli, B.-W. Xiao, and F. Yuan, “One-loop Factorization for Inclusive Hadron Production in pA Collisions in the Saturation Formalism,” *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, vol. 108, p. 122 301, 2012. DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevLett.108.122301. arXiv: 1112.1061 [hep-ph].
- [22] G. A. Chirilli, B.-W. Xiao, and F. Yuan, “Inclusive Hadron Productions in pA Collisions,” *Phys. Rev. D*, vol. 86, p. 054 005, 2012. DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevD.86.054005. arXiv: 1203.6139 [hep-ph].
- [23] A. M. Stasto, B.-W. Xiao, and D. Zaslavsky, “Towards the Test of Saturation Physics Beyond Leading Logarithm,” *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, vol. 112, no. 1, p. 012 302, 2014. DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevLett.112.012302. arXiv: 1307.4057 [hep-ph].
- [24] Z.-B. Kang, I. Vitev, and H. Xing, “Next-to-leading order forward hadron production in the small- x regime: rapidity factorization,” *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, vol. 113, p. 062 002, 2014. DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevLett.113.062002. arXiv: 1403.5221 [hep-ph].

- [25] T. Altinoluk, N. Armesto, G. Beuf, A. Kovner, and M. Lublinsky, “Single-inclusive particle production in proton-nucleus collisions at next-to-leading order in the hybrid formalism,” *Phys. Rev. D*, vol. 91, no. 9, p. 094016, 2015. DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevD.91.094016. arXiv: 1411.2869 [hep-ph].
- [26] T. Altinoluk, N. Armesto, G. Beuf, A. Kovner, and M. Lublinsky, “Heavy quarks in proton-nucleus collisions - the hybrid formalism,” *Phys. Rev. D*, vol. 93, no. 5, p. 054049, 2016. DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevD.93.054049. arXiv: 1511.09415 [hep-ph].
- [27] E. Iancu, A. H. Mueller, and D. N. Triantafyllopoulos, “CGC factorization for forward particle production in proton-nucleus collisions at next-to-leading order,” *JHEP*, vol. 12, p. 041, 2016. DOI: 10.1007/JHEP12(2016)041. arXiv: 1608.05293 [hep-ph].
- [28] H.-Y. Liu, Z.-B. Kang, and X. Liu, “Threshold resummation for hadron production in the small- x region,” *Phys. Rev. D*, vol. 102, no. 5, p. 051502, 2020. DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevD.102.051502. arXiv: 2004.11990 [hep-ph].
- [29] B. Ducloué, T. Lappi, and Y. Zhu, “Single inclusive forward hadron production at next-to-leading order,” *Phys. Rev. D*, vol. 93, no. 11, p. 114016, 2016. DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevD.93.114016. arXiv: 1604.00225 [hep-ph].
- [30] Y. Shi, L. Wang, S.-Y. Wei, and B.-W. Xiao, “Pursuing the Precision Study for Color Glass Condensate in Forward Hadron Productions,” *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, vol. 128, no. 20, p. 202302, 2022. DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevLett.128.202302. arXiv: 2112.06975 [hep-ph].
- [31] L. Wang, L. Chen, Z. Gao, Y. Shi, S.-Y. Wei, and B.-W. Xiao, “Forward inclusive jet productions in pA collisions,” *Phys. Rev. D*, vol. 107, no. 1, p. 016016, 2023. DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevD.107.016016. arXiv: 2211.08322 [hep-ph].
- [32] T. Altinoluk, N. Armesto, A. Kovner, and M. Lublinsky, “Single inclusive particle production at next-to-leading order in proton-nucleus collisions at forward rapidities: Hybrid approach meets TMD factorization,” *Phys. Rev. D*, vol. 108, no. 7, p. 074003, 2023. DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevD.108.074003. arXiv: 2307.14922 [hep-ph].
- [33] K. Watanabe, B.-W. Xiao, F. Yuan, and D. Zaslavsky, “Implementing the exact kinematical constraint in the saturation formalism,” *Phys. Rev. D*, vol. 92, no. 3, p. 034026, 2015. DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevD.92.034026. arXiv: 1505.05183 [hep-ph].
- [34] B. Ducloué *et al.*, “Use of a running coupling in the NLO calculation of forward hadron production,” *Phys. Rev. D*, vol. 97, no. 5, p. 054020, 2018. DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevD.97.054020. arXiv: 1712.07480 [hep-ph].
- [35] B. Ducloué, T. Lappi, and Y. Zhu, “Implementation of NLO high energy factorization in single inclusive forward hadron production,” *Phys. Rev. D*, vol. 95, no. 11, p. 114007, 2017. DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevD.95.114007. arXiv: 1703.04962 [hep-ph].
- [36] H. Mäntysaari and Y. Tawabutr, “Complete next-to-leading order calculation of single inclusive π^0 production in forward proton-nucleus collisions,” *Phys. Rev. D*, vol. 109, no. 3, p. 034018, 2024. DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevD.109.034018. arXiv: 2310.06640 [hep-ph].
- [37] E. Petreska, “TMD gluon distributions at small x in the CGC theory,” *Int. J. Mod. Phys. E*, vol. 27, no. 05, p. 1830003, 2018. DOI: 10.1142/S0218301318300035. arXiv: 1804.04981 [hep-ph].

- [38] R. Boussarie *et al.*, “TMD Handbook,” Apr. 2023. arXiv: 2304.03302 [hep-ph].
- [39] F. Dominguez, C. Marquet, B.-W. Xiao, and F. Yuan, “Universality of Unintegrated Gluon Distributions at small x ,” *Phys. Rev. D*, vol. 83, p. 105005, 2011. DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevD.83.105005. arXiv: 1101.0715 [hep-ph].
- [40] C. Marquet, E. Petreska, and C. Roiesnel, “Transverse-momentum-dependent gluon distributions from JIMWLK evolution,” *JHEP*, vol. 10, p. 065, 2016. DOI: 10.1007/JHEP10(2016)065. arXiv: 1608.02577 [hep-ph].
- [41] C. Marquet, C. Roiesnel, and P. Taels, “Linearly polarized small- x gluons in forward heavy-quark pair production,” *Phys. Rev. D*, vol. 97, no. 1, p. 014004, 2018. DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevD.97.014004. arXiv: 1710.05698 [hep-ph].
- [42] T. Altinoluk, N. Armesto, A. Kovner, M. Lublinsky, and E. Petreska, “Soft photon and two hard jets forward production in proton-nucleus collisions,” *JHEP*, vol. 04, p. 063, 2018. DOI: 10.1007/JHEP04(2018)063. arXiv: 1802.01398 [hep-ph].
- [43] T. Altinoluk, R. Boussarie, C. Marquet, and P. Taels, “TMD factorization for dijets + photon production from the dilute-dense CGC framework,” *JHEP*, vol. 07, p. 079, 2019. DOI: 10.1007/JHEP07(2019)079. arXiv: 1810.11273 [hep-ph].
- [44] T. Altinoluk, R. Boussarie, C. Marquet, and P. Taels, “Photoproduction of three jets in the CGC: gluon TMDs and dilute limit,” *JHEP*, vol. 07, p. 143, 2020. DOI: 10.1007/JHEP07(2020)143. arXiv: 2001.00765 [hep-ph].
- [45] P. Taels, “Forward production of a Drell-Yan pair and a jet at small x at next-to-leading order,” *JHEP*, vol. 01, p. 005, 2024. DOI: 10.1007/JHEP01(2024)005. arXiv: 2308.02449 [hep-ph].
- [46] P. Taels, T. Altinoluk, G. Beuf, and C. Marquet, “Dijet photoproduction at low x at next-to-leading order and its back-to-back limit,” *JHEP*, vol. 10, p. 184, 2022. DOI: 10.1007/JHEP10(2022)184. arXiv: 2204.11650 [hep-ph].
- [47] P. Caucal, F. Salazar, B. Schenke, and R. Venugopalan, “Back-to-back inclusive dijets in DIS at small x : Sudakov suppression and gluon saturation at NLO,” *JHEP*, vol. 11, p. 169, 2022. DOI: 10.1007/JHEP11(2022)169. arXiv: 2208.13872 [hep-ph].
- [48] P. Caucal, F. Salazar, B. Schenke, T. Stebel, and R. Venugopalan, “Back-to-back inclusive dijets in DIS at small x : gluon Weizsäcker-Williams distribution at NLO,” *JHEP*, vol. 08, p. 062, 2023. DOI: 10.1007/JHEP08(2023)062. arXiv: 2304.03304 [hep-ph].
- [49] P. Caucal, F. Salazar, B. Schenke, T. Stebel, and R. Venugopalan, “Back-to-Back Inclusive Dijets in Deep Inelastic Scattering at Small x : Complete NLO Results and Predictions,” *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, vol. 132, no. 8, p. 081902, 2024. DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevLett.132.081902. arXiv: 2308.00022 [hep-ph].
- [50] T. Altinoluk, R. Boussarie, and P. Kotko, “Interplay of the CGC and TMD frameworks to all orders in kinematic twist,” *JHEP*, vol. 05, p. 156, 2019. DOI: 10.1007/JHEP05(2019)156. arXiv: 1901.01175 [hep-ph].
- [51] T. Altinoluk and R. Boussarie, “Low x physics as an infinite twist (G)TMD framework: unravelling the origins of saturation,” *JHEP*, vol. 10, p. 208, 2019. DOI: 10.1007/JHEP10(2019)208. arXiv: 1902.07930 [hep-ph].

- [52] R. Boussarie and Y. Mehtar-Tani, “Gauge invariance of transverse momentum dependent distributions at small x ,” *Phys. Rev. D*, vol. 103, no. 9, p. 094012, 2021. DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevD.103.094012. arXiv: 2001.06449 [hep-ph].
- [53] H. Fujii, C. Marquet, and K. Watanabe, “Comparison of improved TMD and CGC frameworks in forward quark dijet production,” *JHEP*, vol. 12, p. 181, 2020. DOI: 10.1007/JHEP12(2020)181. arXiv: 2006.16279 [hep-ph].
- [54] T. Altinoluk, C. Marquet, and P. Taels, “Low- x improved TMD approach to the lepto- and hadroproduction of a heavy-quark pair,” *JHEP*, vol. 06, p. 085, 2021. DOI: 10.1007/JHEP06(2021)085. arXiv: 2103.14495 [hep-ph].
- [55] R. Boussarie, H. Mäntysaari, F. Salazar, and B. Schenke, “The importance of kinematic twists and genuine saturation effects in dijet production at the Electron-Ion Collider,” *JHEP*, vol. 09, p. 178, 2021. DOI: 10.1007/JHEP09(2021)178. arXiv: 2106.11301 [hep-ph].
- [56] P. Kotko, K. Kutak, C. Marquet, E. Petreska, S. Sapeta, and A. van Hameren, “Improved TMD factorization for forward dijet production in dilute-dense hadronic collisions,” *JHEP*, vol. 09, p. 106, 2015. DOI: 10.1007/JHEP09(2015)106. arXiv: 1503.03421 [hep-ph].
- [57] A. van Hameren, P. Kotko, K. Kutak, C. Marquet, E. Petreska, and S. Sapeta, “Forward di-jet production in p+Pb collisions in the small- x improved TMD factorization framework,” *JHEP*, vol. 12, p. 034, 2016, [Erratum: *JHEP* 02, 158 (2019)]. DOI: 10.1007/JHEP12(2016)034. arXiv: 1607.03121 [hep-ph].
- [58] M. Bury, A. van Hameren, P. Kotko, and K. Kutak, “Forward trijet production in p-p and p-Pb collisions at LHC,” *JHEP*, vol. 09, p. 175, 2020. DOI: 10.1007/JHEP09(2020)175. arXiv: 2006.13175 [hep-ph].
- [59] T. Altinoluk, N. Armesto, G. Beuf, M. Martínez, and C. A. Salgado, “Next-to-eikonal corrections in the CGC: gluon production and spin asymmetries in pA collisions,” *JHEP*, vol. 07, p. 068, 2014. DOI: 10.1007/JHEP07(2014)068. arXiv: 1404.2219 [hep-ph].
- [60] T. Altinoluk, N. Armesto, G. Beuf, and A. Moscoso, “Next-to-next-to-eikonal corrections in the CGC,” *JHEP*, vol. 01, p. 114, 2016. DOI: 10.1007/JHEP01(2016)114. arXiv: 1505.01400 [hep-ph].
- [61] T. Altinoluk and A. Dumitru, “Particle production in high-energy collisions beyond the shockwave limit,” *Phys. Rev. D*, vol. 94, no. 7, p. 074032, 2016. DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevD.94.074032. arXiv: 1512.00279 [hep-ph].
- [62] P. Agostini, T. Altinoluk, and N. Armesto, “Non-eikonal corrections to multi-particle production in the Color Glass Condensate,” *Eur. Phys. J. C*, vol. 79, no. 7, p. 600, 2019. DOI: 10.1140/epjc/s10052-019-7097-5. arXiv: 1902.04483 [hep-ph].
- [63] P. Agostini, T. Altinoluk, and N. Armesto, “Effect of non-eikonal corrections on azimuthal asymmetries in the Color Glass Condensate,” *Eur. Phys. J. C*, vol. 79, no. 9, p. 790, 2019. DOI: 10.1140/epjc/s10052-019-7315-1. arXiv: 1907.03668 [hep-ph].

- [64] P. Agostini, T. Altinoluk, N. Armesto, F. Dominguez, and J. G. Milhano, “Multiparticle production in proton–nucleus collisions beyond eikonal accuracy,” *Eur. Phys. J. C*, vol. 82, no. 11, p. 1001, 2022. DOI: 10.1140/epjc/s10052-022-10962-1. arXiv: 2207.10472 [hep-ph].
- [65] P. Agostini, T. Altinoluk, and N. Armesto, “Finite width effects on the azimuthal asymmetry in proton-nucleus collisions in the Color Glass Condensate,” *Phys. Lett. B*, vol. 840, p. 137892, 2023. DOI: 10.1016/j.physletb.2023.137892. arXiv: 2212.03633 [hep-ph].
- [66] T. Altinoluk, G. Beuf, A. Czajka, and A. Tymowska, “Quarks at next-to-eikonal accuracy in the CGC: Forward quark-nucleus scattering,” *Phys. Rev. D*, vol. 104, no. 1, p. 014019, 2021. DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevD.104.014019. arXiv: 2012.03886 [hep-ph].
- [67] T. Altinoluk and G. Beuf, “Quark and scalar propagators at next-to-eikonal accuracy in the CGC through a dynamical background gluon field,” *Phys. Rev. D*, vol. 105, no. 7, p. 074026, 2022. DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevD.105.074026. arXiv: 2109.01620 [hep-ph].
- [68] P. Agostini, “Scalar propagator in a background gluon field beyond the eikonal approximation,” *JHEP*, vol. 11, p. 099, 2023. DOI: 10.1007/JHEP11(2023)099. arXiv: 2307.13573 [hep-ph].
- [69] T. Altinoluk, G. Beuf, A. Czajka, and A. Tymowska, “DIS dijet production at next-to-eikonal accuracy in the CGC,” *Phys. Rev. D*, vol. 107, no. 7, p. 074016, 2023. DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevD.107.074016. arXiv: 2212.10484 [hep-ph].
- [70] P. Agostini, T. Altinoluk, and N. Armesto, “Next-to-eikonal corrections to dijet production in Deep Inelastic Scattering in the dilute limit of the Color Glass Condensate,” *JHEP*, vol. 07, p. 137, 2024. DOI: 10.1007/JHEP07(2024)137. arXiv: 2403.04603 [hep-ph].
- [71] T. Altinoluk, N. Armesto, and G. Beuf, “Probing quark transverse momentum distributions in the color glass condensate: Quark-gluon dijets in deep inelastic scattering at next-to-eikonal accuracy,” *Phys. Rev. D*, vol. 108, no. 7, p. 074023, 2023. DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevD.108.074023. arXiv: 2303.12691 [hep-ph].
- [72] T. Altinoluk, G. Beuf, A. Czajka, and C. Marquet, “Back-to-back dijet production in DIS at next-to-eikonal accuracy and twist-3 gluon TMDs,” Oct. 2024. arXiv: 2410.00612 [hep-ph].
- [73] T. Altinoluk, G. Beuf, and S. Mulani, “Forward parton-nucleus scattering at next-to-eikonal accuracy in the CGC,” Nov. 2024. arXiv: 2411.15047 [hep-ph].
- [74] Y. V. Kovchegov, D. Pitonyak, and M. D. Sievert, “Helicity Evolution at Small- x ,” *JHEP*, vol. 01, p. 072, 2016, [Erratum: *JHEP* 10, 148 (2016)]. DOI: 10.1007/JHEP01(2016)072. arXiv: 1511.06737 [hep-ph].
- [75] Y. V. Kovchegov, D. Pitonyak, and M. D. Sievert, “Helicity Evolution at Small x : Flavor Singlet and Non-Singlet Observables,” *Phys. Rev. D*, vol. 95, no. 1, p. 014033, 2017. DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevD.95.014033. arXiv: 1610.06197 [hep-ph].
- [76] Y. V. Kovchegov, D. Pitonyak, and M. D. Sievert, “Small- x asymptotics of the quark helicity distribution,” *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, vol. 118, no. 5, p. 052001, 2017. DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevLett.118.052001. arXiv: 1610.06188 [hep-ph].

- [77] Y. V. Kovchegov, D. Pitonyak, and M. D. Sievert, “Small- x Asymptotics of the Quark Helicity Distribution: Analytic Results,” *Phys. Lett. B*, vol. 772, pp. 136–140, 2017. DOI: 10.1016/j.physletb.2017.06.032. arXiv: 1703.05809 [hep-ph].
- [78] Y. V. Kovchegov, D. Pitonyak, and M. D. Sievert, “Small- x Asymptotics of the Gluon Helicity Distribution,” *JHEP*, vol. 10, p. 198, 2017. DOI: 10.1007/JHEP10(2017)198. arXiv: 1706.04236 [nucl-th].
- [79] Y. V. Kovchegov and M. D. Sievert, “Small- x Helicity Evolution: an Operator Treatment,” *Phys. Rev. D*, vol. 99, no. 5, p. 054032, 2019. DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevD.99.054032. arXiv: 1808.09010 [hep-ph].
- [80] Y. V. Kovchegov and M. D. Sievert, “Valence Quark Transversity at Small x ,” *Phys. Rev. D*, vol. 99, no. 5, p. 054033, 2019. DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevD.99.054033. arXiv: 1808.10354 [hep-ph].
- [81] Y. V. Kovchegov and M. G. Santiago, “Lensing mechanism meets small- x physics: Single transverse spin asymmetry in $p^\uparrow + p$ and $p^\uparrow + A$ collisions,” *Phys. Rev. D*, vol. 102, no. 1, p. 014022, 2020. DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevD.102.014022. arXiv: 2003.12650 [hep-ph].
- [82] Y. V. Kovchegov and Y. Tawabutr, “Helicity at Small x : Oscillations Generated by Bringing Back the Quarks,” *JHEP*, vol. 08, p. 014, 2020. DOI: 10.1007/JHEP08(2020)014. arXiv: 2005.07285 [hep-ph].
- [83] Y. V. Kovchegov, A. Tarasov, and Y. Tawabutr, “Helicity evolution at small x : the single-logarithmic contribution,” *JHEP*, vol. 03, p. 184, 2022. DOI: 10.1007/JHEP03(2022)184. arXiv: 2104.11765 [hep-ph].
- [84] Y. V. Kovchegov and M. G. Santiago, “Quark sivers function at small x : spin-dependent odderon and the sub-eikonal evolution,” *JHEP*, vol. 11, p. 200, 2021, [Erratum: *JHEP* 09, 186 (2022)]. DOI: 10.1007/JHEP11(2021)200. arXiv: 2108.03667 [hep-ph].
- [85] F. Cougoulic, Y. V. Kovchegov, A. Tarasov, and Y. Tawabutr, “Quark and gluon helicity evolution at small x : revised and updated,” *JHEP*, vol. 07, p. 095, 2022. DOI: 10.1007/JHEP07(2022)095. arXiv: 2204.11898 [hep-ph].
- [86] Y. V. Kovchegov and M. G. Santiago, “T-odd leading-twist quark TMDs at small x ,” *JHEP*, vol. 11, p. 098, 2022. DOI: 10.1007/JHEP11(2022)098. arXiv: 2209.03538 [hep-ph].
- [87] J. Borden and Y. V. Kovchegov, “Analytic solution for the revised helicity evolution at small x and large N_c : New resummed gluon-gluon polarized anomalous dimension and intercept,” *Phys. Rev. D*, vol. 108, no. 1, p. 014001, 2023. DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevD.108.014001. arXiv: 2304.06161 [hep-ph].
- [88] Y. V. Kovchegov and M. Li, “Gluon double-spin asymmetry in the longitudinally polarized $p + p$ collisions,” *JHEP*, vol. 05, p. 177, 2024. DOI: 10.1007/JHEP05(2024)177. arXiv: 2403.06959 [hep-ph].
- [89] J. Borden, Y. V. Kovchegov, and M. Li, “Helicity Evolution at Small x : Quark to Gluon and Gluon to Quark Transition Operators,” Jun. 2024. arXiv: 2406.11647 [hep-ph].

- [90] F. Cougoulic and Y. V. Kovchegov, “Helicity-dependent generalization of the JIMWLK evolution,” *Phys. Rev. D*, vol. 100, no. 11, p. 114020, 2019. DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevD.100.114020. arXiv: 1910.04268 [hep-ph].
- [91] F. Cougoulic and Y. V. Kovchegov, “Helicity-dependent extension of the McLerran–Venugopalan model,” *Nucl. Phys. A*, vol. 1004, p. 122051, 2020. DOI: 10.1016/j.nuclphysa.2020.122051. arXiv: 2005.14688 [hep-ph].
- [92] I. Balitsky and A. Tarasov, “Rapidity evolution of gluon TMD from low to moderate x ,” *JHEP*, vol. 10, p. 017, 2015. DOI: 10.1007/JHEP10(2015)017. arXiv: 1505.02151 [hep-ph].
- [93] I. Balitsky and A. Tarasov, “Gluon TMD in particle production from low to moderate x ,” *JHEP*, vol. 06, p. 164, 2016. DOI: 10.1007/JHEP06(2016)164. arXiv: 1603.06548 [hep-ph].
- [94] I. Balitsky and A. Tarasov, “Higher-twist corrections to gluon TMD factorization,” *JHEP*, vol. 07, p. 095, 2017. DOI: 10.1007/JHEP07(2017)095. arXiv: 1706.01415 [hep-ph].
- [95] R. Boussarie and Y. Mehtar-Tani, “A novel formulation of the unintegrated gluon distribution for DIS,” *Phys. Lett. B*, vol. 831, p. 137125, 2022. DOI: 10.1016/j.physletb.2022.137125. arXiv: 2006.14569 [hep-ph].
- [96] R. Boussarie and Y. Mehtar-Tani, “Gluon-mediated inclusive Deep Inelastic Scattering from Regge to Bjorken kinematics,” *JHEP*, vol. 07, p. 080, 2022. DOI: 10.1007/JHEP07(2022)080. arXiv: 2112.01412 [hep-ph].
- [97] R. Boussarie and Y. Mehtar-Tani, “Low and moderate x gluon contribution to exclusive Compton scattering processes,” *JHEP*, vol. 10, p. 056, 2024. DOI: 10.1007/JHEP10(2024)056. arXiv: 2309.16576 [hep-ph].
- [98] G. A. Chirilli, “Sub-eikonal corrections to scattering amplitudes at high energy,” *JHEP*, vol. 01, p. 118, 2019. DOI: 10.1007/JHEP01(2019)118. arXiv: 1807.11435 [hep-ph].
- [99] G. A. Chirilli, “High-energy operator product expansion at sub-eikonal level,” *JHEP*, vol. 06, p. 096, 2021. DOI: 10.1007/JHEP06(2021)096. arXiv: 2101.12744 [hep-ph].
- [100] M. Li, “Small x physics beyond eikonal approximation: an effective Hamiltonian approach,” *JHEP*, vol. 07, p. 158, 2023. DOI: 10.1007/JHEP07(2023)158. arXiv: 2304.12842 [hep-ph].
- [101] M. Li, “Quasiclassical Gluon Fields and Low’s Soft Theorem at Small Momentum-Fraction x ,” *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, vol. 133, no. 2, p. 021902, 2024. DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevLett.133.021902. arXiv: 2402.17568 [hep-ph].
- [102] M. Li, “Quasi-Classical Evaluation of Gluon Saturation Induced Helicity Effects,” Nov. 2024. arXiv: 2411.13431 [hep-ph].
- [103] J. Jalilian-Marian, “Elastic scattering of a quark from a color field: longitudinal momentum exchange,” *Phys. Rev. D*, vol. 96, no. 7, p. 074020, 2017. DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevD.96.074020. arXiv: 1708.07533 [hep-ph].

- [104] J. Jalilian-Marian, “Quark jets scattering from a gluon field: from saturation to high p_t ,” *Phys. Rev. D*, vol. 99, no. 1, p. 014043, 2019. DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevD.99.014043. arXiv: 1809.04625 [hep-ph].
- [105] J. Jalilian-Marian, “Rapidity loss, spin, and angular asymmetries in the scattering of a quark from the color field of a proton or nucleus,” *Phys. Rev. D*, vol. 102, no. 1, p. 014008, 2020. DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevD.102.014008. arXiv: 1912.08878 [hep-ph].
- [106] Y. Hatta, Y. Nakagawa, F. Yuan, Y. Zhao, and B. Xiao, “Gluon orbital angular momentum at small- x ,” *Phys. Rev. D*, vol. 95, no. 11, p. 114032, 2017. DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevD.95.114032. arXiv: 1612.02445 [hep-ph].
- [107] Y. V. Kovchegov, “Orbital Angular Momentum at Small x ,” *JHEP*, vol. 03, p. 174, 2019. DOI: 10.1007/JHEP03(2019)174. arXiv: 1901.07453 [hep-ph].
- [108] R. Boussarie, Y. Hatta, and F. Yuan, “Proton Spin Structure at Small- x ,” *Phys. Lett. B*, vol. 797, p. 134817, 2019. DOI: 10.1016/j.physletb.2019.134817. arXiv: 1904.02693 [hep-ph].
- [109] Y. V. Kovchegov and B. Manley, “Orbital angular momentum at small x revisited,” *JHEP*, vol. 02, p. 060, 2024. DOI: 10.1007/JHEP02(2024)060. arXiv: 2310.18404 [hep-ph].
- [110] Y. V. Kovchegov and B. Manley, “Elastic Dijet Production in Electron Scattering on a Longitudinally Polarized Proton at Small x : A Portal to Orbital Angular Momentum Distributions,” Oct. 2024. arXiv: 2410.21260 [hep-ph].
- [111] A. V. Belitsky, X. Ji, and F. Yuan, “Final state interactions and gauge invariant parton distributions,” *Nucl. Phys. B*, vol. 656, pp. 165–198, 2003. DOI: 10.1016/S0550-3213(03)00121-4. arXiv: hep-ph/0208038.