

On Inverse Problems for Mean Field Games with Common Noise via Carleman estimate*

Zhonghua Liao[†] and Qi Lü[‡]

Abstract

In this paper, we study two kinds of inverse problems for Mean Field Games (MFGs) with common noise. Our focus is on MFGs described by a coupled system of stochastic Hamilton-Jacobi-Bellman and Fokker-Planck equations. Firstly, we establish the Lipschitz and Hölder stability for determining the solutions of a coupled system of stochastic Hamilton-Jacobi-Bellman and Fokker-Planck equations based on terminal observation of the density function. Secondly, we derive a uniqueness theorem for an inverse source problem related to the system under consideration. The main tools to establish those results are two new Carleman estimates.

Keywords: Mean field games, Carleman estimate, Lipschitz stability, Hölder stability, uniqueness

1 Introduction

The theory of mean field games (MFGs for short) was first introduced by Lasry-Lions ([20]), as well as independently by Huang-Caines-Malhamé ([9]). It provides a tractable approximation to Nash equilibria in games involving a large number of players, where each individual's influence on the system is infinitesimally small but collectively significant. This theory has found applications in various fields, such as macroeconomics, crowd motions, finance, and power grid models, where understanding the collective behavior of large groups is crucial (e.g., [5, 9, 18, 20]). Due to its wide-ranging applications, MFGs theory is extensively studied in recent years (see [2–6] and the rich references therein).

In this paper, we aim to investigate two inverse problems for mean-field games (MFGs) with common noise. To begin with, we introduce some basic settings and notations for our model.

Let $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{F}, \mathbb{P})$ with $\mathbb{F} = \{\mathcal{F}_t\}_{t=0}^{+\infty}$ be a complete filtered probability space on which a n -dimensional standard Brownian motion $W(\cdot)$ is defined and \mathbb{F} is the natural filtration generated by $W(\cdot)$, augmented by all \mathbb{P} -null sets.

Let \mathbf{H} be a Banach space and $T > 0$.

*This work is partially supported by the NSF of China under grants 12025105 and 12401586.

[†]School of Mathematics, Sichuan University, Chengdu 610064, China. E-mail address: zhonghualiao@yeah.net

[‡]School of Mathematics, Sichuan University, Chengdu 610064, China. E-mail address: lu@scu.edu.cn.

• If $f : \Omega \mapsto \mathbf{H}$ is Bochner integrable (w.r.t. \mathbb{P}), we denote by $\mathbb{E}f$ the mathematical expectation of f , i.e.,

$$\mathbb{E}f = \int_{\Omega} f d\mathbb{P}.$$

• By $L^p_{\mathcal{F}_t}(\Omega; \mathbf{H})$ ($t \in [0, T]$, $p \geq 1$) we denote all measurable random variables f such that $\mathbb{E}\|f\|_{\mathbf{H}}^p < +\infty$.

• By $L^{\infty}_{\mathbb{F}}(\Omega; C([0, T]; \mathbf{H}))$ we denote the space consisting of all \mathbf{H} -valued, continuous, \mathbb{F} -adapted processes $X(\cdot)$ such that $\text{ess sup}_{\omega \in \Omega} \|X(\cdot)\|_{C([0, T]; \mathbf{H})} < +\infty$.

• By $L^p_{\mathbb{F}}(0, T; \mathbf{H})$ ($p \geq 1$) we denote the space consisting of all \mathbf{H} -valued \mathbb{F} -adapted processes $X(\cdot)$ such that $\mathbb{E}\|X(\cdot)\|_{L^p(0, T; \mathbf{H})}^p < +\infty$.

• By $L^{\infty}_{\mathbb{F}}(0, T; \mathbf{H})$ we denote the space of all \mathbf{H} -valued \mathbb{F} -adapted bounded processes.

All of the aforementioned spaces are Banach spaces equipped with the canonical norm.

Let $\beta \in [0, 1]$ and $\hat{\beta} \triangleq \frac{1+\beta^2}{2}$. We consider the following coupled forward-backward stochastic parabolic equations:

$$\begin{cases} d\rho - \hat{\beta}\Delta\rho dt = \nabla \cdot (\rho \nabla_p H(\cdot, \cdot, \cdot, \nabla u; \rho)) dt - \beta \nabla \rho \cdot dW(t) & \text{in } (0, T) \times \mathbb{R}^n, \\ du + \hat{\beta}\Delta u dt = -\beta \text{div } U dt - H(\cdot, \cdot, \cdot, \nabla u; \rho) dt + U \cdot dW(t) & \text{in } (0, T) \times \mathbb{R}^n, \\ u(T, \cdot) = h(\cdot), \quad \rho(0, \cdot) = \rho_0(\cdot) & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^n. \end{cases} \quad (1.1)$$

Here $h \in L^2_{\mathcal{F}_T}(\Omega; L^2(\mathbb{R}^n))$, $\rho_0 \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$, and H denotes the Hamiltonian as follows: for interaction functions $\mathbf{B}(\cdot, \cdot, \cdot, \cdot) : [0, T] \times \Omega \times \mathbb{R}^{2n} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$, $b(\cdot, \cdot, \cdot, \cdot) : [0, T] \times \Omega \times \mathbb{R}^{2n} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, $G(\cdot, \cdot, \cdot) : [0, T] \times \Omega \times \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, $F(\cdot, \cdot) : \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and $K(\cdot, \cdot, \cdot, \cdot) : [0, T] \times \Omega \times \mathbb{R}^{2n} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$,

$$\begin{aligned} H(t, \omega, x, p; \rho) &\triangleq \inf_{\mathbf{a} \in \mathbb{R}^n} \left\{ \mathbf{B}(t, \omega, x, \mathbf{a}) \cdot p + b(t, \omega, x, \mathbf{a}) + G(t, \omega, x) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + F\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} K(t, \omega, x, y) \rho(t, \omega, y) dy, \rho(t, \omega, x)\right) \right\} \\ &\triangleq B(t, \omega, x, p) + G(t, \omega, x) + F\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} K(t, \omega, x, y) \rho(t, \omega, y) dy, \rho(t, \omega, x)\right), \\ &\quad (t, \omega, x, p) \in [0, T] \times \Omega \times \mathbb{R}^{2n}, \end{aligned} \quad (1.2)$$

with $B(t, \omega, x, p) \triangleq \inf_{\mathbf{a} \in \mathbb{R}^n} \{ \mathbf{B}(t, \omega, x, \mathbf{a}) \cdot p + b(t, \omega, x, \mathbf{a}) \}$.

In what follows, for the sake of brevity and clarity, we will omit the variable ω unless its inclusion is necessary to avoid ambiguity.

Next, we introduce the following assumptions for the functions appeared in (1.1) and (1.2):

Assumption 1.1 *Let $F \in C^1(\mathbb{R}^2; \mathbb{R})$ and $B \in L^{\infty}_{\mathbb{F}}(\Omega; C([0, T]; C^3(\mathbb{R}^{2n}; \mathbb{R})))$. Moreover, For any $M_1 > 1$, there exists a constant $\mathcal{C}(M_1) > 0$ such that for any $(t, \omega, x) \in [0, T] \times \Omega \times \mathbb{R}^n$, $|p| \leq M_1$, and for $j', j, k = 1, \dots, n$,*

$$|B_{p_j x_j}(t, x, p)| + |B_{p_j p_k}(t, x, p)| + |B_{p_j p_k x_k}(t, x, p)| + |B_{p_{j'} p_j p_k}(t, x, p)| \leq \mathcal{C}(M_1). \quad (1.3)$$

Assumption 1.2 *Let $K \in L^{\infty}_{\mathbb{F}}(0, T; L^2(\mathbb{R}^{2n}))$ and there exists a positive constant M_2 such that $\|K\|_{L^{\infty}_{\mathbb{F}}(0, T; L^2(\mathbb{R}^{2n}))} \leq M_2$.*

The system (1.1) describes a mean field models with common noise (see [4, Section 4.1]). In the system (1.1), the first equation corresponds to the Fokker-Planck (FP) equation for the density function, while the second equation represents the stochastic Hamilton-Jacobi-Bellman (HJB) equation for the value function. The solution to (1.1) is given by a triple (ρ, u, U) , where ρ denotes the density function, u represents the value function, and U stands for the correction terms in the backward stochastic parabolic equation.

Remark 1.1 *In practical applications, the term $\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} K(x, y)\rho(y)dy$ describes the interaction between an individual player and the surrounding environment. For example, in a traffic congestion model, each driver's strategy is influenced not only by their own position but also by the density of traffic in the vicinity. Similarly, in a bird migration scenario, a bird's migration strategy is affected by the presence of other birds nearby. A common scenario occurs when $K(t, x, y) = K(t, x - y)$ serves as a convolution operator kernel. In such cases, Assumption 1.2 necessitates that $K(\cdot)$ has compact support and belongs to $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$.*

As one can see, the FP-HJB system (1.1) consists a coupled forward-backward parabolic equations. It is natural to expect the well-posedness after given $u(T, \cdot)$ and $\rho(0, \cdot)$. However, one may fails because the uniqueness of this system is quite rare, and have only been proven under strong conditions, like, e.g., a monotonicity assumption [20]. There are examples showing that (1.1) may have two classical solutions even for smooth Hamiltonian ([1]). Consequently, the first inverse problem we are interested in is that whether we can weaken those assumptions, but restore the uniqueness by adding an additional measurement. More precisely, besides $u(T, \cdot)$ and $\rho(0, \cdot)$, we also assumed the availability of $\rho(T, \cdot)$, and our goal is to determine whether this extra data can restore uniqueness. Furthermore, since the measurements might be given with a noise/error. Therefore, it is also important to get the corresponding stability estimate. In practical terms, this inverse problem represents a scenario where the games has already happened, and we are interested that whether the process depends on the terminal state continuously. Specifically, we study the following questions:

(IP1) Can we determine the solution by proper measurements? Further, is the solution continuously dependent on the measurements?

Next, we consider an inverse source problem for the FP-HJB system (1.1). Let us assume that the source term in (1.1) has the form $G(t, \omega, x) = r(t, \omega, x')R(t, x)$ with an unknown $r \in L^2_{\mathbb{F}}(0, T; H^1(\mathbb{R}^{n-1}))$ which is independent of the first component of $x \triangleq (x_1, x') \in \mathbb{R}^n$ (where $x' = (x_2, \dots, x_n) \in \mathbb{R}^{n-1}$).

(IP2) Let $R(\cdot, \cdot)$ in $G(\cdot, \cdot, \cdot)$ be given. Can we determine the source function $r(t, x')$, $(t, x') \in (0, T) \times \mathbb{R}^{n-1}$ by means of the observation of $\rho(T, x)$ and Lateral Cauchy data on $x_1 = l_1$ and $x_1 = l_2$ for some $l_1, l_2 \in \mathbb{R}$?

Problem **(IP2)** naturally arises in many Mean Field Games (MFGs). For instance, in numerous control problems in economic theory, we may have partial information about the cost function without knowing its exact form. In such scenarios, we aim to determine the unknown part through additional observations of the solution to the FP-HJB system (1.1).

It is important to study the associated inverse problems in the field of MFGs. For example, in economics, solving proper inverse problems linked to market competition and resource allocation can enrich our comprehension and forecasting of market behaviour and outcomes. Similarly, in

transportation, investigating MFG inverse problems can facilitate the optimization of traffic flow control strategies, thereby enhancing the efficiency and sustainability of road networks. In the realm of financial systems, exploring inverse problems in MFGs can provide insights into optimizing portfolio management strategies and risk assessment, leading to more robust investment decisions. Furthermore, in the field of environmental sustainability, exploring MFG inverse problems can assist in improving decision-making processes for resource management and conservation efforts, leading to a more balanced and eco-friendly approach to development.

Due to their significant applications, inverse problems for MFGs have attracted considerable attention in recent years (e.g., [7, 11–17, 22, 26, 27] and references therein). To the best of our knowledge, the pioneering work on the inverse problem (**IP1**) for MFGs without common noise is presented in [14], where the FP-HJB system are coupled forward-backward deterministic parabolic equations, rather than coupled forward-backward stochastic parabolic equations. A key aspect of their method is the establishment of two innovative Carleman estimates for the FP-HJB system. These estimates lead to Lipschitz stability results. Subsequently, in [15], a similar Carleman estimate is utilized to derive a Hölder-type stability estimate with fewer measurements. Since then, various other Carleman estimates for FP-HJB system have been developed and applied to different inverse problems (e.g., [8, 12, 13, 16]). However, it is important to note that all these works are focused exclusively on MFGs without common noise.

Common noise appears in many practical control systems, affecting all agents simultaneously (e.g., [6]). For example, in financial markets, the effect of a shared market factor or index can be represented as common noise influencing investors’ decision-making; and in models of traffic flow, variables such as weather conditions or road constructions can be considered as common noise impacting motorists’ driving behaviours. Consequently, incorporating common noise into MFGs not only increases complexity but also captures crucial phenomena. Hence, exploring the inverse problems of MFGs with common noise is desirable.

Borrow some ideas from [8, 12–16], to answer **Problem (IP1)** and **Problem (IP2)**, we aim to establish a suitable Carleman estimates for the FP-HJB system (1.1) to solve the desired inverse problem. Due to their wide applications, Carleman estimates for stochastic parabolic equations have recently attracted a lot of attention (e.g., [21, 23–25, 28–31] and the reference therein). Nevertheless, to establish proper Carleman estimates to solve **Problem (IP1)** and **Problem (IP2)**, we encounter several challenges:

- Firstly, when considering the Carleman estimate for (1.1), unlike the deterministic FP-HJB system, the diffusion term “ $-\beta \nabla \rho \cdot dW(t)$ ” introduces additional undesirable terms which have to be got rid of.
- Secondly, there are non-homogeneous correction terms “ $U \cdot dW(t)$ ” and “ $-\beta \operatorname{div} U \cdot dt$ ” in the HJB equation, which add complexity to the analysis and require meticulous scrutiny.
- Thirdly, solutions to stochastic parabolic equation may not be continuously differentiable with respect to the time variable. Hence, one cannot take the time derivative to reduce the non-homogeneous term in the stochastic equation under consideration to the initial datum of another equation as people did in the deterministic situation.

These difficulties have already been observed in [28] when the authors study Carleman estimate for stochastic parabolic equation (see [28, Remarks 2.1 and 6.1]). For the HJB equation

in (1.1), the situation is even worse due to the appearance of the convolution interaction term $\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} K(t, x, y)\rho(t, y)dy$. Fortunately, for our special inverse problems, after careful analysis and calculation, we are able to address the gradient drift term in FP-HJB system in our Carleman estimates. This is primarily due to the relationship between the coefficients β and $\hat{\beta}$ in (1.1).

Next, we introduce an a priori bound of the solution (u, ρ) which is a common condition when investigating stability estimate for nonlinear equations.

Assumption 1.3 *There exists a positive constant M_3 such that*

$$\|u\|_{L_{\mathbb{F}}^{\infty}(0, T; W^{2, \infty}(\mathbb{R}^n))} + \|\rho\|_{L_{\mathbb{F}}^{\infty}(0, T; W^{1, \infty}(\mathbb{R}^n))} \leq M_3. \quad (1.4)$$

Now we present our main results in this paper, which provide answers to **Problem (IP1)** and **Problem (IP2)**. For simplicity of notations, let us denote $M \triangleq \max\{1, \mathcal{C}(M_3), M_2, M_3\}$.

First, we have the following Lipschitz stability estimate for **Problem (IP1)**.

Theorem 1.1 *Let Assumptions 1.1–1.3 hold. Suppose that $(\rho_i, u_i, U_i) \in L_{\mathbb{F}}^2(0, T, H^1(\mathbb{R}^n)) \times L_{\mathbb{F}}^2(0, T; H^1(\mathbb{R}^n)) \times L_{\mathbb{F}}^2(0, T; L^2(\mathbb{R}^n))$ ($i = 1, 2$) are two solutions of (1.1). Then there exists a constant $C = C(T, M, F) > 0$, such that for any $\beta \in [0, 1]$, we have*

$$\begin{aligned} & \| (u_1 - u_2, \rho_1 - \rho_2) \|_{L_{\mathbb{F}}^2(0, T, H^1(\mathbb{R}^n)) \times L_{\mathbb{F}}^2(0, T; H^1(\mathbb{R}^n))} \\ & \leq C \left(\mathbb{E} \|u_1(T) - u_2(T)\|_{H^1(\mathbb{R}^n)} + \mathbb{E} \|\rho_1(T) - \rho_2(T)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)} + \|\rho_1(0) - \rho_2(0)\|_{H^1(\mathbb{R}^n)} \right). \end{aligned} \quad (1.5)$$

In Theorem 1.1, we employ three measurements to determine the solution. Next, we only use two measurements $u_i(T, \cdot)$ and $\rho_i(T, \cdot)$. In this case, we can get the following Hölder type stability estimate for **Problem (IP1)**.

Theorem 1.2 *Let $\varepsilon \in (0, T)$ and Assumptions 1.1–1.2 hold. Suppose that (ρ_1, u_1, U_1) and (ρ_2, u_2, U_2) are two solutions of (1.1) satisfying Assumption 1.3. Then there exist constants $\eta = \eta(T, M, F, \varepsilon)$ and $C = C(T, M, F, \varepsilon)$, such that for any $\beta \in [0, 1]$, we have*

$$\begin{aligned} & \|\rho_1 - \rho_2\|_{L_{\mathbb{F}}^2(\varepsilon, T; H^1(\mathbb{R}^n))} + \|u_1 - u_2\|_{L^2(\varepsilon, T; H^1(\mathbb{R}^n))} \\ & \leq C \|\rho_1(0) - \rho_2(0)\|_{H^1(\mathbb{R}^n)}^{1-\eta} \left[\|u_1(T) - u_2(T)\|_{L_{\mathcal{F}_T}^2(\Omega; H^1(\mathbb{R}^n))} + \|\rho_1(T) - \rho_2(T)\|_{L_{\mathcal{F}_T}^2(\Omega; L^2(\mathbb{R}^n))} \right]^{\eta}. \end{aligned} \quad (1.6)$$

Next, we consider **Problem (IP2)**. Without loss of generality, we set $l_1 = 0$ and $l_2 = 1$. Denote $G \triangleq (0, 1) \times \mathbb{R}^n$. Suppose that $(\rho_i, u_i, U_i) \in L_{\mathbb{F}}^2(0, T; H^2(G)) \times L_{\mathbb{F}}^2(0, T; H^1(G)) \times L_{\mathbb{F}}^2(0, T; L^2(G))$ ($i = 1, 2$) are two solutions of system (1.1) with the same terminal cost $u_1(T, \cdot) = u_2(T, \cdot)$, but two different source terms r_1, r_2 . We have the following uniqueness result for the above problem.

Theorem 1.3 *Suppose Assumptions 1.1–1.3 hold and $\|K_{x_1}\|_{L_{\mathbb{F}}^{\infty}(0, T; L^2(G \times G))} \leq M$. Suppose $R \in C^2([0, T] \times \overline{G})$ and $\inf_{x \in \overline{G}} |R(t, x)| > 0$. If $\rho_1(T, \cdot) = \rho_2(T, \cdot)$ on \mathbb{R}^n , \mathbb{P} -a.s. and*

$$\begin{cases} \rho_1 = \rho_2, & u_1 = u_2, & \rho_{1x_1} = \rho_{2x_1}, \\ u_{1x_1} = u_{2x_1}, & \rho_{1x_1x_1} = \rho_{2x_1x_1}, & u_{1x_1x_1} = u_{2x_1x_1}, \end{cases} \quad \text{on } [0, T] \times \partial G, \mathbb{P}\text{-a.s.}, \quad (1.7)$$

then $r_1(t, x') = r_2(t, x')$ for all $(t, x') \in [0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^{n-1}$, \mathbb{P} -a.s.

Remark 1.2 *In practice, we can conduct polls of game players to get the boundary data. Typically, these polls are not limited to the boundary itself, but conducted in a small neighbourhood of the boundary. Hence, it is possible to approximately figure out all the data in (1.7). Notably, if we have prior knowledge that the source term r is independent of time variable, our result implies that, theoretically, one can perform an experiment over a brief time interval to reconstruct the source term.*

The rest of the paper is organized as follows. In Section 2., we establish two Carleman estimates for forward and backward stochastic parabolic operators, which play key roles in proving Theorems 1.1–1.3. In Sections 3 and 4, we give proofs of our main results.

2 Two Carleman Estimates for FP-HJB system

In this section, we establish two Carleman estimates for the system (1.1), which are crucial in solving **Problem (IP1)** and **Problem (IP2)**. To begin with, we introduce the following weight function:

$$\theta \triangleq e^\ell, \quad \ell \triangleq \lambda\varphi, \quad \varphi \triangleq (t+2)^\mu, \quad (2.1)$$

for some parameters $\lambda, \mu > 0$.

Let $w \in L^2_{\mathbb{F}}(0, T; H^2(\mathbb{R}^n))$ be a solution to

$$dw + \hat{\beta}\Delta w dt = (f_1 - \beta \operatorname{div} \mathbf{f}_2)dt + \mathbf{f}_2 \cdot dW(t), \quad (2.2)$$

where $\hat{\beta}$ and β are given in (1.1), $f_1 \in L^2_{\mathbb{F}}(0, T, L^2(\mathbb{R}^n))$, and $\mathbf{f}_2 \in L^2_{\mathbb{F}}(0, T; H^1(\mathbb{R}^n; \mathbb{R}^n))$. We have the following Carleman estimate.

Theorem 2.1 *For any $\lambda, \mu > 0$ and $\beta \in [0, 1]$, it holds that*

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{2} \mathbb{E} \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \theta^2 \left[\hat{\beta}^2 |\Delta w|^2 + \lambda^2 \mu^2 (t+2)^{2\mu-2} w^2 + 2\lambda\mu (t+2)^{\mu-1} |\nabla w|^2 \right] dx dt \\ & + \mathbb{E} \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \left[\lambda\mu (t+2)^{\mu-1} \theta |\mathbf{f}_2|^2 + \frac{1-\beta^2}{2} \theta^2 |\operatorname{div} \mathbf{f}_2|^2 \right] dx dt \\ & \leq 2\mathbb{E} \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \theta^2 f_1^2 dx dt + e^{2\lambda(T+2)^\mu} \mathbb{E} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \left[\lambda\mu (T+2)^{\mu-1} w(T, x)^2 + \hat{\beta} |\nabla w(T, x)|^2 \right] dx. \end{aligned} \quad (2.3)$$

Proof of Theorem 2.1. Set $v = \theta w$. Then

$$\theta(dw + \hat{\beta}\Delta w dt) = dv - \lambda\mu(t+2)^{\mu-1}v dt + \hat{\beta}\Delta v dt. \quad (2.4)$$

Multiplying (2.4) by $2\hat{\beta}\Delta v - 2\lambda\mu(t+2)^{\mu-1}v$, using Itô's formula and (2.2), we get that

$$\begin{aligned} & [2\hat{\beta}\Delta v - 2\lambda\mu(t+2)^{\mu-1}v] \theta(dw + \hat{\beta}\Delta w dt) \\ & = -d[\lambda\mu(t+2)^{\mu-1}v^2 + \hat{\beta}|\nabla v|^2] + 2\hat{\beta}\nabla \cdot (\nabla v dv) + \lambda\mu(t+2)^{\mu-1}(dv)^2 + \hat{\beta}|d\nabla v|^2 \\ & \quad + \lambda\mu(\mu-1)(t+2)^{\mu-2}v^2 dt + 2[\hat{\beta}\Delta v - \lambda\mu(t+2)^{\mu-1}v]^2 dt. \end{aligned} \quad (2.5)$$

Integrating both sides of (2.5) over $(0, T) \times \mathbb{R}^n$, noting that

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbb{E} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \int_0^T \left[\lambda \mu (t+2)^{\mu-1} (dv)^2 + \hat{\beta} |d\nabla v|^2 \right] dx \\ &= \mathbb{E} \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \lambda \mu (t+2)^{\mu-1} \theta^2 |\mathbf{f}_2|^2 + \hat{\beta} \sum_{j=1}^n \theta^2 |\mathbf{f}_{2x_j}|^2 dx dt, \end{aligned} \quad (2.6)$$

we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbb{E} \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \left[\lambda \mu (t+2)^{\mu-1} \theta^2 |\mathbf{f}_2|^2 + \hat{\beta} \theta^2 |\operatorname{div} \mathbf{f}_2|^2 \right] dx dt \\ &+ \mathbb{E} \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \left\{ \lambda \mu (\mu-1) (t+2)^{\mu-2} v^2 + 2 [\hat{\beta} \Delta v - \lambda \mu (t+2)^{\mu-1} v]^2 \right\} dx dt \\ &\leq \mathbb{E} \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \left\{ \frac{2}{3} \theta^2 (3f_1^2 + \frac{3}{2} \beta^2 |\operatorname{div} \mathbf{f}_2|^2) + \frac{3}{2} [\hat{\beta} \Delta v - \lambda \mu (t+2)^{\mu-1} v]^2 \right\} dx dt \\ &\quad + e^{2\lambda(T+2)^\mu} \mathbb{E} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \left[\lambda \mu (T+2)^{\mu-1} w(T, x)^2 + \hat{\beta} |\nabla w(T, x)|^2 \right] dx. \end{aligned} \quad (2.7)$$

Recalling that $\beta \in [0, 1]$ and $\hat{\beta} = \frac{1+\beta^2}{2}$, we obtain from (2.7) that

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbb{E} \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \left[\lambda \mu (t+2)^{\mu-1} \theta |\mathbf{f}_2|^2 + \frac{1-\beta^2}{2} \theta^2 |\operatorname{div} \mathbf{f}_2|^2 \right] dx dt \\ &+ \mathbb{E} \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \left\{ \lambda \mu (\mu-1) (t+2)^{\mu-2} v^2 + \frac{1}{2} [\hat{\beta} \Delta v - \lambda \mu (t+2)^{\mu-1} v]^2 \right\} dx dt \\ &\leq 2\mathbb{E} \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \theta^2 f_1^2 dx dt + e^{2\lambda(T+2)^\mu} \mathbb{E} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \left[\lambda \mu (T+2)^{\mu-1} w(T, x)^2 + \hat{\beta} |\nabla w(T, x)|^2 \right] dx. \end{aligned} \quad (2.8)$$

Noting that

$$\begin{aligned} & [\hat{\beta} \Delta v - \lambda \mu (t+2)^{\mu-1} v]^2 \\ &= \hat{\beta}^2 |\Delta v|^2 + \lambda^2 \mu^2 (t+2)^{2\mu-2} v^2 - 2\lambda \mu (t+2)^{\mu-1} \nabla \cdot (v \nabla v) + 2\lambda \mu (t+2)^{\mu-1} |\nabla v|^2, \end{aligned}$$

the inequality (2.3) follows from (2.8). \square

Let $p \in L_{\mathbb{F}}^2(0, T; H^2(\mathbb{R}^n))$ be a solution of

$$dp - \hat{\beta} \Delta p dt = g_1 dt - \beta \nabla p \cdot dW(t) \quad (2.9)$$

for some $g_1 \in L_{\mathbb{F}}^2(0, T; L^2(\mathbb{R}^n))$. We have the following Carleman estimate.

Theorem 2.2 *For any $\lambda \geq 0$, $\mu \geq 144(T+2)^2$ and $\beta \in [0, 1]$, we have*

$$\frac{1}{4} \lambda \mu^2 \mathbb{E} \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} (t+2)^{\mu-2} \theta^2 p^2 dx dt + \sqrt{\mu} \mathbb{E} \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \theta^2 |\nabla p|^2 dx dt$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\leq 2\mathbb{E} \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \theta^2 g_1^2 dx dt + 2\lambda\mu(T+2)^{\mu-1} e^{2\lambda(T+2)^\mu} \mathbb{E} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} p(T, x)^2 dx \\
&\quad + \hat{\beta} e^{2\lambda 2^\mu} \mathbb{E} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |\nabla p(0, x)|^2 dx + \sqrt{\mu} e^{2\lambda 2^\mu} \mathbb{E} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} p(0, x)^2 dx.
\end{aligned} \tag{2.10}$$

Proof of Theorem 2.2. Set $q = \theta p$. Then

$$\theta(dp - \hat{\beta}\Delta p dt) = dq - \lambda\mu(t+2)^{\mu-1} q dt - \hat{\beta}\Delta q dt. \tag{2.11}$$

Multiplying (2.11) by $-2[(1 + \beta^2)\lambda\mu(t+2)^{\mu-1}q + \hat{\beta}\Delta q]$ and using Itô's formula, we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned}
&-2[(1 + \beta^2)\lambda\mu(t+2)^{\mu-1}q + \hat{\beta}\Delta q]\theta(dp - \hat{\beta}\Delta p dt) \\
&= 2[(1 + \beta^2)\lambda\mu(t+2)^{\mu-1}q + \hat{\beta}\Delta q]^2 dt \\
&\quad - 2[(1 + \beta^2)\lambda\mu(t+2)^{\mu-1}q + \hat{\beta}\Delta q] [dq + \beta^2\lambda\mu(t+2)^{\mu-1}q dt] \\
&= 2[(1 + \beta^2)\lambda\mu(t+2)^{\mu-1}q + \hat{\beta}\Delta q]^2 dt - d[(1 + \beta^2)\lambda\mu(t+2)^{\mu-1}q^2] \\
&\quad + (1 + \beta^2)\lambda\mu(\mu-1)(t+2)^{\mu-2}q^2 dt + (1 + \beta^2)\lambda\mu(t+2)^{\mu-1}(dq)^2 \\
&\quad - \nabla \cdot (2\hat{\beta}dq\nabla q) + \hat{\beta}d(|\nabla q|^2) - \hat{\beta}|d\nabla q|^2 - 2\beta^2(1 + \beta^2)\lambda^2\mu^2(t+2)^{2\mu-2}q^2 \\
&\quad - \beta^2(1 + \beta^2)\lambda\mu(t+2)^{\mu-1}\Delta qq dt.
\end{aligned} \tag{2.12}$$

Integrating both sides of (2.12) over $(0, T) \times \mathbb{R}^n$, noting that $\hat{\beta} = \frac{1+\beta^2}{2}$ and $\mu \geq 2$, by (2.9), we get that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\mathbb{E} \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} [(1 + \beta^2)\lambda\mu(t+2)^{\mu-1}(dq)^2 - \hat{\beta}|d\nabla q|^2] dt \\
&= \mathbb{E} \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} [(1 + \beta^2)\lambda\mu(t+2)^{\mu-1}\beta^2|\nabla q|^2 - \hat{\beta}\beta^2 \sum_{j,k=1}^n q_{x_j x_k}^2] dx dt,
\end{aligned}$$

which yields that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\mathbb{E} \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \left[\frac{1 + \beta^2}{2} \lambda\mu^2(t+2)^{\mu-2} \theta^2 p^2 + \beta^2(1 + \beta^2)\lambda\mu(t+2)^{\mu-1} |\nabla q|^2 \right] dx dt \\
&\quad + \mathbb{E} \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} 2[(1 + \beta^2)\lambda\mu(t+2)^{\mu-1}q + \hat{\beta}\Delta q]^2 dx dt \\
&\quad + (1 + \beta^2)\lambda\mu 2^{\mu-1} e^{2\lambda 2^\mu} \mathbb{E} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} p(0, x)^2 dx + \hat{\beta} e^{2\lambda(T+2)^\mu} \mathbb{E} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |\nabla p(T, x)|^2 dx dt \\
&\quad + \mathbb{E} \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} [-2\beta^2(1 + \beta^2)\lambda^2\mu^2(t+2)^{2\mu-1}q^2 - \beta^2(1 + \beta^2)\lambda\mu(t+2)^{\mu-1}\Delta qq] dx dt \\
&\leq -\mathbb{E} \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} 2[(1 + \beta^2)\lambda\mu(t+2)^{\mu-1}q + \hat{\beta}\Delta q]\theta(dp - \hat{\beta}\Delta p dt) dx \\
&\quad + \hat{\beta}\beta^2 \mathbb{E} \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \theta^2 \sum_{j,k=1}^n p_{x_j x_k}^2 dx dt \\
&\quad + (1 + \beta^2)\lambda\mu(T+2)^{\mu-1} e^{2\lambda(T+2)^\mu} \mathbb{E} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} p(T, x)^2 dx + \hat{\beta} e^{2\lambda 2^\mu} \mathbb{E} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |\nabla p(0, x)|^2 dx.
\end{aligned} \tag{2.13}$$

Direct computation yields

$$\begin{aligned}
& \beta^2(1 + \beta^2)\lambda\mu(t + 2)^{\mu-1}|\nabla q|^2 - 2\beta^2(1 + \beta^2)\lambda^2\mu^2(t + 2)^{2\mu-1}q^2 \\
& - \beta^2(1 + \beta^2)\lambda\mu(t + 2)^{\mu-1}\Delta q q + \frac{2\beta^2}{1 + \beta^2}[(1 + \beta^2)\lambda\mu(t + 2)^{\mu-1}q + \hat{\beta}\Delta q]^2 \\
& = \nabla \cdot [\beta^2(1 + \beta^2)\lambda\mu(t + 2)^{\mu-1}q\nabla q] + \hat{\beta}\beta^2(\Delta q)^2,
\end{aligned} \tag{2.14}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{j,k=1}^n \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} p_{x_j x_k}^2 dx &= \sum_{j,k=1}^n \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} [(p_{x_j} p_{x_j x_k})_{x_k} - (p_{x_j} p_{x_k x_k})_{x_j} + p_{x_j x_j} p_{x_k x_k}] dx \\
&= \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} (\Delta p)^2 dx.
\end{aligned} \tag{2.15}$$

Recalling that $\beta \leq 1$, we have $\frac{2\beta^2}{1+\beta^2} \leq 1$. By (2.9), (2.13), (2.14) and (2.15), we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned}
& \mathbb{E} \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{1}{2} \lambda \mu^2 (t + 2)^{\mu-2} \theta^2 p^2 dx dt + \lambda \mu 2^{\mu-1} e^{2\lambda 2^\mu} \mathbb{E} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} p(0, x)^2 dx \\
& + \hat{\beta} e^{2\lambda(T+2)^\mu} \mathbb{E} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |\nabla p(T, x)|^2 dx \\
& \leq \mathbb{E} \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \theta^2 g_1^2 dx dt + 2\lambda \mu (T + 2)^{\mu-1} e^{2\lambda(T+2)^\mu} \mathbb{E} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} p(T, x)^2 dx \\
& + \hat{\beta} e^{2\lambda 2^\mu} \mathbb{E} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |\nabla p(0, x)|^2 dx.
\end{aligned} \tag{2.16}$$

By Itô's formula, we get that

$$\begin{aligned}
& 2\theta^2 p(dp - \hat{\beta}\Delta p dt) \\
& = d(\theta^2 p^2) - \theta^2 (dp)^2 - 2\lambda \mu (t + 2)^{\mu-1} \theta^2 p^2 dt - \nabla \cdot (2\hat{\beta}\theta^2 p \nabla p) dt + 2\hat{\beta}\theta^2 |\nabla p|^2 dt.
\end{aligned} \tag{2.17}$$

Integrating (2.17) over $(0, T) \times \mathbb{R}^n$ and taking mathematical expectation, we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sqrt{\mu} \mathbb{E} \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \theta^2 |\nabla p|^2 dx dt \\
& \leq 3\lambda \mu^{\frac{3}{2}} \mathbb{E} \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} (t + 2)^{\mu-1} \theta^2 p^2 dx dt + \mathbb{E} \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \theta^2 g_1^2 dx dt \\
& + \sqrt{\mu} e^{2\lambda 2^\mu} \mathbb{E} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} p(0, x)^2 dx.
\end{aligned} \tag{2.18}$$

Noticing that $\sqrt{\mu} \geq 12(T + 2)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left[\frac{1}{2} \lambda \mu^2 - 3\lambda \mu^{\frac{3}{2}} (T + 2) \right] \mathbb{E} \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} (t + 2)^{\mu-2} \theta^2 p^2 dx dt \\
& \geq \frac{1}{4} \lambda \mu^2 \mathbb{E} \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} (t + 2)^{\mu-2} \theta^2 p^2 dx dt.
\end{aligned} \tag{2.19}$$

Combining (2.18) and (2.19) with (2.16), we get (2.10). \square

Next, we introduce two Carleman estimates for solutions to stochastic parabolic equations on $(0, T) \times G$.

Theorem 2.3 *Let $w \in L^2_{\mathbb{F}}(0, T; H^2(G))$ be a solution of*

$$\begin{cases} dw + \hat{\beta}\Delta w dt = (f_1 + \mathbf{f}_3 \cdot \mathbf{f}_2 - \beta \operatorname{div} \mathbf{f}_2) dt + \mathbf{f}_2 \cdot dW(t) & \text{in } (0, T) \times G, \\ w = 0 & \text{on } (0, T) \times \Gamma, \end{cases} \quad (2.20)$$

where $\hat{\beta}, \beta$ are given in (1.1), $f_1 \in L^2_{\mathbb{F}}(0, T; L^2(G))$, $\mathbf{f}_2 \in L^2_{\mathbb{F}}(0, T; H^1(G; \mathbb{R}^n))$ and $\mathbf{f}_3 \in L^\infty(0, T; L^\infty(G; \mathbb{R}^n))$. Then there exist constants $\lambda_0 = \lambda_0(\mathbf{f}_3)$ and $\mu_0 = \mu_0(\mathbf{f}_3)$ such that for any $\beta \in [0, 1]$, $\lambda \geq \lambda_0$ and $\mu \geq \mu_0$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{2} \mathbb{E} \int_0^T \int_G \theta^2 [\hat{\beta}^2 |\Delta w|^2 + \lambda^2 \mu^2 (t+2)^{2\mu-2} w^2 + 2\lambda\mu (t+2)^{\mu-1} |\nabla w|^2] dx dt \\ & + \mathbb{E} \int_0^T \int_G \left[\frac{1}{2} \lambda\mu (t+2)^{\mu-1} \theta |\mathbf{f}_2|^2 + \frac{1-\beta^2}{2} \theta^2 |\operatorname{div} \mathbf{f}_2|^2 \right] dx dt \\ & \leq 4 \mathbb{E} \int_0^T \int_G \theta^2 f_1^2 dx dt + e^{2\lambda(T+2)^\mu} \mathbb{E} \int_G [\lambda\mu (T+2)^{\mu-1} w(T, x)^2 + \hat{\beta} |\nabla w(T, x)|^2] dx, \end{aligned} \quad (2.21)$$

where the weight function θ is given in (2.1).

Theorem 2.4 *Let $p \in L^2_{\mathbb{F}}(0, T; H^2(G))$ be a solution to*

$$dp - \hat{\beta}\Delta p dt = g_1 dt - \beta \nabla p \cdot dW(t) \quad (2.22)$$

for some $g_1 \in L^2_{\mathbb{F}}(0, T; L^2(\mathbb{R}^n))$ with the boundary condition $p = 0$ on $(0, T) \times \partial G$. The weight function is the same as in (2.1). Then for any $\lambda \geq 0$, $\mu \geq 144(T+2)^2$ and $\beta \in [0, 1]$, it holds that

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{4} \lambda \mu^2 \mathbb{E} \int_0^T \int_G (t+2)^{\mu-2} \theta^2 p^2 dx dt + \sqrt{\mu} \mathbb{E} \int_0^T \int_G \theta^2 |\nabla p|^2 dx dt \\ & \leq 2 \mathbb{E} \int_0^T \int_G \theta^2 g_1^2 dx dt + 2\lambda\mu (T+2)^{\mu-1} e^{2\lambda(T+2)^\mu} \mathbb{E} \int_G p(T, x)^2 dx \\ & \quad + \hat{\beta} e^{2\lambda 2^\mu} \mathbb{E} \int_G |\nabla p(0, x)|^2 dx + \sqrt{\mu} e^{2\lambda 2^\mu} \mathbb{E} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} p(0, x)^2 dx. \end{aligned} \quad (2.23)$$

The proofs of Theorems 2.3 and 2.4 are very similar to those for Theorems 2.1 and 2.2, respectively. Hence, we omit them.

3 Proof of Theorems 1.1 and 1.2

Proof of Theorem 1.1. Let $u = u_1 - u_2$, $\rho = \rho_1 - \rho_2$ and $U = U_1 - U_2$. Then we have

$$\nabla \cdot (\rho_1 \nabla_p B(t, x, \nabla u_1)) - \nabla \cdot (\rho_2 \nabla_p B(t, x, \nabla u_2))$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \nabla \cdot (\rho \nabla_p B(t, x, \nabla u_1)) + \nabla \rho_2 \cdot (\nabla_p B(t, x, \nabla u_1) - \nabla_p B(t, x, \nabla u_2)) \\
&\quad + \rho_2 \left[\sum_{j=1}^n (B_{x_j p_j}(t, x, \nabla u_1) - B_{x_j p_j}(t, x, \nabla u_2)) \right. \\
&\quad \quad \left. + \sum_{j,k=1}^n (B_{p_j p_k}(t, x, \nabla u_1) u_{1x_j x_k} - B_{p_j p_k}(t, x, \nabla u_2) u_{2x_j x_k}) \right] \tag{3.1} \\
&= \nabla \rho \cdot \nabla_p B(t, x, \nabla u_1) + \rho \left(\sum_{j=1}^n B_{p_j x_j}(t, x, \nabla u_1) + \sum_{j,k=1}^n B_{p_j p_k}(t, x, \nabla u_1) u_{1x_j x_k} \right) \\
&\quad + \sum_{j,k=1}^n u_{x_j} \rho_{2x_k} \int_0^1 B_{p_j p_k}(t, x, \nabla u_1 + \tau(\nabla u_2 - \nabla u_1)) d\tau \\
&\quad + \sum_{j,k=1}^n u_{x_j} \rho_2 \int_0^1 B_{p_j p_k x_k}(t, x, \nabla u_1 + \tau(\nabla u_2 - \nabla u_1)) d\tau + \sum_{j,k=1}^n u_{x_j x_k} \rho_2 B_{p_j p_k}(t, x, \nabla u_1) \\
&\quad + \sum_{j,j',k'=1}^n u_{x_j} \rho_2 u_{2x_j' x_k'} \int_0^1 B_{p_j' p_j p_k}(t, x, \nabla u_1 + \tau(\nabla u_2 - \nabla u_1)) d\tau \\
&= B_1 \rho + \mathbf{B}_2 \cdot \nabla \rho + \mathbf{B}_3 \cdot \nabla u + \sum_{j,k=1}^n B_{4jk} u_{x_j x_k},
\end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{cases} B_1 = \sum_{j=1}^n B_{p_j x_j}(t, x, \nabla u_1) + \sum_{j,k=1}^n B_{p_j p_k}(t, x, \nabla u_1) u_{1x_j x_k}, \\ B_2 = \nabla_p B(t, x, \nabla u_1), \\ B_{4jk} = \rho_2 B_{p_j p_k}(t, x, \nabla u_1), \quad j, k = 1, 2, \dots, n. \end{cases} \tag{3.2}$$

and $\mathbf{B}_3 \triangleq (B_{31}, \dots, B_{3j}, \dots, B_{3n})$, for $j = 1, 2, \dots, n$,

$$\begin{aligned}
B_{3j} &= \sum_{k=1}^n \rho_{2x_k} \int_0^1 B_{p_j p_k}(t, x, \nabla u_1 + \tau(\nabla u_2 - \nabla u_1)) d\tau \\
&\quad + \sum_{k=1}^n \rho_2 \int_0^1 B_{p_j p_k x_k}(t, x, \nabla u_1 + \tau(\nabla u_2 - \nabla u_1)) d\tau \\
&\quad + \sum_{j',k'=1}^n \rho_2 u_{2x_j' x_k'} \int_0^1 B_{p_j' p_j p_k}(t, x, \nabla u_1 + \tau(\nabla u_2 - \nabla u_1)) d\tau. \tag{3.3}
\end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&B(t, x, \nabla u_1) - B(t, x, \nabla u_2) \\
&= \sum_{j=1}^n u_{x_j} \int_0^1 B_{p_j}(t, x, \nabla u_1 + \tau(\nabla u_2 - \nabla u_1)) d\tau \tag{3.4}
\end{aligned}$$

$$= \mathbf{B}_5 \cdot \nabla u,$$

where

$$\mathbf{B}_5 = \int_0^1 \nabla_p B(t, x, \nabla u_1 + \tau(\nabla u_2 - \nabla u_1)) d\tau. \quad (3.5)$$

Also, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & F\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} K(t, x, y) \rho_1(t, y) dy, \rho_1(t, x)\right) - F\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} K(t, x, y) \rho_2(t, y) dy, \rho_2(t, x)\right) \\ &= F_1(t, x) \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} K(t, x, y) \rho(t, y) dy + F_2(t, x) \rho(t, x), \end{aligned} \quad (3.6)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} F_1(t, x) = \int_0^1 F_y \left\{ \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} K(t, x, y) [\rho_1(t, y) + \tau(\rho_2(t, y) - \rho_1(t, y))] dy, \right. \\ \left. \rho_1(t, x) + \tau(\rho_2(t, x) - \rho_1(t, x)) \right\} d\tau \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} F_2(t, x) = \int_0^1 F_z \left\{ \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} K(t, x, y) [\rho_1(t, y) + \tau(\rho_2(t, y) - \rho_1(t, y))] dy, \right. \\ \left. \rho_1(t, x) + \tau(\rho_2(t, x) - \rho_1(t, x)) \right\} d\tau. \end{aligned}$$

Here F_y and F_z represent the derivatives with respect to the first and second argument of $F(\cdot, \cdot)$, respectively. By Assumptions 1.1 and 1.2, there exists a constant $C_1 = C_1(M, F) > 0$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} & \|B_1\|_{L_{\mathbb{F}}^{\infty}(0, T; L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n))} + \sum_{i=2,3,5} \|B_i\|_{L_{\mathbb{F}}^{\infty}((0, T); L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n; \mathbb{R}^n))} + \sum_{j,k=1}^n \|B_{4jk}\|_{L_{\mathbb{F}}^{\infty}(0, T; L^{\infty} \mathbb{R}^n)} \\ &+ \sum_{i=1,2} \|F_i\|_{L_{\mathbb{F}}^{\infty}(0, T; L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n))} \leq C_1. \end{aligned} \quad (3.7)$$

Combining (3.1), (3.6) with (1.2) and (1.1), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} d\rho - \hat{\beta} \Delta \rho dt &= -\left(B_1 \rho + \mathbf{B}_2 \cdot \nabla \rho + \mathbf{B}_3 \cdot \nabla u + \sum_{j,k=1}^n B_{4jk} u_{x_j x_k} \right) dt \\ &\quad - \beta \nabla \rho \cdot dW(t), \end{aligned} \quad (t, x) \in (0, T) \times \mathbb{R}^n, \quad (3.8)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} du + \hat{\beta} \Delta u dt &= -\beta \operatorname{div} U dt - \mathbf{B}_5 \cdot \nabla u dt \\ &\quad - \left[F_1(t, x) \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} K(t, x, y) \rho(t, y) dy + F_2(t, x) \rho(t, x) \right] dt \\ &\quad + U \cdot dW(t), \end{aligned} \quad (t, x) \in (0, T) \times \mathbb{R}^n. \quad (3.9)$$

Applying the Carleman estimate (2.3) to (3.9) and recalling (2.15), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \mathbb{E} \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \theta^2 \left[\left(\sum_{j,k=1}^n u_{x_j x_k}^2 \right) + \lambda^2 \mu^2 (t+2)^{2\mu-2} u^2 + 2\lambda\mu(t+2)^{\mu-1} |\nabla u|^2 \right] dx dt \\
& \leq 4C_1^2 \mathbb{E} \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \theta^2 |\nabla u|^2 dx dt + 4C_1^2 \mathbb{E} \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} K(t, x, y) \theta(t) \rho(t, y) dy \right)^2 dx dt \quad (3.10) \\
& \quad + 4C_1^2 \mathbb{E} \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \theta^2 \rho^2 dx dt + 2e^{2\lambda(T+2)^\mu} \mathbb{E} \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} [\lambda\mu(T+2)^{\mu-1} u(T, x)^2 + \hat{\beta} |\nabla u(T, x)|^2] dx.
\end{aligned}$$

By Assumption 1.2 and Hölder's inequality, we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} K(t, x, y) \theta(t) \rho(t, y) dy \right)^2 dx \\
& \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |\theta(t) \rho(t, y)|^2 dy \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |K(t, x, y)|^2 dy dx \quad (3.11) \\
& \leq M^2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |\theta(t) \rho(t, y)|^2 dy
\end{aligned}$$

This, together with (3.10), implies that

$$\begin{aligned}
& \mathbb{E} \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \theta^2 [\hat{\beta}^2 |\Delta u|^2 + \lambda^2 \mu^2 (t+2)^{2\mu-2} u^2 + 2\lambda\mu(t+2)^{\mu-1} |\nabla u|^2] dx dt \\
& \leq 8C_1^2 M^2 \mathbb{E} \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \theta^2 (|\nabla u|^2 + \rho^2) dx dt \quad (3.12) \\
& \quad + 2e^{2\lambda(T+2)^\mu} \mathbb{E} \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} [\lambda\mu(T+2)^{\mu-1} u(T, x)^2 + \hat{\beta} |\nabla u(T, x)|^2] dx.
\end{aligned}$$

Applying Theorem 2.2 to the first equation of (3.8), multiplying each sides of (2.10) by μ^{-1} , and noting Assumption 1.3, we get that

$$\begin{aligned}
& \mathbb{E} \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \theta^2 \left[\frac{1}{4} \lambda\mu(t+2)^{\mu-2} \rho^2 + \mu^{-\frac{1}{2}} |\nabla \rho|^2 \right] dx dt \\
& \leq 2\mu^{-1} C_1^2 \mathbb{E} \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \theta^2 \left(\sum_{j,k=1}^n u_{x_j x_k}^2 + |\nabla \rho|^2 + |\nabla u|^2 + \rho^2 \right) dx dt \quad (3.13) \\
& \quad + 2\lambda(T+2)^{\mu-1} e^{2\lambda(T+2)^\mu} \mathbb{E} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \rho(T, x)^2 dx + \hat{\beta} \mu^{-1} e^{2\lambda 2^\mu} \mathbb{E} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |\nabla \rho(0, x)|^2 dx \\
& \quad + e^{2\lambda 2^\mu} \mathbb{E} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} p(0, x)^2 dx.
\end{aligned}$$

By (3.12) and (3.13), we obtain that

$$(\hat{\beta}^2 - 2\mu^{-1} C_1^2) \mathbb{E} \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \theta^2 \left(\sum_{j=1}^n u_{x_j x_j}^2 \right) dx dt + \lambda^2 \mu^2 2^{2\mu-2} \mathbb{E} \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \theta^2 u^2 dx dt$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& + (2\lambda\mu^{2\mu-1} - 8C_1^2M^2 - 2\mu^{-1}C_1^2)\mathbb{E} \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \theta^2 |\nabla u|^2 dxdt \\
& + \left(\frac{1}{4}\lambda\mu^{2\mu-2} - 2\mu^{-1}C_1^2 - 8C_1^2M^2\right)\mathbb{E} \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \theta^2 \rho^2 dxdt \\
& + (\mu^{-\frac{1}{2}} - 2\mu^{-1}C_1^2)\mathbb{E} \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \theta^2 |\nabla \rho|^2 dxdt \\
\leq & 2e^{2\lambda(T+2)^\mu} \mathbb{E} \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} [\lambda\mu(T+2)^{\mu-1}u(T,x)^2 + \hat{\beta}|\nabla u(T,x)|^2] dx \\
& + 2\lambda(T+2)^{\mu-1}e^{2\lambda(T+2)^\mu} \mathbb{E} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \rho(T,x)^2 dx + \hat{\beta}\mu^{-1}e^{2\lambda 2^\mu} \mathbb{E} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |\nabla \rho(0,x)|^2 dx. \\
& + e^{2\lambda 2^\mu} \mathbb{E} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} p(0,x)^2 dx.
\end{aligned} \tag{3.14}$$

By choosing $\lambda = 1$, it can be seen that there exists a positive constant $\mu_0 = \mu_0(M, F)$ such that when $\mu \geq \mu_0$, the terms on the left side of (3.14) are all positive. Consequently, there exists a constant $C = C(T, M, F) > 0$ such that (1.5) holds. \square

Proof of Theorem 1.2. Let $u = u_1 - u_2$, $\rho = \rho_1 - \rho_2$ and $U = U_1 - U_2$. By (3.14), there exist positive constants $\mu_0 = \mu_0(T, M, F)$ and $C = C(T, M, F)$, such that for any $\lambda \geq 0$,

$$\begin{aligned}
& \mathbb{E} \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \theta^2 (\rho^2 + |\nabla \rho|^2 + u^2 + |\nabla u|^2) dxdt \\
& \leq Ce^{2\lambda(T+2)^{\mu_0}} \mathbb{E} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} (u(T,x)^2 + |\nabla u(T,x)|^2 + \rho(T,x)^2) dx \\
& \quad + Ce^{2\lambda 2^{\mu_0}} \mathbb{E} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} (\rho(0,x)^2 + |\nabla \rho(0,x)|^2) dx.
\end{aligned} \tag{3.15}$$

Recalling that $\theta = e^{\lambda(t+2)^{\mu_0}}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \|\rho\|_{L^2_{\mathbb{F}}(\varepsilon, T; H^1(\mathbb{R}^n))} + \|u\|_{L^2(\varepsilon, T; H^1(\mathbb{R}^n))} \\
& \leq Ce^{\lambda[(T+2)^{\mu_0} - (2+\varepsilon)^{\mu_0}]} (\|u(T)\|_{L^2_{\mathcal{F}_T}(\Omega; H^1(\mathbb{R}^n))} + \|\rho(T)\|_{L^2_{\mathcal{F}_T}(\Omega; L^2(\mathbb{R}^n))}) \\
& \quad + Ce^{\lambda[2^{\mu_0} - (2+\varepsilon)^{\mu_0}]} \|\rho(0)\|_{H^1(\mathbb{R}^n)}.
\end{aligned} \tag{3.16}$$

Set

$$\lambda \triangleq \frac{\ln \|\rho(0)\|_{H^1(\mathbb{R}^n)} - \ln (\|u(T)\|_{L^2_{\mathcal{F}_T}(\Omega; H^1(\mathbb{R}^n))} + \|\rho(T)\|_{L^2_{\mathcal{F}_T}(\Omega; L^2(\mathbb{R}^n))})}{(T+2)^{\mu_0} - 2^{\mu_0}}$$

in (3.16). Then we get

$$\begin{aligned}
& \|\rho\|_{L^2_{\mathbb{F}}(\varepsilon, T; H^1(\mathbb{R}^n))} + \|u\|_{L^2(\varepsilon, T; H^1(\mathbb{R}^n))} \\
& \leq C \|\rho(0)\|_{H^1(\mathbb{R}^n)}^{1-\eta} (\|u(T)\|_{L^2_{\mathcal{F}_T}(\Omega; H^1(\mathbb{R}^n))} + \|\rho(T)\|_{L^2_{\mathcal{F}_T}(\Omega; L^2(\mathbb{R}^n))})^\eta,
\end{aligned}$$

with

$$\eta = \frac{(2 + \varepsilon)^{\mu_0} - 2^{\mu_0}}{(T + 2)^{\mu_0} - 2^{\mu_0}}.$$

□

4 Proof of Theorem 1.3

Proof of Theorem 1.3. Let $u = u_1 - u_2$, $\rho = \rho_1 - \rho_2$, $U = U_1 - U_2$ and $r = r_1 - r_2$. Similar to (3.8), the following holds:

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} d\rho - \hat{\beta}\Delta\rho dt = -\left(B_1\rho + \mathbf{B}_2 \cdot \nabla\rho + \mathbf{B}_3 \cdot \nabla u + \sum_{j,k=1}^n B_{4jk}u_{x_jx_k}\right)dt \\ \quad - \beta\nabla\rho \cdot dW(t) \quad \text{in } Q, \\ du + \hat{\beta}\Delta u dt = \left(rR - \beta\operatorname{div}U - \mathbf{B}_5 \cdot \nabla u\right)dt \\ \quad - \left[F_1 \int_G K(\cdot, \cdot, y)\rho(\cdot, y)dy + F_2\rho\right]dt + U \cdot dW(t) \quad \text{in } Q, \\ u = \rho = u_{x_1} = \rho_{x_1} = u_{x_1x_1} = \rho_{x_1x_1} = 0 \quad \text{on } (0, T) \times \partial G, \\ u(T) = \rho(T) = 0, \quad \text{in } G. \end{array} \right. \quad (4.1)$$

For arbitrary small $\varepsilon > 0$, we choose t_1 and t_2 such that

$$0 < t_1 < t_2 < \varepsilon. \quad (4.2)$$

Let $\chi \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ satisfy that $0 \leq \chi \leq 1$ and that

$$\chi = \begin{cases} 0, & t \leq t_1, \\ 1, & t \geq t_2. \end{cases} \quad (4.3)$$

Put $v = \frac{u}{R}$ and $V = \frac{U}{R}$. By elementary calculation, we have that

$$\begin{aligned} & dv + \hat{\beta}\Delta v dt \\ &= \left(-\frac{R_t}{R}v + \hat{\beta}\frac{\Delta R}{R}v + 2\hat{\beta}\frac{\nabla R \cdot \nabla v}{R}\right)dt + r dt \\ & \quad + \left(-\beta\frac{\nabla R \cdot V}{R} - \beta\operatorname{div}V - \mathbf{B}_5 \cdot \nabla v - \frac{\mathbf{B}_5 \cdot \nabla R v}{R}\right)dt \\ & \quad - \frac{1}{R}\left(F_1 \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} K(\cdot, \cdot, y)\rho(\cdot, y)dy + F_2\rho\right)dt + V \cdot dW(t) \\ &= (r + f_1v + \mathbf{f}_2 \cdot \nabla v + \mathbf{f}_3 \cdot V - \beta\operatorname{div}V)dt \\ & \quad - \frac{1}{R}\left(F_1 \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} K(\cdot, \cdot, y)\rho(\cdot, y)dy + F_2\rho\right)dt + V \cdot dW(t), \end{aligned} \quad (4.4)$$

where

$$\begin{cases} f_1 \triangleq -\frac{R_t}{R} + \hat{\beta} \frac{\Delta R}{R} - \frac{\mathbf{B}_5 \cdot \nabla R}{R}, \\ f_2 \triangleq 2\hat{\beta} \frac{\nabla R}{R} - \mathbf{B}_5, \quad f_3 \triangleq -\beta \frac{\nabla R}{R}. \end{cases} \quad (4.5)$$

Setting $w \triangleq \chi v_{x_1}$, $\mathcal{W} \triangleq \chi V_{x_1}$ and $p \triangleq \chi \rho_{x_1}$, and noting that r is independent of x_1 , we get from (4.1) and (4.4) that

$$\begin{aligned} & dw + \hat{\beta} \Delta w dt \\ &= (\chi_t v_{x_1} + f_1 w + \mathbf{f}_2 \cdot \nabla w + f_{1x_1} \chi v + \chi \mathbf{f}_{2x_1} \cdot \nabla v) dt + (\mathbf{f}_3 \cdot \mathcal{W} - \beta \operatorname{div} \mathcal{W} + \chi \mathbf{f}_{3x_1} \cdot V) dt \\ & \quad - \frac{1}{R} \left(F_{1x_1} \int_G K(\cdot, \cdot, y) \chi \rho(\cdot, y) dy + F_1 \int_G K_{x_1}(\cdot, \cdot, y) \chi \rho(\cdot, y) dy \right) dt \\ & \quad - (F_{2x_1} \chi \rho + F_2 p) dt + \mathcal{W} \cdot dW(t) \end{aligned} \quad (4.6)$$

and that

$$\begin{aligned} & dp - \beta \Delta p dt \\ &= - \left(\chi_t \rho_{x_1} + g_1 w + \mathbf{g}_2 \cdot \nabla w + \sum_{j,k=1}^n g_{3jk} w_{x_j x_k} + \mathbf{g}_4 \cdot \nabla p + g_5 p \right) dt \\ & \quad - \chi \left[g_{1x_1} v + \mathbf{g}_{2x_1} \cdot \nabla v + \sum_{j,k=1}^n (\partial_{x_1} g_{3jk}) v_{x_j x_k} + \mathbf{g}_{4x_1} \cdot \nabla \rho + g_{5x_1} \rho \right] dt + \beta \nabla p \cdot dW(t), \end{aligned} \quad (4.7)$$

where $\mathbf{g}_2 = (g_{21}, \dots, g_{2j}, \dots, g_{2n})$, $\mathbf{g}_4 = (g_{41}, \dots, g_{4j}, \dots, g_{4n})$ and for $j, k = 1, \dots, n$,

$$\begin{aligned} g_1 &= \mathbf{B}_3 \cdot \nabla R + \sum_{j,k=1}^n B_{4jk} R_{x_j x_k}, \quad g_{2j} = R B_{3j} + 2 \sum_{k=1}^n B_{4jk} R_{x_j k}, \quad g_{3jk} = B_{4jk} R, \\ \mathbf{g}_4 &= \mathbf{B}_2, \quad g_5 = B_1. \end{aligned} \quad (4.8)$$

From the choice of χ (see (4.3)), we have that

$$\int_G (\chi v)^2 dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n-1}} dx' \int_0^1 \left(\int_0^{x_1} w(t, z, x') dz \right)^2 dx_1 \leq \int_G w^2 dx. \quad (4.9)$$

Similarly, we can obtain that

$$\begin{cases} \int_G |\chi V|^2 dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n-1}} dx' \int_0^1 \left(\int_0^{x_1} \mathcal{W}(t, z, x') dz \right)^2 dx_1 \leq \int_G |\mathcal{W}|^2 dx, \\ \int_G (\chi \rho)^2 dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n-1}} dx' \int_0^1 \left(\int_0^{x_1} p(t, z, x') dz \right)^2 dx_1 \leq \int_G p^2 dx, \end{cases} \quad (4.10)$$

and that for $j, k = 1, \dots, n$,

$$\begin{cases} \int_G (\chi v_{x_j})^2 dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n-1}} dx' \int_0^1 \left(\int_0^{x_1} w_{x_j}(t, z, x') dz \right)^2 dx_1 \leq \int_G w_{x_j}^2 dx, \\ \int_G (\chi v_{x_j x_k})^2 dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n-1}} dx' \int_0^1 \left(\int_0^{x_1} w_{x_j x_k}(t, z, x') dz \right)^2 dx_1 \leq \int_G w_{x_j x_k}^2 dx, \\ \int_G (\chi \rho_{x_j})^2 dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n-1}} dx' \int_0^1 \left(\int_0^{x_1} p_{x_j}(t, z, x') dz \right)^2 dx_1 \leq \int_G p_{x_j}^2 dx. \end{cases} \quad (4.11)$$

At last, similar to (3.11), using Hölder inequality, combining (4.10), we can get that

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_G \left(\int_G K(t, x, y) \chi(t) \rho(t, y) dy \right)^2 dx \\ & \leq \int_G |\chi(t) \rho(t, y)|^2 dy \int_G \int_G K(t, x, y)^2 dx dy \\ & \leq M^2 \int_G p(T, y)^2 dy. \end{aligned} \quad (4.12)$$

On the other hand, recalling $\|K_{x_1}\|_{L_F^\infty(0, T; L^2(G \times G))} \leq M$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_G \left(\int_G K_{x_1}(t, x, y) \chi(t) \rho(t, y) dy \right)^2 dx \\ & \leq \int_G |\chi(t) \rho(t, y)|^2 dy \int_G \int_G K_{x_1}(t, x, y)^2 dx dy \\ & \leq M^2 \int_G p(T, y)^2 dy. \end{aligned} \quad (4.13)$$

Next, we apply the Carleman estimates (2.21) and (2.23) to (4.6) and (4.7), respectively. From (2.21), keeping the Assumption 1.3 in mind, and noting (4.9)–(4.13), we find that there exist constants $C_2 = C_2(T, M, F, R)$, $\lambda_0 = \lambda_0(T, M, F, R)$ and $\mu_0 = \mu_0(T, M, F, R)$, such that for every $\lambda \geq \lambda_0$ and $\mu \geq \mu_0$, it holds that

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbb{E} \int_0^T \int_G \theta^2 \left[|\Delta w|^2 + \lambda^2 \mu^2 (t+2)^{2\mu-2} w^2 + 2\lambda \mu (t+2)^{\mu-1} |\nabla w|^2 \right] dx dt \\ & \leq \mathbb{E} \int_0^T \int_G \left[8(\chi_t v_{x_1})^2 + C_2 \theta^2 p^2 \right] dx dt. \end{aligned} \quad (4.14)$$

Multiplying each sides of (2.23) by μ^{-1} , we get that there exist constants $C_3 = C_3(T, M, F, R)$, $\lambda_1 = \lambda_1(T, M, F, R)$ and $\mu_1 = \mu_1(T, M, F, R)$, such that for each $\lambda \geq \lambda_1$ and $\mu \geq \mu_1$,

$$\begin{aligned} & \lambda \mu \mathbb{E} \int_0^T \int_G (t+2)^{\mu-2} \theta^2 p^2 dx dt + \sqrt{\mu} \mathbb{E} \int_0^T \int_G \theta^2 |\nabla p|^2 dx dt \\ & \leq C_3 \mathbb{E} \int_0^T \int_G \theta^2 \left[\mu^{-1} (\chi_t \rho_{x_1})^2 + \mu^{-1} \theta^2 (w^2 + |\nabla w|^2 + |\Delta w|^2) \right] dx dt. \end{aligned} \quad (4.15)$$

Adding (4.14) and (4.15) together, we obtain that there exist constants $\mu_2 = \mu_2(T, M, F, R) > 0$, $\lambda_2 = \lambda_2(T, M, F, R) > 0$ and $C_4 = C_4(T, M, F, R) > 0$ such that for every $\mu \geq \mu_2$ and $\lambda \geq \lambda_2$,

$$\mathbb{E} \int_0^T \int_G \theta^2 (p^2 + |\nabla p|^2 + w^2 + |\nabla w|^2) dxdt \leq C_4 \mathbb{E} \int_0^T \int_G \theta^2 (|\chi_t v_{x_1}|^2 + |\chi_t p_{x_1}|^2) dxdt. \quad (4.16)$$

Recalling (4.3) for the definition of χ and $\theta = e^{\lambda(t+2)^\mu}$, we obtain that

$$e^{2\lambda(\varepsilon+2)^\mu} \mathbb{E} \int_\varepsilon^T \int_G (p^2 + |\nabla p|^2 + w^2 + |\nabla w|^2) dxdt \leq C_4 e^{2\lambda(t_2+2)^\mu} \mathbb{E} \int_0^T \int_G (|v_{x_1}|^2 + |p_{x_1}|^2) dxdt,$$

which yields that

$$e^{2\lambda[(\varepsilon+2)^\mu - (t_2+2)^\mu]} \mathbb{E} \int_\varepsilon^T \int_G (p^2 + |\nabla p|^2 + w^2 + |\nabla w|^2) dxdt \leq C_4 \mathbb{E} \int_0^T \int_G (|v_{x_1}|^2 + |p_{x_1}|^2) dxdt. \quad (4.17)$$

Letting λ tend to infinity, recalling the definition of χ in (4.3), and noting that $p = \chi \rho_{x_1}$ and $w = \chi v_{x_1}$, we obtain that

$$\rho_{x_1} = v_{x_1} = 0 \quad \text{in } [\varepsilon, T] \times G, \quad \mathbb{P}\text{-a.s.} \quad (4.18)$$

Noting that $G \triangleq (0, 1) \times \mathbb{R}^n$, and $\rho = u = 0$ on $[0, T] \times \partial G$, from (4.18) and $v = u/R$, we get

$$\rho = u = 0 \quad \text{in } [\varepsilon, T] \times G, \quad \mathbb{P}\text{-a.s.} \quad (4.19)$$

By the second equation in (4.1), we have

$$(rR - \beta \operatorname{div} U) dt = U \cdot dW(t), \quad \text{in } [\varepsilon, T] \times G. \quad (4.20)$$

This, together with $\inf_{x \in \bar{G}} |R(t, x)| > 0$, yields $r = 0$ in $(\varepsilon, T) \times G$, \mathbb{P} -a.s. Since $\varepsilon > 0$ is arbitrary, we get that $r = 0$ in $(0, T) \times G$, \mathbb{P} -a.s. \square

References

- [1] M. Bardi and M. Fischer, *On non-uniqueness and uniqueness of solutions in finite-horizon mean field games*. *ESAIM Control Optim. Calc. Var.*, **25**(2019), Paper No. 44.
- [2] A. Bensoussan, J. Frehse and P. Yam, *Mean field games and mean field type control theory*. Springer, New York, 2013.
- [3] P. Cardaliaguet and F. Delarue, *Selected topics in mean field games*. *ICM–International Congress of Mathematicians*. Vol. 5. 3660–3703, EMS Press, Berlin, 2023.
- [4] P. Cardaliaguet, F. Delarue, J. Lasry and P. Lions, *The master equation and the convergence problem in mean field games*. *Ann. of Math. Stud.*, 201, Princeton University Press, 2019.
- [5] R. Carmona and F. Delarue, *Probabilistic theory of mean field games with applications. I. mean field FBSDEs, control, and games*. Springer, Cham, 2018.

- [6] R. Carmona and F. Delarue, *Probabilistic theory of mean field games with applications. II. mean field games with common noise and master equations*. Springer, Cham, 2018.
- [7] L. Ding, W. Li, S. Osher and W. Yin, *A mean field game inverse problem*. *J. Sci. Comput.*, **92**(2022), Paper No. 7, 35 pp.
- [8] M. Ding, H. Liu and G. Zheng, *Determining internal topological structures and running cost of mean field games with partial boundary measurement*. arXiv 2408.08911v1, 2024.
- [9] M. Huang, R. P. Malhamé and P. E. Caines, *Large population stochastic dynamic games: close-loop MCKean-Vlasov system and the nash certainty equivalence principle*. *Commun. Inf. Syst.*, **6**(2006), 221–252.
- [10] O. Yu. Imanuvilov, H. Liu and M. Yamamoto, *Lipschitz stability for determination of states and inverse source problem for the mean field game equations*. *Inverse Probl. Imaging*, **18** (2024), 824–859.
- [11] P. Kachroo, S. Agarwal and S. Sastry, *Inverse problem for non-viscous mean field control: example from traffic*. *IEEE Trans. Autom. Control*, **61**(2016), 3412–3421.
- [12] M. V. Klibanov, *A coefficient inverse problem for the mean field games system*, *Appl. Math. Optim.*, **88**(2023), Paper No. 54pp.
- [13] M. V. Klibanov, *The mean field games system: Carleman estimates, Lipschitz stability and uniqueness*. *J. Inverse Ill-Posed Probl.*, **31**(2023), 455–466.
- [14] M. V. Klibanov and Y. Averboukh, *Lipschitz stability estimate and uniqueness in the retrospective analysis for the mean field games system via two Carleman estimate*. *SIAM J. Math. Anal.*, **56**(2024), 616–636.
- [15] M. V. Klibanov, J. Li and H. Liu, *Hölder stability and uniqueness for the mean field games system via Carleman estimates*. *Stud. Appl. Math.*, **151**(2023), 1447–1470.
- [16] M. V. Klibanov, J. Li and H. Liu, *On the mean field games system with the lateral Cauchy data via Carleman estimates*. *J. Inverse Ill-Posed Probl.*, **32**(2024), 277–295.
- [17] M. V. Klibanov, J. Li and Z. Yang, *Convexification numerical method for the retrospective problem of mean field games*. *Appl. Math. Optim.*, **90**(2024), Paper No. 24 pp.
- [18] V. N. Kolokoltsov and O. A. Malafeyev, *Many agent games in socio-economic systems: corruption, inspection, coalition building, network growth, security*. Springer, Cham, 2019.
- [19] D. Lacker, *A general characterization of the mean field limit for stochastic differential games*. *Probab. Theory Relat. Fields*, **165**(2016), 581–648.
- [20] J. M. Larsy and P. L. Lions, *Mean field games*. *Jpn. J. Math.*, **2**(2007), 229–260.
- [21] Z. Liao and Q. Lü, *Stability estimate for an inverse stochastic parabolic problem of determining unknown time-varying boundary*. *Inverse Problems*, **40** (2024), Paper No. 045032.

- [22] H. Liu, C. Mou and S. Zhang, *Inverse Problems for mean field games*. *Inverse Problems*, **39**(2023), Paper No. 085003.
- [23] Q. Lü, *Carleman estimate for stochastic parabolic equations and inverse stochastic parabolic problems*. *Inverse Problems*, **28**(2012), 045008.
- [24] Q. Lü, X. Zhang, *Inverse problems for stochastic partial differential equations: some progresses and open problems*. *Numer. Algebra Control Optim.*, 14(2024), 227–272.
- [25] Q. Lü and X. Zhang, *Mathematical control theory for stochastic partial differential equations*. Springer, Cham, 2022.
- [26] K. Ren, N. Soedjak and K. Wang, *Unique determination of cost functions in a multipopulation mean field game model*. *arXiv: 2312.01622*, 2023.
- [27] K. Ren, N. Soedjak, K. Wang and H. Zhai, *Reconstructing a state-independent cost function in a mean field game model*. *arXiv: 2402.09297*, 2024.
- [28] S. Tang and X. Zhang, *Null controllability for forward and backward stochastic parabolic equations*. *SIAM J. Control Optim.*, **48**(2009), 2196–2216.
- [29] B. Wu, Q. Chen and Z. Wang, *Carleman estimates for a stochastic degenerate parabolic equation and applications to null controllability and an inverse random source problem*. *Inverse Problems* **36** (2020), 075014.
- [30] B. Wu and J. Liu, *On the stability of recovering two sources and initial status in a stochastic hyperbolic-parabolic system*. *Inverse Problems* **38** (2022), Paper No. 025010.
- [31] G. Yuan, *Conditional stability in determination of initial data for stochastic parabolic equations*. *Inverse Problems*, 33(2017), Paper No. 035014.