

L^p -SOBOLEV INEQUALITIES ON EUCLIDEAN SUBMANIFOLDS

ZOLTÁN M. BALOGH, ALEXANDRU KRISTÁLY AND ÁGNES MESTER

ABSTRACT. The paper is devoted to prove Allard–Michael–Simon-type L^p -Sobolev ($p > 1$) inequalities with explicit constants on Euclidean submanifolds of any codimension. Such inequalities contain, beside the Dirichlet p -energy, a term involving the mean curvature of the submanifold. Our results require separate discussions for the cases $p \geq 2$ and $1 < p < 2$, respectively; in particular, for $p \geq 2$, the coefficient in front of the Dirichlet p -energy is asymptotically sharp and codimension-free. Our argument is based on optimal mass transport theory on Euclidean submanifolds and it also provides an alternative, unified proof of the recent isoperimetric inequalities of Brendle (J. Amer. Math. Soc., 2021) and Brendle and Eichmair (Notices Amer. Math. Soc., 2024).

1. INTRODUCTION AND RESULTS

Sobolev inequalities are of crucial importance in the theory of partial differential equations, relating the Lebesgue norm of a function to its Dirichlet energy which measures its variation. The most famous inequality in this direction is certainly the classical L^p -Sobolev inequality in the Euclidean space \mathbb{R}^n . Namely, let $n \geq 2$ and $p \in (1, n)$, where $p' = \frac{p}{p-1}$ is the dual exponent of p and $p^* = \frac{pn}{n-p}$ is its critical Sobolev exponent. Then one has that

$$\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |f|^{p^*} dx \right)^{1/p^*} \leq AT(n, p) \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |\nabla f|^p dx \right)^{1/p}, \quad \forall f \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n). \quad (1.1)$$

In this inequality, the best Sobolev constant, computed first by Aubin [3] and Talenti [22], is given by

$$AT(n, p) = \pi^{-\frac{1}{2}} n^{-\frac{1}{p}} \left(\frac{p-1}{n-p} \right)^{1/p'} \left(\frac{\Gamma(\frac{n}{2}+1)\Gamma(n)}{\Gamma(\frac{n}{p})\Gamma(\frac{n}{p'}+1)} \right)^{1/n}.$$

When $p \rightarrow 1$, (1.1) reduces to the classical sharp isoperimetric inequality in functional form, namely,

$$\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |f|^{\frac{n}{n-1}} dx \right)^{\frac{n-1}{n}} \leq \frac{1}{n\omega_n^{1/n}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |\nabla f| dx, \quad \forall f \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n), \quad (1.2)$$

where ω_n denotes the volume of the unit ball in \mathbb{R}^n .

Motivated by various problems arising in differential geometry, analysis and PDEs on manifolds, the theory of Sobolev inequalities on *Euclidean submanifolds* attracted considerable attention. In this context, it is expected that, beside the usual terms in (1.1), the mean curvature of the submanifold should also play a role.

To be more precise, let $m, n \geq 1$ and $\Sigma \subset \mathbb{R}^{n+m}$ be a complete n -dimensional submanifold, H the mean curvature vector of Σ , ∇^Σ the gradient associated to Σ , and vol_Σ the natural canonical

2020 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 28A25, 26D15, 46E35, 49Q22, 58J60,

Key words and phrases. Submanifolds, Sobolev inequality, asymptotically sharp, optimal mass transport.

Z. M. Balogh and Á. Mester are supported by the Swiss National Science Foundation, Grant Nr. 200021_228012.

A. Kristály is supported by the Excellence Researcher Program ÓE-KP-2-2022 of Óbuda University, Hungary.

measure on Σ . In 1969, Bombieri, De Giorgi and Miranda [6] established the first universal Sobolev inequality on minimal surfaces (i.e., surfaces with vanishing mean curvature), similar to (1.1). Shortly after their work, in the early seventies, Allard [1] and Michael and Simon [20] provided the first general Sobolev/isoperimetric inequality on Euclidean submanifolds, incorporating the mean curvature; namely, in [20, Theorem 2.1] one has that

$$\left(\int_{\Sigma} |g|^{\frac{n}{n-1}} d\text{vol}_{\Sigma} \right)^{\frac{n-1}{n}} \leq \frac{4^{n+1}}{\omega_n^{1/n}} \int_{\Sigma} (|\nabla^{\Sigma} g| + |H||g|) d\text{vol}_{\Sigma}, \quad \forall g \in C_0^{\infty}(\Sigma). \quad (1.3)$$

Let us note that the constant $\frac{4^{n+1}}{\omega_n^{1/n}}$ in (1.3) is far from being optimal (compare (1.2)). However, its main advantage lies in being codimension-free.

The problem of proving a Sobolev inequality of the type (1.3) with *best constant* remained open until more recently when, in a series of papers, Brendle [7, 8], then Brendle and Eichmair [9, 10] established the following Sobolev/isoperimetric inequality on Euclidean submanifolds, under the additional assumption that Σ is compact, possibly with boundary:

$$\left(\int_{\Sigma} |g|^{\frac{n}{n-1}} d\text{vol}_{\Sigma} \right)^{\frac{n-1}{n}} \leq C(n, m) \int_{\Sigma} \sqrt{|\nabla^{\Sigma} g|^2 + |H|^2 |g|^2} d\text{vol}_{\Sigma}, \quad \forall g \in C_0^{\infty}(\Sigma), \quad (1.4)$$

where

$$C(n, m) = \max \left\{ \frac{1}{n} \left(\frac{m\omega_m}{(n+m)\omega_{n+m}} \right)^{\frac{1}{n}}, \frac{1}{n\omega_n^{\frac{1}{n}}} \right\} = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{n\omega_n^{\frac{1}{n}}} & m \in \{1, 2\} \\ \frac{1}{n} \left(\frac{m\omega_m}{(n+m)\omega_{n+m}} \right)^{\frac{1}{n}} & m \geq 3 \end{cases}. \quad (1.5)$$

These are remarkable breakthrough results, especially in codimensions $m = 1$ and $m = 2$, when the constant $C(n, m)$ coincides with the best Euclidean constant (see (1.2)), therefore making it optimal. Let us furthermore note that, although for $m \geq 3$ the constant $C(n, m)$ in (1.4) is codimension-dependent, according to the Nash isometric embedding theorem [21], the codimension m can be controlled from above by $\frac{3}{2}n(n+3)$ for Σ compact and $\frac{n}{2}(3n^2 + 14n + 9)$ for Σ non-compact; in both cases, $C(n, m)$ still produces smaller values than $\frac{4^{n+1}}{\omega_n^{1/n}}$ from (1.3).

Considering (1.4), two kinds of L^p -Sobolev inequalities can be deduced in the setting of Euclidean submanifolds when $p > 1$. First, similarly to Cabré and Miraglio [14], by placing $g := f^{p^*(1-\frac{1}{n})}$ with $p > 1$ into the slightly weaker inequality implied by (1.4), namely,

$$\left(\int_{\Sigma} |g|^{\frac{n}{n-1}} d\text{vol}_{\Sigma} \right)^{\frac{n-1}{n}} \leq C(n, m) \int_{\Sigma} (|\nabla^{\Sigma} g| + |H||g|) d\text{vol}_{\Sigma},$$

then applying Hölder's inequality, a simple computation yields that

$$\left(\int_{\Sigma} |f|^{p^*} d\text{vol}_{\Sigma} \right)^{\frac{1}{p^*}} \leq p^* \frac{n-1}{n} C(n, m) \left(\int_{\Sigma} |\nabla^{\Sigma} f|^p d\text{vol}_{\Sigma} \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} + C(n, m) \left(\int_{\Sigma} |H|^p |f|^p d\text{vol}_{\Sigma} \right)^{\frac{1}{p}}. \quad (1.6)$$

Second, if Σ is minimal, i.e., $H \equiv 0$, then (1.4) and (1.1) combined with a rearrangement argument and the co-area formula imply the L^p -Sobolev inequality

$$\left(\int_{\Sigma} |f|^{p^*} d\text{vol}_{\Sigma} \right)^{1/p^*} \leq n\omega_n^{\frac{1}{n}} C(n, m) AT(n, p) \left(\int_{\Sigma} |\nabla^{\Sigma} f|^p d\text{vol}_{\Sigma} \right)^{1/p}, \quad \forall f \in C_0^{\infty}(\Sigma). \quad (1.7)$$

When $m \in \{1, 2\}$, we have that $C(n, m) = \frac{1}{n\omega_n^{1/n}}$, thus (1.7) is sharp and we recover the optimal constant from the Euclidean Sobolev inequality (1.1). This inequality was stated by Brendle [7, Theorem 5.8] for minimal hypersurfaces. However, for larger codimensions $m \geq 3$, (1.6) and (1.7) is not sharp. In fact, the constants formally blow-up, since $C(n, m) \rightarrow \infty$ when $m \rightarrow \infty$, for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$ (see (3.1)).

Having these facts in mind, a natural question arises: can we obtain codimension-free L^p -Sobolev inequalities on submanifolds or inequalities which are better than (1.6) and (1.7) for large codimensions? The goal of this paper is to provide results in this direction. Our first result is the following L^p -Sobolev inequality for $p \geq 2$:

Theorem 1.1. *Let $n \geq 3$ and $m \geq 1$ be integers, $2 \leq p < n$, and let Σ be a complete n -dimensional submanifold of \mathbb{R}^{n+m} without boundary. Then, for any $f \in C_0^\infty(\Sigma)$, one has that*

$$\left(\int_{\Sigma} |f|^{p^*} d\text{vol}_{\Sigma} \right)^{1/p^*} \leq S(n, p) \left(\int_{\Sigma} |\nabla^{\Sigma} f|^p d\text{vol}_{\Sigma} \right)^{1/p} + M(n, m, p) \left(\int_{\Sigma} |H|^p f^p d\text{vol}_{\Sigma} \right)^{1/p}, \quad (1.8)$$

where

$$S(n, p) = \frac{p^*}{n} \left(1 - \frac{1}{n} \right) p^{-\frac{1}{p}} (2\pi)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \left(\frac{e}{n} \right)^{\frac{1}{p'} - \frac{1}{2}} \left(\frac{\Gamma(n)}{\Gamma(n/p)} \right)^{1/n},$$

$$M(n, m, p) = K(n, m) \left(\frac{n+m}{m} \right)^{\frac{n+m}{n} \left(\frac{1}{p'} - \frac{1}{2} \right)} \quad \text{and} \quad K(n, m) = \frac{1}{n} \left(\frac{m\omega_m}{(n+m)\omega_{n+m}} \right)^{\frac{1}{n}}.$$

Remark 1.1. First, let us note that the constant $S(n, p)$ above is *codimension-free*. Furthermore, as expected, $S(n, p) > AT(n, p)$. However, by using the Stirling–Lánczos approximation for the Gamma function, one has that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{S(n, p)}{AT(n, p)} = 1. \quad (1.9)$$

In particular, the latter limit implies that (1.8) is *asymptotically sharp* for minimal submanifolds when $n \rightarrow \infty$. After the proof of Theorem 1.1, we point out in Remark 2.1 the technical obstacle that limits us to obtaining only the asymptotic sharp Sobolev constant $S(n, p)$ instead of the expected $AT(n, p)$.

Concerning the second constant in (1.8), we observe that $M(n, m, p) \geq C(n, m)$ with equality if and only if $p = 2$, thus the second term slightly deteriorates with respect to the one from (1.6).

As a counterpart of Theorem 1.1, we also derive an L^p -Sobolev inequality when $1 < p \leq 2$; in this case the constants are not codimension-free, but still slightly better than those in (1.6) and (1.7) for certain ranges of the codimension m and the value $p \in (1, 2]$. Namely, we have:

Theorem 1.2. *Let $n \geq 2$ and $m \geq 1$ be integers, and Σ be a complete n -dimensional submanifold of \mathbb{R}^{n+m} without boundary. Let $1 < p < 2$ if $n = 2$, and $1 < p \leq 2$ when $n \geq 3$, respectively. Then for every $f \in C_0^\infty(\Sigma)$,*

$$\left(\int_{\Sigma} |f|^{p^*} d\text{vol}_{\Sigma} \right)^{1/p^*} \leq \tilde{S}(n, m, p) \left(\int_{\Sigma} |\nabla^{\Sigma} f|^p d\text{vol}_{\Sigma} \right)^{1/p} + K(n, m) \left(\int_{\Sigma} |H|^p |f|^p d\text{vol}_{\Sigma} \right)^{1/p}, \quad (1.10)$$

where

$$\tilde{S}(n, m, p) = \frac{p^*}{n} \left(1 - \frac{1}{n} \right) p^{-\frac{1}{p}} p'^{-\frac{1}{p'}} \left(\frac{\omega_m \Gamma(\frac{m}{p'} + 1)}{\omega_{n+m} \Gamma(\frac{n+m}{p'} + 1)} \frac{\Gamma(n)}{\Gamma(\frac{n}{p})} \right)^{\frac{1}{n}},$$

and $K(n, m)$ is the constant defined in Theorem 1.1.

Remark 1.2. Comparing $\tilde{S}(n, m, p)$ with the constants from the Sobolev inequalities (1.6) and (1.7), one can observe that for any $n \geq 2$ and $m \geq 1$,

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{p \rightarrow 1} p^* \left(1 - \frac{1}{n}\right) C(n, m) &= \lim_{p \rightarrow 1} n \omega_n^{\frac{1}{n}} C(n, m) AT(n, p) \\ &= C(n, m) < \lim_{p \rightarrow 1} \tilde{S}(n, m, p) = \frac{1}{n} \left(\frac{\omega_m}{\omega_{n+m}}\right)^{\frac{1}{n}}. \end{aligned}$$

This shows that for small values of p close to 1, the constant $\tilde{S}(n, m, p)$ is worse than the constants appearing in (1.6) and (1.7). However, for larger values of $p \in (1, 2]$, the constant $\tilde{S}(n, m, p)$ improves. For instance, if $n = 3$, $m = 4$ and $p = 3/2$, one has that

$$\tilde{S}(n, m, p) < p^* \left(1 - \frac{1}{n}\right) C(n, m) \quad \text{and} \quad \tilde{S}(n, m, p) < n \omega_n^{\frac{1}{n}} C(n, m) AT(n, p).$$

In addition, for $p = 2$, a simple calculation shows that $\tilde{S}(n, m, 2) = S(n, 2)$ for every $m \geq 1$. In fact, in the particular case when $p = 2$, the above two theorems coincide, which can be summarized by the following result:

Corollary 1.1. *Let $n \geq 3$ and $m \geq 1$ be integers and Σ be a complete n -dimensional submanifold of \mathbb{R}^{n+m} without boundary. Then for every $f \in C_0^\infty(\Sigma)$,*

$$\left(\int_{\Sigma} |f|^{2^*} d\text{vol}_{\Sigma}\right)^{1/2^*} \leq S(n, 2) \left(\int_{\Sigma} |\nabla^{\Sigma} f|^2 d\text{vol}_{\Sigma}\right)^{1/2} + K(n, m) \left(\int_{\Sigma} |H|^2 f^2 d\text{vol}_{\Sigma}\right)^{1/2}, \quad (1.11)$$

where

$$S(n, 2) = \pi^{-\frac{1}{2}} \frac{n-1}{n(n-2)} \left(\frac{\Gamma(n)}{\Gamma(n/2)}\right)^{1/n} \quad \text{and} \quad K(n, m) = \frac{1}{n} \left(\frac{m\omega_m}{(n+m)\omega_{n+m}}\right)^{1/n}.$$

The proof of Theorems 1.1 and 1.2 is based on Optimal Mass Transportation (shortly, OMT) on Euclidean submanifolds. The idea originates from the seminal paper of Cordero-Erausquin, Nazaret and Villani [17], where sharp L^p -Sobolev inequalities are established by applying OMT in the Euclidean space. The key tool in this context is Brenier's theorem [11] and the resulting Monge–Ampère equation between the normalized initial function and Talentian bubble.

Recent results have shown that the OMT approach can be efficiently used to produce sharp Sobolev inequalities in non-Euclidean spaces as well, see e.g., Balogh and Kristály [5, 4], Brendle and Eichmair [9, 10], Cavalletti and Mondino [12, 13], and Kristály [18]. However, in the setting of submanifolds, the situation is more delicate, as the normalized starting function is supported on the submanifold Σ and therefore the source measure with this density is not absolutely continuous. To overcome this difficulty, we apply a generalized version of Brenier's theorem from the recent work of Balogh and Kristály [4]. In this setting, we obtain an integral version of the Monge–Ampère equation, where the target density function should be defined in a higher dimensional space. To address this issue, the target density function will be chosen to be a Talentian-type bubble with a suitable exponent.

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2 we first recall the OMT result of Balogh and Kristály [4] which will be used in our proofs (see Theorem 2.1 below). Then, we shall focus on the proofs of the main results, i.e., Theorems 1.1 and 1.2, respectively. Finally, based again on Theorem 2.1, in Section 3 we provide an alternative proof for the isoperimetric inequality (1.4), see Theorem 3.1.

2. PROOF OF THEOREMS 1.1 AND 1.2

In the sequel, we shall use the integration formula

$$\int_0^\infty (\lambda + r^\alpha)^{-\gamma} r^\beta dr = \lambda^{-\gamma + \frac{\beta+1}{\alpha}} \frac{\Gamma\left(\gamma - \frac{\beta+1}{\alpha}\right) \Gamma\left(\frac{\beta+1}{\alpha}\right)}{\alpha \Gamma(\gamma)}, \quad (2.1)$$

for every $\lambda > 0, \alpha > 1, \beta > -1$ and $\gamma > \frac{\beta+1}{\alpha}$, whose proof is elementary, based on a suitable change of variable and basic properties of the Beta and Gamma functions, see e.g., Andrews, Askey and Roy [2].

As we pointed out, the proofs of the main theorems are based on OMT arguments. In order to have a self-contained presentation, we recall the main OMT result from Balogh and Kristály [4, Theorem 2.1] that can be seen as an integral version of the Brenier–McCann theorem (see McCann [19] and Villani [23]). A similar result has also been obtained recently by Wang [24], for compactly supported measures.

Theorem 2.1. *Let $n \geq 2$ and $m \geq 1$ be integers, Σ be a complete n -dimensional submanifold of \mathbb{R}^{n+m} , and $\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{n+m}$ be an open set. Let μ and ν be Borel probability measures on Σ and Ω which are absolutely continuous with respect to $d\text{vol}_\Sigma$ and $d\mathcal{L}^{n+m}$, respectively. Then there exist a measurable subset A of the normal bundle $T^\perp\Sigma$ and a function $u : \Sigma \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \cup \{+\infty\}$ which is semiconvex in its effective domain and it is twice differentiable on the set $\text{Pr}_{T^\perp\Sigma}(A) \subset \Sigma$, that will give rise to a map $\Phi : A \rightarrow \Omega$ given by*

$$\Phi(x, v) = \nabla^\Sigma u(x) + v, \quad (x, v) \in A,$$

such that

- (i) *Pythagorean's rule holds, i.e., $|\Phi(x, v)|^2 = |\nabla^\Sigma u(x)|^2 + |v|^2$ for every $(x, v) \in A$;*
- (ii) *$\mu(\text{Pr}_{T^\perp\Sigma}(A)) = \nu(\Phi(A)) = 1$;*
- (iii) *for every $(x, v) \in A$ the $n \times n$ matrix $D_\Sigma^2 u(x) - \langle II(x), v \rangle$ is symmetric and non-negative definite, and the determinant-trace inequality holds, i.e.,*

$$\det D\Phi(x, v) = \det[D_\Sigma^2 u(x) - \langle II(x), v \rangle] \leq \left(\frac{\Delta_{\text{ac}}^\Sigma u(x) - \langle H(x), v \rangle}{n} \right)^n,$$

where $II : T\Sigma \times T\Sigma \rightarrow T^\perp\Sigma$ stands for the second fundamental form of Σ , and $\Delta_{\text{ac}}^\Sigma u$ is the absolute continuous part of the distributional Laplacian $\Delta_D^\Sigma u$;

- (iv) *$\Delta_{\text{ac}}^\Sigma u(x) \leq \Delta_D^\Sigma u(x)$ for every $x \in \text{Pr}_{T^\perp\Sigma}(A)$;*
- (v) *if F and G are the density functions of the measures μ and ν with respect to the volume measures of Σ and \mathbb{R}^{n+m} , then for μ -a.e. $x \in \Sigma$ we have the following integral version of the Monge–Ampère equation*

$$F(x) = \int_{A \cap T_x^\perp \Sigma} G(\Phi(x, v)) \det D\Phi(x, v) dv.$$

We are now ready to present the proofs of our main results.

2.1. Proof of Theorem 1.1. Let $f \in C_0^\infty(\Sigma)$ be such that

$$\int_\Sigma |f|^{p^*} d\text{vol}_\Sigma = 1;$$

for simplicity, it is enough to consider the case when $f \geq 0$. Let μ and ν be probability measures on Σ and \mathbb{R}^{n+m} , defined as

$$d\mu(x) = f^{p^*}(x) d\text{vol}_\Sigma(x) \quad \text{and} \quad d\nu(y) = c_{n,m,p}^{-1} (1 + |y|^{p'})^{-n - \frac{m}{p'}} dy. \quad (2.2)$$

In particular, by (2.1), we have that

$$c_{n,m,p} = \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n+m}} (1 + |y|^{p'})^{-n - \frac{m}{p'}} dy = (n+m) \omega_{n+m} \frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{n}{p'}\right) \Gamma\left(\frac{n+m}{p'}\right)}{p' \Gamma\left(n + \frac{m}{p'}\right)}.$$

According to Theorem 2.1, there exists a measurable subset A of the normal bundle $T^\perp \Sigma$ and a function $u : \Sigma \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \cup \{+\infty\}$, such that the mapping $\Phi : A \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n+m}$, $\Phi(x, v) = \nabla^\Sigma u(x) + v$, $(x, v) \in A$ satisfies the properties stated in Theorem 2.1. Let us denote by $A_x := A \cap T_x^\perp \Sigma$, for every $x \in \Sigma$. By the Monge–Ampère equation (see Theorem 2.1/(v)), one has for vol_Σ -a.e. $x \in \Sigma$ that

$$f^{p^*}(x) = c_{n,m,p}^{-1} \int_{A_x} (1 + |\Phi(x, v)|^{p'})^{-n - \frac{m}{p'}} \det D\Phi(x, v) dv. \quad (2.3)$$

Relation (2.3) raised to the power $1/n$, the determinant-trace inequality (see Theorem 2.1/(iii)) and the (weighted) Minkowski inequality imply for vol_Σ -a.e. $x \in \Sigma$ that

$$\begin{aligned} f^{\frac{p^*}{n}}(x) &= c_{n,m,p}^{-\frac{1}{n}} \left(\int_{A_x} (1 + |\Phi(x, v)|^{p'})^{-n - \frac{m}{p'}} \det D\Phi(x, v) dv \right)^{\frac{1}{n}} \\ &\leq c_{n,m,p}^{-\frac{1}{n}} \left(\int_{A_x} (1 + |\Phi(x, v)|^{p'})^{-n - \frac{m}{p'}} \left(\frac{\Delta_{\text{ac}}^\Sigma u(x) - \langle H(x), v \rangle}{n} \right)^n dv \right)^{\frac{1}{n}} \\ &\leq c_{n,m,p}^{-\frac{1}{n}} \left[\frac{\Delta_{\text{ac}}^\Sigma u(x)}{n} \left(\int_{A_x} (1 + |\Phi(x, v)|^{p'})^{-n - \frac{m}{p'}} dv \right)^{\frac{1}{n}} \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \frac{|H(x)|}{n} \left(\int_{A_x} (1 + |\Phi(x, v)|^{p'})^{-n - \frac{m}{p'}} |v|^n dv \right)^{\frac{1}{n}} \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (2.4)$$

Let $t \in (0, 1)$ be a parameter with value to be determined later. Since $p \geq 2$, it follows that $1 < p' = \frac{p}{p-1} \leq 2$. The concavity of $|\cdot|^{\frac{p'}{2}}$ combined with Pythagorean's rule (see Theorem 2.1/(i)) give that

$$|\Phi(x, v)|^{p'} = (|\nabla^\Sigma u(x)|^2 + |v|^2)^{\frac{p'}{2}} \geq t^{1 - \frac{p'}{2}} |\nabla^\Sigma u(x)|^{p'} + (1-t)^{1 - \frac{p'}{2}} |v|^{p'}. \quad (2.5)$$

Therefore, by using the latter estimate, the integral formula (2.1) and a change of variable, one has that

$$\begin{aligned} I_1(x) &:= \int_{A_x} (1 + |\Phi(x, v)|^{p'})^{-n - \frac{m}{p'}} dv \\ &\leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^m} \left(1 + t^{1 - \frac{p'}{2}} |\nabla^\Sigma u(x)|^{p'} + (1-t)^{1 - \frac{p'}{2}} |v|^{p'} \right)^{-n - \frac{m}{p'}} dv \\ &= m \omega_m \int_0^\infty \left(1 + t^{1 - \frac{p'}{2}} |\nabla^\Sigma u(x)|^{p'} + (1-t)^{1 - \frac{p'}{2}} \rho^{p'} \right)^{-n - \frac{m}{p'}} \rho^{m-1} d\rho \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= m\omega_m \int_0^\infty \left(1 + t^{1-\frac{p'}{2}} |\nabla^\Sigma u(x)|^{p'} + r^{p'}\right)^{-n-\frac{m}{p'}} (1-t)^{\left(\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{p'}\right)m} r^{m-1} dr \\
&= \left(1 + t^{1-\frac{p'}{2}} |\nabla^\Sigma u(x)|^{p'}\right)^{-n} (1-t)^{\left(\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{p'}\right)m} m\omega_m \frac{\Gamma(n)\Gamma\left(\frac{m}{p'}\right)}{p'\Gamma\left(n+\frac{m}{p'}\right)}.
\end{aligned}$$

Similarly, we can estimate

$$\begin{aligned}
I_2(x) &:= \int_{A_x} (1 + |\Phi(x, v)|^{p'})^{-n-\frac{m}{p'}} |v|^n dv \\
&\leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^m} \left(1 + t^{1-\frac{p'}{2}} |\nabla^\Sigma u(x)|^{p'} + (1-t)^{1-\frac{p'}{2}} |v|^{p'}\right)^{-n-\frac{m}{p'}} |v|^n dv \\
&= m\omega_m \int_0^\infty \left(1 + t^{1-\frac{p'}{2}} |\nabla^\Sigma u(x)|^{p'} + (1-t)^{1-\frac{p'}{2}} \rho^{p'}\right)^{-n-\frac{m}{p'}} \rho^{n+m-1} d\rho \\
&= m\omega_m \int_0^\infty \left(1 + t^{1-\frac{p'}{2}} |\nabla^\Sigma u(x)|^{p'} + u^{p'}\right)^{-n-\frac{m}{p'}} (1-t)^{\left(\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{p'}\right)(n+m)} r^{n+m-1} dr \\
&= \left(1 + t^{1-\frac{p'}{2}} |\nabla^\Sigma u(x)|^{p'}\right)^{-\frac{n}{p'}} (1-t)^{\left(\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{p'}\right)(n+m)} m\omega_m \frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{n}{p'}\right)\Gamma\left(\frac{n+m}{p'}\right)}{p'\Gamma\left(n+\frac{m}{p'}\right)}.
\end{aligned}$$

According to these expressions, we introduce the following quantities:

$$\begin{aligned}
C_{n,m,p,t}^1 &= \left(c_{n,m,p}^{-1} (1-t)^{\left(\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{p'}\right)m} m\omega_m \frac{\Gamma(n)\Gamma\left(\frac{m}{p'}\right)}{p'\Gamma\left(n+\frac{m}{p'}\right)}\right)^{\frac{1}{n}} \\
&= \left(\frac{\omega_m \Gamma\left(\frac{m}{p'}+1\right)}{\omega_{n+m} \Gamma\left(\frac{n+m}{p'}+1\right)} \frac{\Gamma(n)}{\Gamma\left(\frac{n}{p'}\right)}\right)^{\frac{1}{n}} (1-t)^{\frac{m}{n}\left(\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{p'}\right)}
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
C_{n,m,p,t}^2 &= \left(c_{n,m,p}^{-1} (1-t)^{\left(\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{p'}\right)(n+m)} m\omega_m \frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{n}{p'}\right)\Gamma\left(\frac{n+m}{p'}\right)}{p'\Gamma\left(n+\frac{m}{p'}\right)}\right)^{\frac{1}{n}} \\
&= \left(\frac{m\omega_m}{(n+m)\omega_{n+m}}\right)^{\frac{1}{n}} (1-t)^{\frac{n+m}{n}\left(\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{p'}\right)}.
\end{aligned}$$

Using these notations, multiplying the estimate (2.4) with $f^{p^*(1-\frac{1}{n})}(x) \left(1 + t^{1-\frac{p'}{2}} |\nabla^\Sigma u(x)|^{p'}\right)$ yields that for vol_Σ -a.e. $x \in \Sigma$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
f^{p^*}(x) \left(1 + t^{1-\frac{p'}{2}} |\nabla^\Sigma u(x)|^{p'}\right) &\leq \frac{C_{n,m,p,t}^1}{n} \Delta_{\text{ac}}^\Sigma u(x) f^{p^*(1-\frac{1}{n})}(x) \\
&\quad + \frac{C_{n,m,p,t}^2}{n} \left(1 + t^{1-\frac{p'}{2}} |\nabla^\Sigma u(x)|^{p'}\right)^{\frac{1}{p'}} |H(x)| f^{p^*(1-\frac{1}{n})}(x). \quad (2.6)
\end{aligned}$$

In the next step we shall integrate this inequality over Σ . Having this in mind, let us consider the integrals appearing on the right-hand side of the inequality that is obtained in this way. First,

by Theorem 2.1/(iv), the divergence theorem and Hölder's inequality, one has that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Sigma} \Delta_{\text{ac}}^{\Sigma} u f^{p^*(1-\frac{1}{n})} d\text{vol}_{\Sigma} &\leq \int_{\Sigma} \Delta_{\mathcal{D}}^{\Sigma} u f^{p^*(1-\frac{1}{n})} d\text{vol}_{\Sigma} \\ &= -p^* \left(1 - \frac{1}{n}\right) \int_{\Sigma} f^{p^*(1-\frac{1}{n})-1} \langle \nabla^{\Sigma} u, \nabla^{\Sigma} f \rangle d\text{vol}_{\Sigma} \\ &\leq p^* \left(1 - \frac{1}{n}\right) \left(\int_{\Sigma} |\nabla^{\Sigma} f|^p d\text{vol}_{\Sigma} \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \left(\int_{\Sigma} f^{p^*} |\nabla^{\Sigma} u|^{p'} d\text{vol}_{\Sigma} \right)^{\frac{1}{p'}}, \end{aligned}$$

where we used the relation $p' (p^*(1 - \frac{1}{n}) - 1) = p^*$.

Next, another application of the Hölder inequality implies that

$$\int_{\Sigma} |H| f^{p^*(1-\frac{1}{n})} (1+t^{1-\frac{p'}{2}} |\nabla^{\Sigma} u|^{p'})^{\frac{1}{p'}} d\text{vol}_{\Sigma} \leq \left(\int_{\Sigma} |H|^p f^p d\text{vol}_{\Sigma} \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \left(\int_{\Sigma} f^{p^*} (1+t^{1-\frac{p'}{2}} |\nabla^{\Sigma} u|^{p'}) d\text{vol}_{\Sigma} \right)^{\frac{1}{p'}}.$$

Note that the integral term containing $|\nabla^{\Sigma} u|^{p'}$ is finite. Indeed, by the Monge–Ampère equation (2.3) and a change of variable, it follows by (2.1) that

$$\begin{aligned} J &:= \int_{\Sigma} f^{p^*} |\nabla^{\Sigma} u|^{p'} d\text{vol}_{\Sigma} = c_{n,m,p}^{-1} \int_{\Sigma} |\nabla^{\Sigma} u(x)|^{p'} \int_{A_x} (1 + |\Phi(x,v)|^{p'})^{-n-\frac{m}{p'}} \det D\Phi(x,v) dv d\text{vol}_{\Sigma} \\ &\leq c_{n,m,p}^{-1} \int_{\Sigma} \int_{A_x} |\Phi(x,v)|^{p'} (1 + |\Phi(x,v)|^{p'})^{-n-\frac{m}{p'}} \det D\Phi(x,v) dv d\text{vol}_{\Sigma} \\ &= c_{n,m,p}^{-1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n+m}} |y|^{p'} (1 + |y|^{p'})^{-n-\frac{m}{p'}} dy = \frac{(n+m)(p-1)}{n-p} < +\infty. \quad (2.7) \end{aligned}$$

Taking into account the above estimates, integrating (2.6) on Σ yields that

$$\begin{aligned} 1 + t^{1-\frac{p'}{2}} J &\leq \frac{p^*}{n} \left(1 - \frac{1}{n}\right) C_{n,m,p,t}^1 \left(\int_{\Sigma} |\nabla^{\Sigma} f|^p d\text{vol}_{\Sigma} \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} J^{\frac{1}{p'}} \\ &\quad + \frac{C_{n,m,p,t}^2}{n} \left(\int_{\Sigma} |H|^p f^p d\text{vol}_{\Sigma} \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \left(1 + t^{1-\frac{p'}{2}} J\right)^{\frac{1}{p'}}. \end{aligned}$$

Equivalently, we have that

$$\begin{aligned} 1 &\leq \frac{p^*}{n} \left(1 - \frac{1}{n}\right) C_{n,m,p,t}^1 \left(\int_{\Sigma} |\nabla^{\Sigma} f|^p d\text{vol}_{\Sigma} \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \frac{J^{\frac{1}{p'}}}{1 + t^{1-\frac{p'}{2}} J} \\ &\quad + \frac{C_{n,m,p,t}^2}{n} \left(\int_{\Sigma} |H|^p f^p d\text{vol}_{\Sigma} \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \frac{1}{\left(1 + t^{1-\frac{p'}{2}} J\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}}. \end{aligned}$$

The maximization of the function $J \mapsto \frac{J^{\frac{1}{p'}}}{1+t^{1-\frac{p'}{2}}J}$, $0 \leq J \leq \frac{(n+m)(p-1)}{n-p}$ implies that

$$\frac{J^{\frac{1}{p'}}}{1 + t^{1-\frac{p'}{2}} J} \leq \frac{t^{\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{p'}}}{p^{\frac{1}{p}} p'^{\frac{1}{p'}}}. \quad (2.8)$$

Therefore, it follows that

$$1 \leq K_{m,n,p'}(t)^{\frac{1}{n}} \frac{p^*}{n} \left(1 - \frac{1}{n}\right) \frac{1}{p^{\frac{1}{p}} p'^{\frac{1}{p'}}} \left(\frac{\Gamma(n)}{\Gamma(\frac{n}{p})}\right)^{\frac{1}{n}} \left(\int_{\Sigma} |\nabla^{\Sigma} f|^p d\text{vol}_{\Sigma}\right)^{\frac{1}{p}} + \frac{C_{n,m,p,t}^2}{n} \left(\int_{\Sigma} |H|^p f^p d\text{vol}_{\Sigma}\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}, \quad (2.9)$$

where

$$K_{m,n,p'}(t) = \frac{\omega_m \Gamma(\frac{m}{p'} + 1)}{\omega_{m+n} \Gamma(\frac{m+n}{p'} + 1)} ((1-t)^m t^n)^{\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{p'}}, \quad t \in (0, 1).$$

Now we shall focus on the first term on the right-hand side of the previous inequality. Our aim is to provide an m -independent estimate for the term $K_{m,n,p'}(t)$, exploring the fact that $t \in (0, 1)$ can be chosen arbitrarily. In fact, we observe that the minimum of $t \mapsto K_{m,n,p'}(t)$ is achieved for $t = \frac{n}{n+m}$, thus the previous inequality implies that

$$1 \leq K_{m,n,p'}^{\frac{1}{n}} \frac{p^*}{n} \left(1 - \frac{1}{n}\right) \frac{1}{p^{\frac{1}{p}} p'^{\frac{1}{p'}}} \left(\frac{\Gamma(n)}{\Gamma(\frac{n}{p})}\right)^{\frac{1}{n}} \left(\int_{\Sigma} |\nabla^{\Sigma} f|^p d\text{vol}_{\Sigma}\right)^{\frac{1}{p}} + \frac{C_{n,m,p,t}^2}{n} \left(\int_{\Sigma} |H|^p f^p d\text{vol}_{\Sigma}\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}, \quad (2.10)$$

where

$$K_{m,n,p'} = \frac{\omega_m \Gamma(\frac{m}{p'} + 1)}{\omega_{m+n} \Gamma(\frac{m+n}{p'} + 1)} \left(\frac{m^m n^n}{(m+n)^{m+n}}\right)^{\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{p'}}.$$

We notice that the sequence $m \mapsto K_{m,n,p'}$ is increasing, see Balogh and Kristály [4, Proposition A.1.], and by the asymptotic property of the Gamma function $\Gamma(r + \alpha) \sim r^{\alpha} \Gamma(r)$ as $r \rightarrow \infty$ (where $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$), it follows that

$$\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} K_{m,n,p'} = p'^{\frac{n}{p'}} (2\pi)^{-\frac{n}{2}} \left(\frac{e}{n}\right)^{n(\frac{1}{p'} - \frac{1}{2})}. \quad (2.11)$$

Combining relations (2.10) and (2.11), it follows that

$$1 \leq (2\pi)^{-\frac{1}{2}} p^{\frac{1}{p'}} \frac{n-1}{n(n-p)} \left(\frac{e}{n}\right)^{\frac{1}{p'} - \frac{1}{2}} \left(\frac{\Gamma(n)}{\Gamma(n/p)}\right)^{1/n} \left(\int_{\Sigma} |\nabla^{\Sigma} f|^p d\text{vol}_{\Sigma}\right)^{\frac{1}{p}} + \frac{1}{n} \left(\frac{m\omega_m}{(n+m)\omega_{n+m}}\right)^{\frac{1}{n}} \left(\frac{n+m}{m}\right)^{\frac{n+m}{n}(\frac{1}{p'} - \frac{1}{2})} \left(\int_{\Sigma} |H|^p f^p d\text{vol}_{\Sigma}\right)^{\frac{1}{p}},$$

which is (1.8) in the normalized case $\int_{\Sigma} |f|^{p^*} d\text{vol}_{\Sigma} = 1$. The general case follows by rescaling. \square

Remark 2.1. Relation (1.9) points out that the constant $S(n, p)$ in (1.8) is asymptotically sharp. In fact, a careful inspection of the proof of Theorem 1.1 shows that a slightly non-sharp estimate is provided in (2.9) by means of (2.8). Indeed, the question of sharpness reduces to how accurate estimate can be given for the value of J , defined in (2.7). Note that in the standard Euclidean space \mathbb{R}^n (when no codimension is present, thus formally $m = 0$), after a similar argument as before, we are able to *concretely compute* the value of J , which is $\frac{n(p-1)}{n-p}$, providing in this way the sharp Sobolev constant $AT(n, p)$; this is due to the fact that J can be given as an integral of a Talentian-type function, by using the 'pure' Monge–Ampère equation with a change of variables. In the case of submanifolds, when $m \geq 1$, the value of J can be only estimated as $J \leq \frac{(n+m)(p-1)}{n-p}$, see (2.7), derived from the involved form of the integral Monge–Ampère equation. Therefore, we cannot substitute the latter value for J ; we can only give a generic estimate for the expression involving J , see (2.8), where the sharpness of the Sobolev constant is slightly deteriorated.

2.2. Proof of Theorem 1.2. The proof is similar to the one of Theorem 1.1, thus we shall focus on the differences. As before, we consider the probability measures (2.2), having also the Monge–Ampère equation (2.3) and the pointwise estimate (2.4) for vol_Σ -a.e. $x \in \Sigma$.

Now, since $p \leq 2$ (thus, $p' \geq 2$), instead of (2.5), we can write that

$$|\Phi(x, v)|^{p'} = (|\nabla^\Sigma u(x)|^2 + |v|^2)^{\frac{p'}{2}} \geq |\nabla^\Sigma u(x)|^{p'} + |v|^{p'}. \quad (2.12)$$

Therefore, by using the estimate (2.12) and relation (2.1), one has that

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{I}_1(x) &:= \int_{A_x} (1 + |\Phi(x, v)|^{p'})^{-n - \frac{m}{p'}} dv \\ &\leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^m} \left(1 + |\nabla^\Sigma u(x)|^{p'} + |v|^{p'}\right)^{-n - \frac{m}{p'}} dv \\ &= \left(1 + |\nabla^\Sigma u(x)|^{p'}\right)^{-n} m\omega_m \frac{\Gamma(n)\Gamma(\frac{m}{p'})}{p'\Gamma(n + \frac{m}{p'})}, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{I}_2(x) &:= \int_{A_x} (1 + |\Phi(x, v)|^{p'})^{-n - \frac{m}{p'}} |v|^n dv \\ &\leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^m} \left(1 + |\nabla^\Sigma u(x)|^{p'} + |v|^{p'}\right)^{-n - \frac{m}{p'}} |v|^n dv \\ &= \left(1 + |\nabla^\Sigma u(x)|^{p'}\right)^{-\frac{n}{p'}} m\omega_m \frac{\Gamma(\frac{n}{p'})\Gamma(\frac{n+m}{p'})}{p'\Gamma(n + \frac{m}{p'})}. \end{aligned}$$

Due to these estimates, we consider the constants

$$\tilde{C}_{n,m,p}^1 = \left(c_{n,m,p}^{-1} m\omega_m \frac{\Gamma(n)\Gamma(\frac{m}{p'})}{p'\Gamma(n + \frac{m}{p'})} \right)^{\frac{1}{n}} = \left(\frac{\omega_m \Gamma(\frac{m}{p'} + 1)}{\omega_{n+m} \Gamma(\frac{n+m}{p'} + 1)} \frac{\Gamma(n)}{\Gamma(\frac{n}{p'})} \right)^{\frac{1}{n}}$$

and

$$\tilde{C}_{n,m,p}^2 = \left(c_{n,m,p}^{-1} m\omega_m \frac{\Gamma(\frac{n}{p'})\Gamma(\frac{n+m}{p'})}{p'\Gamma(n + \frac{m}{p'})} \right)^{\frac{1}{n}} = \left(\frac{m\omega_m}{(n+m)\omega_{n+m}} \right)^{1/n}.$$

With these notations, multiplying the estimate (2.4) with $f^{p^*(1-\frac{1}{n})}(x) \left(1 + |\nabla^\Sigma u(x)|^{p'}\right)$, we obtain for vol_Σ -a.e. $x \in \Sigma$ that

$$\begin{aligned} f^{p^*}(x) \left(1 + |\nabla^\Sigma u(x)|^{p'}\right) &\leq \frac{\tilde{C}_{n,m,p}^1}{n} \Delta_{\text{ac}}^\Sigma u(x) f^{p^*(1-\frac{1}{n})}(x) \\ &\quad + \frac{\tilde{C}_{n,m,p}^2}{n} \left(1 + |\nabla^\Sigma u(x)|^{p'}\right)^{\frac{1}{p'}} |H(x)| f^{p^*(1-\frac{1}{n})}(x). \end{aligned} \quad (2.13)$$

Recall that

$$J = \int_\Sigma f^{p^*} |\nabla^\Sigma u|^{p'} d\text{vol}_\Sigma \leq (n+m) \frac{p-1}{n-p} < +\infty.$$

Therefore, similarly to the proof of Theorem 1.1, an integration of (2.13) over Σ yields that

$$1 + J \leq \frac{p^*}{n} \left(1 - \frac{1}{n}\right) \tilde{C}_{n,m,p}^1 \left(\int_\Sigma |\nabla^\Sigma f|^p d\text{vol}_\Sigma \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} J^{\frac{1}{p'}} + \frac{\tilde{C}_{n,m,p}^2}{n} \left(\int_\Sigma |H|^p f^p d\text{vol}_\Sigma \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} (1 + J)^{\frac{1}{p'}},$$

which can be written equivalently as

$$1 \leq \frac{p^*}{n} \left(1 - \frac{1}{n}\right) \tilde{C}_{n,m,p}^1 \left(\int_{\Sigma} |\nabla^{\Sigma} f|^p d\text{vol}_{\Sigma} \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \frac{J^{\frac{1}{p'}}}{1+J} + \frac{\tilde{C}_{n,m,p}^2}{n} \left(\int_{\Sigma} |H|^p f^p d\text{vol}_{\Sigma} \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \frac{1}{(1+J)^{\frac{1}{p}}}.$$

We observe that the maximum of the function $J \mapsto \frac{J^{\frac{1}{p'}}}{1+J}$, $0 \leq J \leq \frac{(n+m)(p-1)}{n-p}$ is achieved for $J = p - 1 = \frac{p}{p'}$, thus

$$\frac{J^{\frac{1}{p'}}}{1+J} \leq \frac{(p-1)^{\frac{1}{p'}}}{p} = \frac{1}{p^{\frac{1}{p}} p'^{\frac{1}{p'}}}.$$

Hence, it follows that

$$1 \leq \tilde{S}(n, m, p) \left(\int_{\Sigma} |\nabla^{\Sigma} f|^p d\text{vol}_{\Sigma} \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} + K(n, m) \left(\int_{\Sigma} |H|^p f^p d\text{vol}_{\Sigma} \right)^{\frac{1}{p}},$$

where

$$\tilde{S}(n, m, p) = \frac{p^*}{n} \left(1 - \frac{1}{n}\right) \frac{1}{p^{\frac{1}{p}} p'^{\frac{1}{p'}}} \left(\frac{\omega_m \Gamma(\frac{m}{p'} + 1)}{\omega_{n+m} \Gamma(\frac{n+m}{p'} + 1)} \frac{\Gamma(n)}{\Gamma(\frac{n}{p})} \right)^{\frac{1}{n}}$$

and

$$K(n, m) = \frac{1}{n} \left(\frac{m \omega_m}{(n+m) \omega_{n+m}} \right)^{1/n}.$$

This concludes the proof in the normalized case. \square

Observe that the OMT method developed by Cordero-Erausquin, Nazaret and Villani [17] has also been applied by Castillon [15] to establish L^p -Sobolev inequalities on submanifolds. However, Castillon's approach introduces certain weights in the Sobolev inequalities, which correspond to the Jacobians of the orthogonal projection from the tangent space of the submanifold to a fixed n -dimensional linear subspace of the ambient space \mathbb{R}^{n+m} .

Before closing this section, let us note that the difference between Theorems 1.1 and 1.2 is technical. On the one hand, when $p \geq 2$ (thus $p' \leq 2$), we may use the concavity of $|\cdot|^{p'/2}$ combined with characteristic properties of the Gamma function, which provides a codimension-free Sobolev constant in front of the gradient term of (1.8). On the other hand, when $1 < p \leq 2$, we lose this codimension-free character of the corresponding term but we still have an improvement of the terms known so far. In addition, for $n \geq 3$ and $p = 2$, the formally different results in Theorems 1.1 and 1.2 do coincide, as Corollary 1.1 shows.

3. UNIFIED PROOF OF THE ISOPERIMETRIC INEQUALITY (1.4) VIA OMT

We now present an alternative proof of the Michael–Simon–Sobolev inequality (1.4), based on Theorem 2.1 due to Balogh and Kristály [4]. Initially, (1.4) was established by Brendle [8, 7] using the Alexandrov–Bakelman–Pucci method. Subsequently, Brendle and Eichmair [9] provided an alternative proof applying OMT techniques (see also Brendle and Eichmair [10]). Note that both of these approaches assumed the compactness of the minimal submanifold. By applying Theorem 2.1, we eliminate the need for this compactness assumption. For the reader's convenience, we restate the result here:

Theorem 3.1. *Let $n \geq 2$, $m \geq 1$, and Σ be a complete n -dimensional submanifold of \mathbb{R}^{n+m} , possibly with boundary $\partial\Sigma$. If f is a positive smooth function on Σ , then*

$$\left(\int_{\Sigma} f^{\frac{n}{n-1}} d\text{vol}_{\Sigma} \right)^{\frac{n-1}{n}} \leq C(n, m) \left\{ \int_{\Sigma} \sqrt{|\nabla^{\Sigma} f|^2 + f^2 |H|^2} d\text{vol}_{\Sigma} + \int_{\partial\Sigma} f d\sigma_{\Sigma} \right\},$$

where σ_{Σ} is the surface measure on $\partial\Sigma$ induced by vol_{Σ} and

$$C(n, m) = \max \left\{ \frac{1}{n} \left(\frac{m\omega_m}{(n+m)\omega_{n+m}} \right)^{\frac{1}{n}}, \frac{1}{n\omega_n^{\frac{1}{n}}} \right\}.$$

Moreover, the constant $C(n, m)$ is sharp for $m = 1$ and $m = 2$.

Note that if $f \in C_0^{\infty}(\Sigma)$, the last term on the right-hand side of the above inequality vanishes and we recover the version stated in (1.4).

For the proof, we first establish the following preliminary result:

Lemma 3.1. *Let $n \geq 2$, $m \geq 1$, and $\rho : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ be a measurable function such that $\rho(s) = 0$ for $s > 1$, satisfying*

$$\int_{\bar{B}^{n+m}} \rho(|y|^2) dy = 1.$$

Denoting by

$$\alpha_{\rho} := \sup_{z \in \mathbb{R}^n} \int_{\{v \in \mathbb{R}^m : |z|^2 + |v|^2 \leq 1\}} \rho(|z|^2 + |v|^2) dv,$$

we have that

$$\alpha_{\rho} \geq \max \left\{ \frac{m\omega_m}{(n+m)\omega_{n+m}}, \frac{1}{\omega_n} \right\} = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{\omega_n}, & \text{if } m = 1 \\ \frac{1}{\omega_n} = \frac{m\omega_m}{(n+m)\omega_{n+m}}, & \text{if } m = 2. \\ \frac{m\omega_m}{(n+m)\omega_{n+m}}, & \text{if } m \geq 3 \end{cases} \quad (3.1)$$

Proof. First, we shall focus on the inequality on the left-hand side of the preceding relation. Note that the condition $\int_{\bar{B}^{n+m}} \rho(|y|^2) dy = 1$ can be equivalently written as

$$(n+m)\omega_{n+m} \int_0^1 \rho(t^2) t^{n+m-1} dt = 1.$$

Using this relation, we can write

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_{\rho} &= m\omega_m \sup_{r \in [0, 1]} \int_0^1 \rho(r^2 + t^2) t^{m-1} dt \geq m\omega_m \int_0^1 \rho(t^2) t^{m-1} dt \\ &\geq m\omega_m \int_0^1 \rho(t^2) t^{n+m-1} dt = \frac{m\omega_m}{(n+m)\omega_{n+m}}. \end{aligned}$$

For future reference, observe that there is no function ρ that attains equality in the second inequality above. Therefore, to achieve a value of α_{ρ} approaching $\frac{m\omega_m}{(n+m)\omega_{n+m}}$, ρ must be concentrated near $t = 1$.

Next, in order to prove the inequality in (3.1), we shall use the fact that for every $n \geq 2$ and $m \geq 1$, the closed unit ball in \mathbb{R}^{n+m} may be represented as

$$\bar{B}^{n+m} = \left\{ (z, v) \in \mathbb{R}^{n+m} : z \in \bar{B}^n, v \in \bar{B}^m(z, \sqrt{1 - |z|^2}) \right\},$$

where $\bar{B}^m(z, \sqrt{1-|z|^2})$ denotes the closed ball in \mathbb{R}^m with center z and radius $\sqrt{1-|z|^2}$. Consequently, we have that

$$\begin{aligned} 1 &= \int_{\bar{B}^{n+m}} \rho(|y|^2) dy = \int_{\bar{B}^n} \left(\int_{\bar{B}^m(z, \sqrt{1-|z|^2})} \rho(|z|^2 + |v|^2) dv \right) dz \\ &\leq \omega_n \cdot \sup_{z \in \bar{B}^n} \int_{\bar{B}^m(z, \sqrt{1-|z|^2})} \rho(|z|^2 + |v|^2) dv = \omega_n \alpha_\rho. \end{aligned}$$

Note that in order to achieve the equality $\alpha_\rho = \frac{1}{\omega_n}$, ρ needs to be chosen with the property that the function

$$z \mapsto \int_{\bar{B}^m(z, \sqrt{1-|z|^2})} \rho(|z|^2 + |v|^2) dv$$

is constant. We will demonstrate that this choice is indeed possible in the case when $m = 1$.

The second part of relation (3.1) can be verified using standard properties of the Beta and Gamma functions. Indeed, for $m = 1$, Gautschi's inequality gives $(n+1)\omega_{n+1} > 2\omega_n$. For $m = 2$, the standard recursion formula for the Gamma function yields $(n+2)\omega_{n+2} = 2\pi\omega_n$. Finally, by Cerone [16, Corollary 1, p. 79], it follows that $(n+m)\omega_{n+m} < m\omega_m\omega_n$ for every $m \geq 3$. \square

Proof of Theorem 3.1. Let f be a positive smooth function on Σ such that

$$\int_{\Sigma} f(x)^{\frac{n}{n-1}} d\text{vol}_{\Sigma}(x) = 1, \quad (3.2)$$

and let $\rho : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ be a measurable function such that $\rho(s) = 0$ when $s > 1$ and

$$\int_{\bar{B}^{n+m}} \rho(|y|^2) dy = 1, \quad (3.3)$$

where, as before, $\bar{B}^{n+m} = \{y \in \mathbb{R}^{n+m} : |y| \leq 1\}$ is the closed unit ball in \mathbb{R}^{n+m} . We consider the probability measures μ and ν on Σ and \mathbb{R}^{n+m} , respectively, defined as

$$d\mu(x) = f^{\frac{n}{n-1}}(x) d\text{vol}_{\Sigma}(x) \quad \text{and} \quad d\nu(y) = \rho(|y|^2) dy.$$

According to Theorem 2.1, there exist a measurable subset $A \subset T^{\perp}\Sigma$ and a function $u : \Sigma \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \cup \{+\infty\}$ such that the mapping $\Phi : A \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n+m}$ given by $\Phi(x, v) = \nabla^{\Sigma}u(x) + v$ satisfies the properties stated in Theorem 2.1. Let $A_x := A \cap T_x^{\perp}\Sigma$ for every $x \in \Sigma$. By the integral version of the Monge–Ampère equation (see Theorem 2.1/(v)), we have for vol_{Σ} -a.e. $x \in \Sigma$ that

$$f^{\frac{n}{n-1}}(x) = \int_{A_x} \rho(|\Phi(x, v)|^2) \det D\Phi(x, v) dv.$$

Note that since $\nu(\Phi(A)) = 1$ (see Theorem 2.1/(ii)) and $\rho(s) = 0, \forall s > 1$, it follows that

$$|\Phi(x, v)|^2 = |\nabla^{\Sigma}u(x)|^2 + |v|^2 \leq 1, \quad \mu - \text{a.e. } x \in \Sigma, v \in A_x,$$

thus

$$|v|^2 \leq 1 - |\nabla^{\Sigma}u(x)|^2, \quad \mu - \text{a.e. } x \in \Sigma, v \in A_x.$$

Therefore, applying the determinant-trace inequality from Theorem 2.1/(iii) yields that

$$\begin{aligned} f^{\frac{n}{n-1}}(x) &\leq \int_{A_x} \rho(|\Phi(x, v)|^2) \left(\frac{\Delta_{\text{ac}}^{\Sigma}u(x) - \langle H(x), v \rangle}{n} \right)^n dv \\ &\leq \int_{A_x} \rho(|\Phi(x, v)|^2) \left(\frac{\Delta_{\text{ac}}^{\Sigma}u(x) + |H(x)||v|}{n} \right)^n dv \end{aligned}$$

$$\leq \left(\frac{\Delta_{\text{ac}}^{\Sigma} u(x) + |H(x)|\sqrt{1 - |\nabla^{\Sigma} u(x)|^2}}{n} \right)^n \int_{A_x} \rho(|\nabla^{\Sigma} u(x)|^2 + |v|^2) dv,$$

for vol_{Σ} -a.e. $x \in \Sigma$. Similarly to Brendle and Eichmair [9], let us denote by

$$\alpha_{\rho} := \sup_{z \in \mathbb{R}^n} \int_{\{v \in \mathbb{R}^m : |z|^2 + |v|^2 \leq 1\}} \rho(|z|^2 + |v|^2) dv,$$

which is a positive number depending on the density ρ . Hence, it follows that

$$f^{\frac{n}{n-1}}(x) \leq \alpha_{\rho} \cdot \left(\frac{\Delta_{\text{ac}}^{\Sigma} u(x) + |H(x)|\sqrt{1 - |\nabla^{\Sigma} u(x)|^2}}{n} \right)^n,$$

for vol_{Σ} -a.e. $x \in \Sigma$. Raising this estimate to the power $1/n$, then multiplying by $f(x)$ and integrating over Σ , it follows that

$$n\alpha_{\rho}^{-\frac{1}{n}} \int_{\Sigma} f^{\frac{n}{n-1}} d\text{vol}_{\Sigma} \leq \int_{\Sigma} \Delta_{\text{ac}}^{\Sigma} u f d\text{vol}_{\Sigma} + \int_{\Sigma} |H| f \sqrt{1 - |\nabla^{\Sigma} u|^2} d\text{vol}_{\Sigma}.$$

Now, the divergence theorem together with property (iv) from Theorem 2.1 yields that

$$\int_{\Sigma} \Delta_{\text{ac}}^{\Sigma} u f d\text{vol}_{\Sigma} \leq \int_{\Sigma} \Delta_{\mathcal{D}}^{\Sigma} u f d\text{vol}_{\Sigma} \leq \int_{\partial\Sigma} f d\sigma_{\Sigma} - \int_{\Sigma} \langle \nabla^{\Sigma} f, \nabla^{\Sigma} u \rangle d\text{vol}_{\Sigma}.$$

Therefore, by applying the elementary inequality

$$ab + cd \leq \sqrt{a^2 + c^2} \sqrt{b^2 + d^2}, \quad \forall a, b, c, d \in \mathbb{R},$$

to the choices $a = |\nabla^{\Sigma} f|$, $b = |\nabla^{\Sigma} u|$, $c = |Hf|$, $d = \sqrt{1 - |\nabla^{\Sigma} u|^2}$, and taking note of the normalization (3.2), we obtain that

$$n\alpha_{\rho}^{-\frac{1}{n}} \left(\int_{\Sigma} f^{\frac{n}{n-1}} d\text{vol}_{\Sigma} \right)^{\frac{n-1}{n}} \leq \int_{\Sigma} \sqrt{|\nabla^{\Sigma} f|^2 + f^2 |H|^2} d\text{vol}_{\Sigma} + \int_{\partial\Sigma} f d\sigma_{\Sigma}. \quad (3.4)$$

Finally, in order to recover the constant from Theorem 3.1, one needs to make a suitable choice of the density function ρ . Based on Lemma 3.1, we distinguish the cases $m = 1$ and $m \geq 2$. Firstly, when $m = 1$, let $\rho : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ be given by

$$\rho(s) = \begin{cases} \frac{c}{\sqrt{1-s}} & s \in [0, 1) \\ 0 & s \geq 1 \end{cases}, \quad (3.5)$$

where c is a positive constant such that

$$\int_{\bar{B}^{n+1}} \rho(|y|^2) dy = \int_{\bar{B}^n} \left(\int_{-\sqrt{1-|z|^2}}^{\sqrt{1-|z|^2}} \rho(|z|^2 + v^2) dv \right) dz = 1.$$

For every $z \in B^n$, we have by (3.5) that

$$\frac{1}{c} \int_{-\sqrt{1-|z|^2}}^{\sqrt{1-|z|^2}} \rho(|z|^2 + v^2) dv = \pi.$$

Consequently, $c = \frac{1}{\pi\omega_n}$. On the other hand, by the previous argument, we have that

$$1 = \int_{\bar{B}^n} \left(\int_{-\sqrt{1-|z|^2}}^{\sqrt{1-|z|^2}} \rho(|z|^2 + v^2) dv \right) dz = \omega_n \cdot \sup_{z \in \bar{B}^n} \int_{-\sqrt{1-|z|^2}}^{\sqrt{1-|z|^2}} \rho(|z|^2 + v^2) dv = \omega_n \alpha_{\rho},$$

thus $\alpha_\rho = \frac{1}{\omega_n}$. Applying (3.4) and Lemma 3.1 completes the proof for the case $m = 1$.

If $m \geq 2$, let us consider the density functions $\rho_j : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$, $j \in \mathbb{N}^*$, defined by

$$\rho_j(s) = \begin{cases} c_j s^j & s \in [0, 1] \\ 0 & s > 1 \end{cases}, \quad \text{where} \quad c_j = \frac{2j + n + m}{(n + m)\omega_{n+m}}$$

is chosen such that

$$\int_{\bar{B}^{n+m}} \rho_j(|y|^2) dy = 1.$$

In this case, we have that

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_{\rho_j} &= \sup_{r \in [0, 1]} \int_{\{v \in \mathbb{R}^m : r^2 + |v|^2 \leq 1\}} \rho_j(r^2 + |v|^2) dv = m\omega_m \sup_{r \in [0, 1]} \int_0^{\sqrt{1-r^2}} \rho_j(r^2 + t^2) t^{m-1} dt \\ &= m\omega_m c_j \sup_{r \in [0, 1]} \int_0^{\sqrt{1-r^2}} (r^2 + t^2)^j t^{m-1} dt \leq m\omega_m \frac{c_j}{2j + m}, \end{aligned}$$

where we used the estimate

$$\int_0^{\sqrt{1-r^2}} (r^2 + t^2)^j t^{m-1} dt \leq \int_0^{\sqrt{1-r^2}} (r^2 + t^2)^j (r^2 + t^2)^{\frac{m-2}{2}} t dt \leq \frac{1}{2} \int_0^1 u^{j+\frac{m}{2}-1} du = \frac{1}{2j + m}.$$

Therefore, it follows that for each $j \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{0\}$,

$$\alpha_{\rho_j} \leq \frac{m\omega_m}{(n + m)\omega_{n+m}} \frac{2j + n + m}{2j + m}.$$

On the other hand, considering the lower bound in (3.1), we obtain that

$$\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \alpha_{\rho_j} = \frac{m\omega_m}{(n + m)\omega_{n+m}}.$$

This yields the desired constant in Theorem 3.1 in the case when $m \geq 2$. □

Remark 3.1. Considering the inequality (3.4) and the lower bound (3.1), the best constant attainable by this method is indeed the value appearing in Theorem 3.1. Due to Lemma 3.1, this yields the optimal isoperimetric constant when $m = 1$ or $m = 2$. However, when $m \geq 3$, a sharp isoperimetric inequality cannot be achieved by this construction.

REFERENCES

- [1] W. K. Allard, On the first variation of a varifold. *Ann. of Math.* 95 (1972), no. 3, 417–491.
- [2] G. E. Andrews, R. Askey, and R. Roy, *Special Functions*. Cambridge University Press, 1999.
- [3] Th. Aubin, Problèmes isopérimétriques et espaces de Sobolev. *J. Differential Geom.* 11 (1976), no. 4, 573–598.
- [4] Z. M. Balogh and A. Kristály, Logarithmic-Sobolev inequalities on non-compact Euclidean submanifolds: sharpness and rigidity. *Preprint*, 2024.
- [5] Z. M. Balogh and A. Kristály, Sharp isoperimetric and Sobolev inequalities in spaces with nonnegative Ricci curvature. *Math. Ann.* 385 (2023), no. 3-4, 1747–1773.
- [6] E. Bombieri, E. De Giorgi, and M. Miranda, Una maggiorazione a priori relativa alle ipersuperfici minimali non parametriche. *Arch. Rational Mech. Anal.* 32 (1969), 255–267.
- [7] S. Brendle, Minimal hypersurfaces and geometric inequalities. *Ann. Fac. Sci. Toulouse Math.* (6) 32 (2023), no. 1, 179–201.
- [8] S. Brendle, The isoperimetric inequality for a minimal submanifold in Euclidean space. *J. Amer. Math. Soc.* 34 (2021), no. 2, 595–603.
- [9] S. Brendle and M. Eichmair, Proof of the Michael–Simon–Sobolev inequality using optimal transport. *J. Reine Angew. Math.* 804 (2023), 1–10.

- [10] S. Brendle and M. Eichmair, The isoperimetric inequality. *Notices Amer. Math. Soc.* 71 (2024), no. 6, 708–713.
- [11] Y. Brenier, Polar factorization and monotone rearrangement of vector-valued functions. *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.* 44 (4) (1991), 375–417.
- [12] F. Cavalletti and A. Mondino, Sharp and rigid isoperimetric inequalities in metric-measure spaces with lower Ricci curvature bounds. *Invent. Math.* 208 (2017), no. 3, 803–849.
- [13] F. Cavalletti and A. Mondino, Sharp geometric and functional inequalities in metric measure spaces with lower Ricci curvature bounds. *Geom. Topol.* 21 (2017), 603–645.
- [14] X. Cabré and P. Miraglio, Universal Hardy–Sobolev inequalities on hypersurfaces of Euclidean space. *Commun. Contemp. Math.* 24 (2022), no. 5, paper no. 2150063.
- [15] P. Castillon, Submanifolds, isoperimetric inequalities and optimal transportation. *J. Funct. Anal.* 259 (2010), no. 1, 79–103.
- [16] P. Cerone, Special functions: approximations and bounds. *Appl. Anal. Discrete Math.* 1 (2007), no. 1, 72–91.
- [17] D. Cordero-Erausquin, B. Nazaret, and C. Villani, A mass-transportation approach to sharp Sobolev and Gagliardo–Nirenberg inequalities. *Adv. Math.* 182 (2004), no. 2, 307–332.
- [18] A. Kristály, Sharp Sobolev inequalities on noncompact Riemannian manifolds with ≥ 0 via Optimal Transport theory, *Calc. Var. Partial Differential Equations* 63:200 (2024).
- [19] R. J. McCann, Polar factorization of maps on Riemannian manifolds. *Geom. Funct. Anal.* 11 (2001), no. 3, 589–608.
- [20] J. H. Michael and L. M. Simon, Sobolev and mean-value inequalities on generalized submanifolds of \mathbb{R}^n . *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.* 26 (1973), 361–379.
- [21] J. Nash, The imbedding problem for Riemannian manifolds. *Ann. of Math.* Second Series. 63 (1956), no. 1, 20–63.
- [22] G. Talenti, Best constant in Sobolev inequality. *Ann. Mat. Pura Appl.* 110 (1976), 353–372.
- [23] C. Villani, *Optimal transport. Old and new.* Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften [Fundamental Principles of Mathematical Sciences], 338. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2009.
- [24] K.-H. Wang, Optimal transport approach to Michael-Simon-Sobolev inequalities in manifolds with intermediate Ricci curvature lower bounds. *Ann. Glob. Anal. Geom.* 65:7 (2024).

MATHEMATISCHES INSTITUTE, UNIVERSITÄT BERN, SIDLERSTRASSE 5, 3012 BERN, SWITZERLAND
Email address: `zoltan.balogh@unibe.ch`

DEPARTMENT OF ECONOMICS, BABEȘ-BOLYAI UNIVERSITY, STR. TEODOR MIHALI 58-60, 400591 CLUJ-NAPOCA, ROMANIA & INSTITUTE OF APPLIED MATHEMATICS, ÓBUDA UNIVERSITY, BÉCSI ÚT 96/B, 1034 BUDAPEST, HUNGARY

Email address: `alexandru.kristaly@ubbcluj.ro`; `kristaly.alexandru@uni-obuda.hu`

MATHEMATISCHES INSTITUTE, UNIVERSITÄT BERN, SIDLERSTRASSE 5, 3012 BERN, SWITZERLAND & FACULTY OF MATHEMATICS AND COMPUTER SCIENCE, BABEȘ-BOLYAI UNIVERSITY, MIHAIL KOGALNICEANU STR. 1, 400084 CLUJ-NAPOCA, ROMANIA

Email address: `agnes.mester@unibe.ch`; `agnes.mester@ubbcluj.ro`