Extended red wings and the visibility of reionization-epoch Lyman- α emitters

Yuxuan Yuan¹ (D*, Sergio Martin-Alvarez² (D, Martin G. Haehnelt¹, Thibault Garel³,

Laura Keating⁴, Joris Witstok^{5,6} (D) and Debora Sijacki¹

¹ Institute of Astronomy and Kavli Institute for Cosmology, University of Cambridge, Madingley Road, Cambridge CB3 0HA, UK

² Kavli Institute for Particle Astrophysics & Cosmology (KIPAC), Stanford University, Stanford, CA 94305, USA

³ Observatoire de Genève, Université de Genève, Chemin Pegasi 51, 1290 Versoix, Switzerland

⁴ Institute for Astronomy, University of Edinburgh, Blackford Hill, Edinburgh, EH9 3HJ, UK

⁵ Cosmic Dawn Center (DAWN)

⁶ Niels Bohr Institute, University of Copenhagen, Jagtvej 128, 2200 København N, Denmark

MNRAS, submitted

ABSTRACT

The visibility of the Lyman- α (Ly α) emission from reionization-epoch galaxies depends sensitively on the extent of the intrinsic Ly α emission redwards of 1215.67 Å. The prominent red peak resulting from resonant radiative transfer in the interstellar medium is often modelled as a single Gaussian. We use the AZAHAR simulation suite of a massive-reionization epoch galaxy to show that a significantly larger fraction of the Ly α emission extends to 400-800 km s⁻¹, and thus significantly further to the red than predicted by a Gaussian line profile. A cycle of frequent galaxy mergers strongly modulates the Ly α luminosity, the red peak velocity and its extended red wing emerging from the galaxy, which all also strongly vary with viewing angle. The Ly α emission also depends sensitively on the implemented feedback, dust and star formation physics. Our simulations including cosmic rays reproduce the observed spectral properties of reionization epoch Ly α emitters (LAEs) well if we assume that the Ly α emission is affected by very little dust. The visibility of LAEs can be strongly underestimated if the extended red wings of the intrinsic Ly α emission are not accounted for. We discuss implications for using the visibility of LAEs to constrain the evolution of the volume-averaged neutral fraction during reionization.

Key words: galaxies: star formation – ISM: clouds – ISM: kinematics and dynamics – ISM: cosmic rays – magnetohydrodynamics: MHD – radiative transfer

1 INTRODUCTION

The Universe transits from neutral to ionized hydrogen during the epoch of reionization (EoR; see e.g. Robertson (2022) for a recent review). The reionization history of the intergalactic medium (IGM) is also of crucial importance to galaxy formation and evolution. The electron scattering optical depth measured against the cosmic microwave background (CMB) (Planck Collaboration et al. 2016, 2020) tells us that the reionization process has already progressed significantly at $z \sim 7$ while the Ly α forest in QSO absorption spectra (Kulkarni et al. 2019; Keating et al. 2020; Bosman et al. 2022) suggests that reionization does not complete until $z \sim 5.3$.

The Ly α line is a very luminous emission line and has been observed up to $z \sim 13$ (Ouchi et al. 2020; Witstok et al. 2024b). Neutral atomic hydrogen (H 1) has a rather large scattering cross section at Ly α wavelengths and therefore the visibility of Ly α emitters (LAEs) changes drastically during the transition from a neutral to an ionized IGM. Detections of LAEs are common at $z \sim 6$ (Stark et al. 2011; De Barros et al. 2017), but decline at $z \gtrsim 7$ (Stark et al. 2010; Treu et al. 2013; Hoag et al. 2019; Jung et al. 2020) due to the Ly α damping wing of the intervening increasingly neutral IGM (Umeda et al. 2024).

The advent of JWST has allowed a large population of high-*z* LAE to be observed, including the iconic GN-z11 (Bunker et al. 2023). Tang et al. (2023) and Saxena et al. (2024) found that high-*z* ($z \gtrsim 7$) LAEs show lower escape fraction, $f_{\rm esc,Ly\alpha}$, and larger Ly α velocity offsets than low-*z* samples, indicating increasingly smaller sizes of the surrounding ionized bubbles. Tang et al. (2024b) showed that high-*z* LAEs typically have Ly α velocity offsets of about 230 km s⁻¹, larger than those of $z \sim 2-3$ LAE, and reflecting the effect of IGM attenuation. Chen et al. (2024) and Saxena et al. (2023) on the other hand discovered high-*z* LAEs with near-unity IGM transmission, as well as LAEs with $f_{\rm esc,Ly\alpha} \sim 1$. They also report a faint LAE with an extremely high equivalent width within an ionized bubble at z = 7.3. Witstok et al. (2024a) discovered three high-redshift ($z \gtrsim 8$) low-mass LAEs with low Ly α velocity

^{*}E-mail: yy503@cam.ac.uk (YY)

offsets and varying levels of EWs. These galaxies show signatures of recent, vigorous starbursts and are surrounded by ionized bubbles of various inferred sizes (the one with the largest EW is located within a bubble with an inferred size of ~ 3 pMpc). The observational evidence regarding the decline of the visibility of LAEs at high redshift is thus complex.

Ly α emission escaping a galaxy will suffer attenuation by the circumgalactic medium (CGM) as well as the IGM. Sadoun et al. (2017) found that the CGM out to $10 r_{vir}$ can also strongly attenuate the Ly α emission near the line centre. The effect of IGM attenuation has been studied extensively with analytic models (Miralda-Escudé 1998; Dijkstra et al. 2007; Inoue et al. 2014; Mason & Gronke 2020) as well as semi-analytic models such as the DRAGONS model (Oin et al. 2022) and GALFORM (Gurung-López et al. 2019, 2020). More self-consistent studies use cosmological simulations to relate the large-scale distribution of the neutral IGM and the corresponding attenuation (Zheng et al. 2010; Laursen et al. 2011). Recently, more realistic modelling of reionization and the IGM attenuation calibrated to Ly α forest data has become possible, such as that based on the SHERWOOD simulation (Weinberger et al. 2018, 2019), the SHERWOOD-RELIC simulations (Keating et al. 2024a,b), as well as the SPHINX (Garel et al. 2021), CoDAII (Gronke et al. 2021; Park et al. 2021), and THESAN (Smith et al. 2022) simulations.

The visibility of LAEs is expected to trace the reionization history of the Universe (Dijkstra & Wyithe 2010), and Garel et al. (2021) showed how IGM transmission can be the dominant driver of the apparent redshift evolution of LAEs within a realistic cosmological radiative transfer simulation. High-*z* LAEs are thus used to infer the evolution of the neutral fraction of the IGM (see Tang et al. 2024c; Jones et al. 2024a for recent studies based on JWST data). Numerous recent works have built hybrid Bayesian frameworks to connect observed high-*z* LAEs with predictions from numerical simulations of the IGM, aiming to gain a quantitative description for the neutral IGM surrounding the LAE population (Mason et al. 2018a). For example, Hayes & Scarlata (2023) proposed a Bayesian framework to calculate the bubble size from observed Ly α spectra.

The attenuation by the IGM/CGM and thus the visibility of LAEs during the epoch of reionization depends strongly on how far the Ly α emission extends to the red after scattering by the ISM. Mason & Gronke (2020) used the minimum observed Ly α velocity shift to put a lower limit on the bubble size and an upper limit on the neutral hydrogen fraction within ionized bubbles. Weinberger et al. (2019) assumed Ly α velocity offsets of ~ 250 km s⁻¹ when fitting the observed Ly α luminosity function (LF) at $z \sim 7$.

Both theoretical and observational studies have shown that galaxy mergers trigger intense star formation and feedback. This means galaxy mergers are a possible mechanism that boosts production and escape of ionizing photons (Rauch et al. 2011), and thus aid reionization (Cooke et al. 2010; Jiménez-Andrade et al. 2023; Solimano et al. 2022; Jung et al. 2024). The observed examples include Himiko (Ouchi et al. 2009) and CR7 (Sobral et al. 2015). Qin et al. (2022) and Witten et al. (2024) found that bright high-*z* galaxies with a companion will have higher Ly α visibility.

The paper builds upon the methods and pipeline of Yuan et al. (2024, hereafter Y24) and extends the analysis in Witten et al. (2024, hereafter W24). Up to now, few theoretical works have focused on Ly α emission from merging galaxies and its impact on inferring IGM properties. In this paper, we aim to address the following questions: (1) What is the impact of the merger sequence, LOS statistics, and different simulated physics on the Ly α observables from high-*z* LAE? (2) What are the connections between our simulated merger and the recently observed high-*z* LAE populations?

Simulation name	RT	SN	Magnetic field	CR
RT	\checkmark \checkmark \checkmark	Fiducial	-	-
RTiMHD		Fiducial	Astrophysical	-
RTnsCRiMHD		Fiducial	Astrophysical	no streaming
RTCRiMHD		Fiducial	Astrophysical	√

Table 1. Summary of AZAHAR simulation runs used in this paper.

(3) Are there any caveats to the common methods of LAE-based inference of the IGM neutral fraction?

The structure of the paper is as follows: in Section 2 we describe the simulations and our post-processing technique. Next, we explore the variations of the merger sequence due to different simulated physical processes and compare them to JWST observations in Section 3. We discuss several caveats regarding our results in Section 4 and give our conclusions in Section 5.

2 METHODS

In this section, we give an overview of the numerical simulations and post-processing techniques that we use. We first describe the AZAHAR simulation suite in Section 2.1. We summarize our RASCAS post-processing technique in Section 2.2. We describe our treatment of IGM attenuation and JWST observational effect in Section 2.3. We lastly define several diagnostic quantities frequently used in observations in Section 2.4.

2.1 The AZAHAR simulation suite

In this work, we use cosmological zoom-in simulations from the AZAHAR suite. The simulations have been performed with the cosmological hydrodynamical radiative transfer code RAMSES-RT (Teyssier 2002; Rosdahl et al. 2013). AZAHAR simulates a massive disc galaxy, with a halo mass of ~ 2×10^{12} M_{\odot} at z = 1. The size of the zoom-in region is ~ 8 cMpc across. Gas cells refine when their enclosed mass is larger than 8 times the dark matter particle mass or when their sizes are larger than 4 times the local Jeans length. The highest resolution level in the simulation corresponds to a spatial resolution of 20 pc. In the zoom-in region, dark matter particles have a mass $m_{\rm DM} = 4.5 \times 10^5$ M_{\odot} and the mass resolution of star particles is $m_* \approx 4 \times 10^4$ M_{\odot}.

The physical processes included in the simulations are similar to those in its pathfinder simulation suite PANDORA (Martin-Alvarez et al. 2023) and we briefly summarize them here. Radiative cooling includes the primordial and metal cooling of hot gas ($\gtrsim 10^4$ K) using tables from CLOUDY (Ferland et al. 1998), as well as the metal cooling of cold gas following Rosen & Bregman (1995). The simulations adopt a Schmidt law for star formation (Schmidt 1959), $\dot{\rho}_{\text{star}} = \epsilon_{\text{ff}} \rho_{\text{g}} / t_{\text{ff}}$, where ϵ_{ff} is the star formation efficiency and is calculated assuming the magneto-thermo-turbulent (MTT) star formation model described in Kimm et al. (2017) and Martin-Alvarez et al. (2020). The mechanical supernovae (SN) feedback prescription (Kimm & Cen 2014; Kimm et al. 2015) attempts to recover the correct terminal momentum of the snowplough phase of the supernovae remnants (SNR) even when the Sedov-Taylor phase is not resolved. The radiative transfer modelling follows the implementation in RAMSES-RT (Rosdahl et al. 2013; Rosdahl & Teyssier 2015). It accounts for photon injection, photo-ionization, photo-heating and radiation pressure. The M1 closure scheme is used to solve the coupled radiation-hydrodynamical equations. Three frequency bins corresponding to the ionization of H I, He I, and He II are used. Because of the explicit treatment of advection, a reduced speed of light 0.01c is adopted to avoid the otherwise extremely small time steps. The MHD solver uses the constrained transport scheme (CT; Fromang et al. 2006; Teyssier et al. 2006), which satisfies the divergence condition $\nabla \cdot \vec{B} = 0$ to numerical precision. For the magnetic seeding model, small-scale circular loops of the magnetic field are injected by SNe with magnetic energy corresponding to 1 per cent of the energy of SNe, $E_{\text{ini,mag}} = 0.01 E_{\text{SN}} = 10^{49} \text{erg}$ (Martin-Alvarez et al. 2021), to reproduce the magnetisation of SN remnants at small scales, and yielding realistic galactic magnetisations (Martin-Alvarez et al. 2024). The cosmic ray (CR) module (Dubois & Commerçon 2016; Dubois et al. 2019) models CR diffusion and streaming and incorporates hadronic and Coulomb cosmic ray cooling (Guo & Oh 2008). We adopt a constant diffusion coefficient $\kappa_{\parallel} = 3 \times 10^{28} \text{cm}^2 \text{s}^{-1}$, motivated by γ -ray observations of cosmic ray hadronic losses (Ackermann et al. 2012) and their Milky Way isotropic coefficient (Trotta et al. 2011). Each SN injects a fraction $f_{\rm CR} = 0.1$ of its energy as CR energy, $E_{\rm CR} = f_{\rm CR} E_{\rm SN} = 10^{50} {\rm erg}$ (Morlino & Caprioli 2012).

The AZAHAR suite contains a set of simulations with different complexity of the physical processes included. The name of each simulation specifies its included physical processes and configuration. Radiative transfer is represented by "RT" and the magnetic field configuration is represented by "iMHD". The CR configurations consist of a full physics run "CR" and a run with CR streaming switched off, "nsCR". Here, we focus on four simulation runs RT, RTiMHD, RTnsCRiMHD, and RTCRiMHD from AZAHAR. A summary of the four simulations is given in Table 1. RTCRiMHD should be considered the most complete simulation in this study (see Martin-Alvarez, in preparation, for more details).

We track the movement and merging events of the galaxies in the simulations with an updated version of the tracker algorithm originally described in W24 and Sanati et al. (2024), which we briefly describe here. We identify three progenitor galaxies with the HALOMAKER software (Tweed et al. 2009) and follow them through time by tracking their innermost 500 stellar particles, or $0.4M_*/m_*$ (with M_* the mass of each galaxy at a given time), whichever is lower. This algorithm determines their centres with a shrinking-spheres algorithm (Power et al. 2003) applied to their stellar component. For each galaxy, our tracker further determines the physical properties of individual galaxies within their galactic region, defined by the radius $r_{gal} < 0.2 r_{vir}$, where r_{vir} is their virial radius as measured by HALOMAKER. During the merging stages, we separate in our measurements each merging galaxy by employing $r < \min(r_{gal}, 0.45D_{ij})$, where D_{ij} is the distance between the *i* and *j* progenitors, with a minimum distance of 1.5 kpc. We differentiate between:

• an isolated phase, where all three galaxies are well separated,

• a merger phase, where some of the galaxies are merging with others,

• and a disc phase, where all three galaxies have merged into one single massive disc galaxy.

2.2 Ly α and LyC emission

In order to model the emission and propagation of Ly α photons, we post-process our simulation using the publicly available, massively parallel, Monte Carlo radiative transfer code RASCAS (Michel-Dansac et al. 2020). RASCAS first extracts from the simulations the essential information about the radiation sources and the medium where the radiation travels. Then it runs the radiative transfer simulations and generates observables.

We extract a sphere as the computational domain for Ly α radiative transfer. The centre of the sphere is the merger centre (defined as the non-weighted mean of the centres of the three galaxies) in the isolated/merger phase and the centre of the merged galaxy in the disc phase. The radius of the sphere R is $2 \max(r_i) + 10$ kpc for the isolated/merger phase, where r_i is the distance of the galaxy centre to the merger centre, and it is 10 kpc in the disc phase. We model three sources of Ly α emission - recombination and collisional excitation from gas, as well as the stellar continuum near the $Ly\alpha$ wavelength. RASCAS uses a Monte-Carlo approach to sample photon packets from the full photon distribution. In each gas cell, the PDF of the gas-frame frequency of Ly α photon packets is a Gaussian with the width set by the thermal broadening $\Delta v_{\rm D}$. Ly α photons change their outgoing direction relative to the incoming direction according to a phase function. The phase function depends on the frequency of the photon in the scattering frame. RASCAS adopts phase functions as described in Hamilton (1940) and Dijkstra & Loeb (2008) for the core scattering of $Ly\alpha$ photons and adopts Rayleigh scattering for $Ly\alpha$ photons in the line wings. To reduce unnecessary computational overhead in high H I opacity environments, RASCAS adopts a core-skipping algorithm (Smith et al. 2015) to shift the photons to the line wing without local scattering in space. RASCAS also includes the recoil effect and the transition due to deuterium with an abundance of D/H = 3×10^{-5} .

We adopt the 'Small Magellanic Cloud' (SMC) model for the Ly α absorption by dust following Laursen et al. (2009). The dust density is calculated as $n_{\text{dust}} = \frac{Z}{Z_0} (n_{\text{H I}} + f_{\text{ion}} n_{\text{H II}})$, where $f_{\text{ion}} = 0.01$ and $Z_0 = 0.005$. Dust can either absorb or scatter Ly α photons. The dust albedo, $a_{\text{dust}} = 0.32$ (Li & Draine 2001), sets the probability of Ly α scattering. The scattering angle is given by the phase function of Henyey & Greenstein (1941) and the asymmetry parameter is set to g = 0.73 (Li & Draine 2001).

We generate synthetic position-position-velocity (PPV) data cubes along arbitrary lines-of-sight (LOS) with the "peeling" algorithm (Yusef-Zadeh et al. 1984; Wood & Reynolds 1999). We collect photons within an aperture size *l* equal to *R* defined above. For the fiducial RTCRiMHD simulation, we generate synthetic Ly α observations along 108 LOS for all snapshots from $z \sim 9 \rightarrow 6$. For the other simulations (RT, RTiMHD and RTnsCRiMHD), we generate Ly α observables along 12 LOS because of the high computational cost.

We self-consistently calculate the escape fraction of LyC photon along arbitrary directions with RASCAS. Ionizing radiation is absorbed by neutral hydrogen, singly ionized helium (Osterbrock & Ferland 2006), neutral helium (Yan et al. 2001), and is attenuated by dust (Weingartner & Draine 2001).

2.3 IGM attenuation modelling and synthetic observations

Due to the ionisation fronts quickly expanding beyond the zoomin sub-volume of the AZAHAR computational domain, we cannot self-consistently model the reionization process on large scales and the size of the ionized bubble surrounding our galaxies. For this reason, we use predicted IGM damping curves from a large-scale cosmological reionization simulation by Keating et al. (2024b). The IGM damping wings have been extracted from simulations from the SHERWOOD-RELICS suite of simulations (Puchwein et al. 2023). We choose the SHERWOOD-RELICS simulation with a late reionization history for illustrative purposes, but there is still considerable uncer-

tainty in the reionization history as recently discussed in Asthana et al. (2024b), Cain et al. (2024) and references therein. We then use the reionization history of Keating et al. (2024b) to investigate the effect of the shape and extent of the red wing on the IGM attenuation of reionization-epoch galaxies, but note that our results can be easily adapted to other reionization histories.

Keating et al. (2024b) provide the median damping wings with their associated uncertainties for objects located in massive haloes. We assume that our galaxies reside in a similar environment as those haloes¹. The Ly α radiative transfer through both ISM/CGM and IGM shows significant variations between different LOS. For simplicity, we assume these two effects are independent, i.e. the structures and morphologies of galaxies are uncorrelated with those of the large-scale ionized bubbles. The IGM damping wings of Keating et al. (2024b) are shown in Appendix Section C in Figure C1. In Appendix Section C we also describe in more detail our modelling of instrumental effects. In the remainder of the paper, we consider three levels of IGM transmission, the median transmission and 1σ lower (low transmission) and higher (high transmission). We have convolved the Ly α spectra after intrinsic scattering by the ISM/CGM with the damping wings corresponding to these three levels of attenuation to obtain IGM-attenuated Ly α spectra.

We further note that the peculiar velocities of the galaxies will cause a horizontal shift of the damping wing (see Figure 13 in Gurung-López et al. 2020). The peculiar velocities of the dark matter haloes are accounted for in the predictions by Keating et al. (2024b). We have checked that the peculiar velocities of the haloes in our simulations are usually small $\sim 10 - 40 \text{ km s}^{-1}$ and that this will have a minor effect. For this reason, we neglect these additional peculiar velocities.

2.4 Diagnostic quantities of the Ly α spectra

We perform the spectral fitting and analysis for $Ly\alpha$ spectra with the pipeline described in section 2.2.3 of Y24. We then extract the following diagnostic quantities of the $Ly\alpha$ spectra,

- v_{red}, the velocity offset of the red peak,
- $\sigma_{\rm red}$, the velocity width of the red peak,
- A_f , the asymmetry parameter of the red peak²,
- and EW, the rest-frame equivalent width.

We calculate the intrinsic scattered (by the ISM/CGM) Ly α emission³ as well as the observed Ly α emission (including IGM attenuation for three transmission levels and line smoothing).

We also calculate Ly α quantities assuming Gaussian red peaks. For this we first fit a Gaussian profile to the red peak of our intrinsic scattered spectra. We then convolve the Gaussian fit with the IGM damping curve and account for the line spread function (LSF) in the same way as described in Section 2.3 and Section C. We then again calculate the above Ly α quantities. Any quantity calculated in this way will be denoted with a subscript Gauss⁴.

3 RESULTS

In this section, we systematically investigate the effect of the different physical processes. A prominent galaxy merger occurs at $z \approx 7.3$ in all the simulation runs and the runs feature similar evolution sequences, albeit with some differences, as discussed in Section 3.1. We then move on to explore the properties of the Ly α spectra in Section 3.2. Next, we compare our results with recent JWST observations in Section 3.3. Finally, in Section 3.4 we point out the implications of our results on two LAE-based inference models of the neutral fraction x_{H_1} .

3.1 The galaxy merger sequence

In this section, we investigate the evolution of galactic properties and Ly α /LyC observables from the galaxy merger phase to the quasi-steady disc phase in the redshift range $z \sim 9 \rightarrow 6^5$.

We show the time evolution of the intrinsic and intrinsic scattered Ly α images for four simulations in Figure 1. The maps of the intrinsic Ly α emission closely mirror the gas distribution. The maps of the Ly α emission scattered by neutral hydrogen in the ISM and the CGM on the other hand are much more diffuse. This reduces the number of discernible components and makes the merger signatures much less prominent. For example, in the RTnsCRiMHD simulation at z = 7.26, the number of identifiable components reduces from 6 to 2 between the maps of intrinsic and scattered Ly α emission. In the RT and RTiMHD simulations the merger signatures are nearly lost as a result. In the two simulations including cosmic rays the Ly α maps of the intrinsic emission are more concentric and the signatures of the ongoing merger are reduced but remain visible, although in most cases the number of identifiable objects also reduces as discussed in W24.

In Figure 2, we show maps of the projected H I mass-weighted radial velocity v_r along the merger sequence⁶. Outflows powered by merger-driven star formation show up in these maps as red regions. As investigated in Rodríguez Montero et al. (2024) with a similar physical configuration and solver to ours, and in Martin-Alvarez et al. (in prep.), simulations including cosmic rays are much more efficient in driving efficient outflows escaping the galaxy. As we will discuss later, this has a major effect on the $Ly\alpha$ emission due to the clearing of escape channels for Lyman continuum and Ly α radiation (Yuan et al. 2024). Also the more efficient galactic winds lead to a very different dust distribution and much less absorption of the Ly α emission by dust. Most but not all recent star formation is shielded by high columns of neutral hydrogen. Note also that during the pre-merger phase, the surrounding CGM densities of the triple galaxy system in RTnsCRiMHD and RTCRiMHD are much lower than those of RT and RTiMHD. During the merger phase, we see much stronger and more continuous outflows in many directions out to much larger radii in the two simulations with cosmic rays, compared to the more gentle galactic winds in the RT and RTiMHD simulations. The stronger feedback also leads to a more spread-out spatial distribution of young stars and creates lower-density channels. Furthermore, the metals (and thus dust) are carried out to much larger radii by the outflows in the two simulations including cosmic rays, while the metals are significantly

¹ In the SHERWOOD-RELIC simulations, the mass range of the haloes at z = 7.4 is about $5.4 \times 10^{10} - 2.3 \times 10^{11} M_{\odot}/h$, similar to the mass of our simulated haloes (c.f. Figure 3).

² This quantity is defined in eq (33) of Kakiichi & Gronke (2021).

³ We note that the term 'intrinsic Ly α spectra' in several papers (like Mason et al. 2018a; Witstok et al. 2024c) has the same meaning as the term 'intrinsic scattered Ly α spectra' used in this paper.

⁴ Note that we investigate the results of several alternative definitions of quantifies for which a Gaussian red peak has been assumed in Section 3.4.

⁵ Interested readers may also refer to Appendix Section B for additional figures and analysis.

⁶ The velocity has been subtracted by the mass-weighted mean velocity of the merger system.



Figure 1. $Ly\alpha$ images across the merger sequences. From top to bottom, the four sets (two rows for each set) show the maps for the different simulations. In each set, the first row shows the intrinsic $Ly\alpha$ emission and the second shows the intrinsic scattered $Ly\alpha$ emission. The units of the surface brightness SB are erg s⁻¹ cm⁻² arcsec⁻². The resonant scattering of the ISM/CGM makes $Ly\alpha$ images much more diffuse and the merger signatures much less prominent.



Figure 2. Projected maps of the H I-mass weighted radial velocity v_r across the merger sequence. Different rows show the results of different simulation runs. The yellow (red) contours represent column densities of $N_{\rm H~I} = 3 \times 10^{20} \,{\rm cm}^{-2}$ ($10^{22} \,{\rm cm}^{-2}$). The black dots represent young star particles with age $t_* < 30$ Myr. Most but not all young stars are shielded by high H I column densities.

more spatially concentrated in the RT and RTiMHD simulations (see also Figure B2 in the Appendix Section B).

In Figure 3 we show the time evolution of several physical properties of the triple galaxy system for all simulation runs⁷. In both the RT and RTiMHD simulations the star formation history (SFH) is relatively smooth at a level of ~ $10 M_{\odot} \text{ yr}^{-1}$, whereas the RTnsCRiMHD and RTCRiMHD simulations have more bursty SFHs before $z \sim 7$ and star formation becomes more steady after the last merger event at $z \sim 7$ (Dome et al. 2024). The average star formation rates (SFRs) in the simulations including cosmic rays are significantly lower reflecting the more effective feedback due to stronger galactic winds. Both the RT and RTiMHD simulations have a smooth angular distribution of A_V with rather large values of $A_V \sim 3$, reflecting the larger metallicity and the rather compact distribution of the dust due to the rather inefficient galactic winds. In the simulations including cosmic rays the angular distribution of A_V is highly anisotropic and varies rapidly with time along the merger sequence with low values varying from 0.1 to 1, comparable to those of observed LAEs (Simmonds et al. 2023; Tang et al. 2023; Witstok et al. 2024a). The virial velocity v_{vir} of the halo hosting the galaxy mergers increases monotonically in all the simulations. The stellar mass steadily grows in all simulation runs and increases from $10^9 M_{\odot}$ to $5 \times 10^9 M_{\odot}$ ($2 \times 10^8 M_{\odot}$ to $2 \times 10^9 M_{\odot}$) in the RT/RTIMHD (RTnsCRiMHD/RTCRiMHD) simulation. The inclusion of magnetic fields appears to have some minor effect on the SFR and stellar mass, although this remains secondary and predominantly affects other galactic properties (e.g., Martin-Alvarez et al. 2020; Rodríguez Montero et al. 2024; Robinson & Wadsley 2024). The dark matter (DM) mass of the halo hosting the merger is pretty much constant with a modest steady increase with time, and the host halo of the final post-merger disc galaxy has a mass around $2 \times 10^{11} M_{\odot}$ in all simulations.

We show the time evolution of the properties of the Ly α and LyC emission in Figure 4. The Ly α and LyC observables show large LOS variations (Barnes et al. 2011), so we show the median and the range for all LOSs. The time evolution of the intrinsic Ly α luminosity, $L_{Ly\alpha}$, closely follows that of the SFR. The evolution of the luminosity of the intrinsic scattered Ly α emission is similar to that of the intrinsic Ly α emission because of the less episodic evolution

⁷ In the merger phase, the calculations of v_{vir} , M_* , and M_{DM} are for the main halo hosting all three galaxies.



Figure 3. Time evolution of star formation rate averaged over 10 Myr (SFR₁₀), A_V , v_{vir} , M_* , M_{DM} . Different colours represent different simulation runs. In the A_V panel, the shaded region represents 1σ scatter when evaluated along different LOS.

of $f_{\rm esc,Ly\alpha}$. However, we see that the RT and RTiMHD simulations have significantly lower intrinsic scattered $L_{Ly\alpha}$ due to their much lower $f_{\rm esc,Ly\alpha}$ because of the high A_V in these two simulations. The evolution of EW and width of the red peak $\sigma_{\rm red}$ are episodic for all simulation runs, with EW (σ_{red}) varying from 30 – 150 Å $(50 - 150 \text{ km s}^{-1})$. The v_{red} evolution of the RT and RTiMHD simulations is generally more episodic and reaches high values of ~ 250 km s⁻¹, while those of the simulations including cosmic rays are relatively constant over the merger sequence and have lower values of ~ 150 km s⁻¹. We further show the ratio of v_{red} to the virial velocity $v_{\rm vir}$ and see that its evolution has a similar trend as that of v_{red} , with typical values of ~ 4 for the RT and RTiMHD simulations and ~ 2 for the two simulations including cosmic rays. The Ly α spectra in the RT/RTiMHD (RTnsCRiMHD/RTCRiMHD) simulations have an asymmetry factor of $A_f \sim 2(3)$. The asymmetry is due to Ly α photons escaping both by resonant scattering to the line wing and through the low-density channels (Kakiichi & Gronke 2021; Kimm et al. 2022). The RT/RTiMHD simulations show a higher level of symmetry because there are fewer low-density channels and Ly α photons mainly escape by scattering to the line wing. The RT/RTiMHD simulations have very low $f_{\rm esc,Ly\alpha}$ and $f_{\rm esc,LyC}$ because of their high dust contents (described below) while the

simulation runs including cosmic rays have $f_{\rm esc,Ly\alpha}$ and $f_{\rm esc,Ly\alpha}$ varying around ~ 0.2 and ~ 0.1. For the two CR runs, $f_{\rm esc,Ly\alpha}$ is well synchronized with the EW. This illustrates the important effect that cosmic rays may have in modulating the reionization process, and confirms that the role of magnetic fields remains secondary for the escape of ionizing radiation, except when extreme magnetisations are considered (Katz et al. 2019, 2021).

3.2 Unattenuated intrinsic scattered Lya spectra

We plot the unattenuated intrinsic scattered Ly α spectra in the top row of Figure 5. To investigate the symmetry of the red peak, we fit single Gaussians to the red peaks of the spectra and show the corresponding results as dashed curves. We see that in all simulation runs the red peaks are asymmetric and deviate from a Gaussian in both centre and line wing and the tails of the red peaks extend to high velocities. This effect is more evident in the simulations including cosmic rays, consistent with their higher values of the asymmetry factor A_f (see Figure 4). In order to see the contribution from the non-Gaussian tail more clearly, we compare the cumulative distribution function (CDF) of the red peak to the damping wing curves



Figure 4. Time evolution of $L_{Ly\alpha}$, EW, v_{red} , v_{red}/v_{vir} , σ_{red} , A_f , $f_{esc,Ly\alpha}$, and $f_{esc,LyC}$. Different colours represent different simulation runs. In the $L_{Ly\alpha}$ panel, the dotted curves show the value of intrinsic $L_{Ly\alpha}$ (without ISM/CGM scattering). For $L_{Ly\alpha}$, EW, v_{red} , v_{red} , v_{red} , A_f , $f_{esc,Ly\alpha}$, and $f_{esc,LyC}$, the solid curves with the shaded region show the mean intrinsic scattered values with its 1 σ region when evaluated across different LOS.

at z = 6, 7, 8 in the bottom row of Figure 5. We see that the neutral hydrogen in the IGM allows a high transmission fraction beyond $\sim 400 \text{ km s}^{-1}$. In our fiducial RTCRiMHD simulation, the tails beyond 400 km s⁻¹ contribute $\sim 20\%$ of the emission in the red peak, while if we use Gaussian fits they only contribute $\sim 5\%$. Hence we conclude that modelling the red peak of the intrinsic scattered spectrum as a Gaussian will significantly underestimate the transmission

of Ly α at high redshift when the IGM is still significantly neutral⁸. As we will discuss below, this becomes even more important if the

⁸ Although not investigated in this paper, we should note that the assumption of Gaussian profiles also has a strong impact on the Ly α luminosity function (LF) of the high-*z* LAE population (Garel et al. 2021).



Figure 5. Top row: normalized simulated intrinsic scattered Ly α spectra, where I_0 is the normalization constant. Bottom row: cumulative distribution function (CDF) of the flux in the red peak of the Ly α spectra shown above. From left to right we show the results of different simulation runs. The solid coloured curves show the angle-averaged spectra at different redshifts while the solid black lines show both angle- and time-averaged spectra. Redder colour represent higher redshifts (as shown by the colourbar). The dashed curves show single Gaussian fits to the red peaks. In the bottom row, we also overplot the median damping wing curve at z = 6, 7, 8 as dashed, solid and dotted blue lines, respectively. The shaded regions show the 1 σ uncertainties associated with the z = 7 IGM damping wings. In all simulation runs, the tails of the red peaks extend to higher velocities than predicted by the Gaussian fits.

rather uncertain amount of dust in the simulation is reduced (Xu et al. 2023).

We next investigate the impact of dust on the shape of the Ly α spectra in our fiducial RTCRiMHD simulation and show the results in Figure 6. From the intrinsic scattered spectra shown in the top row, we see that as the dust content decreases, the Ly α emission becomes more luminous (I_0 increases). Note that due to the resonant scattering of $Ly\alpha$ this effect is highly non-linear. In addition, the spectra also become much more asymmetric with much more extended red wings⁹. The Ly α spectra extend to much larger velocities, as can be seen more clearly from the CDF shown in the bottom row, where we find that the tails beyond 400 km s⁻¹ contribute ~ 20%, 30%, 50% for the "fiducial", "dust/10", and "no dust" cases, respectively. The physical effects behind this are two-fold. The first effect is that as the dust content decreases, $Ly\alpha$ photons are less likely to be absorbed, undergo many more resonant scattering events, and diffuse in velocity space. The second effect is that they reach larger radii where strong inflows/outflows co-exist, and get scattered by this large-velocity gas.

We next investigate the variations due to different LOSs. For this, we show the angular distribution of various gas and Ly α parameters across the merger sequence of the RTCRiMHD simulation that we consider the most realistic in Figure 7. Both Ly α and LyC photons escape through low-density channels visible in the $N_{\rm H~I}$ panels. A_V shows a smoother angular distribution compared to $N_{\rm H~I}$, indicating that metals are spread out efficiently by outflows. In the disc phase at $z \sim 6.2$, $f_{\rm esc, Ly}\alpha$ and $f_{\rm esc, LyC}$ show a bipolar angular distribution in the simulations including cosmic ray-driven outflows, suggesting both Ly α and LyC preferentially escape in a direction perpendicular to the disc galaxy and along the rotation axis, consistent with the findings of Costa et al. (2022). $f_{\rm esc, Ly\alpha}$ thereby has a positive correlation with EW and a negative correlation with $v_{\rm red}$. $\sigma_{\rm red}$ does not show any clear correlation with other quantities.

We show the EW distribution of the intrinsic scattered Ly α emission for the simulations with different physics implementations at z = (6.6, 7.3, 8.5) in the top row of Figure 8. The different simulations give rather similar distributions centred around ~ 50 Å, except that the simulations including cosmic rays extend to somewhat larger EWs at the highest redshift we consider, $z \sim 8.5$. The EWs appear somewhat smaller and the distribution appears to be narrower than the distribution proposed by Dijkstra & Wyithe (2012) and Weinberger et al. (2019) for the intrinsic scattered emission. We investigate the impact of dust for our fiducial RTCRiMHD in the bottom row of Figure 8. Removing all the dust content makes the distributions broader and extend to larger EWs. Dust thus plays as expected an important role in regulating the EW also at those redshifts. Interestingly, there is a good agreement with the distribution of Weinberger et al. (2019) when we assume that there is no dust. We lastly caution that more top-heavy IMF and burstier SF would shift the intrinsic scattered EWs to larger values (Charlot & Fall 1993; Garel et al. 2015).

3.3 Comparing to observations of high-z LAEs

In this section, we compare the properties of our simulated LAE to the observations of high-*z* LAE populations.

We compare EW_{obs} of the observed $Ly\alpha$ emission with the EW of the intrinsic scattered $Ly\alpha$ emission in the left panel of Figure 9. As expected, EW_{obs} is systematically lower than EW due to the IGM attenuation. There is a larger spread of EW_{obs} at low IGM

⁹ We note here that we cannot easily quantify the increased levels of asymmetries by comparing the CDF of simulated $Ly\alpha$ red peaks and single Gaussian fits. This is because for the cases of "dust/10" and "no dust", the Ly α profiles are highly asymmetric and single Gaussian profiles generally give a bad fit.



Figure 6. Same as Figure 5, but from left to right we show the results for the RTCRiMHD simulation with three levels of dust contents: fiducial, dust content reduced by a factor of 10 (dust/10), and removing all dust (no dust). With decreasing dust content the profiles extend significantly further to the red.

transmission, as only the red tails of the Ly α spectra are transmitted. We compare EW_{obs} versus EW, but both are now calculated with the Gaussian fits, in the middle panel. We see similar trends as before, but the reduction of the EW is more severe at low IGM transmission. We finally compare EW_{Gauss} versus EW. We see that EW_{Gauss} is always lower than the full EW, as the Gaussian fits do not have the extended line wings. The extent of the underestimation again increases with decreasing IGM transmission levels.

In the left panel of Figure 10 we plot the velocity of the red peak v_{red} versus EW. The different IGM transmission levels are driving an anticorrelation between these two quantities, as observed in Saxena et al. (2023) and Tang et al. (2024a). The physical reason is simple. With higher IGM transmission, the observable flux in the IGM-attenuated Ly α spectra extends further to the blue. In the middle panel we plot v_{red} versus EW with both quantities calculated from Gaussian fits. The trend of an anti-correlation is preserved, but there is a larger amount of scatter. In the right panel, we compare $v_{\rm red}$ calculated with Gaussian fits versus the true values. We see that these two quantities are similar for the intrinsic scattered emission without IGM attenuation and the case of high IGM transmission. However, at low IGM transmission, v_{red,Gauss} is much lower than $v_{\rm red}$, as the unattenuated tails of the spectra extend to much lower velocities for the Gaussian case. Interestingly, we see that all four simulation runs have similar median relations, showing that this relation is robust against including different physical processes.

As we have already discussed in Section 3.2, the amount of dust has a large effect on the Ly α spectra. For this reason we investigate the impact of different dust contents on the v_{red} - EW relation in Figure 11. We see that as the dust content decreases, the simulated data moves in the direction towards the upper right. Interestingly, our simulated data reach a good agreement with observations when all the dust is removed. We should note however again that our simulated results are just from one single galaxy merger, while the observation are from a sample of galaxies. Nevertheless, we can infer that the impact of dust content might even be larger than the effects of time evolution, LOS variations, and IGM transmission levels. This indicates that constraining dust evolution at early epochs is of crucial importance for interpreting the observations of high-*z* LAEs.

In Figure 12, we plot the Ly α escape fraction $f_{\rm esc,Lv\alpha}$ versus v_{red} , EW and $L_{Lv\alpha}$. We also plot the observational data from Jones et al. (2024a) as black crosses. The simulations reproduce the negative correlation between v_{red} and $f_{esc,Ly\alpha}$ (Saxena et al. 2024), as well as the positive EW - $f_{\rm esc, Ly\alpha}$ correlation (Saxena et al. 2024; Tang et al. 2024a). Consistent with our findings earlier, the IGM transmission levels from patchy reionization are the main driver of these correlations in the simulated spectra. We also find a positive correlation between $f_{\rm esc, Ly\alpha}$ and $L_{\rm Ly\alpha}$. Comparing the median relations for different simulated physical processes, we find that the trend is maintained for all simulation runs, however, the RT/RTiMHD simulations have lower $f_{esc,Lv\alpha}$ by ~ 1 dex compared to the two simulations including cosmic rays. The lower dust content results in higher v_{red} , EW, $L_{Ly\alpha}$, and $f_{esc,Ly\alpha}$, hence shifting all three of the $f_{\rm esc, Ly\alpha} - v_{\rm red}$, $f_{\rm esc, Ly\alpha}$ -EW and $f_{\rm esc, Ly\alpha} - L_{\rm Ly\alpha}$ relations towards the upper right direction. We see a better agreement with the observational data when the dust content is reduced by a factor of 10, which increases $f_{\rm esc,Ly\alpha}$ by a factor of 3^{10} . If we remove the dust alltogether, the escape fractions significantly increase further and overshoot the observational data¹¹. We again caution that the predicted EWs also depend sensitively on the as-

¹⁰ Note that due to the resonant nature of Ly α scattering we get this increase of the escape fraction despite the already rather low values of A_V of 0.1-0.5 with our fiducial dust content in the RTCRiMHD simulation.

¹¹ For the no dust case of the RTCRiMHD simulation, we had seen that the distribution of intrinsic scattered EW agrees with that of Dijkstra & Wyithe (2012) and Weinberger et al. (2019). The unattenuated EW distribution observed at low redshift appears thus to predict systematically lower EW values than observed by Jones et al. (2024a) at high redshift suggesting that the unattenuated EW distribution of the Jones et al. (2024a) LAE sample is quite different from the unattenuated EW distribution proposed by Dijkstra & Wyithe (2012) due to different selection effects.



Figure 7. Angular distribution of $N_{\text{H I}}$ and A_V , $f_{\text{esc,Ly}\alpha}$, $f_{\text{esc,Ly}C}$, EW, v_{red} , and σ_{red} across the merger sequence of the RTCRiMHD simulation. $f_{\text{esc,Ly}\alpha}$, $f_{\text{esc,Ly}C}$, EW, v_{red} , and σ_{red} are for emission that has emerged from the galaxies.



Figure 8. EW distribution of the intrinsic scattered Ly α emission at z = 6.6, 7.3, 8.5 (from left to right). The top row shows the effect of different simulated physics represented by lines of different colours. The bottom row shows the effect of different dust contents for the RTCRiMHD simulation. We also overplot the intrinsic scattered EW distribution from Weinberger et al. (2019) (based on the EW distribution originally proposed by Dijkstra & Wyithe 2012).



Figure 9. Left panel: observed IGM-attenuated EW versus intrinsic scattered EW. Middle panel: observed IGM-attenuated EW versus intrinsic scattered EW, but both quantities are calculated with the assumption of a Gaussian profile. Right panel: EWGauss versus EW, for both observed IGM-attenuated and intrinsic scattered values (shown by red dots) for the RTCRiMHD simulation. Different colours represent different IGM transmission levels. Higher opacities of markers represent higher redshift. In the left two panels, we show the median relations for the data points of different IGM transmission levels. Assuming Gaussian profiles increasingly underestimates EWs with decreasing transmission levels.

sumptions of the IMF and other details of the stellar population synthesis modelling.

3.4

However, these works usually make several simplifying assumptions about the intrinsic scattered Ly α spectra.

High-z LAEs as a probe of neutral fraction of the IGM The EW PDF of high z LAE has been established as an important

probe of the neutral hydrogen fraction of the IGM (Stark et al. 2011; Kakiichi et al. 2016; Mason et al. 2018a, 2019; Hoag et al. 2019; Weinberger et al. 2019; Whitler et al. 2020; Gangolli et al. 2021; Bolan et al. 2022; Bruton et al. 2023a,b; Morishita et al. 2023; Nakane et al. 2024; Tang et al. 2024c; Jones et al. 2024a,b).

The spectrum of the intrinsic scattered Ly α emission is normally approximated as a simple Gaussian with peak at v_{red} and width $\sigma_{\rm red}$. Different works have made different assumptions about the parameters v_{red} and σ_{red} , and we list some of them in Table 2. In Figure 13, we test the assumptions of Weinberger et al. (2019) and Mason et al. (2018a). We see that both of these two Gaussian models underpredict EW and v_{red}, especially at low IGM transmission levels. This is because our simulated LAEs have asymmetric red peaks with $A_f \sim 2.5$. These asymmetric profiles have much



Figure 10. Left panel: v_{red} versus EW. Middle panel: v_{red} versus EW, but both quantities are calculated with the assumption of a Gaussian profile. Right panel: $v_{red,Gauss}$ versus v_{red} for the RTCRiMHD simulation. Different colours represent different IGM transmission levels. Higher opacities of markers represent higher redshift. In the left panel, the black lines of different line styles represent the median relations for different simulation runs. In the left panel we also overplot the literature data of observed $z \sim 5 - 6$ LAEs as black crosses (Tang et al. 2024a) (with representative error bar on the top right corner), and z > 6 galaxies as purple crosses (Maiolino et al. 2015; Stark et al. 2015, 2017; Willott et al. 2015; Inoue et al. 2016; Pentericci et al. 2016; Bradač et al. 2017; Laporte et al. 2017; Mainali et al. 2017; Hashimoto et al. 2019; Hutchison et al. 2019; Endsley et al. 2022; Bunker et al. 2023; Saxena et al. 2024). The v_{red} of the simulated spectra show a strong anti-correlation with the EWs due to the effect of the IGM attenuation.



Figure 11. *v*_{red} versus EW, but showing different dust contents as indicated by the legend, for the RTCRiMHD simulation. Different colours represent different IGM transmission levels. Higher opacities of markers represent higher redshift. We overplot the same set of observational data (Tang et al. 2024a) as in the left panel of Figure 10. Our simulated results assuming no dust agree well with the observations.

Literature	red peak shape v_{red}		$\sigma_{ m red}$
Kakiichi et al. (2016)	Gaussian	600 km s ⁻¹	$20.4h^{1/3}(\frac{M_h}{10^8 M_{\odot}})^{1/3}(\frac{1+z}{7.6})^{1/2} \mathrm{km s^{-1}}$
Mason et al. (2018a)	Gaussian	empirical relation between v _{red} and halo mass	v _{red}
Weinberger et al. (2019)	Gaussian	$1.5, 1.8v_{\rm circ}$	88 km s ⁻¹
Gangolli et al. (2021)	Gaussian	$\beta v_{\rm circ}$	v _{virc} /2.355
Bruton et al. (2023b)	Gaussian	$-3156_{-895}^{+882} + 80_{-21}^{+21} \log_{10} \left(L_{\rm Ly\alpha} \right)$	$v_{\rm red}$

Table 2. Summary of assumptions used for the inference of the IGM neutral fraction x_{H_1} for several observational works. We note that Mason et al. (2018b), Hoag et al. (2019), Whitler et al. (2020), Bolan et al. (2022) and Morishita et al. (2023) also use the Mason et al. (2018a) model.

broader red wings extending to high velocities of ~ 400 km s⁻¹ and beyond. Even with a relatively low value of v_{red} and σ_{red} the emission in the red wing is then still able to pass through the IGM and be visible to us. This effect is particularly relevant at low IGM transmissions, with the wavelength range with zero transmission extending to velocities of ~ 400 km s⁻¹. For this reason, we recommend assuming asymmetric Gaussian profiles for the red peaks (like the profile in Shibuya et al. 2014) or adopting more physically motivated simulated $Ly\alpha$ spectra such as those presented here and in Garel et al. (2024) or better templates from observations at lower redshift with sufficient S/N to characterise the spectral shape including the extended red wings of the unattenuated $Ly\alpha$ emission.



Figure 12. From left to right, we show $f_{esc,Ly\alpha}$ versus v_{red} , EW and $L_{Ly\alpha}$. From top to bottom, we show the results for the fiducial dust model, with dust content decreased by a factor of 10, and with no dust content. The coloured data points are from the RTCRiMHD simulation. Different colours represent different IGM transmission levels. Higher opacities of markers represent higher redshift. In the top rows, the black lines of different line styles represent the median relations for different simulation runs. We also overplot the observational data from Jones et al. (2024a) as black crosses (in the left column, a representative errorbar is shown on the bottom left, while in the middle and right columns it is shown on the bottom right) as well as the observational data of the recently discovered LAE JADES-GS-z13-1-LA at $z \sim 13$ (Witstok et al. 2024b) as purple star (error bar not shown). Note that the agreement of simulated and observed spectra improves if the assumed dust content is reduced. Note further that the v_{red} of the $z \sim 13$ LAE has a large uncertainty of ~ 300 km s⁻¹, thus our simulated data falls near the lower edge of the error bar of this object.

4 CAVEATS

4.1 Double-peaked and anomalously bright high-z LAEs

There is a number of rather puzzling high-z LAEs that our simulations cannot explain.

Surprisingly, a number of double-peaked Ly α spectra have been observed, such as COLA1 (Hu et al. 2016; Matthee et al. 2018; Torralba-Torregrosa et al. 2024), NEPLA4 (Songaila et al. 2018), A370p_z1 (Meyer et al. 2021) and CDFS-1 (Moya-Sierralta et al. 2024). Such profiles had been thought to be highly unlikely at high z and are hard to reproduce in simulations. Indeed, our simulation does not predict any observed double-peaked Ly α spectra (c.f. Figure C2 in Appendix Section C). Observation of prominent blue peaks may imply that reionization is driven (partially) by more luminous sources (such as faint AGN, see Asthana et al. (2024a) for a recent discussion), producing ionised bubbles with very low residual neutral fraction in their vicinities aiding the transmission of the blue peaks.

Recently, Witstok et al. (2024b) discovered a very strong LAE JADES-GS-z13-1-LA at an extremely high redshift of $z \sim 13$. This LAE has a surprising large observed value EW= 43^{+15}_{-11} Å and inferred intrinsic scattered value EW= 686^{+461}_{-249} Å¹², significantly larger than the typical values of our simulated LAEs (c.f. Figure 4).

 $^{^{12}}$ As the systemic redshift of this object is unknown, this value is very sensitive to the assumptions of the intrinsic scattered line profile. For the details of the modelling see Witstok et al. (2024b).



Figure 13. Testing assumptions of intrinsic scattered Gaussian red peaks used in observational work. We compare EW calculated with Gaussian models EW_{Gauss} to the actual EW in the top row, and compare $v_{red,Gauss}$ to v_{red} in the bottom row. The left column shows the results for the Weinberger et al. (2019) Gaussian model and the right column is for Mason et al. (2018a). We note that for the model of Mason et al. (2018a) we see very few data points of the "low IGM transmission" group as either the EW_{Gauss} are too small or the Ly α spectra are severely attenuated.

Due to the high observed EW, its red wing likely has a large velocity offset, estimated to be $v_{red} \sim 700 \pm 300 \text{ km s}^{-1}$, extending further to the red than the most extreme spectra in the RTCRiMHD simulation even without dust (c.f. Figure 12), but note the rather large error of the observed v_{red} . Modelling the Ly α emission of such strong LAEs at this early redshift is clearly a theoretical challenge and we leave this for future work.

4.2 Other caveats

In this section, we first discuss the caveats associated with our physical model of galaxy formation and then of our treatment of the synthetic observations.

The AZAHAR simulation suite builds on the pathfinder PAN-DORA project and includes the same physical processes. A number of caveats regarding the thermodynamics and feedback implementations have already been discussed in Y24 and Martin-Alvarez et al. (2023). Here, we further detail potential caveats regarding our galaxy formation and feedback model.

AZAHAR adopts a standard IMF, while several recent studies find evidence of a top-heavy IMF at high redshift. Sharda & Krumholz (2022) have shown that low metallicities of $Z \sim 10^{-6} Z_{\odot}$ at high redshift can lead to a top-heavy IMF with a characteristic mass of ~ 50M_☉ while Sharda et al. (2023) have illustrated that deviations of carbon and oxygen abundances from solar scalings at low metallicity increase the characteristic mass of the IMF. Cameron et al. (2024) and Katz et al. (2024) have proposed that the strong Balmer jump and the two-photon continuum from nebular emission are possible indicators of a top-heavy IMF at high *z*.

Visibility of LAEs 15

Recently, there have been several notable theoretical efforts in the modelling of galactic outflows. An important frontier are multiphase outflows. Rey et al. (2024) have pointed out that resolving the cooling length of the outflows significantly increases their mass and energy loading factor. Yuan et al. (2023), Fielding & Bryan (2022) and Tan & Fielding (2024) have discussed why the evolution of cool clouds in outflows is crucial for the study of its multiphase nature. Other advances include the inclusion of $Ly\alpha$ radiation pressure. Kimm et al. (2018), Tomaselli & Ferrara (2021) and Nebrin et al. (2024) have suggested that $Ly\alpha$ radiation pressure exerted by luminous object can dominate over direct radiation pressure, especially at cosmic dawn. Other important advances have been made in the theoretical modelling of CR. Armillotta et al. (2021, 2022, 2024) have shown that physically modelled CR diffusion coefficients can vary up to 4 orders of magnitude depending on the local ISM conditions. Sampson et al. (2023) have argued that CR diffusion on ISM scales shows super-diffusion behaviour, effectively giving different "classical" diffusion coefficients at different spatial scales. Several works also begin to incorporate spectrally resolved CR (Girichidis et al. 2020, 2022; Hopkins 2023).

This first iteration of the AZAHAR simulations does not include AGN feedback, which may play a role in shaping the largest galaxies at high redshifts (Kumari et al. 2024; Hegde et al. 2024; Bennett et al. 2024; Juodžbalis et al. 2024). There is also now more evidence of some Ly α emission driven by QSOs (Farina et al. 2019) and AGN-driven jets (Wang et al. 2023), which we will address with the second generation of AZAHAR models, including supermassive black holes.

We lastly discuss the impact of dust physics as the dust attenuation could have a comparable effect to the IGM attenuation (Xu et al. 2023). Several works have suggested variation of dust attenuation curves at high z (Shen et al. 2020; Mushtaq et al. 2023; Kumari et al. 2024; Sanders et al. 2024). Dust scaling relations are still very unconstrained both in observations and theory. Several works have shown that the dust content is not a linear function of metallicity as we assumed in this work (Rémy-Ruyer et al. 2014; McKinnon et al. 2017). Furthermore, Nelson et al. (2018); Qiu et al. (2019); Vogelsberger et al. (2020); Cullen et al. (2023); Caputi et al. (2024) and others have suggested that the dust content decreases at high z. As we have seen earlier, $Ly\alpha$ emission is very sensitive to dust even at low A_V , due to the resonant scattering. In future, we plan to extend the AZAHAR simulation suite with simulations employing the self-consistent and physically motivated dust model of Dubois et al. (2024), to further explore this problem.

We also emphasize that our modelling of the synthetic observations is still quite simplistic. For example, due to the finite size of the aperture and the surface brightness detection limit, the instruments will not capture the diffuse Ly α emission in the outskirts of galaxies. Bhagwat et al. (2024) have suggested that that the spatial offset between UV and Ly α may cause slit-losses of $\approx 65\%$ and that misplaced slits can result in significantly underestimated Ly α EWs and escape fractions.

5 CONCLUSIONS

In this work we leverage a new suite of cosmological radiative transfer simulations to investigate the spatial and spectral distribution of Ly α emission along the merger sequence of a massive disc galaxy progenitor to study the visibility of reionization-epoch LAEs. The employed models are a subset of simulations from the state-of-theart AZAHAR suite that self-consistently model the frequent mergers

of disc progenitors at high *z* with a range of different physical processes included. We have post-processed our simulations with the Monte-Carlo radiative transfer code RASCAS and convolved the output spectra with published IGM damping wing curves extracted from the SHERWOOD-RELIC reionization simulation suite. We have further convolved the spectra with the JWST LSF to obtain realistic synthetic LAE spectra. We have compared our results with the JADES and other high-*z* observations of LAEs and have tested several assumptions made when inferring the neutral fraction of IGM $x_{H I}$ from the visibility of reionization-epoch LAEs. We summarize our main findings below.

• Galaxy merger sequence: Galaxy merger and feedback cycles drive the evolution of SFR, dust enrichment, and galaxy morphology. These then strongly modulate the intrinsic scattered Ly α and LyC observables, all with large variations between different LOS (Barnes & Haehnelt 2010). Different simulated physics also have a large impact on the spatial distribution and spectral properties of the Ly α emission during the merger sequence. The simulations including cosmic rays show more bursty star formation histories, lower dust content, lower v_{red} and higher escape of Ly α /LyC photons.

• *Red peak of Lya spectra*: All of our simulated LAEs' intrinsic scattered spectra show strongly asymmetric red peaks which can extend beyond 400 km s⁻¹. These extended red wings aid transmission through the IGM leading to significantly larger Ly α EWs compared to Gaussian line profiles.

• Comparison with observations: Variations of the Ly α emission along the merger sequence and along different lines-of-sight of our single galaxy simulated with cosmic ray-driven outflows remarkably reproduce the v_{red} -EW, $f_{esc,Ly\alpha}$ - v_{red} , $f_{esc,Ly\alpha}$ -EW, and $f_{esc,Ly\alpha}$ - $L_{Ly\alpha}$ relations observed in the MUSE and JADES surveys if we assume that there is little or no dust and some moderate slit losses in the JWST observations. We further find that IGM attenuation is the main driver of these relations.

• *LAE-based inference of neutral fraction*: We test the assumptions of Gaussian red peaks commonly adopted. We find that this significantly underestimates EW and v_{red} , with increasing discrepancy for decreasing IGM transmission levels.

• Dependence of visibility on dust and the modelling of galactic outflows: The visibility of LAEs during the EoR is particularly sensitive to the modelling of dust as well as to the physical processes responsible for the occurrence of galactic outflows.

Overall, we confirm the finding of galaxy mergers as the frequent origin of high-*z* LAEs, advocated by W24. We emphasize the necessity to use physically motivated asymmetric $Ly\alpha$ spectra or good observational templates for accurately constraining the reionization history. Despite all these complexities, with JWST pushing LAE observations to higher and higher *z*, interpretations of this large LAE population should ultimately provide valuable clues for how and when the Universe was reionized as well as for the evolution of galaxies in the reionization era.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

YY thanks for discussions with Gareth Jones, Charlotte Simmonds and Callum Witten. YY is supported by an Isaac Newton's studentship from the Cambridge Trust. SMA acknowledges support by a Kavli Institute for Particle Astrophysics and Cosmology (KIPAC) Fellowship. SMA is also supported by the NASA/DLR Stratospheric Observatory for Infrared Astronomy (SOFIA) under the 08_0012 Program. SOFIA is jointly operated by the Universities

Space Research Association, Inc. (USRA), under NASA contract NNA17BF53C, and the Deutsches SOFIA Institut (DSI) under DLR contract 500K0901 to the University of Stuttgart. SMA is also supported by the NASA Astrophysics Decadal Survey Precursor Science (ADSPS) Program (NNH22ZDA001N-ADSPS) with ID 22-ADSPS22-0009 and agreement number 80NSSC23K1585. MGH and DS have been supported by STFC consolidated grants ST/N000927/1 and ST/S000623/1. This research was supported in part by grant NSF PHY-2309135 to the Kavli Institute for Theoretical Physics (KITP). Part of the work has been performed as part of the DAE-STFC collaboration 'Building Indo-UK collaborations towards the Square Kilometre Array' (STFC grant reference ST/Y004191/1). JW gratefully acknowledges support from the Cosmic Dawn Center through the DAWN Fellowship. The Cosmic Dawn Center (DAWN) is funded by the Danish National Research Foundation under grant No. 140. Both the AZAHAR simulation suite and the RASCAS radiative transfer simulations in this work were performed on the DiRAC@Durham facility managed by the Institute for Computational Cosmology on behalf of the STFC DiRAC HPC Facility (www.dirac.ac.uk). The equipment was funded by BEIS capital funding via STFC capital grants ST/P002293/1, ST/R002371/1 and ST/S002502/1, Durham University and STFC operations grant ST/R000832/1. DiRAC is part of the National e-Infrastructure. This work was performed using resources provided by the Cambridge Service for Data Driven Discovery (CSD3) operated by the University of Cambridge Research Computing Service (www.csd3.cam.ac.uk), provided by Dell EMC and Intel using Tier-2 funding from the Engineering and Physical Sciences Research Council (capital grant EP/P020259/1), and DiRAC funding from the Science and Technology Facilities Council (www.dirac.ac.uk).

DATA AVAILABILITY

The data underlying this article will be shared on reasonable request to the corresponding author.

REFERENCES

- Ackermann M., et al., 2012, ApJ, 755, 164
- Armillotta L., Ostriker E. C., Jiang Y.-F., 2021, ApJ, 922, 11
- Armillotta L., Ostriker E. C., Jiang Y.-F., 2022, ApJ, 929, 170
- Armillotta L., Ostriker E. C., Kim C.-G., Jiang Y.-F., 2024, ApJ, 964, 99
- Asthana S., Haehnelt M. G., Kulkarni G., Bolton J. S., Gaikwad P., Keating L. C., Puchwein E., 2024a, arXiv e-prints, p. arXiv:2409.15453
- Asthana S., Haehnelt M. G., Kulkarni G., Aubert D., Bolton J. S., Keating L. C., 2024b, MNRAS, 533, 2843
- Barnes L. A., Haehnelt M. G., 2010, MNRAS, 403, 870
- Barnes L. A., Haehnelt M. G., Tescari E., Viel M., 2011, MNRAS, 416, 1723
- Bennett J. S., Sijacki D., Costa T., Laporte N., Witten C., 2024, MNRAS, 527, 1033
- Bhagwat A., Napolitano L., Pentericci L., Ciardi B., Costa T., 2024, arXiv e-prints, p. arXiv:2408.16063
- Bolan P., et al., 2022, MNRAS, 517, 3263
- Bosman S. E. I., et al., 2022, MNRAS, 514, 55
- Bradač M., et al., 2017, ApJ, 836, L2
- Bruton S., Lin Y.-H., Scarlata C., Hayes M. J., 2023a, ApJ, 949, L40
- Bruton S., Scarlata C., Haardt F., Hayes M. J., Mason C., Morales A. M., Mesinger A., 2023b, ApJ, 953, 29
- Bunker A. J., et al., 2023, A&A, 677, A88
- Cain C., Lopez G., D'Aloisio A., Munoz J. B., Jansen R. A., Windhorst R. A., Gangolli N., 2024, arXiv e-prints, p. arXiv:2409.02989

- Cameron A. J., Katz H., Witten C., Saxena A., Laporte N., Bunker A. J., 2024, MNRAS, 534, 523
- Caputi K. I., et al., 2024, ApJ, 969, 159
- Charlot S., Fall S. M., 1993, ApJ, 415, 580
- Chen Z., Stark D. P., Mason C., Topping M. W., Whitler L., Tang M., Endsley R., Charlot S., 2024, MNRAS, 528, 7052
- Cooke J., Berrier J. C., Barton E. J., Bullock J. S., Wolfe A. M., 2010, MNRAS, 403, 1020
- Costa T., Arrigoni Battaia F., Farina E. P., Keating L. C., Rosdahl J., Kimm T., 2022, MNRAS, 517, 1767
- Cullen F., et al., 2023, MNRAS, 520, 14
- De Barros S., et al., 2017, A&A, 608, A123
- Dijkstra M., Loeb A., 2008, MNRAS, 391, 457
- Dijkstra M., Wyithe J. S. B., 2010, MNRAS, 408, 352
- Dijkstra M., Wyithe J. S. B., 2012, MNRAS, 419, 3181
- Dijkstra M., Lidz A., Wyithe J. S. B., 2007, MNRAS, 377, 1175
- Dome T., Tacchella S., Fialkov A., Ceverino D., Dekel A., Ginzburg O., Lapiner S., Looser T. J., 2024, MNRAS, 527, 2139
- Dubois Y., Commerçon B., 2016, A&A, 585, A138
- Dubois Y., Commerçon B., Marcowith A., Brahimi L., 2019, A&A, 631, A121
- Dubois Y., et al., 2024, A&A, 687, A240
- Endsley R., et al., 2022, MNRAS, 517, 5642
- Farina E. P., et al., 2019, ApJ, 887, 196
- Ferland G. J., Korista K. T., Verner D. A., Ferguson J. W., Kingdon J. B., Verner E. M., 1998, Publications of the Astronomical Society of the Pacific, 110, 761
- Fielding D. B., Bryan G. L., 2022, ApJ, 924, 82
- Fromang S., Hennebelle P., Teyssier R., 2006, A&A, 457, 371
- Gangolli N., D'Aloisio A., Nasir F., Zheng Z., 2021, MNRAS, 501, 5294
- Garel T., Blaizot J., Guiderdoni B., Michel-Dansac L., Hayes M., Verhamme A., 2015, MNRAS, 450, 1279
- Garel T., Blaizot J., Rosdahl J., Michel-Dansac L., Haehnelt M. G., Katz H., Kimm T., Verhamme A., 2021, MNRAS, 504, 1902
- Garel T., Michel-Dansac L., Verhamme A., Mauerhofer V., Katz H., Blaizot J., Leclercq F., Salvignol G., 2024, A&A, 691, A213
- Girichidis P., Pfrommer C., Hanasz M., Naab T., 2020, MNRAS, 491, 993
- Girichidis P., Pfrommer C., Pakmor R., Springel V., 2022, MNRAS, 510, 3917
- Gronke M., et al., 2021, MNRAS, 508, 3697
- Guo F., Oh S. P., 2008, MNRAS, 384, 251
- Gurung-López S., Orsi Á. A., Bonoli S., Baugh C. M., Lacey C. G., 2019, MNRAS, 486, 1882
- Gurung-López S., Orsi Á. A., Bonoli S., Padilla N., Lacey C. G., Baugh C. M., 2020, MNRAS, 491, 3266
- Hamilton D. R., 1940, Physical Review, 58, 122
- Hashimoto T., et al., 2019, PASJ, 71, 71
- Hayes M. J., Scarlata C., 2023, ApJ, 954, L14
- Hegde S., Wyatt M. M., Furlanetto S. R., 2024, J. Cosmology Astropart. Phys., 2024, 025
- Henyey L. G., Greenstein J. L., 1941, ApJ, 93, 70
- Hoag A., et al., 2019, ApJ, 878, 12
- Hopkins P. F., 2023, MNRAS, 518, 5882
- Hu E. M., Cowie L. L., Songaila A., Barger A. J., Rosenwasser B., Wold I. G. B., 2016, ApJ, 825, L7
- Hutchison T. A., et al., 2019, ApJ, 879, 70
- Inoue A. K., Shimizu I., Iwata I., Tanaka M., 2014, MNRAS, 442, 1805
- Inoue A. K., et al., 2016, Science, 352, 1559
- Jiménez-Andrade E. F., et al., 2023, MNRAS, 521, 2326
- Jones G. C., et al., 2024a, arXiv e-prints, p. arXiv:2409.06405
- Jones G. C., et al., 2024b, A&A, 683, A238 Jung I., et al., 2020, ApJ, 904, 144
- Jung I., et al., 2020, ApJ, 967, 73
- Juodžbalis I., et al., 2024, arXiv e-prints, p. arXiv:2403.03872
- Kakiichi K., Gronke M., 2021, ApJ, 908, 30
- Kakiichi K., Dijkstra M., Ciardi B., Graziani L., 2016, MNRAS, 463, 4019
- Katz H., Martin-Alvarez S., Devriendt J., Slyz A., Kimm T., 2019, MNRAS, 484, 2620

- Katz H., et al., 2021, MNRAS, 507, 1254
- Katz H., et al., 2024, arXiv e-prints, p. arXiv:2408.03189
- Keating L. C., Weinberger L. H., Kulkarni G., Haehnelt M. G., Chardin J., Aubert D., 2020, MNRAS, 491, 1736
- Keating L. C., Puchwein E., Bolton J. S., Haehnelt M. G., Kulkarni G., 2024a, MNRAS, 531, L34
- Keating L. C., Bolton J. S., Cullen F., Haehnelt M. G., Puchwein E., Kulkarni G., 2024b, MNRAS, 532, 1646
- Kimm T., Cen R., 2014, ApJ, 788, 121
- Kimm T., Cen R., Devriendt J., Dubois Y., Slyz A., 2015, MNRAS, 451, 2900
- Kimm T., Katz H., Haehnelt M., Rosdahl J., Devriendt J., Slyz A., 2017, MNRAS, 466, 4826
- Kimm T., Haehnelt M., Blaizot J., Katz H., Michel-Dansac L., Garel T., Rosdahl J., Teyssier R., 2018, MNRAS, 475, 4617
- Kimm T., Bieri R., Geen S., Rosdahl J., Blaizot J., Michel-Dansac L., Garel T., 2022, ApJS, 259, 21
- Kulkarni G., Keating L. C., Haehnelt M. G., Bosman S. E. I., Puchwein E., Chardin J., Aubert D., 2019, MNRAS, 485, L24
- Kumari N., et al., 2024, arXiv e-prints, p. arXiv:2406.11997
- Laporte N., et al., 2017, ApJ, 837, L21
- Laursen P., Sommer-Larsen J., Andersen A. C., 2009, ApJ, 704, 1640
- Laursen P., Sommer-Larsen J., Razoumov A. O., 2011, ApJ, 728, 52
- Li A., Draine B. T., 2001, ApJ, 554, 778
- Mainali R., Kollmeier J. A., Stark D. P., Simcoe R. A., Walth G., Newman A. B., Miller D. R., 2017, ApJ, 836, L14
- Maiolino R., et al., 2015, MNRAS, 452, 54
- Martin-Alvarez S., Slyz A., Devriendt J., Gómez-Guijarro C., 2020, MN-RAS, 495, 4475
- Martin-Alvarez S., Katz H., Sijacki D., Devriendt J., Slyz A., 2021, MNRAS, 504, 2517
- Martin-Alvarez S., Sijacki D., Haehnelt M. G., Farcy M., Dubois Y., Belokurov V., Rosdahl J., Lopez-Rodriguez E., 2023, MNRAS, 525, 3806 Martin-Alvarez S., et al., 2024, ApJ, 966, 43
- Mason C. A., Gronke M., 2020, MNRAS, 499, 1395
- Mason C. A., Treu T., Dijkstra M., Mesinger A., Trenti M., Pentericci L., de Barros S., Vanzella E., 2018a, ApJ, 856, 2
- Mason C. A., et al., 2018b, ApJ, 857, L11
- Mason C. A., Naidu R. P., Tacchella S., Leja J., 2019, MNRAS, 489, 2669
- Matthee J., Sobral D., Gronke M., Paulino-Afonso A., Stefanon M., Röttgering H., 2018, A&A, 619, A136
- McKinnon R., Torrey P., Vogelsberger M., Hayward C. C., Marinacci F., 2017, MNRAS, 468, 1505
- Meyer R. A., Laporte N., Ellis R. S., Verhamme A., Garel T., 2021, MNRAS, 500, 558
- Michel-Dansac L., Blaizot J., Garel T., Verhamme A., Kimm T., Trebitsch M., 2020, A&A, 635, A154
- Miralda-Escudé J., 1998, ApJ, 501, 15
- Morishita T., et al., 2023, ApJ, 947, L24
- Morlino G., Caprioli D., 2012, A&A, 538, A81
- Moya-Sierralta C., et al., 2024, arXiv e-prints, p. arXiv:2411.03222
- Mushtaq M., Ceverino D., Klessen R. S., Reissl S., Puttasiddappa P. H., 2023, MNRAS, 525, 4976
- Nakane M., et al., 2024, ApJ, 967, 28
- Napolitano L., et al., 2024, A&A, 688, A106
- Nebrin O., Smith A., Lorinc K., Hörnquist J., Larson Å., Mellema G., Giri S. K., 2024, arXiv e-prints, p. arXiv:2409.19288
- Nelson D., et al., 2018, MNRAS, 475, 624
- Osterbrock D. E., Ferland G. J., 2006, Astrophysics of gaseous nebulae and active galactic nuclei. University Science Books, Mill Valley, CA Ouchi M., et al., 2009, ApJ, 696, 1164
- Ouchi M., Ono Y., Shibuya T., 2020, ARA&A, 58, 617
- Park H., et al., 2021, ApJ, 922, 263
- Pentericci L., et al., 2016, ApJ, 829, L11
- Planck Collaboration et al., 2016, A&A, 596, A108
- Planck Collaboration et al., 2020, A&A, 641, A6
- Power C., Navarro J. F., Jenkins A., Frenk C. S., White S. D. M., Springel V., Stadel J., Quinn T., 2003, MNRAS, 338, 14

- Puchwein E., et al., 2023, MNRAS, 519, 6162
- Qin Y., Wyithe J. S. B., Oesch P. A., Illingworth G. D., Leonova E., Mutch S. J., Naidu R. P., 2022, MNRAS, 510, 3858
- Qiu Y., Mutch S. J., da Cunha E., Poole G. B., Wyithe J. S. B., 2019, MNRAS, 489, 1357
- Rauch M., Becker G. D., Haehnelt M. G., Gauthier J.-R., Ravindranath S., Sargent W. L. W., 2011, MNRAS, 418, 1115
- Rémy-Ruyer A., et al., 2014, A&A, 563, A31
- Rey M. P., Katz H. B., Cameron A. J., Devriendt J., Slyz A., 2024, MNRAS, 528, 5412
- Robertson B. E., 2022, ARA&A, 60, 121
- Robinson H., Wadsley J., 2024, MNRAS, 534, 1420
- Rodríguez Montero F., Martin-Alvarez S., Slyz A., Devriendt J., Dubois Y., Sijacki D., 2024, MNRAS, 530, 3617
- Rosdahl J., Teyssier R., 2015, MNRAS, 449, 4380
- Rosdahl J., Blaizot J., Aubert D., Stranex T., Teyssier R., 2013, MNRAS, 436, 2188
- Rosen A., Bregman J. N., 1995, ApJ, 440, 634
- Sadoun R., Zheng Z., Miralda-Escudé J., 2017, ApJ, 839, 44
- Sampson M. L., Beattie J. R., Krumholz M. R., Crocker R. M., Federrath C., Seta A., 2023, MNRAS, 519, 1503
- Sanati M., Martin-Alvarez S., Schober J., Revaz Y., Slyz A., Devriendt J., 2024, A&A, 690, A59
- Sanders R. L., et al., 2024, arXiv e-prints, p. arXiv:2408.05273
- Saxena A., et al., 2023, A&A, 678, A68
- Saxena A., et al., 2024, A&A, 684, A84
- Schmidt M., 1959, ApJ, 129, 243
- Sharda P., Krumholz M. R., 2022, MNRAS, 509, 1959
- Sharda P., Amarsi A. M., Grasha K., Krumholz M. R., Yong D., Chiaki G., Roy A., Nordlander T., 2023, MNRAS, 518, 3985
- Shen X., et al., 2020, MNRAS, 495, 4747
- Shibuya T., et al., 2014, ApJ, 788, 74
- Simmonds C., et al., 2023, MNRAS, 523, 5468
- Smith A., Safranek-Shrader C., Bromm V., Milosavljević M., 2015, MN-RAS, 449, 4336
- Smith A., Kannan R., Garaldi E., Vogelsberger M., Pakmor R., Springel V., Hernquist L., 2022, MNRAS, 512, 3243
- Sobral D., Matthee J., Darvish B., Schaerer D., Mobasher B., Röttgering H. J. A., Santos S., Hemmati S., 2015, ApJ, 808, 139
- Solimano M., et al., 2022, ApJ, 935, 17
- Songaila A., Hu E. M., Barger A. J., Cowie L. L., Hasinger G., Rosenwasser B., Waters C., 2018, ApJ, 859, 91
- Stark D. P., Ellis R. S., Chiu K., Ouchi M., Bunker A., 2010, MNRAS, 408, 1628
- Stark D. P., Ellis R. S., Ouchi M., 2011, ApJ, 728, L2
- Stark D. P., et al., 2015, MNRAS, 450, 1846
- Stark D. P., et al., 2017, MNRAS, 464, 469
- Tan B., Fielding D. B., 2024, MNRAS, 527, 9683
- Tang M., et al., 2023, MNRAS, 526, 1657
- Tang M., et al., 2024a, MNRAS, 531, 2701
- Tang M., Stark D. P., Ellis R. S., Topping M. W., Mason C., Li Z., Plat A., 2024b, ApJ, 972, 56
- Tang M., Stark D. P., Topping M. W., Mason C., Ellis R. S., 2024c, ApJ, 975, 208
- Teyssier R., 2002, A&A, 385, 337
- Teyssier R., Fromang S., Dormy E., 2006, Journal of Computational Physics, 218, 44
- Tomaselli G. M., Ferrara A., 2021, MNRAS, 504, 89
- Torralba-Torregrosa A., et al., 2024, A&A, 689, A44
- Treu T., Schmidt K. B., Trenti M., Bradley L. D., Stiavelli M., 2013, ApJ, 775, L29
- Trotta R., Jóhannesson G., Moskalenko I. V., Porter T. A., Ruiz De Austri R., Strong A. W., 2011, Astrophysical Journal, 729, 106
- Tweed D., Devriendt J., Blaizot J., Colombi S., Slyz A., 2009, A&A, 506, 647
- Umeda H., Ouchi M., Nakajima K., Harikane Y., Ono Y., Xu Y., Isobe Y., Zhang Y., 2024, ApJ, 971, 124
- Vogelsberger M., et al., 2020, MNRAS, 492, 5167

Wang W., et al., 2023, A&A, 680, A70

- Weinberger L. H., Kulkarni G., Haehnelt M. G., Choudhury T. R., Puchwein E., 2018, MNRAS, 479, 2564
- Weinberger L. H., Haehnelt M. G., Kulkarni G., 2019, MNRAS, 485, 1350
- Weingartner J. C., Draine B. T., 2001, ApJ, 548, 296
- Whitler L. R., Mason C. A., Ren K., Dijkstra M., Mesinger A., Pentericci L., Trenti M., Treu T., 2020, MNRAS, 495, 3602
- Willott C. J., Carilli C. L., Wagg J., Wang R., 2015, ApJ, 807, 180
- Witstok J., et al., 2024a, arXiv e-prints, p. arXiv:2404.05724
- Witstok J., et al., 2024b, arXiv e-prints, p. arXiv:2408.16608
- Witstok J., et al., 2024c, A&A, 682, A40
- Witten C., et al., 2024, Nature Astronomy, 8, 384
- Wood K., Reynolds R. J., 1999, ApJ, 525, 799
- Xu C., et al., 2023, MNRAS, 521, 4356
- Yan M., Sadeghpour H. R., Dalgarno A., 2001, ApJ, 559, 1194
- Yuan Y., Krumholz M. R., Martin C. L., 2023, MNRAS, 518, 4084
- Yuan Y., Martin-Alvarez S., Haehnelt M. G., Garel T., Sijacki D., 2024, MNRAS, 532, 3643
- Yusef-Zadeh F., Morris M., White R. L., 1984, ApJ, 278, 186
- Zheng Z., Cen R., Trac H., Miralda-Escudé J., 2010, ApJ, 716, 574

APPENDIX A: THE IMPACT OF DUST ON LY α EMISSION MAPS OF THE FIDUCIAL RTCRIMHD SIMULATION.

As described in the main text Ly α emission is very sensitive to the presence of dust. To illustrate this further, in Figure A1 we compare maps of the intrinsic Ly α emission and the Ly α emission scattered by the ISM/CGM for our fiducial RTCRiMHD simulation with its fiducial dust model as well as with the dust reduced by a factor of ten and no dust. The strong effect of even very small amounts of dust corresponding to dust attenuation magnitudes $A_V \sim 0.1$ is clearly visible and can reduce Ly α luminosities by factors of 2-50.

APPENDIX B: MORE DETAILED COMPARISON OF THE PHYSICAL PROPERTIES GOVERNING THE LY α EMISSION DURING THE MERGER SEQUENCE IN THE FOUR DIFFERENT SIMULATION RUNS.

In Figure B1 we show maps of H I column density $N_{\rm H~I}$ along the merger sequence for the simulation with different physics implementations. For the simulations including cosmic rays, the three approaching galaxies are in an environment with much lower gas densities in the isolated phase. In the merger and disc phase, the simulations including cosmic rays show more disturbed morphologies and larger numbers of low density channels due to the more efficient galactic winds. In the CR simulations the young stellar particles are also more likely to be located within the escape channels, resulting in larger $f_{\rm esc,LyC}$. We show maps of projected H I-mass weighted metallicity Z along the merger sequence in Figure B2. We clearly see that the strong winds in the CR simulations transport metals out to much larger radii, whereas the metal distributions in the RT and RTiMHD simulations are very compact, efficiently shielding most of the intrinsic Ly α emission.

We show the angular distributions of $N_{\rm H I}$, A_V and $f_{\rm esc,LyC}$ for the different simulations in Figure B3, Figure B4, and Figure B5, respectively. Comparing $N_{\rm H I}$ and $f_{\rm esc,LyC}$ distribution, we see that the stronger outflows in the CR simulations create channels with lower densities, aiding the escape of LyC photons. The A_V distribution are rather isotropic with a high value of ~ 4 in the RT and RTiMHD simulations because of the compact distribution of the dust. In comparison, the CR simulations show anisotropic A_V



Figure A1. The impact of dust content on Ly α emission across the merger sequence for the RTCRiMHD simulation. The first row shows maps of the intrinsic Ly α emission while the second to fourth rows show the intrinsic scattered emission for the fiducial dust content, dust content divided by 10, and no dust content, respectively. In the first row, we mark the simulation name and redshifts on the top left of each panel. From the second to fourth rows, we show the dust model with the values of A_V and Ly α luminosity on the top left of each panel. The unit of $L_{Ly\alpha}$ is erg s⁻¹.

distributions that follow more closely the $N_{\rm H I}$ distributions, but are significantly smoother. The CR simulations also have significantly lower values of A_V .

APPENDIX C: MODELLING OF DAMPING WINGS AND JWST LSF

In this section we show the reionization history and the IGM damping wing predictions of Keating et al. (2024b) in Figure C1. We show the evolution of the neutral fraction on the left and the redshift evolution of the IGM attenuation curves with their 1 σ uncertainties on the right. In the simulation reionization has a midpoint of $z \sim 7.2$ and completes at $z \sim 5.3$. The damping curve has a steep suppression of the transmission due to IGM infall and residual H I within the ionized bubbles that reach to the red of the systemic redshift. The velocities to which zero transmission extends has a large scatter of $\sim 50 - 300$ km s⁻¹, depending on the distance of the galaxy from the infalling bubble wall in velocity space. The attenuation is therefore very sensitive to whether the red peak extends beyond ~ 300 km s⁻¹. We define the IGM transmission curves 1 σ lower and higher than the median as low, and high IGM transmission levels, respectively.

Most of the JWST observations of LAEs (Saxena et al. 2024; Jung et al. 2024; Chen et al. 2024; Witstok et al. 2024a; Jones et al. 2024b; Napolitano et al. 2024) have been conducted in NIRSpec G140M mode¹³. We have convolved the mock spectra from the simulations with the line spread function (LSF) for the G140M mode, following the procedures described in Jones et al. (2024b). We adopt a Gaussian LSF with a width $\sigma = \lambda_{LY\alpha}/(2.355R)$.

We show how IGM damping and JWST LSF affect Ly α spectra in Figure C2. We see that the IGM damping wings completely absorb the blue peak of the Ly α spectra and higher IGM transmission levels generally predict red peaks with a wider profiles and higher flux. The instrumental effects of the JWST G140M mode further smooth the IGM attenuated spectra and the resulting observed spectra are broader and more symmetric, and can can even extend to negative velocities on the blue side. Reducing the amount

¹³ The reader can refer to this JWST website link NIRSpec Dispersers and Filters for the resolving power of JWST observing modes.



Figure B1. Maps of neutral hydrogen column density $N_{\rm H_{1}}$ across the merger sequence for simulation runs with different physics included. Different rows show the results of different simulation runs. The yellow (brown) contours represent column densities of $N_{\rm H_{1}} = 3 \times 10^{20} \rm cm^{-2}$ ($10^{22} \rm cm^{-2}$). The red dots represent young star particles with age $t_{*} < 30$ Myr.

of dust broadens the intrinsic scattered Ly α profile and makes it more asymmetric¹⁴.

This paper has been typeset from a T_EX/I_AT_EX file prepared by the author.

¹⁴ In the rightmost column, the intrinsic scattered Ly α spectra change significantly between the fiducial dust and the no dust case. This is due to the relatively large dust content in this snapshot of the fiducial RTCRiMHD simulation (c.f. Figure B4).



Figure B2. Same as Figure B1, but showing projected maps of H 1-mass weighted metallicity Z.





Figure B3. Angular distribution of neutral hydrogen column density $N_{\rm H_{I}}$, for the same configuration as Figure B1.



Figure B4. Angular distribution of dust attenuation A_V , for the same configuration as Figure B1.

Visibility of LAEs 23



Figure B5. Angular distribution of LyC escpape fraction $f_{esc,LyC}$, for the same configuration as Figure B1.



Figure C1. (a) Reionization history of Keating et al. (2024b). (b) IGM damping wing curves from Keating et al. (2024b). The zero transmission range of the damping wings of different IGM transmission levels extends to different velocities, while at fixed transmission level, the overall transmission fraction decreases at higher redshifts.



Figure C2. The impact of IGM attenuation and JWST LSF on the Ly α spectra, for the RTCRiMHD simulation. We show the same set of snapshots as in Figure A1. From top to bottom we show the results for the fiducial dust content, dust content divided by 10, and no dust content. The blue curves represent intrinsic scattered Ly α spectra. The yellow curves show IGM-attenuated spectra for the median IGM transmission curves, while the lower and upper bounds of the yellow shaded region correspond to low and high IGM transmission levels. The green curves with shaded regions show mock observed spectra where we have convolved the IGM-attenuated spectra with the LSF of the JWST G140M mode.