

# Did IceCube discover Dark Matter around Blazars?

Andrea Giovanni De Marchi,<sup>\*</sup> Alessandro Granelli,<sup>†</sup> Jacopo Nava,<sup>‡</sup> and Filippo Sala<sup>§</sup>  
*Dipartimento di Fisica e Astronomia, Università di Bologna, via Irnerio 46, 40126, Bologna, Italy; and  
INFN, Sezione di Bologna, viale Berti Pichat 6/2, 40127, Bologna, Italy*

Models of blazar jets, that explain observations of their photon spectra, typically predict too few neutrinos to be possibly seen by existing telescopes. In particular, they fall short in reproducing the first neutrino ever detected from a blazar, TXS 0506+056, by IceCube in 2017. We predict larger neutrino fluxes by using the same jet models, extended to include deep inelastic scatterings between protons within the jets and sub-GeV dark matter (DM) around the central black holes of blazars. In this way we succeed in explaining neutrino observations of TXS 0506+056, for DM parameters allowed by all laboratory, direct and indirect searches. Our proposal will be tested by DM searches, as well as by the observation of more neutrinos from blazars. Our findings motivate to implement DM-nuclei interactions in jet models and to improve our knowledge of DM spikes around active galactic nuclei.

**Introduction**— The existence of dark matter (DM) in our Universe is well established, on sub-galactic to cosmological scales. Its constituents, origin and non-gravitational interactions remain today an outstanding mystery and are the object of intense investigation [1]. Active galactic nuclei (AGN) are the most powerful steady luminous sources in the Universe, fueled by supermassive black holes (BHs) in their center [2]. If they feature visible jets of relativistic particles and one of them is in close alignment with our line-of-sight (LOS) then AGN are called blazars [2, 3]. Their properties are still not well understood and the subject of active scrutiny, propelled by many observations of blazar photons and by the tentative detection of correlated photon and neutrino emission from the blazar TXS 0506+056 [4]. Given that extremely high densities of DM are expected to accumulate into “spikes” around black holes [5], and that the nature of both DM and AGN jets is still elusive, it appears natural to inquire what such observations can teach us about DM via AGN and viceversa.

This direction has been pioneered in [6], which studied the DM effects on photons from AGN. It has recently been revived in [7, 8], which proved that the DM fluxes upscattered by blazars’ jets could induce recoils on Earth, that lead to promising sensitivities on Standard Model (SM) interactions of sub-GeV DM. The energy of DM constituents within the Milky Way halo is too low to induce nuclear recoils detectable with the leading “direct detection” experiments, if their mass  $m_{\text{DM}} \lesssim$  few GeV. The best sensitivities in that mass range then come either from new detection techniques of halo DM [9], or from searches with DM [10, 11] or neutrino experiments [12, 13] for the subpopulation of energetic DM that necessarily exist, e.g. DM upscattered by galactic cosmic rays (CRs) [10, 14] or from atmospheric production [15]. While blazar upscattering admittedly suffers from larger astrophysical uncertainties than the latter two mechanisms, it is well worth exploring because it could be the first one leading to DM detection, and because it offers unique experimental opportunities thanks to its distinct

temporal, spatial and energetic properties.

In this letter we explore, for the first time, the impact on blazar neutrinos of DM-SM interactions in blazar jets. This direction is additionally encouraged by existing and planned observations of high-energy blazar neutrinos and by their current understanding. Indeed, while existing models of blazar jets allow to explain their photon emission across a vast range of energies, they typically predict too few neutrinos to be possibly seen by existing telescopes, see e.g. [16]. In particular, different models of TXS 0506+056 jets all predict [17–20] a neutrino flux roughly two orders of magnitude lower than IceCube’s observations, strongly motivating our investigation of its possible DM origin.

**Blazar jet physics and source selection**— Blazars exhibit a distinctive double-peaked spectral energy distribution (SED) of photons [21] that can be attributed to the non-thermal radiative emission from charged particles in the jets as they propagate through magnetic fields and ambient radiation. Typically, the emitting regions, in which the particles in the jets are confined, are considered as spherical *blobs* [22] that move with Lorentz factor  $\Gamma_B$  along the jet axis, the latter being inclined by an angle  $\theta_{\text{LOS}}$  with respect to the LOS. For the particles in the blob, it is customary to consider homogeneous and isotropic power-law energy spectra. Here we shall concentrate on protons  $p$  and express their spectrum as  $d\Gamma'_p/(d\gamma'_p d\Omega') = (\kappa_p/4\pi)\gamma'_p{}^{-\alpha_p}$ , where  $d\Gamma'_p$  is the infinitesimal rate of protons ejected in the blob in the direction  $d\Omega'$  with Lorentz factor  $\gamma'_p$  in the range  $[\gamma'_p, \gamma'_p + d\gamma'_p]$ ,  $\alpha_p$  is the power-law slope, and  $\kappa_p$  is a normalisation constant. Hereafter, primed (unprimed) quantities refer to the blob’s (observer’s) rest frame.

A compelling class of models for the jet emission is that of lepto-hadronic ones, where both electrons and protons are accelerated to extreme velocities and the highest-energy blazar photons are generated via a combination of leptonic and hadronic processes (see, e.g., [23] for a review). By allowing for ultra-relativistic pro-

tons, these models have the intrinsic property to predict high-energy secondary neutrinos from photo-meson and proton-proton interactions. Lepto-hadronic models attracted increasing attention after IceCube reported the first spatial association between neutrino events and a blazar, TXS 0506+056, with a significance larger than  $3\sigma$  [4, 24, 25]. Specifically, there have been two such associations: one related to the single neutrino event in 2017 (IC-170922A) in coincidence with a six-month multi-band flare of TXS 0506+056 [4], and  $\sim 13$  events prior to IC-170922A in 2014/2015 [24], which, however, were not accompanied by an enhanced electromagnetic activity of the aforementioned blazar [26]. Following these events, various hybrid lepto-hadronic models for TXS 0506+056 have been tested against the observed SED and neutrino flux [17–20, 27–36]. While they manage to explain the photon’s SED, they typically predict a too small neutrino flux to explain the observed events, motivating the possibility that they have a different origin (see e.g. [37]). Other blazars have been correlated with astrophysical neutrinos, although with smaller significance compared to TXS 0506+056 (see e.g. [26, 38–46], also [47, 48] for reviews). This motivates lepto-hadronic models as frameworks to describe the whole population of blazars.

In this work we use lepto-hadronic models of blazar jets as an input. For TXS 0506+056 we use first the model of [20] that yields the largest neutrino flux, and then the one of [18, 19]. To investigate whether our findings are peculiar to TXS 0506+056 or more general, we also consider the subset of all the blazars modeled in [49], whose neutrino flux at Earth is constrained by the IceCube stacking analysis in [50]. The study in [50] searched for astrophysical neutrinos from 137 blazars in the first *Fermi*-LAT low-energy catalog (1FLE), using ten years of IceCube muon-neutrino data. After identifying the sources included in both analyses [49, 50], we select AP Librae as a representative one.

The jet parameters that are relevant to our analysis are: the minimal and the maximal boost factors of the protons in the blob frame  $\gamma'_{\min,p}$ ,  $\gamma'_{\max,p}$ ; the spectral index  $\alpha_p$ ; the Lorentz bulk factor  $\Gamma_B$ ; the LOS angle  $\theta_{\text{LOS}}$ ; and the proton luminosity  $L_p = \kappa_p m_p \Gamma_B^2 \int_{\gamma'_{\min,p}}^{\gamma'_{\max,p}} x^{1-\alpha_p} dx$  [8],  $m_p \simeq 0.938$  GeV being the proton mass. The parameters for both TXS 0506+056 and AP Librae are summarised in Table I, together with their values for the redshift  $z$  [51–53], the luminosity distance  $d_L$  (computed assuming standard cosmology [54]), the BH mass [55, 56] and the corresponding Schwarzschild radius  $R_S$ . We impose an exponential suppression of the proton spectrum at energies larger than their maximal ones.

**Dark matter around blazars**— In the proximity of supermassive BHs, like those fueling blazars, DM accumulates into spikes. In [5], Gondolo & Silk (GS) demon-

Parameter	TXS 0506+056	AP Librae
$z$	0.337	0.05
$d_L$ (Mpc)	1774.92	223.7
$M_{\text{BH}}$ ( $M_\odot$ )	$3 \times 10^8$	$3 \times 10^8$
$R_S$ (pc)	$3 \times 10^{-5}$	$3 \times 10^{-5}$
$\Gamma_B$	24.2	4
$\theta_{\text{LOS}}$ ( $^\circ$ )	2.37	14.3
$\alpha_p$	2	1
$\gamma'_{\min,p}$	1	100
$\gamma'_{\max,p}$	$1.6 \times 10^7$	$1.3 \times 10^7$
$L_p$ (erg/s)	$1.85 \times 10^{50}$	$3.18 \times 10^{47}$
$\kappa_p$ ( $\text{s}^{-1} \text{sr}^{-1}$ )	$1.27 \times 10^{49}$	$1.01 \times 10^{42}$

TABLE I. The relevant parameters from lepto-hadronic fits for the blazars TXS 0506+056 [20] and AP Librae [49] used in our calculations. Also listed are the redshift  $z$  [51, 57], the luminosity distance  $d_L$  [54] and the BH mass [55, 56] in solar mass units ( $M_\odot$ ), and the Schwarzschild radius  $R_S$ .

strated that, if the BH evolves adiabatically in the center of a spherical DM halo with density  $\rho_{\text{DM}}^{\text{halo}}(r) = \mathcal{N}r^{-\gamma}$ , the halo grows in its center a spike with density  $\rho_{\text{DM}}^{\text{spike}}(r) = \mathcal{N}R_{\text{sp}}^{-\gamma}(R_{\text{sp}}/r)^{\alpha_{\text{GS}}}$ , with  $\alpha_{\text{GS}} = (9 - 2\gamma)/(4 - \gamma)$ ,  $\mathcal{N}$  a normalisation constant,  $r$  the radial distance from the central BH,  $R_{\text{sp}} \simeq \epsilon(\gamma)(M_{\text{BH}}/\mathcal{N})^{1/(3-\gamma)}$  the extension of the spike and  $\epsilon(\gamma) \approx 0.1$  for  $0.5 \leq \gamma \leq 1.5$  [62]. For the blazars under consideration, we model the total DM profile  $\rho_{\text{DM}}(r)$  as  $\rho_{\text{DM}}(r \geq R_{\text{sp}}) = \rho_{\text{DM}}^{\text{halo}}(r)$  with  $\gamma = 1$ , as for a Navarro-Frenk-White (NFW) distribution [63, 64], whereas  $\rho_{\text{DM}}(2R_S \leq r < R_{\text{sp}}) = g(r)\rho_{\text{DM}}^{\text{spike}}(r)$  with  $\alpha_{\text{GS}} = 7/3$ , and  $g(r) = (1 - 2R_S/r)^{3/2}$  accounting for the inevitable capture of DM onto the BH [5], relativistic effects included [65].

The scarcity of information on the DM distribution around blazars renders the normalisation of the DM profile somewhat arbitrary. In our analysis, we fix  $R_{\text{sp}} = R_\star$ , where  $R_\star \approx 10^6 R_S$  is the typical radius of influence of a BH on stars [66]. This normalisation results in  $\mathcal{N} \simeq 3 \times 10^{-6} M_\odot / R_S^2$  for the blazars under consideration. It ensures that within  $R_\star$  the DM amounts to  $\mathcal{O}(10\%) M_{\text{BH}}$ , without interfering with BH mass estimates [67, 68]. Note that our normalisation results in less DM around the BH than in other DM-AGN studies [6–8, 69–73] and is thus more conservative.

Many effects can influence the formation and evolution of a DM spike, like galaxy mergers [74, 75], the gravitational interaction of stars close to the BH [76, 77], and DM annihilations over the BH lifetime  $t_{\text{BH}}$  [5]. The latter implies that the DM density softens its slope to  $\leq 0.5$  for  $r < r_{\text{ann}}$  [78], where  $r_{\text{ann}}$  is defined by  $\rho_{\text{DM}}(r_{\text{ann}}) = m_{\text{DM}} / (\langle \sigma_{\text{ann}} v_{\text{rel}} \rangle t_{\text{BH}})$ , and  $\langle \sigma_{\text{ann}} v_{\text{rel}} \rangle$  is the DM averaged annihilation cross section times relative velocity.

For our calculations, all the information on the DM distribution ultimately condenses into the following LOS




FIG. 1. Our calculations of the differential neutrino flux, from DIS between protons in a blazar jet and DM around the BH fueling it, are displayed as **black (black dashed) lines** for  $m_{\text{DM}} = 30$  (1) MeV, for the blazars **TXS 0506+056** (left) and **AP Librae** (right). The neutrinos predicted by jet models [20] (TXS 0506+056) and [49] (AP Librae) are displayed as **red lines**. IceCube detections of neutrinos from TXS 0506+056 are displayed as a **blue segment** (2017 event [4], 90% C.L. in energy, best-fit marked by a **star**) and **blue band** (95% C.L.  $\nu_\mu + \bar{\nu}_\mu$  during the 110 days flare 2014/15 [24], which we rescale to 6 months). In both plots we report as **yellow bands**, for comparison, the diffuse  $\nu_\mu + \bar{\nu}_\mu$  detected by IceCube [58] at 95% C.L. The **grey lines** with downward arrows represent the 90% C.L. upper limits from Super-K towards TXS 0506+056 [59] (left), IceCube 1FLE blazar searches Fig. 2 of [50] (right), see also [60], and IceCube using nine-years null-observations of extremely-high-energy (EHE) neutrinos [61] (on the left rescaled to 6 months).

integral [7, 8] (see also [79]):

$$\Sigma_{\text{DM}}^{\text{spike}} \equiv \int_{r_{\text{min}}}^{R_{\text{sp}}} \rho_{\text{DM}}(r') dr', \quad (1)$$

with  $r_{\text{min}}$  being the minimal radial extension of the blazar jet. Admittedly, large uncertainties reside in  $r_{\text{min}}$ , with this having a substantial impact on  $\Sigma_{\text{DM}}^{\text{spike}}$ . We find it then useful to use the GS spike and consider different benchmark cases (BMCs) for  $r_{\text{min}}$ , as a way to effectively account also for astrophysical or DM softening of the spike. In particular, we choose  $r_{\text{min}} = 10^2 R_S$  (BMC I) and  $r_{\text{min}} = 10^4 R_S$  (BMC II), corresponding to distances at which blazar studies expect their jets to be already well-accelerated [49]. Within the adopted normalisation, we find  $\Sigma_{\text{DM}}^{\text{spike}} \simeq 6.9 \times 10^{28}$  ( $1.5 \times 10^{26}$ )  $\text{GeV cm}^{-2}$  for BMC I (BMC II). We check that  $r_{\text{ann}} < r_{\text{min}}$  allows for  $\langle \sigma_{\text{ann}} v_{\text{rel}} \rangle \lesssim 1.4 \times 10^{-25}$  ( $3.1 \times 10^{-30}$ )  $\text{cm}^3 \text{s}^{-1} (m_{\text{DM}}/\text{GeV})$ , for  $t_{\text{BH}} = 10^9$  yr. We provide more details on  $\Sigma_{\text{DM}}^{\text{spike}}$  in section I of the Supplemental Material.

**Neutrino flux from DM-proton scatterings**— If DM interacts with hadrons, protons in the relativistic jets of a blazar can collide with the DM particles along their way. These collisions have a centre-of-mass energy  $\sqrt{s} \sim \sqrt{2m_{\text{DM}}m_p\gamma_p}$ , which can be much larger than a GeV, depending on  $m_{\text{DM}}$ . At these energies, the DM-proton scattering is dominated by the inelastic contribution. When protons scatter inelastically with DM, they disintegrate and generate hadronic showers, with subsequent production of several final-state neutrinos from meson and secondary lepton decays.

We estimate the resulting neutrino flux (per-flavour) at Earth as

$$\frac{d\Phi_\nu}{dE_\nu} \simeq \frac{1}{3} \frac{\Sigma_{\text{DM}}^{\text{spike}}}{m_{\text{DM}} d_L^2} \int_{\gamma_p^{\text{min}}(E_\nu)}^{\gamma_p^{\text{max}}} \frac{d\Gamma_p}{d\gamma_p d\Omega} \Big|_{\theta_{\text{LOS}}} \left\langle \frac{dN_\nu}{dE_\nu} \right\rangle \sigma_{\text{DM}-p}^{\text{DIS}} d\gamma_p, \quad (2)$$

where  $\gamma_p^{\text{min}}(E_\nu)$  is the minimum proton boost factor necessary to produce a neutrino of energy  $E_\nu$ , dependent also on  $m_{\text{DM}}$ ;  $d\Gamma_p/(d\gamma_p d\Omega)$  is the differential proton flux in the observer's frame (see, e.g., [7, 8]);  $\sigma_{\text{DM}-p}^{\text{DIS}}$  is the integrated DM-proton deep inelastic scattering (DIS) cross section; the 1/3 factor accounts for neutrino oscillations over extragalactic distances, which homogenise the neutrino flux among the three flavors;  $\langle dN_\nu/dE_\nu \rangle$  is the number of neutrinos produced per  $E_\nu$  at a given  $s$ , averaged over all the possible scatterings with the quarks, each weighted by its respective differential cross section. To compute  $\langle dN_\nu/dE_\nu \rangle$ , we have implemented the considered model of the DM-proton interaction (see further) in FEYNRULES [80], imported it in MADGRAPH5 [81] and simulated the collisions. We then generated the showering, hadronization and neutrino emission using PYTHIA8 [82]. Due to the extreme energies involved, the outgoing neutrinos in the observer's frame are predominantly collinear with the incoming protons. Hence, we consider only a small cone around the LOS within which  $d\Gamma_p/(d\gamma_p d\Omega) \simeq [d\Gamma_p/(d\gamma_p d\Omega)]_{\theta_{\text{LOS}}}$ .

Our predicted neutrino fluxes are shown in Fig. 1 for TXS 0506+056 and AP Librae. They have both a larger amplitude and a different shape than the neutrino fluxes predicted, independently of DM, by the jet models of



could be first observed via the deviations they induce in the neutrino events from blazars, rather than by looking for the dark matter that is itself upscattered by the blazar as proposed in [7]. In a forthcoming publication we prove that this conclusion still holds when one improves over studies like [7] by considering the same blazars and dark matter models considered here, and larger detectors than XENONnT for the detection of blazar-upscattered dark matter.

Our findings motivate several avenues of investigation. First, blazar neutrinos from dark matter-proton scatterings have not only a potentially substantial amplitude, but also a distinct energy shape. The association of more neutrinos to blazars will then offer an immediate observational ground to test our proposal versus other explanations of those neutrinos. In this sense, it will be intriguing to study the hints of other neutrinos from blazars [26, 38–46], as well as the  $E_\nu \gtrsim \mathcal{O}(10)$  PeV neutrino detected by KM3NeT [94]. On the model-building side, more accurate predictions need the implementation of deep inelastic scatterings at momentum transfer  $\sim$  GeV, like the effect of resonances, and the inclusion of dark matter-proton scatterings in the fits of blazar jets, which would also allow to check that the good astrophysical understanding of blazar photons is preserved. Finally, our conclusions call out loud for a better understanding of dark matter clustering around active galactic nuclei.

Our results suggest the intriguing possibility that the first neutrino detected from a blazar could be the first sign of a non-gravitational dark matter interaction. Progress on the observational and phenomenological sides will tell.

**Acknowledgements**— We thank Michael Campana, Yohei Ema and Jin-Wei Wang for discussions. A.G. is grateful to the Department of Physics at UC San Diego for the hospitality offered during the final stages of this project. J.N. acknowledges hospitality from the Fermilab Theoretical Physics Department during the early stages of this work. This work was supported in part by the European Union’s Horizon research and innovation programme under the Marie Skłodowska-Curie grant agreements No. 860881-HIDDeN and No. 101086085-ASYMMETRY, by COST (European Cooperation in Science and Technology) via the COST Action COSMIC WISPerS CA21106, and by the Italian INFN program on Theoretical Astroparticle Physics.

---

\* andreagiovanni.demarchi@unibo.it

† alessandro.granelli@unibo.it

‡ jacopo.nava2@unibo.it

§ f.sala@unibo.it; FS is on leave from LPTHE, CNRS & Sorbonne Université, Paris, France.

[1] Marco Cirelli, Alessandro Strumia, and Jure Zupan,

*Dark Matter*, (2024), arXiv:2406.01705 [hep-ph].

- [2] P. Padovani *et al.*, *Active galactic nuclei: what’s in a name?* *The Astronomy and Astrophysics Review* **25**, 2 (2017), arXiv:1707.07134 [astro-ph.GA].
- [3] C. Megan Urry and Paolo Padovani, *Unified schemes for radio-loud active galactic nuclei*, *Publications of the Astronomical Society of the Pacific*. **107**, 803 (1995), arXiv:astro-ph/9506063.
- [4] M. G. Aartsen *et al.* (IceCube, Fermi-LAT, MAGIC, AGILE, ASAS-SN, HAWC, H.E.S.S., INTEGRAL, Kanata, Kiso, Kapteyn, Liverpool Telescope, Subaru, Swift NuSTAR, VERITAS, VLA/17B-403), *Multimessenger observations of a flaring blazar coincident with high-energy neutrino IceCube-170922A*, *Science* **361**, eaat1378 (2018), arXiv:1807.08816 [astro-ph.HE].
- [5] Paolo Gondolo and Joseph Silk, *Dark matter annihilation at the galactic center*, *Physical Review Letters* **83**, 1719–1722 (1999), arXiv:astro-ph/9906391.
- [6] Mikhail Gorchtein, Stefano Profumo, and Lorenzo Ubaldi, *Probing Dark Matter with AGN Jets*, *Physical Review D* **82**, 083514 (2010), [Erratum: Phys.Rev.D 84, 069903 (2011)], arXiv:1008.2230 [astro-ph.HE].
- [7] Jin-Wei Wang, Alessandro Granelli, and Piero Ullio, *Direct Detection Constraints on Blazar-Boosted Dark Matter*, *Physical Review Letters* **128**, 221104 (2022), arXiv:2111.13644 [astro-ph.HE].
- [8] Alessandro Granelli, Piero Ullio, and Jin-Wei Wang, *Blazar-boosted dark matter at Super-Kamiokande*, *Journal of Cosmology and Astroparticle Physics* **07**, 013 (2022), arXiv:2202.07598 [astro-ph.HE].
- [9] Rouven Essig *et al.*, *Snowmass2021 Cosmic Frontier: The landscape of low-threshold dark matter direct detection in the next decade*, in *Snowmass 2021* (2022) arXiv:2203.08297 [hep-ph].
- [10] Torsten Bringmann and Maxim Pospelov, *Novel direct detection constraints on light dark matter*, *Physical Review Letters* **122**, 171801 (2019), arXiv:1810.10543 [hep-ph].
- [11] Xuyang Ning *et al.* (PandaX), *Search for Light Dark Matter from the Atmosphere in PandaX-4T*, *Physical Review Letters* **131**, 041001 (2023), arXiv:2301.03010 [hep-ex].
- [12] Yohei Ema, Filippo Sala, and Ryosuke Sato, *Neutrino experiments probe hadrophilic light dark matter*, *SciPost Physics* **10**, 072 (2021), arXiv:2011.01939 [hep-ph].
- [13] K. Abe *et al.* (Super-Kamiokande), *Search for Cosmic-Ray Boosted Sub-GeV Dark Matter Using Recoil Protons at Super-Kamiokande*, *Physical Review Letters* **130**, 031802 (2023), [Erratum: Phys.Rev.Lett. 131, 159903 (2023)], arXiv:2209.14968 [hep-ex].
- [14] Yohei Ema, Filippo Sala, and Ryosuke Sato, *Light Dark Matter at Neutrino Experiments*, *Physical Review Letters* **122**, 181802 (2019), arXiv:1811.00520 [hep-ph].
- [15] James Alvey, Miguel Campos, Malcolm Fairbairn, and Tevong You, *Detecting Light Dark Matter via Inelastic Cosmic Ray Collisions*, *Physical Review Letters* **123**, 261802 (2019), arXiv:1905.05776 [hep-ph].
- [16] Gang Cao, Xiongfei Geng, Jiancheng Wang, and Xiongbang Yang, *Progress in multi-messenger observations and emission models of blazars*, *New Astronomy Reviews* **98**, 101693 (2024).
- [17] Shan Gao, Anatoli Fedynitch, Walter Winter, and Martin Pohl, *Modelling the coincident observation of a high-energy neutrino and a bright blazar flare*, *Nature Astronomy* **3**, 88–92 (2019), arXiv:1807.04275 [astro-ph.HE].

- [18] M. Cerruti, A. Zech, C. Boisson, G. Emery, S. Inoue, and J. P. Lenain, *Leptohadronic single-zone models for the electromagnetic and neutrino emission of TXS 0506+056*, *Monthly Notices of the Royal Astronomical Society* **483**, L12–L16 (2019), [Erratum: *Mon.Not.Roy.Astron.Soc.* 502, L21–L22 (2021)], arXiv:1807.04335 [astro-ph.HE].
- [19] M Cerruti, A Zech, C Boisson, G Emery, S Inoue, and J-P Lenain, *Erratum: Lepto-hadronic single-zone models for the electromagnetic and neutrino emission of TXS 0506+056*, *Monthly Notices of the Royal Astronomical Society: Letters* **502**, L21–L22 (2021).
- [20] A. Keivani *et al.*, *A Multimessenger Picture of the Flaring Blazar TXS 0506+056: implications for High-Energy Neutrino Emission and Cosmic Ray Acceleration*, *The Astrophysical Journal* **864**, 84 (2018), arXiv:1807.04537 [astro-ph.HE].
- [21] Roger Blandford, David Meier, and Anthony Readhead, *Relativistic Jets from Active Galactic Nuclei*, *Annual Review of Astronomy and Astrophysics* **57**, 467–509 (2019), arXiv:1812.06025 [astro-ph.HE].
- [22] Charles D. Dermer and Govind Menon, *High Energy Radiation from Black Holes: Gamma Rays, Cosmic Rays, and Neutrinos* (Princeton University Press, 2009).
- [23] Matteo Cerruti, *Leptonic and Hadronic Radiative Processes in Supermassive-Black-Hole Jets*, *Galaxies* **8**, 72 (2020), arXiv:2012.13302 [astro-ph.HE].
- [24] M. G. Aartsen *et al.* (IceCube), *Neutrino emission from the direction of the blazar TXS 0506+056 prior to the IceCube-170922A alert*, *Science* **361**, 147–151 (2018), arXiv:1807.08794 [astro-ph.HE].
- [25] P. Padovani, P. Giommi, E. Resconi, T. Glauch, B. Arsioli, N. Sahakyan, and M. Huber, *Dissecting the region around IceCube-170922A: the blazar TXS 0506+056 as the first cosmic neutrino source*, *Monthly Notices of the Royal Astronomical Society* **480**, 192–203 (2018), arXiv:1807.04461 [astro-ph.HE].
- [26] S. Garrappa *et al.* (Fermi-LAT, ASAS-SN, IceCube), *Investigation of two Fermi-LAT gamma-ray blazars coincident with high-energy neutrinos detected by IceCube*, *The Astrophysical Journal* **880**, 880:103 (2019), arXiv:1901.10806 [astro-ph.HE].
- [27] S. Ansoldi *et al.* (MAGIC), *The blazar TXS 0506+056 associated with a high-energy neutrino: insights into extragalactic jets and cosmic ray acceleration*, *The Astrophysical Journal Letters* **863**, L10 (2018), arXiv:1807.04300 [astro-ph.HE].
- [28] Ruo-Yu Liu, Kai Wang, Rui Xue, Andrew M. Taylor, Xiang-Yu Wang, Zhuo Li, and Huirong Yan, *Hadronuclear interpretation of a high-energy neutrino event coincident with a blazar flare*, *Physical Review D* **99**, 063008 (2019), arXiv:1807.05113 [astro-ph.HE].
- [29] C. Righi, F. Tavecchio, and S. Inoue, *Neutrino emission from BL Lac objects: the role of radiatively inefficient accretion flows*, *Monthly Notices of the Royal Astronomical Society* **483**, L127–L131 (2019), arXiv:1807.10506 [astro-ph.HE].
- [30] N. Sahakyan, *Lepto-hadronic  $\gamma$ -ray and neutrino emission from the jet of TXS 0506+056*, *The Astrophysical Journal* **866**, 109 (2018), arXiv:1808.05651 [astro-ph.HE].
- [31] Xavier Rodrigues, Shan Gao, Anatoli Fedynitch, Andrea Palladino, and Walter Winter, *Leptohadronic Blazar Models Applied to the 2014–2015 Flare of TXS 0506+056*, *The Astrophysical Journal Letters* **874**, L29 (2019), arXiv:1812.05939 [astro-ph.HE].
- [32] Haocheng Zhang, Ke Fang, Hui Li, Dimitrios Giannios, Markus Böttcher, and Sara Buson, *Probing the Emission Mechanism and Magnetic Field of Neutrino Blazars with Multiwavelength Polarization Signatures*, *The Astrophysical Journal* **876**, 109 (2019), arXiv:1903.01956 [astro-ph.HE].
- [33] Rui Xue, Ruo-Yu Liu, Maria Petropoulou, Foteini Oikonomou, Ze-Rui Wang, Kai Wang, and Xiang-Yu Wang, *A two-zone model for blazar emission: implications for TXS 0506+056 and the neutrino event IceCube-170922A*, (2019), 10.3847/1538-4357/ab4b44, arXiv:1908.10190 [astro-ph.HE].
- [34] Foteini Oikonomou, Kohta Murase, Paolo Padovani, Elisa Resconi, and Peter Mészáros, *High energy neutrino flux from individual blazar flares*, *Monthly Notices of the Royal Astronomical Society* **489**, 4347–4366 (2019), arXiv:1906.05302 [astro-ph.HE].
- [35] Maria Petropoulou *et al.*, *Multi-Epoch Modeling of TXS 0506+056 and Implications for Long-Term High-Energy Neutrino Emission*, *The Astrophysical Journal* **891**, 115 (2020), arXiv:1911.04010 [astro-ph.HE].
- [36] Sargis Gasparyan, Damien Bégué, and Narek Sahakyan, *Time-dependent lepto-hadronic modelling of the emission from blazar jets with SOPRANO: the case of TXS 0506 + 056, 3HSP J095507.9 + 355101, and 3C 279*, *Monthly Notices of the Royal Astronomical Society* **509**, 2102–2121 (2021), arXiv:2110.01549 [astro-ph.HE].
- [37] Arifa Khatee Zathul, Marjon Moulai, Ke Fang, and Francis Halzen, *An NGC 1068-Informed Understanding of Neutrino Emission of the Active Galactic Nucleus TXS 0506+056*, (2024), arXiv:2411.14598 [astro-ph.HE].
- [38] M. Kadler *et al.*, *Coincidence of a high-fluence blazar outburst with a PeV-energy neutrino event*, *Nature Physics* **12**, 807–814 (2016), arXiv:1602.02012 [astro-ph.HE].
- [39] Vaidehi S. Paliya, M. Böttcher, A. Olmo-García, A. Domínguez, A. Gil de Paz, A. Franckowiak, S. Garrappa, and R. Stein, *Multifrequency Observations of the Candidate Neutrino-emitting Blazar BZB J0955+3551*, *The Astrophysical Journal* **902**, 29 (2020), arXiv:2003.06012 [astro-ph.HE].
- [40] Xavier Rodrigues, Simone Garrappa, Shan Gao, Vaidehi S. Paliya, Anna Franckowiak, and Walter Winter, *Multiwavelength and Neutrino Emission from Blazar PKS 1502 + 106*, *The Astrophysical Journal* **912**, 54 (2021), arXiv:2009.04026 [astro-ph.HE].
- [41] Foteini Oikonomou, Maria Petropoulou, Kohta Murase, Aaron Tohuvavohu, Georgios Vasilopoulos, Sara Buson, and Marcos Santander, *Multi-messenger emission from the parsec-scale jet of the flat-spectrum radio quasar coincident with high-energy neutrino IceCube-190730A*, *Journal of Cosmology and Astroparticle Physics* **10**, 082 (2021), arXiv:2107.11437 [astro-ph.HE].
- [42] Neng-Hui Liao, Zhen-Feng Sheng, Ning Jiang, Yu-Ling Chang, Yi-Bo Wang, Dong-Lian Xu, Xin-Wen Shu, Yi-Zhong Fan, and Ting-Gui Wang, *GB6 J2113+1121: A Multiwavelength Flaring  $\gamma$ -Ray Blazar Temporally and Spatially Coincident with the Neutrino Event IceCube-191001A*, *The Astrophysical Journal Letters* **932**, L25 (2022), arXiv:2202.03788 [astro-ph.HE].
- [43] N. Sahakyan, P. Giommi, P. Padovani, M. Petropoulou, D. Bégué, B. Boccardi, and S. Gasparyan, *A multi-messenger study of the blazar PKS 0735+178: a new*

- major neutrino source candidate, *Monthly Notices of the Royal Astronomical Society* **519**, 1396–1408 (2022), arXiv:2204.05060 [astro-ph.HE].
- [44] Xiong Jiang, Neng-Hui Liao, Yi-Bo Wang, Rui Xue, Ning Jiang, and Ting-Gui Wang, *The Awakening of a Blazar at Redshift 2.7 Temporally Coincident with the Arrival of Cospatial Neutrino Event IceCube-201221A*, *The Astrophysical Journal Letters* **965**, L2 (2024), arXiv:2401.12122 [astro-ph.HE].
- [45] Shunhao Ji and Zhongxiang Wang, *PKS 2254+074: A Blazar in Likely Association with the Neutrino Event IceCube-190619A*, (2024), arXiv:2410.14079 [astro-ph.HE].
- [46] Shunhao Ji, Zhongxiang Wang, and Dong Zheng, *PKS 2332–017 and PMN J1916–1519: Candidate Blazar Counterparts to Two High-energy Neutrino Events*, (2024), arXiv:2411.01448 [astro-ph.HE].
- [47] Paolo Giommi and Paolo Padovani, *Astrophysical Neutrinos and Blazars*, *Universe* **7**, 492 (2021), arXiv:2112.06232 [astro-ph.HE].
- [48] Markus Boettcher, Matthew Fu, Timothy Govenor, Quentin King, and Parisa Roustazadeh, *Multiwavelength and Multimessenger Observations of Blazars and Theoretical Modeling: Blazars as Astrophysical Neutrino Sources*, *Acta Physica Polonica Supplement* **15**, 8 (2022), arXiv:2204.12242 [astro-ph.HE].
- [49] Xavier Rodrigues, Vaidehi S. Paliya, Simone Garrappa, Anastasiia Omeliukh, Anna Franckowiak, and Walter Winter, *Leptohadronic multi-messenger modeling of 324 gamma-ray blazars*, *Astronomy & Astrophysics* **681**, A119 (2024), arXiv:2307.13024 [astro-ph.HE].
- [50] R. Abbasi *et al.*, *Search for Astrophysical Neutrinos from 1FLE Blazars with IceCube*, *The Astrophysical Journal* **938**, 38 (2022), arXiv:2207.04946 [astro-ph.HE].
- [51] Simona Paiano, Renato Falomo, Aldo Treves, and Riccardo Scarpa, *The redshift of the BL Lac object TXS 0506+056*, *The Astrophysical Journal Letters* **854**, L32 (2018), arXiv:1802.01939 [astro-ph.GA].
- [52] M. J. Disney, B. A. Peterson, and A. W. Rodgers, *The Redshift and Composite Nature of AP Librae (pks 1514-24)*, *The Astrophysical Journal* **194**, L79 (1974).
- [53] D. Heath Jones, Mike A. Read, Will Saunders, Matthew Colless, Tom Jarrett, Quentin A. Parker, Anthony P. Fairall, Thomas Mauch, Elaine M. Sadler, Fred G. Watson, Donna Burton, Lachlan A. Campbell, Paul Cass, Scott M. Croom, John Dawe, Kristin Fiegert, Leela Frankcombe, Malcolm Hartley, John Huchra, Dionne James, Emma Kirby, Ofer Lahav, John Lucey, Gary A. Mamon, Lesa Moore, Bruce A. Peterson, Sayuri Prior, Dominique Proust, Ken Russell, Vicky Safouris, Ken-ichi Wakamatsu, Eduard Westra, and Mary Williams, *The 6dF Galaxy Survey: final redshift release (DR3) and southern large-scale structures*, *Monthly Notices of the Royal Astronomical Society* **399**, 683–698 (2009), arXiv:0903.5451 [astro-ph.CO].
- [54] S. Navas *et al.* (Particle Data Group), *Review of particle physics*, *Physical Review D* **110**, 030001 (2024).
- [55] P. Padovani, P. Giommi, R. Falomo, F. Oikonomou, M. Petropoulou, T. Glauch, E. Resconi, A. Treves, and S. Paiano, *The spectra of IceCube neutrino (SIN) candidate sources – II. Source characterization*, *Monthly Notices of the Royal Astronomical Society* **510**, 2671–2688 (2022), arXiv:2112.05394 [astro-ph.HE].
- [56] Jong-Hak Woo, C. Megan Urry, Roeland P. van der Marel, Paulina Lira, and Jose Maza, *Black Hole Masses and Host Galaxy Evolution of Radio-Loud Active Galactic Nuclei*, *The Astrophysical Journal* **631**, 762–772 (2005), arXiv:astro-ph/0506316 [astro-ph].
- [57] M. Stickel, J. W. Fried, and H. Kuehr, *The complete sample of 1 Jy BL Lac objects. II. Observational data*, *Astronomy & Astrophysics* **98**, 393–442 (1993).
- [58] R. Abbasi *et al.*, *Improved Characterization of the Astrophysical Muon-neutrino Flux with 9.5 Years of IceCube Data*, *The Astrophysical Journal* **928**, 50 (2022), arXiv:2111.10299 [astro-ph.HE].
- [59] K. Hagiwara *et al.* (Super-Kamiokande), *Search for Astronomical Neutrinos from Blazar TXS 0506+056 in Super-Kamiokande*, *The Astrophysical Journal Letters* **887**, L6 (2019), arXiv:1910.07680 [astro-ph.HE].
- [60] René Reimann, *Search for the sources of the astrophysical high-energy muon-neutrino flux with the IceCube neutrino observatory*, Ph.D. thesis, RWTH Aachen U. (2019).
- [61] M. G. Aartsen *et al.* (IceCube), *Differential limit on the extremely-high-energy cosmic neutrino flux in the presence of astrophysical background from nine years of IceCube data*, *Physical Review D* **98**, 062003 (2018), arXiv:1807.01820 [astro-ph.HE].
- [62] David Merritt, *Single and binary black holes and their influence on nuclear structure*, in *Carnegie Observatories Centennial Symposium. 1. Coevolution of Black Holes and Galaxies* (2003) arXiv:astro-ph/0301257.
- [63] Julio F. Navarro, Carlos S. Frenk, and Simon D. M. White, *The Structure of cold dark matter halos*, *The Astrophysical Journal* **462**, 563–575 (1996), arXiv:astro-ph/9508025.
- [64] Julio F. Navarro, Carlos S. Frenk, and Simon D. M. White, *A Universal density profile from hierarchical clustering*, *The Astrophysical Journal* **490**, 493–508 (1997), arXiv:astro-ph/9611107.
- [65] Laleh Sadeghian, Francesc Ferrer, and Clifford M. Will, *Dark matter distributions around massive black holes: A general relativistic analysis*, *Physical Review D* **88**, 063522 (2013), arXiv:1305.2619 [astro-ph.GA].
- [66] John Kormendy and Luis C. Ho, *Coevolution (Or Not) of Supermassive Black Holes and Host Galaxies*, *Annual Review of Astronomy and Astrophysics* **51**, 511–653 (2013), arXiv:1304.7762 [astro-ph.CO].
- [67] Marzia Labita, Aldo Treves, Renato Falomo, and Michela Uslenghi, *The BH mass of nearby QSOs: a comparison of the bulge luminosity and virial methods*, *Monthly Notices of the Royal Astronomical Society* **373**, 551–560 (2006), arXiv:astro-ph/0609185.
- [68] Zhiyuan Pei, Junhui Fan, Jianghe Yang, Danyi Huang, and Ziyang Li, *The Estimation of Fundamental Physics Parameters for Fermi-LAT Blazars*, *The Astrophysical Journal* **925**, 97 (2022), arXiv:2112.00530 [astro-ph.HE].
- [69] James M. Cline, Shan Gao, Fangyi Guo, Zhongan Lin, Shiyang Liu, Matteo Puel, Phillip Todd, and Tianzhuo Xiao, *Blazar Constraints on Neutrino-Dark Matter Scattering*, *Physical Review Letters* **130**, 091402 (2023), arXiv:2209.02713 [hep-ph].
- [70] Francesc Ferrer, Gonzalo Herrera, and Alejandro Ibarra, *New constraints on the dark matter-neutrino and dark matter-photon scattering cross sections from TXS 0506+056*, *Journal of Cosmology and Astroparticle Physics* **05**, 057 (2023), arXiv:2209.06339 [hep-ph].
- [71] Supriya Bhowmick, Diptimoy Ghosh, and Divya

- Sachdeva, *Blazar boosted dark matter — direct detection constraints on  $\sigma\epsilon\chi$ : role of energy dependent cross sections*, *Journal of Cosmology and Astroparticle Physics* **07**, 039 (2023), arXiv:2301.00209 [hep-ph].
- [72] James M. Cline and Matteo Puel, *NGC 1068 constraints on neutrino-dark matter scattering*, *Journal of Cosmology and Astroparticle Physics* **06**, 004 (2023), arXiv:2301.08756 [hep-ph].
- [73] Gonzalo Herrera and Kohta Murase, *Probing light dark matter through cosmic-ray cooling in active galactic nuclei*, *Physical Review D* **110**, L011701 (2024), arXiv:2307.09460 [hep-ph].
- [74] Piero Ullio, HongSheng Zhao, and Marc Kamionkowski, *A Dark matter spike at the galactic center?* *Physical Review D* **64**, 043504 (2001), arXiv:astro-ph/0101481.
- [75] David Merritt, Milos Milosavljevic, Licia Verde, and Raul Jimenez, *Dark matter spikes and annihilation radiation from the galactic center*, *Physical Review Letters* **88**, 191301 (2002), arXiv:astro-ph/0201376.
- [76] Oleg Y. Gnedin and Joel R. Primack, *Dark Matter Profile in the Galactic Center*, *Physical Review Letters* **93**, 061302 (2004), arXiv:astro-ph/0308385.
- [77] Gianfranco Bertone and David Merritt, *Time-dependent models for dark matter at the Galactic Center*, *Physical Review D* **72**, 103502 (2005), arXiv:astro-ph/0501555.
- [78] Stuart L. Shapiro and Jessie Shelton, *Weak annihilation cusp inside the dark matter spike about a black hole*, *Physical Review D* **93**, 123510 (2016), arXiv:1606.01248 [astro-ph.HE].
- [79] Stefano Profumo, Lorenzo Ubaldi, and Mikhail Gorchtein, *Gamma Rays from Cosmic-Ray Proton Scattering in AGN Jets: the Intra-Cluster Gas vastly outshines Dark Matter*, *Journal of Cosmology and Astroparticle Physics* **04**, 012 (2013), arXiv:1302.1915 [astro-ph.HE].
- [80] Adam Alloul, Neil D. Christensen, Céline Degrande, Claude Duhr, and Benjamin Fuks, *FeynRules 2.0 - A complete toolbox for tree-level phenomenology*, *Computer Physics Communications* **185**, 2250–2300 (2014), arXiv:1310.1921 [hep-ph].
- [81] J. Alwall, R. Frederix, S. Frixione, V. Hirschi, F. Maltoni, O. Mattelaer, H. S. Shao, T. Stelzer, P. Torrielli, and M. Zaro, *The automated computation of tree-level and next-to-leading order differential cross sections, and their matching to parton shower simulations*, *Journal of High Energy Physics* **07**, 079 (2014), arXiv:1405.0301 [hep-ph].
- [82] Christian Bierlich *et al.*, *A comprehensive guide to the physics and usage of PYTHIA 8.3*, *SciPost Phys. Codeb.* **2022**, 8 (2022), arXiv:2203.11601 [hep-ph].
- [83] SuperCDMS Collaboration, *Search for low-mass dark matter via bremsstrahlung radiation and the Migdal effect in SuperCDMS*, *Physical Review D* **107**, 112013 (2023), arXiv:2302.09115 [hep-ex].
- [84] SENSEI Collaboration, *SENSEI: First Direct-Detection Results on sub-GeV Dark Matter from SENSEI at SNO-LAB*, (2023), arXiv:2312.13342 [hep-ex].
- [85] CRESST Collaboration, *First results from the CRESST-III low-mass dark matter program*, *Physical Review D* **100**, 102002 (2019), arXiv:1904.00498 [hep-ex].
- [86] DarkSide Collaboration, *Low-Mass Dark Matter Search with the DarkSide-50 Experiment*, *Physical Review Letters* **121**, 081307 (2018), arXiv:1802.06994 [hep-ex].
- [87] XENON Collaboration, *First Dark Matter Search with Nuclear Recoils from the XENONnT Experiment*, *Physical Review Letters* **131**, 041003 (2023), arXiv:2303.14729 [hep-ex].
- [88] M. Acciarri *et al.* (L3), *Search for new physics in energetic single photon production in  $e^+e^-$  annihilation at the Z resonance*, *Physics Letters B* **412**, 201–209 (1997).
- [89] P. Abreu *et al.* (DELPHI), *Search for neutral heavy leptons produced in Z decays*, *Zeitschrift für Physik C Particles and Fields* **74**, 57–71 (1997), [Erratum: Z.Phys.C 75, 580 (1997)].
- [90] Jeff A. Dror, Robert Lasenby, and Maxim Pospelov, *New constraints on light vectors coupled to anomalous currents*, *Physical Review Letters* **119**, 141803 (2017), arXiv:1705.06726 [hep-ph].
- [91] Miguel Escudero, *Neutrino decoupling beyond the Standard Model: CMB constraints on the Dark Matter mass with a fast and precise  $N_{\text{eff}}$  evaluation*, *Journal of Cosmology and Astroparticle Physics* **02**, 007 (2019), arXiv:1812.05605 [hep-ph].
- [92] Nashwan Sabti, James Alvey, Miguel Escudero, Malcolm Fairbairn, and Diego Blas, *Refined Bounds on MeV-scale Thermal Dark Sectors from BBN and the CMB*, *Journal of Cosmology and Astroparticle Physics* **2020**, 004 (2020), arXiv:1910.01649 [hep-ph].
- [93] Asher Berlin and Nikita Blinov, *Thermal neutrino portal to sub-MeV dark matter*, *Physical Review D* **99**, 095030 (2019), arXiv:1807.04282 [hep-ph].
- [94] J. Coelho (KM3NeT), *Latest results from KM3NeT, Zenodo* (2024), 10.5281/zenodo.12706075.
- [95] Hung-Liang Lai, Marco Guzzi, Joey Huston, Zhao Li, Pavel M. Nadolsky, Jon Pumplin, and C. P. Yuan, *New parton distributions for collider physics*, *Physical Review D* **82**, 074024 (2010), arXiv:1007.2241 [hep-ph].
- [96] P. Cox, M. J. Dolan, and J. Wood, *New Limits on Light Dark Matter-Nucleon Scattering*, (2024), arXiv:2408.12144 [hep-ph].
- [97] Brian Batell, Ayres Freitas, Ahmed Ismail, and David Mckeen, *Probing Light Dark Matter with a Hadrophilic Scalar Mediator*, *Physical Review D* **100**, 095020 (2019), arXiv:1812.05103 [hep-ph].
- [98] Martin Hoferichter, Jacobo Ruiz de Elvira, Bastian Kubis, and Ulf-G. Meißner, *On the role of isospin violation in the pion-nucleon  $\sigma$ -term*, *Physics Letters B* **843**, 138001 (2023), arXiv:2305.07045 [hep-ph].

# Did IceCube discover Dark Matter around Blazars?

(Supplemental Material)

Andrea Giovanni De Marchi, Alessandro Granelli, Jacopo Nava, and Filippo Sala

*Dipartimento di Fisica e Astronomia, Università di Bologna, via Irnerio 46, 40126, Bologna, Italy; and  
INFN, Sezione di Bologna, viale Berti Pichat 6/2, 40127, Bologna, Italy*

## I. MORE DETAILS ON THE DM COLUMN DENSITY

The column density  $\Sigma_{\text{DM}}^{\text{spike}}$  for a GS spike in the absence of DM annihilations can be computed analytically as

$$\Sigma_{\text{DM}}^{\text{spike}} \simeq \frac{\epsilon(\gamma)^{3-\gamma}}{\alpha_{\text{GS}} - 1} \frac{M_{\text{BH}}}{r_{\text{min}}^2} \left( \frac{r_{\text{min}}}{R_{\text{sp}}} \right)^{3-\alpha_{\text{GS}}} \quad (5)$$

where we have used the relation  $\mathcal{N} = M_{\text{BH}}[\epsilon(\gamma)/R_{\text{sp}}]^{3-\gamma}$  [5].

In the presence of DM annihilations, the DM spike gets flattened to a central core. The radius at which the flattening takes place,  $r_{\text{ann}}$ , can be obtained from the relation  $\rho_{\text{DM}}(r_{\text{ann}}) = \rho_{\text{core}} = m_{\text{DM}}/((\sigma_{\text{ann}}v_{\text{rel}})t_{\text{BH}})$ , which gives

$$r_{\text{ann}} = R_{\text{sp}} \begin{cases} \left[ \frac{M_{\text{BH}}\epsilon(\gamma)^{3-\gamma}}{R_{\text{sp}}^3\rho_{\text{core}}} \right]^{1/\alpha_{\text{GS}}} & \text{if } r_{\text{ann}} \leq R_{\text{sp}}, \\ \left[ \frac{M_{\text{BH}}\epsilon(\gamma)^{3-\gamma}}{R_{\text{sp}}^3\rho_{\text{core}}} \right]^{1/\gamma} & \text{if } r_{\text{ann}} > R_{\text{sp}}, \end{cases} \quad (6)$$

The contribution to the column density from the core due to annihilations reads  $\Sigma_{\text{DM}}^{\text{core}} \simeq \rho_{\text{core}}r_{\text{ann}}$ .

Requiring that  $r_{\text{ann}} = r_{\text{min}} < R_{\text{sp}}$  results in the following condition on the annihilation cross section:

$$\langle \sigma_{\text{ann}}v_{\text{rel}} \rangle = \frac{R_{\text{sp}}^3}{t_{\text{BH}}} \frac{m_{\text{DM}}}{M_{\text{BH}}} \frac{1}{\epsilon(\gamma)^{3-\gamma}} \left( \frac{r_{\text{min}}}{R_{\text{sp}}} \right)^{\alpha_{\text{GS}}} \quad (7)$$

Fixing  $t_{\text{BH}} = 10^9 \text{ yr}$ ,  $R_{\text{sp}} = 10^6 R_S$ ,  $\epsilon = 0.1$ ,  $M_{\text{BH}} = 3 \times 10^8 M_{\odot}$ , we get  $\langle \sigma_{\text{ann}}v_{\text{rel}} \rangle \simeq 1.4 \times 10^{-25} \text{ cm}^3 \text{ s}^{-1} (m_{\text{DM}}/\text{GeV})$  for  $r_{\text{min}} = 10^4 R_S$ . Any  $\langle \sigma_{\text{ann}}v_{\text{rel}} \rangle$  below this threshold would lead to a DM column density between the values adopted for BMC I and II. For  $r_{\text{min}}$  and the other values as above we get  $\langle \sigma_{\text{ann}}v_{\text{rel}} \rangle \simeq 3.1 \times 10^{-30} \text{ cm}^3 \text{ s}^{-1} (m_{\text{DM}}/\text{GeV})$ .

## II. COMPUTATION OF THE NEUTRINO FLUX

We consider a proton  $p$  with mass  $m_p$  scattering inelastically off a Dirac fermion  $\chi$ , constituting DM, with mass  $m_{\text{DM}}$ . If the momentum transfer  $Q^2$  is large enough,

the DM particles interacts directly with the quarks that constitute the proton via DIS. We find the following expression for the vector-mediated DM- $p$  DIS cross section (see Eq. (3) for the Lagrangian of the considered interaction):

$$\frac{d\sigma_{\text{DM}-p}^{\text{DIS}}}{dx dy} = \frac{1}{4\pi} \frac{(g_{\chi V})^2 (Q^2)^3}{[(Q^2)^2 - 4m_p^2 m_{\text{DM}}^2 x^2 y^2] (Q^2 + m_V^2)^2} \times \left[ y F_1(x, Q^2) + \frac{1}{xy} \left( 1 - y - \frac{m_p^2 x^2 y^2}{Q^2} \right) F_2(x, Q^2) \right] \quad (8)$$

where we have defined the Lorentz invariant quantities relevant to the DIS as  $y \equiv p_p \cdot q / (p_p \cdot p_{\text{DM}})$  and  $x \equiv Q^2 / (2p_p \cdot q) = Q^2 / [(s - m_p^2 - m_{\text{DM}}^2) y]$ , with  $p_{\text{DM}}$  ( $k_{\text{DM}}$ ) and  $p_q$  the momenta of the initial (final) DM and initial quark, respectively,  $p_p$  that of the incoming proton,  $s = (p_p + p_{\text{DM}})^2$  the squared centre-of-mass energy,  $q = p_{\text{DM}} - k_{\text{DM}}$  the 4-momentum transfer and  $Q^2 = -q^2$ . The  $F$ -functions are given by

$$F_1(x, Q^2) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{a=q, \bar{q}} (g_{aV})^2 f_a(x, Q^2), \quad (9)$$

$$F_2(x, Q^2) = 2x F_1(x, Q^2). \quad (10)$$

with  $f_{q(\bar{q})}^N$  being the parton distribution functions (PDFs) for the (anti)quarks  $q(\bar{q})$ . According to the DM- $p$  interaction model discussed in the main text, we consider the contribution only of the up and down quarks. Note that the example of UV completion that we discussed, where baryon number is gauged and extra fermions are introduced to cancel anomalies [90], predicts a coupling to heavier quarks of the same size of the one to up and down quarks. Including it would lead to a signal larger by a few tens of percent, strengthening our conclusions.

We have implemented our model of Eq. (3) in FEYNRULES and imported it into MADGRAPH5 to simulate the parton level scattering, using the PDF set ‘‘CT10’’ [95]. We generate events for the process  $\chi p \rightarrow \chi + \text{jet}$ , in the  $\chi p$  centre-of-mass frame. Because of the very large  $p_p$  and  $Q^2 \sim \text{few GeV}^2$ , and since  $x$  can reach values of the order of  $Q^2 / (m_{\text{DM}} p_p)$ , it has been important to select PDFs extending to  $x$  as small as  $10^{-8}$  for TXS 0506+056 ( $10^{-7}$  for AP Librae). We have set all of MADGRAPH5’s kinematic cuts to zero, as we are interested in all events that result in neutrinos, regardless of their pseudorapidity or transverse momentum. To avoid any divergent behaviour, MADGRAPH5 imposes a minimum momentum




FIG. 3. Comparison between our analytical computation of the cross section and the numerical evaluation performed by MADGRAPH5. Both are evaluated setting the couplings  $g_\chi = g_q = 1$  and for  $m_\nu = 5$  GeV.

transfer  $Q_{\min}^2 \approx 4$  GeV<sup>2</sup> below which the cross section is set to zero. As a check of our results, we show in Fig. 3 a comparison between our analytical cross section Eq. (8), using the same PDF set “CT10”, with the one computed by MADGRAPH5 at the relevant  $s \gtrsim \mathcal{O}(10^2)$  GeV<sup>2</sup>. The agreement is at the level of roughly 20%, which is more than satisfactory for our purposes. We believe the discrepancy between the two estimates can be attributed to cuts that MADGRAPH5 implements internally and are not captured by the naive integration performed on the analytic cross section. We anyway make use of MADGRAPH5’s estimate of the cross section, as it leads to a negligible underestimation of the flux. Our calculation of the signal is additionally conservative because we generate events at leading order, and thus neglect all contributions from a gluon initial state, which we expect to be non-negligible given the large gluon PDF at small  $x$ . We remind, from the main text, that we have not included the contribution to the scatterings from resonances, which would be relevant at  $Q^2 \sim$  GeV<sup>2</sup> and further increase our signal.

We then pass the parton level scattering events generated by MADGRAPH5 to PYTHIA8, to simulate the hadronization and subsequent decay process. We select the final-state neutrinos and boost them from the  $\chi p$  centre-of-mass frame to the observer’s frame, along the direction of motion of the proton, which we denote as  $\hat{z}$ . The two are related via a Lorentz transformation with boost parameter  $\gamma_{\text{COM}} = (m_\chi + E_p)/\sqrt{s}$ . The energy in the observer’s frame, the  $\hat{z}$  component of the neutrino’s momentum and its angle with respect to the  $\hat{z}$  axis trans-




FIG. 4. Neutrino flux in the case of a monochromatic jet, for two different values of the proton energy. The details of the normalisation are discussed in the text.

form respectively as

$$\begin{aligned} E_\nu &= \gamma_{\text{COM}}(E'_\nu + \beta p'_{\nu,z}), \\ p_{\nu,z} &= \gamma_{\text{COM}}(p'_{\nu,z} + \beta E'_\nu), \\ \cos \theta &= \frac{p_{\nu,z}}{\sqrt{p'^2_\perp + p'^2_{\nu,z}}}, \end{aligned} \quad (11)$$

where the (un)primed quantities refer to the centre-of-mass (observer’s) frame,  $p'_\perp$  is the component of the neutrino’s 3-momentum in the plane perpendicular to  $\hat{z}$ , and  $\beta = \sqrt{1 - 1/\gamma_{\text{COM}}^2}$ . We note that, for the purposes of our computation, there is no need to extract the  $Q^2$  and  $x$  dependence of the final-state neutrino distribution  $dN_\nu/dE_\nu$ , as we only care about the final result of the convolution with the differential cross section, integrated over  $Q^2$  and  $x$ , which is the final output from PYTHIA8. That is, we are only interested in

$$\left\langle \frac{dN_\nu}{dE_\nu} \right\rangle = \int_{x_{\min}}^1 dx \int_{Q_{\min}^2}^{xs} dQ^2 \frac{1}{\sigma_{\text{DM}-p}^{\text{DIS}}} \frac{d^2 \sigma_{\text{DM}-p}^{\text{DIS}}}{dQ^2 dx} \frac{dN_\nu}{dE_\nu}, \quad (12)$$

where  $x_{\min} = Q_{\min}^2/s$  is the minimum fraction of proton momentum that the initial quark should carry to transfer a squared momentum  $Q_{\min}^2$ .

We also expand here on our handling of the angular dependence of the outgoing neutrinos. As mentioned in the main text, after boosting from the centre-of-mass frame to the observer’s frame, the angular distribution is extremely peaked in the direction collinear with the initial proton’s momentum. This is due to the very high boost factor involved and means that the only neutrinos that will reach the Earth come from protons that are already closely aligned with our LOS. For this reason, we restrict our count of emitted neutrinos to a narrow cone around the LOS, defined by an opening angle  $\theta$  such that




FIG. 5. The upper (lower) panel is the same as in Fig. 1 left panel (Fig. 2), but for the lepto-hadronic model of TXS 0506+056 presented in [18, 19] for the 2017 flare.

$1 - 10^{-5} \leq \cos \theta \leq 1$ , or equivalently  $\theta < 0.0045$  rad. Within this small cone, the proton spectrum is practically constant and it is safe to evaluate it in the direction of the LOS.

Finally, to allow for better reproducibility, we show in Fig. 4 the neutrino flux in the case of a monochromatic blazar jet. The proton fluxes in the figure are computed as  $d\Gamma_p / (d\gamma_p d\Omega) = \delta(E_p - E_p^*) m_p L_p / E_p^*$ , with proton luminosity  $L_p = 1.85 \times 10^{50} \text{ ergs}^{-1}$  and  $E_p^* = 10, 100 \text{ PeV}$ . We also fix  $g_\chi g_q = 10^{-3}$  and  $\Sigma_{\text{DM}} = 6.9 \times 10^{28} \text{ GeV cm}^{-2}$ .

### III. NEUTRINO FLUX FROM TXS 0506+056 IN ALTERNATIVE LEPTO-HADRONIC MODEL

We show in Fig. 5 the neutrino flux resulting from DM-proton DIS within the lepto-hadronic model presented in [18, 19]. The best-fit parameters relevant to determine the TXS 0506+056 proton jet spectrum are

[18, 19]:  $\Gamma_B = 20$ ,  $\theta_{\text{LOS}} = 0$ ,  $\alpha_p = 2$ ,  $\gamma'_{\text{min},p} = 1$ ,  $\gamma'_{\text{max},p} = 5.5^* \times 10^7$ ,  $L_p = 2.55^* \times 10^{48} \text{ erg s}^{-1}$ ,  $\kappa_p = 2.39 \times 10^{47}$ . Starred quantities are computed as mean values of the ranges reported in [19]. The results are analogous to the ones discussed in the main text in the context of the lepto-hadronic model of [20]. This proves that the conclusions of our work are robust with respect to the specific lepto-hadronic jet model considered.

### IV. DM-NUCLEON INTERACTION WITH A SCALAR

We now add to the SM a new scalar mediator  $\phi$  with mass  $m_\phi$ , instead of a vector mediator. The interaction Lagrangian coupling  $\phi$  to the first-generation quarks  $q = u, d$  and the DM candidate  $\chi$  is given by

$$\mathcal{L}_\phi = g_{\chi\phi} \bar{\chi}\chi\phi + g_{q\phi} \bar{q}q\phi, \quad (13)$$

We focus on  $m_\phi \simeq \mathcal{O}(1 \text{ GeV})$ , as lighter mediators can be produced by meson decays and are strongly constrained [97]. In our analysis we consider the isoscalar coupling  $g_{u\phi} = g_{d\phi} = g_\phi$ . This model, in addition to BBN and direct detection bounds, which are common to the vector case, is also severely constrained by rare kaon decays [96]. In Fig. 6 we translate our bounds on the couplings for the scalar mediator case into the more familiar bounds on the non-relativistic cross section, which




FIG. 6. DM parameter space of Eqs (13), (14) for  $m_\phi = 5 \text{ GeV}$ . BBN and DD bounds as in Fig. 2, the grey shaded area on the left is excluded by rare kaon decays constraints [96]. For the latter, limits further depend on the coupling of  $\phi$  to the top quark  $g_{t\phi}$ . We report as grey (grey dashed) lines the bounds for  $g_{t\phi} = 0$  ( $g_{t\phi} \neq 0$ ) corresponding to the gluon-coupled (quark-coupled) cases shown in Fig. 2 of [96].

is given by

$$\sigma_{\text{NR}} = \left( \frac{m_p}{m_u} f_u^p + \frac{m_p}{m_d} f_d^p \right)^2 \frac{g_{\chi\phi}^2 g_{u\phi}^2 \mu_{\chi p}^2}{\pi m_\phi^4}, \quad (14)$$

where  $m_{u,d}$  are the up and down quark masses, and  $[(m_p/m_u)f_u^p + (m_p/m_d)f_d^p]^2 \simeq 300$ , with  $f_q^p \equiv m_q \langle p | \bar{q}q | p \rangle / (2m_p^2)$  computed at zero momentum transfer. The latter can be extracted from data and lattice

computations:  $f_u^p \simeq 1.97 \times 10^{-2}$ ,  $f_d^p \simeq 3.83 \times 10^{-2}$  [98]. We find that the scalar mediator scenario cannot account for the measured neutrino flux by TXS 0506+056 due to the severe constraints set by rare kaon decays, unless  $m_{\text{DM}} \lesssim 1$  MeV for BMCI and the scalar mediator does not couple to the top quark. We leave the study of the available parameter space of other DM models for future investigations.