

On the fundamental group of steady gradient Ricci solitons with nonnegative sectional curvature

Yuxing Deng* and Yuehan Hao

ABSTRACT. In this paper, we study the fundamental group of the complete steady gradient Ricci soliton with nonnegative sectional curvature. We prove that the fundamental group of such a Ricci soliton is either trivial or infinite. As a corollary, we show that an n -dimensional complete κ -noncollapsed steady gradient Ricci soliton with nonnegative sectional curvature must be diffeomorphic to \mathbb{R}^n .

1 Introduction

1.1 Introduction

A **Ricci soliton** is a quadruple (M, g, X, λ) consisting of a smooth manifold M , a Riemannian metric g , a smooth vector field X and a real constant λ , if these variables satisfy the equation

$$\text{Ric} + \mathcal{L}_X g = \frac{\lambda}{2} g \quad (1.1)$$

on M , where Ric denotes the Ricci tensor of g , and \mathcal{L} denotes the Lie derivative. For $\lambda = 0$ the Ricci soliton is **steady**, for $\lambda > 0$ it is **shrinking** and for $\lambda < 0$ **expanding**. Up to scaling, we can normalize $\lambda = 0, 1$, or -1 , respectively.

Keywords: Ricci flow, Ricci soliton, fundamental group

*Supported by National Key R&D Program of China 2022YFA1007600.

If the vector field $X = \nabla f$ for some smooth function f on M , then the Ricci soliton is called a **gradient Ricci soliton**. For such a soliton, equation (1.1) simplifies to

$$\text{Ric} + \nabla^2 f = \frac{\lambda}{2}g, \tag{1.2}$$

since $\mathcal{L}_{\nabla f}g = 2\nabla^2 f$. Here ∇^2 denotes the Hessian of f .

In this paper, We will use the notation (M, g, f, λ) to denote a gradient Ricci soliton. If we can determine the **scale** λ and the **potential function** f from the context, then the underlying manifold (M, g) will be often referred to as the Ricci soliton. In addition to certain metric g of M , we simplify the notation to M .

Since a Ricci soliton that arises as the blow-up limit of a compact Ricci flow is κ -noncollapsed. We are interested in κ -noncollapsed Ricci solitons. The notion of κ -noncollapsing is defined as follows.

Definition 1.1 (Noncollapsed manifolds). *Let $\kappa, \rho > 0$. We say that a Riemannian manifold (\mathcal{M}, g) is κ -**noncollapsed on the scale** ρ if for any $x \in \mathcal{M}$ and $r \in (0, \rho)$ satisfying $R \leq r^{-2}$ in $B_r(x)$, we have*

$$\text{Vol}B_r(x) \geq \kappa r^n, \tag{1.3}$$

where $B_r(x)$ is the geodesic ball with the radius r based at x .

If (\mathcal{M}, g) is κ -noncollapsed on the scale ρ for all $\rho \in (0, \infty)$, we say that (\mathcal{M}, g) is κ -**noncollapsed on all scales**.

Ricci solitons play an important role in the singularity analysis of the Ricci flow. Ricci flows generated by shrinking and steady Ricci solitons are special ancient solutions to the Ricci flow. κ -solutions are κ -noncollapsed ancient solutions. The classification of κ -solutions with positive curvature may rely on the classification of shrinking and steady gradient Ricci with positive curvature, especially the rotational symmetry of positively curved steady gradient Ricci solitons. For recent progress on the classification of κ -solutions to the Ricci flow, see [6–10, 15, 19, 27, 39, 40]. In dimension 3, the uniqueness of κ -noncollapsed and non-flat steady gradient Ricci solitons was claimed by Perelman in [44]. Perelman’s claim was confirmed by Brendle in [4]. There are also many works on the higher dimensional κ -noncollapsed steady gradient Ricci solitons, such as [3, 5, 14, 16, 21, 24–26, 28, 42, 51, 52]. Many new examples of steady gradient Ricci solitons have also been found, see [1, 11, 12, 22, 35, 49] and references therein. Due to the steady Ricci solitons constructed by Yi Lai [35], 4-dimensional κ -noncollapsed steady gradient Ricci soliton with nonnegative sectional curvature and positive Ricci curvature is not unique. How to classify these Ricci solitons is still an open problem. Even the topology of 4-dimensional κ -noncollapsed steady gradient Ricci soliton with nonnegative sectional curvature has not been classified yet.

FUNDAMENTAL GROUP OF STEADY GRADIENT RICCI SOLITONS

It is well-known that the topology of manifolds with nonnegative sectional curvature has been studied by Cheeger and Gromoll in [17]. A manifold with nonnegative sectional curvature must be a normal bundle over a soul [17, 48]. For the expanding case, an expanding gradient Ricci soliton with nonnegative Ricci curvature must be diffeomorphic to the Euclidean space, see Lemma 5.5 in [13] or Lemma 2.2 in [18]. For the shrinking case, the topology of a shrinking gradient Ricci soliton with nonnegative sectional curvature is still unknown. It is open whether a 4-dimensional compact shrinking gradient Ricci soliton with positive sectional curvature is diffeomorphic to a quotient of \mathbb{S}^4 or $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^2$. There are also many nontrivial noncompact shrinking gradient Ricci solitons with nonnegative sectional curvature and finite fundamental groups, such as $(\mathbb{S}^2 \times \mathbb{R})/\mathbb{Z}_2$. In this paper, we study the topology of steady gradient Ricci solitons with nonnegative sectional curvature. We get the following result on the fundamental group of steady gradient Ricci solitons.

Theorem 1.2. *Suppose that (M, g, f) is an n -dimensional complete steady gradient Ricci soliton with nonnegative sectional curvature. Then the fundamental group $\pi_1(M)$ of M is either trivial or infinite.*

Moreover, if $\pi_1(M)$ is trivial, then M is diffeomorphic to \mathbb{R}^n .

Bamler [2] has proved that any κ -noncollapsed ancient soliton to the Ricci flow must have a finite fundamental group.

Theorem 1.3 (Theorem 1.1. [2]). *Let $(M, (g_t)_{t \leq 0})$ be a κ -noncollapsed ancient Ricci flow that has complete time-slices and bounded curvature on compact time-intervals. Then the fundamental group $\pi_1(M)$ is finite.*

As an application of Bamler's finiteness theorem and Theorem 1.2. We have

Theorem 1.4. *An n -dimensional κ -noncollapsed complete steady gradient Ricci soliton with nonnegative sectional curvature is diffeomorphic to \mathbb{R}^n .*

By Theorem 1.4, we have the following refinement of Corollary 1.2 by Cao and Xie in [15].

Corollary 1.5. *Let (M^4, g, f) be a 4-dimensional complete noncompact, non-flat, κ -noncollapsed steady gradient Ricci soliton with nonnegative isotropic curvature. Then, either*

- (i) (M^4, g, f) has positive isotropic curvature and positive Ricci curvature, or
- (ii) (M^4, g, f) is isometric to the product $N^3 \times \mathbb{R}$ of the 3D Bryant soliton with the real line.

1.2 Outline

We now outline the main steps involved in the proof of the main result.

In Section 2, we briefly review group actions and the geometry of the universal Riemannian covering of manifolds. Particularly, each compact subgroup of the affine group acting on affine spaces has a fixed point in this space [50]. Moreover, covering automorphism groups, consisting of fixed-point-free isometries, are closely associated with the fundamental groups [36, 37, 45].

In Section 3, we prove that the isometry groups of universal covers based on gradient Ricci solitons with nonnegative sectional curvature preserve the splitting property argued in [30].

In Section 4, in addition to positive Ricci curvature, we argue that such solitons are simply connected. Furthermore, these solitons do not have non-trivial finite quotient manifolds.

In Section 5, we present the proof of Theorem 1.2. Suppose that M is a steady gradient Ricci soliton with nonnegative sectional curvature. Applying the splitting theorem in [30], there is a universal Riemannian covering map $\pi : N^k \times \mathbb{R}^{n-k} \rightarrow M$, where the Ricci curvature on N^k is strictly positive. Then we analyze the behaviour of components of a covering automorphism acting on N^k and \mathbb{R}^{n-k} separately. We shall show that if its covering automorphism group is not trivial, then it must be infinite.

2 Preliminaries

In this section, we recall some results concerning the group actions on manifolds and Riemannian universal coverings.

2.1 Group actions on manifolds

Definition 2.1. *If G is a group and M is a set, a **left action of G on M** is a map $G \times M \rightarrow M$, often written as $(g, x) \mapsto g \cdot x$, which satisfies*

- (i) $g_1 \cdot (g_2 \cdot x) = (g_1 g_2) \cdot x$ for all $g_1, g_2 \in G$ and $x \in M$; and
- (ii) $e \cdot x = x$ for all $x \in M$.

For any $x \in M$, the set of images of x under all elements of the group $G \cdot x = \{g \cdot x : g \in G\} \subseteq M$ is called the **orbit** of x . A **topological group** is a group G with a topology such that the multiplication $G \times G \rightarrow G$, $(x, y) \mapsto xy$ and the inverse $G \rightarrow G$, $x \mapsto x^{-1}$ are continuous. A **Lie group** is a smooth manifold equipped with a group structure such that the multiplication and inversion maps are both smooth.

The following terminology regarding group actions are standard, see [36–38].

Definition 2.2. *Suppose that there is a left action of a group G on a set M .*

- *The action is said to be **free** if the only element of G that fixes any point in M is the identity; that is, $g \cdot x \neq x$ for $g \in G$ and $x \in M$ except $g = e$, where e is the identity element of G .*
- *If M is a smooth manifold, G is a Lie group, and the defining map $G \times M \rightarrow M$ is smooth, then the action is said to be a **smooth action**.*
- *A continuous left action of a Lie group G on a smooth manifold M is said to be a **proper action** if the map $G \times M \rightarrow M \times M$ given by $(g, x) \mapsto (g \cdot x, x)$ is a proper map; that is, the pre-image of each compact set is compact.*
- *If in addition M is equipped with a Riemannian metric, the action is said to be an **isometric action** if the map $x \mapsto \varphi \cdot x$ is an isometry for each $\varphi \in G$.*

We consider the Lie groups with the properties above due to the following theorem.

Theorem 2.3 (Theorem 21.10. [37]). *Suppose G is a Lie group acting smoothly, freely and properly on a smooth manifold M . Then the orbit space M/G is a topological manifold of dimension equal to $\dim M - \dim G$ and has a unique smooth structure with the property that the quotient map $\pi : M \rightarrow M/G$ is a smooth submersion.*

The following lemma gives two alternative characterizations of proper actions.

Lemma 2.4 (Proposition 21.5. [37]). *Let M be a smooth manifold, and let G be a Lie group acting continuously on M . The following are equivalent:*

- (a) *The action is proper.*
- (b) *If $\{x_i\}$ is a sequence in M and $\{g_i\}$ is a sequence in G such that both $\{x_i\}$ and $\{g_i \cdot x_i\}$ converge, then a subsequence of $\{g_i\}$ converges.*
- (c) *For every compact subset $K \subseteq M$, the set $G_K = \{g \in G : (g \cdot K) \cap K \neq \emptyset\}$ is compact.*

By Lemma 2.4, it is easy to check that if G acts properly on M , then a finite subgroup of G also acts properly on M . Hence, we have the following corollary.

Corollary 2.5. *Suppose a Lie group G acts smoothly, freely and properly on a smooth manifold M . If H is a finite subgroup of G , then H also acts smoothly, freely and properly on M .*

Proof. By definition, it is easy to check that H acts freely and smoothly on M . Since H is finite, any subset of H is also finite. For any compact set $K \subseteq M$, $H_K \subseteq H$ is finite and therefore is compact. By Lemma 2.4 (c), H also acts properly. \square

In the end of this subsection, we recall from [50] the characterization of compact group actions on affine spaces.

Lemma 2.6 (Lemma 3.1.2 [50]). *Let $A(n)$ be the affine group acting on affine spaces A^n , and let B be a compact subgroup of $A(n)$. Then B has a fixed point on A^n .*

2.2 Riemannian covering

Given a Riemannian covering map $\pi : (\tilde{M}, \tilde{g}) \rightarrow (M, g)$. There is an important Lie group which acts on \tilde{M} and is closely related to π .

Definition 2.7 (Covering automorphism groups of Riemannian covering maps). *Let (\tilde{M}, \tilde{g}) and (M, g) be Riemannian manifolds and $\pi : \tilde{M} \rightarrow M$ be a Riemannian covering map. A **covering automorphism** (or **deck transformation**) of π is an isomorphism $\varphi : \tilde{M} \rightarrow \tilde{M}$ such that $\pi \circ \varphi = \pi$; the set of all covering automorphisms is a group acting on \tilde{M} on the left, under composition of isomorphisms, called the **covering automorphism group** (or **deck transformation group**) and denoted by $\text{Aut}_\pi(\tilde{M})$.*

Let (M, g) be a Riemannian manifold. An isometry from (M, g) to itself is called an **isometry** of (M, g) . The set of all isometries of (M, g) is a group under compositions, called the **isometry group** of (M, g) , denoted by $\text{Iso}(M, g)$. We may also denote $\text{Iso}(M, g)$ by $\text{Iso}(M)$ for convenience if it will not cause misunderstanding. Given a Riemannian covering map $\pi : (\tilde{M}, \tilde{g}) \rightarrow (M, g)$ with $\tilde{g} = \pi^*g$. Obviously, the covering automorphism group $\text{Aut}_\pi(\tilde{M})$ is a subgroup of the isometry group $\text{Iso}(\tilde{M}, \tilde{g})$. In general, a subgroup of $\text{Iso}(\tilde{M}, \tilde{g})$ may not act smoothly, freely and properly on \tilde{M} . However, $\text{Aut}_\pi(\tilde{M})$ does.

Proposition 2.8 ([37, 45]). *Let $\pi : (\tilde{M}, \tilde{g}) \rightarrow (M, g)$ be a Riemannian covering map over a Riemannian manifold M . $\text{Aut}_\pi(\tilde{M})$ is a discrete Lie group acting smoothly, freely, properly and isometrically on \tilde{M} .*

$\text{Aut}_\pi(\tilde{M})$ is closely related to the fundamental groups of \tilde{M} and M . See the covering automorphism group structure theorem below.

Theorem 2.9 (Theorem 12.7. [36]). *Suppose that $\pi : \tilde{M} \rightarrow M$ is a covering map, $\tilde{x} \in \tilde{M}$, and $x = \pi(\tilde{x})$. Then, we have:*

$$\text{Aut}_\pi(\tilde{M}) \cong \frac{N_{\pi_1(M, x)}(\pi_*\pi_1(\tilde{M}, \tilde{x}))}{\pi_*\pi_1(\tilde{M}, \tilde{x})}, \quad (2.1)$$

where $\pi_* : \pi_1(\tilde{M}, \tilde{x}) \rightarrow \pi_1(M, x)$ denotes the induced homomorphism by π .

$N_{\pi_1(M, x)}(\pi_*\pi_1(\tilde{M}, \tilde{x}))$ in (2.1) is the normalizer of $\pi_*\pi_1(\tilde{M}, \tilde{x})$ in $\pi_1(M, x)$. If $\pi_1(\tilde{M}, \tilde{x})$ is trivial, then $N_{\pi_1(M, x)}(\pi_*\pi_1(\tilde{M}, \tilde{x})) = \pi_1(M, x)$. Consequently,

Theorem 2.10 (Corollary 12.9. [36]). *Suppose that $\pi : \tilde{M} \rightarrow M$ is a universal covering map, $\tilde{x} \in \tilde{M}$, and $x = \pi(\tilde{x})$. Then, we have:*

$$\text{Aut}_\pi(\tilde{M}) \cong \pi_1(M, x).$$

As a result of Proposition 2.8 and Theorem 2.10, the following holds.

Proposition 2.11 (Corollary 2.33. [38]). *Suppose (M, g) and (\tilde{M}, \tilde{g}) are Riemannian manifolds, and $\pi : \tilde{M} \rightarrow M$ is a universal Riemannian covering map. Then M is isometric to $\tilde{M}/\text{Aut}_\pi(\tilde{M})$.*

3 The isometry group of the universal cover

Given a steady gradient Ricci soliton (M, g, f) . Suppose (\tilde{M}, \tilde{g}) is the universal cover of (M, g) . By Theorem 2.10,

$$\pi_1(M, x) \cong \text{Aut}_\pi(\tilde{M}) \subseteq \text{Iso}(\tilde{M}, \tilde{g})$$

So, to figure out the structure of $\pi_1(M, x)$, we need to study $\text{Iso}(\tilde{M}, \tilde{g})$. In [30], Guan-Lv-Xu prove a splitting theorem for gradient Ricci solitons with nonnegative sectional curvature.

Theorem 3.1 (Theorem 1.1. [30]). *Let (M^n, g, f) be a gradient Ricci soliton. If g has nonnegative sectional curvature, then the rank of the Ricci curvature is constant. Thus, either the Ricci curvature is strictly positive or the universal covering $(\tilde{M}, \tilde{g}) = (N^k, h) \times \mathbb{R}^{n-k}$ splits isometrically and (N^k, h) has strictly positive Ricci curvature.*

We need to study the relations of $\text{Iso}(N, g)$, $\text{Iso}(\mathbb{R}^k)$ and $\text{Iso}(\tilde{M}, \tilde{g})$. We first recall the following notion of holonomy group.

Definition 3.2 (Riemannian holonomy groups [33]). *Let (M, g) be a Riemannian manifold of dimension n , TM be the tangent bundle over M and ∇ be the Levi-Civita connection of g on TM . Fix a point $x \in M$. Given a loop γ based at x (i.e. a piecewise smooth path $\gamma : [0, 1] \rightarrow M$ with $\gamma(0) = \gamma(1) = x$), we let P_γ denote the parallel transportation of ∇ along γ and $T_x M$ denote the fiber over x .*

*Then, $P_\gamma : T_x M \rightarrow T_x M$ is an invertible linear transformation, hence an element of $GL(T_x M) \cong GL(n, \mathbb{R})$. The **Riemannian holonomy group of (M, g) based at x** is defined by*

$$\text{Hol}_x(g) := \{P_\gamma : \gamma \text{ is a loop based at } x\} \subseteq GL(T_x M). \quad (3.1)$$

The definition of canonical decompositions of the tangent space $T_x M$ [34] is given as follows.

Definition 3.3 (Canonical decompositions). *With the condition of Definition 3.2, let $T_x^{(0)}$ be the set of elements in $T_x M$ which are left fixed by $\text{Hol}_x(g)$. It is the maximal linear subspace of $T_x M$ on which $\text{Hol}_x(g)$ acts trivially. Let T'_x be the orthonormal complement of $T_x^{(0)}$ in $T_x M$. It is invariant by $\text{Hol}_x(g)$ and can be decomposed into a direct sum $T'_x = \sum_{i=1}^k T_x^{(i)}$ of mutually orthogonal, invariant and irreducible subspaces. We shall call $T_x M = \sum_{i=0}^k T_x^{(i)}$ a **canonical decomposition** (or **de Rham decomposition**) of $T_x M$.*

Let $T^{(i)}$ be the involutive distribution on M obtained by parallel transformation of $T_x^{(i)}$ for each $i = 1, 2, \dots, k$. Theorem 5.4 of Chapter IV in [34] states that the maximal integral manifold M_0 of $T^{(0)}$ through a fixed point is locally Euclidean. Moreover, if M is simply connected, then a canonical decomposition $T_x M = \sum_{i=0}^k T_x^{(i)}$ is unique up to an order. Subsequently, the de Rham decomposition theorem shows that if M is simply connected and complete, then M is isometric to the direct product of the maximal integral manifolds of the parallel distributions of $T^{(i)}$, $i = 1, 2, \dots, k$, respectively, see [23, 34].

Lemma 3.4 (de Rham). *Let (M, g) be a simply connected and complete Riemannian manifold. Then, up to isometries and permutations of factors, there exists a unique decomposition as a direct product*

$$(M, g) = \prod_{i=0}^k (M_i, g_i), \quad (3.2)$$

where (M_0, g_0) is a Euclidean space (possibly a point) and all (M_i, g_i) , $i = 1, \dots, k$, are simply connected, complete and irreducible Riemannian manifolds.

Given the de Rham decomposition of (M, g) , Hano has proved the following relation between the isometry group of M and those of M_i .

Theorem 3.5 (Theorem 1 [32]). *Let (M, g) be a simply connected complete Riemannian manifold and $(M, g) = (M_1, g_1) \times (M_2, g_2) \times \dots \times (M_k, g_k)$ be the de Rham decomposition of (M, g) . Then,*

$$\text{Iso}_0(M, g) \cong \text{Iso}_0(M_1, g_1) \times \text{Iso}_0(M_2, g_2) \times \dots \times \text{Iso}_0(M_k, g_k), \quad (3.3)$$

where $\text{Iso}_0(M, g)$ and $\text{Iso}_0(M_i, g_i)$ are the connected components of the identity in $\text{Iso}(M, g)$ and $\text{Iso}(M_i, g_i)$ respectively.

FUNDAMENTAL GROUP OF STEADY GRADIENT RICCI SOLITONS

In general, $\text{Iso}_0(M, g)$ and $\text{Iso}_0(M_i, g_i)$ in (3.3) can not be replaced by $\text{Iso}(M, g)$ and $\text{Iso}(M_i, g_i)$. For example, given any irreducible Riemannian manifold (M, g) , $\text{Iso}(M, g) \times \text{Iso}(M, g)$ is a proper subgroup of $\text{Iso}(M \times M, g \oplus g)$. In view of Theorem 3.1, we can obtain the following decomposition result due to the difference between the curvatures on N^k and \mathbb{R}^{n-k} .

Proposition 3.6. *Suppose that N is a simply connected complete Riemannian manifold with positive Ricci curvature. Then, we have $\text{Iso}(N \times \mathbb{R}^n) = \text{Iso}(N) \times \text{Iso}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ for $n \in \mathbb{N}$.*

Proof. Let $\varphi : N \times \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow N \times \mathbb{R}^n$ be an isometry of $N \times \mathbb{R}^n$. Fix a point $(x, y) \in N \times \mathbb{R}^n$ and consider the differential of φ :

$$d\varphi_{(x,y)} : T_{(x,y)}(N \times \mathbb{R}^n) \cong T_x N \oplus T_y \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow T_{(x',y')}(N \times \mathbb{R}^n) \cong T_{x'} N \oplus T_{y'} \mathbb{R}^n, \quad (3.4)$$

where $(x', y') = \varphi(x, y)$.

Claim 1. *$d\varphi$ sends $T_x N$ to $T_{x'} N$ and $T_y \mathbb{R}^n$ to $T_{y'} \mathbb{R}^n$.*

Proof of claim 1. Recall from Proposition 2.5 of Chapter IV in [34] that if φ is an isometry of $N \times \mathbb{R}^n$, then the differential of φ commutes with parallel transports. More precisely, if γ is a loop based at (x, y) in $N \times \mathbb{R}^n$, then the following diagram is commutative:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} T_{(x,y)}(N \times \mathbb{R}^n) & \xrightarrow{\gamma} & T_{(x,y)}(N \times \mathbb{R}^n) \\ \downarrow d\varphi & & \downarrow d\varphi \\ T_{(x',y')}(N \times \mathbb{R}^n) & \xrightarrow{\gamma'} & T_{(x',y')}(N \times \mathbb{R}^n), \end{array} \quad (3.5)$$

where $\gamma' = \varphi(\gamma)$. Regarding this, $d\varphi$ sends a canonical decomposition of $T_{(x,y)}(N \times \mathbb{R}^n)$ to a canonical decomposition of $T_{(x',y')}(N \times \mathbb{R}^n)$.

Note that sectional curvatures are invariant under isometries. Since N has positive Ricci curvature and lemma 3.4, $d\varphi$ sends $T_x N$ to $T_{x'} N$ and $T_y \mathbb{R}^n$ to $T_{y'} \mathbb{R}^n$ separately. \square

As an isometry maps geodesics to geodesics, φ sends totally geodesic submanifolds $N \times \{y\}$ to $N \times \{y'\}$ and $\{x\} \times \mathbb{R}^n$ to $\{x'\} \times \mathbb{R}^n$. Suppose π_N and $\pi_{\mathbb{R}^n}$ are the projection from $N \times \mathbb{R}^n$ onto N and \mathbb{R}^n , respectively. We define $\varphi_1 : N \rightarrow N$ by $\varphi_1(u) = \pi_N \circ \varphi(u, y)$ and $\varphi_2 : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ by $\varphi_2(v) = \pi_{\mathbb{R}^n} \circ \varphi(x, v)$, where $u \in N$ and $v \in \mathbb{R}^n$.

Considering that $\varphi_1 \in \text{Iso}(N)$ and $\varphi_2 \in \text{Iso}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, (φ_1, φ_2) is a product isometry of $N \times \mathbb{R}^n$. Isometries φ and (φ_1, φ_2) satisfy $\varphi(x, y) = (\varphi_1, \varphi_2)(x, y)$ and $d\varphi_{(x,y)} = d(\varphi_1, \varphi_2)_{(x,y)}$. Hence they coincide globally and we complete the proof. \square

4 Steady gradient Ricci solitons with nonnegative sectional curvature and positive Ricci curvature

In this section, we will study steady gradient Ricci solitons with nonnegative sectional curvature and positive Ricci curvature. If the scalar curvature attains its maximum and the Ricci curvature is positive, then M^n is diffeomorphic to \mathbb{R}^n [14]. On a steady gradient Ricci soliton, the scalar curvature attains its maximum if and only if the potential f has a critical point x_0 , i.e., $|\nabla f|(x_0) = 0$. It is still unknown whether there exists a critical point on M , even if we assume the sectional curvature is nonnegative. Given a steady gradient Ricci soliton (M^n, g, f) , denote the level set of a function f on M , by $M_s := \{x \in M : f(x) = s\}$. When M_s is compact, we show the existence of the critical point in subsection 4.1. When M_s is noncompact, we will show that the level set is diffeomorphic to \mathbb{R}^{n-1} in subsection 4.2. We will also show that a steady gradient Ricci soliton with nonnegative sectional curvature and positive Ricci curvature has only trivial quotients in subsection 4.3.

4.1 Compact level sets of f

Counting the ends of a noncompact manifold is an important problem in the study of noncompact manifolds. For the definition of ends, one may refer to [20]. In [29], Gromoll and Meyer have proved that a complete open manifold M of positive Ricci curvature has only one end. Munteanu and Wang generalize Gromoll and Meyer's results on steady gradient Ricci solitons. They prove that a steady gradient Ricci soliton is either connected at infinity or isometric to $N \times \mathbb{R}$, where N is a compact Ricci flat manifold (See Theorem 4.2 in [43]). This result gives a strong restriction of the topology of steady gradient Ricci solitons.

Lemma 4.1. *Suppose (M, g, f) is an n -dimensional complete steady gradient Ricci soliton. Assume that f has a compact level set M_s for some $s \in f(M)$. Then, there exists a point $x \in M$, such that $|\nabla f|(x) = 0$.*

Proof. We prove this by contradiction. Suppose $|\nabla f|(x) \neq 0, \forall x \in M$. Then, f must be an open map. Hence, $f(M)$ is a connected and open subset of \mathbb{R} . We may assume that $f(M) = (a, b)$, where $-\infty \leq a < b \leq +\infty$. Note that $s \in (a, b)$ and $|\nabla f|$ is not vanishing everywhere. Then, M is diffeomorphic to $(a, b) \times M_s$. Hence, M has two ends when M_s is compact. It contradicts Theorem 4.2 in [43]. \square

By Lemma 4.1, we can show that M is diffeomorphic to the Euclidean space when the level set is compact.

Theorem 4.2. *Suppose (M, g, f) is an n -dimensional complete steady gradient Ricci soliton with positive Ricci curvature. If M_s is compact for some $s \in f(M)$, then, M is diffeomorphic to \mathbb{R}^n .*

Proof. Hamilton [31] has proved that a steady gradient Ricci soliton satisfies

$$R + |\nabla f|^2 = C, \quad (4.1)$$

where C is a constant. Here R denotes the scalar curvature. By lemma 4.1, f has a critical point x_0 . So, the scalar curvature attains its maximum at point x_0 by (4.1). By Proposition 2.3 in [14], M is diffeomorphic to \mathbb{R}^n . \square

4.2 Noncompact level sets of f

According to the above, if an n -dimensional complete steady gradient Ricci soliton (M, g, f) with positive Ricci curvature has no critical point, then all level sets of f are noncompact.

Theorem 4.3. *Suppose (M, g, f) is an n -dimensional complete steady gradient Ricci soliton with nonnegative sectional curvature and positive Ricci curvature. If M_s is noncompact for some $s \in f(M)$, then M is diffeomorphic to \mathbb{R}^n .*

Proof. If there is a point $x_0 \in M$ such that $|\nabla f|(x_0) = 0$, then we can show that M is diffeomorphic to \mathbb{R}^n by the same argument as in the proof of Theorem 4.2.

Now, we assume that $|\nabla f|$ is not vanishing everywhere. Note that M_s is a smooth manifold due to the regular value theorem for any $s \in f(M)$. Then, we follow the computation in the Lemma 2.1 of [16]. The second fundamental form II of M_s is determined by

$$\text{II}(X, Y) := \langle \nabla_X \mathbf{n}, Y \rangle = \frac{1}{|\nabla f|} \text{Ric}(X, Y) \quad (4.2)$$

for any X, Y tangent to M_s , where $\mathbf{n} = -\frac{\nabla f}{|\nabla f|}$. Then $\text{II} > 0$. Moreover,

$$\text{sec}_{M_s}(X, Y) = \text{sec}_M(X, Y) + \text{II}(X, X)\text{II}(Y, Y) - (\text{II}(X, Y))^2 > 0, \quad (4.3)$$

where sec denotes sectional curvature, and X, Y are unit vectors tangent to M_s with $X \perp Y$. More precisely, M_s is an $(n - 1)$ -manifold with positive sectional curvature. Since Lemma 4.1 implies that all level sets of f are noncompact, M_s is diffeomorphic to an Euclidean space. Hence, we obtain that M is diffeomorphic to \mathbb{R}^n followed by the same argument as in the proof of Lemma 4.1. \square

As a corollary of Theorem 4.2 and Theorem 4.3, we obtain the following main result of this section.

Corollary 4.4. *Suppose (M, g, f) is an n -dimensional complete steady gradient Ricci soliton with nonnegative sectional curvature and positive Ricci curvature. Then, M is diffeomorphic to \mathbb{R}^n .*

Remark 4.5. *For $n = 4$, Theorem 4.3 and Corollary 4.4 still hold if we only assume (M, g, f) has positive Ricci curvature. Note that any 3-dimensional non-compact manifold with positive Ricci curvature is diffeomorphic to \mathbb{R}^3 (cf. [41, 47]). So, the argument in Theorem 4.3 and Corollary 4.4 remains true.*

4.3 Quotients of steady gradient Ricci solitons

To prove Theorem 1.2, we still need to study the quotients of steady gradient Ricci solitons with nonnegative sectional curvature and positive Ricci curvature.

Theorem 4.6. *Let (M, g, f) be a complete gradient Ricci soliton. Suppose G is a finite subgroup of $\text{Iso}(M, g)$. Then, one of the following holds:*

- (1) (M, g) locally splits off a line;
- (2) $f(x) = f(\phi(x))$ for all $x \in M$ and $\phi \in G$.

Proof. Let $\phi \in G$ and $V_1, V_2 \in T_x M$ for some $x \in M$. Assume $y = \phi(x)$. By the Ricci soliton equation and $\phi \in \text{Iso}(M, g)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 -\nabla_{V_1} \nabla_{V_2} f|_x &= \text{Ric}(V_1, V_2)|_x - \frac{\lambda}{2} g(V_1, V_2)|_x \\
 &= \text{Ric}_{\phi^*g}(V_1, V_2)|_x - \frac{\lambda}{2} g_{\phi^*g}(V_1, V_2)|_x \\
 &= \text{Ric}(\phi_*(V_1), \phi_*(V_2))|_y - \frac{\lambda}{2} g(\phi_*(V_1), \phi_*(V_2))|_y \\
 &= -\nabla_{\phi_*(V_1)} \nabla_{\phi_*(V_2)} f|_y \\
 &= -\bar{\nabla}_{V_1} \bar{\nabla}_{V_2} (f \circ \phi)|_x \\
 &= -\nabla_{V_1} \nabla_{V_2} (f \circ \phi)|_x
 \end{aligned} \tag{4.4}$$

where $\bar{\nabla}$ is the gradient with respect to the metric ϕ^*g . By (4.4), we have

$$\nabla(\nabla f - \nabla(f \circ \phi)) \equiv 0.$$

Let $V = \nabla f - \nabla(f \circ \phi)$. Then, V is a parallel vector field by the equation above. If V is nonzero, then (M, g) locally splits off a line. If $V \equiv 0$, then there is a constant c such that

$$f(x) - f(\phi(x)) = c, \quad \forall x \in M. \tag{4.5}$$

Since $\phi \in G$ and G is a finite group, then there is a positive integer k such that $\phi^k = Id$. Hence, for any fixed point $x \in M$,

$$kc = \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} [f(\phi^i(x)) - f(\phi^{i+1}(x))] = f(x) - f(\phi^k(x)) = 0.$$

It follows that

$$c = 0. \tag{4.6}$$

By (4.5) and (4.6), we get

$$f(x) = f(\phi(x)), \forall x \in M. \tag{4.7}$$

We complete the proof. □

Remark 4.7. *In Theorem 4.6, we assume that G is a finite subgroup of $\text{Iso}(M, g)$. If the isomorphism ϕ is related to a killing vector field, one may refer to [46].*

As an application of Theorem 4.6 and Corollary 4.4, we show that steady gradient Ricci solitons with nonnegative sectional curvature and positive Ricci curvature have no finite quotients.

Corollary 4.8. *Let (M, g, f) be a complete steady gradient Ricci soliton with nonnegative sectional curvature and positive Ricci curvature. Suppose (N, h) is a finite quotient of (M, g) . Then, N is simply connected, i.e., (N, h) is isometric to (M, g) .*

Proof. By Corollary 4.4, M is simply connected. Hence, M is a universal cover of N . Let $\pi : M \rightarrow N$ be the covering map. By Proposition 2.11, N is isometric to $M/\text{Aut}_\pi(M)$. Note that $\text{Aut}_\pi(M)$ is a finite group by assumption. Recall that (M, g, f) can not locally split off a line by Theorem 3.1. Therefore, for any $\phi \in \text{Aut}_\pi(M)$, we have $f = f \circ \phi$ on M by Theorem 4.6. Hence, we can define a smooth function \bar{f} on N such that $f = \pi \circ \bar{f}$. Since π is a local isomorphism, one can check that (N, h, \bar{f}) is a steady gradient Ricci soliton with nonnegative sectional curvature and positive Ricci curvature. By Corollary 4.4, N is simply connected. Hence, we complete the proof. □

5 The Proof of Theorem 1.2

Now we prove the main theorem.

Proof of Theorem 1.2. It suffices to consider the case that $\pi_1(M)$ is finite. Let $(\tilde{M}, \tilde{g}, f)$ be the universal cover of (M, g, f) and $\pi : (\tilde{M}, \tilde{g}) \rightarrow (M, g)$ be the covering map. By Theorem 3.1, either (M, g, f) has strictly positive Ricci curvature or the universal cover $(\tilde{M}, \tilde{g}) = (N, h) \times \mathbb{R}^k$ splits isometrically, where (N, h) has strictly positive Ricci curvature and $k \geq 1$. Due to Lemma 2.1 in [46], f can also split so that N and \mathbb{R}^k are both steady gradient Ricci solitons. If M has strictly positive Ricci curvature, then $\pi_1(M)$ is trivial due to Corollary 4.4. Now, it remains to consider the case $k \geq 1$.

By Proposition 3.6,

$$\text{Aut}_\pi(\tilde{M}) \subseteq \text{Iso}(N \times \mathbb{R}^k) = \text{Iso}(N) \times \text{Iso}(\mathbb{R}^k)$$

For any $\phi \in \text{Aut}_\pi(\tilde{M})$, we can assume that $\phi = (\phi_1, \phi_2)$, where $\phi_1 \in \text{Iso}(N)$ and $\phi_2 \in \text{Iso}(\mathbb{R}^k)$. By Theorem 2.10, the fundamental group $\pi_1(M) \cong \text{Aut}_\pi(\tilde{M})$. Since $\pi_1(M)$ is finite, we see that $\text{Aut}_\pi(\tilde{M})$ is also finite. It follows that ϕ , ϕ_1 and ϕ_2 all have finite orders.

Let $G_\phi = \{\phi^i | i \in \mathbb{N}\}$. Then, G_ϕ is a finite subgroup of $\text{Aut}_\pi(\tilde{M})$. By Corollary 2.5 and Proposition 2.8, G_ϕ acts smoothly, freely, properly and isometrically on \tilde{M} . Similarly, let $G_{\phi_2} = \{\phi_2^i | i \in \mathbb{N}\}$. Since ϕ_2 has a finite order, G_{ϕ_2} is a finite subgroup of $\text{Iso}(\mathbb{R}^k)$. So, G_{ϕ_2} is also compact. By Lemma 2.6, G_{ϕ_2} has at least one fixed point. Therefore, ϕ_2^i has at least one fixed point for all $i \in \mathbb{N}$. We also define $G_{\phi_1} = \{\phi_1^i | i \in \mathbb{N}\}$. Then, G_{ϕ_1} is a finite subgroup of $\text{Iso}(N)$.

Let the order of ϕ be r . We want to show that $r = 1$. Suppose $r \geq 2$. For $0 < i < r$, ϕ^i has no fixed point on $N \times \mathbb{R}^k$ since G_ϕ is a free action. Note that $\phi^i = (\phi_1^i, \phi_2^i)$ and ϕ_2^i has at least a fixed point on \mathbb{R}^k . So, ϕ_1^i has no fixed point on N for all $0 < i < r$. Let the order of ϕ_1 be r_1 . Then, $r_1 | r$ and therefore $r_1 \leq r$. Note that ϕ_1^i has no fixed point and cannot be the identity map for $0 < i < r_1$. Hence, $r = r_1$. It follows that ϕ_1^i has no fixed point on N for all $0 < i < r_1$. Then, G_{ϕ_1} is a free action on N by definition. By Corollary 2.5, G_{ϕ_1} acts freely and properly on N . By Theorem 2.3, N/G_{ϕ_1} is a quotient of N . However, G_{ϕ_1} is trivial by Corollary 4.8. It contradicts the fact that ϕ_1^i has no fixed point for $0 < i < r$. Hence, $r = 1$ and ϕ is the identity map. Since ϕ is an arbitrary isomorphism in $\text{Aut}_\pi(\tilde{M})$, we obtain that $\pi_1(M) \cong \text{Aut}_\pi(\tilde{M}) = \{\text{Id}_{\tilde{M}}\}$.

Now we are left to show that M is diffeomorphic to \mathbb{R}^n . We note that (N, h) is a steady gradient Ricci soliton with nonnegative sectional curvature and positive Ricci curvature. Hence, N is diffeomorphic to \mathbb{R}^{n-k} . Then, \tilde{M} is diffeomorphic to \mathbb{R}^n . Since $\pi_1(M)$ is trivial, M is diffeomorphic to \tilde{M} and therefore is also diffeomorphic to \mathbb{R}^n .

Hence we complete the proof. □

References

- [1] Alexander Appleton. A family of non-collapsed steady ricci solitons in even dimensions greater or equal to four. arXiv:math.DG/1708.00161, 2022.
- [2] Richard H. Bamler. On the fundamental group of non-collapsed ancient ricci flows. arXiv:math.DG/2110.02254, 2021.
- [3] Richard H. Bamler, Bennett Chow, Yuxing Deng, Zilu Ma, and Yongjia Zhang. Four-dimensional steady gradient Ricci solitons with 3-cylindrical tangent flows at infinity. *Adv. Math.*, 401:Paper No. 108285, 21, 2022.
- [4] Simon Brendle. Rotational symmetry of self-similar solutions to the Ricci flow. *Invent. Math.*, 194(3):731–764, 2013.
- [5] Simon Brendle. Rotational symmetry of Ricci solitons in higher dimensions. *J. Differential Geom.*, 97(2):191–214, 2014.
- [6] Simon Brendle. Ancient solutions to the Ricci flow in dimension 3. *Acta Math.*, 225(1):1–102, 2020.
- [7] Simon Brendle. Singularity models in the three-dimensional Ricci flow. In *Recent progress in mathematics*, volume 1 of *KIAS Springer Ser. Math.*, pages 87–118. Springer, Singapore, [2022] ©2022.
- [8] Simon Brendle, Panagiota Daskalopoulos, Keaton Naff, and Natasa Sesum. Uniqueness of compact ancient solutions to the higher-dimensional Ricci flow. *J. Reine Angew. Math.*, 795:85–138, 2023.
- [9] Simon Brendle, Panagiota Daskalopoulos, and Natasa Sesum. Uniqueness of compact ancient solutions to three-dimensional Ricci flow. *Invent. Math.*, 226(2):579–651, 2021.
- [10] Simon Brendle and Keaton Naff. Rotational symmetry of ancient solutions to the Ricci flow in higher dimensions. *Geom. Topol.*, 27(1):153–226, 2023.
- [11] Timothy Buttsworth. $su(2)$ -invariant steady gradient ricci solitons on four-manifolds. arXiv:math.DG/2111.12807, 2021.
- [12] M. Buzano, A. S. Dancer, and M. Wang. A family of steady Ricci solitons and Ricci flat metrics. *Comm. Anal. Geom.*, 23(3):611–638, 2015.
- [13] Huai-Dong Cao, Giovanni Catino, Qiang Chen, Carlo Mantegazza, and Lorenzo Mazziere. Bach-flat gradient steady Ricci solitons. *Calc. Var. Partial Differential Equations*, 49(1-2):125–138, 2014.

- [14] Huai-Dong Cao and Qiang Chen. On locally conformally flat gradient steady Ricci solitons. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 364(5):2377–2391, 2012.
- [15] Huai-Dong Cao and Junming Xie. Four-dimensional gradient ricci solitons with (half) nonnegative isotropic curvature. arXiv:math.DG/2403.19627, 2024.
- [16] Pak-Yeung Chan, Zilu Ma, and Yongjia Zhang. Dimension reduction for positively curved steady solitons. arXiv:math.DG/2310.14020, 2023.
- [17] Jeff Cheeger and Detlef Gromoll. On the structure of complete manifolds of nonnegative curvature. *Ann. of Math. (2)*, 96:413–443, 1972.
- [18] Chih-Wei Chen and Alix Deruelle. Structure at infinity of expanding gradient Ricci soliton. *Asian J. Math.*, 19(5):933–950, 2015.
- [19] Jae Ho Cho and Yu Li. Ancient solutions to the Ricci flow with isotropic curvature conditions. *Math. Ann.*, 387(1-2):1009–1041, 2023.
- [20] Bennett Chow. *Ricci solitons in low dimensions*, volume 235 of *Graduate Studies in Mathematics*. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, [2023] ©2023.
- [21] Bennett Chow, Yuxing Deng, and Zilu Ma. On four-dimensional steady gradient Ricci solitons that dimension reduce. *Adv. Math.*, 403:Paper No. 108367, 61, 2022.
- [22] Ronan J. Conlon and Alix Deruelle. Steady gradient kähler-ricci solitons on crepant resolutions of calabi-yau cones. arXiv:math.DG/2006.03100, 2020.
- [23] Georges de Rham. Sur la reductibilité d’un espace de Riemann. *Comment. Math. Helv.*, 26:328–344, 1952.
- [24] Yuxing Deng and Xiaohua Zhu. Asymptotic behavior of positively curved steady Ricci solitons. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 370(4):2855–2877, 2018.
- [25] Yuxing Deng and Xiaohua Zhu. Classification of gradient steady Ricci solitons with linear curvature decay. *Sci. China Math.*, 63(1):135–154, 2020.
- [26] Yuxing Deng and Xiaohua Zhu. Higher dimensional steady Ricci solitons with linear curvature decay. *J. Eur. Math. Soc. (JEMS)*, 22(12):4097–4120, 2020.
- [27] Yuxing Deng and Xiaohua Zhu. A note on compact κ -solutions of Kähler-Ricci flow. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 148(7):3073–3078, 2020.

- [28] Yuxing Deng and Xiaohua Zhu. Rigidity of κ -noncollapsed steady Kähler-Ricci solitons. *Math. Ann.*, 377(1-2):847–861, 2020.
- [29] Detlef Gromoll and Wolfgang Meyer. On complete open manifolds of positive curvature. *Ann. of Math. (2)*, 90:75–90, 1969.
- [30] Pengfei Guan, Peng Lu, and Yiyang Xu. A rigidity theorem for codimension one shrinking gradient Ricci solitons in \mathbb{R}^{n+1} . *Calc. Var. Partial Differential Equations*, 54(4):4019–4036, 2015.
- [31] Richard S. Hamilton. The formation of singularities in the Ricci flow. In *Surveys in differential geometry, Vol. II (Cambridge, MA, 1993)*, pages 7–136. Int. Press, Cambridge, MA, 1995.
- [32] Jun-ichi Hano. On affine transformations of a Riemannian manifold. *Nagoya Math. J.*, 9:99–109, 1955.
- [33] Dominic D. Joyce. *Riemannian holonomy groups and calibrated geometry*, volume 12 of *Oxford Graduate Texts in Mathematics*. Oxford University Press, Oxford, 2007.
- [34] Shoshichi Kobayashi and Katsumi Nomizu. *Foundations of differential geometry. Vol. I*. Wiley Classics Library. John Wiley & Sons, Inc., New York, 1996. Reprint of the 1963 original, A Wiley-Interscience Publication.
- [35] Yi Lai. A family of 3D steady gradient solitons that are flying wings. *J. Differential Geom.*, 126(1):297–328, 2024.
- [36] John M. Lee. *Introduction to topological manifolds*, volume 202 of *Graduate Texts in Mathematics*. Springer, New York, second edition, 2011.
- [37] John M. Lee. *Introduction to smooth manifolds*, volume 218 of *Graduate Texts in Mathematics*. Springer, New York, second edition, 2013.
- [38] John M. Lee. *Introduction to Riemannian manifolds*, volume 176 of *Graduate Texts in Mathematics*. Springer, Cham, second edition, 2018.
- [39] Xiaolong Li and Yongjia Zhang. Ancient solutions to the Ricci flow in higher dimensions. *Comm. Anal. Geom.*, 30(9):2011–2048, 2022.
- [40] Yu Li. Ancient solutions to the Kähler Ricci flow. arXiv:math.DG/2008.06951, 2020.
- [41] Gang Liu. 3-manifolds with nonnegative Ricci curvature. *Invent. Math.*, 193(2):367–375, 2013.

- [42] Zilu Ma, Hamidreza Mahmoudian, and Natasa Sesum. Unique asymptotics of steady ricci solitons with symmetry. arXiv:math.DG/2311.09405, 2023.
- [43] Ovidiu Munteanu and Jiaping Wang. Smooth metric measure spaces with non-negative curvature. *Comm. Anal. Geom.*, 19(3):451–486, 2011.
- [44] Grisha Perelman. The entropy formula for the ricci flow and its geometric applications. arXiv:math.DG/0211159, 2002.
- [45] Peter Petersen. *Riemannian geometry*, volume 171 of *Graduate Texts in Mathematics*. Springer, New York, second edition, 2006.
- [46] Peter Petersen and William Wylie. On gradient Ricci solitons with symmetry. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 137(6):2085–2092, 2009.
- [47] Richard Schoen and Shing Tung Yau. Complete three-dimensional manifolds with positive Ricci curvature and scalar curvature. In *Seminar on Differential Geometry*, volume No. 102 of *Ann. of Math. Stud.*, pages 209–228. Princeton Univ. Press, Princeton, NJ, 1982.
- [48] V. A. Sarafutdinov. Convex sets in a manifold of nonnegative curvature. *Mat. Zametki*, 26(1):129–136, 159, 1979.
- [49] Matthias Wink. Cohomogeneity one Ricci solitons from Hopf fibrations. *Comm. Anal. Geom.*, 31(3):625–676, 2023.
- [50] Joseph A. Wolf. *Spaces of constant curvature*. AMS Chelsea Publishing, Providence, RI, sixth edition, 2011.
- [51] Ziyi Zhao and Xiaohua Zhu. Rigidity of the bryant ricci soliton. arXiv:math.DG/2212.02889, 2023.
- [52] Ziyi Zhao and Xiaohua Zhu. 4d steady gradient ricci solitons with nonnegative curvature away from a compact set. arXiv:math.DG/2310.12529, 2024.

YUXING DENG, SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS AND STATISTICS, BEIJING INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY, BEIJING,100081, CHINA, 6120180026@BIT.EDU.CN

YUEHAN HAO, SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS AND STATISTICS, BEIJING INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY, BEIJING,100081, CHINA, 3120221441@BIT.EDU.CN