

LIFTABLE MAPPING CLASS GROUPS OF REGULAR ABELIAN COVERS

NEERAJ K. DHANWANI, PANKAJ KAPARI, KASHYAP RAJEEVSARATHY, AND RAVI TOMAR

ABSTRACT. Let S_g be the closed oriented surface of genus $g \geq 0$, and let $\text{Mod}(S_g)$ be the mapping class group of S_g . For $g \geq 2$, we develop an algorithm to obtain a finite generating set for the liftable mapping class group $\text{LMod}_p(S_g)$ of a regular abelian cover p of S_g . A key ingredient of our method is a result that provides a generating set of a group G acting on a connected graph X such that the quotient graph X/G is finite. As an application of our algorithm, when k is prime, we provide a finite generating set for $\text{LMod}_{p_k}(S_2)$ for cyclic cover $p_k : S_{k+1} \rightarrow S_2$. Using the Birman-Hilden theory, when $k = 2, 3$ and $g = 2$, we also obtain a finite generating set for the normalizer of the Deck transformation group of p_k in $\text{Mod}(S_{k+1})$. We conclude the paper with an application of our algorithm that gives a finite generating set for $\text{LMod}_p(S_2)$, where $p : S_5 \rightarrow S_2$ is a cover with deck transformation group isomorphic to $\mathbb{Z}_2 \oplus \mathbb{Z}_2$.

1. INTRODUCTION

For $g, n \geq 0$, let $S = S_{g,n}$ be the connected, closed, and oriented surface of genus g with n marked points (or punctures). (We will denote $S_{g,0}$ simply by S_g .) Let $\text{Homeo}^+(S)$ be the group of orientation-preserving self-homeomorphisms of S that preserve the set of marked points equipped with the compact-open topology. The *mapping class group* of S is the group $\text{Mod}(S) := \pi_0(\text{Homeo}^+(S))$, and the elements of $\text{Mod}(S)$ are called *mapping classes*. In this paper, we develop an algorithm (see Algorithm 2.12) to obtain a finite generating set for the liftable mapping class group of a regular free abelian cover of S_g for $g \geq 2$. A key ingredient in our method is a result (see Theorem 2.1) that provides a generating set of a group G that acts on a connected graph X having finitely many vertex and edge orbits. Roughly speaking, our result asserts that if X/G is a finite graph, then G is generated by a collection of stabilizer subgroups of G of vertices in X that is in correspondence with the vertices of X/G and a carefully chosen set of elements of G in correspondence with the edges of X/G .

For $g \geq 2$, as a consequence of the Nielsen-Kerckhoff theorem [17, Theorem 5], a finite subgroup $H < \text{Mod}(S_g)$ acts on S_g via a group \tilde{H} of isometries of some hyperbolic metric on S_g such that $H \cong \tilde{H}$. The \tilde{H} -action on S_g induces a finite-sheeted branched cover $p : S_g \rightarrow S_g/\tilde{H}$. The orbit space S_g/\tilde{H} is a hyperbolic orbifold associated with \tilde{H} -action on S_g and will be denoted by \mathcal{O}_H . We have $\mathcal{O}_H \approx S_{g_0,n}$ for some integers $g_0, n \geq 0$ which are genus and number of branched points of \mathcal{O}_H , respectively. We define $\text{Mod}(\mathcal{O}_H) := \text{Mod}(S_{g_0,n})$. Let $\text{LMod}_p(\mathcal{O}_H)$, known as the *liftable mapping class group of p* , be the subgroup of $\text{Mod}(\mathcal{O}_H)$ consisting of mapping classes represented by homeomorphisms that lift under the cover p . We define $\text{Symod}_p(S_g)$, known as the *symmetric mapping class group of p* , as the subgroup of $\text{Mod}(S_g)$ consisting of mapping classes represented by homeomorphisms that preserve the fibers of p .

The covering $p : S_g \rightarrow S_g/\tilde{H}$ is said to satisfy the *Birman-Hilden property* if two isotopic fiber-preserving homeomorphisms are isotopic through fiber-preserving homeomorphisms. By the work of Birman-Hilden [3, Theorem 1] and Harvey-Maclachlan [19, Corollary 12], it follows that any finite-sheeted regular branched cover of a hyperbolic surface has the Birman-Hilden

2020 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. Primary 57K20, Secondary 57M60.

Key words and phrases. hyperbolic surfaces, regular abelian covers, Birman-Hilden theory, liftable mapping class groups.

property. It was shown in [3, 19] that $p : S_g \rightarrow S_g/\tilde{H}$ satisfies the Birman-Hilden property if and only if the following short-exact sequence exists:

$$1 \longrightarrow H \longrightarrow \text{SMod}_p(S_g) \xrightarrow{\varphi} \text{LMod}_p(\mathcal{O}_H) \longrightarrow 1. \quad (1)$$

Furthermore, it was shown in [3, Theorem 4-5] and [19, Theorem 10] that $\text{SMod}_p(S_g)$ is the normalizer $N_{\text{Mod}(S_g)}(H)$ of H in $\text{Mod}(S_g)$. (We refer the interested reader to the survey article [20] by Margalit-Winarski.) When H is abelian, using the action of $\text{Mod}(\mathcal{O}_H)$ on the first integral homology group $H_1(\mathcal{O}_H^\circ; \mathbb{Z})$, it can be seen that $\text{LMod}_p(\mathcal{O}_H)$ has finite index in $\text{Mod}(\mathcal{O}_H)$, where \mathcal{O}_H° is the surface obtained by deleting the branched points from \mathcal{O}_H . Since $\text{Mod}(\mathcal{O}_H)$ is finitely presented [9, Theorem 5.7], $\text{LMod}_p(\mathcal{O}_H)$ and $N_{\text{Mod}(S_g)}(H)$ are also finitely presented. Thus, given a finite abelian subgroup $H < \text{Mod}(S_g)$, one way to derive a finite presentation of $N_{\text{Mod}(S_g)}(H)$ is by lifting a finite presentation for $\text{LMod}_p(\mathcal{O}_H)$ under φ .

By considering the cover $p : S_2 \rightarrow S_{0,6}$ induced by the hyperelliptic involution ι in S_2 , Birman-Hilden [2, Theorem 8] obtained the first known finite presentation of the centralizer $\text{Mod}(S_2) = C_{\text{Mod}(S_2)}(\iota)$ of ι by lifting a known presentation for $\text{Mod}(S_{0,6}) = \text{LMod}_p(S_{0,6})$. Recently, Ghaswala-Winarski classified [11, Theorem 1.3] cyclic covers $p : S_g \rightarrow S_{0,n}$ for which $\text{LMod}_p(S_{0,n}) = \text{Mod}(S_{0,n})$. In another work, they obtained [10, Theorem 5.7] a finite presentation of the $\text{LMod}_p(S_{0,n})$ associated with cover $p : S_g \rightarrow S_{0,n}$ induced by a balanced superelliptic map $F \in \text{Mod}(S_g)$ for which $\text{LMod}(S_{0,n}) \neq \text{Mod}(S_{0,n})$. Subsequently, Hirose-Omori [13, Theorem 5.3] obtained a finite presentation of $N_{\text{Mod}(S_g)}(F)$ by lifting the presentation of $\text{LMod}_p(S_{0,n})$ under φ . Using an algebraic characterization of liftability due to Broughton [5, Theorem 3.2], more recently, Kapari-Rajeevsarathy-Sanghi gave a method [15, Algorithm 4.3] to write a finite generating set of $\text{LMod}_p(S_{0,n})$ associated with cyclic covers $p : S_g \rightarrow S_{0,n}$. As an application, they obtained [15, Corollary 4.6.1] a finite presentation for the normalizer and the centralizer of a reducible periodic mapping class of the highest order, which is $2g+2$ (due to Kasahara [16, Theorem 4.1]).

In the rest of the paper, we will only consider unbranched covers. Consider the k -sheeted cyclic cover $p_k : S_{g_k} \rightarrow S_g$ (see Figure 1 for $k=3$ and $g=2$) induced by a free cyclic \mathbb{Z}_k -action on S_{g_k} , where $g_k = k(g-1)+1$. We denote $\text{LMod}_{p_k}(S_{g_k})$ by $\text{LMod}_k(S_g)$. The action of $\text{Mod}(S_g)$




FIGURE 1. A 3-sheeted cover $S_4 \rightarrow S_2$ induced by a free $2\pi/3$ -rotation of S_4 .

on $H_1(S_g; \mathbb{Z})$ induces the following short-exact sequence [9, Section 6.3]

$$1 \longrightarrow \mathcal{I}(S_g) \longrightarrow \text{Mod}(S_g) \xrightarrow{\Psi} \text{Sp}(2g, \mathbb{Z}) \longrightarrow 1,$$

where Ψ is the *symplectic representation* of $\text{Mod}(S_g)$ and $\ker \Psi$ is the *Torelli group* denoted by $\mathcal{I}(S_g)$. Using the symplectic characterization of $\text{LMod}_k(S_g)$ due to Agarwal-Dey-Dhanwani-Rajeevsarathy [1, Theorem 2.2], a finite generating set for $\Psi(\text{LMod}_k(S_g))$ was obtained [8,

Theorem 3.1]. For $g \geq 3$, due the work of Johnson [14], $\mathcal{I}(S_g)$ is finitely generated. For $g \geq 3$, Dey-Dhanwani-Patil-Rajeevsarathy [8, Theorem 3.9] derived a finite generating set for $\text{LMod}_k(S_g)$ by combining generating sets of $\mathcal{I}(S_g)$ and that of $\Psi(\text{LMod}_k(S_g))$. Due to the work of McCullough-Miller [21], since $\mathcal{I}(S_2)$ is not finitely generated, this method does not work in the case of $g = 2$.

Let T_c denote the left-handed Dehn twist about a simple closed curve c in S . Let $\Gamma_0(k)$ be the subgroup of $\text{SL}(2, \mathbb{Z})$ defined as follows:

$$\Gamma_0(k) = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in \text{SL}(2, \mathbb{Z}) \mid c \equiv 0 \pmod{k} \right\}.$$

Let $\phi : \text{SL}(2, \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow \text{Sp}(4, \mathbb{Z})$ be the injective homomorphism defined as

$$\phi : \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} a & b & 0 & 0 \\ c & d & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Let $\mathbb{S} \subset \text{LMod}_k(S_2)$ such that $\Psi(\mathbb{S})$ is a finite generating set for $\phi(\Gamma_0(k))$. By applying our algorithm (Algorithm 2.12), we derive a finite generating set for $\text{LMod}_k(S_2)$, where k is prime (see Theorem 3.10).

Theorem 1. *For a prime number k and the k -sheeted regular cyclic cover $p_k : S_{k+1} \rightarrow S_2$, we have $\text{LMod}_k(S_2) = \langle \mathbb{S} \cup \{T_a, T_b^k, T_c, T_d, T_e, \iota\} \cup \mathbb{S}' \cup \mathbb{S}'' \rangle$, where $\mathbb{S}' = \{T_b^{1-j} T_a T_b^{1-j} \mid 1 \leq j < k, j \equiv 1 \pmod{k}\}$ and $\mathbb{S}'' = \{(T_b T_c)^6, T_b^i T_c^j T_d (T_b T_c)^6 T_d^{-1} T_c^{-j} T_b^{-i} \mid 1 \leq i, j < k\}$.*

As a corollary of Theorem 1, we recover (see Corollary 3.10.1) the finite generating set for $\text{LMod}_2(S_2)$ obtained in [8, Corollary 5.5] and also derive an explicit finite generating set for $\text{LMod}_3(S_2)$ (see Corollary 3.10.2).

Corollary 1. *We have $\text{LMod}_2(S_2) = \langle T_a, T_b^2, T_c, T_d, T_e \rangle$ and $\text{LMod}_3(S_2) = \langle T_a, T_b^3, T_c, T_d, T_e, \iota \rangle$.*

Let $F_{g,k} \in \text{Mod}(S_{gk})$ be a periodic mapping class of order k inducing the cover p_k . For $g \geq 3$, an explicit finite generating set for $N_{\text{Mod}(S_{g_2})}(F_{g,2})$ was obtained in [8, Corollary 3.10] by lifting the finite generating set of $\text{LMod}_2(S_g)$ under $\varphi : N_{\text{Mod}(S_{g_2})}(F_{g,2}) \rightarrow \text{LMod}_2(S_g)$. By lifting the generating set described in Corollary 1, we have also obtained a similar result (see Corollary 3.10.3).




FIGURE 2. The simple closed curves on S_3 used to describe a generating set for $N_{\text{Mod}(S_3)}(F_{2,2})$.

Corollary 2. *We have*

$$N_{\text{Mod}(S_3)}(F_{2,2}) = \langle F_{2,2}, T_{a_1} T_{a_2}, T_{b'}, T_{c_1} T_{c_2}, T_{d_1} T_{d_2}, T_{f_1} T_{f_2} \rangle$$

and

$$N_{\text{Mod}(S_4)}(F_{2,3}) = \langle F_{2,3}, T_{a_1} T_{a_2} T_{a_3}, T_{b'}, T_{c_1} T_{c_2} T_{c_3}, T_{d_1} T_{d_2} T_{d_3}, T_{f_1} T_{f_2} T_{f_3}, \iota_1 \iota_2 \iota_3 \rangle$$

for simple closed curves shown in Figure 1-2 and $\iota_1, \iota_2, \iota_3 \in \text{Mod}(S_4)$ are involutions represented by π -rotation of S_4 as shown in Figure 1.

We conclude this paper by deriving a generating set of $\text{LMod}_p(S_2)$ of an abelian cover $p : S_5 \rightarrow S_2$ with Deck group $\mathbb{Z}_2 \oplus \mathbb{Z}_2 = \langle s \mid s^2 = 1 \rangle \oplus \langle t \mid t^2 = 1 \rangle$ associated with the map $H_1(S_2; \mathbb{Z}) \cong \mathbb{Z}^4 \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_2 \oplus \mathbb{Z}_2$ given by $(e_1, e_2, e_3, e_4) \mapsto (s, t, 0, 0)$ (see Theorem 3.17).

Theorem 2. *For the cover p described as above, we have $\text{LMod}_p(S_2) = \langle T_a, T_b, T_c^2, T_d, T_e \rangle$.*

2. MAIN ALGORITHM

2.1. Generating set of a group acting on a graph. Let G be a group that acts on a connected graph X such that the sets of orbits of vertices and edges are finite. Let Y denote the quotient graph of X under the action of G . Since X is connected, Y is a connected finite graph.

Theorem 2.1. *Let T be a maximal tree in Y and choose a lift \tilde{T} of T in X . Let v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n be the vertices of T and $\tilde{v}_1, \tilde{v}_2, \dots, \tilde{v}_n$ be the lifts of v_i 's in \tilde{T} , respectively. Assume that the following conditions hold:*

- (i) *Suppose, for some $1 \leq i \leq n$, there exists $k_i \geq 1$ such that there are k_i -loops at the vertex v_i , and each of these loops has a lift in X at \tilde{v}_i that is not a loop. For $1 \leq j \leq k_i$, let $\{\xi_j^i\}$ be the set of loops at the vertex v_i . Denote by $\tilde{\xi}_j^i$ a lift of ξ_j^i at the vertex \tilde{v}_i that is not a loop. For each i and $1 \leq j \leq k_i$, suppose there exists $f_j^i \in G$ such that $f_j^i \tilde{v}_i$ is the other vertex of $\tilde{\xi}_j^i$ (if there is no such loop at v_i for some i , then there is no f_j^i).*
- (ii) *Suppose, for some $l \geq 1$, there exist edges $\xi_1, \xi_2, \dots, \xi_l$ of Y which are neither loops nor part of T . For $1 \leq m \leq l$, let $\tilde{\xi}_m$ be a lift of ξ_m in X , where exactly one vertex of each $\tilde{\xi}_m$ belongs to the set of vertices of \tilde{T} . Suppose the set of vertices of ξ_m is $\{v_i, v_j\}$, and the set of vertices of $\tilde{\xi}_m$ is $\{\tilde{v}_i, \tilde{w}\}$. Then, there exists $f_m \in G$ such that $f_m \tilde{w} = \tilde{v}_j$ (if there is no edge outside T in Y , then there is no f_m).*

For $1 \leq i \leq n$, let G_i be the G -stabilizer of the vertex \tilde{v}_i . Then,

$$G = \left\langle \bigcup_{i=1}^n (G_i \cup \{f_j^i : 1 \leq j \leq k_i\}) \cup \{f_m : 1 \leq m \leq l\} \right\rangle$$

In particular, if G_i 's are finitely generated, then G is also finitely generated.

Proof. Let $S := \bigcup_{i=1}^n (G_i \cup \{f_j^i : 1 \leq j \leq k_i\}) \cup \{f_m : 1 \leq m \leq l\}$ and let H be the subgroup of G generated by S . Clearly, $H \subseteq G$. It remains to show that $G \subseteq H$. Let $f \in G$. Fix the vertex $\tilde{v}_1 \in \tilde{T}$ (we can choose any other vertex also). If $f\tilde{v}_1 = \tilde{v}_1$ then $f \in G_1 \subset H$, and hence we are done. Suppose $f\tilde{v}_1 \neq \tilde{v}_1$. Then, $f\tilde{v}_1$ is a vertex of X which is not in \tilde{T} . Let α be an edge path in X joining \tilde{v}_1 and $f\tilde{v}_1$. We show that each vertex of α which is not in \tilde{T} is of the form $h\tilde{v}_i$ for some $h \in H$ and $1 \leq i \leq n$. This will, in particular, prove that $f \in H$, and hence we are done. We consider the following cases:

Case 1. Suppose α is an edge, and let $\alpha = \tilde{\xi}$. Then, there is a loop at the vertex v_1 in Y , say ξ_j^1 . Let $\tilde{\xi}_j^1$ be the lift of ξ_j^1 as in (i). If $\tilde{\xi}_j^1 = \tilde{\xi}$, then $f\tilde{v}_1 = f_j^1 \tilde{v}_1$. This implies that $f \in H$ and we are done. If not, there exists $f' \in G$ such that $f'\tilde{\xi}_j^1 = \tilde{\xi}$. There are two possibilities. Suppose $f'\tilde{v}_1 = \tilde{v}_1$ and $f'f_j^1 \tilde{v}_1 = f\tilde{v}_1$, then $f' \in G_1 \subset H$. As $f'^{-1}f'f_j^1 \in G_1$ and $f_j^1, f' \in H$, we have $f \in H$. A similar computation shows that if $f'\tilde{v}_1 = f\tilde{v}_1$ and $f'f_j^1 \tilde{v}_1 = \tilde{v}_1$, then $f \in H$. Hence, in this case, $f \in H$.

Case 2. Suppose α is not an edge. Let $\tilde{\xi}$ be any edge of α joining two vertices, say, \tilde{v} and \tilde{w} . There are two subcases to be considered.

Subcase 2(a). Suppose $\tilde{v} = \tilde{v}_i \in \tilde{T}$ for some i and $\tilde{w} \notin \tilde{T}$. Then, there exists $1 \leq j \leq n$ such that $\tilde{w} = f'\tilde{v}_j$ for some $f' \in G$. If $j = i$, then as in Case 1, $f' \in H$. If $i \neq j$, then there is an

edge in Y joining v_i and v_j , say ξ_m . There are two possibilities. First we assume that $\xi_m \in T$. Let $\tilde{\xi}'_m$ be the lift of ξ_m in \tilde{T} joining the vertices \tilde{v}_i and \tilde{v}_j . Then, there exists $f'' \in G$ such that $f''\tilde{\xi}'_m = \tilde{\xi}$. This implies that $f'' \in G_i$, which in turn implies that $f' \in H$.

Now, suppose that $\xi_m \notin T$. By our assumption (ii), let $\tilde{\xi}_m$ be a lift of ξ_m such that exactly one vertex of $\tilde{\xi}_m$ is either \tilde{v}_i or \tilde{v}_j . Suppose that vertex is \tilde{v}_i . If $\tilde{\xi}_m = \tilde{\xi}$, by our hypothesis, there exists $f_m \in S$ such that $f_m f' \tilde{v}_j = \tilde{v}_j$. This implies that $f_m f' \in G_j \subset H$. Since $f_m \in S \subset H$, $f' \in H$. Suppose $\tilde{\xi}_m \neq \tilde{\xi}$ and the other vertex of $\tilde{\xi}_m$ is $f''' \tilde{\xi}_j$ for some $f''' \in G$. By our assumption (2), there exists $f'_m \in S$ such that $f'_m f''' \tilde{v}_j = \tilde{v}_j$. This implies that $f''' \in H$. Finally, there exists $f_1 \in G$ such that $f_1 \tilde{\xi}_m = \tilde{\xi}$. Then, $f_1 \tilde{v}_i = \tilde{v}_i$ and $f_1 f''' \tilde{v}_j = f' \tilde{v}_j$. This, in turn, implies that $f' \in H$. A similar computation can be done when the vertex of $\tilde{\xi}_m$ in \tilde{T} is \tilde{v}_j .

Subcase 2(b). Before proceeding further, we observe the following. Suppose $\tilde{\xi}_1$ and $\tilde{\xi}_2$ are two distinct edges of α and $\tilde{\xi}_1 * \tilde{\xi}_2$ is the concatenation of these two edges such that one vertex of $\tilde{\xi}_1$ is in \tilde{T} and both the vertices of $\tilde{\xi}_2$ are not in \tilde{T} . Let \tilde{v}'_1 and \tilde{v}'_2 be the concatenating vertex and the other vertex of $\tilde{\xi}_2$, respectively. By Subcase 2(a), there exists $h \in H$ and $1 \leq i \leq n$ such that $\tilde{v}'_1 = h \tilde{v}_i$. Suppose $\tilde{v}_2 = f' \tilde{v}'_j$ for some $f' \in G$ and $1 \leq j \leq n$. Then, $h^{-1} \tilde{\xi}_2$ is the edge joining the vertices \tilde{v}_i and $h^{-1} f' \tilde{v}_j$. Then, again by doing the same computation as in Subcase 2(a), $h^{-1} f' \in H$ and hence $f' \in H$. Thus, by induction, we can show that if β is a subpath in α such that only one vertex of β is in \tilde{T} , then all other vertices of β are of the form $h \tilde{v}_i$, where $h \in H$ and $1 \leq i \leq n$.

Now, suppose both the vertices of $\tilde{\xi}$ are not in \tilde{T} . Let, for $1 \leq j \leq n$, $\tilde{w} = f' \tilde{v}_j$ for some $f' \in G$. Then, there exists a vertex $\tilde{v}_p \in \tilde{T} \cap \alpha$ and a subpath γ of α joining the vertices \tilde{v}_p and \tilde{w} such that all the vertices of γ other than \tilde{v}_p are not in \tilde{T} . Thus, from the above discussion, $\tilde{w} = h' \tilde{v}_i$ for some $h' \in H$ and $1 \leq i \leq n$. Then, $h'^{-1} \tilde{\xi}$ is an edge joining \tilde{v}_i and $h'^{-1} f' \tilde{v}_j$. Thus, by Subcase 2(a), we can see that $f' \in H$, and hence we are done. \square

2.2. The symplectic criteria for abelian covers. The action of $\text{Mod}(S_h)$ on $H_1(S_h; \mathbb{Z})$ induces a representation $\Psi : \text{Mod}(S_h) \rightarrow \text{Sp}(2h, \mathbb{Z})$ known as the *symplectic representation* of $\text{Mod}(S_h)$. The subgroup $\ker \Psi$, denoted by $\mathcal{I}(S_h)$, is known as the *Torelli group* of S_h . For an integer $k \geq 2$, the kernel of the composition, denoted by $\text{Mod}(S_h)[k]$, $\Psi_k : \text{Mod}(S_h) \rightarrow \text{Sp}(2h, \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow \text{Sp}(2h, \mathbb{Z}_k)$ is known as the *level k congruence subgroup* of $\text{Mod}(S_h)$. Let A be a finite abelian group acting freely on a closed surface S_g with orbit space $\mathcal{O}_A \approx S_h$, where $h = 1 + (g-1)/|A| \geq 2$. The cover $p : S_g \rightarrow S_h$ induces a short-exact sequence [12, Proposition 1.40]

$$1 \longrightarrow \pi_1(S_g) \xrightarrow{p_*} \pi_1(S_h) \xrightarrow{\eta} A \longrightarrow 1,$$

where the map η is known as a surface kernel map. For $i = 1, 2$ and $\eta_i : \pi_1(S_h) \rightarrow A$, we say that η_1 is equivalent to η_2 if there are automorphisms $\delta \in \text{Aut}(\pi_1(S_h))$ and $\omega \in \text{Aut}(A)$ such that $\eta_2 = \omega \circ \eta_1 \circ \delta$. The equivalence classes of surface kernel maps correspond to the isomorphism classes of finite-sheeted abelian regular covers (or conjugacy classes of free finite abelian group actions) [4, Proposition 2.2].

Since p is an abelian cover, η factor through the *induced map* $\eta' : H_1(S_h; \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow A$. Therefore, we also have the following exact sequence

$$H_1(S_g; \mathbb{Z}) \xrightarrow{p_\#} H_1(S_h; \mathbb{Z}) \xrightarrow{\eta'} A \longrightarrow 0.$$

For $F \in \text{Mod}(S_h)$, let $F_\#$ be the induced automorphism of $H_1(S_h; \mathbb{Z})$. We identify $F_\#$ with the symplectic matrix $\Psi(F)$ and $H_1(S_h; \mathbb{Z})$ with $\mathbb{Z}^{2h} = \langle e_1, e_2, \dots, e_{2h} \rangle$, where e_i is vector with 1 at the i^{th} place and 0's elsewhere. We know that $F \in \text{LMod}_p(S_h)$ if and only if $F_*(p_*(\pi_1(S_g))) = p_*(\pi_1(S_g))$ [12, Proposition 1.33]. Since $p_*(\pi_1(S_h)) = \ker \eta$, $F \in \text{LMod}_p(S_h)$ if and only if $F_*(\ker \eta) = \ker \eta$. Since p is an abelian cover, we have $F \in \text{LMod}_p(S_h)$ if and only if $F_\#(\ker \eta') =$

ker η' . For $F \in \text{LMod}_p(S_h)$, we provide necessary and sufficient conditions on the entries of the matrix $\Psi(F)$ for elementary abelian groups with invariant factors of prime order. These conditions will be called the *symplectic criteria*.

For a prime number k , we fix an elementary abelian k -group \mathbb{Z}_k^r of rank $r \leq 2h$. Furthermore, we fix the following standard presentations $\pi_1(S_h) = \langle \alpha_1, \beta_1, \dots, \alpha_h, \beta_h \mid \prod_{i=1}^h [\alpha_i, \beta_i] = 1 \rangle$ and $\mathbb{Z}_k^r = \langle c_1, c_2, \dots, c_r \mid c_i^k = [c_i, c_j] = 1 \ \forall i, j \rangle$, where $[x, y]$ denotes the commutator $xyx^{-1}y^{-1}$ of x and y . The following result due to Broughton [6, Theorem 3.1] describes all isomorphism classes of \mathbb{Z}_k^r -covers $S_g \rightarrow S_h$.

Theorem 2.2. *There exists an integer $r/2 \leq K \leq \min(h, r)$ such that any surface kernel map $\pi_1(S_h) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_k^r$ is $\text{Aut}(\pi_1(S_h)) \times \text{Aut}(\mathbb{Z}_k^r)$ -equivalent to one of the following:*

$$\eta_K := \begin{cases} \eta_K(\alpha_i) = c_i & i \leq K \\ \eta_K(\alpha_i) = 0 & i > K \\ \eta_K(\beta_i) = c_{i+K} & i \leq r - K \\ \eta_K(\beta_i) = 0 & i > r - K. \end{cases}$$

We derive the symplectic criteria for the map described in Theorem 2.2 with corresponding cover p_K . We have the following result.

Theorem 2.3. *For a prime number k , let $p_K : S_g \rightarrow S_h$ be a regular \mathbb{Z}_k^r -cover. For $F \in \text{Mod}(S_h)$ and $\Psi : \text{Mod}(S_h) \rightarrow \text{Sp}(2h; \mathbb{Z})$, let $\Psi(F) = (a_{ij})_{2h \times 2h}$. Then $F \in \text{LMod}_{p_K}(S_h)$ if and only for $j \in \{2K+1, 2K+3, \dots, 2h-1\} \cup \{2(r-K+1), 2(r-K+2), \dots, 2K, \dots, 2h\}$, we have $a_{ij} \equiv 0 \pmod{k}$ for every $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, 2(r-K)+1, 2(r-K)+3, \dots, 2K-1\}$. Furthermore, $\text{Mod}(S_h)[k] \subset \text{LMod}_p(S_h)$.*

Proof. Let $H_1(S_h; \mathbb{Z}) = \langle a_1, b_1, \dots, a_h, b_h \rangle$. For the map $\eta_K : \pi_1(S_h) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_k^r$ described in Theorem 2.2, the induced map $\eta'_K : H_1(S_h; \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_k^r$ is given as

$$\eta'_K := \begin{cases} \eta'_K(a_i) = c_i & i \leq K \\ \eta'_K(a_i) = 0 & i > K \\ \eta'_K(b_i) = c_{i+K} & i \leq r - K \\ \eta'_K(b_i) = 0 & i > r - K. \end{cases}$$

It follows that

$$\ker \eta'_K = \langle ka_1, kb_1, \dots, ka_{r-K}, kb_{r-K}, ka_{r-K+1}, b_{r-K+1}, \dots, ka_K, b_K, a_{K+1}, b_{K+1}, \dots, a_h, b_h \rangle.$$

We have $F \in \text{LMod}_{p_K}(S_h)$ if and only if $F_{\#}(\ker \eta'_K) = \ker \eta'_K$. Since $H_1(S_h; \mathbb{Z}) = \langle e_1, e_2, \dots, e_{2h} \rangle$, without loss of generality, we assume that $a_{i'} = e_{2i'-1}$ and $b_{i'} = e_{2i'}$ for $1 \leq i' \leq h$. For $j \in \{2K+1, 2K+3, \dots, 2h-1\}$, we have $\Psi(F)e_j = \sum_{i=1}^{2h} a_{ij}e_i \in \ker \eta'_K$ if and only if $a_{ij} \equiv 0 \pmod{k}$ for all $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, 2(r-K)+1, 2(r-K)+3, \dots, 2K-1\}$. Similarly, for $j \in \{2(r-K+1), 2(r-K+2), \dots, 2K, \dots, 2h\}$, we have $\Psi(F)e_j = \sum_{i=1}^{2h} a_{ij}e_i \in \ker \eta'_K$ if and only if $a_{ij} \equiv 0 \pmod{k}$ for all $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, 2(r-K)+1, 2(r-K)+3, \dots, 2K-1\}$. Now, it follows that $\text{Mod}(S_h)[k] \subset \text{LMod}_p(S_h)$. \square

Remark 2.4. Let $A = \bigoplus_{i=1}^r \mathbb{Z}_{n_i}$ be an abelian group, where $n_i \mid n_{i+1}$. Given a finite-sheeted regular abelian cover $p : S_g \rightarrow S_h = S_g/A$, for $F \in \text{LMod}_p(S_h)$, one can derive the necessary and sufficient conditions on the entries of matrix $\Psi(F)$ from $F_{\#}(\ker \eta') = \ker \eta'$, where $\eta : \pi_1(S_h) \rightarrow A$ is a surface kernel map corresponding to the cover p and $\eta' : H_1(S_h; \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow A$ is the induced map. Since elements of $\text{Mod}(S_h)[n_r]$ acts trivially on $H_1(S_h; \mathbb{Z}_{n_r})$, we have $\text{Mod}(S_h)[n_r] \subset \text{LMod}_p(S_h)$.

2.3. Reducing the action of $\text{LMod}_p(S_h)$ on the curve graph to a finite graph. The nonseparating curve graph $\mathcal{N}(S_h)$ is defined as follows: the vertices of $\mathcal{N}(S_h)$ are nonseparating essential simple closed curves on S_h . Two vertices c_1 and c_2 are connected by an edge in $\mathcal{N}(S_h)$ if and only if $i(c_1, c_2) = 1$, where $i(c_1, c_2)$ is the minimal number of intersections between any representatives of isotopy classes c_1 and c_2 known as their *geometric intersection number*. It is known that $\mathcal{N}(S_h)$ is connected [18, Lemma 2] (see [9, Theorem 4.4] and [23, Lemma A.2] also). Let $V(\mathcal{N}(S_h))$ and $E(\mathcal{N}(S_h))$ be the set of vertices and the set of edges of $\mathcal{N}(S_h)$, respectively. By classification of surfaces, $\text{Mod}(S_h)$ acts transitively on $V(\mathcal{N}(S_h))$ and $E(\mathcal{N}(S_h))$. Let p be an abelian cover of S_h . Since $[\text{Mod}(S_h) : \text{LMod}_p(S_h)] < \infty$, the number of orbits of $\text{LMod}_p(S_h)$ -action on $V(\mathcal{N}(S_h))$ and $E(\mathcal{N}(S_h))$ is bounded above by $[\text{Mod}(S_h) : \text{LMod}_p(S_h)]$.

Let k be the smallest positive integer such that $\text{Mod}(S_h)[k] \subset \text{LMod}_p(S_h)$. For $c \in V(\mathcal{N}(S_h))$, let $[c]$ and $[c]_k$ be the homology classes of c in $H_1(S_h; \mathbb{Z})$ and $H_1(S_h; \mathbb{Z}_k)$, respectively. Consider the equivalence relation on $V(\mathcal{N}(S_h))$ defined as c_1 and c_2 are equivalent if $[c_1]_k = [c_2]_k$, where $c_1, c_2 \in V(\mathcal{N}(S_h))$. The equivalence relation induces a quotient map $\mathcal{N}(S_h) \rightarrow \mathcal{N}_k(S_h)$. Therefore, the quotient graph $\mathcal{N}_k(S_h)$ is connected. We will identify the vertices of $\mathcal{N}_k(S_h)$ with primitive elements of \mathbb{Z}_k^{2h} . We observe that the group $\Psi_k(\text{LMod}_p(S_h))$ acts naturally on the graph $\mathcal{N}_k(S_h)$. The orbit graphs $\mathcal{N}(S_h)/\text{LMod}_p(S_h)$ and $\mathcal{N}_k(S_h)/\Psi_k(\text{LMod}_p(S_h))$ will be denoted by $\overline{\mathcal{N}(S_h)}$ and $\overline{\mathcal{N}_k(S_h)}$, respectively.

Theorem 2.5. *Let $c, d \in V(\mathcal{N}(S_h))$ such that $[c]_k = [d]_k$. Then there exists a $F \in \text{Mod}(S_h)[k]$ such that $F(c) = d$. Furthermore, let $\{c_1, c_2\}, \{d_1, d_2\} \in E(\mathcal{N}(S_h))$ such that $[c_1]_k = [d_1]_k$ and $[c_2]_k = [d_2]_k$. Then there exists $F' \in \text{Mod}(S_h)[k]$ such that F' maps the edge $\{c_1, c_2\}$ to the edge $\{d_1, d_2\}$. Moreover, $\overline{\mathcal{N}(S_h)}$ and $\overline{\mathcal{N}_k(S_h)}$ are isomorphic.*

We provide the proof of Theorem 2.5 through a series of lemmas only in the case of $h = 2$ for brevity. Let $\Psi_k : \text{Mod}(S_2) \rightarrow \text{Sp}(4, \mathbb{Z}_k)$ be the \mathbb{Z}_k -homology representation of $\text{Mod}(S_2)$. The symplectic form $\hat{i} : H_1(S_2; \mathbb{Z}) \times H_1(S_2; \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ descends to a symplectic form $\hat{i}_k : H_1(S_2; \mathbb{Z}_k) \times H_1(S_2; \mathbb{Z}_k) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_k$ defined as $\hat{i}_k(x, y) := \hat{i}(u, v) \pmod{k}$, where $u \equiv x \pmod{k}$ and $v \equiv y \pmod{k}$.

Lemma 2.6. *Let $u \in H_1(S_2; \mathbb{Z})$ be a primitive vector and let $x \equiv u \pmod{k}$. Let $y \in H_1(S_2; \mathbb{Z}_k)$ be a primitive vector such that $\hat{i}_k(x, y) = 1$. Then there exists a primitive vector $v \in H_1(S_2; \mathbb{Z})$ such that $y \equiv v \pmod{k}$ and $\hat{i}(u, v) = 1$.*

Proof. There exists a primitive vector $v' \in H_1(S_2; \mathbb{Z})$ such that $\hat{i}(u, v') = 1$. Let $y' \equiv v' \pmod{k}$. We write $H_1(S_2; \mathbb{Z}_k) = \langle x, y' \rangle \oplus \langle x, y' \rangle^\perp$. Since $y \in H_1(S_2; \mathbb{Z}_k)$, we have $y = z + z^\perp$, where $z \in \langle x, y' \rangle$ and $z^\perp \in \langle x, y' \rangle^\perp$. Since $1 = \hat{i}_k(x, y) = \hat{i}_k(x, z) + \hat{i}_k(x, z^\perp)$ and $\hat{i}_k(x, z^\perp) = 0$, we have $\hat{i}_k(x, z) = 1$. Since $z \in \langle x, y' \rangle$ and $\hat{i}_k(x, y') = 1$, we must have $z = mx + y'$ for some $m \in \mathbb{Z}_k$. For $w := m'u + v'$ and $m' \equiv m \pmod{k}$, we have $w \equiv mx + y' = z \pmod{k}$ and $\hat{i}(u, w) = \hat{i}(u, v') = 1$. Since $\langle x, z \rangle^\perp = \langle x, y' \rangle^\perp$ and $\langle u, w \rangle^\perp$ maps to $\langle x, z \rangle^\perp \pmod{k}$, we can choose $w' \in \langle u, w \rangle^\perp$ such that $w' \equiv z^\perp \pmod{k}$. Then for $v := w + w'$, we have $v \equiv y \pmod{k}$ and $\hat{i}(u, v) = 1$. If $v = nv''$ for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $v'' \in H_1(S_2; \mathbb{Z})$, then we have $1 = \hat{i}(u, v) = n\hat{i}(u, v'')$. This implies that $n = 1$ and v is primitive. \square

Lemma 2.7. *Let $\{x_1, y_1, x_2, y_2\}$ be a symplectic basis for $H_1(S_2; \mathbb{Z}_k)$. Then there exists a symplectic basis $\{u_1, v_1, u_2, v_2\}$ of $H_1(S_2; \mathbb{Z})$ such that, for $i = 1, 2$, $u_i \equiv x_i \pmod{k}$ and $v_i \equiv y_i \pmod{k}$.*

Proof. We have $H_1(S_2; \mathbb{Z}_k) = \langle x_1, y_1 \rangle \oplus \langle x_2, y_2 \rangle$ such that $\langle x_2, y_2 \rangle = \langle x_1, y_1 \rangle^\perp$. For $i = 1, 2$, by Lemma 2.6, there exist $u_i, v_i \in H_1(S_2; \mathbb{Z})$ such that $\hat{i}(u_i, v_i) = 1$, $u_i \equiv x_i \pmod{k}$, and $v_i \equiv y_i \pmod{k}$. Since $\langle u_1, v_1 \rangle$ and $\langle u_2, v_2 \rangle$ maps to $\langle x_1, y_1 \rangle$ and $\langle x_2, y_2 \rangle$ modulo k , we have $\{u_1, v_1, u_2, v_2\}$ is a symplectic basis for $H_1(S_2; \mathbb{Z})$. \square

The following lemma is well-known in the theory of curves on surfaces, which says that a symplectic basis of $H_1(S_2; \mathbb{Z})$ can be realized by simple closed curves on S_2 known as a *geometric symplectic basis* (follows from the work of Meeks-Patrusky [22]) (see [23, Lemma A.3] also).

Lemma 2.8. *Let $\{u_1, v_1, u_2, v_2\}$ be a symplectic basis for $H_1(S_2; \mathbb{Z})$. Then, For $i = 1, 2$, there exist simple closed curves a_i and b_i on S_2 such that $[a_i] = u_i$, $[b_i] = v_i$, $i(a_i, b_i) = 1$ and $i(a_1, b_2) = i(a_1, a_2) = i(b_1, b_2) = i(a_2, b_1) = 0$.*

Corollary 2.8.1. *Let $c, d \in V(\mathcal{N}(S_2))$ such that $[c]_k = [d]_k$. Then there exists $F \in \text{Mod}(S_2)[k]$ such that $F(c) = d$.*

Proof. For $x_1 = [c]_k$, let $B = \{x_1, y_1, x_2, y_2\}$ be a symplectic basis for $H_1(S_2; \mathbb{Z}_k)$. By Lemmas 2.6-2.7, there exist symplectic bases $ZB_1 = \{u_1 = [c], v_1, u_2, v_2\}$ and $ZB_2 = \{u'_1 = [d], v'_1, u'_2, v'_2\}$ realizing B . By Lemma 2.8, there exist geometric symplectic basis $C = \{c = a_1, b_1, a_2, b_2\}$ and $D = \{d = a'_1, b'_1, a'_2, b'_2\}$ of $H_1(S_2; \mathbb{Z})$ realizing ZB_1 and ZB_2 . By classification of surfaces, there exists $F \in \text{Mod}(S_2)$ such that $F(C) = D$ and $F(c) = d$, where C and D are considered ordered. Since $\Psi_k(F)$ fixes B , we have $F \in \text{Mod}(S_2)[k]$. \square

Corollary 2.8.2. *Let $\{c_1, c_2\}, \{d_1, d_2\} \in E(\mathcal{N}(S_2))$ such that $[c_i]_k = [d_i]_k$, where $i = 1, 2$. Then there exists $F \in \text{Mod}(S_2)[k]$ such that F maps $\{c_1, c_2\}$ to $\{d_1, d_2\}$.*

Proof. For $x_1 = [c_1]_k$ and $y_1 = [c_2]_k$, let $B = \{x_1, y_1, x_2, y_2\}$ be a symplectic basis of $H_1(S_2, \mathbb{Z}_k)$. By Lemmas 2.6-2.7, there exist symplectic bases $ZB_1 = \{[c_1], [c_2], u_2, v_2\}$ and $ZB_2 = \{[d_1], [d_2], u'_2, v'_2\}$ of $H_1(S_2; \mathbb{Z})$ realizing B . By Lemma 2.8, there exist geometric symplectic bases $C = \{c_1, c_2, a_2, b_2\}$ and $D = \{d_1, d_2, a'_2, b'_2\}$ realizing ZB_1 and ZB_2 , respectively. By classification of surfaces, there exists $F \in \text{Mod}(S_2)$ such that $F(C) = D$, where C and D are considered ordered. Since $\Psi_k(F)$ fixes B , we have $F \in \text{Mod}(S_2)[k]$ and maps $\{c_1, c_2\}$ to $\{d_1, d_2\}$. \square

Lemma 2.9. *The graphs $\overline{\mathcal{N}(S_2)}$ and $\overline{\mathcal{N}_k(S_2)}$ are isomorphic.*

Proof. Define the map $\psi : V(\overline{\mathcal{N}(S_2)}) \rightarrow V(\overline{\mathcal{N}_k(S_2)})$ as $\psi(O_c) = O_{[c]_k}$ for $c \in V(\mathcal{N}(S_2))$, where O_c denote the orbit of the vertex $c \in V(\mathcal{N}(S_2))$ under the action $\text{LMod}_p(S_2)$ on $\mathcal{N}(S_2)$. For $O_c = O_d$, there exists $F \in \text{LMod}_p(S_2)$ such that $F(c) = d$. Then $\Psi_k(F)[c]_k = [d]_k$, that is, $O_{[c]_k} = O_{[d]_k}$. This shows that the map ψ is well-defined.

First, we show that ψ is bijective. Since the vertices of $\mathcal{N}_k(S_2)$ are identified with primitive elements of \mathbb{Z}_k^{2h} , ψ is surjective. For $O_c, O_d \in V(\overline{\mathcal{N}(S_2)})$, let $\psi(O_c) = \psi(O_d)$, that is, $O_{[c]_k} = O_{[d]_k}$. Then there exists a $F \in \text{LMod}_p(S_2)$ such that $\Psi_k(F)[c]_k = [d]_k$. Since $[F(c)]_k = [d]_k$, by Corollary 2.8.1, there exists $F' \in \text{Mod}(S_2)[k]$ such that $F'F(c) = d$. Since $F'F \in \text{LMod}_p(S_2)$, we have $O_c = O_d$, and consequently, ψ is injective.

Now we show that ψ induces a bijection $\theta : E(\overline{\mathcal{N}(S_2)}) \rightarrow E(\overline{\mathcal{N}_k(S_2)})$ given by $\theta(O_{\{c,d\}}) = O_{\{[c]_k, [d]_k\}}$, where $O_{\{c,d\}}$ denote the orbit of the edge $\{c, d\} \in E(\mathcal{N}(S_2))$ under the action of $\text{LMod}_p(S_2)$ on $\mathcal{N}(S_2)$. As before, it is clear that θ is a well-defined surjective map. We show that θ is injective. For $\{c_1, c_2\}, \{d_1, d_2\} \in E(\mathcal{N}(S_2))$, let $O_{\{[c_1]_k, [c_2]_k\}} = O_{\{[d_1]_k, [d_2]_k\}}$. There exists $F \in \text{LMod}_p(S_2)$ such that $\Psi_k(F)\{[c_1]_k, [c_2]_k\} = \{[d_1]_k, [d_2]_k\}$, that is, $\{[F(c_1)]_k, [F(c_2)]_k\} = \{[d_1]_k, [d_2]_k\}$. Since $i(c_1, c_2) = i(d_1, d_2) = 1$, by Corollary 2.8.2, there exists $F' \in \text{Mod}(S_2)[k]$ such that F' maps $\{F(c_1), F(c_2)\}$ to $\{d_1, d_2\}$. Since $F'F \in \text{LMod}_p(S_2)$ and $F'F$ maps $\{c_1, c_2\}$ to $\{d_1, d_2\}$, we have $O_{\{c_1, c_2\}} = O_{\{d_1, d_2\}}$, that is, θ is injective. Hence $\overline{\mathcal{N}(S_2)}$ and $\overline{\mathcal{N}_k(S_2)}$ are isomorphic. \square

2.4. Stabilizer of a nonseparating simple closed curve in $\text{LMod}_p(S_h)$. For a group G acting on a set X , the stabilizer of $x \in X$ in G will be denoted by $\text{Stab}_G(x)$. In this subsection, for an abelian cover p of S_h , we describe the stabilizer $\text{Stab}_{\text{LMod}_p(S_h)}(\gamma)$ of $\gamma \in V(\mathcal{N}(S_h))$ in $\text{LMod}_p(S_h)$. Since $[\text{Stab}_{\text{Mod}(S_h)}(\gamma) : \text{Stab}_{\text{LMod}_p(S_h)}(\gamma)] \leq [\text{Mod}(S_h) : \text{LMod}_p(S_h)] < \infty$,

$\text{Stab}_{\text{LMod}_p(S_h)}(\gamma)$ is finitely generated. We have the following short-exact sequence:

$$1 \rightarrow \text{Stab}_{\mathcal{I}(S_h)}(\gamma) \rightarrow \text{Stab}_{\text{Mod}(S_h)}(\gamma) \rightarrow \text{Stab}_{\text{Sp}(2h, \mathbb{Z})}(\pm[\gamma]) \rightarrow 1.$$

Since $\mathcal{I}(S_h) \subset \text{LMod}_p(S_h)$, we have

$$1 \rightarrow \text{Stab}_{\mathcal{I}(S_h)}(\gamma) \rightarrow \text{Stab}_{\text{LMod}_p(S_h)}(\gamma) \rightarrow \text{Stab}_{\Psi(\text{LMod}_p(S_h))}(\pm[\gamma]) \rightarrow 1. \quad (2)$$

By the symplectic criterion of $\Psi(\text{LMod}_p(S_h))$, one can construct a finite generating set for $\text{Stab}_{\Psi(\text{LMod}_p(S_h))}(\pm[\gamma])$, and thus, we describe a generating set for the group $\text{Stab}_{\mathcal{I}(S_h)}(\gamma)$. Let $S_{h,\gamma}$ be the closure of the surface obtained by cutting S_h along γ , and for the resultant boundary components γ^1 and γ^2 (see Figure 4), we have the following short-exact sequence [9, Theorem 3.18]:

$$1 \rightarrow \langle T_{\gamma^1} T_{\gamma^2}^{-1} \rangle \rightarrow \text{Mod}(S_{h,\gamma}) \rightarrow \text{Stab}_{\text{Mod}(S_h)}(\gamma) \rightarrow 1.$$

Let $\mathcal{I}(S_{h,\gamma})$ be the kernel of the composition $\text{Mod}(S_{h,\gamma}) \rightarrow \text{Stab}_{\text{Mod}(S_h)}(\gamma) \rightarrow \text{Sp}(2h, \mathbb{Z})$. Thus, we have the following short-exact sequence:

$$1 \rightarrow \langle T_{\gamma^1} T_{\gamma^2}^{-1} \rangle \rightarrow \mathcal{I}(S_{h,\gamma}) \rightarrow \text{Stab}_{\mathcal{I}(S_h)}(\gamma) \rightarrow 1.$$

Let S be a closed subsurface contained in $S_{h,\gamma}$ such that $S_{h,\gamma} \setminus \text{Int}(S)$ is a pair of pants (see Figure 4), where $\text{Int}(S)$ is the interior of S . Let $\widehat{S_{h,\gamma}}$ be the surface obtained from $S_{h,\gamma}$ by capping one of the boundary components by a closed disk. Let $\mathcal{I}(S)$ be the Torelli group of surface S . The following result due to Putman [23, Theorem 4.1] describes the group $\mathcal{I}(S_{h,\gamma})$.

Theorem 2.10. *We have $\mathcal{I}(S_{h,\gamma}) = [\pi, \pi] \rtimes \mathcal{I}(S)$, where $\pi = \pi_1(\widehat{S_{h,\gamma}})$. Furthermore, the surjection $\mathcal{I}(S_{2,\gamma}) \rightarrow \text{Stab}_{\mathcal{I}(S_2)}(\gamma)$ restricts to an isomorphism $[\pi, \pi] \rightarrow \text{Stab}_{\mathcal{I}(S_2)}(\gamma)$.*

Remark 2.11. We note that to write a generating set for $\mathcal{I}(S_{h,\gamma})$, one needs a generating set for $\mathcal{I}(S)$ and $[\pi, \pi]$ both. Due to Johnson [14], a finite generating set for $\mathcal{I}(S)$ is known when the genus of S is at least three. Furthermore, due to a result of Tomaszewski [25], a generating set for $[\pi, \pi]$ is also known. A geometric proof of Tomaszewski's result is given by Putman [24, Theorem A].

2.5. The algorithm. We now describe our algorithm.

Algorithm 2.12. *For $g \geq 2$, given an abelian cover $p : S_g \rightarrow S_h$, we follow the following steps to derive a generating set for $\text{LMod}_p(S_h)$. Let k be the smallest positive integer such that $\text{Mod}(S_h)[k] \subset \text{LMod}_p(S_h)$.*

- Step 1: Use the symplectic criterion (see Theorem 2.3 and Remark 2.4) to get the description of symplectic matrices of $\Psi_k(\text{LMod}_p(S_h))$.*
- Step 2: For $\text{LMod}_p(S_h)$ -action on the curve graph $\mathcal{N}(S_h)$, compute the structure of the finite graph $\overline{\mathcal{N}_k(S_h)}$.*
- Step 3: Choose one representative in $\mathcal{N}(S_h)$ for each vertex and edge orbit of $\Psi_k(\text{LMod}_p(S_h))$ -action on $\mathcal{N}_k(S_h)$ (see Theorem 2.5).*
- Step 4: Use Theorem 2.10 and Remark 2.11 to construct a generating set of $\text{Stab}_{\mathcal{I}(S_h)}(\gamma)$ for each γ chosen in Step 3.*
- Step 5: Use the symplectic criterion to construct a generating set for $\text{Stab}_{\Psi(\text{LMod}_p(S_h))}(\pm[\gamma])$ for each γ chosen in Step 3.*
- Step 6: Use the short-exact sequence 2 to write a generating set for $\text{Stab}_{\text{LMod}_p(S_h)}(\gamma)$ for each γ chosen in Step 3.*
- Step 7: Use Theorem 2.1 to obtain a generating set for $\text{LMod}_p(S_h)$ which is a union of $\text{Stab}_{\text{LMod}_p(S_h)}(\gamma)$, for each γ chosen in Step 3, and finitely many elements corresponding to edge orbits of $\text{LMod}_p(S_h)$ -action on $\mathcal{N}(S_h)$.*
- Step 8: From the generating set obtained in Step 7, use relations in $\text{Mod}(S_h)$ to obtain a finite generating set for $\text{LMod}_p(S_h)$.*

Step 9: By lifting the generators of $\text{LMod}_p(S_h)$ under the map $\varphi : \text{SMod}_p(S_g) \rightarrow \text{LMod}_p(S_h)$ obtained in Step 8, derive a finite generating set for the normalizer of the Deck group.

3. APPLICATIONS OF THE ALGORITHM

3.1. A finite generating set for $\text{LMod}_k(S_2)$. For $g \geq 2$, consider the cover $p_k : S_{k(g-1)+1} \rightarrow S_g$. The liftable mapping class group of cover p_k will be denoted by $\text{LMod}_k(S_g)$. In [8, Theorem 3.9], a finite generating set for $\text{LMod}_k(S_g)$ has been obtained for $g \geq 3$. Furthermore, a finite generating set for $\text{LMod}_2(S_2)$ has also been derived [8, Corollary 5.5]. In this subsection, using Algorithm 2.12, for a prime number k we derive a finite generating set for $\text{LMod}_k(S_2)$. As a consequence, we will recover the generating set of $\text{LMod}_2(S_2)$ obtained in [8, Corollary 5.5] and give an explicit finite generating set for $\text{LMod}_3(S_2)$.

For Step 1 of Algorithm 2.12, we must derive the symplectic criterion for $\text{LMod}_k(S_2)$. The following characterization of symplectic matrices was obtained in [1, Theorem 2.2].

Proposition 3.1. *For $F \in \text{Mod}(S_g)$, the following statements are equivalent.*

- (i) $F \in \text{LMod}_k(S_g)$.
- (ii) $\Psi(F) = (a_{ij})_{2g \times 2g}$, where $k \mid a_{2i}$, for $1 \leq i \leq 2g$ and $i \neq 2$, and $\gcd(a_{22}, k) = 1$.
- (iii) $\Psi_k(F) = (b_{ij})_{2g \times 2g}$, where $b_{2i} = 0$, for $1 \leq i \leq 2g$ and $i \neq 2$, and $b_{22} \in \mathbb{Z}_k^\times$.

For Step 2 of Algorithm 2.12, in the view of Lemma 2.9, we consider the action of $\Psi_k(\text{LMod}_k(S_2))$ on $\mathcal{N}_k(S_2)$ to determine the finite graph $\overline{\mathcal{N}_k(S_2)}$. Let $\{e_1, e_2, e_3, e_4\}$ be the standard symplectic basis of $H_1(S_2; \mathbb{Z}_k)$ identified with \mathbb{Z}_k^4 , where e_j has 1 at the j^{th} coordinate and 0 elsewhere. For $\ell \in \mathbb{Z}_k$, the multiplicative inverse of ℓ in \mathbb{Z}_k will be denoted by $\bar{\ell}$.

Lemma 3.2. *For a prime number k , the graph $\overline{\mathcal{N}_k(S_2)}$ has three vertices corresponding to orbits O_{e_1} , O_{e_2} , and O_{e_3} .*

Proof. Let $x = (i_1, i_2, i_3, i_4) \in \mathbb{Z}_k^4$ be a primitive vector. Since x is primitive, not all i_j 's are zero. We use the description of symplectic matrices in $\text{LMod}_k(S_2)$ described in Proposition 3.1.

Case 1: $i_2 \neq 0$. For the matrix

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} \bar{i}_2 & i_1 & -\bar{i}_2 i_4 & \bar{i}_2 i_3 \\ 0 & i_2 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & i_3 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & i_4 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix},$$

we have $A \in \Psi_k(\text{LMod}_k(S_2))$ and $Ae_2 = x$.

Case 2: $i_2 = 0$ and $i_3 \neq 0$. In this case, for

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & i_1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & i_3 & 0 \\ 0 & -\bar{i}_3 i_1 & i_4 & \bar{i}_3 \end{pmatrix},$$

we have $A \in \Psi_k(\text{LMod}_k(S_2))$ and $Ae_3 = x$.

Case 3: $i_2 = i_3 = 0$ and $i_4 \neq 0$. In this case, for

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & i_1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \bar{i}_4 i_1 & 0 & -\bar{i}_4 \\ 0 & 0 & i_4 & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

we have $A \in \Psi_k(\text{LMod}_k(S_2))$ and $Ae_3 = x$.

Case 4: $i_2 = i_3 = i_4 = 0$ and $i_1 \neq 0$. In this case, for

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} i_1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \bar{i}_1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix},$$

we have $A \in \Psi_k(\text{LMod}_k(S_2))$ and $Ae_1 = x$.

Now, it follows that O_{e_1} , O_{e_2} , and O_{e_3} are three distinct orbits of $\Psi_k(\text{LMod}_k(S_2))$ -action on $\mathcal{N}_k(S_2)$. \square

The three vertices of the graph $\overline{\mathcal{N}_k(S_2)}$ corresponding to orbits O_{e_1} , O_{e_2} , and O_{e_3} will be denoted by \bar{e}_1 , \bar{e}_2 , and \bar{e}_3 , respectively. The zero matrix and the identity matrix of size $n \times n$ will be denoted by $O_{n \times n}$ and $I_{n \times n}$, respectively.

Lemma 3.3. *For a prime number k , the graph $\overline{\mathcal{N}_k(S_2)}$ has an edge between \bar{e}_1 and \bar{e}_2 , an edge between \bar{e}_2 and \bar{e}_3 , a loop at \bar{e}_3 , and $2(k-1)$ loops at \bar{e}_2 .*

Proof. To determine the set of edges of the graph $\overline{\mathcal{N}_k(S_2)}$, we determine distinct orbits of edges of the graph $\mathcal{N}_k(S_2)$ under the action of $\Psi_k(\text{LMod}_k(S_2))$. We first provide computations for loops at vertices of $\overline{\mathcal{N}_k(S_2)}$ and then for edges between distinct vertices of $\overline{\mathcal{N}_k(S_2)}$. Let $v, v' \in \mathbb{Z}_k^4$ be primitive vectors given as $v = (i_1, i_2, i_3, i_4)$ and $v' = (i'_1, i'_2, i'_3, i'_4)$.

Loops at \bar{e}_1 : From the proof of Lemma 3.2, it follows that $v \in O_{e_1}$ if and only if $i_2 = i_3 = i_4 = 0$ and $i_1 \neq 0$. Since $\hat{i}_k(e_1, v) = 0$, $\{e_1, v\}$ is not an edge of $\mathcal{N}_k(S_2)$, and therefore, there are no loops at \bar{e}_1 .

Loops at \bar{e}_3 : From the proof of Lemma 3.2, it follows that $v \in O_{e_3}$ if and only if $i_2 = 0$ and either $i_3 \neq 0$ or $i_4 \neq 0$. Since $e_4 \in O_{e_3}$ and $\hat{i}_k(e_3, e_4) = 1$, there is a loop at \bar{e}_3 corresponding to the orbit O_{e_3, e_4} . Let $v, v' \in O_{e_3}$ such that $\hat{i}_k(v, v') = 1$. We claim that there exists $A \in \Psi_k(\text{LMod}_k(S_2))$ such that $A\{e_3, e_4\} = \{v, v'\}$. Since $v' \in O_{e_3}$, we have $i'_2 = 0$ and either $i'_3 \neq 0$ or $i'_4 \neq 0$. Since $\hat{i}_k(v, v') = 1$, we have $i_3 i'_4 - i_4 i'_3 = 1$. For the matrix

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & i_1 & i'_1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & i'_1 i_3 - i_1 i'_3 & i_3 & i'_3 \\ 0 & i'_1 i_4 - i_1 i'_4 & i_4 & i'_4 \end{pmatrix},$$

we have $A \in \Psi_k(\text{LMod}_k(S_2))$ and $A\{e_3, e_4\} = \{v, v'\}$. Hence, there is exactly one loop at \bar{e}_3 in $\overline{\mathcal{N}_k(S_2)}$ corresponding to the orbit $O_{\{e_3, e_4\}}$.

Loops at \bar{e}_2 : From the proof of Lemma 3.2, it follows that $v \in O_{e_2}$ if and only if $i_2 \neq 0$. For $\{e_2, v\}$ to be an edge of $\mathcal{N}_k(S_2)$, we must have $i_1 = 1$. Since $\text{SL}(2, \mathbb{Z}_k)$ acts transitively on the set of primitive vectors, if $(i_3, i_4) \neq (0, 0)$, then there exists $A' \in \text{SL}(2, \mathbb{Z}_k)$ such that $A'(i_3, i_4) = (1, 0)$. Then, for the matrix

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} I_{2 \times 2} & O_{2 \times 2} \\ O_{2 \times 2} & A' \end{pmatrix},$$

we have $A \in \Psi_k(\text{LMod}_k(S_2))$ and $Av = (1, i_2, 1, 0)$. For $1 \leq i_2, i'_2 < k$, $v = (1, i_2, 0, 0)$ and $v' = (1, i'_2, 1, 0)$, it can be seen that $O_{\{e_2, v\}}$ and $O_{\{e_2, v'\}}$ are distinct orbits of edges corresponding to $2(k-1)$ loops at \bar{e}_2 .

Edges between \bar{e}_1 and \bar{e}_2 : Since $\hat{i}_k(e_1, e_2) = 1$, $\{\bar{e}_1, \bar{e}_2\}$ is an edge of $\overline{\mathcal{N}_k(S_2)}$. Let $v \in O_{e_1}$ and $v' \in O_{e_2}$ such that $\hat{i}_k(v, v') = 1$. Then we have $i_2 = i_3 = i_4 = 0$, $i_1 \neq 0$, and $i'_2 \neq 0$. Since

$\hat{i}_k(v, v') = 1$, we have $i_1 i'_2 = 1$. Then, for the matrix

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} i_1 & i'_1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & i'_2 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & i'_3 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & i'_4 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix},$$

we have $A\{e_1, e_2\} = \{v, v'\}$. Therefore, there is exactly one edge between \bar{e}_1 and \bar{e}_2 corresponding to the orbit $O_{\{e_1, e_2\}}$.

Edges between \bar{e}_1 and \bar{e}_3 : Let $v \in O_{e_1}$ and $v' \in O_{e_3}$. Then $i_1 \neq 0$, $i'_2 = i_2 = i_3 = i_4 = 0$, and either $i'_3 \neq 0$ or $i'_4 \neq 0$. Since $\hat{i}_k(v, v') = 0$, there are no edges between \bar{e}_1 and \bar{e}_3 .

Edges between \bar{e}_2 and \bar{e}_3 : Since $e_3 - e_1 \in O_{e_3}$ and $\hat{i}_k(e_2, e_3 - e_1) = 1$, $\{\bar{e}_2, \bar{e}_3\}$ is an edge of $\overline{\mathcal{N}_k(S_2)}$. Let $v \in O_{e_2}$ and $v' \in O_{e_3}$ such that $\hat{i}_k(v, v') = 1$. Then we have $-i_2 i'_1 + i_3 i'_4 - i_4 i'_3 = 1$, $i_2 \neq 0$, $i'_2 = 0$, and either $i'_3 \neq 0$ or $i'_4 \neq 0$. Then there exists $A \in \Psi_k(\text{LMod}_k(S_2))$ such that $A\{e_2, e_3 - e_1\} = \{v, v'\}$. For $i'_3 \neq 0$, we choose

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} \bar{i}_2 & i_1 & i'_1 + \bar{i}_2 & \bar{i}_2 i_3 i'_3 \\ 0 & i_2 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & i_3 & i'_3 & 0 \\ 0 & i_4 & i'_4 & i'_3 \end{pmatrix}$$

and for $i'_3 = 0$, we choose

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} \bar{i}_2 & i_1 & \bar{i}_2 + i'_1 & \bar{i}_2 i_4 i'_4 \\ 0 & i_2 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & i_3 & 0 & -i'_4 \\ 0 & i_4 & i'_4 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

Hence there is exactly one edge between \bar{e}_2 and \bar{e}_3 corresponding to the orbit $O_{\{e_2, e_3 - e_1\}}$. \square

For Step 3 of Algorithm 2.12, we choose curves a , b , and c in $\mathcal{N}(S_2)$ corresponding to orbits O_{e_1} , O_{e_2} , and O_{e_3} in $\overline{\mathcal{N}_k(S_2)}$, respectively. For loops in $\overline{\mathcal{N}_k(S_2)}$, we choose edges $\{c, d\}$, $\{b, T_b^{-i_1}(a)\}$, and $\{b, T_b^{-i_2}(c)\}$ in $\mathcal{N}(S_2)$ corresponding to orbits $O_{\{e_3, e_4\}}$, $O_{\{e_2, e_1 + i_1 e_2\}}$, and $O_{\{e_2, e_1 + i_2 e_2 + e_3\}}$, respectively, where $1 \leq i_1, i_2 < k$. For edges in $\overline{\mathcal{N}_k(S_2)}$ which are not loops, we choose edges $\{a, b\}$ and $\{b, c\}$ in $\mathcal{N}(S_2)$ corresponding to orbits $O_{\{e_1, e_2\}}$ and $O_{\{e_2, e_3\}}$, respectively. For $F = T_d T_c T_e T_d \in \text{LMod}_k(S_2)$, since $F(e) = c$, we have $\text{Stab}_{\text{LMod}_k(S_2)}(c) = F \text{Stab}_{\text{LMod}_k(S_2)}(e) F^{-1}$. Therefore, for easier computations, we will derive a generating set for $\text{Stab}_{\text{LMod}_k(S_2)}(e)$ instead of $\text{Stab}_{\text{LMod}_k(S_2)}(c)$.

In the following lemma, we show that $\text{Stab}_{\text{Mod}(S_2)}(a) \subset \text{LMod}_k(S_2)$. Therefore, it is easier to directly compute a generating set for $\text{Stab}_{\text{LMod}_k(S_2)}(a)$. Let $\iota \in \text{Mod}(S_2)$ be the hyperelliptic involution.


Lemma 3.4. *We have $\text{Stab}_{\text{LMod}_k(S_2)}(a) = \langle T_a, T_c, T_d, T_e, \iota \rangle$.*

Proof. We recall the short-exact sequence [9, Proposition 3.20] induced by deleting the curve a from S_2 :

$$1 \rightarrow \langle T_a \rangle \rightarrow \text{Stab}_{\text{Mod}(S_2)}(a) \rightarrow \text{Mod}(S_2 \setminus a) \cong \text{Mod}(S_{1,2}) \rightarrow 1.$$

For curves shown in Figure 3, it is known [9, Chapter 4] that $\text{Mod}(S_{1,2}) = \langle T_\alpha, T_\beta, T_\gamma, \bar{\iota} \rangle$, where $\bar{\iota} \in \text{Mod}(S_{1,2})$ is the hyperelliptic involution represented by π -rotation of $S_{1,2}$ as shown in Figure 3. Since $T_c \mapsto T_\gamma$, $T_d \mapsto T_\beta$, $T_e \mapsto T_\alpha$, and $\iota \mapsto \bar{\iota}$ under the map $\text{Stab}_{\text{Mod}(S_2)}(a) \rightarrow \text{Mod}(S_2 \setminus a)$ (see Figure 3), we have $\text{Stab}_{\text{Mod}(S_2)}(a) = \langle T_a, T_c, T_d, T_e, \iota \rangle$. From Proposition 3.1, it follows that $T_a, T_c, T_d, T_e, \iota \in \text{LMod}_k(S_2)$, we have $\text{Stab}_{\text{Mod}(S_2)}(a) \subset \text{LMod}_k(S_2)$. Hence $\text{Stab}_{\text{LMod}_k(S_2)}(a) = \langle T_a, T_c, T_d, T_e, \iota \rangle$. \square


In our computations, we will frequently use the following well-known (see [9, Section 3.3-3.5]) relations between Dehn twists.

FIGURE 3. The surface $S_{1,2}$ obtained from S_2 by deleting the curve e .

Lemma 3.5. *Let c_1 and c_2 be two simple closed curves on S . Then the following holds.*

- (i) *If $i(c_1, c_2) = 0$, then $T_{c_1}T_{c_2} = T_{c_2}T_{c_1}$.*
- (ii) *For any $F \in \text{Mod}(S)$, $FT_{c_1}F^{-1} = T_{F(c_1)}$.*
- (iii) *If $i(c_1, c_2) = 1$, then the following are equivalent.*
 - (a) $T_{c_1}T_{c_2}T_{c_1} = T_{c_2}T_{c_1}T_{c_2}$.
 - (b) $T_{c_1}T_{c_2}T_{c_1}^{-1} = T_{c_2}^{-1}T_{c_1}T_{c_2}$.
 - (c) $T_{c_1}^{-1}T_{c_2}T_{c_1} = T_{c_2}T_{c_1}T_{c_2}^{-1}$.
 - (d) $T_{c_1}T_{c_2}(c_1) = c_2$.


For Step 4 of Algorithm 2.12, we derive a generating set for $\text{Stab}_{\mathcal{I}(S_2)}(\gamma)$, where $\gamma \in \{b, c\}$. First, we provide computations for the case $\gamma = e$. We note that $S_{2,e}$ is embedded in S_2 as shown in Figure 4.

FIGURE 4. An embedding of $S_{2,e}$ in S_2 .


Lemma 3.6. *We have*

$$\text{Stab}_{\mathcal{I}(S_2)}(e) = \langle T_a^{-m-1}T_c^mT_b^{-1}T_c^n(T_aT_b)^6T_c^{-n}T_bT_c^{-m}T_a^{m+1} \mid m, n \in \mathbb{Z} \rangle.$$

Proof. By Theorem 2.10, it follows that $\text{Stab}_{\mathcal{I}(S_2)}(e) \cong [\pi, \pi]$, where $\pi = \pi_1(\widehat{S_{2,e}})$. Consider the generating set $\langle \tilde{a}, \tilde{b} \rangle$ of π shown in Figure 5. The group π is embedded in $\text{Mod}(S_{2,e})$ via

FIGURE 5. Generators of π .

pushing the capped disk of $S_{2,e}$ along loops [9, Section 4.2]. Therefore, the images of \tilde{a} and \tilde{b} in $\text{Mod}(S_{2,e})$ are $T_{\tilde{a}}^{-1}T_{\tilde{c}}T_{\tilde{e}}^{\ell}$ and $T_{\tilde{b}}^{-1}T_{\tilde{f}}T_{\tilde{e}}^{\ell'}$ for some $\ell, \ell' \in \mathbb{Z}$ (see [7, Proposition 2.8] also), where

FIGURE 6. The boundary curves of annular neighbourhoods of generators of π .


curves \bar{a} , \bar{c} , \bar{b} , and \bar{f} are shown in Figure 6. It is known [24, Theorem A] that $[\pi, \pi]$ is generated by the set $\{\tilde{a}^m \tilde{b}^n [\tilde{a}, \tilde{b}] \tilde{b}^{-n} \tilde{a}^{-m} \mid m, n \in \mathbb{Z}\}$. Furthermore, the image of $[\tilde{a}, \tilde{b}] \in [\pi, \pi]$ in $S_{2,e}$ is $T_\vartheta T_{e^1}^{-1} T_{e^2}$, where ϑ is the separating curve shown in Figure 4. Since T_{e^1} and T_{e^2} maps to T_e in $\text{Mod}(S_2)$ under the map $\text{Mod}(S_{2,e}) \rightarrow \text{Stab}_{\text{Mod}(S_2)}(e)$, we have that $[\tilde{a}, \tilde{b}]$ maps to $(T_a T_b)^6$ in $\mathcal{I}(S_2)$. Therefore, we have

$$\text{Stab}_{\mathcal{I}(S_2)}(e) = \langle (T_a^{-1} T_c T_e^\ell)^m (T_b^{-1} T_f T_e^{\ell'})^n (T_a T_b)^6 (T_b^{-1} T_f T_e^{\ell'})^{-n} (T_a^{-1} T_c T_e^\ell)^{-m} \mid m, n \in \mathbb{Z} \rangle,$$

where f is shown in Figure 7. Since T_e commutes with T_a , T_b , T_c , T_f , and $(T_a T_b)^6$, we have

$$\text{Stab}_{\mathcal{I}(S_2)}(e) = \langle (T_a^{-1} T_c)^m (T_b^{-1} T_f)^n (T_a T_b)^6 (T_b^{-1} T_f)^{-n} (T_a^{-1} T_c)^{-m} \mid m, n \in \mathbb{Z} \rangle.$$

Since $f = T_a^{-1} T_b^{-1}(c)$, we have $T_f = T_a^{-1} T_b^{-1} T_c T_b T_a$.

FIGURE 7. The figure shows that e is disjoint from a, b, c , and f .

Since

$$\begin{aligned} (T_a^{-1} T_c)^m (T_b^{-1} T_f)^n (T_a T_b)^6 (T_b^{-1} T_f)^{-n} (T_a^{-1} T_c)^{-m} &= (T_a^{-1} T_c)^m T_f^n (T_a T_b)^6 T_f^{-n} (T_a^{-1} T_c)^{-m} \\ &= (T_a^{-1} T_c)^m T_a^{-1} T_b^{-1} T_c (T_a T_b)^6 T_c^{-n} T_b T_a (T_a^{-1} T_c)^{-m} \\ &= T_a^{-m-1} T_c^m T_b^{-1} T_c^n (T_a T_b)^6 T_c^{-n} T_b T_c^{-m} T_a^{m+1}, \end{aligned}$$

we have

$$\text{Stab}_{\mathcal{I}(S_2)}(e) = \langle T_a^{-m-1} T_c^m T_b^{-1} T_c^n (T_a T_b)^6 T_c^{-n} T_b T_c^{-m} T_a^{m+1} \mid m, n \in \mathbb{Z} \rangle.$$

□

Lemma 3.7. *We have*

$$\text{Stab}_{\mathcal{I}(S_2)}(b) = \langle T_c T_d T_e T_d^{m+1} T_a^{-1} T_b^{-m-1} T_c T_d^{-1} (T_b T_c)^6 (T_c T_d T_e T_d^{m+1} T_a^{-1} T_b^{-m-1} T_c T_d^{-1})^{-1} \mid m, n \in \mathbb{Z} \rangle.$$

Proof. For $F = T_c T_b T_d T_c T_e T_d$, since $F(e) = b$, we have $\text{Stab}_{\mathcal{I}(S_2)}(b) = F \text{Stab}_{\mathcal{I}(S_2)}(e) F^{-1}$. Thus

$$\text{Stab}_{\mathcal{I}(S_2)}(b) = \langle T_{F(a)}^{-m-1} T_{F(c)}^m T_{F(b)}^{-1} T_{F(c)}^n (T_{F(a)} T_{F(b)})^6 T_{F(c)}^{-n} T_{F(b)} T_{F(c)}^{-m} T_{F(a)}^{m+1} \mid m, n \in \mathbb{Z} \rangle.$$

For $G = T_a T_b T_c T_d$, since $G(a) = b$, $G(b) = c$, and $G(c) = d$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & T_{F(a)}^{-m-1} T_{F(c)}^m T_{F(b)}^{-1} T_{F(c)}^n (T_{F(a)} T_{F(b)})^6 T_{F(c)}^{-n} T_{F(b)} T_{F(c)}^{-m} T_{F(a)}^{m+1} \\ &= T_c T_b T_d T_c T_e T_d T_a^{-m-1} T_c^m T_b^{-1} T_c^n T_b (T_a T_b)^6 (T_c T_b T_d T_c T_e T_d T_a^{-m-1} T_c^m T_b^{-1} T_c^n T_b)^{-1} \\ &= T_c T_d T_e T_a^{-1} (T_a T_b T_c T_d) T_a^{-m-1} T_c^{m+1} T_b^n T_c^{-1} (T_a T_b)^6 (T_c T_d T_e T_a^{-1} (T_a T_b T_c T_d) T_a^{-m-1} T_c^{m+1} T_b^n T_c^{-1})^{-1} \\ &= T_c T_d T_e T_d^{m+1} T_a^{-1} T_b^{-m-1} T_c^n T_d^{-1} (T_b T_c)^6 (T_c T_d T_e T_d^{m+1} T_a^{-1} T_b^{-m-1} T_c^n T_d^{-1})^{-1}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, we have

$$\text{Stab}_{\mathcal{I}(S_2)}(b) = \langle T_c T_d T_e T_d^{m+1} T_a^{-1} T_b^{-m-1} T_c^n T_d^{-1} (T_b T_c)^6 (T_c T_d T_e T_d^{m+1} T_a^{-1} T_b^{-m-1} T_c^n T_d^{-1})^{-1} \mid m, n \in \mathbb{Z} \rangle.$$

□

Let $\Gamma_0(k)$ be the subgroup of $\text{SL}(2, \mathbb{Z})$ defined as follows:

$$\Gamma_0(k) = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in \text{SL}(2, \mathbb{Z}) \mid c \equiv 0 \pmod{k} \right\}.$$

Let $\phi : \text{SL}(2, \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow \text{Sp}(4, \mathbb{Z})$ be the injective homomorphism defined as

$$\phi : \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} a & b & 0 & 0 \\ c & d & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Let $\mathbb{S} \subset \text{LMod}_k(S_2)$ such that $T_b^k \in \mathbb{S}$ and $\Psi(\mathbb{S})$ is a finite generating set for $\phi(\Gamma_0(k))$. For Step 5 of Algorithm 2.12, we compute a generating set for $\text{Stab}_{\Psi(\text{LMod}_k(S_2))}(\pm[\gamma])$ for $\gamma = e, b$. For these computations, we define the following matrices:

$$M = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \text{ and } N = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (3)$$

Lemma 3.8. *We have*

$$\text{Stab}_{\Psi(\text{LMod}_k(S_2))}(\pm[e]) = \langle \phi(\Gamma_0(k)), \Psi(T_a), \Psi(T_c), \Psi(T_e), M^k, -I_{4 \times 4} \rangle.$$

Proof. Since $-I_{4 \times 4}([e]) = -[e]$ and $-I_{4 \times 4} \in \Psi(\text{LMod}_k(S_2))$, we compute $\text{Stab}_{\Psi(\text{LMod}_k(S_2))}([e])$. Since $[e] = e_3 \in \mathbb{Z}^4$, for any $A \in \text{Stab}_{\Psi(\text{LMod}_k(S_2))}(e_3)$, we have $A \in \text{Sp}(4, \mathbb{Z})$ and

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} a_{11} & a_{12} & 0 & a_{14} \\ a_{21} & a_{22} & 0 & a_{24} \\ a_{31} & a_{32} & 1 & a_{34} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix},$$

where $a_{21}, a_{31}, a_{24} \in k\mathbb{Z}$ and $\gcd(a_{11}, k) = \gcd(a_{22}, k) = 1$. Since

$$\begin{pmatrix} a_{11} & a_{12} \\ a_{21} & a_{22} \end{pmatrix} \in \Gamma_0(k).$$

there exists $A_1 \in \Gamma_0(k)$ such that for $A_2 := \phi(A_1)A$, we have

$$A_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & a_{32} \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & -a_{31} \\ a_{31} & a_{32} & 1 & a_{34} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Furthermore, we have

$$A_3 := \Psi(T_e)^{(a_{32}a_{31}-a_{34})} M^{a_{31}} A_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & a_{32} \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & a_{32} & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Now, we observe that $A_3 = (\Psi(T_e)\Psi(T_a)\Psi(T_c)^{-1})^{-a_{32}}$. Hence

$$\text{Stab}_{\Psi(\text{LMod}_k(S_2))}(\pm[e]) = \langle \phi(\Gamma_0(k)), \Psi(T_a), \Psi(T_c), \Psi(T_e), M^k, -I_{4 \times 4} \rangle.$$

□

Lemma 3.9. *We have*

$$\text{Stab}_{\Psi(\text{LMod}_k(S_2))}(\pm[b]) = \langle \Psi(T_b)^k, \Psi(T_d), \Psi(T_e), M^k, N^k, -I_{4 \times 4} \rangle.$$

Proof. Since $-I_{4 \times 4}([b]) = -[b]$ and $-I_{4 \times 4} \in \Psi(\text{LMod}_k(S_2))$, we compute $\text{Stab}_{\Psi(\text{LMod}_k(S_2))}([b])$. Since $[b] = e_2 \in \mathbb{Z}^4$, for any $A \in \text{Stab}_{\Psi(\text{LMod}_k(S_2))}(e_2)$, we have $A \in \text{Sp}(4, \mathbb{Z})$ and

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ a_{21} & 1 & a_{23} & a_{24} \\ a_{31} & 0 & a_{33} & a_{34} \\ a_{41} & 0 & a_{43} & a_{44} \end{pmatrix},$$

where $a_{21}, a_{31}, a_{41}, a_{23}a_{24} \in k\mathbb{Z}$. Since

$$\begin{pmatrix} a_{33} & a_{34} \\ a_{43} & a_{44} \end{pmatrix} \in \text{SL}(2, \mathbb{Z})$$

there exists $A_1 \in \langle \Psi(T_d), \Psi(T_e) \rangle$ such that

$$A_1 A = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ a_{21} & 1 & a_{23} & a_{24} \\ -a_{24} & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ a_{23} & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

By using matrices $\Psi(T_b)$, M , and N from Equation (3), we have $\Psi(T_b)^{A_2(2,1)} A_2 = I_{4 \times 4}$, where $A_2 = M^{-a_{24}} N^{-a_{23}} A_1 A$ (here $A_2(2,1)$ denoted the second entry in first column of A_2). Hence, we have

$$\text{Stab}_{\Psi(\text{LMod}_k(S_2))}(\pm[b]) = \langle \Psi(T_b)^k, \Psi(T_d), \Psi(T_e), M^k, N^k, -I_{4 \times 4} \rangle.$$

□

It can be seen that $M = \Psi(T_a T_b T_a^{-1} T_c T_b^{-1} T_a^{-1} T_e^{-1})$ and $N = \Psi(T_d T_e T_d) M \Psi(T_d T_e T_d)^{-1}$. For Step 6 of Algorithm 2.12, we finally write $\text{Stab}_{\text{LMod}_k(S_2)}(\gamma)$ for $\gamma = b, e$.

Corollary 3.9.1. *We have*

$$\text{Stab}_{\text{LMod}_k(S_2)}(e) = \langle \mathbb{S} \cup \{T_a, T_c, T_e, \iota\} \cup \{T_b^j T_c^{-1} (T_a T_b)^6 T_c T_b^{-j} \mid -k < j \leq 0\} \rangle.$$

Proof. From short-exact sequence (2) and Lemmas 3.6-3.8, it follows that $\text{Stab}_{\text{LMod}_k(S_2)}(e) = \langle \mathbb{S} \cup \mathbb{S}' \cup \mathbb{S}'' \rangle$, where

$$\mathbb{S}' = \{T_a, T_c, T_e, T_a T_b T_a^{-k} T_c^k T_b^{-1} T_a^{-1} T_e^{-k}, \iota\}$$

and

$$\mathbb{S}'' = \{T_a^{-m-1} T_c^m T_b^{-1} T_c^n (T_a T_b)^6 T_c^{-n} T_b T_c^{-m} T_a^{m+1} \mid m, n \in \mathbb{Z}\}.$$

We observe that

$$\begin{aligned} T_a T_b T_a^{-k} T_c^k T_b^{-1} T_a^{-1} T_e^{-k} &= T_a (T_b T_a^{-k} T_b^{-1}) (T_b T_c^k T_b^{-1}) T_a^{-1} T_e^{-k} \\ &= T_b^{-k} T_a T_c^{-1} T_b^k T_c T_a^{-1} T_e^k. \end{aligned}$$

Since $T_b^k \in \mathbb{S}$ and $T_a, T_c, T_e \in \mathbb{S}'$, we have $\text{Stab}_{\text{LMod}_k(S_2)}(e) = \langle \mathbb{S} \cup \{T_a, T_c, T_e, \iota\} \cup \mathbb{S}''' \rangle$, where

$$\mathbb{S}''' = \{T_b^{-1}T_c^n(T_aT_b)^6T_c^{-n}T_b \mid n \in \mathbb{Z}\}.$$

Furthermore, since $[T_b, (T_aT_b)^6] = 1$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} T_b^{-1}T_c^n(T_aT_b)^6T_c^{-n}T_b &= (T_b^{-1}T_c^nT_b)(T_aT_b)^6(T_b^{-1}T_c^{-n}T_b) \\ &= T_cT_b^nT_c^{-1}(T_aT_b)^6T_cT_b^{-n}T_c^{-1}. \end{aligned}$$

Since $T_c \in \mathbb{S}'$ and $T_b^k \in \mathbb{S}$, we have

$$\text{Stab}_{\text{LMod}_k(S_2)}(e) = \langle \mathbb{S} \cup \{T_a, T_c, T_e, \iota\} \cup \{T_b^jT_c^{-1}(T_aT_b)^6T_cT_b^{-j} \mid -k < j \leq 0\} \rangle.$$

□

Corollary 3.9.2. *We have $\text{Stab}_{\text{LMod}_k(S_2)}(b) = \langle \{T_b^k, T_d, T_e, \iota, T_aT_c^{-1}T_b^kT_cT_a^{-1}\} \cup \mathbb{S}' \rangle$, where*

$$\mathbb{S}' = \{T_cT_dT_eT_d^{m+1}T_a^{-1}T_b^{-m-1}T_cT_d^{-1}(T_bT_c)^6(T_cT_dT_eT_d^{m+1}T_a^{-1}T_b^{-m-1}T_cT_d^{-1})^{-1} \mid m, n \in \mathbb{Z}\}.$$

Proof. From short-exact sequence (2) and Lemmas 3.7-3.9, it follows that

$$\text{Stab}_{\text{LMod}_k(S_2)}(b) = \langle \{T_b^k, T_d, T_e, \iota\} \cup \mathbb{S}' \cup \mathbb{S}'' \rangle,$$

where

$$\mathbb{S}'' = \{T_aT_bT_a^{-k}T_cT_b^{-1}T_a^{-1}T_e^k, T_dT_eT_dT_aT_bT_a^{-k}T_cT_b^{-1}T_a^{-1}T_e^k(T_dT_eT_d)^{-1}\}$$

and

$$\mathbb{S}' = \{T_cT_dT_eT_d^{m+1}T_a^{-1}T_b^{-m-1}T_cT_d^{-1}(T_bT_c)^6(T_cT_dT_eT_d^{m+1}T_a^{-1}T_b^{-m-1}T_cT_d^{-1})^{-1} \mid m, n \in \mathbb{Z}\}.$$

Since $T_aT_bT_a^{-k}T_cT_b^{-1}T_a^{-1}T_e^k = T_b^{-k}T_aT_c^{-1}T_b^kT_cT_a^{-1}T_e^k$ and $T_b^k \in \text{Stab}_{\text{LMod}_k(S_2)}(b)$, we have

$$\text{Stab}_{\text{LMod}_k(S_2)}(b) = \langle \{T_b^k, T_d, T_e, \iota, T_aT_c^{-1}T_b^kT_cT_a^{-1}\} \cup \mathbb{S}' \rangle.$$

□

For Steps 7-8 of Algorithm 2.12, we will use Theorem 2.1 to write a generating set for $\text{LMod}_k(S_2)$.

Theorem 3.10. *For a prime number k and the k -sheeted regular cyclic cover $p_k : S_{k+1} \rightarrow S_2$, we have $\text{LMod}_k(S_2) = \langle \mathbb{S} \cup \{T_a, T_b^k, T_c, T_d, T_e, \iota\} \cup \mathbb{S}' \cup \mathbb{S}'' \rangle$, where $\mathbb{S}' = \{T_b^{1-j}T_aT_b^{1-j} \mid 1 \leq j < k\}$ and $\mathbb{S}'' = \{(T_bT_c)^6, T_b^iT_c^jT_d(T_bT_c)^6T_d^{-1}T_c^{-j}T_b^{-i} \mid 1 \leq i, j < k\}$.*

Proof. We observe that the union of edges $\{\bar{e}_1, \bar{e}_2\}$ and $\{\bar{e}_2, \bar{e}_3\}$ forms a maximal tree in $\overline{\mathcal{N}_k(S_2)}$. In the complement of this maximal tree, there are only loops. For these loops in $\overline{\mathcal{N}_k(S_2)}$, we have already chosen edges in $\mathcal{N}(S_2)$ while implementing the Step 3 of Algorithm 2.12. They are as follows: for loops in $\overline{\mathcal{N}_k(S_2)}$, we chose edges $\{c, d\}$, $\{b, T_b^{-i_1}(a)\}$, and $\{b, T_b^{-i_2}(c)\}$ in $\mathcal{N}(S_2)$ corresponding to orbits $O_{\{e_3, e_4\}}$, $O_{\{e_2, e_1+i_1e_2\}}$, and $O_{\{e_2, e_1+i_2e_2+e_3\}}$, respectively, where $1 \leq i_1, i_2 < k$.

By Theorem 2.1, for each loop $\{v, v\} \in E(\overline{\mathcal{N}_k(S_2)})$ at any vertex v and a representative edge $\{v_1, v_2\} \in E(\mathcal{N}(S_2))$, we need an element $F \in \text{LMod}_k(S_2)$ such that $F(v_1) = v_2$. For the edge $\{c, d\}$, we have $T_cT_d(c) = d$. For the edge $\{b, T_b^{-i_1}(a)\}$, we have

$$T_b^{1-i_1}T_aT_b^{1-i_1}(b) = T_b^{-i_1}T_bT_a(b) = T_b^{-i_1}(a).$$

Similarly, for the edge $\{b, T_b^{-i_2}(c)\}$, we have

$$T_b^{1-i_2}T_aT_b^{1-i_2}T_a^{-1}T_c(b) = T_b^{-i_2}T_a^{1-i_2}T_bT_c(b) = T_b^{-i_2}(c).$$

By Proposition 3.1, for $1 \leq j < k$, we have $T_b^{1-j}T_aT_b^{1-j} \in \text{LMod}_k(S_2)$. Hence, by Theorem 2.1, we get

$$\text{LMod}_k(S_2) = \langle \text{Stab}_{\text{LMod}_k(S_2)}(a) \cup \text{Stab}_{\text{LMod}_k(S_2)}(b) \cup \text{Stab}_{\text{LMod}_k(S_2)}(c) \cup \mathbb{S}_1 \rangle,$$

where $\mathbb{S}_1 = \{T_c T_d, T_b^{1-j} T_a T_b^{1-\bar{j}} \mid 1 \leq j < k\}$. It follows from Lemma 3.4 and Corollaries 3.9.1-3.9.2 that $\text{LMod}_k(S_2) = \langle \mathbb{S} \cup \mathbb{S}_1 \cup \mathbb{S}_2 \cup \mathbb{S}_3 \cup \mathbb{S}'_3 \rangle$, where $\mathbb{S}_1 = \{(T_a T_b)^6, T_b^i T_c^{-1} (T_a T_b)^6 T_c T_b^{-i} \mid -k < i \leq -1\}$, $\mathbb{S}_2 = \{T_a, T_b^k, T_c, T_d, T_e, \iota\}$, and $\mathbb{S}_3 = \{(T_b T_c)^6, T_b^i T_c^n T_d^{-1} (T_b T_c)^6 T_d T_c^{-n} T_b^{-i} \mid -k < i \leq -1, n \in \mathbb{Z}\}$. For $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $i + j = 0$, we choose $T_b^i T_c^n T_d^{-1} (T_b T_c)^6 T_d T_c^{-n} T_b^{-i} \in \mathbb{S}_3$ and $T_b^{1-j} T_a T_b^{1-\bar{j}} \in \mathbb{S}_1$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} & T_b^i T_c^n T_d^{-1} (T_b T_c)^6 T_d T_c^{-n} T_b^{-i} \\ &= (T_b^{1-j} T_a T_b^{1-\bar{j}}) (T_b^{1-j} T_a T_b^{1-\bar{j}})^{-1} T_b^i T_c^n T_d^{-1} (T_b T_c)^6 T_d T_c^{-n} T_b^{-i} (T_b^{1-j} T_a T_b^{1-\bar{j}}) (T_b^{1-j} T_a T_b^{1-\bar{j}})^{-1} \\ &= (T_b^{1-j} T_a T_b^{1-\bar{j}} T_a^{-1}) (T_a T_b^{\bar{j}-1} T_a^{-1}) T_b^{-1} T_c^n T_d^{-1} (T_b T_c)^6 ((T_b^{1-j} T_a T_b^{1-\bar{j}} T_a^{-1}) (T_a T_b^{\bar{j}-1} T_a^{-1}) T_b^{-1} T_c^n T_d^{-1})^{-1} \\ &= (T_b^{1-j} T_a T_b^{1-\bar{j}} T_a^{-1}) (T_b^{-1} T_a^{\bar{j}-1} T_c^n T_d^{-1} (T_b T_c)^6 ((T_b^{1-j} T_a T_b^{1-\bar{j}} T_a^{-1}) (T_b^{-1} T_a^{\bar{j}-1} T_c^n T_d^{-1})^{-1} \\ &= (T_b^{1-j} T_a T_b^{1-\bar{j}} T_a^{-1}) (T_b^{-1} T_c^n T_b) T_b^{-1} T_a^{\bar{j}-1} T_d^{-1} (T_b T_c)^6 ((T_b^{1-j} T_a T_b^{1-\bar{j}} T_a^{-1}) (T_b^{-1} T_c^n T_b) T_b^{-1} T_a^{\bar{j}-1} T_d^{-1})^{-1} \\ &= (T_b^{1-j} T_a T_b^{1-\bar{j}} T_a^{-1} T_c) T_b^n T_c^{-1} T_b^{-1} T_a^{\bar{j}-1} T_d^{-1} (T_b T_c)^6 ((T_b^{1-j} T_a T_b^{1-\bar{j}} T_a^{-1} T_c) T_b^n T_c^{-1} T_b^{-1} T_a^{\bar{j}-1} T_d^{-1})^{-1}. \end{aligned}$$

In the generating set for $\text{LMod}_k(S_2)$ described before, by the above computations, we can replace the infinite set \mathbb{S}_3 with the finite set

$$\mathbb{S}'_3 = \{(T_b T_c)^6, T_b^i T_c^{-1} T_b^{-1} T_a^{j-1} T_d^{-1} (T_b T_c)^6 (T_b^i T_c^{-1} T_b^{-1} T_a^{j-1} T_d^{-1})^{-1} \mid 1 \leq j < k, -k < i \leq 0\}.$$

We further simplify elements of \mathbb{S}'_3 . For $i \neq 0$ and $i + \ell = 0$, we choose $T_b^{1-\ell} T_a T_b^{1-\bar{\ell}} \in \mathbb{S}_1$ and $T_b^i T_c^{-1} T_b^{-1} T_a^{j-1} T_d^{-1} (T_b T_c)^6 (T_b^i T_c^{-1} T_b^{-1} T_a^{j-1} T_d^{-1})^{-1} \in \mathbb{S}'_3$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} & T_b^i T_c^{-1} T_b^{-1} T_a^{j-1} T_d^{-1} (T_b T_c)^6 (T_b^i T_c^{-1} T_b^{-1} T_a^{j-1} T_d^{-1})^{-1} \\ &= (T_b^{1-\ell} T_a T_b^{1-\bar{\ell}}) T_b^{\bar{\ell}-1} (T_a^{-1} T_b^{-1} T_c^{-1} T_d^{-1}) T_b^{-1} T_a^{j-1} (T_b T_c)^6 ((T_b^{1-\ell} T_a T_b^{1-\bar{\ell}}) T_b^{\bar{\ell}-1} (T_a^{-1} T_b^{-1} T_c^{-1} T_d^{-1}) T_b^{-1} T_a^{j-1})^{-1} \\ &= (T_b^{1-\ell} T_a T_b^{1-\bar{\ell}}) T_b^{\bar{\ell}-1} (T_c^{-1} T_b^{j-1} T_c) (T_c T_d)^6 ((T_b^{1-\ell} T_a T_b^{1-\bar{\ell}}) T_b^{\bar{\ell}-1} (T_c^{-1} T_b^{j-1} T_c))^{-1} \\ &= (T_b^{1-\ell} T_a T_b^{1-\bar{\ell}}) T_b^{\bar{\ell}} T_c^{j-1} T_b^{-1} (T_c T_d)^6 ((T_b^{1-\ell} T_a T_b^{1-\bar{\ell}}) T_b^{\bar{\ell}} T_c^{j-1} T_b^{-1})^{-1} \\ &= (T_b^{1-\ell} T_a T_b^{1-\bar{\ell}}) T_b^{\bar{\ell}} T_c^j T_d (T_d^{-1} T_c^{-1} T_b^{-1} (T_c T_d)^6 ((T_b^{1-\ell} T_a T_b^{1-\bar{\ell}}) T_b^{\bar{\ell}} T_c^j T_d (T_d^{-1} T_c^{-1} T_b^{-1})^{-1} \\ &= (T_b^{1-\ell} T_a T_b^{1-\bar{\ell}}) T_b^{\bar{\ell}} T_c^j T_d (T_b T_c)^6 ((T_b^{1-\ell} T_a T_b^{1-\bar{\ell}}) T_b^{\bar{\ell}} T_c^j T_d)^{-1}. \end{aligned}$$

When $i = 0$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & T_c^{-1} T_b^{-1} T_a^{j-1} T_d^{-1} (T_b T_c)^6 (T_c^{-1} T_b^{-1} T_a^{j-1} T_d^{-1})^{-1} \\ &= T_c^{-1} T_d^{-1} (T_b^{-1} T_a^{j-1} T_b) (T_b T_c)^6 (T_c^{-1} T_d^{-1} (T_b^{-1} T_a^{j-1} T_b))^{-1} \\ &= T_c^{-1} T_d^{-1} T_a (T_b^{j-1} T_a^{-1} T_b^{\bar{j}-1}) (T_b T_c)^6 (T_c^{-1} T_d^{-1} T_a (T_b^{j-1} T_a^{-1} T_b^{\bar{j}-1}))^{-1}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence \mathbb{S}'_3 can be replaced with $\mathbb{S}'' = \{(T_b T_c)^6, T_b^i T_c^j T_d (T_b T_c)^6 T_d^{-1} T_c^{-j} T_b^{-i} \mid 1 \leq i, j < k\}$. Finally, we reduce the set \mathbb{S}_1 to the set $\{(T_b T_c)^6\}$. For $i + j = 0$, we choose $T_b^i T_c^{-1} (T_a T_b)^6 T_c T_b^{-i} \in \mathbb{S}_1$ and $T_b^{1-j} T_a T_b^{1-\bar{j}} \in \mathbb{S}_1$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} & T_b^i T_c^{-1} (T_a T_b)^6 T_c T_b^{-i} \\ &= (T_b^{1-j} T_a T_b^{1-\bar{j}}) (T_b^{1-j} T_a T_b^{1-\bar{j}})^{-1} T_b^i T_c^{-1} (T_a T_b)^6 T_c T_b^{-i} (T_b^{1-j} T_a T_b^{1-\bar{j}}) (T_b^{1-j} T_a T_b^{1-\bar{j}})^{-1} \\ &= (T_b^{1-j} T_a T_b^{1-\bar{j}}) T_b^{\bar{j}-1} T_a^{-1} T_b^{-1} T_c^{-1} (T_a T_b)^6 ((T_b^{1-j} T_a T_b^{1-\bar{j}}) T_b^{\bar{j}-1} T_a^{-1} T_b^{-1} T_c^{-1})^{-1} \\ &= (T_b^{1-j} T_a T_b^{1-\bar{j}}) T_b^{\bar{j}-1} (T_b T_c)^6 ((T_b^{1-j} T_a T_b^{1-\bar{j}}) T_b^{\bar{j}-1})^{-1} \\ &= (T_b^{1-j} T_a T_b^{1-\bar{j}}) (T_b T_c)^6 (T_b^{1-j} T_a T_b^{1-\bar{j}})^{-1} \end{aligned}$$

Hence, $\text{LMod}_k(S_2) = \langle \mathbb{S} \cup \{T_a, T_b^k, T_c, T_d, T_e, \iota\} \cup \mathbb{S}' \cup \mathbb{S}'' \rangle$, where $\mathbb{S}' = \{T_b^{1-j} T_a T_b^{1-\bar{j}} \mid 1 \leq j < k\}$ and $\mathbb{S}'' = \{(T_b T_c)^6, T_b^i T_c^j T_d (T_b T_c)^6 T_d^{-1} T_c^{-j} T_b^{-i} \mid 1 \leq i, j < k\}$. \square

As a corollary of Theorem 3.10, we recover the finite generating set for $\text{LMod}_2(S_2)$ obtained in [8, Corollary 5.5] and obtain a simpler finite generating set for $\text{LMod}_3(S_2)$.

Corollary 3.10.1. *We have $\text{LMod}_2(S_2) = \langle T_a, T_b^2, T_c, T_d, T_e \rangle$.*

Proof. We put $k = 2$ in the generating set obtained in Theorem 3.10. It can be computed that $\phi(\Gamma_0(2)) = \langle \Psi(T_a), \Psi(T_b^2) \rangle$. Therefore, $\mathbb{S}'' = \{(T_b T_c)^6, T_b T_c T_d (T_b T_c)^6 T_d^{-1} T_c^{-1} T_b^{-1}\}$, $\mathbb{S} = \{T_a, T_b^2\}$, and $\mathbb{S}' = \{T_a\}$. We have

$$\iota = T_e T_d T_c T_b T_a^2 T_b T_c T_d T_e = T_e T_d T_c (T_b T_a^2 T_b^{-1}) T_b^2 T_c T_d T_e = T_e T_d T_c (T_a^{-1} T_b^2 T_a) T_b^2 T_c T_d T_e.$$

Furthermore,

$$(T_b T_c)^6 = (T_b (T_c T_b T_c) T_b T_c)^2 = (T_b (T_b T_c T_b) T_b T_c)^2 = (T_b^2 T_c)^4$$

and $T_b T_c T_d (T_b T_c)^6 T_d^{-1} T_c^{-1} T_b^{-1} = (T_c T_d)^6$. Hence, $\text{LMod}_2(S_2) = \langle T_a, T_b^2, T_c, T_d, T_e \rangle$. \square

Corollary 3.10.2. *We have $\text{LMod}_3(S_2) = \langle T_a, T_b^3, T_c, T_d, T_e, \iota \rangle$.*

Proof. We put $k = 3$ in the generating set obtained in Theorem 3.10. It can be computed that $\phi(\Gamma_0(3)) = \langle \Psi(T_a), \Psi(T_b^3), \Psi((T_a T_b)^3) \rangle$. We have $\mathbb{S} = \{T_a, T_b^3, (T_a T_b)^3\}$, $\mathbb{S}' = \{T_b^{-1} T_a T_b^{-1}, T_a\}$, and $\mathbb{S}'' = \{(T_b T_c)^6, T_b^i T_c^j T_d (T_b T_c)^6 T_d^{-1} T_c^{-j} T_b^{-i} \mid 1 \leq i, j < 3\}$. Since $(T_a T_b)^3 (T_d T_e)^{-3} = \iota$, we have $(T_a T_b)^3 = \iota (T_d T_e)^3$. Since

$$\begin{aligned} (T_a T_b)^3 &= T_a T_b T_a T_b T_a T_b \\ &= T_a T_b^2 T_a T_b^2 \\ &= T_a T_b (T_b T_a T_b^{-1}) T_b^3 \\ &= T_a T_b T_a^{-1} T_b T_a T_b^3 \\ &= (T_b^{-1} T_a T_b^{-1}) T_b^3 T_a T_b^3, \end{aligned}$$

we have

$$T_b^{-1} T_a T_b^{-1} = (T_a T_b)^3 T_b^{-3} T_a^{-1} T_b^{-3} = \iota (T_d T_e)^3 T_b^{-3} T_a^{-1} T_b^{-3}.$$

Now, we show that $(T_b T_c)^6 = (T_b^3 T_c)^3$. Since $(T_b T_c)^6 = (T_b^2 T_c)^4$, further simplifying, we get

$$\begin{aligned} (T_b T_c)^6 &= T_b^2 T_c T_b^2 T_c T_b^2 T_c T_b^2 T_c \\ &= T_b^3 (T_b^{-1} T_c T_b) T_b T_c T_b^2 T_c T_b^2 T_c \\ &= T_b^3 T_c T_b (T_c^{-1} T_b T_c) T_b^2 T_c T_b^2 T_c \\ &= T_b^3 T_c T_b^2 (T_c T_b T_c) T_b^2 T_c \\ &= T_b^3 T_c T_b^3 T_c T_b^3 T_c \\ &= (T_b^3 T_c)^3. \end{aligned}$$

Now, we consider the elements of \mathbb{S}'' . We have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{S}'' &= \{T_b T_c T_d (T_b T_c)^6 T_d^{-1} T_c^{-1} T_b^{-1}, T_b^2 T_c T_d (T_b T_c)^6 T_d^{-1} T_c^{-1} T_b^{-2}, \\ &\quad T_b^2 T_c^2 T_d (T_b T_c)^6 T_d^{-1} T_c^{-2} T_b^{-2}, T_b T_c^2 T_d (T_b T_c)^6 T_d^{-1} T_c^{-2} T_b^{-1}\}. \end{aligned}$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned}
& T_b^2 T_c^2 T_d (T_b T_c)^6 T_d^{-1} T_c^{-2} T_b^{-2} \\
&= T_b^3 (T_b^{-1} T_c^2 T_b) T_d (T_b T_c)^6 (T_b^3 (T_b^{-1} T_c^2 T_b) T_d)^{-1} \\
&= T_b^3 T_c T_b^2 T_c^{-1} T_d (T_b T_c)^6 (T_b^3 T_c T_b^2 T_c^{-1} T_d)^{-1} \\
&= (T_b^3 T_c T_b^3) T_b^{-1} (T_c^{-1} T_d T_c) (T_b T_c)^6 ((T_b^3 T_c T_b^3) T_b^{-1} (T_c^{-1} T_d T_c))^{-1} \\
&= T_b^3 T_c T_b^3 T_d T_c (T_c^{-1} T_b^{-1} T_c) T_d^{-1} (T_b T_c)^6 (T_b^3 T_c T_b^3 T_d T_c (T_c^{-1} T_b^{-1} T_c) T_d^{-1})^{-1} \\
&= T_b^3 T_c T_b^3 T_d T_c T_b T_c^{-1} T_d^{-1} (T_b T_c)^6 (T_b^3 T_c T_b^3 T_d T_c T_b T_c^{-1} T_d^{-1})^{-1} \\
&= T_b^3 T_c T_b^3 T_d T_c T_b^3 (T_b^{-2} T_c^{-1} T_d^{-1}) (T_b T_c)^6 (T_b^3 T_c T_b^3 T_d T_c T_b^3 (T_b^{-2} T_c^{-1} T_d^{-1}))^{-1} \\
&= (T_b^3 T_c T_b^3 T_d T_c T_b^3) T_b^{-1} (T_c T_d)^6 ((T_b^3 T_c T_b^3 T_d T_c T_b^3) T_b^{-1})^{-1} \\
&= T_b^3 T_c T_b^3 T_d T_c T_b^3 T_c T_d (T_d^{-1} T_c^{-1} T_b^{-1}) (T_c T_d)^6 (T_b^3 T_c T_b^3 T_d T_c T_b^3 T_c T_d (T_d^{-1} T_c^{-1} T_b^{-1}))^{-1} \\
&= T_b^3 T_c T_b^3 T_d T_c T_b^3 T_c T_d (T_b T_c)^6 (T_b^3 T_c T_b^3 T_d T_c T_b^3 T_c T_d)^{-1} \\
&= T_b^3 T_c T_b^3 T_d T_c T_b^3 T_c T_d (T_b^3 T_c)^3 (T_b^3 T_c T_b^3 T_d T_c T_b^3 T_c T_d)^{-1}.
\end{aligned}$$

We also have $T_b T_c T_d (T_b T_c)^6 T_d^{-1} T_c^{-1} T_b^{-1} = (T_c T_d)^6$. Furthermore,

$$\begin{aligned}
T_b^2 T_c T_d (T_b T_c)^6 T_d^{-1} T_c^{-1} T_b^{-2} &= T_b (T_c T_d)^6 T_b^{-1} \\
&= T_c^{-1} T_d^{-1} (T_d T_c T_b (T_c T_d)^6 T_b^{-1} T_c^{-1} T_d^{-1}) T_d T_c \\
&= T_c^{-1} T_d^{-1} (T_b T_c)^6 T_d T_c \\
&= T_c^{-1} T_d^{-1} (T_b^3 T_c)^3 T_d T_c.
\end{aligned}$$

Finally, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
T_b T_c^2 T_d (T_b T_c)^6 T_d^{-1} T_c^{-2} T_b^{-1} &= (T_b T_c^2 T_b^{-1}) T_d (T_b T_c)^6 ((T_b T_c^2 T_b^{-1}) T_d)^{-1} \\
&= T_c^{-1} (T_b^2 T_c T_d (T_b T_c)^6 T_d^{-1} T_c^{-1} T_b^{-2}) T_c \\
&= T_c^{-1} (T_c^{-1} T_d^{-1} (T_b^3 T_c)^3 T_d T_c) T_c \\
&= T_c^{-2} T_d^{-1} (T_b^3 T_c)^3 T_d T_c^2
\end{aligned}$$

Hence, $\text{LMod}_3(S_2) = \langle T_a, T_b^3, T_c, T_d, T_e, \iota \rangle$. □

Let $F_{g,k} \in \text{Mod}(S_{gk})$ be a periodic mapping class of order k inducing the cover p_k . For $g \geq 3$, an explicit finite generating set for $N_{\text{Mod}(S_{g^2})}(F_{g,2})$ was obtained in [8, Corollary 3.10] by lifting the finite generating set of $\text{LMod}_2(S_g)$ under $\varphi : N_{\text{Mod}(S_{g^2})}(F_{g,2}) \rightarrow \text{LMod}_2(S_g)$. By lifting the generating set described in Corollaries 3.10.1-3.10.2, we get the following result.





FIGURE 8. The simple closed curves on S_3 used to describe a generating set for $N_{\text{Mod}(S_3)}(F_{2,2})$.

Corollary 3.10.3. *We have*

$$N_{\text{Mod}(S_3)}(F_{2,2}) = \langle F_{2,2}, T_{a_1} T_{a_2}, T_{b'}, T_{c_1} T_{c_2}, T_{d_1} T_{d_2}, T_{f_1} T_{f_2} \rangle$$

FIGURE 9. A 3-sheeted cover $S_4 \rightarrow S_2$ induced by a free $2\pi/3$ -rotation of S_4 .

and

$$N_{\text{Mod}(S_4)}(F_{2,3}) = \langle F_{2,3}, T_{a_1}T_{a_2}T_{a_3}, T_{b'}, T_{c_1}T_{c_2}T_{c_3}, T_{d_1}T_{d_2}T_{d_3}, T_{f_1}T_{f_2}T_{f_3}, \iota_1\iota_2\iota_3 \rangle$$

for simple closed curves shown in Figure 9-8 and $\iota_1, \iota_2, \iota_3 \in \text{Mod}(S_4)$ are involutions represented by π -rotation of S_4 as shown in Figure 9.

3.2. A finite generating set for $\text{LMod}_p(S_2)$ for a $\mathbb{Z}_2 \oplus \mathbb{Z}_2$ cover $S_5 \rightarrow S_2$. For $\mathbb{Z}_2 \oplus \mathbb{Z}_2 = \langle s \mid s^2 = 1 \rangle \oplus \langle t \mid t^2 = 1 \rangle$, consider the map $H_1(S_2; \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_2 \oplus \mathbb{Z}_2$ given by $(e_1, e_2, e_3, e_4) \mapsto (s, t, 0, 0)$. This map corresponds to a $\mathbb{Z}_2 \oplus \mathbb{Z}_2$ -cover $p : S_5 \rightarrow S_2$. In this subsection, we compute a finite generating set for $\text{LMod}_p(S_2)$ using Algorithm 2.12.

For Step 1 of Algorithm 2.12, by Theorem 2.3, we get the following symplectic criteria for $\text{LMod}_p(S_2)$.

Corollary 3.10.4. For $\Psi(F) = (a_{ij})_{4 \times 4}$, $F \in \text{LMod}_p(S_2)$ if and only if $a_{13}, a_{14}, a_{23}, a_{24}, a_{31}, a_{32}, a_{41}, a_{42}$ are even.

For Step 2 of Algorithm 2.12, we compute the structure of the finite graph $\overline{\mathcal{N}}(S_2) \cong \overline{\mathcal{N}_2}(S_2)$.

Lemma 3.11. The graph $\overline{\mathcal{N}_2}(S_2)$ has three vertices corresponding to orbits $\overline{e_1} = O_{e_1}$, $\overline{e_3} = O_{e_3}$, and $\overline{e_1 - e_3} = O_{e_1 - e_3}$. Furthermore, there is one loop at each of $\overline{e_1}$ and $\overline{e_3}$, two loops at $\overline{e_1 - e_3}$, one edge between $\overline{e_1}$ and $\overline{e_1 - e_3}$, one edge between $\overline{e_3}$ and $\overline{e_1 - e_3}$, and no other edges.

Proof. By Corollary 3.10.4, it follows that $O_{e_1} = \{e_1, e_2, e_1 + e_2\}$, $O_{e_3} = \{e_3, e_4, e_3 + e_4\}$, and $O_{e_1 - e_3} = \{e_1 + e_3, e_1 + e_2 + e_3, e_1 + e_2 + e_4, e_1 + e_2 + e_3 + e_4, e_2 + e_3 + e_4, e_2 + e_3, e_2 + e_4, e_1 + e_4, e_1 + e_3 + e_4\}$. Hence, $\overline{\mathcal{N}_2}(S_2)$ has three vertices.

Furthermore, there is one loop at $\overline{e_1}$ and $\overline{e_3}$ corresponding to orbit $O_{\{e_1, e_2\}}$ and $O_{\{e_3, e_4\}}$, respectively. It can be shown that there are two loops at $\overline{e_1 - e_3}$ corresponding to the orbits $O_{\{e_1 + e_3, e_1 + e_2 + e_3\}} = \{\{e_1 + e_3, e_1 + e_2 + e_3\}, \{e_1 + e_3, e_2 + e_3\}\}$ and $O_{\{e_1 + e_3, e_1 + e_3 + e_4\}} = \{\{e_1 + e_3, e_1 + e_3 + e_4\}, \{e_1 + e_3, e_1 + e_4\}\}$.

Since $\hat{i}_2(e_1, e_3) = 0$, there are no edges between $\overline{e_1}$ and $\overline{e_3}$. It can be shown that $O_{\{e_2, e_1 + e_3\}} = \{\{e_1, e_1 + e_3\}, \{e_2, e_1 + e_3\}, \{e_1 + e_2, e_2 + e_3\}, \{e_1, e_1 + e_2 + e_3\}, \{e_1, e_1 + e_2 + e_4\}, \{e_1, e_1 + e_2 + e_3 + e_4\}, \{e_1, e_2 + e_3 + e_4\}, \{e_1, e_2 + e_3\}, \{e_1, e_2 + e_4\}, \{e_2, e_1 + e_2 + e_3\}, \{e_2, e_1 + e_2 + e_4\}, \{e_2, e_1 + e_2 + e_3 + e_4\}, \{e_2, e_1 + e_4\}, \{e_2, e_1 + e_3 + e_4\}, \{e_1 + e_2, e_1 + e_3\}, \{e_1 + e_2, e_2 + e_3 + e_4\}, \{e_1 + e_2, e_2 + e_4\}, \{e_1 + e_2, e_1 + e_4\}, \{e_1 + e_2, e_1 + e_3 + e_4\}\}$. Thus, there is exactly one edge between vertices $\overline{e_1}$ and $\overline{e_1 - e_3}$. Similarly, it can be shown that there is exactly one edge between $\overline{e_1 - e_3}$ and $\overline{e_3}$. \square

For Step 3 of Algorithm 2.12, we choose representative curves b , c , and d for vertices $\overline{e_1}$, $\overline{e_1 + e_3}$, and $\overline{e_3}$ of $\mathcal{N}_2(S_2)$, respectively. Since the complement of loops in $\mathcal{N}_2(S_2)$ is the maximal tree, for loops of $\mathcal{N}_2(S_2)$, we choose edges $\{a, b\}$, $\{e, d\}$, $\{c, T_b(c)\}$, and $\{c, T_d(c)\}$ corresponding to edge orbits $O_{\{e_1, e_2\}}$, $O_{\{e_3, e_4\}}$, $O_{\{e_1+e_3, e_1+e_2+e_3\}}$, and $O_{\{e_1+e_3, e_1+e_3+e_4\}}$, respectively. Since $T_a T_b(a) = b$, $T_e T_d(e) = d$, and $T_a T_b, T_e T_d \in \text{LMod}_p(S_2)$, we have $\text{Stab}_{\text{LMod}_p(S_2)}(b) = T_a T_b \text{Stab}_{\text{LMod}_p(S_2)}(a) T_b^{-1} T_a^{-1}$ and $\text{Stab}_{\text{LMod}_p(S_2)}(d) = T_e T_d \text{Stab}_{\text{LMod}_p(S_2)}(e) T_d^{-1} T_e^{-1}$. For easier computations, we will derive generating sets for $\text{Stab}_{\text{LMod}_p(S_2)}(a)$ and $\text{Stab}_{\text{LMod}_p(S_2)}(e)$ instead of $\text{Stab}_{\text{LMod}_p(S_2)}(b)$ and $\text{Stab}_{\text{LMod}_p(S_2)}(d)$, respectively.

For Step 4 of Algorithm 2.12, we compute $\text{Stab}_{\mathcal{I}(S_2)}(\gamma)$ for $\gamma = a, c, e$. By Lemma 3.6, we recall that

$$\text{Stab}_{\mathcal{I}(S_2)}(e) = \langle T_a^{-m-1} T_c^m T_b^{-1} T_c^n (T_a T_b)^6 T_c^{-n} T_b T_c^{-m} T_a^{m+1} \mid m, n \in \mathbb{Z} \rangle.$$

Lemma 3.12. *We have*

$$\text{Stab}_{\mathcal{I}(S_2)}(a) = \langle T_e^{-m-1} T_c^m T_d^{-1} T_c^n (T_e T_d)^6 T_c^{-n} T_d T_c^{-m} T_e^{m+1} \mid m, n \in \mathbb{Z} \rangle.$$

Proof. Consider the involution $F \in \text{Mod}(S_2)$ (a handle swap map) such that $F(e) = a$. Since $\text{Stab}_{\mathcal{I}(S_2)}(a) = F \text{Stab}_{\mathcal{I}(S_2)}(e) F^{-1}$, $F(b) = d$, and $F(c) = c$, we have

$$\text{Stab}_{\mathcal{I}(S_2)}(a) = \langle T_e^{-m-1} T_c^m T_d^{-1} T_c^n (T_e T_d)^6 T_c^{-n} T_d T_c^{-m} T_e^{m+1} \mid m, n \in \mathbb{Z} \rangle. \quad \square$$

Lemma 3.13. *We have*

$$\text{Stab}_{\mathcal{I}(S_2)}(c) = \langle (T_a^{-1} T_e)^{m+1} T_d T_e T_b^{-1} T_c^n T_b T_d^{-1} T_c (T_a T_b)^6 T_c^{-1} T_d T_b^{-1} T_c^{-n} T_b T_e^{-1} T_d^{-1} (T_a T_e^{-1})^{m+1} \mid m, n \in \mathbb{Z} \rangle$$

Proof. For the computation of $\text{Stab}_{\mathcal{I}(S_2)}(c)$, since for $G = T_d T_c T_e T_d$, we have $G(e) = c$. Thus, $\text{Stab}_{\mathcal{I}(S_2)}(c) = G \text{Stab}_{\mathcal{I}(S_2)}(e) G^{-1}$. Furthermore, we observe that $G(a) = a$, $G(b) = T_d T_c(b) =: x$, and $G(c) = e$. Therefore,

$$\text{Stab}_{\mathcal{I}(S_2)}(c) = \langle T_a^{-m-1} T_e^m T_x^{-1} T_e^n (T_a T_x)^6 T_e^{-n} T_x T_e^{-m} T_a^{m+1} \mid m, n \in \mathbb{Z} \rangle.$$

Simplifying, we get

$$\begin{aligned} & T_a^{-m-1} T_e^m T_x^{-1} T_e^n (T_a T_x)^6 T_e^{-n} T_x T_e^{-m} T_a^{m+1} \\ &= T_a^{-m-1} T_e^m T_d T_c T_b^{-1} T_c^{-1} (T_d^{-1} T_e^n T_d) T_c (T_a T_b)^6 (T_a^{-m-1} T_e^m T_d T_c T_b^{-1} T_c^{-1} (T_d^{-1} T_e^n T_d) T_c)^{-1} \\ &= T_a^{-m-1} T_e^m T_d T_c T_b^{-1} T_c^{-1} T_e T_d^n T_e^{-1} T_c (T_a T_b)^6 (T_a^{-m-1} T_e^m T_d T_c T_b^{-1} T_c^{-1} T_e T_d^n T_e^{-1} T_c)^{-1} \\ &= T_a^{-m-1} T_e^m T_d T_e T_c T_b^{-1} (T_c^{-1} T_d^n T_c) (T_a T_b)^6 (T_a^{-m-1} T_e^m T_d T_e T_c T_b^{-1} (T_c^{-1} T_d^n T_c))^{-1} \\ &= T_a^{-m-1} T_e^m T_d T_e T_c T_b^{-1} T_d T_c^n T_d^{-1} (T_a T_b)^6 (T_a^{-m-1} T_e^m T_d T_e T_c T_b^{-1} T_d T_c^n T_d^{-1})^{-1} \\ &= T_a^{-m-1} T_e^m T_d T_e T_c T_d (T_b^{-1} T_c^n T_b) (T_a T_b)^6 (T_a^{-m-1} T_e^m T_d T_e T_c T_d (T_b^{-1} T_c^n T_b))^{-1} \\ &= T_a^{-m-1} T_e^m T_d T_e (T_c T_d T_c) T_b^n T_c^{-1} (T_a T_b)^6 (T_a^{-m-1} T_e^m T_d T_e (T_c T_d T_c) T_b^n T_c^{-1})^{-1} \\ &= T_a^{-m-1} T_e^m (T_d T_e T_d) T_c T_d T_b^n T_c^{-1} (T_a T_b)^6 (T_a^{-m-1} T_e^m (T_d T_e T_d) T_c T_d T_b^n T_c^{-1})^{-1} \\ &= (T_a^{-1} T_e)^{m+1} T_d T_e T_c T_b^n T_d T_c^{-1} (T_a T_b)^6 ((T_a^{-1} T_e)^{m+1} T_d T_e T_c T_b^n T_d T_c^{-1})^{-1} \\ &= (T_a^{-1} T_e)^{m+1} T_d T_e (T_c T_b^n T_c^{-1}) (T_c T_d T_c^{-1}) (T_a T_b)^6 ((T_a^{-1} T_e)^{m+1} T_d T_e (T_c T_b^n T_c^{-1}) (T_c T_d T_c^{-1}))^{-1} \\ &= (T_a^{-1} T_e)^{m+1} T_d T_e T_b^{-1} T_c^n T_b T_d^{-1} T_c (T_a T_b)^6 ((T_a^{-1} T_e)^{m+1} T_d T_e T_b^{-1} T_c^n T_b T_d^{-1} T_c)^{-1} \end{aligned}$$

Hence, the result follows. \square

For Step 5 of Algorithm 2.12, we derive a finite generating set for $\text{Stab}_{\Psi(\text{LMod}_p(S_2))}(\pm[\gamma])$ for $\gamma = a, c, e$. Since $\iota \in \text{LMod}_p(S_2)$ and $\Psi(\iota)[\gamma] = -\mathcal{I}_{4 \times 4}([\gamma]) = -[\gamma]$, we compute $\text{Stab}_{\Psi(\text{LMod}_p(S_2))}([\gamma])$.

For our computation we will use the following matrices

$$M = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, M' = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \text{ and } N' = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix},$$

where $M' = \Psi(T_e)\Psi(T_a)\Psi(T_c)^{-1}$ and $N' = \Psi(T_e)\Psi(T_d)\Psi(T_e)M'(\Psi(T_e)\Psi(T_d)\Psi(T_e))^{-1}$.

Lemma 3.14. *We have $\text{Stab}_{\Psi(\text{LMod}_p(S_2))}(\pm[a]) = \langle (M')^2, (N')^2, \Psi(T_a), \Psi(T_d), \Psi(T_e), \iota \rangle$.*

Proof. From the description of $\Psi(\text{LMod}_p(S_2))$, it can be seen that if $A \in \text{Stab}_{\Psi(\text{LMod}_p(S_2))}([a] = e_1)$, then

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & a_{12} & a_{13} & a_{14} \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & a_{32} & a_{33} & a_{34} \\ 0 & a_{42} & a_{43} & a_{44} \end{pmatrix},$$

where $a_{ij} \in \mathbb{Z}$, $a_{32}, a_{42}, a_{14}, a_{13} \in 2\mathbb{Z}$, and $a_{33}a_{44} - a_{43}a_{34} = 1$. Pre-multiplying $\Psi(T_a)^{-a_{12}}A$ with a suitable product of powers of matrices $\Psi(T_d)$ and $\Psi(T_e)$, we obtain

$$A' = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & a_{13} & a_{14} \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & a_{14} & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -a_{13} & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Since $\Psi(T_a)^{-a_{13}a_{14}}(M')^{-a_{14}}(N')^{-a_{13}}A' = I_{4 \times 4}$, it follows that

$$\text{Stab}_{\Psi(\text{LMod}_p(S_2))}(\pm[a]) = \langle (M')^2, (N')^2, \Psi(T_a), \Psi(T_d), \Psi(T_e), \iota \rangle.$$

□

Lemma 3.15. *We have $\text{Stab}_{\Psi(\text{LMod}_p(S_2))}(\pm[e]) = \langle M^2, (M')^2, \Psi(T_a), \Psi(T_b), \Psi(T_e), \iota \rangle$.*

Proof. As before, it follows that if $A \in \text{Stab}_{\Psi(\text{LMod}_p(S_2))}([e] = e_3)$, then

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} a_{11} & a_{12} & 0 & a_{14} \\ a_{21} & a_{22} & 0 & a_{24} \\ a_{31} & a_{32} & 1 & a_{34} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix},$$

where $a_{ij} \in \mathbb{Z}$, $a_{31}, a_{32}, a_{14}, a_{24} \in 2\mathbb{Z}$, and $a_{11}a_{22} - a_{12}a_{21} = 1$. Pre-multiplying $\Psi(T_e)^{-a_{34}}A$ with a suitable product of powers of $\Psi(T_a)$ and $\Psi(T_b)$, we obtain

$$A' = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & a_{32} \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & -a_{31} \\ a_{31} & a_{32} & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Using matrices M from Equation 3, we have $\Psi(T_e)^{-a_{31}a_{32}}(M')^{-a_{32}}M^{a_{31}}A' = I_{4 \times 4}$. Now, it follows that

$$\text{Stab}_{\Psi(\text{LMod}_p(S_2))}(\pm[e]) = \langle M^2, (M')^2, \Psi(T_a), \Psi(T_b), \Psi(T_e), \iota \rangle.$$

□

For the next computation, we will use the following matrices:

$$J = \begin{pmatrix} 3 & 0 & 2 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 & 2 \\ -2 & 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & -2 & 0 & 3 \end{pmatrix}, P = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \text{ and } I = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Lemma 3.16. *We have*

$$\text{Stab}_{\Psi(\text{LMod}_p(S_2))}(\pm[c]) = \langle \Psi(T_a), \Psi(T_e), \Psi(T_c^2), \iota, M^2(N')^2, M^2(T_d T_e)^3, \Psi(T_d T_c T_b^2 T_c^{-1} T_d^{-1}) \rangle.$$

Proof. If $A \in \text{Stab}_{\Psi(\text{LMod}_p(S_2))}([c] = e_3 - e_1)$, then

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 2a_{13} + 1 & a_{12} & 2a_{13} & 2a_{14} \\ 2a_{23} & 2a_{42} + 1 & 2a_{23} & 2a_{24} \\ 2a_{31} & 2a_{32} & 2a_{31} + 1 & a_{34} \\ 2a_{23} & 2a_{42} & 2a_{23} & 2a_{24} + 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Since $\Psi(T_a), \Psi(T_e) \in \text{Stab}_{\Psi(\text{LMod}_p(S_2))}([c])$, without loss of generality, we can assume that

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 2a_{13} + 1 & 2a_{12} & 2a_{13} & 2a_{14} \\ 2a_{23} & 2a_{42} + 1 & 2a_{23} & 2a_{24} \\ 2a_{31} & 2a_{32} & 2a_{31} + 1 & 2a_{34} \\ 2a_{23} & 2a_{42} & 2a_{23} & 2a_{24} + 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Then $A_1 = \Psi(T_e^{(2a_{12}+2a_{32})}) T_a^{(-2a_{12}-2a_{32})} T_c^{(-4a_{12}a_{42}-4a_{32}a_{42}+2a_{32})} J^{a_{42}} A$, has the following form

$$A_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 2a_{23} & 1 & 2a_{23} & 2a_{42} + 2a_{24} \\ 2a_{13} + 2a_{31} & 0 & 2a_{13} + 2a_{31} + 1 & 2a_{12} + 2a_{32} + 2a_{14} + 2a_{34} \\ 2a_{23} & 0 & 2a_{23} & 2a_{42} + 2a_{24} + 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

By taking a conjugate with matrix P , $A_2 = P^{-1} A_1 P$ has the form

$$A_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 2a_{13} + 2a_{31} + 1 & 2a_{12} + 2a_{32} + 2a_{14} + 2a_{34} \\ 0 & 0 & 2a_{23} & 2a_{22} + 2a_{24} + 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Now, it can be seen that

$$\begin{pmatrix} 2a_{13} + 2a_{31} + 1 & 2a_{12} + 2a_{32} + 2a_{14} + 2a_{34} \\ 2a_{23} & 2a_{22} + 2a_{24} + 1 \end{pmatrix} \in \Gamma(2),$$

where $\Gamma(2)$ is the principle congruence subgroup of $\text{SL}(2, \mathbb{Z})$ of level 2. Since $A_2 \in \langle \Psi(T_d)^2, \Psi(T_e)^2, I \rangle$, we have

$$\text{Stab}_{\Psi(\text{LMod}_p(S_2))}([c]) = \langle \Psi(T_a), \Psi(T_e), \Psi(T_c^2), P\Psi(T_d)^2 P^{-1}, P\Psi(T_e)^2 P^{-1}, PIP^{-1}J \rangle.$$

We have $P\Psi(T_e)^2 P^{-1} = \Psi(T_e)^2$, $P\Psi(T_d)^2 P^{-1} = \Psi(T_e T_a^{-1} T_d T_c T_b^2 (T_e T_a^{-1} T_d T_c))$, $PIP^{-1} = M^2 \psi(T_d T_e)^3$, and $J = \iota(N')^{-2} M^{-2}$. Hence,

$$\text{Stab}_{\Psi(\text{LMod}_p(S_2))}(\pm[c]) = \langle \Psi(T_a), \Psi(T_e), \Psi(T_c^2), \iota, M^2(N')^2, M^2(T_d T_e)^3, \Psi(T_d T_c T_b^2 T_c^{-1} T_d^{-1}) \rangle. \quad \square$$

For Step 6 of Algorithm 2.12, we write $\text{Stab}_{\text{LMod}_p(S_2)}(\gamma)$ for $\gamma = a, c, e$.

Corollary 3.16.1. *We have $\text{Stab}_{\text{LMod}_p(S_2)}(a) = \langle T_a, T_c^2, T_d, T_e, \iota, T_c^{-1}(T_e T_d)^6 T_c \rangle$*

Proof. By Lemmaa 3.12 and 3.14, it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Stab}_{\text{LMod}_p(S_2)}(a) &= \langle \{T_a, T_c^2, T_d, T_e, \iota\} \cup \{T_c^i T_d^{-1} T_c^n (T_e T_d)^6 T_c^{-n} T_d T_c^{-i} \mid 0 \leq i \leq 1, n \in \mathbb{Z}\} \rangle \\ &= \langle \{T_a, T_c^2, T_d, T_e, \iota\} \cup \{T_c^{i+1} T_d^n T_c^{-1} (T_e T_d)^6 T_c T_d^{-n} T_c^{-i-1} \mid 0 \leq i \leq 1, n \in \mathbb{Z}\} \rangle \\ &= \langle T_a, T_c^2, T_d, T_e, \iota, T_c^{-1}(T_e T_d)^6 T_c \rangle. \end{aligned} \quad \square$$

Corollary 3.16.2. *We have $\text{Stab}_{\text{LMod}_p(S_2)}(e) = \langle T_a, T_b, T_c^2, T_e, \iota, T_c^{-1}(T_a T_b)^6 T_c \rangle$.*

Proof. By Lemmas 3.6 and 3.15, it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Stab}_{\text{LMod}_p(S_2)}(e) &= \langle \{T_a, T_b, T_c^2, T_e, \iota\} \cup \{T_c^i T_b^{-1} T_c^n (T_a T_b)^6 T_c^{-n} T_b T_c^{-i} \mid 0 \leq i \leq 1, n \in \mathbb{Z}\} \\ &= \langle \{T_a, T_b, T_c^2, T_e, \iota\} \cup \{T_c^{i+1} T_b^n T_c^{-1} (T_a T_b)^6 T_c T_b^{-n} T_c^{-i-1} \mid 0 \leq i \leq 1, n \in \mathbb{Z}\} \\ &= \langle T_a, T_b, T_c^2, T_e, \iota, T_c^{-1} (T_a T_b)^6 T_c \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

□

Corollary 3.16.3. *We have*

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Stab}_{\text{LMod}_p(S_2)}(c) &= \langle \{T_a, T_e, T_c^2, \iota\} \cup \{T_d T_e T_b^{-1} T_c^n T_b T_d^{-1} T_c (T_a T_b)^6 T_c^{-1} T_d T_b^{-1} T_c^{-n} T_b T_e^{-1} T_d^{-1} \mid n \in \mathbb{Z}\} \\ &\quad \cup \{T_d T_e^2 T_c^{-2} T_d^{-1}, T_b T_c^2 (T_d T_e)^3, T_d T_b^{-1} T_c^2 T_b T_d^{-1}, T_b T_a^{-2} T_c^2 T_b^{-1} T_d T_c^{-2} T_e^2 T_d^{-1}\} \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. It follows from Lemmas 3.13 and 3.16 that

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Stab}_{\text{LMod}_p(S_2)}(c) &= \langle \{T_a, T_e, T_c^2, \iota, T_d T_c T_b^2 T_c^{-1} T_d^{-1}, T_d T_e^2 T_a^2 T_c^{-2} T_d^{-1}, T_a T_b T_a^{-2} T_c^2 T_b^{-1} T_a^{-1} T_e^{-2} (T_d T_e)^3, \\ &\quad T_a T_b T_a^{-2} T_c^2 T_b^{-1} T_a^{-1} T_e^{-1} T_d T_e^2 T_a^2 T_c^{-2} T_d^{-1} T_e^{-1}\} \cup \\ &\quad \{T_d T_e T_b^{-1} T_c^n T_b T_d^{-1} T_c (T_a T_b)^6 T_c^{-1} T_d T_b^{-1} T_c^{-n} T_b T_e^{-1} T_d^{-1} \mid n \in \mathbb{Z}\} \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

We have $T_d T_e^2 T_a^2 T_c^{-2} T_d^{-1} = T_d T_e^2 T_c^{-2} T_d^{-1} T_a^2$, $T_a T_b T_a^{-2} T_c^2 T_b^{-1} T_a^{-1} T_e^{-2} (T_d T_e)^3 = T_a T_b T_c^2 (T_d T_e)^3$, $T_d T_c T_b^2 T_c^{-1} T_d^{-1} = T_d T_b^{-1} T_c^2 T_b T_d^{-1}$, and

$$T_a T_b T_a^{-2} T_c^2 T_b^{-1} T_a^{-1} T_e^{-1} T_d T_e^2 T_a^2 T_c^{-2} T_d^{-1} T_e^{-1} = T_a T_e^{-1} T_b T_a^{-2} T_c^2 T_b^{-1} T_d T_c^{-2} T_e^2 T_d^{-1} T_a T_e^{-1}.$$

Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Stab}_{\text{LMod}_p(S_2)}(c) &= \langle \{T_a, T_e, T_c^2, \iota\} \cup \{T_d T_e T_b^{-1} T_c^n T_b T_d^{-1} T_c (T_a T_b)^6 T_c^{-1} T_d T_b^{-1} T_c^{-n} T_b T_e^{-1} T_d^{-1} \mid n \in \mathbb{Z}\} \\ &\quad \cup \{T_d T_e^2 T_c^{-2} T_d^{-1}, T_b T_c^2 (T_d T_e)^3, T_d T_b^{-1} T_c^2 T_b T_d^{-1}, T_b T_a^{-2} T_c^2 T_b^{-1} T_d T_c^{-2} T_e^2 T_d^{-1}\} \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

□

Theorem 3.17. *For the cover p described as above, we have $\text{LMod}_p(S_2) = \langle T_a, T_b, T_c^2, T_d, T_e \rangle$.*

Proof. For representative edges of loops of $\overline{\mathcal{N}_2(S_2)}$, we choose elements of $\text{LMod}_p(S_2)$ taking one vertex of edge to the adjacent one. For the edge $\{a, b\}$ and $T_a T_b \in \text{LMod}_p(S_2)$, we have $T_a T_b(a) = b$. For the edge $\{d, e\}$ and $T_d T_e \in \text{LMod}_p(S_2)$, we have $T_d T_e(d) = e$. For edges $\{c, T_b(c)\}$ and $\{c, T_d(c)\}$, $T_b, T_d \in \text{LMod}_p(S_2)$ does the job. Hence, by Theorem 2.1, we have

$$\text{LMod}_p(S_2) = \langle \text{Stab}_{\text{LMod}_p(S_2)}(b) \cup \text{Stab}_{\text{LMod}_p(S_2)}(c) \cup \text{Stab}_{\text{LMod}_p(S_2)}(d) \cup \{T_a T_b, T_d T_e, T_b, T_d\} \rangle.$$

Since $(T_a T_b)^6 = (T_d T_e)^6$, by Corollaries 3.16.1-3.16.3, we have

$$\text{LMod}_p(S_2) = \langle \{T_a, T_b, T_c^2, T_d, T_e, \iota, T_c^{-1} (T_a T_b)^6 T_c, T_c^{-1} T_b T_d^{-1} T_c (T_a T_b)^6 T_c^{-1} T_d T_b^{-1} T_c\} \rangle.$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned} &T_c^{-1} T_b T_d^{-1} T_c (T_a T_b)^6 (T_c^{-1} T_b T_d^{-1} T_c)^{-1} \\ &= (T_b T_a) T_a^{-1} T_b^{-1} T_c^{-1} T_d^{-1} T_b T_c (T_a T_b)^6 ((T_b T_a) T_a^{-1} T_b^{-1} T_c^{-1} T_d^{-1} T_b T_c)^{-1} \\ &= T_b T_a T_c T_d (T_b T_c)^6 (T_b T_a T_c T_d)^{-1} \\ &= (T_b T_a T_b^{-1}) T_b T_c T_d (T_b T_c)^6 ((T_b T_a T_b^{-1}) T_b T_c T_d)^{-1} \\ &= (T_b T_a T_b^{-1}) (T_c T_d)^6 ((T_b T_a T_b^{-1}))^{-1} \\ &= (T_b T_a T_b^{-1}) (T_c^2 T_d)^4 ((T_b T_a T_b^{-1}))^{-1}. \end{aligned}$$

Furthermore,

$$T_c^{-1} (T_a T_b)^6 T_c = T_c^{-1} (T_a T_b^2)^4 T_c = (T_a T_c^{-1} T_b^2 T_c)^4 = (T_a T_b T_c^2 T_b^{-1})^4.$$

Finally, as seen before, we have $\iota = (T_a T_b)^3 (T_d T_e)^{-3}$. Hence, $\text{LMod}_p(S_2) = \langle T_a, T_b, T_c^2, T_d, T_e \rangle$. □

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors would like to thank Prof. Martin Bridson for providing some of the key ideas used in Subsection 2.1. The first author is supported by the NBHM Postdoctoral Fellowship via grant number 0204/1/2023/R & D-II/1792, the second author was partly supported by the Prime Minister Research Fellowship (PMRF) scheme instituted by the Ministry of Education, India. The third author is supported by the SERB MATRICS grant of the Government of India.

REFERENCES

- [1] N. Agarwal, S. Dey, N. K. Dhanwani, and K. Rajeevsarathy. Lifiable mapping class groups of regular cyclic covers. *Houston J. Math.*, 47(1):223–243, 2021.
- [2] J. S. Birman and H. M. Hilden. On the mapping class groups of closed surfaces as covering spaces. In *Advances in the theory of Riemann surfaces (Proc. Conf., Stony Brook, N.Y., 1969)*, pages 81–115. Ann. of Math. Studies, No. 66, 1971.
- [3] J. S. Birman and H. M. Hilden. On isotopies of homeomorphisms of Riemann surfaces. *Ann. of Math. (2)*, 97:424–439, 1973.
- [4] S. A. Broughton. Classifying finite group actions on surfaces of low genus. *J. Pure Appl. Algebra*, 69(3):233–270, 1991.
- [5] S. A. Broughton. Normalizers and centralizers of elementary abelian subgroups of the mapping class group. In *Topology '90 (Columbus, OH, 1990)*, volume 1 of *Ohio State Univ. Math. Res. Inst. Publ.*, pages 77–89. de Gruyter, Berlin, 1992.
- [6] S. A. Broughton and A. Wootton. Finite abelian subgroups of the mapping class group. *Algebr. Geom. Topol.*, 7:1651–1697, 2007.
- [7] L. Chen and N. Salter. The Birman exact sequence does not virtually split. *Math. Res. Lett.*, 28(2):383–413, 2021.
- [8] S. Dey, N. K. Dhanwani, H. Patil, and K. Rajeevsarathy. Generating the liftable mapping class groups of regular cyclic covers. 2024. To appear: Mathematical Proceedings of the Cambridge Philosophical Society.
- [9] B. Farb and D. Margalit. *A primer on mapping class groups*, volume 49 of *Princeton Mathematical Series*. Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, 2012.
- [10] T. Ghaswala and R. R. Winarski. The liftable mapping class group of balanced superelliptic covers. *New York J. Math.*, 23:133–164, 2017.
- [11] T. Ghaswala and R. R. Winarski. Lifting homeomorphisms and cyclic branched covers of spheres. *Michigan Math. J.*, 66(4):885–890, 2017.
- [12] A. Hatcher. *Algebraic topology*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2002.
- [13] S. Hirose and G. Omori. Finite presentations for the balanced superelliptic mapping class groups. *Journal of Topology and Analysis*, 2024. published online first.
- [14] D. Johnson. The structure of the Torelli group. I. A finite set of generators. *Ann. of Math. (2)*, 118(3):423–442, 1983.
- [15] P. Kapari, K. Rajeevsarathy, and A. Sanghi. Generating the liftable mapping class groups of cyclic covers of spheres. 2023. To appear: Algebraic and Geometric Topology.
- [16] Y. Kasahara. Reducibility and orders of periodic automorphisms of surfaces. *Osaka J. Math.*, 28(4):985–997, 1991.
- [17] S. P. Kerckhoff. The Nielsen realization problem. *Ann. of Math. (2)*, 117(2):235–265, 1983.
- [18] W. B. R. Lickorish. A finite set of generators for the homeotopy group of a 2-manifold. *Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc.*, 60:769–778, 1964.
- [19] C. Maclachlan and W. J. Harvey. On mapping-class groups and Teichmüller spaces. *Proc. London Math. Soc. (3)*, 30(part, part 4):496–512, 1975.
- [20] D. Margalit and R. R. Winarski. Braids groups and mapping class groups: the Birman-Hilden theory. *Bull. Lond. Math. Soc.*, 53(3):643–659, 2021.
- [21] D. McCullough and A. Miller. The genus 2 Torelli group is not finitely generated. *Topology Appl.*, 22(1):43–49, 1986.
- [22] W. H. Meeks, III and J. Patrusky. Representing homology classes by embedded circles on a compact surface. *Illinois J. Math.*, 22(2):262–269, 1978.
- [23] A. Putman. Cutting and pasting in the Torelli group. *Geom. Topol.*, 11:829–865, 2007.
- [24] A. Putman. The commutator subgroups of free groups and surface groups. *Enseign. Math.*, 68(3-4):389–408, 2022.
- [25] W. Tomaszewski. A basis of Bachmuth type in the commutator subgroup of a free group. *Canad. Math. Bull.*, 46(2):299–303, 2003.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, INDIAN INSTITUTE OF SCIENCE EDUCATION AND RESEARCH
MOHALI, KNOWLEDGE CITY, SECTOR 81, SAS NAGAR, MANAULI PO 140306, PUNJAB, INDIA

Email address: neerajk.dhanwani@gmail.com

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, INDIAN INSTITUTE OF SCIENCE EDUCATION AND RESEARCH
MOHALI, KNOWLEDGE CITY, SECTOR 81, SAS NAGAR, MANAULI PO 140306, PUNJAB, INDIA

Email address: pankajkapri02@gmail.com

URL: <https://sites.google.com/view/pankajkapdi/home>

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, INDIAN INSTITUTE OF SCIENCE EDUCATION AND RESEARCH BHOPAL, BHOPAL
BYPASS ROAD, BHAURI, BHOPAL 462066, MADHYA PRADESH, INDIA

Email address: kashyap@iiserb.ac.in

URL: <https://home.iiserb.ac.in/~kashyap/>

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, INDIAN INSTITUTE OF SCIENCE EDUCATION AND RESEARCH
BERHAMPUR, LAUDIGAM, VILLAGE ROAD, BRAHMAPUR, ODISHA 760003, INDIA

Email address: ravitomar547@gmail.com