

SL(n) COVARIANT VECTOR-VALUED VALUATIONS ON ORLICZ SPACES

CHUNNA ZENG* AND YU LAN

ABSTRACT. A representation theorem for continuous, SL(n) covariant vector-valued valuations on Orlicz spaces is established. Such valuations are uniquely characterized as moment vectors.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let \mathcal{W} be a lattice. A function $Y : \mathcal{W} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ is termed a valuation if for any Q_1, Q_2 such that $Q_1 \cup Q_2$, and $Q_1 \cap Q_2$ are in \mathcal{W} , the following holds:

$$Y(Q_1) + Y(Q_2) = Y(Q_1 \cup Q_2) + Y(Q_1 \cap Q_2). \quad (1.1)$$

Valuations on convex bodies originated from Dehn's solution of Hilbert's third problem. A significant development in this field is the Hadwiger characterization theorem, which provides a comprehensive classification of continuous, rigid motion invariant valuations on convex bodies. This theorem plays a crucial role in both geometric probability theory and integral geometry theory. It is the basis of studying the results of modern valuation theory. Monika Ludwig has made substantial contributions to the study of body-valued valuations [12–16]. For a historical development and recent contributions on convex bodies, star-shaped sets, manifolds and so on, see [1–4, 7–10, 17–26, 29, 31, 33, 34].

Recently, there has been growing interest in exploring valuations on function spaces. Assume that \mathcal{S} is a lattice of some functions. For $h_1, h_2 \in \mathcal{S}$, the operations $h_1 \vee h_2$ and $h_1 \wedge h_2$ denote $\max\{h_1, h_2\}$ and $\min\{h_1, h_2\}$, respectively. A function $\Psi : \mathcal{S} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ is a valuation if it satisfies

$$\Psi(h_1 \vee h_2) + \Psi(h_1 \wedge h_2) = \Psi(h_1) + \Psi(h_2)$$

for all $h_1, h_2, h_1 \vee h_2, h_1 \wedge h_2 \in \mathcal{S}$. Valuations have been extensively studied in various function spaces, including L^p -spaces [18, 27, 28], Sobolev spaces [17, 19], the space of Lipschitz functions [5, 6], spaces of functions of bounded variation [30] and Orlicz spaces [11], among others. Tsang [27] was the first to provide a complete classification of continuous, translation invariant real-valued valuation on $L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$, as well

The first author is supported by the Major Special Project of NSFC (Grant No. 12141101), the Young Top-Talent program of Chongqing (Grant No. CQYC2021059145), the Science and Technology Research Program of Chongqing Municipal Education Commission (Grant No. KJZD-K202200509) and Natural Science Foundation Project of Chongqing (Grant No. CSTB2024NSCQ-MSX0937).

Keywords: valuation, SL(n) covariance, Orlicz spaces.

*Corresponding author: Chunna Zeng.

as continuous, rotation invariant real-valued valuation on $L^p(\mathbb{S}^n)$. Wang [32] provided a classification of vector-valued, continuous and $\text{SL}(n)$ covariant valuation on $L^p(\mathbb{R}^n, |x|dx)$. Kone [11] extended Tsang's work from L^p -spaces to Orlicz spaces.

Let ϕ be a Young function. The Orlicz space $L^\phi(\mathbb{R}^n, |x|dx)$ is defined as the set of measurable functions $h : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that $\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \phi(\delta|h(x)|)|x|dx < \infty$ for every $\delta > 0$. An operator $\Psi : L^\phi(\mathbb{R}^n, |x|dx) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ is termed $\text{SL}(n)$ covariant if for all $h \in L^\phi(\mathbb{R}^n, |x|dx)$ and $\vartheta \in \text{SL}(n)$,

$$\Psi(h \circ \vartheta^{-1}) = \vartheta\Psi(h).$$

For a measurable function $h : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, we define the moment vector $m(h)$ as follows:

$$m(h) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} h(x)xdx.$$

In order to investigate function-valued valuations on Orlicz spaces, it often relies on the results established for convex bodies. Recently, Zeng and Ma [33] established the following $\text{SL}(n)$ covariant vector-valued valuations on polytopes.

Theorem 1. [33] *An operator $Y : \mathcal{P}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2$ is a measurable and $\text{SL}(2)$ covariant valuation if and only if there are real constants $c_1, c_2, c_3, \tilde{c}_1, \tilde{c}_2, \tilde{c}_3$ such that*

$$\begin{aligned} Y(Q) = & c_1m(Q) + \tilde{c}_1m([0, Q]) + c_2e([0, Q]) + c_3h([0, Q]) + \tilde{c}_2e([0, u_1, \dots, u_r]) \\ & + \tilde{c}_3h([0, u_1, \dots, u_r]) \end{aligned}$$

for all $Q \in \mathcal{P}^2$, with vertices u_1, \dots, u_r visible from the origin in counterclockwise order.

The operator $e : \mathcal{P}_0^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2$ is defined as follows:

$$e(Q) = \begin{cases} u + v, & \text{if } \dim Q = 2 \text{ and } Q \text{ has edges } [0, u] \text{ and } [0, v], \text{ or } 0 \in \text{int } P \text{ with} \\ & P \text{ has an edge } [u, v]; \\ 2(u + v), & \text{if } \dim Q = 1 \text{ and } 0 \in Q = [u, v]; \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

The operator $h : \mathcal{P}_0^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2$ is defined as follows:

$$h(Q) = \begin{cases} u_1 - u_r, & \text{if } \dim Q = 2, Q = [0, u_1, \dots, u_r] \text{ with} \\ & \{u_1, \dots, u_r\} \text{ arranged counterclockwise and } 0 \in \text{bd } Q; \\ 0, & \text{if } Q = \{0\}, \text{ a line segment, or } 0 \in \text{int } Q. \end{cases}$$

Theorem 2. [33] *An operator $Y : \mathcal{P}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$, where $n \geq 3$, is a measurable and $\text{SL}(n)$ covariant valuation if and only if there are real constants c_1, c_2 such that*

$$Y(Q) = c_1m(Q) + c_2m([0, Q])$$

for every $Q \in \mathcal{P}^n$.

The purpose of our paper is to characterize continuous, $\text{SL}(n)$ covariant, vector-valued valuations on $L^\phi(\mathbb{R}^n, |x|dx)$. Let $C_0(\mathbb{R})$ denote the set of continuous functions $\xi : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ where $\xi(0) = 0$. Similarly, let $C_\phi(\mathbb{R})$ represent the set of continuous

functions $\xi : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ that satisfy $|\xi(\beta)| \leq \lambda\phi(|\beta|)$ for some $\lambda \geq 0$ and all $\beta \in \mathbb{R}$, with ϕ being a Young function. The detailed definition of the Δ_2 condition will be introduced in Section 2. Unless otherwise specified, we assume that $n \geq 2$. The following theorem is a vector-valued analog of Kone's characterization of real-valued valuations (see [11]).

Theorem 3. *Suppose ϕ is a Young function that satisfies the Δ_2 condition. An operator $\Psi : L^\phi(\mathbb{R}^n, |x|dx) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ is a continuous, SL(n) covariant valuation if and only if there is $\xi \in C_\phi(\mathbb{R})$ such that*

$$\Psi(h) = m(\xi \circ h)$$

for every $h \in L^\phi(\mathbb{R}^n, |x|dx)$.

2. PRELIMINARIES

In order to investigate the properties of Orlicz spaces, it is essential to introduce the concept of Young functions. A Young function is a real-valued, non-negative function $\phi : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ that can be expressed as:

$$\phi(t) = \int_0^t \varphi(s)ds, \quad t \geq 0,$$

where $\varphi : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ is non-decreasing and satisfies the following conditions:

- (1) $\varphi(s) > 0$ for $s > 0$ with $\varphi(0) = 0$;
- (2) φ is right continuous for $s \geq 0$;
- (3) $\lim_{s \rightarrow \infty} \varphi(s) = \infty$.

The Young function ϕ is convex, non-negative, continuous and strictly increasing on $[0, \infty)$, and it satisfies both $\phi(0) = 0$ and $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \phi(t) = \infty$. The function ϕ^* is the complementary function to ϕ , defined by

$$\phi^*(t) = \int_0^t \psi(s)ds,$$

where $\psi(t) = \sup\{s : \varphi(s) \leq t\}$ for $t \geq 0$. It is clear that ϕ^* is a Young function. The pair (ϕ, ϕ^*) is referred to as a pair of complementary Young functions.

Given a measure space $(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathcal{A}, \mu)$ and a Young function ϕ , the Orlicz class $L^\phi_+(\mathbb{R}^n, |x|dx)$ is defined as

$$L^\phi_+(\mathbb{R}^n, |x|dx) = \{h : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, \text{ measurable, } \rho_\phi(h) < \infty\},$$

where

$$\rho_\phi(h) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \phi(|h(x)|)|x|dx.$$

And the Orlicz space $L^\phi(\mathbb{R}^n, |x|dx)$ is defined as

$$L^\phi(\mathbb{R}^n, |x|dx) = \{h : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, \text{ measurable, } \rho_\phi(\delta h) < \infty \text{ for every } \delta > 0\}.$$

A Young function ϕ is called to satisfy Δ_2 condition if there exist $T \geq 0$ and a positive constant C such that for all $t \geq T$, the function satisfies the inequality:

$$\phi(2t) \leq C\phi(t).$$

If ϕ satisfies Δ_2 condition, then the Orlicz class is equivalent to the Orlicz space.

For $h \in L^\phi(\mathbb{R}^n, |x|dx)$, the Orlicz norm $\|h\|_\phi$ of h in $L^\phi(\mathbb{R}^n, |x|dx)$ is given by

$$\|h\|_\phi = \sup \left\{ \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |h(x)g(x)||x|dx : g \in L^\phi_+(\mathbb{R}^n, |x|dx), \rho_{\phi^*}(g) \leq 1 \right\}.$$

The functional $\|\cdot\|_\phi : L^\phi(\mathbb{R}^n, |x|dx) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a semi-norm. Since we identify h and g when $\|h - g\|_\phi = 0$, which converts $\|\cdot\|_\phi$ into a norm. Thus, $L^\phi(\mathbb{R}^n, |x|dx)$ is a normed linear space.

A sequence of functions $\{h_k\} \subset L^\phi(\mathbb{R}^n, |x|dx)$ is said to converge to a function $h \in L^\phi(\mathbb{R}^n, |x|dx)$ if $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \|h_k - h\|_\phi = 0$. This convergence provides a foundation for analyzing continuity within our function spaces. Specifically, a function $\Psi : L^\phi(\mathbb{R}^n, |x|dx) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ is considered continuous if, for every sequence $\{h_k\}$ converging to h in $L^\phi(\mathbb{R}^n, |x|dx)$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$, we have $\Psi(h_k) \rightarrow \Psi(h)$.

Next, we introduce the subspace $L^\phi_a(\mathbb{R}^n, |x|dx)$ of $L^\phi(\mathbb{R}^n, |x|dx)$. The annulus $A[r, R)$ represents the region between two concentric spheres and is expressed as

$$A[r, R) = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : r \leq |x| < R\},$$

where r and R are real numbers satisfying $0 \leq r < R$, and $|x|$ is the distance from the point x to the origin. The subspace $L^\phi_a(\mathbb{R}^n, |x|dx)$ is defined by

$$L^\phi_a(\mathbb{R}^n, |x|dx) = \{h \in L^\phi(\mathbb{R}^n, |x|dx) : \text{supp}(h) \subseteq A[r, R)\},$$

where

$$\text{supp}(h) = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : h(x) \neq 0\}.$$

In this context, the condition $\chi_{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus A[r, R)} h = 0$ implies that h vanishes outside the annulus $A[r, R)$, thereby ensuring that h is supported within this region.

In this paper, we give two measurable spaces: $(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathcal{B}, \lambda)$ and $(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathcal{B}, \mu_n)$. Here, \mathcal{B} represents the Lebesgue measurable sets, and λ denotes the Lebesgue measure. The measure μ_n is defined for any set $M \in \mathcal{B}$ as

$$\mu_n(M) = \int_M |x|dx.$$

We write $L^\phi(\mathbb{R}^n)$ as $L^\phi(\lambda)$ and use $L^\phi(\mu_n)$ to denote $L^\phi(\mathbb{R}^n, |x|dx)$. Thus, for $h \in L^\phi(\mathbb{R}^n)$, we typically write $\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} h(x)dx$ instead of $\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} h d\lambda$. Similarly, we replace $\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} h d\mu_n$ by $\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} h(x)|x|dx$. For convenience, we refer to both λ -measurable functions and μ_n -measurable functions as measurable functions. It is noteworthy that if $M \in \mathcal{B}$, then $\mu_n(M) = 0$ is equivalent to $\lambda(M) = 0$. Thus, we abbreviate almost everywhere with respect to μ_n and λ as a.e.

The indicator functions and simple functions play crucial roles in our subsequent proofs. The indicator function χ_M for a set $M \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ is defined as follows

$$\chi_M(x) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } x \in M, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

If s is a simple function, there exist pairwise disjoint sets $M_1, \dots, M_l \in \mathcal{B}$ that have finite measure and scalars α_i for $i = 1, \dots, l$ such that

$$s = \sum_{i=1}^l \alpha_i \chi_{M_i}(x).$$

Denote e_1, \dots, e_n as the standard basis vectors in \mathbb{R}^n . Let $[u_1, \dots, u_k]$ represent the convex hull of $u_1, \dots, u_k \in \mathbb{R}^n$. Define \mathcal{P}^n as the set of compact convex polytopes, and \mathcal{P}_0^n as its subset consisting of compact convex polytopes that contain the origin 0, both equipped with the topology derived from the Hausdorff metric. Denote $m(Q)$ as moment vector if

$$m(Q) = \int_Q x dx$$

for $Q \in \mathcal{P}^n$.

An operator $Y : \mathcal{P}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ is SL(n) covariant if it satisfies

$$Y(\vartheta Q) = \vartheta Y(Q)$$

for any $Q \in \mathcal{P}^n$ and $\vartheta \in \text{SL}(n)$. An operator $Y : \mathcal{P}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ is termed simple if $Y(Q) = 0$ for any $Q \in \mathcal{P}^n$, where $\dim Q$ is at most $n - 1$. It is well known that the moment vector m is simple, SL(n) covariant and continuous function.

The following quotes play an important role in our proofs.

Lemma 1. [11] *If ϕ is a Young function, then*

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\phi(t)}{t} = \infty \text{ and } \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\phi^{-1}(t)}{t} = 0$$

for all $t \geq 0$.

A closed cube C in \mathbb{R}^n is defined as

$$C = \{(x_1, \dots, x_n) : c_i \leq x_i \leq d_i, x_i, c_i, d_i \in \mathbb{R}\},$$

where $|d_i - c_i| = |c_j - d_j|$, $1 \leq i, j \leq n$. For subsets $Q_1, Q_2 \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$, the definition of the symmetric difference of Q_1 and Q_2 is

$$Q_1 \triangle Q_2 = Q_1 \setminus Q_2 \cup Q_2 \setminus Q_1.$$

Lemma 2. [27] *Suppose that $\varepsilon > 0$ and $D \in \mathcal{B}$ with $\lambda(D) < \infty$. Then there exists a series of closed cubes $C_1, C_2, \dots, C_l \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ such that $\lambda(D \triangle \bigcup_{i=1}^l C_i) < \varepsilon$.*

Lemma 3. [11] *Let $M \subset \mathbb{R}^n$. If $0 < \mu_n(M) < \infty$, then*

$$\|\chi_M\|_\phi = \mu_n(M) \phi^{*-1} \left(\frac{1}{\mu_n(M)} \right).$$

Lemma 4. [11] *Let $\{h_i\} \subset L^\phi(\mu_n)$ be a sequence and $h \in L^\phi(\mu_n)$ such that $\|h_i - h\|_\phi \rightarrow 0$. Then, there are a subsequence $\{h_{i_j}\}$ of $\{h_i\}$ and a function $g^* \in L^\phi(\mu_n)$ satisfying the following conditions:*

- (1) $h_{i_j} \rightarrow h$ a.e. for all j ;
- (2) $|h_{i_j}| \leq g^*$ a.e.

3. PROOF OF MAIN THEOREMS

Theorem 4. *Let $\xi \in C_0(\mathbb{R})$. Assume that for every $\delta > 0$, there is a real number $\lambda_\delta \geq 0$ such that $|\xi(\beta)| \leq \lambda_\delta \phi(\delta|\beta|)$ for any $\beta \in \mathbb{R}$. Then the operator $\Psi : L^\phi(\mu_n) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ defined by*

$$\Psi(h) = m(\xi \circ h)$$

is a continuous and $\text{SL}(n)$ covariant valuation on $L^\phi(\mu_n)$.

Proof. Since there is a real number $\lambda_\delta \geq 0$ such that $|\xi(\beta)| \leq \lambda_\delta \phi(\delta|\beta|)$ for all $\beta \in \mathbb{R}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} |m(\xi \circ h)| &= \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} (\xi \circ h)(x) x dx \right| \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |(\xi \circ h)(x)| |x| dx \\ &\leq \lambda_\delta \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \phi(\delta|h(x)|) |x| dx < \infty. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, it follows that $|\Psi(h)| < \infty$. From $\xi(0) = 0$, we have $\Psi(0) = \mathbf{0}$. Let $h_1, h_2 \in L^\phi(\mu_n)$, then

$$\begin{aligned} \Psi(h_1 \vee h_2) + \Psi(h_1 \wedge h_2) &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \xi \circ (h_1 \vee h_2) x dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \xi \circ (h_1 \wedge h_2) x dx \\ &= \int_{\{h_1 \geq h_2\}} (\xi \circ h_1)(x) x dx + \int_{\{h_1 < h_2\}} (\xi \circ h_2)(x) x dx \\ &\quad + \int_{\{h_1 \geq h_2\}} (\xi \circ h_2)(x) x dx + \int_{\{h_1 < h_2\}} (\xi \circ h_1)(x) x dx \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} (\xi \circ h_1) x dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} (\xi \circ h_2) x dx \\ &= \Psi(h_1) + \Psi(h_2). \end{aligned}$$

Thus, Ψ is a valuation on $L^\phi(\mu_n)$.

We now aim to show that Ψ is $\text{SL}(n)$ covariant. For any $h \in L^\phi(\mu_n)$ and $\vartheta \in \text{SL}(n)$, we have

$$\Psi(h \circ \vartheta^{-1}) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} (\xi \circ h)(\vartheta^{-1}x) x dx = \vartheta \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} (\xi \circ h)(x) x dx = \vartheta \Psi(h).$$

Suppose that $\{h_i\}$ is a sequence of operators in $L^\phi(\mu_n)$, and $h_i \rightarrow h$ as $i \rightarrow \infty$ in $L^\phi(\mu_n)$. To establish the continuity of Ψ , we need to demonstrate that any subsequence $\{\Psi(h_{i_j})\}$ of $\{\Psi(h_i)\}$ has a subsequence $\{\Psi(h_{i_{j_k}})\}$ converges to $\{\Psi(h)\}$. Since $\{h_{i_j}\}$ is a subsequence of $\{h_i\}$, we also have $h_{i_j} \rightarrow h$ in $L^\phi(\mu_n)$. By Lemma 4, there is a subsequence $\{h_{i_{j_k}}\}$ of $\{h_{i_j}\}$ satisfying that $h_{i_{j_k}} \rightarrow h$ a.e., and $g^* \in L^\phi(\mu_n)$ such that $|h_{i_{j_k}}| \leq g^*$ a.e. for all k . Since ξ is continuous, it follows that

$$(\xi \circ h_{i_{j_k}})(x) x \rightarrow (\xi \circ h)(x) x \quad a.e.$$

Additionally, because $|\xi(\beta)| \leq \lambda_\delta \phi(\delta|\beta|)$ for all $\beta \in \mathbb{R}$, we obtain

$$|(\xi \circ h_{i_{j_k}})(x) x| \leq |(\xi \circ h_{i_{j_k}})(x)| |x| \leq \lambda_\delta \phi(\delta|h_{i_{j_k}}(x)|) |x| \leq \lambda_\delta \phi(\delta g^*) |x|, \quad a.e.$$

Since $\lambda_\delta \phi(\delta g^*)|x|$ is integrable, by the dominated convergence theorem, we obtain

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} (\xi \circ h_{i_{j_k}})(x) dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} (\xi \circ h)(x) dx.$$

Thus, $\Psi(h_{i_{j_k}}) \rightarrow \Psi(h)$ as $h_{i_{j_k}} \rightarrow h$ in $L^\phi(\mu_n)$, which implies that Ψ is continuous. \square

Lemma 5. *An operator $Y : \mathcal{P}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ is a simple, continuous and SL(n) covariant valuation if and only if there is a constant $c \in \mathbb{R}$ such that*

$$Y(Q) = cm(Q)$$

for all $Q \in \mathcal{P}^n$.

Proof. Let $Q, Q_i \in \mathcal{P}^n$ with $Q_i \rightarrow Q$ as $i \rightarrow \infty$. Observe that $[0, Q_i] \rightarrow [0, Q]$ as $i \rightarrow \infty$. Theorem 1 and Theorem 2 establish a classification of measurable and SL(n) covariant valuation on \mathcal{P}^n . In the case of $n = 2$, if the operator $Y : \mathcal{P}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2$ is a measurable and SL(2) covariant valuation, then there are real constants $c_1, c_2, c_3, \tilde{c}_1, \tilde{c}_2, \tilde{c}_3$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} Y(Q) &= c_1 m(Q) + \tilde{c}_1 m([0, Q]) + c_2 e([0, Q]) + \tilde{c}_2 e([0, u_1, \dots, u_r]) + c_3 h([0, Q]) \\ &\quad + \tilde{c}_3 h([0, u_1, \dots, u_r]) \end{aligned}$$

for every $Q \in \mathcal{P}^2$, where the vertices u_1, \dots, u_r are visible from the origin and labeled counterclockwise. Let

$$\tilde{Y}(Q) = c_2 e([0, Q]) + \tilde{c}_2 e([0, u_1, \dots, u_r]) + c_3 h([0, Q]) + \tilde{c}_3 h([0, u_1, \dots, u_r]) \quad (3.1)$$

for $Q \in \mathcal{P}^2$. Since Y is continuous on \mathcal{P}^2 and m is also continuous, it leads that \tilde{Y} is a continuous function.

Consider $Q = [0, e_1]$ and $Q_\varepsilon = [e_1, \varepsilon e_2]$, where $Q_\varepsilon \rightarrow Q$ as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$. Substituting Q and Q_ε into (3.1), we have

$$\tilde{Y}(Q) = 2c_2 e_1,$$

and

$$\tilde{Y}(Q_\varepsilon) = (c_2 + \tilde{c}_2 + c_3 + \tilde{c}_3)e_1 + (c_2 + \tilde{c}_2 - c_3 - \tilde{c}_3)\varepsilon e_2.$$

Due to the continuity of \tilde{Y} , it follows that $\tilde{Y}(Q_\varepsilon) \rightarrow \tilde{Y}(Q)$ as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$, which gives

$$c_2 + \tilde{c}_2 + c_3 + \tilde{c}_3 = 2c_2,$$

leading to

$$\tilde{c}_2 + c_3 + \tilde{c}_3 = c_2. \quad (3.2)$$

Similarly, we take $Q' = [0, e_2]$ and $Q'_\varepsilon = [\varepsilon e_1, e_2]$, where $Q'_\varepsilon \rightarrow Q'$ as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$, substituting Q' and Q'_ε into (3.1). Then

$$\tilde{Y}(Q') = 2c_2 e_2,$$

and

$$\tilde{Y}(Q'_\varepsilon) = (c_2 + \tilde{c}_2 + c_3 + \tilde{c}_3)\varepsilon e_1 + (c_2 + \tilde{c}_2 - c_3 - \tilde{c}_3)e_2.$$

From the continuity of \tilde{Y} , so $\tilde{Y}(Q'_\varepsilon) \rightarrow \tilde{Y}(Q')$ as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$. We obtain

$$c_2 + \tilde{c}_2 - c_3 - \tilde{c}_3 = 2c_2,$$

which leads to

$$\tilde{c}_2 - c_3 - \tilde{c}_3 = c_2. \quad (3.3)$$

Next, consider $Q_\varepsilon'' = [e_1, e_2, -\varepsilon e_1]$ and $Q_\varepsilon''' = [\varepsilon e_1, e_2, -e_1]$, where $Q_\varepsilon'' \rightarrow Q'' = [0, e_1, e_2]$ and $Q_\varepsilon''' \rightarrow Q''' = [0, e_2, -e_1]$ as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$, respectively. Similarly, we have

$$c_2 - c_3 = 0, \quad (3.4)$$

and

$$c_2 + c_3 = 0. \quad (3.5)$$

From (3.2), (3.3), (3.4) and (3.5), it follows that

$$c_2 = \tilde{c}_2 = c_3 = \tilde{c}_3 = 0.$$

Thus, $Y(Q) = c_1 m(Q) + \tilde{c}_1 m([0, Q])$. Let $Q = [e_1, e_2] \in \mathcal{P}^2$, then

$$Y(Q) = \tilde{c}_1 m([0, Q]) = \tilde{c}_1 \left(\frac{1}{6}, \frac{1}{6} \right).$$

Notice that if Y is a simple valuation, it implies that $\tilde{c}_1 = 0$.

If $n \geq 3$, $Y : \mathcal{P}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ is a measurable and $\mathrm{SL}(n)$ covariant valuation, then there exist constants $c_1, c_2 \in \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$Y(Q) = c_1 m(Q) + c_2 m([0, Q]). \quad (3.6)$$

Now, let $Q = [e_1, \dots, e_n]$ in (3.6), and observe that $\dim Q = n - 1$. Since m is a simple function, we have

$$Y(Q) = c_2 m([0, Q]) = c_2 \left(\frac{1}{(n+1)!}, \frac{1}{(n+1)!} \right).$$

Due to the simplicity of Y , it leads to $c_2 = 0$. Therefore, $Y(Q) = c_1 m(Q)$. \square

Lemma 6. *An operator $\Psi : L^\phi(\mu_n) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ is an $\mathrm{SL}(n)$ covariant valuation, then*

$$\Psi(0) = \mathbf{0}.$$

Proof. For a real number $k \neq 0$, let

$$\vartheta_n = \begin{pmatrix} k & & & \\ & k & & \\ & & \ddots & \\ & & & k^{1-n} \end{pmatrix},$$

which belongs to $\mathrm{SL}(n)$. Because Ψ is $\mathrm{SL}(n)$ covariant, it follows that

$$\Psi(0 \circ \vartheta_n^{-1}) = \Psi(0) = \vartheta_n \Psi(0).$$

Let $\Psi(0) = (y_1, \dots, y_n)^t$. Then, we have

$$(y_1, \dots, y_{n-1}, y_n)^t = (ky_1, \dots, ky_{n-1}, k^{1-n}y_n)^t.$$

Since $k \neq 0$, this implies that $y_i = 0$ for $1 \leq i \leq n$.

Lemma 7. *If an operator $\Psi : L^\phi(\mu_n) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ is a continuous and SL(n) covariant valuation, then there is $\xi \in C_0(\mathbb{R})$ such that for any $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$,*

$$\Psi(\alpha\chi_Q) = \xi(\alpha)m(Q)$$

for all $Q \in \mathcal{P}^n$.

Proof. For $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$, define $Y_\alpha : \mathcal{P}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ by setting

$$Y_\alpha(Q) = \Psi(\alpha\chi_Q)$$

for $Q \in \mathcal{P}^n$. Since Ψ is a valuation on $L^\phi(\mu_n)$, for $Q_1, Q_2, Q_1 \cup Q_2, Q_1 \cap Q_2 \in \mathcal{P}^n$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} Y_\alpha(Q_1 \cup Q_2) + Y_\alpha(Q_1 \cap Q_2) &= \Psi(\alpha\chi_{Q_1 \cup Q_2}) + \Psi(\alpha\chi_{Q_1 \cap Q_2}) \\ &= \Psi(\alpha\chi_{Q_1} \vee \alpha\chi_{Q_2}) + \Psi(\alpha\chi_{Q_1} \wedge \alpha\chi_{Q_2}) \\ &= Y_\alpha(Q_1) + Y_\alpha(Q_2). \end{aligned}$$

Thus, $Y_\alpha : \mathcal{P}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ is a valuation. For any $Q \in \mathcal{P}^n$ and $\vartheta \in \text{SL}(n)$, we have

$$Y_\alpha(\vartheta Q) = \Psi(\alpha\chi_{\vartheta Q}) = \Psi((\alpha\chi_Q) \circ \vartheta^{-1}) = \vartheta\Psi(\alpha\chi_Q) = \vartheta Y_\alpha(Q).$$

Therefore, Y_α is SL(n) covariant. For $Q \in \mathcal{P}^n$ with $\dim Q \leq n-1$, we have $\alpha\chi_Q = 0$ a.e. in $L^\phi(\mu_n)$. So

$$Y_\alpha(Q) = \Psi(\alpha\chi_Q) = \Psi(0) = \mathbf{0}.$$

Hence Y_α is simple. Next, we prove that Y_α is continuous. According to Lemma 2, for $Q \in \mathcal{P}^n$, there exists a series of $\{C_i\}$, a union of finite closed cubes, such that $\lambda(C_i \triangle Q) < 1/i$ for $i \in \mathbb{N}$. If $x \in C_i \triangle Q$, there is a positive constant a such that $|x| \leq a$. Observe that

$$\mu_n(C_i \triangle Q) = \int_{C_i \triangle Q} |x| dx \leq a \int_{C_i \triangle Q} dx = a\lambda(C_i \triangle Q),$$

thus we have $\mu_n(C_i \triangle Q) < a/i$. If $0 < \mu_n(C_i \triangle Q) < \infty$, we obtain that

$$\|\alpha\chi_{C_i} - \alpha\chi_Q\|_\phi = |\alpha| \|\chi_{C_i \triangle Q}\|_\phi = |\alpha| \mu_n(C_i \triangle Q) \phi^{*-1} \left(\frac{1}{\mu_n(C_i \triangle Q)} \right).$$

Let $t = 1/\mu_n(C_i \triangle Q)$. By Lemma 1, it follows that $\|\alpha\chi_{C_i} - \alpha\chi_Q\|_\phi \rightarrow 0$ as $i \rightarrow \infty$. On the other hand, if $\mu_n(C_i \triangle Q) = 0$, then $\|\chi_{C_i \triangle Q}\|_\phi = 0$. Thus, $\|\alpha\chi_{C_i} - \alpha\chi_Q\|_\phi = 0$. In both cases, the continuity of Ψ implies that Y_α is continuous on \mathcal{P}^n .

By Lemma 5, there is a real constant c_α such that

$$\Psi(\alpha\chi_Q) = c_\alpha m(Q) \tag{3.7}$$

for $Q \in \mathcal{P}^n$. We define the operator $\xi : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by

$$\xi(\alpha) = c_\alpha.$$

Lemma 6 shows that $\Psi(0) = \mathbf{0}$. In (3.7), we assume that $\alpha = 0$ and $m(Q) \neq 0$ for $Q \in \mathcal{P}^n$, then it follows that $\xi(0) = 0$. Now we show that the continuity of ξ . Take

$\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ and a sequence $\{\alpha_i\} \subset \mathbb{R}$ satisfying $\alpha_i \rightarrow \alpha$ as $i \rightarrow \infty$. Let $Q \in \mathcal{P}^n$ with $\lambda(Q) \neq 0$, we also have $\mu_n(Q) \neq 0$. Observe that

$$\|\alpha_i \chi_Q - \alpha \chi_Q\|_\phi = |\alpha_i - \alpha| \mu_n(Q) \phi^{*-1} \left(\frac{1}{\mu_n(Q)} \right).$$

So $\|\alpha_i \chi_Q - \alpha \chi_Q\|_\phi \rightarrow 0$ as $\alpha_i \rightarrow \alpha$. By the continuity of Ψ , it follows that $\Psi(\alpha_i \chi_Q) \rightarrow \Psi(\alpha \chi_Q)$, which implies $\xi(\alpha_i) \rightarrow \xi(\alpha)$ as $i \rightarrow \infty$. \square

The following lemma closely aligns with Wang's result ([32], Lemma 3.3), so the proof is omitted.

Lemma 8. *If $\Psi : L^\phi(\mu_n) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ is a valuation, then for all $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_l \in \mathbb{R}$, $l \in \mathbb{N}$, and pairwise disjoint sets $M_1, \dots, M_l \in \mathcal{B}$ with finite measure,*

$$\Psi \left(\sum_{i=1}^l \alpha_i \chi_{M_i} \right) = \sum_{i=1}^l \Psi(\alpha_i \chi_{M_i}).$$

Lemma 9. *If $\Psi : L^\phi(\mu_n) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ is a continuous and $\text{SL}(n)$ covariant valuation, then there is $\xi \in C_0(\mathbb{R})$ such that for any $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$,*

$$\Psi(\alpha \chi_M) = \xi(\alpha) m(M)$$

for $M \in \mathcal{B}$ with $M \subset A[0, R)$.

Proof. Let $M \in \mathcal{B}$ with $M \subset A[0, R)$, we have $\lambda(M) < \infty$. According to Lemma 2, there is a series of $\{K_i\}$, which is a union of finite closed cubes satisfying $\lambda(K_i \triangle M) < 1/i$. If $x \in K_i \triangle M$, there is a constant $a > 0$ satisfying that $|x| \leq a$. Thus we have $\mu_n(K_i \triangle M) \leq a \lambda(K_i \triangle M) < a/i$. If $0 < \mu_n(K_i \triangle M) < \infty$, note that

$$\|\alpha \chi_{K_i} - \alpha \chi_M\|_\phi = |\alpha| \|\chi_{K_i \triangle M}\|_\phi = |\alpha| \mu_n(K_i \triangle M) \phi^{*-1} \left(\frac{1}{\mu_n(K_i \triangle M)} \right).$$

Let $t = 1/\mu_n(K_i \triangle M)$. By Lemma 1, we have $\alpha \chi_{K_i} \rightarrow \alpha \chi_M$ in $L^\phi(\mu_n)$. If $\mu_n(K_i \triangle M) = 0$, we obtain the same result. Additionally, for any K_i , there exist pairwise disjoint interiors sets $Q_{i_1}, \dots, Q_{i_l} \in \mathcal{P}^n$ such that $K_i = \bigcup_{j=1}^l Q_{i_j}$. Observe that $\alpha \chi_{Q \setminus \dot{Q}} = 0$ a.e. in $L^\phi(\mu_n)$. Hence,

$$\alpha \chi_{K_i} = \sum_{j=1}^l \alpha \chi_{\dot{Q}_{i_j}} = \sum_{j=1}^l \alpha \chi_{Q_{i_j}} \quad \text{a.e.},$$

where $\overset{\circ}{Q}_{i_j}$ denote the interior of Q_{i_j} . By Lemma 6 , Lemma 7 and Lemma 8, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\Psi(\alpha\chi_{K_i}) &= \sum_{j=1}^l \Psi(\alpha\chi_{\overset{\circ}{Q}_{i_j}}) \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^l \Psi(\alpha\chi_{Q_{i_j}}) \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^l \xi(\alpha) \int_{Q_{i_j}} x dx = \xi(\alpha)m(K_i).\end{aligned}$$

Meanwhile,

$$\begin{aligned}|\Psi(\alpha\chi_{K_i}) - \xi(\alpha)m(M)| &= |\xi(\alpha)||m(K_i) - m(M)| \\ &\leq |\xi(\alpha)| \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |(\chi_{K_i} - \chi_M)(x)||x| dx \\ &\leq a |\xi(\alpha)| \lambda(K_i \Delta M).\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, $\Psi(\alpha\chi_{K_i}) \rightarrow \xi(\alpha)m(M)$ as $i \rightarrow \infty$. Due to the continuity of Ψ , it follows that

$$\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \Psi(\alpha\chi_{K_i}) = \xi(\alpha)m(M) = \Psi(\alpha\chi_M).$$

□

Denote B_n as the unit ball in \mathbb{R}^n . Tsang ([28]) constructed a collection of pairwise disjoint balls defined by

$$\{M_j : M_j = r_j B_n + c_j e_1\} \quad (3.8)$$

in \mathbb{R}^n , satisfying the following conditions: (1) $c_j > r_j$ for $j \geq 1$; (2) $0 < r_j < 1$ for $j \geq 1$; (3) $c_j > c_{j-1} + 2$ for $j \geq 2$; (4) $(c_j + r_j)\lambda(M_j) = \beta'_j$ for $j \geq 1$, where $\{\beta'_j\}$ is a sequence of positive numbers in \mathbb{R} ; (5) $\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \frac{c_j}{c_j + r_j} = 1$.

Lemma 10. *Suppose that ϕ is a Young function satisfying the Δ_2 condition. If $\xi \in C_0(\mathbb{R})$ and $|m(\xi \circ h)| < \infty$ for every $h \in L^\phi(\mu_n)$, then $\xi \in C_\phi(\mathbb{R})$.*

Proof. Assume that for any $\lambda \geq 0$, there exists some $\beta_0 \neq 0$ such that $|\xi(\beta_0)| > \lambda\phi(|\beta_0|)$. Consequently, there is a sequence $\{\beta_i\}$ in $\mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$ satisfying

$$|\xi(\beta_i)| > 2^i \phi(|\beta_i|).$$

This implies that there exists a subsequence $\{\beta_{i_j}\}$ of $\{\beta_i\}$ satisfying either

$$\xi(\beta_{i_j}) > 2^{i_j} \phi(|\beta_{i_j}|) \quad \text{or} \quad \xi(\beta_{i_j}) < -2^{i_j} \phi(|\beta_{i_j}|).$$

In the first case, when $\xi(\beta_{i_j}) > 2^{i_j} \phi(|\beta_{i_j}|)$ holds, we define a sequence of pairwise disjoint balls based on the conditions in (3.8) and $\beta'_j = \frac{1}{2^{i_j} \phi(|\beta_{i_j}|)}$ for all $j \in \mathbb{N}$. We define $h : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by

$$h = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \beta_{i_j} \chi_{M_j}.$$

Next, we compute

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \phi(|h(x)|)|x|dx &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \phi\left(\left|\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \beta_{i_j} \chi_{M_j}\right|\right) |x|dx \\
&= \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \phi(|\beta_{i_j}|) \int_{M_j} |x|dx \\
&\leq \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \phi(|\beta_{i_j}|)(c_j + r_j)\lambda(M_j) \\
&= \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \phi(|\beta_{i_j}|) \frac{1}{2^{i_j} \phi(|\beta_{i_j}|)} = 1 < \infty.
\end{aligned}$$

Thus, $h \in L_+^\phi(\mu_n)$. Since ϕ satisfies the Δ_2 condition, it follows that $h \in L^\phi(\mu_n)$. Observing that $\int_{M_j} x dx = \lambda(M_j)(c_j, 0, \dots, 0)^t$ for $j \in \mathbb{N}$, we have

$$m(\xi \circ h) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} (\xi \circ h)(x) x dx = \left(\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \xi(\beta_{i_j}) c_j \lambda(M_j), 0, \dots, 0 \right)^t.$$

Additionally, from $\xi(\beta_{i_j}) > 2^{i_j} \phi(|\beta_{i_j}|)$ for $j \in \mathbb{N}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \xi(\beta_{i_j}) c_j \lambda(M_j) &> \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} 2^{i_j} \phi(|\beta_{i_j}|) c_j \lambda(M_j) \\
&= \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{c_j}{c_j + r_j} = \lim_{l \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{j=1}^l \frac{c_j}{c_j + r_j} = \infty.
\end{aligned}$$

Thus,

$$|m(\xi \circ h)| = \infty.$$

If $\xi(\beta_{i_j}) < -2^{i_j} \phi(|\beta_{i_j}|)$ for $j \in \mathbb{N}$, a similar argument as in the first case yields

$$|m(\xi \circ h)| = \infty,$$

which contradicts the fact that

$$|m(\xi \circ h)| < \infty.$$

□

Lemma 11. *Suppose that ϕ is a Young function satisfying the Δ_2 condition. If an operator $\Psi : L^\phi(\mu_n) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ is a continuous and $\text{SL}(n)$ covariant valuation, then there is $\xi \in C_\phi(\mathbb{R})$, and*

$$\Psi(h) = m(\xi \circ h)$$

for any $h \in L_a^\phi(\mu_n)$.

Proof. We first prove that this result holds for $s \in L_a^\phi(\mu_n)$. Assume that $s \in L_a^\phi(\mu_n)$, then there exists pairwise disjoint sets $M_i \in \mathcal{B}$ with $M_i \subset A(r, R]$ for $i = 1, \dots, l$, and $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_l \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $s = \sum_{i=1}^l \alpha_i \chi_{M_i}$. And Lemma 8 and Lemma 9 imply that

$$\begin{aligned} \Psi(s) &= \Psi\left(\sum_{i=1}^l \alpha_i \chi_{M_i}\right) = \sum_{i=1}^l \Psi(\alpha_i \chi_{M_i}) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^l \xi(\alpha_i) \int_{M_i} x dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \left(\sum_{i=1}^l \xi(\alpha_i) \chi_{M_i}(x)\right) x dx \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} (\xi \circ s)(x) x dx = m(\xi \circ s). \end{aligned}$$

From $\xi(0) = 0$ and $\xi \circ s = \sum_{i=1}^l \xi(\alpha_i) \chi_{M_i}$, we obtain the last equality.

Since simple functions are dense in $L_a^\phi(\mu_n)$, for any $h \in L_a^\phi(\mu_n)$, there exists a sequence $\{s_i\}$ such that $\|s_i - h\|_\phi \rightarrow 0$. By Lemma 4, there is a subsequence $\{s_{i_j}\}$ of s_i satisfying that $s_{i_j}(x) \rightarrow h(x)$ a.e. Furthermore, by the continuity of ξ , we obtain

$$(\xi \circ s_{i_j})(x) x \rightarrow (\xi \circ h)(x) x, \text{ a.e.}$$

Because $s_{i_j} \in L_a^\phi(\mu_n)$, it follows that $|m(\xi \circ s_{i_j})| < \infty$. By applying Lemma 10, we have

$$|(\xi \circ s_{i_j})(x) x| \leq |(\xi \circ s_{i_j})(x)| |x| \leq \lambda \phi(|s_{i_j}(x)|) |x|.$$

As $\lambda \phi(|s_{i_j}(x)|) |x|$ is integrable, by the dominated convergence theorem, we obtain

$$\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} (\xi \circ s_{i_j})(x) x dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} (\xi \circ h)(x) x dx.$$

It is evident that $\|s_{i_j} - h\|_\phi \rightarrow 0$. By the continuity of Ψ , it follows that $\Psi(s_{i_j}) \rightarrow \Psi(h)$ as $j \rightarrow \infty$. This yields

$$\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} (\xi \circ s_{i_j})(x) x dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} (\xi \circ h)(x) x dx = \Psi(h)$$

for any $h \in L_a^\phi(\mu_n)$.

Lemma 12. *Suppose that ϕ is a Young function satisfying the Δ_2 condition. If an operator $\Psi : L^\phi(\mu_n) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ is a continuous and SL(n) covariant valuation, then there is $\xi \in C_\phi(\mathbb{R})$, and*

$$\Psi(h) = m(\xi \circ h) \tag{3.9}$$

for every $h \in L^\phi(\mu_n)$.

Proof. By Lemma 6 and the valuation property of Ψ , we obtain

$$\Psi(h) = \Psi(h) + \Psi(0) = \Psi(h \vee 0) + \Psi(h \wedge 0).$$

Therefore, it is enough to demonstrate that (3.9) holds for all $f \in L^\phi(\mu_n)$ for which $h \geq 0$ and for those for which $h \leq 0$. Let $h \in L^\phi(\mu_n)$ with $h \geq 0$. For $k \in \mathbb{N}$, we define $h_k : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ as

$$h_k = \sum_{j=0}^k \chi_{M_j} h,$$

where $M_j = A[1/2^{j+1}, 1/2^j) \cup A[j+1, j+2)$ for $j \geq 0$. This leads that $0 \leq h_1 \leq \dots \leq h_k \leq h$ and $h_k \rightarrow h$, a.e. Due to the continuity of ξ , it follows that

$$(\xi \circ h_k)(x)x \rightarrow (\xi \circ h)(x)x, \text{ a.e.}$$

Because $h_k \in L_a^\phi(\mu_n)$, it implies $|\Psi(h_k)| < \infty$. By applying Lemma 10, we obtain

$$|(\xi \circ h_k)(x)x| \leq |(\xi \circ h_k)(x)||x| \leq \lambda\phi(h_k(x))|x| \leq \lambda\phi(h(x))|x|, \text{ a.e.}$$

As $\lambda\phi(h(x))|x|$ is integrable, by the dominated convergence theorem, we have

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} (\xi \circ h_k)(x)x dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} (\xi \circ h)(x)x dx.$$

Moreover, since $|h_k - h| \leq h$ and ϕ is increasing function, we have $\phi(|h_k - h|) \leq \phi(h)$. As $\phi(h)$ is integrable, by the dominated convergence theorem, we deduce that $\rho_\phi(|h_k - h|) \rightarrow 0$, which leads to $\|h_k - h\|_\phi \rightarrow 0$. Due to the continuity of Ψ , we have $\Psi(h_k) \rightarrow \Psi(h)$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$. Therefore, it concludes that

$$\Psi(h) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} (\xi \circ h)(x)x dx.$$

Let $h \in L^\phi(\mu_n)$ with $h \leq 0$, we similarly define

$$h_k = \sum_{j=0}^k \chi_{M_j} h,$$

where $M_j = A[1/2^{j+1}, 1/2^j) \cup A[j+1, j+2)$ for $j \geq 0$. This implies that $h \leq h_k \leq \dots \leq h_1 \leq 0$ and $h_k \rightarrow h$, a.e. Following the same argument, we have

$$\Psi(h) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} (\xi \circ h(x))x dx.$$

□

Acknowledgement The first author expresses her sincere gratitude to Monika Ludwig for her guidance, support, and encouragement.

REFERENCES

- [1] S. Alesker, Continuous rotation invariant valuations on convex sets, *Ann. of Math.* (2) 149 (1999), 977-1005.
- [2] S. Alesker, Description of continuous isometry covariant valuations on convex sets, *Geom. Dedicata* 74 (1999), 241-248.
- [3] S. Alesker, On P. McMullen's conjecture on translation invariant valuations, *Adv. Math.* 155 (2000), 239-263.

- [4] S. Alesker, Description of translation invariant valuations on convex sets with solution of P. McMullen's conjecture, *Geom. Funct. Anal.* 11 (2001), 244-272.
- [5] A. Colesanti, D. Pagnini, P. Tradacete, and I. Villanueva, A class of invariant valuations on $\text{Lip}(S^{n-1})$, *Adv. Math.* 366 (2020): 107069.
- [6] A. Colesanti, D. Pagnini, P. Tradacete, and I. Villanueva, Continuous valuations on the space of Lipschitz functions on the sphere, *J. Funct. Anal.* 280 (2021): 108873.
- [7] D. A. Klain, Star valuations and dual mixed volumes, *Adv. Math.* 121 (1996), 80-101.
- [8] D. A. Klain, Invariant valuations on star-shaped sets, *Adv. Math.* 125 (1997), 95-113.
- [9] D. A. Klain, Even valuations on convex bodies, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* 352 (2000), 71-93.
- [10] D. A. Klain, G. C. Rota, Introduction to geometric probability, *Lezioni Lincee [Lincei Lectures]*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1997.
- [11] H. Kone, valuations on Orlicz spaces and L^ϕ -star sets, *Adv. in Appl. Math.* 52 (2014) 82-98.
- [12] M. Ludwig, Moment vectors of polytopes, IV International Conference in "Stochastic Geometry, Convex Bodies, Empirical Measures and Applications to Engineering Science", Vol. II (Tropea, 2001), *Rend. Circ. Mat. Palermo (2) Suppl.* 70 (2002), 123-138.
- [13] M. Ludwig, Projection bodies and valuations, *Adv. Math.* 172 (2002), 158-168.
- [14] M. Ludwig, Valuations of polytopes containing the origin in their interiors, *Adv. Math.* 170 (2002), 239-256.
- [15] M. Ludwig, Ellipsoids and matrix-valued valuations, *Duke Math. J.* 119 (2003), 159-188.
- [16] M. Ludwig, Minkowski valuations, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* 357 (10) (2005), 4191-4213.
- [17] M. Ludwig, Valuations on Sobolev spaces, *Amer. J. Math.* 134 (3) (2012), 827-842.
- [18] M. Ludwig, Covariance matrices and valuations, *Adv. in Appl. Math.* 51 (3) (2013), 359-366.
- [19] M. Ludwig, Fisher information and matrix-valued valuations, *Adv. Math.* 226 (3) (2011), 2700-2711.
Fond. CIME/CIME Found. Subser. Springer, Cham, 2023, 19-78.
- [20] M. Ludwig, M. Reitzner, A characterization of affine surface area, *Adv. Math.* 147 (1999), 138-172.
- [21] E. Lutwak, D. Yang, and G. Zhang, The Cramer-Rao inequality for star bodies, *Duke Math. J.* 112 (2002), 59-81.
- [22] P. McMullen, Valuations and dissections, *Handbook of convex geometry*, Vol. A, B, North-Holland Publishing Co., Amsterdam, 1993, 933-988.
- [23] P. McMullen, R. Schneider, Valuations on convex bodies, *Convexity and its applications*, Birkhäuser Verlag, Basel, 1983, 170-247.

- [24] D. Ma, Moment matrices and $SL(n)$ equivariant valuations on polytopes, *Int. Math. Res. Not.* 14 (2021), 10436-10489.
- [25] L. Parapatits, $SL(n)$ -contravariant L_p -Minkowski valuations, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* 366 (2014), 1195-1211.
- [26] L. Parapatits, $SL(n)$ -covariant L_p -Minkowski valuations, *J. Lond. Math. Soc.* 89 (2) (2014), 397-414.
- [27] A. Tsang, Valuations on L^p spaces, *Int. Math. Res. Not.* 20 (2010), 3993-4023.
- [28] A. Tsang, Minkowski valuations on L^p -spaces, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* 364 (2012), 6159-6186.
- [29] T. Wannerer, $GL(n)$ equivariant Minkowski valuations, *Indiana Univ. Math. J.* 60 (2011), 1655-1672.
- [30] T. Wang, Semi-valuations on $BV(\mathbb{R}^n)$, *Indiana Univ. Math. J.* 63 (5) (2014), 1447-1465.
- [31] W. Wang, $SL(n)$ equivariant matrix-valued valuations on polytopes, *Int. Math. Res. Not.* 13 (2022), 10302-10346.
- [32] W. Wang, R. G. He, and L. J. Liu, $SL(n)$ covariant vector-valued valuations on L^p -spaces, *Ann. math. du. Qué.* 45 (2021), 465-486.
- [33] C. N. Zeng, D. Ma, $SL(n)$ covariant vector valuations on polytopes, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* 370 (2018), 8999-9023.
- [34] C. N. Zeng, Y. Q. Zhou, $SL(n)$ contravariant matrix-valued valuations on polytopes, *Int. Math. Res. Not.* 15(2024), 11343–11373.

1.SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, CHONGQING NORMAL UNIVERSITY, CHONGQING 401331, PEOPLE'S REPUBLIC OF CHINA; INSTITUT FÜR DISKRETE MATHEMATIK UND GEOMETRIE, TECHNISCHE UNIVERSITÄT WIEN, WIEDNER HAUPTSTRASSE 8–10/1046, 1040 WIEN, AUSTRIA

Email address: zengchn@163.com

2.SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS AND SCIENCES, CHONGQING NORMAL UNIVERSITY, CHONGQING 401332, PEOPLE'S REPUBLIC OF CHINA

Email address: 18181567221@163.com