

A neighborhood union condition for the existence of a spanning tree without degree 2 vertices*

Yibo Li^{†1,2}, Fengming Dong^{‡3}, Xiaolan Hu^{§4}, and Huiqing Liu^{¶1,2}

¹Hubei Key Laboratory of Applied Mathematics, Faculty of Mathematics and Statistics,
Hubei University, Wuhan 430062, China

²Key Laboratory of Intelligent Sensing System and Security (Hubei University), Ministry of Education

³National Institute of Education, Nanyang Technological University, Singapore

⁴School of Mathematics and Statistics & Hubei Key Laboratory of Mathematical Sciences,
Central China Normal University, Wuhan 430079, PR China

Abstract

For a connected graph G , a spanning tree T of G is called a homeomorphically irreducible spanning tree (HIST) if T has no vertices of degree 2. In this paper, we show that if G is a graph of order $n \geq 270$ and $|N(u) \cup N(v)| \geq \frac{n-1}{2}$ holds for every pair of nonadjacent vertices u and v in G , then G has a HIST, unless G belongs to three exceptional families of graphs or G has a cut-vertex of degree 2. This result improves the latest conclusion, due to Ito and Tsuchiya, that a HIST in G can be guaranteed if $d(u) + d(v) \geq n - 1$ holds for every pair of nonadjacent vertices u and v in G .

Keywords: homeomorphically irreducible spanning tree; spanning tree; neighborhood union condition

1 Introduction

We consider only simple graphs in this article. For any graph G , let $V(G)$ and $E(G)$ denote the set of vertices and the set of edges in G . For $v \in V(G)$, let $N_G(v)$ be the *set of*

*This research was partially supported by NSFC (No. 12371345, 12471325 and 12371340).

[†]Email: liyibo@stu.hubu.edu.cn

[‡]Email: fengming.dong@nie.edu.sg and donggraph@163.com

[§]Email: xlhu@ccnu.edu.cn

[¶]Corresponding author. Email: hqliu@hubu.edu.cn

neighbors of v in G , $N_G[v] := N_G(v) \cup \{v\}$, and $d_G(v) := |N_G(v)|$ denote the *degree* of v in G , where the index G will be omitted if there is no risk of confusion. A *pendant vertex* of G is a vertex of degree 1 in G . For any non-empty subset S of $V(G)$, let $G[S]$ denote the subgraph of G induced by S , and write $N_S(v)$ and $d_S(v)$ for $N_G(v) \cap S$ and $|N_G(v) \cap S|$, respectively, for each $v \in V(G)$.

We denote by $G - S$ the subgraph of G induced by $V(G) \setminus S$. For a proper subgraph H of G and subset S of $V(G)$, let $H + S$ be the subgraph of G induced by $V(H) \cup S$. If $S = \{v\}$, then we simplify $G - \{v\}$ (or resp. $H + \{v\}$) to $G - v$ (or resp. $H + v$). A subset C of $V(G)$ is called a *clique* if every two vertices in C are adjacent. By K_n , we denote the *complete graph* of order n . For a subgraph H of G , we consider it as both a subgraph and a vertex set of G .

Given two disjoint vertex sets X and Y of G , let $E(X, Y)$ be the set of all edges with one end in X and one end in Y . If $X = \{x\}$, we simply write $E(x, Y)$ for $E(X, Y)$. When $Y = V \setminus X$, the set $E(X, Y)$ is called the *edge cut* of G associated with X , and is denoted by $\partial(X)$. A *bond* of G is a minimal nonempty edge cut of G . For a connected graph G , let

$$\begin{aligned} \delta(G) &:= \min\{d(u) : u \in V(G)\}, \\ \sigma(G) &:= \min\{d(u) + d(v) : uv \notin E(G), u \neq v\}, \\ NC(G) &:= \min\{|N(u) \cup N(v)| : uv \notin E(G), u \neq v\}. \end{aligned}$$

Clearly, $\delta(G) \leq NC(G) \leq \sigma(G)$.

For a connected graph G , a spanning tree T of G is called a *homeomorphically irreducible spanning tree* (HIST) of G if T has no vertices of degree 2. Similar to the study of Hamiltonian graphs, the existence of a HIST has been studied in relation with $\delta(G)$, $\sigma(G)$, $NC(G)$, or other parameters (see [1, 4, 5, 7, 9] for example). Albertson, Berman, Hutchinson and Thomassen [1] first found the condition $\delta(G) \geq 4\sqrt{2n}$ for the existence of a HIST in G . This condition was recently replaced by a weaker one by Furuya, Saito and Tsuchiya [6].

Theorem 1.1 ([6]) *Let G be a connected graph of order n . If $\delta(G) \geq 4\sqrt{n}$, then G has a HIST.*

In 2022, Ito and Tsuchiya [9] found a condition on $\sigma(G)$ for the existence of a HIST.

Theorem 1.2 ([9]) *Let G be a graph of order $n \geq 8$. If $\sigma(G) \geq n - 1$, then G has a HIST.*

Broersma, Heuvel and Veldman [3] showed that under the condition $NC(G) \geq \frac{n}{2}$, G is either Hamiltonian, or G is the Petersen graph or G belongs to three special families of graphs. Motivated by the result in [3], we study the existence of a HIST in a graph G with a condition of $NC(G)$ and obtain the following conclusion.

We are now going to introduce three graphs H_1, H_2 and H_3 of order n shown in Figure 1, where $n \geq 5$ is odd, before presenting our main result in this article. H_1 is a graph which contains a cut-vertex v of degree 2 such that $H_1 - v$ has exactly two components each of which is isomorphic to $K_{\frac{n-1}{2}}$. Suppose that G_0, G_1 and G_2 are vertex-disjoint graphs, where G_0 is isomorphic to K_3 with vertex set $\{v_1, v_2, v_3\}$, and for each $i \in [2]$, G_i is isomorphic to $K_{\frac{n-3}{2}}$. H_2 is the graph obtained from G_0, G_1 and G_2 by adding an edge joining v_i to a vertex u_i in G_i for each $i \in [2]$, while H_3 is the graph obtained from H_2 by adding an edge joining v_3 to a vertex u_3 in G_2 . It is possible that u_2 and u_3 are the same vertex.




Figure 1: Graphs H_1, H_2 and H_3 of order n , where $n \geq 5$ is odd. u_2 and u_3 in H_3 may coincide

For any integer k , let $[k]$ denote the set $\{1, 2, \dots, k\}$.

Theorem 1.3 *Let G be a connected graph of order n , where $n \geq 270$. If*

$$NC(G) \geq \frac{n-1}{2}, \tag{1}$$

then G has a HIST if and only if G is not isomorphic to any $H_i, i \in [3]$, shown in Figure 1, nor a graph with a pendant vertex which is adjacent to a vertex of degree 2.

Remarks. (i) It can be proved easily that $\sigma(G) \geq n-1$ implies that $NC(G) \geq \frac{n-1}{2}$. Thus, Theorem 1.3 is a generalization of Theorem 1.2.

(ii) It will be proved in Section 2 that each H_i , where $i \in [3]$, shown in Figure 1, does not have a HIST. Any graph with a pendant vertex which is adjacent to a vertex of degree

2 also has no HISTs. The details are given in Corollary 2.3. Thus, Theorem 1.3 actually characterizes graphs of order at least 270 which have HISTs.

It can be verified that $NC(H_i) = \frac{n-1}{2}$ for each $i \in [3]$. Thus, the following conclusion follows directly from Theorem 1.3.

Corollary 1.4 *Let G be a connected graph of order $n \geq 270$. If $NC(G) \geq \frac{n}{2}$, then G has a HIST if and only if G does not have a pendant vertex which is adjacent to a vertex of degree 2.*

2 Preliminaries

In this section, we first explain why the conclusion of Theorem 1.3 excludes all the four graphs H_i 's shown in Figure 1 and any graph with a pendant vertex which is adjacent to a vertex of degree 2. Actually, any graph with a cut-vertex of degree 2 has no HISTs, as stated below.

Proposition 2.1 *Any connected graph with a cut-vertex of degree 2 has no HISTs.*

Proposition 2.2 *Let G be a connected graph and let $S := v_1v_2v_3v_1$ a triangle of G . If $d(v_i) \leq 3$ for each $i \in [3]$ and $G - S$ contains exactly two components, then G has no HISTs.*

Proof. Let C_1 and C_2 be the two components of $G - S$. Since G is connected, we have $\partial(C_j) \neq \emptyset$ for each $j \in [2]$. Assume $|\partial(C_1)| \leq |\partial(C_2)|$. Since S is a triangle and $d(v_i) \leq 3$ for all $v_i \in S$, $|\partial(C_1)| + |\partial(C_2)| \leq 3$. Thus $|\partial(C_1)| = 1$ and $1 \leq |\partial(C_2)| \leq 2$. We can assume that $v_i x_i \in E(G)$ for each $i \in [2]$, where $x_i \in C_i$. Then $E(S, C_1) = \{v_1 x_1\}$ is an edge-cut of G .

Suppose G has a HIST T . Clearly, $v_1 x_1 \in E(T)$. Note that $\partial(C_1 \cup \{v_1\}) = \{v_1 v_2, v_1 v_3\}$, and hence $E(T) \cap \{v_1 v_2, v_1 v_3\} \neq \emptyset$. Furthermore, $v_1 v_2, v_1 v_3 \in E(T)$ as $d_T(v_1) \neq 2$. Since T is acyclic, $v_2 v_3 \notin E(T)$, i.e., $1 \leq d_T(v_2) \leq 2$ and $1 \leq d_T(v_3) \leq 2$. Notice that $\partial(C_2) \neq \emptyset$, then $E(T) \cap \partial(C_2) \neq \emptyset$, which implies that $d_T(v_2) = 2$ or $d_T(v_3) = 2$, a contradiction to the definition of HIST. ■

The following conclusion then follows directly from Propositions 2.1 and 2.2.

Corollary 2.3 *If G contains a pendant vertex which is adjacent to some vertex of degree 2, or $G \cong H_i$, where H_i is a graph shown in Figure 1, then G does not contain HISTs.*

In the remainder of this section, we present some results that will be used in the following sections.

Lemma 2.4 *Let G be a graph with $X \subset V(G)$ and $z \in V(G) \setminus X$. If $G[X]$ is connected and $1 \leq |N_X(z)| < |X|$, then there exists an induced path zxy in $G[X \cup \{z\}]$, where $x, y \in X$.*

For two nonempty vertex sets $X, Y \subseteq V(G)$, an (X, Y) -path is a path in G which starts at a vertex of X , ends at a vertex of Y , and whose internal vertices belong to $V(G) \setminus (X \cup Y)$.

Lemma 2.5 ([2]) *Let G be a connected graph. For any two nonempty subsets X and Y of $V(G)$, there is an (X, Y) -path in G .*

Lemma 2.6 ([2]) *Let G be a graph with n vertices. If $\delta(G) > \frac{n-2}{2}$, then G is connected.*

3 Non-complete graphs G with $NC(G) \geq \frac{n-1}{2}$

In this section, we always assume that G is a connected graph of order n such that $n > \delta(G) + 1$ (i.e., G is not complete) and $NC(G) \geq \frac{n-1}{2}$ (i.e., the condition of (1) holds). We also assume that u is a vertex in G with $d(u) = \delta(G)$, $N(u) = \{u_1, u_2, \dots, u_{\delta(G)}\}$ and $W = V(G) \setminus N[u]$. Since $n > \delta(G) + 1$, we have $W \neq \emptyset$ and $E(N(u), W) \neq \emptyset$. We are now going to establish some conclusions on G which will be applied in the proof of Theorem 1.3.

Lemma 3.1 *If $\delta(G) < \frac{n-3}{2}$, then $\{u_i : i \in [\delta(G)], |N_W(u_i)| \leq 1\}$ is a clique.*

Proof. Let $S = \{u_i : i \in [\delta(G)], |N_W(u_i)| \leq 1\}$. Suppose the result fails. Then there exist two vertices $u_p, u_q \in S$ such that $u_p u_q \notin E(G)$. Note that

$$N(u_p) \cup N(u_q) \subseteq (N[u] \setminus \{u_p, u_q\}) \cup N_W(u_p) \cup N_W(u_q).$$

Then

$$|N(u_p) \cup N(u_q)| \leq (\delta(G) + 1 - 2) + 2 < \frac{n-3}{2} + 1 = \frac{n-1}{2},$$

a contradiction to the condition of (1). ■

Note that for each $w \in W$, $uw \notin E(G)$, implying that $|N(u) \cup N(w)| \geq \frac{n-1}{2}$ by the given condition. Thus,

$$\forall w \in W : d_W(w) \geq \frac{n-1}{2} - \delta(G). \quad (2)$$

Lemma 3.2 For any $S \subset W$ with $|S| < \frac{n+5}{4} - \delta(G)$, $G[W \setminus S]$ contains at most two components.

Proof. Suppose that $G[W \setminus S]$ contains at least three components. Then there is a component C_0 of $G[W \setminus S]$ satisfying

$$|C_0| \leq \frac{|W \setminus S|}{3} = \frac{n-1-\delta(G)-|S|}{3}. \quad (3)$$

Note that for each $x \in C_0$, $N(x) \subseteq (C_0 \setminus \{x\}) \cup S \cup N(u)$, implying that

$$\begin{aligned} |N(u) \cup N(x)| &\leq |C_0| - 1 + |S| + \delta(G) \leq \frac{n+2|S|+2\delta(G)-4}{3} \\ &< \frac{n+2 \cdot \frac{n+5}{4} - 4}{3} = \frac{n-1}{2}, \end{aligned} \quad (4)$$

a contradiction to the condition of (1). So $G[W \setminus S]$ contains at most two components. ■

Lemma 3.3 Assume that $S \subset W$ and $G[W \setminus S]$ contains exactly two components C_1 and C_2 . Then, for each $i \in [2]$,

$$(i) \quad \frac{n+1}{2} - \delta(G) - |S| \leq |C_i| \leq \frac{n-3}{2};$$

(ii) if $S = \emptyset$, then $|N_{N(u)}(x) \cup N_{N(u)}(y)| \geq 3$ holds for any each pair of non-adjacent vertices x and y in C_i , and

(iii) if $n \geq 143$ and $|S| + \delta(G) < \frac{n+1}{4}$, then $C_i - S_i$ contains a HIST for any $S_i \subset C_i$ with $|S_i| \leq 2$.

Proof. (i) Let x be any vertex in C_i , where $i \in [2]$. Then by (2), we have

$$d_{C_i}(x) = d_{W \setminus S}(x) \geq d_W(x) - |S| \geq \frac{n-1}{2} - \delta(G) - |S|. \quad (5)$$

Then

$$|C_i| \geq d_{C_i}(x) + 1 \geq \frac{n+1}{2} - \delta(G) - |S|,$$

implying that

$$|C_i| = |W \setminus S| - |C_{3-i}| \leq (n-1-\delta(G)-|S|) - \left(\frac{n+1}{2} - \delta(G) - |S| \right) = \frac{n-3}{2}.$$

Hence (i) holds.

(ii) Suppose that x and y are any non-adjacent vertices in C_i such that $|N_{N(u)}(x) \cup N_{N(u)}(y)| \leq 2$. Since $S = \emptyset$, we have

$$N(x) \cup N(y) \subseteq (C_i \setminus \{x, y\}) \cup N_{N(u)}(x) \cup N_{N(u)}(y).$$

It follows that

$$|N(x) \cup N(y)| \leq |C_i| - 2 + |N_{N(u)}(x) \cup N_{N(u)}(y)| \leq |C_i| - 2 + 2 = |C_i| \leq \frac{n-3}{2} < \frac{n-1}{2}, \quad (6)$$

a contradiction to the condition of (1). Hence (ii) holds.




Figure 2: A partition of $V(G)$

(iii) We will apply Theorem 1.1 to prove (iii). We first show that both $C_i - S_i$ are connected. Without loss of generality, suppose that $C_1 - S_1$ is disconnected. Let H_1 and H_2 be any two components of $C_1 - S_1$, as shown in Figure 2. For each $w' \in C_1 - S_1$, by (2), we have

$$d_{H_j}(w') = d_W(w') - d_S(w') - d_{S_1}(w') \geq \frac{n-1}{2} - \delta(G) - |S| - |S_1|. \quad (7)$$

Assume that $x \in H_1$ and $y \in H_2$. Then, by (7),

$$d_{H_1}(x) + d_{H_2}(y) \geq 2 \left(\frac{n-1}{2} - \delta(G) - |S| - |S_1| \right) = n - 1 - 2(\delta(G) + |S|) - 2|S_1|. \quad (8)$$

Since $|S_1| \leq 2$ and $\delta(G) + |S| < \frac{n+1}{4}$, (8) implies that

$$\begin{aligned} d_{H_1}(x) + d_{H_2}(y) &> n - 1 - 2 \cdot \frac{n+1}{4} - 2 - |S_1| = \frac{n-3}{2} - 2 - |S_1| \\ &\geq |C_1| - 2 - |S_1| \geq |H_1| - 1 + |H_2| - 1, \end{aligned} \quad (9)$$

a contradiction to the fact that $d_{H_1}(x) \leq |H_1| - 1$ and $d_{H_2}(y) \leq |H_2| - 1$, where the second last inequality follows from the result in (i) that $|C_1| \leq \frac{n-3}{2}$.

Now we know that $C_i - S_i$ is connected. In the following, we will show that $\delta(C_i - S_i) \geq 4\sqrt{|C_i - S_i|}$. Let $w \in C_i - S_i$ with $d_{C_i - S_i}(w) = \delta(C_i - S_i)$. Then by (5),

$$\delta(C_i - S_i) = d_{C_i - S_i}(w) = d_{C_i}(w) - d_{S_i}(w) \geq \frac{n-1}{2} - \delta(G) - |S| - |S_i|. \quad (10)$$

Since $\delta(G) + |S| < \frac{n+1}{4}$, by (10), we have

$$\delta(C_i - S_i) > \frac{n-1}{2} - \frac{n+1}{4} - |S_i| = \frac{n-3}{4} - |S_i|. \quad (11)$$

Since $|S_i| \in \{0, 1, 2\}$, it can be verified directly that

$$\begin{aligned} \delta(C_i - S_i) - 4\sqrt{\frac{n-3}{2} - |S_i|} &> \frac{n-3}{4} - |S_i| - 4\sqrt{\frac{n-3}{2} - |S_i|} \\ &\geq \frac{n-3}{4} - 2 - 4\sqrt{\frac{n-3}{2} - 2} \geq 0, \end{aligned} \quad (12)$$

where the last inequality follows from the condition $n \geq 143$. Then, by (12), we have

$$\delta(C_i - S_i) > 4\sqrt{\frac{n-3}{2} - |S_i|} \geq 4\sqrt{|C_i - S_i|}. \quad (13)$$

Thus, by Theorem 1.1, $C_i - S_i$ has a HIST. ■

4 1-quasi-HIT and 2-quasi-HIT

In this section, we still assume that G is a connected graph of order n such that $n > \delta(G) + 1$ (i.e., G is not complete) and $NC(G) \geq \frac{n-1}{2}$. We also assume that u is a vertex in G with $d(u) = \delta(G)$, $N(u) = \{u_1, u_2, \dots, u_{\delta(G)}\}$ and $W = V(G) \setminus N[u]$.

Any subtree T of G is a *HIT* of G if T has no vertices of degree 2. A *1-quasi-HIT* of G is a subtree $T(v)$ of G such that v is the only vertex of degree 2 in $T(v)$ and a *1-quasi-HIST*

of G is a 1-quasi-HIT of G which is a spanning tree of G . Similarly, a 2-quasi-HIT of G is a subtree $T(v, w)$ of G such that v and w are the only vertices of degree 2 in $T(v, w)$, and a 2-quasi-HIST of G is a 2-quasi-HIT of G which is a spanning tree of G .

The two results below follow directly.

Lemma 4.1 *Let $T(v)$ be a 1-quasi-HIT of G . If there exists $S \subset V(G)$ such that $S \cap V(T(v)) = \{v\}$ and $G[S]$ has a HIST, then $T(v)$ can be extended to a HIT T' of G with $V(T') = V(T(v)) \cup S$. In particular, if $V(T(v)) \cup S = V(G)$, then T' is a HIST of G .*

Lemma 4.2 *Let $T(v, w)$ be a 2-quasi-HIT of G . If there exist two vertex-disjoint subsets S and U of $V(G)$ such that $S \cap V(T(v, w)) = \{v\}$, $U \cap V(T(v, w)) = \{w\}$, and both $G[S]$ and $G[U]$ have HISTs, then $T(v, w)$ can be extended to a HIT T' with $V(T') = V(T(v, w)) \cup S \cup U$. In particular, if $V(T(v, w)) \cup S \cup U = V(G)$, then T' is a HIST of G .*

Lemma 4.3 *Assume that $n \geq 259$ and S is a subset of W with $2 \leq |S| < \frac{n+5}{4} - \delta(G)$. If $G[W]$ is connected and G has a 1-quasi-HIT $T(v)$ with $V(T(v)) = N[u] \cup S$, where $v \in S$, then G has a HIST.*

Proof. Assume that $T(v)$ is a 1-quasi-HIT of G with $V(T(v)) = N[u] \cup S$, where $v \in S$. Let $S' := S \setminus \{v\}$. Then $1 \leq |S'| < \frac{n+1}{4} - \delta(G)$. By Lemma 3.2, $G[W \setminus S']$ contains at most two components.

Case 1: $G[W \setminus S']$ is connected.

By (2), we have

$$\delta(G[W \setminus S']) \geq \frac{n-1}{2} - \delta(G) - |S'| > \frac{n-1}{2} - \frac{n+1}{4} = \frac{n-3}{4} \geq 4\sqrt{n-3} \geq 4\sqrt{|W \setminus S'|},$$

where the second last inequality follows from the condition that $n \geq 259$ and the last inequality follows from the fact that

$$|W \setminus S'| = n - |N[u] \cup S'| \leq n - \delta(G) - 2 \leq n - 3.$$

Then, by Theorem 1.1, $G[W \setminus S']$ has a HIST.

Note that $V(T(v)) \cap (W \setminus S') = \{v\}$ and $V(T(v)) \cup (W \setminus S') = V(G)$. By Lemma 4.1, G has a HIST.




Figure 3: Two subtrees of G

Case 2: $G[W \setminus S']$ contains exactly two components C_1 and C_2 (see Figure 3 (a)).

Since $|S'| + \delta(G) < \frac{n+1}{4}$, Claim A below follows directly from Lemma 3.3 (iii).

Claim A: For any subset S_0 of C_i , where $i \in [2]$, if $|S_0| \leq 2$, then $C_i - S_0$ contains a HIST.

Clearly, $v \in C_1 \cup C_2$. Assume that $v \in C_1$. Since $G[W]$ is connected and $|S'| \geq 1$, there exists some $x \in S'$ with $|N_{C_2}(x)| \geq 1$.

Subcase 2.1: $|N_{C_2}(x)| = |C_2|$.

Then $T(v)$ can be extended to a 1-quasi-HIT $T'(v)$ with edge set $E(T(v)) \cup E(x, C_2)$ and $d_{T'(v)}(v) = 2$. Note that $C_1 \cap V(T'(v)) = \{v\}$ and $C_1 \cup V(T'(v)) = V(G)$. By Claim A, C_1 has a HIST T_1 . Then, $E(T'(v)) \cup E(T_1)$ induces a HIST of G by Lemma 4.1.

Now assume that $1 \leq |N_{C_2}(x)| < |C_2|$. By Lemma 2.4, there exist $x_1, x_2 \in C_2$ such that $xx_1, x_1x_2 \in E(G)$ and $xx_2 \notin E(G)$ (see Figure 3 (b)).

Subcase 2.2: $|N_{C_2}(x)| = 1$.

By (2),

$$\begin{aligned}
 |N_{C_1}(x)| &= |N_W(x)| - |N_{C_2}(x)| - |N_{S'}(x)| \geq \left(\frac{n-1}{2} - \delta(G) \right) - 1 - (|S'| - 1) \\
 &= \frac{n-1}{2} - \delta(G) - |S'| > \frac{n-1}{2} - \frac{n+1}{4} = \frac{n-3}{4} \geq 2,
 \end{aligned} \tag{14}$$

where the second last inequality follows from the condition that $|S'| + \delta(G) < \frac{n+1}{4}$.

Since $|N_{C_1}(x)| \geq 2$, there exists $x_3 \in N_{C_1}(x) \setminus \{v\}$. Then G has a 2-quasi-HIT $T(v, x_1)$ with edge set $E(T(v)) \cup \{xx_1, xx_3, x_1x_2\}$. By Claim A, both $C_1 - x_3$ and $C_2 - x_2$ have HISTs. Note that $(C_1 \setminus \{x_3\}) \cap V(T(v, x_1)) = \{v\}$, $(C_2 \setminus \{x_2\}) \cap V(T(v, x_1)) = \{x_1\}$ and $(C_1 \setminus \{x_3\}) \cup (C_2 \setminus \{x_2\}) \cup V(T(v, x_1)) = V(G)$. By Lemma 4.2, G has a HIST T .

Subcase 2.3: $2 \leq |N_{C_2}(x)| < |C_2|$.

Choose any vertex x_4 in $N_{C_2}(x) \setminus \{x_1\}$. Then $x_4 \neq x_2$ as $xx_2 \notin E(G)$. Thus G has a 2-quasi-HIT $T(v, x_1)$ with $E(T(v, x_1)) = E(T(v)) \cup \{xx_1, xx_4, x_1x_2\}$. By Claim A, both C_1 and $C_2 - \{x_2, x_4\}$ contain HISTs. Note that $C_1 \cap V(T(v, x_1)) = \{v\}$, $(C_2 \setminus \{x_2, x_4\}) \cap V(T(v, x_1)) = \{x_1\}$ and $C_1 \cup (C_2 \setminus \{x_2, x_4\}) \cup V(T(v, x_1)) = V(G)$. Then by Lemma 4.2, G has a HIST T . ■

Lemma 4.4 *Assume that $n \geq 143$, $\delta(G) < \frac{n+1}{4}$, and $G[W]$ contains exactly two components C_1 and C_2 . For each $j \in [2]$ and $u_l \in N(u)$, $C_j + u_l$ has a spanning tree T with the property $d_T(u_l) \geq \min\{2, |N_{C_j}(u_l)|\}$ in which only u_l may be of degree 2.*

Proof. Without loss of generality, assume that $j = 1$ and $l = 1$. Since $\delta(G) < \frac{n+1}{4}$, by Lemma 3.3 (i), we have $|C_1| \geq \frac{n+1}{2} - \delta(G) > \frac{n+1}{4} > 4$.

If $|N_{C_1}(u_1)| = |C_1|$, then $C_1 + u_1$ has a spanning tree T with edge set $E(u_1, C_1)$ and $d_T(u_1) = |N_{C_1}(u_1)|$, as shown in Figure 4 (c). Notice that T satisfies the above property.

In the following, we assume that $1 \leq |N_{C_1}(u_1)| < |C_1|$. By Lemma 2.4, there exist $x_1, x_2 \in C_1$ such that $u_1x_1, x_1x_2 \in E(G)$ and $u_1x_2 \notin E(G)$, as shown in Figures 4 (a) and 4 (b).

Note that $\delta(G) < \frac{n+1}{4}$, then Claim B below follows directly from Lemma 3.3 (iii).

Claim B: For any subset S_0 of C_1 , if $|S_0| \leq 2$, $C_1 - S_0$ contains a HIST.

If $|N_{C_1}(u_1)| = 1$, by Claim B, $C_1 - x_2$ has a HIST T_0 , implying that $C_1 + u_1$ has a spanning tree T with edge set $E(T_0) \cup \{x_1u_1, x_1x_2\}$ and $d_T(u_1) = |N_{C_1}(u_1)|$. Obviously, T satisfies the above property.

If $2 \leq |N_{C_1}(u_1)| < |C_1|$, choose any vertex $x_3 \in N_{C_1}(u_1) \setminus \{x_1\}$. Clearly, $x_3 \neq x_2$, as shown in Figure 4 (b). By Claim B, $C_1 - \{x_2, x_3\}$ has a HIST T_1 , implying that $C_1 + u_1$ has a spanning tree T with edge set $E(T_1) \cup \{x_1x_2, u_1x_1, u_1x_3\}$ and $d_T(u_1) = 2$. Note that T satisfies the above property. ■




Figure 4: Three subtrees of G

5 Proof of Theorem 1.3

In this section, we will prove Theorem 1.3. Let G be a connected graph of order n . By Theorem 1.1, if $\delta(G) \geq 4\sqrt{n}$, then G has a HIST. Thus, by Corollary 2.3, in order to prove Theorem 1.3, it suffices to establish the following conclusion.

Proposition 5.1 *Let G be a connected graph of order $n \geq 270$ with $\delta(G) < 4\sqrt{n}$ and $NC(G) \geq \frac{n-1}{2}$. Assume that G is not isomorphic to any H_i , $i \in [3]$, shown in Figure 1, nor a graph with a pendant vertex which is adjacent to a vertex of degree 2. Then, G contains a HIST.*

From now on, we assume that G is a graph satisfying the given conditions in Proposition 5.1. Simplify write δ for $\delta(G)$. Assume that u is a vertex of G with $d(u) = \delta$, $N(u) = \{u_i : i \in [\delta]\}$ and $W = V(G) \setminus N[u]$. Then $W \neq \emptyset$ and $E(N(u), W) \neq \emptyset$ as G is connected. Let $U_i = N_W(u_i)$ for each $i \in [\delta]$. The proof of Proposition 5.1 will be completed in the subsections below.

5.1 $G[W]$ is connected

In this subsection, assume that $|U_1| = \max_{i \in [\delta]} |U_i|$. Then $|U_1| \geq 1$ as $E(N(u), W) \neq \emptyset$.

5.1.1 $\delta \neq 2$ or $u_1u_2 \in E(G)$

Since $\delta < 4\sqrt{n}$, $|W| = n - 1 - \delta > n - 1 - 4\sqrt{n} > 203$. We first consider the case $|U_1| = |W|$. If $\delta \neq 2$, then G has a HIST with edge set $E(u, N(u)) \cup E(u_1, U_1)$. Otherwise, $\delta = 2$ and $u_1u_2 \in E(G)$, then G has a HIST with edge set $E(u_1, N(u_1))$.

Now assume that $1 \leq |U_1| < |W|$. By Lemma 2.4, there are two vertices $x_1, x_2 \in W$ such that $u_1x_1, x_1x_2 \in E(G)$ and $u_1x_2 \notin E(G)$.

If $|U_1| = 1$, then by assumption, $\delta \geq 2$ and $|U_i| \leq 1$ for all $i \in [\delta] \setminus \{1\}$. By Lemma 3.1, $N(u)$ is a clique. Thus, G has a 1-quasi-HIT $T(x_1)$ with edge set $E(u_1, N[u] \setminus \{u_1\}) \cup \{u_1x_1, x_1x_2\}$ and vertex set $N[u] \cup S$, where $S = \{x_1, x_2\}$. Observe that

$$|S| = 2 < \frac{n+5}{4} - \frac{n-7}{4} \leq \frac{n+5}{4} - 4\sqrt{n} < \frac{n+5}{4} - \delta.$$

Since $G[W]$ is connected, by Lemma 4.3, G has a HIST.

If $2 \leq |U_1| < |W|$, then there exists $x_3 \in U_1 \setminus \{x_1\}$. Clearly, $x_3 \neq x_2$. Then, G has a 1-quasi-HIT $T(x_1)$ with edge set $E(u, N(u)) \cup \{u_1x_1, x_1x_2, u_1x_3\}$ and vertex set $N[u] \cup S$,

where $S = \{x_1, x_2, x_3\}$. Observe that

$$|S| = 3 < \frac{n+5}{4} - \frac{n-7}{4} \leq \frac{n+5}{4} - 4\sqrt{n} < \frac{n+5}{4} - \delta.$$

Since $G[W]$ is connected, by Lemma 4.3, G has a HIST.

Hence Proposition 5.1 holds when $G[W]$ is connected and either $\delta \neq 2$ or $u_1u_2 \in E(G)$.

5.1.2 $\delta = 2$ and $u_1u_2 \notin E(G)$

Note that $U_1, U_2 \neq \emptyset$ and $|U_1| \geq |U_2|$. Since $u_1u_2 \notin E(G)$, by (1), we have

$$|U_1| + |U_2| \geq |U_1 \cup U_2| = |N(u_1) \cup N(u_2)| - 1 \geq \frac{n-3}{2} > 133. \quad (15)$$

We first consider the case $U_1 \cap U_2 \neq \emptyset$. Let $v_1 \in U_1 \cap U_2$. By (15), $|U_1| \geq 2$. Let $u'_1 \in U_1 \setminus \{v_1\}$. Then G has a 1-quasi-HIT $T(v_1)$ with edge set $\{uu_1, u_1v_1, u_1u'_1, u_2v_1\}$ and vertex set $N[u] \cup S'$, where $S' = \{v_1, u'_1\}$, as shown in Figure 5 (a). Observe that

$$|S'| = 2 < \frac{n+5}{4} - 2 = \frac{n+5}{4} - \delta.$$

Since $G[W]$ is connected, by Lemma 4.3, G has a HIST.




Figure 5: 1-quasi-HITs of G when $\delta = 2$ and $u_1u_2 \notin E(G)$

Now assume that $U_1 \cap U_2 = \emptyset$. Since $G[W]$ is connected, by Lemma 2.5, there is a (U_1, U_2) -path in $G[W]$. Let $P := v_1v_2 \cdots v_k$ be a shortest (U_1, U_2) -path in $G[W]$, where $k \geq 2$, $v_1 \in U_1$ and $v_k \in U_2$. Clearly, $\{v_j : 2 \leq j \leq k-1\} \cap (U_1 \cup U_2) = \emptyset$. By (15), $|U_1| \geq 2$, and so there exists $u'_2 \in U_1 \setminus \{v_1\}$.

We claim that $k \leq 5$. Otherwise, if $k \geq 6$, then $N(v_3) \subseteq (W \setminus (U_1 \cup U_2 \cup P)) \cup \{v_2, v_4\}$

and $N(u) = \{u_1, u_2\}$, which implies

$$\begin{aligned} |N(u) \cup N(v_3)| &\leq |W| - (|U_1| + |U_2| + k - 2) + 4 \leq (n - 3) - \left(\frac{n-3}{2} + 6 - 2\right) + 4 \\ &= \frac{n-3}{2} < \frac{n-1}{2}, \end{aligned}$$

a contradiction to the condition of (1). Thus, $k \leq 5$.

For each $v_i \in P$, by (2), we have

$$|N_W(v_i)| = d_W(v_i) \geq \frac{n-1}{2} - \delta = \frac{n-5}{2} \geq 9,$$

and thus $|N_{W-P}(v_i)| = |N_W(v_i)| - (k-1) \geq 5$. So there exist $v'_1 \in N_{W-P}(v_1) \setminus \{u'_2\}$ and $v'_i \in N_{W-P}(v_i) \setminus \{u'_2, v'_1, \dots, v'_{i-1}\}$ for all $2 \leq i \leq k-1 \leq 4$. Let $S' = \bigcup_{i=1}^{k-1} \{v_i, v'_i\} \cup \{u'_2, v_k\}$. Then, G has a 1-quasi-HIT $T(v_k)$ with vertex set $N[u] \cup S'$ and edge set

$$E(P) \cup \{uu_1, u_1u'_2, u_1v_1, u_2v_k\} \cup \{v_jv'_j : j = 1, 2, \dots, k-1\},$$

as shown in Figure 5 (b). Note that

$$4 \leq |S'| = 2(k-1) + 2 = 2k \leq 10 < \frac{n+5}{4} - 2 = \frac{n+5}{4} - \delta.$$

Since $G[W]$ is connected, by Lemma 4.3, G has a HIST.

Hence Proposition 5.1 holds when $G[W]$ is connected, $\delta = 2$ and $u_1u_2 \notin E(G)$. Combining the conclusions in Subsections 5.1.1 and 5.1.2 yields that Proposition 5.1 holds when $G[W]$ is connected.

5.2 $G[W]$ is disconnected

Since $\frac{n+5}{4} - \delta > \frac{n+5}{4} - 4\sqrt{n} \geq \frac{n+5}{4} - \frac{n-7}{4} = 3 > 0$, by Lemma 3.2, $G[W]$ contains at most two components. Thus, $G[W]$ contains exactly two components, say C_1 and C_2 . By Lemma 3.3 (i), we have

$$\frac{n+1}{2} - \delta \leq |C_i| \leq \frac{n-3}{2}. \quad (16)$$

We claim that $\delta \geq 2$. Otherwise, if $\delta = 1$, then $|W| = n - 2$. By (2), we have

$$\delta(G[W]) \geq \frac{n-1}{2} - \delta = \frac{n-3}{2} > \frac{n-4}{2} = \frac{|W|-2}{2}.$$

Thus, by Lemma 2.6, $G[W]$ is connected, a contradiction to the condition that $G[W]$ is disconnected. So in the following, we assume that $\delta \geq 2$. We show that the conclusion holds in the following cases.

5.2.1 $\delta = 2$

By (16), we have $|C_i| = \frac{n-3}{2}$ for both $i = 1, 2$. We claim that C_i is a clique for each $i \in [2]$. Otherwise, C_i has two non-adjacent vertices x and y , implying that $|N_{N(u)}(x) \cup N_{N(u)}(y)| \geq 3$ by Lemma 3.3 (ii), contradicting the fact that $|N(u)| = \delta = 2$. Hence each C_i is a clique of size $\frac{n-3}{2} > 133$.

We first consider the case when there exists $j \in [2]$ such that $U_j \cap C_i \neq \emptyset$ for both $i = 1, 2$. Assume that $j = 1$ and $u'_1 \in C_1 \cap U_1$ and $u''_1 \in C_2 \cap U_1$, as shown in Figure 6 (a).




Figure 6: Two HISTs of G when $\delta = 2$

Let $x \in N(u_2) \setminus \{u\}$. If $x \in \{u_1, u'_1, u''_1\}$, then G has a HIST T with edge set

$$E(u'_1, C_1 \setminus \{u'_1\}) \cup E(u''_1, C_2 \setminus \{u''_1\}) \cup \{uu_1, u_1u'_1, u_1u''_1, xu_2\}.$$

So we assume $x \notin \{u_1, u'_1, u''_1\}$. Then $x \in C_1 \setminus \{u'_1\}$ or $x \in C_2 \setminus \{u''_1\}$. By symmetry, we only need to consider $x \in C_1 \setminus \{u'_1\}$. Then there exists $x' \in N_{C_1}(x) \setminus \{u'_1\}$, and thus G has a HIST T with edge set

$$E(u'_1, C_1 \setminus \{x', u'_1\}) \cup E(u''_1, C_2 \setminus \{u''_1\}) \cup \{uu_1, u_1u'_1, u_1u''_1, xu_2, xx'\},$$

as shown in Figure 6 (a).

Next we consider the case that for each $j \in [2]$, $U_j \subseteq C_i$ for some $i \in [2]$. Assume that $U_1 \subseteq C_1$ and $U_2 \subseteq C_2$. Then $|U_i| \geq 1$ for $i \in [2]$.

We now claim that $u_1u_2 \in E(G)$. Suppose that $u_1u_2 \notin E(G)$. We first show that $C_i + u_i$ is a clique for each $i \in [2]$. Suppose that $C_i + u_i$ is not a clique. Since C_i is a clique, $xu_i \notin E(G)$ for some $x \in C_i$. Obviously, $N(x) \cup N(u_i) \subseteq (C_i \setminus \{x\}) \cup \{u\}$, implying that

$$|N(x) \cup N(u_i)| \leq |C_i| - 1 + 1 = \frac{n-3}{2} < \frac{n-1}{2},$$

a contradiction to the condition that $NC(G) \geq \frac{n-1}{2}$. Hence $C_i + u_i$ is a clique of size $\frac{n-1}{2}$ for both $i = 1, 2$. But, it follows that $G \cong H_1$, a contradiction to the assumption too.

Now we know that $u_1u_2 \in E(G)$. Since $G \not\cong H_2$, it is impossible that $|U_1| = 1$ and $|U_2| = 1$. Thus, $|U_1| \geq 2$ as $|U_1| \geq |U_2|$, say $|N_{C_1}(u_1)| \geq 2$. Let $u'_2, u''_2 \in U_1$ and $u'_3 \in U_2$. Then G has a HIST T with edge set

$$E(u'_2, C_1 \setminus \{u'_2, u''_2\}) \cup E(u'_3, C_2 \setminus \{u'_3\}) \cup \{uu_2, u_1u_2, u_1u'_2, u_1u''_2, u_2u'_3\},$$

as shown in Figure 6 (b).

Hence Proposition 5.1 holds for the case $G[W]$ is disconnected and $\delta = 2$.

5.2.2 $\delta \geq 3$

We show that the conclusion holds in the following case.

Case 1: There exists $j \in [\delta]$ such that $U_j \cap C_i \neq \emptyset$ for both $i = 1, 2$. Say $j = 1$.

By Lemma 4.4, for each $i \in [2]$, $C_i + u_1$ has a spanning tree T_i in which only vertex u_1 may be of degree 2. Thus, G has a HIST T with edge set $E(u, N(u)) \cup E(T_1) \cup E(T_2)$.

Case 2: For each $j \in [\delta]$, there exists $i \in [2]$ such that $U_j \subseteq C_i$.

For $i \in [2]$, let Q_i be the set of $j \in [\delta]$ with $U_j \subseteq C_i$ and $U_j \neq \emptyset$. Since G is connected, $Q_i \neq \emptyset$ for each $i \in [2]$. We may assume that $i \in Q_i$ for each $i \in [2]$.

If $|U_{j_1}| \geq 2$ and $|U_{j_2}| \geq 2$, where $j_i \in Q_i$ for $i = 1, 2$, say $|U_1| \geq 2$ and $|U_2| \geq 2$, then by Lemma 4.4, for each $i \in [2]$, $C_i + u_i$ has a spanning tree T_i with $d_{T_i}(u_i) \geq 2$ and the property that only vertex u_i may be of degree 2. Thus, G has a HIST T with edge set $E(u, N(u)) \cup E(T_1) \cup E(T_2)$.

If $|U_{j_1}| = 1$ and $|U_{j_2}| = 1$, where $j_i \in Q_i$ for $i = 1, 2$, say $|U_1| = 1$ and $|U_2| = 1$, $|U_i| \leq 1$ for all $i \in [\delta]$, then by Lemma 3.1, $N(u)$ is a clique. By Lemma 4.4, for each

$i \in [2]$, $C_i + u_i$ has a HIST T_i with $d_{T_i}(u_i) = 1$. Thus, G has a HIST T with edge set $E(u_1, N[u] \setminus \{u_1, u_\delta\}) \cup E(T_1) \cup E(T_2) \cup \{u_2 u_\delta\}$.

Now it remains to consider the case $|U_{j_1}| \geq 2$ for each $j_1 \in Q_1$ and $|U_{j_2}| = 1$ for each $j_2 \in Q_2$. Particularly, $|U_1| \geq 2$ and $|U_2| = 1$. Since $\delta \geq 3$, $u_j \in N(u_2)$ for some $j \in [\delta] \setminus \{2\}$. By Lemma 4.4, for each $i \in [2]$, $C_i + u_i$ has a spanning tree T_i with $d_{T_i}(u_i) \geq 3 - i$ and the property that only u_i may be of degree 2 in T_i . If $\delta \geq 4$, then G has a HIST T with edge set $E(u, N(u) \setminus \{u_j\}) \cup E(T_1) \cup E(T_2) \cup \{u_j u_2\}$.

Now assume that $\delta = 3$. By (16), $\frac{n-5}{2} \leq |C_i| \leq \frac{n-3}{2}$ for each $i \in [2]$. We claim that each C_i is a clique. Otherwise, by Lemma 3.3 (ii), there exist two non-adjacent vertices $x, y \in C_i$ such that $|N_{N(u)}(x) \cup N_{N(u)}(y)| \geq 3$. However, $N_{N(u)}(x) \cup N_{N(u)}(y) \subseteq \{u_i, u_3\}$, a contradiction. Hence C_i is a clique with $\frac{n-5}{2} \leq |C_i| \leq \frac{n-3}{2}$.




Figure 7: Two HISTs of G when $\delta = 3$ and $u_1 u_2 \in E(G)$

Case 2.1: $|U_1| \geq 2$, $|U_2| = 1$, $\delta = 3$ and $u_1 u_2 \in E(G)$.

Assume that $y_1, y_2 \in U_1$ and $y' \in U_2$. Since $d(u_3) \geq \delta = 3$, there exists $y \in N(u_3) \setminus \{u\}$. If $y \in \{u_1, u_2, y_i, y'\}$, where $i \in [2]$, then G has a HIST T with edge set

$$E(y_i, C_1 \setminus \{y_i, y_{3-i}\}) \cup E(y', C_2 \setminus \{y'\}) \cup \{uu_2, u_1 u_2, u_1 y_1, u_1 y_2, u_2 y', u_3 y\},$$

as shown in Figure 7 (a).

Now we assume that $y \notin \{u_1, u_2, y_1, y_2, y'\}$ and thus $N_{N(u)}(u_3) = \emptyset$. Since $\delta = 3$, $|U_3| \geq 2$, implying that $U_3 \subseteq C_1$. Note that $y \notin \{y_1, y_2\}$. Since C_1 is a clique of size at least $\frac{n-5}{2}$ and $n \geq 270$, there exists $y_3 \in N_{C_1}(y_1) \setminus \{y, y_2\}$. Then G has a HIST T with edge

set

$$E(y, C_1 \setminus \{y, y_2, y_3\}) \cup E(y', C_2 \setminus \{y'\}) \cup \{uu_2, u_1u_2, u_1y_1, u_1y_2, u_2y', u_3y, y_1y_3\},$$

as shown in Figure 7 (b).

Case 2.2: $|U_1| \geq 2$, $|U_2| = 1$, $\delta = 3$ and $u_1u_2 \notin E(G)$.

If $u_2u_3 \notin E(G)$, then $N(u_2) \subseteq \{u\} \cup U_2$, implying that $d(u_2) < 3$, a contradiction to the condition that $\delta = 3$. Thus $u_2u_3 \in E(G)$.

It is known that $|C_1| \geq \frac{n-5}{2}$. It can be shown that $|C_1| = \frac{n-5}{2}$. Otherwise, $|C_1| \geq \frac{n-4}{2}$, implying that

$$|C_2| = n - (\delta + 1) - |C_1| \leq n - 4 - \frac{n-4}{2} = \frac{n-4}{2}.$$

Since $|U_2| = 1$, there exists $x \in C_2 \setminus N(u_2)$. Note that $N(x) \cup N(u_2) \subseteq (C_2 \setminus \{x\}) \cup \{u, u_3\}$, implying that

$$|N(x) \cup N(u_2)| \leq |C_2| - 1 + 2 \leq \frac{n-4}{2} + 1 < \frac{n-1}{2},$$

a contradiction to the condition of (1). Therefore, $|C_1| = \frac{n-5}{2}$ and $|C_2| = \frac{n-3}{2}$.

Next, it can be shown that $C'_1 := C_1 + u_1$ is a clique. Otherwise, as C_1 is a clique, there exists $y \in C_1 \setminus N(u_1)$. Note that $N(u_1) \cup N(y) \subseteq (C_1 \setminus \{y\}) \cup \{u, u_3\}$, implying that

$$|N(u_1) \cup N(y)| \leq |C_1| - 1 + 2 = \frac{n-5}{2} + 1 < \frac{n-1}{2},$$

a contradiction to the condition of (1). Note that $|C'_1| = |C_1| + 1 = \frac{n-3}{2}$.

Since $d(u_3) \geq \delta = 3$, u_3 has at least one neighbor in $C'_1 \cup C_2$. Note that u_3 cannot have neighbors in both C_1 and C_2 .

If there exists $x_1, x_2 \in N(u_3) \cap C'_1$, then G has a HIST with edge set

$$E(x_1, C'_1 \setminus \{x_1, x_2\}) \cup E(x_3, C_2 \setminus \{x_3\}) \cup \{uu_2, u_2u_3, u_2x_3, u_3x_1, u_3x_2\},$$

where x_3 is the only vertex in U_2 , as shown in Figure 8 (a).

If $|N(u_3) \cap (C'_1 \cup C_2)| \geq 2$ but $|N(u_3) \cap C'_1| \leq 1$, then $N(u_3) \cap (C'_1 \cup C_2) = \{u_1, z\}$, where $z \in C_2$. Observe that G has a HIST with edge set $E(u_1, C_1) \cup E(z, C_2 \setminus \{z\}) \cup \{uu_1, u_1u_3, u_3u_2, u_3z\}$, as shown in Figure 8 (b).

If $|N(u_3) \cap (C'_1 \cup C_2)| = 1$, then the only vertex in $N(u_3) \cap (C'_1 \cup C_2)$ is either a vertex

contained in $\{u_1, x_3\}$, or a vertex contained in $(C'_1 \cup C_2) \setminus \{u_1, x_3\}$, where x_3 is the only vertex in U_2 . Then, G is H_3 , as shown in Figure 8 (c).

Hence Proposition 5.1 holds for the case $G[W]$ is disconnected and $\delta \geq 3$.




Figure 8: Three cases when $N(u_3) \cap (C'_1 \cup C_2) \neq \emptyset$

This completes the proof of Theorem 1.3. ■

6 Remarks

We do not know whether the conclusion of Theorem 1.3 holds when $n < 270$, although it indeed holds for some graphs. For example, if G is the Petersen graph, then $\sigma(G) = 6 < n - 1$ and $NC(G) = 5 \geq \frac{n-1}{2}$, where $n = |V(G)| = 10$. It can be verified that the Petersen graph contains HISTs. Thus, it is natural to propose the following problem:

Problem 6.1 *Replace the condition $n \geq 270$ in Theorem 1.3 by a weaker one.*

Declaration of Competing Interest

The authors declare that they have no known competing financial interests or personal relationships that could have appeared to influence the work reported in this paper.

References

- [1] M.O. Albertson, D.M. Berman, J.P. Hutchinson, C. Thomassen, Graphs with homeomorphically irreducible spanning trees, *J. Graph Theory* **14** (1990), 247 – 258.

- [2] J.A. Bondy, U.S.R. Murty, *Graph Theory*, Springer Publishing Company, Incorporated, 2008.
- [3] H.J. Broersma, J. Van Den Heuvel, H.J. Veldman, A generalization of Ore's theorem involving neighborhood unions, *Discrete Math.* **122** (1993), 37 – 49.
- [4] G.T. Chen, H. Ren, S.L. Shan, Homeomorphically irreducible spanning trees in locally connected graphs, *Combin. Probab. Comput.* **21** (1-2)(2012), 107 – 111.
- [5] G.T. Chen, S.L. Shan, Homeomorphically irreducible spanning trees, *J. Combin. Theory Ser. B* **103** (2013), 409 – 414.
- [6] M. Furuya, A. Saito and S. Tsuchiya, Refinements of degree conditions for the existence of a spanning tree without small degree stems, *Discrete Math.* **348** (2)(2025), 114307.
- [7] M. Furuya, S. Tsuchiya, Large homeomorphically irreducible trees in path-free graphs, *J. Graph Theory* **93** (3)(2020), 372 – 394.
- [8] A. Hill, Graphs with homeomorphically irreducible spanning trees. In *Combinatorics* (Proc. British Combinatorial Conf., Univ. Coll. Wales, Aberystwyth, 1973), 61 – 68.
- [9] T. Ito, S. Tsuchiya, Degree sum conditions for the existence of homeomorphically irreducible spanning trees, *J. Graph Theory* **99** (1)(2022), 162 – 170.
- [10] P.M. Joffe, *Some Properties of 3-Polytopal Graphs*, City University of New York, 1982.
- [11] J. Malkevitch, Spanning trees in polytopal graphs, *Ann. New York Acad. Sci.* **319** (1979), 362– 367.
- [12] S.H. Zhai, E.L. Wei, J.H. He, D. Ye, Homeomorphically irreducible spanning trees in hexangulations of surfaces, *Discrete Math.* **342** (10)(2019), 2893 – 2899.