Not just PAH_{3.3}: why galaxies turn red in the Near-Infrared

Benedetta Vulcani¹, Tommaso Treu¹², Matthew Malkan², Thomas S.-Y Lai¹³, Antonello Calabrò¹⁴, Marco Castellano¹⁴, Lorenzo Napolitano^{4,5}, Sara Mascia^{4,6}, Bianca M. Poggianti¹, Paola Santini⁴, Jacopo Fritz⁵, Benjamin Metha^{8,9}, Ilsang Yoon¹⁰, and Xin Wang^{11, 12, 13}

¹ INAF- Osservatorio astronomico di Padova, Vicolo Osservatorio 5, I-35122 Padova, Italy

- e-mail: benedetta.vulcani@inaf.it
- ² Department of Physics and Astronomy, University of California, Los Angeles, 430 Portola Plaza, Los Angeles, CA 90095, USA
- ³ IPAC, California Institute of Technology, 1200 East California Boulevard, Pasadena, CA 91125, USA
- ⁴ INAF Osservatorio Astronomico di Roma, via Frascati 33, 00078, Monteporzio Catone, Italy
- ⁵ Dipartimento di Fisica, Università di Roma Sapienza, Città Universitaria di Roma Sapienza, Piazzale Aldo Moro, 2, 00185, Roma, Italy
- ⁶ Dipartimento di Fisica, Università di Roma Tor Vergata, Via della Ricerca Scientifica, 1, 00133, Roma, Italy
- ⁷ Instituto de Radioastronomia y Astrofisica, UNAM, Campus Morelia, AP 3-72, CP 58089, Mexico
- ⁸ School of Physics, The University of Melbourne, VIC 3010, Australia
- ⁹ Australian Research Council Centre of Excellence for All-Sky Astrophysics in 3-Dimensions, Melbourne, VIC 3000, Australia
- ¹⁰ National Radio Astronomy Observatory, 520 Edgemont Road, Charlottesville, VA 22903, USA
- ¹¹ School of Astronomy and Space Science, University of Chinese Academy of Sciences (UCAS), Beijing 100049, China
- ¹² National Astronomical Observatories, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing 100101, China
- ¹³ Institute for Frontiers in Astronomy and Astrophysics, Beijing Normal University, Beijing 102206, China

ABSTRACT

We measure the spectral properties of a sample of 20 galaxies at $z \sim 0.35$ selected for having surprisingly red JWST/NIRCAM F200W-F444W colors. 19 galaxies were observed with JWST/NIRSpec in the PRISM configuration, while one galaxy was observed with the high resolution gratings. 17/20 galaxies in our sample exhibit strong $3.3 \,\mu m$ polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbon (PAH) emission (equivalent width EW(PAH_{3,3}) $\geq 0.03 \mu m$). In these galaxies, the strength of the color excess does not depend on environment and it correlates with EW(PAH_{3,3}). Nonetheless, the presence of the PAH_{3,3} alone can not fully explain the color excess, as an equivalent width of $\sim 0.1 \mu m$ is able to increase the color of galaxies by only 0.13 mag. A contribution from a hot dust component is required to explain the excess. Both the PAH_{3,3} equivalent width and flux correlate with the H α equivalent width and flux, suggesting that they are produced by the same mechanism. 5/20 galaxies showing PAH_{3,3} would be classified as passive based on broad band rest frame colors ((B-V) and/or UVJ diagrams) and are hence "faux-passive". Of these, 3 galaxies have a significantly lower EW(PAH_{3,3}) given their color and also have low EW(H α) and we tentatively conclude this behavior is due to the presence of an active galactic nucleus. The three galaxies with no PAH_{3,3} feature is linked to dust-enshrouded star formation. The dust corrected SFR from PAH_{3,3} is a factor of 3.5 higher than the SFR obtained from H α , suggesting that these galaxies are characterized by significant amounts of dust.

Key words. Galaxies: evolution – Galaxies: general – Galaxies: clusters: general

1. Introduction

Over the last decades, dusty galaxies have been detected by observations in the Mid- (MIR) and Far-Infrared (FIR) as well as at sub-millimeter wavelengths. Several studies have shown that they are present throughout cosmic history and up to a very high redshift (Smail et al. 1997; Hughes et al. 1998; Aussel et al. 1999; Chary & Elbaz 2001; Blain et al. 2002; Floc'h et al. 2004; Marleau et al. 2004; Coppin et al. 2008; Capak et al. 2011; Reuter et al. 2023). Their infrared luminosity mainly originates from intense dust-enshrouded bursts of star formation. But they can also host powerful active nuclei triggered by nuclear accretion onto their central black holes (Yan et al. 2005; Pope et al. 2008; Menéndez-Delmestre et al. 2009; Hainline et al. 2009; Desai et al. 2009; Fadda et al. 2010).

Several works have proposed that, at least at z < 1, the amount of dust obscuration correlates with the density of the surrounding environment (Duc et al. 2002; Geach et al. 2006; Marcillac et al. 2007; Bai et al. 2007; Saintonge et al. 2008; Koyama

et al. 2008; Krick et al. 2009). In the field, dust-enshrouded star formation episodes are commonly found in interacting luminous infrared galaxies (Sanders et al. 1996). In clusters, ram-pressure (Gunn & Gott 1972) and harassment (Moore et al. 1996) contribute to stripping the outer gas reservoir of gas-rich infalling galaxies. As a consequence, star activity is quenched in the disks while it is maintained longer in the central regions which are less influenced by the environment, but are more affected by internal extinction (e.g. Fritz et al. 2017). Koyama et al. (2008) detected environmental dependence of the fraction of dusty star-forming galaxies at z~ 0.8, and suggested that the star formation activity detected in the MIR is enhanced in 'medium-density' environments such as cluster outskirts, groups and filaments, where galaxy optical colors sharply change from blue to red due to the truncation of star-forming activity. The most likely physical mechanisms at work in these medium-density regions are still debated: some works (Hopkins et al. 2008; Martig & Bournaud 2008; Koyama et al. 2010) point to galaxy-galaxy interaction or

mergers, while others (Jáchym et al. 2007; Cen et al. 2014) point to hydrodynamical effects – which are expected to impact only the gas component, leaving the stars unaltered – as responsible for the alteration of the star formation activity in these regions. However, Murata et al. (2015) did not find a clear environmental dependence of the ratio of the luminosity at 8 μ m to the IR luminosity, supporting the idea that the relation between physical parameters of star-forming galaxies is not affected by the environment.

JWST, with its unprecedented resolution and sensitivity at NIR and MIR wavelengths, is opening a new window onto dusty galaxies, enabling us to observe galaxies that were beyond the reach of previous space telescopes such as AKARI and Spitzer (e.g. Evans et al. 2022; Dale et al. 2023; Belfiore et al. 2023; Lai et al. 2022; Lin et al. 2024; Pearson et al. 2024).

One of the best fields studied so far with JWST is the one containing the galaxy cluster Abell 2744 (A2744) at redshift z = 0.3 (R.A. = 00:14:20.952, decl. =-30:23:53.88). The cluster benefits from the deepest imaging available. Its central part and extended regions have been targeted by three different programs: GLASS-JWST (Treu et al. 2022), UNCOVER (PID 2561, Bezanson et al. 2024) and the Director's Discretionary Time Program 2756, aimed at following up a Supernova discovered in GLASS-JWST NIRISS imaging (PI: Chen). Observations with a NIRCAM medium band have also been taken in Cycle 2 (GO-4111). Taken together, these surveys provide contiguous coverage in 8 bands (F090W, F115W, F150W, F200W, F277W, F356W, F410M, F444W) from 0.8 to 4.5 μm over 46.5 square arcmin (Paris et al. 2023). Considering the existing HST imaging (Lotz et al. 2016; Steinhardt et al. 2020), the wavelength coverage is even more comprehensive. This exceptional dataset also benefits from extended spectroscopic coverage, including JWST/NIRISS slitless spectroscopy (Roberts-Borsani et al. 2022), JWST/NIRCAM slitless spectroscopy (GO-3516), JWST/NIRSpec multi-object spectroscopy (Morishita et al. 2023; Mascia et al. 2024; Price et al. 2024), HST grism (Treu et al. 2015), and ground based spectroscopy (Owers et al. 2011; Braglia et al. 2009; Mahler et al. 2018; Richard et al. 2021; Bergamini et al. 2023). X-ray observations (Owers et al. 2011) further enrich this dataset, making A2744 one of the best characterized structures beyond the local universe.

Vulcani et al. (2023) have characterized for the first time the near and mid-infrared colors of cluster member galaxies in A2744 and galaxies in the coeval field (0.15 < z < 0.55), unveiling the existence of a puzzling galaxy population with a surprisingly red F200W-F444W color – called red outliers – that does not clearly stand out in any other integrated galaxy property. This population is found both in the cluster and in the field, with a relative excess in the cluster outskirts, suggesting that environmental conditions may play a role. Spectrophotometric models developed to derive the properties of the stellar populations (Fritz et al. 2007, 2017) are not able to reproduce the observed excess in F444W, requiring additional emission from hot dust that can be simply heated by young stars.

This red excess, which is distributed across the entire galaxy disk, resembles the IRAC excess observed by Magnelli et al. (2008) in 6 galaxies at z > 0.6, and can have multiple explanations: (1) hot dust emission, which could originate from an obscured active galactic nucleus (AGN), (2) free-free and hydrogen recombination line emission from ionized gas, (3) a possible continuum observed in the diffuse medium of our Galaxy (Flagey et al. 2006; Lu 2004) and in some local star-forming galaxies (Lu et al. 2003), and (4) emission from the 3.3 μm polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbon (PAH_{3.3}) feature due to dust-

enshrouded star formation. The latter possibility is currently the most accredited explanation of the IRAC excess (Magnelli et al. 2008; Mentuch et al. 2009).

Prior to this publication, only one red outlier had been observed by JWST/NIRSpec, within the GLASS-JWST program (Vulcani et al. 2023). Its NIRSpec $3-4\mu$ m spectrum, centered on the galaxy disk, is dominated by the presence of a strong PAH_{3.3} line (equivalent width of 590± 30Å, Vulcani et al. 2023) that could be the source of the color excess. Only one object, however, is not sufficient to reconstruct the general picture.

PAHs are found in a wide range of astrophysical sources and environments. They are prominent emission features excited by ultraviolet (UV) photons mostly from hot stars in star forming regions, while they are destroyed by hard photons from an AGN central engine (Voit 1992). They can be used as a powerful diagnostic of the physical conditions in galaxies (Peeters et al. 2004b). Their strength depends on the characteristics of the emitting particles (size, geometry, composition, charge state, etc.; Allamandola et al. 1989; Bauschlicher et al. 2008, 2009; Draine & Li 2001; Schutte et al. 1993; Tielens 2008) and can be used to disentangle the relative contributions of starburst and AGN in galaxies (Genzel et al. 1998; Rigopoulou et al. 1999; Tran et al. 2001) and as star formation tracers (Peeters et al. 2004a; Tacconi-Garman et al. 2005; Tommasin et al. 2008; Kim et al. 2012; Riechers et al. 2014).

The PAH_{3.3} feature is weak compared to the well studied PAH features observed at longer wavelengths. It is typically prominent in IR luminous starburst galaxies (Murakami et al. 2007; Imanishi et al. 2008, 2010; Kim et al. 2012; Lai et al. 2020) and obscured AGN associated with star formation activities (Moorwood et al. 1986; Imanishi & Dudley 2000; Rodriguéz-Ardila & Viegas 2003). It is attributed to the smallest PAH population which has a small heat capacity. Its luminosity depends on the radiation environment more strongly than the other PAH emission features, in the sense that the grains producing it are more easily destroyed by strong radiation fields (Draine & Li 2007).

Building upon the Vulcani et al. (2023) results, in this study we further explore the origin on the red excess, and investigate more in detail the role, origin and contribution of the PAH_{3.3} feature. We present new observations of the red outliers taken with JWST/NIRSpec. In Sec. 2 we describe the sample selection, observations and data reduction; in Sec. 3 we discuss the photometric properties of the sample, while in Sec.4 we investigate its spectroscopic properties, mainly focusing on the H α and PAH_{3.3} emissions. In Sec.5.1 we discuss the origin of the red excess and we conclude in Sec.6.

A standard cosmology with $\Omega_m = 0.3 \ \Omega_{\Lambda} = 0.7$ and $H_0=70$ km s⁻¹ Mpc⁻¹ and a Chabrier (2003) Initial Mass Function are adopted.

2. Sample selection, observations and data reduction

Vulcani et al. (2023) provided a detailed characterization of the NIR properties of galaxies member of Abell 2744 and of a coeval field (0.15 < z < 0.55), exploiting the JWST/NIRCAM observations (Merlin et al. 2022; Paris et al. 2023) taken as part of the GLASS-JWST survey (Treu et al. 2022). As mentioned in the Introduction, they are defined as "red outliers" galaxies whose F200W-F444W color is redder than 3× width of the red sequence of the entire population. In contrast, these galaxies have typical F115W-F150W colors and rather blue rest-frame B-V colors. We refer to Vulcani et al. (2023) for further details on the sample selection and results.

The sample of cluster and field galaxies studied in Vulcani et al. (2023) was included as fillers in two distinct JWST/NIRSpec programs for their multi-object spectroscopy observations, with a higher priority given to red outliers galaxies.

The JWST NIRSpec Program GO-3073 (PI M. Castellano) aimed at extensive follow-up spectroscopy of the $z \ge 10$ candidates selected in the GLASS-JWST region, also observed 14 galaxies, including 11 red outliers. The same observations also included 9 galaxies as fillers in the redshift range of interest (0.15<z<0.55), and with spectral coverage necessary to perform the presented analysis. Some of them have the characteristics of the red outliers, while other lie on the main sequence. All of them are useful to investigate the nature of the red outliers population, so they are all included in the analysis. Details on observations and data reduction are given by Castellano et al. (2024) and Napolitano et al. (2024). The data were reduced with the STScI Calibration Pipeline version 1.13.4, and the Calibration Reference Data System (CRDS) mapping 1197.

The JWST/NIRSpec observations taken in the context of the UNCOVER program (Bezanson et al. 2024) observed 4 additional galaxies, all of them in the red outlier region. Details on observations can be found in Price et al. (2024). For this paper, data were taken from the catalogs published in Roberts-Borsani et al. (2024) and corrected for wavelength-dependent slitloss using the NIRCam photometry and a polynomial to the residuals.

In both programs, the PRISM dispersive element was adopted, so spectra have continuous coverage in the observed wavelength range 0.6-5.3 μ m, with a resolving power of ~100.

We also include in the sample the red outlier with a previously existing JWST/NIRSpec spectrum, which was already presented by Vulcani et al. (2023). This galaxy (41908 in Vulcani et al. 2023, GLASSID 7622) was observed as part of the GLASS-JWST survey (ERS-1324, PI Treu; Treu et al. 2022), with JWST/NIRSpec in multiobject spectroscopy (MOS), with three spectral configurations (G140H/F100LP, G235H/F170LP, and G395H/F290LP), covering a wavelength range from 1 to $5.14 \ \mu m$ with a spectral resolution of approximately 2700. We refer to Morishita et al. (2023); Mascia et al. (2024) for details of the observations and data reduction.

In total, our sample includes 28 galaxies, 20 of which are red outliers and 8 are galaxies with color within $3\times$ the width of the red sequence identified in the F200W-F444W vs F200W plane, that we will call "normal" galaxies.

3. Photometric properties of the sample of red outliers

Figure 1 shows the position of the 28 target galaxies on the F200W-F444W vs. F200W plane, color-coded based on the environment in which they lie.¹ Observations covered most of the red outliers, and also 8 galaxies located on the red sequence. These red sequence galaxies can act as a useful comparison to understand the origin of the observed red colors.

Even though it seems the red outliers are equally distributed between the two environments, there is a hint that in field galaxies the amount of red excess is more significant. Given the selection function of our targets (see Sec. 2), we avoid drawing solid conclusions from these statistics.

Figure 2 further characterizes the spectroscopic sample in context of the entire photometric sample (Vulcani et al. 2023), by showing the rest-frame B-V color as a function of stellar mass and the UVJ diagram (Labbé et al. 2005; Williams et al. 2009). As described in detail by Vulcani et al. (2023), we obtained rest-frame colors, and stellar masses, by fitting synthetic stellar templates to the available NIRCam photometry with ZPHOT (Fontana et al. 2000), following the same procedure described by Santini et al. (2023). Focusing on the color-mass diagram, three red outliers lie above the red sequence (17275, 23903 and 20646), defined by fitting the entire cluster relation adopting a 3σ clipping method, four are on the red sequence (22207, 4634, 15448, 11984) and the rest are in the blue cloud. 23903 is the only galaxy in the sample that has broad lines indicative of AGNs in the MUSE spectra (Vulcani et al. 2023). Considering the non red outliers, three are above (11580, 18066, 13250), three are on (22197, 17429, 21466) and two are below (14869, 13453) the red sequence. Considering the UVJ diagram, four red outliers (5149, 11984, 22207, 20646) and six normal galaxies (17429, 13453, 11580, 21466, 13250, 18066) are located in the passive region, while the rest are in the star forming/dusty region. While the two diagnostic tools, which have a different sensibility to the presence of dust, present a coherent view for the non red outliers, they depict a different picture for the red outliers, highlighting the peculiarity of the population.

Vulcani et al. (2023) underlined that almost half of the red outliers have a disturbed morphology, with field galaxies showing disturbances suggestive of ongoing/past interactions, and cluster galaxies showing tentative signs of gas stripping, i.e. they have one-sided tails and no clear companion able to induce tidal interactions. Figure A.1 shows the color-composite images for the cluster and field targets. Half - and actually the reddest ones - of the cluster red outliers show morphology consistent with ram pressure stripping (7622, 7423, 6436) and two of them have already been the subject of extended analysis at optical wavelengths that indeed confirm ram pressure stripping in action (Moretti et al. 2022; Vulcani et al. 2024; Khoram et al. 2024). Field galaxies instead show rather regular morphology, except for a few cases in which galaxies have close companions and might be undergoing some tidal effects (16695, 17019). In contrast, galaxies 13250 and 13453 have a close companion but present normal colors. Red colors are especially evident in the ram pressure stripped galaxies and in the edge-on field galaxies. None of the images reveal the presence of clear dust lanes.

4. Spectral analysis and Results

4.1. Spectral features

Figures 3 and 4 show the NIRSpec rest-frame spectra for all cluster and field galaxies in our sample, sorted by decreasing color excess, which is obtained as difference between the galaxy color and the color it would have on the red sequence given its F200W magnitude. All red outliers spectra but three (11984, 5149 and 22207) are dominated by a strong feature at 3.3μ m, which is one of the PAHs. The three galaxies in which this feature is lacking are either on the red sequence or in the UVJ passive region in Fig. 2 and have passive spectra (see below). It is interesting to note, though, that not all red sequence/UVJ passive red outliers lack the PAH feature. All the spectra of the three galaxies above the red sequence (17275, 23903, 20646) have a clear PAH_{3,3} feature, but no significant other emission lines (e.g. lines

¹ Note that 23983, 21319, 21466, and 20646 have no F200W values. The F200W values are hence extrapolated using the F150W flux. Results are robust against this choice and stay valid if we either select galaxies in the F150W-F444W plane or exclude those galaxies from the analysis.

A&A proofs: manuscript no. aa



Fig. 1. Observed color–magnitude diagram (F200W-F444W vs. F200W). Stars represent galaxies in the cluster, circles galaxies in the field. Small symbols and grey colors shows galaxies from Vulcani et al. (2023) not specifically targeted here. Larger symbols and red/blue colors indicate the galaxies analyzed in this paper. The green solid line represents the best fit of the relation, obtained with an iterative 3σ clipping procedure. The dashed line shows the 3σ error. Galaxies deviating more than 3σ from the best fit in the F200W-F444W vs. F200W plane are defined as red outliers. Here and throughout the paper, IDs are from Paris et al. (2023).



Fig. 2. Rest frame colors of the galaxies. Stars represent galaxies in the cluster, circles galaxies in the field. Small symbols and grey colors shows galaxies from Vulcani et al. (2023) not specifically targeted here. Larger symbols indicate the galaxies analyzed in this paper and are color coded by their Δ (F200W-F444W), which is measured as the difference between their measured color and the color they should have if they were on the red sequence, given their F200W magnitude. The horizontal black line in the color bar shows the adopted threshold between normal and red outlier galaxies. Left: rest-frame B - V vs. stellar mass diagram. The green line represents the best fit of the red sequence, along with the 3σ scatter. Right: rest-frame U - V vs. V - J diagram. The green line represents the separation between star forming and passive galaxies, from Labbé et al. (2005).

of the Balmer, Paschen and Bracket series); the spectra of the remaining two galaxies on the red sequence (4634 and 15448) are characterized by both PAH_{3,3} in emission as well as other emission lines. One passive galaxy according to the UVJ diagram (20646) has clear PAH_{3,3} in emission. There are hence 5 galaxies that one would consider passive based on broad band color, but show evidence of activity, and can be called "faux-passive". In contrast, normal (i.e. not red outlier) galaxies have no significant PAH_{3,3} emission. We will return to this subject and quantify the emission line measurements in the next section.

For the galaxies whose spectrum shows a strong PAH_{3.3} feature, we show in Fig.5 a zoom-in on the rest frame spectral region $3.2 < \lambda/\mu m < 3.6$. Spectra are all normalized so that the area under the portion of the plotted spectra is equal to one. In

this wavelength range, the spectrum is dominated by the PAH_{3.3} band and 3.4 μ m aliphatic feature. In some cases, the two bands sit on top of a broad plateau that can extend up to ~ 3.8 μ m (Al-lamandola et al. 1989; Joblin et al. 1995).

There is a variety of $PAH_{3,3}$ profiles. At least in nearby sources and in our Milky Way, the peak position and width of this band have been found to be sensitive to the emission temperature (i.e. absorbed UV photon), charge and size of the emitting molecules, with positive charges and smaller molecules shifting the peak to redder wavelengths (Joblin et al. 1995; Peeters et al. 2002; van Diedenhoven et al. 2004). A detailed characterization of the chemical composition and modes of the PAH_{3,3} is beyond the scope of this work, but it is interesting to stress the variety of



Fig. 3. JWST/NIRSpec rest-frame spectra for the cluster galaxies in our sample, sorted by decreasing red excess. Highlighted within the black box are the red outliers. Spectra are normalized so that the flux in the rest frame range $2.9 < \lambda [\mu m] < 3.1$ is equal to one.

profiles in our sample, indicative of a range of ongoing processes and elements.

Two features are worth stressing: first of all, the galaxy with the broadest and shallowest feature is 23903, the one most likely hosting an AGN, even though no X-ray detection is associated to this source in the archival data. This is in agreement with previous results, showing that if the equivalent width of the PAH_{3.3} emission is substantially smaller than that of starburst-dominated galaxies, then a significant contribution from an AGN to the observed 3–4 μm continuum or that those small grains are destroyed by the AGN are the most natural explanations (Imanishi & Dudley 2000; Imanishi 2001; Imanishi & Maloney 2003; Imanishi et al. 2006; Imanishi 2006).

Secondly, the only high resolution spectrum we have at our disposal shows evidence for the Pf δ emission, on top of the

PAH_{3.3} emission. In the same spectrum, sub-peaks can be also be detected in the 3.4μ m band, and these are consistent with the existence of different C-H stretch emitting particles (Geballe et al. 1994).

The relative importance of the 3.4 μ m aliphatic feature and the 3.3 μ m aromatic feature changes from galaxy to galaxy. The variation of the 3.4 μ m/3.3 μ m ratio is indicative of the processing of dust particles in the ISM. The band intensity ratio decreases for positions closer to the star (Geballe et al. 1989; Joblin et al. 1995). In the next section we will quantify the ratio between the two.



Fig. 4. JWST/NIRSpec rest-frame spectra for the field galaxies in our sample, sorted by decreasing red excess. Highlighted within the black box are the red outliers. Spectra are normalized so that the flux in the rest frame range $2.9 < \lambda [\mu m] < 3.1$ is equal to one.

4.2. Emission line measurements

22 out 28 galaxies have a previously measured spectroscopic redshift from the literature (Vulcani et al. 2023). We determine the NIRSpec redshift of each spectrum through emission line measurements, by comparing the observed emission lines with their corresponding theoretical vacuum transitions. We give priority to the H α line, followed by the Pa α line. For galaxies with no emission lines, we adopt the previously determined redshift. In all cases, the agreement between the measured and literature redshift is of the order of 1%. For the remaining 6 galaxies, we use the redshifts measured from the spectra.

We then measure the emission line fluxes and equivalent width (EW) for all the visible lines using the python package LIME (Fernández et al. 2024). We consider as reliable only lines

measured with a minimum signal-to-noise ratio (SNR) of 3, assuming a Gaussian profile.

At the resolution of the PRISM spectra, $H\alpha$ and [NII] cannot be deblended, so we fit them together.

To correct for the contamination by the [NII] line doublet, we apply the locally calibrated correction factor given by James et al. (2005): $H\alpha/(H\alpha + [N II]) = 0.823$. Given that all the galaxies but one are star forming based on the BPT diagram (Vulcani et al. 2023), we assume this approach is appropriate.

We fit the NIRSpec 3μ m regime using CAFE², a spectral decomposition tool that simultaneously fits the PAH features, dust continuum, and various atomic and molecular gas emission lines. This fit was conducted systematically throughout our sample. We focused on the 2.0 to 3.7μ m range that includes the 3.3μ m

² https://github.com/GOALS-survey/CAFE



Fig. 5. JWST/NIRSpec rest-frame spectra for the galaxies in our sample, zoomed-in on the PAH feature. Spectra are normalized so that the shown area is equal to one.



Fig. 6. An example of our spectral decomposition result using CAFE on source 6436, which has strong PAH emission together with relatively high ice opacity among the sample. Features of interest are labeled, including the 3.3μ m PAH feature, the 3.4 aliphatic feature, the broad plateau feature, and the water ice absorption at 3.05μ m. Yellow and red lines represent stellar and non-stellar continuum sources. Note that the adopted spectral coverage and simplified treatment do not allow us to obtain a physical significance to individual continuum components.

PAH feature, the 3.4 aliphatic feature, the broad plateau feature that resides at the redder end of the PAH feature, and the water ice absorption centered at 3.05μ m (as shown in Fig.6).

Table A.1 in Appendix A reports the extinction corrected measurements of the most important lines.

We confirm that the normal galaxies and three red outliers (11984, 5149, 22207) have no detected emission lines (S/N of the H α line < 5) and we consider them to be passive. We remind the reader that the three red outliers are also passive according to the UVJ diagram (see Sec.3). While two of them are rather close to the adopted separation between normal and red outlier



Fig. 7. F200W-F444W as a function of the rest frame equivalent width of the PAH, for cluster (stars) and field (circle) galaxies. Galaxies are color coded by their Δ (F200W-F444W), which is measured as the difference between their measured color and the color they should have if they were on the red sequence, given their F200W magnitude. The squared symbol indicates 23903, the only galaxy most likely hosting an AGN.

galaxies, 11984 is rather red. In the following analysis, we will exclude all the non emission line galaxies. We will discuss the origin of the red excess in these excluded galaxies in Sec.5.1.3.

The ratio of the 3.4 to 3.3 μm fluxes ranges from 0 to 0.25 (see Tab.A.1, indicating that the 3.4 μm aliphatic feature is relatively weak in our sample. Similar results have been found by Lai et al. (2020). In contrast, the ratio of the 3.45 to 3.3 μm fluxes ranges from 0.15 to 0.5, suggesting that the 3.45 μm might give a larger contribution to the total flux. The origin of this feature is still under debate, and it is not clear whether an aliphatic or aromatic feature contributes more to this broad plateau feature. In both cases, no correlation with the PAH_{3.3} EW nor the color excess emerges (plot not shown).

Figure 7 correlates the rest frame equivalent width (EW) of the PAH_{3.3} with the galaxy F200W-F444W color. A correlation is seen, with redder galaxies having the tendency of also having higher EW values. A Spearman correlation test confirm this results, with a correlation coefficient of 0.62 and a significance of p=0.008. Most likely due to the small sample, no clear differences emerge between cluster and field galaxies. Symbols are color coded by the red excess. Galaxies with higher excess tend to have higher EW values. One of the galaxies clearly stands out for having a lower EW than expected from its color is hosting the AGN, and the PAH_{3.3} emission might be altered by its presence. No information about the presence of AGN are available for the other two galaxies (17275 and 20646).

We further compare the PAH_{3.3} EW with the H α EW (for the galaxies for which this measurement is available) and with the galaxy stellar mass in Fig.8. Overall, the strengths of the EWs correlate, even though the increase in the EW of PAH_{3.3} is faster than the increase in the EW of H α . A Spearman correlation test supports the correlation, with a coefficient of correlation of 0.6 and a significance p = 0.001. The EW of PAH_{3.3} does not correlate with stellar mass (p = 0.88), even though it seems that only low mass galaxies might have high values of EW. Interestingly, the low mass cluster galaxies with very high EW values (7622, 22043, 18708) are all ram pressure stripped galaxies (Moretti et al. 2022; Vulcani et al. 2024), suggesting a connection between ram pressure stripping and strength of the PAH_{3.3}. Indeed, when RPS is at play, the compression of the gas by the ISM



Fig. 8. Rest frame equivalent width of the PAH as a function of rest frame equivalent width of H α (left) and stellar mass (right), for cluster (red) and field (blue) star forming galaxies.

induces an enhancement in star formation Vulcani et al. (2018, 2020), which can appear as an increase in the $PAH_{3,3}$ EW.

4.3. SFR from PAH

Finally, we measure SFRs from both the H α and PAH_{3,3} fluxes and compare their values in Fig.9. We obtain luminosities from fluxes, taking into account the redshift of the sources. We then correct the H α luminosity for the presence of dust using the Pa α luminosity. The intrinsic H α /Pa α decrement remains roughly constant for typical gas conditions in star-forming galaxies (Osterbrock 1989). In our analysis, we assume the intrinsic value of $(H\alpha/Pa\alpha)_{int} = 8.46$, corresponding to a temperature T = 10⁴ K and an electron density $n_e = 10^2 cm^{-3}$ for Case B recombination (Osterbrock 1989). This choice is standard for star-forming galaxies in the literature. We than assume a Cardelli et al. (1989) reddening curve and obtain the extinction at the H α wavelength. The left panel of Fig.9 shows the comparison between the observed H α and Pa α , for the galaxies with both measurements. Galaxies are characterized by a wide range of extinction (see Tab.A.1), with a maximum A_V of 3.1 (6436). The observed flux ratio is consistent with a mean $A_V = 1.29$.

We measure H α -based star formation rates from Kennicutt (1998) for a Chabrier (2003) IMF: $SFR = 4.6 \times 10^{-42} \times L(H\alpha_{int})$, with L(H α_{int}) dust corrected luminosity measured in erg/s.

To obtain SFRs from the PAH_{3,3} feature, we use the intrinsic PAH_{3,3} fluxes obtained by CAFE³ and then use the relation found in Lai et al. (2020), converted to a Chabrier (2003) IMF: log *S FR* = $-(6.80 \pm 0.18) + \log[L(PAH_{3,3})/L_{\odot}]$ -0.05. This relation has been calibrated against the [Ne II] (at 12.8 µm) and [Ne III] (at 15.6 µm) luminosities, which in turn have been calibrated by Ho & Keto (2007) against the Br α . The timescales for star formation are hence similar to those of the H α , < 10⁷ yr.

The right panel of Figure 9 shows a tight correlation between the SFRs obtained from H α and those obtained from the PAH_{3.3}. Nonetheless, the values obtained from the PAH_{3.3} are systematically larger, by a factor of 3.5, on average. In Sec. 5.2 we will discuss the possible origin and meaning of the discrepancy.

We note that for one galaxy (7622) we do not have the H α line. We hence use the Pa α luminosity, corrected for dust assuming A_V = 1.29 found using the other galaxies. On the contrary,

for three galaxies (16695, 17019, 23128) we do not have the Pa α line to correct for dust the SFR form H α . The reported value in the plot is hence corrected for dust assuming the average A_V= 1.29.

Finally, the 3.4/3.3 ratio seems to decrease with increasing values of SFRs (plot not shown). This is in line with previous results: Yamagishi et al. (2012) found that the ratio decreases toward the center of the starburst galaxy M82, suggesting that the relative abundance of the aliphatic band carriers drops toward regions with more intense star formation. The decline of the 3.4/3.3 ratio in photodissociation regions is consistent with this picture, suggesting that an efficient photochemical process leads to the destruction of the aliphatic subgroups present on the periphery of PAHs (Joblin et al. 1996; Pilleri et al. 2015).

5. Discussion

5.1. Explaining the red excess

In the previous sections we have characterized the spectral properties of the red outliers, mainly focusing on the PAH_{3,3} emission feature. Overall, we have identified three populations of red outliers: i) 14 emission line galaxies with also a clear PAH_{3,3} in emission, which are mostly located below the optical red sequence; ii) three galaxies with PAH_{3,3} in emission, but rather weak emission lines (S/N of the H α line between 5 and 10), mostly located above the optical red sequence; iii) three passive galaxies. As a comparison, all the non red outliers, regardless of their position on the rest frame (B-V)-stellar mass plane, are passive with no PAH_{3,3} in emission.

In this section we will connect these results, proposing a scenario able to explain our findings.

5.1.1. Emission line galaxies

In galaxies of the first group, the PAH_{3.3} EW is of the order of 0.04-0.25 μm , its strength increases with increasing color excess, the dust extinction is moderate (average E(B-V) = 0.32) and SFRs range from 0.1 to 10 M_{\odot}/yr . The SFR from PAH_{3.3} is about a factor of three higher than that inferred from H α . This group most likely includes normal star forming galaxies, where H II regions, molecular gas, and photodissociation regions are spatially well mixed (Imanishi et al. 2008). Moorwood et al. (1986) have shown that the PAH_{3.3} EW values in starbursts have an average

³ Using the observed fluxes and correcting them using a Cardelli et al. (1989) law give consistent results.



Fig. 9. Left panel: Observed Pa α vs. H α fluxes, for the subset of galaxies with both measurements. The dashed line shows the intrinsic ratio, corresponding to a temperature T = 10⁴ K and an electron density $n_e = 10^2 cm^{-3}$ for Case B recombination (Osterbrock 1989). The dotted lines corresponds to the ratio obtained assuming an E(B-V) =0.41, which is the best fit to our data. Right panel: comparison between the dust corrected SFR obtained from H α and PAH_{3.3}. In both panels, cluster galaxies are shown in red, field galaxies are shown in blue.

value of $0.1\mu m$, with some scatter, but never become lower than $0.04\mu m$. Based on Spitzer/IRS spectra, Inami et al. (2018) proposed a revised starburst/AGN diagnostic diagram, pushing the limit to PAH_{3.3} EW >0.06 for starburst. According to this new definition, 22383 and 10512 might host an AGN. Both galaxies are close to the red sequence separation and do not show any particular behavior, so we can conclude that the evidence for AGN is not particularly compelling. The detection of the PAH_{3.3} suggests the presence of the neutral, smallest PAH population in the interstellar medium (ISM), typically with a radius of ~5 Å or N_C ~ 50 carbon atoms (Schutte et al. 1993; Draine & Li 2007).

We investigate if the measured values of the PAH_{3.3} EW are sufficient to justify by themselves the observed color excess. We can estimate the maximum contribution of the PAH_{3.3} EW to the F444W flux, given the measured observed values. We assume that $F_{F444W} = F_{PAH_{3,3},cont} \times \Delta F_{F444W} + F_{PAH_{3,3,obs}}$, with F_{F444W} measured NIRCam flux in the F444W filter, $F_{PAH_{3,3},cont}$ observed continuum flux measured on the NIRSpec spectrum at the PAH_{3.3} wavelength, ΔF_{F444W} width of the NIRCAM F444W filter and F_{PAH3,3,obs} observed PAH3,3 measured flux. Considering that we can express the PAH_{3.3} flux as PAH_{3.3} EW× $F_{PAH_{3.3},cont}$, for a PAH_{3.3} EW = $0.1\mu m$ at the cluster redshift z=0.3068, corresponding to an observed value of 0.1307 μm , and ΔF_{F444W} = 1.024 μm , the contribution of the line to the total F444W flux is 12.7%, which translates into a variation in galaxy color of 0.13 mag. This simple calculation indicates that while the PAH line is certainly non negligible, its presence alone can not explain the color excess, which can be as high as 0.6 mag. A contribution from the dust component is hence needed to explain the colors.

5.1.2. Weak emission line galaxies

As mentioned before, 17275, 23903 and 20646 are the three red excess galaxies that, despite having PAH_{3,3} in emission, have rather weak other emission lines (S/N of the H α line between 5 and 10). The same three galaxies are the only ones above the red sequence in Fig.1 and two of them are also outliers in the F200W-F444W vs PAH_{3,3} EW plane (Fig.7). One of these galaxies host an AGN, based on the MUSE spectra (Vulcani et al. 2023), while no optical spectra are available for the other two galaxies. Even though their PAH_{3,3} EW is >0.06 μm , our re-



Fig. 10. Distribution of the water absorption feature τ_{ice} for the emission line and weak emission line galaxies, as measured from the CAFE fit. The values of the three weak emission line galaxies are highlighted.

sults might suggest they are all obscured AGN-starburst composite galaxies. Indeed, if a highly dust-obscured AGN is present, then absorption features at 3.1 and 3.4 μm produced by icecovered dust and bare carbonaceous dust, respectively, should be found (Imanishi & Dudley 2000; Imanishi & Maloney 2003; Risaliti et al. 2003, 2006; Imanishi et al. 2006). According to the CAFE fit, Fig.10 shows that these three weak emission line galaxies have rather strong ice absorption, even though are not the strongest. We can therefore not firmly establish the presence of AGN. It is interesting to mention that the galaxy wit the strongest absorption feature is 6436, the galaxy with the strongest A_V value that has been also classified as ram pressure stripped galaxy.

5.1.3. Passive galaxies

Eleven galaxies in our sample have no significant emission lines (S/N of the H α line < 5). This group includes all the eight galaxies that are not red outliers. These non red excess galaxies were selected for lying in various regions of the color-mass and UVJ diagram, but also the galaxies that had blue colors or were supposed to be star forming, turned out to be passive. We remind the reader, though, that this sample is not representative of all galaxies on the color-mass diagram. As explained in sec. 2, our targets were used as fillers in different programs, hence they did not have high priority in the preparation of the NIRSpec/MSA masks. As

a result, we have no cases in our sample of star forming galaxies with blue rest frame optical colors and normal F200W-F444W color. From our sample, we can conclude that galaxies with normal F200W-F444W color have no strong PAH_{3,3} emission.

On the other hand, we found three galaxies (11984, 22207, 5149) that despite having red F200W-F444W color, do not have PAH_{3.3}, nor other lines, in emission. While it is true that these galaxies lie close to the adopted separation between normal and red outliers and could be simply misclassified, other galaxies with similar red excess do show PAH_{3.3} in emission. In these cases, red colors are simply related to the red colors of the evolved stellar populations. Indeed, they are consistent with the colors expected from pure stellar models. We calculated the observed F200W-F444W color for a single stellar population (SSP) model at various metallicities (Charlot and Bruzual, priv. comm.) and found that colors above -0.8 can be easily achieved, for both relatively low metallicity, and even for solar values (Z=0.017).

We also exploited the spectral synthesis code SImulatiNg OPtical Spectra wIth Stellar populations models (SINOPSIS; Fritz et al. 2007, 2011, 2017) to look for differences in the stellar age properties between these three galaxies and the other normal red sequence galaxies. We found no clear differences in terms of ages or star formation histories between the two groups. Given the small sample sizes and the resolution of the spectra, it is hard to establish if this lack is real or due to statistics or data quality and we refrain from drawing solid conclusions.

5.2. The discrepancy between H α and PAH_{3.3} based SFRs

In Sec. 4.3 we have found a clear correlation between the SFRs obtained from H α , corrected for dust based on the Hydrogen lines ratio, and those obtained from the PAH_{3.3}. Nonetheless, the values obtained from the PAH_{3.3} are systematically larger, by a factor of 3.5, on average.

One possible explanation is that the dust correction is insufficient, hence $H\alpha$ based values are underestimated. This can happen if the intrinsic Paschen/Balmer ratio is not sufficient to capture all the dust enshrouding the star formation, or that the geometry of the dust is different at the $H\alpha$ and PAH_{3,3} wavelengths.

As largely discussed in Lai et al. (2020), different geometries can be explored in the modeling for the MIR spectral decomposition. Two reliable examples are the "fully mixed" and "obscured continuum" geometries, which should bracket realistic scenarios of PAH emission and silicate absorption in galaxies. Briefly, in the fully mixed case stars and dust are spatially well mixed, with both PAH+line and continuum emission subject to a similar range of attenuation. In contrast, the obscured continuum scheme consists of a concentrated continuum emitting source plus unobscured PAH emitters atop the continuum. In such a case, PAH band strengths are measured with their local continua, and their emission sources are presumed to lie in the "foreground" of the extinction-impact continuum sources. Thus, PAH emission remain free from extinction correction. The former case typically holds for normal star forming regions, while the latter is typically invoked when galaxies are powered by a buried AGN or compact central starburst with only H II regions, because in these cases the obscuration comes predominantly from the central part of the system, while the PAH/line emissions excited by stars in the outer part are mostly not attenuated (Imanishi et al. 2007, 2008). To identify the most probable scenario, following Calabrò et al. (2018), in Fig.11 we consider only the galaxies with reliable H α and Pa α measurements and compare the ratio of H α and Pa α (Paschen-Balmer decrement) to the ratio of SFRs derived from the observed $Pa\alpha$ and



Fig. 11. Diagram comparing the ratio of H α and Pa α and A_{Pa α ,IRX}, that is the ratio of SFRs derived from the observed Pa α and the PAH, (A_{Pa α ,IRX}=2.5×log(1+S FR_{PAH,tot}/S FR_{Pa α ,obs}). Purple squares represent weighted average values in bins of Pa α /H α ratios. Expected values obtained considering different attenuation laws are also overplotted, as explained in the label.

the PAH, $(A_{Pa\alpha,IRX}=2.5\times \log(1 + SFR_{PAH,tot}/SFR_{Pa\alpha,obs})$, assuming it traces the total SFR. These two ratios, both independent measures of attenuation, do not generally scale as predicted by the Calzetti et al. (2000) and Cardelli et al. (1989) attenuation curves, suggesting those models are not a good representation of the dust distribution in these galaxies. The value of Pa $\alpha/H\alpha$ rather saturates around -0.1, qualitatively consistent with an optically thick "mixed model" in which different lines probe different optical depths. A more thorough analysis is beyond the scope of this paper and will be addressed in a forthcoming study.

Alternatively, there could be a bias in the star formation traced by the $PAH_{3,3}$. PAH emission can indeed be used as star formation tracer in galaxies only as long as the excitation of PAH molecules is mostly due to UV photons from the massive young stars (e.g. Peeters et al. 2004b; Xie & Ho 2019). However, the nature and the properties of the PAH molecules remain poorly understood and this could affect the use of PAH emission as an indicator of star formation activity.

Studies have explored the effect of star formation on the properties of the associated PAH molecules. For example, Riener et al. (2018) investigated the impact of high-energy photons on the dust particles associated with HII regions that are linked to star formation. Chastenet et al. (2023) used JWST observations to explore the properties of PAHs associated with HII regions, finding evidence for heating and/or changes in PAH size in regions with higher molecular gas content as well as increased ionization in regions with higher H α intensity.

Ujjwal et al. (2024) combined JWST and UVIT observations and analyzed how the star formation influences the properties of PAH molecules that are spatially associated with the regions undergoing active star formation. They concluded that the PAH molecules excited by the photons from star-forming regions with higher SFR surface density are significantly smaller and ionized molecules. UV photons from the star-forming regions could be the reason for the higher fraction of the ionized PAHs. They suggested that the effect of the high temperature combined to the formation of smaller PAH molecules in the star-forming regions might also result in the higher emission at $3.3\mu m$.

Finally, JWST observations also showed diffuse extended PAH emission which is not spatially associated with the star forming regions, suggesting that the PAH can be heated by interstellar radiation field (e.g. Evans et al. 2022). Therefore the observed total PAH_{3,3} may also include the contribution from interstellar radiation field, which, if not correctly taken into account, would bias the SFR estimates.

6. Summary

Vulcani et al. (2023) have unveiled the existence of a population of galaxies with surprisingly red F200W-F444W color that does not stands out in any other integrated property. This population exists in both the cluster A2744 and surrounding field. In this paper we further characterized this population, thanks to new spectroscopic observations taken with JWST/NIRSpec. The main results can be summarized as follows:

- Red outliers defined as galaxies whose F200W-F444W color is redder than $3 \times$ the width of the red sequence of the entire population – can be subdivided in three groups: i) emission line galaxies with also a clear PAH_{3.3} in emission, which are mostly located below (i.e. bluer than) the optical red sequence; ii) galaxies with PAH_{3.3} in emission, but rather weak emission lines (S/N of the H α line between 5 and 10), mostly located above (i.e. redder than) the optical red sequence; iii) passive galaxies. The first group is the most common.
- In the emission line galaxies, the F200W-F444W color excess correlates with the EW of the PAH_{3,3}: the higher the excess, the higher the strength of the EW. Nonetheless, the presence of the PAH alone can not fully explain the color excess, as an EW of ~ $0.1 \mu m$ is expected to increase the color by only 0.13 mag.
- The weak emission line galaxies are also outliers in the F200W-F444W vs EW(PAH_{3.3}) plane and are located above the red sequence. Spectral fitting identify a strong ice absorption features. This pieces of evidence point to the presence of an AGN.
- The passive galaxies have no PAH_{3.3}. Their red color is due to ageing of the stellar population.
- The non red outlier galaxies in our sample are all passive and have no PAH_{3,3} in emission, confirming that the PAH_{3,3} feature is linked to star formation activity.
- Even within our small sample, a variety of PAH_{3,3} profiles emerges, suggesting a variety of emitting molecules with a range of sizes. Also the relative importance of the 3.4 and 3.45 μm features and the 3.3 μm aromatic feature changes from galaxy to galaxy, indicative of a different chemical composition of the dust particles.
- In the star forming galaxies, both the intrinsic PAH_{3.3} EW and flux correlate with the intrinsic H α EW and flux, suggesting that they are produced by the same mechanism. Nonetheless, the dust corrected SFR from PAH_{3,3} is a factor of 3 higher than the SFR obtained from H α , suggesting red outliers are characterized by a significant amount of dust, that is not captured by the ratio of hydrogen lines.
- Overall, no clear differences are detected between cluster and field galaxies, in terms of occurrence and properties of the PAH_{3.3} emission lines and of the galaxies. This result, though, is most likely due to the small size of our sample and the way targets were selected, so larger sample will be needed to explore further this aspect.

Our analysis unveils that what we called red outliers is actually a mixed population of normal dusty star forming galaxies, AGN and passive systems. Combining the color selection in the NIR, as done in Fig.1, and more traditional color-mass and UVJ diagrams can provide a cleaner sample of star forming systems.

Acknowledgements. We thank the referee for their useful suggestions that helped us improving the manuscript. We thank Guido Roberts-Borsani for his help on data reduction and calibration and Sedona Price and the UNCOVER team for sharing their spectra with us. B.V. thanks the Department of Physics and Astronomy, University of California, Los Angeles, for a very pleasant and productive stay during which most of the work presented in this paper was carried out. The data were obtained from the Mikulski Archive for Space Telescopes at the Space Telescope Science Institute, which is operated by the Association of Universities for Research in Astronomy, Inc., under NASA contract NAS 5-03127 for JWST. These observations are associated with programs JWST-ERS-1324, JWST-GO-3073, and JWST-GO-2561. The specific observations associated with program JWST-GO-3073 can be accessed via DOI. We acknowledge financial support from NASA through grants JWST-ERS-1324 and JWST-GO-3073. This project has received funding from the European Research Council (ERC) under the Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme (grant agreement N. 833824). We also acknowledge support from the INAF Large Grant 2022 "Extragalactic Surveys with JWST" (PI Pentericci), from PRIN 2022 MUR project 2022CB3PJ3 - First Light And Galaxy aSsembly (FLAGS) funded by the European Union -Next Generation EU, and from INAF Mini-grant "Reionization and Fundamen-tal Cosmology with High-Redshift Galaxies". B.V. is supported by the European Union - NextGenerationEU RFF M4C2 1.1 PRIN 2022 project 2022ZSL4BL INSIGHT. PS acknowledges INAF Mini Grant 2022 "The evolution of passive galaxies through cosmic time".

References

- Allamandola, L. J., Tielens, G. G. M., & Barker, J. R. 1989, ApJSS, 71
- Aussel, H., Cesarsky, C. J., Elbaz, D., et al. 1999, A&A, 342, 313
- Bai, L., Marcillac, D., Rieke, G. H., et al. 2007, ApJ, 664, 181
- Bauschlicher, C. W., Peeters, E., & Allamandola, L. J. 2009, ApJ, 697
- Bauschlicher, Jr., C. W., Peeters, E., & Allamandola, L. J. 2008, ApJ, 678
- Belfiore, F., Leroy, A. K., Williams, T. G., et al. 2023, A&A, 678, A129
- Bergamini, P., Acebron, A., Grillo, C., et al. 2023, A&A, 670, A60
- Bezanson, R., Labbe, I., Whitaker, K. E., et al. 2024, ApJ, 974, 92
- Blain, A. W., Smail, I., Ivison, R. J., Kneib, J. P., & Frayer, D. T. 2002, Physics Report, 369, 111
- Braglia, F. G., Pierini, D., Biviano, A., & Böhringer, H. 2009, A&A, 500
- Calabrò, A., Daddi, E., Cassata, P., et al. 2018, ApJ, 862, L22
- Calzetti, D., Armus, L., Bohlin, R. C., et al. 2000, ApJ, 533, 682
- Capak, P. L., Riechers, D., Scoville, N. Z., et al. 2011, Natur, 470, 233
- Cardelli, J. A., Clayton, G. C., & Mathis, J. S. 1989, ApJ, 345, 245
- Castellano, M., Napolitano, L., Fontana, A., et al. 2024, ApJ, 972, 143
- Cen, R., Pop, A. R., & Bahcall, N. A. 2014, Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America, 111
- Chabrier, G. 2003, Galactic Stellar and Substellar Initial Mass Function 1, Tech. rep.
- Chary, R. & Elbaz, D. 2001, ApJ, 556, 562
- Chastenet, J., Sutter, J., Sandstrom, K., et al. 2023, ApJL, 944
- Coppin, K., Swinbank, M., Neri, R., et al. 2008, MNRAS, 389, 45
- Dale, D. A., Boquien, M., Barnes, A. T., et al. 2023, ApJL, 944
- Desai, V., Soifer, B. T., Dey, A., et al. 2009, ApJ, 700
- Draine, B. T. & Li, A. 2001, ApJ, 551 Draine, B. T. & Li, A. 2007, ApJ, 657
- Duc, P. A., Poggianti, B., Elbaz, D. F. D., et al. 2002, A&A, 382, 60
- Evans, A. S., Frayer, D. T., Charmandaris, V., et al. 2022, ApJL, 940
- Fadda, D., Yan, L., Lagache, G., et al. 2010, ApJ, 719
- Fernández, V., Amor\'\in, R., Firpo, V., & Morisset, C. 2024, A&A, 688, A69

Flagey, N., Boulanger, F., Verstraete, L., et al. 2006, A&A, 453

- Floc'h, E. L., Perez-Gonzalez, P. G., Rieke, G. H., et al. 2004, ApJS, 154, 170
- Fontana, A., D'Odorico, S., Poli, F., et al. 2000, AJ, 120
- Fritz, J., Moretti, A., Gullieuszik, M., et al. 2017, ApJ, 848
- Fritz, J., Poggianti, B. M., Bettoni, D., et al. 2007, A&A, 470, 137
- Fritz, J., Poggianti, B. M., Cava, A., et al. 2011, A&A, 526, A45
- Geach, J. E., Smail, I., Ellis, R. S., et al. 2006, ApJ, 649
- Geballe, T. R., Joblin, C., D'Hendecourt, L. B., et al. 1994, ApJ, 434 Geballe, T. R., Tielens, A. G. G. M., Allamandola, L. J., Moorhouse, A., &
- Brand, P. W. J. L. 1989, ApJ, 341
- Genzel, R., Lutz, D., Sturm, Ê., et al. 1998, ApJ, 498, 579 Gunn, J. E. & Gott, J. R. 1972, ApJ, 176, 1
- Hainline, L. J., Blain, A. W., Smail, I., et al. 2009, ApJ, 699, 1610
- Ho, L. C. & Keto, E. 2007, ApJ, 658, 314

Article number, page 11 of 15

- Hopkins, P. F., Hernquist, L., Cox, T. J., & Kereš, D. 2008, ApJSS, 175, 356
- Hughes, D., Serjeant, S., Dunlop, J., et al. 1998, Natur, 394, 241
- Imanishi, M. 2001, AJ, 121, 1927
- Imanishi, M. 2006, AJ, 131, 2406
- Imanishi, M. & Dudley, C. C. 2000, ApJ, 545, 701
- Imanishi, M., Dudley, C. C., Maiolino, R., et al. 2007, ApJSS, 171, 72 Imanishi, M., Dudley, C. C., & Maloney, P. R. 2006, ApJ, 637, 114

- Imanishi, M. & Maloney, P. R. 2003, ApJ, 588, 165 Imanishi, M., Nakagawa, T., Ohyama, Y., et al. 2008, PASJ, 60, 489
- Imanishi, M., Nakagawa, T., Shirahata, M., Ohyama, Y., & Onaka, T. 2010, ApJ, 721, 1233
- Inami, H., Armus, L., Matsuhara, H., et al. 2018, A&A, 617, A130
- Jáchym, P., Palouš, J., Köppen, J., & Combes, F. 2007, A&A, 472, 5
- James, P. A., Shane, N. S., Knapen, J. H., Etherton, J., & Percival, S. M. 2005, The H α galaxy survey II. Extinction and [NII] corrections to H α fluxes
- Joblin, C., Tielens, A. G., Allamandola, L. J., et al. 1995, Planetary and Space Science, 43
- Joblin, C., Tielens, A. G. G. M., Allamandola, L. J., & Geballe, T. R. 1996, ApJ, 458,610
- Kennicutt, R. C. 1998, ApJ, 498, 541
- Khoram, A., Poggianti, B., Moretti, A., et al. 2024, A&A, 686, A261
- Kim, J. H., Im, M., Lee, H. M., et al. 2012, ApJ, 760, 120
- Koyama, Y., Kodama, T., Shimasaku, K., et al. 2010, MNRAS, 403, 1611
- Koyama, Y., Kodama, T., Shimasaku, K., et al. 2008, MNRAS, 391, 1758 Krick, J. E., Surace, J. A., Thompson, D., et al. 2009, ApJ, 700, 123
- Labbé, I., Huang, J., Franx, M., et al. 2005, ApJ, 624, L81
- Lai, T. S.-Y., Armus, L., Bianchin, M., et al. 2023, ApJL, 957, L26
- Lai, T. S.-Y., Smith, J. D. T., Baba, S., Spoon, H. W. W., & Imanishi, M. 2020, ApJ, 905, 55
- Lai , T. S.-Y. , Armus, L., U, V., et al. 2022, ApJL, 941, 36 Lin, Y. W., Wu, C. K., Ling, C. T., et al. 2024, MNRAS, 527, 11882
- Lotz, J. M., Koekemoer, A., Coe, D., et al. 2016, ApJ, 837, 97
- Lu, N. 2004, ApJSS, 154, 286
- Lu, N., Helou, G., Werner, M. W., et al. 2003, ApJ, 588, 199
- Magnelli, B., Chary, R. R., Pope, A., et al. 2008, ApJ, 681, 258
- Mahler, G., Richard, J., Clément, B., et al. 2018, MNRAS, 473, 663
- Marcillac, D., Rigby, J. R., Rieke, G. H., & Kelly, D. M. 2007, ApJ, 654, 825
- Marleau, F. R., Fadda, D., Storrie-Lombardi, L. J., et al. 2004, ApJS, 154, 66
- Martig, M. & Bournaud, F. 2008, Triggering of merger-induced starbursts by the tidal field of galaxy groups and clusters
- Mascia, S., Roberts-Borsani, G., Treu, T., et al. 2024, A&A, 690, A2
- Menéndez-Delmestre, K., Blain, A. W., Smail, I., et al. 2009, ApJ, 699, 667
- Mentuch, E., Abraham, R. G., Glazebrook, K., et al. 2009, ApJ, 706, 1020
- Merlin, E., Bonchi, A., Paris, D., et al. 2022, ApJL, 938, L14
- Moore, B., Katz, N., Lake, G., Dressler, A., & Oemler, A. 1996, Nature, 379, 613
- Moorwood, A. F. M., Moorwood, & M., A. F. 1986, A&A, 166, 4
- Moretti, A., Radovich, M., Poggianti, B. M., et al. 2022, ApJ, 925, 4
- Morishita, T., Roberts-Borsani, G., Treu, T., et al. 2023, ApJL, 947, L24 Murakami, H., Baba, H., Barthel, P., et al. 2007, The infrared astronomical mission AKARI
- Murata, K., Koyama, Y., Tanaka, M., Matsuhara, H., & Kodama, T. 2015, A&A, 581, A114
- Napolitano, L., Castellano, M., Pentericci, L., et al. 2024, arXiv e-prints, arXiv:2410.10967
- Osterbrock, D. E. 1989, Astrophysics of gaseous nebulae and active galactic nuclei
- Owers, M. S., Randall, S. W., Nulsen, P. E., et al. 2011, ApJ, 728, 27
- Paris, D., Merlin, E., Fontana, A., et al. 2023, ApJ, 952, 20
- Pearson, J., Serjeant, S., Wang, W. H., et al. 2024, MNRAS, 527, 12044
- Peeters, E., Mattioda, A. L., Hudgins, D. M., & Allamandola, L. J. 2004a, ApJL, 617.65
- Peeters, E., Spoon, H. W. W., & Tielens, A. G. G. M. 2004b, ApJ, 613, 986
- Peeters, E., Tielens, A. G. G. M., van Kerckhoven, C., et al. 2002, in Astronomical Society of the Pacific Conference Series, Vol. 267, Hot Star Workshop III: The Earliest Phases of Massive Star Birth, ed. P. Crowther, 403
- Pilleri, P., Joblin, C., Boulanger, F., & Onaka, T. 2015, A&A, 577, A16
- Pope, A., Chary, R., Alexander, D. M., et al. 2008, ApJ, 675, 1171
- Price, S. H., Bezanson, R., Labbe, I., et al. 2024, arXiv e-prints, arXiv:2408.03920
- Reuter, C., Spilker, J. S., Vieira, J. D., et al. 2023, ApJ, 948, id44
- Richard, J., Claeyssens, A., Lagattuta, D., et al. 2021, A&A, 646, A83 Riechers, D. A., Pope, A., Daddi, E., et al. 2014, ApJ, 786, id31
- Riener, M., Faesi, C. M., Forbrich, J., & Lada, C. J. 2018, A&A, 612, A81
- Rigopoulou, D., Spoon, H. W. W., Genzel, R., et al. 1999, AJ, 118, 2625
- Risaliti, G., Maiolino, R., Marconi, A., et al. 2003, ApJL, 595, 17
- Risaliti, G., Maiolino, R., Marconi, A., et al. 2006, MNRAS, 365, 303
- Roberts-Borsani, G., Morishita, T., Treu, T., et al. 2022, ApJL, 938, L13
- Roberts-Borsani, G., Treu, T., Shapley, A., et al. 2024, arXiv e-prints, arXiv:2403.07103

Article number, page 12 of 15

- Rodriguéz-Ardila, A. & Viegas, S. M. 2003, MNRAS, 340, L33
- Saintonge, A., Tran, K.-V. H., & Holden, B. P. 2008, ApJL, 685, 113
- Sanders, D. B., Mirabel, I. F., Sanders, D. B., & Mirabel, I. F. 1996, ARA&A, 34, 749
- Santini, P., Fontana, A., Castellano, M., et al. 2023, ApJL, 942, L27
- Schutte, W. A., Tielens, A. G. G. M., & Allamandola, L. J. 1993, ApJ, 415, 397
- Smail, I., Ivison, R. J., & Blain, A. W. 1997, ApJL, 490, 5
- Steinhardt, C. L., Jauzac, M., Acebron, A., et al. 2020, ApJSS, 247, 64
- Tacconi-Garman, L. E., Sturm, E., Lehnert, M., et al. 2005, A&A, 432, 91
- Tielens, A. G. 2008, ARAA, 46, 289
- Tommasin, S., Spinoglio, L., Malkan, M. A., et al. 2008, ApJ, 676, 836
- Tran, Q. D., Lutz, D., Genzel, R., et al. 2001, ApJ, 552, 527
- Treu, T., Roberts-Borsani, G., Bradac, M., et al. 2022, ApJ, 935, id110
- Treu, T., Schmidt, K. B., Brammer, G. B., et al. 2015, ApJ, 812, 114
- Ujjwal, K., Kartha, S. S., Akhil, K. R., et al. 2024, 684, A71
- van Diedenhoven, B., Peeters, E., Van Kerckhoven, C., et al. 2004, ApJ, 611, 928 Voit, G. M. 1992, MNRAS, 258, 841
- Vulcani, B., Moretti, A., Poggianti, B. M., et al. 2024, A&A, 682, A117
- Vulcani, B., Poggianti, B. M., Gullieuszik, M., et al. 2018, ApJL, 866, L25
- Vulcani, B., Poggianti, B. M., Tonnesen, S., et al. 2020, ApJ, 899, 98
- Vulcani, B., Treu, T., Calabrò, A., et al. 2023, ApJL, 948
- Williams, R. J., Quadri, R. F., Franx, M., van Dokkum, P., & Labbé, I. 2009, ApJ, 691, 1879
- Xie, Y. & Ho, L. C. 2019, ApJ, 884, id136
- Yamagishi, M., Kaneda, H., Ishihara, D., et al. 2012, A&A, 541, A10
- Yan, L., Chary, R., Armus, L., et al. 2005, ApJ, 628, 604

Appendix A: Additional Table and Figure

Table A.1 presents the sample and reports the extinction corrected measurements of the most important lines.

Figure A.1 shows the color-composite images for the cluster and field targets.



Fig. A.1. (F115W+F200W (or F150W if F200W is not reliable)+F444W images of the galaxies analyzed in this paper. The physical scale is reported in the lower right corners. Galaxies are sorted by decreasing red excess (see sec. 4). The gold rectangle highlights the red outliers.

Vulcani et al.: Not just PAH_{3.3}: why galaxies turn red in the Near-Infrared

ID	RA2000	DEC2000	specz	env	$f_{H\alpha} [10^{-16}]$	$f_{Pa\alpha} [10^{-16}]$	$f_{PAH3.3} [10^{-16}]$	$EW_{PAH3.3}$
	[deg]	[deg]			$\left[erg/cm^{2}/s \right]$	$\left[erg/cm^{2}/s \right]$	$\left[erg/cm^{2}/s \right]$	$[\mu m]$
4634	00.14.21.68	-30.24.01.4	0 4971	fie	137+14	16+1	83+4	0.0941
5140	00.14.19.09	20:24:00.2	0.1571	al	157±11	10±1	0511	0.0711
5149	00.14.10.42	-30.24.00.3	0.3030		-	11.2.0.5	26.00	0 1050
6436	00:14:19.43	-30:23:26.9	0.2931	cl	96±4	11.3 ± 0.5	36±0.2	0.1252
7423	00:14:16.63	-30:23:03.3	0.2961	cl	23±2	2.7 ± 0.3	6.2 ± 0.4	0.1359
7622	00:14:25.06	-30:23:05.9	0.2958	cl	-	0.192 ± 0.003	0.645 ± 0.008	0.1071
10512	00:14:06.07	-30:22:23.1	0.3143	cl	0.65 ± 0.06	0.077 ± 0.006	0.10 ± 0.02	0.0385
11580	00:14:06.57	-30:21:59.1	0.3072	cl	_	_	_	_
11984	00.14.07.04	-30.21.534	0 3067	cl	_	_	_	_
13250	00:14:07.72	-30:21:37.4	0.3004	cl				
12452	00.14.07.72	20.21.27.4	0.5004	61 fa				
13433	00:14:07.50	-50:21:58.1	0.28	lie	-	_	-	_
14869	00:13:57.01	-30:21:19.3	0.2324	пе				
15448	00:13:53.28	-30:21:01.2	0.3058	cl	14 ± 1.43	1.7 ± 0.3	5.7 ± 0.2	0.0482
16695	00:13:59.77	-30:20:46.4	0.455	fie	28±1	-	5.9 ± 0.5	0.1466
17019	00:14:00.01	-30:20:45.5	0.4509	fie	33±2	_	25±2	0.2153
17275	00:13:52.89	-30:20:36.9	0.49957	fie	7±1	0.8 ± 0.1	5.3 ± 0.2	0.0687
17429	00:14:08.85	-30:20:30.7	0.2824	fie	_	_	_	_
18066	00:14:08 50	-30.20.33 1	0.31/3	cl				
20646	00.14.00.00	-30.20.33.1	0.2059	ci al	6.08	07.02	25 + 0.1	0.0458
20040	00.14.01.03	-30.10.31.3	0.3038		0 ± 0.8	0.7 ± 0.2	2.5 ± 0.1	0.0438
21206	00:13:57.27	-30:19:27.7	0.3072	CI	2.5±0.4	0.30 ± 0.03	0.9 ± 0.2	0.0634
21319	00:13:58.50	-30:18:31.7	0.4536	fie	13.1 ± 0.5	0.59 ± 0.03	2.4 ± 0.4	0.082
21382	00:13:57.13	-30:19:13.2	0.3075	cl	2.3 ± 0.2	0.2 ± 0.03	0.81 ± 0.06	0.0575
21466	00:13:58.07	-30:18:43.6	0.4137	fie	_	_	-	_
22197	00:14:00.18	-30:18:26.0	0.307	cl	_	_	_	_
22207	00.14.03 57	-30.19.10.3	0.46	fie	_	_	_	_
22207	00:13:59.42	-30.19.14.3	0 3498	fie	37+03	0.152 ± 0.007	0.43 ± 0.07	0.0385
22303	00.13.57.42	20.10.20.2	0.5414	fo	3.7 ± 0.3	0.132±0.007	16.00	0.0305
23126	00.13.30.90	-30.19.29.2	0.3414	ne c	4.2 ± 0.2	21.0	1.0 ± 0.9	0.1299
23903	00:13:59.29	-30:19:18.8	0.3432	ne	18±2	2.1±0.6	11.9±0.6	0.0624
24012	00:13:59.32	-30:19:07.7	0.343	fie	11.6 ± 0.7	0.6 ± 0.04	1.3 ± 0.1	0.0822
ID	$f_{34} [10^{-16}]$	EW_{34}	f_{345} [10 ⁻¹⁶]	EW_{345}	f_{34}/f_{33}	f_{345}/f_{33}	$ au_{ice}$	A_V
ID	$f_{3.4} [10^{-16}]$ [erg/cm ² /s]	$EW_{3.4}$	$f_{3.45} [10^{-16}]$ [erg/cm ² /s]	$EW_{3.45}$ [µm]	f _{3.4} /f _{3.3}	$f_{3.45}/f_{3.3}$	$ au_{ice}$	A_V [mag]
ID 4634	$\begin{array}{c} f_{3.4} [10^{-16}] \\ [erg/cm^2/s] \\ 10+1 \end{array}$	$EW_{3,4}$ [μm]	$\begin{array}{c} f_{3.45} [10^{-16}] \\ [erg/cm^2/s] \\ 44+2 \end{array}$	$EW_{3.45}$ [μm]	$f_{3.4}/f_{3.3}$	$f_{3.45}/f_{3.3}$	$ au_{ice}$	A_V [mag] 2.6
ID 4634 5140	$\begin{array}{c} f_{3.4} \left[10^{-16} \right] \\ \left[erg/cm^2/s \right] \\ 10\pm 1 \end{array}$	<i>EW</i> _{3.4} [<i>µm</i>] 0.0122	$\begin{array}{c} f_{3.45} [10^{-16}] \\ [erg/cm^2/s] \\ 44\pm 2 \end{array}$	<i>EW</i> _{3.45} [μ <i>m</i>] 0.0564	f _{3.4} /f _{3.3} 0.16	$f_{3.45}/f_{3.3}$ 0.12	τ _{ice} 0.54	A _V [mag] 2.6
ID 4634 5149	$\begin{array}{c} f_{3.4} [10^{-16}] \\ [erg/cm^2/s] \\ 10\pm 1 \\ - \\ 2.0\pm 0.2 \end{array}$	<i>EW</i> _{3,4} [μm] 0.0122	$\begin{array}{c} f_{3.45} [10^{-16}] \\ [erg/cm^2/s] \\ 44\pm 2 \\ - \\ 2 + 1 \end{array}$	$EW_{3.45}$ [μm] 0.0564	$f_{3.4}/f_{3.3}$ 0.16	$f_{3.45}/f_{3.3}$		$\begin{array}{c} A_V \\ [mag] \\ \hline 2.6 \\ \hline 2.1 \end{array}$
ID 4634 5149 6436	$\begin{array}{c} f_{3.4} [10^{-16}] \\ [erg/cm^2/s] \\ 10\pm 1 \\ - \\ 2.9\pm 0.2 \\ 1.07 + 0.20 \end{array}$		$\begin{array}{c} f_{3.45} [10^{-16}] \\ [erg/cm^2/s] \\ 44\pm 2 \\ - \\ 2\pm 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 $		$f_{3.4}/f_{3.3}$ 0.16 - 1.0	$f_{3,45}/f_{3,3}$ 0.12 0.09 0.09		$ \begin{array}{r} A_V \\ [mag] \\ \hline 2.6 \\ - \\ 3.1 \\ 0.2 \end{array} $
ID 4634 5149 6436 7423	$\begin{array}{c} f_{3.4} [10^{-16}] \\ [erg/cm^2/s] \\ 10\pm 1 \\ - \\ 2.9\pm 0.2 \\ 1.07\pm 0.09 \end{array}$	<i>EW</i> _{3.4} [μm] 0.0122 - 0.0096 0.0244	$\begin{array}{c} f_{3.45} [10^{-16}] \\ [erg/cm^2/s] \\ 44\pm 2 \\ - \\ 2\pm 1 \\ 1.6\pm 0.1 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} EW_{3.45} \\ [\mu m] \\ 0.0564 \\ - \\ 0.0070 \\ 0.0380 \end{array}$		$ \begin{array}{r} f_{3.45}/f_{3.3} \\ \hline $	τ _{ice} 0.54 0.07 0.28	A _V [mag] 2.6 - 3.1 0.8
ID 4634 5149 6436 7423 7622	$\begin{array}{c} f_{3.4} [10^{-16}] \\ [erg/cm^2/s] \\ 10\pm 1 \\ - \\ 2.9\pm 0.2 \\ 1.07\pm 0.09 \\ 0.135\pm 0.009 \end{array}$	<i>EW</i> _{3,4} [μm] 0.0122 - 0.0096 0.0244 0.0248	$\begin{array}{c} f_{3.45} [10^{-16}] \\ [erg/cm^2/s] \\ 44\pm 2 \\ - \\ 2\pm 1 \\ 1.6\pm 0.1 \\ 0.13\pm 0.0 \end{array}$	<i>EW</i> _{3.45} [<i>µm</i>] 0.0564 - 0.0070 0.0380 0.0250		$ \begin{array}{r} f_{3.45}/f_{3.3} \\ \hline 0.12 \\ - \\ 0.09 \\ 0.18 \\ 0.21 \end{array} $	$ au_{ice}$	$\begin{array}{c} A_V \\ [mag] \\ \hline 2.6 \\ - \\ 3.1 \\ 0.8 \\ 1.3^* \end{array}$
ID 4634 5149 6436 7423 7622 10512	$\begin{array}{c} f_{3.4} [10^{-16}] \\ [erg/cm^2/s] \\ 10\pm 1 \\ - \\ 2.9\pm 0.2 \\ 1.07\pm 0.09 \\ 0.135\pm 0.009 \\ 0.010\pm 0.006 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} EW_{3,4} \\ [\mu m] \\ 0.0122 \\ - \\ 0.0096 \\ 0.0244 \\ 0.0248 \\ 0.0042 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} f_{3.45} [10^{-16}] \\ [erg/cm^2/s] \\ 44\pm 2 \\ - \\ 2\pm 1 \\ 1.6\pm 0.1 \\ 0.13\pm 0.0 \\ 0.01\pm 0.0 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} EW_{3.45}\\ [\mu m]\\ 0.0564\\ -\\ 0.0070\\ 0.0380\\ 0.0250\\ 0.0043\\ \end{array}$			$ au_{ice}$	$\begin{array}{c} A_V \\ [mag] \\ \hline 2.6 \\ - \\ 3.1 \\ 0.8 \\ 1.3^* \\ 0.6 \\ \end{array}$
ID 4634 5149 6436 7423 7622 10512 11580	$\begin{array}{c} f_{3.4} [10^{-16}] \\ [erg/cm^2/s] \\ 10\pm 1 \\ - \\ 2.9\pm 0.2 \\ 1.07\pm 0.09 \\ 0.135\pm 0.009 \\ 0.010\pm 0.006 \\ - \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} EW_{3,4} \\ [\mu m] \\ 0.0122 \\ - \\ 0.0096 \\ 0.0244 \\ 0.0248 \\ 0.0042 \\ - \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} f_{3.45} [10^{-16}] \\ [erg/cm^2/s] \\ 44\pm 2 \\ - \\ 2\pm 1 \\ 1.6\pm 0.1 \\ 0.13\pm 0.0 \\ 0.01\pm 0.0 \\ - \end{array}$	<i>EW</i> _{3.45} [μm] 0.0564 - 0.0070 0.0380 0.0250 0.0043	$\begin{array}{r} f_{3.4}/f_{3.3} \\ \hline 0.16 \\ - \\ 1.0 \\ 0.44 \\ 0.15 \\ 0.275 \\ - \end{array}$	<i>f</i> _{3.45} / <i>f</i> _{3.3} 0.12 - 0.09 0.18 0.21 0.1	$ au_{ice}$	$\begin{array}{c c} A_V \\ [mag] \\ \hline 2.6 \\ \hline 3.1 \\ 0.8 \\ 1.3^* \\ 0.6 \\ \hline \end{array}$
ID 4634 5149 6436 7423 7622 10512 11580 11984	$\begin{array}{c} f_{3.4} [10^{-16}] \\ [erg/cm^2/s] \\ 10\pm 1 \\ - \\ 2.9\pm 0.2 \\ 1.07\pm 0.09 \\ 0.135\pm 0.009 \\ 0.010\pm 0.006 \\ - \\ - \end{array}$	<i>EW</i> _{3,4} [μm] 0.0122 - 0.0096 0.0244 0.0248 0.0042 -	$\begin{array}{c} f_{3.45} [10^{-16}] \\ [erg/cm^2/s] \\ 44\pm 2 \\ - \\ 2\pm 1 \\ 1.6\pm 0.1 \\ 0.13\pm 0.0 \\ 0.01\pm 0.0 \\ - \\ - \end{array}$	<i>EW</i> _{3.45} [μm] 0.0564 - 0.0070 0.0380 0.0250 0.0043 -	f _{3.4} /f _{3.3} 0.16 - 1.0 0.44 0.15 0.275 -	<i>f</i> _{3.45} / <i>f</i> _{3.3} 0.12 - 0.09 0.18 0.21 0.1	$ au_{ice}$	A _V [mag] 2.6 - 3.1 0.8 1.3* 0.6 -
ID 4634 5149 6436 7423 7622 10512 11580 11984 13250	$\begin{array}{c} f_{3.4} [10^{-16}] \\ [erg/cm^2/s] \\ 10\pm 1 \\ - \\ 2.9\pm 0.2 \\ 1.07\pm 0.09 \\ 0.135\pm 0.009 \\ 0.010\pm 0.006 \\ - \\ - \\ - \\ - \end{array}$	<i>EW</i> _{3,4} [μm] 0.0122 - 0.0096 0.0244 0.0248 0.0042 -	$\begin{array}{c} f_{3.45} [10^{-16}] \\ [erg/cm^2/s] \\ 44\pm 2 \\ - \\ 2\pm 1 \\ 1.6\pm 0.1 \\ 0.13\pm 0.0 \\ 0.01\pm 0.0 \\ - \\ - \\ - \end{array}$	<i>EW</i> _{3.45} [μm] 0.0564 - 0.0070 0.0380 0.0250 0.0043 -	f _{3.4} /f _{3.3} 0.16 - 1.0 0.44 0.15 0.275 -	f _{3.45} /f _{3.3} 0.12 - 0.09 0.18 0.21 0.1 -	τ _{ice} 0.54 - 0.07 0.28 0.21 0.1 	A _V [mag] 2.6 - 3.1 0.8 1.3* 0.6 - -
ID 4634 5149 6436 7423 7622 10512 11580 11984 13250 13453	$\begin{array}{c} f_{3.4} [10^{-16}] \\ [erg/cm^2/s] \\ 10\pm 1 \\ - \\ 2.9\pm 0.2 \\ 1.07\pm 0.09 \\ 0.135\pm 0.009 \\ 0.010\pm 0.006 \\ - \\ - \\ - \\ - \\ - \\ - \\ - \\ - \\ - \\ $	<i>EW</i> _{3,4} [μm] 0.0122 - 0.0096 0.0244 0.0248 0.0042 - -	$\begin{array}{c} f_{3.45} [10^{-16}] \\ [erg/cm^2/s] \\ 44\pm 2 \\ - \\ 2\pm 1 \\ 1.6\pm 0.1 \\ 0.13\pm 0.0 \\ 0.01\pm 0.0 \\ - \\ - \\ - \\ - \end{array}$	<i>EW</i> _{3,45} [μm] 0.0564 - 0.0070 0.0380 0.0250 0.0043 - -	f _{3.4} /f _{3.3} 0.16 - 1.0 0.44 0.15 0.275 - -	f _{3.45} /f _{3.3} 0.12 0.09 0.18 0.21 0.1	τ _{ice} 0.54 - 0.07 0.28 0.21 0.1 	A _V [mag] 2.6 - 3.1 0.8 1.3* 0.6 - -
ID 4634 5149 6436 7423 7622 10512 11580 11984 13250 13453 14869	$\begin{array}{c} f_{3.4} \ [10^{-16}] \\ [erg/cm^2/s] \\ 10\pm 1 \\ - \\ 2.9\pm 0.2 \\ 1.07\pm 0.09 \\ 0.135\pm 0.009 \\ 0.010\pm 0.006 \\ - \\ - \\ - \\ - \\ - \\ - \\ - \\ - \\ - \\ $	<i>EW</i> _{3,4} [μm] 0.0122 - 0.0096 0.0244 0.0248 0.0042 - -	$\begin{array}{c} f_{3.45} \ [10^{-16}] \\ [erg/cm^2/s] \\ 44\pm 2 \\ - \\ 2\pm 1 \\ 1.6\pm 0.1 \\ 0.13\pm 0.0 \\ 0.01\pm 0.0 \\ - \\ - \\ - \\ - \\ - \\ - \\ - \\ - \\ - \\ $	EW _{3.45} [μm] 0.0564 - 0.0070 0.0380 0.0250 0.0043 - - -	$\begin{array}{r} f_{3.4}/f_{3.3} \\ \hline 0.16 \\ - \\ 1.0 \\ 0.44 \\ 0.15 \\ 0.275 \\ - \\ - \\ - \\ - \\ - \\ - \\ - \\ - \\ - \\ $	$\begin{array}{r} f_{3.45}/f_{3.3} \\ \hline 0.12 \\ - \\ 0.09 \\ 0.18 \\ 0.21 \\ 0.1 \\ - \\ - \\ - \\ - \\ - \\ - \\ - \\ - \\ - \\ $	$ au_{ice}$ 0.54 0.07 0.28 0.21 0.1 - - - - - - - - -	$\begin{array}{c c} A_V \\ [mag] \\ \hline 2.6 \\ - \\ 3.1 \\ 0.8 \\ 1.3^* \\ 0.6 \\ - \\ - \\ - \\ - \\ - \\ - \\ - \\ - \\ - \\ $
ID 4634 5149 6436 7423 7622 10512 11580 11984 13250 13453 14869	$\begin{array}{c} f_{3.4} [10^{-16}] \\ [erg/cm^2/s] \\ 10\pm 1 \\ - \\ 2.9\pm 0.2 \\ 1.07\pm 0.09 \\ 0.135\pm 0.009 \\ 0.010\pm 0.006 \\ - \\ - \\ - \\ - \\ - \\ - \\ - \\ - \\ - \\ $	<i>EW</i> _{3,4} [μm] 0.0122 0.0096 0.0244 0.0248 0.0042	$\begin{array}{c} f_{3.45} [10^{-16}] \\ [erg/cm^2/s] \\ 44\pm 2 \\ - \\ 2\pm 1 \\ 1.6\pm 0.1 \\ 0.13\pm 0.0 \\ 0.01\pm 0.0 \\ - \\ - \\ - \\ - \\ - \\ - \\ - \\ - \\ - \\ $	<i>EW</i> _{3,45} [μm] 0.0564 - 0.0070 0.0380 0.0250 0.0043 - - - - - -	$\begin{array}{r} f_{3.4}/f_{3.3} \\ \hline 0.16 \\ - \\ 1.0 \\ 0.44 \\ 0.15 \\ 0.275 \\ - \\ - \\ - \\ - \\ - \\ - \\ - \\ 0.10 \\ \end{array}$	$ \begin{array}{r} f_{3.45}/f_{3.3} \\ 0.12 \\ - \\ 0.09 \\ 0.18 \\ 0.21 \\ 0.1 \\ - \\ - \\ - \\ - \\ 0.1 \\ $		$ \begin{array}{c} A_V \\ [mag] \\ 2.6 \\ - \\ 3.1 \\ 0.8 \\ 1.3^* \\ 0.6 \\ - \\ -$
ID 4634 5149 6436 7423 7622 10512 11580 11984 13250 13453 14869 15448	$\begin{array}{c} f_{3.4} [10^{-16}] \\ [erg/cm^2/s] \\ 10\pm 1 \\ - \\ 2.9\pm 0.2 \\ 1.07\pm 0.09 \\ 0.135\pm 0.009 \\ 0.010\pm 0.006 \\ - \\ - \\ - \\ 0.62\pm 0.03 \\ 0.02\pm 0.03 \\ 0.02\pm 0.03 \\ - \\ - \\ 0.62\pm 0.03 \\ 0.02\pm 0.03 \\ - \\ - \\ - \\ 0.62\pm 0.03 \\ - \\ - \\ - \\ - \\ - \\ - \\ - \\ - \\ - \\ $	<i>EW</i> _{3,4} [μm] 0.0122 - 0.0096 0.0244 0.0248 0.0042 - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -	$\begin{array}{c} f_{3.45} [10^{-16}] \\ [erg/cm^2/s] \\ 44\pm 2 \\ - \\ 2\pm 1 \\ 1.6\pm 0.1 \\ 0.13\pm 0.0 \\ 0.01\pm 0.0 \\ - \\ - \\ - \\ 0.95\pm 0.04 \end{array}$	EW _{3.45} [μm] 0.0564 - 0.0070 0.0380 0.0250 0.0043 - - - - 0.0090 0.0700	$\begin{array}{r} f_{3.4}/f_{3.3} \\ \hline f_{3.4}/f_{3.3} \\ \hline 0.16 \\ - \\ 1.0 \\ 0.44 \\ 0.15 \\ 0.275 \\ - \\ - \\ - \\ - \\ - \\ 0.19 \\ 0.9 \\ 0$	$ \begin{array}{r} f_{3.45}/f_{3.3} \\ \hline 0.12 \\ - \\ 0.09 \\ 0.18 \\ 0.21 \\ 0.1 \\ - \\ - \\ 0.1 \\ $		$ \begin{array}{c} A_V \\ [mag] \\ 2.6 \\ - \\ 3.1 \\ 0.8 \\ 1.3^* \\ 0.6 \\ - \\ - \\ - \\ 1.9 \\ 1.9 \\ 1.2 \\ \end{array} $
ID 4634 5149 6436 7423 7622 10512 11580 11984 13250 13453 14869 15448 16695	$\begin{array}{c} f_{3.4} [10^{-16}] \\ [erg/cm^2/s] \\ 10\pm 1 \\ - \\ 2.9\pm 0.2 \\ 1.07\pm 0.09 \\ 0.135\pm 0.009 \\ 0.010\pm 0.006 \\ - \\ - \\ - \\ 0.62\pm 0.03 \\ 0.9\pm 0.9 \\ 0.9\pm 0.9 \end{array}$	<i>EW</i> _{3,4} [μm] 0.0122 - 0.0096 0.0244 0.0248 0.0042 - - - - - - - 0.0056 0.0246	$\begin{array}{c} f_{3.45} [10^{-16}] \\ [erg/cm^2/s] \\ 44\pm 2 \\ - \\ 2\pm 1 \\ 1.6\pm 0.1 \\ 0.13\pm 0.0 \\ 0.01\pm 0.0 \\ - \\ - \\ - \\ 0.95\pm 0.04 \\ 2\pm 2 \end{array}$	EW _{3.45} [μm] 0.0564 - 0.0070 0.0380 0.0250 0.0043 - - - - 0.0090 0.0700	$\begin{array}{c} f_{3.4}/f_{3.3} \\ \hline f_{3.4}/f_{3.3} \\ \hline 0.16 \\ - \\ 1.0 \\ 0.44 \\ 0.15 \\ 0.275 \\ - \\ - \\ - \\ 0.19 \\ 0.0 \\ 0.0 \\ 0.0 \\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} f_{3.45}/f_{3.3} \\ \hline 0.12 \\ - \\ 0.09 \\ 0.18 \\ 0.21 \\ 0.1 \\ - \\ - \\ - \\ 0.11 \\ 0.16 \\ \end{array}$	$ au_{ice}$	$\begin{array}{c c} A_V \\ [mag] \\ \hline 2.6 \\ - \\ 3.1 \\ 0.8 \\ 1.3^* \\ 0.6 \\ - \\ - \\ - \\ - \\ 1.9 \\ 1.3^* \end{array}$
ID 4634 5149 6436 7423 7622 10512 11580 11984 13250 13453 14869 15448 16695 17019	$\begin{array}{c} f_{3.4} \left[10^{-16} \right] \\ \left[erg/cm^2/s \right] \\ 10\pm 1 \\ - \\ 2.9\pm 0.2 \\ 1.07\pm 0.09 \\ 0.135\pm 0.009 \\ 0.010\pm 0.006 \\ - \\ - \\ - \\ 0.62\pm 0.03 \\ 0.9\pm 0.9 \\ 3.0\pm 0.2 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} EW_{3,4} \\ [\mu m] \\ 0.0122 \\ - \\ 0.0096 \\ 0.0244 \\ 0.0248 \\ 0.0042 \\ - \\ - \\ - \\ 0.0056 \\ 0.0246 \\ 0.0258 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} f_{3.45} \ [10^{-16}] \\ \ [erg/cm^2/s] \\ 44\pm 2 \\ - \\ 2\pm 1 \\ 1.6\pm 0.1 \\ 0.13\pm 0.0 \\ 0.01\pm 0.0 \\ - \\ - \\ 0.05\pm 0.04 \\ 2\pm 2 \\ 6\pm 2 \end{array}$	EW _{3.45} [μm] 0.0564 - 0.0070 0.0380 0.0250 0.0043 - - 0.0090 0.0700 0.0700 0.0493	$\begin{array}{c} \hline f_{3.4}/f_{3.3} \\ \hline 0.16 \\ - \\ 1.0 \\ 0.44 \\ 0.15 \\ 0.275 \\ - \\ - \\ - \\ 0.19 \\ 0.0 \\ 0.77 \\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} \hline f_{3.45}/f_{3.3} \\ \hline 0.12 \\ - \\ 0.09 \\ 0.18 \\ 0.21 \\ 0.1 \\ - \\ - \\ - \\ 0.11 \\ 0.16 \\ 0.14 \\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} & & \\$	$\begin{array}{c c} A_V \\ [mag] \\ \hline 2.6 \\ - \\ 3.1 \\ 0.8 \\ 1.3^* \\ 0.6 \\ - \\ - \\ - \\ - \\ 1.9 \\ 1.3^* \\ 1.3^* \end{array}$
ID 4634 5149 6436 7423 7622 10512 11580 11984 13250 13453 14869 15448 16695 17019 17275	$\begin{array}{c} f_{3.4} \left[10^{-16} \right] \\ \left[erg/cm^2/s \right] \\ 10\pm 1 \\ - \\ 2.9\pm 0.2 \\ 1.07\pm 0.09 \\ 0.135\pm 0.009 \\ 0.010\pm 0.006 \\ - \\ - \\ - \\ 0.62\pm 0.03 \\ 0.9\pm 0.9 \\ 3.0\pm 0.2 \\ 0.30\pm 0.01 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} \hline EW_{3,4} \\ [\mu m] \\ 0.0122 \\ - \\ 0.0096 \\ 0.0244 \\ 0.0248 \\ 0.0042 \\ - \\ - \\ - \\ 0.0042 \\ - \\ - \\ 0.0056 \\ 0.0246 \\ 0.0258 \\ 0.0041 \\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} f_{3.45} \ [10^{-16}] \\ \ [erg/cm^2/s] \\ 44\pm 2 \\ - \\ 2\pm 1 \\ 1.6\pm 0.1 \\ 0.13\pm 0.0 \\ 0.01\pm 0.0 \\ - \\ - \\ 0.05\pm 0.04 \\ 2\pm 2 \\ 6\pm 2 \\ 1\pm 0.2 \end{array}$	EW _{3.45} [μm] 0.0564 - 0.0070 0.0380 0.0250 0.0043 - - 0.0090 0.0700 0.0090 0.0700 0.0493 0.0146	$\begin{array}{c} \hline f_{3.4}/f_{3.3} \\ \hline 0.16 \\ - \\ 1.0 \\ 0.44 \\ 0.15 \\ 0.275 \\ - \\ - \\ - \\ 0.19 \\ 0.0 \\ 0.77 \\ 0.29 \\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} & & \\ \hline f_{3,45}/f_{3,3} \\ \hline 0.12 \\ - \\ 0.09 \\ 0.18 \\ 0.21 \\ 0.1 \\ - \\ - \\ - \\ 0.11 \\ 0.16 \\ 0.14 \\ 0.06 \\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} & & \\$	$\begin{array}{c c} A_V \\ [mag] \\ \hline 2.6 \\ - \\ 3.1 \\ 0.8 \\ 1.3^* \\ 0.6 \\ - \\ - \\ - \\ - \\ 1.9 \\ 1.3^* \\ 1.3^* \\ 2.7 \end{array}$
ID 4634 5149 6436 7423 7622 10512 11580 11984 13250 13453 14869 15448 16695 17019 17275 17429	$\begin{array}{c} f_{3.4} \left[10^{-16} \right] \\ \left[erg/cm^2/s \right] \\ 10\pm 1 \\ - \\ 2.9\pm 0.2 \\ 1.07\pm 0.09 \\ 0.135\pm 0.009 \\ 0.010\pm 0.006 \\ - \\ - \\ - \\ 0.62\pm 0.03 \\ 0.9\pm 0.9 \\ 3.0\pm 0.2 \\ 0.30\pm 0.01 \\ - \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} EW_{3,4} \\ [\mu m] \\ 0.0122 \\ - \\ 0.0096 \\ 0.0244 \\ 0.0248 \\ 0.0042 \\ - \\ - \\ - \\ 0.0056 \\ 0.0246 \\ 0.0258 \\ 0.0041 \\ - \\ - \\ - \\ - \\ - \\ - \\ - \\ - \\ - \\ $	$\begin{array}{c} f_{3.45} \ [10^{-16}] \\ [erg/cm^2/s] \\ 44\pm 2 \\ - \\ 2\pm 1 \\ 1.6\pm 0.1 \\ 0.13\pm 0.0 \\ 0.01\pm 0.0 \\ 0.01\pm 0.0 \\ - \\ - \\ - \\ 0.95\pm 0.04 \\ 2\pm 2 \\ 6\pm 2 \\ 1\pm 0.2 \\ - \\ - \\ - \\ - \\ - \\ - \\ - \\ - \\ - \\ $	EW _{3.45} [μm] 0.0564 - 0.0070 0.0380 0.0250 0.0043 - - 0.0090 0.0700 0.0493 0.0146	$\begin{array}{c} \hline f_{3.4}/f_{3.3} \\ \hline 0.16 \\ - \\ 1.0 \\ 0.44 \\ 0.15 \\ 0.275 \\ - \\ - \\ - \\ 0.19 \\ 0.0 \\ 0.77 \\ 0.29 \\ - \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} & 0.12 \\ & - \\ & 0.09 \\ & 0.18 \\ & 0.21 \\ & 0.1 \\ & - \\ & - \\ & - \\ & - \\ & 0.11 \\ & 0.16 \\ & 0.14 \\ & 0.06 \\ & - \end{array}$	$ au_{ice}$	$\begin{array}{c c} A_V \\ [mag] \\ \hline 2.6 \\ \hline 3.1 \\ 0.8 \\ 1.3^* \\ 0.6 \\ \hline \\ - \\ - \\ 1.9 \\ 1.3^* \\ 1.3^* \\ 2.7 \\ \hline \end{array}$
ID 4634 5149 6436 7423 7622 10512 11580 11984 13250 13453 14869 15448 16695 17019 17275 17429 18066	$\begin{array}{c} f_{3.4} \left[10^{-16} \right] \\ \left[erg/cm^2/s \right] \\ 10\pm 1 \\ - \\ 2.9\pm 0.2 \\ 1.07\pm 0.09 \\ 0.135\pm 0.009 \\ 0.010\pm 0.006 \\ - \\ - \\ - \\ 0.62\pm 0.03 \\ 0.9\pm 0.9 \\ 3.0\pm 0.2 \\ 0.30\pm 0.01 \\ - \\ - \\ - \\ - \\ - \\ - \\ - \\ - \\ - \\ $	$\begin{array}{c} EW_{3,4} \\ [\mu m] \\ 0.0122 \\ - \\ 0.0096 \\ 0.0244 \\ 0.0248 \\ 0.0042 \\ - \\ - \\ - \\ 0.0042 \\ - \\ - \\ - \\ 0.0056 \\ 0.0246 \\ 0.0258 \\ 0.0041 \\ - \\ - \\ - \\ - \\ - \\ - \\ - \\ - \\ - \\ $	$\begin{array}{c} f_{3.45} \ [10^{-16}] \\ [erg/cm^2/s] \\ 44\pm 2 \\ - \\ 2\pm 1 \\ 1.6\pm 0.1 \\ 0.13\pm 0.0 \\ 0.01\pm 0.0 \\ 0.01\pm 0.0 \\ - \\ - \\ - \\ 0.95\pm 0.04 \\ 2\pm 2 \\ 6\pm 2 \\ 1\pm 0.2 \\ - \\ - \\ - \\ - \\ - \\ - \\ - \\ - \\ - \\ $	EW _{3.45} [μm] 0.0564 - 0.0070 0.0380 0.0250 0.0043 - - - - - - - 0.0090 0.0700 0.0700 0.0493 0.0146	$\begin{array}{c} f_{3.4}/f_{3.3} \\ \hline 0.16 \\ - \\ 1.0 \\ 0.44 \\ 0.15 \\ 0.275 \\ - \\ - \\ - \\ 0.19 \\ 0.0 \\ 0.77 \\ 0.29 \\ - \\ - \\ - \\ - \\ - \\ - \\ - \\ - \\ - \\ $	$\begin{array}{c} \hline f_{3,45}/f_{3,3} \\ \hline 0.12 \\ - \\ 0.09 \\ 0.18 \\ 0.21 \\ 0.1 \\ - \\ - \\ - \\ 0.11 \\ 0.16 \\ 0.14 \\ 0.06 \\ - \\ - \\ \end{array}$	$ au_{ice}$ 0.54 0.07 0.28 0.21 0.1 - - 0.17 0.46 0.27 0.21 - - - - - - - - -	$\begin{array}{c c} A_V \\ [mag] \\ \hline 2.6 \\ - \\ 3.1 \\ 0.8 \\ 1.3^* \\ 0.6 \\ - \\ - \\ - \\ 1.9 \\ 1.3^* \\ 1.3^* \\ 2.7 \\ - \\ - \\ - \\ - \\ - \\ - \\ - \\ - \\ - \\ $
ID 4634 5149 6436 7423 7622 10512 11580 11984 13250 13453 14869 15448 16695 17019 17275 17429 18066 20646	$\begin{array}{c} f_{3,4} \left[10^{-16} \right] \\ \left[erg/cm^2/s \right] \\ 10\pm 1 \\ - \\ 2.9\pm 0.2 \\ 1.07\pm 0.09 \\ 0.135\pm 0.009 \\ 0.010\pm 0.006 \\ - \\ - \\ - \\ 0.010\pm 0.006 \\ - \\ - \\ 0.02\pm 0.03 \\ 0.010\pm 0.01 \\ - \\ 0.27\pm 0.02 \\ \end{array}$	EW _{3.4} [μm] 0.0122 - 0.0096 0.0244 0.0248 0.0042 - - 0.0056 0.0246 0.0258 0.0041 - - 0.0052 -	$\begin{array}{c} f_{3.45} \ [10^{-16}] \\ [erg/cm^2/s] \\ 44\pm 2 \\ - \\ 2\pm 1 \\ 1.6\pm 0.1 \\ 0.13\pm 0.0 \\ 0.01\pm 0.0 \\ 0.01\pm 0.0 \\ - \\ - \\ - \\ 0.95\pm 0.04 \\ 2\pm 2 \\ 6\pm 2 \\ 1\pm 0.2 \\ - \\ 0.28\pm 0.02 \end{array}$	EW _{3.45} [μm] 0.0564 - 0.0070 0.0380 0.0250 0.0043 - - - - 0.0090 0.0700 0.0493 0.0146 - - 0.0054	$\begin{array}{c} \hline f_{3.4}/f_{3.3} \\ \hline 0.16 \\ - \\ 1.0 \\ 0.44 \\ 0.15 \\ 0.275 \\ - \\ - \\ 0.19 \\ 0.0 \\ 0.77 \\ 0.29 \\ - \\ 0.50 \\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} \hline f_{3.45}/f_{3.3} \\ \hline 0.12 \\ - \\ 0.09 \\ 0.18 \\ 0.21 \\ 0.1 \\ - \\ - \\ - \\ 0.11 \\ 0.16 \\ 0.14 \\ 0.06 \\ - \\ 0.12 \\ \end{array}$	$ au_{ice}$	$\begin{array}{c c} A_V \\ [mag] \\ \hline 2.6 \\ - \\ 3.1 \\ 0.8 \\ 1.3^* \\ 0.6 \\ - \\ - \\ - \\ 1.9 \\ 1.3^* \\ 1.3^* \\ 2.7 \\ - \\ 1.7 \end{array}$
ID 4634 5149 6436 7423 7622 10512 11580 11984 13250 13453 14869 15448 16695 17019 17275 17429 18066 20646 21206	$\begin{array}{c} f_{3.4} \left[10^{-16} \right] \\ \left[erg/cm^2/s \right] \\ 10\pm 1 \\ - \\ 2.9\pm 0.2 \\ 1.07\pm 0.09 \\ 0.135\pm 0.009 \\ 0.010\pm 0.006 \\ - \\ - \\ 0.010\pm 0.006 \\ - \\ - \\ 0.62\pm 0.03 \\ 0.9\pm 0.9 \\ 3.0\pm 0.2 \\ 0.30\pm 0.01 \\ - \\ 0.27\pm 0.02 \\ 0.12\pm 0.03 \\ \end{array}$	EW _{3.4} [µm] 0.0122 - 0.0096 0.0244 0.0248 0.0042 - - 0.0056 0.0246 0.0258 0.0041 - 0.0052 0.0095	$\begin{array}{c} f_{3.45} \ [10^{-16}] \\ [erg/cm^2/s] \\ 44\pm 2 \\ - \\ 2\pm 1 \\ 1.6\pm 0.1 \\ 0.13\pm 0.0 \\ 0.01\pm 0.0 \\ 0.01\pm 0.0 \\ - \\ - \\ 0.95\pm 0.04 \\ 2\pm 2 \\ 6\pm 2 \\ 1\pm 0.2 \\ - \\ 0.28\pm 0.02 \\ 0.15\pm 0.05 \end{array}$	EW _{3,45} [μm] 0.0564 - 0.0070 0.0380 0.0250 0.0043 - - 0.0090 0.0700 0.0493 0.0146 - - 0.0054 -	$\begin{array}{c} \hline f_{3.4}/f_{3.3} \\ \hline 0.16 \\ - \\ 1.0 \\ 0.44 \\ 0.15 \\ 0.275 \\ - \\ - \\ - \\ 0.19 \\ 0.0 \\ 0.77 \\ 0.29 \\ - \\ 0.50 \\ 0.25 \\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} \hline f_{3.45}/f_{3.3} \\ \hline 0.12 \\ - \\ 0.09 \\ 0.18 \\ 0.21 \\ 0.1 \\ - \\ - \\ - \\ 0.11 \\ 0.16 \\ 0.14 \\ 0.06 \\ - \\ 0.12 \\ 0.14 \\ 0.14 \\ \end{array}$	$ au_{ice}$	$\begin{array}{c c} A_V \\ [mag] \\ \hline 2.6 \\ - \\ 3.1 \\ 0.8 \\ 1.3^* \\ 0.6 \\ - \\ - \\ - \\ 1.9 \\ 1.3^* \\ 1.3^* \\ 2.7 \\ - \\ 1.7 \\ 0.8 \end{array}$
ID 4634 5149 6436 7423 7622 10512 11580 11984 13250 13453 14869 15448 16695 17019 17275 17429 18066 20646 21206 21319	$\begin{array}{c} f_{3.4} \left[10^{-16} \right] \\ \left[erg/cm^2/s \right] \\ 10\pm 1 \\ - \\ 2.9\pm 0.2 \\ 1.07\pm 0.09 \\ 0.135\pm 0.009 \\ 0.010\pm 0.006 \\ - \\ - \\ 0.010\pm 0.006 \\ - \\ - \\ 0.010\pm 0.006 \\ - \\ 0.010\pm 0.006 \\ - \\ - \\ 0.02\pm 0.03 \\ 0.30\pm 0.01 \\ - \\ 0.27\pm 0.02 \\ 0.12\pm 0.03 \\ 0.32\pm 0.05 \\ 0.32\pm 0.05 \\ - \\ - \\ - \\ - \\ - \\ - \\ - \\ - \\ - \\ $	$\begin{array}{c} EW_{3,4} \\ [\mu m] \\ 0.0122 \\ - \\ 0.0096 \\ 0.0244 \\ 0.0248 \\ 0.0042 \\ - \\ - \\ - \\ 0.0056 \\ 0.0246 \\ 0.0258 \\ 0.0041 \\ - \\ - \\ 0.0052 \\ 0.0095 \\ 0.0127 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} f_{3.45} \ [10^{-16}] \\ [erg/cm^2/s] \\ 44\pm 2 \\ - \\ 2\pm 1 \\ 1.6\pm 0.1 \\ 0.13\pm 0.0 \\ 0.01\pm 0.0 \\ 0.01\pm 0.0 \\ - \\ - \\ 0.95\pm 0.04 \\ 2\pm 2 \\ 6\pm 2 \\ 1\pm 0.2 \\ - \\ 0.28\pm 0.02 \\ 0.15\pm 0.05 \\ 1.2\pm 0.1 \\ \end{array}$	EW _{3.45} [μm] 0.0564 - 0.0070 0.0380 0.0250 0.0043 - - 0.0090 0.0700 0.0493 0.0146 - - 0.0054 -	$\begin{array}{c} \hline f_{3.4}/f_{3.3} \\ \hline 0.16 \\ - \\ 1.0 \\ 0.44 \\ 0.15 \\ 0.275 \\ - \\ - \\ - \\ 0.19 \\ 0.0 \\ 0.77 \\ 0.29 \\ - \\ 0.50 \\ 0.50 \\ 0.25 \\ 0.0 \\ 0.0 \\ 0.71 \\ 0.0 \\ 0.77 \\ 0.29 \\ - \\ 0.50 \\ 0.0 $	$\begin{array}{c} \hline f_{3.45}/f_{3.3} \\ \hline 0.12 \\ - \\ 0.09 \\ 0.18 \\ 0.21 \\ 0.1 \\ - \\ - \\ - \\ 0.11 \\ 0.16 \\ 0.14 \\ 0.06 \\ - \\ 0.12 \\ 0.14 \\ 0.$	$\begin{array}{c} \tau_{ice} \\ \hline 0.54 \\ - \\ 0.07 \\ 0.28 \\ 0.21 \\ 0.1 \\ - \\ - \\ - \\ 0.17 \\ 0.46 \\ 0.27 \\ 0.21 \\ - \\ 0.12 \\ 0.17 \\ 0.51 \\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c c} A_V \\ [mag] \\ \hline 2.6 \\ - \\ 3.1 \\ 0.8 \\ 1.3^* \\ 0.6 \\ - \\ - \\ - \\ 1.9 \\ 1.3^* \\ 1.3^* \\ 2.7 \\ - \\ 1.7 \\ 0.8 \\ 1.3^* \\ 1.3 \\ \end{array}$
ID 4634 5149 6436 7423 7622 10512 11580 11984 13250 13453 14869 15448 16695 17019 17275 17429 18066 20646 21319 21382	$\begin{array}{c} f_{3.4} \left[10^{-16} \right] \\ \left[erg/cm^2/s \right] \\ 10\pm 1 \\ - \\ 2.9\pm 0.2 \\ 1.07\pm 0.09 \\ 0.135\pm 0.009 \\ 0.010\pm 0.006 \\ - \\ - \\ 0.021\pm 0.03 \\ 0.32\pm 0.05 \\ 0.12\pm 0.05 \\ 0.05\pm 0.$	$\begin{array}{c} EW_{3,4} \\ [\mu m] \\ 0.0122 \\ - \\ 0.0096 \\ 0.0244 \\ 0.0248 \\ 0.0042 \\ - \\ - \\ - \\ 0.0056 \\ 0.0246 \\ 0.0258 \\ 0.0041 \\ - \\ 0.0052 \\ 0.0095 \\ 0.0127 \\ 0.0101 \\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} f_{3.45} [10^{-16}] \\ [erg/cm^2/s] \\ 44\pm 2 \\ - \\ 2\pm 1 \\ 1.6\pm 0.1 \\ 0.13\pm 0.0 \\ 0.01\pm 0.0 \\ 0.01\pm 0.0 \\ - \\ - \\ 0.05\pm 0.04 \\ 2\pm 2 \\ 6\pm 2 \\ 1\pm 0.2 \\ - \\ 0.28\pm 0.02 \\ 0.15\pm 0.05 \\ 1.2\pm 0.1 \\ 0.15\pm 0.01 \\ 0.15\pm$	EW _{3.45} [μm] 0.0564 - 0.0070 0.0380 0.0250 0.0043 - - 0.0090 0.0700 0.0493 0.0146 - - 0.0054 -	$\begin{array}{c} \hline f_{3.4}/f_{3.3} \\ \hline 0.16 \\ - \\ 1.0 \\ 0.44 \\ 0.15 \\ 0.275 \\ - \\ - \\ 0.19 \\ 0.0 \\ 0.77 \\ 0.29 \\ - \\ 0.50 \\ 0.25 \\ 0.50 \\ 0.25 \\ 0.00 \\ 0.2152 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} \hline f_{3.45}/f_{3.3} \\ \hline 0.12 \\ - \\ 0.09 \\ 0.18 \\ 0.21 \\ 0.1 \\ - \\ - \\ - \\ 0.11 \\ 0.16 \\ 0.14 \\ 0.06 \\ - \\ 0.12 \\ 0.14 \\ 0.14 \\ 0.17 \\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} \hline \tau_{ice} \\ \hline 0.54 \\ - \\ 0.07 \\ 0.28 \\ 0.21 \\ 0.1 \\ - \\ - \\ - \\ 0.17 \\ 0.46 \\ 0.27 \\ 0.21 \\ - \\ 0.12 \\ 0.17 \\ 0.51 \\ 0.51 \\ 0.22 \\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c c} A_V \\ [mag] \\ \hline 2.6 \\ - \\ 3.1 \\ 0.8 \\ 1.3^* \\ 0.6 \\ - \\ - \\ - \\ 1.9 \\ 1.3^* \\ 1.3^* \\ 2.7 \\ - \\ 1.7 \\ 0.8 \\ 1.3^* \\ 0.8 \\ 0$
ID 4634 5149 6436 7423 7622 10512 11580 11984 13250 13453 14869 15448 16695 17019 17275 17429 18066 20646 21206 21319 21382 21466	$\begin{array}{c} f_{3.4} \left[10^{-16} \right] \\ \left[erg/cm^2/s \right] \\ 10\pm 1 \\ - \\ 2.9\pm 0.2 \\ 1.07\pm 0.09 \\ 0.135\pm 0.009 \\ 0.010\pm 0.006 \\ - \\ - \\ - \\ 0.010\pm 0.006 \\ - \\ - \\ 0.010\pm 0.006 \\ - \\ - \\ 0.010\pm 0.006 \\ - \\ - \\ - \\ 0.010\pm 0.006 \\ - \\ - \\ - \\ 0.010\pm 0.006 \\ - \\ - \\ - \\ 0.010\pm 0.006 \\ - \\ - \\ - \\ - \\ - \\ 0.010\pm 0.006 \\ - \\ - \\ - \\ - \\ - \\ - \\ - \\ - \\ - \\ $	$\begin{array}{c} EW_{3,4} \\ [\mu m] \\ 0.0122 \\ - \\ 0.0096 \\ 0.0244 \\ 0.0248 \\ 0.0042 \\ - \\ - \\ - \\ 0.0056 \\ 0.0246 \\ 0.0258 \\ 0.0041 \\ - \\ 0.0052 \\ 0.0095 \\ 0.0127 \\ 0.0101 \\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} f_{3.45} \ [10^{-16}] \\ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ $	EW _{3.45} [μm] 0.0564 - 0.0070 0.0380 0.0250 0.0043 - - 0.0090 0.0700 0.0493 0.0146 - 0.0054 0.0121 0.0491 0.0141	$\begin{array}{c} & & \\ f_{3.4}/f_{3.3} \\ \hline & & \\ 0.16 \\ & - \\ 1.0 \\ 0.44 \\ 0.15 \\ 0.275 \\ & - \\ - \\ - \\ 0.275 \\ & - \\ - \\ 0.19 \\ 0.0 \\ 0.77 \\ 0.29 \\ & - \\ 0.50 \\ 0.25 \\ 0.0 \\ 0.3153 \\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} \hline f_{3.45}/f_{3.3} \\ \hline 0.12 \\ - \\ 0.09 \\ 0.18 \\ 0.21 \\ 0.1 \\ - \\ - \\ - \\ 0.11 \\ 0.16 \\ 0.14 \\ 0.06 \\ - \\ 0.12 \\ 0.14 \\ 0.14 \\ 0.14 \\ 0.17 \\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\$	$\begin{array}{c c} A_V \\ [mag] \\ \hline 2.6 \\ - \\ 3.1 \\ 0.8 \\ 1.3^* \\ 0.6 \\ - \\ - \\ - \\ - \\ - \\ - \\ 1.9 \\ 1.3^* \\ 1.3^* \\ 2.7 \\ - \\ 1.7 \\ 0.8 \\ 1.3^* \\ 0.8 \\ \end{array}$
ID 4634 5149 6436 7423 7622 10512 11580 11984 13250 13453 14869 15448 16695 17019 17275 17429 18066 20646 21206 21319 21382 21466	$\begin{array}{c} f_{3.4} \left[10^{-16} \right] \\ \left[erg/cm^2/s \right] \\ 10\pm 1 \\ - \\ 2.9\pm 0.2 \\ 1.07\pm 0.09 \\ 0.135\pm 0.009 \\ 0.010\pm 0.006 \\ - \\ - \\ - \\ 0.62\pm 0.03 \\ 0.9\pm 0.9 \\ 3.0\pm 0.2 \\ 0.30\pm 0.01 \\ - \\ 0.27\pm 0.02 \\ 0.12\pm 0.03 \\ 0.32\pm 0.05 \\ 0.13\pm 0.02 \\ - \\ - \\ - \\ - \\ - \\ - \\ - \\ - \\ - \\ $	$\begin{array}{c} EW_{3,4} \\ [\mu m] \\ 0.0122 \\ - \\ 0.0096 \\ 0.0244 \\ 0.0248 \\ 0.0042 \\ - \\ - \\ - \\ 0.0056 \\ 0.0246 \\ 0.0258 \\ 0.0041 \\ - \\ 0.0052 \\ 0.0095 \\ 0.0127 \\ 0.0101 \\ - \\ - \\ - \\ - \\ - \\ - \\ - \\ - \\ - \\ $	$\begin{array}{c} f_{3.45} \left[10^{-16} \right] \\ \left[erg/cm^2/s \right] \\ 44\pm 2 \\ - \\ 2\pm 1 \\ 1.6\pm 0.1 \\ 0.13\pm 0.0 \\ 0.01\pm 0.0 \\ - \\ - \\ 0.05\pm 0.04 \\ 2\pm 2 \\ 6\pm 2 \\ 1\pm 0.2 \\ - \\ 0.28\pm 0.02 \\ 0.15\pm 0.05 \\ 1.2\pm 0.1 \\ 0.17\pm 0.01 \\ - \\ - \\ - \\ - \\ - \\ - \\ - \\ - \\ - \\ $	EW _{3.45} [μm] 0.0564 - 0.0070 0.0380 0.0250 0.0043 - - 0.0090 0.0700 0.0493 0.0146 - - 0.0054 -	$\begin{array}{c} \hline f_{3.4}/f_{3.3} \\ \hline 0.16 \\ - \\ 1.0 \\ 0.44 \\ 0.15 \\ 0.275 \\ - \\ - \\ 0.275 \\ - \\ - \\ 0.275 \\ 0.275 \\ - \\ 0.19 \\ 0.0 \\ 0.77 \\ 0.29 \\ - \\ 0.50 \\ 0.25 \\ 0.0 \\ 0.3153 \\ - \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} \hline f_{3,45}/f_{3,3} \\ \hline 0.12 \\ - \\ 0.09 \\ 0.18 \\ 0.21 \\ 0.1 \\ - \\ - \\ 0.11 \\ 0.16 \\ 0.14 \\ 0.06 \\ - \\ 0.12 \\ 0.14 \\ 0.14 \\ 0.14 \\ 0.17 \\ - \\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} \tau_{ice} \\ \hline 0.54 \\ - \\ 0.07 \\ 0.28 \\ 0.21 \\ 0.1 \\ - \\ - \\ 0.17 \\ 0.46 \\ 0.27 \\ 0.21 \\ - \\ 0.12 \\ 0.17 \\ 0.51 \\ 0.22 \\ - \\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c c} A_V \\ [mag] \\ \hline 2.6 \\ - \\ 3.1 \\ 0.8 \\ 1.3^* \\ 0.6 \\ - \\ - \\ - \\ - \\ 1.9 \\ 1.3^* \\ 1.3^* \\ 2.7 \\ - \\ 1.7 \\ 0.8 \\ 1.3^* \\ 0.8 \\ - \\ \end{array}$
ID 4634 5149 6436 7423 7622 10512 11580 11984 13250 13453 14869 15448 16695 17019 17275 17429 18066 20646 21206 21319 21382 21466 22197	$\begin{array}{c} f_{3.4} \left[10^{-16} \right] \\ \left[erg/cm^2/s \right] \\ 10\pm 1 \\ - \\ 2.9\pm 0.2 \\ 1.07\pm 0.09 \\ 0.135\pm 0.009 \\ 0.010\pm 0.006 \\ - \\ - \\ - \\ 0.62\pm 0.03 \\ 0.9\pm 0.9 \\ 3.0\pm 0.2 \\ 0.30\pm 0.01 \\ - \\ 0.27\pm 0.02 \\ 0.12\pm 0.03 \\ 0.32\pm 0.05 \\ 0.13\pm 0.02 \\ - \\ - \\ - \\ - \\ - \\ - \\ - \\ - \\ - \\ $	$\begin{array}{c} EW_{3,4} \\ [\mu m] \\ 0.0122 \\ - \\ 0.0096 \\ 0.0244 \\ 0.0248 \\ 0.0042 \\ - \\ - \\ - \\ 0.0056 \\ 0.0246 \\ 0.0258 \\ 0.0041 \\ - \\ 0.0052 \\ 0.0095 \\ 0.0127 \\ 0.0101 \\ - \\ - \\ - \\ - \\ - \\ - \\ - \\ - \\ - \\ $	$\begin{array}{c} f_{3.45} \ [10^{-16}] \\ \ [erg/cm^2/s] \\ 44\pm 2 \\ - \\ 2\pm 1 \\ 1.6\pm 0.1 \\ 0.13\pm 0.0 \\ 0.01\pm 0.0 \\ 0.01\pm 0.0 \\ - \\ - \\ 0.95\pm 0.04 \\ 2\pm 2 \\ 6\pm 2 \\ 1\pm 0.2 \\ - \\ 0.28\pm 0.02 \\ 0.15\pm 0.05 \\ 1.2\pm 0.1 \\ 0.17\pm 0.01 \\ - \\ - \\ - \\ - \\ - \\ - \\ - \\ - \\ - \\ $	EW _{3.45} [μm] 0.0564 - 0.0070 0.0380 0.0250 0.0043 - - 0.0090 0.0700 0.0493 0.0146 - - 0.0054 -	$\begin{array}{c} f_{3.4}/f_{3.3} \\ \hline 0.16 \\ - \\ 1.0 \\ 0.44 \\ 0.15 \\ 0.275 \\ - \\ - \\ 0.19 \\ 0.0 \\ 0.77 \\ 0.29 \\ - \\ 0.50 \\ 0.25 \\ 0.0 \\ 0.3153 \\ - \\ - \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} \hline f_{3.45}/f_{3.3} \\ \hline 0.12 \\ - \\ 0.09 \\ 0.18 \\ 0.21 \\ 0.1 \\ - \\ - \\ - \\ 0.11 \\ 0.16 \\ 0.14 \\ 0.06 \\ - \\ 0.12 \\ 0.14 \\ 0.14 \\ 0.14 \\ 0.17 \\ - \\ - \\ - \\ - \\ - \\ - \\ - \\ - \\ - \\ $	$ au_{ice}$ 0.54 0.07 0.28 0.21 0.1 - 0.17 0.46 0.27 0.21 - 0.12 0.17 0.51 0.22 - - - - - - - - -	$\begin{array}{c c} A_V \\ [mag] \\ \hline 2.6 \\ - \\ 3.1 \\ 0.8 \\ 1.3^* \\ 0.6 \\ - \\ - \\ - \\ 1.9 \\ 1.3^* \\ 1.3^* \\ 2.7 \\ - \\ 1.7 \\ 0.8 \\ 1.3^* \\ 0.8 \\ - \\ - \\ \end{array}$
ID 4634 5149 6436 7423 7622 10512 11580 11984 13250 13453 14869 15448 16695 17019 17275 17429 18066 20646 21206 21319 21382 21466 22197 22207	$\begin{array}{c} f_{3.4} \ [10^{-16}] \\ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ $	EW _{3.4} [μm] 0.0122 - 0.0096 0.0244 0.0248 0.0042 - - 0.0056 0.0246 0.00258 0.0041 - - 0.0055 0.0025 0.0057 0.0127 0.0101 -	$\begin{array}{c} f_{3.45} \ [10^{-16}] \\ \ [erg/cm^2/s] \\ 44\pm 2 \\ - \\ 2\pm 1 \\ 1.6\pm 0.1 \\ 0.13\pm 0.0 \\ 0.01\pm 0.0 \\ 0.01\pm 0.0 \\ 0.05\pm 0.04 \\ 2\pm 2 \\ 6\pm 2 \\ 1\pm 0.2 \\ - \\ 0.28\pm 0.02 \\ 0.15\pm 0.05 \\ 1.2\pm 0.1 \\ 0.17\pm 0.01 \\ - \\ - \\ - \\ - \\ - \\ - \\ - \\ - \\ - \\ $	EW _{3,45} [μm] 0.0564 - 0.0070 0.0380 0.0250 0.0043 - - 0.0090 0.0700 0.0090 0.0700 0.0493 0.0146 - - 0.0054 - 0.0121 0.0491 0.0141 -	$\begin{array}{c} \hline f_{3.4}/f_{3.3} \\ \hline 0.16 \\ - \\ 1.0 \\ 0.44 \\ 0.15 \\ 0.275 \\ - \\ - \\ 0.19 \\ 0.0 \\ 0.77 \\ 0.29 \\ - \\ 0.50 \\ 0.25 \\ 0.0 \\ 0.3153 \\ - \\ - \\ - \\ - \\ - \\ - \\ - \\ - \\ - \\ $	$\begin{array}{c} \hline f_{3.45}/f_{3.3} \\ \hline 0.12 \\ - \\ 0.09 \\ 0.18 \\ 0.21 \\ 0.1 \\ - \\ - \\ - \\ 0.11 \\ 0.16 \\ 0.14 \\ 0.06 \\ - \\ 0.12 \\ 0.14 \\ 0.14 \\ 0.17 \\ - \\ - \\ - \\ - \\ - \\ - \\ - \\ - \\ - \\ $	$ au_{ice}$ 0.54 0.07 0.28 0.21 0.1 - - 0.17 0.46 0.27 0.21 - 0.12 0.17 0.51 0.22 - - - - - - - - -	$\begin{array}{c c} A_V \\ [mag] \\ \hline 2.6 \\ - \\ 3.1 \\ 0.8 \\ 1.3^* \\ 0.6 \\ - \\ - \\ - \\ 1.9 \\ 1.3^* \\ 1.3^* \\ 2.7 \\ - \\ 1.7 \\ 0.8 \\ 1.3^* \\ 0.8 \\ - \\ - \\ - \\ - \\ - \\ - \\ - \\ - \\ - \\ $
ID 4634 5149 6436 7423 7622 10512 11580 11984 13250 13453 14869 15448 16695 17019 17275 17429 18066 20646 21206 21319 21382 21466 22197 22207 22383	$\begin{array}{c} f_{3.4} \left[10^{-16} \right] \\ \left[erg/cm^2/s \right] \\ 10\pm 1 \\ - \\ 2.9\pm 0.2 \\ 1.07\pm 0.09 \\ 0.135\pm 0.009 \\ 0.010\pm 0.006 \\ - \\ - \\ - \\ 0.012\pm 0.006 \\ 0.9\pm 0.9 \\ 3.0\pm 0.2 \\ 0.30\pm 0.01 \\ - \\ 0.27\pm 0.02 \\ 0.12\pm 0.03 \\ 0.32\pm 0.05 \\ 0.13\pm 0.02 \\ - \\ - \\ 0.027\pm 0.005 \end{array}$	EW _{3,4} [μm] 0.0122 - 0.0096 0.0244 0.0248 0.0042 - - 0.0056 0.0246 0.0258 0.0041 - - 0.0052 0.0095 0.0127 0.0101 - - 0.0027 -	$\begin{array}{c} f_{3.45} \ [10^{-16}] \\ \ [erg/cm^2/s] \\ 44\pm 2 \\ & - \\ 2\pm 1 \\ 1.6\pm 0.1 \\ 0.13\pm 0.0 \\ 0.01\pm 0.0 \\ 0.01\pm 0.0 \\ & - \\ - \\ 0.95\pm 0.04 \\ 2\pm 2 \\ 6\pm 2 \\ 1\pm 0.2 \\ - \\ 0.95\pm 0.02 \\ 0.15\pm 0.05 \\ 1.2\pm 0.1 \\ 0.17\pm 0.01 \\ - \\ - \\ 0.05\pm 0.01 \end{array}$	EW _{3,45} [μm] 0.0564 - 0.0070 0.0380 0.0250 0.0043 - - 0.0090 0.0043 - - 0.0090 0.0700 0.0493 0.0146 - - 0.0054 0.0121 0.0491 0.0141 - - 0.00477 -	$\begin{array}{c} \hline f_{3.4}/f_{3.3} \\ \hline 0.16 \\ - \\ 1.0 \\ 0.44 \\ 0.15 \\ 0.275 \\ - \\ - \\ - \\ 0.19 \\ 0.0 \\ 0.77 \\ 0.29 \\ - \\ 0.50 \\ 0.25 \\ 0.0 \\ 0.3153 \\ - \\ - \\ 0.29 \\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} \hline f_{3.45}/f_{3.3} \\ \hline 0.12 \\ - \\ 0.09 \\ 0.18 \\ 0.21 \\ 0.1 \\ - \\ - \\ - \\ 0.11 \\ 0.16 \\ 0.14 \\ 0.06 \\ - \\ 0.12 \\ 0.14 \\ 0.16 \\ 0.14 \\ 0.17 \\ - \\ - \\ 0.17 \\ - \\ - \\ 0.07 \\ \end{array}$	$ au_{ice}$	$\begin{array}{c c} A_V \\ [mag] \\ \hline 2.6 \\ - \\ 3.1 \\ 0.8 \\ 1.3^* \\ 0.6 \\ - \\ - \\ - \\ 1.9 \\ 1.3^* \\ 1.3^* \\ 2.7 \\ - \\ 1.7 \\ 0.8 \\ 1.3^* \\ 0.8 \\ - \\ - \\ 1.3^* \\ 0.8 \\ - \\ - \\ 1.3^* \\ \end{array}$
ID 4634 5149 6436 7423 7622 10512 11580 11984 13250 13453 14869 15448 16695 17019 17275 17429 18066 20646 21206 21319 21382 21466 22197 22207 22383 23128	$\begin{array}{c} f_{3.4} \left[10^{-16} \right] \\ \left[erg/cm^2/s \right] \\ 10\pm 1 \\ - \\ 2.9\pm 0.2 \\ 1.07\pm 0.09 \\ 0.135\pm 0.009 \\ 0.010\pm 0.006 \\ - \\ - \\ 0.010\pm 0.006 \\ - \\ - \\ 0.010\pm 0.006 \\ - \\ - \\ 0.02\pm 0.03 \\ 0.9\pm 0.9 \\ 3.0\pm 0.2 \\ 0.30\pm 0.01 \\ - \\ 0.27\pm 0.02 \\ 0.12\pm 0.03 \\ 0.32\pm 0.05 \\ 0.13\pm 0.02 \\ - \\ - \\ 0.027\pm 0.005 \\ 0.3\pm 0.1 \\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} EW_{3,4} \\ [\mu m] \\ 0.0122 \\ - \\ 0.0096 \\ 0.0244 \\ 0.0248 \\ 0.0042 \\ - \\ - \\ - \\ 0.0056 \\ 0.0246 \\ 0.0258 \\ 0.0041 \\ - \\ 0.0052 \\ 0.0095 \\ 0.0095 \\ 0.0127 \\ 0.0101 \\ - \\ - \\ 0.0027 \\ 0.0027 \\ 0.0242 \\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} f_{3.45} \ [10^{-16}] \\ \ [erg/cm^2/s] \\ 44\pm 2 \\ - \\ 2\pm 1 \\ 1.6\pm 0.1 \\ 0.13\pm 0.0 \\ 0.01\pm 0.0 \\ 0.01\pm 0.0 \\ 0.05\pm 0.04 \\ 2\pm 2 \\ 6\pm 2 \\ 1\pm 0.2 \\ - \\ 0.28\pm 0.02 \\ 0.15\pm 0.05 \\ 1.2\pm 0.1 \\ 0.17\pm 0.01 \\ - \\ - \\ 0.05\pm 0.01 \\ - \\ - \\ - \\ 0.05\pm 0.01 \\ - \\ - \\ - \\ - \\ - \\ - \\ - \\ - \\ - \\ $	EW _{3,45} [μm] 0.0564 - 0.0070 0.0380 0.0250 0.0043 - - 0.0090 0.0700 0.0493 0.0146 - - 0.0054 - 0.0121 0.0491 0.0141 - - - 0.00477 -	$\begin{array}{c} \hline f_{3.4}/f_{3.3} \\ \hline 0.16 \\ - \\ 1.0 \\ 0.44 \\ 0.15 \\ 0.275 \\ - \\ - \\ - \\ 0.19 \\ 0.0 \\ 0.77 \\ 0.29 \\ - \\ 0.50 \\ 0.25 \\ 0.0 \\ 0.3153 \\ - \\ - \\ 0.29 \\ 0.0 \\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} \hline f_{3.45}/f_{3.3} \\ \hline 0.12 \\ - \\ 0.09 \\ 0.18 \\ 0.21 \\ 0.1 \\ - \\ - \\ - \\ 0.11 \\ 0.16 \\ 0.14 \\ 0.06 \\ - \\ 0.12 \\ 0.14 \\ 0.16 \\ 0.14 \\ 0.17 \\ - \\ - \\ 0.07 \\ 0.16 \\ \end{array}$	$ au_{ice}$	$\begin{array}{c c} A_V \\ [mag] \\ \hline 2.6 \\ - \\ 3.1 \\ 0.8 \\ 1.3^* \\ 0.6 \\ - \\ - \\ - \\ 1.9 \\ 1.3^* \\ 1.3^* \\ 2.7 \\ - \\ 1.7 \\ 0.8 \\ 1.3^* \\ 0.8 \\ - \\ - \\ 1.3^* \\ 1.3^* \\ 1.3^* \\ 1.3^* \\ 1.3^* \\ 1.3^* \\ 1.3^* \\ 1.3^* \\ 0.8 \\ - \\ - \\ 1.3^* \\ 1.3^* \\ 0.8 \\ - \\ - \\ 1.3^* \\ 1.3^* \\ 0.8 \\ - \\ - \\ 1.3^* \\ 1.3^* \\ 0.8 \\ - \\ - \\ 1.3^* \\ 1.3^* \\ 0.8 \\ - \\ - \\ - \\ 1.3^* \\ 0.8 \\ - \\ - \\ - \\ 1.3^* \\ 0.8 \\ - \\ - \\ - \\ 1.3^* \\ 0.8 \\ - \\ - \\ - \\ - \\ 1.3^* \\ 0.8 \\ - \\ - \\ - \\ - \\ - \\ - \\ - \\ - \\ - \\ $
ID 4634 5149 6436 7423 7622 10512 11580 11984 13250 13453 14869 15448 16695 17019 17275 17429 18066 20646 21206 21319 21382 21466 22197 22207 22383 23128 23903	$\begin{array}{c} f_{3.4} \left[10^{-16} \right] \\ \left[erg/cm^2/s \right] \\ 10\pm 1 \\ - \\ 2.9\pm 0.2 \\ 1.07\pm 0.09 \\ 0.135\pm 0.009 \\ 0.010\pm 0.006 \\ - \\ - \\ 0.010\pm 0.006 \\ - \\ - \\ 0.010\pm 0.006 \\ - \\ - \\ 0.021\pm 0.03 \\ 0.9\pm 0.9 \\ 3.0\pm 0.2 \\ 0.30\pm 0.01 \\ - \\ 0.27\pm 0.02 \\ 0.12\pm 0.03 \\ 0.32\pm 0.05 \\ 0.13\pm 0.02 \\ - \\ - \\ 0.027\pm 0.005 \\ 0.3\pm 0.1 \\ 0.82\pm 0.04 \\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} EW_{3,4} \\ [\mu m] \\ 0.0122 \\ - \\ 0.0096 \\ 0.0244 \\ 0.0248 \\ 0.0042 \\ - \\ - \\ - \\ 0.0056 \\ 0.0246 \\ 0.0258 \\ 0.0041 \\ - \\ 0.0052 \\ 0.0095 \\ 0.0041 \\ - \\ - \\ 0.0052 \\ 0.0095 \\ 0.0127 \\ 0.0101 \\ - \\ - \\ - \\ 0.0027 \\ 0.0242 \\ 0.0044 \\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} f_{3.45} \ [10^{-16}] \\ \ [erg/cm^2/s] \\ 44\pm 2 \\ - \\ 2\pm 1 \\ 1.6\pm 0.1 \\ 0.13\pm 0.0 \\ 0.01\pm 0.0 \\ 0.01\pm 0.0 \\ - \\ - \\ 0.05\pm 0.04 \\ 2\pm 2 \\ 6\pm 2 \\ 1\pm 0.2 \\ - \\ 0.28\pm 0.02 \\ 0.15\pm 0.05 \\ 1.2\pm 0.1 \\ 0.17\pm 0.01 \\ - \\ - \\ 0.05\pm 0.01 \\ - \\ - \\ 0.05\pm 0.08 \end{array}$	EW _{3.45} [μm] 0.0564 - 0.0070 0.0380 0.0250 0.0043 - - 0.0090 0.0700 0.0493 0.0146 - 0.0054 0.0121 0.0491 0.0141 - 0.00477 - 0.0084	$\begin{array}{c} \hline f_{3.4}/f_{3.3} \\ \hline 0.16 \\ - \\ 1.0 \\ 0.44 \\ 0.15 \\ 0.275 \\ - \\ - \\ - \\ 0.19 \\ 0.0 \\ 0.77 \\ 0.29 \\ - \\ 0.50 \\ 0.25 \\ 0.0 \\ 0.3153 \\ - \\ - \\ 0.29 \\ 0.0 \\ 0.3153 \\ - \\ - \\ 0.29 \\ 0.0 \\ 0.39 \\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} \hline f_{3.45}/f_{3.3} \\ \hline 0.12 \\ - \\ 0.09 \\ 0.18 \\ 0.21 \\ 0.1 \\ - \\ - \\ - \\ 0.11 \\ 0.16 \\ 0.14 \\ 0.06 \\ - \\ 0.12 \\ 0.14 \\ 0.16 \\ 0.14 \\ 0.17 \\ - \\ 0.12 \\ 0.14 \\ 0.16 \\ 0.07 \\ 0.16 \\ 0.07 \\ 0.16 \\ 0.07 \\ \end{array}$	$ au_{ice}$	$\begin{array}{c c} A_V \\ [mag] \\ \hline 2.6 \\ - \\ 3.1 \\ 0.8 \\ 1.3^* \\ 0.6 \\ - \\ - \\ - \\ 1.9 \\ 1.3^* \\ 1.3^* \\ 2.7 \\ - \\ 1.7 \\ 0.8 \\ 1.3^* \\ 0.8 \\ - \\ - \\ 1.3^* \\ 1.3^* \\ 1.3^* \\ 1.9 \\ \end{array}$
ID 4634 5149 6436 7423 7622 10512 11580 11984 13250 13453 14869 15448 16695 17019 17275 17429 18066 20646 21319 21382 21466 22197 22207 22383 23128 23903 24012	$\begin{array}{c} f_{3.4} \left[10^{-16} \right] \\ \left[erg/cm^2/s \right] \\ 10\pm 1 \\ - \\ 2.9\pm 0.2 \\ 1.07\pm 0.09 \\ 0.135\pm 0.009 \\ 0.010\pm 0.006 \\ - \\ - \\ - \\ 0.010\pm 0.006 \\ - \\ - \\ 0.010\pm 0.006 \\ - \\ - \\ 0.010\pm 0.006 \\ - \\ - \\ 0.027\pm 0.005 \\ 0.13\pm 0.02 \\ - \\ 0.027\pm 0.005 \\ 0.13\pm 0.02 \\ - \\ - \\ 0.027\pm 0.005 \\ 0.3\pm 0.1 \\ 0.82\pm 0.04 \\ 0.16\pm 0.06 \\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} EW_{3,4} \\ [\mu m] \\ 0.0122 \\ - \\ 0.0096 \\ 0.0244 \\ 0.0248 \\ 0.0042 \\ - \\ - \\ - \\ 0.0056 \\ 0.0246 \\ 0.0258 \\ 0.0041 \\ - \\ 0.0052 \\ 0.0095 \\ 0.0127 \\ 0.0095 \\ 0.0127 \\ 0.0101 \\ - \\ - \\ 0.0027 \\ 0.0242 \\ 0.0044 \\ 0.011 \\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} f_{3.45} \ [10^{-16}] \\ \ [erg/cm^2/s] \\ 44\pm 2 \\ - \\ 2\pm 1 \\ 1.6\pm 0.1 \\ 0.13\pm 0.0 \\ 0.01\pm 0.0 \\ 0.01\pm 0.0 \\ - \\ - \\ 0.05\pm 0.04 \\ 2\pm 2 \\ 6\pm 2 \\ 1\pm 0.2 \\ - \\ 0.28\pm 0.02 \\ 0.15\pm 0.05 \\ 1.2\pm 0.1 \\ 0.17\pm 0.01 \\ - \\ 0.05\pm 0.01 \\ - \\ - \\ 0.05\pm 0.03 \\ - \\ 0.26\pm 0.03 \\ - \\ - \\ 0.26\pm 0.03 \\ - \\ - \\ - \\ - \\ - \\ - \\ - \\ - \\ - \\ $	EW _{3,45} [μm] 0.0564 - 0.0070 0.0380 0.0250 0.0043 - - 0.0090 0.0700 0.0493 0.0146 - - 0.0054 - 0.00493 0.0146 - - 0.0054 0.0121 0.0491 0.0141 - - 0.00477 - 0.0084 0.0192	$\begin{array}{c} \hline f_{3.4}/f_{3.3} \\ \hline 0.16 \\ - \\ 1.0 \\ 0.44 \\ 0.15 \\ 0.275 \\ - \\ - \\ - \\ 0.19 \\ 0.0 \\ 0.77 \\ 0.29 \\ - \\ 0.50 \\ 0.25 \\ 0.0 \\ 0.3153 \\ - \\ - \\ 0.29 \\ 0.0 \\ 0.3153 \\ - \\ - \\ 0.29 \\ 0.0 \\ 0.39 \\ 0.0 \\ 0.39 \\ 0.0 \\$	$\begin{array}{c} \hline f_{3.45}/f_{3.3} \\ \hline 0.12 \\ - \\ 0.09 \\ 0.18 \\ 0.21 \\ 0.1 \\ - \\ - \\ - \\ 0.11 \\ 0.16 \\ 0.14 \\ 0.06 \\ - \\ 0.12 \\ 0.14 \\ 0.16 \\ 0.14 \\ 0.17 \\ - \\ 0.12 \\ 0.14 \\ 0.17 \\ - \\ 0.07 \\ 0.16 \\ 0.07 \\ 0.12 \\ \end{array}$	$ au_{ice}$	$\begin{array}{c c} A_V \\ [mag] \\ \hline 2.6 \\ - \\ 3.1 \\ 0.8 \\ 1.3^* \\ 0.6 \\ - \\ - \\ - \\ - \\ 1.9 \\ 1.3^* \\ 1.3^* \\ 2.7 \\ - \\ 1.7 \\ 0.8 \\ 1.3^* \\ 0.8 \\ 1.3^* \\ 0.8 \\ - \\ - \\ 1.3^* \\ 1$

Table A.1. Spectral properties of the sample. ID and coordinates are from Paris et al. (2023). Spectroscopic redshfits are from Vulcani et al. (2023) and from this work. Env is the environment in which galaxies are located (cl = cluster, fi = field). Dust corrected fluxes and equivalent widths are computed as described in the text. A_V is measured from the $H\alpha/P\alpha\alpha$ decrement and using the Cardelli et al. (1989) law. Asterisks indicate that the reported A_V is a mean value, due to the lack of one of the two lines.