

Hierarchical Three-Body Problem at High Eccentricities = Simple Pendulum

III: Precessing Quadrupole

Ygal Y. Klein^{Ⓛ*} and Boaz Katz^{Ⓛ†}

Dept. of Particle Phys. & Astrophys., Weizmann Institute of Science, Rehovot 76100, Israel

Accepted XXX. Received YYY; in original form ZZZ

ABSTRACT

The very long-term evolution of the hierarchical restricted three-body problem with a slightly aligned precessing quadrupole potential is investigated analytically and solved for both rotating and librating Kozai-Lidov cycles (KLCs) with high eccentricities. We describe the finding of a striking similarity between librating and rotating KLCs for some range of precession rates. We show that the main effect occurs in both categories when the KLC frequency is equal to the precession rate of the perturbing potential. We solve the resonant dynamics analytically and show that it is equivalent to a simple pendulum model allowing us to map the strikingly rich structures that arise for precession rates similar to the Kozai-Lidov timescale (ratio of a few) and explain the similarity and when it vanishes. Additionally, we show that the regular KLCs at high eccentricities can also be described using a simple pendulum.

Key words: gravitation-celestial mechanics-planets and satellites: dynamical evolution and stability-stars: multiple: close

1 INTRODUCTION

In this Letter we extend and simplify the analytical study and solution for the long-term evolution of a test particle in a Keplerian orbit perturbed by a slightly aligned precessing quadrupole potential presented for librating Kozai-Lidov Cycles (KLCs) in Klein & Katz (2023, 2024a). The system under consideration involves a test particle orbiting a central mass M on a Keplerian orbit with semimajor axis a that is perturbed by a precessing external quadrupole potential in the (time dependent) direction

$$\mathbf{j}_{\text{outer}} = (\sin \alpha \cos(\beta\tau), -\sin \alpha \sin(\beta\tau), \cos \alpha) \quad (1)$$

which has a constant inclination α with respect to the z -axis and a constant normalized precession rate β where time τ is measured in secular units (same as Klein & Katz (2023, 2024a)).

The scenario of a constant rate precessing quadrupole potential acting on a Keplerian orbit of a test particle is of importance since it is equivalent (under some restricting assumptions) to higher multiplicity systems (Hamers & Lai 2017; Petrovich & Antonini 2017) which lead the Keplerian orbit to high eccentricities from a wide range of initial conditions (Pejcha et al. 2013; Hamers et al. 2015; Hamers 2017; Petrovich & Antonini 2017; Fang et al. 2018; Grishin et al. 2017; Liu & Lai 2018a; Safarzadeh et al. 2019; Hamers & Safarzadeh 2020; Bub & Petrovich 2020; Grishin & Perets 2022). High eccentricity is a necessary ingredient in proposed formation channels of a variety of astrophysical phenomena (Naoz et al. 2011; Katz et al. 2011; Fabrycky & Tremaine 2007; Muñoz & Petrovich 2020; O'Connor et al. 2020; Stephan et al. 2021; Thompson 2011; Katz

& Dong 2012; Antonini & Perets 2012; Liu & Lai 2018b; Melchor et al. 2023; Naoz et al. 2012; Teyssandier et al. 2013; Petrovich 2015; Stephan et al. 2016; Liu & Lai 2018b; Angelo et al. 2022; Melchor et al. 2023).

In Klein & Katz (2023, 2024a) this problem was solved analytically for librating KLCs (a solution that can be refined and implemented to the rotating KLCs as well). In two previous papers (Klein & Katz (2024b), hereafter referred to as *Paper I*, and Klein & Katz (2024c), hereafter referred to as *Paper II*), we have shown that in the high eccentricity regime, The Eccentric Kozai Lidov (EKL) (Katz et al. 2011; Naoz et al. 2011; Lithwick & Naoz 2011; Naoz et al. 2013) with and without Brown's Hamiltonian (Brown 1936a,b,c; Soderhjelm 1975; Čuk & Burns 2004; Breiter & Vokrouhlický 2015; Luo et al. 2016; Will 2021; Tremaine 2023) can be described using a simple pendulum model allowing a derivation of an explicit flip criterion.

In this Letter, we simplify the approach of Klein & Katz (2023, 2024a) to analytically solve the effect of the precession of an outer quadrupole potential for precession rates in the vicinity of the frequency of the KLCs. We show that this resonant combined effect of proximity of frequencies between the KLCs and the perturbing potential can be described also by a simple pendulum. We also show that regular KLCs at high eccentricity are described using a simple pendulum allowing an analytical derivation of the frequencies for comparison with the precession rate of the perturbing potential. Following the approach of *Paper I* and *Paper II* we use the analytic expressions of the regular KLC simple pendulum model to construct the analytic solution when the potential precesses. We compare the analytic solution to the numerical results of the double averaged equations. We explore a large phase space of initial conditions but we restrict our analysis to $\alpha \ll 1$.

* E-mail: ygalklein@gmail.com (YK)

† E-mail: boaz.katz@weizmann.ac.il (BK)

2 EQUATIONS OF MOTION

The long-term dynamics of the test particle can be parameterized by two dimensionless orthogonal vectors $\mathbf{j} = \mathbf{J}/\sqrt{GMa}$, where \mathbf{J} is the specific angular momentum vector, and \mathbf{e} a vector pointing in the direction of the pericenter with magnitude e . In the secular approximation, where the equations are averaged over the orbit, a is constant with time while \mathbf{j} and \mathbf{e} evolve (after double averaging) according to (same as Equations 3 in [Klein & Katz \(2024a\)](#))

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{d\mathbf{j}}{d\tau} &= \frac{3}{4} \left((\mathbf{j} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{j}}_{\text{outer}}) \mathbf{j} - 5 (\mathbf{e} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{j}}_{\text{outer}}) \mathbf{e} \right) \times \hat{\mathbf{j}}_{\text{outer}} \\ \frac{d\mathbf{e}}{d\tau} &= \frac{3}{2} (\mathbf{j} \times \mathbf{e}) - \frac{3}{4} \left(5 (\mathbf{e} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{j}}_{\text{outer}}) \mathbf{j} - (\mathbf{j} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{j}}_{\text{outer}}) \mathbf{e} \right) \times \hat{\mathbf{j}}_{\text{outer}}.\end{aligned}\quad (2)$$

3 PURE KLCs

When the perturbing potential is not precessing the periodic Kozai-Lidov cycles (KLCs) solved analytically by [Kozai \(1962\)](#); [Lidov \(1962\)](#) are obtained (for a recent review see [Naoz \(2016\)](#))¹. In that case, the external potential is constant in time and axis-symmetric - thus having a constant of motion $\mathbf{j} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{j}}_{\text{outer}} = j_z$. A second constant emerges from the double averaged potential and $\mathbf{j} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{j}}_{\text{outer}}$,

$$C_K \equiv e^2 - \frac{5}{2} (\mathbf{e} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{j}}_{\text{outer}})^2 = e^2 - \frac{5}{2} e_z^2. \quad (3)$$

The sign of the constant C_K parts the phase space to two classes aligned with whether the argument of pericenter of the Keplerian orbit librates around $\frac{\pi}{2}$ or $-\frac{\pi}{2}$ (*librating* cycles) when $C_K < 0$, or if it goes through all values $[0, 2\pi]$ when $C_K > 0$ (*rotating* cycles).

We are interested in the occurrence of high eccentricities so we focus on the range of $|j_z| \ll 1$.

3.1 KLC Frequency at $j_z = 0$

In the case of $j_z = 0$ the vector \mathbf{j} oscillates back and forth on a straight line in the x - y plane crossing the origin, so e achieves a maximal value twice in this oscillation. At this limit there is no rotation of this line so there is no longitudinal precession. Therefore, in this limit, the relevant KLC frequency is the frequency of this oscillation with a period double that of the oscillation of e . In this case we can align the y -axis on this straight line where the vector \mathbf{j} oscillates so at all times $j_x = j_z = 0$. Since $\mathbf{e} \cdot \mathbf{j} = 0$ we have the vector \mathbf{e} inside the x - z plane, i.e. $e_y = 0$ and $C_K = e_x^2 - \frac{3}{2} e_z^2$. At this coordinate system, $\hat{\mathbf{j}}_{\text{outer}}$ has an initial phase in the x - y plane, Ω_{outer}^0 .

As detailed in appendix A - for regular KLCs at $j_z = 0$ the equations of motion are equivalent to a simple pendulum with a velocity $\propto e_x$ and libration or rotation of the pendulum corresponds to librating or rotating KLCs. The frequency of the pendulum is defined by

$$\omega_0 = \frac{2\pi}{T} \quad (4)$$

¹ See recent historical overview including earlier relevant work by [von Zeipel \(1910\)](#) in [Ito & Ohtsuka \(2019\)](#).

with T a function of C_K alone through Equations A10 and A13

$$\begin{aligned}T &= \frac{8}{3} \sqrt{\frac{2}{2C_K + 3}} K(x) & C_K > 0 \\ T &= \frac{8}{3} \sqrt{\frac{2}{3(1 - C_K)}} K\left(\frac{1}{x}\right) & C_K < 0,\end{aligned}\quad (5)$$

with

$$x = 3 \frac{1 - C_K}{3 + 2C_K} \quad (6)$$

and $K(m)$ the complete elliptic function of the first kind. These expressions for T are consistent with numerically integrating Equation 27 of [Antognini \(2015\)](#) at $j_z = 0$ (Note that the period in [Antognini \(2015\)](#) is for the eccentricity e and thus is half the period of the oscillation of \mathbf{j} on the straight line). Since the behavior of the KLC is different depending on the sign of C_K we restrict our analysis to numerical results such that C_K did not cross zero during the evolution.

4 RESONATING FREQUENCIES

The quadrupole potential in the problem we solve is precessing at a constant angular frequency β . As presented in [Klein & Katz \(2023\)](#), the prominent influence of the precession is obtained when there is a resonance between the frequency of the precession of the perturbing potential and the relevant frequency of the KLC oscillations, ω_0 (Equations 4-6). An example of the frequencies correspondences in shown in Figure 1. In the top panel, the function ω_0 (Equations 4-6) is plotted versus C_K . A black dashed vertical line is shown for a value of $\beta = 1.8$ and red dashed horizontal lines mark the values of C_K where $\omega_0 = \beta$ and resonance is expected ($C_K \approx -0.38$ and $C_K \approx 0.42$). In the bottom panel, the amplitude of change in j_z throughout the numerical integration of Equations 1-2 ($\Delta j_z = j_z^{\text{max}} - j_z^{\text{min}}$, up to $\tau = 300$) versus C_K^0 is shown for $\alpha = 1^\circ$, $\beta = 1.8$ and randomly selected initial conditions with $j_z^0 = 0$ (uniformly distributed in e_x, j_y, e_z) using black crosses. The red dashed horizontal lines are the same as in the top panel, i.e. at the expected values of C_K to obtain the most significant effect of the precessing perturbation.

5 AVERAGED EQUATIONS

In the problem we solve, the perturbing potential is precessing and j_z and C_K are no longer constants but rather slowly evolve. The slow change of j_z and C_K at the vicinity of $|j_z| \ll 1$ follows Equations 1-2 which at the coordinate system where $j_x = e_y = j_z = 0$ and $C_K = e_x^2 - \frac{3}{2} e_z^2$, up to first order in α become

$$\begin{aligned}\dot{j}_z &= -\alpha \frac{15}{4} e_z e_x \sin\left(\Omega_{\text{outer}}^0 - \beta\tau\right) \\ \dot{C}_K &= -\alpha \frac{45}{2} j_y \left(\frac{1}{3} e_x^2 + \frac{1}{2} e_z^2\right) \cos\left(\Omega_{\text{outer}}^0 - \beta\tau\right).\end{aligned}\quad (7)$$

At the vicinity of C_K values where $\omega_0(C_K) \approx \beta$ - since C_K is slowly changing, the phase difference between the oscillatory KLC phenomena and the constant precession of the perturbing potential

$$\phi = (\omega_0 - \beta) \tau + \Omega_{\text{outer}}^0 \quad (8)$$

is also slowly changing. We next average the equations of the slow variables over KLCs with $j_z = 0$ assuming ϕ is constant during a KLC. Since at each half of a KLC cycle j_y oscillates symmetrically




Figure 1. Top panel: ω_0 (Equations 4-6) vs. C_K . A vertical black line denotes the value $\beta = 1.8$ and red dashed horizontal lines denote the values $C_K \approx -0.38$ and $C_K \approx 0.42$ where $\omega_0 = \beta = 1.8$ (through Equations 4-6). Bottom panel: Δj_z vs. C_K^0 from numerical integration (up to $\tau = 300$) of Equations 1-2 with $\alpha = 1^\circ$ and $\beta = 1.8$ for randomly selected initial conditions with $j_z^0 = 0$ (uniformly distributed in e_x, j_y, e_z). The red dashed horizontal lines are the same as the top panel.

from zero to j^{\max} (or j^{\min}) but the cos term oscillates symmetrically around zero we obtain

$$\left\langle j_y \left(\frac{1}{3} e_x^2 + \frac{1}{2} e_z^2 \right) \cos \omega_0 \tau \right\rangle = 0. \quad (9)$$

Similarly, since at each half of a KLC $e_z e_x$ oscillates symmetrically around zero (e_z for a rotating KLC and e_x for a librating KLC) we obtain

$$\langle e_z e_x \sin \omega_0 \tau \rangle = 0. \quad (10)$$

All in all we have

$$\begin{aligned} \langle j_z \rangle &= -\alpha \frac{15}{4} \langle f_{j_z} \rangle \sin \phi \\ \langle \dot{C}_K \rangle &= -\alpha \frac{45}{2} \langle f_C \rangle \sin \phi. \end{aligned} \quad (11)$$




Figure 2. $|\langle f_C \rangle|$ (solid blue) and $|\langle f_{j_z} \rangle|$ (dashed black) as function of C_K (see Equations 12).

with

$$\begin{aligned} f_{j_z} &= e_z e_x \cos \omega_0 \tau \\ f_C &= j_y \left(\frac{1}{3} e_x^2 + \frac{1}{2} e_z^2 \right) \sin \omega_0 \tau. \end{aligned} \quad (12)$$

The averages $\langle f_{j_z} \rangle, \langle f_C \rangle$ are made over KLCs at $j_z = 0$ and are independent of β so can be calculated numerically as functions of C_K alone. The sign of the resulting average is the same as the sign of $e_z e_x$ of the initial conditions. The absolute value of the averages are plotted in Figure 2.

6 SIMPLE PENDULUM

The evolution of ϕ (Equation 8) is obtained as follows. Denote the following variable (with $\dot{\omega}_0/\omega_0 \ll 1$)

$$\delta = \dot{\phi} = \omega_0 - \beta \quad (13)$$

with its time derivative

$$\dot{\delta} = \frac{d\omega_0}{dC_K} \langle \dot{C}_K \rangle = -\alpha \frac{45}{2} \langle f_C \rangle \frac{d\omega_0}{dC_K} \sin \phi. \quad (14)$$

with $d\omega_0/dC_K$ given analytically by (through Equation 6): for $C_K > 0$

$$\frac{d\omega_0}{dC_K} = \pi \frac{3}{8} \frac{1}{K^2(x)} \sqrt{\frac{2}{3+2C_K}} \left(K(x) + \frac{15}{3+2C_K} \frac{E(x) - (1-x)K(x)}{2(1-x)x} \right) \quad (15)$$

and for $C_K < 0$

$$\frac{d\omega_0}{dC_K} = -\pi \frac{9}{8} \frac{1}{K^2\left(\frac{1}{x}\right)} \sqrt{\frac{2}{3(1-C_K)}} \left(\frac{\frac{1}{2}K\left(\frac{1}{x}\right)}{+ \frac{5}{3(1-C_K)} \frac{E\left(\frac{1}{x}\right) - \left(1-\frac{1}{x}\right)K\left(\frac{1}{x}\right)}{2\left(1-\frac{1}{x}\right)\frac{1}{x}}} \right) \quad (16)$$

with $E(m)$ the complete elliptic function of the second kind.

Since $\alpha \ll 1$ and our focus is on cases where C_K keeps its sign, i.e. C_K does not change much with respect to C_K^0 . Similar to Paper I and Paper II we use that fact to evaluate the different functions of C_K at C_K^0 making them all constant values so Equations 13-14 become

those of a simple pendulum with angle ϕ for $\langle f_C \rangle \frac{d\omega_0}{dC_K} > 0$ and $\phi + \pi$ otherwise where as δ is the velocity of the pendulum. Using Equations 11 and 14 the following holds

$$\dot{\delta} = 6 \frac{\langle f_C \rangle}{\langle f_{j_z} \rangle} \frac{d\omega_0}{dC_K} \langle j_z \rangle \quad (17)$$

so up to a constant (depending on initial conditions j_z^0, C_K^0) the velocity of the pendulum determines the evolution of $\langle j_z \rangle$.

6.1 Precession of \mathbf{e} for rotating KLCs

For rotating KLCs, slow precession of the vector \mathbf{e} in the x - y plane when j_z does deviate from zero can be comparable to the effect of the precession of the perturbing potential and therefore should be taken into account. This precession induces a slight change in the coordinate system we use assuming the vector \mathbf{e} is not precessing in the x - y plane (which is relevant when j_z is strictly zero). This correction can be incorporated through ϕ and δ . Using the variables i_e and Ω_e as in Katz et al. (2011) the eccentricity vector \mathbf{e} is given by

$$\mathbf{e} = e (\sin i_e \cos \Omega_e, \sin i_e \sin \Omega_e, \cos i_e) \quad (18)$$

and the slow evolution of Ω_e (the slow precession of \mathbf{e} in the x - y plane) up to the leading order in j_z is given by (Katz et al. 2011)

$$\dot{\Omega}_e = \langle f_\Omega \rangle j_z \quad (19)$$

where (using Equation 6)

$$\langle f_\Omega \rangle = \frac{6E(x) - 3K(x)}{4K(x)}. \quad (20)$$

Noting that in the coordinate system we use (where \mathbf{e} is not precessing in the x - y plane and is aligned in it on the x axis), $\Omega_e = 0$, so Equation 8 is equivalent to defining

$$\phi = (\omega_0 - \beta) \tau + \left(\Omega_{\text{jouter}}^0 - \Omega_e \right) \quad (21)$$

leading to

$$\delta = \dot{\phi} = \omega_0 - \beta - \dot{\Omega}_e \quad (22)$$

and using Equation 19 the equations of ϕ and δ retain the simple pendulum structure with approximating $\langle f_\Omega \rangle, \langle f_C \rangle, \langle f_{j_z} \rangle, \frac{d\omega_0}{dC_K}$ as constants evaluated at C_K^0

$$\phi = \delta$$

$$\dot{\delta} = -\alpha \left(1 - \langle f_\Omega \rangle \langle f_{j_z} \rangle \frac{1}{6} \frac{1}{\langle f_C \rangle} \frac{1}{\frac{d\omega_0}{dC_K}} \right) \frac{45}{2} \langle f_C \rangle \frac{d\omega_0}{dC_K} \sin \phi. \quad (23)$$

Using Equation 11 the connection between δ and j_z is also slightly corrected with

$$\delta = \left(1 - \langle f_\Omega \rangle \langle f_{j_z} \rangle \frac{1}{6} \frac{1}{\langle f_C \rangle} \frac{1}{\frac{d\omega_0}{dC_K}} \right) 6 \frac{\langle f_C \rangle}{\langle f_{j_z} \rangle} \frac{d\omega_0}{dC_K} \langle j_z \rangle \quad (24)$$

Two examples of a numerical integration of Equations 2 (for rotating and librating KLCs) compared with the solution of Equations 13-14 (top panel) with the approximation of constant $\langle f_C \rangle$, and using Equation 17 with constant $\langle f_j \rangle, \langle f_C \rangle$ (all evaluated at C_K^0) to obtain j_z is shown in Figure 3 (top panel) and Equations 23 with Equation 24 (bottom panel). As can be seen - for the examples shown - the long term evolution of j_z is successfully approximated by equations of a simple pendulum.




Figure 3. Results of a numeric integration of the double averaged equations (blue) (Equations 2) along with the result of a simple pendulum (red, Equations 13-14, 17 in the top panel and Equations 23, 24, bottom panel). Presented are two examples, librating KLC (top panel) and rotating KLC (bottom panel). The values of the initial conditions are shown above each plot. Shown is j_z as a function of (normalized) time. The two green horizontal lines are the maximum and minimum values of j_z of the simple pendulum.

7 MAXIMAL DEVIATION OF J_Z FROM $J_Z^0 = 0$

The maximal and minimal values of j_z obtained in 1000 instances with $j_z^0 = j_x^0 = e_y^0 = 0$ and randomly chosen initial conditions (uniformly distributed in j_y, e_z and Ω_{jouter}^0) are evaluated both numerically through simulating Equations 1-2 and analytically with the simple pendulum models. Since the analytic model is a simple pendulum model, obtaining δ^{\max} and δ^{\min} is straightforward. j_z^{\max} and j_z^{\min} are obtained through the connection between the velocity of the pendulum, δ , and j_z using Equations 13-17 for librating KLCs (with $C_K^0 < 0$) and Equations 23-24 for rotating KLCs (with $C_K^0 > 0$).

7.1 α dependence

In Figure 4, we plot Δj_z versus C_K^0 for $\beta = 1.8$ and various logarithmically spaced values of α . Note that the scale of the y-axis varies across the panels. From the analysis of Figure 4, we observe the following significant features of the pendulum model: (a) As mentioned in section 4 the location of the high amplitude of change in j_z in the C_K^0 phase space corresponds to the value of C_K^0 where $\omega_0 = \beta$. As can be seen, this location is naturally reconstructed in the simple pen-




Figure 4. Amplitude of the change in j_z vs. C_K^0 for precession rate of $\beta = 1.8$ and different values of α (written explicitly inside each subplot). Shown are initial conditions with $j_z^0 = j_x^0 = e_y^0 = 0$ and randomly chosen values from a uniformly distributed j_y, e_z and Ω_{outer}^0 . Shown are results from a full numerical solution of Equations 1-2 using black crosses. Red circles denote the prediction of the pendulum model using Equations 13-17 for librating KLCs (with $C_K^0 < 0$) and Equations 23-24 for rotating KLCs (with $C_K^0 > 0$). Note the different y-axis scale in each subplot.

dulum model. (b) The amplitude of the effect on Δj_z is successfully approximated by the analytic solution. (c) As α increases the width of C_K^0 parameters for which Δj_z becomes significant increases. This broadening is quantitatively captured by the simple pendulum model. (d) In the vicinity of the increased Δj_z , as this region widens with increasing α , a negative slope of the maximal Δj_z with respect to C_K^0 emerges. For librating KLCs ($C_K^0 < 0$), this trend is successfully reconstructed by the simple pendulum model. However, for rotating KLCs ($C_K^0 > 0$), while the model accurately approximates the maximal value, it does not reproduce the negative slope.

7.2 β dependence

Regarding the precession rate of the perturbing potential, as mentioned in section 5, the simple pendulum analysis is centered on precession rates near resonance. Specifically, it focuses on β values for which there exists a significant portion of the C_K^0 phase space satisfying $\omega_0(C_K) \approx \beta$ with C_K maintaining a consistent sign during evolution. The critical β values at the edges of the C_K^0 phase space are defined as:

$$\beta_0 = \omega_0(C_K = -1.5) = \sqrt{\frac{135}{16}} \approx 2.905 \quad (25)$$

as per Klein & Katz (2023, 2024a) and

$$\beta_1 = \omega_0(C_K = 1) = \sqrt{\frac{45}{8}} \approx 2.37. \quad (26)$$

From Figure 2 it is evident that the averages $\langle f_{j_z} \rangle, \langle f_C \rangle$ equal zero at $C_K = -1.5$ and $C_K = 1$. Consequently, the relationship between δ and j_z (as described by Equations 17 and 24) diverges, and the




Figure 5. Amplitude of the change in j_z vs. C_K^0 for inclination of the perturbing potential of $\alpha = 1^\circ$ and different values of β (written explicitly inside each subplot). Shown are initial conditions with $j_z^0 = j_x^0 = e_y^0 = 0$ and randomly chosen values from a uniformly distributed j_y, e_z and Ω_{outer}^0 . Shown are results from a full numerical solution of Equations 1-2 using black crosses. Red circles denote the prediction of the pendulum model using Equations 13-17 for librating KLCs (with $C_K^0 < 0$) and Equations 23-24 for rotating KLCs (with $C_K^0 > 0$). Note the different y-axis scale in each subplot.

prediction of the model to the amplitude of change in j_z cannot be fully realized. In Figure 5 Δj_z is plotted against C_K^0 for $\alpha = 1^\circ$ and various values of β with differing y-axis scales across panels. The analysis of Figure 5 reveals the following: (a) As mentioned in section 4, as β varies, the location of the high amplitude of change in j_z within the C_K^0 phase space aligns with the C_K^0 value where $\omega_0 = \beta$. This dependence is successfully reproduced by the simple pendulum model for β values that achieve resonance. (b) As mentioned above, as the value of C_K^0 for which $\omega_0 = \beta$ approaches the edges of C_K phase space (i.e. -1.5 and 1) the prediction of the model diverges. This behavior is visible, for instance, in the panel of $\beta = \beta_1$ at $C_K^0 \sim 1$ and for $\beta = 2.7$ and $\beta = \beta_0$ at the vicinity of $C_K^0 = -1.5$. (c) For $\beta = \beta_1$, the resonance for rotating KLCs is obtained at $C_K^0 = 1$, i.e. at the edge, but for librating KLCs there is still a portion of phase space where resonance is obtained and the structure of the numerical results is approximately obtained by the model. (d) Panels for $\beta = 2.7$ and $\beta = \beta_0$ show localized abrupt increases in the numerical results for Δj_z , arising from higher-order resonances at C_K^0 values where $\omega_0 = \frac{1}{2}\beta$. While such abrupt increases were noted for librating KLCs in Klein & Katz (2024a), their connection to second-order resonance ($\omega_0 = \frac{1}{2}\beta$) was not explicitly mentioned. For rotating KLCs, no first-order resonance occurs (no C_K^0 value satisfy $\omega_0 = \beta$), but the overall trend is still captured.

8 DISCUSSION

In this study, we extended the approach presented in Paper I and Paper II and analytically study the effect of a constant rate precess-

ing quadrupole potential on high eccentricity Kozai-Lidov Cycles (KLCs). We demonstrated that for precession rates where a first-order resonance occurs, i.e., where there can be a correspondence between the frequency of the KLC and the precession of the perturbing potential, the long-term dynamics can be described using a simple pendulum model. In this model, the angle of the pendulum represents the phase difference between the KLC frequency and the precession of the perturbing potential. This approach allows for the prediction of the amplitude of change in j_z , as it is connected to the velocity of the pendulum. Additionally, we have shown that KLCs with $j_z = 0$ are also described by a simple pendulum model (see Appendix A).

The analytic development presented in this Letter assumes a close proximity between the precession rate of the perturbing potential and the frequency of the (unperturbed) KLC, as well as the condition that C_K retains its sign throughout the evolution. Under these assumptions, as illustrated in the top panel of Figure 4, the applicability is limited to a specific range of precession rates. As discussed in Klein & Katz (2023, 2024a), the problem of a precessing quadrupole potential has been analytically studied with a more advanced solution that provides successful approximate predictions for the long-term evolution over a slightly broader range of precession rates. The significance of the solution presented in this Letter lies in its simplicity, as it utilizes a straightforward pendulum model. This simplicity enhances the analytic understanding of the system, providing a more intuitive and deeper insight into the dynamics compared to the more complex models.

Consider, for example, a hierarchical triple system with an inner binary of two m-dwarf stars of $m_1 = m_2 = 0.5M_\odot$ on a circular orbit with semi major axis $a_{12} \sim 10\text{AU}$ which is orbited by a $m_3 = 1M_\odot$ tertiary star on a keplerian orbit with semi major axis of $a_{\text{outer}} = 500\text{AU}$ with inclination of $i \sim 20^\circ$. If the tertiary star is orbited by a planet on a $a = 5\text{AU}$ orbit, the orbit of the planet is perturbed by a precessing quadrupole potential with $\alpha \sim 1^\circ$ and $\beta \sim 2$. In the high eccentricity regime of the planetary motion, this system can be analytically investigated using the simple pendulum model.

DATA AVAILABILITY

The codes used in this article will be shared on reasonable request.

REFERENCES

- Angelo I., Naoz S., Petigura E., MacDougall M., Stephan A. P., Isaacson H., Howard A. W., 2022, *The Astronomical Journal*, 163, 227
- Antonini J. M. O., 2015, *Monthly Notices of the Royal Astronomical Society*, 452, 3610
- Antonini F., Perets H. B., 2012, *ApJ*, 757, 27
- Breiter S., Vokrouhlický D., 2015, *Monthly Notices of the Royal Astronomical Society*, 449, 1691
- Brown E. W., 1936a, *Monthly Notices of the Royal Astronomical Society*, 97, 56
- Brown E. W., 1936b, *Monthly Notices of the Royal Astronomical Society*, 97, 62
- Brown E. W., 1936c, *Monthly Notices of the Royal Astronomical Society*, 97, 116
- Bub M. W., Petrovich C., 2020, *ApJ*, 894, 15
- Fabrycky D., Tremaine S., 2007, *The Astrophysical Journal*, 669, 1298
- Fang X., Thompson T. A., Hirata C. M., 2018, *Monthly Notices of the Royal Astronomical Society*, 476, 4234
- Grishin E., Perets H. B., 2022, *Monthly Notices of the Royal Astronomical Society*, 512, 4993

- Grishin E., Lai D., Perets H. B., 2017, *Monthly Notices of the Royal Astronomical Society*, 474, 3547
- Hamers A. S., 2017, *Monthly Notices of the Royal Astronomical Society*, 466, 4107
- Hamers A. S., Lai D., 2017, *Monthly Notices of the Royal Astronomical Society*, 470, 1657
- Hamers A. S., Safarzadeh M., 2020, *The Astrophysical Journal*, 898, 99
- Hamers A. S., Perets H. B., Antonini F., Portegies Zwart S. F., 2015, *Monthly Notices of the Royal Astronomical Society*, 449, 4221
- Ito T., Ohtsuka K., 2019, *Monographs on Environment, Earth and Planets*, 7, 1
- Katz B., Dong S., 2012, *arXiv e-prints*, p. arXiv:1211.4584
- Katz B., Dong S., Malhotra R., 2011, *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 107, 181101
- Klein Y. Y., Katz B., 2023, *The Astrophysical Journal Letters*, 953, L10
- Klein Y. Y., Katz B., 2024a, *The Astronomical Journal*, 167, 80
- Klein Y. Y., Katz B., 2024b, *Monthly Notices of the Royal Astronomical Society: Letters*, 535, L26
- Klein Y. Y., Katz B., 2024c, *Monthly Notices of the Royal Astronomical Society: Letters*, 535, L31
- Kozai Y., 1962, *The Astronomical Journal*, 67, 591
- Lidov M., 1962, *Planetary and Space Science*, 9, 719
- Lithwick Y., Naoz S., 2011, *The Astrophysical Journal*, 742, 94
- Liu B., Lai D., 2018a, *Monthly Notices of the Royal Astronomical Society*, 483, 4060
- Liu B., Lai D., 2018b, *The Astrophysical Journal*, 863, 68
- Luo L., Katz B., Dong S., 2016, *Monthly Notices of the Royal Astronomical Society*, 458, 3060
- Melchor D., Mockler B., Naoz S., Rose S. C., Ramirez-Ruiz E., 2023, *The Astrophysical Journal*, 960, 39
- Muñoz D. J., Petrovich C., 2020, *The Astrophysical Journal Letters*, 904, L3
- Naoz S., 2016, *Annual Review of Astronomy and Astrophysics*, 54, 441
- Naoz S., Farr W. M., Lithwick Y., Rasio F. A., Teyssandier J., 2011, *Nature*, 473, 187
- Naoz S., Farr W. M., Rasio F. A., 2012, *The Astrophysical Journal Letters*, 754, L36
- Naoz S., Farr W. M., Lithwick Y., Rasio F. A., Teyssandier J., 2013, *Monthly Notices of the Royal Astronomical Society*, 431, 2155
- O'Connor C. E., Liu B., Lai D., 2020, *Monthly Notices of the Royal Astronomical Society*, 501, 507
- Pejcha O., Antonini J. M., Shappee B. J., Thompson T. A., 2013, *Monthly Notices of the Royal Astronomical Society*, 435, 943
- Petrovich C., 2015, *The Astrophysical Journal*, 799, 27
- Petrovich C., Antonini F., 2017, *ApJ*, 846, 146
- Safarzadeh M., Hamers A. S., Loeb A., Berger E., 2019, *The Astrophysical Journal Letters*, 888, L3
- Soderhjelm S., 1975, *A&A*, 42, 229
- Stephan A. P., Naoz S., Ghez A. M., Witzel G., Sitarski B. N., Do T., Kocsis B., 2016, *Monthly Notices of the Royal Astronomical Society*, 460, 3494
- Stephan A. P., Naoz S., Gaudi B. S., 2021, *ApJ*, 922, 4
- Teyssandier J., Naoz S., Lizarraga I., Rasio F. A., 2013, *The Astrophysical Journal*, 779, 166
- Thompson T. A., 2011, *The Astrophysical Journal*, 741, 82
- Tremaine S., 2023, *Monthly Notices of the Royal Astronomical Society*, 522, 937
- Will C. M., 2021, *Phys. Rev. D*, 103, 063003
- von Zeipel H., 1910, *Astronomische Nachrichten*, 183, 345
- Čuk M., Burns J. A., 2004, *The Astronomical Journal*, 128, 2518

APPENDIX A: PURE KLC AT $J_z = 0$ IS A SIMPLE PENDULUM

For regular KLCs (i.e, when the perturbing potential is not precessing), with the constant $j_z = 0$, and using the coordinate system where $j_x = j_z = e_y = 0$, Equations 2 become

$$\frac{de_x}{d\tau} = -\frac{9}{4}j_y e_z$$

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{dj_y}{d\tau} &= +\frac{15}{4}e_x e_z \\ \frac{de_z}{d\tau} &= -\frac{3}{2}j_y e_x.\end{aligned}\quad (\text{A1})$$

Define the variables x, y, z through

$$\begin{aligned}e_x &= \sqrt{\frac{2}{3}\frac{4}{15}}x \\ j_y &= \sqrt{\frac{2}{3}\frac{4}{9}}y \\ e_z &= \sqrt{\frac{4}{9}\frac{4}{15}}z\end{aligned}\quad (\text{A2})$$

leading to the following equations of motion

$$\begin{aligned}\dot{x} &= -yz \\ \dot{y} &= xz \\ \dot{z} &= -xy,\end{aligned}\quad (\text{A3})$$

having two constants

$$\begin{aligned}C_x &= x^2 + y^2 \\ C_z &= z^2 + y^2,\end{aligned}\quad (\text{A4})$$

where the constant C_K (Equation 3)

$$C_K = \frac{8}{45}(C_x - C_z) = \frac{8}{45}(x^2 - z^2) \quad (\text{A5})$$

so

$$\begin{aligned}C_x &= \frac{9}{8}(2C_K + 3) \\ C_z &= \frac{9}{8}3(1 - C_K).\end{aligned}\quad (\text{A6})$$

The equations A3 are equations of a simple pendulum through the following correspondence: Consider a simple pendulum with angle θ , i.e. $\dot{\theta} \propto \sin \theta$. Using the following change of variables:

$$\begin{aligned}x &= \frac{\dot{\theta}}{2} \\ z &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{L}} \cos\left(\frac{\theta}{2}\right) \\ y &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{L}} \sin\left(\frac{\theta}{2}\right)\end{aligned}\quad (\text{A7})$$

with L constant, these variables behave dynamically with the structure of Equations A3 - so KLCs at $j_z = 0$ is a simple pendulum with a velocity $\dot{\theta} \propto e_x$. If the pendulum is rotating, $\dot{\theta}$ does not change its sign and $e_x \neq 0$ and since θ can have any value between 0 and 2π , e_z can cross zero, i.e. $C_K > 0$ so rotation of the pendulum is a rotation of the KLC. Alternatively, if the pendulum is librating, its velocity changes sign so e_x can cross zero, i.e. $C_K < 0$ and libration of the pendulum is a librating KLC. Using the correspondence to a simple pendulum we obtain the period of the KLC:

A1 rotation, $C_z < C_x, x \neq 0$

Since z can cross zero we have $C_z = y_{\max}^2$. Assign the following change of parameters:

$$\begin{aligned}y &= y_{\max} \sin u \\ z &= y_{\max} \cos u\end{aligned}\quad (\text{A8})$$

leading to

$$\dot{u} = x = \sqrt{C_x} \sqrt{1 - \frac{y_{\max}^2}{C_x} \sin^2 u} \quad (\text{A9})$$

so the period of the pendulum is

$$T = 4 \int_0^{\frac{\pi}{2}} \frac{du}{\dot{u}} = \frac{4}{\sqrt{C_x}} K\left(\frac{C_z}{C_x}\right) \quad (\text{A10})$$

with $K(m)$ the complete elliptic function of the first kind.

A2 libration, $C_z > C_x, z \neq 0$

Since x can cross zero we have $C_x = y_{\max}^2$. Assign the following change of parameters:

$$\begin{aligned}y &= y_{\max} \sin u \\ x &= y_{\max} \cos u\end{aligned}\quad (\text{A11})$$

leading to

$$\dot{u} = z = \sqrt{C_z} \sqrt{1 - \frac{y_{\max}^2}{C_z} \sin^2 u} \quad (\text{A12})$$

so the period of the pendulum is

$$T = 4 \int_0^{\frac{\pi}{2}} \frac{du}{\dot{u}} = \frac{4}{\sqrt{C_z}} K\left(\frac{C_x}{C_z}\right). \quad (\text{A13})$$

This paper has been typeset from a \LaTeX file prepared by the author.