

# Mapping properties of the Fourier transform in spaces with dominating mixed smoothness

Hans Triebel

Institut für Mathematik  
Friedrich–Schiller–Universität Jena  
07737 Jena, Germany  
email: hans.triebel@uni-jena.de

## Abstract

This paper deals with continuous and compact mappings of the Fourier transform in function spaces with dominating mixed smoothness.

**Keywords:** Fourier transform, function spaces, dominating mixed smoothness

**2020 MSC:** 46E35

## 1 Introduction and main assertions

Let  $\mathbb{N}$  be the set of all natural numbers and  $\mathbb{N}_0 = \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$ . Let  $\mathbb{R}^n$  be the Euclidean  $n$ -space, where  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Put  $\mathbb{R} = \mathbb{R}^1$ , whereas  $\mathbb{C}$  is the complex plane. Let  $L_p(\mathbb{R}^n)$  with  $0 < p \leq \infty$ , be the standard quasi-Banach space with respect to the Lebesgue measure in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , quasi-normed by

$$\|f\|_{L_p(\mathbb{R}^n)} = \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |f(x)|^p dx \right)^{1/p} \quad (1.1)$$

with the natural modification if  $p = \infty$ . As usual,  $\mathbb{Z}$  is the set of all integers and  $\mathbb{Z}^n$  where  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , denotes the lattice of all points  $m = (m_1, \dots, m_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n$  with  $m_j \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Let  $\mathbb{N}_0^n$ , where  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , be the set of all multi-indices,

$$\alpha = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n) \quad \text{with } \alpha_j \in \mathbb{N}_0 \text{ and } |\alpha| = \sum_{j=1}^n \alpha_j. \quad (1.2)$$

Let as usual  $\partial_j = \partial/\partial x_j$ ,  $\partial_j^m = \partial^m/\partial x_j^m$ ,  $m \in \mathbb{N}_0$ ,  $(\partial_j^0 f = f)$  and  $D^\alpha = \partial_1^{\alpha_1} \dots \partial_n^{\alpha_n}$ ,  $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^n$ .

Let  $1 < p < \infty$  and  $r \in \mathbb{N}_0$ . Then  $S_p^r W(\mathbb{R}^n)$  are the classical Sobolev spaces with dominating mixed smoothness which can be equivalently normed by

$$\|f\|_{S_p^r W(\mathbb{R}^n)} = \sum_{\substack{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^n, \\ 0 \leq \alpha_j \leq r}} \|D^\alpha f\|_{L_p(\mathbb{R}^n)} \sim \sum_{\substack{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^n, \\ \alpha_j \in \{0, r\}}} \|D^\alpha f\|_{L_p(\mathbb{R}^n)}. \quad (1.3)$$

They were introduced by S.M. Nikol'skij in [7, 8, 9]. One may also consult the relevant parts of [10] (first edition 1969) and [1]. These spaces, being the dominating mixed counterpart of the classical isotropic Sobolev spaces  $W_p^r(\mathbb{R}^n)$ ,  $r \in \mathbb{N}_0$ ,  $1 < p < \infty$ , have been generalized and modified in many directions. The systematic Fourier-analytical approach of several versions of spaces with dominating mixed smoothness of type  $S_{p,q}^r A(\mathbb{R}^n)$ ,  $A \in \{B, F\}$ ,  $r \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $0 < p, q \leq \infty$  ( $p < \infty$  for  $F$ -spaces), being the dominating mixed counterpart of the related isotropic spaces  $A_{p,q}^r(\mathbb{R}^n)$ , goes back to H.-J. Schmeisser, [11, 12] (his habilitation) and can also be found in [14, Chapter 2]. One may also consult [14, Section 2.1, pp. 80/81] for further historical comments and references of the early history of these spaces. The next decisive step goes back to J. Vybíral, [23] (his PhD-theses) including atomic and wavelet representations of spaces with dominating mixed smoothness. We returned in [19] to this topic dealing with Haar bases and Faber bases in spaces with dominating mixed smoothness applied to numerical integration and discrepancy (number-theoretical assertions about the distribution of points, especially in cubes in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ ). This has been complemented in [20], covering pointwise multipliers and corresponding spaces in arbitrary domains in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . What follows might be considered as a further step in the theory of spaces with dominating mixed smoothness, concentrating on mapping properties of the Fourier transform in suitable spaces. This is the dominating mixed counterpart of related assertions for isotropic spaces obtained quite recently in [22, 2] and the forthcoming book [3] which we describe now briefly.

Let  $B_p^s(\mathbb{R}^n) = B_{p,p}^s(\mathbb{R}^n)$  with  $s \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $0 < p, q \leq \infty$  be the well-known special isotropic Besov spaces (recalled below in Definition 2.1 and Remark 2.2). Let  $S(\mathbb{R}^n)$  be the usual Schwartz space and  $S'(\mathbb{R}^n)$  be its dual, the space of tempered distributions in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . If  $\varphi \in S(\mathbb{R}^n)$  then

$$\widehat{\varphi}(\xi) = (F\varphi)(\xi) = (2\pi)^{-n/2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{-ix\xi} \varphi(x) dx, \quad \xi \in \mathbb{R}^n, \quad (1.4)$$

denotes the the Fourier transform of  $\varphi$ , whereas  $F^{-1}\varphi$  and  $\varphi^\vee$  stand for the inverse Fourier transform given by the right-hand side of (1.4) with  $i$  in

place of  $-i$ . Here  $x\xi$  denotes the scalar product in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . Both  $F$  and  $F^{-1}$  are extended to  $S'(\mathbb{R}^n)$  in the standard way. The mapping properties of the Fourier transform in the isotropic spaces  $B_p^s(\mathbb{R}^n)$  which we have in mind can be summarized as follows.

(i) *Let  $1 \leq p \leq 2$ . Then there is a continuous mapping*

$$F : B_p^{2n(\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{2})+s_1}(\mathbb{R}^n) \hookrightarrow B_p^{-s_2}(\mathbb{R}^n) \quad (1.5)$$

*if, and only if, both  $s_1 \geq 0$  and  $s_2 \geq 0$ . This mapping is compact if, and only if, both  $s_1 > 0$  and  $s_2 > 0$ .*

(ii) *Let  $2 \leq p \leq \infty$ . Then there is a continuous mapping*

$$F : B_p^{s_1}(\mathbb{R}^n) \hookrightarrow B_p^{2n(\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{2})-s_2}(\mathbb{R}^n) \quad (1.6)$$

*if, and only if, both  $s_1 \geq 0$  and  $s_2 \geq 0$ . This mapping is compact if, and only if, both  $s_1 > 0$  and  $s_2 > 0$ .*

This coincides with [3, Theorem 2.12] based on [2], improving preceding assertions in [22]. It is the main aim of the present paper to prove the following counterpart of these assertions for the special Besov spaces  $S_p^r B(\mathbb{R}^n)$ ,  $1 \leq p \leq \infty$ ,  $r \in \mathbb{R}$ , with dominating mixed smoothness as defined below in Definition 2.3 and Remark 2.4.

**Theorem.** *Let  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Let  $r_1 \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $r_2 \in \mathbb{R}$ .*

(i) *Let  $1 \leq p \leq 2$ . Then there is a continuous mapping*

$$F : S_p^{\frac{2}{p}-1+r_1} B(\mathbb{R}^n) \hookrightarrow S_p^{-r_2} B(\mathbb{R}^n) \quad (1.7)$$

*if, and only if, both  $r_1 \geq 0$  and  $r_2 \geq 0$ . This mapping is compact if, and only if, both  $r_1 > 0$  and  $r_2 > 0$ .*

(ii) *Let  $2 \leq p \leq \infty$ . Then there is a continuous mapping*

$$F : S_p^{r_1} B(\mathbb{R}^n) \hookrightarrow S_p^{\frac{2}{p}-1-r_2} B(\mathbb{R}^n) \quad (1.8)$$

*if, and only if, both  $r_1 \geq 0$  and  $r_2 \geq 0$ . This mapping is compact if, and only if, both  $r_1 > 0$  and  $r_2 > 0$ .*

In Section 2 we collect further definitions and some prerequisites. The proof of the above Theorem is shifted to Section 3.

## 2 Definitions and prerequisites

Some basic notation were already introduced in Section 1. This applies in particular to the Fourier transform and its inverse in the space of tempered distributions  $S'(\mathbb{R}^n)$  in the Euclidean  $n$ -space  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . We fix our use of  $\sim$  (equivalence) as already used in (1.3). Let  $I$  be an arbitrary index set. Then

$$a_i \sim b_i \quad \text{for } i \in I \quad (\text{equivalence}) \quad (2.1)$$

for two sets of positive numbers  $\{a_i : i \in I\}$  and  $\{b_i : i \in I\}$  means that there are two positive numbers  $c_1$  and  $c_2$  such that

$$c_1 a_i \leq b_i \leq c_2 a_i \quad \text{for all } i \in I. \quad (2.2)$$

Next we recall the definition of the isotropic function spaces  $A_{p,q}^s(\mathbb{R}^n)$ ,  $A \in \{B, F\}$ , in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  and their dominating mixed counterparts  $S_{p,q}^r A(\mathbb{R}^n)$ .

Let  $\varphi_0 \in S(\mathbb{R}^n)$  with

$$\varphi_0(x) = 1 \text{ if } |x| \leq 1 \quad \text{and} \quad \varphi_0(x) = 0 \text{ if } |x| \geq 3/2, \quad (2.3)$$

and let

$$\varphi_k(x) = \varphi_0(2^{-k}x) - \varphi_0(2^{-k+1}x), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^n, \quad k \in \mathbb{N}. \quad (2.4)$$

Since

$$\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \varphi_j(x) = 1 \quad \text{for } x \in \mathbb{R}^n, \quad (2.5)$$

the  $\varphi_j$  form a dyadic resolution of unity. The entire analytic functions  $(\varphi_j \widehat{f})^\vee(x)$  make sense pointwise in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  for any  $f \in S'(\mathbb{R}^n)$ .

**Definition 2.1.** Let  $\varphi = \{\varphi_j\}_{j=0}^{\infty}$  be the above dyadic resolution of unity.

(i) Let

$$0 < p \leq \infty, \quad 0 < q \leq \infty, \quad s \in \mathbb{R}. \quad (2.6)$$

Then  $B_{p,q}^s(\mathbb{R}^n)$  is the collection of all  $f \in S'(\mathbb{R}^n)$  such that

$$\|f\|_{B_{p,q}^s(\mathbb{R}^n)} = \left( \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} 2^{jsq} \|(\varphi_j \widehat{f})^\vee\|_{L_p(\mathbb{R}^n)}^q \right)^{1/q} < \infty \quad (2.7)$$

(with the usual modification if  $q = \infty$ ).

(ii) Let

$$0 < p < \infty, \quad 0 < q \leq \infty, \quad s \in \mathbb{R}. \quad (2.8)$$

Then  $F_{p,q}^s(\mathbb{R}^n)$  is the collection of all  $f \in S'(\mathbb{R}^n)$  such that

$$\|f\|_{F_{p,q}^s(\mathbb{R}^n)} = \left\| \left( \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} 2^{jsq} |(\varphi_j \widehat{f})^\vee(\cdot)|^q \right)^{1/q} \Big|_{L_p(\mathbb{R}^n)} \right\| < \infty \quad (2.9)$$

(with the usual modification if  $q = \infty$ ).

**Remark 2.2.** As usual  $A_{p,q}^s(\mathbb{R}^n)$  with  $A \in \{B, F\}$  means  $B_{p,q}^s(\mathbb{R}^n)$  and  $F_{p,q}^s(\mathbb{R}^n)$ . The theory of these *inhomogeneous isotropic spaces* and their history may be found in [16, 17, 18, 21]. In particular these spaces are independent of admitted resolutions of unity  $\varphi$  according to (2.3)–(2.5) (equivalent quasi-norms). This justifies our omission of the subscript  $\varphi$  in (2.7), (2.9) in the sequel. They are quasi-Banach spaces (Banach spaces if  $p \geq 1, q \geq 1$ ).

We recall some well-known properties and notation. Let  $1 < p < \infty$  and  $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$ . Then

$$W_p^k(\mathbb{R}^n) = F_{p,2}^k(\mathbb{R}^n) \quad (2.10)$$

are the classical Sobolev spaces equivalently normed by

$$\|f\|_{W_p^k(\mathbb{R}^n)} = \left( \sum_{|\alpha| \leq k} \|D^\alpha f\|_{L_p(\mathbb{R}^n)}^p \right)^{1/p}. \quad (2.11)$$

including

$$L_p(\mathbb{R}^n) = F_{p,2}^0(\mathbb{R}^n), \quad 1 < p < \infty. \quad (2.12)$$

Let

$$w_\alpha(x) = (1 + |x|^2)^{\alpha/2}, \quad \alpha \in \mathbb{R}, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^n. \quad (2.13)$$

Then  $I_\alpha$ ,

$$I_\alpha : f \mapsto (w_\alpha \widehat{f})^\vee = (w_\alpha f^\vee)^\wedge, \quad f \in S'(\mathbb{R}^n), \quad \alpha \in \mathbb{R}. \quad (2.14)$$

is a lift in the spaces  $A_{p,q}^s(\mathbb{R}^n)$ . This means,

$$\begin{aligned} I_\alpha A_{p,q}^s(\mathbb{R}^n) &= A_{p,q}^{s-\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^n), \\ \|(w_\alpha \widehat{f})^\vee\|_{A_{p,q}^{s-\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^n)} &\sim \|f\|_{A_{p,q}^s(\mathbb{R}^n)}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.15)$$

One has in particular

$$I_{-k}L_p(\mathbb{R}^n) = W_p^k(\mathbb{R}^n), \quad k \in \mathbb{N}_0, \quad 1 < p < \infty, \quad (2.16)$$

with  $W_p^k(\mathbb{R}^n)$  as in (2.10), (2.11). The mappings in (1.5), (1.6) are based on the special Besov spaces

$$B_p^s(\mathbb{R}^n) = B_{p,p}^s(\mathbb{R}^n), \quad s \in \mathbb{R} \quad \text{and} \quad 1 \leq p \leq \infty, \quad (2.17)$$

including the related Hölder–Zygmund spaces

$$\mathcal{C}^s(\mathbb{R}^n) = B_\infty^s(\mathbb{R}^n), \quad s \in \mathbb{R}. \quad (2.18)$$

Next we describe the dominating mixed counterpart of Definition 2.1 and Remark 2.2. Let  $\varphi \in S(\mathbb{R})$  with

$$\varphi(y) = 1 \text{ if } |y| \leq 1 \quad \text{and} \quad \varphi(y) = 0 \text{ if } |y| \geq 3/2 \quad (2.19)$$

and

$$\varphi_l(y) = \varphi(2^{-l}y) - \varphi(2^{-l+1}y), \quad y \in \mathbb{R}, \quad l \in \mathbb{N}, \quad (2.20)$$

be the one–dimensional version of (2.3), (2.4), notationally complemented by  $\varphi_0 = \varphi$ . Let

$$\varphi_k(x) = \prod_{l=1}^n \varphi_{k_l}(x_l), \quad k = (k_1, \dots, k_n) \in \mathbb{N}_0^n, \quad x = (x_1, \dots, x_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n. \quad (2.21)$$

Then

$$\sum_{k \in \mathbb{N}_0^n} \varphi_k(x) = 1, \quad \text{for } x \in \mathbb{R}^n \quad (2.22)$$

is the counterpart of (2.5). Furthermore  $\varphi_k$  refers to

$$R_k = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : 2^{k_l-1} < |x_l| < 2^{k_l}, \quad l = 1, \dots, n\} \quad (2.23)$$

( $2^n$  rectangles). Recall that the entire analytic functions  $(\varphi_k \widehat{f})^\vee(x)$  make sense pointwise in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  for any  $f \in S'(\mathbb{R}^n)$ . Let  $[k] = \sum_{j=1}^n k_j$  if  $k \in \mathbb{Z}^n$ .

**Definition 2.3.** *Let  $\varphi$  and  $\{\varphi_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}_0^n}$  be the above dyadic resolution of unity.*

(i) *Let*

$$0 < p \leq \infty, \quad 0 < q \leq \infty, \quad r \in \mathbb{R}. \quad (2.24)$$

Then  $S_{p,q}^r B(\mathbb{R}^n)$  is the collection of all  $f \in S'(\mathbb{R}^n)$  such that

$$\|f |S_{p,q}^r B(\mathbb{R}^n)\|_\varphi = \left( \sum_{k \in \mathbb{N}_0^n} 2^{r[k]q} \|(\varphi_k \widehat{f})^\vee |L_p(\mathbb{R}^n)\|^q \right)^{1/q} < \infty \quad (2.25)$$

(with the usual modification if  $q = \infty$ ).

(ii) Let

$$0 < p < \infty, \quad 0 < q \leq \infty, \quad r \in \mathbb{R}. \quad (2.26)$$

Then  $S_{p,q}^r F(\mathbb{R}^n)$  is the collection of all  $f \in S'(\mathbb{R}^n)$  such that

$$\|f |S_{p,q}^r F(\mathbb{R}^n)\|_\varphi = \left\| \left( \sum_{k \in \mathbb{N}_0^n} 2^{r[k]q} |(\varphi_k \widehat{f})^\vee(\cdot)|^q \right)^{1/q} |L_p(\mathbb{R}^n)\| \right\| < \infty \quad (2.27)$$

(with the usual modification if  $q = \infty$ ).

**Remark 2.4.** As usual,  $S_{p,q}^r A(\mathbb{R}^n)$  with  $A \in \{B, F\}$  means  $S_{p,q}^r B(\mathbb{R}^n)$  and  $S_{p,q}^r F(\mathbb{R}^n)$ . This is the dominating mixed counterpart of Definition 2.1. It coincides with [20, Definition 1.4, p. 5]. In [20, Remark 1.5, p. 5] one finds related references to the books and papers already mentioned above, including in particular [11, 12, 14, 19]. These spaces are independent of the resolution of unity based on  $\varphi$  according to (2.19)–(2.22) (equivalent quasi-norms). This justifies our omission of the subscript  $\varphi$  in (2.25) and (2.27) in the sequel. They are quasi-Banach spaces (Banach spaces if  $p \geq 1, q \geq 1$ ). Similarly as for the isotropic spaces in Remark 2.2 we recall some well-known properties and notation following [20, Remark 1.5, p. 6] which in turn is based on related assertions in [14]. Let  $1 < p < \infty$  and  $r \in \mathbb{N}_0$ . Then

$$S_p^r W(\mathbb{R}^n) = S_{p,2}^r F(\mathbb{R}^n) \quad (2.28)$$

are the classical Sobolev spaces with dominating mixed smoothness which can be equivalently normed as in (1.3), in particular,

$$\|f |S_p^r W(\mathbb{R}^n)\| = \sum_{\substack{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^n, \\ 0 \leq \alpha_j \leq r}} \|D^\alpha f |L_p(\mathbb{R}^n)\| \quad (2.29)$$

including

$$L_p(\mathbb{R}^n) = S_{p,2}^0 F(\mathbb{R}^n). \quad (2.30)$$

Let  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and

$$v_\sigma(x) = \prod_{j=1}^n (1 + |x_j|^2)^{\sigma/2}, \quad \sigma \in \mathbb{R}, \quad x = (x_1, \dots, x_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n \quad (2.31)$$

be the counterpart of (2.13). Then

$$J_\sigma : f \mapsto (v_\sigma \widehat{f})^\vee = (v_\sigma f^\vee)^\wedge, \quad f \in S'(\mathbb{R}^n), \quad \sigma \in \mathbb{R}, \quad (2.32)$$

is a lift in the spaces  $S_{p,q}^r A(\mathbb{R}^n)$ . This means for all  $r \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $0 < p, q \leq \infty$  ( $p < \infty$  for  $F$ -spaces)

$$\begin{aligned} J_\sigma S_{p,q}^r A(\mathbb{R}^n) &= S_{p,q}^{r-\sigma} A(\mathbb{R}^n), \\ \|(v_\sigma \widehat{f})^\vee | S_{p,q}^{r-\sigma} A(\mathbb{R}^n)\| &\sim \|f | S_{p,q}^r A(\mathbb{R}^n)\|. \end{aligned} \quad (2.33)$$

This is the dominating mixed counterpart of (2.15). One has, similarly as in (2.16),

$$J_{-r} L_p(\mathbb{R}^n) = S_p^r W(\mathbb{R}^n), \quad r \in \mathbb{N}_0, \quad 1 < p < \infty, \quad (2.34)$$

with  $S_p^r W(\mathbb{R}^n)$  as in (2.29), (2.30). The mapping properties in the above Theorem are based on the special Besov spaces with dominating mixed smoothness

$$S_p^r B(\mathbb{R}^n) = S_{p,p}^r B(\mathbb{R}^n), \quad r \in \mathbb{R}, \quad \text{and} \quad 1 \leq p \leq \infty, \quad (2.35)$$

including the related Hölder–Zygmund spaces

$$S^r \mathcal{C}(\mathbb{R}^n) = S_\infty^r B(\mathbb{R}^n), \quad r \in \mathbb{R}. \quad (2.36)$$

We refer the reader to [20, pp. 5–7] for further equivalent quasi-norms and related references.

We rely in what follows mainly on wavelet representations and duality. Whereas duality assertions will be described later on in the course of the arguments we give now a detailed description of wavelet expansions for all spaces  $S_{p,q}^r A(\mathbb{R}^n)$  according to Definition 2.3. We adapt corresponding assertions in [20, pp. 14–16], based in turn on [23] and [19] to our later needs.

As usual,  $C^u(\mathbb{R})$  with  $u \in \mathbb{N}$  collects all complex-valued continuous functions on  $\mathbb{R}$  having continuous bounded derivatives up to order  $u$  inclusively. Let

$$\psi_F \in C^u(\mathbb{R}), \quad \psi_M \in C^u(\mathbb{R}), \quad u \in \mathbb{N}, \quad (2.37)$$

be real compactly supported Daubechies wavelets with

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} \psi_M(x) x^v dx = 0 \quad \text{for all } v \in \mathbb{N}_0 \text{ with } v < u \quad (2.38)$$

having  $L_2$ -norms 1. Let

$$\psi_{-1,m}(t) = \sqrt{2} \psi_F(t - m) \quad \text{and} \quad \psi_{k,m}(t) = \psi_M(2^k t - m) \quad (2.39)$$

with  $t \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$  and  $m \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Let  $\mathbb{N}_{-1} = \mathbb{N}_0 \cup \{-1\}$ ,

$$\mathbb{N}_{-1}^n = \{k = (k_1, \dots, k_n), k_j \in \mathbb{N}_{-1}\}, \quad n \in \mathbb{N}, \quad (2.40)$$

and

$$\psi_{k,m}(x) = \prod_{j=1}^n \psi_{k_j, m_j}(x_j), \quad k \in \mathbb{N}_{-1}^n, \quad m \in \mathbb{Z}^n, \quad (2.41)$$

$x = (x_1, \dots, x_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n$ . Then

$$\{2^{[k]/2} \psi_{k,m} : k \in \mathbb{N}_{-1}^n, m \in \mathbb{Z}^n\} \quad (2.42)$$

with (again)  $[k] = \sum_{j=1}^n k_j$  is an orthonormal basis in  $L_2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ . Let  $0 < p, q \leq \infty$  and  $r \in \mathbb{R}$ . Then  $s_{p,q}^r b(\mathbb{R}^n)$  is the collection of all sequences

$$\lambda = \{\lambda_{k,m} \in \mathbb{C} : k \in \mathbb{N}_{-1}^n, m \in \mathbb{Z}^n\} \quad (2.43)$$

such that

$$\|\lambda |s_{p,q}^r b(\mathbb{R}^n)\| = \left( \sum_{k \in \mathbb{N}_{-1}^n} 2^{[k](r - \frac{1}{p})q} \left( \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}^n} |\lambda_{k,m}|^p \right)^{q/p} \right)^{1/q} < \infty \quad (2.44)$$

and  $s_{p,q}^r f(\mathbb{R}^n)$  is the collection of all sequences  $\lambda$  in (2.43) such that

$$\|\lambda |s_{p,q}^r f(\mathbb{R}^n)\| = \left\| \left( \sum_{k,m} 2^{[k]rq} |\lambda_{k,m} \chi_{k,m}(\cdot)|^q \right)^{1/q} |L_p(\mathbb{R}^n)\| \right\| < \infty \quad (2.45)$$

with the usual modifications if  $p = \infty$  and/or  $q = \infty$ , where  $\chi_{k,m}$  is the characteristic function of the rectangle

$$R_{k,m} = \{x = (x_1, \dots, x_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n, 2^{-k_j} m_j < x_j < 2^{-k_j} (m_j + 1)\}. \quad (2.46)$$

Let

$$\sigma_p = \max\left(\frac{1}{p}, 1\right) - 1 \quad \text{and} \quad \sigma_{p,q} = \max\left(\frac{1}{p}, \frac{1}{q}, 1\right) - 1. \quad (2.47)$$

**Proposition 2.5.** (i) Let  $0 < p \leq \infty$ ,  $0 < q \leq \infty$  and  $r \in \mathbb{R}$ . Let  $\psi_{k,m}$  be the wavelets in (2.41) based on (2.37), (2.38) with  $u > \max(r, \sigma_p - r)$ . Let  $f \in S'(\mathbb{R}^n)$ . Then  $f \in S_{p,q}^r B(\mathbb{R}^n)$  if, and only if, it can be represented as

$$f = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{N}_{-1}^n} \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}^n} \lambda_{k,m} \psi_{k,m}, \quad \lambda \in s_{p,q}^r b(\mathbb{R}^n). \quad (2.48)$$

The representation (2.48) is unique,

$$\lambda_{k,m} = \lambda_{k,m}(f) = 2^{[k]}(f, \psi_{k,m}), \quad k \in \mathbb{N}_{-1}^n, \quad m \in \mathbb{Z}^n, \quad (2.49)$$

and

$$J: f \mapsto \{\lambda_{k,m} : k \in \mathbb{N}_{-1}^n, m \in \mathbb{Z}^n\} \quad (2.50)$$

is an isomorphic mapping of  $S_{p,q}^r B(\mathbb{R}^n)$  onto  $s_{p,q}^r b(\mathbb{R}^n)$ .

(ii) Let  $0 < p < \infty$ ,  $0 < q \leq \infty$  and  $r \in \mathbb{R}$ . Let  $u > \max(r, \sigma_{p,q} - r)$ . Let  $f \in S'(\mathbb{R}^n)$ . Then  $f \in S_{p,q}^r F(\mathbb{R}^n)$  if, and only if, it can be represented as

$$f = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{N}_{-1}^n} \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}^n} \lambda_{k,m} \psi_{k,m}, \quad \lambda \in s_{p,q}^r f(\mathbb{R}^n). \quad (2.51)$$

The representation (2.51) is unique with (2.49). Furthermore,  $J$  in (2.50) is an isomorphic mapping of  $S_{p,q}^r F(\mathbb{R}^n)$  onto  $s_{p,q}^r f(\mathbb{R}^n)$ .

**Remark 2.6.** This is a modified version of [20, Theorem 1.12, pp. 15, 16] (with different normalizations). There one finds further explanations and references, especially to [23, 19]. Of special interest for us will be the case

$$S^r \mathcal{C}(\mathbb{R}^n) = S_{\infty}^r B(\mathbb{R}^n) = S_{\infty,\infty}^r B(\mathbb{R}^n), \quad r \in \mathbb{R}. \quad (2.52)$$

Then one has the representation

$$f = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{N}_{-1}^n, m \in \mathbb{Z}^n} \lambda_{k,m} \psi_{k,m}, \quad \lambda \in s_{\infty,\infty}^r b(\mathbb{R}^n) \quad (2.53)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \|f | S^r \mathcal{C}(\mathbb{R}^n)\| &\sim \|\lambda | s_{\infty,\infty}^r b(\mathbb{R}^n)\| \\ &= \sup_{k \in \mathbb{N}_{-1}^n, m \in \mathbb{Z}^n} 2^{[k]r} |\lambda_{k,m}|, \quad r \in \mathbb{R}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.54)$$

### 3 Proof of the Theorem

We break the proof of the Theorem in 10 steps.

*Step 1.* First we prove

$$F : S^0\mathcal{C}(\mathbb{R}^n) \hookrightarrow S^{-1}\mathcal{C}(\mathbb{R}^n) \quad (3.1)$$

for the Hölder–Zygmund spaces according to (2.36). Let  $f \in S^0\mathcal{C}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ . We expand  $Ff \in S^{-1}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  according to Proposition 2.5,

$$Ff = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{N}_{-1}^n} \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}^n} \lambda_{k,m} \psi_{k,m} \quad (3.2)$$

with

$$\lambda_{k,m} = 2^{[k]}(Ff, \psi_{k,m}) = 2^{[k]}(f, F\psi_{k,m}) \quad (3.3)$$

where we used that  $F$  is self-dual in the context of the framework of the dual pairing  $(S(\mathbb{R}^n), S'(\mathbb{R}^n))$ ,  $F' = F$ . This possibility is not totally obvious, but we add a comment about this representability of  $Ff$  in Remark 3.1 below. Let temporarily  $F_1$  be the Fourier transform on the real line  $\mathbb{R}$ . Then one obtains from (2.41) that

$$(F\psi_{k,m})(x) = \prod_{j=1}^n (F_1\psi_{k_j, m_j})(x_j), \quad k \in \mathbb{N}_{-1}^n, \quad m \in \mathbb{Z}^n, \quad (3.4)$$

$x = (x_1, \dots, x_n)$ . One has by [20, Proposition 1.19, p. 19]

$$\|F\psi_{k,m} |S_1^0B(\mathbb{R}^n)\| = \prod_{j=1}^n \|F_1\psi_{k_j, m_j} |B_1^0(\mathbb{R})\| \quad (3.5)$$

and by [3, Step1 of the proof of Theorem 2.12] (or by direct calculation) that

$$\|F_1\psi_{k_j, m_j} |B_1^0(\mathbb{R})\| \leq c, \quad k_j \in \mathbb{N}_{-1}, \quad m_j \in \mathbb{Z}, \quad (3.6)$$

for some  $c > 0$  (independently of  $k_j$  and  $m_j$ ). Using in addition the duality

$$S_1^0B(\mathbb{R}^n)' = S_\infty^0B(\mathbb{R}^n) = S^0\mathcal{C}(\mathbb{R}^n) \quad (3.7)$$

in [20, Proposition 1.17, pp. 17–18] it follows from (3.3), (3.5), (3.6) that

$$\begin{aligned} |\lambda_{k,m}| &\leq 2^{[k]} \|f |S^0\mathcal{C}(\mathbb{R}^n)\| \cdot \|F\psi_{k,m} |S_1^0B(\mathbb{R}^n)\| \\ &\leq c 2^{[k]} \|f |S^0\mathcal{C}(\mathbb{R}^n)\|. \end{aligned} \quad (3.8)$$

Now one obtains (3.1) from (2.52)–(2.54) with  $r = -1$ .

*Step 2.* Let  $2 \leq p \leq \infty$ . We justify

$$F : S_p^0 B(\mathbb{R}^n) \hookrightarrow S_p^{\frac{2}{p}-1} B(\mathbb{R}^n), \quad 2 \leq p \leq \infty, \quad (3.9)$$

by complex interpolation  $[\cdot, \cdot]_\theta$ ,  $0 < \theta < 1$ , of (3.1),

$$F : S_\infty^0 B(\mathbb{R}^n) \hookrightarrow S_\infty^{-1} B(\mathbb{R}^n), \quad (3.10)$$

and

$$F : L_2(\mathbb{R}^n) \hookrightarrow L_2(\mathbb{R}^n), \quad (3.11)$$

rewritten according to (2.30) and  $S_{p,p}^r B(\mathbb{R}^n) = S_{p,p}^r F(\mathbb{R}^n)$ ,  $1 < p < \infty$ , as

$$F : S_2^0 B(\mathbb{R}^n) \hookrightarrow S_2^0 B(\mathbb{R}^n). \quad (3.12)$$

Based on the isomorphic mappings of these spaces onto corresponding sequence spaces as described in Proposition 2.5 one can shift this task to the complex interpolation of the related sequence spaces. But then one is essentially in the same situation as for isotropic spaces in [2] and [3, Step 2 of the proof of Theorem 2.12] with the outcome

$$[S^0 \mathcal{C}(\mathbb{R}^n), L_2(\mathbb{R}^n)]_\theta = [S_\infty^0 B(\mathbb{R}^n), S_2^0 B(\mathbb{R}^n)]_\theta = S_p^0 B(\mathbb{R}^n) \quad (3.13)$$

and

$$[S^{-1} \mathcal{C}(\mathbb{R}^n), L_2(\mathbb{R}^n)]_\theta = [S_\infty^{-1} B(\mathbb{R}^n), S_2^0 B(\mathbb{R}^n)]_\theta = S_p^{\frac{2}{p}-1} B(\mathbb{R}^n) \quad (3.14)$$

where  $0 < \theta < 1$ ,

$$\frac{1}{p} = \frac{1-\theta}{\infty} + \frac{\theta}{2} = \frac{\theta}{2} \quad \text{and} \quad \theta - 1 = \frac{2}{p} - 1 = \frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{p'} \quad (3.15)$$

with  $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{p'} = 1$ . Now (3.10)–(3.14) prove (3.9).

*Step 3.* Let  $1 \leq p \leq 2$ . We justify

$$F : S_p^{\frac{2}{p}-1} B(\mathbb{R}^n) \hookrightarrow S_p^0 B(\mathbb{R}^n), \quad 1 \leq p \leq 2, \quad (3.16)$$

by duality. By [20, Proposition 1.17, pp. 17–18] one has the duality

$$S_p^r B(\mathbb{R}^n)' = S_{p'}^{-r} B(\mathbb{R}^n), \quad 1 \leq p < \infty, \quad \frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{p'} = 1, \quad r \in \mathbb{R}, \quad (3.17)$$

in the framework of the dual pairing  $(S(\mathbb{R}^n), S'(\mathbb{R}^n))$  complemented by

$$\mathring{S}_\infty^r B(\mathbb{R}^n)' = S_1^{-r} B(\mathbb{R}^n), \quad r \in \mathbb{R}. \quad (3.18)$$

Here  $\mathring{S}_\infty^r B(\mathbb{R}^n) = \mathring{S}^r \mathcal{C}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  is the completion of  $S(\mathbb{R}^n)$  in  $S^r \mathcal{C}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ . Recall that  $F$  is self-dual,  $F = F'$ . Then it follows by duality from (3.9),  $\frac{2}{p} - 1 = \frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{p'}$  and (3.10), complemented by

$$F : \mathring{S}_\infty^0 B(\mathbb{R}^n) \hookrightarrow \mathring{S}_\infty^{-1} B(\mathbb{R}^n) \quad (3.19)$$

that

$$F : S_p^{\frac{1}{p'} - \frac{1}{p}} B(\mathbb{R}^n) \hookrightarrow S_p^0 B(\mathbb{R}^n), \quad 2 \leq p \leq \infty, \quad \frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{p'} = 1. \quad (3.20)$$

This proves (3.16).

*Step 4.* The continuity of the mapping (1.7), (1.8) follows now from (3.16), (3.9) and the monotonicity of the spaces  $S_p^r B(\mathbb{R}^n)$  with respect to  $r$  for fixed  $p$ .

*Step 5.* We justify in three steps that the mapping in (1.7), (1.8) with  $r_1 \geq 0$  and  $r_2 \geq 0$  are not compact if either  $r_1 = 0$  or  $r_2 = 0$ . This requires some efforts. First we show that

$$F : S_p^{r_1} B(\mathbb{R}^n) \hookrightarrow S_p^{\frac{2}{p} - 1} B(\mathbb{R}^n), \quad 2 \leq p \leq \infty, \quad r_1 \geq 0, \quad (3.21)$$

is not compact. By  $\frac{2}{p} - 1 - \frac{1}{p} = -\frac{1}{p'}$  and the embedding

$$\text{id} : S_p^{\frac{2}{p} - 1} B(\mathbb{R}^n) \hookrightarrow S^{-\frac{1}{p'}} \mathcal{C}(\mathbb{R}^n) = S_\infty^{-\frac{1}{p'}} B(\mathbb{R}^n) \quad (3.22)$$

according to [20, (1.312), p. 55] it is sufficient to prove that

$$F : S_p^{r_1} B(\mathbb{R}^n) \hookrightarrow S^{-\frac{1}{p'}} \mathcal{C}(\mathbb{R}^n), \quad 2 \leq p \leq \infty, \quad r_1 \geq 0, \quad (3.23)$$

is not compact. Let  $\psi$  be a non-trivial  $C^\infty$  function in  $\mathbb{R}$ ,

$$\psi_k(x) = \prod_{j=1}^n \psi(2^{-k_j} x_j), \quad k = (k_1, \dots, k_n) \in \mathbb{N}_0^n, \quad x = (x_1, \dots, x_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n, \quad (3.24)$$

such that

$$\psi_k(x)\varphi_k(x) = \psi_k(x) \quad \text{if } k = (k_1, 0, \dots, 0), \quad k_1 \in \mathbb{N}_0, \quad (3.25)$$

and

$$\text{supp } \psi_k \cap \text{supp } \varphi_l = \emptyset \quad \text{if } l \neq k, \quad (3.26)$$

where  $\varphi_k$  and  $\varphi_l$  are the same functions as in the Definition 2.3. Let

$$f_k(x) = 2^{-\frac{k_1}{p}} \psi_k(x), \quad k \text{ as above, } x \in \mathbb{R}^n. \quad (3.27)$$

Then one has for the Sobolev spaces in (2.28), (2.29) (notationally extended to  $p = \infty$ )

$$\|f_k |S_p^r W(\mathbb{R}^n)\| = \sum_{\substack{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^n, \\ 0 \leq \alpha_j \leq r}} \|D^\alpha f_k |L_p(\mathbb{R}^n)\| \sim 1, \quad k_1 \in \mathbb{N}, \quad (3.28)$$

$r \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $1 < p \leq \infty$ . Using the monotonicity as described in [20, Section 1.3.2, pp. 55–56], especially

$$S_p^r W(\mathbb{R}^n) \hookrightarrow S_p^{r_1} B(\mathbb{R}^n) \hookrightarrow L_p(\mathbb{R}^n), \quad 0 < r_1 < r, \quad 2 \leq p \leq \infty, \quad (3.29)$$

it follows

$$\|f_k |S_p^{r_1} B(\mathbb{R}^n)\| \sim 1, \quad 2 \leq p \leq \infty, \quad r_1 \geq 0. \quad (3.30)$$

One has by Definition 2.3

$$\begin{aligned} \|\widehat{f_k} |S^{-\frac{1}{p'}} \mathcal{C}(\mathbb{R}^n)\| &\sim \sup_{l \in \mathbb{N}_0^n, x \in \mathbb{R}^n} 2^{-\frac{k_1}{p'}} |(\varphi_l f_k)^\vee(x)| \\ &\sim \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n} 2^{-\frac{k_1}{p'} - \frac{k_1}{p}} |\psi_k^\vee(x)| \sim 1 \end{aligned} \quad (3.31)$$

and

$$\|\widehat{f_{k^1}} - \widehat{f_{k^2}} |S^{-\frac{1}{p'}} \mathcal{C}(\mathbb{R}^n)\| \sim 1, \quad k^j = (k_1^j, 0, \dots, 0), \quad (3.32)$$

$k^1 \neq k^2$ . This shows that  $F$  in (3.23) is not compact.

*Step 6.* We prove that

$$F : S_p^0 B(\mathbb{R}^n) \hookrightarrow S_p^{\frac{2}{p}-1-r_2} B(\mathbb{R}^n), \quad 2 \leq p \leq \infty, \quad r_2 \geq 0, \quad (3.33)$$

is not compact. It follows from an embedding as in (3.22) that it is sufficient to show that

$$F : S_p^0 B(\mathbb{R}^n) \hookrightarrow S^\sigma \mathcal{C}(\mathbb{R}^n) = S_\infty^\sigma B(\mathbb{R}^n), \quad 2 \leq p \leq \infty, \quad \sigma \leq -1, \quad (3.34)$$

is not compact. One can take over the related arguments from [3, proof of Theorem 2.12], based on [2], for isotropic spaces. Let  $\psi_F(t)$  be a smooth compactly supported father wavelet according to (2.37). Let  $\psi(x) = \prod_{j=1}^n \psi_F(x_j)$  which refers to  $k = (-1, \dots, -1)$  in (2.40). Then  $\psi_m(x) = \psi(x - m)$ ,  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ ,  $m \in \mathbb{Z}^n$  are wavelets for the isotropic spaces and also for the above spaces with dominating mixed smoothness. Let

$$f_m(x) = (F^{-1}\psi_m)(x) = e^{imx}(F^{-1}\psi)(x), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^n, \quad m \in \mathbb{Z}^n. \quad (3.35)$$

Then  $\{Ff_m = \psi_m : m \in \mathbb{Z}^n\}$  is not compact in any space  $S^\sigma \mathcal{C}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ ,  $\sigma \in \mathbb{R}$ . We wish to show that uniformly

$$\|f_m | S_p^0 B(\mathbb{R}^n)\| \sim 1, \quad m \in \mathbb{Z}^n, \quad (3.36)$$

based on (2.25). There are two cases. One has either

$$(\varphi_k \widehat{f_m})^\vee(x) = (\varphi_k \psi_m)^\vee(x) = 0 \quad (3.37)$$

or (assuming  $\varphi_k \psi_m = \psi_m$ )

$$\|(\varphi_k \widehat{f_m})^\vee(\cdot) | L_p(\mathbb{R}^n)\| = \|e^{imx} \psi^\vee(\cdot) | L_p(\mathbb{R}^n)\| = \|\psi^\vee | L_p(\mathbb{R}^n)\| \quad (3.38)$$

for one  $k$ . Inserted in (2.25) one obtains (3.36). This shows that  $F$  in (3.34) and (3.33) is not compact.

*Step 7.* The two preceding steps show that  $F$  in (1.8),

$$F : S_p^{r_1} B(\mathbb{R}^n) \hookrightarrow S_p^{\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{p'} - r_2} B(\mathbb{R}^n), \quad 2 \leq p \leq \infty, \quad (3.39)$$

is not compact if either  $r_1 = 0$  or  $r_2 = 0$ . The corresponding assertion for  $1 \leq p \leq 2$  can be obtained by duality as follows. Let  $F$  in (1.7),

$$F : S_p^{\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{p'} + r_1} B(\mathbb{R}^n) \hookrightarrow S_p^{-r_2} B(\mathbb{R}^n), \quad 1 \leq p \leq 2, \quad (3.40)$$

$r_1 \geq 0$ ,  $r_2 \geq 0$ , be compact. Then it follows from (3.17) and  $F = F'$  that

$$F : S_{p'}^{r_2} B(\mathbb{R}^n) \hookrightarrow S_{p'}^{\frac{1}{p'} - \frac{1}{p} - r_1} B(\mathbb{R}^n) \quad (3.41)$$

is compact. But this is not the case if either  $r_1 = 0$  or  $r_2 = 0$ .

*Step 8.* In the preceding steps we adapted the corresponding proofs in [3, Theorem 2.12] and the underlying paper [2] for related isotropic spaces to their dominating mixed counterparts. This is not possible any longer in order to justify that the mapping  $F$  in (1.7), (1.8) is compact if both  $r_1 > 0$  and  $r_2 > 0$ . In [2, 3] we relied on an elaborated theory for weighted isotropic spaces  $A_{p,q}^s(\mathbb{R}^n, w)$  with suitable weights  $w$ , including compact mapping properties expressed in terms of entropy numbers, and corresponding wavelet representations. There is (as far as we know) no counterpart for related weighted spaces  $S_{p,q}^r A(\mathbb{R}^n, w)$ . We circumvent this shortcoming and shift the problem of compactness (not of entropy numbers) from spaces with dominating mixed smoothness to appropriate isotropic spaces. This requires again some efforts and will be done in the present step, preparing the proof of compactness in the next step. First we follow [18, Section 6.1, pp. 263–268]. The class  $W^n$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , of admissible weight functions is the collection of all positive  $C^\infty$  functions in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  such that for all  $\gamma \in \mathbb{N}_0^n$  and some  $c_\gamma > 0$ ,

$$|D^\gamma w(x)| \leq c_\gamma w(x), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^n, \quad (3.42)$$

and for some  $\beta \geq 0$  and  $c > 0$ ,

$$0 < w(x) \leq c w(y) (1 + |x - y|^2)^{\beta/2}, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^n, \quad y \in \mathbb{R}^n. \quad (3.43)$$

Both weights

$$w_\alpha(x) = (1 + |x|^2)^{\alpha/2}, \quad \alpha \in \mathbb{R}, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^n, \quad (3.44)$$

according to (2.13) and

$$v_\sigma(x) = \prod_{j=1}^n (1 + |x_j|^2)^{\sigma/2}, \quad \sigma \in \mathbb{R}, \quad x = (x_1, \dots, x_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n, \quad (3.45)$$

according to (2.31) (as the product of related one-dimensional weights) belong to  $W^n$ . For these weights we introduced in [18] (based on the references given there) the weighted spaces  $A_{p,q}^s(\mathbb{R}^n, w)$  replacing in Definition 2.1 the Lebesgue spaces  $L_p(\mathbb{R}^n)$  by  $L_p(\mathbb{R}^n, w)$  with

$$\|f\|_{L_p(\mathbb{R}^n, w)} = \|wf\|_{L_p(\mathbb{R}^n)}, \quad 0 < p \leq \infty, \quad w \in W^n. \quad (3.46)$$

It is one of the main observations that  $f \mapsto wf$  is an isomorphic mapping of  $A_{p,q}^s(\mathbb{R}^n, w)$  onto  $A_{p,q}^s(\mathbb{R}^n)$ ,

$$\|wf|A_{p,q}^s(\mathbb{R}^n)\| \sim \|f|A_{p,q}^s(\mathbb{R}^n, w)\|, \quad A \in \{B, F\}, \quad s \in \mathbb{R}, \quad 0 < p, q \leq \infty \quad (3.47)$$

( $p < \infty$  for  $F$ -spaces). Details and references may be found in [18, Section 6.1.3, pp. 265–266]. In particular, for fixed  $p$  and  $q$  the spaces  $A_{p,q}^s(\mathbb{R}^n, w)$  are monotonically included both for the smoothness  $s$  and for related weights. There is little doubt that there are suitable counterparts for the spaces  $S_{p,q}^r A(\mathbb{R}^n)$  as introduced in Definition 2.3, at least for weights of type (3.45). But this has not yet been done. It will be sufficient for us to introduce the spaces  $S_{p,q}^r A(\mathbb{R}^n, v_\sigma)$  with  $v_\sigma$  in (3.45) as the collection of all  $f \in S'(\mathbb{R}^n)$  such that  $v_\sigma f \in S_{p,q}^r A(\mathbb{R}^n)$ ,

$$\|f|S_{p,q}^r A(\mathbb{R}^n, v_\sigma)\| = \|v_\sigma f|S_{p,q}^r A(\mathbb{R}^n)\|. \quad (3.48)$$

For fixed  $p$  and  $q$  there is still the monotonicity with respect to the smoothness  $r$ . But we shift the missing monotonicity with respect to the weights to the isotropic case. This is based on the following observations. Spaces with dominating mixed smoothness have been compared with other spaces in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  in detail. We refer the reader to [13] and [5, 6]. For our purpose the following specific assertions are sufficient. Let  $B_p^s(\mathbb{R}^n)$ ,  $\mathcal{C}^s(\mathbb{R}^n) = B_\infty^s(\mathbb{R}^n)$  be as in (2.17), (2.18) and  $S_p^r B(\mathbb{R}^n)$ ,  $S^r \mathcal{C}(\mathbb{R}^n) = S_\infty^r B(\mathbb{R}^n)$  be as in (2.35), (2.36). Let  $2 \leq n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Then

$$B_p^{rn}(\mathbb{R}^n) \hookrightarrow S_p^r B(\mathbb{R}^n) \hookrightarrow B_p^r(\mathbb{R}^n), \quad 1 \leq p \leq \infty, \quad r > 0, \quad (3.49)$$

and

$$S_p^0 B(\mathbb{R}^n) \hookrightarrow B_p^0(\mathbb{R}^n), \quad 1 \leq p \leq 2. \quad (3.50)$$

These are special cases of [5, Theorems 3.1, 3.6].

*Step 9.* After the preparations in Step 8 we prove now that the mappings  $F$  in (1.7), (1.8) are compact if both  $r_1 > 0$  and  $r_2 > 0$ . Let  $1 \leq p \leq 2$  and  $r_1 > 0$ . Then it follows from the lifting property (2.33) that

$$\|(v_{r_1} \widehat{f})^\vee |S_p^{\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{p'}} B(\mathbb{R}^n)\| \sim \|f|S_p^{\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{p'} + r_1} B(\mathbb{R}^n)\| \quad (3.51)$$

and by Step 3 that

$$\|v_{r_1} \widehat{f}|S_p^0 B(\mathbb{R}^n)\| \leq c \|f|S_p^{\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{p'} + r_1} B(\mathbb{R}^n)\|. \quad (3.52)$$

This means in terms of the preceding Step 8, that both

$$F : S_p^{\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{p'}+r_1} B(\mathbb{R}^n) \hookrightarrow S_p^0 B(\mathbb{R}^n, v_{r_1}), \quad 1 \leq p \leq 2, \quad r_1 > 0, \quad (3.53)$$

and, using in addition (3.50),

$$F : S_p^{\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{p'}+r_1} B(\mathbb{R}^n) \hookrightarrow B_p^0(\mathbb{R}^n, v_{r_1}), \quad 1 \leq p \leq 2, \quad r_1 > 0, \quad (3.54)$$

are continuous. It follows from (3.44), (3.45) that

$$w_\alpha(x) \leq n^{\alpha/2} v_\alpha(x) \quad \text{and} \quad v_\alpha(x) \leq w_{n\alpha}(x), \quad \alpha > 0, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^n. \quad (3.55)$$

As mentioned in Step 8 the spaces  $B_{p,q}^s(\mathbb{R}^n, w)$  are monotonically included with respect to the weights (for fixed  $s, p, q$ ). Then one has by (3.54) that also the mapping

$$F : S_p^{\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{p'}+r_1} B(\mathbb{R}^n) \hookrightarrow B_p^0(\mathbb{R}^n, w_{r_1}), \quad 1 \leq p \leq 2, \quad r_1 > 0, \quad (3.56)$$

is continuous. Combined with the compact embedding

$$\text{id} : B_p^0(\mathbb{R}^n, w_{r_1}) \hookrightarrow B_p^{-r_2}(\mathbb{R}^n), \quad 1 \leq p \leq 2, \quad r_1 > 0, \quad r_2 > 0, \quad (3.57)$$

as a very special case of [18, Theorem 6.31, pp. 282–283], one obtains that

$$F : S_p^{\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{p'}+r_1} B(\mathbb{R}^n) \hookrightarrow B_p^{-r_2}(\mathbb{R}^n), \quad 1 \leq p \leq 2, \quad r_1 > 0, \quad r_2 > 0, \quad (3.58)$$

is compact. By duality as already used in Step 3 (and its obvious counterpart for isotropic spaces) it follows from (3.58) that also

$$F : B_p^{r_2}(\mathbb{R}^n) \hookrightarrow S_p^{\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{p'}-r_1} B(\mathbb{R}^n), \quad 2 \leq p \leq \infty, \quad r_1 > 0, \quad r_2 > 0, \quad (3.59)$$

is compact. Then (3.49) (and appropriate adaption of the parameters) show that

$$F : S_p^{r_1} B(\mathbb{R}^n) \hookrightarrow S_p^{\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{p'}-r_2} B(\mathbb{R}^n), \quad 2 \leq p \leq \infty, \quad r_1 > 0, \quad r_2 > 0, \quad (3.60)$$

and

$$F : \mathring{S}^{r_1} \mathcal{C}(\mathbb{R}^n) \hookrightarrow \mathring{S}^{-1-r_2} \mathcal{C}(\mathbb{R}^n), \quad r_1 > 0, \quad r_2 > 0, \quad (3.61)$$

are compact. This proves that  $F$  in (1.8) is compact if both  $r_1 > 0$  and  $r_2 > 0$ . Application of duality again shows that also the mapping in (1.7) is compact if both  $r_1 > 0$  and  $r_2 > 0$ .

*Step 10.* It remains to prove that there are no continuous mappings as in (1.7), (1.8) if either  $r_1 < 0$  or  $r_2 < 0$ . Let us assume that there is a continuous mapping

$$F : S_p^{r_1} B(\mathbb{R}^n) \hookrightarrow S_p^{\frac{2}{p}-1-r_2} B(\mathbb{R}^n) \quad \text{for some } r_1 < 0, r_2 \in \mathbb{R}, 2 \leq p \leq \infty. \quad (3.62)$$

By embedding it is sufficient to deal with the case  $r_2 > 0$ . We already know that

$$F : S_p^{r_0} B(\mathbb{R}^n) \hookrightarrow S_p^{\frac{2}{p}-1-r_2} B(\mathbb{R}^n) \quad r_0 > 0, \quad r_2 > 0, \quad 2 \leq p \leq \infty, \quad (3.63)$$

is compact. We use the complex interpolation  $[\cdot, \cdot]_\theta$ ,  $0 < \theta < 1$ , according to [20, Proposition 1.20, p. 20] and the references given there. One has as a special case

$$[S_p^{r_0} B(\mathbb{R}^n), S_p^{r_1} B(\mathbb{R}^n)]_\theta = S_p^0 B(\mathbb{R}^n), \quad 0 = (1 - \theta)r_0 + \theta r_1, \quad (3.64)$$

$2 \leq p < \infty$ . However if  $F$  in (3.62) is continuous then the interpolation (3.64) with the compact mapping (3.63) shows that also

$$F : S_p^0 B(\mathbb{R}^n) \hookrightarrow S_p^{\frac{2}{p}-1-r_2} B(\mathbb{R}^n) \quad (3.65)$$

is compact. This follows from the interpolation theory for compact operators as described in [15, Section 1.16.4, pp. 117–118]. But we know by Step 6 that this is not the case. This disproves that there is a continuous mapping as assumed in (3.62). Similarly one can argue in the other cases with  $1 \leq p < \infty$ . If  $p = \infty$  then it follows from the assumption

$$F : S^{r_1} \mathcal{C}(\mathbb{R}^n) \hookrightarrow S^{-1-r_2} \mathcal{C}(\mathbb{R}^n), \quad r_1 < 0, \quad r_2 \in \mathbb{R} \quad (3.66)$$

that also

$$F : \mathring{S}^{r_1} \mathcal{C}(\mathbb{R}^n) \hookrightarrow \mathring{S}^{-1-r_2} \mathcal{C}(\mathbb{R}^n), \quad r_1 < 0, \quad r_2 \in \mathbb{R}. \quad (3.67)$$

The duality (3.18) shifts this question to the case  $p = 1$  which is covered by the above arguments. This shows that (3.66) requires  $r_1 \geq 0$  and  $r_2 \geq 0$ .

**Remark 3.1.** We add a comment in connection of the representability of  $Ff$  in (3.2), (3.3). Let  $B_p^s(\mathbb{R}^n, w_\alpha) = B_{p,p}^s(\mathbb{R}^n, w_\alpha)$ ,  $1 \leq p \leq \infty$ ,  $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ , be the related isotropic spaces as considered in Step 8 of the above proof. Then one has for fixed  $p$ ,

$$S(\mathbb{R}^n) = \bigcap_{\alpha \in \mathbb{R}, s \in \mathbb{R}} B_p^s(\mathbb{R}^n, w_\alpha) \quad \text{and} \quad S'(\mathbb{R}^n) = \bigcup_{\alpha \in \mathbb{R}, s \in \mathbb{R}} B_p^s(\mathbb{R}^n, w_\alpha). \quad (3.68)$$

This (more or less obvious) assertion may be found in [21, Remark 2.91, p. 74] with a reference to [4]. There is little doubt that there is a counterpart for the spaces  $S_p^r B(\mathbb{R}^n, v_\sigma) = S_{p,p}^r B(\mathbb{R}^n, v_\sigma)$  as introduced in (3.48),

$$S(\mathbb{R}^n) = \bigcap_{r \in \mathbb{R}, \sigma \in \mathbb{R}} S_p^r B(\mathbb{R}^n, v_\sigma) \quad \text{and} \quad S'(\mathbb{R}^n) = \bigcup_{r \in \mathbb{R}, \sigma \in \mathbb{R}} S_p^r B(\mathbb{R}^n, v_\sigma). \quad (3.69)$$

But this is not (yet) available in the literature. The proof of the isotropic version [3, Theorem 2.12], based on [2], of the above Theorem relies on the possibility to expand any element of  $B_p^s(\mathbb{R}^n, w_\alpha)$  and, by (3.68) of any element of  $S'(\mathbb{R}^n)$  in terms of Daubechies wavelets. One can expect that there is a dominating mixed counterpart based on the extension of Proposition 2.5 to the weighted spaces  $S_{p,q}^r A(\mathbb{R}^n, v_\sigma)$ . But again this is not (yet) available in the literature. However one can circumvent these technical shortcomings in the same way as in the above proof (avoiding weights). Let  $g$  be the right-hand side of (3.2) with (3.3). Then it follows from (3.68) that both  $g$  and  $Ff$  (multiplied with suitable cut-off functions) belong locally to, say, some space  $B_2^s(\mathbb{R}^n)$ . Let  $h \in B_2^{-s}(\mathbb{R}^n) = B_2^s(\mathbb{R}^n)'$  with compact support. Then it follows from Proposition 2.5 and

$$(Ff, \psi_{k,m}) = (g, \psi_{k,m}), \quad k \in \mathbb{N}_{-1}^n, \quad m \in \mathbb{Z}^n, \quad (3.70)$$

that  $(Ff, h) = (g, h)$ , and in particular,

$$(Ff, \varphi) = (g, \varphi), \quad \varphi \in D(\mathbb{R}^n) = C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n). \quad (3.71)$$

But this ensures  $Ff = g$  in the framework of the dual pairing  $(S(\mathbb{R}^n), S'(\mathbb{R}^n))$  and also the representability (3.2), (3.3)

**Problem 3.2.** The above arguments show again that it would be desirable to develop a theory for the weighted spaces  $S_{p,q}^r A(\mathbb{R}^n, v_\sigma)$  in full generality parallel at least to corresponding assertions for the weighted isotropic spaces

$A_{p,q}^s(\mathbb{R}^n, w_\alpha)$ . Then one can avoid the somewhat artistic arguments in the Steps 8 and 9 and in the above Remark 3.1. In addition to assertions being similar to corresponding ones for weighted isotropic spaces there might be also some new aspects, based on Faber bases, for numerical integration and discrepancy (number–theoretical properties about the distribution of points).

## References

- [1] O.V. Besov, V.P. Il'in, S.M. Nikol'skij. Integral representations of functions and embedding theorems. Nauka, Moskva, 1975, sec. ed. 1996 (Russian); English translation: Wiley, New York, 1978/1979.
- [2] D.D. Haroske, L. Skrzypczak, H. Triebel. Mapping properties of Fourier transforms, revisited. *Acta Math. Sinica* (to appear).
- [3] D.D. Haroske, L. Skrzypczak, H. Triebel. The Fourier transform. Forthcoming book, in preparation.
- [4] M. Kabanava. Tempered Radon measures. *Rev. Mat. Complut.* **21** (2008), 553–564.
- [5] V.K. Nguyen, W. Sickel. Isotropic and dominating mixed Besov spaces: A comparison. *Contemp. Math.* **693** (2017), 363–389.
- [6] V.K. Nguyen, W. Sickel. Isotropic and dominating mixed Lizorkin–Triebel spaces – a comparison. *Anal. Math.* **43** (2017), 303–337.
- [7] S.M. Nikol'skij. On boundary properties of differentiable functions of several variables. (Russian) *Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR* **146** (1962), 542–545.
- [8] S.M. Nikol'skij. On stable boundary values of differentiable functions of several variables. (Russian) *Mat. Sb.* **61** (1963), 224–252.
- [9] S.M. Nikol'skij. Functions with a dominating mixed derivative satisfying a multiple Hölder condition. (Russian) *Sibirsk. Mat. Z.* **6** (1963), 1342–1364.
- [10] S.M. Nikol'skij. Approximation of functions of several variables and embedding theorems. Sec. ed., Nauka, Moskva, 1977; first ed., Nauka, Moskva, 1969 (Russian); English translation: Springer, Berlin, 1975.

- [11] H.-J. Schmeisser. On spaces of functions and distributions with mixed smoothness properties of Besov–Triebel–Lizorkin type, I (Basic properties). *Math. Nachr.* **98** (1980), 233–250.
- [12] H.-J. Schmeisser. On spaces of functions and distributions with mixed smoothness properties of Besov–Triebel–Lizorkin type, II (Fourier multipliers and approximation representations). *Math. Nachr.* **106** (1982), 187–200.
- [13] H.-J. Schmeisser. Recent developments in the theory of function spaces with dominating mixed smoothness. In: *Proceedings Nonlinear Analysis, Function Spaces and Applications* **8**, Inst. Math. Acad. Sci. Czech Republic, Praha, 2007, 145–204.
- [14] H.-J. Schmeisser, H. Triebel. *Topics in Fourier analysis and function spaces*. Wiley, Chicester, 1987.
- [15] H. Triebel. *Interpolation theory, function spaces, differential operators*. North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1978. (Sec. ed. Barth, Heidelberg, 1995).
- [16] H. Triebel. *Theory of function spaces*. Birkhäuser, Basel, 1983.
- [17] H. Triebel. *Theory of function spaces II*. Birkhäuser, Basel, 1992.
- [18] H. Triebel. *Theory of function spaces III*. Birkhäuser, Monographs Math. 100, Basel, 2006.
- [19] H. Triebel. *Bases in function spaces, sampling, discrepancy, numerical integration*. European Math. Soc. Publishing House, Zürich, 2010.
- [20] H. Triebel. *Function spaces with dominating mixed smoothness*. European Math. Soc. Publishing House, Zürich, 2019.
- [21] H. Triebel. *Theory of function spaces IV*. Birkhäuser, Monographs Math. 107, Springer, Cham, 2020.
- [22] H. Triebel. Mapping properties of Fourier transforms. *Z. Anal. Anwend.* **41** (2022), 133–152.
- [23] J. Vybíral. *Function spaces with dominating mixed smoothness*. *Dissertationes Math.* **436** (2006), 3–73.