

AN OVERVIEW OF THE STABILITY OF SOBOLEV INEQUALITIES ON RIEMANNIAN MANIFOLDS WITH RICCI LOWER BOUNDS

FRANCESCO NOBILI

ABSTRACT. We review recent results regarding the problem of the stability of Sobolev inequalities on Riemannian manifolds with Ricci curvature lower bounds. We shall describe techniques and methods from smooth and non-smooth geometry, the fruitful combination of which revealed particularly effective. Furthermore, we present a self-contained overview of the proof of the stability of the Sobolev inequality on manifolds with non-negative Ricci curvature and Euclidean volume growth, adopting a direct strategy tailored to this setting. Finally, we discuss related stability results and present some open questions.

CONTENTS

1. Introduction	1
1.1. The stability program on the Euclidean space	2
1.2. The stability program on Riemannian manifolds: some examples	2
1.3. Main results	3
1.4. Overview of the methods and organization	5
2. Three main ingredients	6
2.1. Calculus and RCD-spaces	6
2.2. Fine Pólya-Szegő inequality and applications	10
2.3. Concentration compactness on varying spaces	12
3. The main argument for the stability	15
3.1. Proof in the non-compact case	15
3.2. Comments for the compact case	17
4. Further results and open questions	18
4.1. Stability in the AB-program	18
4.2. Geometric stability: more functional inequalities	20
4.3. Open questions	20
References	21

1. INTRODUCTION

In the recent series of works [132, 133, 129, 131], several results around functional inequalities in the context of Riemannian manifolds have been investigated, with the primary focus on the problem of the stability of Sobolev inequalities. In this note, we report on the main results achieved and give a self-contained overview of the techniques and methods employed with emphasis on the context of Riemannian manifolds with Ricci curvature lower bounds.

We start by introducing this fascinating problem while gradually moving from the classical Euclidean setting to the context of Riemannian manifolds. In the meantime, we recall previous key contributions around the topic and then present our main results.

1.1. The stability program on the Euclidean space. The Sobolev inequality in sharp form on the Euclidean space \mathbb{R}^d , for $d \in \mathbb{N}, d \geq 2$, reads

$$(1.1) \quad \|u\|_{L^{p^*}(\mathbb{R}^d)} \leq S_{d,p} \|\nabla u\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^d)}, \quad \forall u \in \dot{W}^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^d),$$

where $p \in (1, d)$, $p^* := pd/(d-p)$, $\dot{W}^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^d) := \{u \in L^{p^*}(\mathbb{R}^d) : |\nabla u| \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^d)\}$ and

$$(1.2) \quad S_{d,p} := \frac{1}{d} \left(\frac{d(p-1)}{d-p} \right)^{\frac{p-1}{p}} \left(\frac{\Gamma(d+1)}{d\omega_d \Gamma(d/p) \Gamma(d+1-d/p)} \right)^{\frac{1}{d}},$$

is the sharp Sobolev constant explicitly computed by Aubin [20] and Talenti [148], where $\omega_d := \pi^{d/2}/\Gamma(d/2+1)$ and $\Gamma(\cdot)$ is the Gamma function. Extremal functions, i.e. functions for which equality occurs in (1.1), are also completely characterized

$$(1.3) \quad u := \frac{a}{(1+b|\cdot - z_0|^{\frac{p}{p-1}})^{\frac{d-p}{p}}}, \quad a \in \mathbb{R}, b > 0, z_0 \in \mathbb{R}^d,$$

and are usually called *Euclidean bubbles* (or Aubin-Talenti bubbles) because of their radial shape.

A natural question, firstly asked in [41], is the following:

If u almost saturates (1.1), is u close to the family of Euclidean bubbles?

One of the main difficulties behind this question is that the extremal family (1.3) is invariant for a non-compact group of symmetries. In fact, a qualitative answer to this question can be given by means of the celebrated concentration compactness of Lions [117, 118]. If $(u_n) \subseteq \dot{W}^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ non zero is so that $S_{d,p} - \|u_n\|_{L^{p^*}(\mathbb{R}^d)}/\|\nabla u_n\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^d)} \rightarrow 0$, then up to translations, dilations and scalings, it converges strongly in L^{p^*} to some Euclidean bubble. In particular, by rephrasing this principle we get for $\varepsilon > 0$ the existence of some $\delta := \delta(\varepsilon, d, p) > 0$ *non-quantified* so that for every $u \in \dot{W}^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ non-zero it holds

$$\frac{\|u\|_{L^{p^*}(\mathbb{R}^d)}}{\|\nabla u\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^d)}} > S_{d,p} - \delta \quad \implies \quad \inf_{a,b,z_0} \frac{\|\nabla(u - u_{a,b,z_0})\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^d)}}{\|\nabla u\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^d)}} \leq \varepsilon.$$

This provides a *qualitative* answer to the stability problem.

A *quantitative* stability result instead aims at quantifying how close the function u is to the family of Euclidean bubbles in terms of the deficit in the Sobolev inequality. The first result in this direction was obtained by Bianchi and Egnell [36] who showed that

$$(1.4) \quad \inf_{a,b,z_0} \frac{\|\nabla(u - u_{a,b,z_0})\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)}}{\|\nabla u\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)}} \leq C_d \left(\frac{\|\nabla u\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)}}{\|u\|_{L^{2^*}(\mathbb{R}^d)}} - S_{d,2}^{-1} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}, \quad \forall u \in \dot{W}^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}^d),$$

for an implicit dimensional constant $C_d > 0$. Subsequently, this research program was pushed further to deal with the much harder exponent $p \in (1, d)$ in the series of works [64, 84, 127, 85] requiring a new set of ideas and recently there has been a breakthrough in quantifying explicitly the stability constant C_d appearing in (1.4), see [72, 71] (also the related [108] and [90]).

Finally, we mention that the stability for signed *critical points* of (1.1) has also been studied since the multi-bubbling analysis of [144] and quantitative results were then obtained in [65, 83, 69].

1.2. The stability program on Riemannian manifolds: some examples. Exporting this research line to the setting of Riemannian manifolds presents some interesting challenges that we shall face in the following order:

- i) understand the validity and the sharpness of Sobolev inequalities in connection with geometric and curvature assumptions;
- ii) study the existence of extremal functions;
- iii) depending on existence/non-existence, investigate a stability principle.

As for i), starting from Aubin [21] with the celebrated AB-program, there were big efforts in understanding Sobolev inequalities and related notions of optimal constants on closed Riemannian manifolds (i.e. compact and boundaryless). We refer to the authoritative book [101] with many references therein, as well as to [73]. A small introduction will be given later in Section 4.1.

Instead, on non-compact manifolds, the validity of Sobolev embeddings is usually related to non-collapsing assumptions of volumes and Ricci curvature lower bounds, see [101, Chapter 3] for more insights and references. Starting from [114, 153], it became clear that reasonable assumptions are the requirements of non-negative Ricci curvature and Euclidean volume growth, as we will see later.

As for ii)-iii), results in both directions are very rare. Looking at model spaces with non-zero constant sectional curvature, we recall that on the round sphere \mathbb{S}^d it can be shown via stereographic projection (see, e.g., [91, Appendix A]) that (1.1) as well as the class (1.3) are in one-to-one correspondence with the following sharp Sobolev inequality studied in [19]

$$(1.5) \quad \|u\|_{L^{2^*}(\nu)}^2 \leq \frac{2^* - 2}{d} \|\nabla u\|_{L^2(\nu)}^2 + \|u\|_{L^2(\nu)}^2, \quad \forall u \in W^{1,2}(\mathbb{S}^d),$$

admitting the class of extremizers (see [101, Chapter 5])

$$(1.6) \quad v_{a,b,z_0} := \frac{a}{(1 - b \cos(d(\cdot, z_0)))^{\frac{2-d}{2}}}, \quad \text{with } a \in \mathbb{R}, b \in [0, 1), z_0 \in \mathbb{S}^d.$$

We shall refer to any of the above functions as a *spherical bubble*. Here d is the geodesic distance on \mathbb{S}^d with respect to the standard round metric and $\nu := \text{Vol}/\text{Vol}(\mathbb{S}^d)$ is the renormalized volume form. This renormalization is not always standard, but we shall adopt it to get clearer inequalities. Notice that in this case, it holds

$$S_{d,2} = \left(\frac{2^* - 2}{d} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \text{Vol}(\mathbb{S}^d)^{-\frac{1}{d}}.$$

In particular, the Bianchi-Egnell stability (1.4) carries over to this setting (again, by stereographic projection, see [78, Eq. (3)]) hence, the program of stability for (1.5) on the round sphere is completely understood.

An analogous program was then run for the Hyperbolic space for the sharp Poincaré-Sobolev inequality studied in [122]. Stability results were recently derived in [34] (see also [35] for critical points).

A much more general result has been recently achieved in [78] for a class of *conformally invariant Sobolev inequalities* related to the Yamabe problem [154] (see [115, 40] and references therein). Here, the manifold is assumed closed and non-conformally equivalent to the round sphere, so the existence of minimizing metrics is known by the resolution of the Yamabe problem [149, 19, 141]. In [78], a quantitative analysis is performed for minimizing Yamabe metrics with techniques derived in [62] for the Riemannian isoperimetric inequality. We shall comment more on this in Section 4.1. We mention here that a quantitative analysis has been recently performed in [61] for signed critical points, i.e. for nonnegative solutions to the Yamabe equation.

1.3. Main results. In this part, we start specializing our discussion to the setting of Riemannian manifolds with Ricci curvature lower bounds.

Let us first consider a d -dimensional Riemannian manifolds (M, g) , $d \geq 2$, satisfying

$$(1.7) \quad \text{Ric}_g \geq 0, \quad \text{AVR}(M) := \lim_{R \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\text{Vol}_g(B_R(x))}{\omega_d R^d} > 0,$$

for $x \in M$. The second condition is the *Euclidean volume growth* property and $\text{AVR}(M)$ is called the asymptotic volume growth. Thanks to the Bishop-Gromov monotonicity, it holds that $\text{AVR}(M) \in [0, 1]$, the limit exists and it is independent of x . We recall that $\text{AVR}(M) = 1$ occur if and only if (M, g) is isometric to \mathbb{R}^d , see [66, 68].

In [26] (see also [112] for an Optimal Transport proof revisiting [67]) the following sharp Euclidean Sobolev inequality was derived under the assumptions (1.7) and for $p \in (1, d)$:

$$(1.8) \quad \|u\|_{L^{p^*}(M)} \leq \text{AVR}(M)^{-\frac{1}{d}} S_{d,p} \|\nabla u\|_{L^p(M)}, \quad \forall u \in \dot{W}^{1,p}(M).$$

We point out that the Euclidean volume growth property is a non-collapsing property that is sufficient to guarantee the underlying Sobolev embedding (see again [101, Chapter 3]) and also necessary, as understood since the works [113, 153].

The natural follow-up question is whether extremal functions for (1.8) exist or not. This has been fully addressed in [131].

Theorem 1.1. *Let (M, g) be a d -dimensional non-compact Riemannian manifolds, $d \geq 2$, with $\text{Ric}_g \geq 0$ and $\text{AVR}(M) > 0$. Fix $p \in (1, d)$. Then, equality holds in (1.8) for some non-zero $u \in \dot{W}^{1,p}(M)$ if and only if M is isometric to \mathbb{R}^d .*

A straightforward corollary is then the following.

Corollary 1.2. *Let (M, g) be a d -dimensional non-compact Riemannian manifolds, $d \geq 2$, with $\text{Ric}_g \geq 0$. Fix $p \in (1, d)$. If $\text{AVR}(M) \in (0, 1)$, then there are no extremal functions in (1.8).*

The above was obtained for $p = 2$ in [46] by studying the rigidity of signed critical points for the Sobolev inequalities and in [133] by studying the rigidity of the Pólya-Szegő inequality. In [131], we generalized this result for all $p \in (1, d)$ by a fine analysis of the rigidity of the Pólya-Szegő inequality in this setting. We will comment on this improvement later in Section 2.2.

The next follow-up question is what happens when a function almost saturates (1.8). Notice that the question still makes sense, even though extremal functions might not exist, as (1.8) is sharp on every (M, g) as in (1.7), possibly non-isometric to \mathbb{R}^d . Our main result was obtained in [133].

Theorem 1.3. *For every $\varepsilon > 0, V \in (0, 1)$ and $d > 2$, there exists $\delta := \delta(\varepsilon, d, V) > 0$ such that the following holds. Let (M, g) be a d -dimensional non-compact Riemannian manifold with $\text{Ric}_g \geq 0$ and $\text{AVR}(M) > V$ and suppose there exists $0 \neq u \in \dot{W}^{1,2}(M)$ satisfying*

$$\frac{\|u\|_{L^{2^*}(M)}}{\|\nabla u\|_{L^2(M)}} > \text{AVR}(M)^{-\frac{1}{d}} S_{d,2} - \delta.$$

Then, there exist $a \in \mathbb{R}, b > 0$, and $z_0 \in M$ so that

$$\frac{\|\nabla(u - u_{a,b,z_0})\|_{L^2(M)}}{\|\nabla u\|_{L^2(M)}} \leq \varepsilon,$$

where $u_{a,b,z_0} := a(1 + b\mathbf{d}_g(\cdot, z_0)^{\frac{p}{p-1}})^{\frac{p-d}{p}}$.

We point out that in general, the family u_{a,b,z_0} is not a family of extremizers (recall Corollary 1.2). Anyhow, we shall refer to any of the above as Euclidean bubbles. Finally, notice that Theorem 1.1 is stated only for $p = 2$, as it was obtained in [133] only for this exponent (see Remark 2.9).

We pass to a second setting of spaces with positive Ricci curvature lower bounds. Let us consider a closed d -dimensional Riemannian manifold (M, g) , $d > 2$, satisfying

$$\text{Ric}_g \geq (d-1).$$

Recall that, by the maximal diameter theorem it holds $\text{diam}(M) \leq \pi$. Under these assumptions, the same Sobolev inequality (1.5) as in the sphere is valid:

$$(1.9) \quad \|u\|_{L^{2^*}(\nu)}^2 \leq \frac{2^* - 2}{d} \|\nabla u\|_{L^2(\nu)}^2 + \|u\|_{L^2(\nu)}^2, \quad \forall u \in W^{1,2}(M),$$

where all the norms are computed with the renormalized volume form $\nu = \text{Vol}_g/\text{Vol}_g(M)$. This result dates back to [105] but several different proofs are by now available [23, 25, 87, 101, 24, 76]. We can then introduce a notion of optimal Sobolev constant by setting

$$(1.10) \quad A^{\text{opt}}(M) := \sup_{\substack{u \in W^{1,2}(M), \\ u \neq \text{cst.}}} \frac{\|u\|_{L^{2^*}(\nu)}^2 - \|u\|_{L^2(\nu)}^2}{\|\nabla u\|_{L^2(\nu)}^2}.$$

We refer again to [101] and Section 4.1 for more details. Therefore, now (1.9) takes simply the form

$$(1.11) \quad A^{\text{opt}}(M) \leq \frac{2^* - 2}{d} \stackrel{(1.5)}{=} A^{\text{opt}}(\mathbb{S}^d).$$

At this point, the program of stability for (1.9) is at a fork. Either one investigates the existence and stability properties of extremal functions $\mathcal{M}(A)$ for $A^{\text{opt}}(M)$, i.e. $v \in \mathcal{M}(A)$ if v is a maximizers of (1.10), or one instead tries to understand the rigid scenario in the above comparison inequality (1.11) and proceeds towards a slightly different stability program. The former approach, which has been undertaken in [129], produced a quantitative stability estimate of the following kind

$$\frac{A^{\text{opt}}(M)\|\nabla u\|_{L^2(\nu)}^2 + \|u\|_{L^2(\nu)}^2}{\|u\|_{L^{2^*}(\nu)}^2} - 1 \geq C \left(\inf_{v \in \mathcal{M}(A)} \frac{\|u - v\|_{W^{1,2}(M)}}{\|u\|_{W^{1,2}(M)}} \right)^{2+\gamma}$$

for some constants $C > 0, \gamma \geq 0$ depending on the manifold and under a suitable strict bound on the optimal constant $A^{\text{opt}}(M)$. See Theorem 4.4 for the precise (more general) statement.

Instead, given the emphasis on Ricci lower bounds in this note, we next explore the latter approach. The combination of [132, 133, 131] gives:

Theorem 1.4. *For every $d > 2$, $\varepsilon > 0$, there is $\delta := \delta(\varepsilon, d) > 0$ and a constant $C_d > 0$ such that: for every d -dimensional Riemannian manifold (M, g) with $\text{Ric}_g \geq (d-1)$, it holds:*

- i) RIGIDITY. $A^{\text{opt}}(M) = A(\mathbb{S}^d)$ if and only if M is isometric to the round sphere \mathbb{S}^d ;
- ii) QUANTITATIVE GEOMETRIC STABILITY. *It holds*

$$C_d(\pi - \text{diam}(X))^d \leq A(\mathbb{S}^d) - A^{\text{opt}}(M);$$

- iii) QUALITATIVE FUNCTIONAL STABILITY. *If for some non-constant $u \in W^{1,2}(M)$ it holds*

$$\frac{\|u\|_{L^{2^*}(\nu)}^2 - \|u\|_{L^2(\nu)}^2}{\|\nabla u\|_{L^2(\nu)}^2} > \frac{2^* - 2}{d} - \delta,$$

then there exist $a \in \mathbb{R}$, $b \in [0, 1)$ and $z_0 \in M$ such that

$$(1.12) \quad \frac{\|\nabla(u - v_{a,b,z_0})\|_{L^2(\nu)} + \|u - u_{a,b,z_0}\|_{L^{2^*}(\nu)}}{\|u\|_{L^{2^*}(\nu)}} \leq \varepsilon,$$

where $v_{a,b,z_0} := a(1 - b \cos(\mathbf{d}_g(\cdot, z_0))^{\frac{2-d}{2}})$.

Again, we point out the crucial fact that now the family of functions $v_{a,b,z}$ appearing in (1.12) is explicit but, in general, they are not maximizers for $A^{\text{opt}}(M)$ in (1.10). As they share the same profiles of the extremal family on \mathbb{S}^d (recall (1.5),(1.6)), we shall refer to them as spherical bubbles.

1.4. Overview of the methods and organization. In this part, we give a quick overview of the main strategy to prove the main functional stability results, and then describe how this note is organized. We shall mainly restrict our attention to the proof of Theorem 1.3. This result is easier to obtain compared to Theorem 1.4 but contains all the essential ideas.

Arguing by contradiction in Theorem 1.3, we get a sequence of manifolds satisfying for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$

$$(M_n, \mathbf{d}_n) \text{ with } \text{Ric}_{g_n} \geq 0, \quad \text{AVR}(M_n) > V,$$

and functions $0 \neq u_n \in \dot{W}^{1,2}(M_n)$, without loss of generality with $\|u_n\|_{L^{2^*}(M_n)} = 1$, so that

$$\frac{\|u_n\|_{L^{2^*}(M_n)}}{\|\nabla u_n\|_{L^2(M_n)}} \rightarrow \text{AVR}(M_n)^{-\frac{1}{d}} S_{d,2}, \quad \text{as } n \uparrow \infty.$$

As for the standard Lions concentration compactness strategy [117, 118], one needs to look at the sequence of probability measures $\nu_n := |u_n|^{2^*} \text{Vol}_{g_n}$ and study its behavior. The major issues are: (u_n) might fail to be pre-compact in L^{2^*} , exactly because of the symmetries that are acting on the family of Euclidean bubbles and, in our setting, the manifold M_n is not fixed, suggesting that one needs to allow suitable non-smooth limits and employ a generalized Sobolev calculus.

In a nutshell, the idea will be to work with a generalized notion of manifolds with Ricci curvature lower bounds to gain stability and pre-compactness results both at the level of the sequence of manifolds and the sequence of functions. In particular, our main proof argument for the stability results will consist of the combination of three main ingredients:

- i) Calculus and non-smooth spaces with Ricci curvature lower bounds in a synthetic sense;
- ii) Fine Pólya-Szegő rearrangement inequalities with applications to sharp Sobolev inequalities on non-smooth spaces;
- iii) Generalized concentration compactness principles on varying spaces.

To each of the above, we shall dedicate a section to give an overview of the main methods and further interesting details. With respect to the original works where these results were obtained, we shall eventually simplify some statements and give proofs or overviews of proofs adopting, when possible, more direct approaches.

This note is organized as follows: in Section 2 we expand on the three ingredients discussed above; in Section 3 we present our main proof-argument to deduce Theorem 1.3 where all the three ingredients are combined, and subsequently comment on the modifications of this strategy to obtain the functional stability result iii) in Theorem 1.4; finally, in Section 4, we present related quantitative stability results and conclude by presenting some open questions.

2. THREE MAIN INGREDIENTS

The aim of this section is to present the three main ingredients that combined yield the proof of Theorem 1.3. We shall also expand on some relevant details.

2.1. Calculus and RCD-spaces. In this part, we shall introduce the notion of Sobolev functions in the category of metric measure spaces and then consider suitable *synthetic* generalizations of Riemannian manifolds with Ricci curvature lower bounds. Here, we give a brief overview of this research field focusing only on the relevant properties for our stability program.

We start by discussing the first-order calculus on metric measure spaces and we set up some notation. We recall that a metric measure space is a triple (X, d, \mathbf{m}) where (X, d) is a complete and separable metric space and \mathbf{m} is a non-negative, non-zero and boundedly finite Borel measure. We denote $C(X), C_b(X), C_{bs}(X)$ respectively the space of continuous functions, continuous and bounded functions and continuous and boundedly supported functions on X . By $\text{Lip}(X), \text{Lip}_{bs}(X)$, we denote respectively the collection of Lipschitz functions and boundedly supported Lipschitz functions and by $\text{lip}(u)$ the local Lipschitz constant of $u: X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$. By $\mathcal{P}(X)$ we denote the collection of Borel probability measures on X . For any $p \in (1, \infty)$, we denote by $L^p(X), L^p_{loc}(X)$ respectively the space of p -integrable functions and p -integrable functions on a neighborhood of every point (up to \mathbf{m} -a.e. equality relation) on X . Equivalently, we shall also write $L^p(\mathbf{m}), L^p_{loc}(\mathbf{m})$.

Next, we define the *Cheeger* energy

$$\text{Ch}_p(u) := \inf \left\{ \int \text{lip}(u_n)^p d\mathbf{m} : (u_n) \subseteq \text{Lip}(X), u_n \rightarrow u \text{ in } L^p(\mathbf{m}) \right\}.$$

Here, we set to $+\infty$ the infimum as customary when no such sequence (u_n) exists. Then, the Sobolev space $W^{1,p}(X)$ is defined as the collection of $u \in L^p(\mathbf{m})$ so that $\text{Ch}_p(u) < \infty$ equipped with the usual norm $\|u\|_{W^{1,p}(X)}^p := \|u\|_{L^p(\mathbf{m})}^p + \text{Ch}_p(u)$. Relevant properties of the Sobolev calculus have been deeply analyzed in the literature (see [56, 142]), here we are following the equivalent axiomatization given by [5] and, accordingly, we recall that the Cheeger energy, when finite, admits the representation

$$\text{Ch}_p(u) = \int |\nabla u|^p \, d\mathbf{m},$$

for a suitable function $|\nabla u| \in L^p(X)$ called minimal p -weak upper gradient. Similarly, and thanks to locality [5] of this object, we can introduce the space $W_{loc}^{1,p}(X)$ as the space of $u \in L_{loc}^p(\mathbf{m})$ so that $\eta u \in W^{1,p}(X)$ for all $\eta \in \text{Lip}_{bs}(X)$. Also, by slight abuse of notation, we shall always write $\|\nabla u\|_{L^p(\mathbf{m})}$ in place of $\| |\nabla u| \|_{L^p(X)}$. We shall not insist on the dependence of $|\nabla u|$ on the exponent p , as in all the settings appearing in this note this is never an issue, see [56, 96, 99].

In general, we cannot expect any sort of Sobolev embeddings to hold, as this genuinely depends on the underlying regularity of the ambient space. Since in this note we are interested in Sobolev inequalities, we start discussing notions of Ricci curvature lower bounds in this general setting.

The search for synthetic treatments of Ricci curvature lower bounds began with the Lott-Sturm-Villani theory [120, 145, 146] (see also [151, 147] and references therein) after the celebrated theory of Ricci limit spaces due to Cheeger and Colding [57, 58, 59, 60]. This notion is formulated via optimal transport ([150]) by requiring convexity of Entropy functionals in the Wasserstein space. In this note, we shall rather restrict our analysis to the *Riemannian* subclass, the so-called, $\text{RCD}(K, N)$ condition for $K \in \mathbb{R}, N \geq 1$, which is the requirement of a metric measure space (X, d, \mathbf{m}) to have ‘‘Ricci curvature bounded below by K and dimension bounded above by N ’’. For brevity reasons, we shall not introduce this notion while focusing instead on key aspects and examples. Here we just recall that the RCD -condition was formulated first in the infinite-dimensional setting [6] and then proposed in the finite-dimensional setting [94]. We mention additional important contributions [7, 4, 9, 79, 49] that lead to many developments of this theory and thanks to which important equivalent formulations were identified. For a complete account, we refer to [2].

2.1.1. Examples. Let us discuss key examples of non-smooth spaces for this note. First of all, the RCD class is consistent with the smooth category, and indeed it is a generalization of the class of Riemannian manifolds. Indeed, if (M, g) is a d -dimensional Riemannian manifold with $\text{Ric}_g \geq K$ and $d \leq N$, then (M, d_g, Vol_g) when regarded as a metric measure spaces with the geodesic distance and its volume measure, is an $\text{RCD}(K, N)$ space. See [152] for several equivalences.

However, the class is much richer and contains also weighted Riemannian manifolds with modified Bakry-Émery Ricci tensor bounded below, and singular structures such as Ricci limit spaces [57, 58] and Alexandrov spaces ([137, 155, 97]). For us, it will be important to recall some operations that are closed within this class, namely scalings and cones.

Let (X, d, \mathbf{m}) be a metric measure space satisfying the $\text{RCD}(0, N)$ condition for some $N \geq 1$ and let $\sigma > 0$ be a number. Then, the metric measure space obtained by scaling

$$(X_\sigma, d_\sigma, \mathbf{m}_\sigma) := (X, \sigma \cdot d, \sigma^N \cdot \mathbf{m}),$$

is again an $\text{RCD}(0, N)$ space, where we set $\sigma \cdot d(x, y) := \sigma d(x, y)$ for all $x, y \in X$ and $\sigma^N \cdot \mathbf{m}(B) = \sigma^N \mathbf{m}(B)$ for every $B \subset X$ Borel.

For $N > 1$, recall that a N -Euclidean cone over a metric measure space (Y, ρ, μ) is defined to be the space $C_N(Y) := Y \times [0, \infty) / (Y \times \{0\})$ endowed with the distance and the measure

$$\begin{aligned} d((t, y), (s, y')) &:= \sqrt{t^2 + s^2 - 2st \cos(\rho(y, y') \wedge \pi)}, \\ \mathbf{m} &:= t^{N-1} dt \otimes \mu, \end{aligned}$$

for all $t, s \in [0, \infty), y, y' \in Y$. The point $Y \times \{0\}$ is called the tip of the cone. It follows then by [107] (and thanks to [48]) that the RCD-condition is stable under the action of taking cones, namely, we have for $N > 2$ that

$$C_N(Y) \text{ is an RCD}(0, N)\text{-space} \iff Y \text{ is an RCD}(N-2, N-1)\text{-space.}$$

Similarly, we recall the notion of N -spherical suspension over a metric measure space $(Y, \mathbf{m}_Y, \mathbf{d}_Y)$ defined as the space $S_N(Y) := Y \times [0, \pi] / (Y \times \{0, \pi\})$ endowed with the distance and the measure

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{d}((t, y), (s, y')) &:= \cos^{-1}(\cos(s)\cos(t) + \sin(s)\sin(t)\cos(\rho(y, y') \wedge \pi)), \\ \mathbf{m} &:= \sin^{N-1}(t)dt \otimes \mu. \end{aligned}$$

for all $t, s \in [0, \pi], y, y' \in Y$. The points $\{0\} \times Y, \{\pi\} \times Y$ are called tips of the suspension. Again by [107], the RCD-condition is stable under the action of taking suspension: if $N > 2$ it holds

$$S_N(Y) \text{ is an RCD}(N-1, N)\text{-space} \iff Y \text{ is an RCD}(N-2, N-1)\text{-space.}$$

2.1.2. Precompactness under Gromov-Hausdorff convergence. One of the main reasons to consider synthetic notions of Ricci curvature lower bounds is to gain pre-compactness and stability properties under a weak notion of convergence of metric structures dating back to Gromov [100]. Here, we shall adopt the so-called pointed measure Gromov-Hausdorff convergence of metric measure space following [98]. Even though this is not standard, it better suits the goal of this note and it is equivalent, in the case of finite dimensional RCD-spaces, to previous notions considered in the literature (see again [98]).

Set $\bar{\mathbb{N}} := \mathbb{N} \cup \{\infty\}$. A *pointed* metric measure space is a quadruple $(X, \mathbf{d}, \mathbf{m}, x)$ where $(X, \mathbf{d}, \mathbf{m})$ is a metric measure space and $x \in X$. A pointed RCD-space is then a pointed metric measure space $(X, \mathbf{d}, \mathbf{m}, x)$ so that $(X, \mathbf{d}, \mathbf{m})$ is an RCD-space.

Definition 2.1. Let $(X_n, \mathbf{d}_n, \mathbf{m}_n, x_n)$ be pointed metric measure spaces for $n \in \bar{\mathbb{N}}$. We say that $(X_n, \mathbf{d}_n, \mathbf{m}_n, x_n)$ converges to $(X_\infty, \mathbf{d}_\infty, \mathbf{m}_\infty, x_\infty)$ in the pointed measured Gromov Hausdorff topology, provided there are a metric space (Z, \mathbf{d}) and isometric embeddings $\iota_n: X_n \rightarrow Z$ for all $n \in \bar{\mathbb{N}}$ satisfying

$$(\iota_n)_\# \mathbf{m}_n \rightharpoonup (\iota_\infty)_\# \mathbf{m}_\infty, \quad \text{in duality with } C_{bs}(Z),$$

and $\iota_n(x_n) \rightarrow \iota_\infty(x_\infty)$ as $n \uparrow \infty$. The metric space (Z, \mathbf{d}) is called the *realization of the convergence*. In this case, we shortly say that X_n pmGH-converges to X_∞ and write $X_n \xrightarrow{\text{pmGH}} X_\infty$.

The key results are then the pre-compactness and the stability properties of the RCD-condition, referring to [93, 6, 98] (recall also [145, 146, 121]) and thanks to Gromov's precompactness [100].

Theorem 2.2. *Let $(X_n, \mathbf{d}_n, \mathbf{m}_n, x_n)$ be pointed RCD(K_n, N_n) spaces for $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and for some $K_n \in \mathbb{R}, N_n \in [1, \infty)$ satisfying $K_n \rightarrow K \in \mathbb{R}, N_n \rightarrow N \in [1, \infty)$. Suppose that $\mathbf{m}_n(B_1(x_n)) \in (v, v^{-1})$ for some $v > 0$ independent on n . Then, there exist a pointed RCD(K, N) space $(X_\infty, \mathbf{d}_\infty, \mathbf{m}_\infty, x_\infty)$ and a subsequence (n_k) such that $X_{n_k} \xrightarrow{\text{pmGH}} X_\infty$ as $k \uparrow \infty$.*

We also refer to [95] for more on stability results in connection with Ricci curvature lower bounds.

2.1.3. Sobolev calculus with varying base space. Building on top of the pre-compactness and stability properties of the RCD-condition along pmGH-convergence, one can start looking at Sobolev functions $u_n \in W^{1,2}(X_n)$ along a pmGH-converging sequence and then discuss semicontinuity and Rellich pre-compactness results for a generalized Sobolev calculus with varying base space. Here, the RCD-condition will be essential, as the only chance to obtain such a result is to enforce uniform underlying regularity, see [95] for a detailed treatment.

We start recalling the notions of convergence of functions along pmGH-converging sequences [104, 98, 8], adopting the so-called *extrinsic approach*, see [98].

Definition 2.3. Let $(X_n, \mathbf{d}_n, \mathbf{m}_n, x_n)$ be pointed metric measure spaces for $n \in \bar{\mathbb{N}}$ and suppose that $X_n \xrightarrow{pmGH} X_\infty$ as $n \uparrow \infty$. Let $p \in (1, \infty)$ and fix a realization of the convergence in (Z, \mathbf{d}) . We say:

- i) $f_n \in L^p(\mathbf{m}_n)$ converges L^p -weak to $f_\infty \in L^p(\mathbf{m}_\infty)$, provided $\sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \|f_n\|_{L^p(\mathbf{m}_n)} < \infty$ and $f_n \mathbf{m}_n \rightharpoonup f_\infty \mathbf{m}_\infty$ in duality with $C_{bs}(Z)$;
- ii) $f_n \in L^p(\mathbf{m}_n)$ converges L^p -strong to $f_\infty \in L^p(\mathbf{m}_\infty)$, provided it converges L^p -weak and $\overline{\lim}_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|f_n\|_{L^p(\mathbf{m}_n)} \leq \|f_\infty\|_{L^p(\mathbf{m}_\infty)}$;
- iii) $f_n \in W^{1,p}(X_n)$ converges $W^{1,p}$ -weak to $f_\infty \in L^p(X_\infty)$ provided it converges L^p -weak and $\sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \|\nabla f_n\|_{L^p(\mathbf{m}_n)} < \infty$;
- iv) $f_n \in W^{1,p}(X_n)$ converges $W^{1,p}$ -strong to $f_\infty \in W^{1,p}(X_\infty)$ provided it converges L^p -strong and $\|\nabla f_n\|_{L^p(\mathbf{m}_n)} \rightarrow \|\nabla f_\infty\|_{L^p(\mathbf{m}_\infty)}$;
- v) $f_n \in L^p(\mathbf{m}_n)$ converges L^p_{loc} -strong to $f_\infty \in L^p(\mathbf{m}_\infty)$, provided ηf_n converges L^p -strong to ηf_∞ for every $\eta \in C_{bs}(Z)$.

The first key stability result is the so-called Mosco-convergence of Cheeger energies proved in [98] for possibly infinite dimensional spaces (see [8] for conclusion ii) and for the case $p \in (1, \infty)$). Here, we work in a simplified setting that is enough for our purposes.

Theorem 2.4 (Mosco-convergence of Ch_2). *Let $(X_n, \mathbf{d}_n, \mathbf{m}_n, x_n)$ be pointed $\text{RCD}(0, N)$ space for some $N \in [1, \infty)$ and for $n \in \bar{\mathbb{N}}$ and suppose that $X_n \xrightarrow{pmGH} X_\infty$ as $n \uparrow \infty$. Then:*

- i) if $f_n \in L^2(\mathbf{m}_n)$ converges L^2 -weak to $f_\infty \in L^2(\mathbf{m}_\infty)$, it holds

$$(2.1) \quad \text{Ch}_2(f_\infty) \leq \underline{\lim}_{n \rightarrow \infty} \text{Ch}_2(f_n);$$

- ii) for any $f_\infty \in L^2(\mathbf{m}_\infty)$, there exists $f_n \in L^p(\mathbf{m}_n)$ converging L^2 -strong to f_∞ and it holds

$$\overline{\lim}_{n \rightarrow \infty} \text{Ch}_2(f_n) \leq \text{Ch}_2(f_\infty).$$

In particular, f_n converges $W^{1,2}$ -strong to f_∞ .

Result i) should be regarded as a lower semicontinuity result of the Sobolev space along varying base space. A straightforward consequence of this is that Sobolev inequalities are *stable* along pmGH-convergences of metric measure structure, following [132, Lemma 4.1].

Lemma 2.5 (pmGH-Stability of Sobolev constants). *Let $(X_n, \mathbf{d}_n, \mathbf{m}_n, x_n)$ be pointed $\text{RCD}(0, N)$ space for some $N \in [1, \infty)$ and for $n \in \bar{\mathbb{N}}$ and assume that for some $A_n \rightarrow A > 0$ and $1 < q < \infty$, it holds*

$$\|u\|_{L^q(\mathbf{m}_n)} \leq A_n \|\nabla u\|_{L^2(\mathbf{m}_n)}, \quad \forall u \in W^{1,2}(X_n).$$

If $X_n \xrightarrow{pmGH} X_\infty$ as $n \uparrow \infty$, then it holds

$$\|u\|_{L^q(\mathbf{m}_\infty)} \leq A \|\nabla u\|_{L^2(\mathbf{m}_\infty)}, \quad \forall u \in W^{1,2}(X_\infty).$$

Proof. Fix any $u \in \text{LIP}_{bs}(X_\infty)$, and by ii) in Theorem 2.4 take a sequence $u_n \in W^{1,2}(X_n)$ such that u_n converges in $W^{1,2}$ -strong to u . In particular, we can estimate by assumptions

$$\overline{\lim}_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|u_n\|_{L^q(\mathbf{m}_n)} \leq \overline{\lim}_{n \rightarrow \infty} A \|\nabla u_n\|_{L^2(\mathbf{m}_n)} = A \|\nabla u\|_{L^2(\mathbf{m}_\infty)} < \infty.$$

Therefore, by weak lower semicontinuity of the L^q -norm (in this setting, see [98, 8]) we get the conclusion of the proof, also taking into account the arbitrariness of $u \in \text{LIP}_{bs}(X_\infty)$. \square

2.2. Fine Pólya-Szegő inequality and applications. The second important ingredient for our analysis is a fine Pólya-Szegő rearrangement theory on non-smooth spaces.

The genesis of rearrangement methods for functions dates back to the works of Faber [80] and Krahn [110, 109] and from the book [138], from which the so-called Pólya-Szegő inequality originated (see also the modern books [116, 22, 106], the survey [89] and references therein). To study the case of equality in the Pólya-Szegő inequality, a big step has been made by Brothers and Ziemer [45] (see also [82]). This fine investigation culminated in [63] where this analysis was extended to the case of functions of bounded variation.

In metric setting, these principles were exported in [125] on non-smooth spaces having positive Ricci curvature lower bounds (extending previous work of Berard-Meyer [33]) and in [132, 133] with the primary focus on non-negative Ricci curvature lower bounds. Here, we shall follow the general analysis conducted in [131] to get finer rigidity results that are essential in this note.

Let us consider a metric measure space (X, d, \mathbf{m}) and $u: X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ Borel satisfying

$$\mu(t) := \mathbf{m}(\{u > t\}) < \infty, \quad \forall t > \operatorname{ess\,inf} u.$$

For $N > 1$, consider the one-dimensional weighted measure on the half-line

$$\mathbf{m}_{0,N} := N\omega_N t^{N-1} dt \llcorner (0, \infty),$$

with $\omega_N := \frac{\pi^{N/2}}{\Gamma(N/2+1)}$. If $N \in \mathbb{N}$ then ω_N is the volume of the unit ball in \mathbb{R}^N as already introduced. We can define the decreasing rearrangement of u with respect to $\mathbf{m}_{0,N}$ as the function

$$(0, \infty) \ni x \mapsto u^*(x) := \inf\{t > \operatorname{ess\,inf} u : \mu(t) < \mathbf{m}_{0,N}((0, x))\}.$$

Observe that, by definition, u and u^* are equi-measurable and u^* is monotone non-increasing, left-continuous and finitely valued. Hence, u^* is a.e. differentiable in $(0, \infty)$. Moreover, u^* is independent of the representative of u up to \mathbf{m} -a.e. equality. Our main result in this part is reported (in a simplified form) from [131, Theorem 1.3].

Theorem 2.6. *Let (X, d, \mathbf{m}) be a $\operatorname{RCD}(0, N)$ space, with $N \in (1, \infty)$ and such that $\operatorname{AVR}(X) > 0$. Fix also $p \in (1, \infty)$. Then, for every $u \in W_{loc}^{1,p}(X)$ with $\mathbf{m}(\{u > t\}) < \infty$ for all $t > \operatorname{ess\,inf} u$, it holds*

$$(2.2) \quad \int |\nabla u|^p d\mathbf{m} \geq \operatorname{AVR}(X)^{\frac{p}{N}} \int_0^{+\infty} |(u^*)'|^p d\mathbf{m}_{0,N},$$

meaning that, when the left-hand side is finite, then u^* is locally absolutely continuous and the above holds.

Moreover, if equality occurs in (2.2) with both sides non-zero and finite, then X is an N -Euclidean cone. Finally, if also $(u^*)' \neq 0$ a.e. on $\{\operatorname{ess\,inf} u < u^* < \operatorname{ess\,sup} u\}$ then u is radial, i.e. for some tip $z_0 \in X$ it holds

$$u = u^* \circ (\operatorname{AVR}(X)^{\frac{1}{N}} d(\cdot, z_0)), \quad \mathbf{m}\text{-a.e.}$$

For non-negative functions, (2.2) was already studied in the non-smooth setting in [132, 133] and previously on manifolds in [26]. It was obtained by combination of a well-established isoperimetric inequality in this setting, see [26] and previous contributions on manifolds [39, 1, 86], with rearrangements arguments involving the theory of sets of finite perimeter in metric setting [124, 3] (see also [123], shown to be equivalent in [77, 130], we also refer to [38] for a metric-valued theory).

Let us focus on the second part of the statement concerning equality cases. In [133], we also dealt with equality cases relying on the rigidity part of the underlying isoperimetric inequality [16, 48] and on the boundedness regularity properties of isoperimetric sets [14, 17]. However, since (2.2) was deduced by approximation via Lipschitz functions satisfying $|\nabla u| \neq 0$ \mathbf{m} -a.e. on $\{\operatorname{ess\,inf} u < \operatorname{ess\,sup} u\}$, the radially conclusion could only be deduced under these assumptions. The main novelty in the above is that the same conclusion holds under $(u^*)' \neq 0$ a.e. in $\{\operatorname{ess\,inf} u <$

$u^* < \text{ess sup } u\}$ (and completely dropping the Lipschitz regularity of u). It is well known (see, e.g., [131, Proposition 3.9]) that the latter is a *weaker* assumption

$$\mathfrak{m}(\{|\nabla u| = 0\} \cap \{\text{ess inf } u < u < \text{ess sup } u\}) = 0 \implies \mathfrak{m}_{0,N}(\{(u^*)' = 0\} \cap \{\text{ess inf } u < u^* < \text{ess sup } u\}) = 0,$$

therefore, Theorem 2.6 improves the corresponding statement in [133, Theorem 1.3]. A straightforward implication is then Theorem 1.1, also in non-smooth settings (here, $S_{N,p}$ reads exactly as in (1.2) for possibly non-integer dimension).

Theorem 2.7. *Let $p \in (1, \infty)$ and let $(X, \mathbf{d}, \mathfrak{m})$ be a $\text{RCD}(0, N)$ space for some $N \in (p, \infty)$ and with $\text{AVR}(X) > 0$. Set $p^* := pN/(N - p)$. Then, for every $u \in W_{loc}^{1,p}(X) \cap L^{p^*}(X)$, it holds sharp*

$$(2.3) \quad \|u\|_{L^{p^*}(\mathfrak{m})} \leq \text{AVR}(X)^{-\frac{1}{N}} S_{N,p} \|\nabla u\|_{L^p(\mathfrak{m})}.$$

Moreover, if equality holds for some non-zero function u with both sides finite, then

- i) X is an N -Euclidean cone;
- ii) there are $a \in \mathbb{R}, b > 0$ and a tip $z_0 \in X$ so that

$$u = \frac{a}{(1 + b\mathbf{d}(\cdot, z_0)^{\frac{p}{p-1}})^{\frac{N-p}{p}}}, \quad \mathfrak{m}\text{-a.e.}$$

Proof. We first show that (2.3) holds, and then prove i),ii). In the end, we comment on the sharpness of (2.3).

Consider any $u \in W_{loc}^{1,p}(X) \cap L^{p^*}(\mathfrak{m})$. If $u = 0$ or $\|\nabla u\|_{L^p(\mathfrak{m})} = \infty$, there is nothing to prove. Otherwise, consider $|u|^*$ the decreasing rearrangement of $|u|$ with respect to $\mathfrak{m}_{0,N}$ (notice $\mathfrak{m}(|u| > t) < \infty$ for $t > 0$, as $u \in L^{p^*}(\mathfrak{m})$). Recalling Theorem 2.6, we have that $|u|^*$ is locally absolutely continuous on $(0, \infty)$, hence we can estimate

$$S_{p,N} \text{AVR}(X)^{-\frac{1}{N}} \|\nabla u\|_{L^p(\mathfrak{m})} \stackrel{(2.2)}{\geq} S_{p,N} \|(|u|^*)'\|_{L^{p^*}(\mathfrak{m}_{0,N})} \geq \| |u|^* \|_{L^{p^*}(\mathfrak{m}_{0,N})} = \|u\|_{L^{p^*}(\mathfrak{m}_{0,N})},$$

having used that $|\nabla u| = |D|u||$ \mathfrak{m} -a.e. by the chain rule, Bliss inequality [37] in the second inequality and equimeasurability in the last equality. This proves (2.3).

Assume now that equality holds in (2.3) for some function $u \in W_{loc}^{1,p}(X) \cap L^{p^*}(\mathfrak{m})$ with both sides non-zero and finite. Then, in the above computation, we have that all inequalities must be equalities. By the characterizations of extremal functions in the Bliss inequality, we then get

$$|u|^*(t) = a(1 + bt^{\frac{p}{p-1}})^{\frac{N-p}{p}}, \quad \forall t > 0,$$

for some $a \in \mathbb{R}, b > 0$. In particular, it holds $(|u|^*)' \neq 0$ a.e. on $(0, \infty)$. Therefore, the rigidity part in Theorem 2.6 gives that X is an N -Euclidean cone and $|u| = |u|^* \circ (\text{AVR}(X)^{1/N} \mathbf{d}(\cdot, z_0))$ holds for some tip $z_0 \in X$. Since $|u|^*$ is strictly positive, the claim for u follows up to possibly inverting sign.

Finally, the sharpness of (2.3) follows by arguments well-established by now, thus we only sketch it (see Remark 2.8 below for reference and details). If we suppose that there is $A < \text{AVR}(X)^{-\frac{1}{N}} S_{N,p}$ so that

$$(2.4) \quad \|u\|_{L^{p^*}(\mathfrak{m})} \leq A \|\nabla u\|_{L^p(\mathfrak{m})},$$

holds for all $u \in W^{1,p}(X)$ (i.e. there is a better Sobolev constant in (2.3)), then the asymptotic properties of Euclidean bubbles imply the reverse inequality $A \geq \text{AVR}(X)^{-\frac{1}{N}} S_{N,p}$, a contradiction. \square

We mention that the very same arguments employed in the above proof works also for different functional inequalities, see for instance [131, Theorem 1.6] and reference there for what concerns the Faber-Krahn inequality and the log-Sobolev inequality in this setting.

Remark 2.8. The argument mentioned for the sharpness of (2.3) originated in the works [113, 153], where it was understood that the validity of a Sobolev inequality as in (2.4) under non-negative Ricci curvature gives in turn the non-collapsing property

$$\text{AVR}(X) \geq \left(\frac{S_{N,p}}{A} \right)^N.$$

The proof starts by testing the sequence of Euclidean bubbles $u_\lambda := \left(\lambda + \mathbf{d}(\cdot, z) \frac{p}{p-1} \right)^{\frac{N-p}{p}}$ in (2.4) and then the conclusion follows by an intricate ODE argument and by sending $\lambda \rightarrow \infty$. This circle of ideas was later exported also in non-smooth settings, see for instance [111].

We considerably shorten the proof of this result via asymptotic analysis and non-smooth techniques in [132, Theorem 4.6]. However, we also refer to the recent work [112] containing the shortest and most elementary argument available by now (see [112, Proposition 2.3]).

Remark 2.9. As already discussed, for $p = 2$ the results i),ii) in Theorem 2.7 were already obtained in [133] relying on a *weaker* rigidity result of the Pólya-Szegő inequality. Notice, in the above proof, that the property

$$\mathfrak{m}_{0,N}(\{(u^*)' = 0\} \cap \{\text{ess inf } u < u^* < \text{ess sup } u\}) = 0,$$

comes for free, thanks to the absence of critical points of the extremal functions in the Bliss inequality. Instead, in [133, Theorem 5.3] the property $\mathfrak{m}(\{|\nabla u| = 0\} \cap \{\text{ess inf } u < u < \text{ess sup } u\}) = 0$ had to be checked, together with the fact that equality in (2.3) holds for Lipschitz functions. Both pieces of information were extracted from elliptic regularity theory thanks to the fact that an extremal function in the Sobolev inequality is a signed distributional solution of

$$S_{2,N}^2 \text{AVR}(X)^{-\frac{2}{N}} \Delta u + |u|u^{2^*-2} = 0,$$

for an appropriate notion of Laplacian in this setting (see [94]). The above has a non-linear analogue for $p \neq 2$, but the arguments used in [133, Theorem 5.3] would not directly extend in this case. ■

2.3. Concentration compactness on varying spaces. Here we present the last important ingredient, namely a generalized concentration compactness principle for extremizing sequences of Sobolev inequalities with varying base space, revisiting the works of Lions [117, 118]. As already remarked, we focus only on the setting of Theorem 1.3 for simplicity, as it contains all the ideas that, suitably generalized, work also for Theorem 1.4. We therefore report here [133, Theorem 6.2] in simplified form.

Theorem 2.10. *For every $N \in (2, \infty)$, exists $\eta_N \in (0, 1/2)$ such that the following holds. Let $(Y_n, \rho_n, \mu_n, y_n)$ be pointed RCD(0, N) spaces for some $N \in (2, \infty)$ and for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Suppose that $\sup_n \mu_n(B_1(y_n)) < +\infty$ and, for some $A_n \rightarrow A > 0$ and $2^* = 2N/(N-2)$, that it holds*

$$(2.5) \quad \|u\|_{L^{2^*}(\mu_n)} \leq A_n \|\nabla u\|_{L^2(\mu_n)}, \quad \forall u \in W^{1,2}(Y_n).$$

Furthermore, suppose there are non-constant functions $u_n \in W^{1,2}(Y_n)$ with $\|u_n\|_{L^{2^*}(\mu_n)} = 1$ and

$$(2.6) \quad \sup_{y \in Y_n} \int_{B_1(y)} |u_n|^{2^*} d\mu_n = \int_{B_1(y_n)} |u_n|^{2^*} d\mu_n = 1 - \eta,$$

$$(2.7) \quad \|u_n\|_{L^{2^*}(\mu_n)} \geq \tilde{A}_n \|\nabla u_n\|_{L^2(\mu_n)},$$

for some $\tilde{A}_n \rightarrow A$ and $\eta \in (0, \eta_N)$. Then, up to a subsequence, we have:

- i) there is a pointed RCD(0, N)-space (Y, ρ, μ, y) so that

$$Y_n \xrightarrow{pmGH} Y,$$

and it holds

$$(2.8) \quad \|u\|_{L^{2^*}(\mu)} \leq A \|\nabla u\|_{L^2(\mu)}, \quad \forall u \in W^{1,2}(Y);$$

ii) u_n converges L^{2^*} -strong to some $0 \neq u_\infty \in W_{loc}^{1,2}(Y)$ with $|\nabla u_\infty| \in L^2(\mu)$ and

$$\int |\nabla u_n|^2 d\mu_n \rightarrow \int |\nabla u_\infty|^2 d\mu, \quad \text{as } n \uparrow \infty;$$

iii) it holds

$$\|u_\infty\|_{L^{2^*}(\mu)} = A \|\nabla u_\infty\|_{L^2(\mu)}.$$

Next, we briefly comment on its proof while referring again to [133, Theorem 6.2] for all the details. Before continuing, notice that the assumption

$$\sup_n \mu_n(B_1(y_n)) < \infty,$$

is about the non-smooth setting. Indeed, if Y_n is a sequence of manifolds and ρ_n, μ_n are respectively the geodesic distance and the volume measure, this is automatically true by Bishop-Gromov monotonicity.

Proof of i). The first important key step is to show that the sequence $(Y_n, \rho_n, \mu_n, y_n)$ is pmGH-precompact thanks to the application of Gromov precompactness theorem in this setting, recall Theorem 2.2. To this aim, we need to check that

$$\mu_n(B_1(y_n)) \in (v^{-1}, v), \quad \text{for some } v > 0 \text{ and for all } n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

The upper bound is directly given by the assumptions. For the lower bound, it suffices to plug in (2.5) the sequence of 1-Lipschitz cut-offs $\varphi_n \in \text{Lip}(Y_n)$ so that φ_n takes value in $[0, 1]$, $\varphi_n \equiv 1$ on $B_1(y_n)$ and $\varphi_n(x) = 0$ on $B_2(y_n)^c$. Indeed, this gives

$$\mu_n(B_1(y_n))^{\frac{1}{2^*}} \leq A_n \mu_n(B_2(y_n))^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq C_N A_n \mu_n(B_1(y_n))^{\frac{1}{2}},$$

where $C_N > 0$ depends on the doubling constant of the $\text{RCD}(0, N)$ class which is uniform along the sequence of spaces Y_n . In particular, rearranging the above gives

$$\mu_n(B_1(y_n))^{\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2^*}} \geq C_N A_n,$$

which precisely implies the sought lower bound, as $2^* > 2$ and $A_n \rightarrow A$ by assumptions. Therefore, by Gromov precompactness result in Theorem 2.2, we have the existence of a pointed $\text{RCD}(0, N)$ space (Y, ρ, μ, y) so that, up to a subsequence, it holds $Y_n \xrightarrow{\text{pmGH}} Y$ as $n \uparrow \infty$. Moreover, by stability of Sobolev constants (c.f. Lemma 2.5), the proof of i) is concluded. \square

Up to now, the condition (2.7) as well as the property (2.6) were not used at all. Roughly, the former encodes the fact that (u_n) is an extremizing sequence along varying base space, while, the latter, states that precisely at unitary radius/scale around y_n , we detect definitely $1 - \eta$ mass (recall that $|u_n|^{2^*} \mu_n$ are probabilities) with $1 - \eta \neq 0, 1$. The combination of the two, combined with the generalized Sobolev calculus discussed in Section 2.1.3, will put the concentration compactness strategy into work to get results ii) and iii). This is one of the most technical parts of the works [132, 133], hence we will not give a rigorous proof. However, we try to convey the key ideas in the following overview.

Overview of the proof of ii) and iii). In this part, let us consider a realization (Z, d) of the pmGH-convergence $Y_n \xrightarrow{\text{pmGH}} Y$ (thanks to result i), now being available). It can be shown that (Z, d) can be taken proper, i.e. bounded and closed sets are compact, see [98]. We can then consider the sequence of probability measures

$$\nu_n := |u_n|^{2^*} \mu_n.$$

Here, we shall adopt the so-called *extrinsic approach* ([98]) and, by suitably pushing forward ν_n on Z via the isometric embeddings given by the pmGH-convergence, we shall tacitly consider μ_n as probability measures on (Z, d) .

Here comes the first main point of the concentration compactness, namely the analysis of all the possible behaviors of the sequence (ν_n) . It turns out (see [144], and [133, Lemma A.6]) that, up to a subsequence, one of the following holds:

- COMPACTNESS. There exists $(z_n) \subset Z$ such that for all $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists $R > 0$ satisfying

$$\nu_n(B_R(z_n)) \geq 1 - \varepsilon, \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

- VANISHING.

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{z \in Z} \nu_n(B_R(z)) = 0, \quad \forall R > 0.$$

- DICHOTOMY. There exists $\lambda \in (0, 1)$ with $\lambda \geq \overline{\lim}_n \sup_{z \in Z} \nu_n(B_R(z))$, for all $R > 0$, so that: there exists $R_n \uparrow \infty$, $(z_n) \subset Z$ and there are ν_n^1, ν_n^2 two non-negative Borel measures satisfying

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &\leq \nu_n^1 + \nu_n^2 \leq \nu_n, \\ \text{supp}(\nu_n^1) &\subset B_{R_n}(z_n), \quad \text{supp}(\nu_n^2) \subset Z \setminus B_{10R_n}(z_n), \\ \overline{\lim}_{n \rightarrow \infty} |\lambda - \nu_n^1(Z)| + |(1 - \lambda) - \nu_n^2(Z)| &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

The Compactness scenario exactly means that (ν_n) is tight, as a sequence of probability measures. The Vanishing scenario means that all the mass escapes outside of every ball and at every fixed scale/radius. The third and more complicated scenario is called instead Dichotomy as it refers to the fact that the mass splits into two separated regions, one located around the points z_n , the other escaping. From here, the proof is devoted to showing that the combination of (2.6) and (2.7) rules out the Vanishing and Dichotomy scenario. Therefore, compactness will hold with $z_n = y_n$ as given by the assumptions, and results ii) and iii) and the conclusion of the proof will follow.

Notice that (2.6) automatically excludes the Vanishing scenario. Hence, it remains to show that Dichotomy does not occur. This in turn can be excluded by the underlying strict concavity of the Sobolev inequality. In fact, it turns out that, when (u_n) is extremizing in the sense (recall (2.5),(2.7)) that

$$\lim_{n \uparrow \infty} \left| 1 - \frac{\|u_n\|_{L^{2^*}(\mu_n)}}{A_n \|\nabla u_n\|_{L^2(\mu_n)}} \right| = 0,$$

then *it is not convenient* to split mass as in the Dichotomy scenario, thanks to the strict concavity of the power $t \mapsto t^{2/2^*}$ appearing in the L^{2^*} term. We refer to Step 2 in [133, Theorem 6.2] for the rigorous argument.

Therefore, having excluded all but the Compactness scenario, we get up to subsequence that $\nu_n \rightharpoonup \nu$ in duality with $C_b(Z)$ to some $\nu \in \mathcal{P}(Z)$. What is left to do, is to understand the nature of this convergence of probability measure, and how it is reflected at the level of L^{2^*} -strong convergence of the original functions u_n . Notice that, by standard semicontinuity and Rellich-compactness arguments (see [129, Appendix A]) we always have that u_n converges (up to a subsequence) L^{2^*} -weak and L^2_{loc} -strong to some function $u_\infty \in W^{1,2}_{loc}(Y)$ with $|\nabla u_\infty| \in L^2(\mu)$, as well as that

$$|\nabla u_n|^2 \mu_n \rightharpoonup \omega, \quad \text{in duality with } C_{bs}(Z),$$

as $n \uparrow \infty$ for some finite Borel measure ω (since $\|\nabla u_n\|_{L^2(\mu_n)}$ is uniformly bounded, by (2.7)). The problem here is that u_∞ , for now, might be very well identically zero, while ν_n might be weakly converging to singular objects, such as a Dirac mass, in the sense of probability measures. Also, a combination of this phenomena can occur, and in fact, a complete characterization of the limit measures ν, ω can be given in terms of u_∞ and possibly countable many Dirac masses (see [133, Lemma A.7]): there is a countable set of indices J , points $(x_j)_{j \in J} \subseteq Y$ and weights

$(\mu_j)_{j \in J}, (\nu_j)_{j \in J} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^+$, satisfying

$$\begin{aligned}\nu &= |u_\infty|^{2^*} \mu + \sum_{j \in J} \nu_j \delta_{x_j}, \\ \omega &\geq |\nabla u|^2 \omega + \sum_{j \in J} \omega_j \delta_{x_j}, \\ \nu_j^{2/2^*} &\leq A^2 \omega_j, \quad \sum_{j \in J} \nu_j^{2/2^*} < \infty.\end{aligned}$$

Notice also that $\lim_n \|Du_n\|_{L^2(\mu_n)}^2 \geq \omega(Z)$ by weak lower semicontinuity. Therefore, by combining everything, we can finally produce the key chain of estimates in the concentration compactness method:

$$\begin{aligned}1 &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int |u_n|^{2^*} d\mu_n \geq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \tilde{A}_n^2 \|\nabla u_n\|_{L^2(\mu_n)}^2 \\ &\geq A^2 \omega(Z) \geq A^2 \int |\nabla u_\infty|^2 d\mu + \sum_{j \in J} \nu_j^{2/2^*} \\ &\stackrel{(2.8)}{\geq} \left(\int |u_\infty|^{2^*} d\mu \right)^{2/2^*} + \sum_{j \in J} \nu_j^{2/2^*} \\ &\geq \left(\int |u_\infty|^{2^*} d\mu + \sum_{j \in J} \nu_j \right)^{2/2^*} = \nu(Y)^{2/2^*} = 1,\end{aligned}$$

where we used, in the last inequality, the concavity of the function $t^{2/2^*}$. In particular, all the inequalities must be equalities and, since $t^{2/2^*}$ is strictly concave, we infer that every term in the sum $\int |u_\infty|^{2^*} d\mu + \sum_{j \in J} \nu_j^{2/2^*}$ must vanish except one. By the assumption (2.6) and since $|u_\infty|^{2^*} \mathbf{m}_n \rightharpoonup \nu$ in $C_b(Z)$, we have $\nu_j \leq 1 - \eta$ for every $j \in J$. Hence $\nu_j = 0$ and $\|u_\infty\|_{L^{2^*}(\mu)} = 1$. This means that u_n converges L^{2^*} -strong to u . Moreover, retracing the equalities in the above we have that $\lim_n \int |\nabla u_n|^2 d\mu_n = \int |\nabla u_\infty|^2 d\mu$. This proves point ii). Finally, equality in the fourth inequality is precisely part iii) of the statement. \square

It is worth mentioning that related principles have been investigated also in the series of works [12, 13] (based on [126]) and applied to the study of the isoperimetric problem on non-compact manifolds in [11, 16, 15, 18]. We refer to [139] for a nice overview. Other recent applications of concentration compactness principles for variational problems can be found in the theory of clusters [140, 135, 134] and in the study of isoperimetric partitions [55, 53, 54, 136, 128].

3. THE MAIN ARGUMENT FOR THE STABILITY

In this part, we combine the three ingredients presented in the previous section and exhibit the main proof argument to get stability results for Sobolev inequalities under Ricci lower bounds.

3.1. Proof in the non-compact case. Here, we deal with the non-compact case.

Proof of Theorem 1.3. We can clearly assume that $\|u\|_{L^{2^*}(M)} = 1$. Moreover, by an approximation argument, it is also sufficient to prove the statement for $u \in W^{1,2}(M)$.

We proceed by contradiction and suppose that there exist $\varepsilon > 0$, a sequence of d -dimensional Riemannian manifolds (M_n, g_n) for $n \in \mathbb{N}$ satisfying $\text{AVR}(M_n) > V$ and there are non-zero functions $u_n \in W^{1,2} \cap L^{2^*}(M_n)$ satisfying

$$(3.1) \quad \|u_n\|_{L^{2^*}(M_n)} \geq (A_n - 1/n) \|\nabla u_n\|_{L^2(M_n)},$$

where $A_n := \text{AVR}(M_n)^{-\frac{1}{d}} S_{d,2}$, but, by the contradiction hypothesis, so that

$$(3.2) \quad \inf_{a,b,z} \frac{\|\nabla(u_n - v_{a,b,z})\|_{L^2(M_n)}}{\|\nabla u_n\|_{L^2(M_n)}} > \varepsilon, \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

For every $\eta \in (0, 1)$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$, let $y_n \in M_n$ and $t_n > 0$ so that

$$1 - \eta = \int_{B_{t_n}(y_n)} |u_n|^{2^*} d\text{Vol}_{g_n} = \sup_{y \in M_n} \int_{B_{t_n}(y)} |u_n|^{2^*} d\text{Vol}_{g_n}.$$

Define now $\sigma_n := t_n^{-1}$ and consider the sequence of pointed metric measure space $(Y_n, \rho_n, \mu_n, y_n) := (M_n, \mathbf{d}_{\sigma_n}, \mathbf{m}_{\sigma_n}, y_n)$, where recall $\mathbf{d}_{\sigma_n} := \sigma_n \cdot \mathbf{d}_{g_n}$, $\mathbf{m}_{\sigma_n} := \sigma_n^d \cdot \text{Vol}_{g_n}$ and consider the functions $u_{\sigma_n} := \sigma_n^{-d/2^*} u_n \in W^{1,2}(Y_n)$. By the compatibility properties of the RCD-class, it is evident that

$$(Y_n, \rho_n, \mu_n) \quad \text{is an RCD}(0, d) \text{ space,}$$

and, by definition, it holds

$$(3.3) \quad \frac{\mu_n(B_R(y))}{\omega_d R^d} = \frac{\text{Vol}_{g_n}(B_{R/\sigma_n}(y))}{\omega_d (R/\sigma_n)^d}$$

for all $R > 0$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$. In particular, we deduce the uniform bounds

$$\begin{aligned} \mu_n(B_1(y_n)) &\in (v, 1], \\ \text{AVR}(Y_n) &= \text{AVR}(M_n) \in (v, 1], \\ A_n &\in (2S_{d,2}, v^{-\frac{1}{d}} S_{d,2}), \end{aligned}$$

for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. By similar scaling arguments, for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$ we have

$$1 - \eta = \int_{B_1(y_n)} |u_{\sigma_n}|^{2^*} d\mu_n \quad \text{and} \quad \|u_{\sigma_n}\|_{L^{2^*}(\mu_n)} \geq (A_n - 1/n) \|\nabla u_{\sigma_n}\|_{L^2(\mu_n)}.$$

We can then pass to a not relabelled subsequence so that $A_n \rightarrow A$, for some $A > 0$ finite.

We are therefore in position to invoke Theorem 2.10 with $\eta := \eta_N/2$ and get that, up to a subsequence, $(Y_n, \rho_n, \mu_n, y_n)$ pmGH-converges to some pointed RCD(0, d) space (Y, ρ, μ, z) satisfying

$$(3.4) \quad \|u\|_{L^{2^*}(\mu)} \leq A \|\nabla u\|_{L^2(\mu)}, \quad \forall u \in W^{1,2}(Y).$$

Recall that the sharpness result in Theorem 2.7 gives automatically that $\text{AVR}(Y)^{-\frac{1}{d}} S_{d,2} \leq A$ (see also Remark 2.8). Moreover, we also have by Theorem 2.10 that u_{σ_n} convergence L^{2^*} -strong to a non-zero function $u_\infty \in W_{loc}^{1,2}(Y)$, that $\|\nabla u_{\sigma_n}\|_{L^2(\mathbf{m}_{\sigma_n})} \rightarrow \|\nabla u_\infty\|_{L^2(\mu)}$ and that equality holds in (3.4) with u_∞ . Thus, since u_∞ is non-zero, we have the non-trivial estimate

$$\begin{aligned} \text{AVR}(Y)^{-\frac{1}{d}} S_{d,2} \|\nabla u_\infty\|_{L^2(\mu)} &\geq \|u_\infty\|_{L^{2^*}(\mu)} = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|u_{\sigma_n}\|_{L^{2^*}(\mu_n)} \\ &\geq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (A_n - 1/n) \|\nabla u_{\sigma_n}\|_{L^2(\mu_n)} = A \|\nabla u_\infty\|_{L^2(\mu)}, \end{aligned}$$

giving that

$$\text{AVR}(Y)^{-\frac{1}{d}} S_{d,2} = A, \quad \text{hence also} \quad \text{AVR}(Y) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \text{AVR}(M_n).$$

In particular, u_∞ satisfies the rigidity part of Theorem 2.7, which gives that Y is a N -Euclidean cone and, for some tip $z_0 \in Y$ and suitable $a \in \mathbb{R}, b > 0$, we find

$$u_\infty = \frac{a}{(1 + b\rho^2(\cdot, z_0))^{\frac{d-2}{2}}}.$$

Take now any $z_n \in Y_n$ with $z_n \rightarrow z_0$, thanks to the underlying pmGH-convergence. Writing $f(t) := a(1 + bt^2)^{\frac{2-N}{2}}$ for every $t \in \mathbb{R}^+$, recalling $|f|^{2^*}, |f'|^2 \leq Ct^{-2N+2}$, it is not difficult to show that $f \circ \rho_n(\cdot, z_n)$ converges L^{2^*} -strong to u_∞ and that $|D(f \circ \rho_n(\cdot, z_n))|$ converges L^2 -strong to $|\nabla u_\infty|$ (see [133, Lemma 7.2], using $|\nabla(f \circ \rho_n(\cdot, z_n))| = |f'| \circ \rho_n(\cdot, z_n)$ by the chain rule). Using now

that $\|\nabla u_{\sigma_n}\|_{L^2(\mathfrak{m}_{\sigma_n})} \rightarrow \|\nabla u_\infty\|_{L^2(\mu)}$ and $\|\nabla(f \circ \rho_n(\cdot, z_n))\|_{L^2(\mu_n)} \rightarrow \|\nabla u_\infty\|_{L^2(\mu)}$, and by polarization identity using also [133, Lemma A.5], we then deduce

$$(3.5) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|\nabla(u_{\sigma_n} - f \circ \mathbf{d}_{\sigma_n}(\cdot, z_n))\|_{L^2(\mathfrak{m}_{\sigma_n})} = 0.$$

Scaling back, (3.5) becomes

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|\nabla(u_n - (\sigma_n^{N/2^*} f) \circ (\sigma_n \mathbf{d}_n(\cdot, z_n)))\|_{L^2(\mathfrak{m}_{\sigma_n})} = 0.$$

This means that the sequence $v_n := a\sigma_n^{d/2^*} (1 + b\sigma_n^2 \mathbf{d}_n(\cdot, z_n)^2)^{\frac{2-d}{2}}$, satisfies

$$\overline{\lim}_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\|\nabla(u_n - v_n)\|_{L^2(M_n)}}{\|\nabla u_n\|_{L^2(M_n)}} = 0,$$

having also used that $\|\nabla u_n\|_{L^2(M_n)} \geq S_{d,2}^{-1} \text{AVR}_{g_n}(M_n)^{1/d} \|u_n\|_{L^{2^*}(M_n)} \geq S_{d,2}^{-1} v^{\frac{1}{d}}$. Since $v_n = u_{a_n, b_n, z_n}$ for $a_n = a\sigma_n^{d/2^*}$, $b_n = b\sigma_n^2$, we find a contradiction with (3.2). The proof is therefore concluded. \square

3.2. Comments for the compact case. In this part, we briefly comment on the necessary modification of the proof of Theorem 1.3 to obtain the result iii) in Theorem 1.4. Even though the main proof strategy is the same, there are some interesting difficulties to be faced.

First, iii) in Theorem 1.4 will be obtained again by a contradiction argument, i.e. we suppose there exist $\varepsilon > 0$, a sequence d -dimensional Riemannian manifolds (M_n, g_n) with $\text{Ric}_{g_n} \geq d - 1$ and non-constant functions $u_n: M_n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, for simplicity so that $\|u_n\|_{L^{2^*}(\nu_n)} = 1$, for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ so that

$$\frac{\|u_n\|_{L^{2^*}(\nu_n)}^2 - \|u_n\|_{L^2(\nu_n)}}{\|\nabla u_n\|_{L^2(\nu_n)}} > \frac{2^* - 2}{d} - \delta_n$$

for some $\delta_n \downarrow 0$, but so that for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$, it holds

$$(3.6) \quad \inf_{a,b,z} \|u_n - v_{a,b,z}\|_{L^{2^*}(\nu_n)} + \|\nabla(u_n - v_{a,b,z})\|_{L^2(\nu_n)} > \varepsilon,$$

where $v_{a,b,z} = a(1 - b \cos(\mathbf{d}_{g_n}(\cdot, z)))^{\frac{2-d}{2}}$. Here ν_n is the renormalized volume measure on M_n .

As in the proof of Theorem 1.3, we choose points $y_n \in M_n$ and constants $\sigma_n > 0$ so that, defining

$$(3.7) \quad (Y_n, \rho_n, \mu_n) := (M_n, \sigma_n \mathbf{d}_{g_n}, \text{Vol}_{g_n}(M_n)^{-1} \sigma_n^d \text{Vol}_n), \quad u_{\sigma_n} = \sigma_n^{-d/2^*} u_n,$$

we have

$$\int_{B_1^{Y_n}(y_n)} |u_{\sigma_n}|^{2^*} d\mu_n = 1 - \eta,$$

for $\eta > 0$ suitable. The spaces (Y_n, ρ_n, μ_n) are in particular, by compatibility properties, normalized RCD(0, d) spaces. Note that it can happen that $\sigma_n \uparrow \infty$ (corresponding to a concentrating behavior of the sequence u_n) while instead $\sigma_n \geq c > 0$ uniformly, by the maximal diameter theorem.

Thanks to Gromov's precompactness theorem [100] it is possible again to show that, up to a subsequence, $(Y_n, \rho_n, \mu_n, y_n)$ converges in the pmGH-sense to a limit pointed RCD(0, d) space (Y, ρ, μ, y) . As already remarked, Y might be also unbounded.

Using a generalized version of the concentration compactness result in Theorem 2.10 in this setting, see [133, Theorem 6.2]), it is again possible to show that, up to a further subsequence, u_{σ_n} converges L^{2^*} -strongly to some $0 \neq u_\infty \in L^{2^*}(\mu)$. It also follows that u_∞ is extremal for a formal limit Sobolev inequality on Y , that reads as

$$\|u_\infty\|_{L^{2^*}(\mu)}^2 \leq \frac{2^* - 2}{d} \|\nabla u_\infty\|_{L^2(\mu)}^2 + \sigma^{-2} \|u_\infty\|_{L^2(\mu)}, \quad \forall u \in W^{1,2}(Y),$$

where $\sigma_n \rightarrow \sigma \in (0, \infty]$, and the L^2 -term is present if $\sigma < \infty$, while it is not if $\sigma = \infty$. Recall that the case $\sigma = 0$ is not possible by the maximal diameter theorem. The key point is the following dichotomy scenario:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Concentration} &\quad \Rightarrow \quad Y \text{ is a } d\text{-Euclidean cone and } u \text{ is a Euclidean bubble} \\ \text{Non-concentration} &\quad \Rightarrow \quad Y \text{ is a } d\text{-spherical suspension and } u \text{ is a spherical bubble} \end{aligned}$$

The first is settled again by the rigidity of Sobolev inequalities in non-compact setting, c.f. Theorem 2.7. The second case requires a similar analysis for compact settings, see [133, Theorem 5.2].

The proof will be then completed by carefully bringing back this information from u_∞ to the sequence u_n to find a contradiction with (3.6). Notice that, in the case of concentration, the scaled functions u_{σ_n} tend to a Euclidean bubble but, to reach a contradiction, the original sequence u_n must be close to the family of spherical bubbles. This turns out to be true because a concentrated spherical bubble looks locally, around a point p where it is concentrated, like a Euclidean bubble. See [133, Lemma 7.3] for the precise quantitative statement. Finally, all the possibilities lead to a contradiction with (3.6).

4. FURTHER RESULTS AND OPEN QUESTIONS

4.1. Stability in the AB-program. Here we report some recent related results obtained in [129]. We first introduce briefly the AB-program in geometric analysis following [101]. We refer to this book for a detailed introduction and a complete list of references.

Given $d > 2$ and (M, g) a closed d -dimensional smooth Riemannian manifolds, we can consider for constants $A, B > 0$ the following type of Sobolev inequalities

$$(4.1) \quad \|u\|_{L^{2^*}(M)}^2 \leq A \|\nabla u\|_{L^2(M)}^2 + B \|u\|_{L^2(M)}^2, \quad \forall u \in W^{1,2}(M).$$

In the AB-program we consider the following Sobolev constants:

$$\alpha(M) := \inf\{A : (4.1) \text{ holds for some } B\}, \quad \beta(M) := \inf\{B : (4.1) \text{ holds for some } A\},$$

where we set the infimum over an empty set equal to $+\infty$. It is rather easy to see (e.g. [101, Sec 4.1]) that the latter satisfies

$$\beta(M) = \text{Vol}_g(M)^{-2/d}.$$

On the other hand, the value of $\alpha(M)$ is linked to the sharp Euclidean Sobolev constant. More precisely, in [20] (see also [101, Theorem 4.5]) we have

$$(4.2) \quad \alpha(M) = S_{d,2}^2.$$

A more subtle question is whether these two constants are actually attained, i.e. if they are minima. For instance, for $M = \mathbb{S}^d$ we have in (1.5) the validity of a Sobolev inequality with $A = \alpha(\mathbb{S}^d)$ and $B = \beta(\mathbb{S}^d)$ with extremizers given by (1.6).

More generally (see [23],[101, Theorem 4.2] and [102]) it is known that these two constants are always attained, and thus it makes sense to fix $B = \beta(M)$ and proceed with the A -part of this program, i.e. minimizing over the admissible $A > 0$ and consider more generally all sub-critical ranges

$$(4.3) \quad \|u\|_{L^q(M)}^2 \leq A \|\nabla u\|_{L^2(M)}^2 + \text{Vol}_g(M)^{2/q-1} \|u\|_{L^2(M)}^2,$$

for $q \in (2, 2^*]$. Then, we can define the corresponding notions of second-best optimal constants

$$A_q^{\text{opt}}(M) := \inf\{A > 0 : (4.3) \text{ holds with } A\} \cdot \text{Vol}_g(M)^{1-2/q}.$$

Differently from $\alpha(M), \beta(M)$ these constant is automatically a minimum. Moreover, universal bounds depending on the geometry of M are given for $A_q^{\text{opt}}(M)$ in [73, Theorem 4.4] (see also [132, Proposition 5.1] for general q).

Remark 4.1. The renormalization appearing in the above is non-standard in the AB-program literature. Thanks to it, the above notation is consistent (modulo adding the subscript q) with that introduced in (1.11) under Ricci curvature lower bounds. In fact, denoting as customary by-now in this note, the renormalized volume form $\nu = \text{Vol}_g/\text{Vol}_g(M)$, the optimal Sobolev inequality (4.3) equivalently reads

$$(4.4) \quad \|u\|_{L^q(\nu)}^2 \leq A_q^{\text{opt}}(M) \|\nabla u\|_{L^2(\nu)}^2 + \|u\|_{L^2(\nu)}^2.$$

From here, we shall stick to this choice to be consistent in this note. ■

Having established two classes of optimal Sobolev inequalities, it is natural to investigate the existence of extremal functions. For each $q \in (2, 2^*]$, let us then define

$$\mathcal{M}_q(A) := \{u \in W^{1,2} \setminus \{0\} : \text{equality holds with } u \text{ in (4.4)}\}.$$

Notice that $\mathcal{M}_q(A)$ always contains constant functions, hence it is never empty. However, we do not restrict our analysis to *non-constant* extremal functions as these could be the only ones (see also [88]). Finally, we refer the reader to [103, 70, 28, 29, 27, 30], for more on extremal functions in the AB-program.

Next, we present our main results achieved in [129] and then comment briefly on related literature. Recall that differently from [129], here we are using a different renormalization for $A_{2^*}^{\text{opt}}(M)$. By definition of $\alpha(M)$, we always have $A_{2^*}^{\text{opt}}(M) \text{Vol}_g(M)^{-2/d} \geq S_{d,2}^2$. However, assuming this inequality to be strict, we gain pre-compactness of (normalized) extremizing sequences via concentration-compactness methods, see [129, Proposition 2.1]. Under this assumption, or more easily in the sub-critical range, we can prove the following.

Theorem 4.2. *Let (M, g) be a closed d -dimensional Riemannian manifold, $d > 2$. Assume that either $2 < q < 2^*$, or $q = 2^*$ and $A_{2^*}^{\text{opt}}(M) \text{Vol}_g(M)^{-2/d} > S_{d,2}^2$. Then, there are constants $C > 0, \gamma \geq 0$ depending on (M, g) and on q so that, for every $u \in W^{1,2}(M) \setminus \{0\}$, it holds*

$$\frac{A_q^{\text{opt}}(M) \|\nabla u\|_{L^2(\nu)}^2 + \|u\|_{L^2(\nu)}^2}{\|u\|_{L^q(\nu)}^2} - 1 \geq C \left(\inf_{v \in \mathcal{M}_q(A)} \frac{\|u - v\|_{W^{1,2}(M)}}{\|u\|_{W^{1,2}(M)}} \right)^{2+\gamma}.$$

The above stability estimate is *strong*, as the full $W^{1,2}$ -distance from a set a non-empty set of extremal functions is controlled by the related Sobolev deficit. The proof strategy is based on a recent argument that is particularly effective to treat general Riemannian settings where one cannot rely on underlying symmetries (as opposed to for instance, in (1.6) for \mathbb{S}^d) and was pioneered in [62] for the Riemannian isoperimetric inequality. Later, and similar to our setting, this strategy was also used in [78] to deduce quantitative stability properties of minimizing Yamabe metrics. The main idea of [62, 78] that we also use to prove Theorem 4.2 is to combine a Lyapunov-Schmidt reduction argument [143] with the Łojasiewicz inequality [119] to produce local quantitative stability estimates. Then, we prove compactness results for almost minimizers (see [129, Proposition 2.1]) to combine a finite number of local estimates with uniform parameters via a local-to-global step. Here, our assumption $A_{2^*}^{\text{opt}}(M) \text{Vol}_g(M)^{-2/d} > S_{d,2}^2$ is crucial in the critical exponent case.

Given the above results, it is also natural to ask if our results hold *sharp* with quadratic exponent, i.e. with $\gamma = 0$. This is the typical desirable feature in stability problems such as for (1.4). However, in [62, 78], the authors show that quadratic stability might fail, on specific manifolds. This degenerate phenomenon has been later deeply analyzed by [88], and subsequently in [92] and [42, 43, 44, 10] in different contexts. Next, we present degenerate phenomena for Sobolev inequalities.

Theorem 4.3. *Let $q \in (2, 2^*]$ and let (M, g) be satisfying the hypothesis of Theorem 4.2. Assume further that there are no non-constant extremal functions, i.e. $\mathcal{M}_q(A) = \{c : c \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}\}$. Then, the stability in Theorem 4.2 is degenerate, i.e. it must hold with some $\gamma > 0$.*

We point out that this result is in line with the degenerate examples studied by Frank in [88] for the critical Sobolev inequality on $M = \mathbb{S}^1 \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{d-2}} \right) \times \mathbb{S}^{d-1}$ and, for sub-critical ones, on $M = \mathbb{S}^d$.

4.2. Geometric stability: more functional inequalities. To prove ii) of Theorem 1.4, the key ingredient is a quantitative diameter-improvement of the Pólya-Szegő inequality we studied in [133] in the same spirit of [32]. This technique is actually effective in deducing similar applications for different functional inequalities under positive Ricci lower bounds as we shall explore here.

Recall that, if (M, g) is so that $\text{Ric}_g \geq d - 1$, then comparison estimates for spectral quantities and sharp functional constants are in place. Here, we shall deal with Lichnerowicz spectral gap inequalities and log-Sobolev constants, as well as sub-critical optimal Sobolev inequalities. We refer to the book [24] for a complete treatment and to [51] for analogous results in non-smooth settings. For $d \in \mathbb{N}, p \in (1, d)$, we denote by $\lambda_p(\mathbb{S}^d)$ the first non-trivial (Neumann) p -eigenvalue, also called p -spectral gap, of the d -dimensional round sphere. The next result is reported from [131, Theorem 1.6] only in the context of smooth manifolds for simplicity, but works in more general and possibly weighted settings of non-smooth spaces.

Theorem 4.4. *For all $d \in (1, \infty)$, $p \in (1, \infty)$ and $q \in (2, 2^*]$ (if $d > 2$) there are constants $C_d > 0$, $C_{d,p} > 0$ and $C_{d,q} > 0$ such that the following holds. Let (M, g) be a d -dimensional Riemannian manifolds with $\text{Ric}_g \geq d - 1$. Then:*

i) *for all $u \in W^{1,p}(M)$ non-zero with $\int u|u|^{p-2} d\text{Vol}_g = 0$ it holds*

$$(\pi - \text{diam}(M))^d \leq C_{d,p} \left(\left(\int |u|^p d\text{Vol}_g \right)^{-1} \int |\nabla u|^p d\text{Vol}_g - \lambda_p(\mathbb{S}^d) \right),$$

ii) *for all $u \in W^{1,2}(M)$ non-constant it holds*

$$(\pi - \text{diam}(M))^d \leq C_{d,q} \left(\frac{q-2}{d} - A_q^{\text{opt}}(M) \right),$$

iii) *for all $u \in W^{1,2}(M)$ non-constant with $\int |u| d\text{Vol}_g = 1$ it holds*

$$(\pi - \text{diam}(M))^d \leq C_d \left(\left(\int |u| \log |u| d\text{Vol}_g \right)^{-1} \int_{\{|u|>0\}} \frac{|\nabla u|^2}{|u|} d\text{Vol}_g - 2d \right).$$

The result i) for $p = 2$ was already deduced in [52], where also a deep quantitative analysis has been carried out for almost eigenfunctions. We mention that a similar analysis was previously performed in [47] to study quantitative stability results for the Lévy-Gromov isoperimetric inequality.

The result ii) generalizes to all the sub-critical range the first two conclusions of Theorem 1.4. Indeed, we recall from [105, 24, 114] that an analogous comparison estimate as for (1.11) holds in this range

$$A_q^{\text{opt}}(M) \leq \frac{q-2}{d} = A_q^{\text{opt}}(\mathbb{S}^d), \quad \forall q \in (2, 2^*],$$

where, the latter equality was studied in [31] for the sub-critical range.

Finally, result iii) instead is a quantitative improvement of the sharp dimensional log-Sobolev inequality in this setting, since on the round sphere the optimal constant is precisely $2d$, see [24].

4.3. Open questions. We conclude here by raising some natural open questions related to the theory and the statements presented in this overview.

Given that we presented qualitative functional stability results for Sobolev inequalities under Ricci lower bounds, we raise here two natural open questions:

Question 4.5. *Is it possible to perform a quantitative analysis for Theorem 1.3?*

Question 4.6. *Is it possible to perform a quantitative analysis for iii) in Theorem 1.4?*

Clearly, since the main technique used is a (concentration) compactness argument, to address these questions one needs to change the approach completely (or, at least, complement it with a quantitative local analysis around bubbles). Moreover, rearrangement techniques á la Pólya-Szegő seem to give no useful quantitative information at the level of almost extremal functions (even though, they are powerful enough to deal with quantitative geometric stability results, c.f. Theorem 4.4). Finally, a classical strategy á la Bianchi-Egnell [36] is not expected to work for both the above questions since, in general, Euclidean or spherical bubbles are not extremal functions on (M, g) , unless M is isometric to the corresponding model space with constant curvature (where both questions are already settled).

A rather technical, yet promising, approach would be to argue via L^1 -localization methods, see [50]. In fact, this has already proved successful in understanding the shape of almost extremizers in different variational problems, see [47] for the Lévy-Gromov isoperimetric inequality and [52, 81] for spectral gap inequalities. However, we expect Question 4.5 to be considerably harder compared to Question 4.6 due to the non-compact nature of the underlying manifold geometry and due to presence of the AVR-parameter (recall that δ in Theorem 1.3 depends on V).

We conclude this note by raising an open question related to Theorem 4.2. In the critical exponent case $q = 2^*$, a crucial assumption was made. Namely (and with the same notation as in there), it is asked that $A_{2^*}^{\text{opt}}(M)\text{Vol}_g(M)^{-\frac{2}{d}} > S_{d,2}^2$, where we stress that the inequality is required to be *strict*. Since, in general, the greater or equal inequality is always in place (recall $\alpha(M) = S_{d,2}^2$ and the discussion in Section 4.1), it is natural to wonder if Theorem 4.2 is still valid when $A_{2^*}^{\text{opt}}(M)\text{Vol}_g(M)^{-\frac{2}{d}} = S_{d,2}^2$. In this case, if one looks at extremizing sequences optimizing the constant $A_{2^*}^{\text{opt}}(M)$ in (4.4), then we know that concentrating phenomena might occur, see [129, Proposition 2.2]. In light of this, we can raise the following:

Question 4.7. *Consider a closed d -dimensional Riemannian manifold (M, g) possibly so that $A_{2^*}^{\text{opt}}(M)\text{Vol}_g(M)^{-\frac{2}{d}} = S_{d,2}^2$. Does the conclusion of Theorem 4.2 with $q = 2^*$ holds true, possibly replacing the set $\mathcal{M}_{2^*}(A)$ with $\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_{2^*}(A) := \mathcal{M}_{2^*}(A) \cup \left\{ a(1 + b\text{d}_g(\cdot, p)^2)^{\frac{2-d}{2}} : a \in \mathbb{R}, b > 0, p \in M \right\}$?*

Notice that set $\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_{2^*}(A)$ is obtained by adding to the true extremal set $\mathcal{M}_{2^*}(A)$ the family of Euclidean bubbles. The reason is that, since we have no information around the shape of extremal functions $\mathcal{M}_{2^*}(A)$, it is likely true that highly concentrated profiles of Euclidean bubbles can detect the possible concentrating behavior of certain extremizing sequences. For instance, similar phenomena are well-known to occur in the study of elliptic partial differential equations of critical order [74, 75, 144], see also the recent quantitative analysis [61].

Acknowledgments. The author is a member of INDAM-GNAMPA and acknowledges the MIUR Excellence Department Project awarded to the Department of Mathematics, University of Pisa, CUP I57G22000700001.

I warmly thank I. Y. Violo for numerous inspiring discussions we had around the topics of this note. I also thank M. Pozzetta for useful remarks and comments on a preliminary version of this manuscript, D. Parise and E. Pasqualetto for their interest and M. Freguglia for pointing out relevant references. Lastly, I would like to thank F. Cavalletti, M. Erbar, J. Maas and K. T. Sturm for the organization of the “School and Conference on Metric Measure Spaces, Ricci Curvature, and Optimal Transport” (MeRiOT 2024) and for the opportunity to write this work.

REFERENCES

- [1] V. AGOSTINIANI, M. FOGAGNOLO, AND L. MAZZIERI, *Sharp geometric inequalities for closed hypersurfaces in manifolds with nonnegative Ricci curvature*, Invent. Math., 222 (2020), pp. 1033–1101.

- [2] L. AMBROSIO, *Calculus, heat flow and curvature-dimension bounds in metric measure spaces*, in Proceedings of the International Congress of Mathematicians—Rio de Janeiro 2018. Vol. I. Plenary lectures, World Sci. Publ., Hackensack, NJ, 2018, pp. 301–340.
- [3] L. AMBROSIO AND S. DI MARINO, *Equivalent definitions of BV space and of total variation on metric measure spaces*, J. Funct. Anal., 266 (2014), pp. 4150–4188.
- [4] L. AMBROSIO, N. GIGLI, A. MONDINO, AND T. RAJALA, *Riemannian Ricci curvature lower bounds in metric measure spaces with σ -finite measure*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., 367 (2012), pp. 4661–4701.
- [5] L. AMBROSIO, N. GIGLI, AND G. SAVARÉ, *Density of Lipschitz functions and equivalence of weak gradients in metric measure spaces*, Rev. Mat. Iberoam., 29 (2013), pp. 969–996.
- [6] ———, *Metric measure spaces with Riemannian Ricci curvature bounded from below*, Duke Math. J., 163 (2014), pp. 1405–1490.
- [7] ———, *Bakry-Émery curvature-dimension condition and Riemannian Ricci curvature bounds*, The Annals of Probability, 43 (2015), pp. 339–404.
- [8] L. AMBROSIO AND S. HONDA, *New stability results for sequences of metric measure spaces with uniform Ricci bounds from below*, in Measure theory in non-smooth spaces, Partial Differ. Equ. Meas. Theory, De Gruyter Open, Warsaw, 2017, pp. 1–51.
- [9] L. AMBROSIO, A. MONDINO, AND G. SAVARÉ, *On the Bakry-Émery condition, the gradient estimates and the Local-to-Global property of $RCD^*(K, N)$ metric measure spaces*, The Journal of Geometric Analysis, 26 (2014), pp. 1–33.
- [10] J. H. ANDRADE, T. KÖNIG, J. RATZKIN, AND J. WEI, *Quantitative stability of the total Q -curvature near minimizing metrics*. arXiv:2407.06934, 2024.
- [11] G. ANTONELLI, E. BRUÈ, M. FOGAGNOLO, AND M. POZZETTA, *On the existence of isoperimetric regions in manifolds with nonnegative Ricci curvature and Euclidean volume growth*, Calc. Var. Partial Differential Equations, 61 (2022), pp. Paper No. 77, 40.
- [12] G. ANTONELLI, M. FOGAGNOLO, AND M. POZZETTA, *The isoperimetric problem on Riemannian manifolds via Gromov-Hausdorff asymptotic analysis*, Commun. Contemp. Math., 26 (2024), pp. Paper No. 2250068, 58.
- [13] G. ANTONELLI, S. NARDULLI, AND M. POZZETTA, *The isoperimetric problem via direct method in noncompact metric measure spaces with lower Ricci bounds*, ESAIM Control Optim. Calc. Var., 28 (2022), pp. Paper No. 57, 32.
- [14] G. ANTONELLI, E. PASQUALETTO, AND M. POZZETTA, *Isoperimetric sets in spaces with lower bounds on the Ricci curvature*, Nonlinear Anal., 220 (2022), pp. Paper No. 112839, 59.
- [15] G. ANTONELLI, E. PASQUALETTO, M. POZZETTA, AND D. SEMOLA, *Sharp isoperimetric comparison on non-collapsed spaces with lower Ricci bounds*. arXiv:2201.04916, Accepted in *Ann. Sci. Ec. Norm. Super.*, 2023.
- [16] G. ANTONELLI, E. PASQUALETTO, M. POZZETTA, AND D. SEMOLA, *Asymptotic isoperimetry on non collapsed spaces with lower Ricci bounds*, Math. Ann., 389 (2024), pp. 1677–1730.
- [17] G. ANTONELLI, E. PASQUALETTO, M. POZZETTA, AND I. Y. VIOLO, *Topological regularity of isoperimetric sets in π spaces having a deformation property*, Accepted Proc. R. Soc. Edinb. Sect. A Math., <https://doi.org/10.1017/prm.2023.105>, (2023).
- [18] G. ANTONELLI AND M. POZZETTA, *Isoperimetric problem and structure at infinity on Alexandrov spaces with nonnegative curvature*. arXiv:2302.10091, 2023.
- [19] T. AUBIN, *Équations différentielles non linéaires et problème de Yamabe concernant la courbure scalaire*, J. Math. Pures Appl. (9), 55 (1976), pp. 269–296.
- [20] ———, *Problèmes isopérimétriques et espaces de Sobolev*, J. Differential Geometry, 11 (1976), pp. 573–598.
- [21] ———, *Some nonlinear problems in Riemannian geometry*, Springer Monographs in Mathematics, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1998.
- [22] A. BAERNSTEIN, II, *Symmetrization in analysis*, vol. 36 of New Mathematical Monographs, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2019. With David Drasin and Richard S. Laugesen, With a foreword by Walter Hayman.
- [23] D. BAKRY, *L’hypercontractivité et son utilisation en théorie des semigroupes*, in Lectures on probability theory (Saint-Flour, 1992), vol. 1581 of Lecture Notes in Math., Springer, Berlin, 1994, pp. 1–114.
- [24] D. BAKRY, I. GENTIL, AND M. LEDOUX, *Analysis and geometry of Markov diffusion operators*, vol. 348 of Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften [Fundamental Principles of Mathematical Sciences], Springer, Cham, 2014.
- [25] D. BAKRY AND M. LEDOUX, *Sobolev inequalities and Myers’s diameter theorem for an abstract Markov generator*, Duke Math. J., 85 (1996), pp. 253–270.
- [26] Z. M. BALOGH AND A. KRISTÁLY, *Sharp isoperimetric and Sobolev inequalities in spaces with nonnegative Ricci curvature*, Math. Ann., 385 (2023), pp. 1747–1773.
- [27] E. R. BARBOSA, *Extremal maps in Sobolev type inequalities: some remarks*, Bull. Sci. Math., 134 (2010), pp. 127–143.

- [28] E. R. BARBOSA AND M. MONTENEGRO, *A note on extremal functions for sharp Sobolev inequalities*, Electron. J. Differential Equations, (2007), pp. No. 87, 5.
- [29] ———, *On the geometric dependence of Riemannian Sobolev best constants*, Commun. Pure Appl. Anal., 8 (2009), pp. 1759–1777.
- [30] ———, *Extremal maps in best constants vector theory. Part I: Duality and compactness*, J. Funct. Anal., 262 (2012), pp. 331–399.
- [31] W. BECKNER, *Sharp Sobolev inequalities on the sphere and the Moser-Trudinger inequality*, Ann. of Math. (2), 138 (1993), pp. 213–242.
- [32] P. BÉRARD, G. BESSON, AND S. GALLOT, *Sur une inégalité isopérimétrique qui généralise celle de Paul Lévy-Gromov*, Invent. Math., 80 (1985), pp. 295–308.
- [33] P. BÉRARD AND D. MEYER, *Inégalités isopérimétriques et applications*, Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup. (4), 15 (1982), pp. 513–541.
- [34] M. BHAKTA, D. GANGULY, D. KARMAKAR, AND S. MAZUMDAR, *Sharp quantitative stability of Poincaré-Sobolev inequality in the hyperbolic space and applications to fast diffusion flows*. arXiv:2207.11024, To appear in *Calc. Var. Partial Differ. Equ.*, 2022, <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00526-024-02878-3>.
- [35] ———, *Sharp quantitative stability of Struwe’s decomposition of the Poincaré-Sobolev inequalities on the hyperbolic space: Part I*. arXiv:2211.14618, 2023.
- [36] G. BIANCHI AND H. EGNELL, *A note on the Sobolev inequality*, J. Funct. Anal., 100 (1991), pp. 18–24.
- [37] G. A. BLISS, *An Integral Inequality*, J. London Math. Soc., 5 (1930), pp. 40–46.
- [38] C. BRENA, F. NOBILI, AND E. PASQUALETTO, *Equivalent definitions of maps of bounded variations from PI-spaces to metric spaces*. arXiv:2306.00768, To appear in *Ann. Sc. Norm. Super. Pisa Cl. Sci.*, 2023. https://doi.org/10.2422/2036-2145.202307_003.
- [39] S. BRENDLE, *Sobolev inequalities in manifolds with nonnegative curvature*, Comm. Pure Appl. Math., 76 (2023), pp. 2192–2218.
- [40] S. BRENDLE AND F. C. MARQUES, *Recent progress on the Yamabe problem*, in *Surveys in geometric analysis and relativity*, vol. 20 of Adv. Lect. Math. (ALM), Int. Press, Somerville, MA, 2011, pp. 29–47.
- [41] H. BRÉZIS AND E. LIEB, *A relation between pointwise convergence of functions and convergence of functionals*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., 88 (1983), pp. 486–490.
- [42] G. BRIGATI, J. DOLBEAULT, AND N. SIMONOV, *Logarithmic Sobolev and interpolation inequalities on the sphere: constructive stability results*, Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré C Anal. Non Linéaire, 41 (2024), pp. 1289–1321.
- [43] ———, *On Gaussian interpolation inequalities*, C. R. Math. Acad. Sci. Paris, 362 (2024), pp. 21–44.
- [44] ———, *Stability for the logarithmic Sobolev inequality*, J. Funct. Anal., 287 (2024), pp. Paper No. 110562, 21.
- [45] J. E. BROTHERS AND W. P. ZIEMER, *Minimal rearrangements of Sobolev functions*, J. Reine Angew. Math., 384 (1988), pp. 153–179.
- [46] G. CATINO, D. D. MONTICELLI, AND A. RONCORONI, *On the critical p -Laplace equation*, Adv. Math., 433 (2023), pp. Paper No. 109331, 38.
- [47] F. CAVALLETTI, F. MAGGI, AND A. MONDINO, *Quantitative isoperimetry à la Levy-Gromov*, Comm. Pure Appl. Math., 72 (2019), pp. 1631–1677.
- [48] F. CAVALLETTI AND D. MANINI, *Rigidities of Isoperimetric inequality under nonnegative Ricci curvature*. arXiv:2207.03423, To appear in *J. Eur. Math. Soc.*, 2022. <https://doi.org/10.4171/jems/1532>.
- [49] F. CAVALLETTI AND E. MILMAN, *The globalization theorem for the curvature-dimension condition*, Invent. Math., 226 (2021), pp. 1–137.
- [50] F. CAVALLETTI AND A. MONDINO, *Sharp and rigid isoperimetric inequalities in metric-measure spaces with lower ricci curvature bounds*, Invent. Math., 208 (2017), pp. 803–849.
- [51] ———, *Sharp geometric and functional inequalities in metric measure spaces with lower Ricci curvature bounds*, Geom. Topol., 21 (2017), pp. 603–645.
- [52] F. CAVALLETTI, A. MONDINO, AND D. SEMOLA, *Quantitative Obata’s theorem*, Anal. PDE, 16 (2023), pp. 1389–1431.
- [53] A. CESARONI, I. FRAGALÀ, AND M. NOVAGA, *Lattice tilings minimizing nonlocal perimeters*. arXiv:2310.01054, To appear in *Comm. Contemp. Math*, 2023, <https://doi.org/10.1142/S0219199724500433>.
- [54] A. CESARONI AND M. NOVAGA, *Minimal periodic foams with equal cells*. arXiv:2302.07112, To appear in *Springer INdAM Series*, 2023. <https://link.springer.com/book/9789819769834>.
- [55] A. CESARONI AND M. NOVAGA, *Periodic partitions with minimal perimeter*, Nonlinear Anal., 243 (2024), pp. Paper No. 113522, 16.
- [56] J. CHEEGER, *Differentiability of Lipschitz functions on metric measure spaces*, Geom. Funct. Anal., 9 (1999), pp. 428–517.
- [57] J. CHEEGER AND T. H. COLDING, *Lower bounds on Ricci curvature and the almost rigidity of warped products*, Ann. of Math. (2), 144 (1996), pp. 189–237.

- [58] ———, *On the structure of spaces with Ricci curvature bounded below. I*, J. Differential Geom., 46 (1997), pp. 406–480.
- [59] ———, *On the structure of spaces with Ricci curvature bounded below. II*, J. Differential Geom., 54 (2000), pp. 13–35.
- [60] ———, *On the structure of spaces with Ricci curvature bounded below. III*, J. Differential Geom., 54 (2000), pp. 37–74.
- [61] H. CHEN AND S. KIM, *Sharp quantitative stability of the Yamabe problem*. arXiv:2404.13961, 2024.
- [62] O. CHODOSH, M. ENGELSTEIN, AND L. SPOLAOR, *The Riemannian quantitative isoperimetric inequality*, J. Eur. Math. Soc. (JEMS), 25 (2023), pp. 1711–1741.
- [63] A. CIANCHI AND N. FUSCO, *Functions of bounded variation and rearrangements*, Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal., 165 (2002), pp. 1–40.
- [64] A. CIANCHI, N. FUSCO, F. MAGGI, AND A. PRATELLI, *The sharp Sobolev inequality in quantitative form*, J. Eur. Math. Soc. (JEMS), 11 (2009), pp. 1105–1139.
- [65] G. CIRAIOLO, A. FIGALLI, AND F. MAGGI, *A quantitative analysis of metrics on \mathbb{R}^n with almost constant positive scalar curvature, with applications to fast diffusion flows*, Int. Math. Res. Not. IMRN, (2018), pp. 6780–6797.
- [66] T. H. COLDING, *Ricci curvature and volume convergence*, Ann. of Math. (2), 145 (1997), pp. 477–501.
- [67] D. CORDERO-ERAUSQUIN, B. NAZARET, AND C. VILLANI, *A mass-transportation approach to sharp Sobolev and Gagliardo-Nirenberg inequalities*, Adv. Math., 182 (2004), pp. 307–332.
- [68] G. DE PHILIPPIS AND N. GIGLI, *Non-collapsed spaces with Ricci curvature bounded from below*, J. Éc. polytech. Math., 5 (2018), pp. 613–650.
- [69] B. DENG, L. SUN, AND J. WEI, *Sharp quantitative estimates of Struwe’s Decomposition*. arXiv:2103.15360, 2021.
- [70] Z. DJADLI AND O. DRUET, *Extremal functions for optimal Sobolev inequalities on compact manifolds*, Calc. Var. Partial Differential Equations, 12 (2001), pp. 59–84.
- [71] J. DOLBEAULT, M. J. ESTEBAN, A. FIGALLI, R. FRANK, AND M. LOSS, *A short review on Improvements and stability for some interpolation inequalities*. arXiv:2402.08527, Proceedings of ICIAM 2023, 2024.
- [72] J. DOLBEAULT, M. J. ESTEBAN, A. FIGALLI, R. L. FRANK, AND M. LOSS, *Sharp stability for Sobolev and log-Sobolev inequalities, with optimal dimensional dependence*. arXiv:2209.08651, 2023.
- [73] O. DRUET AND E. HEBEY, *The AB program in geometric analysis: sharp Sobolev inequalities and related problems*, Mem. Amer. Math. Soc., 160 (2002), pp. viii+98.
- [74] O. DRUET, E. HEBEY, AND F. ROBERT, *A C^0 -theory for the blow-up of second order elliptic equations of critical Sobolev growth*, Electron. Res. Announc. Amer. Math. Soc., 9 (2003), pp. 19–25.
- [75] ———, *Blow-up theory for elliptic PDEs in Riemannian geometry*, vol. 45 of Mathematical Notes, Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, 2004.
- [76] L. DUPAIGNE, I. GENTIL, AND S. ZUGMEYER, *Sobolev’s inequality under a curvature-dimension condition*, Ann. Fac. Sci. Toulouse Math. (6), 32 (2023), pp. 125–144.
- [77] E. DURAND-CARTAGENA, S. ERIKSSON-BIQUE, R. KORTE, AND N. SHANMUGALINGAM, *Equivalence of two BV classes of functions in metric spaces, and existence of a Semmes family of curves under a 1-Poincaré inequality*, Advances in Calculus of Variations, (2019).
- [78] M. ENGELSTEIN, R. NEUMAYER, AND L. SPOLAOR, *Quantitative stability for minimizing Yamabe metrics*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. Ser. B, 9 (2022), pp. 395–414.
- [79] M. ERBAR, K. KUWADA, AND K.-T. STURM, *On the equivalence of the entropic curvature-dimension condition and Bochner’s inequality on metric measure spaces*, Inventiones mathematicae, 201 (2014), pp. 1–79.
- [80] G. FABER, *Beweiss dass unter allen homogenen Membranen von gleicher Fläche und gleicher Spannung die kreisförmige den leifsten Grundton gibt*. Sitz. bayer Acad. Wiss., 169–172, 1923.
- [81] M. FATHI, I. GENTIL, AND J. SERRES, *Stability estimates for the sharp spectral gap bound under a curvature-dimension condition*, Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble), 74 (2024), pp. 2425–2459.
- [82] A. FERONE AND R. VOLPICELLI, *Minimal rearrangements of Sobolev functions: a new proof*, Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré C Anal. Non Linéaire, 20 (2003), pp. 333–339.
- [83] A. FIGALLI AND F. GLAUDO, *On the sharp stability of critical points of the Sobolev inequality*, Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal., 237 (2020), pp. 201–258.
- [84] A. FIGALLI AND R. NEUMAYER, *Gradient stability for the Sobolev inequality: the case $p \geq 2$* , J. Eur. Math. Soc. (JEMS), 21 (2019), pp. 319–354.
- [85] A. FIGALLI AND Y. R.-Y. ZHANG, *Sharp gradient stability for the Sobolev inequality*, Duke Math. J., 171 (2022), pp. 2407–2459.
- [86] M. FOGAGNOLO AND L. MAZZIERI, *Minimising hulls, p -capacity and isoperimetric inequality on complete Riemannian manifolds*, J. Funct. Anal., 283 (2022), p. Paper No. 109638.
- [87] E. FONTENAS, *Sur les constantes de Sobolev des variétés riemanniennes compactes et les fonctions extrémales des sphères*, Bull. Sci. Math., 121 (1997), pp. 71–96.

- [88] R. L. FRANK, *Degenerate stability of some Sobolev inequalities*, Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré C Anal. Non Linéaire, 39 (2022), pp. 1459–1484.
- [89] ———, *Rearrangement methods in the work of Elliott Lieb*, in The physics and mathematics of Elliott Lieb—the 90th anniversary. Vol. I, EMS Press, Berlin, [2022] ©2022, pp. 351–375.
- [90] ———, *The Sharp Sobolev Inequality and Its Stability: An Introduction*, Springer Nature Switzerland, Cham, 2024, pp. 1–64.
- [91] R. L. FRANK AND E. H. LIEB, *A new, rearrangement-free proof of the sharp Hardy-Littlewood-Sobolev inequality*, in Spectral theory, function spaces and inequalities, vol. 219 of Oper. Theory Adv. Appl., Birkhäuser/Springer Basel AG, Basel, 2012, pp. 55–67.
- [92] R. L. FRANK AND J. W. PETERANDERL, *Degenerate stability of the Caffarelli-Kohn-Nirenberg inequality along the Felli-Schneider curve*, Calc. Var. Partial Differential Equations, 63 (2024), pp. Paper No. 44, 33.
- [93] N. GIGLI, *On the heat flow on metric measure spaces: existence, uniqueness and stability*, Calc. Var. PDE, 39 (2010), pp. 101–120.
- [94] ———, *On the differential structure of metric measure spaces and applications*, Mem. Amer. Math. Soc., 236 (2015), pp. vi+91.
- [95] N. GIGLI, *De Giorgi and Gromov working together*. arXiv:2306.14604, 2023.
- [96] N. GIGLI AND B. HAN, *Independence on p of weak upper gradients on RCD spaces*, Journal of Functional Analysis, 271 (2014).
- [97] N. GIGLI, C. KETTERER, K. KUWADA, AND S.-I. OHTA, *Rigidity for the spectral gap on $\text{RCD}(K, \infty)$ -spaces*, Amer. J. Math., 142 (2020), pp. 1559–1594.
- [98] N. GIGLI, A. MONDINO, AND G. SAVARÉ, *Convergence of pointed non-compact metric measure spaces and stability of Ricci curvature bounds and heat flows*, Proc. Lond. Math. Soc. (3), 111 (2015), pp. 1071–1129.
- [99] N. GIGLI AND F. NOBILI, *A first-order condition for the independence on p of weak gradients*, J. Funct. Anal., 283 (2022), p. Paper No. 109686.
- [100] M. GROMOV, *Metric structures for Riemannian and non-Riemannian spaces*, Modern Birkhäuser Classics, Birkhäuser Boston Inc., Boston, MA, english ed., 2007. Based on the 1981 French original, With appendices by M. Katz, P. Pansu and S. Semmes, Translated from the French by Sean Michael Bates.
- [101] E. HEBEY, *Nonlinear analysis on manifolds: Sobolev spaces and inequalities*, vol. 5 of Courant Lecture Notes in Mathematics, New York University, Courant Institute of Mathematical Sciences, New York; American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 1999.
- [102] E. HEBEY AND M. VAUGON, *Meilleures constantes dans le théorème d’inclusion de Sobolev*, Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré C Anal. Non Linéaire, 13 (1996), pp. 57–93.
- [103] ———, *From best constants to critical functions*, Math. Z., 237 (2001), pp. 737–767.
- [104] S. HONDA, *Ricci curvature and L^p -convergence*, J. Reine Angew. Math., 705 (2015), pp. 85–154.
- [105] S. ILIAS, *Constantes explicites pour les inégalités de Sobolev sur les variétés riemanniennes compactes*, Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble), 33 (1983), pp. 151–165.
- [106] S. KESAVAN, *Symmetrization & applications*, vol. 3 of Series in Analysis, World Scientific Publishing Co. Pte. Ltd., Hackensack, NJ, 2006.
- [107] C. KETTERER, *Cones over metric measure spaces and the maximal diameter theorem*, J. Math. Pures Appl. (9), 103 (2015), pp. 1228–1275.
- [108] T. KÖNIG, *Stability for the Sobolev inequality: existence of a minimizer*. arXiv:2211.14185, Accepted in *J. Eur. Math. Soc.*, 2023.
- [109] E. KRAHN, *Über Minimaleigenschaften der Kugel in drei und mehr Dimensionen*. Acta Comm. Univ. Tartu (Dorpat), A9 (1926) pp. 1–44 (English transl.: Ü. Lumiste and J. Peetre (eds.), Edgar Krahn, 1894–1961, A Centenary Volume, IOS Press, 1994, Chap. 6, pp. 139–174.
- [110] E. KRAHN, *Über eine von Rayleigh formulierte Minimaleigenschaft des Kreises*, Math. Ann., 94 (1925), pp. 97–100.
- [111] A. KRISTÁLY, *Metric measure spaces supporting Gagliardo-Nirenberg inequalities: volume non-collapsing and rigidities*, Calc. Var. Partial Differential Equations, 55 (2016), pp. Art. 112, 27.
- [112] ———, *Sharp Sobolev inequalities on noncompact Riemannian manifolds with $\text{Ric} \geq 0$ via optimal transport theory*, Calc. Var. Partial Differential Equations, 63 (2024), p. Paper No. 200.
- [113] M. LEDOUX, *On manifolds with non-negative Ricci curvature and Sobolev inequalities*, Comm. Anal. Geom., 7 (1999), pp. 347–353.
- [114] M. LEDOUX, *The geometry of Markov diffusion generators*, Ann. Fac. Sci. Toulouse Math. (6), 9 (2000), pp. 305–366.
- [115] J. M. LEE AND T. H. PARKER, *The Yamabe problem*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. (N.S.), 17 (1987), pp. 37–91.
- [116] E. H. LIEB AND M. LOSS, *Analysis*, vol. 14 of Graduate Studies in Mathematics, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 1997.

- [117] P.-L. LIONS, *The concentration-compactness principle in the calculus of variations. The locally compact case. I*, Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré Anal. Non Linéaire, 1 (1984), pp. 109–145.
- [118] ———, *The concentration-compactness principle in the calculus of variations. The limit case. I*, Rev. Mat. Iberoamericana, 1 (1985), pp. 145–201.
- [119] S. LOJASIEWICZ, *Ensembles semi-analytiques*. IHES notes, 1965.
- [120] J. LOTT AND C. VILLANI, *Ricci curvature for metric-measure spaces via optimal transport*, Ann. of Math. (2), 169 (2009), pp. 903–991.
- [121] ———, *Ricci curvature for metric-measure spaces via optimal transport*, Ann. of Math. (2), 169 (2009), pp. 903–991.
- [122] G. MANCINI AND K. SANDEEP, *On a semilinear elliptic equation in \mathbb{H}^n* , Ann. Sc. Norm. Super. Pisa Cl. Sci. (5), 7 (2008), pp. 635–671.
- [123] O. MARTIO, *Functions of bounded variation and curves in metric measure spaces*, Advances in Calculus of Variations, 9 (2016), pp. 305–322.
- [124] M. MIRANDA, *Functions of bounded variation on “good” metric spaces*, Journal de Mathématiques Pures et Appliquées, 82 (2003), pp. 975–1004.
- [125] A. MONDINO AND D. SEMOLA, *Pólya-Szegő inequality and Dirichlet p -spectral gap for non-smooth spaces with Ricci curvature bounded below*, J. Math. Pures Appl. (9), 137 (2020), pp. 238–274.
- [126] S. NARDULLI, *Generalized existence of isoperimetric regions in non-compact Riemannian manifolds and applications to the isoperimetric profile*, Asian J. Math., 18 (2014), pp. 1–28.
- [127] R. NEUMAYER, *A note on strong-form stability for the Sobolev inequality*, Calc. Var. Partial Differential Equations, 59 (2020), pp. Paper No. 25, 8.
- [128] F. NOBILI AND M. NOVAGA, *Lattice tilings with minimal perimeter and unequal volumes*, Calc. Var. Partial Differential Equations, 63 (2024), p. Paper No. 246.
- [129] F. NOBILI AND D. PARISE, *Quantitative stability of Sobolev inequalities on compact Riemannian manifolds*. arXiv:2405.15966, To appear in *Int. Math. Res. Not.*, 2024. <https://doi.org/10.1093/imrn/rnae269>.
- [130] F. NOBILI, E. PASQUALETTO, AND T. SCHULTZ, *On master test plans for the space of BV functions*, Adv. Calc. Var., 16 (2023), pp. 1061–1092.
- [131] F. NOBILI AND I. Y. VIOLO, *Fine Pólya-Szegő rearrangement inequalities in metric spaces and applications*. arXiv:2409.14182, 2024.
- [132] F. NOBILI AND I. Y. VIOLO, *Rigidity and almost rigidity of Sobolev inequalities on compact spaces with lower Ricci curvature bounds*, Calc. Var. Partial Differential Equations, 61 (2022), p. Paper No. 180.
- [133] ———, *Stability of Sobolev inequalities on Riemannian manifolds with Ricci curvature lower bounds*, Adv. Math., 440 (2024), p. Paper No. 109521.
- [134] M. NOVAGA, E. PAOLINI, E. STEPANOV, AND V. M. TORTORELLI, *Isoperimetric clusters in homogeneous spaces via concentration compactness*, J. Geom. Anal., 32 (2022), pp. Paper No. 263, 23.
- [135] ———, *Isoperimetric planar clusters with infinitely many regions*, Netw. Heterog. Media, 18 (2023), pp. 1226–1235.
- [136] M. NOVAGA, E. PAOLINI, AND V. M. TORTORELLI, *Locally isoperimetric partitions*. arXiv:2312.13709, To appear in *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 2023. <https://doi.org/10.1090/tran/9339>.
- [137] A. PETRUNIN, *Alexandrov meets Lott-Villani-Sturm*, Münster J. Math., 4 (2011), pp. 53–64.
- [138] G. PÓLYA AND G. SZEGŐ, *Isoperimetric Inequalities in Mathematical Physics. (AM-27)*, Princeton University Press, 1951.
- [139] M. POZZETTA, *Isoperimetry on manifolds with Ricci bounded below: overview of recent results and methods*. arXiv:2303.11925, To appear in *Springer INdAM Series*, 2023. <https://link.springer.com/book/9789819769834>.
- [140] R. RESENDE DE OLIVEIRA, *On clusters and the multi-isoperimetric profile in Riemannian manifolds with bounded geometry*, J. Dyn. Control Syst., 29 (2023), pp. 419–441.
- [141] R. SCHOEN, *Conformal deformation of a Riemannian metric to constant scalar curvature*, J. Differential Geom., 20 (1984), pp. 479–495.
- [142] N. SHANMUGALINGAM, *Newtonian spaces: an extension of Sobolev spaces to metric measure spaces*, Rev. Mat. Iberoamericana, 16 (2000), pp. 243–279.
- [143] L. SIMON, *Asymptotics for a class of non-linear evolution equations, with applications to geometric problems*, Annals of Mathematics, 118 (1983), pp. 525–571.
- [144] M. STRUWE, *Variational methods*, vol. 34 of *Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete. 3. Folge. A Series of Modern Surveys in Mathematics* [Results in Mathematics and Related Areas. 3rd Series. A Series of Modern Surveys in Mathematics], Springer-Verlag, Berlin, fourth ed., 2008. Applications to nonlinear partial differential equations and Hamiltonian systems.
- [145] K.-T. STURM, *On the geometry of metric measure spaces. I*, Acta Math., 196 (2006), pp. 65–131.
- [146] ———, *On the geometry of metric measure spaces. II*, Acta Math., 196 (2006), pp. 133–177.

- [147] ———, *Metric measure spaces and synthetic Ricci bounds: fundamental concepts and recent developments*, in European Congress of Mathematics, 2023, pp. 125–159.
- [148] G. TALENTI, *Best constant in Sobolev inequality*, Ann. Mat. Pura Appl. (4), 110 (1976), pp. 353–372.
- [149] N. S. TRUDINGER, *Remarks concerning the conformal deformation of Riemannian structures on compact manifolds*, Ann. Scuola Norm. Sup. Pisa Cl. Sci. (3), 22 (1968), pp. 265–274.
- [150] C. VILLANI, *Optimal transport. Old and new*, vol. 338 of Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2009.
- [151] ———, *Synthetic theory of ricci curvature bounds*, Japanese Journal of Mathematics, 11 (2016), pp. 219–263.
- [152] M.-K. VON RENESSE AND K.-T. STURM, *Entropic measure and Wasserstein diffusion*, Ann. Probab., 37 (2009), pp. 1114–1191.
- [153] C. XIA, *Complete manifolds with nonnegative Ricci curvature and almost best Sobolev constant*, Illinois J. Math., 45 (2001), pp. 1253–1259.
- [154] H. YAMABE, *On a deformation of Riemannian structures on compact manifolds*, Osaka Math. J., 12 (1960), pp. 21–37.
- [155] H.-C. ZHANG AND X.-P. ZHU, *Ricci curvature on Alexandrov spaces and rigidity theorems*, Comm. Anal. Geom., 18 (2010), pp. 503–553.

UNIVERSITÁ DI PISA, DIPARTIMENTO DI MATEMATICA, LARGO BRUNO PONTECORVO 5, 56127 PISA, ITALY
Email address: francesco.nobili@dm.unipi.it