

Hamiltonian formalism for Bose excitations in a plasma with a non-Abelian interaction II: Plasmon – hard particle scattering

Yu.A. Markov*, M.A. Markova†

Matrosov Institute for System Dynamics and Control Theory, Siberian Branch, Russian Academy of Sciences, Irkutsk, 664033 Russia

Abstract

It is shown that the Hamiltonian formalism proposed previously in [1] to describe the nonlinear dynamics of only *soft* fermionic and bosonic excitations contains much more information than initially assumed. In this paper, we have demonstrated in detail that it also proved to be very appropriate and powerful in describing a wide range of other physical phenomena, including the scattering of colorless plasmons off *hard* thermal (or external) color-charged particles moving in hot quark-gluon plasma. A generalization of the Poisson superbracket including both anti-commuting variables for hard modes and normal variables of the soft Bose field, is presented for the case of a continuous medium. The corresponding Hamilton equations are defined, and the most general form of the third- and fourth-order interaction Hamiltonians is written out in terms of the normal boson field variables and hard momentum modes of the quark-gluon plasma. The canonical transformations involving both bosonic and hard mode degrees of freedom of the system under consideration, are discussed. The canonicity conditions for these transformations based on the Poisson superbracket, are derived. The most general structure of canonical transformations in the form of integro-power series up to sixth order in a new normal field variable and a new hard mode variable, is presented. For the hard momentum mode of quark-gluon plasma excitations, an ansatz separating the color and momentum degrees of freedom, is proposed. The question of approximation of the total effective scattering amplitude when the momenta of hard excitations are much larger than those of soft excitations of the plasma, is considered. A detailed analysis of the connection between the approach presented in this paper and that proposed in our earlier work [2], is provided.

*e-mail:markov@icc.ru

†e-mail:markova@icc.ru

1 Introduction

The present work is formally a continuation of our paper [2] devoted to the construction of the Hamiltonian formalism for the description of scattering process of hard color-charged particle off soft Bose-excitations of a hot quark-gluon plasma (QGP). However, in fact, it is a direct continuation of our earlier work [1]. In [1] we have developed in detail the approach in the construction of the Hamiltonian formalism for the self-consistent description of the nonlinear scattering processes of soft collective excitations of both bosonic and fermionic types in the QGP. The use of the methods and of the results we received in [1] allowed us to develop a somewhat different, more rigorous, as we think, approach to the problem posed in [2]. Making use of just the same initial equations and relations (canonicity conditions, Poisson's superbracket, Hamilton's equations) written out in [1] for soft collective modes of QGP excitations, we show step by step how one can derive from them the equations and relations describing qualitatively new physical phenomena and interaction processes. This, in turn, gives a deeper understanding of the kinetic equations themselves for soft bosonic and fermionic excitations obtained in [2] and the possibility of using them to describe the hard momentum degrees of freedom of QGP.

It should be noted at once that the kinetic equations and the equation of evolution of the color charge of a hard particle, which we derive in the present paper, do not coincide literally with the equations of the paper [2]. In the current approach, new terms appear that sometimes qualitatively change the dynamics of the evolution of physical quantities. Moreover, in contrast to the results in [2], which are valid for arbitrary color group $SU(N_c)$, here for a self-consistent description it is necessary to be restricted to the value $N_c = 3$ (not considering the "trivial" case $N_c = 2$). We have tried to make the presentation in this paper as independent of [2] as possible, self-sufficient and the reading of this paper can, in principle, be done independently. The comparison of the results of the two approaches is carried out in relevant sections and serves as a mutual addition.

The paper is organized as follows. In section 2, the general form of the decomposition of the gauge field potential into plane waves is given and the expectation value of the product of two bosonic amplitudes, is presented. In the same section, a generalization of the Poisson superbracket including both the anticommuting variables for hard modes $(\xi_{\mathbf{p}}^i, \xi_{\mathbf{p}}^{*i})$ and the normal variables $(a_{\mathbf{k}}^a, a_{\mathbf{k}}^{*a})$ for soft boson field to the case of a continuous medium is performed. The corresponding Hamilton equations are defined and the most general structure of the third- and fourth-order interaction Hamiltonians in the normal field variables $(a_{\mathbf{k}}^a, a_{\mathbf{k}}^{*a})$ and in the hard modes $(\xi_{\mathbf{p}}^i, \xi_{\mathbf{p}}^{*i})$ of the hot quark-gluon plasma, is written out. In section 3, the canonical transformations including bosonic and hard mode degrees of freedom of the quark-gluon plasma are discussed. Two systems of canonicity conditions for these transformations, based on the Poisson superbracket are derived. The most general structure of canonical transformations in the form of integro-power series in the new normal field variables $(c_{\mathbf{k}}^a, c_{\mathbf{k}}^{*a})$ and new hard momentum mode variables $(\zeta_{\mathbf{p}}^i, \zeta_{\mathbf{p}}^{*i})$ up to the terms of sixth order is presented. Algebraic relations for the second-order coefficient functions of the canonical transformations, are obtained. In section 4, using the above-mentioned canonical transformations the problem of removing the "non-essential" third-order Hamiltonian $H^{(3)}$ is addressed. Explicit expressions for the coefficient functions in quadratic terms in $c_{\mathbf{k}}^a$ and $\zeta_{\mathbf{p}}^i$ of canonical transformations, are

obtained. An explicit form of the complete effective amplitude $\mathcal{T}_{\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{p}_1, \mathbf{k}_1, \mathbf{k}_2}^{(2) i i_1 a_1 a_2}$ describing the elastic scattering process of plasmon off a hard color particle in leading tree-level order is given and the corresponding effective fourth-order Hamiltonian $\mathcal{H}_{gG \rightarrow gG}^{(4)}$, is written out.

Section 5 is concerned with the calculation of fourth- and sixth-order correlation functions in the new normal field variable $c_{\mathbf{k}}^a$ and the new hard mode variable $\zeta_{\mathbf{p}}^i$. The notions of the plasmon number density $\mathcal{N}_{\mathbf{k}}^{aa'}$, and of the number density of hard modes $\mathbf{n}_{\mathbf{p}}^{i'i}$ are introduced. These number densities are nontrivial color matrices in the adjoint and defining representations, respectively. For the hard momentum modes of quark-gluon plasma excitations, we suggest an ansatz that separates the color and momentum degrees of freedom. On the basis of Hamilton's equations of motion with the Poisson superbracket, a differential equation to which the fourth-order correlation function obeys, is defined. In section 6 an approximate solution to the equation for the fourth-order correlator, accounting for the deviation of the four-point correlation function from the Gaussian approximation at a low level of nonlinearity in interacting Bose-excitations is found. On the basis of this solution, a matrix kinetic equation for the number density of color plasmons describing the elastic scattering process of collective gluon excitations off a hard color-charged particle, is constructed.

In section 7 the question of approximation of effective subamplitudes $\mathcal{T}_{\mathbf{p}_1, \mathbf{p}_2, \mathbf{k}, \mathbf{k}_1}^{(2, A)}$ and $\mathcal{T}_{\mathbf{p}_1, \mathbf{p}_2, \mathbf{k}, \mathbf{k}_1}^{(2, S)}$ in the limit when the momenta of the hard excitations are much larger than the momenta of the soft plasma excitations, i.e. when $|\mathbf{p}_1|, |\mathbf{p}_2| \gg |\mathbf{k}|, |\mathbf{k}_1|$, is considered. An approximate expression for the effective amplitude $\mathcal{T}_{\mathbf{p}_1, \mathbf{p}_2, \mathbf{k}, \mathbf{k}_1}^{(2, A)}$ is derived and a simple graphical interpretation of the individual terms in the effective amplitude, is provided. In section 8 we consider an approximation of the matrix kinetic equation for soft gluon excitations in the limit of large hard excitation momenta. The color decomposition of the matrix function $\mathcal{N}_{\mathbf{k}}^{aa'}$ is written out and the first moment about color of the matrix kinetic equation defining a scalar kinetic equation for the colorless part $N_{\mathbf{k}}^l$ of this decomposition, is calculated. Section 9 is devoted to the determination of the second moment about color of the matrix kinetic equation. This equation represents a scalar kinetic equation for the color component $W_{\mathbf{k}}^l$ in the decomposition of the matrix number density $\mathcal{N}_{\mathbf{k}}^{aa'}$. A special case of the color group, $SU(3_c)$, is discussed. In section 10 the derivation of the equation of motion for the expected value of the colorless charge \mathcal{Q} , is considered. For this purpose, we used the kinetic equation for the hard particle number density $\mathbf{n}_{\mathbf{p}}^{i'i}$ in the approximation $|\mathbf{p}| \gg |\mathbf{k}|$. It is shown that by virtue of the obtained equation for the $\langle \mathcal{Q} \rangle$ and the specific nature of the physical system under consideration, this equation admits a single solution only: $\langle \mathcal{Q} \rangle = const.$

In section 11 the derivation of the equation of motion for the expected value of the color charge \mathcal{Q}^a , is discussed. Nonlinear differential equations of first order for the colorless combinations of second $\mathbf{q}_2(t)$ and third $\mathbf{q}_3(t)$ orders with respect to the mean value $\langle \mathcal{Q}^a \rangle$, are derived. It is shown that for the special case $SU(3_c)$ of the color group, these two equations are completely self-consistent and their explicit analytical solutions, are obtained. In section 12 a complete self-consistent system of kinetic equations for soft gluon excitations, taking into account the time evolution of the mean value of the color charge of a hard probe particle, is written out. Sections 13 and 14 focus on a detailed analysis of the connection between the approach outlined in this paper and the one proposed in the paper [2]. In section 13 we consider the relation between Hamiltonians and their corresponding effective amplitudes. In section 14, we analyze the relationship between the canonical transformations and the coefficient functions that they

include. It is shown that these functions, obtained by two different ways under certain conditions (within the hard thermal loop approximation), match exactly. This indirectly confirms the correctness and reasonability of the simpler approach of the work [2].

In Appendix A we provide the basic expressions for the effective three-plasmon vertex functions and the effective gluon propagator within the framework of the hard thermal loop approximation. In Appendix B, all the necessary relations and traces of a product of generators in the defining representation of the color group $SU(N_c)$, are given. In particular, the Fierz-type identities are written out. In Appendix C the necessary traces of a product of generators in the adjoint representation of the color group $SU(N_c)$ up to the fifth order as well as some useful relations between these generators are given. The Appendix also includes two additional identities for the special case $N_c = 3$.

Appendix D provides a calculation of the trace of five generators in the adjoint representation. We encountered this trace in section 9 when defining the kinetic equation for the color component $W_{\mathbf{k}}^l$ of the spectral density of bosonic excitations of the quark-gluon plasma. In Appendix E, we present the explicit form of the expressions for the canonical transformations of the normal boson variable $a_{\mathbf{k}}^a$ and the classical color charge Q^a up to third order in the new variables $c_{\mathbf{k}}^a$ and \mathcal{Q}^a , which were previously derived using heuristic considerations in [2]. The explicit form of the coefficient functions that are included in the integrands of these transformations, is written out. In Appendix F an explicit form of some third-order coefficient functions, which enter into the canonical transformations (3.5) and (3.6), is given.

2 Interaction Hamiltonian of plasmons and hard particles

Let us consider the application of the general Zakharov theory [3–8] to a specific system, namely to a high-temperature quark-gluon plasma in the semiclassical approximation. The gauge field potentials describing the gluon field in the system are $N_c \times N_c$ matrices in the color space and are defined in terms of $A_\mu(x) = A_\mu^a(x) t^a$ with $N_c^2 - 1$ Hermitian generators t^a of the color $SU(N_c)$ group in the fundamental representation¹.

It is known that there exist two types of the physical soft gluon fields in an equilibrium hot quark-gluon plasma: transverse- and longitudinal-polarized ones [9]. For simplicity, we confine our analysis only to processes involving longitudinally polarized plasma excitations, which are known as *plasmons*. These excitations are a purely collective effect of the medium, which has no analogs in the conventional quantum field theory. Let us consider the gauge field potential in the form of the decomposition into plane waves [10, 11]

$$A_\mu^a(x) = \int d\mathbf{k} \left(\frac{Z_l(\mathbf{k})}{2\omega_{\mathbf{k}}^l} \right)^{1/2} \left\{ \epsilon_\mu^l(\mathbf{k}) a_{\mathbf{k}}^a e^{-i\omega_{\mathbf{k}}^l t + i\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{x}} + \epsilon_\mu^{*l}(\mathbf{k}) a_{\mathbf{k}}^{*a} e^{i\omega_{\mathbf{k}}^l t - i\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{x}} \right\}, \quad (2.1)$$

where $\epsilon_\mu^l(\mathbf{k})$ is the polarization vector of a longitudinal mode (\mathbf{k} is the wave vector). The asterisk $*$ denotes the complex conjugation. The factor $Z_l(\mathbf{k})$ is the residue of the effective gluon propagator at the longitudinal pole. Finally, $\omega_{\mathbf{k}}^l$ is the dispersion relation of the longitudinal

¹ The color indices a, b, c, \dots run through values $1, 2, \dots, N_c^2 - 1$, while the vector indices μ, ν, λ, \dots run through values $0, 1, 2, 3$. Everywhere in this article, we imply summation over repeated indices and use the system of units with $\hbar = c = 1$.

mode. We consider the amplitude for longitudinal $a_{\mathbf{k}}^a$ excitations as ordinary (complex) random function. The expectation value of the product of two bosonic amplitudes is

$$\langle a_{\mathbf{k}}^{*a} a_{\mathbf{k}'}^b \rangle = \delta^{ab} \delta(\mathbf{k} - \mathbf{k}') \mathcal{N}_{\mathbf{k}}^l, \quad (2.2)$$

where $\mathcal{N}_{\mathbf{k}}^l$ is the number density of the longitudinal plasma waves. The dispersion relation $\omega_{\mathbf{k}}^l$ for plasmons satisfies the following dispersion equation [9]:

$$\text{Re } \varepsilon^l(\omega, \mathbf{k}) = 0, \quad (2.3)$$

where

$$\varepsilon^l(\omega, \mathbf{k}) = 1 + \frac{3\omega_{pl}^2}{\mathbf{k}^2} \left[1 - F\left(\frac{\omega}{|\mathbf{k}|^2}\right) \right], \quad F(x) = \frac{x}{2} \left[\ln \left| \frac{1+x}{1-x} \right| - i\pi\theta(1-|x|) \right]$$

is the longitudinal permittivity, $\omega_{pl}^2 = g^2(2N_c + N_f)T^2/18$ is a plasma frequency squared, T is the temperature of the system, g is the strong interaction constant, and N_f represents the number of flavors of massless quarks.

As it was said already above, the amplitudes $a_{\mathbf{k}}^a$ and $a_{\mathbf{k}}^{*a}$ in the expansion for the longitudinal mode of oscillations (2.1) are usual (commuting) normal variables of the gauge field satisfying the Poisson superbracket relations

$$\{a_{\mathbf{k}}^a, a_{\mathbf{k}'}^b\}_{\text{SPB}} = 0, \quad \{a_{\mathbf{k}}^{*a}, a_{\mathbf{k}'}^{*b}\}_{\text{SPB}} = 0, \quad \{a_{\mathbf{k}}^a, a_{\mathbf{k}'}^{*b}\}_{\text{SPB}} = \delta^{ab} \delta(\mathbf{k} - \mathbf{k}'). \quad (2.4)$$

From the other hand, in full analogy to our work [1], we consider the amplitudes $\xi_{\mathbf{p}}^i$ and $\xi_{\mathbf{p}}^{*i}$ for *hard* momentum modes of excitations of a quark-gluon plasma as Grassmann-valued (anticommuting) variables, the Poisson superbrackets (SPB) of which have the following standard form:

$$\{\xi_{\mathbf{p}}^i, \xi_{\mathbf{p}'}^j\}_{\text{SPB}} = 0, \quad \{\xi_{\mathbf{p}}^{*i}, \xi_{\mathbf{p}'}^{*j}\}_{\text{SPB}} = 0, \quad \{\xi_{\mathbf{p}}^i, \xi_{\mathbf{p}'}^{*j}\}_{\text{SPB}} = \delta^{ij} \delta(\mathbf{p} - \mathbf{p}'), \quad (2.5)$$

here, $i, j = 1, \dots, N_c$. For the case of a continuous media we take the following expression as the definition of the Poisson superbracket

$$\begin{aligned} & \{F, G\}_{\text{SPB}} \\ &= \int d\mathbf{k}' \left\{ \frac{\delta F}{\delta a_{\mathbf{k}'}^c} \frac{\delta G}{\delta a_{\mathbf{k}'}^{*c}} - \frac{\delta F}{\delta a_{\mathbf{k}'}^{*c}} \frac{\delta G}{\delta a_{\mathbf{k}'}^c} \right\} + \int d\mathbf{p}' \left\{ \frac{\overleftarrow{\delta} F}{\delta \xi_{\mathbf{p}'}^i} \frac{\overrightarrow{\delta} G}{\delta \xi_{\mathbf{p}'}^{*i}} + (-1)^{P_F + P_G} \frac{\overrightarrow{\delta} F}{\delta \xi_{\mathbf{p}'}^{*i}} \frac{\overleftarrow{\delta} G}{\delta \xi_{\mathbf{p}'}^i} \right\}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.6)$$

Here, $\overrightarrow{\delta}/\delta \xi_{\mathbf{p}}^{*i}$ and $\overleftarrow{\delta}/\delta \xi_{\mathbf{p}}^i$ are the right and left functional derivatives², P_F and P_G designate Grassmann parity of the functions F and G , correspondingly. For simplicity of notation the abbreviation SPB will be omitted, thereby suggesting that by the braces $\{, \}$ we always mean

² In our notations of the right and left variational derivatives we follow the notations accepted for the right and left derivatives adopted in [12–14] and therefore,

$$\delta F = \int d\mathbf{k}' \left\{ \frac{\delta F}{\delta a_{\mathbf{k}'}^c} \delta a_{\mathbf{k}'}^c + \frac{\delta F}{\delta a_{\mathbf{k}'}^{*c}} \delta a_{\mathbf{k}'}^{*c} \right\} + \int d\mathbf{p}' \left\{ \frac{\overleftarrow{\delta} F}{\delta \xi_{\mathbf{p}'}^i} \delta \xi_{\mathbf{p}'}^i + \delta \xi_{\mathbf{p}'}^{*i} \frac{\overrightarrow{\delta} F}{\delta \xi_{\mathbf{p}'}^{*i}} \right\}.$$

the Poisson superbrackets.

Let us write the Hamilton equations for the functions $a_{\mathbf{k}}^a$, $\xi_{\mathbf{p}}^i$ and their complex conjugation

$$\frac{\partial a_{\mathbf{k}}^a}{\partial t} = -i\{a_{\mathbf{k}}^a, H\} \equiv -i\frac{\delta H}{\delta a_{\mathbf{k}}^{*a}}, \quad \frac{\partial a_{\mathbf{k}}^{*a}}{\partial t} = -i\{a_{\mathbf{k}}^{*a}, H\} \equiv i\frac{\delta H}{\delta a_{\mathbf{k}}^a}, \quad (2.7)$$

$$\frac{\partial \xi_{\mathbf{p}}^i}{\partial t} = -i\{\xi_{\mathbf{p}}^i, H\} \equiv -i\frac{\overrightarrow{\delta} H}{\delta \xi_{\mathbf{p}}^{*i}}, \quad \frac{\partial \xi_{\mathbf{p}}^{*i}}{\partial t} = -i\{\xi_{\mathbf{p}}^{*i}, H\} \equiv i\frac{\overleftarrow{\delta} H}{\delta \xi_{\mathbf{p}}^i}. \quad (2.8)$$

Here, the function H represents a Hamiltonian for the system of plasmons and hard particles, which is equal to a sum $H = H^{(0)} + H_{int}$, where

$$H^{(0)} = \int d\mathbf{k} \omega_{\mathbf{k}}^l a_{\mathbf{k}}^{*a} a_{\mathbf{k}}^a + \int d\mathbf{p} \varepsilon_{\mathbf{p}} \xi_{\mathbf{p}}^{*i} \xi_{\mathbf{p}}^i \quad (2.9)$$

is the Hamiltonian of noninteracting plasmons and hard particles, H_{int} is the interaction Hamiltonian, and $\varepsilon_{\mathbf{p}}$ is hard particle energy

$$\varepsilon_{\mathbf{p}} \simeq |\mathbf{p}|. \quad (2.10)$$

In the approximation of small amplitudes, the interaction Hamiltonian can be presented in the form of a formal integro-power series in the bosonic functions $a_{\mathbf{k}}^a$ and $a_{\mathbf{k}}^{*a}$, and in the fermionic ones $\xi_{\mathbf{p}}^i$ and $\xi_{\mathbf{p}}^{*i}$:

$$H_{int} = H^{(3)} + H^{(4)} + \dots,$$

where the third-order interaction Hamiltonian has the following structure:

$$\begin{aligned} H^{(3)} = & \int d\mathbf{k} d\mathbf{k}_1 d\mathbf{k}_2 \left\{ \mathcal{V}_{\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{k}_1, \mathbf{k}_2}^{a a_1 a_2} a_{\mathbf{k}}^{*a} a_{\mathbf{k}_1}^{a_1} a_{\mathbf{k}_2}^{a_2} + \mathcal{V}_{\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{k}_1, \mathbf{k}_2}^{*a a_1 a_2} a_{\mathbf{k}}^a a_{\mathbf{k}_1}^{*a_1} a_{\mathbf{k}_2}^{*a_2} \right\} \delta(\mathbf{k} - \mathbf{k}_1 - \mathbf{k}_2) \\ & + \frac{1}{3} \int d\mathbf{k} d\mathbf{k}_1 d\mathbf{k}_2 \left\{ \mathcal{U}_{\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{k}_1, \mathbf{k}_2}^{a a_1 a_2} a_{\mathbf{k}}^a a_{\mathbf{k}_1}^{a_1} a_{\mathbf{k}_2}^{a_2} + \mathcal{U}_{\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{k}_1, \mathbf{k}_2}^{*a a_1 a_2} a_{\mathbf{k}}^{*a} a_{\mathbf{k}_1}^{*a_1} a_{\mathbf{k}_2}^{*a_2} \right\} \delta(\mathbf{k} + \mathbf{k}_1 + \mathbf{k}_2) \\ & + \int d\mathbf{k} d\mathbf{p}_1 d\mathbf{p}_2 \left\{ \Phi_{\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{p}_1, \mathbf{p}_2}^{a i_1 i_2} a_{\mathbf{k}}^a \xi_{\mathbf{p}_1}^{*i_1} \xi_{\mathbf{p}_2}^{i_2} \delta(\mathbf{k} - \mathbf{p}_1 + \mathbf{p}_2) + \Phi_{\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{p}_2, \mathbf{p}_1}^{*a i_2 i_1} a_{\mathbf{k}}^{*a} \xi_{\mathbf{p}_1}^{*i_1} \xi_{\mathbf{p}_2}^{i_2} \delta(\mathbf{k} + \mathbf{p}_1 - \mathbf{p}_2) \right\} \\ & + \int d\mathbf{k} d\mathbf{p}_1 d\mathbf{p}_2 \left\{ \mathcal{W}_{\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{p}_1, \mathbf{p}_2}^{a i_1 i_2} a_{\mathbf{k}}^a \xi_{\mathbf{p}_1}^{*i_1} \xi_{\mathbf{p}_2}^{*i_2} - \mathcal{W}_{\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{p}_1, \mathbf{p}_2}^{*a i_1 i_2} a_{\mathbf{k}}^{*a} \xi_{\mathbf{p}_1}^{i_1} \xi_{\mathbf{p}_2}^{i_2} \right\} \delta(\mathbf{k} - \mathbf{p}_1 - \mathbf{p}_2) \\ & + \int d\mathbf{k} d\mathbf{p}_1 d\mathbf{p}_2 \left\{ \mathcal{S}_{\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{p}_1, \mathbf{p}_2}^{a i_1 i_2} a_{\mathbf{k}}^a \xi_{\mathbf{p}_1}^{i_1} \xi_{\mathbf{p}_2}^{i_2} - \mathcal{S}_{\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{p}_1, \mathbf{p}_2}^{*a i_1 i_2} a_{\mathbf{k}}^{*a} \xi_{\mathbf{p}_1}^{*i_1} \xi_{\mathbf{p}_2}^{*i_2} \right\} \delta(\mathbf{k} + \mathbf{p}_1 + \mathbf{p}_2) \end{aligned} \quad (2.11)$$

and, correspondingly, the fourth-order interaction Hamiltonian is

$$\begin{aligned} H^{(4)} = & \int d\mathbf{p} d\mathbf{p}_1 d\mathbf{k}_1 d\mathbf{k}_2 T_{\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{p}_1, \mathbf{k}_1, \mathbf{k}_2}^{(2) i i_1 a_1 a_2} \xi_{\mathbf{p}}^{*i} \xi_{\mathbf{p}_1}^{i_1} a_{\mathbf{k}_1}^{*a_1} a_{\mathbf{k}_2}^{a_2} \delta(\mathbf{p} + \mathbf{k}_1 - \mathbf{p}_1 - \mathbf{k}_2), \\ & + \frac{1}{2} \int d\mathbf{p} d\mathbf{p}_1 d\mathbf{p}_2 d\mathbf{p}_3 T_{\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{p}_1, \mathbf{p}_2, \mathbf{p}_3}^{(2) i i_1 i_2 i_3} \xi_{\mathbf{p}}^{*i} \xi_{\mathbf{p}_1}^{*i_1} \xi_{\mathbf{p}_2}^{i_2} \xi_{\mathbf{p}_3}^{i_3} \delta(\mathbf{p} + \mathbf{p}_1 - \mathbf{p}_2 - \mathbf{p}_3). \end{aligned} \quad (2.12)$$

In the expression (2.12) the first term describes plasmon–hard-particle scattering with the resonance condition

$$\begin{cases} \mathbf{k} + \mathbf{p} = \mathbf{k}_1 + \mathbf{p}_1, \\ \omega_{\mathbf{k}}^l + \varepsilon_{\mathbf{p}} = \omega_{\mathbf{k}_1}^l + \varepsilon_{\mathbf{p}_1}. \end{cases}$$

The second term is associated with the interaction of hard excitations among themselves. The expression (2.11) is a direct analog of the third-order interaction Hamiltonian (2.14) from the paper [1], where to the substitutions

$$\mathbf{q} \Rightarrow \mathbf{p}, \quad \omega_{\mathbf{q}}^- \Rightarrow \varepsilon_{\mathbf{p}}, \quad b_{\mathbf{q}}^i \Rightarrow \xi_{\mathbf{p}}^b, \quad b_{\mathbf{q}}^{*i} \Rightarrow \xi_{\mathbf{p}}^{*i}, \quad (2.13)$$

one should add substitutions of three- and four-point coefficient functions

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{G}_{\mathbf{k}_1, \mathbf{q}, \mathbf{q}_1}^{a_1 i i_1} &\Rightarrow \mathcal{W}_{\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{p}_1, \mathbf{p}_2}^{*a i_1 i_2}, & \mathcal{P}_{\mathbf{k}_1, \mathbf{q}, \mathbf{q}_1}^{a_1 i i_1} &\Rightarrow \Phi_{\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{p}_1, \mathbf{p}_2}^{a i_1 i_2}, & \mathcal{K}_{\mathbf{k}_1, \mathbf{q}, \mathbf{q}_1}^{a_1 i i_1} &\Rightarrow \mathcal{S}_{\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{p}_1, \mathbf{p}_2}^{a i_1 i_2}, \\ T_{\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{q}_1, \mathbf{k}_1, \mathbf{k}_2}^{(2) i i_1 a_1 a_2} &\Rightarrow T_{\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{p}_1, \mathbf{k}_1, \mathbf{k}_2}^{(2) i i_1 a_1 a_2}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.14)$$

The vertex functions $\mathcal{V}_{\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{k}_1, \mathbf{k}_2}^{a a_1 a_2}$, $\mathcal{U}_{\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{k}_1, \mathbf{k}_2}^{a a_1 a_2}$, $\mathcal{W}_{\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{p}_1, \mathbf{p}_2}^{a i_1 i_2}$, and $\mathcal{S}_{\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{p}_1, \mathbf{p}_2}^{a i_1 i_2}$ satisfy the ‘‘conditions of natural symmetry’’, which specify that the integrals in Eqs. (2.11) and (2.12) are unaffected by relabeling of the dummy color indices and integration variables. These conditions have the following form:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{V}_{\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{k}_1, \mathbf{k}_2}^{a a_1 a_2} &= \mathcal{V}_{\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{k}_2, \mathbf{k}_1}^{a a_2 a_1}, & \mathcal{U}_{\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{k}_1, \mathbf{k}_2}^{a a_1 a_2} &= \mathcal{U}_{\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{k}_2, \mathbf{k}_1}^{a a_2 a_1} = \mathcal{U}_{\mathbf{k}_1, \mathbf{k}_2, \mathbf{k}}^{a_1 a_2 a}, \\ \mathcal{W}_{\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{p}_1, \mathbf{p}_2}^{a i_1 i_2} &= -\mathcal{W}_{\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{p}_2, \mathbf{p}_1}^{a i_2 i_1}, & \mathcal{S}_{\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{p}_1, \mathbf{p}_2}^{a i_1 i_2} &= -\mathcal{S}_{\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{p}_2, \mathbf{p}_1}^{a i_2 i_1}, \\ T_{\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{p}_1, \mathbf{p}_2, \mathbf{p}_3}^{(2) i i_1 i_2 i_3} &= -T_{\mathbf{p}_1, \mathbf{p}, \mathbf{p}_2, \mathbf{p}_3}^{(2) i_1 i i_2 i_3} = -T_{\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{p}_1, \mathbf{p}_3, \mathbf{p}_2}^{(2) i i_1 i_3 i_2}. \end{aligned}$$

The real nature of the Hamiltonian (2.11) is obvious. A reality of the Hamiltonian (2.12) entails a validity of additional relations for the vertex functions $T_{\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{p}_1, \mathbf{k}_1, \mathbf{k}_2}^{(2) i i_1 a_1 a_2}$ and $T_{\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{p}_1, \mathbf{p}_2, \mathbf{p}_3}^{(2) i i_1 i_2 i_3}$:

$$T_{\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{p}_1, \mathbf{k}_1, \mathbf{k}_2}^{(2) i i_1 a_1 a_2} = T_{\mathbf{p}_1, \mathbf{p}, \mathbf{k}_2, \mathbf{k}_1}^{*(2) i_1 i a_2 a_1}, \quad T_{\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{p}_1, \mathbf{p}_2, \mathbf{p}_3}^{(2) i i_1 i_2 i_3} = T_{\mathbf{p}_2, \mathbf{p}_3, \mathbf{p}, \mathbf{p}_1}^{(2) i_2 i_3 i i_1}.$$

The information about a concrete physical system, in our case about a hot quark-gluon plasma, is contained in the dispersion law $\omega_{\mathbf{k}}^l$ and in the form of the interaction vertex functions in the Hamiltonians $H^{(3)}$ and $H^{(4)}$. In particular, an explicit form of the three-point amplitudes $\mathcal{V}_{\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{k}_1, \mathbf{k}_2}^{a a_1 a_2}$ and $\mathcal{U}_{\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{k}_1, \mathbf{k}_2}^{a a_1 a_2}$ within the hard thermal loop approximation was obtained in [15]. They have the following color and momentum structures:

$$\mathcal{V}_{\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{k}_1, \mathbf{k}_2}^{a a_1 a_2} = f^{a a_1 a_2} \mathcal{V}_{\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{k}_1, \mathbf{k}_2}, \quad \mathcal{U}_{\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{k}_1, \mathbf{k}_2}^{a a_1 a_2} = f^{a a_1 a_2} \mathcal{U}_{\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{k}_1, \mathbf{k}_2}, \quad (2.15)$$

where the explicit form of the functions $\mathcal{V}_{\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{k}_1, \mathbf{k}_2}$ and $\mathcal{U}_{\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{k}_1, \mathbf{k}_2}$ is written out in Appendix A, Eqs. (A.1) and (A.2).

3 Canonical transformations

Let us consider the transformation from the initial bosonic and fermionic variables $a_{\mathbf{k}}^a$ and $\xi_{\mathbf{p}}^i$ to the new bosonic and fermionic ones $c_{\mathbf{k}}^a$ and $\zeta_{\mathbf{p}}^i$:

$$a_{\mathbf{k}}^a = a_{\mathbf{k}}^a(c_{\mathbf{k}}^a, c_{\mathbf{k}}^{*a}, \zeta_{\mathbf{p}}^i, \zeta_{\mathbf{p}}^{*i}), \quad (3.1)$$

$$\zeta_{\mathbf{p}}^i = \zeta_{\mathbf{p}}^i(c_{\mathbf{k}}^a, c_{\mathbf{k}}^{*a}, \zeta_{\mathbf{p}}^i, \zeta_{\mathbf{p}}^{*i}). \quad (3.2)$$

We shall demand that the Hamilton equations in terms of new functions have the form (2.7) and (2.8) with the same Hamiltonian H . Straightforward but rather cumbersome calculations result in two systems of integral relations. The first of them has the following form:

$$\int d\mathbf{k}' \left\{ \frac{\delta a_{\mathbf{k}}^a}{\delta c_{\mathbf{k}'}^c} \frac{\delta a_{\mathbf{k}''}^{*b}}{\delta c_{\mathbf{k}'}^{*c}} - \frac{\delta a_{\mathbf{k}}^a}{\delta c_{\mathbf{k}'}^{*c}} \frac{\delta a_{\mathbf{k}''}^{*b}}{\delta c_{\mathbf{k}'}^c} \right\} + \int d\mathbf{p}' \left\{ \frac{\overleftarrow{\delta} a_{\mathbf{k}}^a}{\delta \zeta_{\mathbf{p}'}^k} \frac{\overrightarrow{\delta} a_{\mathbf{k}''}^{*b}}{\delta \zeta_{\mathbf{p}'}^{*k}} + \frac{\overrightarrow{\delta} a_{\mathbf{k}}^a}{\delta \zeta_{\mathbf{p}'}^{*k}} \frac{\overleftarrow{\delta} a_{\mathbf{k}''}^{*b}}{\delta \zeta_{\mathbf{p}'}^k} \right\} = \delta^{ab} \delta(\mathbf{k} - \mathbf{k}''), \quad (3.3a)$$

$$\int d\mathbf{k}' \left\{ \frac{\delta a_{\mathbf{k}}^a}{\delta c_{\mathbf{k}'}^c} \frac{\delta a_{\mathbf{k}''}^b}{\delta c_{\mathbf{k}'}^{*c}} - \frac{\delta a_{\mathbf{k}}^a}{\delta c_{\mathbf{k}'}^{*c}} \frac{\delta a_{\mathbf{k}''}^b}{\delta c_{\mathbf{k}'}^c} \right\} + \int d\mathbf{p}' \left\{ \frac{\overleftarrow{\delta} a_{\mathbf{k}}^a}{\delta \zeta_{\mathbf{p}'}^k} \frac{\overrightarrow{\delta} a_{\mathbf{k}''}^b}{\delta \zeta_{\mathbf{p}'}^{*k}} + \frac{\overrightarrow{\delta} a_{\mathbf{k}}^a}{\delta \zeta_{\mathbf{p}'}^{*k}} \frac{\overleftarrow{\delta} a_{\mathbf{k}''}^b}{\delta \zeta_{\mathbf{p}'}^k} \right\} = 0, \quad (3.3b)$$

$$\int d\mathbf{k}' \left\{ \frac{\delta a_{\mathbf{k}}^a}{\delta c_{\mathbf{k}'}^c} \frac{\delta \xi_{\mathbf{p}''}^i}{\delta c_{\mathbf{k}'}^{*c}} - \frac{\delta a_{\mathbf{k}}^a}{\delta c_{\mathbf{k}'}^{*c}} \frac{\delta \xi_{\mathbf{p}''}^i}{\delta c_{\mathbf{k}'}^c} \right\} + \int d\mathbf{p}' \left\{ \frac{\overleftarrow{\delta} a_{\mathbf{k}}^a}{\delta \zeta_{\mathbf{p}'}^k} \frac{\overrightarrow{\delta} \xi_{\mathbf{p}''}^i}{\delta \zeta_{\mathbf{p}'}^{*k}} - \frac{\overrightarrow{\delta} a_{\mathbf{k}}^a}{\delta \zeta_{\mathbf{p}'}^{*k}} \frac{\overleftarrow{\delta} \xi_{\mathbf{p}''}^i}{\delta \zeta_{\mathbf{p}'}^k} \right\} = 0, \quad (3.3c)$$

$$\int d\mathbf{k}' \left\{ \frac{\delta a_{\mathbf{k}}^a}{\delta c_{\mathbf{k}'}^c} \frac{\delta \xi_{\mathbf{p}''}^{*i}}{\delta c_{\mathbf{k}'}^{*c}} - \frac{\delta a_{\mathbf{k}}^a}{\delta c_{\mathbf{k}'}^{*c}} \frac{\delta \xi_{\mathbf{p}''}^{*i}}{\delta c_{\mathbf{k}'}^c} \right\} + \int d\mathbf{p}' \left\{ \frac{\overleftarrow{\delta} a_{\mathbf{k}}^a}{\delta \zeta_{\mathbf{p}'}^k} \frac{\overrightarrow{\delta} \xi_{\mathbf{p}''}^{*i}}{\delta \zeta_{\mathbf{p}'}^{*k}} - \frac{\overrightarrow{\delta} a_{\mathbf{k}}^a}{\delta \zeta_{\mathbf{p}'}^{*k}} \frac{\overleftarrow{\delta} \xi_{\mathbf{p}''}^{*i}}{\delta \zeta_{\mathbf{p}'}^k} \right\} = 0 \quad (3.3d)$$

and, correspondingly, the second system is

$$\int d\mathbf{k}' \left\{ \frac{\delta \xi_{\mathbf{p}}^i}{\delta c_{\mathbf{k}'}^c} \frac{\delta \xi_{\mathbf{p}''}^{*j}}{\delta c_{\mathbf{k}'}^{*c}} - \frac{\delta \xi_{\mathbf{p}}^i}{\delta c_{\mathbf{k}'}^{*c}} \frac{\delta \xi_{\mathbf{p}''}^{*j}}{\delta c_{\mathbf{k}'}^c} \right\} + \int d\mathbf{p}' \left\{ \frac{\overleftarrow{\delta} \xi_{\mathbf{p}}^i}{\delta \zeta_{\mathbf{p}'}^k} \frac{\overrightarrow{\delta} \xi_{\mathbf{p}''}^{*j}}{\delta \zeta_{\mathbf{p}'}^{*k}} + \frac{\overrightarrow{\delta} \xi_{\mathbf{p}}^i}{\delta \zeta_{\mathbf{p}'}^{*k}} \frac{\overleftarrow{\delta} \xi_{\mathbf{p}''}^{*j}}{\delta \zeta_{\mathbf{p}'}^k} \right\} = \delta^{ij} \delta(\mathbf{p} - \mathbf{p}''), \quad (3.4a)$$

$$\int d\mathbf{k}' \left\{ \frac{\delta \xi_{\mathbf{p}}^i}{\delta c_{\mathbf{k}'}^c} \frac{\delta \xi_{\mathbf{p}''}^j}{\delta c_{\mathbf{k}'}^{*c}} - \frac{\delta \xi_{\mathbf{p}}^i}{\delta c_{\mathbf{k}'}^{*c}} \frac{\delta \xi_{\mathbf{p}''}^j}{\delta c_{\mathbf{k}'}^c} \right\} + \int d\mathbf{p}' \left\{ \frac{\overleftarrow{\delta} \xi_{\mathbf{p}}^i}{\delta \zeta_{\mathbf{p}'}^k} \frac{\overrightarrow{\delta} \xi_{\mathbf{p}''}^j}{\delta \zeta_{\mathbf{p}'}^{*k}} + \frac{\overrightarrow{\delta} \xi_{\mathbf{p}}^i}{\delta \zeta_{\mathbf{p}'}^{*k}} \frac{\overleftarrow{\delta} \xi_{\mathbf{p}''}^j}{\delta \zeta_{\mathbf{p}'}^k} \right\} = 0, \quad (3.4b)$$

$$\int d\mathbf{k}' \left\{ \frac{\delta \xi_{\mathbf{p}}^i}{\delta c_{\mathbf{k}'}^c} \frac{\delta a_{\mathbf{k}''}^a}{\delta c_{\mathbf{k}'}^{*c}} - \frac{\delta \xi_{\mathbf{p}}^i}{\delta c_{\mathbf{k}'}^{*c}} \frac{\delta a_{\mathbf{k}''}^a}{\delta c_{\mathbf{k}'}^c} \right\} + \int d\mathbf{p}' \left\{ \frac{\overleftarrow{\delta} \xi_{\mathbf{p}}^i}{\delta \zeta_{\mathbf{p}'}^k} \frac{\overrightarrow{\delta} a_{\mathbf{k}''}^a}{\delta \zeta_{\mathbf{p}'}^{*k}} - \frac{\overrightarrow{\delta} \xi_{\mathbf{p}}^i}{\delta \zeta_{\mathbf{p}'}^{*k}} \frac{\overleftarrow{\delta} a_{\mathbf{k}''}^a}{\delta \zeta_{\mathbf{p}'}^k} \right\} = 0, \quad (3.4c)$$

$$\int d\mathbf{k}' \left\{ \frac{\delta \xi_{\mathbf{p}}^i}{\delta c_{\mathbf{k}'}^c} \frac{\delta a_{\mathbf{k}''}^{*a}}{\delta c_{\mathbf{k}'}^{*c}} - \frac{\delta \xi_{\mathbf{p}}^i}{\delta c_{\mathbf{k}'}^{*c}} \frac{\delta a_{\mathbf{k}''}^{*a}}{\delta c_{\mathbf{k}'}^c} \right\} + \int d\mathbf{p}' \left\{ \frac{\overleftarrow{\delta} \xi_{\mathbf{p}}^i}{\delta \zeta_{\mathbf{p}'}^k} \frac{\overrightarrow{\delta} a_{\mathbf{k}''}^{*a}}{\delta \zeta_{\mathbf{p}'}^{*k}} - \frac{\overrightarrow{\delta} \xi_{\mathbf{p}}^i}{\delta \zeta_{\mathbf{p}'}^{*k}} \frac{\overleftarrow{\delta} a_{\mathbf{k}''}^{*a}}{\delta \zeta_{\mathbf{p}'}^k} \right\} = 0. \quad (3.4d)$$

These canonicity conditions can be written in a very compact form if we make use of the definition of the Poisson superbracket (2.6) and replace the variation variables by the new ones: $a_{\mathbf{k}}^a \rightarrow c_{\mathbf{k}}^a$ and $\xi_{\mathbf{p}}^i \rightarrow \zeta_{\mathbf{p}}^i$. In this case the superbrackets for the original variables $a_{\mathbf{k}}^a$ and $\xi_{\mathbf{p}}^i$, Eqs. (2.4) and (2.5), turn to the canonicity conditions (3.3) and (3.4), which impose certain restrictions on the functional dependencies (3.1) and (3.2). Let us present the right-hand sides of (3.1) and (3.2) in the form of integro-power series in the normal variables $c_{\mathbf{k}}^a$ and $\zeta_{\mathbf{p}}^i$. The most common dependence of the transformation (3.1) up to cubic terms in $c_{\mathbf{k}}^a$ and $\zeta_{\mathbf{p}}^i$ has the following form:

$$\begin{aligned} a_{\mathbf{k}}^a &= c_{\mathbf{k}}^a + & (3.5) \\ &+ \int d\mathbf{k}_1 d\mathbf{k}_2 \left[V_{\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{k}_1, \mathbf{k}_2}^{(1) a a_1 a_2} c_{\mathbf{k}_1}^{a_1} c_{\mathbf{k}_2}^{a_2} + V_{\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{k}_1, \mathbf{k}_2}^{(2) a a_1 a_2} c_{\mathbf{k}_1}^{*a_1} c_{\mathbf{k}_2}^{a_2} + V_{\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{k}_1, \mathbf{k}_2}^{(3) a a_1 a_2} c_{\mathbf{k}_1}^{*a_1} c_{\mathbf{k}_2}^{*a_2} \right] \\ &+ \int d\mathbf{p}_1 d\mathbf{p}_2 \left[F_{\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{p}_1, \mathbf{p}_2}^{(1) a i_1 i_2} \zeta_{\mathbf{p}_1}^{i_1} \zeta_{\mathbf{p}_2}^{i_2} + F_{\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{p}_1, \mathbf{p}_2}^{(2) a i_1 i_2} \zeta_{\mathbf{p}_1}^{*i_1} \zeta_{\mathbf{p}_2}^{i_2} + F_{\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{p}_1, \mathbf{p}_2}^{(3) a i_1 i_2} \zeta_{\mathbf{p}_1}^{*i_1} \zeta_{\mathbf{p}_2}^{*i_2} \right] \\ &+ \int d\mathbf{k}_1 d\mathbf{p}_1 d\mathbf{p}_2 \left[J_{\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{k}_1, \mathbf{p}_1, \mathbf{p}_2}^{(1) a a_1 i_1 i_2} c_{\mathbf{k}_1}^{a_1} \zeta_{\mathbf{p}_1}^{i_1} \zeta_{\mathbf{p}_2}^{i_2} + J_{\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{k}_1, \mathbf{p}_1, \mathbf{p}_2}^{(2) a a_1 i_1 i_2} c_{\mathbf{k}_1}^{a_1} \zeta_{\mathbf{p}_1}^{*i_1} \zeta_{\mathbf{p}_2}^{i_2} \right. \\ &\quad + J_{\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{k}_1, \mathbf{p}_1, \mathbf{p}_2}^{(3) a a_1 i_1 i_2} c_{\mathbf{k}_1}^{a_1} \zeta_{\mathbf{p}_1}^{*i_1} \zeta_{\mathbf{p}_2}^{*i_2} + J_{\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{k}_1, \mathbf{p}_1, \mathbf{p}_2}^{(4) a a_1 i_1 i_2} c_{\mathbf{k}_1}^{*a_1} \zeta_{\mathbf{p}_1}^{i_1} \zeta_{\mathbf{p}_2}^{i_2} \\ &\quad \left. + J_{\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{k}_1, \mathbf{p}_1, \mathbf{p}_2}^{(5) a a_1 i_1 i_2} c_{\mathbf{k}_1}^{*a_1} \zeta_{\mathbf{p}_1}^{*i_1} \zeta_{\mathbf{p}_2}^{i_2} + J_{\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{k}_1, \mathbf{p}_1, \mathbf{p}_2}^{(6) a a_1 i_1 i_2} c_{\mathbf{k}_1}^{*a_1} \zeta_{\mathbf{p}_1}^{*i_1} \zeta_{\mathbf{p}_2}^{*i_2} \right] + \dots \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, the most common dependence for the transformation (3.2) up to cubic terms is

$$\xi_{\mathbf{p}}^i = \zeta_{\mathbf{p}}^i + \quad (3.6)$$

$$\begin{aligned} & + \int d\mathbf{k}_1 d\mathbf{p}_1 \left[Q_{\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{k}_1, \mathbf{p}_1}^{(1) i a_1 i_1} c_{\mathbf{k}_1}^{a_1} \zeta_{\mathbf{p}_1}^{i_1} + Q_{\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{k}_1, \mathbf{p}_1}^{(2) i a_1 i_1} c_{\mathbf{k}_1}^{a_1} \zeta_{\mathbf{p}_1}^{* i_1} + Q_{\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{k}_1, \mathbf{p}_1}^{(3) i a_1 i_1} c_{\mathbf{k}_1}^{* a_1} \zeta_{\mathbf{p}_1}^{i_1} + Q_{\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{k}_1, \mathbf{p}_1}^{(4) i a_1 i_1} c_{\mathbf{k}_1}^{* a_1} \zeta_{\mathbf{p}_1}^{* i_1} \right] \\ & + \int d\mathbf{k}_1 d\mathbf{k}_2 d\mathbf{p}_1 \left[R_{\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{k}_1, \mathbf{k}_2, \mathbf{p}_1}^{(1) i a_1 a_2 i_1} c_{\mathbf{k}_1}^{a_1} c_{\mathbf{k}_2}^{a_2} \zeta_{\mathbf{p}_1}^{i_1} + R_{\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{k}_1, \mathbf{k}_2, \mathbf{p}_1}^{(2) i a_1 a_2 i_1} c_{\mathbf{k}_1}^{* a_1} c_{\mathbf{k}_2}^{a_2} \zeta_{\mathbf{p}_1}^{i_1} \right. \\ & \quad + R_{\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{k}_1, \mathbf{k}_2, \mathbf{p}_1}^{(3) i a_1 a_2 i_1} c_{\mathbf{k}_1}^{* a_1} c_{\mathbf{k}_2}^{* a_2} \zeta_{\mathbf{p}_1}^{i_1} + R_{\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{k}_1, \mathbf{k}_2, \mathbf{p}_1}^{(4) i a_1 a_2 i_1} c_{\mathbf{k}_1}^{a_1} c_{\mathbf{k}_2}^{a_2} \zeta_{\mathbf{p}_1}^{* i_1} \\ & \quad \left. + R_{\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{k}_1, \mathbf{k}_2, \mathbf{p}_1}^{(5) i a_1 a_2 i_1} c_{\mathbf{k}_1}^{* a_1} c_{\mathbf{k}_2}^{a_2} \zeta_{\mathbf{p}_1}^{* i_1} + R_{\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{k}_1, \mathbf{k}_2, \mathbf{p}_1}^{(6) i a_1 a_2 i_1} c_{\mathbf{k}_1}^{* a_1} c_{\mathbf{k}_2}^{* a_2} \zeta_{\mathbf{p}_1}^{* i_1} \right] \\ & + \int d\mathbf{p}_1 d\mathbf{p}_2 d\mathbf{p}_3 \left[S_{\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{p}_1, \mathbf{p}_2, \mathbf{p}_3}^{(1) i i_1 i_2 i_3} \zeta_{\mathbf{p}_1}^{i_1} \zeta_{\mathbf{p}_2}^{i_2} \zeta_{\mathbf{p}_3}^{i_3} + S_{\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{p}_1, \mathbf{p}_2, \mathbf{p}_3}^{(2) i i_1 i_2 i_3} \zeta_{\mathbf{p}_1}^{* i_1} \zeta_{\mathbf{p}_2}^{i_2} \zeta_{\mathbf{p}_3}^{i_3} \right. \\ & \quad \left. + S_{\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{p}_1, \mathbf{p}_2, \mathbf{p}_3}^{(3) i i_1 i_2 i_3} \zeta_{\mathbf{p}_1}^{* i_1} \zeta_{\mathbf{p}_2}^{* i_2} \zeta_{\mathbf{p}_3}^{i_3} + S_{\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{p}_1, \mathbf{p}_2, \mathbf{p}_3}^{(4) i i_1 i_2 i_3} \zeta_{\mathbf{p}_1}^{* i_1} \zeta_{\mathbf{p}_2}^{* i_2} \zeta_{\mathbf{p}_3}^{* i_3} \right] + \dots \end{aligned}$$

Note first of all that the coefficient functions $V_{\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{k}_1, \mathbf{k}_2}^{(1) a a_1 a_2}$, $V_{\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{k}_1, \mathbf{k}_2}^{(1) a a_1 a_2}$, $F_{\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{p}_1, \mathbf{p}_2}^{(1,3) a i_1 i_2}$, $J_{\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{k}_1, \mathbf{p}_1, \mathbf{p}_2}^{(1,3,4,6) a a_1 i_1 i_2}$, $R_{\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{k}_1, \mathbf{k}_2, \mathbf{p}_1}^{(1,3,4,6) i a_1 a_2 i_1}$ and $S_{\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{p}_1, \mathbf{p}_2, \mathbf{p}_3}^{(1,2,3,4) i i_1 i_2 i_3}$ must satisfy the following conditions of natural symmetry:

$$\begin{aligned} V_{\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{k}_1, \mathbf{k}_2}^{(1) a a_1 a_2} &= V_{\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{k}_2, \mathbf{k}_1}^{(1) a a_2 a_1}, & V_{\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{k}_1, \mathbf{k}_2}^{(3) a a_1 a_2} &= V_{\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{k}_2, \mathbf{k}_1}^{(3) a a_2 a_1}, \\ F_{\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{p}_1, \mathbf{p}_2}^{(1) a i_1 i_2} &= -F_{\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{p}_2, \mathbf{p}_1}^{(1) a i_2 i_1}, & F_{\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{p}_1, \mathbf{p}_2}^{(3) a i_1 i_2} &= -F_{\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{p}_2, \mathbf{p}_1}^{(3) a i_2 i_1}, \\ J_{\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{k}_1, \mathbf{p}_1, \mathbf{p}_2}^{(1) a a_1 i_1 i_2} &= -J_{\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{k}_1, \mathbf{p}_2, \mathbf{p}_1}^{(1) a a_1 i_2 i_1}, & J_{\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{k}_1, \mathbf{p}_1, \mathbf{p}_2}^{(3) a a_1 i_1 i_2} &= -J_{\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{k}_1, \mathbf{p}_2, \mathbf{p}_1}^{(3) a a_1 i_2 i_1}, \\ J_{\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{k}_1, \mathbf{p}_1, \mathbf{p}_2}^{(4) a a_1 i_1 i_2} &= -J_{\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{k}_1, \mathbf{p}_2, \mathbf{p}_1}^{(4) a a_1 i_2 i_1}, & J_{\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{k}_1, \mathbf{p}_1, \mathbf{p}_2}^{(6) a a_1 i_1 i_2} &= -J_{\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{k}_1, \mathbf{p}_2, \mathbf{p}_1}^{(6) a a_1 i_2 i_1}, \\ R_{\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{k}_1, \mathbf{k}_2, \mathbf{p}_1}^{(1) i a_1 a_2 i_1} &= R_{\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{k}_2, \mathbf{k}_1, \mathbf{p}_1}^{(1) i a_2 a_1 i_1}, & R_{\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{k}_1, \mathbf{k}_2, \mathbf{p}_1}^{(3) i a_1 a_2 i_1} &= R_{\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{k}_2, \mathbf{k}_1, \mathbf{p}_1}^{(3) i a_2 a_1 i_1}, \\ R_{\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{k}_1, \mathbf{k}_2, \mathbf{p}_1}^{(4) i a_1 a_2 i_1} &= R_{\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{k}_2, \mathbf{k}_1, \mathbf{p}_1}^{(4) i a_2 a_1 i_1}, & R_{\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{k}_1, \mathbf{k}_2, \mathbf{p}_1}^{(6) i a_1 a_2 i_1} &= R_{\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{k}_2, \mathbf{k}_1, \mathbf{p}_1}^{(6) i a_2 a_1 i_1}, \\ S_{\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{p}_1, \mathbf{p}_2, \mathbf{p}_3}^{(2) i i_1 i_2 i_3} &= -S_{\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{p}_1, \mathbf{p}_3, \mathbf{p}_2}^{(2) i i_1 i_3 i_2}, & S_{\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{p}_1, \mathbf{p}_2, \mathbf{p}_3}^{(3) i i_1 i_2 i_3} &= -S_{\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{p}_2, \mathbf{p}_1, \mathbf{p}_3}^{(3) i i_2 i_1 i_3}, \\ S_{\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{p}_1, \mathbf{p}_2, \mathbf{p}_3}^{(1) i i_1 i_2 i_3} &= -S_{\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{p}_2, \mathbf{p}_1, \mathbf{p}_3}^{(1) i i_2 i_1 i_3} = -S_{\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{p}_1, \mathbf{p}_3, \mathbf{p}_2}^{(1) i i_1 i_3 i_2} = S_{\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{p}_2, \mathbf{p}_3, \mathbf{p}_1}^{(1) i i_2 i_3 i_1} = \dots, \\ S_{\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{p}_1, \mathbf{p}_2, \mathbf{p}_3}^{(4) i i_1 i_2 i_3} &= -S_{\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{p}_2, \mathbf{p}_1, \mathbf{p}_3}^{(4) i i_2 i_1 i_3} = -S_{\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{p}_1, \mathbf{p}_3, \mathbf{p}_2}^{(4) i i_1 i_3 i_2} = S_{\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{p}_2, \mathbf{p}_3, \mathbf{p}_1}^{(4) i i_2 i_3 i_1} = \dots \end{aligned}$$

Further, substituting the expansions (3.5) and (3.6) into the system of the canonicity conditions (3.3) and (3.4), we obtain rather nontrivial integral relations connecting various coefficient functions among themselves. A complete list of the integral relations connecting the coefficient functions of the second and third orders can be written out in full analogy with the corresponding relations from the paper [1]. These integral relations will not be needed in the present work, so we will not give them. Here, we provide only algebraic relations for the second-order coefficient functions:

$$\begin{aligned} V_{\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{k}_1, \mathbf{k}_2}^{(2) a a_1 a_2} &= -2V_{\mathbf{k}_2, \mathbf{k}_1, \mathbf{k}}^{*(1) a_2 a_1 a}, & V_{\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{k}_1, \mathbf{k}_2}^{(3) a a_1 a_2} &= V_{\mathbf{k}_1, \mathbf{k}, \mathbf{k}_2}^{(3) a_1 a a_2}, \\ Q_{\mathbf{p}_1, \mathbf{k}, \mathbf{p}_2}^{(1) i_1 a i_2} &= -F_{\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{p}_2, \mathbf{p}_1}^{*(2) a i_2 i_1}, & Q_{\mathbf{p}_1, \mathbf{k}, \mathbf{p}_2}^{(2) i_1 a i_2} &= 2F_{\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{p}_1, \mathbf{p}_2}^{*(1) a i_1 i_2}, \\ Q_{\mathbf{p}_1, \mathbf{k}, \mathbf{p}_2}^{(3) i_1 a i_2} &= F_{\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{p}_1, \mathbf{p}_2}^{(2) a i_1 i_2}, & Q_{\mathbf{p}_1, \mathbf{k}, \mathbf{p}_2}^{(4) i_1 a i_2} &= 2F_{\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{p}_1, \mathbf{p}_2}^{(3) a i_1 i_2}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.7)$$

4 Eliminating “non-essential ” Hamiltonian $H^{(3)}$. Effective fourth-order Hamiltonian

The next step in the construction of an effective theory is the procedure of eliminating the third-order interaction Hamiltonian $H^{(3)}$, Eq. (2.11), upon switching from the original bosonic and fermionic functions $a_{\mathbf{k}}^a$ and $\xi_{\mathbf{p}}^i$ to the new functions $c_{\mathbf{k}}^a$ and $\zeta_{\mathbf{p}}^i$ as a result of the canonical transformations (3.5) and (3.6). This elimination procedure is presented in detail in [1], so here we only give a brief description of the procedure and its final result, which follows from expressions (4.3) of [1], with appropriate substitutions (2.13) and (2.14).

To achieve eliminating the third-order interaction Hamiltonian $H^{(3)}$, we substitute the expansions (3.5) and (3.6) into the free-field Hamiltonian $H^{(0)}$, Eq. (2.9), and keep only the terms cubic in $c_{\mathbf{k}}^a$ and $\zeta_{\mathbf{p}}^i$. Then in the Hamiltonian $H^{(3)}$, Eq. (2.11), we perform the replacements: $a_{\mathbf{k}}^a \rightarrow c_{\mathbf{k}}^a$ and $\xi_{\mathbf{p}}^i \rightarrow \zeta_{\mathbf{p}}^i$. Adding the expression thus obtained to that which follows from the free-field Hamiltonian $H^{(0)}$, collecting similar terms and using the relations (3.7), finally we obtain an explicit form of the coefficient functions in the quadratic part of the canonical transformations (3.5) and (3.6) that exclude the cubic terms in the interaction Hamiltonian:

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} V_{\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{k}_1, \mathbf{k}_2}^{(1) a a_1 a_2} = -\frac{\mathcal{V}_{\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{k}_1, \mathbf{k}_2}^{a a_1 a_2}}{\omega_{\mathbf{k}}^l - \omega_{\mathbf{k}_1}^l - \omega_{\mathbf{k}_2}^l} \delta(\mathbf{k} - \mathbf{k}_1 - \mathbf{k}_2), \\ V_{\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{k}_1, \mathbf{k}_2}^{(3) a a_1 a_2} = -\frac{\mathcal{U}_{\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{k}_1, \mathbf{k}_2}^{* a a_1 a_2}}{\omega_{\mathbf{k}}^l + \omega_{\mathbf{k}_1}^l + \omega_{\mathbf{k}_2}^l} \delta(\mathbf{k} + \mathbf{k}_1 + \mathbf{k}_2), \end{array} \right. \quad (4.1)$$

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} F_{\mathbf{k}_1, \mathbf{p}, \mathbf{p}_1}^{(1) a_1 i i_1} = \frac{\mathcal{W}_{\mathbf{k}_1, \mathbf{p}, \mathbf{p}_1}^{* a_1 i i_1}}{\omega_{\mathbf{k}_1}^l - \varepsilon_{\mathbf{p}} - \varepsilon_{\mathbf{p}_1}} \delta(\mathbf{k}_1 - \mathbf{p} - \mathbf{p}_1), \\ F_{\mathbf{k}_1, \mathbf{p}, \mathbf{p}_1}^{(2) a_1 i i_1} = -\frac{\Phi_{\mathbf{k}_1, \mathbf{p}_1, \mathbf{p}}^{* a_1 i i_1}}{\omega_{\mathbf{k}_1}^l - \varepsilon_{\mathbf{p}_1} + \varepsilon_{\mathbf{p}}} \delta(\mathbf{k}_1 - \mathbf{p}_1 + \mathbf{p}), \\ F_{\mathbf{k}_1, \mathbf{p}, \mathbf{p}_1}^{(3) a_1 i i_1} = \frac{\mathcal{S}_{\mathbf{k}_1, \mathbf{p}, \mathbf{p}_1}^{* a_1 i i_1}}{\omega_{\mathbf{k}_1}^l + \varepsilon_{\mathbf{p}} + \varepsilon_{\mathbf{p}_1}} \delta(\mathbf{k}_1 + \mathbf{p} + \mathbf{p}_1). \end{array} \right. \quad (4.2)$$

The coefficients $V^{(2)}$ and $Q^{(n)}$, $n = 1, 2, 3, 4$ are found from Eq. (3.7). We have previously obtained the relations (4.1) in [15]. These expressions imply that due to specific character of the dispersion equations for soft bosonic excitations (2.3) and for hard mode excitations (2.10) in the hot quark-gluon plasma, the resonance conditions for three-wave processes with plasmons

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \mathbf{k} = \mathbf{k}_1 + \mathbf{k}_2, \\ \omega_{\mathbf{k}}^l = \omega_{\mathbf{k}_1}^l + \omega_{\mathbf{k}_2}^l, \end{array} \right\} \quad \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \mathbf{k} + \mathbf{k}_1 + \mathbf{k}_2 = 0, \\ \omega_{\mathbf{k}}^l + \omega_{\mathbf{k}_1}^l + \omega_{\mathbf{k}_2}^l = 0, \end{array} \right.$$

and for Cherenkov radiation (or absorption) of plasmons by a hard particle

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \mathbf{p} + \mathbf{p}_1 + \mathbf{k}_1 = 0, \\ \varepsilon_{\mathbf{p}} + \varepsilon_{\mathbf{p}_1} + \omega_{\mathbf{k}_1}^l = 0, \end{array} \right\} \quad \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \mathbf{p} = \mathbf{p}_1 + \mathbf{k}_1, \\ \varepsilon_{\mathbf{p}} = \varepsilon_{\mathbf{p}_1} + \omega_{\mathbf{k}_1}^l, \end{array} \right\} \quad \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \mathbf{k}_1 = \mathbf{p} + \mathbf{p}_1, \\ \omega_{\mathbf{k}_1}^l = \varepsilon_{\mathbf{p}} + \varepsilon_{\mathbf{p}_1} \end{array} \right.$$

have no solutions. In other words, the processes of emission or absorption of collective excitation by another collective excitation and by a hard particle that lie on the mass shells $\omega = \omega_{\mathbf{k}}^l$ and $\varepsilon = \varepsilon_{\mathbf{p}}$ are forbidden.

Next we write out an explicit form of the effective fourth-order Hamiltonian, which describes the elastic scattering of plasmon off hard particle. In terms of the original variables $a_{\mathbf{k}}^a$ and $\xi_{\mathbf{p}}^i$, the Hamiltonian for the scattering process is defined by the first term on the right-hand side of (2.12). In this term we make the substitution $a_{\mathbf{k}}^a \rightarrow c_{\mathbf{k}}^a$ and $\xi_{\mathbf{p}}^i \rightarrow \zeta_{\mathbf{p}}^i$. Further we define all similar terms of fourth-order product $c_{\mathbf{k}}^{*a} c_{\mathbf{k}_1}^{a_1} \zeta_{\mathbf{p}_1}^{*i_1} \zeta_{\mathbf{p}_2}^{i_2}$ from the free-field Hamiltonian $H^{(0)}$, Eq. (2.9), and from the Hamiltonian $H^{(3)}$, Eq. (2.11), to be arisen under the canonical transformations (3.5) and (3.6). Putting the pieces together, we result in the effective fourth-order Hamiltonian describing the elastic scattering process of plasmon off a hard color particle:

$$\mathcal{H}_{gG \rightarrow gG}^{(4)} = \int \mathcal{T}_{\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{p}_1, \mathbf{k}_1, \mathbf{k}_2}^{(2) i_1 a_1 a_2} \zeta_{\mathbf{p}}^{*i} \zeta_{\mathbf{p}_1}^{i_1} c_{\mathbf{k}_1}^{*a_1} c_{\mathbf{k}_2}^{a_2} \delta(\mathbf{p} + \mathbf{k}_1 - \mathbf{p}_1 - \mathbf{k}_2) d\mathbf{p} d\mathbf{p}_1 d\mathbf{k}_1 d\mathbf{k}_2, \quad (4.3)$$

where the *complete effective amplitude* $\mathcal{T}_{\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{p}_1, \mathbf{k}_1, \mathbf{k}_2}^{(2) i_1 a_1 a_2}$ has the following structure:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{T}_{\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{p}_1, \mathbf{k}_1, \mathbf{k}_2}^{(2) i_1 a_1 a_2} &= T_{\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{p}_1, \mathbf{k}_1, \mathbf{k}_2}^{(2) i_1 a_1 a_2} \\ &- \frac{1}{2} \left[\left(\frac{1}{\omega_{\mathbf{k}_2}^l - \varepsilon_{\mathbf{p}} + \varepsilon_{\mathbf{p}-\mathbf{k}_2}} + \frac{1}{\omega_{\mathbf{k}_1}^l - \varepsilon_{\mathbf{p}_1} + \varepsilon_{\mathbf{p}_1-\mathbf{k}_1}} \right) \Phi_{\mathbf{k}_2, \mathbf{p}, \mathbf{p}-\mathbf{k}_2}^{a_2 j} \Phi_{\mathbf{k}_1, \mathbf{p}_1, \mathbf{p}_1-\mathbf{k}_1}^{* a_1 i_1 j} \right. \\ &\quad \left. - \left(\frac{1}{\omega_{\mathbf{k}_2}^l - \varepsilon_{\mathbf{k}_2+\mathbf{p}_1} + \varepsilon_{\mathbf{p}_1}} + \frac{1}{\omega_{\mathbf{k}_1}^l - \varepsilon_{\mathbf{k}_1+\mathbf{p}} + \varepsilon_{\mathbf{p}}} \right) \Phi_{\mathbf{k}_2, \mathbf{k}_2+\mathbf{p}_1, \mathbf{p}_1}^{a_2 j i_1} \Phi_{\mathbf{k}_1, \mathbf{k}_1+\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{p}}^{* a_1 j i} \right] \\ &- 2 \left[\left(\frac{1}{\omega_{\mathbf{k}_2}^l - \varepsilon_{\mathbf{p}} - \varepsilon_{\mathbf{k}_2-\mathbf{p}}} + \frac{1}{\omega_{\mathbf{k}_1}^l - \varepsilon_{\mathbf{p}_1} - \varepsilon_{\mathbf{k}_1-\mathbf{p}_1}} \right) \mathcal{W}_{\mathbf{k}_2, \mathbf{p}, \mathbf{k}_2-\mathbf{p}}^{a_2 i j} \mathcal{W}_{\mathbf{k}_1, \mathbf{p}_1, \mathbf{k}_1-\mathbf{p}_1}^{* a_1 i_1 j} \right. \\ &\quad \left. - \left(\frac{1}{\omega_{\mathbf{k}_2}^l + \varepsilon_{-\mathbf{k}_2-\mathbf{p}_1} + \varepsilon_{\mathbf{p}_1}} + \frac{1}{\omega_{\mathbf{k}_1}^l + \varepsilon_{-\mathbf{k}_1-\mathbf{p}} + \varepsilon_{\mathbf{p}}} \right) \mathcal{S}_{\mathbf{k}_2, -\mathbf{k}_2-\mathbf{p}_1, \mathbf{p}_1}^{a_2 j i_1} \mathcal{S}_{\mathbf{k}_1, -\mathbf{k}_1-\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{p}}^{* a_1 j i} \right] \\ &+ \left(\frac{1}{\omega_{\mathbf{k}_1}^l - \omega_{\mathbf{k}_2}^l - \omega_{\mathbf{k}_1-\mathbf{k}_2}^l} - \frac{1}{\omega_{\mathbf{p}_1-\mathbf{p}}^l - \varepsilon_{\mathbf{p}_1} + \varepsilon_{\mathbf{p}}} \right) \mathcal{V}_{\mathbf{k}_1, \mathbf{k}_2, \mathbf{k}_1-\mathbf{k}_2}^{a_1 a_2 a} \Phi_{\mathbf{p}_1-\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{p}_1, \mathbf{p}}^{* a_1 i_1 i} \\ &+ \left(\frac{1}{\omega_{\mathbf{k}_2}^l - \omega_{\mathbf{k}_1}^l - \omega_{\mathbf{k}_2-\mathbf{k}_1}^l} - \frac{1}{\omega_{\mathbf{p}-\mathbf{p}_1}^l - \varepsilon_{\mathbf{p}} + \varepsilon_{\mathbf{p}_1}} \right) \Phi_{\mathbf{p}-\mathbf{p}_1, \mathbf{p}, \mathbf{p}_1}^{a i i_1} \mathcal{V}_{\mathbf{k}_2, \mathbf{k}_1, \mathbf{k}_2-\mathbf{k}_1}^{* a_2 a_1 a}. \end{aligned} \quad (4.4)$$

Hereinafter, the effective Hamiltonians will be designated by the calligraphic letter \mathcal{H} , including also the Hamiltonian $\mathcal{H}^{(0)}$ for non-interacting plasmons and hard particles in the new variables:

$$\mathcal{H}^{(0)} = \int d\mathbf{k} \omega_{\mathbf{k}}^l c_{\mathbf{k}}^{*a} c_{\mathbf{k}}^a + \int d\mathbf{p} \varepsilon_{\mathbf{p}} \zeta_{\mathbf{p}}^{*i} \zeta_{\mathbf{p}}^i.$$

5 Fourth-order correlation function for soft and hard excitations

Let us consider the construction of a system of kinetic equations describing the elastic scattering process of plasmon off a hard particle. As the interaction Hamiltonian here, we take the effective

Hamiltonian $\mathcal{H}_{gG \rightarrow gG}^{(4)}$, Eq. (4.3). The equations of motion for the fermionic $\zeta_{\mathbf{p}'}^{i'}$, $\zeta_{\mathbf{p}}^{*i}$ and bosonic $c_{\mathbf{k}}^a$, $c_{\mathbf{k}'}^{*i}$ normal variables are defined by the corresponding Hamilton equations. For the hard particle excitations we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial \zeta_{\mathbf{p}'}^{i'}}{\partial t} &= -i \left\{ \zeta_{\mathbf{p}'}^{i'}, \mathcal{H}^{(0)} + \mathcal{H}_{gG \rightarrow gG}^{(4)} \right\} = -i \varepsilon_{\mathbf{p}'} \zeta_{\mathbf{p}'}^{i'} \\ &- i \int \mathcal{J}_{\mathbf{p}', \mathbf{p}_1, \mathbf{k}_1, \mathbf{k}_2}^{(2) i' i_1 a_1 a_2} \zeta_{\mathbf{p}_1}^{i_1} c_{\mathbf{k}_1}^{*a_1} c_{\mathbf{k}_2}^{a_2} \delta(\mathbf{p}' + \mathbf{k}_1 - \mathbf{p}_1 - \mathbf{k}_2) d\mathbf{p}_1 d\mathbf{k}_1 d\mathbf{k}_2, \end{aligned} \quad (5.1)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial \zeta_{\mathbf{p}}^{*i}}{\partial t} &= -i \left\{ \zeta_{\mathbf{p}}^{*i}, \mathcal{H}^{(0)} + \mathcal{H}_{gG \rightarrow gG}^{(4)} \right\} = i \varepsilon_{\mathbf{p}} \zeta_{\mathbf{p}}^{*i} \\ &+ i \int \mathcal{J}_{\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{p}_1, \mathbf{k}_1, \mathbf{k}_2}^{*(2) i i_1 a_1 a_2} \zeta_{\mathbf{p}_1}^{*i_1} c_{\mathbf{k}_1}^{a_1} c_{\mathbf{k}_2}^{*a_2} \delta(\mathbf{p} + \mathbf{k}_1 - \mathbf{p}_1 - \mathbf{k}_2) d\mathbf{p}_1 d\mathbf{k}_1 d\mathbf{k}_2. \end{aligned} \quad (5.2)$$

In the latter equation we have taken into account the symmetry condition for the complete scattering amplitude

$$\mathcal{J}_{\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{p}_1, \mathbf{k}_1, \mathbf{k}_2}^{(2) i i_1 a_1 a_2} = \mathcal{J}_{\mathbf{p}_1, \mathbf{p}, \mathbf{k}_2, \mathbf{k}_1}^{*(2) i_1 i a_2 a_1}. \quad (5.3)$$

This relation is a consequence of the requirement of the reality of the effective Hamiltonian $\mathcal{H}_{gG \rightarrow gG}^{(4)}$. Further, for soft Bose-excitations we define the second pair of the canonical equations of motions with the same Hamiltonian

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial c_{\mathbf{k}'}^{a'}}{\partial t} &= -i \left\{ c_{\mathbf{k}'}^{a'}, \mathcal{H}^{(0)} + \mathcal{H}_{gG \rightarrow gG}^{(4)} \right\} = -i \omega_{\mathbf{k}'}^l c_{\mathbf{k}'}^{a'} \\ &- i \int \mathcal{J}_{\mathbf{p}_1, \mathbf{p}_2, \mathbf{k}', \mathbf{k}_1}^{(2) i_1 i_2 a' a_1} \zeta_{\mathbf{p}_1}^{*i_1} \zeta_{\mathbf{p}_2}^{i_2} c_{\mathbf{k}_1}^{a_1} \delta(\mathbf{k}' + \mathbf{p}_1 - \mathbf{k}_1 - \mathbf{p}_2) d\mathbf{p}_1 d\mathbf{p}_2 d\mathbf{k}_1, \end{aligned} \quad (5.4)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial c_{\mathbf{k}}^{*a}}{\partial t} &= -i \left\{ c_{\mathbf{k}}^{*a}, \mathcal{H}^{(0)} + \mathcal{H}_{gG \rightarrow gG}^{(4)} \right\} = i \omega_{\mathbf{k}}^l c_{\mathbf{k}}^{*a} \\ &- i \int \mathcal{J}_{\mathbf{p}_1, \mathbf{p}_2, \mathbf{k}, \mathbf{k}_1}^{*(2) i_1 i_2 a a_1} \zeta_{\mathbf{p}_1}^{i_1} \zeta_{\mathbf{p}_2}^{*i_2} c_{\mathbf{k}_1}^{*a_1} \delta(\mathbf{k} + \mathbf{p}_1 - \mathbf{k}_1 - \mathbf{p}_2) d\mathbf{p}_1 d\mathbf{p}_2 d\mathbf{k}_1. \end{aligned} \quad (5.5)$$

In the case when an external gauge field is absent in the system, the exact equations (5.1), (5.2), (5.4), and (5.5) enable us to define the kinetic equations for the hard particle number density $\mathbf{n}_{\mathbf{p}}^{ii'}$ and for the plasmon number density $\mathcal{N}_{\mathbf{k}}^{aa'}$. If the ensemble of interacting Bose-excitations at low nonlinearity level has random phases, then it can be statistically described by introducing the bosonic correlation function [15]:

$$\langle c_{\mathbf{k}}^{*a} c_{\mathbf{k}'}^{a'} \rangle = \delta(\mathbf{k} - \mathbf{k}') \mathcal{N}_{\mathbf{k}}^{aa'}. \quad (5.6)$$

However, now we do not consider the spectral density $\mathcal{N}_{\mathbf{k}}^{aa'}$ to have a trivial diagonal structure in an effective color space (see Eq. (2.2)) as was the case in the previous paper [15]. The color decomposition of $\mathcal{N}_{\mathbf{k}}^{aa'}$ will be presented below.

For hard momentum modes of quark-gluon plasma excitations, we make use an ansatz dividing the color and momentum degrees of freedom, namely we assume that

$$\zeta_{\mathbf{p}}^i = \theta^i \zeta_{\mathbf{p}}, \quad \zeta_{\mathbf{p}}^{*i} = \theta^{*i} \zeta_{\mathbf{p}}^*. \quad (5.7)$$

Here we have introduced a set of the Grassmann-valued color charges θ^{*i} and θ^i belonging to the defining representation of the $SU(N_c)$ Lie algebra [16, 17]. These color charges are in

involution with respect to the conjugation operation $*$. The complex function $\zeta_{\mathbf{p}}$ is an usual commutative random function of the momentum variable \mathbf{p} . In the representation (5.7) we have a complete decoupling of the color and momentum degrees of freedom. This is true only if we neglect the influence of soft collective excitations of the gauge field on the change of the momentum of a hard particle, i.e. the momentum of the particle is fixed and all interaction is carried out only through the color degree of freedom. For determination of the desired kinetic equations, it is necessary first to perform calculations exactly, without using any approximation. Only at the end of all calculations we must take into account the fact that the momentum of hard particles is much greater than the momentum of soft plasma excitations, i.e.,

$$|\mathbf{p}_1|, |\mathbf{p}_2| \gg |\mathbf{k}|, |\mathbf{k}_1|,$$

and perform the corresponding approximations of the derived expressions. By virtue of the decomposition (5.7), we can represent also the hard mode correlation function in the factorized form

$$\langle \zeta_{\mathbf{p}}^{*i} \zeta_{\mathbf{p}'}^{i'} \rangle = \langle \zeta_{\mathbf{p}}^* \zeta_{\mathbf{p}'} \rangle \langle \theta^{*i} \theta^{i'} \rangle,$$

where, in turn, we believe

$$\langle \zeta_{\mathbf{p}}^* \zeta_{\mathbf{p}'} \rangle = \delta(\mathbf{p} - \mathbf{p}') n_{\mathbf{p}}.$$

Thus, in full analogy with (5.6) we can write

$$\langle \zeta_{\mathbf{p}}^{*i} \zeta_{\mathbf{p}'}^{i'} \rangle = \delta(\mathbf{p} - \mathbf{p}') \mathbf{n}_{\mathbf{p}}^{i'i},$$

where we have introduced the matrix function $\mathbf{n}_{\mathbf{p}}^{i'i}$ setting by the definition

$$\mathbf{n}_{\mathbf{p}}^{i'i} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} n_{\mathbf{p}} \langle \theta^{*i} \theta^{i'} \rangle.$$

We draw your attention to the arrangement of color indices on the left- and right-hand sides of the previous expression.

Let us derive the kinetic equations for the number densities of hard excitations $\mathbf{n}_{\mathbf{p}}^{i'i}$ and plasmons $\mathcal{N}_{\mathbf{k}}^{aa'}$ employing the Hamilton equations (5.1), (5.2), (5.4) and (5.5). Using precisely the same reasoning as in paper [1], we obtain matrix analog of the equations (10.7) and (10.8) in the above-mentioned work

$$\delta(\mathbf{p} - \mathbf{p}') \frac{\partial \mathbf{n}_{\mathbf{p}}^{i'i}}{\partial t} = -i \int d\mathbf{p}_1 d\mathbf{k}_1 d\mathbf{k}_2 \times \quad (5.8)$$

$$\times \left\{ \mathcal{T}_{\mathbf{p}', \mathbf{p}_1, \mathbf{k}_1, \mathbf{k}_2}^{(2) i' i_1 a_1 a_2} I_{\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{p}_1, \mathbf{k}_1, \mathbf{k}_2}^{i i_1 a_1 a_2} \delta(\mathbf{p}' + \mathbf{k}_1 - \mathbf{p}_1 - \mathbf{k}_2) - \mathcal{T}_{\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{p}_1, \mathbf{k}_1, \mathbf{k}_2}^{*(2) i i_1 a_1 a_2} I_{\mathbf{p}_1, \mathbf{p}', \mathbf{k}_2, \mathbf{k}_1}^{i_1 i' a_2 a_1} \delta(\mathbf{p} + \mathbf{k}_1 - \mathbf{p}_1 - \mathbf{k}_2) \right\}$$

and

$$\delta(\mathbf{k} - \mathbf{k}') \frac{\partial \mathcal{N}_{\mathbf{k}}^{aa'}}{\partial t} = -i \int d\mathbf{p}_1 d\mathbf{p}_2 d\mathbf{k}_1 \times \quad (5.9)$$

$$\times \left\{ \mathcal{T}_{\mathbf{p}_1, \mathbf{p}_2, \mathbf{k}', \mathbf{k}_1}^{(2) i_1 i_2 a' a_1} I_{\mathbf{p}_1, \mathbf{p}_2, \mathbf{k}, \mathbf{k}_1}^{i_1 i_2 a a_1} \delta(\mathbf{k}' + \mathbf{p}_1 - \mathbf{k}_1 - \mathbf{p}_2) - \mathcal{T}_{\mathbf{p}_1, \mathbf{p}_2, \mathbf{k}, \mathbf{k}_1}^{*(2) i_1 i_2 a a_1} I_{\mathbf{p}_2, \mathbf{p}_1, \mathbf{k}_1, \mathbf{k}'}^{i_2 i_1 a_1 a'} \delta(\mathbf{k} + \mathbf{p}_1 - \mathbf{k}_1 - \mathbf{p}_2) \right\},$$

where

$$I_{\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{p}_1, \mathbf{k}_1, \mathbf{k}_2}^{i i_1 a_1 a_2} = \langle \zeta_{\mathbf{p}}^{*i} \zeta_{\mathbf{p}_1}^{i_1} c_{\mathbf{k}_1}^{*a_1} c_{\mathbf{k}_2}^{a_2} \rangle$$

is the four-point correlation function. By differentiating the correlation function $I_{\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{p}_1, \mathbf{k}_1, \mathbf{k}_2}^{i i_1 a_1 a_2}$ with respect to t with allowance made for (5.1), (5.2), (5.4) and (5.5), we derive the equation the right-hand side of which contains the six-order correlation functions of the variables $\zeta_{\mathbf{p}}^{*i}$, $\zeta_{\mathbf{p}}^i$ and $c_{\mathbf{k}}^a$, $c_{\mathbf{k}}^{*a}$:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial I_{\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{p}_1, \mathbf{k}_1, \mathbf{k}_2}^{i i_1 a_1 a_2}}{\partial t} &= i [\varepsilon_{\mathbf{p}} + \omega_{\mathbf{k}_1}^l - \varepsilon_{\mathbf{p}_1} - \omega_{\mathbf{k}_2}^l] I_{\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{p}_1, \mathbf{k}_1, \mathbf{k}_2}^{i i_1 a_1 a_2} + \\ &+ i \int \mathcal{T}_{\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{p}_1, \mathbf{k}_1, \mathbf{k}_2}^{*(2) i i_1' a_1' a_2'} \langle \zeta_{\mathbf{p}_1'}^{*i_1'} \zeta_{\mathbf{p}_1}^{i_1} c_{\mathbf{k}_2'}^{*a_2'} c_{\mathbf{k}_1'}^{a_1'} c_{\mathbf{k}_1}^{*a_1} c_{\mathbf{k}_2}^{a_2} \rangle \delta(\mathbf{p}_1' + \mathbf{k}_2' - \mathbf{p} - \mathbf{k}_1') d\mathbf{p}_1' d\mathbf{k}_1' d\mathbf{k}_2' \\ &- i \int \mathcal{T}_{\mathbf{p}_1, \mathbf{p}_1', \mathbf{k}_1', \mathbf{k}_2'}^{(2) i_1 i_1' a_1' a_2'} \langle \zeta_{\mathbf{p}}^{*i} \zeta_{\mathbf{p}_1'}^{i_1'} c_{\mathbf{k}_1'}^{*a_1'} c_{\mathbf{k}_2'}^{a_2'} c_{\mathbf{k}_1}^{*a_1} c_{\mathbf{k}_2}^{a_2} \rangle \delta(\mathbf{p}_1 + \mathbf{k}_1' - \mathbf{p}_1' - \mathbf{k}_2') d\mathbf{p}_1' d\mathbf{k}_1' d\mathbf{k}_2' \\ &+ i \int \mathcal{T}_{\mathbf{p}_2', \mathbf{p}_1', \mathbf{k}_1, \mathbf{k}_1'}^{*(2) i_2' i_1' a_1' a_1'} \langle \zeta_{\mathbf{p}}^{*i} \zeta_{\mathbf{p}_1'}^{i_1} \zeta_{\mathbf{p}_2'}^{*i_2'} c_{\mathbf{k}_1'}^{i_2'} c_{\mathbf{k}_1}^{*a_1'} c_{\mathbf{k}_2}^{a_2} \rangle \delta(\mathbf{p}_1' + \mathbf{k}_1' - \mathbf{p}_2' - \mathbf{k}_1) d\mathbf{p}_1' d\mathbf{p}_2' d\mathbf{k}_1' \\ &- i \int \mathcal{T}_{\mathbf{p}_1', \mathbf{p}_2', \mathbf{k}_2, \mathbf{k}_1'}^{(2) i_1' i_2' a_2 a_1'} \langle \zeta_{\mathbf{p}}^{*i} \zeta_{\mathbf{p}_1'}^{i_1} \zeta_{\mathbf{p}_2'}^{*i_2'} c_{\mathbf{k}_1}^{*a_1} c_{\mathbf{k}_1'}^{a_1'} \rangle (2\pi)^3 \delta(\mathbf{p}_1' + \mathbf{k}_2 - \mathbf{p}_2' - \mathbf{k}_1') d\mathbf{p}_1' d\mathbf{p}_2' d\mathbf{k}_1'. \end{aligned} \quad (5.10)$$

As in the pure fermionic case [1], we close the chain of equations by expressing the six-order correlation functions in terms of the pair correlation functions. We keep only those terms that give the proper contributions to the required kinetic equations:

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \zeta_{\mathbf{p}_1'}^{*i_1'} \zeta_{\mathbf{p}_1}^{i_1} c_{\mathbf{k}_2'}^{*a_2'} c_{\mathbf{k}_1'}^{a_1'} c_{\mathbf{k}_1}^{*a_1} c_{\mathbf{k}_2}^{a_2} \rangle &\simeq \delta(\mathbf{p}_1' - \mathbf{p}_1) \delta(\mathbf{k}_1' - \mathbf{k}_1) \delta(\mathbf{k}_2' - \mathbf{k}_2) n_{\mathbf{p}_1'}^{i_1 i_1'} \mathcal{N}_{\mathbf{k}_1}^{a_1 a_1'} \mathcal{N}_{\mathbf{k}_2}^{a_2 a_2'}, \\ \langle \zeta_{\mathbf{p}}^{*i} \zeta_{\mathbf{p}_1'}^{i_1'} c_{\mathbf{k}_1'}^{*a_1'} c_{\mathbf{k}_2'}^{a_2'} c_{\mathbf{k}_1}^{*a_1} c_{\mathbf{k}_2}^{a_2} \rangle &\simeq \delta(\mathbf{p}_1' - \mathbf{p}) \delta(\mathbf{k}_1' - \mathbf{k}_2) \delta(\mathbf{k}_2' - \mathbf{k}_1) n_{\mathbf{p}}^{i_1' i_1} \mathcal{N}_{\mathbf{k}_1}^{a_1 a_2'} \mathcal{N}_{\mathbf{k}_2}^{a_1' a_2}, \\ \langle \zeta_{\mathbf{p}}^{*i} \zeta_{\mathbf{p}_1}^{i_1} \zeta_{\mathbf{p}_1'}^{*i_1'} \zeta_{\mathbf{p}_2'}^{i_2'} c_{\mathbf{k}_1'}^{*a_1'} c_{\mathbf{k}_2}^{a_2} \rangle &\simeq -\delta(\mathbf{p}_2' - \mathbf{p}) \delta(\mathbf{p}_1' - \mathbf{p}_1) \delta(\mathbf{k}_1' - \mathbf{k}_2) n_{\mathbf{p}}^{i_2' i_2} n_{\mathbf{p}_1'}^{i_1 i_1'} \mathcal{N}_{\mathbf{k}_2}^{a_1' a_2}, \\ \langle \zeta_{\mathbf{p}}^{*i} \zeta_{\mathbf{p}_1}^{i_1} \zeta_{\mathbf{p}_1'}^{*i_1'} \zeta_{\mathbf{p}_2'}^{i_2'} c_{\mathbf{k}_1}^{*a_1} c_{\mathbf{k}_1'}^{a_1'} \rangle &\simeq -\delta(\mathbf{p}_2' - \mathbf{p}) \delta(\mathbf{p}_1' - \mathbf{p}_1) \delta(\mathbf{k}_1' - \mathbf{k}_1) n_{\mathbf{p}}^{i_2' i_2} n_{\mathbf{p}_1'}^{i_1 i_1'} \mathcal{N}_{\mathbf{k}_1}^{a_1 a_1'}. \end{aligned} \quad (5.11)$$

In the third-order interaction Hamiltonian (2.11) we set for the three-point vertex functions $\Phi_{\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{p}_1, \mathbf{p}_2}^{a i_1 i_2}$, $\mathcal{W}_{\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{p}_1, \mathbf{p}_2}^{a i_1 i_2}$ and $\mathcal{S}_{\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{p}_1, \mathbf{p}_2}^{a i_1 i_2}$:

$$\Phi_{\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{p}_1, \mathbf{p}_2}^{a i_1 i_2} = (t^a)^{i_1 i_2} \Phi_{\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{p}_1, \mathbf{p}_2}, \quad \mathcal{W}_{\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{p}_1, \mathbf{p}_2}^{a i_1 i_2} = (t^a)^{i_1 i_2} \mathcal{W}_{\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{p}_1, \mathbf{p}_2}, \quad \mathcal{S}_{\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{p}_1, \mathbf{p}_2}^{a i_1 i_2} = (t^a)^{i_1 i_2} \mathcal{S}_{\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{p}_1, \mathbf{p}_2}.$$

Then, by taking into account the representation (2.15) for the vertex function $\mathcal{V}_{\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{k}_1, \mathbf{k}_2}^{a a_1 a_2}$ the color structure of the complete effective amplitude $\mathcal{T}_{\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{p}_1, \mathbf{k}_1, \mathbf{k}_2}^{(2) i i_1 a_1 a_2}$, Eq. (4.4), looks like

$$\mathcal{T}_{\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{p}_1, \mathbf{k}_1, \mathbf{k}_2}^{(2) i i_1 a_1 a_2} = [t^{a_1}, t^{a_2}]^{i i_1} \mathcal{T}_{\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{p}_1, \mathbf{k}_1, \mathbf{k}_2}^{(2, \mathcal{A})} + \{t^{a_1}, t^{a_2}\}^{i i_1} \mathcal{T}_{\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{p}_1, \mathbf{k}_1, \mathbf{k}_2}^{(2, \mathcal{S})}, \quad (5.12)$$

where the *effective subamplitudes* $\mathcal{T}^{(2, \mathcal{A})}$ and $\mathcal{T}^{(2, \mathcal{S})}$ have the following structures:

$$\mathcal{T}_{\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{p}_1, \mathbf{k}_1, \mathbf{k}_2}^{(2, \mathcal{A})} = T_{\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{p}_1, \mathbf{k}_1, \mathbf{k}_2}^{(2, \mathcal{A})} \quad (5.13)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& + \frac{1}{4} \left[\left(\frac{1}{\omega_{\mathbf{k}_2}^l - \varepsilon_{\mathbf{k}_2+\mathbf{p}_1} + \varepsilon_{\mathbf{p}_1}} + \frac{1}{\omega_{\mathbf{k}_1}^l - \varepsilon_{\mathbf{k}_1+\mathbf{p}} + \varepsilon_{\mathbf{p}}} \right) \Phi_{\mathbf{k}_2, \mathbf{k}_2+\mathbf{p}_1, \mathbf{p}_1} \Phi_{\mathbf{k}_1, \mathbf{k}_1+\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{p}}^* \right. \\
& + \left. \left(\frac{1}{\omega_{\mathbf{k}_2}^l - \varepsilon_{\mathbf{p}} + \varepsilon_{\mathbf{p}-\mathbf{k}_2}} + \frac{1}{\omega_{\mathbf{k}_1}^l - \varepsilon_{\mathbf{p}_1} + \varepsilon_{\mathbf{p}_1-\mathbf{k}_1}} \right) \Phi_{\mathbf{k}_2, \mathbf{p}, \mathbf{p}-\mathbf{k}_2} \Phi_{\mathbf{k}_1, \mathbf{p}_1, \mathbf{p}_1-\mathbf{k}_1}^* \right] \\
& + \left(\frac{1}{\omega_{\mathbf{k}_2}^l - \varepsilon_{\mathbf{p}} - \varepsilon_{\mathbf{k}_2-\mathbf{p}}} + \frac{1}{\omega_{\mathbf{k}_1}^l - \varepsilon_{\mathbf{p}_1} - \varepsilon_{\mathbf{k}_1-\mathbf{p}_1}} \right) \mathcal{W}_{\mathbf{k}_2, \mathbf{p}, \mathbf{k}_2-\mathbf{p}} \mathcal{W}_{\mathbf{k}_1, \mathbf{p}_1, \mathbf{k}_1-\mathbf{p}_1}^* \\
& + \left(\frac{1}{\omega_{\mathbf{k}_2}^l + \varepsilon_{-\mathbf{k}_2-\mathbf{p}_1} + \varepsilon_{\mathbf{p}_1}} + \frac{1}{\omega_{\mathbf{k}_1}^l + \varepsilon_{-\mathbf{k}_1-\mathbf{p}} + \varepsilon_{\mathbf{p}}} \right) \mathcal{S}_{\mathbf{k}_2, -\mathbf{k}_2-\mathbf{p}_1, \mathbf{p}_1} \mathcal{S}_{\mathbf{k}_1, -\mathbf{k}_1-\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{p}}^* \\
& - i \left[\left(\frac{1}{\omega_{\mathbf{k}_1}^l - \omega_{\mathbf{k}_2}^l - \omega_{\mathbf{k}_1-\mathbf{k}_2}^l} - \frac{1}{\omega_{\mathbf{p}_1-\mathbf{p}}^l - \varepsilon_{\mathbf{p}_1} + \varepsilon_{\mathbf{p}}} \right) \mathcal{V}_{\mathbf{k}_1, \mathbf{k}_2, \mathbf{k}_1-\mathbf{k}_2} \Phi_{\mathbf{p}_1-\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{p}_1, \mathbf{p}}^* \right. \\
& \left. - \left(\frac{1}{\omega_{\mathbf{k}_2}^l - \omega_{\mathbf{k}_1}^l - \omega_{\mathbf{k}_2-\mathbf{k}_1}^l} - \frac{1}{\omega_{\mathbf{p}-\mathbf{p}_1}^l - \varepsilon_{\mathbf{p}} + \varepsilon_{\mathbf{p}_1}} \right) \Phi_{\mathbf{p}-\mathbf{p}_1, \mathbf{p}, \mathbf{p}_1} \mathcal{V}_{\mathbf{k}_2, \mathbf{k}_1, \mathbf{k}_2-\mathbf{k}_1}^* \right], \\
& \mathcal{J}_{\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{p}_1, \mathbf{k}_1, \mathbf{k}_2}^{(2, \mathcal{S})} = T_{\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{p}_1, \mathbf{k}_1, \mathbf{k}_2}^{(2, \mathcal{S})} \tag{5.14}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& + \frac{1}{4} \left[\left(\frac{1}{\omega_{\mathbf{k}_2}^l - \varepsilon_{\mathbf{k}_2+\mathbf{p}_1} + \varepsilon_{\mathbf{p}_1}} + \frac{1}{\omega_{\mathbf{k}_1}^l - \varepsilon_{\mathbf{k}_1+\mathbf{p}} + \varepsilon_{\mathbf{p}}} \right) \Phi_{\mathbf{k}_2, \mathbf{k}_2+\mathbf{p}_1, \mathbf{p}_1} \Phi_{\mathbf{k}_1, \mathbf{k}_1+\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{p}}^* \right. \\
& \left. - \left(\frac{1}{\omega_{\mathbf{k}_2}^l - \varepsilon_{\mathbf{p}} + \varepsilon_{\mathbf{p}-\mathbf{k}_2}} + \frac{1}{\omega_{\mathbf{k}_1}^l - \varepsilon_{\mathbf{p}_1} + \varepsilon_{\mathbf{p}_1-\mathbf{k}_1}} \right) \Phi_{\mathbf{k}_2, \mathbf{p}, \mathbf{p}-\mathbf{k}_2} \Phi_{\mathbf{k}_1, \mathbf{p}_1, \mathbf{p}_1-\mathbf{k}_1}^* \right] \\
& + \left(\frac{1}{\omega_{\mathbf{k}_2}^l + \varepsilon_{-\mathbf{k}_2-\mathbf{p}_1} + \varepsilon_{\mathbf{p}_1}} + \frac{1}{\omega_{\mathbf{k}_1}^l + \varepsilon_{-\mathbf{k}_1-\mathbf{p}} + \varepsilon_{\mathbf{p}}} \right) \mathcal{S}_{\mathbf{k}_2, -\mathbf{k}_2-\mathbf{p}_1, \mathbf{p}_1} \mathcal{S}_{\mathbf{k}_1, -\mathbf{k}_1-\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{p}}^* \\
& - \left(\frac{1}{\omega_{\mathbf{k}_2}^l - \varepsilon_{\mathbf{p}} - \varepsilon_{\mathbf{k}_2-\mathbf{p}}} + \frac{1}{\omega_{\mathbf{k}_1}^l - \varepsilon_{\mathbf{p}_1} - \varepsilon_{\mathbf{k}_1-\mathbf{p}_1}} \right) \mathcal{W}_{\mathbf{k}_2, \mathbf{p}, \mathbf{k}_2-\mathbf{p}} \mathcal{W}_{\mathbf{k}_1, \mathbf{p}_1, \mathbf{k}_1-\mathbf{p}_1}^*
\end{aligned}$$

We should have put the imaginary unit i before the first term on the right-hand side of (5.12), but we didn't do that. From the decomposition (5.12) and the realness condition (5.3) the symmetry properties for the effective subamplitudes $\mathcal{J}^{(2, \mathcal{A})}$ and $\mathcal{J}^{(2, \mathcal{S})}$ follow:

$$\mathcal{J}_{\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{p}_1, \mathbf{k}_1, \mathbf{k}_2}^{(2, \mathcal{A})} = \mathcal{J}_{\mathbf{p}_1, \mathbf{p}, \mathbf{k}_2, \mathbf{k}_1}^{*(2, \mathcal{A})}, \quad \mathcal{J}_{\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{p}_1, \mathbf{k}_1, \mathbf{k}_2}^{(2, \mathcal{S})} = \mathcal{J}_{\mathbf{p}_1, \mathbf{p}, \mathbf{k}_2, \mathbf{k}_1}^{*(2, \mathcal{S})}. \tag{5.15}$$

In section 7 we show that in the limit $|\mathbf{p}|, |\mathbf{p}_1| \gg |\mathbf{k}_1|, |\mathbf{k}_2|$ the following inequality for these effective subamplitudes will be true

$$|\mathcal{J}_{\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{p}_1, \mathbf{k}_1, \mathbf{k}_2}^{(2, \mathcal{A})}| \gg |\mathcal{J}_{\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{p}_1, \mathbf{k}_1, \mathbf{k}_2}^{(2, \mathcal{S})}|,$$

so that in the future in the color decomposition (5.12) we leave only the contribution with subamplitude $\mathcal{J}_{\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{p}_1, \mathbf{k}_1, \mathbf{k}_2}^{(2, \mathcal{A})}$, i.e., we set

$$\mathcal{J}_{\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{p}_1, \mathbf{k}_1, \mathbf{k}_2}^{(2) i i_1 a_1 a_2} \simeq i f^{a_1 a_2 e} (t^e) i i_1 \mathcal{J}_{\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{p}_1, \mathbf{k}_1, \mathbf{k}_2}^{(2, \mathcal{A})} \equiv - (T^e)^{a_1 a_2} (t^e) i i_1 \mathcal{J}_{\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{p}_1, \mathbf{k}_1, \mathbf{k}_2}^{(2, \mathcal{A})}, \tag{5.16}$$

where $(T^a)^{bc} \equiv -if^{abc}$. For convenience of further considerations, let us also write out an expression for the conjugate amplitude:

$$\mathcal{J}_{\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{p}_1, \mathbf{k}_1, \mathbf{k}_2}^{*(2) i i_1 a_1 a_2} \simeq (T^e)^{a_1 a_2} (t^e)^{i_1 i} \mathcal{J}_{\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{p}_1, \mathbf{k}_1, \mathbf{k}_2}^{*(2, \mathcal{A})} \quad (5.17)$$

Substituting the expressions (5.11), (5.16) and (5.17) into the right-hand side of (5.10) and considering the symmetry condition (5.3) for the scattering amplitude, instead of (5.10) we derive the equation for the fourth-order correlation function

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial I_{\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{p}_1, \mathbf{k}_1, \mathbf{k}_2}^{i i_1 a_1 a_2}}{\partial t} &= i [\varepsilon_{\mathbf{p}} + \omega_{\mathbf{k}_1}^l - \varepsilon_{\mathbf{p}_1} - \omega_{\mathbf{k}_2}^l] I_{\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{p}_1, \mathbf{k}_1, \mathbf{k}_2}^{i i_1 a_1 a_2} \\ &- i \mathcal{J}_{\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{p}_1, \mathbf{k}_1, \mathbf{k}_2}^{*(2, \mathcal{A})} \left\{ -(\mathbf{n}_{\mathbf{p}_1} t^e)^{i_1 i} (\mathcal{N}_{\mathbf{k}_1} T^e \mathcal{N}_{\mathbf{k}_2})^{a_1 a_2} + (t^e \mathbf{n}_{\mathbf{p}})^{i_1 i} (\mathcal{N}_{\mathbf{k}_1} T^e \mathcal{N}_{\mathbf{k}_2})^{a_1 a_2} \right. \\ &\left. + (\mathbf{n}_{\mathbf{p}_1} t^e \mathbf{n}_{\mathbf{p}})^{i_1 i} (T^e \mathcal{N}_{\mathbf{k}_2})^{a_1 a_2} - (\mathbf{n}_{\mathbf{p}_1} t^e \mathbf{n}_{\mathbf{p}})^{i_1 i} (\mathcal{N}_{\mathbf{k}_1} T^e)^{a_1 a_2} \right\} \delta(\mathbf{p} + \mathbf{k}_1 - \mathbf{p}_1 - \mathbf{k}_2). \end{aligned} \quad (5.18)$$

6 Kinetic equation for soft gluon excitations

The self-consistent equations (5.8), (5.9) and (5.18) determine, in principle, the time evolution of number densities of the hard particles $\mathbf{n}_{\mathbf{p}}^{i i'}$ and soft plasmons $\mathcal{N}_{\mathbf{k}}^{a a'}$. However, we introduce one more simplification: in Eq. (5.18), we disregard the term with the time derivative as compared to the term containing the difference in the eigenfrequencies of wave packets and hard particle energies. Instead of equation (5.18), we have

$$\begin{aligned} I_{\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{p}_1, \mathbf{k}_1, \mathbf{k}_2}^{i i_1 a_1 a_2} &\simeq \delta(\mathbf{p} - \mathbf{p}_1) \delta(\mathbf{k}_1 - \mathbf{k}_2) \mathbf{n}_{\mathbf{p}}^{i i_1} \mathcal{N}_{\mathbf{k}_1}^{a_1 a_2} \\ &+ \frac{1}{\Delta\omega_{\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{p}_1, \mathbf{k}_1, \mathbf{k}_2} - i0} \mathcal{J}_{\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{p}_1, \mathbf{k}_1, \mathbf{k}_2}^{*(2, \mathcal{A})} \left\{ -(\mathbf{n}_{\mathbf{p}_1} t^e)^{i_1 i} (\mathcal{N}_{\mathbf{k}_1} T^e \mathcal{N}_{\mathbf{k}_2})^{a_1 a_2} + (t^e \mathbf{n}_{\mathbf{p}})^{i_1 i} (\mathcal{N}_{\mathbf{k}_1} T^e \mathcal{N}_{\mathbf{k}_2})^{a_1 a_2} \right. \\ &\left. + (\mathbf{n}_{\mathbf{p}_1} t^e \mathbf{n}_{\mathbf{p}})^{i_1 i} (T^e \mathcal{N}_{\mathbf{k}_2})^{a_1 a_2} - (\mathbf{n}_{\mathbf{p}_1} t^e \mathbf{n}_{\mathbf{p}})^{i_1 i} (\mathcal{N}_{\mathbf{k}_1} T^e)^{a_1 a_2} \right\} \delta(\mathbf{p} + \mathbf{k}_1 - \mathbf{p}_1 - \mathbf{k}_2), \end{aligned} \quad (6.1)$$

where now the resonance frequency difference is

$$\Delta\omega_{\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{p}_1, \mathbf{k}_1, \mathbf{k}_2} \equiv \varepsilon_{\mathbf{p}} + \omega_{\mathbf{k}_1}^l - \varepsilon_{\mathbf{p}_1} - \omega_{\mathbf{k}_2}^l. \quad (6.2)$$

The first term on the right-hand side of (6.1), which corresponds to completely uncorrelated waves (Gaussian fluctuations) is the solution to the homogeneous equation for the fourth-order correlation function $I_{\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{p}_1, \mathbf{k}_1, \mathbf{k}_2}^{i i_1 a_1 a_2}$. The second term determines the deviation of the four-point correlator from the Gaussian approximation for a low nonlinearity level of interacting waves.

We substitute the first term from (6.1) into the right-hand side of Eq. (5.9) for $\mathcal{N}_{\mathbf{k}}^{a a'}$. As a result we obtain

$$-i \delta(\mathbf{k} - \mathbf{k}') \int d\mathbf{p} \operatorname{tr}(\mathbf{n}_{\mathbf{p}} t^e) \left\{ (\mathcal{N}_{\mathbf{k}} T^e)^{a a'} \mathcal{J}_{\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{p}, \mathbf{k}, \mathbf{k}}^{(2, \mathcal{A})} - (T^e \mathcal{N}_{\mathbf{k}})^{a a'} \mathcal{J}_{\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{p}, \mathbf{k}, \mathbf{k}}^{*(2, \mathcal{A})} \right\}. \quad (6.3)$$

Further, we substitute the second term from (6.1) into the right-hand side of Eq. (5.9). Simple algebraic transformations, in view of the symmetry condition (5.3), lead us to

$$i \delta(\mathbf{k} - \mathbf{k}') \int d\mathbf{p}_1 d\mathbf{p}_2 d\mathbf{k}_1 \left(\left| \mathcal{J}_{\mathbf{p}_1, \mathbf{p}_2, \mathbf{k}, \mathbf{k}_1}^{(2, \mathcal{A})} \right|^2 \right) \quad (6.4)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& \times \left\{ \frac{1}{\Delta\omega_{\mathbf{p}_1, \mathbf{p}_2, \mathbf{k}, \mathbf{k}_1} - i0} \left[\text{tr}(t^d \mathbf{n}_{\mathbf{p}_2} t^e) (\mathcal{N}_{\mathbf{k}} T^e \mathcal{N}_{\mathbf{k}_1} T^d)^{aa'} - \text{tr}(t^d t^e \mathbf{n}_{\mathbf{p}_1}) (\mathcal{N}_{\mathbf{k}} T^e \mathcal{N}_{\mathbf{k}_1} T^d)^{aa'} \right. \right. \\
& \left. \left. - \text{tr}(t^d \mathbf{n}_{\mathbf{p}_2} t^e \mathbf{n}_{\mathbf{p}_1}) (T^e \mathcal{N}_{\mathbf{k}_1} T^d)^{aa'} + \text{tr}(t^d \mathbf{n}_{\mathbf{p}_2} t^e \mathbf{n}_{\mathbf{p}_1}) (\mathcal{N}_{\mathbf{k}} T^e T^d)^{aa'} \right] \right\} \delta(\mathbf{k} - \mathbf{k}_1 + \mathbf{p}_1 - \mathbf{p}_2) \\
& - |\mathcal{J}_{\mathbf{p}_1, \mathbf{p}_2, \mathbf{k}, \mathbf{k}_1}^{(2, \mathcal{A})}|^2 \left\{ \frac{1}{\Delta\omega_{\mathbf{p}_2, \mathbf{p}_1, \mathbf{k}_1, \mathbf{k}} - i0} \left[\text{tr}(t^d \mathbf{n}_{\mathbf{p}_1} t^e) (T^d \mathcal{N}_{\mathbf{k}_1} T^e \mathcal{N}_{\mathbf{k}})^{aa'} - \text{tr}(t^d t^e \mathbf{n}_{\mathbf{p}_2}) (T^d \mathcal{N}_{\mathbf{k}_1} T^e \mathcal{N}_{\mathbf{k}})^{aa'} \right. \right. \\
& \left. \left. - \text{tr}(t^d \mathbf{n}_{\mathbf{p}_1} t^e \mathbf{n}_{\mathbf{p}_2}) (T^d T^e \mathcal{N}_{\mathbf{k}})^{aa'} + \text{tr}(t^d \mathbf{n}_{\mathbf{p}_1} t^e \mathbf{n}_{\mathbf{p}_2}) (T^d \mathcal{N}_{\mathbf{k}_1} T^e)^{aa'} \right] \right\} \delta(\mathbf{k} - \mathbf{k}_1 + \mathbf{p}_1 - \mathbf{p}_2).
\end{aligned}$$

We consider the equality

$$\frac{1}{\Delta\omega_{\mathbf{p}_2, \mathbf{p}_1, \mathbf{k}_1, \mathbf{k}} - i0} = -\frac{1}{\Delta\omega_{\mathbf{p}_1, \mathbf{p}_2, \mathbf{k}, \mathbf{k}_1} + i0}.$$

to be evident by virtue of the definition (6.2). Taking into account the obtained expressions (6.3) and (6.4), changing, where necessary, the dummy color summation indices and reducing the factor $\delta(\mathbf{k} - \mathbf{k}')$, we get the the following kinetic equation for the plasmon number density $\mathcal{N}_{\mathbf{k}}^{aa'}$, instead of (5.9):

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{\partial \mathcal{N}_{\mathbf{k}}^{aa'}}{\partial t} &= -i \int d\mathbf{p} \text{tr}(\mathbf{n}_{\mathbf{p}} t^e) \left\{ (\mathcal{N}_{\mathbf{k}} T^e)^{aa'} \mathcal{J}_{\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{p}, \mathbf{k}, \mathbf{k}}^{(2, \mathcal{A})} - (T^e \mathcal{N}_{\mathbf{k}})^{aa'} \mathcal{J}_{\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{p}, \mathbf{k}, \mathbf{k}}^{*(2, \mathcal{A})} \right\} \\
& + i \int d\mathbf{p}_1 d\mathbf{p}_2 d\mathbf{k}_1 \delta(\mathbf{k} - \mathbf{k}_1 + \mathbf{p}_1 - \mathbf{p}_2) |\mathcal{J}_{\mathbf{p}_1, \mathbf{p}_2, \mathbf{k}, \mathbf{k}_1}^{(2, \mathcal{A})}|^2 \\
& \times \left\{ \frac{1}{\Delta\omega_{\mathbf{p}_1, \mathbf{p}_2, \mathbf{k}, \mathbf{k}_1} - i0} \left(\left[\text{tr}(t^e t^d \mathbf{n}_{\mathbf{p}_2}) - \text{tr}(t^d t^e \mathbf{n}_{\mathbf{p}_1}) \right] (\mathcal{N}_{\mathbf{k}} T^e \mathcal{N}_{\mathbf{k}_1} T^d)^{aa'} \right. \right. \\
& \left. \left. - \left[(T^e \mathcal{N}_{\mathbf{k}_1} T^d)^{aa'} - (\mathcal{N}_{\mathbf{k}} T^e T^d)^{aa'} \right] \text{tr}(t^d \mathbf{n}_{\mathbf{p}_2} t^e \mathbf{n}_{\mathbf{p}_1}) \right) \right. \\
& \left. - \frac{1}{\Delta\omega_{\mathbf{p}_1, \mathbf{p}_2, \mathbf{k}, \mathbf{k}_1} + i0} \left(\left[\text{tr}(t^e t^d \mathbf{n}_{\mathbf{p}_2}) - \text{tr}(t^d t^e \mathbf{n}_{\mathbf{p}_1}) \right] (T^e \mathcal{N}_{\mathbf{k}_1} T^d \mathcal{N}_{\mathbf{k}})^{aa'} \right. \right. \\
& \left. \left. - \left[(T^e \mathcal{N}_{\mathbf{k}_1} T^d)^{aa'} - (T^e T^d \mathcal{N}_{\mathbf{k}})^{aa'} \right] \text{tr}(t^d \mathbf{n}_{\mathbf{p}_2} t^e \mathbf{n}_{\mathbf{p}_1}) \right) \right\}.
\end{aligned} \tag{6.5}$$

In contrast to our previous works [1, 15], where the plasmon number density matrix $\mathcal{N}_{\mathbf{k}}^{aa'}$ was chosen as the unit diagonal matrix in color space (as well as the matrix function $\mathbf{n}_{\mathbf{p}}^{i'i}$), the required difference

$$\frac{1}{\Delta\omega_{\mathbf{p}_1, \mathbf{p}_2, \mathbf{k}, \mathbf{k}_1} - i0} - \frac{1}{\Delta\omega_{\mathbf{p}_1, \mathbf{p}_2, \mathbf{k}, \mathbf{k}_1} + i0} \left(\equiv 2\pi i \delta(\Delta\omega_{\mathbf{p}_1, \mathbf{p}_2, \mathbf{k}, \mathbf{k}_1}) \right). \tag{6.6}$$

is literally not collected here. In the kinetic equation (6.5) we have nontrivial arrangements of color matrices in the fundamental t^a and the adjoint T^a representations, and also the matrix densities of the number of plasmons $\mathcal{N}_{\mathbf{k}}$ and hard particles $\mathbf{n}_{\mathbf{p}}$. It is necessary to calculate the available traces in advance.

7 Approximation of the effective amplitude $\mathcal{T}_{\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{p}_1, \mathbf{k}_1, \mathbf{k}_2}^{(2) i i_1 a_1 a_2}$

Let us consider approximation of the effective subamplitudes $\mathcal{T}^{(2, \mathcal{A})}$ and $\mathcal{T}^{(2, \mathcal{S})}$, Eqs. (5.13) and (5.14), in the limit

$$|\mathbf{p}|, |\mathbf{p}_1| \gg |\mathbf{k}_1|, |\mathbf{k}_2|. \quad (7.1)$$

As a preliminary step, by virtue of the momentum conservation law in (4.3), we rewrite the expressions (5.13) and (5.14) setting

$$\mathbf{p}_1 = \mathbf{p} + \mathbf{k}_1 - \mathbf{k}_2 \equiv \mathbf{p} + \Delta \mathbf{k}.$$

Then, for example, for the first effective amplitude $\mathcal{T}^{(2, \mathcal{A})}$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{T}_{\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{p}_1, \mathbf{k}_1, \mathbf{k}_2}^{(2, \mathcal{A})} &= T_{\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{p} + \Delta \mathbf{k}, \mathbf{k}_1, \mathbf{k}_2}^{(2, \mathcal{A})} \quad (7.2) \\ &+ \frac{1}{4} \left[\left(\frac{1}{\omega_{\mathbf{k}_2}^l - \varepsilon_{\mathbf{p} + \mathbf{k}_1} + \varepsilon_{\mathbf{p} + \Delta \mathbf{k}}} + \frac{1}{\omega_{\mathbf{k}_1}^l - \varepsilon_{\mathbf{p} + \mathbf{k}_1} + \varepsilon_{\mathbf{p}}} \right) \Phi_{\mathbf{k}_2, \mathbf{p} + \mathbf{k}_1, \mathbf{p} + \Delta \mathbf{k}} \Phi_{\mathbf{k}_1, \mathbf{p} + \mathbf{k}_1, \mathbf{p}}^* \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \left(\frac{1}{\omega_{\mathbf{k}_2}^l - \varepsilon_{\mathbf{p}} + \varepsilon_{\mathbf{p} - \mathbf{k}_2}} + \frac{1}{\omega_{\mathbf{k}_1}^l - \varepsilon_{\mathbf{p} + \Delta \mathbf{k}} + \varepsilon_{\mathbf{p} - \mathbf{k}_2}} \right) \Phi_{\mathbf{k}_2, \mathbf{p}, \mathbf{p} - \mathbf{k}_2} \Phi_{\mathbf{k}_1, \mathbf{p} + \Delta \mathbf{k}, \mathbf{p} - \mathbf{k}_2}^* \right] \\ &\quad + \left(\frac{1}{\omega_{\mathbf{k}_2}^l - \varepsilon_{\mathbf{p}} - \varepsilon_{\mathbf{k}_2 - \mathbf{p}}} + \frac{1}{\omega_{\mathbf{k}_1}^l - \varepsilon_{\mathbf{p} + \Delta \mathbf{k}} - \varepsilon_{\mathbf{k}_2 - \mathbf{p}}} \right) \mathcal{W}_{\mathbf{k}_2, \mathbf{p}, \mathbf{k}_2 - \mathbf{p}} \mathcal{W}_{\mathbf{k}_1, \mathbf{p} + \Delta \mathbf{k}, \mathbf{k}_2 - \mathbf{p}}^* \\ &\quad + \left(\frac{1}{\omega_{\mathbf{k}_2}^l + \varepsilon_{-\mathbf{p} - \mathbf{k}_1} + \varepsilon_{\mathbf{p} + \Delta \mathbf{k}}} + \frac{1}{\omega_{\mathbf{k}_1}^l + \varepsilon_{-\mathbf{p} - \mathbf{k}_1} + \varepsilon_{\mathbf{p}}} \right) \mathcal{S}_{\mathbf{k}_2, -\mathbf{p} - \mathbf{k}_1, \mathbf{p} + \Delta \mathbf{k}} \mathcal{S}_{\mathbf{k}_1, -\mathbf{p} - \mathbf{k}_1, \mathbf{p}}^* \\ &\quad - i \left[\left(\frac{1}{\omega_{\mathbf{k}_1}^l - \omega_{\mathbf{k}_2}^l - \omega_{\mathbf{k}_1 - \mathbf{k}_2}^l} - \frac{1}{\omega_{\mathbf{k}_1 - \mathbf{k}_2}^l - \varepsilon_{\mathbf{p} + \Delta \mathbf{k}} + \varepsilon_{\mathbf{p}}} \right) \mathcal{V}_{\mathbf{k}_1, \mathbf{k}_2, \mathbf{k}_1 - \mathbf{k}_2} \Phi_{\mathbf{k}_1 - \mathbf{k}_2, \mathbf{p} + \Delta \mathbf{k}, \mathbf{p}}^* \right. \\ &\quad \left. - \left(\frac{1}{\omega_{\mathbf{k}_2}^l - \omega_{\mathbf{k}_1}^l - \omega_{\mathbf{k}_2 - \mathbf{k}_1}^l} - \frac{1}{\omega_{\mathbf{k}_2 - \mathbf{k}_1}^l - \varepsilon_{\mathbf{p}} + \varepsilon_{\mathbf{p} + \Delta \mathbf{k}}} \right) \Phi_{\mathbf{k}_2 - \mathbf{k}_1, \mathbf{p}, \mathbf{p} + \Delta \mathbf{k}} \mathcal{V}_{\mathbf{k}_2, \mathbf{k}_1, \mathbf{k}_2 - \mathbf{k}_1}^* \right] \end{aligned}$$

In the limiting case (7.1) for the expressions in the denominators on the right-hand side of (7.2) we get

$$\varepsilon_{\mathbf{p} + \Delta \mathbf{k}} - \varepsilon_{\mathbf{p} + \mathbf{k}_1} \simeq -\mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{k}_2, \quad \varepsilon_{\mathbf{p} + \mathbf{k}_1} - \varepsilon_{\mathbf{p}} \simeq \mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{k}_1, \quad \varepsilon_{-\mathbf{p} - \mathbf{k}_1} + \varepsilon_{\mathbf{p} + \Delta \mathbf{k}} \simeq 2\varepsilon_{\mathbf{p}}$$

etc. Here, we have denoted $\mathbf{v} = \partial \varepsilon_{\mathbf{p}} / \partial \mathbf{p}$. From these estimates we see that the terms on the right-hand side (7.2) containing the product of the vertex functions $\mathcal{S}_{\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{p}_1, \mathbf{p}_2}$ and $\mathcal{W}_{\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{p}_1, \mathbf{p}_2}$, are suppressed compared to the others by virtue of the fact that

$$\varepsilon_{\mathbf{p}} \gg \omega_{\mathbf{k}}^l - \mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{k}. \quad (7.3)$$

Discarding these terms, we finally find an approximate expression for the effective amplitude $\mathcal{T}^{(2, \mathcal{A})}$:

$$\mathcal{T}_{\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{p}, \mathbf{k}_1, \mathbf{k}_2}^{(2, \mathcal{A})} = T_{\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{p}, \mathbf{k}_1, \mathbf{k}_2}^{(2, \mathcal{A})} + \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{1}{\omega_{\mathbf{k}_1}^l - \mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{k}_1} + \frac{1}{\omega_{\mathbf{k}_2}^l - \mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{k}_2} \right) \Phi_{\mathbf{k}_1, \mathbf{p}, \mathbf{p}}^* \Phi_{\mathbf{k}_2, \mathbf{p}, \mathbf{p}}. \quad (7.4)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& -i \left[\left(\frac{1}{\omega_{\mathbf{k}_1}^l - \omega_{\mathbf{k}_2}^l - \omega_{\mathbf{k}_1 - \mathbf{k}_2}^l} - \frac{1}{\omega_{\mathbf{k}_1 - \mathbf{k}_2}^l - \mathbf{v} \cdot (\mathbf{k}_1 - \mathbf{k}_2)} \right) \mathcal{V}_{\mathbf{k}_1, \mathbf{k}_2, \mathbf{k}_1 - \mathbf{k}_2} \Phi_{\mathbf{k}_1 - \mathbf{k}_2, \mathbf{p}, \mathbf{p}}^* \right. \\
& \left. - \left(\frac{1}{\omega_{\mathbf{k}_2}^l - \omega_{\mathbf{k}_1}^l - \omega_{\mathbf{k}_2 - \mathbf{k}_1}^l} - \frac{1}{\omega_{\mathbf{k}_2 - \mathbf{k}_1}^l - \mathbf{v} \cdot (\mathbf{k}_2 - \mathbf{k}_1)} \right) \mathcal{V}_{\mathbf{k}_2, \mathbf{k}_1, \mathbf{k}_2 - \mathbf{k}_1}^* \Phi_{\mathbf{k}_2 - \mathbf{k}_1, \mathbf{p}, \mathbf{p}} \right].
\end{aligned}$$

Fig.1 gives the diagrammatic interpretation of different terms in the effective amplitude $\mathcal{T}_{\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{p}, \mathbf{k}_1, \mathbf{k}_2}^{(2, \mathcal{A})}$. The first graph represents a direct interaction of two plasmons with hard test




Figure 1: The effective amplitude $\mathcal{T}_{\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{p}, \mathbf{k}_1, \mathbf{k}_2}^{(2, \mathcal{A})}$ for the elastic scattering process of plasmon off a hard color particle. The blob stands for HTL-resummation and the double line denotes the hard particle

particle induced by the amplitude $T_{\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{p}, \mathbf{k}_1, \mathbf{k}_2}^{(2, \mathcal{A})}$ in the general expression (7.4). The second and third graphs describe the Compton scattering of soft boson excitations off a hard particle. In the effective amplitude (7.4) they correspond to the term with product of the elementary interaction vertices of soft boson excitations with the hard test color-charged particle, namely $\Phi_{\mathbf{k}_1, \mathbf{p}, \mathbf{p}}^*$ and $\Phi_{\mathbf{k}_2, \mathbf{p}, \mathbf{p}}$. The remaining graph is connected with the interaction of hard particle with plasmon and of three plasmons among themselves generated by the amplitudes $\Phi_{\mathbf{k}_1 - \mathbf{k}_2, \mathbf{p}, \mathbf{p}}^*$ and $\mathcal{V}_{\mathbf{k}_1, \mathbf{k}_2, \mathbf{k}_1 - \mathbf{k}_2}$ with intermediate “virtual” oscillation.

Similar reasoning for the second effective subamplitude $\mathcal{T}_{\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{p}_1, \mathbf{k}_1, \mathbf{k}_2}^{(2, \mathcal{S})}$ (5.14) lead us to the following expression:

$$\begin{aligned}
& \mathcal{T}_{\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{p}, \mathbf{k}_1, \mathbf{k}_2}^{(2, \mathcal{S})} = T_{\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{p}, \mathbf{k}_1, \mathbf{k}_2}^{(2, \mathcal{S})} \\
& + \frac{1}{4} \left[\left(\frac{1}{\omega_{\mathbf{k}_2}^l - \mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{k}_2} + \frac{1}{\omega_{\mathbf{k}_1}^l - \mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{k}_1} \right) \Phi_{\mathbf{k}_1, \mathbf{p}, \mathbf{p}}^* \Phi_{\mathbf{k}_2, \mathbf{p}, \mathbf{p}} \right. \\
& \left. - \left(\frac{1}{\omega_{\mathbf{k}_2}^l - \mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{k}_2} + \frac{1}{\omega_{\mathbf{k}_1}^l - \mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{k}_1} \right) \Phi_{\mathbf{k}_1, \mathbf{p}, \mathbf{p}}^* \Phi_{\mathbf{k}_2, \mathbf{p}, \mathbf{p}} \right] \\
& + \left(\frac{1}{\omega_{\mathbf{k}_2}^l - \mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{k}_2 + (\varepsilon_{\mathbf{p}} + \varepsilon_{-\mathbf{p}})} + \frac{1}{\omega_{\mathbf{k}_1}^l - \mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{k}_1 + (\varepsilon_{\mathbf{p}} + \varepsilon_{-\mathbf{p}})} \right) \mathcal{S}_{\mathbf{k}_1, -\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{p}}^* \mathcal{S}_{\mathbf{k}_2, -\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{p}} \\
& - \left(\frac{1}{\omega_{\mathbf{k}_2}^l - \mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{k}_2 - (\varepsilon_{\mathbf{p}} + \varepsilon_{-\mathbf{p}})} + \frac{1}{\omega_{\mathbf{k}_1}^l - \mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{k}_1 - (\varepsilon_{\mathbf{p}} + \varepsilon_{-\mathbf{p}})} \right) \mathcal{W}_{\mathbf{k}_1, \mathbf{p}, -\mathbf{p}}^* \mathcal{W}_{\mathbf{k}_2, \mathbf{p}, -\mathbf{p}}.
\end{aligned}$$

In the limit (7.1) the terms with the product $\Phi^* \Phi$ exactly reduce each other, and the terms with the vertex functions \mathcal{W} and \mathcal{S} by virtue of the condition (7.3) are suppressed and therefore the following inequality is true

$$\left| \mathcal{T}_{\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{p}, \mathbf{k}_1, \mathbf{k}_2}^{(2, \mathcal{A})} \right| \gg \left| \mathcal{T}_{\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{p}, \mathbf{k}_1, \mathbf{k}_2}^{(2, \mathcal{S})} \right| \quad (7.5)$$

namely the Grassmann color charges θ^{*i} and θ^j belonging to the defining representation of the $SU(N_c)$ group.

Within this approach, for example, we can represent the last diagram in Fig. 1 in the form as depicted in Fig. 4. This kind of representation will be especially useful when we consider




Figure 4: Graphical representation of the last scattering process in Fig. 1 within the diagrammatic interpretation for the color charge Q^a in Fig. 2

hard excitations carrying a half-integer spin. Here, to describe the color degrees of freedom of hard test particles, we will need to use each of the Grassmann color charges θ^{*i} or θ^j as independent dynamical variables, rather than entering only as the bilinear (i.e., Grassmann-even) combination (7.7). In other words, the system is subjected to background non-Abelian soft fermionic field, which as it were “splits” the combination $\theta^{*i}(t^a)^{ij}\theta^j$ into two independent (Grassmann-odd) parts (see discussion in Conclusion). In this case only one of the lines in Fig. 2 will be needed to represent graphically the hard particle with half-integer spin. The same applies to soft Fermi-excitation. Here, it is also necessary to use a single line instead of a double one, as it is shown on the right side of Fig. 3 for the soft Bose-excitation with the wave vector \mathbf{k} .

8 Approximation of the kinetic equation (6.5). The first moment with respect to color

Let us now turn to the approximation of the original kinetic equation (6.5). In the second term, we perform integration over $d\mathbf{p}_2$, which gives us $\mathbf{p}_2 = \mathbf{k} - \mathbf{k}_1 + \mathbf{p}_1$ and consider the approximation $|\mathbf{p}_1| \gg |\mathbf{k}|, |\mathbf{k}_1|$. By using the definition of the color charge (7.7), for the trace in the first term on the right-hand side of (6.5) we have

$$\text{tr}(\mathbf{n}_{\mathbf{p}} t^e) = \mathbf{n}_{\mathbf{p}}^{ij} (t^e)^{ji} = n_{\mathbf{p}} \langle \theta^{*j} \theta^i \rangle (t^e)^{ji} = n_{\mathbf{p}} \langle Q^e \rangle.$$

Here, $n_{\mathbf{p}}$ is an ordinary scalar function of the momentum \mathbf{p} of a hard particle. Then in the second contribution on the right-hand side (6.5) we have for the difference of traces

$$\text{tr}(t^e t^d \mathbf{n}_{\mathbf{p}_1 + \Delta \mathbf{k}}) - \text{tr}(t^d t^e \mathbf{n}_{\mathbf{p}_1}) = \text{tr}([t^e, t^d] \mathbf{n}_{\mathbf{p}_1}) + \text{tr}\left(t^e t^d \frac{\partial \mathbf{n}_{\mathbf{p}_1}}{\partial \mathbf{p}_1} \cdot \Delta \mathbf{k}\right) + \dots,$$

where we have designated $\Delta \mathbf{k} \equiv \mathbf{k} - \mathbf{k}_1$. In the abelian case the first term on the right-hand side here is equal to zero and it is necessary to take into account the next term of the expansion that

is linear in $\Delta \mathbf{k}$. This takes place in the theory of weak wave turbulence for ordinary electron-ion plasma (see, for example, [22]). Thus in the leading (zero) order in $\Delta \mathbf{k}$ for the non-Abelian case we have for the difference of traces:

$$\mathrm{tr}(t^e t^d \mathbf{n}_{\mathbf{p}_1 + \Delta \mathbf{k}}) - \mathrm{tr}(t^d t^e \mathbf{n}_{\mathbf{p}_1}) \simeq \mathrm{tr}([t^e, t^d] \mathbf{n}_{\mathbf{p}_1}) \equiv i f^{edf} \langle \mathcal{Q}^f \rangle n_{\mathbf{p}_1}. \quad (8.1)$$

Let us consider further the more complex trace

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{tr}(t^d \mathbf{n}_{\mathbf{p}_1 + \Delta \mathbf{k}} t^e \mathbf{n}_{\mathbf{p}_1}) &= \mathrm{tr}(t^d \mathbf{n}_{\mathbf{p}_1} t^e \mathbf{n}_{\mathbf{p}_1}) + \mathrm{tr}\left(t^d \frac{\partial \mathbf{n}_{\mathbf{p}_1}}{\partial \mathbf{p}_1} \cdot \Delta \mathbf{k} t^e \mathbf{n}_{\mathbf{p}_1}\right) + \dots \\ &= \left\{ n_{\mathbf{p}_1}^2 + \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial n_{\mathbf{p}_1}^2}{\partial |\mathbf{p}_1|} (\mathbf{v}_1 \cdot \Delta \mathbf{k}) + \dots \right\} [(t^d)^{j_1 i_2} \langle \theta^{* i_1} \theta^{i_2} \rangle (t^e)^{i_1 j_2} \langle \theta^{* j_1} \theta^{j_2} \rangle]. \end{aligned}$$

Here, unlike (8.1), we cannot immediately present this expression in terms of the product of two commutative color charges \mathcal{Q}^d and \mathcal{Q}^e . Let us rewrite the kinetic equation (6.5) once more, leaving only zero order in $\Delta \mathbf{k}$ and assuming that the effective amplitude $\mathcal{J}^{(2, \mathcal{A})}$ depends *only* on the velocity $\mathbf{v} = \mathbf{p}/|\mathbf{p}|$:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial \mathcal{N}_{\mathbf{k}}^{aa'}}{\partial t} &= -i \left(\int n_{\mathbf{p}} \mathbf{p}^2 d|\mathbf{p}| \right) \int d\Omega_{\mathbf{v}} \left\{ (\mathcal{N}_{\mathbf{k}} T^e)^{aa'} \mathcal{J}_{\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{k}}^{(2, \mathcal{A})}(\mathbf{v}) - (T^e \mathcal{N}_{\mathbf{k}})^{aa'} \mathcal{J}_{\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{k}}^{*(2, \mathcal{A})}(\mathbf{v}) \right\} \langle \mathcal{Q}^e \rangle \\ &\quad + i \left(\int n_{\mathbf{p}} \mathbf{p}^2 d|\mathbf{p}| \right) \int d\Omega_{\mathbf{v}} \int d\mathbf{k}_1 |\mathcal{J}_{\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{k}_1}^{(2, \mathcal{A})}(\mathbf{v})|^2 (T^f)^{de} \langle \mathcal{Q}^f \rangle \\ &\quad \times \left(\frac{(\mathcal{N}_{\mathbf{k}} T^e \mathcal{N}_{\mathbf{k}_1} T^d)^{aa'}}{\Delta \omega_{\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{p}, \mathbf{k}, \mathbf{k}_1} - i0} - \frac{(T^e \mathcal{N}_{\mathbf{k}_1} T^d \mathcal{N}_{\mathbf{k}})^{aa'}}{\Delta \omega_{\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{p}, \mathbf{k}, \mathbf{k}_1} + i0} \right) \\ &- i \left(\int n_{\mathbf{p}} \mathbf{p}^2 d|\mathbf{p}| \right) \int d\Omega_{\mathbf{v}} \int d\mathbf{k}_1 |\mathcal{J}_{\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{k}_1}^{(2, \mathcal{A})}(\mathbf{v})|^2 [(t^d)^{j_1 i_2} \langle \theta^{* i_1} \theta^{i_2} \rangle (t^e)^{i_1 j_2} \langle \theta^{* j_1} \theta^{j_2} \rangle] \\ &\quad \times \left(\frac{(T^e \mathcal{N}_{\mathbf{k}_1} T^d)^{aa'} - (\mathcal{N}_{\mathbf{k}} T^e T^d)^{aa'}}{\Delta \omega_{\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{p}, \mathbf{k}, \mathbf{k}_1} - i0} - \frac{(T^e \mathcal{N}_{\mathbf{k}_1} T^d)^{aa'} - (T^e T^d \mathcal{N}_{\mathbf{k}})^{aa'}}{\Delta \omega_{\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{p}, \mathbf{k}, \mathbf{k}_1} + i0} \right), \end{aligned} \quad (8.2)$$

where we have replaced the integration variable \mathbf{p}_1 by \mathbf{p} and supposed

$$\mathcal{J}_{\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{p}, \mathbf{k}, \mathbf{k}_1}^{(2, \mathcal{A})} \equiv \mathcal{J}_{\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{k}_1}^{(2, \mathcal{A})}(\mathbf{v}). \quad (8.3)$$

Further, the resonance frequency difference (6.2) in the expression (8.2) is approximated as

$$\Delta \omega_{\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{p}, \mathbf{k}, \mathbf{k}_1} \simeq \omega_{\mathbf{k}}^l - \omega_{\mathbf{k}_1}^l - \mathbf{v} \cdot (\mathbf{k} - \mathbf{k}_1).$$

Consider the following color decomposition of the matrix function $\mathcal{N}_{\mathbf{k}}^{aa'}$:

$$\mathcal{N}_{\mathbf{k}}^{aa'} = \delta^{aa'} N_{\mathbf{k}}^l + (T^c)^{aa'} \langle \mathcal{Q}^c \rangle W_{\mathbf{k}}^l. \quad (8.4)$$

We take the trace of the left and right-hand sides of (8.2) with respect to color indices, i.e., we set $a = a'$ and sum over a . Using the explicit representation (8.4) and the formulae for the traces of the product of two and three color matrices in the adjoint representation from Appendix C, Eqs. (C.4) and (C.5), we easily find for the trace on the left-hand side and for the traces in the first and third summands on the right-hand side of (8.2)

$$\mathrm{tr} \mathcal{N}_{\mathbf{k}} = (N_c^2 - 1) N_{\mathbf{k}}^l \equiv d_A N_{\mathbf{k}}^l, \quad \mathrm{tr} (T^e \mathcal{N}_{\mathbf{k}}) = N_c \langle \mathcal{Q}^e \rangle W_{\mathbf{k}}^l,$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{tr}[(T^e \mathcal{N}_{\mathbf{k}_1} T^d) - (\mathcal{N}_{\mathbf{k}} T^e T^d)] &= \text{tr}[(T^e \mathcal{N}_{\mathbf{k}_1} T^d) - (T^e T^d \mathcal{N}_{\mathbf{k}})] \\ &= \delta^{ed} N_c (N_{\mathbf{k}_1}^l - N_{\mathbf{k}}^l) + \frac{1}{2} N_c (T^c)^{ed} (W_{\mathbf{k}_1}^l + W_{\mathbf{k}}^l) \langle \mathcal{Q}^c \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

The trace in the second term in (8.2) has a slightly more complicated structure and requires the use of the formula for the trace of the product of four matrices (C.6). Here, after contracting with $(T^f)^{de}$ we finally have

$$(T^f)^{de} \text{tr}(\mathcal{N}_{\mathbf{k}} T^e \mathcal{N}_{\mathbf{k}_1} T^d) = -\frac{1}{2} N_c^2 \langle \mathcal{Q}^f \rangle (W_{\mathbf{k}}^l N_{\mathbf{k}_1}^l - N_{\mathbf{k}}^l W_{\mathbf{k}_1}^l).$$

In obtaining this expression we used the symmetry property (C.9). This allowed us to easily eliminate the term with the product $W_{\mathbf{k}}^l W_{\mathbf{k}_1}^l$. Taking into account the obtained expressions for the color traces, we can now write out the first moment about color for equation (8.2)

$$\begin{aligned} d_A \frac{\partial N_{\mathbf{k}}^l}{\partial t} &= 2N_c \left(\int n_{\mathbf{p}} \mathbf{p}^2 d|\mathbf{p}| \right) \int d\Omega_{\mathbf{v}} \text{Im} \mathcal{T}_{\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{k}}^{(2, \mathcal{A})}(\mathbf{v}) W_{\mathbf{k}}^l \langle \mathcal{Q}^e \rangle \langle \mathcal{Q}^e \rangle \quad (8.5) \\ &+ \frac{1}{2} N_c^2 \left(\int n_{\mathbf{p}} \mathbf{p}^2 d|\mathbf{p}| \right) \int d\Omega_{\mathbf{v}} \int d\mathbf{k}_1 |\mathcal{T}_{\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{k}_1}^{(2, \mathcal{A})}(\mathbf{v})|^2 (W_{\mathbf{k}}^l N_{\mathbf{k}_1}^l - N_{\mathbf{k}}^l W_{\mathbf{k}_1}^l) \langle \mathcal{Q}^e \rangle \langle \mathcal{Q}^e \rangle \\ &\quad \times (2\pi) \delta(\omega_{\mathbf{k}}^l - \omega_{\mathbf{k}_1}^l - \mathbf{v} \cdot (\mathbf{k} - \mathbf{k}_1)) \\ &- N_c \left(\int n_{\mathbf{p}}^2 \mathbf{p}^2 d|\mathbf{p}| \right) \int d\Omega_{\mathbf{v}} \int d\mathbf{k}_1 |\mathcal{T}_{\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{k}_1}^{(2, \mathcal{A})}(\mathbf{v})|^2 \left\{ \delta^{ed} (N_{\mathbf{k}}^l - N_{\mathbf{k}_1}^l) + \frac{i}{2} f^{edc} (W_{\mathbf{k}}^l + W_{\mathbf{k}_1}^l) \langle \mathcal{Q}^c \rangle \right\} \\ &\quad \times [(t^d)^{j_1 i_2} \langle \theta^{*i_1} \theta^{i_2} \rangle (t^e)^{i_1 j_2} \langle \theta^{*j_1} \theta^{j_2} \rangle] (2\pi) \delta(\omega_{\mathbf{k}}^l - \omega_{\mathbf{k}_1}^l - \mathbf{v} \cdot (\mathbf{k} - \mathbf{k}_1)). \end{aligned}$$

Here, we have taken into account the Sokhotsky formula (6.6). We note that the expectation value of the color charge enters the first and the second terms on the right-hand side in the colorless quadratic combination $\langle \mathcal{Q}^e \rangle \langle \mathcal{Q}^e \rangle$. Furthermore, the last term in braces in (8.5) contains the imaginary part proportional to the sum $(W_{\mathbf{k}}^l + W_{\mathbf{k}_1}^l)$. However, it is easy to see that this contribution vanishes. Indeed, let us introduce the notation

$$Z^{de} \equiv [(t^d)^{j_1 i_2} \langle \theta^{*i_1} \theta^{i_2} \rangle (t^e)^{i_1 j_2} \langle \theta^{*j_1} \theta^{j_2} \rangle]. \quad (8.6)$$

The symmetry property with respect to color indices d and e follows from the structure of this expression

$$Z^{de} = Z^{ed}, \quad (8.7)$$

whence it immediately follows

$$f^{edc} Z^{de} = 0. \quad (8.8)$$

Let us consider the first term in braces in (8.5) containing the difference $(N_{\mathbf{k}}^l - N_{\mathbf{k}_1}^l)$. Here, we have the contraction of the form

$$\delta^{ed} Z^{de} = [(t^e)^{j_1 i_2} \langle \theta^{*i_1} \theta^{i_2} \rangle (t^e)^{i_1 j_2} \langle \theta^{*j_1} \theta^{j_2} \rangle]. \quad (8.9)$$

To disentangle this expression, it is necessary to use the Fierz identity for the t^a matrices, Eq. (B.3b). In this case we have

$$(t^e)^{j_1 i_2} (t^e)^{i_1 j_2} = \left(\frac{N_c^2 - 4}{2N_c^2} \right) \delta^{i_1 i_2} \delta^{j_1 j_2} - \frac{1}{N_c} (t^e)^{i_1 i_2} (t^e)^{j_1 j_2} \quad (8.10)$$

and therefore instead of (8.9) we obtain at once

$$\delta^{ed} Z^{de} = \left(\frac{N_c^2 - 4}{2N_c^2} \right) \langle \mathcal{Q} \rangle^2 - \frac{1}{N_c} \langle \mathcal{Q}^e \rangle \langle \mathcal{Q}^e \rangle. \quad (8.11)$$

Here we have introduced a notation for the mean value of the commutative ‘‘colorless’’ charge

$$\langle \mathcal{Q} \rangle \equiv \langle \theta^{*i} \theta^i \rangle.$$

We see that it is impossible in this case to reduce the expression (8.9) only to a quadratic combination of color charges $\langle \mathcal{Q}^e \rangle \langle \mathcal{Q}^e \rangle$. The square of the mean value of the colorless Grassmann charges combination $\langle \theta^{*i} \theta^i \rangle$ inevitably appears. Substituting the expression (8.11) into (8.5) we find finally the kinetic equation for the colorless part of the plasmon number density $N_{\mathbf{k}}^l$:

$$\begin{aligned} d_A \frac{\partial N_{\mathbf{k}}^l}{\partial t} &= 2N_c \left(\int n_{\mathbf{p}} \mathbf{p}^2 d|\mathbf{p}| \right) \int d\Omega_{\mathbf{v}} \text{Im} \mathcal{J}_{\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{k}}^{(2, \mathcal{A})}(\mathbf{v}) W_{\mathbf{k}}^l \langle \mathcal{Q}^e \rangle \langle \mathcal{Q}^e \rangle \\ &+ \frac{1}{2} N_c^2 \left(\int n_{\mathbf{p}} \mathbf{p}^2 d|\mathbf{p}| \right) \int d\Omega_{\mathbf{v}} \int d\mathbf{k}_1 |\mathcal{J}_{\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{k}_1}^{(2, \mathcal{A})}(\mathbf{v})|^2 (W_{\mathbf{k}}^l N_{\mathbf{k}_1}^l - N_{\mathbf{k}}^l W_{\mathbf{k}_1}^l) \langle \mathcal{Q}^e \rangle \langle \mathcal{Q}^e \rangle \\ &\quad \times (2\pi) \delta(\omega_{\mathbf{k}}^l - \omega_{\mathbf{k}_1}^l - \mathbf{v} \cdot (\mathbf{k} - \mathbf{k}_1)) \\ &- \left(\int n_{\mathbf{p}}^2 \mathbf{p}^2 d|\mathbf{p}| \right) \int d\Omega_{\mathbf{v}} \int d\mathbf{k}_1 |\mathcal{J}_{\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{k}_1}^{(2, \mathcal{A})}(\mathbf{v})|^2 (N_{\mathbf{k}}^l - N_{\mathbf{k}_1}^l) \left\{ \left(\frac{N_c^2 - 4}{2N_c} \right) \langle \mathcal{Q} \rangle^2 - \langle \mathcal{Q}^e \rangle \langle \mathcal{Q}^e \rangle \right\} \\ &\quad \times (2\pi) \delta(\omega_{\mathbf{k}}^l - \omega_{\mathbf{k}_1}^l - \mathbf{v} \cdot (\mathbf{k} - \mathbf{k}_1)). \end{aligned} \quad (8.12)$$

9 The second moment with respect to color

Let us return to our original equation (8.2). Now let us contract the left- and right-hand sides of this equation with the color matrix $(T^s)^{a'a}$. As a result, we find

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial \text{tr}(T^s \mathcal{N}_{\mathbf{k}})}{\partial t} &= -i \left(\int n_{\mathbf{p}} \mathbf{p}^2 d|\mathbf{p}| \right) \int d\Omega_{\mathbf{v}} \left\{ \text{tr}(T^e T^s \mathcal{N}_{\mathbf{k}}) \mathcal{J}_{\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{k}}^{(2, \mathcal{A})}(\mathbf{v}) - \text{tr}(T^s T^e \mathcal{N}_{\mathbf{k}}) \mathcal{J}_{\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{k}}^{*(2, \mathcal{A})}(\mathbf{v}) \right\} \langle \mathcal{Q}^e \rangle \\ &+ i \left(\int n_{\mathbf{p}} \mathbf{p}^2 d|\mathbf{p}| \right) \int d\Omega_{\mathbf{v}} \int d\mathbf{k}_1 |\mathcal{J}_{\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{k}_1}^{(2, \mathcal{A})}(\mathbf{v})|^2 (T^f)^{de} \langle \mathcal{Q}^f \rangle \\ &\quad \times \left(\frac{\text{tr}(T^d T^s \mathcal{N}_{\mathbf{k}} T^e \mathcal{N}_{\mathbf{k}_1})}{\Delta\omega_{\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{p}, \mathbf{k}, \mathbf{k}_1} - i0} - \frac{\text{tr}(T^s T^e \mathcal{N}_{\mathbf{k}_1} T^d \mathcal{N}_{\mathbf{k}})}{\Delta\omega_{\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{p}, \mathbf{k}, \mathbf{k}_1} + i0} \right) \\ &- i \left(\int n_{\mathbf{p}}^2 \mathbf{p}^2 d|\mathbf{p}| \right) \int d\Omega_{\mathbf{v}} \int d\mathbf{k}_1 |\mathcal{J}_{\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{k}_1}^{(2, \mathcal{A})}(\mathbf{v})|^2 [(t^d)^{j_1 i_2} \langle \theta^{*i_1} \theta^{i_2} \rangle (t^e)^{i_1 j_2} \langle \theta^{*j_1} \theta^{j_2} \rangle] \\ &\quad \times \left(\frac{\text{tr}(T^d T^s T^e \mathcal{N}_{\mathbf{k}_1}) - \text{tr}(T^e T^d T^s \mathcal{N}_{\mathbf{k}})}{\Delta\omega_{\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{p}, \mathbf{k}, \mathbf{k}_1} - i0} - \frac{\text{tr}(T^d T^s T^e \mathcal{N}_{\mathbf{k}_1}) - \text{tr}(T^e T^d \mathcal{N}_{\mathbf{k}} T^s)}{\Delta\omega_{\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{p}, \mathbf{k}, \mathbf{k}_1} + i0} \right). \end{aligned} \quad (9.1)$$

We consider the trace on the left-hand side and the traces in the first term on the right-hand side of Eq. (9.1). With allowance made for the color decomposition (8.4), simple calculations give

$$\text{tr}(T^s \mathcal{N}_{\mathbf{k}}) = N_c \langle \mathcal{Q}^s \rangle W_{\mathbf{k}}^l, \quad (9.2)$$

$$\text{tr}(T^e T^s \mathcal{N}_{\mathbf{k}}) = \delta^{es} N_c N_{\mathbf{k}}^l + \frac{i}{2} N_c f^{esc} \langle \mathcal{Q}^c \rangle W_{\mathbf{k}}^l, \quad \text{tr}(T^s T^e \mathcal{N}_{\mathbf{k}}) = \delta^{es} N_c N_{\mathbf{k}}^l - \frac{i}{2} N_c f^{esc} \langle \mathcal{Q}^c \rangle W_{\mathbf{k}}^l.$$

The imaginary part in the last two expressions will turn to zero under contraction with the color charge $\langle \mathcal{Q}^e \rangle$ and as a result the expression in braces in the first term in (9.1) may be cast in the following way:

$$\left\{ \text{tr}(T^e T^s \mathcal{N}_{\mathbf{k}}) \mathcal{T}_{\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{k}}^{(2, A)}(\mathbf{v}) - \text{tr}(T^s T^e \mathcal{N}_{\mathbf{k}}) \mathcal{T}_{\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{k}}^{*(2, A)}(\mathbf{v}) \right\} \langle \mathcal{Q}^e \rangle = 2i N_c \text{Im} \mathcal{T}_{\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{k}}^{(2, A)}(\mathbf{v}) N_{\mathbf{k}}^l \langle \mathcal{Q}^s \rangle. \quad (9.3)$$

Let us further consider more nontrivial traces in the second term in (9.1). For the first trace, taking into account the decomposition (8.4), we find the starting expression for the subsequent analysis

$$\begin{aligned} \text{tr}(T^d T^s \mathcal{N}_{\mathbf{k}} T^e \mathcal{N}_{\mathbf{k}_1}) &= \text{tr}(T^d T^s T^e) N_{\mathbf{k}}^l N_{\mathbf{k}_1}^l + \text{tr}(T^d T^s T^c T^e) \langle \mathcal{Q}^c \rangle W_{\mathbf{k}}^l N_{\mathbf{k}_1}^l \\ &+ \text{tr}(T^d T^s T^e T^c) \langle \mathcal{Q}^c \rangle N_{\mathbf{k}}^l W_{\mathbf{k}_1}^l + \text{tr}(T^d T^s T^c T^e T^c) \langle \mathcal{Q}^c \rangle \langle \mathcal{Q}^c \rangle W_{\mathbf{k}}^l W_{\mathbf{k}_1}^l. \end{aligned} \quad (9.4)$$

For the traces of three and four generators in the adjoint representation of $SU(N_c)$ we make use of the corresponding formulae (C.5) and (C.6) given in Appendix C. If we contract the expressions obtained in this way with $(T^f)^{de} \langle \mathcal{Q}^f \rangle$, as it takes place in the original equation (9.1), then we get, instead of (9.4),

$$\begin{aligned} (T^f)^{de} \langle \mathcal{Q}^f \rangle \text{tr}(T^d T^s \mathcal{N}_{\mathbf{k}} T^e \mathcal{N}_{\mathbf{k}_1}) &= -\frac{1}{2} \langle \mathcal{Q}^s \rangle N_c^2 N_{\mathbf{k}}^l N_{\mathbf{k}_1}^l \\ &- \left\{ \frac{1}{2} i f^{csf} + \frac{1}{4} N_c \left(\text{tr}(T^f T^s T^c) - \text{tr}(T^f D^s D^c) \right) \right\} \langle \mathcal{Q}^f \rangle \langle \mathcal{Q}^c \rangle W_{\mathbf{k}}^l N_{\mathbf{k}_1}^l \\ &+ (T^f)^{de} \text{tr}(T^d T^s T^c T^e T^c) \langle \mathcal{Q}^f \rangle \langle \mathcal{Q}^c \rangle \langle \mathcal{Q}^c \rangle W_{\mathbf{k}}^l W_{\mathbf{k}_1}^l. \end{aligned}$$

For the third trace on the right-hand side of (9.4) we have used the symmetry property (C.9), by virtue of which it turns to zero. Further, from the formulae (C.5) for third-order traces we have $\text{tr}(T^f T^s T^c) \sim \text{tr}(T^f D^s D^c) \sim f^{fsc}$ and therefore the second term proportional the product $W_{\mathbf{k}}^l N_{\mathbf{k}_1}^l$ also turns to zero by virtue of its contraction with the product $\langle \mathcal{Q}^f \rangle \langle \mathcal{Q}^c \rangle$ symmetric on the color indices f and c . We end up here with

$$\begin{aligned} (T^f)^{de} \langle \mathcal{Q}^f \rangle \text{tr}(T^d T^s \mathcal{N}_{\mathbf{k}} T^e \mathcal{N}_{\mathbf{k}_1}) &= -\frac{1}{2} \langle \mathcal{Q}^s \rangle N_c^2 N_{\mathbf{k}}^l N_{\mathbf{k}_1}^l \\ &+ (T^f)^{de} \text{tr}(T^d T^s T^c T^e T^c) \langle \mathcal{Q}^f \rangle \langle \mathcal{Q}^c \rangle \langle \mathcal{Q}^c \rangle W_{\mathbf{k}}^l W_{\mathbf{k}_1}^l. \end{aligned} \quad (9.5)$$

We just need to determine the contribution with the trace of five generators. This can be done directly using the general formula (C.12). The details of the calculations are given in Appendix D. Here, however, we choose another somewhat simpler way, using the fact that this trace is contracted with the matrix $(T^f)^{de}$.

Let us rewrite the contraction as follows:

$$(T^f)^{de} \text{tr}(T^d T^s T^c T^e T^c) = (T^f)^{de} \text{tr}(T^s T^c T^e T^c T^d) \equiv (T^f)^{de} (T^s T^c)^{ab} (T^e T^c T^d)^{ba}.$$

Further, we can write

$$\begin{aligned} & (T^f)^{de} (T^e T^{c'} T^d)^{ba} = \text{tr}(T^f T^b T^{c'} T^a) \\ & = \left(\delta^{fb} \delta^{c'a} + \delta^{fa} \delta^{c'b} + \frac{1}{4} N_c \left[\{D^f, D^{c'}\}^{ba} - d^{f c' \lambda} (D^\lambda)^{ba} \right] \right). \end{aligned}$$

Here, we have used the formula (C.6) for the fourth-order trace. Let us contract the obtained expression with $(T^s T^c)^{ab}$. Finally, we get

$$\begin{aligned} & (T^f)^{de} \text{tr}(T^d T^s T^c T^e T^{c'}) \\ & = \{T^{c'}, T^f\}^{sc} + \frac{1}{4} N_c \left[\text{tr}(T^s T^c \{D^f, D^{c'}\}) - d^{f c' \lambda} \text{tr}(T^s T^c D^\lambda) \right], \end{aligned} \quad (9.6)$$

where in the last term we can immediately put $\text{tr}(T^s T^c D^\lambda) = \frac{1}{2} N_c d^{sc\lambda}$. We write the fourth-order trace on the right-hand side of (9.6) using the representation (C.7) and as a result it is equal to

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{tr}(T^s T^c \{D^f, D^{c'}\}) = \left(\frac{N_c^2 - 4}{N_c^2} \right) (2\delta^{sc} \delta^{f c'} - \delta^{sf} \delta^{c c'} - \delta^{s c'} \delta^{c f}) \\ & + \left(\frac{N_c^2 - 8}{4N_c} \right) (2d^{sc\lambda} d^{f c' \lambda} - d^{s f \lambda} d^{c c' \lambda} - d^{s c' \lambda} d^{c f \lambda}) + \frac{1}{4} N_c (d^{s c' \lambda} d^{c f \lambda} + d^{s f \lambda} d^{c c' \lambda}). \end{aligned}$$

According to (9.5), the expression (9.6) must be contracted with $\langle \mathcal{Q}^f \rangle \langle \mathcal{Q}^c \rangle \langle \mathcal{Q}^{c'} \rangle$. For the first term on the right-hand side of (9.6) we have the trivial equality

$$\{T^{c'}, T^f\}^{sc} \langle \mathcal{Q}^f \rangle \langle \mathcal{Q}^c \rangle \langle \mathcal{Q}^{c'} \rangle = 0.$$

The contraction with the remaining terms in (9.6) gives us

$$\left(\frac{1}{8} N_c^2 - \frac{1}{8} N_c^2 \right) d^{sc\lambda} d^{f c' \lambda} \langle \mathcal{Q}^f \rangle \langle \mathcal{Q}^c \rangle \langle \mathcal{Q}^{c'} \rangle + \frac{1}{4} N_c \left(\frac{N_c^2 - 4}{N_c^2} \right) (2 - 2) \langle \mathcal{Q}^s \rangle \langle \mathcal{Q}^c \rangle \langle \mathcal{Q}^c \rangle \equiv 0.$$

Thus the coefficient before the product $W_{\mathbf{k}} W_{\mathbf{k}_1}$ in (9.5) is exactly zero. We independently verify this rather unexpected result for the special case $N_c = 3$ in Appendix D by directly computing the trace of the product of five matrices T^a .

For the trace $\text{tr}(T^s T^e \mathcal{N}_{\mathbf{k}_1} T^d \mathcal{N}_{\mathbf{k}})$ in the second term in (9.1) we get similar result. In the end, for the expression in parentheses in the second term in (9.1), taking into account Sohotsky's formula (6.6), we obtain finally

$$\begin{aligned} & (T^f)^{de} \langle \mathcal{Q}^f \rangle \left(\frac{\text{tr}(T^s \mathcal{N}_{\mathbf{k}} T^e \mathcal{N}_{\mathbf{k}_1} T^d)}{\Delta\omega_{\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{p}, \mathbf{k}, \mathbf{k}_1} - i0} - \frac{\text{tr}(T^s T^e \mathcal{N}_{\mathbf{k}_1} T^d \mathcal{N}_{\mathbf{k}})}{\Delta\omega_{\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{p}, \mathbf{k}, \mathbf{k}_1} + i0} \right) \\ & = -\frac{1}{2} i N_c^2 N_{\mathbf{k}} N_{\mathbf{k}_1} \langle \mathcal{Q}^s \rangle (2\pi) \delta(\omega_{\mathbf{k}}^l - \omega_{\mathbf{k}_1}^l - \mathbf{v} \cdot (\mathbf{k} - \mathbf{k}_1)). \end{aligned} \quad (9.7)$$

Let us now consider the traces in the last contribution on the right-hand side of the original equation (9.1). Here in the last trace $\text{tr}(T^e T^d \mathcal{N}_{\mathbf{k}} T^s)$ in the expression in parentheses, we see a certain asymmetry in the arrangement of the matrix T^s under the sign of the trace in comparison to the other similar traces. Therefore, as a first step, by taking into account the decomposition (8.4), we transform this trace as follows:

$$\text{tr}(T^e T^d \mathcal{N}_{\mathbf{k}} T^s) = \text{tr}(T^e T^d T^s \mathcal{N}_{\mathbf{k}}) + \text{tr}(T^e T^d [\mathcal{N}_{\mathbf{k}}, T^s])$$

$$= \text{tr}(T^e T^d T^s \mathcal{N}_{\mathbf{k}}) + i f^{cs\lambda} \text{tr}(T^e T^d T^\lambda) \langle \mathcal{Q}^c \rangle W_{\mathbf{k}}^l = \text{tr}(T^e T^d T^s \mathcal{N}_{\mathbf{k}}) - \frac{1}{2} f^{cs\lambda} f^{ed\lambda} N_c \langle \mathcal{Q}^c \rangle W_{\mathbf{k}}^l.$$

The last term here contains the antisymmetric structural constant $f^{ed\lambda}$ and so it can be discarded by virtue of the relation (8.8). Given this fact and using Sohotsky's formula (6.6), the last line in equation (9.1) can be rewritten as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{\text{tr}(T^d T^s T^e \mathcal{N}_{\mathbf{k}_1}) - \text{tr}(T^e T^d T^s \mathcal{N}_{\mathbf{k}})}{\Delta\omega_{\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{p}, \mathbf{k}, \mathbf{k}_1} - i0} - \frac{\text{tr}(T^d T^s T^e \mathcal{N}_{\mathbf{k}_1}) - \text{tr}(T^e T^d T^s \mathcal{N}_{\mathbf{k}})}{\Delta\omega_{\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{p}, \mathbf{k}, \mathbf{k}_1} + i0} \\ & = i \left[\text{tr}(T^d T^s T^e \mathcal{N}_{\mathbf{k}_1}) - \text{tr}(T^e T^d T^s \mathcal{N}_{\mathbf{k}}) \right] (2\pi) \delta(\omega_{\mathbf{k}}^l - \omega_{\mathbf{k}_1}^l - \mathbf{v} \cdot (\mathbf{k} - \mathbf{k}_1)). \end{aligned} \quad (9.8)$$

Then, considering the color decomposition (8.4), we transform the second trace on the right-hand side (9.8) as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{tr}(T^e T^d T^s \mathcal{N}_{\mathbf{k}}) \equiv \text{tr}(T^e T^s T^d \mathcal{N}_{\mathbf{k}}) + \text{tr}(T^e [T^d, T^s] \mathcal{N}_{\mathbf{k}}) \\ & = \text{tr}(T^e T^s T^d \mathcal{N}_{\mathbf{k}}) + \frac{1}{2} N_c (T^d T^e)^{sc} \langle \mathcal{Q}^c \rangle W_{\mathbf{k}}^l \sim \text{tr}(T^e T^s T^d \mathcal{N}_{\mathbf{k}}) + \frac{1}{4} N_c \{T^d, T^e\}^{sc} \langle \mathcal{Q}^c \rangle W_{\mathbf{k}}^l. \end{aligned}$$

In the final step here, we have taken into account that in the equation (9.1) this trace is contracted with the factor Z^{de} symmetric in indices d and e as defined by (8.7). The advantage of choosing a trace with this arrangement of the matrices T^d and T^e is the automatic symmetry of the fourth-order traces (see below) over the permutation of the indices d and e , as is the case for the factor Z^{de} . Taking into account the relation above, the difference of traces on the right-hand side of (9.8) takes then the following form

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{tr}(T^d T^s T^e \mathcal{N}_{\mathbf{k}_1}) - \text{tr}(T^e T^d T^s \mathcal{N}_{\mathbf{k}}) \\ & = \text{tr}(T^d T^s T^e \mathcal{N}_{\mathbf{k}_1}) - \text{tr}(T^e T^s T^d \mathcal{N}_{\mathbf{k}}) - \frac{1}{4} N_c \{T^d, T^e\}^{sc} \langle \mathcal{Q}^c \rangle W_{\mathbf{k}}^l, \end{aligned} \quad (9.9)$$

where, in turn, taking into account the decomposition (8.4) and the formulae for the traces of the third and fourth orders (C.5) and (C.6), we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{tr}(T^d T^s T^e \mathcal{N}_{\mathbf{k}_1}) - \text{tr}(T^e T^s T^d \mathcal{N}_{\mathbf{k}}) \\ & = \text{tr}(T^d T^s T^e) N_{\mathbf{k}_1}^l - \text{tr}(T^e T^s T^d) N_{\mathbf{k}}^l + \text{tr}(T^d T^s T^e T^c) \langle \mathcal{Q}^c \rangle W_{\mathbf{k}_1}^l - \text{tr}(T^e T^s T^d T^c) \langle \mathcal{Q}^c \rangle W_{\mathbf{k}}^l \\ & = \frac{i}{2} N_c f^{eds} (N_{\mathbf{k}_1}^l + N_{\mathbf{k}}^l) - \left(\delta^{es} \delta^{dc} + \delta^{ec} \delta^{ds} + \frac{1}{4} N_c \left[\{D^e, D^d\}^{sc} - d^{ed\lambda} (D^\lambda)^{sc} \right] \right) \langle \mathcal{Q}^c \rangle (W_{\mathbf{k}}^l - W_{\mathbf{k}_1}^l). \end{aligned} \quad (9.10)$$

Here, the first (imaginary) term on the right-hand side containing the sum of the colorless part of the plasmon number density $N_{\mathbf{k}}^l$ turns to zero when contracted with the factor Z^{de} . The second term when using a different representation of the fourth-order trace of the matrices T^a , Eq. (C.11), can be represented in a slightly different form, simpler for further transformations

$$- \left(\delta^{ed} \delta^{sc} + \frac{1}{2} (\delta^{es} \delta^{dc} + \delta^{ec} \delta^{ds}) - \frac{1}{4} N_c \left[\{T^e, T^d\}^{sc} - d^{ed\lambda} (D^\lambda)^{sc} \right] \right) \langle \mathcal{Q}^c \rangle (W_{\mathbf{k}}^l - W_{\mathbf{k}_1}^l).$$

In view of all the expressions (9.2), (9.3), (9.7), (9.8), (9.9) and (9.10) obtained above, the kinetic equation (9.1) for the color part $W_{\mathbf{k}}^l$ of the plasmon number density takes the following form:

$$N_c \frac{\partial (\langle \mathcal{Q}^s \rangle W_{\mathbf{k}}^l)}{\partial t} = 2N_c \left(\int n_{\mathbf{p}} \mathbf{p}^2 d|\mathbf{p}| \right) \int d\Omega_{\mathbf{v}} \text{Im} \mathcal{T}_{\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{k}}^{(2, \mathcal{A})}(\mathbf{v}) N_{\mathbf{k}}^l \langle \mathcal{Q}^s \rangle$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& + \frac{1}{2} N_c^2 \left(\int n_{\mathbf{p}} \mathbf{p}^2 d|\mathbf{p}| \right) \int d\Omega_{\mathbf{v}} \int d\mathbf{k}_1 |\mathcal{T}_{\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{k}_1}^{(2, \mathcal{A})}(\mathbf{v})|^2 N_{\mathbf{k}}^l N_{\mathbf{k}_1}^l \langle \mathcal{Q}^s \rangle (2\pi) \delta(\omega_{\mathbf{k}}^l - \omega_{\mathbf{k}_1}^l - \mathbf{v} \cdot (\mathbf{k} - \mathbf{k}_1)) \\
& \quad - \left(\int n_{\mathbf{p}}^2 \mathbf{p}^2 d|\mathbf{p}| \right) \int d\Omega_{\mathbf{v}} \int d\mathbf{k}_1 |\mathcal{T}_{\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{k}_1}^{(2, \mathcal{A})}(\mathbf{v})|^2 [(t^d)^{j_1 i_2} \langle \theta^{*i_1} \theta^{i_2} \rangle (t^e)^{i_1 j_2} \langle \theta^{*j_1} \theta^{j_2} \rangle] \\
& \quad \times \left[\left(\delta^{ed} \delta^{sc} + \frac{1}{2} (\delta^{es} \delta^{dc} + \delta^{ec} \delta^{ds}) - \frac{1}{4} N_c [\{T^e, T^d\}^{sc} - d^{ed\lambda} (D^\lambda)^{sc}] \right) \langle \mathcal{Q}^c \rangle (W_{\mathbf{k}}^l - W_{\mathbf{k}_1}^l) \right. \\
& \quad \quad \left. + \frac{1}{4} N_c \{T^d, T^e\}^{sc} \langle \mathcal{Q}^c \rangle W_{\mathbf{k}}^l \right] (2\pi) \delta(\omega_{\mathbf{k}}^l - \omega_{\mathbf{k}_1}^l - \mathbf{v} \cdot (\mathbf{k} - \mathbf{k}_1)).
\end{aligned}$$

We can rewrite this equation in a more symmetric way by making the following substitution in the last line

$$W_{\mathbf{k}}^l \rightarrow \frac{1}{2} (W_{\mathbf{k}}^l + W_{\mathbf{k}_1}^l) + \frac{1}{2} (W_{\mathbf{k}}^l - W_{\mathbf{k}_1}^l).$$

In this case we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& N_c \frac{\partial (\langle \mathcal{Q}^s \rangle W_{\mathbf{k}}^l)}{\partial t} = 2N_c \left(\int n_{\mathbf{p}} \mathbf{p}^2 d|\mathbf{p}| \right) \int d\Omega_{\mathbf{v}} \text{Im} \mathcal{T}_{\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{k}}^{(2, \mathcal{A})}(\mathbf{v}) N_{\mathbf{k}}^l \langle \mathcal{Q}^s \rangle \quad (9.11) \\
& + \frac{1}{2} N_c^2 \left(\int n_{\mathbf{p}} \mathbf{p}^2 d|\mathbf{p}| \right) \int d\Omega_{\mathbf{v}} \int d\mathbf{k}_1 |\mathcal{T}_{\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{k}_1}^{(2, \mathcal{A})}(\mathbf{v})|^2 N_{\mathbf{k}}^l N_{\mathbf{k}_1}^l \langle \mathcal{Q}^s \rangle (2\pi) \delta(\omega_{\mathbf{k}}^l - \omega_{\mathbf{k}_1}^l - \mathbf{v} \cdot (\mathbf{k} - \mathbf{k}_1)) \\
& \quad - \left(\int n_{\mathbf{p}}^2 \mathbf{p}^2 d|\mathbf{p}| \right) \int d\Omega_{\mathbf{v}} \int d\mathbf{k}_1 |\mathcal{T}_{\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{k}_1}^{(2, \mathcal{A})}(\mathbf{v})|^2 [(t^d)^{j_1 i_2} \langle \theta^{*i_1} \theta^{i_2} \rangle (t^e)^{i_1 j_2} \langle \theta^{*j_1} \theta^{j_2} \rangle] \\
& \quad \times \left[\left\{ \delta^{ed} \delta^{sc} + \frac{1}{2} (\delta^{es} \delta^{dc} + \delta^{ec} \delta^{ds}) - \frac{1}{8} N_c [\{T^e, T^d\}^{sc} - 2d^{ed\lambda} (D^\lambda)^{sc}] \right\} \langle \mathcal{Q}^c \rangle (W_{\mathbf{k}}^l - W_{\mathbf{k}_1}^l) \right. \\
& \quad \quad \left. + \frac{1}{8} N_c \{T^d, T^e\}^{sc} \langle \mathcal{Q}^c \rangle (W_{\mathbf{k}}^l + W_{\mathbf{k}_1}^l) \right] (2\pi) \delta(\omega_{\mathbf{k}}^l - \omega_{\mathbf{k}_1}^l - \mathbf{v} \cdot (\mathbf{k} - \mathbf{k}_1)).
\end{aligned}$$

Below we will show that the third term on the right-hand side of (9.11) containing the color structure Z^{de} , Eq. (8.6), cannot be reduced to a function only of the averaged classical colorless and color charges $\langle \mathcal{Q} \rangle$ and $\langle \mathcal{Q}^s \rangle$ for an arbitrary value N_c . In addition, there is evident asymmetry with respect to the functions $W_{\mathbf{k}}^l$ and $W_{\mathbf{k}_1}^l$.

We consider separately the terms in braces in the next to the last line in (9.11), when contracting them with Z^{de} . For the first term, allowing for (8.11) we have

$$\delta^{ed} \delta^{sc} Z^{de} = \delta^{sc} \left[\left(\frac{N_c^2 - 4}{2N_c^2} \right) \langle \mathcal{Q} \rangle^2 - \frac{1}{N_c} \langle \mathcal{Q}^e \rangle \langle \mathcal{Q}^e \rangle \right].$$

Then using the relation (B.8) from Appendix B, we find for the third term

$$\{T^e, T^d\}^{sc} Z^{de} = \frac{1}{N_c} \delta^{sc} \langle \mathcal{Q} \rangle^2 + (D^\lambda)^{sc} \langle \mathcal{Q}^\lambda \rangle \langle \mathcal{Q} \rangle - 2 \langle \mathcal{Q}^s \rangle \langle \mathcal{Q}^c \rangle. \quad (9.12)$$

In the end, for the last term, by virtue of the relation (B.6b), we have

$$d^{ed\lambda} (D^\lambda)^{sc} Z^{de} = \left(\frac{N_c^2 - 4}{N_c^2} \right) (D^\lambda)^{sc} \langle \mathcal{Q}^\lambda \rangle \langle \mathcal{Q} \rangle - \frac{2}{N_c} (D^\lambda)^{sc} d^{ed\lambda} \langle \mathcal{Q}^e \rangle \langle \mathcal{Q}^d \rangle.$$

Collecting all the calculations above, we finally obtain for the expression in braces in (9.11)

$$\left\{ \delta^{ed} \delta^{sc} + \frac{1}{2} (\delta^{es} \delta^{dc} + \delta^{ec} \delta^{ds}) - \frac{1}{8} N_c [\{T^e, T^d\}^{sc} - 2d^{ed\lambda} (D^\lambda)^{sc}] \right\} \quad (9.13)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& \times [(t^d)^{j_1 i_2} \langle \theta^{*i_1} \theta^{i_2} \rangle (t^e)^{i_1 j_2} \langle \theta^{*j_1} \theta^{j_2} \rangle] \\
= & \delta^{sc} \left\{ \left[\left(\frac{N_c^2 - 4}{2N_c^2} \right) - \frac{1}{8} \right] \langle \mathcal{Q} \rangle^2 - \frac{1}{N_c} \langle \mathcal{Q}^e \rangle \langle \mathcal{Q}^e \rangle \right\} + \left(\frac{N_c^2 - 8}{8N_c} \right) (D^\lambda)^{sc} \langle \mathcal{Q}^\lambda \rangle \langle \mathcal{Q} \rangle + \frac{1}{4} N_c \langle \mathcal{Q}^s \rangle \langle \mathcal{Q}^c \rangle \\
& - \frac{1}{2} (D^\lambda)^{sc} d^{ed\lambda} \langle \mathcal{Q}^e \rangle \langle \mathcal{Q}^d \rangle + \frac{1}{2} \left[(t^s)^{i_1 j_2} (t^c)^{j_1 i_2} + (t^c)^{i_1 j_2} (t^s)^{j_1 i_2} \right] \langle \theta^{*i_1} \theta^{i_2} \rangle \langle \theta^{*j_1} \theta^{j_2} \rangle.
\end{aligned}$$

We see that here there remains only one “twisted” term associated with the second color structure in curly brackets (9.11), namely with

$$\frac{1}{2} (\delta^{es} \delta^{dc} + \delta^{ec} \delta^{ds}).$$

It generally does not allow to reduce the expression (9.13) to a combination of the colorless $\langle \mathcal{Q} \rangle$ and color $\langle \mathcal{Q}^s \rangle$ charges. This can be done only for the special case $N_c = 3$. Here we can use the relation (B.9) for the summand in the last line (9.13), which gives us

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left[(t^s)^{i_1 j_2} (t^c)^{j_1 i_2} + (t^c)^{i_1 j_2} (t^s)^{j_1 i_2} \right] \langle \theta^{*i_1} \theta^{i_2} \rangle \langle \theta^{*j_1} \theta^{j_2} \rangle = 2 \langle \mathcal{Q}^s \rangle \langle \mathcal{Q}^c \rangle \\
& + \delta^{sc} \left\{ \frac{1}{9} \langle \mathcal{Q} \rangle^2 - \frac{1}{3} \langle \mathcal{Q}^e \rangle \langle \mathcal{Q}^e \rangle \right\} + \frac{2}{3} (D^\lambda)^{sc} \langle \mathcal{Q}^\lambda \rangle \langle \mathcal{Q} \rangle - 2 (D^\lambda)^{sc} d^{ed\lambda} \langle \mathcal{Q}^e \rangle \langle \mathcal{Q}^d \rangle.
\end{aligned}$$

Considering this relation for the given particular value of N_c we find instead of (9.13)

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left(\delta^{ed} \delta^{sc} + \frac{1}{2} (\delta^{es} \delta^{dc} + \delta^{ec} \delta^{ds}) - \frac{1}{8} N_c \left[\{T^e, T^d\}^{sc} - 2d^{ed\lambda} (D^\lambda)^{sc} \right] \right) \\
& \times [(t^d)^{j_1 i_2} \langle \theta^{*i_1} \theta^{i_2} \rangle (t^e)^{i_1 j_2} \langle \theta^{*j_1} \theta^{j_2} \rangle] \Big|_{N_c=3} \\
= & \delta^{sc} \left\{ \left(\frac{1}{3} - \frac{1}{8} \right) \langle \mathcal{Q} \rangle^2 - \frac{1}{2} \langle \mathcal{Q}^e \rangle \langle \mathcal{Q}^e \rangle \right\} + \frac{3}{8} (D^\lambda)^{sc} \langle \mathcal{Q}^\lambda \rangle \langle \mathcal{Q} \rangle + \frac{7}{4} \langle \mathcal{Q}^s \rangle \langle \mathcal{Q}^c \rangle \\
& - \frac{3}{2} (D^\lambda)^{sc} d^{ed\lambda} \langle \mathcal{Q}^e \rangle \langle \mathcal{Q}^d \rangle.
\end{aligned} \tag{9.14}$$

Let us substitute (9.14) and (9.12) into the right-hand side of the kinetic equation (9.11). Reducing the left- and right-hand sides by the factor $N_c = 3$, we find here finally for this particular value

$$\begin{aligned}
\langle \mathcal{Q}^s \rangle \frac{\partial W_{\mathbf{k}}^l}{\partial t} + W_{\mathbf{k}}^l \frac{d \langle \mathcal{Q}^s \rangle}{dt} = & 2 \left(\int n_{\mathbf{p}} \mathbf{p}^2 d|\mathbf{p}| \right) \int d\Omega_{\mathbf{v}} \text{Im} \mathcal{T}_{\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{k}}^{(2, \mathcal{A})}(\mathbf{v}) N_{\mathbf{k}}^l \langle \mathcal{Q}^s \rangle \\
& + \frac{3}{2} \left(\int n_{\mathbf{p}} \mathbf{p}^2 d|\mathbf{p}| \right) \int d\Omega_{\mathbf{v}} \int d\mathbf{k}_1 |\mathcal{T}_{\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{k}_1}^{(2, \mathcal{A})}(\mathbf{v})|^2 N_{\mathbf{k}}^l N_{\mathbf{k}_1}^l \langle \mathcal{Q}^s \rangle \\
& \times (2\pi) \delta(\omega_{\mathbf{k}}^l - \omega_{\mathbf{k}_1}^l - \mathbf{v} \cdot (\mathbf{k} - \mathbf{k}_1)) \\
& - \frac{1}{3} \left(\int n_{\mathbf{p}}^2 \mathbf{p}^2 d|\mathbf{p}| \right) \int d\Omega_{\mathbf{v}} \int d\mathbf{k}_1 |\mathcal{T}_{\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{k}_1}^{(2, \mathcal{A})}(\mathbf{v})|^2 \left[\delta^{sc} \left(\frac{5}{24} \langle \mathcal{Q} \rangle^2 - \frac{1}{2} \langle \mathcal{Q}^e \rangle \langle \mathcal{Q}^e \rangle \right) \right. \\
& + \frac{3}{8} (D^\lambda)^{sc} \langle \mathcal{Q}^\lambda \rangle \langle \mathcal{Q} \rangle + \frac{7}{4} \langle \mathcal{Q}^s \rangle \langle \mathcal{Q}^c \rangle - \frac{3}{2} (D^\lambda)^{sc} d^{ed\lambda} \langle \mathcal{Q}^e \rangle \langle \mathcal{Q}^d \rangle \left. \right] \langle \mathcal{Q}^c \rangle (W_{\mathbf{k}}^l - W_{\mathbf{k}_1}^l) \\
& + \frac{3}{8} \left[\frac{1}{3} \delta^{sc} \langle \mathcal{Q} \rangle^2 + (D^\lambda)^{sc} \langle \mathcal{Q}^\lambda \rangle \langle \mathcal{Q} \rangle - 2 \langle \mathcal{Q}^s \rangle \langle \mathcal{Q}^c \rangle \right] \langle \mathcal{Q}^c \rangle (W_{\mathbf{k}}^l + W_{\mathbf{k}_1}^l) \\
& \times (2\pi) \delta(\omega_{\mathbf{k}}^l - \omega_{\mathbf{k}_1}^l - \mathbf{v} \cdot (\mathbf{k} - \mathbf{k}_1)).
\end{aligned} \tag{9.15}$$

10 Equation for the averaged colorless charge $\langle Q \rangle$

In this and next sections, we analyze the kinetic equation for the hard particle number density $\mathbf{n}_{\mathbf{p}}^{i'i}$ defined by (5.8) in the approximation $|\mathbf{p}|, |\mathbf{p}_1| \gg |\mathbf{k}_1|, |\mathbf{k}_2|$. Let us write out the original equation here once more

$$\delta(\mathbf{p} - \mathbf{p}') \frac{\partial \mathbf{n}_{\mathbf{p}}^{i'i}}{\partial t} = -i \int d\mathbf{p}_1 d\mathbf{k}_1 d\mathbf{k}_2$$

$$\times \left\{ \mathcal{J}_{\mathbf{p}', \mathbf{p}_1, \mathbf{k}_1, \mathbf{k}_2}^{(2) i' i_1 a_1 a_2} I_{\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{p}_1, \mathbf{k}_1, \mathbf{k}_2}^{i i_1 a_1 a_2} \delta(\mathbf{p}' + \mathbf{k}_1 - \mathbf{p}_1 - \mathbf{k}_2) - \mathcal{J}_{\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{p}_1, \mathbf{k}_1, \mathbf{k}_2}^{*(2) i i_1 a_1 a_2} I_{\mathbf{p}_1, \mathbf{p}', \mathbf{k}_2, \mathbf{k}_1}^{i_1 i' a_2 a_1} \delta(\mathbf{p} + \mathbf{k}_1 - \mathbf{p}_1 - \mathbf{k}_2) \right\}.$$

As the fourth-order correlation function $I_{\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{p}_1, \mathbf{k}_1, \mathbf{k}_2}^{i i_1 a_1 a_2}$ we take the expression (6.1). Following the same line of the reasoning as in section 6, in this case we arrive at the following matrix kinetic equation supplementing Eq. (8.2):

$$\frac{\partial \mathbf{n}_{\mathbf{p}}^{i'i}}{\partial t} = -i \int d\mathbf{k} \text{tr}(\mathcal{N}_{\mathbf{k}} T^d) \left\{ \mathcal{J}_{\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{p}, \mathbf{k}, \mathbf{k}}^{(2, \mathcal{A})} (t^d \mathbf{n}_{\mathbf{p}})^{i'i} - \mathcal{J}_{\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{p}, \mathbf{k}, \mathbf{k}}^{*(2, \mathcal{A})} (\mathbf{n}_{\mathbf{p}} t^d)^{i'i} \right\} \quad (10.1)$$

$$+ i \int d\mathbf{p}_1 d\mathbf{k}_1 d\mathbf{k}_2 |\mathcal{J}_{\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{p}_1, \mathbf{k}_1, \mathbf{k}_2}^{(2, \mathcal{A})}|^2 (2\pi)^3 \delta(\mathbf{p} - \mathbf{p}_1 + \mathbf{k}_1 - \mathbf{k}_2)$$

$$\times \left\{ \frac{1}{\Delta\omega_{\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{p}_1, \mathbf{k}_1, \mathbf{k}_2} - i0} \left(\left[(t^d \mathbf{n}_{\mathbf{p}_1} t^e)^{i'i} - (t^d t^e \mathbf{n}_{\mathbf{p}})^{i'i} \right] \text{tr}(T^d \mathcal{N}_{\mathbf{k}_1} T^e \mathcal{N}_{\mathbf{k}_2}) \right. \right.$$

$$\left. - (t^d \mathbf{n}_{\mathbf{p}_1} t^e \mathbf{n}_{\mathbf{p}})^{i'i} \left[\text{tr}(T^d T^e \mathcal{N}_{\mathbf{k}_2}) - \text{tr}(T^d \mathcal{N}_{\mathbf{k}_1} T^e) \right] \right)$$

$$- \frac{1}{\Delta\omega_{\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{p}_1, \mathbf{k}_1, \mathbf{k}_2} + i0} \left(\left[(t^e \mathbf{n}_{\mathbf{p}_1} t^d)^{i'i} - (\mathbf{n}_{\mathbf{p}} t^e t^d)^{i'i} \right] \text{tr}(T^d \mathcal{N}_{\mathbf{k}_2} T^e \mathcal{N}_{\mathbf{k}_1}) \right.$$

$$\left. - (\mathbf{n}_{\mathbf{p}} t^e \mathbf{n}_{\mathbf{p}_1} t^d)^{i'i} \left[\text{tr}(\mathcal{N}_{\mathbf{k}_2} T^e T^d) - \text{tr}(T^e \mathcal{N}_{\mathbf{k}_1} T^d) \right] \right) \left. \right\}.$$

Let us consider an approximation of this equation. The first step is to integrate over \mathbf{p}_1 in the second term on the right-hand side of (10.1). This gives us $\mathbf{p}_1 = \mathbf{p} + \Delta\mathbf{k}$, where $\Delta\mathbf{k} \equiv \mathbf{k}_1 - \mathbf{k}_2$. We are interested in the approximation $|\mathbf{p}| \gg |\mathbf{k}_1|, |\mathbf{k}_2|$. We compute the trace of the left- and right-hand sides over color indices, i.e. we set $i = i'$ and sum over i . Taking into account that

$$\text{tr}(\mathbf{n}_{\mathbf{p}}) = \mathbf{n}_{\mathbf{p}}^{ii} = n_{\mathbf{p}} \langle \theta^{*i} \theta^i \rangle \equiv n_{\mathbf{p}} \langle Q \rangle,$$

we find instead of (10.1)

$$n_{\mathbf{p}} \frac{d\langle Q \rangle}{dt} = -i \int d\mathbf{k} \text{tr}(\mathcal{N}_{\mathbf{k}} T^d) \text{tr}(t^d \mathbf{n}_{\mathbf{p}}) \left\{ \mathcal{J}_{\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{k}}^{(2, \mathcal{A})}(\mathbf{v}) - \mathcal{J}_{\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{k}}^{*(2, \mathcal{A})}(\mathbf{v}) \right\} \quad (10.2)$$

$$+ i \int d\mathbf{k}_1 d\mathbf{k}_2 |\mathcal{J}_{\mathbf{k}_1, \mathbf{k}_2}^{(2, \mathcal{A})}(\mathbf{v})|^2 \left\{ \frac{1}{\Delta\omega_{\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{p}, \mathbf{k}_1, \mathbf{k}_2} - i0} \right.$$

$$\times \left(\text{tr}([t^e, t^d] \mathbf{n}_{\mathbf{p}}) \text{tr}(T^d \mathcal{N}_{\mathbf{k}_1} T^e \mathcal{N}_{\mathbf{k}_2}) - \text{tr}(t^d \mathbf{n}_{\mathbf{p}} t^e \mathbf{n}_{\mathbf{p}}) \left[\text{tr}(T^d T^e \mathcal{N}_{\mathbf{k}_2}) - \text{tr}(T^e T^d \mathcal{N}_{\mathbf{k}_1}) \right] \right)$$

$$\left. - \frac{1}{\Delta\omega_{\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{p}, \mathbf{k}_1, \mathbf{k}_2} + i0} \right.$$

$$\times \left(\text{tr}([t^e, t^d] \mathbf{n}_{\mathbf{p}}) \text{tr}(T^d \mathcal{N}_{\mathbf{k}_1} T^e \mathcal{N}_{\mathbf{k}_2}) - \text{tr}(t^d \mathbf{n}_{\mathbf{p}} t^e \mathbf{n}_{\mathbf{p}}) \left[\text{tr}(T^d T^e \mathcal{N}_{\mathbf{k}_2}) - \text{tr}(T^e T^d \mathcal{N}_{\mathbf{k}_1}) \right] \right) \Bigg\}.$$

Within the approximations used in this paper, we have assumed that the function $n_{\mathbf{p}}$ is independent of time.

We analyze the first term on the right-hand side of Eq. (10.2). Considering the traces

$$\text{tr}(t^d \mathbf{n}_{\mathbf{p}}) = (t^d)^{ii'} \mathbf{n}_{\mathbf{p}}^{i'i} = n_{\mathbf{p}} (t^d)^{ii'} \langle \theta^{*i} \theta^{i'} \rangle \equiv n_{\mathbf{p}} \langle \mathcal{Q}^d \rangle \quad (10.3)$$

and

$$\text{tr}(\mathcal{N}_{\mathbf{k}} T^d) = N_c \langle \mathcal{Q}^d \rangle W_{\mathbf{k}}^l,$$

it is not difficult to see that the integrand in the first term on the right-hand side of (10.2) can be represented in the following form:

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{tr}(\mathcal{N}_{\mathbf{k}} T^d) \text{tr}(t^d \mathbf{n}_{\mathbf{p}}) \left\{ \mathcal{J}_{\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{k}}^{(2, \mathcal{A})}(\mathbf{v}) - \mathcal{J}_{\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{k}}^{*(2, \mathcal{A})}(\mathbf{v}) \right\} \\ & = 2i n_{\mathbf{p}} N_c \text{Im} \mathcal{J}_{\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{k}}^{(2, \mathcal{A})}(\mathbf{v}) W_{\mathbf{k}}^l \langle \mathcal{Q}^d \rangle \langle \mathcal{Q}^d \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

Let us proceed to analyze the traces in the second term on the right-hand side of (10.2). Given that

$$\text{tr}([t^e, t^d] \mathbf{n}_{\mathbf{p}}) = i f^{ed\kappa} \langle \mathcal{Q}^\kappa \rangle n_{\mathbf{p}},$$

we trivially find

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{tr}([t^e, t^d] \mathbf{n}_{\mathbf{p}}) \text{tr}(T^d \mathcal{N}_{\mathbf{k}_1} T^e \mathcal{N}_{\mathbf{k}_2}) \\ & = i n_{\mathbf{p}} f^{ed\kappa} \langle \mathcal{Q}^\kappa \rangle \left\{ \delta^{ed} N_c N_{\mathbf{k}_1} N_{\mathbf{k}_2} + \frac{i}{2} N_c f^{ced} \langle \mathcal{Q}^c \rangle (W_{\mathbf{k}_1} N_{\mathbf{k}_2} - N_{\mathbf{k}_1} W_{\mathbf{k}_2}) \right. \\ & + \left. \left(\delta^{ce} \delta^{\rho d} + \delta^{cd} \delta^{e\rho} + \frac{1}{4} N_c \left[\{D^c, D^\rho\}^{ed} - d^{c\rho\lambda} (D^\lambda)^{ed} \right] \right) \langle \mathcal{Q}^c \rangle \langle \mathcal{Q}^\rho \rangle W_{\mathbf{k}_1} W_{\mathbf{k}_2} \right\} \\ & = -\frac{1}{2} n_{\mathbf{p}} N_c^2 (W_{\mathbf{k}_1} N_{\mathbf{k}_2} - N_{\mathbf{k}_1} W_{\mathbf{k}_2}) \langle \mathcal{Q}^e \rangle \langle \mathcal{Q}^e \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

Here, we have used the representation (8.4) for the matrix function $\mathcal{N}_{\mathbf{k}}$ and the formulae for traces (C.4)–(C.6).

Let us consider the other trace in the second term in (10.2), which differ in color structure. By virtue of the decomposition (8.4) and the traces (C.4) and (C.5), it can be represented as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{tr}(t^d \mathbf{n}_{\mathbf{p}} t^e \mathbf{n}_{\mathbf{p}}) \left[\text{tr}(T^d T^e \mathcal{N}_{\mathbf{k}_2}) - \text{tr}(T^e T^d \mathcal{N}_{\mathbf{k}_1}) \right] \quad (10.4) \\ & = \text{tr}(t^d \mathbf{n}_{\mathbf{p}} t^e \mathbf{n}_{\mathbf{p}}) \left[\delta^{de} N_c (N_{\mathbf{k}_2} - N_{\mathbf{k}_1}) + \frac{1}{2} i N_c f^{dec} (W_{\mathbf{k}_2} + W_{\mathbf{k}_1}) \langle \mathcal{Q}^c \rangle \right]. \end{aligned}$$

We examine the contribution proportional to the unit color matrix δ^{de} . Taking into account the relation (B.5), we have the following chain of transformations

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{tr}(t^e \mathbf{n}_{\mathbf{p}} t^e \mathbf{n}_{\mathbf{p}}) = \frac{1}{2} \text{tr}(\mathbf{n}_{\mathbf{p}}) \text{tr}(\mathbf{n}_{\mathbf{p}}) - \frac{1}{2N_c} \text{tr}(\mathbf{n}_{\mathbf{p}}^2) \quad (10.5) \\ & = \frac{1}{2} (n_{\mathbf{p}})^2 \left\{ \langle \mathcal{Q} \rangle^2 - \frac{1}{N_c} \delta^{i_1 j_2} \delta^{j_1 i_2} \langle \theta^{*i_1} \theta^{i_2} \rangle \langle \theta^{*j_1} \theta^{j_2} \rangle \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Further, for the color factor $\delta^{j_1 i_2} \delta^{i_1 j_2}$ in the second term in (10.5) we make use of identity (B.4). Considering this identity, we find instead of (10.5)

$$\text{tr}(t^e \mathbf{n}_{\mathbf{p}} t^e \mathbf{n}_{\mathbf{p}}) = \frac{1}{2} (n_{\mathbf{p}})^2 \left\{ \left(\frac{N_c^2 - 1}{N_c^2} \right) \langle \mathcal{Q} \rangle^2 - \frac{2}{N_c} \langle \mathcal{Q}^e \rangle \langle \mathcal{Q}^e \rangle \right\}.$$

The term in (10.4) with the antisymmetric structure constants f^{dec} will give us zero contribution due to the symmetry of the trace $\text{tr}(t^d \mathbf{n}_{\mathbf{p}} t^e \mathbf{n}_{\mathbf{p}})$ with respect to the permutation of indices d and e . Thus we finally obtain for (10.4)

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{tr}(t^d \mathbf{n}_{\mathbf{p}} t^e \mathbf{n}_{\mathbf{p}}) \left[\text{tr}(T^d T^e \mathcal{N}_{\mathbf{k}_2}) - \text{tr}(T^e T^d \mathcal{N}_{\mathbf{k}_1}) \right] \\ &= \frac{1}{2} (n_{\mathbf{p}})^2 N_c \left\{ \left(\frac{N_c^2 - 1}{N_c^2} \right) \langle \mathcal{Q} \rangle^2 - \frac{2}{N_c} \langle \mathcal{Q}^e \rangle \langle \mathcal{Q}^e \rangle \right\} (N_{\mathbf{k}_2} - N_{\mathbf{k}_1}). \end{aligned}$$

Taking into account all the above calculations, Sohotsky's formula (6.6) and reducing the left and right-hand sides by the common multiplier $n_{\mathbf{p}}$, we find instead of (10.2) the following equation for the averaged colorless charge $\langle \mathcal{Q} \rangle$:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d\langle \mathcal{Q} \rangle}{dt} &= 2N_c \mathbf{q}_2(t) \int d\mathbf{k} \text{Im} \mathcal{T}_{\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{k}}^{(2, \mathcal{A})}(\mathbf{v}) W_{\mathbf{k}}^l \quad (10.6) \\ &+ \frac{1}{2} N_c^2 \mathbf{q}_2(t) \int d\mathbf{k}_1 d\mathbf{k}_2 |\mathcal{T}_{\mathbf{k}_1, \mathbf{k}_2}^{(2, \mathcal{A})}(\mathbf{v})|^2 (W_{\mathbf{k}_1} N_{\mathbf{k}_2} - N_{\mathbf{k}_1} W_{\mathbf{k}_2}) (2\pi) \delta(\omega_{\mathbf{k}_1}^l - \omega_{\mathbf{k}_2}^l - \mathbf{v} \cdot (\mathbf{k}_1 - \mathbf{k}_2)) \\ &- n_{\mathbf{p}} \int d\mathbf{k}_1 d\mathbf{k}_2 |\mathcal{T}_{\mathbf{k}_1, \mathbf{k}_2}^{(2, \mathcal{A})}(\mathbf{v})|^2 \left\{ \left(\frac{N_c^2 - 1}{2N_c} \right) \langle \mathcal{Q} \rangle^2 - \mathbf{q}_2(t) \right\} (N_{\mathbf{k}_1} - N_{\mathbf{k}_2}) \\ &\quad \times (2\pi) \delta(\omega_{\mathbf{k}_1}^l - \omega_{\mathbf{k}_2}^l - \mathbf{v} \cdot (\mathbf{k}_1 - \mathbf{k}_2)). \end{aligned}$$

Here, we have introduced the shorthand notation for the colorless quadratic combination of the averaged color charge

$$\mathbf{q}_2(t) \equiv \langle \mathcal{Q}^e \rangle \langle \mathcal{Q}^e \rangle. \quad (10.7)$$

Let us analyze the right-hand side of the obtained equation (10.6). The amplitude modulus square $|\mathcal{T}_{\mathbf{k}_1, \mathbf{k}_2}^{(2, \mathcal{A})}(\mathbf{v})|^2$, due to the first property in (5.15), is an even function with respect to the permutation $\mathbf{k}_1 \rightleftharpoons \mathbf{k}_2$. The resonance condition

$$\delta(\omega_{\mathbf{k}_1}^l - \omega_{\mathbf{k}_2}^l - \mathbf{v} \cdot (\mathbf{k}_1 - \mathbf{k}_2))$$

is also even with respect to the same permutation. Thus, we can see that the last two terms in (10.6) have odd the functions $(W_{\mathbf{k}_1} N_{\mathbf{k}_2} - N_{\mathbf{k}_1} W_{\mathbf{k}_2})$ and $(N_{\mathbf{k}_1} - N_{\mathbf{k}_2})$, and therefore they are equal to zero, which leaves us with

$$\frac{d\langle \mathcal{Q} \rangle}{dt} = 2N_c \mathbf{q}_2(t) \int d\mathbf{k} W_{\mathbf{k}}^l \text{Im} \mathcal{T}_{\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{k}}^{(2, \mathcal{A})}(\mathbf{v}).$$

Further, let us take into account that the remaining term on the right-hand side is actually related to the collisionless (Landau) damping of the wave oscillations. Therefore the expression $\text{Im} \mathcal{T}_{\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{k}}^{(2, \mathcal{A})}(\mathbf{v})$ must contain a δ -function which reflects the corresponding conservation laws for energy and momentum:

$$\text{Im} \mathcal{T}_{\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{k}}^{(2, \mathcal{A})}(\mathbf{v}) \sim \int \frac{d\Omega_{\mathbf{v}'}}{4\pi} w_{\mathbf{v}'}(\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{k}) (2\pi) \delta(\omega_{\mathbf{k}}^l - \mathbf{v}' \cdot \mathbf{k}),$$

where the probability $w_{\mathbf{v}}(\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{k})$ for the Landau damping process can be determined using explicit expressions for the scattering amplitude (5.13), the three-point amplitude $\mathcal{V}_{\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{k}_1, \mathbf{k}_2}$, Eq. (A.1), and the HTL-correction $\delta\Gamma^{\mu\nu\rho}(k, k_1, k_2)$, Eq. (A.6). However, as is well known, the *linear* Landau damping is kinematically forbidden in a hot quark-gluon plasma and therefore, this term can be setting zero and thus finally we obtain

$$\frac{d\langle \mathcal{Q} \rangle}{dt} = 0,$$

i.e.,

$$\langle \mathcal{Q} \rangle = \text{const.} \quad (10.8)$$

11 Equation for the averaged color charge $\langle \mathcal{Q}^s \rangle$

We now turn our attention to the derivation of the equation of motion for the colored charge $\langle \mathcal{Q}^s \rangle$. For this purpose, we now contract the left and right-hand sides of (10.1) with the matrix $(t^s)^{ii'}$. Taking into account the trace (10.3), we find in this case instead of (10.1)

$$\begin{aligned} n_{\mathbf{p}} \frac{d\langle \mathcal{Q}^s \rangle}{dt} = & -i \int d\mathbf{k} \operatorname{tr}(\mathcal{N}_{\mathbf{k}} T^d) \left\{ \mathcal{T}_{\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{k}}^{(2, \mathcal{A})}(\mathbf{v}) \operatorname{tr}(t^s t^d \mathbf{n}_{\mathbf{p}}) - \mathcal{T}_{\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{k}}^{*(2, \mathcal{A})}(\mathbf{v}) \operatorname{tr}(t^d t^s \mathbf{n}_{\mathbf{p}}) \right\} \quad (11.1) \\ & + i \int d\mathbf{k}_1 d\mathbf{k}_2 |\mathcal{T}_{\mathbf{k}_1, \mathbf{k}_2}^{(2, \mathcal{A})}(\mathbf{v})|^2 \\ & \times \left\{ \frac{1}{\Delta\omega_{\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{p}, \mathbf{k}_1, \mathbf{k}_2} - i0} \left(\left[\operatorname{tr}(t^e t^s t^d \mathbf{n}_{\mathbf{p}}) - \operatorname{tr}(t^s t^d t^e \mathbf{n}_{\mathbf{p}}) \right] \operatorname{tr}(T^d \mathcal{N}_{\mathbf{k}_1} T^e \mathcal{N}_{\mathbf{k}_2}) \right. \right. \\ & \quad \left. \left. - \operatorname{tr}(t^s t^d \mathbf{n}_{\mathbf{p}} t^e \mathbf{n}_{\mathbf{p}}) \left[\operatorname{tr}(T^d T^e \mathcal{N}_{\mathbf{k}_2}) - \operatorname{tr}(T^e T^d \mathcal{N}_{\mathbf{k}_1}) \right] \right) \right. \\ & \left. - \frac{1}{\Delta\omega_{\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{p}, \mathbf{k}_1, \mathbf{k}_2} + i0} \left(\left[\operatorname{tr}(t^e t^s t^d \mathbf{n}_{\mathbf{p}}) - \operatorname{tr}(t^d t^e t^s \mathbf{n}_{\mathbf{p}}) \right] \operatorname{tr}(T^d \mathcal{N}_{\mathbf{k}_1} T^e \mathcal{N}_{\mathbf{k}_2}) \right. \right. \\ & \quad \left. \left. - \operatorname{tr}(t^e t^s \mathbf{n}_{\mathbf{p}} t^d \mathbf{n}_{\mathbf{p}}) \left[\operatorname{tr}(T^d T^e \mathcal{N}_{\mathbf{k}_2}) - \operatorname{tr}(T^e T^d \mathcal{N}_{\mathbf{k}_1}) \right] \right) \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Let us analyze the first term on the right-hand side of Eq. (11.1). Using the formula (B.1) for the first trace in this term we have

$$\operatorname{tr}(t^s t^d \mathbf{n}_{\mathbf{p}}) = n_{\mathbf{p}} (t^s t^d)^{ii'} \langle \theta^{*i} \theta^{i'} \rangle = \left\{ \frac{1}{2N_c} \delta^{sd} \langle \mathcal{Q} \rangle + \frac{1}{2} (d^{sde} + i f^{sde}) \langle \mathcal{Q}^e \rangle \right\} n_{\mathbf{p}}. \quad (11.2)$$

The second trace $\operatorname{tr}(t^d t^s \mathbf{n}_{\mathbf{p}})$ trivially follows from (11.2) by rearranging the indices $s \rightleftharpoons d$.

Further taking into account the already known equality

$$\operatorname{tr}(\mathcal{N}_{\mathbf{k}} T^d) = N_c \langle \mathcal{Q}^d \rangle W_{\mathbf{k}}^l,$$

it is easy to see that the integrand in the first contribution to (11.1) can be represented in the following form:

$$\begin{aligned} & \operatorname{tr}(\mathcal{N}_{\mathbf{k}} T^d) \left\{ \mathcal{T}_{\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{k}}^{(2, \mathcal{A})}(\mathbf{v}) \operatorname{tr}(t^s t^d \mathbf{n}_{\mathbf{p}}) - \mathcal{T}_{\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{k}}^{*(2, \mathcal{A})}(\mathbf{v}) \operatorname{tr}(t^d t^s \mathbf{n}_{\mathbf{p}}) \right\} \\ & = i n_{\mathbf{p}} \operatorname{Im} \mathcal{T}_{\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{k}}^{(2, \mathcal{A})}(\mathbf{v}) W_{\mathbf{k}}^l \left\{ \langle \mathcal{Q} \rangle \langle \mathcal{Q}^s \rangle + N_c d^{sde} \langle \mathcal{Q}^d \rangle \langle \mathcal{Q}^e \rangle \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

We proceed to the analysis of the traces in the second term on the right-hand side (11.1). Our first step is to consider the following expression

$$\begin{aligned} & \left[\text{tr}(t^e t^s t^d \mathbf{n}_{\mathbf{p}}) - \text{tr}(t^s t^d t^e \mathbf{n}_{\mathbf{p}}) \right] \text{tr}(T^d \mathcal{N}_{\mathbf{k}_1} T^e \mathcal{N}_{\mathbf{k}_2}) \\ &= \left[\text{tr}(t^e t^s t^d \mathbf{n}_{\mathbf{p}}) - \text{tr}(t^s t^d t^e \mathbf{n}_{\mathbf{p}}) \right] \left\{ \delta^{ed} N_c N_{\mathbf{k}_1} N_{\mathbf{k}_2} + \frac{i}{2} N_c f^{ced} \langle \mathcal{Q}^c \rangle (W_{\mathbf{k}_1}^l N_{\mathbf{k}_2}^l - N_{\mathbf{k}_1}^l W_{\mathbf{k}_2}^l) \right. \\ & \quad \left. + \left(\delta^{ce} \delta^{\rho d} + \delta^{cd} \delta^{e\rho} + \frac{1}{4} N_c \left[\{D^c, D^\rho\}^{ed} - d^{c\rho\lambda} (D^\lambda)^{ed} \right] \right) \langle \mathcal{Q}^c \rangle \langle \mathcal{Q}^\rho \rangle W_{\mathbf{k}_1}^l W_{\mathbf{k}_2}^l \right\}. \end{aligned} \quad (11.3)$$

Here, we have used the representation (8.4) for the matrix function $\mathcal{N}_{\mathbf{k}}$ and the formulae for the traces (C.4)–(C.6). We examine the term in braces with the simplest color structure δ^{ed} . With allowance made for the relations (B.2), the difference of traces in the square brackets in this case will be equal to

$$\text{tr}(t^e t^s t^e \mathbf{n}_{\mathbf{p}}) - \text{tr}(t^s t^e t^e \mathbf{n}_{\mathbf{p}}) = -\frac{1}{2} N_c \text{tr}(t^s \mathbf{n}_{\mathbf{p}}) = -\frac{1}{2} n_{\mathbf{p}} N_c \langle \mathcal{Q}^s \rangle.$$

Thus, the term with δ^{ed} takes the form

$$-\frac{1}{2} n_{\mathbf{p}} N_c^2 \langle \mathcal{Q}^s \rangle N_{\mathbf{k}_1}^l N_{\mathbf{k}_2}^l.$$

Next, we consider the term mixed in $W_{\mathbf{k}}$ and $N_{\mathbf{k}}$, containing the antisymmetric structure constants f^{ced} . In this case, it is more convenient to represent the difference of traces in the square brackets as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{tr}(t^e t^s t^d \mathbf{n}_{\mathbf{p}}) - \text{tr}(t^s t^d t^e \mathbf{n}_{\mathbf{p}}) \equiv \text{tr}([t^e, t^s] t^d \mathbf{n}_{\mathbf{p}}) + \text{tr}(t^s [t^e, t^d] \mathbf{n}_{\mathbf{p}}) \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \left[(T^s D^\kappa)^{ed} - (T^s T^\kappa)^{ed} \right] n_{\mathbf{p}} \langle \mathcal{Q}^\kappa \rangle + \frac{1}{2} i f^{ed\lambda} (d^{s\lambda\kappa} + i f^{s\lambda\kappa}) n_{\mathbf{p}} \langle \mathcal{Q}^\kappa \rangle. \end{aligned} \quad (11.4)$$

Here, we used the equality (11.2). The contribution with the ‘‘colorless’’ charge $\langle \mathcal{Q} \rangle$ is reduced. If we contract this expression with $f^{ced} = -i(T^c)^{de}$ and employ the formulae for third-order traces (C.5), then we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \left[\text{tr}(t^e t^s t^d \mathbf{n}_{\mathbf{p}}) - \text{tr}(t^s t^d t^e \mathbf{n}_{\mathbf{p}}) \right] f^{ced} \\ &= -\frac{1}{2} i \left[\text{tr}(T^c T^s D^\kappa) - \text{tr}(T^c T^s T^\kappa) \right] n_{\mathbf{p}} \langle \mathcal{Q}^\kappa \rangle + \frac{1}{2} i N_c (d^{sc\kappa} + i f^{sc\kappa}) n_{\mathbf{p}} \langle \mathcal{Q}^\kappa \rangle \\ &= \frac{1}{4} i N_c (d^{sc\kappa} + i f^{sc\kappa}) n_{\mathbf{p}} \langle \mathcal{Q}^\kappa \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

The next step is to contract the above expression with the color charge $\langle \mathcal{Q}^c \rangle$, as is the case of the term in (11.3), mixed by the functions $W_{\mathbf{k}}^l$ and $N_{\mathbf{k}}^l$. Then, the contribution of this term takes the final form

$$-\frac{1}{8} n_{\mathbf{p}} N_c^2 d^{sc\kappa} \langle \mathcal{Q}^c \rangle \langle \mathcal{Q}^\kappa \rangle (W_{\mathbf{k}_1}^l N_{\mathbf{k}_2}^l - N_{\mathbf{k}_1}^l W_{\mathbf{k}_2}^l).$$

Let us consider the remaining term in (11.3), proportional to the product of $W_{\mathbf{k}_1}^l W_{\mathbf{k}_2}^l$. With the use of the trace difference (11.4), it can be represented in a somewhat cumbersome form:

$$\left\{ \frac{1}{2} \left[(T^s D^\kappa)^{ed} - (T^s T^\kappa)^{ed} \right] + \frac{1}{2} i f^{ed\lambda} (d^{s\lambda\kappa} + i f^{s\lambda\kappa}) \right\} \quad (11.5)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& \times \left(\delta^{ce} \delta^{\rho d} + \delta^{cd} \delta^{\epsilon \rho} + \frac{1}{4} N_c \left[\{D^c, D^\rho\}^{ed} - d^{c\rho\lambda} (D^\lambda)^{ed} \right] \right) n_{\mathbf{p}} \langle \mathcal{Q}^\kappa \rangle \langle \mathcal{Q}^c \rangle \langle \mathcal{Q}^\rho \rangle W_{\mathbf{k}_1}^l W_{\mathbf{k}_2}^l \\
& = \frac{1}{2} \left\{ \left(- \left[(T^c D^\rho)^{s\kappa} + (T^\rho D^c)^{s\kappa} \right] + \frac{1}{4} N_c \left[\text{tr} (T^s D^\kappa \{D^c, D^\rho\}) - d^{c\rho\lambda} \text{tr} (T^s D^\kappa D^\lambda) \right] \right) \right. \\
& \left. - \left(\{T^c, T^\rho\}^{s\kappa} + \frac{1}{4} N_c \left[\text{tr} (T^s T^\kappa \{D^c, D^\rho\}) - d^{c\rho\lambda} \text{tr} (T^s T^\kappa D^\lambda) \right] \right) \right\} n_{\mathbf{p}} \langle \mathcal{Q}^\kappa \rangle \langle \mathcal{Q}^c \rangle \langle \mathcal{Q}^\rho \rangle W_{\mathbf{k}_1}^l W_{\mathbf{k}_2}^l.
\end{aligned}$$

The expression in parentheses in the last line is exactly the same expression that we obtained in analyzing the fifth-order trace in section 9, Eqs. (9.5) and (9.6). There, it was shown that this expression vanishes. Let us consider the expression in parentheses in the next-to-last line. We write out this expression once more, setting by virtue of (C.5)

$$\text{tr} (T^s D^\kappa D^\lambda) = i \left(\frac{N_c^2 - 4}{2N_c} \right) f^{s\kappa\lambda},$$

then

$$- \left[(T^c D^\rho)^{s\kappa} + (T^\rho D^c)^{s\kappa} \right] + \frac{1}{4} N_c \left[\text{tr} (T^s D^\kappa \{D^c, D^\rho\}) - i \left(\frac{N_c^2 - 4}{2N_c} \right) f^{s\kappa\lambda} d^{c\rho\lambda} \right]. \quad (11.6)$$

We calculate the fourth-order trace, using the representation (C.8). It takes the form

$$\text{tr} (T^s D^\kappa \{D^c, D^\rho\}) = i \left(\frac{N_c^2 - 12}{2N_c} \right) f^{s\kappa\lambda} d^{c\rho\lambda}.$$

According to (11.5), the expression (11.6) should be contracted with $\langle \mathcal{Q}^\kappa \rangle \langle \mathcal{Q}^c \rangle \langle \mathcal{Q}^\rho \rangle$. As a result, we have

$$\frac{1}{2} \left[(T^e)^{sc} (D^e)^{\rho\kappa} + (T^e)^{s\rho} (D^e)^{c\kappa} + (T^e)^{s\kappa} (D^e)^{c\rho} \right] \langle \mathcal{Q}^\kappa \rangle \langle \mathcal{Q}^c \rangle \langle \mathcal{Q}^\rho \rangle.$$

The color structure in the square brackets is zero. It can be easily verified by rewriting it in the following form:

$$(T^e)^{sc} (D^e)^{\rho\kappa} + (T^e)^{s\rho} (D^e)^{c\kappa} + (T^e)^{s\kappa} (D^e)^{c\rho} \equiv [T^s, D^\rho]^{c\kappa} - i f^{s\rho e} (D^e)^{c\kappa}$$

and making use of the second relation in (C.3) from the Appendix C. Thus, the contribution proportional to the product $W_{\mathbf{k}_1}^l W_{\mathbf{k}_2}^l$ completely drops out of consideration. Collecting all the calculated expressions, instead of (11.3), we finally find

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left[\text{tr} (t^e t^s t^d \mathbf{n}_{\mathbf{p}}) - \text{tr} (t^s t^d t^e \mathbf{n}_{\mathbf{p}}) \right] \text{tr} (T^d \mathcal{N}_{\mathbf{k}_1} T^e \mathcal{N}_{\mathbf{k}_2}) \\
& = -\frac{1}{2} n_{\mathbf{p}} N_c^2 \langle \mathcal{Q}^s \rangle N_{\mathbf{k}_1} N_{\mathbf{k}_2} - \frac{1}{8} n_{\mathbf{p}} N_c^2 d^{sc\kappa} \langle \mathcal{Q}^c \rangle \langle \mathcal{Q}^\kappa \rangle (W_{\mathbf{k}_1}^l N_{\mathbf{k}_2}^l - N_{\mathbf{k}_1}^l W_{\mathbf{k}_2}^l).
\end{aligned} \quad (11.7)$$

We proceed now to the consideration of the other expression in the second term in (11.1), with a different color structure. This expression in view of the decomposition (8.4) and the traces (C.4) and (C.5), can be represented as follows

$$\begin{aligned}
& \text{tr} (t^s t^d \mathbf{n}_{\mathbf{p}} t^e \mathbf{n}_{\mathbf{p}}) \left[\text{tr} (T^d T^e \mathcal{N}_{\mathbf{k}_2}) - \text{tr} (T^e T^d \mathcal{N}_{\mathbf{k}_1}) \right] \\
& = \text{tr} (t^s t^d \mathbf{n}_{\mathbf{p}} t^e \mathbf{n}_{\mathbf{p}}) \left[\delta^{de} N_c (N_{\mathbf{k}_2}^l - N_{\mathbf{k}_1}^l) + \frac{1}{2} i N_c f^{dec} (W_{\mathbf{k}_2}^l + W_{\mathbf{k}_1}^l) \langle \mathcal{Q}^c \rangle \right].
\end{aligned} \quad (11.8)$$

As usual, the first step is to analyze the contribution proportional to the trivial color structure δ^{de} . Taking into account the relations (B.5) and (B.7), we have the following chain of transformations:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{tr}(t^s t^e \mathbf{n}_p t^e \mathbf{n}_p) &= \frac{1}{2} \text{tr}(t^s \mathbf{n}_p) \text{tr}(\mathbf{n}_p) - \frac{1}{2N_c} \text{tr}(\mathbf{n}_p t^s \mathbf{n}_p) \\ &= \frac{1}{2} (n_p)^2 \left\{ \langle \mathcal{Q}^s \rangle \langle \mathcal{Q} \rangle - \frac{1}{2N_c} \left(\frac{4}{N_c} \langle \mathcal{Q}^s \rangle \langle \mathcal{Q} \rangle + 2d^{sde} \langle \mathcal{Q}^d \rangle \langle \mathcal{Q}^e \rangle \right) \right\} \\ &= \frac{1}{2} (n_p)^2 \left\{ \left(\frac{N_c^2 - 2}{N_c^2} \right) \langle \mathcal{Q}^s \rangle \langle \mathcal{Q} \rangle - \frac{1}{N_c} d^{sde} \langle \mathcal{Q}^d \rangle \langle \mathcal{Q}^e \rangle \right\}. \end{aligned} \quad (11.9)$$

Our next task is to consider the term in (11.8) with the antisymmetric structure constants f^{dec} . Here, we need the relation (B.6a). Then, by the use of (10.3) and (11.2), we find

$$\begin{aligned} \text{tr}(t^d t^s \mathbf{n}_p t^e \mathbf{n}_p) f^{dec} &= -\frac{i}{2} \left\{ \text{tr}(t^s \mathbf{n}_p) \text{tr}(t^c \mathbf{n}_p) - \text{tr}(t^s t^c \mathbf{n}_p) \text{tr}(\mathbf{n}_p) \right\} \\ &= -\frac{i}{2} (n_p)^2 \left\{ \langle \mathcal{Q}^s \rangle \langle \mathcal{Q}^c \rangle - \left(\frac{1}{2N_c} \delta^{sc} \langle \mathcal{Q} \rangle + \frac{1}{2} (d^{sce} + i f^{sce}) \langle \mathcal{Q}^e \rangle \right) \langle \mathcal{Q} \rangle \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

By contracting the obtained expression with $\frac{1}{2} i N_c \langle \mathcal{Q}^c \rangle$ and adding to (11.9), we finally obtain, instead of (11.8),

$$\begin{aligned} &\text{tr}(t^s t^d \mathbf{n}_p t^e \mathbf{n}_p) \left[\text{tr}(T^d T^e \mathcal{N}_{\mathbf{k}_2}) - \text{tr}(T^e T^d \mathcal{N}_{\mathbf{k}_1}) \right] \\ &= \frac{1}{2} N_c (n_p)^2 \left[\left\{ \left(\frac{N_c^2 - 2}{N_c^2} \right) \langle \mathcal{Q}^s \rangle \langle \mathcal{Q} \rangle - \frac{1}{N_c} d^{sde} \langle \mathcal{Q}^d \rangle \langle \mathcal{Q}^e \rangle \right\} (N_{\mathbf{k}_2}^l - N_{\mathbf{k}_1}^l) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \frac{1}{2} \left\{ \langle \mathcal{Q}^s \rangle \langle \mathcal{Q}^e \rangle \langle \mathcal{Q}^e \rangle - \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{1}{N_c} \langle \mathcal{Q}^s \rangle \langle \mathcal{Q} \rangle + d^{sde} \langle \mathcal{Q}^d \rangle \langle \mathcal{Q}^e \rangle \right) \langle \mathcal{Q} \rangle \right\} (W_{\mathbf{k}_2}^l + W_{\mathbf{k}_1}^l) \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (11.10)$$

It remains for us to compute the remaining expressions with traces on the right side of equation (11.1), namely

$$\left[\text{tr}(t^e t^s t^d \mathbf{n}_p) - \text{tr}(t^d t^e t^s \mathbf{n}_p) \right] \text{tr}(T^d \mathcal{N}_{\mathbf{k}_1} T^e \mathcal{N}_{\mathbf{k}_2})$$

and

$$\text{tr}(t^e t^s \mathbf{n}_p t^d \mathbf{n}_p) \left[\text{tr}(T^d T^e \mathcal{N}_{\mathbf{k}_2}) - \text{tr}(T^e T^d \mathcal{N}_{\mathbf{k}_1}) \right].$$

The calculation of the former gives us the expression (11.7), while for the latter we have (11.10). Taking into account all the above calculations, using Sohotsky's formula (6.6), instead of (11.1), we get the following equation for the averaged color charge $\langle \mathcal{Q}^s \rangle$:

$$\begin{aligned} n_p \frac{d\langle \mathcal{Q}^s \rangle}{dt} &= n_p \int d\mathbf{k} \text{Im} \mathcal{J}_{\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{k}}^{(2, A)}(\mathbf{v}) W_{\mathbf{k}}^l \left\{ \langle \mathcal{Q} \rangle \langle \mathcal{Q}^s \rangle + N_c d^{sde} \langle \mathcal{Q}^d \rangle \langle \mathcal{Q}^e \rangle \right\} \\ &+ \frac{1}{2} N_c^2 n_p \int d\mathbf{k}_1 d\mathbf{k}_2 |\mathcal{J}_{\mathbf{k}_1, \mathbf{k}_2}^{(2, A)}(\mathbf{v})|^2 \left\{ \langle \mathcal{Q}^s \rangle N_{\mathbf{k}_1} N_{\mathbf{k}_2} + \frac{1}{4} d^{sde} \langle \mathcal{Q}^d \rangle \langle \mathcal{Q}^e \rangle (W_{\mathbf{k}_1}^l N_{\mathbf{k}_2}^l - N_{\mathbf{k}_1}^l W_{\mathbf{k}_2}^l) \right\} \\ &\quad \times (2\pi) \delta(\omega_{\mathbf{k}_1}^l - \omega_{\mathbf{k}_2}^l - \mathbf{v} \cdot (\mathbf{k}_1 - \mathbf{k}_2)) \\ &- \frac{1}{2} N_c (n_p)^2 \int d\mathbf{k}_1 d\mathbf{k}_2 |\mathcal{J}_{\mathbf{k}_1, \mathbf{k}_2}^{(2, A)}(\mathbf{v})|^2 (2\pi) \delta(\omega_{\mathbf{k}_1}^l - \omega_{\mathbf{k}_2}^l - \mathbf{v} \cdot (\mathbf{k}_1 - \mathbf{k}_2)) \end{aligned} \quad (11.11)$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \times \left[\left\{ \left(\frac{N_c^2 - 2}{N_c^2} \right) \langle \mathcal{Q}^s \rangle \langle \mathcal{Q} \rangle - \frac{1}{N_c} d^{sde} \langle \mathcal{Q}^d \rangle \langle \mathcal{Q}^e \rangle \right\} (N_{\mathbf{k}_1}^l - N_{\mathbf{k}_2}^l) \right. \\ & \left. - \frac{1}{2} \left\{ \langle \mathcal{Q}^s \rangle \langle \mathcal{Q}^e \rangle \langle \mathcal{Q}^e \rangle - \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{1}{N_c} \langle \mathcal{Q}^s \rangle \langle \mathcal{Q} \rangle + d^{sde} \langle \mathcal{Q}^d \rangle \langle \mathcal{Q}^e \rangle \right) \langle \mathcal{Q} \rangle \right\} (W_{\mathbf{k}_1}^l + W_{\mathbf{k}_2}^l) \right]. \end{aligned}$$

By virtue of the same reasoning we used after equation (10.6) describing the time evolution of the colorless charge $\langle \mathcal{Q} \rangle$, we can discard the contributions on the right-hand side of (11.11) containing the differences $(W_{\mathbf{k}_1} N_{\mathbf{k}_2} - N_{\mathbf{k}_1} W_{\mathbf{k}_2})$ and $(N_{\mathbf{k}_1} - N_{\mathbf{k}_2})$ in the integrands. In addition, we multiply the left and right-hand sides by \mathbf{p}^2 and then integrate over $|\mathbf{p}|$ with the normalization

$$\left(\int n_{\mathbf{p}} \mathbf{p}^2 d|\mathbf{p}| \right) = 1. \quad (11.12)$$

As a result, we are left with the following evolution equation, instead of (11.11):

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d\langle \mathcal{Q}^s \rangle}{dt} &= \int d\mathbf{k} \operatorname{Im} \mathcal{T}_{\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{k}}^{(2, \mathcal{A})}(\mathbf{v}) W_{\mathbf{k}}^l \left\{ \langle \mathcal{Q} \rangle \langle \mathcal{Q}^s \rangle + N_c d^{sde} \langle \mathcal{Q}^d \rangle \langle \mathcal{Q}^e \rangle \right\} \quad (11.13) \\ &+ \frac{1}{2} N_c^2 \int d\mathbf{k}_1 d\mathbf{k}_2 |\mathcal{T}_{\mathbf{k}_1, \mathbf{k}_2}^{(2, \mathcal{A})}(\mathbf{v})|^2 \langle \mathcal{Q}^s \rangle N_{\mathbf{k}_1} N_{\mathbf{k}_2} (2\pi) \delta(\omega_{\mathbf{k}_1}^l - \omega_{\mathbf{k}_2}^l - \mathbf{v} \cdot (\mathbf{k}_1 - \mathbf{k}_2)) \\ &+ \frac{1}{4} N_c \left(\int n_{\mathbf{p}}^2 \mathbf{p}^2 d|\mathbf{p}| \right) \int d\mathbf{k}_1 d\mathbf{k}_2 |\mathcal{T}_{\mathbf{k}_1, \mathbf{k}_2}^{(2, \mathcal{A})}(\mathbf{v})|^2 (2\pi) \delta(\omega_{\mathbf{k}_1}^l - \omega_{\mathbf{k}_2}^l - \mathbf{v} \cdot (\mathbf{k}_1 - \mathbf{k}_2)) \\ &\times \left\{ \langle \mathcal{Q}^s \rangle \langle \mathcal{Q}^e \rangle \langle \mathcal{Q}^e \rangle - \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{1}{N_c} \langle \mathcal{Q}^s \rangle \langle \mathcal{Q} \rangle + d^{sde} \langle \mathcal{Q}^d \rangle \langle \mathcal{Q}^e \rangle \right) \langle \mathcal{Q} \rangle \right\} (W_{\mathbf{k}_1}^l + W_{\mathbf{k}_2}^l) \end{aligned}$$

with the initial condition

$$\langle \mathcal{Q}^s \rangle|_{t=t_0} = \mathcal{Q}_0^s,$$

where \mathcal{Q}_0^a is some fixed (non-random) vector of color charge that a high-energy particle possessed at the initial moment of time t_0 .

We are interested in the time dependence of the quadratic combination of the color charge $\mathbf{q}_2(t)$, as it defined by the expression (10.7). By virtue of equation (11.13) we easily find

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d\mathbf{q}_2(t)}{dt} &= 2 \int d\mathbf{k} \operatorname{Im} \mathcal{T}_{\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{k}}^{(2, \mathcal{A})}(\mathbf{v}) W_{\mathbf{k}}^l \left\{ \langle \mathcal{Q} \rangle \mathbf{q}_2(t) + N_c \mathbf{q}_3(t) \right\} \quad (11.14) \\ &+ N_c^2 \mathbf{q}_2(t) \int d\mathbf{k}_1 d\mathbf{k}_2 |\mathcal{T}_{\mathbf{k}_1, \mathbf{k}_2}^{(2, \mathcal{A})}(\mathbf{v})|^2 N_{\mathbf{k}_1} N_{\mathbf{k}_2} (2\pi) \delta(\omega_{\mathbf{k}_1}^l - \omega_{\mathbf{k}_2}^l - \mathbf{v} \cdot (\mathbf{k}_1 - \mathbf{k}_2)) \\ &+ \frac{1}{2} N_c \left(\int n_{\mathbf{p}}^2 \mathbf{p}^2 d|\mathbf{p}| \right) \int d\mathbf{k}_1 d\mathbf{k}_2 |\mathcal{T}_{\mathbf{k}_1, \mathbf{k}_2}^{(2, \mathcal{A})}(\mathbf{v})|^2 (2\pi) \delta(\omega_{\mathbf{k}_1}^l - \omega_{\mathbf{k}_2}^l - \mathbf{v} \cdot (\mathbf{k}_1 - \mathbf{k}_2)) \\ &\times \left\{ (\mathbf{q}_2(t))^2 - \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{1}{N_c} \mathbf{q}_2(t) \langle \mathcal{Q} \rangle + \mathbf{q}_3(t) \right) \langle \mathcal{Q} \rangle \right\} (W_{\mathbf{k}_1}^l + W_{\mathbf{k}_2}^l). \end{aligned}$$

Here, we have introduced the notation for the second colorless combination of the third order in the averaged color charge

$$\mathbf{q}_3(t) \equiv d^{abc} \langle \mathcal{Q}^a \rangle \langle \mathcal{Q}^b \rangle \langle \mathcal{Q}^c \rangle. \quad (11.15)$$

To close equation (11.14) we also deduce an equation for the function $\mathbf{q}_3(t)$:

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{d\mathbf{q}_3(t)}{dt} &= 3 \int d\mathbf{k} \operatorname{Im} \mathcal{T}_{\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{k}}^{(2, \mathcal{A})}(\mathbf{v}) W_{\mathbf{k}}^l \left\{ \langle \mathcal{Q} \rangle \mathbf{q}_3(t) + N_c \mathbf{q}_4(t) \right\} \\
&+ \frac{3}{2} N_c^2 \mathbf{q}_3(t) \int d\mathbf{k}_1 d\mathbf{k}_2 |\mathcal{T}_{\mathbf{k}_1, \mathbf{k}_2}^{(2, \mathcal{A})}(\mathbf{v})|^2 N_{\mathbf{k}_1} N_{\mathbf{k}_2} (2\pi) \delta(\omega_{\mathbf{k}_1}^l - \omega_{\mathbf{k}_2}^l - \mathbf{v} \cdot (\mathbf{k}_1 - \mathbf{k}_2)) \\
&+ \frac{3}{4} N_c \left(\int n_{\mathbf{p}}^2 \mathbf{p}^2 d|\mathbf{p}| \right) \int d\mathbf{k}_1 d\mathbf{k}_2 |\mathcal{T}_{\mathbf{k}_1, \mathbf{k}_2}^{(2, \mathcal{A})}(\mathbf{v})|^2 (2\pi) \delta(\omega_{\mathbf{k}_1}^l - \omega_{\mathbf{k}_2}^l - \mathbf{v} \cdot (\mathbf{k}_1 - \mathbf{k}_2)) \\
&\times \left\{ \mathbf{q}_3(t) \mathbf{q}_2(t) - \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{1}{N_c} \mathbf{q}_3(t) \langle \mathcal{Q} \rangle + \mathbf{q}_4(t) \right) \langle \mathcal{Q} \rangle \right\} (W_{\mathbf{k}_1}^l + W_{\mathbf{k}_2}^l).
\end{aligned} \tag{11.16}$$

However, on the right-hand side of this equation, a colorless combination of higher fourth order

$$\mathbf{q}_4(t) = \mathbf{q}_2^a(t) \mathbf{q}_2^a(t) \tag{11.17}$$

appears, where

$$\mathbf{q}_2^a(t) \equiv d^{abc} \langle \mathcal{Q}^b \rangle \langle \mathcal{Q}^c \rangle.$$

It is clear that an attempt to write the equation for $\mathbf{q}_4(t)$ will in turn lead to more complicated colorless structures. A coupled chain of equations can be truncated at the first two combinations $\mathbf{q}_2(t)$ and $\mathbf{q}_3(t)$ for the particular Lie algebra $\mathfrak{su}(3_c)$ (except for the “trivial” case $\mathfrak{su}(2_c)$). By virtue of the second relation in (C.14), the following representation for (11.17) is valid:

$$\mathbf{q}_4(t) = \frac{1}{3} (\mathbf{q}_2(t))^2.$$

This allows us to completely close the system of three equations for the colorless charge $\langle \mathcal{Q} \rangle$, Eq. (10.8), and equations for the colorless combinations $\mathbf{q}_2(t)$ and $\mathbf{q}_3(t)$, Eqs. (11.14) and (11.16), respectively.

The equations (11.14) and (11.16) are presented in the most general form, which makes them quite complicated. Let us simplify them. As a first step, we take into account that due to the absence of linear Landau damping, it is necessary to put

$$\operatorname{Im} \mathcal{T}_{\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{k}}^{(2, \mathcal{A})}(\mathbf{v}) = 0.$$

We have already discussed this at the end of the previous section. Next, by virtue of (10.8), the “colorless” charge $\langle \mathcal{Q} \rangle$ must be assumed to be a constant value. For the sake of simplicity, we set this constant to zero

$$\langle \mathcal{Q} \rangle \equiv 0.$$

Thus, instead of the evolution equations (11.14) and (11.16), we now get

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{d\mathbf{q}_2(t)}{dt} &= N_c^2 \mathbf{q}_2(t) \int d\mathbf{k}_1 d\mathbf{k}_2 |\mathcal{T}_{\mathbf{k}_1, \mathbf{k}_2}^{(2, \mathcal{A})}(\mathbf{v})|^2 N_{\mathbf{k}_1}^l N_{\mathbf{k}_2}^l (2\pi) \delta(\omega_{\mathbf{k}_1}^l - \omega_{\mathbf{k}_2}^l - \mathbf{v} \cdot (\mathbf{k}_1 - \mathbf{k}_2)) \\
&+ \frac{1}{2} N_c \left(\int n_{\mathbf{p}}^2 \mathbf{p}^2 d|\mathbf{p}| \right) (\mathbf{q}_2(t))^2 \int d\mathbf{k}_1 d\mathbf{k}_2 |\mathcal{T}_{\mathbf{k}_1, \mathbf{k}_2}^{(2, \mathcal{A})}(\mathbf{v})|^2 (W_{\mathbf{k}_1}^l + W_{\mathbf{k}_2}^l) (2\pi) \delta(\omega_{\mathbf{k}_1}^l - \omega_{\mathbf{k}_2}^l - \mathbf{v} \cdot (\mathbf{k}_1 - \mathbf{k}_2)),
\end{aligned} \tag{11.18}$$

$$\frac{d\mathbf{q}_3(t)}{dt} = \frac{3}{2} N_c^2 \mathbf{q}_3(t) \int d\mathbf{k}_1 d\mathbf{k}_2 |\mathcal{T}_{\mathbf{k}_1, \mathbf{k}_2}^{(2, \mathcal{A})}(\mathbf{v})|^2 N_{\mathbf{k}_1}^l N_{\mathbf{k}_2}^l (2\pi) \delta(\omega_{\mathbf{k}_1}^l - \omega_{\mathbf{k}_2}^l - \mathbf{v} \cdot (\mathbf{k}_1 - \mathbf{k}_2)) \quad (11.19)$$

$$+ \frac{3}{4} N_c \left(\int n_{\mathbf{p}}^2 \mathbf{p}^2 d|\mathbf{p}| \right) \mathbf{q}_3(t) \mathbf{q}_2(t) \int d\mathbf{k}_1 d\mathbf{k}_2 |\mathcal{T}_{\mathbf{k}_1, \mathbf{k}_2}^{(2, \mathcal{A})}(\mathbf{v})|^2 (W_{\mathbf{k}_1}^l + W_{\mathbf{k}_2}^l) (2\pi) \delta(\omega_{\mathbf{k}_1}^l - \omega_{\mathbf{k}_2}^l - \mathbf{v} \cdot (\mathbf{k}_1 - \mathbf{k}_2)).$$

With this choice of the value for the colorless charge, the equation for $\mathbf{q}_2(t)$ has become completely independent. The equation (11.18) was obtained earlier in [2], however, without the last term. The appearance of a new term in the equation for $\mathbf{q}_2(t)$ may change qualitatively the behavior of its solution, in comparison with the results of [2]. If we introduce the notations

$$A(t) \equiv N_c^2 \int d\mathbf{k}_1 d\mathbf{k}_2 |\mathcal{T}_{\mathbf{k}_1, \mathbf{k}_2}^{(2, \mathcal{A})}(\mathbf{v})|^2 N_{\mathbf{k}_1}^l N_{\mathbf{k}_2}^l (2\pi) \delta(\omega_{\mathbf{k}_1}^l - \omega_{\mathbf{k}_2}^l - \mathbf{v} \cdot (\mathbf{k}_1 - \mathbf{k}_2)), \quad (11.20)$$

$$B(t) \equiv \frac{1}{2} N_c \left(\int n_{\mathbf{p}}^2 \mathbf{p}^2 d|\mathbf{p}| \right) \int d\mathbf{k}_1 d\mathbf{k}_2 |\mathcal{T}_{\mathbf{k}_1, \mathbf{k}_2}^{(2, \mathcal{A})}(\mathbf{v})|^2 (W_{\mathbf{k}_1}^l + W_{\mathbf{k}_2}^l) (2\pi) \delta(\omega_{\mathbf{k}_1}^l - \omega_{\mathbf{k}_2}^l - \mathbf{v} \cdot (\mathbf{k}_1 - \mathbf{k}_2)),$$

then the equations (11.18) and (11.19) can be written in a more visual form

$$\frac{d\mathbf{q}_2(t)}{dt} = A(t)\mathbf{q}_2(t) + B(t)(\mathbf{q}_2(t))^2, \quad \mathbf{q}_2(t)|_{t=t_0} = \mathbf{q}_2^0, \quad (11.21)$$

$$\frac{d\mathbf{q}_3(t)}{dt} = \frac{3}{2} \{A(t) - B(t)\mathbf{q}_2(t)\}\mathbf{q}_3(t), \quad \mathbf{q}_3(t)|_{t=t_0} = \mathbf{q}_3^0. \quad (11.22)$$

Here, the initial values \mathbf{q}_2^0 and \mathbf{q}_3^0 are defined as

$$\mathbf{q}_2^0 = \mathcal{Q}_0^a \mathcal{Q}_0^a, \quad \mathbf{q}_3^0 = d^{abc} \mathcal{Q}_0^a \mathcal{Q}_0^b \mathcal{Q}_0^c.$$

The equation (11.21) is a special case of the Bernoulli equation and, therefore, we can immediately write out its solution [23]

$$\mathbf{q}_2(t) = \mathbf{q}_2^0 \frac{\exp \left\{ \int_{t_0}^t A(\tau) d\tau \right\}}{1 - \mathbf{q}_2^0 \int_{t_0}^t B(\tau) \exp \left\{ \int_{t_0}^{\tau} A(\tau') d\tau' \right\} d\tau}, \quad (11.23)$$

which is qualitatively different from the solution

$$\mathbf{q}_2(t) = \mathbf{q}_2^0 \exp \left\{ \int_{t_0}^t A(\tau) d\tau \right\} \quad (11.24)$$

we obtained in [2]. The second colorless combination $\mathbf{q}_3(t)$ is trivially determined from the second equation (11.22). For physical reasons, we consider that the plasmon number density $N_{\mathbf{k}}^l$ is a positive function that, by virtue of the definitions (11.20), leads in turn to the inequality

$$A(t) \geq 0.$$

Because of this, the exponential function in the solutions (11.23) and (11.24) is an increasing function in time. On the other hand, the color part $W_{\mathbf{k}}^l$ of the plasmon number density is, in general, indefinite and, as a consequence, the function $B(t)$ can be either positive or negative. However, the solution (11.23), unlike (11.24), may nevertheless remain a finite value which is physically more reasonable.

12 System of kinetic equations for soft gluon excitations

Let us now write out together the kinetic equations for soft gluon excitations, using the above notations for the colorless combinations $\mathbf{q}_2(t)$, $\mathbf{q}_3(t)$ and $\mathbf{q}_4(t)$. We account for the normalization (11.12) and remove the integration over the solid angle $d\Omega_{\mathbf{v}}$ associated with the integration over the direction of motion \mathbf{v} of a hard particle. Finally, we assume in all equations

$$\text{Im}\mathcal{T}_{\mathbf{k},\mathbf{k}}^{(2,\mathcal{A})}(\mathbf{v}) = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \langle \mathcal{Q} \rangle = 0.$$

As a result, the kinetic equation (8.12) for colorless part of the plasmon number density $N_{\mathbf{k}}^l$ takes the following form:

$$\begin{aligned} d_A \frac{\partial N_{\mathbf{k}}^l}{\partial t} &= \mathbf{q}_2(t) \left(\int n_{\mathbf{p}}^2 \mathbf{p}^2 d|\mathbf{p}| \right) \int d\mathbf{k}_1 |\mathcal{T}_{\mathbf{k},\mathbf{k}_1}^{(2,\mathcal{A})}(\mathbf{v})|^2 (N_{\mathbf{k}}^l - N_{\mathbf{k}_1}^l) (2\pi) \delta(\omega_{\mathbf{k}}^l - \omega_{\mathbf{k}_1}^l - \mathbf{v} \cdot (\mathbf{k} - \mathbf{k}_1)) \\ &+ \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{q}_2(t) N_c^2 \int d\mathbf{k}_1 |\mathcal{T}_{\mathbf{k},\mathbf{k}_1}^{(2,\mathcal{A})}(\mathbf{v})|^2 (W_{\mathbf{k}}^l N_{\mathbf{k}_1}^l - N_{\mathbf{k}}^l W_{\mathbf{k}_1}^l) (2\pi) \delta(\omega_{\mathbf{k}}^l - \omega_{\mathbf{k}_1}^l - \mathbf{v} \cdot (\mathbf{k} - \mathbf{k}_1)). \end{aligned} \quad (12.1)$$

A comparison of this equation with the similar equation (10.1) in [2] shows an almost complete coincidence between them. The distinction is in the numerical factor in the first term. Instead of the multiplier (-3) (for $N_c = 3$) in [2], now we have

$$\left(\int n_{\mathbf{p}}^2 \mathbf{p}^2 d|\mathbf{p}| \right).$$

The multiplier N_c that in fact occurred in the original expression (8.5) is reduced due to the use of the Fierz identity (8.10). Since, in constructing the kinetic equations, we restricted our attention to terms no higher than quadratic in $N_{\mathbf{k}}^l$ and $W_{\mathbf{k}}^l$, in the last term on the right-hand side of (12.1), we should suppose

$$\mathbf{q}_2(t) \simeq \mathbf{q}_2^0.$$

With the same degree of accuracy, the function $\mathbf{q}_2(t)$ in the first term on the right-hand side of (12.1) must be defined in a linear approximation. From the explicit form of the solution of (11.23) the relevant approximation has the following form:

$$\mathbf{q}_2(t) \simeq \mathbf{q}_2^0 \frac{1}{1 - \mathbf{q}_2^0 \int_{t_0}^t B(\tau) d\tau} \simeq \mathbf{q}_2^0 \left\{ 1 + \mathbf{q}_2^0 \int_{t_0}^t B(\tau) d\tau \right\}. \quad (12.2)$$

Here, recall that the function $B(\tau)$ which is linear in $W_{\mathbf{k}}^l$, is defined by the second expression in (11.20). Thus, a time nonlocal term in the kinetic equation (12.1) appears instead of the function $\mathbf{q}_2(t)$. This shows a qualitative difference from the results of [2]. There the function $B(t)$ was simply absent. Further, the quantities that we introduced in [2], namely, the total number of longitudinal excitations, and the linear combination of the full energy and momentum of the wave system

$$\mathbf{N}^l = \int d\mathbf{k} N_{\mathbf{k}}^l, \quad \mathbf{E}^l \equiv \int d\mathbf{k} \omega_{\mathbf{k}}^l N_{\mathbf{k}}^l \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbf{K}^l \equiv \int d\mathbf{k} \mathbf{k} N_{\mathbf{k}}^l,$$

are preserved³ by virtue of Eq. (12.1), i.e.,

$$\mathbb{N}^l = \text{const}, \quad \mathbb{E}^l - \mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{K}^l = \text{const}, \quad (12.3)$$

while the sign of the time derivative of the entropy

$$\mathbb{S}^l(t) = \int d\mathbf{k} \ln N_{\mathbf{k}}^l(t)$$

is indefinite, i.e., the Boltzmann's H-theorem for the wave system under consideration is generally speaking not fulfilled in the presence of an external hard color-charged particle.

Next, we consider the second kinetic equation (9.15) for the color part $W_{\mathbf{k}}^l$ of the spectral density of bosonic plasma excitations that holds when $N_c = 3$. Let us contract the left- and right-sides of this kinetic equation with $\langle \mathcal{Q}^s \rangle$. Considering the definitions of colorless charge combinations $\mathbf{q}_2(t)$ and $\mathbf{q}_3(t)$, Eqs. (10.7) and (11.15), the representation (11.17) for the colorless combination $\mathbf{q}_4(t)$ and reducing the left- and right-hand sides by the factor $\mathbf{q}_2(t)$ the equation for the function $W_{\mathbf{k}}^l$ can be cast into the following form:

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{\partial W_{\mathbf{k}}^l}{\partial t} + \frac{1}{2} W_{\mathbf{k}}^l \frac{d \ln \mathbf{q}_2(t)}{dt} \\ &= \frac{3}{2} \int d\mathbf{k}_1 |\mathcal{T}_{\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{k}_1}^{(2, \mathcal{A})}(\mathbf{v})|^2 N_{\mathbf{k}}^l N_{\mathbf{k}_1}^l (2\pi) \delta(\omega_{\mathbf{k}}^l - \omega_{\mathbf{k}_1}^l - \mathbf{v} \cdot (\mathbf{k} - \mathbf{k}_1)) \\ & - \frac{1}{4} \mathbf{q}_2(t) \left(\int n_{\mathbf{p}}^2 \mathbf{p}^2 d|\mathbf{p}| \right) \int d\mathbf{k}_1 |\mathcal{T}_{\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{k}_1}^{(2, \mathcal{A})}(\mathbf{v})|^2 (W_{\mathbf{k}}^l - W_{\mathbf{k}_1}^l) (2\pi) \delta(\omega_{\mathbf{k}}^l - \omega_{\mathbf{k}_1}^l - \mathbf{v} \cdot (\mathbf{k} - \mathbf{k}_1)) \\ & + \frac{1}{4} \mathbf{q}_2(t) \left(\int n_{\mathbf{p}}^2 \mathbf{p}^2 d|\mathbf{p}| \right) \int d\mathbf{k}_1 |\mathcal{T}_{\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{k}_1}^{(2, \mathcal{A})}(\mathbf{v})|^2 (W_{\mathbf{k}}^l + W_{\mathbf{k}_1}^l) (2\pi) \delta(\omega_{\mathbf{k}}^l - \omega_{\mathbf{k}_1}^l - \mathbf{v} \cdot (\mathbf{k} - \mathbf{k}_1)). \end{aligned} \quad (12.4)$$

A comparison of this equation with the analogous equation (10.7) from [2] shows a complete coincidence of the first term for $N_c = 3$. The difference, however, is in the second term. The numerical multiplier in this term is

$$-\frac{1}{4} \left(\int n_{\mathbf{p}}^2 \mathbf{p}^2 d|\mathbf{p}| \right),$$

while in the work [2] it is equal to $(-3/4)$. Further, in (12.4), in contrast to [2], we have a new term with the sum $(W_{\mathbf{k}}^l + W_{\mathbf{k}_1}^l)$. Recall that a similar contribution occurred in the equation for the color charge $\langle \mathcal{Q}^s \rangle$, Eq. (11.13). The function $\mathbf{q}_2(t)$ in the second and third terms on the right-hand side of (12.4) should be taken in the approximation (12.2).

The explicit form of the derivative $d \ln \mathbf{q}_2(t)/dt$ on the left-hand side (12.4) is easily determined from the original equation (11.14). Since we have restricted our attention to terms no

³It is important to note that the formal reason for the vanishing of $d\mathbb{N}^l/dt$ and $d(\mathbb{E}^l - \mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{K}^l)/dt$ is the presence of δ -function in the integrands ensuring energy and momentum conservation in every elementary act of interaction of plasmon and a hard particle. However, it is valid if the relevant integrals converge. This, in turn, imposes certain restrictions on behavior of the scalar plasmon number densities $N_{\mathbf{k}}^l$ and $W_{\mathbf{k}}^l$ at $\mathbf{k} = 0$ and in the region of large \mathbf{k} , which is eventually determined by the corresponding behavior of the functions $\omega_{\mathbf{k}}^l$ and $\mathcal{T}_{\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{k}_1}^{(2, \mathcal{A})}(\mathbf{v})$. In other words, in the infinite \mathbf{k} -space the “naively” determined integrals of motion (12.3) may be fictitious and they are not really conserved (see, for example, the discussion of this issue in [6]). We hope to address these subtleties in future publications.

higher than quadratic in $N_{\mathbf{k}}^l$ and $W_{\mathbf{k}}^l$, in Eq. (11.18) we must keep only the linear terms, at the same time, putting $\mathbf{q}_2(t) \simeq \mathbf{q}_2^0$. As a result, within the accepted accuracy, for the second term on the left-hand side of (12.4) we have at $N_c = 3$

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2} W_{\mathbf{k}}^l \frac{d \ln \mathbf{q}_2(t)}{dt} &\simeq \frac{3}{4} \left(\int n_{\mathbf{p}}^2 \mathbf{p}^2 d|\mathbf{p}| \right) \mathbf{q}_2^0 W_{\mathbf{k}}^l \int d\mathbf{k}_1 d\mathbf{k}_2 |\mathcal{T}_{\mathbf{k}_1, \mathbf{k}_2}^{(2, \mathcal{A})}(\mathbf{v})|^2 (W_{\mathbf{k}_1}^l + W_{\mathbf{k}_2}^l) \\ &\times (2\pi) \delta(\omega_{\mathbf{k}_1}^l - \omega_{\mathbf{k}_2}^l - \mathbf{v} \cdot (\mathbf{k}_1 - \mathbf{k}_2)). \end{aligned} \quad (12.5)$$

It is interesting to note that in spite of the fact that the contribution quadratic with respect to the function $W_{\mathbf{k}}^l$ fell out in the final kinetic equation (9.15) (the color coefficient in front of the product $W_{\mathbf{k}}^l W_{\mathbf{k}_1}^l$ turned to zero), this contribution still appears in a slightly different form due to the term (12.5).

Thus, at the cost of the appearance of non-local in time terms on the right-hand sides, we can completely close the system of kinetic equations for the scalar plasmon number densities $N_{\mathbf{k}}^l$ and $W_{\mathbf{k}}^l$ in the framework of the accepted accuracy, making use of the approximation (12.2) instead of the colorless combination $\mathbf{q}_2(t)$.

To conclude this section, we note that there are no conservation laws similar to (12.3) generated by the kinetic equation for the function $W_{\mathbf{k}}^l$. Nevertheless, we have shown earlier [2] that there exists a relation between the integral function

$$\mathbb{W}^l(t) \equiv \int d\mathbf{k} W_{\mathbf{k}}^l$$

and the quadratic colorless combination $\mathbf{q}_2(t)$ of the following form

$$\left(\frac{1 - N_c \mathfrak{W}^l(0)}{1 - N_c \mathfrak{W}^l(t)} \right)^2 = \left(\frac{\mathbf{q}_2(t)}{\mathbf{q}_2^0} \right).$$

In the case of equations (12.4) and (11.18), where new contributions appear, this relation also holds, but only for the special case, when $N_c = 3$.

13 Connection with the approach of the work [2]. The Hamiltonians

We now return to the starting third-order Hamiltonian (2.11). We are interesting in the terms connected with the hard momentum modes. In the framework of the hard thermal loop (HTL) approximation we have the following equalities

$$\mathcal{W}_{\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{p}_1, \mathbf{p}_2}^{a i_1 i_2} = \mathcal{S}_{\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{p}_1, \mathbf{p}_2}^{a i_1 i_2} = 0. \quad (13.1)$$

The only coefficient function $\Phi_{\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{p}_1, \mathbf{p}_2}^{a i_1 i_2}$ is different from zero. In this case, for the terms related to the interaction of hard and soft modes, we have instead of (2.11)

$$\begin{aligned} H^{(3)} &= \int d\mathbf{k} d\mathbf{p}_1 d\mathbf{p}_2 \left\{ \Phi_{\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{p}_1, \mathbf{p}_2}^{a i_1 i_2} a_{\mathbf{k}}^a \xi_{\mathbf{p}_1}^{* i_1} \xi_{\mathbf{p}_2}^{i_2} (2\pi)^3 \delta(\mathbf{k} - \mathbf{p}_1 + \mathbf{p}_2) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \Phi_{\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{p}_2, \mathbf{p}_1}^{* a i_2 i_1} a_{\mathbf{k}}^{* a} \xi_{\mathbf{p}_1}^{* i_1} \xi_{\mathbf{p}_2}^{i_2} (2\pi)^3 \delta(\mathbf{k} + \mathbf{p}_1 - \mathbf{p}_2) \right\}. \end{aligned} \quad (13.2)$$

Let us show how this expression can be reduced to the form presented in the paper [2], namely to the third-order interaction Hamiltonian

$$H^{(3)} = \int d\mathbf{k} [\phi_{\mathbf{k}} a_{\mathbf{k}}^a Q^a + \phi_{\mathbf{k}}^* a_{\mathbf{k}}^{*a} Q^a], \quad (13.3)$$

where Q^a is a classical color charge satisfying the well-known Wong equation [24]. For this purpose, by analogy with (5.7) we employ an ansatz separating the color and momentum degrees of freedom:

$$\xi_{\mathbf{p}}^i = \theta^i \zeta_{\mathbf{p}}, \quad \xi_{\mathbf{p}}^{*i} = \theta^{*i} \zeta_{\mathbf{p}}^* \quad (13.4)$$

with the *same* random momentum function $\zeta_{\mathbf{p}}$, but, unlike (5.7), with another set of Grassmann color charges θ^{*i} and θ^i belonging to the defining representation of the $SU(N_c)$ group and which are in involution with respect to the conjugation $*$. We also represent the coefficient function $\Phi_{\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{p}_1, \mathbf{p}_2}^{a i_1 i_2}$ itself in the color factorized form

$$\Phi_{\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{p}_1, \mathbf{p}_2}^{a i_1 i_2} = (t^a)^{i_1 i_2} \Phi_{\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{p}_1, \mathbf{p}_2}. \quad (13.5)$$

By taking into account the representations (13.4) and (13.5), the third-order interaction Hamiltonian (13.2) takes the following form:

$$\begin{aligned} & \int d\mathbf{k} d\mathbf{p}_1 d\mathbf{p}_2 \left\{ \Phi_{\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{p}_1, \mathbf{p}_2} \zeta_{\mathbf{p}_1}^* \zeta_{\mathbf{p}_2} a_{\mathbf{k}}^a Q^a (2\pi)^3 \delta(\mathbf{k} - \mathbf{p}_1 + \mathbf{p}_2) \right. \\ & \quad \left. + \Phi_{\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{p}_2, \mathbf{p}_1}^* \zeta_{\mathbf{p}_1}^* \zeta_{\mathbf{p}_2} a_{\mathbf{k}}^{*a} Q^a (2\pi)^3 \delta(\mathbf{k} + \mathbf{p}_1 - \mathbf{p}_2) \right\} \\ & = \int d\mathbf{k} d\mathbf{p} \left\{ \Phi_{\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{p}, \mathbf{p}-\mathbf{k}} \zeta_{\mathbf{p}}^* \zeta_{\mathbf{p}-\mathbf{k}} a_{\mathbf{k}}^a Q^a + \Phi_{\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{p}+\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{p}}^* \zeta_{\mathbf{p}}^* \zeta_{\mathbf{p}+\mathbf{k}} a_{\mathbf{k}}^{*a} Q^a \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Here, by the color charge Q^a we mean the expression

$$Q^a \equiv \theta^{*i_1} (t^a)^{i_1 i_2} \theta^{i_2} \quad (13.6)$$

and at the final stage we have integrated over \mathbf{p}_2 and performed the replacement $\mathbf{p}_1 \rightarrow \mathbf{p}$. Comparing the obtained expression with (13.3), we come to the following equality connecting the vertex functions of two approaches

$$\phi_{\mathbf{k}} = \int d\mathbf{p} \Phi_{\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{p}, \mathbf{p}-\mathbf{k}} \zeta_{\mathbf{p}}^* \zeta_{\mathbf{p}-\mathbf{k}}. \quad (13.7)$$

Here, we can take a step little further by using some additional assumptions. Consider the limit

$$|\mathbf{p}| \gg |\mathbf{k}|,$$

i.e., we believe that the momentum of a hard particle is much larger compared to the momentum of the soft collective mode. Further, the function $\zeta_{\mathbf{p}}$ is assumed to depend only on the momentum modulus $|\mathbf{p}|$. In turn, the three-point vertex function $\Phi_{\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{p}, \mathbf{p}}$ is considered to depend only on the velocity $\mathbf{v} \equiv \mathbf{p}/|\mathbf{p}|$, i.e.,

$$\Phi_{\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{p}, \mathbf{p}} \equiv \Phi_{\mathbf{k}}(\mathbf{v}). \quad (13.8)$$

We represent the integration measure in (13.7) as $d\mathbf{p} = |\mathbf{p}|^2 d|\mathbf{p}| d\Omega_{\mathbf{v}}$. In this case, the expression (13.7) can be represented in the following form

$$\Phi_{\mathbf{k}} = \left(\int |\zeta_{\mathbf{p}}|^2 \mathbf{p}^2 d|\mathbf{p}| \right) \int d\Omega_{\mathbf{v}} \Phi_{\mathbf{k}}(\mathbf{v}). \quad (13.9)$$

The expression in parentheses, is actually just some statistical factor that we can omit by redefining, for example, the function $\Phi_{\mathbf{k}}(\mathbf{v})$ or by specifying the normalization

$$\int |\zeta_{\mathbf{p}}|^2 \mathbf{p}^2 d|\mathbf{p}| = 1.$$

Further, the integral over the solid angle $d\Omega_{\mathbf{v}}$ defines an effective averaging over the direction of hard particle motion inside a hot QCD medium. If we are interested in the behavior of a particular hard particle with a given direction of motion \mathbf{v} , this averaging should be simply omitted and thus, the function $\Phi_{\mathbf{k}}$ in the Hamiltonian (13.3) will depend parametrically on the velocity \mathbf{v} through the relation

$$\phi_{\mathbf{k}} \equiv \Phi_{\mathbf{k}}(\mathbf{v}). \quad (13.10)$$

We now turn to the fourth-order effective Hamiltonian $\mathcal{H}_{gG \rightarrow gG}^{(4)}$, Eq. (4.3). In section 7 we have shown that in the limit (7.1), when the inequality (7.5) is true, this Hamiltonian can be represented in a rather compact form (7.6). If we remove the statistical factor and the averaging over the direction of hard particle, then this Hamiltonian takes the form

$$\mathcal{H}_{gG \rightarrow gG}^{(4)} = i f^{a_1 a_2 a_3} \int d\mathbf{k}_1 d\mathbf{k}_2 \mathcal{T}_{\mathbf{k}_1, \mathbf{k}_2}^{(2, \mathcal{A})}(\mathbf{v}) c_{\mathbf{k}_1}^{*a_1} c_{\mathbf{k}_2}^{a_2} \mathcal{Q}^{a_3}, \quad (13.11)$$

where we put

$$\mathcal{T}_{\mathbf{k}_1, \mathbf{k}_2}^{(2, \mathcal{A})}(\mathbf{v}) \equiv \mathcal{T}_{\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{p}, \mathbf{k}_1, \mathbf{k}_2}^{(2, \mathcal{A})},$$

and the effective amplitude $\mathcal{T}_{\mathbf{k}_1, \mathbf{k}_2}^{(2, \mathcal{A})}(\mathbf{v})$, in view of the notation (13.8), is determined by the expression:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{T}_{\mathbf{k}_1, \mathbf{k}_2}^{(2, \mathcal{A})}(\mathbf{v}) = & T_{\mathbf{k}_1, \mathbf{k}_2}^{(2, \mathcal{A})}(\mathbf{v}) + \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{1}{\omega_{\mathbf{k}_1}^l - \mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{k}_1} + \frac{1}{\omega_{\mathbf{k}_2}^l - \mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{k}_2} \right) \Phi_{\mathbf{k}_1}^*(\mathbf{v}) \Phi_{\mathbf{k}_2}(\mathbf{v}). \quad (13.12) \\ & - i \left[\left(\frac{1}{\omega_{\mathbf{k}_1}^l - \omega_{\mathbf{k}_2}^l - \omega_{\mathbf{k}_1 - \mathbf{k}_2}^l} - \frac{1}{\omega_{\mathbf{k}_1 - \mathbf{k}_2}^l - \mathbf{v} \cdot (\mathbf{k}_1 - \mathbf{k}_2)} \right) \mathcal{V}_{\mathbf{k}_1, \mathbf{k}_2, \mathbf{k}_1 - \mathbf{k}_2} \Phi_{\mathbf{k}_1 - \mathbf{k}_2}^*(\mathbf{v}) \right. \\ & \left. - \left(\frac{1}{\omega_{\mathbf{k}_2}^l - \omega_{\mathbf{k}_1}^l - \omega_{\mathbf{k}_2 - \mathbf{k}_1}^l} - \frac{1}{\omega_{\mathbf{k}_2 - \mathbf{k}_1}^l - \mathbf{v} \cdot (\mathbf{k}_2 - \mathbf{k}_1)} \right) \mathcal{V}_{\mathbf{k}_2, \mathbf{k}_1, \mathbf{k}_2 - \mathbf{k}_1}^* \Phi_{\mathbf{k}_2 - \mathbf{k}_1}(\mathbf{v}) \right]. \end{aligned}$$

The effective Hamiltonian (13.11) should be compared with the corresponding effective Hamiltonian we obtained earlier in [2]:

$$\mathcal{H}_{gG \rightarrow gG}^{(4)} = i f^{a_1 a_2 a_3} \int d\mathbf{k}_1 d\mathbf{k}_2 \mathcal{T}_{\mathbf{k}_1, \mathbf{k}_2}^{(2)} c_{\mathbf{k}_1}^{*a_1} c_{\mathbf{k}_2}^{a_2} \mathcal{Q}^{a_3},$$

where the *complete effective amplitude* $\mathcal{T}_{\mathbf{k}_1, \mathbf{k}_2}^{(2)}$ has the following structure:

$$\mathcal{T}_{\mathbf{k}_1, \mathbf{k}_2}^{(2)} = T_{\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{k}}^{(2)} + \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{1}{\omega_{\mathbf{k}_1}^l - \mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{k}_1} + \frac{1}{\omega_{\mathbf{k}_2}^l - \mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{k}_2} \right) \Phi_{\mathbf{k}_1}^* \Phi_{\mathbf{k}_2}$$

$$+ i \left[\left(\frac{1}{\omega_{\mathbf{k}_1 - \mathbf{k}_2}^l - \mathbf{v} \cdot (\mathbf{k}_1 - \mathbf{k}_2)} + \frac{1}{\omega_{\mathbf{k}_1 - \mathbf{k}_2}^l - \omega_{\mathbf{k}_1}^l + \omega_{\mathbf{k}_2}^l} \right) \mathcal{V}_{\mathbf{k}_1, \mathbf{k}_2, \mathbf{k}_1 - \mathbf{k}_2} \Phi_{\mathbf{k}_1 - \mathbf{k}_2}^* \right. \\ \left. - \left(\frac{1}{\omega_{\mathbf{k}_2 - \mathbf{k}_1}^l - \mathbf{v} \cdot (\mathbf{k}_2 - \mathbf{k}_1)} + \frac{1}{\omega_{\mathbf{k}_2 - \mathbf{k}_1}^l + \omega_{\mathbf{k}_1}^l - \omega_{\mathbf{k}_2}^l} \right) \mathcal{V}_{\mathbf{k}_2, \mathbf{k}_1, \mathbf{k}_2 - \mathbf{k}_1}^* \Phi_{\mathbf{k}_2 - \mathbf{k}_1} \right].$$

Using the relation (13.10), we can see that the expression (13.12), which we derived above, differs only by the sign in front of the square brackets.

14 Connection with the approach of the work [2]. Canonical transformations

We now analyze the relation between the canonical transformations (3.5), (3.6) and (E.1), (E.5). We first consider the relation between the canonical transformations of the normal field variable $a_{\mathbf{k}}^a$. In the hard thermal loop (HTL) approximation, it follows from the equalities (13.1), by virtue of the relations (4.2), that

$$F_{\mathbf{k}_1, \mathbf{p}, \mathbf{p}_1}^{(1) a_1 i_1 i_1} = F_{\mathbf{k}_1, \mathbf{p}, \mathbf{p}_1}^{(3) a_1 i_1 i_1} = 0. \quad (14.1)$$

Further, within the same approximation (see section 14 in [1]) for the higher coefficient functions $J_{\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{k}_1, \mathbf{p}_1, \mathbf{p}_2}^{(n) a a_1 i_1 i_2}$ in the transformation (3.5) the following equalities hold

$$J_{\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{k}_1, \mathbf{p}_1, \mathbf{p}_2}^{(1) a a_1 i_1 i_2} = J_{\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{k}_1, \mathbf{p}_1, \mathbf{p}_2}^{(3) a a_1 i_1 i_2} = J_{\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{k}_1, \mathbf{p}_1, \mathbf{p}_2}^{(4) a a_1 i_1 i_2} = J_{\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{k}_1, \mathbf{p}_1, \mathbf{p}_2}^{(6) a a_1 i_1 i_2} = 0. \quad (14.2)$$

Thus, taking into account the mentioned above, the canonical transformation (3.5) in the HTL-approximation is

$$a_{\mathbf{k}}^a = c_{\mathbf{k}}^a + \int d\mathbf{k}_1 d\mathbf{k}_2 \left[V_{\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{k}_1, \mathbf{k}_2}^{(1) a a_1 a_2} c_{\mathbf{k}_1}^{a_1} c_{\mathbf{k}_2}^{a_2} + V_{\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{k}_1, \mathbf{k}_2}^{(2) a a_1 a_2} c_{\mathbf{k}_1}^{* a_1} c_{\mathbf{k}_2}^{a_2} + V_{\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{k}_1, \mathbf{k}_2}^{(3) a a_1 a_2} c_{\mathbf{k}_1}^{* a_1} c_{\mathbf{k}_2}^{* a_2} \right] \\ + \int d\mathbf{p}_1 d\mathbf{p}_2 F_{\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{p}_1, \mathbf{p}_2}^{(2) a i_1 i_2} \zeta_{\mathbf{p}_1}^{* i_1} \zeta_{\mathbf{p}_2}^{i_2} + \int d\mathbf{k}_1 d\mathbf{p}_1 d\mathbf{p}_2 \left[J_{\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{k}_1, \mathbf{p}_1, \mathbf{p}_2}^{(2) a a_1 i_1 i_2} c_{\mathbf{k}_1}^{a_1} \zeta_{\mathbf{p}_1}^{* i_1} \zeta_{\mathbf{p}_2}^{i_2} + J_{\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{k}_1, \mathbf{p}_1, \mathbf{p}_2}^{(5) a a_1 i_1 i_2} c_{\mathbf{k}_1}^{* a_1} \zeta_{\mathbf{p}_1}^{* i_1} \zeta_{\mathbf{p}_2}^{i_2} \right] \\ + \dots$$

Next, we factorize the color and momentum dependence of the function $\zeta_{\mathbf{p}}^i$ by the rule (5.7) and separate the color dependence from the coefficient function $F_{\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{p}_1, \mathbf{p}_2}^{(2) a i_1 i_2}$

$$F_{\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{p}_1, \mathbf{p}_2}^{(2) a i_1 i_2} = (t^a)^{i_1 i_2} F_{\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{p}_1, \mathbf{p}_2}^{(2)}. \quad (14.4)$$

The color structure of the higher-order coefficient functions $J_{\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{k}_1, \mathbf{p}_1, \mathbf{p}_2}^{(2,5) a a_1 i_1 i_2}$ has the form similar to the color structure of the complete effective amplitude (5.12):

$$J_{\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{k}_1, \mathbf{p}_1, \mathbf{p}_2}^{(2,5) a a_1 i_1 i_2} = [t^a, t^{a_1}]^{i_1 i_2} J_{\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{k}_1, \mathbf{p}_1, \mathbf{p}_2}^{(2,5; \mathcal{A})} + \{t^a, t^{a_1}\}^{i_1 i_2} J_{\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{k}_1, \mathbf{p}_1, \mathbf{p}_2}^{(2,5; \mathcal{S})}.$$

The explicit form of the functions $J_{\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{k}_1, \mathbf{p}_1, \mathbf{p}_2}^{(2,5; \mathcal{A})}$ and $J_{\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{k}_1, \mathbf{p}_1, \mathbf{p}_2}^{(2,5; \mathcal{S})}$ can be easily recovered from the known exact expressions (F.1) and (F.3) in Appendix F. Following the reasoning of section 7, within the framework of the hard thermal loop approximation and in the limit when

$$|\mathbf{p}_1|, |\mathbf{p}_2| \gg |\mathbf{k}|, |\mathbf{k}_1|, \quad (14.5)$$

it can be shown that the inequality analogous to the inequality (7.5) is true

$$|J_{\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{k}_1, \mathbf{p}_1, \mathbf{p}_2}^{(2,5;\mathcal{A})}| \gg |J_{\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{k}_1, \mathbf{p}_1, \mathbf{p}_2}^{(2,5;\mathcal{S})}|.$$

Taking all the above into account, the canonical transformation (14.3) can be written as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} a_{\mathbf{k}}^a &= c_{\mathbf{k}}^a + \int \frac{d\mathbf{k}_1 d\mathbf{k}_2}{(2\pi)^6} \left[V_{\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{k}_1, \mathbf{k}_2}^{(1) a a_1 a_2} c_{\mathbf{k}_1}^{a_1} c_{\mathbf{k}_2}^{a_2} + V_{\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{k}_1, \mathbf{k}_2}^{(2) a a_1 a_2} c_{\mathbf{k}_1}^{* a_1} c_{\mathbf{k}_2}^{a_2} + V_{\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{k}_1, \mathbf{k}_2}^{(3) a a_1 a_2} c_{\mathbf{k}_1}^{* a_1} c_{\mathbf{k}_2}^{* a_2} \right] \\ &+ \left(\int \frac{d\mathbf{p}_1 d\mathbf{p}_2}{(2\pi)^6} F_{\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{p}_1, \mathbf{p}_2}^{(2)} \zeta_{\mathbf{p}_1}^* \zeta_{\mathbf{p}_2} \right) \mathcal{Q}^a + i f^{a a_1 a_2} \int d\mathbf{k}_1 \left[\left(\int d\mathbf{p}_1 d\mathbf{p}_2 J_{\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{k}_1, \mathbf{p}_1, \mathbf{p}_2}^{(2;\mathcal{A})} \zeta_{\mathbf{p}_1}^* \zeta_{\mathbf{p}_2} \right) c_{\mathbf{k}}^{a_1} \mathcal{Q}^{a_2} \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \left(\int d\mathbf{p}_1 d\mathbf{p}_2 J_{\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{k}_1, \mathbf{p}_1, \mathbf{p}_2}^{(5;\mathcal{A})} \zeta_{\mathbf{p}_1}^* \zeta_{\mathbf{p}_2} \right) c_{\mathbf{k}_1}^{* a_1} \mathcal{Q}^{a_2} \right] + \dots, \end{aligned}$$

where the classical color charge \mathcal{Q}^a is given by the expression (7.7). Comparing the obtained canonical transformation with (E.1), we arrive at the equalities connecting the coefficient functions in the canonical transformations of the two approaches:

$$F_{\mathbf{k}} = \int d\mathbf{p}_1 d\mathbf{p}_2 F_{\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{p}_1, \mathbf{p}_2}^{(2)} \zeta_{\mathbf{p}_1}^* \zeta_{\mathbf{p}_2},$$

$$\tilde{V}_{\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{k}_1}^{(1) a a_1 a_2} = i f^{a a_1 a_2} \int d\mathbf{p}_1 d\mathbf{p}_2 J_{\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{k}_1, \mathbf{p}_1, \mathbf{p}_2}^{(5;\mathcal{A})} \zeta_{\mathbf{p}_1}^* \zeta_{\mathbf{p}_2},$$

$$\tilde{V}_{\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{k}_1}^{(2) a a_1 a_2} = i f^{a a_1 a_2} \int d\mathbf{p}_1 d\mathbf{p}_2 J_{\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{k}_1, \mathbf{p}_1, \mathbf{p}_2}^{(2;\mathcal{A})} \zeta_{\mathbf{p}_1}^* \zeta_{\mathbf{p}_2}.$$

Here, as above, we can take things a step further by considering inequalities (14.5). Based on the representation (4.2) for the function $F_{\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{p}_1, \mathbf{p}_2}^{(2)}$ and the representations (F.1) and (F.3) for the functions $J_{\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{k}_1, \mathbf{p}_1, \mathbf{p}_2}^{(2;\mathcal{A})}$ and $J_{\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{k}_1, \mathbf{p}_1, \mathbf{p}_2}^{(5;\mathcal{A})}$, we can cast the previous expressions in the form similar to (13.9)

$$F_{\mathbf{k}} = - \left(\int |\zeta_{\mathbf{p}}|^2 \mathbf{p}^2 d|\mathbf{p}| \right) \int d\Omega_{\mathbf{v}} \frac{\Phi_{\mathbf{k}}^*(\mathbf{v})}{\omega_{\mathbf{k}}^l - \mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{k}}, \quad (14.6)$$

$$\tilde{V}_{\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{k}_1}^{(1) a a_1 a_2} = i f^{a a_1 a_2} \left(\int |\zeta_{\mathbf{p}}|^2 \mathbf{p}^2 d|\mathbf{p}| \right) \int d\Omega_{\mathbf{v}} J_{\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{k}_1}^{(5;\mathcal{A})}(\mathbf{v}), \quad (14.7)$$

$$\tilde{V}_{\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{k}_1}^{(2) a a_1 a_2} = i f^{a a_1 a_2} \left(\int |\zeta_{\mathbf{p}}|^2 \mathbf{p}^2 d|\mathbf{p}| \right) \int d\Omega_{\mathbf{v}} J_{\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{k}_1}^{(2;\mathcal{A})}(\mathbf{v}), \quad (14.8)$$

where, by analogy with (8.3) and (13.8), we have set

$$J_{\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{k}_1, \mathbf{p}, \mathbf{p}}^{(2;\mathcal{A})} \equiv J_{\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{k}_1}^{(2;\mathcal{A})}(\mathbf{v}), \quad J_{\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{k}_1, \mathbf{p}, \mathbf{p}}^{(5;\mathcal{A})} \equiv J_{\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{k}_1}^{(5;\mathcal{A})}(\mathbf{v}).$$

The explicit form of $J_{\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{k}_1}^{(2;\mathcal{A})}(\mathbf{v})$ and $J_{\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{k}_1}^{(5;\mathcal{A})}(\mathbf{v})$, is defined within the considered approximation by the following expressions (compare with (7.4)):

$$J_{\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{k}_1}^{(2;\mathcal{A})}(\mathbf{v}) = -\frac{1}{2} \frac{\Phi_{\mathbf{k}}^*(\mathbf{v}) \Phi_{\mathbf{k}_1}(\mathbf{v})}{(\omega_{\mathbf{k}}^l - \mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{k})(\omega_{\mathbf{k}_1}^l - \mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{k}_1)} \quad (14.9)$$

$$-i \left(\frac{\mathcal{V}_{\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{k}_1, \mathbf{k}-\mathbf{k}_1} \Phi_{\mathbf{k}-\mathbf{k}_1}^*(\mathbf{v})}{(\omega_{\mathbf{k}}^l - \omega_{\mathbf{k}_1}^l - \omega_{\mathbf{k}-\mathbf{k}_1}^l)(\omega_{\mathbf{k}-\mathbf{k}_1}^l - \mathbf{v} \cdot (\mathbf{k} - \mathbf{k}_1))} + \frac{\Phi_{\mathbf{k}_1-\mathbf{k}}(\mathbf{v}) \mathcal{V}_{\mathbf{k}_1, \mathbf{k}, \mathbf{k}_1-\mathbf{k}}^*}{(\omega_{\mathbf{k}_1}^l - \omega_{\mathbf{k}}^l - \omega_{\mathbf{k}_1-\mathbf{k}}^l)(\omega_{\mathbf{k}_1-\mathbf{k}}^l - \mathbf{v} \cdot (\mathbf{k}_1 - \mathbf{k}))} \right),$$

$$J_{\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{k}_1}^{(5; \mathcal{A})}(\mathbf{v}) = \frac{1}{\omega_{\mathbf{k}}^l + \omega_{\mathbf{k}_1}^l - \mathbf{v} \cdot (\mathbf{k} + \mathbf{k}_1)} \quad (14.10)$$

$$\times \left\{ \frac{\Phi_{\mathbf{k}}^*(\mathbf{v}) \Phi_{\mathbf{k}_1}^*(\mathbf{v})}{\omega_{\mathbf{k}_1}^l - \mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{k}_1} - 2i \left(\frac{\mathcal{U}_{\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{k}_1, -\mathbf{k}-\mathbf{k}_1}^* \Phi_{-\mathbf{k}-\mathbf{k}_1}(\mathbf{v})}{\omega_{-\mathbf{k}-\mathbf{k}_1}^l + \mathbf{v} \cdot (\mathbf{k} + \mathbf{k}_1)} + \frac{\mathcal{V}_{\mathbf{k}+\mathbf{k}_1, \mathbf{k}, \mathbf{k}_1}^* \Phi_{\mathbf{k}+\mathbf{k}_1}(\mathbf{v})}{\omega_{\mathbf{k}+\mathbf{k}_1}^l - \mathbf{v} \cdot (\mathbf{k} + \mathbf{k}_1)} \right) \right\}.$$

For a comparison of the coefficient functions $F_{\mathbf{k}}$, $\tilde{V}_{\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{k}_1}^{(1) a a_1 a_2}$ and $\tilde{V}_{\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{k}_1}^{(2) a a_1 a_2}$, Eqs. (14.6)–(14.8), with the expressions we obtained earlier in another approach, Eqs. (E.2)–(E.4), on the right-hand side of (14.6)–(14.8) we need to omit the statistical factor

$$\left(\int |\zeta_{\mathbf{p}}|^2 \mathbf{p}^2 d|\mathbf{p}| \right)$$

(or normalize to 1) and remove the integration over solid angle $d\Omega_{\mathbf{v}}$. In this case the coefficient functions (14.6)–(14.8) takes the form

$$F_{\mathbf{k}} = -\frac{\Phi_{\mathbf{k}}^*(\mathbf{v})}{\omega_{\mathbf{k}}^l - \mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{k}},$$

$$\tilde{V}_{\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{k}_1}^{(1) a a_1 a_2} = i f^{a a_1 a_2} J_{\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{k}_1}^{(5; \mathcal{A})}(\mathbf{v}),$$

$$\tilde{V}_{\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{k}_1}^{(2) a a_1 a_2} = i f^{a a_1 a_2} J_{\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{k}_1}^{(2; \mathcal{A})}(\mathbf{v}).$$

They now parametrically depend on the velocity vector \mathbf{v} of the hard particle. Substituting (14.9) and (14.10) into the right-hand side and taking into account the relation (13.10), we see their perfect coincidence with (E.2), (E.3) and (E.4).

We now proceed to the establishment of the relationship between canonical transformations of the Grassmann-valued function $\xi_{\mathbf{p}}^i$ defined by the expression (3.6) and the classical color charge Q^a , Eq. (E.5). Recall that the color charge Q^a is defined with the help of the set of Grassmann-valued functions (θ^{*i}, θ^i) by the relation (13.6). Let us restrict our attention to the *linear* terms in a new color charge \mathcal{Q}^a which in turn is defined by another set of Grassmann-valued functions (θ^{*i}, θ^i) through the relation (7.7). The second set of Grassmann variables is related to the first one by a canonical transformation of the type (3.6).

Since contributions with the higher functions $S_{\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{p}_1, \mathbf{p}_2, \mathbf{p}_3}^{(n) i_1 i_2 i_3}$, $n = 1, \dots, 4$, in the canonical transformation (3.6) give us quadratic in \mathcal{Q}^a terms, we do not consider them. Further we express the functions $Q_{\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{k}_1, \mathbf{p}_1}^{(n) i a_1 i_1}$, $n = 1, \dots, 4$ through the functions $F_{\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{p}_1, \mathbf{p}_2}^{(n) a i_1 i_2}$ according to the rules (3.7) and take into account (14.1). We have shown in [1] (section 14) that the equalities

$$R_{\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{k}_1, \mathbf{k}_2, \mathbf{p}_1}^{(4) i a_1 a_2 i_1} = R_{\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{k}_1, \mathbf{k}_2, \mathbf{p}_1}^{(5) i a_1 a_2 i_1} = R_{\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{k}_1, \mathbf{k}_2, \mathbf{p}_1}^{(6) i a_1 a_2 i_1} = 0$$

are a consequence of the canonicity conditions and the equalities (14.2). The canonicity conditions connect the higher-order coefficient functions $J_{\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{k}_1, \mathbf{p}_1, \mathbf{p}_2}^{(n) a a_1 i_1 i_2}$ and $R_{\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{k}_1, \mathbf{k}_2, \mathbf{p}_1}^{(n) i a_1 a_2 i_1}$ among themselves. Thus, the canonical transformation (3.6) takes the following form:

$$\xi_{\mathbf{p}}^i = \zeta_{\mathbf{p}}^i - \int d\mathbf{k}_1 d\mathbf{p}_1 \left[F_{\mathbf{k}_1, \mathbf{p}_1, \mathbf{p}}^{*(2) a_1 i_1 i} c_{\mathbf{k}_1}^{a_1} \zeta_{\mathbf{p}_1}^{i_1} - F_{\mathbf{k}_1, \mathbf{p}, \mathbf{p}_1}^{(2) a_1 i i_1} c_{\mathbf{k}_1}^{* a_1} \zeta_{\mathbf{p}_1}^{i_1} \right] \quad (14.11)$$

$$+ \int d\mathbf{k}_1 d\mathbf{k}_2 d\mathbf{p}_1 \left[R_{\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{k}_1, \mathbf{k}_2, \mathbf{p}_1}^{(1) i a_1 a_2 i_1} c_{\mathbf{k}_1}^{a_1} c_{\mathbf{k}_2}^{a_2} \zeta_{\mathbf{p}_1}^{i_1} + R_{\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{k}_1, \mathbf{k}_2, \mathbf{p}_1}^{(2) i a_1 a_2 i_1} c_{\mathbf{k}_1}^{* a_1} c_{\mathbf{k}_2}^{a_2} \zeta_{\mathbf{p}_1}^{i_1} + R_{\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{k}_1, \mathbf{k}_2, \mathbf{p}_1}^{(3) i a_1 a_2 i_1} c_{\mathbf{k}_1}^{* a_1} c_{\mathbf{k}_2}^{* a_2} \zeta_{\mathbf{p}_1}^{i_1} \right] + \dots$$

Let us now substitute the canonical transformation (14.11) and its conjugate into the expression

$$\zeta_{\mathbf{p}}^{*i} (t^a)^{ii_1} \zeta_{\mathbf{p}}^{i_1}. \quad (14.12)$$

In view of the decompositions (13.4) and (5.7), as well as the definitions of color charges Q^a and \mathcal{Q}^a , Eqs. (13.6) and (7.7), we find as a consequence of (14.11) and (14.12)

$$\begin{aligned} |\zeta_{\mathbf{p}}|^2 Q^a &= |\zeta_{\mathbf{p}}|^2 \mathcal{Q}^a - \zeta_{\mathbf{p}}^* \theta^{*i_2} (t^a)^{i_2 i} \int d\mathbf{k}_1 d\mathbf{p}_1 \left[F_{\mathbf{k}_1, \mathbf{p}_1, \mathbf{p}}^{*(2) a_1 i_1 i} c_{\mathbf{k}_1}^{a_1} \zeta_{\mathbf{p}_1} \theta^{i_1} - F_{\mathbf{k}_1, \mathbf{p}, \mathbf{p}_1}^{(2) a_1 i i_1} c_{\mathbf{k}_1}^{*a_1} \zeta_{\mathbf{p}_1} \theta^{i_1} \right] \\ &\quad - \int d\mathbf{k}_1 d\mathbf{p}_1 \left[F_{\mathbf{k}_1, \mathbf{p}_1, \mathbf{p}}^{(2) a_1 i_1 i} c_{\mathbf{k}_1}^{*a_1} \zeta_{\mathbf{p}_1}^* \theta^{*i_1} - F_{\mathbf{k}_1, \mathbf{p}, \mathbf{p}_1}^{*(2) a_1 i i_1} c_{\mathbf{k}_1}^{a_1} \zeta_{\mathbf{p}_1}^* \theta^{*i_1} \right] (t^a)^{i_2} \zeta_{\mathbf{p}} \theta^{i_2} \\ &\quad + \int d\mathbf{k}_1 d\mathbf{p}_1 \left[F_{\mathbf{k}_1, \mathbf{p}_1, \mathbf{p}}^{(2) a_1 i_1 i} c_{\mathbf{k}_1}^{*a_1} \zeta_{\mathbf{p}_1}^* \theta^{*i_1} - F_{\mathbf{k}_1, \mathbf{p}, \mathbf{p}_1}^{*(2) a_1 i i_1} c_{\mathbf{k}_1}^{a_1} \zeta_{\mathbf{p}_1}^* \theta^{*i_1} \right] (t^a)^{i_2} \\ &\quad \times \int d\mathbf{k}'_1 d\mathbf{p}'_1 \left[F_{\mathbf{k}'_1, \mathbf{p}'_1, \mathbf{p}}^{*(2) a'_1 i'_1 i_2} c_{\mathbf{k}'_1}^{a'_1} \zeta_{\mathbf{p}'_1} \theta^{i'_1} - F_{\mathbf{k}'_1, \mathbf{p}, \mathbf{p}'_1}^{(2) a'_1 i_2 i'_1} c_{\mathbf{k}'_1}^{*a'_1} \zeta_{\mathbf{p}'_1} \theta^{i'_1} \right] \\ &\quad + \zeta_{\mathbf{p}}^* \theta^{*i_2} (t^a)^{i_2 i} \int d\mathbf{k}_1 d\mathbf{k}_2 d\mathbf{p}_1 \left[R_{\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{k}_1, \mathbf{k}_2, \mathbf{p}_1}^{(1) i a_1 a_2 i_1} c_{\mathbf{k}_1}^{a_1} c_{\mathbf{k}_2}^{a_2} + R_{\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{k}_1, \mathbf{k}_2, \mathbf{p}_1}^{(2) i a_1 a_2 i_1} c_{\mathbf{k}_1}^{*a_1} c_{\mathbf{k}_2}^{a_2} + R_{\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{k}_1, \mathbf{k}_2, \mathbf{p}_1}^{(3) i a_1 a_2 i_1} c_{\mathbf{k}_1}^{*a_1} c_{\mathbf{k}_2}^{*a_2} \right] \zeta_{\mathbf{p}_1} \theta^{i_1} \\ &\quad + \int d\mathbf{k}_1 d\mathbf{k}_2 d\mathbf{p}_1 \zeta_{\mathbf{p}_1}^* \theta^{*i_1} \left[R_{\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{k}_1, \mathbf{k}_2, \mathbf{p}_1}^{*(1) i a_1 a_2 i_1} c_{\mathbf{k}_1}^{*a_1} c_{\mathbf{k}_2}^{*a_2} + R_{\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{k}_2, \mathbf{k}_1, \mathbf{p}_1}^{*(2) i a_2 a_1 i_1} c_{\mathbf{k}_1}^{*a_1} c_{\mathbf{k}_2}^{a_2} + R_{\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{k}_1, \mathbf{k}_2, \mathbf{p}_1}^{*(3) i a_1 a_2 i_1} c_{\mathbf{k}_1}^{a_1} c_{\mathbf{k}_2}^{a_2} \right] (t^a)^{i_2} \zeta_{\mathbf{p}} \theta^{i_2}. \end{aligned} \quad (14.13)$$

Let us analyze the color and momentum structure of the right-hand side of this expression. Our first step is to consider the second and third terms. Here we take into account the representation (4.2) for the function $F_{\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{p}_1, \mathbf{p}_2}^{(2) a i_1 i_2}$, which allows us to perform the integration over \mathbf{p}_1 in (14.13). Besides we disentangle the color dependence by the rule (14.4). Then we proceed to the limit (14.5). As a result, for these two terms we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} |\zeta_{\mathbf{p}}|^2 (\theta^{*i} [t^a, t^{a_1}]^{ii_1} \theta^{i_1}) &\int d\mathbf{k}_1 \left[\frac{\Phi_{\mathbf{k}_1}(\mathbf{v})}{\omega_{\mathbf{k}_1}^l - \mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{k}_1} c_{\mathbf{k}_1}^{a_1} - \frac{\Phi_{\mathbf{k}_1}^*(\mathbf{v})}{\omega_{\mathbf{k}_1}^l - \mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{k}_1} c_{\mathbf{k}_1}^{*a_1} \right] \\ &\equiv i |\zeta_{\mathbf{p}}|^2 f^{a a_1 a_2} \mathcal{Q}^{a_2} \int d\mathbf{k}_1 \left[\frac{\Phi_{\mathbf{k}_1}(\mathbf{v})}{\omega_{\mathbf{k}_1}^l - \mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{k}_1} c_{\mathbf{k}_1}^{a_1} - \frac{\Phi_{\mathbf{k}_1}^*(\mathbf{v})}{\omega_{\mathbf{k}_1}^l - \mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{k}_1} c_{\mathbf{k}_1}^{*a_1} \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (14.14)$$

Our next task is to analyze the fourth term in (14.13), which is more complicated. Again, taking into account the representation (4.2) for the function $F_{\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{p}_1, \mathbf{p}_2}^{(2) a i_1 i_2}$, integrating over \mathbf{p}_1 and \mathbf{p}'_1 and passing to the limit (14.5), we find the following representation for this contribution

$$\begin{aligned} |\zeta_{\mathbf{p}}|^2 (\theta^{*i} (t^{a_1} t^a t^{a_2})^{ii_1} \theta^{i_1}) & \\ \times \int d\mathbf{k}_1 d\mathbf{k}_2 &\left[- \frac{\Phi_{\mathbf{k}_1}(\mathbf{v}) \Phi_{\mathbf{k}_2}(\mathbf{v})}{(\omega_{\mathbf{k}_1}^l - \mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{k}_1) (\omega_{\mathbf{k}_2}^l - \mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{k}_2)} c_{\mathbf{k}_1}^{a_1} c_{\mathbf{k}_2}^{a_2} + \frac{\Phi_{\mathbf{k}_1}^*(\mathbf{v}) \Phi_{\mathbf{k}_2}(\mathbf{v})}{(\omega_{\mathbf{k}_1}^l - \mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{k}_1) (\omega_{\mathbf{k}_2}^l - \mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{k}_2)} c_{\mathbf{k}_1}^{*a_1} c_{\mathbf{k}_2}^{a_2} \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \frac{\Phi_{\mathbf{k}_1}(\mathbf{v}) \Phi_{\mathbf{k}_2}^*(\mathbf{v})}{(\omega_{\mathbf{k}_1}^l - \mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{k}_1) (\omega_{\mathbf{k}_2}^l - \mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{k}_2)} c_{\mathbf{k}_1}^{a_1} c_{\mathbf{k}_2}^{*a_2} - \frac{\Phi_{\mathbf{k}_1}^*(\mathbf{v}) \Phi_{\mathbf{k}_2}^*(\mathbf{v})}{(\omega_{\mathbf{k}_1}^l - \mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{k}_1) (\omega_{\mathbf{k}_2}^l - \mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{k}_2)} c_{\mathbf{k}_1}^{*a_1} c_{\mathbf{k}_2}^{*a_2} \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (14.15)$$

It is clear that the second and third terms here through the trivial replacement of the integration variables can be written as

$$\frac{\Phi_{\mathbf{k}_1}^*(\mathbf{v}) \Phi_{\mathbf{k}_2}(\mathbf{v})}{(\omega_{\mathbf{k}_1}^l - \mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{k}_1) (\omega_{\mathbf{k}_2}^l - \mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{k}_2)} (c_{\mathbf{k}_1}^{*a_1} c_{\mathbf{k}_2}^{a_2} + c_{\mathbf{k}_1}^{*a_2} c_{\mathbf{k}_2}^{a_1})$$

and thus the whole integral expression in (14.15) is symmetric with respect to the permutation of the color indices a_1 and a_2 . Therefore, the total color factor in (14.15) can be represented in the more symmetric form

$$\frac{1}{2} \theta^{*i} (t^{a_1} t^{a_2} t^{a_2} + t^{a_2} t^{a_1} t^{a_1})^{ii} \theta^{i_1}. \quad (14.16)$$

This color factor cannot be reduced to an expression involving only the commutative color charge \mathcal{Q}^a , as defined by the formula (7.7). However, as we will show below, the contribution (14.15) is exactly canceled by the corresponding contribution that comes from the higher-order coefficient functions $R_{\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{k}_1, \mathbf{k}_2, \mathbf{p}_1}^{(n) i a_1 a_2 i_1}$, $n = 1, 2, 3$ in (14.13).

We proceed to the analysis of contributions in the original expression (14.13) with the higher coefficient functions $R_{\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{k}_1, \mathbf{k}_2, \mathbf{p}_1}^{(n) i a_1 a_2 i_1}$, $n = 1, 2, 3$. First of all we consider the approximation of the function $R^{(n)}$ for $n = 1$. The explicit form of the original expression for $R_{\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{k}_1, \mathbf{k}_2, \mathbf{p}_1}^{(1) i a_1 a_2 i_1}$ is given in Appendix F, Eq. (F.4). Integrating over \mathbf{p}_1 , as is the case in (14.13) and passing to the limit (14.5), we find the desired approximation

$$R_{\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{k}_1, \mathbf{k}_2, \mathbf{p}}^{(1) i a_1 a_2 i_1} = \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{\omega_{\mathbf{k}_1}^l + \omega_{\mathbf{k}_2}^l - \mathbf{v} \cdot (\mathbf{k}_1 + \mathbf{k}_2)} \left\{ \Phi_{\mathbf{k}_1}(\mathbf{v}) \Phi_{\mathbf{k}_2}(\mathbf{v}) \left(\frac{(t^{a_2} t^{a_1})^{ii_1}}{\omega_{\mathbf{k}_1}^l - \mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{k}_1} + \frac{(t^{a_1} t^{a_2})^{ii_1}}{\omega_{\mathbf{k}_2}^l - \mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{k}_2} \right) \right. \\ \left. - 2 f^{a a_1 a_2} (t^a)^{ii_1} \left(\frac{\mathcal{U}_{\mathbf{k}_1, \mathbf{k}_2, -\mathbf{k}_1 - \mathbf{k}_2} \Phi_{-\mathbf{k}_1 - \mathbf{k}_2}^*(\mathbf{v})}{\omega_{-\mathbf{k}_1 - \mathbf{k}_2}^l + \omega_{\mathbf{k}_1}^l + \omega_{\mathbf{k}_2}^l} + \frac{\mathcal{V}_{\mathbf{k}_1 + \mathbf{k}_2, \mathbf{k}_1, \mathbf{k}_2} \Phi_{\mathbf{k}_1 + \mathbf{k}_2}(\mathbf{v})}{\omega_{\mathbf{k}_1 + \mathbf{k}_2}^l - \omega_{\mathbf{k}_1}^l - \omega_{\mathbf{k}_2}^l} \right) \right\}. \quad (14.17)$$

A similar approximation for the coefficient function $R_{\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{k}_1, \mathbf{k}_2, \mathbf{p}_1}^{(3) i a_1 a_2 i_1}$, Eq. (F.5) has the form

$$R_{\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{k}_1, \mathbf{k}_2, \mathbf{p}}^{(3) i a_1 a_2 i_1} = \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{\omega_{\mathbf{k}_1}^l + \omega_{\mathbf{k}_2}^l - \mathbf{v} \cdot (\mathbf{k}_1 + \mathbf{k}_2)} \left\{ \Phi_{\mathbf{k}_1}^*(\mathbf{v}) \Phi_{\mathbf{k}_2}^*(\mathbf{v}) \left(\frac{(t^{a_2} t^{a_1})^{ii_1}}{\omega_{\mathbf{k}_1}^l - \mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{k}_1} + \frac{(t^{a_1} t^{a_2})^{ii_1}}{\omega_{\mathbf{k}_2}^l - \mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{k}_2} \right) \right. \\ \left. + 2 f^{a a_1 a_2} (t^a)^{ii_1} \left(\frac{\mathcal{U}_{\mathbf{k}_1, \mathbf{k}_2, -\mathbf{k}_1 - \mathbf{k}_2}^* \Phi_{-\mathbf{k}_1 - \mathbf{k}_2}(\mathbf{v})}{\omega_{-\mathbf{k}_1 - \mathbf{k}_2}^l + \omega_{\mathbf{k}_1}^l + \omega_{\mathbf{k}_2}^l} + \frac{\mathcal{V}_{\mathbf{k}_1 + \mathbf{k}_2, \mathbf{k}_1, \mathbf{k}_2}^* \Phi_{\mathbf{k}_1 + \mathbf{k}_2}^*(\mathbf{v})}{\omega_{\mathbf{k}_1 + \mathbf{k}_2}^l - \omega_{\mathbf{k}_1}^l - \omega_{\mathbf{k}_2}^l} \right) \right\}.$$

For completeness, let us also write out an expression for the approximation of the complex conjugate coefficient function $R_{\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{k}_1, \mathbf{k}_2, \mathbf{p}_1}^{*(3) i a_1 a_2 i_1}$ in (14.13):

$$R_{\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{k}_1, \mathbf{k}_2, \mathbf{p}}^{*(3) i a_1 a_2 i_1} = \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{\omega_{\mathbf{k}_1}^l + \omega_{\mathbf{k}_2}^l - \mathbf{v} \cdot (\mathbf{k}_1 + \mathbf{k}_2)} \left\{ \Phi_{\mathbf{k}_1}(\mathbf{v}) \Phi_{\mathbf{k}_2}(\mathbf{v}) \left(\frac{(t^{a_1} t^{a_2})^{i_1 i}}{\omega_{\mathbf{k}_1}^l - \mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{k}_1} + \frac{(t^{a_2} t^{a_1})^{i_1 i}}{\omega_{\mathbf{k}_2}^l - \mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{k}_2} \right) \right. \\ \left. + 2 f^{a a_1 a_2} (t^a)^{i_1 i} \left(\frac{\mathcal{U}_{\mathbf{k}_1, \mathbf{k}_2, -\mathbf{k}_1 - \mathbf{k}_2} \Phi_{-\mathbf{k}_1 - \mathbf{k}_2}^*(\mathbf{v})}{\omega_{-\mathbf{k}_1 - \mathbf{k}_2}^l + \omega_{\mathbf{k}_1}^l + \omega_{\mathbf{k}_2}^l} + \frac{\mathcal{V}_{\mathbf{k}_1 + \mathbf{k}_2, \mathbf{k}_1, \mathbf{k}_2} \Phi_{\mathbf{k}_1 + \mathbf{k}_2}(\mathbf{v})}{\omega_{\mathbf{k}_1 + \mathbf{k}_2}^l - \omega_{\mathbf{k}_1}^l - \omega_{\mathbf{k}_2}^l} \right) \right\}. \quad (14.18)$$

Next, we consider the contributions proportional to the product $c_{\mathbf{k}_1}^{a_1} c_{\mathbf{k}_2}^{a_2}$ in the last two terms of the original expression (14.13). With the use of the approximations (14.17) and (14.18), they can be represented as

$$|\zeta_{\mathbf{p}}|^2 \left\{ \theta^{*i_2} (t^a)^{i_2 i} \int d\mathbf{k}_1 d\mathbf{k}_2 R_{\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{k}_1, \mathbf{k}_2, \mathbf{p}}^{(1) i a_1 a_2 i_1} c_{\mathbf{k}_1}^{a_1} c_{\mathbf{k}_2}^{a_2} \theta^{i_1} + \theta^{*i_1} \int d\mathbf{k}_1 d\mathbf{k}_2 R_{\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{k}_1, \mathbf{k}_2, \mathbf{p}}^{*(3) i_1 a_1 a_2 i} c_{\mathbf{k}_1}^{a_1} c_{\mathbf{k}_2}^{a_2} (t^a)^{i i_2} \theta^{i_2} \right\} \\ = \frac{1}{2} |\zeta_{\mathbf{p}}|^2 \int d\mathbf{k}_1 d\mathbf{k}_2 \frac{1}{\omega_{\mathbf{k}_1}^l + \omega_{\mathbf{k}_2}^l - \mathbf{v} \cdot (\mathbf{k}_1 + \mathbf{k}_2)} c_{\mathbf{k}_1}^{a_1} c_{\mathbf{k}_2}^{a_2} \quad (14.19)$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \times \left\{ \Phi_{\mathbf{k}_1}(\mathbf{v}) \Phi_{\mathbf{k}_2}(\mathbf{v}) \theta^{*i} \left(\frac{t^a t^{a_2} t^{a_1} + t^{a_1} t^{a_2} t^a}{\omega_{\mathbf{k}_1}^l - \mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{k}_1} + \frac{t^a t^{a_1} t^{a_2} + t^{a_2} t^{a_1} t^a}{\omega_{\mathbf{k}_2}^l - \mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{k}_2} \right)^{ii_1} \theta^{i_1} \right. \\ & \left. - 2 f^{e a_1 a_2} (\theta^{*i} [t^a, t^e]^{ii_1} \theta^{i_1}) \left(\frac{\mathcal{U}_{\mathbf{k}_1, \mathbf{k}_2, -\mathbf{k}_1 - \mathbf{k}_2} \Phi_{-\mathbf{k}_1 - \mathbf{k}_2}^*(\mathbf{v})}{\omega_{-\mathbf{k}_1 - \mathbf{k}_2}^l + \omega_{\mathbf{k}_1}^l + \omega_{\mathbf{k}_2}^l} + \frac{\mathcal{V}_{\mathbf{k}_1 + \mathbf{k}_2, \mathbf{k}_1, \mathbf{k}_2} \Phi_{\mathbf{k}_1 + \mathbf{k}_2}(\mathbf{v})}{\omega_{\mathbf{k}_1 + \mathbf{k}_2}^l - \omega_{\mathbf{k}_1}^l - \omega_{\mathbf{k}_2}^l} \right) \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Using the definition of the color charge (7.7) in the last line here we immediately get

$$\theta^{*i} [t^a, t^e]^{ii_1} \theta^{i_1} = i f^{e a e a_3} \mathcal{Q}^{a_3}. \quad (14.20)$$

For the term in (14.19) with a more complicated color structure, we use the obvious identities:

$$\begin{aligned} t^a t^{a_2} t^{a_1} + t^{a_1} t^{a_2} t^a &= [t^{a_1}, [t^{a_2}, t^a]] + t^{a_1} t^a t^{a_2} + t^{a_2} t^a t^{a_1}, \\ t^a t^{a_1} t^{a_2} + t^{a_2} t^{a_1} t^a &= [t^{a_2}, [t^{a_1}, t^a]] + t^{a_2} t^a t^{a_1} + t^{a_1} t^a t^{a_2}. \end{aligned} \quad (14.21)$$

These identities allow us to rewrite the first term with the product $\Phi_{\mathbf{k}_1}(\mathbf{v}) \Phi_{\mathbf{k}_2}(\mathbf{v})$ on the right-hand side (14.19) in the following form:

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{2} |\zeta_{\mathbf{p}}|^2 \int \frac{d\mathbf{k}_1 d\mathbf{k}_2}{(2\pi)^6} \frac{1}{\omega_{\mathbf{k}_1}^l + \omega_{\mathbf{k}_2}^l - \mathbf{v} \cdot (\mathbf{k}_1 + \mathbf{k}_2)} \\ & \times \Phi_{\mathbf{k}_1}(\mathbf{v}) \Phi_{\mathbf{k}_2}(\mathbf{v}) \theta^{*i} \left(\frac{[t^{a_1}, [t^{a_2}, t^a]]^{ii_1}}{\omega_{\mathbf{k}_1}^l - \mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{k}_1} + \frac{[t^{a_2}, [t^{a_1}, t^a]]^{ii_1}}{\omega_{\mathbf{k}_2}^l - \mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{k}_2} \right) \theta^{i_1} c_{\mathbf{k}_1}^{a_1} c_{\mathbf{k}_2}^{a_2} \\ & + \frac{1}{2} \theta^{*i} (t^{a_1} t^a t^{a_2} + t^{a_2} t^a t^{a_1})^{ii_1} \theta^{i_1} |\zeta_{\mathbf{p}}|^2 \int \frac{d\mathbf{k}_1 d\mathbf{k}_2}{(2\pi)^6} \frac{\Phi_{\mathbf{k}_1}(\mathbf{v}) \Phi_{\mathbf{k}_2}(\mathbf{v})}{(\omega_{\mathbf{k}_1}^l - \mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{k}_1)(\omega_{\mathbf{k}_2}^l - \mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{k}_2)} c_{\mathbf{k}_1}^{a_1} c_{\mathbf{k}_2}^{a_2}. \end{aligned} \quad (14.22)$$

We see that the last term in (14.22) exactly compensates the corresponding term in (14.15) with allowance made for (14.16). In the first term in (14.22), the color factor takes the required form

$$\begin{aligned} & \theta^{*i} \left(\frac{[t^{a_1}, [t^{a_2}, t^a]]}{\omega_{\mathbf{k}_1}^l - \mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{k}_1} + \frac{[t^{a_2}, [t^{a_1}, t^a]]}{\omega_{\mathbf{k}_2}^l - \mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{k}_2} \right)^{ii_1} \theta^{i_1} = \left(\frac{T^{a_2} T^{a_1}}{\omega_{\mathbf{k}_1}^l - \mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{k}_1} + \frac{T^{a_1} T^{a_2}}{\omega_{\mathbf{k}_2}^l - \mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{k}_2} \right)^{a a_3} \mathcal{Q}^{a_3} \\ & \equiv -\frac{1}{2} f^{a_1 a_2 e} f^{e a a_3} \mathcal{Q}^{a_3} \left(\frac{1}{\omega_{\mathbf{k}_1}^l - \mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{k}_1} - \frac{1}{\omega_{\mathbf{k}_2}^l - \mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{k}_2} \right) \\ & + \frac{1}{2} \{T^{a_1}, T^{a_2}\}^{a a_3} \mathcal{Q}^{a_3} \frac{\omega_{\mathbf{k}_1}^l + \omega_{\mathbf{k}_2}^l - \mathbf{v} \cdot (\mathbf{k}_1 + \mathbf{k}_2)}{(\omega_{\mathbf{k}_1}^l - \mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{k}_1)(\omega_{\mathbf{k}_2}^l - \mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{k}_2)}. \end{aligned} \quad (14.23)$$

Finally, we consider the contributions proportional to the product $c_{\mathbf{k}_1}^{*a_1} c_{\mathbf{k}_2}^{a_2}$ in the starting expression (14.13). Here we need an approximation of the coefficient function $R_{\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{k}_1, \mathbf{k}_2, \mathbf{p}_1}^{(2) i a_1 a_2 i_1}$, whose explicit form is given by (F.2). Integrating over \mathbf{p}_1 in (14.13), using the HTL approximation (13.1) and going to the limit (14.5), we find the required approximation

$$\begin{aligned} R_{\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{k}_1, \mathbf{k}_2, \mathbf{p}}^{(2) i a_1 a_2 i_1} &= -\frac{1}{2} [(t^{a_1} t^{a_2})^{ii_1} + (t^{a_2} t^{a_1})^{ii_1}] \frac{\Phi_{\mathbf{k}_1}^*(\mathbf{v}) \Phi_{\mathbf{k}_2}(\mathbf{v})}{(\omega_{\mathbf{k}_1}^l - \mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{k}_1)(\omega_{\mathbf{k}_2}^l - \mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{k}_2)} \\ & - f^{a a_1 a_2} (t^a)^{ii_1} \times \end{aligned}$$

$$\left(\frac{\mathcal{V}_{\mathbf{k}_1, \mathbf{k}_2, \mathbf{k}_1 - \mathbf{k}_2} \Phi_{\mathbf{k}_1 - \mathbf{k}_2}^*(\mathbf{v})}{(\omega_{\mathbf{k}_1}^l - \omega_{\mathbf{k}_2}^l - \omega_{\mathbf{k}_1 - \mathbf{k}_2}^l)(\omega_{\mathbf{k}_1 - \mathbf{k}_2}^l - \mathbf{v} \cdot (\mathbf{k}_1 - \mathbf{k}_2))} + \frac{\mathcal{V}_{\mathbf{k}_2, \mathbf{k}_1, \mathbf{k}_2 - \mathbf{k}_1}^* \Phi_{\mathbf{k}_2 - \mathbf{k}_1}(\mathbf{v})}{(\omega_{\mathbf{k}_2}^l - \omega_{\mathbf{k}_1}^l - \omega_{\mathbf{k}_2 - \mathbf{k}_1}^l)(\omega_{\mathbf{k}_2 - \mathbf{k}_1}^l - \mathbf{v} \cdot (\mathbf{k}_2 - \mathbf{k}_1))} \right).$$

Expression for the complex conjugate coefficient function $R_{\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{k}_2, \mathbf{k}_1, \mathbf{p}_1}^{*(2)ia_2a_1i_1}$ differs from the previous one by replacing indices $i \rightleftharpoons i_1$ and changing the sign before the term with the antisymmetric structural constants $f^{aa_1a_2}$. Taking into account these approximations, we can write the term in question in the following form:

$$\begin{aligned} |\zeta_{\mathbf{p}}|^2 & \left\{ \theta^{*i_2} (t^a)^{i_2i} \int \frac{d\mathbf{k}_1 d\mathbf{k}_2}{(2\pi)^6} R_{\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{k}_1, \mathbf{k}_2, \mathbf{p}}^{(2)ia_1a_2i_1} c_{\mathbf{k}_1}^{*a_1} c_{\mathbf{k}_2}^{a_2} \theta^{i_1} + \theta^{*i_1} \int \frac{d\mathbf{k}_1 d\mathbf{k}_2}{(2\pi)^6} R_{\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{k}_2, \mathbf{k}_1, \mathbf{p}_1}^{*(2)ia_2a_1i_1} c_{\mathbf{k}_1}^{*a_1} c_{\mathbf{k}_2}^{a_2} (t^a)^{ii_2} \theta^{i_2} \right\} \\ & = |\zeta_{\mathbf{p}}|^2 \int \frac{d\mathbf{k}_1 d\mathbf{k}_2}{(2\pi)^6} c_{\mathbf{k}_1}^{*a_1} c_{\mathbf{k}_2}^{a_2} \left\{ -\frac{1}{2} \frac{\Phi_{\mathbf{k}_1}^*(\mathbf{v}) \Phi_{\mathbf{k}_2}(\mathbf{v})}{(\omega_{\mathbf{k}_1}^l - \mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{k}_1)(\omega_{\mathbf{k}_2}^l - \mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{k}_2)} \right. \\ & \quad \times \left(\theta^{*i} [(t^a t^{a_2} t^{a_1} + t^{a_1} t^{a_2} t^a) + (t^a t^{a_1} t^{a_2} + t^{a_2} t^{a_1} t^a)]^{ii_1} \theta^{i_1} \right) \\ & \quad \left. - f^{ea_1a_2} (\theta^{*i} [t^a, t^e]^{ii_1} \theta^{i_1}) \times \right. \end{aligned} \quad (14.24)$$

$$\left. \left(\frac{\mathcal{V}_{\mathbf{k}_1, \mathbf{k}_2, \mathbf{k}_1 - \mathbf{k}_2} \Phi_{\mathbf{k}_1 - \mathbf{k}_2}^*(\mathbf{v})}{(\omega_{\mathbf{k}_1}^l - \omega_{\mathbf{k}_2}^l - \omega_{\mathbf{k}_1 - \mathbf{k}_2}^l)(\omega_{\mathbf{k}_1 - \mathbf{k}_2}^l - \mathbf{v} \cdot (\mathbf{k}_1 - \mathbf{k}_2))} + \frac{\mathcal{V}_{\mathbf{k}_2, \mathbf{k}_1, \mathbf{k}_2 - \mathbf{k}_1}^* \Phi_{\mathbf{k}_2 - \mathbf{k}_1}(\mathbf{v})}{(\omega_{\mathbf{k}_2}^l - \omega_{\mathbf{k}_1}^l - \omega_{\mathbf{k}_2 - \mathbf{k}_1}^l)(\omega_{\mathbf{k}_2 - \mathbf{k}_1}^l - \mathbf{v} \cdot (\mathbf{k}_2 - \mathbf{k}_1))} \right) \right\}.$$

For the color factor in the second term in braces we use the relation (14.20) and thus obtain immediately the required form. For the color factor in the first term, we use the identities (14.21) to bring this term into the following form:

$$\begin{aligned} & - [\theta^{*i} (t^{a_1} t^a t^{a_2} + t^{a_2} t^a t^{a_1})^{ii_1} \theta^{i_1}] |\zeta_{\mathbf{p}}|^2 \int \frac{d\mathbf{k}_1 d\mathbf{k}_2}{(2\pi)^6} \frac{\Phi_{\mathbf{k}_1}^*(\mathbf{v}) \Phi_{\mathbf{k}_2}(\mathbf{v})}{(\omega_{\mathbf{k}_1}^l - \mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{k}_1)(\omega_{\mathbf{k}_2}^l - \mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{k}_2)} c_{\mathbf{k}_1}^{*a_1} c_{\mathbf{k}_2}^{a_2} \\ & \quad - \frac{1}{2} (\theta^{*i} ([t^{a_1}, [t^{a_2}, t^a]] + [t^{a_2}, [t^{a_1}, t^a]]))^{ii_1} \theta^{i_1} \end{aligned} \quad (14.25)$$

$$\times |\zeta_{\mathbf{p}}|^2 \int \frac{d\mathbf{k}_1 d\mathbf{k}_2}{(2\pi)^6} \frac{\Phi_{\mathbf{k}_1}^*(\mathbf{v}) \Phi_{\mathbf{k}_2}(\mathbf{v})}{(\omega_{\mathbf{k}_1}^l - \mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{k}_1)(\omega_{\mathbf{k}_2}^l - \mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{k}_2)} c_{\mathbf{k}_1}^{*a_1} c_{\mathbf{k}_2}^{a_2}.$$

We see again that the first term in the above expression exactly cancels the corresponding term in (14.15) in view of (14.16), and in the second term in (14.25) the color factor takes the necessary form

$$\theta^{*i} ([t^{a_1}, [t^{a_2}, t^a]] + [t^{a_2}, [t^{a_1}, t^a]])^{ii_1} \theta^{i_1} = \{T^{a_1}, T^{a_2}\}^{aa_3} Q^{a_3}.$$

Substituting all the calculated expressions into (14.13) and reducing the common factor $|\zeta_{\mathbf{p}}|^2$ on the left- and right-hand sides we come to the following canonical transformation for the color charge Q^a with accuracy up to the terms linear in Q^a :

$$\begin{aligned} Q^a & = \mathcal{Q}^a + \int \frac{d\mathbf{k}_1}{(2\pi)^3} [M_{\mathbf{k}_1}^{aa_1a_2} c_{\mathbf{k}_1}^{a_1} \mathcal{Q}^{a_2} + M_{\mathbf{k}_1}^{*aa_1a_2} c_{\mathbf{k}_1}^{*a_1} \mathcal{Q}^{a_2}] + \\ & \int \frac{d\mathbf{k}_1 d\mathbf{k}_2}{(2\pi)^6} [M_{\mathbf{k}_1, \mathbf{k}_2}^{(1)aa_1a_2a_3} c_{\mathbf{k}_1}^{a_1} c_{\mathbf{k}_2}^{a_2} \mathcal{Q}^{a_3} + M_{\mathbf{k}_1, \mathbf{k}_2}^{(2)aa_1a_2a_3} c_{\mathbf{k}_1}^{*a_1} c_{\mathbf{k}_2}^{a_2} \mathcal{Q}^{a_3} + M_{\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{k}_1, \mathbf{k}_2}^{*(1)aa_1a_2a_3} c_{\mathbf{k}_1}^{*a_1} c_{\mathbf{k}_2}^{*a_2} \mathcal{Q}^{a_3}] + \dots, \end{aligned}$$

where the coefficient functions have the following structure: for the second term, due to the approximation (14.14), we have

$$M_{\mathbf{k}_1}^{a a_1 a_2} = i f^{a a_1 a_2} \frac{\Phi_{\mathbf{k}_1}(\mathbf{v})}{\omega_{\mathbf{k}_1}^l - \mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{k}_1},$$

for the higher-order coefficient function $M_{\mathbf{k}_1, \mathbf{k}_2}^{(1) a a_1 a_2 a_3}$, by virtue of the approximations (14.19), (14.22) and (14.23), we get

$$\begin{aligned} M_{\mathbf{k}_1, \mathbf{k}_2}^{(1) a a_1 a_2 a_3} &= \frac{1}{4} \{T^{a_1}, T^{a_2}\}^{a a_3} \frac{\Phi_{\mathbf{k}_1}(\mathbf{v}) \Phi_{\mathbf{k}_2}(\mathbf{v})}{(\omega_{\mathbf{k}_1}^l - \mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{k}_1)(\omega_{\mathbf{k}_2}^l - \mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{k}_2)} \\ &+ f^{a_1 a_2 e} f^{e a a_3} \frac{1}{\omega_{\mathbf{k}_1}^l + \omega_{\mathbf{k}_2}^l - \mathbf{v} \cdot (\mathbf{k}_1 + \mathbf{k}_2)} \left\{ -\frac{1}{4} \Phi_{\mathbf{k}_1}(\mathbf{v}) \Phi_{\mathbf{k}_2}(\mathbf{v}) \left(\frac{1}{\omega_{\mathbf{k}_1}^l - \mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{k}_1} - \frac{1}{\omega_{\mathbf{k}_2}^l - \mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{k}_2} \right) \right. \\ &\left. + i \left(\frac{\mathcal{U}_{\mathbf{k}_1, \mathbf{k}_2, -\mathbf{k}_1 - \mathbf{k}_2} \Phi_{-\mathbf{k}_1 - \mathbf{k}_2}^*(\mathbf{v})}{\omega_{-\mathbf{k}_1 - \mathbf{k}_2}^l + \omega_{\mathbf{k}_1}^l + \omega_{\mathbf{k}_2}^l} + \frac{\mathcal{V}_{\mathbf{k}_1 + \mathbf{k}_2, \mathbf{k}_1, \mathbf{k}_2} \Phi_{\mathbf{k}_1 + \mathbf{k}_2}(\mathbf{v})}{\omega_{\mathbf{k}_1 + \mathbf{k}_2}^l - \omega_{\mathbf{k}_1}^l - \omega_{\mathbf{k}_2}^l} \right) \right\} \end{aligned}$$

and, finally, for the second higher-order coefficient function $M_{\mathbf{k}_1, \mathbf{k}_2}^{(2) a a_1 a_2 a_3}$, by virtue of the approximations (14.24) and (14.25), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} M_{\mathbf{k}_1, \mathbf{k}_2}^{(2) a a_1 a_2 a_3} &= -\frac{1}{2} \{T^{a_1}, T^{a_2}\}^{a a_3} \frac{\Phi_{\mathbf{k}_1}^*(\mathbf{v}) \Phi_{\mathbf{k}_2}(\mathbf{v})}{(\omega_{\mathbf{k}_1}^l - \mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{k}_1)(\omega_{\mathbf{k}_2}^l - \mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{k}_2)} \\ &+ i f^{a_1 a_2 e} f^{e a a_3} \times \\ &\left\{ \frac{\mathcal{V}_{\mathbf{k}_1, \mathbf{k}_2, \mathbf{k}_1 - \mathbf{k}_2} \Phi_{\mathbf{k}_1 - \mathbf{k}_2}^*(\mathbf{v})}{(\omega_{\mathbf{k}_1}^l - \omega_{\mathbf{k}_2}^l - \omega_{\mathbf{k}_1 - \mathbf{k}_2}^l)(\omega_{\mathbf{k}_1 - \mathbf{k}_2}^l - \mathbf{v} \cdot (\mathbf{k}_1 - \mathbf{k}_2))} + \frac{\mathcal{V}_{\mathbf{k}_2, \mathbf{k}_1, \mathbf{k}_2 - \mathbf{k}_1}^* \Phi_{\mathbf{k}_2 - \mathbf{k}_1}(\mathbf{v})}{(\omega_{\mathbf{k}_2}^l - \omega_{\mathbf{k}_1}^l - \omega_{\mathbf{k}_2 - \mathbf{k}_1}^l)(\omega_{\mathbf{k}_2 - \mathbf{k}_1}^l - \mathbf{v} \cdot (\mathbf{k}_2 - \mathbf{k}_1))} \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Comparing the coefficient functions obtained earlier with the corresponding coefficient functions (E.6), (E.7) and (E.8), we see that they coincide exactly. Thus, the canonical transformations (3.5) and (3.6) can be step by step rewritten in the form of a simpler expansion in powers of the commutative color charge \mathcal{Q}^a , as it was done in [2] on the basis of rather easy heuristic considerations.

15 Conclusion

In this paper we have demonstrated in detail that the Hamiltonian formalism proposed in [1] to describe the nonlinear dynamics of *only soft* Fermi- and Bose-excitations contains much more information about the medium under consideration than was originally assumed. It turned out to be also very suitable for describing another range of physical phenomena, namely the processes of the scattering of colorless plasmons off *hard* thermal (or external) color-charged particles moving in a high-temperature quark-gluon plasma. The methodology developed in this paper allowed us to somewhat justify and define more exactly the formalism we proposed within the framework of heuristic approach in [2]. In particular, this is reflected in the appearance of new contributions to both the kinetic equation for color part of the plasmon number density (the last term on the right-hand side of Eq. (12.4)) and the evolution equation (11.13)

for the mean value of the color charge $\langle Q^a \rangle$. The appearance of a new contribution to (11.13) could drastically change the dynamics of the color charge evolution in contrast to the conclusion of the paper [2], as it can be seen from a comparison of solutions (11.23) and (11.24).

We have exactly reproduced the first few coefficients of the canonical transformations for the normal bosonic field variable $a_{\mathbf{k}}^a$ and the commuting color charge Q^a based on the canonical transformations for the soft field bosonic $a_{\mathbf{k}}^a$ and fermionic $b_{\mathbf{q}}^i$ variables constructed in [1]. In this paper we have restricted ourselves to the detailed consideration of only the simplest process of the interaction of soft and hard modes in a quark-gluon plasma: the elastic scattering of plasmon off hard particle occurring without change of statistics of soft and hard excitations. At least for the weakly-excited system corresponding to the level of thermal fluctuations, this process is dominant.

Acknowledgment

The research was funded by the Ministry of Education and Science of the Russian Federation within the framework of the project ‘‘Analytical and numerical methods of mathematical physics in problems of tomography, quantum field theory, and fluid and gas mechanics’’ (no. of state registration: 121041300058-1).

Appendix A Effective three-plasmon vertices

In this appendix we present an explicit form of the effective three-plasmon vertex functions $\mathcal{V}_{\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{k}_1, \mathbf{k}_2}$ and $\mathcal{U}_{\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{k}_1, \mathbf{k}_2}$. They were obtained earlier in [15] when constructing the Hamiltonian formalism for soft Bose excitations in a hot gluon plasma. These vertices read

$$\mathcal{V}_{\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{k}_1, \mathbf{k}_2} = \frac{1}{2^{3/4}} g \left(\frac{Z_l(\mathbf{k})}{2\omega_{\mathbf{k}}^l} \right)^{1/2} \frac{\tilde{u}_\mu(k)}{\sqrt{\bar{u}^2(k)}} \prod_{i=1}^2 \left(\frac{Z_l(\mathbf{k}_i)}{2\omega_{\mathbf{k}}^l} \right)^{1/2} \frac{\tilde{u}_{\mu_i}(k_i)}{\sqrt{\bar{u}^2(k_i)}} {}^* \Gamma^{\mu\mu_1\mu_2}(k, -k_1, -k_2) \Big|_{\text{on-shell}} \quad (\text{A.1})$$

and

$$\mathcal{U}_{\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{k}_1, \mathbf{k}_2} = \frac{1}{2^{3/4}} g \left(\frac{Z_l(\mathbf{k})}{2\omega_{\mathbf{k}}^l} \right)^{1/2} \frac{\tilde{u}_\mu(k)}{\sqrt{\bar{u}^2(k)}} \prod_{i=1}^2 \left(\frac{Z_l(\mathbf{k}_i)}{2\omega_{\mathbf{k}}^l} \right)^{1/2} \frac{\tilde{u}_{\mu_i}(k_i)}{\sqrt{\bar{u}^2(k_i)}} {}^* \Gamma^{\mu\mu_1\mu_2}(-k, -k_1, -k_2) \Big|_{\text{on-shell}}. \quad (\text{A.2})$$

Two four-vectors

$$\tilde{u}_\mu(k) = \frac{k^2}{(k \cdot u)} \left(k_\mu - u_\mu(k \cdot u) \right) \quad \text{and} \quad \bar{u}_\mu(k) = k^2 u_\mu - k_\mu(k \cdot u) \quad (\text{A.3})$$

are the projectors onto the longitudinal direction of wavevector \mathbf{k} , written in the Lorentz-covariant form in the Hamilton and Lorentz gauges, respectively. Here, u^μ is the four-velocity of the medium, which in the rest system is $u^\mu = (1, 0, 0, 0)$. The explicit form of the effective three-gluon vertex ${}^* \Gamma^{\mu\mu_1\mu_2}(k, k_1, k_2)$ on the right-hand side of (A.1) and (A.2) is defined by formulae (A.4)–(A.6) below.

Effective three-gluon vertex in the hard thermal loop (HTL) approximation has the following form [25–27]

$$*\Gamma^{\mu\nu\rho}(k, k_1, k_2) \equiv \Gamma^{\mu\nu\rho}(k, k_1, k_2) + \delta\Gamma^{\mu\nu\rho}(k, k_1, k_2), \quad (\text{A.4})$$

where the first term is bare three-gluon vertex

$$\Gamma^{\mu\nu\rho}(k, k_1, k_2) = g^{\mu\nu}(k - k_1)^\rho + g^{\nu\rho}(k_1 - k_2)^\mu + g^{\mu\rho}(k_2 - k)^\nu \quad (\text{A.5})$$

and the second one is the corresponding HTL-correction

$$\delta\Gamma^{\mu\nu\rho}(k, k_1, k_2) = 3\omega_{\text{pl}}^2 \int \frac{d\Omega}{4\pi} \frac{v^\mu v^\nu v^\rho}{v \cdot k + i\epsilon} \left(\frac{\omega_2}{v \cdot k_2 - i\epsilon} - \frac{\omega_1}{v \cdot k_1 - i\epsilon} \right), \quad \epsilon \rightarrow +0. \quad (\text{A.6})$$

Here $v^\mu = (1, \mathbf{v})$, $k^\mu = (\omega, \mathbf{k})$ is a gluon four-momentum with $k + k_1 + k_2 = 0$, $d\Omega$ is a differential solid angle and $\omega_{\text{pl}}^2 = g^2(2N_c + N_f)T^2/18$ is plasma frequency squared.

Further, the expression

$$*\tilde{\mathcal{D}}_{\mu\nu}(k) = -P_{\mu\nu}(k) * \Delta^t(k) - \tilde{Q}_{\mu\nu}(k) * \Delta^l(k) - \xi_0 \frac{k^2}{(k \cdot u)^2} D_{\mu\nu}(k) \quad (\text{A.7})$$

is the gluon (retarded) propagator in the A_0 -gauge, which is modified by effects of the medium. Here, the “scalar” transverse and longitudinal propagators are given by the expressions

$$*\Delta^t(k) = \frac{1}{k^2 - \Pi^t(k)}, \quad *\Delta^l(k) = \frac{1}{k^2 - \Pi^l(k)}, \quad (\text{A.8})$$

where, in turn,

$$\Pi^t(k) = \frac{1}{2} \Pi^{\mu\nu}(k) P_{\mu\nu}(k), \quad \Pi^l(k) = \Pi^{\mu\nu}(k) \tilde{Q}_{\mu\nu}(k).$$

The polarization tensor $\Pi_{\mu\nu}(k)$ in the HTL-approximation takes the form

$$\Pi^{\mu\nu}(k) = 3\omega_{\text{pl}}^2 \left(u^\mu u^\nu - \omega \int \frac{d\Omega}{4\pi} \frac{v^\mu v^\nu}{v \cdot k + i\epsilon} \right)$$

and the longitudinal and transverse projectors are defined in terms of the four-vectors (A.3)

$$\tilde{Q}_{\mu\nu}(k) = \frac{\tilde{u}_\mu(k) \tilde{u}_\nu(k)}{\tilde{u}^2(k)}, \quad (\text{A.9})$$

$$P_{\mu\nu}(k) = g_{\mu\nu} - u_\mu u_\nu - \tilde{Q}_{\mu\nu}(k) \frac{(k \cdot u)^2}{k^2},$$

respectively.

Appendix B Relations and traces for generators in the defining representation of $SU(N_c)$

Let t^a , $a = 1, \dots, N_c^2 - 1$ be the $SU(N_c)$ generators in the fundamental representations, then

$$t^a t^b = \frac{1}{2N_c} \delta^{ab} \mathbb{1} + \frac{1}{2} (d^{abc} + i f^{abc}) t^c \quad (\text{B.1})$$

and, as a consequence, one has

$$t^a t^a = \left(\frac{N_c^2 - 1}{2N_c} \right) \mathbb{1}, \quad t^b t^a t^b = -\frac{1}{2N_c} t^b. \quad (\text{B.2})$$

Further, the Fierz identities for the t^a matrices are

$$(t^a)^{i_1 j_2} (t^a)^{j_1 i_2} = \frac{1}{2} \delta^{i_1 i_2} \delta^{j_1 j_2} - \frac{1}{2N_c} \delta^{i_1 j_2} \delta^{j_1 i_2}, \quad (\text{B.3a})$$

$$(t^a)^{i_1 j_2} (t^a)^{j_1 i_2} = \left(\frac{N_c^2 - 1}{2N_c^2} \right) \delta^{i_1 i_2} \delta^{j_1 j_2} - \frac{1}{N_c} (t^a)^{i_1 i_2} (t^a)^{j_1 j_2}. \quad (\text{B.3b})$$

A trivial consequence of the first relation is the useful identity

$$\delta^{i_1 j_2} \delta^{j_1 i_2} = \frac{1}{N_c} \delta^{i_1 i_2} \delta^{j_1 j_2} + 2(t^a)^{i_1 i_2} (t^a)^{j_1 j_2}. \quad (\text{B.4})$$

Next, the other consequence of (B.3a) is the relation for the trace of the following form:

$$\text{tr}(A t^a B t^a) = \frac{1}{2} \text{tr}(A) \text{tr}(B) - \frac{1}{2N_c} \text{tr}(AB). \quad (\text{B.5})$$

In addition, if we consider the following representations for the structure constants

$$f^{abc} = -2i \text{tr}([t^a, t^b] t^c), \quad d^{abc} = 2 \text{tr}(\{t^a, t^b\} t^c),$$

then, from (B.3a) and (B.4), it also follows that

$$f^{abc} (t^b)^{i_1 j_2} (t^c)^{j_1 i_2} = \frac{i}{2} \left\{ \delta^{j_1 j_2} (t^a)^{i_1 i_2} - \delta^{i_1 i_2} (t^a)^{j_1 j_2} \right\}, \quad (\text{B.6a})$$

$$d^{abc} (t^b)^{i_1 j_2} (t^c)^{j_1 i_2} = \left(\frac{N_c^2 - 4}{2N_c^2} \right) \left\{ \delta^{j_1 j_2} (t^a)^{i_1 i_2} + \delta^{i_1 i_2} (t^a)^{j_1 j_2} \right\} - \frac{2}{N_c} d^{abc} (t^b)^{i_1 i_2} (t^c)^{j_1 j_2}. \quad (\text{B.6b})$$

In deriving the last identity, we have used the relation for the sum

$$\delta^{i_1 j_2} (t^a)^{j_1 i_2} + \delta^{j_1 i_2} (t^a)^{i_1 j_2} = \frac{2}{N_c} \left[\delta^{j_1 j_2} (t^a)^{i_1 i_2} + \delta^{i_1 i_2} (t^a)^{j_1 j_2} \right] + 2d^{abc} (t^b)^{i_1 i_2} (t^c)^{j_1 j_2}, \quad (\text{B.7})$$

which is a consequence of (B.4) and (B.1). A similar relation for the difference trivially follows from (B.6a). Further, a useful consequence is also the relation

$$\begin{aligned} & (T^a T^b)^{cd} (t^a)^{i_1 j_2} (t^b)^{j_1 i_2} \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \left[(t^c t^d)^{i_1 i_2} \delta^{j_1 j_2} + (t^d t^c)^{j_1 j_2} \delta^{i_1 i_2} \right] - \frac{1}{2} \left[(t^c)^{i_1 i_2} (t^d)^{j_1 j_2} + (t^c)^{j_1 j_2} (t^d)^{i_1 i_2} \right]. \end{aligned}$$

In section 9 we require a special consequence of the previous expression, namely

$$\begin{aligned} & \{T^a, T^b\}^{cd} (t^a)^{i_1 j_2} (t^i)^{j_1 i_2} = \frac{1}{N_c} \delta^{cd} \delta^{i_1 i_2} \delta^{j_1 j_2} \\ & + \frac{1}{2} (D^\lambda)^{cd} \left[(t^\lambda)^{i_1 i_2} \delta^{j_1 j_2} + (t^\lambda)^{j_1 j_2} \delta^{i_1 i_2} \right] - \left[(t^c)^{i_1 i_2} (t^d)^{j_1 j_2} + (t^c)^{j_1 j_2} (t^d)^{i_1 i_2} \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{B.8})$$

Finally, we can write down an additional identity for the special case $N_c = 3$:

$$\begin{aligned}
& (t^a)^{i_1 j_2} (t^b)^{j_1 i_2} + (t^b)^{i_1 j_2} (t^a)^{j_1 i_2} = (t^a)^{i_1 i_2} (t^b)^{j_1 j_2} + (t^b)^{i_1 i_2} (t^a)^{j_1 j_2} \\
& \quad + \delta^{ab} \left\{ \frac{1}{9} \delta^{i_1 i_2} \delta^{j_1 j_2} - \frac{1}{3} (t^e)^{i_1 i_2} (t^e)^{j_1 j_2} \right\} \\
& + \frac{1}{3} (D^\lambda)^{ab} \left[(t^\lambda)^{i_1 i_2} \delta^{j_1 j_2} + (t^\lambda)^{j_1 j_2} \delta^{i_1 i_2} \right] - 2 (D^\lambda)^{ab} d^{\lambda \kappa \rho} (t^\kappa)^{i_1 i_2} (t^\rho)^{j_1 j_2}.
\end{aligned} \tag{B.9}$$

This relation can be easily obtained if we first rewrite the left-hand side as

$$(t^a)^{i_1 j_2} (t^b)^{j_1 i_2} + (t^b)^{i_1 j_2} (t^a)^{j_1 i_2} = (\delta^{ad} \delta^{bc} + \delta^{ac} \delta^{bd}) (t^d)^{i_1 j_2} (t^c)^{j_1 i_2},$$

and then for the color structure $(\delta^{ad} \delta^{bc} + \delta^{ac} \delta^{bd})$ we use the first relation in (C.14) from Appendix C below and further employ the identities (B.3b), (B.6b) and (B.8). When we contract (B.9) with δ^{ab} and consider (C.2), we reproduce the identity (B.3b) for $N_c = 3$, as it should be. Unfortunately, the relation (B.9) is not valid for arbitrary N_c . Indeed, if we use the general relation (C.10) for the color structure $(\delta^{ad} \delta^{bc} + \delta^{ac} \delta^{bd})$, then, taking into account (B.4) and (B.7), by virtue of the relation

$$\begin{aligned}
& \{D^a, D^b\}^{cd} (t^a)^{i_1 j_2} (t^b)^{j_1 i_2} = \delta^{cd} \left\{ \left(\frac{N_c^2 - 2}{N_c^3} \right) \delta^{i_1 i_2} \delta^{j_1 j_2} - \frac{4}{N_c^2} (t^e)^{i_1 i_2} (t^e)^{j_1 j_2} \right\} \\
& + \left(\frac{N_c^2 - 8}{2N_c^2} \right) (D^\lambda)^{cd} \left[(t^\lambda)^{i_1 i_2} \delta^{j_1 j_2} + (t^\lambda)^{j_1 j_2} \delta^{i_1 i_2} \right] + \left[(t^c)^{i_1 i_2} (t^d)^{j_1 j_2} + (t^c)^{j_1 j_2} (t^d)^{i_1 i_2} \right] \\
& - \frac{4}{N_c} (D^\lambda)^{cd} d^{\lambda \kappa \rho} (t^\kappa)^{i_1 i_2} (t^\rho)^{j_1 j_2} - \frac{2}{N_c} \left[(t^c)^{i_1 j_2} (t^d)^{j_1 i_2} + (t^c)^{j_1 i_2} (t^d)^{i_1 j_2} \right]
\end{aligned}$$

we arrive only at the identity.

Appendix C Traces for generators in the adjoint representation of $SU(N_c)$

In this Appendix, we have provided an explicit form for the traces of adjoint representation matrices, which we use throughout our work. An extensive list of various traces, relations and identities for color matrices in the adjoint representation can be found in [28–33]. Initial definitions of the matrices T^a and D^a are

$$(T^a)^{bc} \equiv -i f^{abc}, \quad (D^a)^{bc} \equiv d^{abc}, \tag{C.1}$$

where f^{abc} and d^{abc} are the totally antisymmetric and symmetric structure constants for the $SU(N_c)$ group, respectively. These matrices are traceless, i.e.

$$\text{tr } T^a = 0, \quad \text{tr } D^a = 0 \tag{C.2}$$

and satisfy the following commutation relations

$$[T^a, T^b] = i f^{abc} T^c, \quad [T^a, D^b] = i f^{abc} D^c. \tag{C.3}$$

For completeness, we also provide the commutator for the D^a matrices

$$[D^a, D^b]^{cd} = i f^{abe} (T^e)^{cd} + \frac{2}{N_c} (\delta^{ad} \delta^{bc} - \delta^{ac} \delta^{bd}).$$

The traces of two generators are given by

$$\text{tr}(T^a T^b) = N_c \delta^{ab}, \quad \text{tr}(D^a D^b) = \left(\frac{N_c^2 - 4}{N_c} \right) \delta^{ab}, \quad \text{tr}(T^a D^b) = 0, \quad (\text{C.4})$$

and for the traces of three generators, we have, in turn,

$$\begin{aligned} \text{tr}(T^a T^b T^c) &= \frac{i}{2} N_c f^{abc}, & \text{tr}(D^a D^b T^c) &= i \left(\frac{N_c^2 - 4}{2N_c} \right) f^{abc}, \\ \text{tr}(D^a T^b T^c) &= \frac{1}{2} N_c d^{abc}, & \text{tr}(D^a D^b D^c) &= \left(\frac{N_c^2 - 12}{2N_c} \right) d^{abc}. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{C.5})$$

The traces of four generators are

$$\text{tr}(T^a T^b T^c T^d) = \delta^{ab} \delta^{cd} + \delta^{ad} \delta^{cb} + \frac{1}{4} N_c \left[\{D^a, D^c\}^{bd} - d^{ac\lambda} (D^\lambda)^{bd} \right], \quad (\text{C.6})$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{tr}(T^a T^b D^c D^d) &= \left(\frac{N_c^2 - 4}{N_c^2} \right) (\delta^{ab} \delta^{cd} - \delta^{ac} \delta^{bd}) + \left(\frac{N_c^2 - 8}{4N_c} \right) (d^{abe} d^{cde} - d^{ace} d^{bde}) \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{4} N_c d^{ade} d^{bce}, \end{aligned} \quad (\text{C.7})$$

$$\text{tr}(T^a D^b D^c D^d) = i \left(\frac{N_c^2 - 12}{4N_c} \right) f^{abe} d^{cde} + \frac{i}{N_c} (f^{ade} d^{bce} - f^{ace} d^{bde}) + \frac{1}{4} i N_c d^{abe} f^{cde}. \quad (\text{C.8})$$

The representation (C.8) is convenient because it clearly shows the symmetry of the first term on the right-hand side and the antisymmetry of the second and third terms with respect to the permutation of indices c and d . We employ this fact in the section 11. Further, the trace (C.6) is written in such a way that makes its symmetry with respect to the permutation of indices a and c , as well as with respect to the indices b and d , immediately apparent, i.e.,

$$\text{tr}(T^a T^b T^c T^d) = \text{tr}(T^c T^b T^a T^d). \quad (\text{C.9})$$

If we use the anticommutation relation

$$\{T^a, T^b\}^{cd} + \{D^a, D^b\}^{cd} = \frac{4}{N_c} \delta^{ab} \delta^{cd} + 2d^{abe} (D^e)^{cd} - \frac{2}{N_c} (\delta^{ad} \delta^{bc} + \delta^{ac} \delta^{bd}), \quad (\text{C.10})$$

then the trace (C.6) can also be represented in a slightly different form

$$\text{tr}(T^a T^b T^c T^d) = \delta^{ac} \delta^{bd} + \frac{1}{2} (\delta^{ab} \delta^{cd} + \delta^{ad} \delta^{cb}) - \frac{1}{4} N_c \left[\{T^a, T^c\}^{bd} - d^{ac\lambda} (D^\lambda)^{bd} \right]. \quad (\text{C.11})$$

The trace of five generators T^a can be presented as a linear combination of the traces of four generators [34]⁴

$$\text{tr}(T^{a_1} T^{a_2} T^{a_3} T^{a_4} T^{a_5}) \quad (\text{C.12})$$

⁴In the paper [34] in the formula (45) for the trace of five generators in one of the terms on the right-hand side, two indices are incorrectly placed.

$$= -\frac{i}{2} \left\{ f^{a_3 a_2 b} \text{tr}(T^{a_1} T^b T^{a_5} T^{a_4}) + f^{a_5 a_4 b} \text{tr}(T^{a_1} T^{a_2} T^{a_3} T^b), \right. \\ \left. + f^{a_3 a_1 b} \text{tr}(T^b T^{a_2} T^{a_5} T^{a_4}) + f^{a_2 a_1 b} \text{tr}(T^{a_3} T^b T^{a_5} T^{a_4}) \right\}.$$

This expression is a consequence of the sign reversal property of permutation of matrices T^a under the trace sign in reverse order

$$\text{tr}(T^{a_1} T^{a_2} T^{a_3} T^{a_4} T^{a_5}) = -\text{tr}(T^{a_5} T^{a_4} T^{a_3} T^{a_2} T^{a_1}),$$

which in turn is a trivial consequence of the identity

$$\text{tr}(T^{a_1} T^{a_2} T^{a_3} T^{a_4} T^{a_5}) = -2\text{tr}(t^a [t^{a_1}, [t^{a_2}, [t^{a_3}, [t^{a_4}, [t^{a_5}, t^i]]]]]).$$

The second-order Casimiris are

$$T^a T^a = N_c I, \quad D^a D^a = \left(\frac{N_c^2 - 4}{N_c} \right) I,$$

where I is the $(N_c^2 - 1) \times (N_c^2 - 1)$ unit matrix. Also it is useful the following formula

$$T^a T^b T^a = \frac{1}{2} N_c T^b. \quad (\text{C.13})$$

In addition, there are two additional identities for the special case $N_c = 3$ [29, 33], which we use in the text of this article and in the next Appendix:

$$\{T^a, T^b\}^{cd} = 3d^{abe} (D^e)^{cd} + \delta^{ab} \delta^{cd} - \delta^{ad} \delta^{bc} - \delta^{ac} \delta^{bd}, \\ \{D^a, D^b\}^{cd} = -d^{abe} (D^e)^{cd} + \frac{1}{3} (\delta^{ab} \delta^{cd} + \delta^{ad} \delta^{bc} + \delta^{ac} \delta^{bd}). \quad (\text{C.14})$$

Appendix D Calculation of the trace of five generators T^a

In section 9, we encountered the necessity to compute the trace of the product of five matrices T^a . In this Appendix, we will perform this computation using the known formula for the fifth-order trace (C.12). For simplicity, we restrict ourselves to the color group $SU(3_c)$. The starting expression for analysis is defined by the second term on the right-hand side of the equation (9.5). In view of (C.12), this term takes the following form:

$$f^{edf} \text{tr}(T^d T^s T^c T^e T^{c'}) \langle \mathcal{Q}^f \rangle \langle \mathcal{Q}^c \rangle \langle \mathcal{Q}^{c'} \rangle = \frac{i}{2} f^{edf} \left[\text{tr}(T^k T^e T^c T^s) f^{dc'k} \right. \\ \left. + \text{tr}(T^d T^e T^k T^{c'}) f^{c'sk} + \text{tr}(T^d T^k T^c T^{c'}) f^{esk} + \text{tr}(T^d T^s T^k T^{c'}) f^{eck} \right] \langle \mathcal{Q}^f \rangle \langle \mathcal{Q}^c \rangle \langle \mathcal{Q}^{c'} \rangle. \quad (\text{D.1})$$

For our first step, let us consider the term (2). Here, we have

$$\frac{i}{2} f^{edf} \text{tr}(T^d T^e T^k T^{c'}) f^{c'sk} = \left(\frac{i}{2} \right)^2 f^{edf} f^{dep} \text{tr}(T^p T^k T^{c'}) f^{c'sk} = -\left(\frac{i}{2} \right)^3 N_c^2 f^{fk'c'} f^{c'sk},$$

where in the latest stage we have used the formulae (C.4) and (C.5). When we contract this expression with $\langle \mathcal{Q}^f \rangle \langle \mathcal{Q}^{c'} \rangle$, it turns to zero.

Next, we make the substitutions $e \leftrightarrow d$ and $c \leftrightarrow c'$ of the dummy indices in the term (1). In this case, it takes the form:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{i}{2} f^{edf} \text{tr}(T^k T^e T^c T^s) f^{d'k} &= -\frac{i}{2} f^{edf} \text{tr}(T^k T^d T^c T^s) f^{eck} = -\frac{i}{2} f^{edf} \text{tr}(T^s T^k T^d T^c) f^{eck} \\ &= -\frac{i}{2} f^{edf} \left[\text{tr}(T^s T^d T^k T^c) + i f^{kdp} \text{tr}(T^s T^p T^c) \right] f^{eck}. \end{aligned}$$

The resulting expression is added to the term (4) in (D.1). In the end, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \text{(1) + (4)} : \quad & \frac{i}{2} f^{edf} \left[\text{tr}([T^d, T^s] T^k T^c) - i f^{kdp} \text{tr}(T^s T^p T^c) \right] f^{eck} \quad (\text{D.2}) \\ &= -\frac{1}{2} f^{edf} \left[f^{dsp} \text{tr}(T^p T^k T^c) - f^{kdp} \text{tr}(T^s T^p T^c) \right] f^{eck} \\ &= -\frac{i}{4} N_c f^{edf} \left[f^{dsp} f^{\rho k c'} - f^{kdp} f^{s \rho c'} \right] f^{eck}. \end{aligned}$$

As we can see from the last expression, this transformation has allowed to reduce the number of antisymmetric structure constants. Here, it is more convenient to return to the matrices T^a by the rule (C.1). Then from (D.2) follows

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{i}{2} f^{edf} \left[\text{tr}(T^k T^e T^c T^s) f^{d'k} + \text{tr}(T^d T^s T^k T^c) f^{eck} \right] \langle \mathcal{Q}^f \rangle \langle \mathcal{Q}^c \rangle \langle \mathcal{Q}^{c'} \rangle \quad (\text{D.3}) \\ &= \frac{i}{4} N_c \left[\text{tr}(T^c T^c T^f T^s) - (T^s T^k T^f T^k)^{c'c} \right] \langle \mathcal{Q}^f \rangle \langle \mathcal{Q}^c \rangle \langle \mathcal{Q}^{c'} \rangle \\ &= \frac{i}{8} N_c \left[\text{tr}(\{T^c, T^{c'}\} T^f T^s) - \frac{1}{2} N_c \{T^c, T^{c'}\}^{fs} \right] \langle \mathcal{Q}^f \rangle \langle \mathcal{Q}^c \rangle \langle \mathcal{Q}^{c'} \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

Here, at the last step, we have taken into account that, by virtue of the formula (C.13), the following relation holds:

$$T^k T^f T^k = \frac{1}{2} N_c T^f.$$

Besides, we have used the elementary identity $(T^s T^f)^{c'c} = (T^c T^{c'})^{fs}$ and performed symmetrization with respect to the indices c and c' due to the presence of the multiplier $\langle \mathcal{Q}^c \rangle \langle \mathcal{Q}^{c'} \rangle$. Let us consider the special case $N_c = 3$. Then for the anticommutator $\{T^c, T^{c'}\}$ under the trace sign in the first term in (D.3) we can use the first identity in (C.14). As a result, using the formulae for the traces (C.4) and (C.5), here we have

$$\begin{aligned} \text{tr}(\{T^c, T^{c'}\} T^f T^s) &= 3 d^{cc'e} \text{tr}(D^e T^f T^s) + \delta^{cc'} \text{tr}(T^f T^s) - (T^f T^s)^{cc'} - (T^s T^f)^{cc'} \quad (\text{D.4}) \\ &= \frac{3}{2} N_c d^{cc'e} (D^e)^{fs} + N_c \delta^{cc'} \delta^{fs} - \{T^c, T^{c'}\}^{fs}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, instead of (D.3), we find the simplest expression for the sum (1) + (4):

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{i}{2} f^{edf} \left[\text{tr}(T^k T^e T^c T^s) f^{d'k} + \text{tr}(T^d T^s T^k T^c) f^{eck} \right] \langle \mathcal{Q}^f \rangle \langle \mathcal{Q}^c \rangle \langle \mathcal{Q}^{c'} \rangle \quad (\text{D.5}) \\ &= \frac{i}{8} N_c \left[\frac{3}{2} N_c d^{cc'e} (D^e)^{fs} + N_c \delta^{cc'} \delta^{fs} - \left(1 + \frac{1}{2} N_c\right) \{T^c, T^{c'}\}^{fs} \right] \langle \mathcal{Q}^f \rangle \langle \mathcal{Q}^c \rangle \langle \mathcal{Q}^{c'} \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

Finally, we consider the remaining term **(3)** in (D.1). Using twice the expression (D.4) and the traces of two and three generators, Eqs. (C.4) and (C.5), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{i}{2} f^{edf} \text{tr} (T^d T^k T^c T^d) f^{esk} \langle \mathcal{Q}^f \rangle \langle \mathcal{Q}^c \rangle \langle \mathcal{Q}^{c'} \rangle &\equiv \frac{i}{4} f^{edf} \text{tr} (T^d T^k \{T^c, T^{c'}\}) f^{esk} \langle \mathcal{Q}^f \rangle \langle \mathcal{Q}^c \rangle \langle \mathcal{Q}^{c'} \rangle \\
&= \frac{i}{4} f^{edf} \left[\frac{3}{2} N_c d^{cc'\rho} (D^\rho)^{dk} + N_c \delta^{cc'} \delta^{dk} - \{T^c, T^{c'}\}^{dk} \right] f^{esk} \langle \mathcal{Q}^f \rangle \langle \mathcal{Q}^c \rangle \langle \mathcal{Q}^{c'} \rangle \quad (\text{D.6}) \\
&= -\frac{i}{4} \left[\frac{3}{2} N_c d^{cc'e} \text{tr} (D^e T^s T^f) + N_c \delta^{cc'} \text{tr} (T^s T^f) - \text{tr} (\{T^c, T^{c'}\} T^s T^f) \right] \langle \mathcal{Q}^f \rangle \langle \mathcal{Q}^c \rangle \langle \mathcal{Q}^{c'} \rangle \\
&= -\frac{i}{4} \left[\frac{3}{2} N_c \left(\frac{1}{2} N_c - 1 \right) d^{cc'e} (D^e)^{fs} + N_c (N_c - 1) \delta^{cc'} \delta^{fs} + \{T^c, T^{c'}\}^{fs} \right] \langle \mathcal{Q}^f \rangle \langle \mathcal{Q}^c \rangle \langle \mathcal{Q}^{c'} \rangle.
\end{aligned}$$

The terms with the anticommutator $\{T^c, T^{c'}\}^{fs}$ on the right-hand side of the expressions (D.5) and (D.6) can be dropped, since they trivially turn to zero in contraction with the multiplier $\langle \mathcal{Q}^f \rangle \langle \mathcal{Q}^c \rangle \langle \mathcal{Q}^{c'} \rangle$. By adding (D.5) and (D.6), we find a simple expression for the original trace (D.1):

$$\begin{aligned}
&f^{edf} \text{tr} (T^d T^s T^c T^e T^d) \langle \mathcal{Q}^f \rangle \langle \mathcal{Q}^c \rangle \langle \mathcal{Q}^{c'} \rangle \\
&= \frac{i}{4} N_c \left[\frac{3}{2} d^{cc'e} (D^e)^{fs} - \left(\frac{1}{2} N_c - 1 \right) \delta^{cc'} \delta^{fs} \right] \langle \mathcal{Q}^f \rangle \langle \mathcal{Q}^c \rangle \langle \mathcal{Q}^{c'} \rangle \\
&= \frac{i}{4} N_c \left[\frac{3}{2} \cdot \frac{1}{3} - \left(\frac{1}{2} N_c - 1 \right) \right] \delta^{cc'} \delta^{fs} \langle \mathcal{Q}^f \rangle \langle \mathcal{Q}^c \rangle \langle \mathcal{Q}^{c'} \rangle.
\end{aligned}$$

Here, at the last step we have used the second identity in (C.14). We see that this expression vanishes at $N_c = 3$.

Appendix E Canonical transformations within the approach of the paper [2]

For convenience of reference, in this Appendix we write out the canonical transformations up to terms of the sixth order in new variables $c_{\mathbf{k}}^a$ and \mathcal{Q}^a proposed by us on the basis of heuristic considerations in [2]. The canonical transformation for the normal boson variable $a_{\mathbf{k}}^a$ is

$$\begin{aligned}
a_{\mathbf{k}}^a &= c_{\mathbf{k}}^a + F_{\mathbf{k}} \mathcal{Q}^a \quad (\text{E.1}) \\
&+ \int d\mathbf{k}_1 d\mathbf{k}_2 \left[V_{\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{k}_1, \mathbf{k}_2}^{(1) a a_1 a_2} c_{\mathbf{k}_1}^{a_1} c_{\mathbf{k}_2}^{a_2} + V_{\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{k}_1, \mathbf{k}_2}^{(2) a a_1 a_2} c_{\mathbf{k}_1}^{* a_1} c_{\mathbf{k}_2}^{a_2} + V_{\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{k}_1, \mathbf{k}_2}^{(3) a a_1 a_2} c_{\mathbf{k}_1}^{* a_1} c_{\mathbf{k}_2}^{* a_2} \right] \\
&\quad + \int d\mathbf{k}_1 \left[\tilde{V}_{\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{k}_1}^{(1) a a_1 a_2} c_{\mathbf{k}_1}^{* a_1} \mathcal{Q}^{a_2} + \tilde{V}_{\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{k}_1}^{(2) a a_1 a_2} c_{\mathbf{k}_1}^{a_1} \mathcal{Q}^{a_2} \right] \\
&+ \int d\mathbf{k}_1 d\mathbf{k}_2 \left[W_{\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{k}_1, \mathbf{k}_2}^{(1) a a_1 a_2 a_3} c_{\mathbf{k}_1}^{a_1} c_{\mathbf{k}_2}^{a_2} \mathcal{Q}^{a_3} + W_{\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{k}_1, \mathbf{k}_2}^{(2) a a_1 a_2 a_3} c_{\mathbf{k}_1}^{* a_1} c_{\mathbf{k}_2}^{a_2} \mathcal{Q}^{a_3} + W_{\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{k}_1, \mathbf{k}_2}^{(3) a a_1 a_2 a_3} c_{\mathbf{k}_1}^{* a_1} c_{\mathbf{k}_2}^{* a_2} \mathcal{Q}^{a_3} \right] \\
&\quad + \int d\mathbf{k}_1 \left[\tilde{W}_{\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{k}_1}^{(1) a a_1 a_2 a_3} c_{\mathbf{k}_1}^{* a_1} \mathcal{Q}^{a_2} \mathcal{Q}^{a_3} + \tilde{W}_{\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{k}_1}^{(2) a a_1 a_2 a_3} c_{\mathbf{k}_1}^{a_1} \mathcal{Q}^{a_2} \mathcal{Q}^{a_3} \right] + \dots
\end{aligned}$$

$$+ (G_{\mathbf{k}}^{a a_1 a_2} \mathcal{Q}^{a_1} \mathcal{Q}^{a_2} + G_{\mathbf{k}}^{a a_1 a_2 a_3} \mathcal{Q}^{a_1} \mathcal{Q}^{a_2} \mathcal{Q}^{a_3} + \dots).$$

The coefficient functions for the terms linear in color charge \mathcal{Q}^a have the form:

$$F_{\mathbf{k}} = -\frac{\Phi_{\mathbf{k}}^*}{\omega_{\mathbf{k}}^l - \mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{k}}, \quad (\text{E.2})$$

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{V}_{\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{k}_1}^{(1) a a_1 a_2} &= i f^{a a_1 a_2} \frac{1}{\omega_{\mathbf{k}}^l + \omega_{\mathbf{k}_1}^l - \mathbf{v} \cdot (\mathbf{k} + \mathbf{k}_1)} \\ &\times \left\{ \frac{\Phi_{\mathbf{k}}^* \Phi_{\mathbf{k}_1}^*}{\omega_{\mathbf{k}_1}^l - \mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{k}_1} - 2i \left(\frac{\mathcal{U}_{-\mathbf{k}-\mathbf{k}_1, \mathbf{k}, \mathbf{k}_1}^* \Phi_{-\mathbf{k}-\mathbf{k}_1}}{\omega_{-\mathbf{k}-\mathbf{k}_1}^l + \mathbf{v} \cdot (\mathbf{k} + \mathbf{k}_1)} + \frac{\mathcal{V}_{\mathbf{k}+\mathbf{k}_1, -\mathbf{k}, -\mathbf{k}_1}^* \Phi_{\mathbf{k}+\mathbf{k}_1}^*}{\omega_{\mathbf{k}+\mathbf{k}_1}^l - \mathbf{v} \cdot (\mathbf{k} + \mathbf{k}_1)} \right) \right\}, \end{aligned} \quad (\text{E.3})$$

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{V}_{\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{k}_1}^{(2) a a_1 a_2} &= i f^{a a_1 a_2} \left\{ -\frac{1}{2} \frac{\Phi_{\mathbf{k}}^* \Phi_{\mathbf{k}_1}}{(\omega_{\mathbf{k}}^l - \mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{k})(\omega_{\mathbf{k}_1}^l - \mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{k}_1)} \right. \\ &\left. - i \left(\frac{\mathcal{V}_{\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{k}_1, \mathbf{k}-\mathbf{k}_1} \Phi_{\mathbf{k}-\mathbf{k}_1}^*}{(\omega_{\mathbf{k}}^l - \omega_{\mathbf{k}_1}^l - \omega_{\mathbf{k}-\mathbf{k}_1}^l)(\omega_{\mathbf{k}-\mathbf{k}_1}^l - \mathbf{v} \cdot (\mathbf{k} - \mathbf{k}_1))} + \frac{\mathcal{V}_{\mathbf{k}_1, \mathbf{k}, \mathbf{k}_1-\mathbf{k}}^* \Phi_{\mathbf{k}_1-\mathbf{k}}}{(\omega_{\mathbf{k}_1}^l - \omega_{\mathbf{k}}^l - \omega_{\mathbf{k}_1-\mathbf{k}}^l)(\omega_{\mathbf{k}_1-\mathbf{k}}^l - \mathbf{v} \cdot (\mathbf{k}_1 - \mathbf{k}))} \right) \right\}. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{E.4})$$

Further, the canonical transformation for the classical color charge Q^a is

$$\begin{aligned} Q^a &= \mathcal{Q}^a + \int d\mathbf{k}_1 [M_{\mathbf{k}_1}^{a a_1 a_2} c_{\mathbf{k}_1}^{a_1} \mathcal{Q}^{a_2} + M_{\mathbf{k}_1}^{* a a_1 a_2} c_{\mathbf{k}_1}^{* a_1} \mathcal{Q}^{a_2}] \\ &+ \int d\mathbf{k}_1 d\mathbf{k}_2 \left[M_{\mathbf{k}_1, \mathbf{k}_2}^{(1) a a_1 a_2 a_3} c_{\mathbf{k}_1}^{a_1} c_{\mathbf{k}_2}^{a_2} \mathcal{Q}^{a_3} + M_{\mathbf{k}_1, \mathbf{k}_2}^{(2) a a_1 a_2 a_3} c_{\mathbf{k}_1}^{* a_1} c_{\mathbf{k}_2}^{a_2} \mathcal{Q}^{a_3} + M_{\mathbf{k}_1, \mathbf{k}_2}^{*(1) a a_1 a_2 a_3} c_{\mathbf{k}_1}^{* a_1} c_{\mathbf{k}_2}^{* a_2} \mathcal{Q}^{a_3} \right] \\ &+ \int d\mathbf{k}_1 \left[\tilde{M}_{\mathbf{k}_1}^{a a_1 a_2 a_3} c_{\mathbf{k}_1}^{a_1} \mathcal{Q}^{a_2} \mathcal{Q}^{a_3} + \tilde{M}_{\mathbf{k}_1}^{* a a_1 a_2 a_3} c_{\mathbf{k}_1}^{* a_1} \mathcal{Q}^{a_2} \mathcal{Q}^{a_3} \right] + \dots \\ &+ F^{a a_1 a_2} \mathcal{Q}^{a_1} \mathcal{Q}^{a_2} + F^{a a_1 a_2 a_3} \mathcal{Q}^{a_1} \mathcal{Q}^{a_2} \mathcal{Q}^{a_3} + \dots, \end{aligned} \quad (\text{E.5})$$

where, in turn, the lower- and higher-order coefficient functions for the terms linear in color charge \mathcal{Q}^a , respectively, are defined by the expression

$$M_{\mathbf{k}}^{a a_1 a_2} = i f^{a a_1 a_2} \frac{\Phi_{\mathbf{k}}}{\omega_{\mathbf{k}}^l - \mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{k}}, \quad (\text{E.6})$$

$$\begin{aligned} M_{\mathbf{k}_1, \mathbf{k}_2}^{(1) a a_1 a_2 a_3} &= -\frac{1}{4} (f^{a a_1 e} f^{e a_2 a_3} + f^{a a_2 e} f^{e a_1 a_3}) \frac{\Phi_{\mathbf{k}_1} \Phi_{\mathbf{k}_2}}{(\omega_{\mathbf{k}_1}^l - \mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{k}_1)(\omega_{\mathbf{k}_2}^l - \mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{k}_2)} \\ &+ f^{a_1 a_2 e} f^{e a a_3} \frac{1}{(\omega_{\mathbf{k}_1}^l + \omega_{\mathbf{k}_2}^l - \mathbf{v} \cdot (\mathbf{k}_1 + \mathbf{k}_2))} \\ &\times \left\{ -\frac{1}{4} \Phi_{\mathbf{k}_1} \Phi_{\mathbf{k}_2} \left(\frac{1}{\omega_{\mathbf{k}_1}^l - \mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{k}_1} - \frac{1}{\omega_{\mathbf{k}_2}^l - \mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{k}_2} \right) \right. \\ &\left. + i \left(\frac{\mathcal{U}_{-\mathbf{k}_1-\mathbf{k}_2, \mathbf{k}_1, \mathbf{k}_2} \Phi_{-\mathbf{k}_1-\mathbf{k}_2}^*}{\omega_{-\mathbf{k}_1-\mathbf{k}_2}^l + \omega_{\mathbf{k}_1}^l + \omega_{\mathbf{k}_2}^l} + \frac{\mathcal{V}_{\mathbf{k}_1+\mathbf{k}_2, \mathbf{k}_1, \mathbf{k}_2} \Phi_{\mathbf{k}_1+\mathbf{k}_2}}{\omega_{\mathbf{k}_1+\mathbf{k}_2}^l - \omega_{\mathbf{k}_1}^l - \omega_{\mathbf{k}_2}^l} \right) \right\}, \end{aligned} \quad (\text{E.7})$$

and

$$M_{\mathbf{k}_1, \mathbf{k}_2}^{(2) a a_1 a_2 a_3} = \frac{1}{2} (f^{a a_2 e} f^{e a_1 a_3} + f^{a a_1 e} f^{e a_2 a_3}) \frac{\Phi_{\mathbf{k}_1}^* \Phi_{\mathbf{k}_2}}{(\omega_{\mathbf{k}_1}^l - \mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{k}_1)(\omega_{\mathbf{k}_2}^l - \mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{k}_2)} \quad (\text{E.8})$$

$$+ i f^{a_1 a_2 e} f^{e a a_3} \left\{ \frac{\mathcal{V}_{\mathbf{k}_1, \mathbf{k}_2, \mathbf{k}_1 - \mathbf{k}_2} \Phi_{\mathbf{k}_1 - \mathbf{k}_2}^*}{(\omega_{\mathbf{k}_1}^l - \omega_{\mathbf{k}_2}^l - \omega_{\mathbf{k}_1 - \mathbf{k}_2}^l)(\omega_{\mathbf{k}_1 - \mathbf{k}_2}^l - \mathbf{v} \cdot (\mathbf{k}_1 - \mathbf{k}_2))} + \frac{\mathcal{V}_{\mathbf{k}_2, \mathbf{k}_1, \mathbf{k}_2 - \mathbf{k}_1}^* \Phi_{\mathbf{k}_2 - \mathbf{k}_1}}{(\omega_{\mathbf{k}_2}^l - \omega_{\mathbf{k}_1}^l - \omega_{\mathbf{k}_2 - \mathbf{k}_1}^l)(\omega_{\mathbf{k}_2 - \mathbf{k}_1}^l - \mathbf{v} \cdot (\mathbf{k}_2 - \mathbf{k}_1))} \right\}.$$

Appendix F Higher-order coefficient functions

In this appendix, the explicit form of some higher coefficient functions entering the canonical transformations (3.5) and (3.6) is given. The most nontrivial among these in structure and in physical significance are the functions $J_{\mathbf{k}_1, \mathbf{k}_2, \mathbf{p}, \mathbf{p}_1}^{(2) a_1 a_2 i i_1}$ and $R_{\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{k}_1, \mathbf{k}_2, \mathbf{p}_1}^{(2) i a_1 a_2 i_1}$:

$$J_{\mathbf{k}_1, \mathbf{k}_2, \mathbf{p}, \mathbf{p}_1}^{(2) a_1 a_2 i i_1} = \quad (\text{F.1})$$

$$= \left[\frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{\Phi_{\mathbf{k}_2, \mathbf{p}, \mathbf{p} - \mathbf{k}_2}^{a_2 j i} \Phi_{\mathbf{k}_1, \mathbf{p}_1, \mathbf{p}_1 - \mathbf{k}_1}^{* a_1 i_1 j}}{(\omega_{\mathbf{k}_2}^l - \varepsilon_{\mathbf{p}} + \varepsilon_{\mathbf{p} - \mathbf{k}_2})(\omega_{\mathbf{k}_1}^l - \varepsilon_{\mathbf{p}_1} + \varepsilon_{\mathbf{p}_1 - \mathbf{k}_1})} - \frac{\Phi_{\mathbf{k}_2, \mathbf{k}_2 + \mathbf{p}_1, \mathbf{p}_1}^{a_2 j i_1} \Phi_{\mathbf{k}_1, \mathbf{k}_1 + \mathbf{p}, \mathbf{p}}^{* a_1 j i}}{(\omega_{\mathbf{k}_2}^l - \varepsilon_{\mathbf{k}_2 + \mathbf{p}_1} + \varepsilon_{\mathbf{p}_1})(\omega_{\mathbf{k}_1}^l - \varepsilon_{\mathbf{k}_1 + \mathbf{p}} + \varepsilon_{\mathbf{p}})} \right) + 2 \left(\frac{\mathcal{W}_{\mathbf{k}_2, \mathbf{p}, \mathbf{k}_2 - \mathbf{p}}^{a_2 j i} \mathcal{W}_{\mathbf{k}_1, \mathbf{p}_1, \mathbf{k}_1 - \mathbf{p}_1}^{* a_1 i_1 j}}{(\omega_{\mathbf{k}_2}^l - \varepsilon_{\mathbf{p}} - \varepsilon_{\mathbf{k}_2 - \mathbf{p}})(\omega_{\mathbf{k}_1}^l - \varepsilon_{\mathbf{p}_1} - \varepsilon_{\mathbf{k}_1 - \mathbf{p}_1})} - \frac{\mathcal{S}_{\mathbf{k}_2, -\mathbf{k}_2 - \mathbf{p}_1, \mathbf{p}_1}^{a_2 j i_1} \mathcal{S}_{\mathbf{k}_1, -\mathbf{k}_1 - \mathbf{p}, \mathbf{p}}^{* a_1 j i}}{(\omega_{\mathbf{k}_2}^l + \varepsilon_{-\mathbf{k}_2 - \mathbf{p}_1} + \varepsilon_{\mathbf{p}_1})(\omega_{\mathbf{k}_1}^l + \varepsilon_{-\mathbf{k}_1 - \mathbf{p}} + \varepsilon_{\mathbf{p}})} \right) + \left(\frac{\mathcal{V}_{\mathbf{k}_1, \mathbf{k}_2, \mathbf{k}_1 - \mathbf{k}_2}^{a_1 a_2 a} \Phi_{\mathbf{p}_1 - \mathbf{p}, \mathbf{p}_1, \mathbf{p}}^{* a i_1 i}}{(\omega_{\mathbf{k}_1}^l - \omega_{\mathbf{k}_2}^l - \omega_{\mathbf{k}_1 - \mathbf{k}_2}^l)(\omega_{\mathbf{p}_1 - \mathbf{p}}^l - \varepsilon_{\mathbf{p}_1} + \varepsilon_{\mathbf{p}})} - \frac{\Phi_{\mathbf{p} - \mathbf{p}_1, \mathbf{p}, \mathbf{p}_1}^{a i i_1} \mathcal{V}_{\mathbf{k}_2, \mathbf{k}_1, \mathbf{k}_2 - \mathbf{k}_1}^{* a_2 a_1 a}}{(\omega_{\mathbf{p} - \mathbf{p}_1}^l - \varepsilon_{\mathbf{p}} + \varepsilon_{\mathbf{p}_1})(\omega_{\mathbf{k}_2}^l - \omega_{\mathbf{k}_1}^l - \omega_{\mathbf{k}_2 - \mathbf{k}_1}^l)} \right) \right] \times (2\pi)^3 \delta(\mathbf{p} + \mathbf{k}_1 - \mathbf{p}_1 - \mathbf{k}_2),$$

$$R_{\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{k}_1, \mathbf{k}_2, \mathbf{p}_1}^{(2) i a_1 a_2 i_1} = \quad (\text{F.2})$$

$$= - \left[\frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{\Phi_{\mathbf{k}_2, \mathbf{p}, \mathbf{p} - \mathbf{k}_2}^{a_2 j i} \Phi_{\mathbf{k}_1, \mathbf{p}_1, \mathbf{p}_1 - \mathbf{k}_1}^{* a_1 i_1 j}}{(\omega_{\mathbf{k}_2}^l - \varepsilon_{\mathbf{p}} + \varepsilon_{\mathbf{p} - \mathbf{k}_2})(\omega_{\mathbf{k}_1}^l - \varepsilon_{\mathbf{p}_1} + \varepsilon_{\mathbf{p}_1 - \mathbf{k}_1})} + \frac{\Phi_{\mathbf{k}_2, \mathbf{k}_2 + \mathbf{p}_1, \mathbf{p}_1}^{a_2 j i_1} \Phi_{\mathbf{k}_1, \mathbf{k}_1 + \mathbf{p}, \mathbf{p}}^{* a_1 j i}}{(\omega_{\mathbf{k}_2}^l - \varepsilon_{\mathbf{k}_2 + \mathbf{p}_1} + \varepsilon_{\mathbf{p}_1})(\omega_{\mathbf{k}_1}^l - \varepsilon_{\mathbf{k}_1 + \mathbf{p}} + \varepsilon_{\mathbf{p}})} \right) + 2 \left(\frac{\mathcal{W}_{\mathbf{k}_2, \mathbf{p}, \mathbf{k}_2 - \mathbf{p}}^{a_2 j i} \mathcal{W}_{\mathbf{k}_1, \mathbf{p}_1, \mathbf{k}_1 - \mathbf{p}_1}^{* a_1 i_1 j}}{(\omega_{\mathbf{k}_2}^l - \varepsilon_{\mathbf{p}} - \varepsilon_{\mathbf{k}_2 - \mathbf{p}})(\omega_{\mathbf{k}_1}^l - \varepsilon_{\mathbf{p}_1} - \varepsilon_{\mathbf{k}_1 - \mathbf{p}_1})} + \frac{\mathcal{S}_{\mathbf{k}_2, -\mathbf{k}_2 - \mathbf{p}_1, \mathbf{p}_1}^{a_2 j i_1} \mathcal{S}_{\mathbf{k}_1, -\mathbf{k}_1 - \mathbf{p}, \mathbf{p}}^{* a_1 j i}}{(\omega_{\mathbf{k}_2}^l + \varepsilon_{-\mathbf{k}_2 - \mathbf{p}_1} + \varepsilon_{\mathbf{p}_1})(\omega_{\mathbf{k}_1}^l + \varepsilon_{-\mathbf{k}_1 - \mathbf{p}} + \varepsilon_{\mathbf{p}})} \right) + \left(\frac{\mathcal{V}_{\mathbf{k}_1, \mathbf{k}_2, \mathbf{k}_1 - \mathbf{k}_2}^{a_1 a_2 a} \Phi_{\mathbf{p}_1 - \mathbf{p}, \mathbf{p}_1, \mathbf{p}}^{* a i_1 i}}{(\omega_{\mathbf{k}_1}^l - \omega_{\mathbf{k}_2}^l - \omega_{\mathbf{k}_1 - \mathbf{k}_2}^l)(\omega_{\mathbf{p}_1 - \mathbf{p}}^l - \varepsilon_{\mathbf{p}_1} + \varepsilon_{\mathbf{p}})} - \frac{\Phi_{\mathbf{p} - \mathbf{p}_1, \mathbf{p}, \mathbf{p}_1}^{a i i_1} \mathcal{V}_{\mathbf{k}_2, \mathbf{k}_1, \mathbf{k}_2 - \mathbf{k}_1}^{* a_2 a_1 a}}{(\omega_{\mathbf{p} - \mathbf{p}_1}^l - \varepsilon_{\mathbf{p}} + \varepsilon_{\mathbf{p}_1})(\omega_{\mathbf{k}_2}^l - \omega_{\mathbf{k}_1}^l - \omega_{\mathbf{k}_2 - \mathbf{k}_1}^l)} \right) \right] \times (2\pi)^3 \delta(\mathbf{p} + \mathbf{k}_1 - \mathbf{p}_1 - \mathbf{k}_2).$$

In our paper [1] it was shown that these functions allow us to construct the complete effective amplitude $\mathcal{T}_{\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{p}_1, \mathbf{k}_1, \mathbf{k}_2}^{(2) i i_1 a_1 a_2}$, as it is defined by the expression (4.4) (or (5.12)–(5.14)), automatically possessing all necessary symmetry properties, without any additional conditions.

Let us further write out the explicit form of the remaining higher-order coefficient functions

$J_{\mathbf{k}_1, \mathbf{k}_2, \mathbf{p}, \mathbf{p}_1}^{(5) a_1 a_2 i i_1}$ and $R_{\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{k}_1, \mathbf{k}_2, \mathbf{p}_1}^{(1,3) i a_1 a_2 i_1}$ that do not vanish in the hard thermal loop approximation:

$$\begin{aligned}
J_{\mathbf{k}_1, \mathbf{k}_2, \mathbf{p}, \mathbf{p}_1}^{(5) a_1 a_2 i i_1} &= -\frac{1}{\varepsilon_{\mathbf{p}} - \varepsilon_{\mathbf{p}_1} + \omega_{\mathbf{k}_1}^l + \omega_{\mathbf{k}_2}^l} \left\{ \frac{\Phi_{\mathbf{k}_2, \mathbf{k}_2 + \mathbf{p}, \mathbf{p}}^{* a_2 j i} \Phi_{\mathbf{k}_1, \mathbf{p}_1, \mathbf{p}_1 - \mathbf{k}_1}^{* a_1 i_1 j}}{\omega_{\mathbf{k}_2}^l - \varepsilon_{\mathbf{k}_2 + \mathbf{p}} + \varepsilon_{\mathbf{p}}} - \frac{\Phi_{\mathbf{k}_1, \mathbf{k}_1 + \mathbf{p}, \mathbf{p}}^{* a_1 j i} \Phi_{\mathbf{k}_2, \mathbf{p}_1, \mathbf{p}_1 - \mathbf{k}_2}^{* a_2 i_1 j}}{\omega_{\mathbf{k}_2}^l - \varepsilon_{\mathbf{p}_1} + \varepsilon_{\mathbf{p}_1 - \mathbf{k}_2}} \right. \\
&\quad \left. - 2 \left(\frac{\mathcal{U}_{\mathbf{k}_1, \mathbf{k}_2, -\mathbf{k}_1 - \mathbf{k}_2}^{* a_1 a_2 a} \Phi_{\mathbf{p} - \mathbf{p}_1, \mathbf{p}, \mathbf{p}_1}^{a i i_1}}{\omega_{\mathbf{p} - \mathbf{p}_1}^l - \varepsilon_{\mathbf{p}} + \varepsilon_{\mathbf{p}_1}} + \frac{\mathcal{V}_{\mathbf{k}_1 + \mathbf{k}_2, \mathbf{k}_1, \mathbf{k}_2}^{* a a_1 a_2} \Phi_{\mathbf{p}_1 - \mathbf{p}, \mathbf{p}_1, \mathbf{p}}^{* a i_1 i}}{\omega_{\mathbf{p}_1 - \mathbf{p}}^l - \varepsilon_{\mathbf{p}_1} + \varepsilon_{\mathbf{p}}} \right) \right\} (2\pi)^3 \delta(\mathbf{p} - \mathbf{p}_1 + \mathbf{k}_1 + \mathbf{k}_2),
\end{aligned} \tag{F.3}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
R_{\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{k}_1, \mathbf{k}_2, \mathbf{p}_1}^{(1) i a_1 a_2 i_1} &= -\frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{\varepsilon_{\mathbf{p}} - \varepsilon_{\mathbf{p}_1} - \omega_{\mathbf{k}_1}^l - \omega_{\mathbf{k}_2}^l} \left\{ \frac{\Phi_{\mathbf{k}_2, \mathbf{k}_2 + \mathbf{p}_1, \mathbf{p}_1}^{a_2 j i_1} \Phi_{\mathbf{k}_1, \mathbf{p}, \mathbf{p} - \mathbf{k}_1}^{a_1 i j}}{\omega_{\mathbf{k}_2}^l - \varepsilon_{\mathbf{k}_2 + \mathbf{p}_1} + \varepsilon_{\mathbf{p}_1}} + \frac{\Phi_{\mathbf{k}_1, \mathbf{k}_1 + \mathbf{p}_1, \mathbf{p}_1}^{a_1 j i_1} \Phi_{\mathbf{k}_2, \mathbf{p}, \mathbf{p} - \mathbf{k}_2}^{a_2 i j}}{\omega_{\mathbf{k}_1}^l - \varepsilon_{\mathbf{k}_1 + \mathbf{p}_1} + \varepsilon_{\mathbf{p}_1}} \right. \\
&\quad \left. - 2 \left(\frac{\mathcal{U}_{\mathbf{k}_1, \mathbf{k}_2, -\mathbf{k}_1 - \mathbf{k}_2}^{a_1 a_2 a} \Phi_{\mathbf{p}_1 - \mathbf{p}, \mathbf{p}_1, \mathbf{p}}^{* a i_1 i}}{\omega_{-\mathbf{k}_1 - \mathbf{k}_2}^l + \omega_{\mathbf{k}_1}^l + \omega_{\mathbf{k}_2}^l} + \frac{\mathcal{V}_{\mathbf{k}_1 + \mathbf{k}_2, \mathbf{k}_1, \mathbf{k}_2}^{a a_1 a_2} \Phi_{\mathbf{p} - \mathbf{p}_1, \mathbf{p}, \mathbf{p}_1}^{a i i_1}}{\omega_{\mathbf{k}_1 + \mathbf{k}_2}^l - \omega_{\mathbf{k}_1}^l - \omega_{\mathbf{k}_2}^l} \right) \right\} (2\pi)^3 \delta(\mathbf{p} - \mathbf{p}_1 - \mathbf{k}_1 - \mathbf{k}_2),
\end{aligned} \tag{F.4}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
R_{\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{k}_1, \mathbf{k}_2, \mathbf{p}_1}^{(3) i a_1 a_2 i_1} &= \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{\varepsilon_{\mathbf{p}} - \varepsilon_{\mathbf{p}_1} + \omega_{\mathbf{k}_1}^l + \omega_{\mathbf{k}_2}^l} \left\{ \frac{\Phi_{\mathbf{k}_2, \mathbf{k}_2 + \mathbf{p}, \mathbf{p}}^{* a_2 j i} \Phi_{\mathbf{k}_1, \mathbf{p}_1, \mathbf{p}_1 - \mathbf{k}_1}^{* a_1 i_1 j}}{\omega_{\mathbf{k}_1}^l - \varepsilon_{\mathbf{p}_1} + \varepsilon_{\mathbf{p}_1 - \mathbf{k}_1}} + \frac{\Phi_{\mathbf{k}_1, \mathbf{k}_1 + \mathbf{p}, \mathbf{p}}^{* a_1 j i} \Phi_{\mathbf{k}_2, \mathbf{p}_1, \mathbf{p}_1 - \mathbf{k}_2}^{* a_2 i_1 j}}{\omega_{\mathbf{k}_2}^l - \varepsilon_{\mathbf{p}_1} + \varepsilon_{\mathbf{p}_1 - \mathbf{k}_2}} \right. \\
&\quad \left. + 2 \left(\frac{\mathcal{U}_{\mathbf{k}_1, \mathbf{k}_2, -\mathbf{k}_1 - \mathbf{k}_2}^{* a_1 a_2 a} \Phi_{\mathbf{p} - \mathbf{p}_1, \mathbf{p}, \mathbf{p}_1}^{a i i_1}}{\omega_{-\mathbf{k}_1 - \mathbf{k}_2}^l + \omega_{\mathbf{k}_1}^l + \omega_{\mathbf{k}_2}^l} + \frac{\mathcal{V}_{\mathbf{k}_1 + \mathbf{k}_2, \mathbf{k}_1, \mathbf{k}_2}^{* a a_1 a_2} \Phi_{\mathbf{p}_1 - \mathbf{p}, \mathbf{p}_1, \mathbf{p}}^{* a i_1 i}}{\omega_{\mathbf{k}_1 + \mathbf{k}_2}^l - \omega_{\mathbf{k}_1}^l - \omega_{\mathbf{k}_2}^l} \right) \right\} (2\pi)^3 \delta(\mathbf{p} - \mathbf{p}_1 + \mathbf{k}_1 + \mathbf{k}_2).
\end{aligned} \tag{F.5}$$

We emphasize again that these coefficient functions are qualitatively different from the coefficient functions (F.1) and (F.2) in calculation procedure and in physical meaning.

References

- [1] Yu.A. Markov, M.A. Markova, N.Yu. Markov, Hamiltonian formalism for Fermi excitations in a plasma with a non-Abelian interaction, *Int. J. Mod. Phys. A* **38** (2023) 2350015.
- [2] Yu.A. Markov, M.A. Markova, N.Yu. Markov, Hamiltonian formalism for Bose excitations in a plasma with a non-Abelian interaction I: Plasmon - hard particle scattering, *Nucl. Phys. A* **1048** (2024) 122903.
- [3] V.E. Zakharov, Hamiltonian formalism for hydrodynamics plasma models, *Sov. Phys. JETP* **33** (1971) 927–932.
- [4] V.E. Zakharov, The Hamiltonian formalism for waves in nonlinear media having dispersion, *Radiophys. Quant. Electronics* **17** (1974) 326–343.
- [5] V.E. Zakharov, S.L. Musher, A.M. Rubenchik, Hamiltonian approach to the description of nonlinear plasma phenomena, *Phys. Rep.* **129** (1985) 285–366.
- [6] V.E. Zakharov, V.S. L’vov, G. Falkovich, *Kolmogorov Spectra of Turbulence I. Wave Turbulence*, Springer–Verlag, 1992.
- [7] V.P. Krasitskii, Canonical transformation in a theory of weakly nonlinear waves with a nondecay dispersion law, *Sov. Phys. JETP* **71** (1990) 921–927.
- [8] V.E. Zakharov, E.A. Kuznetsov, Hamiltonian formalism for nonlinear waves, *Physics – Uspekhi* **40** (1997) 1087–1116.
- [9] O.K. Kalashnikov, V.V. Klimov, Polarization operator in QCD at finite temperatures and densities, *Sov. J. Nucl. Phys.* **31** (1980) 699–706.
- [10] J.-P. Blaizot, E. Iancu, Energy-momentum tensors for the quark-gluon plasma, *Nucl. Phys. B* **417** (1994) 565–592.
- [11] R. Hakim, *Introduction to relativistic statistical mechanics: classical and quantum*, World Scientific Publishing Co., 2011.
- [12] R. Casalbuoni, On the quantization of systems with anticommuting variables, *Il Nuovo Cimento A* **33** (1976) 115–125.
- [13] R. Casalbuoni, The classical mechanics for Bose-Fermi systems, *Il Nuovo Cimento A* **33** (1976) 389–431.
- [14] F.A. Berezin, *Introduction in superanalysis*, Edited by A.A. Kirillov, Kluwer Academic Publishers, 1987.
- [15] Yu.A. Markov, M.A. Markova, N.Yu. Markov, D.M. Gitman, Hamiltonian formalism for Bose excitations in a plasma with a non-Abelian interaction, *J. Exp. Theor. Phys.* **130** (2020) 274–286.
- [16] A. Barducci, R. Casalbuoni and L. Lusanna, Classical scalar and spinning particles interacting with external Yang-Mills fields, *Nucl. Phys. B* **124** (1977) 93–108.

- [17] A.P. Balachandran, P. Salomonson, B. Skagerstam and J. Winnberg, Classical description of a particle interacting with a non-Abelian gauge field, *Phys. Rev. D* **15** (1977) 2308–2317.
- [18] G. Hooft, A planar diagram theory for strong interactions, *Nucl. Phys. B* **72** (1974) 461–473.
- [19] F. Maltoni, K. Paul, T. Stelzer, and S. Willenbrock, Color-flow decomposition of QCD amplitudes, *Phys. Rev. D* **67** (2003) 014026.
- [20] K. Hagiwara, Y. Takaesu, Generating QCD amplitudes in the color-flow basis with Mad-Graph, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **71** (2011) 1668.
- [21] W. Kilian, T. Ohl, J. Reuter, C. Speckner, QCD in the color-flow representation, *JHEP* **1210** (2012) 022.
- [22] L.M. Kovrizhnykh, On the theory of a turbulent plasma, *Sov. Phys. JETP* **22** (1965) 744–755.
- [23] E. Kamke, *Differentialgleichungen: Lösungsmethoden und Lösungen*, Springer Fachmedien Wiesbaden, 1977.
- [24] S.K. Wong, Field and particle equations for the classical Yang-Mills field and particles with isotopic spin, *Nuovo Cimento A* **65** (1970) 689–694.
- [25] J.-P. Blaizot, E. Iancu, The quark-gluon plasma: collective dynamics and hard thermal loops, *Phys. Rep.* **359** (2002) 355–528.
- [26] J. Ghiglieri, A. Kurkela, M. Strickland, A. Vuorinen, Perturbative thermal QCD: Formalism and applications, *Phys. Rep.* **880** (2020) 1–73.
- [27] E. Braaten, R. D. Pisarski, Soft amplitudes in hot gauge theories: a general analysis, *Nucl. Phys. B* **337** (1990) 569–634.
- [28] L.M. Kaplan and M. Resnikoff, Matrix Products and the Explicit 3, 6, 9, and $12j$ Coefficients of the Regular Representation of $SU(n)$, *J. Math. Phys.* **8** (1967) 2194–2205.
- [29] A.J. MacFarlane, A. Sudbery, and P.H. Weisz, On Gell-Mann’s λ -Matrices, d - and f -Tensors, Octets, and Parametrizations of $SU(3)$, *Commun. Math. Phys.* **11** (1968) 77–90.
- [30] J.A. de Azcárraga, A.J. Macfarlane, A.J. Mountain, J.C. Pérez Bueno, Invariant tensors for simple groups, *Nucl. Phys. B* **510** (1998) 657–687.
- [31] V.S. Fadin and R. Fiore, Nonforward NLO Balitsky-Fadin-Kuraev-Lipatov kernel, *Phys. Rev. D* **72** (2005) 014018.
- [32] N.N. Nikolaev, W. Schäfer, and B.G. Zakharov, Nonlinear \mathbf{k}_\perp factorization for gluon-gluon dijets produced off nuclear targets, *Phys. Rev. D* **72** (2005) 114018.
- [33] H.E. Haber, Useful relations among the generators in the defining and adjoint representations of $SU(N)$, *SciPost Phys. Lect. Notes* **21** (2021) 1–11.
- [34] T. van Ritbergen, A.N. Schellekens, and J.A.M. Vermaseren, Group Theory Factors for Feynman Diagrams, *Int. J. Mod. Phys. A* **14** (1999) 41–96.