High N/O ratio at high redshift as a result of a strong burst of star formation and differential galactic winds

F. Rizzuti^{1,2}, F. Matteucci^{1,2,3}, P. Molaro^{2,4}, G. Cescutti^{1,2}, and R. Maiolino^{5,6,7}

Dipartimento di Fisica, Università degli Studi di Trieste, via Tiepolo 11, I-34143 Trieste, Italy e-mail: federico.rizzuti@inaf.it

2 INAF, Osservatorio Astronomico di Trieste, via Tiepolo 11, I-34143 Trieste, Italy

INFN, Sezione di Trieste, via Valerio 2, I-34134 Trieste, Italy

Institute for Fundamental Physics of the Universe, via Beirut, 2, I-34151 Trieste, Italy

Kavli Institute for Cosmology, University of Cambridge, Madingley Road, Cambridge CB3 0HA, UK

Cavendish Laboratory, University of Cambridge, 19 JJ Thomson Avenue, Cambridge CB3 0HE, UK

Department of Physics and Astronomy, University College London, Gower Street, London WC1E 6BT, UK

Received ; accepted

ABSTRACT

Context. Recent observations by JWST have revealed supersolar ¹⁴N abundances in galaxies at very high redshift. On the other hand, these galaxies show subsolar metallicity. The observed N/O ratios are difficult to reproduce in the framework of chemical evolution models for the Milky Way.

Aims. Our aim is to reproduce these high N/O ratios with chemical evolution models assuming different histories of star formation triggering galactic winds coupled with detailed nucleosynthesis prescriptions for ¹⁴N, ¹²C, ¹⁶O and ⁵⁶Fe.

Methods. We compute several models for small galaxies ($10^9 - 10^{10} M_{\odot}$) with high star formation efficiency and strong galactic winds. These winds are assumed to be differential, carrying out mainly the products of the explosion of core-collapse supernovae.

Results. We find that only models with high star formation rates, normal initial mass function, and differential galactic winds can reproduce the observed chemical abundances. We also find that with the same assumptions about star formation and galactic winds, but with a very rapid formation resulting from fast gas infall, we can also reproduce the estimated ages of these objects. We find no necessity to invoke peculiar nucleosynthesis from Population III stars, very massive stars and supermassive stars.

Key words. Galaxies: evolution – Galaxies: high-redshift – Galaxies: star formation – Stars: abundances – Stars: Population III – Stars: winds, outflows

⁵ Kavli Institu
⁶ Cavendish L
⁷ Department
Received ; according
Received ; according
Received ; according
Received ; according
Context. Received ; according
Soura aim triggering galax
Methods. We contribute the above the ab Recent data from JWST have indicated supersolar N/O ratios in high redshift galaxies (Cameron et al. 2023; Isobe et al. 2023; Curti et al. 2024; Ji et al. 2024; Marques-Chaves et al. 2024; Schaerer et al. 2024; Senchyna et al. 2024). These high ratios are difficult to reconcile with standard stellar nucleosynthesis and chemical evolution models. N is basically a secondary element produced during the CNO cycle mainly in low and intermediate mass stars (0.8 \leq M/M_{\odot} \leq 8), but it can be produced also as a primary element during the thermal pulses combined with the third dredge-up in Asymptotic Giant Branch (AGB) stars (Renzini & Voli 1981; Ventura et al. 2013). Massive stars do also produce some secondary ¹⁴N, which can be also primary if the massive stars rotate (Meynet & Maeder 2002a; Hirschi 2007; Ekström et al. 2012). The fact that ¹⁴N should be produced in a primary fashion by massive stars was first suggested by Matteucci (1986), in order to reproduce the observed N/Fe ratios in halo stars and later to explain the N/O abundances in Damped Lyman-Alpha (DLA) Systems (e.g. Matteucci et al. 1997). In fact, DLA galaxies show the best evidence of the primary nature of N at low metallicities by displaying a constant N/O ratio with increasing oxygen abundance (Pettini et al. 1995; Molaro et al. 1996, 2004; Molaro 2003, 2006; Centurión et al. 2003; Zafar et al. 2014; Vangioni et al. 2018). However, even assuming an early primary production of N from massive stars and with

the star formation rate (SFR) typical of the Galaxy, it is not possible to reach supersolar N/O ratios at early times. Therefore, the high-z galaxies observed by JWST should have experienced a quite different chemical history relative to the Galaxy. Matteucci et al. (1997) showed that galaxies of different morphological type should present different N/O ratios according to their history of star formation. In particular, they showed that high N/O ratios can be obtained in the framework of a chemical evolution model assuming short but intense bursts of star formation, together with a primary production of N by massive stars and differential galactic winds. Differential winds are outflows carrying out preferentially some elements, such as the elements produced by core-collapse supernovae (CC-SNe). On the other hand, elements such as N, which are produced mainly by low and intermediate mass stars, or Fe, mainly originating in Type Ia SNe, all formed in isolation, have a lower probability of being ejected from the galaxy relative to elements, such as O and α -elements, which are mainly produced in massive stars, which tend to cluster together and explode as CC-SNe. Differential galactic winds have been invoked to reproduce the properties of dwarf galaxies (e.g. Pilyugin 1993; Marconi et al. 1994; Kunth et al. 1995) and have been theoretically confirmed by chemo-dynamical simulations (Mac Low & Ferrara 1999; D'Ercole & Brighenti 1999; Recchi et al. 2001, 2008; Fujita et al. 2004). Moreover, differential galactic winds have been also observed by Martin et al.

(2002) and Ott et al. (2005). Later on, the effect of fast-rotating massive stars, and in particular of their winds, on the N/O ratio in the Milky Way stars has been shown by Cescutti & Chiappini (2010), although they could not reproduce the very high N/O observed in some halo stars.

Recently, Kobayashi & Ferrara (2024) suggested that the supersolar N abundance observed by JWST in GN-z11 (z = 10.6) results from intermittent bursts of star formation separated by quiescent periods, thanks to the nucleosynthesis by Wolf-Rayet (WR) stars immediately after the second burst. They also showed that changing the initial mass function (IMF) or including very massive stars and pair-instability supernovae is not enough to reproduce the high N/O ratio in single burst models. Other previous suggestions relative to GN-z11 claimed supermassive stars as N producers (Charbonnel et al. 2023; Nagele & Umeda 2023; Senchyna et al. 2024). Additionally, Maiolino et al. (2024) pointed out that the nitrogen enrichment of GN-z11 is likely restricted to the very nuclear region of the galaxy, where gas densities exceed 10^8 cm⁻³ (likely in the vicinity of the black hole). In this paper, we show that adopting a normal initial mass function coupled with a high SFR and differential galactic winds, the high N/O ratio can be reproduced in a sample of high-z galaxies, including GN-z11, observed by JWST.

The paper is organized as follows: in Section 2 we describe the JWST observations, in Section 3 we discuss the adopted chemical evolution models and their main parameters. In Section 4, we discuss the assumed nucleosynthesis prescriptions. In Section 5, the results of models assuming a different infall mass, SFR and galactic wind rates are presented and compared to the observations. Finally, in Section 6 some conclusions are drawn.

2. Observations

Cameron et al. (2023) have first presented the N/O and C/O abundances constrained for the high-redshift galaxy GN-z11 at metallicity $\log(O/H) + 12 = 7.82$ with the unexpectedly high limits $\log(N/O) > -0.25$ and $\log(C/O) > -0.78$ compared to low-redshift galaxies. Since then, additional measurements for GN-z11 and other high-*z* galaxies from JWST have been published (see below).

In Table 1, the complete list of JWST measurements that we used in this paper to constrain the evolutionary history of high-*z* galaxies are presented, taken from Marques-Chaves et al. (2024), Isobe et al. (2023), Senchyna et al. (2024), and Curti et al. (2024). These data have been integrated with previous measurements by Villar-Martín et al. (2004), Christensen et al. (2012), and Pascale et al. (2023). The galaxies have all subsolar metallicity $7 < \log(O/H) + 12 < 8.5$, solar or subsolar values of C/O, but supersolar N/O (except GS-z9-0). The Sun is included for comparison. Additionally, we also include the low-*z* galaxy Mrk 996, which stands out for the high N/O in its inner regions (James et al. 2009; Marques-Chaves et al. 2024).

A different case is the one of galaxy GS_3073, where it has been recently measured the highest N/O ever found by JSWT (Ji et al. 2024). We include this object in Table 1: its log(N/O) is more than 0.5 dex larger than what found in the other galaxies. We believe that in order to reach such high N/O, this galaxy must be more evolved than the others. Unfortunately, the age and star formation of GS_3073 are not constrained (Ji et al. 2024). For these reasons, we treat this object separately in Section 5.1.

Some studies also provide estimates for the age and other properties of the high-z galaxies. We list in Table 2 the ages and star formation rates available for high-z galaxies, taken from Marques-Chaves et al. (2024), Bunker et al. (2023), and Curti

et al. (2024). These estimates have been obtained through spectral energy distribution (SED) fitting, based on stellar population synthesis. It is true that these estimates are dependent on the specific choices selected during the fitting, such as the assumed IMF; however, the large error bars of the estimates in Table 2 are often representative of fitting run with different IMF, i.e. Salpeter (1955) and Chabrier (2003) for Bunker et al. (2023); Kroupa (2001) and Chabrier (2003) for Curti et al. (2024); and Chabrier (2003) for Marques-Chaves et al. (2024).

3. The chemical evolution model

The adopted chemical evolution model assumes one intense and short starburst occurring at the very beginning of the galaxy evolution. This model is similar to the one described in Matteucci et al. (1997), but is much more detailed for what concerns stellar nucleosynthesis. The model assumes that the studied galaxy forms by infall of primordial gas with strong SFR triggering galactic winds, which can be normal or differential. Corecollapse supernovae (CC-SNe) explode before supernovae Type Ia and are generally clustered together, triggering a differential wind with different chemical elements lost from the galaxy at different rates (Pilyugin 1993; Marconi et al. 1994; Kunth et al. 1995). Therefore, it is plausible that the α -elements, such as oxygen, are lost more easily from the galaxy than other elements such as nitrogen which are mainly produced in low and intermediate mass stars (LIMS). Differential winds have been studied theoretically by several authors (Mac Low & Ferrara 1999; D'Ercole & Brighenti 1999; Recchi et al. 2001; Fujita et al. 2004) and also observed (see Recchi et al. 2008, and references therein).

The star formation rate (SFR) depends on the mass of gas:

$$\psi(t) = -\nu M_{\text{gas}}(t) \tag{1}$$

where v is the efficiency of star formation and is expressed in units of Gyr⁻¹. The assumed IMF is the one of Salpeter (1955). The infall rate $I_{i,inf}(t)$ corresponding to element *i* is an exponential one:

$$I_{i,\inf}(t) = a X_{i,\inf} e^{-t/\tau}$$
⁽²⁾

where $X_{i,inf}$ is the abundance of the element *i* in the infalling gas, which is assumed to be primordial and therefore containing no metals. The quantity τ is the timescale of the mass accretion. The quantity *a* is a constant which is derived by assuming that a given infall mass is reached at the present time (13.7 Gyr).

The rate of galactic wind $W_i(t)$ corresponding to element *i* is proportional to the SFR:

$$W_i(t) = -\omega_i \psi(t) X_{i,w}(t)$$
(3)

where ω_i is the mass loading factor and is the same for all the chemical elements for 'classical' wind, whereas it varies from element to element if a differential wind is assumed. The abundance $X_{i,w}$ is relative to the element *i* in the wind. In particular, the mass loading factor is equal to 1 for O and the α -elements, which are mostly produced by CC-SNe, to 0.3 for C and Fe, produced only in part by CC-SNe, and to 0 for the other elements. The model relaxes the instantaneous recycling approximation and the equations for each element are solved numerically. We include a detailed treatment of the SN rates, and in particular for the Type Ia SN rate we adopt a delay distribution function (DTD) related to the single-degenerate scenario that, together with the

Galaxy	z	$\log{(O/H)} + 12$	log (N/O)	log (C/O)	source
GN-z11	10.6	$7.84^{+0.06}_{-0.05}$	$-0.38^{+0.05}_{-0.04}$		1, 2
GS-z9-0	9.43	7.49 ± 0.11	-0.93 ± 0.24	-0.90 ± 0.12	3
CEERS-1019	8.68	7.70 ± 0.18	-0.18 ± 0.11	-0.75 ± 0.11	2
ERO_04590	8.50	$7.19_{-0.10}^{+0.13}$		$-0.53^{+0.17}_{-0.15}$	4
GLASS_150008	6.23	$7.65^{+0.14}_{-0.08}$	$-0.40^{+0.05}_{-0.07}$	$-1.08^{+0.06}_{-0.14}$	4
CEERS_00397	6.00	$7.99_{-0.10}^{+0.12}$		$-0.65^{+0.14}_{-0.12}$	4
GS_3073	5.55	$8.00^{+0.12}_{-0.09}$	$0.42^{+0.13}_{-0.10}$	$-0.38^{+0.13}_{-0.11}$	5
GLASS_150029	4.58	$7.73^{+0.09}_{-0.08}$		$-0.84^{+0.10}_{-0.09}$	4
SMACS2031	3.51	7.76 ± 0.1	-0.66 ± 0.1	-0.80 ± 0.09	2,6
Lynx arc	3.36	7.87 ± 0.2	-0.53 ± 0.2	-0.14 ± 0.2	2,7
Sunburst	2.37	8.03 ± 0.06	$-0.21^{+0.10}_{-0.11}$	-0.51 ± 0.05	2,8
Mrk 996	0.005	8.37 ± 0.3	-0.13 ± 0.3	-0.22 ± 0.3	2,9
Sun	0	8.69	-0.86	-0.26	10

Table 1. Observational data of JWST high-redshift galaxies (plus low-*z* galaxy Mrk 996 and the Sun): galaxy name, redshift, metallicity, N/O, C/O, and source.

Sources. 1: Senchyna et al. (2024), 2: Marques-Chaves et al. (2024), 3: Curti et al. (2024), 4: Isobe et al. (2023), 5: Ji et al. (2024), 6: Christensen et al. (2012), 7: Villar-Martín et al. (2004), 8: Pascale et al. (2023), 9: James et al. (2009), 10: Asplund et al. (2009).

 Table 2. Observational data of JWST high-redshift galaxies: galaxy name, estimated age, star formation rate, and source.

Galaxy	age (Myr)	$SFR~(M_\odot~yr^{-1})$	source
CEERS-1019 A	4.0 ± 0.3	148 ± 25	1
CEERS-1019 B	5.7 ± 0.7	83 ± 18	1
GN-z11	$18.6^{+10.2}_{-5.4}$	25 ± 5	2
GS-z9-0	32^{+20}_{-9}	5.46 ± 1.04	3

Sources. 1: Marques-Chaves et al. (2024), 2: Bunker et al. (2023), 3: Curti et al. (2024).

double degenerate scenario, better reproduces the observations of chemical abundances (see Matteucci 2021 for a review) as well as the cosmic Type Ia SN rate (see Palicio et al. 2024). The model includes also the calculation of the nova and merging neutron star rates, as described in Molero et al. (2023). However, novae and merging neutron stars produce elements that are not treated in this paper where we concentrate on ¹⁴N and ¹⁶O.

Before discussing in detail the assumed prescriptions for stellar nucleosynthesis, we remind here that ¹⁴N is mainly produced in LIMS but that a fraction of it arises from massive stars, while ¹⁶O is entirely produced by massive stars. Moreover, while ¹⁶O is a primary elements, ¹⁴N is secondary but a part of it can be produced as a primary element both in LIMS and massive stars. Finally, ¹²C is a primary element mainly produced by rotating massive stars and in part by LIMS (see next section).

4. Nucleosynthesis prescriptions

It is now established that nitrogen can be produced as a primary element in metal-poor massive stars, as first suggested by Matteucci (1986) and later by Matteucci et al. (1997), once stellar rotation is taken into account, as confirmed by the chemical evolution models of Chiappini et al. (2006, 2008), which employed the rotating models for massive stars by Meynet & Maeder (2002a,b) and Hirschi (2007). This happens because rotation enhances the mixing between layers, so during He-burning car-

bon is transported outside the convective core into the H-burning shell, where it is converted into nitrogen and later brought to the surface. However, for a substantial production of nitrogen it is required a very fast rotation and low metallicity, which enhances the effects of rotation.

Since these pioneering studies, much progress has been done in modelling the evolution of rotating stars (e.g. Chieffi & Limongi 2013; Frischknecht et al. 2016; Roberti et al. 2024). Recently, the work of Nandal et al. (2024) has also shown the importance of low-metallicity fast-rotators to explain the N production in highz galaxies. In particular, the work of Limongi & Chieffi (2018) provided a grid of stellar models with different mass, metallicity and rotation. This grid represents an excellent basis for chemical evolution models of galaxies, which need to include a large range of stars with different physical properties as producers. Specifically, the possibility of including stars that rotate at different velocities proved to be very interesting for investigating the effects of stellar rotation on the nucleosynthesis. For these reasons, the stellar models of Limongi & Chieffi (2018) have been systematically used for Galactic archaeology by independent research groups over the years (Prantzos et al. 2018; Romano et al. 2019; Rizzuti et al. 2019; Grisoni et al. 2019; Kobayashi 2022; Molero et al. 2023).

In this paper, we used the grid of models from Limongi & Chieffi (2018) for the nucleosynthesis from massive stars. It is worth summarizing here their main features. The grid of stellar models consists in nine masses between 13-120 M_{\odot} , four metallicities [Fe/H] = 0, -1, -2, and -3, and three different rotationswith initial equatorial velocity of 0 (non rotating), 150, and 300 km s⁻¹. Limongi & Chieffi (2018) also present different set of yields, based on different physical assumptions. Here we use Set R (recommended), which assumes a mass cut for envelope ejection chosen to produce 0.07 M_{\odot} of ⁵⁶Ni, and explosion only for stars with masses \leq 25 M $_{\odot}$, while masses > 25 M $_{\odot}$ only contribute to the yields via stellar winds. This assumption is supported by both observational (Smartt et al. 2009) and theoretical studies (Sukhbold et al. 2016; Müller et al. 2016) of CC-SNe. Since we can choose from three different rotations in the grid of massive stars, we need to select a velocity distribution. In the

Table 3. Model parameters: model number, galaxy mass, massive star yields (WW95: Woosley & Weaver 1995; LC: Limongi & Chieffi 2018), wind parameter ω , differential wind, star formation efficiency ν , infall time-scale τ , star formation burst duration Δt_{SF} .

model	$\begin{array}{c} mass \\ (M_{\odot}) \end{array}$	massive star yields	ω	differential wind	(Gyr^{-1})	τ (Gyr)	Δt_{SF} (Myr)
0	10 ⁹	WW95	80	yes	30	0.5	150
1	10^{9}	LC 150 - 000	80	yes	1.5	0.5	200
2	10^{9}	LC 150 - 000	80	yes	30	0.5	150
3	10^{9}	LC 150 - 000	0	no	30	0.5	200
4	10^{9}	LC 150 - 000	700	yes	300	0.5	100
5	10^{10}	LC 150 - 000	80	yes	30	0.5	150
6	10^{9}	LC 150 - 000	80	no	30	0.5	250
7	10^{9}	LC 150 - 000	80	yes	15	0.01	100
8	109	LC 150 - 000	1500	yes	80	0.0001	25

recent years, many studies have been investigating the question of stellar rotation in the context of galactic archaeology (Prantzos et al. 2018; Rizzuti et al. 2019, 2021; Romano et al. 2019; Molero et al. 2023). They all agree that, in order to explain the chemical history of the Milky Way, massive stars are expected to rotate faster at lower metallicity, while having very low rotation at solar metallicity. Inspired by these works, we decided to give massive stars an initial equatorial rotation of 150 km s⁻¹ for [Fe/H] ≤ -2 dex, and at 0 km s⁻¹ for [Fe/H] > -2 dex. Prantzos et al. (2018) showed that a smoother transition is more physical, and Rizzuti et al. (2021) that a stochastic distribution of velocities is preferable; however, a simplified distribution such as the one we assume here is accurate enough to reproduce the evolution of light elements, as shown e.g. in Romano et al. (2019).

With these assumptions, massive stars above ~ 40 M_{\odot} likely enter the Wolf-Rayet stage, therefore producing an enrichment only by stellar wind, often comparable to the one from CC-SNe. In particular, Limongi & Chieffi (2018) show that N can be produced both in WR and CC-SNe, but O is always produced by orders of magnitude more in CC-SNe, therefore is ejected more easily by differential galactic winds. Moreover, although the formation of WR stars is not favored by the IMF, they are the first ones to die and produce nitrogen.

In this present paper, in order to show the difference from previous models with non-rotating massive stars, we present results obtained with the non-rotating grid of massive star models by Woosley & Weaver (1995).

Finally, the nucleosynthesis at higher metallicity also needs the contribution from low and intermediate mass stars, that in the Milky Way becomes dominant around [Fe/H] > -2 dex. The nucleosynthesis for low and intermediate mass stars (< 8 M_{\odot}) in our chemical evolution model is assumed from the grid of stellar models by Karakas (2010). However, our models for high-redshift galaxies presented here show the evolution only up to < 200 Myr, which means that stars below 4-5 M_{\odot} do not have time to enrich the interstellar medium, therefore their contribution is negligible, relative to the shown results.

5. Results

We run several models by changing the efficiency of star formation, the burst duration, the galactic wind efficiency (mass loading factor) and infall time-scale. All the models have the same IMF, which is the standard Salpeter (1955) one¹, and differential galactic winds, where only the products of CC-SNe are expelled

Article number, page 4 of 9

from the galaxy, except in Model 3 and 6, that assume a classical galactic wind where all the elements are expelled at the same rate. In Table 3 we show the parameters of the models: in particular, in column 2 we show the assumed infall mass, in column 3 we report the adopted prescriptions for the stellar yields, in column 4 there is the mass loading factor applied only to selected elements, as described before, in column 5 is indicated the type of wind (classical or differential), in column 6 we report the assumed star formation efficiency in units of Gyr⁻¹, in column 7 we find the assumed infall time-scale in units of Gyr, and finally in column 8 there is the assumed duration of the burst of star formation. Model 0 is a classical model with old nucleosynthesis prescriptions for massive stars (Woosley & Weaver 1995) which can be compared with a similar model in Matteucci et al. (1997). Models from 0 to 4 and from 6 to 8 refer to an infall mass of $10^9 M_{\odot}$, while Model 5 is relative to a mass of $10^{10} M_{\odot}$.

In Fig. 1 we show the SFR versus galactic time for each of the models. Clearly, at the beginning, the SFR of Model 7 and 8 is higher than in all the other cases. The reason for that resides in the fact that they are almost closed-box models, because of the assumed very short infall time-scale. In this case, more gas is present at early times relative to the other models with longer infall time-scales, and therefore the SFR is higher. Model 5 is the only one referring to a more massive object and its SFR is higher than in all the other models, which are relative to an object of $10^9 M_{\odot}$ and have all the same infall time-scale. In the same figure (right panel), we show the evolution of the global metallicity, *Z*, for each model. As one can notice, the increase of the gas metallicity in the first 50 Myr is very fast in all models.

In Fig. 2 we show the predicted evolution of the mass of gas and stars in each model; while the star mass always increases, the gas mass is often flat or also increasing, in spite of the star formation and galactic wind, and this is because we are looking at the phases of high gas infall (short infall time-scale). Only at later times, the gas starts to decrease continuously.

In Fig. 3 we report the predicted N/O and C/O ratios from our models compared to the data from JWST by Marques-Chaves et al. (2024), Isobe et al. (2023), Senchyna et al. (2024), Curti et al. (2024). We do not include here GS_3073, which is studied separately in the next Section 5.1. It is clear from the figure that the best models for reproducing the very high N/O ratio are Model 2, 4, 5, 7, and 8. Model 2 and Model 4 have very efficient star formation and galactic winds; Model 7 and Model 8 have also very high SFR and winds but have a very short infall time-scale, which simulates a closed-box model, and shorter duration of star formation. Model 0 predicts too low ratios and shows the necessity of producing primary N at low metallicity. Model

 $^{^{1}}$ x = 1.35, in the range 0.1 - 100 M_{\odot}



Fig. 1. Star formation rate (left) and metallicity (right) as a function of time, as predicted by all models in Table 3. The black dots and associated error bars are JWST measurements from Marques-Chaves et al. (2024), Bunker et al. (2023), and Curti et al. (2024) (see Table 2).



Fig. 2. Mass of gas (left) and stars (right) in the galaxy as a function of time, as predicted by all models in Table 3.

1, although it does not reproduce the data, it predicts values of the N/O ratio at low metallicities similar to the other models. It is clear that only models with differential galactic winds can approach the high N/O ratios observed. In fact, Model 3 and 6 with classical winds can only reach solar N/O thanks to the AGB production, but the ratio cannot increase further even when the model is let to evolve for a longer time (200-250 Myr).

Always in Fig. 3 are shown the predicted and observed C/O ratios. To reproduce this ratio the best models are still Model 2, 4, 5, 7, and 8. The fact that on average all the models seem to overestimate C/O can be due to the fact that the yields from Limongi & Chieffi (2018) generally seem to overestimate C at low metallicity, as already pointed out by Prantzos et al. (2018), Romano et al. (2019), and Kobayashi (2022). It should be noted that with Model 7 and 8, with very fast infall rate, the estimated ages of the observed objects are better reproduced (see Table 3 for comparison).

It is clear that to reproduce the high N abundance measured in these high-redshift objects, besides assuming detailed nucleosynthesis prescriptions for N, including its primary and secondary production, it is necessary to assume strong differential galactic winds triggered by a very strong SFR. These hypotheses are quite reasonable, since it has been observed a clear correlation between star formation and galactic winds, and also winds enriched in elements produced by CC-SNe (Martin et al. 2002; Ott et al. 2005), such as oxygen. We remind that a small fraction of ¹⁴N is produced and ejected also by massive stars and it should be mainly produced in a primary fashion (see Mat-



Fig. 3. Predicted N/O (top panels) and C/O (bottom panels) versus log(O/H)+12, for all models in Table 3. The black dots and associated error bars are JWST measurements from Marques-Chaves et al. (2024), Isobe et al. (2023); Senchyna et al. (2024), and Curti et al. (2024) (see Table 1).

teucci 1986; Meynet & Maeder 2002b). However, the primary N produced by rotating massive stars is not enough by itself to reproduce the high observed N abundance. Moreover, N can be produced also by Wolf-Rayet stars and ejected through stellar winds and not CC-SNe, therefore not being expelled by the differential galactic winds. Concerning carbon, it is mainly produced by rotating massive stars and partly from low-intermediate mass stars (Romano et al. 2020). Fe is mainly produced by Type Ia SNe (roughly 70 per cent for a normal Salpeter-like IMF). It is worth noting here that in the Milky Way there are observations of CEMP-no stars with high N/O that are difficult to explain with standard assumptions in chemical evolution models (see Cescutti & Chiappini 2010): differential galactic winds could therefore have played a role also in this context.

We show in Fig. 4 the [N/O] versus [N/H] predictions from the models. These ratios show the primary and secondary production of N, as illustrated by the empirical dotted lines from Zafar et al. (2014) (see references within). In fact, at low metallicity the models follow a plateau, since N and O are produced both as primary elements from the same sources (massive stars), therefore they do not depend on metallicity. The only model that does not follow this behaviour is Model 0, since it does not have any primary N production.

Finally, in Fig. 5 we are showing the [CNO/Fe] ratios as predicted by all our models. We remind that the [X/Fe] notation is relative to the solar abundances, that are therefore all equal to zero in this notation. In these plots one can notice that at very low metallicities ([Fe/H] < -3 dex), the abundance ratios are rather flat and this is because, at these metallicities, only massive stars contribute to both CNO and Fe. It is only after the occurrence of the first Type Ia SNe that the ratios start to decrease, because of the injection of Fe. The curves of Fig. 5 are only predictions at the moment, since no data are yet available.

Additionally, these results allow us to make predictions also on the evolution of another important isotope, ¹³C. Since the same nucleosynthesis sources which produce ¹⁴N also contribute to ¹³C, we expect from the above results that the ¹³C/¹²C ratio would fastly increase with metallicity, reaching supersolar values of ¹³C as it happens also to ¹⁴N. Recent detections of ¹³C in DLA galaxies at $z \sim 2$ (Noterdaeme et al. 2017; Welsh et al. 2020; Milaković et al. 2024) and in Milky Way halo stars (Molaro et al. 2023, see references within) also seem to point in this direction.

5.1. The case of GS_3073

The very high $\log(N/O) = 0.42$ of galaxy GS_3073, larger than the other high-*z* galaxies (Ji et al. 2024), cannot be explained by any of the models presented in Fig. 3. We believe that this is because this object must be more evolved compared to the other galaxies. Unfortunately, its age is not estimated. In order to reproduce this galaxy, we extended the evolution of our best models for a longer time. In particular, Models 2, 4, 5 are run for 1 Gyr, Model 7 for 200 Myr, and Model 8 for 50 Myr. We present the results in Fig. 6: Models 2, 4, 5 stop around $\log(N/O) \sim$ 0, while only Models 7, 8 can reach an N/O compatible with



Fig. 4. Predicted [N/O] versus [N/H], for all models in Table 3. The dotted lines are empirical primary and secondary N production from Zafar et al. (2014). The black dots and associated error bars are JWST measurements from Marques-Chaves et al. (2024), Isobe et al. (2023); Senchyna et al. (2024), and Curti et al. (2024) (see Table 1).

GS_3073. We conclude that GS_3073 can by explained by a very rapid infall of primordial gas, in addition to differential winds, and is in a later evolutionary stage compared to the other high-*z* galaxies; we expect its age to be larger than ~ 50 Myr.

6. Conclusions and discussion

In this paper, we have computed the chemical evolution of galaxies forming by a fast infall of gas and suffering short and intense starbursts followed by very efficient galactic winds (classical and differential) at high redshift. In particular, we have followed the evolution of C, N, O and Fe by means of a chemical evolution model taking into account detailed stellar nucleosynthesis from massive stars (winds and CC-SNe), LIMS, Type Ia SNe, novae and merging neutron stars. We have assumed a normal Salpeterlike IMF without invoking very massive or supermassive Population III stars. Our main conclusions can be summarized as follows:

– We found that galaxies with an infall mass of 10^9 M_{\odot} suffering a very intense star formation rate with efficiency in the range 15-300 Gyr⁻¹, and differential winds (loosing preferentially the products of CC-SNe such as O and α -elements) with mass loading factors from 80 to 1500, can reproduce the supersolar N/O ratio observed in high-*z* galaxies by JWST as well as the ages inferred for these objects from population synthesis models.

- Also the model with a higher infall mass of $10^{10} \text{ M}_{\odot}$, star formation efficiency 30 Gyr⁻¹ and differential galactic wind with mass loading factor 80, can reproduce the high observed N/O.
- Models with classical galactic wind, even with very high star formation efficiency and mass loading factor, cannot reproduce the observations.
- The models with extremely short infall time-scales (10 and 0.1 Myr), besides reproducing the high N abundances, do also agree with the very young estimated ages of the observed galaxies. GS_3073 can only be explained by these rapid-infall models, assuming it is more evolved that the other high-z galaxies.
- There is no necessity of invoking peculiar nucleosynthesis from massive Population III stars to reproduce the features of the high-z galaxies (see also Kobayashi & Ferrara 2024).
 We predict that also ¹³C, which is produced by the same
- We predict that also ¹³C, which is produced by the same stars and in the same fashion as ¹⁴N, would present supersolar values in high-z galaxies.

It is interesting to draw a comparison to other studies in the literature that also tackled the problem of the high N/O ratio in highz galaxies. As already discussed, Kobayashi & Ferrara (2024) were able to explain the JWST data from Cameron et al. (2023) with a chemical evolution model assuming an intermittent SF history, specifically two strong starbursts separated by a quiescent phase of 100 Myr. The assumed main producers of the high N/O are Wolf-Rayet stars. Since they do not assume differential galactic winds, they need to postulate intermittent SF in order to reach high N/O and O/H at the same time. In our paper instead, thanks to the differential galactic winds, we are able to reproduce observations without invoking intermittent star formation.

Also other studies in the literature rely on Wolf-Rayet stars as fast producers of high N/O (e.g. Watanabe et al. 2024; Rivera-Thorsen et al. 2024). However, they all are confronted with the problem that WR stars produce low O/H, while instead CC-SNe produce high O/H but low N/O. Again in this paper, we solve this problem by means of differential galactic winds. Indeed, both WR and AGB stars represent a source of high N/O that is not ejected by galactic winds, since the energy of stellar winds is much lower than SN explosions. It should also be noted that WR stars are rare but they are the first to die within few Myr, while AGB stars are long-lived but are the dominant enrichment source.

Finally, other studies suggest alternative scenarios to explain the high N/O. D'Antona et al. (2023) reproduced the observations of GN-z11 with AGB stars (Ventura et al. 2013) assuming a central accreting black hole and infall of pristine gas, therefore estimating an age of 40 - 130 Myr for this object, which is longer than previous estimates and other high-z objects. Tapia et al. (2024)excluded WR stars on the basis of the high C/O ratio produced by the yields of Limongi & Chieffi (2018); however, an overproduction of C in Limongi & Chieffi (2018) is generally suspected. On the other hand, Nandal et al. (2024) have only tested WR stars with solar metallicity, and their preferred sources of high N/O are fast rotators. Other works suggest the contribution from very massive (>100 M_{\odot}) and supermassive (>1000 M_{\odot}) stars (Charbonnel et al. 2023; Senchyna et al. 2024; Nagele & Umeda 2023), but their properties are still largely unconstrained and our work shows that they are not necessary. We therefore can conclude that, regardless of the nucleosynthesis source, differential galactic winds remain a simple and effective way of explaining the JWST observations.

Acknowledgements. F.R. and G.C. acknowledge the grant PRIN project No. 2022X4TM3H "Cosmic POT" from Ministero dell'Università e della Ricerca



Fig. 5. Predicted [C/Fe] (left panels), [N/Fe] (central panels), and [O/Fe] (right panels) versus [Fe/H], for all models (top and bottom panels) in Table 3.

(MUR). F.M. thanks I.N.A.F. for the 1.05.12.06.05 Theory Grant - Galactic archaeology with radioactive and stable nuclei. F.M. acknowledges also support from Project PRIN MUR 2022 (code 2022ARWP9C) "Early Formation and Evolution of Bulge and HalO (EFEBHO)" (PI: M. Marconi). R.M. acknowledges support by the Science and Technology Facilities Council (STFC), by the ERC through Advanced Grant 695671 "QUENCH", and by the UKRI Frontier Research grant RISEandFALL. R.M. also acknowledges funding from a research professorship from the Royal Society.

References

- Asplund, M., Grevesse, N., Sauval, A. J., & Scott, P. 2009, ARA&A, 47, 481
- Bunker, A. J., Saxena, A., Cameron, A. J., et al. 2023, A&A, 677, A88
- Cameron, A. J., Saxena, A., Bunker, A. J., et al. 2023, A&A, 677, A115
- Centurión, M., Molaro, P., Vladilo, G., et al. 2003, A&A, 403, 55 Cescutti, G. & Chiappini, C. 2010, A&A, 515, A102
- Chabrier, G. 2003, PASP, 115, 763
- Charbonnel, C., Schaerer, D., Prantzos, N., et al. 2023, A&A, 673, L7
- Chiappini, C., Ekström, S., Meynet, G., et al. 2008, A&A, 479, L9
- Chiappini, C., Hirschi, R., Meynet, G., et al. 2006, A&A, 449, L27
- Chieffi, A. & Limongi, M. 2013, ApJ, 764, 21
- Christensen, L., Laursen, P., Richard, J., et al. 2012, MNRAS, 427, 1973
- Curti, M., Witstok, J., Jakobsen, P., et al. 2024, arXiv e-prints, arXiv:2407.02575
- D'Antona, F., Vesperini, E., Calura, F., et al. 2023, A&A, 680, L19 D'Ercole, A. & Brighenti, F. 1999, MNRAS, 309, 941
- Ekström, S., Georgy, C., Eggenberger, P., et al. 2012, A&A, 537, A146
- Frischknecht, U., Hirschi, R., Pignatari, M., et al. 2016, MNRAS, 456, 1803
- Fujita, A., Mac Low, M.-M., Ferrara, A., & Meiksin, A. 2004, ApJ, 613, 159
- Grisoni, V., Matteucci, F., Romano, D., & Fu, X. 2019, MNRAS, 489, 3539 Hirschi, R. 2007, A&A, 461, 571
- Isobe, Y., Ouchi, M., Tominaga, N., et al. 2023, ApJ, 959, 100
- James, B. L., Tsamis, Y. G., Barlow, M. J., et al. 2009, MNRAS, 398, 2

Ji, X., Übler, H., Maiolino, R., et al. 2024, MNRAS, 535, 881

- Karakas, A. I. 2010, MNRAS, 403, 1413
- Kobayashi, C. 2022, in IAU Symposium, Vol. 366, The Origin of Outflows in Evolved Stars, ed. L. Decin, A. Zijlstra, & C. Gielen, 63-82
- Kobayashi, C. & Ferrara, A. 2024, ApJ, 962, L6
- Kroupa, P. 2001, MNRAS, 322, 231
- Kunth, D., Matteucci, F., & Marconi, G. 1995, A&A, 297, 634
- Limongi, M. & Chieffi, A. 2018, ApJS, 237, 13 Mac Low, M.-M. & Ferrara, A. 1999, ApJ, 513, 142
- Maiolino, R., Scholtz, J., Witstok, J., et al. 2024, Nature, 627, 59
- Marconi, G., Matteucci, F., & Tosi, M. 1994, MNRAS, 270, 35
- Marques-Chaves, R., Schaerer, D., Kuruvanthodi, A., et al. 2024, A&A, 681,
- A30
- Martin, C. L., Kobulnicky, H. A., & Heckman, T. M. 2002, ApJ, 574, 663
- Matteucci, F. 1986, MNRAS, 221, 911
- Matteucci, F. 2021, A&A Rev., 29, 5
- Matteucci, F., Molaro, P., & Vladilo, G. 1997, A&A, 321, 45
- Meynet, G. & Maeder, A. 2002a, A&A, 390, 561
- Meynet, G. & Maeder, A. 2002b, A&A, 381, L25
- Milaković, D., Webb, J. K., Molaro, P., et al. 2024, MNRAS, 534, 12
- Molaro, P. 2003, in Astronomical Society of the Pacific Conference Series, Vol.
- 304, CNO in the Universe, ed. C. Charbonnel, D. Schaerer, & G. Meynet, 221 Molaro, P. 2006, in Chemical Abundances and Mixing in Stars in the Milky Way
- and its Satellites, ed. S. Randich & L. Pasquini, 256
- Molaro, P., Aguado, D. S., Caffau, E., et al. 2023, A&A, 679, A72
- Molaro, P., Centurión, M., D'Odorico, V., & Péroux, C. 2004, in Origin and Evolution of the Elements, ed. A. McWilliam & M. Rauch, 39
- Molaro, P., D'Odorico, S., Fontana, A., Savaglio, S., & Vladilo, G. 1996, A&A, 308.1
- Molero, M., Magrini, L., Matteucci, F., et al. 2023, MNRAS, 523, 2974
- Müller, B., Heger, A., Liptai, D., & Cameron, J. B. 2016, MNRAS, 460, 742
- Nagele, C. & Umeda, H. 2023, ApJ, 949, L16
- Nandal, D., Sibony, Y., & Tsiatsiou, S. 2024, A&A, 688, A142
- Noterdaeme, P., Krogager, J. K., Balashev, S., et al. 2017, A&A, 597, A82

Article number, page 8 of 9



Fig. 6. Same as Fig. 3, but for JWST measurements of galaxy GS_3073 (blue dots and error bars) by Ji et al. (2024), and Models 2, 4, 5 evolved for 1 Gyr, Model 7 for 200 Myr, and Model 8 for 50 Myr.

- Ott, J., Walter, F., & Brinks, E. 2005, MNRAS, 358, 1453
- Palicio, P. A., Matteucci, F., Della Valle, M., & Spitoni, E. 2024, arXiv e-prints, arXiv:2402.16635
- Pascale, M., Dai, L., McKee, C. F., & Tsang, B. T. H. 2023, ApJ, 957, 77
- Pettini, M., Lipman, K., & Hunstead, R. W. 1995, ApJ, 451, 100
- Pilyugin, L. S. 1993, A&A, 277, 42
- Prantzos, N., Abia, C., Limongi, M., Chieffi, A., & Cristallo, S. 2018, MNRAS, 476, 3432
- Recchi, S., Matteucci, F., & D'Ercole, A. 2001, MNRAS, 322, 800
- Recchi, S., Spitoni, E., Matteucci, F., & Lanfranchi, G. A. 2008, A&A, 489, 555 Renzini, A. & Voli, M. 1981, A&A, 94, 175
- Rivera-Thorsen, T. E., Chisholm, J., Welch, B., et al. 2024, A&A, 690, A269
- Rizzuti, F., Cescutti, G., Matteucci, F., et al. 2019, MNRAS, 489, 5244
- Rizzuti, F., Cescutti, G., Matteucci, F., et al. 2021, MNRAS, 502, 2495
- Roberti, L., Limongi, M., & Chieffi, A. 2024, ApJS, 270, 28
- Romano, D., Franchini, M., Grisoni, V., et al. 2020, A&A, 639, A37
- Romano, D., Matteucci, F., Zhang, Z.-Y., Ivison, R. J., & Ventura, P. 2019, MN-RAS, 490, 2838
- Salpeter, E. E. 1955, ApJ, 121, 161
- Schaerer, D., Marques-Chaves, R., Xiao, M., & Korber, D. 2024, A&A, 687, L11
- Senchyna, P., Plat, A., Stark, D. P., et al. 2024, ApJ, 966, 92
- Smartt, S. J., Eldridge, J. J., Crockett, R. M., & Maund, J. R. 2009, MNRAS, 395, 1409
- Sukhbold, T., Ertl, T., Woosley, S. E., Brown, J. M., & Janka, H. T. 2016, ApJ, 821, 38
- Tapia, T., Bekki, K., & Groves, B. 2024, MNRAS, 534, 2086
- Vangioni, E., Dvorkin, I., Olive, K. A., et al. 2018, MNRAS, 477, 56
- Ventura, P., Di Criscienzo, M., Carini, R., & D'Antona, F. 2013, MNRAS, 431, 3642
- Villar-Martín, M., Cerviño, M., & González Delgado, R. M. 2004, MNRAS, 355, 1132
- Watanabe, K., Ouchi, M., Nakajima, K., et al. 2024, ApJ, 962, 50
- Welsh, L., Cooke, R., Fumagalli, M., & Pettini, M. 2020, MNRAS, 494, 1411
- Woosley, S. E. & Weaver, T. A. 1995, ApJS, 101, 181
- Zafar, T., Centurión, M., Péroux, C., et al. 2014, MNRAS, 444, 744