

GODBILLON-VEY CLASSES OF REGULAR JACOBI MANIFOLDS

SHUHEI YONEHARA

ABSTRACT. The notion of a Jacobi manifold is a natural generalization of that of a Poisson manifold. A Jacobi manifold has a natural foliation in which each leaf has either a contact structure or a locally conformal symplectic structure. In this paper, we study a characteristic class called the Godbillon-Vey class for Jacobi manifolds with regular foliation, and express it explicitly in terms of Jacobi structures.

CONTENTS

1. Introduction	1
2. Jacobi manifolds	2
3. Godbillon-Vey classes of regular foliations	4
4. Main results	5
5. Viewpoint of Poissonizations	10
6. Codimension one case	12
References	14

1. INTRODUCTION

The notion of a *Jacobi manifold* [Lic78] is a natural generalization of that of a Poisson manifold. A Jacobi structure on a manifold is defined by a pair of a vector field E and a bivector field π such that

$$[\pi, \pi]_S = 2E \wedge \pi, \quad [\pi, E]_S = 0,$$

where $[\cdot, \cdot]_S$ denotes the *Schouten bracket*. A Jacobi manifold (M, π, E) possesses a foliation $\mathcal{F}_{(\pi, E)}$ defined by a distribution $T\mathcal{F}_{(\pi, E)} = \text{Im}\pi^\sharp + \mathbb{R}\langle E \rangle$ where the even-dimensional leaves of the foliation have locally conformal symplectic structures (hereafter referred to as LCS structures), and the odd-dimensional leaves have contact structures. A Jacobi manifold is said to be regular if the foliation is regular, that is, in the cases that the manifold has a regular LCS foliation or a regular contact foliation. In this paper, we refer to the former case as an LCS-type regular Jacobi manifold and the latter case as a contact-type regular Jacobi manifold.

Godbillon and Vey [GV71] defined a characteristic class of regular co-orientable foliations, that is called the *Godbillon-Vey class*. This class is defined as follows: first, for a regular co-orientable foliation \mathcal{F} of codimension q , we take a q -form α defining the

distribution $T\mathcal{F}$. Since the distribution is integrable, there is a 1-form β which satisfies $d\alpha = \beta \wedge \alpha$. Then the form $\beta \wedge (d\beta)^q$ is closed. Moreover, the de Rham cohomology class $[\beta \wedge (d\beta)^q] \in H_{dR}^{2q+1}(M)$ is independent of the choice of the forms α and β .

Mikami [Mik00] explicitly described the Godbillon-Vey classes of the symplectic foliations on regular Poisson manifolds. In this paper, we extend the result to the case of Jacobi manifolds. Specifically, we describe the Godbillon-Vey classes of regular Jacobi manifolds in terms of the tensors (π, E) . The following is our main result:

Theorem 1.1 (Theorem 4.3, Theorem 4.4). *Let (M, π, E) be an n -dimensional orientable regular Jacobi manifold and fix a volume form vol_M on M . We define an isomorphism between vector bundles $\varphi : \wedge^k TM \rightarrow \wedge^{n-k} T^*M$ by $\varphi(X) = \iota_X \text{vol}_M$ for each $0 \leq k \leq n$. For any $U \in \mathfrak{X}^k(M)$, let $*U \in \mathfrak{X}^{n-k}$ be one of multivector fields which satisfies $\text{vol}_M(U \wedge *U) = 1$. Then we have the following.*

- (1) *For an LCS-type regular Jacobi manifold (M, π, E) such that $\text{rank} T\mathcal{F}_{(\pi, E)} = 2m$, we can take*

$$\alpha = \varphi \left(\frac{\pi^m}{m!} \right), \quad \beta = \varphi[- * \pi^m, \pi^m]_S,$$

and thus the Godbillon-Vey class of $\mathcal{F}_{(\pi, E)}$ is given by

$$[\varphi(\iota_{(d\varphi[- * \pi^m, \pi^m]_S)^{n-2m}}[- * \pi^m, \pi^m]_S)].$$

- (2) *For a contact-type regular Jacobi manifold (M, π, E) such that $\text{rank} T\mathcal{F}_{(\pi, E)} = 2m + 1$, we can take*

$$\alpha = \varphi \left(\frac{\pi^m}{m!} \wedge E \right), \quad \beta = \varphi[* (\pi^m \wedge E), \pi^m \wedge E]_S,$$

and thus the Godbillon-Vey class of $\mathcal{F}_{(\pi, E)}$ is given by

$$[\varphi(\iota_{(d\varphi[* (\pi^m \wedge E), \pi^m \wedge E]_S)^{n-2m-1}}[* (\pi^m \wedge E), \pi^m \wedge E]_S)].$$

□

In this paper, we prove the above results based on the method in [Mik00] and provide an alternative proof for contact-type Jacobi manifolds using Poissonization of them. Furthermore, as specific examples, we examine the Godbillon-Vey classes in detail for the codimension one case.

Acknowledgments. The author is grateful to his supervisor Ryushi Goto for his encouragement. This work was supported by JSPS KAKENHI Grant Number JP23KJ1487.

2. JACOBI MANIFOLDS

A Jacobi structure on a manifold M is a bracket $\{\cdot, \cdot\} : C^\infty(M) \times C^\infty(M) \rightarrow C^\infty(M)$ which satisfies the following conditions:

- (1) $\{\cdot, \cdot\}$ is \mathbb{R} -bilinear, skew-symmetric,
- (2) $\{\{f, g\}, h\} + \{\{g, h\}, f\} + \{\{h, f\}, g\} = 0$ (Jacobi identity),
- (3) $\text{supp}\{f, g\} \subset \text{supp}(f) \cap \text{supp}(g)$.

A Jacobi structure on M is also characterized by a pair (π, E) of a bivector $\pi \in \mathfrak{X}^2(M)$ and a vector field $E \in \mathfrak{X}(M)$ which satisfies

$$[\pi, \pi]_S = 2E \wedge \pi, \quad [\pi, E]_S = 0,$$

where $[\cdot, \cdot]_S : \mathfrak{X}^k(M) \times \mathfrak{X}^l(M) \rightarrow \mathfrak{X}^{k+l-1}(M)$ denotes the *Schouten bracket*, which is defined by

$$[X_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge X_k, Y_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge Y_l]_S = \sum_{i,j} (-1)^{i+j} [X_i, Y_j] \wedge X_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge \check{X}_i \wedge \cdots \wedge X_k \wedge Y_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge \check{Y}_j \wedge \cdots \wedge Y_l.$$

This bracket has the following property:

$$(2.1) \quad \begin{aligned} [U, V]_S &= -(-1)^{(k-1)(l-1)} [V, U]_S, \\ [U, V \wedge W]_S &= [U, V]_S \wedge W + (-1)^{(k-1)l} V \wedge [U, W]_S \end{aligned}$$

for $U \in \mathfrak{X}^k(M)$, $V \in \mathfrak{X}^l(M)$ and $W \in \mathfrak{X}^\bullet(M)$.

Two definitions of Jacobi manifolds are related by the formula

$$\{f, g\} = \pi(df, dg) + f(Eg) - g(Ef).$$

In the case of $E = 0$, the Jacobi structure turn to be a Poisson structure and the corresponding bracket satisfies the Leibniz identity

$$\{f, gh\} = \{f, g\}h + g\{f, h\}.$$

Example 2.1 (Contact manifolds). *A contact structure on a $(2n + 1)$ -dimensional manifold M is a 1-form θ which satisfies $\theta \wedge (d\theta)^n \neq 0$. On a contact manifold (M, θ) , there is a unique vector field E (called the Reeb vector field) which satisfies*

$$d\theta(E, -) = 0, \quad \theta(E) = 1.$$

Moreover, we can define an isomorphism $\flat : TM \rightarrow T^*M$ by

$$\flat(X) = d\theta(X, -) + \theta(X)\theta(-)$$

and a bivector π by

$$\pi(\alpha, \beta) = d\theta(\flat^{-1}(\alpha), \flat^{-1}(\beta)).$$

Then the pair (π, E) is a Jacobi structure on M . ■

Example 2.2 (Locally conformal symplectic (LCS) manifolds). *A locally conformal symplectic (LCS) structure on a $2n$ -dimensional manifold M is a pair (ω, Ω) of a 1-form ω and a 2-form Ω which satisfies*

$$\Omega^n \neq 0, \quad d\omega = 0, \quad d\Omega = \omega \wedge \Omega.$$

On an LCS manifold (M, ω, Ω) , there is a unique vector field E and a unique bivector field π such that

$$\iota_E \Omega = -\omega, \quad \iota_{\pi^\sharp \alpha} \Omega = -\alpha \quad (\alpha \in T^*M),$$

where $\pi^\sharp : T^*M \rightarrow TM$ is the induced map by the interior product. Then the pair (π, E) is a Jacobi structure on M . ■

For a Jacobi manifold (M, π, E) , a distribution given by $T\mathcal{F}_{(\pi, E)} = \text{Im}\pi^\sharp + \mathbb{R}\langle E \rangle$ is integrable, and thus defines a (possibly singular) foliation $\mathcal{F}_{(\pi, E)}$ on M . If $E_x \in \text{Im}\pi_x^\sharp$ holds for a point $x \in M$, a leaf through x of the foliation $\mathcal{F}_{(\pi, E)}$ is even-dimensional and an LCS manifold. On the other hand, the leaf through x is odd-dimensional and a contact manifold if $E_x \notin \text{Im}\pi_x^\sharp$. A Jacobi manifold (M, π, E) is said to be *regular* if the foliation $\mathcal{F}_{(\pi, E)}$ is regular. Regular Jacobi manifolds are classified into two cases:

- The case where each leaf of $\mathcal{F}_{(\pi, E)}$ is even-dimensional (LCS manifold).
- The case where each leaf of $\mathcal{F}_{(\pi, E)}$ is odd-dimensional (contact manifold).

We refer to the former case as an *LCS-type regular Jacobi manifold* and the latter case as a *contact-type regular Jacobi manifold*.

Let $(M_1, \{\cdot, \cdot\}_1), (M_2, \{\cdot, \cdot\}_2)$ be Jacobi manifolds and $J : M_1 \rightarrow M_2$ a smooth map. Then J is said to be a *conformal Jacobi map* if there is a non-zero function $a \in C^\infty(M_1)$ such that

$$\{f, g\}_2 \circ J = \frac{1}{a} \{a \cdot (f \circ J), a \cdot (g \circ J)\}_1$$

holds for all $f, g \in C^\infty(M_2)$. If $a = 1$, J is called a *Jacobi map*. When $(\pi_1, E_1), (\pi_2, E_2)$ are the corresponding tensors of Jacobi manifolds $(M_1, \{\cdot, \cdot\}_1), (M_2, \{\cdot, \cdot\}_2)$ respectively, a map $J : M_1 \rightarrow M_2$ is a conformal Jacobi map if and only if

$$J_*\pi_1 = a\pi_2, \quad J_*E_1 = aE_2 + \pi_2^\sharp(da)$$

holds for some non-zero function $a \in C^\infty(M_2)$. Two Jacobi manifolds M_1, M_2 are said to be *equivalent* (resp. *conformally equivalent*) if there is a Jacobi map (resp. conformal Jacobi map) $J : M_1 \rightarrow M_2$ which is diffeomorphic.

3. GODBILLON-VEY CLASSES OF REGULAR FOLIATIONS

Let \mathcal{F} be a coorientable regular foliation of codimension q on a manifold M . Then there is a q -form α which is locally decomposable and satisfies $d\alpha = \beta \wedge \alpha$ for some 1-form β , such that the distribution $T\mathcal{F}$ is characterized by

$$T\mathcal{F} = \{X \in \mathfrak{X}(M) \mid \omega(X) = 0, \omega \in \mathcal{I}\},$$

where \mathcal{I} is defined by

$$\mathcal{I} = \{\omega \in \Omega^1(M) \mid \omega \wedge \alpha = 0\}.$$

The forms α and β are not uniquely determined by \mathcal{F} (we call a pair (α, β) a *defining pair* of \mathcal{F}). For example, in the case of $q = 1$, if (α, β) and (α', β') are two defining pairs of \mathcal{F} , there are a function $u \in C^\infty(M)$ and a non-zero function $v \in C^\infty(M)$ such that

$$\alpha' = v\alpha, \quad \beta' = \beta - d \log |v| + uv\alpha$$

holds. However, we can define an invariant of \mathcal{F} by using them:

Theorem 3.1 ([GV71]). *The cohomology class $[\beta \wedge (d\beta)^q] \in H_{dR}^{2q+1}(M)$ is independent on the choice of the forms α, β , and thus uniquely determined by the foliation \mathcal{F} . \square*

The cohomology class $[\beta \wedge (d\beta)^q] \in H_{dR}^{2q+1}(M)$ is called the *Godbillon-Vey class* of the foliation \mathcal{F} and denoted by $GV(\mathcal{F})$. About a proof of the theorem above, see [MM03] for example.

Let N, M be manifolds, $f : N \rightarrow M$ a smooth map, and \mathcal{F} a regular foliation on M of codimension q . Assume that the map f is transversal to \mathcal{F} , namely,

$$(df)_x(T_x N) + T_{f(x)}\mathcal{F} = T_{f(x)}M$$

holds for any $x \in N$. Then we obtain a foliation $f^*\mathcal{F}$ on N , which is called the *pullback foliation* of \mathcal{F} by f (see [MM03] for details). The pullback foliation satisfies $\text{codim} f^*\mathcal{F} = q$ and $T(f^*\mathcal{F}) = (df)^{-1}(T\mathcal{F})$.

For a coorientable regular foliation \mathcal{F} , let (α, β) be a defining pair. Then a pair $(f^*\alpha, f^*\beta)$ is a defining pair of $f^*\mathcal{F}$. Therefore the Godbillon-Vey classes of \mathcal{F} and $f^*\mathcal{F}$ satisfies the naturality condition:

$$GV(f^*\mathcal{F}) = f^*GV(\mathcal{F}).$$

Lemma 3.2. *Let (A, B) be a defining pair of the pullback foliation $f^*\mathcal{F}$ consists of $A \in \Omega^q(N)$ and $B \in \Omega^1(N)$. Assume that f is a surjective submersion and a form $\eta \in \Omega^1(M)$ satisfies $f^*\eta = B$. Then the form η gives the Godbillon-Vey class of \mathcal{F} , namely, $GV(\mathcal{F}) = [\eta \wedge (d\eta)^q]$ holds.*

Proof. For simplicity, we assume that $q = 1$ (we can prove for general q as the same way). Let (α, β) be any defining pair of \mathcal{F} consists of $\alpha \in \Omega^q(M)$ and $\beta \in \Omega^1(M)$. Then $(f^*\alpha, f^*\beta)$ is a defining pair of $f^*\mathcal{F}$, and thus we have

$$f^*\eta = B = f^*\beta - d \log |\tilde{v}| + \tilde{u}\tilde{v}f^*\alpha$$

for some function $\tilde{u} \in C^\infty(N)$ and some non-zero function $\tilde{v} \in C^\infty(N)$. From this, we can see that the functions u, v are basic, namely, there are a function $u \in C^\infty(M)$ and a non-zero function $v \in C^\infty(M)$ such that $\tilde{u} = f^*u$, $\tilde{v} = f^*v$. Since f is a surjective submersion, we obtain

$$\eta = \beta - d \log |v| + uv\alpha,$$

and thus $(v\alpha, \eta)$ is a defining pair of \mathcal{F} . □

4. MAIN RESULTS

4.1. Notations. Let M be a orientable n -dimensional manifold. We fix a volume form vol_M on M . For any $U \in \mathfrak{X}^k(M)$, we take a (not unique) multivector field $*U \in \mathfrak{X}^{n-k}(M)$ which satisfies $\text{vol}_M(U \wedge *U) = 1$. We define an isomorphism between vector bundles $\varphi : \mathfrak{X}^k(M) \rightarrow \Omega^{n-k}(M)$ by $\varphi(X) = \iota_X \text{vol}_M$ for each $0 \leq k \leq n$. Then we have

$$(4.1) \quad \varphi^{-1}(\alpha) = (-1)^{k(n+1)} \iota_\alpha \varphi^{-1}(1)$$

for $\alpha \in \Omega^k(M)$, and

$$(4.2) \quad \varphi^{-1}(\varphi(U) \wedge \varphi(V)) = (-1)^{(n+k)(l+1)} \iota_{\varphi(U)} V = (-1)^{(n+1)(n+l)} \iota_{\varphi(V)} U$$

for $U \in \mathfrak{X}^k(M)$ and $V \in \mathfrak{X}^l(M)$.

We define a map $\psi : \mathfrak{X}^k(M) \rightarrow \mathfrak{X}^{k-1}(M)$ by $\psi(U) = \varphi^{-1}d\varphi(U)$.

Lemma 4.1 ([Mik00]). *For any $U \in \mathfrak{X}^k(M)$ and $V \in \mathfrak{X}^l(M)$,*

$$\psi(U \wedge V) = (-1)^l [U, V]_S + (-1)^l \psi(U) \wedge V + U \wedge \psi(V)$$

holds.

Proof. If $V \in \mathfrak{X}(M)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}_V \iota_U \text{vol}_M &= \iota_{[V, U]_S} \text{vol}_M + \iota_U \mathcal{L}_V \text{vol}_M \\ &= \iota_{[V, U]_S} \text{vol}_M + \iota_U d \iota_V \text{vol}_M \\ &= \iota_{[V, U]_S} \text{vol}_M + \iota_U d \varphi(V) \\ &= \iota_{[V, U]_S} \text{vol}_M + \iota_U \varphi(\psi(V)) \\ &= \iota_{[V, U]_S} \text{vol}_M + \iota_U \iota_{\psi(V)} \text{vol}_M \\ &= \iota_{[V, U]_S} \text{vol}_M + \iota_{\psi(V)U} \text{vol}_M, \end{aligned}$$

and thus we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \psi(U \wedge V) &= \varphi^{-1} d \varphi(U \wedge V) = \varphi^{-1} d(\iota_{U \wedge V} \text{vol}_M) \\ &= \varphi^{-1} d(\iota_V \iota_U \text{vol}_M) = \varphi^{-1} (\mathcal{L}_V - \iota_V d)(\iota_U \text{vol}_M) \\ &= \varphi^{-1} \mathcal{L}_V(\iota_U \text{vol}_M) - \varphi^{-1} \iota_V d(\iota_U \text{vol}_M) \\ &= \varphi^{-1} (\iota_{[V, U]_S} \text{vol}_M + \iota_{\psi(V)U} \text{vol}_M) - \varphi^{-1} \iota_V \varphi \varphi^{-1} d \varphi(U) \\ &= \varphi^{-1} (\iota_{[V, U]_S} \text{vol}_M + \iota_{\psi(V)U} \text{vol}_M) - \varphi^{-1} \iota_{\psi(U) \wedge V} \text{vol}_M \\ &= [V, U]_S + \psi(V)U - \psi(U) \wedge V. \end{aligned}$$

By using the induction with respect to l , we can complete the proof. □

Corollary 4.2. *For any Jacobi structure (π, E) and $k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$, we have*

$$\psi(\pi^k) = k \psi(\pi) \wedge \pi^{k-1} + k(k-1) E \wedge \pi^{k-1},$$

$$\psi(\pi^k \wedge E) = -k \psi(\pi) \wedge \pi^{k-1} \wedge E + \pi^k \wedge \psi(E).$$

Proof. First, we have

$$\begin{aligned} [\pi, \pi^k]_S &= [\pi, \pi \wedge \pi^{k-1}]_S = [\pi, \pi]_S \wedge \pi^{k-1} + \pi \wedge [\pi, \pi^{k-1}]_S \\ &= 2[\pi, \pi]_S \wedge \pi^{k-1} + \pi^2 \wedge [\pi, \pi^{k-2}]_S \\ &= \dots \\ &= (k-1)[\pi, \pi]_S \wedge \pi^{k-1} + \pi^{k-1} \wedge [\pi, \pi]_S \\ &= k[\pi, \pi]_S \wedge \pi^{k-1} = 2kE \wedge \pi^k. \end{aligned}$$

Then from [Lemma 4.1](#) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
 \psi(\pi^k) &= \psi(\pi^{k-1} \wedge \pi) \\
 &= [\pi^{k-1}, \pi]_S + \psi(\pi^{k-1}) \wedge \pi + \pi^{k-1} \wedge \psi(\pi) \\
 &= 2(k-1)E \wedge \pi^{k-1} + \psi(\pi^{k-1}) \wedge \pi + \pi^{k-1} \wedge \psi(\pi) \\
 &= 2(k-1)E \wedge \pi^{k-1} + 2(k-2)E \wedge \pi^{k-1} + \psi(\pi^{k-2}) \wedge \pi^2 + 2\pi^{k-1} \wedge \psi(\pi) \\
 &= \dots \\
 &= 2 \left(\sum_{i=1}^{k-1} i \right) E \wedge \pi^{k-1} + k\psi(\pi) \wedge \pi^{k-1} \\
 &= k\psi(\pi) \wedge \pi^{k-1} + k(k-1)E \wedge \pi^{k-1}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Moreover,

$$\begin{aligned}
 \psi(\pi^k \wedge E) &= -[\pi^k, E]_S - \psi(\pi^k) \wedge E + \psi(E)\pi^k \\
 &= -\psi(\pi^k) \wedge E + \psi(E)\pi^k \\
 &= -k\psi(\pi) \wedge \pi^{k-1} \wedge E + \psi(E)\pi^k
 \end{aligned}$$

holds. \square

For a Jacobi manifold (M, π, E) , we denote by m a integer such that $\pi^m \neq 0$ and $\pi^{m+1} = 0$ holds, and by q the codimension of $\mathcal{F}_{(\pi, E)}$. Then $q = n - 2m$ holds for LCS-type regular Jacobi manifolds, and $q = n - 2m - 1$ holds for contact-type regular Jacobi manifolds. Hereafter we assume that $q \geq 1$.

4.2. Godbillon-Vey classes of LCS-type regular Jacobi manifolds. Now we prove our main result for LCS-type regular Jacobi manifolds.

Theorem 4.3. *For an LCS-type regular Jacobi manifold (M, π, E) , we can take*

$$\alpha = \varphi \left(\frac{\pi^m}{m!} \right), \quad \beta = \varphi[- * \pi^m, \pi^m]_S,$$

and thus

$$GV(\mathcal{F}_{(\pi, E)}) = [\varphi(\iota_{(d\varphi[- * \pi^m, \pi^m]_S)^q}[- * \pi^m, \pi^m]_S)]$$

holds.

Proof. By the local structure theorem for Jacobi manifolds [[DLM91](#)], for any $x \in M$, there is a neighborhood $U \ni x$ such that $(U, \pi|_U, E|_U)$ is conformally equivalent to a Jacobi manifold

$$\left(\mathbb{R}^{2m} = \{(x_i)_{i=1}^{2m}\}, \pi_{\mathbb{R}^{2m}} = \sum_{i=1}^m \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{2i-1}} \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{2i}}, E_{\mathbb{R}^{2m}} = 0 \right).$$

We regard $X_i := \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i}$ as a vector field on U for $1 \leq i \leq 2m$. Since we assume that $q \geq 1$, we can extend them to a local frame $\{X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n\}$ such that $\text{vol}_M(X_1 \wedge \dots \wedge X_n) = 1$ holds. Then we can locally express π as $\pi = a \sum_{i=1}^m X_{2i-1} \wedge X_{2i}$ for some non-zero function $a \in C^\infty(U)$, and thus we obtain $\pi^m = m! a^m X_1 \wedge \dots \wedge X_{2m}$.

Let $\{\theta^1, \dots, \theta^n\}$ be the dual frame of $\{X_1, \dots, X_n\}$. Then

$$\alpha := \varphi \left(\frac{\pi^m}{m!} \right) = a^m \theta^{2m+1} \wedge \dots \wedge \theta^n$$

holds. Therefore we have

$$\mathcal{I} := \{\omega \in \Omega^1(M) \mid \omega \wedge \alpha = 0\} = \text{span}\{\theta^{2m+1}, \dots, \theta^n\}$$

and

$$\{X \in \mathfrak{X}(M) \mid \omega(X) = 0, \omega \in \mathcal{I}\} = \text{span}\{X_1, \dots, X_{2m}\} = \text{Im}\pi^\sharp = \mathcal{F}_{(\pi, E)}$$

(note that $E = \frac{1}{a}\pi^\sharp(da)$ holds on U).

Next we prove that the 1-form $\beta := \varphi[- * \pi^m, \pi^m]_S$ satisfies $d\alpha = \beta \wedge \alpha$. We have

$$\beta \wedge \alpha = (-1)^{q-1} \alpha \wedge (\iota_{[*\pi^m, \pi^m]_S} \text{vol}_M) = -\iota_{\alpha[- * \pi^m, \pi^m]_S} \text{vol}_M,$$

and

$$d\alpha = d\varphi \left(\frac{\pi^m}{m!} \right) = \varphi\psi \left(\frac{\pi^m}{m!} \right) = \iota_{\psi \left(\frac{\pi^m}{m!} \right)} \text{vol}_M,$$

hence it remains to show that

$$-\iota_{\alpha[- * \pi^m, \pi^m]_S} = \psi \left(\frac{\pi^m}{m!} \right).$$

From [Lemma 4.1](#), we have

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \varphi^{-1} d\iota_{*\pi^m \wedge \pi^m} \text{vol}_M = \psi(*\pi^m \wedge \pi^m) \\ &= [*\pi^m, \pi^m]_S + \psi(*\pi^m) \wedge \pi^m + *\pi^m \wedge \psi(\pi^m), \end{aligned}$$

and thus

$$-\iota_{\alpha[- * \pi^m, \pi^m]_S} = \iota_{\alpha}(\psi(*\pi^m) \wedge \pi^m) + \iota_{\alpha}(*\pi^m \wedge \psi(\pi^m))$$

holds. Then we show that

$$(4.3) \quad \iota_{\alpha}(\psi(*\pi^m) \wedge \pi^m) = 0$$

and

$$(4.4) \quad \iota_{\alpha}(*\pi^m \wedge \psi(\pi^m)) = \psi \left(\frac{\pi^m}{m!} \right).$$

First, we can locally express π^m as $\pi^m = m! a^m X_1 \wedge \dots \wedge X_{2m}$. Thus for any $U \in \mathfrak{X}^{q-1}(M)$ and $1 \leq i \leq 2m$, we have $\alpha(X_i \wedge U) = \text{vol}_M \left(\frac{\pi^m}{m!} \wedge X_i \wedge U \right) = 0$, which implies [Equation 4.3](#) since $\alpha \in \Omega^q(M)$ and $\psi(*\pi^m) \in \mathfrak{X}^{q-1}(M)$.

Next, we prove [Equation 4.4](#). From [Corollary 4.2](#) we have

$$0 = \psi(\pi^{m+1}) = (m+1)\psi(\pi) \wedge \pi^m + m(m+1)E \wedge \pi^m.$$

Moreover, $E \wedge \pi^m = 0$ holds since $E \in \text{Im}\pi^\sharp$. Thus we have $\psi(\pi) \wedge \pi^m = 0$, and then

$$\iota_{\psi(\pi)} \alpha = \iota_{\psi(\pi)} \iota_{\frac{\pi^m}{m!}} \text{vol}_M = 0$$

holds. Therefore we have $(*\pi^m) \wedge \iota_{\alpha}(\psi(\pi^m)) = 0$ by [Corollary 4.2](#) and obtain

$$\iota_{\alpha}(*\pi^m \wedge \psi(\pi^m)) = \alpha(*\pi^m) \psi(\pi^m) = \frac{\psi(\pi^m)}{m!}.$$

From the above, it can be concluded that the form $\beta \wedge (d\beta)^q$ represents the Godbillon-Vey class of $\mathcal{F}_{(\pi, E)}$, and from [Equation 4.1](#) the form $\beta \wedge (d\beta)^q$ is described as

$$\begin{aligned} \beta \wedge (d\beta)^q &= \varphi \varphi^{-1}(\beta \wedge (d\beta)^q) = \varphi((-1)^{(n+1)(2q+1)} \iota_{\beta \wedge (d\beta)^q} \varphi^{-1}(1)) \\ &= \varphi(\iota_{(d\beta)^q} \varphi^{-1}(\beta)) = \varphi(\iota_{(d\varphi[-*\pi^m, \pi^m]_S)^q}[-*\pi^m, \pi^m]_S). \end{aligned}$$

□

4.3. Godbillon-Vey classes of contact-type regular Jacobi manifolds. Next we prove for contact-type regular Jacobi manifolds.

Theorem 4.4. *For a contact-type regular Jacobi manifold (M, π, E) , we can take*

$$\alpha = \varphi \left(\frac{\pi^m}{m!} \wedge E \right), \quad \beta = \varphi[* (\pi^m \wedge E), \pi^m \wedge E]_S,$$

and thus

$$GV(\mathcal{F}_{(\pi, E)}) = [\varphi(\iota_{(d\varphi[* (\pi^m \wedge E), \pi^m \wedge E]_S)^q}[* (\pi^m \wedge E), \pi^m \wedge E]_S)]$$

holds.

Proof. By the local structure theorem for Jacobi manifolds [[DLM91](#)], for any $x \in M$, there is a neighborhood $U \ni x$ such that $(U, \pi|_U, E|_U)$ is equivalent to a Jacobi manifold

$$\left(\mathbb{R}^{2m+1} = \{(x_i)_{i=0}^{2m}\}, \pi_{\mathbb{R}^{2m+1}} = \sum_{i=1}^m \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_{2i-1}} - x_{2i} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_0} \right) \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{2i}}, E_{\mathbb{R}^{2m+1}} = \frac{\partial}{\partial x_0} \right).$$

We regard $X_i := \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i}$ as a vector field on U for $0 \leq i \leq 2m$. Since we assume that $q \geq 1$, we can extend them to a local frame $\{X_0, X_1, \dots, X_n\}$ such that $\text{vol}_M(X_0 \wedge \dots \wedge X_n) = 1$ holds. Then we can locally express $\pi^m \wedge E$ as $\pi^m \wedge E = m! X_0 \wedge \dots \wedge X_{2m}$.

Let $\{\theta^0, \theta^1, \dots, \theta^n\}$ be the dual frame of $\{X_0, X_1, \dots, X_n\}$. Then

$$\alpha := \varphi \left(\frac{\pi^m}{m!} \wedge E \right) = \theta^{2m+1} \wedge \dots \wedge \theta^n$$

holds. Therefore we have

$$\mathcal{I} := \{\omega \in \Omega^1(M) \mid \omega \wedge \alpha = 0\} = \text{span}\{\theta^{2m+1}, \dots, \theta^n\}$$

and

$$\{X \in \mathfrak{X}(M) \mid \omega(X) = 0, \omega \in \mathcal{I}\} = \text{span}\{X_0, \dots, X_{2m}\} = \text{Im} \pi^\sharp + \mathbb{R}\langle E \rangle = \mathcal{F}_{(\pi, E)}.$$

Next we prove that the 1-form $\beta := \varphi[* (\pi^m \wedge E), \pi^m \wedge E]_S$ satisfies $d\alpha = \beta \wedge \alpha$.

As in [Theorem 4.3](#), it remains to show that

$$\iota_\alpha[* (\pi^m \wedge E), \pi^m \wedge E]_S = \psi \left(\frac{\pi^m}{m!} \wedge E \right).$$

From [Lemma 4.1](#), we have

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \varphi^{-1} d \iota_{* (\pi^m \wedge E) \wedge (\pi^m \wedge E)} \text{vol}_M = \psi(* (\pi^m \wedge E) \wedge (\pi^m \wedge E)) \\ &= -[* (\pi^m \wedge E), \pi^m \wedge E]_S - \psi(* (\pi^m \wedge E)) \wedge (\pi^m \wedge E) + * (\pi^m \wedge E) \wedge \psi(\pi^m \wedge E), \end{aligned}$$

and thus

$$\iota_\alpha[* (\pi^m \wedge E), \pi^m \wedge E]_S = -\iota_\alpha(\psi(* (\pi^m \wedge E)) \wedge (\pi^m \wedge E)) + \iota_\alpha(* (\pi^m \wedge E) \wedge \psi(\pi^m \wedge E))$$

holds. Then we show that

$$(4.5) \quad \iota_\alpha(\psi(* (\pi^m \wedge E)) \wedge (\pi^m \wedge E)) = 0$$

and

$$(4.6) \quad \iota_\alpha(* (\pi^m \wedge E) \wedge \psi(\pi^m \wedge E)) = \psi \left(\frac{\pi^m}{m!} \wedge E \right).$$

First, we can locally express $\pi^m \wedge E$ as $\pi^m \wedge E = m! X_0 \wedge \cdots \wedge X_{2m}$. Thus for any $U \in \mathfrak{X}^{q-1}(M)$ and $0 \leq i \leq 2m$, we have $\alpha(X_i \wedge U) = \text{vol}_M(\frac{\pi^m}{m!} \wedge E \wedge X_i \wedge U) = 0$, which implies Equation 4.5 since $\alpha \in \Omega^q(M)$ and $\psi(* (\pi^m \wedge E)) \in \mathfrak{X}^{q-1}(M)$.

Next, we prove Equation 4.6. From Corollary 4.2 we have

$$0 = \psi(\pi^{m+1} \wedge E) = -(m+1)\psi(\pi) \wedge \pi^m \wedge E + \psi(E)\pi^{m+1} = -(m+1)\psi(\pi) \wedge \pi^m \wedge E.$$

Thus we obtain $\psi(\pi) \wedge \pi^m \wedge E = 0$, and then

$$\iota_{\psi(\pi)}\alpha = \iota_{\psi(\pi)}\iota_{\frac{\pi^m}{m!} \wedge E}\text{vol}_M = 0$$

holds. Therefore we have $* (\pi^m \wedge E) \wedge \iota_\alpha(\psi(\pi^m \wedge E)) = 0$ by Corollary 4.2 and obtain

$$\iota_\alpha(* \pi^m \wedge \psi(\pi^m)) = \alpha(* \pi^m)\psi(\pi^m) = \frac{\psi(\pi^m)}{m!}.$$

$$\iota_\alpha(* (\pi^m \wedge E) \wedge \psi(\pi^m \wedge E)) = \alpha(* (\pi^m \wedge E))\psi(\pi^m \wedge E) = \frac{\psi(\pi^m \wedge E)}{m!}.$$

□

5. VIEWPOINT OF POISSONIZATIONS

In the case of contact-type regular Jacobi manifolds, Theorem 4.4 can be derived by an alternative method, namely, using Poissonization.

For any Jacobi structure (π, E) on M , we can associate a Poisson structure Λ on $\widetilde{M} := M \times \mathbb{R}_{>0}$ defined by

$$\Lambda = \frac{1}{t}\pi + \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \wedge E.$$

The Poisson manifold (\widetilde{M}, Λ) is called the *Poissonization* of (M, π, E) . For a regular Jacobi manifold, its Poissonization is a regular Poisson manifold. In the following, n denotes the dimension of M .

Lemma 5.1. *For a contact-type regular Jacobi manifold (M, π, E) , the pullback foliation of the foliation $\mathcal{F}_{(\pi, E)}$ by the projection $pr : \widetilde{M} \rightarrow M$ is the symplectic foliation of the Poisson manifold (\widetilde{M}, Λ) .*

Proof. We can see that

$$\Lambda^{m+1} = (m+1) \frac{1}{t^m} \pi^m \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \wedge E$$

and $\Lambda^{m+1} \neq 0$, $\Lambda^{m+2} = 0$. Thus $\text{rank} \Lambda^\sharp = 2m+2$ holds. On the other hand, we have $\text{rank} T(\text{pr}^* \mathcal{F}_{(\pi, E)}) = \text{rank} T\mathcal{F}_{(\pi, E)} + 1 = 2m+2$. Moreover, using a coordinate $((x_i), t)$ on \widetilde{M} we have

$$\begin{aligned} \Lambda^\sharp(df) &= \Lambda^\sharp \left(\sum_i \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_i} dx_i + \frac{\partial f}{\partial t} dt \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{t} \sum_i \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_i} \pi^\sharp(dx_i) + \frac{\partial f}{\partial t} E - \left(\sum_i \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_i} dx_i(E) \right) \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \end{aligned}$$

for $f \in C^\infty(\widetilde{M})$, thus $\text{pr}_*(\text{Im} \Lambda^\sharp) \subset T\mathcal{F}_{(\pi, E)}$ holds. Since $T(\text{pr}^* \mathcal{F}_{(\pi, E)}) = (\text{pr}_*)^{-1}(T\mathcal{F}_{(\pi, E)})$, we obtain $T(\text{pr}^* \mathcal{F}_{(\pi, E)}) = \text{Im} \Lambda^\sharp$. \square

Remark 5.2. *The lemma above does not hold for LCS-type regular Jacobi manifolds. In fact, if the pullback foliation of $\mathcal{F}_{(\pi, E)}$ of an LCS-type regular Jacobi manifold is the symplectic foliation, both $\text{rank} \mathcal{F}_{(\pi, E)}$ and $\text{rank} f^* \mathcal{F}_{(\pi, E)}$ must be even. However,*

$$\text{rank} \mathcal{F}_{(\pi, E)} = n - \text{codim} \mathcal{F}_{(\pi, E)} = n - \text{codim} f^* \mathcal{F}_{(\pi, E)} = \text{rank} f^* \mathcal{F}_{(\pi, E)} - 1$$

holds, that is a contradiction. \blacksquare

Let (M, π, E) be a contact-type regular Jacobi manifold where $\text{rank} T\mathcal{F}_{(\pi, E)}$ is $2m+1$. From the result of [Mik00], a defining pair (A, B) of the symplectic foliation of (\widetilde{M}, Λ) is given by

$$A = \Phi(\Lambda^{m+1}/(m+1)!), \quad B = \Phi[- \star \Lambda^{m+1}, \Lambda^{m+1}]_S,$$

where $\Phi : \mathfrak{X}^k(\widetilde{M}) \rightarrow \Omega^{n+1-k}(\widetilde{M})$ and $\star : \mathfrak{X}^k(\widetilde{M}) \rightarrow \mathfrak{X}^{n+1-k}(\widetilde{M})$ are maps defined by some volume form on \widetilde{M} . We take a volume form $\text{vol}_M \wedge dt$ on \widetilde{M} and fix it.

Proposition 5.3. *A 1-form $\beta = \varphi[* (\pi^m \wedge E), \pi^m \wedge E]_S$ on M satisfies $\text{pr}^* \beta = B$.*

Proof. Since the map Φ is defined by the volume form $\text{vol}_M \wedge dt$ on \widetilde{M} , a diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \Omega^1(\widetilde{M}) & \xrightarrow{\wedge dt} & \Omega^2(\widetilde{M}) & \xrightarrow[\cong]{\Phi^{-1}} & \mathfrak{X}^{n-1}(\widetilde{M}) \\ \text{pr}^* \uparrow & & & & \downarrow \text{pr}_* \\ \Omega^1(M) & \xleftarrow[\varphi]{\cong} & & & \mathfrak{X}^{n-1}(M) \end{array}$$

commutes. On the other word, a form $\beta \in \Omega^1(M)$ satisfies $\text{pr}^* \beta = B$ if and only if the unique multivector field $U \in \mathfrak{X}^{n-1}(\widetilde{M})$ such that

$$\Phi(U) = \Phi[- \star \Lambda^{m+1}, \Lambda^{m+1}]_S \wedge dt$$

satisfies $\beta = \varphi(\text{pr}_*(U))$. By using [Equation 4.2](#), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
U &= \Phi^{-1}(B \wedge dt) \\
&= (-1)^{(n+2)(2n+1)} \iota_{dt}[-\star \Lambda^{m+1}, \Lambda^{m+1}]_S \\
&= (-1)^{n+1} \iota_{dt} \left[\star \left(\frac{1}{t^m} \pi^m \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \wedge E \right), \frac{1}{t^m} \pi^m \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \wedge E \right]_S \\
&= (-1)^{n+1} \iota_{dt} \left[\star \left(\frac{1}{t^m} \pi^m \wedge E \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \right), \frac{1}{t^m} \pi^m \wedge E \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \right]_S \\
&= (-1)^{n+1} \iota_{dt} \left[t^m \star (\pi^m \wedge E), \frac{1}{t^m} \pi^m \wedge E \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \right]_S.
\end{aligned}$$

Then, by using [Equation 2.1](#) repeatedly, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
U &= (-1)^{n+1} \iota_{dt} \left[\star (\pi^m \wedge E), \pi^m \wedge E \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \right]_S \\
&= (-1)^{n+1} \iota_{dt} \left(\left[\star (\pi^m \wedge E), \pi^m \wedge E \right]_S \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \right) \\
&= [\star (\pi^m \wedge E), \pi^m \wedge E]_S,
\end{aligned}$$

and thus $\beta = \varphi(\text{pr}_*(U)) = \varphi[\star (\pi^m \wedge E), \pi^m \wedge E]_S$ holds. \square

[Proposition 5.3](#) and [Lemma 3.2](#) implies that a form $\beta \wedge (d\beta)^q$ represents $GV(\mathcal{F}_{(\pi, E)})$.

6. CODIMENSION ONE CASE

In this section, we discuss the case of regular Jacobi manifolds with codimension 1 in detail. Such Jacobi manifolds are further classified into two cases: namely, LCS-type regular Jacobi structures of rank $2m$ on $(2m+1)$ -dimensional manifolds, and contact-type regular Jacobi structures of rank $2m+1$ on $(2m+2)$ -dimensional manifolds.

Proposition 6.1.

- For an LCS-type regular Jacobi manifold (M, π, E) whose foliation $\mathcal{F}_{(\pi, E)}$ is of codimension 1,

$$GV(\mathcal{F}_{(\pi, E)}) = [\varphi(\iota_{\varphi[-\star \pi^m, \pi^m]_S} \psi[-\star \pi^m, \pi^m]_S)]$$

holds.

- For a contact-type regular Jacobi manifold (M, π, E) whose foliation $\mathcal{F}_{(\pi, E)}$ is of codimension 1,

$$GV(\mathcal{F}_{(\pi, E)}) = [\varphi(-\iota_{\varphi[\star (\pi^m \wedge E), \pi^m \wedge E]_S} \psi[\star (\pi^m \wedge E), \pi^m \wedge E]_S)]$$

holds.

Proof. We prove only for LCS-type (we can prove also for contact-type in the same way). By [Equation 4.1](#) we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\varphi^{-1}(\beta \wedge d\beta) &= (-1)^{n+1} \iota_{\beta} \iota_{d\beta} \varphi^{-1}(1) \\
&= \iota_{\beta}(\varphi^{-1} d\varphi[-\star \pi^m, \pi^m]_S) = \iota_{\varphi[-\star \pi^m, \pi^m]_S} \psi[-\star \pi^m, \pi^m]_S.
\end{aligned}$$

\square

The following is a direct implication of [Proposition 6.1](#).

Corollary 6.2. *Assume that $\mathcal{F}_{(\pi, E)}$ has codimension one. Then the following holds.*

- *In the case of LCS-type, we have $GV(\mathcal{F})_{(\pi, E)} = 0$ if the vector field $*\pi^m$ satisfies $\mathcal{L}_{*\pi^m}\pi = 0$.*
- *In the case of contact-type, we have $GV(\mathcal{F})_{(\pi, E)} = 0$ if the vector field $*(\pi^m \wedge E)$ satisfies $\mathcal{L}_{*(\pi^m \wedge E)}\pi = 0$ and $\mathcal{L}_{*(\pi^m \wedge E)}E = 0$. \square*

A multivector field U is said to be *unimodular* if it satisfies $\psi(U) = 0$. The relationship between the unimodularity of the Jacobi tensors and the Godbillon-Vey class can be described as follows.

Corollary 6.3. *Assume that $\mathcal{F}_{(\pi, E)}$ has codimension one. Then the following holds.*

- *If (M, π, E) is 3-dimensional and $\psi(\pi) = 0$ holds, then we have $GV(\mathcal{F}_{(\pi, E)}) = 0$.*
- *If (M, π, E) is a contact-type regular Jacobi manifold and $\psi(\pi) = 0$, $\psi(E) = 0$ holds, then we have $GV(\mathcal{F}_{(\pi, E)}) = 0$.*

Proof. First, we prove for LCS-type. First, from [Lemma 4.1](#) we have

$$[-*\pi^m, \pi^m]_S = \psi(\pi^m) \wedge (-*\pi^m) - \psi(-*\pi^m)\pi^m.$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \psi[-*\pi^m, \pi^m]_S &= -[\psi(\pi^m), -*\pi^m]_S - \psi^2(\pi^m) \wedge (-*\pi^m) + \psi(\pi^m) \wedge \psi(-*\pi^m) \\ &= -[\psi(-*\pi^m), \pi^m]_S - \psi^2(-*\pi^m) \wedge \pi^m - \psi(-*\pi^m) \wedge \psi(\pi^m) \\ &= [-*\pi^m, \psi(\pi^m)]_S - [\psi(-*\pi^m), \pi^m]_S \end{aligned}$$

holds. We can locally express π^m as $\pi^m = m!a^m X_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge x_{2m}$, then

$$\iota_{\varphi(\psi(-*\pi^m)\pi^m)}[\psi(-*\pi^m), \pi^m]_S = 0$$

holds since we have

$$\varphi(\psi(-*\pi^m)\pi^m) = m!a^m \psi(-*\pi^m)\theta^{2m+1}$$

and

$$[\psi(-*\pi^m), \pi^m]_S = m!a^m \sum_{i=1}^{2m} (-1)^i [\psi(-*\pi^m), X_i]_S X_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge \check{X}_i \wedge \cdots \wedge X_{2m}.$$

Therefore, under the assumption that $m = 1$ and $\psi(\pi) = 0$, [Corollary 4.2](#) implies

$$\varphi^{-1}(\beta \wedge d\beta) = \iota_{\varphi(\psi(-*\pi^m)\pi^m)}[\psi(-*\pi^m), \pi^m]_S = 0.$$

Next, we prove for contact-type. As in the case of LCS-type, we have

$$[(\pi^m \wedge E), \pi^m \wedge E]_S = \psi(\pi^m \wedge E) \wedge *(\pi^m \wedge E) - \psi(*(\pi^m \wedge E))\pi^m \wedge E$$

and

$$\psi[(\pi^m \wedge E), \pi^m \wedge E]_S = [*(\pi^m \wedge E), \psi(\pi^m \wedge E)]_S + [\psi(*(\pi^m \wedge E)), \pi^m \wedge E]_S.$$

Under the assumption that $\psi(\pi) = 0$ and $\psi(E) = 0$, [Corollary 4.2](#) implies that $\psi(\pi^m \wedge E) = 0$, and thus we obtain

$$\varphi^{-1}(\beta \wedge d\beta) = \iota_{\varphi(\psi(*(\pi^m \wedge E))\pi^m \wedge E)}[\psi(*(\pi^m \wedge E)), \pi^m \wedge E]_S = 0.$$

□

Remark 6.4. For a manifold M of dimension $n = 3$ or $n = 4$, the codimension q of a regular Jacobi structure with a non-trivial Godbillon-Vey class is restricted to $q = 1$ only. If $n = 3$ and $q = 1$, M becomes an LCS-type regular Jacobi manifold. On the other hand, if $n = 4$ and $q = 1$ M becomes a contact-type regular Jacobi manifold. ■

If a Poisson manifold (M, π) has the symplectic foliation \mathcal{F}_π of codimension one and satisfies $\psi(\pi) = 0$, $GV(\mathcal{F}_\pi) = 0$ holds regardless of the dimension of M ([Mik00]). Investigating whether Corollary 6.3 also holds for LCS-type regular Jacobi manifolds of arbitrary dimensions is a task for future research.

REFERENCES

- [DLM91] P. Dazord, A. Lichnerowicz, and C.M. Marle. Structure locale des variétés de Jacobi. *Journal de Mathématiques Pures et Appliquées*, 70(1):101–152, 1991.
- [GV71] C. Godbillon and J. Vey. Un invariant des feuilletages de codimension 1. *Comptes rendus de l'Académie des Sciences*, 273:92–95, 1971.
- [Lic78] A. Lichnerowicz. Les variétés de Jacobi et leurs algèbres de Lie associées. *Journal de Mathématiques Pures et Appliquées*, 57:453–488, 1978.
- [Mik00] K. Mikami. Godbillon-Vey classes of symplectic foliations. *Pacific Journal of Mathematics*, 194(1):165–174, 2000.
- [MM03] I. Moerdijk and J. Mrčun. *Introduction to foliations and Lie groupoids*, volume 91. Cambridge university press, 2003.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, GRADUATE SCHOOL OF SCIENCE, OSAKA UNIVERSITY, TOYONAKA, OSAKA 560-0043, JAPAN

Email address: u074738h@ecs.osaka-u.ac.jp