## Longtime behaviors of an epidemic model with nonlocal diffusions and a free boundary: rate of accelerated spreading<sup>1</sup>

Lei Li

School of Mathematics and Statistics, Henan University of Technology Zhengzhou, 450001, China Mingxin Wang<sup>2</sup>

School of Mathematics and Information Science, Henan Polytechnic University, Jiaozuo, 454003, China

Abstract. This is the third part of our series of work devoted to the dynamics of an epidemic model with nonlocal diffusions and free boundary. This part is concerned with the rate of accelerated spreading for three types of kernel functions when spreading happens. By constructing the suitable upper and lower solutions, we get the rate of the accelerated spreading of free boundary, which is closely related to the behavior of kernel functions near infinity. Our results indicate that the heavier the tail of the kernel functions are, the faster the rate of accelerated spreading is. Moreover, more accurate spreading profiles for solution component (u, v) are also obtained.

Keywords: Nonlocal diffusion; epidemic model; free boundary; accelerated spreading. AMS Subject Classification (2000): 35K57, 35R09, 35R20, 35R35, 92D25

## 1 Introduction

In this paper, we continue investigating the dynamics of the following epidemic model

$$\begin{cases} u_t = d_1 \int_0^{h(t)} J_1(x - y) u(t, y) dy - d_1 u - au + H(v), \quad t > 0, \ x \in [0, h(t)), \\ v_t = d_2 \int_0^{h(t)} J_2(x - y) v(t, y) dy - d_2 v - bv + G(u), \quad t > 0, \ x \in [0, h(t)), \\ u(t, h(t)) = v(t, h(t)) = 0, \qquad t > 0, \\ h'(t) = \int_0^{h(t)} \int_{h(t)}^{\infty} \left[ \mu_1 J_1(x - y) u(t, x) + \mu_2 J_2(x - y) v(t, x) \right] dy dx, \ t > 0, \\ h(0) = h_0 > 0, \ u(0, x) = u_0(x), \ v(0, x) = v_0(x), \ x \in [0, h_0], \end{cases}$$
(1.1)

where all parameters are positive constants. The kernel functions  $J_1$  and  $J_2$  satisfy

(J) 
$$J \in C(\mathbb{R}) \cap L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}), J(x) \ge 0, J(0) > 0, J \text{ is even}, \int_{\mathbb{R}} J(x) dx = 1.$$

The initial value functions  $u_0$  and  $v_0$  are in  $C([0, h_0])$ , positive in  $[0, h_0)$  and vanish at  $x = h_0$ . The nonlinear reaction terms H and G satisfy

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>This work was supported by NSFC Grants 12301247, 12171120.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>Corresponding author. *E-mail*: mxwang@hpu.edu.cn

(H)  $H, G \in C^2([0,\infty)), H(0) = G(0) = 0, H'(z), G'(z) > 0 \text{ in } [0,\infty), H''(z), G''(z) < 0 \text{ in } (0,\infty),$ and  $G(H(\hat{z})/a) < b\hat{z}$  for some  $\hat{z} > 0$ .

In this model, u(t, x) and v(t, x) stand for the spatial concentrations of the bacteria and the infective human population, respectively, at time t and location x in the one dimensional habitat; -au represents the natural death rate of the bacterial population and H(v) denotes the contribution of the infective human to the growth rate of the bacteria; -bv is the fatality rate of the infective human population and G(u) is the infection rate of human population;  $d_1$  and  $d_2$ , respectively, stand for the diffusion rates of bacteria and infective human; the spatial movements of u and v are approximated by the nonlocal diffusions.

The corresponding Cauchy problem of (1.1) with local diffusions

$$\begin{cases} u_t = d_1 u_{xx} - au + H(v), & t > 0, \ x \in \mathbb{R}, \\ v_t = d_2 v_{xx} - bv + G(u), & t > 0, \ x \in \mathbb{R} \end{cases}$$
(1.2)

was first proposed by Hsu and Yang [1] to model the spread of an oral-fecal transmitted epidemic. Let

$$\mathcal{R}_0 = \frac{H'(0)G'(0)}{ab}$$

It was proved in [1] that when  $\mathcal{R}_0 > 1$ , there exists a  $c_* > 0$  such that if and only if  $c \ge c_*$ , (1.2) has a positive monotone traveling wave solution that is unique up to translation. Moreover, the dynamics of the corresponding ODE system with positive initial value is governed by  $\mathcal{R}_0$ . When  $\mathcal{R}_0 < 1$ , (0,0) is globally asymptotically stable; while when  $\mathcal{R}_0 > 1$ , there exists a unique positive equilibrium  $(u^*, v^*)$  which is uniquely given by

$$au^* = H(v^*), \quad bv^* = G(u^*),$$
(1.3)

and is globally asymptotically stable.

For the explanation of model (1.1), one can refer to [2, 3, 4]. We in [5] proved that this model is well-posed, and its longtime behaviors are governed by the following spreading-vanishing dichotomy.

(1) Spreading: necessarily  $\mathcal{R}_0 > 1$ ,  $h_\infty := \lim_{t \to \infty} h(t) = \infty$ ,

$$\lim_{t \to \infty} (u(t, x), v(t, x)) = (U(x), V(x)) \quad \text{in } [C_{\text{loc}}([0, \infty))]^2,$$

where (U(x), V(x)) is the unique bounded positive solution of

$$\begin{cases} d_1 \int_0^\infty J_1(x-y)U(y)dy - d_1U - aU + H(V) = 0, & x \in [0,\infty), \\ d_2 \int_0^\infty J_2(x-y)V(y)dy - d_2V - bV + G(U) = 0, & x \in [0,\infty). \end{cases}$$
(1.4)

(2) <u>Vanishing</u>:  $h_{\infty} < \infty$  and  $\lim_{t \to \infty} ||u(t, \cdot) + v(t, \cdot)||_{C([0, h(t)])} = 0.$ 

It can be seen from [5, Proposition 2.2] that the unique bounded positive solution (U, V) of (1.4) is continuous and strictly increasing for  $x \ge 0$ , and  $(U(x), V(x)) \to (u^*, v^*)$  as  $x \to \infty$ .

Later on, we in [6] investigated the spreading speed when spreading occurs. We found that the spreading speed is determined by the following so-called semi-wave problem

$$\begin{cases} d_1 \int_{-\infty}^{0} J_1(x-y)\phi(y)dy - d_1\phi + c\phi' - a\phi + H(\psi) = 0, & x \in (-\infty, 0), \\ d_2 \int_{-\infty}^{0} J_2(x-y)\psi(y)dy - d_2\psi + c\psi' - b\psi + G(\phi) = 0, & x \in (-\infty, 0), \\ \phi(-\infty) = u^*, \quad \psi(-\infty) = v^*, \quad \phi(0) = \psi(0) = 0, \\ c = \int_{-\infty}^{0} \int_{0}^{\infty} \left( \mu_1 J_1(x-y)\phi(x) + \mu_2 J_2(x-y)\psi(x) \right) dy dx. \end{cases}$$
(1.5)

A rather complete understanding of such semi-wave problem has been obtained by Du and Ni [7]. They showed that (1.5) has a unique solution triplet  $(c_0, \phi_{c_0}, \psi_{c_0})$  with  $c_0 > 0$  and  $(\phi_{c_0}, \psi_{c_0})$  strictly decreasing in  $(-\infty, 0]$  if and only if  $J_1$  and  $J_2$  satisfy the following condition

(J1) 
$$\int_0^\infty x J_i(x) \mathrm{d}x < \infty \text{ for } i = 1, 2.$$

Using the solution of (1.5) and some suitable comparison arguments, in [6] we derived the spreading speed of (1.1). That is, if (J1) holds, then

$$\begin{cases} \lim_{t \to \infty} \frac{h(t)}{t} = c_0, \\ \lim_{t \to \infty} \max_{[0,ct]} \left( |u(t,x) - U(x)| + |v(t,x) - V(x)| \right) = 0 \text{ for any } c \in [0,c_0), \end{cases}$$

where  $c_0$  is unique speed of semi-wave problem (1.5), and (U, V) is the unique bounded positive solution of (1.4). If (J1) does not hold, then

$$\begin{cases} \lim_{t \to \infty} \frac{h(t)}{t} = \infty, \\ \lim_{t \to \infty} \max_{[0,ct]} \left( |u(t,x) - U(x)| + |v(t,x) - V(x)| \right) = 0 \text{ for any } c \in [0,\infty). \end{cases}$$

The case  $\lim_{t\to\infty} h(t)/t = \infty$  is usually called *accelerated spreading*.

In this paper, we are interested in the rate of accelerated spreading for three types of kernel functions. For clarity, we need first to introduce the following two notations:

(1)  $s(t) \sim l(t)$  means that there exist positive constants  $\varsigma_1$  and  $\varsigma_2$  such that

$$\varsigma_1 l(|t|) \le s(|t|) \le \varsigma_2 l(|t|)$$
 for all  $|t| \gg 1$ .

(2) s(t) = o(l(t)) means that  $s(t)/l(t) \to 0$  as  $t \to \infty$ .

The three types of kernel functions we care about are

$$J_i \sim \frac{\ln^{\alpha} \ln |x|}{|x| \ln^{\beta} |x|} \text{ for } i = 1, 2, \ \alpha \in \mathbb{R}, \text{ and } \beta > 1,$$

$$(1.6)$$

$$J_i \sim \frac{\ln^\beta |x|}{|x|^\gamma} \text{ for } i = 1, 2, \ \gamma \in (1, 2) \text{ and } \beta \in \mathbb{R},$$
(1.7)

$$J_i \sim \frac{\ln^\beta |x|}{|x|^2} \text{ for } i = 1, 2, \text{ and } \beta \ge -1.$$
 (1.8)

Clearly, as  $|x| \to \infty$ , the decaying rates for these three types of kernel functions satisfy

$$(1.8) \succ (1.7) \succ (1.6).$$

We have the following main result.

**Theorem 1.1.** Let (u, v, h) be the unique solution of (1.1) and spreading happen. Then the following statements are valid.

(1) If (1.6) holds, then

$$\begin{cases} \ln h(t) \sim t^{\frac{1}{\beta}} \ln^{\frac{\alpha}{\beta}} t, \\ \lim_{t \to \infty} \max_{x \in [0, s(t)]} \left( |u(t, x) - U(x)| + |v(t, x) - V(x)| \right) = 0 \text{ for any } s(t) \text{ with } \ln s(t) = o(t^{\frac{1}{\beta}} \ln^{\frac{\alpha}{\beta}} t). \end{cases}$$

(2) If (1.7) holds, then

$$\begin{cases} h(t) \sim t^{\frac{1}{\gamma-1}} \ln^{\frac{\beta}{\gamma-1}} t, \\ \lim_{t \to \infty} \max_{x \in [0,s(t)]} \left( |u(t,x) - U(x)| + |v(t,x) - V(x)| \right) = 0 \text{ for any } s(t) = o(t^{\frac{1}{\gamma-1}} \ln^{\frac{\beta}{\gamma-1}} t). \end{cases}$$

(3) If (1.8) holds with  $\beta > -1$ , then

$$\begin{cases} h(t) \sim t \ln^{\beta+1} t, \\ \lim_{t \to \infty} \max_{x \in [0, s(t)]} \left( |u(t, x) - U(x)| + |v(t, x) - V(x)| \right) = 0 \text{ for any } s(t) = o(t \ln^{\beta+1} t). \end{cases}$$

(4) If (1.8) holds with  $\beta = -1$ , then

$$\begin{cases} h(t) \sim t \ln \ln t, \\ \lim_{t \to \infty} \max_{x \in [0, s(t)]} \left( |u(t, x) - U(x)| + |v(t, x) - V(x)| \right) = 0 \text{ for any } s(t) = o(t \ln \ln t). \end{cases}$$

We would like to mention that some results like Theorem 1.1 for the Fisher-KPP equation in [3, 4, 8] and the epidemic model in [9] can be proved by following analogous lines as in this paper. Our arguments are based on some suitably upper and lower solutions, and are mainly inspired by [10, 11, 12]. In [10], Du and Ni studied the rate of accelerated spreading for the Fisher-KPP equation with nonlocal diffusion and free boundaries in one dimensional space for algebraic decay function, namely, those behaving like  $|x|^{-\gamma}$  for  $\gamma \in (1, 2]$  near infinity. For the case in high dimensional space with radially symmetry, Du and Ni [11] obtained the rate of accelerated spreading when spreading happens. Moreover, the rate of accelerated spreading for an epidemic model with nonlocal diffusions and free boundaries by Du, Ni and Wang [13]. For the corresponding Cauchy problem with such nonlocal diffusion operator, accelerated spreading also can happen if kernel function converges to 0 as  $|x| \to \infty$  slower than any exponentially decaying function, nowadays well known as exponentially unbounded or heavy-tailed (see e.g. [14, 15, 16, 17, 18]). On the other hand, with slowly decaying initial function, i.e., decaying slower than any exponentially decaying function, (see e.g. [19, 20]),

the fractional reaction-diffusion equation (see e.g. [21, 22]), and the chemotaxis-growth system (see e.g. [23]). One will see that for reaction-diffusion equation, the initial function plays a similar role with the kernel function for nonlocal diffusion problem.

For the recent development on the free boundary problem with local or nonlocal diffusion from ecology, one can refer to, for example, [24] for the approximation of local diffusion version in [25] by nonlocal diffusion counterpart in [3, 8], [26, 27] for the bistable or combustion nonlinear term, [28, 29] for the model with delay, [30, 9, 31, 32] for the epidemic models with nonlocal diffusions, [33, 34, 35] for L-V competition or predator-prey models, and [36, 37] for the model with nonlocal diffusion and seasonal succession.

Before ending this introduction, we would like to discuss how we guess the correct rate of free boundary h(t). As in the proof of Lemma 2.1, we need to first estimate the orders of  $\int_1^h J_i(y)ydy$  and  $h \int_h^\infty J_i(y)dy$ , and find the largest order of them. For example, if (1.6) holds, simple calculations show that the order of  $h \int_h^\infty J_i(y)dy$  is larger than that of  $\int_1^h J_i(y)ydy$ , i.e.,

$$\int_{1}^{h} J_{i}(y)y \mathrm{d}y = h \int_{h}^{\infty} J_{i}(y) \mathrm{d}y o_{h \to \infty}(1).$$

Moreover,

$$h \int_{h}^{\infty} J_i(y) \mathrm{d}y \sim \frac{h \ln^{\alpha} \ln h}{\ln^{\beta - 1} h}$$

which, combined with the free boundary condition of (1.1), implies that

$$h'(t) \le C \frac{h(t) \ln^{\alpha} \ln h(t)}{\ln^{\beta-1} h(t)}$$
, i.e.,  $\ln' h(t) \le C \frac{\ln^{\alpha} \ln h(t)}{\ln^{\beta-1} h(t)}$  for some  $C > 0$ .

Then we need to find a function  $\bar{h}(t)$  such that for some T > 0,  $\ln \bar{h}(T) \ge \ln h(T)$  and

$$\ln' \bar{h}(t) \ge C \frac{\ln^{\alpha} \ln h(t)}{\ln^{\beta - 1} \bar{h}(t)}, \quad \forall t > T.$$

This will be the correct rate of h if we can construct an adequate lower solution  $\underline{h}(t)$  satisfying  $\ln \underline{h}(t) \sim \ln \overline{h}(t)$ .

**Remark 1.1.** Very recently, Du and Ni ([12]) considerably sharpened the results in [10]. More precisely, by assuming that kernel function J satisfy

$$\lim_{|x|\to\infty} J(|x|)|x|^{-\gamma} = \lambda \text{ for some } \lambda > 0 \text{ and all } \gamma \in (1,2],$$

they obtained the exact rate of accelerated spreading, i.e.,

$$\begin{cases} \lim_{t \to \infty} \frac{h(t)}{t^{\frac{1}{\gamma - 1}}} = \frac{2^{2 - \gamma}}{2 - \gamma} \mu \lambda, & \text{if } \gamma \in (1, 2), \\ \lim_{t \to \infty} \frac{h(t)}{t \ln t} = \mu \lambda, & \text{if } \gamma = 2, \end{cases}$$

where  $\mu$  is the expanding rate of free boundary. Inspired by their work, if we strengthen conditions (1.6)-(1.8) as above, similar results are expected to hold for (1.1).

Moreover, if one enhances the assumptions on kernels in [14, 15, 18] or initial data in [19, 20, 21, 22, 23], for example, letting  $J(|x|)e^{-|x|/\ln |x|} \rightarrow \lambda > 0$  as  $|x| \rightarrow \infty$  or  $u(0, x)x^{\alpha} \rightarrow \lambda > 0$  for some  $\alpha > 0$  as  $x \rightarrow \infty$ , can we get better estimates on the location of level set? These will be very interesting.

## 2 Proof of Theorem 1.1

In this section, we will prove Theorem 1.1 by several lemmas. The arguments depend crucially on the suitably upper and lower solutions, as well as the proper comparison techniques, which is inspired by [10, 11, 12, 13]. Firstly we give the upper bounds for free boundary h(t).

**Lemma 2.1.** Let (u, v, h) be the unique solution of (1.1) and spreading happen. Then there exists a positive constant C depending only on  $(a, b, H, G, J_1, J_2, \mu_1, \mu_2)$  such that for all large t,

$$\begin{cases} \ln h(t) \leq Ct^{\frac{1}{\beta}} \ln^{\frac{\alpha}{\beta}} t & \text{if (1.6) holds,} \\ h(t) \leq Ct^{\frac{1}{\gamma-1}} \ln^{\frac{\beta}{\gamma-1}} t & \text{if (1.7) holds,} \\ h(t) \leq Ct \ln^{\beta+1} t & \text{if (1.8) holds with } \beta > -1, \\ h(t) \leq Ct \ln \ln t & \text{if (1.8) holds with } \beta = -1. \end{cases}$$

*Proof.* Simple computations show that for i = 1, 2,

$$\int_{0}^{h} \int_{h}^{\infty} J_{i}(x-y) \mathrm{d}y \mathrm{d}x = \int_{0}^{1} J_{i}(y) y \mathrm{d}y + \int_{1}^{h} J_{i}(y) y \mathrm{d}y + h \int_{h}^{\infty} J_{i}(y) \mathrm{d}y := I(h).$$

Then by estimating the two terms  $\int_1^h J_i(y) y dy$  and  $h \int_h^\infty J_i(y) dy$ , we have

$$\begin{cases} I(h) \sim h \frac{\ln^{\alpha} \ln h}{\ln^{\beta - 1} h} & \text{if (1.6) holds,} \\ I(h) \sim h^{2 - \gamma} \ln^{\beta} h & \text{if (1.7) holds,} \\ I(h) \sim \ln^{\beta + 1} h & \text{if (1.8) holds with } \beta > -1, \\ I(h) \sim \ln \ln h & \text{if (1.8) holds with } \beta = -1. \end{cases}$$

$$(2.1)$$

On the other hand, since there is a large T > 0 such that  $(u(t, x), v(t, x)) \leq (2u^*, 2v^*)$  for  $t \geq T$ and  $x \in [0, h(t)]$ , we have that for  $t \geq T$ ,

$$h'(t) \le \int_0^{h(t)} \int_{h(t)}^\infty 2(\mu_1 u^* J_1(x-y) + \mu_2 v^* J_2(x-y)) \mathrm{d}y \mathrm{d}x,$$

which, combined with (2.1), implies that there exists a positive constant  $C_1$  relying only on  $(a, b, H, G, J_1, J_2, \mu_1, \mu_2)$  such that for  $t \ge T$ ,

$$\begin{cases} h'(t) \le C_1 h(t) \frac{\ln^{\alpha} \ln h(t)}{\ln^{\beta-1} h(t)} & \text{if (1.6) holds,} \\ h'(t) \le C_1 h^{2-\gamma}(t) \ln^{\beta} h(t) & \text{if (1.7) holds,} \\ h'(t) \le C_1 \ln^{\beta+1} h(t) & \text{if (1.8) holds with } \beta > -1, \\ h'(t) \le C_1 \ln \ln h(t) & \text{if (1.8) holds with } \beta = -1. \end{cases}$$

We next only handle the case where (1.7) holds since other cases can be done similarly. Let

$$\bar{h}(t) = K(t+\theta)^{\frac{1}{\gamma-1}} \ln^{\frac{\beta}{\gamma-1}}(t+\theta), \quad t \ge T,$$

where  $\theta$  is a positive constant to be determined later and K is large enough such that  $K^{\gamma-1}(\gamma-1)^{\beta-1} \geq 2C_1$ . Then it is not hard to show that by choosing  $\theta$  to be large enough, we have

$$\bar{h}'(t) \ge K \frac{1}{\gamma - 1} (t + \theta)^{\frac{2 - \gamma}{\gamma - 1}} \ln^{\frac{\beta}{\gamma - 1}} (t + \theta) \ge C_1 \bar{h}^{2 - \gamma}(t) \ln^{\beta} \bar{h}(t) \quad \text{for } t \ge T.$$

Moreover, we may let  $\theta$  be sufficiently large if necessary such that h(T) > h(T). A simple comparison argument yields  $h(t) \leq \bar{h}(t)$  for  $t \geq T$ . Thus the proof is complete.

The remainder of this section is devoted to the proof of lower bounds. Firstly, inspired by [12, Lemma 2.2], we give a general technical lemma which is crucial for the construction of the desired lower solutions.

**Proposition 2.1.** Assume that positive constants  $k_1, k_2$  and l satisfy  $k_2 > k_1 > l \gg 1$ . Let J satisfy (J) and  $\rho \ge 1$  be a constant. Define

$$\xi(x) = \min\left\{1, \left(\frac{k_2 - x}{k_1}\right)^{\rho}\right\}.$$

Then for any given small  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there exists a  $k_0 > l$ , depending only on  $l, \rho, \varepsilon$  and J, such that when  $k_1 > k_0$  and  $k_2 - k_1 > 2k_0$ , we have

$$\int_{l}^{k_2} J(x-y)\xi(y)\mathrm{d}y \ge (1-\varepsilon)\xi(x) \text{ for } x \in [k_0,k_2]$$

*Proof.* Due to (J), for any given small  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there exists a  $l_0 \gg 1$  such that

$$\int_{-l_0}^{l_0} J(y) \mathrm{d}y \ge 1 - \frac{\varepsilon}{2}.$$

If we extend  $\xi(x)$  by  $\xi(x) \equiv 0$  for  $x \ge k_2$ , it is easy to verify that  $\xi(x)$  is convex in  $x \ge k_2 - k_1$  and

$$|\xi(x) - \xi(y)| \le \frac{\rho}{k_1} |x - y| \text{ for } x, y \in [0, \infty).$$
 (2.2)

Set  $k_0 > 2l_0$ ,  $k_1 > k_0$  and  $k_2 - k_1 > 2k_0$ . We now complete the proof by

Case 1: 
$$x \in [k_0, k_2 - k_1 - l_0]$$
; Case 2:  $x \in [k_2 - k_1 - l_0, k_2 - k_1 + l_0]$ ;  
Case 3:  $x \in [k_2 - k_1 + l_0, k_2 - l_0]$ ; Case 4:  $x \in [k_2 - l_0, k_2]$ .

For Case 1, straightforward computations yield

$$\int_{l}^{k_{2}} J(x-y)\xi(y)\mathrm{d}y \geq \int_{l}^{k_{2}-k_{1}} J(x-y)\mathrm{d}y = \int_{l-x}^{k_{2}-k_{1}-x} J(y)\mathrm{d}y$$
$$\geq \int_{l-k_{0}}^{l_{0}} J(y)\mathrm{d}y \geq \int_{-l_{0}}^{l_{0}} J(y)\mathrm{d}y$$
$$\geq 1 - \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \geq (1-\varepsilon)\xi(x).$$

For Case 2, in light of (2.2), we have

$$\int_{l}^{k_{2}} J(x-y)\xi(y)\mathrm{d}y = \int_{l-x}^{k_{2}-x} J(y)\xi(x+y)\mathrm{d}y \ge \int_{l-k_{2}+k_{1}+l_{0}}^{k_{1}-l_{0}} J(y)\xi(x+y)\mathrm{d}y$$

$$\begin{split} &\geq \int_{-l_0}^{l_0} J(y)\xi(x+y)\mathrm{d}y \\ &= \int_{-l_0}^{l_0} J(y)\xi(x)\mathrm{d}y + \int_{-l_0}^{l_0} J(y) \left[\xi(x+y) - \xi(x)\right] \mathrm{d}y \\ &\geq \int_{-l_0}^{l_0} J(y)\xi(x)\mathrm{d}y - \frac{\rho l_0}{k_1} \geq (1 - \frac{\varepsilon}{2})\xi(x) - \frac{\rho l_0}{k_1} \\ &= (1 - \varepsilon)\xi(x) + \frac{\varepsilon}{2}\xi(x) - \frac{\rho l_0}{k_1} \\ &\geq (1 - \varepsilon)\xi(x) + \frac{\varepsilon}{2^{\rho+1}} - \frac{\rho l_0}{k_1} \geq (1 - \varepsilon)\xi(x) \end{split}$$

provided that  $k_1 \geq \frac{2^{\rho+1}\rho l_0}{\varepsilon}$ .

For Case 3, clearly, we have that for any  $y \in [0, l_0]$ ,  $x + y \ge x - y \ge k_2 - k_1$ . Note that  $\xi(x)$  is a convex function of x for  $x \ge k_2 - k_1$ . Then we can deduce

$$\begin{split} \int_{l}^{k_{2}} J(x-y)\xi(y)\mathrm{d}y &= \int_{l-x}^{k_{2}-x} J(y)\xi(x+y)\mathrm{d}y \geq \int_{l-k_{2}+k_{1}-l_{0}}^{l_{0}} J(y)\xi(x+y)\mathrm{d}y \\ &\geq \int_{-l_{0}}^{l_{0}} J(y)\xi(x+y)\mathrm{d}y = \int_{0}^{l_{0}} J(y)[\xi(x+y) + \xi(x-y)]\mathrm{d}y \\ &\geq 2\int_{0}^{l_{0}} J(y)\xi(x)\mathrm{d}y \geq (1-\varepsilon)\xi(x). \end{split}$$

For Case 4, since  $\xi(x) \equiv 0$  for  $x \ge k_2$ , we see

$$\begin{split} \int_{l}^{k_{2}} J(x-y)\xi(y)\mathrm{d}y &= \int_{l-x}^{k_{2}-x} J(y)\xi(x+y)\mathrm{d}y \geq \int_{-l_{0}}^{k_{2}-x} J(y)\xi(x+y)\mathrm{d}y \\ &= \int_{-l_{0}}^{l_{0}} J(y)\xi(x+y)\mathrm{d}y - \int_{k_{2}-x}^{l_{0}} J(y)\xi(x+y)\mathrm{d}y \\ &= \int_{-l_{0}}^{l_{0}} J(y)\xi(x+y)\mathrm{d}y. \end{split}$$

Then by the similar arguments as in Case 3, we can prove Case 4. Thus setting  $k_0 = \frac{2^{\rho+1}\rho l_0}{\varepsilon}$ , we finish the proof.

The following comparison principle for free boundary problem with nonlocal diffusions will be used often in the later discussion.

**Proposition 2.2.** ([6, Proposition 2.3]) For any T > 0, we assume that  $P_i$  with i = 1, 2 satisfy (**J**), s(t) and r(t) are continuous and increasing in [0,T], as well as  $\underline{h}(t)$ ,  $\overline{h}(t) \in C^1([0,T])$  with  $s(t) \leq r(t) \leq \min\{\underline{h}(t), \overline{h}(t)\}$  for  $t \in [0,T]$ . Let  $(\underline{u}, \underline{v}) \in [C([0,T] \times [s(t), \underline{h}(t)])]^2$ ,  $(\overline{u}, \overline{v}) \in [C([0,T] \times [s(t), \overline{h}(t)])]^2$ , the left derivatives  $(\underline{u}_t(t-0,x), \underline{v}_t(t-0,x))$  and  $(\overline{u}_t(t-0,x), \overline{v}_t(t-0,x))$  exist in  $(0,T] \times [r(t), \underline{h}(t)]$  and  $(0,T] \times [r(t), \overline{h}(t)]$ , respectively. Suppose  $(\underline{u}(t,x), \underline{v}(t,x)) \leq (\overline{u}(t,x), \overline{v}(t,x))$ 

for  $0 < t \leq T$  and  $x \in [s(t), r(t)]$ . Moreover,  $(\underline{u}, \underline{v}, \underline{h})$  and  $(\overline{u}, \overline{v}, \overline{h})$  satisfy

$$\begin{cases} \underline{u}_t(t-0,x) \le d_1 \int_{s(t)}^{\underline{h}(t)} P_1(x-y)\underline{u}(t,y)\mathrm{d}y - d_1\underline{u} - a\underline{u} + H(\underline{v}), & 0 < t \le T, \ x \in [r(t),\underline{h}(t)), \\ \\ \underline{v}_t(t-0,x) \le d_2 \int_{s(t)}^{\underline{h}(t)} P_2(x-y)\underline{v}(t,y)\mathrm{d}y - d_2\underline{v} - b\underline{v} + G(\underline{u}), & 0 < t \le T, \ x \in [r(t),\underline{h}(t)), \\ \\ \\ \underline{u}(t,\underline{h}(t)) \le 0, \ \underline{v}(t,\underline{h}(t)) \le 0, & 0 < t \le T, \\ \\ \\ \underline{h}'(t) < \int_{s(t)}^{\underline{h}(t)} \int_{\underline{h}(t)}^{\infty} \left(\mu_1 P_1(x-y)\underline{u}(t,x) + \mu_2 P_2(x-y)\underline{v}(t,x)\right)\mathrm{d}y\mathrm{d}x, & 0 < t \le T, \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \underline{h}(0) < \overline{h}(0), \ \underline{u}(0,x) \le \overline{u}(0,x), \ \underline{v}(0,x) \le \overline{v}(0,x), & x \in [s(0),\underline{h}(0)] \end{cases}$$

and

$$\begin{cases} \bar{u}_t(t-0,x) \ge d_1 \int_{s(t)}^{\bar{h}(t)} P_1(x-y)\bar{u}(t,y)\mathrm{d}y - d_1\bar{u} - a\bar{u} + H(\bar{v}), & 0 < t \le T, \ x \in [r(t),\bar{h}(t)), \\ \bar{v}_t(t-0,x) \ge d_2 \int_{s(t)}^{\bar{h}(t)} P_2(x-y)\bar{v}(t,y)\mathrm{d}y - d_2\bar{v} - b\bar{v} + G(\bar{u}), & 0 < t \le T, \ x \in [r(t),\bar{h}(t)), \\ \bar{u}(t,\bar{h}(t)) \ge 0, \ \bar{v}(t,\bar{h}(t)) \ge 0, & 0 < t \le T, \\ \bar{h}'(t) \ge \int_{s(t)}^{\bar{h}(t)} \int_{\bar{h}(t)}^{\infty} \left(\mu_1 P_1(x-y)\bar{u}(t,x) + \mu_2 P_2(x-y)\bar{v}(t,x)\right)\mathrm{d}y\mathrm{d}x, \ 0 < t \le T, \end{cases}$$

respectively. Then we have

$$\underline{h}(t) \leq \overline{h}(t), \quad (\underline{u}(t,x), \underline{v}(t,x)) \leq (\overline{u}(t,x), \overline{v}(t,x)) \quad \text{for } (t,x) \in [0,T] \times [s(t), \underline{h}(t)].$$

Using  $\mathcal{R}_0 > 1$  and (**H**), we can find a constant r > 0 depending only on (a, b, H, G) such that

$$(-a\eta u^* + H(\eta v^*), -b\eta v^* + G(\eta u^*)) \ge \min\{\eta, 1 - \eta\}(r, r) \text{ for all } \eta \in [0, 1].$$
(2.3)

**Lemma 2.2.** Let (u, v, h) be the unique solution of (1.1) and the assumption (1.6) hold. Then the following statements are valid.

- (1) There exists a positive constant C depending only on the initial functions, parameters and kernel functions of (1.1) such that  $\ln h(t) \ge Ct^{\frac{1}{\beta}} \ln^{\frac{\alpha}{\beta}} t$  for all large t.
- (2) For any s(t) satisfying  $\ln s(t) = o(t^{\frac{1}{\beta}} \ln^{\frac{\alpha}{\beta}} t)$ , we have

$$\liminf_{t \to \infty} (u(t,x), v(t,x)) \ge (U(x), V(x)) \quad \text{uniformly in } [0, s(t)].$$

where (U(x), V(x)) is the unique bounded positive solution of (1.4).

*Proof.* For any given  $0 < \varepsilon \ll 1$ , according to [5, Proposition 2.2], we can find a  $X_{\varepsilon} > 0$  such that

$$(U(x), V(x)) \ge (1 - \frac{\sqrt{\varepsilon}}{2})(u^*, v^*) \text{ for } x \ge X_{\varepsilon}.$$

For positive constants  $l_1 \ll 1$  and  $\theta \gg 1$  to be determined later, we define

$$\underline{h}(t) = e^{l_1(t+\theta)^{\frac{1}{\beta}} \ln^{\frac{\alpha}{\beta}}(t+\theta)}, \ \underline{u}(t,x) = u_{\varepsilon}^* \zeta(t,x), \ \underline{v}(t,x) = v_{\varepsilon}^* \zeta(t,x) \text{ for } (t,x) \in [0,\infty) \times [X_{\varepsilon},\underline{h}(t)],$$

where  $e^{l_1\theta^{\frac{1}{\beta}}\ln^{\frac{\alpha}{\beta}}\theta} \ge 4$ ,  $(u_{\varepsilon}^*, v_{\varepsilon}^*) = (1 - \sqrt{\varepsilon})(u^*, v^*)$ , and

$$\zeta(t,x) := \min\left\{1, \left[8\frac{\underline{h}(t) - x}{\underline{h}(t)}\right]^{\rho}\right\}$$

with  $\rho \gg 1$  satisfying

$$1 - \frac{1}{\ln^{\frac{1}{\rho - 1}} 4} \ge \frac{7}{8}, \quad 1 - \beta + \frac{1}{\rho - 1} \le 0.$$

Assume  $\ln \theta > |\alpha|$ . Thus <u>h</u>(t) is strictly increasing for  $t \ge 0$ . Making use of Proposition 2.1 with  $l = X_{\varepsilon}$ , we have that there exists a large  $k_0 > 0$  such that if  $e^{l_1 \theta^{\frac{1}{\beta}} \ln^{\frac{\alpha}{\beta}} \theta} > 8k_0$ , then

$$\int_{X_{\varepsilon}}^{\underline{h}(t)} J_i(x-y)\zeta(t,y)\mathrm{d}y \ge (1-\varepsilon^2)\zeta(t,x) \quad \text{for } t > 0, \ x \in [k_0,\underline{h}(t)], \ i = 1,2.$$
(2.4)

Next we will prove that by choosing  $l_1$ ,  $\theta$  and T suitably, there holds:

$$\begin{cases} \underline{u}_{t} \leq d_{1} \int_{X_{\varepsilon}}^{\underline{h}(t)} J_{1}(x-y)\underline{u}(t,y)\mathrm{d}y - d_{1}\underline{u} - a\underline{u} + H(\underline{v}), \\ t > 0, \ x \in [k_{0},\underline{h}(t)) \setminus \left\{\frac{7\underline{h}(t)}{8}\right\}, \\ \underline{v}_{t} \leq d_{2} \int_{X_{\varepsilon}}^{\underline{h}(t)} J_{2}(x-y)\underline{v}(t,y)\mathrm{d}y - d_{2}\underline{v} - b\underline{v} + G(\underline{u}), \\ t > 0, \ x \in [k_{0},\underline{h}(t)) \setminus \left\{\frac{7\underline{h}(t)}{8}\right\}, \end{cases}$$
(2.5)  
$$\underbrace{u}(t,\underline{h}(t)) = \underline{v}(t,\underline{h}(t)) = 0, \qquad t > 0, \\ \underline{h}'(t) < \int_{X_{\varepsilon}}^{\underline{h}(t)} \int_{\underline{h}(t)}^{\infty} \left(\mu_{1}J_{1}(x-y)\underline{u}(t,x) + \mu_{2}J_{2}(x-y)\underline{v}(t,x)\right)\mathrm{d}y\mathrm{d}x, \quad t > 0, \\ \underline{u}(t,x) \leq u(t+T,x), \ \underline{v}(t,x) \leq v(t+T,x), \qquad t > 0, \ x \in [X_{\varepsilon},k_{0}], \\ \underline{h}(0) < h(T), \ \underline{u}(0,x) \leq u(T,x), \ \underline{v}(0,x) \leq v(T,x), \qquad x \in [X_{\varepsilon},\underline{h}(0)]. \end{cases}$$

Once we have proved (2.5), by virtue of a comparison argument (Proposition 2.2 with  $(s(t), r(t)) = (X_{\varepsilon}, k_0)$ ), we derive

$$h(t+T) \ge \underline{h}(t)$$
 and  $(u(t+T,x), v(t+T)) \ge (\underline{u}(t,x), \underline{v}(t,x))$  for  $t \ge 0, x \in [X_{\varepsilon}, \underline{h}(t)],$ 

which obviously yields the assertion (1). As for the assertion (2), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \max_{x \in [X_{\varepsilon}, s(t)]} &|\underline{u}(t, x) - U(x)| \\ \leq u^* (1 - \sqrt{\varepsilon}) \left( 1 - \min\left\{ 1, \left[ 8 \frac{\underline{h}(t) - s(t)}{\underline{h}(t)} \right]^{\rho} \right\} \right) + \frac{3\sqrt{\varepsilon}u^*}{2} \\ = u^* (1 - \sqrt{\varepsilon}) \left[ 1 - \min\left\{ 1, 8^{\rho} \left[ 1 - \frac{e^{o_{t \to \infty}(1)t^{\frac{1}{\beta}} \ln^{\frac{\alpha}{\beta}} t}{\underline{h}(t)}}{\underline{h}(t)} \right]^{\rho} \right\} \right] + \frac{3\sqrt{\varepsilon}u^*}{2} \to \frac{3\sqrt{\varepsilon}u^*}{2} \text{ as } t \to \infty. \end{aligned}$$

Thus there exists a  $T_1 > T$  such that

$$\underline{u}(t,x) \ge U(x) - 2\sqrt{\varepsilon}u^* \text{ for } t \ge T_1, \ x \in [X_{\varepsilon}, s(t)].$$

Recall that  $u(t+T,x) \ge \underline{u}(t,x)$  for  $t \ge 0$  and  $x \in [X_{\varepsilon}, \underline{h}(t)]$ . We can conclude that

$$u(t,x) \ge U(x) - 2\sqrt{\varepsilon}u^*$$
 for  $t \ge T_1 + T$ ,  $x \in [X_{\varepsilon}, s(t)]$ .

Moreover, since  $u(t,x) \to U(x)$  locally uniformly in  $[0,\infty)$  as  $t \to \infty$ , one can choose a  $T_2 > T_1 + T$  such that

$$u(t,x) \ge U(x) - 2\sqrt{\varepsilon}u^* \text{ for } t \ge T_2, \ x \in [0, X_{\varepsilon}].$$

Thus for any small  $\varepsilon > 0$ , we have

$$u(t,x) \ge U(x) - 2\sqrt{\varepsilon}u^*$$
 for  $t \ge T_2$ ,  $x \in [0,s(t)]$ 

which immediately implies  $\liminf_{t\to\infty} u(t,x) \ge U(x)$  uniformly in [0, s(t)]. Analogously, we can show  $\liminf_{t\to\infty} v(t,x) \ge V(x)$  uniformly in [0, s(t)]. Thus the assertion (2) is obtained.

Now it remains to verify (2.5). Firstly, for  $x \in [7\underline{h}(t)/8, \underline{h}(t)]$ , if  $e^{l_1 \theta^{\frac{1}{\beta}} \ln^{\frac{\alpha}{\beta}} \theta} \gg 1$ , we have

$$\begin{split} \int_{X_{\varepsilon}}^{\underline{h}(t)} J_{i}(x-y)\zeta(t,y)\mathrm{d}y &\geq \int_{X_{\varepsilon}}^{\frac{\tau h(t)}{8}} J_{i}(x-y)\zeta(t,y)\mathrm{d}y = \int_{X_{\varepsilon}}^{\frac{\tau h(t)}{8}} J_{i}(x-y)\mathrm{d}y \\ &= \int_{X_{\varepsilon}-x}^{\frac{\tau h(t)}{8}-x} J_{i}(y)\mathrm{d}y \\ &\geq \int_{\underline{h}(t)}^{\frac{h(t)}{4}} J_{i}(y)\mathrm{d}y \\ &\geq \varsigma_{1}\int_{\underline{h}(t)}^{\frac{h(t)}{4}} \frac{\ln^{\alpha}\ln y}{y\ln^{\beta}y}\mathrm{d}y\mathrm{d}y \\ &= \frac{\varsigma_{1}}{\beta-1} \left[ \frac{\ln^{\alpha}\ln\frac{h(t)}{8}}{\ln^{\beta-1}\frac{h(t)}{8}} - \frac{\ln^{\alpha}\ln\frac{h(t)}{4}}{\ln^{\beta-1}\frac{h(t)}{4}} \right] + \frac{\varsigma_{1}\alpha}{\beta-1}\int_{\ln\frac{h(t)}{8}}^{\ln\frac{h(t)}{4}} \frac{\ln^{\alpha-1}y}{y^{\beta}}\mathrm{d}y \\ &\geq \frac{\varsigma_{1}\ln 2\ln^{\alpha}\ln\frac{h(t)}{8}}{2\ln^{\beta}\frac{h(t)}{2}} + \frac{\varsigma_{1}\alpha}{\beta-1}\int_{\ln\frac{h(t)}{8}}^{\ln\frac{h(t)}{4}} \frac{\ln^{\alpha-1}y}{y^{\beta}}\mathrm{d}y \\ &= \frac{\ln^{\alpha}\ln\frac{h(t)}{2}}{2\ln^{\beta}\frac{h(t)}{2}} + \frac{\varsigma_{1}\alpha}{\beta-1}\int_{\ln\frac{h(t)}{8}}^{\ln\frac{h(t)}{4}} \frac{\ln^{\alpha-1}y}{y^{\beta}}\mathrm{d}y \\ &\geq \frac{\varsigma_{1}\ln 2\ln^{\alpha}\ln\frac{h(t)}{4}}{\ln^{\beta}\frac{h(t)}{2}} \left(\frac{\varsigma_{1}\ln 2}{2} + o_{\underline{h}\to\infty}(1)\right) \\ &\geq \frac{\varsigma_{1}\ln 2\ln^{\alpha}\ln\frac{h(t)}{4}}{4\ln^{\beta}\frac{h(t)}{4}}, \end{split}$$
(2.6)

where we have used that

$$\frac{\ln^{\alpha} \ln \frac{\underline{h}(t)}{8}}{\ln^{\beta-1} \frac{\underline{h}(t)}{8}} - \frac{\ln^{\alpha} \ln \frac{\underline{h}(t)}{4}}{\ln^{\beta-1} \frac{\underline{h}(t)}{4}} = \frac{\ln^{\alpha} \ln \underline{h}(t)}{\ln^{\beta} \underline{h}(t)} \left[ (\beta - 1) \ln 2 + o(1) \right],$$

and  $\ln^{\alpha} \ln \underline{h}(t) \geq \min\{1, (\frac{1}{\beta})^{\alpha}\} \ln^{\alpha}(t+\theta)$ . From (2.3), it follows that

$$(-\underline{a\underline{u}} + H(\underline{v}), -\underline{b\underline{v}} + G(\underline{u})) \ge \tilde{r}\varepsilon(\underline{u}, \underline{v}) \quad \text{for } x \in [k_0, \underline{h}(t)], \text{ if } \varepsilon \text{ is small enough},$$
(2.7)

where  $\tilde{r}$  depends only on a, b, H and G.

Hence, for  $x \in [k_0, \underline{h}(t)]$ , using (2.4) and (2.7), if  $\varepsilon$  is suitably small, we have

$$d_{1} \int_{X_{\varepsilon}}^{\underline{h}(t)} J_{1}(x-y)\underline{u}(t,y)dy - d_{1}\underline{u} - a\underline{u} + H(\underline{v})$$

$$\geq \left[\frac{\tilde{r}\varepsilon}{2} + \left(d_{1} - \frac{\tilde{r}\varepsilon}{2}\right)\right] \int_{X_{\varepsilon}}^{\underline{h}(t)} J_{1}(x-y)\underline{u}(t,y)dy - (d_{1} - \tilde{r}\varepsilon)\underline{u}$$

$$\geq \frac{\tilde{r}\varepsilon}{2} \int_{X_{\varepsilon}}^{\underline{h}(t)} J_{1}(x-y)\underline{u}(t,y)dy + \left(d_{1} - \frac{\tilde{r}\varepsilon}{2}\right)(1-\varepsilon^{2})\underline{u} - (d_{1} - \tilde{r}\varepsilon)\underline{u}$$

$$\geq \frac{\tilde{r}\varepsilon}{2} \int_{X_{\varepsilon}}^{\underline{h}(t)} J_{1}(x-y)\underline{u}(t,y)dy + \frac{\tilde{r}\varepsilon}{3}\underline{u} + \left(d_{1} - \frac{\tilde{r}\varepsilon}{2}\right)(1-\varepsilon^{2})\underline{u} - \left(d_{1} - \frac{2\tilde{r}\varepsilon}{3}\right)\underline{u}$$

$$\geq \frac{\tilde{r}\varepsilon}{2} \int_{X_{\varepsilon}}^{\underline{h}(t)} J_{1}(x-y)\underline{u}(t,y)dy + \frac{\tilde{r}\varepsilon}{3}\underline{u}.$$
(2.8)

On the other hand, we have  $\underline{u}_t(t,x) = 0$  for t > 0 and  $x \in [k_0, 7\underline{h}(t)/8]$ . Due to (2.8), the first inequality of (2.5) holds when t > 0 and  $x \in [k_0, 7\underline{h}(t)/8)$ . For t > 0 and

$$x \in \left[ \left( 1 - \frac{1}{\ln^{\frac{1}{\rho-1}} \underline{h}(t)} \right) \underline{h}(t), \underline{h}(t) \right],$$

in view of (2.6) and (2.8), we have

$$\begin{split} \underline{u}_{t}(t,x) &= u_{\varepsilon}^{*} 8^{\rho} \rho \left(\frac{\underline{h}(t)-x}{\underline{h}(t)}\right)^{\rho-1} \frac{x \underline{h}'(t)}{\underline{h}^{2}(t)} \\ &\leq u_{\varepsilon}^{*} 8^{\rho} \rho \left(\frac{\underline{h}(t)-x}{\underline{h}(t)}\right)^{\rho-1} \frac{\underline{h}'(t)}{\underline{h}(t)} \\ &= \frac{u_{\varepsilon}^{*} 8^{\rho} \rho l_{1}}{\beta} \left(\frac{\underline{h}(t)-x}{\underline{h}(t)}\right)^{\rho-1} (t+\theta)^{\frac{1-\beta}{\beta}} \ln^{\frac{\alpha}{\beta}}(t+\theta) \left[1+\alpha \ln^{-1}(t+\theta)\right] \\ &\leq \frac{2u_{\varepsilon}^{*} 8^{\rho} \rho l_{1}}{\beta} \left(\frac{\underline{h}(t)-x}{\underline{h}(t)}\right)^{\rho-1} (t+\theta)^{\frac{1-\beta}{\beta}} \ln^{\frac{\alpha}{\beta}}(t+\theta) \\ &= \frac{2u_{\varepsilon}^{*} 8^{\rho} \rho l_{1}^{\beta}}{\beta} \left(\frac{\underline{h}(t)-x}{\underline{h}(t)}\right)^{\rho-1} \ln^{1-\beta} \underline{h}(t) \ln^{\alpha}(t+\theta) \\ &\leq \frac{2u_{\varepsilon}^{*} 8^{\rho} \rho l_{1}^{\beta}}{\beta} \frac{\ln^{\alpha}(t+\theta)}{\ln^{\beta} \underline{h}(t)} \\ &\leq \frac{u_{\varepsilon}^{*} \tilde{r} \varepsilon \varsigma_{1} \ln 2 \min\{1, (\frac{1}{\beta})^{\alpha}\} \ln^{\alpha}(t+\theta)}{8 \ln^{\beta} \underline{h}(t)} \end{split}$$

provided that  $l_1$  is suitably small such that

$$\frac{2u_{\varepsilon}^* 8^{\rho} \rho l_1^{\beta}}{\beta} \leq \frac{u_{\varepsilon}^* \tilde{r} \varepsilon \varsigma_1 \ln 2 \min\{1, (\frac{1}{\beta})^{\alpha}\}}{8}.$$

For t > 0 and

$$x \in \left[\frac{7\underline{h}(t)}{8}, \left(1 - \frac{1}{\ln^{\frac{1}{\rho-1}}\underline{h}(t)}\right)\underline{h}(t)\right],$$

using (2.8) yields

$$\underline{u}_t(t,x) \le \rho(\frac{\underline{h}(t) - x}{\underline{h}(t)})^{-1} \frac{\underline{h}'(t)}{\underline{h}(t)} \underline{u}$$

$$\leq \frac{2\rho l_1^{\beta}}{\beta} \ln^{1-\beta+\frac{1}{\rho-1}} \underline{h}(t) \ln^{\alpha}(t+\theta) \underline{u}$$
$$\leq \frac{2\rho l_1^{1+\frac{1}{\rho-1}}}{\beta} \underline{u} \leq \frac{\tilde{r}\varepsilon}{3} \underline{u}$$

if  $l_1$  is small enough such that

$$\frac{2\rho l_1^{1+\frac{1}{\rho-1}}}{\beta} \le \frac{\tilde{r}\varepsilon}{3}$$

Hence the first inequality of (2.5) holds with  $l_1$ ,  $\theta$  and  $\varepsilon$  chosen as above. Analogously, we can show the inequality in the third line of (2.5) is valid if  $l_1$ ,  $\theta$  and  $\varepsilon$  are chosen suitably.

Then we prove the inequality in the six line of (2.5) holds. Straightforward computations show that if  $e^{l_1\theta^{\frac{1}{\beta}} \ln^{\frac{\alpha}{\beta}}\theta}$  is suitably large, then

$$\begin{split} &\int_{X_{\varepsilon}}^{\underline{h}(t)} \int_{\underline{h}(t)}^{\infty} \left(\mu_{1}J_{1}(x-y)\underline{u}(t,x) + \mu_{2}J_{2}(x-y)\underline{v}(t,x)\right) \mathrm{d}y \mathrm{d}x \\ &\geq \int_{X_{\varepsilon}}^{\underline{h}(t)} \int_{\underline{h}(t)}^{\infty} \left(\mu_{1}u_{\varepsilon}^{*}J_{1}(x-y) + \mu_{2}v_{\varepsilon}^{*}J_{2}(x-y)\right) \mathrm{d}y \mathrm{d}x \\ &= \int_{X_{\varepsilon}-\underline{h}(t)}^{\underline{h}(t)} \int_{0}^{\infty} \left(\mu_{1}u_{\varepsilon}^{*}J_{1}(x-y) + \mu_{2}v_{\varepsilon}^{*}J_{2}(x-y)\right) \mathrm{d}y \mathrm{d}x \\ &= \left\{\int_{\underline{h}(t)}^{\underline{h}(t)-X_{\varepsilon}} \int_{\underline{h}(t)}^{\underline{h}(t)} + \int_{\underline{h}(t)-X_{\varepsilon}}^{\infty} \int_{\underline{h}(t)}^{\underline{h}(t)-X_{\varepsilon}} \right\} \left(\mu_{1}u_{\varepsilon}^{*}J_{1}(y) + \mu_{2}v_{\varepsilon}^{*}J_{2}(y)\right) \mathrm{d}x \mathrm{d}y \\ &\geq \int_{\underline{h}(t)-X_{\varepsilon}}^{\infty} \int_{\underline{h}(t)-X_{\varepsilon}}^{\underline{h}(t)-X_{\varepsilon}} \left(\mu_{1}u_{\varepsilon}^{*}J_{1}(y) + \mu_{2}v_{\varepsilon}^{*}J_{2}(y)\right) \mathrm{d}x \mathrm{d}y \\ &\geq \frac{h(t)}{2} \int_{\underline{h}(t)-X_{\varepsilon}}^{\infty} \left(\mu_{1}u_{\varepsilon}^{*}J_{1}(y) + \mu_{2}v_{\varepsilon}^{*}J_{2}(y)\right) \mathrm{d}x \mathrm{d}y \\ &\geq \frac{h(t)}{2} \int_{\underline{h}(t)-X_{\varepsilon}}^{\infty} \left(\mu_{1}u_{\varepsilon}^{*}J_{1}(y) + \mu_{2}v_{\varepsilon}^{*}J_{2}(y)\right) \mathrm{d}y \\ &\geq C_{1}\underline{h}(t) \int_{\underline{h}(t)}^{\infty} \frac{\ln^{\alpha}\ln y}{y \ln^{\beta} y} \mathrm{d}y \\ &= \frac{C_{1}\underline{h}(t) \ln^{\alpha}\ln \underline{h}(t)}{(\beta-1) \ln^{\beta-1}\underline{h}(t)} + \frac{\alpha C_{1}\underline{h}(t)}{\beta-1} \int_{\ln \underline{h}(t)}^{\infty} y^{-\beta} \ln^{\alpha-1} y \mathrm{d}y \\ &= \frac{\underline{h}(t) \ln^{\alpha}\ln \underline{h}(t)}{(\beta-1) \ln^{\beta-1}\underline{h}(t)} \left(\frac{C_{1}}{\beta-1} + o_{\underline{h}\to\infty}(1)\right) \\ &\geq \frac{C_{1}\underline{h}(t) \ln^{\alpha}\ln \underline{h}(t)}{2(\beta-1) \ln^{\beta-1}\underline{h}(t)}, \end{split}$$

where  $C_1$  depends only on  $a, b, H, G, \mu_i$  and  $J_i$  with i = 1, 2. On the other hand, simple computations arrive at

$$\underline{h}'(t) = \frac{\underline{h}(t) \ln \underline{h}(t)}{\beta(t+\theta)} \left[ 1 + \alpha \ln^{-1}(t+\theta) \right]$$
$$\leq \frac{2\underline{h}(t) \ln \underline{h}(t)}{\beta(t+\theta)}$$
$$= \frac{2l_1^{\beta}\underline{h}(t) \ln^{\alpha}(t+\theta)}{\beta \ln^{\beta-1}\underline{h}(t)}$$

$$\leq \frac{C_1 \min\{1, (\frac{1}{\beta})^{\alpha}\}\underline{h}(t) \ln^{\alpha}(t+\theta)}{2(\beta-1) \ln^{\beta-1} \underline{h}(t)}$$

provided that  $l_1$  is suitably small such that

$$\frac{2l_1^\beta}{\beta} \le \frac{C_1 \min\{1, (\frac{1}{\beta})^\alpha\}}{2(\beta - 1)}.$$

Thus the inequality in the fifth line of (2.5) holds.

Now to prove (2.5), it remains to show the inequalities in the last two lines of (2.5). For positive constants  $\varepsilon$ ,  $\theta$  and  $l_1$  chosen as above, since spreading happens for (1.1), we can find a T > 0 such that  $h(T) > e^{l_1 \theta^{\frac{1}{\beta}} \ln^{\frac{\alpha}{\beta}} \theta} = \underline{h}(0)$  and

$$(u(t,x),v(t,x)) \ge (1-\frac{\sqrt{\varepsilon}}{2})(U(x),V(x)) \text{ for } t \ge T, \ x \in [X_{\varepsilon},\underline{h}(0)].$$

Recalling  $(U(x), V(x)) \ge (1 - \frac{\sqrt{\varepsilon}}{2})(u^*, v^*)$  for  $x \ge X_{\varepsilon}$ , we have that when  $t \ge T$  and  $x \in [X_{\varepsilon}, \underline{h}(0)]$ ,

$$(u(t,x),v(t,x)) \ge (1 - \frac{\sqrt{\varepsilon}}{2})(U(x),V(x)) \ge (1 - \sqrt{\varepsilon})(u^*,v^*) \ge (\underline{u}(t,x),\underline{v}(t,x)),$$

which immediately implies that  $(u(t + T, x), v(t + T, x)) \ge (\underline{u}(t, x), \underline{v}(t, x))$  for  $t \ge 0$  and  $x \in [X_{\varepsilon}, \underline{h}(0)]$ . Thus (2.5) holds. The proof is complete.

Next we consider the case where (1.7) holds.

**Lemma 2.3.** Let (u, v, h) be the unique solution of (1.1) and the assumption (1.7) hold. Then the following statements are valid.

(1) There exists a positive constant C such that  $h(t) \ge Ct^{\frac{1}{\gamma-1}} \ln^{\frac{\beta}{\gamma-1}} t$  for all large t.

(2) For any  $s(t) = o(t^{\frac{1}{\gamma-1}} \ln^{\frac{\beta}{\gamma-1}} t)$ , we have

$$\liminf_{t \to \infty} (u(t, x), v(t, x)) \ge (U(x), V(x)) \quad \text{uniformly in } [0, s(t)]$$

*Proof.* For any small  $0 < \varepsilon \ll 1$ , let  $X_{\varepsilon}$  be defined as in the proof of Lemma 2.2. For positive constants  $l_1 \ll 1$  and  $\theta \gg 1$  to be determined later, we define

$$\underline{h}(t) = l_1(t+\theta)^{\frac{1}{\gamma-1}} \ln^{\frac{\beta}{\gamma-1}}(t+\theta), \ \underline{u}(t,x) = u_{\varepsilon}^* \hat{\zeta}(t,x), \ \underline{v}(t,x) = v_{\varepsilon}^* \hat{\zeta}(t,x),$$

where  $(t, x) \in [0, \infty) \times [X_{\varepsilon}, \underline{h}(t)], (u_{\varepsilon}^*, v_{\varepsilon}^*)$  is the same with that of Lemma 2.2, and

$$\hat{\zeta}(t,x) = \min\left\{1, 2\frac{\underline{h}(t) - x}{\underline{h}(t)}\right\}$$

In light of Proposition 2.1 with  $l = X_{\varepsilon}$ , we have that there exists a large  $k_0 > 0$  such that if  $l_1 \theta^{\frac{1}{\gamma-1}} \ln^{\frac{\beta}{\gamma-1}} \theta > 4k_0$ , then

$$\int_{X_{\varepsilon}}^{\underline{h}(t)} J_i(x-y)\hat{\zeta}(t,y)\mathrm{d}y \ge (1-\varepsilon^2)\hat{\zeta}(t,x) \quad \text{for } t > 0, \ x \in [k_0,\underline{h}(t)], \ i = 1,2.$$
(2.9)

Setting  $\beta + \ln \theta > 0$  ensures that <u>h</u>(t) is strictly increasing for  $t \ge 0$ . Next we prove that by choosing  $l_1$ ,  $\theta$  and T properly, (2.5) holds but with

$$x \in [k_0, \underline{h}(t)) \setminus \left\{ \frac{7\underline{h}(t)}{8} \right\}$$

replaced by

$$x \in [k_0, \underline{h}(t)) \setminus \left\{ \frac{\underline{h}(t)}{2} \right\}$$

in the first two inequalities. Once we have done it, arguing as in the proof of Lemma 2.2, we can get the desired results.

Now it remains to verify (2.5). By the definition of  $(\underline{u}, \underline{v}, \underline{h})$ , the equalities in the fifth line of (2.5) hold. Now we prove the inequalities in the first two lines of (2.5). For  $x \in [\underline{h}(t)/4, \underline{h}(t)]$ , if  $l_1 \theta^{\frac{1}{\gamma-1}} \ln^{\frac{\beta}{\gamma-1}} \theta$  is suitably large, with the aid of (1.7) and

$$\hat{\zeta}(t,x) \ge \frac{\underline{h}(t) - x}{\underline{h}(t)},$$

we have

$$\begin{split} &\int_{X_{\varepsilon}}^{\underline{h}(t)} J_{i}(x-y)\hat{\zeta}(t,y)\mathrm{d}y \\ &= \int_{X_{\varepsilon}-x}^{\underline{h}(t)-x} J_{i}(y)\hat{\zeta}(t,x+y)\mathrm{d}y \\ &\geq \int_{X_{\varepsilon}-x}^{-\underline{h}(t)} J_{i}(y)\hat{\zeta}(t,x+y)\mathrm{d}y \\ &\geq \int_{X_{\varepsilon}-x}^{-\underline{h}(t)} J_{i}(y)\hat{\zeta}(t,x+y)\mathrm{d}y \\ &\geq s_{1} \int_{-\underline{h}(t)}^{-\underline{h}(t)} \frac{\ln\beta |y|}{|y|^{\gamma}} \frac{\underline{h}(t) - x - y}{\underline{h}(t)} \mathrm{d}y \\ &\geq \frac{s_{1}}{\underline{h}(t)} \int_{-\underline{h}(t)}^{-\underline{h}(t)} \frac{\ln\beta |y|}{|y|^{\gamma}} (-y)\mathrm{d}y \\ &= \frac{s_{1}}{\underline{h}(t)} \int_{-\underline{h}(t)}^{\underline{h}(t)} \frac{y^{1-\gamma} \ln\beta y\mathrm{d}y}{\underline{h}(t)^{-(-\gamma)} \ln\beta y\mathrm{d}y} \\ &= \frac{s_{1}}{\underline{h}(t)} \int_{\underline{h}(t)}^{\underline{h}(t)} \frac{y^{1-\gamma} \ln\beta y\mathrm{d}y}{\underline{h}(t)^{-\frac{1}{6}} - \frac{\beta}{2-\gamma} \int_{\underline{h}(t)}^{\underline{h}(t)} \frac{y^{1-\gamma} \ln^{\beta-1} y\mathrm{d}y}{\underline{h}(t)^{-\frac{1}{6}} - \frac{\beta}{2-\gamma} - \frac{(\ln h(t) - \ln 8)^{\beta}}{8^{2-\gamma}} \Big] - \frac{s_{1}\beta}{(2-\gamma)\underline{h}(t)} \int_{\underline{h}(t)}^{\underline{h}(t)} \int_{\underline{h}(t)}^{\underline{h}(t)} y^{1-\gamma} \ln^{\beta-1} y\mathrm{d}y \\ &\geq \frac{s_{1}\underline{h}^{1-\gamma}(t) \ln^{\beta} \underline{h}(t)}{2(2-\gamma)} \Big( \frac{1}{6^{2-\gamma}} - \frac{1}{8^{2-\gamma}} \Big) - \frac{s_{1}\beta}{(2-\gamma)\underline{h}(t)} \int_{\underline{h}(t)}^{\underline{h}(t)} \int_{\underline{h}(t)}^{\underline{h}(t)} \frac{y^{1-\gamma} \ln^{\beta-1} y\mathrm{d}y}{\frac{1}{8}} \\ &= \underline{h}^{1-\gamma}(t) \ln^{\beta} \underline{h}(t) \Big[ \frac{s_{1}}{2(2-\gamma)} (\frac{1}{6^{2-\gamma}} - \frac{1}{8^{2-\gamma}}) + o_{\underline{h}\to\infty}(1) \Big] \\ &\geq \frac{s_{1}}{4(2-\gamma)} (\frac{1}{6^{2-\gamma}} - \frac{1}{8^{2-\gamma}})\underline{h}^{1-\gamma}(t) \ln^{\beta} \underline{h}(t) \\ &= \frac{s_{1}\varepsilon}{4(2-\gamma)} (\frac{1}{6^{2-\gamma}} - \frac{1}{8^{2-\gamma}})l_{1}^{1-\gamma}(t+\theta)^{-1} \ln^{-\beta}(t+\theta) \ln^{\beta}[l_{1}(t+\theta)^{\frac{1}{\gamma-1}} \ln^{\frac{\beta}{\gamma-1}}(t+\theta)] \end{aligned}$$

$$= \frac{\varsigma_{1}\varepsilon l_{1}^{1-\gamma}}{4(2-\gamma)} (\frac{1}{6^{2-\gamma}} - \frac{1}{8^{2-\gamma}}) \frac{(t+\theta)^{-1}}{\ln^{\beta}(t+\theta)} \left[ \ln l_{1} + \frac{1}{\gamma-1} \ln(t+\theta) + \frac{\beta}{\gamma-1} \ln \ln(t+\theta) \right]^{\beta}$$
  

$$\geq \frac{\varsigma_{1}\varepsilon}{4(2-\gamma)(\gamma-1)^{\beta}} (\frac{1}{6^{2-\gamma}} - \frac{1}{8^{2-\gamma}}) l_{1}^{1-\gamma} \min\{\frac{1}{2^{\beta}}, 1\} (t+\theta)^{-1}, \qquad (2.10)$$

where  $l_1 \theta^{\frac{1}{\gamma-1}} \ln^{\frac{\beta}{\gamma-1}} \theta$  is large enough such that

$$\left[\frac{(\ln h(t) - \ln 6)^{\beta}}{6^{2-\gamma}} - \frac{(\ln h(t) - \ln 8)^{\beta}}{8^{2-\gamma}}\right] \ge \frac{\ln^{\beta} \underline{h}(t)}{2} (\frac{1}{6^{2-\gamma}} - \frac{1}{8^{2-\gamma}})$$

Clearly, using (2.9) and arguing as in the proof of Lemma 2.2, we have that if  $\varepsilon$  is suitably small, for  $x \in [k_0, \underline{h}(t)]$ , (2.8) is also valid here.

On the other hand, we have  $\underline{u}_t(t, x) = 0$  for t > 0 and  $x \in [0, \underline{h}(t)/2]$ . Combining with (2.8), the first inequality of (2.8) holds for  $x \in [k_0, \underline{h}(t)/2)$ . For t > 0 and  $x \in (\underline{h}(t)/2, \underline{h}(t))$ , due to (2.10), we have

$$\begin{split} \underline{u}_t(t,x) &= 2u_{\varepsilon}^* \frac{x\underline{h}'(t)}{\underline{h}^2(t)} \le 2u_{\varepsilon}^* \frac{\underline{h}'(t)}{\underline{h}(t)} \\ &= \frac{2u_{\varepsilon}^*(t+\theta)^{-1}}{\gamma-1} \left[ 1 + \beta \ln^{-1}(t+\theta) \right] \\ &\le \frac{4u_{\varepsilon}^*(t+\theta)^{-1}}{\gamma-1} \\ &\le \frac{\varsigma_1 \tilde{r}\varepsilon}{8(2-\gamma)(\gamma-1)^{\beta}} (\frac{1}{6^{2-\gamma}} - \frac{1}{8^{2-\gamma}}) l_1^{1-\gamma} \min\{\frac{1}{2^{\beta}}, 1\}(t+\theta)^{-1} \end{split}$$

provided that  $l_1$  is small enough such that

$$\frac{4u_{\varepsilon}^*}{\gamma-1} \leq \frac{\varsigma_1 \tilde{r}\varepsilon}{8(2-\gamma)(\gamma-1)^{\beta}} (\frac{1}{6^{2-\gamma}} - \frac{1}{8^{2-\gamma}}) l_1^{1-\gamma} \min\{\frac{1}{2^{\beta}}, 1\}.$$

So the inequality in the first line of (2.5) holds. Analogously, we can show the inequality in the third line of (2.5) is valid if  $\varepsilon$ ,  $l_1$  and  $\theta$  are chosen as above.

Then we prove the inequality in the fourth line of (2.5) holds. Straightforward computations show that if  $l_1 \theta^{\frac{1}{\gamma-1}} \ln^{\frac{\beta}{\gamma-1}} \theta$  is suitably large, then

$$\begin{split} &\int_{X_{\varepsilon}}^{\underline{h}(t)} \int_{\underline{h}(t)}^{\infty} \left( \mu_{1}J_{1}(x-y)\underline{u}(t,x) + \mu_{2}J_{2}(x-y)\underline{v}(t,x) \right) \mathrm{d}y \mathrm{d}x \\ &\geq \int_{\underline{h}(t)}^{\underline{h}(t)} \int_{\underline{h}(t)}^{\infty} 2\left( \mu_{1}u_{\varepsilon}^{*}J_{1}(x-y) + \mu_{2}v_{\varepsilon}^{*}J_{2}(x-y) \right) \frac{\underline{h}(t)-x}{\underline{h}(t)} \mathrm{d}y \mathrm{d}x \\ &= \frac{2}{\underline{h}(t)} \int_{-\frac{\underline{h}(t)}{2}}^{0} \int_{0}^{\infty} \left( \mu_{1}u_{\varepsilon}^{*}J_{1}(x-y) + \mu_{2}v_{\varepsilon}^{*}J_{2}(x-y) \right) (-x) \mathrm{d}y \mathrm{d}x \\ &= \frac{2}{\underline{h}(t)} \left\{ \int_{0}^{\underline{h}(t)} \int_{0}^{\underline{h}(t)} \int_{0}^{y} + \int_{\underline{h}(t)}^{\infty} \int_{0}^{\frac{\underline{h}(t)}{2}} \right\} \left( \mu_{1}u_{\varepsilon}^{*}J_{1}(y) + \mu_{2}v_{\varepsilon}^{*}J_{2}(y) \right) x \mathrm{d}x \mathrm{d}y \\ &\geq \frac{1}{\underline{h}(t)} \int_{\underline{h}(t)}^{\underline{h}(t)} \left( \mu_{1}u_{\varepsilon}^{*}J_{1}(y) + \mu_{2}v_{\varepsilon}^{*}J_{2}(y) \right) y^{2} \mathrm{d}y \\ &\geq \frac{C_{1}}{\underline{h}(t)} \int_{\underline{h}(t)}^{\underline{h}(t)} y^{2} - \gamma \ln^{\beta} y \mathrm{d}y \end{split}$$

$$\begin{split} &= \frac{C_1}{\underline{h}(t)} \left[ \ln^{\beta} y \frac{y^{3-\gamma}}{3-\gamma} \Big|_{\frac{h(t)}{2}}^{\frac{h(t)}{2}} - \frac{\beta}{3-\gamma} \int_{\underline{h}(t)}^{\frac{h(t)}{2}} y^{2-\gamma} \ln^{\beta-1} y dy \right] \\ &= \frac{C_1 \underline{h}^{2-\gamma}(t)}{3-\gamma} \left[ \frac{(\ln \underline{h}(t) - \ln 2)^{\beta}}{2^{3-\gamma}} - \frac{(\ln \underline{h}(t) - \ln 4)^{\beta}}{4^{3-\gamma}} \right] - \frac{C_1 \beta}{(3-\gamma)\underline{h}(t)} \int_{\underline{h}(t)}^{\frac{h(t)}{2}} y^{2-\gamma} \ln^{\beta-1} y dy \\ &\geq \frac{C_1 \underline{h}^{2-\gamma}(t) \ln^{\beta} \underline{h}(t)}{2(3-\gamma)} (\frac{1}{2^{3-\gamma}} - \frac{1}{4^{3-\gamma}}) - \frac{C_1 \beta}{(3-\gamma)\underline{h}(t)} \int_{\underline{h}(t)}^{\frac{h(t)}{2}} y^{2-\gamma} \ln^{\beta-1} y dy \\ &\geq \underline{h}^{2-\gamma}(t) \ln^{\beta} \underline{h}(t) \left[ \frac{C_1}{2(3-\gamma)} (\frac{1}{2^{3-\gamma}} - \frac{1}{4^{3-\gamma}}) + o_{\underline{h} \to \infty}(1) \right] \\ &\geq \frac{L^{2-\gamma}(t) \ln^{\beta} \underline{h}(t) \left[ \frac{C_1}{2(3-\gamma)} (\frac{1}{2^{3-\gamma}} - \frac{1}{4^{3-\gamma}}) + o_{\underline{h} \to \infty}(1) \right] \\ &\geq \frac{C_1}{4(3-\gamma)} (\frac{1}{2^{3-\gamma}} - \frac{1}{4^{3-\gamma}}) \underline{h}^{2-\gamma}(t) \ln^{\beta} \underline{h}(t) \\ &= \frac{C_1}{4(3-\gamma)} (\frac{1}{2^{3-\gamma}} - \frac{1}{4^{3-\gamma}}) l_1^{2-\gamma}(t+\theta)^{\frac{2-\gamma}{\gamma-1}} \ln^{\frac{\beta(2-\gamma)}{\gamma-1}}(t+\theta) \left[ \ln l_1 + \frac{1}{\gamma-1} (\ln(t+\theta) + \beta \ln \ln(t+\theta)) \right]^{\beta} \\ &\geq \frac{C_1}{4(3-\gamma)} (\frac{1}{2^{3-\gamma}} - \frac{1}{4^{3-\gamma}}) l_1^{2-\gamma}(t+\theta)^{\frac{2-\gamma}{\gamma-1}} \ln^{\frac{\beta(2-\gamma)}{\gamma-1}}(t+\theta) \min\{\frac{1}{2^{\beta}}, 1\} \frac{1}{(\gamma-1)^{\beta}} \ln^{\beta}(t+\theta) \\ &= \min\{\frac{1}{2^{\beta}}, 1\} \frac{C_1 l_1^{2-\gamma}}{4(\gamma-1)^{\beta}(3-\gamma)} (\frac{1}{2^{3-\gamma}} - \frac{1}{4^{3-\gamma}}) (t+\theta)^{\frac{2-\gamma}{\gamma-1}} \ln^{\frac{\beta}{\gamma-1}}(t+\theta), \end{split}$$

where  $C_1$  depends only on  $a, b, H, G, \mu_i$  and  $J_i$  with i = 1, 2, and  $l_1 \theta^{\frac{1}{\gamma-1}} \ln^{\frac{\beta}{\gamma-1}} \theta$  is sufficiently large such that

$$\left[\frac{(\ln\underline{h}(t) - \ln 2)^{\beta}}{2^{3-\gamma}} - \frac{(\ln\underline{h}(t) - \ln 4)^{\beta}}{4^{3-\gamma}}\right] \ge \frac{\ln^{\beta}\underline{h}(t)}{2}(\frac{1}{2^{3-\gamma}} - \frac{1}{4^{3-\gamma}}).$$

On the other hand, simple computations arrive at

$$\frac{h'(t)}{\gamma - 1} = \frac{l_1}{\gamma - 1} (t + \theta)^{\frac{2 - \gamma}{\gamma - 1}} \ln^{\frac{\beta}{\gamma - 1}} (t + \theta) [1 + \beta \ln^{-1} (t + \theta)] \\
\leq \frac{2l_1}{\gamma - 1} (t + \theta)^{\frac{2 - \gamma}{\gamma - 1}} \ln^{\frac{\beta}{\gamma - 1}} (t + \theta) \\
\leq \min\{\frac{1}{2^{\beta}}, 1\} \frac{C_1 l_1^{2 - \gamma}}{4(\gamma - 1)^{\beta} (3 - \gamma)} (\frac{1}{2^{3 - \gamma}} - \frac{1}{4^{3 - \gamma}}) (t + \theta)^{\frac{2 - \gamma}{\gamma - 1}} \ln^{\frac{\beta}{\gamma - 1}} (t + \theta)$$

provided that  $l_1$  is suitably small such that

$$\frac{2}{\gamma - 1} \le \min\{\frac{1}{2^{\beta}}, 1\} \frac{C_1 l_1^{1 - \gamma}}{4(\gamma - 1)^{\beta}(3 - \gamma)} (\frac{1}{2^{3 - \gamma}} - \frac{1}{4^{3 - \gamma}}).$$

Thus the inequality in the fourth line of (2.5) holds.

Now to prove (2.5), it remains to show the inequalities in the last two lines of (2.5). Since one can do this by following the same lines as in the proof of Lemma 2.2, we omit the details. Hence, (2.5) holds. The proof is finished.

We then consider the case where condition (1.8) holds with  $\beta > -1$ .

**Lemma 2.4.** Let (u, v, h) be the unique solution of (1.1) and the condition (1.8) hold with  $\beta > -1$ . Then the following statements are valid.

(1) There exists a positive constant C such that  $h(t) \ge Ct \ln^{\beta+1} t$  for all large t.

(2) For any  $s(t) = o(t \ln^{\beta+1} t)$ , we have

$$\liminf_{t \to \infty} (u(t, x), v(t, x)) \ge (U(x), V(x)) \quad \text{uniformly in } [0, s(t)].$$

*Proof.* Let  $X_{\varepsilon}$  and  $k_0$  be defined as in the proof of Lemma 2.3 for any small  $\varepsilon > 0$ . Define

$$\underline{h}(t) = l_1(t+\theta) \ln^{\beta+1}(t+\theta), \quad \underline{u}(t,x) = u_{\varepsilon}^* \tilde{\zeta}(t,x), \quad \underline{v}(t,x) = v_{\varepsilon}^* \tilde{\zeta}(t,x),$$

where positive constants  $l_1 \ll 1$  and  $\theta \gg 1$ , and

$$\alpha \in (0,1), \ \theta^{\alpha} > k_0, \ l_1 \theta^{1-\alpha} \ln^{\beta+1} \theta \ge 3\alpha, \ l_1 \theta \ln^{\beta+1} \theta - 3\theta^{\alpha} > 2k_0, (u_{\varepsilon}^*, v_{\varepsilon}^*) = (1 - \sqrt{\varepsilon})(u^*, v^*), \ \tilde{\zeta}(t, x) = \min\left\{1, \frac{\underline{h}(t) - x}{(t+\theta)^{\alpha}}\right\} \ \text{for } t \ge 0, \ x \in [X_{\varepsilon}, \underline{h}(t)].$$

Now we are in the position to show that by choosing suitable  $(l_1, \theta, \varepsilon, T)$ , there holds:

$$\begin{cases} \underline{u}_{t} \leq d_{1} \int_{X_{\varepsilon}}^{\underline{h}(t)} J_{1}(x-y)\underline{u}(t,y)\mathrm{d}y - d_{1}\underline{u} - a\underline{u} + H(\underline{v}), \\ t > 0, \ x \in [k_{0},\underline{h}(t)) \setminus \{\underline{h}(t) - (t+\theta)^{\alpha}\}, \\ \underline{v}_{t} \leq d_{2} \int_{X_{\varepsilon}}^{\underline{h}(t)} J_{2}(x-y)\underline{v}(t,y)\mathrm{d}y - d_{2}\underline{v} - b\underline{v} + G(\underline{u}), \\ t > 0, \ x \in [k_{0},\underline{h}(t)) \setminus \{\underline{h}(t) - (t+\theta)^{\alpha}\}, \\ \underline{u}(t,\underline{h}(t)) = \underline{v}(t,\underline{h}(t)) = 0, \qquad t > 0, \\ \underline{h}'(t) < \int_{X_{\varepsilon}}^{\underline{h}(t)} \int_{\underline{h}(t)}^{\infty} \left(\mu_{1}J_{1}(x-y)\underline{u}(t,x) + \mu_{2}J_{2}(x-y)\underline{v}(t,x)\right)\mathrm{d}y\mathrm{d}x, \qquad t > 0, \\ \underline{u}(t,x) \leq u(t+T,x), \ \underline{v}(t,x) \leq v(t+T,x), \qquad t > 0, \ x \in [X_{\varepsilon},k_{0}], \\ \underline{h}(0) < h(T), \ \underline{u}(0,x) \leq u(T,x), \ \underline{v}(0,x) \leq v(T,x), \qquad x \in [X_{\varepsilon},\underline{h}(0)]. \end{cases}$$

Once it is done, by the definition of  $(\underline{u}, \underline{v}, \underline{h})$  and the similar arguments as in the proof of Lemma 2.2, one readily derives the results as wanted.

Now let us prove (2.11). The identities in the fifth line of (2.11) is obvious. We next deal with the inequality in the first line of (2.11). Clearly,

$$\underline{u}(t,x) \ge u_{\varepsilon}^* \frac{\underline{h} - x}{2(t+\theta)^{\alpha}} \quad \text{for } x \in [\underline{h}(t) - 2(t+\theta)^{\alpha}, \underline{h}(t)]$$

Thus, for  $x \in [\underline{h}(t) - (t + \theta)^{\alpha}, \underline{h}(t)]$ , letting  $\theta$  be sufficiently large, we have

$$\int_{X_{\varepsilon}}^{\underline{h}(t)} J_{1}(x-y)\underline{u}(t,y) dy = \int_{X_{\varepsilon}-x}^{\underline{h}(t)-x} J_{1}(y)\underline{u}(t,x+y) dy$$

$$\geq \frac{u_{\varepsilon}^{*}}{2} \int_{-(t+\theta)^{\alpha}}^{-(t+\theta)^{\alpha/2}} J_{1}(y) \frac{\underline{h}(t)-x-y}{(t+\theta)^{\alpha}} dy$$

$$\geq \frac{u_{\varepsilon}^{*}}{2} \int_{-(t+\theta)^{\alpha}}^{-(t+\theta)^{\alpha/2}} J_{1}(y) \frac{-y}{(t+\theta)^{\alpha}} dy$$

$$\geq \frac{u_{\varepsilon}^{*}\varsigma_{1}}{2} \int_{-(t+\theta)^{\alpha}}^{-(t+\theta)^{\alpha/2}} \frac{\ln^{\beta}|y|}{y^{2}} \frac{-y}{(t+\theta)^{\alpha}} dy$$

$$= \frac{u_{\varepsilon}^* \varsigma_1}{2(t+\theta)^{\alpha}} \int_{(t+\theta)^{\alpha/2}}^{(t+\theta)^{\alpha}} \frac{\ln^{\beta} y}{y} dy$$
$$= \frac{u_{\varepsilon}^* \varsigma_1 \ln^{\beta+1}(t+\theta)}{2(t+\theta)^{\alpha}(\beta+1)} [\alpha^{\beta+1} - (\frac{\alpha}{2})^{\beta+1}].$$
(2.12)

Besides, by Proposition 2.1 and our choices of  $l_1$  and  $\theta$ , we have

$$\int_{X_{\varepsilon}}^{\underline{h}(t)} J_i(x-y)\tilde{\zeta}(t,y) \mathrm{d}y \ge (1-\varepsilon^2)\tilde{\zeta}(t,x) \quad \text{for } t \ge 0, \ x \in [k_0,\underline{h}(t)], \ i=1,2.$$
(2.13)

Certainly, using (2.13), if  $\varepsilon$  is small enough, (2.8) is valid here. On the other hand, we have  $\underline{u}_t = 0$  for t > 0 and  $x \in [k_0, \underline{h}(t) - (t+\theta)^{\alpha})$ . Thus the first inequality of (2.11) holds for  $x \in [k_0, \underline{h}(t) - (t+\theta)^{\alpha})$ . Using (2.12) yields

$$\begin{split} \underline{u}_t &= u_{\varepsilon}^* \frac{\underline{h}'(t)(t+\theta)^{\alpha} - (\underline{h}(t) - x)\alpha(t+\theta)^{\alpha-1}}{(t+\theta)^{2\alpha}} \\ &\leq u_{\varepsilon}^* \left[ \frac{\underline{h}'(t)}{(t+\theta)^{\alpha}} + \frac{\alpha \underline{h}(t)}{(t+\theta)^{\alpha+1}} \right] \\ &= \frac{l_1 u_{\varepsilon}^* \ln^{\beta+1}(t+\theta)}{(t+\theta)^{\alpha}} \left[ 1 + \alpha + (\beta+1) \ln^{-1}(t+\theta) \right] \\ &\leq \frac{l_1 u_{\varepsilon}^* \ln^{\beta+1}(t+\theta)}{(t+\theta)^{\alpha}} (2 + \alpha + \beta) \\ &\leq \frac{u_{\varepsilon}^* \varsigma_1 \ln^{\beta+1}(t+\theta)}{2(t+\theta)^{\alpha}(\beta+1)} [\alpha^{\beta+1} - (\frac{\alpha}{2})^{\beta+1}] \end{split}$$

for t > 0 and  $x \in (\underline{h}(t) - (t + \theta)^{\alpha}, \underline{h}(t)]$  provided that  $l_1$  is small enough such that

$$l_1 u_{\varepsilon}^* (2 + \alpha + \beta) \le \frac{u_{\varepsilon}^* \varsigma_1}{2(\beta + 1)} [\alpha^{\beta + 1} - (\frac{\alpha}{2})^{\beta + 1}].$$

So the first inequality holds. Similarly, we can show the inequality for  $\underline{v}$ , i.e., the inequality in the third line of (2.11) holds if  $l_1$ ,  $\varepsilon$  and  $\theta$  are chosen as above.

We now turn to the inequality of  $\underline{h}'$ , i.e., the one in the sixth line of (2.11). Direct calculations show that if  $\theta$  is large enough, then

$$\begin{split} &\int_{X_{\varepsilon}}^{\underline{h}(t)} \int_{\underline{h}(t)}^{\infty} \left( \mu_{1}J_{1}(x-y)\underline{u}(t,x) + \mu_{2}J_{2}(x-y)\underline{v}(t,x) \right) \mathrm{d}y \mathrm{d}x \\ \geq &\int_{\underline{h}(t)}^{\underline{h}(t)-(t+\theta)^{\alpha}} \int_{\underline{h}(t)}^{\infty} \left( \mu_{1}u_{\varepsilon}^{*}J_{1}(x-y) + \mu_{2}v_{\varepsilon}^{*}J_{2}(x-y) \right) \mathrm{d}y \mathrm{d}x \\ = &\left\{ \int_{(t+\theta)^{\alpha}}^{\underline{h}(t)} \int_{(t+\theta)^{\alpha}}^{y} + \int_{\underline{h}(t)}^{\infty} \int_{(t+\theta)^{\alpha}}^{\underline{h}(t)} \right\} \left( \mu_{1}u_{\varepsilon}^{*}J_{1}(y) + \mu_{2}v_{\varepsilon}^{*}J_{2}(y) \right) \mathrm{d}x \mathrm{d}y \\ \geq &\int_{(t+\theta)^{\alpha}}^{\underline{h}(t)} \int_{(t+\theta)^{\alpha}}^{y} \left( \mu_{1}u_{\varepsilon}^{*}J_{1}(y) + \mu_{2}v_{\varepsilon}^{*}J_{2}(y) \right) \mathrm{d}x \mathrm{d}y \\ \geq &\int_{2(t+\theta)^{\alpha}}^{\underline{h}(t)} \left( \mu_{1}u_{\varepsilon}^{*}J_{1}(y) + \mu_{2}v_{\varepsilon}^{*}J_{2}(y) \right) [y - (t+\theta)^{\alpha}] \mathrm{d}y \\ \geq &\int_{2(t+\theta)^{\alpha}}^{\underline{h}(t)} \left( \mu_{1}u_{\varepsilon}^{*}J_{1}(y) + \mu_{2}v_{\varepsilon}^{*}J_{2}(y) \right) \frac{y}{2} \mathrm{d}y \end{split}$$

$$\geq C_2 \int_{2(t+\theta)^{\alpha}}^{\frac{h(t)}{2}} \frac{\ln^{\beta} y}{y} dy = \frac{C_2}{\beta+1} \left[ \ln^{\beta+1} \frac{h(t)}{2} - \ln^{\beta+1} [2(t+\theta)^{\alpha}] \right] = \frac{C_2}{\beta+1} \left\{ \left[ \ln l_1 + \ln(t+\theta) + (\beta+1) \ln \ln(t+\theta) - \ln 2 \right]^{\beta+1} - \left[ \ln 2 + \alpha \ln(t+\theta) \right]^{\beta+1} \right\} \geq \frac{C_2(1-\alpha^{\beta+1})}{\beta+1} \ln^{\beta+1}(t+\theta),$$

where  $C_2$  depends only on  $(a, b, H, G, J_1, J_2, \mu_1, \mu_2)$ . Moreover, it is easy to see that

$$\underline{h}'(t) = l_1 \ln^{\beta+1}(t+\theta) + l_1(\beta+1) \ln^{\beta}(t+\theta) = l_1 \ln^{\beta+1}(t+\theta) \left[1 + (\beta+1) \ln^{-1}(t+\theta)\right] \leq l_1(2+\beta) \ln^{\beta+1}(t+\theta) \leq \frac{C_2(1-\alpha^{\beta+1})}{\beta+1} \ln^{\beta+1}(t+\theta)$$

provided that  $l_1$  is suitably small such that

$$l_1(2+\beta) \le \frac{C_2(1-\alpha^{\beta+1})}{\beta+1}$$

Now to prove (2.11), it remains to verify the inequalities in the last two lines of (2.11). Since one can do this by arguing as in the proof of Lemma 2.2, we omit the details here. Hence (2.11) holds. The proof is ended.  $\Box$ 

Then we turn to the case where the assumption (1.8) hold with  $\beta = -1$ .

**Lemma 2.5.** Let (u, v, h) be the unique solution of (1.1) and the assumption (1.8) hold with  $\beta = -1$ . Then the following statements are valid.

- (1) There exists a positive constant C such that  $h(t) \ge Ct \ln \ln t$  for all large t.
- (2) For any  $s(t) = o(t \ln \ln t)$ , we have

$$\liminf_{t\to\infty} (u(t,x),v(t,x)) \ge (U(x),V(x)) \quad \text{uniformly in } [0,s(t)]$$

*Proof.* Let  $X_{\varepsilon}$  and  $k_0$  be defined as in the proof of Lemma 2.3 for any small  $\varepsilon > 0$ . Define

$$\underline{h}(t) = l_1(t+\theta) \ln \ln(t+\theta), \quad \underline{u}(t,x) = u_{\varepsilon}^* \overline{\zeta}(t,x), \quad \underline{v}(t,x) = v_{\varepsilon}^* \overline{\zeta}(t,x),$$

where  $l_1 \ll 1$  and  $\theta \gg 1$ ,  $(u_{\varepsilon}^*, v_{\varepsilon}^*)$  is the same with that of Lemma 2.2, and

$$\alpha \in (0, \frac{1}{2}), \ e^{\ln^{\alpha} \theta} > k_0, \ l_1 e^{\ln^{1-\alpha} \theta - 1} \ge 3\alpha, \ l_1 \theta \ln \ln \theta - 3 e^{\ln^{\alpha} \theta} > 2k_0,$$
$$\hat{\zeta}(t, x) = \min\left\{1, \frac{\underline{h}(t) - x}{e^{\ln^{\alpha}(t+\theta)}}\right\} \quad \text{for } t \ge 0, \ x \in [X_{\varepsilon}, \underline{h}(t)].$$

Now we are going to show that by choosing suitable  $(l_1, \theta, T)$ , (2.11) holds but with

$$x \in [k_0, \underline{h}(t)) \setminus \{\underline{h}(t) - (t+\theta)^{\alpha}\}$$
 replaced by  $x \in [k_0, \underline{h}(t)) \setminus \{\underline{h}(t) - e^{\ln^{\alpha}(t+\theta)}\}$ 

in the first two inequalities of (2.11). Once we have done that, as in the proof of Lemma 2.2, the desired results follow.

Now let us verify (2.11). The identities in the fifth line of (2.11) is obvious. Due to the above choices of  $(l_1, \theta)$  and Proposition 2.1, we have

$$\int_{X_{\varepsilon}}^{\underline{h}(t)} J_i(x-y)\bar{\zeta}(t,y)\mathrm{d}y \ge (1-\varepsilon^2)\bar{\zeta}(t,x) \quad \text{for } t \ge 0, \ x \in [k_0,\underline{h}(t)], \ i=1,2.$$
(2.14)

Clearly,

$$\underline{u}(t,x) \ge u_{\varepsilon}^* \frac{\underline{h} - x}{2e^{\ln^{\alpha}(t+\theta)}} \quad \text{for } x \in [\underline{h}(t) - 2e^{\ln^{\alpha}(t+\theta)}, \underline{h}(t)].$$

Thus, for  $x \in [\underline{h}(t) - e^{\ln^{\alpha}(t+\theta)}, \underline{h}(t)]$ , with  $\theta$  large enough, we have

$$\int_{X_{\varepsilon}}^{\underline{h}(t)} J_{1}(x-y)\underline{u}(t,y)dy = \int_{X_{\varepsilon}-x}^{\underline{h}(t)-x} J_{1}(y)\underline{u}(t,x+y)dy$$

$$\geq \frac{u_{\varepsilon}^{*}}{2} \int_{-e^{\ln^{\alpha}(t+\theta)}}^{-e^{\ln^{\frac{\alpha}{2}}(t+\theta)}} J_{1}(y)\frac{\underline{h}(t)-x-y}{e^{\ln^{\alpha}(t+\theta)}}dy$$

$$\geq \frac{u_{\varepsilon}^{*}}{2} \int_{-e^{\ln^{\alpha}(t+\theta)}}^{-e^{\ln^{\frac{\alpha}{2}}(t+\theta)}} J_{1}(y)\frac{-y}{e^{\ln^{\alpha}(t+\theta)}}dy$$

$$\geq \frac{u_{\varepsilon}^{*}\varsigma_{1}}{2e^{\ln^{\alpha}(t+\theta)}} \int_{-e^{\ln^{\alpha}(t+\theta)}}^{-e^{\ln^{\alpha}(t+\theta)}} \frac{1}{|y|\ln|y|}dy$$

$$= \frac{u_{\varepsilon}^{*}\varsigma_{1}}{2e^{\ln^{\alpha}(t+\theta)}} \int_{e^{\ln^{\frac{\alpha}{2}}(t+\theta)}}^{e^{\ln^{\alpha}(t+\theta)}} \frac{1}{y\ln y}dy$$

$$= \frac{u_{\varepsilon}^{*}\varsigma_{1}\alpha\ln\ln(t+\theta)}{4e^{\ln^{\alpha}(t+\theta)}}.$$
(2.15)

By virtue of (2.14), if  $\varepsilon$  is small enough, (2.8) also holds. On the other hand, we have  $\underline{u}_t = 0$  for t > 0 and  $x \in [k_0, \underline{h}(t) - e^{\ln^{\alpha}(t+\theta)})$ . Thanks to (2.8), the first inequality of (2.11) holds for  $x \in [k_0, \underline{h}(t) - e^{\ln^{\alpha}(t+\theta)})$ . For  $x \in (\underline{h}(t) - e^{\ln^{\alpha}(t+\theta)}, \underline{h}(t))$ , using (2.8) and (2.15), we have

$$\underline{u}_{t} \leq \frac{\underline{h}'(t)}{e^{\ln^{\alpha}(t+\theta)}}$$

$$= \frac{l_{1}\ln\ln(t+\theta) + l_{1}\ln^{-1}(t+\theta)}{e^{\ln^{\alpha}(t+\theta)}}$$

$$\leq \frac{2l_{1}\ln\ln(t+\theta)}{e^{\ln^{\alpha}(t+\theta)}}$$

$$\leq \frac{\tilde{r}\varepsilon u_{\varepsilon}^{*}\varsigma_{1}\alpha\ln\ln(t+\theta)}{8e^{\ln^{\alpha}(t+\theta)}}$$

for t > 0 and  $x \in (\underline{h}(t) - e^{\ln^{\alpha}(t+\theta)}, \underline{h}(t)]$  provided that  $16l_1 \leq \tilde{r} \varepsilon u_{\varepsilon}^* \varsigma_1 \alpha$ . So the first inequality holds. Similarly, we can show the inequality for  $\underline{v}$  is true if  $l_1, \theta$  and  $\varepsilon$  are chosen as above.

We next show the inequality of  $\underline{h}'(t)$ . Direct calculations show that if  $\theta$  is large enough, then

$$\int_{X_{\varepsilon}}^{\underline{h}(t)} \int_{\underline{h}(t)}^{\infty} \left( \mu_1 J_1(x-y) \underline{u}(t,x) + \mu_2 J_2(x-y) \underline{v}(t,x) \right) \mathrm{d}y \mathrm{d}x$$
  
$$\geq \int_{\underline{h}(t)}^{\underline{h}(t)-e^{\ln^{\alpha}(t+\theta)}} \int_{\underline{h}(t)}^{\infty} \left( \mu_1 u_{\varepsilon}^* J_1(x-y) + \mu_2 v_{\varepsilon}^* J_2(x-y) \right) \mathrm{d}y \mathrm{d}x$$

$$\begin{split} &= \left\{ \int_{e^{\ln^{\alpha}(t+\theta)}}^{\frac{h(t)}{2}} \int_{e^{\ln^{\alpha}(t+\theta)}}^{y} + \int_{\frac{h(t)}{2}}^{\infty} \int_{e^{\ln^{\alpha}(t+\theta)}}^{\frac{h(t)}{2}} \right\} \left( \mu_{1} u_{\varepsilon}^{*} J_{1}(y) + \mu_{2} v_{\varepsilon}^{*} J_{2}(y) \right) \mathrm{d}x \mathrm{d}y \\ &\geq \int_{e^{\ln^{\alpha}(t+\theta)}}^{\frac{h(t)}{2}} \int_{(t+\theta)^{\alpha}}^{y} \left( \mu_{1} u_{\varepsilon}^{*} J_{1}(y) + \mu_{2} v_{\varepsilon}^{*} J_{2}(y) \right) \mathrm{d}x \mathrm{d}y \\ &\geq \int_{2e^{\ln^{\alpha}(t+\theta)}}^{\frac{h(t)}{2}} \left( \mu_{1} u_{\varepsilon}^{*} J_{1}(y) + \mu_{2} v_{\varepsilon}^{*} J_{2}(y) \right) [y - e^{\ln^{\alpha}(t+\theta)}] \mathrm{d}y \\ &\geq C_{1} \int_{2e^{\ln^{\alpha}(t+\theta)}}^{\frac{h(t)}{2}} \frac{1}{y \ln y} \mathrm{d}y \\ &= C_{1} \left\{ \ln \left[ \ln l_{1} + \ln(t+\theta) + \ln \ln \ln(t+\theta) - \ln 2 \right] - \ln \left[ \ln 2 + \ln^{\alpha}(t+\theta) \right] \right\} \\ &\geq C_{1} (1 - 2\alpha) \ln \ln(t+\theta), \end{split}$$

where  $C_1$  depends only on  $(a, b, H, G, J_1, J_2, \mu_1, \mu_2)$ . Moreover, it is easy to see that

$$\underline{h}'(t) = l_1 \ln \ln(t+\theta) + \frac{l_1}{\ln(t+\theta)} \le 2l_1 \ln \ln(t+\theta) < C_1(1-2\alpha) \ln \ln(t+\theta)$$

provided that  $2l_1 < C_1(1-2\alpha)$ . Hence the inequality of  $\underline{h}'(t)$ , i.e., the one in the six line of (2.11) holds.

Hence, to prove (2.11), it remains to show the inequalities in the last two lines of (2.11), which can be done by using the analogous arguments as in the proof of Lemma 2.2. The details are omitted here. Therefore, (2.11) holds. The proof is ended.

Clearly, Theorem 1.1 follows from Lemmas 2.2-2.5 and the fact that

$$\limsup_{t \to \infty} (u(t,x), v(t,x)) \le (U(x), V(x)) \quad \text{uniformly in } [0,\infty)$$

which has been proved in [6, Lemma 3.4].

## References

- C.-H. Hsu and T.-S. Yang, Existence, uniqueness, monotonicity and asymptotic behaviour of travelling waves for epidemic models, Nonlinearity, 26 (2013), 121-139.
- [2] F. Andreu, J.M. Mazón, J.D. Rossi and J. Toledo, Nonlocal Diffusion Problems, Math. Surveys Monogr. 165, AMS, Providence, RI 2010.
- [3] J.-F. Cao, Y.H. Du, F. Li and W.-T. Li, The dynamics of a Fisher-KPP nonlocal diffusion model with free boundaries, J. Funct. Anal., 277 (2019), 2772-2814.
- [4] L. Li, W.-T. Li and M.X. Wang, Dynamics for nonlocal diffusion problems with a free boundary, J. Differential Equations, 330 (2022), 110-149.
- [5] X.P. Li and L. Li, Longtime behaviors of an epidemic model with nonlocal diffusions and a free boundary: spreading-vanishing dichotomy, submitted, (2024),
- [6] X.P. Li and L. Li, Longtime behaviors of an epidemic model with nonlocal diffusions and a free boundary: spreading speed, submitted, (2024),
- [7] Y.H. Du and W.J. Ni, Spreading speed for some cooperative systems with nonlocal diffusion and free boundaries, part 1: Semi-wave and a threshold condition, J. Differential Equations, 308 (2022), 369-420.

- [8] Y.H. Du, F. Li and M.L. Zhou, Semi-wave and spreading speed of the nonlocal Fisher-KPP equation with free boundaries, J. Math. Pures Appl., 154 (2021), 30-66.
- [9] T.-H. Nguyen and H.-H. Vo, Dynamics for a two-phase free boundaries system in an epidemiological model with couple nonlocal dispersals, J. Differential Equations, 335 (2022), 398-463.
- [10] Y.H. Du and W.J. Ni, Rate of propagation for the Fisher-KPP equation with nonlocal diffusion and free boundaries, J. Eur. Math. Soc., (2023), Doi:10.4171/JEMS/1392.
- [11] Y.H. Du and W.J. Ni, The high dimensional Fisher-KPP nonlocal diffusion equation with free boundary and radial symmetry, Part 2, J. Funct. Anal., 287 (2024), Article No. 110649.
- [12] Y.H. Du and W.J. Ni, Exact rate of accelerated propagation in the Fisher-KPP equation with nonlocal diffusion and free boundaries, Math. Ann., 389 (2024), 2931-2958.
- [13] Y.H. Du, W.J. Ni and R. Wang, Rate of accelerated expansion of the epidemic region in a nonlocal epidemic model with free boundaries, Nonlinearity, 36 (2023), 5621-5660.
- [14] J. Garnier, Accelerating solutions in integro-differential equations, SIAM J. Math. Anal., 43 (2011), 1955-1974.
- [15] M. Alfaro and J. Coville, Propagation phenomena in monostable integro-differential equations: Acceleration or not?, J. Differential Equations, 263 (2017), 5727-5758.
- [16] E. Bouin, J. Garnier, C. Henderson and F. Patout, Thin front limit of an integro-differential Fisher-KPP equation with fat-tailed kernels, SIAM J. Math. Anal., 50 (2018), 3365-3394.
- [17] D. Finkelshtein, Y. Kondratiev and P. Tkachov, Accelerated front propagation for monostable equations with nonlocal diffusion: multidimensional case, J. Elliptic and Parabolic Equations, 5 (2019), 423-471.
- [18] W.-B. Xu, W.-T. Li and G. Lin, Nonlocal dispersal cooperative systems: Acceleration propagation among species, J. Differential Equations, 268 (2020), 1081-1105.
- [19] F. Hamel and L. Roques, Fast propagation for KPP equations with slowly decaying initial conditions, J. Differential Equations, 249 (2010), 1726-1745.
- [20] C. Henderson, Propagation of solutions to the Fisher-KPP equation with slowly decaying initial data, Nonlinearity, 29 (2016), 3215-3240.
- [21] P. Felmer and M. Yangari, Fast propagation for fractional KPP equations with slowly decaying initial conditions, SIAM J. Math. Anal., 45 (2013), 662-678.
- [22] D. Stan and J.L. Vázquez, The Fisher-KPP equation with nonlinear fractional diffusion, SIAM J. Math. Anal., 46 (2014), 3241-3276.
- [23] Z.A. Wang and W.-B. Xu, Acceleration of propagation in a chemotaxis-growth system with slowly decaying initial data, Bull. London Math. Soc., 55 (2023), 447-469.
- [24] Y.H. Du and W.J. Ni, Approximation of random diffusion equation by nonlocal diffusion equation in free boundary problems of one space dimension, Comm. Contemp. Math., 25 (2023), 2250004.
- [25] Y.H. Du and Z.G. Lin, Spreading-vanishing dichotomy in the diffusive logistic model with a free boundary, SIAM J. Math. Anal., 42 (2010), 377-405.
- [26] Y.H. Du and B.D. Lou, Spreading and vanishing in nonlinear diffusion problems with free boundaries, J. Eur. Math. Soc., 17 (2015), 2673-2724.

- [27] Y. Kaneko, H. Matsuzawa and Y. Yamada, Asymptotic profiles of solutions and propagating terrace for a free boundary problem of nonlinear diffusion equation with positive bistable nonlinearity, SIAM J. Math. Anal., 52 (2020), 65-103.
- [28] Y.H. Du, J. Fang and N.K. Sun, A delay induced nonlocal free boundary problem, Math. Ann., 386 (2023), 2061-2106.
- [29] Q.L. Chen, S.Y. Tang, Z.D. Teng and F. Wang, Long-time dynamics and semi-wave of a delayed nonlocal epidemic model with free boundaries, Proc. Royal Soc. Edinburgh A, (2023), DOI: https://doi.org/10.1017/prm.2023.100.
- [30] M. Zhao, Y. Zhang, W-.T. Li and Y.H. Du, The dynamics of a degenerate epidemic model with nonlocal diffusion and free boundaries, J. Differential Equations 269 (2020), 3347-3386.
- [31] Y.H. Du, W.-T. Li, W.J. Ni and M. Zhao, Finite or infinite spreading speed of an epidemic model with free boundary and double nonlocal effects, J. Dyn. Diff. Equat., 36 (2024), 1015–1063.
- [32] Z.G. Wang, H. Nie and Y.H. Du, Sharp asymptotic profile of the solution to a West Nile virus model with free boundary, European J. Appl. Math., 35 (2024), 462-482.
- [33] Y.H. Du, M.X. Wang and M. Zhao, Two species nonlocal diffusion systems with free boundaries, Discrete Contin. Dyn. Syst. 42(3) (2022), 1127-1162.
- [34] Y.H. Du and C.-H. Wu, Classification of the spreading behaviors of a two-species diffusioncompetition system with free boundaries, Cal. Var. PDE., 61 (2022), Article No. 54.
- [35] Y.H. Du, W.J. Ni and L.F. Shi, Long-time dynamics of a competition model with nonlocal diffusion and free boundaries: Vanishing and spreading of the invader, SIAM J. Math. Anal., to appear, arXiv: 2403.19131.
- [36] L.Q. Pu, Z.G. Lin and Y. Lou, A West Nile virus nonlocal model with free boundaries and seasonal succession, J. Math. Biol. 86 (2023), Article No. 25.
- [37] Q.Y. Zhang and M.X. Wang, A nonlocal diffusion competition model with seasonal succession and free boundaries, Commun. Nonlinear Sci. Numer. Simul., 122 (2023), Article No. 107263.