

# TAYLOR SPECTRUM OF A BANACH MODULE OVER THE QUANTUM PLANE

ANAR DOSI

**ABSTRACT.** In the paper we investigate the joint spectra of Banach space representations of the quantum  $q$ -plane called Banach  $q$ -modules. Based on the transversality relation from the topological homology of the trivial modules versus given a left Banach  $q$ -module, we introduce the joint (essential) spectra of a Banach  $q$ -module. In particular, we have the well defined Taylor joint spectrum of a Banach  $q$ -module. The noncommutative projection  $q$ -property is proved for the Taylor spectrum, which stands out the conventional projection property in the commutative case. It is provided the key examples of the Banach  $q$ -modules, which do not possesses nether forward nor backward projection properties.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

The present paper is devoted to the noncommutative spectral theory of Banach space representations of Yu. I. Manin's quantum plane [10]. Noncommutative complex analytic geometry of a contractive quantum plane was investigated in [8]. The quantum plane (or just  $q$ -plane) is the free associative algebra

$$\mathfrak{A}_q = \mathbb{C} \langle x, y \rangle / (xy - q^{-1}yx)$$

generated by  $x$  and  $y$  modulo the relation  $xy = q^{-1}yx$ , where  $q \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0, 1\}$ , whose Arens-Michael envelope is denoted by  $\mathcal{O}_q(\mathbb{C}_{xy})$ . The Fréchet  $\widehat{\otimes}$ -algebra  $\mathcal{O}_q(\mathbb{C}_{xy})$  is treated as the algebra of all noncommutative entire functions in the generators  $x$  and  $y$ . In the case of  $|q| \neq 1$ , the algebra  $\mathcal{O}_q(\mathbb{C}_{xy})$  can be extended up to a noncommutative Fréchet  $\widehat{\otimes}$ -algebra presheaf  $\mathcal{O}_q$  on the space  $\mathbb{C}_{xy} = \text{Spec}(\mathcal{O}_q(\mathbb{C}_{xy}))$  of all continuous characters of  $\mathcal{O}_q(\mathbb{C}_{xy})$ . The obtained ringed (noncommutative) space  $(\mathbb{C}_{xy}, \mathcal{O}_q)$  represents the geometry of  $\mathfrak{A}_q$  as a union of two irreducible components being copies of the complex plane equipped with the  $q$ -topology and the disk topology, respectively. Namely,  $\mathbb{C}_{xy} = \mathbb{C}_x \cup \mathbb{C}_y$  is the union of the complex planes  $\mathbb{C}_x = \mathbb{C} \times \{0\} \subseteq \mathbb{C}^2$  and  $\mathbb{C}_y = \{0\} \times \mathbb{C} \subseteq \mathbb{C}^2$ . The Fréchet  $\widehat{\otimes}$ -algebra  $\mathcal{O}_q(\mathbb{C}_{xy})$  itself admits the following topological direct sum decomposition

$$\mathcal{O}_q(\mathbb{C}_{xy}) = \mathcal{O}(\mathbb{C}_x) \oplus \text{Rad } \mathcal{O}_q(\mathbb{C}_{xy}) \oplus \mathcal{I}_y,$$

where  $\mathcal{O}(\mathbb{C}_x)$  is the commutative algebra of all entire function in one variable  $x$  (which is the closed unital subalgebra generated by  $x$ ),  $\text{Rad } \mathcal{O}_q(\mathbb{C}^2)$  is the Jacobson radical, and  $\mathcal{I}_y$  is the closed non-unital subalgebra generated by  $y$ . That decomposition of  $\mathcal{O}_q(\mathbb{C}_{xy})$  is extended up to the sheaf decomposition  $\mathcal{O}_q = \mathcal{O} \oplus \text{Rad } \mathcal{O}_q \oplus \mathcal{I}_y$  (see [8]), that describes the structure (pre)sheaf  $\mathcal{O}_q$ .

Our main task is to analyze the Taylor type spectrum of a Banach space representation of  $\mathfrak{A}_q$  that comes from the topological homology sight of  $\mathcal{O}_q(\mathbb{C}_{xy})$  obtained by A. Yu. Prikovskii in [14] (see also [15]). One of the central results of [14] asserts that the canonical embedding  $\mathfrak{A}_q \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_q(\mathbb{C}_{xy})$  is a localization in the sense of Taylor [20]. It turns out that every left Banach

*Date:* August 21, 2024.

*2000 Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 47A60; Secondary 47A13,.46L10.

*Key words and phrases.* Banach module over the quantum plane, noncommutative Fréchet algebra presheaf, Slodkowski spectra, Taylor spectrum, transversality, topological homology.

$\mathfrak{A}_q$ -module possesses the Taylor spectrum as in the commutative case, which carries a deep information on its homological properties and the related noncommutative holomorphic functional calculus. In the commutative case that approach is due to M. Putinar [16], that is the case of  $q = 1$ .

A left Banach  $\mathfrak{A}_q$ -module  $X$  is given by a complex Banach space  $X$  and an ordered pair  $(T, S)$  of bounded linear operators from  $\mathcal{B}(X)$  such that  $TS = q^{-1}ST$ . The pair  $(T, S)$  automatically defines a unique continuous algebra homomorphism  $\mathcal{O}_q(\mathbb{C}_{xy}) \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(X)$  that turns  $X$  into a left Banach  $\mathcal{O}_q(\mathbb{C}_{xy})$ -module. The resolvent set  $\text{res}(T, S)$  of these operators (or the module  $X$ ) is defined as a set of those  $\gamma \in \mathbb{C}_{xy}$  such that the transversality relation  $\mathbb{C}(\gamma) \perp_{\mathcal{O}_q(\mathbb{C}_{xy})} X$  holds, where  $\mathbb{C}(\gamma)$  is the trivial  $\mathcal{O}_q(\mathbb{C}_{xy})$ -module given by the continuous character  $\gamma$ . Recall that  $\mathbb{C}(\gamma) \perp_{\mathcal{O}_q(\mathbb{C}_{xy})} X$  means vanishing of all homology groups  $\text{Tor}_k^{\mathcal{O}_q(\mathbb{C}_{xy})}(\mathbb{C}(\gamma), X) = \{0\}$ ,  $k \geq 0$ . The joint (Taylor) spectrum of the pair  $(T, S)$  or the left Banach  $\mathcal{O}_q(\mathbb{C}_{xy})$ -module  $X$  is defined as the complement  $\sigma(T, S) = \mathbb{C}_{xy} \setminus \text{res}(T, S)$  to the resolvent set. It turns out that  $\gamma \in \sigma(T, S)$  if and only if the following Banach space complex  $\mathcal{K}((T, S), \gamma)$ :

$$0 \rightarrow X \begin{bmatrix} \gamma(y) - qS \\ T - q\gamma(x) \end{bmatrix} \rightarrow X \oplus X \begin{bmatrix} T - \gamma(x) & S - \gamma(y) \end{bmatrix} \rightarrow X \rightarrow 0$$

fails to be exact, that is,

$$\sigma(T, S) = \{\gamma \in \mathbb{C}_{xy} : \mathcal{K}((T, S), \gamma) \text{ is not exact}\}.$$

Since  $\mathcal{K}((T, S), \gamma)$ ,  $\gamma \in \mathbb{C}_{xy}$  is a parametrized Banach space complex, all properties of the Slodkowski spectra (see [7]) and the essential spectrum [9], [3] of parametrized Banach space complexes are applicable. We prove that if  $T$  and  $S$  are invertible operators, then  $\sigma(T, S) = \emptyset$  and  $|q| = 1$ . If  $|q| \neq 1$  then  $\sigma(T, S)$  is a nonempty compact subset in  $\mathbb{C}^2$  (in the standard topology). As the main result we prove the following  $q$ -projection property

$$\sigma(T, S) \subseteq ((\sigma(T) \cup \sigma(q^{-1}T)) \times \{0\}) \cup (\{0\} \times (\sigma(S) \cup \sigma(qS))).$$

Moreover, we show that the standard forward  $\sigma(T, S)|_{\mathbb{C}_x} \subseteq \sigma(T) \times \{0\}$  and the backward  $\{0\} \times \sigma(S) \subseteq \sigma(T, S)|_{\mathbb{C}_y}$  projection properties do not hold in the general case, that is surprising result compared to the noncommutative Harte spectrum [8] with its noncommutative polynomial spectral mapping property.

As a key example we consider a pair of the unilateral shift operator  $T$  and the diagonal  $q$ -operator  $S$  on the Banach spaces  $\ell_p$ ,  $1 \leq p < \infty$ , and provide the full description of their spectrum  $\sigma(T, S)$  and the essential spectrum  $\sigma_e(T, S)$ . In this case,  $\sigma(T) = \mathbb{D}_1$  is the closed unit disk centered at the origin and  $\sigma(S) = \{q^n : n \geq 0\}^-$ . It turns out that

$$\left(\mathbb{D}_{|q|^{-1}} \setminus \mathbb{D}_1^\circ\right) \times \{0\} \subseteq \sigma(T, S)|_{\mathbb{C}_x} \subseteq \mathbb{D}_{|q|^{-1}} \times \{0\}, \quad \sigma(T, S)|_{\mathbb{C}_y} = \{(0, 1)\},$$

where  $\mathbb{D}_r$  is the closed unit disk of radius  $r$  centered at the origin with its interior  $\mathbb{D}_r^\circ$  and the topological boundary  $\partial\mathbb{D}_r$ . Thus  $\sigma(T, S)|_{\mathbb{C}_x} \not\subseteq \sigma(T) \times \{0\}$  and  $\{0\} \times \sigma(S) \not\subseteq \sigma(T, S)|_{\mathbb{C}_y}$ .

Finally, for the joint essential spectrum we obtain the following formula

$$\sigma_e(T, S) = \left(\partial\mathbb{D}_1 \cup \partial\mathbb{D}_{|q|^{-1}}\right) \times \{0\}$$

though  $\sigma_e(T) = \partial\mathbb{D}_1$ , which shows again the failure of the conventional projection property for the joint essential spectrum.

## 2. PRELIMINARIES

All considered vector spaces are assumed to be complex. The spectrum of an element  $a$  in an associative algebra  $A$  is denoted by  $\sigma(a)$ , whereas  $\text{Rad } A$  denotes the Jacobson radical of  $A$ .

**2.1. Arens-Michael envelope.** Let  $A$  be a complete polynormed (or locally convex) algebra. If the topology of  $A$  is defined by means of a family of multiplicative seminorms, then  $A$  is called an *Arens-Michael algebra* [11, 1.2.4]. Fix a polynormed algebra  $A$  with its separately continuous multiplication. The *Arens-Michael envelope* [11, 5.2.21] of  $A$  is called a pair  $(\tilde{A}, \omega)$ , where  $\tilde{A}$  is an Arens-Michael algebra,  $\omega : A \rightarrow \tilde{A}$  is a continuous algebra homomorphism with the following "universal-projective" property: for all Arens-Michael algebra  $B$  and a continuous algebra homomorphism  $\pi : A \rightarrow B$ , there exists a unique continuous algebra homomorphism  $\tilde{\pi} : \tilde{A} \rightarrow B$  such that  $\tilde{\pi} \cdot \omega = \pi$ . It turns out that an Arens-Michael algebra is an inverse limit of some Banach algebras [11, 5.2.10]. Thus in the latter universal projective property it can be assumed that all considered algebras  $B$  are Banach algebras.

The set of all continuous characters of an Arens-Michael algebra  $A$  is denoted by  $\text{Spec}(A)$ . If  $\lambda \in \text{Spec}(A)$  then the algebra homomorphism  $\lambda : A \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  defines  $A$ -module structure on  $\mathbb{C}$  via pull back along  $\lambda$ . This module is denoted by  $\mathbb{C}(\lambda)$  called a *trivial module given by  $\lambda$* .

**2.2. The Fréchet algebra  $\mathcal{O}_q(\mathbb{C}_{xy})$ .** The Arens-Michael envelope of the  $q$ -plane  $\mathfrak{A}_q$  is denoted by  $\mathcal{O}_q(\mathbb{C}^2)$  (see [14]). It turns out that  $\mathcal{O}_q(\mathbb{C}^2) = \mathcal{I}_x \oplus \mathcal{I}_{xy} \oplus \mathcal{I}_y$  is a topological direct sum of the closed unital subalgebra  $\mathcal{I}_x$  generated by  $x$ , the closed two-sided ideal  $\mathcal{I}_{xy}$  generated by  $xy$ , and the closed non-unital subalgebra  $\mathcal{I}_y$  generated by  $y$  (see [8]). In this case,  $\text{Rad } \mathcal{O}_q(\mathbb{C}^2) \subseteq \mathcal{I}_{xy} = \cap \{\ker(\lambda) : \lambda \in \text{Spec}(\mathcal{O}_q(\mathbb{C}^2))\}$  and

$$\text{Spec}(\mathcal{O}_q(\mathbb{C}^2)) = \text{Spec}(\mathcal{O}_q(\mathbb{C}^2) / \mathcal{I}_{xy}) = \mathbb{C}_{xy},$$

where  $\mathbb{C}_{xy} = \mathbb{C}_x \cup \mathbb{C}_y$ ,  $\mathbb{C}_x = \mathbb{C} \times \{0\} \subseteq \mathbb{C}^2$ ,  $\mathbb{C}_y = \{0\} \times \mathbb{C} \subseteq \mathbb{C}^2$ . If  $|q| \neq 1$  then the algebra  $\mathcal{O}_q(\mathbb{C}^2)$  is commutative modulo its Jacobson radical  $\text{Rad } \mathcal{O}_q(\mathbb{C}^2)$  and  $\mathcal{I}_{xy} = \text{Rad } \mathcal{O}_q(\mathbb{C}^2)$ , that is, the topological direct sum decomposition

$$\mathcal{O}_q(\mathbb{C}^2) = \mathcal{O}(\mathbb{C}_x) \oplus \text{Rad } \mathcal{O}_q(\mathbb{C}^2) \oplus \mathcal{I}_y$$

holds. Thus the noncommutative analytic space  $\mathbb{C}_{xy} = \mathbb{C}_x \cup \mathbb{C}_y$  is a union of two copies of the complex plane. One needs to equip  $\mathbb{C}_{xy}$  with a suitable topology that would affiliate to the noncommutative multiplication of the quantum plane (see [8]). The algebra  $\mathcal{O}_q(\mathbb{C}^2)$  turns out to be commutative modulo its Jacobson radical  $\text{Rad } \mathcal{O}_q(\mathbb{C}^2)$  and  $\mathcal{I}_{xy} = \text{Rad } \mathcal{O}_q(\mathbb{C}^2)$ . In this case, we use the notation  $\mathcal{O}_q(\mathbb{C}_{xy})$  instead of  $\mathcal{O}_q(\mathbb{C}^2)$  by indicating to the fact that it can be extended up to a Fréchet  $\hat{\otimes}$ -algebra presheaf  $\mathcal{O}_q$  on the space  $\mathbb{C}_{xy}$  [8]. If  $|q| = 1$  then none of the monomials  $x^i y^k \in \mathcal{I}_{xy}$ ,  $i, k \in \mathbb{N}$  is quasinilpotent, whereas  $\mathcal{I}_{xy}$  contains all quasinilpotent elements.

### 3. THE SLODKOWSKI SPECTRA OF LEFT $\mathfrak{A}_q$ -FRÉCHET MODULES

In this section we introduce the Slodkowski (Taylor) spectra of the left Banach  $\mathfrak{A}_q$ -modules on the topological homology background and investigate the transversality relations.

**3.1. The Slodkowski, Taylor and essential spectra.** Let  $X$  be a left Banach  $\mathfrak{A}_q$ -module given by a pair  $(T, S)$  of operators from  $\mathcal{B}(X)$  with the property  $TS = q^{-1}ST$ . Since  $\mathcal{O}_q(\mathbb{C}^2)$  is the Arens-Michael envelope of  $\mathfrak{A}_q$ , it follows that the same couple  $(T, S)$  provides a left Banach  $\mathcal{O}_q(\mathbb{C}^2)$ -module structure on  $X$  extending the original one. Let us introduce the following parametrized over the character space  $\mathbb{C}_{xy}$  Banach space complex

$$(3.1) \quad \mathcal{K}((T, S), \gamma) : 0 \rightarrow X \xrightarrow{d_\gamma^0} X \oplus X \xrightarrow{d_\gamma^1} X \rightarrow 0$$

with the differentials

$$d_\gamma^0 = \begin{bmatrix} \gamma(y) - qS \\ T - q\gamma(x) \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad d_\gamma^1 = \begin{bmatrix} T - \gamma(x) & S - \gamma(y) \end{bmatrix}.$$

Notice that  $\gamma(x)\gamma(y) = 0$  for every  $\gamma \in \mathbb{C}_{xy}$ . One can consider the related Slodkowski spectra  $\sigma^{\pi,n}(T, S)$ ,  $\sigma^{\delta,n}(T, S)$ ,  $0 \leq n \leq 2$ , and the essential spectrum  $\sigma_e(T, S)$  (see [19], [4] and [7]) of the parametrized Banach space complex (3.1). Namely, put  $\Sigma^n(T, S) = \{\gamma \in \mathbb{C}_{xy} : H^n(\gamma) \neq \{0\}\}$ , where  $H^n(\gamma)$  is the  $n$ th cohomology group of the complex  $\mathcal{K}((T, S), \gamma)$ . Recall that

$$\sigma^{\pi,n}(T, S) = \left\{ \gamma \in \bigcup_{k \leq n} \Sigma^k(T, S) \text{ or } \text{im}(d_\gamma^n) \text{ is not closed} \right\}, \text{ and } \sigma^{\delta,n}(T, S) = \bigcup_{k \geq n} \Sigma^k(T, S).$$

The joint spectrum of the pair  $(T, S)$  is defined to be the following set

$$\sigma(T, S) = \{\gamma \in \mathbb{C}_{xy} : \mathcal{K}((T, S), \gamma) \text{ is not exact}\},$$

whereas the joint essential spectrum of the pair  $(T, S)$  is defined as its subset

$$\sigma_e(T, S) = \{\gamma \in \mathbb{C}_{xy} : \mathcal{K}((T, S), \gamma) \text{ is not Fredholm}\}.$$

Recall that a Banach space complex is said to be Fredholm if all its (co)homology groups are finite dimensional. Notice that

$$\sigma(T, S) = \bigcup_k \Sigma^k(T, S) = \sigma^{\pi,2}(T, S) = \sigma^{\delta,0}(T, S).$$

It is an analog of the Taylor joint spectrum of the operator pair  $(T, S)$ , and it can also be applied to the case of a left Fréchet  $\mathcal{O}_q(\mathbb{C}_{xy})$ -module  $X$  given by a pair  $(T, S)$  of continuous linear operators acting on  $X$  with  $TS = q^{-1}ST$ . Since  $\text{Spec}(\mathcal{O}_q(\mathbb{C}^2)) = \mathbb{C}_{xy} = \mathbb{C}_x \cup \mathbb{C}_y$ , it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma(T, S) &= \sigma_x(T, S) \cup \sigma_y(T, S) \text{ with} \\ \sigma_x(T, S) &= \sigma(T, S) \cap \mathbb{C}_x \text{ and } \sigma_y(T, S) = \sigma(T, S) \cap \mathbb{C}_y. \end{aligned}$$

If  $\lambda \in \sigma_x(T, S)$  then the differentials of the complex  $\mathcal{K}((T, S), \lambda)$  are converted into

$$d_\lambda^0 = \begin{bmatrix} -qS \\ T - q\lambda \end{bmatrix} \text{ and } d_\lambda^1 = [ T - \lambda \quad S ].$$

Notice that the first (nonzero) homology group  $H^0(\lambda)$  of the complex  $\mathcal{K}((T, S), \lambda)$  corresponds to the joint eigenvalue  $(q\lambda, 0)$  of the pair  $(T, S)$ , whereas nonzero  $H^2(\lambda)$  occurs if  $\text{im}(T - \lambda) + \text{im}(S) \neq X$ . If  $\mu \in \sigma_y(T, S)$  then we come up with the following non-exact Banach space complex  $\mathcal{K}((T, S), \mu)$  whose differentials are given by

$$d_\mu^0 = \begin{bmatrix} \mu - qS \\ T \end{bmatrix} \text{ and } d_\mu^1 = [ T \quad S - \mu ].$$

In this case, a nonzero  $H^0(\mu)$  responds to the joint eigenvalue  $(0, q^{-1}\mu)$  of the operator pair  $(T, S)$ , whereas a nonzero  $H^2(\mu)$  occurs if  $\text{im}(T) + \text{im}(S - \mu) \neq X$ .

**3.2. The resolution of the quantum plane.** The quantum plane  $\mathfrak{A}_q$  possesses (see [18], [21]) the following free  $\mathfrak{A}_q$ -bimodule resolution

$$(3.2) \quad 0 \leftarrow \mathfrak{A}_q \xleftarrow{\pi} \mathfrak{A}_q \otimes \mathfrak{A}_q \xleftarrow{\partial_0} \mathfrak{A}_q \otimes \mathbb{C}^2 \otimes \mathfrak{A}_q \xleftarrow{\partial_1} \mathfrak{A}_q \otimes \wedge^2 \mathbb{C}^2 \otimes \mathfrak{A}_q \leftarrow 0,$$

with the mapping  $\pi(a \otimes b) = ab$  and the differentials

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_0(a \otimes e_1 \otimes b) &= a \otimes xb - ax \otimes b, & \partial_0(a \otimes e_2 \otimes b) &= a \otimes yb - ay \otimes b, \\ \partial_1(a \otimes e_1 \wedge e_2 \otimes b) &= a \otimes e_2 \otimes xb - qax \otimes e_2 \otimes b - qa \otimes e_1 \otimes yb + ay \otimes e_1 \otimes b, \end{aligned}$$

where  $a, b \in \mathfrak{A}_q$  and  $(e_1, e_2)$  is the standard basis of  $\mathbb{C}^2$ . One of the central results of [14] asserts that the canonical embedding  $\mathfrak{A}_q \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_q(\mathbb{C}^2)$  is a localization in the sense of Taylor [20]. Using the resolution (3.2), we derive that the following (a similar) complex

$$0 \leftarrow \mathcal{O}_q(\mathbb{C}^2) \xleftarrow{\pi} \mathcal{O}_q(\mathbb{C}^2)^{\widehat{\otimes}^2} \xleftarrow{d_0} \mathcal{O}_q(\mathbb{C}^2) \widehat{\otimes} \mathbb{C}^2 \widehat{\otimes} \mathcal{O}_q(\mathbb{C}^2) \xleftarrow{d_1} \mathcal{O}_q(\mathbb{C}^2) \widehat{\otimes} \wedge^2 \mathbb{C}^2 \widehat{\otimes} \mathcal{O}_q(\mathbb{C}^2) \leftarrow 0$$

is admissible, which provides a free  $\mathcal{O}_q(\mathbb{C}^2)$ -bimodule resolution of  $\mathcal{O}_q(\mathbb{C}^2)$  (see [12, 3.2]). One needs to apply the functor  $\mathcal{O}_q(\mathbb{C}^2) \widehat{\otimes}_{\mathfrak{A}_q} \circ \widehat{\otimes}_{\mathfrak{A}_q} \mathcal{O}_q(\mathbb{C}^2)$  to the complex (3.2). We use a bit modified cochain version of this resolution

$$\mathcal{R} \left( \mathcal{O}_q(\mathbb{C}^2)^{\widehat{\otimes}^2} \right) : 0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_q(\mathbb{C}^2)^{\widehat{\otimes}^2} \xrightarrow{d^0} \mathcal{O}_q(\mathbb{C}^2)^{\widehat{\otimes}^2} \oplus \mathcal{O}_q(\mathbb{C}^2)^{\widehat{\otimes}^2} \xrightarrow{d^1} \mathcal{O}_q(\mathbb{C}^2)^{\widehat{\otimes}^2} \rightarrow 0,$$

whose differentials can be written in the following operator matrix shapes

$$(3.3) \quad d^0 = \begin{bmatrix} R_y \otimes 1 - q1 \otimes L_y \\ 1 \otimes L_x - qR_x \otimes 1 \end{bmatrix}, \quad d^1 = [ 1 \otimes L_x - R_x \otimes 1 \quad 1 \otimes L_y - R_y \otimes 1 ],$$

where  $L$  and  $R$  indicate to the left and right multiplication operators on  $\mathcal{O}_q(\mathbb{C}^2)$ .

**3.3. The spectrum of a left Fréchet  $\mathcal{O}_q(\mathbb{C}^2)$ -module.** Let us introduce the spectrum of a left Fréchet  $\mathcal{O}_q(\mathbb{C}^2)$ -module  $X$  within the general framework of the spectral theory of left modules over Fréchet sheaves [5].

Let  $X$  be a left Fréchet  $\mathcal{O}_q(\mathbb{C}^2)$ -module given by an operator pair  $(T, S)$  with  $TS = q^{-1}ST$ . By applying the functor  $\circ \widehat{\otimes}_{\mathcal{O}_q(\mathbb{C}^2)} X$  to the indicated bimodule resolution  $\mathcal{R} \left( \mathcal{O}_q(\mathbb{C}^2)^{\widehat{\otimes}^2} \right)$  of  $\mathcal{O}_q(\mathbb{C}^2)$ , we obtain the following free left  $\mathcal{O}_q(\mathbb{C}^2)$ -module resolution

$$(3.4) \quad \mathcal{R} \left( \mathcal{O}_q(\mathbb{C}^2) \widehat{\otimes} X \right) : 0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_q(\mathbb{C}^2) \widehat{\otimes} X \xrightarrow{d^0} \left( \mathcal{O}_q(\mathbb{C}^2) \widehat{\otimes} X \right)^{\oplus 2} \xrightarrow{d^1} \mathcal{O}_q(\mathbb{C}^2) \widehat{\otimes} X \rightarrow 0$$

for the module  $X$  with the differentials (see (3.3))

$$d^0 = \begin{bmatrix} R_y \otimes 1 - q1 \otimes S \\ 1 \otimes T - qR_x \otimes 1 \end{bmatrix}, \quad d^1 = [ 1 \otimes T - R_x \otimes 1 \quad 1 \otimes S - R_y \otimes 1 ].$$

Thus the complex

$$\mathcal{R} \left( \mathcal{O}_q(\mathbb{C}^2) \widehat{\otimes} X \right) \xrightarrow{\pi_X} X \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{with } \pi_X(f \otimes \xi) = f\xi$$

is admissible. The resolvent set  $\text{res}(T, S)$  of these operators (or the module  $X$ ) is defined as a set of those  $\gamma \in \mathbb{C}^2$  such that the transversality relation  $\mathbb{C}(\gamma) \perp_{\mathcal{O}_q(\mathbb{C}^2)} X$  holds, that is,  $\text{Tor}_k^{\mathcal{O}_q(\mathbb{C}^2)}(\mathbb{C}(\gamma), X) = \{0\}$  for all  $k \geq 0$ .

**Lemma 3.1.** *Let  $X$  be a left Fréchet  $\mathcal{O}_q(\mathbb{C}^2)$ -module given by an operator pair  $(T, S)$  with  $TS = q^{-1}ST$ . The equality*

$$\sigma(T, S) = \mathbb{C}^2 \setminus \text{res}(T, S)$$

*holds.*

*Proof.* The homology groups  $\text{Tor}_k^{\mathcal{O}_q(\mathbb{C}^2)}(\mathbb{C}(\gamma), X)$  can be calculated by means of the resolution  $\mathcal{R} \left( \mathcal{O}_q(\mathbb{C}^2) \widehat{\otimes} X \right)$  from (3.4). By applying the functor  $\mathbb{C}(\gamma) \widehat{\otimes}_{\mathcal{O}_q(\mathbb{C}^2)} \circ$  to the resolution

$\mathcal{R} \left( \mathcal{O}_q(\mathbb{C}^2) \widehat{\otimes} X \right)$ , we derive that  $\text{Tor}_k^{\mathcal{O}_q(\mathbb{C}^2)}(\mathbb{C}(\gamma), X)$  are the homology groups of the following

complex

$$0 \rightarrow X \xrightarrow{d_\gamma^0} X \oplus X \xrightarrow{d_\gamma^1} X \rightarrow 0,$$

$$d_\gamma^0 = \begin{bmatrix} \gamma(y) - qS \\ T - q\gamma(x) \end{bmatrix}, \quad d_\gamma^1 = [ T - \gamma(x) \quad S - \gamma(y) ],$$

which is reduced to the complex  $\mathcal{K}((T, S), \gamma)$  considered above in Subsection 3.1. Thus  $\text{res}(T, S)$  consists of those  $\gamma \in \mathbb{C}_{xy}$  such that  $\mathcal{K}((T, S), \gamma)$  is exact. Hence  $\mathbb{C}_{xy} \setminus \text{res}(T, S) = \sigma(T, S)$ .  $\square$

Thus the Taylor spectrum  $\sigma(T, S)$  is defined in terms of the transversality relation of the trivial modules  $\mathbb{C}(\gamma)$ ,  $\gamma \in \mathbb{C}_{xy}$  with respect to the given left Fréchet  $\mathcal{O}_q(\mathbb{C}^2)$ -module.

#### 4. THE PSEUDO-COMMUTING PAIRS OF OPERATORS

In this section we introduce the pseudo-commuting pairs of bounded linear operators and their joint spectra that allow to describe the Taylor spectrum of a left Banach  $\mathfrak{A}_q$ -module. We also prove the key result on the  $q$ -projection property for a left Banach  $\mathfrak{A}_q$ -module.

**4.1. The left spectrum of a pseudo-commuting pairs of operators.** Let  $(T, S)$  be an ordered pair of bounded linear operators acting on a Banach space  $X$ . We say that  $(T, S)$  is a *pseudo-commuting pair* if  $TS = SC$  for a certain  $C \in \mathcal{B}(X)$ . In particular,  $(\lambda - T)S = S(\lambda - C)$  for all  $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ . The pseudo-commuting pair  $(T, S)$  generates the following (continuously) parametrized [19, 2.1] on  $\mathbb{C}$  (cochain) Banach space complex

$$\mathcal{L}_\lambda(T, S) : 0 \rightarrow X \xrightarrow{l_\lambda^0} X \oplus X \xrightarrow{l_\lambda^1} X \rightarrow 0$$

with the differentials

$$l_\lambda^0 = \begin{bmatrix} S \\ \lambda - C \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad l_\lambda^1 = [ \lambda - T \quad -S ].$$

Thus  $l_\lambda^1 l_\lambda^0 = (\lambda - T)S - S(\lambda - C) = 0$  for all  $\lambda$ . We say that  $\lambda$  is a *left spectral point of the pair*  $(T, S)$  if the complex  $\mathcal{L}_\lambda(T, S)$  fails to be exact. The set of all left spectral points of  $(T, S)$  is denoted by  $\sigma_l(T, S)$ .

**Lemma 4.1.** *If  $(T, S)$  is a pseudo-commuting pair of operators on a Banach space  $X$  with  $TS = SC$ , then*

$$\sigma_l(T, S) \subseteq \sigma(T) \cup \sigma(C).$$

*Moreover, if  $S$  is invertible in  $\mathcal{B}(X)$  then  $\sigma_l(T, S) = \emptyset$ .*

*Proof.* If  $\lambda \notin \sigma(T) \cup \sigma(C)$  then obviously,  $\ker(l_\lambda^0) = \ker(S) \cap \ker(\lambda - C) = \{0\}$  and  $\text{im}(l_\lambda^1) = (\lambda - T)X + SX = X$ . Next, if  $(\xi, \eta) \in \ker(l_\lambda^1)$ , then  $(\lambda - T)\xi = S\eta$ , which in turn implies that  $\xi = (\lambda - T)^{-1}S\eta$ . With  $(\lambda - T)S = S(\lambda - C)$  in mind, infer that  $\xi = S\theta$ , where  $\theta = (\lambda - C)^{-1}\eta$ . Then

$$l_\lambda^0 \theta = (S\theta, (\lambda - C)\theta) = (\xi, \eta).$$

Thus the complex  $\mathcal{L}_\lambda(T, S)$  is exact, or  $\lambda \notin \sigma_l(T, S)$ . Hence  $\sigma_l(T, S) \subseteq \sigma(T) \cup \sigma(C)$ .

Now assume that  $S$  is invertible in  $\mathcal{B}(X)$ . So,  $S^{-1}T = CS^{-1}$ . If  $l_\lambda^0 \zeta = 0$  for some  $\zeta \in X$ , then  $S\zeta = 0$ , therefore  $\zeta = 0$ . Moreover,  $l_\lambda^1(X \oplus X) = (\lambda - T)X + SX = X$ . Thus  $\ker(l_\lambda^0) = \{0\}$  and  $\text{im}(l_\lambda^1) = X$ . Finally, if  $(\zeta, \eta) \in \ker(l_\lambda^1)$  then  $(\lambda - T)\zeta = S\eta$  and  $\eta = (\lambda - C)^{-1}S^{-1}\zeta$ . It follows that  $l_\lambda^0 S^{-1}\zeta = (SS^{-1}\zeta, (\lambda - C)^{-1}S^{-1}\zeta) = (\zeta, \eta)$ , that is,  $\ker(l_\lambda^1) = \text{im}(l_\lambda^0)$ . Thus  $\mathcal{L}_\lambda(T, S)$  is exact for every  $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ , which means that  $\sigma_l(T, S) = \emptyset$ .  $\square$

In the case of a left Banach  $\mathfrak{A}_q$ -module  $X$  given by an operator pair  $(T, S)$  with  $TS = q^{-1}ST$ , we see that  $(T, S)$  is a pseudo-commuting pair of operators on  $X$  with  $C = q^{-1}T$ . In particular, we have its left spectrum  $\sigma_l(T, S)$ . Thus  $\lambda \in \sigma_l(T, S)$  iff the following complex

$$\mathcal{L}_\lambda(T, S) : 0 \rightarrow X \xrightarrow{l_\lambda^0} X \oplus X \xrightarrow{l_\lambda^1} X \rightarrow 0,$$

$$l_\lambda^0 = \begin{bmatrix} S \\ \lambda - q^{-1}T \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad l_\lambda^1 = \begin{bmatrix} \lambda - T & -S \end{bmatrix}$$

fails to be exact. Actually, the action of  $\mathfrak{A}_q$  on  $X$  provides many other pseudo-commuting pairs of operators on  $X$ . Namely, if  $f(x) = \sum_n a_n x^n \in \mathfrak{A}_q$  is a polynomial then  $f(T) = \sum_n a_n T^n$  and  $f(T)S = \sum_n a_n T^n S = \sum_n S a_n q^{-n} T^n = S f(q^{-1}T)$ , that is,

$$f(T)S = S f(q^{-1}T).$$

It means that  $(f(T), S)$  is a pseudo-commuting pair with  $C = f(q^{-1}T)$ . In a similar way, we have

$$f(qT)S = S f(T),$$

which means that  $(f(qT), S)$  is a pseudo-commuting pair with  $C = f(T)$ .

Further, the flipped pair  $(S, T)$  is pseudo-commuting too, namely  $ST = T(qS)$  with  $C = qS$ . By the *right spectrum* of the pair  $(T, S)$ , we mean the set

$$\sigma_r(T, S) = \sigma_l(S, T).$$

By its very definition,  $\mu \in \sigma_r(T, S)$  iff the following complex

$$\mathcal{R}_\mu(T, S) : 0 \rightarrow X \xrightarrow{r_\mu^0} X \oplus X \xrightarrow{r_\mu^1} X \rightarrow 0,$$

$$r_\mu^0 = \begin{bmatrix} T \\ \mu - qS \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad r_\mu^1 = \begin{bmatrix} \mu - S & -T \end{bmatrix}$$

is not exact. Notice that  $\mathcal{R}_\mu(T, S) = \mathcal{L}_\mu(S, T)$ .

As above if  $g(y) = \sum_n b_n y^n \in \mathfrak{A}_q$  is a polynomial, then  $g(S)T = \sum_n b_n S^n T = \sum_n T b_n q^n S^n = Tg(qS)$ , and  $g(q^{-1}S)T = Tg(S)$ , that is,  $(g(S), T)$  and  $(g(q^{-1}S), T)$  are pseudo-commuting pairs on  $X$ . One can replace  $T$  or  $S$  by the monomials  $T^k$  or  $S^k$ . In this case,  $T^k S = q^{-k} S T^k$  or  $T S^k = q^{-k} S^k T$  and the number  $q$  is replaced by  $q^k$ . They are pairs of the left Banach  $\mathfrak{A}_{q^k}$ -module  $X$ .

**4.2. The  $q$ -projection property, compactness and nonvoidness of the spectrum.** As above, let  $X$  be a left Banach  $\mathfrak{A}_q$ -module given by a pair  $(T, S)$  with  $TS = q^{-1}ST$ .

**Theorem 4.1.** *The equalities*

$$\sigma_x(T, S) = \sigma_l(T, S) \times \{0\} \quad \text{and} \quad \sigma_y(T, S) = \{0\} \times \sigma_r(T, S)$$

*hold. Thus  $\sigma(T, S) = (\sigma_l(T, S) \times \{0\}) \cup (\{0\} \times \sigma_r(T, S))$  is a union of the compact subsets and the following  $q$ -projection property*

$$\sigma(T, S) \subseteq ((\sigma(T) \cup \sigma(q^{-1}T)) \times \{0\}) \cup (\{0\} \times (\sigma(S) \cup \sigma(qS)))$$

*holds.*

*Proof.* Take  $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}_x$ . As we have seen above, the complex (3.1) is reduced to

$$\mathcal{K}((T, S), \lambda) : 0 \rightarrow X \xrightarrow{d_\lambda^0} X \oplus X \xrightarrow{d_\lambda^1} X \rightarrow 0,$$

$$d_\lambda^0 = \begin{bmatrix} -qS \\ T - q\lambda \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad d_\lambda^1 = \begin{bmatrix} T - \lambda & S \end{bmatrix}.$$

Notice that  $d_\lambda^0 = -ql_\lambda^0$  and  $d_\lambda^1 = -l_\lambda^1$ . Then the following commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} \mathcal{K}((T, S), \lambda) : 0 \rightarrow & X & \xrightarrow{d_\lambda^0 = \begin{bmatrix} -qS \\ T - q\lambda \end{bmatrix}} & X \oplus X & \xrightarrow{d_\lambda^1 = \begin{bmatrix} T - \lambda & S \end{bmatrix}} & X & \rightarrow 0 \\ & \downarrow q & & \downarrow -(1 \oplus 1) & & \downarrow 1 & \\ \mathcal{L}_\lambda(T, S) : 0 \rightarrow & X & \xrightarrow{l_\lambda^0 = \begin{bmatrix} S \\ \lambda - q^{-1}T \end{bmatrix}} & X \oplus X & \xrightarrow{l_\lambda^1 = \begin{bmatrix} \lambda - T & -S \end{bmatrix}} & X & \rightarrow 0 \end{array}$$

implements an isomorphic identification  $\mathcal{K}((T, S), \lambda) = \mathcal{L}_\lambda(T, S)$  of the Banach space complexes. It follows that  $\sigma_x(T, S) = \sigma_l(T, S) \times \{0\}$ .

If  $\mu \in \mathbb{C}_y$  then the complex (3.1) is converted to the following one

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{K}((T, S), \mu) : 0 \rightarrow X &\xrightarrow{d_\mu^0} X \oplus X \xrightarrow{d_\mu^1} X \rightarrow 0, \\ d_\mu^0 &= \begin{bmatrix} \mu - qS \\ T \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad d_\mu^1 = \begin{bmatrix} T & S - \mu \end{bmatrix}. \end{aligned}$$

As above, the following commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} \mathcal{K}((T, S), \mu) : 0 \rightarrow & X & \xrightarrow{d_\mu^0 = \begin{bmatrix} \mu - qS \\ T \end{bmatrix}} & X \oplus X & \xrightarrow{d_\mu^1 = \begin{bmatrix} T & S - \mu \end{bmatrix}} & X & \rightarrow 0 \\ & \downarrow 1 & & \downarrow \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} & & \downarrow -1 & \\ \mathcal{R}_\mu(T, S) : 0 \rightarrow & X & \xrightarrow{r_\mu^0 = \begin{bmatrix} T \\ \mu - qS \end{bmatrix}} & X \oplus X & \xrightarrow{r_\mu^1 = \begin{bmatrix} \mu - S & -T \end{bmatrix}} & X & \rightarrow 0 \end{array}$$

implements an isomorphic identification  $\mathcal{K}((T, S), \mu) = \mathcal{R}_\mu(S, T)$  of the Banach space complexes. Hence  $\sigma_y(T, S) = \{0\} \times \sigma_r(T, S)$ .

Finally, note that  $\mathcal{L}_\lambda(T, S)$ ,  $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}_x$ , and  $\mathcal{R}_\mu(T, S)$ ,  $\mu \in \mathbb{C}_y$  are continuously parametrized Banach space complexes over  $\mathbb{C}$ . Using [19, Theorem 2.1], we deduce that  $\sigma_x(T, S) \subseteq \mathbb{C}_x$  and  $\sigma_y(T, S) \subseteq \mathbb{C}_y$  are closed subsets in the standard topology of the complex plane  $\mathbb{C}$ . By Lemma 4.1, we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma_x(T, S) &= \sigma_l(T, S) \times \{0\} \subseteq (\sigma(T) \cup \sigma(q^{-1}T)) \times \{0\}, \\ \sigma_y(T, S) &= \{0\} \times \sigma_r(T, S) = \{0\} \times \sigma_r(S, T) \subseteq \{0\} \times (\sigma(S) \cup \sigma(qS)). \end{aligned}$$

It follows that

$$\sigma(T, S) \subseteq ((\sigma(T) \cup \sigma(q^{-1}T)) \times \{0\}) \cup (\{0\} \times (\sigma(S) \cup \sigma(qS))),$$

that is,  $\sigma(T, S)$  is bounded. Consequently,  $\sigma_x(T, S)$  and  $\sigma_y(T, S)$  are compact subsets. In particular, so is  $\sigma(T, S)$  in  $\mathbb{C}^2$ .  $\square$

The nonvoidness of the joint spectrum follows from the following assertion.

**Proposition 4.1.** *Let  $X$  be a left Banach  $\mathfrak{A}_q$ -module given by an operator pair  $(T, S)$  with  $TS = q^{-1}ST$ . If  $T$  and  $S$  are invertible operators, then  $\sigma(T, S) = \emptyset$  and  $|q| = 1$ . If  $|q| \neq 1$  then  $\sigma(T, S)$  is a nonempty compact subset in  $\mathbb{C}^2$ .*

*Proof.* First notice that  $\sigma(TS) \cup \{0\} = \sigma(ST) \cup \{0\} = q\sigma(TS) \cup \{0\}$ , therefore  $\sigma(TS) = \{0\}$  whenever  $|q| \neq 1$ . It follows that  $TS$  is not invertible, therefore so is  $T$  or  $S$ . Thus, if  $T$  and  $S$  are invertible operators, then  $|q| = 1$ . Moreover,  $\sigma_l(T, S) = \emptyset$  and  $\sigma_r(T, S) = \sigma_l(S, T) = \emptyset$



thanks to Lemma 4.1. Using Theorem 4.1, we conclude that  $\sigma(T, S) = (\sigma_l(T, S) \times \{0\}) \cup (\{0\} \times \sigma_r(T, S)) = \emptyset$ .

Now assume that  $|q| \neq 1$ . Then  $0 \in \sigma(T) \cup \sigma(S)$ . First assume that  $0 \in \sigma(T)$ . If  $Y = \ker(T) \neq \{0\}$  then  $S(Y) \subseteq Y$ , for  $TS = q^{-1}ST$ . The approximate point spectrum  $\sigma_{\text{ap}}(qS|_Y)$  of the operator  $qS|_Y \in \mathcal{B}(Y)$  is non-empty. Take  $\mu \in \sigma_{\text{ap}}(qS|_Y)$ . Then  $(\mu - qS)\zeta_n \rightarrow 0$ ,  $n \rightarrow \infty$  for a certain sequence  $\{\zeta_n\}$  of unit vectors in  $Y$ . It follows that

$$r_\mu^0(\zeta_n) = \begin{bmatrix} T \\ \mu - qS \end{bmatrix} \zeta_n = (T\zeta_n, (\mu - qS)\zeta_n) = (0, (\mu - qS)\zeta_n) \rightarrow 0,$$

where  $r_\mu^0$  is the differential of the complex  $\mathcal{R}_\mu(T, S)$ . Thus either  $\ker(r_\mu^0) \neq \{0\}$  or  $\text{im}(r_\mu^0)$  is not closed. Consequently,  $\mu \in \sigma_r(T, S)$ ,  $(0, \mu) \in \sigma_y(T, S)$  and  $\sigma_y(T, S) \subseteq \sigma(T, S)$  by virtue of Theorem 4.1. If  $\ker(T) = \{0\}$  then  $TX \neq X$ . Consider the following commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X & \xrightarrow{T} & X \\ \downarrow qS & & \downarrow S \\ X & \xrightarrow{T} & X \end{array}$$

( $ST = qTS$ ). By Slodkowski Lemma [17, Lemma 1.6], we have  $TX + (\mu - S)X \neq X$  for a certain  $\mu \in \mathbb{C}$ . In particular,  $\mu \in \sigma(S)$  and  $\text{im}(\begin{bmatrix} \mu - S & -T \end{bmatrix}) \neq X$ , that is,  $\text{im}(r_\mu^1) \neq X$ . Consequently,  $(0, \mu) \in \sigma_y(T, S)$  by Theorem 4.1.

A similar argument is applicable to the case of  $0 \in \sigma(S)$ . Namely, if  $\ker(S) \neq \{0\}$  then as above  $\text{im}(l_\lambda^0)$  is not closed for a certain  $\lambda \in \sigma_{\text{ap}}(q^{-1}T|_{\ker(S)})$ , where  $l_\lambda^0$  is the differential of the complex  $\mathcal{L}_\lambda(T, S)$ . Therefore  $(\lambda, 0) \in \sigma_x(T, S)$  and  $\sigma_x(T, S) \subseteq \sigma(T, S)$  by Theorem 4.1. If  $SX \neq X$  then we consider the following commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X & \xrightarrow{S} & X \\ \downarrow q^{-1}T & & \downarrow T \\ X & \xrightarrow{S} & X \end{array}$$

( $TS = q^{-1}ST$ ). Again by Slodkowski Lemma [17, Lemma 1.6], we deduce that  $(\lambda - T)X + SX \neq X$  for a certain  $\lambda \in \sigma(T)$ . It follows that  $\text{im}(l_\lambda^1) \neq X$ , that is,  $(\lambda, 0) \in \sigma_x(T, S)$ . Whence  $\sigma(T, S) \neq \emptyset$  whenever  $|q| \neq 1$ .  $\square$

**Remark 4.1.** *As we can see from the proof of Proposition 4.1 that  $\sigma_y(T, S) \neq \emptyset$  whenever  $0 \in \sigma(T)$ , whereas  $0 \in \sigma(S)$  implies that  $\sigma_x(T, S) \neq \emptyset$ .*

## 5. THE CONCRETE EXAMPLE AND LACK OF THE PROJECTION PROPERTY

Let  $X$  be a left Banach  $\mathfrak{A}_q$ -module given by an operator pair  $(T, S)$  with  $TS = q^{-1}ST$  and  $|q| < 1$ . By Proposition 4.1, the joint spectrum  $\sigma(T, S)$  is a nonempty compact subset in  $\mathbb{C}^2$ . By Theorem 4.1, the inclusion  $\sigma(T, S)|_{\mathbb{C}_x} \subseteq (\sigma(T) \cup \sigma(q^{-1}T)) \times \{0\}$  (or  $\sigma_l(T, S) \subseteq \sigma(T) \cup \sigma(q^{-1}T)$ ) holds. The forward projection property for the joint spectrum would state the inclusion  $\sigma(T, S)|_{\mathbb{C}_x} \subseteq \sigma(T) \times \{0\}$  rather than what we have seen above. In a similar way  $\{0\} \times \sigma(S) \subseteq \sigma(T, S)|_{\mathbb{C}_y}$  would be our expectation for the backward projection property. Our present task is to show that none of these inclusions valid for the joint spectrum of a contractive Banach quantum plane.

**5.1. The left Banach  $\mathfrak{A}_q$ -modules  $\ell_p$ .** There are key examples of the operator  $q$ -planes considered on the  $L_p$ -Banach spaces. To be certain, we assume that  $X = \ell_p$  (or  $\ell_p(\mathbb{Z}_+)$ ) for  $1 \leq p < \infty$  with its standard topological basis  $\{e_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}_+}$ , and consider the unilateral shift

operator  $T$  and the diagonal  $q$ -operator  $S$  on  $\ell_p$ . Thus  $T, S \in \mathcal{B}(\ell_p)$  are the operators acting by the rules

$$\begin{aligned} T(e_n) &= e_{n+1}, \\ S(e_n) &= q^n e_n, \end{aligned}$$

for all  $n \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ . One can easily verify that  $TS = q^{-1}ST$ . Since  $|q| < 1$ , it follows that  $S$  is a compact operator with its spectrum  $\sigma(S) = \{1\}_q = \{q^n : n \in \mathbb{Z}_+\} \cup \{0\}$ , which is the  $q$ -hull of  $\{1\}$ . Moreover,  $\sigma(T) = \mathbb{D}_1$  is the closed unit disk. Thus both  $\sigma(T)$  and  $\sigma(S)$  are the  $q$ -compact (coincide with their  $q$ -hulls) subsets of the complex plane.

**5.2. The left spectrum.** First we focus on the left spectrum  $\sigma_l(T, S)$  or  $\sigma_x(T, S)$  to be described. Since  $0 \in \sigma(S)$ , it follows as in the proof of Proposition 4.1 that  $(\lambda - T)X + SX \neq X$  for a certain  $\lambda \in \sigma(T)$  (the Słodkowski Lemma). Hence the second cohomology group  $H^2(\lambda) = \ell_p / \text{im}(l_\lambda^1)$  of the complex  $\mathcal{L}_\lambda(T, S)$  is not trivial, therefore  $(\lambda, 0) \in \sigma(T, S)$  or  $\lambda \in \sigma_l(T, S)$ . Hence  $(\sigma(T) \times \{0\}) \cap (\sigma(T, S) | \mathbb{C}_x) \neq \emptyset$  or  $\sigma(T) \cap \sigma_l(T, S) \neq \emptyset$ . Since  $\ker(S) = \{0\}$ , we conclude that  $H^0(\lambda) = \ker(l_\lambda^0) = \ker(S) \cap \ker(\lambda - q^{-1}T) = \{0\}$  for all  $\lambda \in \sigma_l(T, S)$ .

**Proposition 5.1.** *If  $1 < |\lambda| \leq |q|^{-1}$  then  $H^0(\lambda) = H^2(\lambda) = \{0\}$  and  $H^1(\lambda) \neq \{0\}$ . Thus  $\mathbb{D}_{|q|^{-1}} \setminus \mathbb{D}_1 \subseteq \sigma_l(T, S) \subseteq \mathbb{D}_{|q|^{-1}}$  and  $(0, 0) \notin \sigma(T, S)$ .*

*Proof.* Take  $\lambda \in \mathbb{D}_{|q|^{-1}} \setminus \mathbb{D}_1$ , and consider the Banach space complex  $\mathcal{L}_\lambda(T, S)$  :

$$0 \rightarrow \ell_p \xrightarrow{l_\lambda^0 = \begin{bmatrix} S \\ \lambda - q^{-1}T \end{bmatrix}} \ell_p \oplus \ell_p \xrightarrow{l_\lambda^1 = \begin{bmatrix} \lambda - T & -S \end{bmatrix}} \ell_p \rightarrow 0.$$

Since  $\lambda \notin \sigma(T)$ , it follows that  $\text{im}(l_\lambda^1) = \text{im}(\lambda - T) + \text{im}(S) = \ell_p$ , that is,  $H^2(\lambda) = \{0\}$ .

To prove that  $H^1(\lambda) \neq \{0\}$ , let us take the following vectors  $\zeta = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\lambda^{n+1}} e_n$  with  $\|\zeta\|_p = (|\lambda|^p - 1)^{-1/p}$ , and  $\eta = e_0$  with  $\|\eta\|_p = 1$  from the Banach space  $\ell_p$ . First prove that  $(\zeta, \eta) \notin \text{im}(l_\lambda^0)$ . Indeed, in the contrary case, we have  $(\zeta, \eta) = l_\lambda^0(\theta)$  for a certain  $\theta = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \alpha_n e_n \in \ell_p$ , which means that  $\zeta = S(\theta)$  and  $(\lambda - q^{-1}T)(\theta) = \eta$ . It follows that  $q^n \alpha_n = \frac{1}{\lambda^{n+1}}$  for all  $n$ . Then

$$\liminf_n \{|\alpha_n|\} = \frac{1}{|\lambda|} \liminf_n \left\{ \frac{1}{|q\lambda|^n} \right\} \geq \frac{1}{|\lambda|} > 0,$$

a contradiction ( $\theta \in \ell_p$  with  $\|\theta\|_p^p = \sum_n |\alpha_n|^p < \infty$ ). Notice also that

$$\eta = (\lambda - q^{-1}T)(\theta) = \lambda \alpha_0 e_0 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (\lambda \alpha_n - q^{-1} \alpha_{n-1}) e_n,$$

which results in the same equalities  $\alpha_0 = \frac{1}{\lambda}$  and  $\alpha_n = \frac{1}{q^n \lambda^{n+1}}$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Further, let us prove that  $(\zeta, \eta) \in \ker(l_\lambda^1)$ . Namely,

$$l_\lambda^1(\zeta, \eta) = (\lambda - T)\zeta - S\eta = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\lambda^n} e_n - \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\lambda^{n+1}} e_{n+1} - e_0 = 0.$$

Hence  $H^1(\lambda) \neq \{0\}$ . Thus  $\mathbb{D}_{|q|^{-1}} \setminus \mathbb{D}_1 \subseteq \sigma_l(T, S)$ . By Theorem 4.1, we derive that

$$\sigma_l(T, S) \subseteq \sigma(T) \cup q^{-1}\sigma(T) = \mathbb{D}_1 \cup q^{-1}\mathbb{D}_1 = \mathbb{D}_{|q|^{-1}}.$$

Thus we obtain the inclusions  $\mathbb{D}_{|q|^{-1}} \setminus \mathbb{D}_1 \subseteq \sigma_l(T, S) \subseteq \mathbb{D}_{|q|^{-1}}$ .

Now prove that  $(0, 0) \notin \sigma(T, S)$ , that is, the complex (see (3.1))  $\mathcal{K}((T, S), 0)$ :

$$0 \rightarrow \ell_p \xrightarrow{d^0} \ell_p \oplus \ell_p \xrightarrow{d^1} \ell_p \rightarrow 0$$

$$d^0 = \begin{bmatrix} -qS \\ T \end{bmatrix}, \quad d^1 = [ T \quad S ]$$

is exact. Since  $\ker(S) = \{0\}$ , it follows that  $\ker(d^0) = \{0\}$ . For every  $\zeta = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \alpha_n e_n \in \ell_p$  we have  $\zeta = S(\alpha_0 e_0) + T(\eta) = d^1(\eta, \alpha_0 e_0)$  with  $\eta = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \alpha_{n+1} e_n$ , which means that  $\text{im}(d^1) = \ell_2$ . Finally, if  $(\zeta, \eta) \in \ker(d^1)$  with  $\zeta = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \alpha_n e_n$  and  $\eta = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \beta_n e_n$ , then  $T\zeta = -S\eta$ , that is,  $\beta_0 = 0$  and  $\alpha_n = -q^{n+1}\beta_{n+1}$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ . It remains to notice that  $d^0\theta = (-qS\theta, T\theta) = (\zeta, \eta)$  for  $\theta = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \beta_{n+1} e_n \in \ell_p$ . Whence  $\ker(d^1) = \text{im}(d^0)$ , thereby the complex is exact.  $\square$

**Corollary 5.1.** *The inclusion  $\sigma_r(T, S) \subseteq \{q^m, q^{m-1}, \dots, q, 1\}$  holds for some  $m \geq 0$ .*

*Proof.* By Proposition 5.1, we have  $(0, 0) \notin \sigma(T, S)$ . In particular,  $0 \notin \sigma_r(T, S)$ . By Proposition 4.1, we deduce that  $\sigma_r(T, S) \cap B(0, \varepsilon) = \emptyset$  for some small  $\varepsilon > 0$ . Using Theorem 4.1, the inclusions  $\sigma_r(S, T) \subseteq \sigma(S) \cup \sigma(qS) \subseteq \{1\}_q$  hold. It follows that

$$\sigma_r(S, T) \subseteq \{1\}_q \cap (\mathbb{C} \setminus B(0, \varepsilon)) = \{q^m, q^{m-1}, \dots, q, 1\}$$

for some  $m \geq 0$ .  $\square$

As follows from Proposition 5.1,  $\sigma_l(T, S) \cap B(0, \varepsilon) = \emptyset$  holds too for a small disk  $B(0, \varepsilon)$ , which is a  $q$ -open (coincides with its  $q$ -hull) subset. The presence of a  $q$ -open subset  $U \subseteq \mathbb{C} \setminus \sigma_l(T, S)$  is used to relate to the transversality property of the left Banach  $\mathfrak{A}_q$ -module  $\ell_p$  (see [6]).

**5.3. The right spectrum.** The following assertion clarifies the statement of Corollary 5.1.

**Proposition 5.2.** *The equality  $\sigma_r(T, S) = \{1\}$  holds. In this case,  $H^0(1) = \{0\}$  and  $H^1(1) = H^2(1) = \mathbb{C}$ , where  $H^i(1)$  are the cohomology groups of the complex  $\mathcal{R}_1(T, S)$ . Thus  $\mathcal{R}_1(T, S)$  is a nonexact Fredholm complex.*

*Proof.* Based on Theorem 4.1, we consider the following parametrized Banach space complex

$$\mathcal{R}_\mu(T, S) : 0 \rightarrow \ell_p \xrightarrow{r_\mu^0} \ell_p \oplus \ell_p \xrightarrow{r_\mu^1} \ell_p \rightarrow 0$$

$$r_\mu^0 = \begin{bmatrix} T \\ \mu - qS \end{bmatrix}, \quad r_\mu^1 = \begin{bmatrix} \mu - S & -T \end{bmatrix}$$

whose cohomology groups are denoted by  $H^i(\mu)$ ,  $i = 0, 1, 2$ . Since  $\ker(T) = \{0\}$ , it follows that  $H^0(\mu) = \{0\}$  for all  $\mu \in \mathbb{C}$ . If  $\mu = 1$  then  $(\mu - S)(e_0) = 0$  and  $\text{im}(\mu - S) \subseteq \text{im}(T)$ , which means that  $\text{im}(r_\mu^1) = \text{im}(\mu - S) + \text{im}(T) = \text{im}(T) \neq \ell_p$ , that is,  $H^2(1) = \ell_p / \text{im}(T) = \mathbb{C}$ .

Further, note that  $(e_0, 0) \in \ker(r_\mu^1)$ , and for every  $(\zeta, \eta) \in \ker(r_\mu^1)$  with  $\zeta = \sum_n \alpha_n e_n$ ,  $\eta = \sum_n \beta_n e_n \in \ell_p$ , we have  $(\zeta, \eta) = \alpha_0(e_0, 0) + (\zeta', \eta)$  with  $\zeta' = \sum_{n \geq 1} \alpha_n e_n$ . Since  $e_0 \notin \text{im}(T)$ , it follows that  $(e_0, 0) \notin \text{im}(r_\mu^1)$ . Thus we can assume that  $\alpha_0 = 0$ . In this case,  $(1 - q^n)\alpha_n = \beta_{n-1}$  for all  $n \geq 1$ . Put  $\gamma_n = \alpha_{n+1}$ ,  $n \geq 0$ , and  $\theta = \sum_n \gamma_n e_n \in \ell_p$ . Then

$$r_\mu^0(\theta) = (T\theta, (1 - qS)\theta) = \left( \sum_{n \geq 1} \gamma_{n-1} e_n, \sum_n (1 - q^{n+1}) \gamma_n e_n \right)$$

$$= \left( \sum_{n \geq 1} \alpha_n e_n, \sum_n (1 - q^{n+1}) \alpha_{n+1} e_n \right) = (\zeta, \eta).$$

Thus  $H^1(1) = \mathbb{C}$  too. Notice also that  $q^{-1} \notin \sigma(S)$ , which means that  $1 - qS$  is invertible and  $\text{im}(r_\mu^0)$  is closed.

Now based on Corollary 5.1, let us assume that  $\mu = q^k$  with  $k > 0$ . Then  $(\mu - S)(e_0) = (\mu - 1)e_0 \neq 0$  and  $\text{im}(r_\mu^1) = \text{im}(\mu - S) + \text{im}(T) = \ell_p$ , that is,  $H^2(\mu) = \{0\}$ . Take  $\zeta = \sum_n \alpha_n e_n$ ,  $\eta = \sum_n \beta_n e_n \in \ell_p$  with  $(\zeta, \eta) \in \ker(r_\mu^1)$ . Then  $(\mu - S)\zeta = T\eta$ , which means that  $(\mu - 1)\alpha_0 = 0$  and  $(\mu - q^n)\alpha_n = \beta_{n-1}$  for all  $n \geq 1$ . It follows that  $\alpha_0 = 0$ ,  $\alpha_n = \beta_{n-1}/(\mu - q^n)$  for all  $n \neq k$ ,  $\beta_{k-1} = 0$ , and  $\alpha_k$  remains free. Put  $\theta = \sum_n \gamma_n e_n$  with  $\gamma_n = \beta_n/(\mu - q^{n+1})$ ,  $n \neq k-1$  and  $\gamma_{k-1} = \alpha_k$ . Since  $|\gamma_n|^p = |\beta_n|^p |\mu - q^{n+1}|^{-p} \leq 2^p |\beta_n|^p |q|^{-kp}$  for all large  $n$ , it follows that  $\|\theta\|_p \leq c \|\eta\|_p < \infty$  for some positive  $c$ , which depends on  $\mu$ . Thus  $\theta \in \ell_p$  and

$$r_\mu^0(\theta) = (T\theta, (\mu - qS)\theta) = \left( \sum_{n \geq 1} \gamma_{n-1} e_n, \sum_{n \geq 0} (\mu - q^{n+1}) \gamma_n e_n \right) = \left( \sum_n \alpha_n e_n, \sum_n \beta_n e_n \right) = (\zeta, \eta),$$

that is,  $(\zeta, \eta) \in \text{im}(r_\mu^0)$ . Hence  $H^1(\mu) = \{0\}$ , and the equality  $\sigma_r(T, S) = \{1\}$  follows.

Thus  $\sigma_y^{\pi, 0}(T, S) = \emptyset$ , and  $\sigma_y^{\pi, m}(T, S) = \sigma_y^{\delta, n}(T, S) = \sigma_y(T, S) = \{(0, 1)\}$  for all  $m \geq 1$ , and for all  $n$ .  $\square$

**5.4. The first cohomology groups over the interior of the unit disk.** The following assertion states that for the interior of the disk  $\mathbb{D}_1$  the spectral points from  $\sigma_l(T, S)$  could only occur in the first cohomology groups too.

**Lemma 5.1.** *If  $|\lambda| < 1$  then  $H^0(\lambda) = H^2(\lambda) = \{0\}$ , where  $H^i(\lambda)$  are the cohomology groups of the complex  $\mathcal{L}_\lambda(T, S)$ .*

*Proof.* As we have stated above  $H^0(\lambda) = \{0\}$  out of the fact  $\ker(S) = \{0\}$ . Since  $|\lambda| < 1$ , it follows that  $\ker(\lambda - T) = \{0\}$ ,  $\text{im}(\lambda - T)$  is closed, and  $\dim(\ell_p / \text{im}(\lambda - T)) = 1$  (see [2, VII, 6.5]). Actually, the absolute polar  $\text{im}(\lambda - T)^\perp = \ker(\lambda^* - T^*) = \langle \zeta_\lambda \rangle$  is the subspace generated by the vector  $\zeta_\lambda = \sum_n (\lambda^*)^n e_n \in \ell_q$  with  $p^{-1} + q^{-1} = 1$ . If  $H^2(\lambda) \neq \{0\}$  then  $\text{im}(l_\lambda^1) = \text{im}(\lambda - T) + \text{im}(S) \neq \ell_p$ , which means that  $\text{im}(S) \subseteq \text{im}(\lambda - T)$ . Using Phillips Theorem [13, 7.4.13], we conclude that  $S = (\lambda - T)A$  for some operator  $A \in \mathcal{B}(\ell_p)$ . Then  $S^* = A^*(\lambda^* - T^*)$  in  $\mathcal{B}(\ell_q)$ , and  $S^*\zeta_\lambda = 0$ , that is,  $\zeta_\lambda \in \ker(S^*)$ . But  $\ker(S^*) = \text{im}(S)^\perp = \{0\}$ , a contradiction.  $\square$

**Remark 5.1.** *If  $|\lambda| < |q|$  then  $\eta_\lambda = \sum_n (\lambda/q)^n e_n \in \ell_p$  and  $\langle S\eta_\lambda, \zeta_\lambda \rangle = \sum_{n \geq 0} |\lambda|^n \geq 1$ , where  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$  indicates to the canonical duality  $\ell_p \times \ell_q \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ . Hence  $\text{im}(S) \not\subseteq \text{im}(\lambda - T)$  or  $H^2(\lambda) = \{0\}$ .*

The presence of a nonzero  $H^1(\lambda)$  for  $0 < |\lambda| < 1$  (see Proposition 5.1) remains unclear. To be precise, let us consider the case of  $p = 2$ . For every  $\eta = \sum_n \beta_n e_n \in \ell_2$  we denote by  $\eta_n$  its  $n$ th Fourier tail-sum  $\eta_n = \sum_{k=n+1}^\infty \beta_k e_k$ . As above in Lemma 5.1, we use the notation  $\zeta_{\lambda q} = \sum_n (q^* \lambda^*)^n e_n$  to be a vector from  $\ell_2$ , and consider its orthogonal complement  $\{\zeta_{\lambda q}\}^\perp \subseteq \ell_2$ . Put

$$M_\lambda = \left\{ \eta \in \{\zeta_{\lambda q}\}^\perp : \epsilon_\lambda(\eta) = \sum_{n \geq 0} |(\eta_n, T^{n+1} \zeta_{\lambda q})|^2 < \infty \right\}$$

to be a subset of  $\{\zeta_{\lambda q}\}^\perp$ . If  $\eta, \theta \in M_\lambda$  then

$$\begin{aligned} \epsilon_\lambda(\eta + \theta) &\leq \epsilon_\lambda(\eta) + \epsilon_\lambda(\theta) + 2 \sum_{n \geq 0} |(\eta_n, T^{n+1} \zeta_{\lambda q})| |(\theta_n, T^{n+1} \zeta_{\lambda q})| \\ &\leq \epsilon_\lambda(\eta) + \epsilon_\lambda(\theta) + 2\epsilon_\lambda(\eta)^{1/2} \epsilon_\lambda(\theta)^{1/2} = \left( \epsilon_\lambda(\eta)^{1/2} + \epsilon_\lambda(\theta)^{1/2} \right)^2 < \infty. \end{aligned}$$

Thereby  $M_\lambda$  is a subspace of  $\{\zeta_{\lambda q}\}^\perp$ . For every  $z \in \mathbb{C}$  with  $0 \leq |z| < 1$ , the vector  $\eta = \sum_n \beta_n e_n$  with  $\beta_0 = -zq\lambda/(1 - zq\lambda)$  and  $\beta_n = z^n$ ,  $n \geq 1$  belongs to  $M_\lambda$ . Indeed,  $(\eta, \zeta_{\lambda q}) = \beta_0 +$

$\sum_{n \geq 1} (zq\lambda)^n = 0$  and

$$\epsilon_\lambda(\eta) = \sum_{n \geq 0} \left| \sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} z^k (q\lambda)^{k-n-1} \right|^2 = \sum_{n \geq 0} |z|^{2n+2} \left| \frac{1}{1-zq\lambda} \right|^2 = \left| \frac{z}{1-zq\lambda} \right|^2 \frac{1}{1-|z|^2} < \infty,$$

that is,  $\eta \in M_\lambda$ . Actually, every vector  $\eta \in \{\zeta_{\lambda q}\}^\perp$  with  $\sum_{n \geq 0} \|\eta_n\|^2 < \infty$  (or  $\sum_{n \geq 0} \sum_{k > n} |\beta_k|^2 < \infty$ ) belongs to the subspace  $M_\lambda$ .

**Lemma 5.2.** *If  $0 < |\lambda| < 1$  then there is a canonical topological isomorphic identification*

$$H^1(\lambda) = \{\zeta_{\lambda q}\}^\perp / M_\lambda,$$

where  $H^1(\lambda)$  is the first cohomology group of the complex  $\mathcal{L}_\lambda(T, S)$ .

*Proof.* Take  $(\zeta, \eta) \in \ker(l_\lambda^1)$ , that is,  $(\lambda - T)\zeta = S\eta$ . But  $\text{im}(\lambda - T)^\perp = \langle \zeta_\lambda \rangle$  (see to the proof of Lemma 5.1), which means that  $(S\eta, \zeta_\lambda) = 0$  or  $(\eta, S^*\zeta_\lambda) = 0$ . Notice that  $S^*\zeta_\lambda = \zeta_{\lambda q}$ . Since  $\ker(\lambda - T) = \{0\}$ , the mapping  $(\zeta, \eta) \mapsto \eta$  implements a topological isomorphism  $\ker(l_\lambda^1) \rightarrow \{\zeta_{\lambda q}\}^\perp$  onto. Once  $\eta = \sum \beta_n e_n \in \{\zeta_{\lambda q}\}^\perp$  one can easily restore  $\zeta = \sum \alpha_n e_n$  with

$$\alpha_n = \frac{1}{\lambda^{n+1}} (\eta - \eta_n, \zeta_{\lambda q}).$$

Indeed,  $\lambda\alpha_0 = \beta_0$  and

$$(5.1) \quad \lambda\alpha_n - \alpha_{n-1} = \frac{1}{\lambda^n} (\eta - \eta_n, \zeta_{\lambda q}) - \frac{1}{\lambda^n} (\eta - \beta_n e_n - \eta_n, \zeta_{\lambda q}) = \frac{\beta_n}{\lambda^n} (e_n, \zeta_{\lambda q}) = \frac{\beta_n}{\lambda^n} (\lambda q)^n = q^n \beta_n$$

for all  $n \geq 1$ , which means that  $(\lambda - T)\zeta = S\eta$ . Notice that  $\sum |\alpha_n|^2 < \infty$  holds automatically.

It remains to prove that for  $(\zeta, \eta) \in \ker(l_\lambda^1)$  the inclusion  $(\zeta, \eta) \in \text{im}(l_\lambda^0)$  holds iff  $\eta \in M_\lambda$ . But  $(\zeta, \eta) \in \text{im}(l_\lambda^0)$  iff  $\zeta = S\theta$  for some  $\theta = \sum \gamma_n e_n$ , that is,  $q^n \gamma_n = \alpha_n$ ,  $n \geq 0$ . Indeed, in this case, using (5.1), we derive that

$$\begin{aligned} (\lambda - q^{-1}T)\theta &= \lambda\gamma_0 e_0 + \sum_{n \geq 1} (\lambda\gamma_n - q^{-1}\gamma_{n-1}) e_n = \lambda\alpha_0 e_0 + \sum_{n \geq 1} (\lambda q^{-n} \alpha_n - q^{-n} \alpha_{n-1}) e_n \\ &= \lambda\alpha_0 e_0 + \sum_{n \geq 1} q^{-n} (\lambda\alpha_n - \alpha_{n-1}) e_n = \sum \beta_n e_n = \eta. \end{aligned}$$

Further,  $\zeta = S\theta$  is equivalent to

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma_n = \alpha_n q^{-n} &= \frac{1}{\lambda} \frac{1}{(q\lambda)^n} (\eta - \eta_n, \zeta_{\lambda q}) = -\frac{1}{\lambda} \frac{1}{(q\lambda)^n} (\eta_n, \zeta_{\lambda q}) = -\frac{1}{\lambda} \frac{1}{(q\lambda)^n} \sum_{k > n} \beta_k (q\lambda)^k \\ &= -q \sum_{k > n} \beta_k (q\lambda)^{k-n-1} = -q (\eta_n, T^{n+1} \zeta_{\lambda q}) \end{aligned}$$

with  $\|\theta\|_2^2 = \sum_n |\gamma_n|^2 = |q|^2 \sum_n |(\eta_n, T^{n+1} \zeta_{\lambda q})|^2 = |q|^2 \epsilon_\lambda(\eta) < \infty$ .  $\square$

**5.5. The description of spectra.** Now we can summarize all results obtained in the present section.

**Theorem 5.1.** *Let  $(T, S)$  be a pair given by the unilateral shift operator and the diagonal  $q$ -operator on the Banach space  $\ell_p$ ,  $1 \leq p < \infty$ . Then*

$$\mathbb{D}_{|q|^{-1}} \setminus \mathbb{D}_1^\circ \subseteq \sigma_l(T, S) \subseteq \mathbb{D}_{|q|^{-1}}, \quad \sigma_e(T, S) = \left( \partial \mathbb{D}_1 \cup \partial \mathbb{D}_{|q|^{-1}} \right) \times \{0\},$$

where  $\mathbb{D}_1^\circ$  is the interior of the disk  $\mathbb{D}_1$ . In this case, for the left spectra we have

$$\begin{aligned}\sigma_l^{\pi,1}(T, S) \cap \left(\mathbb{D}_{|q|^{-1}} \setminus \mathbb{D}_1^\circ\right) &= \Sigma_l^1(T, S) \cap \left(\mathbb{D}_{|q|^{-1}} \setminus \mathbb{D}_1^\circ\right) = \sigma_l^{\delta,1}(T, S) \cap \left(\mathbb{D}_{|q|^{-1}} \setminus \mathbb{D}_1^\circ\right) = \mathbb{D}_{|q|^{-1}} \setminus \mathbb{D}_1^\circ, \\ \sigma_l^{\delta,2}(T, S) \cap \left(\mathbb{D}_{|q|^{-1}} \setminus \mathbb{D}_1\right) &= \emptyset,\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\sigma_l^{\pi,1}(T, S) \cap \mathbb{D}_1^\circ = \sigma_l^{\delta,1}(T, S) \cap \mathbb{D}_1^\circ, \quad \sigma_l^{\delta,2}(T, S) \cap \mathbb{D}_1^\circ = \emptyset.$$

The following equalities

$$\sigma_r^{\pi,0}(T, S) = \emptyset, \quad \sigma_r^{\pi,1}(T, S) = \sigma_r^{\pi,2}(T, S) = \sigma_r(T, S) = \sigma_r^{\delta,i}(T, S) = \{1\}$$

for the right spectra hold for all  $i$ ,  $0 \leq i \leq 2$ .

*Proof.* By Proposition 5.1, we have  $\mathbb{D}_{|q|^{-1}} \setminus \mathbb{D}_1 \subseteq \sigma_l(T, S) \subseteq \mathbb{D}_{|q|^{-1}}$ . But  $\sigma_l(T, S)$  is a compact set (Proposition 4.1), therefore the inclusion  $\mathbb{D}_{|q|^{-1}} \setminus \mathbb{D}_1^\circ \subseteq \sigma_l(T, S)$  holds too (see also [7, Proposition 3.1]).

The equalities for the left spectra  $\sigma_l^{\pi,k}(T, S)$ ,  $\sigma_l^{\delta,k}(T, S)$  within  $\mathbb{D}_{|q|^{-1}} \setminus \mathbb{D}_1$  can easily be driven from Proposition 5.1, whereas Lemma 5.1 implies the equalities for the left spectra within  $\mathbb{D}_1^\circ$ . The equalities for the right spectra  $\sigma_r^{\pi,k}(T, S)$ ,  $\sigma_r^{\delta,k}(T, S)$  follow from Proposition 5.2.

To prove the equality for the essential joint spectrum we use the functor  $F$  of Buoni-Harte-Wickstead [1] defined on the category of Banach spaces. Recall that  $F(X) = \ell_\infty(X)/k(X)$ , where  $k(X)$  is the subspace of all precompact sequences on  $X$ . Since  $F$  is annihilating over all compact operators, we obtain that  $F(\mathcal{L}_\lambda(T, S))$  is reduced to the following Banach space complex

$$0 \rightarrow F(\ell_p) \xrightarrow{F(\iota_\lambda^0) = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ \lambda - q^{-1}F(T) \end{bmatrix}} F(\ell_p) \oplus F(\ell_p) \xrightarrow{F(\iota_\lambda^1) = \begin{bmatrix} \lambda - F(T) & 0 \end{bmatrix}} F(\ell_p) \rightarrow 0.$$

It follows that  $(\lambda, 0) \in \sigma_e(T, S)$  iff  $\lambda \in \sigma(F(T)) \cup q^{-1}\sigma(F(T))$  (see [9]). But  $\sigma(F(T)) = \sigma_e(T) = \partial\mathbb{D}_1$  (see [2, VII, 6.5]), therefore  $\sigma_e(T, S) \cap \mathbb{C}_x = \left(\partial\mathbb{D}_1 \cup \partial\mathbb{D}_{|q|^{-1}}\right) \times \{0\}$ .

Finally, by Proposition 5.2,  $\sigma_y(T, S) = \{(0, 1)\}$  and  $\mathcal{R}_1(T, S)$  is a Fredholm complex. It follows that  $(0, 1) \notin \sigma_e(T, S) \cap \mathbb{C}_y$  or  $\sigma_e(T, S) \cap \mathbb{C}_y = \emptyset$ . Optionally, one can apply the functor  $F$  to the complex  $\mathcal{R}_1(T, S)$ , which turns out to be an exact complex. Whence  $\sigma_e(T, S) = \left(\partial\mathbb{D}_1 \cup \partial\mathbb{D}_{|q|^{-1}}\right) \times \{0\}$ .  $\square$

**Remark 5.2.** *It remains unclear whether  $\sigma_l(T, S) \cap \mathbb{D}_1^\circ$  is empty or not. Notice that Lemma 5.2 provides more complicated description of the cohomology group  $H^1(\lambda)$  for  $\lambda \in \mathbb{D}_1^\circ$ .*

As a concluding remark, let us point out that the  $q$ -projection property from the main Theorem 4.1 turns out to be the best possible projection property for the operator  $q$ -planes that confirms Theorem 5.1.

## REFERENCES

- [1] J. Buoni, R. Harte, A. Wickstead, *Upper and lower Fredholm spectra I*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 66 (2) (1977) 309-314.
- [2] J. B. Conway, *A course in Functional Analysis*, Grad. Text. Math. Springer 1990.
- [3] R. Curto, *Applications of several complex variables to multiparameter spectral theory*, Surveys of Some Recent Results in Operator Theory, II (1988) 25-90.
- [4] A. A. Dosiev, *Spectra of infinite parametrized Banach complexes*, J. Operator Theory, 48 (2002) 585-614.
- [5] A. A. Dosiev, *Cohomology of sheaves of Fréchet algebras and spectral theory*, Funct. Anal. Appl. 39 (3) (2005) 225-228.

- [6] A. A. Dosi, *Fréchet sheaves and Taylor spectrum for supernilpotent Lie algebra of operators*, Mediterr. J. Math. 6 (2009) 181-201.
- [7] A. A. Dosi, *A survey of spectra of parametrized Banach space complexes*, Azerb. J. Math. 1 (1) (2011) 3-56.
- [8] A. A. Dosi, *Noncommutative complex analytic geometry of a contractive quantum plane*, (2024) 1-27 (Preprint)
- [9] A. S. Fainstein, *On the joint essential spectrum of a linear operator family*, Funct. Anal. Appl. 14 (2) (1980) 83-84.
- [10] Yu. I. Manin, *Some remarks on Koszul algebras and quantum groups*, Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble) 37 (1987), 191–205.
- [11] A. Ya. Helemskii, *Banach and polynormed algebras: general theory, representations, homology*, Nauka, Moscow, 1989.
- [12] A. Ya. Helemskii, *The homology of Banach and topological algebras*, Math. Appl. (41), Kluwer Acad. Publ. 1989.
- [13] S. S. Kutateladze, *Fundamentals of functional analysis*, Kluwer Acad. Publ. (12) 1995.
- [14] A. Yu. Pirkovskii, *Arens-Michael envelopes, homological epimorphisms and relatively quasifree algebras*, Trans. Moscow Math. Soc. 69 (2008) 27–104.
- [15] A. Yu. Pirkovskii, *Homological dimensions of complex analytic and smooth quantum tori*, Math. methods and Appl. Proc. of the 18th RSSU (2009) 119–142.
- [16] M. Putinar, *Functional calculus with sections of an analytic space*, J. Oper. Th. 4 (1980) 297-306.
- [17] Z. Slodkowski, *An infinite family of joint spectra*, Studia Math. 61 (1977) 239–255.
- [18] L. A. Takhtajan, *Noncommutative cohomologies of the quantum toros*, Funct. Anal. Appl. 23 (2) (1989) 75-76.
- [19] J. L. Taylor, *A joint spectrum for several commuting operators*, J. Funct. Anal., 6 (1970), 172-191.
- [20] J. L. Taylor, *A general framework for a multi-operator functional calculus*, Adv. Math. 9 (1972), 183-252.
- [21] M. Wambst, *Complexes de Koszul quantiques*, Annales de l'Institut Fourier, 43 (4) (1993) 1089-1156.

COLLEGE OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, HARBIN ENGINEERING UNIVERSITY, NANGANG DISTRICT, HARBIN, 150001, CHINA

*Email address:* (dosiev@yahoo.com), (dosiev@metu.edu.tr)