Sprite Sheet Diffusion: Generate Game Character for Animation

Cheng-An Hsieh* chengan2@andrew.cmu.edu Jing Zhang* jingzha4@andrew.cmu.edu Ava Yan* lany2@andrew.cmu.edu

https://chenganhsieh.github.io/spritesheet-diffusion/

1 Introduction

Creating character animations is a cornerstone of 2D game development, requiring illustrators to design a main character image and then manually draw multiple keyframes depicting actions such as running or jumping. This process is both time-consuming and labor-intensive, as maintaining consistency in style, proportions, and details across frames demands meticulous effort (Figure 2).

Existing generative AI methods primarily focus on single image generation or video synthesis, overlooking the specific challenges of conditional image sequence generation for sprites. Additionally, this task involves conditioning on both a reference image for appearance and a pose sequence for intended actions, significantly increasing its complexity. Furthermore, while related methods have shown success in human-centered domains, they rarely address the sprite domain, where limited high-quality training data further complicates the problem. In this work, we make an attempt to address these challenges and our contributions are summarized as follows:

- 1. **Propose a New Task**: We define the sprite sheet generation task, introducing evaluation methods to benchmark models on consistency, pose alignment, and sequence quality.
- 2. Curate a Specialized Dataset: We create a high-quality sprite-domain dataset with 150+ paired reference images, pose sequences, and target action sequences.
- 3. Explore Two Baselines and Propose a Method: We explore using two existing methods in conditional image and video generation domain respectively and creatively adapt one of them, Animate Anyone [Hu, 2024].
- 4. **Obtain a Capable Model**: We successfully train a model that generates faithful, consistent, and high-quality sprite action sequences and conduct extensive experiments to analyze key factors affecting its performance.

This work demonstrates how generative diffusion models can streamline 2D game development by reducing manual effort and enabling efficient sprite animation. Beyond games, the framework extends to virtual avatars, storytelling, and education, offering tools and insights for advancing creative industries and interactive media.

2 Dataset and Task

The proposed task involves generating an **action sequence** of a game character conditioned on an initial **game character reference image** (Figure 3a), a specified **pose sequence** (Figure 3b), and the resulting **action sequence** (Figure 3c). The reference image defines the character's appearance, while the pose sequence outlines the intended actions. The goal is to create a sequence of images that depict the character performing these actions, maintaining consistency with the reference image. Formally, let C denote the reference image of the game character, $P = \{p_1, p_2, \ldots, p_n\}$ denote the pose sequence, where each p_i represents a specific pose in the sequence, and $\hat{I} = \{\hat{i}_1, \hat{i}_2, \ldots, \hat{i}_n\}$ denote the generated image sequence, where \hat{i}_i corresponds to the character in pose p_i . The model learns a mapping $f : (C, P) \rightarrow \hat{I}$ such that each $\hat{i}_i = f(C, p_i)$.

We curate a sprite dataset by collecting sprite sheets from two sources: (1) GameArt2D^{*}, which provides high-quality and uniform sprites with limited diversity, and (2) SpriteDatabase^{*}, which offers diverse styles and poses but exhibits inconsistent quality. From each sprite, one frame was selected as the reference image, while the poses for the remaining

^{*}These authors contributed equally to this work

^{*}GameArt2D: https://www.gameart2d.com/.

^{*}SpriteDatabase: https://spritedatabase.net/.

frames were annotated using pose detection models or manual labeling. Each sprite typically includes 3 to 8 frames per action sequence. See Figure 3 for an example. We split the dataset into training, validation, and two test sets (in-sample and out-sample) at the action sequence level. In-sample test set includes unseen action sequences of characters present in the training set, while out-sample test set contains motion sequences from entirely unseen characters. In total, the dataset comprises 152 paired action sequences and 916 paired frame sequences. See Table 3 for dataset statistics.

We evaluate the generated results with both qualitative and quantitative assessments. For qualitative analysis, we manually evaluate four examples to verify alignment with conditioned images and detail consistency across frames. For quantitative analysis, we evaluate the generated motion frames based on: (1) similarity to ground truth action sequence; and (2) subject consistency within a generated sequence. For (1), we use Structural Similarity Index Measure (SSIM) [Wang et al., 2004] to assess structural similarity in luminance and contrast, Peak Signal-to-Noise Ratio (PSNR) [Horé and Ziou, 2010] to evaluate the pixel-wise difference, and Learned Perceptual Image Patch Similarity (LPIPS) [Zhang et al., 2018] to measure perceptual differences aligned with human judgment. For (2), we utilize the subject consistency score proposed by Huang et al. [2024], which is calculated based on the DINO feature similarity [Ruiz et al., 2023].

3 Related Work

3.1 Image-to-Pose: Foundation for Pose Conditioning

Image-to-pose techniques are fundamental for sprite generation, providing the necessary pose information from reference images and enabling pose constraints during synthesis. 2D human pose estimation (HPE) is a well-studied task focusing on predicting keypoint coordinates from images [Zheng et al., 2023]. Regression-based methods, such as DeepPose [Toshev and Szegedy, 2014], directly predict joint positions, while heatmap-based approaches like DW-Pose [Tian et al., 2021] improve spatial precision through probability maps. Multi-person estimators, such as OpenPose [Cao et al., 2017], handle more complex scenarios. However, existing pose estimation models struggle with game sprites, as game sprites often feature exaggerated proportions, occluding costumes, and non-standard poses. The transfer learning approach by Chen and Zwicker [2022] adapts human pose models for illustrations but is limited in generalizability. Given these challenges, we manually annotate poses for difficult cases to ensure data reliability.

3.2 Pose-to-Image: Conditional Image Generation

Our task can be treated as a conditional image generation problem, where each frame is conditioned on both a reference image for appearance and a specific pose for structure. Diffusion-based models, such as Latent Diffusion [Rombach et al., 2022], are widely used in image generation tasks [Dhariwal and Nichol, 2021]. For pose conditioning, models like ControlNet [Zhang et al., 2023] enable spatial guidance by integrating pose maps, while methods like IP-Adapter [Ye et al., 2023] ensure style and content consistency with reference images. These techniques excel at generating single images aligned with pose or style constraints. However, our task adds the complexity of producing multiple frames that maintain coherence in appearance and motion. While single-frame conditional models offer effective solutions for isolated generations, they lack mechanisms to ensure consistency across sequential outputs.

3.3 Pose-to-Video: Temporal Consistency in Animation

Pose-to-video synthesis extends pose-to-image tasks by introducing temporal coherence, generating realistic video sequences from pose inputs. This task is central to applications like animation, virtual reality, and game development, where smooth and consistent motion is essential. Hu [2024] proposed Animate Anyone, a diffusion-based framework that leverages ReferenceNet for appearance conditioning, a Pose Guider for structural alignment, and temporal modeling to produce smooth transitions between frames. Similarly, Wei et al. [2024] developed AniPortrait, which integrates audio and reference portraits to generate temporally consistent facial animations. Although these approaches emphasize sequence-level temporal smoothness, our task differs as it involves discrete frames. Moreover, their results often exhibit noticeable artifacts when applied to anime that significantly differs from human figures.

4 Methods

4.1 Baseline Approaches

Stable Diffusion with ControlNet and IP-Adapter Integration (SD-IPCN): First, we employ a method from the domain of conditional image generation, leveraging pose and character images as prompts to guide the process. We follow IP-Adapter [Ye et al., 2023]'s method to integrate the appearance adaptor with SD-v1.5 [Rombach et al., 2022] and ControlNet [Zhang et al., 2023]. For testing with IP-Adapter's given weights, we generate all frames of an action sequence as a single concatenated image to improve frame-to-frame consistency of this baseline. For fine-tuning, we adapt IP-Adapter's given training code to fine-tune with ControlNet.

Animate Anyone: Second, we refer to Animate Anyone [Hu, 2024], which differs from IP-Adapter [Ye et al., 2023] in two key aspects. First, Animate Anyone focuses on conditional video generation, introducing an additional layer of consistency to image generation. Our approach adapts Animate Anyone's framework to address sequential image generation by treating it as a video generation problem. Second, Animate Anyone employs a more complex image encoder compared to IP-Adapter, which only leverages the semantics of the reference image. Building on Animate Anyone [Hu, 2024], we further extend it to develop our main method.

4.2 Main Method



Figure 1: Framework

We adapt the framework from Wei et al. [2024] for portrait animation, enhancing Animate Anyone with a Pose Guider that adds pose features to the noise latent during downsampling. The pipeline of our method is illustrated in Figure 1 and comprises three key components: ReferenceNet, Pose Guider, and Motion Module. ReferenceNet encodes appearance features using an SD model with spatial-attention layers, while IP-Adapter [Ye et al., 2023] uses a CLIP image encoder with limited resolution (224x224). Cross-attention, driven by the CLIP image encoder, enhances feature integration between ReferenceNet and the denoising network. The Pose Guider encodes motion information using four convolutional layers to align the pose image to the same resolution as the noise latent, which is then added to the noisy latent before being input into the denoising network. To ensure smooth frame transitions, the Motion Module is embedded within the Res-Trans block after attention layers.

The training process consists of two stages aimed at optimizing both pose conditioning and temporal consistency. In Stage 1 (Pose-to-Image), ReferenceNet, the denoising network, and the Pose Guider are trained to generate individual character images based on input poses. ReferenceNet encodes the reference image, while the Pose Guider encodes pose information, allowing the denoising network to integrate these features and produce accurate character representations. In Stage 2 (Pose-to-Sprite), the Motion Module is trained for temporal consistency while the weights of ReferenceNet, the denoising network, and the Pose Guider are frozen from Stage 1. This stage focuses on achieving smooth and coherent transitions between animation frames.

5 Experiments

To showcase the superiority of our fine-tuned Animate Anyone model, we assess its performance on the in-sample and out-sample test dataset we crafted, aiming to demonstrate its ability to handle both seen and unseen characters. We also establish three baseline methods for comparison: Animate Anyone with its vanilla weights, SD-IPCN, and a fine-tuned version of SD-IPCN trained on our training set. Results are analyzed using the metrics described in Section 2, focusing on the quality of generated images as well as their subject consistency.

5.1 Implementation Details

We trained IP-Adapter model for 40 epochs (approximately 5,000 steps) on an NVIDIA A10G GPU (24GB), taking around 2 hours. The training loss gradually saturates after 10 epochs, and we report results at epoch 20 to avoid overfitting.

Fine-tuned Animate Anyone model has two training stages. In the first stage, we train the PoseGuider, ReferenceNet, and DenoisingNet, which require over 30GB of GPU memory. Therefore, we use an NVIDIA L40S (42GB) GPU for 30,000 steps (10 hours). In the second stage, only the temporal layers are trained, allowing us to use a single NVIDIA 4090 GPU (24GB) for 20,000 steps (2 hours).

5.2 Qualitative Comparison

We randomly sampled four test set examples: two in-sample and two out-sample, to manually evaluate the generated motion frames (Appendix C). Our fine-tuned Animate Anyone model successfully recreates the reference image's appearance and follows the corresponding pose image to generate appropriate motion frames, while also generalizing to unseen characters. However, it is still underperforming with finer details like hairstyles (Figure 4) and props (Figures 5, 6). The vanilla-weight version performs worse, producing garbled outputs failing to match reference or pose. For SD-IPCN, the vanilla model generates acceptable results, with fine-tuning offering limited improvement. Nonetheless, both models fail to accurately replicate the reference image, producing only stylistically similar outputs.

5.3 Quantitative Comparison

Tables 1 and 2 present the quantitative comparison between our fine-tuned Animate Anyone model and baseline approaches. Our fine-tuned model demonstrates remarkable improvements in SSIM, PSNR, and LPIPS compared to other baseline methods, which aligns with our quantitative analysis and highlights its effectiveness in maintaining fidelity to reference images. Notably, it achieved significant improvements over the version with vanilla weights. This is because the original Animate Anyone model was trained to generate realistic animated videos, where the training dataset consisted of characters with clear, human-like pose structures. Sprite images, however, lack such clear pose structures, making it challenging for the original model to generate accurate sequences. Through the fine-tuning of Animate Anyone, our tailored model effectively learns the relationship between these specialized sprite images and their corresponding pose images, enabling it to produce results that are highly aligned with the reference images and follow the given pose image structure.

The SD-IPCN model with vanilla weights achieves a similar Subject Consistency score as fine-tuned Animate Anyone. After fine-tuning, SD-IPCN surpasses fine-tuned Animate Anyone in subject consistency and shows an improved SSIM score over its vanilla-weight counterpart, indicating enhanced subject identity preservation. However, its SSIM, PSNR, and LPIPS scores remain lower, suggesting that it struggles to align with the detailed appearance of the reference images. The primary difference lies in how these methods approach image condition modeling. SD-IPCN relies solely on CLIP embeddings, which can preserve some image similarity but fail to effectively transfer fine-grained details. In contrast, the ReferenceNet in our fine-tuned Animate Anyone model leverages a UNet structure to capture the spatial details of the reference images, enabling superior preservation of appearance details.

	SSIM ↑	PSNR ↑	LPIPS↓	Subject Consistency \uparrow
SD-IPCN	0.294 ± 0.074	10.752 ± 2.187	0.412 ± 0.127	0.885 ± 0.044
SD-IPCN (finetuned)	0.421 ± 0.157	10.060 ± 2.984	0.401 ± 0.108	0.910 ± 0.039
Animate Anyone	0.330 ± 0.143	9.786 ± 2.159	0.557 ± 0.184	*
Animate Anyone (finetuned)	0.659 ± 0.250	18.405 ± 5.280	0.125 ± 0.088	0.901 ± 0.064
T	able 1: Quantitat	ive Comparison (In-Sample).	
	SSIM ↑	PSNR↑	LPIPS↓	Subject Consistency↑
SD-IPCN	0.308 ± 0.098	10.919 ± 2.316	0.386 ± 0.079	0.892 ± 0.038

Table 2:	Quantitative	Comparison	(Out-Sample).

 10.298 ± 3.045

 8.957 ± 1.908

 0.655 ± 0.195 18.809 ± 4.806 0.139 ± 0.090

 0.393 ± 0.111

 0.694 ± 0.083

 0.932 ± 0.025

 0.893 ± 0.038

 0.385 ± 0.124

 0.236 ± 0.055

5.4 Ablation Study

SD-IPCN (finetuned)

Animate Anyone (finetuned)

Animate Anyone

To identify which fine-tuned components are crucial for adapting the Animate Anyone model to sprite sheet generation, we conducted an ablation study by selectively fine-tuning components while freezing others. The experiments include: (1) fine-tuning only the Pose Guider (Pose Guider Only), (2) fine-tuning the Pose Guider and denoising UNet (Pose

^{*}Due to Animate Anyone's limited pose adaptation capability without fine-tuning, the generated frames show minimal variation. Therefore, subject consistency evaluation was not conducted for this baseline method.

Guider + Denoising UNet), (3) fine-tuning all Stage 1 components (Stage 1 Only), and (4) fine-tuning both Stage 1 and Stage 2 components, which is the same as the fine-tuned Animate Anyone mentioned earlier (Fully Fine-Tuned).

Tables 4 and 5 in the Appendix D.1 show the quantitative comparison of the performance across different configurations. We also randomly sampled four examples for manual evaluation (see Appendix D.1). Results show that fine-tuning only the Pose Guider allows the model to reconstruct most appearance details, while incorporating additional components during Stage 1 training, such as the reference network and denoising UNet, consistently improves the model's performance across all evaluation metrics. However, the fully fine-tuned model underperforms "Stage 1 Only" on some metrics, revealing the need to adjust Stage 2 training configuration. While Stage 2 is critical for ensuring the generated character's poses align closely with the given pose images, overfitting at this stage can potentially lead to the loss of important details. For example, as shown in Figure 10, the character's gun disappears in the output generated by the fully fine-tuned model.

6 Code Overview

Dataset Creation: Our data pipeline consists of the following steps: (1) for uncropped sprite action sequences, we split the sprite sheet into separate rows, adjust the height, define the width of each frame, save cropped frames (2) label each frame manually or use OpenPose/DW-Pose. The detailed code is available on Appendix E.1.

IP-Adapter: For testing with the IP-Adapter [Ye et al., 2023] repo's provided weights, we adapt dataset loading part of IP-Adapter repo's provided ip_adapter_controlnet_demo_new.ipynb. For fine-tuning the IP-Adapter, we reference the train_tutorial.py script from the IP-Adapter repo that originally only trains on text-image pairs and adapt it to train with ControlNet on our reference image, pose image and target action image triplet pairs. Appendix E.2.

Animate Anyone: We adapt Animate Anyone [Hu, 2024] and fine-tune the models for our specific domains. Most of the code is based on Moore-Animate Anyone, but we made several modifications: (1) rewriting the script for loading our sprite sheet dataset (Figure 21), (2) adding data augmentation by flipping images (Figure 19), (3) integrating Wandb to track the training process (Figure 20), and (4) rewriting the inference code to generate frames without requiring video format output (Figure 22). Appendix E.3.

Experiment Scripts: To streamline evaluation and analysis, we implemented two scripts for automated assessment. Each script could process inputs and generate a Markdown file with overall and per-character/motion statistics. The first script (Appendix E.4.1) evaluates similarity between ground truth and generated frames using SSIM, PSNR, and LPIPS. The second (Appendix E.4.2) assesses subject consistency using the Subject Consistency Score from the vbench library [Huang et al., 2024].

7 Research Log and Timeline (Detailed Timeline: Table 6)

7.1 Task Definition and Dataset Creation, 50 hrs

We grouped early in the semester to brainstorm our topic of interest. After deciding to work on game character sequence generation, our first challenge was finding a suitable dataset. Websites like SpriteDatabase contained many sprite sheets, but none provided paired action and pose sequences. We wrote labeling pipeline scripts to manually crop action sequences from sprite sheets and annotate poses using pose detection models such as DW-Pose and OpenPose. While these models worked well for humanoid sprites, they performed poorly on characters with exaggerated proportions or non-standard anatomy, conflicting with our goal of building a diverse dataset. To address this, we manually annotated challenging cases, balancing automation with manual correction to ensure quality. (32 hrs)

Later, we discovered GameArt2D, a website that provides pre-cropped action sequences. Although these lacked pose diversity, they were consistent, high-resolution and easier to annotate. For these sprites, since they are all disproportional with human figure and pose detection models do not perform well on them, we wrote a manual annotation script inspired by OpenPose's outputs. We then supplemented our dataset with several more non-human-like sprites from SpriteDatabase to ensure the dataset's diversity and representativeness. (18 hrs)

7.2 Literature Review and Refining Focus, 20 hrs

Parallel to dataset creation, we also explored the broader literature on diffusion-based image and video generation. After recognizing the significance of pose conditioning, we narrowed our focus to works on conditional pose generation. Initially, we tested AniPortrait, a facial video generation framework, on our dataset. However, without pretrained weights, training required substantial time and was prone to overfitting. We later discovered that AniPortrait's codebase was derived from Animate Anyone, which targets character video generation and aligns more closely with our task. This realization prompted us to pivot to Animate Anyone, leveraging its pretrained weights for sprite sequence generation.

Our exploration also revealed layered relationships between image and video generation research. Image generation methods like IP-Adapter focus on single-frame fidelity, while video generation emphasizes temporal coherence. Our task lies somewhere in the middle and can take existing methods from those two lines of work as baselines.

7.3 Method Implementation, 45 hrs

We selected IP-Adapter for image generation and Animate Anyone for video generation as our baseline approaches. Each re-implementation presented some unique challenges.

IP-Adapter provided a notebook for testing, where we explored two strategies: generating all frames in an action sequence simultaneously or treating each frame independently. The single-pass approach showed slightly better consistency and was used for reporting. However, its training logic, originally designed for style adaptation with text-image pairs, was incompatible with our pose-conditioned task. And repository defines two different classes for the core component ip-adaptor, leading to ambiguity in understanding. We extensively modified the training script to align with our needs and tested both fine-tuning pre-trained weights and training from scratch. Fine-tuning performed significantly better, likely due to the limited size of our dataset, and was used in the final results. (15 hrs)

For Animate Anyone, the official authors of the paper did not release the source code. Initially, we adapted AniPortrait, but later discovered that its codebase originated from Moore-Animate Anyone, an open-source work that tries to re-implement Animate Anyone. Upon comparing the two, we found the only difference was in the Pose Guider structure. AniPortrait enhances the Pose Guider by adding more convolution layers, incorporating the reference pose image, and integrating the pose image features into the denoising downsampling process. After evaluating the results, we found that the improved Pose Guider generated images closer to the reference image. We made minimal changes to their code, only adding functions for loading the dataset, data augmentation, and inference. (30 hrs)

7.4 Evaluation and Experimental Design, 24 hrs

Defining evaluation metrics was an iterative process due to the novelty of our task, which lacked prior research for reference. We initially used common image generation metrics (SSIM, PSNR, and LPIPS) to evaluate the similarity between ground truth and generated frames. However, these metrics focused solely on frame-to-frame similarity and proved insufficient for assessing subject consistency across frames. Given the limited research in this area, we conducted a thorough literature review and incorporated the Subject Consistency Score proposed by VBench [Huang et al., 2024] into our evaluation framework. (6 hrs)

For the experimental design, we first created an in-sample and out-sample test set to evaluate the method's performance from two perspectives: seen characters with unseen motion and unseen characters. Our initial results showed significant improvements in the fine-tuned Animate Anyone compared to its vanilla weights, which motivated us to conduct an ablation study (Section 5.4) to analyze the impact of each component and understand the key factors driving the performance gains. The ablation study revealed that the Pose Guider was critical in achieving these improvements, but it also exposed potential overfitting issues in our Stage 2 training. Unfortunately, due to computational constraints, we could not run additional experiments to optimize the Stage 2 training settings. Nevertheless, this highlights a promising direction for future work to improve subject consistency. (18 hrs)

8 Conclusion

In this work, we address a critical yet overlooked task in game development: sprite sheet generation. We creatively adapted the video generation framework Animate Anyone for this task and conducted comprehensive experiments alongside baselines to validate the effectiveness of our fine-tuned model. An ablation study further evaluated the contribution of each component during training. To tackle the lack of datasets in this field, we created a high-quality sprite-domain dataset with 150+ paired reference images, pose sequences, and target action sequences, laying a foundation for future research.

Both quantitative and qualitative results show that our fine-tuned model could effectively generate motion frames aligned with the reference image and the pose structure, which addresses the original Animate Anyone's inability to connect the sprite image with the pose image and overcomes the IP-Adapter-based methods' limitations in transferring image details. However, our model still struggles with overfitting during Stage 2 training and maintaining subject consistency and finer details. Future work will focus on refining the framework and optimizing fine-tuning configuration.

References

- Zhe Cao, Tomas Simon, Shih-En Wei, and Yaser Sheikh. Realtime multi-person 2d pose estimation using part affinity fields. In *Proceedings of the IEEE Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition (CVPR)*, pages 7291–7299, 2017.
- Shuhong Chen and Matthias Zwicker. Transfer learning for pose estimation of illustrated characters. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Winter Conference on Applications of Computer Vision*, 2022.
- Prafulla Dhariwal and Alexander Nichol. Diffusion models beat gans on image synthesis. Advances in neural information processing systems, 34:8780–8794, 2021.
- Alain Horé and Djemel Ziou. Image quality metrics: Psnr vs. ssim. In 2010 20th International Conference on Pattern Recognition, pages 2366–2369, 2010. doi: 10.1109/ICPR.2010.579.
- Li Hu. Animate anyone: Consistent and controllable image-to-video synthesis for character animation. In *Proceedings* of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition, pages 8153–8163, 2024.
- Ziqi Huang, Yinan He, Jiashuo Yu, Fan Zhang, Chenyang Si, Yuming Jiang, Yuanhan Zhang, Tianxing Wu, Qingyang Jin, Nattapol Chanpaisit, et al. Vbench: Comprehensive benchmark suite for video generative models. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition*, pages 21807–21818, 2024.
- Robin Rombach, Andreas Blattmann, Dominik Lorenz, Patrick Esser, and Björn Ommer. High-resolution image synthesis with latent diffusion models. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF conference on computer vision and pattern recognition*, pages 10684–10695, 2022.
- Nataniel Ruiz, Yuanzhen Li, Varun Jampani, Yael Pritch, Michael Rubinstein, and Kfir Aberman. Dreambooth: Fine tuning text-to-image diffusion models for subject-driven generation. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF conference on computer vision and pattern recognition*, pages 22500–22510, 2023.
- Yuliang Tian, Xu Li, Weixin Wang, and Lianwen Zhang. Dw-pose: Deep whole-body pose estimation with dense regression. Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF International Conference on Computer Vision (ICCV), pages 11808–11817, 2021.
- Alexander Toshev and Christian Szegedy. Deeppose: Human pose estimation via deep neural networks. In *Proceedings* of the IEEE Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition (CVPR), pages 1653–1660, 2014.
- Zhou Wang, A.C. Bovik, H.R. Sheikh, and E.P. Simoncelli. Image quality assessment: from error visibility to structural similarity. *IEEE Transactions on Image Processing*, 13(4):600–612, 2004. doi: 10.1109/TIP.2003.819861.
- Huawei Wei, Zejun Yang, and Zhisheng Wang. Aniportrait: Audio-driven synthesis of photorealistic portrait animation. arXiv preprint arXiv:2403.17694, 2024.
- Hu Ye, Jun Zhang, Sibo Liu, Xiao Han, and Wei Yang. Ip-adapter: Text compatible image prompt adapter for text-to-image diffusion models. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2308.06721*, 2023.
- Lvmin Zhang, Anyi Rao, and Maneesh Agrawala. Adding conditional control to text-to-image diffusion models. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF International Conference on Computer Vision*, pages 3836–3847, 2023.
- Richard Zhang, Phillip Isola, Alexei A Efros, Eli Shechtman, and Oliver Wang. The unreasonable effectiveness of deep features as a perceptual metric. In *Proceedings of the IEEE conference on computer vision and pattern recognition*, pages 586–595, 2018.
- Cheng Zheng, Wenbing Wu, Chen Chen, Tong Yang, Siyu Zhu, Jianbing Shen, and Mubarak Shah. Deep learning-based human pose estimation: A survey. ACM Computing Surveys, 56(1):1–37, 2023.

A Visual Illustration



Figure 2: A sprite in game development is a 2D bitmap graphic representing a character, object, or visual element. A sprite sheet is a single image file containing multiple sprites. The left image shows three game character sprites, while the right displays the sprite sheet of one character's action sequences. Image source: https://craftpix.net/product/pixel-art-characters-for-platformer-games/.

B Dataset



Figure 3: An example of an action sequence for one character.

	Train	Val	Test (in-sample)	Test (out-sample)
Character Count	28	28	28	12
(GameArt2D)	12	12	12	4
(SpriteDatabase)	16	16	16	8
Action Seq Count	84	28	28	12
Frame Seq Count	533	174	157	52

Table 3: Dataset Summary.

C Qualitative Comparison

C.1 In-Sample



Figure 4: Qualitative Comparison (In-Sample - Adventure Girl - Dead)



Figure 5: Qualitative Comparison (In-Sample - Theif - Jump)

C.2 Out-Sample



ad Truth Animate Anyone SD-IPCN SD-IPCN (finetuned) Animate Anyone (finetuned)

Figure 6: Qualitative Comparison (Out-Sample - SS - Run)



Figure 7: Qualitative Comparison (Out-Sample - Enemies Miscellaeous - Attack)

D Ablation Study

D.1 Quantitative Comparison

Method	SSIM ↑	PSNR ↑	LPIPS↓	Subject Consistency↑	
Pose Guider Only Pose Guider + Unet Stage 1 Only Fully Fine-Tuned	$\begin{array}{c} 0.535 \pm 0.226 \\ 0.606 \pm 0.238 \\ 0.619 \pm 0.239 \\ \textbf{0.659 \pm 0.250} \end{array}$	$15.716 \pm 4.503 17.304 \pm 5.769 17.883 \pm 6.226 18.405 \pm 5.280$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.211 \pm 0.132 \\ 0.165 \pm 0.114 \\ 0.153 \pm 0.108 \\ \textbf{0.125 \pm 0.088} \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{l} 0.901 \pm 0.064 \\ 0.914 \pm 0.058 \\ \textbf{0.920} \pm \textbf{0.051} \\ 0.901 \pm 0.064 \end{array}$	
Table 4: Ablation Study Results (In-Sample).					

Method	SSIM↑	PSNR↑	LPIPS↓	Subject Consistency↑
Pose Guider Only	0.574 ± 0.182	16.966 ± 4.042	0.178 ± 0.099	0.911 ± 0.038
Pose Guider + Unet	0.599 ± 0.169	18.429 ± 5.314	0.158 ± 0.096	0.929 ± 0.035
Stage 1 Only	0.644 ± 0.194	19.491 ± 5.884	0.137 ± 0.091	0.931 ± 0.035
Fully Fine-Tuned	0.655 ± 0.195	18.809 ± 4.806	0.139 ± 0.090	0.893 ± 0.038

Table 5: Ablation Study Results (Out-Sample).

D.2 Qualitative Comparison

D.2.1 In-Sample



Ground TruthPose Guider OnlyPose Guider + UNetStage 1 OnlyFully Fine-TunedFigure 8: Ablation Study Qualitative Comparison (In-Sample - Adventure Girl - Dead)



Figure 9: Ablation Study Qualitative Comparison (In-Sample - Theif - Jump)

D.2.2 Out-Sample



Ground TruthPose Guider OnlyPose Guider + UNetStage 1 OnlyFully Fine-TunedFigure 10: Ablation Study Qualitative Comparison (Out-Sample - SS - Run)



Figure 11: Ablation Study Qualitative Comparison (Out-Sample - Enemies Miscellaeous - Attack)

E Code Overview

E.1 Labeling Pipeline



Figure 12: Split sprite sheet into different rows

lef	<pre>segment_frames(motion_part, num_rows):</pre>
	w, h = motion_part.size
	v offset = 0
	row heights = []
	rows = []
	default height = h // num rows
	print(f"Total image height: {h}")
	f to be in the set of the set
	bottom beinht = default beinht
	finish = lalse
	for row in range(num rows):
	bottom offset = 0
	while bottom beight<= h:
	ince conversion part conv()
	$\lim_{n \to \infty} \lim_{n \to \infty} \lim_{n$
	fig. av = plt subplots(1)
	ay inchou (row inago)
	n! + iilof(f!!provided of Row f row + 1)!)
	ptisiuwij confirm - input("It this row height correct? Type lok! to confirm or 'done! to finish or !! to re-onter! ")
	if continue larger larger larger correct: type of to continue of done to transmost to re-enter.)
	rows.appenutrow_integer
	$cop_{int} = correct_{int}$
	Ditak
	finite True done :
	l Dican
	to affect = int(input(f"Enter the affect for the coordinate $(+/-)$ "))
	bettam offset = intringuing the three the offset for bottam coordinate $(+/-)^n$
	tarm top - top offsat + top height
	$c_{\text{comp}} c_{\text{obs}} = c_{\text{obs}} c_{o$
	nright(#"Invalid height Your beight: (/temp ton) {temp hottom} Must be between 1 and {b} ")
	to offer - A
	nrit("Trujid innut Place antar integers ")
	print invatio input rease encer integers. 7

Figure 13: Adjust height and segment each frame



Figure 14: Crop images and label the human pose for each frame



Figure 15: Follow openpose output's format



Figure 16: Use tinker library to hand-draw keypoints and limbs of the pose

E.2 IP-Adpater





Figure 17: Use Ip-Adapter repo SD-IPCN notebook for testing with out customed dataset

IP-Ad	apter > 🧟 tutorial_train.py > 🗘 main
100	def main():
100	def main():
101	args = parse_args()
102	<pre>accelerator = Accelerator(mixed_precision=args.mixed_precision)</pre>
103	if accelerator.is_main_process:
104	os.makedirs(args.output_dir, exist_ok=True)
105	
106	# 初始化 wandb
107	if accelerator.is_main_process:
108	wandb.init(
109	project="IP-Adapter-ControlNet", # 替换为你的项目名称
110	config={
111	"pretrained_model": args.pretrained_model_name_or_path,
112	"controlnet_model": args.controlnet_model_path,
113	<pre>"image_encoder": args.image_encoder_path,</pre>
114	"batch_size": args.train_batch_size,
115	"resolution": args.resolution,
116	"learning_rate": args.learning_rate,
117	"weight_decay": args.weight_decay,
118	"num_epochs": args.num_train_epochs,
119	
120	
121	
122	<pre>vae = AutoencoderKL.trom_pretrained(args.pretrained_model_name_or_path, subfolder="vae")</pre>
123	<pre>unet = UNet2DConditionModel.from_pretrained(args.pretrained_model_name_or_path, subfolder="unet")</pre>
124	controlnet = controlwetmodel.trom_pretrained(args.controlnet_model_path)
125	noise_scheduler = upumscheduler.trom_pretrained_args.pretrained_model_name_or_path, subrolder="scheduler")
120	<pre>image_encoder = CLIPVIsionMode(withProjection.from_pretrained(args.image_encoder_path)</pre>
127	
128	vae = vae.to(accelerator.device) Loading
129	unet = unet. (ofacteterator.device)
121	image encoder = image encoder to (accelerator, device)
132	image_encoder - image_encoder.co(accereracor.device)
132	imana proi modal - ImageProiModal(
134	ross attention dimensional configuross attention dim
135	clip embeddings dimeimage encoder config projection dim
136	clip extra context tokes=4.
137).to(accelerator, device)
138	
139	attn procs = Ω
140	for name in unet.attn processors.keys():
141	cross_attention_dim = None if name.endswith("attn1.processor") else unet.config.cross attention_dim
142	if name.startswith("mid_block"):
143	hidden_size = unet.config.block_out_channels[-1]

```
IP-Adapter > 🍦 tutorial_train.py > 😚 main
      def main():
               elif name.startswith("up_blocks"):
    block_id = int(name[len("up_blocks.")])
    hidden_size = list(reversed(unet.config.block_out_channels))[block_id]
              elif name.startswith("down_blocks"):
    block_id = int(name[len("down_blocks.")])
                   hidden_size = unet.config.block_out_channels[block_id]
150
               if cross_attention_dim is None:
                  attn_procs[name] = AttnProcessor()
                       hidden_size=hidden_size,
                       cross_attention_dim=cross_attention_dim,
                       scale=1.0.
                       num_tokens=4,
                   attn_procs[name] = processor.to(accelerator.device)
          unet.set_attn_processor(attn_procs)
          adapter_modules = torch.nn.ModuleList(list(unet.attn_processors.values()))
           optimizer = torch.optim.AdamW(
                   image_proj_model.parameters(),
                   adapter_modules.parameters()
               lr=args.learning_rate,
weight_decay=args.weight_decay
          train_dataset = MyDataset(args.data_json_file, size=args.resolution, image_root_path=args.data_root_path)
           train_dataloader = torch.utils.data.DataLoader(
               train_dataset,
               collate_fn=collate_fn,
               batch_size=args.train_batch_size,
               num_workers=args.dataloader_num_workers
          # tadm
```

IP-Adapter > 💠 tutorial_train.py > 😚 main				
00 def main():				
<pre>8 for epoch in range(args.num_train_epochs):</pre>				
189 progress_bar = tqdm(
190 enumerate(train_dataloader),				
<pre>191 desc=f"Epoch {epoch+1}/{args.num_train_epochs}",</pre>				
192 total=len(train_dataloader),				
193 disable=not accelerator.is_main_process				
194				
195 for step, batch in progress_bar:				
196 with accelerator.accumulate(image_proj_model):				
197 reference_images = batch["reference_images"].to(accelerator.device, dtype=torch.float32)				
198 pose_images = batch["pose_images"].to(accelerator.device, dtype=torch.float32)				
199 target_images = batch["target_images"].to(accelerator.device, dtype=torch.float32)				
200 clip_images = batch["clip_images"].to(accelerator.device, dtype=torch.float32)				
201				
202 with torch.no_grad():				
203 clip_image_embeds = image_encoder(clip_images).image_embeds				
<pre>204 image_prompt_embeds = image_proj_model(clip_image_embeds)</pre>				
205				
206 with torch.no_grad():				
207 latents = vae.encode(target_images).latent_dist.sample()				
208 latents = latents * vae.config.scaling_factor				
209 noise = torch.randn_like(latents)				
210 timesteps = torch.randint(0, noise_scheduler.config.num_train_timesteps, (latents.size(0),),			
211 noisy_latents = noise_scheduler.add_noise(latents, noise, timesteps)				
212				
213 controlnet_output = controlnet(
214 sample=noisy_latents,				
215 timestep=timesteps,				
216 encoder_hidden_states=image_prompt_embeds,				
217 controlnet_cond=pose_images,				
218 return_dict=False,				
221 noise_pred = unet(
222 sample=noisy_latents,				
223 timestep=timesteps,				
224 encoder_hidden_states=image_prompt_embeds,				
225 down_block_additional_residuals=controlnet_output[0],				
226 mid_block_additional_residual=controlnet_output[1],				
227).sample				
228				
229 loss = F.mse_loss(noise_pred, noise)				
230 accelerator.backward(loss)				
231 optimizer.step()				



Figure 18: Adapt Ip-Adapter repo train_tutorial.py to finetune with Controlnet

E.3 Animate Anyone

```
def get_batch_wo_pose(self, index):
    unique_id = self.data_dic_name_list[index]
    sample_info = self.data_dic[unique_id]
    flip_horizontal = random.choice([True, False])
   # Ground Truth Images
   ground_truth_paths = sample_info['ground_truth']
   pixel_values = [cv2.imread(path) for path in ground_truth_paths]
   pixel_values = [cv2.cvtColor(img, cv2.COLOR_BGR2RGB) for img in pixel_values]
   pixel_values = [self.contrast_normalization(img) for img in pixel_values]
   if flip_horizontal:
       pixel_values_flip = [cv2.flip(img, 1) for img in pixel_values]
       pixel_values_flip = np.array(pixel_values_flip)
       pixel_values = pixel_values_flip
       pixel_values = np.array(pixel_values)
       pixel_values_flip = pixel_values
   pixel_values = torch.from_numpy(pixel_values).permute(0, 3, 1, 2).contiguous()
   pixel_values = pixel_values / 255.0 # Normalize to [0,1]
   # Pose Images for Ground Truth
   poses_paths = sample_info['poses']
   pixel_values_pose = [cv2.imread(path) for path in poses_paths]
   pixel_values_pose = [cv2.cvtColor(img, cv2.COLOR_BGR2RGB) for img in pixel_values_pose]
   pixel_values_pose = [self.contrast_normalization(img) for img in pixel_values_pose]
    if flip_horizontal:
       pixel_values_pose_flip = [cv2.flip(img, 1) for img in pixel_values_pose]
       pixel_values_pose_flip = np.array(pixel_values_pose_flip)
       pixel_values_pose = pixel_values_pose_flip
       pixel_values_pose = np.array(pixel_values_pose)
       pixel_values_pose_flip = pixel_values_pose
   pixel_values_pose = torch.from_numpy(pixel_values_pose).permute(0, 3, 1, 2).contiguous()
   pixel_values_pose = pixel_values_pose / 255.0 # Normalize to [0,1]
```

Figure 19: Randomly flip images







Figure 21: Load our dataset



Figure 22: Output the result

E.4 Evaluation and Experimental Design

E.4.1 experiment/eval_img_quality.py



Figure 23: eval_img_quality.py - Part 1



Figure 24: eval_img_quality.py - Part 2



Figure 25: eval_img_quality.py - Part 3

```
_____mame__ == ___main__ :

parser = argparse.ArgumentParser(description="Evaluate image similarity metrics and save results.")

parser.add_argument("--result_dir", type=str, required=True, help="Path to the experiment results directory.")

parser.add_argument("--reference_img", type=str, required=True, help="Reference image folder name.")

parser.add_argument("--output_file", type=str, required=True, help="Path to the output markdown file.")
 args = parser.parse_args()
result_dir = args.result_dir
output_file_path = args.output_file
reference_img_folder = args.reference_img
generated_img_folder = args.generated_img
       "SSIM": [],
"PSNR": [],
"LPIPS": []
detailed_scores = {}
pips_loss_fn = lpips.LPIPS(net='alex')
lpips_transform = transforms.Compose([transforms.ToTensor()])
with tqdm(characters, desc="Processing Characters") as character_pbar:
       motions_path = os.path.join(character_path, "motions")
motions = os.listdir(motions_path)
              with tqdm(motions, desc=f"Processing Motions for {character}", leave=False) as motion_pbar:
                    for motion in motions:
    ground_truth_path = os.path.join(motions_path, motion, reference_img_folder)
                           generated_img_path = os.path.join(motions_path, motion, generated_img_folder)
                           if not os.path.exists(ground_truth_path) or not os.path.exists(generated_img_path):
    print(f"Skipping motion '{motion}' for character '{character}' due to missing folders.")
    motion_pbar.update(1)
                                  continue
                           print(f"Evaluating '{motion}' motion for character '{character}'...")
metrics = evaluate(ground_truth_path, generated_img_path, lpips_loss_fn, lpips_transform)
                                  overall_metrics[metric].extend(metrics[metric])
                           summary = summarize_metrics(metrics)
character_motion_key = f"{character} - {motion}"
                           character_motion_summaries[character_motion_key] = summary
                           detailed_scores[character_motion_key] = {
    "Frame": [f"Frame (i+1)" for i in range(len(metrics["SSIM"]))],
    "SSIM": metrics["SSIM"],
    "PSNR": metrics["SNR"],
    "LPIPS": metrics["LPIPS"]
                           motion_pbar.update(1)
overall_summary = summarize_metrics(overall_metrics)
save_results(overall_summary, character_motion_summaries, detailed_scores, output_file_path)
print(f"Evaluation completed. Results saved to {output_file_path}")
```

Figure 26: eval_img_quality.py - Part 4

E.4.2 experiment/eval_sub_consistency.py



Figure 27: eval_sub_consistency.py - Part 1



Figure 28: eval_sub_consistency.py - Part 2



Figure 29: eval_sub_consistency.py - Part 3

F Appendix: Detailed Timeline and Task Breakdown

Task Category	Task Description	Time Spent (hrs)
Reading Pa- pers/Dataset Web- sites	ReadingPa- pers/Dataset- Exploring literature on diffusion-based image and video generation. - Researching existing datasets (SpriteDatabase, GameArt2D).sites	
Reading Code Docu- mentation	 - Understanding IP-Adapter's code - Reviewing AniPortrait and Animate Anyone repositories. 	12
Dataset Creation	 Writing labeling pipeline for action sequences from sprite sheets. Annotating poses using DW-Pose and OpenPose, and manually annotating challenging cases. Annotating GameArt2D sprites and supplementing dataset with nonhuman sprites. 	50
Understanding Exist- ing Code	 Studying IP-Adapter's testing notebook and training logic. Analyzing Pose Guider modifications in AniPortrait and Moore- Animate Anyone. 	14
Modifying Existing Code	 Adapting IP-Adapter's training script for pose-conditioned tasks. Adding dataset loading, augmentation, and inference functions to Animate Anyone. Run training experiments 	30
Writing Scripts for Experiments	 Writing scripts for training and evaluation of IP-Adapter and Animate Anyone. Implementing dataset augmentation and evaluation pipelines. 	8
Running Experiments	 Testing frame generation strategies with IP-Adapter. Conducting ablation studies. 	12
Compiling Results	 Analyzing and visualizing evaluation metrics (SSIM, PSNR, LPIPS). Calculating Subject Consistency Scores. Summarizing results for ablation study. 	12
Writing Report	Writing Report- Documenting project phases, methodology, and findings. - Preparing detailed timeline and writing key sections.	
Preparing Presenta- tion and Paper	PreparingPresenta- tion and Paper- Designing and writing the project paper. - Preparing slides and rehearsing presentation.	
Total Hours 183		

Table 6: Detailed timeline and task breakdown.