

HALIN'S GRID THEOREM FOR DIGRAPHS

FLORIAN REICH

ABSTRACT. Halin showed that any thick end of any graph contains an infinite grid. We extend Halin's theorem to digraphs. More precisely, we show that for any infinite family \mathcal{R} of disjoint equivalent out-rays there is a grid whose vertical rays are contained in \mathcal{R} . Furthermore, we obtain similar results for in-rays and necklaces.

1. INTRODUCTION

Two rays of a graph G are *equivalent* if there exist infinitely many disjoint paths between them in G . The *ends* of G are the equivalence classes of rays in G and we call an end *thick* if it contains infinitely many disjoint rays. Halin [6] proved that for any thick end ω in G there exists a subdivided hexagonal half-grid in G witnessing that ω is thick. Kurkofka, Melcher and Pitz [9] strengthened Halin's seminal result in the following way:

Theorem 1.1. *For every infinite family \mathcal{R} of disjoint equivalent rays in a graph G , there exists a subdivision of a hexagonal half-grid G' such that each vertical ray of G' is an element of \mathcal{R} .*

For certain classes of graphs thick ends are even witnessed by subdivided full hexagonal grids, which has been studied by Heuer [8], Hamann and Georgakopoulos [5].

In this paper we investigate grids in digraphs that are necessary and sufficient for thick ends of digraphs. There exist two common notions of directed ends. The first notion is due to Zuther [11] and defines *ends* of digraphs as equivalence classes of in-rays or out-rays. Two out-rays R_1 and R_2 of a digraph D are *equivalent* if there exist infinitely many disjoint R_1 – R_2 paths and infinitely many disjoint R_2 – R_1 paths in D [11]. An end is *thick* if it contains infinitely many disjoint out-rays.

We determine two necessary grid-like structures in these thick ends: On the one hand, the *bidirected quarter-grid*, where two consecutive rays are connected in both directions (see [Figure 1a](#)). On the other hand, the *dominated directed quarter-grid*, where two consecutive rays are connected in one direction and in the other direction the rays are connected to the first ray (see [Figures 1b](#) and [1c](#)). Thick ends for families of in-rays and the two grid-like structures for in-rays, called *reversed bidirected quarter-grid* and *reversed dominated directed quarter-grid*, are defined similarly.

Our main result extends [Theorem 1.1](#) to Zuther ends:

Theorem 1.2. *For every infinite family $(R_i)_{i \in I}$ of disjoint equivalent out-rays (in-rays) in a digraph D there exists a subdivision D' of either a (reversed) bidirected quarter-grid or a (reversed) dominated directed quarter-grid in D such that each vertical out-ray (in-ray) of D' is an element of $(R_i)_{i \in I}$.*

Key words and phrases. Halin's grid theorem, infinite digraph, infinite grid, end.




FIGURE 1. The necessary and sufficient grids for thick Zuther ends.

We remark that both types of quarter-grids are indeed necessary for a statement like [Theorem 1.2](#) since the bidirected quarter-grid does not contain a dominated directed quarter-grid whose vertical rays are contained in $(R_i)_{i \in I}$ and vice versa.

By relaxing the constraints so that the vertical rays only have to be equivalent to $(R_i)_{i \in I}$ the dominated directed quarter-grid can be omitted:

Theorem 1.3. *For every infinite family $(R_i)_{i \in I}$ of disjoint equivalent out-rays (in-rays) in a digraph D there exists a subdivision of the (reversed) bidirected quarter-grid D' such that each vertical out-ray (in-ray) of D' is equivalent to the rays in $(R_i)_{i \in I}$.*

We deduce [Theorem 1.3](#) from [Theorem 1.2](#). Independently, Hamann and Heuer [7] recently proved [Theorem 1.3](#).¹ Furthermore, Zuther showed a restriction of [Theorem 1.3](#) to a certain class of out-rays [11, Theorem 3.1].

The second notion of ends in digraphs is due to Bürger and Melcher, who define *ends* of digraphs as equivalence classes of necklaces [3]. A *necklace* is a strongly connected subgraph N for which there exists a family $(H_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ of finite strongly connected subgraphs such that $N = \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} H_n$ and $H_i \cap H_j \neq \emptyset$ holds if and only if $|i - j| \leq 1$ for any $i, j \in \mathbb{N}$ [2]. Two necklaces N_1 and N_2 are *equivalent* if there exist infinitely many disjoint paths in both directions and an end is *thick* if it contains infinitely many disjoint necklaces [3]. This notion of ends reflects canonically the strong connectivity of its host graph and in particular satisfies the direction theorem for digraphs [3].

The grid-like structures witnessing this kind of thick ends are similar to the bidirected and the dominated directed quarter-grid: *Bidirected necklace grids* and *dominated directed necklace grids* are obtained from subdivisions of the corresponding quarter-grids by replacing the vertical rays by necklaces. We prove:

¹Hamann and Heuer's notion of (reversed) bidirected quarter-grid is slightly different, but their (reversed) bidirected quarter-grid is contained in a subdivision of our (reversed) bidirected quarter-grid and vice versa.

Theorem 1.4. *For every infinite family \mathcal{N} of disjoint equivalent necklaces in a digraph D there exists either a bidirected necklace grid D' or a dominated directed necklace grid D' in D such that each vertical necklace of D' is an element of \mathcal{N} .*

We will observe that there exists a dominated directed necklace grid that does not contain a bidirected necklace grid and vice versa. Thus both types of grids are indeed necessary for [Theorem 1.4](#), and this holds true even if we relax the constraints on the vertical necklaces as in [Theorem 1.3](#). We will prove [Theorem 1.4](#) by constructing an auxiliary graph to which we can apply [Theorem 1.2](#).

In the proof of our main result, [Theorem 1.2](#), we analyse the connectivity between distinct out-rays of $(R_i)_{i \in I}$, for which we follow the idea of Kurkofka, Melcher and Pitz [\[9\]](#) to consider the auxiliary graph obtained by contracting the rays $(R_i)_{i \in I}$: we fix an out-ray S that intersects any element of $(R_i)_{i \in I}$ infinitely often. For any $J \subseteq I$ let $D(J)$ be the multi-digraph obtained from $S \cup \bigcup_{i \in J} R_i$ by firstly contracting the out-ray R_i to a single vertex i for any $i \in J$, secondly suppressing vertices of in- and out-degree 1 and thirdly removing loops. By construction, there is a canonical one-to-one correspondence σ between the edges of $D(J)$ and the $(\bigcup_{i \in J} R_i)$ -paths in S that have endpoints in distinct out-rays $(R_i)_{i \in J}$, i.e. $\sigma(uv)$ is a $(\bigcup_{i \in J} R_i)$ -path starting in R_u and ending in R_v .

Further, we consider the auxiliary graph $D^\infty(J)$ of $D(J)$ that captures edges of infinite multiplicity, i.e the digraph obtained from $D(J)$ by removing edges of finite multiplicity and replacing edges of infinite multiplicity by single edges.

This paper is organised as follows. In [Section 2](#) we introduce basic notations and show that there exists an out-ray S that intersects any element of $(R_i)_{i \in I}$ infinitely often. We show in [Section 3](#) that [Theorem 1.2](#) holds true if $D^\infty(J)$ contains an infinite strong component and in [Section 4](#) that [Theorem 1.2](#) holds true if $D^\infty(J)$ contains either an in-ray or an out-ray. We analyse the structure of D if there does not exist $J \subseteq I$ such that $D^\infty(J)$ contains an infinite strong component, an in-ray or an out-ray in [Section 5](#). In [Section 6](#) we combine the results of [Sections 3](#) to [5](#) to prove [Theorem 1.2](#), and further deduce [Theorem 1.3](#). Finally, we show [Theorem 1.4](#) in [Section 7](#) and argue that both types of necklace grids are indeed necessary.

2. PRELIMINARIES

For standard notations we refer to Diestel's book [\[4\]](#). A digraph D is *strongly connected* if for any two vertices $v, w \in V(D)$ there exists a v - w path in D . The maximal strongly connected subgraphs of a digraph D are called the *strong components* of D . Furthermore, the components of the underlying undirected graph of D are called the *weak components* of D . Given a digraph D , let \bar{D} be the digraph obtained from D by reversing the orientation of each edge. Given a graph G , let $\mathcal{D}(G)$ be the digraph obtained from G by replacing each edge $uv \in E(G)$ by directed edges $(u, v), (v, u)$.

Given an out-ray or an in-ray R , we write vR for the tail of R with root $v \in V(R)$. For $v, w \in V(R)$ let $v \leq_R w$ if and only if $w \in V(vR)$. Given a family $(R_i)_{i \in I}$ of disjoint equivalent in-rays or of disjoint equivalent out-rays in a digraph D we define $[X]_J := X \cup \bigcup_{j \in J} \{v \in V(R_j) : V(vR_j) \cap X \neq \emptyset\}$ for any subset $J \subseteq I$ and any set $X \subseteq V(D)$.

Proposition 2.1. *Let D be a digraph and let $(R_i)_{i \in I}$ be a countable family of disjoint equivalent out-rays (in-rays) in D . There exists an out-ray (in-ray) S that intersects any element of $(R_i)_{i \in I}$ infinitely often.*

Proof. We begin with the case that $(R_i)_{i \in I}$ is a family of out-rays. Let $(\alpha(n))_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a sequence of elements in I such that each element of I occurs infinitely often. We construct recursively a strictly increasing sequence of paths $(P_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ starting in the same vertex such that P_{n+1} ends in $x_n \in V(R_{\alpha(n)})$ with $x_n R_{\alpha(n)} \cap P_n = \{x_n\}$ for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Let x_1 be some vertex of $R_{\alpha(1)}$ and set $P_1 := \{x_1\}$. If P_n has been constructed for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$, then let Q_{n+1} be some $R_{\alpha(n)} - R_{\alpha(n+1)}$ path avoiding $[V(P_n)]_I$, which exists since $R_{\alpha(n)}$ and $R_{\alpha(n+1)}$ are equivalent. Further, let O_{n+1} be the path in $R_{\alpha(n)}$ starting in x_n and ending in the start vertex of Q_{n+1} . Then the concatenation P_{n+1} of P_n, O_{n+1} and Q_{n+1} is as desired. This completes the construction of $(P_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$. The out-ray $\bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} P_n$ is as desired.

For a family $(R_i)_{i \in I}$ of in-rays, we obtain the desired in-ray by applying the result for out-rays to \bar{D} . \square

For families of in-rays we define $D(J)$ and $D^\infty(J)$ in a similar way as for out-rays by considering an in-ray S intersecting any in-ray in J infinitely often. Given a family $(R_i)_{i \in I}$ of disjoint equivalent in-rays or disjoint equivalent out-rays, let σ be the canonical one-to-one correspondence between the edges of $D(J)$ and the $\bigcup_{i \in J} R_i$ paths in S . Note that $\sigma(e)$ and $\sigma(f)$ are internally disjoint and they intersect only if the head of e is the tail of f or vice versa for any $e \neq f \in E(D(J))$. Furthermore, each edge of $D(J)$ with endpoints in J' corresponds to an edge of $D(J')$ for any $J' \subseteq J$.

Lemma 2.2. *Let D be a digraph and let $(R_i)_{i \in I}$ be an infinite family of disjoint equivalent out-rays or of disjoint equivalent in-rays in D . For every finite subset $J \subseteq I$ there is either*

- *an element of J with infinite out-degree (in-degree) in $D(I)$, or*
- *an edge in $D^\infty(I)$ with tail (head) in J and head (tail) in $I \setminus J$.*

Proof. Since the rays in $(R_i)_{i \in I}$ are equivalent, there are infinitely many disjoint $(R_i)_{i \in I}$ paths that start in $(R_j)_{j \in J}$ and end in $(R_i)_{i \in I \setminus J}$. Thus there are infinitely many edges of $D(I)$ starting in J and ending in $I \setminus J$. As J is finite, there exists $j \in J$ such that infinitely many edges in $D(I)$ start in j and end in $I \setminus J$. Either there are infinitely many vertices in $I \setminus J$ in which at least one of these edges end or there exists a vertex in $I \setminus J$ in which infinitely many of these edges end. In the former case j has infinite out-degree in $D(I)$ and in the latter case there is an edge in $D^\infty(I)$ with tail j and head in $I \setminus J$.

By considering \bar{D} we can deduce the corresponding statement for ingoing edges. \square

For a sequence $\mathcal{A} := i_1, \dots, i_n$ of elements in I , we call a path P an \mathcal{A} -staircase if P is the concatenation of paths $P_1, Q_2, P_2, Q_3, \dots, Q_{n-1}, P_{n-1}$, where

- (a) P_j is a $R_{i_j} - R_{i_{j+1}}$ path that is internally disjoint to $\bigcup_{i \in I} R_i$ for any $j \in [n-1]$, and
- (b) Q_j is a non-trivial path in R_{i_j} for any $j \in [n-1] \setminus \{1\}$.

If each of these paths consists of precisely one edge, we call P *simple*.

Proposition 2.3. *Let D be a digraph and let $(R_i)_{i \in I}$ be a family of disjoint equivalent out-rays or a family of disjoint equivalent in-rays. For any $X \in V(D)^{<\infty}$ and any sequence $\mathcal{A} := i_1, \dots, i_n$ of distinct elements of I with $i_j i_{j+1} \in E(D^\infty(I))$ there exists an \mathcal{A} -staircase avoiding X .*

Proof. We prove the statement by induction on n . For $n = 2$, some $R_{i_1} - R_{i_2}$ path that is internally disjoint to $\bigcup_{i \in I} R_i$ and avoids X , which exists since $i_1 i_2 \in E(D^\infty(I))$, is the desired \mathcal{A} -staircase avoiding X .

If $(R_i)_{i \in I}$ is a family of out-rays, we assume that \hat{P} is some $\hat{\mathcal{A}}$ -staircase avoiding $[X]_I$, where $\hat{\mathcal{A}} := i_1, \dots, i_{n-1}$ for some $n > 2$. Let P_{n-1} be some $R_{i_{n-1}}-R_{i_n}$ path avoiding $[X]_I \cup [\hat{P}]_I$ that is internally disjoint to $\bigcup_{i \in I} R_i$, which exists since $i_{n-1}i_n \in E(D^\infty(I))$. Further let Q_{n-1} be the subpath of $R_{i_{n-1}}$ starting in the end vertex of \hat{P} and ending in the start vertex of P_{n-1} . Note that Q_{n-1} avoids X since \hat{P} avoids $[X]_I$. Then the concatenation of P_{n-1}, Q_{n-1} and \hat{P} is the desired \mathcal{A} -staircase avoiding X .

If $(R_i)_{i \in I}$ is a family of in-rays, we consider $\hat{\mathcal{A}} := i_2, \dots, i_n$ for some $n > 2$. By a similar construction we obtain the desired \mathcal{A} -staircase avoiding X . \square

Finally we define the bidirected and dominated directed quarter-grids formally. The bidirected and the dominated directed quarter-grid D' are constructed from the disjoint union of out-rays $(R_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ by adding subgraphs $(G_n)_{n \geq 2}$, called *girders*, such that

- G_n avoids $\bigcup_{i \in [n-1] \setminus \{1\}} [G_i]_{\mathbb{N}}$,
- $\bigcup_{n \geq 2} G_n \cup \bigcup_{i \in \mathbb{N}} R_i$ does not contain a vertex of in-degree and out-degree one, and
- the first vertex of R_i is disjoint from $\bigcup_{n \geq 2} G_n$ for any $i \in \mathbb{N}$.

If G_n is the concatenation of a simple $1, \dots, n$ -staircase S'_n , an edge in R_n and a simple $n, \dots, 1$ -staircase S''_n such that S''_n avoids $[S'_n]_{\mathbb{N}}$ for any $n \geq 2$, then D' is a *bidirected quarter-grid*.

If G_n is the concatenation of a simple $1, \dots, n$ -staircase S_n , an edge in R_n and an R_n-R_1 edge A_n such that A_n avoids $[S'_n]_{\mathbb{N}}$ for any $n \geq 2$, then D' is an *outward oriented dominated directed quarter-grid*. Similarly, if G_n is the concatenation of an R_1-R_n edge A_n , an edge in R_n and a simple $n, \dots, 1$ -staircase S_n such that S_n avoids $[A_n]_{\mathbb{N}}$ for any $n \geq 2$, then D' is an *inward oriented dominated directed quarter-grid*. In both cases, we refer to A_n and the subdivisions of A_n as *arches*.

3. INFINITE STRONG COMPONENT

In this section we show that **Theorem 1.2** holds true if there exists $J \subseteq I$ such that $D^\infty(J)$ contains an infinite strong component.

Lemma 3.1. *Let $(R_i)_{i \in I}$ be an infinite family of disjoint equivalent out-rays in a digraph D . If $D^\infty(I)$ has an infinite strong component, then D contains a subdivision D' of either a bidirected quarter-grid or a dominated directed quarter-grid such that each vertical out-ray of D' is an element of $(R_i)_{i \in I}$.*

The proof of **Lemma 3.1** builds on a result about strongly connected butterfly minors in strongly connected digraphs. We begin by introducing butterfly minors. Given digraphs D and H , we call a map μ assigning every $e \in E(H)$ an edge of D and every $v \in V(H)$ a subgraph of D , such that

- $\mu(v) \cap \mu(w) = \emptyset$ for any $v \neq w \in V(H)$,
- for any $v \in V(H)$ $\mu(v)$ is the union of an in-arborescence $T_{\text{in}}^{\mu(v)}$ and an out-arborescence $T_{\text{out}}^{\mu(v)}$ that only have their roots in common, and
- for any $e = (u, v) \in E(H)$ the edge $\mu(e)$ has its tail in $T_{\text{out}}^{\mu(u)}$ and its head in $T_{\text{in}}^{\mu(v)}$

a *tree-like model* of H in D . Further, a digraph H is a *butterfly minor* of a digraph D if there exists a tree-like model of H in D .²

²Amiri, Kawarabayashi, Kreutzer and Wollan showed [1, Lemma 3.2] that for finite digraph this definition coincides with the common definition of butterfly minors.

The union of an out-ray S rooted at r and edges $(e_v)_{v \in V(S) \setminus \{r\}}$, where e_v has head r and tail v , is called a *dominated directed ray*. Furthermore, the union of an in-ray S rooted at r and edges $(e_v)_{v \in V(S) \setminus \{r\}}$, where e_v has head v and tail r , is also called a *dominated directed ray*.

Theorem 3.2. [10, Corollary 1.2] *Any infinite strongly connected digraph contains $\mathcal{D}(K_{1,\infty})$, $\mathcal{D}(S)$ for an undirected ray S or a dominated directed ray as a butterfly minor.*

Proof of Lemma 3.1. Let C be an infinite strong component of $D^\infty(I)$. The strong component C contains a butterfly minor M that is $\mathcal{D}(K_{1,\infty})$, $\mathcal{D}(S)$ for an undirected ray S or a dominated directed ray by **Theorem 3.2**.

Let μ be a tree-like model of M in $D^\infty(I)$. For each vertex $v \in V(M)$ let $\sigma(v)$ be the common root of $T_{\text{in}}^{\mu(v)}$ and $T_{\text{out}}^{\mu(v)}$. Note that for any $vw \in E(M)$ there exist a $\sigma(v)$ - $\sigma(w)$ path in $D^\infty(I)$ that is internally disjoint to $\bigcup_{x \in V(M)} \sigma(x)$. This implies that there are infinitely many disjoint $R_{\sigma(v)}-R_{\sigma(w)}$ paths that are internally disjoint to $\bigcup_{x \in V(M)} R_{\sigma(x)}$.

If M is $\mathcal{D}(S)$ for an undirected ray S , then we can construct a subdivision of the bidirected quarter-grid whose vertical rays are $\bigcup_{x \in V(M)} R_{\sigma(x)}$ by adding the desired $\bigcup_{x \in V(M)} R_{\sigma(x)}$ -paths recursively. If M is $\mathcal{D}(K_{1,\infty})$, let c be the central vertex of $K_{1,\infty}$. We can construct a subdivision of the bidirected quarter-grid whose vertical rays are $\bigcup_{x \in V(M) \setminus \{c\}} R_{\sigma(x)}$ by routing the desired $\bigcup_{x \in V(M) \setminus \{c\}} R_{\sigma(x)}$ -paths along $R_{\sigma(c)}$. If M is a dominated directed ray, then we can construct a subdivision of a dominated directed quarter-grid whose vertical rays are $\bigcup_{x \in V(M)} R_{\sigma(x)}$ by adding the desired $\bigcup_{x \in V(M)} R_{\sigma(x)}$ -paths recursively. \square

4. IN-RAYS AND OUT-RAYS

In this section we prove another sufficient condition for **Theorem 1.2**. We show that **Theorem 1.2** holds true if there exists $J \subseteq I$ such that $D^\infty(J)$ contains an in-ray or an out-ray.

Lemma 4.1. *Let $(R_i)_{i \in I}$ be an infinite family of disjoint equivalent out-rays in a digraph D . If $D^\infty(I)$ contains an in-ray, then D contains a subdivision D' of either a bidirected quarter-grid or a dominated directed quarter-grid such that each vertical ray of D' is an element of $(R_i)_{i \in I}$.*

Proof. For simplicity, we assume without loss of generality that $\mathbb{N} \subseteq I$ and that $(n+1)n \in E(D^\infty(I))$ for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$. This implies $(n+1)n \in E(D^\infty(\mathbb{N}))$. Let C be the strong component of $D^\infty(\mathbb{N})$ containing 1. By **Lemma 3.1** we can assume that C is finite. Since any element of \mathbb{N} can reach 1 in $D^\infty(\mathbb{N})$, there exists no edge in $E(D^\infty(\mathbb{N}))$ with tail in $V(C)$ and head in $\mathbb{N} \setminus V(C)$. Thus, by **Lemma 2.2**, there exists a vertex $\beta(1) \in V(C)$ of infinite out-degree in $D(\mathbb{N})$.

We construct recursively a subdivision of an inward-oriented dominated directed quarter-grid whose first vertical out-ray is $R_{\beta(1)}$ and whose other vertical out-rays are in $(R_k)_{k > \beta(1)}$. We assume that we fixed the first m vertical out-rays $R_{\beta(1)}, \dots, R_{\beta(m)}$ and the first $m-1$ girders G_2, \dots, G_m of the desired subdivision of a dominated directed quarter-grid.

For any $x \in N_{D(\mathbb{N})}^{\text{out}}(\beta(1))$ we fix an $R_{\beta(1)}-R_x$ path O_x in S that is internally disjoint to $\bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} R_n$, where S is the out-ray used for the construction of $D(\mathbb{N})$. Since the paths $(O_x)_{x \in N_{D(\mathbb{N})}^{\text{out}}(\beta(1))}$ are contained in S , they are disjoint. Thus almost all paths $(O_x)_{x \in N_{D(\mathbb{N})}^{\text{out}}(\beta(1))}$ avoid $\bigcup_{j \in [m] \setminus \{1\}} [G_j]_{\mathbb{N}}$. We pick $\beta(m+1) \in N_{D(\mathbb{N})}^{\text{out}}(\beta(1)) \setminus [\beta(m)]$ such that $R_{\beta(m+1)}$ and $O_{\beta(m+1)}$ avoid $\bigcup_{j \in [m] \setminus \{1\}} [G_j]_{\mathbb{N}}$.

It remains to construct a girder G_{m+1} . We consider a $\beta(m+1), \beta(m+1) - 1, \dots, \beta(1)$ -staircase Q avoiding $\bigcup_{j \in [m] \setminus \{1\}} \lceil G_j \rceil_{\mathbb{N}} \cup \lceil O_{\beta(m+1)} \rceil_{\mathbb{N}}$, which exists by [Proposition 2.3](#). Then the concatenation of the path $O_{\beta(m+1)}$, some subpath of $R_{\beta(m+1)}$ and the path Q forms the desired girder G_{m+1} . This completes the construction and finishes the proof. \square

Lemma 4.2. *Let $(R_i)_{i \in I}$ be an infinite family of disjoint equivalent out-rays in a digraph D . If $D^\infty(I)$ contains an out-ray, then D contains a subdivision D' of either a bidirected quarter-grid or a dominated directed quarter-grid such that each vertical ray of D' is an element of $(R_i)_{i \in I}$.*

The proof of [Lemma 4.2](#) follows the proof of [Lemma 4.1](#) but constructs a subdivision of a dominated directed quarter-grid that is outward-oriented rather than inward-oriented. Since the arches are terminal segments of the girders in outward-oriented dominated directed quarter-grids, we have to construct the girders carefully.

Proof. For simplicity, we assume without loss of generality that $\mathbb{N} \subseteq I$ and that $n(n+1) \in E(D^\infty(I))$ for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Claim 4.2.1. *There exists an out-ray \hat{S} in D , a strictly increasing sequence $(\alpha(n))_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ of natural numbers and a strictly $\leq_{\hat{S}}$ -increasing sequence $(x_n y_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ of edges in \hat{S} with $x_n y_n \in E(R_{\alpha(n)})$ such that for any $n > 1$*

- (a) *a terminal segment P_n of $y_{n-1} \hat{S} x_n$ is an $\alpha(1), \alpha(1) + 1, \dots, \alpha(n)$ -staircase,*
- (b) *$y_n \hat{S}$ avoids $\lceil \hat{S} x_n \rceil_{\mathbb{N}}$, and*
- (c) *x_n is $\leq_{\hat{S}}$ -minimal in $\hat{S} \cap R_{\alpha(n)}$.*




FIGURE 2. An out ray \hat{S} as in [Claim 4.2.1](#).

Proof. We pick recursively the desired edges $x_n y_n \in E(\hat{S})$, the strictly increasing sequence of natural numbers $(\alpha(n))_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ and a strictly increasing sequence of paths $(\hat{S}_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ such that \hat{S}_n starts in x_1 , ends in x_n and $\hat{S}_n x_n R_{\alpha(n)}$ satisfies (a) to (c) for any $i \in [n] \setminus \{1\}$. Then the out-ray $\hat{S} := \bigcup_{m \in \mathbb{N}} \hat{S}_m$ is as desired.

Let x_1y_1 be some edge of R_1 , let $\hat{S}_1 := x_1^1R_1y_1^1$ and set $\alpha(1) := 1$. We assume that x_ny_n for $n \in [m]$, $(\alpha(n))_{n \in [m]}$ and \hat{S}_m have been defined for some $m \in \mathbb{N}$. See [Figure 2](#).

First we pick some $R_{\alpha(m)}-R_{\alpha(1)}$ path O that avoids $[\hat{S}_m]_{\mathbb{N}}$, which exists since the rays in $(R_i)_{i \in I}$ are equivalent. Second, let $\alpha(m+1) \in \mathbb{N} \setminus [\alpha(m)]$ be such that $(\hat{S}_m \cup O) \cap R_{\alpha(m+1)} = \emptyset$.

Third, let O' be an $\alpha(1), \alpha(1)+1, \dots, \alpha(m+1)$ -staircase avoiding $[\hat{S}_m]_{\mathbb{N}} \cup [O]_{\mathbb{N}}$, which exists by [Proposition 2.3](#). Then the concatenation of \hat{S}_m , some path in $R_{\alpha(m)}$, the path O , some path in $R_{\alpha(1)}$ and O' forms the desired path \hat{S}_{m+1} . Let x_{m+1} be the terminal vertex of \hat{S}_{m+1} and y_{m+1} be the vertex succeeding x_{m+1} in $\leq_{R_{\alpha(m+1)}}$. This completes the construction. \blacksquare

Let \hat{S} be as in [Claim 4.2.1](#). Note that \hat{S} intersects $R_{\alpha(n)}$ infinitely often for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$ by [\(a\)](#). From now on we consider $D(A)$ and $D^\infty(A)$ with respect to the out-ray \hat{S} , where $A := \{\alpha(n) : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$.

Let C be the strong component of $D^\infty(A)$ containing $\alpha(1)$. By [Lemma 3.1](#) we can assume that C is finite. Note that $\alpha(n)\alpha(n+1) \in E(D^\infty(A))$ for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$ by construction of \hat{S} . Thus there exists no edge in $E(D^\infty(A))$ with tail in $A \setminus V(C)$ and head in $V(C)$. This implies that there exists a vertex $\alpha(\beta(1)) \in V(C)$ of infinite in-degree in $D(A)$ by [Lemma 2.2](#).

We construct recursively a subdivision of an outward-oriented dominated directed quarter-grid whose first vertical out-ray is $R_{\alpha(\beta(1))}$ and whose other vertical out-rays are in $(R_{\alpha(k)})_{k > \beta(1)}$. We assume that we fixed the vertical out-rays $R_{\alpha(\beta(1))}, \dots, R_{\alpha(\beta(m))}$ and the girders G_2, \dots, G_m of the desired subdivision of a dominated directed quarter-grid.

All but finitely many of the staircases $(P_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$, as defined in [Claim 4.2.1](#), avoid the set $\bigcup_{j \in [m] \setminus \{1\}} [G_j]_{\mathbb{N}}$. Thus there exists $\beta(m+1) > \beta(m)$ such that $\alpha(\beta(m+1)) \in N_{D(A)}^{\text{in}}(\alpha(\beta(1)))$ and $P_{\alpha(\beta(m+1))}, R_{\alpha(\beta(m+1))}$ avoid $\bigcup_{j \in [m] \setminus \{1\}} [G_j]_{\mathbb{N}}$.

It remains to construct the girder G_{m+1} . Let Q be some $R_{\alpha(\beta(m+1))}-R_{\alpha(\beta(1))}$ path in \hat{S} that is internally disjoint to $\bigcup_{i \in A} R_i$, which exists since $\alpha(\beta(m+1))\alpha(\beta(1)) \in E(D(A))$. Since Q starts in $R_{\alpha(\beta(m+1))}$, $Q \subseteq x_{\beta(m+1)}\hat{S}$ by [\(c\)](#). Thus $Q \subseteq y_{\beta(m+1)}\hat{S}$ as $x_{\beta(m+1)}y_{\beta(m+1)} \in E(R_{\alpha(\beta(m+1))})$. This implies Q avoids $[P_{\alpha(\beta(m+1))}]_{\mathbb{N}} \subseteq [\hat{S}x_{\alpha(\beta(m+1))}]_{\mathbb{N}}$ by [\(b\)](#) and since $P_{\alpha(\beta(m+1))} \subseteq \hat{S}x_{\alpha(\beta(m+1))}$. Thus the concatenation of the $\alpha(\beta(1)), \alpha(\beta(1))+1, \dots, \alpha(\beta(m+1))$ -staircase $P_{\alpha(\beta(m+1))}$, some path in $R_{\alpha(\beta(m+1))}$ and the path Q forms the desired girder G_{m+1} . This finishes the construction of the subdivision of a dominated directed quarter-grid whose vertical out-rays are in $(R_i)_{i \in I}$. \square

5. SUITABLE SUBFAMILIES

Towards the proof of [Theorem 1.2](#) we investigate in this section the structure of D if there does not exist $J \subseteq I$ such that $D^\infty(J)$ contains an infinite strong component, an in-ray or an out-ray. More precisely, we show in [Lemmata 5.1](#) and [5.3](#) the existence of certain families of $\bigcup_{i \in I} R_i$ -paths respecting a given linear order on I .

Lemma 5.1. *Let $(R_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be either a family of disjoint equivalent out-rays or a family of disjoint equivalent in-rays in a digraph D . Then there exists an infinite subset $I \subseteq \mathbb{N}$ such that*

- $D^\infty(I)$ has an infinite strong component,
- $D^\infty(I)$ contains an out-ray or an in-ray, or
- there is a family $(P_{i,j})_{i < j \in I}$ of paths, where $P_{i,j}$ is a R_i-R_j path that is internally disjoint to $(R_n)_{n \in I}$ such that the elements of $(P_{i,j})_{j \in I \setminus [i]}$ are disjoint for any $i \in I$.

For the proof of [Lemma 5.1](#) we need the following property of digraphs:

Proposition 5.2. *Let D be an infinite digraph such that all strong components of D are finite and for all but finitely many strong components C of D there exists an edge with tail in $V(C)$ and head in $V(D) \setminus V(C)$. Then D contains an in-ray, an out-ray or a vertex of infinite in-degree.*

An *in-arborescence* is a digraph whose underlying undirected graph is a rooted tree such that all edges are oriented towards the root.

Proof. If there exists a vertex $v \in V(D)$ that can be reached by infinitely many vertices, then we can construct recursively an infinite in-arborescence T with root v . The in-arborescence T contains either an in-ray or a vertex of infinite in-degree. Thus we can assume that each vertex of D can only be reached by finitely many vertices.

Let \mathcal{C} be the (finite) set of (finite) strong components C for which there does not exist an edge with tail in $V(C)$ and head in $V(D) \setminus V(C)$. Further let x be some vertex of D that cannot reach any vertex of \mathcal{C} .

We construct an out-ray in D by building an increasing sequence of paths $(P_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ starting in x such that P_n intersects the strong component containing its final vertex only in one vertex. Set $P_1 := \{x\}$. We assume that P_n has been defined for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Let C be the strong component containing the final vertex x_n of P_n . By assumption $C \notin \mathcal{C}$. Thus there exists an edge yz with tail in $V(C)$ and head in $V(D) \setminus V(C)$. Let $P_{n+1} := P_n x_n Q y z$, where Q is some x_n - y path in C . Note that $z \notin V(P_n)$ since C is a strong component of D . This completes the construction of the out-ray $\bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} P_n$ in D and finishes the proof. \square

Proof of Lemma 5.1. We assume that the digraph $D^\infty(I)$ does not contain an out-ray, an in-ray nor an infinite strong component for any infinite subset $I \subseteq \mathbb{N}$.

Claim 5.2.1. *There exists an infinite subset $J \subseteq \mathbb{N}$ such that*

- $D^\infty(J)$ contains a vertex of infinite in-degree, or
- D contains a family $(P_{i,j})_{i < j \in J}$ of paths, where $P_{i,j}$ is an R_i - R_j path that is internally disjoint to $(R_n)_{n \in J}$, such that the elements of $(P_{i,j})_{j \in J \setminus [i]}$ are disjoint for any $i \in J$.

Proof. We assume that $D^\infty(J)$ does not contain a vertex of infinite in-degree for any infinite subset $J \subseteq I$. We begin by constructing recursively a strictly increasing sequence j_1, j_2, j_3, \dots of natural numbers and a decreasing sequence $\mathbb{N} \supseteq I_1 \supseteq I_2 \supseteq I_3 \supseteq \dots$ of infinite sets such that for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$

- $j_1, \dots, j_n \notin I_n$,
- $j_{n+1} \in I_n$, and
- $I_n \subseteq N_{D(\{j_1, \dots, j_{n-1}\} \cup I_{n-1})}^{\text{out}}(j_n)$.

Set $j_0 := 0$ and $I_0 := \mathbb{N}$. We assume that j_1, \dots, j_{n-1} and I_{n-1} have been defined for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$. If all elements of $I_{n-1} \setminus [j_{n-1}]$ have finite out-degree in $D(\{j_1, \dots, j_{n-1}\} \cup I_{n-1})$, for any (finite) strong component C of $D^\infty(\{j_1, \dots, j_{n-1}\} \cup I_{n-1})$ that avoids $[j_{n-1}]$ there exists an edge in $D^\infty(\{j_1, \dots, j_{n-1}\} \cup I_{n-1})$ with tail in $V(C)$ and head in $(\{j_1, \dots, j_{n-1}\} \cup I_{n-1}) \setminus V(C)$ by Lemma 2.2. By Proposition 5.2, $D^\infty(\{j_1, \dots, j_{n-1}\} \cup I_{n-1})$ contains an in-ray, an out-ray or a vertex of infinite in-degree, contradicting our assumptions.

Thus there exists $j_n \in I_{n-1} \setminus [j_{n-1}]$ that has infinite out-degree in $D(\{j_1, \dots, j_{n-1}\} \cup I_{n-1})$. We set $I_n := I_{n-1} \cap N_{D(\{j_1, \dots, j_{n-1}\} \cup I_{n-1})}^{\text{out}}(j_n)$. Then j_n and I_n are as desired, which completes the recursion.

Finally, we set $J := \{j_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$. Note that for any $n < m \in \mathbb{N}$ we have $j_m \in I_{m-1} \cap J \subseteq I_n \cap J \subseteq N_{D(\{j_1, \dots, j_{n-1}\} \cup I_{n-1})}^{\text{out}}(j_n) \cap J \subseteq N_{D(J)}^{\text{out}}(j_n)$. Thus there exists an R_{j_n} – R_{j_m} path P_{j_n, j_m} in S that is internally disjoint to $\bigcup_{i \in J} R_i$. Since each path $(P_{i, j})_{i < j \in J}$ is a subpath of S the elements of $(P_{i, j})_{j \in J \setminus [i]}$ are disjoint for any $i \in J$. Thus $(P_{i, j})_{i < j \in J}$ is indeed the desired family of paths. \blacksquare

By [Claim 5.2.1](#), we can assume that there is $J \subseteq \mathbb{N}$ such that $D^\infty(J)$ contains a vertex ℓ of infinite in-degree. We consider $J' := \{\ell\} \cup N_{D^\infty(J)}^{\text{in}}(\ell)$. Let C be the (finite) strong component of $D^\infty(J')$ containing ℓ . Note that there is no edge in $D^\infty(J')$ with tail in $V(C)$ and head in $J' \setminus V(C)$, since any element of $J' \setminus \{\ell\}$ can reach C in $D^\infty(J')$. Thus there exists a vertex $k \in V(C)$ of infinite out-degree in $D(J')$ by [Lemma 2.2](#).

We set $J'' := N_{D(J')}^{\text{out}}(k) \setminus V(C)$. We remark that for any $n \in J''$ we have $n\ell \in E(D^\infty(V(C) \cup J''))$ and $kn \in E(D(V(C) \cup J''))$ and further that there exists an ℓ – k path in $C \subseteq D^\infty(V(C) \cup J'')$. See [Figure 3](#).




FIGURE 3. Edges of $D(V(C) \cup J'')$ (dashed) and $D^\infty(V(C) \cup J'')$ (thick) in the proof of [Lemma 5.1](#).

Claim 5.2.2. *The digraph D contains a family $(P_{i, j})_{i < j \in I}$ of paths, where $P_{i, j}$ is a R_i – R_j path that is internally disjoint to $(R_n)_{n \in I}$, such that the elements of $(P_{i, j})_{j \in I \setminus [i]}$ are disjoint for any $i \in I$.*

Proof. We construct recursively a strictly increasing sequence $(I_m)_{m \in \mathbb{N}}$ of subsets of J'' and the desired family $(P_{i, j})_{i < j \in I}$ of paths, where $I := \bigcup_{m \in \mathbb{N}} I_m$. We assume that we fixed a finite subset $I_m \subseteq J''$ and constructed a family $(P_{i, j})_{i < j \in I_m}$ of paths.

If $(R_n)_{n \in V(C)}$ is a family of out-rays: Firstly, we pick an R_i – R_k path Q_i that is disjoint from $\bigcup_{i < j \in I_m} [P_{i, j}]_{\mathbb{N}}$ and internally disjoint from $\bigcup_{j \in J''} R_j$ for any $i \in I_m$. Such paths exist by [Proposition 2.3](#) since there is an i – k path in $D^\infty(V(C) \cup J'')$ that is internally disjoint to J'' .

As k has infinite out-degree in $D(V(C) \cup J'')$, there exists $x \in J'' \setminus \bigcup_{i \in I_m} [i]$ such that there is an R_k – R_x path O that is disjoint from $\bigcup_{i < j \in I_m} [P_{i, j}]_{\mathbb{N}} \cup \bigcup_{i \in I_m} [Q_i]_{\mathbb{N}}$ and internally disjoint from $\bigcup_{j \in J''} R_j$. Then $Q_i \cup R'_k \cup O$ contains an R_i – R_x path $P_{i, x}$ for any $i \in I_m$. Note that $P_{i, x}$ is disjoint from $\bigcup_{i < j \in I_m} P_{i, j}$ and internally disjoint from $\bigcup_{j \in J''} R_j$.

If $(R_n)_{n \in V(C)}$ is a family of in-rays: Firstly, as k has infinite out-degree in $D(V(C) \cup J'')$, there exists $x \in J'' \setminus (\bigcup_{i \in I_m} [i])$ such that there is an R_k – R_x path O that is disjoint from $\bigcup_{i < j \in I_m} [P_{i, j}]_{\mathbb{N}}$ and internally disjoint from $\bigcup_{j \in V(C) \cup J''} R_j$.

Secondly, we pick an R_i – R_k path Q_i that is disjoint from $\bigcup_{i < j \in I_m} [P_{i, j}]_{\mathbb{N}} \cup [O]_{\mathbb{N}}$ and internally disjoint from $\bigcup_{j \in J''} R_j$ for any $i \in I_m$. Such paths exist by [Proposition 2.3](#)

since there is an i - k path in $D^\infty(V(C) \cup J'')$ that is internally disjoint to J'' . Then $Q_i \cup R_k \cup O$ contains an R_i - R_x path $P_{i,x}$ for any $i \in I_m$. Note that $P_{i,x}$ is disjoint from $\bigcup_{i < j \in I_m} P_{i,j}$ and internally disjoint from $\bigcup_{j \in J''} R_j$.

We set $I_{m+1} := I_m \cup \{x\}$ and continue the recursion. Note that the paths $P_{i,j}$ and $P_{i,j'}$ are disjoint by construction for any $i < j, j' \in I$ with $j \neq j'$. \blacksquare

This completes the proof. \square

By applying [Lemma 5.1](#) to \bar{D} we obtain:

Lemma 5.3. *Let $(R_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a family of disjoint equivalent out-rays or a family of disjoint equivalent in-rays in a digraph D . Then there exists an infinite subset $I \subseteq \mathbb{N}$ such that*

- $D^\infty(I)$ has an infinite strong component,
- $D^\infty(I)$ contains an in-ray or an out-ray, or
- there is a family $(Q_{i,j})_{i > j \in I}$ of paths, where $Q_{i,j}$ starts in R_i , ends in R_j and is internally disjoint to $(R_n)_{n \in I}$, such that $Q_{i,k} \cap Q_{j,k} = \emptyset$ for any $i, j > k \in I$ with $i \neq j$. \square

Finally, we prove the existence of an out-ray avoiding fixed initial segments of rays in $(R_i)_{i \in I}$.

Lemma 5.4. *Let $(R_i)_{i \in I}$ be an infinite family of disjoint equivalent out-rays in a digraph D . Further, let $v_i \in V(R_i)$ for any $i \in I$. Then there exists an infinite subset $J \subseteq I$ and an out-ray \hat{S} in D such that for any $j \in J$:*

- \hat{S} avoids the initial segment $R_j v_j$, and
- \hat{S} intersects the out-ray R_j infinitely often.

Proof. We construct recursively an strictly increasing sequence $I_1 \subset I_2 \subset I_3 \subset \dots$ of finite subsets of I and a strictly increasing sequence $P_1 \subset P_2 \subset P_3 \subset \dots$ of paths in D starting in the same vertex such that for any $m \in \mathbb{N}$:

- P_m avoids $R_i v_i$ for any $i \in I_m$,
- $P_{m+1} - P_m$ intersects R_i for any $i \in I_m$, and
- the end vertex t_m of P_m is contained in R_x such that $t_m R_x \cap P_m = \{t_m\}$,

where x is a fixed element of I_1 . Pick $x \in I$ and let t_1 be some vertex of $R_x - R_x v_x$. We set $I_1 := \{x\}$ and $P_1 := \{t_1\}$. We assume that I_m and P_m have been constructed. Since $V(P_m)$ is finite there exists $i \in I \setminus I_m$ such that $R_i v_i$ avoids P_m . We set $I_{m+1} := I_m \cup \{i\}$.

Claim 5.4.1. *There exists a path Q in D such that*

- the start vertex s_{m+1} of Q is contained in R_x ,
- the end vertex t_{m+1} of Q is contained in R_x such that $t_{m+1} R_x \cap Q = \{t_{m+1}\}$,
- Q avoids $[P_m]_I \cup \bigcup_{i \in I_{m+1}} V(R_i v_i)$, and
- Q intersects R_i for any $i \in I_{m+1}$,

Proof. Let i_1, \dots, i_k be a sequence of elements in I_{m+1} such that each element of I_{m+1} occurs at least once and such that $i_1 = i_k := x$. We pick recursively some $R_{i_\ell} - R_{i_{\ell+1}}$ path Q_ℓ that avoids $[P_m]_I \cup \bigcup_{i \in I_{m+1}} V(R_i v_i)$ and $\bigcup_{j \in [\ell-1]} [Q_j]_I$. Such a path exists since R_{i_ℓ} and $R_{i_{\ell+1}}$ are equivalent in D . Note that the end vertex of Q_j precedes the start vertex of Q_{j+1} in $R_{i_{j+1}}$ for any $j \in [k-2]$ and we set Q'_j to be the path in $R_{i_{j+1}}$ starting in the end vertex of Q_j and ending in the start vertex of Q_{j+1} . The concatenation of $Q_1, Q'_1, Q_2, Q'_2, \dots, Q_{k-2}, Q'_{k-2}, Q_{k-1}$ is the desired path Q . \blacksquare

Let P_{m+1} be the concatenation of P_m , $t_m R_x s_{m+1}$ and Q . This completes the recursion. Finally, we set $I := \bigcup_{m \in \mathbb{N}} I_m$ and $\hat{S} := \bigcup_{m \in \mathbb{N}} P_m$. Note that \hat{S} avoids the initial segments $R_n v_n$ for any $n \in I$ and intersects any element of $(R_n)_{n \in I}$ infinitely often by construction. \square

6. THE EXISTENCE OF QUARTER-GRIDS

We combine the results of [Sections 3 to 5](#) to prove:

Theorem 1.2. *For every infinite family $(R_i)_{i \in I}$ of disjoint equivalent out-rays (in-rays) in a digraph D there exists a subdivision D' of either a (reversed) bidirected quarter-grid or a (reversed) dominated directed quarter-grid in D such that each vertical out-ray (in-ray) of D' is an element of $(R_i)_{i \in I}$.*

Proof. We prove the statement for an infinite family $(R_i)_{i \in I}$ of out-rays. The corresponding statement for in-rays can be deduced by considering \bar{D} . We assume without loss of generality that I is countable and for simplicity that $I = \mathbb{N}$.

We apply [Lemma 5.1](#) to $(R_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$, which provides an infinite subset $I_1 \subseteq \mathbb{N}$ as stated. If $D^\infty(I_1)$ contains an infinite strong component, an out-ray or an in-ray, we are done by [Lemmata 3.1, 4.1](#) and [4.2](#). Thus we can assume that there exists a family $(P_{i,j})_{i < j \in I_1}$ of paths, where $P_{i,j}$ starts in R_i , ends in R_j and is internally disjoint to $\bigcup_{i \in I_1} R_i$, such that the paths $(P_{i,j})_{j \in I_1 \setminus [i]}$ are disjoint for any $i \in I_1$.

For any $i \in I_1$ let $v(i)$ be an arbitrary vertex of $V(R_i) \setminus \bigcup_{j < i \in I_1} [P_{j,i}]_{\mathbb{N}}$. We apply [Lemma 5.4](#) to $(R_i)_{i \in I_1}$ and $(v(i))_{i \in I_1}$ to obtain an infinite subset $I_2 \subseteq I_1$ and an out-ray \hat{S} that intersects any out-ray of $(R_i)_{i \in I_2}$ infinitely often and avoids all initial segments $(R_i v(i))_{i \in I_2}$.

We apply [Lemma 5.3](#) to the family $(v(i)R_i)_{i \in I_2}$ of equivalent out-rays in $\hat{D} := \hat{S} \cup \bigcup_{i \in I_2} v(i)R_i$ to obtain an infinite subset $I_3 \subseteq I_2$ as stated. If $\hat{D}^\infty(I_3)$ contains an infinite strong component, an out-ray or an in-ray, then \hat{D} contains a subdivision of a bidirected quarter-grid or a dominated directed quarter-grid D' in \hat{D} whose vertical rays are elements of $(v(i)R_i)_{i \in I_3}$ by [Lemmata 3.1, 4.1](#) and [4.2](#). By adding some initial segments $(R_i v(i))_{i \in I_3}$ to D' we obtain a subdivision of a bidirected quarter-grid or a dominated directed quarter-grid in D whose vertical rays are elements of $(R_i)_{i \in I_3}$.

Thus we can assume that there exists a family $(Q_{i,j})_{i > j \in I_3}$ of paths in \hat{D} , where $Q_{i,j}$ starts in $v(i)R_i$, ends in $v(j)R_j$ and is internally disjoint to $(v(i)R_i)_{i \in I_3}$, such that $Q_{i,k} \cap Q_{j,k} = \emptyset$ for any $i, j > k \in I_3$ with $i \neq j$. Note that the paths $(Q_{i,j})_{i > j \in I_3}$ are even internally disjoint to the out-rays $(R_i)_{i \in I_3}$ since they are subgraphs of \hat{D} .

We found a subfamily $(R_i)_{i \in I_3}$ and families $(P_{i,j})_{i < j \in I_3}$, $(Q_{i,j})_{i > j \in I_3}$ of $(\bigcup_{i \in I_3} R_i)$ -paths such that

- $P_{i,j}$ starts in R_i , ends in R_j and is internally disjoint to $\bigcup_{i \in I_3} R_i$ for any $i < j \in I_3$,
- $P_{i,j} \cap P_{i,k} = \emptyset$ for any $i < j, k \in I_3$ with $j \neq k$,
- $Q_{i,j}$ starts in R_i , ends in R_j and is internally disjoint to $\bigcup_{i \in I_3} R_i$ for any $i > j \in I_3$,
- $Q_{i,k} \cap Q_{j,k} = \emptyset$ for any $i, j > k \in I_3$ with $i \neq j$, and
- the end vertex of $P_{i,k}$ precedes the start vertex of $Q_{k,j}$ in R_k for any $i, j < k \in I_3$.

Let $I_4 \subseteq I_3$ be an infinite subset such that $I_3 \setminus I_4$ is infinite.

Claim 6.0.1. *There exists an out-ray \hat{S} in D intersecting any element of $(R_i)_{i \in I_4}$ infinitely often such that $D^\infty(I_4)$ with respect to \hat{S} is strongly connected.*

Proof. Let $(k_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a sequence of elements of I_4 such that each pair of distinct elements of I_4 appears infinitely often in $((k_n, k_{n+1}))_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$. We construct a strictly increasing sequence $(O_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ of paths in D with the same start vertex such that for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$

- $O_{n+1} - O_n$ is the concatenation of a path in R_{k_n} and some $R_{k_n} - R_{k_{n+1}}$ path that is internally disjoint to $(R_i)_{i \in I_4}$, and
- the final vertex x_n of O_n is in R_{k_n} and holds $O_n \cap x_n R_{k_n} = \{x_n\}$.

Then the out-ray $\hat{S} := \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} O_n$ is as desired.

We let x_1 be some vertex of R_{k_1} and set $O_1 := \{x_1\}$. Now we suppose that O_n has been constructed for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Note that almost all out-rays of $(R_i)_{i \in I_3 \setminus I_4}$ avoid the finite set $[V(O_n)]_{I_4}$. Further, almost all elements of $(P_{k_n, j})_{j \in I_3 \setminus (I_4 \cup \{k_n\})}$ avoid $[V(O_n)]_{I_4}$. Similarly, almost all elements $(Q_{j, k_{n+1}})_{j \in I_3 \setminus (I_4 \cup \{k_{n+1}\})}$ avoid $[V(O_n)]_{I_4}$. Thus there exists $m \in I_3 \setminus (I_4 \cup \{k_n\} \cup \{k_{n+1}\})$ such that $R_m, P_{k_n, m}$ and $Q_{m, k_{n+1}}$ avoid $[V(O_n)]_{I_4}$. Then $P_{k_n, m} \cup R_m \cup Q_{m, k_{n+1}}$ contains an $R_{k_n} - R_{k_{n+1}}$ path \hat{O}_{n+1} that is internally disjoint to $\bigcup_{i \in I_4} R_i$. Let O_{n+1} be the concatenation of O_n , some subpaths of R_{k_n} and \hat{O}_{n+1} . \blacksquare

Let $D^\infty(I_4)$ be the (strongly connected) graph with respect to the out-ray \hat{S} from [Claim 6.0.1](#). Then [Lemma 3.1](#) applied to $D^\infty(I_4)$ shows that D contains a subdivision of either a bidirected quarter-grid or a dominated directed quarter-grid whose vertical out-rays are elements of $(R_i)_{i \in I}$. \square

Now we apply [Theorem 1.2](#) to show:

Theorem 1.3. *For every infinite family $(R_i)_{i \in I}$ of disjoint equivalent out-rays (in-rays) in a digraph D there exists a subdivision of the (reversed) bidirected quarter-grid D' such that each vertical out-ray (in-ray) of D' is equivalent to the rays in $(R_i)_{i \in I}$.*

Proof. We prove the statement for a family $(R_i)_{i \in I}$ of out-rays. By considering \bar{D} , we derive from this statement its counterpart for in-rays. By [Theorem 1.2](#) we can assume without loss of generality that there exists a subdivision of a dominated directed quarter-grid D' in D whose vertical out-rays are elements of $(R_i)_{i \in I}$. Further, we assume without loss of generality that $\mathbb{N} \subseteq I$ and R_1, R_2, R_3, \dots are the vertical out-rays of D' .

We begin by fixing a sequence $((\alpha(n), \beta(n)))_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ of elements in $\{(\ell, \ell + 1), (\ell + 1, \ell) : \ell \in \mathbb{N}\}$ such that each element of $\{(\ell, \ell + 1), (\ell + 1, \ell) : \ell \in \mathbb{N}\}$ appears infinitely often and $\alpha(n), \beta(n) \leq n$ for any $n > 1$.

We construct recursively for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$ a family $(S_i^n)_{i \in [n]}$ of disjoint paths in D' and some $\bigcup_{i \in [n]} S_i^n$ -path P^n such that for any $n > 1$

- S_i^{n-1} is a proper initial segment of S_i^n for any $i \in [n - 1]$,
- S_i^n ends in $x_i^n \in V(R_i)$ such that $x_i^n R_i \cap (\bigcup_{\ell \in [n]} P^\ell \cup \bigcup_{j \in [n]} S_j^n) = \{x_i^n\}$ for any $i \in [n]$,
- P^n starts in $S_{\alpha(n)}^n$ and ends in $S_{\beta(n)}^n$, and
- P^n is disjoint to $\bigcup_{\ell \in [n-1]} P^\ell$.

After finishing the recursion, we set $S_i := \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} S_i^n$ for any $i \in \mathbb{N}$ and note that $(S_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ is a family of disjoint out-rays that are equivalent to $(R_i)_{i \in I}$. Then there exists $J \subseteq \mathbb{N}$ such that $\bigcup_{i \in \mathbb{N}} S_i \cup \bigcup_{\ell \in J} P^\ell$ includes the desired subdivision of a bidirected quarter-grid by the choice of $((\alpha(n), \beta(n)))_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$.

Let x_1^1 be some vertex of R_1 and set $S_1^1 := \{x_1^1\}$, $P^1 := \{x_1^1\}$. We assume that $(S_i^{n-1})_{i \in [n-1]}$ and $(P^\ell)_{\ell \in [n-1]}$ have been defined for some $n > 1$. Note that almost all girders of D' avoid

$A := [\bigcup_{i \in [n-1]} S_i^{n-1} \cup \bigcup_{\ell \in [n-1]} P^\ell]_{\mathbb{N}}$. If there exists a girder in D' that contains an $\bigcup_{i \in \mathbb{N}} R_i$ -path P^n starting in $R_{\alpha(n)}$, ending in $R_{\beta(n)}$ and avoiding A , then let S_i^n be some proper extension of S_i^{n-1} along R_i for any $i \in [n-1]$ and let $S_n^n = x_n^n$ for some vertex $x_n^n \in V(R_n) \setminus A$ such that $\bigcup_{i \in [n]} S_i^n$ contains the endpoints of P^n .

If there does not exist a girder in D' that contains an $\bigcup_{i \in \mathbb{N}} R_i$ -path P^n starting in $R_{\alpha(n)}$, ending in $R_{\beta(n)}$ and avoiding A , then either $\beta(n) = \alpha(n) + 1$ and D' is inward-oriented or $\beta(n) = \alpha(n) - 1$ and D' is outward-oriented.

If $\beta(n) = \alpha(n) + 1$ and D' is inward-oriented: See [Figure 4a](#). Firstly, we construct recursively disjoint paths Q_1, \dots, Q_n with the following properties: The path Q_j is the union of a path in R_j starting in the end vertex of S_j^{n-1} if $j \in [n-1]$, some $j, \dots, 1$ -staircase, some path in R_1 and an arch ending in some $R_{f(j)}$ for any $j \in [n]$, where $(f(i))_{i \in [n]}$ is a strictly increasing sequence of natural numbers greater than n . Furthermore, the path Q_j avoids $A \cup \bigcup_{k \in [j-1]} [Q_k]_{\mathbb{N}}$ for any $j \in [n]$. This construction is possible since almost all girders avoid $A \cup \bigcup_{k \in [j-1]} [Q_k]_{\mathbb{N}}$ and Q_1, \dots, Q_{j-1} avoid R_j .

Secondly, we construct recursively disjoint paths Q'_1, \dots, Q'_n such that Q'_j is the concatenation of some path in $R_{f(j)}$ and a $f(j), \dots, j$ -staircase avoiding $A \cup \bigcup_{i \in [n-1]} [Q_i]_{\mathbb{N}} \cup \bigcup_{k \in [j-1]} [Q'_k]_{\mathbb{N}}$ for any $j \in [n]$. This is possible since almost all girders avoid $A \cup \bigcup_{i \in [n-1]} [Q_i]_{\mathbb{N}} \cup \bigcup_{k \in [j-1]} [Q'_k]_{\mathbb{N}}$ and Q_1, \dots, Q'_{j-1} avoid $R_{f(j)}$.

Thirdly, we let S_i^n be the concatenation of S_i^{n-1} , Q_i and Q'_i for $i \in [n]$. Note that R_1 contains an $S_{\alpha(n)}^n - S_{\beta(n)}^n$ path P^n .

If $\beta(n) = \alpha(n) - 1$ and D' is outward-oriented: See [Figure 4b](#). Firstly, we construct recursively disjoint paths Q_1, \dots, Q_n such that Q_j is the concatenation of some path in R_j and a $j, \dots, j+n$ -staircase avoiding $A \cup \bigcup_{k \in [n] \setminus [j]} [Q_k]_{\mathbb{N}}$ for any $j \in [n]$. This is possible since almost all girders avoid $A \cup \bigcup_{k \in [n] \setminus [j]} [Q_k]_{\mathbb{N}}$ and Q_{j+1}, \dots, Q_n avoid R_j .

Secondly, we construct recursively a strictly increasing sequence $(f(i))_{i \in [n]}$ of natural numbers and disjoint paths Q'_1, \dots, Q'_n with the following properties: The path Q'_j is the union of a path in R_{j+n} starting in the end vertex of Q_j , some $j+n, \dots, f(j)$ -staircase, some path in $R_{f(j)}$, the unique arch starting in $R_{f(j)}$, some path in R_1 and a $1, \dots, j$ -staircase for any $j \in [n]$. Furthermore, the path Q'_j avoids $A \cup \bigcup_{k \in [j-1]} [Q_k]_{\mathbb{N}} \cup \bigcup_{k \in [n] \setminus [j]} [Q'_k]_{\mathbb{N}}$ for any $j \in [n]$. This construction is possible since almost all girders avoid $A \cup \bigcup_{k \in [j-1]} [Q_k]_{\mathbb{N}} \cup \bigcup_{k \in [n] \setminus [j]} [Q'_k]_{\mathbb{N}}$ and Q_{j+1}, \dots, Q_n avoid $R_{j+n} \cup R_{f(j)}$.


Thirdly, we set S_i^n to be the concatenation of S_i^{n-1} , Q_i and Q'_i for $i \in [n]$. Note that R_1 contains an $S_{\alpha(n)}^n - S_{\beta(n)}^n$ path P^n .

This completes the proof. \square

7. THE EXISTENCE OF NECKLACE GRIDS

Finally, we turn our attention to necklaces. We call a strongly connected subgraph N a *necklace* if there exists a family $(H_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ of finite strongly connected subgraphs such that $N = \bigcup_{\ell \in \mathbb{N}} H_\ell$ and $H_\ell \cap H_k \neq \emptyset$ holds if and only if $|\ell - k| \leq 1$ for any $\ell, k \in \mathbb{N}$.³ The family $(H_\ell)_{\ell \in \mathbb{N}}$ is a *witness* of N . Furthermore, for any finite set $X \subseteq V(N)$, there exists a unique infinite strong component C in $N \setminus X$ and we call C a *tail* of N . Note that any tail of N contains almost all vertices of N . [2]

³Bürger and Melcher used an equivalent definition of necklaces in [3].



(A) If $\beta(n) = \alpha(n) + 1$ and D' is inward-oriented. (B) If $\beta(n) = \alpha(n) - 1$ and D' is outward-oriented.

FIGURE 4. The construction of a subdivision of a bidirected quarter grid in the proof of [Theorem 1.3](#).

The *necklace grids* are obtained from subdivisions of the bidirected and the dominated directed quarter-grid, respectively, by replacing each vertical out-ray by a necklace N with a fixed witness $(H_\ell^N)_{\ell \in \mathbb{N}}$ such that

- there is at most one edge leaving or entering N in H_ℓ^N if ℓ is odd, and
- there is no edge leaving or entering N in $H_\ell^N \setminus (H_{\ell-1}^N \cup H_{\ell+1}^N)$ if ℓ is even.

Theorem 1.4. *For every infinite family \mathcal{N} of disjoint equivalent necklaces in a digraph D there exists either a bidirected necklace grid D' or a dominated directed necklace grid D' in D such that each vertical necklace of D' is an element of \mathcal{N} .*

A *strong minor* of a digraph D is a digraph obtained from D by deletion of vertices and edges, and contraction of strongly connected subgraphs.

Proof of Theorem 1.4. In this proof we construct an auxiliary digraph A with the property that disjoint paths in A correspond to disjoint paths in D and that contains a family \mathcal{R} of disjoint out-rays that correspond one-to-one to the necklaces of \mathcal{N} . Finally, we apply [Theorem 1.2](#) to A and \mathcal{R} to obtain a subdivision of either a bidirected quarter-grid or a dominated directed quarter-grid in A which corresponds to a bidirected necklace grid or a dominated directed necklace grid in D , respectively.

For any $N \in \mathcal{N}$ let $(H_\ell^N)_{\ell \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a witness of N . We assume without loss of generality that \mathcal{N} is countable and further that $\mathcal{N} = (N_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$. Let $((j_n, k_n))_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a sequence of pairs of distinct natural numbers such that each pair of distinct natural numbers appears infinitely often.

We construct recursively a family $(P_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ of disjoint paths in D . We assume that $(P_i)_{i \in [n-1]}$ have been constructed. For each $N \in \mathcal{N}$ that has been hit by some path P_i for $i \in [n-1]$ let $\alpha(n, N) \in \mathbb{N}$ be minimum such that $\bigcup_{\ell \geq \alpha(n, N)} H_\ell^N$ avoids P_1, \dots, P_{n-1} . For all other

$N \in \mathcal{N}$ set $\alpha(n, N) = 0$. Let P_n be an $N_{j_n} - N_{k_n}$ path in D that avoids the finite set $\bigcup_{N \in \mathcal{N}} \bigcup_{1 \leq \ell \leq \alpha(n, N)} V(H_\ell^N)$ and the paths P_1, \dots, P_{n-1} . This completes the construction of $(P_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$.

We consider the subgraph $\hat{D} := \bigcup \mathcal{N} \cup \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} P_n$. Note that the necklaces of \mathcal{N} are equivalent in \hat{D} . For any $N \in \mathcal{N}$ set $\alpha(N) := \{\alpha(n, N) : n \in \mathbb{N}\} \setminus \{0\}$. Note that $\alpha(N)$ is infinite and any two distinct elements of $\alpha(N)$ have distance at least 2. Note further that the paths $(P_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ avoid $\bigcup_{\ell \in \alpha(N)} H_\ell^N$.

We construct a strong minor A of \hat{D} by applying the following steps to any $N \in \mathcal{N}$ (see **Figure 5**): Firstly, for any $\ell \in \alpha(N)$ we remove all vertices of $H_\ell^N \setminus (H_{\ell-1}^N \cup H_{\ell+1}^N)$ except that we keep a directed $H_{\ell-1}^N - H_{\ell+1}^N$ path in H_ℓ^N . Secondly, we contract every strong components of $\bigcup_{\ell \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \alpha(N)} H_\ell^N$ to a single vertex. In this way the necklace N turns into an out-ray.

Let \mathcal{R} be the family of disjoint out-rays in A obtained from \mathcal{N} in D . Note that the paths $(P_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ contract to trails $(T_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ in A such that the endpoints of T_n correspond to the endpoints of P_n . Thus \mathcal{R} is a family of equivalent out-rays in A . Note further that any path Q in A expands to a subgraph that contains a path whose endpoints correspond to the endpoints of Q . Moreover, any two disjoint paths Q, Q' expand to disjoint subgraphs of D .




FIGURE 5. The construction of the strong minor A of D in the proof of **Theorem 1.4**. The magenta outlined areas form components of $\bigcup_{\ell \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \alpha(N)} H_\ell^N$.

We apply **Theorem 1.2** to A and \mathcal{R} to obtain a subdivision A' of either a bidirected quarter-grid or a dominated directed quarter-grid such that each vertical out-ray of A' is an element of \mathcal{R} . The family \mathcal{R}' of vertical out-rays of A' corresponds to a family $\mathcal{N}' \subseteq \mathcal{N}$ of necklaces. Furthermore the (disjoint) horizontal \mathcal{R}' -paths in A' correspond to disjoint \mathcal{N}' -paths in D' , where the endpoints of the \mathcal{N}' -paths appear in the same pattern on \mathcal{N}' as on \mathcal{R}' .

Finally, we consider for each $N \in \mathcal{N}$ the witness $(\hat{H}_k^N)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$, where \hat{H}_k^N is a strong component of $\bigcup_{\ell \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \alpha(N)} H_\ell^N$ if k odd and \hat{H}_k^N is \hat{H}_ℓ^N for some $\ell \in \alpha(N)$ if k even. Note that the disjoint \mathcal{N}' -paths corresponding to paths in A' do not start or end in $\hat{H}_k^N \setminus (\hat{H}_{k-1}^N \cup \hat{H}_{k+1}^N)$ for k even. Thus the union of $\bigcup \mathcal{N}'$ and the \mathcal{N}' -paths forms either a bidirected necklace grid or a dominated directed necklace grid whose vertical necklaces are elements of \mathcal{N} . \square

In the remaining part of this paper we show that both types of necklace grids are necessary in a statement like [Theorem 1.4](#) even if we relax the constraints of [Theorem 1.4](#) so that the out-rays are equivalent to $(R_i)_{i \in I}$.

Lemma 7.1. *There exists a dominated directed necklace grid that does not contain a bidirected necklace grid.*

Proof. Let D be an outward-oriented dominated directed necklace grid whose vertical necklaces R_1, R_2, \dots are isomorphic to $\mathcal{D}(S)$ for an undirected ray S and whose arches are single edges. Further, let \mathcal{A} be the set of arches of D . We suppose for a contradiction that D contains a bidirected necklace grid D' and let N_1, N_2, \dots be the vertical necklaces of D' .

Claim 7.1.1. *There exists $i \in \mathbb{N}$ such that N_i contains infinitely many arches of D .*

Proof. Suppose for a contradiction that each necklace N_i contain at most finitely many arches of D . Then there exists a tail N'_i of N_i for any $i \in \mathbb{N}$ such that N'_i does not contain any arch. As R_1, R_2, \dots are the strong components of $D - \mathcal{A}$, N'_i is contained in some necklace $R_{f(i)}$. Since $R_{f(i)}$ is isomorphic to $\mathcal{D}(S)$, N'_i is a tail of $R_{f(i)}$ and in particular, $f(i) \neq f(j)$ for any $i \neq j \in \mathbb{N}$.

Thus there exist $\ell < m < n$ such that $f(\ell) < f(m) < f(n)$. Since D' is a bidirected necklace grid, there are infinitely many disjoint $N'_n - N'_m$ paths that avoid N'_ℓ . Thus there are infinitely many disjoint $R_{f(n)} - R_{f(m)}$ paths in D that avoid N'_ℓ . This contradicts the facts that any $R_{f(n)} - R_{f(m)}$ path in D hits $R_{f(\ell)}$ and $R_{f(\ell)} \setminus N'_\ell$ is finite. \blacksquare

Let $i \in \mathbb{N}$ be as in [Claim 7.1.1](#) and let $j \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{i\}$ be arbitrary. Further, let x be some vertex of N_j and let G be a girder of D with the property $x \in [G]_{\mathbb{N}}$, where the set $[G]_{\mathbb{N}} \subseteq V(D)$ is defined as for H .

We consider some tail N'_i of N_i that avoids the finite set $[G]_{\mathbb{N}}$ and let A be some arch in N'_i , which exists by [Claim 7.1.1](#). Let a be the start vertex and b be the end vertex of A . Since N'_i is strongly connected, there exists a $b-a$ path in N'_i . In particular, there exists an $R_1 - \{a\}$ path P in N'_i that does not contain any arch.

Claim 7.1.2. *The vertex x is contained in a finite strong component of $D - P$.*

Proof. Note that $D - \mathcal{A}$ is a planar digraph. We consider a planar drawing of $D - \mathcal{A}$ similar to [Figure 1c](#). The path P starts in this drawing on the left hand side, ends on the right hand side and avoids the set $[G]_{\mathbb{N}}$ since $P \subseteq N'_i$. This implies that $V(P)$ separates $[G]_{\mathbb{N}}$ from almost all vertices of $D - \mathcal{A}$. In particular, the vertex $x \in [G]_{\mathbb{N}}$ is contained in a finite weak component X of $D - \mathcal{A} - P$.

We show that X is a strong component of $D - P$. Then x is contained in the finite strong component X of $D - P$. Let s be the start vertex of P .

If $s \in [A]_{\mathbb{N}}$, then $X \cap V(R_1) \subseteq [A]_{\mathbb{N}}$. Thus any arch ending in X has its start vertex in $[A]_{\mathbb{N}}$. Note that all start vertices of arches in $[A]_{\mathbb{N}}$ are contained in $X \cup V(P)$. This implies that there is no arch starting in $V(D) \setminus (X \cup V(P))$ and ending in X . If $s \notin [A]_{\mathbb{N}}$, then $V(R_1) \setminus (X \cup V(P)) \subseteq V(R_1) \setminus [A]_{\mathbb{N}}$. Thus any arch ending in $V(D) \setminus (X \cup V(P))$ avoids $[A]_{\mathbb{N}}$. Note that all start vertices of arches in X are contained in $[A]_{\mathbb{N}}$. This implies that no arch starts in X and ends in $V(D) \setminus (X \cup V(P))$. In both cases, X is a strong component of $D - P$. \blacksquare

By [Claim 7.1.2](#), N_j has to contain a vertex of P . This contradicts the fact that N_i, N_j are disjoint since $P \subseteq N_i$, and completes the proof. \square

Lemma 7.2. *There exists a bidirected necklace grid that does not contain a dominated directed necklace grid.*

Proof. Let D be a bidirected necklace grid whose vertical necklaces are isomorphic to $\mathcal{D}(S)$ for an undirected ray S . Suppose for a contradiction that D contains a dominated directed necklace grid D' . Let N_1, N_2 and N_3 be distinct vertical necklaces of D' . We consider the underlying undirected graph $G(D')$ of D' and let R_i be an undirected ray contained in N_i for any $i \in [3]$. Note that there are infinitely many disjoint undirected R_i – R_j paths that avoid k in $G(D')$ for any $i \neq j \in [3]$ with $k \in [3] \setminus \{i, j\}$ since D' is a dominated directed necklace grid.

Now we consider the rays R_1, R_2, R_3 in the underlying undirected graph $G(D)$ of D . Let G be a girder of D such that $\lceil G \rceil$ contains vertices of R_1, R_2 and R_3 . Further let R'_i be the unique tail of R_i that intersects $\lceil G \rceil$ precisely in its first vertex for any $i \in [3]$. Note that R'_1, R'_2 and R'_3 start in $V(G)$. We assume without loss of generality that R'_1, R'_2 and R'_3 occur in this order in G . Then there exists no R'_1 – R'_3 path that avoids $\lceil G \rceil$ and R'_2 , a contradiction. This completes the proof. \square

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The author gratefully acknowledges support by a doctoral scholarship of the Studienstiftung des deutschen Volkes.

REFERENCES

- [1] S. A. Amiri, K.-i. Kawarabayashi, S. Kreutzer, and P. Wollan, *The Erdős-Pósa Property for directed graphs*, arXiv preprint arXiv:1603.02504 (2016).
- [2] N. Bowler and F. Reich, *Connectoids I: a universal end space theory*, arXiv preprint arXiv:2405.14704 (2024).
- [3] C. Bürger and R. Melcher, *Ends of digraphs I: basic theory*, arXiv preprint arXiv:2009.03295 (2020).
- [4] R. Diestel, *Graph Theory*, 6th ed., Springer (print edition); Reinhard Diestel (eBooks), 2024.
- [5] A. Georgakopoulos and M. Hamann, *A full Halin grid theorem*, arXiv preprint arXiv:2403.05862 (2024).
- [6] R. Halin, *Über die Maximalzahl fremder unendlicher Wege in Graphen*, *Mathematische Nachrichten* **30** (1965), no. 1-2, 63–85.
- [7] M. Hamann and K. Heuer, *Infinite grids in digraphs*, preprint (2024).
- [8] K. Heuer, *Excluding a full grid minor*, *Abhandlungen aus dem Mathematischen Seminar der Universität Hamburg*, 2017, pp. 265–274.
- [9] J. Kurkofka, R. Melcher, and M. Pitz, *A strengthening of Halin's grid theorem*, *Mathematika* **68** (2022), no. 4, 1009–1013.
- [10] F. Reich, *A star-comb lemma for infinite digraphs*, arXiv preprint arXiv:2406.04877 (2024).
- [11] J. Zuther, *Ends in digraphs*, *Discrete mathematics* **184** (1998), no. 1-3, 225–244.

UNIVERSITÄT HAMBURG, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, BUNDESSTRASSE 55 (GEOMATIKUM), 20146 HAMBURG, GERMANY

Email address: florian.reich@uni-hamburg.de