

# K-VARIETIES AND GALOIS REPRESENTATIONS

ENRIC FLORIT AND ARIEL PACETTI

**ABSTRACT.** In a remarkable article Ribet showed how to attach rational 2-dimensional representations to elliptic  $\mathbb{Q}$ -curves. An abelian variety  $A$  is a (weak)  $K$ -variety if it is isogenous to all of its  $\text{Gal}_K$ -conjugates. In this article we study the problem of attaching an absolutely irreducible  $\ell$ -adic representation of  $\text{Gal}_K$  to an abelian  $K$ -variety, which sometimes has smaller dimension than expected. When possible, we also construct a Galois-equivariant pairing, which restricts the image of this representation. As an application of our construction, we prove modularity of abelian surfaces over  $\mathbb{Q}$  with potential quaternionic multiplication.

## INTRODUCTION

Let  $L$  be a number field, and let  $A/L$  be an abelian variety of dimension  $g$ . Given a prime number  $\ell$ , the Tate module  $T_\ell A$  is obtained as the inverse limit (over all positive integers  $n$ ) of the subgroup of  $\ell^n$ -torsion points of  $A$ . Since addition is a rational map, the Tate module acquires a structure of  $\mathbb{Z}_\ell[\text{Gal}_L]$ -module. Let  $V_\ell A := T_\ell A \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_\ell} \mathbb{Q}_\ell$  be the usual extension of scalars, a  $\mathbb{Q}_\ell$ -vector space of dimension  $2g$ . The action of  $\text{Gal}_L$  on  $V_\ell A$  gives the usual  $2g$ -dimensional Galois representation  $\rho_{A,\ell} : \text{Gal}_L \rightarrow \text{GL}_{2g}(\mathbb{Q}_\ell)$ . Let  $\text{End}^0(A)$  denote the  $\mathbb{Q}$ -algebra of endomorphisms of  $A$  defined over  $L$ . A well known result states that if  $\text{End}^0(A)$  is larger than  $\mathbb{Q}$ , then there exists a subrepresentation  $\rho_{A,\lambda}$  of  $\rho_{A,\ell}$  obtained by considering  $V_\ell A$  as an  $\text{End}^0(A) \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{Q}_\ell$ -module (we recall this construction in § 1).

Let  $L/K$  be a Galois extension of number fields. The variety  $A$  is called a (weak)  $K$ -variety if it is  $L$ -isogenous to all of its  $\text{Gal}(L/K)$ -conjugates, namely for all  $\sigma \in \text{Gal}(L/K)$  there exists an isogeny  $\mu_\sigma : \sigma A \rightarrow A$ . The prototypical example of a  $K$ -variety is that of an elliptic curve  $A$  which is isogenous to all of its Galois conjugates (the so called  $\mathbb{Q}$ -curves) as studied by Ribet in [Rib04]. The main goal of the present article is to study the following question.

**Question:** What Galois representations can be naturally attached to a (weak)  $K$ -variety?

The reason to study  $K$ -varieties is that although the variety  $A$  is defined over  $L$ , its Galois representation (up to a twist) should extend to an  $n$ -dimensional Galois representation of  $\text{Gal}_K$  for some  $n \leq 2 \dim(A)$  (this is indeed the case, as proven in Theorem 3.15). In the particular case of  $\dim(A) = 1$  (i.e.  $A$  being an elliptic curve) without complex multiplication, this result was proven by Ribet in [Rib04]. Existence of endomorphisms makes the situation

---

2020 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 11G10,14K15,11F80.

*Key words and phrases.*  $K$ -varieties, Galois representations.

Funded by the Portuguese Foundation for Science and Technology (FCT) Individual Call to Scientific Employment Stimulus (<https://doi.org/10.54499/2020.02775.CEECIND/CP1589/CT0032>). This work was supported by CIDMA and is funded by the FCT, under grant UIDB/04106/2020 (<https://doi.org/10.54499/UIDB/04106/2020>). Florit was supported by the Spanish Ministry of Universities (FPU20/05059) and by grants PID2022-137605NB-I00 and 2021 SGR 01468.

more subtle. It is important to remark that as part of the Langlands program, we also expect the resulting Galois representation of  $\text{Gal}_K$  to match an automorphic representation of  $\text{GL}_n(\mathbb{A}_K)$ . In the case of  $\mathbb{Q}$ -curves, this follows from Serre's conjectures (as described in [Rib04]).

An abelian variety  $A/L$  is called a *strong  $K$ -variety* if furthermore for all  $\sigma \in \text{Gal}(L/K)$  the isogeny  $\mu_\sigma : {}^\sigma A \rightarrow A$  satisfies a natural commutation relation with all endomorphisms defined over  $L$ , namely

$$\mu_\sigma^\sigma \varphi = \varphi \mu_\sigma \quad \forall \sigma \in \text{Gal}(L/K), \quad \forall \varphi \in \text{End}(A_L).$$

We want to emphasize that sometimes in the literature, the notion of a  $K$ -variety involves the stronger condition that the previous relation holds for all  $\varphi \in \text{End}(A_{\overline{\mathbb{Q}}})$ . Strong  $K$ -varieties (with the more restrictive definition) were studied in [Gui12], in analogy to the  $\mathbb{Q}$ -curves situation. It is not hard to prove that if  $A/L$  is a strong  $K$ -variety of dimension  $g$  with endomorphism ring  $\mathbb{Z}$ , then a twist of  $\rho_{A,\ell}$  can be extended to a  $2g$ -dimensional Galois representation of  $\text{Gal}_K$  (see Theorem 3.3). In some instances (see Remark 4.4), the extended representation also preserves a non-degenerate bilinear form.

In general, the  $\ell$ -adic representation  $\rho_{A,\ell}$  of the Galois group  $\text{Gal}_L$  has an irreducible subrepresentation  $\rho_\lambda$  (coming from the endomorphism ring). Then we can prove (Theorem 3.10) the existence of a maximal subfield  $L' \subset L$  such that  $A$  is a strong  $L'$ -variety. This allows to extend the representation  $\rho_{A,\lambda}$  to a representation  $\tilde{\rho}_\lambda$  of  $\text{Gal}_{L'}$  of the same dimension (well defined up to twists by characters of  $\text{Gal}_{L'}$ ). To obtain a representation of  $\text{Gal}_K$  one can just consider its induction from  $\text{Gal}_{L'}$  to  $\text{Gal}_K$  (as done in [FG22] for strong abelian varieties of  $\text{GL}_2$ -type over  $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}$ ). As an example, let  $E/\mathbb{Q}$  be an elliptic curve with complex multiplication by an imaginary quadratic field  $K$ . The curve  $E/K$  is clearly a  $K$ -elliptic curve, though it is not a strong  $K$ -elliptic curve (which is consistent with the fact that it does not have a 1-dimensional representation of  $\text{Gal}_{\mathbb{Q}}$  attached to it). The representation attached to  $E/K$  decomposes as the sum of two 1-dimensional representations (coming from a Hecke character  $\chi$  and its complex conjugate), and the induction of  $\chi$  from  $\text{Gal}_K$  to  $\text{Gal}_{\mathbb{Q}}$  matches  $\rho_{E,\ell}$ .

Our construction is well suited to study how the Galois representation of  $A$  behaves while extending scalars from  $L$  to a field  $M$  where it gets extra endomorphisms. In Theorem 3.17 we compare the dimension of the different constructions, which do not always match. We present two interesting applications of our construction: one of them is related to abelian fourfolds whose 4-dimensional Galois representation is contained in  $\text{GU}_4(\mathbb{Q}_\ell) \cap \text{GSp}_4(F_\lambda)$ , for  $F$  an imaginary quadratic field, and  $\lambda$  a prime of  $F$  dividing  $\ell$ . Our second application proves that any abelian surface over  $\mathbb{Q}$  with potential quaternionic multiplication (QM for short) comes from a Siegel modular form of weight 2.

The article is organized as follows: Section 1 recalls some well known facts on irreducible constituents  $\rho_\lambda$  of Galois representations attached to abelian varieties in terms of its endomorphism ring. Section 2 recalls results on the existence of non-degenerate, bilinear pairings (symplectic, symmetric or hermitian) invariant under the action of  $\rho_\lambda$ . Section 3 contains the main results of the article. We start studying strong  $K$ -varieties  $A/L$ . Our main result (Theorem 3.3) proves that the representation  $\rho_\lambda$  (after possible a twist) can be extended to a representation  $\tilde{\rho}_\lambda$  of  $\text{Gal}_K$  of the same dimension. In Section 3.2 we study general  $K$ -varieties. We prove that the group  $\text{Gal}(L/K)$  has a natural action on the center of the endomorphism algebra. The kernel of the action fixes a subextension  $L'$ , and it happens that the variety  $A$  is a strong  $L'$ -variety (see Theorem 3.10). Then the previous result applies

and provides an extension of our representation to  $\text{Gal}_{L'}$ . In Section 3.3 we study how the dimension our constructed representation varies when we enlarge the base field  $L$ . In Theorem 3.18 we prove that in some cases the dimension halves (depending on how many new extra endomorphisms the variety gains).

Section 4 gives the necessary (and sufficient) condition for the existence of an invariant pairing for the constructed representation extending that of  $\rho_\lambda$ . The last section contains some applications (including the stated modularity result of abelian surfaces with potential QM).

**Notation:** for the reader's convenience, we include some notation used during the article.

- $L$  denotes a number field and  $\bar{L}$  an algebraic closure of it.
- $A$  denotes a simple abelian variety defined over  $L$ .
- $R = \text{End}(A_L)$  and  $D = \text{End}^0(A) = R \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q}$ .  $E$  denotes the center of  $D$  and  $E^+$  its maximal totally real subfield.
- $d$  denotes the Schur index of  $\text{End}^0(A)$ .
- If  $\varphi \in D$  and  $\sigma \in \text{Gal}_K$ , we denote by  ${}^\sigma\varphi$  the morphism obtained by applying  $\sigma$  to the coefficients of the endomorphism.

**Acknowledgments:** We would like to thank Alex Bartel, Francesc Fité and Xevi Guitart for many helpful conversations as well as many suggestions that improved the quality of the article.

## 1. ENDOMORPHISMS AND SPLITTING

Let  $L$  be a number field, and let  $A/L$  be a simple abelian variety of dimension  $g$ . Let  $\text{End}^0(A) := \text{End}(A_L) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q}$  be its endomorphism algebra, a division algebra with center a number field  $E$ . Then  $\dim_E \text{End}^0(A) = d^2$ , where the number  $d$  is called the Schur index of  $\text{End}^0(A)$  (see [Pie82, §13]).

**Theorem 1.1.** *The endomorphism algebra falls in one of the following types in the Albert classification:*

- *Type I.*  $E = \text{End}^0(A)$  (so  $d = 1$ );  $E$  is a totally real number field and  $[E : \mathbb{Q}] \mid g$ .
- *Type II.*  $E$  is a totally real number field,  $\text{End}^0(A)$  is a totally indefinite quaternion algebra over  $K$  (so  $d = 2$ ) and  $2[E : \mathbb{Q}] \mid g$ .
- *Type III.*  $E$  is a totally real number field,  $\text{End}^0(A)$  is a totally definite quaternion algebra over  $K$  (so  $d = 2$ ) and  $2[E : \mathbb{Q}] \mid g$ .
- *Type IV.*  $E$  is a CM field,  $\text{End}^0(A)$  is a division algebra and  $\frac{[E:\mathbb{Q}]}{2}d^2 \mid g$ .

*Proof.* See for example Theorem 2 of [Mum08, § 21]. □

**Remark 1.2.** *Even when  $A_{\bar{L}}$  is simple, it is perfectly possible that  $A_L$  and  $A_{\bar{L}}$  have different Albert types. For example a rational curve with complex multiplication by an imaginary quadratic field  $K$  has Albert type I over  $\mathbb{Q}$ , but type IV over  $K$ . The same phenomenon occurs in higher dimensions, for example with the Jacobian of the genus 2 curve with LMFDB label 20736-a-1 (with complex multiplication over  $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_9)^+$ ).*

Fix a prime number  $\ell$ . Let  $V_\ell(A) := T_\ell(A) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_\ell} \mathbb{Q}_\ell$  be the rational Tate module. Fix a polarization  $\phi : A \rightarrow A^\vee$ . The Weil pairing and the choice of polarization gives a non-degenerate alternating pairing

$$\Phi : V_\ell A \times V_\ell A \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}_\ell.$$

This pairing is compatible with the action of Galois: for  $\sigma \in \text{Gal}_L$ ,  $u, v \in V_\ell A$ , we have

$$(1) \quad \Phi(\sigma u, \sigma v) = \sigma \Phi(u, v) = \chi_\ell(\sigma) \Phi(u, v)$$

with  $\chi_\ell : \text{Gal}_L \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}_\ell^\times$  the  $\ell$ -adic cyclotomic character. Another way to state property (1) is to say that  $\Phi$  is  $\text{Gal}_L$ -equivariant with similitude character  $\chi_\ell$ . The pairing (and its  $\text{Gal}_L$ -invariance) allow to construct a continuous Galois representation

$$\rho_{A,\ell} : \text{Gal}_L \rightarrow \text{GSp}(V_\ell(A), \Phi),$$

with similitude character  $\chi_\ell$ , the  $\ell$ -th cyclotomic character. This representation is not irreducible in general. To ease notation, let  $R := \text{End}(A_L)$  and let  $D := \text{End}^0(A) = R \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q}$ . When  $D$  is non-commutative define the ramification set

$$(2) \quad \text{Ram}(D) = \{\lambda \text{ prime of } E : D \otimes_E E_\lambda \not\cong M_d(E_\lambda)\}.$$

To avoid multiple statements, when  $D = E$  set  $\text{Ram}(D) = \emptyset$ . In both cases,  $\text{Ram}(D)$  is a finite set with even cardinality [Pie82, §18.5]. The algebra  $\text{End}^0(A)$  acts on  $V_\ell A$ , so we can consider it as an  $\text{End}^0(A) \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{Q}_\ell$ -module, and in particular as an  $E \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{Q}_\ell$ -module. There is a (categorical product) decomposition

$$(3) \quad E \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{Q}_\ell \simeq \prod_{\lambda|\ell} E_\lambda,$$

where  $\lambda$  runs over the primes of  $E$  over  $\ell$ . Let  $e_\lambda$  be the idempotent corresponding to  $E_\lambda$  in (3) and set  $V_\lambda := e_\lambda \cdot V_\ell A$ . Then

$$V_\ell A \simeq \bigoplus_{\lambda|\ell} V_\lambda.$$

This is an isomorphism of  $E \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{Q}_\ell[\text{Gal}_L]$ -modules, since  $\text{End}^0(A)$  is the algebra of endomorphisms defined over  $L$ , so they commute with the action of the Galois group  $\text{Gal}_L$ .

**Lemma 1.3.** *We have  $\dim_{E_\lambda} V_\lambda = \frac{2g}{[E:\mathbb{Q}]}$ , which is independent of  $\ell$ .*

*Proof.* This is Theorem 2.1.1 in [Rib76], the argument works the same since  $V_\lambda$  is a free  $E_\lambda$ -module.  $\square$

**Lemma 1.4.** *We have  $\text{End}_{E_\lambda[\text{Gal}_L]}(V_\lambda) = \text{End}^0(A) \otimes_E E_\lambda$ . Furthermore, if  $\text{End}^0(A) = E$  is commutative, each  $V_\lambda$  is an absolutely irreducible representation of  $\text{Gal}_L$ .*

*Proof.* By [Fal83, Satz 4],  $V_\ell A$  is a semisimple  $\mathbb{Q}_\ell[\text{Gal}_L]$ -module and  $\text{End}_{\text{Gal}_L}(V_\ell A) \simeq \text{End}^0(A) \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{Q}_\ell$ . Therefore each  $V_\lambda$  is semisimple and satisfies  $\text{End}_{E_\lambda[\text{Gal}_L]}(V_\lambda) = \text{End}^0(A) \otimes_E E_\lambda$ . Hence when  $\text{End}^0(A) = E$ ,  $V_\lambda$  is simple over  $E_\lambda$ , and so it is absolutely irreducible.  $\square$

When  $\text{End}^0(A)$  is non-commutative, the module  $V_\lambda$  cannot be simple. The reason is that  $\text{End}^0(A) \otimes_E E_\lambda \simeq M_d(E_\lambda)$  for  $\lambda \notin \text{Ram}(D)$ , and this ring has  $d$  orthogonal idempotents that further break down  $V_\lambda$ . Since we want the simple factors in a decomposition of  $V_\lambda$  to be nondegenerate with respect to a certain pairing, we introduce them in a separate section.

## 2. PAIRINGS AND IRREDUCIBLE CONSTITUENTS

**Definition 2.1.** Let  $K$  be a field of characteristic different from 2 and let  $V$  be a finite dimensional  $K$ -vector space. A nondegenerate biadditive form

$$\Psi : V \times V \rightarrow K$$

is called

- symplectic, if it is  $K$ -bilinear and  $\Psi(v, v) = 0$  for all  $v \in V$ .
- symmetric, if it is  $K$ -bilinear and  $\Psi(v, w) = \Psi(w, v)$  for all  $v, w \in V$ .
- hermitian, if  $K$  has an automorphism  $\bar{\cdot} : K \rightarrow K$  which is an involution, and satisfies that  $\Psi$  is  $K$ -linear in the first entry and

$$\Psi(v, w) = \overline{\Psi(w, v)}$$

for all  $v, w \in V$ .

**Definition 2.2.** Suppose that  $A$  either has Albert type I, II or III. Let  $\ell$  be a rational prime and let  $\lambda \mid \ell$  in  $\mathcal{O}_E$ . The prime  $\lambda$  has Property (P) if  $\lambda \notin \text{Ram}(D)$ .

Denote by  $x \mapsto x'$  the Rosati involution of  $D = \text{End}^0(A)$ , which is obtained from the polarization  $\phi : A \rightarrow A^\vee$ .

**Lemma 2.3.** Let  $A$  have Albert type IV. Let  $E^+$  be the maximal totally real subfield of  $E$ . Then there exist a finite Galois extension  $L/E^+$  containing  $E$ , an element  $\gamma \in D$  with  $\gamma' = \gamma$  and an  $L$ -algebra isomorphism

$$s : D \otimes_E L \xrightarrow{\sim} M_d(L)$$

such that the positive involution  $x \mapsto x^* := \gamma x' \gamma^{-1}$  on  $s(D)$  is the restriction of the involution  $X \mapsto X^* := \overline{X}^\top$  on  $M_d(L)$ .

*Proof.* This is Lemma 2.1 in [BKG21]. □

**Definition 2.4.** Suppose  $A$  has Albert type IV with  $d > 1$ . Let  $\ell$  be a rational prime, let  $\lambda \mid \ell$  in  $\mathcal{O}_E$ , and let  $\lambda_0 := \lambda \cap \mathcal{O}_{E^+}$ . The prime  $\lambda$  has Property (P) if it satisfies the following properties:

- $\lambda \notin \text{Ram}(D)$ .
- $\lambda$  is inert over  $\lambda_0$ , and  $\lambda$  splits in  $\mathcal{O}_L$ .

**Remark 2.5.** As explained in [BKG21, pg. 1250], when  $A$  has Albert type IV there is a positive density of primes  $\lambda$  of  $E$  with property (P).

**Theorem 2.6.** Let  $A/L$  be a simple abelian variety of dimension  $g$ , and let  $n = \frac{2g}{d[E:\mathbb{Q}]}$ . Let  $\ell$  be a prime number with Property (P), and let  $\lambda \mid \ell$  in  $E$ . Then there exist an absolutely irreducible  $E_\lambda[\text{Gal}_L]$ -module  $W_\lambda$  of rank  $n$ , and a pairing  $\Psi_\lambda : W_\lambda \times W_\lambda \rightarrow E_\lambda$ , satisfying the following properties:

- The pairing  $\Psi_\lambda$  is non-degenerate and  $E_\lambda$ -linear in the first coordinate.
- The pairing is symplectic if  $A$  is of type I or II.
- The pairing is symmetric if  $A$  is of type III.
- The pairing is hermitian if  $A$  is of type IV.
- The form  $\Psi$  is invariant (up to a similitude character) under the Galois action: for  $u, v \in W_\lambda$  and  $\sigma \in \text{Gal}_L$ ,  $\Psi_\lambda(\sigma u, \sigma v) = \sigma \Psi_\lambda(u, v) = \chi_\ell(\sigma) \Psi_\lambda(u, v)$ .

- There is an isomorphism

$$V_\lambda \simeq W_\lambda^{\oplus d}$$

of  $E_\lambda[\text{Gal}_L]$ -modules. Furthermore, if every prime ideal  $\lambda \mid \ell$  of  $E$  has Property (P), then there is a decomposition

$$V_\ell A \simeq \bigoplus_{\lambda \mid \ell} W_\lambda^{\oplus d}$$

of  $E \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{Q}_\ell[\text{Gal}_L]$ -modules.

*Proof.* This is a summary of [BGK06, Theorem 5.4] and [BGK10, Theorem 3.23]. If  $A$  has Albert type I, then this follows from [Chi91, § 2.3] if  $d = 1$ , and from [BKG21, Theorem 1.1] when  $d > 1$ .  $\square$

### 3. $K$ -VARIETIES

**Definition 3.1.** Let  $L/K$  be an extension of number fields and let  $A$  be an abelian variety defined over  $L$ . The variety  $A$  is called a  $K$ -variety if for every  $\sigma \in \text{Gal}_K$  there exists an isogeny  $\mu_\sigma : {}^\sigma A \rightarrow A$ . The variety  $A$  is called a strong  $K$ -variety if, in addition, for every  $\varphi \in \text{End}(A_L)$  the following equality holds

$$(4) \quad \mu_\sigma^\sigma \varphi = \varphi \mu_\sigma.$$

**Remark 3.2.** If  $L'/L$  is a field extension, and  $A/L$  is a  $K$ -variety, then the variety  $A/L'$  is also a  $K$ -variety. This is not true for strong  $K$ -varieties. For example if  $E$  is an elliptic curve over  $\mathbb{Q}$  with CM by an imaginary quadratic field  $K$ , then  $E/\mathbb{Q}$  is clearly a strong  $\mathbb{Q}$ -curve, but  $E/K$  is not.

**3.1. Strong  $K$ -varieties.** Let  $A$  be a strong  $K$ -variety defined over a number field  $L$ . Following the notation of Theorem 2.6, let  $\rho_\lambda$  be the Galois representation attached to  $A/L$ .

**Theorem 3.3.** Suppose that the extension  $L/K$  is Galois. Then there exists a finite order character  $\psi : \text{Gal}_L \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{Q}_\ell}^\times$  such that the twisted representation  $\rho_\lambda \otimes \psi$  extends to a representation of  $\text{Gal}_K$ .

*Proof.* The proof is quite standard (see for example Theorem 11.2 of [Isa06]). For  $\tau \in \text{Gal}(L/K)$  let  ${}^\tau \rho_\lambda$  denote the representation defined by

$${}^\tau \rho_\lambda(\sigma) = \rho_\lambda(\tau \sigma \tau^{-1}).$$

Use the same notation for the Tate representation  $\rho_{A,\ell}$ . The fact that  $A$  is a  $K$ -variety implies that  ${}^\tau \rho_{A,\ell}$  is isomorphic to  $\rho_{A,\ell}$ . The fact that  $A$  is a strong  $K$ -variety (i.e. the fact that isogenies commute with endomorphisms as in (4)), imply that the same holds for the representation  $\rho_\lambda$ , namely  ${}^\tau \rho_\lambda \simeq \rho_\lambda$ . Then there exists a matrix  $A_\tau \in \text{GL}_n(\overline{\mathbb{Q}_\ell})$  such that for all  $\sigma \in \text{Gal}_L$

$${}^\tau \rho_\lambda(\sigma) = A_\tau \rho_\lambda(\sigma) A_\tau^{-1}.$$

Since the representation  $\rho_\lambda$  is irreducible (by Theorem 2.6), Schur's lemma implies that the matrix  $A_\tau$  is unique up to scalars, i.e. it determines a unique element in  $\text{PGL}_n(\overline{\mathbb{Q}_\ell})$ .

Consider the projective representation  $\mathbb{P}\rho_\lambda : \text{Gal}_L \rightarrow \text{PGL}_n(\overline{\mathbb{Q}_\ell})$  (obtained as the composition of  $\rho_\lambda$  with the natural quotient map  $\pi : \text{GL}_n(\overline{\mathbb{Q}_\ell}) \rightarrow \text{PGL}_n(\overline{\mathbb{Q}_\ell})$ ). Extend the representation  $\mathbb{P}\rho_\ell$  to a map  $\widetilde{\mathbb{P}\rho_\ell} : \text{Gal}_K \rightarrow \text{PGL}_n(\overline{\mathbb{Q}_\ell})$  by defining  $\widetilde{\mathbb{P}\rho_\ell}(\tau) := A_\tau$  for  $\tau \in \text{Gal}_K$ . An

elementary computation proves that  $\widetilde{\mathbb{P}\rho_\lambda}$  is a group morphism (hence a projective representation). Note that if  $\tau \in \text{Gal}_L$ , then

$${}^\tau \rho_\lambda(\sigma) = \rho_\lambda(\tau\sigma\tau^{-1}) = \rho_\lambda(\tau)\rho_\lambda(\sigma)\rho_\lambda(\tau)^{-1},$$

hence  $A_\tau = \rho_\lambda(\tau)$  (up to a scalar matrix), so the map  $\widetilde{\mathbb{P}\rho_\ell}$  really extends the map  $\mathbb{P}\rho_\ell$ .

The obstruction to lift the projective representation to a continuous homomorphism  $\rho : \text{Gal}_K \rightarrow \text{GL}_2(\overline{\mathbb{Q}_\ell})$  lies in  $H^2(\text{Gal}_K, \overline{\mathbb{Q}_\ell}^\times)$ , which by a result of Tate (see [Ser77, §6.5]) is trivial.  $\square$

**Remark 3.4.** *It follows from the proof of the previous theorem that the extension is unique up to a twist by a character of  $\text{Gal}_K$ . Note that when  $L/K$  is cyclic, a similar argument proves that  $\rho_\lambda$  admits an extension without needing to twist.*

**Remark 3.5.** *When  $L/K$  is not cyclic, the twist might be really needed. The following elementary example was provided to us by Alex Bartel: let  $Q$  be the group of quaternions, and let  $H$  be the subgroup  $H = \{\pm 1\}$ . Let  $\rho : H \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^\times$  the representation sending  $-1$  to  $-1$ . It is clear that this representation cannot be extended to a 1-dimensional representation of  $Q$  (because the commutator subgroup of  $Q$  is  $H$ ), but it is true that for any  $g \in Q$ , the representation  ${}^g\rho$  is isomorphic to  $\rho$  (because  $H$  is the center of  $Q$ ).*

We will denote by  $\widetilde{W}_\lambda$  the vector space underlying the extended representation  $\widetilde{\rho}_\lambda$ , and by  $\widetilde{E}_\lambda$  its coefficient field (so  $\dim_{E_\lambda} W_\lambda = \dim_{\widetilde{E}_\lambda} \widetilde{W}_\lambda$ ).

**3.2. General  $K$ -varieties.** Let  $L/K$  be an extension of number fields, which we assume is Galois (otherwise enlarge  $L$ ). Let  $A/L$  be an isotypic  $K$ -variety, so  $A \sim B^n$  for some simple abelian variety  $B$ . Let  $D = \text{End}(A_L) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q}$  be the endomorphism algebra of  $A$  and let  $E$  be its center, so  $E$  is a field and  $D$  is a simple central  $E$ -algebra. For  $\sigma \in \text{Gal}(L/K)$  and  $\varphi \in E$ , define the ‘‘action’’

$$(5) \quad \sigma \cdot \varphi := \mu_\sigma^\sigma \varphi \mu_\sigma^{-1} \in D.$$

**Lemma 3.6.** *For every  $\sigma \in \text{Gal}(L/K)$  and  $\varphi \in E$ ,  $\mu_\sigma^\sigma \varphi \mu_\sigma^{-1} \in E$ .*

*Proof.* It is clear from its definition that  $\mu_\sigma^\sigma \varphi \mu_\sigma^{-1} \in D$ , we just need to prove that it belongs to the center. Let  $\alpha \in D$  and define  $\beta := \mu_\sigma^{-1} \alpha \mu_\sigma \in \text{End}({}^\sigma A) \otimes \mathbb{Q}$ . We have  $\beta = \sigma({}^{\sigma^{-1}}\beta)$ , so that

$$\begin{aligned} \mu_\sigma^\sigma \varphi \mu_\sigma^{-1} \alpha &= \mu_\sigma^\sigma \varphi \beta \mu_\sigma^{-1} = \mu_\sigma^\sigma (\varphi({}^{\sigma^{-1}}\beta)) \mu_\sigma^{-1} \\ &= \mu_\sigma^\sigma ({}^{\sigma^{-1}}\beta \varphi) \mu_\sigma^{-1} = \alpha \mu_\sigma^\sigma \varphi \mu_\sigma^{-1}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore  $\mu_\sigma^\sigma \varphi \mu_\sigma^{-1} \in E$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 3.7.** *Under the previous hypothesis, the value  $\sigma \cdot \varphi$  does not depend on the choice of the isogeny  $\mu_\sigma$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $\widetilde{\mu}_\sigma : {}^\sigma A \rightarrow A$  be another isogeny. Then

$$\widetilde{\mu}_\sigma^\sigma \varphi \widetilde{\mu}_\sigma^{-1} = \mu_\sigma (\mu_\sigma^{-1} \widetilde{\mu}_\sigma)^\sigma \varphi (\mu_\sigma^{-1} \widetilde{\mu}_\sigma)^{-1} \mu_\sigma^{-1}.$$

The result follows from the fact that  $\mu_\sigma^{-1} \widetilde{\mu}_\sigma \in D$  and  $\varphi$  is in its center.  $\square$

**Lemma 3.8.** *The map  $\text{Gal}(L/K) \times E \rightarrow E$  defined by (5) gives an action of  $\text{Gal}(L/K)$  on  $E$ .*

*Proof.* To easy notation, if  $\sigma, \tau \in \text{Gal}(L/K)$ , we denote by  $c(\sigma, \tau) = \mu_\sigma^\sigma \mu_\tau \mu_{\sigma\tau}^{-1} \in D$ . Let  $\sigma, \tau \in \text{Gal}(L/K)$  and  $\varphi \in E$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma.(\tau.\varphi) &= \mu_\sigma^\sigma (\mu_\tau^\tau \varphi \mu_\tau^{-1}) \mu_\sigma^{-1} = (\mu_\sigma^\sigma \mu_\tau)^{\sigma\tau} \varphi (\mu_\sigma^\sigma \mu_\tau)^{-1} \\ &= c(\sigma, \tau) \mu_{\sigma\tau}^{\sigma\tau} \varphi \mu_{\sigma\tau}^{-1} c(\sigma, \tau)^{-1} = \mu_{\sigma\tau}^{\sigma\tau} \varphi \mu_{\sigma\tau}^{-1} = (\sigma\tau).\varphi. \end{aligned}$$

In the second-to-last equality, we have used that  $\mu_{\sigma\tau}^{\sigma\tau} \varphi \mu_{\sigma\tau}^{-1} \in E$  (the center), and in particular is an element that commutes with  $c(\sigma, \tau)$ .  $\square$

It is easy to verify that  $\sigma \cdot (\varphi + \psi) = \sigma \cdot \varphi + \sigma \cdot \psi$ , that  $\sigma \cdot (\varphi\psi) = (\sigma \cdot \varphi)(\sigma \cdot \psi)$  and that the action on elements of  $\mathbb{Q}$  is trivial. In particular, the previous action defines a group homomorphism

$$(6) \quad \psi : \text{Gal}(L/K) \rightarrow \text{Aut}(E).$$

Let  $G_0$  be the kernel of  $\psi$ , and let  $E_0$  be the subfield of  $E$  fixed by the action of  $\text{Gal}(L/K)$ .

**Proposition 3.9.** *The field extension  $E/E_0$  is Galois,  $G_0$  is a normal subgroup of  $\text{Gal}(L/K)$ , and we have an isomorphism*

$$\text{Gal}(L/K)/G_0 \simeq \text{Gal}(E/E_0).$$

*Moreover, the isomorphism induces an order-reversing bijection between subgroups  $H$  of  $\text{Gal}(L/K)$  containing  $G_0$ , and subextensions of  $E/E_0$ .*

*Proof.* The correspondence associates to a subgroup  $H$  of  $\text{Gal}(L/K)$  the field

$$(7) \quad E^H = \{\varphi \in E : \mu_\sigma^\sigma \varphi = \varphi \mu_\sigma, \forall \sigma \in H\}.$$

The proof that the map gives the stated bijection is standard, and follows the usual correspondence in Galois theory.  $\square$

**Theorem 3.10.** *There exists an intermediate field  $K \subseteq L' \subseteq L$  such that  $L/L'$  and  $L'/K$  are Galois extensions, and such that  $A$  is a strong  $L'$ -variety.*

*Proof.* Let  $L' := L^{G_0}$ . It is clear that  $L/L'$  and  $L'/K$  are Galois extensions. For each  $\sigma \in \text{Gal}(L/L')$  we consider the algebra automorphism  $\Psi : D \rightarrow D$ , defined by  $\Psi(\varphi) = \mu_\sigma^\sigma \varphi \mu_\sigma^{-1}$ . Since  $\text{Gal}(L/L') \simeq G_0$ ,  $\Psi$  is an  $E$ -algebra homomorphism. Then Skolem-Noether's theorem implies the existence of  $\alpha_\sigma \in D^\times$  such that

$$\mu_\sigma^\sigma \varphi \mu_\sigma^{-1} = \alpha_\sigma^{-1} \varphi \alpha_\sigma$$

for each  $\varphi \in D$ . Then  $A$  is a strong  $L'$ -variety with respect to the system of isogenies  $\{\alpha_\sigma \mu_\sigma\}_{\sigma \in G_0}$ .  $\square$

**Corollary 3.11.** *Let  $L/K$  be a Galois extension, and let  $A/K$  be a  $K$ -variety. Then  $A$  is a strong  $K$ -variety if and only if for all elements  $\varphi \in E$  relation (4) holds.*

*Proof.* One implication is clear. For the other, suppose that (4) holds for all elements in  $E$ . Then  $E = E_0$ , so  $G_0 = \text{Gal}(L/K)$  and the result follows from last theorem.  $\square$

Let  $L' := L^{G_0}$  be as in Theorem 3.10, so that  $A$  is a strong  $L'$ -variety. Let  $\ell$  be a rational prime such that all prime ideals  $\lambda$  of  $E$  dividing  $\ell$  satisfy Property (P). Fix one such  $\lambda$  and let  $W_\lambda$  be the  $E_\lambda$ -vector space of dimension  $n = \frac{2g}{d[E:\mathbb{Q}]}$  of Theorem 2.6 and let  $\rho_\lambda : \text{Gal}_L \rightarrow \text{GL}(W_\lambda)$  be the irreducible representation. Let  $\sigma \in \text{Gal}(L/K)$ , and as in the previous section, denote by  ${}^\sigma \rho_\lambda$  the representation defined by  ${}^\sigma \rho_\lambda(\tau) = \rho_\lambda(\sigma^{-1}\tau\sigma)$ .



**Lemma 3.12.** *The representation  ${}^\sigma\rho_\lambda$  is isomorphic to  $\rho_\lambda$  for all  $\sigma \in \text{Gal}_{L'}$ .*

*Proof.* The fact that  $A$  is a strong  $L'$ -variety implies that if  $\sigma \in \text{Gal}_{L'}$ , then we can assume that  $\mu_\sigma$  is a map of  $E_\lambda$ -vector spaces, giving an isomorphism between  $\rho_\lambda$  and  ${}^\sigma\rho_\lambda$ .  $\square$

By Theorem 3.3 there exists a character  $\theta : \text{Gal}_L \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{Q}}^\times$  such that the twisted representation  $\rho_\lambda \otimes \theta$  can be extended to a representation  $\tilde{\rho}_\lambda$  of  $\text{Gal}_{L'}$ .

**Remark 3.13.** *It is not hard to prove a converse of Lemma 3.12, so the representation  $\rho_\lambda$  cannot be extended any further. However, the representation  $\tilde{\rho}_\lambda$  is defined up to a twist, and it might be the case that a twist of the representation does extend a little further. For example if  $E/\mathbb{Q}$  is an elliptic curve,  $K$  is a number field, and  $\theta$  is a quadratic character of  $K$  that does not extend to any subfield, then the Galois representation attached to  $E \otimes \theta$  does not extend to a Galois group larger than  $\text{Gal}_K$ , but a twist of it does. To unify statements, we assume from now on that the representation  $\tilde{\rho}_\lambda$  is not isomorphic to  ${}^\sigma\tilde{\rho}_\lambda$  for any  $\sigma \in \text{Gal}(L'/K)$  different from the identity (we can always take a quadratic twist for this to be true).*

**Definition 3.14.** *Let  $A/L$  be an abelian  $K$ -variety. A Galois representation of  $\text{Gal}_K$  attached to it is  $\rho_{A,\lambda} := \text{Ind}_{\text{Gal}_{L'}}^{\text{Gal}_K} \tilde{\rho}_\lambda$ .*

Denote by  $\mathcal{V}_\lambda$  the vector space of the induced representation, namely

$$(8) \quad \mathcal{V}_\lambda = \bigoplus_{\sigma \in \text{Gal}(L'/K)} \sigma \tilde{W}_\lambda.$$

**Theorem 3.15.** *The Galois representation  $\rho_{A,\lambda}$  is absolutely irreducible of dimension  $\frac{2g}{d[E_0:\mathbb{Q}]}$ .*

*Proof.* The representation  $\rho_\lambda$  is irreducible by 2.6, so the same holds for its extension  $\tilde{\rho}_\lambda$  to  $\text{Gal}_{L'}$ . By Remark 3.13,  ${}^\sigma\tilde{\rho}_\lambda$  is not isomorphic to  $\tilde{\rho}_\lambda$  if  $\sigma \in \text{Gal}(L'/K)$  is not trivial, so irreducibility follows from Mackey's irreducibility criterion.

The dimension of the Galois representation  $\rho_{A,\lambda}$  equals  $\frac{2g}{d[E:\mathbb{Q}]}[L' : K]$ , which by Proposition 3.9 equals  $\frac{2g}{d[E:\mathbb{Q}]}[E : E_0] = \frac{2g}{d[E_0:\mathbb{Q}]}$  as claimed.  $\square$

**3.3. Dimension.** A priori the dimension of the representation  $\rho_{A,\lambda}$  depends on the extension  $L/K$ . A natural problem is to understand its dependence, expecting that the dimension should only decrease when the variety attains extra endomorphisms. Let  $\tilde{L}/L$  be a finite Galois extension, let  $\tilde{D}$  denote the endomorphism algebra of  $A/\tilde{L}$  (tensoring with  $\mathbb{Q}$ ), let  $\tilde{E}$  denote its center, and let  $\tilde{E}_0$  denote the subfield of  $\tilde{E}$  fixed by  $\text{Gal}(\tilde{L}/K)$ . Let  $d$  and  $\tilde{d}$  be the Schur indices of  $D$  and  $\tilde{D}$ , respectively. By Theorem 1.1, these indices can a priori be any positive integer. A short exercise (or Lemma 3.16 below) shows that  $d \mid \tilde{d}$  whenever  $A_{\tilde{L}}$  is simple.

**Lemma 3.16.** *We have the inclusion  $\tilde{E}_0 \subseteq E_0$ . If  $A_{\tilde{L}}$  is simple, then  $[E_0 : \tilde{E}_0] \mid \frac{\tilde{d}}{d}$ .*


*Proof.* For the inclusion, note that

$$\tilde{E}_0 = \tilde{E}^{\text{Gal}(\tilde{L}/K)} = (\tilde{E}^{\text{Gal}(\tilde{L}/L)})^{\text{Gal}(L/K)} = (\tilde{E} \cap E)^{\text{Gal}(\tilde{L}/K)} = \tilde{E}_0 \cap E_0.$$

To bound the degree  $[E_0 : \tilde{E}_0]$ , the reader might find the displayed field relations useful. First, we bound  $[E : \tilde{E} \cap E]$ . Consider the compositum  $E\tilde{E}$  in  $\tilde{D}$ . By applying the Grunwald-Wang theorem [Pie82, § 18.6] (see e.g. [CMSV19, Lemma 7.4.1]),  $D$  contains a maximal subfield  $M$  which is  $E$ -linearly disjoint from  $E\tilde{E}$ . Since  $[M : E] = d$ , we have  $[M\tilde{E} : E\tilde{E}] = d$ . The degree  $[M\tilde{E} : \tilde{E}]$  divides  $\tilde{d}$ , therefore,  $[E\tilde{E} : \tilde{E}]$  divides  $\frac{\tilde{d}}{d}$ .

Now, the extension  $\tilde{E}/\tilde{E}_0$  is Galois, therefore, the inclusion  $\tilde{E}_0 \subseteq E \cap \tilde{E}$  implies we have an equality  $[\tilde{E} : \tilde{E} \cap E] = [E\tilde{E} : E]$ , and so  $[E\tilde{E} : \tilde{E}] = [E : E \cap \tilde{E}]$ . It follows that  $[E : E \cap \tilde{E}]$  divides  $\frac{\tilde{d}}{d}$ .

Apply now a similar argument to the extension  $[E_0 : \tilde{E}_0]$ . We have seen above that  $\tilde{E}_0 = (E \cap \tilde{E})^{\text{Gal}(\tilde{L}/K)}$ , so  $(E \cap \tilde{E})/\tilde{E}_0$  is Galois, and therefore  $[E : E_0] = [E \cap \tilde{E} : \tilde{E}_0]$  and  $[E : E \cap \tilde{E}] = [E_0 : \tilde{E}_0]$ . Hence  $[E_0 : \tilde{E}_0]$  divides  $\frac{\tilde{d}}{d}$ .



Let  $\widetilde{\sigma_{A,\lambda}}$  be the Galois representation of  $\text{Gal}_K$  attached to  $A/\tilde{L}$  as in Definition 3.14.

**Theorem 3.17.** *If  $A_{\tilde{L}}$  is simple, then  $\dim(\widetilde{\sigma_{A,\lambda}}) \mid \dim(\rho_{A,\lambda})$ . Moreover, equality holds if and only if  $[E_0 : \tilde{E}_0] = \tilde{d}/d$ , and in particular whenever  $\tilde{d} = d$ .*

*Proof.* By Lemma 3.2 we have  $\dim(\rho_{A,\lambda}) = \frac{2g}{d[E_0:\mathbb{Q}]}$  and  $\dim(\widetilde{\sigma_{A,\lambda}}) = \frac{2g}{d[\tilde{E}_0:\mathbb{Q}]}$ . Hence the divisibility between dimensions holds by Lemma 3.16. For the second statement, we need three facts.

**Fact 1:**  $\tilde{E}^{\text{Gal}(\tilde{L}/L)} = \tilde{E} \cap D = \tilde{E} \cap E$ .

Since the variety  $A/L$  is isogenous to all of its Galois conjugates, we can assume that  $\mu_\sigma$  is the identity for all  $\sigma \in \text{Gal}(\tilde{L}/L)$  (recall that the action of  $\text{Gal}(\tilde{L}/K)$  on  $\tilde{E}$  is independent of the choice, by Lemma 3.7). Then if  $\sigma \in \text{Gal}(\tilde{L}/K)$  and  $\varphi \in \tilde{E}$ ,

$$\sigma \cdot \varphi = {}^\sigma \varphi,$$

i.e. the action is given by the Galois action on the coefficients of the morphism  $\varphi$ . By Galois theory,  $\varphi \in \tilde{E}^{\text{Gal}(\tilde{L}/L)}$  if and only if the coefficients of the morphism can be chosen to be in  $L$ , i.e. if  $\varphi \in D$ , hence the first equality. The second follows from the fact that an element in the center of  $\tilde{D}$  is also in the center of  $D$  (because  $D \subset \tilde{D}$ ).

**Fact 2:** if  $E \subset \tilde{E}$  then  $\dim(\rho_{A,\lambda}) = \dim(\widetilde{\sigma_{A,\lambda}}) \frac{\tilde{d}}{d}$ .

After choosing an extension of each element in  $\text{Gal}(L/K)$  to  $\tilde{L}$  (any will do),  $\text{Gal}(\tilde{L}/K) = \text{Gal}(\tilde{L}/L) \text{Gal}(L/K)$ , hence

$$\tilde{E}_0 = \tilde{E}^{\text{Gal}(\tilde{L}/K)} = (\tilde{E}^{\text{Gal}(\tilde{L}/L)})^{\text{Gal}(L/K)} = E^{\text{Gal}(L/K)} = E_0,$$

where the middle equality comes from Fact 1 (since  $\tilde{E} \cap E = E$  under our hypothesis). The statement follows from Lemma 3.2.

**Fact 3:** If  $\tilde{d} = d$ , then  $E \subseteq \tilde{E}$ .

This is [CMSV19, Lemma 7.4.2], but the proof is much simpler for division algebras. Consider the subalgebra  $D \otimes_E E\tilde{E} \subset \tilde{D}$  obtained by extending scalars to the field compositum  $E\tilde{E}$ , and note that

$$\dim_{\tilde{E}} D \otimes_E E\tilde{E} = \dim_E D \cdot [E\tilde{E} : \tilde{E}] = d^2 [E\tilde{E} : \tilde{E}] \leq \dim_{\tilde{E}} \tilde{D} = \tilde{d}^2.$$

The equality  $d = \tilde{d}$  implies  $[E\tilde{E} : \tilde{E}] = 1$ , hence  $E \subseteq \tilde{E}$ .

The previous three facts imply that  $\dim(\rho_{A,\lambda}) = \dim(\widetilde{\sigma_{A,\lambda}})$  if and only if either  $d = \tilde{d}$  or  $[E_0 : \tilde{E}_0] = \tilde{d}/d$ : suppose that the equality of dimensions holds, then  $[E_0 : \tilde{E}_0] = \tilde{d}/d$ .

Conversely, if  $[E_0 : \tilde{E}_0] = \tilde{d}/d$ , then comparing the dimensions again yields the equality. Finally, suppose that  $\tilde{d} = d$ . Then by Fact 3 we have  $E \subseteq \tilde{E}$ , and Fact 2 shows  $\dim(\rho_{A,\lambda}) = \dim(\widetilde{\sigma_{A,\lambda}})$ .  $\square$

**Theorem 3.18.** *Suppose that  $d$  and  $\tilde{d} \leq 2$ . Keeping the previous notation, we get the following relation between  $\dim(\rho_{A,\lambda})$  and  $\dim(\widetilde{\sigma_{A,\lambda}})$ :*

- They are the same if either:  $\dim_{\tilde{E}} \tilde{D} = \dim_E D$  or  $[E_0 : \tilde{E}_0] = 2$ ,
- $\dim(\rho_{A,\lambda}) = 2 \dim(\widetilde{\sigma_{A,\lambda}})$  if  $\dim_{\tilde{E}} \tilde{D} \neq \dim_E D$  and either  $E \subset \tilde{E}$  or  $E_0 = \tilde{E}_0$ .

*Proof.* If  $D$  and  $\tilde{D}$  have the same type, then  $E = Z(D) \subset Z(\tilde{D}) = \tilde{E}$  and  $d = \tilde{d}$  so the statement follows from Fact 2 (of the previous theorem). Otherwise, it must be the case that  $\tilde{D}$  is a quaternion algebra, while  $D$  is abelian (in which case  $\tilde{d} = 2$  and  $d = 1$ ). If  $E \subset \tilde{E}$  then the statement follows once again from Fact 2. Suppose then that  $E \cap \tilde{E} \subsetneq E$  (so  $[E : E \cap \tilde{E}] = 2$ ). Then

$$[E_0 : E_0 \cap \tilde{E}_0] = [E^{\text{Gal}(\tilde{L}/K)} : E^{\text{Gal}(\tilde{L}/K)} \cap \tilde{E}^{\text{Gal}(\tilde{L}/K)}] \leq [E : E \cap \tilde{E}] = 2.$$

On the other hand,

$$\tilde{E}_0 = \tilde{E}^{\text{Gal}(\tilde{L}/K)} = (\tilde{E}^{\text{Gal}(\tilde{L}/L)})^{\text{Gal}(L/K)} = (\tilde{E} \cap E)^{\text{Gal}(\tilde{L}/K)} = \tilde{E}_0 \cap E_0.$$

In particular,  $[E_0 : \tilde{E}_0] \leq 2$ . If it equals 1, then  $\dim(\rho_{A,\lambda}) = 2 \dim(\widetilde{\sigma_{A,\lambda}})$  by Lemma 3.2, while if it equals 2, then both dimensions are the same as claimed.  $\square$

#### 4. EQUIVARIANT PAIRINGS

It is natural to wonder whether/when the constructed representation preserves a bilinear form. Let  $K$  be a field. A subgroup  $G \subseteq \text{GL}_n(K)$  is called irreducible if there are no non-trivial proper  $G$ -invariant subspaces of  $K^n$ . We have the following variant of Schur's lemma.

**Proposition 4.1.** *Let  $G$  be an irreducible group, and let  $\chi : G \rightarrow K^\times$ ,  $\dagger : G \rightarrow \text{GL}_n(K)$  be any two maps. Let  $M, N \in \text{GL}_n(K)$  be matrices satisfying*

$$\begin{cases} B^\dagger M B &= \chi(B) M \\ B^\dagger N B &= \chi(B) N. \end{cases}$$

for all  $B \in G$ . Then, there exists a unique  $\lambda \in K^\times$  such that  $M = \lambda N$ .

*Proof.* Let  $p(x) = \det(M - xN) \in K[x]$ , a polynomial of degree  $n$  and leading coefficient  $(-1)^n \det N \neq 0$ . Let  $\lambda \in \overline{K}$  be a root of  $p(x)$ . Clearly  $\lambda \neq 0$ , since  $M$  would be singular otherwise. Let  $v \in \ker(M - \lambda N)$  be any nonzero vector. Then, for every  $B \in G$  we have

$$(M - \lambda N)Bv = \chi(B)(B^\dagger)^{-1}(M - \lambda N)v = 0,$$

hence  $G$  stabilizes  $\ker(M - \lambda N)$ . But this is a nontrivial subspace of  $K^n$ , and therefore (since  $G$  is irreducible)  $\ker(M - \lambda N) = K^n$ , so  $M = \lambda N$  and  $\lambda \in K^\times$ . Uniqueness follows from the equality  $p(x) = (\lambda - x)^n$ .  $\square$

The last proposition implies that once a similitude character and a type are fixed, there exists at most one  $G$ -equivariant pairing with the given type and similitude character.

**Corollary 4.2.** *Let  $G$  be an irreducible subgroup of  $\mathrm{GL}_n(K)$ ,  $V = K^n$ , and let  $\Psi_i : V \times V \rightarrow K$ ,  $i = 1, 2$  be two biadditive form. Suppose that there exists a character  $\chi : G \rightarrow K^\times$  such that, for every  $g \in G$  and all  $v, w \in V$ ,*

$$\Psi_i(gv, gw) = \chi(g)\Psi_i(v, w), \text{ for } i = 1, 2.$$

*If both  $\Psi_1$  and  $\Psi_2$  are alternating (resp. symmetric, or hermitian), then there exists  $\lambda \in K^\times$  such that  $\Psi_1 = \lambda\Psi_2$ .*

*Proof.* Follows from the proposition.  $\square$

**Proposition 4.3.** *Let  $G$  be a subgroup of  $\mathrm{GL}_n(K)$  and let  $H$  be a normal irreducible subgroup of  $G$ . Let  $V = K^n$ . Suppose that there exists a biadditive  $H$ -invariant form  $\Psi : V \times V \rightarrow K$  with similitude character  $\chi : H \rightarrow K^\times$ , i.e. for every  $h \in H$  and all  $v, w \in V$ ,*

$$\Psi(hv, hw) = \chi(h)\Psi(v, w).$$

*Suppose that  $\Psi$  is alternating, symmetric or hermitian. Then the following are equivalent:*

- (1) *The form  $\Psi$  is  $G$ -invariant (for some similitude character).*
- (2) *The character  $\chi$  extends to a character  $\tilde{\chi} : G \rightarrow K^\times$ .*
- (3) *For all  $g \in G$ ,  $h \in H$ ,  $\chi(ghg^{-1}) = \chi(h)$ .*

*Proof.* It is clear that (1)  $\Rightarrow$  (2)  $\Rightarrow$  (3) (the character  $\tilde{\chi}$  being the similitude character). Suppose that the biadditive form  $\Psi$  is alternating or symmetric. Then, there exists an antisymmetric matrix  $J \in \mathrm{GL}_n(K)$  such that

$$\Psi(v, w) = v^\top Jw.$$

The invariance property translates into  $h^\top Jh = \chi(h)J$  for all  $h \in H$ . Since  $H$  is normal in  $G$ , for all  $g \in G$  and all  $h \in H$  we have  $ghg^{-1} \in H$ , and hence

$$(ghg^{-1})^\top J(ghg^{-1}) = \chi(ghg^{-1})J = \chi(h)J.$$

By direct manipulation we obtain the equality  $h^\top (g^\top Jg)h = \chi(h)g^\top Jg$ . Proposition 4.1 (with  $h^\dagger = h^\top$ ,  $M = g^\top Jg$  and  $N = J$ ) implies that there is a unique  $\tilde{\chi}(g) \in K^\times$  such that

$$g^\top Jg = \tilde{\chi}(g)J.$$

The similitude map  $g \mapsto \tilde{\chi}(g)$  is actually a character, since  $(gg')^\top Jgg' = \tilde{\chi}(gg')J = \tilde{\chi}(g)\tilde{\chi}(g')J$ . By uniqueness of each  $\tilde{\chi}(g)$ , we obtain  $\tilde{\chi}|_H = \chi$ .

The hermitian case works the same replacing  $g^\top$  by  $\overline{g}^\top$ , where  $\bar{\cdot}$  is the involution of  $K$ .  $\square$

**Remark 4.4.** *The proposition implies that the representation  $\tilde{\rho}_\chi$  attached to a strong  $K$ -variety preserves the bilinear form  $\Psi$  from Theorem 2.6 precisely when the twisting character  $\psi$  (as in Theorem 3.3) satisfies that  $\psi^2(ghg^{-1}) = \psi^2(h)$  for all  $h \in \text{Gal}_L, k \in \text{Gal}_K$ .*

*The same remark implies that when  $L/K$  is cyclic,  $\psi$  can be taken to be trivial, so in this case the representation always preserves a bilinear form.*

A similar result holds while studying existence of an invariant bilinear form for the induction of a representation.

**Proposition 4.5.** *Let  $G$  be a group and  $H \triangleleft G$  be a finite index normal subgroup. Let  $K$  be a field and let  $W$  be a finite dimensional  $K$ -vector space. Let  $\rho : H \rightarrow \text{GL}(W)$  be a representation and let  $\Psi : W \times W \rightarrow K$  be an additive  $H$ -invariant form with similitude character  $\chi$ . Let*

$$(9) \quad V = \sum_{h \in G/H} hW,$$

*be the underlying  $K$ -vector space of the induced representation  $\text{Ind}_H^G \rho$ . Then the following are equivalent:*

- (1) *There exists a  $K$ -bilinear,  $G$ -equivariant non-degenerate pairing  $\tilde{\Psi}$  on  $V$  whose restriction to  $W$  equals  $\Psi$ .*
- (2) *The character  $\chi$  extends to a character of  $G$ .*

*Proof.* Start supposing that there exists a  $G$ -equivariant pairing  $\tilde{\Psi} : V \times V \rightarrow K$  with similitude character  $\tilde{\chi}$  such that  $\tilde{\Psi}|_{W \times W} = \Psi$  (identifying  $W$  with the subspace corresponding to  $h = 1$  in (9)). The equivariance property implies that, for all  $u, v \in W$  and all  $g \in G$ ,

$$\tilde{\Psi}(g \cdot u, g \cdot v) = \tilde{\chi}(g)\tilde{\Psi}(u, v) = \tilde{\chi}(g)\Psi(u, v).$$

If  $g \in H$  then the left hand side also equals  $\chi(g)\Psi(u, v)$  so (since  $\Psi$  is non-degenerate)  $\chi(g) = \tilde{\chi}(g)$  for  $g \in H$ ;  $\tilde{\chi}$  is the desired extension.

Conversely, suppose that  $\chi$  extends to a character  $\tilde{\chi}$  of  $G$ . Let  $\{h_1, \dots, h_n\}$  be representatives for  $G/H$  with  $h_1 = 1$ . Define a bilinear pairing  $\tilde{\Psi} : V \times V \rightarrow K$  by decreeing that:

- (1)  $\tilde{\Psi}(h_i W, h_j W) = 0$  if  $i \neq j$ ,
- (2)  $\tilde{\Psi}(h_i u, h_i v) := \tilde{\chi}(h_i)\Psi(u, v)$  for  $i = 1, \dots, n$ .

The pairing  $\tilde{\Psi}$  thus defined satisfies the expected properties, namely: it is  $G$ -equivariant, non-degenerate and its restriction to  $W \times W$  coincides with  $\Psi$ . □

**Remark 4.6.** *If the similitude character of  $\chi$  extends to  $G$ , its extension need not be unique. There are as many extensions as characters of the group  $G/H$ , and each extension gives a different pairing.*

Propositions 4.3 and 4.5 imply that the representation  $\rho_{A,\lambda}$  preserves an extension of the pairing obtained in Theorem 2.6 if and only if the character  $\psi$  of Theorem 3.3 (or a multiple of it by the square of a character of  $\text{Gal}_{L'}$ ) extends to  $\text{Gal}_K$ .

## 5. APPLICATIONS

**5.1. Some atypical images of Galois representations.** Let  $A$  be an abelian fourfold defined over a number field  $K$ . Let  $L/K$  be a finite Galois extension such that  $\text{End}^0(A_{\overline{K}}) = \text{End}^0(A_L)$ . Assume the following two conditions:

- (1)  $F = \text{End}^0(A)$  is an imaginary quadratic field, and
- (2)  $\text{End}^0(A_L)$  is a (possibly split) indefinite quaternion algebra over  $\mathbb{Q}$ .

Let  $\ell$  be a rational prime that remains inert in  $F$  and let  $\lambda$  be the prime of  $F$  over  $\ell$ , so that  $F_\lambda$  is a quadratic extension of  $\mathbb{Q}_\ell$ . Let  $\gamma$  be the nontrivial automorphism of  $F_\lambda/\mathbb{Q}_\ell$ . The space  $V_\ell(A)$  has an  $F_\lambda$ -module structure; denote by  $W_\lambda$  the vector space  $V_\ell(A)$  with this structure. By Theorem 2.6,  $W_\lambda$  is irreducible as an  $F_\lambda[\text{Gal}_K]$ -module and has  $F_\lambda$ -dimension 4. Denote by  $\rho_{A,\lambda}$  the corresponding  $\lambda$ -adic representation.

The space  $W_\lambda$  comes with a non-degenerate  $F_\lambda$ -hermitian pairing  $\Psi_\lambda : W_\lambda \times W_\lambda \rightarrow F_\lambda$ , with the property that for all  $v, w \in W_\lambda$  and all  $\sigma \in \text{Gal}_K$ ,

$$\Psi_\lambda(\rho_{A,\lambda}(\sigma)v, \rho_{A,\lambda}(\sigma)w) = \chi_\ell(\sigma) \cdot \Psi_\lambda(v, w).$$

Hence the image of  $\rho_{A,\lambda}$  is contained in  $\text{GU}_4(\mathbb{Q}_\ell)$ .

On the other hand, the same theorem can be applied to  $A_L$ , but now the center of  $\text{End}^0(A_L)$  is  $\mathbb{Q}$ . Hence there exists an absolutely irreducible  $\mathbb{Q}_\ell[\text{Gal}_L]$ -submodule  $\mathcal{W}_\ell$  of  $V_\ell(A_L)$ , such that  $V_\ell(A_L) \simeq \mathcal{W}_\ell \times \mathcal{W}_\ell$ , together with a non-degenerate  $\mathbb{Q}_\ell$ -bilinear alternating pairing  $\Phi_\ell : \mathcal{W}_\ell \times \mathcal{W}_\ell \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}_\ell$ . This pairing satisfies that, for all  $v, w \in \mathcal{W}_\ell$  and each  $\tau \in \text{Gal}_L$ ,

$$\Phi_\ell(\rho_{A,\lambda}(\tau)v, \rho_{A,\lambda}(\tau)w) = \chi_\ell(\tau) \cdot \Phi_\ell(v, w).$$

Now there is an isomorphism of  $F_\lambda[\text{Gal}_L]$ -modules  $W_\lambda \simeq \mathcal{W}_\ell \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_\ell} F_\lambda$ . To see this, note that  $W_\lambda$  (seen as a  $\mathbb{Q}_\ell[\text{Gal}_L]$ -module) is  $V_\ell(A_L)$ , and hence tensoring with  $F_\lambda$  we obtain

$$V_\ell(A_L) \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_\ell} F_\lambda \simeq W_\lambda \times {}^\gamma W_\lambda.$$

Here  ${}^\gamma W_\lambda$  is  $W_\lambda$  with a  $\gamma$ -linear action of  $F_\lambda$ . On the other hand we have  $V_\ell(A_L) \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_\ell} F_\lambda \simeq (\mathcal{W}_\ell \times \mathcal{W}_\ell) \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_\ell} F_\lambda$ , and since all the modules are absolutely irreducible, we obtain the isomorphism  $W_\lambda \simeq \mathcal{W}_\ell \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_\ell} F_\lambda$ . Hence  $W_\lambda$  inherits (by extension of scalars to  $F_\lambda$ ) the alternating pairing  $\Phi_\ell$ . By Proposition 4.3 the pairing  $\Phi_\ell$  is also  $\text{Gal}_K$ -equivariant up to a similitude character. More explicitly, there exists a finite order character  $\theta : \text{Gal}_K \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{Q}}^\times$  which factors through  $\text{Gal}(L/K)$ , and such that for all  $v, w \in W_\lambda$  and all  $\sigma \in \text{Gal}_K$ , we have

$$\Phi_\ell(\rho_{A,\lambda}(\sigma)v, \rho_{A,\lambda}(\sigma)w) = \theta(\sigma)\chi_\ell(\sigma) \cdot \Phi_\ell(v, w).$$

This shows that the image of  $\rho_{A,\lambda}$  is contained in  $\text{GSp}_4(F_\lambda)$  and has similitude character  $\theta\chi_\ell$ . We have thus seen that  $\rho_{A,\lambda}(\text{Gal}_L) \subseteq \text{GU}_4(\mathbb{Q}_\ell) \cap \text{GSp}_4(F_\lambda)$ , the intersection taking place in  $\text{GL}_4(F_\lambda)$ . Particular examples can be found in the forthcoming preprint [FFG25].

Observe that  $A_L$  is a strong abelian  $K$ -variety, by Corollary 3.11 and the fact that  $Z(\text{End}^0(A_L)) = \mathbb{Q} \subset F = \text{End}^0(A)$ . Therefore the representation  $\rho_{A,\lambda}|_{\text{Gal}_L}$  extends (after a twist) to a representation of  $\text{Gal}_K$  by Theorem 3.3, but the twist is in fact trivial since  $\rho_{A,\lambda}$  is already a representation of  $\text{Gal}_K$ .

If  $\text{End}^0(A_L)$  is a *definite* quaternion algebra (instead of an indefinite one), the same argument proves that  $\rho_{A,\lambda}(\text{Gal}_L) \subseteq \text{GU}_4(\mathbb{Q}_\ell) \cap \text{GO}_4(F_\lambda)$ . An example of this case is given in [CFLV23], where  $A$  is the Jacobian of the genus 4 curve

$$C : y^2 = x(x^4 + 1)(x^4 + x^2 + 1).$$

**5.2. Abelian surfaces with potential QM are modular.** Let  $A/\mathbb{Q}$  be an abelian surface such that  $\text{End}^0(A_{\overline{\mathbb{Q}}})$  is an indefinite (possibly split) quaternion algebra. If  $\text{End}^0(A)$  is a quadratic field, the surface  $A$  is modular by Ribet's results ([Rib04]), together with Serre's modularity conjecture ([KW09a, KW09b]). We assume that  $\text{End}^0(A) = \mathbb{Q}$ , and we claim that  $A$  is also modular in this case.

**Lemma 5.1.**  *$A_L$  is a strong  $\mathbb{Q}$ -variety.*

*Proof.* The relation  $\sigma\varphi = \varphi$  holds trivially for all  $\sigma \in \text{Gal}(L/\mathbb{Q})$  and all  $\varphi \in Z(\text{End}^0(A_L)) = \mathbb{Q}$ . Then Corollary 3.11 implies there are isogenies  $\mu_\sigma : A_L \rightarrow A_L$  such that  $A_L$  is a strong  $\mathbb{Q}$ -variety.  $\square$

By [DR04, Proposition 2.1], there exists a smallest Galois extension  $L/\mathbb{Q}$  such that  $D := \text{End}^0(A_L) = \text{End}^0(A_{\overline{\mathbb{Q}}})$ , and such that  $\text{Gal}(L/\mathbb{Q})$  is either  $C_n$  or  $D_n$  with  $n = 2, 3, 4$  or  $6$ .

**Lemma 5.2.** *For each field  $K \subseteq L$  such that  $L/K$  is cyclic,  $\text{End}^0(A_K)$  contains a quadratic field. In particular, the assumption  $\text{End}^0(A) = \mathbb{Q}$  implies that  $L/\mathbb{Q}$  is a dihedral extension, and there exists a quadratic extension  $K/\mathbb{Q}$  such that  $\text{End}^0(A_K)$  is a quadratic field.*

*Proof.* By the Skolem-Noether theorem, for each  $\sigma \in \text{Gal}(L/\mathbb{Q})$  there exists some  $\alpha_\sigma \in D^\times$  such that  ${}^\sigma\varphi = \alpha_\sigma\varphi\alpha_\sigma^{-1}$  for each  $\varphi \in D$ . In particular, for each  $\sigma \in \text{Gal}(L/\mathbb{Q})$  the subalgebra  $\text{End}^0(A_{L^{(\sigma)}})$  equals the centralizer in  $D$  of the field  $\mathbb{Q}(\alpha_\sigma)$ .

With this in mind, we let  $\sigma \in \text{Gal}(L/\mathbb{Q})$  be any element and let  $K := L^{(\sigma)}$ . If  $\alpha_\sigma \in \mathbb{Q}^\times$ , then the centralizer of  $\mathbb{Q}$  in  $D$  is  $D$ , and in fact  $K = L$ . Otherwise,  $\alpha_\sigma$  generates a (maximal) quadratic subfield of  $D$ , and the centralizer of  $\mathbb{Q}(\alpha_\sigma)$  is  $\mathbb{Q}(\alpha_\sigma)$  itself. This proves the claim.  $\square$

**Theorem 5.3.** *The abelian surface  $A$  is Siegel modular, i.e. there exists a Siegel newform of  $\text{GSp}_4(\mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{Q}})$  whose  $L$ -series matches that of  $A$ .*

*Proof.* Since all endomorphisms of  $A$  are defined over  $L$ , there exists an irreducible Galois representation  $\rho_{\lambda,L} : \text{Gal}_L \rightarrow \text{GL}_2(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell)$  attached to  $A/L$ . By Lemma 5.1  $A/L$  is a strong  $\mathbb{Q}$ -variety, so Theorem 3.3 implies that there exists a character  $\theta$  of  $\text{Gal}_L$  and a representation  $\tilde{\rho}_\lambda : \text{Gal}_{\mathbb{Q}} \rightarrow \text{GL}_2(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell)$  (with Hodge-Tate weights  $\{0, 1\}$ ) such that  $\tilde{\rho}_\lambda|_{\text{Gal}_L} \simeq (\rho_{\lambda,L}) \otimes \theta$ .

Let  $\ell$  be a prime number such that the residual representation  $\overline{\tilde{\rho}}_\lambda$  is absolutely irreducible (this is always the case if  $\ell$  is large enough). Serre's modularity conjecture ([KW09a, KW09b]) implies that the residual representation  $\overline{\tilde{\rho}}_\lambda$  is modular so (by [Kis09])  $\tilde{\rho}_\lambda$  itself is modular. By solvable base change for  $\text{GL}_2$  (as proved in [Lan80]), the representation  $\tilde{\rho}_\lambda$  restricted to  $\text{Gal}_L$  is modular, and then the same is true for  $\rho_\lambda$  (as twisting preserves modularity).

Fix a quadratic extension  $K/\mathbb{Q}$  as given in Lemma 5.2 and let  $E = \text{End}^0(A_K)$  be the corresponding quadratic field. Let  $\ell$  be a rational prime splitting in  $E$ . Consider the  $\ell$ -adic representation of  $A$ ,  $\rho_\ell : \text{Gal}_{\mathbb{Q}} \rightarrow \text{GSp}_4(\mathbb{Q}_\ell)$ . Fix  $\lambda$  a prime of  $E$  over  $\ell$ , then there is a subrepresentation (abusing notation)  $\rho_\lambda : \text{Gal}_K \rightarrow \text{GL}_2(E_\lambda)$  of  $\rho_\ell|_{\text{Gal}_K}$ , and  $\rho_\ell = \text{Ind}_{\text{Gal}_{\mathbb{Q}}}^{\text{Gal}_K} \rho_\lambda$ . The representation  $\rho_\lambda$  must be a twist of  $\tilde{\rho}_\lambda$  restricted to  $\text{Gal}_K$  (as they have the same projective representation), so  $\rho_\lambda$  is also modular. Automorphic induction (as proven in [Hen12, Théorème 3]) then implies that  $\text{Ind}_{\text{Gal}_{\mathbb{Q}}}^{\text{Gal}_K} \rho'_\lambda \simeq \rho_\ell$  is modular itself.

We are led to prove that the automorphic representation  $\Pi$  of  $\text{GL}_4(\mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{Q}})$  is actually a transfer from  $\text{GSp}_4(\mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{Q}})$ . The fact that  $A$  preserves the Weil pairing implies that  $\Pi$  has

symplectic type (as in [BCGP21] §2.9) and the result then follows from [BCGP21, Theorem 2.9.3].  $\square$

In general we do not know how to prove that the automorphic form of the last theorem has a paramodular fixed vector. Following the previous notation, if  $\rho_\lambda$  has trivial Nebentypus (i.e. its similitude character equals the cyclotomic one), then we can show the paramodularity of its induction to  $\text{Gal}_{\mathbb{Q}}$ . We do that as follows.

**Proposition 5.4.** *Suppose  $\text{Gal}(L/\mathbb{Q}) \simeq D_4 \simeq C_2 \times C_2$ . Then, there is at most one quadratic subfield  $K$  of  $L$  such that  $E = \text{End}^0(A_K)$  is an imaginary quadratic field. If such  $K$  exists, the determinant of the representation  $\rho_\lambda : \text{Gal}_K \rightarrow \text{GL}_2(E_\lambda)$  equals  $\eta_{L/K} \cdot \chi_\ell$ , with  $\eta_{L/K}$  the nontrivial character of  $\text{Gal}(L/K)$ . For any other quadratic subfield  $K' \subset L$ ,  $\text{End}^0(A_{K'})$  is real quadratic, and the determinant of the corresponding representation is  $\chi_\ell$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $K_1$  and  $K_2$  be any two different quadratic subfields of  $L$ . We will show that either  $E_1 = \text{End}^0(A_{K_1})$  or  $E_2 = \text{End}^0(A_{K_2})$  is a real quadratic field.

Suppose for a contradiction that  $E_1$  and  $E_2$  are both imaginary quadratic fields. We first show that  $E_1 \neq E_2$ . For that, let  $\sigma_i \in \text{Gal}(L/\mathbb{Q})$  be the nontrivial automorphism fixing  $K_i$  for  $i = 1, 2$ . Then  $E_i = \text{End}^0(A_{L^{\langle \sigma_i \rangle}})$  for  $i = 1, 2$  by Lemma 5.2. Hence if  $E_1 = E_2$ , we would have  $E_1 \subset \text{End}^0(A_{L^{\langle \sigma_1, \sigma_2 \rangle}}) = \text{End}^0(A)$ . But this is a contradiction, since we are assuming that  $\text{End}^0(A) = \mathbb{Q}$ . Hence  $E_1 \neq E_2$ .

It follows that the quaternion algebra  $D = \text{End}^0(A_L)$  is generated (as an algebra over  $\mathbb{Q}$ ) by two quadratic elements  $\sqrt{a_1}, \sqrt{a_2}$  with  $a_1, a_2 \in \mathbb{Q}$  that generate  $E_1$  and  $E_2$ , respectively. These elements do not commute, since  $E_1 \neq E_2$  are maximal fields and  $D$  is noncommutative. Since  $\text{End}^0(A_L)$  is an indefinite quaternion algebra, either  $a_1$  or  $a_2$  must be positive: otherwise,  $D \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{R}$  would be isomorphic to the Hamilton quaternions, which contradicts the fact that  $D$  is indefinite. Therefore either  $E_1 = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{a_1})$  or  $E_2 = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{a_2})$  is a real quadratic field.

Let  $K \subset L$  be any quadratic subfield and let  $E = \text{End}^0(A_K)$  be the corresponding quadratic field of endomorphisms. Given  $\sigma \in \text{Gal}_K$ , by Skolem-Noether we have that there exists some  $\alpha_\sigma \in E^\times$  such that  ${}^\sigma\varphi = \alpha_\sigma \varphi \alpha_\sigma^{-1}$  for all  $\varphi \in D$ . Each  $\alpha_\sigma$  is determined up to multiplication by elements in  $\mathbb{Q}^\times$ , the center of  $D$ . It can be checked that the determinant of the representation  $\rho_\lambda : \text{Gal}_K \rightarrow \text{GL}_2(E_\lambda)$  is the cyclotomic character times

$$\begin{aligned} \chi : \text{Gal}(L/K) &\rightarrow E^\times \\ \sigma &\mapsto \alpha_\sigma / \overline{\alpha_\sigma} \end{aligned}$$

where  $\bar{\cdot}$  denotes complex conjugation (cf. [Pyl04], Lemma 5.11). In particular, when  $E$  is a real field, then complex conjugation restricts to the identity on  $E$ , and so  $\chi$  is trivial.

When  $E$  is an imaginary field, we use [Rib04, Proposition 3.5] to find a trace of Frobenius  $a_{\mathfrak{p}} \neq 0$  with  $E = \mathbb{Q}(a_{\mathfrak{p}})$ , and then by [Rib04, Proposition 3.4] we have  $a_{\mathfrak{p}} = \chi(\text{Frob}_{\mathfrak{p}}) \overline{a_{\mathfrak{p}}}$ . This shows  $\chi(\text{Frob}_{\mathfrak{p}}) = -1$ , and so  $\chi$  is nontrivial when  $E$  is imaginary quadratic.  $\square$

**Remark 5.5.** *Keeping the last proposition hypothesis, if there exists a quadratic field  $K$  such that  $\text{End}^0(A_K)$  is imaginary quadratic, then the representation  $\rho_{A,\ell} : \text{Gal}_{\mathbb{Q}} \rightarrow \text{GL}_4(\mathbb{Q}_\ell)$  preserves two alternating pairings. The first of them is obtained from  $K$  by taking the skew-symmetric form on a 2-dimensional vector space, whose similitude character matches*



$\det \rho_\lambda = \eta_{L/K} \cdot \chi_\ell$ . Since  $\text{Gal}(L/\mathbb{Q})$  is abelian, the character  $\eta_{L/K}$  extends to the full Galois group, and Proposition 4.5 produces a non-degenerate alternating pairing on the induced representation  $\rho_{A,\ell} = \text{Ind}_{\text{Gal}_{\mathbb{Q}}}^{\text{Gal}_K} \rho_\lambda$ .

On the other hand, the representation  $\rho_{A,\ell}$  preserves the Weil pairing, which has similitude character  $\chi_\ell$ . The statement is consistent with the fact that many traces of  $\rho_{A,\ell}$  are zero (otherwise, the two similitude characters would coincide).

Here is an example of this phenomenon from [DR04] (page 620). Consider the genus 2 curve

$$\mathcal{C} : y^2 = (x^2 + 7)(83/30x^4 + 14x^3 - 1519/30x^2 + 49x - 1813/120),$$

and let  $A$  denote its Jacobian. Then the following holds:

- $\text{End}_L(A)$  is a maximal order in  $B_6$  (the indefinite quaternion algebra of discriminant 6), for  $L = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-6}, \sqrt{-14})$ .
- $\text{End}_{\mathbb{Q}}(A) = \mathbb{Z}$ .
- $\text{End}_{\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-6})}(A) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q} = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-6})$ .

**Corollary 5.6.** *Suppose that  $A$  is principally polarizable and that  $\text{End}(A_L)$  is an hereditary order in  $\text{End}^0(A_L)$ . Then  $A$  is paramodular.*

*Proof.* By [DR04, Theorem 3.4], our hypotheses imply that  $\text{Gal}(L/\mathbb{Q}) \simeq C_2 \times C_2$ , so the Proposition 5.4 implies that we can take  $K$  such that  $\text{End}^0(A_K)$  is real quadratic in the proof of Theorem 5.3, so the Nebentypus of  $\rho_{A,\lambda}$  is trivial. Now the result follows from [JLR12] (main theorem) when  $K$  is real quadratic and from [BDPc15, Theorem 4.1] when  $K$  is imaginary quadratic.  $\square$

## REFERENCES

- [BCGP21] George Boxer, Frank Calegari, Toby Gee, and Vincent Pilloni. Abelian surfaces over totally real fields are potentially modular. *Publ. Math. Inst. Hautes Études Sci.*, 134:153–501, 2021.
- [BDPc15] Tobias Berger, Lassina Dembélé, Ariel Pacetti, and Mehmet Haluk Şengün. Theta lifts of Bianchi modular forms and applications to paramodularity. *J. Lond. Math. Soc. (2)*, 92(2):353–370, 2015.
- [BGK06] G. Banaszak, W. Gajda, and P. Krasoń. On the image of  $l$ -adic Galois representations for abelian varieties of type I and II. *Doc. Math.*, pages 35–75, 2006.
- [BGK10] Grzegorz Banaszak, Wojciech Gajda, and Piotr Krasoń. On the image of Galois  $l$ -adic representations for abelian varieties of type III. *Tohoku Math. J. (2)*, 62(2):163–189, 2010.
- [BKG21] Grzegorz Banaszak and Aleksandra Kaim-Garnek. The Tate module of a simple abelian variety of type IV. *New York J. Math.*, 27:1240–1257, 2021.
- [CFLV23] Victoria Cantoral-Farfán, Davide Lombardo, and John Voight. Monodromy groups of jacobians with definite quaternionic multiplication, 2023.
- [Chi91] Wên Chên Chi. On the  $l$ -adic representations attached to simple abelian varieties of type IV. *Bull. Austral. Math. Soc.*, 44(1):71–78, 1991.
- [CMSV19] Edgar Costa, Nicolas Mascot, Jeroen Sijsling, and John Voight. Rigorous computation of the endomorphism ring of a Jacobian. *Math. Comp.*, 88(317):1303–1339, 2019.
- [DR04] Luis V. Dieulefait and Victor Rotger. The arithmetic of QM-abelian surfaces through their Galois representations. *J. Algebra*, 281(1):124–143, 2004.
- [Fal83] G. Faltings. Endlichkeitssätze für abelsche Varietäten über Zahlkörpern. *Invent. Math.*, 73(3):349–366, 1983.
- [FFG25] Francesc Fité, Enric Florit, and Xavier Guitart. Abelian varieties genuinely of  $\text{GL}(n)$  type, 2025. In preparation.
- [FG22] Francesc Fité and Xavier Guitart. Tate module tensor decompositions and the Sato-Tate conjecture for certain abelian varieties potentially of  $\text{GL}_2$ -type. *Math. Z.*, 300(3):2975–2995, 2022.

- [Gui12] Xavier Guitart. Abelian varieties with many endomorphisms and their absolutely simple factors. *Rev. Mat. Iberoam.*, 28(2):591–601, 2012.
- [Hen12] Guy Henniart. Induction automorphe globale pour les corps de nombres. *Bull. Soc. Math. France*, 140(1):1–17, 2012.
- [Isa06] I. Martin Isaacs. *Character theory of finite groups*. AMS Chelsea Publishing, Providence, RI, 2006. Corrected reprint of the 1976 original [Academic Press, New York; MR0460423].
- [JLR12] Jennifer Johnson-Leung and Brooks Roberts. Siegel modular forms of degree two attached to Hilbert modular forms. *J. Number Theory*, 132(4):543–564, 2012.
- [Kis09] Mark Kisin. Moduli of finite flat group schemes, and modularity. *Ann. of Math. (2)*, 170(3):1085–1180, 2009.
- [KW09a] Chandrashekhara Khare and Jean-Pierre Wintenberger. Serre’s modularity conjecture. I. *Invent. Math.*, 178(3):485–504, 2009.
- [KW09b] Chandrashekhara Khare and Jean-Pierre Wintenberger. Serre’s modularity conjecture. II. *Invent. Math.*, 178(3):505–586, 2009.
- [Lan80] Robert P. Langlands. *Base change for  $GL(2)$* , volume No. 96 of *Annals of Mathematics Studies*. Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ; University of Tokyo Press, Tokyo, 1980.
- [Mum08] David Mumford. *Abelian varieties*, volume 5 of *Tata Institute of Fundamental Research Studies in Mathematics*. Tata Institute of Fundamental Research, Bombay; by Hindustan Book Agency, New Delhi, 2008. With appendices by C. P. Ramanujam and Yuri Manin, Corrected reprint of the second (1974) edition.
- [Pie82] Richard S. Pierce. *Associative algebras*, volume 9 of *Studies in the History of Modern Science*. Springer-Verlag, New York-Berlin, 1982. Graduate Texts in Mathematics, 88.
- [Pyl04] Elisabeth E. Pyle. Abelian varieties over  $\mathbb{Q}$  with large endomorphism algebras and their simple components over  $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}$ . In *Modular curves and abelian varieties*, volume 224 of *Progr. Math.*, pages 189–239. Birkhäuser, Basel, 2004.
- [Rib76] Kenneth A. Ribet. Galois action on division points of Abelian varieties with real multiplications. *Amer. J. Math.*, 98(3):751–804, 1976.
- [Rib04] Kenneth A. Ribet. Abelian varieties over  $\mathbb{Q}$  and modular forms. In *Modular curves and abelian varieties*, volume 224 of *Progr. Math.*, pages 241–261. Birkhäuser, Basel, 2004.
- [Ser77] J.-P. Serre. Modular forms of weight one and Galois representations. In *Algebraic number fields: L-functions and Galois properties (Proc. Sympos., Univ. Durham, Durham, 1975)*, pages 193–268. Academic Press, London-New York, 1977.

DEPARTAMENT DE MATEMÀTIQUES I INFORMÀTICA, UNIVERSITAT DE BARCELONA, GRAN VIA DE LES CORTS CATALANES, 585, 08007 BARCELONA, SPAIN  
*Email address:* enricflorit@ub.edu

CENTER FOR RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT IN MATHEMATICS AND APPLICATIONS (CIDMA), DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF AVEIRO, 3810-193 AVEIRO, PORTUGAL  
*Email address:* apacetti@ua.pt