

# The anti-de Sitter supergeometry revisited

Nowar E. Koning, Sergei M. Kuzenko and Emmanouil S. N. Raptakis

*Department of Physics M013, The University of Western Australia  
35 Stirling Highway, Perth W.A. 6009, Australia*

Email: `nowar.koning@research.uwa.edu.au`, `sergei.kuzenko@uwa.edu.au`,  
`emmanouil.raptakis@uwa.edu.au`

## Abstract

In a supergravity framework, the  $\mathcal{N}$ -extended anti-de Sitter (AdS) superspace in four spacetime dimensions,  $\text{AdS}^{4|4\mathcal{N}}$ , is a maximally symmetric background that is described by a curved superspace geometry with structure group  $\text{SL}(2, \mathbb{C}) \times \text{U}(\mathcal{N})$ . On the other hand, within the group-theoretic setting,  $\text{AdS}^{4|4\mathcal{N}}$  is realised as the coset superspace  $\text{OSp}(\mathcal{N}|4; \mathbb{R}) / [\text{SL}(2, \mathbb{C}) \times \text{O}(\mathcal{N})]$ , with its structure group being  $\text{SL}(2, \mathbb{C}) \times \text{O}(\mathcal{N})$ . Here we explain how the two frameworks are related. We give two explicit realisations of  $\text{AdS}^{4|4\mathcal{N}}$  as a conformally flat superspace, thus extending the  $\mathcal{N} = 1$  and  $\mathcal{N} = 2$  results available in the literature. As applications, we describe: (i) a two-parameter deformation of the  $\text{AdS}^{4|4\mathcal{N}}$  interval and the corresponding superparticle model; (ii) some implications of conformal flatness for superconformal higher-spin multiplets and an effective action generating the  $\mathcal{N} = 2$  super-Weyl anomaly; and (iii)  $\kappa$ -symmetry of the massless AdS superparticle.

# Contents

<b>1</b>	<b>Introduction</b>	<b>2</b>
<b>2</b>	<b>Conformally flat supergeometry</b>	<b>4</b>
2.1	Conformal superspace with flat connection . . . . .	4
2.2	Degauging (i): $U(\mathcal{N})$ superspace . . . . .	5
2.2.1	$\mathcal{N} = 1$ case . . . . .	6
2.2.2	$\mathcal{N} > 1$ case . . . . .	8
2.3	Degauging (ii): $SU(\mathcal{N})$ superspace . . . . .	9
2.3.1	$\mathcal{N} = 1$ case . . . . .	9
2.3.2	$\mathcal{N} > 1$ case . . . . .	11
<b>3</b>	<b><math>\mathcal{N}</math>-extended AdS superspace</b>	<b>13</b>
3.1	Solving the constraints . . . . .	14
3.2	Conformally flat realisation I . . . . .	16
3.3	Conformally flat realisation II . . . . .	18
<b>4</b>	<b>Discussion</b>	<b>20</b>
4.1	Conformal flatness . . . . .	20
4.2	Applications to superconformal higher-spin multiplets . . . . .	21
4.3	New superparticle models in $AdS^{4 4\mathcal{N}}$ . . . . .	22
4.4	$\kappa$ -symmetry of the superparticle . . . . .	23
<b>A</b>	<b>The <math>\mathcal{N}</math>-extended superconformal algebra</b>	<b>24</b>
<b>B</b>	<b>Coset construction</b>	<b>26</b>
<b>C</b>	<b>Implications of conformal flatness</b>	<b>29</b>

# 1 Introduction

Recently, we have elaborated on the geometry of  $\mathcal{N}$ -extended anti-de Sitter (AdS) superspace in four spacetime dimensions,  $\text{AdS}^{4|4\mathcal{N}}$ , by developing its description via: (i) the embedding formalism [1, 2]; and (ii) the supergravity-inspired framework proposed in [2]. The embedding approach<sup>1</sup> to  $\text{AdS}^{4|4\mathcal{N}}$  is a supersymmetric extension of the well-known realisation of  $\text{AdS}_4$  as a hypersurface in  $\mathbb{R}^{3,2}$

$$-(Z^0)^2 + (Z^1)^2 + (Z^2)^2 + (Z^3)^2 - (Z^4)^2 = -\ell^2 = \text{const} . \quad (1.1)$$

It makes use of the supertwistor and bi-supertwistor formulations for  $\text{AdS}^{4|4\mathcal{N}}$  introduced in [1] and further developed in [2]. Its main virtues are: (i) it provides a global realisation of  $\text{AdS}^{4|4\mathcal{N}}$  that is independent of the choice of local coordinates; and (ii) the manifest transitive action of the AdS isometry supergroup  $\text{OSp}(\mathcal{N}|4; \mathbb{R})$  on  $\text{AdS}^{4|4\mathcal{N}}$ .<sup>2</sup> This transitive action means that  $\text{AdS}^{4|4\mathcal{N}}$  is a homogeneous superspace,

$$\text{AdS}^{4|4\mathcal{N}} = \frac{\text{OSp}(\mathcal{N}|4; \mathbb{R})}{\text{SL}(2, \mathbb{C}) \times \text{O}(\mathcal{N})} , \quad (1.2)$$

which generalises the group-theoretic realisation of  $\text{AdS}_4$  as a homogeneous space of  $\text{O}(3, 2)$ .

In the  $\mathcal{N} = 1$  case, coset superspace (1.2) was introduced in the 1970s by Keck [13] and Zumino [14], and the comprehensive analysis of general supermultiplets on  $\text{AdS}^{4|4}$  was given in a paper by Ivanov and Sorin [15], arguably one of the most important works on AdS supersymmetry.<sup>3</sup> Years ago, it was also recognised that  $\text{AdS}^{4|4}$  originates as a maximally supersymmetric solution in the following off-shell formulations for  $\mathcal{N} = 1$  supergravity: (i) the old minimal supergravity [19–22] with a cosmological term [23], see [24, 25] for a review; and (ii) the non-minimal AdS supergravity [26]. Analogous results exist for  $\mathcal{N} = 2$  AdS superspace [27–29].

The supergravity-inspired approach to  $\text{AdS}^{4|4\mathcal{N}}$  advocated in [2] is based on the concept of  $\mathcal{N}$ -extended conformal superspace with flat connection [30]. The virtue of this geometric setting is that it can be used to describe every conformally flat superspace. We define a curved superspace to be conformally flat if its covariant derivatives can locally be turned into those corresponding to Minkowski superspace  $\mathbb{M}^{4|4\mathcal{N}}$  by applying a local  $\text{SU}(2, 2|\mathcal{N})$  transformation. Given a conformally flat superspace, this definition will be shown to imply that a local frame

---

<sup>1</sup>The embedding formalism for AdS superspaces in three and five spacetime dimensions was studied in [3, 4]. For a pedagogical review of superembeddings see [5, 6].

<sup>2</sup>The supergroup  $\text{OSp}(\mathcal{N}|4; \mathbb{R})$  [7–12] has  $\text{Sp}(4) \times \text{O}(\mathcal{N})$  as its maximal Lie subgroup. The identity component of the AdS isometry group  $\text{O}(3, 2)$ ,  $\text{SO}_0(3, 2)$ , is related to  $\text{Sp}(4)$  as  $\text{SO}_0(3, 2) \cong \text{Sp}(4)/\{\pm 1\}$ .

<sup>3</sup>The structure equations for  $\text{AdS}^{4|4}$  were described in [15] and more recently in [16], see also [17, 18].

may be chosen in which the covariant derivatives  $\mathcal{D}_A = (\mathcal{D}_a, \mathcal{D}_\alpha^i, \bar{\mathcal{D}}_i^{\dot{\alpha}})$  are related to flat super-space ones,  $D_A = (\partial_a, D_\alpha^i, \bar{D}_i^{\dot{\alpha}})$ , by the rule:

$$\mathcal{D}_\alpha^i = e^{\frac{\mathcal{N}-2}{2\mathcal{N}}\sigma + \frac{1}{\mathcal{N}}\bar{\sigma}} \left( D_\alpha^i + D^{\beta i} \sigma M_{\alpha\beta} + D_\alpha^j \sigma \mathbb{J}^j_i \right), \quad (1.3a)$$

$$\bar{\mathcal{D}}_i^{\dot{\alpha}} = e^{\frac{1}{\mathcal{N}}\sigma + \frac{\mathcal{N}-2}{2\mathcal{N}}\bar{\sigma}} \left( \bar{D}_i^{\dot{\alpha}} - \bar{D}_{\dot{\beta}i} \bar{\sigma} \bar{M}^{\dot{\alpha}\dot{\beta}} - \bar{D}_j^{\dot{\alpha}} \bar{\sigma} \mathbb{J}^j_i \right), \quad (1.3b)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{D}_{\alpha\dot{\alpha}} = e^{\frac{1}{2}\sigma + \frac{1}{2}\bar{\sigma}} & \left( \partial_{\alpha\dot{\alpha}} + \frac{i}{2} D_\alpha^i \sigma \bar{D}_{\dot{\alpha}i} + \frac{i}{2} \bar{D}_{\dot{\alpha}i} \bar{\sigma} D_\alpha^i + \frac{1}{2} \left( \partial^{\beta\dot{\alpha}} (\sigma + \bar{\sigma}) - \frac{i}{2} D^{\beta i} \sigma \bar{D}_{\dot{\alpha}i} \bar{\sigma} \right) M_{\alpha\beta} \right. \\ & \left. + \frac{1}{2} \left( \partial_{\alpha\dot{\beta}} (\sigma + \bar{\sigma}) + \frac{i}{2} D_\alpha^i \sigma \bar{D}_i^{\dot{\beta}} \bar{\sigma} \right) \bar{M}_{\dot{\alpha}\dot{\beta}} \right). \end{aligned} \quad (1.3c)$$

Here  $(M_{\alpha\beta}, \bar{M}_{\dot{\alpha}\dot{\beta}})$  are the Lorentz generators,  $\mathbb{J}^j_i$  the  $\text{SU}(\mathcal{N})$  generators, and the super-Weyl parameter  $\sigma$  is a chiral superfield,  $\bar{D}_i^{\dot{\alpha}} \sigma = 0$ . As an application of this formalism, we demonstrate below that  $\text{AdS}^{4|4\mathcal{N}}$  is conformally flat, by solving the equations on  $\sigma$  which single out the AdS supergeometry. This result agrees with the discussion in the literature [16] that  $\text{AdS}^{4|4\mathcal{N}}$  is locally conformally flat, although an explicit solution of the form (1.3) was not derived for  $\mathcal{N} > 1$  in [16].

More generally, the conformal superspace approach in four dimensions [31–34] is a powerful formalism to describe off-shell  $\mathcal{N}$ -extended supergravity-matter couplings with  $\mathcal{N} \leq 4$ .<sup>4</sup> Conceptually, it is a superspace counterpart of the superconformal tensor calculus, see, e.g., [37] for a review. In the absence of matter and compensator multiplets, conformal superspace is the gauge theory of the superconformal group  $\text{SU}(2, 2|\mathcal{N})$  and describes conformal supergravity. The torsion and curvature tensors of conformal superspace are expressed in terms of the so-called super-Weyl tensor and its covariant derivatives. Hence, when the super-Weyl tensor vanishes, the connection is flat. Beyond  $\mathcal{N} = 4$ , only the conformal superspace with a flat connection [30] is known. It should be pointed out that the conformal superspace approach has also been extended to two [38], three [39–41], five [42] and six [43] dimensions.

In order to connect the  $\mathcal{N}$ -extended conformal superspace to the conventional formulation for conformal supergravity [44], one has to perform a procedure of degauging [31–33] that: (i) reduces the structure group to  $\text{SL}(2, \mathbb{C}) \times \text{U}(\mathcal{N})_R$ ; and (ii) converts the local scale symmetry into super-Weyl freedom. Even in the case of conformal superspace with flat connection, the degauging results in the appearance of non-vanishing torsion and curvature tensors. The AdS supergeometry is singled out by the conditions that these tensors be: (i) Lorentz invariant; and (ii) covariantly constant.

Upon degauging, the structure group is  $\text{SL}(2, \mathbb{C}) \times \text{U}(\mathcal{N})_R$  and the superspace covariant derivatives  $\mathcal{D}_A$  include two connections, the Lorentz and  $\text{U}(\mathcal{N})$  ones. On the other hand,

---

<sup>4</sup>The  $\mathcal{N} = 1$  and  $\mathcal{N} = 2$  formulations are reviewed in [35] and [36], respectively.

within the group-theoretic setting,  $\text{AdS}^{4|4\mathcal{N}}$  is realised as the coset superspace (1.2) with its structure group being  $\text{SL}(2, \mathbb{C}) \times \text{O}(\mathcal{N})_R$ . Here we explain how the two frameworks are related. This issue was not analysed in [2]. In addition, we also present several extensions to the results of [2]. In particular, we provide two conformally flat realisations of  $\text{AdS}^{4|4\mathcal{N}}$  of the form (1.3), corresponding to stereographic and Poincaré coordinates. Further, we compute the vielbein for the coset superspace (1.2) in a local coordinate chart introduced in [2]. The explicit structure of the obtained vielbein shows that a conformally flat representation for the AdS covariant derivatives does not appear to exist when dealing with structure group  $\text{SL}(2, \mathbb{C}) \times \text{O}(\mathcal{N})$  corresponding to the coset (1.2).

This paper is organised as follows. We begin in section 2 by reviewing the supergravity approach to conformally flat supergeometries developed in [2]. This forms the foundation for our studies of  $\mathcal{N}$ -extended AdS superspaces in section 3. Concluding comments and applications of the obtained results are given in section 4. The main body of this paper is accompanied by three technical appendices. In appendix A our conventions for the  $\mathcal{N}$ -extended superconformal algebra are spelt out. Appendix B demonstrates that the coset construction based on the use of (1.2) does not provide a conformally flat frame for  $\mathcal{N} \geq 1$ . Appendix C discusses some implications of conformal flatness in the  $\mathcal{N} = 2$  case.

## 2 Conformally flat supergeometry

In our previous work [2], we described the most general conformally flat  $\mathcal{N}$ -extended supergeometry in four dimensions, building on the earlier work [30] which introduced the  $\mathcal{N}$ -extended conformal superspace with a flat connection. This section is devoted to a review of the salient details of this framework.

### 2.1 Conformal superspace with flat connection

In this subsection we provide a brief review of the  $\mathcal{N}$ -extended conformal superspace with a flat connection [30].<sup>5</sup> Our starting point will be an  $\mathcal{N}$ -extended superspace  $\mathcal{M}^{4|4\mathcal{N}}$ , parametrised by local coordinates  $z^M = (x^m, \theta_\nu^\mu, \bar{\theta}_{\dot{\mu}}^{\dot{\nu}})$ , where  $m = 0, 1, 2, 3$ ,  $\mu = 1, 2$ ,  $\dot{\mu} = \dot{1}, \dot{2}$  and  $\nu = \underline{1}, \dots, \underline{\mathcal{N}}$ . We take the structure group to be the superconformal group  $\text{SU}(2, 2|\mathcal{N})$ . Its corresponding

---

<sup>5</sup>The conformal superspace approach to describe  $\mathcal{N} \leq 3$  conformal supergravity in four dimensions was developed in [31–33], and its  $\mathcal{N} = 4$  extension has been sketched in [34]. The formulations for the  $\mathcal{N} = 1$  and  $\mathcal{N} = 2$  cases are reviewed in [35] and [36], respectively. Beyond  $\mathcal{N} = 4$ , only the conformal superspace with a flat connection [30] is known.

Lie superalgebra,  $\mathfrak{su}(2, 2|\mathcal{N})$ , is spanned by the super-translation  $P_A = (P_a, Q_\alpha^i, \bar{Q}_i^{\dot{\alpha}})$ , Lorentz  $M_{ab}$ ,  $R$ -symmetry  $\mathbb{Y}$  and  $\mathbb{J}^i_j$ , dilatation  $\mathbb{D}$ , and the special superconformal  $K^A = (K^a, S_i^\alpha, \bar{S}_\alpha^i)$  generators.<sup>6</sup> The geometry of this superspace is encoded within the conformally covariant derivatives  $\nabla_A = (\nabla_a, \nabla_\alpha^i, \bar{\nabla}_i^{\dot{\alpha}})$ , which take the form:

$$\begin{aligned}\nabla_A &= E_A^M \partial_M - \frac{1}{2} \Omega_A^{bc} M_{bc} - \Phi_A^j{}_k \mathbb{J}^k{}_j - i\Phi_A \mathbb{Y} - B_A \mathbb{D} - \mathfrak{F}_{AB} K^B \\ &= E_A^M \partial_M - \Omega_A^{\beta\gamma} M_{\beta\gamma} - \bar{\Omega}_A^{\dot{\beta}\dot{\gamma}} \bar{M}_{\dot{\beta}\dot{\gamma}} - \Phi_A^j{}_k \mathbb{J}^k{}_j - i\Phi_A \mathbb{Y} - B_A \mathbb{D} - \mathfrak{F}_{AB} K^B ,\end{aligned}\quad (2.1)$$

where  $E_A^M$  denotes the inverse supervielbein while the remaining superfields are connections associated with the non-translational generators of the superconformal group.

By definition, the gauge group of conformal supergravity is generated by local transformations of the form

$$\nabla'_A = e^{\mathcal{K}} \nabla_A e^{-\mathcal{K}} , \quad \mathcal{K} = \xi^B \nabla_B + \frac{1}{2} K^{bc} M_{bc} + \Sigma \mathbb{D} + i\rho \mathbb{Y} + \chi^i{}_j \mathbb{J}^j{}_i + \Lambda_B K^B , \quad (2.2)$$

where the gauge parameters satisfy natural reality conditions. Given a conformally covariant tensor superfield  $\mathcal{U}$  (with its indices suppressed), it transforms under such transformations as follows:

$$\mathcal{U}' = e^{\mathcal{K}} \mathcal{U} . \quad (2.3)$$

Within the conformal superspace approach to  $\mathcal{N}$ -extended conformal supergravity with  $\mathcal{N} \leq 4$  [31–34], the graded commutator  $[\nabla_A, \nabla_B]$  is expressed in terms of the corresponding super-Weyl tensor and its covariant derivatives. The super-Weyl tensor  $\mathcal{W}_{\alpha_1 \dots \alpha_{4-\mathcal{N}}}$  is covariantly chiral in the  $\mathcal{N} < 4$  case [31–33]; its structure is more involved for  $\mathcal{N} = 4$ . For  $\mathcal{N}$ -extended conformal superspace with a flat connection, the graded commutator  $[\nabla_A, \nabla_B]$  takes the flat-superspace form [30], which means

$$\{\nabla_\alpha^i, \bar{\nabla}_j^{\dot{\beta}}\} = -2i \delta_j^i \nabla_\alpha^{\dot{\beta}} , \quad (2.4)$$

and the other (anti)commutators are equal to zero. Since the super-Weyl tensor vanishes, applying a gauge transformation (2.2) allows one (at least locally) to turn the covariant derivatives  $\nabla_A$  into  $D_A = (\partial_a, D_\alpha^i, \bar{D}_i^{\dot{\alpha}})$  corresponding to  $\mathcal{N}$ -extended Minkowski superspace  $\mathbb{M}^{4|4\mathcal{N}}$ .

## 2.2 Degauging (i): $U(\mathcal{N})$ superspace

According to eq. (2.2), under an infinitesimal special superconformal gauge transformation  $\mathcal{K} = \Lambda_B K^B$ , the dilatation connection transforms as follows

$$\delta_{\mathcal{K}} B_A = -2\Lambda_A . \quad (2.5)$$

---

<sup>6</sup>Our conventions for  $\mathfrak{su}(2, 2|\mathcal{N})$  are collected in appendix A.

As a result, it is possible to impose the gauge  $B_A = 0$ , completely fixing the special superconformal gauge freedom.<sup>7</sup> Hence, the corresponding connection is no longer required for the covariance of  $\nabla_A$  under the residual gauge freedom and may be extracted from  $\nabla_A$ ,

$$\nabla_A = \mathcal{D}_A - \mathfrak{F}_{AB}K^B . \quad (2.6)$$

Here the operator  $\mathcal{D}_A$  involves only the Lorentz and  $R$ -symmetry connections

$$\mathcal{D}_A = E_A^M \partial_M - \frac{1}{2} \Omega_A^{bc} M_{bc} - \Phi_A^j \mathbb{J}^k_j - i \Phi_A \mathbb{Y} . \quad (2.7)$$

The next step is to relate the special superconformal connection  $\mathfrak{F}_{AB}$  to the torsion tensor associated with  $\mathcal{D}_A$ . To do this, one can make use of the relation

$$\begin{aligned} [\mathcal{D}_A, \mathcal{D}_B] &= [\nabla_A, \nabla_B] + (\mathcal{D}_A \mathfrak{F}_{BC} - (-1)^{\epsilon_A \epsilon_B} \mathcal{D}_B \mathfrak{F}_{AC}) K^C + \mathfrak{F}_{AC} [K^C, \nabla_B] \\ &\quad - (-1)^{\epsilon_A \epsilon_B} \mathfrak{F}_{BC} [K^C, \nabla_A] - (-1)^{\epsilon_B \epsilon_C} \mathfrak{F}_{AC} \mathfrak{F}_{BD} [K^D, K^C] . \end{aligned} \quad (2.8)$$

In conjunction with the algebra (2.4), this leads to a set of consistency conditions that are equivalent to the Bianchi identities of  $U(\mathcal{N})$  superspace [44] with vanishing super-Weyl tensor. Their solution expresses the components of  $\mathfrak{F}_{AB}$  in terms of the torsion tensor of  $U(\mathcal{N})$  superspace and determines the algebra  $[\mathcal{D}_A, \mathcal{D}_B]$ . We omit such an analysis here and instead simply present the geometry of  $\mathcal{D}_A$  below. The interested reader is referred to [2] for the complete analysis.

### 2.2.1 $\mathcal{N} = 1$ case

In the  $\mathcal{N} = 1$  case, the algebra of covariant derivatives (2.8) may be brought to the form<sup>8</sup>

$$\{\mathcal{D}_\alpha, \mathcal{D}_\beta\} = -4\bar{R}M_{\alpha\beta} , \quad \{\bar{\mathcal{D}}_{\dot{\alpha}}, \bar{\mathcal{D}}_{\dot{\beta}}\} = 4R\bar{M}_{\dot{\alpha}\dot{\beta}} , \quad (2.9a)$$

$$\{\mathcal{D}_\alpha, \bar{\mathcal{D}}_{\dot{\alpha}}\} = -2i\mathcal{D}_{\alpha\dot{\alpha}} , \quad (2.9b)$$

$$\begin{aligned} [\mathcal{D}_\alpha, \mathcal{D}_{\beta\dot{\beta}}] &= i\varepsilon_{\alpha\beta} \left( \bar{R} \bar{\mathcal{D}}_{\dot{\beta}} + G^\gamma_{\dot{\beta}} \mathcal{D}_\gamma - \mathcal{D}^\gamma G^\delta_{\dot{\beta}} M_{\gamma\delta} \right) + i\bar{\mathcal{D}}_{\dot{\beta}} \bar{R} M_{\alpha\beta} \\ &\quad - \frac{i}{3} \varepsilon_{\alpha\beta} \bar{X}^{\dot{\gamma}} \bar{M}_{\dot{\gamma}\dot{\beta}} - \frac{i}{6} \varepsilon_{\alpha\beta} \bar{X}_{\dot{\beta}} \mathbb{Y} , \end{aligned} \quad (2.9c)$$

$$[\bar{\mathcal{D}}_{\dot{\alpha}}, \mathcal{D}_{\beta\dot{\beta}}] = -i\varepsilon_{\dot{\alpha}\dot{\beta}} \left( R \mathcal{D}_\beta + G_{\beta\dot{\gamma}} \bar{\mathcal{D}}_{\dot{\gamma}} - \bar{\mathcal{D}}^{\dot{\gamma}} G_{\beta\dot{\delta}} \bar{M}_{\dot{\gamma}\dot{\delta}} \right) - i\mathcal{D}_\beta R \bar{M}_{\dot{\alpha}\dot{\beta}}$$

---

<sup>7</sup>Actually, there is a class of residual gauge transformations which preserve this gauge. They lead to the super-Weyl transformations of the degauged geometry.

<sup>8</sup>We emphasise that this algebra will not coincide with (2.8). This is because we have simplified the geometry by performing the shift  $\mathcal{D}_{\alpha\dot{\alpha}} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}_{\alpha\dot{\alpha}} + \frac{i}{2} G^\beta_{\dot{\alpha}} M_{\alpha\beta} - \frac{i}{2} G_{\alpha\dot{\beta}} \bar{M}_{\dot{\alpha}\dot{\beta}} - \frac{i}{4} G_{\alpha\dot{\alpha}} \mathbb{Y}$ .

$$+\frac{i}{3}\varepsilon_{\dot{\alpha}\dot{\beta}}X^\gamma M_{\gamma\beta} - \frac{i}{6}\varepsilon_{\dot{\alpha}\dot{\beta}}X_\beta\mathbb{Y}. \quad (2.9d)$$

Here  $R$  is a chiral scalar superfield

$$\bar{\mathcal{D}}_{\dot{\alpha}}R = 0, \quad \mathbb{Y}R = -6R, \quad (2.10a)$$

while  $X_\alpha$  is the chiral field strength of a  $U(1)$  vector multiplet

$$\bar{\mathcal{D}}_{\dot{\alpha}}X_\alpha = 0, \quad \mathcal{D}^\alpha X_\alpha = \bar{\mathcal{D}}_{\dot{\alpha}}\bar{X}^{\dot{\alpha}}, \quad \mathbb{Y}X_\alpha = -3X_\alpha, \quad (2.10b)$$

and  $G_{\alpha\dot{\alpha}}$  is a real vector superfield. These are related via

$$X_\alpha = \mathcal{D}_\alpha R - \bar{\mathcal{D}}^{\dot{\alpha}}G_{\alpha\dot{\alpha}}, \quad (2.10c)$$

$$i\mathcal{D}_{(\alpha}\dot{\gamma}G_{\beta)\dot{\gamma}} = \frac{1}{3}\mathcal{D}_{(\alpha}X_{\beta)}. \quad (2.10d)$$

This supergeometry is a  $U(1)$  superspace [24, 44] with vanishing super-Weyl tensor.

Above we made use of the special superconformal gauge freedom to degauge from conformal to  $U(1)$  superspace by fixing the gauge  $B_A = 0$ . In this gauge, one may perform certain combined special superconformal and dilatation transformations which maintain a vanishing dilatation connection. Specifically,

$$\mathcal{K}(\Sigma) = \Sigma\mathbb{D} + \frac{1}{2}\nabla_B\Sigma K^B \implies B'_A = 0, \quad (2.11)$$

where  $\Sigma = \bar{\Sigma}$ . Then, by making use of the following relation

$$\nabla'_A = \mathcal{D}'_A - \mathfrak{F}'_{AB}K^B = e^{\mathcal{K}(\Sigma)}\nabla_A e^{-\mathcal{K}(\Sigma)}, \quad (2.12)$$

we can deduce the transformations it induces on  $\mathcal{D}_A$  and the torsions of  $U(1)$  superspace. The result is as follows:

$$\mathcal{D}'_\alpha = e^{\frac{1}{2}\Sigma} \left( \mathcal{D}_\alpha + 2\mathcal{D}^\beta\Sigma M_{\beta\alpha} - \frac{1}{2}\mathcal{D}_\alpha\Sigma\mathbb{Y} \right), \quad (2.13a)$$

$$\bar{\mathcal{D}}'_{\dot{\alpha}} = e^{\frac{1}{2}\Sigma} \left( \bar{\mathcal{D}}_{\dot{\alpha}} + 2\bar{\mathcal{D}}^{\dot{\beta}}\Sigma\bar{M}_{\dot{\beta}\dot{\alpha}} + \frac{1}{2}\bar{\mathcal{D}}_{\dot{\alpha}}\Sigma\mathbb{Y} \right), \quad (2.13b)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{D}'_{\alpha\dot{\alpha}} = e^\Sigma & \left( \mathcal{D}_{\alpha\dot{\alpha}} + i\mathcal{D}_\alpha\Sigma\bar{\mathcal{D}}_{\dot{\alpha}} + i\bar{\mathcal{D}}_{\dot{\alpha}}\Sigma\mathcal{D}_\alpha + i(\bar{\mathcal{D}}_{\dot{\alpha}}\mathcal{D}^\beta\Sigma + 2\bar{\mathcal{D}}_{\dot{\alpha}}\Sigma\mathcal{D}^\beta\Sigma) M_{\beta\alpha} \right. \\ & \left. + i(\mathcal{D}_\alpha\bar{\mathcal{D}}^{\dot{\beta}}\Sigma + 2\mathcal{D}_\alpha\Sigma\bar{\mathcal{D}}^{\dot{\beta}}\Sigma) \bar{M}_{\dot{\beta}\dot{\alpha}} + i\left(\frac{1}{4}[\mathcal{D}_\alpha, \bar{\mathcal{D}}_{\dot{\alpha}}]\Sigma + \mathcal{D}_\alpha\Sigma\bar{\mathcal{D}}_{\dot{\alpha}}\Sigma\right)\mathbb{Y} \right). \end{aligned} \quad (2.13c)$$

$$R' = e^\Sigma \left( R + \frac{1}{2}\bar{\mathcal{D}}^2\Sigma - (\bar{\mathcal{D}}\Sigma)^2 \right), \quad (2.13d)$$

$$G'_{\alpha\dot{\alpha}} = e^\Sigma \left( G_{\alpha\dot{\alpha}} + [\mathcal{D}_\alpha, \bar{\mathcal{D}}_{\dot{\alpha}}]\Sigma + 2\mathcal{D}_\alpha\Sigma\bar{\mathcal{D}}_{\dot{\alpha}}\Sigma \right), \quad (2.13e)$$

$$X'_\alpha = e^{\frac{3}{2}\Sigma} \left( X_\alpha - \frac{3}{2}(\bar{\mathcal{D}}^2 - 4R)\mathcal{D}_\alpha\Sigma \right), \quad (2.13f)$$

which are the (finite) super-Weyl transformations of  $U(1)$  superspace [45]. For infinitesimal  $\Sigma$ , they reduce to the infinitesimal super-Weyl transformations presented in [44].



### 2.2.2 $\mathcal{N} > 1$ case

As was shown in [2], it follows from eq. (2.8) that for  $\mathcal{N} > 1$  the algebra of degauged spinor covariant derivatives takes the form:<sup>9</sup>

$$\{\mathcal{D}_\alpha^i, \mathcal{D}_\beta^j\} = 4S^{ij}M_{\alpha\beta} + 4\varepsilon_{\alpha\beta}Y_{\gamma\delta}^{ij}M^{\gamma\delta} - 4\varepsilon_{\alpha\beta}S^{k[i}\mathbb{J}^j]_k + 8Y_{\alpha\beta}^{k(i}\mathbb{J}^j)_{k} , \quad (2.14a)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \{\mathcal{D}_\alpha^i, \bar{\mathcal{D}}_j^{\dot{\beta}}\} &= -2i\delta_j^i\mathcal{D}_\alpha^{\dot{\beta}} + 4\left(\delta_j^i G^{\gamma\dot{\beta}} + iG^{\gamma\dot{\beta}i}_j\right)M_{\alpha\gamma} + 4\left(\delta_j^i G_{\alpha\dot{\gamma}} + iG_{\alpha\dot{\gamma}j}^i\right)\bar{M}^{\dot{\beta}\dot{\gamma}} \\ &\quad + 8G_\alpha^{\dot{\beta}i}\mathbb{J}_j^i + 4i\delta_j^i G_\alpha^{\dot{\beta}k}{}_l\mathbb{J}_k^l - 2\left(\delta_j^i G_\alpha^{\dot{\beta}} + iG_\alpha^{\dot{\beta}i}_j\right)\mathbb{Y} . \end{aligned} \quad (2.14b)$$

The dimension-1 superfields introduced above have the following symmetry properties:

$$S^{ij} = S^{ji} , \quad Y_{\alpha\beta}^{ij} = Y_{\beta\alpha}^{ij} = -Y_{\alpha\beta}^{ji} , \quad G_{\alpha\dot{\alpha}}{}^i{}_i = 0 , \quad (2.15)$$

and satisfy the reality conditions

$$\overline{S^{ij}} = \bar{S}_{ij} , \quad \overline{Y_{\alpha\beta}^{ij}} = \bar{Y}_{\dot{\alpha}\dot{\beta}ij} , \quad \overline{G_{\beta\dot{\alpha}}} = G_{\alpha\dot{\beta}} , \quad \overline{G_{\beta\dot{\alpha}}{}^i{}_j} = -G_{\alpha\dot{\beta}}{}^j{}_i . \quad (2.16)$$

The  $U(1)_R$  charges of the complex superfields are:<sup>10</sup>

$$\mathbb{Y}S^{ij} = \frac{2(4-\mathcal{N})}{\mathcal{N}}S^{ij} , \quad \mathbb{Y}Y_{\alpha\beta}^{ij} = \frac{2(4-\mathcal{N})}{\mathcal{N}}Y_{\alpha\beta}^{ij} . \quad (2.17)$$

Further, they satisfy the Bianchi identities:

$$\mathcal{D}_\alpha^{(i}S^{jk)} = 0 , \quad \bar{\mathcal{D}}_{\dot{\alpha}i}S^{jk} - i\mathcal{D}^{\beta(j}G_{\beta\dot{\alpha}}{}^{k)}{}_i = \frac{1}{\mathcal{N}+1}\delta_i^{(j}\left(2\bar{\mathcal{D}}_{\dot{\alpha}l}S^{k)l} - i\mathcal{D}^{\beta|l}G_{\beta\dot{\alpha}}{}^{k)}{}_l\right) , \quad (2.18a)$$

$$\mathcal{D}_{(\alpha}^{(i}Y_{\beta\gamma)^k} = 0 , \quad \mathcal{D}^{\beta k}Y_{\alpha\beta}^{ij} = -\mathcal{D}_\alpha^{[i}S^{j]k} , \quad \bar{\mathcal{D}}_j^{\dot{\beta}}Y_{\alpha\beta}^{ij} = 2\mathcal{D}_{(\alpha}^i G_{\beta)^{\dot{\beta}}} - i\frac{\mathcal{N}-2}{\mathcal{N}+1}\mathcal{D}_{(\alpha}^j G_{\beta)^{\dot{\beta}i}}{}_j , \quad (2.18b)$$

$$\mathcal{D}_{(\alpha}^{(i}G_{\beta)^{\dot{\beta}}j}{}_k = \frac{1}{\mathcal{N}+1}\mathcal{D}_{(\alpha}^l G_{\beta)^{\dot{\beta}}j}{}_k \delta_k^i , \quad \mathcal{D}_{(\alpha}^{[i}G_{\beta)^{\dot{\beta}}j}{}_k = -\frac{1}{\mathcal{N}-1}\mathcal{D}_{(\alpha}^l G_{\beta)^{\dot{\beta}}j}{}_k \delta_k^i , \quad (2.18c)$$

$$\mathcal{D}_\alpha^i G^{\alpha\dot{\beta}} = \frac{i}{2(\mathcal{N}+1)}\left(\frac{\mathcal{N}+2}{\mathcal{N}-1}\mathcal{D}_\alpha^j G^{\alpha\dot{\beta}i}_j + i\bar{\mathcal{D}}_j^{\dot{\beta}}S^{ij}\right) . \quad (2.18d)$$

This defines a  $U(\mathcal{N})$  superspace with vanishing super-Weyl tensor. For  $\mathcal{N} \leq 4$ , it is the conformally flat limit of the supergeometry due to [44].

In complete analogy with the  $\mathcal{N} = 1$  story described above, the residual dilatation symmetry of conformal superspace is manifested in this framework as super-Weyl transformations. Specifically, the following combined dilatation and special conformal transformation, parametrised by a dimensionless real scalar superfield  $\Sigma = \bar{\Sigma}$ , preserves the gauge  $B_A = 0$ :

$$\mathcal{H}(\Sigma) = \Sigma\mathbb{D} + \frac{1}{2}\nabla_B\Sigma K^B \quad \Longrightarrow \quad B'_A = 0 . \quad (2.19)$$

<sup>9</sup>In the  $\mathcal{N} = 2$  case, the torsion tensor  $Y_{\alpha\beta}^{ij}$  is reducible and should be replaced with  $\frac{1}{2}\varepsilon^{ij}Y_{\alpha\beta}$ .

<sup>10</sup>We note that these torsions are uncharged for  $\mathcal{N} = 4$ . This follows from  $\mathbb{Y}$  acting as a central charge in this case.

At the  $U(\mathcal{N})$  superspace level, this induces the following super-Weyl transformations

$$\mathcal{D}'_\alpha{}^i = e^{\frac{1}{2}\Sigma} \left( \mathcal{D}_\alpha^i + 2\mathcal{D}^{\beta i}\Sigma M_{\alpha\beta} + 2\mathcal{D}_\alpha^j\Sigma\mathbb{J}^j{}_i - \frac{1}{2}\mathcal{D}_\alpha^i\Sigma\mathbb{Y} \right), \quad (2.20a)$$

$$\bar{\mathcal{D}}'_i{}^{\dot{\alpha}} = e^{\frac{1}{2}\Sigma} \left( \bar{\mathcal{D}}_i^{\dot{\alpha}} + 2\bar{\mathcal{D}}_i^{\dot{\beta}}\Sigma\bar{M}_{\dot{\alpha}\dot{\beta}} - 2\bar{\mathcal{D}}_j^{\dot{\alpha}}\Sigma\mathbb{J}^j{}_i + \frac{1}{2}\bar{\mathcal{D}}_i^{\dot{\alpha}}\Sigma\mathbb{Y} \right), \quad (2.20b)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{D}'_{\alpha\dot{\alpha}} = e^\Sigma & \left( \mathcal{D}_{\alpha\dot{\alpha}} + i\mathcal{D}_\alpha^i\Sigma\bar{\mathcal{D}}_{\dot{\alpha}i} + i\bar{\mathcal{D}}_{\dot{\alpha}i}\Sigma\mathcal{D}_\alpha^i + \left( \mathcal{D}^\beta{}_{\dot{\alpha}}\Sigma - i\mathcal{D}^{\beta i}\Sigma\bar{\mathcal{D}}_{\dot{\alpha}i} \right) M_{\alpha\beta} \right. \\ & \left. + \left( \mathcal{D}_\alpha{}^{\dot{\beta}}\Sigma + i\mathcal{D}_\alpha^i\Sigma\bar{\mathcal{D}}_i^{\dot{\beta}} \right) \bar{M}_{\dot{\alpha}\dot{\beta}} - 2i\mathcal{D}_\alpha^i\Sigma\bar{\mathcal{D}}_{\dot{\alpha}j}\Sigma\mathbb{J}^j{}_i + \frac{i}{8}\mathcal{D}_\alpha^i\Sigma\bar{\mathcal{D}}_{\dot{\alpha}i}\Sigma\mathbb{Y} \right), \end{aligned} \quad (2.20c)$$

$$S'^{ij} = e^\Sigma \left( S^{ij} - \frac{1}{2}\mathcal{D}^{ij}\Sigma + \mathcal{D}^{\alpha(i}\Sigma\mathcal{D}_\alpha^{j)} \right), \quad (2.20d)$$

$$Y'^{ij}{}_{\alpha\beta} = e^\Sigma \left( Y_{\alpha\beta}{}^{ij} - \frac{1}{2}\mathcal{D}_\alpha^{[i}\mathcal{D}_\beta^{j]}\Sigma - \mathcal{D}_\alpha^{[i}\Sigma\mathcal{D}_\beta^{j]}\Sigma \right), \quad (2.20e)$$

$$G'_{\alpha\dot{\alpha}} = e^\Sigma \left( G_{\alpha\dot{\alpha}} - \frac{1}{4\mathcal{N}}[\mathcal{D}_\alpha^i, \bar{\mathcal{D}}_{\dot{\alpha}i}]\Sigma - \frac{1}{2}\mathcal{D}_\alpha^i\Sigma\bar{\mathcal{D}}_{\dot{\alpha}i}\Sigma \right), \quad (2.20f)$$

$$G'_{\alpha\dot{\alpha}}{}^i{}_j = e^\Sigma \left( G_{\alpha\dot{\alpha}}{}^i{}_j + \frac{i}{4} \left( [\mathcal{D}_\alpha^i, \bar{\mathcal{D}}_{\dot{\alpha}j}] - \frac{1}{\mathcal{N}}\delta_j^i[\mathcal{D}_\alpha^k, \bar{\mathcal{D}}_{\dot{\alpha}k}] \right) \Sigma \right), \quad (2.20g)$$

where we have made the definitions:

$$\mathcal{D}^{ij} = \mathcal{D}^{\alpha(i}\mathcal{D}_\alpha^{j)}, \quad \bar{\mathcal{D}}_{ij} = \bar{\mathcal{D}}_{\dot{\alpha}(i}\bar{\mathcal{D}}_{\dot{\alpha}j)}. \quad (2.21)$$

In the infinitesimal case, the corresponding transformations are a special case of the ones presented in [44].<sup>11</sup> Further, for  $\mathcal{N} = 2$ , these may be read off from the finite super-Weyl transformations presented in [47].

## 2.3 Degauging (ii): $SU(\mathcal{N})$ superspace

Above we have seen that degauging the conformal superspace described in section 2.1 leads to a  $U(\mathcal{N})$  superspace with vanishing super-Weyl tensor. The latter is characterised by the property that its local structure group is  $SL(2, \mathbb{C}) \times U(\mathcal{N})_R$ . As was shown in [2], it is actually possible to further degauge this geometry by eliminating  $U(1)_R$  symmetry. Below, we will spell out the specifics of this procedure.

### 2.3.1 $\mathcal{N} = 1$ case

As can be seen via inspection of the algebra (2.9), the  $U(1)_R$  curvature is controlled by the torsion  $X_\alpha$ , which is the chiral field strength of an Abelian vector multiplet. It turns out to

---

<sup>11</sup>Recently, the super-Weyl transformations of  $\mathcal{N}$ -extended superspace have been described within a local supertwistor formulation approach, see [46] for more details.

describe purely gauge degrees of freedom; by employing the super-Weyl transformations (2.13) it is possible to fix the gauge

$$X_\alpha = 0 . \quad (2.22)$$

Hence, since the  $U(1)_R$  curvature is now vanishing, the corresponding connection is flat and may also be gauged away

$$\Phi_A = 0 . \quad (2.23)$$

In the resulting frame, the algebra of covariant derivatives takes the form

$$\{\mathcal{D}_\alpha, \mathcal{D}_\beta\} = -4\bar{R}M_{\alpha\beta} , \quad \{\bar{\mathcal{D}}_{\dot{\alpha}}, \bar{\mathcal{D}}_{\dot{\beta}}\} = 4R\bar{M}_{\dot{\alpha}\dot{\beta}} , \quad (2.24a)$$

$$\{\mathcal{D}_\alpha, \bar{\mathcal{D}}_{\dot{\alpha}}\} = -2i\mathcal{D}_{\alpha\dot{\alpha}} , \quad (2.24b)$$

$$[\mathcal{D}_\alpha, \mathcal{D}_{\beta\dot{\beta}}] = i\varepsilon_{\alpha\beta} \left( \bar{R}\bar{\mathcal{D}}_{\dot{\beta}} + G_{\dot{\beta}}^\gamma \mathcal{D}_\gamma - \mathcal{D}^\gamma G_{\dot{\beta}}^\delta M_{\gamma\delta} \right) + i\bar{\mathcal{D}}_{\dot{\beta}} \bar{R}M_{\alpha\beta} , \quad (2.24c)$$

$$[\bar{\mathcal{D}}_{\dot{\alpha}}, \mathcal{D}_{\beta\dot{\beta}}] = -i\varepsilon_{\dot{\alpha}\dot{\beta}} \left( R\mathcal{D}_\beta + G_{\beta}^{\dot{\gamma}} \bar{\mathcal{D}}_{\dot{\gamma}} - \bar{\mathcal{D}}^{\dot{\gamma}} G_{\beta}^{\dot{\delta}} \bar{M}_{\dot{\gamma}\dot{\delta}} \right) - i\mathcal{D}_\beta R\bar{M}_{\dot{\alpha}\dot{\beta}} , \quad (2.24d)$$

which describes a conformally flat GWZ superspace [48]. This geometry is described in terms of the complex scalar  $R$  and a real vector  $G_a = \overline{G_a}$  subject to the Bianchi identities

$$\bar{\mathcal{D}}_{\dot{\alpha}} R = 0 , \quad \mathcal{D}_\alpha R = \bar{\mathcal{D}}^{\dot{\alpha}} G_{\alpha\dot{\alpha}} , \quad \mathcal{D}_{(\alpha} \hat{G}_{\beta)\dot{\gamma}} = 0 . \quad (2.25)$$

While we utilised our super-Weyl freedom to impose the gauge (2.22), it turns out that there is a class of residual transformations preserving this frame. The corresponding parameters are of the form

$$\Sigma = \frac{1}{2}(\sigma + \bar{\sigma}) , \quad \bar{\mathcal{D}}_{\dot{\alpha}} \sigma = 0 , \quad \mathbb{Y}\sigma = 0 . \quad (2.26)$$

However, in order to preserve the gauge (2.23), every residual super-Weyl transformation (2.26) must be accompanied by the following compensating  $U(1)_R$  transformation

$$\mathcal{D}_A \longrightarrow e^{-\frac{1}{4}(\sigma - \bar{\sigma})\mathbb{Y}} \mathcal{D}_A e^{\frac{1}{4}(\sigma - \bar{\sigma})\mathbb{Y}} . \quad (2.27)$$

This leads to the transformations:

$$\mathcal{D}'_\alpha = e^{\bar{\sigma} - \frac{1}{2}\sigma} \left( \mathcal{D}_\alpha + \mathcal{D}^\beta \sigma M_{\alpha\beta} \right) , \quad (2.28a)$$

$$\bar{\mathcal{D}}'_{\dot{\alpha}} = e^{\sigma - \frac{1}{2}\bar{\sigma}} \left( \bar{\mathcal{D}}_{\dot{\alpha}} + \bar{\mathcal{D}}^{\dot{\beta}} \bar{\sigma} \bar{M}_{\dot{\alpha}\dot{\beta}} \right) , \quad (2.28b)$$

$$\mathcal{D}'_{\alpha\dot{\alpha}} = e^{\frac{1}{2}\sigma + \frac{1}{2}\bar{\sigma}} \left( \mathcal{D}_{\alpha\dot{\alpha}} + \frac{i}{2} \bar{\mathcal{D}}_{\dot{\alpha}} \bar{\sigma} \mathcal{D}_\alpha + \frac{i}{2} \mathcal{D}_\alpha \sigma \bar{\mathcal{D}}_{\dot{\alpha}} + \left( \mathcal{D}^\beta_{\dot{\alpha}} \sigma + \frac{i}{2} \bar{\mathcal{D}}_{\dot{\alpha}} \bar{\sigma} \mathcal{D}^\beta \sigma \right) M_{\alpha\beta} \right)$$

$$+\left(\mathcal{D}_\alpha \dot{\bar{\sigma}} + \frac{i}{2}\mathcal{D}_\alpha \sigma \bar{\mathcal{D}}^{\dot{\beta}} \bar{\sigma}\right) \bar{M}_{\dot{\alpha}\dot{\beta}}, \quad (2.28c)$$

$$R' = e^{2\sigma - \bar{\sigma}} \left( R + \frac{1}{4} \bar{\mathcal{D}}^2 \bar{\sigma} - \frac{1}{4} (\bar{\mathcal{D}} \bar{\sigma})^2 \right), \quad (2.28d)$$

$$G'_{\alpha\dot{\alpha}} = e^{\frac{1}{2}\sigma + \frac{1}{2}\bar{\sigma}} \left( G_{\alpha\dot{\alpha}} + i\mathcal{D}_{\alpha\dot{\alpha}}(\sigma - \bar{\sigma}) + \frac{1}{2}\mathcal{D}_\alpha \sigma \bar{\mathcal{D}}_{\dot{\alpha}} \bar{\sigma} \right). \quad (2.28e)$$

For infinitesimal  $\sigma$ , these transformations are a special case of those of [49], see also [50].

By definition, two supergeometries are conformally related if they possess frames which are related by a finite super-Weyl transformation. Further, a supergeometry is conformally flat if it is conformally related to the flat supergeometry. Then, taking  $\mathcal{D}_A = D_A$  to be the covariant derivatives of  $\mathcal{N} = 1$  Minkowski superspace, we may read off the conformally flat supervielbein one-forms  $E^A = (E^a, E^\alpha, \bar{E}_{\dot{\alpha}})$  in terms of the flat superspace one-forms  $\mathcal{E}^A = (\Pi^a, d\theta^\alpha, d\bar{\theta}_{\dot{\alpha}})$  as follows

$$E^a = e^{-\frac{1}{2}(\sigma + \bar{\sigma})} \Pi^a, \quad \Pi^a = dx^a + i(\theta \sigma^a d\bar{\theta} - d\theta \sigma^a \bar{\theta}), \quad (2.29a)$$

$$E^\alpha = e^{\frac{1}{2}\sigma - \bar{\sigma}} \left( d\theta^\alpha + \frac{i}{4} \bar{\mathcal{D}}_{\dot{\alpha}} \bar{\sigma} \Pi^{\dot{\alpha}\alpha} \right), \quad (2.29b)$$

$$\bar{E}_{\dot{\alpha}} = e^{\frac{1}{2}\bar{\sigma} - \sigma} \left( d\bar{\theta}_{\dot{\alpha}} - \frac{i}{4} D_\alpha \sigma \Pi_{\dot{\alpha}}^\alpha \right), \quad (2.29c)$$

where  $\Pi^a$  is the Volkov-Akulov supersymmetric one-form [51, 52]. It should be emphasised that the one-forms  $E^A = dz^M E_M^A$  constitute the dual basis to the vector fields  $E_A = E_A^M \partial_M$  in the sense that

$$E_M^A E_A^N = \delta_M^N, \quad E_A^M E_M^B = \delta_A^B. \quad (2.30)$$

### 2.3.2 $\mathcal{N} > 1$ case

The torsion superfield  $G_{\alpha\dot{\alpha}}{}^i{}_j$  of  $\mathbf{U}(\mathcal{N})$  superspace (2.14) turns out to describe purely gauge degrees of freedom. To illustrate this point, we begin by coupling the background supergeometry to some nowhere-vanishing scalar superfield  $\Xi \neq 0$  of non-vanishing dimension and  $\mathbf{U}(1)_R$  charge which will play the role of a conformal compensator. By performing an appropriate super-Weyl and local  $\mathbf{U}(1)_R$  transformation

$$\Xi \longrightarrow e^{\Delta_\Xi \Sigma + i q_\Xi \rho} \Xi, \quad \Delta_\Xi, q_\Xi \neq 0, \quad (2.31)$$

it is possible to impose the gauge  $\Xi = 1$ . Associated with this gauge condition are several integrability conditions. In particular, by making use of eq. (2.14b) we see that

$$\{\mathcal{D}_\alpha^i, \bar{\mathcal{D}}_{\dot{\alpha}j}\} \Xi = 2q_\Xi \left( \delta_j^i (\Phi_{\alpha\dot{\alpha}} - G_{\alpha\dot{\alpha}}) + G_{\alpha\dot{\alpha}}{}^i{}_j \right) = 0, \quad (2.32)$$

and therefore

$$\Phi_{\alpha\dot{\alpha}} = G_{\alpha\dot{\alpha}} , \quad G_{\alpha\dot{\alpha}}{}^i{}_j = 0 , \quad (2.33)$$

hence the vector component of the  $U(1)_R$  connection  $\Phi_{\alpha\dot{\alpha}}$  is fixed and  $G_{\alpha\dot{\alpha}}{}^i{}_j$  vanishes in this gauge. Actually, one can restrict our choice of compensator to a chiral one,  $\bar{\mathcal{D}}_i^{\dot{\alpha}}\Xi = 0$ . This condition is useful as it also fixes the spinor  $U(1)_R$  connection

$$\bar{\mathcal{D}}_i^{\dot{\alpha}}\Xi = q_{\Xi}\bar{\Phi}_i^{\dot{\alpha}} = 0 \quad \Longrightarrow \quad \Phi_{\alpha}^i = 0 , \quad \bar{\Phi}_i^{\dot{\alpha}} = 0 . \quad (2.34)$$

Since  $G_{\alpha\dot{\alpha}}{}^i{}_j$  is  $U(1)_R$  neutral, this means that it can be gauged away via an appropriate super-Weyl transformation (2.20). Thus, in general backgrounds it takes the form

$$G_{\alpha\dot{\alpha}}{}^i{}_j = -\frac{i}{4} \left( [\mathcal{D}_{\alpha}^i, \bar{\mathcal{D}}_{\dot{\alpha}j}] - \frac{1}{\mathcal{N}} \delta_j^i [\mathcal{D}_{\alpha}^k, \bar{\mathcal{D}}_{\dot{\alpha}k}] \right) \Sigma , \quad (2.35)$$

for some real scalar super-Weyl inert superfield  $\Sigma$ . Hence,  $G_{\alpha\dot{\alpha}}{}^i{}_j$  describes purely gauge degrees of freedom and so we set it to zero. In this gauge, it is natural to shift  $\mathcal{D}_{\alpha\dot{\alpha}}$  as follows

$$\mathcal{D}_{\alpha\dot{\alpha}} \longrightarrow \mathcal{D}_{\alpha\dot{\alpha}} + iG_{\alpha\dot{\alpha}}\Upsilon . \quad (2.36)$$

At this point the algebra of spinor covariant derivatives takes the form

$$\{\mathcal{D}_{\alpha}^i, \mathcal{D}_{\beta}^j\} = 4S^{ij}M_{\alpha\beta} + 4\varepsilon_{\alpha\beta}Y_{\gamma\delta}^{ij}M^{\gamma\delta} - 4\varepsilon_{\alpha\beta}S^{k[i}\mathbb{J}^{j]k} + 8Y_{\alpha\beta}^{k(i}\mathbb{J}^{j)k} , \quad (2.37a)$$

$$\{\mathcal{D}_{\alpha}^i, \bar{\mathcal{D}}_j^{\dot{\beta}}\} = -2i\delta_j^i\mathcal{D}_{\alpha}{}^{\dot{\beta}} + 4\delta_j^iG^{\delta\dot{\beta}}M_{\alpha\delta} + 4\delta_j^iG_{\alpha\dot{\gamma}}\bar{M}^{\dot{\gamma}\dot{\beta}} + 8G_{\alpha}{}^{\dot{\beta}}\mathbb{J}^i{}_j . \quad (2.37b)$$

Hence, the  $U(1)_R$  curvature is flat, thus the connection is flat and may be gauged away. This reduces the structure group to  $SL(2, \mathbb{C}) \times SU(\mathcal{N})_R$ .<sup>12</sup> This superspace is described in terms of the complex superfields  $S^{ij} = S^{ji}$ ,  $Y_{\alpha\beta}^{ij} = Y_{\beta\alpha}^{ij} = -Y_{\alpha\beta}^{ji}$ , and real vector superfield  $\bar{G}_a = G_a$  satisfying the Bianchi identities

$$\mathcal{D}_{\alpha}^{(i}S^{jk)} = 0 , \quad \bar{\mathcal{D}}_i^{\dot{\alpha}}S^{jk} = -4\delta_i^{(j}\mathcal{D}_{\alpha}^{k)}G^{\alpha\dot{\alpha}} , \quad (2.38a)$$

$$\mathcal{D}_{(\alpha}^{(i}Y_{\beta\gamma)^{j)k}} = 0 , \quad \mathcal{D}^{\beta k}Y_{\alpha\beta}^{ij} = -\mathcal{D}_{\alpha}^{[i}S^{j]k} , \quad \bar{\mathcal{D}}_j^{\dot{\beta}}Y_{\alpha\beta}^{ij} = 2\mathcal{D}_{(\alpha}^iG_{\beta)^{\dot{\beta}}} . \quad (2.38b)$$

In the  $\mathcal{N} = 2$  case this algebra of covariant derivatives coincides with the one derived by Grimm [53], provided one sets the super-Weyl tensor to zero.

As in the  $\mathcal{N} = 1$  case considered above, the gauge condition  $G_{\alpha\dot{\alpha}}{}^i{}_j = 0$  does not completely fix the super-Weyl symmetry. Specifically, it is preserved under the class of super-Weyl transformations  $\Sigma = \frac{1}{2}(\sigma + \bar{\sigma})$ , where  $\sigma$  is a chiral parameter  $\bar{\mathcal{D}}_i^{\dot{\alpha}}\sigma = 0$ . In order for such transformations

---

<sup>12</sup>Due to this, such a supergeometry was referred to in [2] as ‘conformally flat  $SU(\mathcal{N})$  superspace’.

to preserve the condition  $\Phi_A = 0$ , they must be accompanied by the compensating local  $U(1)_R$  transformation  $\mathcal{D}_A \rightarrow e^{-\frac{1}{4}(\sigma-\bar{\sigma})\mathbb{Y}}\mathcal{D}_A e^{\frac{1}{4}(\sigma-\bar{\sigma})\mathbb{Y}}$ . As a result, the geometry of (conformally flat)  $SU(\mathcal{N})$  superspace is preserved by the following set of super-Weyl transformations

$$\mathcal{D}'_\alpha{}^i = e^{\frac{\mathcal{N}-2}{2\mathcal{N}}\sigma + \frac{1}{\mathcal{N}}\bar{\sigma}} \left( \mathcal{D}_\alpha^i + \mathcal{D}^{\beta i} \sigma M_{\alpha\beta} + \mathcal{D}_\alpha^j \sigma \mathbb{J}^j{}_i \right), \quad (2.39a)$$

$$\bar{\mathcal{D}}'_{\dot{\alpha}}{}^i = e^{\frac{1}{\mathcal{N}}\sigma + \frac{\mathcal{N}-2}{2\mathcal{N}}\bar{\sigma}} \left( \bar{\mathcal{D}}_{\dot{\alpha}}{}^i - \bar{\mathcal{D}}_{\dot{\beta}i} \bar{\sigma} \bar{M}^{\dot{\alpha}\dot{\beta}} - \bar{\mathcal{D}}_{\dot{j}}{}^{\dot{\alpha}} \bar{\sigma} \mathbb{J}^j{}_i \right), \quad (2.39b)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{D}'_{\alpha\dot{\alpha}} = & e^{\frac{1}{2}\sigma + \frac{1}{2}\bar{\sigma}} \left( \mathcal{D}_{\alpha\dot{\alpha}} + \frac{i}{2} \mathcal{D}_\alpha^i \sigma \bar{\mathcal{D}}_{\dot{\alpha}i} + \frac{i}{2} \bar{\mathcal{D}}_{\dot{\alpha}i} \bar{\sigma} \mathcal{D}_\alpha^i + \frac{1}{2} \left( \mathcal{D}^{\beta\dot{\alpha}}(\sigma + \bar{\sigma}) - \frac{i}{2} \mathcal{D}^{\beta i} \sigma \bar{\mathcal{D}}_{\dot{\alpha}i} \bar{\sigma} \right) M_{\alpha\beta} \right. \\ & \left. + \frac{1}{2} \left( \mathcal{D}_\alpha^{\dot{\beta}}(\sigma + \bar{\sigma}) + \frac{i}{2} \mathcal{D}_\alpha^i \sigma \bar{\mathcal{D}}_{\dot{i}}{}^{\dot{\beta}} \bar{\sigma} \right) \bar{M}_{\dot{\alpha}\dot{\beta}} - \frac{i}{2} \mathcal{D}_\alpha^i \sigma \bar{\mathcal{D}}_{\dot{\alpha}j} \bar{\sigma} \mathbb{J}^j{}_i \right), \end{aligned} \quad (2.39c)$$

$$S'^{ij} = e^{\frac{\mathcal{N}-2}{\mathcal{N}}\sigma + \frac{2}{\mathcal{N}}\bar{\sigma}} \left( S^{ij} - \frac{1}{4} \mathcal{D}^{ij} \sigma + \frac{1}{4} \mathcal{D}^{\alpha(i} \sigma \mathcal{D}_\alpha^{j)} \right), \quad (2.39d)$$

$$Y'_{\alpha\beta}{}^{ij} = e^{\frac{\mathcal{N}-2}{\mathcal{N}}\sigma + \frac{2}{\mathcal{N}}\bar{\sigma}} \left( Y_{\alpha\beta}{}^{ij} - \frac{1}{4} \mathcal{D}_\alpha^{[i} \mathcal{D}_\beta^{j]} \sigma - \frac{1}{4} \mathcal{D}_\alpha^{[i} \sigma \mathcal{D}_\beta^{j]} \right), \quad (2.39e)$$

$$G'_{\alpha\dot{\alpha}} = e^{\frac{1}{2}\sigma + \frac{1}{2}\bar{\sigma}} \left( G_{\alpha\dot{\alpha}} - \frac{i}{4} \mathcal{D}_{\alpha\dot{\alpha}}(\sigma - \bar{\sigma}) - \frac{1}{8} \mathcal{D}_\alpha^i \sigma \bar{\mathcal{D}}_{\dot{\alpha}i} \bar{\sigma} \right). \quad (2.39f)$$

In the  $\mathcal{N} = 2$  and  $\mathcal{N} = 3$  cases, these transformations are a special case of the ones given in [27, 47] and [33], respectively.

As described above for the  $\mathcal{N} = 1$  case, by choosing  $\mathcal{D}_A = D_A$ , one can express the components of the conformally flat supervielbein  $E^A = (E^a, E_i^\alpha, \bar{E}_{\dot{\alpha}}^i)$  in terms of the flat superspace one-forms. Specifically, we find

$$E^a = e^{-\frac{1}{2}(\sigma+\bar{\sigma})} \Pi^a, \quad \Pi^a = dx^a + i(\theta_i \sigma^a d\bar{\theta}^i - d\theta_i \sigma^a \bar{\theta}^i), \quad (2.40a)$$

$$E_i^\alpha = e^{-(\frac{\mathcal{N}-2}{2\mathcal{N}}\sigma + \frac{1}{\mathcal{N}}\bar{\sigma})} \left( d\theta_i^\alpha + \frac{i}{4} \bar{\mathcal{D}}_{\dot{\alpha}i} \bar{\sigma} \Pi^{\dot{\alpha}\alpha} \right), \quad (2.40b)$$

$$\bar{E}_{\dot{\alpha}}^i = e^{-(\frac{1}{\mathcal{N}}\sigma + \frac{\mathcal{N}-2}{2\mathcal{N}}\bar{\sigma})} \left( d\bar{\theta}_{\dot{\alpha}}^i - \frac{i}{4} \mathcal{D}_\alpha^i \sigma \Pi_{\dot{\alpha}}{}^\alpha \right), \quad (2.40c)$$

with  $\Pi^a$  the  $\mathcal{N}$ -extended version of the Volkov-Akulov supersymmetric one-form (2.29a). As in the  $\mathcal{N} = 1$  case, these one-forms constitute the dual basis to  $E_A$ , see eq. (2.30).

### 3 $\mathcal{N}$ -extended AdS superspace

As was shown in [2], the conformally flat superspaces described above allow for AdS supergeometries. This special case is characterised by the following conditions:

- (i) the torsion and curvature tensors are Lorentz invariant;
- (ii) the torsion and curvature tensors are covariantly constant.

These in turn imply the following relations:

$$\mathcal{N} = 1 : \quad G_{\alpha\dot{\alpha}} = 0 , \quad \mathcal{D}_A R = 0 . \quad (3.1a)$$

$$\mathcal{N} > 1 : \quad Y_{\alpha\dot{\beta}}^{ij} = 0 , \quad G_{\alpha\dot{\alpha}} = 0 , \quad G_{\alpha\dot{\alpha}}{}^i{}_j = 0 , \quad \mathcal{D}_A S^{jk} = 0 . \quad (3.1b)$$

Keeping in mind these constraints, the algebra of covariant derivatives reduces to:

$$\{\mathcal{D}_\alpha^i, \mathcal{D}_\beta^j\} = 4S^{ij}M_{\alpha\beta} - 4\varepsilon_{\alpha\beta}S^{k[i}\mathbb{J}^j]_k , \quad (3.2a)$$

$$\{\bar{\mathcal{D}}_i^{\dot{\alpha}}, \bar{\mathcal{D}}_j^{\dot{\beta}}\} = -4\bar{S}_{ij}\bar{M}^{\dot{\alpha}\dot{\beta}} + 4\varepsilon^{\dot{\alpha}\dot{\beta}}\bar{S}_{k[i}\mathbb{J}^k]_j , \quad (3.2b)$$

$$\{\mathcal{D}_\alpha^i, \bar{\mathcal{D}}_j^{\dot{\beta}}\} = -2i\delta_j^i\mathcal{D}_\alpha^{\dot{\beta}} , \quad (3.2c)$$

$$[\mathcal{D}_\alpha^i, \mathcal{D}_{\beta\dot{\beta}}] = -i\varepsilon_{\alpha\beta}S^{ij}\bar{\mathcal{D}}_{\dot{\beta}j} , \quad [\bar{\mathcal{D}}_i^{\dot{\alpha}}, \mathcal{D}_{\beta\dot{\beta}}] = i\delta_{\dot{\beta}}^{\dot{\alpha}}\bar{S}_{ij}\mathcal{D}_\beta^j , \quad (3.2d)$$

$$[\mathcal{D}_{\alpha\dot{\alpha}}, \mathcal{D}_{\beta\dot{\beta}}] = -2|S|^2(\varepsilon_{\alpha\beta}\bar{M}_{\dot{\alpha}\dot{\beta}} + \varepsilon_{\dot{\alpha}\dot{\beta}}M_{\alpha\beta}) , \quad |S|^2 := \frac{1}{\mathcal{N}}S^{ij}\bar{S}_{ij} > 0 . \quad (3.2e)$$

Here  $|S|$  is a constant parameter of the AdS superspace. In the  $\mathcal{N} = 1$  case, there is no generator  $\mathbb{J}^i{}_j$ , and the identification  $\bar{R} = -S$  should be used. Both  $U(\mathcal{N})$  and  $SU(\mathcal{N})$  superspaces yield the AdS geometry (3.2). In the  $U(\mathcal{N})$  setting, the AdS covariant derivatives include a flat  $U(1)_R$  connection that turns out to be useful for our analysis.

### 3.1 Solving the constraints

When  $\mathcal{N} > 1$ , the constraint  $\mathcal{D}_A S^{jk} = 0$  implies the following integrability condition

$$\delta_{(k}^{[i}S^{j]m}\bar{S}_{l)m} = 0 \quad \Longrightarrow \quad S^{ik}\bar{S}_{jk} = |S|^2\delta_j^i . \quad (3.3a)$$

Using matrix notation, the properties of  $\hat{S} = (S^{ij})$  can be recast in the form:

$$\mathbb{S}^T = \mathbb{S} , \quad \mathbb{S}^\dagger\mathbb{S} = \mathbb{1}_{\mathcal{N}} , \quad \mathbb{S} := |S|^{-1}\hat{S} . \quad (3.3b)$$

The properties of  $\mathbb{S}$  coincide with those given in the formulation of a simple lemma proved long ago by Zumino [54] using the theory of matrices [55]. The lemma states that

$$\mathbb{S} = UU^T , \quad U \in U(\mathcal{N}) . \quad (3.4)$$

Here the unitary matrix  $U$  is defined modulo right orthogonal shifts,

$$U \rightarrow U\mathcal{O} , \quad \mathcal{O} \in O(\mathcal{N}) . \quad (3.5)$$

It should be pointed out that in the  $\mathcal{N} = 2$  case [56], the general solution to eq. (3.3) is  $S^{ij} = q\mathbf{S}^{ij}$ , where  $\mathbf{S}^{ij}$  obeys the reality condition  $\overline{\mathbf{S}^{ij}} = \mathbf{S}_{ij}$  and  $q$  is a constant complex

parameter of unit norm,  $|q| = 1$ . By applying a rigid  $U(1)$  phase transformation to the covariant derivatives,  $\mathcal{D}_\alpha^i \rightarrow q^{-1/2} \mathcal{D}_\alpha^i$ , one can set  $q = 1$ .

It follows from the above analysis that, by performing a local  $U(\mathcal{N})_R$  transformation,<sup>13</sup> one can bring  $S^{ij}$  to the form

$$S^{ij} = \delta^{ij} S . \quad (3.6)$$

Now, the condition  $\mathcal{D}_A S^{jk} = 0$  tells us the  $SU(\mathcal{N})_R$  connection involves only the generators

$$\mathcal{J}^{ij} := -2\delta^{k[i} \mathbb{J}^{j]k} = -\mathcal{J}^{ji} , \quad (3.7)$$

which act on an  $SU(\mathcal{N})$  ‘quark’  $\psi^k$  as follows

$$\mathcal{J}^{ij} \psi^k = 2\delta^{k[i} \psi^{j]} \quad (3.8)$$

and thus leave  $\delta^{ij}$  invariant. In other words,  $\mathcal{J}^{ij}$  is a generator of  $SO(\mathcal{N})$ . Hence, in such a frame the  $R$ -symmetry group reduces to  $O(\mathcal{N})_R$ , and the  $O(\mathcal{N})$ -invariant tensor  $\delta^{ij}$  may be used to raise and lower indices in accordance with

$$\psi^i = \delta^{ij} \psi_j , \quad \psi_i = \delta_{ij} \psi^j . \quad (3.9)$$

The resulting algebra of covariant derivatives is:

$$\{\mathcal{D}_\alpha^i, \mathcal{D}_\beta^j\} = 4S\delta^{ij} M_{\alpha\beta} + 2\varepsilon_{\alpha\beta} S \mathcal{J}^{ij} , \quad (3.10a)$$

$$\{\bar{\mathcal{D}}_i^{\dot{\alpha}}, \bar{\mathcal{D}}_j^{\dot{\beta}}\} = -4\bar{S}\delta_{ij} \bar{M}^{\dot{\alpha}\dot{\beta}} - 2\varepsilon^{\dot{\alpha}\dot{\beta}} \bar{S} \mathcal{J}_{ij} , \quad (3.10b)$$

$$\{\mathcal{D}_\alpha^i, \bar{\mathcal{D}}_j^{\dot{\beta}}\} = -2i\delta_j^i \mathcal{D}_\alpha^{\dot{\beta}} , \quad (3.10c)$$

$$[\mathcal{D}_\alpha^i, \mathcal{D}_{\beta\dot{\beta}}] = -i\varepsilon_{\alpha\beta} S \bar{\mathcal{D}}_\beta^i , \quad [\bar{\mathcal{D}}_i^{\dot{\alpha}}, \mathcal{D}_{\beta\dot{\beta}}] = i\delta_{\dot{\beta}}^{\dot{\alpha}} \bar{S} \mathcal{D}_{\beta j} , \quad (3.10d)$$

$$[\mathcal{D}_{\alpha\dot{\alpha}}, \mathcal{D}_{\beta\dot{\beta}}] = -2|S|^2 (\varepsilon_{\alpha\beta} \bar{M}_{\dot{\alpha}\dot{\beta}} + \varepsilon_{\dot{\alpha}\dot{\beta}} M_{\alpha\beta}) . \quad (3.10e)$$

This algebra coincides with the one presented in eq. (5.27) of [2], which was obtained from the coset construction, provided one fixes  $S = -2$ .

It is instructive to compare the constraints (3.3) and their general solution (3.4) with those describing  $\mathcal{N}$ -extended AdS supergeometries in three dimensions [57]. Following [57], the 3D analogue of  $U(\mathcal{N})$  superspace is  $SO(\mathcal{N})$  superspace, and the analogue of  $S^{ij}$  is a covariantly constant symmetric torsion tensor  $S^{IJ}$ , with  $I, J = 1, \dots, \mathcal{N}$ , which is characterised by the algebraic properties:

$$\hat{S}^2 = S^2 \mathbb{1} , \quad \hat{S} := (S^{IJ}) = \hat{S}^T , \quad S^2 := \frac{1}{\mathcal{N}} \text{tr}(\hat{S}^2) \geq 0 . \quad (3.11)$$

---

<sup>13</sup>This argument requires us to work within the  $U(\mathcal{N})$  superspace setting described in section 2.2.



Since the structure group is  $\text{SO}(\mathcal{N})$ , a local  $\text{SO}(\mathcal{N})$  transformation can be performed to bring  $\hat{S}$  to the form:

$$S^{IJ} = S \text{diag}(\overbrace{+1, \dots, +1}^p, \overbrace{-1, \dots, -1}^q), \quad p + q = \mathcal{N}, \quad (3.12)$$

which should be compared with (3.6). In contrast to the existence of a unique  $\mathcal{N}$ -extended AdS superspace in four dimensions, in the 3D case there is the family of  $(p, q)$  AdS superspaces, with  $p + q = \mathcal{N}$ , see [57] for more details.

### 3.2 Conformally flat realisation I

Now we provide a manifestly conformally flat realisation of  $\text{AdS}^{4|4\mathcal{N}}$ . Imposing the constraint (3.6) is not suitable for this purpose. This is why we relax the constraint (3.6) and return to the original algebra of AdS covariant derivatives, eq. (3.2). A conformally flat realisation of  $\text{AdS}^{4|4\mathcal{N}}$  may be obtained by making use of the super-Weyl transformations, see eq. (2.28) and (2.39).

In the  $\text{SU}(\mathcal{N})$  superspace setting, a curved supergeometry is conformally flat if the gauge freedom may be used to bring the covariant derivatives to the form (1.3). In such a frame, the curved covariant derivatives  $\mathcal{D}_A$  are obtained from the flat ones  $D_A$  by applying a super-Weyl transformation. In the  $\mathcal{N} = 1$  and  $\mathcal{N} = 2$  cases, the definition agrees with those given in [49] (see [25] for a review) and [56], respectively. The conformal flatness of the superspace  $\text{AdS}^{4|4}$  was first established by Ivanov and Sorin [15] using the coset construction, see also [16].<sup>14</sup>

Our goal is to determine  $\sigma$  corresponding to the  $\mathcal{N}$ -extended AdS superspace. For the covariant derivatives (1.3), the curvature  $S^{ij}$  takes the form

$$S^{ij} = -\frac{1}{4} e^{\frac{\mathcal{N}-2}{\mathcal{N}}\sigma + \frac{2}{\mathcal{N}}\bar{\sigma}} \left( D^{ij} \sigma - D^{\alpha i} \sigma D_{\alpha}^j \sigma \right) = \frac{1}{4} e^{\frac{2}{\mathcal{N}}[\bar{\sigma} + (\mathcal{N}-1)\sigma]} D^{ij} e^{-\sigma}. \quad (3.13a)$$

Imposing the conditions (3.1) leads to the following constraints on the chiral parameter  $\sigma$ :<sup>15</sup>

$$Y_{\alpha\beta}^{ij} = 0 \quad \implies \quad D_{(\alpha}^{[i} D_{\beta]}^j] e^{\sigma} = 0, \quad (3.13b)$$

$$G_{\alpha\dot{\alpha}} = 0 \quad \implies \quad [D_{\alpha}^i, \bar{D}_{\dot{\alpha}i}] e^{\frac{\mathcal{N}}{2}(\sigma + \bar{\sigma})} = 0. \quad (3.13c)$$

---

<sup>14</sup>Within the framework of the Wess-Zumino formulation for  $\mathcal{N} = 1$  supergravity [20], this conformally flat realisation of  $\text{AdS}^{4|4}$  is a simple application of the prepotential solution of the Grimm-Wess-Zumino constraints [48] given by Siegel [58], see [25] for a review.

<sup>15</sup>As outlined in section 2.3.2, the transformations (1.3a) - (1.3c) imply  $G_{\alpha\dot{\alpha}}{}^i{}_j = 0$ .

In the  $\mathcal{N} = 1$  case, the constraint (3.13b) is absent, and the condition of covariant constancy of  $S^{ij}$  becomes

$$\bar{R} = -\frac{1}{4}e^{2\bar{\sigma}}D^2e^{-\sigma} = \text{const} . \quad (3.13d)$$

If the constraints (3.13b) and (3.13c) are satisfied, the tensor  $S^{ij}$  defined by (3.13a) proves to be covariantly constant.

In the  $\mathcal{N} = 1$  case, the constraints (3.13c) and (3.13d) were solved in section 6.5.4 of [25]. For  $\mathcal{N} = 2$ , the constraints (3.13b) and (3.13c) reduce to those given in [56], where they were also solved. We will now solve them for arbitrary  $\mathcal{N}$ . As  $\sigma$  is chiral,  $\bar{D}_i^{\dot{\alpha}}\sigma = 0$ , it is a function of the coordinates  $x_+^a = x^a + i\theta_i\sigma^a\bar{\theta}^i$  and  $\theta_i^\alpha$ . The most general Lorentz and  $\text{SU}(\mathcal{N})_R$  invariant ansatz is then given by

$$e^\sigma = \sum_{n=0}^{\mathcal{N}} A_n^{i_1 \dots i_{2n}}(x_+^2)\theta_{i_1 i_2} \dots \theta_{i_{2n-1} i_{2n}} , \quad (3.14)$$

where  $\theta_{ij} = \theta_i^\alpha \theta_{\alpha j} = \theta_{ji}$  and the coefficients  $A_n^{i_1 \dots i_{2n}}(x_+^2)$  in general furnish reducible representations of  $\text{SU}(\mathcal{N})_R$ . Inserting (3.14) into (3.13b) yields the following

$$e^\sigma = a + bx_+^2 + s^{ij}\theta_{ij} , \quad (3.15)$$

where  $a, b$  and  $s^{ij} = s^{ji}$  are constant. The constraint (3.13c) then implies

$$s^{ik}\bar{s}_{kj} = -4a\bar{b}\delta_j^i , \quad \bar{s}_{ij} = \overline{s^{ij}} , \quad (3.16a)$$

$$a\bar{b} = \bar{a}b . \quad (3.16b)$$

Since the left-hand side of (3.16a) is positive-definite, we find that  $a\bar{b} < 0$ . Choosing the constant  $a$  to be  $a = 1$ , the above relations lead to

$$b = -\frac{1}{4\mathcal{N}}s^{ij}\bar{s}_{ij} . \quad (3.17)$$

The solution to (3.13b) and (3.13c) is then

$$e^\sigma = 1 - \frac{1}{4\mathcal{N}}s^{ij}\bar{s}_{ij}x_+^2 + s^{ij}\theta_{ij} . \quad (3.18)$$

Evaluating the torsion superfield  $S^{ij}$  yields

$$S^{ij} = \frac{1}{4}e^{\frac{2(\mathcal{N}-1)}{\mathcal{N}}\sigma + \frac{2}{\mathcal{N}}\bar{\sigma}}D^{ij}e^{-\sigma} = s^{ij} + \mathcal{O}(\theta) . \quad (3.19)$$

We point out that

$$S^{ij}(z)\bar{S}_{ij}(z) \equiv \mathcal{N}|S|^2 = s^{ij}\bar{s}_{ij} = \text{const} . \quad (3.20)$$

Finally, given the form of the conformally flat supervielbein (2.40), it follows that the spacetime metric is

$$ds^2 = \eta_{ab}E^a E^b|_{\theta=0} = \frac{\eta_{ab}dx^a dx^b}{\left(1 - \frac{x^2}{4\ell^2}\right)^2} , \quad (3.21)$$

with  $\ell^{-2} = |S|^2$ . It should be pointed out that, while the constraints (3.13b) and (3.13c) appeared in [2] for the first time, the super-Weyl parameter (3.18) was constructed in ref. [16] by making use of an alternative approach, although an explicit solution of the form (1.3) was not derived in [16].

### 3.3 Conformally flat realisation II

As can be seen from (3.21), the above realisation makes use of stereographic coordinates, in which the spacetime metric is manifestly invariant under the group of four-dimensional Lorentz transformations,  $\text{O}(3, 1)$ . One can also make use of Poincaré coordinates, in which the spacetime metric takes the form

$$ds^2 = \left(\frac{1}{sz}\right)^2 \left(\eta_{\hat{a}\hat{b}}dx^{\hat{a}}dx^{\hat{b}} + dz^2\right) , \quad \hat{a} = 0, 1, 3, \quad (3.22)$$

and is manifestly invariant under the group of three-dimensional Poincaré transformations,  $\text{IO}(2, 1)$ . The reason for this index convention will become clear below. This coordinate system was utilised in [59] to derive an alternative conformally flat realisation for the  $\mathcal{N} = 1$  and  $\mathcal{N} = 2$  AdS superspaces, and we will now extend this analysis to the case of arbitrary  $\mathcal{N}$ .

As described above, the relations (1.3) hold for a conformally flat realisation of  $\text{AdS}^{4|4\mathcal{N}}$ . Our point of departure from the analysis of section 3.2 is in the realisation of the flat superspace covariant derivatives. For this purpose, it is convenient to introduce a 3 + 1 splitting of the 4D vector indices as follows.<sup>16</sup> We first delete the sigma-matrix with vector index  $a = 2$ ,

$$(\sigma_a)_{\alpha\dot{\beta}} \longrightarrow (\gamma_{\hat{a}})_{\alpha\beta} = (\gamma_{\hat{a}})_{\beta\alpha} = (\mathbf{1}_2, \sigma_1, \sigma_3) , \quad (3.23a)$$

$$(\tilde{\sigma}_a)^{\dot{\alpha}\beta} \longrightarrow (\gamma_{\hat{a}})^{\alpha\beta} = (\gamma_{\hat{a}})^{\beta\alpha} = \varepsilon^{\alpha\gamma}\varepsilon^{\beta\delta}(\gamma_{\hat{a}})_{\gamma\delta} . \quad (3.23b)$$

---

<sup>16</sup>Our notation and conventions coincide with those in [59].

Spinor indices are raised and lowered using the  $\mathrm{SL}(2, \mathbb{R})$  invariant tensors  $\varepsilon^{\alpha\beta}$  and  $\varepsilon_{\alpha\beta}$ , satisfying

$$\varepsilon^{\alpha\beta} = -\varepsilon^{\beta\alpha}, \quad \varepsilon^{12} = -\varepsilon_{12} = 1, \quad (3.24)$$

by the rule

$$\psi^\alpha = \varepsilon^{\alpha\beta} \psi_\beta, \quad \psi_\alpha = \varepsilon_{\alpha\beta} \psi^\beta. \quad (3.25)$$

A four-vector  $V_a$  can then be written as

$$V_{\alpha\dot{\beta}} = V^a(\sigma_a)_{\alpha\dot{\beta}} \longrightarrow V_{\alpha\beta} + i\varepsilon_{\alpha\beta}V_z, \quad V_{\alpha\beta} := V_{\hat{a}}(\gamma^{\hat{a}})_{\alpha\beta}, \quad (3.26a)$$

$$V^{\dot{\alpha}\beta} = V^a(\tilde{\sigma}_a)^{\dot{\alpha}\beta} \longrightarrow V^{\alpha\beta} + i\varepsilon^{\alpha\beta}V_z, \quad V^{\alpha\beta} := V_{\hat{a}}(\gamma^{\hat{a}})^{\alpha\beta}. \quad (3.26b)$$

The flat spinor covariant derivatives then take the form

$$D_\alpha^i = \frac{\partial}{\partial\theta_i^\alpha} + i(\gamma^{\hat{m}})_{\alpha\beta}\bar{\theta}^{\beta i}\partial_{\hat{m}} - \bar{\theta}_\alpha^i\partial_z, \quad (3.27a)$$

$$\bar{D}_{\alpha i} = -\frac{\partial}{\partial\theta^{\alpha i}} - i(\gamma^{\hat{m}})_{\alpha\beta}\theta_i^\beta\partial_{\hat{m}} - \theta_{\alpha i}\partial_z, \quad (3.27b)$$

and they satisfy the anticommutation relations

$$\{D_\alpha^i, D_\beta^j\} = \{\bar{D}_{\alpha i}, \bar{D}_{\beta j}\} = 0, \quad \{D_\alpha^i, \bar{D}_{\beta j}\} = -2i\delta_j^i(\gamma^{\hat{m}})_{\alpha\beta}\partial_{\hat{m}} + 2\delta_j^i\varepsilon_{\alpha\beta}\partial_z. \quad (3.27c)$$

Finally, let us introduce the chiral coordinate  $z_L := z - \theta_k^\alpha\bar{\theta}_\alpha^k$ ,  $\bar{D}_{\alpha i}z_L = 0$ .

Given the form of the spacetime metric (3.22), we seek a solution to the constraints (3.13b) and (3.13c) that is a function of the chiral coordinates  $z_L$  and  $\theta_i^\alpha$ . The most general ansatz is then

$$e^\sigma = \sum_{n=0}^{\mathcal{N}} A_n^{i_1 i_2 \dots i_{2n-1} i_{2n}}(z_L) \theta_{i_1 i_2} \dots \theta_{i_{2n-1} i_{2n}}. \quad (3.28)$$

It is an instructive exercise to check that the constraints are solved by

$$e^\sigma = |s|z_L + s^{ij}\theta_{ij}, \quad |s|^2 := \frac{1}{\mathcal{N}}s^{ij}\bar{s}_{ij} > 0, \quad (3.29)$$

for a constant symmetric tensor  $s^{ij}$ . The most general solution to the constraints proves to be at most quadratic in  $\theta$ 's.

Similar to the stereographic case, evaluating the torsion superfield  $S^{ij}$  yields

$$S^{ij} = s^{ij} + \mathcal{O}(\theta). \quad (3.30)$$

## 4 Discussion

In this paper we have elucidated the relationship between the two approaches to  $\mathcal{N}$ -extended AdS superspace developed in our previous work [2]: (i) the embedding formalism; and (ii) the supergravity-inspired framework. In the supergravity-inspired framework, the AdS supergeometry is naturally realised as a superspace with local  $\mathrm{SL}(2, \mathbb{C}) \times \mathrm{SU}(\mathcal{N})$  symmetry, and is determined in terms of a covariantly constant complex isotensor  $S^{ij}$ . As discussed in section 3.1,  $S^{ij}$  can be brought to the form  $S^{ij} = \delta^{ij}S$  by making use of a local  $\mathrm{U}(\mathcal{N})$  transformation.<sup>17</sup> The structure group is then reduced to  $\mathrm{SL}(2, \mathbb{C}) \times \mathrm{O}(\mathcal{N})$ , and the resulting algebra of covariant derivatives, eq. (3.10), coincides with that arising from the embedding formalism [2]. This provides the precise correspondence between the two approaches.

In addition to this, we have also derived two explicit realisations of  $\mathrm{AdS}^{4|4\mathcal{N}}$  as a conformally flat superspace, thus extending the earlier results for  $\mathrm{AdS}^{4|4}$  [15],  $\mathrm{AdS}^{4|8}$  [56, 59],  $(p, q)$  AdS superspaces in three dimensions [3, 57], and  $\mathcal{N} = 1$  AdS superspace in five dimensions  $\mathrm{AdS}^{5|8}$  [60]. All of these explicit results in diverse dimensions were obtained using supergravity techniques.

### 4.1 Conformal flatness

The supergravity-inspired framework introduced in [2] and expanded upon in this work provides a powerful geometric formalism to study field theory in conformally flat superspace. This approach is based on the concept of  $\mathcal{N}$ -extended conformal superspace with flat connection [30] and can be used to describe every conformally flat superspace. Starting from conformal superspace and then degauging to the  $\mathrm{SU}(\mathcal{N})$  superspace setting, a curved supergeometry is conformally flat if the gauge freedom may be used to bring the covariant derivatives to the form (1.3). The chiral super-Weyl factor  $e^\sigma$  corresponding to  $\mathrm{AdS}^{4|4\mathcal{N}}$  must be a solution to the differential constraints (3.13b) and (3.13c), while the remaining covariantly constant torsion tensor  $S^{ij}$  is determined by (3.13a). We have provided two explicit solutions to the constraints, which are described in section 3.2 and 3.3.

The fact that  $\mathrm{AdS}^{4|4\mathcal{N}}$  is conformally flat was studied in [16] as part of their general analysis of the conformal flatness of AdS superspaces with bodies of the form  $\mathrm{AdS}_m \times S^n$ . In the  $\mathcal{N} = 1$  case, the Maurer-Cartan equations corresponding to the coset  $\mathrm{OSp}(1|4; \mathbb{R})/\mathrm{SL}(2, \mathbb{C})$  were solved in [16] to result in the supervielbein (2.29), where  $e^\sigma$  is given by (3.18). To establish the conformal flatness of  $\mathrm{AdS}^{4|4\mathcal{N}}$  for  $\mathcal{N} > 1$ , the authors of [16] developed a new approach based

---

<sup>17</sup>Strictly speaking, this requires us to introduce a flat  $\mathrm{U}(1)$  connection.

on embedding the AdS supergroup  $\text{OSp}(\mathcal{N}|4; \mathbb{R})$  into the  $\mathcal{N}$ -extended superconformal group  $\text{SU}(2, 2|\mathcal{N})$ .<sup>18</sup> Making use of such an embedding allowed the authors of [16] to reconstruct the vector supervielbein  $E^a$  in the conformally flat form (2.40a), where  $e^\sigma$  is given by (3.18). In principle, this approach could also have been used to reconstruct the spinor supervielbein (2.40b) and (2.40c), but this was not done in [16].

Essential use of the superconformal group,  $\text{SU}(2, 2|\mathcal{N})$ , is common for our supergravity-inspired approach and the method proposed in [16]. Conceptually, however, the two approaches are quite distinct.

The specific feature of a conformally flat frame, eq. (1.3), is that the superspace structure group is  $\text{SL}(2, \mathbb{C}) \times \text{SU}(\mathcal{N})$ . On the other hand, within the group-theoretic setting,  $\text{AdS}^{4|4\mathcal{N}}$  is realised as the coset superspace (1.2) with its structure group being  $\text{SL}(2, \mathbb{C}) \times \text{O}(\mathcal{N})$ . This means that the coset construction based on the use of (1.2) is not directly suitable for obtaining a conformally flat realisation in the  $\mathcal{N} > 1$  case. We elaborate on this issue in appendix B.

## 4.2 Applications to superconformal higher-spin multiplets

In the case of  $\text{AdS}^{4|8}$ , the conformally flat frame described in section 3.3 has been used to study the most general  $\mathcal{N} = 2$  supersymmetric nonlinear sigma models in  $\text{AdS}_4$  [59]. Here we sketch another application to the models for superconformal gauge multiplets given first for  $\mathcal{N} = 1$  in [61, 62] and later generalised to arbitrary  $\mathcal{N}$  in [33].<sup>19</sup> These models describe the dynamics of superconformal prepotentials  $\Upsilon_{\alpha(m)\dot{\alpha}(n)}$ ,  $m, n \geq 0$ , in general conformally flat backgrounds. Their actions are expressed in terms of so-called ‘linearised higher-spin super-Weyl tensors’ which play the role of gauge-invariant field strengths. Taking the background to be AdS, one may perform a degauging to write their AdS-specific form. To illustrate this point, we provide them in the  $\mathcal{N} = 1$  and  $\mathcal{N} = 2$  cases. The former take the form

$$\hat{\mathbb{W}}_{\alpha(m+n+1)} = -\frac{1}{4}(\bar{\mathcal{D}}^2 - 4R)\mathcal{D}_{(\alpha_1}{}^{\dot{\beta}_1} \dots \mathcal{D}_{\alpha_n}{}^{\dot{\beta}_n} \mathcal{D}_{\alpha_{n+1}} \Upsilon_{\alpha_{n+2} \dots \alpha_{m+n+1})\dot{\beta}(n)} , \quad (4.1a)$$

$$\check{\mathbb{W}}_{\alpha(m+n+1)} = -\frac{1}{4}(\bar{\mathcal{D}}^2 - 4R)\mathcal{D}_{(\alpha_1}{}^{\dot{\beta}_1} \dots \mathcal{D}_{\alpha_m}{}^{\dot{\beta}_m} \mathcal{D}_{\alpha_{m+1}} \bar{\Upsilon}_{\alpha_{m+2} \dots \alpha_{m+n+1})\dot{\beta}(m)} , \quad (4.1b)$$

while the latter are given by

$$\hat{\mathbb{W}}_{\alpha(m+n+2)} = \frac{1}{48}(\bar{\mathcal{D}}^{ij} + 4\bar{S}^{ij})\bar{\mathcal{D}}_{ij}\mathcal{D}_{(\alpha_1}{}^{\dot{\beta}_1} \dots \mathcal{D}_{\alpha_n}{}^{\dot{\beta}_n} \mathcal{D}_{\alpha_{n+1}}^i \mathcal{D}_{\alpha_{n+2}i} \Upsilon_{\alpha_{n+3} \dots \alpha_{m+n+2})\dot{\beta}(n)} , \quad (4.2a)$$

---

<sup>18</sup>A similar construction was used in section 6.5.5 of [25] to derive the Killing supervectors of  $\text{AdS}^{4|4}$ .

<sup>19</sup>One may also perform an analogous analysis for the  $\mathcal{N} = 2$  superconformal gravitino multiplet proposed in [63], though it will not be considered here.

$$\check{\mathbb{W}}_{\alpha(m+n+2)} = \frac{1}{48}(\bar{\mathcal{D}}^{ij} + 4\bar{S}^{ij})\bar{\mathcal{D}}_{ij}\mathcal{D}_{(\alpha_1}{}^{\dot{\beta}_1} \dots \mathcal{D}_{\alpha_m}{}^{\dot{\beta}_m}\mathcal{D}_{\alpha_{m+1}}^i \mathcal{D}_{\alpha_{m+2}i}\bar{\Upsilon}_{\alpha_{m+3}\dots\alpha_{m+n+2})\dot{\beta}(m)}. \quad (4.2b)$$

Now, by making use of eq. (1.3) and (3.13), the field strengths, and thus the models, can be written in terms of the flat derivatives  $D_A$  provided one appropriately replaces the AdS-specific prepotentials  $\Upsilon_{\alpha(m)\dot{\alpha}(n)}$  with their flat analogues  $\Upsilon_{\alpha(m)\dot{\alpha}(n)}$ . They are related as follows:

$$\Upsilon_{\alpha(m)\dot{\alpha}(n)} = e^{\frac{1}{2}(2-m-2\mathcal{N})\sigma + \frac{1}{2}(2-n-2\mathcal{N})\bar{\sigma}} \Upsilon_{\alpha(m)\dot{\alpha}(n)}. \quad (4.3)$$

Further applications of our approach to field theory in conformally flat backgrounds, which highlight the implications of conformal flatness, are described in appendix C.

### 4.3 New superparticle models in AdS<sup>4|4 $\mathcal{N}$</sup>

The AdS supersymmetric interval  $\eta_{ab}E^aE^b$  has a two-parameter deformation

$$ds^2 = \eta_{ab}E^aE^b + \frac{1}{|S|^2} \left( \omega \varepsilon_{\alpha\beta} S^{ij} E_i^\alpha E_j^\beta + \bar{\omega} \varepsilon^{\dot{\alpha}\dot{\beta}} \bar{S}_{ij} \bar{E}_{\dot{\alpha}}^i \bar{E}_{\dot{\beta}}^j \right), \quad (4.4)$$

with  $\omega$  a dimensionless complex parameter. This can be written as

$$ds^2 = E^A \eta_{AB} E^B, \quad (4.5)$$

where the supermatrix  $\eta_{AB}$  is defined as

$$\eta_{AB} = \left( \begin{array}{c|c|c} \eta_{ab} & 0 & 0 \\ \hline 0 & \frac{\omega}{|S|^2} \varepsilon_{\alpha\beta} S^{ij} & 0 \\ \hline 0 & 0 & \frac{\bar{\omega}}{|S|^2} \varepsilon^{\dot{\alpha}\dot{\beta}} \bar{S}_{ij} \end{array} \right), \quad \text{Ber}(\eta) = - \left( \frac{|S|^2}{|\omega|^2} \right)^{\mathcal{N}}. \quad (4.6)$$

The existence of such a supermetric means that there is a superparticle model

$$S = \frac{1}{2} \int d\tau \epsilon^{-1} \left\{ \dot{E}^A \eta_{AB} \dot{E}^B - (\epsilon m)^2 \right\}, \quad \dot{E}^A = \frac{dz^M}{d\tau} E_M^A, \quad (4.7)$$

where  $\epsilon$  is the einbein and  $m$  the mass. In a conformally flat frame, eq. (2.40), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{E}^A \eta_{AB} \dot{E}^B &= e^{-(\sigma+\bar{\sigma})} \dot{\Pi}^a \dot{\Pi}^b \eta_{ab} \\ &+ \left( \frac{\omega S^{ij}}{|S|^2} e^{-(\frac{\mathcal{N}-2}{\mathcal{N}}\sigma + \frac{2}{\mathcal{N}}\bar{\sigma})} \left( \dot{\theta}_{ij} - \frac{i}{2} \dot{\theta}_i^\alpha \bar{D}_j^{\dot{\alpha}} \bar{\sigma} \dot{\Pi}_{\alpha\dot{\alpha}} - \frac{1}{16} \bar{D}_{\dot{\alpha}i} \bar{\sigma} \bar{D}_j^{\dot{\alpha}} \bar{\sigma} \dot{\Pi}^2 \right) + \text{c.c.} \right), \end{aligned} \quad (4.8)$$

with  $\dot{\Pi}^a := \dot{x}^a + i(\theta_i \sigma^a \dot{\theta}^i - \dot{\theta}_i \sigma^a \bar{\theta}^i)$  and  $\dot{\theta}_{ij} := \dot{\theta}_i^\alpha \dot{\theta}_{\alpha j}$ . It is evident that for  $\omega = 0$  we recover the standard superparticle model which is discussed in the following subsection.<sup>20</sup>

<sup>20</sup>Note that the expression for  $\text{Ber}(\eta)$  in (4.6) is not well-defined for  $\omega = 0$ , see, e.g., [25] for details.

In the embedding formalism, the most general superparticle model quadratic in derivatives of the evolution parameter  $\tau$  is given by the following

$$S = -\frac{1}{2} \int d\tau \mathbf{e}^{-1} \left\{ \alpha \text{Str}(\dot{X}\dot{X}) + \beta \text{Str}(\dot{X}\dot{X}) + \bar{\beta} \text{Str}(\dot{\bar{X}}\dot{\bar{X}}) + (\mathbf{e}m)^2 \right\}, \quad (4.9)$$

where the parameters  $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $\beta \in \mathbb{C}$  are constrained as

$$\alpha - (\beta + \bar{\beta}) = \frac{1}{4}, \quad (4.10)$$

while  $X$  and  $\bar{X}$  are bi-supertwistors of  $\text{AdS}^{4|4\mathcal{N}}$ , see [2] for more details on the bi-supertwistor construction. The parameters are constrained in such a way that the model coincides with the bosonic one when the Grassmann variables are switched off, and the choice  $\beta = i\mu$ ,  $\mu \in \mathbb{R}$  yields the model proposed in [2].<sup>21</sup> In the north chart, the structures present in (4.9) take the form

$$\text{Str}(\dot{X}\dot{X}) = -4e^{-(\sigma+\bar{\sigma})}\dot{\Pi}^2, \quad (4.11a)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Str}(\dot{X}\dot{X}) &= 4e^{-(\sigma+\bar{\sigma})}\dot{\Pi}^2(1 - x_-^2 - 2\bar{\theta}^2 + e^{\bar{\sigma}-\sigma}x_+^2) \\ &\quad + 16e^{-\sigma}\dot{\theta}_{I\alpha}\Pi^{\dot{\alpha}\alpha}\left(e^{-\sigma}x_+^a(\theta_I\sigma_a)_{\dot{\alpha}} + i\bar{\theta}_{I\dot{\alpha}}(1 + e^{-\sigma}x_+^2)\right) \\ &\quad + 8e^{-\sigma}\dot{\theta}_{IJ}\left(\delta_{IJ} - 4e^{-\sigma}\theta_{IJ} + 4\bar{\theta}_{IJ}(1 + e^{-\sigma}x_+^2) - 8ie^{-\sigma}x_+^a(\theta_I\sigma_a\bar{\theta}_J)\right), \end{aligned} \quad (4.11b)$$

with  $\dot{\theta}_{IJ} := \dot{\theta}_I^\alpha \dot{\theta}_{J\alpha}$ . Making use of the expressions (4.11) and the results of appendix B, actions (4.7) and (4.9) can be shown to coincide to leading order in the north chart provided one fixes

$$\beta = \frac{\omega}{4|S|^2}. \quad (4.12)$$

#### 4.4 $\kappa$ -symmetry of the superparticle

Any conformally flat frame for  $\text{AdS}^{4|4\mathcal{N}}$  has applications to massless superparticle models, in the spirit of [16]. In a supergravity background, the model for a massless superparticle is

$$S = \frac{1}{2} \int d\tau \mathbf{e}^{-1} \dot{E}^a \dot{E}^b \eta_{ab}, \quad (4.13)$$

where  $\dot{E}^a$  can be read off from (4.7). In a conformally flat frame the massless superparticle model takes the form

$$S = \frac{1}{2} \int d\tau \mathbf{e}^{-1} e^{-(\sigma+\bar{\sigma})} \dot{\Pi}^a \dot{\Pi}^b \eta_{ab}. \quad (4.14)$$

---

<sup>21</sup>This choice was made such that the  $\mu$ -dependent structures generate no purely bosonic contributions.



As pointed out in [16], such a model is classically equivalent to the massless superparticle model in  $\mathbb{M}^{4|4\mathcal{N}}$  by a simple redefinition of the einbein  $\mathbf{e} \rightarrow \tilde{\mathbf{e}} = e^{(\sigma+\bar{\sigma})}\mathbf{e}$ , therefore this model is invariant under  $\mathcal{N}$ -extended superconformal transformations which scale the flat-superspace interval  $\eta_{ab}\Pi^a\Pi^b$  [64]. However, retaining the conformal factor explicitly has the advantage of keeping the symmetries of the background manifest. In particular, making use of the realisations (3.18) or (3.29), one obtains the massless superparticle in  $\text{AdS}^{4|4\mathcal{N}}$ .

A well-known feature of massless superparticle models is the presence of  $\kappa$ -symmetry, which was introduced in [65]. It was generalised to the superstring in [66], see, e.g., [67] for a review and references. For the flat superparticle, these local transformations take the form

$$\delta\theta_i^\alpha = -i\bar{\kappa}_{\dot{\alpha}i}\dot{\Pi}^{\dot{\alpha}\alpha}, \quad \delta\bar{\theta}^{i\dot{\alpha}} = i\dot{\Pi}^{\dot{\alpha}\alpha}\kappa_\alpha^i, \quad (4.15a)$$

$$\delta x^m = \left( \theta_i^\alpha (\sigma^m)_{\alpha\dot{\alpha}} \dot{\Pi}^{\dot{\alpha}\beta} \kappa_\beta^i + \bar{\kappa}_{\dot{\alpha}i} \dot{\Pi}^{\dot{\alpha}\alpha} (\sigma^m)_{\alpha\dot{\beta}} \bar{\theta}^{i\dot{\beta}} \right), \quad (4.15b)$$

$$\delta\mathbf{e} = -4\mathbf{e} \left( \dot{\theta}_i^\alpha \kappa_\alpha^i + \dot{\bar{\theta}}_i^{\dot{\alpha}} \bar{\kappa}_{\dot{\alpha}}^i \right), \quad (4.15c)$$

where  $\kappa_\alpha^i = \kappa_\alpha^i(\tau)$  is Grassmann-odd. This symmetry can be extended to conformally flat backgrounds by deforming the transformation (4.15c) to the following

$$\delta\mathbf{e} = -4\mathbf{e} \left( \dot{\theta}_i^\alpha \kappa_\alpha^i + \dot{\bar{\theta}}_i^{\dot{\alpha}} \bar{\kappa}_{\dot{\alpha}}^i - \frac{i}{4} \bar{\kappa}_{\dot{\alpha}i} \dot{\Pi}^{\dot{\alpha}\beta} D_\beta^i \sigma + \frac{i}{4} \bar{D}_{\dot{\alpha}i} \bar{\sigma} \dot{\Pi}^{\dot{\alpha}\beta} \kappa_\beta^i \right). \quad (4.16)$$

As a result, the models (4.7) and (4.9) are  $\kappa$ -symmetric in the  $m = \omega = 0$  case.

### Acknowledgements:

We are grateful to Igor Bandos, Dmitri Sorokin and Gabriele Tartaglino-Mazzucchelli for valuable feedback. The work of NEK is supported by the Australian Government Research Training Program Scholarship. The work of SMK is supported in part by the Australian Research Council, project No. DP230101629. The work of ESNR is supported by the Brian Dunlop Physics Fellowship.

## A The $\mathcal{N}$ -extended superconformal algebra

In this appendix, we spell out our conventions for the  $\mathcal{N}$ -extended superconformal algebra of Minkowski superspace [68],  $\mathfrak{su}(2, 2|\mathcal{N})$ . Our normalisation of the generators of  $\mathfrak{su}(2, 2|\mathcal{N})$  is similar to [69]. For comprehensive discussions of the superconformal transformations in superspace, see, e.g., [64, 70–73].

The conformal algebra,  $\mathfrak{su}(2, 2)$ , consists of the translation ( $P_a$ ), Lorentz ( $M_{ab}$ ), special conformal ( $K_a$ ) and dilatation ( $\mathbb{D}$ ) generators. Amongst themselves, they obey the algebra

$$[M_{ab}, M_{cd}] = 2\eta_{c[a}M_{b]d} - 2\eta_{d[a}M_{b]c}, \quad (A.1a)$$

$$[M_{ab}, P_c] = 2\eta_{c[a}P_{b]} , \quad [\mathbb{D}, P_a] = P_a , \quad (\text{A.1b})$$

$$[M_{ab}, K_c] = 2\eta_{c[a}K_{b]} , \quad [\mathbb{D}, K_a] = -K_a , \quad (\text{A.1c})$$

$$[K_a, P_b] = 2\eta_{ab}\mathbb{D} + 2M_{ab} . \quad (\text{A.1d})$$

The  $R$ -symmetry group  $U(\mathcal{N})_R$  is generated by the  $U(1)_R$  ( $\mathbb{Y}$ ) and  $SU(\mathcal{N})_R$  ( $\mathbb{J}^i_j$ ) generators, which commute with all elements of the conformal algebra. Amongst themselves, they obey the commutation relations

$$[\mathbb{J}^i_j, \mathbb{J}^k_l] = \delta_l^i \mathbb{J}^k_j - \delta_j^k \mathbb{J}^i_l . \quad (\text{A.2})$$

The superconformal algebra is then obtained by extending the translation generator to  $P_A = (P_a, Q_\alpha^i, \bar{Q}_i^{\dot{\alpha}})$  and the special conformal generator to  $K^A = (K^a, S_i^\alpha, \bar{S}_\alpha^i)$ . The commutation relations involving the  $Q$ -supersymmetry generators with the bosonic ones are:

$$[M_{ab}, Q_\gamma^i] = (\sigma_{ab})_\gamma^\delta Q_\delta^i , \quad [M_{ab}, \bar{Q}_i^{\dot{\gamma}}] = (\tilde{\sigma}_{ab})^{\dot{\gamma}}_{\dot{\delta}} \bar{Q}_i^{\dot{\delta}} , \quad (\text{A.3a})$$

$$[\mathbb{D}, Q_\alpha^i] = \frac{1}{2} Q_\alpha^i , \quad [\mathbb{D}, \bar{Q}_i^{\dot{\alpha}}] = \frac{1}{2} \bar{Q}_i^{\dot{\alpha}} , \quad (\text{A.3b})$$

$$[\mathbb{Y}, Q_\alpha^i] = \frac{4 - \mathcal{N}}{\mathcal{N}} Q_\alpha^i , \quad [\mathbb{Y}, \bar{Q}_i^{\dot{\alpha}}] = \frac{\mathcal{N} - 4}{\mathcal{N}} \bar{Q}_i^{\dot{\alpha}} , \quad (\text{A.3c})$$

$$[\mathbb{J}^i_j, Q_\alpha^k] = -\delta_j^k Q_\alpha^i + \frac{1}{\mathcal{N}} \delta_j^i Q_\alpha^k , \quad [\mathbb{J}^i_j, \bar{Q}_k^{\dot{\alpha}}] = \delta_k^i \bar{Q}_j^{\dot{\alpha}} - \frac{1}{\mathcal{N}} \delta_j^i \bar{Q}_k^{\dot{\alpha}} , \quad (\text{A.3d})$$

$$[K^a, Q_\beta^i] = -i(\sigma^a)_{\beta}^{\dot{\beta}} \bar{S}_i^{\dot{\beta}} , \quad [K^a, \bar{Q}_i^{\dot{\beta}}] = -i(\sigma^a)^{\dot{\beta}}_{\beta} S_i^\beta . \quad (\text{A.3e})$$

At the same time, the commutation relations involving the  $S$ -supersymmetry generators with the bosonic operators are:

$$[M_{ab}, S_i^\gamma] = -(\sigma_{ab})_\beta^\gamma S_i^\beta , \quad [M_{ab}, \bar{S}_i^{\dot{\gamma}}] = -(\tilde{\sigma}_{ab})^{\dot{\beta}}_{\dot{\gamma}} \bar{S}_i^{\dot{\beta}} , \quad (\text{A.4a})$$

$$[\mathbb{D}, S_i^\alpha] = -\frac{1}{2} S_i^\alpha , \quad [\mathbb{D}, \bar{S}_\alpha^i] = -\frac{1}{2} \bar{S}_\alpha^i , \quad (\text{A.4b})$$

$$[\mathbb{Y}, S_i^\alpha] = \frac{\mathcal{N} - 4}{\mathcal{N}} S_i^\alpha , \quad [\mathbb{Y}, \bar{S}_\alpha^i] = \frac{4 - \mathcal{N}}{\mathcal{N}} \bar{S}_\alpha^i , \quad (\text{A.4c})$$

$$[\mathbb{J}^i_j, S_k^\alpha] = \delta_k^i S_j^\alpha - \frac{1}{\mathcal{N}} \delta_j^i S_k^\alpha , \quad [\mathbb{J}^i_j, \bar{S}_\alpha^k] = -\delta_j^k \bar{S}_\alpha^i + \frac{1}{\mathcal{N}} \delta_j^i \bar{S}_\alpha^k , \quad (\text{A.4d})$$

$$[S_i^\alpha, P_b] = i(\sigma_b)^\alpha_{\dot{\beta}} \bar{Q}_i^{\dot{\beta}} , \quad [\bar{S}_\alpha^i, P_b] = i(\sigma_b)_{\dot{\alpha}}^{\beta} Q_\beta^i . \quad (\text{A.4e})$$

Finally, the anti-commutation relations of the fermionic generators are:

$$\{Q_\alpha^i, \bar{Q}_j^{\dot{\alpha}}\} = -2i\delta_j^i (\sigma^b)_\alpha^{\dot{\alpha}} P_b = -2i\delta_j^i P_\alpha^{\dot{\alpha}} , \quad (\text{A.5a})$$

$$\{S_i^\alpha, \bar{S}_\alpha^j\} = 2i\delta_i^j (\sigma^b)^\alpha_{\dot{\alpha}} K_b = 2i\delta_i^j K^\alpha_{\dot{\alpha}} , \quad (\text{A.5b})$$

$$\{S_i^\alpha, Q_\beta^j\} = \delta_i^j \delta_\beta^\alpha (2\mathbb{D} - \mathbb{Y}) - 4\delta_i^j M^\alpha{}_\beta + 4\delta_\beta^\alpha \mathbb{J}^j{}_i, \quad (\text{A.5c})$$

$$\{\bar{S}_\alpha^i, \bar{Q}_j^{\dot{\beta}}\} = \delta_j^i \delta_\alpha^{\dot{\beta}} (2\mathbb{D} + \mathbb{Y}) + 4\delta_j^i \bar{M}_\alpha{}^{\dot{\beta}} - 4\delta_\alpha^{\dot{\beta}} \mathbb{J}^i{}_j. \quad (\text{A.5d})$$

We emphasise that all (anti-)commutators not listed above vanish identically. Additionally, for  $\mathcal{N} = 4$ , the  $\text{U}(1)_R$  generator  $\mathbb{Y}$  is a central charge and may be quotiented out.

## B Coset construction

As mentioned in the introduction, the four-dimensional  $\mathcal{N}$ -extended AdS superspace can be realised as the coset superspace (1.2). A key role in the study of  $\text{AdS}^{4|4\mathcal{N}}$  as a homogeneous space is played by a local coset representative,  $S$ , which is an injective map  $S : U \rightarrow \text{OSp}(\mathcal{N}|4; \mathbb{R})$ , defined for every chart  $U$  of the atlas on  $\text{AdS}^{4|4\mathcal{N}}$  chosen, with the property  $\pi \circ S = \text{id}_U$ , where  $\pi$  denotes the natural (canonical) projection  $\pi : \text{OSp}(\mathcal{N}|4; \mathbb{R}) \rightarrow \text{AdS}^{4|4\mathcal{N}} = \text{OSp}(\mathcal{N}|4; \mathbb{R}) / [\text{SL}(2, \mathbb{C}) \times \text{O}(\mathcal{N})]$ . The atlas introduced in [2] consists of two charts.<sup>22</sup> Making use of the coset representative, one can introduce the left-invariant Maurer-Cartan form,

$$\omega = S^{-1} dS, \quad (\text{B.1})$$

which proves to encode the geometry of  $\text{AdS}^{4|4\mathcal{N}}$  and takes its values in the AdS superalgebra,  $\mathfrak{osp}(\mathcal{N}|4; \mathbb{R})$ . The AdS superalgebra consists of supertranslation generators  $W_A = (P_a, q_{I\alpha}, \bar{q}_I^{\dot{\alpha}})$ , Lorentz generators  $M_{ab}$ , and  $\text{SO}(\mathcal{N})$  generators  $\mathcal{J}_{IJ}$ , and the Maurer-Cartan form can be decomposed as the sum

$$\omega = \mathbf{E} + \mathbf{\Omega}, \quad (\text{B.2})$$

where  $\mathbf{E} = \mathbf{E}^A W_A$  is the supervielbein and  $\mathbf{\Omega}$  is the connection, see [2] for details.<sup>23</sup>

In [2], two coordinate charts were introduced which naturally generalise stereographic coordinates. We will consider the geometry in the north chart, which is parametrised by chiral coordinates  $x_+^m = x^m + i\theta_I \sigma^m \bar{\theta}_I$  and  $\theta_I^\mu$ . It is useful to introduce the following notation

$$\hat{\theta} = (\theta_{IJ}), \quad \theta_{IJ} = \theta_I^\mu \theta_{J\mu} = \theta_{JI}, \quad \bar{\theta}_{IJ} = \bar{\theta}_{I\dot{\mu}} \bar{\theta}_{J\dot{\mu}}, \quad \bar{\theta}_{IJ} = \overline{\theta_{IJ}}, \quad (\text{B.3})$$

---

<sup>22</sup>Given a homogeneous space  $G/H$  and  $\pi : G \rightarrow G/H$  the corresponding natural projection, a global cross section  $S : G/H \rightarrow G$  exists if the fibre bundle  $(G, G/H, \pi, H)$  is trivial, see, e.g., [74]. Global cross-sections exist, e.g., for  $\mathbb{M}^d = \text{IO}(d-1, 1)/\text{O}(d-1, 1)$  and  $\text{AdS}_d = \text{O}(d-1, 2)/\text{O}(d-1, 1)$ .

<sup>23</sup>Capital Latin letters  $I, J, \dots$  denote  $\text{SO}(\mathcal{N})$  indices and are raised and lowered with  $\delta^{IJ}$  and  $\delta_{IJ}$ .

and the first-order operators

$$D_{\mu I} = \partial_{\mu I} + i(\sigma^m)_{\mu\dot{\mu}} \bar{\theta}_I^{\dot{\mu}} \partial_m, \quad (\text{B.4a})$$

$$\bar{D}_{\dot{\mu} I} = -\bar{\partial}_{\dot{\mu} I} - i\theta_I^\mu (\sigma^m)_{\mu\dot{\mu}} \partial_m. \quad (\text{B.4b})$$

The differential operators (B.4) mimic the flat superspace covariant derivatives but carry an  $\text{SO}(\mathcal{N})$  index as opposed to an  $\text{SU}(\mathcal{N})$  index.

Making use of these definitions, for the vector component of the supervielbein we find

$$\mathbf{E}^a = \lambda \bar{\lambda} \mathbf{\Pi}^m \delta_m^a, \quad \mathbf{\Pi}^m = dx^m + i(\theta_I \sigma^m d\bar{\theta}_I - d\theta_I \sigma^m \bar{\theta}_I), \quad (\text{B.5})$$

where the chiral superfield  $\lambda$  is defined as

$$\lambda = (1 - x_+^2 - 2\delta^{IJ} \theta_{IJ})^{-\frac{1}{2}}, \quad \bar{D}_{\dot{\mu} I} \lambda = 0. \quad (\text{B.6})$$

Making use of eq. (3.18), we see that  $\lambda = e^{-\frac{1}{2}\sigma}$  provided one fixes  $s^{ij} = -2\delta^{ij}$ , as described in section 3. The spinor component of the supervielbein was provided in [2] only for the  $\mathcal{N} = 1$  case. For arbitrary  $\mathcal{N}$  it is given by the following

$$\mathbf{E}_I^\alpha = e^{-\frac{1}{2}\sigma} (U^{-1})_{IJ} \left( d\theta_K^\alpha (\delta_{JK} + \theta_J^\nu D_{\nu K} \sigma) + \mathbf{\Pi}^{\dot{\nu}\alpha} (-e^{-\sigma} \theta_{J^\nu} (x_+)_{\nu\dot{\nu}} - ie^{-\sigma} \bar{\theta}_{J\dot{\nu}}) \right), \quad (\text{B.7})$$

where  $U = (U_{IJ}) = U^T$  is defined as

$$U = \sqrt{\mathbb{1}_{\mathcal{N}} - 4\Psi}, \quad \Psi = (\Psi_{IJ}) = (\lambda^2 \theta_{IJ} + \bar{\lambda}^2 \bar{\theta}_{IJ}) = \Psi^T. \quad (\text{B.8})$$

We will now compare the present supervielbein, eq. (B.5) and (B.7), with that obtained from the supergravity-inspired approach, eq. (2.40). If the coordinate system described above is conformally flat, then the two should coincide. While this is true for  $\mathcal{N} = 1$ , which was shown in our earlier work [2], this is not expected to be the case for  $\mathcal{N} \geq 2$  as the local  $R$ -symmetry groups differ, which is elaborated upon in section 4. To this end, we will specialise to the  $\mathcal{N} = 2$  case. The spinor component of the conformally flat supervielbein, which may be extracted from (2.40), takes the form

$$E_i^\alpha = e^{-\frac{1}{2}\bar{\sigma}} \left( d\theta_i^\alpha + \frac{i}{4} \bar{D}_{\dot{\alpha} i} \bar{\sigma} \Pi^{\dot{\alpha}\alpha} \right). \quad (\text{B.9})$$

To compare the two expressions (B.7) and (B.9), we first consider the  $d\theta$  terms. On the one-hand, the coefficient  $e^{-\frac{1}{2}\bar{\sigma}}$  in (B.9) is antichiral. On the other hand, expression (B.7) has non-vanishing chiral contributions of the form

$$\Upsilon_{IJ} d\theta_J^\alpha, \quad \bar{D}_{\dot{\alpha} I} \Upsilon_{JK} = 0, \quad (\text{B.10})$$

where  $\Upsilon = (\Upsilon_{IJ})$  is given by

$$\Upsilon = \lambda(\mathbf{1}_2 - 2\lambda^2\hat{\theta} - 2\lambda^4\hat{\theta}^2 - 4\lambda^6\hat{\theta}^3 - 10\lambda^8\hat{\theta}^4). \quad (\text{B.11})$$

The two expressions therefore do not coincide, hence the frame corresponding to (B.5) and (B.7) is not conformally flat.

This analysis makes use of a particular local coset representative, corresponding to the north chart of  $\text{AdS}^{4|8}$ . We will now show that it is independent of the choice of coset representative. Let us denote the north chart by  $U_N$ , and its coset representative  $S_N$ . Consider a generic coset representative  $S'$ , with corresponding coordinate chart  $U'$  such that  $U' \cap U_N = V \neq \emptyset$ . On  $V$ , the two coset representatives are related as follows

$$S' = S_N h^{-1}, \quad h \in \text{SL}(2, \mathbb{C}) \times \text{O}(2). \quad (\text{B.12})$$

The vielbein supermatrices then satisfy

$$\mathbf{E}' = h \mathbf{E} h^{-1}, \quad (\text{B.13})$$

where  $\mathbf{E}'$  is the vielbein supermatrix corresponding to  $U'$ . Since the vector component of the supervielbein, (B.5), is already in conformally flat form, we restrict our attention to those coset representatives related to  $S_N$  by an orthogonal transformation only, in which case the spinor components (B.7) are related as

$$\mathbf{E}'_I{}^\alpha = R_{IJ} \mathbf{E}_J{}^\alpha, \quad R^T R = \mathbf{1}_2, \quad R = \bar{R}. \quad (\text{B.14})$$

Now let us assume that  $\mathbf{E}'_I{}^\alpha$  is in conformally flat form, (B.9). This means that the matrix  $\tilde{R}$  relating (B.7) and (B.9) satisfies the conditions (B.14). However, direct comparison of the  $d\theta$  terms in both expressions shows that  $\tilde{R}$  is necessarily complex, hence they are not related by the rule (B.14). It follows that  $\mathbf{E}'_I{}^\alpha$  cannot be in conformally flat form.

One can consider instead the following more general condition on the matrices  $R$  in (B.14)

$$R^\dagger R = \mathbf{1}_2, \quad (\text{B.15})$$

as the conformally flat form of (B.5) is unspilt by such a transformation. It can be shown that the matrix  $\tilde{R}$  satisfies

$$\tilde{R}^\dagger \tilde{R} = \mathbf{1}_2 + \mathcal{O}(\theta), \quad (\text{B.16})$$

and hence is not unitary.

## C Implications of conformal flatness

In the conformally flat frame (1.3), many important relations in supergravity drastically simplify. As an illustration, here we concentrate on the  $\mathcal{N} = 2$  case. It follows from the relations (2.39d) and (2.39e) that

$$S^{ij} = -\frac{1}{4}e^{\bar{\sigma}} \left( D^{ij}\sigma - D^{\alpha(i}\sigma D_{\alpha}^{j)}\sigma \right), \quad (\text{C.1a})$$

$$Y_{\alpha\beta} = -\frac{1}{4}e^{\bar{\sigma}} \left( D_{\alpha}^i D_{\beta i}\sigma + D_{\alpha}^i \sigma D_{\beta i}\sigma \right). \quad (\text{C.1b})$$

Of special significance in  $\mathcal{N} = 2$  supergravity is the chiral projection operator [75, 76]

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{\Delta} &= \frac{1}{96} \left( (\bar{\mathcal{D}}^{ij} + 16\bar{S}^{ij})\bar{\mathcal{D}}_{ij} - (\bar{\mathcal{D}}^{\dot{\alpha}\dot{\beta}} - 16\bar{Y}^{\dot{\alpha}\dot{\beta}})\bar{\mathcal{D}}_{\dot{\alpha}\dot{\beta}} \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{96} \left( \bar{\mathcal{D}}_{ij}(\bar{\mathcal{D}}^{ij} + 16\bar{S}^{ij}) - \bar{\mathcal{D}}_{\dot{\alpha}\dot{\beta}}(\bar{\mathcal{D}}^{\dot{\alpha}\dot{\beta}} - 16\bar{Y}^{\dot{\alpha}\dot{\beta}}) \right), \end{aligned} \quad (\text{C.2})$$

with  $\bar{\mathcal{D}}^{\dot{\alpha}\dot{\beta}} := \bar{\mathcal{D}}_k^{(\dot{\alpha}}\bar{\mathcal{D}}^{\dot{\beta})k}$ . Its fundamental properties are the following:

$$\bar{\mathcal{D}}_i^{\dot{\alpha}}\bar{\Delta}U = 0, \quad (\text{C.3a})$$

$$\int d^4x d^4\theta d^4\bar{\theta} E U = \int d^4x d^4\theta \mathcal{E} \bar{\Delta}U. \quad (\text{C.3b})$$

Here  $U$  is an arbitrary super-Weyl inert scalar superfield,  $E = \text{Ber}(E_M^A)$ , and  $\mathcal{E}$  is the chiral integration measure. In the conformally flat frame, it holds that

$$E = 1, \quad \mathcal{E} = e^{-2\sigma}, \quad (\text{C.4a})$$

$$\bar{\Delta} = e^{2\sigma}\bar{D}^4, \quad \bar{D}^4 = \frac{1}{48}\bar{D}^{ij}\bar{D}_{ij}. \quad (\text{C.4b})$$

Let us consider the  $\mathcal{N} = 2$  Gauss-Bonnet topological invariant<sup>24</sup> [77]

$$S_{\chi} = - \int d^4x d^4\theta \mathcal{E} \left\{ W^{\alpha\beta}W_{\alpha\beta} - \Xi \right\}, \quad (\text{C.5})$$

where  $W_{\alpha\beta}$  is the super-Weyl tensor, and  $\Xi$  denotes the following chiral descendant of the torsion tensors:

$$\Xi := \frac{1}{6}\bar{\mathcal{D}}^{ij}\bar{S}_{ij} + \bar{S}^{ij}\bar{S}_{ij} + \bar{Y}_{\dot{\alpha}\dot{\beta}}\bar{Y}^{\dot{\alpha}\dot{\beta}}, \quad \bar{\mathcal{D}}_i^{\dot{\alpha}}\Xi = 0. \quad (\text{C.6})$$

For every conformally flat superspace,  $W_{\alpha\beta} = 0$ . In the conformally flat frame, one may show that

$$\Xi = -2e^{2\sigma}\bar{D}^4\bar{\sigma}. \quad (\text{C.7})$$

---

<sup>24</sup>At the component level,  $S_{\chi}$  is a combination of the Gauss-Bonnet and Pontryagin invariants.

The topological nature of  $S_\chi$  now becomes trivial

$$-\frac{1}{2}S_\chi = \int d^4x d^4\theta \bar{D}^4\bar{\sigma} = \int d^4x d^4\theta d^4\bar{\theta} \bar{\sigma} = \int d^4x d^4\bar{\theta} D^4\bar{\sigma} = 0 . \quad (\text{C.8})$$

Finally, let us consider the nonlocal effective action generating the super-Weyl anomaly [78]

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma = & -\frac{1}{2}(c-a) \int d^4x d^4\theta \mathcal{E} \int d^4x' d^4\theta' \mathcal{E}' W^{\alpha\beta}(z) W_{\alpha\beta}(z) G_{+-}(z, z') \bar{\Xi}(z') + \text{c.c.} \\ & -\frac{1}{2}a \int d^4x d^4\theta \mathcal{E} \int d^4x' d^4\theta' \mathcal{E}' \Xi(z) G_{+-}(z, z') \bar{\Xi}(z') , \end{aligned} \quad (\text{C.9})$$

with  $a, c$  the anomaly coefficients. The effective action involves two scalar Green's functions  $G_{+-}(z, z')$  and  $G_{-+}(z, z')$  that are related to each other by the rule

$$G_{+-}(z, z') = G_{-+}(z', z) \quad (\text{C.10})$$

and obey the following conditions:

1. the two-point function  $G_{-+}(z, z')$  is covariantly antichiral in  $z$  and chiral in  $z'$ ,

$$\mathcal{D}_\alpha^i G_{-+}(z, z') = 0 , \quad \bar{\mathcal{D}}_i^{\dot{\alpha}} G_{-+}(z, z') = 0 ; \quad (\text{C.11})$$

2. the two-point function  $G_{-+}(z, z')$  satisfies the differential equation

$$\bar{\Delta} G_{-+}(z, z') = \delta_+(z, z') . \quad (\text{C.12})$$

Here we have used the chiral delta-function

$$\delta_+(z, z') := \bar{\Delta} \left\{ E^{-1} \delta^4(x - x') \delta^4(\theta - \theta') \delta^4(\bar{\theta} - \bar{\theta}') \right\} = \delta_+(z', z) , \quad (\text{C.13})$$

which is covariantly chiral with respect to each of its arguments,

$$\bar{\mathcal{D}}_i^{\dot{\alpha}} \delta_+(z, z') = 0 , \quad \bar{\mathcal{D}}_i^{\dot{\alpha}} \delta_+(z, z') = 0 . \quad (\text{C.14})$$

Its key property is

$$\Psi(z) = \int d^4x' d^4\theta' \mathcal{E}' \delta_+(z, z') \Psi(z') , \quad \bar{\mathcal{D}}_i^{\dot{\alpha}} \Psi = 0 , \quad (\text{C.15})$$

for any covariantly chiral scalar  $\Psi$ .

In the conformally flat frame, effective action (C.9) reduces to

$$\Gamma = -2a \int d^4x d^4\theta d^4\bar{\theta} \bar{\sigma} \sigma , \quad (\text{C.16})$$

and becomes a local functional.

# References

- [1] S. M. Kuzenko and G. Tartaglino-Mazzucchelli, “Supertwistor realisations of AdS superspaces,” *Eur. Phys. J. C* **82**, no.2, 146 (2022) [arXiv:2108.03907 [hep-th]].
- [2] N. E. Koning, S. M. Kuzenko and E. S. N. Raptakis, “Embedding formalism for  $\mathcal{N}$ -extended AdS superspace in four dimensions,” *JHEP* **11** (2023), 063 [arXiv:2308.04135 [hep-th]].
- [3] S. M. Kuzenko and K. Turner, “Embedding formalism for  $(p, q)$  AdS superspaces in three dimensions,” *JHEP* **06**, 142 (2023) [arXiv:2303.03082 [hep-th]].
- [4] N. E. Koning and S. M. Kuzenko, “Embedding formalism for AdS superspaces in five dimensions,” [arXiv:2406.10875 [hep-th]].
- [5] D. P. Sorokin, “Superbranes and superembeddings,” *Phys. Rept.* **329**, 1 (2000) [hep-th/9906142].
- [6] I. A. Bandos and D. P. Sorokin, “Superembedding approach to superstrings and super-p-branes,” in: *Handbook of Quantum Gravity*, C. Bambi, L. Modesto, I. Shapiro, I. (Eds.) Springer, Singapore (2023), [arXiv:2301.10668 [hep-th]].
- [7] V. G. Kac, ”Classification of simple Lie superalgebras,” *Functional Anal. Appl.* **9**, 263 (1975).
- [8] P. G. O. Freund and I. Kaplansky, “Simple supersymmetries,” *J. Math. Phys.* **17**, 228 (1976).
- [9] W. Nahm, V. Rittenberg and M. Scheunert, “The classification of graded Lie Algebras,” *Phys. Lett. B* **61**, 383 (1976).
- [10] M. Scheunert, W. Nahm and V. Rittenberg, “Classification of all simple graded Lie algebras whose Lie algebra is reductive. 1.,” *J. Math. Phys.* **17**, 1626 (1976); “Classification of all simple graded Lie algebras whose Lie algebra is reductive. 2. Construction of the exceptional algebras,” *J. Math. Phys.* **17**, 1640 (1976).
- [11] M. Scheunert, W. Nahm and V. Rittenberg, “Graded Lie algebras: Generalization of Hermitian representations,” *J. Math. Phys.* **18**, 146 (1977).
- [12] V. Rittenberg and M. Scheunert, “Elementary construction of graded Lie groups,” *J. Math. Phys.* **19**, 709 (1978).
- [13] B. W. Keck, “An alternative class of supersymmetries,” *J. Phys. A* **8**, 1819 (1975).
- [14] B. Zumino, “Nonlinear realization of supersymmetry in de Sitter space,” *Nucl. Phys. B* **127**, 189 (1977).
- [15] E. A. Ivanov and A. S. Sorin, “Superfield formulation of  $OSp(1,4)$  supersymmetry,” *J. Phys. A* **13** (1980) 1159.
- [16] I. A. Bandos, E. Ivanov, J. Lukierski and D. Sorokin, “On the superconformal flatness of AdS superspaces,” *JHEP* **06**, 040 (2002) [arXiv:hep-th/0205104 [hep-th]].
- [17] I. A. Bandos, J. Lukierski and D. P. Sorokin, “Superparticle models with tensorial central charges,” *Phys. Rev. D* **61**, 045002 (2000) [arXiv:hep-th/9904109 [hep-th]].
- [18] I. A. Bandos, J. Lukierski, C. Preitschopf and D. P. Sorokin, “ $OSp$  supergroup manifolds, superparticles and supertwistors,” *Phys. Rev. D* **61**, 065009 (2000) [arXiv:hep-th/9907113 [hep-th]].



- [19] W. Siegel, “A polynomial action for a massive, self-interacting chiral superfield coupled to supergravity,” Harvard preprint HUTP-77/A077 (Dec., 1977).
- [20] J. Wess and B. Zumino, “Superfield Lagrangian for supergravity,” *Phys. Lett. B* **74**, 51 (1978).
- [21] K. S. Stelle and P. C. West, “Minimal auxiliary fields for supergravity,” *Phys. Lett. B* **74**, 330 (1978).
- [22] S. Ferrara and P. van Nieuwenhuizen, “The auxiliary fields of supergravity,” *Phys. Lett. B* **74**, 333 (1978).
- [23] P. K. Townsend, “Cosmological constant in supergravity,” *Phys. Rev. D* **15**, 2802 (1977); M. Kaku and P. K. Townsend, “Poincaré supergravity as broken superconformal gravity,” *Phys. Lett. B* **76**, 54 (1978).
- [24] S. J. Gates, Jr., M. T. Grisaru, M. Roček and W. Siegel, *Superspace, or One Thousand and One Lessons in Supersymmetry*, *Front. Phys.* **58**, 1 (1983) [arXiv:hep-th/0108200].
- [25] I. L. Buchbinder and S. M. Kuzenko, *Ideas and Methods of Supersymmetry and Supergravity or a Walk Through Superspace*, IOP, Bristol, 1995 (Revised Edition: 1998).
- [26] D. Butter and S. M. Kuzenko, “A dual formulation of supergravity-matter theories,” *Nucl. Phys. B* **854**, 1 (2012) [arXiv:1106.3038 [hep-th]].
- [27] S. M. Kuzenko, U. Lindström, M. Roček and G. Tartaglino-Mazzucchelli, “4D  $N=2$  supergravity and projective superspace,” *JHEP* **0809**, 051 (2008) [arXiv:0805.4683].
- [28] S. M. Kuzenko and G. Tartaglino-Mazzucchelli, “Field theory in 4D  $N=2$  conformally flat superspace,” *JHEP* **0810**, 001 (2008) [arXiv:0807.3368 [hep-th]].
- [29] D. Butter and S. M. Kuzenko, “ $N=2$  AdS supergravity and supercurrents,” *JHEP* **07**, 081 (2011) [arXiv:1104.2153 [hep-th]].
- [30] S. M. Kuzenko and E. S. N. Raptakis, “Extended superconformal higher-spin gauge theories in four dimensions,” *JHEP* **12**, 210 (2021) [arXiv:2104.10416 [hep-th]].
- [31] D. Butter, “ $N=1$  conformal superspace in four dimensions,” *Annals Phys.* **325**, 1026 (2010) [arXiv:0906.4399 [hep-th]].
- [32] D. Butter, “ $N=2$  conformal superspace in four dimensions,” *JHEP* **10**, 030 (2011) [arXiv:1103.5914 [hep-th]].
- [33] S. M. Kuzenko and E. S. N. Raptakis, “ $\mathcal{N} = 3$  conformal superspace in four dimensions,” *JHEP* **03**, 026 (2024) [arXiv:2312.07242 [hep-th]].
- [34] D. Butter, F. Ciceri and B. Sahoo, “ $N = 4$  conformal supergravity: the complete actions,” *JHEP* **01**, 029 (2020) [arXiv:1910.11874 [hep-th]].
- [35] S. M. Kuzenko, E. S. N. Raptakis and G. Tartaglino-Mazzucchelli, “Superspace approaches to  $\mathcal{N} = 1$  supergravity,” in: *Handbook of Quantum Gravity*, C. Bambi, L. Modesto, I. Shapiro, I. (Eds.) Springer, Singapore (2024), [arXiv:2210.17088 [hep-th]].
- [36] S. M. Kuzenko, E. S. N. Raptakis and G. Tartaglino-Mazzucchelli, “Covariant Superspace Approaches to  $\mathcal{N} = 2$  Supergravity,” in: *Handbook of Quantum Gravity*, C. Bambi, L. Modesto, I. Shapiro, I. (Eds.) Springer, Singapore (2024), [arXiv:2211.11162 [hep-th]].
- [37] D. Z. Freedman and A. Van Proeyen, *Supergravity*, Cambridge, UK: Cambridge Univ. Press (2012) 607 p.

- [38] S. M. Kuzenko and E. S. N. Raptakis, “Conformal  $(p, q)$  supergeometries in two dimensions,” *JHEP* **02**, 166 (2023) [arXiv:2211.16169 [hep-th]].
- [39] D. Butter, S. M. Kuzenko, J. Novak and G. Tartaglino-Mazzucchelli, “Conformal supergravity in three dimensions: New off-shell formulation,” *JHEP* **09**, 072 (2013) [arXiv:1305.3132 [hep-th]].
- [40] D. Butter, S. M. Kuzenko, J. Novak and G. Tartaglino-Mazzucchelli, “Conformal supergravity in three dimensions: Off-shell actions,” *JHEP* **10**, 073 (2013) [arXiv:1306.1205 [hep-th]].
- [41] S. M. Kuzenko, J. Novak and G. Tartaglino-Mazzucchelli, “ $N=6$  superconformal gravity in three dimensions from superspace,” *JHEP* **01**, 121 (2014) [arXiv:1308.5552 [hep-th]].
- [42] D. Butter, S. M. Kuzenko, J. Novak and G. Tartaglino-Mazzucchelli, “Conformal supergravity in five dimensions: New approach and applications,” *JHEP* **1502**, 111 (2015). [arXiv:1410.8682 [hep-th]].
- [43] D. Butter, S. M. Kuzenko, J. Novak and S. Theisen, “Invariants for minimal conformal supergravity in six dimensions,” *JHEP* **1612**, 072 (2016) [arXiv:1606.02921 [hep-th]].
- [44] P. S. Howe, “A superspace approach to extended conformal supergravity,” *Phys. Lett. B* **100**, 389 (1981); “Supergravity in superspace,” *Nucl. Phys. B* **199**, 309 (1982).
- [45] S. M. Kuzenko and E. S. N. Raptakis, “Symmetries of supergravity backgrounds and supersymmetric field theory,” *JHEP* **04**, 133 (2020) [arXiv:1912.08552 [hep-th]].
- [46] P. S. Howe and U. Lindström, “Superconformal geometries and local twistors,” *JHEP* **04**, 140 (2021) [arXiv:2012.03282 [hep-th]].
- [47] S. M. Kuzenko, U. Lindström, M. Roček and G. Tartaglino-Mazzucchelli, “On conformal supergravity and projective superspace,” *JHEP* **08**, 023 (2009) [arXiv:0905.0063 [hep-th]].
- [48] R. Grimm, J. Wess and B. Zumino, “Consistency checks on the superspace formulation of supergravity,” *Phys. Lett. B* **73**, 415 (1978); “A complete solution of the Bianchi identities in superspace,” *Nucl. Phys. B* **152**, 255 (1979).
- [49] P. S. Howe and R. W. Tucker, “Scale invariance in superspace,” *Phys. Lett. B* **80**, 138 (1978).
- [50] W. Siegel, “Superconformal invariance of superspace with nonminimal auxiliary fields,” *Phys. Lett. B* **80**, 224 (1979).
- [51] D. V. Volkov and V. P. Akulov, “Possible universal neutrino interaction,” *JETP Lett.* **16**, 438 (1972) [*Pisma Zh. Eksp. Teor. Fiz.* **16**, 621 (1972)]; “Is the neutrino a Goldstone particle?,” *Phys. Lett. B* **46**, 109 (1973).
- [52] V. P. Akulov and D. V. Volkov, “Goldstone fields with spin  $1/2$ ,” *Theor. Math. Phys.* **18**, 28 (1974) [*Teor. Mat. Fiz.* **18**, 39 (1974)].
- [53] R. Grimm, “Solution of the Bianchi identities in  $SU(2)$  extended superspace with constraints,” in *Unification of the Fundamental Particle Interactions*, S. Ferrara, J. Ellis and P. van Nieuwenhuizen (Eds.), Plenum Press, New York, 1980, pp. 509-523.
- [54] B. Zumino, “Normal forms of complex matrices,” *J. Math. Phys.* **3**, no.5, 1055 (1962).
- [55] F. R. Gantmacher, *The Theory of Matrices*, Vol. 2, AMS Chelsea Publishing, 1959.

- [56] S. M. Kuzenko and G. Tartaglino-Mazzucchelli, “Field theory in 4D N=2 conformally flat superspace,” JHEP **10**, 001 (2008) [arXiv:0807.3368 [hep-th]].
- [57] S. M. Kuzenko, U. Lindström and G. Tartaglino-Mazzucchelli, “Three-dimensional (p,q) AdS superspaces and matter couplings,” JHEP **1208**, 024 (2012) [arXiv:1205.4622 [hep-th]].
- [58] W. Siegel, “Solution to constraints in Wess-Zumino supergravity formalism,” Nucl. Phys. B **142**, 301 (1978).
- [59] D. Butter, S. M. Kuzenko, U. Lindström and G. Tartaglino-Mazzucchelli, “Extended supersymmetric sigma models in AdS<sub>4</sub> from projective superspace,” JHEP **05** (2012), 138 [arXiv:1203.5001 [hep-th]].
- [60] S. M. Kuzenko and G. Tartaglino-Mazzucchelli, “Conformally flat supergeometry in five dimensions,” JHEP **06**, 097 (2008) [arXiv:0804.1219 [hep-th]].
- [61] S. M. Kuzenko, R. Manvelyan and S. Theisen, “Off-shell superconformal higher spin multiplets in four dimensions,” JHEP **07**, 034 (2017) [arXiv:1701.00682 [hep-th]].
- [62] S. M. Kuzenko and M. Ponds, “Conformal geometry and (super)conformal higher-spin gauge theories,” JHEP **05**, 113 (2019) [arXiv:1902.08010 [hep-th]].
- [63] D. Hutchings, S. M. Kuzenko and E. S. N. Raptakis, “The N=2 superconformal gravitino multiplet,” Phys. Lett. B **845**, 138132 (2023) [arXiv:2305.16029 [hep-th]].
- [64] M. F. Sohnius, “The conformal group in superspace,” in *Quantum Theory and the Structures of Time and Space*, Vol. 2, L. Castell, M. Drieschner and C. F. von Weizsäcker (Eds.), Carl Hanser Verlag, München, 1977, pp. 241-252.
- [65] W. Siegel, “Hidden local supersymmetry in the supersymmetric particle action,” Phys. Lett. B **128**, 397 (1983).
- [66] M. B. Green and J. H. Schwarz, “Covariant description of superstrings,” Phys. Lett. B **136**, 367 (1984).
- [67] E. Sezgin, “Aspects of  $\kappa$ -symmetry,” in: *Salamfestschrift*, A. Ali, J. Ellis and S. Randjbar-Saemi (Eds.), World Scientific, Singapore, 1994, pp. 478–498 [arXiv:hep-th/9310126 [hep-th]].
- [68] R. Haag, J. T. Lopuszanski and M. Sohnius, “All possible generators of supersymmetries of the S-matrix,” Nucl. Phys. B **88**, 257 (1975).
- [69] E. S. Fradkin and A. A. Tseytlin, “Conformal supergravity,” Phys. Rept. **119**, 233 (1985).
- [70] A. Ferber, “Supertwistors and conformal supersymmetry,” Nucl. Phys. B **132**, 55 (1978).
- [71] J. H. Park, “Superconformal symmetry and correlation functions,” Nucl. Phys. B **559**, 455 (1999) [arXiv:hep-th/9903230 [hep-th]].
- [72] S. M. Kuzenko and S. Theisen, “Correlation functions of conserved currents in N=2 superconformal theory,” Class. Quant. Grav. **17**, 665 (2000) [arXiv:hep-th/9907107 [hep-th]].
- [73] E. S. N. Raptakis, “Aspects of superconformal symmetry,” [arXiv:2403.02700 [hep-th]].
- [74] N. Steenrod, *The Topology of Fibre Bundles*, Princeton University Press, 1951.
- [75] M. Müller, *Consistent Classical Supergravity Theories*, (Lecture Notes in Physics, Vol. 336), Springer, Berlin, 1989.

- [76] S. M. Kuzenko and G. Tartaglino-Mazzucchelli, “Different representations for the action principle in 4D  $N = 2$  supergravity,” *JHEP* **0904** (2009) 007 [arXiv:0812.3464 [hep-th]].
- [77] D. Butter, B. de Wit, S. M. Kuzenko and I. Lodato, “New higher-derivative invariants in  $N=2$  supergravity and the Gauss-Bonnet term,” *JHEP* **1312**, 062 (2013) [arXiv:1307.6546 [hep-th]].
- [78] S. M. Kuzenko, “Non-compact duality, super-Weyl invariance and effective actions,” *JHEP* **07**, 222 (2020) [arXiv:2006.00966 [hep-th]].