

# SUMS OF VALUES OF NON-PRINCIPAL CHARACTERS OVER SHIFTED PRIMES

ZARULLO RAKHMONOV

ABSTRACT. For a nonprincipal character  $\chi$  modulo  $D$ , when  $x \geq D^{\frac{5}{6}+\varepsilon}$ ,  $(l, D) = 1$ , we prove a nontrivial estimate of the form  $\sum_{n \leq x} \Lambda(n)\chi(n-l) \ll x \exp(-0.6\sqrt{\ln D})$  for the sum of values of  $\chi$  over a sequence of shifted primes. Bibliography: 42 references.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

I. M. Vinogradov's method for estimating exponential sums over primes enabled him to solve a number of arithmetic problems with primes. One of these problems concerns the distribution of values of a nonprincipal character over sequences of shifted primes. In 1938, he proved the following: *If  $q$  is an odd prime,  $(l, q) = 1$ , and  $\chi(a)$  is a nonprincipal character modulo  $q$ , then*

$$T_1(\chi) = \sum_{p \leq x} \chi(p-l) \ll x^{1+\varepsilon} \sqrt{\frac{1}{q} + \frac{q}{\sqrt[3]{x}}}.$$

(see [36]). In 1943, I. M. Vinogradov [37] improved this estimate by showing that

$$(1) \quad |T_1(\chi)| \ll x^{1+\varepsilon} \left( \sqrt{\frac{1}{q} + \frac{q}{x}} + x^{-\frac{1}{6}} \right).$$

For  $x \gg q^{1+\varepsilon}$ , this estimate is nontrivial and implies an *asymptotic formula for the number of quadratic residues (nonresidues) modulo  $q$  of the form  $p-l$ ,  $p \leq x$ .*

A Goldbach number is a positive integer that can be represented as the sum of two odd primes. The problem of the distribution of such numbers in "short" arithmetic progressions has appeared in attempts to solve the binary Goldbach problem. The first result of a conditional nature belongs to Yu.V. Linnik [20]. Under the assumption of the Generalized Riemann Hypothesis, he obtained the upper bound

$$G(D, l) \leq D \ln^6 D,$$

where  $G(D, l)$  is the smallest Goldbach number in the arithmetic progression

$$Dk + l, \quad k = 0, 1, 2, \dots$$

This result was further refined by K.Prahar [23, 24] and Yu. Wang [41]. Under the same assumptions, they proved that

$$G(D, l) \leq D(\ln D)^{3+\varepsilon}.$$

In 1968 M. Jutila [4] proved an unconditional theorem. Using the estimate (1), he showed that if  $D$  is an odd prime number, then

$$G(D, l) \ll D^{\frac{11}{8}+\varepsilon}.$$

Then I. M. Vinogradov obtained a nontrivial estimate for  $T_1(\chi)$  in the case when  $x \geq q^{0.75+\varepsilon}$ , where  $q$  is a prime (see [38, 39, 40]). This result was unexpected. The point is that  $T_1(\chi)$  can be expressed as a sum over the zeros of the corresponding Dirichlet  $L$ -function. Then, assuming that the Generalized Riemann hypothesis is valid for  $T_1(\chi)$ , one obtains a nontrivial estimate but only for  $x \geq q^{1+\varepsilon}$ .

It seemed that one got what was impossible. In 1973, in this connection Yu. V. Linnik [21] wrote, "*Vinogradov's investigations into the asymptotic behavior of Dirichlet characters are of great importance. As early as 1952, he obtained an estimate for the sum of the Dirichlet characters of shifted*

primes  $T_1(\chi)$ , which gave a degree of decrease relative to  $x$  as soon as  $x > q^{0.75+\varepsilon}$ , where  $q$  is the modulus of the character. This has fundamental significance, since it is deeper than what can be obtained by direct application of the Generalized Riemann hypothesis, and in this direction seems to carry a deeper truth than that hypothesis (if the hypothesis is valid). Recently A. A. Karatsuba has been able to improve this estimate.”

In 1968, Karatsuba developed a method that allowed him to obtain a nontrivial estimate for short character sums in finite fields of fixed degree [7, 8, 9]. In 1970, he improved this method and, combining it with Vinogradov’s method, established the following statement [7, 10, 11]: *If  $q$  is a prime,  $\chi(a)$  is a nonprincipal character modulo  $q$ , and  $x \geq q^{\frac{1}{2}+\varepsilon}$ , then*

$$T_1(\chi) \ll xq^{-\frac{1}{1024}\varepsilon^2}.$$

Karatsuba used these estimates to find asymptotic formulas for the number of quadratic residues and nonresidues of the form  $p + k$  and for the number of products of the form  $p(p' + k)$  in an arithmetic progression with increasing difference [12] (see also [7, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17]).

The present author generalized estimate (1) to the case of a composite modulus and proved the following statement [25, 26, 27]: *Let  $D$  be a sufficiently large positive integer,  $\chi$  be a nonprincipal character modulo  $D$ ,  $\chi_q$  be the primitive character generated by  $\chi$ , and  $q_1$  be the product of primes that divide  $D$  but do not divide  $q$ ; then*

$$T_1(\chi) \leq x \ln^5 x \left( \sqrt{\frac{1}{q} + \frac{q}{x} \tau^2(q_1)} + x^{-\frac{1}{6}} \tau(q_1) \right) \tau(q).$$

Applying this estimate, he proved that the estimate

$$G(D, I) \ll D^{c+\varepsilon},$$

holds for a sufficiently large odd integer  $D$  (see [25, 28]), where  $\varepsilon$  is arbitrarily small positive constant,  $c$  is the lower bound of such  $a$ ’s, for which the inequality

$$\sum_{\chi \bmod D} N(\alpha, T, \chi) \ll (DT)^{2\alpha(1-\alpha)} (\ln DT)^A.$$

holds for some constant  $A > 2$ . In fact, Huxley’s “density” theorem [6] allows one to obtain the estimate  $c \leq \frac{6}{5}$  by taking  $A = 14$ .

In 2010, J. B. Friedlander, K. Gong, I. E. Shparlinski [3] showed that for a composite  $q$  the sum  $T(\chi_q)$  can be estimated nontrivially when the length  $x$  of the sum is of smaller order than  $q$ . They proved the following: *For a primitive character  $\chi_q$  and any  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there exists a  $\delta > 0$  such that the following estimate holds for all  $x \geq q^{\frac{8}{9}+\varepsilon}$ :*

$$T_1(\chi_q) \ll xq^{-\delta}. \tag{FGSh}$$

In 2013, the present author proved the following theorem (see [29, 30, 31]: *If  $q$  is a sufficiently large positive integer,  $\chi_q$  is a primitive character modulo  $q$ ,  $(l, q) = 1$ ,  $\varepsilon$  is an arbitrarily small fixed positive number, and  $x \geq q^{\frac{5}{6}+\varepsilon}$ , then*

$$T_1(\chi_q) \ll x \exp\left(-\sqrt{\ln q}\right).$$

In 2017 Bryce Kerr in [19] obtained an estimate (FGSh), i.e. for  $T_1(\chi_q)$  obtained an estimate that gives a power saving which is nontrivial for  $x \geq q^{\frac{5}{6}+o(1)}$ .

In 2017, the author (see [32, 33]) proved the following theorem: *Let  $D$  be a sufficiently large positive integer,  $\chi$  be a nonprincipal character modulo  $D$ ,  $\chi_q$  be the primitive character modulo  $q$  generated by  $\chi$ ,  $q$  be a cube-free number,  $(l, D) = 1$ , and  $\varepsilon$  be an arbitrarily small positive constant. Then, for  $x \geq D^{\frac{1}{2}+\varepsilon}$ , we have*

$$T_1(\chi) \ll x \exp\left(-0, 6\sqrt{\ln D}\right).$$

As was noted above, non-trivial estimates of the sum  $T_1(\chi)$ , where  $\chi$  is a non-principal character modulo  $D$ , where  $D$  is a prime number, have been applied in problems of finding the least Goldbach number and the distribution of products of shifted primes in short arithmetic progressions. To solve these problems for composite modulo  $D$ , along with non-trivial estimates of the sum  $T_1(\chi)$  for primitive characters, similar estimates are required for imprimitive characters. Therefore, we obviously must consider a non-trivial estimation problem for the sum  $T_1(\chi)$ , where  $\chi$  is a non-principal character modulo a composite number  $D$ .

In this paper we obtain a non-trivial estimate for the sum  $T(\chi)$  for all non-principal characters modulo a composite number. Let us state the main result.

**Theorem 1.1.** *Suppose that  $D$  is a sufficiently large positive integer,  $\chi$  is a non-principal character modulo  $D$ ,  $\chi_q$  be the primitive character modulo  $q$  generated by  $\chi$ ,  $(l, D) = 1$ ,  $\varepsilon$  is an arbitrarily small positive constant. Then for  $x \geq D^{\frac{5}{6} + \varepsilon}$  and  $q > \exp \sqrt{2 \ln D}$ , we have*

$$T(\chi) = \sum_{n \leq x} \Lambda(n) \chi(n-l) \ll x \exp\left(-0.6\sqrt{\ln D}\right),$$

where the constant under the sign  $\ll$  depends only on  $\varepsilon$ .

**Notations:** In what follows, we shall always assume that  $D$  is a sufficiently large natural number,  $x$ ,  $l$  are natural numbers,  $(l, D) = 1$ ,  $\chi$  is a non-principal character modulo  $D$ ,  $\chi_q$  is a primitive character modulo  $q$ , generated by the character  $\chi$ ,  $q_1$  is product of prime numbers dividing  $D$  but not dividing  $q$ , hence  $(q, q_1) = 1$ ,  $\nu$  is divisor of  $q_1$ ,  $\mathcal{L} = \ln D$ ,  $\mathcal{L}_q = \ln q$ ,  $\delta \leq 10^{-4}$  is a fixed positive number,  $c$  is a fixed positive number, possibly not the same at all times,  $\omega(q)$  is the number of distinct prime divisors of  $q$ , which admits well-known estimate

$$(2) \quad \omega(q) \leq \frac{c_\omega \mathcal{L}_q}{\ln \mathcal{L}_q},$$

In what follows, we shall frequently use the following well-known lemmas.

**Lemma 1.1.** *Let  $f(n)$  be an arbitrary complex-valued function,  $u_1 \leq x$ ,  $r \geq 1$ ,*

$$C_r^k = \frac{r!}{k!(r-k)!}, \quad \lambda(n) = \sum_{d|n, d \leq u_1} \mu(d).$$

Then the following identity holds:

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n \leq x} \Lambda(n) f(n) &= \sum_{k=1}^r (-1)^{k-1} C_r^k \sum_{m_1 \leq u_1} \mu(m_1) \cdots \sum_{\substack{m_k \leq u_1 \\ m_1 \cdots m_k n_1 \cdots n_k \leq x}} \mu(m_k) \sum_{n_1} \cdots \sum_{n_k} \ln n_1 f(m_1 n_1 \cdots m_k n_k) \\ &+ (-1)^r \sum_{n_1 > u_1} \lambda(n_1) \cdots \sum_{\substack{n_r > u_1 \\ n_1 \cdots n_r m \leq x}} \lambda(n_r) \sum_m \Lambda(m) f(n_1 \cdots n_r m). \end{aligned}$$

PROOF. Proved in [34] using Heath-Brown identity (see [5]).

**Lemma 1.2.** *Let  $F(x, z, b)$  be the number of positive integers less than  $x$  and relatively prime to  $b$ ,  $b \leq x$  and having only prime divisors less than  $z$ ,  $\ln x \leq z \leq x^{\frac{1}{2}}$ ,  $\alpha = \ln z / \ln x$ ; then for some  $|\theta| \leq 1$ , we have*

$$F(x, z, b) \ll x \prod_{p|b} \left(1 - \frac{1}{p}\right) \exp\left(-\frac{1}{\alpha} \left(\ln \frac{1}{\alpha} + \ln \ln \frac{1}{\alpha}\right) + \frac{1}{\alpha} + \frac{2\theta}{\alpha \ln \frac{1}{\alpha}}\right).$$

PROOF [35].

**Lemma 1.3.** *Let  $r$  be an arbitrary fixed natural number,  $Z$  is a natural number,  $q$  is a square-free number or  $r = 2$ . Then the following relations holds:*

$$\sum_{\lambda=0}^{q-1} \left| \sum_{z=1}^Z \chi_q(\lambda + z) \right|^{2r} \ll Z^r q + Z^{2r} q^{\frac{1}{2} + \delta},$$

where the constant under the sign  $\ll$  depends only on  $r$  and  $\delta$ .

PROOF [1].

**Lemma 1.4.** For an arbitrary natural number  $Z \leq q^{\frac{1}{6}}$  the following relations hold:

$$\sum_{z_1, \dots, z_6=1}^Z \left| \sum_{\lambda=0}^{q-1} \chi_q \left( \frac{(\lambda+z_1)(\lambda+z_2)(\lambda+z_3)}{(\lambda+z_4)(\lambda+z_5)(\lambda+z_6)} \right) \right| \ll Z^3 q^{1+\delta}.$$

PROOF [2].

**Lemma 1.5.** The following asymptotic formula holds for all positive integers  $q$  and  $U$ :

$$\left| \sum_{\substack{u=1 \\ (u,q)=1}}^U 1 - \frac{\varphi(q)}{q} U \right| \leq 2^{\omega(q)}.$$

PROOF. We have

$$\sum_{\substack{u=1 \\ (u,q)=1}}^U 1 = \sum_{u=1}^U \sum_{d|(u,q)} \mu(d) = \sum_{d|q} \mu(d) \left[ \frac{U}{d} \right] = U \sum_{d|q} \frac{\mu(d)}{d} - \sum_{d|q} \mu(d) \left\{ \frac{U}{d} \right\}.$$

Consequently

$$\left| \sum_{\substack{u=1 \\ (u,q)=1}}^U 1 - \frac{\varphi(q)}{q} U \right| = \left| \sum_{d|q} \mu(d) \left\{ \frac{U}{d} \right\} \right| \leq \sum_{d|q} \mu^2(d) = \prod_{p|q} (1 + \mu^2(p)) = 2^{\omega(q)}.$$

**Lemma 1.6.** For  $x \geq 2$  we have

$$\sum_{n \leq x} \tau_r^k(n) \ll x (\ln x)^{r^k - 1}, \quad k = 1, 2.$$

PROOF [22].

## 2. AUXILIARY LEMMAS

**Lemma 2.1.** Let  $q|D$ , then

$$\sum_{\substack{d|q \\ d > \exp(\sqrt{2\mathcal{L}})}} \frac{\mu^2(d)}{d} \ll \exp(-0.7\sqrt{\mathcal{L}}).$$

PROOF. After dividing the interval of summation into intervals of the form  $M < d \leq 2M$ , we obtain less than  $\mathcal{L}_q$  sums  $S(M)$  of the form

$$S(M) = \sum_{\substack{d|q \\ M < d \leq 2M}} \frac{\mu^2(d)}{d} \ll M^{-1} \sum_{\substack{d|q \\ d \leq 2M}} 1.$$

Let  $q = p_1^{\alpha_1} p_2^{\alpha_2} \dots p_t^{\alpha_t}$  be the canonical decomposition of  $q$  into prime factors and let  $r_i$  denote  $i$ -th prime number. Obviously, there exists such  $k$  that

$$q' = r_1 r_2 \dots r_k \leq q < r_1 r_2 \dots r_k r_{k+1}, \quad k \geq t.$$

By the prime number theorem we have

$$\ln q' = \sum_{i \leq k} \ln r_i = \sum_{p \leq r_k} \ln p > \frac{r_k}{2},$$

thus

$$r_k < 2 \ln q' \leq 2\mathcal{L}_q.$$

Let  $q'' = r_1^{\alpha_1} r_2^{\alpha_2} \dots r_t^{\alpha_t}$ . Due to  $q'' \leq q$  and  $r_t \leq r_k$ , we have

$$S(M) \ll M^{-1} \sum_{\substack{d|q \\ d \leq 2M}} 1 \leq M^{-1} \sum_{\substack{d|q'' \\ d \leq 2M}} 1.$$

Prime factors of numbers  $d, d|q''$  satisfy the condition  $r_j \leq r_t$ . As  $r_t < 2\mathcal{L}_q$ , the latter sum does not exceed the number of such positive integers that are less than  $2M$ , and have only prime divisors less than  $2\mathcal{L}_q$ , i.e.

$$S(M) \ll M^{-1} \sum_{\substack{d|q'' \\ d \leq 2M}} 1 \leq M^{-1} F(2M, 2\mathcal{L}_q, 1) \leq M^{-1} F(2M, 2\mathcal{L}, 1).$$

Applying Lemma 1.2 with

$$x = 2M, \quad b = 1, \quad z = 2\mathcal{L}, \quad \alpha = \frac{\ln z}{\ln x} = \frac{\ln 2\mathcal{L}}{\ln 2M},$$

we have

$$S(M) \ll \exp\left(-\frac{\ln 2M}{\ln 2\mathcal{L}} (\ln \ln 2M - \mathcal{B})\right),$$

$$\mathcal{B} = \ln \ln 2\mathcal{L} - \ln \ln \frac{\ln 2M}{\ln 2\mathcal{L}} + 1 + 2\theta \left(\ln \frac{\ln 2M}{\ln 2\mathcal{L}}\right)^{-1}.$$

The condition  $2M > \exp(\sqrt{2\mathcal{L}})$  implies that  $2\mathcal{L} < (\ln 2M)^2$ . Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{B} &\leq \ln \ln (\ln 2M)^2 - \ln \ln \frac{\ln 2M}{\ln (\ln 2M)^2} + 1 + 2 \left(\ln \frac{\ln 2M}{\ln (\ln 2M)^2}\right)^{-1} \\ &= 1 + \ln 2 - \ln \left(1 - \frac{\ln 2 + \ln \ln \ln 2M}{\ln \ln 2M}\right) + \frac{2}{\ln \ln 2M} \left(1 - \frac{\ln 2 + \ln \ln \ln 2M}{\ln \ln 2M}\right)^{-1} \\ &= 1 + \ln 2 + O\left(\frac{\ln 2 + \ln \ln \ln 2M}{\ln \ln 2M}\right) < 3 < 0.002 \ln \ln 2M. \end{aligned}$$

Consequently,

$$S(M) \ll \exp\left(-\frac{\ln 2M}{\ln 2\mathcal{L}} (\ln \ln 2M - \mathcal{B})\right) \ll \exp\left(-0.998 \cdot \frac{\ln 2M \ln \ln 2M}{\ln 2\mathcal{L}}\right).$$

The condition  $2M > \exp(\sqrt{2\mathcal{L}})$  implies that  $\ln 2M > \sqrt{2\mathcal{L}}$ ,  $\ln \ln 2M > 0.5 \ln 2\mathcal{L}$ , therefore

$$S(M) \ll \exp\left(-0.499\sqrt{2}\sqrt{\mathcal{L}}\right) \ll \mathcal{L}^{-1} \cdot \exp\left(-0.7\sqrt{\mathcal{L}}\right).$$

This completes the proof of the Lemma.

**Lemma 2.2.** *Let  $K$  denote the number of solutions of the congruence*

$$(nd + \eta k)y \equiv (n_1 d + \eta k)y_1 \pmod{q},$$

$$M < n, n_1 \leq M + N, \quad 1 \leq y, y_1 \leq Y, \quad (y, q) = 1, \quad (y_1, q) = 1,$$

where  $(\eta, q) = (k, d) = 1$ ,  $d$  divides  $q$ ,  $2NY < q$ ,  $d < Y$  and  $\rho(qd^{-1}, Y)$  denotes the number of divisors  $\beta$  of the integer  $qd^{-1}$ , satisfying the conditions  $qY^{-1} \leq \beta < qd^{-1}$  and  $(\beta, d) = 1$ . Then the following relation holds:

$$K \leq NY + \frac{2Y^2}{d} + \frac{2Y^2}{d} \rho(qd^{-1}, Y) + \frac{2(NY)^{1+\delta}}{d},$$

where  $\delta$  is an arbitrarily small positive constant.

*PROOF.* Dividing both sides of the congruence by  $y$  when  $y = y_1$ , we have

$$nd + \eta k \equiv n_1 d + \eta k \pmod{q}, \quad M < n, n_1 \leq M + N, \quad 1 \leq y \leq Y, \quad (y, q) = 1,$$

or

$$nd \equiv n_1 d \pmod{q}, \quad M < n, n_1 \leq M + N, \quad 1 \leq y \leq Y, \quad (y, q) = 1.$$

Let us divide both sides of the congruence and modulo by  $d$ , we have

$$n - n_1 \equiv 0 \pmod{qd^{-1}}, \quad M < n, n_1 \leq M + N, \quad 1 \leq y \leq Y, \quad (y, q) = 1.$$

It follows from the conditions  $|n - n_1| < N$  and  $2N \leq qY^{-1} < qd^{-1}$  that the latter congruence becomes an equality

$$n - n_1 = 0. \quad M < n, n_1 \leq M + N, \quad 1 \leq y \leq Y, \quad (y, q) = 1,$$

i.e.  $n = n_1$  if  $y = y_1$ . Thus, we obtain

$$(3) \quad K \leq NY + 2\kappa,$$

where  $\kappa$  is a number of solutions of the congruence

$$(nd + \eta k)y \equiv (n_1d + \eta k)y_1 \pmod{q}, \\ M < n, n_1 \leq M + N, \quad 1 \leq y < y_1 \leq Y, \quad (y, q) = 1, \quad (y_1, q) = 1.$$

or the congruence

$$(4) \quad (ny - n_1y_1)d \equiv \eta k(y_1 - y) \pmod{q}, \\ M < n, n_1 \leq M + N, \quad 1 \leq y < y_1 \leq Y, \quad (y, q) = 1, \quad (y_1, q) = 1.$$

Left-hand side of congruence (4) and its modulo is divisibly by  $d$ . Therefore, its right-hand side, that is,  $\eta k(y_1 - y)$ , is also divisible by  $d$ . As  $\eta k$  is coprime with  $d$ , the number  $y_1 - y$  must divide  $d$ , i.e.  $y_1 - y \equiv 0 \pmod{d}$ , or equivalently,  $y_1 = y + td$ . Therefore, the congruence (4) can be expressed in the form

$$(ny - n_1(y + td))d \equiv \eta ktd \pmod{q}, \\ M < n, n_1 \leq M + N, \quad 1 \leq y < y + td \leq Y, \quad (y, q) = 1, \quad (y + td, q) = 1.$$

Dividing both sides of the congruence and its modulo by  $d$ , we obtain

$$(5) \quad (n - n_1)y \equiv (n_1d + \eta k)t \pmod{qd^{-1}}, \\ M < n, n_1 \leq M + N, \quad 1 \leq y < y + td \leq Y, \quad (y, q) = 1, \quad (y + td, q) = 1.$$

Let us split the set of solutions of (5)

$$(6) \quad \kappa = \kappa_1 + \kappa_2 + \kappa_3,$$

into three subsets, where  $\kappa_1$ ,  $\kappa_2$  and  $\kappa_3$  denote the number of solutions of the congruence (5) having, respectively, the following properties:

- (1)  $n_1d + \eta k \equiv 0 \pmod{qd^{-1}}$ ;
- (2)  $(n_1d + \eta k)t \equiv 0 \pmod{qd^{-1}}$  and  $n_1d + \eta k \not\equiv 0 \pmod{qd^{-1}}$ ;
- (3)  $(n_1d + \eta k)t \not\equiv 0 \pmod{qd^{-1}}$ .

**Estimate of  $\kappa_1$ .** The congruence  $n_1d + \eta k \equiv 0 \pmod{qd^{-1}}$  has no solution for  $(d, qd^{-1}) > 1$ , and for  $(d, qd^{-1}) = 1$  has no more than one solution  $n_1 = n_1^*$ ,  $(n_1^*, qd^{-1}) = 1$  as  $2N < qd^{-1}$ , i.e.  $N$  — the length of the interval of possible values for  $n_1$  — is less than the modulus of congruence. For  $n_1 = n_1^*$  the congruence (5) becomes

$$(n - n_1^*)y \equiv 0 \pmod{qd^{-1}}, \quad M < n \leq M + N, \\ 1 \leq y < y + td \leq Y, \quad (y, q) = 1, \quad (y + td, q) = 1,$$

and for fixed  $y$  and  $t$  has a single solution  $n = n_1^*$ , therefore

$$\kappa_1 \leq Y \left( \frac{Y}{d} + 1 \right) \leq \frac{2Y^2}{d}.$$

**Estimate of  $\kappa_2$ .** Using condition of the case 2, we represent the congruence (5) as a system of congruences

$$(7) \quad (n_1 - n)y \equiv 0 \pmod{qd^{-1}}, \\ (n_1d + \eta k)t \equiv 0 \pmod{qd^{-1}},$$

satisfying conditions

$$\begin{aligned} n_1 d + \eta k &\not\equiv 0 \pmod{qd^{-1}}, \quad M < n, n_1 \leq M + N, \\ 1 \leq y < y + td \leq Y, \quad (y, q) &= (y + td, q) = 1. \end{aligned}$$

It follows from the conditions  $(y, q) = 1$ ,  $|n - n_1| < N$  and  $2N \leq qd^{-1}$  that the first congruence in (7) is equivalent to the equality  $n_1 = n$ , therefore the number of solutions of the system (7) equals the number of solutions of the system

$$(8) \quad \begin{aligned} (nd + \eta k)t &\equiv 0 \pmod{qd^{-1}}, \quad nd + \eta k \not\equiv 0 \pmod{qd^{-1}}, \\ M < n \leq M + N, \quad 1 \leq y < y + td \leq Y, \quad (y, q) &= 1, \quad (y + td, q) = 1. \end{aligned}$$

Product of the numbers  $nd + \eta k$  and  $t$  is divisible by  $qd^{-1}$ , but  $nd + \eta k$  is not divisible by  $qd^{-1}$ , therefore for every solution of the congruence (8) there exists the divisor  $\beta$  of the number  $ad^{-1}$ , such that  $\beta < qd^{-1}$  and

$$nd + \eta k \equiv 0 \pmod{\beta}, \quad t \equiv 0 \pmod{q(d\beta)^{-1}}.$$

It follows from the condition  $(d, \eta k) = 1$  that the congruence  $nd + \eta k \equiv 0 \pmod{\beta}$  has a solution only for  $(\beta, d) = 1$ . For  $(\beta, d) = 1$  let us denote by  $\kappa_2(\beta)$  the number of solutions of the system of congruences

$$\begin{aligned} n &\equiv \eta d_{\beta}^{-1} \pmod{\beta}, \quad M < n \leq M + N, \quad dd_{\beta}^{-1} \equiv 1 \pmod{\beta} \\ t &\equiv 0 \pmod{q(d\beta)^{-1}}, \quad 1 \leq y < y + td \leq Y, \quad (y, q) = 1, \quad (y + td, q) = 1, \end{aligned}$$

or the number of solutions of the congruence

$$\begin{aligned} n &\equiv \eta d_{\beta}^{-1} \pmod{\beta}, \quad M < n \leq M + N, \quad dd_{\beta}^{-1} \equiv 1 \pmod{\beta} \\ 1 \leq y < y + tq\beta^{-1} &\leq Y, \quad (y, q) = 1, \quad (y + tq\beta^{-1}, q) = 1. \end{aligned}$$

Limits of the variables  $y$  and  $t$  in the last congruence can be expressed as

$$(9) \quad 1 \leq y < Y, \quad 1 \leq t \leq \frac{Y - y}{q\beta^{-1}}, \quad (y, q) = 1, \quad (y + tq\beta^{-1}, q) = 1.$$

When  $y > Y - q\beta^{-1}$ , the upper bound of variable  $t$  is smaller than the lower bound, therefore the region (9) can be represented as

$$1 \leq y \leq Y - q\beta^{-1}, \quad 1 \leq t \leq \frac{Y - y}{q\beta^{-1}}, \quad (y, q) = 1, \quad (y + tq\beta^{-1}, q) = 1.$$

In its turn, if  $\beta \leq qY^{-1}$ , then  $Y - q\beta^{-1}$  — the upper bound of  $y$  is smaller than the the lower bound. Therefore,  $\kappa_2(\beta) = 0$  when  $\beta \leq qY^{-1}$ , while for  $qY^{-1} < \beta < qd^{-1}$  we have the following estimate for  $\kappa_2(\beta)$ :

$$\kappa_2(\beta) \leq \left(\frac{N}{\beta} + 1\right) \sum_{\substack{1 \leq y < Y - q\beta^{-1} \\ (y, q) = 1}} \left[\frac{Y - y}{q\beta^{-1}}\right] \leq \left(\frac{NY}{q} + \frac{Y\beta}{q}\right) \sum_{\substack{1 \leq y < Y - q\beta^{-1} \\ (y, q) = 1}} 1.$$

And using the relations  $2NY < q$ ,  $\beta < qd^{-1}$  and  $d < Y$ , we find that

$$\kappa_2(\beta) \leq \left(1 + \frac{Y}{d}\right) \sum_{\substack{1 \leq y < Y - q\beta^{-1} \\ (y, q) = 1}} 1 \leq \frac{2Y}{d} \sum_{\substack{1 \leq y < Y - q\beta^{-1} \\ (y, q) = 1}} 1 < \frac{2Y^2}{d}.$$

Summing the last inequality over divisors  $\beta$  of the number  $qd^{-1}$ , satisfying the conditions  $qY^{-1} \leq \beta < qd^{-1}$  and  $(\beta, d) = 1$  and denoting the number of such divisors by  $\rho(qd^{-1}, Y)$ , we obtain

$$\kappa_2 \leq \sum_{\substack{(\beta, d) = 1, \beta | qd^{-1} \\ qY^{-1} \leq \beta < qd^{-1}}} \kappa_2(\beta) \leq \frac{2Y^2}{d} \rho(qd^{-1}, Y).$$

**Estimate of  $\kappa_3$ .** Let us recall that  $\kappa_3$  denotes the number of solutions of the congruence

$$(n_1 - n)y \equiv (n_1d + \eta k)t \pmod{qd^{-1}},$$

satisfying the conditions

$$\begin{aligned} (n_1d + \eta k)t &\not\equiv 0 \pmod{qd^{-1}}, & M < n, n_1 \leq M + N, \\ 1 \leq y < y + td &\leq Y, & (y, q) = 1, \quad (y + td, q) = 1. \end{aligned}$$

For a fixed pair  $(n_1^*, t^*)$  we denote by  $\kappa_3(\lambda)$  the number of solutions of the congruence

$$(10) \quad (n - n_1^*)y \equiv \lambda \pmod{qd^{-1}}, \quad M < n \leq M + N, \quad 1 \leq y < y + t^*d \leq Y, \quad (y, q) = 1,$$

where  $0 < |\lambda| \leq q/2d$  is the absolute least residue of the number  $(n_1^*d + \eta k)t^*$  modulo  $qd^{-1}$ . Using the bounds of variables  $n$ ,  $n_1$  and  $y$  and condition  $2NY < q$ , we find that

$$0 < |(n - n_1^*)y| < NY < \frac{q}{2}.$$

It follows that the inequality (10) becomes an equality

$$(11) \quad (n - n_1^*)y = \lambda, \quad M < n \leq M + N, \quad 1 \leq y < y + t^*d \leq Y, \quad (y, q) = 1,$$

where the parameter  $\lambda$  satisfies

$$1 \leq |\lambda| < NY.$$

Thus, for a fixed pair  $(n_1^*, t^*)$  the number of solutions  $\kappa_3(\lambda)$  of the congruence (10) equals the number of solutions of the equation (11), and satisfies the following inequality

$$\kappa_3(\lambda) \leq \tau(|\lambda|) \leq 0.5(NY)^\delta.$$

The number of possible pairs  $(n_1^*, t^*)$  does not exceed  $N(Yd^{-1} + 1)$ . Consequently,

$$\kappa_3 \leq N \left( \frac{Y}{d} + 1 \right) \cdot 0.5(NY)^\delta \leq \frac{(NY)^{1+\delta}}{d}.$$

Inserting the estimates for  $\kappa_1$ ,  $\kappa_2$  and  $\kappa_3$  into (6), and then into (3), we find that

$$K \leq NY + 2(\kappa_1 + \kappa_2 + \kappa_3) \leq NY + \frac{2Y^2}{d} + \frac{2Y^2}{d}\rho(qd^{-1}, Y) + \frac{2(NY)^{1+\delta}}{d}.$$

This completes the proof of the Lemma.

### 3. ESTIMATES OF SHORT CHARACTER SUMS

**Lemma 3.1.** *Let  $M$ ,  $N$ ,  $d$ ,  $k$  and  $\eta$  be natural numbers,  $(\eta, q) = (d, k) = 1$ , and*

$$S = \sum_{M-N < n \leq M} \chi_q(nd + \eta k).$$

*Then for  $N < q^{\frac{7}{12}}d^{-\frac{1}{2}}$ ,  $D^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq q \leq D$  and  $d \leq \exp(\sqrt{2\mathcal{L}})$ , the following estimate holds:*

$$(12) \quad |S| \leq N^{\frac{2}{3}}q^{\frac{1}{9} + \frac{\delta}{2}}d^{\frac{2}{3}}.$$

**PROOF.** The inequality (12) for the sum  $S$  will be proved by induction on  $N$ . For  $N \leq q^{\frac{1}{3}}$  and  $d \leq \exp(\sqrt{2\mathcal{L}})$  the right side of (12) admits an estimate

$$N^{\frac{2}{3}}q^{\frac{1}{9} + \frac{\delta}{2}}d^{\frac{2}{3}} \geq N^{\frac{2}{3}}q^{\frac{1}{9} + \frac{\delta}{2}} > N^{\frac{2}{3}}q^{\frac{1}{9}} \geq N^{\frac{2}{3}}(N^3)^{\frac{1}{9}} = N,$$

i.e. the inequality (12) is trivial and we take it as an induction basis.

Further, we assume that

$$N > q^{\frac{1}{3}}, \quad d \leq \exp(\sqrt{2\mathcal{L}}).$$

Shifting the interval of summation by  $h$ ,  $1 \leq h \leq H < N$ , in the sum  $S$ , we obtain

$$S = \sum_{M-N < n \leq M} \chi_q((n+h)d + \eta k) + \sum_{M-N < n \leq M-N+h} \chi_q(nd + \eta k) - \sum_{M < n \leq M+h} \chi_q(nd + \eta k).$$



Estimating last two sums using induction hypothesis, we have

$$|S| \leq \left| \sum_{M-N < n \leq M} \chi_q((n+h)d + \eta k) \right| + 2H^{\frac{2}{3}} q^{\frac{1}{9} + \frac{\delta}{2}} d^{\frac{2}{3}},$$

Setting  $h = yz$  in this inequality and summing it over  $y$  and  $z$  satisfying

$$1 \leq y \leq Y, \quad (y, q) = 1, \quad 1 \leq z \leq Z, \quad Y = \left[ 0.5Nq^{-\frac{1}{6}}d \right], \quad Z = \left[ 0.5q^{\frac{1}{6}}d^{-1} \right],$$

we obtain

$$|S| \leq (Y_q Z)^{-1} \left| \sum_{\substack{1 \leq y \leq Y \\ (y, q) = 1}} \sum_{1 \leq z \leq Z} \sum_{M-N < n \leq M} \chi_q((n+yz)d + \eta k) \right| + 2(YZ)^{\frac{2}{3}} q^{\frac{1}{9} + \frac{\delta}{2}} d^{\frac{2}{3}},$$

where  $Y_q$  denotes the number of integers  $y \in [1, Y]$  coprime to  $q$ . Determining the number  $y^{-1}$  from the congruence  $yy^{-1} \equiv 1 \pmod{q}$ , we find that

$$|S| \leq (Y_q Z)^{-1} \sum_{M-N < n \leq M} \sum_{\substack{1 \leq y \leq Y \\ (y, q) = 1}} \left| \sum_{1 \leq z \leq Z} \chi_q((nd + \eta k)y^{-1} + zd) \right| + 2^{-\frac{1}{3}} N^{\frac{2}{3}} q^{\frac{1}{9} + \frac{\delta}{2}} d^{\frac{2}{3}}.$$

We denote by  $I(\lambda)$  the number of solutions of the congruence

$$(nd + \eta k)y^{-1} \equiv \lambda \pmod{q}, \quad M - N < n \leq M, \quad 1 \leq y \leq Y, \quad (y, q) = 1,$$

and obtain

$$(13) \quad |S| \leq (Y_q Z)^{-1} W + 2^{-\frac{1}{3}} N^{\frac{2}{3}} q^{\frac{1}{9} + \frac{\delta}{2}} d^{\frac{2}{3}},$$

$$W = \sum_{\lambda=0}^{q-1} I(\lambda) \left| \sum_{1 \leq z \leq Z} \chi_q(\lambda + zd) \right|.$$

Cubing both side of the equality, using Hölder inequality and using the inequality

$$\sum_{\lambda=1}^q I(\lambda) \leq NY_q,$$

we obtain

$$W^3 \leq (NY_q)^2 \sum_{\lambda=0}^{q-1} I(\lambda) \left| \sum_{1 \leq z \leq Z} \chi_q(\lambda + zd) \right|^3.$$

By squaring both sides of the last inequality and applying Cauchy inequality, we have

$$W^6 \leq (NY_q)^4 KV, \quad K = \sum_{\lambda=0}^{q-1} I^2(\lambda), \quad V = \sum_{\lambda=0}^{q-1} \left| \sum_{1 \leq z \leq Z} \chi_q(\lambda + zd) \right|^6.$$

Applying Lemma 1.4, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} V &= \sum_{\lambda=0}^{q-1} \sum_{z_1, \dots, z_6=1}^Z \chi \left( \frac{(\lambda + z_1 d)(\lambda + z_2 d)(\lambda + z_3 d)}{(\lambda + z_4 d)(\lambda + z_5 d)(\lambda + z_6 d)} \right) \\ &\leq \sum_{z_1, \dots, z_6=1}^Z \left| \sum_{\lambda=0}^{q-1} \chi \left( \frac{(\lambda + z_1 d)(\lambda + z_2 d)(\lambda + z_3 d)}{(\lambda + z_4 d)(\lambda + z_5 d)(\lambda + z_6 d)} \right) \right| \\ &\leq \sum_{z_1, \dots, z_6=1}^Z \left| \sum_{\lambda=0}^{q-1} \chi \left( \frac{(\lambda + z_1)(\lambda + z_2)(\lambda + z_3)}{(\lambda + z_4)(\lambda + z_5)(\lambda + z_6)} \right) \right| \leq Z^3 d^3 q^{1+\delta}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$(14) \quad W^6 \leq (NY_q)^4 Z^3 d^3 q^{1+\delta} \cdot K.$$

The sum  $K$  equals the number of solutions of the congruence

$$(nd + \eta k)y^{-1} \equiv (n_1d + \eta k)y_1^{-1} \pmod{q},$$

$$M < n, n_1 \leq M + N, \quad 1 \leq y, y_1 \leq Y, \quad (y, q) = 1, \quad (y_1, q) = 1,$$

or the congruence

$$(nd + \eta k)y \equiv (n_1d + \eta k)y_1 \pmod{q},$$

$$M < n, n_1 \leq M + N, \quad 1 \leq y, y_1 \leq Y, \quad (y, q) = 1, \quad (y_1, q) = 1.$$

All conditions of the Lemma 2.2 are satisfied for this congruence:

$$2NY = 2N \left[ 0.5Nq^{-\frac{1}{6}}d \right] \leq N^2q^{-\frac{1}{6}}d < \left( q^{\frac{7}{12}}d^{-\frac{1}{2}} \right)^2 q^{-\frac{1}{6}}d = q,$$

$$\frac{Y}{d} = \frac{\left[ 0.5Nq^{-\frac{1}{6}}d \right]}{d} > \frac{\left[ 0.5q^{\frac{1}{6}}d \right]}{d} > 0.3q^{\frac{1}{6}} > 1.$$

According to this Lemma, we have

$$K \leq NY + \frac{2Y^2}{d} + \frac{2Y^2}{d}\rho(qd^{-1}, Y) + \frac{2(NY)^{1+\delta}}{d}$$

$$= \frac{2(NY)^{1+\delta}}{d} \left( 1 + \frac{d}{2(NY)^\delta} + \frac{Y}{N(NY)^\delta} (\rho(qd^{-1}, Y) + 1) \right).$$

And taking into account that

$$d \leq \exp(\sqrt{2\mathcal{L}}) \leq \exp(2\sqrt{\mathcal{L}_q}) \leq q^{\frac{5}{4}}, \quad \rho(qd^{-1}, Y) + 1 \leq \tau(q) \leq q^\delta,$$

$$(NY)^\delta \geq (0, 1 N^2 q^{-\frac{1}{6}} d)^\delta > (0, 1)^\delta q^{\frac{5}{2}},$$

we have

$$K \leq \frac{2(NY)^{1+\delta}}{d} \left( 1 + \frac{10^\delta q^{\frac{5}{4}}}{2q^{\frac{5}{2}}} + \frac{10q^\delta}{q^{\frac{1}{6} + \frac{5}{2}}} \right) \leq \frac{3(NY)^{1+\delta}}{d}.$$

Inserting this estimate into (14), and the right hand side of obtained estimate into (13), we obtain

$$W^6 \leq (NY_q)^4 Z^3 d^3 q^{1+\delta} \cdot K \leq 3qN^5 Y Y_q^4 Z^3 d^2 (qNY)^\delta,$$

$$(15) \quad |S| \leq \frac{3^{\frac{1}{6}} q^{\frac{1}{6}} N^{\frac{5}{6}} Y^{\frac{1}{6}} d^{\frac{1}{3}} (qNY)^{\frac{5}{6}}}{Y_q^{\frac{1}{3}} Z^{\frac{1}{2}}} + 2^{-\frac{1}{3}} N^{\frac{2}{3}} q^{\frac{1}{9} + \frac{5}{2}} d^{\frac{2}{3}}.$$

Further, using the Lemma 1.5 and well-known inequalities

$$\omega(q) \leq \frac{c_\omega \mathcal{L}_q}{\ln \mathcal{L}_q}, \quad \frac{\varphi(q)}{2q} \leq \frac{c_\varphi}{\ln \mathcal{L}_q},$$

where  $c_\omega$  and  $c_\varphi$  are absolute constants, we find

$$\left| Y_q - \frac{\varphi(q)}{q} Y \right| \leq q^{\frac{c_\omega \ln 2}{\ln \mathcal{L}_q}} < \frac{\varphi(q)}{2q} q^{\frac{1}{7}} < \frac{\varphi(q)}{2q} \left[ 0.5Nq^{-\frac{1}{6}} \right] = \frac{\varphi(q)}{2q} Y,$$

i.e.

$$Y_q > \frac{\varphi(q)}{2q} Y \geq \frac{c_\varphi Y}{\ln \mathcal{L}_q}.$$

Inserting the last inequality in (15), we express the parameter  $Y_q$  in terms of  $Y$ . We have

$$|S| \leq \frac{3^{\frac{1}{6}}}{c_\varphi^{\frac{1}{6}}} \cdot \frac{q^{\frac{1}{6}} N^{\frac{5}{6}} d^{\frac{1}{3}} (qNY)^{\frac{5}{6}} (\ln \mathcal{L}_q)^{\frac{1}{6}}}{Y^{\frac{1}{6}} Z^{\frac{1}{2}}} + 2^{-\frac{1}{3}} N^{\frac{2}{3}} q^{\frac{1}{9} + \frac{5}{2}} d^{\frac{2}{3}}.$$

Taking into account that  $Y = \left[0.5Nq^{-\frac{1}{6}}d\right]$ ,  $Z = \left[0.5q^{\frac{1}{6}}d^{-1}\right]$  and  $N < q^{\frac{7}{12}}d^{-\frac{1}{2}}$ , we find

$$\begin{aligned} |S| &\leq \frac{3^{\frac{1}{6}}}{c_\varphi^{\frac{1}{6}}} \cdot \frac{q^{\frac{1}{6}} N^{\frac{5}{6}} d^{\frac{1}{3}} \left(0.5q^{\frac{5}{6}} N^2 d\right)^{\frac{5}{6}} (\ln \mathcal{L}_q)^{\frac{1}{6}}}{(0.25Nq^{-\frac{1}{6}}d)^{\frac{1}{6}} (0.25q^{\frac{1}{6}}d^{-1})^{\frac{1}{2}}} + 2^{-\frac{1}{3}} N^{\frac{2}{3}} q^{\frac{1}{9} + \frac{5}{2}} d^{\frac{2}{3}} \\ &= \frac{2^{\frac{2}{3} - \frac{5}{6}} 3^{\frac{1}{6}}}{c_\varphi^{\frac{1}{6}}} \cdot q^{\frac{1}{9}} N^{\frac{2}{3}} d^{\frac{2}{3}} \left(q^{\frac{5}{6}} N^2 d\right)^{\frac{5}{6}} (\ln \mathcal{L}_q)^{\frac{1}{6}} + 2^{-\frac{1}{3}} N^{\frac{2}{3}} q^{\frac{1}{9} + \frac{5}{2}} d^{\frac{2}{3}} \\ &\leq \frac{2^{\frac{2}{3} - \frac{5}{6}} 3^{\frac{1}{6}}}{c_\varphi^{\frac{1}{6}}} \cdot N^{\frac{2}{3}} q^{\frac{1}{9}} d^{\frac{2}{3}} q^{\frac{5}{6}} (\ln \mathcal{L}_q)^{\frac{1}{6}} + 2^{-\frac{1}{3}} N^{\frac{2}{3}} q^{\frac{1}{9} + \frac{5}{2}} d^{\frac{2}{3}} \\ &= \left(2^{\frac{2}{3} - \frac{5}{6}} 3^{\frac{1}{6}} c_\varphi^{-\frac{1}{6}} q^{-\frac{5}{6}} (\ln \mathcal{L}_q)^{\frac{1}{6}} + 2^{-\frac{1}{3}}\right) N^{\frac{2}{3}} q^{\frac{1}{9} + \frac{5}{2}} d^{\frac{2}{3}} \leq N^{\frac{2}{3}} q^{\frac{1}{9} + \frac{5}{2}} d^{\frac{2}{3}}. \end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof of the Lemma.

**Lemma 3.2.** *Let  $(\eta\nu, q) = 1$ ,  $y \geq q^{\frac{1}{3} + \frac{5}{3}\delta}$ ,  $y \leq q$ ,  $D^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq q \leq D$  and  $\nu \leq \exp(\sqrt{2\mathcal{L}})$ , then*

$$S_y(u, \eta, \nu) = \sum_{\substack{u-y < n \leq u \\ (n, q) = 1, n \equiv \eta \pmod{\nu}}} \chi_q(n - \eta) \ll \frac{y}{\nu} \exp\left(-0.7\sqrt{\mathcal{L}}\right).$$

PROOF. We have an identity

$$S_y(u, \eta, \nu) = \sum_{d|q} \mu(d) \sum_{\substack{u-y < nd \leq u \\ nd \equiv \eta \pmod{\nu}}} \chi_q(nd - \eta).$$

Determining the number  $d_\nu^{-1}$  from the congruence  $dd_\nu^{-1} \equiv 1 \pmod{\nu}$ , we can rewrite the congruence  $nd \equiv \eta \pmod{\nu}$  as  $n \equiv \eta d_\nu^{-1} \pmod{\nu}$ . Further, representing the variable of the summation  $n$  as  $n = \eta d_\nu^{-1} + m\nu$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} S_y(u, \eta, \nu) &= \sum_{d|q} \mu(d) \sum_{u-y < (\eta d_\nu^{-1} + m\nu)d \leq u} \chi_q((\eta d_\nu^{-1} + m\nu)d - \eta) \\ &= \sum_{d|q} \mu(d) \sum_{\frac{u-y-\eta d d_\nu^{-1}}{d\nu} < m \leq \frac{u-\eta d d_\nu^{-1}}{d\nu}} \chi_q(m\nu d + \eta(dd_\nu^{-1} - 1)). \end{aligned}$$

Representing the congruence  $dd_\nu^{-1} \equiv 1 \pmod{\nu}$  in the form  $dd_\nu^{-1} - 1 = \nu k$ , where  $k = k(d, \nu)$  is uniquely defined for each pair of  $d$  and  $\nu$ , we have

$$(16) \quad S_y(u, \eta, \nu) = \chi_q(\nu) \sum_{d|q} \mu(d) S_y(u, \eta, \nu, d) \ll \sum_{d|q} \mu^2(d) |S_y(u, \eta, \nu, d)|,$$

$$S_y(u, \eta, \nu, d) = \sum_{u_1 - y_1 < m \leq u_1} \chi_q(md + \eta k), \quad u_1 = \frac{u - \eta}{d\nu} - \frac{\eta k}{d}, \quad y_1 = \frac{y}{d\nu}.$$

Part of the sum in the right side of (16), corresponding to the terms satisfying  $d \leq \exp(\sqrt{2\mathcal{L}})$ , will be denoted by  $S'_y(u, \eta, \nu)$ . Remaining terms are estimated using the trivial estimate for the sum  $S_y(u, \eta, \nu, d)$  and the Lemma 2.1:

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{\substack{d|q \\ d > \exp(\sqrt{2\mathcal{L}})}} \mu^2(d) |S_y(u, \eta, \nu, d)| &\leq \sum_{\substack{d|q \\ \exp(\sqrt{2\mathcal{L}}) < d}} \mu^2(d) \left(\frac{y}{d\nu} + 1\right) \\ &\leq \frac{y}{\nu} \sum_{\substack{d|q \\ \exp(\sqrt{2\mathcal{L}}) < d}} \frac{\mu^2(d)}{d} + \tau(q) \ll \frac{y}{\nu} \exp\left(-0.7\sqrt{\mathcal{L}}\right). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$(17) \quad S_y(u, \eta, \nu) \ll |S'_y(u, \eta, \nu)| + \frac{y}{\nu} \exp\left(-0.7\sqrt{\mathcal{L}}\right).$$

To estimate  $|S'_y(u, \eta, \nu)|$ , we consider the following cases:

- (1)  $y > \sqrt{q} \exp\left(\frac{c_\omega \mathcal{L}_q}{\ln \mathcal{L}_q}\right)$ ;  
(2)  $q^{\frac{1}{3} + \frac{8}{5}\delta} \leq y \leq \sqrt{q} \exp\left(\frac{c_\omega \mathcal{L}_q}{\ln \mathcal{L}_q}\right)$ .

1. To  $S_y(u, \eta, \nu, d)$  we apply a formula that establishes a relation between the values of primitive characters and the values of Gauss sums (see [18], Ch. VIII, §1, Lemma 3), which yields

$$\begin{aligned} S_y(u, \eta, \nu, d) &= \sum_{a=1}^q \sum_{\substack{u_1 - y_1 < m \leq u_1, \\ a \equiv md + \eta k \pmod{q}}} \chi_q(md + \eta k) \\ &= \sum_{a=1}^q \chi_q(a) \sum_{u_1 - y_1 < m \leq u_1} \frac{1}{q} \sum_{t=0}^{q-1} e\left(\frac{(a - md - \eta k)t}{q}\right) \\ &= \frac{\tau(\chi_q)}{q} \sum_{t=0}^{q-1} \bar{\chi}_q(t) e\left(\frac{-\eta kt}{q}\right) \sum_{u_1 - y_1 < m \leq u_1} e\left(\frac{-mdt}{q}\right). \end{aligned}$$

It follows from the conditions  $\nu \leq \exp(\sqrt{2\mathcal{L}})$ ,  $d \leq \exp(\sqrt{2\mathcal{L}})$  and the hypothesis of the present case that the last sum over  $m$  is nonempty. Using the equality

$$\sum_{m_1 \leq m \leq m_2} e\left(-\frac{mdt}{q}\right) = \frac{\sin \frac{\pi td(m_2 - m_1 + 1)}{q}}{\sin \frac{\pi td}{q}} e\left(-\frac{td(m_1 + m_2)}{2q}\right)$$

with integers  $m_1$  and  $m_2$ , passing to estimates, and taking into account that  $|\tau(\chi)| = \sqrt{q}$ , we find

$$\begin{aligned} |S_y(u, \eta, \nu, d)| &\leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{q}} \sum_{\substack{t=0 \\ (t, q)=1}}^{q-1} \left| \sin \frac{\pi t}{q/d} \right|^{-1} \\ &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{q}} \sum_{t_1=0}^{d-1} \sum_{\substack{t_2=0 \\ (q/dt_1 + t_2, q)=1}}^{q/d-1} \left| \sin \frac{\pi t_2}{q/d} \right|^{-1} \leq \frac{d}{\sqrt{q}} \sum_{t=1}^{q/d-1} \left| \sin \frac{\pi t}{q/d} \right|^{-1}. \end{aligned}$$

If  $q/d$  is an odd number, then

$$|S_y(u, \eta, \nu, d)| \leq \frac{2d}{\sqrt{q}} \sum_{t=1}^{\frac{q/d-1}{2}} \frac{1}{\left| \sin \frac{\pi t}{q/d} \right|} \leq \frac{2d}{\sqrt{q}} \sum_{t=1}^{\frac{q/d-1}{2}} \frac{q/d}{2t} = \sqrt{q} \sum_{t=1}^{\frac{q/d-1}{2}} \frac{1}{t},$$

since  $\sin \pi \alpha \geq 2\alpha$  for  $0 \leq \alpha \leq 1/2$ . Using the inequality  $\frac{1}{t} \leq \ln \frac{2t+1}{2t-1}$ , we then find

$$|S_y(u, \eta, \nu, d)| \leq \sqrt{q} \sum_{t=1}^{\frac{q/d-1}{2}} (\ln(2t+1) - \ln(2t-1)) = \sqrt{q} \ln q/d \leq \sqrt{q} \mathcal{L}_q.$$

If  $q/d$  is an even number, then

$$|S_y(u, \eta, \nu, d)| \leq \frac{2d}{\sqrt{q}} \sum_{t=1}^{\frac{q/d}{2}-1} \frac{1}{\left| \sin \frac{\pi t}{q/d} \right|} + \frac{d}{\sqrt{q}} \leq \frac{2d}{\sqrt{q}} \sum_{t=1}^{\frac{q/d}{2}-1} \frac{q/d}{2t} + \frac{d}{\sqrt{q}} \ll \sqrt{q} \mathcal{L}_q.$$

From this and from the definition of  $S'_y(u, \eta, \nu)$  we have

$$S'_y(u, \eta, \nu) \ll \sum_{\substack{d|q \\ d \leq \exp(\sqrt{2\mathcal{L}})}} \mu^2(d) \sqrt{q} \mathcal{L}_q \leq \sqrt{q} \mathcal{L}_q \sum_{d|q} \mu^2(d) = 2^{\omega(q)} \sqrt{q} \mathcal{L}_q.$$

Using the inequality (2) and relations  $\mathcal{L} \leq 2\mathcal{L}_q$ ,  $\nu \leq \exp(\sqrt{2\mathcal{L}}) \leq \exp(2\sqrt{\mathcal{L}_q})$ , we find

$$\begin{aligned} S'_y(u, \eta, \nu) &\ll \frac{\sqrt{q} \nu \exp\left(\omega(q) \ln 2 + \ln \mathcal{L}_q + 0.7\sqrt{\mathcal{L}}\right)}{y} \cdot \frac{y}{\nu} \exp\left(-0.7\sqrt{\mathcal{L}}\right) \\ &\leq \frac{\sqrt{q} \cdot \exp\left(c_\omega \ln 2 \frac{\mathcal{L}_q}{\ln \mathcal{L}_q} + 3\sqrt{\mathcal{L}_q} + \ln \mathcal{L}_q\right)}{y} \cdot \frac{y}{\nu} \exp\left(-0.7\sqrt{\mathcal{L}}\right) \\ &\leq \frac{\sqrt{q} \cdot \exp\left(\frac{c_\omega \mathcal{L}_q}{\ln \mathcal{L}_q}\right)}{y} \cdot \frac{y}{\nu} \exp\left(-0.7\sqrt{\mathcal{L}}\right) \ll \frac{y}{\nu} \exp\left(-0.7\sqrt{\mathcal{L}}\right). \end{aligned}$$

2. If  $(d, k) > 1$ , then  $S_y(u, \eta, \nu, d) = 0$ ; therefore, without loss of generality, we will assume that  $(d, k) = 1$  in  $S_y(u, \eta, \nu, d)$  and applying Lemma 3.1, obtain

$$|S_y(u, \eta, \nu, d)| \leq \left(\frac{y}{d\nu}\right)^{\frac{2}{3}} q^{\frac{1}{9} + \frac{\delta}{2}} d^{\frac{2}{3}} \leq \left(\frac{y}{\nu}\right)^{\frac{2}{3}} q^{\frac{1}{9} + \frac{\delta}{2}}.$$

From this and from the definition of  $S'_y(u, \eta, \nu)$  we have

$$|S'_y(u, \eta, \nu)| \ll \left(\frac{y}{\nu}\right)^{\frac{2}{3}} q^{\frac{1}{9} + \frac{\delta}{2}} \sum_{\substack{d|q \\ d \leq \exp(\sqrt{2\mathcal{L}})}} \mu^2(d) \ll \left(\frac{y}{\nu}\right)^{\frac{2}{3}} q^{\frac{1}{9} + \frac{\delta}{2}} 2^{\omega(q)}.$$

Using the inequality (2) and relation  $\mathcal{L} \leq 2\mathcal{L}_q$ , we find

$$\begin{aligned} |S'_y(u, \eta, \nu)| &\ll \left(\frac{y}{\nu}\right)^{\frac{2}{3}} q^{\frac{1}{9} + \frac{\delta}{2}} \exp\left(c_\omega \ln 2 \frac{\mathcal{L}_q}{\ln \mathcal{L}_q}\right) \\ &= \frac{y}{\nu} \exp\left(-0.7\sqrt{\mathcal{L}}\right) \cdot \left(\frac{q^{\frac{1}{3} + \frac{3\delta}{2}} \nu \cdot \exp\left(3c_\omega \ln 2 \frac{\mathcal{L}_q}{\ln \mathcal{L}_q} + 2, 1\sqrt{\mathcal{L}}\right)}{y}\right)^{\frac{1}{3}} \\ &< \frac{y}{\nu} \exp\left(-0.7\sqrt{\mathcal{L}}\right) \cdot \left(\frac{q^{\frac{1}{3} + \frac{3\delta}{2}} \cdot \exp\left(3c_\omega \ln 2 \frac{\mathcal{L}_q}{\ln \mathcal{L}_q} + 4\sqrt{\mathcal{L}_q}\right)}{y}\right)^{\frac{1}{3}} \\ &< \frac{y}{\nu} \exp\left(-0.7\sqrt{\mathcal{L}}\right) \cdot \left(\frac{q^{\frac{1}{3} + \frac{8\delta}{5}}}{y}\right)^{\frac{1}{3}} \ll \frac{y}{\nu} \exp\left(-0.7\sqrt{\mathcal{L}}\right). \end{aligned}$$

Inserting our estimate of  $|S'_y(u, \eta, \nu)|$  in (17) completes the proof of the Lemma.

#### 4. ESTIMATES OF DOUBLE CHARACTER SUMS

**Lemma 4.1.** *Let  $M, N, U$  be integers,  $N \leq U < 2N$ ,  $a_m$  and  $b_n$  are such integer-valued functions that*

$$\sum_{M < m \leq 2M} |a_m|^\alpha \ll M \mathcal{L}^{c_\alpha}, \quad \alpha = 1, 2; \quad |b_n| \ll B.$$

Then the following estimate holds

$$W = \sum_{M < m \leq 2M} a_m \sum_{\substack{U < n \leq \min(xm^{-1}, 2N) \\ (mn, q) = 1, mn \equiv l \pmod{\nu}}} b_n \chi_q(mn - l) \ll B \left( M^{\frac{3}{4}} N^{\frac{1}{2}} q^{\frac{1}{4}} + M^{\frac{3}{4}} N q^{\frac{1}{8} + \frac{\delta}{4}} \right) \mathcal{L}^{\frac{2c_1 + c_2}{4} + 1}.$$

PROOF. It follows from the condition  $(l, \nu) = 1$  that  $(mn, \nu) = 1$ . Denoting the inner sum in  $W$  by  $\mathcal{B}(m)$  and representing the congruence  $mn \equiv l \pmod{\nu}$  in the form  $n \equiv lm_\nu^{-1} \pmod{\nu}$ , we shall

transform other sum so that it does not depend on  $m$ . We have the identity

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{B}(m) &= \sum_{\substack{N < n \leq 2N \\ (n,q)=1}} b_n \chi_q(mn - l) \sum_{U < r \leq \min(xm^{-1}, 2N)} \\
&\quad \times \frac{1}{q} \sum_{k=0}^{q-1} e\left(\frac{k(n-r)}{q}\right) \frac{1}{\nu} \sum_{j=0}^{\nu-1} e\left(\frac{j(n-lm_\nu^{-1})}{\nu}\right) \\
&= \frac{1}{q\nu} \sum_{j=0}^{\nu-1} e\left(-\frac{lm_\nu^{-1}j}{\nu}\right) \sum_{k=0}^{q-1} \mathcal{B}(k\nu + jq, m) \sum_{U < r \leq \min(xm^{-1}, 2N)} e\left(-\frac{kr}{q}\right), \\
\mathcal{B}(k\nu + jq, m) &= \sum_{\substack{N < n \leq 2N \\ (n,q)=1}} b_n \chi_q(mn - l) e\left(\frac{(k\nu + jq)n}{q\nu}\right).
\end{aligned}$$

Denoting  $N' = \min([xm^{-1}], 2N)$ , extracting the term with  $k = 0$  and summing over  $r$ , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
|\mathcal{B}(m)| &\leq \frac{1}{q\nu} \sum_{j=0}^{\nu-1} e\left(-\frac{lm_\nu^{-1}j}{\nu}\right) ((N' - U) |\mathcal{B}(jq, m)| \\
&\quad + \sum_{k=1}^{q-1} |\mathcal{B}(k\nu + jq, m)| \frac{\sin \frac{\pi k(N' - U)}{q}}{\sin \frac{\pi k}{q}} e\left(-\frac{k(N' + 1 + U)}{2q}\right)).
\end{aligned}$$

Passing to the inequalities, we find

$$\begin{aligned}
|\mathcal{B}(m)| &\leq \frac{1}{\nu} \sum_{j=0}^{\nu-1} \left( \frac{N' - N}{q} |\mathcal{B}(jq, m)| + \frac{1}{q} \sum_{k \leq [q/2]} \frac{|\mathcal{B}(k\nu + jq, m)|}{\left| \sin \frac{\pi k}{q} \right|} \right. \\
&\quad \left. + \frac{1}{q} \sum_{q/2 < k \leq q-1} \frac{|\mathcal{B}(k\nu + jq, m)|}{\left| \sin \frac{\pi(q-k)}{q} \right|} \right).
\end{aligned}$$

Next, using the condition  $N' - N < q$ , inequalities  $\sin \pi \alpha \geq \alpha$ ,  $0 \leq \alpha \leq 0.5$  and  $\frac{1}{k} \leq \frac{2}{k+1}$ , where  $k \geq 1$  is an integer, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
|\mathcal{B}(m)| &\leq \frac{1}{\nu} \sum_{j=0}^{\nu-1} \left( |\mathcal{B}(jq, m)| + \sum_{k \leq [q/2]} \frac{|\mathcal{B}(k\nu + jq, m)|}{k} + \sum_{q/2 < k \leq q-1} \frac{|\mathcal{B}(k\nu + jq, m)|}{q-k} \right) \\
&\leq \frac{2}{\nu} \sum_{j=0}^{\nu-1} \sum_{k=0}^{q-1} \left( \frac{1}{k+1} + \frac{1}{q-k} \right) |\mathcal{B}(k\nu + jq, m)| \ll \mathcal{L} \max_{0 \leq j < \nu} \max_{0 \leq k < q} |\mathcal{B}(k\nu + jq, m)|.
\end{aligned}$$

From this and from the definition of  $W$ , we have

$$(18) \quad |W| \ll \mathcal{L} \max_{0 \leq j < \nu} \max_{0 \leq k < q} W(j, k), \quad W(j, k) = \sum_{\substack{M < m \leq 2M \\ (m,q)=1}} |a_m| |\mathcal{B}(k\nu + jq, m)|.$$

Let us estimate  $W(j, k)$ . Squaring both sides of the last equality and applying Hölder inequality, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
W^2(j, k) &\leq \sum_{\substack{M < m \leq 2M \\ (m,q)=1}} |a_m| \sum_{\substack{M < m \leq 2M \\ (m,q)=1}} |a_m| |\mathcal{B}(kd + jq, m)|^2 \\
&\ll M \mathcal{L}^{c_1} \sum_{\substack{M < m \leq 2M \\ (m,q)=1}} |a_m| |\mathcal{B}(kd + jq, m)|^2.
\end{aligned}$$

Now, squaring both sides of the last inequality and applying Cauchy inequality, we find

$$\begin{aligned}
W^4(j, k) &\ll M^2 \mathcal{L}^{2c_1} \sum_{\substack{M < m \leq 2M \\ (m, q) = 1}} |a_m|^2 \sum_{\substack{M < m \leq 2M \\ (m, q) = 1}} |\mathcal{B}(kd + jq, m)|^4 \\
&\ll M^3 \mathcal{L}^{2c_1 + c_2} \sum_{\substack{m=0 \\ (m, q) = 1}}^{q-1} \left| \sum_{\substack{N < n \leq 2N \\ (n, q) = 1}} b_n \chi_q(n - lm_q^{-1}) e\left(\frac{(kd + jq)n}{qd}\right) \right|^4 \\
&= M^3 \mathcal{L}^{2c_1 + c_2} \sum_{\substack{\lambda=0 \\ (\lambda, q) = 1}}^{q-1} \left| \sum_{\substack{N < n \leq 2N \\ (n, q) = 1}} b_n \chi_q(n + \lambda) e\left(\frac{(kd + jq)n}{qd}\right) \right|^4 \\
&\ll M^3 \mathcal{L}^{2c_1 + c_2} \sum_{\substack{N' < n_1, 2, n_3, n_4 \leq 2N \\ (n_1, 2, n_3, n_4, q) = 1}} |b_{n_1} b_{n_2} b_{n_3} b_{n_4}| \left| \sum_{\lambda=0}^{q-1} \chi\left(\frac{(\lambda + n_1)(\lambda + n_2)}{(\lambda + n_3)(\lambda + n_4)}\right) \right|^4.
\end{aligned}$$

Next, using the estimate  $|b_n| \ll B$ , and the Lemma 1.3, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
W^4(j, k) &\ll M^3 \mathcal{L}^{2c_1 + c_2} B^4 \sum_{1 \leq n_1, \dots, n_4 \leq 2N} \left| \sum_{\lambda=0}^{q-1} \chi\left(\frac{(\lambda + n_1)(\lambda + n_2)}{(\lambda + n_3)(\lambda + n_4)}\right) \right|^4 \\
&\ll M^3 \mathcal{L}^{2c_1 + c_2} B^4 \left(N^2 q + N^4 q^{\frac{1}{2} + \delta}\right) \ll B^4 \left(M^3 N^2 q + M^3 N^4 q^{\frac{1}{2} + \delta}\right) \mathcal{L}^{2c_1 + c_2}.
\end{aligned}$$

The Lemma now follows from this estimate and (18).

**Corollary 4.1.** *Let  $M, N, U$  be integers,  $N \leq U < 2N$ ,  $q^{\frac{1}{4} - \theta} \leq N \leq q^{\frac{1}{4} + \theta}$ ,  $D^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq q \leq D$ ,  $\nu \leq \exp(\sqrt{2}\mathcal{L})$ ,  $a_m$  and  $b_n$  are such integer-valued functions that  $|a_m| \leq \tau_5(m)$ ,  $|b_n| \leq 1$ . Then the following estimate holds for  $x \geq q^{\frac{3}{4} + \theta + 1, 1\delta}$ :*

$$W = \sum_{M < m \leq 2M} a_m \sum_{\substack{U < n \leq \min(xm^{-1}, 2N) \\ (mn, q) = 1, mn \equiv l \pmod{\nu}}} b_n \chi_q(mn - l) \ll \frac{x}{\nu} \exp(-0.7\sqrt{\mathcal{L}}).$$

PROOF. Taking into account that  $\ln M \ll \mathcal{L}$ , we have from the Lemma 1.6

$$\sum_{M < m \leq 2M} \tau_5(m) \ll M \mathcal{L}^4, \quad \sum_{M < m \leq 2M} \tau_5^2(m) \ll M \mathcal{L}^{24}.$$

Applying the Lemma 4.1 for  $c_1 = 4$ ,  $c_2 = 24$  and using conditions  $MN \leq x$ ,  $q^{\frac{1}{4} - \theta} \leq N \leq q^{\frac{1}{4} + \theta}$  and  $x \geq q^{\frac{3}{4} + \theta + 1, 1\delta}$ , we find that

$$\begin{aligned}
W &\ll \left(M^{\frac{3}{4}} N^{\frac{1}{2}} q^{\frac{1}{4}} + M^{\frac{3}{4}} N q^{\frac{1}{8}}\right) \mathcal{L}^9 \delta^{\frac{\delta}{4}} \leq x^{\frac{3}{4}} \left(N^{-\frac{1}{4}} q^{\frac{1}{4}} + N^{\frac{1}{4}} q^{\frac{1}{8}}\right) \mathcal{L}^9 q^{\frac{\delta}{4}} \\
&\ll x \left(\frac{qN^{-1}}{x} + \frac{Nq^{\frac{1}{2}}}{x}\right)^{\frac{1}{4}} \mathcal{L}^9 q^{\frac{\delta}{4}} \ll x \left(\frac{q^{\frac{3}{4} + \theta}}{x}\right)^{\frac{1}{4}} \mathcal{L}^9 q^{\frac{\delta}{4}} \ll x \mathcal{L}^9 q^{-\frac{\delta}{40}}.
\end{aligned}$$

Applying relations  $D^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq q \leq D$  and  $\nu \leq \exp(\sqrt{2}\mathcal{L})$ , we obtain

$$W \ll \frac{x}{\nu} \mathcal{L}^9 \exp(\sqrt{2}\mathcal{L}) D^{-\frac{\delta}{80}} \ll \frac{x}{\nu} \exp(-0.7\sqrt{\mathcal{L}}).$$

**Lemma 4.2.** *Let  $M, N, U$  be integers,  $N \leq U < 2N \leq q^{\frac{1}{6}}$ ,  $a_m$  and  $b_n$  are such integer-valued functions that*

$$\sum_{M < m \leq 2M} |a_m|^\alpha \ll M \mathcal{L}^{c_\alpha}, \quad \alpha = 1, 2; \quad |b_n| \ll B.$$

Then the following estimate holds

$$W = \sum_{M < m \leq 2M} a_m \sum_{\substack{U < n \leq \min(xm^{-1}, 2N) \\ (mn, q) = 1, mn \equiv l \pmod{\nu}}} b_n \chi_q(mn - l) \ll BM^{\frac{5}{6}} N^{\frac{1}{2}} q^{\frac{1}{6} + \frac{1}{6}\delta} \mathcal{L}^{\frac{4c_1 + c_2}{6} + 1}.$$

PROOF. Without loss of generality, we can assume that  $MN < x$ . Repeating the argument used in the proof of the previous lemma, we find

$$(19) \quad |W| \ll \mathcal{L} \max_{0 \leq j < \nu} \max_{0 \leq k < q} W(j, k), \quad W(j, k) = \sum_{\substack{M < m \leq 2M \\ (m, q) = 1}} |a_m| |\mathcal{B}(k\nu + jq, m)|.$$

$$\mathcal{B}(k\nu + jq, m) = \sum_{\substack{N < n \leq 2N \\ (n, q) = 1}} b_n \chi_q(mn - l) e\left(\frac{(k\nu + jq)n}{q\nu}\right).$$

Let us estimate  $W(j, k)$ . Cubing both sides of the identity and applying Hölder inequality, we have

$$W^3(j, k) \ll M^2 \mathcal{L}^{2c_1} \sum_{\substack{M < m \leq 2M \\ (m, q) = 1}} |a_m| |\mathcal{B}(kd + jq, m)|^3.$$

Now, squaring both sides of the last estimate and applying Cauchy inequality, we find

$$\begin{aligned} W^6(j, k) &\ll M^4 \mathcal{L}^{4c_1} \sum_{\substack{M < m \leq 2M \\ (m, q) = 1}} |a_m|^2 \sum_{\substack{M < m \leq 2M \\ (m, q) = 1}} |\mathcal{B}(kd + jq, m)|^6 \\ &\ll M^5 \mathcal{L}^{4c_1 + c_2} \sum_{\substack{m=0 \\ (m, q) = 1}}^{q-1} \left| \sum_{\substack{N < n \leq 2N \\ (n, q) = 1}} b_n \chi_q(n - lm_q^{-1}) e\left(\frac{(kd + jq)n}{qd}\right) \right|^6 \\ &= M^5 \mathcal{L}^{4c_1 + c_2} \sum_{\substack{\lambda=0 \\ (\lambda, q) = 1}}^{q-1} \left| \sum_{\substack{N < n \leq 2N \\ (n, q) = 1}} b_n \chi_q(n + \lambda) e\left(\frac{(kd + jq)n}{qd}\right) \right|^6 \\ &\ll M^5 \mathcal{L}^{4c_1 + c_2} \sum_{\substack{N' < n_1, \dots, n_6 \leq 2N \\ (n_1, \dots, n_6), q = 1}} |b_{n_1} \dots b_{n_6}| \left| \sum_{\lambda=0}^{q-1} \chi\left(\frac{(\lambda + n_1)(\lambda + n_2)(\lambda + n_3)}{(\lambda + n_4)(\lambda + n_5)(\lambda + n_6)}\right) \right|. \end{aligned}$$

Next, using the estimate  $|b_n| \ll B$  and the Lemma 1.4, we find that

$$W^6(j, k) \ll B^6 M^5 N^3 q^{1+\delta} \mathcal{L}^{4c_1 + c_2}.$$

The Lemma now follows from this estimate and (19).

**Corollary 4.2.** *Let  $M, N, U$  be integers,  $N \leq U < 2N$ ,  $q^\theta \leq N \leq q^{\frac{1}{6}}$ ,  $D^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq q \leq D$ ,  $\nu \leq \exp(\sqrt{2\mathcal{L}})$ ,  $a_m$  and  $b_n$  are such integer-valued functions that  $|a_m| \leq \tau_5(m)$ ,  $|b_n| \leq 1$ . Then the following estimate holds for  $x \geq q^{1-2\theta+1, 1\delta}$ :*

$$W = \sum_{M < m \leq 2M} a_m \sum_{\substack{U < n \leq \min(xm^{-1}, 2N) \\ (mn, q) = 1, mn \equiv l \pmod{\nu}}} b_n \chi_q(mn - l) \ll \frac{x}{\nu} \exp\left(-0.7\sqrt{\mathcal{L}}\right).$$

PROOF. Taking into account that  $\ln M \ll \mathcal{L}$ , we have from the Lemma 1.6 that

$$\sum_{M < m \leq 2M} \tau_5(m) \ll M \mathcal{L}^4, \quad \sum_{M < m \leq 2M} \tau_5^2(m) \ll M \mathcal{L}^{24}.$$

Applying the Lemma  $x \geq q^{1-2\theta+1, 1\delta}$  for  $c_1 = 4$ ,  $c_2 = 24$  and using conditions  $MN \leq x$ ,  $N \geq q^\theta$  and  $x \geq q^{1-2\theta+1, 1\delta}$ , we find that

$$\begin{aligned} W &\ll (MN)^{\frac{5}{6}} N^{-\frac{1}{3}} q^{\frac{1}{6} + \frac{1}{6}\delta} \mathcal{L}^{\frac{20}{3}} \leq x^{\frac{5}{6}} N^{-\frac{1}{3}} q^{\frac{1}{6} + \frac{1}{6}\delta} \mathcal{L}^{\frac{20}{3}} = \\ &= x \left(\frac{N^{-2} q^{1+\delta}}{x}\right)^{\frac{1}{6}} \mathcal{L}^{\frac{20}{3}} \leq x \left(\frac{q^{1-2\theta+\delta}}{x}\right)^{\frac{1}{6}} \mathcal{L}^{\frac{20}{3}} \ll x q^{-\frac{6}{60}} \mathcal{L}^{\frac{20}{3}}. \end{aligned}$$



Applying relations  $D^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq q \leq D$  and  $\nu \leq \exp(\sqrt{2\mathcal{L}})$ , we obtain

$$W \ll \frac{x}{\nu} \mathcal{L}^{\frac{20}{3}} \exp(\sqrt{2\mathcal{L}}) D^{-\frac{6}{120}} \ll \frac{x}{\nu} \exp(-0.7\sqrt{\mathcal{L}}).$$

## 5. PROOF OF THE THEOREM 1.1

Without loss of generality, we shall assume that

$$x = D^{\frac{5}{6}+\varepsilon} \geq q^{\frac{5}{6}+\varepsilon}.$$

Having in mind that the contribution of terms satisfying  $(n, q) > 1$  in the sum  $T(\chi)$  has order of magnitude  $\ll \mathcal{L}^2$  and using that  $\chi_q$  is a primitive character generated by a non-principal character  $\chi$  and  $q_1$  is a product of primes, dividing  $D$ , but not  $q$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} T(\chi) &= \sum_{\substack{n \leq x \\ (n, q) = 1}} \Lambda(n) \chi(n-l) + O(\mathcal{L}^2) = \sum_{\substack{n \leq x, (n, q) = 1 \\ (n-l, q_1) = 1}} \Lambda(n) \chi_q(n-l) + O(\mathcal{L}^2) \\ &= \sum_{\nu | q_1} \mu(\nu) T(\chi_q, \nu) + O(\mathcal{L}^2), \quad T(\chi_q, \nu) = \sum_{\substack{n \leq x, (n, q) = 1 \\ n \equiv l \pmod{\nu}}} \Lambda(n) \chi_q(n-l). \end{aligned}$$

Part of the sum  $T(\chi)$  corresponding to terms satisfying the condition  $\exp(\sqrt{2\mathcal{L}}) < \nu \leq x$  shall be denoted by  $T_1(\chi)$ . Let us estimate  $T_1(\chi)$  using the trivial estimate for the sum  $T(\chi_q, \nu)$  and the Lemma 2.1:

$$|T_1(\chi)| \ll \mathcal{L} \sum_{\substack{\nu | q_1 \\ \nu > \exp \sqrt{2\mathcal{L}}}} \mu^2(\nu) \left( \frac{x}{\nu} + 1 \right) \ll x \mathcal{L} \sum_{\substack{\nu | q_1 \\ \nu > \exp \sqrt{2\mathcal{L}}}} \frac{\mu^2(\nu)}{\nu} \ll x \mathcal{L} \exp(-0.7\sqrt{\mathcal{L}}).$$

Therefore

$$(20) \quad T(\chi) = \sum_{\substack{\nu | q_1 \\ \nu \leq \exp(\sqrt{2\mathcal{L}})}} \mu(\nu) T(\chi_q, \nu) + O\left(x \mathcal{L} \exp(-0.7\sqrt{\mathcal{L}})\right).$$

Let us estimate  $T(\chi_q, \nu)$  for  $\nu \leq \exp \sqrt{2\mathcal{L}}$  and  $(\nu, l) = (q, l) = (\nu, q) = 1$ . The sum  $T(\chi_q, \nu)$  is studied in detail in the Lemma 5 of [27] and we use the following estimate

$$|T(\chi_q, \nu)| \leq 10x \ln^5 x \left( \sqrt{\frac{1}{q\nu^2}} + \frac{q}{x} + x^{-\frac{1}{6}} \nu^{-\frac{1}{2}} + x^{-\frac{1}{3}} q^{\frac{1}{6}} \nu^{-\frac{1}{3}} \right) \tau(q).$$

For  $x > q^{1+1,2\varepsilon}$  and  $q \geq \exp \sqrt{2\mathcal{L}}$  the last estimate gives the following non-trivial estimate for the sum

$$|T(\chi_q, \nu)| \ll \frac{x}{\nu} \exp(-0.7\sqrt{\mathcal{L}}).$$

Thus, henceforth we shall assume that  $x \leq q^{1+1,2\varepsilon}$ , i.e.

$$(21) \quad D^{\frac{5}{6}} \leq q \leq D.$$

Setting  $u = x^{\frac{1}{3}}$ ,  $r = 3$  in the Lemma 1.1 and

$$f(n) = \begin{cases} \chi_q(n-l), & \text{for } (n, q) = 1 \text{ and } n \equiv l \pmod{\nu}; \\ 0, & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

we find

$$(22) \quad T(\chi_q, \nu) = \sum_{k=1}^3 (-1)^k C_3^k \tilde{T}_k(\chi_q, \nu),$$

$$\tilde{T}_k(\chi_q, \nu) = \sum_{m_1 \leq u} \mu(m_1) \cdots \sum_{\substack{m_k \leq u \\ m_1 \cdots m_k n_1 \cdots n_k \leq x, (m_1 \cdots m_k n_1 \cdots n_k, q) = 1, m_1 \cdots m_k n_1 \cdots n_k \equiv l \pmod{\nu}}} \mu(m_k) \sum_{n_1} \cdots \sum_{n_k} \ln n_1 \chi_q(m_1 n_1 \cdots m_k n_k - l),$$

Let us divide in  $T_k(\chi_q, \nu)$  the limits of each variable  $m_1, \dots, m_k, n_1, \dots, n_k$  into not more than  $\mathcal{L}$  intervals of the form  $M_j < m_j \leq 2M_j$ ,  $N_j < n_j \leq 2N_j$ ,  $j = 1, 2, \dots, k$ . We obtain not more than  $\mathcal{L}^{2k}$  sums of the form

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{T}_k(\chi_q, \nu) &= \sum_{M_1 < m_1 \leq 2M_1} \mu(m_1) \cdots \sum_{\substack{M_k < m_k \leq 2M_k \\ m_1 n_1 \cdots m_k n_k \leq x, \\ (m_1 n_1 \cdots m_k n_k, q)=1, \\ m_1 n_1 \cdots m_k n_k \equiv l \pmod{\nu}}} \mu(m_k) \sum_{N_1 < n_1 \leq 2N_1} \cdots \sum_{N_k < n_k \leq 2N_k} \chi_q(m_1 n_1 \cdots m_k n_k - l) \ln n_1 \\ &= \int_1^{2N_1} \sum_{M_1 < m_1 \leq 2M_1} \mu(m_1) \cdots \sum_{\substack{M_k < m_k \leq 2M_k \\ m_1 n_1 \cdots m_k n_k \leq x, \\ (m_1 n_1 \cdots m_k n_k, q)=1, \\ m_1 n_1 \cdots m_k n_k \equiv l \pmod{\nu}}} \mu(m_k) \sum_{\max(u, N_1) < n_1 \leq 2N_1} \cdots \sum_{N_k < n_k \leq 2N_k} \chi_q(m_1 n_1 \cdots m_k n_k - l) d \ln u. \end{aligned}$$

Let us denote by  $U_1 = \max(u, N_1)$  such number  $u$  for which the integrand takes on its maximum value. Then we have the following inequality  $|\hat{T}_k(\chi_q, \nu)| \ll \mathcal{L} |T_k(\chi_q, \nu)|$ , where

$$(23) \quad T_k(\chi_q, \nu) = \sum_{\substack{M_1 < m_1 \leq 2M_1 \\ m_1 n_1 \cdots m_k n_k \leq x, \\ (m_1 n_1 \cdots m_k n_k, q)=1, \\ m_1 n_1 \cdots m_k n_k \equiv l \pmod{\nu}}} \mu(m_1) \cdots \sum_{M_k < m_k \leq 2M_k} \mu(m_k) \sum_{U_1 < n_1 \leq 2N_1} \cdots \sum_{U_k < n_k \leq 2N_k} \chi_q(m_1 n_1 \cdots m_k n_k - l),$$

$$(23) \quad x^{\frac{1}{3}} > M_1 \geq M_2 \geq \cdots \geq M_k, \quad N_1 \geq N_2 \geq \cdots \geq N_k, N_j \leq U_j < 2N_j.$$

From this and the estimates (22), (20), we obtain

$$(24) \quad |T(\chi)| \ll \sum_{\substack{\nu | q_1 \\ \nu \leq \exp(\sqrt{2\mathcal{L}})}} \mu^2(\nu) \sum_{k=1}^3 \mathcal{L}^6 \max |T_k(\chi_q, \nu)| + x \exp(-0.6\sqrt{\mathcal{L}}).$$

Let us introduce the following notations:

$$\prod_{j=1}^k M_j N_j = Y, \quad \prod_{j=1}^k M_j U_j = X, \quad Y < X \leq x,$$

and further we shall assume that

$$(25) \quad Y \geq x \exp(-\sqrt{\mathcal{L}}),$$

since otherwise, estimating  $T_k(\chi_q, \nu)$  trivially, we have

$$\begin{aligned} T_k(\chi_q, \nu) &\ll \sum_{\substack{X < n \leq 2^k Y \\ n \equiv l \pmod{\nu}}} \tau_{2k}(n) \ll \frac{2^k Y}{\nu} \mathcal{L}^{2k-1} \\ &\leq \frac{x}{\nu} 2^k \mathcal{L}^{2k-1} \exp(-\sqrt{\mathcal{L}}) \ll \frac{x}{\nu} \exp(-0.7\sqrt{\mathcal{L}}). \end{aligned}$$

The sums  $T_k(\chi_q, \nu)$ ,  $k = 1, 2, 3$  are estimated in the same way. For example, we shall estimate the sum  $T_3(\chi_q, \nu)$  and consider the following possible values for the parameter  $N_1$ :

- (1)  $N_1 > q^{\frac{1}{3} + \frac{16}{27}\varepsilon}$ ;
- (2)  $q^{\frac{1}{6}} < N_1 \leq q^{\frac{1}{3} + \frac{16}{27}\varepsilon}$ ;
- (3)  $q^{\frac{1}{12}} < N_1 \leq q^{\frac{1}{6}}$ ,
- (4)  $N_1 < q^{\frac{1}{12}}$ .

In considering the cases 1, 2, we shall transform the sum  $T_3(\chi_q, \nu)$  and rewrite it in the form

$$T_3(\chi_q, \nu) = \sum_{XU_1^{-1} < m \leq 2^5 Y N_1^{-1}} a_m \sum_{\substack{U_1 < n \leq 2N_1, mn \leq x \\ (mn, q)=1, mn \equiv l \pmod{\nu}}} \chi_q(mn - l), \quad |a_m| \leq \tau_5(m),$$

Next, we shall divide the interval of summation  $XU_1^{-1} < m \leq 2^5 Y N_1^{-1}$  into smaller intervals of the form  $M < m \leq 2M$ . We shall obtain not more than 5 sums of the form

$$T_3(\chi_q, \nu, M) = \sum_{M < m \leq 2M} a_m \sum_{\substack{U_1 < n \leq \min(xm^{-1}, 2N_1) \\ (mn, q)=1, mn \equiv l \pmod{\nu}}} \chi_q(mn - l).$$

**Case 1.**  $N_1 > q^{\frac{1}{3} + \frac{16}{27}\varepsilon}$ . Determining the number  $m_q^{-1}$  from the congruence  $mm_q^{-1} \equiv 1 \pmod{q}$  and passing to the estimate, we find

$$|T_3(\chi_q, \nu, M)| \leq \sum_{\substack{M < m \leq 2M \\ (m, q) = 1}} \tau_5(m) \left| \sum_{\substack{U_1 < n \leq \min(xm^{-1}, 2N_1) \\ (n, q) = 1, mn \equiv l \pmod{\nu}}} \chi_q(mn - l) \right|.$$

Applying the Lemma 3.2 to the sum over  $n$  for

$$\delta = \frac{10}{27}\varepsilon, \quad \eta = lm_q^{-1}, \quad u = \min(xm^{-1}, 2N_1), \quad y = \min(xm^{-1}, 2N_1) - U_1 \leq N_1,$$

and using the condition  $N_1 > q^{\frac{1}{3} + \frac{16}{27}\varepsilon}$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} |T_3(\chi_q, \nu, M)| &\ll M \mathcal{L}^4 \cdot \frac{N_1}{\nu} \exp(-0.7\sqrt{\mathcal{L}}) \\ &\leq \frac{2^5 Y}{\nu} \mathcal{L}^4 \exp(-0.7\sqrt{\mathcal{L}}) \ll \frac{x}{\nu} \mathcal{L}^4 \exp(-0.7\sqrt{\mathcal{L}}). \end{aligned}$$

**Case 2.**  $q^{\frac{1}{6}} < N_1 \leq q^{\frac{1}{3} + \frac{16}{27}\varepsilon}$ . We shall use the Corollary 4.1 of the Lemma 4.1 for

$$U = U_1, \quad N = N_1, \quad \theta = \frac{1}{12} + \frac{16}{27}\varepsilon, \quad \delta = \frac{10}{27}\varepsilon, \quad b_n = 1.$$

Then, for  $x \geq q^{\frac{3}{4} + \theta + 1.1\delta} = q^{\frac{5}{6} + \varepsilon}$  we have

$$|T_3(\chi_q, \nu, M)| \ll \frac{x}{\nu} \exp(-0.7\sqrt{\mathcal{L}}).$$

**Case 3.**  $q^{\frac{1}{12}} < N_1 \leq q^{\frac{1}{6}}$ . The conditions of the Corollary 4.2 are satisfied for the sum  $T_3(\chi_q, \nu, M)$  for

$$U = U_1, \quad N = N_1, \quad \theta = \frac{1}{12}, \quad b_n = 1, \quad \delta = \frac{10}{27}\varepsilon.$$

Applying this Corollary for  $x \geq q^{1-2\theta+1.1\delta} = q^{\frac{5}{6} + \frac{11}{27}\varepsilon}$ , we obtain

$$|T_3(\chi_q, \nu, M)| \ll \frac{x}{\nu} \exp(-0.7\sqrt{\mathcal{L}}).$$

**Case 4.**  $N_1 < q^{\frac{1}{12}}$ . We shall transform the sum  $T_3(\chi_q, \nu)$  by rewriting it in the form

$$T_3(\chi_q, \nu) = \sum_{XM_1^{-1} < m \leq 2^5 Y M_1^{-1}} a_m \sum_{\substack{M_1 < n \leq 2M_1, mn \leq x \\ (mn, q) = 1, mn \equiv l \pmod{\nu}}} \mu(n) \chi_q(mn - l), \quad |a_m| \leq \tau_5(m),$$

and divide the interval of summation  $XM_1^{-1} < m \leq 2^5 Y M_1^{-1}$  into smaller intervals of the form  $M < m \leq 2M$ . We shall obtain not more than 5 sums of the form

$$T_3(\chi_q, \nu, M) = \sum_{M < m \leq 2M} a_m \sum_{\substack{M_1 < n \leq \min(xm^{-1}, 2M_1) \\ (mn, q) = 1, mn \equiv l \pmod{\nu}}} \mu(n) \chi_q(mn - l).$$

Using the relations (23), (25), conditions of the case 4 and the relations (21), we have

$$\begin{aligned} M_1 &\geq (M_1 M_2 M_3)^{\frac{1}{3}} = \left( \frac{Y}{N_1 N_2 N_3} \right)^{\frac{1}{3}} \geq \frac{Y^{\frac{1}{3}}}{N_1} \geq \frac{\left( x \exp(-\sqrt{\mathcal{L}}) \right)^{\frac{1}{3}}}{N_1} \\ &\geq \frac{q^{\frac{5}{18} + \frac{5}{18}\varepsilon} \exp(-0.4\sqrt{\mathcal{L}})}{q^{\frac{1}{12}}} > q^{\frac{7}{36}}, \\ M_1 &\leq x^{\frac{1}{3}} = D^{\frac{5}{18} + \frac{\varepsilon}{3}} \leq q^{\frac{1}{3} + \frac{2}{5}\varepsilon}. \end{aligned}$$

It follows that the conditions of the Corollary 4.1 are satisfied for the sum  $T_3(\chi_q, \nu, M)$  for

$$U = M_1, \quad N = M_1, \quad \theta = \frac{1}{12} + \frac{2}{5}\varepsilon, \quad \delta = \frac{10}{27}\varepsilon, \quad b_n = \mu(n)$$

Applying this Corollary for

$$x \geq q^{\frac{3}{4} + \theta + 1, 1\delta} = q^{\frac{5}{6} + \frac{109}{135}\epsilon},$$

we obtain

$$|T_3(\chi_q, \nu, M)| \ll \frac{x}{\nu} \exp\left(-0.7\sqrt{\mathcal{L}}\right).$$

The Lemma now follows by inserting the estimates  $T_k(\chi_q, \nu)$ ,  $k = 1, 2, 3$  into (24).

#### REFERENCES

- [1] D. A. Burgess, *On character sums and  $L$  - series*, Proc. London Math. Soc. 1962, v. 12, no 3, pp. 193-206.
- [2] D. A. Burgess, *The character sum estimate with  $r = 3$* , J. London Math. Soc. **33** (1986). 219-226.
- [3] J. B. Friedlander, K. Gong, I. E. Shparlinski, *Character Sums over Shifted Primes*, Math. Notes, **88:3-4** (2010), 585-598.
- [4] M. Jutila, *On the least Goldbach's number in an arithmetical progression with a prime difference*, Ann. Univ. Turku; Ser. A., I, **118** (1968).
- [5] D. R. Heath-Brown, *Prime numbers in short intervals and a generalized Vaughan identity*, Canad. J. Math. 34, 1982, 1365-1377.
- [6] M. N. Huxley, *On the difference between consecutive primes*, Inventiones mathematicae, June, **15:2** (1971), 164-170.
- [7] A. A. Karatsuba, *Arithmetic problems in the theory of Dirichlet characters*, Russian Math. Surveys, **63:4** (2008), 641-690.
- [8] A. A. Karatsuba, *Sums of characters, and primitive roots, in finite fields*, Dokl. AN SSSR, **180** (1968), 1287-1289, (Russian).
- [9] A. A. Karatsuba, *Estimates of character sums*, Math. USSR-Izv., **4:1** (1970), 19-29.
- [10] A. A. Karatsuba, *Sums of characters over prime numbers*, Math. USSR-Izv., **4:2** (1970), 303-326.
- [11] A. A. Karatsuba, *Sums of characters with prime numbers*, Dokl. AN SSSR, **190** (1970), 517-518, (Russian).
- [12] A. A. Karatsuba, *The distribution of products of shifted prime numbers in arithmetic progressions*, Dokl. AN SSSR, **192** (1970), 724-727, (Russian).
- [13] A. A. Karatsuba, *Sums of characters with prime numbers in an arithmetic progression*, Math. USSR-Izv., **5:3** (1971), 485-501.
- [14] A. A. Karatsuba, *Sums of characters in sequences of shifted prime numbers, with applications*, Math. Notes, **17:1** (1975), 91-93.
- [15] A. A. Karatsuba, *Some problems of contemporary analytic number theorem*, Math. Notes, **17:2** (1975), 195-199 .
- [16] A. A. Karatsuba, *Distribution of values of nonprincipal characters*, Proc. Steklov Inst. Math., **142** (1979), 165-174.
- [17] A. A. Karatsuba, *Sums of Legendre symbols of polynomials of second degree over prime numbers*, Math. USSR-Izv., **12:2** (1978), 299-308.
- [18] A. A. Karatsuba, *Osnovy analiticheskoi teorii chisel*, 2-e izd., Nauka, M., 1983 , 240 pp., (Russian).
- [19] B. Kerr, *On certain exponential and character sums*, PhD Thesis, UNSW, 2017.
- [20] Ju. V. Linnik, *Some conditional theorems concerning binary problems with prime numbers*, Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR Ser. Mat., **16** (1952), 503-520. (Russian).
- [21] Ju. V. Linnik, *Recent works of I. M. Vinogradov*, Trudy Mat. Inst. Steklov., **132**, 1973, 27-29; Proc. Steklov Inst. Math., **132** (1975), 25-28.
- [22] K. K. Mardjhanashvili, *An estimate for an arithmetic sum*, Doklady Akad. Nauk SSSR, **22:7**, 391-393, (Russian).
- [23] K. Prachar, *Über die Anwendung einer Methode von Linnik*, Acta Arith. **29** (1976), 367-376.
- [24] K. Prachar, *Bemerkungen über Primzahlen in kurzen Reihen. [Remarks on primes in short sequences]*, Acta Arith. **44** (1984), 175-180.
- [25] Z. Kh. Rakhmonov *On the distribution of values of Dirichlet characters*, Russian Math. Surveys 41 (1986), no. 1, 237-238.
- [26] Z. Kh. Rakhmonov, *Estimation of the sum of characters with primes*, Dokl. Akad. Nauk Tadzhik. SSR, **29:1**, 16-20. (Russian).
- [27] Z. Kh. Rakhmonov, *On the distribution of the values of Dirichlet characters and their applications*, Proc. Steklov Inst. Math., **207:6** (1995), 263-272.
- [28] Z. Kh. Rakhmonov, *The least Goldbach number in an arithmetic progression*, Izv. Akad. Nauk Tadzhik. SSR Otdel. Fiz.-Mat. Khim. i Geol. Nauk **2(100)**, 1986, 103-106, (Russian).
- [29] Z. Kh. Rakhmonov, *Distribution of values of Dirichlet characters in the sequence of shifted primes*, Doklady Akademii nauk Respubliki Tajikistan, **56:1** (2013), 5-9, (Russian).

- [30] Z. Kh. Rakhmonov, *Distribution of values of Dirichlet characters in the sequence of shifted primes*, Izv. Saratov Univ. (N.S.), Ser. Math. Mech. Inform., 13:4(2) (2013), 113-117, (Russian).
- [31] Z. Kh. Rakhmonov, *Sums of characters over prime numbers*, Chebyshevskii Sb., **15:2** (2014), 73-100. (Russian).
- [32] Z. Kh. Rakhmonov, *The sums of the values of nonprincipal characters from the sequence of shifted prime*, Trudy Mat. Inst. Steklov, **299** (2017), 1-27, (Russian).
- [33] Z. Kh. Rakhmonov, *On the estimation of the sum the values of Dirichlet character in a sequence of shifted primes*, Doklady Akademii nauk Respubliki Tajikistan, **60:9** (2017), 378-382, (Russian).
- [34] Z. Kh. Rakhmonov *A theorem on the mean value of  $\psi(x, \chi)$  and its applications*,
- [35] A. I. Vinogradov, *On numbers with small prime divisors*, Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR, **109:4** (1956), 683-686, (Russian).
- [36] I. M. Vinogradov, *Some general lemmas and their application to the estimation of trigonometrical sums*, Rec. Math. [Mat. Sbornik] N.S., **3(45):3** (1938), 435-471, (Russian).
- [37] I. M. Vinogradov, *An improvement of the estimation of sums with primes*, Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR Ser. Mat., **7:1** (1943), 17-34, (Russian).
- [38] I. M. Vinogradov, *New approach to the estimation of a sum of values of  $\chi(p+k)$* , Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR Ser. Mat., **16:3** (1952), 197-210. (Russian).
- [39] I. M. Vinogradov, *Improvement of an estimate for the sum of the values  $\chi(p+k)$* , Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR Ser. Mat., **17:4** (1953), 285-290. (Russian).
- [40] I. M. Vinogradov, *An estimate for a certain sum extended over the primes of an arithmetic progression*, Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR Ser. Mat., **30:3** (1966), 481-496, (Russian).
- [41] Wang Yuan, *On Linnik's method concerning the Goldbach number*, Sci. Sinica, **20** (1977), 16-30.

A.DZHURAEV INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS, NATIONAL ACADEMY OF SCIENCES OF TAJIKISTAN

Email address: [zarullo.rakhmonov@gmail.com](mailto:zarullo.rakhmonov@gmail.com), [zarullo-r@rambler.ru](mailto:zarullo-r@rambler.ru)