

HOW MANY LINKS FIT IN A BOX?

MICHAEL H. FREEDMAN

ABSTRACT. In an earlier note [Fre24] it was shown that there is an upper bound to the number of disjoint Hopf links (and certain related links) that can be embedded in the unit cube where there is a fixed separation required between the components within each copy of the Hopf link. The arguments relied on multi-linear properties of linking number and certain other link invariants. Here we produce a very similar upper bound for all non-trivial links by a more-general, entirely geometric, argument (but one which, unlike the original, has no analog in higher dimensions). Shortly after the initial paper, [MP24] proved lower bounds which still provide a converse to our Theorem 1 in the case that only a bounded number of link types appear among the set $\{L_i\}$ as N increases.

1. EMBEDDING LINKS

We are concerned with smooth links embedded inside the unit cube I^3 . For the purposes of this note, a *true link* is a link of two or more components which is not split into pieces by any separating 2-sphere. In contrast, a link is called *split* if there is an embedded 2-sphere which separates some component(s) from others. We say a true link is ϵ -embedded if no two distinct components approach within distance ϵ . The disjoint union of true links is the link type obtained by placing each true link within its own topologically separating 2-sphere.

Theorem 1. *Suppose L is the disjoint union $L = \sqcup_{i=1}^N L_i$, where each L_i is a true link, and that L embeds in the unit cube I^3 so that the embedding restricted to each L_i is an ϵ -embedding, then there is a constant $\gamma > 0$ (independent of L) so that $N < e^{\gamma\epsilon^{-3}}$.*

In particular, there is an upper bound to how many distinct true links can be placed in a box maintaining a fixed distance between the components of each of the true sublinks. I thank Fedya Manin for comments on an earlier draft.

Proof. Give I^3 a fine triangulation of bounded geometry, i.e. $\max \text{valence} := v = O(1)$,¹ and whose number of vertices $V = O(\epsilon^{-3})$, so that all the dual cells all have diameter $< \frac{\epsilon}{2}$. We assume the link L is in generic position w.r.t. this cell structure. For each i , $1 \leq i \leq N$, define a two-coloring c_i of the V dual cells using colors: black, and white. To form the coloring c_i , color every dual cell black iff it meets a component of L_i ; color the remaining cells white. Define the codimension zero

Date: March 20, 2025.

¹J.H.C. Whitehead [Whi40] introduced a method for constructing fine triangulations of bounded valence on any smooth manifold.

submanifold B_i , $1 \leq i \leq N$, to be the union of all black cells in the c_i coloring. B_i is a neighborhood of L_i with $\pi_0(L_i) \rightarrow \pi_0(B_i)$ an isomorphism, but not, in general, a tubular neighborhood.

The number of possible colorings of this type is no more than $2^{O(\epsilon^{-3})}$, which we denote by n . This will be important since if the number N of true links making up L exceeds this quantity, then by the pigeonhole principle, for some $i \neq j$, c_i and c_j will be identical. In fact, if we assume for a contradiction that $N \geq e^{\gamma\epsilon^{-3}}$, then for large enough γ we can ensure that there are at least $x > \text{const} \cdot V$ identical colorings c_{i_1}, \dots, c_{i_x} in our list of N 2-colorings, for any positive constant const . We suppose this is the case for a sufficiently large const ., and call their common black region B . The x distinct true links, which, by re-ordering, we take to be $\{L_1, \dots, L_x\}$, whose neighborhood is B must have the same number of components, call it p , which is also the number of components of B . Now consider how the splitting spheres for L , collectively called S , pass through this submanifold B of I^3 .

We assume S to be transverse to $Y := \partial B$. We now use standard 3D techniques to modify B to B' retaining $\{L_1, \dots, L_x\} \subset B'$ and achieving $B' \cap S = \emptyset$.

Let us look first at a scc α in $S \cap Y$ which is innermost in S . Call F an innermost disk of S that α bounds. There are four cases: α may be trivial or nontrivial in Y and $\text{int}(F)$ may lie in B or its complement W . Suppose α is trivial in Y as witnessed by a disk $E \subset Y$ with boundary also α . This $E \cup F$ bounds a 3-ball C which is either in B , $C \subset B$, or oppositely $\text{int}(C) \subset W$. In the first case, we modify B by an ambient isotopy which subtracts C from B , in the latter case we modify B by adding C to B ; in both cases, call the result B' . In the first case, no component of any of the links $\{L_1, \dots, L_x\}$ can lie in C , nor meet ∂C , by the “true link” assumption and the isomorphism (actually, just the injectivity) on π_0 . If one component of some L_i , $1 \leq i \leq x$, lay in C , then all of L_i must, contradicting injectivity on π_0 . So, an ambient isotopy supported near B' carries B' onto B and fixes $\{L_1, \dots, L_x\}$. In the second case, since all L_i , $1 \leq i \leq x$ have neighborhoods equal to B , they must be disjoint from C . We abuse notation slightly by referring to all future modifications of B as B' .

Now consider the case α is non-trivial in Y . If $F \subset B$, compress Y along F to delete an essential 2-handle from B . If, on the other hand, $\text{int}(F) \subset W$, then add a 2-handle with core F to B . In either case, the result $Y' := \partial B'$ has had its complexity reduced. To measure this complexity, define a norm on closed oriented surfaces similar to the Gromov-Thurston norm as $\|Y\| = \sum(|\chi(Y_k)| + 1)$, where the sum is taken over all components Y_k of Y of positive genus (exclude 2-spheres), and χ is Euler characteristic. Evidently compression (or surgery) on essential scc strictly reduces this norm. Now proceed to remove S -innermost scc of intersection with Y either by isotopy when the scc are trivial in Y or by compression/surgery when the scc are non-trivial. This process retains the injectivity $\pi_0(L_i) \rightarrow \pi_0(B')$, $1 \leq i \leq x$, but not surjectivity. It is easy to give an upper bound $O(v \cdot V)$ on the complexity of the initial surface Y , since the components of B are obtained collectively by gluing up at most V 3-cells along at most v faces per gluing. The valence v can be

taken (using Whithead triangulations) to be a constant independent of ϵ , so we may simply write the upper bound as $O(V)$. The handle addition/removal steps change the topology of B and reduce the complexity, $\|Y'\| < \|Y\|$, so there can only be $O(V)$ such steps. Some of these steps (the ones involving a compression of a homologically trivial α) increase the number of components of B by one. Since originally B has at most $O(V)$ components and only $O(V)$ steps add a component, then by the time we finish all steps, i.e. have modified B to B' with $B' \cap S = \emptyset$, B' will still only have $O(V)$ components.

But, crucially, during each step, injectivity of $\pi_0(L_i) \rightarrow \pi_0(B')$, $1 \leq i \leq x$, has been preserved. But this yields a contradiction if γ is large enough. For distinct i and i' , $1 \leq i \leq i' \leq x$, the two sublinks L_i and $L_{i'}$ must have components in the same component of B' , and these link components can be joined by an arc in B' which will not encounter S , contradicting the assumption that the two sublinks are separated by spheres of S . \square

2. EMBEDDING KNOTS

The same coloring method answers an analogous but simpler question about packing knots.

Theorem 2. *There is a constant $\delta > 0$ so that if a smooth (C^2) link L in I^3 is a disjoint union of N nontrivial knots K_1, \dots, K_N , and each of these knots has an embedded normal bundle of radius $\epsilon > 0$. Then $N < e^{\delta\epsilon^{-3}}$.*

Proof. As before form the neighborhoods B_1, \dots, B_N of the knots constituting L by taking the union of appropriate cells (all of diameter $< \frac{\epsilon}{2}$) that the knots meet (transversely). For this application, it suffices to choose the cells to be that of a standard cubulation of I^3 shifted slightly to ensure it is in general position with L . Each B_i is topologically a tubular neighborhood of its corresponding knot. For N larger than the stated estimate, the previous coloring argument shows that for some i and j , $1 \leq i < j \leq N$, $B_i = B_j$. Uniqueness of tubular neighborhoods implies the i th and j th knots are of the same topological type, and that, as a two component link, either knot must be a framed normal push-off of the other. This is incompatible with the disjoint union (i.e. split) property of the link L unless the two knots are actually unknots—which we have assumed not to be the case. \square

REFERENCES

- [Fre24] Michael H. Freedman, *Packing meets topology*, Journal of Topology and Analysis (2024), available at [arXiv:2301.00295](#). To appear.
- [MP24] Fedor Manin and Elia Portnoy, *On Freedman's link packings*, Journal of Topology and Analysis (2024), available at [arXiv:2308.08064](#). To appear.
- [Whi40] J.H.C. Whitehead, *On \mathbb{C}^1 -complexes*, The Annals of Mathematics **41** (1940), no. 2, 809–824.

MICHAEL H. FREEDMAN

Email address: freedmanm@google.com, mfreedman@cmsa.fas.harvard.edu