

On the boundedness of Gross' solution to the planar Skorokhod embedding problem

Maher Boudabra, Dhaker Kroumi, Boubaker Smii *

Abstract

In this work, we investigate the problem of the boundedness of the Gross' solutions of the planar Skorokhod embedding problem, where we show that the solution is bounded under some mild conditions on the underlying probability distribution.

Keywords and phrases: Planar Brownian motion, Skorokhod embedding problem

Mathematics Subject Classification (2010):

1 Introduction

In 2019, the author R. Gross considered an interesting planar version of the Skorokhod problem [5], which was originally formulated in 1961 but in dimension one. For a concise survey of the one-dimensional version, see [9]. The problem studied by Gross is as follows : Let μ be a non-degenerate probability distribution with zero mean and finite second moment. Is there a simply connected domain U (containing the origin) such that, for a $Z_t = X_t + Y_t i$ is a standard planar Brownian motion, then $X_\tau = \Re(Z_\tau)$ has the distribution μ ? Here τ is the exit time of the planar Brownian motion Z_t from U . Gross provided an affirmative answer, offering an explicit construction of his solution. In addition, he showed that the underlying exit time τ has a finite average. One year later, Boudabra and Markowsky published two papers on the same problem [2, 1]. In the first one, the authors demonstrated

*King Fahd University of Petroleum and Minerals

that the problem is solvable for any non-degenerate distribution of a finite p^{th} moment where $p > 1$. Furthermore, they provided a uniqueness criterion. The second paper provides a new category of domains that solve yet the Skorokhod embedding problem as well as a uniqueness criterion. As in [1], we shall keep using the terminology μ -domain to tag any simply connected domain solving the planar Skorokhod problem. As this manuscript deals with Gross' solution, we confine ourselves to it, that is, a μ -domain means simply constructed by Gross' technique. Let's first summarize the geometric characteristics of the μ -domains generated by Gross' method: :

- U is symmetric over the real line.
- U is Δ -convex, i.e the segment joining any point of U and its symmetric point over the real axis remains inside U .
- If $\mu(\{x\}) > 0$ then ∂U contains a vertical line segment, a half line, or a line.
- If the support of the distribution μ has a gap from a to b then U contains the vertical strip $(a, b) \times \mathbb{R}$.

Note that the last two properties are universal, i.e they apply to any potential solution of the planar Skorokhod embedding problem. When it comes to boundedness, which is the focus of this note, any μ -domain U is unbounded whenever the support of μ is either unbounded or contains a gap. Specifically, U will be horizontally unbounded when the support of μ is unbounded, and vertically unbounded if there is a gap within the support of μ . Thus, two necessary conditions for obtaining a bounded μ -domain are the support of μ must be both bounded and connected (without gaps). Given these two assumptions, we will explore sufficient conditions on μ that lead a bounded μ -domain.

2 Tools and Results

We begin by outlining the ingredients of Gross' technique to generate his μ -domain, a solution to the planar Skorokhod embedding problem.

The first one is the quantile function of μ defined by

$$u \in (0, 1) \mapsto q(u) = F^{-1}(u) = \inf\{x \mid F(x) \geq u\}, \quad (1)$$

where F is the cumulative distribution function of μ , i.e $F(x) = \mu((-\infty, x])$, $x \in \mathbb{R}$. In other words, q is the pseudo-inverse of F . When F is increasing then q simplifies to the standard inverse function. A handy feature of q is that, when fed with uniformly distributed inputs in $(0, 1)$, it generates values sampling as μ . Note that if μ has a gap, say (a, b) , then q jumps by $b - a$ at $u = F(a)$. The “doubled periodic function” ϕ is extracted out of q by setting

$$\theta \in (-\pi, \pi) \mapsto \phi(\theta) = q\left(\frac{|\theta|}{\pi}\right).$$

Remark that the function ϕ is even and non-decreasing.

The second ingredient is the periodic Hilbert transform, which will control the range of the projection of the μ -domain on the imaginary axis.

Definition 1. The Hilbert transform of a 2π - periodic function f is defined by

$$H\{f\}(x) := PV \left\{ \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} f(x-t) \cot\left(\frac{t}{2}\right) dt \right\} = \lim_{\eta \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\eta \leq |t| \leq \pi} f(x-t) \cot\left(\frac{t}{2}\right) dt$$

where PV denotes the Cauchy principal value [3]. The role of PV is to absorb infinite limits near singularities in a certain sense. It is required for the Hilbert transform as the trigonometric function $t \mapsto \cot(\cdot)$ has poles at $k\pi$ with $k \in \mathbb{Z}$. The Hilbert transform is a bounded operator on

$$L_{2\pi}^p = \{f : 2\pi\text{-periodic function} \mid \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} |f(\theta)|^p d\theta < +\infty\}$$

for any $p > 1$. More precisely, we have

Theorem 2. [3] *If f is in $L_{2\pi}^p$, then $H\{f\}$ exists almost everywhere for $p > 1$. Furthermore, we have*

$$\|H\{f\}\|_p \leq \lambda_p \|f\|_p \tag{2}$$

for some positive constant λ_p .

The strong type estimate 2 fails to hold when $p = 1$, as H becomes unbounded. For further details see [7, 4] [3, 6].

Now we illustrate Gross’ construction technique. He first generates the Fourier series expansion of ϕ :

$$\phi(\theta) = \sum_{n=1}^{+\infty} a_n \cos(n\theta),$$

where a_n is the n^{th} Fourier coefficient of ϕ . Note that there is no constant term due the fact that μ is assumed to be a centered probability distribution. Then he showed his cornerstone result, upon which the solution is built. More precisely

Theorem 3. [5] *The analytic function*

$$\Phi(z) = \sum_{n=1}^{+\infty} a_n z^n$$

is univalent on the unit disc \mathbb{D} .

Using the conformal invariance principal of planar Brownian motion [8], Gross shows that $\Phi(\mathbb{D})$, i.e the image of the \mathbb{D} under the action of Φ , is a solution for the Skorokhod embedding problem. If one knows that $H\{\cos\} = \sin$, then the boundary of his μ -domain is parameterized by

$$\theta \in (-\pi, \pi) \mapsto (\phi(\theta), H\{\phi\}(\theta)). \quad (3)$$

For a Cartesian equation of 3, see [1].

Now we state our first result. Let μ be a continuous probability distribution concentrated on an interval (a, b) . Denote its density by ρ . In particular, the quantile function q simplifies to the standard inverse of F . We state now our first theorem.

Theorem 4. *If $\inf_{x \in (a,b)} \rho(x)$ is positive then the underlying μ -domain is bounded.*

Proof. As ρ is assumed to be positive then ϕ' is bounded on $[-\pi, \pi]$ since

$$|\phi'(\theta)| = \frac{1}{\pi} \frac{1}{|\rho(\phi(\theta))|} \leq \frac{1}{\pi \inf_{x \in (a,b)} \rho(x)}.$$

Let θ be a fixed number in $(-\pi, \pi)$. The Hilbert transform of ϕ is well defined as ϕ is bounded. By splitting the integral in $H\{\phi\}$ into two parts, we have

$$H\{\phi\}(\theta) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+} \left\{ \int_{-\pi}^{-\varepsilon} \phi(\theta - t) \cot\left(\frac{t}{2}\right) dt + \int_{\varepsilon}^{\pi} \phi(\theta - t) \cot\left(\frac{t}{2}\right) dt \right\}. \quad (4)$$

Moreover, using a simple integration by parts, we obtain

$$\int_{\varepsilon}^{\pi} \phi(\theta - t) \cot\left(\frac{t}{2}\right) dt = -2\phi(\theta - \varepsilon) \ln\left(|\sin\left(\frac{\varepsilon}{2}\right)|\right) + 2 \int_{\varepsilon}^{\pi} \phi'(\theta - t) \ln\left(|\sin\left(\frac{t}{2}\right)|\right) dt. \quad (5)$$

Similarly,

$$\begin{aligned}\int_{-\pi}^{-\varepsilon} \phi(\theta - t) \cot\left(\frac{t}{2}\right) dt &= 2\phi(\theta + \varepsilon) \ln\left(\left|\sin\left(\frac{\varepsilon}{2}\right)\right|\right) + 2 \int_{-\pi}^{-\varepsilon} \phi'(\theta - t) \ln\left(\left|\sin\left(\frac{t}{2}\right)\right|\right) dt \\ &= 2\phi(\theta + \varepsilon) \ln\left(\left|\sin\left(\frac{\varepsilon}{2}\right)\right|\right) + 2 \int_{\varepsilon}^{\pi} \phi'(\theta + t) \ln\left(\left|\sin\left(\frac{t}{2}\right)\right|\right) dt,\end{aligned}\tag{6}$$

By substituting (5) and (6) into (4), the Hilbert transform becomes

$$\begin{aligned}H\{\phi\}(\theta) &= \frac{1}{2\pi} \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+} \left\{ 2(\phi(\theta + \varepsilon) - \phi(\theta - \varepsilon)) \ln\left(\left|\sin\left(\frac{\varepsilon}{2}\right)\right|\right) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + 2 \int_{\varepsilon}^{\pi} (\phi'(\theta + t) + \phi'(\theta - t)) \ln\left(\left|\sin\left(\frac{t}{2}\right)\right|\right) dt \right\}\end{aligned}\tag{7}$$

□

Now, since ϕ is differentiable at θ and $\ln(\sin(\frac{\varepsilon}{2})) \underset{0^+}{\sim} \ln(\frac{\varepsilon}{2})$, the first limit in (7) becomes

$$\begin{aligned}\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+} (\phi(\theta + \varepsilon) - \phi(\theta - \varepsilon)) \ln\left(\sin\left(\frac{\varepsilon}{2}\right)\right) &= \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{\phi(\theta + \varepsilon) - \phi(\theta - \varepsilon)}{\varepsilon} \varepsilon \ln\left(\sin\left(\frac{\varepsilon}{2}\right)\right) \\ &= \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+} 2\phi'(\theta) \varepsilon \ln\left(\frac{\varepsilon}{2}\right) \\ &= 0.\end{aligned}$$

For the second limit in (7), observe that

$$(\phi'(\theta + t) + \phi'(\theta - t)) \ln\left(\sin\left(\frac{t}{2}\right)\right) \underset{0^+}{\sim} 2\phi'(\theta) \ln\left(\frac{t}{2}\right).$$

On the other hand, the function $t \mapsto \ln(\frac{t}{2})$ is integrable on $[0, \pi]$. Then

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+} \int_{\varepsilon}^{\pi} (\phi'(\theta + t) + \phi'(\theta - t)) \ln\left(\sin\left(\frac{t}{2}\right)\right) dt$$

is finite. Therefore,

$$H\{\phi\}(\theta) = \int_0^{\pi} (\phi'(\theta + t) + \phi'(\theta - t)) \ln\left(\sin\left(\frac{t}{2}\right)\right) dt$$

is finite.




Figure 1: Note that ϕ has vertical tangents at $\pm\frac{\pi}{2}$ as $\phi'(\pm\frac{\pi}{2}) = \pm\infty$.

Remark 5. The proof of Theorem 4 shows that $H\{\phi\}$ is continuous as it is the convolution between an L^∞ function and an L^1 function over $(0, \pi)$.

The case where $\inf_{x \in (a,b)} \rho(x) = 0$, i.e. $\phi'(c) = \infty$ at some point $c \in [0, \pi]$, is inconclusive. The following two examples illustrate this fact. The first example generates a bounded μ -domain while the second example produces an unbounded one.

Example 6. Let μ be the probability distribution given by the density

$$\rho(x) = \frac{\alpha + 1}{2} |x|^\alpha \chi_{(-1,1)}$$

with α being a non-negative parameter. The c.d.f of μ is

$$F(x) = \frac{1}{2}(1 - |x|^{1+\alpha})\chi_{(-1,0)} + \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2}|x|^{1+\alpha}\chi_{(0,1)}$$

and thus

$$\phi(\theta) = -(1 - 2\frac{|\theta|}{\pi})^{\frac{1}{\alpha+1}}\chi_{(|\theta|)(0, \frac{\pi}{2})} + 2^{\frac{1}{\alpha+1}}(\frac{|\theta|}{\pi} - \frac{1}{2})^{\frac{1}{\alpha+1}}\chi_{(|\theta|)(\frac{\pi}{2}, \pi)}.$$

Now, as $\cot(t) \underset{0}{\sim} \frac{1}{t}$ then we have the approximation:

$$\phi(t) \cot\left(\frac{\frac{\pi}{2} - t}{2}\right) \underset{\frac{\pi}{2}}{\sim} \frac{2\phi(t)}{\frac{\pi}{2} - t} = -\frac{(\frac{2}{\pi})^{\frac{1}{\alpha+1}}}{|t - \frac{\pi}{2}|^{\frac{\alpha}{\alpha+1}}}. \quad (8)$$




Figure 2: The μ -domain is bounded ($\alpha = 1$).

As the R.H.S of 8 is integrable around $t = \frac{\pi}{2}$, the function $\phi(t) \cot\left(\frac{\pi-t}{2}\right)$ is also integrable. The case $-\frac{\pi}{2}$ is similar. Hence

$$H\{\phi\}\left(\frac{\pi}{2}\right) = \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \phi(t) \cot\left(\frac{\pi-t}{2}\right) dt$$

exists and is finite for all θ .

Example 7. Before giving the example, we shall first provide the motivation behind. Theorem 4 says that any μ -domain is necessarily unbounded if ϕ' is bounded. That is, if we want to seek a continuous distribution μ supported on an interval (α, β) generating an unbounded domain, then necessarily its pdf ρ must hit the x -axis at some point $x_0 \in (\alpha, \beta)$. However hitting the value zero by ρ is not enough as shown by the previous example. Even more, the previous example shows that if $f(x) \underset{x_0}{\sim} |x - x_0|^\alpha$ won't do the job for any $\alpha > 0$. So in order to boost the chance of getting an unbounded domain, ρ must be too much flat around x_0 , i.e its graph looks like it is overlapped with the x -axis at x_0 . In other words, we need ρ such that

$$\frac{\rho(x)}{|x - x_0|^\alpha} \underset{x_0}{\rightarrow} 0 \tag{9}$$




Figure 3: Notice that the p.d.f $\rho(x) = \kappa e^{-\frac{1}{|x|}}$ is almost zero when $x \in (-0.15, 0.15)$. That is, it looks like the support of μ has a gap from -0.15 to 0.15 , which leads to the unboundedness of corresponding μ -domain.

for any positive α . Inspired by this analysis, we shall show that $\rho(x) = \kappa e^{-\frac{1}{|x|}} \chi_{(-1,1)}$ is a suitable candidate to generate an unbounded domain (κ being the normalization constant).

Since ρ is symmetric, the associated cumulative distribution function takes the following form:

$$F(x) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{2} + \int_0^x \kappa e^{-\frac{1}{t}} dt & x \in (0, 1) \\ \frac{1}{2} - \int_x^0 \kappa e^{\frac{1}{t}} dt & x \in (-1, 0) \end{cases}.$$

We have

$$\frac{1}{2} - \kappa e^{\frac{1}{x}} \Big|_{-1 < x < 0} \leq F(x) \Big|_{0 < x < 1} \leq \frac{1}{2} + \kappa e^{-\frac{1}{x}}.$$

An elementary property of inverses infers that

$$\frac{1}{\ln\left(\frac{\frac{1}{2}-u}{\kappa}\right)} \Big|_{0 \leq u \leq \frac{1}{2}} \geq q(u) \Big|_{\frac{1}{2} \leq u \leq 1} \geq -\frac{1}{\ln\left(\frac{u-\frac{1}{2}}{\kappa}\right)}.$$

Hence

$$\phi(t) \cot\left(\frac{\frac{\pi}{2}-t}{2}\right) \geq -\frac{\cot\left(\frac{|\frac{\pi}{2}-t|}{2}\right)}{\ln\left(\frac{\pi}{\kappa} \left|t - \frac{\pi}{2}\right|\right)}. \quad (10)$$

The R.H.S of 10 is not integrable around $\frac{\pi}{2}$. Then we deduce that $H\{\phi\}(\frac{\pi}{2})$ blows up, which infers that $H\{\phi\}$ is unbounded.




Figure 4: The μ -domain generated by the probability distribution of density $\rho(x) = \kappa e^{-\frac{1}{|x|}}$. Note that the boundary keeps going vertically to $\pm\infty$. However, as $e^{-\frac{1}{|x|}}$ is practically zero near the origin, and hence the "floating-point" problem occurred.

3 Comments

In this work, we have investigated the problem of the boundedness of the μ -domains and found some sufficient conditions on the distribution μ to generate a bounded domain. In summary, in order to have a blow-up at some point x_0 , the graph of the quantile function must be too much steep. This includes the case of support with a gap. Assume that the support is $(-2, -1) \cup (1, 2)$ for example, the quantile function will have a jump at the point $u = \mathbb{P}\{(-2, -1)\}$. At this point, the derivative is the Dirac function, which is the most steep function ever. This explains the unboundedness of the corresponding μ -domain. An interesting question would be to discuss the necessity of such conditions, namely the flatness of the p.d.f, i.e can one find a distribution whose p.d.f satisfies 9 with a bounded μ -domain.

References

- [1] M. Boudabra and G. Markowsky. A new solution to the conformal Skorokhod embedding problem and applications to the Dirichlet eigenvalue problem. *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, 491(2):124351, 2020.
- [2] M. Boudabra and G. Markowsky. Remarks on Gross' technique for obtaining a conformal Skorokhod embedding of planar Brownian motion. *Electronic Communications in Probability*, 2020.
- [3] P. Butzer and R. Nessel. Hilbert transforms of periodic functions. In *Fourier Analysis and Approximation*, pages 334–354. Springer, 1971.
- [4] P. L Duren. *Theory of H^p spaces*. Courier Corporation, 2000.
- [5] R. Gross. A conformal Skorokhod embedding. *Electronic Communications in Probability*, 2019.
- [6] F. King. *Hilbert transforms*. Cambridge University Press Cambridge, 2009.
- [7] J D. McGovern. *The Hilbert Transform*. PhD thesis, 1980.
- [8] P. Mörters and Y. Peres. *Brownian motion*, volume 30. Cambridge University Press, 2010.
- [9] J. Obłój. The Skorokhod embedding problem and its offspring. *Probability Surveys*, pages 321 – 392, 2004.