

# ON THE CURVATURE SYMMETRIES IN LIGHTLIKE HYPERSURFACES OF AN INDEFINITE SASAKIAN MANIFOLD

SAMUEL SSEKAJJA

ABSTRACT. In this note, we show that a lightlike hypersurface of an indefinite Sasakian manifold, which is tangent to structure vector field is not locally symmetric, semi-symmetric or semi-parallel.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

The study of curvature symmetries in lightlike hypersurfaces  $(M, g)$  of semi-Euclidean spaces  $(\bar{M}, \bar{g})$  were introduced and studied by B. Sahin [12]. In that paper, the author defines semi-symmetric hypersurfaces to be those satisfying the condition  $R \cdot R = 0$ , where  $R$  is the induced curvature tensor of the hypersurface (see Definition 4). Other symmetries introduced therein are Ricci semi-symmetry, semi-parallel as well as local symmetry, in which the following conditions are assumed  $R \cdot \text{Ric} = 0$ ,  $\tilde{R} \cdot h = 0$  and  $\nabla R = 0$ , respectively. Here,  $\text{Ric}$  denotes the induced Ricci tensor of the hypersurface,  $\tilde{R}$  the curvature tensor of its Van der Waerden-Bortolotti connection, which is built from its induced connection  $\nabla$  and its transversal connection, and  $h$  its second fundamental form. The results of the above paper motivated other authors to study the same hypersurfaces in different ambient spaces. For example, see [6, 10, 11, 16]. Although it seems natural to extend the study of such hypersurfaces in other spaces such as indefinite almost contact metric manifolds  $(\bar{M}, \bar{\phi}, \zeta, \eta, \bar{g})$ , like indefinite Sasakian manifolds, the presence of the structure vector field  $\zeta$  poses some hidden challenges. In fact, the position of  $\zeta$  relative to any hypersurface greatly affects its geometry. For example, we have showed, in this paper, that if a lightlike hypersurface of an indefinite Sasakian manifold is tangent to  $\zeta$ , then it can not exhibit any of the above symmetries. These findings can be seen in Theorems 4.3, 4.4, 5.2 and 6.2 of this paper. Our results greatly affects a number of prior assumptions in which such hypersurfaces were assumed to exist in indefinite Sasakian space forms, i.e.

---

*2020 Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 53C25; Secondary 53C40, 53C50.

*Key words and phrases.* Lightlike hypersurfaces, locally symmetric hypersurfaces, semi-symmetric hypersurfaces, semi-parallel hypersurfaces.

Sasakian spaces of constant  $\bar{\phi}$ -holomorphic sectional curvatures, such as in [10] and [16].

The study of lightlike hypersurfaces has attracted the attention of many authors since its introduction by K. L. Duggal and A. Bejancu [3]. This is due to their numerous applications in mathematical physics and electromagnetism. More findings on the study of lightlike hypersurfaces, and submanifolds in general, can be found in [1, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 13], and any other references cited therein. The rest of this paper is arranged as follows: In Sections 2 and 3, we quote some basic information on lightlike hypersurfaces and indefinite Sasakian manifolds used in this paper. Sections 4, 5, and 6, we investigate locally symmetric, semi-symmetric and semi-parallel lightlike hypersurfaces of an indefinite Sasakian manifold  $(\bar{M}, \bar{\phi}, \zeta, \eta, \bar{g})$ , which are tangent to the structure vector field  $\zeta$ .

## 2. PRELIMINARIES

An odd-dimensional semi-Riemannian manifold  $\bar{M}$  is called an indefinite Sasakian manifold [14, 15] if there exists a  $(1, 1)$ -tensor field  $\bar{\phi}$ , a vector field  $\zeta$ , called structure vector field, a 1-form  $\eta$  and an indefinite metric tensor  $\bar{g}$  on  $\bar{M}$ , such that

$$\bar{\phi}^2 X = -X + \eta(X)\zeta, \quad (2.1)$$

$$\eta(\xi) = 1, \quad (2.2)$$

$$\eta(\bar{\phi}X) = 0, \quad (2.3)$$

$$\bar{g}(X, \xi) = \eta(X), \quad (2.4)$$

$$\bar{g}(\bar{\phi}X, \bar{\phi}Y) = \bar{g}(X, Y) - \eta(X)\eta(Y), \quad (2.5)$$

$$d\eta(X, Y) = \bar{g}(\bar{\phi}X, Y), \quad (2.6)$$

$$(\bar{\nabla}_X \bar{\phi})Y = \eta(Y)X - \bar{g}(X, Y)\zeta, \quad (2.7)$$

where  $X$  and  $Y$  are any tangent vector fields to  $\bar{M}$ , and  $\bar{\nabla}$  is the semi-Riemannian connection of  $\bar{g}$ . Replacing  $Y$  with  $\zeta$  in (2.7), we get

$$\bar{\nabla}_X \zeta = \bar{\phi}X, \quad (2.8)$$

for all  $X$  tangent to  $\bar{M}$ . Let  $(\bar{M}, \bar{g})$  be a  $(m + 2)$ -dimensional semi-Riemannian manifold of index  $q$ , where  $0 < q < m + 2$ . Let  $(M, g)$  be a hypersurface of  $\bar{M}$ , where  $g$  denotes the metric tensor field induced on  $M$  by  $\bar{g}$ . Then,  $M$  is called a lightlike hypersurface of  $\bar{M}$  whenever  $rank(g) = m$ . In this case, the normal vector bundle  $TM^\perp$  intersects the tangent bundle  $TM$  of  $M$  along a non-trivial differentiable distribution known as the radical distribution of  $M$ , denoted

as  $\text{Rad } TM$ , and given by  $\text{Rad } TM : p \mapsto \text{Rad } T_p M = T_p M \cap T_p M^\perp$ . Furthermore, it is known [3, 4] that the complementary bundle to  $TM^\perp$  in  $TM$ , called the screen distribution, denoted by  $S(TM)$ , is non-degenerate and the following decomposition holds:

$$TM = S(TM) \perp \text{Rad } TM, \quad (2.9)$$

where  $\perp$  denotes the orthogonal direct sum. Moreover, a result due to [3, 4] shows that there exists a unique vector bundle  $\text{tr}(TM)$ , called the lightlike transversal bundle of  $M$  with respect to  $S(TM)$ , of rank 1 over  $M$  such that for any non-zero section  $\xi$  of  $\text{Rad } TM$  on a coordinate neighbourhood  $\mathcal{U} \subset M$ , there exists a unique section  $N$  of  $\text{tr}(TM)$  on  $\mathcal{U}$  satisfying the conditions

$$\bar{g}(\xi, N) = 1, \quad \bar{g}(N, N) = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \bar{g}(N, W) = 0, \quad (2.10)$$

for any  $W$  tangent to  $S(TM)$ . As a consequence, we have the decomposition

$$T\bar{M}|_M = S(TM) \perp \{\text{Rad } TM \oplus \text{tr}(TM)\} = TM \oplus \text{tr}(TM), \quad (2.11)$$

where  $\oplus$  denotes a direct sum, not necessarily orthogonal.

Let  $\bar{\nabla}$  be the Levi-Civita connection of  $\bar{M}$  and let  $P$  be the projection morphism of  $TM$  onto  $S(TM)$ , with respect to (2.9). Then the local Gauss-Weingarten equations of  $M$  and  $S(TM)$  are given by (see details in [3, 4]):

$$\bar{\nabla}_X Y = \nabla_X Y + h(X, Y) = \nabla_X Y + B(X, Y)N, \quad (2.12)$$

$$\bar{\nabla}_X N = -A_N X + \nabla_X^t N = -A_N X + \tau(X)N, \quad (2.13)$$

$$\nabla_X P Y = \nabla_X^* P Y + h^{*t}(X, P Y) = \nabla_X^* P Y + C(X, P Y)\xi, \quad (2.14)$$

$$\nabla_X \xi = -A_\xi^* X + \nabla_X^{*t} \xi = -A_\xi^* X - \tau(X)\xi, \quad (2.15)$$

for all  $X$  and  $Y$  tangent to  $M$ ,  $\xi$  tangent to  $\text{Rad } TM$  and  $N$  tangent to  $\text{tr}(TM)$ . In the above,  $\nabla$  and  $\nabla^*$  are the induced linear connections on  $TM$  and  $S(TM)$ , respectively. Furthermore,  $h$  and  $B$  denotes the second fundamental form and local second fundamental form of  $M$ .  $h^{*t}$  and  $C$  are the second fundamental form and local second fundamental form of  $S(TM)$ .  $A_N$  and  $A_\xi^*$  are the shape operators of  $TM$  and  $S(TM)$ , respectively.  $\nabla^t$  and  $\nabla^{*t}$  are linear connections on  $\text{tr}(TM)$  and  $\text{Rad } TM$ , respectively, while  $\tau$  is a smooth 1-form on  $TM$ . We note that both shape operators  $A_\xi^*$  and  $A_N$  are screen-valued, and satisfy

$$g(A_\xi^* X, Y) = B(X, Y), \quad g(A_N X, P Y) = C(X, P Y), \quad (2.16)$$

for any  $X$  and  $Y$  tangent to  $M$ . The induced connection  $\nabla^*$  is a metric connection, while  $\nabla$  is generally not, as it satisfies the relation

$$(\nabla_X g)(Y, Z) = B(X, Y)\theta(Z) + B(X, Z)\theta(Y), \quad (2.17)$$

for all  $X, Y$  and  $Z$  tangent to  $M$ , where  $\theta$  is a smooth 1-form  $M$ , given by

$$\theta(X) = \bar{g}(X, N), \quad (2.18)$$

for any  $X$  tangent to  $M$ . Due to the first relation in (2.10), we notice that the 1-form  $\theta$  is nowhere identically vanishing on  $M$ . In fact, we have

$$\theta(\xi) = \bar{g}(\xi, N) = 1. \quad (2.19)$$

### 3. BASIC CONSTRUCTIONS ON LIGHTLIKE HYPERSURFACES

Consider a lightlike hypersurface  $(M, g)$  of an indefinite Sasakian manifold  $(\bar{M}, \bar{\phi}, \zeta, \eta, \bar{g})$ , which is tangent to the structure vector field  $\zeta$ . C. Calin [1] has proved that  $\zeta$  belongs to the screen distribution  $S(TM)$ . In this paper, we assume that  $M$  is tangent to  $\zeta$ . From the fact  $\bar{g}(\bar{\phi}\xi, \xi) = 0$ , we notice that the lightlike vector field  $\bar{\phi}\xi$  is tangent to  $M$ . Thus, we consider a screen distribution  $S(TM)$  on  $M$  containing  $\bar{\phi}\text{Rad } TM$  as a vector subbundle. Consequently,  $N$  is orthogonal to  $\bar{\phi}\xi$  and we have  $\bar{g}(\bar{\phi}N, \xi) = -\bar{g}(N, \bar{\phi}\xi) = 0$ . Since  $\bar{g}(\bar{\phi}N, N) = 0$ , it follows that  $\bar{\phi}N$  is tangent to  $M$  and in particular, it belongs to  $S(TM)$ . Consequently,  $\bar{\phi}\text{tr}(TM)$  is also a vector subbundle of  $S(TM)$ . Since  $\bar{g}(\bar{\phi}\xi, \bar{\phi}N) = 1$ , it follows that  $\bar{\phi}\text{Rad } TM \oplus \bar{\phi}\text{tr}(TM)$  is a non-degenerate vector subbundle of  $S(TM)$ , with 2-dimensional fibers. Since  $\zeta$  is tangent to  $M$ , and that  $\bar{g}(\bar{\phi}\xi, \zeta) = \bar{g}(\bar{\phi}N, \zeta) = 0$ , then there exists a non-degenerate distribution  $D_0$  on  $TM$  such that

$$S(TM) = \{\bar{\phi}\text{Rad } TM \oplus \bar{\phi}\text{tr}(TM)\} \perp D_0 \perp \langle \zeta \rangle_{\mathbb{R}}, \quad (3.1)$$

where  $\langle \zeta \rangle_{\mathbb{R}}$  denotes the line bundle spanned by the structure vector field  $\zeta$ . Furthermore, it is easy to check that  $D_0$  is an almost complex distribution with respect to  $\bar{\phi}$ , that is;  $\bar{\phi}D_0 = D_0$ . Moreover, from (2.9) and (3.1),  $TM$  is decomposed as

$$TM = \{\bar{\phi}\text{Rad } TM \oplus \bar{\phi}\text{tr}(TM)\} \perp D_0 \perp \langle \zeta \rangle_{\mathbb{R}} \perp TM^{\perp}. \quad (3.2)$$

If we set  $D = \text{Rad } TM \perp \bar{\phi}\text{Rad } TM \perp D_0$  and  $D' = \bar{\phi}\text{tr}(TM)$ , then (3.2) becomes

$$TM = D \oplus D' \perp \langle \zeta \rangle_{\mathbb{R}}. \quad (3.3)$$

Here,  $D$  is an almost complex distribution and  $D'$  is carried by  $\bar{\phi}$  into the transversal bundle. Consider the lightlike vector fields  $U$  and  $V$  given by

$$U = -\bar{\phi}N, \quad V = -\bar{\phi}\xi, \quad (3.4)$$

together with their corresponding 1-forms  $u$  and  $v$  given by

$$u(X) = g(X, V), \quad v(X) = g(X, U), \quad (3.5)$$

for any  $X$  tangent to  $M$ . Then, from (3.3), any  $X$  tangent to  $M$  can be written as  $X = P_1X + P_2X + \eta(X)\zeta$ , where  $P_1$  and  $P_2$  are projection morphisms of  $TM$  onto  $D$  and  $D'$ , respectively. It then follows that, for any  $X$  tangent to  $M$ , we have

$$\bar{\phi}X = \phi X + u(X)N, \quad (3.6)$$

where  $\phi$  is a (1,1)-tensor field defined on  $M$  by  $\phi X = \bar{\phi}P_1X$ . Also, the following relations are easy to verify:

$$\phi^2X = -X + \eta(X)\zeta + u(X)U, \quad (3.7)$$

$$\phi\zeta = 0, \quad \phi U = 0, \quad \phi V = \xi, \quad (3.8)$$

$$\eta(\zeta) = 1, \quad \eta(U) = 0, \quad \eta(V) = 0, \quad \eta(\phi X) = 0, \quad (3.9)$$

$$u(\zeta) = 0, \quad u(U) = 1, \quad u(V) = 0, \quad u(\phi X) = 0, \quad (3.10)$$

$$v(\zeta) = 0, \quad v(U) = 0, \quad v(V) = 1, \quad v(\phi X) = -\theta(X), \quad (3.11)$$

$$\theta(\zeta) = 0, \quad \theta(U) = 0, \quad \theta(V) = 0, \quad \theta(\phi X) = v(X), \quad (3.12)$$

$$g(\phi X, \phi Y) = g(X, Y) - \eta(X)\eta(Y) - u(Y)v(X) - u(X)v(Y),$$

$$g(\phi X, Y) = -g(X, \phi Y) - u(X)\theta(Y) - u(Y)\theta(X), \quad (3.13)$$

for any  $X$  and  $Y$  tangent to  $M$ . The following two lemmas are vital to our study:

**Lemma 3.1.** *Let  $(M, g)$  be a lightlike hypersurface of an indefinite Sasakian manifold  $(\bar{M}, \bar{\phi}, \zeta, \eta, \bar{g})$ , which is tangent to the structure vector field  $\zeta$ . Then, we have*

$$\nabla_X \zeta = \phi X, \quad (3.14)$$

$$B(X, \zeta) = u(X), \quad (3.15)$$

$$A_\xi^* \zeta = V, \quad (3.16)$$

$$\nabla_X^* \zeta = P\phi X, \quad (3.17)$$

$$C(X, \zeta) = v(X), \quad (3.18)$$

$$\nabla_X U = \theta(X)\zeta + \phi A_N X + \tau(X)U, \quad (3.19)$$

$$B(X, U) = C(X, V), \quad (3.20)$$

$$\nabla_X V = \phi A_\xi^* X - \tau(X)V, \quad (3.21)$$

$$(\nabla_X \phi)Y = \eta(Y)X - g(X, Y)\zeta + u(Y)A_N X - B(X, Y)U, \quad (3.22)$$

$$(\nabla_X u)Y = -B(X, \phi Y) - \tau(X)u(Y), \quad (3.23)$$

for any  $X$  and  $Y$  tangent to  $M$ , where  $\nabla\phi$  and  $\nabla u$  are defined as

$$\begin{aligned}(\nabla_X\phi)Y &= \nabla_X\phi Y - \phi\nabla_X Y, \\ (\nabla_X u)Y &= X \cdot u(Y) - u(\nabla_X Y).\end{aligned}\tag{3.24}$$

*Proof.* Using (2.8), the last equality of (2.12) and (3.6), we have

$$\nabla_X\zeta + B(X, \zeta)N = \phi X + u(X)N,\tag{3.25}$$

for any  $X$  tangent to  $M$ . Comparing components (3.25), we obtain (3.14) and (3.15). Next, since  $S(TM)$  is non-degenerate, the relation  $B(X, \zeta) = u(X)$ , equivalently;  $g(A_\xi^*\zeta, X) = g(V, X)$ , and the fact that  $A_\xi^*$  is screen-valued implies that  $A_\xi^*\zeta = V$ , which is relation (3.16). From (2.14), (3.12), (3.14) and the fact that  $X = PX + \theta(X)\xi$ , for any  $X$  tangent to  $M$ , we have

$$\nabla_X^*\zeta + C(X, \zeta)\xi = P\phi X + \theta(\phi X)\xi = P\phi X + v(X)\xi.\tag{3.26}$$

Comparing components in (3.26), we obtain (3.17) and (3.18). Next, using (2.12) and (3.4), we have

$$\begin{aligned}\nabla_X U &= \bar{\nabla}_X U - B(X, U)N \\ &= -\bar{\nabla}_X \bar{\phi}N - B(X, U)N \\ &= -(\bar{\nabla}_X \bar{\phi})N - \bar{\phi}\bar{\nabla}_X N - B(X, U)N,\end{aligned}\tag{3.27}$$

for any  $X$  tangent to  $M$ . Then, applying (2.7), (2.13), (2.16), (2.18), (3.5) and (3.6) to (3.27), we get

$$\begin{aligned}\nabla_X U &= \theta(X)\zeta + \phi A_N X + u(A_N X)N + \tau(X)U - B(X, U)N \\ &= \theta(X)\zeta + \phi A_N X + \tau(X)U + \{C(X, V) - B(X, U)\}N.\end{aligned}\tag{3.28}$$

Comparing components in (3.28), we obtain (3.19) and (3.20). Relation (3.21) follows in a similar way. Lastly, differentiating (3.6), and considering (2.12) and (2.13), we derive

$$\begin{aligned}\bar{\nabla}_X \bar{\phi}Y &= \bar{\nabla}_X \phi Y + X \cdot u(Y)N + u(Y)\bar{\nabla}_X N \\ &= \nabla_X \phi Y + B(X, \phi Y)N + X \cdot u(Y)N - u(Y)A_N X \\ &\quad + u(Y)\tau(X)N,\end{aligned}\tag{3.29}$$

for any  $X$  and  $Y$  tangent to  $M$ . On the other hand, using (2.12) (3.4) and (3.6), we have

$$\begin{aligned}\bar{\phi}\bar{\nabla}_X Y &= \bar{\phi}\nabla_X Y + B(X, Y)\bar{\phi}N \\ &= \phi\nabla_X Y + u(\nabla_X Y)N - B(X, Y)U,\end{aligned}\tag{3.30}$$

for any  $X$  and  $Y$  tangent to  $M$ . Subtracting (3.30) from (3.31), and considering (2.7), we get

$$\begin{aligned} \eta(Y)X - g(X, Y)\zeta &= (\bar{\nabla}_X \bar{\phi})Y = \bar{\nabla}_X \bar{\phi}Y - \bar{\phi}\bar{\nabla}_X Y \\ &= (\nabla_X \phi)Y - u(Y)A_N X + B(X, Y)U \\ &\quad + \{(\nabla_X u)Y + B(X, \phi Y) + u(Y)\tau(X)\}N. \end{aligned} \quad (3.31)$$

Comparing components in (3.31), we get (3.22) and (3.23).  $\square$

**Lemma 3.2.** *Let  $(M, g)$  be a lightlike hypersurface of an indefinite Sasakian manifold  $(\bar{M}, \bar{\phi}, \zeta, \eta, \bar{g})$ , which is tangent to the structure vector field  $\zeta$ . Then, we have*

$$R(X, Y)\zeta = \eta(Y)X + u(Y)A_N X - \eta(X)Y - u(X)A_N Y, \quad (3.32)$$

$$\begin{aligned} R(X, Y)U &= (\nabla_X \phi A_N)Y - (\nabla_Y \phi A_N)X + \theta(Y)\phi X - \theta(X)\phi Y \\ &\quad + \tau(Y)\phi A_N X - \tau(X)\phi A_N Y + 2d\tau(X, Y)U \\ &\quad + \{2d\theta(X, Y) + \theta(X)\tau(Y) - \theta(Y)\tau(X)\}\zeta \end{aligned} \quad (3.33)$$

$$\begin{aligned} R(X, Y)V &= (\nabla_X \phi A_\xi^*)Y - (\nabla_Y \phi A_\xi^*)X \\ &\quad + \tau(X)\phi A_\xi^* Y - \tau(Y)\phi A_\xi^* X - 2d\tau(X, Y)V, \end{aligned} \quad (3.34)$$

for any  $X$  and  $Y$  tangent to  $M$ , where  $\nabla \phi A_N$  and  $\nabla \phi A_\xi^*$  are defined as

$$(\nabla_X \phi A_N)Y = \nabla_X \phi A_N Y - \phi A_N \nabla_X Y, \quad (3.35)$$

$$(\nabla_X \phi A_\xi^*)Y = \nabla_X \phi A_\xi^* Y - \phi A_\xi^* \nabla_X Y. \quad (3.36)$$

*Proof.* By direct calculations while using (3.14), (3.22) and (3.24), we derive

$$\begin{aligned} R(X, Y)\zeta &= \nabla_X \nabla_Y \zeta - \nabla_Y \nabla_X \zeta - \nabla_{[X, Y]}\zeta \\ &= \nabla_X \phi Y - \nabla_Y \phi X - \phi[X, Y] \\ &= (\nabla_X \phi)Y - (\nabla_Y \phi)X \\ &= \eta(Y)X + u(Y)A_N X - \eta(X)Y - u(X)A_N Y, \end{aligned}$$

for any  $X$  and  $Y$  tangent to  $M$ , which proves (3.32). Next, using (3.19), we derive

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla_X \nabla_Y U &= X \cdot \theta(Y)\zeta + \theta(Y)\phi X + \nabla_X \phi A_N Y + X \cdot \tau(Y)U \\ &\quad + \theta(X)\tau(Y)\zeta + \tau(Y)\phi A_N X + \tau(X)\tau(Y)U, \end{aligned} \quad (3.37)$$

for any  $X$  and  $Y$  tangent to  $M$ . Also, by (3.19), we have

$$\nabla_{[X, Y]}U = \theta([X, Y])\zeta + \phi A_N [X, Y] + \tau([X, Y])U. \quad (3.38)$$

Replacing (3.37) and (3.38) in

$$R(X, Y)U = \nabla_X \nabla_Y U - \nabla_Y \nabla_X U - \nabla_{[X, Y]}U,$$

we get (3.33). Relation (3.34) can be proved in the same way.  $\square$

**Corollary 3.3.** *For any  $X$  and  $Y$  tangent to  $M$ , we have*

$$g((\nabla_X \phi A_N)Y, V) = -g(\phi A_\xi^* X, \phi A_N Y) + B(X, V)C(Y, U), \quad (3.39)$$

$$g((\nabla_X \phi A_\xi^* X)Y, V) = -g(\phi A_\xi^* X, \phi A_\xi^* Y) + B(X, V)B(Y, U). \quad (3.40)$$

*Proof.* Using (2.17), (3.10), (3.12), (3.21) and (3.35), we have

$$\begin{aligned} g((\nabla_X \phi A_N)Y, V) &= g(\nabla_X \phi A_N Y, V) - g(\phi A_N \nabla_X Y, V) \\ &= -g(\phi A_N Y, \nabla_X V) + (\nabla_X g)(\phi A_N Y, V) \\ &= -g(\phi A_N Y, \phi A_\xi^* X) + B(X, V)\theta(\phi A_N Y) \\ &= -g(\phi A_N Y, \phi A_\xi^* X) + B(X, V)C(Y, U), \end{aligned}$$

for any  $X$  and  $Y$  tangent to  $M$ , which proves (3.39). Finally, (3.40) also follows easily as in (3.39), which completes the proof.  $\square$

#### 4. LOCALLY SYMMETRIC LIGHTLIKE HYPERSURFACES

In this section, we prove that a lightlike hypersurface  $(M, g)$  of an indefinite Sasakian manifold  $(\bar{M}, \bar{\phi}, \zeta, \eta, \bar{g})$ , which is tangent to structure vector field  $\zeta$  is not locally symmetric (see Theorems 4.3 and 4.4). Let  $R$  be the curvature tensor of the induced linear connection  $\nabla$ . For every  $X, Y, Z$  and  $W$  tangent to  $M$ , the covariant derivative  $\nabla R$  of  $R$  is given by

$$\begin{aligned} (\nabla_X R)(Y, Z)W &= \nabla_X R(Y, Z)W \\ &\quad - R(\nabla_X Y, Z)W - R(Y, \nabla_X Z)W - R(Y, Z)\nabla_X W. \end{aligned} \quad (4.1)$$

Then,  $M$  is said to be *locally symmetric* [6, 10, 11, 12] whenever  $\nabla R = 0$ . Next, our results in this section make use of the following important lemmas:

**Lemma 4.1.** *Let  $(M, g)$  be a lightlike hypersurface of an indefinite Sasakian manifold  $(\bar{M}, \bar{\phi}, \zeta, \eta, \bar{g})$ , which is tangent to the structure vector field  $\zeta$ . Then,  $\nabla R$  satisfies the relation below*

$$\begin{aligned} (\nabla_X R)(Y, Z)\zeta &= \{u(X)\theta(Z) + g(\phi X, Z)\}Y + u(Z)(\nabla_X A_N)Y \\ &\quad - \{B(X, \phi Z) + \tau(X)u(Z)\}A_N Y \\ &\quad - \{u(X)\theta(Y) + g(\phi X, Y)\}Z - u(Y)(\nabla_X A_N)Z \\ &\quad + \{B(X, \phi Y) + \tau(X)u(Y)\}A_N Z - R(Y, Z)\phi X, \end{aligned} \quad (4.2)$$

for any  $X, Y$  and  $Z$  tangent to  $M$ , where  $\nabla A_N$  is given by

$$(\nabla_X A_N)Y = \nabla_X A_N Y - A_N \nabla_X Y, \quad (4.3)$$



for any  $X$  and  $Y$  tangent to  $M$ .

*Proof.* Using the definition of  $\nabla R$  in (4.1), relations (3.14) and (3.32), we derive

$$\begin{aligned}
 & (\nabla_X R)(Y, Z)\zeta \\
 &= \nabla_X R(Y, Z)\zeta - R(\nabla_X Y, Z)\zeta - R(Y, \nabla_X Z)\zeta - R(X, Y)\nabla_X \zeta, \\
 &= \{(\nabla_X \eta)Z\}Y + \{(\nabla_X u)Z\}A_N Y + u(Z)(\nabla_X A_N)Y - \{(\nabla_X \eta)Y\}Z \\
 &\quad - \{(\nabla_X u)Y\}A_N Z - u(Y)(\nabla_X A_N)Z - R(Y, Z)\phi X, \tag{4.4}
 \end{aligned}$$

for any  $X, Y$  and  $Z$  tangent to  $M$ . On the other hand, a direct calculation shows

$$\begin{aligned}
 (\nabla_X \eta)Y &= X \cdot \eta(Y) - \eta(\nabla_X Y) \\
 &= u(X)\theta(Y) + g(\phi X, Y), \tag{4.5}
 \end{aligned}$$

for any  $X$  and  $Y$  tangent to  $M$ . Replacing (3.23) and (4.5) in (4.4), we obtain (4.2), which ends the proof.  $\square$

**Lemma 4.2.** *For every  $X$  tangent to  $M$ , the following holds*

$$g((\nabla_X A_N)\zeta, \zeta) = -C(\zeta, P\phi X) + \theta(X). \tag{4.6}$$

*Proof.* Using (2.16), (2.17), (3.11), (3.14) and (3.18), we derive

$$\begin{aligned}
 g((\nabla_X A_N)\zeta, \zeta) &= g(\nabla_X A_N \zeta, \zeta) - g(A_N \nabla_X \zeta, \zeta) \\
 &= X \cdot v(\zeta) - g(A_N \zeta, \nabla_X \zeta) - (\nabla_X g)(A_N \zeta, \zeta) - g(A_N \nabla_X \zeta, \zeta) \\
 &= -g(A_N \zeta, \phi X) - g(A_N \phi X, \zeta) \\
 &= -C(\zeta, P\phi X) - C(\phi X, \zeta), \\
 &= -C(\zeta, P\phi X) - v(\phi X) \\
 &= -C(\zeta, P\phi X) + \theta(X),
 \end{aligned}$$

for any  $X$  tangent to  $M$ .  $\square$

Now, we prove the following result:

**Theorem 4.3.** *There exist no lightlike hypersurface  $(M, g)$  of an indefinite Sasakian manifold  $(\bar{M}, \bar{\phi}, \zeta, \eta, \bar{g})$ , which is tangent to the structure vector field  $\zeta$  and locally symmetric.*

*Proof.* Assume  $M$  is tangent to  $\zeta$  and locally symmetric, i.e.  $\nabla R = 0$ . Then relation (4.2) reduces to

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \{u(X)\theta(Z) + g(\phi X, Z)\}Y + u(Z)(\nabla_X A_N)Y - \{B(X, \phi Z) \\
 & \quad + \tau(X)u(Z)\}A_N Y - \{u(X)\theta(Y) + g(\phi X, Y)\}Z - u(Y)(\nabla_X A_N)Z \\
 & \quad + \{B(X, \phi Y) + \tau(X)u(Y)\}A_N Z - R(Y, Z)\phi X = 0, \tag{4.7}
 \end{aligned}$$

for any  $X, Y$  and  $Z$  tangent to  $M$ . Taking  $X = U, Z = \zeta$  in (4.7), and considering (3.8), we get

$$\theta(Y)\zeta + u(Y)(\nabla_U A_N)\zeta - \{B(U, \phi Y) + \tau(U)u(Y)\}A_N\zeta = 0. \quad (4.8)$$

Taking the inner product of (4.8) with  $\zeta$ , and considering (3.18) and (4.6), we get

$$\theta(Y) - u(Y)C(\zeta, P\phi U) = 0,$$

which reduces to  $\theta(Y) = 0$ , for any  $Y$  tangent to  $M$ . This contradicts (2.19).  $\square$

A lightlike submanifold  $(M, g)$  of a semi-Riemannian manifold  $(\bar{M}, \bar{g})$  is said to be locally recurrent if its curvature tensor  $R$  satisfies the relation

$$(\nabla_X R)(Y, Z)W = \rho(X)R(Y, Z)W, \quad (4.9)$$

for any  $X, Y, Z$  and  $W$  tangent to  $M$ , where  $\rho$  is a smooth 1-form on  $M$ . Furthermore, it is easy to see that  $M$  is locally symmetric whenever  $\rho = 0$ .

**Theorem 4.4.** *There exist no lightlike hypersurface  $(M, g)$  of an indefinite Sasakian manifold  $(\bar{M}, \bar{\phi}, \zeta, \eta, \bar{g})$ , which is tangent to the structure vector field  $\zeta$  and locally recurrent.*

*Proof.* Using (3.32), (4.2) and (4.9), we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \{u(X)\theta(Z) + g(\phi X, Z)\}Y + u(Z)(\nabla_X A_N)Y - \{B(X, \phi Z) \\ & + \tau(X)u(Z)\}A_N Y - \{u(X)\theta(Y) + g(\phi X, Y)\}Z - u(Y)(\nabla_X A_N)Z \\ & + \{B(X, \phi Y) + \tau(X)u(Y)\}A_N Z - R(Y, Z)\phi X \\ & = \rho(X)\{\eta(Z)Y + u(Z)A_N Y - \eta(Y)Z - u(Y)A_N Z\}, \end{aligned} \quad (4.10)$$

for any  $X, Y$  and  $Z$  tangent to  $M$ . With  $X = U$  and  $Z = \zeta$  in (4.10), we get

$$\begin{aligned} & -\theta(Y)\zeta - u(Y)(\nabla_U A_N)\zeta + \{B(U, \phi Y) + \tau(U)u(Y)\}A_N\zeta \\ & = \rho(U)\{Y - \eta(Y)\zeta - u(Y)A_N\zeta\}, \end{aligned} \quad (4.11)$$

for any  $Y$  tangent to  $M$ . Taking the inner product of (4.11) with  $\zeta$ , and considering (3.18) and (4.6), we get  $\theta = 0$ , which contradicts (2.19).  $\square$

## 5. SEMI-SYMMETRIC LIGHTLIKE HYPERSURFACES

Let  $(M, g)$  be a lightlike hypersurface of a semi-Riemannian manifold  $(\bar{M}, \bar{g})$ . Then,  $M$  is said to be *semi-symmetric* [12] if its curvature tensor  $R$  satisfies the

condition  $R \cdot R = 0$ , that is; for any  $X, Y, X_1, X_2, X_3$  and  $X_4$  tangent to  $M$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} (R(X, Y) \cdot R)(X_1, X_2, X_3, X_4) &= -R(R(X, Y)X_1, X_2, X_3, X_4) \\ &\quad - R(X_1, R(X, Y)X_2, X_3, X_4) - R(X_1, X_2, R(X, Y)X_3, X_4) \\ &\quad - R(X_1, X_2, X_3, R(X, Y)X_4) = 0. \end{aligned} \quad (5.1)$$

Our next result will be to show that a lightlike hypersurface of an indefinite Sasakian manifold  $(\bar{M}, \bar{\phi}, \zeta, \eta, \bar{g})$ , which is tangent to the structure vector field  $\zeta$  is never semi-symmetric. In that line, we will need the following important lemma.

**Lemma 5.1.** *The following curvature relations holds*

$$R(U, \zeta)\zeta = U - A_N\zeta, \quad R(V, \zeta)\zeta = V, \quad (5.2)$$

$$g(R(U, V)\zeta, \zeta) = -1, \quad g(R(U, \zeta)V, \zeta) = -1. \quad (5.3)$$

*Proof.* The two relations in (5.2) follows directly from (3.32) of Lemma 3.2. Again, by (3.32, together with (3.10), (3.11), and (3.18), we have

$$g(R(U, V)\zeta, \zeta) = -u(U)g(A_NV, \zeta) = -C(V, \zeta) = -v(V) = -1,$$

which proves the first relation of (5.3). Furthermore, using (2.15), (2.17), (3.8), (3.9), (3.12), (3.15), (3.16), (3.34) and (3.40), we derive

$$\begin{aligned} g(R(U, \zeta)V, \zeta) &= g((\nabla_U \phi A_\xi^*)\zeta, \zeta) - g((\nabla_\zeta \phi A_\xi^*)U, \zeta) \\ &= g(\nabla_U \phi A_\xi^* \zeta, \zeta) - g(\nabla_\zeta \phi A_\xi^* U, \zeta) \\ &= g(\nabla_U \phi V, \zeta) + g(\phi A_\xi^* U, \nabla_\zeta \zeta) + (\nabla_\zeta g)(\phi A_\xi^* U, \zeta) \\ &= g(\nabla_U \xi, \zeta) + B(\zeta, \zeta)\theta(\phi A_\xi^* U) \\ &= -g(A_\xi^* U, \zeta) + u(\zeta)B(U, U) \\ &= -B(U, \zeta) \\ &= -u(U) \\ &= -1, \end{aligned}$$

which proves the second relation in (5.3). □

Next, we prove the following result:

**Theorem 5.2.** *There exist no lightlike hypersurface  $(M, g)$  of an indefinite Sasakian manifold  $(\bar{M}, \bar{\phi}, \zeta, \eta, \bar{g})$ , which is tangent to the structure vector field  $\zeta$  and semi-symmetric.*

*Proof.* Suppose that  $M$  is tangent to  $\zeta$  and semi-symmetric. Then, taking  $X = V$ ,  $X_1 = U$  and  $Y = X_2 = X_3 = X_4 = \zeta$  in (5.1), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} R(R(V, \zeta)U, \zeta, \zeta, \zeta) + R(U, R(V, \zeta)\zeta, \zeta, \zeta) \\ + R(U, \zeta, R(V, \zeta)\zeta, \zeta) + R(U, \zeta, \zeta, R(V, \zeta)\zeta) = 0. \end{aligned} \quad (5.4)$$

But, using (3.18) and (3.32), the first term of (5.4) simplifies as

$$\begin{aligned} R(R(V, \zeta)U, \zeta, \zeta, \zeta) &= g(R(R(V, \zeta)U, \zeta)\zeta, \zeta) \\ &= g(R(V, \zeta)U - \eta(R(V, \zeta)U)\zeta, \zeta) = 0. \end{aligned} \quad (5.5)$$

Then applying (5.2) and (5.5) to (5.4), we get

$$g(R(U, V)\zeta, \zeta) + g(R(U, \zeta)V, \zeta) + g(R(U, \zeta)\zeta, V) = 0. \quad (5.6)$$

The last term of (5.6) vanishes according to (3.15), (3.20) and the first relation in (5.2); that is

$$g(R(U, \zeta)\zeta, V) = g(U - A_N\zeta, V) = g(U, V) - C(\zeta, V) = 1 - B(U, \zeta) = 0.$$

Thus, (5.6) reduces to

$$g(R(U, V)\zeta, \zeta) + g(R(U, \zeta)V, \zeta) = 0,$$

which leads to the obvious contradiction  $-1 - 1 = 0$  when the two relations in (5.3) are substituted into it, which proves our result.  $\square$

## 6. SEMI-PARALLEL LIGHTLIKE HYPERSURFACES

Let  $(M, g)$  be a lightlike submanifold of a semi-Riemannian manifold  $(\bar{M}, \bar{g})$ . Let  $\tilde{R}$  denote the curvature tensor of the Van der Waerden-Bortolotti connection  $\tilde{\nabla} := \nabla \oplus \nabla^t$ , where  $\nabla$  and  $\nabla^t$  denotes the induced connections in  $TM$  and the transversal bundle  $\text{tr}(TM)$  by  $\bar{\nabla}$ , respectively. Then, it is easy to see that

$$\begin{aligned} (\tilde{R}(X, Y) \cdot h)(Z, W) &= R^t(X, Y)h(Z, W) \\ &\quad - h(R(X, Y)Z, W) - h(Z, R(X, Y)W), \end{aligned} \quad (6.1)$$

for any  $X, Y, Z$  and  $W$  tangent to  $M$ . Here,  $h$  denotes the second fundamental form of  $M$  and  $R^t$  the transversal curvature tensor of  $M$ , defined as

$$R^t(X, Y)V = \nabla_X^t \nabla_Y^t V - \nabla_Y^t \nabla_X^t V - \nabla_{[X, Y]}^t V, \quad (6.2)$$

for any transversal vector field  $V$ . The transversal connection  $\nabla^t$  is said to be trivial if  $R^t = 0$ . Similar to John Deprez [2], we call  $M$  *semi-parallel* if

$$\tilde{R}(X, Y) \cdot h = 0, \quad (6.3)$$

for any  $X$  and  $Y$  tangent to  $M$ . In case  $M$  is a lightlike hypersurface of  $\bar{M}$ , we have the following:

**Lemma 6.1.** *A lightlike hypersurface  $(M, g)$  of a semi-Riemannian manifold  $(\bar{M}, \bar{g})$  is semi-parallel if and only if*

$$2d\tau(X, Y)B(Z, W) - B(R(X, Y)Z, W) - B(Z, R(X, Y)W) = 0, \quad (6.4)$$

for any  $X, Y, Z$  and  $W$  tangent to  $M$ , where

$$2d\tau(X, Y) = X \cdot \tau(Y) - Y \cdot \tau(X) - \tau([X, Y]).$$

*Proof.* Using the equation  $\nabla_X^t N = \tau(X)N$  together with (6.2), we derive

$$R^t(X, Y)N = 2d\tau(X, Y)N, \quad (6.5)$$

for any  $X$  and  $Y$  tangent to  $M$ . Applying (6.5) to (6.1) and (6.3), we obtain our lemma.  $\square$

Next, using Lemma 6.1, we prove the following result:

**Theorem 6.2.** *There exist no lightlike hypersurface  $(M, g)$  of an indefinite Sasakian manifold  $(\bar{M}, \bar{\phi}, \zeta, \eta, \bar{g})$ , which is tangent to the structure vector field  $\zeta$  and semi-parallel.*

*Proof.* Suppose that  $M$  is tangent to  $\zeta$  and semi-parallel. Then, taking  $Z = W = \zeta$  in (6.4) of Lemma 6.1, and noting that  $B$  is symmetric, we get

$$2d\tau(X, Y)B(\zeta, \zeta) - 2B(R(X, Y)\zeta, \zeta) = 0, \quad (6.6)$$

for any  $X$  and  $Y$  tangent to  $M$ . In view of (3.15), we have

$$B(\zeta, \zeta) = u(\zeta) = 0, \quad (6.7)$$

$$B(R(X, Y)\zeta, \zeta) = u(R(X, Y)\zeta) = g(R(X, Y)\zeta, V). \quad (6.8)$$

Thus, replacing (6.7) and (6.8) in (6.6), we get

$$g(R(X, Y)\zeta, V) = 0. \quad (6.9)$$

Applying the curvature condition (3.32) to (6.9) and considering (3.20), we get

$$u(X)\eta(Y) + B(X, U)u(Y) - \eta(X)u(Y) - u(X)B(Y, U) = 0. \quad (6.10)$$

Taking  $Y = U$  in (6.10) and using (3.10), we get

$$B(X, U) - \eta(X) - u(X)B(U, U) = 0. \quad (6.11)$$

Since  $S(TM)$  is non-degenerate, (6.11) reduces to

$$A_\xi^*U = \zeta + B(U, U)V. \quad (6.12)$$

The inner product of (6.12) with  $V$  leads to

$$B(U, V) = 0. \quad (6.13)$$

Next, taking  $Z = V$  and  $W = \zeta$  in (6.4) of Lemma 6.1, we get

$$2d\tau(X, Y)B(V, \zeta) - B(R(X, Y)V, \zeta) - B(V, R(X, Y)\zeta) = 0. \quad (6.14)$$

But, in view of (3.10), (3.15), (3.32), (3.34) and (3.40), we derive

$$B(V, \zeta) = u(V) = 0, \quad (6.15)$$

$$\begin{aligned} B(R(X, Y)V, \zeta) &= u(R(X, Y)V) \\ &= g(R(X, Y)V, V) \\ &= B(X, V)B(Y, U) - B(Y, V)B(X, U), \end{aligned} \quad (6.16)$$

$$\begin{aligned} B(V, R(X, Y)\zeta) &= \eta(Y)B(X, V) + u(Y)B(A_N X, V) - \eta(X)B(Y, V) \\ &\quad - u(X)B(A_N Y, V). \end{aligned} \quad (6.17)$$

Replacing (6.15), (6.16) and (6.17) in (6.14), we get

$$\begin{aligned} B(X, V)B(Y, U) - B(Y, V)B(X, U) + \eta(Y)B(X, V) \\ + u(Y)B(A_N X, V) - \eta(X)B(Y, V) - u(X)B(A_N Y, V) = 0. \end{aligned} \quad (6.18)$$

Taking  $Y = \zeta$  in (6.18) and considering (3.15), we get

$$2B(X, V) + u(X)B(A_N \zeta, V) = 0. \quad (6.19)$$

With  $X = U$  in (6.19) and considering (6.13), we  $B(A_N \zeta, V) = 0$ . Therefore,

$$B(X, V) = 0, \quad \text{i.e. } A_\xi^* V = 0. \quad (6.20)$$

Moving forward, we consider  $Z = U$  and  $W = \zeta$  in (6.4) to obtain

$$2d\tau(X, Y)B(U, \zeta) - B(R(X, Y)U, \zeta) - B(U, R(X, Y)\zeta) = 0. \quad (6.21)$$

Using (3.10), (3.15), (3.32), (3.33), (3.39) and (6.20), we derive

$$B(U, \zeta) = u(U) = 1, \quad (6.22)$$

$$\begin{aligned} B(R(X, Y)U, \zeta) &= u(R(X, Y)U) \\ &= g(R(X, Y)U, V) \\ &= -g(\phi A_\xi^* X, \phi A_N Y) + g(\phi A_\xi^* Y, \phi A_N X) + 2d\tau(X, Y), \end{aligned} \quad (6.23)$$

$$\begin{aligned} B(U, R(X, Y)\zeta) &= \eta(Y)B(X, U) + u(Y)B(A_N X, U) - \eta(X)B(Y, U) \\ &\quad - u(X)B(A_N Y, U). \end{aligned} \quad (6.24)$$

Replacing (6.22), (6.23) and (6.24) in (6.21), we get

$$g(\phi A_\xi^* X, \phi A_N Y) - g(\phi A_\xi^* Y, \phi A_N X) - \eta(Y)B(X, U) - u(Y)B(A_N X, U) + \eta(X)B(Y, U) + u(X)B(A_N Y, U) = 0. \quad (6.25)$$

Taking  $Y = V$  in (6.25) and considering (6.20), we get

$$g(\phi A_\xi^* X, \phi A_N V) + u(X)B(A_N V, U) = 0. \quad (6.26)$$

With  $X = U$  in (6.26), and considering (6.12), we get

$$B(A_N V, U) = 0. \quad (6.27)$$

But, using (3.18), (3.20), (6.12) and (6.20), we derive

$$B(A_N V, U) = g(A_N V, A_\xi^* U) = B(U, U)B(U, V) + C(V, \zeta) = 1. \quad (6.28)$$

Comparing (6.27) and (6.28), we get a contradiction.  $\square$

**Concluding remarks.** Although lightlike hypersurfaces of an indefinite Sasakian manifold  $(\bar{M}, \bar{\phi}, \zeta, \eta, \bar{g})$ , which are tangent to the structure vector field  $\zeta$  have been studied under the assumption of them being locally symmetric, semi-symmetric and semi-parallel in [10] and [16], the results of this paper shows clearly that such hypersurfaces are non-existent. Some other types of non-existent lightlike hypersurfaces of an indefinite Sasakian manifold can be found in [8] and in the recent work of [13].

#### REFERENCES

- [1] C. Calin, *Contributions to geometry of CR-submanifold*, Thesis, University of Iasi, Iasi, Romania, 1998.
- [2] J. Deprez, *Semi-parallel surfaces in Euclidean space*, J. of Geometry, 25, 192-200, 1985.
- [3] K. L. Duggal and A. Bejancu, *Lightlike Submanifolds of Semi-Riemannian Manifolds and Applications*, vol. 364 of Mathematics and Its Applications, Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht, The Netherlands, 1996.
- [4] K. L. Duggal and B. Sahin, *Differential geometry of lightlike submanifolds*, Birkhauser Verlag AG Basel-Boston-Berlin, 2010.
- [5] K. L. Duggal and B. Sahin, *Generalized Cauchy-Riemann lightlike submanifolds of Kaehler manifolds*, Acta Mathematica Hungarica, vol. 112, no. 1-2, pp. 107-130, 2006.
- [6] R. Gunes and B. Sahin and E. Kilic, *On lightlike hypersurfaces of semi-Riemannian space form*, Turk. J. Math. 27, 283-297, 2003.
- [7] D. H. Jin, *Ascreen lightlike hypersurfaces of indefinite Sasakian manifold*, J. Korean Soc. Math. Educ. Ser. B: Pure Appl. Math, 20(1), 25-35, 2013.
- [8] D. H. Jin, *Geometry of lightlike hypersurfaces of an indefinite Sasakian manifold*, Indian J Pure Appl Math 41, pp. 569-581, 2010.
- [9] D. H. Jin, *Geometry of lightlike hypersurfaces of an indefinite cosymplectic manifold*, Commun. Korean Math. Soc. 27, No. 1, pp. 185-195, 2012.

- [10] O. Lungiambudila, F. Massamba and J. Tossa, *Symmetry properties of lightlike hypersurfaces in indefinite Sasakian manifolds*, SUT Journal of Mathematics, Vol. 46, No. 2, 177-204, 2010.
- [11] O. Lungiambudila, F. Massamba and J. Tossa, *Equivalence conditions of symmetry in lightlike hypersurfaces of indefinite Kenmotsu manifold*, Bull. Korean Math. Soc. 53, No. 4, pp. 1259-1280, 2016.
- [12] B. Sahin, *Lightlike hypersurfaces of semi-Euclidean spaces satisfying curvature conditions of semisymmetry type*, Turkish J. Math. 31, no. 2, 139-162, 2007.
- [13] S. Ssekajja, *Non-existence of certain lightlike hypersurfaces of an indefinite Sasakian manifold*, Rend. Mat. Appl. (7), Vol. 46, 213-228, 2025.
- [14] T. Takahashi, *Sasakian manifold with pseudo-Riemannian metric*, The Tohoku Mathematical Journal, vol. 21, pp. 271-290, 1969.
- [15] S. Tanno, *Sasakian manifolds with constant  $\phi$ -holomorphic sectional curvature*, The Tohoku Mathematical Journal, vol. 21, pp. 501-507, 1969.
- [16] B. Upadhyayi, R. S. Gupta and A. Sharfuddin, *Semi-symmetric and Ricci semi-symmetric lightlike hypersurfaces of an indefinite generalized Sasakian space form*, International Electronic Journal of Geometry, Vol. 5 No. 1 pp. 140-150, 2012.

SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS  
UNIVERSITY OF THE WITWATERSRAND  
PRIVATE BAG 3, WITS 2050  
SOUTH AFRICA  
*Email address:* samuel.ssekajja@wits.ac.za